1	IN THE SUPREME (COURT OF THE STATE	OF NEVADA
2			
3	LEONARD RAY WOODS,) No. 78816	Electronically Filed
4	Appellant,)	Electronically Filed Feb 13 2020 11:29 a.m.
5	v.)	Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court
6)	
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)	
8	Respondent.	Ú	
9	A DDELL A METC A DDI)	CEC 1330 1401
10	APPELLANT'S APPE	<u>ENDIX VOLUME VI PA</u>	GES 1220-1401
11	DARIN IMLAY	STEVE WOL	FSON
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street	Clark County 200 Lewis Av	District Attorney enue, 3 rd Floor evada 89155
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610		
14	Attorney for Appellant	AARON FOR Attorney Gene 100 North Car	
15		100 North Car Carson City, N	son Street Jevada 89701-4717 8
16			
17		Counsel for Ro	espondent
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

INDEX LEONARD RAY WOODS Case No. 78816

1

2	Case No. 78816	DACE NO
3	Amended Criminal Complaint filed 08/25/15	<u>PAGE NO</u> 2-5
4	Amended Information filed 03/25/19	494-496
5	Amended Jury List filed 03/25/19	531
6	Court's Exhibit #3 Dated 03/20/19	2160
7	Court's Exhibit #4 Dated 03/20/19	2161
8	Criminal Complaint filed 08/07/15	1
9	Defendant's Notice of Witnesses filed 10/24/18	437-438
10	District Court Minutes from 10/06/15 through 06/13/19	567-638
11	Information filed 10/06/15	61-65
12	Instructions to the Jury filed 03/25/19	497-530
13	Instructions to the Jury filed 03/26/19	532-547
14	Instructions to the Jury filed 03/27/19	550-561
15	Introduction of Evidence filed 11/01/18	472-473
16	Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) filed 05/17/19	564-565
17	Judicial Notice of My Consent Decree Settlement filed 09/26/18	318-325
18	Jury List filed 03/19/19	491
19	Justice Court Minutes from 08/07/15 through 10/02/15	10-14
20	Media Request and Order Allowing Camera Access to	(((0
21	Court Proceedings filed 10/09/15	
22	Motion for Bail Hearing filed 10/24/18	
23	Motion for Continuance filed 11/07/18	474-475
24	Motion for Discovery filed 09/12/18	293-298
	Motion for Evidentiary Hearing filed 11/01/18	464-466
25	Motion to Clarify Ruling filed 11/01/18	461-463
26	Motion to Compel Production of Discovery filed 03/15/16	
27	Date of Hrg: 03/29/16	
28		

1	Motion to Continue Trial Date filed 12/08/15 Date of Hrg: 12/17/15	70-73
2	Motion to Continue Trial Date filed 03/16/16 Date of Hrg: 03/22/16	204-207
4	Motion to Dismiss and Appointment of Alternate Counsel filed 06/29/16 Date of Hrg: 07/21/16	
5	Motion to Dismiss and Appointment of Alternate Counsel filed 11/21/16	244-252
6	Motion to Dismiss and Appointment of Alternate Counsel filed 10/25/17 Date of Hrg: 11/16/17	261-269
7	Motion to Dismiss Counts 2-7 filed 10/24/18	439-442
8	Motion to Dismiss Murder Charge filed 10/10/18	410-419
10	Motion to Dismiss Open and Gross Lewdness Charge filed 11/01/18	467-471
10	Motion to Dismiss Open Murder Charge filed 03/07/19	476-478
12	Motion to Dismiss the Charges of Ownership or Possession of Firearm by Prohibited Person filed 09/26/18	364-369
13	Motion to Proceed as Attorney-In-Fact of Record filed 09/12/18	290-292
14	Motion to Review Officer Files filed 10/10/18	420-423
15	Motion to Sever filed 09/12/18	299-309
16	Motion to Suppress Arrest filed 09/26/18	370-377
17	Motion to Suppress Contents of Search of Cell Phone filed 09/26/18	326-363
18 19	Motion to Suppress Statements for Fifth Amendment Violation filed 03/15/16 Date of Hrg: 03/31/16	96-180
20	Notice of Appeal filed 05/15/19	563
21	Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 12/10/15	74-92
22 23	Order Authorizing Standby Counsel to Bring Digitally-Stored Case Materials Into the Clark County Detention Center filed 09/12/18	311-312
24	Order Authorizing Standby Counsel to Provide Writing Materials to Pro Per Defendant Leonard Woods filed 09/12/18	315-316
25	Order for Production of Inmate filed 03/27/19	562
26 27	Order Granting and Denying Defendant's Pro Per Motions filed 10/25/18 Date of Hrg: 10/10/18	446-448
28	Order Permitting Release of Sealed Transcript to Defendant filed 07/30/19	566

1		
1	Order to File Declaration of Counsel Under Seal filed 09/12/18	310
2	Order to File Declaration of Counsel Under Seal filed 09/12/18	317
3	Order to File Ex Parte Order Under Seal filed 09/12/18	313
4	Order to File Ex Parte Order Under Seal filed 09/12/18	314
5	Petition for Writ of Mandamus filed 11/21/16	253-260
67	Pro Per Motion to Proceed Pro Se filed 08/21/18 Date of Hrg: 09/11/18	283-289
8	Request to File Ex Parte Application and Order for Transport Under Seal filed 10/11/18	425
10	Request to File Order to Transport Leonard Woods to the Clark County Office of the Public Defender Under Seal filed 10/11/18	424
11	Second Amended Criminal Complaint filed 10/02/15	6-9
12	State's Amended Notice of Witnesses filed 12/20/17	270-274
13	State's Objection to Certain Items of Evidence of Testimony Which May Be Offered by the Defendant filed 03/15/19	482-485
14 15	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Discovery filed 10/01/18 Date of Hrg: 10/10/18	393-395
16	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Counts 2-7 filed 10/31/18 Date of Hrg: 11/01/18	449-460
17 18	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Murder Charge filed 10/17/18 Date of Hrg: 10/18/18	432-436
19 20	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Open Murder Charge filed 03/14/19 Date of Hrg: 03/18/19	479-481
21 22	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss the Charges of Ownership of Possession of Firearm by Prohibited Person filed 10/01/18 Date of Hrg: 10/10/18	396-398
23 24	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Review Officer's Files filed 10/17/18 Date of Hrg: 10/18/18	426-431
25 26	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Sever filed 10/02/18 Date of Hrg: 10/10/18	399-409
27 28	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Contents of Search of Cell Phone filed 10/03/18 Date of Hrg: 10/10/18	409A-409E

1	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Arrest filed 10/01/18 Date of Hrg: 10/10/18	378-392
2		570 572
3	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Statements for Fifth Amendment Violation filed 05/05/16 Date of Hrg: 05/12/16	208-221
4	State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery filed 05/11/16	
5	Date of Hrg: 05/12/16	222-237
6	State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date filed 12/15/15 Date of Hrg: 12/17/15	93-95
7 8	State's Second Amended Notice of Witnesses filed 03/18/19	486-490
	Stipulation and Order to Unseal Transcript filed 02/11/20	2137-2140
9 10	Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 12/29/17	275-282
	Verdict filed 03/25/19	492-493
11	Verdict filed 03/26/19	548
12 13	Verdict filed 03/27/19	549
14 15	TRANSCRIPTS Recorder's Transcript	
16	JURY TRIAL DAŸ 1	1025 1225
17	Date of Hrg: 03/18/19	1035-1237
18	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 2 Date of Hrg: 03/19/19	1238-1401
19	Recorder's Transcript	
20	JURY TRIAL DAY 3 Date of Hrg: 03/20/19	1402-1549
21	Recorder's Transcript	
22	JURY TRIAL DAY 4 Date of Hrg: 03/21/19	1550 1607
23		1550-1097
24	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 5	1700 1004
25	Date of Hrg: 03/22/19	1098-1884
26	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 6	
27	Date of Hrg: 03/25/19	1885-1978
28		

1 2	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 7 Date of Hrg: 03/26/19
3	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 8
4	Date of Hrg: 03/27/19
5	Recorder's Transcript All Pending Motions
6	Date of Hrg: 01/17/17
7 8	Recorder's Transcript All Pending Motions Date of Hrg: 10/10/18
9	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call
10	Date of Hrg: 03/07/19
11 12	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call; Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date Date of Hrg: 12/17/15
13 14	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call; Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date Date of Hrg: 03/22/16
15 16 17	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery; Defendant's Motion to Suppress Statements for Fifth Amendment Violation; Status Check: Reset Trial Date Date of Hrg: 05/12/16
18 19	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Appointment of Alternate Counsel Date of Hrg: 07/21/16
20	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Appointment of Alternate Counsel
21	Date of Hrg: 12/13/16
22 23	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Dismiss Counsel and
24	Appointment of Alternate Counsel Date of Hrg: 11/15/17
25	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Dismiss Counts 2-7;
26	Defendant's Pro Per Motion for Bail Hearing Date of Hrg: 11/01/18
27	
28	

1 2	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Dismiss Counts 2-7; Defendant's Pro Per Motion for Bail Hearing; Motion for Evidentiary Hearing; Motion to
3	Clarify Ruling; Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 11/05/18
4	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Dismiss Murder Charge;
5	Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Review Officer's Files Date of Hrg: 10/24/18
6	Recorder's Transcript
7	Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress Contents of Search of Cell Phone; Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Dismiss Murder Charge;
8	Defendant's Pro per Motion to Review Officer's Files Date of Hrg: 10/18/18940-958
9	
10	Recorder's Transcript Faretta Canvass Date of Hrg: 07/28/16
11	Date of Fig. 07/28/10
12	Recorder's Transcript Faretta Canvass Date of Hrg: 12/20/16
13	
14	Recorder's Transcript Faretta Canvass
15	Date of Hrg: 08/29/18
16	Recorder's Transcript Initial Arraignment
17	Date of Hrg: 10/06/15
18	Recorder's Transcript Motion to Continue Trial; Calendar Call
19	Date of Hrg: 11/07/18
20	Recorder's Transcript Sentencing
21	Date of Hrg: 05/15/19
22	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Reset Trial Date
23	Date of Hrg: 02/09/17707-710
24	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Readiness Date of Hrg: 09/27/17
25	
26	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Readiness Data of Ham 10/04/17
27	Date of Hrg: 10/04/17
28	

1	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Readiness
2	Date of Hrg: 11/08/17
3	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Readiness
4	Date of Hrg: 12/13/17
5	Recorder's Transcript
6	Status Check: Trial Readiness Date of Hrg: 01/10/18
7	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Readiness
8	Date of Hrg: 02/07/18
9	Recorder's Transcript
10	Status Check: Trial Readiness Date of Hrg: 03/07/18
11	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Readiness
12	Date of Hrg: 06/20/18
13	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Readiness
14	Date of Hrg: 07/18/18
15	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Readiness
	Date of Hrg: 08/01/18
16	Recorder's Transcript
17	Status Check: Trial Readiness Date of Hrg: 08/15/18
18	Recorder's Transcript
19	Status Check: Trial Readiness Date of Hrg: 09/12/18
20	Recorder's Transcript
21	Status Check: Trial Readiness
22	Date of Hrg: 09/26/18
23	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Readiness
24	Date of Hrg: 01/09/19
25	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Readiness
26	Date of Hrg: 02/06/19
	Recorder's Transcript
27	Trial Setting Date of Hrg: 10/20/15
28	

1	Reporter's Transcript Waiver of Preliminary Hearing Date of Hrg: 10/02/15
2	Date of Hrg: 10/02/15
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Every individual in the country has the right --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Right.

THE COURT: -- to represent themselves, no matter what they're charged with.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: But that -- for those many -- for that many felonies -- eight? And you -- I just -- I don't understand that one.

THE COURT: Well, that's not --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: That's not for me to understand, but that was just a question I had. I'm just, like, wow, like --

THE COURT: Okay. Understood.

But how about on that last issue that I was talking about?

If a defendant chooses not to testify, there's no obligation on a

defendant that they have to testify in a case. If somebody chooses

not to testify, would you hold it against the defense because of that?

Anybody?

I see no hands. Thank you.

I don't want to go into -- because that's part of jury instructions -- the term beyond a reasonable doubt -- what that means. But is everybody okay with the fact that it's the State that carries that burden and their burden is to prove a case beyond a reasonable doubt? Anybody have any disagreement with that?

I see no hands.

Does everybody believe that you would be able to follow

whatever definition I give you of beyond a reasonable doubt and apply that into your evaluation of the evidence in the case?

Yep. Okay. Nobody raised their hands with any problem on that.

Okay. The last question I have right now -- and then we're probably going to break for the evening, because I don't want to start up everything with the eight of you over to my left.

But the last question I have for the 24 of you to my right is that every state is a little different, in terms of what the jurors' involvement is in the criminal justice system. Where I'm from in Texas, for instance, the jury generally decides whether somebody's guilty or not guilty, and they also decide the punishment for any crime. That's a function that the jury's engaged in.

In Nevada, punishment is an issue that lies solely with the Court, except if somebody's convicted of first-degree murder. If somebody's convicted of first-degree murder, then the jury decides what their punishment should be. And the jury has three options available to them.

The first option is a sentence of 50 years, with a minimum of 20 years before someone's eligible for parole. The second option is a life sentence with a minimum of 20 years before someone's eligible for parole. And the third option is life in prison without the possibility of parole.

So if the jury deliberates on a case and convicts somebody of first-degree murder, then there is a separate hearing where it's

Page 187 1221

just kind of like a trial where witnesses can be produced, evidence can be produced, and then the jury would deliberate to decide what someone's punishment should be.

Is there anybody that believes they would not be able to consider all three of those options before deciding what someone's punishment should be?

I see no -- I got one hand. Mr. Navarrete-Solis, Badge No. 31.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: 31.

THE COURT: Could you get the microphone up there? Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Sir, the more you're saying -- I just have a question. I mean, I believe there's no 100 percent on anything; you can never go a hundred percent.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: And also, I mean, I believe that you're probably more prepared than I am to actually give a sentence like that.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: You have more knowledge on the case, more knowledge on the law, and it's a heavy burden. And I understand that. So it's difficult for me -- it would be difficult for me to make a choice like that.

THE COURT: So a couple of things. Let's break that down. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Um-hmm.

THE COURT: Just because I have more experience, doesn't mean that's a good thing; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Well, you have more education on the subject.

THE COURT: Well, part of the beauty of it is, that you, as jurors, hear one case and decide what should happen in that one case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Um-hmm.

THE COURT: Not based on any other things out there; right? You're just basing it on that one case.

What's the evidence? And is somebody guilty or not guilty? And then if they're found guilty of first-degree murder, what do I think the penalty should be for what's occurred in this case and what I've been provided with?

But you're right in that it's difficult and it's hard. And it should be, probably; right? I mean, you're talking about the most serious of crimes that are charged, and it should be something that everybody feels is a really weighty responsibility.

And so sometimes, people may say, I just can't do that. It's probably far more often in a capital case which is where the death penalty is involved, where you have people that say, I'm automatically going to give everybody the death penalty, or I could never do that no matter what the circumstances are, so I couldn't consider those options; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Okay.

THE COURT: This is not a capital case. The death penalty is not an option if somebody's convicted of first-degree murder, but they are still three serious options. So I don't disagree with you that it's a difficult thing to do. But only you guys can tell me, I would automatically exclude one of those options and not give it any consideration. Or, no, Judge, I can listen, I can consider all those three options, and then I'll decide what somebody's punishment should be.

So does anybody, like Mr. Navarrete-Solis feel like -- well, first off, I would think everybody would feel, like, hey, this is an important thing. It's very serious and it is very weighty; true? Yes. Okay.

Let me just ask that last question again, though. Does anybody feel like they could not consider any of those options?

Got one more hand. Ms. Miranda.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes. Ms. Miranda, Badge 90 -- 69.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I'm not sure if this is part of the same thing, but I do not consider those three options if it was capital case. I would automatically say the death penalty.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, it's not a capital case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Right. So if not, then I --

THE COURT: That capital -- the death penalty is not an option.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Right. So then just those
2	three would be the option?
3	THE COURT: Just those three.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Okay.
5	THE COURT: And it's not a where I'm asking you to sit
6	here right now
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Right.
8	THE COURT: and say this is what I would do. That's
9	inappropriate; right?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Okay.
11	THE COURT: You don't know anything about evidence
12	you haven't decided that somebody's guilty or not guilty; right?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Right.
14	THE COURT: But it's just, can I do those three options,
15	can I look at those and say, okay, yeah, I could see where any one of
16	those could apply, depending on what I hear. And I'll give them
17	consideration, and then I'll decide what's appropriate.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Okay. Thank you for
19	clarifying that.
20	THE COURT: Sure. So do you think you could do that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes. Based off of those
22	three choices.
23	THE COURT: Anybody else on that question?
24	No. Okay.
25	So I tell you what here's what we're going to do. We're

going to go ahead and break for the evening because it's about 4:40. But I'm going to have you step outside for a minute before you take off, and let me talk to the parties, and see if there's any more of you that can be excused right now or whether I'm going to need all of you to came back.

And we're going to start tomorrow at 10:30. So when you come back tomorrow, I'm going to have you come back to the third floor, down in Jury Services. And then JR will go down there and get you and bring you back up here when we're ready. Okay?

And that same admonition will apply that I gave you earlier today, about during the recess, you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, to newspapers, television, the Internet, or radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the case until it's finally submitted to you. No legal or factual research or investigation, no social media communication on your own.

So if everybody could just step outside. Give me about five minutes. And then I'll get JR back out for you.

[Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.]

THE COURT: Okay. Anything either side wants to raise with any of the people that have been questioned right now about excluding any of them?

1	MS. FLECK: Not from the State.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Woods?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Of course not from the State. Well,
4	you I mean, we just heard several of them already said they
5	already had opinions of a guilty verdict
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	THE DEFENDANT: without even hearing any of the
8	evidence. Of course the State's going to want those people
9	THE COURT: So who, in particular, do you want to me
10	consider excluding right now?
11	THE DEFENDANT: In fact, that was Arielle Donmore said
12	she couldn't be fit. Oh, okay.
13	THE COURT: No. That's okay. You can do the names. I
14	know who they are.
15	THE DEFENDANT: 24.
16	THE COURT: It's that's Badge No. 24.
17	THE DEFENDANT: Anthony Gong said he couldn't be fair
18	THE COURT: Badge No. 26.
19	THE DEFENDANT: 31, Navarrete-Solis said several times
20	he couldn't be fair. He was ready to convict me right now.
21	THE COURT: Okay. That's Badge No. 31.
22	THE DEFENDANT: The black guy, Coleman. Oh, man,
23	he that was just ridiculous
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	THE DEFENDANT: without even hearing anything.

1	THE COURT: Let me just
2	THE DEFENDANT: Kimberly Milanes
3	THE COURT: Hold on, hold on. Mr. Coleman is Badge
4	No. 32. Okay.
5	THE DEFENDANT: Number 34.
6	THE COURT: Ms. Milanes, Badge No. 34.
7	THE DEFENDANT: She said something about just hearing
8	my voice.
9	THE COURT: Okay. What else you got?
10	THE DEFENDANT: I mean, I kind of got distracted.
11	Some are okay.
12	Number 41, Munoz. She said she couldn't be fair.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	THE DEFENDANT: Am I missing one of
15	[Pause in the proceedings.]
16	THE COURT: Oh, Ms. Munoz. Right.
17	THE DEFENDANT: Okay. So that's what I got before I
18	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
19	State, with regard to any of the I know you said you
20	weren't moving to challenge anybody.
21	MS. FLECK: No. We're not moving. But, you know, based
22	upon his requests, Mr. Coleman, we will submit on him. I do think
23	that he, based upon his preconceived notions of guilt, based on his
24	own children.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: We would agree Ms. Milanes, also based upon her own personal experiences --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: -- seemed to be beyond rehabilitation.

I think that Ms. Munoz, based upon the fact that she was crying, might be beyond rehabilitation.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: I feel that Mr. Gong, he basically, expressed concern with the system generally. And to me, it wasn't that he had preconceived notions of guilt. He had a bad experience with his one particular jury.

I do think that he can be rehabilitated. And I think that, you know, to express to him that, hey, listen, you know, we can all agree that these crimes, once they are proven beyond a reasonable doubt, you know, then they would be, you know, worthy of whatever feelings these people are having about child crimes and things like that.

However, we haven't given any evidence yet. They've seen no evidence. As he sits here today, the defendant is absolutely innocent. I don't think that Mr. Gong had anything, as opposed to Mr. Coleman, that was personally directed at the defendant.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: So I do think he can be rehabilitated.

I think the same with Ms. Donmore. She said it would be difficult. She likened the, you know, Divina to a 15-year-old in her

Page 195 1229

life. I think that that's going to be with a lot of people. I feel that she also said more her experience was more that it was -- would be difficult.

And Mr. Navarrete, I also agree -- or I also think he said that it would be difficult. You know, he said, this would be hard. I don't know. I would have a hard time with it.

And those are not firm statements that they could not be fair, like, I would agree with Mr. Woods that Mr. Coleman kind of ended up saying. But I think that Ms. Donmore, Mr. Gong, and Mr. Navarrete could be rehabilitated. But I'll submit that to the Court's discretion.

THE COURT: All right. So I am going to exclude Mr. Coleman, Ms. Milanes, Ms. Munoz, Ms. Navarrete-Solis, and Mr. Gong.

Ms. Donmore, I might try and have a little more conversation with. I think she was a little more vague in what she said.

Mr. Coleman, I think was pretty clearly indicating a bias that it's not, you know, a factor of continuing to ask questions to see if you get a different answer. I mean, he was very strong in what he had to say.

Ms. Milanes was as well, in addition to indicating that her and her sister were both sexually abused.

Ms. Munoz, in a similar fashion, had prior experience with sexual abuse in her family that was a very, very directly analogous to

Page 196 1230

this case since it involved her -- saying, that her cousin had been improperly videotaped.

Mr. Navarrete-Solis, I think what he was talking about the hard part, he was talking about the punishment aspect. In terms of the trial phase of it, he expressed a lot of things that I think would be concerning of a bias or prejudice fashion that would make it inappropriate for him to serve as well.

And then, Mr. Gong, in a similar fashion it was -- it wasn't really anything about a sex issue. But he was talking about the prior trial, and in ways that give me concern about how, you know, he views the way this should conduct itself.

But also, he was talking about the murder, 30 or so years ago, and that he comes in with a bias towards the State in that fashion. So I think it would be appropriate to release him at this time as well.

So those five will be released.

I'm going to keep Ms. Donmore for right now. Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, I think what was missed on Ms. Donmore, she said that she agreed with the guy on the end. I don't know why that was missed by the prosecution or yourself, but that's what she said.

