IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * * * * * * *

SIAOSI VANISI,

Appellant,

Supreme Court No Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

vs.

WILLIAM GITTERE, WARDEN, and AARON FORD, ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA. District Court No. 98CR0516

Volume 4 of 38

Respondents.

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

Appeal from Order Denying Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County The Honorable Connie J. Steinheimer

> RENE L. VALLADARES Federal Public Defender

RANDOLPH M. FIEDLER Assistant Federal Public Defender Nevada State Bar No. 12577 411 E. Bonneville, Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6577 randolph fiedler@fd.org

Attorneys for Appellant

VOLUME	DOCUMENT	PAGE
36	Addendum to Motion to Set Hearing, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 August 20, 2018	85 – AA07688
	EXHIBIT	
36	1. Handwritten note from Siaosi Vanisi to Je Noble or Joe Plater August 13, 2018	· · · · ·
32	Answer to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), July 15, 2011 AA067	56 – AA06758
35	Application for Order to Produce Prisoner, State v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nev Case No. CR98-0516 March 20, 2018	ada,
35	Application for Order to Produce Prisoner, State v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nev Case No. CR98-0516 May 11, 2018	ada,
12	Application for Setting, <i>State of Nevada v. Van.</i> Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 December 11, 2001	
35	Application for Setting, <i>State of Nevada v. Van.</i> Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 March 20, 2018	

14	Application for Writ of Mandamus a Prohibition, <i>State of Nevada v. Vani</i> Supreme Court, Case No.45061	
	April 13, 2005	AA02818 – AA02832
14-15	Case Appeal Statement, State of Ne Second Judicial District Court of Ne Case No. CR98-0516	vada,
	November 28, 2007	AA02852 – AA03030
39	Case Appeal Statement, <i>State of Ne</i> Second Judicial District Court of Ne Case No. CR98-0516	
	February 25, 2019	AA08295 – AA08301
35	Court Minutes of May 10, 2018 Conf Motion for Reconsideration of the Or State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Ju District Court of Nevada, Case No. 0 May 17, 2018	rder to Produce, adicial CR98-0516
35	Court Minutes of May 30, 2018 Oral Motion for Discovery and Issuance of of Petitioner's Appearance at Evider All Other Hearings, <i>State of Nevada</i> Second Judicial District Court of Ne Case No. CR98-0516 June 4, 2018	f Subpoenas/Waiver ntiary Hearing and n v. Vanisi, vada,
39	Court Minutes of September 25, 201 on Petitioner's Waiver of Evidentiar Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial D of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 September 28, 2018	y Hearing, <i>State of</i> istrict Court

37	Court Ordered Evaluation, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 (FILED UNDER SEAL) September 19, 2018
3	Evaluation of Siaosi Vanisi by Frank Everts, Ph.D., June 10, 1999
34	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment Dismissing Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 April 10, 2014
12	Judgment, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Case No. CR98-0516 November 22, 1999
12	Motion for Appointment of Post-Conviction Counsel, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 January 18, 2002
12	Motion for Extension of Time to File Supplemental Materials (Post-Conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Death Penalty Case), State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 October 23, 2002
38	Motion for Leave to File Supplement to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 September 28, 2018

EXHIBIT

38	1.	Supplement to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post Conviction) September 28, 2018AA080	91 – AA08114
13	v. V. Case	ion for Order Appointing Co-Counsel, State Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Neve e No. CR98-0516	ada,
	Octo	ober 30, 2003AA025	88 - AA02590
35	Seco Case	ion for Reconsideration, <i>State of Nevada v.</i> and Judicial District Court of Nevada, e No. CR98-0516	
	Apri	il 2, 2018AA073.	27 - AA07330
	EXH	HIBITS	
35	1.	State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Case No. CR98-P0516, Petitioner's Waiver of Appearance, January 24, 2012	32 – AA07336
35	2.	State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Case No. CR98-P0516, Waiver of Petitioner's Presence, November 15, 2013	337- AA07340
35	3.	State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Case No. CR98-P0516, Order on Petitioner's Presence, February 7, 2012	41 – AA07342
35	4.	State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Case No. CR98-P0516, Order,	43 – AA07346

13	Motion for Stay of Post-Conviction Habeas Corpus
	Proceedings and for Transfer of Petitioner to Lakes Crossing for Psychological Evaluation and Treatment (Hearing Requested), <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516
	November 9, 2004
14	Motion to Continue Evidentiary Hearing, <i>Vanisi v.</i> State of Nevada, et al., Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 April 26, 2005
32	Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), <i>State of Nevada v.</i> <i>Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 July 15, 2011
35	Motion to Disqualify the Washoe County District Attorney's Office, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 June 29, 2018
	EXHIBITS
35	 State Bar of Nevada, Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility, Formal Opinion No. 41 June 24, 2009
35	2. American Bar Association, Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility, Formal Opinion 10-456,

		Disclosure of Information to Prosecutor
		When Lawyer's Former Client Brings Ineffective Assistance of Counsel Claim July 14, 2010
35-36	3.	Response to Motion to Dismiss, or Alternatively, To Disqualify the Federal Public Defender, Sheppard v. Gentry, et al., Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR03-502B December 22, 2016
36	4.	Transcript of Proceedings – Conference Call Re: Motions, <i>Sheppard v. Gentry, et al.</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR03-502B December 29, 2016
36	5.	Order (denying the State's Motion to Dismiss, or Alternatively, To Disqualify the Federal Public Defender), <i>Sheppard v. Gentry, et al.</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR03-502B January 5, 2017
36	Waiv Seco Case	ton to Set Hearing Regarding Vanisi's Request to we Evidentiary Hearing, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , and Judicial District Court of Nevada, No. CR98-0516 25, 2018
12	v. Va Case	ton to Withdraw as Counsel of Record, <i>State of Nevada</i> <i>anisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, e No. CR98-0516 ember 18, 2002
36	of No	Opposition to Presence of Defendant, <i>Vanisi v. State Levada, et al.</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, e No. CR98-0516 ust 21, 2018

12	Notice in Lieu of Remittitur, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada,</i> et al., Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 34771
	October 6, 1999
14	Notice in Lieu of Remittitur, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada,</i> et al., Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 45061
	May 17, 2005AA02848
12	Notice of Appeal, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. (35249)
	November 30, 1999
14	Notice of Appeal, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 50607
	November 28, 2007AA02849 – AA02851
34	Notice of Appeal, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 65774 May 23, 2014
38	Notice of Appeal, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516, Nevada, Supreme Court Case No. (78209) February 25, 2019
34	Notice of Entry of Order, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516
	April 25, 2014AA07109 – AA07116
38	Notice of Entry of Order, (Order Denying Relief), State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516
	February 6, 2019 AA08167 – AA08173

v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 February 22, 2019	38	Notice of Entry of Order (Order Denying Motion for Leave to File Supplement), <i>State of Nevada</i>
February 22, 2019		
Objections to Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment Dismissing Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 March 31, 2014		
Conclusions of Law and Judgment Dismissing Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 March 31, 2014		February 22, 2019 AA08174 – AA08180
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 March 31, 2014	34	Objections to Proposed Findings of Fact,
Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 March 31, 2014		Conclusions of Law and Judgment Dismissing
No. CR98-0516 March 31, 2014		Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, State of Nevada v.
March 31, 2014		
counsel), David M. Siegel, Professor of Law, August 23, 2018		
counsel), David M. Siegel, Professor of Law, August 23, 2018		
August 23, 2018	36	
Opposition to Motion for Extension of Time to File Supplemental Materials (Post-Conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus) (Death Penalty Case), State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 November 1, 2002		
Supplemental Materials (Post-Conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus) (Death Penalty Case), State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 November 1, 2002		August 23, 2018AA07695 – AA07700
for Writ of Habeas Corpus) (Death Penalty Case), State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 November 1, 2002	12	Opposition to Motion for Extension of Time to File
State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 November 1, 2002		Supplemental Materials (Post-Conviction Petition
Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 November 1, 2002		for Writ of Habeas Corpus) (Death Penalty Case),
November 1, 2002		
Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516		
Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516		November 1, 2002
No. CR98-0516	32	Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, State of Nevada v.
		Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case
September 30, 2011		No. CR98-0516
		September 30, 2011AA06765 – AA06840
Opposition to Motion for Leave to File Supplement to	38	Opposition to Motion for Leave to File Supplement to
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, Vanisi v. State of		
- · ·		Nevada, et al., Second Judicial District Court of Nevada,
rievaua, et al., Decolla d'autolat District Court di Nevaua,		Case No. CR98-0516
		October 8, 2018
Case No. CR98-0516		October 0, 2010 AA00110 - AA00122

36	Opposition to Motion to Disqualify the Washoe County District Attorney's Office, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada</i> , et al., Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 July 9, 2018
	EXHIBITS
36	1. State Bar of Nevada, Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility, Formal Opinion No. 55
36	2. E-mail from Margaret "Margy" Ford to Joanne Diamond, Randolph Fiedler, Scott Wisniewski, re Nevada-Ethics-Opinion-re-ABA-Formal-Opinion-55 July 6, 2018
12	Opposition to Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 December 23, 2002
3	Order (directing additional examination of Defendant), St <i>ate of Nevada v. Vanisi,</i> Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 June 3, 1999
32	Order (to schedule a hearing on the motion to dismiss), State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 March 21, 2012
34-35	Order Affirming in Part, Reversing in Part and Remanding, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada</i> , Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 65774 September 28, 2017

38	Order Denying Motion for Leave to File Supplement, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 February 15, 2019
37	Order Denying Motion to Disqualify, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al.</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 September 17, 2018
14	Order Denying Petition, Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al., Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 45061 April 19, 2005
3	Order Denying Petition for Writ of Certiorari or Mandamus, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al.</i> , Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 34771 September 10, 1999
38	Order Denying Relief, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 February 6, 2019
37	Order for Expedited Psychiatric Evaluation, <i>Vanisi v.</i> State of Nevada, et al., Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 September 6, 2018
13	Order (granting Motion to Appoint Co-Counsel), <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al.</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 December 23, 2003
38	Order Granting Waiver of Evidentiary Hearing, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al.</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 February 6, 2019

35	Order to Produce Prisoner, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 March 23, 2018
35	Order to Produce Prisoner, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 May 14, 2018
12	Order (relieving counsel and appointing new counsel), State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 March 11, 2002
3	Original Petition for Writ of Certiorari or Mandamus And Request for Emergency Stay of Trial Pending Resolution of the Issues Presented Herein, <i>Vanisi v.</i> State of Nevada, et al., Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 34771 September 3, 1999
15-16	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 May 4, 2011
	EXHIBITS
16	1. Criminal Complaint, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi,</i> et al., Justice Court of Reno Township No. 89.820, January 14, 1998
16	2. Amended Complaint, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi,</i> et al., Justice Court of Reno Township No. 89.820, February 3, 1998

16	3.	Information, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial Circuit of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516, February 26, 1998 AA03280 – AA03288
16	5.	Declaration of Mark J.S. Heath, M.D., (including attached exhibits), May 16, 2006
16	6.	Birth Certificate of Siaosi Vanisi, District of Tongatapu, June 26, 1970AA03415 – AA03416
16	7.	Immigrant Visa and Alien Registration of Siaosi Vanisi, May 1976AA03417 – AA03418
16-17	11.	Juror Instructions, Trial Phase, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516, September 27, 1999
17	12.	Juror Instructions, Penalty Phase, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No.CR98-0516, October 6, 1999
17	16.	Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Motion to Appoint Counsel. <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516, June 16, 1999
17	17.	Court Ordered Motion for Self Representation, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 August 5, 1999
17	18.	Ex-Parte Order for Medical Treatment, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 July 12, 1999

17	19.	Order, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516, August 11, 1999
17	20.	State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Case No. CR98-0516, Transcript of Proceedings June 23, 1999
17	21.	Transcript of Proceedings State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 August 3, 1999
17-18	22.	Reporter's Transcript of Motion for Self Representation State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 August 10, 1999
18	23.	In Camera Hearing on Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court, Case No. CR98-0516 August 26, 1999
18	24.	Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 February 18, 1999
18	25.	Mental Health Diagnosis, Phillip A. Rich, M.D., October 27, 1998AA03717 – AA03720
18	26.	Various News Coverage Articles AA03721 – AA03815

18	29.	Verdict, Guilt Phase, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, et al., Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 September 27, 1999
18	30.	Verdict, Penalty Phase, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 October 6, 1999
18	31.	Photographs of Siaosi Vanisi from youth
18	32.	Ex Parte Motion to Reconsider Self-Representation, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Case No. CR98-0516, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, August 12, 1999
18-19	33.	Defense Counsel Post-Trial Memorandum in Accordance with Supreme Court Rule 250, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 October 15, 1999
19	34.	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi,</i> Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98P0516 January 18, 2002
19	35.	Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al.</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98P0516 August 18, 1999

19-20	36.	Supplemental Points and Authorities to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al.</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98P0516 February 22, 2005
20	37.	Reply to State's Response to Motion for Protective Order, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516, March 16, 2005
20	39.	Transcript of Proceedings - Post-Conviction Hearing Vanisi v. State of Nevada et al., Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98P0516 May 2, 2005
20-21	40.	Transcript of Proceedings - Continued Post-Conviction Hearing, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98P0516 May 18, 2005
21	41.	Transcript of Proceedings, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada</i> , <i>et al.</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98P0516 April 2, 2007
21	42.	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98P0516 November 8, 2007
21	43.	Appellant's Opening Brief, Appeal from Denial of Post-Conviction Habeas Petition <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada</i> , <i>et al.</i> , Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 50607, August 22, 2008

21-22	44.	Reply Brief, Appeal from Denial of Post-Conviction Habeas Petition, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 50607 December 2, 2008
22	45.	Order of Affirmance, Appeal from Denial of Post- Conviction Petition, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Nevada Supreme Case No. 50607 April 20, 2010
22	46.	Petition for Rehearing Appeal from Denial of Post-Conviction Petition, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al.</i> , Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 50607 May 10, 2010
22	48.	Order for Competency Evaluation State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 December 27, 2004
22	49.	Forensic Psychiatric Assessment, Thomas E. Bittker, M.D., January 14, 2005
22	50.	Competency Evaluation, A.M. Amezaga, Jr., Ph.D., February 15, 2005
22	56.	Order finding Petitioner Competent to Proceed, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court, Case No. CR98-0516 March 16, 2005
22	59.	Sanity Evaluation, Thomas E. Bittker, M.D., June 9, 1999
22-23	60.	Preliminary Examination, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 February 20, 1998

23	61.	Arraignment, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 March 10, 1998
		March 10, 1990AA04007 – AA04007
23	62.	Status Hearing, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 August 4, 1998
23	63.	Status Hearing <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 September 4, 1998
23	64.	Status Hearing, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 September 28, 1998
23	65.	Report on Psychiatric Evaluations, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 November 6, 1998
24	66.	Hearing Regarding Counsel, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516
		November 10, 1998AA04941 – AA04948
24	67.	Pretrial Hearing, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi,</i> et al., Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 December 10, 1998

24	69.	Hearing to Reset Trial Date, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court, Case No. CR98-0516 January 19, 1999
24	70.	Transcript of Proceeding – Pretrial Motion Hearing, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 June 1, 1999
24	71.	Motion Hearing, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 August 11, 1999
24	72.	Decision to Motion to Relieve Counsel, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 August 30, 1999
24	73.	In Chambers Review, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, et al., Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 May 12, 1999
24	81.	Transcript of Proceedings - Report on Psych Eval, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 November 6, 1998
24	82.	Hearing Regarding Counsel, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 November 10, 1998
24-25	89.	Transcript of Proceeding, Trial Volume 4, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516

		January 14, 1999AA05103 – AA05331
25	90.	Order (granting Motion for Mistrial), <i>State of Nevada</i> v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 January 15, 1999
25	92.	Declaration of Paulotu Palu January 24, 2011AA05336 – AA05344
25	93.	Declaration of Siaosi Vuki Mafileo February 28, 2011
25-26	94.	Declaration of Sioeli Tuita Heleta January 20, 2011AA05360 – AA05373
26	95.	Declaration of Tufui Tafuna January 22, 2011AA05374 – AA05377
26	96.	Declaration of Toeumu Tafuna April 7, 2011AA05378 – AA05411
26	97.	Declaration of Herbert Duzant's Interview of Michael Finau April 18, 2011
26	98.	Declaration of Edgar DeBruce April 7, 2011AA05420 – AA05422
26	99.	Declaration of Herbert Duzant's Interview of Bishop Nifai Tonga April 18, 2011
26	100.	Declaration of Lita Tafuna April 2011AA05429 – AA05431
26	101.	Declaration of Sitiveni Tafuna April 7, 2011

26	102.	Declaration of Interview with Alisi Peaua conducted by Michelle Blackwill April 18, 2011
26	103.	Declaration of Tevita Vimahi April 6, 2011AA05445 – AA05469
26	104.	Declaration of DeAnn Ogan April 11, 2011AA05470 – AA05478
26	105.	Declaration of Greg Garner April 10, 2011AA05479 – AA05486
26	106.	Declaration of Robert Kirts April 10, 2011AA05487 – AA05492
26	107.	Declaration of Manamoui Peaua April 5, 2011AA05493 – AA05497
26	108.	Declaration of Toa Vimahi April 6, 2011AA05498 – AA05521
26-27	109.	Reports regarding Siaosi Vanisi at Washoe County Jail, Nevada State Prison and Ely State Prison, Various dates
27	110.	Declaration of Olisi Lui April 7, 2011
27	111.	Declaration of Peter Finau April 5, 2011AA5705 – AA05709
27	112.	Declaration of David Kinikini April 5, 2011AA05710 – AA05720
27	113.	Declaration of Renee Peaua April 7, 2011

27	114.	Declaration of Heidi Bailey-Aloi April 7, 2011AA05727 – AA05730
27	115.	Declaration of Herbert Duzant's Interview of Tony Tafuna April 18, 2011
27	116.	Declaration of Terry Williams April 10, 2011
27	117.	Declaration of Tim Williams April 10, 2011AA05742 – AA05745
27	118.	Declaration of Mele Maveni Vakapuna April 5, 2011AA05746 – AA05748
27	119.	Declaration of Priscilla Endemann April 6, 2011AA05749 – AA05752
27	120.	Declaration of Mapa Puloka January 24, 2011AA05753 – AA05757
27	121.	Declaration of Limu Havea January 24, 2011AA05758 – AA05767
27	122.	Declaration of Sione Pohahau January 22, 2011AA05768 – AA05770
27	123.	Declaration of Tavake Peaua January 21, 2011AA05771 – AA05776
27	124.	Declaration of Totoa Pohahau January 23, 2011AA05777 – AA05799
27-28	125.	Declaration of Vuki Mafileo February 11, 2011AA05800 – AA05814

28	127.	Declaration of Crystal Calderon April 18, 2011AA05815 – AA05820
28	128.	Declaration of Laura Lui April 7, 2011AA05821 – AA05824
28	129.	Declaration of Le'o Kinkini-Tongi April 5, 2011AA05825 – AA05828
28	130.	Declaration of Sela Vanisi-DeBruce April 7, 2011AA05829 – AA05844
28	131.	Declaration of Vainga Kinikini April 12, 2011AA05845 – AA05848
28	132.	Declaration of David Hales April 10, 2011
28	136.	Correspondence to Stephen Gregory from Edward J. Lynn, M.D. July 8, 1999
28	137.	Memorandum to Vanisi File from MRS April 27, 1998AA05856 – AA05858
28	143.	Memorandum to Vanisi File From Mike Specchio July 31, 1998
28	144.	Correspondence to Michael R. Specchio from Michael Pescetta October 9, 1998
28	145.	Correspondence to Michael Pescetta from Michael R. Specchio October 9, 1998

28	146.	3 DVD's containing video footage of Siaosi Vanisi in custody on various dates (MANUALLY FILED)
28	147.	Various Memorandum to and from Michael R. Specchio 1998-1999
28	148.	Memorandum to Vanisi file Crystal-Laura from MRS April 20, 1998
28	149.	Declaration of Steven Kelly April 6, 2011AA05941 – AA05943
28	150.	Declaration of Scott Thomas April 6, 2011AA05944 – AA05946
28	151.	Declaration of Josh Iveson April 6, 2011AA05947 – AA05949
28	152.	Declaration of Luisa Finau April 7, 2011AA05950 – AA05955
28	153.	Declaration of Leanna Morris April 7, 2011AA05956 – AA05960
28	155.	Declaration of Maile (Miles) Kinikini April 7, 2011AA05961 – AA05966
28	156.	Declaration of Nancy Chiladez April 11, 2011
28-29	159.	Transcript of Proceedings, Trial Volume 1, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 January 11, 1999

29-31	160.	Transcript of Proceedings, Trial Volume 2, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 January 12, 1999
31	163.	Neuropsychological and Psychological Evaluation of Siaosi Vanisi, Dr. Jonathan Mack April 18, 2011
31-32	164.	Independent Medical Examination in the Field of Psychiatry, Dr. Siale 'Alo Foliaki April 18, 2011
32	172.	Motion for Change of Venue, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 July 15, 1998
32	173.	Declaration of Herbert Duzant's Interview with Tongan Solicitor General, 'Aminiasi Kefu April 17, 2011
32	175.	Order Denying Rehearing, Appeal from Denial of Post-Conviction Petition, <i>Vanisi vs. State of Nevada</i> , Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 50607 June 22, 2010
32	178.	Declaration of Thomas Qualls April 15, 2011AA06707 – AA06708
32	179.	Declaration of Walter Fey April 18, 2011AA06709 – AA06711
32	180.	Declaration of Stephen Gregory April 17, 2011AA06712 – AA06714
32	181.	Declaration of Jeremy Bosler April 17, 2011AA06715 – AA06718

32	183.	San Bruno Police Department Criminal Report No. 89-0030
		February 7, 1989
32	184.	Manhattan Beach Police Department Police Report Dr. # 95-6108
		November 4, 1995
32	185.	Manhattan Beach Police Department Crime Report
		August 23, 1997AA06728 – AA06730
32	186.	Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial
		District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 February 26, 1998
32	187.	Judgment, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 November 22, 1999
32	100	
5 <u>/</u>	190.	Correspondence to The Honorable Connie Steinheimer from Richard W. Lewis, Ph.D.
		October 10, 1998AA06741 – AA06743
32	195.	Declaration of Herbert Duzant's Interview of Juror Richard Tower
		April 18, 2011
32	196.	Declaration of Herbert Duzant's Interview of Juror Nettie Horner
		April 18, 2011
32	197.	Declaration of Herbert Duzant's Interview of Juror Bonnie James
		April 18, 2011AA06750 – AA06752

32	198. Declaration of Herbert Duzant's Interview of Juror Robert Buck April 18, 2011AA06753 – AA06755
12	Remittitur, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al.</i> , Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 35249
	November 27, 2001
15	Remittitur, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al.</i> , Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 50607
	July 19, 2010 AA03031 – AA03032
35	Remittitur, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al.</i> , Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 65774
	January 5, 2018AA07319 – AA07320
12	Reply in Support of Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 December 27, 2002
39	Reply to Opposition to Motion for Leave to File Supplement to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al., Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 October 15, 2018
36	Reply to Opposition to Motion to Disqualify the Washoe County District Attorney's Office, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al.</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 July 27, 2018
	EXHIBITS
36	1. Response to Motion for a Protective Order, <i>Vanisi v.</i> State of Nevada, et al., Second Judicial District Court

	of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 March 9, 2005AA07640 – AA07652
36	 Letter from Scott W. Edwards to Steve Gregory re Vanisi post-conviction petition. March 19, 2002
36	3. Supplemental Response to Motion for a Protective Order, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 March 16, 2005
36	4. Appellant's Appendix, Volume 1, Table of Contents, Vanisi v. State of Nevada, Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 50607 August 22, 2008
36	5. Facsimile from Scott W. Edwards to Jeremy Bosler
35	April 5, 2002
	EXHIBIT
35	1. Petitioner's Waiver of Appearance (and attached Declaration of Siaosi Vanisi), April 9, 2018
13	Reply to Response to Motion for Stay of Post-Conviction Habeas Corpus Proceedings and for Transfer of Petitioner to Lakes Crossing for Psychological Evaluation and treatment (Hearing Requested), <i>State of Nevada v.</i> <i>Vanisi</i> . Second Judicial District Court of Nevada.

