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assessments?
A. How much was owing for HOA assessments?
Q. I'm just trying to get an idea how much the HOA assessments were per quarter or month.
A. $\$ 92.25$ a quarter. That's how much the assessments are for this community.
Q. And how much were the late fees?
A. $\$ 125$ total.
Q. And how were those calculated?
A. $\$ 25$ after the 30 th day of each month.
Q. Okay. So an assessment is due every three months. And then if it's not received within 30 days of the end of that third month, there's a $\$ 25$ late fee?
A. No. At the end of 30 days. So, in other words, if a payment is due on the 1st, it's late by the 31st. And that's when you would have a $\$ 25$ late fee.
Q. What was the HOA's position with respect to payment plans if the homeowner wanted to come current?
A. The homeowner would -- once it was turned over to collections, they would speak with the collection company typically. They would then let us know that the owner wanted a payment plan. It would be presented to the board, and then they could approve it.
Q. All right. So I'd like to turn to a different exhibit binder. And it's going to be volume

I, Exhibit 19. Within Exhibit 19, I'd like to turn your attention to page 176.

I'm going to read the first sentence of section 4.07 entitled Priority of Assessment Lien.
"The lien of the assessments, including interest and costs, including attorneys' fees provided for herein, shall be subordinate to the lien of any first mortgage upon any lot."

Did I read that correctly?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know whether the HOA intended to comply with this provision of its CC\&Rs when it conducted the foreclosure in this case?
A. We just complied with the law, period. It wasn't up to us to determine that, I don't think.
Q. Do you know whether the HOA intended to extinguish a first deed of trust recorded against the property when it proceeded to foreclose?

MR. BOHN: Objection. Irrelevant. Intent is not an issue in these.

THE WITNESS: We didn't have any intent --
THE COURT: Hold on. I think he's right.
MS. MORGAN: That's fine. I kind of agree.
THE COURT: Sustained.
MS. MORGAN: I actually agree.
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So that means you don't have to answer.

## BY MS. MORGAN:

Q. Now, I'll turn your attention to that same Exhibit 19 on page 191. The first sentence says in part of Section 10.05 entitled Mortgage Protection, "A breach by any owner of any of the covenants, conditions, and restrictions contained herein shall not affect, impair, defeat or render invalid the lien, charges or encumbrance of any first mortgage made for value which may then exist on any lot."

Then it goes on to talk about what would happen if there was a foreclosure under a first deed of trust.

Did I read that first portion of that sentence correctly up through the word "provided?"
A. Yes.
Q. Did the HOA intend to comply with this provision of the CC\&Rs with respect to its foreclosure activities?

MR. BOHN: Same objection. It is not relevant.

MS. MORGAN: Okay. I'll withdraw the question.

BY MS. MORGAN:
Q. Do you know whether the homeowner in this
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case entered into a payment plan after becoming delinquent?
A. Yes.
Q. We're going to look at these different partial payments that the homeowner made. Do you know whether there was an agreement between NAS and the HOA on how partial payments from the homeowner would be distributed?
A. I'm not positive that it was actually written down that you'll get this much and we'll get that much. I don't remember seeing anything like that.
Q. If we look again at Exhibit 30 on page 649.
A. I didn't see a 30 .
Q. Volume II. It's that really big exhibit.
A. Oh. Volume II?
Q. Right. We should be finished with volume I.
A. All right. Okay. 634.
Q. Do you know whether you have seen this communication from Tara White before?
A. I believe I have.
Q. And, just generally, what do you understand this communication to be?
A. She's stating that she's not able to make -that she's able to make a payment of $\$ 50$ a month and that would be the maximum, she says.
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Q. And is it correct that she indicates some hardship she's gone through, like a divorce, and things like that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then we won't go over every one of these because there's 10 examples in here, but I just want to go over one so we can get an idea how the communication would kind of go.

Is 635 a document that you've seen before, an email?
A. Yes.
Q. And who was that email from and to?
A. It was from someone at NAS to a person at our company, at Nevada Community.
Q. So am I correct to understand that if the homeowner contacted NAS about a payment plan, NAS would let the management company know about that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the management company would let the HOA know about that?
A. Yes.
Q. Because the HOA is the one that makes a decision about whether to agree to a payment plan?
A. No.
Q. Who makes that decision?
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A. The board would make the decision. We would pass it on.
Q. Got it. All right.

So NAS would communicate to the management company, who would communicate it to the board and see if the board wants to approve or deny the request for a payment plan?
A. Correct.
Q. And then, so is page Bates No. 636, is that the communication from the management company letting NAS know that the board approved a 12-month payment plan of $\$ 50$ a month?
A. Yes.
Q. And then if we turn to page 648. Is this a document you've seen before?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you understand this document to be?
A. It looks to me like it is something from NAS letting the HOA and, hence -- well, Nevada Community and then, of course, the HOA -- how they're going to split that payment.
Q. So it looks like out of a payment of $\$ 100$ from the homeowner, they gave 50 to the HOA and NAS kept 50 for its own costs?
A. Yes.
Q. And fees or whatever.

And then are Bates 649 and 650 just a transmission of the portion going to the HOA from NAS?
A. Yes.
Q. So when the HOA would receive a partial payment like the one we've seen in these last few documents, how would the HOA apply it to the assessment account?
A. They would apply it -- I'm not in accounting, but I believe they would apply it to the first payment missing.
Q. Okay. So, in other words, they would pay it to the oldest assessment that hadn't been paid at that time?
A. Correct.
Q. And then if a subsequent payment came in, they would apply it to what then was the oldest, and then it just goes on forward like that?
A. Correct.
Q. I'm going to try to make this as painless as possible, but if you would please turn to page 655. What is this document?
A. Another disbursement.
Q. And so am I correct to understand that the homeowner made another payment of $\$ 100$ ?
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A. Correct.
Q. And how much of that went to the HOA?
A. $\$ 50$.
Q. And then if we turn to page 662. What is
this document?
A. Another disbursement.
Q. And how much went to the HOA?
A. $\$ 45$.
Q. And if you would turn, please, to 759. Does this reflect another payment made on or about October 15th, 2011?
A. Yes.
Q. And how much of the homeowner's payment went to the HOA?
A. $\$ 50$.
Q. If you would please turn to 768. Does this 768 reflect another partial payment made by the homeowner on or around December 22nd, 2011?
A. Yes.
Q. How much went to the HOA?
A. $\$ 50$.
Q. And then if you turn to page 786. This is in a little bit of a different form. What do you understand this document to be?
A. A disbursement.
Q. Is it a disbursement as a result of a partial payment made by the homeowner on or around February 20th, 2012?
A. Yes.
Q. How much money was disbursed to the HOA?
A. $\$ 25$.
Q. And then if you turn, please, to page 793.

Do you recognize this document?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it a disbursement of a payment made by the homeowner on or around March of 2012?
A. Yes.
Q. How much was disbursed to the HOA?
A. $\$ 25$.
Q. If you'd please turn to page 803. What is this document?
A. It's a disbursement.
Q. Does this reflect a $\$ 25$ payment to the HOA made by the borrower on or about April 25th, 2012?
A. Yes.
Q. All of these that we're going over, were these all applied to the assessment account?
A. Yes.
Q. Please turn to 818. What is this document?
A. A disbursement.
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Q. Does this reflect a $\$ 25$ payment to the HOA made by the homeowner on or about May 21st, 2012?
A. Yes.
Q. Please turn to 825. Are you familiar with the document that's Bates stamped 825?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you recognize it to be?
A. A disbursement.
Q. Does it reflect a disbursement of $\$ 25$ to the HOA as a result of a partial payment on or about June 19th, 2012?
A. Yes.
Q. Then page 851. Have you seen this document before?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you recognize it to be?
A. A disbursement.
Q. Does it reflect a disbursement of $\$ 120$ to the HOA as a partial payment from the homeowner made on or about September 15th, 2012?
A. Yes.
Q. We're getting to the end. Would you please turn to 858. Do you recognize this document on 858 as a disbursement of a $\$ 91$ partial payment to the HOA made by the homeowner on or about November 28th, 2012?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the last one I have is 866. Do you recognize this as a disbursement of $\$ 100.25$ to the HOA as a partial payment from the homeowner made on or about April 26, 2013?
A. Yes.
Q. Are all of the disbursements that we looked at just now, are they consistent with the accounting records for this account?
A. I believe so.
Q. So, as I understand it, this HOA's assessments were $\$ 92.25$ on a quarterly basis?
A. Yes.
Q. And if we divide that by three, that comes out to $\$ 30.75$ a month? I won't ask you to do math on the stand, but does that sound right to you?
A. Sounds, yeah.
Q. Nine months times $\$ 30.75$ a month would put us at $\$ 276.75$. Does that sound about right for nine months' worth?
A. Okay. Yeah, it does.
Q. Are you ever aware of an instance where NAS was presented with a partial payment and didn't communicate that fact to the $H O A$ or its management company?
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A. I've heard that happen.
Q. Okay. Do you know whether that happened in this case?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you have -- in your review of the account, did you see any indication or any communications from NAS that a law firm named Miles Bauer had attempted to make a payment towards the account?
A. No.
Q. Do you know why NAS would inform the management company about every single time the borrower made a partial payment, but not inform the management company about the attempted payment by Miles Bauer?

MR. BOHN: Objection. Calls for speculation.
MS. MORGAN: I asked if she knows.
THE COURT: I'll allow her to testify if she knows.

THE WITNESS: No, I couldn't speculate as to why they wouldn't tell us.

BY MS. MORGAN:
Q. Would you expect NAS -- since its job was to collect money on the account, would you expect that NAS would inform the management company when a payment was attempted towards the account?

MR. BOHN: Objection. Calls for speculation
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as to what NAS might do.
MS. MORGAN: I'm just asking do they have an expectation that their collection agency would tell them.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.
THE WITNESS: Honestly, I don't know. I guess I would expect it, but I don't --

MS. MORGAN: Well, I mean, the whole point --
THE WITNESS: I don't know the whole circumstance as to why they wouldn't. I don't know what their thinking was.

BY MS. MORGAN:
Q. Right. And I understand you can't look into their brains and know what they were thinking, but wasn't the point of hiring NAS, essentially when you boil it down, to get paid?
A. Yeah, to follow the law whatever -- however that would be applied. And I don't know all the ins and outs of a Miles Bauer letter and why they would not have accepted or told us.

MS. MORGAN: I think I'm finished. I'm just making sure. That's all I have.

THE COURT: Mr. Bohn?
MR. BOHN: I have no questions.
THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Have a good
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day. Thanks for being here.
MS. MORGAN: Thank you.
(Witness excused.)
MR. SECHRIST: Defendants call Diane Deloney.
I think she's out in the hall.
THE COURT: Is this one going to be a while?
MR. SECHRIST: I don't think as long as the
last witness. Did you want to take a break?
THE COURT: I don't know if this is the time or do you want to wait until after this one? Do you have more witnesses after this?

MR. SECHRIST: We do.
MS. MORGAN: We could take a break now.
THE COURT: That's all right. Come on up, ma'am. Going to have you stand all the way up on the witness stand. Once you get up there, please remain standing, raise your right hand to be sworn.
(Witness sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated and state and spell your first and last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: It's Diane, DIANE, Deloney, D-E-L-O-N-E-Y.

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Go ahead, counsel.
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DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DELONEY
BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Deloney, I represent the bank in this case, the defendant.

Can you please introduce yourself to the Court, and tell us where you're employed.
A. My name is Diane Deloney. I'm an assistant vice president at Bank of America.
Q. How long have you been with Bank of America?
A. About 10 years.
Q. What are your current job duties?
A. I handle a portfolio of loans in litigation. I also testify on behalf of the bank at trials, mediations, and depositions.
Q. And for how long have you been functioning in that capacity?
A. For Bank of America, about eight years.
Q. And have you testified previously in Nevada HOA lien foreclosure litigation?
A. I have.
Q. Approximately how many times?
A. Between depositions and trials, probably around 50 times.
Q. In performing those responsibilities, in those instances in which you participated in our

Kimberly A. Farkas, RPR, CRR
(702) 671-3633•realtimetrialslv@gmail.com
litigation, have you had to review Bank of America's business records?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Can you describe for me the type of business records to which you have access?
A. I have access to what we call our AS400 servicing notes, which are the day-to-day notations of the activity on a loan. I have access to our what we call document management portal, which is the image documents, any other sort of database that would include the day-to-day servicing of a loan.
Q. How often do you access AS400?
A. Every day.
Q. How often do you access the data management portal?
A. Just about every day.
Q. Does Bank of America perform loan servicing?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you describe for me what that entails?
A. Loan servicing is generally we're the face of the mortgage company to the customer. We talk to the customers for various reasons. We pay taxes, insurance, collect the payments, apply the payments. We do collections and foreclosure if necessary.
Q. Does that involve -- what does that involve,
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if anything, when a borrower is behind on their payments?
A. We would send letters to the borrower trying to collect the debt, any sort of repayment or loss mitigation plans, and foreclosure if it doesn't work out.
Q. Does Bank of America service loans that it does not own?
A. Yes.
Q. And are you familiar with the loan we're talking about in this case today?
A. I am.
Q. How did you become familiar with that?
A. Being assigned to appear at trial, I also -I prepared, reviewed our servicing records and our imaging system to review the history of the loan.
Q. So you looked at AS400 with respect to the 133 McClaren loan?
A. I did.
Q. And when was the last time you looked at AS400 for this loan?
A. Today.
Q. Are you confident that the information reflected on the AS400 is accurate?
A. Yes.
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Q. And in your experience, is AS400 a reliable system?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Is it important that the data inputted in AS400 be accurate to Bank of America's business?
A. Absolutely.
Q. What measures does Bank of America undertake to ensure the accuracy of that information?
A. Well, it first starts off with the training of employees. I mean, that's part of everybody's daily job duty is to input information into AS400 and to ensure that the information is correct. There's various QA or quality assurances in place to ensure the information is correct.
Q. I'm going to look quickly at the deed of trust, which is in Exhibit 1. It should be volume I of the binders in front of you.
A. Okay.
Q. Have you seen this deed of trust before?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Who is the lender identified in this deed of trust?
A. The lender on page 2 is Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.
Q. I just wanted to ask you to quickly let the
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Court know what's the relationship between Countrywide Home Loans and Bank of America?
A. The two merged about 10 -- 9, 10 years ago.
Q. Now I'd like to turn and look at Exhibit 15.
A. Okay.
Q. Have you seen this before?
A. I have.
Q. Can you tell me what Exhibit 15 is?
A. Exhibit 15 are various screenshots from our AS400 servicing system.
Q. When was the last time you looked at these screens?
A. This particular screen series, maybe Friday. MR. SECHRIST: Your Honor, at this time, I'd like to move to admit the AS400 screenshots into evidence.

THE COURT: 15?
MR. SECHRIST: Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Bohn?
MR. BOHN: No objection.
THE COURT: 15 is admitted.
(Exhibit 15 was admitted into evidence.)
BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. Okay. In looking at Exhibit 15 -- well, harkening back to Exhibit 1, the deed of trust, does
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BANA still own or Bank of America still own this loan?
A. No.
Q. In looking at Exhibit 15, can you tell me who does own the loan?
A. Yes. The ownership of the loan transferred to Fannie Mae back in December of 2004.
Q. Can you please describe for the Court -- I see that you're referencing Exhibit 15. Can you please describe for the Court the line and information in Exhibit 15 that tells you that.
A. Well, it is on the second page. The screenshot is entitled Loan Transfer Displace Screen. And in kind of the middle of the page there is a series of numbers starting 2001512, which, if you turn to the third page, it corresponds to the investor number being a Fannie Mae. And that transaction date back on the second page was 12/8/04.
Q. And after Bank of America sold the loan to Fannie Mae, did Bank of America have any relationship with respect to this loan?
A. We were servicing the loan for Fannie Mae.
Q. And how do we know that?
A. Based on our AS400 servicing records.
Q. And when did Bank of America begin servicing the loan?

Kimberly A. Farkas, RPR, CRR
(702) 671-3633 • realtimetrialslv@gmail.com
A. Immediately after the loan originated.
Q. Does it still service the loan?
A. Well, let me clarify. First it was serviced by Countrywide, and then at the time of the merger, became Bank of America. And, no, Bank of America no longer services the loan.
Q. When did Bank of America stop servicing the loan?
A. It was November 2011.
Q. And how do you know that?
A. Again, based on my review of the business records and the copy of the good-bye -- what we refer to as the good-bye letter in the imaging system.
Q. So during the time that Bank of America serviced the loan, which was pretty well from origination through November of 2011; right --
A. Right.
Q. -- did anyone other than Fannie Mae own the loan?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you please describe who else owned the loan?
A. Just at the very beginning, right after the loan originated, the loan was owned by -- again, prior to being sold to Fannie Mae, it was owned by
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Bank of America or maybe a Countrywide entity. But it was just only for a couple weeks.
Q. So from 2004 to 2011, who owned the loan?
A. Fannie Mae.
Q. And Fannie Mae owned the loan when

Bank of America servicing stopped; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. If you look at Exhibit 6, please.
A. Okay.
Q. It says Corporate Assignment of Deed of

Trust. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Have you seen this before?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me what this is?
A. This is an assignment assigning the loan from

MERS as nominee for Countrywide Home Loans to Green Tree Servicing.
Q. And you see the date of recordation on this is May 28th, 2013; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Who owned the loan immediately prior to this assignment?
A. Well, we weren't servicing it at the time, but when we released servicing in 2011, it was
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Fannie Mae, who was servicing the loan maybe prior to the assignment.
Q. Bank of America was not servicing prior to these. Servicing had transferred in November 2011; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And in practical business terms, this assignment from MERS on behalf of Countrywide to the new -- to the assignee, which is identified as Green Tree Servicing; right --
A. Right.
Q. -- in practical business terms, how did this assignment affect ownership of the loan?

MR. BOHN: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

THE COURT: I'll let her testify to her understanding.

THE WITNESS: It didn't affect the ownership.
BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. When Bank of America was servicing, what did it do with the money the borrower paid on the loan that it received?
A. The monthly payments?
Q. Yes.
A. They were applied to the loan, and a portion
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would be forwarded over to the investor or Fannie Mae.
Q. Are you familiar with the FHFA? Do you know what the FHFA is?
A. Yes, I'm familiar.
Q. While you reviewed the records for this loan, did you see any indication that FHFA had been requested to give consent to the HOA's extinguishment of the deed of trust?
A. No.
Q. If that had happened, would you have expected to see that within the files?
A. Yes.
Q. But there was no indication that consent was either requested or given; right?
A. Right.

MR. SECHRIST: One second, Your Honor.
BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. In the 2011-2013 time frame, did

Bank of America have a practice and procedure for responding to notice that a Nevada HOA had asserted a lien on a property for which Bank of America was serving or owned a loan -- servicing or owned a loan?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that practice and policy?
A. It was our practice that whenever we received
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either the notice of default or the notice of sale, we would hire local counsel, who would then reach out to the HOA for the super priority portion so that we could cut a check to pay that portion.
Q. And in your review of the records for this case, did you see anything indicating that Bank of America had retained counsel to do that?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. I'd like you to look at Exhibit 20, please.
A. I'm sorry. 20?
Q. 2-0. Yes, 20. Should be in binder I.
A. Yes.
Q. Have you seen this document before?
A. I have.
Q. Can you tell me what it is, please?
A. This is a Bank of America document. It is an internal request to have funds processed and sent to a certain location.
Q. Okay. And where does this indicate that the wire -- wired funds were to go?
A. The wired funds were to go to Miles Bauer Bergstrom and Winters, LLC.
Q. And where did you see this document, by the way?
A. In our imaging system.
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Q. And when did you last see it there?
A. Either Friday or this morning.

MR. SECHRIST: At this time, Your Honor, I'd like to move to admit Exhibit 20.

THE COURT: Mr. Bohn?
MR. BOHN: No objection.
THE COURT: 20 will be admitted.
(Exhibit 20 was admitted into evidence.)
BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. This indicates a payment; correct?
A. Well, yes, it indicates that internally we requested funds to be remitted to counsel's trust account for the HOA delinquency.
Q. And how do you know that it was for the HOA delinquency?
A. Well, the reason for payment, right there in the middle of the page.
Q. Okay. And would that payment for an HOA delinquency in December of 2011 have been consistent with the policies and procedures of Bank of America for responding to notice of HOA liens in Nevada in that time frame?
A. Yes.
Q. If we look at Exhibit 21, please. This is a series of pages. Do you know what these are, what
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we're looking at here?
A. It is a copy of the loan payment history for the property.
Q. And I'd like to -- where have you seen this before?
A. I've seen it on the AS400 and in imaging.
Q. When did you last review this document?
A. Last week.

MR. SECHRIST: And, Your Honor, I'd like to move to admit Exhibit 21, please.

MR. BOHN: Objection. There's nothing to it. Everything is blanked out.

MR. SECHRIST: We can look at page 218.
BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. Do you see on page 218, a number of entries on the bottom of the page?
A. I do.
Q. Can you tell me what those entries signify?
A. They are the cutting of the check to cure the HOA delinquency.
Q. Okay. And if we reference -- what's the amount shown on page 218?
A. $\$ 276.75$.
Q. And if we reference Exhibit 20, is that the same amount shown for the amount of the payment in

Exhibit 20?
A. Yes. And the pay date is the same on 20 and on 21.
Q. And what is the fee description on page 218 of Exhibit 21?
A. HOA special assessment.
Q. And what does that mean to you?
A. The HOA dues that were delinquent.

MR. SECHRIST: Your Honor, I'd like to renew the request to admit Exhibit 21.

THE COURT: How come all these pages are blocked out?

THE WITNESS: It shows the mortgage payments made by the homeowner.

THE COURT: They're secret? I usually see them. I just don't know why the big mystery here.

MS. MORGAN: It's not a huge mystery. Out of an abundance of caution, unless we have a protective order in place, we redact the information related to the specific homeowner because we don't want to be found in violation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. In this case there was a protective order so that would explain why we -- it's nothing scandalous. We can print out an unredacted version tomorrow to bring to the Court if you'd like to withhold ruling on the
admission until then.
THE COURT: How about $I$ just admit it for purposes of what it shows on page 218.

MR. SECHRIST: That's certainly acceptable.
MR. BOHN: I was going to make that
qualification. I have no objection to that.
THE COURT: That's fine.
(Exhibit 21 was admitted into evidence with qualifications as noted above.)

MR. BOHN: Thank you.
MR. SECHRIST: I pass the witness.
THE COURT: Mr. Bohn?
MR. BOHN: Thank you, Your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DIANE DELONEY
BY MR. BOHN:
Q. Ms. Deloney, did I get that right?
A. Yes.
Q. My name is Michael Bohn. I'm the attorney for the plaintiff in this matter.

Turning to Exhibit 15, it consists of three pages; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I didn't understand your testimony when you were explaining on the documents how you determined
when the loan was sold to Fannie Mae. What document shows that?
A. Well, the second page of Exhibit 15 --
Q. That would be Bates 148?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Just briefly, this shows the -- you have to look at the investor codes, which is the middle column. So when the investor code changes, that's when the ownership changes. So the very last field or the very last code change is 2001512, that investor number. And when you turn to page 3, that investor number pulls up the investor name of Fannie Mae.
Q. So that's the bottom entry, 2001512 on 12/1/04?
A. Well, you have to look at -- that's the paid-to date of 12/1/04. You have to look at the date above that, the 12/8/04. I know it's a little confusing, but the top in the bold green, it says TRNDTE/PTD, transaction date and paid-to date. So the top, you kind of have to look at two rows at a time.

Again, on 12/8/04 was the transaction date.
12/1/04 was the paid-to date on the loan. On that day,
12/8/04, the investor number changed from 43 to
2001512. Did that help?
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Q. Confuses me more, but you're saying that's what you look at to determine the date of the transfer? A. Yes.
Q. Okay. On page 149 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- it shows investor name, FNMASCH. What are those initials? Do you see where I am?
A. Yes.
Q. What does $\mathrm{SCH} / \mathrm{SCHMBS}$ ARM mean?
A. SCH stands for scheduled. It's a type of accounting for Fannie Mae.
Q. And it says "Title in the name of" halfway down. Above that it says, "Foreclosure in the name of Bank of America."

Did Bank of America ever foreclose on this property?
A. No.
Q. Why does it say "Foreclosure in the name of?"
A. This screen is specific to let foreclosure know that if -- if foreclosure begins, that we're foreclosing on behalf of Bank of America, but then title will revert to Fannie Mae. It's just -- the information is just stored in the system for reference.
Q. So I was going to ask the next one. The "Title in the name of Fannie Mae" would mean that if a
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foreclosure happened, it would be titled in the name of Fannie Mae?
A. We'd foreclose on behalf of Bank of America, but then the Sheriff's deed, for example, would be to Fannie Mae.
Q. Do you know if this loan, while

Bank of America was servicing it, was ever -- if
foreclosure proceedings had begun on the trust deed?
A. Not that I recall, no.
Q. You keep saying that Fannie Mae owns the loan. When you say that, can you explain what does Fannie Mae own?

MR. SECHRIST: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

THE COURT: Overruled. Just asking her to explain what she's already said.

THE WITNESS: Well, Fannie Mae, being the owner, we service the loan on behalf of their guidelines.

BY MR. BOHN:
Q. Okay. What is it that they own?
A. They own the loan.
Q. When you say "the loan," the loan consists of what?
A. The principal balance, the interest.
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Q. They own the money coming in from the loan?
A. Well, the money that comes in on the loan is forwarded to Fannie Mae.
Q. Okay. Let me cut to the chase. The loan is evidenced by a promissory note secured by a deed of trust; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the form Deed of Trust, Exhibit 1?
A. What do you mean?
Q. Exhibit 1, it's the deed of trust you testified to earlier.
A. Okay. I'm sorry. The question?
Q. You're familiar with this particular form of deed of trust?
A. I've seen many deeds of trust. This is one of the versions, yes.
Q. And does this deed of trust anywhere disclose -- use the term "owner" anywhere?
A. No.
Q. Exhibit 6 you testified to --
A. Yes.
Q. -- was assigned -- this was recorded May 28th, 2013. It doesn't mention Fannie Mae anywhere on this document, does it?
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A. With the exception of the code along the bottom, no.
Q. Okay. In fact, it says it's being assigned to Green Tree Servicing, LLC; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it says that MERS was acting -- MERS as nominee for Countrywide Home Loans; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you know why the deed of trust was assigned to Green Tree in 2013?
A. Well, typically, they're assigned to the servicer.
Q. Your Exhibit 15, does it indicate here when Bank of America stopped servicing the loan?
A. No.
Q. In your review of the file, did you find any documents that were recorded stating that Fannie Mae was the beneficiary of the deed of trust?
A. No.
Q. And, in fact, the deed of trust says that MERS, as nominee for Countrywide, is the beneficiary; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And Exhibit 6 notes that Green Tree is being assigned the deed of trust; correct?
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A. Yes.

MR. BOHN: Thank you. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Any more?
MR. SECHRIST: Just a few follow-up.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF DIANE DELONEY
BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. Ms. Deloney, looking at Exhibit 1, the deed of trust, the specific language that MERS was acting as nominee for lender, it also says lender's successors and assigns; correct?
A. Where exactly are you looking?
Q. That would be on paragraph $E$ on page 2.
A. Yes.
Q. And one thing I wanted to address, just for point of clarification, if we turn to Exhibit 15, you have testified that Bank of America stopped servicing in November of 2011; right?
A. Right.
Q. What's the run date on Exhibit 15?
A. This was the screenshot was done March 14 th, 2016. And just to clarify, this is also the second page of a prior screen. The prior screen does have the service release information on it.
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Q. Why would this be dated -- why would Bank of America have these records in its file after servicing had ended?
A. We keep the servicing records for a certain amount of time.

MR. SECHRIST: That's all I have.
THE COURT: Mr. Bohn?
MR. BOHN: Nothing further, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Appreciate
your time.
(Witness excused.)
THE COURT: Let's go ahead and take a break
before we call the next witness up.
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
THE COURT: We ready? One more witness.
MR. SECHRIST: Defendant calls Christy
Christensen.
THE COURT: Good afternoon, ma'am. Come all the way up on the witness stand. Raise your right hand to be sworn.
(Witness sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated and state and spell your name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Christy Christensen,
$C-H-R-I-S-T-Y, \quad C-H-R-I-S-T-E-N-S-E-N$.
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## DIRECT EXAMINATION OF CHRISTY CHRISTENSEN

## BY MR. SECHRIST:

Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Christensen. I represent the bank, the defendant. Can you please introduce yourself to the Court and tell us where you're employed.
A. My name is Christy Christensen. I'm a corporate representative for Ditech Financial, LLC.
Q. How many years have you been with Ditech?
A. 19 years.
Q. How long have you been in your current position?
A. Three years.
Q. Can you describe your job duties.
A. I appear on behalf of Ditech in trials, small claims, depositions. I also attend settlement conferences and mediations.
Q. And have you testified previously for -- just one quick point of clarification for the Court. Can you describe for me the relationship between Ditech and Green Tree Servicing?
A. Ditech and Green Tree, we changed our name in 2015.
Q. Was there any other change other than just a name change?
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A. No.
Q. How many times have you testified for Ditech in Nevada HOA lien foreclosure litigation in depos and trials?
A. Anywhere from 20 to 25 times.
Q. In preparation for the fulfillment of those assignments, have you had access to Ditech's business records?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you describe for me the type of business records to which you have access?
A. I have access to our servicing system, our system of record, and our imaging system, which is also online based.
Q. What's the system of record?
A. Our GTA and MSP systems.
Q. What sort of information is contained in
there?
A. The loan information, borrower information, property information, payment history.
Q. Would it identify the owner of the loan?
A. Yes.
Q. You're aware that we're here talking about a loan that relates to 133 McClaren; right?
A. Correct.
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Q. And did you review Ditech's files with respect to that loan?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Can you tell us generally what you reviewed with respect to that loan to prepare for your testimony today?
A. I reviewed our system of record. I also reviewed documents within our imaging system, the collateral documents, any notices and correspondence sent or received from the borrower.
Q. Did you review any recorded documents?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Can you describe for me what Ditech does as a loan servicer?
A. We are the face of the company for the borrower on behalf of the owner. We talk to the customer regarding their payments, their loan, any questions that they have. We also pay property taxes and insurance, property preservation, foreclose if necessary, and appear in defense in litigation.
Q. As part of those services, does it ever become necessary for Ditech to become the beneficiary of record under a deed of trust?
A. Yes, in the event of a foreclosure.
Q. Is Ditech a bank?
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A. No.
Q. Does Ditech service loans that it does not own?
A. Yes.
Q. And when was the last time you looked at Ditech's records concerning this loan?
A. Last night.
Q. And in your experience with the system of record and the data management tools that you identified, are you confident that the information in Ditech's databases is correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that it's accurate?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it important to Ditech's business that information be accurate?
A. Yes.
Q. I'd like to look at Exhibit 43, please.

That's going to be in binder IV.
A. Binder IV.
Q. Volume IV. Probably behind you on the counter.

MR. BOHN: Which exhibit number?
MR. SECHRIST: It will be 43.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
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## BY MR. SECHRIST:

Q. This is entitled Notice of Assignment, Sale or Transfer of Servicing Rights. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And have you seen this document
before?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Can you tell me what it is?
A. This is a copy of a letter that was sent to the borrower in October of 2011, notifying them of the servicing transfer from the previous servicer to Green Tree Servicing.
Q. And this letter is from Green Tree/Ditech; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And they sent it to Charles White?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And it's your understanding

Charles White was the borrower?
A. Yes.
Q. When was this letter sent?
A. October 21st, 2011.
Q. And directing your attention to the first paragraph underneath -- that starts, "You are hereby notified."
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Do you see that paragraph?
A. Yes.
Q. When was Green Tree -- when did Green Tree Servicing become effective?
A. November 1st, 2011.
Q. And who was the servicer -- if I can direct your attention to the fourth paragraph, who was the servicer immediately prior to Green Tree?
A. Bank of America.
Q. And what's the purpose of this letter?
A. To notify the borrower of the servicing change and who to contact if they have questions regarding their mortgage and where to send payments to.
Q. Does Green Tree still service the loan?
A. Yes.
Q. Who owned this loan when Green Tree began servicing it?
A. Fannie Mae.
Q. Who owns it now?
A. Fannie Mae.
Q. During the entirety of the time -- during the entire duration of Ditech's servicing of this loan, has anyone other than Fannie Mae owned it?
A. No.
Q. How do you know that this loan is owned by
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Fannie Mae?
A. In my review of the records and our servicing system, I show that Fannie Mae is the owner of the loan.
Q. Let's look at Exhibit 6, which is going to be in volume I.

MR. BOHN: Are you moving to admit 43?
MR. SECHRIST: At this time, Your Honor, I'd like to move to admit Exhibit 43.

MR. BOHN: No objection.
THE COURT: 43 will be admitted.
(Exhibit 43 was admitted into evidence.)
MR. SECHRIST: We're going to look at Exhibit 6.

BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 6 as an assignment of the deed of trust to Ditech?
A. To Green Tree, yes.
Q. I'm sorry. I shouldn't use those interchangeably.

And when did you see this before?
A. In my preparation for this trial a week or so ago.
Q. And this has already been admitted.

This is dated 5/28/13; right?
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A. Correct.
Q. And who owned the loan immediately prior to the execution of this assignment?
A. Fannie Mae.
Q. And by virtue of this assignment, did Green Tree understand that it acquired an ownership interest in the loan?
A. No.
Q. And what did Green Tree, when it was servicing -- as it serviced the loan, what did Green Tree do with any money the borrower paid on the loan after this assignment?
A. The payments were applied to the loan, and then a portion would be forwarded to Fannie Mae.
Q. Would that have been the same prior to the assignment?
A. Yes.
Q. I'd like to look at Exhibit 44. So we're going to jump back to volume IV.

Do you recognize Exhibit 44?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me what it is?
A. This is a copy of the note.
Q. Okay. And if we look pretty close to the top of the first page, you see 133 McClaren Street. You
understand that this is a copy of the note that pertains to the loan we've been discussing in this litigation?
A. Correct.
Q. Where did you see this copy prior to today?
A. In my imaging system.
Q. Okay. And do you know where the actual
physical copy of the note is located?
A. With our document custodian.
Q. And is that pursuant to a custodial agreement?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Do you recognize this as a true and correct copy of the note?
A. Yes.

MR. SECHRIST: At this time, I'd like to move to admit Exhibit 44, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Bohn?
MR. BOHN: No objection.
THE COURT: 44 will be admitted.
(Exhibit 44 was admitted into evidence.)

## BY MR. SECHRIST:

Q. Looking at Exhibit 44, does the note identify who the lender is?
A. Sorry. Yes. Countrywide Home Loans,

Incorporated.
Q. And does it identify the interest rate?
A. Yes, on no. 2.
Q. Okay. Paragraph 2 on the first page?
A. Correct.
Q. And does it also indicate the maturity date
for the note?
A. Yes.
Q. When is that?
A. December 1st, 2034.
Q. And did the borrower pay off this note?
A. No.
Q. Let's look at the last page of the note. Do you see that it has a stamp on the left side that says "Pay to the order of?" Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me what that is?
A. This is a blank endorsement from the prior servicer.
Q. What does that mean, a blank endorsement?
A. Means that anyone in possession of the note has the power.
Q. And why would that be done?
A. The endorsement is required by Fannie Mae's servicing guide.
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Q. And this note, who owned the note when Ditech began servicing?
A. Fannie Mae.
Q. Who owns it now?
A. Fannie Mae.
Q. Are you familiar with the FHFA?
A. Yes.
Q. And in your review of the file, of Green Tree's records, did you see any indication that FHFA had been requested to give or gave consent to the HOA's extinguishment of Fannie Mae's deed of trust?
A. No.
Q. If that had happened, would you expect to see it in the file?
A. Yes, I would.
Q. But there was no indication that it occurred; right?
A. No.
Q. Did anyone assert an ownership interest in the loan?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware that there was a second deed of trust on this property?
A. Yes.
Q. And did Ditech ever service with respect to
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the second deed of trust?
A. Yes, we did service it for a time.
Q. Does Ditech service that now?
A. No, we do not.

MR. SECHRIST: I'll pass the witness.
THE COURT: Cross?

## CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CHRISTY CHRISTENSEN

BY MR. BOHN:
Q. Good afternoon. I feel like I know you.

You've testified on a trial $I$ was on before; isn't that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. I'm Michael Bohn. I'm the attorney for plaintiff Saticoy Bay in this matter.

You testified that Fannie Mae is the owner of the loan, and you also testified that you looked at the servicing records maintained by Ditech; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. What records are those? I mean, where are those records?
A. It was a -- our servicing system?
Q. Yes.
A. MSP. It was within one of our screens that I
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viewed that information.
Q. So you looked at computer screens?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And you reviewed a copy of the note before you testified today?
A. Correct.
Q. And you said it's held by a document custodian?
A. Correct.
Q. Have you seen the original of the note?
A. Only a copy within our imaging system.
Q. How do you know where the original is?
A. Again, from our servicing system, it notified me that the document custodian is Bank of New York in Texas, and that's the location of the original collateral documents.
Q. But you didn't go to that location to visibly see that the document was there; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, the promissory note you just testified to, that identifies a borrower and a lender; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Does it identify any owner?
A. No.
Q. You're familiar with the various forms of the
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deeds of trust. Did you review the deed of trust to prepare for the trial in this case?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Exhibit No. 1, is there any person or entity in the deed of trust identified as the owner?
A. No.
Q. You testified that the servicing was transferred November of 2011; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. But the assignment wasn't made to Green Tree until May of 2013; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And will you tell the court why there was almost a two-year delay in the assignment?
A. The assignment was recorded in conjunction with default and the referral to our foreclosure department.
Q. Did Ditech ever initiate foreclosure on this property under the deed of trust?
A. I know that it was referred to our foreclosure department. I don't recall if notice of default was recorded or not.

MR. BOHN: I have no further questions.
THE COURT: Any more?
MR. SECHRIST: One moment, please. No
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further questions, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. I always have
questions for witnesses like you. Sorry.
You talked about this note, which is marked
as Exhibit 44. This was endorsed in blank by
Countrywide Home Loans; right?
THE WITNESS: Correct.
THE COURT: Which makes it bearer paper, so whoever bears it has the right to enforce the note. You said it's held by your custodian. Who is the custodian?

THE WITNESS: With this Bank of New York in
Texas?
THE COURT: My understanding from many of these other cases is there a tri-party custodial agreement between Ditech or Green Tree, Fannie Mae, and the custodian?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
THE COURT: Have you ever seen that?
THE WITNESS: No.
THE COURT: Do you know who has that
custodial agreement or if anyone has ever seen it?
THE WITNESS: It would be an agreement that is initiated by Ditech/Green Tree and the custodian. But Fannie Mae requires it through their servicing
guide to set up that relationship. I don't know if the guide would be -- excuse me -- the agreement would be held by Ditech or the custodian. I'm not sure, but it should be able to be obtained.

THE COURT: If there was such an agreement that showed that Fannie Mae had an interest in this loan, it would be helpful for us to have that to show that Fannie Mae has an interest in the loan, but I haven't ever seen that.

THE WITNESS: Understood.
THE COURT: Is there a servicing agreement with Fannie Mae other than the online servicing guide?

THE WITNESS: Specific to this loan, I have not seen a servicing agreement. I know there are servicing agreements, but $I$ have not seen it specific to this loan.

THE COURT: When you say you have seen it, does that mean that they do exist with regard to some loans?

THE WITNESS: I have seen servicing agreements in regards to Fannie Mae loans in the past. I have not seen it to this specific loan.

THE COURT: Okay. The other thing I sometimes ask for is are you aware of any financial documents that show that when a payment has come in to
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Ditech or Green Tree, that a portion has been retained for the servicing part of the agreement and the remainder is sent to Fannie Mae? Do you have any documents that show that process?

THE WITNESS: I'm aware that there is a -our investor reporting handles all of the forwarding of the payments to Fannie Mae. I have not seen the reporting; however, I could possibly get it. That's something I'd have to ask for.

THE COURT: I don't know if it's in here. My question may prompt additional questions. If it's in there, we'll find out.

You had a welcome letter, I think it's marked as Exhibit 43, that says -- that was sent to the customer; correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: I have seen other welcome letters from other servicers that say, Welcome. We are now your servicer on behalf of Fannie Mae, who is the -- I don't know what they call them -- the owner or the something. I didn't see that in your welcome letter. Is there anything in your welcome letter that indicates that Fannie Mae has an interest in this loan?

THE WITNESS: No, there is not.
THE COURT: Other than the screenshots that
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you talked about, are you aware of any document that we've talked about that indicates Fannie Mae has an interest in the loan?

THE WITNESS: The only information in regards to Fannie Mae that $I$ saw in my preparation was reference to the Fannie Mae and the MERS MIN number on the bottom of the assignment, which is Exhibit 6.

THE COURT: Okay. That's not real clear what that means.

THE WITNESS: Other than the MIN numbers matching up.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
Does that raise any additional questions for the defense?

MS. MORGAN: Court's indulgence, if you don't mind.

THE COURT: They're the same questions I always ask.

MS. MORGAN: It's been a while for me.
MR. SECHRIST: Just for clarification, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF CHRISTY CHRISTENSEN
BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. Can you please -- just because the Court had
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asked concerning the syntax of the welcome letter and specifically with respect to Fannie Mae and the identification of Fannie Mae's interest or the lack of that identification within that letter, can you please explain how the -- how Ditech's or Green Tree's records reflect Fannie Mae ownership?
A. It's referenced within both of our system of record and also our user-friendly servicing system in which our front line employees use to have conversations with the borrower and so forth. It's referenced in our screen as the owner of the loan.
Q. While the welcome letter might not indicate it, the documents that you reviewed prior to coming here today within the system indicate Fannie Mae's ownership?
A. Yes, our system of record, yes.
Q. And indicate Fannie Mae's ownership from the time Ditech began servicing continuously through today?
A. Correct.

MR. SECHRIST: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Let me ask one more. It may prompt another question from you.

I try to stay consistent. Assuming that
AS400 servicer, you have access to the original note; is that a fair assumption?
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THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: So you could get that original note from whoever the custodian is?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
THE COURT: The deed of trust shows
Green Tree as the entity with an interest in the deed of trust. What would prevent -- other than the guide, the online servicing guide, what would prevent Green Tree or Ditech from selling this loan to someone else? And I guess a follow-up is you could provide them with the original note that's signed over in blank?

THE WITNESS: Endorsed in blank, yes.
THE COURT: And the deed doesn't show anybody other than Green Tree as having an interest. So the question I have, is there anything other than the guide that would prevent you from selling that loan?

THE WITNESS: Not that $I$ know of. I don't know.

THE COURT: Okay. Does that raise any
additional questions?

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF CHRISTY CHRISTENSEN
BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. As you sit here today, being a seasoned and
experienced employee with Ditech and this not being the first time that you've seen these documents and this set of facts, do you have any doubt at all that Fannie Mae is the owner and Ditech considers Fannie Mae to be the owner of the note and deed of trust?

MR. BOHN: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion regarding ownership.

THE COURT: I'm going to let her testify what her understanding is.

THE WITNESS: Yes, we understand that Fannie Mae is the owner of the loan.

