IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW APPAically Filed Jul 22 2019 01:37 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO)	
Appellant,)	Case No. 78472
vs.)	
THE STATE OF NEVADA,)	
Respondent.)	
	_)	

Appeal from Judgment of Conviction Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada The Honorable Jerome Polaha

JOINT APPENDIX

MARC PICKER Washoe County Alternate Public Defender

CHRISTOPHER HICKS Washoe County District Attorney

350 S. CENTER ST., 6TH FLOOR RENO, NEVADA 89501

1 S. SIERRA ST., 4TH FLOOR RENO, NEVADA 89501

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Information (Filed on 9/26/2018)
2.	Amended Information (Filed on 11/1/2018)
3.	Second Amended Information (Filed on 12/11/2018)
4.	Guilty Plea Memorandum (Filed 12/13/2018)
5.	Transcript of Proceedings – Motion to Set Trial (12/13/2018) 27
6.	Documents to be considered at Sentencing (Filed on 3/13/2019) 44
7.	Judgment of Conviction (Filed on 03/15/2019)48
8.	Corrected Judgment of Conviction (Filed on 03/18/2019)50
9.	Motion to Dismiss Counts I, III, V, VII, and VIII (Filed on 03/28/2019)
10.	Order (Filed on 03/28/2019)55
11.	Notice of Appeal (Filed on 03/29/2019)
12.	Request for Rough Draft Transcripts (Filed on 03/29/2019) 58
13.	Case Appeal Statement (Filed on 03/29/2019)
4.	Order for Rough Draft Transcripts (Filed on 04/04/2019)

15.	Request for Transcript of Proceedings (Filed on 04/05/2019)66
16.	Second Corrected Judgment of Conviction (Filed on 03/18/2019). 69
17.	Transcript of Proceedings – Sentencing 03/14/2019 (Filed on 4/25/2019)

DA #17-14504 WCSO WC17-006416 FILED
Electronically
CR18-1654
2018-09-26 09:47:26 AM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 6898338 : rrodrigu

1 CODE 1800 Christopher J. Hicks #7747 P.O. Box 11130 Reno, NV 89520 (775) 328-3200

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

* * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

V.

Plaintiff,

Dept. No.: D03

Case No.: CR18-1654

LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, also known as LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ, also known as LUIGI LOPEZ,

Defendant.

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

INFORMATION

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS, District Attorney within and for the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the above entitled Court that LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, also known as LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ, also known as LUIGI LOPEZ, the defendant above-named, has committed the crimes of:

///

24 | /// 25 | ///

23 || //

26 | ///

0.0

26 |

COUNT I. STATUTORY SEXUAL SEDUCTION BY PERSON AGE 21 OR
OLDER, a violation of NRS 200.368.1, a category B felony, (60323) in
the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, on or between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully, being over 21 years of age, commit an act of statutory sexual seduction with the person of H.T., who was then and there under the age of 16 years, in that the said defendant engaged in an act of oral sexual intercourse with the said H.T. at or near 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

COUNT II. STATUTORY SEXUAL SEDUCTION BY PERSON AGE 21 OR
OLDER, a violation of NRS 200.368.1, a category B felony, (60323) in
the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, on or between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully, being over 21 years of age, commit an act of statutory sexual seduction with the person of H.T., who was then and there under the age of 16 years, in that the said defendant engaged in an act of vaginal sexual intercourse with the said H.T. at or near 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

///

COUNT III. USE OR PERMIT MINOR, UNDER AGE 18, TO PRODUCE PORNOGRAPHY, a violation of NRS 200.710.1, a category A felony, (50368) in the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, on or between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully, use or permit a minor child of approximately 14 years of age to engage in sexual conduct to produce a performance and/or pornography, in that the defendant did have H.T. produce nude photographs of herself, at his suggestion and had her send them to him.

COUNT IV. POSSESS VISUAL PORNOGRAPHY OF PERSON UNDER AGE

16, FIRST OFFENSE, a violation of NRS 200.730.1, a category B felony,

(50374) in the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did knowingly, willfully and unlawfully have in his possession for any purpose film, photograph or other visual presentation depicting a person under 16 year as the subject of a sexual portrayal or engaging in or simulating, or assisting others to engage in or simulate, sexual conduct, in that the defendant possessed nude photographs of H.T., who was under the age of 18 at the time the photograph was taken, 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

///

///

NRS 201.230.3, a category B felony, (58747) in the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully, unlawfully and lewdly commit any lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body or any body part of H.T., a female child between the ages of fourteen and sixteen years at the time the act was committed, in that the defendant penetrated H.T.'s mouth with his penis, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of himself or the child, at or near 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

NRS 201.230.3, a category B felony, (58747) in the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully, unlawfully and lewdly commit any lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body or any body part of H.T., a female child between the ages of fourteen and sixteen years at the time the act was committed, in that the defendant penetrated H.T.'s vagina with his penis, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of himself or the child, at or near 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

///

COUNT VII. LURE OR ATTEMPT TO LURE A CHILD WITH THE USE OF COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY TO ENGAGE IN SEXUAL CONDUCT, a violation of NRS 201.560.4a, a category B felony, (51078) in the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully contact and/or communicate with a child, H.T., who was less than 16 years of age and who was at least 5 years younger than the defendant, with the intent to persuade, lure or transport the child away from the child's home or place where the child is located, without the express consent of the parent or guardian or other person legally responsible for the child; and with the intent to avoid the consent of the parent or guardian or other person legally responsible for the child; with the intent to solicit, persuade or lure the person to engage in sexual conduct, to wit: the defendant contacted H.T., who was 14 at the time he was 24, through Facebook and lured her away from her legal guardian(s) in order to engage in sexual conduct at or near 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Sparks, Washoe County, Nevada.

COUNT VIII. ATTEMPTING TO PREVENT OR DISSUADE A WITNESS FROM TESTIFYING, a violation of NRS 199.230, a gross misdemeanor, (52983), in the manner following:

That the said defendant on or about the 26th day of

December, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did

willfully and unlawfully, by persuasion, force, threat, intimidation,

deception or otherwise, and with the intent to obstruct the course of

justice, attempt to prevent or dissuade another person from appearing

0005

before any court or evade the process which requires the person to appear as a witness to testify, to wit: the defendant wrote a postcard to H.T./Accuser that stated "I am asking for you guys to help me get these charges dismissed, my whole life and future is on the line. I have a daughter and was in the process of enrolling in the military but with these charges I wont be able to. This can ruin my life and future I am trying to set for my daughter and I. Can you please not show to court and not make up accusations anymore.

Anything to help get this dismissed. Thank u" from 911 Parr Boulevard, Washoe County, Nevada.

All of which is contrary to the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS District Attorney Washoe County, Nevada

By: /s/ Nickolas J. Graham
NICKOLAS J. GRAHAM
10885
DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

The following are the names and addresses of such witnesses as are known to me at the time of the filing of the within Information:

WILLIAM BRIAN ATKINSON, WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE, WCSO SPECIAL OPS 911 PARR BLVD RENO, NV 89512
DENNIS CARRY, WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE, WCSO DETECTIVES 911 PARR BLVD Reno, NV 89512
ARICK DICKSON, WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE, WCSO SPECIAL OPS 911 PARR BLVD RENO, NV 89512
MICHAEL IVERS, WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE, WCCL 911 PARR BLVD Reno, NV 89512
EMMETT FLOREZ,
BRITTNEY ANN CHILTON, WCCL 911 PARR BLVD Reno, NV 89512
JANENE TRUJILLO, 7562 Ulysses Drive Sparks, NV 89436

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The party executing this document hereby affirms that this document submitted for recording does not contain the social security number of any person or persons pursuant to NRS 239B.030.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS District Attorney Washoe County, Nevada

By: /s/ Nickolas J. Graham
NICKOLAS J. GRAHAM
10885
DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

PCN: WASO0070047C, WASO0074509C-LOPEZ-DELGADO

DA #17-14504

WCSO WC17-006416

FILED
Electronically
CR18-1654
2018-11-01 08:01:31 AM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 6956846 : jalvarez

Case No.: CR18-1654

Dept. No.: D03

2
 3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

26

CODE 1800 Christopher J. Hicks #7747 P.O. Box 11130 Reno, NV 89520 (775) 328-3200

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

* * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

V.

LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO,

also known as
LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ,
also known as
LUIGI LOPEZ,

Defendant.

AMENDED INFORMATION

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS, District Attorney within and for the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the above entitled Court that LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, also known as LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ, also known as LUIGI LOPEZ, the defendant above-named, has committed the crimes of:

///

///

COUNT I. STATUTORY SEXUAL SEDUCTION BY PERSON AGE 21 OR
OLDER, a violation of NRS 200.368.1, a category B felony, (60323) in
the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, on or between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully, being over 21 years of age, commit an act of statutory sexual seduction with the person of H.T., who was then and there under the age of 16 years, in that the said defendant engaged in an act of oral sexual intercourse with the said H.T. at or near 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

COUNT II. POSSESS VISUAL PORNOGRAPHY OF PERSON UNDER AGE

16, FIRST OFFENSE, a violation of NRS 200.730.1, a category B felony,

(50374) in the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did knowingly, willfully and unlawfully have in his possession for any purpose film, photograph or other visual presentation depicting a person under 16 year as the subject of a sexual portrayal or engaging in or simulating, or assisting others to engage in or simulate, sexual conduct, in that the defendant possessed nude photographs of H.T., who was under the age of 16 at the time the photograph was taken, 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

///

///

<u>COUNT III. LEWDNESS WITH CHILD OLDER THAN 14, a violation</u>
of NRS 201.230.3, a category B felony, (58747) in the manner
following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully, unlawfully and lewdly commit any lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body or any body part of H.T., a female child between the ages of fourteen and sixteen years at the time the act was committed, in that the defendant penetrated H.T.'s mouth with his penis, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of himself or the child, at or near 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

All of which is contrary to the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS District Attorney Washoe County, Nevada

By: /s/ Nickolas J. Graham
NICKOLAS J. GRAHAM
10885
DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

26 |]