	Case No. CR98-0516 November 17, 2004AA02609 – AA02613
36	Reply to State's Response to Petitioner's Suggestion of Incompetence and Motion for Evaluation, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al.</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 August 6, 2018
	EXHIBIT
36	1. Declaration of Randolph M. Fiedler August 6, 2018 AA07682 – AA07684
36	Request from Defendant, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 July 24, 2018
32	Response to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 October 7, 2011
36	Response to Vanisi's Suggestion of Incompetency and Motion for Evaluation, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 July 30, 2018
35	State's Opposition to Motion for Reconsideration and Objection to Petitioner's Waiver of Attendance at Evidentiary Hearing, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 April 11, 2018

EXHIBIT

	1. Declaration of Donald Southworth, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al.</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 April 11, 2018
36	State's Sur-Reply to Vanisi's Motion to Disqualify the Washoe County District Attorney's Office, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al.</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 August 31, 2018
	EXHIBIT
36	1. Transcript of Proceedings – Status Hearing, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 July 1, 2002
36	Suggestion of Incompetency and Motion for Evaluation, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 July 25, 2018
37	Transcript of Proceedings – Competency for Petitioner to Waive Evidentiary Hearing, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 September 24, 2018
37-38	Transcript of Proceedings – Report on Psychiatric Evaluation, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 September 24, 2018

13	Transcript of Proceedings – Conference Call – In Chambers, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516
	February 5, 2003
35	Transcript of Proceedings – Conference Call, <i>State</i> of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 May 10, 2018
34	Transcript of Proceedings – Decision (Telephonic), <i>Vanisi v.</i> State of Nevada, et al., Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 March 4, 2014
12	Transcript of Proceedings – In Chambers Hearing & Hearing Setting Execution Date, <i>Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al.</i> , Second Judicial District of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 January 18, 2002
13	Transcript of Proceedings – In Chambers Hearing, Vanisi v. State of Nevada, et al., Second Judicial District of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 January 19, 2005
13	Transcript of Proceedings – In Chambers Hearing, Vanisi v. State of Nevada., et al., Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 January 24, 2005
35	Transcript of Proceedings – Oral Arguments, <i>State</i> of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 May 30, 2018

38	Transcript of Proceedings – Oral Arguments, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516
	January 25, 2019
32-33	Transcript of Proceedings - Petition for Post-Conviction (Day One), State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 December 5, 2013
	EXHIBITS Admitted December 5, 2013
33	199. Letter from Aminiask Kefu November 15, 2011AA06967 – AA06969
33	201. Billing Records-Thomas Qualls, Esq. Various Dates
33	214. Memorandum to File from MP March 22, 2002
33	Transcript of Proceedings - Petition for Post-Conviction (Day Two), <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 December 6, 2013
	EXHIBITS Admitted December 6, 2013
33	200. Declaration of Scott Edwards, Esq. November 8, 2013
33	224. Letter to Scott Edwards, Esq. from Michael Pescetta, Esq. January 30, 2003

12-13	Transcript of Proceedings – Post-Conviction, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District	
	Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516	
	January 28, 2003AA02576 – AA02582	
13	Transcript of Proceedings – Post-Conviction, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial District	
	Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516	
	November 22, 2004	
1	Transcript of Proceedings – Pre-Trial Motions, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi,</i> Second Judicial District	
	Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516	
	November 24, 1998AA00001 – AA00127	
13	Transcript of Proceedings – Report on Psychiatric Evaluation, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case	
	No. CR98-0516	
	January 27, 2005	
37-38	Transcript of Proceedings – Report on Psychiatric	
	Evaluation, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second	
	Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516	
	September 24, 2018AA07925 – AA08033	
13-14	Transcript of Proceedings – Report on Psychiatric	
	Evaluation State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial	
	District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516	
	February 18, 2005 AA02717 – AA02817	
38	Transcript of Proceedings – Report on Psychiatric	
	Evaluation, State of Nevada v. Vanisi, Second	
	Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516	
	September 25, 2018AA08034 – AA08080	

36-37	Transcript of Proceedings – Status Conference, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of		
	Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516		
	September 5, 2018	AA07725 – AA07781	
3-5	Transcript of Proceedings – Trial V Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516		
	September 20, 1999	AA00622 – AA00864	
5-6	Transcript of Proceedings – Trial V Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516		
	September 21, 1999	AA00865 – AA01112	
1-2	Transcript of Proceedings – Trial V Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 January 13, 1999	District Court of	
6-7	Transcript of Proceedings – Trial V Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 September 22, 1999	District Court of	
2-3	Transcript of Proceedings – Trial V Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 January 14, 1999	District Court of	
7	Transcript of Proceedings – Trial V Nevada v. Vanisi, Second Judicial Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 September 23, 1999	District Court of	

3	Transcript of Proceedings, Trial Volume 5, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516
	January 15, 1999AA00524 – AA0550
7-8	Transcript of Proceedings, Trial Volume 5, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi,</i> Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 September 24, 1999
8	Transcript of Proceedings – Trial Volume 6, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 September 27, 1999
8-9	Transcript of Proceedings – Trial Volume 7, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 September 28, 1999
9	Transcript of Proceedings – Trial Volume 8, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 September 30, 1999
9-10	Transcript of Proceedings – Trial Volume 9, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 October 1, 1999
10-11	Transcript of Proceedings – Trial Volume 10, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516 October 4, 1999

11-12	Transcript of Proceedings – Trial Volume 11, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516
	October 5, 1999
12	Transcript of Proceedings – Trial Volume 12, <i>State of Nevada v. Vanisi</i> , Second Judicial District Court of Nevada, Case No. CR98-0516
	October 6, 1999

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 26th day of September, 2019. Electronic Service of the foregoing Appellant's Appendix shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

Jennifer P. Noble Appellate Deputy Nevada Bar No. 9446 P.O. Box 11130 Reno, NV 89520-0027 jnoble@da.washoecounty.us

Joseph R. Plater Appellate Deputy Nevada Bar No. 2771 P.O. Box 11130 Reno, NV 89520-0027 jplater@da.washoecounty.us

> Sara Jelenik An employee of the Federal Public Defender's Office

	24
1	assist you in finding your chair.
2	So we'll proceed with the calling of the jurors
3	at this time.
4	THE CLERK: Bonnie K. James.
5	Victoria L. Kersbergen.
6	Marilyn A. Patch.
7	Lauren Ziler.
8	Niculina C. Jones.
9	Sheila M. Caramella.
10	Shaylene J. Grate.
11	Jeannette L. Minassian.
12	Larry F. Mullins.
13	Leon D. Ralston.
14	Joy M. Ashley.
15	Michael W. Sheahan.
16	Patricia A. Sharkey.
17	Alice J. Bell.
18	Starlin E. Jones.
19	Patrick W. Grider.
20	Leslie C. Johnson.
21	James L. Ayers.
22	Laura A. O'Keefe.
23	Wendy S. Kruse.
24	Juan C. Salais.
25	Robert T. Buck.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	25
1	Stewart C. Butler.
2	Joan C. Scolari.
3	Kimberly M. Hodges.
4	Warren C. Estes.
5	Richard A. Tower.
6	THE COURT: Go ahead and fill in those seats in
7	the back, those of you who are standing.
8	THE CLERK: Shaun L. Carmichael.
9	Evelyn A. Hullin.
10	THE COURT: Do we have Evelyn Hullin?
11 '	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right here.
12	THE COURT: You were too quick.
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm in my assigned seat.
14	THE COURT: You are just really quick.
15	THE CLERK: Cyndi L. Petrilak.
16	Patricia D. Magnin.
17	Amy L. Whitmore.
18	Heidi A. Beers-Diaz.
19	Theresa E. Williams.
20	Karen S. Morris.
21	Miles E. Webb.
22	THE COURT: Those of you whose names have just
23	been called, you have a witness list. Go ahead and review
24	that witness list for anyone that you might be acquainted
25	with, related to or know.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1 22

Those of you sitting out behind the bar, it's not only possible but highly probable that you are going to be called upon to replace some of the jurors currently seated in the jury box. If that takes place, it's going to be essential that I assure myself that you have listened to my questions, and that you are ready to respond to those questions that you would have responded to at the time I asked the initial question of the panel.

So to be sure that you can do that, we ask that you take a written note of any question that you would respond to, either of mine or of counsel, as you sit in the audience. That means you can't be daydreaming. You must be listening to the question.

And if it turns out when you come up that you haven't paid attention, I must repeat every question I have asked, and the attorneys will repeat every question they have asked. That could add two hours onto the questioning for just one juror who didn't pay attention.

Please cooperate with this. It will really assist us in getting this panel and the jury selected timely.

Now, the bailiff has pencils and Mr. Anderson has pads of paper and pencils if you need them. They don't have enough pencils for everyone, so if you have a pen of your own, please use it. But they have paper available for

1 you to use.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, at this point, could we inquire whether Mr. Phillips and Miss Barrientos have arrived? I saw two people come in.

not going to have to take any written notes because you are going to raise your hand if you want to respond to my question. I encourage you to feel comfortable doing that.

Through this question-and-answer process is the way that we're able to select a jury. The jury in all cases must be fair and impartial to the best of our ability. So as I ask you the questions and as counsel asks you questions, I want you to bear in mind that we're only asking you to be frank and honest with your responses, and don't be afraid to raise your hand. We're going to let them finish this process, because it is kind of noisy, before I ask you questions.

Have any prospective jurors come into the courtroom that were not previously called, their names weren't called? We have Miss Barrientos or Mr. Phillips?

It doesn't look like it. The Jury Commissioner should notify us when they are in the building.

Mr. ANDERSON: Some people are having a hard time hearing back here.

SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

THE COURT: If you are unable to hear my

questions, please raise your hand. Now I will be facing this direction. Can you all hear me now? Nobody is raising their hand saying they cannot hear me.

There are a couple of people in the back. Do we have any room in the front where one of those people could come forward? We have a chair here in the front row.

Mr. Anderson, you can sit in the back.

If you have a little bit of hard time hearing me because you can't see me, do you want to come forward, please? We have a couple of chairs in the front.

There is one right in front here, sir.

Mr. Anderson will show you where it is.

Can everyone hear me now?

Thank you. The following comments are directed to all prospective jurors, those seated in the jury box as well as those still outside the rail. It is important that you all pay close attention to what is going to happen now. It is not only possible but probable that some of the people now in the jury box will be excused and some of you sitting outside the rail will be called upon to take their place.

The purpose of what now follows is to ascertain if you are qualified under the law to serve as a juror in this particular case. That is, are you so unrelated to the parties, their attorneys and the facts of the case that you would be able to serve as a fair and impartial juror. To

make this determination, I will first ask you a series of general questions. Then the attorneys will ask you some more questions, both of a general and specific nature.

You are obligated by the oath that you just took to answer these questions fully and completely and truthfully. If any of your answers appear to reveal a legal basis for which you may be excused as a juror in this case, one or the other of the attorneys will challenge you. That is, they will request that you be excused.

If the Court agrees with the reasons stated for the challenge, you will be excused from further service in this case, and the name of another prospective juror currently seated outside the rail will be called to take your place. These challenges are called challenges for cause.

Once all 36 jurors in our jury box have been qualified for cause, the attorneys may then exercise another type of challenge called a peremptory challenge. Each side is allowed ten — eight plus two, so you will each be allowed ten challenges for peremptories. If either side for any reason or for no reason at all, really, that they tell us makes this type of challenge against you, the Court has no alternative but to excuse you.

If this happens, please don't feel that anything you have said was wrong or there is anything wrong

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

with you. It simply means that one or the other of the attorneys challenges you because they believe someone else might be better suited to sit in this case.

During the questioning, I ask you to bear in mind that neither the attorneys nor myself wish to embarrass you or match wits with you. We are only seeking relevant information upon which to decide your qualifications as a possible juror. If any of the questions that I or counsel ask are of an embarrassing nature for you to answer out loud in front of the whole group, I will allow you to come forward and speak privately, sort of, but quietly with the court reporter, myself and the attorneys.

This is a public record and it will be open to anyone to see, but it isn't like talking in front of all these people. And I want you to use this method if you are uncomfortable saying something. Don't refuse to say something because you are embarrassed because of how many people are here.

Sometimes that does happen, and it actually happens quite frequently. So feel free to ask to approach me if that would help you in being more frank with your answers.

Now, as I proceed to ask you the questions, I want you to remember what I told you a few minutes ago, and that is that you are to raise your hand if you have an

б

answer for me that is affirmative. Usually it will be:
Does anyone not understand something, or, Does anyone have a
question about a certain thing, or, Do you know anyone, that
kind of questioning. You raise your hand and I will
proceed.

If during this process you are confused by the process and don't understand what is going on, just stop me. We spend every day in the courtroom; you don't. And I understand that. So please stop me and ask a question if you don't know what's happening.

I encourage you not to hesitate to raise your hand should you feel it is appropriate. The integrity of our entire judicial system depends upon obtaining jurors who are disinterested, unbiased and unprejudiced. And the only way that we can do that is through this question—and—answer process.

Now, you had an opportunity to read the witness list. Now, everyone on this list may not be called as a witness, but these are the potential witnesses. Is there anyone in the jury box related to, acquainted with, or have any familiarity with any of those individuals on the list?

Just raise your hand. Okay.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I don't mean to be difficult, but looking at the list, I would ask the Court also add the name George Sullivan because I think that will

I	
1	have some special implications for some people in the jury
2	box. That isn't on our witness list.
3	THE COURT: I will do that, Mr. Bosler. Let's
4	just talk about the written list first.
5	We have Miss Patch. Can you tell me who you
6	know and how you know them?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Actually there are
8	several officers and deputies that I know. I used to work
9	for Reno PD and the Sheriff's Department.
10	THE COURT: So I think you need to say which
11	ones you actually know.
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sergeant Partyka, Deputy
13	Ellis, Officer Meyer, Sergeant Ybarra. I'm not sure if I'm
14	saying that right. I think that's it.
15	THE COURT: Now, is there anything about your
16	association or knowledge of these officers that would cause
17	you difficulty serving in this case?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not that I'm aware of.
19	THE COURT: Would you be able to evaluate their
20	testimony based on the way they present it on the witness
21	stand and not by any other issue?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe so.
23	THE COURT: Now, would you feel that whatever
24	the result may be of the jury that you sat on would affect
25	your husband's employment?
	OTERRA MENTAL REPORTERS (275) 200 CECO
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not sure. He is a
2	deputy.
3	THE COURT: Right. And I'm asking you how you
4	feel about that. Do you feel that would you have to
5	justify a result in this case to him or anyone else?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Would you feel that you had to
8	explain yourself to his superiors or anyone else?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
10	THE COURT: And do you have any doubts about
11	your ability to sit and weigh the testimony of the officers
12	in this case?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14	THE COURT: Now, I also had someone in the back
15	row. It's Miss Caramella.
16	Who do you know?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: John Oakes.
18	THE COURT: And what is the nature of your
19	knowledge of him?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We dated.
21	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
22	prior you say past tense so that past association that
23	would cause you difficulty serving in this case?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not sure.
25	THE COURT: Would you not be able to afford
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

L.	\mathbf{I}
1	that witness the same determination as any other witness?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I will try.
3	THE COURT: Do you feel uncomfortable going
4	into this in front of everyone?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. John and I just were
6	really close.
7	THE COURT: As with all witnesses, you'd have
8	to be able to weigh his testimony based on the way he
9	presents from the witness stand. Would your relationship be
10	such that you would just accept anything he said on the
11	witness stand and feel that you had to accept whatever he
12	said, no matter what his demeanor or no matter what the
13	basis for his testimony was?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It would depend on which
15	side he was on.
16	THE COURT: I can't tell you which side. I
17	don't know for sure.
18	Counsel have any inquiry of this witness?
19	MR. STANTON: A couple questions.
20	Miss Caramella, would you categorize your
21	relationship with John Oakes as positive or negative?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Positive.
23	MR. STANTON: Mr. Oakes, if he's called as a
24	witness in this case, is a relatively minor witness in the
25	scheme of all the facts and evidence in this case. Based
	STERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

11	
1	upon your relationship, could you listen to what he said and
2	evaluate what he said, or would you always believe what he
3	testified to, no matter what the content?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Like I just answered, I
5	think it would depend on which side he was testifying for.
6	I'm trying to be honest.
7	MR. STANTON: I understand. And it would make
8	a difference to you which side of this case called him as a
9	witness?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11	MR. STANTON: I have nothing further.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Bosler?
13	MR. BOSLER: No follow-up, Your Honor. Based
14	upon her honesty and equivocation, we'd ask that the Court
15	excuse her.
16	MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I'm not sure I
17	understand the challenge. But if it is for cause, I don't
18	know what specifically she's indicated that would justify
19	her being excused for cause.
20	THE COURT: What is your concern, Mr. Bosler?
21	MR. BOSLER: I think she's indicated depending
22	on which side Mr. Oakes testifies, she would have a certain
23	bias. I think that bias in itself is a basis to excuse a
24	juror.
25	THE COURT: Are you saying that if he
	II

<u>i</u> l	
1	testified if he was called by one side or the other, that
2	that would affect how you viewed that whole side's case, not
3	just his individual testimony?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have walked in here
5	already with an opinion, and so all I can say is it would
6	depend on whose side he was on.
7	THE COURT: If he was on the side of your
8	opinion, you would
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would believe him.
10	THE COURT: would you believe him? If he
11	were not on the side of your opinion, you would not believe
12	him?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.
14	THE COURT: I think we need to deal with her
15	issue of opinion more than we have to deal with Mr. Oakes.
16	So we'll move on and we'll get to her opinion.
17	Anyone else in that row? Second row.
18	Yes, Miss Bell?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Carolyn Sullivan. I have
20	seen her at my son's school on occasion.
21	THE COURT: Other than that, you have no
22	personal relationship with her?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am.
24	THE COURT: And would you be able to is
25	there anything about the fact that your children go to the
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	same school that would cause you difficulty serving in this
2	case?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. They don't hang
4	around together.
5	THE COURT: Anyone else in that second row?
6	Yes, Mr. Sheahan?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know Kaleb Bartelheim.
8	He is a close friend of my number three son.
9	THE COURT: He's a close friend of your third
10	son?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.
12	THE COURT: And how often do you see him?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It depends. I have got a
14	divorce, so it's only once every other week, or
15	approximately.
16	THE COURT: Would you be able to evaluate his
17	testimony based on the way he presents himself on the
18	witness stand?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Do you carry any bias for or
21	against him?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: And would you be able to evaluate
24	it solely on how he is here in the courtroom and not based
25	on some outside knowledge of him?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Anyone else in that row? The third
3	row, I think I had some hands. No, I didn't. Fourth row.
4	Yes, Miss Hullin.
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I know Detective
6	Dave Jenkins.
7	THE COURT: And how do you know him?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I went to school with
9	him.
10	THE COURT: Do you still stay in contact with
11	him?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't.
13	THE COURT: Would you be able to evaluate his
14	testimony based on the way he presents himself on the stand?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I would.
16	THE COURT: Do you carry any bias for or
17	against him personally?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
19	THE COURT: Anyone else in that row?
20	Yes, Mr. Carmichael.
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Manaoui Peaua. I'm not a
22	hundred percent sure, but I went to school with some of
23	them. As far as like the Peaua family, I'm familiar with
24	the name. I'm not a hundred percent sure if it was him or
25	not.
•	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: You'd recognize him if he came in?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Maybe, maybe not. Just
3	the name. I'm familiar with the name as far as from school.
4	THE COURT: What school?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: McQueen High School.
6	THE COURT: When did you graduate from McQueen?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: '92.
8	THE COURT: Have you stayed in touch with any
9	of the people from McQueen?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. As far as from
11	McQueen, yes, but not as far as them.
12	THE COURT: What was the nature of knowing his
13	family? Did you play on a sports team together?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just familiarizing with
15	them at school. Not really one-on-one or anything.
16	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
17	association with him through being at the same high school
18	that would cause you difficulty?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am.
20	THE COURT: Do you harbor any bias or
21	prejudices one way or another?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am.
23	THE COURT: Anyone else in that fourth row?
24	Yes, Miss Scolari? I'm sorry. Wrong person.
25	Miss Whitmore.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, Magnin.
2	THE COURT: Magnin. Sorry. I'll get this
3	straight. There are too many of you.
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My husband works with
5	Sateki I can't pronounce his last name.
6	THE COURT: Sateki?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Where is that?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: At Traner Middle School.
10	THE COURT: Is your husband a teacher there?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Is there anything about the fact
13	that your husband is a teacher there and this witness is
14	that a student?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. He comes in and does
16	the afternoon program for the teenagers.
17	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
18	relationship that would cause you difficulty?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
20	THE COURT: Would you feel compelled to take a
21	particular stand with regard to that witness because of your
22	husband's knowledge of him?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
24	THE COURT: Do you have any bias? Do you feel
25	any bias one way or another for or against the witness?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Would you be able to evaluate the
3	witness's testimony as it is presented here in the courtroom
4	and not based on any other facts?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, ma'am.
6	THE COURT: Anyone in the front row? Anyone
7	else?
8	Is anyone acquainted with the defendant in this
9	case or related to him? Is anyone acquainted with or
10	related to the attorneys trying this case, either for the
11	State or for Mr. Vanisi?
12	Is anyone acquainted with or related to anyone
13	in the Washoe County District Attorney's Office? Is there
14	anyone acquainted with or related to anybody in the Washoe
15	County Public Defender's Office?
16	Is there anyone related to or acquainted with
17	George Sullivan, the deceased? He's the gentleman who is
18	deceased in this case.
19	Yes, Miss Bell.
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Once again, he was at
21	school.
22	THE COURT: So you have seen him before?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.
24	THE COURT: Did you have any relationship with
25	him?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

i	Į l
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I went on a field
2	trip about five years ago to Carson City. He was on the
3	same bus that my son was on, and I went on the bus. But
4	never been over to their house, wouldn't strike up a
5	conversation. I don't know how
6	THE COURT: You didn't serve on any committees
7	with him at school or anything like that?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
9	THE COURT: And we also had in the front row
10	Miss Williams.
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. I worked with him
12	at the university. I used to give orientation
13	presentations, and he would come in and speak to the
14	orientation crowd.
15	THE COURT: Now, over what period of time did
16	you work with him?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Two years.
18	THE COURT: Is there anything about the fact
19	that you worked with him that would cause you difficulty
20	being fair and impartial in this case?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Would you not be able to afford one
23	side or the other a fair hearing on the evidence?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
25	THE COURT: Counsel, inquire?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	43
1	MR. STANTON: I didn't hear the answer to the
2	last question.
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	MR. STANTON: That is Miss Williams?
6	THE COURT: It is Miss Williams, Theresa
7	Williams.
8	MR. STANTON: Miss Williams, the gravamen of
9	some of the questions that me and Mr. Bosler are going to
10	ask and the judge is asking is ultimately where your life
11	comes from and who do you know. Can you be fair and
12	impartial in this case?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
14	MR. STANTON: You don't think so?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
16	MR. STANTON: Because of your knowledge of
17	Sergeant Sullivan?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19	MR. STANTON: This case is a criminal case, as
20	I'm sure most of you know. It involves two phases. One is
21	a guilt phase, and if the defendant is found guilty of
22	murder in the first degree, then there would be a penalty
23	phase that you would participate. Do you think you wouldn't
24	be able to be fair in both of those phases?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think I could be.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	MR. STANTON: And you would, I'm assuming
2	because of your relationship with Sergeant Sullivan, it
3	would be you don't think you can be fair to Mr. Vanisi; is
4	that correct?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That is correct.
6	MR. STANTON: No further questions.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Bosler?
8	MR. BOSLER: I appreciate Miss Williams'
9	honesty. No questions.
10	THE COURT: Anyone have a challenge?
11	MR. BOSLER: We challenge for cause, Your
12	Honor.
13	MR. STANTON: Court's indulgence one moment.
14	MR. BOSLER: I don't know if the Court wants a
15	basis or what it is based on.
16	MR. STANTON: No objection to the challenge.
17	THE COURT: Miss Williams, thank you. We
18	appreciate your service. You need to go down and report to
19	the Jury Commissioner. You are excused at this time. Give
20	your witness list to the bailiff, please.
21	Call a name of a replacement juror.
22	THE CLERK: Lori T. Frazer.
23	THE COURT: Go ahead and take a moment, ma'am,
24	to read the list over. Miss Frazer, are you acquainted with
25	or related to anyone on that list?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

}	1*
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: John Oakes. Just
2	acquainted.
3	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
4	acquaintance with Mr. Oakes that would cause you any
5	difficulty serving in this case?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Do you harbor any bias or prejudice
8	for or against him?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
10	THE COURT: Now, we have a question from
11	Mr. Salais.
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm coming from a foreign
13	country, and I am American citizen now, but my English about
14	85 I understand about 80, 85 percent. I don't know if
15	this is going to be good enough.
16	THE COURT: Have you understood all my
1 7	questions?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: So far.
19	THE COURT: If you didn't understand something
20	that I asked, that the attorneys asked or that a witness
21	said, would you be willing to raise your hand and say,
22	Judge, I didn't understand that?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Sure, I can do that.
24	THE COURT: If you are willing to do that, and
25	you have understood me thus far, I think you should go ahead
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1 and stay on the jury. 2 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. I just want to be 3 sure. Thank you. 4 THE COURT: Now, as we go, if you don't 5 understand something, raise your hand. 6 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you. 7 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Salais. 8 Yes, Miss Ziler? Miss Jones? 9 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have the same question. 10 11 I was born in another country, and my English not so good. 12 It is a big job. THE COURT: Have you been able to understand 13 14 everything I have said? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, but I do not 15 16 understand this card that is here. I have read this ten 17 times, but I don't understand. I couldn't understand some of the sentences. 18 THE COURT: Can you tell me which sentence you 19 20 don't understand? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, the one that said 21 here, okay, if your service is not required, you will be 22 23 scheduled the following week. I don't understand that. 24 THE COURT: Can you tell me which words you didn't understand? 25

1 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not the words. The 2 sentence, the paragraph. 3 THE COURT: The structure, what it meant? 4 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The structure. I had my 5 son call and get permission for me. 6 THE COURT: Counsel? 7 MR. STANTON: Your Honor, based upon the 8 juror's role in this case, specifically with jury 9 instructions in this case, I believe if that is causing a 10 problem, it would be significantly more difficult with that. 11 And the State would have no objection to excusing Miss Jones 12 for cause. MR. BOSLER: Join in the challenge, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Miss Jones, we are going to excuse 14 15 you from service in this case. Thank you for bringing the 16 problem to our attention. Appreciate your service. Go 17 ahead and report to the Jury Commissioner. Go down and see 18 her. We'll call the name of another prospective 19 20 juror. 21 Tish A. Kelley. THE CLERK: Miss Kelley, I'd like you to read 22 THE COURT: 23 over the witness list as I have asked all other potential jurors. While you are doing that, I'm going to be asking 24 25 Miss Frazer some questions.

Į.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Miss Frazer, were you able to hear all my
2	questions while you were sitting in the audience?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Would you have responded to any of
5	those questions had you been sitting here in the jury box?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know other people.
7	THE COURT: That's fine. Tell me who you know.
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know Brian Uptain, and
9	I think Lieutenant Jeff Wise was married to somebody I used
10	to work with years ago.
11	THE COURT: Now Deputy Uptain is serving as my
12	bailiff in this case. He works with the court. We are the
13	neutral parties in the courtroom.
14	But is there something about the fact that he
15	would be in the courtroom that would cause you difficulty?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
17	THE COURT: He will be called upon to assist
18	the jury as our bailiffs do, and they take care of our
19	juries. Would there be anything about that that would cause
20	you a problem?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: Any other questions that you would
23	have responded to?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Jeff Wise is the same Jeff Wise who
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	was married to a friend or a co-worker, would there be
2	anything about that that would cause you difficulty in
3	assessing his testimony fairly and objectively?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: Any no other responses to my
6	questions thus far?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
8	THE COURT: Now, Miss Kelley, have you read the
9	witness list?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
11	THE COURT: Are you acquainted with or are you
12	related to anyone on the list?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14	THE COURT: Have you been able to hear my
15	questions thus far?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
17	THE COURT: Is there any of the questions that
18	I asked previously of the other panel members that you would
19	have responded to if you had been seated up here the entire
20	time?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: (No audible response.)
22.	THE COURT: You have to answer out loud, ma'am.
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
24	THE COURT: The court reporter is taking down
25	your responses, so we have to be sure that you, everyone,
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	50
1	answer out loud.
2	Now, is anyone related to, acquainted with, or
3	close personal friends with any law enforcement personnel,
4	police officers, sheriffs, deputy sheriffs, highway patrol,
5	anything like that? I usually get quite a few responses.
6	Now I'll try to remember who has raised their
7	hands, and I'll have you raise your hands again as we go row
8	by row.
9	In the first row we have Miss Kersbergen.
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. Dave is all I
11	know. He's a friend that comes over and talks. He's with I
12	believe tribal.
13	THE COURT: Council?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Tribal police.
15	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
16	association with him that would cause you difficulty serving
17	on this case?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
19	THE COURT: Would you feel required to justify
20	a particular result to him?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: And, Miss Patch, is there something
23	more that you wanted to share with us about your
24	association?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: And, Miss Kelley, did you raise
2	your hand?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. Jim McNeil. Do
4 .	you want me to tell you who I know?
5	THE COURT: Yes. Who you are acquainted with
6	and who your friends are.
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Jim McNeil. He is in the
8	Sheriff's Department.
9	Brian Howard, he trains the canines. And I
10	thought I knew somebody else but I don't. I think that's
11	it.
12	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
13	association with these peace officers that would cause you
14	difficulty serving in this case?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Huh-uh.
16	THE COURT: Would you feel compelled to justify
17	any particular result to these officers?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
19	THE COURT: There was another hand.
20	Yes, Miss Grate?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, let's see. My
22	brother-in-law, Dustin Grate, was just on Sparks PD. He is
23	in between jobs right now.
24	My husband owns a judicial school, and like
25	three of our friends are students there, and they are all
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	police officers. Tim Avilla, David Gill and Larry Lyman,
2	sheriffs. My father-in-law is a retired sheriff.
3	THE COURT: From Washoe County?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
5	THE COURT: Now, is there anything about all
6	these associations that would cause you difficulty serving
7	as a juror in this case?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably. I would try
9	not to, but to be honest, it is kind of hard.
10	THE COURT: What would be the nature of your
11	difficulty?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just because I could see
13	them in the spot of Mr. Sullivan.
14	THE COURT: And would that give you the
15	inability to be fair and impartial as you hear evidence?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Honestly?
17	THE COURT: Absolutely, honestly.
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It would impair my
19	judgment, honestly.
20	THE COURT: Counsel?
21	MR. STANTON: Miss Grate, obviously by your
22	questions, you know that the basis of this trial is that
23	Sergeant Sullivan was murdered while he was on duty. You
24	may and many jurors may have strong feelings by knowing
25	other police officers.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

The question is simply this: This man,

Mr. Vanisi, is presumed innocent, and he is innocent until a
jury convicts him in a court of law based upon only the
evidence that's heard in this courtroom, not the newspaper
or television coverage.

Could you put aside your feelings and your understanding and your relationship that you have with friends and associates that are law enforcement and make your decision as a juror solely on what you hear in this room and nothing else?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I could try.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Well, I guess that's -not only trying it, but you know yourself, obviously, better
than anybody in this room. Do you think you can do that?
Because if you are selected as a juror, you will take an
oath separate and apart from the oath you have already
taken, to indeed precisely do that. Can you do that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess I'd have to say no.

