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LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, OCTOBER 23, 2017
$\qquad$

THE COURT: Michael McNair, 17F16794. We're going to start the preliminary hearing. Miss Bluth and Mr. Rogan are present on behalf of the state. Mr. Pike is present on behalf of Mr. McNair, and Mr. McNair is present in custody.

## There are some surveillance

 technology issues going on that Miss Bluth informed me of at the bench, so $I$ think what we're going to try to do is get started, and she does have one witness that has been brought over from the jail. And it's $11: 00$, so what we talked about at the bench is to at least call that witness and accept his testimony, take his testimony, and then we will most likely break for lunch, so then that witness can be excused, and then Mr. McNair can go back and have lunch and anybody else can grab lunch, and that will give Miss Bluth an opportunity to finalize the surveillance issue that we have and we'll be resuming with the remaining witnesses after lunch.MS. BLUTH: Thank you, your Honor. And
then just for purposes of the preliminary hearing, a few housekeeping matters.

The autopsy report is still not done, so Mr. Pike and $I$ are stipping to cause and manner of death, that being gunshot wound and homicide. We're also stipping to the identification of the deceased, which is the named victim in count 1, Gordon Phillips.

And then lastly, I did amend the Criminal Complaint to add different -- the following principles of the criminal liability. That would be by directly committing the crime, by aiding or betting in the commission of the crime, and then pursuant to a conspiracy to commit the crime. And so that is delineated in Count 1 , and if $I$ could please approach the clerk to have them filed.

THE COURT: Yes.
So, Mr. Pike, you were aware that
the Amended Criminal Complaint was coming down?
MR. PIKE: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Were those stipulations that Miss Bluth put on the record correct for the purposes of preliminary hearing?

MR. PIKE: Limited for the preliminary hearing only, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So she's filing, Miss Clerk's filing this Amended Criminal Complaint, and with that you can call your first witness.

MR. ROGAN: State calls Anthony Razo.
THE COURT: Sir, you've been subpoenaed to testify in this criminal matter. You must be in custody on something else, not on any material witness warrant or something. Okay?

THE WITNESS: All right.
THE CLERK: Go ahead and have a seat.
State your first and last name and spell both for the record.

THE WITNESS: Anthony Razo,
$A-n-t-h-o-n-y, \quad R-a-z-o$.
THE COURT: You can proceed, Mr. Rogan.

A N T H O N Y R A Z O, having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. ROGAN:
Q. Good morning, sir. How are you today?
A. Good.
Q. I have some questions about some things that you may have seen back around September 14 of this year, okay?

MR. PIKE: Your Honor, before the witness begins testimony, I'm sorry, I'd like to invoke the exclusion of witnesses.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any -- if there's anybody here subpoenaed to testify in the preliminary hearing of Michael McNair, please step outside until my marshal calls your name.

Mr. Razo, it's important that you speak a little loud and into the microphone, because Miss Gerri is taking down a record, so she has to understand you, okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Sometimes if you nod your head, we see it, but it doesn't come out on the record, so you have to use your words. Thank you so much. BY MR. ROGAN:
Q. I want to ask you some questions about some things that you saw around the 14 of september, all right?
A. All right.
Q. Now, you spoke to the police about this before, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Basically, the same types of questions, all right?
A. Yes.
Q. Where were you living on September 14 of this year?
A. On the streets.
Q. Whereabouts?
A. Searles and Las Vegas Boulevard.
Q. How long had you been living at that
location?
A. Just a couple days.
Q. Where were you before that?
A. I think $I$ was in jail.
Q. And on the, $I$ guess it would be the evening of the 14 th, what did you see that caught your attention?
A. There was an argument between a couple people.
Q. Where was that argument taking place?
A. In front of like where $I$ was camping.
Q. Can you tell me specifically where you were camping?
A. On Searles in front of -- on the side of Flavors.
Q. On the side of Flavors?
A. Yes.
Q. So were you north of Searles?
A. I guess south of Searles, or $I$ was on Searles.
Q. You were on Searles?
A. Yes.
Q. Where was Flavors from where you were camping?
A. In front of my campsite.
Q. In front of your campsite, okay.

Were you behind Flavors, behind
the building?
A. Like -- yes, I guess.
Q. So you said you saw an altercation?

THE COURT: He said an argument.
BY MR. ROGAN:
Q. I'm sorry, an argument between two people?
A. Yes.
Q. Where was that argument taking place?
A. Across the street to my right.
Q. Across the street to your right.

All
right.
Did you see the person -- did you see clearly the two people that were having the argument?
A. Yes.
Q. Where was specifically that argument taking place?
A. Across the street to my right, like 50 feet probably.
Q. Is there a parking lot area where it was taking place?
A. Yes. There was a fence and a parking lot.
Q. I want to talk to you about that fence. How tall is that fence; do you
know?
A. About six feet, six or seven feet.
Q. Where was -- where were the people standing in relation to that fence?
A. One person was on one side of the fence, one person was on the other side of the fence.
Q. The person that was on the other side of the fence further away from you, is that the parking lot for Flavors?
A. I believe so.
Q. And the person that was on the other side of the fence, the fence that was closest to you, or the person that was closest to you, is that on Searles itself, like a sidewalk area or something?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember the color of this fence?
A. I believe it was black.
Q. Do you know?
A. I'm pretty sure it was black.
Q. So you said that there was this argument.

Could you hear what was going on?
A. There was something about music.

Somebody was arguing about music and it being too loud.
Q. Do you know who was saying that, the person closest to you on the searles side or the person in the parking lot?
A. The person closest to me.
Q. Did you know the person closest to you?
A. No.
Q. Was that person also living on the
street?
A. As far as $I$ know, yes.
Q. How do you know that?
A. Because they were saying that the music
was too loud and it was bothering them.
Q. So based upon the conversation that you overheard, you assumed that he was homeless?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it just one person or two person on that side of the street?
A. Just one person.
Q. How long do you think this argument took place?
A. A couple minutes.
Q. Was there any fight that took place that you saw?
A. No.
Q. Could you hear what the person on the other side of the fence further away from you was saying to the homeless person?
A. He was just saying like, you know, go get a house if you don't like the music being loud.
Q. Did you ever see any of them have a weapon at the time?
A. I saw the guy farthest away from me, he had a knife in the back of his hand.
Q. Was that the person that's on the searles side of the fence?
A. Yes.
Q. The homeless person?
A. Yes.
Q. Where did you see that knife?
A. In his -- I believe -- I don't know. He had both of his hands clasped behind his back. I could see he had a knife blade in his hand.
Q. Was the -- how did you know it was a knife blade?
A. I could see the shininess of the blade.
Q. Was this at nighttime?
A. Yes.
Q. How far away were you standing?
A. Probably about 50 to 75 feet.
Q. Did the homeless man, did he ever brandish that knife at all?
A. No.
Q. Did you see any vehicles nearby?
A. There was a couple vehicles on the other side of the fence.
Q. So in the parking lot area?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember what they looked like?
A. There was a couple trucks.
Q. Now, at some point did the argument end?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you see where the homeless man went to?
A. He went back to wherever he was from on the streets.
Q. Did you see where he went to?
A. No, I didn't, but like towards Las Vegas Boulevard.
Q. Did you see him walk towards Las Vegas Boulevard?
A. Yes.
Q. So that would be west, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. What about the man on the other side of the street?
A. He just stayed behind the fence where it looked like he was working.
Q. What makes you say that?
A. It looked like he had a work uniform on.
Q. $\quad$ Can you describe that uniform?
A. Looked like blue pants and a blue shirt.
Q. Did you see anything else distinctive
about that shirt?
A. It looked like he had a badge, like a name placard or a businesslike placard or badge.
Q. And as you're describing that you were
pointing to your left part of your chest.
Is that where you remember seeing
it?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, at some point later did you see that same man with the blue shirt again?
A. Yes.
Q. How long after the first time you saw him did you see him again?
A. I saw him the whole time, like because the argument went on kind of in front of me, to the right, and then the homeless guy took off, and then the other guy was still there with somebody else.
Q. Somebody else was there?
A. Yeah. I don't know if it was a coworker or friend or something or somebody.
Q. So let's talk about that guy in the blue shirt for a minute, all right?
A. All right.
Q. After the homeless man left, what did you see the guy in the blue shirt do, if anything?
A. He got in his car or truck and took off, sped around the parking lot, and then he came back and parked his car or truck.
Q. In the same location that he took off
from?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he ever leave that parking lot?
A. No.
Q. What about the other man that you saw?
A. He disappeared, went back to where he was from or left.
Q. After the man in the blue shirt came back and parked his car, what did you see him do, if anything?
A. I seen him get out of his -- like leave the parking lot and then start walking across the street.
Q. $\quad$ So walk across Searles?
A. Yes.
Q. So I guess he was walking southbound?
A. He was walking westbound towards

Las Vegas Boulevard.
Q. I see. Was he on the sidewalk or was he in the street?
A. He was in the street, crossing the street, but he looked like he was going to make it to the other side of the sidewalk.
Q. Do you see where he ended up?
A. I didn't. I left at that point.
Q. Where did you go?
A. I went north on Las Vegas Boulevard.
Q. The guy in the blue shirt, could you tell what race he was?
A. He looked to be African American.
Q. So that African American in the blue shirt, was he walking with anybody the last time you saw him?
A. No.
Q. Did you see anything in his hand?
A. No.

MR. ROGAN: Court's indulgence.

BY MR. ROGAN :
Q. Now, after you saw that African American man in the blue shirt head $I$ guess west towards Las Vegas Boulevard, you said that you left.

Did you go very far?
A. I went about four or five blocks away.
Q. At some point did you hear something?
A. I heard what sounded like gunshots a couple minutes later.
Q. How many gunshots do you think you heard?
A. I counted seven.
Q. Why did you leave the area?
A. I just - because of the altercation,
usually things get out of hand like that. I didn't want to be around any altercation or have anything to do with any problems that could arise from that altercation.
Q. So were you fearful that something was going to happen to that homeless man?
A. I don't know. I just got out of the area because $I$ was like it was a pretty heated argument and I didn't want to be a part of anything.
Q. Now, a couple final questions.

That man in the blue shirt, $I$
asked you whether you heard him say anything to the homeless guy, all right?

Now, later on did you hear him say anything else; that is, before he went walking towards Las Vegas Boulevard?
A. He just had an argument about the music that was on. The homeless guy talked to the guy, told him, you know, you need to turn this music off, it's bothering me. My old lady's trying to sleep. The guy's like, get a home, stop being homeless. And they just went bantering back and forth. That was all $I$ heard.
Q. So I'm talking about now afterwards, after he drives around the parking lot and then parks
it, then he heads out walking down the street. Did he saying anything, if you can remember?
A. I didn't recall anything at that time.
Q. Do you remember talking to the -- when you talked to the police a few days later?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you review that transcript at any point since you had that conversation?
A. No.

MR. ROGAN: May I approach the witness, your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure.

BY MR. ROGAN:
Q. Sir, I'm showing you what's page 19 of what appears to be a voluntary statement, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you start at the top of the page. Read it to yourself silently and let me know when your done, okay?

You done reading it?
A. Yes.
Q. My previous question is or was, do you remember him saying anything else before he went walking down the street, and you said no.

Do you have a memory now of what
was said, if anything?
A. Now that $I$ read that, $I$ do remember him saying something else.
Q. What did he say?
A. He said, I'm going to clock out to go take care of this situation or whatever.

MR. ROGAN: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Cross-examination.

MR. PIKE: Thank you very much, your Honor.

## CROSS - EXAMINATION

BY MR. PIKE:
Q. Good morning, sir. I have a few questions to ask you, if $I$ may.

You're present in custody; is that correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Were you arrested on a material witness warrant or what are you in custody for?
A. I'm in custody for a domestic violence issue.
Q. Do you have any prior felony convictions within the past 10 years?
A. No, sir.
Q. You don't want to be in here testifying today, do you?
A. No, sir.
Q. Now, when you were there in that area on that evening, approximately how far away from the confrontation between the fence were you?
A. I'd say about 50 to 75 feet.
Q. Did you hear both parties raising their voices?
A. Yes.
Q. The person that you described as the homeless man that had the knife behind his back, was he yelling at that time?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you hear anything that he was saying that you would believe to be a threat?
A. He was saying he was going to jump over the fence, and, $I$ don't know, beat the other guy up or something like that.
Q. So those -- and then when you described the flash or you could see a blade of a knife, approximately how big did you believe that blade of the knife to be?
A. About four inches long.
Q. The area that you were in and looking across, there was enough of a nightlight or streetlight so that you could see both of the parties?
A. Yes.
Q. The person that had the knife, about how tall was he?
A. He looked like about 5-10.
Q. Do you recall about a weight that he may have been?
A. I'd say 180 pounds.
Q. From what you heard, could you hear any slurring in his speech or any unusual -- well, anything unusual in his speech?
A. He sounded highly agitated.
Q. He was mad, and did you ever see where he went back to sleep when he crossed over the street?
A. I did not.
Q. You turned and walked away from that time.

Then you indicated that the person that you saw on the other side that was on the Flavors side, had you ever been over to flavors before while you were in that area?
A. Yes.
Q. And have you ever had an opportunity to talk to any of the security guards or any of the people that were there in the evening time?
A. No.
Q. Had you ever seen either of those two people that were on the either side of the fence before?
A. No.
Q. During the time that you were involved in watching this, did you see another individual come from Flavors and talk to the person you described as wearing a uniform-type clothing?
A. Yes.
Q. What did that person look like?
A. He was African American.
Q. So there were two African Americans?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there anybody that was Hispanic that came out?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Of the two individuals that you saw that were African American that came out, was the second one also wearing what you believed to be a -consistent with a uniform?
A. Yes.
Q. Same colored shirt?
A. I believe so.
Q. And same colored pants?
A. I believe so.
Q. So as you were walking away from this you actually did not see the shooting, did not see it, and could not identify the individual that either shot the weapon?
A. No, sir.
Q. Did you ever see the knife displayed in a threatening manner by the person you've described as the homeless man?
A. I mean he had it clasped behind his back. That was never brandished other than that.
Q. You indicated in your statement at one time that you thought that if that individual that was brandishing -- or that had the knife behind his back got shot, that that may have been something that would have been in your opinion consistent with self-defense or stand your ground, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And what did you base that opinion upon?
A. Because him having a knife and verbally arguing with the guy, that's lethal force, as far as I know. I know having a knife, you know, you can
lethally kill somebody with a knife.
Q. Part of the reason why you left that area was because you saw a knife?
A. Yes.
Q. How many times did the police discuss this case with you?
A. Just once.
Q. And have you had an opportunity to read both your written statement and the transcript of your statement before you came to court today?
A. No.

MR. PIKE: Nothing further at this time.
THE COURT: Any redirect?
MR. ROGAN: Yes, please. Thank you.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROGAN:
Q. Going back to that initial altercation, okay.

THE COURT: The argument you mean?
MR. ROGAN: Yes, I'm sorry, it just says altercation. That's my verbatim. BY MR. ROGAN:
Q. The argument that you saw, you said the homeless man had his voice raised, right?
A. Yes.
Q. What about the guy on the other side?
A. He raised his voice as well.
Q. Did you hear what the guy on the other side, the one wearing the blue shirt, did you hear what he was saying?
A. They were just arguing about the music, and one guy was like -- the homeless guy was like, I'm going to jump this fence, and the guy in the blue uniform was like, come on over, jump the fence, do what you're going to do.
Q. So after that argument ended the homeless man though just walked away?
A. Yes.
Q. And how long after he walked away did you then see the man with the blue shirt head towards Las Vegas Boulevard?
A. Probably 10 or 15 minutes later.

MR. ROGAN: Court's indulgence.

BY MR. ROGAN :
Q. So when you spoke to the police, that was closer in time to when you saw the initial argument, right?
A. What was that?
Q. Sorry, bad question.

You spoke to the police some time ago about this, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was closer in time to when the argument took place, right?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Do you remember telling them that it was a bit longer than 10 or 15 minutes between the time the argument took place and the time that you saw the man in the blue shirt walk down the street?
A. I don't.
Q. Do you think looking at your statement again would help you remember what you initially told the police?
A. Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Court's indulgence.
THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
BY MR. ROGAN:
Q. I'm going to show you again page 19 and 20, all right?
A. All right.
Q. So you've already read page 19
previously. So just read that last line to yourself on page 19 and then read the first half of page 20
for me, all right?
A. All right.
Q. So my question was, do you remember telling the police that it was actually a bit longer than 10 or 15 minutes?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you tell the police?
A. It says 45 minutes.
Q. Actually, you say half hour to 45
minutes?
A. Half hour to 45 minutes.
Q. Now, Mr. Pike asked you some questions and you talked to the police about this. You offered an opinion as to whether the person who ended up shooting the man was justified in doing that, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And so you talked to the police about stand your ground, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So is your about opinion about why the person was justified in shooting based upon your understanding of the stand your ground law?
A. Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.
THE COURT: Any recross?

MR. PIKE: Court's indulgence.
No further questions. Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you very much,
Mr. Razo. Appreciate your time today.
(Witness excused)

So unless you think we can get through another easy witness, maybe we'll take a recess now.

MS. BLUTH: If that would be okay with the Court, that would be great.

THE COURT: So maybe 12:45. That's an hour and 20 minutes.

MS. BLUTH: That should be fine.

Your Honor, I just ask the Court, or I'd like to make a representation and ask if there's a Bianca Reddin in the courtroom right now or was she previously.

THE COURT: Bianca Redding?
MS. BLUTH: Bianca Reddin.
THE COURT: Is there a Bianca Reddin in the courtroom?

MS. BLUTH: Has there been this morning?
THE COURT: I'm guessing not.

I don't know who Bianca Reddin is. I'm suspecting these people are observers. Maybe they know or maybe they don't.

Okay. All right. Thank you.
We'll see you a 12:45.
(After a luncheon recess the following proceedings were had.)

THE COURT: Michael McNair, 17F16794. It's after our lunch break. Both Mr. Rogan and Miss Bluth are present on behalf of the state. Mr. Pike is present on behalf of Mr. McNair.

Mr. McNair is present in custody, and we've already heard one witness and we're ready to proceed with the next witness. Miss Bluth.

MS. BLUTH: Thank you, your Honor, and I have marked as evidence State's Proposed Exhibits 2 and 3. This was the video surveillance we were discussing before that the parties have stipulated to their admission.

Mr. Pike knew that $I$ had a custodian of records who was going to come in, but in an effort of saving time for purposes of preliminary hearing only the defense is agreeing that this video
will come in.

THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Pike?

MR. PIKE: That's correct.

THE COURT: All right. Exhibits 2 and 3 will be admitted. Thank you.
(State's Exhibits 2 and 3 admitted into evidence.)

MS. BLUTH: The state's next witness, Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: It's going to be Ramiro Romero.

THE CLERK: Go ahead and have a seat.

State your first and last name and spell both for the record.

MR. ROGAN: Ramiro Romero, R-a-m-i-r-o, R-o-m-e-r-o.

THE COURT: Thank you.

You can proceed.
MR. ROGAN: Thank you, your Honor.

R A M I R O $R$ O M E $R$ O, having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROGAN :
Q. Mr. Romero, how are you today?
A. I'm good, waiting here for three hours.
Q. Sorry for that.

Did you get some sleep last night?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Hmm}-\mathrm{mm}$.
Q. Is that a no?
A. Yeah, that's a no.
Q. All right, thanks. Sorry. She's just
taking everything down, so we have to say yes or no.
A. Oh, okay.
Q. Are you okay testifying this morning though?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. All right. Did you used to work for Unified Container?
A. Yeah, before I got fired.
Q. When did you start working there?
A. I don't know exact date.
Q. How long ago from now?
A. From now it would probably be like two months, $I$ believe, or a month.
Q. How long did you work there for?
A. I worked there for like a month.
Q. What did you do there?
A. Make containers, gallons.

THE COURT: You did what?

THE WITNESS: Gallons, made gallons.

MR. ROGAN: I'll get into it.

THE COURT: Well, but she can't
understand it, so it doesn't matter if you're going to get into it, she can't understand the answer, so she needs to be able to take down the answer, and I didn't hear it either, so what was it?

THE WITNESS: I make gallons.

THE COURT: Make gallons.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, well, like it goes through a processing machine. It's like gallons for milk and juices.

THE COURT: Okay, thanks.

BY MR. ROGAN :
Q. All right. Well, you got into it.

So you made milk containers,
right?
A. Milk and juices.
Q. Did you have a specific job there?
A. No, just make them and regrind them.
Q. What's regrinding?
A. Regrinding is when $I$ put the gallon, like any gallons that are messed up or like fall on the floor into the machine for regrind.
Q. Were you working -- I'm sorry, let me ask you this. What was your shift?
A. My shift is 7 - - or depends what time I get there, but if $I$ get there early, $I$ could start like at $7,7: 30$, or 1 could start at 8 , depending how the machine's running.
Q. 8 a.m. or 8 p.m.?
A. 8 p.m. like at night. I got the graveyard shift, so it's 8:00 to 4:30.
Q. $\quad 4: 30$ in the morning?
A. $\quad$ Mm-hmm.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you get to work?
A. Sometimes my dad drops me off, or, if not, one of my homeboys.
Q. Do you have a car?
A. No, I don't have a car.
Q. Do you have a license?
A. I do not have a license.
Q. Do you remember at what intersection United Container is located -- sorry, Unified Container?
A. I don't know the cross streets.
Q. Do you know North Las Vegas Boulevard and Searles?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Hmm}-\mathrm{mm}$.
Q. Is that a no?
A. No. My bad.
Q. Do you know whether the company is in the City and County of Las Vegas, Nevada, Clark County?
A. Pretty sure it is.
Q. At some point were you working with a person by the name of Michael McNair?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you see Michael McNair here in court?
A. Yeah.
Q. Can you point to him, please.
A. The man right there.
Q. Could you describe something he's wearing for me right now?
A. Dark blue shirt.

MR. ROGAN: Your Honor, could the record reflect the identification of the defendant?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. ROGAN :
Q. What position did Mr. McNair have?
A. Mechanic, I believe. He would check on the machine if like it would get messed up or like gallons in there would get like real stuffed in, so he would check the machine.
Q. Did you know Mr. McNair very well?
A. No. I just started working there and he just started getting the shift, I start getting the shift.
Q. So how often do you think you worked together?
A. I don't know, like couple hours, like two, three hours.
Q. That's it?

Is that a yes?
A. Yes. My bad.
Q. Sorry. You were nodding your head.
A. Yeah, I know, I know. I'm used to that.
Q. So two to three hours in total that you work at Unified Container is how long you worked with Mr. McNair?
A. Yeah, or sometimes four hours. sometimes you have to stay a little bit longer to check if the
machine would get like fucked up, because we're newbies, so we don't know much about the machine.
Q. Okay, I'm sorry. Bad question.

How many different shifts did you work with Mr. McNair?
A. Different shifts.
Q. How often did you work with him; every day, every other day?
A. Like every day.
Q. Did you talk to him at all while he was there?
A. Yeah.
Q. Were you friends?
A. I have to talk to him. No, we're not friends, but $I$ have to talk to him though. He's like my boss.
Q. He's like your boss?
A. Yeah, because Mike Purdy is my real boss, he's the main guy right there, old dude. That's my main boss, but since he leaves at 6 , he takes care of the machines.
Q. So Mike Purdy is the main boss, he leaves at 6 p.m.?

> Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And then Michael McNair, who works, I guess, part of your shift that you're there, he's your boss while Mr. Purdy is not around?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay, I got it, I got it.

So were you working on the overnight shift between September 14 and September 15 of this year?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Was Mr. McNair also working at least part of that shift?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Now, at some point did Mr. McNair come up to you and ask you to do something?
A. No.
Q. At some point did you have a conversation with Mr. McNair after which you go outside with him?
A. Yeah, because there was a guy that --
Q. Hold on. We'll get into that in just a minute, okay?

Do you remember what time of night
this was?
A. I would believe -- I think it was 10:00
or 9. I don't know.
Q. Sometime before midnight?
A. Yeah.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. What does Mr. McNair say to you?
A. He said there's a guy trying to disturb the workplace, so I go outside.
Q. Hold on one second. We're going to take it question by question.

So what are you doing at the time
that Mr. McNair comes inside?
A. Making the gallons, put them in a bag.
Q. What are you doing with those gallon
jugs?
A. Put them in a bag and then put them inside of a trailer.
Q. After Mr. McNair comes and says what he just said to you, what do you do?
A. I told my coworker that I'm about to go on my 10, to watch the machine, and then $I$ go outside.
Q. What is, I'm about to go on my 10 mean?
A. My 10 minute break.
Q. Do you go outside there with Mr. McNair?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have a conversation outside with Mr. MCNair about what's going?
A. Yes.
Q. What does he say to you, if anything?
A. He told me that the guy that was in front of the gate was causing problems, and told him, Mike, just call security.
Q. Hold on one second.

Did you see a man at the gate at the time that Mr. McNair was telling you this?
A. Yeah.
Q. Did you get a good look at him?
A. No.
Q. How far away from him were you?
A. I don't know, like 20, 19 feet. I don't know exactly how far it was.
Q. Don't guess. That's fine. Thank you. Mr. McNair tells you about the disturbance. What specifically does he say took place?
A. Huh?
Q. What specifically does he say is the problem?

MR. PIKE: Objection, your Honor;
hearsay.
THE COURT: There's an objection for hearsay.

MR. ROGAN: Defendant's statement.

THE COURT: Defendant's statement what?
MR. ROGAN: About what took place.
statement of a party opponent.
MR. PIKE: Well, it may be a statement of
a party opponent, but that doesn't mean it's an exception to the hearsay rule. If it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted therein, then that's a different thing, but it's not inculpatory and there's not an exception that has been brought before the court.

THE COURT: Is it offered for the truth of the matter asserted in it?

MR. ROGAN: I don't know what he's going to say, your Honor. I'm asking him. It's a statement of a party opponent, which is a hearsay exception.

THE COURT: Well, doesn't it have to be incriminatory or inculpatory in nature though?

MR. ROGAN: I don't believe so. It's just a statement of a party opponent. A statement against interest would certainly have to be
inculpatory.

THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. I don't know if $I$ 'm going to take it for the truth of the matter. Let's see where it goes. BY MR. ROGAN:
Q. So you go outside, Mr. McNair says to you something about an altercation. I asked you -- I'm sorry, something going on.

I asked you if you could see that man, and you said no?
A. $\quad$ Mm-hmm.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What does Mr. McNair say to you after that, if anything?
A. We walk up to the gate.
Q. Why did you do that?
A. Because he was in front of the gate, so we're asking them to leave.
Q. Asking them?
A. Asking the guy that was causing trouble to leave.
Q. Was there only one person or more than one person?
A. I saw one person, but there's multiple
homeless people on that sidewalk.
Q. I understand.

The person that you were directing your attention to at that time, was there just one person?
A. Yeah.
Q. So when you're talking to this person, was he on the other side of the fence from you at that time?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. At some point do you and Mr. McNair go around on the other side of the fence; in other words, on the same side of the fence that that man is standing on?
A. Well, I told Mike to open the gate to see if this guy really wants to fight me because he kept on talking shit. So he opens the gate for me. I walk up halfway there. He already hit the corner of the whatever, stop sign, that street, and I walked back because $I$ seen security coming.
Q. We're going to take that bit by bit, all right?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. So when you go up and you're first initially speaking through the fence, are you saying
anything to this man on the other side of the gate?
A. No.
Q. Is he saying anything to you?
A. Yeah.
Q. What is he saying?
A. He's saying, you want a problem?
Q. Okay. And so at that point, is that when you asked Mr. McNair to open the gate so that you can get through?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And does only Mr. McNair have access to unlock this gate that you wanted to go through?
A. Yeah.
Q. Why is that?
A. Because he moved the trailer when it was full. When it's full of gallons, because we fill up the trailer full of gallons. When it's time to move it, he moves the trailer. So, yeah, he has access to that gate.
Q. Is it a locked gate with a key of some kind?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you have a key yourself?
A. No.
Q. Does Mr. McNair have a key?
A. Yes.
Q. Does Mr. McNair unlock the gate for you?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that yes?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And do you go out into the street at this point?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes, sir. My bad.
Q. That's okay.

What about Mr. McNair, does he go
out into the street with you?
A. No. He stays back and waits for security.
Q. What was your intent in going out into the street?
A. Beating his ass.
Q. The man that you were speaking to?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you ever make contact with the
man when you were on the other side of the gate?
A. No, sir. If there was, it would be contact. There would have been police there because he would have been out.
Q. Where did -- did you see where that man went?
A. No.
Q. Was he --
A. I saw him walk away, but I didn't see where he went.
Q. Did he walk towards Las Vegas Boulevard?
A. I don't know what street he's at, but he walked toward passing the graveyard.

MR. ROGAN: Your Honor, may I approach your clerk?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. PIKE: For the record, I've had an opportunity to review the exhibit. It's an overhead view of the area from Google Maps, I assume.

MR. ROGAN: Do you have any objection?
MR. PIKE: No objection.
THE COURT: What's it marked as?

MR. ROGAN: It's marked as State's Proposed 4. I move for its admission now.

THE COURT: 4 will be admitted. Thank
you.
(State's Exhibit 4 admitted into evidence.)

MR. ROGAN: May I approach the witness, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.
MS. BLUTH: Your Honor, is there a way to take the video off or is that just going to mess things up while we're --

THE COURT: I don't know. It's just on still.

MS. BLUTH: I need to ask him something. THE COURT: I don't know.

MS. BLUTH: I'm not going to mess with
it.
MR. ROGAN: Court's indulgence.
BY MR. ROGAN:
Q. You said you worked at the Container --
A. Unified Container.
Q. -- Unified Container for how long?
A. For like a month.
Q. Do you see Unified Container or where

```
it's located in Exhibit 4?
```

A. Right here.
Q. So you're pointing now to --
A. The Flavors Market.
Q. Flavors Market.

Can you just mark that spot with UC, please.

Now, you said that there was a gate where the man was standing on the other side from you.

Can you please mark, using Exhibit 4, where that man was initially standing with an $X$, please?

Okay. And is that the gate that you and Mr. McNair eventually left out of?
A. I left out of.
Q. You left out of. I'm sorry, my mistake.

In which direction did the man that was standing on other side of the gate, which direction did he walk?
A. This way.
Q. Is that -- you just made an indication.

Can you just draw an arrow for me?
A. Yeah.
Q. You've just drawn an arrow towards the direction of what is marked on this map as North

Las Vegas Boulevard, correct?

Is that a yes?
A. Yes. My bad.
Q. Did you follow him?
A. Yeah, I followed him all the way up to half way there. I don't know where -- I guess where the light post was. Then $I$ saw security coming so I just went back to work.
Q. Can you mark where you stopped with an $S$ ? THE COURT: Will these help? There's a red or if you want to use a different color. These are fatter.

BY MR. ROGAN :
Q. Just put an $S$ where you stopped or whereabouts.

Okay. From where you stopped did you see where that man went to?
A. I just told you he went this way. I don't know where, North Las Vegas Boulevard.
Q. You're making an indication with your hand drawing upwards; is that correct?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And based upon this map, that would be in
the north direction?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you say anything to the man when you were essentially following him?
A. Nope.
Q. Did he say anything to you?
A. No. Soon as he seen me walk out the gate, he started walking off.
Q. What did you do after that?
A. I went back into the facility where I worked in.
Q. To go back doing your job?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. What were you wearing at the time; do you remember?
A. Black shirt.
Q. Do you have any particular clothing that you had to wear for your shift, like a work uniform or anything?
A. Yeah, but $I$ haven't got a uniform yet because I haven't been working there for that long.
Q. So what kind of uniform did other people wear?
A. Other people would wear blue and -- I don't know, light blue shirt. Like a light blue shirt.
Q. Did it have a name tag on it?
A. It had a name tag, Unified Container, or other people would wear a burgundy shirt too.
Q. Did you have to wear any protective gear or safety gear?
A. A hairnet, that's all.
Q. You said you went back inside to continue working, right?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes. My bad.
Q. Do you know where Mr. McNair went after you went back inside?
A. No, sir.
Q. Did you see Mr. McNair again that evening?
A. No, sir, until the cops showed up.
Q. Prior to the cops arriving, did you have any conversation with Mr. McNair?
A. No, sir.
Q. At any point did Mr. MCNair show you anything?
A. When he walked in he showed me a gun.
Q. Was that before or after the cops arrived?
A. That was before the cops arrived.
Q.

So you did actually see him again before the cops arrived?
A. Yeah.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. So what were you doing at the time that you saw Mr. McNair again, if you remember?
A. Making gallons.
Q. What, if anything, did Mr. McNair say to you when he came in and you saw him that second time? MR. PIKE: Objection; hearsay. MR. ROGAN: It's a statement of the party opponent, inculpatory.

THE COURT: I think that's probably going to be allowed. Overruled. BY MS. BLUTH:
Q. What, if anything, did he say to you?
A. Like what he said to me when he walked in?
Q. Yes.
A. He said -- he told me how the machines
are running, because he's a mechanic, so he has to know how the machines are going.
Q. A moment ago you said that he showed you a gun?
A. Yeah.
Q.

Can you talk about your conversation with him at that point?
A. Yeah, when he walked in he showed me the gun. I told him if that was the gun he was trying to sell me, because I've been trying to buy a gun prior to that week, because $I$ had some trouble going on around my house, and $I$ want to make sure I'm protected.
Q. So he just showed you a gun?
A. Yeah.
Q. Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And you initiated a conversation with him?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it unusual that he was showing you a gun in the workplace?
A. No.
Q. That's not unusual to you?
A. No.
Q. Did he say anything to you as he displayed this gun?
A. No.
Q. Do you know where he went after he showed you that gun and you had this conversation with him about it?
A. Back to work, I guess, like I did.
Q. Did you see him later that evening prior to the cops coming?
A. No.
Q. When's the next time that you saw him?
A. When $I$ went outside and the parking lot was full of cops.

MR. ROGAN: Court's indulgence. BY MR. ROGAN:
Q. What kind of -- a moment ago you described that employees that had been there longer than you, $I$ presume, were working at Unified Container, had a particular work shirt that was blue in color.

When you were out on the street
with -- I'm sorry, in the parking lot with
Mr. McNair, was he wearing that blue shirt?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that yes?
A. Yes. My bad.
Q. At some point did you see him in another shirt?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever see him wearing any other colored shirt other than that blue shirt?
A. No, sir.
Q. Do you know whether Mr. McNair ever changed his clothes?
A. No, sir.
Q. Do you remember speaking to the police this night that all of this took place?
A. Honestly, $I$ don't remember half of that, because $I$ was half asleep, and $I$ still haven't eaten, so the cops would have had me out there for five hours with no food or nothing.
Q. Did you have a conversation with the police officer?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. During that conversation, did you ever indicate to the police officer or talk about the defendant's clothing with the police officer?
A. No, sir.
Q. You don't remember that?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Hmm}-\mathrm{mm}$.
Q. Is that a no?
A. No, sir.
Q. Would taking a look at a recording or a transcript of your recorded statement help you to remember?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. No, I'm saying, yeah. You're telling me remember what? What are you talking about?
Q. I'm asking you whether taking a look at a transcript of a recording that took place during that conversation would help you to remember the content of your conversation with the defendant?
A. Oh, yeah, yeah.

MR. ROGAN: May I approach the witness, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. ROGAN: Page 26.
MR. PIKE: Thank you.
BY MR. ROGAN:
Q. All right. I'm going to show you page 26 of this statement. I want you to read it silently, and when you're done, just let me know.
A. What's highlighted?
Q. No, the entire page.
A. Oh, the entire page.
Q. Yes.

I'm also going to show him the top of page 27 too.
A. Yeah, I do remember now.
Q. Do you remember now?
A. Yeah.
Q. I won't show him page 27.

All right. Do you remember having
a conversation with the detectives about the clothing that Mr. MCNair was wearing?
A. Yeah.
Q. I asked you whether you ever saw him wearing a different colored shirt, remember?
A. Yes.
Q. What color shirt do you remember him wearing at some point that night?
A. Burgundy.
Q. Was this after he had been wearing that blue shirt with you out in the parking lot of Unified Container?
A. I think it was before.
Q. Do you know?
A. I don't know for sure because, like I said, that day was blurred.

MR. ROGAN: Court's indulgence.
BY MR. ROGAN:
Q. Do you remember at the time that you were with Mr. McNair, prior to going out into the street, do you remember whether Mr. McNair ever made any phone calls?
A. No, sir.
Q. You don't remember?
A. No, sir.
Q. I want you to take a look to your left there. Do you see what's displayed on your screen, sir?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes. My bad.
Q. And, for the record, this is state's Exhibit 3.
(Video playing)

Can you describe what we're seeing here?
A. The regrind, stairs, a ramp.
Q. Is this the exterior of the building that Unified Container used?
A. Yes, sir. That's where the trailer is where we put the gallons in it.
Q. Can you actually circle the grinder machine for me, please?

