

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FIELDEN HANSON ISAACS MIYADA
ROBISON YEH, LTD.,

Appellant,

vs.

DEVIN CHERN TANG, M.D.; AND SUN
ANESTHESIA SOLUTIONS, A
NEVADA CORPORATION,

Respondents.

No. 78358 ✓

FIELDEN HANSON ISAACS MIYADA
ROBISON YEH, LTD.,

Appellant,

vs.


DEVIN CHERN TANG, M.D.; AND SUN
ANESTHESIA SOLUTIONS, A
NEVADA CORPORATION,

Respondents.

No. 79663

FILED

JUN 19 2020

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

These are interlocutory appeals from district court orders denying a preliminary injunction and denying reconsideration of the order denying a preliminary injunction. See NRAP 3A(b)(3). Appellant sought to enjoin respondents from competing with appellant's anesthesia and pain-management business based on a non-compete clause in their contract. By its terms, the non-compete clause expires two years from the termination of respondent Dr. Devin Chern Tang's employment with appellant. And according to the underlying pleadings, that employment contract terminated on June 3, 2018, more than two years ago. Consequently, these appeals may be moot. See *Personhood Nev. v. Bristol*, 126 Nev. 599, 602, 245 P.3d 572, 574 (2010). Therefore, appellant shall have 14 days from the date of this order to show cause why the appeals should not be dismissed as

moot. Respondents may file any reply within 7 days from the date that appellant's response is served.

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering, C.J.

cc: Dickinson Wright PLLC
Howard & Howard Attorneys PLLC