MR. STANTON: No?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I mean, it would be hard to be impartial.

MR. STANTON: Well, I understand that people may carry into this case, at least a case that has the attendant publicity to it, feelings, opinions, everything

1 like that. The question is: Can you put that aside and 2 listen to just what happens in this courtroom? 3 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. 4 MR. STANTON: Are you the type of person that 5 can follow directions and if you take an oath, you take it 6 seriously? 7 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh. 8 MR. STANTON: So you may have some feelings, 9 but you will come in here and watch the evidence and you 10 say, Wait a minute, that is not the way I heard it, then 11 rule on the evidence as opposed to your opinion and what you 12 know or your friends? 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. 14 MR. STANTON: If you were a juror in this case 15 and you listened to the facts and you said the State didn't 16 prove Mr. Vanisi guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, could you 17 tell all your law enforcement friends, That's the way I saw 18 it? 19 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I guess. 20 MR. STANTON: No further questions. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Bosler? 22 MR. BOSLER: Mrs. Grate, no one wants to say 23 you are being unfair. No one wants to say you are biased. 24 But everybody here is a human, and everybody here may not be 25 the best juror to sit on this particular case, and if you SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	are not, that's fine. And I appreciate you being honest
2	about this.
3	Knowing that those people work with your
4	husband, I believe you put in your questionnaire that your
5	brother-in-law was almost hired by UNR?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
7	MR. BOSLER: How does that make you feel
8	knowing you are going to have to sit in judgment of this
9	case?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's hard because I do
11	put him in those shoes. I can try to put the facts aside,
12	but I'm
13	MR. BOSLER: So I mean, as a human, it is hard
14	for you to keep from placing your brother-in-law in the
15	shoes of the deceased?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
17	MR. BOSLER: Do you think that is going to
18	affect your ability to sit at the trial fairly?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It might.
20	MR. BOSLER: Do you think that based upon those
21	circumstances, you are the type of person who should be
22	sitting in this case and saying they can be fair?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm probably not the
24	person, no.
25	MR. BOSLER: I appreciate your honesty. There
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

l l	
1	is no right or wrong answer. You have your own specific
2	issues, and I'm not going to take those away from you.
3	So your questionnaire, you had a question about
4	whether you could be fair. You said, I cannot be fair in
5	light of that is just because of this case?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know a lot about it.
7	MR. BOSLER: There is something about court
8	instructions, you are going to take an oath to be a juror,
9	and the judge will instruct you you are supposed to follow
10	instructions. Even all those things being said, you are
11	going to have difficulty sitting fairly in this case; would
12	that be an accurate statement?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
14	MR. BOSLER: Appreciate your honesty.
15	Thank you, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Why don't we inquire right now
17	while we have Miss Caramella about her husband's back
18	surgery.
19	MR. STANTON: Miss Caramella?
20	THE COURT: Caramella.
21	Ma'am, is your husband going to have surgery,
22	Miss Caramella?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, he is.
24	THE COURT: Is that tomorrow?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, it is.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: Can you tell us a little bit more
2	about that?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I have already
4	postponed it once because I thought I was going to serve on
5	a jury somewhere between June, July and August. So we
6	scheduled it for the 21st.
7	He's supposed to be in the hospital at 7:30 in
8	the morning down in San Pablo. He has surgery about 9:30.
9	He should be out and put in intensive care between 2:00 and
10	3:00, where he will be in intensive care for two days. He
11	will probably be in the hospital for eight or nine days.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Counsel?
13	MR. STANTON: No objection to excusing
14	Miss Caramella for cause.
15	MR. BOSLER: I have no problem with that, Your
16	Honor.
17	THE COURT: Ma'am, we looked at this early last
18	week, and we saw your problem, but we just needed a little
19	bit more information. So since I had you and I was looking
20	at Miss Kelley
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm sorry. I was not
22	trying to use it for an excuse. I tried between last week
23	and this week to see if I could have someone go down with
24	him but
25	THE COURT: You can't?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, because when we met with the doctor on Wednesday, I was concerned about the two days in intensive care, and that is because they are doing so much work by his spinal cord that if there was a problem, I felt I should be there.

THE COURT: We understand that, and counsel has given me permission to excuse you. So I am going to let you go ahead and go, and thank you for appearing today.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.

THE COURT: You have to report to the Jury Commissioner.

Now, with regard to Miss Kelley, counsel, did you finish your inquiry with regard to Miss Kelley?

MR. BOSLER: Yes, Your Honor. There is a for-cause challenge before the Court.

MR. STANTON: I believe it is Miss Grate.

THE COURT: Miss Grate. Sorry, Miss Kelley.

You are still with us. And you did — do you have a response to the motion for recusal?

MR. STANTON: Yes, Your Honor. I believe that Miss Grate's responses still fall within the parameters of her being able to serve on this jury, at least at this juncture. Having feelings and impressions at this point, the ultimate feeling was she could abide by the instructions of the Court and the instructions of law.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Miss Grate, you kind of
2	you moved around a little bit on me. I'm not exactly sure
3	how you are viewing all of this.
4	Everyone comes in with preconceived notions,
5	opinions. Some opinions are of such a nature that they
6	require someone not to serve on a case. Other opinions are
7	not of such a nature.
8	You indicated to me that you thought you would
9	see your friends in the evidence; is that what you were
10	talking about? That you thought that you'd sort of
11	visualize your friends being
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My brother-in-law.
13	THE COURT: Your brother-in-law?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Because he was almost
15	hired on UNR. It could have been him, is what I'm trying to
16	say. That is what I was thinking when all this happened.
17	THE COURT: That's probably a realistic
18	assumption. But does it necessarily in your mind follow
19	that, therefore, whoever is accused of this offense must be
20	found guilty?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That is a tough one.
22	Well, I mean, based on what I have seen, it's hard, what I
23	already know.
24	THE COURT: Now, you told us in your
25	questionnaire some information. Now, did you glean some of
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	your information from sources outside of the press?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. Just hearsay, but
3	not just from other officers that I know. Rumor stuff.
4	Who knows if it is true or not?
5	THE COURT: But you have heard things outside
6	of what you have seen in the newspaper or heard on
7	television?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
9	THE COURT: And is that affecting your opinion
10	at this stage in the proceedings, what you have heard from
11	the police officers?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I would like to
13	think it's not, but I mean, I'm sure it would affect a
14	little bit. You know, I would try to be impartial. That's
15	what I'm trying to say.
16	THE COURT: If you sat on a jury and you looked
17	at the evidence and the State had not proven beyond a
18	reasonable doubt that this particular defendant committed
19	the offense he's charged with, would you be able to vote not
20	guilty?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's a tough one. I
22	would like to think based on the evidence that I could do
23	that. That I would go with if the evidence didn't show
24	that, that I would have to go with not guilty.
25	THE COURT: Would you be able to do that?
	SIERRA NEVADA DEBODTEDS (775) 320_6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would think so.
2	THE COURT: I think she's there, Mr. Bosler. I
3	know she's having some difficulty, but at this time, I'm not
4	going to excuse her. But that's not to say that later we
5	don't still have a problem and you can request it before we
6	finalize the jury panel.
7	MR. BOSLER: I just note an objection for the
8	record, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: If you think it's still
10	objectionable when we get down to finishing my questions and
11	yours, please notify me that you renew the objection.
12	MR. BOSLER: Yes, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: We need to call another juror.
14	Call another name to replace Miss Caramella.
15	THE CLERK: Nettie Horner.
16	THE COURT: While Miss Horner is looking at the
17	witness list, I'm going to find out about other people who
18	are related to or acquainted with peace officers. Anyone
1 9	else in that back row?
20	Second row. Yes, Mr. Ralston.
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have two friends, one
22	life-long friend that's chief of police in Ephrata,
23	Washington, and fishing buddy that is a highway patrolman in
24	California.
25	THE COURT: Anything about those associations
	STERRA NEWADA DEPONDEDS (775) 329-6560

1	with peace officers that would cause you difficulty serving
2	in this case?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Would you be able to set aside any
5	biases one way or another and decide the case based solely
6	on the evidence?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Would you feel you had to justify
9	any particular result to your friends?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: Miss Ashley?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. I work at Lake's
13	Crossing Center, and the forensics that I work with have
14	peace officer status.
15	THE COURT: Anything about your employment that
16	would cause you difficulty serving in this case?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think I can be
18	impartial in the first segment. It's the second segment
19	that causes me difficulty about if they would try to do the
20	death penalty thing. Is this appropriate for me to talk
21	about right now?
22	THE COURT: I'm going to allow counsel to
23	inquire a little further into that. But at this stage, you
24	think you could be fair and impartial?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: Mr. Sheahan, did you raise your
2	hand?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I did. I'm related
4	to or my ex-wife's brother is a highway patrolman in
5	California, in Fresno, and my ex-wife's oldest sister's son
6	is a Sparks policeman.
7	THE COURT: Now, is there anything about your
8	in-law familial relationships that would cause you
. 9	difficulty serving in this case?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: Do you feel any biases one way or
12	another?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14	THE COURT: Do you stay in touch with these
15	people?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I do.
17	THE COURT: Would you feel you had to justify
18	any particular result to them?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
20	THE COURT: I know there's more in that row. I
21	just couldn't tell how far along I went. Miss Sharkey?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My son-in-law is a guard
23	at the Nevada State Prison.
24	THE COURT: Anything about that association of
25	law enforcement that would cause you difficulty?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably not.
2	THE COURT: Do you feel any bias one way or
3	another based upon the nature of this case?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. But that's my own.
5	THE COURT: You do have some biases?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
7	THE COURT: Do you feel that's based upon the
8	fact that the deceased was a law enforcement officer?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. And how he was
10	murdered.
11	THE COURT: So you are coming in with some
12	strong feelings?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Counsel may inquire.
15	MR. STANTON: Miss Sharkey, the feelings that
16	you have coming in, you may not be the only one on this
17	panel or this room. The question that we collectively want
18	to inquire to is: Can you put aside those feelings and
19	listen to only what happens in this courtroom?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
21	MR. STANTON: Is there any particular reason
22	why you couldn't do that?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Because it was just a
24	brutal murder, and I just don't go along with it. Sorry.
25	MR. STANTON: Well, I hope no one in this room
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	would go along with a brutal murder. The question is: If
2	you sat in here as a juror, could you put aside your
3	feelings and listen to what happens in this courtroom and
4	not take your feelings or let your feelings overcome your
5	role as a juror?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	MR. STANTON: You don't think you can?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think I could.
9	Sorry.
10	MR. STANTON: Nothing further.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Bosler?
12	MR. BOSLER: No questions, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Anyone have any challenges?
14	MR. BOSLER: For bias, Your Honor.
15	MR. STANTON: Court's indulgence one moment.
16	THE COURT: Yes.
17	MR. STANTON: No objection.
18	THE COURT: Miss Sharkey, I'm going to go ahead
19	and excuse you at this time. You must report to the Jury
20	Commissioner for reassignment.
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.
22	THE COURT: The clerk will call the name of
23	another prospective juror.
24	THE CLERK: William R. Meyers.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Meyers, go ahead and have a
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

- 1	
1	seat and review the witness list, please. We'll continue
2	with my question, which was knowledge of law enforcement or
3	related to law enforcement officers. And I think I'm at
4	Miss Bell.
5	Was there any additional did you already
6	tell us?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. My boss's husband is
8	a Sparks police officer, and my ex-brother-in-law is a
9	police officer in Pleasanton.
10	THE COURT: Now, is there anything about this
11	relationship with peace officers that would cause you
12	difficulty serving in this case?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't believe so, no.
14	THE COURT: Would you feel it necessary to
15	justify any particular result to the peace officers that you
16	know?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18	THE COURT: Do you feel any bias one way or
19	another with regard to peace officers?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would hope not.
21	THE COURT: Will you be able to evaluate the
22	testimony as it's presented here in the courtroom and not
23	based on other criteria?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I believe so.
25	THE COURT: Now, anyone else in that row?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	67
1	Yes, Mr. Grider?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My father is a deputy
3	reserve and a commander in the Civil Air Patrol Search and
4	Rescue. My ex-sister-in-law is a Washoe County Sheriff.
5	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
6	relationship with your father and his activities of the
7	search and rescue that would cause you difficulty serving in
8	this case?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
10	THE COURT: What about your ex-sister-in-law?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: Do you feel you would have to
13	justify any particular verdict to these individuals?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15	THE COURT: Do you have any problem sitting in
16	this case on that basis?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18	THE COURT: Did you, Mr. Jones, start to raise
19	your hand?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. My next door
21	neighbor recently moved in, and he's a deputy with Washoe
22	County. I know his father's name. I don't know his last
23	name.
24	The lady next to me reminded me, when I was in
25	high school, I worked for the Pleasanton Police Department
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	washing cars and taking people's fingerprints.
2	THE COURT: Now, since that was a while ago
3	that you were in high school - I don't want to comment - but
4	do you feel that you are still connected to what happened
5	when you were working with the Pleasanton Police Department?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Are you able to set aside your
8	prior experiences and decide this case fairly and
9	impartially?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Do you feel any bias one way or
12	another with regard to peace officers?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14	THE COURT: Let's move into the third row.
15	Yes, we have Mr. Meyers.
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Father was INS border
17	patrol, brother-in-law, INS border patrol, sister,
18	investigator in Calavaras County.
19	THE COURT: Anything about your family's
20	relationship to law enforcement that would cause you
21	difficulty serving in this case?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I feel a definite bias,
23	yes, with regard to peace officers and with regard to rules
24	of evidence.
25	MR. BOSLER: I didn't catch the last part.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: Rules of evidence.
2	Mr. Meyers, I'm going to get back to you. I'm
3	not quite ready. I have lots of questions for you.
4	Let's move into the third row. Yes, Miss
5	O'Keefe?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My best friend is a
7	police officer with the City of Sparks.
8	THE COURT: And the name?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Andrea Rayner.
10	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
11	relationship that would cause you difficulty serving in this
12	case?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
14	THE COURT: And what is that?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I feel very strongly
16	because she is a police officer, I know how hard she works,
17	and I feel a bias against the defendant.
18	THE COURT: Counsel?
19	MR. STANTON: Is it Miss O'Keefe?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
21	MR. STANTON: Miss O'Keefe, there is a
22	distinction at least at this juncture in the proceedings
23	between people's feelings, impressions and opinions that
24	they might have regarding the case. I think everybody
25	probably would agree that murder is wrong, vicious murder is
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

wrong, killing a police officer is wrong. You may have those feelings coming in.

Can you put those aside and do your role as a juror and listen fairly to the facts and evidence in the case and decide at least one initial issue, and that is whether or not that man is guilty or not? Can you do that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

MR. STANTON: You think your feelings are so strong that it would prevent you from listening to the evidence fairly?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

MR. STANTON: I'm sorry?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can listen to the evidence fairly, but I'm probably still going to carry bias.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Well, and that's to some extent fine if you have a bias that you like police officers or know that they do good work.

Obviously, this case, the State alleges that Mr. Vanisi killed a police officer. You might have a bias against him. But there is a very big and important distinction at this juncture, and that is: He hasn't been convicted of anything. He is an innocent man.

The role of the jury is to listen to that evidence and hold the State to its burden of evidence, burden of proof. Can you do that?

	71
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2	MR. STANTON: So you would listen to this case,
3	and you have strong feelings, let's say, about police
4	officers, and you listen to the case and you say, Well,
5	Mr. Gammick, Mr. Stanton, you just didn't do your job, we
6	don't feel you presented enough evidence. Could you find
7	not guilty if we, the prosecution, didn't do its job in this
8	case?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
10	MR. STANTON: Thank you. Nothing further.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Bosler?
12	MR. BOSLER: Miss O'Keefe, you put in your
13	questionnaire that you had a friend who was in training to
14	be a peace officer.
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct.
16	MR. BOSLER: So the person has graduated, I
17	suppose?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She's currently in
19	training.
20	MR. BOSLER: So do you have two
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, she is at the police
22	academy right now. It's the same person.
23	MR. BOSLER: And how close is this friend?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Very close.
25	MR. BOSLER: How long have you known her?
Į.	

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Ten years.
2	MR. BOSLER: So you knew her when she first
3	applied to be a police officer?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	MR. BOSLER: Do you support her desire to
6	become a law enforcement officer?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 100 percent.
8	MR. BOSLER: This is something you have talked
9	about for a long time?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11	MR. BOSLER: And again, I can only ask you to
12	be honest. This may not be the case, the person who needs
13	to serve as a juror. Knowing all that information, the
14	Court is going to instruct you on reasonable doubt, the
15	Court is going to instruct you on your duty as a juror.
16	Taking all those things into consideration and your personal
17	thoughts and your relation with this close friend, do you
18	still feel that you can't be fair if you consider all those
19	circumstances?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't think I can
21	be fair.
22	MR. BOSLER: I appreciate your honesty. Thank
23	you.
24	We'd ask the Court to allow her to serve on
25	another jury and make a challenge for cause.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

MR. STANTON: The State would traverse that, Your Honor, for the reasons I believe she stated to the State's questions. I believe what we're encountering here with the last couple of jurors is strongly held opinions and feelings. But the operative word at this juncture for challenge for cause is can they abide by the oath and listen to the facts and evidence, and I believe she answered affirmatively to that question.

THE COURT: Miss O'Keefe, why do you say you won't be fair if you are going to listen to the evidence?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I could probably be fair to a certain degree. I don't know whether that makes sense or not. But I mean, I have heard other things at this case that maybe haven't been in the media. So I obviously already have a preconceived idea of how I would vote in the case.

THE COURT: One of the things that I will be instructing everyone on is that you can't decide this case about anything that you read in the newspaper or anywhere else or anybody told you. The jury in this case has to decide the case based on the evidence that is presented here in the courtroom and no other basis.

And that's what is required of a jury. fact that evidence that's presented here in the courtroom isn't sufficient to convince the jury, jurors individually,

	, , ,
1	that this particular defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable
2	doubt, then the result must be a not guilty verdict. And
3	are you capable of doing that?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: For this time, the challenge for
6	cause is denied. You have a right to renew it later before
7	we empanel the jury.
8	Anyone else? Miss Kruse?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Two of my girlfriends are
10	dating policemen.
11	THE COURT: Anything about their relationship
12	with police officers that would cause you a problem?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14	THE COURT: Anyone else in that row?
15	Yes, Mr. Buck?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My employment. I'm an
17	investigator for the State Board of Nursing. I contact
18	detectives and do simultaneous investigations with various
19	departments throughout this state.
20	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
21	contact with police officers that would cause you difficulty
22	serving in this case?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
24	THE COURT: Do you hold any bias or prejudice
25	one way or another?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	, ,
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Anyone else in that row?
3	Yes, Miss Scolari?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. My brother-in-law
5	is a lieutenant on the Reno Police Department.
6	THE COURT: And what is his name?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Roger Call.
8	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
9	relationship with your brother-in-law that would cause you
10	difficulty serving in this case?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so.
12	THE COURT: Do you have any bias one way or
13	another with regard to peace officers?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15	THE COURT: I think we're at the fourth row
16	now. Okay.
17	Yes, Mr. Tower?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: One of my co-workers, her
19	husband is on Reno PD.
20	THE COURT: How well do you know this person?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have seen them twice.
22	THE COURT: Anything about that
23	acquaintanceship that would cause you difficulty serving in
24	this case?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I have another. I
Į.	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

4	,
1	coach a soccer team, and one of the boys, his father is on
2	the Sheriff's Department.
3	THE COURT: Anything about that relationship
4	that would cause you difficulty?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
6	THE COURT: Would you feel you had to justify
7	any particular result to those acquaintances?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
9	THE COURT: Do you harbor any bias for or
10	against peace officers in general?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: Anyone else in that row?
13	Yes, Miss Hullin?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My brother-in-law is an
15	officer with the Reno Police Department. My
16	ex-brother-in-law is an officer with the Sparks Police
17	Department, and my husband and I are personal friends of the
18	Sparks Chief of Police. And then, one of my best friends is
19	with the Nevada Highway Patrol.
20	THE COURT: Now, anything about the
21	relationship that you have with all these law enforcement
22	officers and the in-law relationship with some of them that
23	would cause you difficulty serving in this case?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I think so.
25	THE COURT: And what is the nature of that
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

difficulty?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I just have a real bias for police officers and have already a preconceived opinion on the case.

THE COURT: Mr. Stanton?

MR. STANTON: Thank you. Is it Miss Hullin?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Miss Hullin, have you heard my questions to other jurors?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I have.

MR. STANTON: One of the things, for example, if you have a preconceived notion in favor of police officers, and indeed in this case, is to determine whether or not Mr. Vanisi is guilty or not guilty of the crime in order to ensure that indeed the right person is held responsible for the acts the State alleges.

Would you agree with me that it is important that indeed we don't convict people by public opinion or by facts and evidence in the newspapers and television?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely.

MR. STANTON: And if your role as a juror is to listen to the facts in this case, and then apply the facts and the law as the judge instructs it, do you think you could do that in this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I do.

1	MR. STANTON: And relative to the penalty phase
2	in this case, do you think you could properly assess the
3	facts and the law to determine the appropriate punishment in
4	this case?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
6	MR. STANTON: Thank you. Nothing further.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Bosler?
8	MR. BOSLER: Miss Hullin, does it feel
9	uncomfortable for people to say that you are biased?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, it doesn't.
11	MR. BOSLER: If someone called you prejudiced,
12	would that make you feel uncomfortable?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14	MR. BOSLER: How often have you had an argument
15	with a judge?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: With a judge?
17	MR. BOSLER: Yes.
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Never had arguments with
19	a judge.
20	MR. BOSLER: I'm a little bit confused because
21	I got the sense when you first addressed the Court that you
22	thought that you would have a problem with this type of
23	case, and as the District Attorney stood up and appealed to
24	your fairness, you went the other direction.
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I would like to
į	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

think I would be fair. However, I think just with all of 1 2 the details that I have read, and obviously, with all of the 3 police officers that I do know, I have heard a lot of the details of the case and have already formed a very, very 4 5 strong opinion. 6 MR. BOSLER: And based upon that strong 7 opinion, do you feel that you are an unfair juror in this 8 case? 9 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I honestly do. I feel I 10 would be very unfair. 11 MR. BOSLER: I mean, I could stand here and 12 appeal that you are going to take an oath and you are not a dishonest person and not disobey that oath. Knowing that 13 14 you take an oath and be instructed, and your feelings today, 15 do you still feel that you couldn't be fair, even if the Court instructed you how you are supposed to conduct 16 17 yourself as a juror? 18 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do. I feel I would not 19 be fair. 20 MR. BOSLER: No further questions, Your Honor. 21 MR. STANTON: One follow-up question. 22 THE COURT: Certainly. 23 MR. STANTON: Miss Hullin, I want to see if I 24 can use a visual aid here to see where you stand on this. 25 You have two trains heading down a train track, two trains. SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

One is your strongly held belief and bias towards police officers and your feelings and opinion in this case. The other is your oath and how you as a person take that oath as a juror to listen to the facts in this case, to put aside what you know. Those things, two things collide. Which one is going to win?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You know what, I don't know. I don't know. I'm human. And I'm fighting the same thing inside of myself. I know a lot of the facts of the case, and I put myself in the position of the juror, and can I make a fair opinion, and you know, I can say I can try and be fair. But which side is going to win? I don't know. I don't know.

MR. STANTON: You indicate that you know facts of this case outside of what's been in the television and the newspapers; is that correct? Without telling me what it is, is that correct?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's correct.

MR. STANTON: The facts in this case, and I know we as attorneys in the courtroom use those terms with a little bit more precision, but the only facts in this case is what happens in this courtroom over the next several weeks. Knowing that, can you put what you know and what you have heard aside and solely base your decision on what you hear in the courtroom and nothing else?

1 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You know, I really don't 2 think I could. 3 MR. STANTON: No further questions. 4 MR. BOSLER: And I don't want to call her 5 biased, but we would submit the challenge and ask the Court 6 to allow her to a serve on a different jury. 7 THE COURT: The fact that she's heard evidence 8 or I think she's heard stuff from somewhere else that will 9 not and cannot be removed from her memory of something other 10 than what is open and the fact that she's heard it from law enforcement, that is a concern to me. For that reason, I'll 11 12 grant your request. It is something more than the press 13 coverage. 14 Miss Hullin, you are excused. The clerk will 15 call another juror. We're going to go a few more minutes. 16 Everyone hold on with me. 17 THE CLERK: Maria L. Knight. 18 THE COURT: I'm going to try to get through 19 this one question before we go to lunch. So that's why I'm 20 going to keep going for a little bit. 21 Miss Knight, go ahead and review the witness 22 list, and I'm just going to keep on going with the other 23 jurors. I'll get back to you. We were in the fourth row. 24 Was there anyone else in that row who wanted to tell me 25 about your law enforcement connections?

1	Okay. And in the front row. Anyone acquainted
2	with yes, Miss Beers-Diaz?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Detective Ribucket and
4	Deputy Sheriff John Macias.
5	THE COURT: Anything about your relationship
6	with those officers that would cause you difficulty serving
7	in this case?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, not from the
9	association with them, no.
10	THE COURT: With any law enforcement?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, not law enforcement.
12	THE COURT: Do you harbor any bias or prejudice
13	one way or another about law enforcement?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15	THE COURT: And will you be able to hear the
16	evidence as it is presented?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
18	THE COURT: Miss Frazer, you raised your hand.
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I know Ken Bunker
20	and Bill Gallagher, Kim Bradshaw. I work with Kelly
21	Bradshaw, not in the same office, but that is her sister,
22	and she is the daughter of Chief Bradshaw.
23	And last summer I took a course that the police
24	department offers. Ten weeks, you go one night a week, and
25	every week they have a different division, like gang, drugs,
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	that type of thing. And I took that course at the police
2	department.
3	THE COURT: Now, is there anything about your
4	association with the police officers and your relationship
5	with them that would cause you difficulty serving in this
6	case?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
8	THE COURT: And do you harbor any bias,
9	strongly held bias one way or another?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: And would you feel you had to
12	justify any particular result to peace officers?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14	THE COURT: Now, we're going to go back to
15	anyone else?
16	Miss Horner, you have had a chance to read the
17	witness list. Are you acquainted with or related to anyone
18	on that list?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am.
20	THE COURT: And the questions that I have been
21	asking of everyone this morning, have you been able to hear
22	them?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
24	THE COURT: And would you have answered
_. 25	affirmatively to any of those questions?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: (Shakes head negatively.)
2	THE COURT: You don't know any peace officers?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am.
4	THE COURT: And, Mr. Meyers, your question with
5	regard to peace officers you filled us in. Now, did you
6	tell me that you would be able to set aside any familial
7	relationship with peace officers and decide this case based
8	on the evidence?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd have difficulty with
10	that, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: You would have difficulty?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	THE COURT: And, Miss Knight, have you had a
14	chance to read the witness list?
1 5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Are you acquainted with anyone on
17	the list?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
19	THE COURT: Are you related to anyone on the
20	list?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: And have you been able to hear my
23	questions thus far?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Are there any questions you would
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	want to respond to thus far?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
3	THE COURT: And, Mr. Meyers, are you acquainted
4	with or related to anyone on the witness list?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Stanton, you may inquire of
7	Mr. Meyers with regard to his question on law enforcement.
8	MR. STANTON: Thank you. Mr. Meyers, can you
9	tell me a little bit more detail why it is that you don't
10	think you can be fair and impartial?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir. I served on a
12	jury about 20 years ago. I was one of two people in the
13	jury who voted guilty. The defendant was released on drunk
14	driving charge, and he killed somebody the next week.
15	I was firmly convinced he was guilty because of
16	the evidence, followed by a number of travesties, and I
17	can't go along with it. It's been followed by a number of
18	travesties in the justice system that have completely
19	undermined any faith I have in the justice system.
20	MR. STANTON: In this case, and I'm sure as
21	your prior experience has indicated
22 .	THE COURT: Mr. Stanton, I'm sorry to interrupt
23	you, but could you come over here so he is looking this way
24	for the court reporter?
25	MR. STANTON: Certainly, Your Honor.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. STANTON: As your prior experience dictated, there are rules of evidence that prohibit the introduction of evidence in a trial for any number of reasons. When you sat as a juror, you took an oath in this case to follow the rules and the law. Is it because of that experience you don't think you could do that in this case, and that is follow your directives as a juror?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think it's because of that experience, and it's because of a number of experiences subsequent to that with my father and my brother-in-law, my sister who have experienced similar instances in the justice system, and I think that coupled with a long discussion with one deputy chief shortly after Mr. Vanisi fled the state leads me to believe that I couldn't be fair and impartial.