Do you see also in this depiction, do you see the gate that the trailer went in and out of, the gate that Mr. McNair had the key to?
A. Yeah.
Q. Can you circle that for me, please?

MR. PIKE: For the record though, it's in the upper right-hand corner.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you very much.

I'm going to play a little bit of this video, all right?
(Video playing)

MS. BLUTH: For the record, I started it at 12:16:15, which is in the upper right-hand corner.

THE COURT: I don't see that on mine.
MS. BLUTH: In the very upper right.
THE COURT: I can't see it on mine.

MR. ROGAN: For the record, I don't think we've stipulated to the actual time being accurate at this point. It's just for the purposes of
referencing what's going on at what particular time. THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. ROGAN: I'm going to back it up just a moment.
(Video playing)

I'm stopping the video at

12:16:30.
BY MR. ROGAN :
Q. On your video screen do you actually see a truck that's just come into view?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes, sir. My bad.
Q. Do you know whose truck that is?
A. No. Looks like a black truck.
Q. Do you know what kind of vehicle Mr. McNair drove?
A. Yeah, a Dodge.
Q. What color?
A. Black. Black Dodge.
(Video playing)
Q. Now at 12:16 and 45, did a person just appear on your video screen?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Who is that person?
A. It looks like Michael.
Q. Is he wearing that blue shirt you previously described?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Where is he going?
A. It looks like inside of the facility.
Q. We're going to fast forward a bit to

12:18?

MS. BLUTH: 12:17:13.

MR. ROGAN: I'm sorry, 12:17:13.
(Video playing)

BY MR. ROGAN :
Q. Do you see two individuals in the screen now?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Who are they?
A. That's me and Michael.
Q. Can you circle it for us, please?

Thanks.

It looks like you're standing by what you previously described as the grinder machine, correct?
A. Yeah.

MR. PIKE: Before we move forward, can $I$ ask a question for continuity's sake?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. PIKE: In that photograph are you wearing the headgear and beard --

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm wearing my hairnet.

MR. PIKE: Okay. Thank you.
(Video playing)

BY MR. ROGAN :
Q. So based upon what you see, are you standing in the view that's closest to us; in other words, are you closer to the video camera than Mr. MCNair is?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What are you guys talking about at this point?
A. $\quad H^{\prime}$ s pointing the gun that was causing the disturbance.
Q. Is the guy, do you see him when you're standing there?
A. Yeah.
Q. Is he standing on -- you previously said he was standing on the other side of the gate. Is he depicted here?
A. No. You can't see him. I saw him like from where I'm standing I could see him.
Q. But he's not actually standing behind the gate as you previously described, is he?
A. Yeah, he was behind the gate.
Q. Was he behind gate or was he behind the fence?
A. Behind the fence.
Q. Just to be clear. So he's behind the fence, right?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes, sir. My bad.
(Video playing)
Q. Do you see Mr. McNair now?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What does he appear to be doing to you at

12:17, almost 12:18?
A. Telling me about the guy behind the fence.
Q. Let's back it up.
(Video playing)

Please watch Mr. McNair closely.
(Video playing)

Where is his left hand?
A. On a phone.
Q. Do you know who he's talking to?
A. Yeah.
Q. Who's he talking to?
A. The security guard.
Q. What is he telling the guard, if
anything?
A. That there's a guy out here causing a disturbance.
Q. Where are you going to now at 12:18 and nearly 10 seconds?
A. Near the fence.
Q. Is that the gate itself?
A. Yeah, the gate itself.
(Video playing)

12:18:45. Are you now out of view
of the video camera?
A. No.
(Video playing)

Where are you?
A. I'm more towards the fence.
Q. We can't see you in this video, right?

Are you still on the inside of the
gate?
A. Yes.
Q. Inside of the fence?
A. Yes.
Q. Where were you two going?
A. To the other side of the gate. I was walking that direction. We were walking that direction because he was walking away.
(Video playing)
Q. Now, at 12:19 and 16 seconds, you're walking towards the gate again?
A. Yes.
(Video playing)

What is Mr. McNair doing now?
A. Opening the gate for me.
Q. Did you explain to him what you intended to do?
A. Yeah.
Q. What did you tell him?
A. I was going to beat his ass.
(Video playing)
Q. At 12:19 and 38 seconds, where are you now as depicted in state's Exhibit 3?
A. On the street.
Q. On the other side of the gate, right?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Where is Mr. McNair?
A. On the other side of the gate.
Q. Is he in front of you, walking in front of you?
A. I can't really see because the camera's not --
Q. Do you want me to back it up for you?
A. Yeah, because I can't see shit.

> (Video playing)

At $12: 19$ and 20 seconds, is Mr. MCNair in front of you standing by the gate controls?
A. Yeah. He's opening the gate.
Q. $\quad$ 12:19:34 seconds.
(Video playing)
gate?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Who goes out first?
A. Michael.
Q. Mr. McNair?
A. Yeah.
Q. Fair to say he's walking maybe 15,

20 feet ahead of you?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you're walking in the street?
A. On the sidewalk going to the street, yeah.
Q. What about him, where is he walking?
A. He's on the street too.

MR. ROGAN: Your Honor, for the record, the video that we've just seen is labeled on this disk as view 7. We're now moving, same exhibit, view 8.
(Video playing)

BY MR. ROGAN :
Q. $\quad$ Sir, I'm showing you now what's view 8 on the same exhibit.

Do you recognize the video angle
that we're seeing here?
A. Yeah, it's one of the cameras.
Q. Do you know which direction its facing?
A. Right.
Q. So towards Las Vegas Boulevard?
A. Yeah. Yes, sir.
Q. We're going to jump ahead to 12:16 and 30 seconds.
(Video playing)

A moment ago I asked you
whether -- what kind of vehicle Mr. McNair drove and you said a black Dodge.

Do you see that black Dodge
depicted now?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. That's at $12: 18$ and 36 seconds.
(Video playing)

Who just got out of that black Dodge?
A. Michael.
Q. Mr. MCNair?
A. Yeah, Mr. McNair.

car?
A. The key for the gate.
(Video playing)

Is there an individual walking down the street towards Las Vegas Boulevard?
A. Yeah.
Q. Who's that person?
A. That's the guy that was talking shit.
Q. That's at $12: 19$ and 15 seconds.
(Video playing)

Now, you're at a view right now of $12: 19$ and 34 seconds, right?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes, sir. My bad.
Q. Is this when you and Michael are going to the gate and exiting through the gate?
A. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Can you pause it for a
minute?
MR. ROGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Just for the record, I asked you to stop the video only because on our screens, on my clerk's -- I'm checking my clerk's, and on my screen, and $I$ don't know about the screen you're all looking at, the top left of my screen says courtroom 7 B .

So where I just saw the figure that the witness said it was the guy that was talking shit, $I$ can see him kind of walking through what I'm going to call the letters of Courtroom 7B, but $I$ can't see really what's going on, so I'm looking at the other screens.

MR. ROGAN: I can shift that screen, if you'd like.

THE COURT: This is totally unobstructed. Go ahead.
(Video playing)

BY MR. ROGAN:
Q. So, sir, I stopped it at 12:20 exactly. Do you see yourself again in the view of this video camera?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you see Mr. McNair?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Who's walking in front of you?
A. Mr. MCNair.
Q. Is there also a white truck that's
also --
A. That's the security guard.
Q. The security guard that patrols the area?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Is there more than one or just one security guard?
A. I believe there's just one.
Q. What about the man that you said was talking shit, where is he?
A. I can't see him. I think by that time he already left.
Q. He's already crossed --
A. He already crossed.
(Video playing)
Q.

12:20 and 20 seconds.
Are you now on the south side of
what is called Searles Avenue?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Heading towards Las Vegas Boulevard?
A. Yes, sir.
(Video playing)
Q. Are you standing on the corner now with Mr. McNair that's the southeast corner of searles and Las Vegas, Nevada?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What are you talking about?
A. Talking about the guy that he left.

Talking about how he left.
(Video playing)
Q. Now, at 12:21, what's going on, what are you and Mr. McNair doing now?
A. Walking back.
Q. Walking back toward your work?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that yes?
A. Yes, sir. My bad.
Q. We're going to switch to Exhibit 2, and this is the video clip that's marked $U 5$ on Exhibit 2.
(Video playing)

Looking at the video marked as U5,
what are we seeing here?
A. Me putting in work.
Q. I'm sorry, you what?
A. Me putting in work.
Q. You working?
A. Yeah.
Q. Are you inside Unified Container at this point?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Is this what you were describing earlier where you're loading up gallon jugs for delivery somewhere else?
A. No, putting inside the little thing right here where the trailer is.
Q. I'm sorry, putting it inside the plastic containers; is that what you mean?
A. No, putting the gallons inside the plastic bag and inside the trailer where $I$ marked it.
Q. Where is the trailer?
A. Right here. I marked it.
Q. Can you mark it for me?
A. I just did.
Q. Oh, I see it, yes. Sorry. We're going to fast forward this

```
    to 12:16:50.
```

                                    (Video playing)
                    Do you see yourself here again?
        A. Yes, sir.
            (Video playing)
            Q. What are you doing right now at 12:16:58?
            A. Going outside the door with Michael.
            Q. Mr. MCNair?
            A. Yes, sir.
            Q. We're going to move it now ahead to
                12:22:08.
                    (Video playing)
                    At 12:22:10, what's just happened?
            A. Me walking back into the work place.
            Q. Are you with Mr. McNair?
            A. No, I'm with my coworker, Ryan.
            Q. What's Ryan last name?
            A. I don't know.
            Q. Are you having a conversation with him
    right now?
A. Yeah.
Q. What are you telling him?
A. I'm telling him about the guy that was outside talking shit.
(Video playing)

You seem pretty animated, don't you?
A. Yeah, because $I$ was -- all that adrenaline rush for no reason.
Q. What do you mean?
A. I was ready to fight him and he walked away so I just had built-up adrenaline.
Q. We're going to fast forward to 12:26.
(Video playing)

Are you back to work now off your 10 minute break?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that a yes.
A. Yes, sir.
(Video playing)

12:26 and 44 seconds. Where are you?
A. I'm in the trailer, putting in the bags.
Q. Who has just come to the end of the trailer?
A. Michael.

> (Video playing)

Where are you two walking?
A. To the machine over here. It's called the -- I don't know what's it's called. It's called the unpacker, because this packs the jugs, the machine next to it, it unpacks them.
Q. Why did you walk with him towards the unpacker?
A. Because he was talking to me.
Q. About what?
A. About the machine, to see how it was running.

Backing it up.
(Video playing)
Q. $\quad$ Can you see at 12:26 and 43 seconds if Mr. McNair is carrying anything?
A. I just seen the video playing. Yeah.
Q. What's he got in his hand?
A. I don't know, looks like a gun.
Q. Is this when you were talking earlier, testifying earlier, talking about that gun; do you remember that?
A. Yeah.
Q. Now, when you were going forwards the unpacker, is that when you had the conversation about the firearm?
A. Yes, sir.

Q head to $12: 26$ and 26 seconds. Same exhibit.
(Video playing)

12:26:33. Is that when you enter into that trailer?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. What did you just do?
A. Punched the cardboard.
Q. Why did you do that?
A. Because $I$ was ready -- I told you I had adrenaline in my body and $I$ was ready to fight and $I$ didn't get to fight.
(Video playing)
Q. Now, 12:26 and it looks like 42 .

Did Mr. McNair -- is that when

Mr. McNair called you out of the trailer?
A. Yeah.
Q. Did you see the gun from this perspective?
A. Yes, you could see it.
(Video playing)

Before showing you the video, let me ask you this. Have you ever seen this video before?
A. No.
Q. Police didn't show it to you?
A. They didn't show me shit. They had me
outside waiting for five hours.
Q. Did I ever show it to you?
A. No. Till right now, yeah.
Q. So previously, before showing you all of these videos, you said that you were the only one who walked down the street to confront the bum, right?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes, sir. My bad.
Q. The video shows something different, doesn't it?
A. Yeah.
Q. Why did you originally testify that you were the only one to walk down the street?
A. Like I said, I don't remember that day. It was a blur.

MR. ROGAN: Court's indulgence.
Pass the witness.
THE COURT: All right. Before Mr. Pike cross-examines we're going to take about a three to five minute recess. Let's say five.

If you have to go to the bathroom, get a drink, don't talk to anybody about your testimony because you're in the middle of testimony.

If you just want to sit right
there. Literally, $I$ just got to talk to my JEA and somebody else might need to use the restroom, text or something.

> (After a recess the following proceedings were had.)

We're back on the record.
(Side bar discussion)

So I think while we were on a really brief recess, something happened. I think maybe a picture or video was taken of your surveillance.

Mark, can you tell me what you know?

THE BAILIFF: I just saw this gentleman take a picture of the screen, and he deleted the picture in front of me.

THE COURT: So after you asked him to delete it?

THE BAILIFF: Yeah, I asked him to delete it. He deleted it.

THE COURT: Okay. Which gentleman?

THE BAILIFF: The one in the red shirt. THE COURT: Obviously, I shouldn't say obviously, but there usually are signs. I can't attest to what's outside Judge Goodman's department, but there are signs that you can't videotape or take pictures in the courtroom without the court's permission.

Mr. Rogan.
MR. ROGAN: State's concern is obviously witness intimidation, your Honor. Whenever anyone is taking a photograph of any evidence that's displayed in the courtroom while the courtroom is open, it causes the State concern that there is going to be -that the picture or video is going to be utilized in a way that is inappropriate or illegal subsequent to the testimony.

So based upon that, your Honor, we're asking the courtroom to be closed because of the conduct displayed by this individual. I know there's two other men that are here also with the person who took the photograph, and $I$ certainly think that all three should be excused from the courtroom at this point.

THE COURT: Mr. Pike.

MR. PIKE: It is a public hearing.

Certainly, there are restrictions for any photography by statute. And, in fact, anybody who wants to take any photographs, they have to apply to the court and get permission prior to that time. So there is constructive knowledge that there are no photographs to be taken in any courtroom without the Judge's permission.

So as far as the removal of the one spectator that took the photograph, who as yet remains unidentified, that can be resolved by having him removed from the courtroom. The other individuals weren't involved in it, at least not the observations of the marshal, and so I request that they be allowed to remain in the courtroom.

THE COURT: Well, obviously, just for the record, I didn't see what happened because we took about a two minute recess, but I'm going to have the gentleman in the red shirt removed for violation of the rules. So he'll need to -- he can't stay, frankly. You can't stay.

As for the other two individuals, I'm going to allow them to stay. They haven't done anything that the Court has seen or heard about through my marshal or through my court staff.

I'm just going to give you this
reminder of caution, that you heard the District Attorney's motion to remove everybody, so, if you would, just keep your poker faces on, don't make facial expressions or anything like that, because that will give me cause to remove somebody else from the courtroom.

And $I$ don't know who this woman is but kind of came in at the very last moment, but same thing with her. Ma'am, it's an open courtroom. I see that you're observing. I don't know who you're here to see or what you're here to see, if anything, but if you could make sure you don't nod your head, shake your head, roll your eyes, anything like that that could be construed as nonverbal contact or communication with either any witnesses or the defendant, okay? Thank you.

All right. Cross-examination, Mr. Pike.

MR. PIKE: Thank you very much, your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. PIKE:
Q. Mr. Romero, at the time that you were working, you had the night shift, approximately how
many trailers were going out each night shift, if you can remember?
A. Two or three.
Q. And you were loading approximately how many gallons of containers into --
A. I don't know exact how many gallons.
Q. Roughly, looked like --
A. A lot.
Q. How many were in each one of those plastic bags that you load onto the trailer?
A. It would be like 16, 15.
Q. Now, as you went out you walked all the way up to Las Vegas Boulevard before you turned around and came back; is that correct?
A. $\quad$ Mm-hmm.
Q. When you walked out and came back from Las Vegas Boulevard, then Michael was with you?
A. $\quad$ Mm-hmm.
Q. Is that yes?
A. Yes, sir. My bad.
Q. And when you and Michael came back into the warehouse or construction area that you were working on, you went right back to work and Michael left where you were at?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Did you see where he went to?
A. No, sir.
Q. And when you were walking down searles towards Las Vegas Boulevard North, there was a white security truck that was kind of parallel to you, but inside of the fence; is that right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And that accurately described what you saw in the video that was just played?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. How many security guards were in that truck, if you know?
A. I believe there's only one.
Q. Do you know what his name is?
A. No, sir, I don't know his name.
Q. Do you know if he was wearing a maroon shirt?
A. I don't know what kind of shirt he was wearing. I didn't pay attention. I just saw the security guard and went back to work.
Q. The clothing that you would wear at work, was that clothing that you would have to launder yourself or was it clothing that was laundered by your employer?
A. $\quad$ I wouldn't know because $I$ wear my own
clothes to work. I haven't got no uniform. I don't know how the uniforms work.
Q. The people that were wearing uniforms, they wore either light blue shirts or --
A. Burgundy.
Q. Burgundy.

Was there different emblems on the burgundy shirt from the light blue one?
A. Yes.
Q. What was on the burgundy shirt, if you recall?
A. It just had a gold wheat.
Q. Golden wheat?
A. Yeah.
Q. And then on the blue shirts, what did that contain?
A. Unified Container.
Q. When you were talking to Mike and looking at the gun, was the gun that you were looking at, was it a revolver or was it --
A. I don't know about guns. I don't know what kind of gun was it. It was black.
Q. It was black, okay.

Did it have a cylinder kind of
like the guns you see in the westerns?
A. A cylinder? I don't know what kind of gun it was.
Q. Did you discuss what caliber it was?
A. No. We just discussed the price because I've been wanting to buy a gun all week.
Q. And you've been asking other people besides Michael if they had any guns that were for sale?
A. Yes, sir.

MR. PIKE: Nothing further. Thank you.
THE COURT: Any redirect on that?

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROGAN:
Q. Sir, who did you ask to buy a gun from?
A. Hmm?
Q. Who previously did you ask to buy a gun from?
A. No one.
Q. What stores did you go to?
A. I didn't check no stores.

