1	R. DUANE FRIZELL, ESQ.				
2	Nevada Bar No. 9807 FRIZELL LAW FIRM				
3	400 N. Stephanie St., Suite 265				
	Henderson, Nevada 89014				
4	Office (702) 657-6000	Electronically Filed Jan 07 2020 06:25 p.m.			
5	Facsimile (702) 657-0065 dfrizell@frizelllaw.com	Elizabeth A. Brown			
6	Attorney for Plaintiffs-Appellants	Clerk of Supreme Court			
7	IN THE SUPREME COURT				
8	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA				
9					
10	BETTY CHAN and ASIAN AMERICAN REALTY &	§ 8			
	PROPERTY MANAGEMENT,	8			
11		 \$ \$ \$ \$ SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 78666 			
12	Plaintiffs-Appellants,				
13	VS.	§ District Court Case No. A-16-744109-C			
14		§			
15	WAYNE WU; JUDITH SULLIVAN; NEVADA REAL	§ Eighth Judicial District Court			
16	ESTATE CORP.; and JERRIN	§ (Hon. Eric Johnson)			
17	CHIU;				
18	Defendants-Respondents.	§ § §			
19	2 010110111101 1100 p 0110011101	_ §			
	PLAINTIFFS-APPELLANTS' NO	OTICE OF FILING IN DISTRICT COURT			
20		FORMALLY RESOLVE MOTION FOR			
21		TO CERTIFY JUDGMENT AS FINAL			
22	(ON AN APPLICATION FO	OR AN ORDER SHORTENING TIME)			
23	TO: THE COURT				
24	TO. ALL DADTIES				
25	TO: ALL PARTIES				
26	TO: ALL COUNSEL OF RECOR	D			
27	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:	Plaintiffs-Appellants BETTY CHAN ("Chan")			
28					
	and ASIAN AMERICAN REALT	Y & PROPERTY MANAGEMENT ("Asian 1			

1	American" or "Asian Am.") now file	this, Plaintiffs-Appellants' Notice of Filing in
2	District Court Plaintiffs' Motion to I	Formally Resolve Motion for Reconsideration
3 4	and to Certify Judgment as Final ((on an Application for an Order Shortening
5	Time). A true and correct copy of	the text of said motion is attached hereto (its
6	exhibits are not attached). Said moti	on was filed in relation to this Court's Order
7	to Show Cause dated November 1	4, 2019. It is requested that the Court, all
8 9	parties, and all counsel of record take	e notice of said motion.
10	DATED: <u>January 7, 2020</u> .	
11		Respectfully submitted,
12		
13		FRIZELL LAW FIRM 400 N. Stephanie St., Suite 265
14		Henderson, Nevada 89014
15		Telephone (702) 657-6000 Facsimile (702) 657-0065
16		
17	By:	<u>/s/ R. Duane Frízell</u> R. DUANE FRIZELL, ESQ.
18		Nevada Bar. No 9807
19		<u>DFrizell@FrizellLaw.com</u> Attorney for Plaintiffs-Appellants
20		The mey for Trummyjs Tappemanus
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
20		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1	
2	I hereby certify pursuant to NRAP 25(c), that on <u>January 7, 2020</u> , I served
3	true and correct copy of the forgoing PLAINTIFFS-APPELLANTS' NOTIC OF FILING IN DISTRICT COURT PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO FORMALL
4	RESOLVE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO CERTIF
5	JUDGMENT AS FINAL (ON AN APPLICATION FOR AN ORDE SHORTENING TIME), together with any and all exhibits and attachments, v
6	the Supreme Court's Electronic Filing System to the following:
7	MICHAEL A OLCENIECO
8	MICHAEL A. OLSEN, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 6076
9	THOMAS R. GROVER, ESQ.
10	Nevada State Bar No. 12387 KEITH D. ROTSONG, ESQ.
11	Nevada State Bar No. 14944
12	BLACKROCK LEGAL, LLC
13	10155 W. Twain Ave., Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147
14	Attorneys for Defendants-Respondents
15	Wayne Wu, Judith Sullivan, Nevada Real Estate Corp., and Jerrin Chiu
	Real Estate Corp., and verrit Citi
16	
17	
18	
19	<u>/s/ R. Duane Frízell</u> R. DUANE FRIZELL, ESQ.
20	Nevada Bar. No 9807
21	DFrizell@FrizellLaw.com
22	Attorney for Plaintiffs-Appellants
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1/7/2020 5:52 PM Steven D. Grierson MOT (CIV) **CLERK OF THE COURT** 1 R. DUANE FRIZELL, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 9807 FRIZELL LAW FIRM 400 N. Stephanie St., Suite 265 3 Henderson, Nevada 89014 Office (702) 657-6000 4 Facsimile (702) 657-0065 dfrizell@frizelllaw.com 5 Attorney for Plaintiffs/ Counter-Defendants 6 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 7 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 8 BETTY CHAN and ASIAN CASE NO: A-16-744109-C **AMERICAN REALTY &** 9 PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, DEPT NO: 20 10 Plaintiffs, VS. 11 **HEARING REQUESTED** WAYNE WU; JUDITH SULLIVAN; 12 NEVADA REAL ESTATE CORP.: ORDER SHORTENING TIME JERRIN CHIU; and KB HOME 13 SALES-NEVADA INC.; REQUESTED 14 Defendants. 15 And All Related Claims 16 17 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO FORMALLY RESOLVE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO CERTIFY JUDGMENT AS FINAL 18 (ON AN APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER SHORTENING TIME) 19 Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants BETTY CHAN and ASIAN AMERICAN REALTY & 20 PROPERTY MANAGEMENT ("Plaintiffs" or "Counter-Defendants") hereby file this, 21 Plaintiffs' Motion to Formally Resolve Motion for Reconsideration and to Certify Judgment as 22 Final (on an Application for an Order Shortening Time). This Motion is based upon the 23 Memorandum of Points and Authorities below, the pleadings and papers on file in this action, 24 and the arguments of counsel made at a hearing on this Motion, if any. In support of this 25 26 Motion, Plaintiffs would respectfully show the Court as follows: 27 /// 28