MR. STANTON: I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Bosler?

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I just appreciate
Mr. Meyers' honesty. We submit a challenge for bias and ask
the Court to allow him to serve on a separate jury.

MR. STANTON: No objection.

THE COURT: Mr. Meyers, you are excused.

Miss Beers-Diaz, there is something bothering you. I can tell you have a problem sitting on this jury, and I haven't asked the right question yet. But rather than

1	have you sit, why don't you tell me what the problem is.
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just everything, being
3	here.
4	THE COURT: Just being here? Okay. Well,
5	you're not alone. You happen to be sitting in the front, so
6	you can't see. Nobody is comfortable.
7	You don't have any specific issue you need to
8	raise with me at this time, we'll keep going with the
9	questioning. Is that all right?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
11	THE COURT: We'll call the name of another
12	prospective juror. Just so we can fill out our 36 before we
13	go to lunch.
14	THE CLERK: Mary E. Callahan.
15	THE COURT: Go ahead and read the list, Miss
16	Callahan, of potential witnesses.
17	MR. STANTON: Your Honor, while this juror is
18	reviewing the witness list, I believe the Court is about
19	ready to recess for the noon hour. Could I ask the Court to
20	advise the potential jurors about the juror badge and also
21 ·	interaction with counsel?
22	THE COURT: Yes. I'll tell them everything.
23	Miss Callahan, are you through reading the
24	list?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: Are you acquainted with anyone or
2	related to anyone on the list?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. John Oakes, but only
4	because I'm a legal secretary and have done cases. I
5	haven't dated him, though.
6	THE COURT: I don't know if Mr. Oakes is going
7	to want to testify. Is there anything about your being a
8	legal secretary that would cause you difficulty evaluating
9	his testimony?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not at all.
11	THE COURT: Now, the rest of my questions, have
12	you been able to hear my questions?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Would you affirmatively respond to
1 5	any of those questions?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
17	THE COURT: You are not acquainted with any law
18	enforcement officers?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
20	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we are going
21	to go to lunch at this time. Now, I'm going to give you
22	until a quarter to 2:00, but I would ask you to try to be
23	back at 1:30 because it is going to take us about 20 minutes
24	to get you all seated and ready before we can go back into
25	what we're doing. If you don't get your lunch any quicker
	STERRA NEWADA DEDODERDS (775) 200_6560

than that, be sure you are here no later than a quarter to 2:00.

Now, you have a juror badge on your clothing. You must wear that juror badge with you. Wear it at all times when you are going and coming to the courthouse.

There is all sorts of potential witnesses in the courthouse, and officers and people running around, the attorneys. You can't have any contact with anyone that you know now is associated with the case. Do not engage in any conversation with anyone about the case.

Now, those of you seated in the jury box, when we come back from lunch, you are going to find your exact seat. When you leave here today, though, please give your witness lists to the bailiff as you file out. And you must also wear your juror badge at all times.

Now, ladies and gentlemen of the jury panel, those of you seated outside the jury box, as well as those of you in the jury box, we will not be able to start again until you are all back here, seated, ready to go and the clerk calls the roll. I want to make that as quick as possible so we can move through the jury process.

Please accommodate us by getting back on time. Take your seat when you come back where you are seated now and be ready to start.

You may have to wait outside the courtroom

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

until the bailiff opens up the doors. Find a chair outside the courtroom in the waiting area right outside this courtroom, or the next courtroom down. You can go ahead and sit in that waiting area and we'll go find you.

Mr. Anderson and the bailiffs will be working with you, and they will help try to find you, but stay on this floor. Don't go wandering off. Go down out of the building, come back to this floor and be seated on this floor. I don't want you going anywhere else. Otherwise we won't be able to find you.

Now, during this recess, you may not discuss this case among yourselves or with anyone else. That means you can't talk about anything about this case, about what the lawyers are wearing, what I have said, what somebody else has said. No discussion, period.

It is your further duty not to form or express any opinion regarding the guilt or innocence of the defendant until this case has been finally submitted to you. That's not now. You are not to read, look at, listen to any news media accounts regarding this case should there be any.

Do not read the newspapers and do not listen to radio news or TV news.

Should any person attempt to influence you in any way, or attempt to discuss this case with you, report it immediately to the bailiffs upon your return. They will

1 rep

report it to me.

So do not disobey any of these admonitions.

Remember that while you're out and about, you may run into one of the attorneys, and they will ignore you. They will not say good morning, they will not say good afternoon, they won't nod, they will walk on by. It is not because they want to be rude to you. It is because they cannot have any contact whatsoever with you.

If you get on the elevator and the attorneys are on the elevator, get off. Do not put yourself in that position.

And there is a good reason besides the fact they are attorneys. Remember this admonition. It is essential that you follow it.

You may go now to lunch. I will see you — wait, wait, wait. There are too many of you. You follow exactly what I say. Remember, a quarter to 2:00, wait outside the courtroom until the bailiff has opened up the doors. Now you may go.

Court is in recess.

(Recess at 12:44 p.m.)

1	RENO, NEVADA, MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 1999, 1:50 P.M.
2	-000-
3	
4	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held
5	in open court, in the presence of the jury panel.)
6	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury
7	panel, we will call the roll again. Please answer here or
8	present when your name is called.
9	(Whereupon, the roll of the jury panel was
10	taken with everyone present except Sue A.
11	Gilmore.)
12	THE COURT: Counsel, we do not have Sue
13	Gilmore. Is anyone acquainted with Sue Gilmore?
14	THE CLERK: I have a note, Sue Gilmore is on
15	her way, almost here.
16	THE COURT: Counsel approach, please.
17	(Whereupon, a bench conference was held among Court and counsel as follows:)
18	Court and counsel as lottows.)
19	THE COURT: Sue Gilmore has called the Jury
20	Commissioner. She went home. She's not here yet. She's on
21	her way back. Do you want to proceed without her being
22	present? We'd have to re-question her on everything we go
23	over when she gets here.
24	MR. BOSLER: I don't know if she got called, if
25	she had some kind of problem.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

li li	
1	THE COURT: Exactly.
2	MR. BOSLER: She is a professor at UNR.
3	THE COURT: I don't know what the State's
4	position is.
5	MR. STANTON: I say we proceed without her.
6	THE COURT: Do you stipulate to that?
7	MR. GREGORY: We'll submit it.
. 8	THE COURT: You have to stipulate or we don't
9	go on, guys. You can't submit to me. I have to know if you
10	have a problem with it. If you have got a problem with it,
11	we'll sit and wait for her. If you don't have a problem
12	with it, we'll go on.
13	MR. STANTON: Could we tell the jury if we
14	wait, it is because they won't stipulate to it?
15	THE COURT: No, we can't.
16	MR. GREGORY: Thank you, David.
17	MR. BOSLER: I think could we wait like 10
18	minutes or something and make a decision?
19	THE COURT: She is in the parking garage. Do
20	you want to wait for her?
21	MR. BOSLER: I think that is the best thing.
22	THE COURT: Wait, wait. I have one more for
23	you. Mr. Ray, the cab driver, who I've forgotten, got here
24	in time. He has gone down to the Jury Commissioner and he
25	is very unhappy. It is all economic, but I want to put
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

this -- let you know that he is complaining to the Jury Commissioner and the bailiff, and it's an economic issue, not one that I would normally let automatically off. But if he is going to be extremely vocal, you all might want to stipulate to it.

I don't want to give the jury any more ideas about how to get off jury duty. So if you are going to stipulate to it, I'd rather you did it now and I could do it and the jury wouldn't know why he is getting off. If you are not going to stipulate to it, that's fine.

MR. STANTON: Okay. We'll stipulate to it.

MR. BOSLER: So stipulated.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury panel.)

THE COURT: Counsel?

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, we'll offer a stipulation to Mr. Ray. Because there is a missing juror, rather than waiting, having to start everything over again, we would ask the Court to wait a couple minutes for the absent juror to arrive.

THE COURT: Mr. Ray, Rick Ray, I understand you have had communication with the Jury Commissioner?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: I represented what you told the Jury Commissioner and the bailiff to counsel, and they are

1	stipulating to you that you be excused at this time. Go	
2	ahead and go down to the Jury Commissioner's office and	
3	report to her.	
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. Thank you very	
5	much.	
6	THE COURT: You are welcome.	
7	Ladies and gentlemen of the jury panel, we are	
8	missing Miss Gilmore. I received word she's parking a car a	
9	few minutes ago. We are waiting for Miss Gilmore.	
10	Now, normally I'd recess and we'd wait and you	
11	all could stretch, but there are so many of you, and I hate	
12	to have you all just sit here staring at each other, but I	
13	ask that you bear with us and let's do it that way rather	
14	than worry about the logistics of moving all of you in and	
15	out. Just bear with us.	
16	Please do not talk, but you may sit and wait,	
17	read if you have something.	
18	Call the roll, please.	
19	THE CLERK: Sue A. Gilmore.	
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here.	
21	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we will	
22	proceed.	
23	Everyone still have your pieces of paper and	
24	pens ready? Those of you sitting in the audience, I want	
25	you to keep track of those questions that you would respond	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury panel, is any -- if any law enforcement officer were to testify in this case, would any of you tend to give any more or less weight to that testimony simply because the person testifying is a law enforcement officer? I see a lot -- does anyone say yes? Do you say yes, you would give it more credibility, raise your hand.

Miss James, you are answering affirmatively?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: And Miss Grate?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: And Mr. Ralston. Anyone else?

Miss Whitmore.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And me.

THE COURT: And Miss Patch. Thank you.

In your jury questionnaires previously, you were asked about the amount of publicity, pretrial publicity that you might have read, seen or heard through the news media. Has anyone heard any additional news media coverage regarding this case since the time of filling out the questionnaire?

Miss Ziler?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They were talking about it last week when I got home.

SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

(,,0, 02)

1	THE COURT: At home, your family was talking?	
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, on the news.	
3	THE COURT: Did you turn it off or what did you	
4	do?	
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, I changed the	
6	channel.	
7	THE COURT: Do you remember the content? Don't	
8	tell me what you heard.	
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: As far as I got was they	
10	were	
11	THE COURT: Don't tell me what you heard, just	
12	in case someone else didn't hear it. You did hear something	
13	on the news?	
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
15	THE COURT: It was different than what you had	
16	heard previously?	
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was pertaining to the	
18	case but not particulars of the case.	
19	THE COURT: Okay. So there wasn't anything new	
20	about the particulars of the case that you heard?	
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Because I changed	
22	the channel.	
23	THE COURT: Thank you. Now, someone else in	
24	the back row? Yes, Mr. Mullins.	
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, ma'am. I think I	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

1	heard the same report on Channel 8, and we switched it.	
2	THE COURT: Right away?	
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right away.	
4	THE COURT: Anyone else?	
5	Okay. Yes, Miss Bell.	
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Same thing, Channel 8.	
7	THE COURT: And did you listen to the entire	
8	report or did you change the channel?	
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I changed the channel.	
10	THE COURT: Anyone else? I think there are	
11	some more hands. Yes, Miss Whitmore?	
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, it was on the news	
13	last night. Not last night, I'm sorry. It was the one	
14	the day the accident, one happened up on Keystone, and I was	
15	watching that, and that came on right after that, and we	
16	changed the channel.	
17	THE COURT: Was there anything that you learned	
18	about the case that you hadn't you thought you learned	
19	about it from the news, new from when you filled out your	
20	questionnaire?	
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
22	THE COURT: And was it facts that you thought	
23	you heard?	
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know how to	
25	answer that without saying what I heard.	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

	99	
1	THE COURT: Okay. We'll get back to you on	
2	what you heard.	
3	Anyone else? Yes.	
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just saw a glimpse of	
5	it in the paper that they were picking the jury selection	
6	for it, and that's all I saw.	
7	THE COURT: You didn't read any further?	
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: (Shakes head negatively.)	
9	THE COURT: Was that today?	
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I think it was last	
11	week.	
12	THE COURT: Anyone else? Okay. Does anyone	
13	currently seated in the jury box know anything of your own	
14	personal knowledge about the facts of this case? I'm not	
15	talking about what you have already told us that you heard	
16	on the news media. I'm talking about something you might	
17	have learned because you personally knew something about the	
18	facts.	
19	Yes, Miss Tower. Or I'm sorry, Miss O'Keefe.	
20	You had told us that you thought you heard from police	
21	officers information.	
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct.	
23	THE COURT: And so is that what you are talking	
24	about?	
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

1	THE COURT: But is it anything that you	
2	investigated or you know of your own knowledge?	
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.	
4	THE COURT: Now, did someone else raise their	
5	hands? I thought I saw another hand go up. No one else?	
6	Now, Miss O'Keefe, on your questionnaire, was	
7	the information that you put on the questionnaire basically	
8	the information that you had?	
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, pretty much.	
10	THE COURT: So even though we were kind of	
11	thinking on the questionnaire that most people were going to	
12	tell us things they heard on the news, you were filling us	
13	in about your knowledge of the case?	
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.	
15	THE COURT: Anyone else?	
16	Has any juror thus far formed or expressed any	
17	opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant as he	
18	is connected to this case?	
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What do you mean by	
20	expressed?	
21	THE COURT: Have you told anybody? Have you	
22	sat around and said, you know, you really have a strong	
23	opinion and this is what my opinion is? Speaking out loud.	
24	Miss Kelley.	
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Every well, I don't	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

1	know if I'm answering your question right, but everybody who	
2	saw me go to jury duty last Monday asked me, you know, is	
3	this to do with the case and had an opinion and they would	
4	talk amongst it, and it was an unavoidable. It's all the	
5	work people, and my family and everybody else. So no matter	
6	what I can say, they are all going to be shooting things	
7	because even if it was not about that, they would still have	
8	opinions. Does that make sense?	
9	THE COURT: So after they found out you might	
10	serve on this case, people had were telling you what they	
11	thought?	
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. I'm like I	
13	cleared up their I told them, yes, and then they started	
14	talking, and there's nothing I can do. I can't tell them	
15	all to shut up. I can't.	
16	So I am just letting you know, people talked	
17	about it.	
18	THE COURT: So people did talk about it?	
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Very much so.	
20	THE COURT: Did you talk back to them about it?	
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have an opinion, yeah,	
22	I did. I did.	
23	THE COURT: Who else raised their hand? Yes.	
24	Miss Bell.	
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Pretty much the same	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

	102	
1	thing as what she said. When they found out that I was on	
2	it, they expressed their opinions, and it went in one ear	
3	and out the other, but I had my opinion and I expressed it.	
4	THE COURT: Anyone else? Yes, Miss Whitmore.	
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: When I returned to work	
6	on Tuesday, we had a staff meeting, and it came up, Are you	
7	on the Vanisi case? and I answered, Yes, and people went	
8	around the room once, and I tried not to say anything.	
9	THE COURT: There's been no other discussion	
10	around you?	
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just that.	
12	THE COURT: Did you tell them you couldn't talk	
13	about it?	
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I tried. But I was	
15	crying so hard, this upsets me very much.	
16	THE COURT: So you were upset both at work and	
17	at home over it?	
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
19	THE COURT: And, Miss Hodges, did you say	
20	no, not Miss Hodges; Miss Johnson.	
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, the same thing she	
22	was saying in the very beginning. And I just didn't have	
23	any comment towards it.	
24	THE COURT: You ignored everyone and then they	
25	stopped bugging you about it?	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. They don't
2	influence me.
3	THE COURT: They did not influence you?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Mine is the same way.
6	People, they would ask me if I'm on that case, and I said,
7	Well, I can't say, but they would still talk about it, and
8	I'd go to another area, then they would talk about it. So
9	it was like they are at work, but I tried to, like, walk
10	away and that kind of thing. But I heard different
11	opinions.
12	THE COURT: Miss Hodges, anyone who talked to
13	you, did they influence you?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15	THE COURT: In the back row, just a second. I
16	have got to get through this.
. 17	Miss Minassian, did you raise your hand?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just a similar situation
19	at work. I didn't offer any information or comment.
20	THE COURT: And were you influenced in any way?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: And yes, Mr. Estes.
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Ayres.
24	THE COURT: Sorry.
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Same here. At work and
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	104	
1	at home.	
2	THE COURT: And did you participate in the	
3	discussions?	
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I basically told my	
5	wife I can't talk about it and told my mother-in-law the	
6	same thing.	
7	THE COURT: Were you influenced by anything	
8	anyone said?	
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I have my own	
10	opinions about it.	
11	THE COURT: Yes.	
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I had a similar thing. I	
13	had to explain to work where I was going.	
14	THE COURT: And then people made comments?	
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.	
16	THE COURT: And did you listen to those	
17	comments or was it sort of	
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, pretty much just left	
19	the area.	
20	THE COURT: And were you influenced by anything	
21	anyone was saying?	
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.	
23	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Carmichael.	
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Again, same thing. I	
25	didn't listen to it, didn't take anybody's opinions. Same	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

thing, I had my own opinion.

THE COURT: And Miss Kruse.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Same thing next day. But I just walked off and said, I don't want to discuss it.

THE COURT: Anyone else?

Mr. Bosler.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I just state, and I don't mean to put Miss Whitmore on the spot, because this is based on the record, the record should reflect she was having some emotional difficulty and maybe was close to tears when she answered the Court's last question. That is all.

THE COURT: That is fine. Anyone else?

Does anyone in the jury box have anything other than a passing interest in the outcome of this case? Anyone feel personally connected to the case in some way? No one is raising their hands.

Does any juror entertain any bias or prejudice for or against the State of Nevada?

At the conclusion of the evidence, I will state to you what the law as it applies to this case. I will instruct you that it is your duty to apply that law to the facts as found by you.

Would any juror be reluctant to apply the general principles of law which will be stated to you by the

1	Court? No one?	
2	Does anyone believe you can yes, ma'am.	
3	Miss Ashley; right?	
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. I just have one	
5	question and that is in regards to that second segment that	
6	I referred to earlier.	
7	THE COURT: Yes.	
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Does that apply? Like a	
9	matter of one's conscience?	
10	THE COURT: The law allows you to consider	
11	anything that you consider mitigation, and that would be	
12	embodied in my instructions to you. Is that what your	
13	question was about?	
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so.	
15	THE COURT: So the question here is, your job	
16	is to find out what the facts are and apply the facts as you	
17	find them to be to the law as I give it to you. Now, does	
18	anyone think you can make up your own version of the law?	
19	That would require an out loud answer.	
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: No.	
21	THE COURT: Does anyone think the jury can	
22	disregard the law in making its decision?	
23	THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: No.	
24	THE COURT: If the Court were to instruct you	
25	at the conclusion of this case that a defendant in a	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

1 criminal action is presumed to be innocent until the 2 contrary is proven beyond a reasonable doubt, and in the 3 case of a reasonable doubt as to whether the defendant's quilt is satisfactorily shown, then they are entitled to be 5 acquitted, would each of you accept and follow this 6 instruction? 7 THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Does anyone disagree with the 9 proposition of the presumption of innocence for everyone 10 charged with a crime? 11 THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: No. 12 THE COURT: Anyone feel you could not afford 13 this defendant a presumption of innocence? 14 THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: No. 15 THE COURT: Does everyone understand what that 16 presumption of innocence is? Does anyone not understand it? 17 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't. I don't 18 understand what you mean, that he's just already innocent. 19 THE COURT: Okay. The law says that every 20 criminal defendant is presumed innocent, and it's the job of 21 the State to prove to you that they are not innocent. It's 22 not the job of a defendant to prove that they are innocent 23 in this country. And that's what Mr. Stanton was talking 24 about earlier about the burden of proof and whether or not 25 they do what they need to do.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	,
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	İ

21

22

23

24

25

Do you understand that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And so the question here is:

Can you afford a criminal defendant, this particular

criminal defendant, the presumption of innocence, hold the

State to their burden of proof? Are you having some

problems with that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess, yes, uh-huh.

THE COURT: These are new words, I know, for people. You don't deal with it all the time, it gets kind of confusing sometimes, and I want to make sure I'm making myself clear. It is certainly all right to ask me.

So we start with the defendant presumed innocent, and then the State's job is to change that and prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt that a defendant is guilty, and they may or may not be successful at that.

Do you understand that process?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Does anyone else have any questions about that process?

Now, the Court will instruct you as to what a reasonable doubt is. A reasonable doubt is one based on reason. It is not mere possible doubt but is such a doubt as would govern or control a person in the more weighty affairs of life.

	100
1	If the minds of the jurors, after the entire
2	comparison and consideration of all the evidence, are in
3	such condition that they can say they feel an abiding
4	conviction of the truth of the charge, there is not a
5	reasonable doubt. Doubt to be reasonable must be actual,
6	not mere possibility or speculation.
7	Would each of you accept and follow that
8	instruction?
9	THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Have any of you served on a jury
11	before, criminal or civil jury?
12	Would you put those hands up again? Got quite
13	a few prior service here. And grand jury. Anybody?
14	Now, what I'm going to ask you all, all of you
15	who served on a jury before, I'm going to ask you a series
16	of questions. We'll start with Miss James.
17	Can you tell me what kind of a case you served
18	on?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A federal.
20	THE COURT: A federal criminal or civil?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Civil.
22	THE COURT: How long ago?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Five years, I believe.
24	THE COURT: Now, without telling me the result,
25	were you able to reach a verdict?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

]
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Is there anything about your prior
3	service that would cause you difficulty serving again?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: Miss Kersbergen, what kind of a
6	case?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was molestation of a
8	minor.
9	THE COURT: In state court?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In one of these
11	courtrooms.
12	THE COURT: You were in state court. And it
13	was here in Washoe County?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15	THE COURT: How long ago?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About five years ago.
17	THE COURT: Without telling me the result, were
18	you able to reach a verdict?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
20	THE COURT: Was there anything about your
21	service that would cause you difficulty serving again?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: In the back row, were there any
24	other hands?
25	The second row, I had a couple of hands.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	111
1	Yes, Mr. Ralston.
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was a felony child
3	abuse case, and I think wrongful death is how something
4	like that, on the death of a child.
5	THE COURT: Here in Washoe County?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was in San Joaquin
7	County, California.
8	THE COURT: How long ago?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: '92.
10	THE COURT: Without telling me the result, were
11	you able to reach a verdict?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Miss Ashley?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was a civil suit in
15	California, Santa Clara County.
16	THE COURT: How long ago?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About ten years ago.
18	THE COURT: Without telling me the result, were
19	you able to reach a verdict?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Was there anything about your
22	service that would cause you difficulty serving again?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
24	THE COURT: I think I forgot to ask you that,
25	Mr. Ralston. Is there anything about your service that
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	would cause you difficulty serving again?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Some of the gruesome
3	facts I suppose were. It was a five-week trial and very
4	emotional.
5	THE COURT: So you know it could be
6	uncomfortable.
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, it can.
8	THE COURT: But can you serve again?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. Yes.
10	THE COURT: Anyone else in that row?
11	Yes, Mr. Sheahan.
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. It was a civil
13	case about ten years ago.
14	THE COURT: Where?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here in Reno.
16	THE COURT: And can you tell me whether or not
17	you were able to reach a verdict.
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we were.
19	THE COURT: Was there anything about your
20	service that would cause you difficulty serving again?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: And Miss Callahan?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was five years ago.
24	It was in this courtroom. It was a criminal case, and we
25	deadlocked on one of the counts, but we came to a conclusion
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	on the two other ones.
2	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
3	service that would cause you difficulty serving again?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: You still have Mr. Nelson and Miss
6	Stone and me. That would be okay?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That would be fine, yes.
8	THE COURT: Anyone else in that row?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You mentioned grand jury.
10	Does that count?
11	THE COURT: Yes, it does.
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I served two years on a
13	federal grand jury here in Reno, '90 through '92.
14	THE COURT: Anything about serving on the grand
15	jury that would cause you difficulty serving again?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
17	THE COURT: Anyone else in that row? We'll go
18	to the third row.
19	Yes, Miss O'Keefe.
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was a criminal case in
21	Washoe County about 12 years ago.
22	THE COURT: And without telling me the result,
23	were you able to reach a verdict?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Anything about your service that
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

li	<u> </u>
1	would cause you difficulty serving again?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
3	THE COURT: And was that Mr. Buck?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Criminal case in
5	1985. And we reached a decision.
6	THE COURT: Here in Washoe County?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Was there anything about your
9	service that would cause you difficulty serving again?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: Anyone else in that row?
1 2	Yes, Mr. Butler.
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, it was a child
14	molestation case here in Washoe County District Court and
15	about a year and-a-half ago, and we were able to reach a
16	verdict.
17	THE COURT: Anything about serving that would
18	cause you difficulty serving again?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Huh-uh.
20	THE COURT: Anyone in the fourth row?
21	Yes, Mr. Estes.
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was a federal criminal
23	case about two years ago.
24	THE COURT: And here in Reno?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Here in Reno.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: Were you able to reach a verdict?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we reached a
3	verdict.
4	THE COURT: Anything about your service that
5	would cause you difficulty serving again?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Was there anyone else in that row?
8	Yes, Mr. Tower.
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Criminal case in Los
10	Angeles. We were dismissed and he pleaded to a lesser
11	charge.
12	THE COURT: Anything about your service until
13	the point you were dismissed that would cause you difficulty
14	serving again?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
16	THE COURT: Anyone else in that row? Anyone in
17	the front row served before?
18	Yes, Miss Morris.
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, it was a criminal
20	- case here in Reno, and it didn't get as far as a verdict.
21	THE COURT: Anything about your service until
22	that point that would cause you difficulty?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
24	THE COURT: Was that no?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

116 1 THE COURT: Mr. Webb. 2 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was a federal civil 3 case about ten or 12 years ago. Here in Reno. And we 4 reached a verdict. 5 THE COURT: Anything about your service that 6 would cause you difficulty serving again? 7 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 8 THE COURT: All of you who previously served on 9 a case, will you all agree with me that you will put aside 10 anything you heard about the law or instructed by the Court 11 about the law in your prior case? Will you all agree to do 12 that? 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Will you all agree to follow the 15 instructions as I instruct you it is in this jurisdiction at 16 this time? 17 THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: Yes. 18 THE COURT: And you all understand there is a 19 difference in the burden of proof between civil and 20 criminal? And especially the definitions may change over 21 time and by jurisdiction? 22 THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: Yes. 23 THE COURT: You have no problem with that? 24 Okay. Are any of you seated in the jury box 25 acquainted with anyone else?

