IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TONEY A. WHITE,)	Electronically Filed Sep 19 2019 12:23 p.m	
#1214172,)	CASE NO.: 78483 Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court	
Appellant,)	Clerk of Supreme Court	
)	D.C. Case No.: C-16-313216-2	
VS.)		
)	E-FILE	
STATE OF NEVADA,) .		
)		
Respondent.)		
	_)		
APPELLANT'S	S APPI	ENDIX VOLUME II	
Appeal from the	Eighth	Judicial District Court	
Clark	c Count	ty, Nevada	
TEDDENICE M. LACKSON, ESO.		CTEVEND WOLECON	
TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 000854		STEVEN B. WOLFSON Nevada Bar No. 001565	
Law Office of Terrence M. Jackson	L	Clark County District Attorney	
624 South 9th Street		200 E. Lewis Avenue	
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		Las Vegas, Nevada 89155	
(702) 386-0001		(702) 671-2750	

AARON D. FORD Nevada Bar No. 007704 Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701

steven.wolfson@clarkcountyda.com

Counsel for Appellant Counsel for Respondent

terry.jackson.esq@gmail.com

MASTER INDEX

Case No.: 78483

Document (file stamp date in parenthesis)	Volume	Page No.
Amended Indictment (2/20/2019)	1	0088-0093
Indictment: Case No. C-16-313216-2 (3/9/2016)	1	000a-000 f
Entry of Plea Transcript [heard10-19-2017] (5/17/2018)	1	0063-0075
Guilty Plea Agreement (10/19/2017)	1	0043-00 51
Judgment of Conviction - Plea of Guilty (3/27/2019)	1	0113-0115
Jury Trial Transcript: Day 1 [heard 2-19-2019] (7/12/20)	19) 1	0133-0 250
Jury Trial Transcript: Day 1, continued (7/12/2019)	2	0251-0330
Jury Trial Transcript: Day 2 [heard 2-20-2019] (7/12/20)	19). 2	0331-0485
Jury Trial Transcript: Day 3 [heard 2-21-2019] (7/12/20	19) 3	0486-0 512
Motion for Certification & Request for Remand (8/30/20)19) 3	0619-0 623
Motion for Enlargement of Time to NSC (9/9/2019)	3	0624-0 627
Motion to Stay Appellate Proceedings & Remand to District Court for Motion		
to Withdraw Guilty Plea [NSC] (8/6/2019)	3	0548-0617
Motion to Withdraw as Counsel (11/22/2016)	1	0001-00 04
Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea (9/5/2018)	1	0076-00 87
Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea (7/26/2019)	3	0513-0 547
Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea & Appt. of New Counsel or Proceed in Pro Per		
[heard 1-30-2018] (1/9/2018)	1	0052-00 62
Notice of Appeal - <i>Pro Per</i> (3/28/2019)	1	0116-0117

Order Appointing Counsel (5/21/2019)	1	0120
O rder Denying Motion [NSC] (8/22/2019)	3	0618
Order of Limited Remand for Designation of Counsel		
from Nevada Supreme Court (4/17/2019)	1	0118-0119
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Pro Per (5/3/2017)	1	0005-00 42
Recorder's Transcript: Defendant's Application to Recuse Cou	ınsel &	c for
Appt. of Alternative Counsel; Memorandum of Points &	Autho	orities
[heard 6-9-2016] (6/21/2019)	3	0628-0630
Recorder's Transcript: Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Rec	ord for	•
Harvey Gruber, Esq. [heard 12-13-2016] (6/21/2019)	3	0631-0 634
Recorder's Transcript: Sentencing		
[heard 12-14-2017] (6/21/2019)	3	0635-0 645
Recorder's Transcript: Defendant's Motion for Withdrawal of Guilty Plea &		
Appt. of New Counsel or Alternatively Proceed Pro Per	•	
[heard 1-30-2018] (6/21/2019)	3	0654-0 656
Recorder's Transcript: Defendant's Motion for Withdrawal of	Guilty	Plea &
Appt. of New Counsel or Alternatively Proceed Pro Per	& Sen	itencing
[heard 2-6-2018] (6/21/2019)	3	0657-0 663
Recorder's Transcript: Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea &		
Confirmation of Appointed Counsel Michael Sanft & Se	entenci	ng
[heard 2-15-2018] (6/21/2019)	3	0664-0 666

Recorder's Transcript: Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea &		
Sentencing [heard 3-29-2018] (6/21/2019)	3	0667-0 669
Recorder's Transcript: All Pending Motions		
[heard 6-5-2018] (7/10/2019)	3	0670-0 674
Recorder's Transcript: All Pending Motions		
[heard 6-28-2018] (7/10/2019)	3	0676-0 686
Recorder's Transcript: All Pending Motions		
[heard 12-20-2018] (7/10/2019)	3	0687-0 690
Sentencing Memorandum [heard 3-19-2019] (3/15/2019).	1	0094-0112
Sentencing Transcript [heard 1-18-2018] (6/21/2019)	3	0646-0 653
Sentencing Transcript [heard 3-19-2019] (7/10/2019)	1	0121-0 132

. . .

. . .

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an assistant to Terrence M. Jackson, Esquire, am a person competent to serve papers and not a party to the above-entitled action and on the 19th day of September, 2019, I served copy of the foregoing: Appellant, Toney A. White's Opening Brief as well as Volumes I - III of the Appendix, as follows:

[X] Via Electronic Service to the Nevada Supreme Court, to the Eighth Judicial District Court, and to the Petitioner/Appellant as well as the Nevada Attorney General by U.S. mail with first class postage affixed as follows:

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Steven.Wolfson@clarkcountyda.com AARON D. FORD Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701

STEVEN S. OWENS
APPELLATE DIVISION
Steven.Owens@clarkcountyda.com

Toney A. White, #1214172 E. S. P., P.O. Box 1989 Ely, Nevada 89301

By: /s/ Ila C. Wills

Assistant to Terrence M. Jackson, Esq.

some point, after that meeting the case got dismissed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: I asked to go to court and Instead of sending me to the courtroom they decided, in the interest of justice, to cut the case loose.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So, obviously, it sounds like you had a negative interaction with law enforcement in California but your interaction with prosecutors, at least that prosecutor seemed to believe you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: It's my opinion that when I had to go to jail it had to do with the government and he didn't want them to go to jail too; because they didn't do their job after I filed whatever motions and things I filed.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay, so it was in response to your motions is what you're saying.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: Right.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And, obviously, the officers -- you heard their names, they're not from California, they're not the same law enforcement officers, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: I don't know who arrested me out here. But I imagine they're not.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Did you ever get arrested by Henderson?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: Not Henderson, no. MR. SCHWARTZER: So, it's been Las Vegas Metropolitan? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: The City and the County

I've been arrested there.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Well, there's North Las Vegas Police, there's --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: I haven't been in North Las Vegas. City of Las Vegas, I guess, and then Metro or the County of Las Vegas.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Because, the County includes Henderson and Boulder City or possibly --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: Well, the County; I've been downtown here, but it was -- everything was down here in Las Vegas.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: Apparently in this area.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And do you believe that these multiple interactions you had with law enforcement, which has been negative, you don't believe that's going to influence how you view a police officer on the stand?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: I'd pay attention to what he's saying because I think they don't always -- they're not always honest.

MR. SCHWARTER: Okay. But you'll pay attention to what they're saying --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: I'll take it, yeah.

MR. SCHWARTZER: But you said they're not always honest and that's, look, there's people who are -- I mean in all professions

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: Yeah.

MR. SCHWARTZER: We have to prove -
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: You have to prove -
MR. SCHWARTZER: -- the case beyond a reasonable doubt.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: Yeah, you have to prove.

Yeah.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So, Mr. Sanft, I don't know, you know, I'll let Mr. Sanft talk for himself; but Mr. Sanft can do nothing and we don't prove our case beyond a reasonable doubt, you still have to find not guilty. So, then there isn't necessarily two cases that are put on. There's the case the State puts on and then maybe the Defense puts on a case or not.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: Well, it's the fact that he has the chance to cross-examine your witnesses, the police officers. So, you get two sides or he tries to get one to say something. You know, try to get him to say something. He's gonna try to get him to say something. So, then I get to make a judgment.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Do you -- okay. Okay. I get it. So, I guess at the end of the day, I'm just trying to figure out if you -- if, you know, someone off the street testifies, is that here [indicating] with you when it comes to credibility? Is an officer going to be here [indicating], is he going to be here [indicating], or is he going to be here [indicating]?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: It depends on what they're both saying and if it sounds legit, it sounds legit. I mean, you

happened in Virginia, which was pretty wrong, right? It was about nine months ago, you said?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: Yes, sir.

MR. SCHWARTZER: And it's pretty -- at most it's pretty unbelievable that they wouldn't go search the father's residence first. So, that's kind of interesting. So, that's obviously a negative. I mean, you don't believe the Virginia police or whatever the police department was, treated you with a manner of seriousness that you needed to treated?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: I mean, it's my son so, I mean, they can treat it as seriously as they wanted. You know, it's so serious to me but, you know, I gotta look at, you know, everything and look at it in perspective. So, I mean, it is what it is, the young kids off the street. But, you know, like I said, I said it was handled wrong but, you know, that's not my, you know, not my forte, it's not my job. So, yeah. But I just wanted to let you know that.

MR. SCHWARTZER: I appreciate you -- I appreciate everyone being honest and I appreciate you being honest in this hearing. And, obviously, this isn't something you want to be doing on a Tuesday, talking about these traumatic things.

But, obviously -- and, you know, the Judge went into it a little bit -- obviously, the police officers you had won't be the same police officers that testify in this case, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: Well, I don't -- like I said, he was a juvenile so the case is closed. He didn't get to go in, so.

1	MR. SCHWARTZER: It was closed as a juvenile case, is that
2	right?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: Yes, sir.
4	MR. SCHWARTZER: So not certified as an adult or anything
5	like that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: Exactly.
7	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And you thought that was not
8	the right call?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: Well, I mean, I was upset
10	about it but no other cases and everything, so I kind of understood.
11	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. I appreciate that. Did during
12	that course of investigation with your son, did any detectives come talk
13	to you?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: At the beginning and then
15	after that it was pretty tough to get ahold of them.
16	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Do you believe that they were
17	truthful when they talked to you? Did they treat you with respect?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: Yes, they they were,
19	you know, they were yeah, they were truthful.
20	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And then after that it just became
21	your problem was obviously the way it was handled in the court system;
22	and it sounds like it was hard to get ahold of the detectives at some
23	point.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: Impossible.
25	MR. SCHWARTZER: Impossible. Okay. Well, you're not

going to hold that against either party in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: No, sir.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: Um, hm.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Was there any -- I'll start with Ms. Rodriguez. Is there anyone else in this row? No? Ms. Rodriguez?

·

Ms. Rodriguez, is your badge number 567?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: I've had multiple instances where my family has been discriminated by police officers because of where their family immigrated from. Most of my family immigrated from Mexico.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: And a lot of the older generation, especially, they have a very heavy Spanish accent; and there have been multiple instances where they are discriminated during traffic stops.

But one -- one of which I've witnessed by a border patrol officer was when me and my family were traveling to Mexico. When we were coming back, we had our nieces and everything with us and the border patrol officer didn't ask for any papers, he didn't ask for any I.D.s. We had all of them ready but he automatically became annoyed and angry with my mother because of her heavy Spanish accent.

And he started to yell at her and say really disrespectful things

towards her; and she kept telling him, are you gonna check our papers? But he got so angry and annoyed with her to the point where he just told us to screw off, basically, and sent us to the -- to like the x-ray machines that they make you go through with the cars and everything to check if you had anything and check our bags.

But he didn't even check any of the children's papers or anything like that. So, because of that, we were pretty upset because if we were somebody who was actually doing something illegal, we could have gone through the border with innocent children.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: So, I've had some bad instances with discrimination.

MR. SCHWARTZER: You're talking specifically of, in this case, border patrol?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: Yes.

MR. SCHWSARTZER: Uh --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: But there's been other instances where my parents and my other family members have been discriminated by traffic -- by police officers during traffic stops. But the only one that I've actually witnessed is the one with the border patrol officer.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Anything regarding LVMPD or Henderson Police Department?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: The only ones I can think about is when my stepfather has been stopped by some police officers

while he was out driving. And, they treated him very unfairly because of his Spanish accent; and they tried to pin stuff on him that he didn't even do.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Was he arrested?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: No. They let him go because they didn't have any actual evidence.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Those experiences, are they going to influence the way you view a police officer who will testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: It really depends on their demeanor and what they say about the trial and what they have witnessed. Because, from my standpoint, they're -- depending on what is said, I might personally choose to think it was a racial type of thing rather than actual witnessing of the evidence.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Just because he's a police officer, you assume he might have a racial bias against the suspect or defendant in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: It really depends on how the person demeanors himself.

MR. SCHWARTZER: So, do you believe that, you know, without knowing -- you didn't know any of the names Ms. Luong read into the record, correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: No.

MR. SCHWARTZER: So, you don't know any of these officers personally?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: No. I don't.

MR. SCHWARTZER: And you've never dealt with these officers before?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: No. But I still have an uncomfortability when I'm approached by one because of what I've witnessed before with my family.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. But do you -- are you going --so, when an officer gets on the stand are you going to think that -- without knowing anything about the officer, are you going to think that they have an immigration bias?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: No, unless something with their testimony makes me believe so.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Such as?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: Depending on what they say and how they paint the accused person.

MR. SCHWARTZER: What do you mean by paint?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0567: If they use any type of words that might describe somebody by just how they look or how they acted. Some words pertaining to that, I guess.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Does anyone else -- okay, let's go on. Thank you, Ms. Rodriguez.

How many people here -- now, you've heard this had happened in the Seven Hills, St. Rose area, and this had happened in Henderson. How many people here have had interaction with Henderson Police Department?

I see one person. Mr. Greene. Anyone else? You don't

1	count, I'm sorry. Not now. Next time. Get rid of somebody. Could we
2	have a microphone for Mr. Greene? Mr. Greene, your badge number is
3	463?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Yes, that's correct.
5	MR. SCHWARTZER: And can you tell me about your
6	interaction with Henderson Police Department?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Just basic traffic stops
8	because I actually live out in Henderson.
9	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: So, not too far from that
11	area.
12	MR. SCHWARTZER: Do you like their traffic stops?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Man, it was smooth. It was, you
14	know, believe it or not, it was real smooth. You know, it was a lot better
15	than Metro.
16	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: You know, to be honest.
18	MR. SCHWARTZER: How so?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Just a little bit more
20	respectful, you know, and they don't try to waste your time. You know,
21	they kind of get to the point. You know, just just you know, just real
22	smooth, basic. You know, license and registration, you know, the
23	reason why I stopped you.
24	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: You know, but other than

that I don't have no problem with Henderson. You know they, they -you know, because I see them all the time. I see them going back and
forth in white SUVs and they don't bother too many people, you know.
Unless they're called out; but it seems like right when they're called out,
they do come out very quick, you know what I'm saying; so, you know,
it's -- it's good to see them, you know.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: I mean, I guess you know. But there's been some instances before, not here, but in other places that I -- that I have visited and lived, you know, and I called the police, it takes them hours --

MR. SCHWARTZER: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: --hours to get there and, you know what I'm saying, kind of nonchalant about things. But Henderson, you know, you call them they're -- you know they'll be right there.

Mr. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: So, but that's pretty much it, really.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Now you said they're better than LVMPD and well, as you heard from Ms. Luong, you know there's only one officer from LVMPD that may or may not testify.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Yeah.

MR. SCHWARTZER: But it sounds like you might have had, I guess more negative, or a negative interaction with LVMPD. Traffic

stops or just --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Yeah.

MR. SCHWARTZER: -- they take a longer time?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Well, that and there was one time I was actually moving by Sahara and Linwood; I had a U-Haul truck; and I got stopped and the guy was like, open up the back of the truck, you know, make sure there's not no human trafficking going on in there.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: I said, human trafficking, what are you talking about? You know, he's like, I'm making sure you're not smuggling no immigrants or anything. I'm like, brother look at me. You don't understand, why would I try to smuggle some immigrants, you know what I'm saying? So, it's just -- it's just sometimes -- you know that was like when I first got here. I was like psychotic, because in '07 -- so, in '07 I kept getting a whole bunch of tickets, you know, saying for stuff from when I first got here. But two weeks of me being here I got hit with a -- I just came from Washington -- I got hit with a -- not having a local I.D. I'm like, brother I just got here, you know, I mean, two weeks ago. He was like, well you know, well I don't know that.

I'm like, you know, here's my you know, like here's my papers, you know what I'm saying; like you know, like here's my lease, here's everything.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: You know, just a whole

OSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463:

1Ź

bunch of, you know, just negativity stuff. You know, just, just -- just rookie cops, you know, I mean trying to make a name for themselves or whatever. Just trying to -- I don't know, I guess just trying to get me stirred up or whatever.

MR. SCHWSARTZER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: But other than that, like it really doesn't bother me; just, you know, some personalities they just don't mix.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: You know, and but that doesn't mean that my -- that my idea of what's -- of what's taking place here is gonna be based off of that. You know, that was just one person's maybe having a bad day. Or, maybe he had somebody before then maybe had said some very colorful language to him or something and maybe they was just --

You know, because I've noticed that sometimes with the police, it don't matter where you at, if they had a bad encounter before, you know, sometimes, you know, that they're still worked up, you know. You know, because they are human, you know. Just like all of us.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: You know. So, when we do things sometimes, you know, we still get a little bit worked up; and sometimes we lash out at others. So, that's why I don't take it personal. You know, I just smile and just do what they ask me to, but it's -- it's -- MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. I appreciate it Mr. Greene.

1	There's no one else, right? I see no hands. I'm gonna ask you how
2	many people here watch true crime shows or listen to true crime
3	podcasts? Let's see, 1, 2, 3
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0511: What do you mean by
5	true crime?
6	MR. SCHWARTZER: I think I
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0511: Are you talking Law and
8	Order; or are you talking about
9	MR. SCHWARTZER: No. No. I don't have any questions
10	about that. No, I'm not talking about and, that was for the record, it
11	was Ms I'm sorry, Randi, what was your first name?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0511: Oh, it's McCay. Randi.
13	MR. SCHWARTZER: Ms. McCay, okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0511: No, it's okay. I was just
15	[indiscernible].
16	SCHWARTZER: Let me clarify that. I'm talking about, you
17	know, making a murderer or serial, like documentaries. The one with
18	Durst the one where he says, I killed them all at the end. I'm not sure
19	what that was called.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0511: What about Another 48?
21	MR. SCHWARTZER: Another 48, like those. So, everyone
22	watches those? Not everyone, very strong shaking of the head right
23	there. Okay.
24	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Every now and
25	then.

TV.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Now and then? Okay. Does anyone, I mean -- and then there's, you know, Las Vegas Law, Sin City Law. It featured the District Attorney's Office that I was not involved in.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 507: What channel is it?

MR. SCHWARTZER: I wasn't involved in it so I don't know.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 507: It's called Justice --Justice

MR. SCHWARTZER: There you go. Now, besides, you know, what we talked about, these documentaries -- it's fair to say that these documentaries are meant to entertain as well as somewhat inform but they -- it's mostly to entertain. Does anyone have -- so, things that you might hear in Another 48 or things that you might hear in serial or things that you might hear in that --

UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HBO.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah. That show on HBO that I'm trying to figure out the name. Those -- you can't just take what was done in those cases and then apply it to here where you don't get the full story. You're going to hear it. You're going to have a trial. You're going to have all these people testify in front of you.

Does anyone have any issues regarding that? Does anyone think that they'll have any influence by those -- those shows? Okay. And I see everyone's shaking their head no. Okay. Now let's go to the fictional shows. So, NCIS, CSI Miami. All those shows. Okay.

Everyone knows those shows are fictional, right? All Right.

Everyone's shaking their head. That the science -- that we're not going

to have some crazy science that's gonna solve this case in twenty minutes. I mean, you guys have been here longer than twenty minutes now, right? Right? All Right, no one is going --does anyone expect to see stuff that they might see in CSI or anything like that? Okay. Everyone on the panel is shaking their head.

Now, the judge did ask you in a broad sense will anyone have issues following the law as instructed by the Judge, because the Judge, at the end of -- if you are picked to be on this trial -- the Judge at the end is going to instruct you on the elements and the law involving our Defendant in this case.

Meaning, like what elements that need to be proven by the State, among other things as well. Some people have issues with some of the law as it is written in Nevada. The one that we used to use all the time but has been changed was marijuana. Obviously, people, a lot of people -- in fact, over the majority in Nevada, found that marijuana should not be a crime and it's not a crime anymore.

But there still was -- people before that, you know people were prosecuted for marijuana. And you would have to -- you would just take an oath to follow the law.

Another example of this would be kind of like conspiracy theory in which two people -- you have a driver -- you have two people that are gonna rob a convenience store. There's a driver and the guy who goes in and robs the convenience store. And under Nevada law, the driver is just as guilty as the person who robs the store.

Some people find that hard to follow, that the gunman should

be more responsible than the driver. But the law as stated by, you know, Nevada is equal. Does anyone have issues — and why would you have issues in following the law as instructed by the Judge? Or, is there like a specific — is there a specific situation where you think you would not be able to follow the law; or, you would have a moral or a conviction against following the law? Everyone's shaking their head.

Which then brings us -- I'm sorry, she keeps looking over here. You two guys. Okay, negative response. The other thing I want to talk about is judgment. Some people have problems passing judgment on another individual. In this case we're obviously going to ask you to pass judgment whether Mr. White is guilty or not of these crimes.

Does anyone have a moral or religious or otherwise reason that they can't pass judgment regarding whether the State proved the facts of this case or not? All right, I see no hands.

Does anyone believe that when you're intoxicated you shouldn't be responsible for your actions? Or, you should be less responsible for your actions? Okay. Everyone's shaking their head.

Okay. Does anyone believe that substance abuse should mitigate, or make a crime less of a crime, because they have a substance abuse issue? No one's shaking their head. Okay.

Can people who are involved in criminal activities be victims of crimes themselves? And to paint a picture, it's not this case, because you won't get the facts of this case unless you're chosen. But the most dangerous job -- in my years being in the District Attorney's Office -- I'll tell you right now, the most dangerous job in Nevada is being a

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

street marijuana dealer. Those guys get robbed all the time; and sometimes killed.

Now, because a person is selling marijuana illegally, not from a dispensary, and they're robbed, do you believe they can still be a victim of a crime? Can they still be a victim of robbery? Can they still be a victim of murder? Okay. So, if someone's involved in criminal activity, does everyone believe that they can still be victims of crime themselves? No one has any issues or any --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: It's the other way around, the way I look at it.

MR. SCHWARTZER: I'm sorry, Mr. Greene.

THE MARSHAL: Microphone, your full name and badge number, please.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Mr. Greene is 463?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Yeah, badge number 463. You can be a victim but in the eyes of the law it's wrong all the way around. Because you guys are both breaking the law.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Sure. So, both people are breaking the law but can -- and, obviously, both people have to answer to the law, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Correct.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Can that person who is breaking the law -- do you still believe that person deserves, you know, justice in a sense as in the criminal justice system? Or do you believe, because they decide to engage in illegal activity that they do not deserve the

same, you know, rights that everyone else has like a true victim, quote, true victim, unquote?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: I believe it was a choice they chose to make and they knew the risk that came with it.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay,

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: So -- so, with that being said, you know, if you know you're doing wrong, you know that wrong comes with it.

You know what I'm saying, regardless of how you look at, I mean the justice that's coming behind it is -- is -- well, you know, with that it's always that street justice or what not. You know, but that's not fair either because -- because now you got two parties you know, obviously, that are both -- okay, okay, so now you have a situation with two people who both made a wrong choice; but now you have other people who are not involved that are now involved, due to two people's choices that they woke up and decided to make that day.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: So, I mean --

MR. SCHWARTZER: Can you still be convicted of a crime if you -- you know, let's say the marijuana dealer who gets robbed --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Right.

MR. SCHWARTZER: -- can you-- can the person doing the robbery still in your -- would -- if that was proven beyond a reasonable doubt, and again not this case, --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Right, right, right, right,

right.

MR. SCHWARTZER: -- if that was proven beyond a reasonable doubt would you still be able to find the person robbing the person selling marijuana on the street guilty of -- the person who is doing the robbery, can you still find that person guilty of robbery? Or do you think, well he took the chance of doing this illegal sale; therefore, robbery occurs, it's part of the deal, so sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: What do you mean? So, you're asking me, the person who did the robbery, could he still be convicted of the crime for robbing him if he is caught?

MR. SCHWARTZER: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Boy. I mean, you know, that's, that's up to the person who's actually trying to prosecute him. You know that, that right there, you know --so, based on the evidence of what's going on and how the robbery was -- did anybody get hurt, was there, you know?

MR. SCHWARTZERE: Um, hm.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: But like I said, but either way it goes, no matter how it's looked at, it was wrong. It was wrong all the way around so they got to convict them anyways, regardless.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Well, it's -- it's a jury, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Yeah. Yeah, because it's due to the fact that -- of the legality of the situation. Now, if the person -- now if it was a dispensary or whatever, --

MR. SCHWARTZER: Um, hm.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: -- that's one thing.