MR. ROGAN: Court's indulgence.
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. ROGAN: State would like to reopen direct examination based upon about what happened
during the break for a few brief questions. THE COURT: Okay, I'll allow it.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

(Continued)
BY MR. ROGAN:
Q. Sir, do you remember when you first spoke with the detective at Unified Container, he implored you to tell the truth in your statement?
A. I don't remember much about that day, like $I$ said. I was running on two hours sleep and $I$ haven't eaten all day. They kept me outside like a dog waiting for five hours.
Q. As you said.

Now, do you remember discussing with him or him discussing with you the importance of telling the truth?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you remember discussing or telling him that you were concerned about testifying in court?
A. No, sir.
Q. Would it refresh your memory to take a look at a transcript of your statement from that evening?

Do you think it would help you to
remember what happened if you took a look at the transcript?
A. Remember what happened that day, yeah.

MR. ROGAN: May I approach with page 38?

May I please, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. ROGAN :
Q. I want you to start about a third of the way down, page 38, read it to yourself and tell me when you're done.

It says:
ANSWER: Because either way, if I did testify, I'd have to go to court.
so read from that point down to the bottom of the page to yourself.
A. No.
Q. No what?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Do you not remember the detective or you --
A. I remember me talking to a detective, but I don't know what $I$ said to him. I don't remember what $I$ said to him.
Q. You have no memory of what you said to him?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Hmm}-\mathrm{mm}$.
Q. Is that a no?
A. No, sir. My bad.
Q. So you don't remember ever saying --
A. I don't remember much about what happened that day or when $I$ was talking to the detective.
Q. Do you remember saying to the detective that, if I did testify, I would have to go to court?
A. No, sir.
Q. Do you remember saying to the detective or the detective asking, is that so bad?
A. No, sir.
Q. Do you remember saying, yeah, because this man, $I$ don't know this man very well, so $I$ don't know what he's capable of or what or who he know?
A. No, sir.

MR. ROGAN: Nothing further.
THE COURT: Any cross on that, Mr. Pike?
MR. PIKE: Not on that, but on the
questions that they asked on redirect.
THE COURT: Sure, no worries.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. PIKE:
Q. When you were at work there you saw those
cameras and you saw the video of that, and you knew that those cameras were all over the --
A. I know exactly where each camera is, yes.
Q. And so there was everything that you were going to do inside of there was going to be seen on camera?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you didn't think there was anything wrong with buying a gun from another -- somebody that worked with you or --
A. He's an ex-marine, so - and I know he has a gun license, so all $I$ have to do is buy the gun off him and get a license, because $I$ have a clean record. I don't have nothing on my record. I'm able to buy a gun.

MR. PIKE: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Anything on that?

MR. ROGAN: No.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, sir.

Appreciate your time today.
(Witness excused)

MS. BLUTH: The State calls Kenneth
saldana.

THE CLERK: Go ahead and have a seat.
State your first and last name and spell both for the record.

THE WITNESS: Kenneth Saldana, K-e-n-n-e-t-h, S-a-l-d-a-n-a.

THE COURT: That's a microphone in front of you. You're speaking pretty loud, but if you could just move a little closer, we'd appreciate it.

Everything we're saying is being
taken down by our court reporter, so it's kind of important not to interrupt each other or speak over each other, because she can only take down one person at a time, and to at least try to articulate your words.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
MS. BLUTH: May I proceed?
THE COURT: Yes.

MS. BLUTH: Thank you.

K E N N E TH S A L D A N A, having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. BLUTH:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Saldana. I have a few questions for you.

I would like to turn your
attention to september 14 of 2017.
During that time period where were you staying?
A. Homeless in front of Flavors ice cream.
Q. And there's an individual that we're going to talk about in a moment, and that's someone by the name of Gordon Phillips.

Now, on September 14 you didn't know Gordon by his name; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. But you would see him coming and going like in the mornings or night; is that fair?
A. Yes.
Q. And so would you refer to him as a friend or an acquaintance; how would you explain --
A. Acquaintance.
Q. An acquaintance. Okay.

So in a moment, $I$ know that you see some video in front of you, and in a moment I'm going to ask you some questions about that video, but before we get there $I$ have some kind of pre-questions, if that's okay with you, sir?
A. Okay.
Q. So can you give me an idea in the Las Vegas Valley where Flavors is located?
A. Between Foremaster and Washington on Las Vegas Boulevard.
Q. Here in Clark County, Las Vegas, Nevada?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, in the early evening somewhere around 9 at night, is something happening in the Flavors parking lot that's causing quite a bit of disruption in the area?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you explain what that is?
A. Loud music.
Q. Where was the loud music coming from?
A. A truck on property at Flavors.
Q. And so in the parking lot of Flavors?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you see, was it coming from an
automobile?
A. It was coming out of a vehicle, yes.
Q. What did that vehicle look like, if you know?
A. Burgundy truck.
Q. And was there an individual in the parking lot you could see that was in control of the truck, playing the music?
A. He was inside. The truck door was wide open.
Q. At some point did he get outside of the truck?
A. Yes.
Q. And could you explain to me, was he African American, White, Asian?
A. African American.
Q. And do you remember anything about his clothing?
A. Dark.
Q. And so you can hear this music from where you were located; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see the screen in front of you?
A. Yes.

MS. BLUTH: And, your Honor, for the
record, in front of the witness is state's Exhibit No. 3, and I'm on 008 , view 008 . BY MS. BLUTH:
Q. Can you -- that screen in front of you that you can actually mark on, could you show me where you were when this music kind of altercation is going on?
A. Right behind this tree right here.
Q. And are you on this street of Las Vegas Boulevard or are you on the further --
A. I'm on the farther side.
Q. Thank you so much.

That's the tree that's in front of the, $I$ don't know if that's a white Jeep or a white SUV, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.

So explain to me what you see
happen after that music's going on?
A. Gordon walked up to the truck, had words with the person inside the truck. They both started going back and forth, words were exchanged.
Q. Could you hear any of the words that were being said?
A. Not really.
Q. When you say not really, could you
hear --
A. I just heard yelling, this, that, and the other. I couldn't be specific to exactly what was said.
Q. Now, did Gordon ever cross over into the parking lot or did he stay on the other side of the fence?
A. On the other side of the fence.
Q. And so at some point did the two individuals stop yelling at each other?
A. When the person in the truck walked inside, when he walked away and went inside the building, Flavors.
Q. Did he ever get inside his truck at any point and drive off?
A. Yes.
Q. So before he goes inside, is that when he gets in his truck?
A. After he went inside then he came back out.
Q. And so when he comes back out does he have anybody with him?
A. Yes.
Q. What does that person look like?
A. I couldn't tell if he was Mexican, White, Black, but he was a worker through Flavors.
Q. Was he tall or short, skinny, fat?
A. Chunky, about 5-10, 5-11.
Q. And then tell me what you see those two individuals do.
A. They come off property off of flavors, come to the street sign right there on Las vegas Boulevard, did not cross the street, and went to get conversing with Gordon, yelling this, that, and the other back and forth.
Q. So by that time Gordon had walked back over --
A. Yes.
Q. -- across the street?
A. Where he was sleeping at, yes.
Q. And is that also on the other side of Las Vegas Boulevard?
A. Yes.
Q. How far is where you were staying and where Gordon's bedroll was?
A. From me to the officer right there.
Q. Is that like 20 feet, 25 feet? THE COURT: This isn't my courtroom so I don't know.

MS. BLUTH: I'll actually figure it out with just walking with my feet.

One, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, 10 , $11,12,13,14,15$.

THE COURT: And then probably another three or four to the actual witness because you started at the end of the witness box.

MS. BLUTH: Sure.

BY MS. BLUTH:
Q. Okay, so somewhere between 15 and 20 of my feet?
A. Yes.
Q. So that's how far where you're staying is from where Gordon's situated; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. When those two individuals, so the individual who started out with the loud music, and you believed the person he was with to be like a coworker; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do they ever cross Las Vegas Boulevard to go confront Gordon?
A. No.
Q. So do they just stand on the corner?
A. Yes.
Q. And can you hear what they're saying?
A. Just back and forth, cussing, shut up, this, that, and the other, wah, wah, wah, what are you going to do? What are you going to do?
Q. So everybody's just mouthing off?
A. Yes.
Q. At some point do those two individuals, the coworkers, walk back to Flavors?
A. Yes.
Q. What's the next thing you remember seeing?
A. Coworker that came outside of Flavors goes back in flavors. The other guy gets in his truck and leaves, comes back. He doesn't leave property, but he drives somewhere on property of Flavors. He drives back right in front of the white Jeep that's parked right there on the screen, parks his truck, then another truck shows up.
Q. What kind of truck is that?
A. That was a white Suburban.
Q. What happens then?
A. He gets out of the car. He gets out of his truck. They both come across the street. Worker said again. The guy that was in the white suburban truck starts hitting Gordon, punching him in his face
five, 10 times, steps back three feet, pulls a gun and shoots Gordon.
Q. So $I$ want to break it up a little bit, okay?
A. $\quad$ Mm-hmm.
Q. So the two original coworkers, they go back towards the building?
A. At first, yes.
Q. The individual whose truck it is --
A. The burgundy truck.
Q. He gets back into his vehicle and drives around the property?
A. Yes.
Q. You then see him again and a white

Suburban drives up?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there any damage to that Suburban?
A. Yes, on the passenger side.
Q. And, now, another person gets out of that Suburban, correct?
A. Out of the white truck, yes.
Q. Was that person African American, White,

Asian, Mexican?
A. African American.
Q. Was he shorter or taller than the driver
of the burgundy truck?
A. Shorter.
Q. Was he thin build or more stocky?
A. Thin.
Q. Now, the original coworker of the burgundy truck and this new person from the suburban, now they walk towards Gordon, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you're saying the person from the Suburban approaches Gordon and punches him five to 10 punches?
A. Yes.
Q. Approximately?
A. Yes.
Q. Does Gordon ever punch back?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever see the Gordon with any weapon?
A. No.
Q. After the punching you stated that the shorter of the men stepped back three feet and shoots Gordon?
A. Yes.
Q. How many times do you believe the gun was fired?
A. At least five times.
Q. What was the taller of the individual
doing?
A. Standing there, watching.
Q. What was the taller of the individual doing when the shorter one was punching him?
A. Watching.
Q. Was he yelling, was he talking, or just standing there?
A. Just standing there.
Q. Did he ever attempt to stop the shooter?
A. No.
Q. When the shooting happened, did the taller individual to you, did he look stunned or surprised?
A. No.
Q. What did the individuals then do?
A. Leave.
Q. Where did they walk?
A. Back to Flavors.
Q. And did they get in any vehicle or did one of them get in any vehicle?
A. One of them got in the vehicle, I believe. I'm not sure if both did or not.
Q. When this is going on, when you're
watching this, are you the same distance that $I$ counted out, is that how far you are?
A. No, I was closer.
Q. And why had you gotten closer?
A. Because I knew Gordon, and I knew something was going to happen. I was going to -- two guys are walking up on him. I was going to help him out just in case he need help, but he didn't look like he needed help. He was handling it himself, but he did not swing back, he just took the punches, and what happened next $I$ didn't expect to happen.
Q. You say you were closer when the actual punching and shooting took place. How much closer would you say?
A. About five feet away.
Q. Did you see the -- could you see the gun?
A. It happened really fast. I seen him when he started shooting.
Q. And, if you could, could you tell whether it was a revolver or a semiautomatic?
A. Like a Glock.
Q. So tell me then when Gordon's obviously shot, what do you do?
A. Turn around and went and told people to call the police and went and got security from the
project homeless, from the water shelter, so they can get on the phone and call the police.
Q. I'm going to play some video, and I'm still on the same exhibit, same view.

> So I'm going to start it at 12:00
and two seconds.
(Video playing)

And we can see in the top left part of the computer, do you see an individual in a blue shirt?
A. Yes, next to the security guard.
Q. And then that vehicle, that darker-colored vehicle that's behind the individual in the blue shirt, is that the vehicle you've been discussing that was playing the music?
A. Yes, the burgundy truck.
Q. I'm going to fast forward now to $12: 13$ and 44 seconds for the record.

> (Video playing)

Now, we see an individual coming up from -- up searles. Do you see that individual?


So they pulled behind there, just
yelling at each other?
A. Yes.
(Video playing)

Now, $I$ paused it at 12:15:17.

What's going on right now?
A. He's driving away. I don't know where he went. He comes back and that's when he just - - the first coworker from Flavors.
(Video playing)

Which way is Gordon walking?
A. He's still in the middle of the street, standing right there on searles.
Q. Now I'm going to fast forward to 12:16:30.
(Video playing)

Same view.
(Video playing)
I paused it at 12:16:31.
Is that the same vehicle that had now come back to the property?
A. Yes.
(Video playing)
Q. Now, the male that we see get out of the video, is that the same male in the blue shirt that was in the argument with Gordon beforehand?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see in the area that he's pointing?
A. Yeah, toward Gordon.
Q. That's where Gordon's located?
A. That's where Gordon's at at that time.
(Video playing)
Q. I'm going to fast forward it just a little bit.
(Video playing)

Now, at 12:18:41, is that the same
individual in the blue shirt?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, in the upper left-hand corner we see two people. There's one person that's inside the same area of the fence as the blue shirt.

Is that -- do you recognize that
individual?
A. That's his coworker he went and got.
Q. Then on the other side of the fence who's that?
A. I believe that's Gordon.
Q. And which direction is Gordon walking?
A. Walking back to where he sleeps at.
Q. Now, I'm going to - -
(Video playing)

Right now we're at 12:19:15.
Is Gordon still walking in the direction of his bedroll?
A. Yes.
(Video playing)

At 12:19:40, is Gordon at the

```
    cross street?
```

A. Yes.
(Video playing)
Q. Now, we see in the top left-hand corner two individuals walking towards Gordon?
A. Right.
Q. Are those -- is that the driver of the black vehicle and the coworker?
A. Yes.
(Video playing)
Q. Now, does the individual in the blue shirt and the coworker, do they ever cross the street at this point in time?
A. No.
Q. Is this where you were discussing that the screaming is going back and forth?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: Can you just pause it for a minute. I want to ask him a question. So right here, where are you? Are you over by that -- on the other side of the fence on

Las Vegas Boulevard by where that little white truck is way over there?

Where are you?

Go ahead and touch it.

THE WITNESS: I'm right behind this tree.

THE COURT: On Las Vegas Boulevard?

THE WITNESS: On Las Vegas Boulevard, yes.

THE COURT: So the same place you mentioned earlier?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

BY MS. BLUTH:
Q. I'm going to fast forward to 12:20:08.
(Video playing)

12: 21 : 02 .
(Video playing)

Now, those same two individuals are walking back towards the property, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now I'm going to fast forward to

12:22:39.
(Video playing)

Now we see a white vehicle pull up. Is that the white suburban you were discussing earlier?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that the damage on the passenger door you were discussing?
A. Yes.
Q. Now I'm going to move to view 8 .
(Video playing)

Now I'm going to view 7 .
12:22:32.
(Video playing)

So you see the two individuals
walking back into the property?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that the coworker going back in?
A. Yes.

Q. Do you see the individual in the blue shirt walking in front of him?
A. Yes.
Q. Which direction are they walking now?
A. Towards Las Vegas Boulevard.
Q. Now I'm going to go back to view 8.
(Video playing)

Now, I'm going to ask you to look up at Searles Avenue, walking towards the Boulevard in a moment.
(Video playing)

Do you see those two individuals?
A. Yes.
(Video playing)
Q. Now, we see the one in the blue shirt and then we see the individual, it looks like he's now
put his shirt on, and a black shirt?
A. Yes. They just crossed the street.
Q. Which one of those individuals is the shooter?
A. The smaller guy in the black shirt just put his shirt on.
(Video playing)
Q. The individuals are now crossing the street; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. With the one in the blue shirt in the lead?
A. Yes.
(Video playing)

So at what point are you getting closer to Gordon's bedroll?
A. When they were walking up towards him, before they cross Las Vegas Boulevard.
Q. These two individuals?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: Are you on the other side of Las Vegas Boulevard or this side?

THE WITNESS: I'm on the opposite side. BY MS. BLUTH:
Q. Same side as Gordon, right?
A. Yes.
(Video playing)
Q. Now we see two individuals running around the corner; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Would those be the same two individuals that you have been previously describing?
A. Yes.
Q. Where are they going to go?
A. Back on Flavors property.
Q. All right. So now the last time I'm going to switch back over to view 7 .

I'm going to fast forward to about

12:25:45.
(Video playing)

Q. I apologize. I said, who just closed the gate, and we're seeing this person walk towards us?
A. In the blue shirt that drove the burgundy truck.
Q. The guy who started or had been involved from the beginning?
A. Yes.

MS. BLUTH: Thank you so much, Mr. Saldana.

Pass the witness, your Honor.
THE COURT: Cross-examination by
Mr. Pike.
MR. PIKE: Court's indulgence.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. PIKE:
Q. I just have a few questions.

Now, sir, at the time that this
happened, it was after midnight?
A. Okay.
Q. Was it?

THE COURT: I think that's a question.
THE WITNESS: Yes. I don't know what time it was. I didn't have a watch on me. I wasn't looking at the time.

BY MR. PIKE:
Q. You just know it was late?
A. Yes.
Q. But it looked like the area there was pretty well-lit?
A. Yes.
Q. In particular the parking lot of flavors?
A. Yes.
Q. And so you were on the west side of Las Vegas Boulevard North, looking in towards the lights?
A. Yes.
Q. So you had a pretty good unobstructed view of and could identify the different people that were involved?
A. Yes.
Q. You saw a white truck come up and kind of follow the first two people that were walking?
A. Yes.
Q. And that truck, do you know what kind of truck that was?
A. I'd say GMC. The security truck that works on property.
Q. Did that have any markings that were on it, like a name or anything, or was it just a white

GMC truck?
A. Just a white truck.
Q. And the SUV that came around, that was just a white SUV?
A. The first truck? Which truck are you talking about?
Q. The first truck you saw that you said that was a white GMC?
A. Yes.
Q. And you saw that truck kind of pull all the way up to Las Vegas Boulevard North in the parking lot, wait there, and then come back around and follow the two individuals that were walking back through the gate into Flavors?
A. Yes.
Q. And you saw that and you remember seeing that?
A. Yes.
Q. On the night that you were observing all this, did you have anything to drink that night?
A. Nope.
Q. Consume any drugs?
A. Don't take them.
Q. Don't take them. Okay, good.

Had you been working that day?
A. Nope. Besides volunteering.
Q. You were there, you were watching what was going on, and the two people came back.

Of the two people that came back,
was one of them wearing anything peculiar on his head or on his beard?
A. His hairnet.
Q. Then when the second two came back, the white truck wasn't shadowing them at that time, was it?
A. No.