THE COURT: Have you seen them before to A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just in this or in whole room? THE COURT: We'll start with the 36 of you then we'll see how many associations we have. Miss Kelley, you raised your hand. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work with Nettice used to. THE COURT: You are sitting right next to other. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we know each THE COURT: If the two of you did happen on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, Mr. Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch her was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mr.		11,
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What do you mean it acquainted? THE COURT: Have you seen them before to A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just in this or in whole room? THE COURT: We'll start with the 36 of you then we'll see how many associations we have. Miss Kelley, you raised your hand. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work with Nettice used to. THE COURT: You are sitting right next to other. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we know each THE COURT: If the two of you did happen on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch he: was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Miss acquainted?	1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In this room?
THE COURT: Have you seen them before to A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just in this or in whole room? THE COURT: We'll start with the 36 of y then we'll see how many associations we have. Miss Kelley, you raised your hand. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work with Nettic used to. THE COURT: You are sitting right next to other. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we know each THE COURT: If the two of you did happen on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, M Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch her was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, M	2	THE COURT: In the jury box.
THE COURT: Have you seen them before to A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just in this or in whole room? THE COURT: We'll start with the 36 of y then we'll see how many associations we have. Miss Kelley, you raised your hand. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work with Nettice used to. THE COURT: You are sitting right next to other. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we know each THE COURT: If the two of you did happen on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, Mr. Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch her was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mr.	3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What do you mean by
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just in this or in whole room? THE COURT: We'll start with the 36 of you then we'll see how many associations we have. Miss Kelley, you raised your hand. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work with Nettice used to. THE COURT: You are sitting right next to other. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we know each THE COURT: If the two of you did happen on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, M: Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch he: was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, M:	4	acquainted?
THE COURT: We'll start with the 36 of you then we'll see how many associations we have. Miss Kelley, you raised your hand. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work with Nettice used to. THE COURT: You are sitting right next to other. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we know each THE COURT: If the two of you did happen on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, Mr. Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch here was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mr.	5	THE COURT: Have you seen them before today?
THE COURT: We'll start with the 36 of you then we'll see how many associations we have. Miss Kelley, you raised your hand. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work with Nettice used to. THE COURT: You are sitting right next to other. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we know each THE COURT: If the two of you did happen on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, Mr. Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch here was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mr.	6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just in this or in the
then we'll see how many associations we have. Miss Kelley, you raised your hand. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work with Nettice used to. THE COURT: You are sitting right next to other. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we know each THE COURT: If the two of you did happen on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, Mr. Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch here was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mr.	7	whole room?
Miss Kelley, you raised your hand. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work with Nettice used to. THE COURT: You are sitting right next to other. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we know each THE COURT: If the two of you did happen on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, Mr. Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch here was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mr.	8	THE COURT: We'll start with the 36 of you and
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work with Nettice used to. THE COURT: You are sitting right next to other. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we know each THE COURT: If the two of you did happen on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, Mr. Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch her was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mr.	9	then we'll see how many associations we have.
12 used to. 13 THE COURT: You are sitting right next to other. 14 other. 15 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we know each THE COURT: If the two of you did happen on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, Mr. Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 20 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. 21 THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? 22 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch here was kidding. No. 23 THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mr.	10	Miss Kelley, you raised your hand.
THE COURT: You are sitting right next to other. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we know each THE COURT: If the two of you did happen on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, Mr. Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch here was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mr.	11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work with Nettie, or I
other. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we know each THE COURT: If the two of you did happen on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, Mr Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch her was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mr	12	used to.
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we know each THE COURT: If the two of you did happen on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, Mr Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch her was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mr	13	THE COURT: You are sitting right next to each
THE COURT: If the two of you did happen on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, Mr Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch here was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mr	14	other.
on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, Mi Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch her was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mi	15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, we know each other.
Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each of A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch her was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Missing the state of her her, Missing the state of her her her her had been stated as a state of her	16	THE COURT: If the two of you did happen to be
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch her was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mi	17	on our jury, would you have difficulty either you, Miss
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch her was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mr.	18	Horner, or you, Miss Kelley, deliberating with each other?
THE COURT: Would there be any problem: happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch her was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Management of the problem:	19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
happened to disagree in the jury room? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch her was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mr.	20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch her was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Mr.	21	THE COURT: Would there be any problem if you
was kidding. No. THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, M	22	happened to disagree in the jury room?
THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, M	23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'd just punch her. I
	24	was kidding. No.
	25	THE COURT: You're not afraid of her, Miss
SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560		SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	Horner, are you?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am, I'm not.
3	THE COURT: Now, Miss Bell, you raised your
4	hand.
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: This gentleman over here,
6	I see him every once in a while where I work.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Grider.
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I couldn't tell you his
9	name if my life depended on it.
10	THE COURT: He's a customer of the bank?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
12	THE COURT: And, Mr. Grider, you recognize
13	Miss Bell?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Do either of you feel you'd have
16	any difficulty if you ended up serving on the same jury?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
19	THE COURT: Anyone else? You guys do know each
20	other.
21	Let's see. We have Miss Ashley. Who do you
22	know?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Kim at Reno Toyota.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Miss Hodges; right? Now
25	Miss Hodges and Miss Ashley, Miss Hodges, do you think you'd
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	. 119
1	have any difficulty if you ended up serving on the same jury
2	as Miss Ashley?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Miss Ashley, would you have any
5	problem? You have to answer out loud.
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Will you be able to deliberate with
8	each other?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
10	THE COURT: Miss Hodges?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Now I have Mr. Ayers.
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, Mr. Webb. We have
14	gone to a couple construction sites together.
15	THE COURT: And, Mr. Webb, do you recognize
16	Mr. Ayers?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: See him all the time,
18	yeah.
19	THE COURT: Is there anything about the fact
20	that you have been on construction sites together that would
21	cause you, either of you difficulty if you served on the
22	same jury?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Would you all be able to deliberate
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	with each other?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. He's bigger than
4	me, so I'd have no problem.
5	THE COURT: You wouldn't be intimidated by him.
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, not at all.
7	THE COURT: Anyone else? Yes, Mr. Tower.
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The gentleman on the far
9	back row, we used to work at the same company.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Mullins?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'll be honest with you,
12	Your Honor. I have been looking at him for three sessions
13	now, and he looks familiar, but I cannot place him.
14	THE COURT: You can't remember where?
15	But it looks like Mr. Tower remembers where you
16	all worked together.
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I beg your pardon?
18	THE COURT: Mr. Tower remembered it was from
19	work.
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I hope it's favorable,
21	Your Honor, because I am absolutely blank.
22	THE COURT: So you wouldn't have any problem
23	serving with Mr. Tower?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, ma'am.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Tower, would you have any
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

l	
1	difficulty serving with Mr. Mullins?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
3	THE COURT: Anyone else? Yes, Mr. Sheahan.
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know of Mr. Webb. He
5	works at the same company that I do.
6	THE COURT: You two work in the same company?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Same company.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Webb, you know Mr. Sheahan
9	then, too.
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Now do the two of you have any
12	problem working together?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14	THE COURT: Is that no, Mr. Sheahan?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
16	THE COURT: Now, anyone else? Now, someone
17	said in the whole room. So is someone familiar with
18	somebody out in there?
19	Well, I'll tell you what I'm going to do rather
20	than go into all of this. I'm going to ask that if any of
21	the people that you are acquainted with are called upon to
22	substitute on to the jury and come up here and sit with you
23	in the 36, will you raise your hand and get my attention?
24	Will you all agree to do that?
25	THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: Yes.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: Anyone feel uncomfortable doing
2	that?
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: No.
4	THE COURT: Then we will explore that if it
5	comes to pass.
6	Are any of you now or have you ever been
7	involved in litigation yourself, had your own lawsuit, or
8	been a witness in a case?
9	Yes, Miss Frazer.
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was just recently
11	involved in, like, a family court matter.
12	THE COURT: Now, anything about your
13	experiences in that case that would cause you difficulty in
14	this case?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4.6	THE COURT: You don't have any bias one way or
16	1
16 17	another about attorneys or about the legal system?
	·
17	another about attorneys or about the legal system?
17 18	another about attorneys or about the legal system? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Not really, no.
17 18 19	another about attorneys or about the legal system? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Not really, no. THE COURT: Mr. Ayers.
17 18 19 20	another about attorneys or about the legal system? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Not really, no. THE COURT: Mr. Ayers. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was just involved in a
17 18 19 20 21	another about attorneys or about the legal system? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Not really, no. THE COURT: Mr. Ayers. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was just involved in a family court case.
17 18 19 20 21 22	another about attorneys or about the legal system? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Not really, no. THE COURT: Mr. Ayers. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was just involved in a family court case. THE COURT: Was there anything about your
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	another about attorneys or about the legal system? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. Not really, no. THE COURT: Mr. Ayers. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was just involved in a family court case. THE COURT: Was there anything about your family court case that would cause you difficulty in this

1	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Buck.
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Through work I have had
3	to testify.
4	THE COURT: So you have been a witness before?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Would that create any difficulties
7	for you sitting on the jury?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
9	THE COURT: Would you be able to separate your
10	own experiences as a witness in a case from those that may
11	be experienced by the witnesses in this case?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Anyone else? I think there was one
14	more hand. Yes, Miss Scolari.
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm involved in my own
16	lawsuit right now. It doesn't go to court till next year.
17	THE COURT: What kind of a lawsuit, a civil
	THE COOK! What kind of a lawsuit, a civil
18	lawsuit?
18 19	
	lawsuit?
19	lawsuit? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Civil.
19 20	lawsuit? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Civil. THE COURT: And are you one of the parties?
19 20 21	lawsuit? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Civil. THE COURT: And are you one of the parties? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19 20 21 22	lawsuit? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Civil. THE COURT: And are you one of the parties? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. THE COURT: Is there anything about the fact
19 20 21 22 23	lawsuit? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Civil. THE COURT: And are you one of the parties? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. THE COURT: Is there anything about the fact you are involved in a civil lawsuit that would cause you

1	THE COURT: Anyone else? Kind of like to go by
2	row.
3	Miss Bell.
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Working for the bank, I
5	have been called upon to testify about checks and stuff, but
6	it's been probably 20 years.
7	THE COURT: Ago?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: (Positive nod.)
9	THE COURT: Is that yes?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Is there anything about that prior
12	experience that would cause you difficulty serving in this
13	case?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15	THE COURT: Would you be able to separate your
16	own experiences as a witness on the witness stand from those
17	that may be experienced by the witnesses in this case?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Back row. Yes, Mr. Ralston.
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I forgot I was a witness
21	in a murder trial in Houston, Texas, and it was probably,
22	I'm going to say about 1977.
23	THE COURT: Anything about that prior
24	experience that would cause you difficulty serving in this
25	case?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: Miss Kersbergen.
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was called as a witness
4	in a domestic violence case. The party pled guilty so I
5	didn't have to testify.
6	THE COURT: You didn't actually come into the
7	courtroom?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
9	THE COURT: Anyone else? Yes, Miss Patch.
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I used to testify through
11	my employment years ago, and I also had a civil lawsuit that
12	we settled out of court. I was the plaintiff.
13	THE COURT: Now, when you testified before, is
14	there anything about the fact that you have been a witness
15	in a courtroom before that would cause you difficulty being
16	fair and impartial in this case?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18	THE COURT: And would you be able to set aside
19 ·	your own experiences and decide the witnesses' testimony
20	based on their demeanor and not putting yourself in their
21	place?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23	THE COURT: I think there was more hands in the
24	back row, or were there?
25	Have any of the jurors currently seated in the
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	jury box ever been a victim of a crime?
2	Miss James.
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just had my car broke
4	into.
5	THE COURT: Anything about your experiences
6	with law enforcement or that circumstance that would cause
7	you difficulty in this case?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
9	THE COURT: Miss Kersbergen.
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have had five police
11	reports this year on stalking, vandalism, my house has been
12	shot. Bad divorce.
13	THE COURT: Okay. So you kind of see this more
14	as a domestic these are kind of domestic issues?
1 5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
16	THE COURT: I mean, I understand that you are
17	filing police reports, but
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have had a dog stolen.
19	THE COURT: It is not a stranger?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I know the people.
21	THE COURT: Now, is there anything about this
22	that's been going on this year that's going to cause you
23	difficulty serving in this case?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Do you have any animosity towards
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

,	lay enforcement on any him for lay reference 1
1	law enforcement or any bias for law enforcement based upon
2	what's going on?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Now, I think there was more people
5	in the back row. Yes, Miss Patch.
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Assaults years ago.
7	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
8	experiences dealing with law enforcement or your experiences
9	in the justice system that would cause you difficulty being
10	fair to both sides in this case?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: Miss Kelley.
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I had a few cars broken
14	into. That's about it.
15	THE COURT: Anything about your experiences
16	with law enforcement that would cause you to be biased one
17	way or another based upon these experiences?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They are just a little
19	slow, but other than that. I mean, as far as they haven't
20	caught anybody. I still like them.
21	THE COURT: You don't think it will impact you?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, no.
23	THE COURT: Back row, was there anyone else in
24	the back row? The second row.
25	Let's go to Miss Johnson.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Domestic violence.
2	THE COURT: And how long ago?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Six years ago.
4	THE COURT: Is there anything about the way the
5	system dealt with your circumstance that would cause you
6	difficulty serving in this case?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
8	THE COURT: Do you have any bias one way or
9	another in this case?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: Miss Bell.
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I had my car broken into.
13	I had my car stolen, and we had our apartment robbed.
14	THE COURT: And was this all in Washoe County?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. It was all in
16	when the car was broken into, it was down in Carson City.
17	And when it was stolen it was in Fremont, California. And
18	that's where the apartment was too.
19	THE COURT: So do you have any difficulties
20	with the criminal justice system based on these experiences?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: Do you have any animosity towards
23	the system, either the State or the defendant based on these
24	experiences?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: Mr. Ralston.
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Car break-in. Apartment
3	broken into and a garage break-in. And someone stole my
4	checkbook once and wrote checks on it before I could get
5	everything stopped. But I have no go ahead.
6	THE COURT: Go ahead, tell me. You are on a
7	roll.
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't have any bias as
9	a result of any of that.
10	THE COURT: You don't feel it affects you in
11	this case?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13	THE COURT: Third row. Yes, Mr. Ayers.
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: An assault back a long
15	time ago. Also my wallet was stolen, and I was had a
16	hit-and-run last year.
17	THE COURT: Vehicle hit-and-run?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Now, is there anything about your
20	experiences with law enforcement that would cause you a
21	problem here?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: Do you have any animosity for
24	either side?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, none.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	130
1	THE COURT: Were there more hands in that row?
2	Okay. We're at the fourth row.
3	Yes, Mr. Tower.
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Armed robbery, got into a
5	fight with a shoplifter and my car was stolen.
6	THE COURT: Was this all in Washoe County?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, Los Angeles.
8	THE COURT: Is there anything that still is
9	with you that carries with you any favorable feelings or
10	negative feelings for or against either side in this case
11	based on your experiences?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13	THE COURT: Anyone else in that row?
14	Yes, Miss Knight.
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I had goods stolen from
16	when I was coming from Equador to the United States, but it
17	actually didn't law enforcement wasn't involved.
18	THE COURT: That wouldn't affect you in this
19	case?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
21	THE COURT: Anyone else in that row? Front
22	row?
23	Yes, Miss Beers-Diaz.
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Where do you want me to
25	start? Actually, can I come up there?
,	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	13 1
1	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
2	Counsel approach, please.
3	(Whereupon, a bench conference was held among
4	Court and counsel as follows.)
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Assault and battery,
6	rape, and I have been jumped, and lots of things.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Was this in Washoe County?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
9	THE COURT: Is there is that part of what
10	you were concerned with earlier today?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. I feel
12	uncomfortable.
13	THE COURT: You are uncomfortable?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I am.
15	THE COURT: Is it because of your experiences
16	in the past?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
18 ·	THE COURT: Can you tell me a little bit more
19	about that?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just, I don't know, just
21	similar, I guess, build, skin color.
22	THE COURT: So the person who perpetrated these
23	things on you
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Was a little shorter is
25	all. `
į	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560
	, -,

1	THE COURT: Reminds you of him?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: Counsel have any questions?
4	MR. STANTON: I don't have any questions.
5	THE COURT: Are you requesting that I excuse
6	you from service because of that?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I mean, not solely
8	because of that. I mean, I hate to use that for an excuse,
9	but I mean, I'm like shaking.
10	THE COURT: Just being in the room?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. It's hard.
12	MR. STANTON: Can I ask one question?
13	Miss Beers-Diaz, if I understand your comments,
14	you believe that the defendant Siaosi Vanisi physically
15	looks like the person that has perpetrated those criminal
16	acts against you; is that correct?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. Just a little
18	taller.
19	MR. STANTON: I have no further questions, Your
20	Honor.
21	MR. BOSLER: No questions, Your Honor.
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's all on record.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Do you wish to make a
24	motion?
25	MR. BOSLER: Based upon her comments, Your
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	Honor, we ask she be excused for cause.
2	THE COURT: Do you have any objection?
3	MR. STANTON: No, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Miss Beers, thank you for your
5	candor.
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I need to check in
7	downstairs?
8	THE COURT: Yes. Thank you.
9	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held
10	in open court, in the presence of the jury.)
11	THE COURT: The clerk will call another name to
12	replace Miss Beers-Diaz.
13	THE CLERK: Joann Shively.
14	THE COURT: Go ahead and read that list,
15	Miss Shively, and I'll finish inquiring of Miss Frazer.
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Purse snatch and car
17	broken into. One in Washoe County, one in Disneyland.
18	THE COURT: Disneyland. Anything about those
19	prior experiences that would cause you difficulty serving in
20	this case?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: Are you able to set aside whatever
23	your feelings were about those cases and not let it impact
24	you in the case that we're about to try?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: Miss Whitmore, would you approach,
2	please?
3	Counsel approach, please.
4	(Whereupon, a bench conference was held among Court and counsel as follows.)
5	Court and Counsel as follows.)
6	THE COURT: I just saw how unhappy you were,
7	and I want to kind of give you a chance to tell us what's
8	bothering you.
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: This murder case has
10	given me nightmares for weeks. And I felt safe, and now I
11	don't feel safe anymore. I'm sorry. I'm so sorry.
12	MR. STANTON: I think for the record, I believe
13	that this witness has also written an extensive letter to
14	the Court which I think establishes the basis for her
1 5	removal for cause, specifically that she can not judge
16	another person because of her religious beliefs. For that
17	reason alone, the State would not object to her removal upon
18	cause.
19	MR. BOSLER: Based upon her comments today,
20	we're not going to object to her being removed for cause.
21	THE COURT: I'm going to let you go, and I'm
22	sorry it's been so difficult for you. It's okay. We
23	appreciate your service.
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have never done this
25	before. I really haven't.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	133
1	THE COURT: This is a new experience for most
2	people. So just go on down to the Jury Commissioner, okay?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. Thank you very
4	much.
5	THE COURT: The clerk will call another name to
6	replace Miss Whitmore.
7	THE CLERK: Donna J. Martin.
8	THE COURT: Miss Martin, go ahead and sit down
9	and review the witness list, please.
10	Miss Kersbergen.
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know if you would
12	want the reason for my divorce was sexual assault for
13	eight years, but that was him and no other person. So I
14	don't hold it against no one.
15	THE COURT: So you knew the perpetrator.
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
17	THE COURT: You wouldn't be
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was my husband.
19	THE COURT: The concern I have is if you would
20	want to get even with all men because this particular
21	defendant is a man.
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, it was just one
23	person and everybody seen him.
24	THE COURT: So you wouldn't substitute this
25	defendant for your husband?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560
	The transfer of the transfer o

	136
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
2	THE COURT: For your ex-husband?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Thank you for sharing that. I know
5	it's difficult.
6	Miss Patch.
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: When I said assault, I
8	left out sexual assault. But no, I don't hold all men
9	accountable for that.
10	THE COURT: Thank you.
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just didn't want to
12	THE COURT: I know, it's hard.
13	Does anyone else have anything further you want
14	to tell me or want to approach?
1 5	Okay. Now, Miss Shively, have you had a chance
16	to read the witness list?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Are you acquainted with or related
19	to anyone on that list?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
21	THE COURT: And have you been able to hear my
22	questions thus far today?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Would you respond to any of those
25	questions?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

T	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My brother was a police
2	officer over in California.
3	THE COURT: And you say was, so he's not now?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: Now, is there anything about your
6	brother's prior employment that would cause you difficulty
7	serving in this case?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
9	THE COURT: Do you feel any bias or prejudice
10	one way or another with regard to the police officers?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: Would you give a police officer's
13	testimony more or less credibility simply because he was a
14	police officer?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, no.
16	THE COURT: You would weigh it?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would weigh it.
18	THE COURT: Based on how they approach here in
19	court?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
21	THE COURT: And any other questions you would
22	respond to affirmatively?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
24	THE COURT: That was the only note you took?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That was it.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: Now, I have a new juror, too.
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You can skip me. It is
3	okay. I don't mind.
4	THE COURT: I knew I had a new juror. You were
5	looking so quiet like you weren't going to raise your hand.
6	Miss Martin.
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, it is.
8	THE COURT: Were you able to read the witness
9	list?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
11	THE COURT: Are you related to or acquainted
12	with anyone on that list?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14	THE COURT: Have you been able to hear my
15	questions?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
17	THE COURT: And did you take notes of which
18	questions you would respond to?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, but I listened to all
20	of them.
21	THE COURT: Can you tell me which you would
22	respond to?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have sort of formed an
24	opinion on this case.
25	THE COURT: Anything else?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	133
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Huh-uh. No.
2	THE COURT: You don't know any police officers?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Never served on a jury before?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Once. Somebody that fell
6	at a storage place.
7	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Someone that fell at a
9	storage place.
10	THE COURT: And so you served on a civil trial?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Were you able to reach a verdict in
13	that case?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Anything about your prior service
16	that would cause you difficulty serving again?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18	THE COURT: Do you know anyone in the jury box?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
20	THE COURT: Have you ever been a victim of a
21	crime?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: Have you ever been a witness in a
24	trial?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: Have you ever had your own case go
2	to court?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: Did you understand my question
5	about presumption of innocence?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
7	THE COURT: And are you able to follow that
8	instruction?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: To some extent.
10	THE COURT: I'll ask you the question again.
11	If the Court were to instruct you at the conclusion of this
12	case that a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be
13	innocent until the contrary is proven beyond a reasonable
14	doubt, and in the case of a reasonable doubt as to whether
15	the defendant's guilt is satisfactorily shown, then they are
16	entitled to be acquitted, would each of you would you
1 7	accept and follow that instruction?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would follow it.
19	THE COURT: Did you understand the instruction
20	with regard to reasonable doubt?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
22	THE COURT: And would you follow that
23	instruction?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Do you understand that it's your
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

· ·	+ 7 +
1	duty to find the facts if you sit on the jury?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
3	THE COURT: And apply those facts to the law as
4	I instruct you the law is?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Do you believe that you could
7	disregard the law as I instruct you?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Disregard?
9	THE COURT: Disregard the law as you get it in
10	the jury instructions?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	THE COURT: You'd follow the law as I instruct
13	you?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would follow them.
15	THE COURT: Do you have anything more than a
16	passing interest in the outcome of this case?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Do I have any what now?
18	THE COURT: Do you have anything more than just
19	a passing interest in the outcome of this case?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
21.	THE COURT: Do you entertain any bias for or
22	against the State of Nevada?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
24	THE COURT: Do you entertain any bias for or
25	against the defendant?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In a way, yes.
2	THE COURT: You say in a way?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In a way, yes.
4	THE COURT: Were you able did you see any
5	additional publicity regarding this matter after you filled
6	out your questionnaire?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: After I did, no. Before,
8	I did.
9	THE COURT: And you told us about that on your
10	questionnaire?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I did.
12	THE COURT: Do you know anything personally
13	about the facts of the case?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
15	THE COURT: You indicated that you have formed
16	an opinion; is that correct?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, ma'am.
18	THE COURT: Is that opinion different than what
19	you filled out on your questionnaire?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
21	THE COURT: I'm going to allow counsel to
22	inquire, but we'll wait a few minutes before they inquire
23	further of you.
24	Does any juror have any health reason which
25	would prevent you from serving as a juror in this case?

Does anyone have any health reason that I should be aware of just in terms of making your service easier, back problems, hearing problems, eyesight, anything like that?

Yes, Miss Hodges.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Several years ago I had injured my back, and so sitting in these kind of chairs is a little uncomfortable.

THE COURT: If you serve on the jury you won't be on those metal chairs. Are you all right now?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm fine so far.

THE COURT: Okay. Tell the bailiff if you are getting uncomfortable.

Anyone else?

Does anyone have any business or professional reason that you think your problem will interfere with your impartiality to serve as a juror in this case? I know that most of you probably have many, many places to be and things to do, and it is a financial hardship for almost everyone to serve on a jury, and I understand that. That's why we make the best use of your time.

Our schedule is in the mornings, you will be coming approximately 10:15 or so four days a week, three days a week, and you will go until noon. And then you will be back here at 1:30 and go until 5:00. One morning a week you will be completely off because we have different

1 hearings that we have to hear on that one morning a week. And one day a week you will start earlier than 10:15. You 2 3 will start probably about 9:00 on Fridays. 4 But that is sort of our schedule, and we do 5 take an hour and-a-half lunch, and we're always done at 6 5:00, or we will attempt to be done at 5:00. We will not 7 work weekends. And as I said, three days a week, you have 8 until 10:15 or 10:30, and one day a week you have the whole 9 morning. 10 So that gives you an idea of what the schedule 11 is. If your situation is of such a nature that you really 12 can't be impartial in this case, we need to hear about that. 13 Otherwise, we will just make good use of your time. 14 Yes, Miss Patch. 15 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Ashlev. 16 THE COURT: Ashley. I should remember by now. 17 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That is okay. Because I 18 work at Lake's Crossing Center, the only thing that I could foresee is if Mr. Vanisi would have to come to our facility, 19 20 I'm just wondering, you know. 21 THE COURT: That could be a problem. 22 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That could be a problem. 23 THE COURT: But I don't think that is going to 24 happen. 25 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. And we could SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	143	
1	always handle whatever happens.	
2	THE COURT: Mr. Estes.	
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Ayers. I'm not sure if I	
4	fully understand that, but this is really an economic	
5	hardship on me. My wife and I and our two children rely on	
6	my check.	
7	THE COURT: You are a taper?	
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
9	THE COURT: So you work for a drywall company?	
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I do. And it's	
11	impossible for me to go to work for a couple hours in the	
12	morning and come here. My wife has a job, but it's we	
13	can't live off of that for a couple weeks.	
14	THE COURT: The Legislature says who is excused	
15	from jury service, and people who work like you are not. I	
16	wish we could pay you more so it wouldn't be such a	
17	financial hardship.	
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.	
19	THE COURT: The attorneys may inquire more	
20	fully into your concerns, and I'll let them do that. But	
21	just on the financial hardship aspect of it. It isn't that	
22	I don't care.	
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I understand.	
24	THE COURT: I understand the problem.	
25	Yes, Miss Kersbergen.	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. I have three kids,	
2	raising them on my own. I do hold a job. The job doesn't	
3	bother me. But finding day care when they are out of school	
4	because they won't go with their father because they are	
5	afraid of him. I have no family in town.	
6	THE COURT: Did you make arrangements for this	
7	afternoon?	
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.	
9	THE COURT: With friends or school friends?	
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: With friends. She can	
11	help as much as she can.	
12	THE COURT: Do you have Latch Key at your	
13	school?	
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have Latch Key, but	
15	right now I can't afford Latch Key. If I'm not at work, I	
16	can't pay Latch Key. It is expensive.	
17	THE COURT: You don't get paid if you are not	
18	here if you are here?	
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work for the school	
20	district. I'm not sure if I'll get paid because I only work	
21	24 hours a week, and	
22	THE COURT: We can check for you if that will	
23	help set your mind at ease. Most school district employees	
24	are paid for their full paycheck. You have to kick back	
25	your jury fees, but most Washoe County School District	
	SIEDDA NEVADA DEDODUEDO (775) 200 CECO	

1 employees, but I don't know about a 24-hour. 2 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm hoping. 3 THE COURT: But we'll check with the Jury 4 Commissioner and let you know for sure. 5 Yes, Mr. Sheahan. 6 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Your Honor, you said 7 three days. You are in session three days during the week. 8 So that would be Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday or Tuesday, 9 Wednesday, Thursday? 10 THE COURT: No. We're in session every day. 11 What happens is Monday morning, we'll start probably at a 12 regular time, 9:00 or 10:00 o'clock in the morning, depending on what other cases are set earlier. And you will 13 14 go normally from 10:00 to 5:00 with an hour and-a-half off. 15 Tuesday mornings I have lots of hearings and we won't be starting until 10:15 or so. You will go until 16 17 5:00. Wednesday mornings we do not have any early morning 18 hearings. 19 This is a new schedule that the Court is on. 20 I'm trying to remember it all. Wednesday mornings we'll start at 9:00, 9:30, around in there, I guess, and we'll go 21 22 until 5:00. 23 Thursday mornings I have hearings, so many in the morning that I can't hear a case in the morning. So you 24 25 will have Thursday mornings off. You won't come to court SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

148 1 until 2:00 o'clock Thursdays. 2 And then Friday will be a regular day, 9:00 to 3 5:00. So that is the schedule. I'm trying to remember it 4 because we just switched over to a new system. 5 Miss Callahan. 6 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just for tomorrow 7 morning, do you know exactly what time we would have to be 8 here? I have an interview with Valerie Cooke-Skau for the 9 secretary to the Magistrate Judge. That is at 9:30. If it's not until 10:30 we don't have to be here, I won't have 10 11 to change it. 12 THE COURT: I have to check with the clerk to 13 see how many. I'm thinking we're planning on about 10:15. 14 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. 15 THE COURT: Miss Bell. 16 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We're planning or we 17 already had planned and purchased tickets for a vacation 18 that starts the 26th of October. 19 THE COURT: You'll be done. 20 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I would have been 21 worried and concerned about it. 22 THE COURT: No, I know. 23 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Now I'm fine. Thank you. 24 THE COURT: That is why we want you to understand the system so you won't be concerned. 25

Mr. Jones.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Same question, except for October 10th.