They're within their legal right otherwise to operate. But, if you're not within your legal right to operate and you still commit a crime, two people both are committing a crime, you know.

So, technically, justice is done amongst those two. Whether it's right or whether it's wrong, that's -- that's between -- you know that's between the people, you know, obviously, are prosecuting him. You know, that part, without evidence, I really can't move forward on the answer because I don't have any --

MR. SCHWARTZER: Here's some --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: -- way to know the circumstances or --

MR. SCHWARTZER: Here's what it is -- and that's fair because, obviously --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: You know what I mean? MR. SCHWARTZER: No, I get it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Yeah.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Here's -- here's what I'm looking for.

Can -- if someone's committing a crime --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Uh, huh.

MR. SCHWARTZER: -- and they become a victim of a crime themselves --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Uh, huh. You can't -- you can't call the police, bro. You can't do that because -- I mean you can. I mean you can; but in a sense -- in a sense -- but in a sense what's going

1	to end up happening is that because you might even convict yourself.
2	And then that case that you're gonna, you know that you're
3	supposedly calling in on, they're gonna be like, we have all we need
4	right here.
5	MR. SCHWARTZER: Sure, but I guess what I'm saying, like,
6	you know, say the street marijuana dealer that is killed selling marijuana.
7	PROSPECTIVCE JUROR NO. 0463: Right, right.
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: You're still I mean we're could that
9	I mean is
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: [Indiscernible].
11	MR. SCHWARTZER: You're not saying that that person is
12	going to walk away
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: No.
14	MR. SCHWARTZER: with murder?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: No, they don't walk away.
16	MR. SCHWARZTER: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: No, they don't walk away
18	with murder. They're what ends up happening is that they
19	MR. SCHWARTZER: All right. I mean I don't want to get
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Yeah. I don't want to
21	want to
22	MR. SCHWARTZER: [indiscernible] or anything like that.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: go into detail about it.
24	Yeah.
25	MR. SCHWARTZER: But I

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Yeah, but they still have
2	to be convicted. Yes.
3	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Yeah.
5	MR. SCHWARTZER: [Indiscernible]
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: If their if the person
7	if the robber killed the other person and he's eventually caught or what
8	not, yes, yes he has. Yes. Yes, in that case.
9	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. I guess what I'm saying is if you
10	know that a victim was doing something criminal, are you going always -
11	- are you going to always find against the victim? Are you going to
12	always, say well, he had it coming, I'm not going to convict anyone
13	committing a crime against that person? No matter what the law says.
14	PROSPECTIVCE JUROR NO. 0463: Say that again?
15	MR. SCHWARTZER: I guess what I'm saying is, if the law
16	says you can be convicted of committing a crime upon an individual
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Right.
18	MR. SCHWARTZER: that was doing a crime
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Correct.
20	MR. SCHWARTZER: will you follow the law?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Yeah.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0609: Can you separate the
23	two?
24	MR. SCHWARTZER: Can you separate the two?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0609: Yes.

1	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Yes.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0609: Would it minimize
4	[Indiscernible].
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Yeah, yeah, yeah.
6	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah, that's a good point.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Yeah, yeah.
8	THE MARSHAL: Whoa, guys, guys, one at a time.
9	THE COURT: Hawkes. Hawkes, we're good. Yeah, you
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0463: Yeah, that's basically
11	what I'm saying to him, like you got to be able to separate the two.
12	MR. SCHWARTZER: Perfect. And that was Mr. Tipton, right?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0609: Yes.
14	MR. SCHWARTZER: Badge number 609?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0609: 609, sorry.
16	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you.
17	Okay, does anyone else have a comment regarding that?
18	Does anyone else have an issue regarding that? Okay, everyone's
19	shaking their head.
20	Okay. Has anyone been has anyone had a residence or a
21	business or a vehicle that's been the subject of a search warrant? Has
22	anyone been present during an execution of a search warrant? I see no
23	hands.
24	All right, let's go into individual questions now. I'm going to go
25	with badge number 545, Kasravi-Khan.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0545: Yes. Kasravi-Khan.
2	MR. SCHWARTZER: You said your son is in law school?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0545: Yes, he is.
4	MR. SCHWARTZER: Second year?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0545: Yes.
6	MR. SCHWARTZER: Do you know has he taken like any
7	internships or clerkships anywhere?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0545: Yes, at the District
9	Attorney's Office.
10	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0545: Not in this building. It
12	was the Juvenile Court, I understand.
13	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0545: That's where he was.
15	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So, the one that is on Bonanza?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0545: I believe so.
17	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0545: Yes.
19	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Do you know what kind of law
20	he wants to practice?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0545: Not yet, no. I mean he's
22	just studying at the moment and we are not quite sure what his plans
23	are, so.
24	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Now, the fact that he's doing an
25	internship at the District Attorney's Office. Juvenile Division

1	MR. SCHWARTZER: Ms. O'Farrell, badge 520.
2	So, you were on a jury in Texas?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0520: Yes.
4	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And you don't know if it was civil
5	or criminal?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0520: No. It's been too long
7	ago.
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: You guys reached a verdict though?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0520: Yes.
10	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So, there was a verdict. I just
11	didn't catch that when you said it. Anything from that experience that
12	kind of soured you on jury service?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0520: No.
14	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And you know, obviously, that
15	it sounds like, I mean, if you don't know if it was criminal or civil; but
16	probably the criminal law that you were instructed on; but obviously,
17	Nevada law is different than Texas law.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0520: They're a little different.
19	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. What part of Texas?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0520: Dallas.
21	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Thank you.
22	Can we go to badge number 553, Ms. Matos?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0553: Yes, I'm here.
24	MR. SCHWARTZER: So, your ex-husband
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0553: Current husband.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: Right.
2	MR. SCHWARTZER: So, none of the prior experience when
3	he was on City Council or anything like that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: Uh, uh.
5	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And that's your company you're
6	running?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: Yeah, I work for myself.
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: And your interactions with Mr. Wolfson,
9	you don't believe will influence how you view this case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: No.
11	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. You can be fair and impartial?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: Very much.
13	MR. SCHWARTZER: Which is all we can ask. Now, I hate, to
14	be honest, to dig up something that happened a while ago, but it sounds
15	like you were arrested for a domestic violence crime?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: Um, hm.
17	MR. SCHWARTZER: Now, domestic violence is a
18	misdemeanor. So, it can be prosecuted by the City Attorney's Office,
19	which is not the District Attorney's Office, like this trial I'm involved in; or,
20	it can be prosecuted by the District Attorney's Office in the County where
21	you were arrested.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: It was North Las Vegas.
23	MR. SCHWARTZER: It was North Las Vegas. Was it the City
24	of North Las Vegas?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: The City of North Las

1	Vegas.
2	MR. SCHWARTZER: So, you never dealt with the District
3	Attorney's Office, you dealt with some City of North Las Vegas
4	prosecutor?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: Right.
6	MR. SCHWARTZER: And you dealt with him without an
7	attorney?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: Yeah, I just thought it was
9	no big deal, what really happened. And, so did he, the way he made it
10	sound; but he was lowering It to Disturbing the Peace, like a noise
11	pollution.
12	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And the Court didn't appoint you
13	an attorney?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: No.
15	MR. SCHWARTZER: In spite of having all this, you know,
16	obviously, as you know you can't possess a firearm now, so.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: But I just don't know why
18	it didn't get lowered two years ago.
19	MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: So, I'll have to work on it.
21	MR. SCHWARTZ: Yeah. I understand. Obviously, that's a,
22	you know, it's a negative I would say that's a negative experience.
23	Somebody tells you they're gonna do something and it doesn't happen,
24	right?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: Um, hm.

don't know if that felony is still a felony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0594: I don't know if they dismissed it after the years or not.

THE COURT: Okay. Officer Hawkes. Do you -- can you -- We're gonna ask you to write down your personal information, okay?

And you think it was in Wisconsin?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0594: One was in Wisconsin for burglarizing.

THE COURT: Was it Burglary?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0594: Burglary and Grand Theft; and the other one about the same thing in Florida.

THE COURT: All Right. I'm just going to ask you -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0594: One was '76 and the other one was '86.

THE COURT: All Right. I'm just gonna ask you to write down your name, social security number and date of birth.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0594: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And at this time, we're going to take a recess. During this recess you are admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or read, watch or listen to a report of or commentary on the trial, by any person connected with this trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet or radio; form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

1	We'll be in recess for fifteen minutes.
2	THE MARSHAL: Thank you. All rise for the exiting jury.
3	[Recess taken at 3:56 p.m.]
4	[Proceeding resumed at 4:14 p.m.]
5	[Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel]
6	THE COURT: Do you want to bring them in?
7	THE MARSHAL: Yes, ma'am. They're lining up right now.
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: Did you find out about the conviction?
9	THE COURT: Pardon?
10	MR. SCHWARTZER: Did you find out about the conviction?
11	THE COURT: He does not.
12	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
13	THE COURT: Yeah, and they did an NCIC, so.
14	MR. SCHWARTZER: Good.
15	THE COURT: I don't know how people don't understand their
16	personal stuff.
17	Mr. SANFT: Yeah. Maybe it happened too long ago.
18	THE COURT: But that's better, right –
19	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah.
20	MR. SANFT: Right.
21	THE COURT: - that he thought he did and really doesn't?
22	MR. SANFT: It's good news for him. That means he can vote
23	now.
24	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah, he's automatically absolved
25	because it's in the '70s.

•	
1	THE COURT: Yeah, I don't know.
2	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah. A lot of states have that
3	automatic registration in place.
4	THE COURT: And how do you not vote your whole life?
5	MR. SCHWARTZER: Well, there's that.
6	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entering jury, please.
7	[In the presence of the prospective jury panel]
8	THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the presence of the
9	panel?
10	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: The Defense?
12	MR. SANFT: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Okay. You may continue.
14	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you. Okay, sir.
15	THE MARSHAL: Where are you going?
16	MR. SCHWARTZER: We're on Mr. Dombrowski. All right, sir.
17	It looks like for your record, that you do not have a felony conviction in
18	your record.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0594: Cool.
20	MR. SCHWARTZER: There you go.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0594: It was worth coming, I
22	guess.
23	MR. SCHWARTZER: With that known so, it sounds like you
24	had this back in back in '74, you said, right?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0594: '76.

1	treated your brother's case with the respect it deserved?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0480: Yeah, I do.
3	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Do you believe I mean, it
4	sounds like you don't know what the resolution was do you have any
5	complaints about the court system?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0480: I don't believe so. I
7	mean, I wasn't actually there. It was a juvenile case
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0480: because he is, you
10	know, a juvenile.
11	MR. SCHWARTZER: Sure.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0480: Or, he is younger. But,
13	yeah, I mean as far as I know my parents were happy with how the
14	situation was handled; because was in their care at the time, so.
15	MR. SCHWARTZER: Did your brother have to testify?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0480: No.
17	MR. SCHWARTZER: And did you have to testify?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0480: No.
19	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Can you pass it to Ms. Grajeda?
20	Did I say that right?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0464: Yes. Grajeda.
22	MR. SCHWARTZER: Badge number 464?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0464: Yes.
24	MR. SCHWARTZER: You were a witness in a murder case,
25	which not a lot of people can say.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0464: Right.
2	MR. SCHWARTZER: And that was when did you actually
3	testify?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0464: I believe it was four
5	years ago.
6	MR. SCHWARTZER: Four years ago?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0464: Three to four years.
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And you testified there was a
9	jury?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0464: Yes.
11	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And so you were in this It
12	sounds like you in this
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0464: In this courtroom, yes.
14	MR. SCHWARTZER: You are certain about that.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0464: Yes.
16	MR. SCHWARTZER: Did you meet with the prosecutor
17	beforehand and then talk about your testimony?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0464: I actually had to meet
19	with the D.A. first, before I came to trial.
20	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So, the District Attorney or the
21	prosecutor in the case.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0464: Yes.
23	MR. SCHWARTZER: Did they call you as a witness or did the
24	Defense call you as a witness?
25	DDOSDECTIVE ILIDOR NO 0464: I believe the District

Attorney called me as a witness.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Who asked you the questions first? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0464: The District Attorney.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. As a witness sometimes, the office cannot be the best with communications. Do you believe that that they were able to communicate with you in a proper fashion and kind of tell you what's going on and about your testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0464: Yes. So, I think actually why I got called with the D.A. was because I believe, if I'm not mistaken - because it happened years ago like 2006 -- they had me on a recording. So, the detective, whoever came out to the murder scene, was recording people who actually witnessed the murder.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay, by the recording you're talking about, they took your statement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0464: They took my statement and then he made me record on a recorder of what happened.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Did you know you were being recorded?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0464: Yes, he told me I was being recorded.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Did you, I mean this is kind of a loaded question, but did you not want to testify, were you okay with testifying --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0464: Well, I was okay with testifying because I feel like anybody -- like if I would want somebody to

1	and moisture.
2	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0510: You know, and just the
4	compaction of soils of soils which you build on. Which is the
5	everything is built upon
6	MR. SCHWARTZER: All right.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0510: so, it's crucial.
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And by apprentice, you're
9	working with someone else until
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0510: Well, no I'm actually by
11	myself. There are journeyman well some sites on-site, like one
12	going to Caesar's, there's a journeyman there, so.
13	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. And it sounds what does your
14	wife do again?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0510: She's an Executive Vice-
16	President of MCA and SMACNA. They're both non-profit trade
17	associations. Both are union companies she represents.
18	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Has she ever been – I mean that
19	sounds like a position that you would be deposed in or come to court to
20	testify before in, not for criminal matters, obviously, but some civil.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0510: Yeah. Yeah, there's
22	times. Yeah. Obviously, she just went to Carson City today
23	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0510: for legislation
25	lindescribable].

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: It sounds like -- did the other individual escape and then end up committing suicide or did it happen at the same time?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: Same time.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So, there's no criminal investigation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: No. He gunned her down and then turned the gun on himself.

MR. SCHWARTZER: That's terrible. Okay. Obviously, there isn't any investigation regarding that. But the way the police handled it -- obviously, you were -- did they do it with an amount of respect? Is your family satisfied with how it was handled, or -- obviously, it's a very tragic situation.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: My family was split about it. Given the certain nature of the individual who murdered my aunt and who he was affiliated with, I felt like they should have put some protection around my -- my cousin at the time; and my uncle because they were both assaulted by people who were affiliated with him.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: Other than that, I mean there wasn't that much to --

MR. SCHWARTZER: You're talking about afterwards?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: -- it at that time. Yes.

MR. SCHWARTZER: They were assaulted by persons who

1	were related to the person who killed your aunt?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: Yeah.
3	MR. SCHWARTZER: And the police didn't do anything about
4	it?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: Not not that I'm aware
6	of. I mean it kept it kept happening over, you know, the course of
7	eight or nine years.
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: Wow. And where was this?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: Specifically?
10	MR. SCHWARTZER: No, what area? Was it here in Clark
11	County, or?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: No, L.A. County.
13	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So, was again, I know this was
14	not directed at you but these are people you were very close with.
15	Were they going to the police asking for protection and it just wasn't
16	happening?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: I'm not sure. You know
18	at, at that at my age there, there was more communication between
19	my parents and their siblings versus you know, I just got a little
20	trickling down in babysitting my cousins or, you know, through
21	processes.
22	MR. SCHWARTZER: And, I know that the Judge already
23	asked you this but regarding specifically the stuff that where theyyou
24	know, law enforcement apparently didn't you know failed to protect
25	your uncle and your niece niece or cousin?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: Cousin.
2	MR. SCHWARTZER: Cousin. Would that affect anything
3	about this case?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: No.
5	MR. SCHWARTZER: Obviously, totally different departments,
6	right?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: Yes.
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: Now, what did you say you did?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: I'm a HERS Writer and
10	Risk Consultant.
11	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. What is that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: I test new homes for
13	energy efficiency and I inspect them at rough stage for energy code.
14	And then risk assessment is going through and inspecting WRB
15	weather resistant barriers and exterior claddings and so on.
16	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, sir.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0611: You're welcome.
18	MR. SCHWARTZER: And, then Mr. Woodrow?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0610: Feeler.
20	MR. SCHWARTZER: Feeler, thank you. Badge number 610,
21	Woodrow Feeler?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0610: Yes.
23	MR. SCHWARTZER: I used your first name for your last
24	name, I apologize.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0610: It's all right.

1	you affect the way you may view this trial?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0559: No. I don't think it will.
3	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. You believe you can be fair and
4	impartial?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0559: Oh, yeah. I know I can.
6	MR. SCHWARTZER: Perfect. Thank you, sir.
7	And then can we go to Ms. Dobbs, 505, which is back in the
8	row?
9	Ms. Dobbs?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0505: Yes.
11	MR. SCHWARTZER: So, you work in substance abuse?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0505: 1 do.
13	MR. SCHWARTZER: What do you do specifically?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0505: So, we teach women that
15	are in substance abuse recovery. We go out to the women's prison; out
16	to conservation camp; different treatment centers; and we actually work
17	with teaching them health, nutrition, body image so that they can,
18	hopefully, get better hopefully, not relapse.
19	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So, you go over to Smiley Road
20	and
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0505: Yep.
22	MR. SCHWARTZER: Your interactions with those individuals,
23	so you've seen kind of the inner workings of the criminal justice system;
24	at least one aspect of it, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0505: I've seen the end of it.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0306

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0505: My Master's.

1	degree.
2	MR. SCHWARTZER: That's your degree?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0506: Yeah.
4	MR. SCHWARTZER: So, are you teaching music now?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0506: No, I'm not. I don't do it
6	in this country. Back in my country, yeah.
7	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Where were you teaching?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0506: In Cuba.
9	MR. SCHWARTER: Okay. Were you teaching students or
10	were you teaching adults?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0506: Students.
12	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. So, you mentioned you're from
13	Cuba?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0506: Yes.
15	MR. SCHWARTZER: When did you leave Cuba to come to
16	the United States?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0506: It was back in 2004.
18	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay. Did you ever deal with the
19	Cuban Police or military or anything like that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0506: Never. I always stayed
21	out of trouble.
22	MR. SCHWARTZER: Obviously, that's a different system
23	than what we have here.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0506: Yeah.
25	MR. SCHWARTZER: You never had to deal with

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0506: Never.

MR. SCHWARTZER: -- issues there? Okay. All right, Court's indulgence. I pass for cause, Your Honor. Thank you

THE COURT: Mr. Sanft?

MR. SANFT: Ladies and gentlemen, does anyone here have a watch? Can someone tell me when it's five minutes 'till five and I will sit down? Well, let me do this. We have about -- it's 4:43 p.m. according to my watch, so we're going to have a little bit of time. I'm just going to ask you some general questions because I think that counsel for the State has done a very good job about kind of asking what we're all intending.

Now, my very first question is this. Does anyone here have a nagging suspicion or feeling like, I wish they would just ask me this one question because I could demonstrate to them that I'm not a good juror; I could not be fair and impartial to either the State of Nevada or to Mr. White. Does anyone have that feeling? Anything at all like I just wish they would ask me this one question about whatever, no? Okay. A uniform no response on that. Thank you.

Next question I have is, the Court asked you earlier about police officers and said does anyone here -- would anyone here give undue weight to a police officer who testifies just because they're a police officer? And, I think for the most part we all said no, right? I don't recall anyone saying yes to that.

But here's my question. We all understand that police officers have certain standard of performances, right? The same way

that you would have a standard of performance if you do soil sample testing –

UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Absolutely.

MR. SANFT: — or whether you work as a chef maybe or someone who may become a correctional officer. There is standard of performances. Would that be fair to say? Would everyone agree with that? Okay.

If during the time that -- if you're selected as a juror in this case, and during the time that we spend together, you find that the police officers in this case did not do what they were supposed to do according to their own standard of performance, whatever that is, would you be the type of juror that would then say, well, it's not that big of a deal or, in the alternative, ah, police officers are overworked, they're underpaid, I'm going to give him a pass or I'm going to help him out here and just kind of move on from whatever the standard of performance that they failed to do? Does anyone here feel like they are going to be that kind of juror on this case? That's a uniform no response. Do we all understand my question because I'm talking kind of fast? Okay.

The next question I have is has anyone here heard of the term where there's smoke there's fire? We have one person saying no.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0480: Sorry, and I don't know -MR. SANFT: That's all right. Before you continue, we'll just
hand the microphone over to you because we're going to pick on you
now.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0480: Oh, pick on me, oh, no.

Hi. By the way, my name is McKenzie Day, badge number 480.

MR. SANFT: All right. You've never heard of that term before?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0480: No.

MR. SANFT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0480: I'm sorry.

MR. SANFT: Well, let me just explain it to you real quick.

The term basically is that if you see smoke there's a presumption that that's fire that's creating the smoke, okay? Where there's smoke there's fire. Am I mis-explaining that or not explaining it right? Okay.

Now in this case, we have this man that's sitting over next to me, Mr. White. He's been charged with a crime. Do you feel that just because he's charged with a crime that he must have done something wrong to get him in the position that he's sitting over here next to me at counsel's table?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0480: No.

MR. SANFT: That's what I'm saying about smoke versus fire. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0480: Okay. I understand.

MR. SANFT: Obviously, the idea is, well, he must have done something if he's sitting here next to his attorney. Does anyone have that kind of concern or that kind of feeling about this case, like, hey, he must have done something wrong do be sitting over here charged with a crime? That's a uniform no response. Okay.

MR. SANFT: And let me make sure I'm clear. There was a question or something that was asked earlier by the State with regards

 to Mr. -- I'm sorry -- Mr. Oliver?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: Yes, sir.

MR. SANFT: Okay. There was a discussion with Mr. Oliver, and that's badge number --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: 507.

MR. SANFT: -- 507, about making the case, right? I think you had said something about making the case, that the State's going to make its case and then the Defense will make its case, something along those lines, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0507: Right.

MR. SANFT: Okay. Now, I'm going to ask this as a whole, but does anyone here have a problem with the idea that if I just shut my mouth from this point forward, went back to my seat and played with my hair for the rest of the time that we spent together, that it would still be the State's responsibility of proving its case beyond a reasonable doubt to you? Does anyone have a problem with that concept? Okay. That's a uniform no response.

Well, let me ask you this question, would that factor in your understanding of the facts to say, you know I still never heard from the other side. I wish they had said something or done something, besides playing with his hair during the course of the trial, just something else. Would that be something that you think you would say or think during the course of the trial? Okay. That's a uniform no response.

Now, I want to make sure we're clear. This is a safe place.

We're all friends here, okay? So, if you really feel that way, you can just

 raise your hand and no one is going to judge you for that, okay?

I'm sorry, Mr.--

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: Philips, 529.

MR. SANFT: Okay, thank you. If you can just get the – Sir, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: Yes. I think you -- if you just sat there and didn't do anything, I would question why are you here.

MR. SANFT: Well, I mean, I -- let me make sure we're clear. I think that that's a normal reaction. I think we all would want the other attorney to say at least something during the course of the trial to justify why I'm even here. Would that be a fair way of phrasing what you're thinking?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: Yes.

MR. SANFT: Okay. But you do understand, though, that under the concepts of the Constitution of the United States, the State of Nevada bears that burden of proving that charge against my client to you beyond a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: Yes.

MR. SANFT: Okay. Now, since you're holding the microphone, let me ask you this, sir. The State of Nevada also have the responsibility of proving every element of every crime beyond a reasonable doubt. Let me just give you an example. They have to prove that one, plus two, plus three, equals six, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: Right.

MR. SANFT: Okay. Now, those are elements. Say, number

one is an element, number two is an element and number three is an element. Say, for instance, they prove to you one and two but when it comes to number three, or the value three, it's 2.5, 2.7. Would you be the type of juror to say, you know what, they got close, I'm just going to give it to them on that last element and say that they did prove that last element beyond a reasonable doubt. Would you be the type of juror that would do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0529: No.

MR. SANFT: Okay. Does anyone here believe that they'd be that type of juror that would do that in this case? Does everyone understand my question? Okay. That's a nodding and a yes response. Thank you. And I appreciate your answer, sir.

Now, for those of us who have had children who are relatively close in age -- let me pick on someone who has. Mr. --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0487: Williams.

MR. SANFT: -- Williams, you have five children; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0487: Yes, sir.

MR. SANFT: And just for your – just for the record, what is your badge number, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0487: Badge number 487.

MR. SANFT: 497?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0487: 487.

MR. SANFT: 487, okay. Have you ever had an incident occur where two of your children are fighting and you don't know what they're

fighting about and someone is crying?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0487: Yes.

MR. SANFT: Okay. Have you had it where you are not present when it's happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0487: Yes.

MR. SANFT: Have you had to make a choice or decision as to what happened and who should be punished, if anybody?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0487: Yes.

MR. SANFT: How have you made a determination as to who did what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0487: I would ask both of my kids and whoever had the more -- the story that made more sense would be the one that I believed.

MR. SANFT: Okay. Well, let me ask you this question. And I appreciate that because I've had other parents, basically, I just punish both of them, just get over it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0487: Yeah.

MR. SANFT: Right? I mean, some of us, I think, would just get right to it, right, if you have another person [Indiscernible]? But let me ask you this question, though. If you are asking the question about who you believe did what, does the factor of credibility come into play? Meaning, that child is the troublemaker among the five of your children, would that also be a factor in your decision as to who did what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0487: I think it would depend on what exactly the troublemaker, you know, what kind of troublemaker

they were and if they had an issue with lying; which one of them of mine do; then, yeah, I wouldn't believe that one.

