## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL PATRICK LATHIGEE, Appellant,

VS.

BRITISH COLUMBIA SECURITIES COMMISSION.

Respondent.

No. 78833

FILED

AUG 1 3 2019

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER GRANTING MOTION

The parties' stipulation extending the time for the filing of the answering brief is treated as a joint motion for an extension of time. See NRAP 26(b)(1)(B) (after receiving a telephonic extension, all further requests for extension to perform the same act are barred unless supported by a written motion demonstrating extraordinary and compelling circumstances). Extraordinary and compelling circumstances having been shown, the joint motion is granted. Id. Respondent shall have until August 26, 2019, to file and serve the answering brief. No further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary and compelling circumstances. Id. Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. Cf. Varnum v. Grady, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions including disposition of this appeal without an answering brief from respondent. See NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

Hillow C.J.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

19 - 33963

cc: Adkisson PLLC
Marquis Aurbach Coffing
Naylor & Braster
Alverson Taylor & Sanders