## BY MR. SECHRIST:

Q. Does Ditech feel that it currently has the right to sell the loan without Fannie Mae's advice and consent?
A. No.
Q. And that would also be true on 11/22/13,

November 22nd, 2013; is that correct?
A. Correct.

THE COURT: Any more, Mr. Bohn?

RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CHRISTY CHRISTENSEN
BY MR. BOHN:
Q. To clarify, you base your testimony regarding Fannie Mae's alleged ownership on your business
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records; correct?
A. Our system of record, yes.
Q. And that's computer screens; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you review any paper documents to prepare for court?
A. Only what's been produced here.
Q. Okay. You haven't seen a paper, a piece of paper, assigning or transferring the note or the deed of trust to Fannie Mae, have you?
A. No, I have not.

MR. BOHN: No further questions.
THE COURT: Any more?
MR. SECHRIST: Nothing further, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Christensen.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: I like the way you spell your name, by the way. I like the "E-N" and not the "O-N."

THE WITNESS: Thank my husband.
(Witness excused.)
THE COURT: Anyone else today?
MR. SECHRIST: We have no one else today.
THE COURT: That's fine. Let's plan on 10:30
tomorrow.
MS. MORGAN: I recognize $I$ need to make a
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decision about Ms. Moses sooner or later because the Blue Jeans feed, I don't know if it's active as of when court starts at 10:30.

THE COURT: Did you communicate with Tatyana with the information? We were anticipating that witness after -- probably the first witness after lunch. If you haven't communicated with Tatyana, she may already be gone for the day. Then you may be out of luck because it may not happen before tomorrow and it may be too late.

MS. MORGAN: That's what I was worried about.
THE COURT: You're welcome to go back and see if she's there and talk to her right now.

MR. BOHN: I just wanted to let the Court know I've got a number of motions scheduled for 8:30 in the morning. I have associates to cover some, but a couple I have to. I may or may not be here by 10:30.

THE COURT: We'll wait a few minutes for you. Off the record.
(Proceedings adjourned at 3:24 p.m.)
-০0○-

ATTEST: FULL, TRUE, AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS.
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| B | 86/ | 19 | 48 | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [49] 66/22 | begins [1] 96/20 <br> begun [1] 97/8 | $\begin{aligned} & 91 / 594 / 1294 / 19 \\ & 101 / 7110 / 18113 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | California [4] 43/2 <br> 43/21 55/4 55/7 | RS [1] 1/12 |
| 66/25 67/7 69/7 69/16 | begun [1] 97/8 <br> behalf [13] 6/8 | 101/7 110/18 113/14 | 43/21 55/4 55/7 | certified [1] 35/4 |
| 69/22 69/25 71/17 | 57/23 58/11 58/14 | nlawfirm.com [1] |  |  |
| 73/24 75/7 75/16 | 80/13 88/8 96/21 97/3 | $3 / 7$ | 8/20 | $0<1$ |
| 78/18 79/6 79/17 |  | boil [1] 78/16 | called [1] 60/7 | 107/12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 79/19 83/5 83/16 89/1 } \\ & 90 / 1191 / 791 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | 118/19 | bold [1] 95/19 | calls [6] 77/14 77/25 | changed [4] 55/20 |
| 93/20 95/4 97/1 | behind [3] 54/8 82/1 | borrower [20] 7/ | 88/14 97/13 101/16 | 56/8 95/24 102/22 |
| 100/14 101/1 101/20 | 105/21 | 7/14 7/18 7/20 31/3 | 122/6 | changes [2] 95/9 |
| 101/22 105/16 105/19 | being [14] 24/2 | 74/19 77/11 82/1 82/3 | came [5] 37/8 37/ | /10 |
| 105/24 108/5 108/11 | 31/14 34/21 57/2 | 88/21 103/19 104/10 | 47/16 48/5 72/16 | Chapter [2] 16/7 |
| 109/14 110/20 111/23 | 57/10 79/1 82/14 | 104/16 106/10 106/19 | can [48] $8 / 2$ |  |
| 116/23 117/2 117/2 | 85/15 86/25 97/17 | 107/11 109/11 111/11 | 11/12 11/18 13/14 | charges [3] 47/17 |
| 117/4 117/4 117/7 | 99/3 99/24 121/25 | 114/21 120/10 | 15/6 15/10 17/18 21/1 | 48/13 68/9 |
| 122/5 122/17 124/8 | 122/1 | both [2] 7/3 120/7 | 21/3 28/19 31/2 31/12 | Charles [3] 29/21 |
| 124/8 124/10 124/17 | belief [1] 40/10 | bottom [8] 22/24 | 36/1 48/5 50/20 51/12 | 106/16 106/19 |
| bearer [1] 116/8 | believe [23] 38/8 | 26/4 27/5 50/16 92/16 | 61/6 62/25 65/6 65/8 | chase [2] 1/11981 |
| bears [1] 116/9 | 40/9 40/13 40/15 46/1 | 95/14 99/2 119/7 | 70/7 80/5 81/4 81/19 | check [49] 7/7 37/21 |
| became [2] 59/2 | 47/1 47/20 47/25 | bought [2] 12/25 | 84/8 85/3 85/7 85/8 | 41/21 41/23 42/11 |
| 86/5 | 48/21 49/2 49/4 49/8 | 23/ | 86/21 87/15 90/15 | 42/24 42/25 43/6 |
| because [30] 6/23 | 51/14 51/22 53/11 | Bourland [4] 4/8 | 92/13 92/18 93/23 | 43/17 43/24 48/2 48/6 |
| 8/22 9/4 13/3 14/15 | 55/6 55/22 57/9 59/8 | 58/7 58/16 58/18 | 97/11 102/4 102/14 | 48/16 48/18 48/25 |
| 15/17 17/1 17/15 | 60/23 69/20 72/10 | Boyack [1] 3/17 | 102/19 103/10 104/4 | 49/3 49/6 49/10 49/24 |
| 17/18 21/16 23/5 | 76/10 | boyacklaw.com [1] | 104/13 106/8 107/6 | 50/2 51/3 51/5 51/6 |
| 23/20 23/21 28/4 41/7 | bell [1] 5 | 3/1 | 109/22 111/17 119/25 | 51/9 51/17 51/17 52 |
| 45/22 46/5 51/23 | BENCH [1] 1/19 | brains [1] 78/14 | 120/4 | 54/9 54/12 54/12 |
| 53/13 53/21 54/11 | beneath [1] 51/2 <br> beneficial [1] 2 | breach [1] 68/6 <br> break [3] 79/8 | can't [6] 14/20 | 54/18 54/20 54/21 |
| 56/5 56/23 62/1 70/6 | beneficial [1] | break [3] 79/87 | 19/12 27 | 55/11 55/13 |
| 70/22 93/20 119/25 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \mathbf{b} \epsilon \\ \mathbf{c} \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  | 55/20 56/3 56/23 |
| 124/1 124/9 | 99/18 99/21 104/22 <br> Bergstrom [4] 35/8 | breakdown [1] 4 <br> Bridge [1] 10/18 | cannot [1] 19/8 <br> canthony [1] 3/19 | 55/20 56/3 56/23 $56 / 2457 / 257 / 357$ |
| become [6] 37/19 $63 / 282 / 13104 / 22$ | $55 / 1055 / 1590 / 22$ | brief [3] 6/15 6/17 | capacity [1] 80/16 | 57/10 90/4 92/19 |
| 63/2 82/13 104/22 | best [2] 36/15 55/17 | 6/23 | career [1] 38/5 | checks [5] 43/3 |
|  | between [10] 7/16 | briefly [1] 95/7 | Carson [1] 22/2 | 46/23 52/1 53/25 55/4 |
| been [44] 8/21 9/8 | 16/6 36/21 53/16 | bring [1] 93/24 | case [26] 1/6 6/25 | choose [2] 15/18 |
| 10/7 12/1 17/7 17/22 | 61/18 69/6 80/22 84/1 | broker [3] 10/6 10/8 | 7/5 7/12 8/3 20/12 | 15/19 |
| 18/2 18/3 18/6 19/6 | 102/20 116/16 | 18 | 23/5 42/25 43/9 44/6 | chose [1] 16/14 |
| 21/23 24/16 24/24 | bid [9] 11/23 12/13 | brokerage [1] 10/15 | 49/7 49/13 55/9 | ris [1] 58/13 |
| 25/10 33/5 35/2 35/4 | 12/13 12/17 15/25 | business [14] 10/14 | 60/3 60/15 60/19 | Christensen [11] |
| 40/8 43/12 44/15 | 16/3 19/10 21/9 30/3 | 41/11 41/12 42/1 81/2 | 60/20 67/13 69/1 77/3 | 4/11 101/17 101/24 |
| 44/15 48/7 48/21 | bidding [4] 21/13 | 81/5 83/5 86/11 88/7 | 80/4 82/11 90/6 93/22 | 102/1 102/3 102/7 |
| 48/22 52/19 54/13 | 22/21 25/14 28/15 | 88/12 103/7 103/10 | 115/ | 3/8 119/23 121/23 |
| 59/1 59/5 59/7 65/9 | big [2] 69/14 | 105/15 122/2 | 37 | 122/22 123/15 |
| 72/13 80/9 80/15 89/6 | binder [7] 22/17 29/8 | buy [2] 23/9 23/11 | 43/1 116/15 | CHRISTOPHER [1] |
| 91/19 102/9 102/11 | 38/21 66/25 90/ | buyer [1] 12/23 | cashed [1] |  |
| 108/24 109/15 110/2 | 105/19 105/20 | Buyers [1] 14/20 | cashier's [9] 54/18 | Christy [9] 4/11 |
| 112/10 118/1 119/19 | binders [2] 60/21 | buying [2] 18/10 | /20 55/13 55/19 | 101/16 101/24 102/1 |
| 123/7 | 83/17 | 21/18 | 56/3 56/24 57/2 57/7 | 113/8 119/23 |
| before [26] 1/20 8/15 | bit [1] | bye [2] 86/12 86/13 |  | 121/23 122/22 |
| 10/10 17/25 22/3 32/8 | bl | bylaws [1] | caught [1] | Circle [2] 3/6 3/13 |
| 45/11 46/13 47/21 | $121 / 13$ | C | $\mathbf{C C}[9] 51 / 1963 / 17$ | 78/10 |
| 70/9 71/15 75/14 | blanked [1] 92/ |  | 63/18 63/20 63/21 | citing [1] 38/7 |
| 83/19 84/6 87/13 | Bliley [1] 93/21 | -N | 64/3 64/15 67/12 | ty [1] 22/2 |
| 90/13 92/5 101/13 | blocked [1] 93/ | C-H-R-I-S-T-Y [1] |  | [1] 102 |
| 106/6 108/21 113/11 | Blue [1] 124/2 |  | [1] | clarification [3] |
| 114/5 124/9 | board [7] 15/21 35/4 | calculate [5] | se [1] 55/2 | 100/17 102/19 119/20 |
| began [3] 107/16 | $\text { 66/23 71/1 71/5 } 7$ $71 / 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 / 1945 / 2045 \\ & 48 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $3 /$ | $86 / 3$ |
| 112/2 120/18 |  |  | certain [4] 13/1 | ARK [3] $1 / 2$ |
| begin [5] 8/15 31/16 32/5 59/12 85/24 beginning [2] 59/22 | BOHN [16] 3/5 3/5 <br> 6/8 33/7 45/7 50/5 | 66/9 <br> calculating [2] 48/7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22/11 90/18 101/4 } \\ & \text { certainly [2] } 43 / 11 \\ & 94 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLARK [3] } 1 / 212 / 5 \\ & \text { 13/16 [2] } \\ & \text { Class [2] } 48 / 2148 / 23 \end{aligned}$ |


| C | $60 / 1161 / 1961 / 2$ |  | 90/7 | D-E-L-O-N-E-Y [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| clear [3] 21/18 50/1 | 6 | converted [1] 52/ | counsel's [1] 91/12 |  |
| 119/8 | $65$ | convey [1] 29/23 | Count [1] 12/5 | $10$ |
| cleared [1] 51/17 |  |  |  |  |
| client [11] 36/3 47/7 | 77/13 77/23 81/21 | $\text { copy [18] } 9 / 2241 / 3$ | 83/23 84/1 86/4 87/1 | Darlene [3] 4/7 58/7 |
|  | 104/1 | 42/19 42/21 43/17 | 87/17 88/8 99/7 99/21 | 58/16 |
| 53/18 53/22 54/14 | compare [1] | 44/5 54/4 54/11 86/12 | 110/25 116/6 | data [3] 81/14 83/4 |
| clients [3] 28/6 35/13 | com | 92/2 106/9 109/23 | county [3] 1/2 13/16 | 105/9 |
| 35/16 | 68/17 | 110/14 114/4 114/ | couple [3] 6/23 87/2 |  |
| close [2] 37/14 109/24 | computer [2] 114/2 | corner [1] 61/13 | 124/17 | databases [1] 1 |
| closely [1] | 123/3 | corporate [4] 3/6 | course [2] 53/11 | date [18] 11/1 |
| co [2] 39/ | concern [2] | 27/10 87/10 102/8 | 71/20 | 39/25 40/15 40 |
| co-worker [1] |  | correct [90] 10 | court [22] 1/1 7/ | 42/22 46/13 85/16 |
| code [3] 95/9 |  | 11/11 12 | /14 14/16 14/ | /19 93/2 95/17 |
| code [3] 9ర/9 | 24/2 24/8 24/14 25/9 | 12/14 12/15 12/21 | 19/5 19/7 19/7 22/4 | 95/17 95/20 95/20 |
| codes [3] 22 | 47/13 | 16/9 18/8 18/17 20/2 | 27/13 80/6 84/1 85/7 | 95/22 95/23 96/2 |
| $95 / 8$ | concerning [3] 7/8 | 21/25 22/7 23/18 27/2 | 85/9 93/25 102/5 | 100/21 111/6 |
| collateral [2] | 105/6 120/1 | 28/2 30/2 31/11 31/21 | 102/19 115/13 119/25 | dated [4] 46/14 |
| $114 / 16$ | concerns [1] | 32/9 35/6 46/6 49/25 | 123/6 124/3 124/14 | 52/11 101/1 108/25 |
| collect [4] 62 | conclusion [3] 88/15 | 50/18 54/6 54/18 | Court's [2] 50/6 | dates [3] 9/20 50/1 |
| 81/23 82/4 | 97/ | 54/19 54/21 54/2 | 119/15 | 50/16 |
| collection [12] 60 | condition [1] | 54/25 55/1 59/19 60/2 | covenant [2] 28/2 | day [13] 1/19 33/10 |
| 60/13 61/19 61/21 | conditions [1] | 61/7 62/8 62/24 65/14 | 29/12 | 43/3 66/10 79/1 81/7 |
| 61/25 62/5 63/22 | conduct [1] 14/ | 70/1 70/15 71/8 72/15 | covenants [1] | 81/7 81/11 81/11 |
| 64/12 64/14 65/13 | conducted [1] 67/13 | 72/19 72/24 73/1 | cover [2] 51/25 | 81/13 81/16 95/23 |
| 66/21 78/3 | conferences [1] | 83/12 83/14 87/6 88/6 | 6 | 124/8 |
| collections [2] 66/2 | 102/17 | 91/10 94/22 98/6 99/4 | coworker [1] 36/2 | day-to-day [2] 81/7 |
| 81/24 | conferred [1] | 99/7 99/22 99/25 | Cross [8] 4/3 20/6 | 81/1 |
| column [1] 9 | confident [2] 82/23 | 100/12 103/25 105/11 | 20/8 50/8 94/15 113/6 | days [3] 12/9 66/12 |
| come [13] 8/8 | 105/10 | 106/15 106/17 109/ | 113/8 122/22 | 66/ |
| 16/13 33/13 33 | Confuses [1] | 110/4 110/13 111/5 | CROSS-EXAMINATIO | deal [2] 9/8 9/9 |
| 57/25 57/25 6 | confusing [1] 95/19 | 113/12 113/13 113/19 | N [4] 20/8 50/8 94/15 | dealing [1] 41/7 |
| 66/18 79/14 93/ | conjunction [1] | 113/20 114/3 114/6 | 113/8 | dealt [1] 35/17 |
| 101/18 117/25 | 115/15 | 114/9 114/18 114/ | CRR [1] 124/25 | debt |
| comes [2] 76/14 98/2 | consent [4] 89/3 | 114/21 114/22 115/8 | cure [1] 92/19 | December [9] 42/23 |
| coming [2] 98/1 | 89/13 112/10 | 115/9 115/11 | current [4] 14/1 | 49/23 51/5 65/16 |
| 120/13 | consider [5] 12/22 | 116/7 116/18 118/15 | 66/18 80/11 102/11 | 65/19 73/18 85/6 |
| common [2] 46/13 | 15/4 15/24 19/9 22/15 | 120/19 121/4 122/18 | currently [2] 59/3 | 91/19 111/10 |
| 54/10 | considers [1] | 123/1 123/3 |  | December 16th |
| communicate [5] | consisted [1] 46/24 <br> consistent [6] 40/17 | $123 / 4$ | Curt [1] 33/12 | 42/23 49/23 |
| 65/2 71/4 71/5 76/24 | consistent [6] 40/17 53/4 64/14 76/8 91/19 | correctly [10] 28/20 28/25 29/2 29/16 30/1 | custodial [3] 110/10 116/15 116/22 | December 1st [1] $111 / 10$ |
| $124 / 4$ | 120/23 | 31/4 46/16 47/10 67/9 | custodian [9] 110/ | December 22nd |
| $124 / 7$ | consists [2] 94/21 | 68/15 | 4/8 114/14 116/10 | 73/18 |
| communicat | 97 | correspondence [1] | 16/11 116/17 116/24 | December 28th [1] |
| 57/1 69/19 69/22 70/7 | consulted [1] 23/21 | 10 | 117/3 121/3 | 51/5 |
| 71/10 | contact [4] 19/16 | corresponds [1] | custom [6] 52/10 | December 2nd [1] |
| communications [1] | 46/3 46/3 107/12 | 85 | /22 53/4 53/13 | 65/19 |
| 77 | CO | Costs [4] 16/12 46 | 22 54/11 | dec |
| communities [1] | CO | 67 | customer [4] 14/ | decision [8] 16/1 |
| 15/22 | contained [7] 38/4 | could [21] 17 | 81/21 104/17 118/1 | 19/5 19/6 19/7 70/23 |
| commun | 39/23 42/9 45/24 | 27/16 30/10 30/1 | customers [1] 81/22 | 70/25 71/1 124/1 |
| 58/22 58/23 59/12 | 52/20 68/7 103/17 | 30/16 31/7 33/5 36/6 | cut [7] 51/5 51/11 | decisions [1] 14/ |
| 59/18 59/20 60/4 | context [2] 20/24 | 38/18 41/15 42/14 | 51/20 55/7 55/11 90/4 | deed [59] 7/21 7/22 |
| 60/12 61/18 63/3 64/8 | 21/5 | /2 46/25 48/13 | 98/4 | 7/23 7/25 11/14 22/19 |
| 66/6 70/14 71/19 | continue [1] 56/2 continuously [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61/11 66/23 79/13 } \\ & 90 / 3 \text { 118/8 121/2 } \end{aligned}$ | cutting [1] 92/19 <br> CWABS [1] $1 / 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 / 25 \text { 24/5 24/7 24/7 } \\ & 24 / 1325 / 1325 / 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| companies [2] 14/21 |  | 90/3 118/8 121/2 |  |  |
| /5 |  |  | D | $3$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 14 / 15 \quad 15 / 7 \quad 17 / 217 / 14 \\ & 17 / 1551 / 1658 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | contrary [1] 24/23 conversations [1] | $\begin{gathered} \text { counsel [6] } 8 / 16 \\ 45 / 1061 / 579 / 2490 / 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D-A-R-L-E-N-E [1] } \\ & 58 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 / 430 / 730 / 930 / 20 \\ & 46 / 1149 / 1549 / 21 \end{aligned}$ |


| D | details [1] 62/2 | disbursed [2] 74/5 | 99/17 104/8 104/9 | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| deed... [33] 67/17 | determine [2] 67/15 |  | $17 / 25$ | E-D-D-I-E [1] 8/14 |
| 68/12 83/15 83/19 | 96/2 <br> determined [1] | disbursement [13] <br> 72/23 73/6 73/25 74/1 | $\begin{aligned} & 118 / 4120 / 13122 / 2 \\ & 123 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | E-N [1] 123/18 |
| 83/22 84/25 87/10 | determined [1] $94 / 25$ | $74 / 1074 / 1774 / 25$ | does [49] 18/16 23/9 | each [2] 65/8 66/10 |
| 89/7 97/4 97/8 98/5 | determining [2] | $75 / 875 / 975 / 1775 / 18$ | 26/11 26/13 29/23 | earlier [8] 28/1 38/2 $38 / 1545 / 1847 / 22$ |
| 98/8 98/11 98/15 | $15 / 2519 / 10$ | $75 / 2476 / 3$ | 55/13 56/14 73/9 | 38/15 45/18 47/22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 98 / 18 \text { 99/9 99/18 } 99 / 25 \text { 100/9 } \\ & \text { 99/20 } \end{aligned}$ | developments | disbursements [1] | 73/16 74/18 75/1 75/9 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 / 1955 / 2398 / 12 \\ & \text { early [1] } 55 / 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 104/23 108/17 112/11 | 21/24 | 76/7 | 75/18 76/16 76/19 | ddie [6] $4 / 46$ |
| 112/22 113/1 115/1 | Diane [8] | dis | 76/21 81/17 81/25 | 10/1 10/4 20/8 |
| 115/5 115/19 121/5 | 79/21 79/21 80/1 |  | 81/ | Eddie Haddad [2] |
| 121/6 121/14 122/5 | did [98] 10/10 | disclosures [1] 8/23 |  | 8/7 10/4 |
| 123/9 | did [98] 10/ | disclosures [1] 8/23 discussing [1] 110/2 | 93/7 96/9 96/18 97/11 98/18 98/25 99/13 | educated [1] |
| deeds [2] 98/16 | $\begin{array}{lll} 11 / 21 & 11 / 23 & 13 / 6 \\ 18 / 10 & 20 / 13 & 22 / 14 \end{array}$ | Displace [1] $85 / 12$ | 108/18 $24104 / 13$ 104/21 | effect [1] 46/1 |
| de | 25/14 27/14 27/19 | dispositive [1] 6/24 | 105/2 105/2 107/14 | $38 / 19$ |
| 29/7 40/5 40/7 40/22 | 27/21 28/25 29/1 | distributed [1] 69/8 | 110/23 111/2 111/6 | efforts [1] 61/21 |
| 45/19 45/21 45/24 | 29/16 30/1 30/8 30/14 $31 / 4$ 31/5 36/7 37/18 | DISTRICT [3] 1/1 | $111 / 20 ~ 113 / 3 ~ 114 / 23 ~$ | eight [3] 36/21 55/24 |
| 46/1 90/1 115/16 | $31$ |  | 117/18 119/13 121/20 | 80/17 |
| 115/22 | $\begin{array}{ll} 37 / 22 & 39 / 14 \\ 44 / 21 & 44 / 23 \\ 44 / 25 \end{array}$ | 102/9 102/15 102/20 | doe | either [7] 15/18 18/2 |
| defeat [1] 68/8 | $45 / 145 / 1445 / 1946 / 4$ |  | $26 / 1127 / 1551 / 23$ | 31/7 34/6 89/14 90/1 |
| defendant [4] 1/15 | $46 / 1647 / 147 / 10$ | 104/22 104/25 105/2 | $82 / 5 \text { 98/24 121/14 }$ | 91/2 |
| 80/4 101/16 102/4 | 47/19 47/25 48/1 | 106/13 108/17 112/1 | doing [4] 50/11 | elapsed [1] 53/16 |
| $1$ | 48/15 48/25 49/5 49/8 | 112/25 113/3 113/18 | 50/12 50/12 55/19 | [5 |
| defense [2] | 49/15 49/18 50/2 50/3 | 115/18 116/16 116/24 | don't [52] 6/18 6/19 | 23/21 123/22 |
| 119/14 | 51/25 55/21 55/23 | 117/3 118/1 120/18 | 9/6 9/19 15/1 19/23 | email [9] 48/22 50/23 |
| defenses [1] 6/24 | 56/22 57/1 57/5 59/12 | 121/9 122/1 122/4 | 20/17 24/9 26/21 27/6 | 51/15 52/12 52/14 |
| definitely [3] 43/9 |  | 12 | $2 / 19$ | 52/16 65/3 70/10 |
| 48/23 57/18 |  |  | 49/7 49/8 51/14 51/22 | 70/12 |
| delay [1] 115/14 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 / 1382 / 1985 / 19 \\ & 85 / 2486 / 786 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | $104 / 1105 / 6105 / 11$ $105 / 15$ 107/22 12 | 49/7 49/8 51/14 51/22 <br> 51/22 53/11 53/20 | emailed [1] 52/24 |
| delinquency [5] |  | Ditech/Green Tr | $56 / 456 / 1557 / 23$ | EMF [1] 50/22 |
| 64/19 91/13 91/15 | 89/18 90/6 90/8 90/23 | [1] $116 / 24$ | $59 / 1462 / 162 / 263 / 23$ | employed [8] 34/2 |
| 91/19 92/20 |  | divide [1] 76/14 | $67 / 1568 / 169 / 1177 / 4$ | 35/7 36/17 58/19 |
| delinquent [5] $7 / 15$ $46 / 1463 / 269 / 293 / 8$ |  | divorce [1] 70/2 |  | 58/21 59/1 80/6 102/6 |
| 46/14 63/2 69/2 93/8 delivered [8] 41/23 | $\begin{aligned} & 96 / 1599 / 16104 / 1 \\ & 104 / 3104 / 11 \quad 104 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | do [141] | 78/18 79/7 79/9 93/16 | employee [1] 122/1 |
| delivered [8] 41/23 $42 / 2543 / 348 / 2049 / 3$ | 107/3 108/21 109/5 | document [47] 11/13 | $93 / 20 \quad 115 / 21 \quad 117 / 1$ | employees [2] 83/10 |
| $42 / 2543 / 3$ 48/20 49/3 $52 / 654 / 1355 / 8$ |  |  | 118/10 118/20 119/15 | 120/9 |
| 52/6 54/13 55/8 delivery [2] 36/11 | $111 / 11112 / 9112 / 19$ | $25 / 7 \text { 25/14 25/24 26/3 }$ | $121 / 18124 / 2$ | employment [3] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { delivery [2] } 36 / 11 \\ & 49 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $112 / 25 \quad 113 / 2 \quad 115 / 1$ | 26/6 26/8 31/6 31/7 | $\text { done [5] } 8 / 244 / 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 / 244 / 2246 / 22 \\ & \text { EMT [2] } 51 / 1552 / 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Deloney [10] 4/9 |  | $16 \text { 44/4 }$ | 0/22 | encumbrance [1] |
| 79/4 79/21 80/1 80/3 | $26 / 2327 / 833 / 345 / 4$ | 65/11 65/12 70/9 | down [6] 28/17 34/2 | 68/9 |
| 80/7 94/15 94/17 | 26/23 27/8 33/3 45/4 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 / 1165 / 1270 / 9 \\ & 71 / 1571 / 1772 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | down [6] 28/17 34/2 | encumbrances [2] |
| 100/7 100/9 | 59/22 65/4 67/21 | 73/5 73/24 74/8 74/16 | $96 / 13$ | 28/23 29/13 |
| deny [1] $71 / 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59 / 2265 / 467 / 21 \\ & 69 / 1376 / 2388 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | 73/5 73/24 74/8 74/16 <br> 74/24 75/5 75/13 | \|96/13 <br> draft [1] 55/17 | end [5] 7/24 43/9 |
| department [4] | 94/24 114/17 118/21 |  | drive [3] 13/18 20/13 | 66/13 66/14 75/22 |
| 14/14 43/21 115/17 | differences [1] 16/5 | $90 / 16 \text { 90/23 92/7 95/1 }$ | $21 / 6$ | ended [1] 101/3 |
| 115/21 | different [7] 41/10 | $\begin{array}{ll} 90 / 16 & 90 / 23 \\ 98 / 25 & 92 / 7 \\ 95 / 5 & 110 / 9 \end{array}$ | driving [1] 20/1 | endorsed [2] 116/ |
| depos [1] | 44/9 47/24 48/10 | $\begin{aligned} & 98 / 25 \quad 100 / 5110 / 9 \\ & 114 / 7114 / 14114 / 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { due [7] } 20 / 2321 /$ | $121 / 13$ |
| 80/14 80/22 102/16 | 66/25 69/4 73/23 | 119/1 | 21/12 22/6 25/6 66/11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { endorsement [3] } \\ & 111 / 18 \text { 111/20 111/24 } \end{aligned}$ |
| DEPT [1] 1/6 | difficulty [1] 16/22 diligence [5] 20/23 | documentation [5] <br> 20/20 45/10 47/14 | dues [2] 19/21 93/8 | enforce [1] 116/9 |
| describe [10] 29/25 | $21 / 2 \text { 21/12 22/6 25/6 }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 / 2045 / 10 \\ & 52 / 2464 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | duration [1] 107/22 | ensure [3] 83/8 |
| 81/4 81/19 85/7 85/9 | direct [9] 4/3 10/1 | documents [35] 8/24 | during [9] 36/13 37/8 | 83/12 83/13 |
| 86/21 102/14 102/20 | $11 / 1234 / 1938 / 25$ | $14 / 1121 / 821 / 922 / 8$ | $37 / 1738 / 238 / 546 / 22$ | entails [1] 81/19 |
| 103/10 104/13 | $58 / 16 \text { 80/1 102/1 }$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 14 / 11 & 21 / 8 & 21 / 9 \\ 22 / 10 & 22 / 11 & 22 / 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 / 1738 / 238 / 546 / 22 \\ & 86 / 14 \text { 107/1) 107/21 } \end{aligned}$ | enter [1] 44/18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { described [2] 47/22 } \\ & 64 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | 107/6 | 22/15 23/8 25/24 36/4 | duties [2] 80/11 | entered [1] 69/1 <br> entering [1] 44/9 |
| $\text { description [1] } 9$ | di | 62/17 | 102 | ntire [4] 25/18 |
| designated [2] 39/8 | di |  | duty [1] 83/1 | 43/10 63/11 107/22 |
| 44/12 | directly [3] 46/20 | 13 |  | tirety [4] 25/18 |
| destroy [1] 15/19 | 47/16 51/2 | 72/7 81/10 94/25 |  | 44/21 49/14 107/21 |


| E | 113 |
| :--- | :--- |
| entities [1] 7/2 | 1 |
| entitled [5] 30/24 | e |

113/8 119/23 121/23 122/22
examine [1] 32/2 example [2] 47/15 97/4
examples [1] 70/6 exception [1] 99/1 excuse [3] 38/12 53/2 117/2
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| $122 / 4122 / 4122 / 11$ | files [6] $37 / 152 / 23$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $122 / 14122 / 25123 / 10$ | $53 / 2157 / 589 / 11$ |
| Fannie Mae [77] 7/1 | $104 / 1$ |

23/4 23/6 23/8 23/8 final [1] 55/17 23/9 23/11 23/23 finalized [1] 55/16 23/23 23/25 24/1 24/5 financial [2] 102/8 24/6 24/13 24/19 117/24
24/20 24/22 25/7 26/1 find [8] 7/25 8/1 27/14 27/15 27/16 17/19 44/25 48/11 27/18 27/20 27/24 63/25 99/16 118/12 $85 / 685 / 1685 / 19 \quad$ finding [1] 48/7 85/21 86/18 86/25 Findlay [1] 35/1 87/4 87/5 88/1 89/1 fine [3] 67/23 94/7 95/1 95/13 96/11 123/23
96/22 96/25 97/2 97/5 finished [2] 69/16 97/10 97/12 97/17 78/21
98/3 98/24 99/17 firm [8] 35/1 35/8
107/18 107/20 107/23 36/19 36/20 36/21
108/1 108/3 109/4 53/3 55/25 77/7
109/14 112/3 112/5 first [42] 8/5 18/10
113/16 116/16 116/25 $18 / 13$ 25/22 29/19
117/6 117/8 117/12 $\quad 30 / 434 / 434 / 538 / 1$
117/21 118/3 118/7 39/6 40/7 45/4 46/9
118/19 118/23 119/2 $46 / 1146 / 23$ 47/19
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February 20th [1] 74/3
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fee [3] 66/13 66/16 93/4
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feel [3] 16/2 113/10
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fees [4] 46/24 66/7
67/6 72/1
few [3] 72/6 100/5 124/18
FHFA [6] $13 / 2$ 89/2
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field [1] 95/10
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48/19 48/21 48/23
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59/23 61/14 62/21
65/22 67/3 67/8 67/17
68/4 68/9 68/12 68/14
72/10 79/20 83/9 86/3
106/23 109/25 111/4
122/2 124/6
five [5] 7/15 7/18
12/9 13/12 43/2
Fix [1] 19/14
FKA [1] $1 / 9$
flipping [1] 46/7
fluid [1] $37 / 25$
FNMA [9] 26/5 26/9
26/25 27/5 27/6 27/9
27/14 27/16 27/20
FNMASCH [1] 96/6
focus [1] 46/9
focusing [1] 59/17
follow [7] 51/4 51/9
53/18 56/9 78/17
100/5 121/10
follow-up [6] 51/4
51/9 53/18 56/9 100/5 121/10
following [1] 21/23
foreclose [5] 62/6
67/18 96/15 97/3
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| F | 69/21 81/20 104/4 | /18 | 61/24 | 7/18 14/4 14/7 15/20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| foreclosure... [12] | generate [2] 39/1 | 55/2 87/17 88/9 95/19 | happen [3] 68/12 | /6 18/10 19/1 19/1 |
| 82/5 96/13 96/18 | 42/2 | 99/4 99/10 99/24 | 77/1 124/9 | /16 19/20 19/24 |
| 96/19 96/20 97/1 97/8 | get [30] $8 / 9$ 9/2 14/3 | 102/21 102/22 106/11 | happened [4] 77/2 | 8/4 31/11 31/15 32/5 |
| 103/3 104/24 115/16 | 14/13 15/21 17/1 17/2 | 106/13 107/3 107/3 | 89/10 97/1 112/13 | 32/20 35/17 35/20 |
| 115/18 115/21 | 17/6 17/7 17/10 17/16 | 107/8 107/14 107/16 | Harbor [2] 11/4 11/7 | $35 / 2235 / 22$ 36/5 37/1 |
| form [6] 23/23 50/17 | 18/7 18/13 29/4 33/1 | 108/18 109/6 109/9 | hardship [1] 70/2 | 37/8 37/9 37/16 38/13 |
| 57/6 73/23 98/8 98/14 | 33/22 35/21 53/14 | 109/11 112/9 115/10 | harkening [1] 84/25 | 38/18 39/8 39/8 4 |
| former [1] 36/24 | 54/2 58/2 65/5 66/3 | 116/16 116/24 118/1 | has [24] 6/24 9/8 | 41/23 46/2 46/11 |
| forms [1] 114/25 | 69/10 69/10 70/7 | 120/5 121/6 121/9 | 18/2 18/3 19/6 19/7 | 47/9 47/24 48/9 51/3 |
| forth [2] 21/17 | 78/16 79/16 94/17 | 121/15 | 20/21 24/16 45/10 | 52/21 57/23 57/25 |
| 120/10 | 118/8 121/2 | Green Tree [9] 109/6 | 55/3 59/5 107/22 | 58/11 58/14 58/25 |
| forward [2] 25/20 | getting [2] 16/22 | 109/9 109/11 115/10 | 108/24 111/14 111/22 | 59/4 59/7 59/9 59/13 |
| 72/18 | 75/22 | 116/16 118/1 121/6 | 116/9 116/21 116/22 | 59/20 59/25 61/20 |
|  | give [5] 15/6 17/14 | 121/9 121/15 | 117/8 117/25 118/1 | 61/23 62/4 62/9 62/15 |
| forwarded [3] 89/1 | 31/13 89/7 112/10 | Green Tree's [2] | 118/23 119/2 122/13 | 62/22 63/4 63/7 63/9 |
| forwarding [1] 118 | given [1] 89/14 | 112/9 120/5 | have [192] | 63/18 63/20 64/4 |
| found [1] 93/21 | glasses [1] 60/25 | Grievance [1] 30/25 | haven't [3] 117/9 | 64/18 64/22 65/1 |
| foundation [3] 45/11 | go [25] 6/14 6/20 | Group [4] 10/21 | 123/8 124/7 | 65/25 66/2 66/4 67/11 |
| 45/14 61/4 | 9/19 9/23 21/21 25/20 | 10/24 11/1 14/7 | having [1] 121/15 | 67/16 68/17 69/6 |
| four [3] 35/11 43/1 | 30/7 32/2 32/4 32/19 | GTA [1] 103/16 | he [3] 37/3 55/15 | 70/20 70/22 71/19 |
| 43/10 | 45/2 45/4 61/2 61/4 | GTS0132 [1] 40/13 | 55/18 | 71/20 71/23 72/3 72/5 |
| fourth [1] 107/7 | 64/17 65/10 70/5 70/7 | GTSO135 [1] 41/9 | he's [1] 67/22 | 1/773/2 73/7 73/14 |
| frame [5] 36/13 | 70/8 79/23 90/20 | guess [2] 78/7 | [ [2] 7/1 48/ | /20 74/5 74/13 |
| 40/17 59/16 89/18 | 90/21 101/12 114/17 | 121/10 | rd [1] 77/1 | $1875 / 175 / 10$ |
| 91/22 | 12 | guide [7] 111/25 | g [1] 33/10 | 75/19 75/24 76/3 |
| Freddie [2] 23/1 | goal [1] 39 | 117/1 117/2 117/12 | [3] | 76/24 80/19 89/20 |
| 23/19 | [4] 16/25 29/1 | 121/7 121/8 121/16 | 117/3 | /3 91/13 91/14 |
| free [2] 21/18 31/11 | 68/11 72/18 | guidelines [1] 97/19 | help [1] 95/25 | 1/18 91/21 92/3 |
| frequently [2] 39/18 | going [33] 6/15 7/24 | guys [2] 6/17 8/1 | helpful [1] 117/7 | 93/6 93/8 103/3 |
| 42/3 | 7 9/8 13/1 28/5 | H | hence [1] 71/19 | HOA's [10] 29/6 40 |
| Friday [2] 84/13 91/2 | 28/19 30/22 33/17 |  | Henderson [1] 3/6 | 41/12 45/19 46/19 |
| friendly [1] 120/8 | 38/22 46/7 46/8 53/19 | H-A-D-D-A-D [1] | her [8] 57/23 77/16 | 49/14 66/17 76/11 |
| front [6] 9/23 22/18 | 59/16 60/22 61/12 |  | 88/16 88/16 97/15 | 89/7 112/11 |
| 38/21 60/21 83/17 | 66/25 67/3 69/4 71/20 |  | 122/8 122/9 124 | s [1] 59/9 |
| 120/9 | 72/3 72/20 74/21 | had [37] 9/18 12/25 | HERA [1] 6/25 | 67/22 |
| FU [1] 56/13 |  | 23/23 | here [27] 6/23 9/23 | /13 |
| fulfill [1] 49/19 | 105/19 108/5 | 24/6 24/13 24/17 25/6 | 10 33/12 |  |
| fulfillment [1] 103/6 | 108/13 109/19 122/8 | 37/12 37/25 38/12 | 33/17 34/6 34/734 |  |
| full [2] 19/24 124/22 | gone [2] 70/2 124/8 | 38/17 39/22 46/3 46/5 | 34/21 42/22 53/7 53/8 | homeowner [18] |
| fully [1] 47/6 | good [16] 6/7 20/10 | 47/2 48/9 48/25 56/6 | 56/10 57/25 58/11 | 7/12 66/18 66/19 |
| functioning [1] | 20/11 33/10 38/18 | 57/10 77/7 81/1 88/4 | 70/6 79/1 92/1 93/16 | 68/25 69/5 69/7 70/ |
| 80/15 | 50/10 57/16 57/24 | 89/6 89/10 89/20 90/7 | 99/13 103/23 118/10 | 71/23 72/25 73/18 |
| fund [1] 53/21 | 58/18 78/25 80/3 | $97 / 8$ 101/3 103/7 | 120/14 121/25 123/7 | 74/2 74/11 75/2 75/ |
| funds [16] 50/24 | 86/12 86/13 101/18 | 112/10 112/13 117/6 | 124/17 | /25 76/4 93/14 |
| 51/25 53/6 53/9 53/12 | 102/3 113/10 | 118/13 119/25 | hereby [3] 29/23 | 20 |
| 53/14 53/15 53/18 | ood-bye [2] 86/12 | Haddad [10] 4/5 6/9 | 47/7 106/24 | meowner's [2] |
| 53/19 53/23 54/8 | 86/13 | 8/7 8/8 10/1 10/4 15/8 | herein [2] 67/7 68/7 | 62/13 73/13 |
| 54/14 90/17 90/20 | got [7] 9/22 12/24 | 20/8 27/19 33/9 | hidden [2] 16/13 | homes [1] 15/1 |
| 90/21 91/12 | 17/2 33/10 55/2 71/3 | hadn't [2] 54/13 | 17/18 | Honestly [1] 78/6 |
| further [11] 16/9 | 124/15 | 72/13 | hide [1] 16/1 | Honor [25] 6/7 6/22 |
| 20/4 45/5 56/16 100/2 | governing [5] 62/17 | half [4] 9/9 13/12 | highlight [1] 6/15 | 7/11 8/15 14/23 15/3 |
| 101/8 115/23 116/1 | 63/15 63/16 64/9 | 35/11 43/10 | Hillpoint [3] 59/9 | 20/4 33/8 50/6 57/15 |
| 121/23 123/12 123/14 | 64/13 <br> grab [1] 61/1 | halfway [1] <br> hall [1] 79/5 | 59/19 63/7 <br> Hillpointe [2] 29/2 | 58/10 61/7 84/14 |
| G | grabbed [1] 60/25 | hand [9] $8 / 1034 / 1$ | 41/8 | 94/13 101/8 108 |
| gave [2] 7 | Gramm [1] 93/21 | 36/11 41/23 52/6 58/3 | him [1] 9/7 | 110/17 116/1 119/21 |
|  | Gramm-Leach-Blil | 61/13 79/17 101/19 | hire [2] 60/13 90/2 | 120/20 123/14 |
| general [3] | [1] 93/21 | handle [1] | ng [1] 78/15 | ONORABLE [1] |
| 15/10 30/14 | grant [1] 29/23 | handled [1] 36/25 | ry [3] 82/16 | 1/20 |
| generally [10] 13/14 | Great [1] 10/18 | [1] | 2/2 103/20 | horrible [2] 15/13 |
| 15/13 36/1 36/10 | green [38] 1/8 6/10 <br> 6/13 25/23 26/2 27/11 | handling [5] 37/9 $37 / 1944 / 1144 / 12$ | hit [1] 45/11 HOA [106] 7/37 | $15 / 14$ |