MR. SANFT: Okay. All right, now would it be fair to say that, in this case, you weren't present during any of the things that happened in this case, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0487: Right.

MR. SANFT: If you're selected as a juror, you're going to be making decisions based upon credibility of people that will get up on the stand?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0487: Yes.

MR. SANFT: And evidence that the State will present to you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0487: Yes.

MR. SANFT: If that happens, would consider yourself to be a fair evaluator of the facts in this case; and make a determination that's both fair to the State of Nevada as well as to Mr. White?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0487: I think so.

MR. SANFT: Okay. Thank you. Now, one other thing that's going to be asked of you by the State of Nevada, Mr. White and myself, as well as the Court, is specifically about reserving your judgment to the end.

What does that mean? That means that, as we sit here right now, does anyone have any thoughts as to whether or not the State of Nevada has proven its case beyond a reasonable doubt with regard to the charges against Mr. White? Does anyone believe that the State of Nevada has proven it right now? Okay.

Now at some point if you're selected as a juror, you will hear opening statements; and what the opening statements will be is, basically, what the parties believe and the evidence will show.

If after the opening statements, would you be the type of juror to say, you know what, I've been convinced based upon what the opening statements are for either the State or the Defense and then I'm done with this. I'm just gonna sit here and wait until the end of this thing and then make my decision. Does anyone believe that they'd be that type of juror in this case? It's a uniform no response.

Okay. Now, one final question. I've had before on panels, where you've had two people getting a divorce be on the same panel. And, I've had where we've had married couples be on the panel at the same time.

Does anyone here on this panel know anyone else in the panel? We've asked about everybody else but we've never asked that question here today. Anyone know anybody else here on the panel from church, work, the supermarket?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: Are you talking about do we know one of the jurors?

MR. SANFT: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: We do.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: We do.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: We do.

MR. SANFT: And I'm sorry. Let's make sure we're clear. We need to get the microphone over to --

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: 566, Norma Serratos.
2	MR. SANFT: Okay. And this is Ms. Lee?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: Yeah, 479.
4	MR. SANFT: Okay. And you know Ms. – I'm sorry.
5	THE COURT: Will you state your name and badge number?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: Norma Serratos, 566.
7	MR. SANFT: Okay. And how do you know Ms. Serratos?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: On a personal level. We
9	have a mutual friend that we hang out with.
0	MR. SANFT: Okay.
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: Did you want more
2	specific?
3	MR. SANFT: That's fine. What do you
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: I don't know how much
15	more you
16	MR. SANFT: Do you do sky diving together? I mean is it
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: We're planning a
18	wedding together currently for our friend.
19	MR. SANFT: Are you both going to be in the wedding?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: We're the planners.
21	MR. SANFT: You're the planners of the wedding.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: Yeah. I'm officiating it.
23	MR. SANFT: Oh, okay. You're officiating.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: Yeah.
25	MR. SANFT: And so, let me make sure we're clear. You're

1	officiating and did you know each other prior to the wedding or planning
2	of the wedding?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: No.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: No.
5	MR. SANFT: All right. And, are you the official planner of this
6	wedding?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: We all are. Everybody is
8	a family –
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: It's like a team. Yeah.
10	lt's –
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: It's a team thing.
12	MR. SANFT: It's a village effort.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: But before this I didn't
14	know her.
15	MR. SANFT: I see. Okay. And you both know the people
16	that are getting married?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: Yes.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: Yes.
19	MR. SANFT: And do you have personal relationships with the
20	people that are getting married?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: Just friends, yeah.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: Friends.
23	MR. SANFT: Okay. Do you know both of them, both the
24	husband – or the bride and the groom?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: Yes.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: Yes.
2	MR. SANFT: Now is there anything at all – I'm going to ask
3	Ms. Lee first this question, but is there anything at all, Ms. Lee, about
4	your connection to Ms I'm sorry, your name?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: Norma.
6	MR. SANFT: With Norma, badge number 566
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: 566.
8	MR. SANFT: that would impair your ability to be fair and
9	impartial in this case either to the State of Nevada or to my client?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: No.
11	MR. SANFT: So, for instance, if you both are lucky enough to
12	be selected on this jury; and you're sitting there and have a major
13	dispute as to some factual issue, would that count into the fact that, hey,
14	I don't want to have any problems with Norma because we have to work
15	with each other planning this wedding?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: No.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: No.
18	MR. SANFT: And, I'm sorry. You're officiating, though, right?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: Yeah.
20	MR. SANFT: And you're also planning as well?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: Yeah.
22	MR. SANFT: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: My friends asked me to
24	ordain the wedding.

MR. SANFT: Okay.

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: But, yeah --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: She's not--

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: Yeah. We're all just helping her plan the wedding. Yeah.

MR. SANFT: And there's nothing about that connection between you and Norma that would have you go, at this point, yeah, I'm going to just agree with what Norma has to say so I don't cause any problems during the wedding?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: No.

MR. SANFT: Or, officiating the wedding?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: No.

MR. SANFT: Norma is not going to stand up and object to that during the course of the wedding, right, if you disagree with her?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: No.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: No.

MR. SANFT: Okay. No further questions. Ms. Lee, just pass the microphone to Norma.

MR. SANFT: And, Ms. Serratos, the same question for you. Is there anything at all about your connection with Ms. Lee that would prevent you from being fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: No. We just became personal like maybe the last month when we found out about the wedding, but I don't -- and, actually, today is the only time we've really been together for this long.

MR. SANFT: Okay.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: We were forced to
2	[indiscernible] together.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0479: It's been a really long
4	day, so.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: But, other than that, no
6	We just know the grooms and the bride.
7	MR. SANFT: Okay. And nothing at all that would be able
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: No.
9	MR. SANFT: to change your perspective on anything?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0566: No.
11	MR. SANFT: Okay. With that being said, I have no further
12	questions, Your Honor. I will pass for cause.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, the Clerk has
14	prepared what will be marked as Court's exhibit –
15	Do you have Court's Exhibit Number 1?
16	THE CLERK: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
18	And the State of Nevada may exercise their first challenge.
19	The Defense may exercise their first.
20	The State may exercise their second.
21	The Defense may exercise their second.
22	The State may exercise their third.
23	The Defense may exercise their third.
24	The State and the Defense may exercise their fourth
25	peremptory challenge.

The State and Defense may exercise their fifth.

The Defense may exercise their fifth.

Okay, the State and Defense may exercise their sixth.

The State and the Defense may exercise their seventh peremptory challenge.

The Defense may exercise their seventh.

The State and Defense may exercise their eighth peremptory challenge.

The Defense may exercise their eighth.

Okay, at this time, the State and the Defense may exercise your final peremptory challenge as to the alternates and it is seventeen through thirty-two with the exception of those that have been exercised.

[Colloquy between the Court and the Marshal]

THE COURT: You're correct, so it's eighteen through thirtytwo with the exception of those that have been exercised. Thank you.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The Defense may exercise their final peremptory challenge.

Okay. At this time, ladies and gentlemen, we are going to take a short recess. There's just something that I have to do outside of your presence. It'll be only about, you know -- it'll be three to four minutes.

When Officer Hawkes greets you and asks you to come back in, I just ask that you don't sit in the jury box; that you sit out in the gallery. When you come back in, the Clerk will call our panel of jurors. If

 you name is called, you will be seated on the jury panel. If not, you will be excused for the day.

During this recess you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves, or with anyone else, on any subject connected with this trial or read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet or radio; form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Thank you very much. We're in recess.

THE MARSHAL: Thank you. All rise for the exiting jury.

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: Okay. The record will reflect that the hearing is taking place outside the presence of the jury panel. Our jury panel will be as follows: Juror number 1, Janice Paul; number 2, Chelsea Lee; number 3, McKenzie Day; number 4, Linda Fornos; number 5, John Williams; number 6, Patricia Taylor; number 7, Robert Wilkins; 8, Randi McCay; 9, Doris Lee; 10, Christopher Long; 11, Chelsea Santamaria; and 12, Linda O'Farrell; and juror number 13 is Paul Phillips; and number 14, Leonor Canon.

Any objection by the State?

MR. SCHWARTZER: None by the State, Your Honor.

THE COURT: By the Defense?

MR. SANFT: No, Your Honor, but just a matter of housekeeping once again for the record. I spoke with my client; we

were satisfied with pretty much the entire panel. We do not believe that there was anybody that we needed to strike.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SANFT: And so, as a result, there were additional waivers that I had put down on our selection just because we were satisfied with what the panel was at that particular point.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Then we'll bring them back in and we'll seat them and then we'll excuse them for the day.

MR. SCHWARTZER: I guess we didn't have to worry about opening.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. SCHWARTZER: I guess we didn't have to worry about an opening.

THE COURT: No, you didn't have to worry about it.

THE MARSHAL: Okay, jurors. Come on in. All rise for the entering jury, please.

[In the presence of the prospective jury panel]

THE MARSHAL: Please just filter into both sides. Please listen for your name.

THE COURT: Janice Paul, you're juror number 1; Chelsea Lee, you're juror number 2; McKenzie Day, you're juror number 3; Linda Fornos, juror number 4; John Williams, juror number 5; juror number 6, Patricia Taylor; juror number 7, Robert Wilkins; juror number 8, Randi McCay.

JUROR NO. 8: I'm sorry. Did someone just say my name?

1	
1	THE COURT: Randi McCay.
2	JUROR NO. 8: Oh, okay.
3	THE COURT: Yes.
4	Juror number 9, Doris Lee; juror number 10, Christopher
5	Long.
6	Christopher Long?
7	JUROR NO. 10: Who?
8	THE COURT: Christopher Long.
9	JUROR NO. 10: Right here.
10	THE COURT: Yep. You're juror number 10.
11	Juror number 11, Chelsea Santamaria; juror number 12,
12	Brenda O'Farrell; juror number 13, Paul Phillips; and number 14, Leonor
13	Canon.
14	Okay, at this time, ladies and gentlemen, we do have our
15	impaneled jury. If your name wasn't called, you're free to go. If you just
16	don't mind just leaving your badges. There's a box right outside the
17	department. And I do want to thank you very much for your willingness
18	to be here. Thank you for your patience and courtesy and you are
19	excused. Thank you.
20	[Pause in the proceedings]
21	THE COURT: Okay. Does the State stipulate to the presence
22	of the jury panel that is now impaneled?
23	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Sanft?
25	MR. SANFT: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, I know it has been a long day so I am going to excuse you for the day. I just want to give you a few instructions. I do want to remind you that you are an impaneled jury panel now.

Officer Hawkes is giving you those blue badges that identify you as a juror in Department XII. I just ask that when you are in the courthouse that you wear those badges. That's so that everybody that's involved in this case, witnesses and other people, know not to talk about the case when you're around.

Again, I want to remind you that myself, the parties, the attorneys and other persons involved in this case, with the exception of Officer Hawkes, are not permitted to have any communication with you, whatsoever outside of the courtroom.

So, again, we will inevitably see each other coming in and out of the courthouse, maybe in the elevators and probably out in the hallway as well. We're not ignoring you. We're not trying to be rude. Again, we're maintaining our ethical obligation to maintain the integrity of the jury system.

I want to remind you that you are not permitted to discuss this case with anyone, including your fellow jurors, until you go back to deliberate upon your verdict. That means that when you are outside during your recess, you will probably all hang out together. You are not permitted to discuss any of the facts and circumstances surrounding this case until you go back to deliberate upon your verdict.

You can tell your family, your friends and your co-workers that

you are a juror in a criminal case but you are not permitted to tell them anything else until you are discharged by the Court.

We are going to conclude for the day. We're going to start tomorrow morning at 10:30 a.m. Each day when we come back you'll just come up to the 14th floor. Officer Hawkes will greet you and he'll bring you in when we're ready to begin.

During this recess you are admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected to this trial or read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet or radio; form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

I do want to thank you very much for your willingness to be here, for your participation in this process. Have a good night and we'll see you tomorrow morning.

MR. SANFT: Your Honor, before the jury leaves --

THE COURT: Wait.

MR. SANFT: -- can we approach?

THE COURT: Sure.

[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]

THE COURT: What's wrong with 10:30?

MR. SANFT: Do you want to swear them in right now or tomorrow morning?

THE COURT: No. Are you kidding me? You of all people

1	
1	asked that. I wait.
2	MR. SANFT: Okay.
3	THE COURT: I'm a little superstitious.
4	MR. SANFT: Okay.
5	THE COURT: Because if something happened and we lost
6	somebody
7	MR. SANFT: Then we just redo it. Yeah.
8	THE COURT: jeopardy hasn't attached.
9	MR. SCHWARTZER: Okay.
10	THE COURT: So, I can't believe you of all people said that.
11	MR. SANFT: I didn't know for sure.
12	THE COURT: It's been too long.
13	MR. SANFT: It's been a minute. It's been a minute.
14	THE COURT: It's been a minute.
15	MR. SANFT: Yeah.
16	THE COURT: Thank you though.
17	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	[Bench conference concluded]
19	THE COURT: Okay, at this time, ladies and gentlemen, you
20	are excused.
21	THE MARSHAL: Thank you. All rise for the exiting jury.
22	[Outside the presence of the Jury]
23	THE COURT: All right, see you tomorrow,
24	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	MR. SANFT: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	
2	
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	[Proceedings concluded at 5:18 p.m.]
5	* * * * *
6	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
7	addition video proceedings in the above entered case to the 2 or the above
8	Quena States
9	Rebecca Foster
10	Independent Transcriber
11	ANYTIME TRANSCRIPTS 4123 N. Stampede Rd.
12	Kingman, AZ 86401
13	(702) 327-1664 / (928) 529-3730
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Electronically Filed
7/12/2019 8:24 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE#: C-16-313216-2 9 Plaintiff, DEPT. XII 10 VS. 11 TONEY ANTHONY WHITE, 12 Defendant. 13 14 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT **JUDGE** 15 WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 20, 2019 16 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: 17 **JURY TRIAL — DAY 2** 18 APPEARANCES: 19 For the State: MICHAEL SCHWARTZER, ESQ. 20 VIVIAN LUONG, ESQ. 21 **Deputy District Attorneys** 22 23 For the Defendant: MICHAEL W. SANFT, ESQ. 24 25

RECORDED BY: KRISTINE SANTI, COURT RECORDER

1	INDEX OF WITNESSES	
2	WITNESSES:	PAGE
3	MATTHEW ENGEL	
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Luong Cross-Examination by Mr. Sanft	33 49
5	JOE HANSEN	
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Schwartzer	57
7	MARLENE BURKHALTER	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Schwartzer	73
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Sanft Redirect Examination by Mr. Schwartzer	103 111
10	JASON CLIFF	
11	Direct Examination by Ms. Luong Cross-Examination by Mr. Sanft	118 142
12	JEREMY LEINAN	
13	Direct Examination by Ms. Luong	143
14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Sanft	151
15	* * * *	
16	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
17	INDEX OF EXHIBITO	
18	STATE'S EXHIBITS:	PAGE
19	1 through 233 (By Stipulation)	6
20	235	
21		56
22	DEFENSE EXHIBITS	
	None	
23	* * * *	
24		
25		

did attempt to get Marland Dean transported from Lovelock. We made

25

contact with them this morning. I got out -- I sent out the order to transport. We contacted them this morning and they said -- they told us, there's absolutely no way to transport him by Monday. They said the earliest they can get him here is March 1st. I have a contact information if you want to talk to them but --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. SCHWARTZER: -- my assistant talked to them and I attempted to talk to them before I came here but I wasn't able to reach anyone. But we do have the contact information and I'll forward that information to you -- to your JEA and to Mr. Sanft as well. And then maybe since -- I'm not important, you're important -- they'll maybe listen to you and so.

THE COURT: You're important. You're all important. But maybe I can -- I mean, they have to comply with the order.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah. I guess they said they don't have a regular transport and they were mentioning security reasons.

But, again, I don't want to -- and this is stuff that was sent to me second hand so I don't want to misquote them either.

THE COURT: Sure. So, I mean, before we go to all that I just want to make sure that --

MR. SANFT: This is my client's request, Your Honor. I do understand the problem that we have with regards to representations that were made in his effort to withdraw his guilty plea, but he has specifically requested Marland Dean to be present and so we're still asking for it.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'll do my best
2	MR. SANFT: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: and see if they can have him transported
4	before the trial ends.
5	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor. And then
6	THE COURT: And he's in Lovelock prison?
7	MR. SCHWARTZER: He's in Lovelock, Your Honor. And we
8	confirmed he's there. It's just they won't they said the earliest they
9	can get him here is March 1 st
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. SCHWARTZER: which is we'll be well done before
12	then or the State will be well done before then.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. SCHWARTZER: I guess there's a third thing that my co-
15	counsel just brought up. We do have an exhibit list that we provided to
16	the Court and to Mr. Sanft. My understanding, with reviewing the
17	discovery with Mr. Sanft these are all crime scene photographs and
18	Google maps
19	THE COURT: Sure.
20	MR. SCHWARTZER: is that we're going to have a
21	stipulation to the exhibits
22	THE COURT: All right.
23	MR. SHWARTZER: to these exhibits. There's going to be
24	additional exhibits that will come in later in the trial that there hasn't been
25	any talk about stipulation about, so.

THE COURT: So, Exhibit 1 through --

MR. SCHWARTZER: I believe it's 1 through -- well, we didn't talk about the disk either, to be fair. So, it will be 1 through 229.

THE COURT: So, Exhibit 1 through 229 are going to be admitted by stipulation. Is that correct, Mr. Sanft?

MR. SANFT: Well, I would imagine it's -- 1 through 233 that would be admitted by stipulation.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah. We just -- I didn't talk to you about those photos. That's why I didn't include them. But there -- the photos he's talking about, 230 through 233 are just pictures of the codefendants, so.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SANFT: That is correct, Your Honor. I have seen those pictures, so I don't have any objection to their admission at this particular point.

THE COURT: All Right, so Exhibit 1 through 233 are admitted by stipulation.

[EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 233 ADMITTED]

MR. SCHWARTZER: And I'm going to -- we did a redacted -- 234 we had to redact some stuff out of it. It's a bodycam video in which an officer mentions Mr. White's eighteen years in prison. That part's been redacted.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SANFT: I'll provide the redacted disk to Mr. Sanft so he can review it and then we'll go from there.

1	THE COURT: All right. Anything before we bring them in?
2	MR. SCHWARTZER: That's it, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Okay. We're ready.
4	THE MARSHAL: Ready to go, ma'am?
5	THE COURT: We're ready. Thank you.
6	[In the presence of the jury]
7	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entering jury, please.
8	THE COURT: And if you'll all remain standing when you
9	come in because the Clerk is going to administer the oath of service.
10	THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the presence of the
11	jury panel?
12	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: The Defense?
14	MR. SANFT: Yes, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Okay. If you will all please raise your right
16	hand so the Clerk can administer the oath of service.
17	[Clerk administered oath to the jury]
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	THE MARSHAL: Okay. Everyone, be seated.
20	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen you are admonished
21	that no juror may declare to a fellow juror any fact relating to this case of
22	his or her own knowledge; and if any juror discovers during the trial or
23	after the jury is retired that he or she or any other juror has personal
24	knowledge of any fact and controversy in this case, he or she shall
1	1

disclose that situation to myself in the absence of the other jurors.

25

This means that if you learn, during the course of this trial, that you are acquainted with the facts of the case or the witnesses, and if you've not previously told me this relationship, you must then declare that fact to me. Remember, you communicate to the Court either in the courtroom in the presence of both sides or through Officer Hawkes, the Court Marshal.

What I will now say is intended to serve as an introduction to the trial in this case. It is not a substitute for the detailed instructions on the law, which I will give you at the close of the case and before you retire to consider your verdict.

This is a criminal case commenced by the State of Nevada, which I may sometimes refer to as the State against Mr. Toney White, the Defendant. The case is based on an amended indictment. At this time the Clerk is going to read the indictment to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury and state the plea of the defendant to that indictment.

[The Clerk read the amended indictment]

THE COURT: Thank you very much. This case is based on the indictment which has just been read to you by the Clerk. You should distinctly understand that the indictment is simply a charge and that it is not in any sense evidence of the allegations that it contains.

The Defendant has entered a plea of not guilty to the indictment. The State, therefore, has the burden of proving each of the elements as alleged in that indictment by proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

As Mr. White sits here today, he is presumed innocent. The purpose of this trial is to determine whether the State of Nevada will meet their burden of proof. It is your primary responsibility as jurors to find and determine the facts.

Under our system of criminal procedure, you are the sole judge of the facts. You are to determine the facts from the testimony you hear and the other evidence, including exhibits introduced in court. It is up to you to determine the inferences which you feel may be properly drawn from the evidence.

The parties may sometimes present objections to some of the testimony or other evidence. It is the duty of a lawyer to object to evidence which he or she believes may not properly be offered; and you should not be prejudiced in any way against a lawyer who makes objections on behalf of the party he or she represents.

At times I may sustain objections or direct that you disregard certain testimony or exhibits. You must not consider any evidence to which an objection has been sustained or which I have instructed you to disregard.

Anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must also be disregarded.

Remember, statements, arguments and opinions of counsel are not evidence in the case. However, if the attorneys stipulate as to the existence of a fact, you must accept the stipulation as evidence and regard that fact as proved.

You must not speculate to be true any insinuations suggested

by a question asked a witness. The question is not evidence and may be considered only as it supplies meaning to the answer.

You must not be influenced in any degree by any personal feeling, a sympathy for or prejudice against the State or the Defendant. Both sides are entitled to the same fair and impartial consideration.

In considering the weight and value of the testimony of any witness, you may take into consideration the appearance, attitude and behavior of the witness, the interest of the witness in the outcome of the case, if any, the relation of the witness to the Defendant or the State of Nevada, the inclination of the witness to speak truthfully or not and the probability or improbability of the witness's statements and all of the facts and circumstances in evidence. Thus, you may give the testimony of any witness just such weight and value that you believe the testimony of that witness is entitled to receive.

There are two kinds of evidence, direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw, or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is testimony or exhibits which are proof of a particular fact from which, if proven, you may infer the existence of a second fact. You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this case. The law permits you to give equal weight to both but it is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

Opening statements and closing arguments of the attorneys are intended to help you in understanding the evidence and applying the law, but they are not evidence.

No statement, ruling, remark or comment which I make during the course of this trial is intended to indicate my opinion as to how you should decide the case or to influence you in any way in your determination of the facts.

At times I may even ask witnesses questions. If I do so, it is for the purpose of bringing out matters which I feel should be brought out and not in any way to indicate my opinion about the facts or to indicate the weight I feel you should give to the testimony of any witness.

I may also find it necessary to admonish the attorneys. And if I do you should not show prejudice against a lawyer or his or her client because I found it necessary to admonish him or her.

Until this case is submitted to you, you must not discuss it with anyone, even with your fellow jurors. After it is submitted to you, you must discuss it only in the jury room with your fellow jurors.

It is important that you keep an open mind and not decide any issue in this case until the entire case has been submitted to you under instructions from the Court.

The trial will proceed in the following manner: The Deputy

District Attorney will make an opening statement, which is an outline to
help you to understand what the State expects to prove.

Next the Defense attorney may, but does not have to, make an opening statement. An opening statement serves as an introduction of the evidence which the party making the statement intends to prove. The State will then present its evidence and counsel for the Defense may cross-examine the witnesses. Following the State's case, the

Defendant may present evidence and the Deputy District Attorney may cross-examine the witnesses.

However, as I've said, the defendant is not obligated to present any evidence. After all the evidence has been presented, I will instruct you on the law. After the instructions on the law have been read to you, each side will have the opportunity to speak to you in their closing argument.

What is said in closing argument is not evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence. Since the State has the burden of proving the Defendant guilty by a proof beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to open and close the arguments. After the arguments have been completed, you will then retire to deliberate upon your verdict.

Again, let me remind you that until this case is submitted to you, do not talk to each other about it or about anyone who has anything to do with it until the end of the case when you go to the jury room to decide upon your verdict.

Do not talk with anyone else about this case or about anyone who has anything to do with it until the trial has ended and you have been discharged as jurors by myself. Anyone else includes members of your family and your friends. You may tell them that you're a juror in a criminal case but don't tell them anything else about it until after you've been discharged by myself.

Do not let anyone talk to you about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. If someone should try to talk to you,

please report it to me immediately by contacting Officer Hawkes.

Do not read any news stories or articles or listen to any radio or television reports about this case or about anyone who has anything to do with it.

As jurors, you will be given the opportunity to ask written questions of any of the witnesses called to testify in this case. You are not encouraged to ask large numbers of questions because that is the primary responsibility of the attorneys.

Questions may be asked but only in the following manner, after both attorneys have finished questioning the witness, and only at this time, if there are additional questions that you would like to ask a witness, you may then seek permission to ask that witness a written question. Should you desire to ask a question, write your question down with your juror number on a full sheet of clean paper and raise your hand.

All questions from jurors must be factual in nature and designed to clarify information already presented. In addition, jurors must not place undue weight on the responses to their questions. The Marshal will pick up the question and present it to the Court. All questions must be directed to the witness and not to the lawyers or to the Court.