THE COURT: I think you're going to be done, don't you, counsel, think you will be done by then? That would be the fourth week. Everyone is nodding no. I don't think it will be a problem, Mr. Jones. You shouldn't have to worry about that.

Miss Ziler.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm scheduled to be in California Wednesday and Thursday, and they just changed it that I'm not coming back until Friday morning.

THE COURT: Well, if you are on the jury and you are going to be here Friday morning --

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's our fiscal year-end and I can't be off for three weeks. We have tried having somebody else do my job when I'm not there. It takes me two weeks to clean up their messes. And the only way I'm going to be able to do it is when I leave here at night, I go work.

THE COURT: You are a secretary? Are you a secretary?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. I do the payables for the corporation. And I can't even take a two-week vacation. One week at a time is all I can do.

1	THE COURT: I understand that difficulty, but	
2	the Legislature has not made your profession exempt from	
3	service.	
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What about my board	
5	meeting?	
6	THE COURT: What is your board meeting? Is it	
7	your own corporation?	
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I'm an officer of	
9	the company.	
10	THE COURT: Okay.	
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It is our fiscal year end	
12	and it is our big board meeting. We have got people flying	
13	in from all over the country. We have been scheduled for	
14	over a month, and we can't change it.	
15	THE COURT: It's already been noticed?	
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Everybody has been	
17	noticed. I notified everybody as soon as I got the notice	
18	in the mail. But for everybody else to change all their	
19	airline schedules is going to cost the company a pretty	
20	penny, and they won't do that.	
21	THE COURT: I think somebody else raised their	
22	hands. Okay.	
23	Miss O'Keefe.	
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a vacation	
25	scheduled for October 4th.	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

1	THE COURT: What is that? Is that a prepaid	
2	vacation?	
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. We have already	
4	booked our reservations. We did it before I knew I was	
5	going to have to be in here.	
6	THE COURT: And there is someone else in that	
7	row?	
8	Miss Hodges.	
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just wanted to let you	
10	be aware that I'm a single parent and I'm the only income,	
11	and my work doesn't pay me to be here. They pay me to be at	
12	work. And I have about six days of vacation that I can	
13	take, but other than that, I won't have pay from work. It	
14	will be just whatever I get in here. I just need to let	
15	that be noted, because I'm stressing over how I'm going to	
16	pay my bills, pay my rent and everything else.	
17	THE COURT: Do you think you'd stress out to	
18	the point that you wouldn't be fair and impartial?	
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I have been	
20	stressing the last week, yeah.	
21	THE COURT: Counsel will probably have some	
22	more questions of you.	
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm in the same boat.	
24	THE COURT: I'm sorry?	
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm stressing, too.	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

1	THE COURT: I wish I could tell you that I have	
2	a doctor on duty to give you relaxants, but I don't.	
3	MR. BOSLER: For the record, was that	
4	Mr. Ayers?	
5	THE COURT: That was Mr. Ayers. It is	
6	difficult, I understand it's difficult. And counsel will be	
7	asking you some more questions, and then we'll be making a	
8	final decision as to who will serve.	
9	Miss Kruse.	
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I put down my vacation,	
11	and I was going to San Francisco this weekend, Friday. So I	
12	mean, I could do you know how late we would possibly go	
13	on Friday?	
14	THE COURT: Until 5:00. But we'll be done at	
15	5:00.	
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. So we don't	
17	account for our vacation times now basically?	
18	THE COURT: You were going to be gone Friday,	
19	Saturday, Sunday?	
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.	
21	THE COURT: It sort of depends.	
22	Mr. Salais.	
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I ask, when you say three	
24	days, Your Honor, I don't remember, how many weeks do you	
25	say?	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

1	THE COURT: Two to three weeks.	
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have a problem. I am	
3	the manager of this small complex of apartments. My main	
4	problem is my wife. She requires oxygen 24 hours a day, and	
5	I am the only one around her.	
6	THE COURT: And so you don't have anyone who	
7	can help stay with her?	
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not really.	
9	THE COURT: Not really? Do you have somebody	
10	who can check on her for you during the day?	
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can ask a friend, but I	
12	don't know if he is if it's going to be all day or the	
13	three days in a row, like we was going to be here.	
14	. THE COURT: It would be the middle of the day,	
15	Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and then all day on Friday. So	
16	could they come and go and check on her, and could you get	
1 7	home in an hour and-a-half and check on her?	
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, yes. I live over	
19	here, about 15 minutes from here.	
20	THE COURT: So you could go at lunchtime and	
21	check on her?	
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
23	THE COURT: Do you think you have a friend who	
24	could check on her for you in the mornings and afternoon?	
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I will ask. I look	

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for --

out?

THE COURT: You think maybe you could work it

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I will try.

THE COURT: Anyone else?

Yes, Mr. Carmichael.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just I have a paid vacation, plane tickets purchased and everything for October 18th.

THE COURT: You will be okay.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And then I called my boss at lunch and she said, Well, then I think I might have to get someone to try and replace you already, because I told her I got picked for the jury panel and they have to pick from us still. It's just hard to get her to understand it because we're a small business, and she said, Well, I'm not making money if you are not here.

THE COURT: Is she talking about firing you? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: She didn't say that. But that's what she said.

So I'm the only one that does my job, I'm the mechanic at the boat shop. She didn't say fire, but she said, I need someone to get the work done for you. She only carries the minimal mechanics she can. That is how I took it.

1	THE COURT: It is against the law for anyone to	
2	receive a dismissal or discharge or reprimand of any kind	
3	for serving on a jury. We'll do everything we can to assist	
4	you. But is that something that is going to be kind of	
5	wearing on your mind?	
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, because I have a	
7	wife and two children, and I'm the only source of income.	
8	THE COURT: Perhaps a telephone call, do you	
9	think, would help from the Court?	
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't see how it would	
11	help. She's worried about not making her money, too, I	
12	guess.	
13	THE COURT: Do you have a good working	
14	relationship with her other than this particular issue?	
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. As like my wife,	
16	she's real good friends with my wife's family and for quite	
17	a bit of time. I'm going to call her after work to say, Get	
18	ahold of me as soon as you get out and let me know what is	
19	going on. That is all I can do for now.	
20	THE COURT: Anyone else have something you want	
21	to tell me about?	
22	Yes, Mr. Ralston.	
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I thought work was sort	
24	of irrelevant, but I just want to relate my situation. I	
25	have a major contract that's in progress in Brentwood,	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

1 Tennesse

2

3

5

6

7 ·

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

Tennessee, and I go there every two weeks.

I also have two developments under construction in California, one in Elk Grove and one in Roseville, California. I go there every week. And I have five budgets I was handed Friday that are due October 15th. I'm really the only person that does those things. There is other responsibilities I have, but those issues are things that I do.

THE COURT: Mr. Sheahan.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Your Honor, I made reservations to go to my son's homecoming game the end of this week in August, and I called on — for that phone call you make to call the jury, they told me the jury is usually anywhere from a day to three days or four days or something like that, not knowing it would last for two or three weeks. So I went ahead and made reservations thinking that I'd have a chance to go. But that's up to you.

THE COURT: I couldn't hear where your reservations were to.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Montana.

THE COURT: And you wanted to leave when?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Friday morning.

THE COURT: But you just made those

reservations?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I made them August 29th.

Not knowing how long the trial would last. I heard anywhere from a day to two or three days, not knowing it would be two or three weeks.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to allow counsel to inquire further of the panel, and we'll decide who is — they will basically probably decide on who they feel should not sit on this case.

Mr. Ralston is kind of right, everybody, as you can hear, and I bet you that a bunch of people who didn't raise their hands have issues that you just haven't told me about. Everybody has an issue, everybody has work. All of you are employed, and we all need to make money. So we all understand that. It's just those particular circumstances that get so egregious that I continue service.

And I wanted to let you know this: You are not excused from service even if I do it. Your service is only continued to another trial.

I don't know who told you the average length of a trial is a day. The average length of a trial is five.

And there are lots of cases that go longer than this one.

And there's many more yet to go, this kind of case.

So when I continue service, it is not to when it is convenient. It's when we need you, and that may or may not be as convenient as now. In most cases it's less convenient, just to give you heads up on the circumstances.

1 Now, Miss Ashley, did you raise your hand? Did 2 someone else over there? 3 Mr. Ayers. 4 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was just agreeing with 5 what Mr. Sheahan said, that because the one recording I 6 called, it said it would be a day or two. 7 THE COURT: Well, I'll talk to the Jury 8 Commissioner. 9 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It does say that on the 10 recording. 11 THE COURT: Great. Well, you know it's not. 12 Got a hint for you, it isn't. 13 We use a jury, we usually don't use them for a 14 day. Most cases that need a jury go at least three days or 15 a week. Okay. 16 Is there anything else that you haven't told me 17 about that any juror in the jury box knows of any reason why 18 you should not serve in this case? 19 Yes, Miss Kelley. 20 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have school also, and 21 that's just starting. I mean, we're into the third week, I 22 think. 23 THE COURT: Where do you go to school? 24 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm just taking classes 25 at Truckee Meadows right now. SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: Are they day or night classes?	
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, they're night. I'm	
3	not going to cry or anything. I just really want to go to	
4	school.	
5	THE COURT: The lawyers know now. Part of what	
6	you all are telling me is important for the attorneys when	
7	they make the decision about who will sit on a case. So it	
8	may not rise to the level of me excusing you or continuing	
9	your service, but it is important for the attorneys to know	
10	your circumstances.	
11	Miss Kersbergen, you will be paid by the School	
12	District. It's been confirmed.	
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.	
14	THE COURT: Any other? Yes.	
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was also going out of	
16	town this weekend. My flight doesn't leave till 6:15 on	
17	Friday.	
18	THE COURT: You will be back Monday morning?	
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah, as long as we're	
20	done by 5:00.	
21	THE COURT: You are good. Got you.	
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If it doesn't go over.	
23	THE COURT: We'll get you to the airport by	
24	6:00. Promise.	
25	You might have to bring your suitcase with you.	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's fine.	
2	THE COURT: Anyone else? Okay.	
3	I think, Miss Hodges, did you have something	
4	you needed to tell me about?	
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I just mentioned that	
6	financially I don't know where I'm going to get money to pay	
7	bills.	
8	THE COURT: Miss Martin, did you have an issue	
9	that you needed to tell me about?	
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: My husband just got laid	
11	off. So it is kind of a major hardship on me and the	
12	family.	
13	THE COURT: That was what was of concern to you	
14	earlier?	
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
16	THE COURT: Anything else?	
17	Mr. Stanton, you may inquire.	
18	MR. STANTON: Thank you, Your Honor.	
19	Ladies and gentlemen of the prospective jury	
20	panel, my questions and the questions that I have also of	
21	the folks in the audience, I'm going to ask the first set of	
22	questions to you all as the judge has; and if you have any	
23	questions or problems or concerns with any of the subject	
24	matter that I bring up, if you could raise your hand, and	
24 25	matter that I bring up, if you could raise your hand, and we'll discuss them, and then I'll go individually.	

First thing I'd like to talk to you about is the Judge mentioned a concept to you, a cornerstone, if you will, of a criminal trial, and that is the presumption of innocence. That man right there, Siaosi Vanisi, is presumed innocent. And you all agreed with that proposition that we have in the United States.

There is another proposition of law in a criminal trial that's just as important, and it deals with this side of the table, the prosecution. And the right that exists in that case is that if the State meets its burden, that is if and when the State proves this case beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has a right that the verdict in a criminal case be guilty. You all agree with that law?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: Yes.

MR. GAMMICK: Is there anybody on the prospective panel that has any moral or religious or other doctrine of thought that would prohibit them from performing the functions of a juror?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: No.

MR. GAMMICK: The Judge read to you an instruction of law about reasonable doubt, and I'm wondering since you all have had some exposure to the case, what this case is about -- this is a criminal case that involves the charges of murder in the first degree. And it has as part of the jury component a penalty phase where the jury will

consider and decide what the punishment will be in that case.

The burden of proof in a criminal case does not depend upon the nature of the charges that are alleged. The burden of proof in a murder case is the same burden of proof as it is in a DUI case. Does anybody have a problem with that concept?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: No.

MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, at this point we impose an objection. I don't think that is quite an accurate statement because a burden of proof as in a capital case also carries over to the penalty phase where it wouldn't in a DUI case. So I think the jury should be admonished that it is not exactly like a misdemeanor case.

THE COURT: The burden of proof doesn't change. The burden of proof in all criminal cases are identical. The difference in this case is that it is one of the only cases that the jury is asked to consider penalty, and that does not happen in other criminal cases.

MR. STANTON: Your Honor, the State would --

MR. STANTON: Does anybody — the Judge has touched upon this in the different context, and I want to ask the question straight out, dealing with whether or not you had ever been the victim of a crime or other things. In this context, a speeding ticket. The general question I

have for you, all of you, anybody had a negative experience with law enforcement?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: No.

MR. STANTON: There is -- and I don't know how many people here will have seen this, but there are certain publications here in Washoe County that carry ads that deal with the rights of jurors, what rights you have as a juror sitting in a civil or criminal case. Has anybody read any of those ads?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: No.

MR. STANTON: One of the roles of the juror may be a difficult one, or at least an odd one from your normal life experiences, and that is when you sit as a juror and you deliberate ultimately on the guilt or innocence of the case before you, you cannot in your deliberations interject sympathy, bias or prejudice. So that if you are sitting in the juror room, either out loud or in your own mind saying the State has met its burden, they have proved to me beyond a reasonable doubt the case, but I feel sorry, I feel bias, or I feel prejudice, those things are specifically prohibited from being involved in your decision-making process.

Would anybody not be able to follow that?
THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: No.

MR. STANTON: The next point I'd like to

1 discuss with you is one that certainly is going to occur in 2 this case. In this case you will hear gruesome testimony. 3 You will see very gruesome photographs. They have a purpose 4 in this case because they are evidence, and they 5 specifically have a purpose because they will depict 6 forensic evidence, something that a jury weighing and 7 deciding the facts must pay particular attention to because 8 they will have great meaning. 9 Does anybody here have a problem with having, 10 as a role as a juror, to view graphic and violent 11 photographs? 12 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I do. 13 MR. STANTON: Okay. I'd like to do it in order 14 as the Judge did by the rows. Is there anybody in the first 15 row? 16 Anybody in the second row? In the third row I 17 believe I saw some hands. 18 Okay, Miss Hodges. 19 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Being that I have never 20 done any kind of trial before, I have never been in that situation to know how I feel, but like right now, I'm 21 22 shaking. 23 MR. STANTON: Miss Hodges, have you ever seen a 24 deceased person before?

SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.

1	MR. STANTON: I'm assuming that you have never	
2	seen someone who has had a violent injury inflicted on them?	
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Do you mean somebody like	
4	that I have seen one day and then the next day they didn't	
5 .	have an arm? That way?	
6	MR. STANTON: Or a significant wound.	
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.	
8	MR. STANTON: In this case there are	
9	photographs of Sergeant Sullivan that show him as he was	
10	found and photographs of him at a medical procedure called	
11	an autopsy, and they will depict the nature and size and	
12	severity and location of the wound on his body. They are in	
13	color.	
14	I don't know you, Miss Hodges, but can you tell	
15	me whether or not you can view those photographs as the role	
16	of a juror is to look at them as facts?	
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know because I	
18	have been deep to be Comment to the Brown have Toward and the	
	never have done it before, so I don't know how I would react	
19	to it.	
19 20		
	to it.	
20	to it. MR. STANTON: Well, your best guess as to	
20 21	to it. MR. STANTON: Well, your best guess as to whether or not you think you can do that? Is it something	
20 21 22	to it. MR. STANTON: Well, your best guess as to whether or not you think you can do that? Is it something that when I tell you that they are graphic in nature, that	
20 21 22 23	to it. MR. STANTON: Well, your best guess as to whether or not you think you can do that? Is it something that when I tell you that they are graphic in nature, that you say, There's no way I can look at those, there's no way,	

those	photographs:
-------	--------------

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think I'm going to be too emotional to be able to -- for example, my grandma passed away. The family went to go to see her and that and say their good-byes. I couldn't do it.

MR. STANTON: Okay. And was it because this was an open casket?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It wasn't there. She was cremated. But the family was able to go to the morgue and say their good-byes and that.

MR. STANTON: Anybody in that next row? And the next row after that?

Miss Magnin? And can you tell me -- would you have difficulty viewing photographs?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Most definitely. I can't even see scary movies, anything like that. I just can't.

MR. STANTON: And is it something that if you saw it, based upon how you know you react to something like that, that you would just turn off your mind or not look at it?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I couldn't look. I can't do things like that.

MR. STANTON: Okay. In this case there will be a series of photographs of Sergeant Sullivan at autopsy.

Could you -- I'm assuming those are the type of photographs

1	that you couldn't even look at?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
3	MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I would challenge
4	Miss Magnin for cause based upon her response to that
5	question.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Bosler, do you wish to inquire?
7	MR. BOSLER: Just briefly. Miss Magnin and
8	I don't mean to put you in a spot there's going to be a
9	great deal of physical evidence. Some of it is photographs,
10	some of it is forensic evidence, some of it DNA evidence.
11	The issue is: Can you consider all that evidence before you
12	make your decision as a juror, or are you saying
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't look at the
14	evidence.
15	THE COURT: You could not hear that evidence?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I won't be able to look
17	at that type of evidence. It is too gruesome.
18	MR. BOSLER: If it is presented to you in the
19	form of a photograph or blowup, is it something you could at
20	least consider? I don't mean you have to stare at it or
21	memorize the nature of it or anything like that. But you
22	can at least consider it before you make your decision?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I couldn't look.
24	MR. BOSLER: You'd rather not look?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	MR. BOSLER: We'll submit the matter to the
2	Court, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: I'm going to grant the challenge
4	for cause.
5	Miss Magnin, you will report to the Jury
6	Commissioner.
7	MR. STANTON: Would the Court like me to finish
8	up with the panel?
9	THE COURT: You are on that question. You
10	might as well finish it up.
11	MR. STANTON: Was there somebody else in the
12	second row?
13	In the front row, Miss Morris.
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. Same reason for
15	me. I could not handle looking at something like that.
16	MR. STANTON: Couldn't look at it at all?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18	MR. STANTON: If there were certain things that
19	were being testified in this particular case, in conjunction
20	with those photographs being displayed, there will be
21	testimony by a doctor, Dr. Ellen Clark, regarding the
22	wounds, the size of the wounds, and as I indicated before,
23	the location of the wounds. Is that something you just
24	couldn't listen to because of your feelings?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I just can't handle
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

•	
1	that kind of thing. Like I just lost my father last month,
2	and it's really got an impact on me.
3	MR. STANTON: Your Honor, for the same reason,
4	the State would move relative to Miss Morris.
5	MR. BOSLER: No objection.
6	THE COURT: Miss Morris, you are excused.
7	MR. STANTON: Is there anybody else in the
8	prospective panel that would like to raise a concern
9	regarding my last series of questions?
10	THE COURT: The clerk will call two new jurors
11	to replace.
12	THE CLERK: Pete S. Costello. Dianne M. Smith.
13	THE COURT: Yes, Miss Hodges.
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know Dianne.
15	THE COURT: Good.
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: To answer that question.
17	THE COURT: Thank you for letting us know.
. 18	Now, would you have any problem serving with Miss Smith?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
20	THE COURT: Miss Smith, would you have any
21	problem serving with Miss Hodges?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: I see you are reviewing the witness
24	list. You can do that.
25	Mr. Costello, you finished the witness
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	questionnaire. Are you acquainted with, related to or know
2	anyone on that witness list?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: You have had a chance to hear all
5	of my questions. Is that correct? You have to answer for
6	the court reporter.
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Which things would you have
9	responded to, if any?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know Reno Police
11	Officer Jeff Hobensack.
12	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
13	relationship with Mr Officer Hobensack that would cause
14	you a problem serving in this case?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
16	THE COURT: Would you feel your verdict would
17	be impacted because of that relationship?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
19	THE COURT: Go on.
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: And I was a victim of a
21	crime. Auto theft.
22	THE COURT: Auto theft?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
24	THE COURT: How long ago was that?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Eight years ago.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
2	circumstance that would cause you difficulty serving in this
3	case?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: Do you harbor any bias or prejudice
6	for or against the State or the defendant?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
8	THE COURT: Miss Smith, have you had a chance
9	to read the list?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Are you acquainted with or related
12	to anyone on that list?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
14	THE COURT: Were you able to hear all of my
15	questions thus far?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
17	THE COURT: And would you have responded to any
18	of my questions if you had been sitting up here the whole
19	time?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The only one is with the
21	financial. Kim and I work basically for the same company.
22	They have already informed us that they will not pay us for
23	this. I am a widow and by myself. I have no other income
24	except my job.
25	THE COURT: That would be a difficulty for you?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	112
1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It would be.
2	THE COURT: Do you have any vacations saved up?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I just started there
4	in January. So my vacation wouldn't start until after
5	January 16th of next year.
6	THE COURT: Thank you.
7	Mr. Stanton, you may continue inquiring.
8	MR. STANTON: Thank you. Are there any of
9	the two recent additions to the panel, do you have any
LO	concern about the questions that the State has asked so far?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13	MR. STANTON: The next area that I'd like to
14	cover is what we as attorneys or within the judicial system
15	call penalty phase in a murder trial. As it may be
16	abundantly obvious to you at this juncture, that in a case
17	involving the charges of first degree murder, that upon a
18	conviction of that offense, the punishment in that case is
19	given by the jury, and so that role would fall to the jury
20	in this case.
21	In this case there are three sentencing
22	options: the death penalty, life without the possibility of
23	parole, and life with the possibility of parole.
24	The question I'd like to ask you all is: Does
25	any member of the panel have any moral, religious or
	u .

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conscientious objection to what we refer to as the death penalty? Okay. And we start by row. Miss Kelley. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh. I'm going to get myself in really big trouble. But I put that I strongly agree with it on there hoping that you guys would throw me right out. But I can't play God's part. I can't ever

convict anybody to death. That's not my job.

MR. STANTON: Okay. So if I understand you correct, Miss Kelley, under no circumstances, no matter what the facts are, no matter what the law is, there is no case, no time, under no circumstances that you would ever feel the death penalty is appropriate?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What comes around goes around. He'll get his. I don't want to be part of it.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Am I correct then -- I know you kind of put my question or answered my question in your own words. I was wondering if you could just answer it yes or no. Is it true that under no circumstances could you ever impose the death penalty?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's true.

MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I would move --

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Unless you -- okay. Can I change my thing? Because if you mess with my family, then I have a different idea.

1	MR. STANTON: I see. So if it was someone in
2	your family
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Can I just go home?
4	Sorry.
5	MR. STANTON: If it is somebody in your family,
6	you would feel differently?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. Because this
8	sounds horrible, and I wish I would have just shut up, but I
9	still don't believe that anybody has the right to sentence
10	anybody to death. It would be harder for me to stick with
1 1	that belief if it was one of my family, if it was someone
12	close to me like that. I still probably wouldn't want
13	anything to do with it, hoping that what does come around
14	goes around and they get a terrible disease and die from
15	that or something.
16	Do you understand what I'm saying? It's
17	harder it would be harder to say that I don't agree with
18	it if it was my family.
19	MR. STANTON: Well, Miss Kelley, let me ask you
20	this. George Sullivan, he isn't related to you, is he?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, now I feel like a
22	jerk. No, he's not.
23	MR. STANTON: So in this case, in him not being
24	a relative or family member, my question to you is: Is
25	there any circumstances regarding the facts or the law that
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

would permit you to sentence somebody to death as a juror? 1 2 In this case? 3 5 excuse Miss Kellev for cause. 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 those options? 25

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I wouldn't do it. MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I renew my motion to THE COURT: Do you wish to inquire? MR. BOSLER: Just briefly, Your Honor. THE COURT: Why don't you go ahead and replace Mr. Stanton. We're having a little trouble hearing. MR. GREGORY: Court's indulgence. MR. BOSLER: Apologize. Miss Kelley, of course I'm in an awkward position right now. If I understand you, you are saying that you don't feel it's right for any juror, you, to play God and decide someone's life. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. MR. BOSLER: If you were told that the law never requires you to impose death, no matter how aggravating, gruesome, you may find the actual murder, the law allows you whatever you find, there's always the option for life without, life with the possibility of parole, knowing that that sentencing scheme exists, and there is no way the law can force you to impose the death penalty, are you at least able to consider the death penalty as one of

> A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The death penalty SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

letting you know.

wouldn't be an option for me. The life without possibility of parole would be something to consider. As long as he was put in with a bunch of mean men.

This is not easy for me. I got to laugh a little bit because it's totally disturbing. So I'm just

MR. BOSLER: I hope it is disturbing for anybody. The reason we ask these questions is not because we're assuming we're even going to a penalty phase, but the law requires us to ask questions about your views of penalties, that that reflects on your ability to sit as a juror. And if your statement is no matter how much time he would face on any of the other charges, robbery charges or possibility of life in prison without the possibility of parole and life, dying natural death in prison, if those options were available, you still would not consider the death penalty as an option?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I can't. Sorry.

MR. BOSLER: No, no need to apologize. I appreciate your honesty. We'll submit the matter, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Miss Kelley, you are excused. Motion granted.

MR. STANTON: Your Honor, would the Court like the State to finish its questioning?