Electronically Filed

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

1011

1213

14

1516

17

18

19 20

21

2223

2425

26

///

27

28

DECLARATION OF R. DUANE FRIZELL, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION SHORTENING TIME

I, R. DUANE FRIZELL, hereby make the following declaration:

- 1. My name is R. Duane Frizell. I have never been convicted of a felony. I am over 18 years of age, am of sound mind, and am fully competent to make this Declaration.
- 2. With the exception of any and all matters stated upon information and belief, all of the facts stated in this Declaration are based upon my personal knowledge and are true and correct, to the best of my recollection. Regarding any and all matters stated upon information and belief, I belief such matters to be true.
- 3. Since April 24, 2019, I have been the attorney of record for the Plaintiffs in this action. As such, I have personal knowledge of events in this case.
- 4. The present action relates to an arbitration award from the Greater Las Vegas Association of Realtors, which arose from a dispute between real estate agents.
- 5. On March 22, 2019, prior to my appearance in this matter, the Court entered its Order Granting Defendants Countermotion for Summary Judgment and Attorney Fees and Costs ("MSJ Order"). (*See* attached *Exhibit 1*). In that order, the Court ruled, among other things, that the "Arbitration Award was confirmed." (*Id.* at p.7).
- 6. On April 1, 2019, Plaintiffs, who were representing themselves *pro se* at the time, filed their Motion to Vacate Entry of Order or Motion for Extension of Time to File Reconsideration to the Entry of Order Granting Defendants Counter Motion for Summary Judgment and Attorney Fees and Costs ("Motion for Reconsideration"). (*See* attached *Exhibit 2*). It does not appear that a hearing was ever set on the Motion for Reconsideration or that the Court ever ruled upon it. (*See* Register of Actions (dated Jan. 7, 2020) and attached hereto as *Exhibit 3*).
- 7. On that same day, the Court ruled separately: "[T]he Court finds that there is nothing pending in this litigation. The Court has granted Summary Judgment in favor of Defendants and dealt with all claims pending in this litigation." (See Minute Order (dated Apr. 1, 2019) and attached hereto as **Exhibit 4**).
- 8. On April 22, 2019, Plaintiffs, who were still representing themselves *pro se*, filed their Notice of Appeal. (*See* attached *Exhibit 5*).
- 9. Subsequently, Defendants sought to execute upon their summary judgment. Plaintiffs filed a motion to stay, which was granted on May 1, 2019 in the Court's Order on Plaintiff's Motion to Stay Execution Pending Appeal. (See attached Exhibit 6).
- 10. On May 3, 2019, Plaintiffs posted a supersedeas bond and on May 7, 2019, filed Plaintiffs' Notice of Posting Supersedeas Bond. (See attached Exhibit 7).
- 11. On November 14, 2019, in the appeal, the Supreme Court issued an Order to Show Cause ("OSC") as to why the appeal should not be dismissed because of Plaintiff's April 1, 2019 motion, which this Court had not "formally resolved." (*See* attached *Exhibit 8*).