	The	e fo	ollo	owir	ng a	re the	e na	ames	and	ado	lres	sses	of	such	witne	sses
as are	known	to	me	at	the	time	of	the	fili	ng	of	the	wit	hin		
Inform	ation:															

WILLIAM BRIAN ATKINSON, WCSO SPECIAL OPS 911 PARR BLVD RENO, NV 89512
DENNIS CARRY, WCSO DETECTIVES 911 PARR BLVD Reno, NV 89512
ARICK DICKSON, WCSO SPECIAL OPS 911 PARR BLVD RENO, NV 89512
MICHAEL IVERS, *RETIRED WCCL* 911 PARR BLVD Reno, NV 89512
EMMETT FLOREZ,

BRITTNEY ANN CHILTON, WCCL 911 PARR BLVD Reno, NV 89512 JANENE TRUJILLO, 7562 Ulysses Drive Sparks, NV 89436

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The party executing this document hereby affirms that this document submitted for recording does not contain the social security number of any person or persons pursuant to NRS 239B.030.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS District Attorney Washoe County, Nevada

By: /s/ Nickolas J. Graham
NICKOLAS J. GRAHAM
10885
DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

PCN: WASO0070047C, WASO0074509C-LOPEZ-DELGADO

DA #17-14504

WCSO WC17-006416

FILED
Electronically
CR18-1654
2018-12-11 09:26:35 AM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7017287 : jalvarez

Case No.: CR18-1654

Dept. No.: D03

1 CO 2 #' 3 Re

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

23

22

2425

26

CODE 1800 Christopher J. Hicks #7747 One South Sierra Street Reno, NV 89501 (775) 328-3200

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

* * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, also known as LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ,

also known as LUIGI LOPEZ,

V.

Defendant.

SECOND AMENDED INFORMATION

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS, District Attorney within and for the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the above entitled Court that LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO also known as LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ also known as LUIGI LOPEZ, the defendant above-named, has committed the crimes of:

/// ///

COUNT I. STATUTORY SEXUAL SEDUCTION BY PERSON AGE 21 OR
OLDER, a violation of NRS 200.368.1, a category B felony, (60323) in
the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, on or between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully, being over 21 years of age, commit an act of statutory sexual seduction with the person of H.T., who was then and there under the age of 16 years, in that the said defendant engaged in an act of oral sexual intercourse with the said H.T. at or near 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

COUNT II. STATUTORY SEXUAL SEDUCTION BY PERSON AGE 21 OR
OLDER, a violation of NRS 200.368.1, a category B felony, (60323) in
the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, on or between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully, being over 21 years of age, commit an act of statutory sexual seduction with the person of H.T., who was then and there under the age of 16 years, in that the said defendant engaged in an act of vaginal sexual intercourse with the said H.T. at or near 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

///

///

///

26 | ///

COUNT III. USE OR PERMIT MINOR, UNDER AGE 18, TO PRODUCE PORNOGRAPHY, a violation of NRS 200.710.1, a category A felony, (50368) in the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, on or between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully, use or permit a minor child of approximately 14 years of age to engage in sexual conduct to produce a performance and/or pornography, in that the defendant did have H.T. produce nude photographs of herself, at his suggestion and had her send them to him.

COUNT IV. POSSESS VISUAL PORNOGRAPHY OF PERSON UNDER AGE

16, FIRST OFFENSE, a violation of NRS 200.730.1, a category B felony,

(50374) in the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did knowingly, willfully and unlawfully have in his possession for any purpose film, photograph or other visual presentation depicting a person under 16 year as the subject of a sexual portrayal or engaging in or simulating, or assisting others to engage in or simulate, sexual conduct, in that the defendant possessed nude photographs of H.T., who was under the age of 18 at the time the photograph was taken, 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

///

///

COUNT V. LEWDNESS WITH CHILD OLDER THAN 14, a violation of NRS 201.230.3, a category B felony, (58747) in the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully, unlawfully and lewdly commit any lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body or any body part of H.T., a female child between the ages of fourteen and sixteen years at the time the act was committed, in that the defendant penetrated H.T.'s mouth with his penis, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of himself or the child, at or near 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

COUNT VI. LEWDNESS WITH CHILD OLDER THAN 14, a violation of NRS 201.230.3, a category B felony, (58747) in the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully, unlawfully and lewdly commit any lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body or any body part of H.T., a female child between the ages of fourteen and sixteen years at the time the act was committed, in that the defendant penetrated H.T.'s vagina with his penis, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of himself or the child, at or near 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

///

///

COUNT VII. LURE OR ATTEMPT TO LURE A CHILD WITH THE USE OF

COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY TO ENGAGE IN SEXUAL CONDUCT, a violation of NRS

201.560.4a, a category B felony, (51078) in the manner following:

That the said defendant LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully contact and/or communicate with a child, H.T., who was less than 16 years of age and who was at least 5 years younger than the defendant, with the intent to persuade, lure or transport the child away from the child's home or place where the child is located, without the express consent of the parent or guardian or other person legally responsible for the child; and with the intent to avoid the consent of the parent or guardian or other person legally responsible for the child; with the intent to solicit, persuade or lure the person to engage in sexual conduct, to wit: the defendant contacted H.T., who was 14 at the time he was 24, through Facebook and lured her away from her legal guardian(s) in order to engage in sexual conduct at or near 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Sparks, Washoe County, Nevada.

COUNT VIII. ATTEMPTING TO PREVENT OR DISSUADE A WITNESS FROM TESTIFYING, a violation of NRS 199.230, a gross misdemeanor, (52983), in the manner following:

That the said defendant on or about the 26th day of

December, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did

willfully and unlawfully, by persuasion, force, threat, intimidation,

deception or otherwise, and with the intent to obstruct the course of

justice, attempt to prevent or dissuade another person from appearing

0016

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

26

25

before any court or evade the process which requires the person to appear as a witness to testify, to wit: the defendant wrote a postcard to H.T./Accuser that stated "I am asking for you guys to help me get these charges dismissed, my whole life and future is on the line. I have a daughter and was in the process of enrolling in the military but with these charges I wont be able to. This can ruin my life and future I am trying to set for my daughter and I. Can you please not show to court and not make up accusations anymore. Anything to help get this dismissed. Thank u" from 911 Parr Boulevard, Washoe County, Nevada.

All of which is contrary to the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada.

> CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS District Attorney Washoe County, Nevada

By: /s/ Nickolas J. Graham NICKOLAS J. GRAHAM 10885 DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

The following are the names of such witnesses as are known to me at the time of the filing of the within Information:

WILLIAM BRIAN ATKINSON, WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE, DENNIS CARRY, WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE, ARICK DICKSON, WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE, MICHAEL IVERS, WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE, EMMETT FLOREZ,

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The party executing this document hereby affirms that this document submitted for recording does not contain the social security number of any person or persons pursuant to NRS 239B.030.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS District Attorney Washoe County, Nevada

By: /s/ Nickolas J. Graham
NICKOLAS J. GRAHAM
10885
DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

PCN: WASO0070047C, WASO0074509C-LOPEZ-DELGADO

ORIGINAL

FILED
Electronically
CR18-1654
2018-12-13 03:56:57 PM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7023816

CODE 1785 Christopher J. Hicks #7747 P.O. Box 11130 Reno, NV 89520 (775) 328-3200

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

* * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

V.

Case No. CR18-1654

Dept. No. D03

LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, also known as LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ, also known as LUIGI LOPEZ,

Defendant.

16

GUILTY PLEA MEMORANDUM

1. I, LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, also known as LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ, also known as LUIGI LOPEZ, understand that I am charged with the offenses of: COUNT II. STATUTORY SEXUAL SEDUCTION BY PERSON AGE 21 OR OLDER, a violation of NRS 200.368.1, a category B felony, COUNT IV. POSSESS VISUAL PORNOGRAPHY OF PERSON UNDER AGE 16, FIRST OFFENSE, a violation of NRS 200.730.1, a category B felony and COUNT VI. LEWDNESS WITH CHILD OLDER THAN 14, a violation of NRS 201.230.3, a category B felony.

- 2. I desire to enter a plea of guilty to the offenses of, COUNT II. STATUTORY SEXUAL SEDUCTION BY PERSON AGE 21 OR OLDER, a violation of NRS 200.368.1, a category B felony, COUNT IV. POSSESS VISUAL PORNOGRAPHY OF PERSON UNDER AGE 16, FIRST OFFENSE, a violation of NRS 200.730.1, a category B felony and COUNT VI. LEWDNESS WITH CHILD OLDER THAN 14, a violation of NRS 201.230.3, a category B felony, as more fully alleged in the charge filed against me.
- 3. By entering my plea of guilty I know and understand that I am waiving the following constitutional rights:
 - A. I waive my privilege against self-incrimination.
- B. I waive my right to trial by jury, at which trial the State would have to prove my guilt of all elements of the offenses beyond a reasonable doubt.
- C. <u>I waive my right to confront my accusers</u>, that is, the right to confront and cross examine all witnesses who would testify at trial.
- D. <u>I waive my right to subpoena witnesses for trial on my behalf</u>.
- 4. I understand the charges against me and that the elements of the offenses which the State would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt at trial are that on or between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, or thereabout, in the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, I did as to Count II, willfully and unlawfully, being over 21 years of age, commit an act of statutory sexual seduction with the

person of H.T., who was then and there under the age of 16 years, in that I engaged in an act of vaginal sexual intercourse with the said H.T. at or near 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

I further understand the charges against me and that the elements of the offenses which the State would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt at trial are that on or between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, or thereabout, in the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, I did as to Count IV, knowingly, willfully and unlawfully have in my possession for any purpose film, photograph or other visual presentation depicting a person under 16 year as the subject of a sexual portrayal or engaging in or simulating, or assisting others to engage in or simulate, sexual conduct, in that I possessed nude photographs of H.T., who was under the age of 18 at the time the photograph was taken, 228 East 8th Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

I understand the charges against me and that the elements of the offenses which the State would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt at trial are that on or between October 1, 2017 and December 13, 2017, or thereabout, in the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, I did as to Count VI, willfully, unlawfully and lewdly commit any lewd or lascivious act upon or with the body or any body part of H.T., a female child between the ages of fourteen and sixteen years at the time the act was committed, in that I penetrated H.T.'s vagina with my penis, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or

gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of myself or the child, at or near 228 East $8^{\rm th}$ Ave., Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

- 5. I understand that I admit the facts which support all the elements of the offenses by pleading guilty. I admit that the State possesses sufficient evidence which would result in my conviction. I have considered and discussed all possible defenses and defense strategies with my counsel. I understand that I have the right to appeal from adverse rulings on pretrial motions only if the State and the Court consent to my right to appeal in a separate written agreement. I understand that any substantive or procedural pretrial issue(s) which could have been raised at trial are waived by my plea.
- 6. I understand that the consequences of my plea of guilty as to Count II are that I may be imprisoned for a period of 1 to 10 years in the Nevada State Department of Corrections. I am not eligible for probation unless a psychosexual evaluation is completed pursuant to NRS 176.139 which certifies that I do not represent a high risk to reoffend based upon a currently accepted standard of assessment. I may also be fined up to \$10,000. I will be required to register as a sex offender.