MS. BLUTH: I apologize - -

THE COURT: Yeah, which second two?

MS. BLUTH: Which white truck?

THE COURT: I think he's distinguished --

I think I'm using his words, that he described one as a security truck, and then he described one as a Suburban.

Isn't that what you said?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Didn't you call it a

Suburban?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: So let's distinguish them between, instead of just calling them white, let's
call it a security truck and a suburban.

MR. PIKE: Got it.

BY MR. PIKE:
Q. The truck, the white truck, not the Suburban, but the white truck, had gone around back behind where the lights were on the parking lot and had presumably parked somewhere else?
A. Okay. Yes.
Q. Did you see where it was parked?
A. No.
Q. You didn't see where the black truck or the burgundy truck was parked at that time either, did you?
A. No.
Q. Then you saw the first two people, the one with the net and the other person in the blue shirt, walk back, come back onto the property, and then the guy that was wearing the hairnet, he went back into the building, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, they both did?
A. Yes.
Q. Then an individual came out with a blue shirt and one came out with a burgundy shirt or dark shirt?
A. I did not see no one else come out. The guy in the blue shirt that went inside with the hairnet came out. The guy that owns the burgundy truck came out.
Q. And then was the same guy that came out with the hairnet, did that look like the same guy that came out the second time and came up on Gordon the second time?
A. No.
Q. The individual that -- the second individual, we had two people, one was wearing a blue shirt, and what was the other person wearing?
A. A dark-colored shirt.
Q. And they were the ones that walked towards them?
A. Yes, the one that got out of the white Suburban truck.
Q. And the individual that got out of the white suburban truck, you saw him get out.

Did you see him go into the building?
A. No. He did not go in the building. He got out of the truck and came across the street.
Q. Almost immediately?
A. Yes.
Q. Did the individual in the blue shirt meet up with him right there?
A. Yes.
Q. And the person with the dark shirt, he was the one carrying a gun, right?
A. The smaller guy, yes.
Q. The smaller guy.

All right. Now, back in the area
where you were at, was that a darker area and it didn't have any lights on that side?
A. No. A light right in front of me, and the light where Gordon's at too, street lights.
Q. So you were looking for a place that had lighting?
A. No, I wasn't looking for a place that had lighting. That's where $I$ ended sleeping at had light.
Q. Oh, it did?
A. I watched if they turn them off, but they didn't.

MR. PIKE: I don't have any further questions. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Redirect.

MS. BLUTH: Nothing, your Honor. Thank you .

THE COURT: Thank you very much.
Appreciate your time today.
(Witness excused)

Next witness.

MS. BLUTH: That was the last witness.
With the filing of the Amended,
the state would rest.

THE COURT: State has rested with the
filing of the Amended Criminal Complaint with language changes to count 1, and $I$ don't believe any changes to Count 2.

MS. BLUTH: Correct, your Honor.
THE COURT: So any witnesses or evidence on behalf of the defense?

MR. PIKE: No, your Honor. I've met with Mr. McNair and advised him of his right to testify or not testify at the time of the preliminary hearing, and upon advice of counsel, he will not be testifying at this time.

THE COURT: Argument by the State.
MS. BLUTH: Reserve for rebuttal.
THE COURT: Argument by the defense.

MR. PIKE: Your Honor, first of all, the
defense would argue against the first theory of criminal liability by directly committing the crime and believe there has been insufficient evidence to support that allegation.

As far as the remainder of the counts, the defense will argue that the state has failed to prove by preponderance -- excuse me, by even the slight or marginal evidence required at preliminary hearing that Mr. MCNair was involved in the homicide of Mr. Phillips.

THE COURT: Miss Bluth.

MS. BLUTH: In regards to the direct, so in regards to the theories of liability, for the direct theory, still believe it's appropriate because of Mr. - - and I apologize because I don't know if he was saying Rizzo or Razo.

THE COURT: Razo.

MS. BLUTH: Mr. Razo's testimony in regards to following the individual with the lighter-colored shirt, he thought that something was going to happen and that was the only individual he saw. Shortly after that he heard the gunshot. So I believe direct liability is proper.

In regards to the co-conspirator or the aiding and abetting theories, it's clear that
the defendant had this altercation with the victim. He then goes inside, gets his coworker. They go towards the victim and get into some altercation. It's clear he then is on the phone with someone. White Suburban pulls up. That individual who's driving the white Suburban, a short African American male, and the defendant then walked towards the victim. Pursuant to Ken Saldana's testimony the shorter of the two shoots the victim five times.

The male in the light blue shirt, which is the defendant, doesn't seem shocked, doesn't seem like anything that he didn't know was going to happen was going to happen. They both run away, and then the defendant within minutes walks in with the weapon, with a gun.

So I think that that clearly meets the slight or marginal evidentiary standard in regards to this case and in regards to the theories of criminal liability.

I think the case has a very strong case, if not for direct, between co-conspirator and aiding and abetting liability for the murder of the victim. Also, theories of liability are not matters for the preliminary hearing, just the elements of the
charge.
THE COURT: Well, Miss Bluth is correct in that based on Mr. Razo's testimony, I mean certainly Mr. Razo's testimony seems to be inconsistent in some fashions with Mr. saldana's testimony.

It's not surprising. I don't know that anybody was making things up. It's just what people perceive and what people recollect. But I do agree with Miss Bluth that based upon Mr. Razo's testimony and then based upon Mr. Saldana's testimony, that there has been slight or marginal evidence for any of the alternative theories to apply, and count 2 is depicted on the surveillance. Based upon the Complaint on file herein and the testimony adduced at the hearing today, $I$ believe there is slight or marginal evidence to believe that count 1 , murder with use of a deadly weapon under the various theories of liability as outlined in the Amended Criminal Complaint, and Count 2, carrying a concealed firearm or other deadly weapon, as $I$ saw in the surveillance, have both been committed, and that the named defendant herein, Michael McNair, committed said crimes.

I hereby order Mr. McNair to
answer to these charges in the Eighth Judicial District Court on the day my clerk gives you.

MR. PIKE: Before you give the date, the Court had indicated earlier that based -- after the presentation before your Honor at the time of the preliminary hearing, the Court may consider arguments regarding bail setting. I think that should be done before a District Court date.

THE COURT: You may be heard.
MR. PIKE: Thank you very much, your Honor.

The Court has had the opportunity now to hear the witnesses that are involved in this, number of employees, what was going on, how everything occurred, and based upon the alteration and addition regarding the theories of liability, it would be appropriate to set a bail at this time.

The witness, the one witness that was a percipient witness has identified somebody else other than the defendant as being the shooter, and the person that brought the gun, and the person that discharged the weapon.

Now, if there's other theories and the Court has felt that there's enough to bind it over for purposes of a trial, then the Court now has
within its grasp and canon knowledge whether or not the defendant is a threat to the community and whether or not there cannot be conditions that would ensure the protection of the community and ensure that he would appear.

And based upon those two aspects of it, house arrest would ensure that he would appear and would not be a threat to the community because he would be locked into the house. His family is here. His wife is present, has been here throughout the preliminary hearing. And, also, if the family's able to muster sufficient funds to post the bond, we believe that a bond in addition to that of 25 , $\$ 50,000$, would be appropriate in this case.

THE COURT: Miss Bluth.
So, Miss Bluth, before you
respond, just because -- not that you're going to make this argument, but $I$ just don't want to hear the argument. I've heard the argument.

MS. BLUTH: Tell me what argument you want to hear.

THE COURT: I'll tell you what $I$ don't want to hear. You know, you held him on no bail before, now nothing's changed, you found probable cause, because I've been saying ever since the
beginning of the case, $I$ want to hear the evidence, $I$ want to see the evidence.

I realize that you didn't probably give me all your evidence. I mean $I$ remember that, but $I$ did -- Mr. Pike especially coming in late, $I$ said, look, $I$ will entertain bail argument or any custody argument, what have you, after $I$ hear the evidence and see the evidence.

I did not have the benefit of the surveillance video when $I$ think Mr. Westbrook was involved and showed me some other - you both showed me some other things, but, certainly, you can address Mr. Pike's argument.

MS. BLUTH: Sure.

In regards to, $I$ think, I mean, obviously, we met the evidentiary standard, which your Honor already found. I think the issue here that we have, like $I$ discussed before, is we have to balance flight risk with safety of the community.

> His family has been here every step of the way. I don't have any reason to believe he would be a flight risk. I believe that they're locals. I don't know whether now you see all the evidence, so that changes like $I$ got to get out of here.

I think my bigger concern is safety of the community. That is because this defendant was on probation at the time, had a probation officer at the time. He has a probation hold right now. I think we go either this week or next week to discuss with the Judge what happened here, and we'll probably have this same type of argument.

But $I$ think we have the defendant with a gun on video. I'm going to respectfully disagree with Mr. Pike. We don't know who brought the gun. I don't think there was any evidence to show that the defendant already had the gun or that this individual in the white suburban brought the gun.
so $I$ don't think we can make the leap that it was the individual in the suburban, but what $I$ can tell you is that, obviously, his DNA and his fingerprints wouldn't be surprising if it's on the gun because he's on the video with the gun.

So we have an individual who's on probation, who was clearly getting agitated and in some way, $I$ don't want to use the term violent, because what the witnesses are saying is that he was more of the kind of the person getting the first
coworker there, now getting the second individual there and partaking in a murder.

I think that that is the safety to the community issue. I will, as an officer of the court, let your Honor know, and $I$ can bring in my investigator, is that several witnesses have refused the come to court because of fear of retaliation and threats that have been made towards them. And so it was very difficult to get these witnesses here, and I think that if the defendant were to be let out of custody, we would be in even deeper water in trying to get witnesses here.

I think there's a safety to the community standard and $I$ think that that's what this Court should consider. I think no bail is appropriate considering he was on probation and now he's charged and you've seen the evidence and I'll submit it with that.

THE COURT: The Court wants to weigh the weight of the evidence in making custody decisions, and before what $I$ knew when $I$ had decided to hold him on no bail was kind of all over the board, and may still be all over the board with the other witnesses that $I$ didn't hear from.

Because, if I recall, I reviewed,

I don't even remember what it was, $I$ think it was, Miss Bluth, your memo or something, but there were like eight or nine different witness statements and they were kind of all over the board and it was hard for the Court to track.

Despite what those eight or nine witnesses might say at trial, if you can get them, the surveillance does depict some information that the Court didn't have before, and Mr. Saldana's testimony, the court didn't have before either, and if the Court did, it probably did through your memo, but it was most likely had some inconsistencies with some of the other statements contained in your memo.

I do note that he, what we've discussed this before, that he was on a probation hold. We discussed before that even if $I$ were to release him under any conditions or if you were to be able to bail out, he was being held on that probation hold, but $I$ understand how Mr. Pike wants me to address the custody independent of the probation hold.

I think at this point, based upon what I've seen, I believe Mr. McNair is entitled to bail. I do believe that in looking, he's born here, on his TCR, he's 30 years old, born in Las Vegas. As

Miss Bluth said, she doesn't know if he has much of a flight risk.

I don't know if he has much of a flight risk; however, $I$ think inherent, no matter if the person is born here and has never left here, $I$ think there is some inherent flight risk when charged with $A$ felony offense of murder with use of a deadly weapon; certainly, in light of the penalties that come by way of a conviction.

So I do believe there is some flight risk, and I'm also looking at his pretrial service sheet, which shows 10 failure to appears. So, obviously, he's missed some court appearances in the past.

I'm going to set his bail at a hundred thousand dollars, and to address the safety of the community, which $I$ do believe, based upon his criminal record, and based upon what I've seen, needs to be addressed, because, obviously, bail at any amount, whether it be $\$ 2$ million, whether it be $\$ 2.00$, in the court's view, does not protect the community at all.

So if he's able to post the hundred thousand dollars bail to assure his future court appearance, and to basically track him down if
he were to flee, to protect the community, I'm adding a condition of house arrest.

So the only thing $I$ would say to you, Mr. Pike, and $I$ think $I$ may have said this to Mr. Westbrook, $I$ don't know if he will be able to come up with that bail money or not, but due to the nature of the charge, if he can come up with the bail or post the bond, $I$ would highly suggest to you and Mr. McNair and his family, that you, before posting it, you run this release on house arrest by house arrest, because what has happened in the past is they have posted a significant amount of bail and then house arrest refuses to release him for whatever reason they gave me at the time, and then you have an issue with the bond.

So if he can come up with the bond, which $I$ don't know if he can, $I$ would certainly, before you actually post that with the court, talk with house arrest and see if they will comply with Court's order that he be released on house arrest as a condition of the bond.

MR. PIKE: Thank you. I will, your
Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Here's a lower level date to enter the plea.


THE CLERK: October 25, 10 a.m. lower level arraignment $A$.
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| 12:00 [1] 106/5 | 43 [1] 78/5 | ```addressed [1] 136/19 adduced [1] 129/16 admission [2] 29/21 45/24 admitted [5] 2/21 30/5 30/8 45/25 46/4``` |
| 12:13 [1] 106/19 | 44 [2] 77/3 106/20 |  |
| 12:15:17 [1] 108/7 | 45 [4] 27/8 27/9 27/11 60/1 |  |
| 12:16 [2] 60/1 68/5 | 46 [2] 2/23 69/3 |  |
| 12:16:15 [1] 58/19 | 4:30 [2] 33/15 33/16 |  |
| 12:16:30 [2] 59/9 108/19 | 5 | adrenaline [3] $76 / 12$ $76 / 15$ $79 / 5$ <br> advice [1] $126 / 20$   |
| 12:16:31 [1] 109/1 |  |  |
| 12:16:50 [1] 75/1 | 5-10 [2] 21/8 99/4 | ```llll``` |
| 12:16:58 [1] 75/10 | $\text { 5-11 [1] } 99 / 4$ |  |
| 12:17 [1] 63/1 | 50 [2] 12/13 20/8 |  |
| 12:17:13 [2] 60/13 60/14 | 50 feet [1] $9 / 9$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|ccccc} 12: 18 & {[6]} & 60 / 12 & 63 / 1 & 63 / 22 \\ 68 / 16 & 69 / 3 & 69 / 10 \end{array}$ | 6 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { after }[27] & 3 / 23 & 14 / 8 & 14 / 20 & 15 / 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 14 & 17 / 25 & 25 / 12 & 25 / 15 & 29 / 7\end{array}$ |
| 12:18:41 [1] 109/25 | 6 p.m [1] 36/23 | 29/11 $37 / 17$ 38/16 41/14 49/9 |
| 12:18:45 [1] 64/5 | 7 | $\begin{array}{lllll} 50 / 15 & 51 / 2 & 53 / 4 & 56 / 19 & 81 / 5 \\ 81 / 21 & 97 / 19 & 98 / 20 & 103 / 20 & 119 / 19 \end{array}$ |
| 12:19 [5] 65/1 65/17 66/10 |  |  |
| 70/11 70/16 | 75 feet [2] 12/13 20/8 | 127/22 130/4 132/7 |
| 12:19:15 [1] 110/18 | 7:30 [1] 33/11 | afternoon [1] 94/7 <br> afterwards [1] 17/24 |
| 12:19:34 [1] 66/17 | 7B [2] 71/6 71/10 |  |
| 12:19:40 [1] 110/25 | 8 | $\begin{array}{\|lllll} \text { again }[12] & 14 / 6 & 14 / 9 & 26 / 14 \\ 26 / 20 & 50 / 18 & 51 / 5 & 51 / 11 & 65 / 2 \end{array}$ |
| 12:20 [2] 71/21 72/21 |  |  |
| 12:20:08 [1] 112/14 | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 8 & \text { p.m [2] } & 33 / 13 & 33 / 14 \end{array}$ | ```71/22 75/5 101/24 102/14 against [2] 40/25 127/1 agitated [2] 21/15 133/22 ago [5] 26/2 31/25 52/3 53/16 68/10 agree [1] 129/10 agreeing [1] 29/25``` |
| 12:21 [1] 73/15 | $82 \quad[3] \quad 1 / 24 \quad 138 / 7 \quad 140 / 5$ |  |
| 12:21:02 [1] 112/18 | 84 [1] 2/10 |  |
| 12:22:08 [1] 75/15 | 88 [1] 2/11 |  |
| 12:22:10 [1] 75/19 | 89 [1] 2/9 |  |
| 12:22:29 [1] 114/10 | 8:00 [1] 33/15 |  |
| 12:22:32 [1] 113/17 | 9 |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll}12: 22: 39 & \text { [1] } & 113 / 1 \\ 12: 25: 45 & \text { [1] } & 117 / 23\end{array}$ | 91 [1] 2/12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { agreeing }[1] \quad 29 / 25 \\ & \text { ahead [10] } \quad 5 / 11 \quad 30 / 14 \end{aligned} \text { 67/4 } 68 / 5$ |
|  | 94 [1] 2/15 | 69/10 71/16 75/14 93/1 112/4 |
| 79/10 | 9:30 [1] 1/18 | aiding [3] 4/12 127/25 128/23 |
| 12:26:33 [1] 78/23 | A |  |
| 12:45 [2] 28/13 29/5 |  | 8/25 12/15 14/18 14/19 17/13 |
| 13 [1] 100/4 | A-n-t-h-o-n-y [1] 5/15 | 17/23 26/7 26/21 26/22 27/1 |
| 14 [7] $6 / 3$ $6 / 23$ $7 / 7$ $37 / 7$ <br> $1 / 10$      | $\text { a.m [3] } 1 / 18 \quad 33 / 13 \quad 138 / 1$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}27 / 2 & 29 / 4 & 30 / 4 & 31 / 14 & 31 / 20\end{array}$ |
| 94/17 100/4 | abetting [2] 127/25 128/23 | $32 / 22$ 36/10 42/21 48/5 50/9 |
| 14th [1] 7/18 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cccc} \text { able [6] } & 32 / 13 & 92 / 14 & 131 / 11 \\ 135 / 18 & 136 / 23 & 137 / 5 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}54 / 12 & 55 / 21 & 56 / 9 & 58 / 14 & 71 / 4\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}15 & {[9]} & 25 / 18 & 26 / 8 & 27 / 5 & 37 / 7\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{llllll}76 / 11 & 80 / 4 & 80 / 19 & 82 / 22 & 84 / 17\end{array}$ |
| 67/3 70/11 85/11 100/4 100/10 | about [71] $3 / 16$ 6/2 6/22 $7 / 1$ | 85/12 88/5 89/12 92/2 92/12 |
| 16 [2] 65/1 85/11 |  | 117/20 121/10 121/19 125/8 |
| 17F16794 [2] 3/4 29/10 |  | 126/25 132/4 132/23 134/22 |
| 17F16794X [2] 1/9 139/5 | $\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 17 & 17 / 24 & 20 / 8 & 20 / 25 & 21 / 6\end{array}$ | 134/23 135/4 136/22 |
| 180 pounds [1] 21/11 | $21 / 8$ $21 / 9$ $25 / 2$ $25 / 7$ | $\begin{array}{\|llll} \text { allegation } & \text { [1] } & 127 / 4 & \\ \text { allow [3] } & 41 / 2 & 83 / 22 & 89 / 2 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{rllll} 19 \text { [5] } \\ 26 / 25 \end{array} \quad 2 / 6 \quad 18 / 15 \quad 26 / 20 \quad 26 / 23$ | ```27/17 27/20 27/20 36/2 38/18 38/21 39/3 39/19 40/6 41/7``` |  |