THE COURT: Well, I'm cognizant of everything

Ms. Donmore said. I just view her a little differently than what
everybody else said, in terms of her stance right now. But like I said,
I'll follow up a little more tomorrow before reaching an ultimate

1	decision on her.
2	THE DEFENDANT: All right.
3	THE COURT: So, JR, you can tell those five Coleman,
4	Milanes, Gong, Navarrete-Solis, and Ms. Munoz that they're all,
5	excused. Okay? Sure. Badge No. 32 is Coleman; 34 is Milanes; 26 is
6	Gong; 31 is Navarrete-Solis; and 75 is Ms. Munoz. Thank you.
7	MS. MURRAY: Did you read 31, Your Honor?
8	THE COURT: 31, Navarrete-Solis, yeah.
9	MS. MURRAY: You did read that one? Okay.
10	THE COURT: Yeah.
11	MS. MURRAY: I thought so. I thought I heard you say it
12	THE COURT: Donmore is the only one that Mr. Woods has
13	raised that I've decided to keep for right now.
14	MS. MURRAY: Okay.
15	THE COURT: Okay?
16	MS. FLECK: So then we'll start filling tomorrow with
17	Davis?
18	THE COURT: Yes. So we start and I told Jury Services
19	that we're going to need more jurors anyway. So we'll start
20	tomorrow with Davis so Davis, Reinhardt, Hendricks, Ferguson,
21	and Finn will replace the five seats that were just vacated.
22	And I'll probably go through the individual questions with
23	them first, and then move over to the eight to pick back up.
24	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I got a couple questions, if

I may.

25

THE COURT: Sure.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. One is, why were the counts not clarified? Because to me it seemed like they think I sexually assaulted this girl several times, see. That's what they kept saying is that several times he sexually assaulted somebody, instead of saying that it was, like, pictures taken.

THE COURT: I don't think anybody ever used the word, sexual assault.

THE DEFENDANT: No. I'm saying -- I said -- I didn't say that's what they said. I'm saying, that's what it felt like they were -- that's what their interpretation was.

THE COURT: Well, all we tell jurors at the beginning of a case is this is what the charges were. And I think the State adequately told them, this is what the charge is and the allegation. We don't go into telling them what all the facts are because that's for the trial.

THE DEFENDANT: Not the facts, just that they were pictures and not an act. You know what I mean? Like a physical -- that's what I was getting from --

THE COURT: Well, I think you're, you know, getting into an argument about what's an act and what's not an act. I mean, it's an act to take a picture. Assuming somebody takes an inappropriate picture of a child, that's an act in and of itself.

THE DEFENDANT: But I think you know what I'm talking about, like physically having sex with a minor. That's what I'm

1	saying.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	THE DEFENDANT: That's what I'm saying I was feeling
4	from did they get a wrong
5	THE COURT: I don't I did not get the impression from
6	any juror that they were, all of a sudden, inferring that you had
7	sexually abused anybody.
8	THE DEFENDANT: Was it not even Coleman?
9	THE COURT: Now
10	THE DEFENDANT: That's what his big thing was.
11	THE COURT: hey, well, look, Mr. Woods, here's the
12	other thing. I mean, a lot of people are going to consider it sexual
13	abuse to take picture inappropriate pictures of a child.
14	THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Well
15	THE COURT: I mean, regardless of not physically touching
16	them, people are still going to consider that sexual abuse and be
17	offended by it.
18	THE DEFENDANT: That's fine and dandy. But I haven't
19	been convicted of that. The evidence haven't even came out.
20	THE COURT: Correct.
21	THE DEFENDANT: It seemed like I was being convicted
22	right now, before it even
23	THE COURT: Look, this is the nature of jury selection. You
24	get people in here. You tell them what the charges are, and you get
25	their opinions about what they think about all this. That's what helps

you and the State both figure out who you want to keep on the jury and who you want to exclude.

But we don't tell people, you can't have an opinion. You want to hear their opinions, because that's giving you information about what these people are thinking.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. That's -- but some of that was so vicious, you don't think that influenced the rest of the guy -- the rest of the people that was in here? You had some people even crying that you haven't even got to yet.

THE COURT: I don't think that this jury panel has expressed things in any way that's different than any other jury panel.

When you start talking about issues of homicide and inappropriate sexual crimes with children, you always get jurors that are, like, you know what, this is a really difficult thing to talk about, and I have really strong opinions about this.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. And why was the sexual part -or the -- that part of it was stressed more than the homicide. You
asked one question, basically about the murder. But all of the
questions were about, like, this is what the case was basically on.
These charges were thrown in with the murder. Not --

THE COURT: I disagree, Mr. Woods. I asked people, specifically, were they ever the victim of or has anybody ever been arrested or charged with any kind of crime of sexual abuse? And then I asked people as well, did you ever -- which was your

question -- did you ever have a loved one that's been killed in any kind of criminal conduct?

Now, the fact that people choose to respond more on the sexual abuse thing, the reality is there are a lot of people who have that in their families, and far less people that have had a homicide in their families. So you're going to get more people that kind of respond to that question.

THE DEFENDANT: All right.

THE COURT: And generally speaking, in my experience in 29 years, I think it's a lot easier for people to be fair and impartial when somebody's charged with a homicide, and oftentimes more difficult if they're charged with sexual abuse-related crimes. It's just kind of the nature of that.

THE DEFENDANT: All right. My second question was, I mean, just make me understand. I don't have a problem with it. I just need to understand. Why was the first-degree guilt and conviction only spoken about? Why not second and manslaughter? It was just only, what do you think about if it was first-degree?

THE COURT: That's the only time they punish somebody is if it's a first-degree murder conviction. If you're convicted of anything less than first-degree murder, then I decide the punishment. If you're convicted of first-degree murder, then that's not up to me. It's the jury that gets to decide the punishment. So we have to ask them now, because we can't talk to them again later, if they can be fair and evaluate all forms of punishment if they convict

1	you. If they don't convict you, than they're not involved in	
2	punishment at all. So we don't go through asking them about those	
3	other ones.	
4	THE DEFENDANT: All right.	
5	THE COURT: Okay.	
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.	
7	THE COURT: All right. So I will see everybody tomorrow	
8	at 10:30.	
9	MS. MURRAY: And, Your Honor, just a reminder, is it	
10	okay if Mr. Woods	
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yeah, yeah, yeah. By all means,	
12	absolutely.	
13	MS. MURRAY: stays for a minute, so I can let him	
14	THE COURT: Stick around. Do whatever you guys need to	
15	do.	
16	MS. MURRAY: Thank you. I appreciate that.	
17	[Proceedings adjourned at 4:50 p.m.]	
18	* * * * * *	
19	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly	
20	transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.	
21		
22	Katherine McMally	
23		
24	Katherine McNally Independent Transcriber CERT**D-323	
25	AZ-Accurate Transcription Service, LLC	

Electronically Filed 8/2/2019 5:02 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	RTRAN	Oten A.
2		
3		
4		
5	DISTRIC	CT COURT
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
7 8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,))) CASE NO: C-15-309820-1
9	Plaintiff,) DEPT. III
10	vs.)
11	LEONARD RAY WOODS,	
12	Defendant.))
13		•
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS	S W. HERNDON, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
15	TUESDAY, M	IARCH 19, 2019
16		
17	RECORDER'S TRANSO	CRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
18	RE: DAY 2	
19	APPEARANCES:	
20	For the Plaintiff(s):	MICHELLE N. FLECK, ESQ.
21		JEFFREY S. ROGAN, ESQ.
22		PRO SE
23	Standby Counsel	JULIA M. MURRAY, ESQ.
24	DECORDED BY CARA SIGNA	ADOON COURT RECORDED
25	RECORDED BY: SARA RICHAF	DSON, COURT RECORDER

1238

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, MARCH 19, 2019

[Proceeding commenced at 10:48 a.m.]

[Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.]

THE COURT: Outside the presence of our jurors.

Mr. Woods is here. Ms. Murray is here assisting him.

State' attorneys are here.

We have all of your jurors except Mr. Kwan, who is Badge No. 40. He is on the -- towards the end of the middle row over here. So my -- I'm going to go ahead and get started without him because I've already finished going through those 24 people, and I was moving over to this row of 8. And we'll see if he shows back up. Obviously, if he doesn't show back up, then we'll have another conversation. He's the gentleman who was the restaurant owner.

MS. FLECK: Mr. Kwan who is in Seat 15.

MS. MURRAY: Yeah. The guy sitting down here.

THE COURT: Yeah. I don't know if it's actually -- yes, it is Seat 15. Yeah. Second row down, in the middle row there.

Okay. Do you guys have anything outside the presence before we get everybody in?

MS. FLECK: Not from the State. Sorry, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Guys, you can go ahead and let them know to get them in. Thank you.

So I'm actually just going to go ahead and question those eight, and then we'll talk about challenges before I fill those other

Page 2 1239

1	seats back up.
2	MS. FLECK: Okay.
3	[Pause in the proceedings.]
4	[In the presence of the prospective jury panel.]
5	MS. MURRAY: Your Honor
6	THE COURT: Yeah.
7	MS. MURRAY: before we begin, there's just a question
8	that just popped up. Can we fill you in real quickly?
9	THE COURT: Sure.
10	[Bench conference was had and transcribed as follows:]
11	MS. MURRAY: Thank you. Sorry.
12	Right as they started to come in, Leonard remembered
13	that he meant to ask whether or not you still intended to ask the
14	revised question from his submission. He said it regards false
15	allegations, and that you had said that you were going reword it and
16	work on it, but he never heard anything like yesterday.
17	THE COURT: On which one? Which question?
18	MS. MURRAY: I believe it was towards the bottom of the
19	list he submitted. It was one of when we went over what you were
20	going to ask yesterday, it was the one that you said you would revise
21	it and then ask a format of it.
22	THE COURT: I think that's the one that I asked about.
23	MS. MURRAY: I'm sorry. He realized it like as we they
24	were walking in.
25	THE COURT: He said yeah. Because I asked them about

Page 3 1240

peace officers always being right or whether they could lie, about
witnesses could falsely accuse people. I think that's what I was
talking about, because I was revising it to
MS. MURRAY: Can I show him
THE COURT: Yeah.
MS. MURRAY: how you asked it? Can I borrow this for
one second and say this is how he phrased it?
THE COURT: You can just tell him that I was saying that I
would revise it, because it was the punishment part that I was taking
out of his questions.
MS. MURRAY: Okay. Okay. Thank you so much.
[Bench conference was concluded.]
THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
Thank you all very much for coming back.
So we will be on the record. Mr. Woods is here
representing himself. Ms. Murray assisting. State's attorneys are
present. Our prospective jurors are present.
We had left off at the end of the day I had finished going
through a number of questions with the three rows to my right.
So can we get microphone over here to the row to my left?
And you can start on either end. It doesn't matter.
Okay. We'll start with Mr. Lane; correct.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes, Your Honor.

Page 4 1241

1	THE COURT: Hold on just a second.
2	MS. MURRAY: May I bring this up?
3	THE COURT: Yeah. Sure.
4	[Bench conference was had between the Court and Ms. Murray and
5	was not transcribed.]
6	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lane, you got my microphone;
7	correct?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Badge No. 62. All right.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: That's it.
11	THE COURT: How you doing, by the way?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Pretty good. Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Excellent.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: And you?
15	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived here, sir?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: 22 and a half years.
17	THE COURT: And what's your level of education?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: I have two master's
19	degrees, one in music and one in library and information science.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And you told me yesterday that you
21	work with the library district?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Soon to be retired?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Soon.
25	THE COURT: Soon, Okay, Is that retirement going into

Page 5 1242

1	some other profession? Or retirement going into enjoying your	
2	golden years kind of thing?	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: I don't know. I've been	
4	thinking about starting a wine blog, because that's a passion of	
5	mine.	
6	THE COURT: Okay. Got it. Are you married, sir?	
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes.	
8	THE COURT: And what type of work does your spouse	
9	do?	
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Children's librarian and	
11	substitute teacher for Clark County School District.	
12	THE COURT: Do you have children?	
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: No.	
14	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Can you pass that to your	
15	left for me, please?	
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Sure.	
17	THE COURT: Ms. Nelson is Badge No. 61. Ms. Nelson,	
18	how are you?	
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Good.	
20	THE COURT: Good. How long have you lived here?	
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Born and raised.	
22	THE COURT: And what's your level of education?	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: I have a degree in	
24	education.	
25	THE COURT: And what type of work do you do?	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: I'm currently
2	unemployed.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Any particular profession you were in
4	before the current time?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: For the last ten years, I
6	was a stay-at-home mom and before that, I was in interior design.
7	THE COURT: Okay. You're married?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes.
9	THE COURT: And you told us yesterday that your
10	husband is a criminal defense attorney; correct?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Correct.
12	THE COURT: All right. Children?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Two.
14	THE COURT: How old are they?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Almost 14 and 10.
16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	Ms. Ballinger, Badge No. 59. How long have you lived
18	here?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: 18 years.
20	THE COURT: Your level of education?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Some college.
22	THE COURT: You told us yesterday that you work with the
23	Metropolitan Police Department Foundation; correct?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: That's correct.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Are you married?

Page 7

1244

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
2	THE COURT: And what type of work does your spouse
3	do?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: He's an engineer for
5	Boeing.
6	THE COURT: Children?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
8	THE COURT: How many and how old are they?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I had to write it down. I
10	have 8.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: We have a 49, a 46, a 43,
13	a 41, a 40, 39, 36. And we adopted a baby from birth, and he's 7
14	now.
15	THE COURT: Okay. What about grand kids?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes. We have six.
17	THE COURT: Okay. So jury duty may be a break for you?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No.
19	THE COURT: You've got eight eight kids and six grand
20	kids, and you don't think hanging out here would be a little bit of the
21	break?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: You know what, they are
23	fresh breath of oxygen. They are awesome.
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: You're welcome.

1		THE COURT: Can you pass it to Mr. Robbins, please.
2		Mr. Robbins is Badge No. 57. How long have you lived
3	here?	
4		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Five and a half years.
5		THE COURT: And where'd you move here from?
6		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Chicago.
7		THE COURT: What's your level of education?
8		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I have a PhD.
9		THE COURT: And what type of work do you do? Oh,
10	you're a	
11		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I'm a teacher.
12		THE COURT: AP high school teacher; correct?
13		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes. High school.
14		THE COURT: Are you married?
15		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
16		THE COURT: What type of work does your spouse do?
17		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Also a teacher.
18		THE COURT: And what grade level does your spouse
19	teach?	
20		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Kindergarten.
21		THE COURT: Okay. Children?
22		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yeah. Two girls, 15 and
23	9.	
24		THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
25		Ms is it Quaresma?

Page 9 1246

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Correct, sir.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Badge 56. Ms. Quaresma, how long
3	have you been you lived here?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Born and raised, Your
5	Honor.
6	THE COURT: And what's your level of education?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Some college.
8	THE COURT: What type of work do you do?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I'm in advertising.
10	THE COURT: Are you married?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Yes.
12	THE COURT: And what type of work does your spouse
13	do?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: He's the community
15	program specialist for the City of Las Vegas.
16	THE COURT: Do you have children?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: No, sir. Not yet.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	Ms. Detroz, Badge No. 55. How long have you lived here
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: 20 years.
21	THE COURT: Pardon.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: 20.
23	THE COURT: 20. Thank you. What's your level of
24	education?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO 055: Bachelor

1	THE COURT: What type of work do you do?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Medical technologies.
3	THE COURT: Are you married?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Yes.
5	THE COURT: And what type of work does your spouse
6	do?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Same. Medical
8	technologies.
9	THE COURT: Children?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: One.
11	THE COURT: How old?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: 16.
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	Ms. Sink, Badge No. 53. How long have you lived here?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Seven years.
16	THE COURT: And where'd you move here from?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Washington state.
18	THE COURT: What's your level of education?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Bachelor's degree.
20	THE COURT: What type of work do you do?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Interior design.
22	THE COURT: Are you married?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.
24	THE COURT: And what type of work does your spouse
25	do?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Core drilling.
2	THE COURT: Pardon.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Core drilling, so
4	exploration mining.
5	THE COURT: Thank you. Children?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	And Mr. Strumillo, Badge No. 52. How long have you
9	lived here, sir?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: 25 years.
11	THE COURT: Your level of education?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Some college.
13	THE COURT: Remind me again what type of work you do?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: I'm a stagehand.
15	THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me, Your Honor. What was
16	that again?
17	THE COURT: Stagehand.
18	THE DEFENDANT: Stagehand.
19	THE COURT: What's your level of education or excuse
20	me. Are you married?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yes.
22	THE COURT: And what type of work does your spouse
23	do?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Is a crime scene analyst.
25	THE COURT: Oh that's correct Okay That's why I said

1	remind me what you do, because I knew we had that conversation
2	yesterday. I apologize. It was your spouse. Children?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yes.
4	THE COURT: How many?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: One, 4.
6	THE COURT: Four. Okay. So the eight of you that we've
7	just chatted, how many of you all have you ever been jurors before?
8	All right. Could you pass it back down to your right,
9	Mr. Strumillo? Thank you.
10	Mr. Robbins, Badge No. 57. How many times, sir?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Just once on a jury.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And how long ago was it?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Sometime within the last
14	15 years. I don't remember.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Chicago?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Criminal or civil case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Civil.
19	THE COURT: And do you remember what the charges
20	were? What it was about?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: It was basically it was a
22	car accident, and they were trying to decide whether or not
23	somebody was at whether or not to assign fault to an individual.
24	THE COURT: Okay. So you were asked to decide liability
25	and maybe awarding damages to people?

Page 13 1250

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I don't remember if there
2	were damages awarded, but
3	THE COURT: Okay. Were you the foreperson of the jury?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I don't think so.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I don't remember. It's
7	been a while.
8	THE COURT: Were you the guy that was asked to sign the
9	verdict form or anything?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I mean, I would
11	remember if I again, I don't remember. I remember being in the
12	room, but I don't remember; so I'm just going to go with no. Yeah.
13	THE COURT: Smart answer. Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: There we go.
15	THE COURT: There we go. Did the jury reach a verdict?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Great.
18	And could you pass it to your right for me, please.
19	Ms. Ballinger, how many times have you been a juror?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Once.
21	THE COURT: And how long ago was that?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: About 22 years ago.
23	THE COURT: And was that where was that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: In California.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Civil or criminal case?

Page 14 1251

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Civil.
2	THE COURT: Do you remember what it was about?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Drunk driver.
4	THE COURT: Do you remember whether you were the
5	foreperson?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No, I was not. Even with
7	all my children, I have to remember everything.
8	THE COURT: All right. Did the jury reach a verdict?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Actually, no.
12	THE COURT: Actually, no? Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: It was nobody could
14	make a decision.
15	THE COURT: Okay. So we got no verdict. All right.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: And I was on the grand
17	jury for two years.
18	THE COURT: Okay. How long ago was that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: 20 years ago.
20	THE COURT: So also in California?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Also in California.
22	THE COURT: How long did you sit on the grand jury in
23	California? What was their time period?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: The time period was two
25	vears.

1		THE COURT: Okay.
2		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: And it was for
3		THE COURT: Did you guys hear cases once a week?
4	Twice a w	veek?
5		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: We did our cases once a
6	week.	
7		THE COURT: Once a week? Like full day 8:00 to 5:00 kind
8	of deal?	
9		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes, sir.
10		THE COURT: Three, four cases a day type thing?
11		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: We were working on just
12	one.	
13		THE COURT: Oh, okay.
14		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: [Indiscernible] so it was
15	just one f	or the two.
16		THE COURT: One case for the two years?
17		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes, sir.
18		THE COURT: Got it. Okay. And was that obviously that
19	was a crir	ninal case.
20		What was the nature of the charges that were being
21	sought in	that?
22		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: It was the it was the
23	I'm trying	to think of the gentleman. I'm sorry.
24		THE COURT: And you don't have to remember the
25	specifics	But I mean was it a case involving fraud or theft or

1	drugs?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: It was abuse, molestation
3	
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: harassment.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And you sat for two years. And then
7	grand jury finished its business, and then you went on your way?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes, sir.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Got it. Thank you.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Uh-huh.
11	THE COURT: All right. Was there anybody else over there
12	that had been a juror?
13	Oh, I'm sorry. Did I pass
14	Were you raising your hand, Ms. Quaresma?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No, sir.
16	THE COURT: No? Okay. I'm sorry.
17	All right. Would anybody on that row of eight folks, do
18	any of you believe that you'd have a tendency to give more weight
19	or credence or less weight or credence to the testimony of police
20	officers just because they're police officers? Or do you think you
21	could judge them like any other witness and evaluate their testimony
22	in light of all the other facts and circumstances in the case?
23	Anybody think you'd treat them differently?
24	See no hands. Thank you.
25	Do you all believe that you'd be able to keep an open mind

Page 17 1254

and not decide any issue in the case until it's submitted to you for your deliberations? Anybody could not do that?

See no hands. Thank you.

In regard to the question -- I kind of asked this question yesterday of the other group, and I parceled it out. So rather than parcel it out, I'll just kind of ask it completely.

But have any of you all, or anyone close to you, ever been the victim of any kind of crime? Obviously, that would include if you or anyone close to had ever been the victim of any kind of sexual offense, whether it's reported to the police or not?

Yes. Okay. So pass it down to the end to Mr. Lane.

All right. Mr. Lane?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes. About 13 years ago, my sister's ex-husband murdered her boyfriend and then attempted to murder her. Very grizzly situation. I was her sole emotional support because my mother had a meltdown. And she had just received her Doctorate in Veterinary Medicine within the past year, and her hands were really sliced up, so it compromised her career for some time.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: And then I also had to officiate over the -- after the police released the crime scene, over the cleanup. So it's extraordinary the amount of blood that was clotted on the floor.