| H | idea [2] 66/3 70/7 | 83/11 83/12 83/14 | Irrelevant [1] 67/19 | $57$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 85/9 93/19 96/23 |  | jury [1] 15/1 |
| housekeeping [1] | identification [2] | 100/25 103/17 103/19 | isn't [2] 26/20 113/11 | just [55] 6/15 7/11 |
| 9/17 | 120/3 120/4 | 103/19 103/20 105/10 | issue [6] 6/16 7/10 | 9/4 9/17 9/20 20/1 |
| how [68] 10/7 11/21 | identified [4] 83/21 | 105/16 114/1 119/4 | 37/20 53/24 57/6 | 25/5 29/2 36/1 39/9 |
| 12/1 12/7 12/16 13/18 | 88/9 105/10 115/5 | 124/5 | 67/20 | 40/18 41/6 42/22 45/5 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}13 / 23 & 14 / 13 \\ 14 / 17\end{array}$ | identifies [1] 114/21 | initial [2] 39/7 46/21 | issues [2] 6/21 8/16 | 45/5 45/13 45/14 |
| 15/25 16/19 21/20 | identify [4] 103/21 | initially [2] 38/1 | it [243] | 45/19 45/23 47/20 |
| 28/1 35/2 35/10 36/16 | 110/23 111/2 114/23 | 63/10 | it's [55] 6/19 8/20 | 50/1 52/10 54/10 56/8 |
| 37/6 41/5 48/5 48/19 | II [5] 1/20 28/12 | initials [2] 50/23 | 9/20 10/20 11/4 11/14 | 61/22 61/24 62/22 |
| 48/20 50/10 50/11 | 60/23 69/14 69/15 | 96/7 | 11/15 13/8 13/12 | 66/3 67/14 69/21 70/6 |
| 51/25 59/1 59/7 62/6 | image [1] 81/9 | initiate [2] 17/5 | 14/22 15/4 15/20 23/4 | 72/2 72/18 76/8 78/2 |
| 62/6 63/6 64/6 64/21 | imaging [8] 82/16 | 115/18 | 23/11 26/20 30/23 | 78/21 81/16 83/25 |
| 65/1 65/25 66/2 66/3 | 86/13 90/25 92/6 | initiated [1] 116/24 | 32/1 32/7 40/15 41/3 | 86/23 87/2 93/16 94/2 |
| 66/5 66/7 66/9 69/7 | 103/13 104/8 110/6 | input [1] 83/11 | 41/7 41/7 44/5 46/1 | 95/7 96/22 96/23 |
| 70/7 71/20 72/7 73/2 | 114/11 | inputted [2] 44/15 | 50/1 51/5 51/11 51/20 | 97/15 100/5 100/16 |
| 73/7 73/13 73/20 74/5 | immediately [7] | 83/4 | 55/2 61/11 62/22 | 100/23 102/18 102/24 |
| 74/13 80/9 80/15 | 16/21 17/8 19/18 86/1 | inquiring [1] 7/8 | 66/12 66/15 66/25 | 114/20 119/20 119/25 |
| 80/21 81/12 81/14 | 87/22 107/8 109/2 | ins [1] 78/18 | 69/14 74/17 79/21 | 124/14 |
| 82/13 85/22 86/10 | impair [1] 68/8 | instance [4] 38/ | 93/17 93/23 95/18 | K |
| 88/12 91/14 93/11 | 29/12 29/24 | instances [1] 80/25 | 99/3 105/13 106/18 | keep [3] 41/12 97/10 |
| 94/2 94/25 102/9 | important [3] 64/3 | instrument [7] 23/1 | $114 / 7 \text { 116/10 118/10 }$ | 101/4 |
| 102/11 103/2 107/25 $114 / 12120 / 5120 / 5$ | $83 / 4105 / 15$ | 23/20 24/2 24/6 25/8 | $118 / 11 \quad 118 / 13 \quad 119 / 19$ | keeping [1] 15/18 |
| 114/12 120/5 120/5 | improperly [1] 48/17 | 26/11 31/2 | 120/7 120/10 124/2 | kept [1] $71 / 24$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { however [3] 44/13 } \\ & 78 / 17118 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | improvements [1] | insurance [12] 16/16 | its [16] 28/13 37/19 | KIMBERLY [2] 2/3 |
|  | 20/20 | 16/20 16/23 17/1 17/6 | 39/8 41/12 43/21 | 124/25 |
| hundred [1] 27/22 | Inc [2] 29/22 83/24 | 17/7 17/11 17/22 18/7 | 45/25 46/3 47/6 61/21 | kind [6] 12/4 58/23 |
| hundreds [4] 12/11 | include [4] 17/20 | 18/14 81/23 104/19 | 64/14 67/12 68/18 | 67/23 70/8 85/ |
| 12/14 12/18 32/22 | 21/15 24/20 81/11 | intend [2] 49/18 | 71/24 76/24 77/21 | 95/21 |
| husband [1] 123/19 | included [2] 9/18 | 68/17 | 101/2 | know [77] 15/2 |
| I | including [2] 6 | 67/16 | IV [4] 105/19 105/20 | 27/6 27/8 27/14 27/21 |
| I'd [22] | 67/6 | intent [6] 49/9 49/11 | 105/21 109/19 | 28/8 32/2 36/20 37/23 |
| $17 / 518 / 1232 / 245 / 2$ | Incorporated [1] | 49/13 49/24 67/19 |  | 40/6 41/5 46/18 51/22 |
| 61/4 63/8 66/24 67/1 | 111/1 | 67/21 |  | 54/10 56/4 57/23 |
| 84/4 84/14 90/9 91/3 | incurred [1] 46/13 | interactions [1] | J-U-N-G [1] 34/16 | 60/15 60/19 61/23 |
| 92/4 92/9 93/9 105/18 | indicate [7] 52/8 | 37/18 | JANUARY [2] 1/21 | 62/1 62/2 62/6 62/6 |
| 108/8 109/18 110/16 | 90/19 99/13 111/6 | interchangeably [1] | 6/1 | 62/20 63/6 63/23 |
| 118/9 | 120/12 120/14 120/17 | 108/20 | JARED [2] 3/12 6/12 | 64/21 64/22 65/4 |
| I'II [13] 15/4 29/4 | indicates [5] 70/1 | interest [15] 7/23 | jared.sechrist [1] | 65/22 66/22 67/11 |
| 38/25 40/2 51/14 | 91/10 91/11 118/22 | 24/25 31/1 67/6 97/25 | 3/15 | 67/16 68/25 69/5 |
| 57/18 61/14 68/3 | 119/2 | 109/7 111/2 112/19 | Jeans [1] 124/2 | 69/18 70/17 70/20 |
| 68/22 77/16 78/5 | indicating [1] 90/6 | 117/6 117/8 118/23 | Jeremy [2] 55/10 | 71/11 77/2 77/4 77/10 |
| 88/16 113/5 | indication [5] 77/6 | 119/3 120/3 121/6 | 55/15 | 78/6 78/9 78/10 78/14 |
| I'm [45] 10/6 13/9 | 89/6 89/13 112/9 | 121/15 | JERRY [1] | 78/18 79/9 84/1 85/22 |
| 15/1 24/4 24/11 27/15 | 112/16 | internal [1] 90/17 | job [6] 59/3 59/5 | 86/10 89/2 91/14 |
| 28/19 29/7 30/17 | individually [3] | internally [1] 91/11 | 77/21 80/11 83/11 | 91/25 93/16 95/18 |
| 30/22 34/2 38/22 46/7 | 35/21 36/19 55/25 | introduce [2] 80/5 | 102/14 | 96/20 97/6 99/9 |
| 46/8 50/11 51/23 53/4 | indulgence [2] 50/6 | 102/4 | joint [22] 4/16 4/17 | 107/25 110/7 113/10 |
| 57/19 58/11 59/1 | 119/15 | introducing [1] 39/9 | 4/18 4/19 4/20 4/21 | 114/12 115/20 116/21 |
| 60/18 60/22 61/12 | industry [1] 14/18 | invalid [1] 68/8 | 4/22 4/23 4/24 4/25 | 117/1 117/14 118/10 |
| 62/19 66/3 67/3 69/9 | inferred [1] 47/1 | investor [10] 85/15 | 5/4 5/5 5/6 5/7 5/8 | 118/20 121/18 121/19 |
| 72/9 72/20 78/2 78/21 | inform [3] 77/10 | 89/1 95/8 95/9 95/11 | 5/9 5/10 5/11 5/12 | 124/2 124/15 |
| 78/21 80/7 83/15 89/4 | 77/12 77/23 | 95/12 95/13 95/24 | 9/15 9/19 9/22 | knowledge [5] 37/3 |
| 90/10 94/19 98/13 | information [41] | 96/6 118/6 | JPMORGAN [1] 1/11 | 39/22 42/8 54/7 57/6 |
| 102/7 108/19 113/14 | 13/8 14/3 35/22 36/6 | invoices [1] 20/20 | jump [1] 109/19 | known [2] 12/25 |
| 113/14 117/3 118/5 | 36/8 36/9 38/4 38/6 | involve [2] 81/25 | June [2] 59/2 75/1 | 17/12 |
| 122/8 | 38/7 38/15 38/17 | 81/25 | June 19th [1] 75/11 | knows [2] 77/15 |
| I've [12] 9/22 23/3 | 39/23 40/14 42/8 | involved [2] 37/13 | June 1st [1] 59/2 | 77/17 |
| 23/21 31/15 32/14 | 44/18 45/23 46/3 48/ | 37/15 | $4 / 634 / 5$ 34/21 | L |
| $\begin{aligned} & 43 / 153 / 756 / 477 / 1 \\ & 92 / 698 / 16 \quad 124 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 / 1062 / 1262 / 15 \\ & 64 / 2365 / 582 / 2383 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | involving [2] $38 / 18$ | $34 / 16$ 34/19 34/21 56/20 | labeled [1] 38/22 |

let [12] 59/8 66/21 70/17 70/19 83/25 86/3 88/16 96/19 98/4 120/21 122/8 124/14 let's [7] 17/6 33/25 64/17 101/12 108/5 111/13 123/23
letter [47] 21/3 39/3 39/5 39/6 39/6 39/7 39/7 39/13 39/15 39/18 39/23 39/25 40/8 40/18 41/6 41/22 41/22 42/2 42/6 42/9 42/12 43/6 46/8 46/21 47/19 48/19 48/24 49/3 49/19 49/23 54/9 54/12 54/13 54/15 61/22 78/19 86/13 106/9 106/13 106/21 107/10 118/13 118/21 118/22 120/1 120/4 120/12
letterhead [1] 47/15 letters [10] 39/15 55/17 55/24 56/2 56/4 56/5 56/6 56/7 82/3 118/17
letting [2] 71/10 71/19
license [1] 59/23 lien [24] 25/18 29/21 31/16 32/6 32/10 35/23 36/7 36/9 37/10 39/11 41/24 46/11 46/19 47/2 49/12 49/14 52/4 67/4 67/5 67/7 68/8 80/19 89/21 103/3
liens [7] 28/24 29/15 31/16 32/5 35/18 35/20 91/21
like [43] $13 / 3$ 14/23 16/2 28/6 28/6 43/17 45/2 47/21 51/19 58/10 61/4 61/24 62/5 63/14 63/15 65/12 65/17 65/24 66/24 67/1 69/11 70/2 70/3 71/18 71/22 72/6 72/18 84/4 84/15 90/9 91/4 92/4 92/9 93/9 93/25 105/18 108/9 109/18 110/16 113/10 116/3 123/17 123/18 likely [3] 20/14 23/11 65/22
limited [2] 28/23 29/13
limits [1] 23/10 line [3] 51/8 85/9 120/9
lines [2] 22/25 26/4 lis [3] $16 / 2517 / 2$

| /3 | look [35] 13/16 21/7 | 97/2 97/5 97/10 97/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| list [1] 42/24 | 22/19 24/15 24/18 | 97/17 98/3 98/24 |
| listed [6] 24/5 24/13 | 26/3 26/18 28/2 29/6 | 99/17 107/18 107/20 |
| 24/19 25/7 25/7 43/2 | 32/19 38/11 63/24 | 107/23 108/1 108/3 |
| Listing [2] 18/22 | 65/6 65/8 65/10 69/4 | 109/4 109/14 112/3 |
| 19/2 | 69/12 78/13 83/15 | 112/5 113/16 116/16 |
| literally [1] 12/10 | 84/4 87/8 90/9 91/24 | 116/25 117/6 117/8 |
| litigate [1] 16/12 | 92/13 95/8 95/16 | 117/12 117/21 118/3 |
| litigation [9] 16/12 | 95/17 95/21 96/2 | 118/7 118/19 118/23 |
| 18/7 18/25 80/12 | 105/18 108/5 108/13 | 119/2 119/5 119/6 |
| 80/19 81/1 103/3 | 109/18 109/24 111/13 | 120/2 120/6 122/4 |
| 104/20 110/3 | looked [15] 22/7 | 122/4 122/11 123/10 |
| little [3] 61/3 73/23 | 22/21 25/25 31/23 | Mae's [9] 7/2 7/23 |
| 95/18 | 41/6 43/6 45/18 47/22 | 111/24 112/11 120/3 |
| living [2] 10/5 34/23 | 76/7 82/17 82/20 | 120/14 120/17 122/14 |
| LLC [9] 1/4 1/9 10/22 | 84/11 105/5 113/17 | 122/25 |
| 10/24 11/8 11/10 | 114/2 | mail [2] 48/22 48/23 |
| 90/22 99/4 102/8 | looking [13] 30/22 | main [1] 35/17 |
| LLP [1] 3/13 | 36/6 40/8 45/20 47/12 | mainly [1] 9/20 |
| Ioan [90] 1/13 23/4 | 50/15 60/22 84/24 | maintain [3] 18/22 |
| 23/12 23/12 24/16 | 85/3 92/1 100/9 | 43/5 43/24 |
| 30/9 30/15 30/24 81/8 | 100/13 110/23 | maintained [1] |
| 81/11 81/17 81/20 | looks [9] 43/17 47/21 | 113/18 |
| 82/10 82/16 82/18 | 51/19 53/3 65/12 | Maintenance [2] |
| 82/21 85/1 85/4 85/5 | 65/17 65/24 71/18 | 29/23 59/9 |
| 85/12 85/18 85/20 | 71/22 | major [1] 44/14 |
| 85/21 85/25 86/1 86/2 | losing [1] 15/17 | majority [3] 37/14 |
| 86/6 86/8 86/15 86/19 | loss [1] 82/4 | 37/15 37/15 |
| 86/22 86/24 86/24 | lot [3] 24/17 67/8 | make [17] 19/23 |
| 87/3 87/5 87/16 87/22 | 68/10 | 19/23 25/5 25/19 |
| 88/1 88/13 88/21 | lower [2] 23/12 | 31/11 33/14 33/16 |
| 88/25 89/5 89/22 | 61/13 | 38/18 57/1 58/11 |
| 89/22 92/2 95/1 95/23 | luck [1] 124/9 | 69/23 69/24 71/1 |
| 97/6 97/11 97/18 | lunch [3] 33/13 33/15 | 72/20 77/8 94/5 |
| 97/22 97/23 97/23 | 124/7 | 123/25 |
| 98/1 98/2 98/4 99/14 | M | makes [3] 70/22 |
| 103/19 103/21 103/24 |  | 70/25 116/8 |
| 104/2 104/5 104/14 | ma'am [9] 26/12 | making [3] 14/18 |
| 104/17 105/6 107/14 | 27/11 57/24 58/9 | 53/20 78/22 |
| 107/16 107/22 107/25 | 78/25 79/15 79/23 | maliciously [1] 15/20 |
| 108/4 109/2 109/7 | 101/9 101/18 | management [23] |
| 109/10 109/12 109/13 | Mac[2] 23/1 23/19 | 10/25 44/6 51/16 |
| 110/2 112/20 113/17 | made [25] 7/14 8/23 | 58/22 58/24 58/25 |
| 117/7 117/8 117/13 | 20/21 28/21 29/11 | 59/20 60/13 64/3 |
| 117/16 117/22 118/23 | 32/12 32/14 51/14 | 64/22 64/24 65/1 |
| 119/3 120/11 121/9 | 51/22 53/12 68/9 69/5 | 70/17 70/19 71/4 |
| 121/17 122/11 122/14 | 72/25 73/10 73/17 | 71/10 76/24 77/11 |
| loans [15] 23/7 23/14 | 74/2 74/10 74/19 75/2 | 77/12 77/23 81/9 |
| 24/17 24/24 80/12 | 75/19 75/24 76/4 | 81/14 105/9 |
| 82/7 83/24 84/2 87/17 | 77/12 93/14 115/10 | Management's [1] |
| 99/7 105/2 110/25 | Mae [80] 7/1 23/1 | 60/4 |
| 116/6 117/19 117/21 | 23/4 23/6 23/8 23/8 | manager [8] 10/21 |
| local [1] 90/2 | 23/9 23/11 23/19 | 11/7 59/4 59/7 59/13 |
| locally [1] 55/5 | 23/23 23/23 23/25 | 59/18 59/21 59/24 |
| located [1] 110/8 | 24/1 24/5 24/6 24/13 | managing [2] 55/9 |
| location [3] 90/18 | 24/19 24/20 24/22 | 55/16 |
| 114/15 114/17 | 25/7 26/1 27/14 27/15 | many [9] $12 / 1636 / 16$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }}$ [1] 65/5 | 27/16 27/17 27/18 | 37/11 63/6 80/21 |
| long [11] 10/7 12/1 | 27/20 27/24 85/6 | 98/16 102/9 103/2 |
| 35/2 35/10 55/6 59/1 | 85/16 85/19 85/21 | 116/14 |
| 59/7 79/7 80/9 80/15 | 86/18 86/25 87/4 87/5 | March [3] 55/22 |
| 102/11 | 88/1 89/1 95/1 95/13 | 74/11 100/22 |
| longer [2] 17/17 86/6 | 96/11 96/22 96/25 | March 14th [1] |


| M |
| :--- |
| March 14th... [1] |
| $100 / 22$ |
| March 2014 [1] |
| $55 / 22$ |
| marked [4] $4 / 155 / 2$ |
|  |

102/17
MELANIE [2] 3/12
6/10
melanie.morgan [1] 3/15
MELLON [1] $1 / 9$
member [1] 18/19
members [1] $15 / 21$ memo [3] 8/24 9/19 9/23
mention [2] 24/22
98/24
merged [1] 84/3
merger [1] 86/4
MERS [12] 23/2 25/23 26/12 26/13
26/14 87/17 88/8 99/6 99/6 99/21 100/10 119/6
mess [1] $14 / 22$
MGMT [1] 51/15
MICHAEL [4] 3/5 6/7
94/19 113/14
mid [1] $18 / 15$
mid-last [1] 18/15 middle [3] 85/13 91/17 95/8
might [13] 16/13
35/23 36/9 39/11
41/25 48/22 49/12
53/14 53/18 56/7 56/8 78/1 120/12
Miles [45] 7/7 35/8
35/10 35/12 36/12
36/18 36/25 37/9
37/17 38/2 38/5 39/7
39/17 39/21 40/21
41/11 42/2 42/20 42/24 43/5 43/20 43/23 44/6 44/8 44/18 44/22 45/19 46/4 46/22 47/12 49/9 49/11 49/13 49/18 50/25 51/25 52/8 54/5 54/24 55/3 55/21 77/7 77/13 78/19 90/21 Miles Bauer [24] 42/2 42/20 42/24 43/5 43/20 43/23 44/6 44/8 44/18 44/22 45/19 46/4 46/22 47/12 49/18 50/25 51/25 52/8 54/5 55/21 77/7 77/13 78/19 90/21
Miles Bauer's [5] 49/9 49/11 49/13 54/24 55/3
million [2] 23/7
23/10
MIN [9] 26/13 26/15
26/18 26/19 26/20
26/21 26/23 119/6 119/10
mind [3] 53/5 61/1 119/16
mine [1] 36/24
minute [1] $7 / 11$ minutes [1] $124 / 18$ miss [1] 19/23
missed [1] $13 / 2$
missing [1] 72/11
mitigate [1] 19/20
mitigation [1] 82/5
moment [1] 115/25
MONDAY [2] $1 / 21$ 6/1
money [8] 15/18
52/8 74/5 77/22 88/21 98/1 98/2 109/11
monitor [2] 51/10
56/13
month [8] 66/4 66/10
66/13 69/24 71/11
71/12 76/15 76/18
monthly [1] 88/23
months [7] 16/14
19/21 19/22 46/12
46/24 66/12 76/18
months' [2] 48/12 76/20
more [19] 7/18 16/11 16/11 23/11 24/4 30/13 31/2 33/7 33/14 43/2 57/14 79/11 96/1 100/4 101/15 115/24 120/21 122/20 123/13
MORGAN [5] 3/12 6/10 34/17 56/18 57/21
morning [5] 6/7
20/10 20/11 91/2
124/16
mortgage [7] 23/22
67/8 68/5 68/9 81/21
93/13 107/13
Moses [1] 124/1
most [3] 20/14 34/7
60/22
motions [1] $124 / 15$
move [8] 14/24 21/22 45/3 84/15 91/4 92/10 108/9 110/16
moving [1] 108/7
Mr [2] 8/8 34/21
Mr. [19] 6/9 15/8
27/19 33/7 33/9 37/2
39/22 45/7 45/14 50/5 57/14 57/16 78/23 84/19 91/5 94/12
101/7 110/18 122/20
Mr. Bohn [11] 33/7
45/7 50/5 57/14 78/23
84/19 91/5 94/12
101/7 110/18 122/20
Mr. Eddie Haddad [1] 6/9

Mr. Haddad [3] 15/8 27/19 33/9
Mr. Jung [2] 45/14 57/16
Mr. Passwig [2] 37/2 39/22
Ms. [10] 34/17 56/18 57/21 58/18 80/3 94/17 100/9 102/3 123/15 124/1
Ms. Bourland [1] 58/18
Ms. Christensen [2] 102/3 123/15
Ms. Deloney [3] 80/3
94/17 100/9
Ms. Morgan [3] 34/17 56/18 57/21
Ms. Moses [1] 124/1
MSP [2] 103/16 113/25
much [17] 11/21
15/25 34/21 53/16 65/25 66/2 66/3 66/5 66/7 69/10 69/10 73/2 73/7 73/13 73/20 74/5 74/13
multiple [3] 18/22 19/1 43/3
must [3] 31/13 31/13
43/11
my [46] $8 / 59 / 8$
13/22 21/21 22/14
25/4 26/8 26/15 26/24 27/12 27/18 30/13 32/15 33/1 36/15 37/3 37/8 37/17 38/2 38/5 46/22 47/7 50/23 52/2 53/5 53/20 55/9 55/16 55/17 57/4 58/11 58/13 59/17 59/23 60/25 80/7 86/11 94/19 102/7 108/2 108/22 110/6 116/14 118/10 119/5 123/19
mystery [2] 93/16

## 93/17

## N

N.A [1] 1/11
name [22] 8/12 10/3 10/17 34/15 57/23 58/6 58/13 79/20 80/7 94/19 95/13 96/6 96/12 96/13 96/18 96/25 97/1 101/23 102/7 102/22 102/25 123/18
named [1] 77/7
names [1] 15/6
NAS [67] 32/21 37/4
37/6 37/13 37/15
$37 / 1837 / 2438 / 5$

38/14 41/3 41/9 41/24
42/23 43/18 45/25
46/3 46/18 47/14
47/16 47/19 48/9
48/16 48/20 48/25 49/3 49/5 50/2 52/19 56/22 57/1 57/6 60/7 60/10 60/13 61/21 61/24 62/5 62/9 62/12 62/22 63/9 63/11 63/18 63/20 63/21 64/12 64/18 64/19 65/2 65/15 65/23 69/6 70/13 70/16 70/16 71/4 71/11 71/18 71/23 72/3 76/22 77/7 77/10 77/21 77/22 78/1 78/15
NAS's [1] 28/12 Nationstar [1] 24/24 necessarily [1] 23/7 necessary [4] 6/19
81/24 104/20 104/22
need [4] 9/7 9/19
30/8 123/25
neighbors [1] 15/21
NEVADA [40] $1 / 2$
1/23 3/14 3/18 6/1
11/16 13/17 13/24
14/16 14/18 19/6 22/4
22/25 23/10 23/14
23/14 28/2 28/7 29/21
35/2 35/4 35/17 35/20
36/25 37/9 55/8 55/11
58/22 58/23 59/20
60/4 60/8 60/12 62/7
70/14 71/19 80/18
89/20 91/21 103/3
never [3] 48/18
55/20 57/8
new [5] 1/9 1/10
88/9 114/14 116/12
newer [1] 50/16
News [4] 13/17 13/24
28/2 28/7
next [5] 51/8 54/24
57/22 96/24 101/13
nice [1] 50/2
night [1] 105/7
nine [5] 46/12 46/23
48/11 76/18 76/19
no [95] $1 / 61 / 62 / 3$
6/19 8/22 8/23 9/5 13/11 14/5 14/9 17/9 17/17 20/4 23/13 24/19 25/3 26/1 26/12 27/23 27/25 29/5 30/16 30/23 32/1 32/18 33/5 39/1 40/25
41/15 43/14 45/8 45/16 45/22 48/18 49/4 49/4 49/7 49/17 49/22 53/5 53/8 53/11
$N$
no... [53] 54/6 55/25
56/16 56/25 57/4 57/8 57/12 59/6 66/14 70/24 71/9 77/9 77/18 78/24 84/20 85/2 86/5 86/5 89/9 89/13 91/6 94/6 96/17 97/9 98/20 99/2 99/15 99/19 100/2 103/1 105/1 107/24 108/10 109/8 110/19 111/3 111/12
112/12 112/16 112/18 112/21 113/4 114/24
115/4 115/6 115/23
115/25 116/20 118/24
122/16 123/11 123/12 123/22
No. [1] 11/13
NOD [2] 40/15 40/16 nominee [4] 87/17
99/7 99/21 100/11
non [1] 14/3
non-public [1] 14/3 none [1] 31/23
nonetheless [1] 27/2 nonresponsive [1]

## 14/25

not [83] 9/8 15/2
15/18 17/1 18/2 19/6
20/1 20/19 21/20 22/7
23/6 23/9 23/24 24/1
24/6 24/11 25/7 25/17 27/14 27/15 27/17
28/22 29/13 30/16
32/16 33/1 36/6 37/7
37/15 37/24 45/9
47/25 48/18 49/3
49/15 49/15 50/3
51/17 53/6 53/24 54/1
54/2 54/8 54/13 55/25
56/23 57/2 57/4 57/10
59/18 62/1 62/19 62/22 66/12 67/20 68/7 68/20 69/9 69/23 72/9 77/12 78/19 82/8 88/3 93/17 97/9 105/2 113/4 115/22 117/3 117/14 117/15 117/22 118/7 118/24 119/8 120/12 121/18 122/1 123/11 123/18 124/9 124/17
notations [1] 81/7 note [24] 30/25 31/1 98/5 109/23 110/1 110/8 110/14 110/23 111/7 111/11 111/13 111/21 112/1 112/1 114/4 114/10 114/20 116/4 116/9 120/24 121/3 121/11 122/5 123/9
noted [2] 47/23 94/9 notes [4] 1/13 23/10 81/7 99/24
nothing [6] 33/8 57/15 92/11 93/23 101/8 123/14 notice [24] 7/17 24/17 24/17 28/5 28/13 29/6 29/7 30/24 30/25 31/3 40/5 40/6 40/22 45/19 45/21 45/24 45/25 46/14 89/20 90/1 90/1 91/21 106/2 115/21
notices [7] 31/23 32/12 32/20 32/20 32/21 33/2 104/9 notified [2] 106/25 114/13
notify [1] 107/11
notifying [1] 106/10 November [10]
11/20 52/15 75/25 86/9 86/16 88/4
100/19 107/5 115/8 122/18
November 1st [1] 107/5
November 2011 [2]
86/9 88/4
November 22nd [2]
11/20 122/18
November 28th [1] 75/25
November 30th [1] 52/15
now [16] 17/18 24/20 38/14 55/2 62/9 64/17 68/3 76/8 79/13 84/4
107/19 112/4 113/3
114/20 118/18 124/13
NRS [7] 14/2 21/16
21/19 32/3 32/24 33/2 47/6
NRS 116 [6] $14 / 2$
21/16 21/19 32/3 32/24 33/2
nuisance [1] 48/14 number [24] 4/15 5/2 11/2 21/25 26/13 26/16 26/19 26/19
26/20 26/22 26/23
26/24 26/25 27/1
42/24 50/25 85/15
92/15 95/11 95/12
95/24 105/23 119/6 124/15
numbers [6] 23/15
23/16 61/13 61/14
85/14 119/10
NV [2] $2 / 33 / 6$

| $\mathbf{O}$ |
| :--- |
| o'clock [1] 33/20 |
| O-N [1] 123/18 |
| OOo [1] 124/21 |
| oath [1] 32/7 |
| object [1] 14/23 |
| objection [15] 24/9 |

97/21 98/4 98/8 98/13 99/3 99/9 105/25
106/5 109/24 110/7
111/4 114/4 117/23
119/8 119/12 121/20
123/8
oldest [3] 50/15
72/13 72/17
once [7] $8 / 837 / 15$
55/16 58/2 65/7 66/19 79/16
one [35] 6/24 9/7 15/24 18/14 20/1 24/4 25/24 31/2 33/18 35/13 37/7 40/11 43/1 48/25 59/9 60/22 61/14 62/23 70/5 70/7 70/22 72/6 76/2 79/6 79/10 89/16 96/24 98/16 100/16 101/15 102/19 113/25 115/25
120/21 123/22
ones [1] 32/20
online [3] 103/14
117/12 121/8
only [15] $9 / 7$ 13/12
22/7 22/11 23/13 24/6 25/8 25/17 26/15 26/24 43/1 87/2 114/11 119/4 123/7
open [1] 51/19
opening [3] 6/15
6/18 6/23
operation [1] 64/4
opinion [1] 22/4
opposed [1] 16/7
oral [1] 22/3
order [4] 36/2 93/19
93/22 111/15
original [6] 114/10
114/12 114/15 120/24
121/2 121/11
originated [2] 86/1
86/24
originating [1] 30/15
origination [2] 30/10
86/16
Orme [1] 3/17
other [29] 12/25 13/2 27/16 28/8 29/8 43/1 48/13 49/19 49/20
51/3 56/5 57/5 66/14
72/12 81/10 86/18 102/24 102/24 107/23 116/15 117/12 117/23 118/17 118/18 118/25 119/10 121/7 121/15 121/16
otherwise [1] 31/17
our [46] 8/20 8/22
8/24 9/18 17/20 17/20 24/19 34/5 36/3 39/10 52/2 53/2 57/22 57/25

70/13 80/25 81/6 81/8 82/15 82/15 84/9 85/23 89/25 90/25 102/22 103/12 103/12 103/13 103/16 104/7 104/8 108/2 110/9 113/23 113/25 114/11 114/13 115/16 115/20 118/6 120/7 120/8 120/9 120/11 120/16 123/2
out [23] $8 / 1$ 13/2 14/22 16/13 19/14 19/15 21/19 36/5 38/1 45/25 48/8 48/11
71/22 76/15 79/5 82/6 90/2 92/12 93/12 93/17 93/24 118/12 124/8
outs [1] 78/19 over [14] 9/19 10/9 12/3 45/4 45/6 49/15 65/13 65/15 66/20 70/5 70/7 74/21 89/1 121/11
Overruled [1] 97/15
owing [2] 64/20 66/2 own [16] 13/20 13/22 45/25 56/6 61/21 71/24 82/8 85/1 85/1 85/4 86/18 97/12 97/21 97/22 98/1 105/3
owned [13] 86/21
86/24 86/25 87/3 87/5 87/22 89/22 89/22 107/16 107/23 107/25 109/2 112/1
owner [18] 10/19
24/25 29/20 66/22
68/6 97/18 98/19
103/21 104/16 108/3
113/16 114/23 115/5
118/20 120/11 122/4
122/5 122/11
ownership [12] 7/2 85/5 88/13 88/18
95/10 109/6 112/19
120/6 120/15 120/17
122/7 122/25
owns [3] 97/10
107/19 112/4
$\mathbf{P}$
p.m [1] 124/20
page [48] 28/13
30/23 30/23 39/13 41/15 42/14 44/2 46/8 48/25 50/13 50/13 54/15 54/24 54/24 56/10 65/11 65/18 67/2 68/4 69/12 71/9 71/14 72/21 73/4

| P | $90$ | $70$ | \|pr |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| page... [24] 73/22 |  |  | preparing [1] 21/6 | proof [2] 47/8 47/13 |
| 74/7 74/15 75/13 | payment [43] 19/23 | please [35] 8/9 8/12 | presentation [1] | $\text { [1] } 13$ |
|  | 32/11 37/13 57/7 | 25/12 25/21 34/12 | 47/8 | properties [16] |
| $\begin{aligned} & 85 \\ & 92 \end{aligned}$ | 57/10 66/15 66/18 | 34/14 34/22 43/13 | presented [2] 66/23 | 10/18 11/2 12/1 12/16 |
| 92/22 93/4 94/3 95/3 | 66/22 69/1 69/24 | 58/5 58/5 72/21 73/9 | 76/23 | 12/25 13/1 13/3 15/23 |
| 95/12 96/4 100/14 | 70/16 70/23 71/7 | 73/16 74/7 74/15 | preservation [1 | 16/6 16/23 18/14 19/1 |
| 100/24 109/25 111/4 | 71/11 71/21 71/22 | 74/24 75/4 75/22 | 104/19 | 19/12 19/25 43/2 63/6 |
| 111/13 | 72/6 72/10 72/16 | 79/16 79/19 80/5 85/7 | president [1] | property [48] |
| pages [4] 45/4 91/25 | 72/25 73/10 73/13 | 85/8 86/21 87/8 90/9 | presumed [1] 21/ | 11/21 11/24 13/6 |
| 93/11 94/22 | 73/17 74/2 74/10 | 90/15 91/24 92/10 | pretrial [4] 8/23 8/24 | 13/10 13/18 15/11 |
| paid [9] $7 / 18$ 19/24 | 74/18 75/1 75/10 | 101/22 102/4 105/18 | 9/19 9/23 | 15/19 15/25 16/3 |
| 72/13 78/16 88/21 | 75/19 75/24 76/4 | 115/25 119/25 120/4 | pretty [3] 50/1 86 | 16/11 16/17 17/8 |
| 95/17 95/20 95/23 | 76/23 77/8 77/12 | point [11] 24/16 38/5 | 109/24 | 17/23 19/8 20/13 |
| 109/11 | 77/13 77/23 91/10 | 43/8 46/22 55/18 | prevent [3] 121/7 | 20/16 20/21 21/5 21/6 |
| paid-to [3] 95/17 | 91/16 91/18 92/2 | 63/21 63/23 78/8 | 121/8 121/17 | 1/7 21/10 21/18 |
| 95/20 95/23 | 92/25 103/20 117/ | 78/15 100/17 102/1 | previous [2] 29/20 | 2/20 23/6 25/14 |
| painless [1] 72/20 | payments [13] | policies [1] 9 | 106/ | 30/5 33/4 40/ |
| paper [4] 116/8 | 31/11 69/5 69/7 81/23 | policy [2] 37/2 | previously [3] 48/9 | //12 41/10 42/24 |
| 123/5 123/8 123/9 | $\begin{aligned} & 81 / 2382 / 288 / 23 \\ & 93 / 13104 / 17107 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | \| 89/24 <br> portal [2] 81/9 81/15 | \| 80/18 102/18 <br> primary [1] 33/4 | 3/1 47/18 47/24 <br> 8/10 51/1 63/2 67/18 |
| paragraph [10] | $\begin{aligned} & 93 / 13 \text { 104/17 107/13 } \\ & 109 / 13 \text { 118/7 } \end{aligned}$ | portal [2] 81/9 81/15 portfolio [3] 59/10 | primary [1] 33/4 principal [1] 97/2 | 88/10 51/1 63/2 67/18 <br> 9/21 92/3 96/16 |
| $107 / 1 \text { 107/7 111/4 }$ | 38/6 38/13 38/16 | portion [10] 46/10 | prior [19] 7/3 20/12 | 112/23 115/19 |
| paragraphs [1] 64/2 | 38/17 38/20 41/4 | 46/19 47/1 68/14 72/3 | 22/21 25/14 28/15 | oprietorship [1] |
| paralegal [1] 44/12 | 47 | 88/25 90/3 90/4 | 31/2 32/13 86/24 | 10/20 |
| Pardon [1] 62/11 |  |  |  |  |
| paren [1] 51/19 | payoffs [1] 37/24 | position [5] 8/20 | 109/2 109/15 110/5 | Protection [1] 68/5 |
| Parkway [1] 55/3 | pendens [3] 16/25 | 31/18 31/19 66/17 | 111/18 120/13 | protective [2] 93/18 |
| part [9] 22/6 25/6 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 17 / 317 / 4 \\ & \text { pending [1] } 27 \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { priority [30] } 7 / 87 / 19 \\ 25 / 1731 / 1631 / 20 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 / 22 \\ & \text { provide [8] } 38 / 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 48/13 56/12 59/25 | people [4] 15/7 | 69/9 | $31 / 2432 / 532 / 632 / 10$ | $62 / 1062 / 1262 / 16$ |
| 68/5 83/10 104/21 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { people [4] } 15 / 7 \\ 15 / 1717 / 1833 / 22 \end{array}$ | possession [3] 28/23 | $31 / 2432 / 532 / 632 / 10$ $32 / 1132 / 2335 / 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 / 1062 / 1262 / 16 \\ & 63 / 1163 / 1864 / 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| $118 / 2$ | $\text { per [4] } 45 / 2547 / 6$ | 29/13 111/21 | 36/7 36/8 37/13 38/19 | $121 / 10$ |
| parte [2] 51/10 56/13 <br> partial [12] 30/25 | 62/7 66/4 | possible [1] | 39/11 41/24 45/2 | provided [3] 65/23 |
| 69/5 69/7 72/5 73/17 | percent [4] 14/2 | possibly [2] 21/3 | 45/23 46/24 47/2 | 67/6 68/15 |
| 74/1 75/10 75/19 | 23/14 27/22 |  | 49/12 49/1 | oviding [3] 37/2 |
| 75/24 76/4 76/23 | perform [2] | potential [1] 16/12 | 52/4 52/17 53/1 67/4 |  |
| 77/12 | $\text { \| } 81 / 17$ <br> performing [1] 80/24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { power [4] } 24 / 18 \\ & 24 / 21 \quad 24 / 23 \\ & 111 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | ```\[ 90 / 3 \] probably [8] 22/1``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { provision [2] } 67 / 1 \\ & 68 / 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| participated [1] 80/25 | performing [1] 80/24 <br> period [1] 67/14 | practical [2] 88/7 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { probably [8] } 22 / 1 \\ 25 / 16 ~ 33 / 14 ~ 37 / 16 \end{array}\right.$ | provisions [1] 63/21 |
| particular [8] | person [3] 42/5 |  | 55/23 80/22 105/21 | PD [1] 95/20 |
| $62 / 1862 / 1862 / 23$ | 70/13 115/4 | practice [16] 39/17 | 124/6 | blic [2] 13/8 14/3 |
| 63/10 65/15 84/13 | personal [1] 54/7 | 40/20 41/12 42/1 43/4 | problem [1] 29/5 | blication [1] 28/1 |
| 98/14 | personally [2] 11/23 | 43/23 44/17 52/10 | ocedure [1] | [ [2] 28/4 28/ |
| parties [1] | 12/17 | 52/22 53/4 53/14 | procedures [2] 60/5 | 25/14 [1] [1] |
| parties' [1] 49/19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pertain [2] } 62 / 2 \\ & 63 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 / 2254 / 1189 / 19 \\ & 89 / 2489 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | 91/20 <br> Proceed [1] 8/5 | pulled [1] 31/6 pulls [1] 95/12 |
| partner [1] 55/10 | pertained [1] 63/11 | practices [2] 37/19 | proceeded [1] 67/18 | purchase [2] 21/6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { party [6] } 27 / 331 / 13 \\ & 31 / 1331 / 1732 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | pertaining [1] 47/17 | $60 / 4$ | proceedings [4] 1/18 | 33/3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 31 / 133 \\ & 116 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | pertains [1] 110/2 | preciseness | 97/8 124/20 124/23 | purchased [1] 17/23 |
| ss [3] | physical [3] 21/4 |  | process [1] 118/4 | purchasing [2] 12/1 |
| 113/5 | 21/4 110/8 | preliminary [1] | processed [1] 90/17 | 16/6 |
| Passwig [5] 36/23 | picked [1] 32/13 |  | oduced [1] 123/7 | purpose [2] 36/1 |
| 36/24 37/2 39/14 | piece [3] 14/24 40/14 |  | professional [1] 62/4 | 07/10 |
| 39/22 |  | 103/6 108/22 119/5 | ProLaw [5] 44/5 | urposes [1] |
| past [4] 38/13 38/17 | place [4] 28/5 54/14 | preparations [1] | 4/18 44/21 44/24 | ant [1] 110/10 |
| 38/20 117/21 | 83/13 93/19 | 20/18 | 50/13 | [3] 7/14 19/8 |
| pay [14] 11/21 19/22 | plaintiff [5] 1/6 3/3 <br> 34/2 94/20 113/15 | prepare [6] 13/15 <br> 13/18 21/5 104/5 | promissory [2] 98/5 | 76/18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 25 / 17 \text { 25/18 47/5 47/7 } \\ & 49 / 1472 / 1281 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | 34/2 94/20 113/15 <br> plan [7] 66/22 69/1 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 / 1821 / 5104 / 5 \\ & 115 / 2123 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 114 / 20 \\ \text { prompt [2] } 118 / 11 \end{array}$ |  |