1	THE COURT: I think if we could finish this
2	question with the panel, then we're going to take our
3	afternoon recess.
4	MR. STANTON: Miss Ashley, am I correct does
5	anybody else in the first row?
6	Miss Ashley, am I correct you raised your hand?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	MR. STANTON: Could you explain your position
9	regarding that question?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think I feel similarly
11	now that I have really considered this for a week. I don't
12	think it's my place. I could consider life in prison with a
13	possibility of parole or without, but I personally don't
14	I think that if I was on a jury and everybody else voted
15	yes, this is the thing to do, I would probably be the one
16	saying no, I don't really want to do this.
17	MR. STANTON: Okay. Miss Ashley, as with most
18	if not all these questions, it's very important that you
19	speak from the heart. In fact, that's what the oath that
20	you took to begin with is to speak the truth. So I
21	appreciate that.
22	My question to you is kind of a specific one,
23	if I can maybe focus in and put you on the spot.
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's okay.
25	MR. STANTON: Mr. Bosler says, I don't mean to
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

put you on the spot, and us attorneys, we always say what we're just about to tell you we're not going to do. I like to put you on the spot, and that is: Is there no case where you believe that the death penalty, you can vote as an individual juror for the death penalty?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work with clients all of the time who are facing charges, and it's my stance and my profession to keep a neutral position, and it's not my position in that setting to judge if somebody is guilty or if somebody is innocent. I am there merely, you know, as a psychiatric nurse to help them with their issues. Also to help them to get competent to go to trial.

But it's hard for me to divorce myself from that because as a person, that's who I am. That's very much the kind of persona I am anyway.

I don't feel that it's my -- I always believe there is a reason for behavior. So in facing this as I would in other issues, I would be thinking there is always a reason for behavior, and even though it's not -- I do not condone murder at all, but there was a psychiatric reason. I would think that somebody at that time, you know, was perhaps, you know, temporarily, they were not, you know, all together competent when they committed that offense.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Miss Ashley, once again, if I could ask the question again. You gave me some insight

into your perspectives, but you haven't answered my question 1 2 directly. A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. 3 MR. STANTON: And I'll reask the question. 4 5 there any case that you believe you could as a juror vote 6 for the imposition of the death penalty? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably up until this 7 8 point in time, no. MR. STANTON: And do you think, based upon what 9 you know about this case, not so much the facts of the case, 10 but the fact that it is a first degree murder case, do you 11 have a sense that there's no way in the world you could 12 impose the death penalty in a case like this? 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think it's a matter of 14 15 volition. It is just I don't want to. MR. STANTON: I understand you may not want to. 16 Probably no one does. 17 18 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. MR. STANTON: I think I could fairly say. 19 the question is: Can you? 20 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would probably give all 21 my arguments to everybody else as to why psychiatrically 22 maybe this person did what they did or the sociological 23 reason or whatever reason. I would be the person defending 24 this person saying, Hey, you know, that's why we have prison 25

psychiatric units.

MR. STANTON: Miss Ashley, if none of that was presented as evidence, in other words, you may take things from your work, but unless it's proven as evidence in this court, you can't consider it. So if there was no evidence that would support your theories of psychiatric forensic examination, would you still be tending to interject your work and your other case studies into this?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It would be very difficult not to.

MR. STANTON: Okay.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: But may I ask you a question? I mean, in somebody's case where you are judging whether somebody is going to live or die, you are not going to provide some kind of psychiatric evaluation?

MR. STANTON: Ma'am, I can't answer that question for you because we're not at that stage yet.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: But that would be really -- you know, I'm not trying to be terrible here, but you know, we're talking about somebody's life. I would surely hope that you would consider all of that, not just some of these other things.

MR. STANTON: Well, once again, I can't answer the question to you because that part of the trial hasn't occurred. My question to you is: Can you impose the death

1	penalty, yes or no, in any case that you can envision?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What if you don't know?
3	THE COURT: Well, you are directing that to me?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: You know, what if you up
5	until this point in time up until this point in time, I
6	
_	have not come across anything where I could feel comfortable
7	saying, you know, kill that person, and be part of a legally
8	sanctioned plot to kill somebody.
9	THE COURT: The question goes to your personal
10	philosophy.
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
12	THE COURT: If you think there may be an
13	opportunity given certain sets of circumstances where you
14	could impose you would consider that as an option, or
15	whether or not you would never consider that as an option.
16	There is really no right or wrong answer. I hope you don't
17	feel like there is. It's just whatever the answer is. And
18	if you can't answer it, you can tell Mr. Stanton that you
19	can't answer it.
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In my personal
21	philosophy, aside from the legal system?
22	THE COURT: Right, we're not asking whether or
23	not you would violate
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In my personal
25	philosophy, that would not even be an option, you know. Do
	11

17.

you know what I'm saying?

MR. STANTON: That wouldn't be an option, being the death penalty; correct?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In my personal philosophy, death penalty would not even be an option. It wouldn't even be a consideration.

MR. STANTON: What about your role as a juror in this case if you are instructed as a matter of law that that's one of three options for this case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably what you would face with me is I would be the last person, if I had to be, saying, Hey, blah, blah, blah, blah; but ultimately if everybody, you know, there was this question on the form that says, Would you finally go with what everybody said, well, if I had to go with what everybody said but at least I made my arguments and I would have a clear conscience, you know, saying hey, you know, I tried to do my best.

MR. GREGORY: Your Honor, if I might, I'm having difficulty with Mr. Stanton's statement that that's one of only three options available. You know, I think it's important that the jury understand that there are other charges and that if the defendant is convicted on those other charges, that this Court has the sentencing option that's available to it.

THE COURT: Okay. We have all been talking

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about the most serious offense that this particular defendant is charged with. There are other offenses and we don't know what it will result in the trial and how the jury will reach its verdicts. We want to be sure that the jury doesn't misunderstand their role. The only time the jury determines penalty is if there is a conviction for first degree murder. Other issues, other charges, et cetera, are not within the jury's

purview to determine penalty.

MR. STANTON: Miss Ashley, if I understand what I think ultimately you expressed regarding the death penalty and your role as a juror in this case is, while it wouldn't be something that you on your own would consider, you would consider the facts and the law as you are instructed, get whatever argument you felt was appropriate, but certainly the death penalty is an option that you ultimately could vote?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If I had to, but I would also be arguing with everybody else, you know, before I finally had to, if I had to.

MR. STANTON: Well, you never have to. You never have to vote for the death penalty.

THE COURT: You are looking at me. He is right, you never have to.

> MR. STANTON: So I don't want you to put in a SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	hypothetical or something that you are going through by your
2	answer to give you a misconception. The death penalty is
3	something that is never automatic. Never required.
4	So my question to you is a very specific one,
5	Miss Ashley, and that is: Is it a sentencing option that
6	you could fairly consider in a criminal case?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. I want to share
8	something with you.
9	THE COURT: Miss Ashley, you have to answer the
10	question.
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.
12	THE COURT: If you can. If you can't, say, I
13	can't answer it.
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know if I can
15	really answer that yes or no without sharing some feedback
16	for you on one thing.
17	MR. STANTON: Will it answer my question?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It might clarify.
19	MR. STANTON: Well, I think I understand your
20	position, Miss Ashley, as far as the philosophical one. I'm
21	more concerned with just a simple straightforward question
22	to you. Is it fairly an option for you to consider in this
23	case if you sat as a juror in this case?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
25	MR. STANTON: Is there anybody on the
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

prospective panel — we have talked about your personal views of the death penalty and a consideration as a potential sentencing in this case. Is there anybody in the panel that would have outside influences that may make that decision uncomfortable for them? Specifically friends, acquaintances or co-workers that would criticize you relative to your verdict one way or another in this case, either the verdicts of death in the penalty phase or life verdict, and that that criticism would weigh on your mind in your deliberations to the extent that you couldn't fairly and impartially deliberate the facts and the law?

Is there anybody that has any concerns about

Is there anybody that has any concerns about friends, acquaintances and criticism of whatever their verdict may be in this case?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: No.

THE COURT: My final question to you all is a process that deals with selecting what we call a foreperson and is the dynamic that none of us here know how it occurs. There is no instruction or rule of law to give you. But that when you go back to deliberate, it is up to you collectively as a jury to select a foreperson, and the foreperson in this case is selected from the 12 that hear and deliberate the case.

My question is going to be a question for each one of you, and I'll start with Miss James.

1	Miss James, if you were elected the foreperson
2	in this case, and the facts and the law supported in your
3	mind that the death penalty be the appropriate punishment in
4	this case, could you sign your name as the foreperson to a
5	document that puts that man to death?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If the facts and
7	everything, yes.
8	MR. STANTON: Miss Kersbergen?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
10	MR. STANTON: Miss Patch?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12	MR. STANTON: Miss Ziler.
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
14	MR. STANTON: Miss Horner.
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
16	MR. STANTON: Miss Grate.
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18	MR. STANTON: Miss Minassian?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
20	MR. STANTON: Mr. Mullins?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, sir.
22	MR. STANTON: Mr. Ralston?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
24	MR. STANTON: Miss Ashley?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I'd move to exclude
2	Miss Ashley for cause.
3	THE COURT: Go ahead and finish your inquiry.
4	MR. STANTON: Mr. Sheahan?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
6	MR. STANTON: Miss Callahan?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
8	MR. STANTON: Miss Bell?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
10	MR. STANTON: Mr. Jones?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12	MR. STANTON: Mr. Grider?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
14	MR. STANTON: Miss Johnson?
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
16	MR. STANTON: Miss Hodges?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know.
18	MR. STANTON: Miss Scolari?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
20	MR. STANTON: Mr. Butler?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
22	MR. STANTON: Mr. Buck?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
24	MR. STANTON: Mr. Salais?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	MR. STANTON: Miss Kruse?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
3	MR. STANTON: Miss O'Keefe?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
5	MR. STANTON: Mr. Ayers?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
7	MR. STANTON: Mr. Estes?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
9	MR. STANTON: Mr. Tower?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
11	MR. STANTON: Mr. Carmichael?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
13	MR. STANTON: Miss Knight?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15	MR. STANTON: Miss Petrilak?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
17	MR. STANTON: Mr. Costello?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
19	MR. STANTON: Miss Martin?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
21	MR. STANTON: Mr. Webb?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23	MR. STANTON: Miss Smith?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
25	MR. STANTON: Miss Frazer?
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
2	MR. STANTON: Miss Shively?
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I believe I'd move
5	for cause with Mr. Salais and Miss Hodges based upon their
6	response when I asked the question.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Bosler?
8	MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, there's nothing in
9	Nevada law in fact, the District Attorney indicated,
10	nothing that guides them in their selection of a foreperson.
11	No one says they have to accept the job as a foreperson. So
12	the only foreperson job is to sign the death warrant the
1 3	death verdict form.
14	And so if either of these people decide they
1 5	don't want to be foreperson, then that alleviates any
16	problem. So although this is kind of a bootstrapping
17	Weatherspoon challenge, there is nothing that says people
18	have to be forepeople. For that reason, the challenge
19	should fail.
20	MR. STANTON: Would the Court like me to
21	respond?
22	THE COURT: No. Miss Ashley, you are excused.
23	Mr. Salais, you are excused.
24	We're going to replace some people, but
25	Miss Hodges, you're still crying, and I couldn't really hear
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1 your response. I think Mr. Stanton must have been able to 2 hear your response, and the court reporter probably heard 3 it. But I know that you are having difficulty with the 4 case, and originally you started becoming emotional over 5 looking at graphic testimony. But now are you having 6 problems just with the nature of the case? 7 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It's just too emotional 8 I mean, like I said earlier, I'm a single parent, 9 and you know, I try to be a good person by going out there, 10 working, making a living, supporting my daughter, this and 11 that. And I never had to do anything like this before. 12 It's very emotional for me. This is very hard. 13 And if I'm doing this now, I have a feeling I'm going to be 14 even worse during the trial. 15 THE COURT: The clerk will call the names of 16 those jurors to replace the jurors that are missing. First 17 juror called will take the chair number 5. 18 THE CLERK: John E. Kennedy. James D. 19 McMorran. 20 THE COURT: That is taking chair 11. 21 THE CLERK: Bruce C. Miller. 22 THE COURT: Taking chair 21. 23 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I know him. 24 THE COURT: You know James McMorran? Who did 25 you know?

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: John. We train together.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Kennedy, you know
3	Miss Grate. Do you have any problem knowing each other?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
5	THE COURT: Would it cause you any difficulty
6	serving on the same jury?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
8	THE COURT: Miss Grate, would you have any
9	difficulty?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy, have you read the list
12	yet?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, ma'am.
14	THE COURT: Are you acquainted with or know
15	anyone on that list?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I work for the fire
17	department, so some of these officers' names are real
18	familiar to me. And I do know a lot of police officers in
19	Washoe County.
20	THE COURT: Now, is there anything about your
21	relationship with the police department for being a fire
22	fighter that would cause you difficulty being fair and
23	impartial on this case?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. I would like to
25	bring up, though, that I did attend Officer Sullivan's
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

į	
1	funeral with the honor guard, but I didn't know Officer
2	Sullivan personally.
3	THE COURT: That was with the fire honor guard?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
5	THE COURT: Is there anything about the service
6	that would be difficult for you to be fair and impartial?
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
8	THE COURT: Would you feel if you happened to
9	sit on a jury that reached a verdict, particular verdict,
10	that you had to justify that verdict to any of your fellow
11	firemen or police officers that you run into contact with?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13	THE COURT: I see you have a list of all the
14	questions.
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I basically just started
16	writing down officers' names, but I know there are too many
17	of them. I need more paper, I guess. We work together out
17 18	of them. I need more paper, I guess. We work together out in the streets.
18	in the streets.
18 19	in the streets. THE COURT: What about the rest of my
18 19 20	in the streets. THE COURT: What about the rest of my questions? Would you have wanted to respond affirmatively
18 19 20 21	in the streets. THE COURT: What about the rest of my questions? Would you have wanted to respond affirmatively to any of those questions?
18 19 20 21 22	in the streets. THE COURT: What about the rest of my questions? Would you have wanted to respond affirmatively to any of those questions? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
18 19 20 21 22 23	in the streets. THE COURT: What about the rest of my questions? Would you have wanted to respond affirmatively to any of those questions? A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No. THE COURT: You never served on a jury before?

193
crime?
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
THE COURT: Thank you. We also have Mr. James
McMorran. Have you had an opportunity to review the list?
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I have.
THE COURT: Are you related to, familiar with
or know any people on that list?
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I'm not.
THE COURT: Did you keep track of the questions
that you might respond to?
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, I have got a few.
THE COURT: Okay.
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The first one was related
to police officers. My brother is a deputy sheriff in
Colorado. I have got two brother-in-laws that are
correctional officers in California. And I served on a
church board a few years ago with Lieutenant Busick from the
Washoe County Sheriff's Department.
THE COURT: Is there anything about your
relationship with and family relationships with police
officers that would cause you difficulty serving in this
case?
A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
THE COURT: Would you be able to afford both
sides, the State and the defense, equal opportunity, and

1	afford them both your impartial analysis of the evidence?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Any other questions?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: There's a question about
5	testifying at trial or something. I testify routinely for
6	the Power Company, before the Public Utilities Commission.
7	I don't know if that counts.
8	THE COURT: It may not be quite exactly the
9	same, but it is similar to being a witness. Would you feel
10	compelled to put your own experiences into the witness box
11	as people are testifying?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
13	THE COURT: Anything else?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Crime victim, I have had
15	my car broke into about 15 years ago in L.A. County.
16	THE COURT: Did it impact your feelings with
17	regard to law enforcement or criminal defendants?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, it doesn't.
19	That was really about it.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And then I think I also have
21	Mr. Miller; correct?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Did you get a chance to read the
24	witness list?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, Your Honor. I don't
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	know anybody.
2	THE COURT: Were you able to keep track of the
. 3	questions you would respond to?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I have a friend
5	that is a police officer, a guy I went to high school with,
6	but that wouldn't affect me.
7	And I'm also friends with Dave Vial who works
8	in the District Attorney's Office.
· 9	THE COURT: I am sorry, a who?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Dave Vial.
11	THE COURT: And do you talk about his work with
12	him?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I haven't seen him in a
14	few months, and it's very social. We don't really talk
15	about work.
16	THE COURT: Would you feel that you would have
17	to justify any particular result in this case to your
18	friend?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Do you have anything else on your
21	list?
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, those were the only
23	things. I mean, other than having my bike stolen when I was
24	in college, I haven't been a victim of crime.
25	THE COURT: There is no problem carrying
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	196
1	forward with regard to that?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: What about any questions that
4	Mr. Stanton may have asked any of you? Do any of you
5	have would you want to respond affirmatively to any of
6	his concerns? I'm going to allow him to inquire further of
7	you in a few minutes, but if you have anything you want to
8	bring up right now, do it.
9	The three of you have anything? Okay.
10	Mr. Stanton, can you get through it quickly?
11	MR. STANTON: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Go ahead. Then we'll be able to
13	have the jury panel as soon as we take our break.
14	MR. STANTON: I have a couple questions that
15	I'd like to follow up on based upon the comments to your
16	questionnaire. You filled out a questionnaire for most of
17	you sometime maybe back in January and April and came in for
18	what we refer to as a supplemental questionnaire.
19	Miss Callahan, can you tell me, what attorneys
20	did you work for prior to your current?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'm not currently
22	working. I worked for Woodburn & Wedge.
23	MR. STANTON: Primarily civil work?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
25	MR. STANTON: Do any criminal work at all?

1 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Not at all. And then I 2 did work for Ron Logar just last year, and Ken Howard is in 3 the office, too. 4 MR. STANTON: So a little bit of --5 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just hearing what's going 6 But I didn't do any work with him. on. 7 MR. STANTON: Is that primarily for Ken Howard 8 as a judge over in muni court? 9 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: It was before he really 10 started working there. 11 MR. STANTON: And, Miss Knight, in your initial 12 questionnaire, you wrote on the back one sentence of a line 13 about judgment. 14 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 15 MR. STANTON: Can you help me, can you explain 16 that a little bit more, what you meant by that comment? 17 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. I felt that I 18 personally could not judge a person on their guilt. 19 However, I kind of reconsidered because I thought about this 20 and realizing that I am going to be with people present, I 21 was just going from kind of a general statement, but what 22 the presentation is and realized that without all of us 23 looking at the evidence, we'd be in a lot of trouble. And I 24 realized that I'm not really judging the person anyway. 25 It's not me, Marria. That we're doing it, we're looking at SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1 the evidence.

I still don't call it judgment. And I realized that it's just a consequence. It's cause, cause and effect. You do this, this is what happens. And so I really kind of had to reconsider that it is not really judgment, it is a consequence, though, and yes, I could be a part of consequence for what evidence shows.

MR. STANTON: Has been presented to you?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Miss Howard.

MR. BOSLER: At this point, I don't know if the Court wants to interject an objection on its own part, but it is improper for Mr. Stanton or me to argue that it is their responsibility to impose something less than death. It isn't the system, it isn't a consequence. Anything that minimizes the jury's realization of what they will do, is sentence someone to death, would be improper.

So I don't know if this is the idea that the juror has. I think the Court should probably correct that misconception at this point.

THE COURT: I didn't take it that way. But I didn't even take the question with regard to penalty. I thought it was more with regard to guilt or innocence from her questionnaire.

Mrs. Knight, with regard to serving on the

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

jury, you had initially said something about not being able to sit on the jury.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, to judge.

THE COURT: To judge. Are you telling us now that a determination as to the facts as I have given you instruction on would not in your mind be judging? what you are telling me?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right. Right. it's just terminology. But I just kind of did a lot of thinking and realized that that's not exactly what I meant.

THE COURT: Now, in this particular case, if the jury determination is one of guilt in first degree, then the next phase of the trial will take place, which is a determination by the jury of the appropriate penalty to be imposed.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Right.

THE COURT: Now, Mr. Bosler thought perhaps you misunderstood and thought you had to make a particular result with regard to the penalty, that if you sat on the jury, you only could do one thing. Do you feel that you would be able to consider a potential penalty, all potential penalties, and reach a decision as to a recommendation and a decision to the Court as to the potential penalty if you sat on this kind of a jury but returned that kind of verdict?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: So I think that clears it up, and
2	then I'll let you, Mr. Bosler, inquire further in your
3	questions, because I think we're only dealing with an issue
4	of judgment at this point.
5	MR. STANTON: Miss Shively, in your
6	questionnaire you talked about beyond a shadow of a doubt.
7	You have heard the Judge's instruction on reasonable doubt.
8	Do you have any difficulty with that instruction of law as
9	she read it to you this afternoon?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.
11	MR. STANTON: Mr. Kennedy, my question to you,
12	did you hear my questions regarding the foreperson being
13	selected as foreperson?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15	MR. STANTON: Sir, if you were selected as a
16	foreperson in this case and the facts and the law supported
17	a verdict of death, could you sign that verdict form and
18	sentence Mr. Vanisi to death?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
20	MR. STANTON: Mr. McMorran, that same question
21	to you, sir.
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
23	MR. BOSLER: Your Honor, I don't mean to be
24	difficult. I'm going to impose an objection here. We had
25	an earlier discussion about whether it is proper to make a
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	juror commit to any particular position. If it's wrong for
2	me, it should be wrong for the State, and I'll note an
3	Objection.
4	MR. STANTON: Your Honor, the question is not
5	to commit any juror here or potential juror to a potential
6	position. Merely whether they could, under the appropriate
7	facts and instructions of law, do a specific role as the
8	foreperson.
9	THE COURT: Right. I'm not exactly sure what
10	you are thinking of, Mr. Bosler. If you are talking about
11	that series of eight questions or so for each juror that I
12	said you couldn't ask, that still stands. If you have a
13	similar one question for each juror, like Mr. Stanton is
14	using, we can certainly talk about that.
1 5	MR. BOSLER: I note an objection for the
16	record, Your Honor.
17	MR. STANTON: Mr. Miller, finally, to you, sir,
18	do you recall my question?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Can you restate it so I
20	don't make sure I reverse it?
21	MR. STANTON: Certainly. There is a process of
22	which none of us are really involved in, and that is the
23	jury comes back to deliberate, to determine which of their
24	12 is the foreperson.
25	If you were elected as a foreperson and if the
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1 law and the facts supported in your mind the decision that 2 the death penalty was appropriate, could you indeed sign 3 that form and sentence Mr. Vanisi to death? 4 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 5 MR. STANTON: Thank you. Thank you, Your 6 Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, we're 8 going to take our afternoon recess. As you have noticed, it 9 takes us about 20 minutes at best to get in and out of here. 10 You will be able to go out onto the floor. There is public 11 restrooms. 12 Can we open the jury room in Department 3 if we need additional restrooms? 13 14 DEPUTY BROKAW: I'll check on that, Your Honor, 15 but they were having a hearing earlier. 16 THE COURT: I know it is going to be quick and 17 hard, but I ask that you stay on this floor. I don't really 18 want you running around the courthouse. We'll run more risk 19 of losing you, and as you can see, every minute counts. 20 Yes, Mr. Ralston. 21 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Can we leave some stuff 22 here this time? 23 THE COURT: Those of you in the 36 here, just 24 leave your stuff. Nobody is going to disturb them. You can 25 leave them on your chair. Feel comfortable to do that. SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1 In the audience, there's -- I can't guarantee 2 everybody would sit in the exact same spot. So maybe you 3 better take your things a little bit with you. But the 4 staff will be here if you feel comfortable leaving it. 5 Nobody will walk out the door with your things. 6 During this break -- yes. 7 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Is there anywhere we can 8 smoke up on this top floor? 9 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Isn't there a balcony? There used to be a balcony we could smoke on. 10 11 THE COURT: The smoker's balcony has been 12 closed. 13 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, man. 14 A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Can we take the elevator 15 down to outside? 16 THE COURT: What we'll do is we will have 17 one -- Mr. Anderson, go ahead and stand up, get by the door, 18 go ahead and go on outside. 19 If you want to go outside, Mr. Anderson will 20 take you outside for a smoke. Please stay together so that 21 nobody will be talking about the case and disturbing you, 22 and he will stay with you. But he will get you out to have 23 a cigarette. 24 Go ahead and go on over across the street or 25 down out through the parking garage, either place with the SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1 jury j

jury panel. Just away from the entrance.

Now, during this break, remember, we're going to be back here in 15 minutes. So if you look at my clock, it is just a few minutes after 4:15. During the break, it is your duty not to discuss among yourselves or with anyone else any matter having to do with this case.

It is your further duty not to form or express any opinion regarding the guilt or innocence of the defendant until the case has been finally submitted to you for decision. You are not to read, look at or view in any manner any news media accounts relating to this case should there be any. And should any person attempt to discuss this case with you, or in any manner attempt to influence you with regard to it, you are to report such an occurrence to the bailiff immediately, and he in turn will report it to me.

Court is in recess.

(Recess taken at 4:06 p.m.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RENO, NEVADA, MONDAY, SEPTEBER 20, 1999, 4:24 P.M.

-000-

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury panel.)

THE COURT: Deputy Brokaw, Mr. Anderson, do you feel you kept your jury panel together enough that we can go forward without calling the roll?

DEPUTY BROKAW: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel stipulate to the presence at least of the 36 that you can see?

MR. STANTON: Yes, Your Honor, State does.

MR. BOSLER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you comfortable going forward without calling the roll?

MR. BOSLER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Proceed, Mr. Bosler.

MR. BOSLER: Ladies and gentlemen, my name is Jeremy Bosler. We met earlier. Siaosi Vanisi, Stephen Gregory.

I hope you understand that we appreciate the patience you have shown so far. I know some people have had a little difficulty with the pace at which things go, but hopefully everybody can understand this is not something to be pushed into, nothing to be taken lightly or given short

trip.

Hopefully you will still extend to me the same patience and courtesy you have shown my opposing counsel, Mr. Stanton, and with that I'll begin.

Now, some of the questions I'm going to ask you, a lot of people have opinions about penalties, what type of situation would warrant a sentence of death. What I would like you to understand is this is the only time I'm going to ask you these questions. I mean, we're talking about the first degree murder, a murder that is premeditated, deliberate, unlawful, willful murder. So we're not talking about self-defense murders, justifiable homicide, accidental killings. My questions to you are going to be phrased in the issue or in the context of first degree murder.

And I'd like to step back a second, and it's a little bit awkward for me, I would imagine for any attorney, to stand here and ask you about what penalties you would impose upon any certain set of facts, because we're really way ahead — way behind that type of situation ever happening. The law requires counsel, the Court, the State, to ask you your opinions about penalties not because any of us presumes we have reached that point, but in order for you to qualify yourselves for a qualified juror, your views on penalties have to be made part of the record; and the law

	207
1	says those views affect your ability to even sit during the
2	guilt-innocence phase of any trial. So hopefully you can
3	understand that and see that this is an awkward position
4	that everybody is placed in for us to ask these questions.
5	I really have some general questions and some
6	specific questions. If I could just start with this issue.
7	Miss Hodges, I know that you are having some
8	difficulty with the gravity of the situation, for want of a
9	better word. Would you agree with me that it is important
10	for a person to have a fair trial?
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
12	MR. BOSLER: Part of that fair trial is having
13	a fair cross-section of your community sit as your juror?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15	MR. BOSLER: Do you think it would be fair for
16	anybody to go to trial, have a jury that was made up of
17	everybody who strongly felt the death penalty was
. 18	appropriate for all murders and thought that they would sign
19	one death verdict form before the trial started? Would that
20	be fair to a defendant to have a situation like that?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think I
22	understand.
23	MR. BOSLER: I know that you seem to have some
24	trouble with the issue of sentencing someone to death.
25	Would that be accurate?

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: When I answered the
2	questionnaire last Monday, I answered the ones yes, but
3	under certain circumstances.
4	MR. BOSLER: I think you said you favored the
5	death penalty.
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. I mean I do. But
7	I have never had to really think about it and actually go
8	through anything like this. So I feel like I'm kind of
9	like, my emotions are telling me that maybe I can't.
10	MR. BOSLER: And I appreciate your honesty. Do
11	you think it would be fair for a defendant to sit in front
12	of a jury that didn't look at this case emotionally and had
13	no reservations about imposing the death penalty, the people
14	of the exact opposite view? Would that be a fair situation?
15	MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I object. The
16	question is improper. The question by counsel can elicit
17	this juror's views, but not this juror's views about what
18	she would think is a fair panel. That is what this process
19	is all about.
20	MR. BOSLER: I disagree, Your Honor. Her views
21	of her role as a juror certainly relate to her ability to
22	serve in this trial.
23	MR. STANTON: And I have no objection to
24	counsel asking questions along those lines. But that is not
25	the question he posed. He is asking a question about
	SIEDDA NEVADA DEDODTEDS (775) 329-6560

whether or not this jury feels about a panel sitting in this case, not this individual juror's views.