My wife reminded me last night. I don't know -- please

1	don't quote me she said there were 40 stab wounds. I don't know
2	if that is accurate. But it was a traumatic experience. And I'm not
3	too keen on going through a homicide here well, alleged homicide
4	hearing, again, frankly.
5	THE COURT: Right. Okay. Understood. And I apologize
6	for having to make you discuss that today, but I appreciate your
7	candor. How long ago was that?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: 2000 late 2005, and then
9	Judge Leavitt presided over the trial in 2006.
10	THE COURT: Was it
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: I was not part of the trial.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Myron Leavitt or his daughter
13	Michelle Leavitt?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Geesh.
15	THE COURT: We had two Leavitts that
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: It's I believe it was
17	Michelle.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yeah.
20	THE COURT: All right. So you were not part of the trial,
21	meaning you never had to testify or anything?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Correct.
23	THE COURT: All right. Did you participate in, like, coming
24	and watching proceedings on occasion or
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: I did not want to

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: because my sister was
3	living with us.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: And that proximity was
6	enough. I mean, especially given some of the other issues that I had
7	to take care which I mentioned.
8	THE COURT: Sure. Did you or anybody that you spoke to
9	or were close with have communications with the attorneys involved
10	in the case, anything like that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: No.
12	THE COURT: Okay. So you wouldn't really be in a
13	position to say, Hey, I think the the court system worked well, or
14	we were really disappointed in it. You kind of stayed out of that
15	whole part of that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Completely. But I mean
17	I've always worked on the presumption of innocence.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: I mean, that's inherent
20	within our system of jurisprudence. It's just that I'm just saying
21	emotionally and intellectually the scars are still there.
22	THE COURT: Oh, look
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: And that that's that's
24	more the issue with me, frankly.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Understood. And that's a heavy

1	enough issue that even though you work off the presumption of
2	innocence, you think it would be troubling for you to sit on this case
3	and be fair and impartial?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: That's it in a nutshell.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yeah.
7	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Who else had their
8	hand up?
9	Okay. Ms. Nelson?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: A sibling of mine was
11	molested. It was not reported.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And that was when you were a minor
13	or no?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Never reported?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Never reported.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
18	Anybody else?
19	Ms. Ballinger?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: As a child, to my teenage
23	years, my sister and I were both abused, molested.
24	THE COURT: Not here, I take it?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Not here

Page 21

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: In California.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: And it was the man my
5	mom was married to, and then he was murdered.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: That was never solved or
8	ever found who did it.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And was it ever reported to the police,
10	the events with yourself and your sibling? Not the murder.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Not until we were in our
12	late 20s.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Was there ever any type of court case
14	after that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Not that I'm aware of.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Although we were asked
18	by officers, millions of times
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: if we had done it.
21	THE COURT: Okay. So you were inquired about as
22	whether you had involvement in the death of the gentleman?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Okay. But when I said reported to the
25	police

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.
2	THE COURT: I was talking about the abuse that that
3	you were reporting?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No, sir.
5	THE COURT: That was never reported?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No, sir.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Did it come out when you were being
8	talked to by the police about the killing of the gentleman?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes, sir.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Anything else?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No, sir.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
13	Mr. Robbins.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: My wife was taken
15	advantage of on a date in high school that was never reported to the
16	police.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: As a teacher, I've had
19	multiple teenage girls come to me, and I've had to report several
20	cases to CPS and notify police.
21	THE COURT: Okay. So at your school, I mean, is your
22	mandatory reporting requirement you guys go to the counselor, the
23	principal, and say, This has come to my attention as a teacher? Or
24	do you can directly contact CPS? How does that work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I directly contact CPS.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Sometimes also directly
3	contact either CCSD police or Metro.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: And then I tell counselors
6	and administrators that I've had to do this
7	THE COURT: Okay. Got it.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: at the same time.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Okay.
11	THE COURT: Anybody else?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: And then also, you know,
13	just various I've had multiple bicycles stolen, car stereos stolen
14	THE COURT: Any of that here in Las Vegas?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Bike. Yes.
16	THE COURT: All right.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Somebody broke into
18	my actually I left the garage door open because there was a
19	hockey game on that I was trying to get inside for. But they took a
20	bike and went through the car and some and things like that.
21	THE COURT: Was that reported to the police at all?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes. Yeah. Henderson
23	Police.
24	THE COURT: Ever go to court?
0.5	PROSPECTIVE HIROR NO 057: No

Page 24 1261

1	THE COURT: No.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Oh, hang on. Sorry. One
3	other
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: There was a noninjury hit
6	and run involving my daughter a couple years ago that was reported
7	to the police. It went to court, and she was fined.
8	THE COURT: Fined?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yeah.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Okay.
12	THE COURT: Ms. Fink.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Sink.
14	THE COURT: Ms. Sink. Excuse me.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yup. I've had two close
16	friends to me sexually assaulted.
17	THE COURT: And were either of those here in Las Vegas?
18	Back in Washington?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Washington State and
20	then in Idaho State, as well.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Reported to the police?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: The first one was reported
23	to the school. Nothing happened. I don't know if she retracted it,
24	but now there is a case ongoing
25	THE COURT: Okay.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: due to other people
2	coming forward, as well. And then the second one in Idaho was
3	reported to administration
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: but didn't go any
6	further than that.
7	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
8	Mr. Strumillo, no hand? Okay.
9	That same question but flipped around. You or anyone
10	close to you all ever been arrested or charged or convicted of any
11	kind of crime? And that would obviously again include any type of
12	sexual offenses as well.
13	All right. Ms. Sink?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I have a couple of family
17	members convicted of drug crimes, as well as like DUIs.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Were those all out of state?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah. Out of Nevada.
20	THE COURT: Anybody else?
21	Yep. Ms. Quaresma, Badge 56.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Hi. Yes. Correct, sir.
23	My husband was charged with disturbing the peace in the
24	state of California 10 years ago him and his buddy from like a
25	rowdy college party in 2009.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: His sister, my husband's
3	sister, in 2010, also in the state of California, went to prison for
4	getting in a pretty bad fight. I believe her charge was assault with a
5	deadly weapon.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: She was just released last
8	year. And then same individual, my husband's sister, she's had
9	several DUIs.
10	THE COURT: All in California?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: All in California.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Great. Thank you.
13	Anybody else? Yeah. Mr. Robbins.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: My stepfather-in-law, my
15	wife's mom's husband, had several was convicted of several DUIs
16	in the '80s in California.
17	THE COURT: Thank you.
18	Anybody else? No?
19	All right. How about with regard to any type of
20	relationships that you or anyone close to you have been involved in
21	that involved domestic violence or any kind of restraining orders?
22	Anybody have any experience with that type of an issue?
23	Yep. Ms. Ballinger.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: My mother with that man
25	that she was married to.

Page 27

1	THE COURT: Okay. And did that just involve issues of
2	domestic violence or did it involve a restraining order or both?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Just the domestic
4	violence; just the domestic violence.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: My sister and I never told
7	anybody about the other thing.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: And it was back in the
10	day.
11	THE COURT: Back in the day. Understood.
12	All right. Anybody else on that question?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I have a question, Your
14	Honor.
15	THE COURT: Sure.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: When you had talked
17	when you asked the other question, I do a lot of volunteering at the
18	school.
19	And when you're in the situation that I was in as a child,
20	you get to sense things. And I had sensed this gentleman that
21	comes into the school plays with the children and stuff I felt he
22	was molesting girls or children. And so we had the school videos
23	look back at some of the things that I had seen and felt, and so they
24	did find that. And it was reported to the police.

THE COURT: Okay.

25

and I never told

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: CCSDPD took care of it.

And then he was no longer allowed on the premises.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Whether he was arrested or not, I don't know from that, because they don't tell you once that happens.

THE COURT: All right. So while you're volunteering at the school, you notified the school about the things that are concerning to you, and then they -- thereafter, an investigation was done?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: That's correct. Like children who are not eating or children that don't have food. Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: We see all that when we're feeding the children and stuff.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Anybody on the row of eight over there, do you have any strong feelings about the criminal justice system one way or the other? Really strong feelings favorably, very strong feelings disfavorably, experiences that were really good, experiences that were really bad?

Mr. Robbins.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: So I'm a little conflicted just from a social justice point of view. I'm busy teaching my students, like, we just did a whole unit on rights, and then went into a Black Lives Matter thing.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: And went into statistics
3	on, you know, arrest you know, arrest rates for versus race
4	proportions in the country and also imprisonment. And so
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: you know, there's some
7	concern or discontent about the way the system operates.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: But beyond that, no.
10	THE COURT: Beyond that no?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yeah.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And your concerns, really from a
13	statistical and scientific analysis about whether everything's working
14	appropriately or not, is that something that causes you any concern
15	about being fair and impartial in this particular case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I don't think so.
17	THE COURT: Okay. It's just more of an overall, Hey,
18	maybe there's a lot of stuff we need to look at as to how we're doing
19	it?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes. Yeah, I would say
21	that's fair.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else on that question?
23	We talked a little bit yesterday about obviously you all are
24	the, you know, the best narrators of your story to tell me how you'll
25	do moving forward.

24

25

So the question that was posed to the other folks was, look, once we start this process and we get our 14 jurors in place, and then you get to the end of the case you can't just say, Oh, wait a minute. I don't really want to do this any longer. You've got to be willing to go back and deliberate with your fellow jurors and go through the evidence and voice your opinion and come up with whatever proper verdict the collective group decides is appropriate.

Is there anybody that believes that for any reason you couldn't do that?

I see no hands. Thank you.

Is there anybody that believes that you are at all -- that the nature of the charge of homicide here, that the defendant is charged with the murder charge in this case, that causes you any concern about being fair and impartial in the case?

I see no hands. Thank you.

Is there anybody that believes -- well, actually I don't think I asked this in terms of when we were talking about crimes.

But does any -- do any of you all yourselves know or anyone close to you knows of any members of your family or close friends who have been killed by the criminal conduct of somebody else?

Yes. Ms. Quaresma.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: In 2006 my best friend's father, here in Nevada, Sergeant Henry Prendez was shot and killed on duty --

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: responding to the
3	domestic violence call.
4	THE COURT: And you said Sergeant Prendez was friends
5	with your father?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: No. My best friend's
7	father.
8	THE COURT: Best friend's father.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Brooke Prendez's father.
10	THE COURT: Got it. Okay.
11	Okay. How about the question I asked yesterday about
12	interracial relationships? Do any of you all have any family
13	members or close friends that are involved in interracial
14	relationships?
15	Yes. Ms. Sink.
16	Could you pass it down to your left, Ms. Quaresma?
17	Thank you.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I mean, I have a lot of
19	close friends and family who are involved
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: specifically aunts and
22	uncles.
23	THE COURT: All right. And I think yesterday I just kind of
24	calculated how many people there were. But the gist of the question
25	I'm getting to Is there anybody that has any concerns about

interracial relationships, regardless of who it is that's involved in?

I see no hands from any of our eight folks. Thank you.

Do any of you believe that just because somebody is arrested and charged with a crime that they must automatically be guilty?

I see no hands. Thank you.

Does anybody have any opinion or -- I should probably word this -- does anybody have any problem with the fact that in the criminal justice system the defendant has no burden of proof? They do not have to call witnesses or produce evidence, and they do not have to prove their innocence -- rather the burden of proof rests upon the State to prove someone's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Anybody have any issue with that? See no --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: [Indiscernible.]

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: So yesterday when the attorneys had said that that homicide happened at a Walgreens.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: To me, my first instinct is this is a public place. You know, there's obviously lots of witnesses. So I already started thinking about that probably means there's, like, a significant amount of evidence that would point toward Mr. Woods.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: But then -- you know,

Page 33

then I stop and I intellectualize. Then I started thinking about, Okay. Well, you know, there can be mistakes and so on. But I don't know if that -- I mean, is just that -- the fact that I'm already going through that thought process, is that problematic? Or the fact that I'm checking myself --

THE COURT: Is it problematic that you're wondering what the evidence is?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No. The fact that it's -- it's in such a public place makes me feel like there's probably a significant amount of evidence that I would --

THE COURT: I --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: -- feel it's probably fairly trustworthy right now.

THE COURT: If I understand your question correctly, I think everybody comes into court and you hear about a charge, whatever it may be, and you start -- you know, naturally people are curious and they start wondering about it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Um-hmm.

THE COURT: But whether there is evidence or not and whether that evidence points to somebody being guilty or not guilty, those are all what the trial is for; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Right.

THE COURT: I mean, what you think may be a significant amount of evidence, may be something that favors one side or it may be something that favors the other side. It may be something

Page 34 1271

that another person said, well, that's not really a significant amount, even though you may view it as a significant amount. So right now really isn't the time to decide whether there's evidence and what the evidence is.

It's just can you abide by the presumption of innocence, and then sit and listen to the trial whatever evidence is produced, and then make a decision solely based upon that, as opposed to speculating about anything?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Okay. Yeah. In that case, yeah, the answer is yes. But I don't know, like -- I don't know how you stop the speculation.

THE COURT: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah.

THE COURT: Well, you stop the speculation basically by listening to the trial. I don't mean that to be trite, but --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Right. Yeah. I understand.

THE COURT: You listen to the trial, you listen to the evidence, and that's how you decide the case based on what's given to you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Okay.

THE COURT: I mean, you'll get jury instructions at the end of the case that talk about, look, you don't speculate or guess about things. You use the evidence in the case to reach decisions and decide collectively what you think if anything occurred and what you

think if anything about who was alleged to have committed the acts.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: All right. Thank you.

THE COURT: Uh-huh. Anybody else on that question, which was just about if the defendant has no burden in the case, the State has the burden of proving guilt, a defendant does not have to prove innocence.

I see no other hands.

How about on the issue that I talked with the other jurors about yesterday that a defendant does not have to testify?

I mean, that's a decision that a defendant gets to make solely on the advice of and talking with an attorney. They have no obligation to testify. They have an absolute right not to testify. Does anybody have any problem with that?

I see no hands. Thank you.

We also asked some questions yesterday about witnesses and police officers in terms of everybody being human and everybody making mistakes, whether you're a lay witness or police officer. Anybody disagree with that statement?

I see no hands.

Everybody -- anybody disagree with the statement that police officers could be dishonest about things?

I see no hands.

Anybody disagree with the statement that witnesses can

falsely accuse people of things?

I see no hands.

That police officers could, you know, be inappropriate with evidence potentially in a case? Anybody disagree with that statement?

I see no hands. Thank you.

One of the other questions that I had asked your fellow jurors was about the potential to have to assess penalty in the case, if and only if somebody is convicted of first-degree murder. And I told the other jurors that those three options that are available to you, if you convict somebody of first-degree murder, the three penalty options are: A penalty of 50 years, with a minimum 20 years before parole eligibility; a sentence of life in prison, with a minimum 20 years before parole eligibility; or a sentence of life without the possibility of parole.

Do all of you believe that you could consider all three options before deciding on somebody's penalty?

Actually, let me say this. Could anybody not consider all three options before deciding on penalty?

I see no hands. Thank you.

Is there anything that we've chatted about today or that you raised yesterday, other than the things that you've already told us, like when Mr. Lane expressed his concerns about being fair and impartial based upon the experience that his sister had -- but beyond any of that, is there anybody that feels anything that they've

Page 37 1274

1	talked about today would prohibit them from being fair and impartial
2	in the case?
3	I see no other hands. Thank you.
4	Okay. We will note for the record that Mr. Kwan came in a
5	little bit ago.
6	Mr. Kwan, we started without you. I hope you don't mind.
7	But since we had already asked the questions yesterday. Okay? So I
8	appreciate you getting here today.
9	And we're going to go ahead and fill in the seats that got
10	vacated yesterday [indiscernible] when we broke which there's five
11	seats that we need to fill up. Right?
12	Okay. You can go ahead.
13	THE CLERK: So Stephanie Davis, Badge 076.
14	THE COURT: So, Ms. Davis, if you could come on up to
15	the empty seat in the middle of the top row.
16	THE CLERK: Brian Reinhardt, Badge 077.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Reinhardt, you'll take the seat on the end
18	of that middle row or top row.
19	THE CLERK: Kalani Hendricks, Badge 078.
20	THE COURT: Ma'am, you're going to take the seat that's
21	on the end of the middle row.
22	THE CLERK: Alice Ferguson, Badge 081.
23	THE COURT: The seat, Ms. Ferguson, in the middle of the
24	middle row.
25	And then Ms. Finn, Badge 82. Ms. Finn, if you could take

Page 38 1275

1	the seat down here in the front row for me, please.
2	And then JR, can you get the microphone up there on the
3	top row please to Ms. Davis?
4	Good morning, Ms. Davis. How are you?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Good morning. Good.
6	How are you?
7	THE COURT: I'm good. Thank you. Ms. Davis is Badge
8	No. 76. So, Ms. Davis, how long have you lived here?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: 22 years.
10	THE COURT: And what's your level of education?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Bachelor's degree.
12	THE COURT: What type of work do you do?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Public relations.
14	THE COURT: Are you married?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Divorced.
16	THE COURT: Children?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: One nine-year-old.
18	THE COURT: Thank you. Ever been a juror before?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: No.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Could you pass that down to your
21	right? We'll get it to Mr. Reinhardt, Badge No. 77.
22	Mr. Reinhardt, how are you?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Pretty good. Thank you.
24	THE COURT: How long have you lived here?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Pretty much since 1985.

Page 39 1276

1	THE COURT: And what's your level of education?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Associate's.
3	THE COURT: What type of work do you do?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Software engineer.
5	THE COURT: Are you married?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes.
7	THE COURT: And what type of work does your spouse
8	do?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: She's an office manager
10	THE COURT: Children?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: at a doctor's office.
12	Four children.
13	THE COURT: Four children. And what's their age range?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Seven, eight, ten, and
15	eighteen.
16	THE COURT: And I'm sorry. You said your wife works at a
17	doctor's office?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes. She's the office
19	manager.
20	THE COURT: Okay. What type of practice is that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Allergist.
22	THE COURT: Okay. You ever been a juror before?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: No.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
25	Could you pass that forward. And folks we're going to

Page 40 1277

1	send it all the way down to your left, to the very end of that row, to
2	Ms. Hendricks.
3	Good morning, Ms. Hendricks.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Good morning.
5	THE COURT: Badge No. 78. How long have you lived
6	here?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Since '92.
8	THE COURT: And what's your level of education?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Bachelor's.
10	THE COURT: What type of work do you do?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: I'm a store manager of a
12	drugstore.
13	THE COURT: Are you married?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes.
15	THE COURT: And what type of work does your spouse
16	do?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Guest services in a hotel
18	THE COURT: Children?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: One.
20	THE COURT: How old?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: 37.
22	THE COURT: Grand kids?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: None.
24	THE COURT: None. Thank you. Have you ever been a
25	iuror before?

Page 41 1278

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes.
2	THE COURT: All right. How many times?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Once.
4	THE COURT: And how long ago was that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Probably, I think, within
6	five years, here in Nevada.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Do you remember was it a civil or a
8	criminal case?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Criminal.
10	THE COURT: And do you remember what the charges
11	were?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: It was armed robbery of a
13	Carl Jr's.
14	THE COURT: Did the jury reach a verdict?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Were you the foreperson of the jury?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: No.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Was it in my department?
19	You look really familiar to me.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: I don't think so.
21	THE COURT: I've had this lady that's been a juror in my
22	department three times.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Oh.
24	THE COURT: Right. Now, I've been around for a while, so
25	it's not like we keep getting her back every year. I mean, it's been,

1	like, three years apart, three years apart, three years apart. But it's
2	just funny that she keeps ending up in my department.
3	Okay. Thank you very much.
4	Can you pass it down to your right for me, please. And
5	we'll get it to Ms. Ferguson.
6	Hi, Ms. Ferguson.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Hello, there.
8	THE COURT: Badge No. 81. How long have you lived
9	here?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Two and a half years.
11	THE COURT: And where'd you move here from?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: San Francisco.
13	THE COURT: What's your level of education?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Master's.
15	THE COURT: What type of work do you do?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Corporate development.
17	THE COURT: Are you married?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And what type of work does your spouse
20	do?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Private equity and health
22	care.
23	THE COURT: Children?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Not yet.
25	THE COURT: Thank you. Ever been a juror before?

1		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Not never been
2	selected.	
3		THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
4		Could you go ahead and pass it forward. And folks if you
5	guys wou	ıld help me get it over to Ms. Finn. Thank you very much,
6	ma'am.	
7		All right. Ms. Finn is Badge No. 82. Good morning, Ms.
8	Finn.	
9		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Good morning.
10		THE COURT: And how long have you lived here?
11		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: About five and a half
12	years.	
13		THE COURT: And where'd you move here from?
14		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Chicago.
15		THE COURT: What's your level of education?
16		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: A master's degree.
17		THE COURT: And you're a first-grade teacher; correct?
18		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Correct. Yes.
19		THE COURT: Okay. Are you married?
20		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: No.
21		THE COURT: And do you have any children?
22		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: No.
23		THE COURT: Ever been a juror before?
24		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Nope.
25		THE COURT: Want to be a juror? See how I slid that in

Page 44

1	there? Pretty good; right?		
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: That's, like, a trick		
3	question.		
4	THE COURT: You teachers are smart, though. You held		
5	off and didn't answer.		
6	Okay. Have you or any any of the five of you that I've		
7	been chatting with and you can just hold on to the microphone for		
8	right now but you or anyone close to any of you ever been the		
9	victim of a crime, whether it's a sex offense or anything else,		
10	whether it was reported to the police or not? Any of the five of you?		
11	Yep. Okay. We'll start with Ms. Finn.		
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: I was the victim I had al		
13	four of my tires stolen off my car my first year I lived here. The		
14	police were contacted, but nothing was ever done.		
15	THE COURT: Okay.		
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: And then my uncle,		
17	about		
18	THE COURT: So like literally your car is on blocks in the		
19	street then?		
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: It was like half on the		
21	ground and half on cinder blocks. Yes.		
22	THE COURT: Wow. Okay.		
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: And then about ten years		
24	ago, my uncle was the victim of an armed robbery in Chicago.		
25	THE COURT: Okay. So let's start with the armed robbery.		

1	I'm assuming you were a child then.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: Okay. So did you have any involvement in
4	being a witness, go to court, or anything like that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: No.
6	THE COURT: Okay. With regard to the theft of your tires,
7	you said it was reported to the police?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes.
9	THE COURT: But no court case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: No. It was there was no
11	video footage outside my apartment complex or anything. And it
12	was done in the middle of the night, so
13	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever had occasion as a
14	teacher to have to report either physical or being suspected of
15	physical or sexual abuse of any of your students?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes, to CPS.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And same thing I asked Mr. Robbins.
18	Within your school, do you report directly to CPS? Or do you have
19	an administrator that you go through in your school to report? Or
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: So we report it to CPS
21	first, and then I contact the counselor and our administrators.
22	THE COURT: To let them know that you've reported it?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Correct.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And did that arise because you
25	suspected things or because kids made disclosures to you?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: All three times I've called
2	it's because kids have made disclosures to me.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Got it. All right. Could you pass
4	well, actually before we move it on. Have you or anyone close to
5	you ever been arrested or accused, convicted of any kind of crime,
6	sex offense or otherwise?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: My uncle has been
8	arrested and spent time in jail for DUIs and drug offenses.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Here or back in Illinois?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: In Illinois.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Great. Thank you.
12	You can go ahead and pass it back to the middle row.
13	We'll go to Ms. Ferguson, Badge No. 81.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Hello.
15	THE COURT: So that first question about being a victim.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes. I have a compound
17	example that will answer numerous questions at the same time.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: My brother-in-law in the
20	state of lowa is current currently undergoing a conviction for a
21	crime alleged crime for murdering his mother. He lost the civil
22	trial brought about by his father, and he's currently undergoing the
23	criminal one right now.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Unfortunately, he was

1	actually framed by the police. There were evidence that the police
2	did actually find the two confessed killers between the end of the
3	civil trial and beginning of the criminal trial. And also they found the
4	weapon in their possession, but decided to not bring that into court.
5	So after the end of the criminal trial, he will be suing the police
6	department
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: for obstruction of
9	justice and all that stuff.
10	THE COURT: So when you say ongoing, like, literally in
11	trial right now? Or just waiting to get to trial?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes. My mother-in-law
13	was subpoenaed yesterday.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Got it. And I'm sorry, you said that
15	was lowa?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Yes?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: The state of Iowa. Yes.
19	THE COURT: Okay. All right. And so that kind of, like you
20	said, encompasses both having family members as victims, as well
21	as family members that have been charged.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes. And then to your
23	other question that will come later about the credence of police
24	testimony and all that stuff.