| S |  |  | 10 | 9/9 12/24 14/2 17/3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| said [11] 23/20 23/25 | scheduled [2] 96/10 | send [8] 38/3 38/16 | 108/2 109/10 111/25 | 21/6 21/12 21/17 |
| 24/1 29/21 32/8 38/15 | 124/15 | 39/8 39/18 42/2 54/20 | 112/2 113/18 113/23 | 21/17 21/21 22/14 |
| $7 / 16$ | SCHMBS [1] 96/9 | 82/3 107/13 | 114/13 115/7 116/25 | 22/17 23/11 23/18 |
| 114/7 116/10 | scope [4] 35/15 | sending [4] 43/18 | 117/11 117/12 117/14 | 25/16 31/19 33/10 |
| sale [27] $7 / 47 / 18$ | 35/17 62/25 64/7 | 49/9 49/18 49/24 | 117/15 117/20 118/2 | 34/21 38/9 42/22 |
| 11/19 14/1 15/12 | screen [6] 84/13 | senior [2] 46/11 | 120/8 120/18 121/8 | 42/22 46/4 46/25 |
| 15/20 16/17 16/19 | 85/12 96/19 100/24 | 46/1 | serving [1] 89/2 | 47/20 47/24 48/1 |
| 16/25 17/4 17/7 17/8 | 100/24 120/11 | sense [2] 33/14 | set [2] 117/1 122/3 | 50/1 52/10 52/14 |
| 17/11 17/23 19/10 | screens [4] 84/12 |  | ttle [2] 8/4 18/6 | 52/22 53/3 53/5 54/7 |
| 19/17 20/12 25/15 | 113/25 114/2 123/3 | sensitive | settlement [1] | 54/12 59/16 59/17 |
| 25/19 28/5 28/18 | screenshot [4] 44/5 | sent [21] 7/7 43/ | 102/16 | 59/23 62/4 63/4 63/9 |
| 28/21 29/7 29/11 | 44/10 85/12 100/22 | 48/9 48/21 48/22 | SFR [2] 16/14 22/4 | 63/18 64/6 64/12 |
| 30/24 90/1 106/2 | screenshots [3] 84/9 | 48/23 51/3 52/1 52/14 | shall [2] 67/7 68/7 | 65/10 65/14 66/11 |
| sales [25] 12/2 12/4 | 84/15 118/25 | 54/9 54/21 55/4 55/19 | shape [2] 15/13 | 66/14 66/24 68/1 70/7 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 12 / 5 & 12 / 5 & 12 / 6 & 12 / 8\end{array}$ | seasoned [1] 121/ | 56/6 90/17 104/10 | 15/14 | 70/15 71/4 71/9 71/22 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}12 / 11 & 12 / 14 & 12 / 17\end{array}$ | seated [4] 34/14 | 106/9 106/16 106/21 | shared [2] 65/4 65/6 | 72/5 72/12 72/24 |
| 12/20 13/19 13/23 | 58/5 79/19 101/22 | 118/3 118/14 | she [5] 69/25 70/1 | 76/10 76/11 82/ |
| 16/6 16/7 16/23 16/24 | SECHRIST [2] 3/12 | sentence [10] 28/18 | 77/15 77/16 124/7 | 86/14 87/3 90/3 93/22 |
| 18/11 19/1 24/18 | 6/12 | 29/11 29/20 40/7 | she's [7] 69/23 69/23 | 95/9 95/10 95/14 |
| $31 / 1631 / 2031 / 20$ | second [17] 25/13 | 46/10 46/21 47/3 67/3 | 69/24 70/2 79/5 97/16 | 95/20 96/24 108/22 |
| 32/5 32/6 32/10 | 29/10 29/11 29/20 | 68/4 68/15 | 124/13 | 109/18 114/2 116/8 |
| same [22] 12/19 | 39/13 40/14 41/22 | separate [1] 53/12 | Sheriff's [1] 97 | 120/10 121/2 121/15 |
| 26/20 28/6 32/21 | 42/5 54/17 56/7 85/11 | September [4] 40/16 | shortly [1] 40/ | sold [10] 30/10 30/11 |
| 38/13 38/18 40/12 | 85/17 89/16 95/3 | 46/14 51/10 75/20 | should [11] 22/17 | 0/11 30/15 30/16 |
| 40/15 41/8 43/3 47/3 | 100/23 112/22 113/1 | September 15th [1] | 33/12 38/21 44/15 | 30/18 31/2 85/18 |
| 47/8 47/24 48/9 56/8 | seconds [1] 25/17 | 75/20 | 45/9 47/5 60/25 69/16 | 86/25 95/1 |
| 64/22 68/3 68/20 | secret [1] 93/15 | September 6th [1] | 83/16 90/11 117/4 | sole [1] 10/20 |
| 92/25 93/2 109/15 | section [4] 30/23 |  | uldn't [1] 108/ | some [19] 8/16 16/13 |
| 119/17 | 46/10 67/4 68/5 | Septem | how [6] 7/20 108/3 | 24/16 33/ |
| Santa [2] 55/4 55/4 | secured [4] 28/24 | 51/10 | 117/7 117/25 118/4 | /25 38/3 38/5 43/8 |
| SATICOY [9] 1/4 6/8 | 29/14 30/9 98/ | September 9th [1] | 12 | /22 47/14 55/8 |
| 6/9 11/7 11/10 14/6 | security [1] 31/1 | 40/16 | showed [2] 30/18 | 5/18 56/5 60/21 61/3 |
| 20/21 29/24 113/15 | see [47] $8 / 214 / 11$ | series [6] $1 / 51 / 14$ | 117/6 | 70/1 117/18 124/16 |
| satisfied [1] 19/24 | 22/24 25/25 26/13 | $084 / 1385$ | ing | how [1] 17/19 |
| satisfy [6] 28/24 | 26/10 26/13 26/15 | 91/2 | 52/2 | meone [2] 70/13 |
| 29/14 35/24 41/24 | 38/11 39/12 44/9 | service [11] 14 | shown [2] 921 |  |
| 49/11 52/3 | 48/12 51/5 51/6 52/10 | 18/23 82/7 86/2 97/1 | 92/25 | something [6] 27/1 |
| satisfying [1] | 53/19 57/16 57/18 | 100/25 105/2 107/14 | shows [6] 93/13 94/3 | 46/1 47/15 71/18 |
| save [1] 31/14 | 65/9 65/20 69/13 71/5 | 112/25 113/2 113/3 | 95/2 95/7 96/6 121/5 | 8/9 118/21 |
| saw [5] 23/19 48/2 | 77/6 85/8 87/11 87/19 | serviced [3] 86/3 | [1] | etime [1] 43/11 |
| 52/20 54/11 119/5 | 89/6 89/11 90/6 90/23 | 86/15 109/10 | sign [2] 39/14 53/2 | sometimes [1] |
| say [21] 12/10 12/24 | 91/1 92/15 93/15 96/7 | servicer [11] 24/25 | signed [4] 39/13 42/5 | 117/24 |
| 12/24 17/2 18/12 | 106/3 107/1 108/21 | 25/1 30/24 99/12 | 42/9 121/11 | [ [2] 16/19 57/18 |
| 26/11 26/13 27/15 | 109/25 110/5 111/14 | 104/14 106/11 107/6 | signify [1] 92/18 | sooner [1] |
| 27/22 28/17 32/22 | 111/15 112/9 112/13 | 107/8 111/19 118/19 | similar [3] 38/12 | sorry [8] 24/4 29/7 |
| 36/21 37/14 55/13 | 114/18 118/21 124/12 | 120/ | 45/14 55/24 | 60/18 90/10 98/13 |
| 56/3 63/8 96/18 97/11 | seeing [1] 69/11 | ervicers [2] 24/21 | since [6] 31/6 | 8/19 110/25 116/3 |
| 97/23 117/17 118/18 | seek [2] 9/9 35/2 | 18/18 | 35/5 59/2 60/5 77/2 | rt [3] 81/10 82/4 |
| saying [4] 53/12 | seen [33] 23/7 25/6 | services [5] 19/2 | [4] 22/ | /1 |
| 53/15 96/1 97/10 | 21 43/1 53/7 56/5 | 29/22 60/8 86/6 | 23 53/13 77/11 | und [2] 76/1 |
| says [26] 21/17 | 61/15 69/18 70/9 | 10 | sir [1] 34/8 |  |
| 22/25 26/5 26/9 26/12 | 71/15 72/6 75/13 | servicing [56] $1 / 8$ | sit [1] 121 | nds [1] |
| 27/5 27/10 27/23 | 83/19 84/6 87/13 | 6/11 7/2 81/7 81/11 | site [2] 65/4 65/ | eak [1] 66/20 |
| 29/20 47/4 51/3 51/15 | 90/13 92/4 92/6 98/16 | 81/17 81/20 82/15 | sitting [1] 34/2 | speaking [6] 21/23 |
| 56/13 65/19 68/4 | 106/5 114/10 116/19 | 84/10 85/21 85/23 | situation [1] 14/19 | 32/16 36/11 40/23 |
| 69/25 87/10 95/19 | 116/22 117/9 117/14 | 85/24 86/7 87/6 87/18 | six [6] 16/14 19/21 | 44/11 52/2 |
| 96/12 96/13 99/3 99/6 | 117/15 117/17 117/20 | 87/24 87/25 88/1 88/3 | 19/22 36/21 43/2 | 93/6 |
| 99/20 100/11 111/14 | 117/22 118/7 118/17 | 88/4 88/10 88/20 | 55/24 | specific [16] 13/5 |
| 118/14 | 122/2 123/8 | 89/22 97/7 99/4 99/14 | slip [2] 42/19 | /9 20/15 24/7 49/7 |
| scandalous [1] 93/23 | sell [4] 19/12 23/6 | 100/18 101/3 101/4 | slips [1] 43/5 | 59/13 63/21 64/2 |
| SCH [2] 96/9 96/10 | 23/23 122/14 | 102/21 103/12 106/3 | small [1] 102/15 | 64/17 64/18 93/20 |
| SCH/SCHMBS [1] | selling [2] 121/9 | 106/11 106/12 107/4 | so [78] 7/20 8/24 9/1 | 96/19 100/10 117/13 |


| S | 40 | systems [1] 103/16 | 56/16 56/17 57/16 | $47 / 148 / 1252 / 752 / 14$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| specific... [2] 117/15 |  | T | 58/9 78/25 79/2 79/23 | 53/10 53/14 |
| 117/22 | stipulation [2] 9/14 |  | 94/10 94/13 100/2 | 53/16 53/18 54/8 55/8 |
| specifically [5] 9/20 | 61 | take [8] 7/11 28/5 | 1/9 119/12 123/15 | 58/2 59/1 59/23 60/21 |
| sech | stood | 33/11 36/2 57/6 79/8 | 123/16 123/19 | 60/24 65/4 65/6 68/12 |
| 120/2 |  | 79/13 101/12 | Thanks [1] 79/1 | 69/6 79/16 85/13 |
| speculate [1] 77/18 | stopped [4] 38/5 | taken [2] 34/1 | that [454] | 89/13 91/1 91/16 |
| speculation [2] | 87/6 99/14 100/18 |  | that's [51] 12/15 | 93/22 102/24 103/18 |
| 77/14 77/25 | stored [1] 96/23 | taking [1] 16/2 | 13/18 15/8 20/2 20/22 | 112/16 112/22 114/18 |
| spell [6] $8 / 1234 / 15$ | Street [2] 50/25 | talk [4] 68/11 81/2 | 21/20 22/18 27/18 | 115/4 115/13 116/15 |
| 58/6 79/20 101/23 | 109 | 104/16 124/ | 27/18 30/12 30/17 | 117/5 117/11 117/14 |
| 123/17 | strike [1] 14 | talked [4] 28/1 116/4 | 30/18 32/7 32/8 33/6 | 118/5 118/12 118/22 |
| spend [1] $15 / 18$ | student [1] | 19/2 | 33/21 35/6 40/7 49/25 | 118/24 121/16 124/13 |
| split [1] $71 / 21$ |  | talking [3] 25 | 50/4 50/24 51/1 54/22 | there's [12] 8/16 |
| spoke [1] 15/7 | subordinate [1] 67/7 | 82/11 103/23 | 54/24 57/13 60/2 60/3 | 27/25 28/18 50/19 |
| spoken [1] 23/3 | subsequent [1] | Tara [2] 29/21 | 65/17 66/5 66/16 | 52/11 53/5 60/23 |
| stages [1] 38/2 | 72/16 | Tatyana [2] 124/4 | 67/23 75/5 78/22 | 65/18 66/13 70/6 |
| stamp [1] 111/14 | subseque | 124/7 | 79/14 83/10 94/4 94/7 | 83/12 92/11 |
| stamped [4] 40/13 |  | taxes [2] 81/22 | 95/9 95/14 95/16 96/1 | thereafter [1] 19/18 |
| 41/9 43/24 75/5 | substantively [1] | 104/18 | 101/6 105/19 114/15 | these [26] 6/23 12/7 |
| stand [6] 27/17 58/2 | 39/15 | tell [24] 11/18 13/ | 118/8 119/8 120/20 | 12/14 13/18 14/16 |
| 76/16 79/15 79/16 | SUCCESSOR [1] 1/10 | 15/10 17/14 17/15 | 121/11 123/3 123/23 | 19/9 24/23 36/25 37/9 |
| 101/19 | successors [1] | 21/1 28/19 34/22 | 124/11 | 41/2 41/18 52/7 55/17 |
| standard [2] 23/5 | 100/11 | 56/22 64/7 77/19 78/3 | their [20] 7/17 14/17 | 67/20 69/4 70/5 72/6 |
| 39/6 | such [3] 48/14 55/6 | 80/6 84/8 85/3 87/15 | 14/20 15/17 15/21 | 74/21 74/22 84/11 |
| standing [3] $8 / 9$ | 117/5 | 90/15 92/18 102/5 | 15/22 15/23 31/18 | 88/4 91/25 93/11 |
| 79/17 | sue [2] 17/15 17/16 | 104/4 106/8 109/22 | 46/24 47/1 56/6 78/3 | 101/2 116/15 122/2 |
| stands [6] 50/22 | suggested [1] 33/14 | 111/17 115/13 | 78/11 78/14 82/1 | they [79] 7/16 7/17 |
| 50/23 51/4 51/15 | suggestion [1] 33/16 | telling [3] 27/7 30/17 | 97/18 104/17 104/17 | 9/10 14/16 15/18 |
| 51/16 96/10 | sum [1] 47/7 | 33/ | 107/13 116/25 | 15/19 15/20 16/14 |
| start [5] 18/10 19/20 | super [27] 7/8 7/19 | te | them [24] 9/2 9/6 | 18/22 18/25 19/4 23/6 |
| 31/20 32/9 61/14 | 25/17 31/16 31/20 | 85/10 | 9/9 9/10 9/14 9/20 | 23/10 23/22 23/23 |
| started [1] 59/23 | 31/24 32/5 32/6 32/9 | template [1] 23/5 | 14/13 14/21 14/22 | 25/18 28/7 31/13 32/3 |
| starting [2] 48/24 | 32/10 32/22 35/23 | templated [2] 26/6 | 19/13 19/14 19/14 | 32/3 32/9 32/22 32/23 |
| 85/14 | 36/7 36/8 37/12 38/19 | 26/8 | 19/14 33/12 38/1 | 32/24 33/11 33/13 |
| starts [5] 28/18 46/8 | 39/10 41/24 45/20 | templates [1] 23/9 | 41/20 49/20 61/1 64/9 | 35/14 37/7 37/16 |
| 83/9 106/24 124/3 | 45/23 46/24 48/14 | tender [8] 7/5 7/12 | 78/4 93/16 106/10 | 37/25 38/3 38/3 38/16 |
| $\text { state [6] } 8 / 1224 / 23$ | 49/11 52/4 52/17 53/1 | 13/1 37/12 41/21 52/1 | 118/20 121/11 | 38/16 46/20 46/23 |
| 34/14 58/5 79/19 | 90/3 | 54/11 54/12 | themselves [2] 25/19 | 46/23 47/1 47/25 49/8 |
| 101/22 | Supreme [4] 14/16 | tendered [1] 36/ | 31/14 | 50/3 55/5 55/7 56/6 |
| stated [2] 30/12 | 14/18 19/7 22/4 | term [2] 20/23 98/19 | then [50] 17/4 25/21 | 56/7 56/8 56/22 62/5 |
| 52/17 | sure [15] $8 / 615 / 2$ | terms [3] 64/14 88/7 | 29/6 29/18 29/25 32/4 | 62/6 63/23 63/24 |
| statement [2] 47/23 | 17/5 19/23 19/24 | 88/12 | 35/24 36/4 36/8 40/24 | 63/24 64/7 65/7 65/7 |
| 65/19 | 24/11 25/5 25/19 | testified [13] 55/23 | 42/23 43/13 43/19 | 66/20 66/21 66/23 |
| statements [3] 54/3 | 27/15 57/19 58/12 | 80/18 98/12 98/21 | 44/2 46/3 47/3 48/1 | 71/23 72/9 72/10 |
|  | 62/19 62/21 78/22 | 100/18 102/18 103/2 | 48/10 48/24 51/5 | 72/12 72/17 76/8 |
| states [3] 30/25 | 117/3 | 113/11 113/16 113/17 | 51/19 52/5 53/18 55/7 | 77/19 78/2 78/10 |
| $42 / 2246 / 10$ | survived [1] 7/25 | 114/5 114/20 115/7 | 66/12 66/21 66/23 | 78/14 78/19 88/25 |
| stating [2] 69/ | Sustained [1] 67/24 | testify [4] 77/16 | 68/10 68/11 70/5 | 92/19 97/21 97/22 |
| 99/17 | sworn [10] 8/10 8/11 | 80/13 88/16 122/8 | 70/19 71/9 71/14 | 98/1 104/18 106/16 |
| status [4] 7/13 5 | 34/12 34/13 58/3 58/4 | testifying [1] 57/22 | 71/20 72/2 72/16 | 107/12 117/18 118/20 |
| 65/7 65/8 | 79/17 79/18 101/20 | testimony [6] 14/24 | 72/17 72/18 73/4 | they're [10] 9/8 25/1 |
|  | 101/21 | 27/13 32/7 94/24 | 73/22 74/7 75/13 76/2 | 25/1 25/2 28/10 32/20 |
| statutes [2] 14/17 | syntax [1] 120/1 | 104/5 122/24 | 86/4 90/2 94/1 96/21 | 71/20 93/15 99/11 |
| statutes [2] 14/20 | system [24] 44/6 | Texas [2] 114/15 | 97/4 109/14 124/8 | 119/17 |
| stay [1] 120/23 | 82/16 83/2 84/10 | 16/13 | there [70] 8/9 8/22 | They've [1] 23/13 |
| Ste [1] 3/13 | 86/13 90/25 96/23 | than [13] 7/18 27/ | 9/4 14/22 16/5 16/9 | thing [4] 28/6 64/23 |
| [ep [1] 58/1 | 103/12 103/13 103/13 | 49/20 61/24 86/18 $102 / 24$ 107/23 117/12 | 16/24 17/3 17/18 19/4 | 100/16 117/23 |
| steps [1] 36/2 | 105/8 108/3 110/6 | 118/25 119/10 121/7 | 24/18 28/10 29/1 29/4 | $\text { think [18] } 6 / 146 / 19$ |
| still [6] 37/3 43/14 <br> 85/1 85/1 86/2 107/14 | 113/23 114/11 114/13 | 121/15 121/16 | 30/4 37/23 37/25 | 9/19 22/18 26/7 45/10 |
| 85/1 85/1 86/2 107/14 stipulate [1] 8/17 | 120/7 120/8 120/14 | thank [20] 7/25 18/5 | 38/15 38/21 43/2 | 50/1 55/9 59/8 59/14 |
| stipulated [2] 9/18 | 120/16 123/2 | 33/9 34/10 34/21 | 43/11 44/7 45/3 45/22 | 61/5 64/9 67/15 67/22 |
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| W | 8/22 8/25 9/4 9/15 | 68/25 69/6 69/18 | within [15] 38/25 | you're [21] 6/21 9/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /18 30/7 | 9/21 10/10 13/1 18/13 | 70/23 77/2 | 39/23 41/12 42/9 | 9/11 10/21 16/2 18/16 |
| $30 / 8 \quad 33 / 1233 / 21$ | 23/16 31/10 32/12 | which [44] 17/13 | 45/24 63/4 66/12 67/1 | 21/13 25/3 27/7 32/16 |
| 33/22 36/7 36/8 36/9 | $32 / 1335 / 7$ 35/10 | 17/17 29/7 29/7 29/18 | 89/11 104/8 113/25 | 34/6 34/7 50/12 80/6 |
| 36/10 38/11 38/11 | 35/12 35/14 35/15 | 30/20 32/11 33/1 38/4 | 114/11 120/4 120/7 | 85/8 96/1 98/14 102/5 |
| 38/17 38/18 39/9 39/9 | 35/19 36/4 36/16 | 40/16 40/16 40/18 | 120/14 | 103/23 114/25 124/12 |
| 39/12 41/6 41/23 43/6 | 36/17 37/7 37/12 | 41/8 42/22 43/18 44/6 | without [6] 28/21 | you've [12] 12/10 |
| 43/17 44/9 45/18 | 37/16 38/3 38/16 39/9 | 45/18 45/24 47/2 | 29/11 29/23 31/2 61/4 | 12/13 12/16 17/2 18/6 |
| 45/25 47/21 48/2 50/1 | 43/2 48/12 48/13 | 47/16 47/22 51/15 | 122/14 | 20/1 21/23 35/4 70/9 |
| 52/20 53/1 53/12 | 49/15 53/6 53/24 | 52/5 52/11 52/20 | witness [28] 4/3 8/5 | 71/15 113/11 122/2 |
| 54/13 55/19 55/19 | 53/25 54/2 54/3 54/8 | 68/10 80/25 81/5 81/7 | 8/11 20/5 33/12 33/18 | your [96] 6/7 6/22 |
| 57/21 62/1 62/2 63/23 | 55/4 55/5 55/7 56/23 | 81/9 83/16 85/14 | 34/4 34/5 34/13 57/20 | 7/11 8/9 8/12 8/15 |
| 65/6 65/8 65/10 65/10 | 59/18 60/3 65/25 66/4 | 86/15 88/9 89/21 95/8 | 57/22 57/25 58/1 58/4 | 10/3 11/12 13/20 |
| 65/12 67/14 67/21 | 66/7 66/9 74/21 76/12 | 103/11 103/13 105/23 | 79/3 79/8 79/16 79/18 | 14/23 15/3 15/15 16/5 |
| 69/12 69/16 70/5 70/7 | 78/14 85/21 88/25 | 108/5 116/4 116/8 | 94/11 101/11 101/13 | 18/14 20/4 20/18 21/4 |
| 71/1 71/14 73/4 76/7 | 90/20 90/21 93/8 | 119/7 120/9 | 101/15 101/19 101/21 | 22/6 25/6 27/13 28/6 |
| 76/14 79/12 79/13 | 94/25 99/17 109/13 | while [7] 36/17 44/7 | 113/5 123/20 124/6 | 31/19 32/7 33/4 33/8 |
| 81/6 81/8 81/21 81/22 | 124/5 | 79/6 89/5 97/6 119/19 | 124/6 | 34/4 34/11 34/15 |
| 81/24 82/3 85/21 | weren't [1] 87/24 | 120/12 | witnesses [2] 79/11 | 35/13 35/16 37/18 |
| 85/22 86/12 87/24 | what [126] | White [3] 69/19 | 116/3 | 37/19 38/25 39/14 |
| 87/25 89/25 90/1 90/3 | what's [14] 6/15 | 106/16 106/19 | won't [2] 70/5 76/15 | 42/14 44/21 44/24 |
| 90/8 91/11 91/24 | 10/17 15/2 15/2 53/19 | who [32] 10/19 17/14 | word [2] 32/22 68/15 | 46/11 46/13 49/23 |
| 92/13 92/21 92/24 | 62/2 64/7 65/9 84/1 | 34/4 36/23 36/25 39/9 | wording [1] 45/25 | 49/24 50/6 54/15 |
| 93/18 93/19 93/20 | 92/21 100/21 103/15 | 39/9 42/5 44/8 57/21 | words [3] 31/24 | 55/13 57/15 58/3 58/6 |
| 93/23 93/23 97/18 | 107/10 123/7 | 70/12 70/25 71/5 | 66/15 72/12 | 58/10 59/3 59/5 59/10 |
| 100/17 101/4 101/13 | whatever [4] 36/9 | 83/21 85/3 86/21 87/3 | work [5] 35/15 35/1 | 59/25 60/10 61/7 67/2 |
| 101/15 102/22 104/15 | 47/4 72/1 78/17 | 87/22 88/1 90/2 107/6 | 44/21 59/20 82/5 | 68/3 77/5 79/17 79/20 |
| 104/16 104/18 109/24 | whatsoever [2] | 107/7 107/12 107/16 | worked [1] 52/23 | 80/11 83/1 84/14 |
| 113/2 113/4 118/18 | 51/24 53/8 | 107/19 109/2 110/24 | worker [1] 39/14 | 89/16 90/5 91/3 92/9 |
| 122/10 123/22 124/5 | when [59] 9/20 | 112/1 112/4 116/10 | working [4] 44/24 | 93/9 94/13 94/24 |
| We'd [1] 97/3 | 15/20 15/24 18/10 | 116/21 118/19 | 55/21 59/12 59/23 | 99/13 99/16 101/8 |
| we'Il [7] 7/13 9/13 | 18/13 32/3 35/12 37/9 | whoever [3] 24/25 | worried [1] 124/11 | 101/10 101/19 101/23 |
| 9/14 21/21 69/10 | 37/23 38/1 38/9 38/15 | 116/9 121/3 | worth [3] 15/5 48/12 | 102/11 102/14 104/5 |
| $118 / 12124 / 18$ | 46/22 55/13 55/21 | whole [4] 8/2 56/1 | 76/20 | 105/8 106/18 106/23 |
| we're [16] 7/24 8/17 | 56/9 59/12 59/23 62/9 | 78/8 78/9 | would [156] | 107/7 108/8 110/17 |
| 21/17 24/19 33/17 | 62/22 63/9 64/18 | why [20] $15 / 1519 / 19$ | wouldn't [3] 31/22 | 112/8 116/1 116/10 |
| 62/1 69/4 74/21 75/22 | 65/23 66/16 67/12 | 19/22 45/19 45/24 | 77/19 78/10 | 118/19 118/21 118/22 |
| 81/20 82/10 92/1 | 67/18 72/5 77/23 | 49/5 55/13 61/23 | Wright [1] 35/1 | 119/20 120/20 122/24 |
| 96/20 103/23 108/13 | 78/15 82/1 82/20 | 77/10 77/19 78/10 | written [2] 55/5 69/9 | 122/25 123/14 123/17 |
| 109/18 | 84/11 85/24 86/7 87/5 | 78/19 93/16 93/23 | X | yourself [4] 12/23 <br> 50/11 80/5 102/5 |
| we've [8] 31/23 |  |  | XXX [1] 1/6 | 50/11 80/5 102/5 |
| 32/21 33/10 40/4 40/8 | $95$ | WIESE [1] $1 /$ |  | Z |
| 72/6 110/2 119/2 | $99 / 13105 / 5106 / 21$ | Wight [1] 29/21 | Y | Zack [1] 35/1 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { website [4] } 13 / 17 \\ 13 / 17 & 14 / 11 \\ 22 / 8 \end{array}$ | 107/3 107/3 107/16 | will [15] $6 / 147 / 6$ | yeah [5] 13/13 23/21 |  |
| week [3] 12/9 92/8 | 108/21 109/9 111/9 | 7/20 17/15 28/21 | 76/17 76/21 78/17 |  |
| $108 / 22$ | 112/1 117/17 117/25 | 29/11 33/11 34/22 |  |  |
| weeks[2] 12/9 87/2 | 124/2 | 61/8 91/7 96/22 | year [3] 12/9 18/15 |  |
| welcome [10] 34/6 | whenever [1] 89/25 | 105/24 108/11 110/20 | 115/14 |  |
| 34/9 118/13 118/17 | where [25] 7/5 26/5 | 115/13 |  |  |
| 118/18 118/21 118/22 | 26/9 33/1 34/25 35/7 | Wings [3] 36/14 <br> 42/20 49/1 | 27/12 35/11 43/10 |  |
| 120/1 120/12 124/12 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 / 12 \text { 38/14 58/21 } \\ & 65 / 565 / 665 / 1276 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | Winters [2] | 80/10 80/17 84/3 |  |
| well [23] 7/1 21/20 | 80/6 90/19 90/23 92/4 | $90 / 22$ | 102/9 102/10 102/13 |  |
| $23 / 22$ 28/7 31/25 $50 / 1154 / 1062 / 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 / 690 / 1990 / 2392 / 4 \\ & 96 / 7100 / 13102 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | wire [4] 52/3 53/15 | yes [200] |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 50 / 1154 / 1062 / 21 \\ & 71 / 1978 / 883 / 984 / 24 \end{aligned}$ | 107/13 110/5 110/7 | 53/23 90/20 | yet [3] 51/17 54/20 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 71 / 1978 / 883 / 984 / 24 \\ & 85 / 1186 / 386 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 113/21 114/12 | wired [11] 52/4 52/8 | 56/2 |  |
| 85/11 86/3 86/15 $87 / 2491 / 1191 / 16$ | Whereupon [2] 34/1 | 53/1 53/6 53/12 53/14 | YORK [4] 1/9 1/10 |  |
| 95/3 95/16 97/17 98/2 | 101/14 | 53/17 53/19 53/21 | 114/14 116/12 |  |
| $99 / 11$ | whether [15] 23/23 | 90/20 90/21 | you [466] 55/15 74/15 |  |
| went [6] 22/2 45/6 | 26/24 37/23 40/6 | wiring [1] 53/ | you'd [3] 55/15 74/15 |  |
| 73/2 73/7 73/13 73/20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46/18 54/8 60/15 } \\ & 65 / 2267 / 1167 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | withdraw [1] 68/22 <br> withhold [1] 93/25 | you'll [2] 7/1 69/10 |  |
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LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, JANUARY 29, 2019

$$
P R O C E E D I N G S
$$

THE COURT: We're back on the record, Case No. A693882, Saticoy v. Green Tree. We are in defendants' case.

Your next witness is on video. Who is this?
MR. SECHRIST: Defendants call Claudette
Carr.
THE COURT: Ms. Carr, if you could please raise your right hand and be sworn.
(Witness sworn.)
THE CLERK: Can you please state and spell
your name for the record.
THE WITNESS: My name is Claudette Carr. It is $C-L-A-U-D-E-T-T-E . \quad$ My last name is $C-A-R-R$.

THE COURT: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Go
ahead and be seated.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF CLAUDETTE CARR

## BY MR. SECHRIST:

Q. Good morning, Ms. Carr. I'm Jared Sechrist, and I represent Green Tree in this litigation.
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Can you please introduce yourself to the Court, and let the Court know where you work.
A. Okay. I should say good morning to you. It's good afternoon for us. My name is Claudette Carr. I'm employed with Fannie Mae. I've worked with the organization for over 30 years. I am a mortgage operations manager. That's my current position that I've been in for at least 15 years.
Q. Can you describe the duties and responsibilities you have as a mortgage operations manager?
A. So, my role entails I manage anywhere from 12 to 15 individuals whose responsibility is to ensure that the loans that are being reported by our servicers are being reported and reconciled in accordance with our guidelines.
Q. As part of the fulfillment of those job responsibilities, have you been engaged in Nevada HOA lien foreclosure litigation before?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Have you testified in that sort of litigation before?
A. I have testified in several litigations last year.
Q. And in performing those -- in preparation for
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your testimony in those instances of litigation, what did you have to do to prepare?
A. Well, my job responsibility preparing for this was to get the associated documents for this particular loan. I had to take those documents and go ahead and confirm that it's the information that we have in our servicer reporting system, that that information is representative of what's stipulated to the Court.
Q. Thank you. Can you please describe for the Court or provide an overview of Fannie Mae's business.
A. All right. Well, Fannie Mae is one of the two largest government-sponsored entities that provides liquidity into the secondary market. Fannie Mae actually over a course of years provides liquidity by purchasing loans. We have two different channels that we purchase loans -- in which we purchase loans through, a mortgage-backed security or in a cash portfolio.
Q. Does Fannie Mae originate loans?
A. Fannie Mae does not originate loans. Our charter does not allow that.
Q. What kind of loans does Fannie Mae acquire?
A. Mortgage loans.
Q. Any kind?
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A. No. Mortgage loans.
Q. And in what geographic markets does Fannie Mae operate?
A. It's across the United States and its territories.
Q. In every state?
A. Every state in the U.S.
Q. And how often does Fannie Mae purchase mortgage loans?
A. Fannie Mae purchases loans on a daily basis. We purchase hundreds of thousands of loans daily over the year.
Q. And can you give us a rough estimate or sense of scale as to how many loans Fannie Mae owns?
A. Approximately, we have 17.5 million loans on our books at this current time.
Q. What does Fannie Mae do with the loans it acquires?
A. Well, you have two things that you would do. You would either put the loans into a mortgage-backed security. We pool the loans so we securitize them. So we have them sitting in a mortgage-backed security or we have them sitting in the cash portfolio.
Q. And with respect to the loans in the cash portfolio, how does Fannie Mae earn a return on those
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loans?
A. We earn that return based on the interest that's paid to us.
Q. What about the loans that are securitized?
A. The loans that are securitized, we have a guarantee fee that we receive.
Q. When an investor -- what does an investor actually purchase upon buying a certificate to a mortgage-backed security?
A. When the investor gets a loan into the mortgage-backed security, what they get is scheduled principal and interest. As long as that loan remains in the pool, they have guaranteed scheduled principal and interest for that loan.
Q. Who bears the financial risk if a borrower defaults on payments due on one of the loans in a cash portfolio?
A. Fannie Mae does.
Q. And who bears the financial risk if the borrower defaults on one of the loans in an MBS?
A. Fannie Mae does.
Q. And how is that? What form of responsibility does Fannie Mae have for loans that are defaulted that are pooled?
A. Okay. So what happens is Fannie Mae has the
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guarantee that that certificate holder receives that scheduled principal and interest regardless if it's received from the borrower. So each month that the borrower does not pay, that scheduled principal and interest Fannie Mae has to pass that on out of their corporate funds on to the security holder or the certificate holder.
Q. Let's talk about some basic loan documents. Can you describe your understanding of the difference between a note and a deed of trust as they relate to mortgage loans on property in Nevada?
A. Well, what $I$ do know is the note is a promise to pay. And then you have a deed that provides the collateral, which provides a lien interest in that real property that's described in the loan.
Q. And when you talk about Fannie Mae owning a loan, which of those documents do you mean Fannie Mae owns?
A. Fannie Mae owns both the note and the deed of trust.
Q. Is that true of all loans that Fannie Mae owns?
A. All the Fannie Mae loans. We purchase note and deed of trust.
Q. And how important is it for Fannie Mae to
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acquire and maintain ownership of the security interest in loans it purchases?
A. Well, that's very important. That protects Fannie Mae's interest. In the event that that loan goes into default, that deed of trust provides the interest lien in that property.
Q. And what part of Fannie Mae's business involves acquiring loans that are not secured by real property?
A. We don't have that within the business.
Q. Would it be accurate to say that Fannie Mae never owns a note without also owning a security interest, meaning the associated deed of trust?
A. That is accurate.
Q. I'd like to talk about the loan at issue in this case, which is a loan secured by property at 133 McClaren Street in Henderson, Nevada.

Are you familiar with that loan?
A. Yes, in preparation for the trial, I have seen this.
Q. Okay. And if we could, could you please turn to volume IV of the exhibit binders, and we're going to look at Exhibit 44.
A. I have it.
Q. Can you tell me, do you recognize Exhibit 44?
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A. Yes, I do.
Q. And have you ever seen Exhibit 44 before?
A. Yes, I have, in preparation for this trial.
Q. Okay. What is Exhibit 44?
A. This is an exhibit which is a written promise to repay $\$ 220,000$. And it is signed by Charles Wight and Tara Wight, and they're obligated to repay this $\$ 220,000$ based on the different interest that's noted in this loan, the interest amount and the payment.
Q. When you had spoken previously about notes and deeds of trust, is this an example of a note?
A. It is.
Q. Let's look at some details on the repayment terms on this. In reference to Exhibit 44 , what does this document tell you about the maturity date or when the loan should be paid in full?
A. It tells us that this loan should be paid in full December 1st, 2034.
Q. Has this loan been paid in full?
A. No, it has not been paid in full.
Q. And if we turn to Bates stamp GTS WIGHT 2150, that should be the last page of the exhibit. You see a stamp that starts with --
A. I see it.
Q. Do you see the "Pay to the order" stamp on
the left side?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Can you tell me what that stamp is?
A. All right. This is a blank endorsement for Countrywide for this particular note.
Q. Okay. And what does that do? What does that blank endorsement do?
A. The blank endorsement is the holder of the -of this -- the ability to perform certain officering responsibilities or obligations that may come up with this particular loan.
Q. And when Fannie Mae acquires loans, does it sometimes ask that the notes be endorsed in blank?
A. That is Fannie Mae's business practice.
Q. Why does it do that?
A. It's an ease of doing business. Because Fannie Mae does not work directly with the borrowers. Fannie Mae has a group of approved servicers that works on their behalf.
Q. Let's look at Exhibit 1. So we're going to turn to volume I of the exhibit binders.
Do you recognize this deed of trust?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Do you recognize this as the deed of trust that pertains to the property we're talking about today
or the loan that we're talking about, rather?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. In practical terms, what does it mean for Charles and Tara Wight to grant a security interest in the property?
A. Well, what it means is this is the lien interest for that -- for the property. And if they don't repay, whoever owns this has the ability to have that interest within that loan.
Q. Near the bottom of the page, we see a line that says, "Nevada single-family Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac uniform instrument with MERS."

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Do you know what that means?
A. In my words, this is basically somewhat of a pre-approval. That it's on Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac standard paper. So maybe at some point in time this loan may be sold to Fannie Mae, and if it is, it's pre-approved.
Q. And who's identified as the beneficiary of this deed of trust?
A. So, basically, in the document it states that MERS is the beneficiary under the security instrument.
Q. What is MERS?
A. MERS is an electronic registry system that tracks entities and the documents.
Q. At paragraph E, does Fannie Mae subscribe to MERS?
A. Fannie Mae is a member of MERS.
Q. Was Fannie Mae a member of MERS in 2013?
A. Yes, they were.
Q. If we look at paragraph $E$ of Exhibit 1, do you see the statement that says, quote, "MERS is a separate corporation. It's acting solely as nominee for lender and lender's successors and assigns. MERS is the beneficiary under this security instrument," closed quote.

Did I read that correctly?
A. Yes, you did.
Q. What do you understand "lender and lender's successors and assigns" to mean?
A. So Fannie Mae's business practice is basically Fannie Mae has lenders. They have servicers that acts on behalf of them. So, again, it's still somebody that's acting on behalf of Fannie Mae.
Q. Does this deed of trust list who will become the successor to the original lender, Countrywide?
A. Well, it's stating the lender and lender's successors. And if Fannie Mae bought the loan, then
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they become the successor from Countrywide.
Q. Right. But there's nothing in the deed of trust that specifically identifies who the subsequent -- who the successor lender would be, is there?
A. No, there is not.
Q. Now, if we look at Bates page 13 of Exhibit 1, and looking at paragraph 20. Do you see where it says the title of the paragraph is "Sale of Note/Change of Loan Servicer/Notice of Grievance;" right?
A. I do.
Q. And that paragraph states, "The note or a partial interest in the note, together with this security instrument, can be sold one or more times without prior notice to borrower."

> Did I read that correctly?
A. You did.
Q. And what does that mean?
A. It means that it can be sold. It can be transferred. It can be sold without notice to the borrower.
Q. And when MERS serves as a beneficiary on behalf of others as a nominee, what rights, if any, did MERS have to the borrower's incoming statements on the loan?
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A. They do not have any rights to any income.
Q. To whom does that income belong?
A. The income is to the owner.
Q. This deed of trust, if we look at the first page of it, was recorded November 23rd, 2004. What was Fannie Mae's relationship with MERS when the deed of trust was recorded?
A. Fannie Mae was a member of MERS.
Q. You already told us they were a member in 2013. Have they been a member of MERS from 2004 until the present?
A. They are.
Q. Does Fannie Mae typically buy loans that have MERS serving as the record beneficiary of the deed of trust in a nominee capacity?
A. It can happen.
Q. Would you describe that as common?
A. It is common.
Q. And when Fannie Mae buys such loans, does it require there be any change made to who appears as record beneficiary of the deed of trust?
A. They do not.
Q. And why is that?
A. With Fannie Mae being a member of MERS, when Fannie Mae purchases the loan, and purchase the note,
it also tells, if that lender is a member of MERS, that Fannie Mae still has that ownership. And there is a paragraph that says that it's also assigned over to the lender and its successors. So Fannie Mae becomes the successor. Meaning, that they would not have to immediately change the document out of MERS into another name.
Q. Now, who owns this note and deed of trust today?
A. Fannie Mae does.
Q. And at a very general level, how did Fannie Mae come to own this note and deed of trust?
A. As we go on to some of the documents, it will be evident where Fannie Mae purchased this loan and placed it into the mortgage-backed security. I have to see the document. I want to say December 2004, but I'll need to see that document.
Q. We'll look at it.