I understand that the consequences of my plea of guilty as to Count IV are that I may be imprisoned for a period of 1 to 6 years in the Nevada State Department of Corrections. I am not eligible for probation unless a psychosexual evaluation is completed pursuant to NRS 176.139 which certifies that I do not represent a high risk to

reoffend based upon a currently accepted standard of assessment. I may also be fined up to \$5,000. I will be required to register as a sex offender.

I understand that the consequences of my plea of guilty as to Count VI are that I may be imprisoned for a period of 1 to 10 years in the Nevada State Department of Corrections. I am not eligible for probation unless a psychosexual evaluation is completed pursuant to NRS 176.139 which certifies that I do not represent a high risk to reoffend based upon a currently accepted standard of assessment. I may also be fined up to \$10,000. I further understand that I will be required to be on lifetime supervision pursuant to NRS 176.0931. Additionally, I will be required to register as a sex offender. The sentence on each count may be concurrent or consecutive to each other.

- 7. In exchange for my plea of guilty, the State, my counsel and I have agreed to recommend the following: Both the State, my counsel and I stipulate to recommend at sentencing a term of incarceration in the Nevada State Prison of 48-120 months on Count II, 28-72 months on Count IV, and 48-120 months on Count VI and that all counts run concurrent to one another. The State will dismiss all remaining charges and the State will not file additional criminal charges resulting from the arrest in this case.
- 8. I understand that, even though the State and I have reached this plea agreement, the State is reserving the right to present arguments, facts, and/or witnesses at sentencing in support of the plea agreement.

6

7

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

2021

2223

2425

26

12. I understand that the Court is not bound by the agreement of the parties and that the matter of sentencing is to be determined solely by the Court. I have discussed the charges, the facts and the possible defenses with my attorney. All of the foregoing rights, waiver of rights, elements, possible penalties, and consequences, have been carefully explained to me by my attorney. attorney has not promised me anything not mentioned in this plea memorandum, and, in particular, my attorney has not promised that I will get any specific sentence. I am satisfied with my counsel's advice and representation leading to this resolution of my case. I am aware that if I am not satisfied with my counsel I should advise the Court at this time. I believe that entering my plea is in my best interest and that going to trial is not in my best interest. My attorney has advised me that if I wish to appeal, any appeal, if applicable to my case, must be filed within thirty days of my sentence and/or judgment.

- 13. I understand that this plea and resulting conviction will likely have adverse effects upon my residency in this country if I am not a U. S. Citizen. I have discussed the effects my plea will have upon my residency with my counsel.
- 14. I offer my plea freely, voluntarily, knowingly and with full understanding of all matters set forth in the Second Amended Information and in this Plea Memorandum. I have read this plea memorandum completely and I understand everything contained within it.

- 9. I also agree that I will make full restitution in this matter, as determined by the Court. Where applicable, I additionally understand and agree that I will be responsible for the repayment of any costs incurred by the State or County in securing my return to this jurisdiction.
- entitled to either withdraw from this agreement and proceed with the prosecution of the original charges or be free to argue for an appropriate sentence at the time of sentencing if I fail to appear at any scheduled proceeding in this matter OR if prior to the date of my sentencing I am arrested in any jurisdiction for a violation of law OR if I have misrepresented my prior criminal history. I understand and agree that the occurrence of any of these acts constitutes a material breach of my plea agreement with the State. I further understand and agree that by the execution of this agreement, I am waiving any right I may have to remand this matter to Justice Court should I later withdraw my plea.
- 11. I understand and agree that pursuant to the terms of the plea agreement stated herein, any counts which are to be dismissed and any other cases charged or uncharged which are either to be dismissed or not pursued by the State, may be considered by the court at the time of my sentencing.

///

- 15. My plea of guilty is voluntary and is not the result of any threats, coercion or promises of leniency.
- 16. I am signing this Plea Memorandum voluntarily with advice of counsel, under no duress, coercion, or promises of leniency.
- 17. I do hereby swear under penalty of perjury that all of the assertions in this written plea agreement document are true.

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED this 12th day of December 7018.

DEFENDANT

TRANSLATOR/INTERPRETER

Attorney Witnessing Defendant's Signature

Prosecuting Attorney

1	Code No. 4185							
2								
3								
4								
5								
6	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT							
7	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE							
8	THE HONORABLE JEROME M. POLAHA, DISTRICT JUDGE							
9	-000-							
10	STATE OF NEVADA,							
11	Plaintiff,)							
12	vs.) Case No. CR18-1654							
13	THICK PICHARD							
14	LUIGY RICHARD) Dept. No. 3 LOPEZ-DELGADO,)							
15	Defendant.)							
16								
17								
18	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS							
19	MOTION TO SET TRIAL							
20	THURSDAY, DECEMBER 13TH, 2018; 9:00 A.M.							
21	RENO, NEVADA							
22								
23	Joan Dotson, NV CSR #102							
24								

APPEARANCES For the Plaintiff: Washoe County District Attorney BY: NICKOLAS GRAHAM Deputy District Attorney P.O. Box 30083 Reno, Nevada 89520 For the Defendant: ALTERNATE PUBLIC DEFENDER BY: MARC PICKER Deputy Alternate Public Defender 350 South Center Street 6th Floor Reno, Nevada 89502

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 13TH, 2018; RENO, NEVADA
-000-
THE CLERK: The next case, your Honor, will
State of Nevada versus Luigi Lopez-Delgado, CR18-1654.

Counsel, appearances, please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. PICKER: Good morning, your Honor. Marc Picker on behalf of Mr. Lopez-Delgado.

MR. GRAHAM: Good morning, your Honor. Nick Graham for the State.

MR. PICKER: We are in receipt of the Second Amended Information that was filed on December 11th, 2018.

Mr. Luigi Richard Lopez-Delgado's name is celled correctly at line 12. That is his true and correct name. We waive a formal reading and are familiar with the contents.

Pursuant to negotiations this morning, Mr. Delgado will be pleading guilty to Counts II, IV and VI of that Second Amended Information, in exchange for which the parties will stipulate to the following sentences to be recommended to the Court.

> On Count II it would be 48 to 120 months. On IV it would be 28 to 72 months. And on Count VI it would be 48 to 120 months

will be

and that all counts would be run concurrent to one 1 2 another. 3 The State would be dismissing all the remaining charges, would not file any additional criminal charges resulting from the arrest in this case. And I believe that's -- that's it for that. 6 7 THE COURT: Do you have an executed -- you may I did that in federal court once and Judge 8 approach. 9 Thompson said 'no' in front of a jury. So --1.0 MR. PICKER: I think your Honor and I have had 11 that discussion before, probably before you took the 12 bench. We might have had different opinions. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Lopez, you heard your lawyer. 14 Is that your understanding of what you'll be doing this 15 morning? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: And he just handed me the signed 18 Guilty Plea Memorandum. I think it that's your 19 signature? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: Can you read and understand 22 English? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And having read this document, do

1 you have any questions about anything that's contained in 2 it? 3 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. THE COURT: Do you understand that, if you 4 5 plead guilty, there will be no trial? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 7 THE COURT: You will not be able to confront your accusers, which is one of your constitutional 8 9 rights. 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 11 THE COURT: And you will not be able to put on 12 a defense and use the subpoena power of the court to 13 bring in any defense witnesses that you might have. 14 you understand that? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 16 THE COURT: All right. And is that what you 17 want to do? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Graham, the elements please. 20 MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, your Honor. 21 filed that Second Amended Information, the Court will 2.2 note that that is all the underlying charges from the

Criminal Complaint. But for today's hearing would you

just like me to read Counts II, Count IV and Count VI?

23

THE COURT: Yes. That's what he is pleading quilty to. Yes. Just those.

3.

MR. GRAHAM: As to Count II, statutory sexual seduction by a person aged 21 or older, that you, Luigi Richard Lopez-Delgado, on or between October 1st, 2017, on December 13th, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada did willfully and unlawfully, being over 21 years of age, commit an act of statutory sexual seduction with the person of H T, who was then and there under the age of 16 years in that you engaged in an act of vaginal sexual intercourse with H T at or near 228 East Eighth Avenue, Sun Valley, Washoe County Nevada.

As to Count IV, possession of visual pornography of a person under 16, in that you, Luigi Richard Lopez-Delgado, between October 1st, 2017, and December 13th, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did knowingly, willfully and unlawfully have in your possession for any purpose film, photograph or other visual presentation depicting a person under 16 years as a subject of sexual portrayal or engaging in a simulation or assisting others to engage or to simulate sexual conduct in that you possessed nude photographs of H T, who was under of age of 18 at the time the photograph was taken, at 228 East Eighth Avenue, Sun

Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

As to Count VI, lewdness with a child older than 14, in that you, Luigi Richard Lopez-Delgado, between October 1st, 2017, on December 13th, 2017, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada did willfully, unlawfully and lewdly commit any lewd or lascivious act on or with the body or any body part of H T, a female child between the ages of 14 and 16 years at the time the act was committed, penetrated H T's vagina with his penis with the intent of rousing to or gratifying the lusts, passions or sexual desires of yourself or the child at or near 228 East Eighth Avenue, Sun Valley, Washoe County, Nevada.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Clerk, would you swear in the defendant please?