THE COURT: Okay. Got it. Thank you. Assumably you're

1	going to answer that that you would have a problem with police
2	officers?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: I do think they value their
4	camaraderie, their brotherhood, their power
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: more so than justice at
7	times.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
9	Could you pass it down to your left for me please.
10	Ms. Hendricks, Badge No. 78. That first question about
11	anybody in your family that's been or anyone close to you that's
12	been the victim of a crime?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: I've been robbed at
14	gunpoint twice, pepper-sprayed at work where we're constantly
15	having to deal with problems. We've been robbed in our house
16	once. And I think that's everything.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's enough; right?
18	So pepper-sprayed at work by somebody trying to rob you
19	at work?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes, yeah, yeah.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And I'm assuming the police were
22	called when this happened at work?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: The police were called.
24	The two armed robberies, they were both called. Yeah.
25	THE COURT: Okay What about the penner spray

1	robbery?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yeah. They were called
3	as well.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And did any of those result in a court
5	case?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: The two armed robberies
7	did. I believe both of those were a conviction.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And did you testify?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: I didn't have to testify. I
10	did have to do a lot of ID'ing and talking to the detectives several
11	different times.
12	THE COURT: Okay. So you gave like a statement to the
13	police where maybe they record it or you write it down?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Statement to the police.
15	They came out to the house. I did a photo lineup.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And they show you a bunch of photos
17	and ask if you recognized anybody?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yeah.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And then you mentioned your own
20	home had been burglarized?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Were the police called in that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes.
24	THE COURT: And did that result in a court case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: No.

1	THE COURT: Okay. And I'm assuming all those things we
2	were talking about Las Vegas?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Okay. All right. How about you, family
5	members, anyone close to you, ever been accused of or arrested or
6	convicted of any crimes?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: No. My husband did
8	something stupid when he was a teenager, but that's about it.
9	THE COURT: Okay. So when he was like a juvenile?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Yeah.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
12	All right. Can you pass that up behind you, please. We're
13	going to get it back over to Ms. Davis, Badge No. 76.
14	Yes, ma'am.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: My ex-husband was
16	molested as a child, in Connecticut.
17	THE COURT: In Connecticut. Okay. Do you know if it was
18	ever reported to law enforcement?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Not to law enforcement,
20	but to adults.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Did any people that it was reported to
22	ever pursue anything with law enforcement?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: They did not.
24	THE COURT: Did not. Okay. Anything else regarding
25	being a victim of any kind of crime?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Just last month I had
2	some really good friends and their house was broken into here in
3	Las Vegas.
4	THE COURT: Okay
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: While they were inside
6	sleeping, and many things were stolen including their car.
7	THE COURT: okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: The car was found, but
9	the people have not been.
10	THE COURT: Got it. Thank you. What about anybody
11	arrested or convicted or charged with any kind of crimes? No?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: No.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Reinhardt, did you have an
14	answer to any of those?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Yes? Let's pass it down to Mr. Reinhardt.
17	Yes, sir.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: When I was living in
19	Phoenix for a short time I had my car was shot shot up and a
20	friend was shot and a girl also died.
21	THE COURT: This all one event?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Or it's separate?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes.
25	THE COURT: One event?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: One event.
2	THE COURT: Okay. You in the car, as well, or just the
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And this is driving down the street?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Taco Bell drive-thru.
6	THE COURT: Taco Bell drive-thru. Wow. Okay. Police
7	called, I'm assuming?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes.
9	THE COURT: And did the matter ever go to court?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: No.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Brother with multiple
13	DUIs.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And is that Arizona?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Here.
16	THE COURT: Here. Okay. Are any of those currently
17	pending, or no?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: No.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
20	Okay. And then as Ms. Ferguson alluded to, the next
21	question I was going to ask all of you was about the testimony of
22	police officers.
23	If anybody of the five of you that I've chatted with believes
24	that you would treat police officers differently in their testimony, or
25	could you treat them the same as any other witness and decide what

you thought about their testimony and credibility based on everything in the case? Anybody think you would treat a police officer differently just because they were a police officer?

And I'll get back to you in a minute, Ms. Ferguson.

How about the other four? Anybody?

I see no hands.

Okay. Could you go ahead and pass it back on down?

So, Ms. Ferguson, you're not alone. We have jurors all the time that say sometimes they say I have really great experiences with the police officers, and I could just never believe that they would do anything wrong. Other people say, I've had really bad experiences, and I think they always do something wrong. And then other times we have people that say, maybe I had really good experiences, maybe I had bad experiences but it has nothing to do with this case. I could be fair and impartial in the case and evaluate whatever I'm going to hear.

Where do you fall on the spectrum of those things?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: It's clearly not a hundred percent.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: It would depend on the people that would come to the stand.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: I think you can read people based on where their loyalties lie where they prioritize.

Page 54 1291

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

Т	Н	F	\cap	\cap	П	R	Г٠	Ç,	ırρ
- 1	п		•	w	u	\mathbf{n}	Ι.	เวเ	11 €.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: So it would depend on the people that come to the stand.

THE COURT: Okay. So you don't automatically start by saying, I'm going to distrust them. You're going to start with, I'm going to just listen to who they are and watch them and figure out what I think about what they say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No. I automatically start with I'm going to distrust them.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: But if they -- the burden of proof is on them in my mind.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: So --

THE COURT: Okay. So, yes, I will distrust them from the start, but maybe they can prove to me that they're worthy of being believed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. The next question I had was, of the five of you, do you all believe that you could wait in forming an opinion on whether or not Mr. Woods is guilty or not guilty until after you've heard all the evidence in the case and you go deliberate with your fellow jurors?

Anybody could not do that?

Ms. Ferguson, what do you got?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: It's not the nature of the allegations that bother me.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: But it's the simple fact that he chose to defend himself. I feel like unless someone is a practiced lawyer with a winning streak, lots of experience, and a three-year law degree, the only reason they would -- for someone to defend themselves without all of that, it automatically means that he is guilty.

THE COURT: Okay. So the fact that he's chosen to defend himself is going to cause you to kind of have a bias against him, and he starts out disfavored to that -- that respect?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Understood.

How about anybody else on that question? I didn't see any other hands from the other four. No?

Okay. In regard to -- and you can hold on to the microphone right now, Ms. Ferguson, in case you're going to answer any of these other questions.

Any of the five of you ever had yourselves, family members, or anyone close to you any involvement in any type of domestic violence relationships and/or have restraining orders?

Yeah. Can you past it to your left, first. I guess that's closer.

Ms. Hendricks.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: My sister was an or her
2	former husband Child Protective Services had to be called in, in
3	their divorce.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Because of issues with the kids or
5	between the adults?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: With the kids.
7	THE COURT: With the kids. Okay. And was there
8	restraining orders that were put in place?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: At one point, yes.
10	THE COURT: Or maybe a court order?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: Court order about the
12	time spent with the kids.
13	THE COURT: With the kids. Understood. And was that
14	here in Las Vegas?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 078: No. That was in Utah.
16	THE COURT: Utah. Thank you.
17	Okay. Could you pass it forward for me. We'll get it over
18	to Ms. Finn.
19	Badge 82, Ms. Finn. Yes, ma'am.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: I took a restraining order
21	out against an ex-boyfriend when I was in college.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And in Illinois?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yes, in Illinois.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And was that based on issues of
25	domestic violence or other issues?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yeah. And for stalking.
2	THE COURT: Stalking?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yeah.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Got it. And I'm not familiar
5	with the process in Illinois. Did you have to go in front of a judge to
6	request a restraining order?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: No, I did not. Oh, well, to
8	file it, I didn't. But then to get it
9	THE COURT: Extended?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Yeah, we did.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So you had to fill out certain
12	paperworks and affidavits, and then they granted it. And then you
13	had to go to court to get it extended?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Correct.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And how long ago are we talking?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 082: Eight, nine years ago.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
18	Any of the other five on that question?
19	I see no other hands. Thank you.
20	Anybody other than what may have already been
21	mentioned and that would include you, Ms. Ferguson anybody
22	have any strong feelings for or against the criminal justice system?
23	I see no other
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: I just have a question.
25	THE COURT: No question?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: I do.

THE COURT: You have a question?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: About sort of that.

THE COURT: Sure. All right, Ms. Davis.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Again, this is my first time on a jury. So I was under the impression that we're given juror numbers because we are to remain anonymous.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: So I've just been very uncomfortable, since yesterday, that my name's been used multiple times.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: And I've been very upset about it since yesterday.

THE COURT: All right. So when you're seated on a jury, you're assigned a number, which is, you know, one, two, three, up through 14, because we keep 14 jurors.

During the court process, we generally just engage with people and are talking to people and have to be able to ascertain who is whom versus a particular number. The information that I get in terms of ID numbers, and all that kind of stuff, that all stays with the court once jury selection process is over. So it's not like it gets disseminated to anybody, and there's no personal identifying information in there. It's just we talk to people by their names.

So I'm -- look, I get your concern. And I'm sorry it makes

Page 59

1	you uncomfortable. It just kind of how to process works here. Okay?	
2	Does that cause you the kind of concern that makes you think you	
3	can't be fair and impartial here? Or you're just kind of mad at me	
4	that that's how	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Possibly. No, no, no. It	
6	doesn't have to do with you.	
7	THE COURT: Okay.	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: But it's concerning me.	
9	Yes.	
10	THE COURT: Okay. So the fact that it's been going on for	
11	a couple of days, does that make you kind of be, like, well, it's kind of	
12	already out there who I am, so I'm okay with it now? Or still not	
13	okay with it?	
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: No. I'm still very	
15	extremely uncomfortable about it.	
16	THE COURT: Got it. All right.	
17	Anybody else on the issue of the criminal justice system?	
18	And, Ms. Ferguson, I just assumed from your earlier	
19	comments that it was your your level of distrust of police also	
20	probably involved the criminal justice system; true?	
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.	
22	THE COURT: Okay. All right.	
23	How about the question I asked everybody earlier today	
24	and yesterday about the fact that we go through this process, and	
25	we're kind of getting your information, and we do this in a real	

academic way; right? We're just talking about it. It's not actually having to do it.

At the end of the case, when you're called upon to deliberate, that's when you actually have to sit down and work through evidence, discuss things with each other, and come up with reaching a verdict. You cannot, once you get to that point, say, Well, I know we talked about it, but I really don't want to do it now. You have to be willing to go back there and deliberate with your fellow jurors.

Any of the five of you feel like that you could not do that? I see no hands. Thank you.

I also asked questions of everybody about potentially being involved in a punishment decision. So if and only if somebody is convicted of first-degree murder, then the jury would decide what their punishment should be. And again, there's the three options that are available to the jurors: A 50-year sentence with the minimum of 20 years before parole eligibility; a sentence of life in prison with the minimum of 20 years before parole eligibility; and a sentence of life without the possibility of parole eligibility.

Would all of you be able -- or could anybody not consider all three of those options before deciding on someone's punishment?

I see no hands.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: And Judge, I have a quick question.

1	THE COURT: Sure. Let me get the microphone back to	
2	you, Mr. White. Yes.	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: In that three-tier	
4	sentencing structure for first-degree murder	
5	THE COURT: Right.	
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: there is, like, in my own	
7	particular situation that I related earlier, it was life with the possibility	
8	of parole, but there was a deadly weapons enhancement. So after	
9	that initial	
10	THE COURT: The jurors don't have any involvement in	
11	anything dealing with weapons.	
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Oh, okay.	
13	THE COURT: All you're doing is deciding what the	
14	sentence is for the homicide charge, the murder itself.	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Okay. Thank you.	
16	THE COURT: Yeah. If and only if somebody's convicted of	
17	first-degree murder, those are the three options that are available to	
18	you, you all, you know, go back and deliberate, decide what you	
19	think is appropriate. And then, as I said yesterday, the Court decides	
20	sentencing on every other aspect of the criminal justice system in	
21	Nevada.	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Thank you.	
23	THE COURT: Uh-huh.	
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Actually, I have one quick	
25	question regarding	
1	1	

1	THE COURT: Okay. Get it down Mr. Robbins.
2	Yes, sir.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: So is for time frame, is
4	the sentencing would that be included in the whole two-week
5	period that we're looking at?
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Okay.
8	THE COURT: Yes. Which and that's a really good
9	question. And I apologize I didn't mention that yesterday. One thing
10	rolls right into another thing. It's not, like, if you all return a verdict
11	for first-degree murder, I say, Okay. Go home and we'll see you back
12	in a month or something.
13	It is we start back up as quickly as we can to move into
14	the second phase. So we consider that whole thing when I'm trying
15	to when I give you a time estimate about being two weeks.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Okay. Thank you.
17	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
18	Mr. Lane, you got your hand back up again?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Oh, no. I'm sorry.
20	THE COURT: No? Okay. Your hand was up; so I wasn't
21	sure if
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Oh, no. I'm sorry.
23	THE COURT: that was a question or not.
24	Okay. All right. And I saw there were yeah. One more.
25	There were no hands amongst my five in regard to the guestion of

1	having any problems with the punishment options.	
2	But, yes, ma'am.	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: This is in regards to the	
4	two week. I found out yesterday I didn't say anything about the	
5	undue hardship because I found out yesterday I wouldn't be paid for	
6	the two weeks	
7	THE COURT: Okay.	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: and I can't miss out on	
9	two weeks of pay.	
10	THE COURT: You found out yesterday that you're not	
11	going to get paid?	
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: That we're that I'm not	
13	getting paid at work for jury duty, no.	
14	THE COURT: Okay. Where do you work again?	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Southwest Autism and	
16	Behavioral Solutions.	
17	THE COURT: And what did they tell you?	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: That they're not	
19	there's they're not paying me.	
20	THE COURT: They're not paying you at all? Not I mean,	
21	asking you to use time off? Or	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No. They didn't ask me to	
23	use time off.	
24	THE COURT: Okay. Now, they didn't threaten your job at	
25	all?	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No, no, no, no.		
2	THE COURT: Okay.		
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I'm just not getting paid		
4	because I'm not there.		
5	THE COURT: And that's Ms. Durna; correct?		
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Uh-huh.		
7	THE COURT: Okay. Badge No. 25.		
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes.		
9	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.		
10	Okay. Anybody in the five that I've just been chatting		
11	with any of you all feel any kind of bias or prejudice related to the		
12	fact that one of the charges in this space in the case involves an		
13	alleged murder?		
14	I see no hands.		
15	Do any of you know anybody family members, close		
16	family members, close friends who has been killed by the crimina		
17	conduct of somebody else?		
18	I see no hands. Thank you.		
19	Do any of you all have any feelings of bias or prejudice		
20	against the issue of interracial relationships?		
21	I see no hands.		
22	Any of the five of you have close family members or		
23	friends who are involved in interracial relationships?		
24	I see no hands amongst those five people.		
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: 1		

THE COURT: Yep, Mr. Reinhardt. Yeah. Why don't you go ahead and pass it down.

This is just yes, I have some friends or family members? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes. I do, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Got it. Thank you.

Do any of you believe that someone is automatically guilty just because they've been arrested and charged with a crime?

I see no hands. Thank you.

Anybody have any views on the defense having no burden in the case, meaning that the State has the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt the elements of each of the crimes charged and the defense has no burden of proof. So they do not have to prove anything to you; they do not have to call witnesses or produce evidence.

Anybody have any issue with that? I see no hands.

Anybody have any issue with the fact that a criminal defendant does not have to testify, cannot be compelled to testify?

I see no hands. Thank you.

Anybody disagree with the statement that police officers, like any other witnesses, are human and they can make mistakes?

I see no hands.

Anybody have any disagreement with the statement that police officers could potentially do inappropriate things with evidence in a case?

I see no hands.

Anybody have any disagreement with the statement that witnesses sometimes could lie or not be honest or falsely accuse people of things?

I see no hands. Thank you.

Okay. I tell you what, let's take a break before we continue on. I might try and get a little more done before we actually break for lunch. But I won't get you too -- too much further past noon because it's already noon.

But we're going to take a recess right now for about 10 or 15 minutes. During the recess, you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else about any subject connected with the trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, a newspaper, television, the Internet, and radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the case until it's finally submitted to you. No legal or factual research, investigation, or social media communication on your own please.

Again, you can leave whatever you want in your chairs.

You'll come back to those chairs. Okay? So if you could all just step outside right now. Appreciate it.

[Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.]

THE COURT: Okay. So this morning we questioned the eight folks, as well as the five that were replaced the seats that were excused yesterday based on Mr. Woods's challenges.

1	So in regard to those 13 people, the State have any	
2	challenges?	
3	MS. FLECK: To the new people that came in?	
4	THE COURT: Yeah. The ones that we questioned today.	
5	So	
6	MS. FLECK: So	
7	THE COURT: you guys didn't have any challenges to the	
8	first 24 yesterday.	
9	MS. FLECK: No.	
10	THE COURT: And then so just this eight behind.	
11	MS. FLECK: The only thing from yesterday that I'll remind	
12	us the Court that we were going to talk to Ms. Donmore again.	
13	THE COURT: Yeah.	
14	MS. FLECK: The defendant hadn't challenged that.	
15	THE COURT: Yeah.	
16	MS. FLECK: And just real quick on that, looking back on	
17	my notes, I do think that she may have been similarly situated to	
18	other people that we have excused, so	
19	THE COURT: Okay.	
20	MS. FLECK: I will submit it on that	
21	THE COURT: Okay.	
22	MS. FLECK: given that that was the defendant's request.	
23	THE COURT: So, Mr. Woods, are you still challenging Ms.	
24	Donmore then?	
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. No, I know. I was just I was	

just thinking she didn't want to get rid of her yesterday, but something -- all of a sudden wants to get rid of her.

MS. FLECK: Well, so --

THE COURT: Look, all she's saying is she went back and read through the notes yesterday, and so she's not going to object to your challenge.

And I'm just asking, Are you still challenging her?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So we'll excuse Ms. Donmore.

MS. FLECK: I think that Mr. Lane, based upon the personal situation that he went through, expressed that he would have a very difficult time emotionally sitting on this case, and it sounded pretty traumatic just hearing it. So we would have no opposition to him.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: I think that Ms. Ferguson has said negative things for both the State and the defendant, the last, most specifically, was that he is already guilty because he's defending himself; so I think she's an inappropriate juror.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: Ms. Davis, we didn't really -- I didn't really get a strong sense of how much she couldn't be fair. But she did say, based upon the fact that her name has been used, she said I can't be fair.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: Otherwise, I think that she, you know, would

be a good juror for everybody. But I don't know how much that's weighing on her.

THE COURT: Yeah. I'm probably going to go back and talk to her a little more. I may just bring her in outside the presence of everybody else.

MS. FLECK: Okay. And then the last one was Ms. Durna. What number is --

THE COURT: Durna is Badge No. 25, who says that now -- that she won't be paid for being here for two weeks.

MS. FLECK: Oh, yeah. So I wasn't sure what we were going to do about that. She didn't --

THE COURT: Yeah. I mean I'd probably let her go because that sometimes happens. An employer doesn't have to pay their employees. Unfortunately, the policies are really varied here in Nevada. So they can just tell an employee I'm not going to fire you, but you don't get paid while you're here.

Okay. Mr. Woods, any challenges that you have to add to what the State has just listed?

THE DEFENDANT: No. I wouldn't mind Lane and Davis being excused.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: But I think -- despite what she said, I think Ferguson would be a good juror just for the simple fact that I think if she knew I didn't -- I don't feel like I chose to defend myself. I feel like I was forced to defend myself, I think she'd have a different

Page 70 1307

1	outlook on that, so I want to hear a little more from her.	
2	THE COURT: Well, we don't go into that.	
3	THE DEFENDANT: No. I didn't say we had to go into that.	
4	I'm just saying, it'll come out in the trial.	
5	THE COURT: Well	
6	THE DEFENDANT: So I think	
7	THE COURT: No.	
8	THE DEFENDANT: if she's a juror then.	
9	THE COURT: The only thing the jury knows is that you	
10	chose to represent yourself. That's why you're acting as the	
11	attorney. We don't go into the trial you don't get an opportunity to	
12	go tell jurors that I was forced to do this. I mean, that's not a part of	
13	the trial.	
14	THE DEFENDANT: I know. I'm not going to say that to	
15	them specifically, but I can see that coming up, because	
16	THE COURT: Well, I'm telling you	
17	THE DEFENDANT: I didn't choose to	
18	THE COURT: it's not going to come up.	
19	How do you think it would come up? That is a pretrial	
20	issue that is not coming up in front of the jury.	
21	You don't go tell the jury that the judge forced me, the	
22	system forced me, I don't want to represent myself.	
23	You've chosen to represent yourself and that's all the jury	
24	knows by way of explanation to them as to why you're the attorney.	
25	THE DEFENDANT: All right. Well, I didn't choose to	

1	represent myself. I was forced to represent myself, so
2	THE COURT: Okay. Well, we'll just
3	THE DEFENDANT: That's our difference of opinion right
4	there.
5	THE COURT: We're going to agree to disagree about that.
6	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
7	THE COURT: But what I'm telling you is you're not going
8	to be talking about that to the jury in any way.
9	Okay. But did you have any challenges other than the
10	ones that we've been talking about?
11	THE DEFENDANT: No. But if that's comes up, I can't
12	speak on it? Not me bringing it up. If it comes up
13	THE COURT: Well, I don't know how that would come up.
14	But if something is coming up that you believe allows you to talk to a
15	witness or anybody about that issue, then we need to talk about it
16	outside the presence of the jury first. But I can't imagine how that's
17	going to come up. So
18	Okay. I well, Mr. Woods challenged Ms. Donmore. The
19	State has agreed. So I'll excuse her.
20	The State indicated the belief that Mr. Lane should be
21	excused. Mr. Woods agreed. So we'll excuse Mr. Lane.
22	What about Ms. Durna that the State brought up this
23	morning? She's the lady at the very end that said, I just found out I
24	won't get paid. Do you have a position as to her?
25	THE DEFENDANT: I kind of thought that was funny. She

said she didn't think she was going to get paid for being a juror? Is that what she was saying?