After Fannie Mae purchased the loan until today, has anyone else ever owned the note and deed of trust?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. What is an authorized seller?
A. An authorized seller is an individual that will, orientation and different guidelines to be able
to perform business with Fannie Mae.
Q. Does Fannie Mae purchase loans only from authorized sellers?
A. Yes.
Q. And at what point in the life of a loan does Fannie Mae typically acquire it?
A. It's typically within a month or two after its origination.
Q. And why does Fannie Mae acquire loans originated by others instead of originating its own?
A. Fannie Mae's charter does not allow us to originate loans.
Q. Does Fannie Mae have any infrastructure in place to originate loans?
A. No, we do not.
Q. Does Fannie Mae usually purchase loans singularly or as a group?
A. Fannie Mae purchases loans on a daily basis in bulk.
Q. And after they make that purchase, that acquisition, what's the next step for Fannie Mae with respect to the loan ownership?
A. Well, once a loan is purchased, it either goes into the mortgage-backed security or it goes into a cash position. That information is now stored into
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our acquisition system from the delivery, and then moves into the servicer investor reporting system for ongoing management of the loans.
Q. So that information is input contemporaneously with Fannie Mae's acquisition of an ownership interest?
A. That is correct.
Q. What sort of information does the seller convey to Fannie Mae when Fannie Mae acquires an ownership interest?
A. The seller has a group of attributes that will pretty much be the unpaid principal balance, the interest rate, the property address, the principal and interest payment. And there are a couple of others, but those are the most important.
Q. And that information goes into the Fannie Mae database; right?
A. It goes into Fannie Mae's delivery system in terms of getting that loan ready to determine if it's eligible to be purchased.
Q. What are the main information systems Fannie Mae uses to keep track of loans?
A. For an active loan, so you start out with an acquisition delivery system. And then we have the ongoing operational electronic system, which is
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servicer investor reporting platform.
Q. If I refer to $S I R$, is that a fair way to refer to that one?
A. That is correct.
Q. And can you describe for me how Fannie Mae utilizes SIR, what sort of information is stored there and how Fannie Mae's business practices rely upon it?
A. So business practice is, basically, once a loan is purchased, there is ongoing management of that loan, collections of principal and interest payments, any officering responsibilities may happen. So that information is sent in from the servicers into our electronic system, which denotes the events that will take place on the loan throughout the life of the loan.
Q. When a particular event impacts a loan, when in time is the information regarding that event entered into SIR?
A. It's pretty much real time, as it occurs.
Q. Who's responsible for the inputting of that information?
A. The servicers are.
Q. How important is it to Fannie Mae's business that the information reflected in SIR is accurate and reliable?
A. It is very important, as this information is
used by a whole network of individuals that can make decisions on these different loans.
Q. Does Fannie Mae undertake any effort to ensure the accuracy of that information?
A. Yes, they do.
Q. Can you describe for me what those actions are?
A. On a monthly basis there is a reconciling process, where the servicers are expected to reconcile their portfolios. They're expected to ensure that what they've sent in to us represents what they have -- they have collected or received. On top of that, over annual process, some servicers are selected to have an annual review, which is done by a separate department. That's review comparing information that's been reported versus what's expected and what the servicers have within their systems.
Q. And does Fannie Mae have any restrictions in place for who can change information within SIR?
A. Certainly. If there's information that needs to be changed, there is a specific department of adjustment that can change information based on documentation that would have been received.
Q. Is it easy for anybody to do that or is that limited to specific persons?
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A. It's limited. It's not -- it's an entire process to have any changes made.
Q. How frequently do you use SIR in your work?
A. Well, basically, I have to go into SIR -- I would say I go into SIR on a daily basis because there's information that $I$ need to retrieve on a daily basis for loans.
Q. And, in your experience, how accurate and reliable is SIR?
A. It is -- it is accurate. It's reliable. It's accurate. It has to be accurate.
Q. Did you look at $S$ IR with respect to the loan we're talking about today to prepare for your testimony?
A. I did.
Q. If we can look at Exhibit 25, please. And specifically in Exhibit 25, I want to turn to page 249.
A. I do have it.
Q. Okay. This is entitled Fannie Mae Investor Reporting at the top with the words Loan Detail just below that. And it identifies, I believe, a property tab selected; right?
A. That is correct.
Q. What is this document?
A. This is a document that is retrieved from our
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electronic system. It's a document that provides evidence for the particular loan that we'll be talking about today that ends in 833. It's evidence with the property address of 133 McClaren Street.
Q. Okay. And are you referencing something on this document that helps you know that this is 133 McClaren?
A. Okay. So I do apologize. If you look at the second block, the second half of the document, the first line, it says, "property street address." And it gives you the 133 McClaren Street.
Q. And just for clarification, is this a view of a SIR page?
A. Yes. This is a document that was retrieved from SIR. And it gives you acquisition information.
Q. Can you explain what data we're seeing here that came from Fannie Mae from the seller and the servicer?
A. When I take a look at this information, if you take a look at the second -- well, the information at the top of the document is basically information that's current information. The information that we would have received would be the servicer name, servicer number. The Fannie Mae loan number is Fannie Mae's identifier.
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Q. And that's the number -- that's the number in the top middle ending in 0833?
A. That is correct. The number below that is the servicer loan number. That information would have come from the servicer, ending in 2904. Information on the second half of the document that's on this document, on the property address, the city, the postal, that information below comes from the servicer. Excuse me. This would have come from the seller.
Q. Does this document identify the servicer by name?
A. It does.
Q. And who's the servicer?
A. The servicer is -- in the upper left-hand you will see servicer name, Fannie Mae, Ditech Financial, LLC sub-servicer.
Q. And it says "Fannie Mae/Ditech Financial, LLC, sub-servicer." What does that signify? Why are Fannie Mae and Ditech both identified there?
A. Well, Ditech is the sub-servicer on behalf of Fannie Mae. So it's just a little extension as to this is a Fannie Mae loan serviced by Ditech on behalf of Fannie Mae.
Q. Okay. If we look on the same panel over to the right in the lower row, there's an entry -- I'm
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looking for the entry that says "pool number comments."
A. The entry that will say "pool number" will be in your upper portion of this document. It's in your third -- it's in your third column over, your second line. And it says "pool number," which is blank.
Q. Right. And it just says "comments;" right? Just to the right of that is "comments?"
A. That's correct.
Q. What does that signify?
A. Well, there's no pool number. So it tells me that this loan is not in a pool.
Q. Okay. As of when?
A. Basically, on this document I cannot tell, but as of this date right now, 3/20/18, it was in a pool.
Q. Is this loan currently in a pool?
A. This loan is not currently in a pool.
Q. Okay. If we look at --

MR. SECHRIST: Your Honor, I would move --
Exhibit 25 is a declaration to which several -- several exhibits were appended. And we're going to use those exhibits separately with Ms. Carr today. And I'd like to have those admitted independently rather than as the entire exhibit. At this time, I would move to admit the page we were just referencing, which is GTS WIGHT
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249.

MR. BOHN: No objection.
THE COURT: So page 249 of Exhibit 25 will be admitted.
(Page 249 of Exhibit 25 was admitted into evidence.)

BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. Now, let's look at Bates page 248 of Exhibit 25. Do you see that page?
A. Yes, I do. I have it.
Q. Okay. And is this also a screen from the SIR system?
A. Yes. This is information that's sitting in the SIR system. And it's providing information that pertains to the acquisition of this particular loan that ends in 0833.
Q. Okay. So we see the same loan number, and we also -- and that signifies that we're talking about the same loan; right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And what, if anything, does this record indicate about Fannie Mae's acquisition of the loan?
A. So if you look at the second half of this document, the second line, it gives you an acquisition date of 12/1/2004. That's the date that it was
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acquired.
Q. And further down the table, we see some financial information, such as interest rate, maturity date. How do those dates compare to the terms of the note that we looked at as Exhibit 43? You can cross-reference if you need.
A. So this information that is on the note is cross-referenced with the information at acquisition, which gives us -- it gives us a PMI amount of $\$ 1,181.01$. And it also gives us -- the other page gave us the property address.
Q. And with reference to this page and the note, do they reflect the same maturity date?
A. Yes. We have -- yes. December 1, 2034.
Q. And do they reflect the same interest rate?
A. We have our interest rate of 2.25. Yes. The amount that would be charged will be 5 percent. Yes, I do have that.
Q. And going back to page 248, are there any data fields on this screen that do not change over time?
A. The information on this, however, the seller name, if there's a change of that seller name itself, that can be changed.
Q. What about the -- I'm sorry. Go ahead and
finish, please?
A. In this particular case where Countrywide changed its name from Countrywide to Bank of America, you will see here that we have seller name, Bank of America.
Q. What about the acquisition date, would that change?
A. The acquisition date, the reason all that information comes from delivery and will not change.
Q. According to Fannie Mae's records --

MR. SECHRIST: Actually, Your Honor, I'd like to move to admit page GTS WIGHT 248.

THE COURT: Mr. Bohn?
MR. BOHN: No objection.
THE COURT: Page 248 of Exhibit 25 will be admitted.
(Page 248 of Exhibit 25 was admitted into evidence.)

BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. And according to Fannie Mae's records, who owned the loan immediately before the December 4th or the December 2004 acquisition date shown in SIR?
A. That would have been

Countrywide/Bank of America.
Q. Okay. And is there something you're
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referencing on page 248 that tells you that?
A. On 248, I'm referencing the seller name, but because I've worked with this and I saw the schedule of mortgages, which is another document, you will see Countrywide on that.
Q. Following Fannie Mae's acquisition, who owned the loan?
A. Fannie Mae owns the loan.
Q. Now, with the same exhibit, let's look at Exhibit 25, but let's turn to page 250. Actually, it would be 250 through 260.

This is another series of SIR pages; correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And we see at the top of these, it says, Loan Transaction History, Loan Activity History; right?
A. That is correct.
Q. Can you tell me generally what these pages are?
A. Basically, this information is information that's giving you the monthly history since -- based on the document we have on page 260, it's giving you the information for the processing date. Says 2/03/2009. You have a process date that's in the fifth column, the last line. That's the first processing date that's on this particular record. And if you bring it all the
way forward to page 250, the last processing date for this particular information that's on this was 1/20/2018. This is information that's being submitted from the servicer pertaining to this loan, giving us an unpaid principal balance denoting that this loan is a Fannie Mae-owned loan.
Q. And, again, we see the same Fannie Mae loan number at the top of these pages; right?
A. Yes, you do.
Q. Now, can you just go column by column and identify for the Court what each of those columns includes?
A. All right. So we're on page 250. Your first column is blank. The second column would be a transaction ID. That transaction ID identifies the information that's submitted by the servicer into Fannie Mae's system. You have the third column, which talks about reversals. If there was some type of reversal on the loan, it will be seen in this column. And all of this information is information that's being submitted by the servicer.

You have your column 4, which is stated Effective Date. That is the date the information that is sent to us, that it's effective. You have another column right next to that gives you the process date.
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The process date is the date that Fannie Mae is processing the information in the SIR system.

Then you have the activity period, which associates the period that you're processing this information for.

Next column gives you the reported unpaid principal balance, which is the amount, the $\$ 184,373.80$. That's the amount that was recorded as of the 1/31/2018 activity period. And then you have if there was an interest difference.

Your next column it, will have an action code and an action description. And basically what you would expect to see here is, as you're seeing, it's zero, but there are additional action codes that will tell you this loan has been removed, if it has been paid off, it has been foreclosed.

Then you have the action date that's associated with whatever this particular action code or action date is reported.
Q. Where does this information primarily come from? Who provides it?
A. This information is submitted from the servicers.
Q. And how often do Fannie Mae's servicers provide information like we see in these pages?
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A. It's real time, and they must submit at least once a month.

MR. SECHRIST: Your Honor, I'd like to move to admit Bates pages GTS WIGHT 250 to 260 of Exhibit 25.

MR. BOHN: No objections.
THE COURT: Exhibit 25, pages 250 to 260, will be admitted.
(Pages 250-260 of Exhibit 25 were admitted into evidence.)

## BY MR. SECHRIST:

Q. And when the servicers report this information, I think you've told us before it gets put in fairly contemporaneously; right?
A. This information comes from a file. It's a file submission that comes into the SIR system.
Q. And once it's submitted, is that information locked in time?
A. It is. Basically, it identifies here the date that it's processed.
Q. So if I were to go look back at November of 2013, after the HOA sale, the information that the servicer reported in November of 2013 would be the same that we see reflected in these pages; right?
A. It will be.
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Q. And here that would be Ditech; correct? They are the servicer that will be sending this information?
A. Yes. Ditech is the current servicer. Yes, they would have sent that information.
Q. Would Ditech send information and payments to Fannie Mae if it wasn't the servicer?
A. No. It's the servicer's responsibility to -on a monthly basis they have to send us any principal and interest. Actually, if they don't have principal and interest collected, they must report the unpaid principal balance to acknowledge that the loan is a Fannie Mae loan that they're servicing on Fannie Mae's behalf.

MR. SECHRIST: I'll just point out briefly for the Court, Your Honor, we do have stipulated fact 20, that Ditech was the servicer at the time of the sale.

THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. What, if anything, does this report tell you about whether Fannie Mae presently owns the loan?
A. Well, basically, Fannie Mae owns the loan. Ditech is reporting the loan. They have not reported to us action code that the loan has been removed from the portfolio.
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Q. And is there something you can point to on pages 250 to 260 that indicates Fannie Mae's ownership?
A. If you take a look to your far right, you have an action code and an action description and an action date. And, basically, if this loan was foreclosed, repurchased, or paid off, I would expect to see that information denoted in these two columns. And I do not see that.
Q. And if Fannie Mae did not own the loan, would the servicer report information like we see on pages 250 to 260?
A. No, they would not.
Q. Did you encounter anything in SIR or anywhere else in Fannie Mae's record-keeping systems indicating that Fannie Mae ever conveyed its interest in the McClaren Street property?
A. No, I did not.
Q. What does that tell you?
A. That Fannie Mae owned the loan at acquisition and they continue to own the loan as of today.
Q. And that would include November 2013; right?
A. That is correct.
Q. You spoke earlier about Fannie Mae sometimes buying loans and pooling them in a mortgage-backed security. When Fannie Mae purchases loans for
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inclusion in an MBS pool, what sort of documentation is created?
A. The return evidence of that would be the schedule of mortgages when a loan is purchased and placed into a mortgage-backed security.
Q. And what's the function of a schedule of mortgages?
A. The schedule of mortgages serves as evidence for the purchase of the particular loan.
Q. Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 26. It will be in volume I. Do you recognize Exhibit 26?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Can you please identify that for the Court.
A. So Exhibit 26 is the schedule of mortgages that's associated with the loan that we are referencing today. And that is -- I have three pages. If you go to page 3, Exhibit GPS WIGHT 0426, you will see, four lines down you will see the loan number and then an 0833, which we saw on the note. Then you will see above that, the very first line you will see the property address of 133 McClaren Street. Information that's here, it will take us back to page 349, which is the first page, general information, that provides to you that the seller of this loan was Countrywide Home Loans. If you look at your right-hand side, you will
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see the second line down there is an issue date of 12/1/2004.
Q. I'm sorry, which page are you on right now?
A. I am on GTS 0349 .
Q. And when you said "second line," you mean second line below the small break at the top of the page?
A. Yes. Do excuse me. Yes. The second portion of the document.
Q. Okay. And that line, just for clarity, would begin with, "Pass-through date 4.6 plan no. 737." Then we see issue date, 12/1/04; right?
A. That's correct, your far right-hand side. Correct.

If you look just below that, you will see a settlement date. That particular line is 1.6 , but I'm referencing the settlement date of 12/06/04.
Q. What does that mean?
A. So the issue date, Fannie Mae's practice is that everything is moved back to the beginning of the month. The settlement date is the date that this is approved, it's settled. The information now moves out to the Feds. It's now pooled, and the Feds would be the ones that are responsible now for maintaining payout that's coming from Fannie Mae to them.

If you also take a look -- again, just to associate this loan, we spoke about it previously, a little earlier. If you take a look at, again, in that same block, the second block down, your left-hand side, there is a pool number. It's LB804602. This identifies that this loan was pooled in a mortgage-backed security. And this is a schedule of mortgages that identifies this particular loan within this pool, which is a receipt and evidence of purchase of this loan.
Q. And where have you seen this schedule of mortgages before?
A. As I was preparing for this trial.
Q. Did you see this within Fannie Mae's records?
A. Yes. It's in the schedule of mortgages information that we have in the SIR system.

MR. SECHRIST: At this time, Your Honor, I'd like to move to admit Exhibit 26.

MR. BOHN: Your Honor, it's about 100 pages long. There's only three pages that have any information on them. The rest of it is black.

MR. SECHRIST: We can address the redactions, if you'd like, Your Honor.

MR. BOHN: Those three pages, no objection. The black pages, they're completely unnecessary.

THE COURT: Is there a reason to do the black pages?

MR. SECHRIST: I don't need to have them in. We just have them for completeness.

BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. Ms. Carr, we have a number of pages that have been fully redacted. Can you explain why those pages are redacted?
A. So when you have a pool of mortgage-backed securities, you have several loans that's pooled together. The information that's in this schedule of mortgages will give you information for each loan. So everything that's redacted would be information that's associated with other loans that's in this pool 804602 .

MR. SECHRIST: We can do it separately, but it's going to take me a minute to find the individual pages.

THE COURT: Let's just admit 26. It doesn't matter.

THE WITNESS: If it helps you any, I think I saw it on page 78 .

MS. MORGAN: 349 and 350.
THE COURT: It's fine.
MR. SECHRIST: We'll move on. So 26 is admitted?
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THE COURT: Yep.
(Exhibit 26 was admitted into evidence.)
BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. What, if anything, does this report tell you about whether Fannie Mae owns the McClaren Street loan?
A. Well, this is a schedule of mortgages that identified that this was purchased and put into a pool. And Fannie Mae owned that information as this loan was purchased.
Q. And after the loan was placed in the securitization pool, who owned it?
A. Fannie Mae owned the loan in its trustee capacity.
Q. After it was securitized, who bore the financial risk of the borrower defaulting on its obligation to make payments?
A. That would be Fannie Mae.
Q. Can you explain how that works, when a borrower defaults on a securitized loan?
A. If a borrower defaults on a securitized loan, the certificate holder must get the guaranteed payment. And in order for them to get their guaranteed payments, if the borrower does not make those payments, Fannie Mae has to make those payments to the certificate holder. So Fannie Mae will be out of money
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because the borrower has defaulted.
Q. And once a loan is associated with a securitized pool, does it stay securitized until maturity?
A. It does not necessarily stay securitized. If it meets one of the conditions that's within the trust, then it may be removed from the trust.
Q. Is one of those conditions default?
A. That is correct. If a loan becomes four plus months delinquent, and we have the process that we will have that loan re-classified from the pool, moving it from the pooled structure into a cash structure.
Q. What happens to the loans once they're -they go into the cash portfolio? Who has the risk for them? Who bears the risk for a borrower's default when a loan has been re-classified into a cash portfolio?
A. Fannie Mae continues to bear that risk.
Q. And can you tell if this loan with reference to Exhibit 26 was ever re-classified after it was securitized?
A. When you say 26 , is that all of the Fannie Mae documents?
Q. I'm sorry. I misspoke. We're going to look at another one.

Let's turn to Exhibit 27, please.
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A. Okay. I do have Exhibit GTS 502. I have it.
Q. Is this another -- this is four pages. Are these four pages printouts from SIR?
A. Yes. This is a SIR document. It's the SIR documents that actually evidence that this loan that ends in 0833 was removed from the pool basically using the consideration that this loan was four plus months delinquent. And the ability to remove that loan through the reclassification process was triggered. And this is the information that denotes that the loan has been removed from the pool to its re-classification.
Q. Can you walk the Court through these documents and how they permit you to understand that the loan was re-classified?
A. Okay. So, basically, you would take a look at the first page ending in 0502. This is a loan management transaction. Tell me when you have it.

MR. BOHN: I'm good.
MR. SECHRIST: Okay. We're good.
THE WITNESS: So we have four pages that I'm going to walk through. This first page is somewhat of a summary that this is the loan reclassification. It gives you the MIN number, which is your first line ending in 833. It gives you the servicer number that's
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associated with this Fannie Mae number. And then it tells you the activity type. This is the third line stating this is the event, which is the loan re-classification.

It goes on to give you some information stating that it was completed. If you go to your second column, you have the servicer name. You have the servicer loan number. Important information is submitted date. This was submitted for re-classification on 7/11/2013, which is that third line. It's giving you the effective date, which is the fourth line, telling you effective 7/1/13, this loan is re-classified.

If you go to the third column, the next significance here, you will see Pool Number. That second line pool number is blank. So that's evidence that this loan no longer is associated with that pool number that I identified earlier when I looked at the schedule of mortgages.

If you go to the lower half of this document, again, it's just giving you the date of submission, the effective date. It's giving you the status, stating that it's completed.

So this is a summary. If I take you to the second page, which is GTS WIGHT 0503 -- do you have it?
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MR. SECHRIST: I have it.
THE WITNESS: Okay. The loan transaction details at the top of this page, again, it's pretty much the same information that was on the first page that I talked about; however, you now have some attribute names. And, basically, what this is doing is it's just moving the loan from a pool structure to a cash structure.

You take a look at it. I'm not going to go line by line, but $I$ just would like to show you the fourth line that says "Remittance Type." You see that there is a line, and if you go straight across on that -- hold on one second. Let me make sure. The remittance type was previously sitting at a 3. And that's associated with pool. It's now sitting at a 1, telling me that that's a cash loan. It gives you information about principal and interest, effective date.

But the next important thing that I'd like to lead you to would be if you take a look at the block on your right-hand side, it's talking about transaction reimbursements and drafts. You have a principal reimbursed. That principal reimbursed denotes the outstanding principal balance that's due on this loan. That is the money that the certificate holder will
receive because this loan is no longer in that pool. And so there would be no longer a schedule of monthly payments. They're getting paid the entire outstanding balance of \$184,373.80.

MR. SECHRIST: I'd like to move to admit Exhibit 27.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. BOHN: My objection on 27, Your Honor, says it was issued after the sale, so it's irrelevant.

THE COURT: I'll admit it and consider it based on the weight.
(Exhibit 27 was admitted into evidence.)

## BY MR. SECHRIST:

Q. Okay. I'd like to turn to talk a little bit about how Fannie Mae manages mortgages after it acquires them.

From Fannie Mae's perspective, what is mortgage servicing?
A. So once a loan is acquired, a loan has a maturity date, that you saw earlier. And within that period, from the time of delivery, which is acquisition, to the life of that ending, there is a set of responsibilities that must occur on this loan. There is responsibilities of collecting principal, interest, if that loan has a transfer, if that loan has
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a foreclosure. So there's a host of responsibilities that must be managed on a loan after its delivery to Fannie Mae, after its acquisition to Fannie Mae.
Q. And Fannie Mae hires servicers to handle that rather than do it themselves; right?
A. Well, that's correct. Fannie Mae does not have enough individuals to manage 17.5 million loans. So we have approved servicers that work on our behalf.
Q. And when Fannie Mae contracts with a servicer, what right would the servicer have to keep for itself the payments due under the loan?
A. The servicer does not have any right to keep the payment.
Q. And can you explain how Fannie Mae's servicers are typically compensated?
A. So, basically, the servicers are given a servicing fee. So they're given -- from the interest that's being collected from that borrower, they're given a percentage of that as their compensation for servicing on behalf of Fannie Mae.
Q. What happens to the remainder of the payment?
A. The remainder of the payment comes to Fannie Mae. It's remitted by -- excuse me.
Q. When a loan Fannie Mae owns is defaulted to the point that foreclosure is necessary, who typically
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conducts the foreclosure?
A. That is one of the servicers' responsibilities. So they will conduct that.
Q. And what authority, if any, does Fannie Mae give its servicers to foreclose on Fannie Mae's behalf?
A. They give them all the authority to work in their servicing responsibilities.
Q. Is that how most foreclosures happen on Fannie Mae loans?
A. That's how the foreclosures happen, because the servicers work on Fannie Mae's behalf.
Q. What documents govern Fannie Mae's relationships with its servicers?
A. You have a servicing guide.
Q. Where could I find that servicing guide?
A. The servicing guide is public on

FannieMae.com.
Q. And anyone can access that?
A. That's correct.
Q. Are historical versions of the guide also available?
A. Yes, they are.

MR. SECHRIST: Your Honor, I'm going to talk about the guide. And we have separate portions. Rather than attempt to admit thousands of pages, I'm
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going to do as I had done with the screenshots and talk about the sections that are germane to our discussion today and seek to have those admitted separately. BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. If we turn to Exhibit 25. And starting on page GTS WIGHT 263. I'd like you to look through that exhibit to GTS WIGHT 348, so about 80 pages or so.
A. So I have that information.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. I have that information.
Q. Okay. And at the top left there's a date on page 263 of March 14th, 2012; right?
A. That is correct.
Q. Can you tell me what these documents are, the page 263 to page 348 of Exhibit 25?
A. This is a servicing guide that was in effect at the time, March 14th, 2012. Again, the servicing guide, it just denotes the relationship between Fannie Mae and its servicer and what they're responsible and obligated to do.

MR. SECHRIST: I'd like to move to admit these pages, Your Honor, pages 263 through 348 of Exhibit 25, as an excerpt of the servicing guide.

MR. BOHN: Here's my problem with that, Your Honor. Pages 263 through 296 are from 2012. The
remaining pages, 297 through the end of the exhibit, are dated 2017, which, again, is after the foreclosure sale. I don't know what the differences are over the years between what's there and now, what counsel is going to --

MR. SECHRIST: I'm certainly okay to limit that then -- I do have one document I want to talk about in the second portion of that. We can discuss that separately.

MR. BOHN: Okay. That's fine.
MR. SECHRIST: If you have no objection to 263 to 296?

MR. BOHN: No objection to that section.
THE COURT: 263 to 296 of Exhibit 25 will be admitted.
(Pages 263 to 296 of Exhibit 25 were admitted into evidence.)

BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. Under the servicing contract, when foreclosure becomes necessary on a loan Fannie Mae owns, does Fannie Mae authorize its loan servicer to foreclose on Fannie Mae's behalf?
A. Yes, they do.
Q. And what governs those efforts? How does the servicer know how to administer that?
A. The servicing guide gives them directions, instructions.
Q. So if we turn to Section 401 of the guide, which we're going to find on page 286.
A. I have that.
Q. And we see that this section is titled Ownership of Mortgage Loan Files and Records. And then we see, I'll read this, "All records pertaining to mortgage loans sold to Fannie Mae, including, but not limited to, the following, are at all times the property of Fannie Mae and any other owner of a participation interest in the mortgage loan."

And the bullet points, the first two, state, notes, and the second is security interests -- its security instruments.

```
    Did I read that correctly?
```

A. You did.
Q. Can you explain what that passage means.
A. So, basically, these are two documents that we talked about earlier, the note and the deed of trust. We purchase both. We keep them together. The note is the written promise. The deed is the lien interest for Fannie Mae.
Q. And under the servicing contract, when foreclosure becomes necessary on a loan Fannie Mae
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owns, does Fannie Mae authorize its loan servicer to foreclose on Fannie Mae's behalf? I think you already answered that. I think you already answered that.
A. Yes.
Q. Why do Fannie Mae's servicers appear as the record beneficiary of deeds of trust corresponding to loans Fannie Mae owns?
A. Well, it's Fannie Mae's business practice. It provides an ease of doing that business. And it also, as Fannie Mae has authorized the servicers to work on their behalf, then it will be needed for the servicers name to be on that document because the servicer is who has the relationship with the borrower and will be sending information to the borrower. So on behalf of Fannie Mae, the servicer name is there to make it easy to continue the business obligations if needed.
Q. So as part of the servicing contract, a servicer collects payments; right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And they have interactions with the borrower; right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And from the borrower's perspective, would it be easier to deal with mortgage issues if Fannie Mae
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showed up as the record beneficiary of the deed of trust?

MR. BOHN: Objection. Calls for speculation as to what's easier than unnamed third parties.

THE COURT: Sustained. Rephrase it.
MR. SECHRIST: I'll strike the question, Your Honor.

BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. If a Fannie Mae loan were sold to a third party, who would make that decision and authorize that sale?
A. It has to be Fannie Mae.
Q. And that would be true of the note as well as the deed of trust; right?
A. They go along, note and deed of trust, yes.
Q. Okay. Now, let's look back briefly at

Exhibit 1, the deed of trust.
A. I have that document.
Q. Okay. And we saw earlier that MERS was the original beneficiary of record. Do you recall that?
A. I do.
Q. And just remind us, what does it mean for MERS to be the beneficiary solely as nominee for the lender and the lender's successors and assigns?
A. They are the one -- that's the registry that
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they document when the entity that's servicing, that's allowed to perform servicing obligations.
Q. Does it mean that MERS owned the loan when it was the record beneficiary of the deed of trust?
A. To my knowledge, no, it does not.
Q. And then if we look at Exhibit 6, which is titled Corporate Assignment of Deed of Trust, what is this document?
A. Well, I'm looking at a document where MERS is actually assigning this over to Green Tree Servicing, LLC.
Q. And this is recorded on May 28th, 2013. Who owned the loan at that point in time?
A. Fannie Mae did.
Q. You've testified that MERS was acting solely as nominee beneficiary on behalf of Fannie Mae. And that it had no ownership interest in the loan. So what was MERS assigning to Green Tree Servicing by this May 2013 assignment?
A. Assigning -- they were signing over this deed of trust document to the servicer that's performing servicing business on behalf of Fannie Mae, who is Green Tree Servicing, LLC.
Q. And what interest was MERS assigning? What was MERS's interest to be assigned?
A. MERS was assigning a document. They don't have any interest in it.
Q. But they were the nominee beneficiary; right?
A. They were Fannie Mae's nominee.
Q. And that's the interest that they were conveying to Green Tree; is that right?

MR. BOHN: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. What interest, if any, were they conveying to Green Tree?
A. Can you repeat that, please.
Q. By this assignment, what was MERS conveying, if anything?
A. MERS was conveying that Green Tree now gets to perform any servicing obligations on behalf of Fannie Mae because Fannie Mae -- this is Fannie Mae's interest.
Q. How, if at all, does the May 13 assignment from MERS to Green Tree affect your testimony that Fannie Mae owned the loan as of November of 2013, the date of the HOA sale?
A. It does not change. Because Fannie Mae continues to own the loan.
Q. Why wasn't an assignment recorded when
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Fannie Mae acquired the loan in 2004?
A. In 2004, Fannie Mae, as a nominee -Fannie Mae was a member of MERS. They were a nominee for Fannie Mae. Countrywide had this document in MERS. Countrywide sold this to Fannie Mae. Fannie Mae was the successor of Countrywide. So there was really no need to have this document put into another name at that point in time.
Q. Why not just assign the deed of trust to Fannie Mae instead of the servicer?
A. So Fannie Mae's business practice is to allow the servicer to work on their behalf. And so giving the servicer the assignment allows the servicer to work on Fannie Mae's behalf. Fannie Mae will not be doing a foreclosure if it became necessary.
Q. Can you tell me who had been assigned the deed of trust of the HOA sale in November of 2013?
A. I think I saw that on -- say that again. In November of 2013?
Q. Correct. Who had been assigned the deed of trust at that time?
A. Oh, that would have been Green Tree Servicing, LLC.
Q. Let's go back to Exhibit 25. And this time I want to look at page 261.
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A. Okay. I have it.
Q. And this is another SIR screen?
A. That is correct.
Q. And can you tell me what we're looking at on page 261?
A. This is a SIR document that actually represents that there was a servicing transfer that happened between Bank of America and Fannie Mae Ditech Financial, LLC, servicer, effective 10/31/2011. Which you can see that on the fifth line in the middle of the page, it gives you an effective date of 10/31/2011. If I would take you to that second column, you have the transferor, which is Bank of America. And if I take you to the third column, the transferee, which is Fannie Mae Ditech Financial, LLC. So this is documentation stating that this portfolio was transferred from Bank of America to Fannie Mae Ditech, LLC.
Q. And what, if anything, in this record indicates that MERS or Green Tree had any ownership interest in the deed of trust or the corresponding note after Fannie Mae acquired the loan in 2004?
A. There's nothing in this record that will state that or imply that.
Q. Did you see anything in your review of

Fannie Mae's records to suggest anyone other than Fannie Mae owned the note or deed of trust after Fannie Mae acquired it in 2004?
A. No, I did not.
Q. And if that had been the case, if anyone had acquired such an interest, would you have expected to see that in Fannie Mae's records?
A. I would expect to see that, basically, that the loan would no longer be reported by Fannie Mae/Ditech.
Q. I'd like to go back --

MR. SECHRIST: I'd like to move to admit Exhibit 261.

THE COURT: Page 261 of Exhibit 25?
MR. SECHRIST: That's correct.
MR. BOHN: No objection.
THE COURT: Page 261 will be admitted.
(Page 261 of Exhibit 25 was admitted into evidence.)

THE COURT: How much more do you have, counsel?

MR. SECHRIST: About three minutes.
BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. If we go back to the guide, which is in Exhibit 25, and this time I'd like to go to page --
starting on page 297 through page 348. This is the section that is dated 2017 that we discussed earlier.

Can you take a look at that and let me know if you recognize it, please, Ms. Carr.
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Can you tell me what that is?
A. Yeah, this is the guide for sellers and servicers. It's the contractual responsibilities, obligations that must be performed by our approved sellers and servicers. It's the contract between Fannie Mae and the sellers and servicers.
Q. And what's the date of this edition -- what's the date of this edition?
A. 12/19/2017.
Q. And is this available online?
A. It is.
Q. And I'd like to look at page 315, Bates No. 315. Do you see the section -- do you see the section entitled Physical Possession of the Note by the Servicer?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And it reads, "In most cases, the servicer will have a copy of the mortgage note. If the servicer determines that it needs physical possession of the original mortgage note to represent the interests of

Fannie Mae in a foreclosure, bankruptcy, probate or other legal proceeding, the servicer may obtain physical possession of the original mortgage note by submitting a request directly to the document custodian."

Did I read that correctly?
A. You did.
Q. What do you understand that to mean?
A. Well, if a servicer needs a note, they will have to go to whoever that document custodian is to get that actual note in hand.
Q. And does the servicer have the right to sell that note without Fannie Mae's consent?
A. No, they don't.
Q. Regardless of the fact that it's endorsed in blank?
A. Endorsed in blank is allowing them to perform certain servicing obligations, and selling it is not one.
Q. Lastly --

MR. SECHRIST: Well, at this time, Your
Honor, I'd like to move to admit pages 297 through 348, which include the page that we looked at, of Exhibit 25.

THE COURT: The objection was that it's a
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later edition. It doesn't apply to the sale in this case.

MR. SECHRIST: Yes. Your Honor, yesterday during questioning, you raised the issue about the alienability of the note because of being endorsed in blank. And you inquired of the witness about what prevented Ditech from being able to sell or transfer bearer paper. And --

THE COURT: I think if you listened to my question, I said with the exception of the guide.

MR. SECHRIST: Okay.
THE COURT: Because $I$ know the guide prevents it in more than one place.

MR. SECHRIST: We would proffer this to -the Court is aware of that, but this is the evidence to support that, in addition to your awareness.

THE COURT: I don't know that this later edition of the guide is relevant. I'm not going to admit this. But I've heard the testimony. That's what you wanted in anyway.

BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. The last thing I want to address is the FHFA -- are you familiar with the FHFA, Ms. Carr?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And what is Fannie Mae's current relationship
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with the Federal Housing Finance Agency?
A. FHFA is our conservator. We're in conservatorship.
Q. Did Fannie Mae ever release its lien on the 133 McClaren Street property we've discussed today?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. To your knowledge, did anyone ever approach Fannie Mae about securing FHFA's consent to the extinguishment of Fannie Mae's lien on the McClaren Street property?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. And, to your knowledge, did anyone ever approach Fannie Mae about securing FHFA's consent to the extinguishment of Fannie Mae's lien on the McClaren Street property?
A. No.
Q. Did FHFA ever communicate to Fannie Mae that FHFA would consent to the extinguishment of

Fannie Mae's lien on the property?
A. No, they did not.
Q. If FHFA had communicated that, would you expect to see it reflected in Fannie Mae's records?
A. I would expect to see it.
Q. If we can turn to Exhibit 28, please.

Do you recognize Exhibit 28?

Kimberly A. Farkas, RPR, CRR
(702) 671-3633 •realtimetrialslv@gmail.com
A. Is this the FHFA letter?
Q. It is. Correct.
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. Where have you seen this before?
A. In preparation for this testimony for this trial.
Q. Okay. And this is dated September 16th, 2015; right?
A. That is correct.
Q. Can you tell me what this is?
A. The letter from FHFA. They're not releasing any lien assigning this interest.
Q. And this was a letter Fannie Mae sent to whom?
A. This letter went to all single-family servicers.
Q. If we look in the first paragraph, it says, "In response, the FHFA, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and various GSE servicers have asserted in litigation that the HERA of 2008, prohibits the extinguishment of GSE liens absent FHFA's consent as conservator of the GSEs."

Right?
A. Correct.
Q. What do you understand that to mean?

Kimberly A. Farkas, RPR, CRR
(702) 671-3633 • realtimetrialslv@gmail.com
A. That they are not consenting to extinguishing the lien.
Q. Did you ever see anything in this file indicating that Fannie Mae had consented to the extinguishment of the lien or that FHFA had consented to the extinguishment of the lien?
A. No, I have not.

MR. SECHRIST: I'd like to move to admit Exhibit 28.

THE COURT: Objection to 28?
MR. BOHN: Yeah. It's dated two years after the foreclosure sale so it's not really relevant.

MR. SECHRIST: This letter also references existing litigation that predates the foreclosure sale and would speak to the policies that were in place prior to foreclosure sale. Specifically, it references a 2014 SFR opinion that was in litigation prior to the foreclosure sale in November of 2013.

THE COURT: Nobody would have had this in '13.

MR. SECHRIST: But the policies would have remained, and this is an articulation of those policies.

THE COURT: I think it's too late. I'm not going to admit it this time.
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MR. SECHRIST: I'll pass the witness. I'm sorry. One more question.

BY MR. SECHRIST:
Q. Ms. Carr, was the loan securitized in a pool on the date of the foreclosure sale, which was November 22nd, 2013?
A. If I remember, we classed that loan in July. The loan was removed from the pool on July 11, 2013, so it was no longer in the pool at the time of sale.

MR. SECHRIST: I pass the witness, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Carr, I apologize to have to do this to you. We're going to have to bring you back in a little bit. I've got another hearing that was supposed to start 10 minutes ago. Counsel said he had 3 minutes about 15 minutes ago. I'm going to have you come back at about 1:30 our time, so that's about an hour and 20 minutes from now.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Is that 4:30 your time?
THE WITNESS: Probably. Sounds right.
THE COURT: Sorry. We'll bring you back
then. Thank you, ma'am.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Off the record. Thanks, guys.
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(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
THE COURT: We're back on the record, Case No. A693882.

Ms. Carr, just be reminded you're still under oath; okay?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: You guys got done. So, Mr. Bohn, you can do cross-examination.

MR. BOHN: I can? All right.

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CLAUDETTE CARR

## BY MR. BOHN :

Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Carr. My name is Michael Bohn. I'm the attorney for the plaintiff in this matter. Can you see me?
A. Yes, I can. Good afternoon.
Q. We did this a couple weeks ago in another case. I'm going to ask some similar questions.
A. Okay.
Q. When it comes to -- how does a servicer become a servicer with Fannie Mae?
A. So there is a source that has to be approved. So they go through a process where there's an application. And they're working with the items that they have to produce. And that's all done in a
different space.
Q. Once a servicer is approved, don't the regulations or the servicing guide require a contract?
A. Well, there is a general contract between a servicer and Fannie Mae.
Q. Okay. Have you seen the contract that Fannie Mae has with Ditech or Green Tree?
A. The contract that $I$ would look for all business processes would be the servicing guide.
Q. Have you seen the initial lender's contract that's referred to in the servicing guide?
A. No.
Q. All right. Thank you for that.

Now, you testified that Fannie Mae is the owner of the loan; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. And you earlier reviewed the deed of trust; is that correct?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. And the deed of trust identifies the trustor, which is the homeowner, the trustee, which is the trustee, it identifies the lender, and the beneficiary; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Does the deed of trust anywhere
identify the owner of the deed of trust?
A. The deed of trust that I've reviewed, it states about the lender and its successors.
Q. Is the word "owner" used anywhere in the deed of trust?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Okay. The promissory note we reviewed, that's a much smaller document, that refers to lender and borrower; correct? Feel free to take a look. It's Exhibit 44, if you need to review it.
A. Yes. Thank you. Yes, it's lender.
Q. Lender and borrower. There's no designation as owner of the note, is there?
A. You're correct.
Q. Okay. And the assignment of the deed of trust, which I believe is Exhibit 6 --
A. I have it.
Q. -- no one in this document is identified as owner, are they?
A. On quick reference, I don't see owner.
Q. Okay. Now, the servicing guideline, it's a large document. Are you familiar with the servicing guidelines generally?
A. Generally, yes, I am.
Q. Okay. The servicing guidelines require the
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servicer to keep liens off their property, especially liens that could gain priority over the security for the loan; isn't that correct?
A. Can you repeat that statement, please.
Q. The servicing guidelines do provide that the servicer is to keep the property free from liens and encumbrances that may become superior to the mortgage or the deed of trust; isn't that correct?
A. So that would probably be one of the general responsibilities of a servicer.
Q. If something happens with a property because the servicer did not perform its duties properly, Fannie Mae has contractual remedies against a servicer, don't they?
A. I am not -- I would not -- Fannie Mae has a relationship with the servicer. And they have different obligations that the servicer should follow. Without pulling that particular piece of it, I can't answer that.
Q. If a servicer does something wrong and the property gets lost, for whatever reason, because of the servicer's negligence, who's responsible, the servicer or the Fannie Mae?
A. Fannie Mae is going to be bearing the risk in everything on a loan because they own that loan. So
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Fannie Mae is going to be responsible.
Q. But isn't the servicer's job to service the loan?
A. It is the servicer's job to service the loan on behalf of Fannie Mae. You are correct with that.
Q. And the contract provides that Fannie Mae can terminate the servicer if the servicer doesn't do their job; isn't that correct?
A. Fannie Mae can. Yes, they can.
Q. And Fannie Mae can get damages from a servicer if the servicer doesn't do their job correctly; isn't that correct?
A. I am not sure if it's implied or stated directly in the contract, but with Fannie Mae being the owner, they make decisions, so I imagine they can.
Q. You keep saying Fannie Mae is the owner. What document says that Fannie Mae is the owner?
A. Fannie Mae acquired the loan. And that document for this particular loan would have been the schedule of mortgages. That was the evidence of when they acquired the loan back in, I think it's 2004, December 2004.
Q. That's Exhibit 26 with all the black pages on it?
A. That is the schedule of mortgages, yes.
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Q. Okay. And I'm looking at that again. It's Exhibit 26. And there's some non-black pages on page 425 and 426.
A. Yes, that's what I have in front of me.
Q. Where does it say owner on here?
A. Fannie Mae's business practice when they purchase a loan or they acquire a loan, they have two ways that they will show evidence of that. The schedule of mortgages is one if that loan is in a mortgage-backed security or there is a purchase advice if that loan is a cash loan.
Q. What was the second part?
A. The second one is if the loan is purchased for cash, it's a purchase advice.
Q. If the loan is purchased for cash, it's a purchase advice; is that your testimony?
A. The evidence of a purchase loan in the cash portfolio, you will find a document that's stated it's a purchase advice pertaining to that particular loan with similar information that we have on the schedule of mortgages.
Q. And in this particular case, we have the MBS schedule, not the purchase advice; correct?
A. We will have the schedule of mortgages for this particular loan because it was purchased as a loan
that was placed into the MBS security in December 2004.
Q. Okay. So we have the schedule, but we don't have the purchase advice; correct?
A. You would not have a purchase advice because this loan was originally boarded into the MBS security.
Q. And those are the only two methods by which Fannie Mae evidences its what you call ownership of the loan; correct?
A. Schedule of mortgages and a purchase advice, yes.
Q. Those are the only two ways they document their acquisition of the loan; is that correct?
A. The acquisition of a loan, yes.

MR. BOHN: Thank you. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Any more?
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Hold on.
MR. SECHRIST: Nothing from us, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right, Ms. Carr. I don't know that we've had a chance to meet before, but I'm Judge Wiese. I always have a couple questions for Fannie Mae. Felicia Miller might have told you.

THE WITNESS: Can you say that again, please, Judge Wiese.
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MR. BOHN: Felicia Miller might have told you that I have a lot of questions. Do you know who she is?

THE WITNESS: I know Felicia, yes. Felicia works with me.

THE COURT: So my understanding is that the holder of the original note is a custodian; right?

THE WITNESS: The holder of the original note is the custodian, yes.

THE COURT: And my understanding is that there is a tri-party agreement between Fannie Mae and the servicer and the custodian, whereby the custodian holds the note; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: It's an agreement with
Fannie Mae that they will be holding the documents on behalf of Fannie Mae, yes.

THE COURT: Do you have that agreement?
THE WITNESS: If I have that agreement?
THE COURT: I am told that there is a
custodial agreement that exists, a piece of paper by which it documents that the custodian is holding the note for Fannie Mae.

THE WITNESS: I do not have that -- I do not have that document.

THE COURT: Have you ever seen it?

THE WITNESS: In my area, I would not have seen that. I can find it, if we need to get it, by going into that particular area that can retrieve with that document custodian and get information from them. But in my specific area, I would not see that document.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there a written servicing agreement other than the guide between Fannie Mae and your servicers?

THE WITNESS: So, from my knowledge in servicing, the servicing guide is that contractual responsibility between the approved servicer and Fannie Mae. If there is -- I mean, there's no special contract that's going to be for a particular servicer.

THE COURT: Okay. So let me ask you this. Because my understanding is that your servicer has access to your custodian and the documents that are held by the custodian. So if your servicer was to acquire the original note from the custodian, the note is endorsed in blank, so it's bearer paper; it can be used by whoever holds it or bears it. So if your servicer had acquired the possession of that original note, and then they used the deed of trust that shows the servicer as the only entity that has an interest in the deed of trust, other than the guide, the servicing guide that's online, is there anything that's going to
prohibit your servicer from selling this loan to somebody else?

THE WITNESS: I would say the servicing guide has that information, if you raise specific things that can and cannot happen.

