

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CLARK COUNTY,

Appellant,

vs.


BRENT BEAN,

Respondent.

No. 78443

FILED

DEC 23 2019

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Once a party receives a telephonic extension of time to perform an act, further extensions of time to perform that same act are barred unless the moving party files a motion for an extension of time demonstrating extraordinary and compelling circumstances in support of the requested extension. NRAP 26(b)(1)(B). Appellant previously received a telephonic extension of time to file the opening brief. Accordingly, the current stipulation for an extension of time to file that document is improper. Nevertheless, the stipulation is approved. Appellant shall have until January 10, 2020, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. Failure to file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions, including dismissal of this appeal. NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

 , C.J.

cc: Hooks Meng Schann & Clement
Greenman Goldberg Raby & Martinez