THE COURT: No, no, no. Her employer.

THE DEFENDANT: Or her job?

THE COURT: She didn't find out until she checked with her employer after court that their employer policy is they won't pay her to be here during jury duty.

THE DEFENDANT: I don't want nobody not to get -- you know what I mean?

THE COURT: Well --

THE DEFENDANT: I wouldn't want to take from nobody's livelihood or --

THE COURT: Understood. Understood. I'm going to go ahead and excuse her.

I mean, if we had a two- or three-day trial, and people tell me that they're not going to be paid, that's one thing. I think it's a substantial hardship on somebody to go without pay from their employer for a two-week period, particularly in light of the type of work that she indicated that she does. And I think that financial burden would be more than I think is appropriate to ask.

So I'll go ahead and excuse her.

Ms. Ferguson, I'm going to go ahead and excuse. I know Mr. Woods isn't agreeing to that. But I think the totality of what Ms. Ferguson has said causes me to believe that she would not be an appropriate juror and would not be fair and impartial potentially to

Page 73 1310

. .

both sides in the case.

She was unequivocal about what she was saying, her concerns what the police officers are. And as much as I tried to kind of rehabilitate that, she stayed on the, I look at them with disfavor; they start out lower and would have to earn my trust. As opposed to everybody starts out the same, and I listen to what they have to say and decide what I think about them. As well as her very clear statements that she believes that Mr. Woods is guilty based upon the fact that he has chosen to represent himself. So I am going to excuse her.

And then JR, can you get Ms. Davis and just bring her back in here real quick?

A what? Credentials? Why would I want to see his credentials?

MS. FLECK: He's the pilot.

MR. ROGAN: He's the pilot.

THE COURT: Yeah. But why do I need his pilot credentials?

MR. ROGAN: He said he wanted to show them to you.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: I mean I'm curious.

THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. Just give me Ms. Davis please. He didn't say anything about having a -- it would be here.

MS. MURRAY: No. He's the one that sat on the coroner's inquest.

	•
	_
	2
	3
	J
	4
	4
	5
	J
	6
	Ŭ
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
	_
1	5
4	6
1	O
1	7
	′
1	8
٠	_
1	9
•	_
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
\sim	_

THE COURT: Ms. Davis, you can grab just a seat wherever you want up there, no particular place.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Okay.

THE COURT: So I just wanted to give you an opportunity to talk a little more about your discomfort without everybody else being around.

You're not the first person that's ever expressed this.

Okay? So please, please don't feel like I'm picking on you or you're all alone or anything like that. But I want to be able to assure you, as much as I can, that despite your discomfort, I don't think there's a reason that you have anything to fear or be uncomfortable about.

I know that that's a rational response that people have.

But I can assure you that -- and I've been doing this for 30 years -that despite your not liking the idea of referring to you by name,
you're perfectly [indiscernible]. But tell me anything more that you
want to.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: I just -- I'm a single mom. It just makes me uncomfortable. I fear for my own safety. I just do.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: It's something I felt since yesterday. I didn't bring it up.

THE COURT: Fear for your safety here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Or outside of the courthouse?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Just -- personally, the fact

that the defendant knows my full name and information about me makes me very uncomfortable.

THE COURT: Okay. And that level of discomfort would cause you to what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: I can't say right at this moment. I don't know.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: It just makes me -- I didn't sleep well last night. I was very upset. Obviously, safety is my utmost concern for myself and my child --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: -- because it's just us.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: But it just made me -- sorry -- it just made me very uncomfortable.

THE COURT: Okay. So I don't want to put -- put words in your mouth. But my sense would be that if somebody says, I'm really uncomfortable about the defendant having my personal information, my sense would be you're kind of prejudiced against the defendant.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: I don't mean --

THE COURT: And by prejudiced, I'm not talking about race or anything, just meaning I don't -- if you're uncomfortable with the defendant having your personal information, that you might feel more bias against that side. Kind of like, well, I don't like the fact

1	that th
2	to solv
3	
4	I don't
5	I put th
6	
7	disfavo
8	about
9	somet
10	
11	ridicul
12	
13	somet
14	impart
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	got to
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	to be a
25	becaus

that they've got my information, so I'm more likely to convict them to solve that? Or no?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: No. I -- well, the opposite. I don't want them to be convicted because I don't want them to think I put them in jail.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So your discomfort is more disfavoring the State because you'd be -- because you're worried about the defense, you don't want to make them madder or something by --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: I mean, it might sound ridiculous maybe, but yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So in any event, your discomfort is something that you think is going to make you unable to be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Possibly.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: I mean, in this scenario.

THE COURT: Possibly is a word that when it gets used, I ot to dig a little deeper.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: In this scenario, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And I apologize.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Just being honest.

THE COURT: It's just that when -- no, no, no. I want you to be absolutely honest. I don't want people to shape an answer because I'm asking; right?

Page 77 1314

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: No.

THE COURT: I mean, we have to ask you prospectively, when you're deliberating, right, is this going to cause you a problem where you're thinking about this and, therefore, not being fair to both sides in your deliberation because you're worried about your level of discomfort and fear?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Especially when it comes to something of someone's being put away for life.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. All right. I appreciate it. Thank you very much.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: You're welcome.

THE COURT: You can go ahead and step back outside.

Okay. I take it you're still challenging her, Mr. Woods?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: Yes? Okay. State, anything further?

MS. FLECK: No. We would agree.

THE COURT: All right. We'll go ahead and excuse her as well.

So here's what we'll do, JR, you can go ahead and send them to lunch. But you can excuse -- you got your pen? Ferguson, which is Badge No. 81; and then Davis, Badge No. 76; Lane, Badge No. 62; Durna, Badge No. 25; and Donmore, Badge No. 24.

Okay. And then just tell them that we're going to start back up around 1:15. They can come back up to the courtroom. I don't want them going back to Jury Services since Mariah got all

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

25

bummed about that.

Okay. And just so you all know since we're going into the afternoon with jury selection, my plan would be that we get our jury selected. And then we can have discussions about evidence and all that. And then we'll just start tomorrow with opening statements and whatever else is --

MS. FLECK: Okay. That's perfect. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. Go to lunch. And I'll see you back in an hour, guys.

MS. FLECK: Are we going to bring Cawthon in or just --

THE COURT: Pardon. No. I mean I --

MS. FLECK: Okay.

THE COURT: I'll ask JR again, and we can talk to him when we come back this afternoon. I'm not --

MS. FLECK: Okay.

MR. ROGAN: I think he just wants to that he's some sort of law enforcement officer for airlines.

THE COURT: Well, I think he mentioned something about being involved with air marshals.

MS. FLECK: He said he was a deputized air marshal.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. ROGAN: Yeah.

THE COURT: I don't know why he needs to show me his credentials.

MS. FLECK: Everyone is always looking for your

validation. I mean, you know, that's just what I've seen. 1 2 THE COURT: Right. 3 MS. FLECK: Right. THE COURT: True. I guess. The people who I have no interest in validating are the ones that are interested in being 5 validated. My kids couldn't care. 6 [Recess taken from 12:04 p.m., until 12:05 p.m.] 7 MS. MURRAY: Can we -- can he just have a moment when 8 we return then, to ask a question before the jury comes in? Oh, it's 9 good now. 10 11 THE COURT: Go ahead. THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I believe you're going to tell me 12 feel like you did, but I thought that you could've -- the question that I 13 raised earlier --14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 THE DEFENDANT: -- I thought it could have been more -- I 16 hear a lot of the other questions asked a lot over and over again, so 17 it's the -- but that one question about the false allegation, you put it 18 in a way that it's not saying, Do you feel like -- what is your opinion 19 about somebody falsely, you know --20 THE COURT: Okay. You're talking about --21 THE DEFENDANT: Only accusing --22 THE COURT: Your Question No. 9 that you proposed was, 23 Do you believe someone who falsely accuses another should be 24 punished? That's the question we're talking about? 25

Page 80 1317

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And what I had said yesterday was that the issue of whether they should be punished or not is irrelevant to anything that the jury is considering. So I was going to revise the question -- I would still ask it just to make sure people believe that, you know, witnesses, police officers, lay witnesses, whomever can falsely accuse people of things.

What their opinion is of the people that would do that is not relevant to anything. The idea is just that you get people that are open-minded and realize that -- that witnesses in the case maybe are lying, maybe they're telling the truth, maybe they're falsely accusing. As opposed to somebody that says, Oh, no. I believe people always tell the truth. And everybody that comes into court is going to tell the truth.

So if somebody would have raised their hand to say, No, I disagree with that. I think everybody tells the truth all the time, then we would be having more of a conversation. But everybody agreed that police officers can lie, witnesses can falsely accuse people, police officers could do inappropriate things with evidence -- I mean they're all open to those possibilities, so there's not really anything to follow up on.

I generally don't repeat any of the questions, other than I'm talking to this group and now I'm talking to that group. Unless somebody raises their hand, and then you have to dig down with them about why they're raising hand. But I don't ask the same

1	question, you know, over and over again to the same group of
2	people.
3	I mean, if they don't have a response to it, they don't have
4	a response. So
5	THE DEFENDANT: I was fine with, like, revising the
6	punishment part. I had no problem with that. But I thought it would
7	have been revised more.
8	THE COURT: To ask them what they thought of those
9	people?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Like if you asked the opinion on other
11	questions.
12	THE COURT: Like what?
13	THE DEFENDANT: So I thought that may
14	THE COURT: What other questions?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Like the I mean I mean, I'm
16	thinking about so much I can't just say it right offhand, but
17	THE COURT: Okay. Well, some questions have multiple
18	parts to it. Like when I say, Does anybody have any issue with the
19	fact that the defense has no burden of proof? And there's no hands
20	being raised. And I say so that includes the fact that the State is the
21	one that has the burden of proof. No hands are being raised. And
22	what about the fact that the defendant does not have to testify?
23	So all those things are kind of part and parcel of that. But
24	that's one that I'm not just going to ask generally

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Now that you're saying --

Page 82

THE COURT: -- I'm going to make sure that everybody hears every part of that.

THE DEFENDANT: Now that you said that, this is one that what I feel like is kind of repetitive. You asked, like, Have any of you been, like, victims of a sexual crime? Have anybody you know been victims of sexual crimes?

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: And then it's like sexual crimes is asked multiple times.

THE COURT: Well, but that's multiple -- those are multiple levels; right? Have you or anyone close to you been the victim of a crime, including any kind of sexual offense, including things that weren't even reported to the police?

So you don't want to make it just singular to the person because, let's say, you've got a person that you say, Have you ever been the victim of a crime? And they say no. But it turns out that five family members have been sexually abused. You're going to want to know that; right? You're your attorney. You're going to want to know that. How does that impact, you know, how I choose this jury? I may not want somebody that's family members have all been molested. But if I don't ask you and somebody close to you, then we can't get both parts of that.

THE DEFENDANT: So can my question be multiply asked? Like have you ever been falsely accused? Or do you know of anyone else who has ever been falsely accused of a crime?

1	THE COURT: I can yeah. I can ask that.
2	THE DEFENDANT: That's kind of where I was going with
3	it.
4	THE COURT: Okay. I mean that's not what your question
5	was, but that's a reasonable question.
6	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
7	THE COURT: So I'll ask that with the group once we get
8	back, whether any of them or anyone close to them has ever been
9	falsely accused of any kind of crime. Okay.
10	THE DEFENDANT: I appreciate it.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	MS. MURRAY: And then just a logistical matter, when I
13	come up and give you his questions like that on the bench
14	THE COURT: Right.
15	MS. MURRAY: you're recording; right?
16	THE COURT: Yeah.
17	MS. MURRAY: So I don't need to, like, make records later.
18	THE COURT: No. The bench conferences are always
19	recorded.
20	MS. MURRAY: All right. I just want to make sure since he
21	isn't privy to my
22	THE COURT: I mean, my plan is to avoid bench
23	conferences during the trial, though, obviously.
24	MS. MURRAY: Right.
25	THE COURT: Because I don't want to treat anybody any

Page 84 1321

25

differently. So if we ever need to do things we're going to have to go outside the presence or make records when we go outside the presence.

So I'll just ask, like, if somebody raises an objection, and just -- if I know what the objection is right away and know what the ruling is, I'm going to rule. If I need a response, I'll ask for a response. But not speaking objections and responses. It's just objection, hearsay; objection, you know, hearsay; and the response is Present State impression, or presence in suppression or whatever it is. And we'll move on. And we'll make records outside the presence when we need to.

MS. MURRAY: Oh, yeah.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. MURRAY: Thanks for waiting for us.

THE COURT: All right. No problem.

[Recess taken from 12:10 p.m., until 1:30 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.]

THE COURT: -- jurors here that we got Marc Randazza.

MR. ROGAN: Oh, no.

MS. MURRAY: You know who else had jury duty today? We could have had Will Ewing in here today.

THE COURT: Oh, really?

MS. MURRAY: Yeah.

THE COURT: So Marc Randazza is a first amendment

Page 85 1322

1	attorney.
2	MS. MURRAY: Yes.
3	THE COURT: I can't he may be under sanction right
4	now.
5	MS. FLECK: Oh, boy.
6	THE COURT: I thought I heard something where he got
7	sanctioned or something.
8	MR. ROGAN: I think I had him as a victim once too.
9	THE COURT: Anyways so he's an attorney. I recognize
10	that one.
11	MS. FLECK: So we will only
12	THE COURT: I'm not going deal with them yet until we get
13	through the other five.
14	MS. FLECK: Yes, yes.
15	THE COURT: And then if we still need more people, then
16	I'll go and get them up to speed and start with them.
17	MS. FLECK: Perfect.
18	THE COURT: Okay? All right. So why don't you just
19	move all the existing people forward. Okay? And then you can put
20	our 20 over here on the left. Okay?
21	All right. Anybody have anything outside the presence?
22	MS. FLECK: Not from the State.
23	THE COURT: No?
24	THE DEFENDANT: No.
25	THE COURT: Okay. You can bring them in.

Page 86 1323

[Pause in the proceedings.]

[In the presence of the prospective jury panel.]

THE COURT: You guys can be seated. Thank you.

All right. We'll be on the record. Mr. Woods is here, with Ms. Murray acting as standby counsel. The State's attorneys are present. Our projective jurors are present.

We're going to continue on with our jury selection process.

Before we do that, though, welcome to the 20 of you to my left. I will tell you that I'm not going to go into everything with you right now until we finish up with some of the folks that we have from our first group of folks here. But we're in the second day of picking a jury. It may be that we don't need you, but I had Jury Services send us some extra folks up here in case we do. Once I finish up the questioning of the remaining five people from my first panel, I'll get you all up to speed on everything that we've been doing, go through some questions with you all, and then kind of place you, if I need to, over here with the group of the other folks. Okay?

So just kind of pay attention to what's going on. Some of the questions may be similar, if and when you get to this side of the room. But otherwise you can just kind of hang out quietly and be thankful that you're not answering questions right now; right? Yes? Okay.

All right. When we left off, we had excused a few people, so we need to get those seats filled first, those five seats. So that's

1	going to involve who do we got?
2	THE CLERK: 084, Cynthia Ambre.
3	THE COURT: So, Ms. Ambre, if you can come on up and
4	take the seat on the top row, third from the right.
5	Mr. Hughes, Badge 86, next seat there in the middle of
6	those three.
7	Mr. Antonucci, Badge 91, that third seat up there.
8	Ms. Martinez, Badge No. 100. It's going to be the seat in
9	the middle row here.
10	And then finally, Ms. Mathews, Badge 103, will take the
11	seat that Mr. Lane vacated there on the end of that row. Thank you.
12	And can we get the microphone up there, if we could
13	please, to Ms. Ambre?
14	Cynthia, how you doing?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I'm great. Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Am I pronouncing your last name right? Is it
17	Ambre?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Ambre.
19	THE COURT: Ambre. Okay. I'm sorry. So, Ms. Ambre,
20	how long have you lived here?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Born and raised.
22	THE COURT: And what's your level of education?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: A bachelor's in science in
24	accounting.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And what type of work do you do?

Page 88 1325

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I'm an internal auditor.
2	THE COURT: Are you married?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes.
4	THE COURT: And what type of work does your spouse
5	do?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: He's in technology and
7	telemetry.
8	THE COURT: Do you all have children?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes.
10	THE COURT: How many? And how old are they?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Four. 50, 49, 39, and 36.
12	THE COURT: Grand kids?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: 11.
14	THE COURT: Eleven? Ding, ding, we got a winner.
15	Eleven. What's their age range? You can write it down on, like Ms.
16	Ballinger did.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I'll do my best.
18	THE COURT: Just between this and this.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Between 28 and 8.
20	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Big range. Okay. Have you ever
21	been a juror before?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: No.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
24	Could you pass that to your right, please, to Mr. Hughes,
25	Badge No. 086.

1	Mr. Hughes, how long have you lived here?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Ten years in May.
3	THE COURT: And what's your level of education?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Master's degree.
5	THE COURT: What type of work do you do?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: I'm an accountant.
7	THE COURT: Are you married?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: No.
9	THE COURT: Any children?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: No.
11	THE COURT: Ever been a juror?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: No.
13	THE COURT: Okay. See how easy that was.
14	Would you pass it on to Mr. Antonucci for me?
15	Mr. Antonucci, how long have you lived here?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Ten years.
17	THE COURT: Your level of education?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Master's.
19	THE COURT: And what type of work do you do?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Pastor.
21	THE COURT: Oh, you told me about that.
22	Were you able to get everything worked out okay, in terms
23	of the Golden Knights issue?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: I'll cancel it, yeah. If I
25	can't be there tomorrow, I'll cancel it.

Page 90 1327

1	THE COURT: Okay. And what time would you need to
2	leave to be there tomorrow?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: You mean for the
4	wedding on Friday?
5	THE COURT: No, no, no. Not the Friday.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Oh, it's at 10:00.
7	THE COURT: At 10 a.m.?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Yeah.
9	THE COURT: Oh, okay. So tomorrow was the day we're
10	not starting until 1:00.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Oh.
12	THE COURT: So are you okay?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And then Friday, I think we discussed
15	so long as we break by maybe 4:30, which I'm sure your fellow
16	jurors probably aren't going to object to on a Friday, then you can
17	get to the wedding? Yes?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: I think so, yeah.
19	THE COURT: Okay. I'm trying to draw into my religious
20	background to see if it's going to sound stupid to ask if you're
21	married or not.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Yes.
23	THE COURT: But I can't remember. Yes?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Yep.
25	THE COURT: Okay. What type of work does your spouse

1	do?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: She's a therapist, mostly
3	marriage and family counselling.
4	THE COURT: Thank you. Children?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Two, 19 and 17.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. Ever been a juror before?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: No.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Appreciate it.
9	Will you pass it we're going to Ms. Martinez here in
10	front. Thank you.
11	Ms. Martinez is Badge No. 100. Ms. Martinez, how long
12	have you lived here?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: 40 years.
14	THE COURT: Pardon?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: 40 years.
16	THE COURT: And your level of education?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: High school.
18	THE COURT: What type of work do you do?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: I'm a Titan Operator for
20	Teamsters Union.
21	THE COURT: Are you married?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Yes.
23	THE COURT: And what type of work does your spouse
24	do?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: He drives trucks.

Page 92 1329

1	THE COURT: Children?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Two.
3	THE COURT: How old are they?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: 29 and 37.
5	THE COURT: Any grand kids?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Yes. Seven of them.
7	THE COURT: And ever been a juror before?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Yes.
9	THE COURT: How many times?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Once I was a juror.
11	THE COURT: Once? Okay. We've gone through this on
12	some other occasions, but once actually selected. How long ago
13	was it that you were a juror?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: It was between two and
15	three years ago.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Here in Las Vegas?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Civil or criminal case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Criminal.
20	THE COURT: And do you remember the nature of the
21	charges?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Embezzlement.
23	THE COURT: Did the jury reach a verdict?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Yes.
25	THE COURT: And were you the foreperson?

Page 93 1330

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: No.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
3	And if you could pass it forward for me, we'll get it to Ms.
4	Mathews on my front row. Or no. I'm sorry. Not forward.
5	JR, I need you to grab it. Ms. Mathews is on the other
6	row.
7	Ms. Mathews, how are you?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: Good. How are you?
9	THE COURT: I'm doing well. Thank you. How long have
10	you lived here?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: 32 years.
12	THE COURT: What's your level of education?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: Associate.
14	THE COURT: And what type of work do you do?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: A nurse.
16	THE COURT: In an office setting, hospital setting?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: Hospital, mother/baby.
18	THE COURT: Mother/baby. Okay. Have you ever worked
19	in other than OB/GYN nursing?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: No.
21	THE COURT: No. Are you married?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: Yes.
23	THE COURT: And what type of work does your spouse
24	do?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: He's the manager at the

Page 94 1331

1	Orleans.
2	THE COURT: Children?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: Yes.
4	THE COURT: How many?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: Two.
6	THE COURT: And how old are they?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: 14 and 17.
8	THE COURT: Thank you. Have you ever been a juror
9	before?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: No.
11	THE COURT: Okay. In terms of the question that I've
12	asked everybody, we'll start with and you can just hold onto the
13	microphone right now but I'll get it back to the other side of the
14	room.
15	But have you or anyone close to you ever been the victim
16	of any kind of crime, whether it was a sexual nature or otherwise?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: No.
18	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
19	accused of, arrested, charged with any kind of crime, sexual offense
20	or otherwise?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: No.
22	THE COURT: Okay. How about the four of you over here
23	that I just chatted with? Anybody is there positive on any of those?
24	Okay. Why don't we go ahead and get it back over here.
25	And then we'll work backwards from that.