| A | 52/1 52/2 55/9 60/22 61/8 61/17 61/19 61/22 63/22 64/5 64/11 | $\begin{array}{\|lcc} \hline \text { Attorneys [1] } & 1 / 22 \\ \text { automobile [1] } & 96 / 1 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| allowed [2] 51/19 83/14 | 64/14 65/17 69/20 70/20 72/22 | autopsy [1] 4/3 |
| almost [2] 63/1 124/24 | 73/5 73/9 73/15 74/2 74/8 75/10 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Avenue } & {[3]} & 72 / 23 & 115 / 14 & 139 / 20\end{array}$ |
| already [8] 26/23 29/14 $42 / 18$ | 75/21 75/25 76/3 76/20 77/3 | aware [1] 4/18 |
| 72/15 72/16 72/17 132/17 133/13 | 77/12 82/3 82/5 82/20 83/1 83/5 | away [18] 9/23 11/15 11/21 |
| also [13] 4/6 10/21 22/23 37/10 | 97/9 97/10 101/3 101/4 105/1 | 12/12 16/18 20/6 21/19 23/5 |
| 56/3 58/5 72/4 72/5 82/20 99/17 | 105/2 105/7 111/9 111/24 111/24 | 25/13 25/15 39/15 45/9 64/22 |
| 128/24 131/11 136/11 | 112/3 112/23 115/7 116/10 | 76/15 98/13 105/15 108/9 128/14 |
| alteration [1] 130/15 | 116/19 117/3 117/18 121/5 | B |
| altercation [10] 8/17 16/25 | 128/24 130/13 133/24 | B |
| 17/2 17/4 24/18 24/22 41/7 97/6 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { area [18] } & \text { 9/10 10/4 } & 12 / 20 & 16 / 24\end{array}$ | [74] 3/20 6/3 11/22 12/5 |
| 128/1 128/3 | 17/7 20/5 21/1 21/24 24/2 45/19 | 13/3 14/23 15/6 15/8 17/22 |
| alternative [1] 129/13 | 72/7 85/22 95/17 109/12 110/5 | 20/13 21/17 23/13 23/18 24/18 |
| am [1] 140/2 | 120/4 125/8 125/9 | 42/20 44/16 48/8 49/10 49/12 |
| amend [1] 4/9 | argue [2] 127/1 127/6 | 50/10 50/16 53/7 59/3 63/4 66/5 |
| Amended [5] 4/19 5/2 126/8 | arguing [3] 10/13 23/24 25/7 | $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}73 / 17 & 73 / 18 & 75 / 20 & 76 / 20 & 81 / 8\end{array}$ |
| 126/11 129/20 | argument [30] $7 / 20$ 7/22 8/18 | 85/14 85/16 85/21 85/23 86/20 |
| American [10] 16/5 16/6 16/14 | 8/20 8/23 9/4 9/6 10/10 11/8 | 97/22 98/20 98/22 99/11 99/12 |
| 22/15 22/22 96/15 96/16 102/22 | 12/24 14/11 17/8 17/17 24/20 | 101/2 101/8 101/13 101/14 |
| 102/24 128/7 | 24/24 25/12 25/22 26/5 26/9 | 101/16 102/1 102/7 102/11 |
| Americans [1] 22/16 | 109/10 126/22 126/24 131/18 | 103/15 103/21 104/20 105/10 |
| amount [2] 136/20 137/12 | 131/19 131/19 131/20 132/6 | 107/15 108/10 109/3 110/13 |
| angle [1] 67/23 | 132/7 132/13 133/8 | 111/20 112/23 113/22 113/24 |
| animated [1] 76/9 | arguments [1] 130/6 | 115/9 117/19 117/21 118/4 |
| another [7] 22/10 28/9 54/2 | arise [1] 17/3 | 121/12 121/13 122/3 122/4 122/8 |
| 92/9 100/5 101/18 102/19 | around [16] 6/3 6/23 14/23 17/2 | 123/5 123/17 123/17 123/19 |
| answer [4] 32/12 32/13 90/12 | 17/25 37/3 42/12 52/12 85/14 | 125/8 |
| 130/1 | 95/15 102/12 105/24 117/12 | Backing [1] 78/1 |
| ANTHONY [3] $2 / 4 \mathrm{5} / 5 \mathrm{5} / 14$ | 121/3 121/12 123/5 | bad [17] 25/25 34/10 35/18 36/3 |
| any [50] 5/8 6/8 11/11 11/19 | arraignment [1] 138/2 | $44 / 12$ 48/3 50/14 54/1 57/15 |
| 12/17 17/2 17/3 18/8 19/24 | arrest [7] 131/7 137/2 137/10 | 59/15 62/19 70/19 73/21 80/9 |
| 21/12 21/13 22/2 22/2 24/13 | 137/11 137/13 137/19 137/21 | 85/20 91/3 91/11 |
| 27/25 33/5 45/20 49/19 50/7 | arrested [1] 19/20 | badge [2] 13/23 13/24 |
| 50/22 50/24 54/5 57/5 82/11 | arrived [3] 51/3 51/4 51/6 | bag [3] 38/11 38/14 74/19 |
| 83/1 83/3 83/6 84/15 88/7 88/11 | arriving [1] 50/21 | bags [2] 77/5 85/10 |
| 91/18 97/23 98/15 102/17 103/17 | arrow [2] 47/22 47/24 | bail [14] 130/7 130/17 131/23 |
| 104/21 104/22 120/24 121/22 | articulate [1] 93/13 | 132/6 134/15 134/22 135/18 |
| 125/10 125/21 126/12 126/15 |  | 135/24 136/15 136/19 136/24 |
| 129/13 132/6 132/21 133/12 | 20/12 22/11 23/5 23/11 23/24 | 137/6 137/7 137/12 |
| 135/17 136/19 139/23 | 23/24 25/3 27/14 29/18 31/3 | balance [1] 132/19 |
| anybody [8] 3/20 6/9 16/7 22/18 | 45/22 45/23 47/25 49/7 53/1 | bantering [1] 17/22 |
| 80/23 83/2 98/23 129/8 | 61/2 62/10 65/18 67/15 74/1 | bar [1] 81/10 |
| anyone [1] 82/10 | 83/8 83/8 83/9 83/21 84/14 | base [1] 23/22 |
| anything [38] 13/21 14/21 15/10 | 85/12 89/14 94/3 94/23 110/5 | based [16] 11/2 27/21 48/25 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}16 / 10 & 17 / 2 ~ 17 / 9 ~ 17 / 12 ~ 17 / 15 ~\end{array}$ | 117/7 122/16 122/17 127/5 127/5 | 61/17 82/17 88/25 129/3 129/10 |
|  | 129/19 129/22 130/20 134/4 | 129/11 129/15 130/4 130/15 |
| 21/14 39/5 41/15 43/1 $43 / 3$ 49/3 | 135/25 137/21 | 131/6 135/22 136/17 136/18 |
| 49/6 49/21 50/25 51/13 51/21 | Asian [2] 96/15 102/23 | basically [2] 7/4 136/25 |
| 53/1 63/19 78/6 83/23 84/4 | ask [15] 6/22 19/16 28/16 28/17 | bathroom [1] 80/22 |
| 84/11 84/13 92/8 92/17 96/17 | $33 / 7$ 37/14 46/14 61/6 79/21 | be [63] 3/19 3/23 4/11 5/7 7/17 |
| 120/25 121/20 122/5 128/13 | 88/15 88/17 95/4 111/23 115/13 | 13/11 16/5 17/2 17/9 18/16 20/2 |
| apologize [3] 119/1 122/12 | 118/1 | 20/17 20/24 22/23 28/11 28/12 |
| 127/15 | asked [11] 17/12 27/12 41/7 | 28/15 30/5 30/12 32/1 32/13 |
| appear [4] 60/2 62/25 131/5 | 41/9 43/8 56/13 68/10 71/1 | 40/8 40/21 40/25 45/2 45/25 |
| 131/7 | 81/21 81/23 91/20 | 48/25 51/19 62/15 62/25 82/13 |
| appearance [1] 136/25 | asking [8] $40 / 18$ 41/19 41/20 | 82/14 82/18 82/22 83/6 83/10 |
| appearances [2] 1/20 136/13 | 41/21 55/10 82/18 88/6 91/11 | 83/14 84/14 85/11 92/5 98/4 |
| appears [2] 18/16 136/12 | asleep [1] 54/14 | 100/18 117/15 126/20 129/4 |
| apply [2] 83/3 129/14 | aspects [1] 131/6 | 130/7 130/9 130/17 131/3 131/8 |
| appreciate [4] 28/4 92/20 93/8 | ass [2] 44/20 65/13 | 131/9 131/14 132/22 133/19 |
| 126/2 | asserted [2] 40/11 40/16 | 134/10 134/11 134/23 135/17 |
| approach [6] 4/16 18/11 45/14 | Assistant [1] 1/23 | 136/19 136/20 136/20 137/5 |
| 46/6 55/15 90/4 | assume [1] 45/19 | 137/20 |
| approaches [1] 103/10 | assumed [1] 11/3 | beard [2] 61/9 122/6 |
| appropriate [4] 127/14 130/17 | assure [1] 136/24 | beat [2] 20/19 65/13 |
| 131/14 134/16 | attempt [1] 104/11 | Beating [1] 44/20 |
| approximately [5] 20/6 20/23 | attention [4] 7/19 42/4 86/19 | because [54] 6/13 10/25 14/10 |
| 84/25 85/4 103/13 | 94/10 | 16/25 17/8 23/23 24/3 36/1 |
| are [68] $3 / 6$ 3/10 4/4 5/25 | attest [4] 82/4 138/4 139/6 | 36/18 37/18 41/18 42/16 42/20 |
| 19/21 29/2 29/12 31/7 31/17 | 140/2 | $43 / 17{ }^{4} / 1885 / 3$ 49/23 52/1 |
| 33/5 38/9 38/12 $42 / 25$ 48/12 | Attorney's [1] 84/2 | 52/10 52/11 54/14 56/24 64/22 |


| B | 16/15 17/11 $25 / 5 \quad 25 / 9 \quad 25 / 16$ | 85/16 85/21 98/20 101/12 121/3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| because... [31] 66/3 66/6 71/2 | 53/19 53/23 54/6 56/20 60/6 | $\begin{array}{lllll}122 / 3 & 122 / 4 & 122 / 8 & 123 / 23 & 123 / 24 \\ 124 / 3 & 124 / 4 & 124 / 5 & 124 / 7 & 124 / 7\end{array}$ |
| 76/11 77/15 77/19 79/4 80/24 | 87/4 87/8 87/15 106/12 106/16 | 124/23 |
| 82/18 83/16 84/4 86/25 88/4 | 107/8 109/9 110/1 110/5 111/15 | camera [5] 61/19 64/6 71/23 |
| 90/12 91/13 92/13 93/12 100/6 | 114/1 115/1 115/24 116/13 119/3 | 92/3 92/6 |
| 105/5 127/14 127/15 131/8 | 123/16 123/23 124/2 124/11 | camera's [1] 66/3 |
| 131/17 131/25 133/2 133/20 | 125/1 128/11 | cameras [3] 67/25 92/1 92/2 |
| 133/24 134/7 134/25 136/19 | blur [1] 80/16 | camping [3] 7/23 7/25 8/11 |
| 137/11 | blurred [1] 56/25 | campsite [2] 8/12 8/13 |
| bedroll [3] 99/21 110/20 116/20 | BLUTH [16] 1/21 2/15 3/6 3/11 | can [49] 3/19 3/19 3/20 5/3 |
| been [33] 3/14 5/6 5/19 7/12 | 3/21 4/22 29/12 29/16 94/6 | 5/16 7/24 13/19 18/2 18/18 |
| 21/10 21/23 $23 / 18$ 23/19 28/24 | 127/11 129/2 129/10 131/15 | 23/25 28/8 $30 / 20$ 34/19 $43 / 8$ |
| $31 / 140 / 13$ 45/3 45/4 49/23 | 131/16 135/2 136/1 | 47/5 47/10 47/22 48/9 52/6 |
| 52/10 53/17 56/19 88/5 88/6 | board [3] 134/22 134/23 135/4 | 57/21 58/3 58/9 60/24 61/5 |
| 94/1 106/16 114/14 117/16 | body [1] 79/5 | 70/23 71/9 71/13 74/22 78/5 |
| 118/19 119/5 121/25 127/3 | bond [6] 131/12 131/13 137/8 | 81/16 83/10 85/2 93/12 95/8 |
| 129/12 129/22 131/10 131/25 | 137/15 137/17 137/21 | 96/20 97/4 97/5 101/1 106/1 |
| 132/20 134/8 | born [3] 135/24 135/25 136/5 | 106/10 111/22 132/12 133/16 |
| before [39] $1 / 16$ 6/5 7/2 7/15 | boss [6] 36/16 36/17 36/18 | 133/18 134/5 135/7 137/7 137/16 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 15 & 18 / 24 & 21 / 24 & 22 / 7 & 24 / 10\end{array}$ | 36/20 36/22 37/3 | 137/17 |
| 29/20 31/22 37/25 40/14 51/2 | both [16] 5/13 12/5 20/9 21/3 | can't [13] 32/10 32/12 58/22 |
| 51/4 51/5 56/22 61/5 79/20 | 24/9 29/11 30/16 93/3 97/21 | 62/7 64/13 66/3 66/6 71/11 |
| 79/22 80/4 80/19 85/13 95/5 | 101/23 104/24 118/18 123/21 | $72 / 1482 / 3$ 82/5 83/19 83/20 |
| 98/18 116/22 $130 / 3$ 130/5 130/8 | 128/14 129/22 132/11 | cannot [1] 131/3 |
| 131/16 131/24 132/18 134/21 | bothering [2] 11/1 17/20 | canon [1] 131/1 |
| 135/9 135/10 135/15 135/16 | bottom [1] 90/15 | capable [1] 91/15 |
| 137/9 137/18 | Boulevard [32] 7/11 13/7 13/9 | car [7] 14/22 14/24 15/9 33/23 |
| beforehand [1] 109/10 |  | 33/24 70/1 101/22 |
| beginning [2] 119/6 132/1 | 34/6 45/11 48/1 48/19 68/3 70/7 | cardboard [1] 79/2 |
| begins [1] 6/6 | 72/25 85/13 85/17 86/4 95/11 | care [2] 19/7 36/20 |
| behalf [5] 3/7 3/8 29/12 29/13 | 97/10 99/9 99/18 100/21 112/1 | carrying [3] 78/6 125/5 129/21 |
| 126/16 | 112/6 112/7 115/8 115/14 116/22 | case [10] 1/1 1/9 24/6 105/8 |
| behind [19] 8/14 8/14 12/5 | 117/4 120/10 121/11 | 128/19 128/21 128/22 131/14 |
|  | box [1] 100/7 | 132/1 139/5 |
| $62 / 11$ 62/12 62/12 62/14 62/15 | brandish [1] 12/15 | caught [1] 7/18 |
| 63/2 97/8 106/15 108/1 112/5 | brandished [1] 23/14 | cause [3] 4/4 84/5 131/25 |
| 123/6 | brandishing [1] 23/17 | causes [1] 82/13 |
| being [9] $4 / 5$ 10/13 11/18 17/21 | break [6] 3/18 29/11 38/22 | causing [5] 39/7 41/21 61/24 |
| 58/24 93/9 97/24 130/20 135/18 | 76/21 89/1 102/3 | 63/20 95/16 |
| believe [30] 9/25 10/7 12/4 | brief [2] 81/13 89/1 | caution [1] 84/1 |
| 20/17 20/23 22/20 23/2 23/4 | bring [1] 134/5 | certainly [7] 40/25 82/21 83 |
| $32 / 2$ 35/4 37/23 40/23 72/11 | brought [5] 3/14 40/13 130/21 | 129/4 132/12 136/8 137/18 |
| 86/13 103/24 104/24 110/11 | 133/11 133/14 | Certified [1] 139/14 |
| 126/12 127/3 127/14 127/23 | build [1] 103/3 | certify [2] 139/16 140/2 |
| 129/17 129/18 131/13 132/21 | building [8] 8/15 57/24 69/6 | changed [2] 54/9 131/24 |
| 132/22 135/23 135/24 136/10 | 98/14 102/7 123/19 124/21 | changes [3] 126/12 126/13 |
| 136/17 | 124/22 | 132/24 |
| believed [2] 22/23 100/18 | built [1] 76/15 | charge [2] 129/1 137/7 |
| bench [2] 3/12 3/16 | built-up [1] 76/15 | charged [2] 134/17 136/6 |
| benefit [1] 132/9 | bum [1] 80/6 | charges [1] 130/1 |
| besides [2] 88/7 122/1 | burgundy [15] 50/6 56/18 87/5 | check [4] 35/4 35/7 35/25 88/21 |
| betting [1] 4/13 | 87/6 87/8 87/10 96/5 102/10 | checking [1] 71/3 |
| between [9] 7/20 8/20 20/7 26/9 | 103/1 103/6 106/18 119/3 123/12 | chest [1] 14/1 |
| 37/7 95/10 100/10 122/25 128/22 | 123/24 124/3 | Chunky [1] 99/4 |
| Bianca [5] 28/18 28/20 28/21 | businesslike [1] 13/24 | circle [3] 58/3 58/9 60/24 |
| 28/22 29/1 | buy [6] 52/10 88/5 88/15 88/17 | City [1] 34/12 |
| big [1] 20/23 | 92/12 92/15 | CLARK [6] 1/5 34/12 95/12 139/2 |
| bigger [1] 133/1 | buying [1] 92/9 | 139/12 139/15 |
| bind [1] 130/24 | C | clasped [2] 12/5 23/13 |
| bit [10] 26/8 27/4 35/25 42/21 |  | clean [1] 92/13 |
| 42/21 58/13 60/11 95/16 102/3 | C.C.R [3] $1 / 24$ 138/7 140/5 | clear [3] 62/15 127/25 128/4 |
| 109/21 | C327395 [1] 1/1 | clearly [3] 9/3 128/17 133/22 |
| black [16] 10/7 10/9 49/18 | caliber [1] 88/3 |  |
| 59/17 59/22 59/22 68/12 68/13 | call [8] 3/16 5/3 39/8 71/10 | clerk's [3] 5/2 71/3 71/3 |
| 68/20 87/22 87/23 99/2 111/10 | 105/25 106/2 122/21 123/1 | clip [1] 73/23 |
| 116/1 116/5 123/11 | called [5] 72/23 77/13 77/14 | clock [1] 19/6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { blade [5] } 12 / 6 \text { 12/8 } 12 / 9 \text { 20/22 } \\ & 20 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { 77/14 } 79 / 12 \\ \text { calling [1] } & 122 / 25 \end{array}$ |      <br> closed [3] $82 / 18$ $118 / 24$ $119 / 1$ <br> closely $[1]$ $63 / 8$   |
| blocks [1] 16/18 | calls [4] 5/5 6/11 57/6 92/24 | closer [9] 25/22 26/4 61/19 |
| blue [44] 13/20 13/20 14/6 | came [24] 14/23 15/8 22/19 | 93/8 105/3 105/4 105/12 105/13 |
| 14/17 14/21 15/8 16/3 16/6 | 22/22 24/10 51/14 84/8 85/14 | 116/20 |