After consulting with the attorneys, I will then determine if your question is legally proper. If I determine that your question may be properly asked, I will ask it. No adverse inference should be drawn if the Court does not allow a particular question.

So, I will follow that procedure pretty strictly. When a witness comes in to testify, the State will do the direct, the Defense will do cross, the State will do redirect and then the Defense can do a re-cross. When both sides are done, I'm going to look at the witness, I'm going to thank them for being here and I will excuse them from their subpoena.

So, if you have a question that you'd like to ask a witness, I just ask that you get my attention, raise your hand so I know you have a question. I'll instruct the witness to stay and then you can take whatever time you need to draft your question and present it to the Court.

I will not, after I excuse a witness from their subpoena, I won't require them to come back to the courthouse any time later to answer a written question from the juror. So, it's just very important that you get my attention if you want to ask that witness a question; because when they're gone, I don't have them under subpoena. I can't bring them back here to answer any further questions.

You've also been presented with juror notebooks. Those are your notebooks. The only thing I ask is that you keep those notebooks in the courtroom at all times until you are excused to deliberate upon your verdict.

The instructions I gave you this morning, the admonishment I'm required to give you every time we take a recess, as well as the procedure for asking written questions, those are all in there. You can refer to them at any time.

Also, in the back we have given you some lined paper so that you can take notes. When you go back to deliberate upon your verdict,

you will not have a transcript to consult. It will be your memory that will prevail, collectively, as well as any notes that you take.

So, the jury, collectively, you will rely on each other's memory of the testimony as well as those notes. So, if you'd like to take notes, they're there. If at any time you need additional paper, let me know and I'll make sure you get it.

On the inside of that pocket is one sheet of paper. You can take that out and take it with you. It just has all the information about where you are and any phone numbers for the staff in Dept 12, if for any reason, when we're not in court, you have to contact us.

Otherwise, everything stays in the notebook and in the courtroom. At the end of the day, Officer Hawkes is under Court order to collect those notebooks. He puts them in a safe place. He won't read them. He won't allow anyone else to read them. Your notes and everything in there will be kept strictly confidential and then when you come back the next day your notebook will be on your chair when you come in.

With that I'm going to allow the State of Nevada to address the jury panel in their opening statement.

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE STATE

BY MR. SCHWARTZER:

Thank you, Your Honor. It started with a knock, that's how this whole thing happened, or started. January 20th -- on January 20, 2016, Marlene Burkhalter and her husband, Jason Cliff, were at their apartment at the Horizons at Seven Hills. That, as you heard during Ms.

Luong's introduction, is by St. Rose and Seven Hills, right across from a convenience store and there's a tailor and stuff like that, a nice residential neighborhood.

They hear a knock. It's about 11:00 o'clock at night -- or about 10:30 at night. Ms. Burkhalter is off from work that day. She is watching some TV; Mr. Cliff is in the office. Ms. Burkhalter looks outside the door and sees a young lady in her twenties, nothing unique about her, someone who could be a neighbor.

So, she opens the door. As soon as she opens the door, two black males wearing U.S. Marshal gear, vests, shirts, I.D.s, rush in. They say they're U.S. Marshals. They have a search warrant. Get down on the floor.

They have the vests, they have I.D.s, they have firearms; not only the silver firearm you see there, but you're going to hear that they had two black firearms, one that looks like a rifle. They had paperwork that said that they had a search warrant and they also had handcuffs.

Ms. Burkhalter complies. She gets down on the ground and she gets handcuffed. Mr. Cliff thinks there's something wrong. Mr. Cliff is no angel. You'll hear that he has prior felonies. But there's something that's not right about this, about the way this is set up, by the time and anything else. So, he starts saying, take what you want. He knows that this is a robbery. He knows that this isn't unique.

They don't take what they want. Instead, they start beating Mr. Cliff. They start beating Mr. -- both these individuals. Both these black males are beating him with what's called an asp. And what an asp

is, is a classical baton. They start beating him over the head. They start beating him over the back. They start beating him and beating him until they can handcuff him.

Because at the end of the day these were not U.S. Marshals. These were not law enforcement. These were Toney White and his coconspirators. The woman outside, her name -- you'll find out that her name is Amanda Sexton and she was in a romantic relationship with Mr. White. The two black males that came in, you'll find out is Toney White and Marland Dean. And, you'll hear from Mr. Cliff and you'll hear from Ms. Burkhalter, as they talk to you about what happened that night.

Marlene will come in here and say Mr. White attacked her husband, helped restrain him, helped put him in handcuffs, beat him. And then when it was all over, still tried to pretend that he was a U.S. Marshal saying, look, I have paperwork.

And you'll hear from Jason Cliff and you'll see pictures of Jason Cliff. You'll hear about the beating he took. About the baton strikes that were so hard, that this man -- you will see the metal baton -- so hard that this metal object was bent.

You will hear from detectives about how they'd never seen an object like that, a classical baton, something that law enforcement carries with them, bent. That's how hard these strikes were, that an open gash is in Mr. Cliff's head. That it caused major back injuries. They knocked out his teeth. You'll hear about these injuries caused by Mr. White and his co-conspirators.

So, as this beating is going on and he finally gets Mr. Cliff in

handcuffs, you will hear from Ms. Burkhalter and Mr. Cliff about how, then, the apartment started being searched a little bit. Some people go into the office area. Some people go in the bedroom. But within minutes, maybe even seconds, by the time they get Mr. Cliff under control there's another knock.

And this time it's Henderson Police Department. That the screaming and the yelling by Ms. Burkhalter, as they're beating her husband in front of her and from Mr. Cliff were so loud, that a neighbor -- and again, this is an apartment complex, so people are pretty close together -- a neighbor calls and Henderson Police respond.

And you'll hear today from Officer Engel and his partner
Officer Leinan that they'd arrived -- they thought they were going to a
domestic disturbance; they had no idea what they were going to. But
they knocked on that door at 2511, at the Horizons Seven Hills, the
apartment -- downstairs apartment. And they didn't get a response; but
they heard screaming, they heard moaning, so Officer Leinan starts to
go -- goes to the side door.

The side, as you'll see from the pictures, there is a window where there's a -- there is a bicycle outside on the patio and then there's like a sliding door to get to their patio; and he sees some movement sways the blinds and he sees a bloodied male on the floor on the ground.

So, based on that, based on the phone call, Officer Engel and Officer Leinan go into the apartment. As they are knocking on the door, you will hear from Ms. Burkhalter that Toney White and Amanda Sexton

disappear into the bedroom. And then you'll hear that the other individual, who is Marland Dean, says that's my backup. So, they don't know what's going, the victims -- Ms. Burkhalter and Mr. Cliff.

You'll hear that when they come through the sliding -- when officers come through the sliding glass door with their guns drawn, Ms. Burkhalter's still not sure what's happening. She just saw her husband attacked by people wearing U.S. Marshal gear. So, she's not completely forthright right off the bat.

She still doesn't really know what's going on and she just watched her husband being beat. While this is happening, Mr. White escapes to the bedroom, goes out that bedroom window and, as you see in this picture right here [indicating], they take the frame off the bed - or the window, throw it on the bed and they go out. And they go behind the building.

You'll hear from Officer Engel that as he walks in the sliding glass door, he sees Mr. Cliff on the ground, bloody; he sees the female, Ms. Burkhalter, kind of by the kitchen area; and then he sees an individual that he says -- who was Marland Dean, who he says looks like he's security. He's wearing a vest that he associates with apartment security.

He'll tell you that, that individual told him that the suspects ran that way [indicating] and pointed -- this is Apartment 25 [indicating] -- and pointed this direction. So, and then that individual, Marland Dean, ran out and started running this way [indicating]. Officer Engel followed him in his car. He says that, that person wearing the security outfit, Mr.

Dean, started running behind the buildings and looked like he was chasing somebody.

Officer Engel follows in his car hoping to cut off the suspects; and by the time he reaches here [indicating], vanished. He doesn't see the security officer anymore. He goes -- Officer Engel goes back to the apartment and then they get the story about what happened.

They also get some information that a black Jeep with an antenna and a police spotlight was parked right alongside the apartment and as soon as Officer Engel left with the security officer, an individual got into that jeep and drove away.

He calls out the description of the Jeep, and it's a very unique looking Jeep, and we're talking about 11:00 o'clock at night in a pretty quiet residential area. You can't really see it that well in this picture, but it has a very big antenna on the top and you have the spotlight, the police spotlight, making a very unique featured vehicle.

Officer -- you'll hear from Officer Hansen, who works graveyard at Henderson Police Department, who sets up on Seven Hills Drive looking for this vehicle; and about 11:20 p.m., sees that vehicle, pulls it over -- pulls it over around St. Rose and Seven Hills.

It's a felony car stop which takes a while because they have to secure the street; he has to secure the vehicle; he needs more than one officer to be there in order to do this; there were reports that there might have been weapons used; so they wanted to be very cautious while approaching this vehicle.

It turns out that vehicle is registered to Toney White. The

individual inside that vehicle is Kevin Wong. Officer Engel goes to the traffic stop and actually I.D.s Kevin Wong as the individual who was waiting outside the apartment when he first approached the apartment at 2511 Seven Hills.

That Kevin Wong was driving that vehicle, that they held him there, and a detective -- who you will hear from later, not today but -- maybe today, but later in this -- in this trial. Detective Ryan Adams did an interview there and that based on his interview with Kevin Wong, the investigation led to an area called The Spas, which is at 9457 Las Vegas Boulevard, kind of by the South Point; if anyone knows where the Manhattan condos are, it's literally -- it's right across the street from there.

Obviously, knowing what happened, that this is a home invasion/robbery type situation where the victims were handcuffed and one was beaten so badly he ended up in the hospital; they started doing -- the officers then responded with detectives, detectives for the Robbery/Homicide Division of Henderson.

They came there, they searched the apartment, they found various things of evidence; one, as we talked about earlier, they came in with the black rifle that was found in the office area. It turns out to be -- that one turns out to be a BB gun that can shoot metal projectiles.

Additionally, they find in the area where there's the blood and there's the struggle -- and you'll see pictures that show the struggle -- they found flashlights, one of which says police on it, and blue gloves. Because these individuals turned out that they were wearing gloves.

Additionally, that night -- we're talking about that night, early morning hours of January -- the night of January 20, 2016 going into the early morning hours of January 21, 2016. The detective and a CSA, and you'll hear from CSA Farrell, they then searched the outside of the apartment that night.

And if you go behind the building -- so, going back to that map [indicating]. If you go behind twenty -- so, Seven Hills Drive is right here [indicating]; St. Rose is over here [indicating]; behind the building, when they walk around the way that Amanda Sexton and Toney White disappeared at, they find the asp -- the classical baton we were talking about -- that's bent, right on the other side of the fence with blood all over it [indicating].

They tested -- you'll find out it was tested for DNA; the DNA comes back to Jason Cliff because it's covered in Jason Cliff's blood and DNA; and that's the only DNA they are able to get off of it. And then there's gloves, blue gloves, later down the walkway a little bit further back [indicating]; you can't really see it in that picture.

That path takes you toward the entrance of the apartment complex. There's only one way in and one way out. It's a gated apartment complex but it's one of those gates where you can follow someone in. The gate stays out for a little bit. It stays open for a little bit.

Additionally, while searching this immediate area around 2511, detectives also note this red Mustang; it's noted by people, residents in that community -- in that apartment that that Mustang

doesn't belong there. Kevin Wong is seen next to it. The detectives look in and they see a radio in the middle; and the residents of building 25 say that's not a vehicle that parks there.

So, that becomes a vehicle of note. Additionally, while they're going around doing this investigation on the apartment complex, a resident, Kathleen Perry, who you will hear from tomorrow, she finds a manila envelope.

And inside of that manila envelope that she provides to

Henderson Police Department and Kevin Lapeer -- who is the case
agent and lead detective in this case -- is a Federal -- what represents
itself to be a Federal search warrant for Jason Anthony Cliff.

You'll hear from detectives who are very familiar with search warrants, who've been on Federal Task Force that this is not how search warrants look. Law enforcement, you know, obviously dealing with search warrants know that this is a fake search warrant. But it's in the name -- somebody wrote it up to be in the name of Jason Cliff.

And there's also what is called a workup which is where a person lives; a person who has a spouse; the age; stuff like that. That workup is also in the envelope as well. Again, not official U.S. Marshal paperwork, but it looks -- it's made to look like law enforcement paperwork. And that's found in the direction that Marland Dean ran as opposed the direction that Toney White and Amanda Sexton ran.

So, Detective Ryan Adams, who is the individual who interviewed Kevin Wong, goes and decides that night, I'm going to go check out the Spas area. Because there is nothing that really came

from the interviews with the victims. The victims couldn't give them any leads; they said they didn't recognize any of these individuals. They don't know why people would come in and do what they did.

And so, Ryan Adams goes to The Spas, that place I was talking about on Las Vegas Boulevard -- 9457 Las Vegas Boulevard. He goes there; there's a lot one-way in and one-way outs so if you're in a car that's not familiar, you kind of stick out. Well, he decides to do surveillance anyway.

And while he's out there, around 3:45 a.m. -- so roughly about over four hours after this occurs -- he sees Amanda Sexton and Toney White and Marland Dean; or a person he believes is Marland Dean, go into a vehicle with an individual named Glen Cousert, who you'll -- who we'll talk about a little bit later in this opening.

Obviously, Toney White is someone that is of interest to him because he's the person who is the registered owner of that Jeep. He pulled up a picture of Toney White. He knows what Toney White looks like, so he was able to positively identify him.

Additionally, he's interested in Amanda Sexton too because in that Jeep, when they did -- when they did a cursory search of that Jeep to see if there's any weapons, they found an ID with Amana Sexton's name in it. So, they know -- they -- so Ryan Adams, Detective Adams, believes that Toney White is possibly one of those suspects and that Amanda Sexton is the white female. And then, when he's told that there is a third black male, or a second black male with them, he believes that would be the other black male as well that was involved with this

takeover/kidnapping.

That car -- Ryan Adams is out there by himself, he's doing surveillance by himself; he gets spotted -- you'll hear from him, he gets kind of spotted by residents of that community and then they start kind of approaching his undercover vehicle; and Glen drives off with the three individuals; and Detective Adams isn't able to follow them. So, the investigation then goes into kind of the next day and there's a search warrant done of the vehicles; both the Jeep and the red Mustang.

Inside the Jeep there's more evidence of Toney White having possession of that vehicle, including his ID and social security number found in there. Again, he's also the registered owner.

But there's also other things of interest in that vehicle as well.

Because not only does it have that antenna and that spotlight, but it also has, white and -- white and blue lights attached to the front windshield both on the top and the bottom, to make itself look like a police vehicle.

Additionally, you have a bull horn that's attached to the engine battery, inside the engine compartment. And a radio inside the vehicle so you can broadcast yourself out like a police vehicle would do. So, not only do you have the police spotlight and that police-looking antenna, but you also have the red and blue lights and the speaker; clearly indicating that this vehicle was made to look like a police vehicle and that it's not.

Additionally, they find a radio inside the Jeep, this Unimax, and they find a vehicle radio inside that red Mustang that was parked right by the Jeep; same brand and they were both on the same channel.

They were both on channel 9.

The CSA, CSA Farrell, gets called back out to Seven Hills because more evidence is located by residents of the Seven Hills, this apartment complex.

Right outside the front driveway [indicating], so this is kind of the back area where Toney White and Amanda Sexton ran; and back by the entrance way where they would would've ran off to, it's discovered that a duty belt with firearms, both the black firearm -- which is actually -- also turns out to be a BB gun; just this gun right here [indicating]; and the silver 9mm Smith and Wesson firearm, an actual firearm, is found with that along with handcuffs; along with ID, U.S. Marshal ID; along with a tee shirt that says U.S. Marshal on it.

Gear that's stashed behind a bush, it's actually kind of hard to find; and you'll hear from CSA Farrell where it was found and located; and about the processing he does to kind of, you know, take it out and try to be careful regarding fingerprints.

And then you'll hear from a forensic scientist, a fingerprint expert by the name of Kent Timothy, who got a fingerprint off the handcuffs that are inside the duty belt. And you'll hear that the fingerprints on the handcuff belongs to Toney White.

Also, during the search of the vehicle, there's mail in the name of -- and the address of 2895 E. Charleston, Apartment 2085. So, based on that, another detective that you'll hear from, Detective Wayne Nichols, goes to that apartment complex and he talks to the manager over there; and it turns out there's a lease at 2895, number 28085, that

is in the name of Toney White and Amanda Sexton. They're the only two people on that -- on that lease.

And, based on that and based everything else, they do a search warrant there; and there they find a whole bunch of U.S. Marshal -- fake U.S. Marshal -- paperwork. These fake workups that you will hear about of other individuals is found in this suitcase. There's five of them, it's found in the suitcase in Toney White's bedroom.

Additionally, you'll find out in his bedroom they find information regarding the victims, Jason Cliff and Marlene Cliff -- she's not Marlene Cliff, she's actually Marlene Burkhalter but the put Marlene Cliff there. They have other papers that have their date of birth and other personal information on it. Additionally, in the same apartment, in the same room, the room of the master bedroom that belongs -- that was the bedroom of Toney White and Amanda Sexton.

Not only did they find all this fake U.S. Marshal paperwork that matches what was found at the scene, and the information of Jason Cliff and Marlene Burkhalter; but they also find blue gloves that are similar to the gloves that are found at both at the entrance way at Seven Hills and found at the crime scene in 2511; and found on the walkway behind where they find -- where the asp that was bent was found.

And, moreover, they find another asp that's very similar, this is it right here [indicating]. It's very -- it's the classical baton. They find that in Toney White's closet as well. CSA Farrell is called out a third time later on January 21, 2016 because yet more stuff is found in this apartment complex.

He goes to building 15, which is, if you follow Marland Dean's pathway as he was chasing someone but trying -- running away from the police, basically -- if you tracked his path, building 15 is there [indicating] and then there is kind of like a little step where he can jump over the fence as well right by there.

Stashed behind a pillar by 1511 is more U.S. Marshal gear and a holster. And at this point, CSA Farrell is like, you know what, I'm just going to search the entire apartment complex; I don't want to come out here a fourth time. And he finds more stuff in the entrance way -- some headphones.

So, at this point, you have all this evidence that clearly shows that Toney White was the individual along with others -- Marland Dean and Amanda Sexton -- is the individual that did this robbery and did this kidnapping.

So, they go looking for him. He's not at his apartment; he's not at the 2085; he's not at his sister's apartment at The Spas, which is apartment 145. Instead, him and Amanda Sexton hide out in apartment 120, which is -- you can see apartment 145 from where apartment 120 is.

An officer who is very familiar with this area, a Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Officer by the name of Officer Yannis, goes out to that -- that -- you know, he knows Henderson is looking for them; he talked with Detective Ryan Adams the last time Detective Ryan Adams was out there with Tony Niswonger, another Detective for Henderson Police.

So, Officer Yannis takes it on himself to kind of look for these suspects for Henderson Police; and he gets information that they are holed up in apartment 120. And Officer Yannis -- unlike Henderson, Metro has bodycams -- so, Officer Yannis has his bodycam on.

And you'll see the bodycam in which he possibly is trying to get Toney White and Amanda Sexton to come out of the apartment.

And it takes a while but, eventually, Toney White comes out of the apartment. Officer Yannis says, I want to check you for weapons; he gets close to Toney White; and as he's trying -- starting his pat down for the weapons, Toney White takes off, starts running away.

And you'll see all that on bodycam. He's apprehended a short time later but he flees from Officer Yannis and all that's on video and you'll get to see it with your own eyes.

Finally, you will hear from Glen Cousert, who is that guy I talked about earlier that Ryan Adams saw drive Toney White, Amanda Sexton and Marland Dean to the Circle K across the street and then somewhere else.

So, Glen will tell you that Toney and Amanda came to his place, early hours of November 21, 2016 with a third individual, that would be Marland Dean; that he then drove them to a Charleston residence; he can't tell you exactly where it was but it was on Charleston -- which lines up with, again, the fact that their lease is at the 2895 Charleston apartment. That they get a suitcase and they go back to his apartment; his apartment being that 120 in The Spas.

And then while he's there, Toney White asked him to go

recover a red Mustang and gear that they left over at a Seven Hills apartment. And Toney White actually draws out a map, well draws something in front of him, in front of Glen and hands Glen this map that shows both where the red Mustang is parked and where all that gear that we find in the entrance way of Seven Hills is; where it shows where that location is and where the baton is found -- that baton is found.

So, after hearing all this evidence from the victims, from the police officers, from the CSA and seeing all exhibits -- which is going to be over 200 exhibits -- and hearing from Glen, the State is going to ask you to find Toney White responsible for what he did, which is the counts that you heard in that indictment. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Sanft?

MR. SANFT: Yes, Your Honor.

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE DEFENSE

BY MR. SANFT:

All right, a couple of things. First of all, I've never worn one of these -- one of these things before [indicating lapel mic] so if there's any problem with it at all just forgive me because I'm not very good with this technology.

The reason why you were picked as jurors in this case and the reason why we spent so much time with you yesterday is because we need twelve people and we believe, both on behalf of my client, Mr. White, as well as the State of Nevada, that you are twelve people that will not rush to judgment. And what I mean by that is, specifically, that

 you've heard this evidence from the State. Now, the State's told you what they believe the evidence will show. But when we boil it down, here's what the issue is. We are not going to dispute that there was a problem in this home with these two individuals where people broke in and robbed them; we're not.

The only question you have to ask yourself is, is Toney White responsible for that? The charge that has been charged for Toney White is specifically a conspiracy charge to commit all of these other crimes. What you're going to learn at the end of the time we spend together, the law requires, or basically says, look, if you are involved as a co-conspirator or an aider and abettor; or if you directly commit the crime, you're responsible for the crime.

The question you have to ask yourself is, what evidence does the State of Nevada have to show beyond a reasonable doubt that Toney White was either a co-conspirator, an aider and abettor or directly committed the crime? That's it.

Now, there's a lot of evidence in this case, and the danger is that over time you're just going to glaze over because you're just going to hear about this piece of evidence found here or that piece of evidence found over there. The only question you have to ask yourself is, how does it relate back to Toney White?

And so, at the time that we spent together, at the end of the time, I'm going to get back up here and I'm going to remind you of what we just talked about and ask you that simple question. And, I will point to things that I believe the State of Nevada has demonstrated or not

1	demonstrated in terms of their case against Mr. White.
2	But at the end of this case we expect you to find that the State
3	of Nevada cannot prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Toney White
4	was either a co-conspirator, an aider and abettor or directly committed
5	the crime. Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. You may call your first witness.
7	MS. LUONG: The State calls Officer Matthew Engel.
8	MATTHEW ENGEL
9	THE MARSHAL: Officer, if you would please remain standing
10	raise your right hand and face the Clerk, please.
11	[Pause in the proceedings]
12	[Colloquy between the Court, the Clerk and Ms. Luong]
13	MS. LUONG: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	MR. SCHWARTZER: She needs to swear him in.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. Okay, so if you will raise your right
16	hand so the Clerk can administer the oath.
17	[The witness is sworn in by the Clerk]
18	THE CLERK: You may be seated. Can you please state and
19	spell your first and last name for the record?
20	THE WITNESS: My first name is Matthew, M-A-T-T-H-E-W;
21	my last name is Engel, E-N-G-E-L.
22	MS. LUONG: May I begin, Your Honor?
23	THE COURT: You may.
24	[Having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn testified as follows:]
25	

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 | BY MS. LUONG:

- Q Good morning, sir. How are you employed?
- A Currently, a police officer for the City of Henderson.
- Q And, how long have you been doing that for?
- A For Henderson, I started in 2004, so I'm going on fourteen years.
 - Q And what were you doing before?
- A Before Henderson I was also a police officer in a suburb of Kansas City, for six years prior to that.
- Q What kind of training and experience do you have as a police officer?

A Well, I went to the Nevada Police Academy which is about twenty-two weeks and I also went to field training here which is another seventeen weeks. Also, as a police officer, you do at least eighty hours of training every year to stay current with your — it's kind of like a license — to stay current with being able to be a police officer you have to do eighty hours a year.

On top of that I do training for, well other assignments. I am a training officer, so I actually have new police officers ride with me for five weeks at a time and I teach them how to be a police officer.

On top of that I'm also an officer in charge in my squad. So, when my supervisor is gone, the ranking officer, then I would work under the supervisor at that point.

Q Thank you. Now what are some of your jobs and duties as a

police officer?

A Well, currently I'm assigned to patrol the west side of Henderson. So, we work out of the Green Valley substation which is off of Green Valley Parkway and Paseo Verde.

So, basically, my job duties is I work graveyard shift from 10:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m. and anytime somebody calls 911; and if it's in an area that I'm close to and that I'm responsible for, then I'm assigned to that call. It could be anything from a noise disturbance, a suspicious vehicle driving down the street, to domestic violence, to a robbery at a gas station.

And if things aren't -- if there's no calls for service then we do what we call a self-initiated activity; look for traffic stops, you know, people walking around and look like they're up to no good, stuff like that.

Trying to -- I try to stay busy that way; to be noticeable in the community.