¹ Unless otherwise indicated, all of the exhibits, sub-exhibits, and other attachments to this Declaration and Motion are fully incorporated herein by reference.

1	12. Per the OSC, all that is required is "a written, file-stamped order resolving the April 1 2019, motion." (See id.).		
2	13. The Supreme Court originally gave the Plaintiffs until December 14, 2019 to have th motion resolved. (<i>See</i> Ex.8). However, in Plaintiffs-Appellants' Response to Order to		
3 4	Show Cause, filed in the Supreme Court on December 16, 2019, Plaintiffs have requested an extension. (See attached Exhibit 9). That request is pending.		
5	14. Given that the Supreme Court may, at any time, dismiss the appeal as being premature Plaintiffs request the Court to hear this matter on an order shortening time.		
6	15. Declarant states the foregoing under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of Nevada.		
7 8	FURTHER DECLARANT SAYETH NAUGHT.		
9			
11	Henderson, NV		
12	CITY, STATE where signed		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
2526			
27			
28			

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT.

While representing themselves *pro se*, Plaintiffs appealed this Court's order granting Defendants' motion for summary judgment. Nevertheless, on appeal, the Supreme Court has determined that there may be a potential defect as to appellate jurisdiction: a pending motion for reconsideration.

Plaintiffs now bring the present motion for two main reasons: First, to cure any appellate jurisdictional issues, all that is required is a written, file-stamped order resolving the motion for reconsideration. Second, in order to address all issues on appeal, it would be proper for this Court to certify the summary judgment order as final. Plaintiffs are requesting the Court to take this action now.

II. BACKGROUND.

For background, see the foregoing Declaration of R. Duane Frizell, Esq. in Support of Application Shortening Time, which is fully incorporated herein by this reference.

III. ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITIES.

A. To Cure Any Appellate Jurisdictional Issues, All That Is Required Is a Written, File-Stamped Order Resolving the Motion for Reconsideration.

In its MSJ Order, this Court ruled that the "Arbitration Award was confirmed." (*Id.* at p.7). The appeal was taken as a an "order[] confirming or denying confirmation of an [arbitration] award," NRS 38.247(1)(c), and as a "final judgment entered pursuant to [the Uniform Arbitration Act of 2000]," NRS 38.247(1)(f). Thus, the MSJ Order was generally appealable.

Nevertheless, as explained in the Supreme Court's OSC, the appeal may have been prematurely taken because of the Motion for Reconsideration, which Plaintiffs filed when they

were representing themselves *pro se*. This Court may resolve issues relating to any such prematurity.

A premature notice of appeal does not divest the district court of jurisdiction.... If ... a written order or judgment, or a written disposition of the last-remaining timely motion listed in Rule 4(a)(4), is entered before dismissal of the premature appeal, the notice of appeal shall be considered filed on the date of and after entry of the order, judgment or written disposition of the last-remaining timely motion.

NRAP 4(a)(6). Thus, this Court may resolve the issue of appealability.

The Supreme Court's OSC explained that all that is required to cure appellate jurisdiction is "a written, file-stamped order resolving the April 1, 2019, [Motion for Reconsideration]." (See Ex.8). For this reason, Plaintiffs hereby request the Court to formally resolve that motion.