THE COURT: I'm confused by your question. I'm sorry. I don't see where you're going with it. I think the juror is a little confused with the question also. If your intent is to ask her how she feels, what her position is, get directly to that.

She hasn't said she can or cannot feel comfortable with signing a verdict. She said she didn't know. So I don't think she's said one way or the other with that question, if that's what you're asking with regard to Mr. Stanton's question.

MR. BOSLER: Perhaps I can present it this way.

What type of person do you think it would

require to be a fair person in a death penalty case? What

type of qualities would a person have to have to be a fair

person in a death penalty case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Somebody that won't get emotional of life.

MR. BOSLER: If I could. Miss Grate, any ideas on your part, what type of qualities a person should have to serve fairly on a death penalty case?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They should be impartial. They should be someone who probably respects the law, and someone who doesn't sway either way, isn't like biased or

1	prejudiced.
2	MR. BOSLER: And why do you think impartiality
3	is an important issue?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Impartiality?
5	MR. BOSLER: Yes.
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Because that makes for a
7	fair trial.
8	MR. BOSLER: And what type of people do you
9	think would be impartial?
10	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know. Anybody.
11	MR. BOSLER: People whose family members are
12	police officers?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
14	MR. BOSLER: Why do you think it would be more
15	difficult for them to serve on this type of jury?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Someone who has family
17	members as police officers?
18	MR. BOSLER: Yes.
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The specific case is
20	because the police officer is the one who was murdered would
21	be the only one thing that would taint that view.
22	MR. BOSLER: And this is kind of your own
23	situation, roughly?
24	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
25	MR. BOSLER: I know that you had discussions
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

with the Court, with the State, even with me, earlier. We talked a lot about impartiality, whether you thought you could be fair, and I think you, to be honest, you have said that you are not quite sure whether you can be fair.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: But I would try.

MR. BOSLER: You would try.

Your fairness as it relates to this case again, to go back to what I said earlier, extends to your ability to judge a penalty fairly. Do you understand that?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.

MR. BOSLER: It would be your responsibility to decide whether someone lives or dies. In your specific situation, with the brother-in-law almost being hired as a UNR police officer, Mr. Sullivan being a UNR police officer, if you were to find that a person was guilty of first degree murder and the death of Mr. Sullivan, a police officer, would the fact your brother-in-law is a -- was in this situation, would that affect your ability to decide what penalty was appropriate?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I would try not to let it. It may sway me as to making a decision. It might put me in a certain -- more towards one side than another.

MR. BOSLER: Do you feel it would put you more towards the side of arguing for death for a person?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.

1	MR. BOSLER: Even though the Court tells you
2	you need to consider a lot of things, really your
3	circumstances would put you towards death?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know the whole
5	picture, but probably.
6	MR. BOSLER: And I get the impressions it is
7	something you have thought about since
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Pardon me?
9	MR. BOSLER: I get the impression this is
10	something you thought about since we last were here a week
11	ago?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
13	MR. BOSLER: Does the fact that the Court may
14	instruct you that you need to consider multiple penalties
15	really change the way you feel inside, that you are being
16	pushed towards death because of your circumstances?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, it doesn't I
18	don't think it changes the way I feel, but I'm being more
19	impartial the longer I sit here. The longer I weigh things
20	and see the full picture.
21	MR. BOSLER: Why do you say that? I'm
22	interested.
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Just because I'm starting
24	to see a little bit more. I mean, we all come in here not
25	knowing too much, and then the more you see, you don't know.
	STERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	MR. BOSLER: If I could, Mr. Tower, you
2	indicated you are an accountant with the Reno Gazette.
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
4	MR. BOSLER: You indicated that you were the, I
5	guess the victim of an armed robbery, you tried to stop a
6	shoplifter.
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: There was two separate
8	instances. I worked for a truck store down in Los Angeles,
9	and their cashier called out the code for robbery. I went
10	running up, and she said he had already left the building,
11	which I went out to chase him, and he turned around and shot
12	at us.
13	MR. BOSLER: So you were actually shot at.
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15	MR. BOSLER: The police I would think came to
16	help investigate it?
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
18	MR. BOSLER: The reason I asked that is because
19	on your questionnaire, I don't get the impression that you
20	had a different occupation. In fact, the questionnaire does
21	not allow for past employers. And again, I was hoping that
22	you and the Court would be patient with me.
23	If you could, I'd like to start at the top to
24	see if you have changed occupations in the last five years
25	and if you could tell me a little bit more about yourself
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	before we undertake this big proceeding. If the Court will
2	allow that.
3	I'll start with Miss James.
4	MR. STANTON: Your Honor, could counsel
5	approach?
6	(Whereupon, a bench conference was held among
7	Court and counsel as follows.)
8	MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I'm going to object
9	to the question. Number one, it's not specific. It's
10	compound in nature, and he's asking about the change of
11	employment in their past five years.
12	I think in general, a question can be is if
13	they have changed their employment since they have filled
14	out their questionnaire, and certainly the question to tell
15	Mr. Bosler a little bit more about themselves is
16	inappropriate.
17	I'd say approximately 50 percent of
18	Mr. Bosler's questions so far are philosophical ones that
19	aren't designed to elicit any information as to whether or
20	not the person can sit as a juror. He's asking
21	philosophical ones. And I was about ready to ask a side bar
22	to interpose an objection that he is trying to indoctrinate
23	the jury to the position of his case rather than asking
24	appropriate voir dire questions.
25	THE COURT: Is it your desire to ask every
	CIEDDA NESTADA DEDODEEDO 1775) 2206560

1	juror to say what their occupation has been in the last five
2	years and tell you about themselves?
3	MR. BOSLER: If they have changed their
4	occupation, and what it was. That in the sense is telling
5	me about themselves. Because we know from this juror,
6	although he appears to be an accountant on his
7	questionnaire, he had this experience essentially quasi law
8	enforcement.
9	THE COURT: I think that's kind of stretching
10	his experience with the shoplifting 12 years ago. We
11	wouldn't even get a response out of him.
12	I'll let you ask a question if anyone has
13	changed their occupation in the last five years, and then
14	you can follow up with a question as to what their
15	occupation was previously.
16	But not to tell me a little bit more about
17	yourself question. Let's get into some specifics.
18	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, in the presence of the jury
19	panel.)
20	MR. BOSLER: For the sake of time, Mr. Tower
21	indicated his employment wasn't on his questionnaire. Is
22	there anybody else on the jury panel who has changed
23	occupations in the last five years? Quite a few people.
24	THE COURT: Not jobs, occupations. About 12.
25	MR. BOSLER: About 12 people. I'll start at
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

}:]
1	the top and work my way cross.
2	Miss Kersbergen.
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I went from a housewife
4	to teacher's aid.
5	MR. BOSLER: To teacher's aid?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah.
7	MR. BOSLER: How long have you been a teacher's
8	aid.
9	THE COURT: That is on the questionnaire. Her
10	answer on the questionnaire was as a teacher's aid.
1 1	MR. BOSLER: When did you make this change?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: About two years ago.
13	THE COURT: I think the significance is the
14	different occupation, not how long they have been at the
15	occupation.
16	MR. BOSLER: I disagree. If someone changed
17	THE COURT: I just decided.
18	MR. BOSLER: The Court is not going to allow me
19	to ask when they changed?
20	THE COURT: Exactly.
21	MR. BOSLER: Any particular reason?
22	THE COURT: I'll be glad to share it with you
23	but let's get through this panel for now.
24	MR. BOSLER: Okay, Your Honor.
25	Miss Patch.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. I changed two job
2	changes in the last year.
3	MR. BOSLER: Don't tell me when it happened.
4	Just the change.
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: What I did?
6	MR. BOSLER: Yes.
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Both of them?
8	MR. BOSLER: Yes.
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: The City of Reno to
10	Washoe County to federal jobs.
11	MR. BOSLER: To federal jobs?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: To a federal government
13	job.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Bosler is looking for
15	occupation. You are a residential advisor now?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
17	THE COURT: What did you previously do?
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Before that I was a
19	Sheriff's support specialist.
20	THE COURT: That is what he needs to find out
21	about.
22	And before that?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Animal Control, City of
24	Reno.
25	THE COURT: Were you a peace officer in those
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

	210
1	positions?
2	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was an animal control
3	officer.
4	MR. BOSLER: A what?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Animal control officer.
6	For the Reno PD. Prior to the Sheriff's Department.
7	MR. BOSLER: Am I allowed to ask her how long
8	she had that job?
9	THE COURT: Sure.
10	MR. BOSLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	If you could, Miss Patch, how long were you
12	involved in this Reno Police Department function?
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Eight and-a-half years.
14	MR. BOSLER: I appreciate your telling me that.
1 5	I'll come back to that.
16	Miss Horner.
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was a waitress, and now
18	I work in a warehouse.
19	MR. BOSLER: Thank you. And Miss Grate.
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was a medical
21	secretary. Now I'm a personal trainer.
22	MR. BOSLER: What type of secretary?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Medical.
24	MR. BOSLER: And anybody else in the last row?
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I went from warehousing
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	distribution into the gaming industry.
2	MR. BOSLER: All right. And Miss Minassian?
3	No.
4	Second row?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Mine is merely company
6	change. Same profession.
7	MR. BOSLER: Same job, title, description?
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was a general
9	accounting manager, went to controller, and then went back
10	to general accounting manager.
11	MR. BOSLER: Anybody else in the second row?
12	Yes, Miss Johnson.
13	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Cashier. I was there for
14	two years. Went to a housewife and to cleaning agency.
15	MR. BOSLER: That's where you work now?
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
17	MR. BOSLER: Third row. Anybody in the third
18	row?
19	Miss Kruse?
20	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Kruse. Assistant to
21	registered nurse.
22	MR. BOSLER: Step up?
23	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I hope but I haven't
24	figured it out yet.
25	MR. BOSLER: Mr. Miller.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Went from being a
2	military aviator to an airline pilot.
3	MR. BOSLER: How long were you in the military?
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Eleven years.
5	MR. BOSLER: Is that where you became a pilot?
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I actually had my pilot's
7	license prior to that. But that is where the majority of my
8	training has been.
9	MR. BOSLER: Anybody else in the third row?
10	Miss Hodges.
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I worked in the bank, and
12	then now I work in a dealership, car dealership.
13	MR. BOSLER: Local bank?
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
15	MR. BOSLER: And the fourth row? Mr. Costello.
16	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I worked as a musician,
17	to technical director at the Eldorado.
18	MR. BOSLER: How long were you a musician?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Fifteen years.
20	MR. BOSLER: And Miss Martin?
21	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was customer service,
22	and now I'm a delivery driver for wholesale magazines and
23	books.
24	MR. BOSLER: And this last row, anybody change?
25	Miss Frazer.
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I worked for a title
2	company, then I was a housewife, and then back to title
3	company.
4	MR. BOSLER: And now what title company?
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Stewart Title.
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I was a communications
7	manager, and now I'm a receptionist.
8	MR. BOSLER: Are these both at Reno Mazda?
9	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, the one,
10	receptionist, is at Reno Mazda.
11	MR. BOSLER: Communications?
12	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: At the Flamingo Hilton.
13	THE COURT: Miss James also is raising her
14	hand.
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't know if you need
16	to know. I went from one type of driving to another type of
17	driving.
18	MR. BOSLER: You drive for UPS right now?
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. And I was for UPS
20	before, but they trained me on a different vehicle.
21	MR. BOSLER: I appreciate you telling me that.
22	I want to ask particular people questions, and
23	if there is a response or something you would like to say to
24	add to that or you think it's important for the Court to
25	know, please raise your hand, and I'll try to keep track of
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560

1	what everybody is saying.	
2	Miss Ziler, you indicate on your questionnaire	
3	that you strongly favor the death penalty.	
4	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
5	MR. BOSLER: Can you tell me why you strongly	
6	favor the death penalty?	
7	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess it's an eye for	
8	an eye, tooth for a tooth.	
9	MR. BOSLER: All right. Is there any other	
10	reasons? That is kind of like a revenge type.	
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I guess it is, if you	
12	stop and think about it.	
13	MR. BOSLER: Is there any other reasons you	
14	support, strongly support the death penalty?	
15	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I don't think so. Not	
16	off the top of my head.	
17	MR. BOSLER: Have you thought about this	
18	opinion for a long time?	
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, it is not something I	
20	came to over night.	
21	MR. BOSLER: How long, if you could?	
22	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Years.	
23	MR. BOSLER: Any particular event that caused	
24	you to feel this way?	
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, murders, robberies,	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

1	you name it. Just got fed up with hearing it.	
2	MR. BOSLER: So things you hear in the news?	
3	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
4	MR. BOSLER: And no particular event do you	
5	think that's	
6	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, just the buildup of	
7	everything. I transferred up from California, and there was	
8	a lot of that. I don't even watch the news if I can help	
9	it. It's too depressing and too disgusting.	
10	MR. BOSLER: Do you read the paper?	
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No.	
12	MR. BOSLER: If you could, you strongly favor	
13	the death penalty, and it is kind of a revenge or extracting	
14	a common punishment, I guess. Can you think of a first	
15	degree murder case where you would think the death penalty	
16	is inappropriate?	
17	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
18	MR. BOSLER: What type of case is that?	
19	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If I don't think well,	
20	now. If I felt it were premeditated, then yes, I would be	
21	in favor of the death penalty. If I did not feel it was	
22	premeditated, then I wouldn't necessarily go for the death	
23	penalty.	
24	MR. BOSLER: I think I understand. If you were	
25	told that if a murder is premeditated, it is first degree	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

1	murder, that gets you right back to the same issue. If it	
2	is a premeditated first degree murder, can you think of a	
3	situation where you wouldn't say the death penalty is	
4	deserving?	
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Now manslaughter is	
6	accidental; right? That is not a premeditated?	
7	MR. BOSLER: Well, there are two kinds of	
8	manslaughter. Neither of them is murder. There is murder	
9	first, second, and then the manslaughters. Manslaughter is	
10	a lesser offense as far as the killing of a person.	
11	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If it were an accident,	
12	it was not premeditated, that's like extenuating	
13	circumstances, and that wouldn't necessarily be revenge	
14	factor there.	
15	MR. BOSLER: I think I understand that if it	
16	was a manslaughter case, you wouldn't think the death	
17	penalty was appropriate.	
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Probably not. Not	
19	knowing the actual circumstances, it's hard to give you a	
20	definitive answer one way or the other.	
21	MR. BOSLER: I'm just asking you to give me a	
22	little bit more idea of how strong this belief in the death	
23	penalty is in your mind.	
24	If I were to tell you that if it was a	
25	manslaughter case, you wouldn't have a choice of the death	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

penalty because the law only allows what they call a term of years for manslaughter. So that is not an issue. If this was a first degree murder case, premeditated, deliberate, willful, unlawful, all those legal terms in a first degree murder case, is there a situation where you think even though this is premeditated murder, the death penalty is not the right thing to do for a penalty?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: No, I don't think I would go for the death penalty.

MR. BOSLER: This brings up an issue. You have heard Mr. Stanton describe to you that even in a first degree murder case, there are three possible sentences allowed under the law.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

MR. BOSLER: Death penalty; life without the possibility of parole, you can die your natural life in prison; life with the possibility of parole, meaning you serve a certain number of years and you can be released on parole. Those are options that are available to everybody who sits in a jury situation like this.

Would I be correct if I understand your position to be no in the first degree murder sense, everything — the penalty should always be death?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Well, I don't see a sentence with parole because most of them wind up going

1	back. Rehabilitation is, at least what I understand it, is	
2	not very successful. I don't see sentencing somebody to	
3	life in prison. That's like a death penalty.	
4	MR. BOSLER: And so you'd reach that	
5	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: So, yeah.	
6	MR. BOSLER: For you, first degree murder,	
7	always the death penalty?	
8	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yeah. That's	
9	premeditated. That's horrible.	
10	MR. BOSLER: And I only appreciate you being	
11	honest. There is no right-wrong answer in the legal sense.	
12	I suppose you are an honest person; right? You	
13	consider yourself an honest person?	
14	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I certainly try to be.	
1 5	MR. BOSLER: There was some talk with earlier	
16	jurors about an oath and whether they would obey the oath to	
17	follow the Court's instructions.	
18	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.	
19	MR. BOSLER: Correct me if I'm wrong. My	
20	understanding is that even though the Court is going to give	
21	you these instructions, that you can consider different	
22	penalties, in your mind, you feel that whenever you reach	
23	the level of first degree murder, death is really the only	
24	option because it is premeditated?	
25	A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I think so. Deep down	
	SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS (775) 329-6560	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
•6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

23

24

25

that's the way I feel, yes.

MR. BOSLER: And this is despite what the instructions are that the Court may give you?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: If you're judging a case and you are being fair and impartial when you reach a guilty verdict on a premeditated murder, the judge is instructing you not to go for death penalty, that's a jury decision.

That's what I understand: correct?

MR. BOSLER: The decision is up to the jury. The Court instructs you to consider many things, but the ultimate decision is yours.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Correct. But if I come to a fair and impartial decision that yes, they are guilty, then yes, I go to the death penalty.

MR. BOSLER: And again, I appreciate your honesty.

I'd make a Witherspoon challenge, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Would you like to inquire,

Mr. Stanton?

MR. STANTON: First of all, Your Honor, I'd like to traverse the motion because the fundamental defect in Mr. Bosler's question and his motion is that he, based upon the juror's answer, Miss Ziler, is that there are two other types of theories that would not require premeditated intentional murder, both of which are applicable to this

case as charged in Count I of the charging document by the State, murder in the furtherance of a robbery pursuant to the felony murder rule and also murder by lying in wait.

So if his challenge for cause is because of Witherspoon, as Mr. Bosler sites as his authority, he hasn't established that as a predicate factor that indeed this juror is excusable under Witherspoon. I have no further questions, and I don't believe the motion should survive merely on Mr. Bosler's analysis.

MR. BOSLER: If the Court is willing, I'll go into the felony murder rule and lying in wait.

THE COURT: I think I'd rather instruct the jury because I think we're going down a road here that's not completely adequately instructing the jury.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, it's ten minutes to 5:00. I'm going to have to instruct you, and I want to be sure that the instruction that I give you at the next stage in the proceedings is accurate, and I'm going to pull that out. I had it and I don't have it in front of me now.

Therefore, I am going to let them go home for the day. It's been a long day, and you have all been very patient with us, and I appreciate that.

We're not going to be able to get this jury picked today. We have made great headway. We have made

б

very good headway, and we are very close to the selection of the jury.

It may seem like it's taking a long time. It really isn't. In the grand scheme of things, this is not an unusual amount of time given the nature of this case.

You all have been wonderful. You have been very attentive, and I appreciate that. Counsel are moving the case along as much as they can, and I assure you we're going to have a jury tomorrow.

So we are in good shape for the time. Those of you who haven't been in a trial like this before may feel some frustration by thinking that it took a long time. It hasn't. We really are making good progress.

However, when you go home this evening, you are going to be in a situation where we kind of heard some of the people here, people questioned you: What happened, what's going on. You tell them that you have been instructed by the Court that you cannot talk about it. And you have also been instructed by the Court to tell me if somebody tries to get you to talk about it.

And I'm telling you, I'm going to be asking for who is asking you about it. And you tell your co-workers, I have to tell the judge, so don't bug me about it, otherwise the judge is going to know and they are going to know that you are violating the order I was put under. If that helps

at all in assisting you to keep the pressure off, because that is absolutely essential to you and to your job here.

That applies to those of you sitting outside the rail as well as those of you sitting in front. Now, I don't want you to lose your written notes.

Mr. Bosler, you can go back.

I don't want you to lose your written notes, so could you please write your name on your notes, and you'll leave them with the bailiff tonight, and they will just give them back to you. Just write your name on the top of those questions that you have responded to. Nobody is going to be looking at them, I promise. But we'll just hold on to them for you so they don't get misplaced.

I don't want anybody to leave them in their pocket and lose them and have to start all over. Go ahead and write your name. If you know your juror number, that would even be better.

Just write your name. Okay. Is everybody ready to turn in their little slips of paper when they get ready to go?

We are going to start tomorrow morning at 10:15. So I have a long — I have other hearings first thing in the morning. So the bailiff is going to ask probably that you wait outside until we're ready to start your case. We're going to recess my other hearings at 10:00

a.m. So between 10:00 and 10:15, you can come into the courtroom and find your places.

We will not be able to start until all 36 of you are in your chairs and ready to go. We won't know for sure about those people in the audience that come back until I call the roll, which I will do in the morning, and again, we will have to wait if you are not here. So as you saw this morning, or at lunch, we called the Jury Commissioner who got on the phone and started calling around.

We did not start without everyone here. So please be considerate of your fellow jurors and get here so we can start. Otherwise everyone will be waiting. And everyone will be on the hook until we find someone who is missing.

So if you have a reason why you are late, please be sure to call in so we know where you are at. We will not continue with this trial until we have accounted for every prospective juror. So be sure that you accept your responsibilities in that regard.

Now, tomorrow morning at 10:15 -- yes.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Your Honor, do I understand you want us to come here instead of the jury room at the office downstairs?

THE COURT: You can come directly to the floor between 10:00 and 10:15. Don't get here before 10:00

because I don't have any place to put you. I'm going to have all sorts of other hearings on completely different cases. So don't get here before that. But get here by then.

Now, does anyone else have a question about what time you need to be back?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Badges? What do we do with the badges?

THE COURT: You wear them. Hold on to them, save them, wear them. Keep them on your clothing. If you need an extra one, the Jury Commissioner is staying late, she is staying past 5:00 tonight, she will give you additional badges for you.

If you want to come in in the morning and get a badge from her, but I'd rather when you came in the door, you had your badges on. If you need letters for your employment, the Jury Commissioner is staying, she will give them to you. If you need anything with regard to your parking, the Jury Commissioner is down there and waiting for you. So that's all arranged.

During this evening's recess, it is your duty not to discuss among yourselves or with anyone else any matter having to do with this case. It is your further duty not to form or express any opinion regarding the guilt or innocence of the defendant until the case has been finally

б

submitted to you for decision.

You are not to read, look at or listen to any news media accounts regarding this case. Be sure someone else is editing the newspaper before you see it. Do not watch the local news tonight or listen to the local radio news shows. There will be accounts.

Should any person attempt to discuss the case with you or in any manner attempt to influence you with regard to it, report such an attempt to the bailiff immediately upon your return.

Counsel, I'd like you to remain. We have some things to talk about outside the presence of the jury panel. But I'm going to let the jury leave the courtroom while I take a short recess, but don't leave the courtroom while they are leaving. Just wait for them to exit the courtroom. And then we'll be back on the record for a few minutes after they have all left.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you are excused at this time. Court is in recess.

(Recess taken at 5:00 p.m.)

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were held in open court, outside the presence of the jury at 5:11 p.m.)

THE COURT: I remember we had a similar situation like this come up in the last trial, and I just read the proposed jury instruction from the proposed penalty

phase aspect of the case, and instructed the jury as to aggravating and mitigating circumstances right at this point. That's what I did last time.

I just wanted to — I think we need to do that again. I think it was very clean, it worked very well in the other trial I had, and I'm ready to do that. I wanted to make sure we discussed that outside the presence of the jury.

MR. GAMMICK: Your Honor, I would even request that instead of just reading the aggravators and mitigators, the Court go further to explain the process to these folks so they know what's going to be expected of them should this get to a penalty phase. Then I believe they can answer Mr. Bosler's questions a lot more informed than what they are being asked right now.

MR. BOSLER: I object to that, Your Honor. I think it is important they understand what the aggravators are.

THE COURT: I know what I did in the last trial. Does everyone think that what we did in the last trial was all right?

So I will explain the bifurcated process and that there is a verdict, that they will be called upon after hearing evidence and argument, et cetera, and then I'll give the instructions as to the potential penalties that they

will be allowed to consider and the aggravating and mitigating circumstances.

MR. BOSLER: There is one other thing the Court did last time that I would ask the Court to do again.

That's the issue on pretrial publicity.

What we had is people indicated they had information. We bring them in separately so they wouldn't speak in front of the jury, and get an idea what information they had and how that affected them. We haven't even really touched upon that at all during these questions, and I know the people out there said, I have information.

I think for Mr. Vanisi's position, for him to intelligently exercise his peremptory challenges, he may want to know what kind of information these people had and how that affects their view. They all said they could put it behind them, but it may bear upon Mr. Vanisi's right to intelligently exercise his peremptory challenges.

And therefore, I ask the Court to follow what it did in the last proceeding, which was when people said, I have information I gathered from outside newspapers, or the radio, or other public means, let's get them in here separately to find out what information they have and how they got it.

THE COURT: I didn't utilize -- I didn't have everyone come in separately who indicated that they had new

1 information.

MR. BOSLER: That is not what I said, Your Honor. I said people who got information from other than public sources. So we know that someone has friends on the police department they have talked about the case with, that they know information that wasn't in the newspaper or on the radio, those type of people.

THE COURT: Yes, but is there anyone currently on our panel who has said that that hasn't been excused already?

MR. BOSLER: I'd have to go over the transcript I will be provided tomorrow morning. I think Miss Patch may have said something like that. I'm not sure if Miss Grate said her -- she's talked about the crime with her law enforcement friends. I'd have to review my notes.

THE COURT: Go ahead and do that and let me know before 9:00 o'clock in the morning. Let me know before 9:00 o'clock in the morning those people that you think did respond in that kind of a manner.

I remember one person for sure, but she's off. We excused her for another reason.

MR. STANTON: Your Honor, I think the follow-up question the Court inquired was whether or not that juror had assimilated in her questionnaire all the information that she had been provided both by the media and by outside

resources, and my recollection is the juror answered in the affirmative, indeed she had, and there was no new information.

As far as Mr. Bosler's request relative to what we did last time, it is my recollection that the last time the Court had hearings with individual jurors when there was a problem relative to putting aside what they knew with their own opinions in the case. And I don't think that has ever — or that same situation presents itself at this juncture.

THE COURT: Right. That was my memory, and I did -- now that you refreshed what I did follow up with that question, because I wanted to be sure that everything that they knew was in the questionnaires, and I invite you to review the transcript in the morning, because I didn't remember anyone saying that there was more information that they knew.

There were a few people who heard the broadcasts begin and had turned it off. And they said they really didn't learn anything that wasn't already in the written document. So I did ask that question. But if there is someone that did not respond that way, please call it to my attention, Mr. Bosler.

Okay. So first thing at 10:15, after we figure out that everyone is here, we'll move forward with -- I will

instruct the jury as to the process, and then I'll let you continue to inquire.

Mr. Gammick.

MR. GAMMICK: Your Honor, one other matter here if I could just ask at least for the remainder of the week for scheduling witnesses, et cetera, on your times you intend to start. I didn't quite grasp all of that.

THE COURT: That's because I hadn't grasped it.

I'm not used to this two-days-a-week business. I'm a

little -- in my own mind, I'm confused about which days I

can start at 9:00 and which days I can't.

What we plan for tomorrow is we're going to recess criminal calendar at 10:00 whether we're done or not. We'll start at 10:15. Then Wednesday morning, I think we can start regular time. The clerk is checking that.

So Wednesday morning we're going to start at 10:00 a.m., she tells me. We have an 8:00 a.m. that we will hear first.

Then Thursday morning is the morning that we pile all the criminal calendars. So that calendar, it will be an afternoon. It will be 2:00 to 5:00 in the afternoon, maybe 2:00 to 5:30 with our half-hour recess, something like that. Not a half hour recess. 15, 20 minutes.

And then Friday morning we'll start at 9:00 a.m., and then there will be a little bit of an extended