(Defendant sworn.)

THE COURT: Mr. Lopez-Delgado, the District Attorney recited the facts that constitute the elements of the crimes with which you were charged. Were you listening to them?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And as recited by the District Attorney, do you admit those facts?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Let me ask you

formally, to the charge that is set out in Count II of

the Second Amended Information, statutory sexual

seduction by a person aged 21 years or older, a Category

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

B felony, what is your plea?

THE COURT: To the charge set out in Count IV, possession of visual pornography of a person under the age of 16, first offense, Category B felony, what is your plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: Count VI, lewdness with a child older than 14, a Category B felony, what is your plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: All right. As you heard, the statutory sexual seduction -- or as you read in the plea memorandum -- is a crime for which the punishment is 1 to 10 years in the Nevada State Prison. You could also be fined up to \$10,000.

The crime for possession of visual pornography is punishable by a period of imprisonment of 1 to 6 years. And, if you are seeking probation on any of these, you will need a psychosexual evaluation certificating that you are not a high risk to re-offend.

,

And you could also be fined up to \$5,000. And if I didn't say the \$10,000 fine for the statutory sexual seduction, that is part of it. And you'll be required to register as a sexual offender.

And for the lewdness with a child over the age -- older than 14, the statutory punishment is a period of imprisonment of 1 to 10 years. And you could be fined up to \$10,000. You will need a psychosexual evaluation for that. And you'll be on lifetime supervision pursuant to NRS 176.093(1). And you'll also have to register as a sex offender after you get out of prison, if you go to prison.

Do you have any questions about any of that? THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Now, you are entering a plea of guilty. You are giving up your right to have a trial and have the State convince 12 people of your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

You are convicting yourself by your pleas of guilty. And you indicated that that's what you want to do. Is that accurate?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. At page two, paragraph four, it says you understand the charges against you and

1 the elements of the offense which the State would have to 2 prove beyond a reasonable doubt. Is that an accurate 3 statement? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: All right. Now, as far as the 6 negotiated sentences that you heard your lawyer say for 7 each of those crimes, do you understand that I was not 8 party to those negotiations? I do not have to follow 9 them? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Do you understand that? At page six, paragraph 11 -- you don't have that in front of you.

But, in any event, it says, "You understand and agree that pursuant to the terms of the plea agreement any counts which are dismissed and any other cases charged or uncharged which are either to be dismissed or pursued may be considered by the Court at the time of sentencing." Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: At page seven, paragraph 12 it says, "I have discussed the charges, the facts and the possible defenses with my attorney." Is that a true statement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: "All of the foregoing rights, waiver of rights, elements, possible penalties and consequences have been carefully explained to me by my attorney."

Is that a true statement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: "My attorney has not promised me anything not mentioned in this plea memorandum. In particular my attorney has not promised I will get any specific sentence." Is that true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: "I am satisfied with my counsel's advice and representation leading to this resolution of my case. I am aware that if I am not satisfied I should advise the Court at this time."

Now, you were not satisfied with your prior attorney. And you told the Court and you got a new attorney. Are you satisfied with Mr. Picker or his staff's representation?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: It says, "I believe entering my plea is in my best interest and that going to trial is not in my best interest."

Is that an accurate statement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Your attorney advised you that, if you wish to appeal, it has to be filed within 30 days of judgment. Are you an American citizen?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Paragraph 14 says, "I offer my plea freely, voluntarily, knowingly and with full understanding of all matters set forth in the Second Amended Information and in this plea memorandum. I have read the plea memorandum completely and I understand everything contained within it." Is that a true statement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Then it says, "My plea of guilty is voluntary and is not the result of any threats, coercion or promises of leniency." Is that a true statement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: It says you signed the plea memorandum voluntarily with the advice of counsel under no duress, coercion or promises of leniency. Is that a true statement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. And nobody told you

Τ.	now to answer these questions, right?
2	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
3	THE COURT: These are your answers?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
5	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lopez, one of the
6	constitutional rights that you have is the right to be
7	represented by competent counsel. Mr. Picker is standing
8	there with you. Was he the attorney that saw you after
9	you got the new attorney?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
11	THE COURT: All right. Are you satisfied with
12	his advice and counsel?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
L4	THE COURT: Did he promise you anything to get
L5	you to plead?
L 6	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
L7	THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty because in
L8	truth and in fact you are guilty of these crimes?
L9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
20	THE COURT: All right. Tell me, what did you
21	do as far as the statutory sexual seduction is concerned?
22	Are you over 21?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: And what did you do?

1	THE DEFENDANT: I engaged in sex with her.
2	THE COURT: Engaged in sex with what?
3	THE DEFENDANT: With her.
4	THE COURT: How old is she?
5	THE DEFENDANT: She is 15.
6	THE COURT: All right. Possess visual
7	pornography of a person under the age of 16. What did
8	you do there?
9	THE DEFENDANT: I possessed visual
10	THE COURT: What did they depict?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Pornography.
12	THE COURT: I can't
13	THE DEFENDANT: Nudity.
14	MR. PICKER: He said nudity.
15	THE COURT: Nudity. And lewdness with a child
16	older than 14, what did you do?
17	THE DEFENDANT: I engaged in sex with her.
18	THE COURT: Are you under the influence of
19	anything this morning?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Antidepressants.
21	THE COURT: And how do they affect you?
22	THE DEFENDANT:
23	THE COURT: Do you understand what we are
24	doing?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

2.0

THE COURT: Do you fully comprehend the gravity of what it is that we are doing here?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And you understand the potential consequences based on your entry of guilty pleas to these three charges; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. And this is what you want to do?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. The Court finds Luigi
Richard Lopez-Delgado is competent to enter pleas of
guilty. The Court finds that there are factual bases for
the Court to accept his pleas.

He does understand the constitutional rights that he has and which he has given up. And I find that he gave them up fully, knowingly, voluntarily and with the advice of his lawyer. He understands the true nature of the charges that have been filed against him and to which he has pled. He understands the potential punishments involved for convictions of these types.

And the Court finds the pleas that were entered were entered freely, knowingly, voluntarily and

1	intelligently with the advice of his lawyer and the Court
2	does accept those pleas.
3	THE CLERK: Sentencing will be January 29th
4	1——
5	MR. PICKER: Actually, your Honor, can we do
6	a sentencing a little further out? We would ask for
7	90 days. There are some other things that obviously need
8	to happen in a case like this.
9	THE CLERK: March 14th at 9:00 a.m.
10	MR. PICKER: And, your Honor, in addition, we
11	have agreed with the State we are going to file a motion
12	for reduction in bail. We would ask for a hearing next
13	Thursday. And we'll get the motion on file. That should
14	give the State sufficient notice to notify the victim.
15	MR. GRAHAM: That's correct, your Honor. They
16	asked me this morning and next Thursday should give me
17	time to contact them.
18	THE COURT: All right.
19	THE CLERK: That will be December 20th at
20	9:00 a.m.
21	MR. PICKER: Thank you, your Honor.
22	
23	(At this time the foregoing proceedings concluded.)
24	

1	STATE OF NEVADA)
2	COUNTY OF WASHOE)
3	
4	I, Joan Marie Dotson, Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter of the Second Judicial District Court of the
6	State of Nevada, in and for the County of Washoe, do
7	hereby certify:
8	That I was present in Department No. 3 of
9	the above-entitled Court and took stenotype notes of the
10	proceedings entitled herein, and thereafter transcribed
11	the same into typewriting as herein appears;
12	That the foregoing transcript is a full,
13	true and correct transcription of my stenotype notes of
1.4	said proceedings.
15	DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 25th of
16	December, 2018.
17	
18	_/s/ Joan Marie Dotson
19	Joan Marie Dotson, CSR No. 102
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

FILED
Electronically
CR18-1654
2019-03-13 11:58:23 AM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7163797 : yviloria

CODE 1930
MARC PICKER, BAR #3566
WASHOE COUNTY ALTERNATE PUBLIC DEFENDER
350 S. CENTER ST., 6TH FLOOR
RENO, NV 89501
(775) 328-3955
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff.

CASE NO. CR18-1654

|| vs.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, Defendant.

DEPT NO. 3

12

13

14

DOCUMENTS TO BE CONSIDERED AT SENTENCING

15

COMES NOW, LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, named, by and through counsel, Washoe County Alternate Public Defender, Marc Picker, and hereby files his

16 17

Documents to be Considered at Sentencing:

18

Letter from Rutilio Lopez;
 Letter from Griselda Esparza.

19 20

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

21

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

22 23

DATED this 13th day of March, 2019.

24

MARC PICKER
Washoe County Alternate Public Defender

25

By: /S/ Marc Picker MARC PICKER Alternate Public Defender

March 11, 2019

To Whom It May Concern:

My name is Rutilio Lopez, I am Luigi Lopez' older brother. I am writing to you to attest that my brother is a good brother, son and most of all a good father. He took on full responsibility of my niece, his daughter, Emma Lopez, when her mom wouldn't. His concern now is that Emma's mom's family is not taking her to her checkups and eye care appointments. Luigi has tried to get me to contact her guardian but they have not been responsive and will not let us contact Emma. Luigi has been very good about taking Emma to all her checkups, cooking for her and making sure she ate healthy. Luigi had plans to enlist in the Army and he was also looking forward to getting a job at the Post Office. He has always stayed out of trouble and would spend time working on his cars.

Thank you for taking the time to read this and I hope you will find that my brother is not a bad guy.

Sincerely,

Rutilio Lopez

March 12, 2019

Honorable Judge

My name is Griselda Esparza,

I was born and raised In Reno Nevada. I'm currently living in Omaha Nebraska. I've been living here for about 2 years now, I work for Home Access and I just started working for them for about a month now. I'm a single mother to a wonderful 9 year old daughter named Aliyah. I've known Luigi for about 4 years now. I met Luigi thru a mutual friend on social media and we became really good friends. Luigi is different from anybody I know. He's a very earthy spiritual person and very to himself and that's another reason why we got along very well. We both enjoy being out in the outdoors and just being around nature. I guess that's why we became close friends as well. When we would be in the outdoors away from everyone looking at the nature that surrounded us we would talk about our life struggles and our dreams and what we wanted to do with our lives. What I really like about him is that he really wanted to make a difference and be somebody in life. He hated the thought of working for someone. He wanted to become his own boss. I always encouraged him to pursue his dreams so he could be a great father for his daughter Emma. Yeah like any other person he would get discouraged but I would tell him to never give up.