| C | $\begin{array}{lllll} 53 / 5 & 54 / 17 & 54 / 20 & 55 / 12 & 55 / 13 \\ 56 / 10 & 75 / 25 & 78 / 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crossing [2] } \\ & \text { cussing [1] } \\ & \text { cus } \\ & 101 / 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| closest [6] 10/2 10/3 10/16 | conversing [1] 99/10 | dian [1] 29/23 |
| 10/18 10/19 61/18 | conviction [1] 136/9 | custody [10] 3/9 5/8 19/17 |
| clothes [2] 54/9 87/1 | convictions [1] 19/24 | 19/21 19/22 29/14 132/7 134/11 |
| clothing [8] 22/12 49/19 54/22 | cops [8] 50/20 50/21 51/2 51/4 | 134/20 135/20 |
| 56/10 86/21 86/22 86/23 96/18 | 51/6 53/9 53/13 54/15 | cylinder [2] 87/24 88/1 |
| Co [2] 127/24 128/22 | corner [9] 42/18 $58 / 11$ 58/19 | D |
| co-conspirator [2] 127/24 | 73/5 73/6 100/24 110/3 111/6 | D |
| 128/22 | 117/13 | dad [1] 33/21 |
| color [5] 10/6 48/11 53/20 | correct [24] 4/22 13/11 19/18 | damage [2] 102/17 113/9 |
| 56/16 59/21 | 23/20 30/2 $30 / 3$ 41/12 48/1 | dark [5] 34/23 96/19 123/24 |
| colored [7] 23/1 23/3 54/6 | 48/21 61/3 85/14 94/18 94/19 | 124/13 125/4 |
| 56/14 106/15 124/13 127/20 | 96/21 100/14 102/20 103/7 | dark-colored [1] 124/13 |
| come [21] 6/18 22/10 25/10 | 112/23 114/8 114/21 116/11 | darker [2] 106/15 125/9 |
| 29/23 30/1 37/13 59/12 77/6 | 123/19 126/14 129/2 | darker-colored [1] 106/15 |
| 99/7 99/8 101/23 109/3 120/17 | could [33] 4/15 10/11 11/14 | date [4] 31/24 130/3 130/8 |
| 121/12 123/17 124/1 134/7 136/9 | 12/6 12/9 16/3 17/3 20/16 20/22 | 137/25 |
| 137/6 137/7 137/16 | 21/3 21/12 $23 / 7$ 33/10 33/11 | day [11] $36 / 8$ 36/8 $36 / 9$ 56/25 |
| comes [5] 38/10 38/16 98/22 | 34/21 34/24 41/9 62/8 79/16 | 80/15 89/10 89/12 90/3 91/6 |
| 101/14 108/10 | 84/12 84/14 93/8 95/19 95/25 | 121/25 130/2 |
| coming [10] 4/19 42/20 48/7 | 96/7 96/14 97/5 97/23 98/1 | days [2] 7/14 18/6 |
| 53/9 94/20 95/21 95/25 96/2 | 105/16 105/19 105/19 120/14 | De [6] 1/24 138/6 138/7 139/14 |
| 106/24 132/5 | couldn't [2] 98/4 99/1 | 140/4 140/5 |
| commission [1] 4/13 | counsel [1] 126/20 | deadly [3] 129/18 129/21 136/7 |
| commit [1] 4/14 | Count [7] 4/7 4/15 | death [1] 4/5 |
| committed [2] 129/23 | 126/13 129/14 129/18 129/1 | deceased [1] 4/7 |
| committing [2] 4/12 127/2 | Count 1 [2] 4/15 126/12 | decided [1] 134/21 |
| communication [1] 84/15 | Count 2 [3] 126/13 129/14 | decisions [1] 134/20 |
| community [10] 131/2 131/4 | 129/21 | deeper [1] 134/11 |
| 131/8 132/19 133/2 134/4 134/14 | counted [2] 16/23 105/ | defendant [17] 1/11 1/23 34/25 |
| 136/17 136/22 137/1 | counts [1] 127/6 | 55/13 84/16 128/1 128/7 128/12 |
| company [1] 34/11 | COUNTY [7] 1/5 34/12 34/12 | 128/15 129/23 130/20 131/2 |
| Complaint [6] 4/10 4/19 5/3 | 95/12 139/2 139/12 139/15 | 133/3 133/9 133/13 134/10 139/8 |
| 126/11 129/15 129/20 | couple [8] 7/14 7/20 11/10 | defendant's [3] 40/4 40/5 54/22 |
| comply [1] 137/20 | 12/18 12/23 16/21 17/10 35/14 | Defender [1] 1/23 |
| computer [1] 106/ | court [35] 1/4 1/25 24/10 28/12 | defense [6] 23/20 29/25 126/16 |
| concealed [1] 129/21 | 28/16 34/17 40/14 83/3 83/23 | 126/24 127/1 127/6 |
| concern [3] 82/9 82/13 133/1 | 83/24 89/20 90/13 91/8 93/10 | delete [2] 81/22 81/23 |
| concerned [1] 89/20 | 130/2 130/4 130/6 130/8 130/12 | deleted [2] 81/19 81/24 |
| condition [2] 137/2 137/21 | 130/24 130/25 134/5 134/7 | delineated [1] 4/15 |
| conditions [2] 131/3 135/17 | 134/15 134/19 135/5 135/9 | delivery [1] 74/12 |
| conduct [1] 82/19 | 135/10 135/11 136/13 136/25 | department [1] 82/4 |
| confront [2] 80/6 100/ | 137/19 139/1 139/18 139/20 | depending [1] 33/11 |
| confrontation [1] 20/ | Court's [13] 16/12 25/19 26/17 | depends [1] 33/9 |
| consider [2] 130/6 134/15 | 28/1 46/18 53/14 57/1 80/17 | depict [1] 135/8 |
| considering [1] 134/16 | 82/6 88/22 119/13 136/21 137/20 | depicted [4] 62/6 65/18 68/14 |
| consistent [2] 22/24 23/19 | courtroom [15] 28/18 28/23 71/5 | 129/14 |
| conspiracy [1] 4/14 | 71/10 82/6 82/12 82/12 82/18 | depiction [1] 58/5 |
| conspirator [2] 127/24 128/22 | 82/22 83/6 83/11 83/14 84/6 | DEPT [1] 1/2 |
| construction [1] 85/22 | 84/9 99/24 | Deputy [1] 1/22 |
| constructive [1] 83/5 | coworker [13] 14/15 38/18 75/22 | describe [3] 13/19 34/21 57/21 |
| construed [1] 84/14 | 100/19 101/12 103/5 108/11 | described [11] 20/12 20/2 |
| Consume [1] 121/22 | 110/8 111/10 111/16 113/24 | 22/11 23/11 53/17 60/7 61/2 |
| contact [3] 44/25 45/3 84/14 | 128/2 134/1 | 62/10 86/8 122/16 122/17 |
| contain [2] 87/16 139/22 | coworkers [2] 101/8 102 | describing [3] 13/25 74/11 |
| contained [1] 135/13 | cream [1] 94/13 | 117/16 |
| Container [15] 31/21 34/3 34/4 | Crime [4] 4/12 4/13 4/14 127/2 | Despite [1] 135/6 |
| 35/22 46/20 46/21 46/22 46/24 | crimes [1] 129/24 | detective [7] 89/8 90/19 90/21 |
| 50/5 53/19 56/21 57/25 74/8 | criminal [10] 4/10 4/11 4/19 | 91/6 91/7 91/10 91/11 |
| 87/17 89/8 | 5/2 5/7 126/11 127/2 128/20 | detectives [1] 56/10 |
| containers [4] 32/6 32/23 74/17 | 129/20 136/18 | DIANA [1] 1/16 |
| 85/5 | cross [18] 2/6 2/10 2/16 19/9 | did [145] |
| Contains [1] 139/24 | 19/13 34/5 80/20 84/17 84/22 | didn't [27] 13/6 15/25 17/1 |
| content [1] 55/12 | 91/18 98/6 99/9 100/21 111/1 | 17/9 18/4 $32 / 14$ 45/9 79/6 $79 / 24$ |
| continue [1] 50/10 | 111/16 116/22 119/11 119/15 | 79/25 83/16 86/19 88/21 92/8 |
| Continued [1] 89/5 | Cross-Examination [9] 2/6 2/10 | 94/17 105/8 105/11 119/24 |
| continuity's [1] 61/6 | 2/16 19/9 19/13 84/17 84/22 | 122/21 123/11 125/10 125/20 |
| control [1] 96/7 | 119/11 119/15 | 128/13 132/3 134/24 135/9 |
| controls [1] 66/12 | cross-examines [1] 80/20 | 135/10 |
| conversation [15] 11/2 18/9 | crossed [4] 21/17 72/16 72/17 | different [10] 4/10 36/4 36/6 |
| 37/16 39/2 50/22 52/6 52/18 | 116/2 | 40/12 48/11 56/14 80/10 87/7 |


| D | $\begin{array}{ccccc} \text { doing [13] } & 27 / 15 & 38 / 9 & 38 / 12 \\ 49 / 12 & 51 / 10 & 62 / 25 & 65 / 7 & 69 / 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ended [4] } \\ & \text { 125/16 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| different... [2] 120/14 135/3 | 69/14 73/16 75/10 104/3 104/6 | enough [2] 21/2 130/24 |
| difficult [1] 134/9 | dollars [2] 136/16 136/24 | ensure [3] 131/4 131/4 131/7 |
| direct [12] 2/5 2/9 2/15 5/23 | domestic [1] 19/22 | enter [2] 78/23 137/25 |
| 31/5 88/25 89/4 94/5 127/12 | don't [83] 11/18 12/4 14/15 | entered [1] 69/11 |
| 127/14 127/23 128/22 | 17/7 $20 / 2$ 20/19 $22 / 20 \quad 26 / 12$ | entertain [1] 132/6 |
| directing [1] 42/3 | $\begin{array}{llllll}29 / 1 & 29 / 3 & 31 / 24 & 33 / 24 & 34 / 5\end{array}$ | entire [2] 55/25 56/1 |
| direction [10] 47/17 47/19 | $\begin{array}{llllll}35 / 14 & 36 / 2 & 37 / 24 & 39 / 16 & 39 / 16\end{array}$ | entitled [1] 135/23 |
| 47/25 49/1 64/21 64/22 68/1 | 39/18 $40 / 17$ 40/23 41/3 45/12 | especially [1] 132/5 |
| 110/12 110/20 115/7 | 46/12 $46 / 15$ 48/6 48/19 50/2 | essentially [1] 49/4 |
| directly [2] 4/12 127/2 | 54/13 54/24 56/24 57/8 58/20 | even [4] 127/8 134/11 135/1 |
| disagree [1] 133/11 | $\begin{array}{llllll}58 / 23 & 71 / 4 & 75 / 24 & 76 / 9 & 77 / 14\end{array}$ | 135/16 |
| disappeared [1] 15/6 | 78/9 80/15 80/23 84/3 84/7 | evening [7] 7/18 20/6 22/3 |
| discharged [1] 130/22 | 84/10 84/12 85/6 86/15 86/18 | 50/19 53/8 89/24 95/14 |
| discuss [3] 24/5 88/3 133/6 | 87/1 87/21 87/21 88/1 89/10 | events [1] 140/3 |
| discussed [4] 88/4 132/18 | 90/18 90/22 90/22 91/4 91/5 | eventually [1] 47/14 |
| 135/15 135/16 | 91/14 91/14 92/14 97/14 99/25 | ever [25] 11/19 12/14 15/3 |
| discussing [10] 29/20 89/15 | 108/9 119/23 121/23 121/24 | 21/16 21/23 22/1 22/5 23/10 |
| 89/16 89/19 106/17 111/19 113/6 | 125/21 126/12 127/15 129/7 | 44/25 54/5 54/8 54/20 56/13 |
| 113/10 114/15 118/19 | 131/18 131/22 132/21 132/23 | 57/5 79/21 80/2 91/4 98/6 98/15 |
| discussion [1] 81/10 | 133/11 133/12 133/16 133/23 | 100/21 103/15 103/17 104/11 |
| disk [1] 67/15 | 135/1 136/3 137/5 137/17 | 111/16 131/25 |
| displayed [5] 23/10 53/2 57/11 | done [7] $4 / 4$ 18/20 $18 / 21 \quad 55 / 23$ | every [4] $36 / 7 \quad 36 / 8 \quad 36 / 9 \quad 132 / 20$ |
| 82/11 82/19 | 83/22 90/10 130/7 | everybody [1] 84/2 |
| disruption [1] 95/17 | door [4] 69/5 75/11 96/9 113/10 | everybody's [1] 101/5 |
| distance [1] 105/1 | down [17] 4/19 6/14 18/1 $18 / 25$ | everything [4] 31/15 92/4 93/9 |
| distinctive [1] 13/21 | 26/10 31/15 32/13 70/7 80/6 | 130/15 |
| distinguish [1] 122/24 | 80/14 86/3 90/9 90/14 93/10 | evidence [18] $29 / 18$ 30/8 $46 / 4$ |
| distinguished [1] 122/15 | 93/12 107/16 136/25 | 82/11 126/15 127/3 127/8 129/13 |
| District [4] 1/22 84/1 130/2 | draw [1] 47/22 | 129/17 132/1 132/2 132/4 132/8 |
| 130/8 | drawing [1] 48/21 | 132/8 132/24 133/12 134/17 |
| disturb [1] 38/5 | drawn [1] 47/24 | 134/20 |
| disturbance [3] 39/20 61/25 | drink [2] 80/23 121/20 | evidentiary [2] 128/18 132/16 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} 63 / 21 \\ \text { DNA [1] } & 133 / 18 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { drive [1] } & 98 / 16 \\ \text { driver [2] } & 102 / 25\end{array}$ | $\text { \|ex [1] } 92 / 11$ |
| do [125] $3 / 13$ 6/8 $9 / 15$ 10/6 | driver's [3] 69/16 114/23 | exact [2] 31/24 85/6 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 8 & 10 / 15 & 10 / 24 & 11 / 8 & 12 / 22\end{array}$ | 118/10 | exactly [4] 39/17 71/21 92/3 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 21 & 15 / 9 & 15 / 24 & 16 / 22 & 17 / 3\end{array}$ | drives [5] 17/25 101/15 101/16 | 98/4 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}18 / 5 & 18 / 23 & 19 / 1 & 19 / 3 & 19 / 24 & 20 / 3\end{array}$ | 102/11 102/15 | examination [23] 2/5 2/6 2/7 |
| 21/9 25/10 25/11 26/7 26/13 | driving [2] 108/9 128/6 | 2/9 2/10 2/11 2/12 2/15 2/16 |
| 27/3 32/5 33/23 33/25 34/1 34/2 | drops [1] 33/21 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}5 / 23 & 19 / 9 & 19 / 13 & 24 / 16 & 31 / 5\end{array}$ |
| 34/6 34/11 $34 / 17$ 35/12 $37 / 14$ | drove [3] 59/19 68/11 119/3 | 84/17 84/22 88/13 88/25 89/4 |
| $37 / 21$ 38/17 $38 / 17$ 38/23 $39 / 2$ | drugs [1] 121/22 | 91/23 94/5 119/11 119/15 |
| 41/17 42/11 43/25 44/8 45/20 | dude [1] 36/19 | examines [1] 80/20 |
| 46/24 49/9 49/16 49/19 50/15 | due [1] 137/6 | exception [3] 40/10 40/13 40/20 |
| 53/4 54/8 54/11 56/5 56/6 56/9 | duly [3] 5/19 31/1 94/1 | exchanged [2] 97/22 107/17 |
| 56/16 56/23 57/3 57/5 57/11 | during [6] 22/9 54/20 55/11 | exclusion [1] 6/7 |
| 58/5 58/6 59/11 59/16 59/18 | 89/1 94/11 107/21 | excuse [1] 127/7 |
| $60 / 19 \text { 62/1 } 62 / 23 \quad 63 / 14 \quad 65 / 10$ <br> $66 / 567 / 2368 / 168 / 13$ 71/22 | E | excused [5] 3/19 28/6 82/22 |
| 71/25 $75 / 5$ 76/13 $78 / 11$ 79/1 | each [7] 85/1 85/9 92/3 93/11 | exhibit [14] 2/23 45/18 46/3 |
| 79/3 86/14 86/16 89/7 89/15 | 93/12 98/11 108/2 | 46/25 47/11 57/17 65/18 67/15 |
| 89/19 89/25 90/19 91/7 91/10 | earlier [6] 74/11 78/10 78/11 | 67/22 73/22 73/23 78/19 97/1 |
| 91/13 92/5 92/12 96/17 96/23 | 112/10 113/7 130/4 | 106/4 |
| 99/6 100/21 100/24 101/4 101/4 | early [2] 33/10 95/14 | Exhibit 2 [2] 73/22 73/23 |
| 101/7 103/24 104/17 105/23 | easy [1] 28/9 | Exhibit 3 [1] 65/18 |
| 105/23 106/11 106/25 107/7 | eaten [2] 54/14 89/12 | Exhibit 4 [2] 46/25 47/11 |
| 109/12 110/6 111/16 114/1 115/1 | effort [1] 29/24 | EXHIBITS [5] 2/20 2/22 29/18 |
| 115/19 118/3 118/16 120/20 | eight [3] 100/4 135/3 135/6 | 30/4 30/7 |
| 129/9 135/14 135/24 136/10 | Eighth [1] 130/1 | Exhibits 2 [1] 29/18 |
| 136/17 139/16 | either [10] 22/5 22/6 $23 / 7$ | exiting [1] 70/21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dodge [5] 59/20 } 59 / 22 \quad 68 / 12 \\ & 68 / 13 \quad 68 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 32 / 14 & 84 / 15 & 87 / 4 & 90 / 12 \end{array} 123 / 12$ | $\begin{array}{lrl} \text { expect [1] } & 105 / 11 \\ \text { explain [5] } & 65 / 9 & 94 / 24 \end{array} 95 / 1$ |
| does [18] $3 / 13$ 38/4 $39 / 5$ 39/20 | elements [1] 128/25 | 96/14 97/18 |
| 39/23 41/14 $43 / 13$ 44/2 $44 / 4$ | else [14] 3/20 5/8 13/21 14/13 | expressions [1] 84/4 |
| 44/14 62/25 98/22 98/25 103/15 | 14/14 17/15 18/24 19/4 74/13 | exterior [1] 57/24 |
| 111/15 135/8 136/21 139/22 | 81/2 84/5 123/7 124/1 130/19 | eye [1] 118/2 |
| doesn't [9] 6/18 32/11 40/9 | emblems [1] 87/7 | eyes [1] 84/13 |
| 40/21 80/11 101/14 128/12 | employees [2] 53/17 130/14 | F |
| $\begin{array}{cr} 128 / 12 & 136 / 1 \\ \text { dog [1] } & 89 / 13 \end{array}$ | employer [1] $86 / 24$  <br> end [3] $12 / 24$ $77 / 6$ $100 / 7$ | face [1] 101/25 |