THE COURT: Other than the guide?
THE WITNESS: Not -- not other than the guide. I mean the business practice that I know of it's understood that anything that's happened on these loans that Fannie Mae acquire, the servicer has responsibility to perform a certain set of obligations on their behalf, on Fannie Mae's behalf.

THE COURT: Okay. Are you aware of any document that's been put forth in this case that shows that Fannie Mae is the owner or has any interest in this loan other than the screenshots?

THE WITNESS: No. The document that I am aware of will be a schedule of mortgages within the screenshots from SIR.

THE COURT: My understanding is that if Fannie Mae is using a servicer, that the servicer collects rents. The servicer will keep a portion of that as their servicing fee. And the remainder is transmitted to Fannie Mae if they're the owner of the loan; is that correct?
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THE WITNESS: If Fannie Mae is the owner of the loan, yes, they will receive the principal and interest collected less any servicing fee that was contracted for at final purchase.

THE COURT: Are you aware of any documents that are in front of you there that have been submitted in this case that show a servicer keeping a portion of a payment and transmitting the remainder of the payment to Fannie Mae?

THE WITNESS: So the document that I have in front of me, which is my schedule of mortgages, it has a pass-through rate of 4.6. That pass-through rate identifies what the servicer will be passing on to Fannie Mae. If you go to the note, the note is going to have them collecting, I think we looked at a little earlier, 5 percent, which is the interest rate. So, to me, this is a document that will show the difference between what they've collected and what they are allowed to for their servicing fee.

THE COURT: So that's something that allows for that to happen. I guess I'm wondering if there's any document that shows it actually happening?

THE WITNESS: When that principal and interest is sent in to Fannie Mae, it will denote the calculations incorporated, that pass-through
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information, the interest and pass-through rate, that we receive.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
My questions raise any additional from you?
THE WITNESS: Can you say that again, please?
THE COURT: I'm asking the attorneys if my
questions raised any additional questions from them.
MR. SECHRIST: Just one second, Your Honor.
I don't believe so, but I want to check one document. It will take a second. Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any additional, Mr. Bohn?
MR. BOHN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Carr. Appreciate your time.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge Wiese. And, thank you, Mr. Bohn. Thank you again, everyone.

THE COURT: Have a good night.
MR. BOHN: Until next time.
(Witness excused.)
THE COURT: All right. Any additional witnesses?

MS. MORGAN: We have no additional witnesses. We rest.

THE COURT: Any rebuttals, Mr. Bohn?
MR. BOHN: Nothing other than closing
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argument.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. BOHN: I lied to your clerk earlier. I said I wasn't going to use the ELMO.

THE COURT: Now you want to use the ELMO?
MR. BOHN: Yeah. Just wanted to pull a couple interesting pages out of the servicing guide.

Before I highlight my pretrial memo, I just wanted to go through a couple of pages here. First one, Chapter 2, Contractual Relationships, says right here, "Once Fannie Mae approves a servicer to do business with it, both parties execute the lender contract to establish the terms and conditions of their contractual relationship."

No such contract has been produced in this case. The Nationstar case that the Supreme Court said that servicer does have standing to act on behalf of the mortgage company was remanded to the District Court to determine if it was, in fact, the servicer. Best evidence rule. Here's a contract that says, you are our servicer. It has not been produced. More on that later.

Just generally, events that cause a breach of contract. I won't go through all of them, but when I was asking the witness, "The following events
constitute breach of a servicer's contractual obligations."

Flipping through, I couldn't find the actual page, but there are specific regulations or guidelines in the regulations that require any liens that may become superior to the mortgage lien to be paid or otherwise taken care of.

I'll get to it in a few minutes, but Nevada law requires that if you are acting on behalf of another party in regards to a transaction involving real property, you have to have a power of attorney. There's no power of attorney here. And Fannie Mae has their own regulations -- here we go.
"When Fannie Mae is the owner of record of a mortgage loan, it permits a servicer that has Fannie Mae's limited power of attorney to execute these types of documents on Fannie Mae's behalf."

No power of attorney.
Oh, here it is. It's a form. The
regulations say you can modify it. This is just the first page just to demonstrate that such a form exists.

One last page I wanted to pull out. They have a glossary of terms and acronyms. They even have a definition for what "owner of record" is.
"The entity that appears in the public
records as the owner of a mortgage, usually the mortgage originator, unless the mortgage is subsequently assigned to someone else and that assignment is recorded."

I've said this before, and I'll say it again. Oh, there's also regulations in there -- I think counsel went through them briefly -- that provide that if there's any non-routine litigation, the matter must be referred over to Fannie Mae so they can deal with it in the way that they choose to deal with it.

There are three issues presented in the case. I'm going to go with my strong one first. That's Fannie Mae and the fact that they need to comply with the statute of frauds.

The purpose of recording statutes is to provide notice of subsequent purchasers. We got a 2018 case that says that. And that's the very purpose I like to point out.

If you'll look at the statutes, the recording statutes, they were all passed in 1861 when Nevada became a territory. They were based on the California statutes. I got it from the Legislative Council Bureau. California adopted theirs from New York and Virginia, who, in turn, adopted theirs from Britain. It's the ancient British common law that recording
statutes are based upon.
And we have a couple of statutes about conveyances. One, that a recorded conveyance is notice to the world of whatever is contained in the conveyance. And there's another one that says, any unrecorded conveyance is void as to a subsequent bona fide purchaser. And a conveyance is broadly defined under 111.010 for a transfer of any interest in real property.

There's been a couple cases come down from the Supreme Court unpublished that I've gotten involved in as an amicus. And I've reviewed their briefs. And the SFR attorneys are very good attorneys. They and I show up in your courtroom a lot with the other attorneys.

They don't raise the statute of frauds when it comes to Fannie Mae. I don't know why they don't. I think it's a very strong argument. I think it's absolutely critical. But they don't.

The certainty of the recording statutes is what the world relies upon in order to make their decision in regards to real property. And when you have unrecorded interests, people jumping up and saying, oh, I have an unrecorded interest, it throws everything off, and it's a very dangerous precedent.

In Edelstein, the Supreme Court said, "To permit an entity that is really not the beneficiary to record itself as beneficiary would defeat the purpose of the recording statute and encourage a lack of transparency."

That is exactly Fannie Mae's business model, is to usurp the recording statutes of the state of Nevada.

I showed you the servicing guideline requires a servicer's contract. I've never seen one in this case or any other case. I don't know why. I'd really love to see one. I'm going to start filing motions to compel.

We have a couple disputable presumptions under NRS 47.250. One is that, "If evidence willfully suppressed would be adverse if produced or that higher evidence would be adverse from inferior being produced."

Your Honor, under the statute, has the right to find that the failure to produce these documents would give an adverse inference to the defendant's position.

Now, I've said before and I'll say again and I'll continue to say the term owner is a weasel word. It really is meaningless. You don't have an owner of a
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deed of trust. You have a trustor or a trustee beneficiary. They'll talk about holders. That's the same thing as beneficiary. But you don't have an owner.

A loan is a note and a deed of trust. And the case law says the note is what entitles you to payment. The deed of trust is security for that payment. 116 provides that the deed of trust, the security, gets extinguished once an HOA forecloses.

I don't care about the note. I only care about the deed of trust. Because the note survives a foreclosure sale and is enforceable even if the security is gone.

So the federal statute says, "No property of the agency shall be subject to levy, attachment, garnishment, foreclosure or sale without the consent of the agency, nor shall any involuntary lien attach to the property of the agency."

Ironically, no one complains about the lien being attached. And, in fact, Fannie Mae's own regulation says if such a lien gets recorded, you have to take care of it. And they haven't and they don't.

When you're talking about property, you're talking about the deed of trust. And the deed of trust is an interest in real property. Since I've seen you
last, I did find a case. It's a divorce case, but it's a case 97 Nev. 143, Occhiuto v. Occhiuto, Supreme Court said simply, "The law of this state specifically precludes the creation of any interest in land except by properly-executed written instrument." Citing NRS 111.205 (1).

The Leyva case, citing an older case of Ray v. Hawkins from 1960 said, yes, specifically a deed of trust is an interest in real property. That's first year of law school.

So if you're going to have an interest in the deed of trust, which is the security instrument that gets extinguished, in order to have that interest you have to comply with the statute of frauds. That's what the Leyva case is. The Leyva case cites the statute for NRS 111.205, "No interest in lands nor any trust, power, or concerning lands in any manner thereto shall be created, granted, assigned, surrendered or declared unless by deed or conveyance in writing subscribed by the party creating, granting, assigning, surrendering, or declaring the same or by the parties' lawful agent there unto authorized in writing."

Now, we also have the Edelstein case that went through the language of the deed of trust. And that was a bankruptcy case that was certified to the
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Nevada Supreme Court that didn't have to deal with evidence because it's a nonjudicial foreclosure they were dealing with. And that's an important distinction because once they walk into the doors of your courtroom or any other court in the state, you have to comply with the rules of evidence.

But Edelstein says -- and there's a split of authority around the country -- you assign the note or you can assign the deed of trust. Sometimes you can split them. That's what happens with MERS. MERS becomes the beneficiary of the deed of trust, but the lender continues to be the lender under the promissory note. Edelstein said you can join the two; you can do it through agents. And once you have control of the two, then you go ahead and foreclose.

Leyva said -- and Edelstein says, if you assign one, you assign the other. So if you assign the deed of trust, the promissory note goes with it. If you assign the promissory note, the deed of trust goes with it.

Now, normally, when you assign a deed of trust, it gets recorded. And, in fact, the statutes require an assignment to be recorded. And the statutes also prohibit the exercise of the power of sale until it's recorded.
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If you assign through transfer of the promissory note, this is the Leyva case, that also assigns a deed of trust. You assign one, you assign the other. The Leyva case says you have to show more than mere possession to show that you are entitled to enforce the note. You need some other writing.

If the note gets transferred, the deed of trust also gets transferred. That Is a conveyance under 111.010. It's an unrecorded conveyance, which is void as to bona fide purchasers.

The purpose of the statute of frauds is evidentiary. It's to prevent arguments over what is and isn't going on.

Counsel likes to cite the Montierth case, which gets cited by the Berezovsky case. But there's three holdings in Montierth. The first one is an unrecorded deed is valid immediately between the maker and the grantor, but it's only valid as to third persons once it's recorded.

What Fannie Mae has is not an interest in real property. They have a contractual right to payments received on the note secured by the deed of trust. It is not put in their name. They are not the beneficiary. They have the contractual right to demand payment. They have contractual right to demand
possession of the note. They have the contractual right to demand possession of the deed of trust or to have the deed of trust assigned to them.

Now, they do use document custodians. I was reading the regulations earlier. And the regulations say -- there's a lot of them to pull out -- it's in the hands of the document custodian, but it needs to be in Fannie Mae's name. We just say it's in our name and it's deemed to be in our name. Which you can do, but, again, there's no recording involved.

Another strong point that nobody really brings up is you have conclusive presumptions under the statutes. 47.240 creates the conclusive presumptions. And these are conclusive. Conclusive presumption no. 2, "The truth of the fact recited from the recital in a written instrument between the parties thereto where they're successors in interest by subsequent title, but this rule does not apply to re-assignment of consideration.

So when you have a document like a deed of trust or an assignment of the deed of trust and the deed of trust says that MERS is the beneficiary, they're the beneficiary. The beneficiary is the party that gets to enforce the terms of the deed of trust. That's the most important of the three. That's what
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you want when you're the lender.
When you have an assignment that says MERS transfers from MERS to Green Tree, the Court has to find, because of the conclusive presumption, that Green Tree, not Fannie Mae, is the beneficiary.

Conclusive presumption no. 3. "Whenever a party has, by his or her own declaration, act, or omission, intentionally and deliberately led another to believe a particular thing true and to act upon such belief, the party cannot in any litigation arising out of such declaration, act, or omission be permitted to falsify it."

So when you record a deed of trust that says MERS is the beneficiary, and the public and a bona fide purchaser relies upon it, you can't come into court and say, Oh, no, I'm really not the beneficiary.

Same thing with Green Tree. When you have an assignment that's recorded and the public records for the purpose for imparting notice to third parties, you can't come into court and say, No, we're really not the beneficiary. Because they have a contractual agreement. They don't have a right in real property.

Also, the Edelstein case talked about whether or not MERS was an actual beneficiary. And the Supreme Court, in evaluating it and talking about some other
treatises says, Well, it's not repugnant to the terms of the contract, and the contract does say that MERS is the beneficiary so we have to get meaning to the words in the contract and find that $M E R S$ is the beneficiary under the deed of trust.

Fannie Mae's own guidelines require a power of attorney to execute documents. I showed you that. We have a statute, 162A. 480 subsection 2 . It says, if you're acting on behalf of someone in regards to real property, you need a power of attorney. Fannie Mae never granted Green Tree or Ditech that power of attorney. They are acting contrary to the laws of the State of Nevada.

As I stated, both Edelstein and Montierth case aren't really applicable because both of those cases were bankruptcy cases certified in Nevada Supreme Court that dealt with nonjudicial foreclosures. We know from the Facklam case, which talked about the statute of limitations, that a foreclosure sale is a nonjudicial proceeding. And because it's a nonjudicial proceeding, they're not bound by the rules of evidence, but they are here.

There's the case, In Re: MERS, Mortgage Electronic Registration System, from 2014. The Ninth Circuit talked about the practices of MERS, and cited a
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number of cases and treatises which essentially land-basts MERS. Because MERS was specifically established to avoid having to record all the assignments, which, again, defeats the purpose of the recording statutes. It also deprives every county in the country, not just Clark County or Nevada, of recording fees that these people should be paying. And up until this year, it was $\$ 17$ to record a one-page document. And they have these firms on their computer. They can crank them out in a minute. They're easy to do. But to save a couple nickels, they don't do that.

And if you're going to disobey the recording laws and hide your interests, contrary to the public policy as stated in Edelstein, you play those games and you get caught, you should lose.

I'll point out the Christine View case. That the Supreme Court said Federal Foreclosure Bar does apply is not applicable here because the Christine View case, the deed of trust was assigned to Fannie Mae prior to the HOA's foreclosure sale.

Another issue that gets raised whenever I talk about the statute of frauds is there's a couple Nevada cases that talk about standing to raise the statute of frauds. However, there are multiple statutes of frauds in regards to the real property,
marriage, answering to the debt of another, and contracts that can't be enforced within one year. The cases that are cited, I don't know if they have or not, but those cases talk about the other statutes, not 111.205.
111.210 talks about contracts for sale or
lease of land for a period in excess of a year.
111.220 talks about contracts not in writing, one year, answering the debt of another, marriage, commitment to loan money, or pay a fee for being a money broker.

The cases that say you have to have standing -- you have to be a party to the contract to have standing, don't talk about 111.205. They talk about 111.210 and 220.

This 727 brief that I got filed this
morning -- I realized yesterday as I was in trial -- we were supposed to go to trial last October. I drafted the brief then. Got it filed yesterday. I forgot to include some of the authorities in regards to the owner's payments.

The Golden Hill case I will tell you because that was my case. That case specifically notes that there the HOA specifically allotted the homeowner's payments towards the super priority lien. The court specifically found that in the order.
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There is nothing here showing the HOA allotted that money towards payment of the super priority lien. And before the $S F R$ decision, I'm sure you remember, nobody knew what was going on. Nobody knew how the super priority lien worked. The HOA's never did that.

I'll also point out that $S F R$ and Shadow Wood talks about what banks can do to preserve their interest. They say things like, pay the whole lien; sue for a refund; find out what the super priority amount is and pay it; file an arbitration; get a TRO; record a lis pendens. Doesn't say sit back, cross your finger, and hope the owner pays. It doesn't say that.

The intent of UCIOA, the intent of the Nevada statute, is that the bank is supposed to be responsible for at least a portion of the dues or the assessments. The commentary to the UCIOA says that it was always assumed that once the bank got notice of the lien, they would pay the thing and start their own foreclosure procedures to get the house into the hands of another homeowner who will start making the assessments because HOAs are nonprofit and need the money and that's how they operate.

So the homeowner payment -- and the Golden Hill case was not published. And there's a reason why
they're not published. The published decisions are oftentimes conflicting, or not oftentimes, but sometimes conflicting with each other, depending which panel the Supreme Court issues it. Even the same panels will issue conflicting decisions.

I've got a case with Judge Crockett before Diamond Spur came out where they said, you didn't raise bona fide purchaser or the subrogation issue in the lower court. Well, then Diamond Spur comes out a few months later and says bona fide purchaser doesn't matter.

The subrogation issue, I'll go over it briefly. If you have a junior lien and you pay a senior lien to save your interest, you're equitably subrogated to that person's position. Because of that, it's an assignment by law. And when you have an assignment, it's a conveyance under 111.010, which needs to be recorded. If it's not recorded, it is a -it's void as to a subsequent bona fide purchaser. That's one of the few issues the Supreme Court did not reach in the Diamond Spur case.

There's also two other conditions -- I'll be real fast talking about this part -- two other conditions in the Miles Bauer letter not discussed by Diamond Spur. Number one, their definition of super
priority lien does not include nuisance abatement charges, and also says payment of this will satisfy our obligations in full. When we know that now the super priority lien is an ongoing, annual thing. So they cannot say we don't owe you any more money when they do owe more money. And they cannot provide an incorrect definition of the super priority lien and say, if you cash this check, you are agreeing to all the facts stated in our letter. Those are impermissible conditions. I'm making the record for the appeal.

Now, the interesting part. I think it's interesting. The Shadow Wood case says the Court always retains the equitable power to set aside a foreclosure sale or modify the terms. The Diamond Spur case didn't say the sale is void. It said, as to the super priority portion, it's void. So no matter what you rule today, the former owner is not here to contest the sale. Saticoy Bay was, is, and will be the owner of this property until they foreclose and take it away. Shadow Wood says you have recitals in the deed that are conclusive in absence of equitable relief. One of the recitals is the default. The default is the super priority portion. The Court has to exercise its equitable power to set that deed aside as far as the super priority portion of the lien.

First, we have the availability of equitable relief. It's used oftentimes when talking about injunctions, which are an equitable form of relief. But the Shadow Wood case says you cannot have notice of something, let it happen, wait for the property to fall into the hands of the third party, and then come to court and ask for relief. You can't do that. So, A, I respectfully submit they are not entitled to equitable relief because they didn't do anything.

Now, the Shadow Wood case talks about falls into the hands of innocent third parties. It doesn't talk about bona fide purchasers. So I respectfully submit, Your Honor, that their failure to put my client on notice by that $\$ 17$ recording precludes equitable relief on their part.

If you are inclined to grant equitable relief, you're supposed to balance all the equities. And Your Honor has broad discretion to fashion equitable relief. The Diamond Spur case and every case since it's come out, the courts have said deed of trust survives. Sorry, Saticoy Bay, sorry SFR, you lose. I respectfully submit you can modify that a little bit.

What's interesting in this case, the sale happened 2013. We filed this lawsuit -- I have the dates in here. Interestingly enough, tender is just
generally alleged in their answer and counterclaim. Federal Foreclosure Bar is not alleged. I let it go this far. I can't complain they're barred because they didn't allege it because it's been tried with the consent of all the parties.

But I think you have to look at the tender was in December 2011. The foreclosure sale was almost two years later. And it was in June 2015, they file their answer and counterclaim. They took no steps whatsoever until four years after the tender, until two years after the sale, to come forward and let the world know, hey, we paid something. And then we had to get the Diamond Spur decision for the Court to say that's enough.

We stipulated to the findings that the property was worth $\$ 140,000$ at the time of the foreclosure sale. If you are to allow them to enforce the deed of trust, I would respectfully submit Your Honor has the authority and should limit them to the $\$ 140,000$ at the time of the foreclosure sale.

Unless you have any questions for me, thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bohn.
MS. MORGAN: I had to make a handwritten chart because my printer ran out of ink last night.
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So in this case there are three separate and distinct reasons why Ditech's deed of trust was not extinguished by the HOA's foreclosure sale. For one thing, we have the typical Miles Bauer tender. Nothing unusual about it in this case. But what we also have is homeowner tender. And then we have the preemptive effect of the Federal Foreclosure Bar.

And I'll start with how we calculate the super priority portion of the lien. In this case Ms. Bourland from the HOA testified that the assessments were $\$ 92.25$ quarterly. And that makes them $\$ 30.75$ a month. So the super priority portion is $\$ 276.75$. We didn't hear any testimony from anyone else disputing that that is the proper amount of the super priority lien.

Next is what is the period of time we're looking at when calculating the super priority lien. Ms. Bourland testified that the HOA retained NAS to engage in collection activities December of 2010. At that time Ms. Bourland and I looked at Exhibit 30 at page 583. That is the document that showed us the account status at the time that it was sent over to NAS. At that time the account was about a year delinquent. The last time there was a zero balance was in November of 2009, and the statement of account was
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December of 2010. So at that time there was a little bit more than the super priority amount owed, but not much more. A year of assessments is $\$ 369$, so within \$100 of the super priority portion.

So at the time at which we start calculating the super priority amount is from the time of the initiation of an action to enforce the lien. And I believe it's Property Plus and Golden Hill also says that the time is when the HOA gave notice of its lien.

In this case the HOA, and I'm looking again at Exhibit 30, which was admitted in its entirety through NAS, sent the pre-lien letter to the homeowners on December 10th, 2010. That is Exhibit 30 Bates 858. So if we go backwards from December of 2010, that puts us at April of 2010. So April to December 2010 is our super priority window. $\$ 276.75$ is our super priority amount. Even if we go from the date the lien was recorded, that was in January. So it doesn't make a meaningful difference about which date we use. I think the proper date is the December date because that's when the homeowner got notice.

So what happens then is the homeowner starts trying to come current. And the Golden Hill case tells us that, yes, the homeowner can certainly satisfy the super priority portion of the lien. So we start out
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with a super priority portion of $\$ 276.75$. The homeowner makes a payment, makes a few more payments, few more payments. And this is how much the super priority portion is going down.

And so at the time that Miles Bauer sent its letter with a check in December of 2011, the super priority portion was $\$ 31.75$. So after that $I$ went ahead and added the Miles Bauer as if it had been accepted because that is the legal effect of a tender under Diamond Spur.

The homeowner makes more payments, more payments, more payments, more payments. So by the time we get to the November 2013 foreclosure, the super priority portion has been paid, not only paid, but the HOA has received $\$ 706.25$ over the super priority portion. Importantly, this does not include amounts that were provided to NAS because the homeowner paid more than what's in this chart. This just reflects the amounts that went to the HOA.

So as of the date of the foreclosure, the HOA had actually received funds in excess of the super priority portion -- in excess of the super priority portion of about $\$ 700$. Now, it rejected 276 of that amount, but it still puts us well above the super priority portion even if you take into account that
they rejected that.
Now, under Golden Hill, the second question is how were those funds made by the homeowner? How were they applied? Ms. Bourland testified that the money received is applied to the oldest assessment owed.

So, again, if we look at the amounts that were due at the time that the homeowner was notified of the action to enforce the lien, there was total \$411.75 owed. That includes late fees and everything else. So certainly by the time of the foreclosure sale, all amounts that were due at the initiation of action to enforce the lien had been satisfied and not only satisfied but satisfied well over $\$ 300$ above that.

So we have both homeowner tender under Golden Hill that, independently, even if Miles Bauer wasn't in the picture, extinguishes super priority portion of the lien. And Golden Hill tells us that it doesn't matter whether the purchaser at the HOA foreclosure was a bona fide purchaser. The Supreme Court says, "Appellant has not explained how its punitive BFP status could have revived the already satisfied super priority component of the HOA's lien."

And that's consistent with how the Court has looked at tender in the Miles Bauer context in the
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Diamond Spur case. That bona fide purchaser has no legal relevance to that. Once a lien is discharged, it can't come back. It's discharged as a matter of law.

While I'm at that footnote in Golden Hill, it goes on to talk about the deed recitals and the conclusive presumption in those. It says that, "To the extent that NRS 116.311(6) affords conclusive proof to certain matters recited in appellant's deed, that statute is silent on the type of property interest that was conveyed at the sale."

Even though Mr. Haddad testified that the statute says that it's always supposed to be a super priority foreclosure unless indicated otherwise, the Nevada Supreme Court appears to either disagree or at least disagree to the extent that the recitals give some kind of presumption that it was a super priority sale.

So then if we turn from homeowner tender, which is its own independent basis for finding the deed of trust survived, and go to Miles Bauer tender. In that case, in Diamond Spur, I have the letter, the Miles Bauer letter, that the Nevada Supreme Court looked at in Diamond Spur. If you don't look at the property address and look at the substance of it, and it includes that paragraph that everyone seems to take
issue with about a portion of the HOA lien being arguably senior, specifically nine months of assessments for common expenses incurred before the date of your notice of delinquent assessment. Has the same language that is in Exhibit 14 that was admitted in this trial.

And this letter does not talk about nuisance, maintenance and nuisance abatement charges. Neither does the letter in this file. Nevertheless, the Nevada Supreme Court found that while the language was conditional, it was a condition that Miles Bauer on behalf of Bank of America absolutely had a right to insist upon because it was trying to fulfill its obligation as holder of the first deed of trust. And everyone reasonably understands that that obligation is the nine months immediately proceeding an action to enforce the deed of trust -- or to enforce the HOA's lien.

Had there been evidence of maintenance or nuisance abatement charges, this might be a different story. There's an opinion that came out, I think, two weeks ago, an unpublished opinion, that had a footnote that indicated if there's going to be maintenance and nuisance abatement charges claimed, there needs to be a new lien saying so under the old statute.
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It's really neither here nor there in this case. We have no evidence of maintenance or nuisance abatement charges. This case is on all fours with Diamond Spur. There's nothing different that takes this out of the Diamond Spur realm.

The Nevada Supreme Court, after issuing Diamond Spur, came out with a slew of orders on summary judgment either affirming or remanning because they made their decision on this issue. It's over. There's a tender, and it doesn't matter whether -- the reason NAS rejected it. It's legally irrelevant. Again, once it's discharged, once that obligation is discharged as a matter of law, it doesn't matter what the HOA trustee's mindset was in refusing to accept the tender.

And we didn't hear evidence from -- presented as to why they rejected it. Mr. Jung testified that he knew at that time that NAS and many other HOA trustee's in town required costs and fees to be included in the amount. But they didn't send anything in writing. There was nothing in their file indicating the reason for rejection. And at the end of the day, in Diamond Spur it doesn't matter.

As to the recording statutes, again, Diamond Spur says that the holder of the first deed of trust is under no obligation to record anything evidencing the
tender, under no obligation to keep the tender good. Diamond Spur doesn't say this, but when I was questioning Mr. Haddad, I pointed out the language in many of the recorded documents that did say that the HOA sale is without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, as to title, possession, encumbrances.

Mr. Bohn seemed to take issue with the fact that we waited too long, in his opinion, to file our answer wherein we set forth some facts about the tender. I would submit that if any party benefited from that delay, it would be Mr. Haddad. He's had possession of that property and the benefits that come along with possession since 2013. He testified that he had to make all these improvements. I hear that testimony a lot, but $I$ rarely see documents to support that and I didn't see documents in this case.

That kind of goes hand in hand into weighing of the equities. Here we don't need to go to equity. And since we have a discharge of the tender as a matter of law -- I'm sorry -- discharge of the super priority portion of the lien, as a result of the tender as a matter of law, we don't even need to reach equity.

I'll just briefly say that there is evidence of unfairness when the party that stands to lose its security has a servicer that reaches out to try and
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satisfy its obligation, and it's met with resistance. That is evidence of unfairness.

And the low sales price in this case, $\$ 10,200$ when the fair market value at the time was $\$ 140,000$. Mr. Haddad is not an innocent purchaser for value. He wasn't someone who wasn't savvy in real estate, savvy in the law, who was buying this for themselves or their grandmother or something.

He was an investor. And he knew it was a risk. He testified truthfully that he knew it was a risk. He had knowledge that there was a deed of trust against the property. And I would submit that he had knowledge that Fannie Mae may have a hand in the -- in this transaction, too. Because the recorded document, the assignment, did show FNMA with the specific MIN number that matches the deed of trust.

Mr. Haddad tried to say, and I think he struggles with the truth here when he says he didn't know FNMA meant Fannie Mae. That was his testimony. I would say someone with that level of sophistication and knowledge, it's a struggle to believe that he didn't know what FNMA meant. I think it's more realistic that he didn't look as closely to the assignment as he would have us believe.

All right. So since I brought up Fannie Mae.

In this case we showed that in -- at the time of the foreclosure sale in 2013, Fannie Mae was under the conservatorship of FHFA and had been since 2008. And the reason that they were placed under conservatorship is because Congress passed legislation, including the Federal Foreclosure Bar, to protect those assets from sale, levy, attachment or -- I forget what all the language is, but foreclosure was one of them. The whole goal was to protect that interest.

Was there a recorded assignment to
Fannie Mae? No. Did there have to be? No. Under Montierth, under Guberland, there does not need to be a recorded assignment to Fannie Mae under Nevada law. And on, I think it was the 18th of January, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an opinion, albeit unpublished, stating that the testimony from the servicer about Fannie Mae's ownership, coupled with presentation of the guide, was enough to find that -- let me get my case so I'm precise. So first the Nevada Supreme Court found that the servicer was not required to introduce the actual servicing contract it entered into with Fannie Mae. The Nevada Supreme Court goes on to say that, rather, "The evidence that appellant, which was the servicer, was Fannie Mae's loan servicer, combined with the authorizations in the Fannie Mae servicing
guide that are generally applicable to Fannie Mae's servicers was sufficient to show that appellant was authorized to argue that 12 usc $4617(j)(3)$, the Federal Foreclosure Bar, preempts NRS 116.311(6)."

In a footnote, the Nevada Supreme Court says that "The servicer's $30(\mathrm{~b})(6)$ witness contested that Fannie Mae continually owned the loan after the January 2010 transfer, which she presumably confirmed based on her review of the relied-upon business records and absence of subsequent transfer in those records."

THE COURT: What's the cite on that case?
MS. MORGAN: It is unpublished. It is Case No. 70237, CitiMortgage v. SFR.

In this case, during this trial, we went above and beyond what we needed to do because we had a Bank of America representative here anyway to prove up the tender. We also elicited testimony that Fannie Mae owned the loan continuously at the time Bank of America serviced except for at the very, very beginning, at origination. So in December of 2004 is when Fannie Mae purchased the loan. So in this case we were lucky enough to have testimony from both servicers spanning a time frame of 15 years almost, 14 years, from December 2004 to today, that Fannie Mae continually owned the loan for that span of time, about 14 years.

Kimberly A. Farkas, RPR, CRR
(702) 671-3633•realtimetrialslv@gmail.com

That's pretty darn good evidence and that's reliable. And it comes from not only Bank of America, but it comes from Ditech. It comes from Fannie Mae itself.

There are certain terms of art that can easily be confused. For example, beneficiary, owner, not the same thing. The owner doesn't have to be the record beneficiary under Montierth. The owner can certainly have a relationship with another entity that is operating on its behalf as the record beneficiary. Happens all the time.

The holder of the note is not the same thing as the owner. The holder is holding the note on behalf of the owner. And it all goes to right to enforce. The beneficiary and the holder have to be the same person under Edelstein. And that gives them the right to enforce, but none of that equals ownership.

Mr. Bohn spoke about Leyva, about how a deed of trust is an interest in the property. Certainly, it is. It's a recorded interest. And it provides that authority to foreclose, but it doesn't mean you own what you foreclose. You just have that authority. Leyva is, I think, a foreclosure mediation case.

Edelstein, again, is not specific to 116.
That's a case about standing to foreclose, talking
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about the record beneficiary and the holder needing to be united at the time of the foreclosure.

Christine View, though, is a case involving Chapter 116 sales. And it is a Nevada Supreme Court opinion saying that the Federal Foreclosure Bar preempts. And not only that, but that the servicer has standing to assert the Federal Foreclosure Bar. And that's what we have in this case.

Bona fide purchaser misses the point of preemption. It doesn't matter if Mr. Haddad was a bona fide purchaser because preemption. Bona fide purchaser is a creature of state law. For the same reason that the Federal Foreclosure Bar preempts the effect of 116 relating to a first deed of trust, for that same reason the Federal Foreclosure Bar preempts utilization of the BFP state law doctrine. It has no place in the Federal Foreclosure Bar. There's no carve-out for it and it's preempted.

The Court seemed to have questions about if the servicer is the record beneficiary and the holder of the note, what would prevent them from just -- and I don't want to misstate what your question was, but prevent them from just doing what they want, basically, foreclosing and cutting Fannie Mae out of the picture, if there's no specific contract in evidence phenomenon
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Fannie Mae and that servicer, you only have the guide. What are the teeth that Fannie Mae could use if the servicer was to go rogue or make a mistake? Well, for one thing, the Federal Foreclosure Bar itself. Because if a servicer did that, that would be a sale of the loan that is under conservatorship. And just like the Federal Foreclosure Bar protects the deed of trust and the property interest from foreclosure, it would protect it from an unauthorized sale. That's one way that the Federal Foreclosure Bar has teeth in a different context.

THE COURT: That's only assuming that there's evidence of an ownership interest on the part of Fannie Mae.

MS. MORGAN: Right. It's a little circular. I see that. I just came up with it a little while ago.

But if that were to happen, we were under the unfortunate circumstance of having to litigate that, and it was determined to be Fannie Mae ownership and a servicer did go rogue or did make a mistake, whether it be intentional or not, the Federal Foreclosure Bar would protect Fannie Mae's interest.

The standard of proof in a civil case, of course, is preponderance of the evidence. Is it more likely than not that Fannie Mae owned this loan. And I
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would have a hard time up here if there was some other entity that came in and said, we own this loan; we've owned this loan since $X$ date; we owned the loan at the time of sale. But in this case, given that we have a continuation of 14 years covered by testimony by people with knowledge, based upon their business records, 14 years of business records. When really all we have to prove is Fannie Mae ownership on one day, November 22nd, 2013. We went above and beyond that and provided evidence of ownership for four years.

And no one else can come in here and say that they owned the loan. No one else has said it. Mr. Bohn hasn't presented anyone else who is claiming to own the loan. So if you're weighing is it more likely than not, in this case, given the evidence that we've provided and given the guidance from the Nevada Supreme Court, it's more likely than not that Fannie Mae owned this loan.

The only other parties involved are MERS. They assigned away their interest prior to the foreclosure sale. The document custodian who is holding it on behalf of Ditech, who is able to have the custodial agreement with Bank of New York Mellon in Texas, because it's provided, they never came in here saying they're trying to say they own the note. We did
not produce in the case the custodial agreement with Bank of New York Mellon, but I don't think we need to in order to meet our burden in this case.

We had the testimony of Ms. Christensen, who testified that the note is being held on Ditech's behalf in Texas by the custodian, Bank of New York Mellon. And then the testimony from Ms. Carr saying that is the type of arrangement that is anticipated and allowed in the guide. So at the end of the day, three different ways, three different reasons why the deed of trust survives.

We also have the second deed of trust. And the only reason we kept them in from our end is if the sale is found to be completely void, which isn't even necessarily what we want, if it's found to be completely void, the second would still be on title. If the Court finds under Diamond Spur or really under any of the three scenarios that the sale was void as to the super priority portion only, meaning that Saticoy Bay took its interest subject to the deed of trust, then we recognize that the second deed of trust would have been extinguished by virtue of the 116 sale.

That's it. Thank you.
THE COURT: Thanks. Last word, Mr. Bohn?
MR. BOHN: Thank you. And I will be brief.

I may get a little bit wordy.
Since Diamond Spur came out, I think this litigation is viewed by a number of judges, this is my observation, as like a plague of locusts on the court. And now that we have Diamond Spur everyone, including Your Honor, just wants to get these cases done and over with. I'm going to be sad in a year when they are over with because I have to go out and do PI work or whatever commercial litigation, and I have to make sure I'm on time with all my deadlines. I'm not going to get courtesy extensions like I do all the time.

The Akerman firm and both counsel at the table here have always been a pleasure to work with. The state bar frequently emphasizes civility amongst attorneys, and I just have to compliment not just Akerman firm but a number of the other firms representing the banks that are easy to work with trying to get these cases through the courts, present the cases as efficiently as possible.

THE COURT: That's good to hear --
MR. BOHN: And especially when counsel reminds the Court what $I$ forgot to mention is, oh, yeah, there's a second deed of trust on the property also.

For clarification, Brooks Hubley filed the
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original answer on behalf of Green Tree/Ditech, and it hasn't been amended. The Akerman firm did file on behalf of whoever the second deed of trust holder is. There really is no defense as far as the second deed of trust holder is concerned. The Court should find the second deed of trust is extinguished.

About the recording statutes. Well, counsel said we haven't proven that Fannie Mae doesn't own the loan. I'm not talking about ownership of the loan. I'm talking about an interest in the deed of trust. Statute of frauds requires, in order to have an interest in the deed of trust you have to have a written agreement, and that makes it a conveyance so it has to be recorded.

I acknowledged in my closing argument that they have contractual rights to payments. They have contractual rights to their servicers, and they're entitled to receive money on the note and the deed of trust. That doesn't mean they have an interest in the deed of trust. And because they don't have an interest in the deed of trust, that deed of trust gets extinguished.

Counsel mentioned BFP gets wiped out by the Federal Foreclosure Bar. She's overreading Berezovsky much too broadly. Berezovsky decided two issues, one
federal, one state law. The federal law was whether or not an HOA foreclosure sale is the type of sale that would be prohibited by the Federal Foreclosure Bar. And they determined it was.

The second issue was whether or not an unrecorded interest was enough of an interest in the deed of trust. And in that instance, they said specifically, because it's a federal court, they have to look to the law of the State of Nevada. And they have Butner v. United States, United States Supreme Court case. Property rights are determined by state law. And one of the property issues is bona fide purchaser. So bona fide purchaser doesn't go by the wayside because of the Foreclosure Bar. The foreclosure of the interest is what is barred. You still look at all other applicable state laws, including bona fide purchaser.

It's talking about interest in real property. If you look at, for instance, 107.090, request for notices -- I was going to say as far as Berezovsky is determined, we know what the Ninth Circuit did with Bourne Valley. And we know what the Ninth Circuit said when they had a chance to publish a decision on that issue.
107.090 was discussed in great length in both
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the Bourne Valley decision and the certified question case. The definition of a person with an interest, the statute says, specifically subsection 1, "A person of interest means any person who has or claims any right, title, interest in, or lien, or charge upon the real property described in the deed of trust as evidenced by any document or instrument recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of the county in which any part of the real property is situated."

Again, recorded.
In regards to the Golden Hill matter, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an unpublished decision December 13th, 2018, SFR v. Wells Fargo Bank. As a footnote -- the body of the case says, "Assuming a homeowner can satisfy the default that's the super priority portion of the lien, the record does not establish the HOA in this case allocated or had an obligation to allocate the former homeowner's payment in that manner."

They put a footnote to there they say, "This Court's disposition of Saticoy Bay v. JP Morgan was premised on this assumption, but the decision was undeveloped in that it had not been timely and coherently briefed."

They just don't want to listen to me.
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My point is Golden Hill is pretty much on the way out. I guess they didn't raise it enough in the lower court. This morning, as I'm eating breakfast, I realize I didn't brief the Golden Hill portion. I think that the Diamond Spur portion is what's going to be dispositive in this case. So I didn't want to run to the office and get something else written to the Court, but I would request that you consider limiting what they're entitled to under the Deeds of trust by exercising your equitable powers in this matter.

I have nothing further, and thank you again for your time.

THE COURT: Thanks, guys. Can I get you both to submit proposed findings and conclusions for me? Submit it in Word so I can cut and paste.

MR. BOHN: I did those back in October when we were supposed to go to trial on this.

THE COURT: I think I caught up on everything up until the end of the year. Now I'm behind again about another three bench trials.