Luigi always talked about wanting to enlist in the Army and just the way he would talk to me about it I could see that was something he was very passionate about. My daughter and I grew lots of love for his daughter Emma. She's the sweetest little girl. Luigi would come over to my home so the girls could play together. I know Luigi loves his daughter very much. Luigi really wanted to get Emma's full custody but it was challenging for him, that's one of the main reasons he wanted to enlist in the Army to be a better father and be able to provide and be there for his daughter since Emma's mom isn't in the picture. That in its self would get him discouraged but I being a single mom know the struggle of being a parent and I would tell him not to give up and prove to himself that he can make a change and be the provider and the father Emma needed him to be. Luigi has been there for me in many ways and I couldn't be more grateful. It's only right for me to do the same. Luigi is a good man, father and friend.

Sincerely,

Griselda Esparza

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of Washoe County

Alternate Public Defender's Office, over the age of 21 years and not a party to nor interested in
the within action. I certify that on this date, I have deposited for mailing in the U.S. Mail, with
postage fully prepaid, or by interoffice mail, or by court-run delivery, or facsimile, or e-filing
where indicated, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document to the following:

Washoe County District Attorney's Office
Via E-filing

Division of Parole and Probation Via E-filing

DATED this 13th day of March, 2019.

<u>/s/Randi Jensen</u> RANDI JENSEN

FILED
Electronically
CR18-1654
2019-03-15 02:51:50 PM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7169285

Case No. CR18-1654

Dept. No. 3

CODE 1850

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO,

Defendant.

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION

The Defendant, having entered a plea of Guilty, and no sufficient cause being shown by Defendant as to why judgment should not be pronounced against him, the Court rendered judgment as follows:

That Luigy Richard Lopez-Delgado is guilty of the crimes of Statutory Sexual Seduction by Person Age 21 or Older, a violation of NRS 200.368.1, a category B felony, as charged in Count II of Second Amended Information, Possess Visual Pornography of Person Under Age 16, First Offense, a violation of NRS 200.730.1, a category B felony, as charged in Count IV of the Second Amended Information and Lewdness With Child Older than 14, a violation of NRS 201.230.3, a category B felony and that he be punished by imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum term of 48 months to a maximum term of 120 months, with credit for 456 days time served, as to Count II. As to Count VI, the Defendant is punished by imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum term of 28 months to a maximum term of 72 months, to run

consecutively to the sentence imposed in Count II. As to Count VI, the Defendant is punished by imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum term of 48 months to a maximum term of 120 months, to run concurrently with the sentence imposed in Count IV.

It is further ordered that the aggregate sentence imposed is a minimum of 76 months with a maximum of 192 months.

It is further ordered that the Defendant pay the statutory Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) administrative assessment, that he pay the Three Dollar (\$3.00) administrative assessment fee for obtaining a biological specimen and conducting a genetic marker analysis test, that he pay a One Hundred Fifty Dollar (\$150.00) DNA testing fee and that he pay a psychosexual evaluation fee in the amount of Nine Hundred Twelve Dollars and Seventy-One Cents (\$912.71) to the Clerk of the Second Judicial District Court.

A special sentence of Lifetime Supervision shall commence after any period of probation, or after any term of imprisonment, or after any period of release on parole.

It is further ordered that the fees shall be subject for removal from the Defendant's books at the Washoe County Detention Facility and/or Nevada Department of Corrections.

Any fine, fee or administrative assessment imposed upon the Defendant today as reflected in this Judgment of Conviction constitutes a lien, as defined in Nevada Revised Statues (NRS 176.275). Should the Defendant not pay these fines, fees or assessments, collection efforts may be undertaken against him.

Dated this 14th day of March, 2019.

OME M. POLAHA
DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED
Electronically
CR18-1654
2019-03-18 01:51:29 PM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7171677

Case No. CR18-1654

CODE 1850

31 3684

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

STATE OF NEVADA.

Plaintiff,

vs.

LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO,

Z-DELGADO, Dept. No. 3

Defendant.

CORRECTED JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION

The Defendant, having entered a plea of Guilty, and no sufficient cause being shown by Defendant as to why judgment should not be pronounced against him, the Court rendered judgment as follows:

That Luigy Richard Lopez-Delgado is guilty of the crimes of Statutory Sexual Seduction by Person Age 21 or Older, a violation of NRS 200.368.1, a category B felony, as charged in Count II of Second Amended Information, Possess Visual Pornography of Person Under Age 16, First Offense, a violation of NRS 200.730.1, a category B felony, as charged in Count IV of the Second Amended Information and Lewdness With Child Older than 14, a violation of NRS 201.230.3, a category B felony and that he be punished by imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum term of 48 months to a maximum term of 120 months, with credit for 456 days time served, as to Count II. As to Count IV, the Defendant is punished by imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum term of 28 months to a maximum term of 72 months, to run

consecutively to the sentence imposed in Count II. As to Count VI, the Defendant is punished by imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum term of 48 months to a maximum term of 120 months, to run concurrently with the sentence imposed in Count IV.

It is further ordered that the aggregate sentence imposed is a minimum of 76 months with a maximum of 192 months.

It is further ordered that the Defendant pay the statutory Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) administrative assessment, that he pay the Three Dollar (\$3.00) administrative assessment fee for obtaining a biological specimen and conducting a genetic marker analysis test, that he pay a One Hundred Fifty Dollar (\$150.00) DNA testing fee and that he pay a psychosexual evaluation fee in the amount of Nine Hundred Twelve Dollars and Seventy-One Cents (\$912.71) to the Clerk of the Second Judicial District Court,

A special sentence of Lifetime Supervision shall commence after any period of probation, or after any term of imprisonment, or after any period of release on parole.

It is further ordered that the fees shall be subject for removal from the Defendant's books at the Washoe County Detention Facility and/or Nevada Department of Corrections.

Any fine, fee or administrative assessment imposed upon the Defendant today as reflected in this Judgment of Conviction constitutes a lien, as defined in Nevada Revised Statues (NRS 176.275). Should the Defendant not pay these fines, fees or assessments, collection efforts may be undertaken against him.

Dated this 10/4 day of March, 2019, nunc pro tunc March 14, 2019.

ROME M. POLAHA
DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED
Electronically
CR18-1654
2019-03-28 10:22:49 AM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7190110 : csulezic

1 | CODE 2315

Christopher J. Hicks

#7747

One South Sierra Street

Reno, NV 89501

(775) 328-3200

5

6

7

2

3

4

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

+ + +

8

10

11

12

13

14

9 | THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

Case No.: CR18-1654

Dept. No.: D03

LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, also known as LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ,

V .

also known as LUIGI LOPEZ,

Defendant:

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTS I, III, V, VII, AND VIII

COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by and through CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS, District Attorney of Washoe County, and NICKOLAS J. GRAHAM, Deputy District Attorney, and moves the above-entitled Court to dismiss the charges of COUNT I. STATUTORY SEXUAL SEDUCTION BY PERSON AGE 21 OR OLDER, COUNT III. USE OR PERMIT A MINOR, UNDER AGE 18 TO PRODUCE PORNOGRAPHY, COUNT V. LEWDNESS WITH CHILD OLDER THAN 14, COUNT VII. LURE OR ATTEMPT TO LURE A CHILD WITH THE USE OF COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY TO ENGAGE IN SEXUAL CONDUCT, and COUNT VIII. ATTEMPTING TO PREVENT OR DISSUADE A WITNESS FORM TESTIFYING, filed against the

above-named defendant on December 11th, 2018 on the Second Amended Information in this case 17-14504.

Said Motion for dismissal is predicated upon the plea negotiations reached in this case and the defendant's plea of guilty and judgment being entered as to COUNT II. STATUTORY SEXUAL SEDUCTION BY PERSON AGE 21 OR OLDER, COUNT IV. POSSESS VISUAL PORNOGRAPHY OF PERSON UNDER AGE 16, and COUNT VI. LEWDNESS WITH CHILD OLDER THAN 14.

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

Dated this 28th day of March, 2019.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS District Attorney Washoe County, Nevada

By /s/ Nickolas J. Graham
NICKOLAS J. GRAHAM
10885
DEPUTY District Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY E-FILING AND/OR MAILED DOCUMENT

I certify that I am an employee of the Washoe County
District Attorney's Office and that, on this date, I electronically
filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by using the ECF
system which will send a notice of electronic filing and/or document
will be mailed to the following:

ALTERNATE PUBLIC DEFENDER MARC PHILLIP PICKER ESQ.

Dated this 28th day of March, 2019

/s/CELINA GONZALEZ-VALENZUELA CELINA GONZALEZ-VALENZUELA

FILED Electronically CR18-1654 2019-03-28 02:41:28 PM Jacqueline Bryant Clerk of the Court Transaction # 7191222

CODE 3370

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE No. CR18-1654

v.

DEPT No. D03

LUIGI RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO,

Defendant.

ORDER

Based upon the Motion of the District Attorney filed herein, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Counts I, III, V, VII, and VIII of the Second Amended Information in case number CR18-1654 filed against the above-named defendant on December 11th, 2018, be, and the same hereby is dismissed.

DATED this 28th day of Merch

FILED
Electronically
CR18-1654
2019-03-29 10:43:02 AM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7192543 : vviloria

CODE: 2515
MARC PICKER, BAR #3566
ALTERNATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE
350 S. CENTER ST., 6TH FLROO
RENO, NV 89501-2103
(775) 328-3955
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

5

6

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

8

7

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

10 | Plaintiff,

٧.

11 ||

LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO.,

Case No. CR18-1654

Dept. No. 3

Defendant.

14 15

16

17

18

12

13

NOTICE OF APPEAL

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Defendant, LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from the Judgment entered in this case on March 18, 2019. This is a fast track appeal. NRAP 3C.

19

20

21

22

23

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned hereby affirms that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED this 29th day of March, 2019.