1	So who are we starting with? Mr. Hughes?
2	Ms. Ambre, did you have your hand up or no?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 103: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Oh, you did? Okay. Ladies first. Sorry,
5	Mr. Hughes.
6	All right. Ms. Ambre, let's start with the question of being
7	a victim of any kind of crime, you or anyone close to you.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: My grandmother was
9	robbed at gunpoint and beaten.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: She did not report it
12	because he threatened to kill her family. It was someone she knew.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And was that did she live here in
14	Nevada?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes.
16	THE COURT: And how long was that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: About 20 years ago.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Anything other than that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I was the victim of identit
20	theft. And I was robbed last year while we were sleeping and my ca
21	was stolen.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Car was stolen at the same time your
23	home was burglarized?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Uh-huh.
25	THE COURT: Were the police called in that event?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes.
2	THE COURT: And did that ever go to court?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: No.
4	THE COURT: And with the ID theft, did you contact the
5	police?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Or just your credit card companies?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Contact the police?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Any kind of court case that resulted from
12	that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: This was my daughter,
16	and I prosecuted her. She was actually picked up for forging a
17	doctor's signature on
18	THE COURT: Prescriptions?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: a prescription. They
20	caught her, and then they put my case with that one.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And was that here in Las Vegas as
22	well?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes.
24	THE COURT: And you said you had charges filed and she
25	was prosecuted

Page 97

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes.
2	THE COURT: so that went to court?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: And she went to jail.
4	THE COURT: Did you ever have to testify?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: No.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Did her case proceed all the way up
7	into the district courts, this level of courts?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I don't think so. I don't I
9	really don't remember.
10	THE COURT: Okay. But it got resolved in some fashion, at
11	some point in the process?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Understood. How about being
14	accused of, arrested, convicted of any kind of crimes?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Oh, just my daughter.
16	THE COURT: Just your daughter. Okay. Very good.
17	Thank you.
18	Could you pass it down to Mr. Hughes? Thank you.
19	Mr. Hughes, what do you got on either of those two
20	questions?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Me and ten about ten or
22	twelve of my friends have filed a complaint on a property owner and
23	property management company
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: of a vacation rental in

Page 98

1	Destin, Florida
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: for using audio and
4	video surveillance without our permission.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Like audio and video surveillance
6	internally into the unit that you all rented?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Inside the unit and
8	outside the unit.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And is that filed that's one of those
10	things that could be an either/or; right? There are some things that
11	you can not only file a criminal charge on, but you can final a civil
12	lawsuit on as well. Did you guys file something that was requesting
13	a criminal investigation or a civil lawsuit?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: I believe it's civil.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And that's currently pending in
16	Florida?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Yes.
18	THE COURT: All right. And is that something that you all
19	you filed on your own? Or did you hire an attorney to file it on your
20	behalf?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: We hired an attorney to
22	file it on our behalf.
23	THE COURT: Got it. Thank you. How about anybody
24	you or anyone close to you ever been arrested, accused, convicted of
25	any kind of crimes?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: No.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
3	Mr. Antonucci, did you have your hand up as well?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: On the first question,
5	literally dozens, between my work and somewhat my wife's work,
6	we've had dozens and dozens of people who have been they had
7	crimes against them. We've had to report people to CPS, tons, like
8	monthly.
9	THE COURT: Okay. So a lot of involvement with
10	contacting law enforcement about various things dealing with
11	people that you all have involvement with?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Yeah.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Have any of those ever resulted in
14	court cases?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Yes.
16	THE COURT: And have you or your wife ever had to
17	testify in any of those?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: I've made statements to
19	the police. I've never had to go to court.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: She has, I think, just once
22	THE COURT: Okay. And did she go to court and testify?
23	Or just was subpoenaed, showed up, but did haven't to testify?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: I think that one.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Yeah.
2	THE COURT: All right. What about arrested, convicted,
3	accused of any kind of crimes?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: My dad was on America's
5	Most Wanted.
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: So I've got that going for
8	me.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: True story.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And what was he on America's Most
12	Wanted for?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Believe it or not, he was
14	on for skipping out on parole. He was in jail for embezzling money
15	from a company that he owned with a very famous baseball player.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: And so I think he was on
18	America's Most Wanted because they got the baseball player to be
19	on, and so it was for ratings. So not the typical crime, but he was in
20	and out of jail his entire adult life.
21	THE COURT: Okay. The things that he was in and out of
22	jail on mainly involved this kind of theft, embezzlement kind of stuff?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Yeah. Con man kind of
24	stuff.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Understood. Thank you.

no?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: And then I've had, again, just through the job, a variety of people who have been arrested for different things.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything more? No?

Ms. Martinez, did you have your hand up on any of that or

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: No, I didn't.

THE COURT: On either one of the questions? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Either one.

THE COURT: No? Okay. The five of you that I've been chatting with, do all of you believe that you'd be able to keep an open mind and not decide any issues in the case until it's submitted to you for your deliberations?

Anybody could not do that?

I see no hands.

The question that we've been chatting about with police officers, do the five of you believe that you could treat police officers like any other witness and judge their credibility and testimony based on everything you've heard? Or do you think you would treat them differently for any reason just because their police officer? Anybody treat them differently?

I see no hands. Thank you.

Any of the five of you that I've been chatting with ever -yourselves or anyone close to you that you would knowledge of -ever been in any kind of domestic relationship that involved

1	domestic violence or had to take out a restraining order in any
2	fashion?
3	All right. Top row? Okay. We'll start with you
4	Mr. Antonucci.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Again, a bunch. Just
6	through doing this job for 20-whatever years.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And this is kind of talking to and
8	counseling people and
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Yeah. Or that.
10	THE COURT: sometimes you
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Right.
12	THE COURT: you have to kind of go to the next level
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: and contact law enforcement?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Yeah.
16	THE COURT: Okay. But nothing particular to your family
17	members or friends?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: No.
19	THE COURT: Okay. If you could pass it
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Well, friends, yeah. But
21	not family members.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And does that involve a little bit of
23	both both domestic violence issues and restraining orders?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Domestic violence.
25	THE COURT: Okav.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: And sexual abuse.
2	THE COURT: Okay. But not ever actually going through
3	the process of getting restraining orders at all?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: No.
5	THE COURT: Okay. If you could pass it down to
6	Ms. Ambre, please. Yes, ma'am.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: No domestic violence or
8	sexual abuse. I took a restraining order out against my daughter
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: when I became the
11	permanent legal guardian for my grandson.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I had to go to family
14	court.
15	THE COURT: So was that for reasons separate from the
16	identity theft, just other general kind of need you to stay away?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Because of neglect and
18	drug abuse while he was present.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: And I had mentioned it to
21	the school district that we were enrolling him in a Head Start class
22	and didn't know that they would report it to CPS.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: And they did. And so that
25	they then they begin the visitation, and then they took him away

1	from her
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: and give him to us. So
4	then we went to the court the legal system to get permanent legal
5	custody.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And how old is that grandchild?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Now he's 15.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: He's back with me.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I got him when he was
12	three and a half, four. And he went back to his
13	THE COURT: And are any of the other 11 grand kids also
14	your daughter's kids? Did you have custody of them?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yeah. There are two
16	others. One was adopted out.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: And then there's another
19	one that's currently living with them in Utah.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: And she's currently going
22	through a court case there.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Understood. Okay.
24	And Ms. Martinez, no? You did haven't anything on that;
25	correct?

Didn't get anything on this side of the room from Ms.

Mathews either.

Okay. How about any of the five of you have any strong feelings one way or another about the criminal justice system?

Really positive? Really negative? Anything that causes you any concern about being a juror?

No hands on that question.

All of you believe that even though we're talking about this somewhat academically and philosophically right now about the job of being a juror, any of you believe that you would have any problem when we talk about the aspect of getting to deliberations being able to engage with the other jurors, deliberate on the case, issue your opinions, offer any votes that may be called upon? Anybody have any problem with doing that?

I see no hands on that either. Thank you.

Anybody feel that they are at all biased or prejudiced because one of the charges here involves a murder charge? Of the five of you?

I see no hands. Thank you.

Do all -- any of you know anybody who has ever been killed by criminal conduct of another person?

I see no hands. Thank you.

Do any of you believe that someone is automatically guilty simply because they've been arrested or charged with a crime?

I see no hands. Thank you.

Anybody have any negative opinions or anything that would cause you to be biased or prejudiced, based on the fact that the defense has no burden of proof in the case? The burden rests upon the State to prove someone's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Any issue with that?

Any issue with the fact that a defendant does not have to testify? That's a decision that Mr. Woods gets to solely make upon the advice and counsel, along with Ms. Murray. Anybody have any issue with that?

I see no hands. Thank you.

Anybody disagree with the statements that police officers are like any other human beings and that they can make mistakes?

I see no hands.

That police officers could be incorrect about things or could lie about things?

I see no hands.

With regard to witnesses themselves, whether it's a lay witness or a police officer, anybody disagree with the statement that witnesses could lie about things or falsely accuse people of things?

I see no hands.

That police officers could do inappropriate things with evidence in a case? Anybody disagree with that statement?

I see no hands on that either.

Additionally, you've heard the conversations that I have been having with the other jurors about the potential that the jury

could be involved in imposing punishment if somebody's convicted of first-degree murder. If and only if someone's convicted of first-degree murder, then the jury would state to a judge what their sentence would be. And there's three options that would be available to you: The first option being a 50-year sentence with the minimum of 20 years before parole eligibility; the second option being a life sentence with a minimum of 20 years before parole eligibility; and the third option being life without the possibility of parole eligibility.

Do any of the five of you believe that you would have a problem considering all three forms of punishment before deciding what someone's sentence should be?

I see no hands on that either.

Okay. Before we move on, I've got a couple more questions that kind of apply to everybody that was something I wanted to follow up on from earlier.

But before I do that, Mr. Cawthon, I know that you had talked to my marshal at the break about something that you wanted to bring to the Court's attention or show me.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: I have a job that actually involves secret security information. And I was hoping to see you confidentially and I've been asking to have this presented to you.

THE COURT: Sure. Let me take a look.

Okay. I'll tell you what. When we get to our next break, maybe we'll chat about it a little more. Okay? All right. Thank you -- which is probably going to be very quickly actually.

But the other thing that I wanted to follow up on is I asked everybody the question -- whether it was when I was talking to the first 24 of you, the second 8 of you, the second group of 5, or this last group of 5 -- about whether you disagree with the statement that somebody could falsely accuse somebody else of something.

But I didn't ask if any of you -- and this applies to all 32 of you that are seated in our panel right now -- anybody ever been falsely accused of any kind of crime by anybody? Any -- either yourselves or somebody that you're close with that talked to you about any kind of situation like that?

I've I got one hand. Can we get our microphone? Where's our microphone at right now? Oh, no. This way. Sorry.

Mr. Cawthon, to your left. Okay.

Yes, sir. What do you got? And it's Mr. Hubbard-Gable.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Yes, sir. In high school I
was falsely accused of trying to sell someone drugs.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: I did not. I had no association with it. It was someone else trying to pawn it off on me.

THE COURT: Okay. So like another student --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Yes.

THE COURT: -- was getting in trouble and --

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: It was a friend of a friend
2	situation.
3	THE COURT: Okay. But your understanding is they
4	somehow got caught with something, or somebody said they did it
5	and so they tried to blame you?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: I don't actually know the
7	specifics of it.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: All I know is I was
10	approached one day by school security
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Who basically had to
13	talk with me.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: And
16	THE COURT: And told you that you'd been accused of
17	doing this?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Yeah. Yeah. We went
19	through the whole process, gave my side of things. I guess they
20	compared to what they knew or had found out or whatever. And I
21	was cleared of it, but
22	THE COURT: Okay. So the school never actually charged
23	you or
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: No. No. I never had
25	anything done against me before any anything had come from it

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

It was just the allegations and an investigation.

THE COURT: Okay. Got it. Anybody else on that question?

I see no other hands.

Okay. Give me a minute just real quick here.

Oh, the other thing -- the five of you that I just spoke to this afternoon, I don't think I asked you that kind of all-encompassing question at the end of what we had been discussing. Any of you believe that for any reason you could not be completely fair and impartial in this case?

I see no hands from any of those five folks.

Okay. So here's what we're going to do. I need to take another recess, so I can chat with Mr. Woods and the attorneys. It's going to probably take about 15 or 20 minutes for me to do so. So I know we haven't been in here that long, but I need you to go ahead and step out outside with the same admonition. You cannot talk to anybody, converse about anything associated with the case, can't read, watch, or listen to any kind of news media reports about the case. Don't discuss the case with anyone until deliberations. No legal or factual research or investigation on your own.

So if I could have you all step outside -- that includes my new group as well. If you guys can step outside.

[Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel, and in the presence of Prospective Juror No. 070.]

THE COURT: Mr. Cawthon, why don't you just go ahead

and wait for a second. You can go ahead and sit down. Okay. And you can grab a seat, sir. That's okay. And thank you very much for providing me with this.

So what Mr. Cawthon provided me with was some information about federal flight deck officers. You all will recall that he had referred earlier to his work, but that the information also includes the ability of an FFDO to make reference to their status in judicial proceedings like jury duty.

But you had also provided me with a little bit of information that you've been doing this for about 12 years, that you work with a lot of retired law enforcement officers that will speak frequently to you about the work that they do and cases that they're involved in, assumably; correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. The training session that you're referencing on April 10th, I can tell you that's not going to be an issue. We're not going to be in trial through April 10th.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Okay.

THE COURT: But is there anything else that you wanted to add to any of this about any feelings of --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Well, I just felt as the questions were going along, there was a lot that I had not been able to talk about because of that.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: You're asking if there are

other situations -- plenty people have been talking about other violent crimes that have happened against them.

THE COURT: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: And that's the one thing, since I'm not really allowed to talk about anything, you know, with the FFDO program -- which I would think include almost all the individuals that I work with that they have shot or shot somebody.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: And I have training every six months, and get all these stories. So I would probably say, yes, I'm a little bias towards the police officer side.

THE COURT: Okay. So it's not that you felt like you couldn't speak up about things that had happened to you personally. It's just more this is stuff that you're learning through other officers that you've worked with.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Yes, sir. And I was a little sensitive to it because one of our other FFDOs somewhat misrepresented himself as an air marshal.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: And so he actually lost his credentials and almost got thrown in jail. So I was probably softballing the questions, but there's probably a lot more that I've seen --

THE COURT: But you wanted to make me get it right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: -- and dealt a lot more.

And I do -- I am trained locally.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Even though it's a federal position, we train locally every six months with local officers.

THE COURT: Okay. So when you say you may be kind of biased in favor of the officers, in what way?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Actually in both ways.

Another thing, you know, may be a little more personal that I was thinking when I was driving home also. My wife's nephew was a sheriff with the San Bernardino Police Department who recently was asked to step down from an incident -- a racial incident -- that was quite obvious on YouTube.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: I thought that was too much of an extended family, but kind of both sides. I think I would side with the police department that he was mistreated and was unjustly taken off the police department.

THE COURT: Okay. So you remember the questions I asked Ms. Ferguson, who was sitting next to you at that one point that, you know, we have law enforcement officers that serve on juries all the time. We have people that have had really good and really bad experiences with law enforcement officers that serve all the time.

So the question is, regardless of what your employment or experience is, can you judge this case solely based on what you hear

in court? Or do you think you're going to be biased or prejudiced in favor or against one side or the other, such that they're kind of starting off --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Yeah. And unfortunately, I, again, didn't really -- I was kind of softballing some of the stuff that I was seeing. I would agree with some of the jurors that, especially from what I've heard dealing with other police officers, that the defendant representing themself, I've already pretty much made a judgment and it's going to be very difficult for me to look at this objectively.

And I certainly don't want to jeopardize my job also with the FFDO thing sounding like I was not an impartial person.

THE COURT: Okay. But your sense is you probably wouldn't be impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: That is my sense, Your Honor, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Why don't you go ahead and step outside. I appreciate your candor.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Thank you, sir. I appreciate it.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

I am going to file that as a court exhibit. You just -- it's a packet of information. Two of the pages are just printouts of what an FFDO is.

[Outside the presence of the Prospective Juror No. 070.]

THE COURT: Well, do you guys have any argument about 1 2 Mr. Cawthon? Any --MS. FLECK: We'll submit it. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Woods, anything? THE DEFENDANT: I definitely do not want him. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: It's surprising to me. I don't -- I don't 8 particularly care what somebody's feeling. Everybody's entitled to their own opinion. 9 THE COURT: Right. 10 11 THE DEFENDANT: But it's just surprising me throughout this process how many people are judging me without even a sniff 12 of evidence or facts or nothing. They --13 THE COURT: You know who is not going to be surprised? 14 The lady sitting right next to you probably. 15 THE DEFENDANT: Oh, she's done told me many of times. 16 THE COURT: I mean, it's just --17 THE DEFENDANT: But I -- it's just blowing my mind. 18 THE COURT: -- the nature of the process is people come 19 into this with certain notions about things and certain opinions. And 20 most of it, let's face it, is, oh, I saw Dateline or I read something in 21 the paper. So the question is really digging down into, Can people 22 be fair and impartial regardless of what opinions they may have 23 about things, and set aside all that stuff and listen to the case? Or 24 are there people -- and you've seen them, because you've

Page 116

25

challenged them appropriately -- the ones that say, uh-huh, can't do it. My sense is he's guilty because of A, B, C, or D. Or my sense is there's a child involved in an allegation and I'm just not going to be fair.

Most people, thankfully, in our society, say, An allegation is an allegation. I may not like it. But I can listen and be fair and decide based on evidence whether I think somebody's guilty or not.

But a lot of people can't do that. They're kind of stuck in their ways that way.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. I wouldn't say most people. I mean, you've sat on this bench a lot longer than I've been coming to jail. But I mean the whole innocent until proven guilty thing, and I've been to jail a couple times. But this time around it's just like, I don't -- I don't really see it. You know what I mean? I could be wrong, but I mean, the gut feeling that you get sometimes is like --

THE COURT: Well, look, I -- the one thing that I have done for 30 years in the criminal justice system is believe that I have to trust people when they -- because enough people give me answers that they can't be fair, that I have to believe when people are answering questions and telling us that they can be fair and evaluate the evidence that they can absolutely do that.

And, look, we have trials all the time where people go to trial and end up being acquitted. So I know jurors do their duty and a lot of those jurors you talk to after a case and they may say, Pretty much thought the guy was guilty, but I just didn't think there was

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

enough evidence. And so they find him not guilty what is their obligation.

So maybe I'm naive. But I believe in our system, that people do what they're supposed to do --

THE DEFENDANT: Don't you see it the opposite way --

THE COURT: But I can see from your position -- look, and that is one of the reasons we had the conversation about not representing yourself. It is a very daunting task. And it is a very nervous task to be involved in.

THE DEFENDANT: I don't think that has anything to do with it. But my opinion to you, don't you -- I know you've seen it the other way many times too, where people say, like, I don't see nothing. No evidence or nothing that says this guy did this crime, but I'm going to [indiscernible] him guilty anyway just because --

THE COURT: Well, if you're asking me has a juror ever told me that I found somebody --

THE DEFENDANT: No, not told you. But, I mean, your gut feeling like when you said that --

THE COURT: I've had one trial in 30 years where I had no idea why the jury did what they did. And it was a civil trial where a lady was suing because her bunion surgery went bad. And that's the only case I've ever had where I just could not really figure out where the jury's verdict came from.

Every other case, whether it's a conviction or acquittal, I have felt like there has been evidence to justify what the jury did

1355

what they did. And that's irrespective of whether I thought the company was liable or the defendant was guilty. It's -- was there an evidentiary basis for the jury to do what they did? Yes, there was. I mean -- it --

A lot of cases can go either way; right? You can look at the evidence and say, I could see where a jury would find this person guilty; I could see where maybe they thought the person was not guilty. So --

All right. Well, I'm going to go ahead and excuse Mr. Cawthon. I think he's kind of exaggerating some of his concerns, quite frankly. But the -- I think he was not at all equivocal in saying that he believes he would disfavor Mr. Woods and think he's guilty because of his self-representation. So I think it's appropriate to excuse him.

Did the State have any challenges to any of the other four?

MS. FLECK: No.

THE COURT: No?

MS. FLECK: No, sir.

THE DEFENDANT: I had one other challenge.

THE COURT: Sure.

THE DEFENDANT: And I wanted to ask Michelle, if she didn't mind. I had yesterday a strike for cause Arleane Munoz.

Did you have what she said to kind of remind me why I would put that about her if you don't mind?

MS. FLECK: Isn't she the one in the front row that was

crying?

THE COURT: Munoz, you challenged. And I agreed and excused her.

MS. FLECK: She's the one that said that her -- that someone in her family was videotaped. A minor family member was videotaped, and her uncle had been convicted of a bunch of sex crimes. But there was a victim in her own family, I think it was a cousin, a young cousin --

THE COURT: All right.

MS. FLECK: -- who somebody had inappropriately videotaped. And also she was crying throughout talking about the nature of the abuse allegation.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I just hadn't crossed her out. Thank you.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. FLECK: Sure.

THE COURT: Yeah. She was excused yesterday.

Okay. So you don't have any other challenges as to any of those four, though?

THE DEFENDANT: No, no.

THE COURT: Okay. So I just realized I forgot to ask these last five about the interracial relationship; so I'll do that when we bring them back in. But I'm also going to need to catch the 20 people up to speed.

MS. FLECK: So here's what we're willing to do. Since

1	there's just one
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MS. FLECK: then we're willing to do our first
4	preemptory for Cawthon or Cothorn Cawthon.
5	THE COURT: Oh.
6	MS. FLECK: So that we don't have to so that we don't
7	have to deal with the second group.
8	THE COURT: Well, I think it's appropriate to excuse him.
9	You can waive one of your challenges
10	MS. FLECK: That's
11	THE COURT: if you want.
12	MR. ROGAN: Same difference.
13	MS. FLECK: Yeah. Same difference.
14	THE COURT: It's the same difference.
15	MS. FLECK: Yeah.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MS. FLECK: We well, we will waive one. So we'll just
18	go ahead and use our first preemptory on him.
19	THE COURT: Okay. I just need to make sure we end up
20	with 14 people. So if you're going to waive your one of yours,
21	then we don't need to question any other jurors.
22	I just need to ask those last four people about the
23	interracial relationship question.
24	MS. FLECK: Okay.
25	THE COURT: So you understand what we're talking about,

Mr. Woods?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: So just mathematically we need to make sure we end up with 14 jurors. So since each of you get nine challenges, the State's indicating they're going to waive at least one; so we don't have to question anymore jurors and get them into that spot.

Are you guys going to want to keep them in here while you do your challenges --

MS. FLECK: Yes, please.

THE COURT: -- so you can see them? Okay.

MS. MURRAY: And Your Honor, just for the --

THE COURT: You need a little time to chat?

MS. MURRAY: Not necessarily. But for the benefit of making sure he understands how count works, could you just explain how you count and how you replace so that he knows what's going to happen?

THE COURT: Sure. So here's what'll happen.

MS. FLECK: Sorry. Wait, just really fast. Just for my purpose also for counting, can -- let's just leave Cawthon. And we will use our first preemptory for Cawthon, as opposed to waiving or whatever.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: Because that's how I've sort of figured it out in my head in terms of where people are seated and all that. So I

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25 don't want to move everyone up and then --

THE COURT: No, no, no. That's fine.

MS. FLECK: You know what I'm saying?

THE COURT: That's fine.

MS. FLECK: Okay.