- Q Thank you. So, I want to direct your attention all the way back to January 20, 2016. Were you working that day and night?
 - A I was.
 - Q And what shift were you working?
 - A I was still working the graveyard shift.
- Q And, directing your attention specifically to approximately 11:00 p.m. Did you get a call out that night that brings you here today?
 - A I did.
 - Q And what was the nature of that call?
- A The call came out and the police code was a 404, which is an unknown problem. Basically, the caller lived in an apartment complex.

2

3

She lived in the second floor of the complex and she could hear what she thought was a male and a female arguing.

So, she called 911 saying, hey, can you guys come and check on this apartment below us because I hear what sounds like a struggle going on.

- Q Now based on that call, did you then respond to 950 Seven Hills?
 - A I did.
 - Q And is that particularly apartment 2511?
 - A Yes.
- Q So, I'm gonna show you State's Exhibit 3 which has been admitted by stipulation. Do you recognize this area here [indicating]?
 - A I do.
 - Q And what is this area that we're looking at?
- A Well, it's the area that I'm assigned to as a patrol officer but, more importantly, it's the -- one of the areas of the apartment complex that I responded to.
 - Q And is that complex right here [indicating]?
 - A It is. It's Horizons at Seven Hills.
- Q Thank you. And then now I'm going to show you what is Exhibit 1 and, specifically, is this more of a diagram of the units in the complex?
 - A Excuse me. Yes.
- Q I'll move it in a little more here. Now, when you responded to apartment 2511, did you respond with anyone else?

1	A	There was a second officer that was also dispatched.
2	Q	Who was that second officer?
3	Α	His name is Jeremy Leinan.
4	Q	Were you guys working together that night?
5	Α	We're on the same patrol shift but we don't ride together, we
6	separate	vehicles but same squad.
7	Q	Now, due to the nature of the call, did dispatch have two of
8	you guys	s respond?
9	Α	Anytime there's an unknown disturbance call, it's two officers.
10	Q	Thank you.
11		Did you guys arrive at the same time?
12	A	We did.
13	Q	And where did you park or where did you first go?
14	Α	Would you like me to
15	Q	Yes, please. You can show us on the map.
16	A	Okay. I would say we parked, let's see, right about this area
17	facing that way [indicating].	
18	Q	Thank you.
19	Α	So, it'd be like one car and then us. So, I didn't do too good
20	there; bu	at the second car behind the first car.
21	Q	And, is apartment 2511 down here [indicating]?
22	Α	It's right here where I have the pointer.
23	Q	Thank you. And, can you circle that?
24	Α	All right.
25	Q	And where is the entrance to the complex?

I

1	Q	And did you later learn what his name was?	
2	A	I did.	
3	Q	What was his name?	
4	A	I believe it was Kevin Wong.	
5	Q	And was he standing by any type of vehicle that was of	
6	particula	r note to you?	
7	A	That he was when he was outside just standing next to a	
8	utility box but behind that was a black, older model Jeep Cherokee.		
9	Q	And why did you take note of that vehicle?	
10	Α	The vehicle did seem out of place. It was an older model Jeep	
11	Cherokee but noticing that on the, like the pillars by the passenger door		
12	and the driver's door, they had like the police style spotlights; it was		
13	something like we would have in our police vehicles.		
14		And there was also a lot of antennas sticking up on the	
15	vehicle,	which made it look kind of almost like a law enforcement style	
16	vehicle.	So, it did look out of place and it did catch my attention to	
17	well, that	t's an unusual looking Jeep Cherokee that this person is	
18	standing	next to.	
19	Q	Okay, and then as we turn to State's Exhibit 10, does this	
20	appear to	o be the apartment 2511 that you walked up to?	
21	A	It is.	
22	Q	Now, as you and Officer Leinan walked up, can you tell us	
23	what hap	opened?	
24	A	So, I walk up the sidewalk in this direction here [indicating] you	
25	know i	s it okay to make notes, or?	
- 1	1		

Q Yes, please.

A I took a position kind of by the front door, here [indicating]. Officer Leinan was standing about in that area here [indicating]. So, as I walked up, I could hear some muffled noise, some people talking not too loud; but enough where I could hear but I couldn't make out what was being said.

Q Now, based on that, what did you do?

A I started pounding on the door, I announced, police. Pretty hard, you know. So, that way they knew, you know, hey, the police are at the door; cops are here.

Q And did you hear anything?

A Also after -- immediately after I got the word police out a couple of times, I believe I heard a male start yelling help, help.

Q And Officer Leinan, did -- he was standing by the patio there, did he indicate that he saw something?

A The --

Q And, without telling me what he saw.

A -- the slider was open. It had the vertical blinds, not the horizontal ones but the vertical blinds on the slider; and they weren't closed all the way; and through that position you could see inside the apartment. And from there, there was a male on his knees and another male that you could see standing over him.

And we noticed that the slider was open about four inches.

Therefore, it wasn't -- it was unlocked. And the front door was locked, so.

Q Now, based on what you saw there, what did you decide to do?

A Being that it was an unknown disturbance call; what we observed inside, with the male standing over another male; and people screaming help, with the door unlocked, it was our duty to go in the apartment and just see what was going on in order to help people.

Q And did you make entry into the apartment?

A We did. We made an entry and, at that point, from what we saw outside was the exact same thing. We saw a black male standing over a white male, and he was wearing handcuffs and bleeding; and behind them in the kitchen was another white female. Her hands were behind her back but she was just kind of just sitting there, standing there.

Q Okay. So, you saw a lot of things in there. So, I'm going to break it down a little bit. So, you made entry through the patio there?

A We did. You could just step right over this [indicating]. It's not too tall so we were able to go over the little wrought iron fence to where the slider opens up.

Q And then showing you State's Exhibit 29, is this where you made entry here [indicating]?

A Yes, through the slider, not the front door.

Q Yes. Through the slider, excuse me. And what did you see on the ground in front of you?

A I saw a male, just kind of on his knees on the ground -- a white male.

1	Q	Did you later learn his name?
2	A	I did.
3	Q	What was his name?
4	A	Jason Cliff.
5	Q	And, how did he appear to you?
6	A	He looked injured. He was bloody. His head was bleeding,
7	blood on	his shirt. He was definitely in some pain.
8	Q	And where were his hands?
9	Α	They were handcuffed behind his back.
10	Q	And, you indicated you saw a black male?
11	A	There was a black male standing behind him.
12	Q	I'm showing you Exhibit 28. This is the view you see when
13	you walk into the apartment from the patio?	
14	Α	It is.
15	Q	And can you kind of write on the photo for us where you saw
16	Jason on the ground? If you can do that here	
7	A	Jason would probably be approximately in this area
8	[indicatin	g]. And then the other male, we later learned his name was
19	Marland,	he was standing over in about this area [indicating].
20	Q	And, what was that black male doing?
21	A	He was standing there, he had a like a manila folder similar
22	to the one I brought in with me. And he was holding it in his hand	
23	standing	over Jason.
24	Q	Did he say anything to you?
25	Α .	Basically, when we walked in, he identified himself as hotel

hotel, pardon -- apartment security.

- Q And you indicated that you saw a white female there also?
- A I did.
- Q And was that Marlene Burkhalter?
- A It was.
- Q And can you show us where she was standing?
- A She's a B for Burkhalter but she was about over here [indicating].
 - Q And, at that point, did you see her hands?
 - A I could not. They were behind her back.
 - Q Did you later learn why they were behind her back?
 - A I did later learn that she was also handcuffed.
 - Q Okay. And, so what did you do at that point?
- A Marland identified himself as a security officer for the apartment. He appeared to fit the part. He was dressed similar as a security officer would be dressed, especially that time of night in the apartment complex. So, --
 - Q What was he wearing specifically?
- A He was wearing a black shirt, black pants, a -- like a tactical-style vest, where you kind of see like a swat team person would have, where they've got different pockets where you can put handcuffs or your radio; and I also noticed like where a badge would be, there was something similar to like writing or a star or just something that looked like an official, you know, badge of some type.
 - So, between the envelope he was holding and the file folder,

the way he looked – and he identified himself as security -- so, we asked him what was going on.

Q And would it be unusual for security to be there?

A No. Many of the apartment complexes that we patrol, we work with, they have security at night at you know -- they also respond to calls a lot times, too, where the residents will make a call to management; it goes to a answering service and then they'll show up, too, on mostly noise complaints, people stomping too loud -- if you've ever lived in an apartment and have an upstairs neighbor -- so, it's pretty normal that they're gonna show up about the same time we show up.

Q So, now after Marland Dean identifies himself as security, what happens next?

A As to what happens, he basically, within a few seconds, he tells me, you just missed them, they went out that direction -- which kind of pointed back towards the slider, towards back what would be the east, the direction of the parking lot.

Q And based on that, what did you decide to do?

A I went outside to look and see, you know, if I saw people running away from the complex; and I was standing there looking and then Marland comes back outside and he was like, they're that way, they're that way, can you see them? They're going that direction. And he takes off running towards the east of the apartment complex and I go back to my police vehicle at that point.

Q And what did you do -- why did you go back to your police vehicle?

A As Marland, the security guard, he was running behind the apartments towards the east. So, I basically kind of told him I'll drive in the front of the complex, or in front of the apartments. That way if the suspect comes towards the south, we can cut him off between different apartments.

So, I went one direction and he went behind the complex. I went in front of the different complexes, hoping we would catch this guy who is running that he described.

- Q Okay. So, I'm showing you Exhibit 1 again, our State's exhibit.
 - A Okay.
- Q Can you show, kind of show me where Marland indicated the suspects ran?
- A Yeah, he, the apartment's right here [indicating] and he basically indicated they were going this direction [Indicating]. So, --
 - Q And afterwards, you said Marland took off?
- A Yeah. I got in my police vehicle and what I did was I proceeded this direction [indicating]; Marland, he came up this way behind the complex [indicating]. Can you zoom out a little bit?
 - Q It's right there. Let me do this, sorry.
- A Okay, that's perfect. So, I proceeded to continue this way [indicating] and I thought Marland, he was going back this way [indicating] in hopes that whoever might come out one of these directions between apartments and, you know, he'd, kind of flush them out towards me. By the time I got here [indicating] everybody was gone.

Q And you didn't find anybody?

A No, I got out of my car between these two buildings [indicating], went back this direction which is to the north [indicating] and Marland was gone. I didn't see the person that he described that was running; and walked to the apartments; to the buildings for a few minutes looking for anything; realized that they were both gone; and went back to my car and I went back to the original location.

Q Okay. What kind of description did Marland give you?

A At the time he just said, male wearing green color pants, like olive drab style pants.

Q Okay. I'm showing you State's Exhibit 231. Do you recognize this photo?

A I do.

Q And who is that?

A It's Marland.

Q And that's the man you saw inside of the apartment?

A He's the one that was wearing the black, security-looking outfit and he also, I forgot to mention, had like a gun belt on.

Q Okay.

A Kind of similar to what I would have on.

Q Thank you. And just backtrack a little bit. When you first came out of that apartment when Marland told you that they ran this way [indicating], did you see Kevin Wong at that time?

A At that point, he was walking away. Like if we --he was over here by that Jeep. I just kind of noticed he was just walking in this

direction [indicating] again. Just, not running, not acting out of place, just walking, you know. With all the commotion going on, you think he would, if he was up to no good, he would act more suspiciously. He was very normal acting.

Q Okay. So, now after you went after Marland to look for that potential suspect and you came back to apartment 2511, did you see Kevin Wong?

A Okay, so I come -- after that I come back to the original scene of my police vehicle. And, by this point, there's just bystanders outside because of all the commotion and they hear the police vehicle moving, the cars, car doors slamming, people yelling. And there was bystanders outside and --

Q And, without telling me what they said, did they indicate to you something about the black Jeep?

A They said the black Jeep --

MR. SANFT: Objection, Your Honor, leading.

THE COURT: Leading or --

MR. SANFT: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: -- hearsay?

MR. SANFT: Hearsay, I apologize.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. SANFT: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MS. LUONG:

Q And, without telling me what they told you, based on the information you got from those bystanders, did you then broadcast

something over the radio regarding the black Jeep?

A The black Jeep that I noticed that was parked there when we originally arrived, had since left and knowing the area as I do, I gave out information, which direction of travel I believed the Jeep to be going.

Q And which direction probably did you believe it was going?

A I told oncoming officers that the vehicle is on Seven Hills probably traveling north towards St. Rose Parkway, because if you go south it just takes you back into other neighborhoods. Unless you live there, there's no real reason to go south on Seven Hills.

Q And what was the description of the Jeep that you broadcasted?

A Just the black Jeep with the spotlights, the antennas -because it seemed suspicious that it left as soon as all this commotion
went on. That person just turned into a ghost.

Q Okay. And later that night, are you aware, did medical come out and take Mr. Cliff to the hospital?

A Henderson Fire Department did come to the scene and they transported Mr. Cliff to the, excuse me, to the St. Rose Sienna Hospital.

Q And showing you State's Exhibit 68, does that appear to be how Mr. Cliff looked like that night?

A Yes. He was clean -- at that point he was cleaned up, though.

There was a lot more fresh blood running down his face and on his clothes. He looks actually good there.

Q Okay. Thank you. And later that night, did you -- were you made aware of whether Henderson Police did stop the black Jeep or

1	not?		
2	Α	After I gave out the description of the vehicle that we thought	
3	could be	e possibly be involved, another officer located the vehicle on	
4	Seven H	Hills near Horizon Ridge and made a traffic stop on the vehicle.	
5	Q	And was that Officer Hansen?	
6	Α	It was.	
7	Q	And when you arrived on that scene did you what did you	
8	see?		
9	Α	I was called to the scene of the traffic stop because I was able	
10	to sind	ce I observed the black Jeep and the male earlier they wanted	
11	to make sure they had the right vehicle stopped. So, they called me		
12	back to the traffic stop to see if it was, indeed, the same vehicle I saw		
13	earlier.		
14	Q	And was it the same vehicle?	
15	Α	The same vehicle and the same person, definitely.	
16	Q	And it was the same person, Kevin Wong?	
17	A	Yes, ma'am.	
18	Q	Now when you first arrived and you saw that black Jeep, do	
19	you remember was it parked next to a red Mustang?		
20		MR. SANFT: Objection, Your Honor, leading.	
21		THE COURT: You are leading.	
22	BY MS.	LUONG:	
23	Q	Do you remember whether it was parked next to a car?	
24	A	There were other vehicles there it was parked next to in the	
25	parking	lot; and there was a red Mustang in that area, yes.	

- 1		
1	Q	I'll show you State's Exhibit 9. And, what are we looking at
2	here?	
3	Α	It's facing building 25, apartment 11, so apartment 11 would
4	be right	here [indicating]; and this is the power box I saw Kevin Wong
5	smoking	next to; and this was the parking spot where the Jeep was
6	[indicatir	ng].
7	Q	Thank you.
8		MS. LUONG: Court's indulgence, Your Honor. I'll pass the
9	witness.	
10		THE COURT: Thank you.
1		Cross?
12		CROSS EXAMINATION
13	BY MR.	SANFT:
14	Q	Officer, have you ever been involved in something like this
15	before w	here some person imitates a police officer and you believe
16	them?	
17	A	Has I'm confused.
18	Q	Have you ever been involved in this, like this type of thing
19	before, v	vhere this, you know
20	A	When people identify themselves as law enforcement?
21	Q	Right, to you.
22	A	Yes. I mean but
23	Q	And tell you that they're a police officer and you believe them?
24	A	Of course, but I did find out later they lied and, you know, you
25	- we - li	ke I said, we work with apartment security a lot, security in front

of different restaurants, bars. So, for somebody to identify themselves as a security officer, dressed the part, looking the part, holding the part, at that point we didn't have any real reason not to – discount him because it was a very fluid situation.

Q Right, and would it be fair to say that you have had a multitude, many times where you've come into a situation and you don't know who is who and you're trying to figure out what's going on and who's responsible for what? Would that be fair to say --

A Definitely.

Q -- over the course of your career as a police officer?

A That's kind of the main thing that we do is, who's who and what's going on.

Q Right. So, you arrive on the scene, you look in through a window and you notice that there's a person on the ground, handcuffed and bloody and screaming out for help.

A Yes.

Q Obviously, in your mind, at that particular point, there's a problem, something's going on and there may be a danger still lurking inside of that apartment. Would that be a fair analysis of what's going through your head prior to your going into the apartment?

A And that's the only reason why we can even go in that apartment.

Q Okay. And would it be fair to say that you're -- you're not lackadaisical about it; you're not taking it for granted or being flippant.

You're walking in there with your head on a swivel saying, okay, I need

1	person t	hat's giving you this description, Marland?
2	A	No, there really wasn't time because
3	Q	And let me just cut to it because I understand this may be a
4	little bit	embarrassing for you
5	Α	It's not.
6	Q	because of the fact that this happened. Well, let's cut to it.
7	But it's a	a fluid situation, right?
8	A	Very.
9	Q	And you're accepting the representations made by this
10	individua	al in the moment who is calm and is giving you some information
11	and does not act like a suspect at all, right?	
12	A	He played the part very well.
13	Q	Perfect. So, he jumps, he runs out
14	Α	With me.
15	Q	With you and you start running in the direction that he's
16	running; or he jumpsyou jump into the vehicle and you follow him kind	
17	of perpendicular or	
18	A	When we go outside, he literally is like, they're right there,
19	they're r	ight there, that way, don't you see them? So, I'm like, oh, no.
20	But he does. So,	
21	Q	Right.
22	A	let's go.
23	Q	Now at any point during this time period do you make any
24	calls on your radio that you're heading in the direction with a security	
25	officer b	ecause you believe a suspect is heading that same direction?

represented to you he was?

A I believe once we got back in, Officer Leinan was tending to Jason and Marlene; and in the course of talking with them about what happened, that's when they kind of said, he's the guy that beat me up.

- Q Can you describe to the jury how tall Marland is?
- A I would say over six foot.
- Q Over six foot. And approximate his physical description in terms of his weight and size and so forth?

A He's a bigger guy, mid 200's, maybe 220, you know, six foot, six one, six two. It's been three years so I haven't seen him since.

Q Right. Okay.

MR. SANFT: I have no further questions, Your Honor.

THECOURT: Any redirect?

MS. LUONG: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony here today. You may step down. You are excused from your subpoena.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

At this time, we are going to recess for lunch. During this recess you are admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or read, watch or listen to any report or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial, by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet or radio; or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the

case is finally submitted to you.

We'll be in recess until 2:00 p.m. Thank you.

THE MARSHAL: Thank you. All rise for the exiting jury, please. Jurors, please leave your notebooks on your chair.

[Recess taken at12:40 p.m.]

[Proceedings resumed at 2:02 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE MARSHAL: Please come to order. Court is now is session.

THE COURT: Okay. The record will reflect that the hearing is taking place outside the presence of the jury panel. We did make contact with the Lovelock prison. There is a statute, NRS 50.215, that requires no less than seven business days before the date scheduled for the person's appearance in court if the offender is incarcerated.

And then it gives miles from Las Vegas. Okay. And the provision that applies is NRS 50.215(4)(b), not less than fourteen business days before the date scheduled for his or her appearance in court if the offender is incarcerated in a prison which is located at a distance which exceeds those specified in paragraph A.

Lovelock is more than 95 miles, so by statute we're not permitted to --

MR. SANFT: Okay.

THE COURT: -- I guess, order them.

So, Mr. White, you understand we can't have him transported because you didn't give me enough notice.

1	MR. SANFT: That's fine, Your Honor
2	THE COURT: I just want to – you understand that, correct?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. Yeah.
4	THE COURT: Okay, all right.
5	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
6	THE COURT: We can I mean I asked. I did
7	MR. SANFT: We understand, Your Honor, and you cleared it
8	by statute, so there's nothing we can do about that.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	Mr. SANFT: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, we have one last thing.
12	THE COURT: Sure.
13	MR. SCHWARTZER: Ms. Luong and Mr. Sanft reviewed
14	Exhibit 235, which is just simply another photo of Mr. White from
15	February –
16	Is it the February 3 rd one?
17	MS. LUONG: No. That's the – yeah, that's the one.
18	MR. SCHWARTZER: the February 3, 2016. So, it's just
19	simply a picture of him on February 3, 2016, when he was booked into
20	CCDC and by stipulation we're going to agree that it comes in.
21	MR. SANFT: That is correct, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Okay, 235 is admitted.
23	Okay, bring them in.
24	[EXHIBIT 235 ADMITTED]
25	THE MARSHAL: All right jurges come on in All rise for the

-	
1	entering jury, please.
2	[In the presence of the jury]
3	THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the presence of the
4	jury panel?
5	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Sanft?
7	MR. SANFT: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
8	THE COURT: Thank you. You may call your next witness.
9	MR. SCHWARTZER: The State calls Officer Hansen.
10	THE MARSHAL: If you would please remain standing, raise
11	your right hand and face the Clerk, please.
12	JOE HANSEN
13	[The witness was sworn in by the Clerk]
14	THE CLERK: You may be seated. Please state and spell your
15	first and last name for the record.
16	THE WITNESS: Joe Hansen. J-O-E H-A-N-S-E-N.
17	MR. SCHWARTZER: May I proceed, Your Honor?
18	THE COURT: You may.
19	MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you.
20	[Having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn testified as follows:]
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. SCHWARTZER:
23	Q Officer, how are you employed?
24	A I work with the City of Henderson Police Department.
25	Q In what capacity?

1	A	As a patrol officer.
2	Q	How long have you been a law enforcement officer for
3	Henderson?	
4	A	Almost eighteen years.
5	Q	Did you do any law enforcement before those eighteen years?
6	A	No.
7	Q	What's your current assignment with the Henderson Police
8	Departm	ent?
9	A	I'm a patrol officer assigned to the graves A-west division;
0	west divi	sion.
1	Q	Okay. And you worked last night?
2	A	No, I did not. I actually took the night off.
3	Q	Okay, great. So, you normally you work graves. What are the
4	times for	graves?
5	Α	Our shift starts at 2200, which is 10:00 p.m. and ends at 0800,
6	8:00 a.m.	
7	Q	Okay. I want to direct your attention now to January 20, 2016
18	going int	o kind of the morning hours of the 21st which 2016. Were you
19	working as a patrol officer for Henderson Police Department at the time	
20	Α	Yes, I was.
21	Q	And were you working that day?
22	Α	Yes, I was.
23	Q	I guess, night early morning.
24	A	That evening, correct.
25	Q	And, during that period of time were you did you have like

1	Q	Okay. And did you know that officers were assigned to go to	
2	that call?		
3	Α	Yes, I did.	
4	Q	And, Henderson, you guys aren't quite as big as LVMPD, is	
5	that corre	ect?	
6	Α	That's correct.	
7	Q	So, do you know the individual officers that work your section	
8	and work	your shift?	
9	Α	Yes, I do.	
10	Q	Okay. So, did you know the officers that went to that unknown	
11	disturbance call?		
12	Α	Yes, I do.	
13	Q	And do you recall which officers it was at this time?	
14	Α	I believe it was Officers Engel and Officers Leinan.	
15	Q	Okay. At time were they working graveyard with you as well?	
16	Α	Yes, they were; same squad.	
17	Q	Okay. Did radio traffic continue regarding that call?	
18	A	Yes, it did.	
19	Q	And during that period of time did either Officer Engel or	
20	Officer L	einan give out a description of a vehicle that might have been	
21	involved	with criminal activity?	
22	A	Yes, they did.	
23	Q	Okay and what was what do you recall what the	
24	descripti	description was?	
25	A	I believe the description was a black SUV with a police type	

1	Q	And then, down here [indicating], what street do we have
2	here?	
3	A	That would be Sunridge Heights Parkway.
4	Q	Okay. And then all the way up here [indicating], what is this
5	street o	ver here?
6	Α	I believe that is St. Rose Parkway.
7	Q	Okay. And in between we have this [indicating], Horizon
8	Ridge P	arkway?
9	Α	Horizon Ridge, correct.
10	Q	Why don't you tell the jury what you did when show the jury
11	kind of y	our pathway for the call until you make an encounter.
12	Α	Okay. When I initially responded to the call
13	Q	And sir, let me help you with it there's a mouse in front of
14	you.	
15	A	Oh, okay. Gotcha.
16	Q	And then, I'm just going you can pick a go to the pen with
17	the blue	·
18	A	This one
19	Q	To your
20	Α	oh, correct. Gotcha.
21	Q	There you go. Just click on that. And now you can use that to
22	draw all	over the map.
23	Α	All righty. When I first responded, I went to the area of
24	Sunridg	e Heights and Jeffreys which would be right in this area here
25	[indicati	ng] where this

1	Q	Here, let me clear this out for you real fast
2	A	I don't know if that's on there or not.
3	Q	There you go.
4	A	I initially set up a position here at Jeffreys and Sunridge
5	Heights	[indicating]
6	Q	Okay.
7	_ A	not knowing exactly what to expect because the traffic
8	hadn't co	ome out yet about the suspect vehicle.
9	Q	Okay.
10	Α	And then once I another patrol vehicle arrived to my area,
11	and inst	ead of bunching up, we try to do what we call BOLO or be on the
12	lookout for, I proceeded to drive my patrol vehicle to this corner right	
13	here [indicating] the northeast corner of Sunridge Heights	
14	Q	And if you
15	Α	and Seven Hills.
16	Q	I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you.
17	A	No problem.
18	Q	If you click on the pen, it'll actually make marks on the
19	photograph.	
20	Α	Okay. So, I was initially here [indicating]
21	Q	Just hold down.
22	Α	okay, and I took up a position in this area [indicating].
23	Q	Okay. Can you make an X? Thank you. And from there what
24	happene	ed?
25	Α	I was there approximately about thirty seconds to a minute

when I heard the updated suspect vehicle come out, with the black SUV with the police -- the police spotlight and the antenna.