MARC PICKER

Washoe County Alternate Public Defender

By: /s/ Marc Picker
MARC PICKER
Alternate Public Defender

24

25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Washoe County Alternate Public Defender's Office and that on this date I served a copy of the **NOTICE OF APPEAL** to the following:

LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO #1213684 NNCC PO BOX 7000 CARSON CITY, NV 89702 Via U.S. Mail

CHRISTOPHER HICKS
WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Attn: Appellate Department
Via Electronic Filing

DATED this 29th day of March, 2019.

<u>/s/Randi Jensen</u> RANDI JENSEN

FILED
Electronically
CR18-1654
2019-03-29 10:46:52 AM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7192549 : vviloria

CODE: 3868
MARC PICKER, BAR #3566
ALTERNATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE
350 S. CENTER ST., 6TH FLR
RENO, NV 89501-2103
(775) 328-3955
Attorney for Defendant

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

 $\|$ THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.

CR18-1654

LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO,

Dept. No.

3

Defendant.

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

REQUEST FOR ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPTS

TO: JOAN DOTSON

LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, the Defendant above, requests the following Rough Draft Transcripts be prepared in regard to these certain portions of the proceedings before the District Court, as follows:

December 13, 2018: Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings: Arraignment

March 14, 2019: Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings: Sentencing

This Notice requests a transcript of only those portions of the District Court proceedings that counsel reasonably, and in good faith, believes are necessary to determine whether appellate issues are present.

Counsel recognizes that counsel must personally serve a copy of this Notice on both the above-named reporter(s) and opposing counsel, and counsel further recognizes that the above-

named court reporter(s) has twenty (20) days from receipt of this Notice to both prepare and submit the transcripts requested to counsel and the District Court.

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned hereby affirms that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED this 29th day of March, 2019.

MARC PICKER
Washoe County Alternate Public Defender

By: /s/ Marc Picker
MARC PICKER
Alternate Public Defender
Nevada Bar No. 3566
Washoe County Alternate Public Defender
350 S. Center St., 6th Flr
Reno, Nevada 89501-2103
(775) 328-3955

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Washoe County Alternate Public Defender's Office and that on this date I served a copy of the **REQUEST FOR ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPTS** to the following:

LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO #1213684 NNCC PO BOX 7000 CARSON CITY, NV 89702 Via U.S. Mail

> JOAN DOTSON COURT REPORTER lazytj@sbcglobal.net Via Electronic Mail

CHRISTOPHER HICKS
WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Attn: Appellate Department
Via Electronic Filing

DATED this 29th day of March, 2019.

/s/Randi Jensen RANDI JENSEN

FILED
Electronically
CR18-1654
2019-03-29 10:45:46 AM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7192546 : vviloria

CODE: 1310
MARC PICKER, BAR #3566
ALTERNATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE
350 S. CENTER ST., 6TH FLOOR
RENO, NV 89501-2013
(775) 328-3955
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

5

6

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

8

9

10

12

13

7

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

٧.

11

LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO.

CASE NO. CR18-1654

DEPT NO. 3

Defendant.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

- 1. Appellant, LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, hereby files this Case Appeal Statement;
- 2. This appeal is from the Judgment entered on March 18, 2019, by the Honorable Jerome Polaha, District Court Judge;
- 3. The parties below were: (a) LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, Defendant; and (b) THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff;
- 4. The parties herein are: (a) LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, Appellant; and (b) THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent;

24

25 ///

///

26 | ///

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned hereby affirms that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED this 29th day of March, 2019.

MARC PICKER Washoe County Alternate Public Defender

By: /s/ Marc Picker
MARC PICKER
Alternate Public Defender

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Washoe County Alternate Public Defender's Office and that on this date I served a copy of the CASE APPEAL STATEMENT to the following:

LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO #1213684 NNCC P.O. BOX 7000 CARSON CITY, NV 89702 Via U.S. Mail

CHRISTOPHER HICKS WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY Attn: Appellate Department Via Electronic Filing

DATED this 29th day of March, 2019.

/s/Randi Jensen RANDI JENSEN

FILED
Electronically
CR18-1654
2019-04-04 02:48:31 PM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7202376

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

v.

Plaintiff,

LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO

Defendant.

• /

Case No.

CR18-1654

Dept. No.

ORDER FOR ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPTS

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

The Court, having reviewed Defendant's Request for Rough Draft Transcripts, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the transcript requested in the Request for Rough Draft Transcripts, which was filed March 29, 2019, be provided to the Defendant.

DATED this 29rd work, 2019.

DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED
Electronically
CR18-1654
2019-04-05 10:17:20 AM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7203656; yviloria

CODE: 3868
MARC PICKER, BAR #3566
ALTERNATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE
350 S. CENTER ST., 6TH FLR
RENO, NV 89501-2103
(775) 328-3955
Attorney for Defendant

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

5

6

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Plaintiff,

V.

LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO,

Defendant.

Dept. No.

Case No.

CR18-1654

EOI EE-DEEGADO,

0.

3

REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

TO: JOAN DOTSON

Appellant requests preparation of a transcript of the proceedings before the district court, as follows:

Department 3, Judge Polaha:

December 13, 2018: Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings: Arraignment, 1 copy

March 14, 2019: Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings: Sentencing, 1 copy

I hereby certify that on the 5th day of April, 2019, I ordered the transcripts listed above from the court reporter named above. No deposit was required.

|///

|| ///

- 11

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned hereby affirms that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED this 5th day of April, 2019.

MARC PICKER Washoe County Alternate Public Defender

By: <u>/s/ Marc Picker</u> MARC PICKER Alternate Public Defender Nevada Bar No. 3566 Washoe County Alternate Public Defender 350 S. Center St., 6th Flr Reno, Nevada 89501-2103 (775) 328-3955

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Washoe County Alternate Public Defender's Office and that on this date I served a copy of the REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS to the following:

LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO #1213684 NNCC PO BOX 7000 CARSON CITY, NV 89702 Via U.S. Mail

> JOAN DOTSON COURT REPORTER Dba4joan@gmail.com Via Electronic Mail

CHRISTOPHER HICKS
WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Attn: Appellate Department
Via Electronic Filing

DATED this 5th day of April, 2019.

<u>/s/Randí Jensen</u> RANDI JENSEN

CODE 1850

2

1

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

23 24

22

25

26 27

28

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO,

Defendant.

Case No. CR18-1654

Dept. No. 3

SECOND CORRECTED JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION

The Defendant, having entered a plea of Guilty, and no sufficient cause being shown by Defendant as to why judgment should not be pronounced against him, the Court rendered judgment as follows:

That Luigy Richard Lopez-Delgado is guilty of the crimes of Statutory Sexual Seduction by Person Age 21 or Older, a violation of NRS 200.368.1, a category B felony, as charged in Count II of Second Amended Information, Possess Visual Pornography of Person Under Age 16, First Offense, a violation of NRS 200.730.1, a category B felony, as charged in Count IV of the Second Amended Information and Lewdness With Child Older than 14, a violation of NRS 201.230.3, a category B felony, as charged in Count VI of the Second Amended Information and that he be punished by imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum term of 48 months to a maximum term of 120 months, with credit for 456 days time served, as to Count II. As to Count IV, the Defendant is punished by imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum

term of 28 months to a maximum term of 72 months, to run consecutively to the sentence imposed in Count II. As to Count VI, the Defendant is punished by imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum term of 48 months to a maximum term of 120 months, to run concurrently with the sentence imposed in Count IV.

It is further ordered that the aggregate sentence imposed is a minimum of 76 months with a maximum of 192 months.

It is further ordered that the Defendant pay the statutory Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) administrative assessment, that he pay the Three Dollar (\$3.00) administrative assessment fee for obtaining a biological specimen and conducting a genetic marker analysis test, that he pay a One Hundred Fifty Dollar (\$150.00) DNA testing fee and that he pay a psychosexual evaluation fee in the amount of Nine Hundred Twelve Dollars and Seventy-One Cents (\$912.71) to the Clerk of the Second Judicial District Court.

A special sentence of Lifetime Supervision shall commence after any period of probation, or after any term of imprisonment, or after any period of release on parole.

It is further ordered that the fees shall be subject for removal from the Defendant's books at the Washoe County Detention Facility and/or Nevada Department of Corrections.

Any fine, fee or administrative assessment imposed upon the Defendant today as reflected in this Judgment of Conviction constitutes a lien, as defined in Nevada Revised Statues (NRS 176.275). Should the Defendant not pay these fines, fees or assessments, collection efforts may be undertaken against him.

Dated this <u>844</u> day of April, 2019, nunc pro tunc March 14, 2019.

OME M. POLAHA

DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED Electronically CR18-1654 2019-04-25 03:24:54 PM

1	2019-04-25 03:24:54 PM		
2			
3			
4			
5	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
6	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE		
7	THE HONORABLE JEROME M. POLAHA, DISTRICT JUDGE		
8			
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10	Plaintiff, Case No. CR18-1654		
11	vs. Dept. No. 3		
12	LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO,		
13	Defendant.		
14	/		
15			
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
17	SENTENCING		
18	THURSDAY, MARCH 14, 2019		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	Reported by: EVELYN J. STUBBS, CCR #356		
)	1		

	1		
1	A	PPE	ARANCES
2	For the State:		NICKOLAS J. GRAHAM, ESQ.
3			Deputy District Attorney One South Sierra Street Reno, Nevada
4			nero, nevada
5	For the Defendant:		MARC PHILLIP PICKER, ESQ. Deputy Alt. Public Defender
6			350 S. Center Street Reno, Nevada
7			inclier included
8	For the Division:		MORGAN BARNREITER
9			
10	The Defendant:		LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	1		
16			
17			
18			
19	7		
20	4		
21			
22			
23			
24			
- 11			

1	RENO, NEVADA; THURSDAY, MARCH 14, 2019; 9:44 A.M.
2	000
3	
4	THE CLERK: The next case, Your Honor, is State versus
5	Luigy Lopez-Delgado, CR18-1654.
6	Counsel, appearances, please.
7	MR. GRAHAM: Good morning, Your Honor. Nick Graham for
8	the State.
9	MR. PICKER: Good morning, Your Honor. Marc Picker on
10	behalf of Mr. Lopez-Delgado, who's present.
11	THE COURT: All right. Good morning. This is the time
12	set for the entry of judgment and the imposition of sentence in
13	this matter. I have a presentence report, I've have a
14	psychological evaluation, and you may proceed.
15	MR. PICKER: Thank you, Your Honor. We are in receipt
16	of the Presentence Investigation Report, it was file stamped on
17	February 28th, 2018, with the attached psychosexual evaluation.
18	In addition, I believe that Your Honor has the letters of support
19	that we filed yesterday on behalf Mr. Lopez-Delgado. If you do
20	not, I have a copy.
21	THE COURT: I don't have it.
22	MR. PICKER: You don't have them?
23	THE COURT: No.
24	(Letters lodged with the Court)

THE COURT:

Thank you.