B. In Order to Address All Issues on Appeal, It Would Be Proper for this Court to Certify the MSJ Order as Final.

"When an action presents more than one claim for relief — whether as a claim, counterclaim, crossclaim, or third-party claim — or when multiple parties are involved, the court may direct entry of a final judgment as to one or more, but fewer than all, claims or parties only if the court expressly determines that there is no just reason for delay." NRCP 54(b). Here the Court has already held as follows: "The Court has granted Summary Judgment in favor of Defendants and dealt with all claims pending in this litigation." (See Ex.4).

Despite this holding, Defendants have recently taken the position that their counterclaims have not been adjudicated. (*See* emails between counsel (Nov. 20, 2019 to Dec. 16, 2019) attached hereto as *Exhibit 10*). To resolve any uncertainty, and to allow the parties to address all issues on appeal, Plaintiffs are hereby seeking to have the Court certify the MSJ Order as final and, in that connection, to make an express determination that "there is no just reason for delay."

|| ///

26 | /// 27 | ///

 $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I certify that on January 7, 2020, I caused the foregoing **PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO** 2 FORMALLY RESOLVE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND TO CERTIFY 3 4 JUDGMENT AS FINAL (ON AN APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER SHORTENING 5 **TIME),** to be served upon the following parties: 6 MICHAEL A. OLSEN, ESQ. JANICE M. MICHAELS, ESQ. 7 Nevada State Bar No. 6076 Nevada State Bar No. 6062 8 THOMAS R. GROVER, ESQ. WOOD SMITH HENNING & BERMAN, LLP Nevada State Bar No. 12387 2881 Business Park Court, Suite 200 9 KEITH D. ROTSONG, ESQ. Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 Nevada State Bar No. 14944 Attorney for Defendant 10 KB Home Sales-Nevada Inc. BLACKROCK LEGAL, LLC 10155 W. Twain Ave., Suite 100 11 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 12 Attorneys for Defendants/Counterclaimants Wayne Wu, Judith Sullivan, Nevada Real 13 Estate Corp., and Jerrin Chiu 14 15 By causing a full, true and correct copy thereof to be sent, together with any and all exhibits and 16 other attachments, by the following indicated method(s): 17 by mailing in a sealed, first-class postage-prepaid envelope, addressed to the above 18 listed individuals, and deposited with the United State Postal Service; 19 20 \underline{X} by electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District e-file/e-serve service; 21 by hand delivery; 22 by faxing to the attorney at his/her last known fax number; 23 by electronic mail to the last known e-mail address of the attorney/the party. 24 25 /s/ R. Duane Frízell R. DUANE FRIZELL, ESQ. 26

27

28

1		<u>EXHIBITS</u>
2	Exhibit 1	Order Granting Defendants Countermotion for Summary Judgment and Attorney
3		Fees and Costs (filed Mar. 22, 2019) ["MSJ Order"]
4	Exhibit 2	Motion to Vacate Entry of Order or Motion for Extension of Time to File
5 6		Reconsideration to the Entry of Order Granting Defendants Counter Motion for Summary Judgment and Attorney Fees and Costs (filed Apr. 1, 2019) ["Motion for Reconsideration"]
7	Exhibit 3	Register of Actions (dated Jan. 7, 2020)
8	Exhibit 4	Minute Order (dated Apr. 1, 2019)
9	Exhibit 5	Notice of Appeal (dated Apr. 22, 2019)
10 11	Exhibit 6	Order on Plaintiff's Motion to Stay Execution Pending Appeal (filed May 1, 2019)
12	Exhibit 7	Plaintiffs' Notice of Posting Supersedeas Bond (filed May 7, 2019)
13 14	Exhibit 8	Supreme Court's Order to Show Cause (filed Nov. 14, 2019) ["OSC"]
15 16	Exhibit 9	Plaintiffs-Appellants' Response to Order to Show Cause (filed in Supreme Court Dec. 16, 2019) (text only without exhibits)
17	Exhibit 10	Emails between counsel (Nov. 20, 2019 to Dec. 16, 2019)
18		
19		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		