THE COURT: So here's how it works, Mr. Woods. Up in the top right corner that is Ms. Thomas. Ms. Thomas is Juror No. 1. Okay? And it goes from right to left -- one, two, three, four, to eight; and then this middle row goes nine through sixteen; and then the bottom row down here goes seventeen through twenty-four; and then this row over here on the far right is twenty-five, and it goes right to left over to thirty-two, which is Ms. Mathews. So that's one through thirty-two.

As you go through and challenge people and strike them, and the State goes through and challenges and strikes them -- once you're done with all of your challenges, then we'll look at the 32.

The first 12 that are left are the jurors; the next two are the alternates. Okay?

So you'll know, as you're deciding who to strike, you can tell who's going to end up in the jury part and who are the two alternates. Okay?

So your first eight challenges can be to anybody in that group of 32. Your last challenge can only be to the people that are left as available alternates. So once you've each done your eight, I'll take a look at the list, and we'll tell you where that break off is --

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

these are the jurors and this is the people that could be an alternate; so you can do your last one there.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. So this is my understanding. We're doing this, just to keep this list. We're trying to --

MS. MURRAY: We're going to get to that one.

THE DEFENDANT: We're trying to avoid this list.

THE COURT: What do you mean avoid?

THE DEFENDANT: I mean, we're not trying to use nobody from this list.

THE COURT: Yeah. I mean you're going to have the 32 people in the room that we've been questioning for the last two days. Those are the potential jurors. And you go through and you kick off whoever you want. The State kicks off the people that they don't want. And the 14 left are going to be the jurors that hear the trial.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Do you mind me asking why did they even come here?

THE COURT: The 20?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Because I didn't know if I was going to get -need more people. Those last five people, if all five of them said, I'm
leaving town tomorrow --

THE DEFENDANT: Oh, okay.

THE COURT: -- then we would need to go and start questioning those extra people. But as it turns out, we don't need

1	the extra people, so we don't have to draw from them.
2	THE DEFENDANT: So pretty much, no matter if they want
3	to be jurors or not, they are going to be
4	MS. MURRAY: Some part of the front row.
5	THE COURT: Yeah. The 32.
6	THE DEFENDANT: No. I know what he's saying. I'm just
7	saying
8	THE COURT: Yeah.
9	THE DEFENDANT: the people on this page.
10	THE COURT: Yeah. Look, a lot of times you've got people
11	that they're willing to do it. They don't necessarily want to be
12	jurors
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: but they've said they can be fair and
15	impartial and that they'll do it. And so we have to roll with that.
16	MS. MURRAY: And this before the strikes begin and
17	so if just to make sure his order is correct, could they could you
18	do a badge number readout, so that everyone's
19	THE COURT: Yeah.
20	MS. MURRAY: So I because I done him a seating chart,
21	but I want to make sure it is correct.
22	THE COURT: Sure. Well, do you want me to just give you
23	a copy of the list?
24	MS. MURRAY: Is that does it have their seat numbers?
25	THE COURT: Yeah. Yeah. Let's make

MS. MURRAY: That would be fantastic.

THE COURT: We'll make a copy of this.

MS. MURRAY: Thank you.

THE COURT: So that you'll have a copy of the list.

MS. MURRAY: I appreciate that. Thank you.

THE COURT: The list, Mr. Woods, is -- what we do with the list is it starts with the State. I'm going to give them the original list. They'll put a check or a number by who they're striking. And then they'll pass you the list, and you and Ms. Murray can talk about it and figure out who you want to challenge. And then you'll put yours in there.

And then it goes back and forth. They do one; you do one. They do one; you do one. So we'll give you a separate copy of the list so you guys know who the 1 through 32 are. But the original is the one you'll pass back and forth, and you'll write in your challenges.

THE DEFENDANT: We're striking one at a time?

MS. MURRAY: Yeah.

THE COURT: One at a time.

MS. MURRAY: One, one, one.

THE COURT: And so if you decide, you know, what I like everybody; I don't want to strike anybody. Then you can just put at the bottom of the list that you're going to waive your fifth challenge or your eighth challenge whatever it is. Same thing with the State. If they want to waive, they'll just write at the bottom that they're

Page 126 1363

going to waive.

In which case, if you all waive, then we'll have more than 14 people left, but it's still the first 14 are the ones that comprise the jury.

THE DEFENDANT: So in this phase if -- say like the prosecution strikes somebody I wanted to keep, there's just struck no, argue no.

THE COURT: Yeah. The reality of going back and forth is you may kick people that they like; they may kick people that you like. And so long as there isn't any impropriety in kicking somebody, each side is entitled to kick the jurors that they don't want to keep.

MS. MURRAY: Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Pretty much.

THE COURT: Okay. So do you guys want a few minutes to talk about your notes and everything before we get started? Or are you ready?

MS. MURRAY: I mean, I'm just here for the legal questions. I'm not --

THE COURT: Understood. Are you ready to go ahead and go?

THE DEFENDANT: I would like to go over the charges.

MS. MURRAY: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: Not long.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll give you -- we'll give you a list first, and I'll give you guys a few minutes to chat about that. And

1	then we'll get going. There's a copy you're going to need.
2	[Pause in the proceedings.]
3	MS. MURRAY: Thank you.
4	MS. FLECK: Thank you.
5	MS. MURRAY: All right. [Indiscernible] does he do
6	replacement or show?
7	Oh, he's in the room. I apologize.
8	THE COURT: Do I do what?
9	MS. MURRAY: Do you do like one to one replacement, o
10	do you do shifting?
11	THE COURT: No. So if for instance, if you guys both
12	MS. MURRAY: Just so I can show him.
13	THE COURT: If everybody keeps number one, she's Juro
14	No. 1. If you guys strike two, three, and four, then whoever's in
15	position number five is going to be Juror No. 2.
16	MS. MURRAY: That's what I thought. Okay. So you just
17	slide them all down?
18	THE COURT: Yeah.
19	MS. MURRAY: Okay. Perfect.
20	[Pause in the proceedings.]
21	THE COURT: You guys ready?
22	MS. MURRAY: I think he needs another minute.
23	[Pause in the proceedings.]
24	MS. MURRAY: All right. I think everything's good now.
<u> </u>	THE COURT: Good? Okay

MS. MURRAY: The questions, yeah.

THE COURT: All right. We will -- you can go and get them all back in, including those 20. And then I'll talk to the 20 and let them go. And then we'll move into selection with the other folks.

[In the presence of the prospective jury panel.]

THE COURT: You all can be seated. Thank you.

We are going to be back on the record. Mr. Woods is here.

Ms. Murray as standby counsel.

This gentleman seated at their table is Mr. Hauser, who is also a criminal defense attorney that works with Ms. Murray that will also be here on occasion as the standby counsel of Mr. Woods. All right. And all of our prospective jurors are present. State's attorneys are present as well.

Before we move on, I forgot to ask the five of you that I was chatting with last that one last question -- or two questions actually.

How many, if any of you, have any close family members or friends that are involved in interracial relationships? One, two, three. Yeah. Okay. So three of you.

Any of you all have any issue with people who are involved in interracial relationships? Issues such that they would cause you to feel a certain bias or prejudice if that were an aspect of the case?

I see no hands. Thank you very much.

Okay. So that means I have 32 people which means you

guys are all done. See how easy jury duty was for you all. You show up for five minutes, you're all done. I really appreciate your patience.

We started with about 60-some-odd people and have been working through a lot of questions over the last couple of days to get it down to 32 people, and that's a process that we just do to get people passed for cause. And then the attorneys and the parties get together and choose the 14 that are going hear our trial.

I was getting a little worried that we were going to run out of people, which is why I asked the Jury Commissioner to give us a little extra folks.

Marc, have you ever done jury duty before?

UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I have.

THE COURT: Mr. Randazza is an attorney, a First

Amendment scholar actually. So attorneys show up, just like judges
and everybody else. In fact, I've sat down there and done jury duty
on a number of occasions, and I'm on that little video they have. So
that everybody kind of starts looking at me every time we sit down
there.

But anyway, I appreciate your patience because we have our 32. We do not need to move any further with any of you all. You're excused. And they should not try and send you out anywhere else because you were officially part of my panel. So I appreciate your time and your presence here today very much. Okay? You guys can all take off.

The remaining 32 of you, the process we now go through is to allow the attorneys and the parties to work through their notes and go through and choose the 14 folks that are going to hear our trial.

I'm going to have you stay in the room just because there's a large group of you, and it's a lot easier for them to kind of be able to put your face to their notes and remember things as they're going through the process. They'll just be kind passing a list back and forth. I'm guessing it takes 20, 25, 30 minutes maybe. And then I'll get the bulk of you on your way.

But while we're doing this, you can fill free to talk quietly amongst yourselves and -- and get more acquainted with your neighbors.

So I'm going to give the list to the State to start. And then once you all have each exercised eight, let me know, and then we'll take a look at the list.

Seriously, you can talk it's okay. Everybody's always like waiting for somebody to say something to chat. Either that or you don't like the people you're sitting next to.

[Pause in the proceedings.]

[Recess taken from 2:46 p.m., until 3:04 p.m.]

THE COURT: Okay. Folks, I need to take a break for a couple of minutes if I could. So I'm going to send you outside.

During our recess, you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject

connected to the trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report or commentary on the trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, to newspapers, television, the Internet, and radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the case until it's finally submitted to you. No legal or factual research or investigation on your own.

If you could all just step outside for me, please. Thank you.

[Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.]

THE COURT: Does either side have any challenges to the challenges issued by the opposing side.

MS. FLECK: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you guys?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: No? All right.

Let's just make sure we all have the same thing. So if that's the case, I believe your jurors would be Ms. Ambre, Badge No. 84; Mr. Hughes, Badge No. 86; Mr. Reinhardt, 77; Mr. Hubbard-Gable, 33; Ms. Martinez, 100; Mr. Bacallao, 38 -- Bacallao -- excuse me; Ms. Clark, 71; Mr. Vazquez, 43; Mr. Hussain, 44; Ms. Wesley, 45; Ms. Sink, 53; Ms. Detroz, 55; Ms. Quaresma, 56; and then your alternates would be -- or excuse me -- hold on.

MS. MURRAY: I believe 56 was an alternate.

THE COURT: Ms. Quaresma and Ms. Nelson are your alternates.

MS. MURRAY: Correct.

THE COURT: So the jury ends with Ms. Detroz. Is that what you have State?

MS. FLECK: It is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Defense?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Yes? Okay. And that just means

Ms. Mathews is excused because the State waived her on one of
their challenges. And I will note for the record that the State did, as
they indicated they would do, exercise their first challenge against
Mr. Cawthon to excuse him. Even though there are issues raised, it
would have resulted in a challenge for cause as well.

Okay. I'm going to get them back in here and just let them know who the jurors are. I'm not going to go through all of the preliminary information with them tonight. We'll wait till tomorrow to do that. And then I'll let them step outside with JR., and he can get them their badges and information about parking and all that. And then we'll take a break and start talking about evidence stuff that we need to before openings. Okay?

All right. You can get them all back in, JR.

[Pause in the proceedings.]

THE COURT: All right. You all can be seated. Thank you. So that was quick, I know. I'm sorry about that. I just needed to verify something with everybody.

Okay. So I'm going to release 18 of you now. If you

would let me read through the names, before you get up to excuse yourself, I would appreciate it.

We are going to -- pardon. Oh, Mr. Strumillo. Always somebody trying to sneak out.

UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: They're going to get caught.

THE COURT: That's true. Mr. Strumillo is buying lunch for everybody. Okay.

Mr. Strumillo, I was just explaining that I'm going read through the list of 18 names that are going to be excused. And so if everybody would just set tight until I get through this if you would.

So we are going to excuse Ms. Thomas, Badge No. 65; Ms. Gately, Badge No. 23; Mr. Antonucci, Badge No. 91; Mr. Wuopio, Badge No. 66; Ms. Miranda, Badge No. 69; Ms. Hendricks, Badge No. 78; Mr. Cawthon, Badge No. 70; Ms. Vandermeer, Badge No. 39; Mr. Kwan, Badge No. 40; Ms. Bonney, Badge No. 42; Mr. Vilseck, Badge No. 72; Ms. Alberico, Badge No. 73; Ms. Finn, Badge No. 82; Ms. Waggoner, Badge No. 51; Mr. Strumillo, Badge No. 52; Mr. Robbins, Badge No. 57; Ms. Ballinger, Badge No. 59; and Ms. Mathews, Badge No. 103.

So the 18 of you are all excused. I greatly appreciate your time and your presence and your patience with us the last couple of days. I hope the process has been at least somewhat informative and rewarding for you. And again, I thank you very much on behalf of the community for being willing to do your service with our

system. Thank you. And you guys can go ahead and take off.

All right. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14.

Okay. Just making sure we still had 14. Okay. So the rest of you I'm going to go ahead and release you now. We're going to start tomorrow at 1 o'clock with opening statements, and then the presentation of evidence in the case.

When you step outside, JR's going to get you some different badges that I need you to wear whenever you come into court which is a blue badge that shows you've actually been selected as a juror in a case. So the little white badges, you're all done with those now. There's also different parking. You'll be parking right across the street from the courthouse now, so he'll explain that to you as well.

Additionally, when you get here, you may have noticed when you're outside that there's a central hallway that kind of seems to go into the back that's right outside my door and to the right.

There's an intercom system there. When you come in in the morning, just buzz that intercom. And we'll bring you back to one of the deliberation rooms. And I'll try and keep you back there during the course of the trial, so you're not waiting out in the hallway because it's not the most optimal place since the attorneys, the witnesses, parties, everybody's going to be out there. So I'll try and keep you all in the back while we do that. I know --

You guys can be seated. I'm sorry.

I know, now that you're selected as jurors and you're

Page 135 1372

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

going to be with us for the next couple of weeks, there's going to be more of a need to talk to your loved ones and your employers and whatnot about the fact that you're doing jury duty. I get that. But please just kind of keep it as I've been selected as a juror, and I'm going to be in trial for the next couple of weeks, and the judge asked me not to say anything else about it other than that. The less information you give people, the less questions they'll ask. The more information you give, the more they're going to want to ask you about it. Right? So just let them know that I asked you not to speak about it at all. Okay?

Other than that, anybody have any questions right now? Yes, Ms. Sink.

A JUROR: So timewise, we're 1 o'clock tomorrow.

THE COURT: Tomorrow's 1 o'clock. Thursday's going to be 1 o'clock. Friday is probably going to be 10:30 -- 10 o'clock or 10:30. And then once we get closer to the end of the week, we'll talk about next week. Next Thursday I know is still going to be a dark day. Since I have to go to Carson City, we won't have court that day. So you'll be off that day. And then Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, I'm just not sure about just yet whether we could get in a little morning time at 10:30 or whether it may be the afternoon at 1 o'clock. Okay?

And I'll try and keep you updated. Usually, the parties are really good about giving me a conservative estimate. So a lot of times a trial gets done quicker than we were expecting it to. What I asked them to do is not be really ambitious and tell me it's going to

Page 136 1373

be three days and then it takes six days. I'd rather tell the jury two weeks, and then we get done in six days kind of thing. So if it feels like we're moving a little more rapidly, I'll be sure to keep everybody abreast of that. Okay?

I saw one other hand. Yeah.

A JUROR: It just to confirm no weekends?

THE COURT: Pardon.

A JUROR: It's not on the weekend?

THE COURT: No weekend. The weekends are your own.

A JUROR: Okay.

THE COURT: Absolutely. Yes.

A JUROR: I work on weekends. Do I need to work?

THE COURT: Is that a I'd really like to not work? Look, to the extent you have any -- you have any discretion in that regard, then do what you want to do. We're not in court. So if you need to work, you can work. Other than what we talked about earlier, if your employer has you working a late-night/swing/graveyard kind of shift Sunday night, then you can tell them I have to be in jury duty Monday morning; so I can't work that shift. Or at least I work maybe a half shift and I have to go home at a reasonable time. And as I said, if they give you in flak about that, be sure to let me know.

A JUROR: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay.

A JUROR: Thank you.

THE COURT: Yes.

Page 137 1374

A JUROR: Done around 5 o'clock every day; right?

A JUROR: Done around 5 o'clock every day?

THE COURT: Pardon.

THE COURT: Yes. We'll be done by 5 o'clock. Or, as I said, maybe we'll get done a little earlier. I mean, it's not unusual if we go a little -- five minutes past 5:00 because we're finishing up a witness. But we won't do anything extensive beyond that at all. Okay?

And then when you get here tomorrow, you're going to be in totally different seats. It's randomized how we sit you. Okay? So don't worry about that. And then we'll get you clipboards and notepads and everything, so we can get started with the trial. And then I have some kind of preliminary information I'll go through with you at that time as well. Yep?

A JUROR: Any dress code or anything?

THE COURT: Dress code? I always say dress in layers. Since I don't, you know, control the temperature in my courtroom and you guys are seated right underneath a vent there for air-conditioning, sometimes it gets a little cool; sometimes it may be warmer. But no. If you want to wear jeans and tee shirts, I'd like to wear jeans and tee shirts. Right? But no, there's no particular dress code. Not at all.

A JUROR: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Yes, ma'am.

A JUROR: Just as far as schedule changes or anything like

Page 138 1375

1	that, what type
2	e-mail or
3	THE
4	change someth
5	A JU
6	THE
7	from everybod
8	A JU
9	THE
10	directly to you
11	e-mail. Unless
12	or e-mail is the
13	an e-mail addre
14	A JU
15	for.
16	THE
17	A JU
18	talking. Mr. W
19	THE
20	A JU
21	THE
22	criminal defens
23	A JU
24	THE
25	assist Mr. Woo

that, what type of correspondence could we expect? Is this all via

THE COURT: If we're going to change -- like, if I have to change something for tomorrow?

A JUROR: Um-hmm.

THE COURT: Yeah. JR's going to get phone numbers from everybody when you leave tonight.

A JUROR: Okay.

THE COURT: So if we have any changes, we'll reach out directly to you to try and reach you by phone instead of, you know, e-mail. Unless if you -- for whatever reason you don't have a phone or e-mail is the best way to reach you quickly, then you can give him an e-mail address as well.

A JUROR: Phone is fine. I was curious what to look out for.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Yes, ma'am.

A JUROR: I was just going to ask you some of us were talking. Mr. Woods is representing himself you said?

THE COURT: Yes.

A JUROR: Then who are those other two to him?

THE COURT: Ms. Murray and Mr. Hauser are both criminal defense attorneys.

A JUROR: Right.

THE COURT: And standby counsel is they're available to assist Mr. Woods, answer questions for him, just assist him with the

1	process of
2	A JUROR: Okay.
3	THE COURT: representing himself in trial.
4	A JUROR: Okay. That's what we were confused about
5	is
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	A JUROR: who were these two people
8	THE COURT: Sorry about that. I should maybe I didn't
9	explain that as well. Okay.
10	A JUROR: in reference to Mr. Woods? Okay. Thanks.
11	THE COURT: All right. Okay. I will see everybody
12	tomorrow at 1 o'clock. Thank you.
13	Okay. We'll take a break for a few minutes, and then we'll
14	come back in and talk about our evidence for tomorrow. Okay?
15	[Pause in the proceedings.]
16	THE COURT: What do you want to talk about first with
17	regard to evidence issues?
18	MS. FLECK: Well, I think we need to get a ruling on the jail
19	phone call.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Woods, you all got a copy
21	that. And did you have a chance to listen to it yesterday at the end
22	of the day?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Okay. So I know that the State is wishing to
25	use that in their opening statement. And the objection you made

Page 140

1377

yesterday was, I think, talking about the authentication of it.

So what else, if anything, did you want to add.

THE DEFENDANT: I had a whole *Limine in Motion* on that. But I'll just say that I was under the impression that if you were going to use something like that, you had to have it not only authenticated, but it had to be under some kind of seal. That's what I was reading off the -- when I do get time at the law library.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: It has to be up under a sealed order signed by the judge saying that this is -- this guy's signature, authenticated by this judge. They heard it. They, you know, pretty much -- he pretty much ruled that, okay, yes; or okay, no. But that you couldn't just present without it being --

THE COURT: Well, I think you're talking about like if a custodian of records is referencing items that nobody's -- you're not bringing in -- for instance, if you say, I want to bring in some medical records, and you're not bringing in the doctor that treated the person or the nurse or the person themselves, but rather a custodian of records signs off on an affidavit saying these are all true and correct copies of the medical records on file for this day for such and such person. Is that what you're talking about in terms of signing off on something?

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Well, I knew I had wrote it down.

Basically it was NRS 52.015. And it had something to do with to

authenticate and lay a foundation to -- I don't know that word you

Page 141 1378

put right there -- to admit any piece of evidence.

MS. MURRAY: My notes are not what the statute says.

THE DEFENDANT: I know I was saying --

MS. MURRAY: My notes are what -- it's different. Can I have one moment?

THE COURT: Sure.

[Pause in the proceedings.]

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. So when there's the 52.015, it's saying that you have to authenticate and lay a foundation to admit any piece of evidence. Like, basically, anything that the State wants to use they have to show -- I don't want to say relevant -- but it is what they say it is.

THE COURT: Okay. So every piece of evidence is different; right? The State doesn't, you know, have -- there's no issue of identification to bring in a knife or a gun or a couch or whatever it is; right? You have somebody come in that lays the foundation for this is a piece of evidence and it's relevant in this case through whatever testimony comes in, and then I decide whether to admit that.

On a photograph, for instance, a crime scene analyst will come in and say, I responded to this area. I was asked to photograph things. I photographed this area where there appears to be, you know, bloody footprints or a bag of drugs, whatever the question is. And this is a true and accurate photo of what I saw that day and photographed. And then I decide whether to admit that

photo.

Authentication and identification of certain things -records, that may be a little different what the custodian of records
are saying or something like that.

In this situation with the phone call, this is purported to be a phone call you made. So it's a statement by a party opponent, which is you, that they're seeking to introduce. So they can introduce that at trial through, potentially, a custodian of records, or what have you, from the jail that comes in, or from a detective that pulls those phone calls and listens to them. Or if there's other ways that that thing is self-authenticating, that there's things on there that -- that through the phone call itself that indicate who the person is making the call, then all of those things are things that the Court can consider.

THE DEFENDANT: I read that last night too. My biggest thing was -- and I think you ruled on this particular issue before -- that I looked it too at that time.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: That you know that the jail is notorious for people stealing pins and how the phones are built so close in proximity that sometimes the wrong conversation gets recorded.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: People try to -- how would I say it in -- well, we say it like more of a ghetto term -- get at somebody's wife -- you're trying to steal their pin to talk to their loved one or their sister

or their mom or their girlfriend -- especially that's big down there.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: Do you kind of understand what I'm saying? So with the name that was on that particular phone call, I can't see how it's saying it was me making that phone call. And then when you brought a detective pulled it up, I haven't talk to a detective in three and a half years. You can't tell me somebody's going to say three and a half years ago I talked to the guy. Yeah. It sounds like him.