MR. PICKER:

All right.

You want to leave them here or file them? I assumed they were in the record, because

4

3

we filed them.

5

THE COURT: All right.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0 21

22

23

24

MR. PICKER: Your Honor, we have no additions or corrections to offer to the Presentence Investigation Report. Your Honor can probably tell from the plea agreement and the recommendations in the PSI we're kind of, on this side, we're kind of in a strange situation. The PSI is very complete and thorough. Obviously its recommendation is in an aggregate both for less on the front end and more on the back end than is stipulated within the plea agreement.

Obviously, we stand by our stipulation in the plea agreement despite the, I guess, more favorable recommendation by Parole and Probation.

The one thing I would note is in the psychosexual evaluation, as Your Honor I'm sure is aware, Mr. Lopez-Delgado is not found to be a high risk to reoffend, and thus he would be probation eligible under the law, although we stand by the recommendation or the stipulation that we entered into with the State.

So my argument is kind of all over the place simply because of that.

Your Honor, Mr. Lopez-Delgado's criminal history is, as you can see, nonexistent up to this crime. This is certainly a very serious matter. It is certainly something that he admitted to, Your Honor. In the plea canvass he admitted to his acts and he has taken responsibility for them.

Your Honor, he's a young man. There are a lot of factors that we believe you should take into account in determining what the appropriate and just sentence is. Certainly there's, as one of those factors, the agreement by the parties.

So given all of that, Your Honor, we're simply asking — we will simply submit it now.

THE COURT: Mr. Graham.

MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Your Honor. The psychosexual evaluation in this case came back and stated something kind of interesting. And I'm not disputing that he is not a high risk to reoffend. But the psychosexual evaluation came back saying we see Lopez- Delgado as a moderate risk to reoffend, quote, assuming the substance abuse problems are controlled.

To give an overview of this case, what had happened in this case is the defendant and the victim had met each other online through a dating application called MeetMe.

THE COURT: Counsel, let me ask, you're going to stick with the agreement that you had.

MR. GRAHAM: I am, yes, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GRAHAM: Absolutely, I think 4 to 10 years on this case is an absolutely appropriate sentence. The reason I was going to argue is because Parole and Probation recommended less than that. And I wanted to provide the Court with information to show why a 4- to 10-year sentence would be appropriate.

THE COURT: Okay. Because I'm inclined to go higher than that. So go ahead.

MR. GRAHAM: Okay. Thank you. So the record is crystal clear, I'm not arguing for anything other than the stipulated sentence in this case. But what I would like to let the Court know is that this is not two teenagers having sex. This is a case where the defendant was 23.

THE COURT: Excuse me. Nobody is here from the victim? MR. GRAHAM: She informed us she was not going to be here because it would be too traumatic for the victim in this She's in the care of her grandmother, and they gave a statement to P&P. And they indicated that they did not desire to be present for today. Her statements were reflected in what she had told the PSI writer, but she has been at every other court proceeding, the grandmother has.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GRAHAM: It's my understanding that Mr. Luigy Delgado -- Lopez-Delgado was between the ages of 23 or 24 at the

U

_ _

time that he ended up meeting the victim in this case online. As I'm learning, as is often the case, what happens is they'll meet somebody on a dating application and then they transition to Facebook Messenger where they then chat between themselves.

During the course of their interaction, the defendant in this case ended up requesting several photos of the victims.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this, because I'm not familiar with that stuff, are these permanently etched in the Internet?

MR. GRAHAM: Correct. And we've got copies of those.

And I was actually going to admit as an exhibit some of the messages.

MR. PICKER: They're not widespread on the Internet.

And when there's a chat, like on Facebook Messenger chat, it is only between the recipient and the sender. That is the only place. And it is on a server at Facebook, but it's not accessed by anybody else. Nobody else can get those messages. Basically it's a private messaging.

THE COURT: But we read about what Facebook does with their information.

MR. PICKER: I guess the National Security

Administration or National Security Agency has some access to

that as we seem to be finding out more and more, but the Facebook

Messenger application is secure and private between the two

parties that are communicating. It is not accessible by any outside party. It is only accessible by Facebook and the two participants.

1.9

MR. GRAHAM: So what you have to do to get a copy of those messages, is you have to do what's called a Preservation Request. And Facebook limits the amount of time you can go back. So what the deputies did in this case as soon as they kind of found out what was going on — because what had happened, the victim in this case was sneaking out with Mr. Delgado. And in one of those instances she wrecked her grandmother's vehicle. And so her grandmother was getting her in trouble and was going to take her phone. Her grandmother did not know how to operate phones very well, and had her son-in-law come over and take a look at Haley's — excuse me, the victim's phone to see what was on it.

THE COURT: She was 14 years old?

MR. GRAHAM: That's correct. And when the uncle came in to view the phone to see what she was up to, he discovered that she had been taking nude photographs of herself and sending them to the defendant. Based on that, a police report was generated and the victim in this case came down to the child advocacy center to provide an interview, a friendly interview, and disclosed a sexual relationship that she had engaged in with the defendant in his RV outside his house in Sun Valley. She

described several sex acts that they had engaged in and detailed it out.

2.2

There was no force. This is not sexual assault. It's statutory sexual seduction, but the laws in the State of Nevada recognize that while factually a teenager might consent to a sexual act, legally they do not have the maturity in their brain, they're not developed enough to understood what that entails. And that became extremely clear during this forensic interview.

When the forensic interviewer was asking to get some details about who Mr. Delgado was, she indicated "Well, you know, he bought me tacos. I love him." And the nexus between the sex acts and the maturity was very clear that she did not understand. They were not taking precautions regarding using condoms or anything like that, and she did not even realize the diseases she could have gotten or becoming pregnant. And it wasn't until the forensic nurse kind of explained that to her that she said, "Wow, I just didn't realize." And it was as if she was stating, "Yeah, now I realize when he said 'oops' one time," because he had ejaculated inside her. And she was a little concerned about that.

If I may approach, Your Honor. One of the -- I have one exhibit I'd like to mark. And it's a packet. This was the actual packet. To be clear, I have used the Adobe Photoshop to edit out the genitals of the victim in this case. But these are

1	the actual messages that were sent on the communications between
2	the defendant and the victim in this case over Facebook
3	Messenger.
4	If I may approach.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	MR. GRAHAM: I've provided a copy to the defense.
7	THE CLERK: Will be Exhibit 1, Your Honor.
8	(Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.)
9	THE COURT: This is all included in the PSI, the
10	offense
11	MR. GRAHAM: So I am not sure that this was. I don't
12	know if the PSI writer included I know that they read the
13	reports regarding this.
14	THE COURT: I'm talking about the actions and the
15	activities.
16	MR. GRAHAM: Oh, absolutely.
17	THE COURT: How she responded and that type of thing.
18	So this is nothing new?
19	MR. GRAHAM: No, no, no. This was actually provided
20	during the preliminary hearing.
21	THE COURT: Do you agree with that?
22	MR. PICKER: I agree it's something that's been in the
23	record.
24	MR. GRAHAM: I'm not entirely certain that the

psychosexual evaluation looked at it, or the evaluator for the psychosexual evaluation did. In Ms. Sherrie J. Hickson Brendenthal's (phonetic) report it indicates she read the reports, but it doesn't indicate whether or not she looked at the actual photos. And the thing that I'd like to show is that the defendant's words, not so much the pictures, but the actual words indicate that he knew what was going on.

And if you flip, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 -- so the 21st page, it's a conversation that's on November 16th, 2017.

THE COURT: Where is the date on these?

MR. GRAHAM: It would be under where it says "airplane mode on." And then right under that it's November 16th, 2017, at 1:59 p.m.

THE COURT: Oh, okay.

MR. GRAHAM: And so the conversation — and this is after the victim in this case had sent numerous pictures to the defendant of her genitals. They had discussed sex acts the defendant had requested that she send a picture of her vagina to another person and wanted to know what that other person said. And to actually send a screenshot as proof that she sent a picture of her vagina to somebody else.

After that you'll see up here it says -- well, her conversation is on the right. Mr. Delgado's conversation is on the left. And she says, "Okay, I will." And excuse my language

2

3

vou."

but, "Are we just fuck buddies or are we dating?"

But we can wait until you're older to date, but I still want

Mr. Delgado responds, "Both, kind of. IDK," which

means I don't know, "about dating, because you're really young.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

we have to wait until we date?"

Mr. Delgado responds, "16." She responds, "Okay.

long do we have to" -- and then go to the next page, "How long do

Victim responds, "I still want you too. Okay? How

Not

that long. I'll be 15 in two months. Just a year."

And then they go into him asking whether or not she's had sex with anybody else.

What's interesting about that conversation is it shows what's commonly referred to as consciousness of guilt. He knows not only that what he is doing is wrong, not only that what he desires is wrong, but despite that, he still wants to do it and he's still engaged in those acts.

The law for statutory sexual seduction is set up to protect juveniles, who factually may consent, but legally cannot for the very reasons that I stated before. Their minds are not developed enough, they're maturity level is not developed enough to engage in these practices. And that's exactly what was happening here.

The defendant, having her send pictures to other

ΤĆ

people, receiving these pictures --

MR. PICKER: I'm going to object. There's no evidence that the defendant had her send pictures to other people. She didn't send pictures to other people.