- Q Okay.
- A As I was sitting there, I proceeded to witness a dark colored SUV, it appeared to be a Jeep Cherokee type SUV, slowly drive northbound on Seven Hills towards Horizon Ridge right in front of me.
- Q Let me ask you this, if you went -- so you're talking about heading, that's north on Seven Hills, right?
 - A North on Seven Hills, correct.
 - Q If you went south on Seven Hills, does that lead anywhere?
 - A It's what we call the Seven Hills loop.
 - Q Okay.
- A It's just a giant loop, residential area. There's really only one other way in and out of that area. That's why I took up the position here [indicating], I figured if the suspect vehicle went that way, the chances of him coming back out the same way are pretty great.
- Q Okay. And so, after you see this Jeep pass you on Sunridge Heights and Seven Hills, what do you decide to do?
- A I noticed immediately the large antenna sticking up off the back and the police spotlight; at which point I proceeded to follow the suspect vehicle northbound on Seven Hills towards Horizon Ridge.
- Q Okay. Now, in this period of time, did you have -- did you put on your lights and siren or were you just simply following?
- A Not at this time because of the description of the vehicle. I believe I had reasonable suspicion that it might have been involved in

the incident that occurred at Horizon at Seven Hills; at which point I requested a backup officer, what we call a code 9 officer to assist me.

- Q Why did you want a backup officer?
- A Because of the nature of the call. As it came out, we learned that it was probably a robbery that had occurred. Because it was considered a violent felony, I decided that I was gonna do a felony stop on the vehicle.
 - Q For a felony stop, does that require another officer?
 - A Yes, if at all possible, to have a second officer there to assist.
- Q Okay. So, you called out for another officer in order to do a felony stop?
 - A A felony stop on the vehicle, yes.
 - Q What did you call it, a code 9 officer?
 - A Code 9 officer, correct.
- Q And then did an officer -- so, did you continue to follow this Jeep until another officer came around?
- A Correct. I continued to follow the Jeep northbound on Seven Hills. I cannot remember if we got stopped at the stoplight at Horizon Ridge or not; but my backup officer, my code 9 officer was there fairly quick.
- Q Okay. And so, he reached you by the time you got to Horizon Ridge?
 - A Horizon Ridge, correct.
- Q Okay. Then what happened once your code 9 officer was there?

and gentlemen of the jury through what you did during this felony stop?

A Okay. During the felony stop, again we always prefer to have two officers there. I proceeded to light up the suspect vehicle, which immediately yielded to the right. I called out for traffic in the area to try to be shut down, because we never know what we're going to experience during a felony traffic stop.

I requested that traffic be stopped if at all possible -- citizen traffic along the St. Rose and Seven Hills -- and also behind us on Seven Hills and Horizon Ridge.

Once my backup officer was with me and took a position next to me -- because I was primary officer, I assumed commands of the felony stop. When we do a felony stop, we do what we call, challenge the vehicle, we don't know how many occupants are inside the vehicle or anything like that. I couldn't see inside the vehicle at that time because I believe the windows were tinted very dark.

What we'll do is we will have the driver of the vehicle roll down the window -- his window -- if the window is not already rolled down; have them put both hands outside of the vehicle so we can just make sure that there's no weapons in their hands or in their possession. At what point, when somebody puts both hands out a window, an open window, we can kind of get a view of who we're dealing with inside the vehicle. You know, they kind of have to put their head out just a little bit.

At which point, we'll have the driver reach over with their left hand and turn off the ignition on the vehicle. It's kind of a multi-tasking, to take their mind off of everything else that's going on; remove the keys

from the ignition and with their left hand drop the keys out onto -- out onto the roadway. Now we know the vehicle isn't running and isn't going to go forward or backwards towards us.

Q Okay. What do you do in order to get the person outside -- out of the vehicle?

A We issue verbal commands, either just with a big, loud voice or through what we call a PA system, public announcement system, that our patrol vehicles are equipped with. I believe I just gave verbal commands with my voice because of the close proximity and not a lot of traffic going by.

- Q Okay. Did that person inside the vehicle comply with that?
- A Yes. Yes, that person did.
- Q Did you make contact with that individual?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q Okay. Can you describe that individual for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury?

A Yeah. He appeared to me, initially, -- well when he stuck his head out -- to be a Hispanic male, bald and probably late twenties, early thirties, he appeared initially

- Q Okay. I'm showing you Exhibit 233. Do you recognize this individual?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q Was that the individual that was inside that vehicle --
 - A Yes, it was.
 - Q -- too? And did you -- were you able to get a name from him?

A Yes, I was.

Q And what was that?

A Mr. Kevin Wong.

Q Okay. Did you, while you were taking him out or having him get out of the vehicle, at some point do you take steps to ensure there's not other people inside that vehicle?

A Correct. Once -- to continue with the felony stop, once the keys are dropped off we'll have the suspect open the door; and if it's at all possible from the outside, using the outside door handle with their left hand -- open up the door; exit the vehicle; of course showing us their hands; we have them circle around to try to see if we can see any bulges, any kind of possible weapons on them; and then, at which point, we bring them -- walk them back towards our position as we stay with our patrol vehicles; especially on graveyard, we try to use what we call a curtain of light which is, it's hard to see behind those lights for a suspect up front -- walk the suspect back towards our location backwards and then, at some point, when he gets between our vehicles we'll have the subject kneel down; at which point, another officer will come up from behind, place the subject in handcuffs, just as a precautionary measure, and then put them into a patrol vehicle to secure them.

Once the first person is secured, we'll challenge the vehicle again; which again, we'll all out any individuals inside the vehicle, make yourself known, Henderson Police Department, etcetera, etcetera.

If we are confident that there's really nobody else inside the vehicle, we'll go up and do a cursory -- a cursory check. Which is at

- Q And, this Jeep you pulled over, did it have Nevada plates or did it have plates from another state?

 A I believe they were the State of Washington plates.
- Q Okay. And, if you saw pictures of that vehicle with the, what you talked about the spotlight and the antenna, do you believe you would be able to recognize it?
 - A Yes, I would.
- Q All right. I'm going to show you what has been admitted by stipulation, Exhibit 198. Do you recognize that?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q Okay. Is that the Jeep?
 - A Yes, that is.
- Q And, specifically, you talked about the spotlight and the antenna, could you just show that to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury?
- A Yeah. When the vehicle first approached me, the first thing I noticed was the large -- the large antenna here [indicting] -- the large radio antenna which had been called out in the description; and as the vehicle got just a little bit closer I noticed the spotlight on the driver's side.
- Q All right. And you mentioned that it was -- the plates were from the State of Washington? Showing you Exhibit 199. And those were the plate on that Jeep?
 - A Yes, sir. That's the same plate, ASW 5060.
 - Q I'm sorry?

1	A	ASW 5060.
2	Q	Okay.
3	A	So, I remember that from my report.
4	Q	Now again, because when you knew Detective Adams was
5	coming o	out did you decide to run that license plate in order to provide
6	Detective	e Adams some information?
7	A	Yes, I did.
8	Q	And who did those plates come back to?
9	Α	They came back to a Mr. Toney White.
10	Q	And that is information that you would have provided to
11	Detective	e Adams?
12	Α	Yes, sir.
13	Q	And the interview or any talk with Mr. Wong would have been
14	done by	Detective Adams?
15	A	Correct. At that point my any kind of talking to Mr Mr.
16	Wong ha	ad ceased.
17	Q	Okay. Thank you, Officer.
18		MR. SCHWARTZER: I will pass the witness.
19		THE COURT: Thank you.
20		Cross?
21		MR. SANFT: No cross, Your Honor. Thank you.
22		THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony here
23	today.	
24		THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
25		THE COURT: You may step down. You are excused from

1	your sub	ppoena.
2		And you may call your next witness.
3		MR. SCHWARTZER: The State calls Marlene Burkhalter.
4		THE MARSHAL: Please step up onto the witness stand,
5	remain s	standing, raise your right hand and face the Clerk, please.
6		MARLENE BURKHALTER
7		[The witness is sworn by the Clerk]
8		THE CLERK: You may be seated. Please state and spell you
9	first and	last name for the record.
0		THE WITNESS: It's Marlene Burkhalter, B-U-R-K-H-A-L-T-E-
1	R.	
2		THE CLERK: And could you please spell your first?
13		THE WITNESS: M-A-R-L-E-N-E.
14		MR. SCHWARTZER: May I proceed, Your Honor?
15		THE COURT: You may.
16	[Havin	g been called as a witness and being first duly sworn testified as follows:]
17		DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR.	SCHWARTZER:
19	Q	Do you mind if I call you Marlene?
20	Α	Yes, please do.
21	Q	Okay. Marlene, I want to ask you about some things that
22	occurred	d on January 20, 2016. Is that okay?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	All right. So, let's go back to that. January 20, 2016, where
25	were yo	u living at that time?
- 1	1	

1	Α	At 950 Seven Hills Drive, Unit 2511.
2	Q	Okay. And what would be the cross streets of that?
3	Α	St. Rose Parkway and Seven Hills Drive.
4	Q	All right. Who were you living with at the time?
5	A	My husband.
6	Q	That apartment, is it upstairs or is it downstairs?
7	Α	It's downstairs.
8	Q	And is it one bedroom, two bedrooms?
9	A	Two bedrooms, two bathrooms.
10	Q	Okay. Did you have two bedrooms in that apartment?
11	Α	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. And is there any like other like could you kind of
13	describe	the space for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury?
14	A	Sure. Right when you open the door it's a living room, that
15	then ope	ens up to my dining room and then the kitchen kind of overlooks
16	the whol	e living room area. And then the bedrooms are, one's on one
17	side of the place and the other one is in the back.	
18	Q	Okay. And back in January, 2016 were you working at the
19	time?	
20	Α	Yes,
21	Q	And where were you working at?
22	A	At Tahiti Resort.
23	Q	Okay. So, you lived with your husband at the time?
24	A	Correct, yes.
25	Q	And what's his name?

Α	Jason.
Q	And Jason what?
Α	Cliff.
Q	Cliff. And were you living with anyone else?
A	No one else.
Q	Just you and Jason?
Α	Yes.
Q	On January 20, 2016, that night, were you working?
A	No, I was off that night.
Q	And so what were you doing at say, approximately 10:30
p.m.?	
A	I had taken a shower and I was just watching TV in my living
room.	
Q	And that's that room as soon as you open the front door?
A	Correct.
Q	You mentioned that well, is there also a patio that kind of
overlooks	s that living room?
A	Yes.
Q	Okay. At any point that night, were you out on the patio?
A	Earlier.
Q	Okay. How much earlier?
Α	Earlier in the afternoon I would say, but not recently I wasn't.
Q	Okay. When you were out on that do you recall like when,
like was i	it like 5:00 p.m., 7:00 p.m.?
Α	It was probably around 7:00.
	Q A Q A Q P.m.? A room. Q A Q overlooks A Q A Q like was

1	Q	Okay. Did you know I'm sorry, there's no test, it's just	
2	whatever you remember.		
3	Α	Okay.	
4	Q	So, around 7:00 p.m.?	
5	Α	Probably around 7:00, yeah.	
6	Q	Did you notice any vehicles that didn't fit your in front of your	
7	house at	t that time?	
8	Α	No.	
9	Q	Okay. So, now going to 10:30 p.m., you're watching TV in the	
10	living roo	om.	
11	A	Um, hm.	
12	Q	Why don't you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what	
13	happene	ed?	
14	A	Okay. So, there was a knock at my door and I immediately	
15	looked o	out the peephole and noticed that there was a young female; a	
16	young, white female. She looked like she was in her early twenties.		
17	And she was standing there smiling.		
18		So, I opened the door to see what she wanted and the	
19	moment I opened the door, two black gentlemen with guns pushed their		
20	way in a	nd told me they had a search warrant and they were, excuse	
21	me, with the U.S. Marshals.		
22	Q	Okay. Let me stop you there because I'm just going to break	
23	down a l	ittle bit of what you said. So, regarding the female that was	
24	outside,	how would you describe her?	
25	Α	She looked like she was in her early twenties. She was a	

- 1			
1	to hold with both hands; and the shorter black male had a handgun.		
2	Q	And was he holding that handgun?	
3	A	Yes. They were both holding and pointing the guns.	
4	Q	So, it wasn't like in a holster or anything?	
5	Α	No. They had them in their hands pointed at me.	
6	Q	Pointed at you?	
7	A	Yeah.	
8	Q	And can you describe that handgun at all?	
9	A	I'm not familiar with guns. It was just a normal black handgun.	
10	Q	Okay. Do you see either of those males in the courtroom	
11	today?		
12	Α	Yes,	
13	Q	Okay. Which one?	
14	Α	The shorter black male.	
15	Q	Can you point to him and identify a piece of his clothing?	
16	Α	Yes. He's wearing purple, and [indicating] yes.	
17	Q	Thank you.	
18		MR. SCHWARTZER: Will the record reflect the identification	
19	of Defend	dant Toney White?	
20		THE COURT: So reflected.	
21		MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you.	
22	BY: MR.	SCHWARTZER:	
23	Q	Okay. So, the taller black male and the defendant come in	
24	with guns	s and you said they pointed at you?	
25	Α	Correct.	

1	Q	There was nothing covering their faces?
2	A	No.
3		MR. SANFT: Your Honor, for the record, counsel stood next to
4	the witne	ss, up on the witness stand maybe about two and a half or
5	three feet	t away.
6		MR. SCHWARTZER: That's correct, Your Honor.
7		THE COURT: Thank you.
8	BY: MR.	SCHWARTZER:
9	Q	Okay. So, they're yelling at you to do what?
10	A	To get on the floor.
11	Q	What did you do?
12	A	I got on the floor.
13	Q	Did you say anything? Do you remember saying anything?
14	A	I mean, I think I said, what is this about? And they that was
15	about it.	
16	Q	Okay. Did you get on the floor?
17	Α	I did.
18	Q	Okay. When you got on the floor, what happened?
19	Α	I got handcuffed.
20	Q	Okay. Do you remember who handcuffed you?
21	Α	The female did.
22	Q	So, that was the white female that was outside?
23	Α	Correct, yes.
24	Q	So, did she come in with the other two?
25	Α	Yes.
i i	l	

- 1	İ	
1	Q	Okay. Now, you mentioned they yelled, search warrant?
2	Α	Correct.
3	Q	Do you recall which one yelled that or if they both did or if all
4	three of	them did?
5	A	I believe it was both the males that were yelling they have a
6	search v	varrant, get on the floor.
7	Q	Okay. So, once so, where is Jason during all this? When
8	you're a	nswering
9	A	He
0	Q	the door.
11	A	Yeah. He was in the other bedroom and, at that time, he was
12	coming	out of the bedroom.
13	Q	Okay. When did Jason ever enter into the living room?
14	A	Eventually.
15	Q	Okay. Where were you when Jason was entering into the
16	living ro	om?
17	A	I was, at that time, the female had put me on the couch and I
18	was har	ndcuffed. So, he came around from the bedroom and was
19	question	ning what they were there for.
20	Q	So, you said you were on the couch. Is that the couch in the
21	living room?	
22	A	In the living room, yes.
23	Q	Is it like where you were watching TV?
24	Α	Correct.
25	Q	And were you in handcuffs like?

1	Α	No, behind my back.
2	Q	Behind your back, okay. And for the record, at first, I showed
3	my hand	s in front of me, Your Honor. So, Jason came around from the
4	bedroom	into the living room and you said he began questioning them?
5	Α	Correct.
6	Q	Was he questioning the search warrant?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Was he questioning whether they were law enforcement at
9	that time	?
10	A	At that time, no. I think he said who is this for?
11	Q	Okay. Did the Defendant or the people with him respond?
12	A	No. They told him to get on the floor.
13	Q	Did Jason get on the floor?
14	A	No.
15	Q	What happened?
16	A	He wouldn't he didn't want to get on the floor and so that's
17	when they tried to get him on the floor.	
18	Q	Who is they?
19	A	Both of the men.
20	Q	Was the female involved at all?
21	A	She wasn't in the struggle.
22	Q	Okay. So, both the Defendant and then the taller black male -
23		
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	got their hands on Jason?
1	1	

I

1	A	Correct, yes.	
2	Q	Okay. Now, what were they trying what did you observe?	
3	What we	re they doing?	
4	A	So, they kept telling him, stop resisting, and he said no. And	
5	the taller	black male had a metal baton and that's when he started hitting	
6	him with	it.	
7	Q	Okay. What was the Defendant doing?	
8	Α	He was trying to get his hands arms behind his back to	
9	handcuff him.		
10	Q	Okay. So, while Jason is being struck with this metal baton	
11	Α	Yes.	
12	Q	the Defendant is also physically hands-on with Jason?	
13	Α	Correct, yes. They're all kind of wrestling around.	
14	Q	Okay. Did Jason struggle?	
15	Α	Yes.	
16	Q	Okay. Did they ask you or Jason for anything?	
17	A	No.	
18	Q	Okay. Were they demanding anything?	
19	A	No.	
20	Q	Okay. Were they asking Jason to do anything?	
21	A	Not other than stop resisting and get on the floor.	
22	Q	Did Jason yell anything at them?	
23	Α	Just to stop.	
24	Q	Okay. How long was this struggling going on?	
25	Α	It seemed like it went on for a while because then there's a	
	1		

point there was so much blood from him being hit; and because he was struck in the head, there started — the tile that they were standing on started to — there was a little puddle of blood that they started to slip in. So, at that point, it seemed it was probably at least five minutes I would think.

- Q Okay. So, while this struggle was going on, was Jason being repeatedly struck?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay.
- A He was being struck in his head and I told the girl that was standing next to me to please make them stop, that he needs medical assistance; and I was crying and screaming because I thought he was going to die. I thought they were going to beat him to death.
 - Q What did that female say back to you when you --
 - A She said paramedics are on their way.
- Q Okay. So, she was acting like it was -- like they were law enforcement? Is that yes?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. While you're asking that, is Jason still being struck by the Defendant and the person with the Defendant?
- A Correct, yeah. So, he kept resisting and he would not let them put his hands behind his back, so they were wrestling and they struck him enough until he finally couldn't fight back.
- Q Okay. While he's fighting, is he saying -- is he yelling, screaming and --

Q	Okay. But he was acting like he was a U.S. Marshal for a	
search warrant?		
Α	Correct. Yes.	
Q	Okay. Was there any mention of guns at all; if they were	
searchin	g for guns?	
A	Correct, yeah. They had asked me where our guns were and	
I said we	e haven't any.	
Q	When did they ask you and who asked you?	
Α	The Defendant did.	
Q	Okay, the Defendant, Toney White did?	
Α	Correct, yes.	
Q	When did he ask you? When you were on the sofa or when	
he move	ed you to the kitchen table?	
Α	No. That was when we moved to the table.	
Q	Okay. So, after they handcuffed Jason?	
A	Correct, yes.	
Q	Okay. And you said you responded that you don't have guns?	
A	I don't have guns, I told him.	
Q	Was that true?	
A	Correct, that's true.	
Q	Okay. So, you guys did not have guns?	
Α	[No audible response.]	
Q	Okay. Do you recall the Defendant asking you for anything	
else?		
Α	No.	
	search w A Q searchin A I said we Q A Q he move A Q A Q A Q else?	

1	Q	Okay. While you were at the kitchen table was in talking
2	with the	Defendant, what was the taller black male and the white female
3	doing?	
4	Α	The taller black male went into our other room.
5	Q	What's your other room?
6	Α	To our computer room, our extra room. And
7	Q	And this is the guy with the rifle?
8	Α	Correct, yes. And, the female, I believe she went into our
9	bedroom	٦.
10	Q	Okay. At some point, does anyone else knock on your door?
11	A	Yes, and that's when Henderson Police knocked at our front
12	door and	d said, Henderson Police, and started banging on our front door.
13	Q	How long, from the time and I know you're not sitting there
14	watching	g the clock
15	Α	Right.
16	Q	but how long do you estimate from the time that they got
17	Jason	and Defendant and his people got Jason into handcuffs until the
18	time you hear the knock from Henderson Police?	
19	A	I would say it was pretty quick.
20	Q	Okay.
21	A	Five minutes, ten minutes at the most.
22	Q	Okay, so real quick. Okay. So, there is a knock on the door
23	and He	nderson Police announce themselves?
24	A	[Witness nods head.]
25		Does either the Defendant or anyone with the Defendant say

1	anything?		
2	Α	They said, that's backup.	
3	Q	When you say they, who is they?	
4	Α	I just remember hearing one of the men say it it's backup.	
5	Q	You don't' remember whether it was the Defendant or taller	
6	black male?		
7	Α	No, I can't remember.	
8	Q	That's okay. Did you believe them?	
9	A	I didn't know what to think.	
0	Q	Okay. Now, this clothing they were wearing with the U.S.	
1	Marshal on the back, and the vests		
2	A	Yes,	
3	Q	did it look professional to you?	
4	A	It did.	
5	Q	Okay. And how about the search warrant not the search	
6	warrant the paper with your name on it?		
7	A	I was really confused.	
8	Q	Okay. Okay. Because they said search warrant but they	
19	A	Correct, they said search it looked kind of legitimate to me.	
20	But I was pretty confused after what they did to my husband.		
21	Q	Okay.	
22	A	And how it all happened.	
23	Q	So, after the knock, did Henderson enter through your front	
24	door or what happened?		
25	Α	No one answered the door and that's when the Defendant and	

1	the female went into my bedroom and the taller black male stood in the		
2	living room but he didn't answer the door.		
3	Q	Now, your bedroom, is there a way to get outside the	
4	apartment from the bedroom?		
5	Α	Yes, I have a window.	
6	Q	And that window leads outside?	
7	A	Correct, yes.	
8	Q	Okay. So, the taller black male stays while the Defendant and	
9	the white female go to your bedroom?		
10	Α	Yes.	
11	Q	Do you ever see during that day do you ever see the	
12	Defendant excuse me and the white female again?		
13	Α	No.	
14	Q	What happens next?	
15	Α	Then the Henderson Police Officers came in through my patio.	
16	Q	Is your patio door unlocked?	
17	A	It is. I keep it cracked because I have a cat that goes in and	
18	out.		
19	Q	Okay. And when they came in do you know if they had their	
20	guns drawn, did they have their guns at their side, do you recall?		
21	Α	No. I don't believe they had their guns drawn. I can't recall.	
22	Q	Okay. You can't recall or you don't believe?	
23	A	I don't think they had their guns drawn.	
24	Q	Okay.	
25	Α	They just came in.	

ı

j	I	
1	Q	Okay. And when they came in, where was Jason?
2	A	He was still on the floor.
3	Q	Where?
4	Α	In the living room on the tile in front of the TV.
5	Q	Okay.
6	A	Where they struggled.
7	Q	And, where are you?
8	A	I was sitting on the kitchen table.
9	Q	And where was the taller black male?
10	A	He was standing close to me.
11	Q	Was he behind you, in front of you?
12	A	He was in front of me.
13	Q	Okay. So, you would be slightly behind
14	Α	Correct, yes.
15	Q	the taller black male and if you're coming through that
16	sliding glass door, it basically would be Jason	
17	Α	Um, hm.
18	Q	taller black male, yourself.
19	Α	Yes. Yes.
20	Q	Okay. Once the Henderson Police Officer and how many
21	came in?	
22	Α	Two.
23	Q	Once they came in, did they make contact with either Jason,
24	yourself of	or the taller black male?
25	Α	Well, right when they came in, the taller black male told them

1	Α	Yes, he was.
2	Q	And, was Jason transported to the hospital?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Now, did you, at any point during this time, tell the
5	officers	that were there that, that taller black male was actually one of
6	the peop	ole involved?
7	A	I eventually did. I don't know if I immediately told I mean, I
8	did tell t	hem, yes.
9	Q	Okay. At some point then you talked to the detective as well,
10	right?	
11	A	Correct, yes.
12	Q	Kevin Lapeer?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And, did you kind of give him the same version that you're
15	telling us?	
16	А	Yes, I did.
17	Q	
		Okay. I'm going to show you some photographs. First, I want
18		you some individuals. I'm showing you an exhibit that's have
18 19	to show	
	to show	you some individuals. I'm showing you an exhibit that's have arked as, and admitted as, Exhibit 231. Do you recognize that
19	to show	you some individuals. I'm showing you an exhibit that's have arked as, and admitted as, Exhibit 231. Do you recognize that
19 20	to show been ma	you some individuals. I'm showing you an exhibit that's have arked as, and admitted as, Exhibit 231. Do you recognize that al?
19 20 21	to show been ma individu A	you some individuals. I'm showing you an exhibit that's have arked as, and admitted as, Exhibit 231. Do you recognize that al? Yes.
19 20 21 22	to show been ma individu A Q	you some individuals. I'm showing you an exhibit that's have arked as, and admitted as, Exhibit 231. Do you recognize that al? Yes. Who is he?

