## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL P. ANSELMO, Petitioner,	No. 78576
VS.	
CONNIE S. BISBEE, CHAIRMAN;	NG2022 53 C7 55-2526 57-3620
SUSAN JACKSON; TONY CORDA;	
MICHAEL KEELER,	
COMMISSIONERS; AND THE NEVADA	APR 1 8 2019
BOARD OF PAROLE	ELIZ BETHA BROWN
COMMISSIONERS,	CLERK/OF SUPREME COURT
Respondents.	BY DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DIRECTING ANSWER

This original, emergency petition for a writ of mandamus challenges respondents' compliance with the writ of mandamus that issued as a result of our opinion in *Anselmo v. Bisbee*, 133 Nev. 317, 396 P.3d 848 (2017).

Having reviewed the petition, it appears that an answer will assist this court in our review of this matter. Therefore, respondents shall have 14 days from the date of this order within which to file an answer, including authorities, against issuance of the requested writ.<sup>1</sup> No extensions of time will be granted.

It is so ORDERED.

1 Sardesty

19-17046

<sup>1</sup>For purposes of complying with this order, respondents' answer shall be filed personally with the clerk of this court in Carson City or by facsimile or electronic transmission; the provisions of NRAP 25(a)(2)(B)(ii), (iii), and (iv) governing other methods of delivery are suspended. See NRAP 2.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA cc: Attorney General/Carson City Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP/Las Vegas