MR. GRAHAM: Okay. My understanding it was -- Court's indulgence. I'm not sure what page it is, but on the top it says 3:11 p.m. And it starts with, "IDK, laugh out loud."

I should have numbered the pages, and I apologize for that.

THE COURT: I can't see the dates on these.

MR. PICKER: This one doesn't have a date, Your Honor.

MR. GRAHAM: That one doesn't have a date, because they were scrolling through.

THE COURT: Close to the front or close to the back?

MR. PICKER: It's about in the middle, Your Honor. And if you look at the very top, it's the only page, I believe, that says 3:11 p.m.

THE COURT: Okay. I got it.

MR. GRAHAM: 16th page. It says, victim states, "IDK, laugh out loud." Or no, excuse me. The defendant states that.

"It's hot if you just send him a pic of your pussy spread. He probably wants you."

Victim responds, "No, I don't want to." Defendant states, "Don't ask. Just spread it and send him one. Laugh out

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Smiley face. Laugh out loud is LOL. Victim states, "Laugh out loud." Defendant states, "Do it."

Next page, "Show me screenshots of it. Did you? Laugh out loud." Victim states, "Yeah." "Let me see what he say." Victim responds, quote, "Oh, shit. Is that really yours?" The defendant states, "Show me the screenshot, baby," question mark.

Next page is a continuation of that message showing the screenshot. And then it has a screenshot of the victim sending some other person a picture. And I blocked it out, but it's of her vagina.

In a situation such as this, where the defendant is an adult, he's 23, 24 years old at the time, and the victim is 14, it's incumbent on the adult in the situation not to engage in this type of sexual relationship, not to request these type of photos and not to take advantage of a child in the way that Mr. Delgado did.

As can be seen from the PSI in this case, the effects of this relationship, the effects of what Mr. Delgado did are far ranging. The victim has reported that she started cutting. The grandma has had to actually move. They sold their house in Red Hawk and moved. She is going through it, as we say.

Based on the facts and circumstances in this case, I think the evidence is clear that the defendant's actions were predatory in nature and manipulative, to say the least. And

based on that, I think that the defendant's -- the proper and just sentence in this case would be the 4 to 10 years that the parties have stipulated to.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Picker.

MR. PICKER: Just briefly, Your Honor, because I didn't mention anything to do with the alleged victim or the victim in this case and his actions.

Actually, by the way, Mr. Delgado pled in this case.

Not to diminish the fact that she's 14 years old and

Mr. Lopez-Delgado should have had nothing to do with her mentally
or physically in that way.

We have two things. One is that the Victim Information Statement about the victim, again, was in the PSI and is taken into account by Parole and Probation when they made their recommendation. But the other part is that, as the State provided to you in their Exhibit 1, it is unfortunate that this is a 14-year-old who certainly expressed and exhibited a lot more sophistication, quite frankly, than I had at the age of 14. And that is the unfortunate circumstance in our society, is that people at that age do seem to have a lot more awareness of those kinds of things.

THE COURT: Aren't we talking about -- I was wondering about that. I didn't inquire, but doesn't, especially when you

have a child versus an adult, the license that the adult is giving to the child in a circumstance sort of opening the gates to say, hey, let's do and say and -- do anything. And don't we see that here?

MR. PICKER: We do, Your Honor. And that is why
Mr. Lopez-Delgado pled to what he pled to in this case, and
that's why he admitted his actions to Your Honor. I mean that's
really the situation, is that he does recognize that no matter
how much the sophistication, how much the activity, how much the
involvement by the victim in this case, he is the one who's
legally responsible here. And he has taken that responsibility
to heart, because —

THE COURT: Does he have an understanding of the long-range effect of this type of activity on a child?

MR. PICKER: Certainly he does.

THE COURT: Does he?

MR. PICKER: I mean, we had these discussions. One of the reasons or one of the discussions about not — about him pleading guilty, and if Your Honor will recall, he had difficulties with his prior attorney. Our office was appointed. But within a week after talking to me and discussing the case and everything else, he entered the plea of guilty.

THE COURT: Well, he wouldn't want to go to jury.

MR. PICKER: But the other part is, he didn't want to

2.1

the victim to be in front of a jury either. He didn't want her to have that stress or pressure or exposure as well. So, Your Honor, that was a factor, and that was something that we discussed.

So Mr. Lopez-Delgado does have a statement that he'd like to read to Your Honor. But we -- you know our position.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: So first I --

THE COURT: Read it slowly and loudly so the reporter can hear.

THE DEFENDANT: First I wanted to apologize to the victim and her family for the effect of this.

THE COURT: Do you have a daughter?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I have two daughters.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm filled with shame and embarrassment, regret and remorse for this by chasing trouble that I've let myself fall into. I take full responsibility for this, and I'm willing and able to enter into any treatment, counseling classes to help me further — for myself, for my family and for society.

During the last 15 months in the Washoe County Jail
I've not had any access to programs, counseling or treatment
other than psychiatric treatment, but I have read self-help books

_ 0

and I am determined to apply knowledge into plans of action on the foundation of self-discipline, deference and consistency to build a better future and to always improve myself for family and society.

I believe I have transmuted my punishment in jail to stepping stones of growth and character development, and I will continue to enlist or be drafted to help get back into society, to dedicate myself and resources to constructive purposes toward society and this country.

That's it, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Does anyone have any just or legal cause why judgment should not be entered?

MR. PICKER: No, Your Honor.

MR. GRAHAM: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: There being none, the Court does adjudge Luigy Richard Lopez-Delgado guilty of a category B felony, statutory sexual seduction by a person of the age 21 or older, a violation of NRS 200.368(1). The Court enters judgment against Mr. Lopez-Delgado for a category B felony, possession of visual pornography of a person under the age of 16, first offense, a violation of NRS 200.730(1).

And finally the Court enters judgment against Mr. Lopez-Delgado on a category B felony, lewdness with a child older than 14, a violation of NRS 201.230(3) by virtue of his

plea of guilty taken December 13th, 2018.

_

U

This is a very upsetting and disgusting set of facts in this case. Mr. Lopez-Delgado, was there nothing that stopped you or suggested to you that you shouldn't be doing this as you're doing this? I mean you've got a young girl --

THE DEFENDANT: When I first met her, sir, she -- as far as I knew, she said she was 17 and then turned 18. So we started talking --

THE COURT: She says here that she said she was 14.

And when you were talking on the phone, she said, we can't date

-- or you said you can't date until you're 17.

THE DEFENDANT: Later on I found out she was under legal age.

THE COURT: But you kept going.

Almost every person that comes to court has been sexually molested in their lifetime. And look how that turned out. Here we are in criminal court. Like I said, I have four sons, I don't have any daughters.

Does she have a father, this girl?

MR. GRAHAM: Her father is not in the picture, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Oh, all right.

It is the judgment of the Court that you be sentenced on Count II, which is the statutory sexual seduction, to a

maximum term of 120 months, with a minimum parole eligibility of 1 2 48 months. You are sentenced to a term of 72 months, with a 3 minimum parole eligibility of 28 for Count IV, which is possession of visual pornography of a person under the age of 16. That will run consecutive to Count I. And in Count VI, which is 5 6 lewdness with a child older than 14, I'm sentencing you to a term 7 of 120 months with a minimum parole eligibility of 48 months. 8 And that count can run concurrent to the other two counts. 9 So the aggregate is 76 minimum, 120 -- excuse me, 192 10 maximum. Is that correct? 11 THE CLERK: That's correct. That's what I have, Your 12 Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. You'll be given credit for 14 456 days. You've already got a year done. And you'll undergo 15 genetic marker testing. There's a \$150 fee for that. 16 psychosexual fee is \$912.71, with a DNA administrative assessment 17 fee of \$3 and a general administrative fee of \$25. And the 18 attorney fee will be \$500. 19 MR. PICKER: Your Honor, I'm going to ask the attorney 20 fees be waived, given the amount of time and given the other fees 21 involved. 22 THE COURT: All right. I'll waive the attorney fees. 23 Anything else? 24 MR. GRAHAM: Yes, Your Honor, as far as Count II, the

defendant will be required to register as a sex offender. 1 2 me. Yeah. 3 THE COURT: Oh, right. 4 MR. GRAHAM: Sex offender. Additionally on count --5 THE COURT: Six. MR. GRAHAM: Count IV, register as a sex offender, and 6 then Count VI, sex offender, as well as lifetime supervision. 7 8 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for that. All right. 9 That will be part of the order. So you will register as a sex 10 offender when you're released, and you will be on lifetime 11 supervision once you are released on parole. 12 MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. Courts in recess. 14 (Proceedings Concluded) 15 --000--16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1 STATE OF NEVADA))ss. 2 COUNTY OF WASHOE 3 4 I, EVELYN J. STUBBS, official reporter of the 5 Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for 6 the County of Washoe, do hereby certify: That as such reporter I was present in Department No. 3 8 of the above court on, THURSDAY, MARCH 14, 2019, at the hour of 9:44 a.m. of said day, and I then and there took stenotype notes 9 10 of the proceedings had and testimony given therein upon the 11 SENTENCING of the case of THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs. 12 LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO, Defendant, Case No. CR18-1654. 13 That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages numbered 1 to 21, inclusive, is a full, true and correct 14 15 transcript of my said stenotype notes, so taken as aforesaid, and 16 is a full, true and correct statement of the proceedings had and testimony given therein upon the above-entitled action to the 17 18 best of my knowledge, skill and ability. 19 DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 25th day of April, 2019. 20 21 2.2 /s/ Evelyn Stubbs EVELYN J. STUBBS, CCR #356 23

24

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Washoe County Alternate Public Defender's Office and that on this date I served a copy of JOINT APPENDIX to the following:

LUIGY RICHARD LOPEZ-DELGADO #1213864 LOVELOCK CORRECTIONAL CENTER 1200 Prison Road LOVELOCK, NEVADA 89419 Via U.S. Mail

AARON FORD ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF NEVADA 100 N. CARSON STREET CARSON CITY, NEVADA 89701 Via electronic filing

CHRIS HICKS
WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Attn: Appellate Department
Via electronic filing

DATED this 22nd day of July, 2019.

_/s/ Randi M. Jensen____ RANDI M. JENSEN