1	Q	Okay. I'm showing you Exhibit 232. Do you recognize this
2	female?	
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	Who is that?
5	Α	That was the female that was there that night.
6	Q	And, you said she also acted like she was law enforcement?
7	Α	Correct.
8	Q	I'm showing you Exhibit 8. Do you recognize this?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. What are we looking at?
11	Α	What's that?
12	Q	What is this?
13	A	That's where I live.
14	Q	Okay. This area right here [indicating]?
15	Α	Correct, yes.
16	Q	So, you're in the downstairs apartment [indicating]?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And, this is the what is this door [indicating]?
19	Α	That is my patio.
20	Q	Okay. Does this lead into your living room?
21	A	Correct, yes.
22	Q	Is that how the police got into your
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	your house?
25	A	Yes.

	1	
1	Q	Okay. And then what's this window over here [indicating]
2	Α	That's my
3	Q	it's kind of blocked by the wall.
4	A	bedroom.
5	Q	Okay. So, this would be the room [indicating] that the
6	Defenda	nt went with that white female?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	I'm showing Exhibit 15, we're seeing right here [indicating] this
9	is your patio door again?	
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Do you recognize the bicycle from that previous photograph?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. And, again, there's a kind of a tree and a wall
14	[indicatin	ng] but on the other side there is that your bedroom?
15	A	Correct, yes.
16	Q	Then going to your bedroom, I'm showing you Exhibit 16. Do
17	you recognize this window?	
18	Α	Yes, that's my bedroom window.
19	Q	Where your so, I notice there are shades behind, that would
20	be in you	ur bedroom?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	You've got vertical blinds?
23	Α	Um, hm.
24	Q	Were they like this when you left the bedroom that night
25	A	No, they were not.
1	1	

1	Q	before this? How has it changed?
2	Α	It's pulled up.
3	Q	Okay. And, how about I noticed there's a, like a
4	Α	A screen.
5	Q	A screen, thank you, on this window. How about on this
6	window [indicating], was there a screen?
7	Α	Yes, there was.
8	Q	And that's not on there now?
9	Α	No, it's not.
10	Q	Okay, when you left your bedroom that night before this
11	incident v	was that screen up on there?
12	Α	Yes, it was.
13	Q	And, you kind of look through the window and there's there
14	appears	to be an object in your bed [indicating]. Can you see what I'm
15	talking al	bout?
16	Α	Yeah.
17	Q	Do you want me to zoom in a little bit or are you okay?
18	Α	That's the screen.
19	Q	That's your screen?
20	Α	Right. Correct, laying on my bed.
21	Q	You have kind of already answered that, but your screen
22	wasn't lik	ke that before this incident?
23	Α	No.
24	Q	I'm going to show you Exhibit 25. Do you recognize what this
25	item is?	

	1	
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. What is this [indicating] is this an item that was used
3	in your a	partment?
4	A	Yes. That is the metal baton that they were hitting my
5	husband	with.
6	Q	At least you believe that's the item, because you don't know
7	do you k	now where this picture was taken?
8	A	The ground? It was left on the ground, obviously.
9	Q	But that's how the object appeared?
10	Α	Oh, yes, that's what it looked like.
11	Q	I'm showing you Exhibit 26. This is your apartment, correct?
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	And, I'm going to zoom out a little bit. There's an item right
14	here [inc	licating]. Do you see where I'm pointing to with the pen?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	What is that?
17	Α	It's a bloody shirt.
18	Q	Whose shirt is that?
19	Α	My husband's.
20	Q	Now, I'm going to go inside your apartment with photographs.
21	I'm show	ving you exhibit I'm going to show you two continuous exhibits
22	in order	here, 28, what are we looking at here?
23	A	That is the TV in the living room and the speaker fell because
24	that's wh	nere they were struggling.
25		And what speaker are you talking about?

	A	The one that's on the floor in front of the rug
1		The one that's on the floor in front of the rug.
2	Q	So, you're talking about this one right here [indicating]?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	So this was up, kind of standing
5	A	Standing, yes.
6	Q	And so this would have been a picture kind of taken by your
7	front doc	or area?
8	A	Correct, yes.
9	Q	And then, turning to Exhibit 29, is this a picture from the other
10	side of y	our of your
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	taken from the other side? And so, this would be coming
13	from you	ır kitchen?
14	Α	Correct.
15	Q	Okay. Now, with going back to your Exhibit 28, do you
16	recogniz	te the two items on the table?
17	Α	Those are handcuffs.
18	Q	Okay. Where did those handcuffs come from?
19	Α	They came off of us, my husband and I.
20	Q	Okay. And then, with this photograph, like if you can, could
21	you use	this photograph to kind of point to the jury where Jason was and
22	you were	e
23	Α	Sure.
24	Q	when the police came?
25	A	When the police came?
i	I	

1	Q	Yeah.
2	A	Jason was still on the
3	Q	And, Marlene, I'm going to show you something real fast.
4	A	Okay.
5	Q	There's a mouse right here.
6	A	Oh, okay.
7	Q	And then you click right here and you can actually draw on it.
8	Α	Oh, okay. So, basically, Jason was laying on the tile area
9	[indicatin	g] still. And I was sitting on the kitchen table [indicating] in a
10	chair righ	nt here [indicating].
11	Q	Okay, by tile
12	Α	When the police came.
13	Q	So, let me just get out of this snapshot real fast. Okay. So,
14	you said	tile area, are you talking about over here [indicating].
15	Α	Right. Correct he
16	Q	or are you talking about over here [indicating].
17	A	was right in front of this TV
18	Q	Okay.
19	A	Right there [indicating]. And I was sitting on the chair in the
20	kitchen t	able.
21	Q	And where was the taller black male?
22	A	He was standing right in front of me, kind of right here
23	[indicatin	ng]
24	Q	Okay.
25	A	while I was sitting on the chair, so.

Q Where did the majority of the struggle take -- and I'm going to actually it might be easier to show you 29. Where did the majority of that struggle between the Defendant and the taller black male and your husband take place?

A It all happened on this tile right in front of the door area right here [indicating]. So, basically, all of this blood started coming from his head and it's made this puddle because he was being hit so much; and he was bleeding so much that this tile area [indicating], there was a puddle of blood right there; and then they started to slip in it because there was so much blood. And the speaker fell because of the struggle.

- Q Okay. I'm showing you Exhibit 44. Do you recognize what this is?
 - A Yeah, our -- it's a lamp that fell.
 - Q Okay.
 - A And --
 - Q Go on, what?
 - A On the couch.
- Q Okay. So, this lamp and this table and kind of like all these objects over here [indicating]?
 - A Yeah, everything just disarrayed.
 - Q Okay. So, it wasn't like that before this incident?
 - A No.
- Q Okay. And this couch [indicating] is this the couch that you were -- that you sat on?
 - A Correct, yes.

1		
1	Q	When you were handcuffed? Before this and then moved you
2	to the kitchen?	
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. And then showing you Exhibit 45. There's a couple of
5	items I w	vant to point out to you in Exhibit 45. This flashlight over here
6	[indicatir	ng], did you ever have did you ever see that flashlight before?
7	A	No.
8	Q	Okay. So, this isn't something that belongs to you or your
9	husband	I, as far as you know?
10	A	No.
11	Q	How about this glove right here [indicating], this blue glove?
12	Α	No.
13	Q	Okay. You didn't have blue gloves like this around in your
14	place?	
15	Α	No.
16	Q	Okay. I'm showing kind of a close up into that area. 46. How
17	about th	is black magazine?
18	Α	No.
19	Q	That's not anything that belongs to you or your husband, as
20	far as yo	ou know?
21	Α	No.
22	Q	Okay. I'm showing you Exhibit 50. Do you recognize that
23	item?	
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	Where do you recognize it from?

1	A	That was the gun that one of the men were holding.
2	Q	Which one?
3	A	The taller black male.
4	Q	Okay. So, this does not this item doesn't belong to you?
5	A	No.
6	Q	Okay. I'm showing you Exhibit 51. This is where are we at
7	in your re	esidence?
8	A	That's in my bedroom.
9	Q	Okay.
10	Α	The window.
11	Q	Now it's a little light, so I'm going to zoom in a little. This is
12	inside yo	our residence, correct?
13	Α	Correct, yes.
14	Q	So, I'm going to show you 52, which is just part of the wall
15	from 51.	Is that fair to say?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	This stain right here [indicating], this red stain, was that there
18	before th	nis incident?
19	Α	No.
20	Q	And you already talked about this frame on, Exhibit 53, that's
21	on your	oed. Is that correct?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	And that's not that doesn't belong I mean, that wasn't how
24	that item	was before this incident?
25	A	No, it was not.

	1	
1	Q	Okay. And you said that, you know you and Jason didn't
2	have an	y firearms in the residence, correct?
3	Α	No.
4	Q	So, if there was a silver magazine found in your apartment,
5	firearm r	nagazine, would that have belonged to you either you or your
6	husband	l as far as you know?
7	A	No.
8	Q	Marlene, did the Defendant have permission to come into your
9	house to	try to take items?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Did the Defendant have permission to put you in handcuffs?
12	A	No.
13	Q	Did the Defendant have permission to put Jason in handcuffs?
14	A	No.
15		MR. SCHWARTZER: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.
16		THE COURT: Cross-examination?
17		CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY MR.	SANFT:
19	Q	Do you sell drugs out of your apartment?
20	A	No.
21	Q	Did you have drugs in your apartment at the time this
22	happene	ed?
23	A	No.
24	Q	Do you ever have marijuana in your apartment?
25	A	No.

1	Q	How about heroin?
2	A	No.
3	Q	Now did you, at any point, have anything in your apartment
4	that wou	lld have been considered to be illegal?
5	A	Have I ever?
6	Q	Did you at this particular point have anything in your
7	apartme	nt that would be considered to be illegal?
8		MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
9		THE COURT: Can you be more specific?
10	BY MR.	SANFT:
11	Q	Let me ask you this. Were you aware that police officers,
12	when the	ey came into your apartment
13		MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, I'm going to object and
14	ask to a	pproach.
15		THE COURT: Okay, why don't you approach?
16		[Bench Conference transcribed as follows:]
17		MR. SCHWARZTER: Mr. Sanft is referring to the forgery
18	lab, righ	t?
19		MR. SANFT: Yeah.
20		MR. SCHWARTZER: Yeah. So, there's
21		THE COURT: To the what?
22		MR. SANFT: There's a forgery lab and that in the
23	apartme	nt.
24		THE COURT: Okay, but why is that relevant?
25		MR. SCHWARTZER: That would be our objection.

BY: MR. SANFT:

25

- 1	1	
1	Q	Ma'am your testimony at this time is that you opened the door
2	up at 10:30 in the night? Is that correct?	
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And you opened the door because you saw a lady or a girl
5	standing	outside smiling at you through the peep hole?
6	Α	Somebody knocked at my door.
7	Q	Right. You looked through the peep hole and you find this
8	person s	standing there in front of your door smiling and you decided you
9	wanted t	o open the door?
10	A	Well, they knocked so I opened the door, yes.
11	Q	Okay. Prior to that happening, did you tell your husband that
12	you were	e going to open the door?
13	A	No. I opened I opened the door.
14	Q	Okay. Now, you said that three individuals come into your
15	apartme	nt at that particular point, right? You have the female plus two
16	males?	
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	The tall one and the short one. You've identified my client as
19	the short one. Is that correct?	
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. Now, you've identified the tall one as the one being
22	with the baton?	
23	A	Correct.
24	Q	And, during the time that they are in the apartment, the female
25	handcuff	s you and puts you on the couch at some point, right?

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	Your husband comes out of the bedroom and then they grab
3	ahold of	your husband?
4	A	Right. They struggled.
5	Q	All right. Now when that happens, they are trying to handcuff
6	your hus	sband, is that correct?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And he's resisting back?
9	Α	Correct.
10	Q	He's fighting the two of them at that particular point?
11	A	Right.
12	Q	The person with the baton starts using the baton on your
13	husband	1?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And you had testified to the jury that during that time period
16	they are	saying your husband is resisting they're telling him, do not
17	resist, d	o not resist, do not resist. Would that be fair to say?
18	Α	Something like that.
19	Q	Right. And would it be fair and, once again, we're not there
20	so you h	nave to tell this jury
21	A	Sure.
22	Q	what you saw and what you experienced. But on this
23	particula	ar night the baton was being used because your husband was
24	resisting	what they were proclaiming to be an arrest?
25	A	Correct.

	I .	
1	Q	And it was being used by the taller of the two individuals?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	They weren't just let me back up here and while your
4	husband	was resisting, he was still resisting up until the very end.
5	Would th	nat be fair to say?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And as he's resisting, they're still using the baton on him?
8	Α	Right. Yes.
9	Q	Now did do you ever see the shorter of the two, my client,
10	ever picl	c up that baton and use that baton?
11	Α	No.
12	Q	It is always the taller of the two?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. Now, at some point when you are in when you were
15	in the liv	ing room area in cuffs, you said that the two police officers
16	showed	up on into your apartment.
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	And the taller of the two individuals was still there with you?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	Is that correct?
21	Α	That is.
22	Q	Did you feel or did you believe, at that particular point, that he
23	was cau	ght there? Meaning, he was trying to make his way to the
24	bedroon	n to jump out of that back window; and he just happened to be
25	there wh	nen the cops showed up? Or did he voluntarily stay behind?

1	A	I'm not sure.
2		MR. SCHWARTZER: I'm going to object to speculation, Your
3	Honor.	
4		THE COURT: I'm sorry?
5		MR. SANFT: Well, let me ask you this. Did you I'll I will
6	rephrase	the question,
7		MR. SCHWARTZER: Thank you, Your Honor.
8		Thank you, counsel.
9		THE COURT: Thank you.
0	BY: MR	SANFT:
1	Q	Did you when the taller of the two individuals was there,
12	your test	imony was that there was a knock at the door. Was it clear at
13	that poin	t that the person knocking on the door may have been
14	Henders	on
15	Α	They said it very loud, Henderson Police.
16	Q	Police Officers?
17		Okay. So, that was very clear to everyone in your apartment
18	at that po	oint that the police was outside?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	Oaky. And as a result of that, your testimony was the shorter
21	of the tw	o individuals, plus the female, go into your bedroom?
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	And they never come back out?
24	Α	Correct.
25	Q	The taller out of the three of them remained in the apartment?

- 1				
1	Α	Yes.		
2	Q	Did you ever see him make an effort to run into that		
3	apartme	apartment, into that bedroom before the police appeared inside your		
4	apartme	nt?		
5	Α	No		
6	Q	So, if he stood there		
7	A	He just stayed, he just stood there.		
8	Q	Okay. And waited basically for the police to show up?		
9	Α	Correct. He didn't open the door.		
0	Q	Right. But you never saw anything that would have indicated		
11	to you th	nat he was trying run?		
12	A	No.		
13	Q	Now, and in addition to that, you said that the two individuals,		
14	when they were there, kept telling you about a search warrant, right?			
15	A	Correct.		
16	Q	And you're saying that my client walked you over to the table,		
17	sat you	down at the table and started asking you questions about		
18	maybe,	possession of firearms?		
19	Α	Yes.		
20	Q	The tall one did not do that?		
21	A	No.		
22	Q	Where was the taller one when that was happening?		
23	A	I believe he was walking from the living room area to the other		
24	bedroon	n. So, it happened pretty quickly.		
25	Q	So, the amount of time that my client was sitting at the table		

1	with you	, talking with you, how long would you estimate that to be?
2	Α	Not long. One minute, thirty seconds to a minute.
3	Q	Okay. And, the taller one, did he ever participate in that
4	conversa	ation about the guns or anything to do with the search warrant?
5	Α	No.
6	Q	He didn't say anything to you about a search warrant at all?
7	A	No.
8	Q	So, just the shorter one?
9	Α	Well, when they first came in, they both said they had search
10	warrants, but after that time I it was just him.	
11	Q	Okay.
12		MR. SANFT: I have no further questions, Your Honor.
13		THE COURT: Any redirect?
14		MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes, Your Honor, briefly.
15		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR.	SCHWARTZER:
17	Q	Marlene, has anything like this happened to you before?
18	A	No.
19	Q	This is how would you describe this experience?
20	A	Traumatic.
21	Q	Okay. Your husband got pretty messed up from this. Is that
22	fair to sa	ay?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	He and he was eventually, as you said, he was transported
25	to the ho	ospital?
1	1	

1		
1	A	Correct, he had
2	Q	And did you go ahead.
3	A	He had staples and they broke his teeth, so he was missing
4	like four	teeth.
5	Q	Did he basically struggle after this attack?
6	A	He was definitely in a lot of pain.
7	Q	Okay. So, and this how much time do you think happened; I
8	mean, ho	ow much time are we talking about? Are we talking about an
9	hour, are we talking about thirty minutes, are we talking about ten like	
10	how muc	ch time?
11	Α	It's like ten, fifteen minutes.
12	Q	Okay.
13	A	It all happened so quickly.
14	Q	Okay. And did you know something like this was going to
15	happen to you that night?	
16	A	No, not at all.
17	Q	So, and there's a lot of there's three at least three people
18	that you don't know well, let me ask you this, have you met the	
19	Defenda	nt before?
20	Α	No.
21	Q	Have you met that white female before?
22	A	No, not at all.
23	Q	Have you met that taller black male before?
24	Α	No.
25	Q	So, you've never seen these three individuals before?
j		

1	Α	Never.
2	Q	So, you have these three people in your house at 10:30 at
3	night doi	ng these things in this quick kind of time period?
4	A	Correct, yes.
5	Q	Okay. And, Mr. Sanft talked a little bit about the Defendant's
6	actions,	you know, about, you know, who was striking your husband.
7	The Defe	endant, Mr. White, you said he yelled, search warrant?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	He was wearing specific clothes?
10	A	Yes. He had a all black with a vest and at the very top of his
11	shirt said	d U.S. Marshals.
12	Q	Okay. You said he was carrying what appeared to you to be a
13	gun?	
14	A	Yes, a handgun.
15	Q	Okay. And he's actually the one that took you from the couch
16	to the kit	chen?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	And he showed you paperwork?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	And he asked you questions?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	About what items may be in your house?
23	Α	Right.
24	Q	Okay. Did you ever see the taller black male or the white
25	female o	lemand or threatening the Defendant to do any of that?
i		

ı

A No.

MR. SCHWARTZER: I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Any re-cross?

MR. SANFT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for your testimony here today. You may step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: You are excused. We're going to take a recess.

During this recess you are admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or read, watch or listen to any report or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial, by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet, radio; or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We'll be on a fifteen-minute break.

THE MARSHAL: Thank you. All rise for the exiting jury, please. Jurors.

[Recess taken at 3:10 p.m.]

[Proceeding resumed at 3:26 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: Okay. The record will reflect that the hearing is taking place outside the presence of the jury panel. Go ahead.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Your Honor, the next witness is Jason

Cliff, and as you probably heard a little bit, obviously, during the questioning of Marlene, there is an issue what Defense can get into regarding him as a witness.

Obviously, he has two felony convictions, both of which we stipulate do come in; in fact, we'll be bringing it up, it's a 2016 grand – attempt grand larceny, which he was out on bail when this occurred on, and a 2017 possession of identification of another. That occurred after this incident; in fact, based on what happened in this case, the police being inside the apartment, they found evidence of him possessing IDs of another and using it; and he was charged with that and was convicted of a felony and actually went to prison for it.

So, obviously, the felonies come in. We would argue that the – you know what exactly was in that apartment isn't relevant for this case. It's not, obviously, a defense to the robbery or attempt robberies, the kidnappings, the battery, the impersonation of a police officer.

So, we believe this should be limited just to that he was convicted of a felony. Whether, you know, Mr. Sanft wants to bring up the fact that this was a felony, that you were convicted of a felony based on stuff found in their apartment, I guess that could be fair game, but anything – you know specific details into what was actually found in the apartment, which is a totally different case; and quite frankly, I was walled off with it because I couldn't -- obviously, I can't prosecute a victim in my case. We believe it is not appropriate at the trial for cross-examination.

A side note to that, there is some mention of heroin being

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

taken, not only --

THE COURT: I'm sorry. There is what?

MR. SCHWARTZER: Some mention of a little bit of heroin being taken in this case.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZER: Amanda Sexton, who's the codefendant in this case who's going to testify against Mr. White in this trial, does bring up the fact that heroin was taken -- a little bit of heroin was taken in this case.

Mr. Cliff does admit that there was a small amount of heroin in his apartment. So, there is going to be some mention of heroin and I think that does come in. And, quite frankly, from Amanda Sexton, that was the motive for going into this apartment. So, we do agree that mention of the heroin does come in but anything else regarding the possession or ID of another, we would say should not -- should be excluded.

MR. SANFT: Okay.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SANFT: Yeah. I mean there's -

THE COURT: Are you okay with the parameters?

MR. SANFT: I-

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SANFT: Yeah. I think that's fair, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, well, that was easy.

MR. SANFT: Thank you, Your Honor.

l	
1	MR. SCHWARTZER: I just wanted us – I just wanted to make
2	it clear before we –
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MR. SCHWARTZER: so we don't argue when the jury is
5	here.
6	THE COURT: Sure. Thank you. You can bring them in.
7	And he's your next witness?
8	MR. SCHWARTZER: He's our next witness.
9	THE MARSAL: All rise for the entering jury, please.
10	[In the presence of the jury]
11	THE MARSHAL: Thank you. Everyone, please be seated.
12	THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the presence of the
13	jury panel?
14	MR. SCHWARTZER: Yes, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Sanft?
16	MR. SANFT: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
17	THE COURT: Okay. You may call your next witness.
18	MS. LUONG: Thank you. The State calls Jason Cliff.
19	THE MARSHAL: If you will please step up into the witness
20	stand. Remain standing, raise your right hand and face the Clerk,
21	please.
22	JASON CLIFF
23	[The witness is sworn in by the Clerk]
24	THE CLERK: You may be seated. Please state and spell

your first and last name for the record.

25

l		
1		THE WITNESS: Jason Cliff. J-A-S-O-N C-L-I-F-F.
2		MS. LUONG: May I begin?
3		THE COURT: Yes, you may.
4		MS. LUONG: Thank you.
5	[Hav	ring been called as a witness and being first duly sworn testified as follows:]
6		DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MS	S. LUONG:
8	Q	Hi, Jason. I want to direct your attention back to January 20,
9	2016.	Were you living at 950 Seven Hills
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	at that time? And were you in apartment 2511?
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	Who were you living there with?
14	Α	My wife, Marlene Burkhalter.
15	Q	Okay. And during that time, were you home that night?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q	And, then at approximately 10:45 p.m., I just want to talk to
18	you at	oout that night. Where were you when this incident first
19	happe	ned?
20	_ A	I was in the office, another room we have, basically like a
21	compu	uter room.
22	Q	Okay, how many bedrooms do you have in that apartment?
23	Α	Two.
24	Q	So, you were in the office second bedroom?
25	Α	Yes, second bedroom.
- 1	1	

1	Q	And there is a master bedroom?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And when you were in the office, did you hear anything?
4	Q	Yeah, somebody knocking at the door.
5	Q	And after that what did you hear?
6	A	Just like a bunch of commotion and then I came out to the
7	room and	d seen my wife handcuffed and she was like crying and then
8	three peo	ople were in there.
9	Q	So, when you said you went into the room, do you mean the
10	living room?	
11	Α	Yes, the living room.
12	Q	And then you say you saw your wife handcuffed?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	Where was your wife standing or sitting?
15	Α	Near the couch.
16	Q	And was she on the ground?
17	Α	Yeah, well it was like near the couch. I can't I can't really
18	recall if she was standing or sitting, though. But she was sitting down at	
19	the couch.	
20	Q	Okay. And she was already handcuffed?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	And then you said there were three other people in the room?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	And what did those people look like?
25	Α	There was two African-American males and one Caucasian

- 1	1	
1	girl.	
2	Q	Okay. And the two African-American males, can you tell me
3	what the	y looked like?
4	A	One of them was tall, like a medium build and the other one
5	was sho	rter, I guess compared to him, and average build.
6	Q	Okay. And do you see either of them in the courtroom today?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And which one do you see?
9	A	The shorter of the two.
10	Q	And can you point to him and identify a piece of clothing he's
11	wearing	?
12	A	Purple shirt.
13		MS. LUONG: And, Your Honor, will the record reflect
14	identifica	ation of the Defendant?
15		THE COURT: So reflected.
16	BY MS. LUONG:	
17	Q	Now, you said you also saw a white Caucasian female?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Can you describe what she looked like?
20	A	Younger, she had like her hair pulled back and she was
21	wearing	a hat and jacket. Facial features, I mean I can't really describe
22	it, I mear	n just fair fair skin, I guess.
23	Q	Okay. Do you remember what she was wearing?
24	A	Sort of like all black, I remember she had boots on.
25	Q	Okay. And for the two African-American males, what were

they wearing?

A Like one of them had brown pants, a black shirt; and then the other one I can't recall but I know it had like a jacket with what looked like -- it said U.S. Marshals on the back.