MR. BOHN: Understood.
THE COURT: I'm trying to catch up again.
MR. BOHN: Can I ask you a dumb --
THE COURT: We're off the record.
(Proceedings concluded at 2:57 P.M.)
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| MR. SECHRIST: [32] | \$411.75 [1] 98/9 | 14th [2] 47/12 47/17 | 22/17 25/20 26/3 26/5 | 44 [7] 3/17 10/ |
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county [5] 1/2 88/5 88/6 114/8 114/8 couple [10] 19/14 64/17 70/22 76/7 76/9 79/2 79/10 80/14 88/11 88/22
coupled [1] 104/17 course [2] 6/15 108/24
court [53] $1 / 15 / 2$
5/2 6/9 6/11 30/11 33/15 35/13 41/13 59/15 76/16 76/18 79/11 80/1 82/2 83/1 83/5 86/3 86/15 86/20 86/25 87/17 88/17 89/24 91/4 91/9 91/20
92/12 92/23 93/7 94/13 98/20 98/24 99/14 99/22 100/10 101/6 104/15 104/19 104/22 105/5 107/4 107/19 109/17 110/17 111/4 111/22 112/5 113/8 113/11 114/12 115/3 115/8
Court's [1] 114/21 courtesy [1] 111/11 courtroom [2] 79/14 83/4
courts [2] 93/20 111/18
covenant [1] 102/5
covered [1] 109/5
crank [1] 88/10
created [2] 35/2
82/18
creates [1] $85 / 13$
creating [1] $82 / 20$ creation [1] 82/4 creature [1] 107/12 critical [1] 79/19 Crockett [1] 91/6 cross [6] 3/3 27/6 27/8 64/8 64/11 90/12 cross-examination [2] 64/8 64/11 cross-reference [1] 27/6
cross-referenced [1]
27/8

## CRR [1] 116/5 96/13

current [6] 5/7 7/16
23/22 33/3 59/25
96/23
currently [2] 25/16 25/17

58/10 71/7 71/9 71/12
71/12 71/21 72/4
72/16 72/17 72/18
85/7 109/21 110/6
custodians [1] 85/4
cut [1] 115/15
cutting [1] 107/24
CWABS [1] $1 / 12$
D
daily [5] 7/10 7/11
18/18 22/5 22/6
damages [1] 68/10
dangerous [1] 79/25
darn [1] 106/1
data [2] 23/16 27/20
database [1] 19/17
date [49] 11/15
25/14 26/25 26/25
27/4 27/13 28/6 28/8
28/22 29/22 29/23
29/24 30/1 30/23
30/23 30/25 31/1 31/1
31/17 31/19 32/20
34/5 36/1 36/11 36/12
36/16 36/17 36/19
36/21 36/21 42/9
42/11 42/21 42/22
43/18 44/20 47/11
53/22 55/11 57/12
57/13 63/5 96/17
96/19 96/20 96/20
97/20 100/4 109/3
dated [4] 48/2 57/2
61/7 62/11
dates [2] 27/4 93/25
day [4] $1 / 19$ 101/21
109/8 110/9
deadlines [1] 111/10
deal [4] 50/25 78/9

## 78/10 83/1

dealing [1] $83 / 3$
dealt [1] 87/17
debt [2] 89/1 89/9
December [18]
11/18 17/16 27/14
28/21 28/22 68/22
70/1 94/7 95/19 96/1
96/13 96/14 96/15
96/20 97/6 105/20
105/24 114/13
December 1 [1]
27/14
December 10th [1]
December 13th [1] 114/13
December 1st [1]

| D | defaulting [1] 39/1 | 15/23 17/11 22/12 | 12/24 13/2 13/13 | $33 / 2034$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December 1st... [1] | defaults [4] $8 / 16$ | 22/15 34/9 34/13 | 13/14 13/15 14/8 | 39/4 39/23 40/3 40/5 |
| 11/18 | 8/20 39/19 39/20 | 34/17 37/14 49/16 | 14/16 15/8 15/11 16/1 | 45/6 45/12 46/4 48/21 |
| December 200 | defeat [1] 80/3 | 49/17 52/14 55/25 | 16/22 18/15 21/5 | 48/24 50/1 51/22 52/3 |
| 17/16 28/22 68/22 | defeats [1] 88/4 | 56/4 58/6 58/7 60/4 | 21/24 22/3 22/18 23/8 | 52/5 53/19 53/23 |
| 70/1 105/24 | Defendant [1] 1/15 | 60/7 60/12 60/17 | 26/9 26/10 27/4 27/13 | 58/12 64/20 65/25 |
| December 2010 [1] | defendant's [1] | 60/20 62/3 64/17 | 27/15 27/18 27/20 | 67/20 69/5 76/17 |
| 96/15 | 80/21 | 65/19 67/12 82/1 90/6 | 30/9 31/24 33/15 34/8 | 85/18 87/2 88/17 92/1 |
| December 20 | Defendants [2] 2/1 | 91/20 102/4 103/15 | 35/11 35/12 36/8 38/1 | 97/16 100/7 100/9 |
| 94/7 | 4/9 | 104/11 108/5 108/20 | 38/15 41/1 42/25 45/5 | 104/12 114/16 |
| December 4th [1] | defendants' [1] | 108/20 109/25 112/2 | 47/1 47/20 48/7 48/23 | doesn't [20] 38/ |
| 28/21 | defense [1] 112/ | 113/21 115/16 | 50/5 51/20 51/21 | 59/1 68/7 68/11 90/12 |
| decided [1] 112/25 | defined [1] 79/7 | didn't [15] 83/1 91/ | 56/20 57/5 57/18 | 90/13 91/10 93/1 |
| decision [9] 51/10 | definition [4] 77/ | 92/15 93/9 94/4 95/13 | 57/18 57/21 58/8 | 96/18 98/18 101/10 |
| 79/22 90/3 94/13 | 91/25 92/7 114/2 | 101/15 101/19 102/16 | 60/25 61/3 61/25 | 101/13 101/22 102/2 |
| 101/9 113/23 114 | delay [1] 102/11 | 103/18 103/21 103/23 | 63/13 64/8 67/5 68/7 | 106/7 106/21 107/10 |
| 114/12 | deliberately [1] 8 | 115/2 115/4 115/6 | 68/11 71/2 71/17 | 112/8 112/19 113/13 |
| decisions [4] $21 / 2$ | delinquent [4] 40 | difference [4] 9/9 | 71/23 71/23 76/11 | doing [5] 12/16 43/6 |
| 68/15 91/1 91/5 | 41/8 95/24 100/4 | 31/10 74/17 96/19 | 83/13 85/4 85/9 88/11 | 50/9 54/14 107/23 |
| declaration [3] 25/20 | delivery [6] 19/1 | differences [1] 48/3 | 88/11 90/8 92/5 93/7 | on't [35] 10/10 13/8 |
| decl7 86/11 | 19/18 19/24 28/9 | different [11] 6/16 | 93/9 105/15 111/8 | 33/9 38/3 48/3 53/1 |
|  | 44/21 45/2 | 11/8 17/25 21/2 65/1 | 111/11 | 58/14 59/17 65/2 |
| declaring [1] 82/21 | demand [3] 84/24 | 67/17 100/20 101/4 | doctrine [1] 107/16 | 66/20 67/14 70/2 |
| deed [103] 9/10 9/13 | 84/25 85/2 | 108/11 110/10 110/10 | document [60] 11/15 | 70/20 75/9 79/16 |
| 9/19 9/24 10/5 10/13 | demonstrate | Direct [2] 3/3 4/22 | 13/23 17/6 17/16 | 79/17 79/17 79/19 |
| 12/22 12/24 13/22 | 77/21 | directions [1] 49/1 | 17/17 22/24 22/25 | 80/11 80/25 81/3 |
| 14/22 15/2 16/4 16/6 | denote [1] | directly [3] 12/17 | 23/1 23/6 23/9 23/14 | 81/10 81/22 86/22 |
| 16/14 16/21 17/8 | denoted [1] | 58/4 68/14 | 23/21 24/6 24/7 24/10 | 88/11 89/3 89/13 92/5 |
| 17/12 17/20 49/20 | denotes [4] 20/13 | disagree [2] 99/14 | 25/3 25/13 26/24 29/4 | 99/23 102/18 102/22 |
| 49/22 51/1 51/14 | 41/10 43/23 47/18 | 99 | 29/21 36/9 41/4 42/20 | 107/22 110/2 112/20 |
| 51/15 51/17 52/4 52/7 | denoting [1] 30/5 | discharge [2] 102/19 | 48/7 50/12 51/18 52/1 | 114/25 |
| 52/20 54/9 54/17 | department [2] | 102/20 | 52/8 52/9 52/21 53/1 | done [5] 21/14 47/1 |
| 54/20 55/21 56/2 | 21/1 | rg | 54/7 55/6 58/4 | /7 64/25 111/6 |
| 65/17 65/20 65/25 | depending [1] | 99/3 101/12 101/1 | 58/10 66/8 66/18 | oors [1] 83/4 |
| 66/1 66/2 66/4 66/15 | deprives [1] 88/5 | discretion [1] 93/ | 66/22 68/17 68/19 | down [6] 27/2 35/1 |
| 67/8 72/22 72/24 81/1 | DEPT [1] 1/6 | discuss [1] 48/8 | 69/18 70/11 71/24 | 36/1 37/4 79/10 97/4 |
| 81/5 81/7 81/8 81/11 | describe [6] 5/9 6/10 | discussed [4] 57/2 | 72/4 72/5 73/14 73/17 | drafted [1] 89/17 |
| 81/24 81/24 82/8 | 9/9 16/17 20/5 21/6 | 60/5 91/24 113/25 | 74/10 74/17 74/22 | 43 |
| 82/12 82/19 82/24 | described [2] 9/15 | discussion [1] 47/2 | 75/9 85/4 85/7 85/20 | due [5] 8/16 43/24 |
| 83/9 83/11 83/18 | 114/6 | disobey [1] 88/12 | 95/21 103/14 | /11 98/8 98/12 |
| 83/19 83/21 84/3 84/7 | description [2] 31/12 | disposition | $1114 / 7$ | ] 90/16 |
| 84/17 84/22 85/2 85/3 | 34/4 | 114/21 | documentation [3] | dumb [1] 115/23 |
| 85/20 85/21 85/22 | design | dispositive [1] 115/6 | 21/23 35/1 55/1 | during [2] 59/ |
| 85/24 86/13 87/5 | 66/12 | disputable [1] 80/14 | documents [22] 6/4 | 105/14 |
| 88/19 92/21 92/24 | De | disputing [1] 95/1 | 4 | duties [2] 5/9 67 |
| 93/20 94/18 95/2 99/5 | details [2] |  |  | E |
| 99/8 99/19 100/14 | determine [2] 19/19 | DISTRICT [2] 1/1 | 49/19 71/15 71/21 | each [4] 9/3 |
| 100/17 101/24 103/11 |  | 76/18 | 72/16 74/5 77/17 | 38/12 91/3 |
| 103/16 106/18 107/14 | determined [4] | Ditech [20] 24/15 | 80/20 87/7 102/4 | earlier [11] 34/23 |
| 108/7 110/10 110/12 |  | 24/17 24/19 24/20 | 102/15 102/16 | 37/3 42/18 44/20 |
| 110/20 110/21 111/23 | 108/19 113/4 113/11 $113 / 21$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 24/17 } \\ 24 / 2233 / 1 & 33 / 3 \\ 33 / 5\end{array}$ | does [75] 6/20 6/21 | 49/20 51/19 57/2 |
| $112 / 3112 / 4112 / 6$ $112 / 10112 / 12112 / 18$ | determines [1] 57/24 | $33 / 1633 / 2355 / 8$ | 6/22 6/23 7/2 7/8 7/17 | 65/17 74/16 76/3 85/5 |
| 112/10 112/12 112/18 <br> 112/20 112/21 112/21 | Diamond [21] 91/7 | 55/15 55/17 56/10 | 7/25 8/7 8/18 8/21 | earn [2] $7 / 258 / 2$ |
| 2/20 112/21 112/21 | 91/9 91/21 91/25 | 59/7 65/7 87/11 106/3 | 8/23 9/4 11/14 12/6 | ease [2] 12/16 50/ |
|  | 92/14 93/19 94/13 | 109/22 112/1 | 12/6 12/12 12/15 | easier [2] 50/25 51/4 |
| 115/9 | 97/10 99/1 99/21 | Ditech's [2] 95/2 | 12/17 13/3 14/3 14/22 | easily [1] 106/6 |
| deemed [1] 85/9 | 99/23 101/4 101/5 | 110/5 | 15/18 16/2 16/13 | easy [4] 21/24 50/16 |
| default [6] 10/5 40/8 | 101/7 101/21 101/23 | divorce [1] 82/1 | 16/19 17/10 18/2 18/5 | 88/10 111/17 |
| 40/15 92/22 92/23 | 102/2 110/17 111/2 | do [88] 6/2 7/17 7/19 | 18/9 18/11 18/13 | eat |
| /15 92/22 92/23 | 111/5 115/5 | 9/12 9/17 10/25 11/1 | 18/16 19/8 21/3 21/18 | Edelstein [10] 80/1 |
| defaulted [3] 8/23 | $\text { did [42] } 6 / 214 / 14$ <br> 14/15 15/16 15/17 | $\begin{aligned} & 11 / 25 \quad 12 / 2 \quad 12 / 6 \quad 12 / 7 \\ & 12 / 15 \quad 12 / 22 \quad 12 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 / 10 \quad 24 / 12 \quad 24 / 18 \\ & 25 / 926 / 2131 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 / 2383 / 783 / 13 \\ & 83 / 1686 / 2387 / 14 \end{aligned}$ |

E
Edelstein... [3] 88/14
106/16 106/24
edition [4] 57/12
57/13 59/1 59/18
effect [4] 47/16 95/7
97/9 107/13
effective [8] 30/23
30/24 42/11 42/12
42/22 43/17 55/9
55/11
efficiently [1] $111 / 19$ effort [1] 21/3
efforts [1] 48/24
either [4] 7/20 18/23
99/14 101/8
electronic [5] 14/1
19/25 20/13 23/1 87/24
elicited [1] 105/17
eligible [1] 19/20
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emphasizes [1]
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encumbrances [2]
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essentially [1] 88/1 establish [2] 76/13 114/17
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estimate [1] $7 / 13$
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event [4] 10/4 20/15 20/16 42/3
events [3] 20/13
76/23 76/25
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evidencing [1]
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excerpt [1] 47/23
excess [3] 89/7 97/21 97/22
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excused [1] 75/19
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existing [1] 62/14
exists [2] 71/20 77/21
expect [5] 31/13
34/6 56/8 60/22 60/23
expected [4] 21/9
21/10 21/16 56/6 expenses [1] 100/3 experience [1] 22/8
explain [5] 23/16

38/7 39/18 45/14
49/18
explained [1] 98/21
expressed [1] 102/5
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extensions [1]
111/11
extent [2] 99/7 99/15
extinguished [6]
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110/22 112/6 112/22
extinguishes [1]
98/17
extinguishing [1]
62/1
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60/9 60/14 60/18
61/20 62/5 62/6

## F

Facklam [1] 87/18
fact [8] 33/15 58/15
76/19 78/13 81/20
83/22 85/15 102/7
facts [2] 92/8 102/9
failure [2] 80/20
93/13
fair [2] 20/2 103/4
fairly [1] 32/14
fall [1] $93 / 5$
falls [1] 93/10
falsify [1] 86/12
familiar [3] 10/18
59/23 66/22
family [2] 13/11
61/15
Fannie [271]
Fannie Mae [209]
Fannie Mae's [56]
6/11 10/4 10/7 12/14
14/18 16/6 18/11 19/5
19/18 20/7 20/22
23/25 26/22 28/10
28/20 29/6 30/17
31/24 33/12 34/2
34/14 36/19 37/14
44/17 45/14 46/5
46/11 46/12 48/22
50/2 50/5 50/8 53/4 53/17 54/11 54/14 56/1 56/7 58/13 59/25
60/9 60/14 60/19
60/22 69/6 73/12
77/16 77/17 80/6
81/20 85/8 87/6
104/17 104/24 105/1 108/22
Fannie Mae-owned
[1] 30/6
Fannie Mae/Ditech
[2] 24/17 56/10
Fannie Mae/Freddie
Mac [2] 13/11 13/17

FannieMae.com [1]
46/17
far [6] 34/3 36/13
92/25 94/3 112/4
113/20
Fargo [1] 114/13
FARKAS [2] $1 / 25$
116/5
fashion [1] 93/18
fast [1] 91/23
federal [21] 60/1
81/14 88/17 94/2 95/7
104/6 105/3 107/5
107/7 107/13 107/15
107/16 108/4 108/7
108/10 108/21 112/24
113/1 113/1 113/3
113/8
Feds [2] 36/23 36/23
fee [6] $8 / 645 / 17$
73/23 74/3 74/19
89/10
Feel [1] 66/9
fees [3] 88/7 98/10
101/18
Felicia [4] 70/23 71/1
71/4 71/4
few [5] 77/8 91/9
91/20 97/2 97/3
FHFA [11] 59/23
59/23 60/2 60/17
60/18 60/21 61/1
61/11 61/18 62/5
104/3
FHFA's [3] 60/8
60/13 61/21
fide [15] 79/7 84/10
86/14 91/8 91/10
91/19 93/12 98/20
99/1 107/9 107/11
107/11 113/12 113/13
113/17
fields [1] 27/20
fifth [2] 29/23 55/10
file [9] 32/15 32/16
62/3 90/11 94/8 100/9 101/20 102/8 112/2
filed [4] 89/15 89/18
93/24 111/25
Files [1] 49/7
filing [1] 80/12
final [1] 74/4
Finance [1] 60/1
financial [8] 8/15
8/19 24/15 24/17 27/3
39/15 55/9 55/15
find [13] 38/16 46/15
49/4 69/18 72/2 77/3
80/20 82/1 86/4 87/4
90/10 104/18 112/5
finding [1] 99/19
findings [2] 94/15
115/14

| $F$ | forward [2] 30/1 | getting [2] 19/19 | 1] | 102/14 103/11 103/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| finds [1] 110/17 | found [5] 89/25 | give [7] 7/13 38/12 | government-sponsor ed [1] 6/13 | $5 \text { 110/4 }$ |
| fine [2] 38/23 48/10 | found [5] 89/25 $100 / 10 \text { 104/20 110/14 }$ | give [7] 7/13 38/12 $42 / 546 / 546 / 680 / 21$ | ed [1] 6/13 <br> governs [1] 48/24 | 113/23 114/17 114/23 <br> Haddad [6] 99/11 |
| finger [1] 90/13 | $110 / 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42/5 46/5 46/6 80/21 } \\ & 99 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { GPS [1] } 35 / 17$ | $102 / 3102 / 11103 / 5$ |
| finish [1] 28/1 | four [8] 35/17 40/9 | given [6] 45/16 45/17 | grandmother [1] | $103 / 17 \text { 107/10 }$ |
| 111/16 112/2 | 41/2 41/3 41/7 41/21 | 45/19 109/4 109/15 | 103/8 | half [4] 23/9 24/6 |
| firms [2] 88/9 111 | 94/10 109/10 | 109/16 | grant [2] 13/4 93/16 | 26/23 42/20 |
| first [22] 16/4 23/10 | fours [1] 101/3 | gives [14] 23/11 | granted [2] 82/18 | hand [10] 4/12 24/14 |
| 29/24 30/13 35/20 | fourth [2] 42/12 | 23/15 26/24 27/9 27/9 | 87/ | 35/25 36/13 3 |
| 35/23 41/17 41/22 |  | 27/10 30/25 31/6 | granting [1] 82/ | 43/21 58/11 102/1 |
| 41/24 43/4 49/13 | frame [1] 105/23 | 41/24 41/25 43/16 | grantor [1] 84/18 | 102/17 103/13 |
| 61/17 76/9 77/21 | frauds [8] 78/14 | 49/1 55/11 106/16 | great [2] 4/18 113/25 | handle [1] 45/4 |
| 78/12 82/9 84/16 93/1 | 79/16 82/14 84/11 | giving [7] 29/20 | GREEN [18] $1 / 84 / 6$ | hands [4] 85/7 90/20 |
| 100/14 101/24 104/19 | 88/22 88/24 88/25 | 29/21 30/4 42/11 | 4/25 52/10 52/18 | 93/6 93/11 |
| 107/14 | 112/11 | 42/21 42/22 54/12 | 52/23 53/6 53/11 | handwritten [1] |
| FKA [1] 1/9 | Freddie [3] 13/1 | glossary [1] 77/23 | 53/15 53/20 54/22 | 94/24 |
| Flipping [1] 77/3 | 61/18 | go [41] 4/18 6/5 | 55/20 65/7 86/3 86/ | happen [8] |
| FNMA [3] 103/15 | Freddie Mac [1] | 17/13 22/4 22/5 27/25 | 86/17 87/11 112/1 | 20/11 46/8 46/10 73/5 |
| 103/19 103/22 | 61/18 | 30/10 32/21 35/16 | Grievance [1] 15/10 | 74/21 93/5 108/17 |
| follow [1] 67/17 | free [2] | 40/14 42/6 42/14 | group [3] 12 | ppened [3] 55/8 |
| following [3] 29/6 | frequently [2] 22/3 | 42/20 43/9 43/12 | 18/17 19/11 | 73/9 93/24 |
| 49/10 76/25 | 111/14 | 51/15 54/24 56/11 | GSE [2] 61/19 61/ | appening [1] |
| footnote [5] 99/4 | front [3] 69/4 74/6 | 56/24 56/25 58/10 | GSEs [1] $61 / 22$ | happens [7] 8/25 |
| 100/22 105/5 114/14 | 74/11 | 64/23 74/14 76/2 76/9 | GTS [9] 11/21 25/25 | 40/13 45/21 67/11 |
| 114/20 | fulfill [1] 100/13 | 76/24 77/13 78/12 | 28/12 32/4 36/4 41/1 | 83/10 96/22 106/11 |
| foreclose [8] 46/5 | fulfillment [1] 5/ | 83/15 89/17 91/12 | 42/25 47/6 47/7 | hard [1] 109/1 |
| 48/22 50/2 83/15 | full [6] 11/16 11/18 | 94/2 96/14 96/1 | guarantee [2] 8/6 | has [60] 8/25 |
| 92/19 106/21 106 | 11/19 11/20 92/3 | 99/20 102/18 108/3 | 9/1 | 11/19 11/20 12/18 |
| 106/25 | 16/2 | 108/20 111/8 113/13 | guaranteed [3] 8/13 | 13/8 14/19 17/2 17/20 |
| foreclosed [2] 31/16 | fully [1] | 115/17 | 39/21 39/22 | 19/11 22/11 31/15 |
| 34/6 | function [1] 35/6 | goal [1] 1 | Guberland [1] | 31/15 31/16 33/24 |
| orecloses [1] 81 | funds [3] 9/6 97/2 | goes [12] 10/5 | 104 | /24 40/1 40/ |
| forecl | 98/3 | 18/24 19/16 19/18 | guess [2] 74/21 | 40/16 41/11 44/19 |
| 107/24 | further [4] | 42/5 83/18 83/19 99/5 | 115/2 | 4/25 44/25 50/10 |
| foreclosure [55] | 70/14 75/10 115/11 | 102/17 104/22 106/14 | guidance [1] 109/16 | 50/13 51/12 64/22 |
| 5/19 45/1 45/25 46/1 | G | going [36] 10/22 | guide [30] 46/14 | 65/7 67/13 67/15 |
| 48/2 48/20 49/25 |  | 12/20 25/21 27/19 | 46/15 46/16 46/20 | 72/15 72/23 |
| 54/15 58/1 62/12 |  | 38/16 40/23 41/22 | 46/24 47/16 47/18 | 73/10 73/15 74/11 |
| 62/14 62/16 62/18 | games [1] 88/14 | 43/9 46/23 47/1 48/5 | 47/23 49/1 49/3 56/24 | 76/15 76/21 77/12 |
| 63/5 81/12 81/16 83/2 | garnishment [1] | 49/4 59/18 62/25 | 57/7 59/10 59/12 | 77/15 80/19 84/20 |
| 87/19 88/17 88/20 | 81/16 | 63/13 63/16 64/18 | 59/18 65/3 65/9 65/11 | 86/3 86/7 92/23 93/18 |
| 90/19 92/14 94/2 94/7 | gave [2] 27/10 96/9 | 67/24 68/1 72/3 72/13 | 72/7 72/10 72/24 | 94/19 97/14 97/15 |
| 94/17 94/20 95/3 95/7 | general [4] 17/11 | 72/25 74/14 76/4 | 72/25 73/3 73/6 73/8 | 98/20 98/24 99/1 |
| 97/13 97/20 98/11 | 35/23 65/4 67/9 | 78/12 80/12 82/11 | 76/7 104/18 105/1 | 00/4 102/25 107/6 |
| 98/19 99/13 104/2 | generally [6] 29/17 | 84/13 88/12 90/4 97/4 | 108/1 110/9 | 107/16 108/10 109/12 |
| 104/6 104/8 105/4 | 66/23 66/24 76/23 | 100/23 111/7 111/10 | guideline [2] 66/21 | 112/14 114/4 |
| 106/23 107/2 107/5 | 94/1 105/1 | 113/20 115/5 | 80/9 | hasn't [2] 109/13 |
| 107/7 107/13 107/15 | geographic [1] 7/2 | Golden [11] 89/21 | guidelines [7] 5/16 | 112/2 |
| 107/17 108/4 108/7 | germane [1] 47/2 | 90/24 96/8 96/23 98/2 | 17/25 66/23 66/25 | have [238] |
| 108/8 108/10 108/21 | get [22] 6/4 8/11 | 98/15 98/18 99/4 | 67/5 77/4 87/6 | haven't [2] 81/22 |
| 109/21 112/24 113/2 | 39/21 39/22 58/10 | 114/11 115/1 115/4 | guys [3] 63/25 64/7 | 112/8 |
| 113/3 113/14 113/15 | 68/10 72/2 72/4 77 | go | 115/13 | having [2] 88/3 |
| foreclosures [3] 46/8 | 90/20 94/12 97/13 | good [12] $4 / 245 / 3$ 5/4 41/19 41/20 64/13 | H | $3 / 18$ |
| 46/10 87/17 | 104/18 111/1 111/6 | 64/16 75/17 79/13 | had [35] 6/5 11/10 | he [17] 63/15 |
| forget [1] $104 / 7$ forgot [2] $89 / 18$ | 111/11 111/18 115/7 | 102/1 106/1 111/20 | 47/1 52/17 54/4 54/16 | 102/13 102/13 10 |
| forgot [2] 89/18 | 115/13 | got [9] 63/14 64/7 | 54/20 55/20 56/5 56/5 | 103/9 103/9 103/10 |
| 111/22 | gets [15] 8/10 32/13 | 78/16 78/22 89/15 | 60/21 62/4 62/5 62/19 | 103/10 103/11 103/12 |
| 77/21 93/3 | 53/15 67/21 81/9 | 89/18 90/18 91/6 | 63/15 70/21 72/21 | 103/17 103/18 103/18 |
|  | 81/21 82/13 83/22 | 96/21 | 94/12 94/24 97/8 | 103/21 103/23 103/23 |
| $114 / 18$ | 84/7 84/8 84/15 85/24 | gotten [1] 79/11 | 97/21 98/13 100/12 | He's [1] 102/11 |
| forth [2] 73/14 102/9 | 88/21 112/21 112/23 | govern [1] 46/12 | 100/19 100/22 102/11 | hear [4] 95/13 |


| H | 96/24 97/2 97/11 | 115/22 | individual [2] 17/2 | 1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 97/17 98/3 98/8 98/15 | I've [13] 5/5 5/8 29/3 | 38/16 | 8/12 8/14 9/2 9/5 9/14 |
| 102/14 111/20 | 99/18 114/15 | 59/19 63/14 66/2 78/5 | individuals [3] 5/13 | $1{ }^{10 / 4} 10 / 6$ 10/13 |
|  | homeowner's [2] | 79/11 79/12 80/10 | 21/1 45/7 | $1 / 811 / 913 / 413 / 7$ |
|  | 89/23 114/18 | 80/23 81/25 91/6 | inference [1] 80/21 | 13/9 15/13 19/6 19/10 |
| held [2] 72/17 110/5 | homeowners [1] | ID [2] 30/15 30/15 | inferior [1] 80/17 | 19/13 19/14 20/10 |
| helps [2] 23/6 38/20 | 96/12 | identified [5] 13/21 | information [79] 6/6 | 27/3 27/15 27/16 |
| Henderson [2] 2/6 | Honor [24] 25/19 | 24/19 39/7 42/18 | 6/8 18/25 19/4 19/8 | 31/10 33/9 33/10 |
| 10/17 | 28/11 32/3 33/15 | 66/18 | 19/16 19/21 20/6 | 34/15 43/17 44/25 |
| her [2] | 37/17 37/19 37/23 | identifier [1] 23/25 | 20/12 20/16 20/20 | 45/17 49/12 49/23 |
| HERA [1] 61/20 | 44/8 46/23 47/22 | identifies [9] 15/3 | 20/23 20/25 21/4 | 52/17 52/24 52/25 |
| here [26] 23/16 28/4 | 47/25 51/7 58/22 59/3 | 22/21 30/15 32/19 | 21/15 21/19 21/20 | 53/2 53/5 53/10 53/18 |
| 31/13 32/19 33/1 | 63/11 70/19 75/8 | 37/6 37/8 65/20 65/22 | 21/22 22/6 23/15 | 55/21 56/6 61/12 |
| 35/22 42/15 69/5 76/9 | 75/10 75/12 80/19 | 74/13 | 23/19 23/20 23/21 | 72/23 73/15 74/3 |
| 76/11 77/12 77/13 | 93/13 93/18 94/19 | identify [4] 24/10 | 23/22 23/22 24/4 24/5 | 74/16 74/24 75/1 79/8 |
| 77/19 87/22 88/18 | 111/6 | 30/11 35/13 66/1 | 24/8 26/13 26/14 27/3 | 79/24 81/25 82/4 82/9 |
| 90/1 92/17 93/25 | HONORABLE [1] | II [1] 1/20 | 27/7 27/8 27/22 28/9 | 82/11 82/13 82/16 |
| 101/1 102/18 103/18 | 1/20 | imagine [1] 68/15 | 29/19 29/19 29/22 | 84/20 85/17 90/9 |
| 105/16 109/1 109/11 | hope [1] 90/13 | immediately [4] 17/6 | 30/2 30/3 30/16 30/20 | 91/14 99/9 104/9 |
| 109/24 111/13 | host [1] 45/1 | 28/21 84/17 100/16 | 30/20 30/23 31/2 31/5 | 106/19 106/20 108/8 |
| Here's [2] 47/24 | hour [1] 63/18 | impacts [1] 20/15 | $31 / 2031 / 2231 / 25$ | 108/13 108/22 109/20 |
| 76/20 | house [1] 90/20 | imparting [1] 86/1 | 32/13 32/15 32/17 | 110/20 112/10 112/12 |
|  | Housing [1] 60/1 | impermissible [1] | $32 / 2233 / 233 / 433 / 5$ | 112/19 112/20 113/6 |
| hide [1] | how [33] 7/8 7/14 | 92/9 | 34/7 34/10 35/21 | 113/6 113/15 113/18 |
| higher [1] 80/16 | 7/25 8/22 9/25 17/11 | implied [2] 68/13 | 35/23 36/22 37/16 | 114/2 114/4 114/5 |
| highlight [1] 76/8 | 20/5 20/7 20/22 22/3 | 102/6 | 37/21 38/11 38/12 | teresting [4] 76/7 |
| Hill [11] 89/21 90/25 | 22/8 27/4 31/24 39/18 | imply [1] 55/24 | 38/13 39/8 41/10 42/5 | 92/11 92/12 93/23 |
| 96/8 96/23 98/2 98/16 | 41/14 44/15 45/14 | important [9] 9/25 | 42/8 43/4 43/17 47/8 | Interestingly [1] |
| 98/18 99/4 114/11 | 46/8 46/10 48/24 | 10/3 19/15 20/22 | 47/10 50/14 69/20 | 93/25 |
| 115/1 115/4 | 48/25 53/19 56/20 | 20/25 42/8 43/19 83/3 | 72/4 73/4 75/1 | interests [4] 49/14 |
| hires [1] 45/4 | 64/20 90/5 90/22 95/8 | 85 | infrastructure [1] | 79/23 88/13 |
| his [3] 86/7 102/8 | 97/3 98/3 98/3 98/21 | Importantly [1] | 18/13 | troduce [2] 5/1 |
| 103/19 | 98/24 106/18 |  | initial [1] | 04/20 |
| historical [1] 46/20 | however [3] 27/22 | improvements [1] | initiation [2] 96/7 | investor [7] 8/7 8/7 |
| history [3] 29/15 | 43/5 88/ | 102/14 | 98/12 | 8/10 19/2 20/1 22/19 |
| 29/15 29/20 | Hubley [1] 111/2 | inclined [1] 93/16 | unctions [1] 93/3 | 103/9 |
| HOA [21] 5/18 32/22 | hundreds [1] 7/ | include [5] 34/21 | [1] 94/25 | voluntary [1] |
| 53/22 54/17 81/9 | I | 23 89/19 92/1 | nocent [2] 93/ | 81/17 |
| 89/23 90/1 95/10 |  |  | 103/5 | $7$ |
| 95/18 96/9 96/10 |  |  |  |  |
| 97/15 97/19 97/20 |  | includes [3] 30/12 | ng [1] 20/19 | $\text { ] } 10$ |
| 98/19 100/1 101/13 | $\begin{aligned} & 43 / 1944 / 544 / 1447 / \\ & 47 / 2156 / 1156 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | including [4] 49/9 | insist [1] 100/13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { involving [2] 77 } \\ & 107 / 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 101/17 102/5 113/2 | 56/25 57/17 58/22 | 104/5 111/5 113/17 | instance [2] 113/7 | Ironically [1] 81/19 |
|  | 62/8 80/11 | inclusion [1] 35/1 | 113/19 | irrelevant [2] 44/9 |
| HOA's [5] 88/20 90/5 95/3 98/23 100/17 | I'll [16] 17/17 33/14 | income [3] 16/1 16/2 | instances [1] 6/1 | 101/11 |
| HOAs [1] 90/22 | 44/10 49/8 51/6 63/1 | 16/3 | instead [2] 18/10 | is [423] |
| hold [2] 43/13 70/18 | 77/8 78/5 80/23 80/24 | incoming [1] 15/24 | 54/10 | isn't [7] 67/3 67/8 |
| holder [18] 9/1 9/6 | 88/16 90/7 91/12 | incorporated [1] | instructions [1] 49/2 | 68/2 68/8 68/12 84/13 |
| 9/7 12/8 39/21 39/25 | 91/22 95/8 102/23 | 74/25 | instrument [8] 13/12 | 110/14 |
| 43/25 71/7 71/8 | I'm [43] 4/24 5/5 | incorrect [1] 92/6 | 13/24 14/12 15/14 | sue [14] 10/15 36/ |
| 100/14 101/24 106/12 | 24/25 27/25 29/2 36/3 | incurred [1] 100/3 | 82/5 82/12 85/16 | 36/12 36/19 59/4 |
| 106/13 106/15 107/1 | 36/16 40/23 41/19 | independent [1] | 114/7 | 88/21 91/5 91/8 91/1 |
| 107/20 112/3 112/5 | $41 / 2143 / 946 / 23$ $46 / 2547 / 948 / 65$ |  | ruments [1] | 1 101/9 102/7 |
| holders [1] 81/2 | 59/18 62/24 63/1 | pendently [2] | intent [2] 90/14 | $5 \text { 113/24 }$ |
| holding [4] 71/15 | 63/16 64/14 64/18 | indicate [1] 26/22 | $90 / 14$ | 104/15 114/12 |
| 71/21 106/13 109/22 | 69/1 70/21 74/21 75/6 | indicated [2] 99/13 | intentional [1] | issues [6] 50/25 |
| holdings [1] 84/16 | 78/12 80/12 86/16 | indicated [2] 99/13 | 108/21 | $78 / 1191 / 491 / 20$ |
| holds [2] 71/13 72/20 HOME [2] 1/13 35/24 | 90/3 92/10 96/10 99/4 | indicates [2] 34/2 | intentionally | 112/25 113/12 |
| HOME [2] 1/13 35/24 | 102/20 104/19 111/7 | 55/20 | 86/8 | issuing [1] 101/ |
|  | 111/10 111/10 112/9 | indicating [3] 34/14 | interactions [1] | it [253] |
| $95 / 696 / 2196 / 22$ | 112/10 115/3 115/19 | 62/4 101/20 | 50/21 | it's [116] 5/4 6/6 7/4 |


| I | JPMORGAN [1] 1/11 | LAS [4] 1/23 2/14 | 103/20 | 44/14 63/14 74/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| it's... [113] 9/2 12/16 | Judge [4] 70/22 | 2/18 4/1 | levy [2] 81/15 104/7 | 93/22 96/1 108/15 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 13/17 } & 13 / 19 \\ 14 / 10\end{array}$ | 70/25 75/15 91/6 | last [12] 4/17 5/23 | Leyva [8] 82/7 82/15 | 108/16 111/1 |
| 14/20 14/24 17/3 18/7 | judges [1] 111/3 | 11/22 29/24 30/1 | 82/15 83/16 84/2 84/4 | LLC [10] 1/4 1/9 |
| 19/19 20/18 22/1 22/1 | judgment [1] 101/8 | 59/22 77/22 82/1 | 106/18 106/23 | 24/16 24/18 52/11 |
| 22/1 22/10 22/11 23/1 | July [2] 63/7 63/8 | 89/17 94/25 95/24 | lied [1] 76/3 | 52/23 54/23 55/9 |
| 23/3 24/21 25/3 25/4 | July 11 [1] 63/8 | 110/24 | lien [47] 5/19 9/14 | 55/15 55/18 |
| 26/14 29/21 30/24 | jumping [1] 79/23 | Lastly [1] 58/20 | 10/6 13/6 49/22 60/4 | LLP [1] 2/13 |
| $31 / 13$ 32/1 32/15 | June [1] 94/8 | late [2] 62/24 98/ | 60/9 60/14 60/19 | loan [179] |
| 32/17 32/20 33/7 | June 2015 [1] 94/8 | later [5] 59/1 59/17 | 61/12 62/2 62/5 62/6 | loans [53] 5/14 6/16 |
| 36/22 36/23 37/5 | Jung [1] 101/16 | 76/22 91/10 94/8 | 77/6 81/17 81/19 | 6/17 6/17 6/20 6/21 |
| 37/15 37/19 38/16 | junior [1] 91/13 | law [19] $2 / 577 / 9$ | 81/21 89/24 90/3 90/5 | 6/23 6/24 7/1 7/9 7/10 |
| 38/23 41/4 42/11 | just [40] 22/20 23/12 | 78/25 81/6 82/3 82/10 | 90/9 90/18 91/13 | 7/11 7/14 7/15 7/17 |
| 42/21 42/22 42/23 | 24/21 25/6 25/7 25/25 | 91/16 99/3 101/13 | 91/14 92/1 92/4 92/7 | $7 / 20$ 7/21 7/24 8/1 8/4 |
| 43/3 43/7 43/15 43/21 | 30/10 33/14 36/10 | 102/20 102/22 103/7 | 92/25 95/9 95/15 | 8/5 8/16 8/20 8/23 |
| 44/9 45/23 50/8 57/8 | 36/15 37/1 38/4 38/18 | 104/13 107/12 107/16 | 95/17 96/7 96/9 96/12 | 9/11 9/21 9/23 10/2 |
| 57/10 58/15 58/25 | 42/21 43/7 43/10 | 113/1 113/1 113/9 | 96/17 96/25 98/9 | 10/8 12/12 16/13 |
| 62/11 62/12 62/24 | 47/18 51/22 54/9 64/4 | 113/12 | 98/13 98/18 98/23 | 16/19 18/2 18/9 18/12 |
| 66/9 66/11 66/21 | 75/8 76/6 76/8 76/23 | lawful [1] 82/21 | 99/2 100/1 100/18 | 18/14 18/16 18/18 |
| 68/13 68/21 69/1 | 77/20 77/21 85/8 88/6 | laws [3] 87/12 88/13 | 100/25 102/21 114/5 | 19/3 19/22 21/2 22/7 |
| 69/14 69/15 69/18 | 93/25 97/18 102/23 | 113/16 | 114/16 | 34/24 34/25 35/25 |
| 71/14 72/19 73/9 | 106/22 107/21 107/23 | lawsuit [1] 93/24 | liens [5] 61/21 67/1 | 38/10 38/14 40/13 |
| 77/19 78/25 79/18 | 108/6 108/16 111/6 | LB804602 [1] 37/5 | 67/2 67/6 77/5 | 45/7 46/9 49/9 50/7 |
| 79/18 79/25 82/1 82/1 | 111/15 111/15 114/25 | lead [1] 43/20 | life [3] 18/5 20/1 | 73/10 |
| 83/2 83/25 84/9 84/12 | K | ing [1] 53 | 44/22 | locked [1] 32/18 |
| 84/18 84/19 85/6 85/8 | keep [9] 19/22 | [1] 89/7 | like [26] 10/15 25/22 | locusts [1] 111/4 |
| 85/9 87/1 87/20 91/16 | keep [9] 19/22 45 | least [4] 5/8 32/1 | 28/11 31/25 32/3 | long [3] 8/12 37/20 |
| 91/17 91/18 91/19 | (12 49/21 67/1 67/6 | 90/16 99/15 | 34/10 37/18 37/23 | 102/8 |
| 92/11 92/16 93/2 | 16 73/22 102/1 | led [1] 86/8 | 43/10 43/19 44/ | longer [5] 42/17 44/1 |
| 93/20 94/4 96/8 99/3 | ping [2] 34/14 | left [4] 12/1 24/14 | 44/14 47/6 47/21 | 44/2 56/9 63/9 |
| 99/12 101/1 101/9 | 7 | 37/4 47/11 | 56/11 56/12 56/25 | look [45] 10/23 11/13 |
| 101/11 101/12 103/1 | kept [1] 110/13 | left-hand [2] 24/14 | 57/17 58/22 62/8 | 12/20 14/8 15/7 16/4 |
| 103/21 103/22 106/20 | KIMBERLY [2] 1/25 | 37/4 | 78/18 85/20 90/9 | 17/18 22/12 22/16 |
| 107/17 108/15 109/17 | 116/5 | legal [3] 58/2 97/9 | 108/6 111/4 111/11 | 23/8 23/19 23/20 |
| 109/24 110/15 113/8 | kind [4] 6/23 6/25 | 99/2 | likely [3] 108/25 | 24/24 25/18 26/8 |
| 113/18 | 99/16 102/17 | legally [1] 101/11 | 109/15 109/17 | 26/23 29/9 32/21 34/3 |
| items [1] 64/24 | knew [5] 90/4 90/5 | legislation [1] 104/5 | likes [1] 84/14 | 35/25 36/15 37/1 37/3 |
| its [32] 7/4 17/4 18/8 | 101/17 103/9 103/10 | Legislative [1] 78/22 | limit [2] 48/6 94/19 | 40/23 41/16 43/9 |
| 18/10 28/3 34/15 | know [23] 5/2 9/12 | lender [17] 14/11 | limitations [1] 87/19 | 43/20 47/6 51/16 52/6 |
| 39/12 39/15 41/11 | 13/15 23/6 48/3 48/25 | 14/16 14/23 14/24 | limited [4] 21/25 | 54/25 57/3 57/17 |
| $45 / 245 / 3$ 46/5 46/13 | 57/3 59/12 59/17 | 15/4 17/1 17/4 51/24 | 22/1 49/10 77/16 | 61/17 65/8 66/9 78/19 |
| 47/19 48/21 49/14 | 70/21 71/2 71/4 73/8 | 65/22 66/3 66/8 66/11 | limiting [1] 115/8 | 94/6 98/7 99/23 99/24 |
| 50/1 60/4 66/3 67/12 | 79/17 80/11 87/18 | 66/12 76/12 83/12 | line [21] 13/10 23/10 | 103/23 113/9 113/16 |
| 70/7 92/24 96/9 96/11 | 89/3 92/3 94/12 | 83/12 86/1 | 25/5 26/24 29/24 | 113/19 |
| 97/5 98/21 99/19 | 103/19 103/22 113/21 | lender's [5] 14/11 | 35/20 36/1 36/5 36/6 | looked [7] 27/5 |
| 100/13 102/24 103/1 | 113/22 | 14/16 14/24 51/24 | 36/10 36/16 41/24 | 42/18 58/23 74/15 |
| 106/10 110/20 | knowledge [12] | 65/10 | 42/2 42/11 42/12 | 95/20 98/25 99/23 |
| itself [5] 27/23 45/11 | 17/22 52/5 60/6 60/7 | lenders [1] 14/19 | 42/16 43/10 43/10 | looking [7] 15/8 25/1 |
| $80 / 3 \text { 106/4 108/4 }$ | 60/11 60/12 66/6 72/9 | length [1] 113/25 | 43/11 43/12 55/10 | 52/9 55/4 69/1 95/17 |
| $\text { IV [1] } 10 / 22$ | 103/11 103/13 103/21 | less [1] 74/3 | lines [1] 35/18 | 96/10 |
| IV [1] 10/22 | 109/6 | let [8] 5/2 43/13 57/3 | liquidity [2] 6/14 | lose [3] 88/15 93/21 |
| J | L | 72/14 93/5 94/2 94/11 | 6/15 | 102/24 |
| JANUARY [5] 1/21 |  | 104/18 | lis [1] 90/12 | lost [1] 67/ |
| 4/1 96/18 104/14 |  | let's[10] 9/8 11/13 | list [1] 14/22 | lot [4] 71/2 79/1 |
| 105/7 | land [3] 82/4 88/2 | 12/20 26/8 29/9 29/10 | listen [1] 114/25 | 85/6 102/15 |
| JARED [2] 2/12 4/24 |  | 38/18 40/25 51/16 | listened [1] 59/9 | love [1] 80/12 |
| jared.sechrist [1] | land-b | 54/24 | litigate [1] 108/18 | low [1] 103/3 |
| 2/15 | lands [2] 82/16 82/1 | letter [13] 61/1 | litigation [11] 4/25 | lower [4] 24/25 |
| JERRY [1] 1/20 | language [5] 82/24 | 61/11 61/13 61/15 | 5/19 5/21 6/1 61/19 | 42/20 91/9 115/3 |
| job [6] 5/17 6/3 68/2 | 100/5 100/10 102/3 | 62/13 91/24 92/9 | 62/14 62/17 78/8 | lucky [1] 105/21 |
| 68/4 68/8 68/11 | large [1] 66/22 | $\begin{aligned} & 96 / 12 \text { 97/6 99/21 } \\ & 99 / 22100 / 7100 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | 86/10 111/3 111/9 litigations [1] 5/23 | M |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { join [1] } & 83 / 13 \\ \text { JP [1] } & 114 / 21 \end{array}$ | largest [1] 6/13 | level [2] 17/11 | little [10] 24/21 37/3 | ma'am [3] 4/18 |