You got it? Understand what I'm saying.

THE COURT: No. I understand what you're saying. I don't know anything about problems in the jail with stealing pins and things like that or phone calls being misrecorded. That -- that's not anything that I've ever had any part of or been aware of.

Can I imagine that somebody would try and use somebody else's pin? Sure. But that -- but when you say that I'm aware of that, no, I'm not aware of any situation that I've ever had in any of my cases where somebody has been found to have stolen somebody else's pin and made phone calls from their pin. I'm not saying it doesn't happen. I'm just saying that's not something that I'm aware of.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. They even have prompts on the phone that tells you.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me -- what's the State's position?

MS. FLECK: Well, Judge -- well -- and you know,

obviously, we just have to have a good faith basis to believe that it's going to come in, in order to play it in our opening. We'll be able to authenticate it in a myriad of ways. First of all, through the detective who pulled it who will explain how he puts the defendant's pin number in; how then that, based upon his pin number, his identifications, as well as his voice, pulls these particular calls that are attributed only to the defendant.

The system also has antifraud, you know, provisions in -or safeguards, so that if somebody is seen to be using someone
else's pin that it can flag the calls if someone's using isn't -- it's not
gotten picked up on their voice recognition, that it would flag the
calls.

It clearly self-authenticates itself. It's Leonard Woods.

You hear his voice say, This is Leonard Woods. You then hear the voice go on to speak in the call, and it's clearly the defendant.

The jury itself will be able to hear the defendant's voice throughout the entire trial and then hear the voice -- the voice on the call. It's clearly him.

Furthermore, the content of the call is self-authenticating. He talks about the fact that, you know, he did something, this person did something, to Josie, and that she's not going to make it. The only person that knew that, that was sitting in CCDC, that had been arrested for murder was the defendant.

She -- he goes on to tell his friend, Jennifer Woodson, they're trying to get me for a murder. The only person that had been

Page 145 1382

charged for the crime of murder of Josie was the defendant. So it self-authenticates itself through the content.

Furthermore, the person that this caller calls is one of the defendant's own named witnesses. It's Jennifer Woodson. It's on his witness list as a friend. You know, so then it also self-authenticates itself based upon who the call is made to and that that is, in fact, the defendant's witness.

THE COURT: So here's the thing, Mr. Woods. I mean, a lot of what you're raising is what we refer to as weight versus admissibility, which is you can certainly argue those things to the jury about how much weight they should afford to this, but I think it's very much admissible.

I agree that the phone call itself which occurs, if I recall the date from the -- from what I was reviewing was August 6, 2015, the day after the homicide; that the phone call identifies the caller as Leonard Woods. The caller discusses having done something to Josie, who is the name of the homicide victim. The caller, from my listening to it, at one point becomes emotional about what they did and things having gone too far and references being charged with murder.

All of those things I think are sufficiently indicative of the State believing that they're going to tie that phone call to you.

Personally, I think it sounds like your voice, but maybe I've spent a lot more time with you in court. But I can see where somebody that has spoken to you is going to view that and be able

to say, I believe that to be Leonard Woods's voice as well. So there's enough there that I believe the phone call's going to be admissible, so I'll allow the State to use that in their opening statement.

THE DEFENDANT: You stated something that wasn't correct. You said that the caller is you referred. The caller identified himself as Leonard Woods, and that's not right. I have the call right here. The caller identified himself as Mannis Woods, whoever that is.

THE COURT: You're looking at the transcript. I'm listening to the phone call. The phone call says Leonard Woods. In the very beginning of the phone call, you've received a phone call from Leonard Woods.

I know the transcript, later on, said something about there's a separate time. When a separate thing comes in and says Leonard Woods and the transcript says Mannis. I have no idea what Mannis is. But what I listened to was Leonard Woods. I mean, and I -- and that's what I'm relying on and that's what the jury will receive is the actual audio phone call itself, not a transcript of it.

All right. So do you have an objection to any of the other items that the State was going to seek to admit in their opening statement with the photographs?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't see what good it would do; so no.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, look, I mean, it's a difficult proposition to be your own attorney, but if your position --

1	THE DEFENDANT: No. You keep saying that. That don't
2	have nothing to do with it.
3	THE COURT: Please don't interrupt me.
4	THE DEFENDANT: That doesn't have nothing to do with it.
5	THE COURT: I'm just saying if your position is going to
6	be, it's not going do any good, then that could be your position. But
7	if you have an objection, tell me what the objection is.
8	THE DEFENDANT: I mean, every issue I've raised since
9	I've been representing myself got shot down. You haven't granted
10	not one issue I've raised, period.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	THE DEFENDANT: So why should I think that any issue I
13	bring up is going to be granted.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	THE DEFENDANT: That was my whole position.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So the fact that you challenged 11
17	jurors, and I struck all 11 of them, I would say is a pretty good
18	indication that I'm being fair and impartial and granting requests that
19	you make
20	THE DEFENDANT: You're going to talk about one day out
21	of six months that I've got
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	THE DEFENDANT: that I've got I had to prepare
24	myself
25	THE COURT: So again, if you keep interrupting me, you're

going to look really bad in front of the jury. I'm just pointing out to 1 2 you --3 THE DEFENDANT: I'm pretty much going to look bad in 4 front of the jury anyway. THE COURT: All right. This is going to be a long trial, 5 Mr. Woods. 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is. THE COURT: Because I will jump down your throat, if, in 8 front of the jury, you act disrespectfully to me, any other attorney, 9 any witness, anything. So you better get it real clear. I'm not going 10 11 to put a lot of shit during the trial if you can't have the common courtesy of letting other people speak when they're speaking. Got 12 it? 13 THE DEFENDANT: I never disrespected you when we're in 14 trial, when we're in front of people. When we are like this, and I talk 15 to you like I feel like I can, that's when I do that. I don't never 16 disrespect you when I'm --17 THE COURT: Well, feeling like you can because we're 18 just --19 THE DEFENDANT: No. I don't -- I'm not --20 THE COURT: -- sitting here isn't that you can. It's that --21 THE DEFENDANT: I'm not saying --22 THE COURT: -- you're choosing to do it. 23 THE DEFENDANT: -- I'm trying to disrespect you. But I'm 24 saying I'm not going to be disrespected, downgraded, talked down 25

2 3

5

6 7

9

8

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25 to just because you can -- you're a man and I'm a man. So I don't feel like I should be able to just accept anything that you say to me when I feel like it's not right. No disrespect intended.

THE COURT: Well, it is disrespectful. Because here's the thing, I'm the Judge and I make rulings. And your obligation, as an attorney, just like your standby attorneys or the State's attorneys, is you abide by my rulings. You may not like it. You may disagree with it. But that's your obligation. That's the professional obligation of an attorney. You argue issues. I make rulings. We move on.

So the fact that the jury's not in here and you're a man doesn't give you leeway to act disrespectfully because you don't like what I said. That's just, hey, too bad, so sad. You want to be the attorney? This is part of being the attorney.

But in my experience the best predictor of future behavior is going to be past conduct; so if you think you can keep kind of talking over me and things like that in this setting, then it's eventually going to filter out in front of the jury. And I am telling you by warning you repeatedly, if it happens in front of the jury, we're not going to be taking breaks to send them out, and me warn you yet again. I will be admonishing you in front of the jury to be respectful. Okay?

THE DEFENDANT: I think you know that that's not going to happen.

THE COURT: Oh, I don't know if it is or not. I'm just telling you, you got to let people talk. You got to be respectful whether it's

1	a witness, an attorney, or myself.
2	So I get that you you believe that I don't rule on
3	anything in your favor. That's fine. I'm just going to keep asking, Is
4	there any objection to something? If you have an objection, you tell
5	me what the objection is, and I'll rule on it.
6	So I'll ask again. Do you have any objection to any of the
7	photos that the State has proposed to you in their opening?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Just the cellphone photos.
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	THE DEFENDANT: Well, they [indiscernible].
11	THE COURT: Have the copies. Actually can I see the
12	copies again?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Wait a minute. These are the only
14	ones that you're using? Or these the only ones that you're
15	presenting for
16	MS. FLECK: I did not have the I did not put those into
17	what I gave you because I they're technically considered I mean
18	they're inappropriate for me to send them to the jail.
19	THE COURT: Oh, you're referring to the three photos
20	THE DEFENDANT: No, I said
21	MS. MURRAY: No, no, no.
22	THE DEFENDANT: I said cellphone photos, not pictures
23	from you.
24	MS. MURRAY: Hang on. Hang on. They're here.
25	THE DEFENDANT: No. Pictures from

1	MS. FLECK: So
2	MS. MURRAY: I know what you're talking about.
3	THE DEFENDANT: They're not in there.
4	MS. MURRAY: You're just missing a page.
5	THE COURT: What Ms. Fleck gave me and I asked to
6	give to you the black and white printouts of photos did not include
7	the three photos of the girl.
8	THE DEFENDANT: No.
9	MS. MURRAY: That's not what he's referring to.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MS. MURRAY: He's referring to three photographs that
12	were provided yesterday that aren't in the set; so hang on.
13	THE COURT: So is there anything you're using in opening,
14	other than what you talked about earlier?
15	MS. FLECK: No.
16	MS. MURRAY: Nope.
17	THE COURT: And the three photos of Divina?
18	MS. FLECK: No. I gave him everything that I'm using in
19	opening. I think you circled the ones that I that are going to be
20	reserved for the second phase which is which will be the guns.
21	The only things that are not on there are the jail call,
22	obviously, that we just talked about; the Walgreen's video that he
23	has a copy of also; and then the three photographs that were
24	retrieved during the internal analysis of the phone.

THE COURT: Three photos of Divina?

25

1	MS. FLECK: Of Divina through the window.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	THE DEFENDANT: I was making sure that this Josie's
4	phone wasn't because she put them on here. So I was thinking
5	that she made a mistake and not give them to me.
6	THE COURT: So did you say a picture of Josie's phone?
7	Or pictures from Josie's phone?
8	MS. MURRAY: Can I clarify?
9	THE DEFENDANT: No. Just the text message.
10	THE COURT: Yes, you can.
11	MS. MURRAY: Yesterday two sets of photographs were
12	provided the ones that is the color thumbnails that the State stated
13	they intend to use during the course of the trial.
14	What he's saying is he has objections to some of those.
15	They are not also in the packet of what they intend to use during
16	opening.
17	THE COURT: All right. So, therefore, the photos that
18	you're proposing to you in your opening save and except for the
19	gun photos that we talked about those will be admitted
20	pre-admitted for use in the opening. I still expect that the witness
21	will come in and talk about those.
22	Any other photos
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24	THE COURT: they'll have to establish foundation and
25	everything through their witnesses. They're not seeking to admit

any of those right now.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. The one autopsy photo. How do I even know? I want to say.

THE COURT: You object to them using an autopsy photo?

THE DEFENDANT: Something that graphic and --

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, look, the reality is that there's going to be autopsy photos that get admitted. The one photo that I believe that I viewed in there was a photo from the right side of the homicide victim; correct?

MS. FLECK: Yes. It's --

THE COURT: Like from, like, mid torso up?

MS. FLECK: Correct.

THE COURT: Along the right side of her body?

MS. FLECK: Correct.

THE COURT: I mean, it could be argued that any photo from an autopsy is going to be to kind of graphic because it shows somebody at the time of autopsy. Generally, they're going to be naked because clothing has been removed.

But the only way to have people describe and see injuries that are alleged to have occurred in some kind of criminal conduct is to allow the photos to come in. I limit those photos, autopsy photos. So before -- so the one that's being proposed is fine.

Before the coroner testifies or a crime scene analyst or anybody else, I'll go through those photos that are proposing to be

used. If I feel like there's anything that's duplicatous or anything that's too graphic at all, I'll limit those. But the one that's being proposed for autopsy right now, I very much can see a good faith basis that that's going to be admitted. And so I'll allow that one photo to be used.

MS. FLECK: And I will say we were very specific in trying to sanitize all of the crime scene photos and all of the photos of the victim. We haven't presented any, nor will we, where she's covered in blood. Anything that we are presenting to the jury that we will seek to admit is her cleaned up at autopsy. And it's solely for, you know, medical purposes and to use with the medical examiner to show where the wounds were and then her cause and manner of death.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So what about the Walgreens' video? Is there any objection to the use of the Walgreens' video?

THE DEFENDANT: Oh, no.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And so I think that was it. Right?

MS. FLECK: That's it for openings. In terms of, I think what we were going into, there was just a couple things I wanted to bring up in terms of Mr. Woods' opening.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: Just, you know, he has brought up numerous times prior false allegations of Divina. Now, obviously -- or I guess I

should say false allegations. Clearly his defense in this case is going to be that it's a false allegation by Divina, a hundred percent fair. We expect that. And I think that that's well within his right and his defense.

However, I think he needs to be perfectly clear, nothing has been litigated about a prior false allegation. And he has brought that up repeatedly. He's referred to it repeatedly. But nothing of that nature can come into openings nor can she be questioned about it. That all had to be done in some sort of pretrial litigation where he had to prove that there was actual prior false allegation, and none of that has been done.

So I think it would be completely inadmissible and improper for him to suggest in any way that this is, you know, oh, she's made allegations like this in the past, or this is something she knows. And I'd like a preopening ruling on that particular subject.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Woods?

THE DEFENDANT: I submitted her paperwork that has suggested prior accusations.

THE COURT: What are you --

THE DEFENDANT: And of --

THE COURT: What do you mean -- what do you mean paperwork?

THE DEFENDANT: Family court records. The bitter custody battle that they was going through. She says a lot of what her dad was doing to her. I sent that over to her. I don't know why

she saying she didn't get it.

THE COURT: Well, that's --

THE DEFENDANT: A lot of --

THE COURT: That's not what they're saying. There's a process. You would have to file a motion to introduce prior false allegations. Then the Court would set an evidentiary hearing where witnesses could be called and evidence is received. And there has to be proof to the Court that, number one, an allegation was made; and number two, that it was false. And once that's found, then that type of evidence could be admissible.

So it's not just that one side or the other -- it's kind of like, you know, let's say the State says you've done some bad things in the past. They can't then just provide you some police reports and say, Hey, you did these bad things. We're going to introduce them at trial.

They'd have to file a motion to introduce bad act evidence, have to come before the Court, prove that those acts occurred and how they're relevant to the trial. And then I would make a ruling and decide whether they're going to get to come out.

So their position is this hasn't been litigated. Regardless of what you may have provided to them in terms of records that you may have discovered, there hasn't been any motions filed or any litigation to the Court to establish anything about prior false allegations.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. So that being the case, then yes, I will grant the request that there can be no mention of any allegation that there were some prior false allegations made by Divina.

And I'm sorry, do I pronounce the last name Leal or Leal?

MS. MURRAY: Leal.

THE COURT: Leal. Okay. All right. What else?

MS. FLECK: I think it was just any prior bad act of Josie's, anything that he intends to do to kind of drag Josie through the mud. He has also made reference to her, you know, family court issues, custody issues, prior arrests for prostitution. Anything that would -- kind of a bad act sort of reference with regard to the victim Josie Jones.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Woods?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't see how the jury can make an honest and righteous decision without all the facts. It seem like they want them to rule on just some of the facts, instead of the whole picture.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, jurors oftentimes don't get everything, whether it's about a victim or a defendant, for a variety of reasons. I mean, things have to be relevant in some fashion to begin with.

So how is anything -- and again, there hasn't been any motions filed to get any of this in. But how is, you know, custody disputes between Josie and Divina's father somehow relevant to anything here?

THE DEFENDANT: Well, it was -- it was several -- well, first of all, the -- all the arrests not being brought up. They're -- they're trying to paint the picture like -- I mean, I don't to speak ill of the dead. That was my best friend and my -- at one point in time.

So you live in a risky -- a higher risk lifestyle.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: All since I met you, till the time of your demise. So they're going to try to paint a picture like you were just this law-abiding, goody two-shoes citizen, which wasn't the case.

What I'm saying is it was several other -- several other suspects it could have been. If you eliminating all the suspects, then, of course, you just going to have the finger pointing one way.

THE COURT: But they're -- they import of it is that character evidence is only admissible for certain reasons; right?

So it's one thing if the State says we want to bring in a bunch of people to say Josie had great character, then -- and I'm confident they're not going to do that because that doesn't really have any relation to this either. So then maybe if there are other things that she's done of a bad character, they would be admissible. Or if there were, you know, evidence of some interactions that she and you had that would give rise to a self-defense claim -- that's all one thing.

But the stuff -- at least what was being alleged and what the State provided was that Ms. Jones had some misdemeanor drug possession arrests or convictions or whatever from California,

from -- from I'm not sure how long it was. But what -- so what would be the purpose of introducing that?

THE DEFENDANT: No. I'm just saying if you live a higher risk lifestyle, sometimes things happen.

THE COURT: Well, that's not --

THE DEFENDANT: See and what I'm saying -- and the people that she interacted with, it was -- it's a lot of shady characters, end of story. But I'm not being allowed to introduce none of them. That's what I'm hearing. People that had contact with her, like not even a week before her demise it's -- I mean it's in police records. That's where I'm getting my information from. It's not like I'm just throwing something out there.

THE COURT: No, no, no. I get that. But I'm still not satisfied that somehow her having past misdemeanor drug convictions is relevant here. I mean, even with live victims, not a deceased victim -- live victims testifying, you're not going to impeach them with prior misdemeanor drug convictions.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm not --

THE COURT: I mean, it has to be felony convictions to address a person's character.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm not just talking about misdemeanor drug.

THE COURT: Well, what are you talking about then?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm talking about she had, right up until the time she died -- like a week before -- she just had a fight with

Page 160

this -- with the husband's cousin that she was living with. They had interactions behind, but then he kicked her out. So they didn't know that that was a problem.

Her husband tried to kill her the same way that she died.

They don't want me to bring none of this up. That's what I'm saying.

THE COURT: Well --

THE DEFENDANT: The husband, several fights between that I even broke up a couple. This is what I'm saying. Not just misdemeanor drug charges. She had two outstanding felony warrants at the time of her demise. I'm saying -- you know what I'm -- it was a lot of --

THE COURT: But what I'm trying to tell you is misdemeanor drug offenses, the fact that she has warrants, that's still -- A -- A -- and I'm going to go back even further -- this is the kind of stuff that you need to file *motions in limine* on. And if the Court sets hearings and decides whether things occurred and whether they meet a certain standard of evidence and proof, and then they can come in front of the jury. So that not being done, you don't just do it in the middle of trial. That's one reason to deny it.

Separately from that, having misdemeanor drug offenses or warrants is not in any way relevant to whether you killed Josie Jones in the parking lot of Walgreens that night. It doesn't make that fact any more or less ascertainable by the jury to say that she had a warrant for something.

THE DEFENDANT: I mean, you keep going back to the

misdemeanor. That's --

THE COURT: Well, I'm just saying that's one of the things you mentioned, they mentioned, so I'm mentioning it as well.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: I'm sure that's not the --

THE DEFENDANT: But that's not -- was my whole basis for none of that.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I --

THE DEFENDANT: And just for the record, I wanted to say, since I've been coming to your court, since I've been representing myself, other than today, would you say I've not been -- I've carried myself professionally? I've carried myself with respect. I haven't been -- jumped out of the line -- of the rules so to speak in your courtroom?

THE COURT: No, no. I haven't had any problems.

THE DEFENDANT: So you got to forgive me for today because --

THE COURT: I'm just telling you Once we get into trial -THE DEFENDANT: -- I got -- I guess that's what it is. I'm
just close to trial and this is -- this is big. So I apologize, because,
you know --

THE COURT: But what I'm trying to impart to you is that during trial, it's not the same kind of thing as a law and motion calendar where we can spend a lot of time talking about things and going through things. You can't just keep people here for forever as

jurors because we have to keep stopping to take a break and have discussions.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: I mean, we can do that to a limited extent.

But during trial, things are going to come up and the State's going to object, for instance. And if you can't provide the proper evidentiary response, objection gets granted, and we got to move on. And your standby counsel can help you with those things, obviously.

But I'm just saying there's going to be a lot of times in trial where you're not going to like how things are going. But you've still got to be able to say, got to respect the process and everybody else involved and get through this, which means you can't interrupt people and do all that. But no, no. Have I had a problem with you? No.

THE DEFENDANT: That -- that won't be a problem.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: You know, I just kind of tag at you a little bit when it's just us in here. I wouldn't -- you know me -- I know how to conduct myself. I've never been representing myself in a trial. I've never been in trial. But I'm pretty sure I -- matter of fact, I know how to conduct myself.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: It won't be a problem.

THE COURT: All right. Well, overall then on these issues that have come up about -- that the State's raised and things that

	٠
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

they were concerned about that have been mentioned at various points of time, but not litigated at all for admissibility, including the issue of misdemeanor drug possession charges, including these issues of the discovery -- or excuse me -- a custody dispute, school district records, things like that, allegations that Ms. Jones was somehow engage in prostitution in the past -- those things haven't been motioned to the Court or litigated in a way through an evidentiary hearing to decide any propriety of that. And so they are not admissible during the course of the trial.

All right. What else we got?

MS. FLECK: Nothing else from the State.

THE COURT: Anything, Mr. Woods?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then I will see everybody tomorrow at 1 o'clock.

MS. FLECK: Thank you.

[Proceedings adjourned at 3:52 p.m.]

* * * * * * *

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Katherine McNally

Independent Transcriber CERT**D-323 AZ-Accurate Transcription Service, LLC

Katherine McMally

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
2			
3	LEONARD RAY WOODS,) No.	78816
4	Appellant,)	
5)	
6	V.)	
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,))	
8	Respondent.)	
9		_) ^	
10	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME VI PAGES 1220-1401		
11	DARIN IMLAY Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street	Clar 200	EVE WOLFSON Red County District Attorney Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610	Las	Vegas, Nevada 89155
13	Attorney for Appellant	Atto	RON FORD orney General
14		Cars	North Carson Street son City, Nevada 89701-4717
15		(702	2) 687-3538
16		Cou	nsel for Respondent
17	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
18	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada		
19	Supreme Court on the 13 day of Feb	bruary, 2020.	Electronic Service of the foregoing
20	document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:		
21	AARON FORD		BORAH L. WESTBROOK
22	STEVEN S. OWENS I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and		
23	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:		
24	LEONARD RAY WOODS, #1216972		
25	HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON P.O. BOX 650		
26	INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070		
27	BY	/s/ Rachel I	Howard
28	Emp		ounty Public Defender's Office