- Q Okay, and do you remember was it the taller one or the shorter one wearing -- the one with the jacket?
 - A The taller one.
- Q Okay. And then other one, you said, was wearing a black shirt and brown pants?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. Now, when you walked into the living room, did they say anything to you?
- A Yeah, they basically told me to like get into these handcuffs. They were pretty much adamant about me putting the handcuffs on.
 - Q Did they tell you why they were there?
- A They said they were there to do a search warrant for some sort of tax evasion or something like that.
- Q Okay, so they said that they were going to -- they were there to do a search warrant on tax evasion? I'm sorry. It's a little hard to hear you.
- A Oh, sorry, yeah. A search warrant for a tax evasion and I asked to like speak with their commanding officer and I kind of like beelined towards the door and then that's when I was struck on top of the head with a -- with -- with a -- metal baton.
 - Q And when you say you beelined towards the door, what does

that mean?

A Like I had it in my sight and I kind of like tried to walk past them, I guess to -- just go outside to try to get help or just actually try to see if there was like other police involved, you know; because I was asking to speak with their superior.

- Q Okay. And you actually -- so at that time, did you think something was wrong?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Why?
- A Well, just because of the way that they were talking and yelling and like just it's not like a protocol that a normal police officer would do.
- Q Okay. Thank you. And you've actually been convicted of a felony for an attempt grand larceny before in 2016?
 - A Yes.
- Q And you've also been convicted of using and possession of identification of another in 2017?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And that was also a felony?
 - A Um, hm. [Witness nods head.]
- Q Now, based on your previous encounter, did you realize that there was something wrong with this?
- A Yes.
- Q So, you stated when you tried to go out, you got hit in the head with a --

1	Α	Like a, like aa baton or a like a metal a hasp I think they
2	call it.	
3	Q	Okay, and did you see who hit you?
4	Α	Yes.
5	Q	Who hit you?
6	A	The gentleman over there.
7	Q	Do you mean the Defendant?
8	Α	Yes, the Defendant.
9	Q	Okay. And where was the taller male at this time?
10	A	He was like he was like in front of me and the Defendant
11	was beh	ind me and they both were like wrestling me to the ground to
12	basically	get into the handcuffs.
13	Q	Okay. And when you say they both were wrestling, can you
14	kind of d	escribe to us how that happened?
15	A	So, like when I went towards the door, I was hit from behind
16	and ther	I kind of like buckled to the ground and then he just started
17	like he ju	ust kept hitting me with it, with that with that
18	Q	When you say he, who do you mean?
19	Α	The Defendant.
20	Q	He kept hitting you with what?
21	A	That hasp or that baton. And it was to a point where, you
22	know, lik	te I lost I almost lost consciousness but I mean I definitely
23	seen like stars and I noticed there was like a lot of blood, and I felt the	
24	heat from	n it.

And then there was just like he just kept on; you know, even

25

though I was willing to comply, you know, to put them on. It just wouldn't stop. I was screaming stop, help, please, you know, like stop. And I remember my wife was like saying, you know, please stop, you know like, you're hurting him, you know like this is unnecessary; and so, finally I complied and you know, I put -- I put the handcuffs on.

Q And was either of the gentlemen saying anything to you while they were hitting you?

A I don't recall what they were saying, but I mean I know they were just acting in character as if they were like real police, you know, like okay, so what do -- what do we have; and the Defendant and the girl were going in between the rooms and then like everybody was kind of like catching their breath after -- after it; and then I just told them, you know, look just take whatever you want and just leave please. And they --

Q Okay. Did you see, did they have any other weapons with them besides the asp?

A Yeah, one of them had with -- what looked like an assault rifle. I recall like a couple of handguns as well. That's what they had when they first walked in.

- Q Okay. So, when they first walked in you saw the guns?
- A Yes.
- Q Do you remember who had the assault rifle? It's okay if you don't remember.
 - A Yeah. I don't recall.
 - Q And do you recall --

1	A	I think the taller guy had the assault rifle and the Defendant	
2	had like a pistol, a handgun.		
3	Q	Okay. Do you remember what color that pistol or handgun	
4	was?		
5	A	Black.	
6	Q	And you said, at some point, they stopped beating you and	
7	they got	the handcuffs on you?	
8	Α	Um, hm.	
9	Q	So, that's when you said the Defendant and the girl went into	
10	the roon	ns?	
11	Α	Um, hm.	
12		THE COURT: Is that a yes?	
13		MR. SANFT: Is that a yes?	
14		THE WITNESS: Yes.	
15		THE COURT: Thank you.	
16		MS. LUONG: I'm sorry. Thank you.	
17	BY MS. LUONG:		
18	Q	And what was the taller guy doing at that time? Do you	
19	remember?		
20	A	No.	
21	Q	That's okay.	
22	Α	No, no, not at that time.	
23	Q	Do you remember if you ever tried to grab any of their guns?	
24	A	No. I think when they first came in and he had the gun	
25	pointed	at me, the taller guy, had the gun pointed at me, I kind of like	
1	1		

ı

when I was going towards the door, I put my -- I put my arm up to just kind of like get it out of my face.

And that's when I started walking, you know, like trying to -trying -- not really bolt, but like walk towards the door and then that's
when I was struck from behind. But, as far as grabbing the gun, no, I
didn't.

- Q Okay.
- A I didn't grab the guns from them.
- Q And do you remember if they went into your pockets at all?
- A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And did they -- what did it seem like?
- A I mean they were just like checking my pockets and because I had a phone -- actually I was like trying to call 911 and it was just knocked out of my hand as soon as I was hit.
- Q Okay. And you said you that were on the ground and then the Defendant and the white female went into the rooms and, at some point, did you hear a knock on the door?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. And how long after that did it happen. How long after the beating did you hear the knock?
- A I would say it wasn't long at all. It was maybe five -- five minutes, ten minutes at the most. But I know it was pretty quick though.
- Q Okay. So, what did you do when you heard the knock on the door?
 - A I was yelling for help and basically, they identified themselves

as police and I seen police lights outside.

Q What do you mean you seen police lights outside?

A The balcony window, sliding glass window, was slightly cracked a little bit and I could see the lights of -- of police cars outside like blue and white strobe lights.

Q Okay.

A And then like a couple seconds after, that's when they were knocking on the door; and then when they were asking if like we needed assistance and I said you know, yes, please. And then they -- one of them came in through the balcony and then the other one was at the door.

Q Okay. And then do you remember if you talked to them right away when they first came?

- A The police?
- Q Yes.

A I just remember them stepping in and then the taller guy said something to them and somehow, like I was able to walk out and, you know -- before when they knocked on the door, the Defendant asked the taller guy, like who is it? And he -- and he said it was backup.

So, I was like still kind of thinking like, you know, this could possibly be legitimate where they're just, you know, beating people up like that. You know, but I just knew that they -- it wasn't real, so they -- when he said that his back up was here, he -- the Defendant and the -- and the lady, the girl just took off to the master bedroom.

Q Okay. So, you said kind of a lot of things there, so I'm going

1			
1	to break it down a little bit, okay?		
2	A	Um, hm.	
3	Q	Now, you said that you heard the knock on the door.	
4	A	Um, hm.	
5	Q	And at that time were you still on the ground?	
6	A	Yes.	
7	Q	Okay. And then after the knock on the door, who said, who	
8	was that	?	
9	Α	The Defendant.	
10	Q	Okay. And the taller guy responded?	
11	A	It's backup.	
12	Q	And at that time what were you thinking?	
13	A	Like I was relieved that they were there so they would stop,	
14	you know	w. Like, you know, they hurt me. I was unsure of the situation	
15	like if the	ey were real police or not, you know cops.	
16	Q	Okay.	
17	Α	Or, what they said they were, Marshals. And then I was just	
18	kind of s	urprised that they were still acting like in character.	
19	Q	Okay. So, the Defendant and the white female goes into	
20	which room?		
21	Α	The master.	
22	Q	The master bedroom?	
23	Α	Yeah.	
24	Q	And you say the taller white the taller African-American male	
25	stays in	the living room with you?	

A Stays in the living room by the door because he looked out the peephole.

Q Okay. So, when the officers came in, did you see or did you hear what the taller male said to the officers?

A I mean, something to the effect of about a suspect who is to the left of the building and that, you know, he told him what he was wearing like and then he somehow, I guess identified himself as a U.S. Marshal or had a badge I believe; and they -- what seemed like they believed him and went around the corner to go look for whoever he was telling them to look for. And then at the same time, he took off, I guess, in his vehicle that he had outside.

Q Okay. And when they first came in -- so, when the police first came in and the taller black male said that, did you try to tell the police that they weren't U.S. Marshals?

A Yes, but -- well, the first -- the officer that came in through the balcony was asking, you know, what was going on and then that's when the taller guy took off out the door. And then the other police officer, I guess, ran back around the building to the left.

Q Okay. So, fair to say, you don't know what happened outside, right?

A Yeah, I don't know.

Q Okay. So, the police officer came in, the taller black male said something, so they ran outside, and then they leave.

A Um, hm.

Q Now, during that conversation did you try to interrupt them to

1	say, hey	, this guy's not a
2	A	Yes.
3	A	U.S. Marshal?
4	A	Like I said, that basically I can't really recall exactly what I
5	said to h	im, but I know I was like screaming for help.
6	Q	Okay. And then, fair to say, another officer stayed with you,
7	correct?	
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And then you were able to tell him
10	A	Yeah, he
11	Q	what happened eventually?
12	A	Um, hm. He actually was trying to take the cuffs off at that
13	point and	d he didn't have a key for it. So, he was trying to he was like
14	just hang	g on, hang tight, you know, we'll figure out what's going on; and
15	said that	, you know, that, that, that medical that there would be a
16	paramed	lics coming right now.
17	Q	Okay, and fair to say, at that point you were beaten pretty
18	badly?	
19		MR. SANFT: Objection, Your Honor, leading.
20		THE COURT: You are leading.
21		MS. LUONG: I will rephrase, Your Honor.
22		THE COURT: Thank you.
23	BY MS.	LUONG:
24	Q	And, at that point, how were your injuries?
25	Α	They were there was a lot of blood and I know there was a
I	1	

1	lot of pain along my back and my leg; and, of course, on top of my head	
2	and then my mouth.	
3	Q	Okay. And so, eventually were they able to get the handcuffs
4	off of you?	
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And then did they take you to the hospital?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. Now, during that time in 2016, were you using heroin?
9	A	Yes. Yes.
10	Q	And did you actually have some heroin in the house that day?
11	A	I had a little bit for personal use.
12	Q	Okay. Now, I'm going to show you some photos of that night.
13	I'm showing you State's Exhibit 231. Do you recognize this man?	
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And who is that?
16	A	He is the taller taller taller guy of the two.
17	Q	So, was he the taller one
18	A	He was the taller guy of the two.
19	Q	Okay. That was at your apartment that day?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And then I'm showing you State's Exhibit 232. Do you
22	recognize this photo?	
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And is that the white female that was there that day?
25	A	Yes. Yes.

l		
1	Q	Okay. I'm going to show you some photos of your apartment,
2	okay?	
3	A	Um, hm.
4	Q	I'm showing you State's Exhibit 11. What is this a picture of?
5	Α	Um
6	Q	Does that appear to be the outside of your apartment complex
7	[indication	ng]?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And is that 2511 right here [indicating]?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And is that your apartment number?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And do you recognize that piece of clothing on the ground?
14	A	I believe it's what I had on.
15	Q	Okay.
16	A	Yeah.
17	Q	I'll zoom in a little more if that helps. Does that look like what
18	you had	I on that day?
19	A	Yes, the top. Yeah.
20	Q	And does does it look like there's blood on it?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Was there blood on that before the incident?
23	A	No.
24	Q	I'm showing you State's Exhibit 25. What are you looking at
25	here?	Sir, what are you looking at?

- 1	l .	
1		MR. SANFT: of the photograph closer to where the fallen
2	speaker	is and that's in State's Exhibit Number
3		MS. LUONG: 28.
4		MR. SANFT: -28.
5		MS. LUONG: Thank you.
6		THE COURT: Thank you.
7	BY MS. I	LUONG:
8	Q	Now, I'm showing you State's Exhibit 30. Is this a different
9	view of th	ne living area?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	Now, I'm going to zoom in right here towards the door. Do
12	you see	what's on the ground right here [indicating]?
13	Α	Right here, the blood or –
14	Q	Yes, is
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	Do you know what that's from?
17	Α	I assume from me.
18	Q	Is that where you got into the
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	incident with the two males?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	And the struggle ensued?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. Now, I'm showing you State's Exhibit 45. Is this a
25	picture o	f your guys' living room, the rug area?

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	And do you see this black flashlight right here [indicating]?
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	Does that black flashlight belong to you?
5	Α	No.
6	Q	And do you know if it belongs to Marlene?
7	Α	No, it doesn't.
8	Q	And I'm showing you State's Exhibit 46. Do you see that black
9	magazine	e?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	And does that belong to you?
12	Α	No.
13	Q	And does that belong to Marlene?
14	A	No.
15	Q	I'm showing you State's Exhibit 50. Do you recognize that?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	What is it?
18	A	It's the gun that the taller guy had, I believe.
19	Q	Okay. And so that doesn't belong to you, correct?
20	A	No.
21	Q	And it doesn't belong to Marlene?
22	A	No.
23	Q	Okay. Now, I'm showing you State's Exhibit 51. Is this a
24	photogra	ph of your master bedroom window?
25	A	Yes.
	i i	

1	. Q	And was that open before this incident?
2	A	No.
3	Q	I'm showing you State's Exhibit 53. And is that a picture of
4	your bed	?
5	A	Of my what?
6	Q	Of your bedroom?
7	A	Oh, yes.
8	Q	The mattress?
9	Α	Um, hm.
10	Q	And what is there what is on there?
11	Α	A screen. It looks like a screen.
12	Q	Was that screen on your bed before this incident?
13	Α	No.
14	Q	Now, you were taken to the hospital that night so I kind of
15	want to s	show you some pictures from the hospital. Do you remember
16	the office	ers responding to the hospital too?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	To talk to you?
19	Α	Um, hm.
20	Q	And then they took some photographs?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. I'm showing you State's Exhibit 68. Is that you?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	From that night?
25	A	Yes.

1	1	
1	Q	And I'm showing you State's Exhibit 69. Is that a closeup of
2	your face	e?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	I'm showing you State's Exhibit 70. Is that a closeup of your
5	mouth?	
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	I'm showing you State's Exhibit 71. Is that another closeup of
8	your moi	uth?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And what kind of injuries did you actually sustain to your
11	mouth a	rea [indicating]?
12	_ A	A couple of cracked teeth and one, like one tooth completely
13	was w	as gone and it like chipped others the other teeth.
14	Q	Okay. So, you got a few chipped teeth and one was gone?
15	Α	Um, hm. Yes.
16	Q	And what kind of work did you have to get done to fix that?
17	Α	It was just a lot of visits to the dental office. They did bridges
18	and crow	ns and they had to do some, like I guess, minor surgery to
19	install the	e bottom tooth there.
20	Q	Okay. And about over how long a period of time did you have
21	to make	visits to them?
22	Α	For about six months.
23	Q	About six months?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. And did you sustain those injuries from what happened

1	that night	1?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	I'm showing you State's Exhibit 72. Is that a closeup of your
4	forehead	?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	I'm showing you State's Exhibit 73. Is that your right wrist?
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	And can you try to describe what we're looking at here.
9	Α	Marks from the handcuffs. They were pretty tight.
10	Q	Okay. And then State's Exhibit 74. Is this a closeup of those
11	marks?	
12	Α	Yes. I can't tell, is it
13	Q	I'm sorry
14	A	a bicep or is that a wrist? Oh, yeah.
15	Q	I'm sorry, I'll move it up a little bit. Maybe it helps with these
16	side by s	ide.
17	Α	Yes. Yeah.
18	Q	And that's injuries to your wrist, correct?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	From the handcuffs?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	So, I'm showing you State's Exhibit 75. Is this your left hand?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	And is that injuries from the handcuff?
25	Α	Yes.

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	State's Exhibit 81.
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	Is that another closeup of the bottom of your back?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And State's Exhibit 82. Is that your upper left back arm?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Now, obviously you experienced pain from these injuries?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	How long would you say you were in pain for?
11	A	I would say about a month or two. It's like
12	Q	I'm sorry it's hard to hear.
13	Α	Like a month or two.
14	Q	For your back?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	And for your head, do you still experience pain in your head?
17	A	Yeah, I mean I get headaches. Sometimes they last for 24 to
18	48 hours.	I mean, I don't know if it's from the result of the injuries but it's
19	somethin	g that's happened ever since
20	Q	Okay.
21	Α	and that I've never had before.
22	Q	Okay. So, you never had those headaches before?
23	Α	No.
24	Q	But you've had them since?
25	Α	[No audible response.]
l		

1	Q	Did you give anyone permission to enter your house that
2	night?	
3	Α	No.
4	Q	Have you seen any of these people before?
5	Α	No.
6		MS. LUONG: Court's indulgence, Your Honor.
7	BY MS. I	LUONG:
8	Q	Now, just to back up a little bit, when they first when the
9	three peo	ople first came into your house, they claimed they had a search
10	warrant?	
11	Α	Yes.
12	Q	And they said it was for tax evasion?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	But did they say anything else about any weapons?
15	A	They asked if where they were asking where the weapons
16	were, where the vault is, and I just I had no idea what they were	
17	talking al	pout.
18	Q	And do you have weapons in your house?
19	A	No.
20	Q	Do you have guns in your house?
21	Α	No.
22	Q	Do you have a vault in your house?
23	A	No.
24		MS. LUONG: Okay. Pass the witness.
25		THE COURT: Cross?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

1 BY MR. SANFT: 2 3 Q Α Yes. 4 5 Q correct? 6 Α 7 8 9 10 11

Q Sir, did you tell this jury that you use heroin?

Q As you say, you use it for casual use or personal use. Is that correct?

A Yes. I mean, at the time I was on a -- like a detox program with suboxone so I did have like a little bit of heroin at the house; but I wasn't actively using it every day. It was just something that I was trying to combat and beat -- it was a struggle with addiction that I've had and, so. But I wasn't actively using it every day.

Q Okay. And you told this jury that the person that was holding the baton was my client?

A Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q There was pictures, multiple pictures, shown to this jury of injuries caused by that baton; and you're saying my client is the one swinging that baton and hitting you in those areas?

A Yes.

Q Are you absolutely sure?

A Yes.

MR. SANFT: I have no further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any redirect?

MS. LUONG: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for your testimony here today. You may step down. You are excused from your subpoena.

- 1	
1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: You may call your next witness.
3	MS. LUONG: Your Honor, the State calls Officer Jeremy
4	Leinan.
5	THE MARSHAL: I'm sorry, the name?
6	MS. LUONG: Officer Jeremy Leinan.
7	JEREMY LEINAN
8	THE MARSHAL: Please step up onto the witness stand,
9	remain standing, raise your right hand and face the Clerk, please.
10	[The witness was sworn in by the Clerk]
11	THE CLERK: You may be seated. Please state and spell
2	your first and last name for the record.
13	THE WITNESS: Officer Jeremy Leinan. J-E-R-E-M-Y L-E-I-
4	N-A-N.
5	MS. LUONG: May I proceed, Your Honor?
6	THE COURT: You may.
7	MS. LUONG: Thank you.
8	[Having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn testified as follows:]
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MS. LUONG:
21	Q How are you employed?
22	A I'm a patrol officer with Henderson Police Department.
23	Q And how long have you been doing that for?
24	A A little over thirteen years.
25	Q What kind of training and experience do you have as a patrol
1	1

1	front of 2511?		
2	A	We train not to park directly in front of the address we're going	
3	to.		
4	Q	And why is that?	
5	Α	For safety reasons.	
6	Q	Okay. So, as so you got you parked there and I assume	
7	you got o	out of your car?	
8	A	Yes.	
9	Q	And when you walked up did you notice anything?	
10	A	There was a neighbor out on his patio across the way, I	
11	believe a	nd there was a person walking in the parking lot, near that	
12	building.		
13	Q	Now when you walked up who approached the door first?	
14	A	Officer Engel.	
15	Q	Okay. And, where were you?	
16	A	I was set back, out covering the patio area.	
17	Q	And what happened when you guys approached the door?	
18	Α	Officer Engel approached the door and knocked on it. I had a	
19	view of th	ne patio and the sliding glass door. I	
20	Q	So	
21	Α	Sorry.	
22	Q	Sorry to interrupt you, sir. So, when you first approached the	
23	apartmer	nt, did you hear anything?	
24	A	I didn't hear anything initially.	
25	Q	Okay. And then after Officer Engel knocked on the door did	
	1		

1	apartment.	
2	Q	Why did you do that?
3	A	Because there was a need for a somebody was yelling for
4	help and	part of our duties is to see if there is any aid that needs to be
5	rendered	d.
6	Q	Now when you went into the apartment this is Exhibit 28. Is
7	this wha	t you saw?
8	Α -	Yes.
9	Q	Does that look like the view from the patio? You seemed a
10	little hesitant there.	
11	A	Well, that's further inside.
12	Q	Okay.
13	A	That's not that's I saw a little bit about where the picture
14	was taken from.	
15	Q	Okay. I'm showing you State's Exhibit 30. The patio right
16	here [indicating] is where you entered from, correct?	
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	And that view is taken from someone standing right here
19	[indicating]?	
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	Okay. So, when you went in State's Exhibit 28 again what
22	did you	see?
23	A	Some male laying on the floor about where the carpet is.
24	Further back, further back along in this area here [indicating] was an	
25	adult bla	ack male wearing, he had like a tactical vest, a police style type

1	head. H	e had blood on the top of his head as well.
2	Q	Where were his hands?
3	A	They were behind his back in handcuffs.
4	Q	Okay. Do you what did officer Engel do?
5	A	He contacted the black male and spoke with him briefly.
6	Q	Do you know did you hear if the black male said anything?
7	A	He said something to the effect of the suspect ran out the front
8	door pric	or to our arrival and he was in the area.
9	Q	Okay. And what did Officer Engel do with that information?
10	A	Him and that male left the front door to go outside.
11	Q	Now while you were so, you stayed in the apartment?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And what were you trying to do at that time?
14	A	I was trying to check to see if there was any medical I
15	needed	to see if Jason needed any medical attention, which he did. We
16	got that started and also attempted to get handcuffs removed from	
17	Jason and Marlene as well.	
18	Q	Were you able to do that?
19	Α	With difficulty, yes.
20	Q	How did you remove them?
21	Α	With a handcuff key.
22	Q	Okay. So, you had a handcuff key?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Is it a universal handcuff key or how does that work?
25	Α	Yes.

1	Q	Okay. And what did you do with the two handcuffs?
2	A	I placed them on the TV stand that was nearby.
3	Q	Right here is Exhibit 28. Do you see them in this picture?
4	Α	Yes, they are right there and right there [indicating].
5	Q	Now, at some point, did you clear the apartment?
6	Α	Yes. When Officer Engel returned, we did.
7	Q	What does it mean to clear an apartment .
8	Α	We check the surrounding surrounding rooms to make sure
9	there's no other persons in there that we're not aware of.	
10	Q	Was there anyone else in there?
11	Α	There was not.
12	Q	Thank you.
13		MS. LUONG: Pass the witness.
14		THE COURT: Cross?
15		CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. SANFT:	
17	Q	Officer did you write a report in this case?
18	Α	I wrote a supplemental report, yes.
19	Q	All right. So, was Officer Engelman; Engelman or Engel?
20	Α	Engel.
21	Q	Engel. Was he the one that was the person who wrote the
22	primary report and you wrote a secondary report to that? How does that	
23	work?	
24	Α	He was the primary responding officer; I was the secondary.
25	However	r, when detectives came out to handle the case, they became

the primary officers. So, we all did supplementals to that.

Q Okay. So, the last photograph that was shown to the jury was a photograph that showed the inside of the apartment and the handcuffs that were on top of the entertainment area. Do you recall that photograph?

- A Yes,
- Q State's Exhibit number 28. Thank you.

All right. You said that you had difficulty removing the handcuffs from the two people that were inside the apartment that were handcuffed, right?

- A Difficulty from Jason.
- Q From Jason?
- A Yes.
 - Q You're using a universal key, why would it be difficult?
- A The handcuffs on him were ratcheted extremely tight onto his wrists which put a lot of pressure back on the key lock; and the condition they were in, as I recall was -- was -- they were in poor condition.
 - Q Okay.
- A They were rusty or they were not lubricated enough to move.

 They were just -- they were very hard to get off.
- Q Now would it be fair to say, based upon your training and experience as a police officer, that when you're dealing with a situation where you have blood or some type of fluid, that you would be wearing gloves to protect yourself when you're conducting that kind of contact with a person?

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: You may step down. You are excused from your subpoena.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: You're out, okay.

All right, we're going to conclude for the day. I was trying to make sure 10:30 a.m. is good with everybody tomorrow?

MR. SANFT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We're going to start tomorrow morning at 10:30 a.m. and just for the schedule for the rest of the week and, remember, Friday we will be dark.

During this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or read, watch or listen to any report of, or commentary on the trial by any person connected with this trial, by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet, radio; or, form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Thank you very much. We'll see you tomorrow morning.

MR SANFT: Thank you.

///

///

///

///

III

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the exiting jury, please.

[Hearing concluded at 4:20 p.m.]

* * * * * *

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Rebecca Foster

Independent Transcriber

ANYTIME TRANSCRIPTS 4123 N. Stampede Rd. Kingman, AZ 86401

(702) 327-1664 / (928) 529-3730