M [2]
marriage [2] 89/1 89/9
MASTER [1] $1 / 12$ matches [1] 103/16 matter [16] 38/19 64/15 78/8 91/11 92/16 98/18 99/3 101/10 101/13 101/13 101/22 102/19 102/22 107/10 114/11 115/10 matters [1] 99/8 maturity [5] $11 / 15$ 27/3 27/13 40/4 44/20 may [12] 12/10 13/19 20/11 40/7 52/12 52/19 53/19 58/2 67/7 77/5 103/13 111/1
May 13 [1] 53/19
May 2013 [1] $52 / 19$
May 28th [1] 52/12
maybe [1] 13/18
mbohn [1] $2 / 7$
MBS [5] $8 / 2035 / 1$ 69/22 70/1 70/5
McCLAREN [11] $1 / 5$
10/17 23/4 23/7 23/11 34/16 35/21 39/5 60/5 60/9 60/14
me [29] 10/25 12/3
20/5 21/6 24/9 25/10
29/17 36/8 38/16
41/18 43/13 43/16
45/23 47/14 54/16
55/4 57/3 57/6 61/10 64/15 69/4 71/5 72/14 74/11 74/17 94/21 104/18 114/25 115/14 mean [14] 9/17 13/3 14/17 15/18 36/5 36/18 51/22 52/3 58/8 61/25 72/12 73/8 106/21 112/19 meaning [4] $10 / 13$ 17/5 87/3 110/19 meaningful [1] 96/19 meaningless [1] 80/25
means [5] 13/6 13/15 money [10] 39/25
15/19 49/18 114/4 meant [2] 103/19 103/22
mediation [1] 106/23 meet [2] 70/21 110/3 meets [1] 40/6
MELANIE [1] $2 / 12$ melanie.morgan [1] 2/15
MELLON [4] 1/9 109/23 110/2 110/7 member [8] $14 / 5$ 14/6 16/8 16/9 16/10 16/24 17/1 54/3 memo [1] 76/8
mention [1] 111/22 mentioned [1]
112/23
mere [1] $84 / 5$
MERS [46] $13 / 12$
13/24 13/25 14/1 14/4
14/5 14/6 14/9 14/11
15/22 15/24 16/6 16/8 16/10 16/14 16/24
17/1 17/6 51/19 51/23
52/3 52/9 52/15 52/18
52/24 53/1 53/13
53/15 53/20 54/3 54/4
55/20 83/10 83/10
85/22 86/2 86/3 86/14
86/24 87/2 87/4 87/23
87/25 88/2 88/2
109/19
MERS's [1] 52/25
met [1] $103 / 1$
methods [1] 70/6
MICHAEL [2] $2 / 5$ 64/13
middle [2] $24 / 2$
55/10
might [3] 70/23 71/1
100/20
Miles [9] 91/24 95/4
97/5 97/8 98/16 98/25
99/20 99/22 100/11
Miller [2] 70/23 71/1
million [2] 7/15 45/7
MIN [2] 41/24 103/15
mindset [1] 101/14
minute [2] 38/16 88/10
minutes [6] 56/22
63/15 63/16 63/16 63/18 77/8
misses [1] 107/9
misspoke [1] 40/23
misstate [1] 107/22
mistake [2] 108/3
108/20
model [1] 80/6
modify [3] 77/20 92/14 93/22

43/25 89/10 89/10 90/2 90/22 92/5 92/6 98/5 112/18
month [5] 9/3 18/7
32/2 36/21 95/12
monthly [4] 21/8 29/20 33/8 44/2 months [5] 40/10 41/7 91/10 100/2 100/16
Montierth [5] 84/14 84/16 87/14 104/12 106/8
more [22] $15 / 14$
56/20 59/13 63/2

70/16 76/21 84/4 92/5 $110 / 4$ 110/7
92/6 96/2 96/3 97/2 Ms. Bourland [4]
97/3 97/11 97/11 95/10 95/18 95/20
97/12 97/12 97/18
103/22 108/24 109/14
109/17
MORGAN [2] 2/12
114/21
morning [4] $4 / 245 / 3$
89/16 115/3
98/4
Ms. Carr [13] 4/11
4/24 25/22 38/6 57/4
59/23 63/4 63/12 64/4
64/13 70/20 75/13
110/7
Ms. Christensen [1]
110/4
5/10 6/18 6/24 7/1 7/9 much [9] 19/12 20/18 7/20 7/22 8/9 8/11 $\quad 43 / 4$ 56/20 66/8 96/3 9/11 17/15 18/24
34/24 35/5 37/7 38/9
44/18 49/7 49/9 49/12
50/25 57/23 57/25
58/3 67/7 69/10 76/18
77/6 77/15 78/1 78/2
78/2 87/23
mortgage-backed
[12] 6/18 7/20 7/22
8/9 8/11 17/15 18/24
34/24 35/5 37/7 38/9
69/10
mortgages [20] 29/4
35/4 35/7 35/8 35/14
37/8 37/12 37/15
38/12 39/6 42/19
44/15 68/20 68/25
69/9 69/21 69/24 70/9
73/18 74/11
most [4] 19/15 46/8
57/22 85/25
motions [1] 80/12
move [11] 25/19
25/24 28/12 32/3
37/18 38/24 44/5
47/21 56/12 58/22
62/8
moved [1] 36/20
moves [2] 19/2 36/22
moving [2] 40/11 43/7
Mr. [17] 28/13 64/7
75/11 75/16 75/24
94/23 99/11 101/16
102/3 102/7 102/11
103/5 103/17 106/18
107/10 109/13 110/24
Mr. Bohn [10] 28/13
64/7 75/11 75/16
75/24 94/23 102/7
106/18 109/13 110/24
Mr. Haddad [6]
99/11 102/3 102/11
103/5 103/17 107/10
Mr. Jung [1] 101/16
Ms. [18] 4/11 4/24 25/22 38/6 57/4 59/23 63/4 63/12 64/4 64/13 70/20 75/13 95/10
95/18 95/20 98/4

97/3 112/25 115/1
multiple [1] 88/24
must [7] 32/1 33/10
39/21 44/23 45/2 57/9 78/8
my [36] $4 / 164 / 17$
5/4 5/7 5/12 6/3 13/16
17/22 44/8 47/24 52/5
59/9 60/6 60/11 64/13
66/6 71/6 71/10 72/1
72/5 72/9 72/15 73/20
74/11 75/4 75/6 76/8
78/12 89/22 93/13
94/25 104/18 111/3 111/10 112/15 115/1

## N

N.A [1] 1/11
name [22] 4/15 4/16
4/17 5/4 17/7 23/23
24/11 24/15 27/23
27/23 28/3 28/4 29/2
42/7 50/12 50/15 54/7
64/13 84/23 85/8 85/8 85/9
names [1] 43/6
NAS [6] 95/18 95/23
96/12 97/17 101/11
101/17
Nationstar [1] 76/16
Near [1] 13/10
necessarily [2] 40/5 110/15
necessary [4] 45/25
48/20 49/25 54/15
need [15] 17/17 22/6
27/6 38/3 54/7 66/10
72/2 78/13 84/6 87/10 90/22 102/18 102/22 104/12 110/2
needed [3] 50/11
50/17 105/15
needing [1] 107/1
needs [6] 21/20
57/24 58/9 85/7 91/18
100/24
negligence [1] 67/22
neither [2] 100/8
101/1
network [1] 21/1

| N | normally [1] 83/21 | 15/15 15/20 78/16 | observation [1] | one-page [1] 88/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nev [1] 82/2 |  | 18 |  |  |
| NEVADA [31] $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1/23 2/14 2/18 4/1 | 15/6 16/1 16/22 17/5 | 0010 | Occhiuto [2] 82/2 | 19/25 20/9 92/4 |
| 5/18 9/11 10/17 13/11 | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { online [2] 57/15 } \\ & 72 / 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| 77/8 78/20 80/8 83/1 |  | November [12] 16/5 | 1] 20/18 |  |
| 87/13 87/16 88/6 | $34 / 934 / 1234 / 17$ | 32/21 32/23 34/21 | Occurs [1] October [2] 89/17 | $70 / 670 / 1172 / 23$ |
| $88 / 23 ~ 90 / 14 ~ 99 / 14 ~$ $99 / 22 ~ 100 / 9101 / 6$ | 39/23 40/5 43/9 | 53/21 54/17 54/19 | 115/16 | 1/10 84/18 97/14 |
| 99/22 100/9 $101 / 6$ $104 / 13104 / 14104$ | 45/12 49/9 52/5 53/23 | 62/18 63/6 95/25 | off [6] 31/16 34/6 | 98/13 106/2 107/6 |
| 104/22 105/5 107/4 | 54/9 54/14 56/4 58/18 | 97/13 109/9 | 63/25 67/1 79/25 | 108/1 108/12 109/19 |
| 109/16 113/9 114/12 | 59/18 60/6 60/11 | November 2013 [2] | 115/24 | 110/13 110/19 |
| never [5] 10/12 | 60/20 61/11 62/1 62/7 | 34/21 97/13 | office [2] 114/7 | operate [2] 7/3 90/23 |
| 80/10 87/11 90/6 | 62/12 62/24 66/6 | November 22 |  | g [1] 10 |
| 109/24 | 67/12 67/15 67/15 |  | ceri | ional [1] |
| Nevertheless [1] | 68/13 69/23 70/4 | November 23rd [1] | 20/11 | 19/2 |
| 100/9 | 71/23 71/23 72/1 72/5 | 16/5 |  | operatio |
| new [7] 1/9 1/10 | $\begin{aligned} & 73 / 773 / 776 / 2180 / 2 \\ & 84 / 2084 / 2384 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { now [31] } 15 / 717 / 8 \\ 18 / 2525 / 1426 / 829 / 9 \end{gathered}$ | often [2] 7/8 31/24 oftentimes [3] 91/2 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 / 10 \\ & \text { opinion [6] } 62 / 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 78/23 100/25 109/23 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 / 2084 / 2384 / 23 \\ & 85 / 1886 / 586 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | 18/25 25/14 26/8 29/9 $30 / 1036 / 336 / 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oftentimes [3] 91/2 } \\ & 91 / 293 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | opinion [6] 62/17 100/21 100/22 102/8 |
| 110/2 110/6 | $86 / 20 \text { 86/24 87/1 }$ | $36 / 2336 / 2443 / 5$ | $\text { oh [6] } 54 / 2277 / 19$ | 104/15 107/5 |
|  | 87/21 88/6 88/18 89/3 | 43/15 48/4 51/16 | 78/6 79/24 86/16 | order [7] 11/25 |
|  | 89/4 89/8 90/25 91/1 | 53/15 63/18 65/14 | 111/22 | 79/21 82/13 89/25 |
| $95 / 16$ | 91/2 91/18 91/20 | 66/21 76/5 80/23 | okay [46] 5/3 8/25 | 110/3 112/11 |
| nickels [1] 88/11 | 91/24 92/1 92/17 93/8 | 82/23 83/21 85/4 92/3 | 10/21 11/4 12/6 22/19 | orders [1] 101/7 |
| night [2] 75/17 94/25 | 94/2 95/2 96/2 97/14 | 92/11 93/10 97/23 | 23/5 23/8 24/24 25/12 | organization [1] 5/ |
| nine [2] 100/2 100/16 | 97/16 98/13 98/21 | 98/2 111/5 115/19 | 25/18 26/11 26/17 | orientation [1] 17/25 |
| Ninth [3] 87/24 | 100/7 103/5 104/12 | NRS [5] 80/15 82/5 | 28/25 33/18 36/10 | original [9] 14/23 |
| 113/21 113/22 | 104/20 106/2 106/7 | 82/16 99/7 105/4 | 41/1 41/16 41/20 43/2 | 51/20 57/25 58/3 71/7 |
| no [69] 1/6 1/6 $1 / 25$ | 106/12 106/24 107/6 | NRS 116.311 [2] | 44/14 47/11 48/6 | 71/8 72/18 72/21 |
| 4/6 7/1 11/20 15/6 | 108/21 108/25 109/15 | 99/7 105/4 | 48/10 51/16 51/19 | 112/1 |
| 18/15 25/10 26/2 | 109/17 110/1 111/10 | nuisance [6] 92/1 | 55/1 59/11 61/4 61/7 | originally [1] 70/5 |
| 28/14 32/6 33/7 34/12 | 111/15 112/9 113/2 | 100/7 100/8 100/20 | 63/19 64/5 64/19 65/6 | originate [4] 6/20 |
| 34/17 36/11 37/24 | 113/5 114/16 114/23 | 100/24 101/2 | 65/17 65/20 65/25 | 6/21 18/12 18/14 |
| 42/17 44/1 44/2 48/11 | note [62] 9/10 9/12 | number [28] 3/9 | 66/7 66/15 66/21 | originated [1] 18/10 |
| 48/13 52/5 52/17 54/6 | 9/19 9/23 10/12 11/11 | 23/24 23/24 24/1 24/1 | 66/25 69/1 70/2 72/6 | originating [1] 18/10 |
| 56/4 56/9 56/16 57/17 | 12/5 15/9 15/12 15/13 | 24/3 24/4 25/1 25/2 | 72/14 73/13 | origination [2] 18/8 |
| 58/14 60/16 60/20 | $16 / 25$ 17/8 17/12 | 25/5 25/10 26/17 30/8 | old [1] 100/25 | 105/20 |
| 62/7 63/9 64/3 65/12 | 17/20 27/5 27/7 27/12 | 35/18 37/5 38/6 41/24 | older [1] 82/7 | originator [1] 78/2 |
| 66/12 66/18 70/14 | 35/19 49/20 49/22 | 41/25 42/1 42/8 42/15 | oldest [1] 98/5 | Orme [1] 2/17 |
| 72/12 73/17 75/12 | 51/13 51/15 55/21 | 42/16 42/18 88/1 | omission [2] 86/8 | other [27] 27/ |
| 75/22 76/15 77/12 | 56/2 57/19 57/23 | 91/25 103/16 111/3 | 86/11 | 38/14 49/11 56/1 58/2 |
| 77/18 81/14 81/19 | 57/25 58/3 58/9 58/11 | 111/16 | once [17] 18/23 20/8 | 72/7 72/24 73/6 73/7 |
| 82/16 85/10 85/14 | 58/13 59/5 66/7 66/13 | NV [2] 1/25 2/6 | 32/2 32/17 40/2 40/13 | 73/16 75/25 79/14 |
| 86/6 86/16 86/20 | 71/7 71/8 71/13 71/ | 0 | 44/19 65/2 76/11 81/9 | 80/11 83/5 83/17 84/4 |
| 92/16 94/9 99/1 101/2 |  |  | 83/4 83/14 84/19 | 84/6 86/25 89/4 91/3 |
| 101/25 102/1 104/11 | 74/14 74/14 81/5 81/6 |  | 90/18 99/2 101/11 | 1/22 91/23 101/17 |
| 104/11 105/13 107/16 | $81 / 1081 / 1183 / 8$ $83 / 1383 / 1883 / 19$ | objection [12] 26/2 | 101/12 ${ }^{\text {one [49] } 6 / 128 / 16}$ | 109/1 109/19 111/16 |
| 107/17 107/25 109/11 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 / 1383 / 1883 / 19 \\ & 84 / 284 / 684 / 784 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | objection [12] 26/2 28/14 37/24 44/7 44/8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { one [49] 6/12 8/16 } \\ & 8 / 2015 / 1420 / 340 / 6 \end{aligned}$ | $113 / 16$ <br> others [3] 15/23 |
| 109/12 112/4 nobody [4] 62/19 | 85/1 106/12 106/13 | 48/11 48/13 51/3 53/7 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 / 2015 / 1420 / 340 / 6 \\ & 40 / 840 / 2443 / 1346 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | others [3] 15/23 $18 / 10 \quad 19 / 14$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { nobody [4] 62/19 } \\ 85 / 1190 / 490 / 4 \end{array}$ | 107/21 109/25 110/5 | 56/16 58/25 62/10 | 48/7 51/25 58/19 | otherwise [2] 77/7 |
| nominee [9] 14/10 | 112/18 | objections [1] 32/6 | 59/13 63/2 66/18 67/9 | 99/13 |
| 15/23 16/15 51/23 | Note/Change [1] | obligated [2] 11/7 | 69/9 69/13 75/8 75/9 | our [24] 5/14 5/16 |
|  | 15/9 | 47/20 | 76/10 77/22 78/12 | 6/7 6/21 7/16 19/1 |
| $54 / 3$ | noted [1] 11/8 | obligation [8] 39/16 | 79/3 79/5 80/10 80/12 | 20/12 22/25 27/16 |
| non [2] 69/2 78/8 | notes [5] 1/13 11/10 | 100/14 100/15 101/12 | 80/15 81/19 83/17 | 45/8 47/2 57/9 60/2 |
|  | 12/13 49/14 89/22 | 101/25 102/1 103/1 | 84/3 84/16 88/8 89/2 | 63/17 76/21 85/8 85/9 |
|  | nothing [10] 15/2 | 114/18 | 89/8 91/20 91/25 | 92/2 92/9 96/15 96/16 |
|  | 55/23 70/19 75/10 | obligations [10] | 92/22 95/3 104/8 | 102/8 110/3 110/13 |
| [1] | 75/25 90/1 95/4 101/4 | 12/10 50/16 52/2 | 108/4 108/9 109/8 | out [31] 9/5 17/6 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { nonjuadicial [4] } 83 \\ \text { 87/17 87/20 87/20 } \end{array}$ | 101/20 115/11 | 53/16 57/9 58/18 | 109/11 109/12 112/25 | 19/23 33/14 36/22 |
| nonprofit [1] 90/22 | notice [12] 15/10 | 67/17 73/11 77/2 92/3 | 113/1 113/12 | 39/25 76/7 77/22 |




| R | REPORTER'S [1] | 29/15 30/8 30/13 | $37 / 443 / 481 / 382 / 21$ | 4/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reimbursed [2] |  |  |  | d [33] 23/9 |
| 43/23 43/23 | 23 | 34/3 34/21 35/25 36/3 $36 / 1236 / 13 ~ 43 / 21$ |  |  |
| reimbursements [1] | represent [2] 4/25 | 45/5 45/10 45/12 | SATICOY [6] 1/4 4/6 | 36/1 36/5 36/6 36/8 |
|  | 57/25 | 47/12 50/19 50/22 | 92/18 93/21 110/19 | 37/4 42/7 42/16 42/25 |
| $98 / 1 \quad 101 / 11 \quad 101 / 16$ | representative [2] | 51/14 53/3 53/6 58/12 | 114/21 | 43/13 48/8 49/14 |
| rejection [1] 101/2 | 6/8 105/16 | 61/8 61/23 63/21 64/9 | satisfied [4] 98/13 | 55/12 69/12 69/13 |
| relate [1] $9 / 10$ | representing [1] | 65/13 70/20 71/7 75/3 | 98/14 98/14 98/22 | 75/8 75/10 98/2 |
| relating [1] 107/14 | 111/17 | 75/20 76/10 80/19 | satisfy [4] 92/2 96/2 | 110/12 110/16 110/21 |
| relationship [7] 16/6 | represents [2] 21/11 | 84/21 84/24 84/25 | 103/1 114/15 | 111/23 112/3 112/4 |
| 47/18 50/13 59/25 | 55/7 | 85/2 86/22 100/12 | save [2] 88/11 91/14 | 112/6 113/5 |
| 67/16 76/14 106/9 | rep | 103/25 106/14 106/16 | savvy [2] 103/6 | secondary [1] 6/14 |
| relationships [2] | re |  |  |  |
| 46/13 76/10 |  | right-hand [3] 35 | saw [6] 29/3 35/ | 49/3 49/6 57/2 57/ |
| release [1] 60/4 | 5/8 | 36/13 43/21 | 38/21 44/20 51/19 | 57/18 |
| releasing [1] 61/11 |  |  |  | 边 |
| relevance [1] 99/2 | 65/3 66/25 77/5 83/23 | 112/16 112/17 113/11 | say [38] 5/3 10/1 | secured [3] 10/8 |
| relevant [2] 59/18 | 87/6 | risk[9] $8 / 158 / 19$ | 17/16 22/5 25/2 40/2 | 10/16 84/22 |
| 62/12 | required [2] 101/18 | 39/15 40/14 40/15 | 54/18 69/5 70/24 73/3 | securing [2] 60/8 |
| reliable [4] 20/24 | 104/20 | 40/17 67/24 103/10 | 75/5 77/20 78/5 80/23 | 60/13 |
| 22/9 22/10 106/2 | requires [3] 77/9 | 103/11 | 80/24 85/6 85/8 86/16 | securities [1] 38/10 |
| relied [1] 105/9 | 80/9 112/11 | rogue [2] 108/3 | 86/20 87/2 89/11 90/9 | securitization [1] |
| relied-upon [1] | resistance [1] 103 | 08/ | 90/12 90/13 92/5 92/7 | 39/11 |
| 105/9 | respect [3] 7/24 | role [1] 5/12 | 92/15 94/13 102/2 | securitize [1] 7/2 |
| relief [8] 92/22 93/2 | 18/22 22/12 | rough [1] 7/13 | 102/4 102/23 103/17 | securitized [10] 8/ |
| 93/3 93/7 93/9 93/15 | respectfully [4] 93/8 | routine [1] 78/8 | 103/20 104/22 109/11 | 8/5 39/14 39/19 39/20 |
| 93/17 93/19 | 93/12 93/22 94/18 | row [1] $24 / 25$ | 109/25 113/20 114/20 | 40/3 40/3 40/5 40/20 |
| relies [2] 79/21 86/15 | res | RPR [1] 116/5 | saying [6] 68/16 | 63/4 |
| rely [1] 20/7 | responsibilities [11] | rule [3] 76/20 85/18 | 79/24 100/25 107/5 | security [28] 6/18 |
| remainder [4] 45/21 | 5/10 5/18 12/10 20/11 | 92/17 | 109/25 110/7 | 7/21 7/22 8/9 8/11 9/6 |
| 45/22 73/23 74/8 | 44/23 44/24 45/1 46/3 | rules [2] 83/6 87/21 | says [45] 13/11 14/9 | 10/1 10/12 13/4 13/24 |
|  | 46/7 57/8 67/10 | run [1] 115/6 | 15/9 17/3 23/10 24/17 | 14/12 15/14 17/15 |
| remaining [1] 48/1 |  | S | 25/1 25/5 25/6 29/14 | 8/24 34/25 35/5 37/7 |
| remains [1] 8/12 | $11$ | sad [1] 111/7 | 17 68/17 76/10 | 49/14 49/15 67/2 <br> 69/10 70/1 70/5 81/7 |
| remanded [1] 76/18 | responsible [6] | Sahara [1] $2 / 18$ | $76 / 2078 / 1779 / 581 / 6$ | 1/9 81/13 8 |
| manning [1] 101/8 | 20/19 36/24 47/20 | said [21] 36/5 59/10 | 81/14 81/21 83/7 | 102/25 |
| 1] 67/13 | 67/22 68/1 90/15 | 63/15 76/4 76/16 78/5 | 83/16 84/4 85/22 86/2 | see [51] 11/22 11/2 |
| remember [2] | rest [2] 37/21 75/23 | 80/1 80/23 82/3 82/8 | 86/13 87/1 87/8 90/17 | 11/25 13/10 13/13 |
|  | restrictions [1] 21/18 | 83/13 83/16 88/17 | 91/10 92/2 92/12 | 14/9 15/8 17/16 17/17 |
|  | result [1] 102/21 | 91/7 92/15 93/20 | 92/20 93/4 96/8 98/20 | 24/15 26/9 26/17 27/2 |
|  | retained [1] 95/18 | 109/2 109/12 112/8 | 99/6 99/12 101/24 | 28/4 29/4 29/14 30/7 |
|  | retains [1] 92/13 | 113/7 113/22 | 103/18 105/5 114/3 | 31/13 31/25 32/24 |
| remittance [2] | retrieve [2] 22/6 | sale [45] 15/9 32/2 | 114/14 | 4/7 34/8 34/10 35/17 |
|  | 72/3 | 33/17 44/9 48/3 51/11 | scale [1] 7/14 | 35/18 35/19 35/20 |
|  | retrieved [2] 22/25 | 53/22 54/17 59/1 | scenarios [1] 110/18 | 36/1 36/12 36/15 |
|  | 23/14 | 62/12 62/14 62/16 | schedule [22] 29/3 | 37/14 42/15 43/11 |
|  | return [3] 7/25 8/2 | 62/18 63/5 63/9 81/12 | 35/4 35/6 35/8 35/14 | 49/6 49/8 55/10 55/25 |
|  | 35/3 | 81/16 83/24 87/19 | 37/7 37/11 37/15 | 56/7 56/8 57/18 57/18 |
| ts [1] 73/22 | reversal [1] 30/19 | 88/20 89/6 92/14 | 38/11 39/6 42/19 44/2 | 60/22 60/23 62/3 |
|  | reversals [1] 30/18 | 92/15 92/18 93/23 | 68/20 68/25 69/9 | 64/15 66/20 72/5 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { repay [3] } \\ 13 / 8 \end{gathered}$ | review [5] 21/14 | 94/7 94/11 94/17 | 69/20 69/23 69/24 | 80/12 102/15 102/1 |
|  | 21/15 55/25 66/10 | 94/20 95/3 98/11 | 70/2 70/9 73/18 74/11 | 108/16 |
| repayment <br> repeat [2] | 105/9 | 99/10 99/17 102/5 | scheduled [4] $8 / 11$ | seeing [2] 23/16 |
| repeat [2] 53/12 6 | reviewed [4] 65/17 | 104/2 104/7 108/5 | 8/13 9/2 9/4 | 31/13 |
| Rephrase [1] 51/5 | 66/2 66/7 79/12 | 108/9 109/4 109/21 | school [1] 82/10 | seek [1] 47/3 |
|  | revived [1] 98/22 | 110/14 110/18 110/22 | screen [3] 26/11 | seemed [2] 102/7 |
| $39 / 4$ | REVOLVING [1] $1 / 13$ | 113/2 113/2 | 27/20 55/2 | 107/19 |
| reported [9] 1/25 | right [58] 4/12 6/12 | sales [2] 103/3 107/4 | screenshots [3] 47/1 | seems [1] 99/25 |
| 5/14 5/15 21/16 31/6 | 12/4 15/2 15/10 19/17 | same [20] 24/24 | 73/16 73/19 | seen [11] 10/20 11/2 |
| 31/19 32/23 33/23 | 22/22 24/25 25/6 25/6 | 26/17 26/19 27/13 | seated [1] 4/19 | 30/19 37/11 61/4 65/6 |
| 56/9 | 25/7 25/14 26/19 | 27/15 29/9 30/7 32/23 | SECHRIST [2] 2/12 | 65/10 71/25 72/2 |


| S | 76/19 76/21 77/15 | 87/7 95/21 104/1 | 978 | 106/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| seen... [2] 80/10 | 102/25 104/16 104/20 | showing [1] 90/1 | 11 83/17 | spoken [1] 11/10 |
| 81/25 | 104/24 104/24 107/6 | shown [1] 28/22 | 85/20 86/13 87/3 | sponsored [1] 6/13 |
| selected [2] 21/13 | 107/20 108/1 108/3 | shows [3] 72/22 | 90/24 92/4 92/16 93/7 | Spur [21] 91/7 91/9 |
|  | 108/5 108/20 | 73/14 74/22 | 93/12 95/1 95/12 96/1 | 91/21 91/25 92/14 |
| sell [2] 58/12 59/7 | servicer's [7] 33/7 | side [5] 12/1 35/25 | 96/3 96/5 96/14 96/15 | 93/19 94/13 97/10 |
| seller [11] 17/23 | 67/22 68/2 68/4 77/1 | 36/13 37/4 43/21 | 96/18 96/22 96/25 | 99/1 99/21 99/23 |
| sell/24 19/8 19/11 | 80/10 105/6 | signed [1] $11 / 6$ | 97/5 97/7 97/12 97/20 | 101/4 101/5 101/7 |
| 23/17 24/9 27/22 | Servicer/Notice [1] | significance [1] | 98/7 98/10 98/15 | 101/22 101/24 102/2 |
| 27/23 28/4 29/2 35/24 | 15/10 | 42/15 | 99/18 100/25 103/25 | 110/17 111/2 111/5 |
| sellers [4] 18/3 57/7 | servicers [30] 5/14 | signifies [1] 26/1 | 104/19 104/19 105/20 | 115/5 |
| 57/10 57/11 | 12/18 14/19 20/12 | signify [2] 24/18 25/9 | 105/21 109/14 110/9 | stamp [4] 11/21 |
| selling [2] 58/18 73/1 | 20/21 21/9 21/13 | signing [1] 52/20 | 112/13 113/13 115/6 | 11/23 11/25 12/3 |
| send [3] 33/5 33/8 | 21/16 31/23 31/24 | silent [1] 99/9 | 115/15 | standard [2] 13/18 |
| 101/19 | 32/12 45/4 45/8 45/15 | similar [2] 64/18 | sold [7] 13/19 15/14 | 108/23 |
| sending [2] 33/2 | 45/16 46/5 46/11 | 69/20 | 15/19 15/20 49/9 51/9 | nding [6] |
| 50/14 | 46/13 50/5 50/10 | simply [1] | 54/5 |  |
| senior [2] 91/14 | 50/12 57/8 57/10 | ce [9] 29/20 81/25 | solely [3] 14/10 | 106/25 107/7 |
| 100/2 | 57/11 61/16 61/19 | 93/20 102/13 102/ |  | ands [1] 102 |
| sense [1] 7/13 | 72/8 105/2 105/22 | 103/25 104/3 109/3 | some [17] 9/8 11/ | art [8] 19/23 63/15 |
| sent [9] 20/12 21/11 | 112/17 | 111/2 | 13/18 17/13 21/13 | 80/12 90/19 90/21 |
| 30/24 33/4 61/13 | servicers' [1] 46/2 | single [2] 13/11 | 27/2 30/18 42/5 43/5 | 95/8 96/5 96/25 |
| 74/24 95/22 96/12 | servicing [45] $1 / 8$ | 61/15 | 64/18 69/2 84/6 86/25 | starting [2] 47/5 |
| 97/5 | 33/12 44/18 45/17 | single-family [2] | 89/19 99/16 102/9 | 57/1 <br> starts [2] 11/23 |
| separate [4] 14/10 | 45/20 46/7 46/14 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { starts [2] } 11 / 23 \\ & 96 / 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 21/14 46/24 95/1 | $46 / 1546 / 1637 / 16$ $47 / 1747 / 2348 / 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { singularly [1] } \\ & \text { sir [27] } 20 / 2 \\ & \text { sir } \end{aligned}$ | somebody [2] 14/21 <br> 73/2 | state [16] 4/ |
| separately [4] 25/22 | 49/1 49/24 50/18 52/1 | Sir ${ }^{\text {a }}$ /17 20/23 21/19 | someone [4] 78/3 | stale 49/13 55/24 80/7 |
| 38/15 47/3 48/9 | 52/2 52/10 52/18 | 22/3 22/4 22/5 22/9 | 87/9 103/6 103/20 | 82/3 83/5 87/13 |
| September [1] 61/7 | 52/22 52/23 53/16 | 22/12 23/13 23/15 | something [10] 23/5 | 107/12 107/16 111/14 |
| September 16th [1] | 54/23 55/7 58/18 65/3 | 26/11 26/14 28/22 | 28/25 34/1 67/11 | 113/1 113/9 113/11 |
|  | 65/9 65/11 66/21 | 29/12 31/2 32/16 | 67/20 74/20 93/5 | 113/16 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{s e r} \\ 29 \end{array}$ | 66/22 66/25 67/5 72/7 | 34/13 37/16 41/3 41/4 | 94/12 103/8 115/7 | stated [6] 30/22 |
|  | 72/10 72/10 72/24 | 41/4 55/2 55/6 64/6 | sometimes [4] 12/13 | 68/13 69/18 87/14 |
|  | 73/3 73/23 74/3 74/19 | 73/19 | 34/23 83/9 91/3 | 88/14 92/9 |
| serviced [2] 24/22 | 76/7 80/9 104/21 | sit [1] 90 | somewhat [2] 13/16 | statement [3] 14/9 |
|  | 104/25 | sitting [5] 7/22 7/23 | 41/22 | 67/4 95/25 |
| servicer [98] 6/7 | serving | 26/13 43/14 43/15 | sophistication [1] | statements [1] 15/2 |
| 15/10 19/2 20/1 23/18 | set [5] 44/22 73/11 | situated [1] 114/ | 103/20 | states [6] 7/4 13/23 |
| 23/23 23/24 24/4 24/5 | 92/13 92/24 102/9 | slew [1] 101/7 | sorry [9] 27/25 36/3 | 15/12 66/3 113/10 |
| 24/8 24/10 24/13 | settled [1] 36/22 | small [1] 36/6 | 40/23 47/9 63/2 63/22 | 113/10 |
| 24/14 24/15 24/16 | settlement [3] 36/16 | smaller [1] 66/8 | 93/21 93/21 102/20 | stating [6] 14/24 |
| 24/18 24/20 30/4 | 36/17 36/21 | so [116] $5 / 127 / 2$ | sort [4] 5/21 19/8 | 42/3 42/6 42/22 55 |
| 30/16 30/21 32/23 | several [4] $5 / 23$ | 7/21 8/25 9/3 12/20 | 20/6 35/1 | 104/16 |
| 33/2 33/3 33/6 33/16 | 25/20 25/20 38/10 SFR [7] $62 / 17$ 79/13 | $13 / 1813 / 2314 / 18$ $14 / 2017 / 419 / 419 / 23$ | Sounds [1] 63/21 source [1] $64 / 22$ | status [3] 42/22 95/22 98/21 |
| 34/10 41/25 42/7 42/8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SFR [7] 62/17 79/13 } \\ & 90 / 390 / 793 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 14 / 20 & 17 / 4 & 19 / 4 \\ 19 / 23 \\ 20 / 8 & 20 / 11 & 23 / 8 \\ 24 / 21 \end{array}$ | source [1] 64/22 space [1] 65/1 | 95/22 98/21 <br> statute [18] 78/ |
| 45/10 45/10 45/12 | $\begin{aligned} & 90 / 390 / 793 / 21 \\ & 105 / 13114 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 / 820 / 1123 / 824 / 21 \\ & 25 / 1026 / 326 / 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { space [1] } 65 / 1 \\ & \text { span [1] } 105 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { statute [18] 78/: } \\ 79 / 1680 / 480 / 19 \end{array}$ |
| 47/19 48/21 48/25 | Shadow [5] 90/7 | 26/23 27/7 30/13 | spanning [1] 105/22 | 81/14 82/14 82/15 |
| 50/1 50/13 50/15 | 92/12 92/20 93/4 | 32/21 35/14 36/19 | speak [1] 62/15 | 84/11 87/8 87/19 |
| 50 | 93/10 | 38/9 38/12 38/24 | special [1] $72 / 12$ | 88/22 88/24 90/15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | shall [3] 81/15 81/17 | 39/25 41/16 41/21 | specific [8] 21/21 | 99/9 99/12 100/25 |
| 55/23 58/2 58/9 58/12 | 82/17 | 42/16 42/24 44/2 44/9 | 21/25 72/5 73/4 77/4 | 112/11 114/3 |
| 64/20 64/21 65/2 65/5 | she [2] 71/2 105/8 | 44/19 45/1 45/8 45/16 | 103/15 106/24 107/25 | statutes [16] 78/15 |
| 67/1 67/6 67/10 67/12 | She's [1] 112/24 | 45/17 46/3 47/7 47/7 | specifically [12] $15 / 3$ | 78/19 78/20 78/22 |
| 67/13 67/16 67/17 | should [9] 5/3 11/16 | 47/8 49/3 49/19 50/14 | 22/17 62/16 82/3 82/8 | 79/1 79/2 79/20 80/7 |
| 67/20 67/22 68/7 68/7 | 11/17 11/22 67/17 | 50/18 52/17 54/6 | 88/2 89/22 89/23 | 83/22 83/23 85/13 |
| 68/11 68/11 71/12 | 88/7 88/15 94/19 | 54/11 54/12 55/15 | 89/25 100/2 113/8 | 88/5 88/25 89/4 |
| 72/11 72/13 72/15 | 112/5 | 62/12 63/8 63/17 64/7 | 114/3 | 101/23 112/7 |
| 72/17 72/21 72/23 | show [9] 43/10 69/8 | 64/22 64/23 67/9 | speculation [1] 51/3 | stay [2] 40/3 |
| 73/1 73/10 73/21 | 74/7 74/17 79/14 84/4 | 67/25 68/15 70/2 71/6 | spell [1] 4/14 | Ste [1] 2/13 |
| 73/21 73/22 74/7 | 84/5 103/15 105/2 showed [5] 51/1 80/9 | $\begin{aligned} & 72 / 972 / 1472 / 17 \\ & 72 / 1972 / 2074 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | split [2] 83/7 83/10 spoke [3] 34/23 37/2 | step [1] 18/21 <br> steps [1] 94/9 |
| 74/13 76/11 76/17 |  | 72/19 72/20 74/10 |  |  |


| $\mathbf{S}$ |
| :--- |
| still [6] $14 / 2017 / 2$ | 64/4 97/24 110/16 113/16
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55/7 59/7 79/8 84/1 105/8 105/10
transferee [1] 55/14
transferor [1] 55/13 transferred [4] 15/20 55/17 84/7 84/8
transfers [1] 86/3 transmitted [1] 73/24
transmitting [1] 74/8
transparency [1] 80/5
treatises [2] 87/1
88/1
TREE [18] $1 / 84 / 6$
4/25 52/10 52/18
52/23 53/6 53/11
53/15 53/20 54/22
55/20 65/7 86/3 86/5
86/17 87/11 112/1
Tree/Ditech [1]
112/1
tri [1] 71/11
tri-party [1] 71/11
trial [10] $1 / 19$ 10/19 11/3 37/13 61/6 89/16 89/17 100/6 105/14 115/17
trials [1] 115/20
tried [2] 94/4 103/17
triggered [1] 41/9
TRO [1] 90/11
true [4] 9/21 51/13
86/9 116/2
trust [102] 1/12 9/10
9/20 9/24 10/5 10/13 11/11 12/22 12/24 13/22 14/22 15/3 16/4 16/7 16/15 16/21 17/8 17/12 17/21 40/6 40/7 49/21 50/6 51/2 51/14
51/15 51/17 52/4 52/7
52/21 54/9 54/17
54/21 55/21 56/2
65/18 65/20 65/25
66/1 66/2 66/5 66/16
67/8 72/22 72/24 81/1 81/5 81/7 81/8 81/11 81/24 81/24 82/9
82/12 82/16 82/24
83/9 83/11 83/18
83/19 83/22 84/3 84/8
84/23 85/2 85/3 85/21
85/21 85/22 85/24
86/13 87/5 88/19
93/20 94/18 95/2
99/20 100/14 100/17
101/24 103/11 103/16
106/19 107/14 108/7
110/11 110/12 110/20
110/21 111/23 112/3
112/5 112/6 112/10
112/12 112/19 112/20
112/21 112/21 113/7
114/6 115/9
trustee [6] $1 / 101 / 11$ 39/12 65/21 65/22
81/1
trustee's [2] 101/14 101/17
trustor [2] 65/21
81/1
truth [2] 85/15
103/18
truthfully [1] 103/10
try [1] 102/25
trying [5] 96/23
100/13 109/25 111/18 115/22
TUESDAY [2] $1 / 21$
4/1
turn [13] 10/21 11/21
12/21 22/17 29/10
35/10 40/25 44/14
47/5 49/3 60/24 78/24
99/18
two [19] 6/13 6/16

7/19 18/7 34/7 49/13
until [10] $16 / 10$ 49/19 62/11 69/7 70/6 $\quad 17 / 19$ 40/3 75/18 70/11 83/13 83/15 91/22 91/23 94/8 94/10 100/21 112/25 type [7] 30/18 42/2 43/11 43/14 99/9 110/8 113/2
types [1] 77/17
typical [1] 95/4
typically [5] 16/13
18/6 18/7 45/15 45/25
U
U.S [1] 7/7

UCIOA [2] 90/14
90/17
unauthorized [1]
108/9
under [32] 13/24
14/12 45/11 48/19
49/24 64/4 79/8 80/15
80/19 83/12 84/9
85/12 87/5 91/17
97/10 98/2 98/15
100/25 101/25 102/1
104/2 104/4 104/11
104/12 104/13 106/8
106/16 108/6 108/17
110/17 110/17 115/9
understand [4]
14/16 41/14 58/8
61/25
understanding [5]
9/9 71/6 71/10 72/15
73/20
understands [1]
100/15
understood [2] 73/9
115/21
undertake [1] 21/3
undeveloped [1]
114/23
unfairness [2]
102/24 103/2
unfortunate [1]
108/18
uniform [1] 13/12
united [4] 7/4 107/2 113/10 113/10
unless [4] 78/2 82/19
94/21 99/13
unnamed [1] 51/4
unnecessary [1]
37/25
unpaid [4] 19/12
30/5 31/6 33/10
unpublished [5]
79/11 100/22 104/15
105/12 114/12
unrecorded [6] 79/6
79/23 79/24 84/9
84/17 113/6

94/10 94/10 115/19
unto [1] 82/22
unusual [1] 95/5 up [13] $12 / 1051 / 1$
79/14 79/23 85/12
88/8 103/25 105/16
108/16 109/1 115/18
115/19 115/22
upon [10] 8/8 20/7 79/1 79/21 86/9 86/15 100/13 105/9 109/6 114/5
upper [2] 24/14 25/3 us [25] 5/4 7/13 8/3
11/17 16/9 18/11
21/11 27/9 27/9 27/10
27/11 30/4 30/24
32/13 33/8 33/24
35/22 51/22 70/19
95/21 96/15 96/24
97/24 98/18 103/24
usc [1] 105/3
use [7] 22/3 25/21
76/4 76/5 85/4 96/19
108/2
used [5] 21/1 66/4
72/20 72/22 93/2
uses [1] 19/22
using [2] 41/6 73/21
usually [2] $18 / 16$
78/1
usurp [1] 80/7
utilization [1] 107/15
utilizes [1] 20/6
V
valid [2] 84/17 84/18
Valley [2] 113/22 114/1
value [2] 103/4 103/5
various [1] 61/19
VEGAS [4] $1 / 232 / 14$ 2/18 4/1
versions [1] 46/20
versus [1] 21/16
very [10] $10 / 3$ 17/11
20/25 35/20 78/17
79/13 79/18 79/25
105/19 105/19
video [1] $4 / 8$
view [4] 23/12 88/16
88/18 107/3
viewed [1] 111/3
Village [1] 2/13
Virginia [1] 78/24
virtue [1] 110/22
void [8] 79/6 84/10 91/19 92/15 92/16 110/14 110/16 110/18 volume [3] 10/22