| F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { flight }[6] \quad 132 / 19 \text { 132/22 } 136 / 2 \\ & 136 / 4 \quad 136 / 6 \quad 136 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|lll} \text { Gives } & \text { [1] } & 130 / 2 \\ \text { Glock } & \text { [1] } & 105 / 21 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| faces [1] 84/3 | floor [1] 33/6 | GMC [3] 120/22 121/1 121/8 |
| facial [1] 84/4 | follow [3] 48/4 120/18 121/13 | GMC truck [1] 121/1 |
| facility [2] 49/10 60/10 | followed [1] 48/5 | go [36] 3/20 5/11 11/17 16/1 |
| facing [1] 68/1 | following [5] 4/10 29/8 49/4 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}16 / 17 & 19 / 6 & 30 / 14 & 37 / 17 & 38 / 6\end{array}$ |
| fact [2] 83/2 123/21 | 81/5 127/19 | $\begin{array}{lllll}38 / 18 & 38 / 19 & 38 / 21 & 38 / 23 & 41 / 6\end{array}$ |
| failed [1] 127/7 | follows [3] 5/21 31/3 94/3 | 42/11 $42 / 24 \quad 43 / 14 \quad 44 / 8 \quad 44 / 14$ |
| failure [1] 136/12 | food [1] 54/16 | 49/12 71/16 80/22 88/20 90/13 |
| fair [2] 67/3 94/21 | force [1] 23/24 | 91/8 93/1 100/22 102/6 112/4 |
| fall [1] 33/5 | Foremaster [1] 95/10 | 112/12 115/9 117/18 124/20 |
| family [3] 131/9 132/20 137/9 | forth [6] 17/22 97/22 99/11 | 124/22 128/2 133/5 |
| family's [1] 131/11 | 101/2 107/15 111/20 | goes [6] 32/17 41/4 66/24 98/18 |
| far [12] 10/23 12/12 16/17 20/6 | forward [10] 60/11 61/5 74/25 | 101/13 128/2 |
| 23/24 39/15 39/17 83/8 99/20 | 76/16 106/19 108/18 109/20 | going [102] 3/5 3/11 3/12 10/11 |
| 100/13 105/2 127/5 | 112/14 112/25 117/22 | 15/22 17/6 19/6 20/18 24/18 |
| farther [1] 97/11 | forwards [1] 78/14 | 25/9 25/11 26/20 29/23 30/12 |
| farthest [1] 11/21 | found [2] 131/24 132/17 | 32/11 38/7 39/3 40/17 41/2 41/3 |
| fashions [1] 129/5 | four [5] 16/18 20/25 35/24 | 41/8 42/21 44/18 46/10 46/16 |
| fast [10] 60/11 74/25 76/16 | 100/3 100/6 | 51/18 52/2 52/11 55/21 56/3 |
| 105/17 106/19 108/18 109/20 | frankly [1] 83/20 | 57/4 58/13 59/1 59/3 60/9 60/11 |
| 112/14 112/25 117/22 | friend [2] 14/16 94/23 | 63/22 64/19 65/13 67/9 68/5 |
| fat [1] 99/3 | friends [2] 36/13 36/15 | 69/5 69/15 70/20 71/10 71/11 |
| fatter [1] 48/12 | front [23] 7/23 8/1 8/12 8/13 | 73/15 $73 / 22$ 74/25 75/11 $75 / 14$ |
| fear [1] 134/7 | 14/11 39/6 41/18 66/1 66/1 | 76/16 $78 / 14$ 78/18 $80 / 20$ 82/13 |
| fearful [1] 17/5 | 66/11 72/2 81/20 93/6 94/13 | 82/14 83/17 83/22 83/25 85/1 |
| feet [14] 9/9 9/17 9/17 12/13 | 95/3 96/23 97/1 97/4 97/13 | 92/5 92/5 94/15 94/20 95/4 97/7 |
| 20/8 39/16 67/4 99/23 99/23 | 101/16 115/2 118/2 125/11 | 97/19 97/22 101/4 101/4 104/25 |
| 100/2 100/11 102/1 103/21 | fucked [1] 36/1 | 105/6 105/6 105/7 106/3 106/5 |
| 105/15 | full [5] 43/18 43/18 43/19 | 106/19 107/14 108/8 108/18 |
| felony [2] 19/24 136/7 | 53/13 138/4 | 109/20 110/14 111/20 112/14 |
| felt [1] 130/24 | funds [1] 131/12 | 112/25 113/12 113/16 113/24 |
| fence [36] 9/12 9/14 9/15 9/19 | further [11] 9/23 11/15 19/8 | 115/9 115/13 117/18 117/21 |
| 9/20 9/21 9/23 10/2 10/2 10/6 | 24/12 28/2 88/10 91/17 92/16 | 117/22 122/3 127/21 128/13 |
| 11/15 11/24 12/19 13/15 20/7 | 97/10 125/21 140/2 | 128/14 130/14 131/17 133/10 |
| 20/19 22/6 25/9 25/10 42/8 | future [1] 136/24 | 136/15 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}42 / 12 & 42 / 13 & 42 / 25 & 62 / 13 & 62 / 14\end{array}$ | G | gold [1] 87/12 |
| 62/16 63/3 63/24 64/12 64/17 | G | Golden [1] 87/13 |
| 86/6 98/8 98/9 110/5 110/9 | gallon [3] 33/4 38/12 74/12 | gone [1] 123/5 |
| 111/25 | gallons [16] 32/6 32/8 32/8 | good [8] 5/25 6/1 19/15 31/8 |
| few [6] 4/2 18/6 19/15 89/1 | 32/15 32/16 $32 / 18$ 33/5 35/6 | 39/13 94/7 120/13 121/24 |
| 94/8 119/17 | $\begin{array}{llllll}38 / 11 & 43 / 18 & 43 / 19 & 51 / 12 & 58 / 2\end{array}$ | Goodman's [1] 82/4 |
| fight [5] 11/11 42/16 76/14 | 74/18 85/5 85/6 | Google [1] 45/19 |
| 79/5 79/6 | gate [41] 39/7 39/10 41/16 | Gordon [27] 4/8 94/16 94/18 |
| figure [2] 71/7 100/1 | 41/18 42/15 42/17 43/1 43/8 | 97/20 98/6 99/10 99/12 100/22 |
| file [1] 129/15 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}43 / 14 & 43 / 21 & 43 / 22 & 44 / 4 & 45 / 1\end{array}$ | 101/25 102/2 103/7 103/10 |
| filed [1] 4/16 | 47/8 $47 / 13$ 47/18 $49 / 8$ 58/6 $58 / 7$ | 103/15 103/17 103/22 105/5 |
| filing [4] 5/2 5/2 126/8 126/11 | 62/5 62/10 62/11 62/12 63/25 | 107/3 108/15 109/10 109/14 |
| fill [1] 43/18 | 64/1 64/15 64/20 65/2 65/8 | 110/11 110/12 110/19 110/25 |
| final [1] 17/10 | 65/20 65/25 66/11 66/13 66/22 | 111/7 117/7 124/7 |
| finalize [1] 3/22 | 70/2 70/21 70/21 118/17 118/24 | Gordon's [7] 99/21 100/14 |
| fine [3] 28/15 39/18 59/2 | 119/2 121/14 | 105/22 109/15 109/16 116/20 |
| fingerprints [1] 133/19 | gave [1] 137/14 | 125/12 |
| firearm [2] 78/16 129/21 | gear [2] 50/7 50/8 | got [21] 14/22 17/7 $23 / 18$ 31/22 |
| fired [2] 31/22 103/25 | gentleman [3] 81/18 81/25 83/18 | 32/22 33/14 37/5 37/5 49/22 |
| first [21] 5/3 5/12 5/19 14/8 | Gerri [7] 1/24 6/14 138/6 138/7 | 68/20 78/8 81/1 87/1 104/23 |
| 26/25 30/15 31/1 42/24 66/24 | 139/14 140/4 140/5 | 105/25 110/8 123/2 124/16 |
| 89/7 93/2 94/1 102/8 108/11 | get [38] 3/13 11/17 15/11 17/1 | 124/18 124/23 132/24 |
| 120/18 121/5 121/7 123/15 | 17/21 28/8 31/10 32/9 32/12 | gotten [1] 105/4 |
| 126/25 127/1 133/25 | 33/10 33/10 33/20 35/5 35/6 | grab [1] 3/20 |
| five [12] 16/18 54/15 80/1 | 36/1 $37 / 19$ 39/13 $43 / 9$ 79/6 | grasp [1] 131/1 |
| 80/21 80/21 89/13 100/3 102/1 | 80/23 83/4 92/13 95/5 96/11 | graveyard [2] 33/15 45/13 |
| 103/10 104/1 105/15 128/10 | 98/15 99/9 104/21 104/22 106/2 | great [1] 28/12 |
| five feet [1] 105/15 | 107/8 109/8 114/23 124/19 128/3 | grinder [2] 58/3 61/2 |
| flash [1] 20/22 | 132/24 134/9 134/12 135/7 | ground [3] 23/20 27/18 27/22 |
| Flavors [27] 8/2 8/3 8/10 8/14 | gets [7] 98/19 101/13 101/22 | guard [7] 63/17 63/18 72/6 72/7 |
| 9/24 21/23 21/23 22/11 47/3 | 101/22 102/11 102/19 128/2 | 72/10 86/20 106/13 |
| 47/4 $94 / 13$ 95/9 95/16 $95 / 22$ | getting [8] 35/10 35/10 116/19 | guards [2] 22/2 86/11 |
| 95/23 98/14 99/2 99/7 101/8 | 118/4 118/10 133/22 133/25 | guess [9] $7 / 17$ 8/6 8/16 15/16 |
| 101/12 101/13 101/16 104/20 | 134/1 | 16/15 37/2 39/18 48/6 53/7 |
| 108/11 117/19 120/7 121/14 | give [6] 3/21 83/25 84/5 95/8 | guessing [1] 28/25 |
| flee [1] 137/1 | 130/3 132/4 | gun [36] 51/1 52/4 52/9 52/9 |

gun... [32] 52/10 52/14 52/22 $53 / 2$ 53/5 61/24 78/9 78/11 79/14 87/19 87/19 87/22 88/2 88/5 88/15 88/17 92/9 92/12 92/12 92/15 102/1 103/24 105/16 125/5 128/16 130/21 133/10 133/12 133/13 133/15 133/20 133/20
guns [3] 87/21 87/25 88/7 gunshot [2] 4/5 127/22
gunshots [2] 16/20 16/22 guy [40] 11/21 $14 / 12 \quad 14 / 13$ $\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 17 & 14 / 21 & 16 / 3 & 17 / 13 & 17 / 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 18 & 20 / 19 & 23 / 24 & 25 / 2 & 25 / 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}25 / 8 & 25 / 8 & 25 / 9 & 36 / 19 & 37 / 18 \\ 38 / 5\end{array}$ 39/6 41/21 42/16 62/1 63/2 63/20 70/10 71/8 $73 / 10 \quad 76 / 4$ 101/13 101/24 116/5 119/5 123/18 124/2 124/3 $124 / 5$ 124/6 125/6 125/7
guy's [1] 17/21
guys [2] 61/22 105/7
H
had [57] 7/12 11/22 12/5 12/6 $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 18 & 13 / 23 & 17 / 17 & 18 / 9 & 20 / 13\end{array}$ 21/6 21/23 22/1 22/5 23/13 $\begin{array}{lllll}23 / 17 & 24 / 8 & 24 / 25 & 29 / 8 & 29 / 22\end{array}$ $45 / 17 \quad 49 / 20 \quad 50 / 5 \quad 52 / 11 \quad 53 / 5$ $\begin{array}{llllll}53 / 17 & 53 / 19 & 54 / 15 & 56 / 19 & 58 / 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}76 / 15 & 78 / 15 & 79 / 4 & 79 / 25 & 81 / 6\end{array}$ 84/25 87/12 88/7 97/20 99/12 105/4 109/2 119/5 120/13 121/25 123/5 123/7 124/11 125/13 125/15 125/16 $128 / 1 \quad 130 / 4$ $130 / 12$ 133/3 133/13 134/21 135/12
hairnet [6] 50/9 61/11 122/7 123/18 124/3 124/6
half [6] 26/25 27/9 27/11 48/6 54/13 54/14
halfway [1] 42/18
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { hand [11] } & 11 / 22 & 12 / 6 & 16 / 10 & 17 / 1\end{array}$ 48/21 58/11 58/19 63/12 78/8 110/3 111/6
handling [1] 105/9
hands [1] 12/5
happen [7] 17/6 97/19 105/6
105/11 127/21 128/14 128/14
happened [13] 75/19 81/13 $83 / 16$ $88 / 25$ 90/1 90/3 91/5 104/13 105/11 105/17 119/19 133/6 137/11
happening [1] 95/15
happens [1] 101/21
hard [1] 135/4
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { has [26] } & 3 / 14 & 6 / 14 & 28 / 24 & 40 / 13\end{array}$ $43 / 20$ 52/1 66/21 77/6 83/23 92/12 126/10 127/3 127/6 128/21 $\begin{array}{llll}129 / 12 & 130 / 12 & 130 / 19 & 130 / 24\end{array}$ $130 / 25$ 131/10 132/20 133/4 136/1 $136 / 3$ 136/5 137/11
have [87] $3 / 13 \quad 3 / 20 \quad 3 / 224 / 16$ $\begin{array}{llllll}5 / 11 & 6 / 2 & 6 / 3 & 6 / 8 & 6 / 19 & 11 / 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 2 & 19 / 1 & 19 / 15 & 19 / 24 & 21 / 10\end{array}$ 22/1 23/18 23/19 24/8 29/18 $29 / 20 \quad 30 / 14 \quad 31 / 15 \quad 33 / 1 \quad 33 / 23$ $\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 24 & 33 / 25 & 34 / 1 & 35 / 3 & 35 / 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}36 / 14 & 36 / 15 & 37 / 16 & 39 / 2 & 40 / 21\end{array}$ $40 / 25 \quad 43 / 13 \quad 43 / 25 \quad 44 / 2 \quad 45 / 3$
$\begin{array}{lllll}45 / 4 & 45 / 20 & 49 / 19 & 50 / 4 & 50 / 7\end{array}$ 50/21 54/15 54/17 79/21 80/22 83/3 83/17 $86 / 22$ 87/24 $90 / 13$ $\begin{array}{llll}90 / 24 & 91 / 8 & 92 / 12 & 92 / 13 \\ 92 / 14\end{array}$ 93/1 94/7 95/5 98/23 117/16 $119 / 17$ 119/24 120/24 121/20 125/10 125/21 129/22 132/7 132/9 132/18 132/18 132/21 133/7 133/9 133/21 134/6 134/8 135/9 135/10 137/4 137/12 137/14
haven't [6] 49/22 $49 / 23$ 54/14 83/22 87/1 89/12
having [9] 5/19 9/3 $23 / 23 \quad 23 / 25$ 31/1 56/9 75/25 83/10 94/1
he [218]
he'll [1] 83/19
he's [30] 34/21 $36 / 15$ 36/17
$\begin{array}{lllll}36 / 19 & 37 / 2 & 40 / 17 & 43 / 6 & 45 / 12\end{array}$ 52/1 61/24 62/9 62/15 63/14 66/13 67/3 67/12 72/16 91/15 92/11 108/9 108/16 109/12 115/25 122/15 133/20 134/17 135/24 135/25 136/13 136/23 head [8] 6/18 $16 / 15$ 25/16 $\quad 35 / 19$ 78/19 84/12 84/13 122/5
headgear [1] 61/9
Heading [1] 72/25
heads [1] 18/1
hear [21] $10 / 11$ 11/14 $16 / 19$ $\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 14 & 20 / 9 & 20 / 16 & 21 / 12 & 25 / 4\end{array}$ 25/5 32/14 $96 / 20$ 97/23 $98 / 2$ 101/1 130/13 131/18 131/21 131/23 132/1 132/7 134/24 heard [12] 16/20 16/22 17/12 17/23 21/12 29/15 83/23 84/1 98/3 127/22 130/9 131/19
hearing [14] $1 / 15$ 3/6 $4 / 1$ 4/23
4/25 6/10 29/25 82/25 126/19 127/9 128/25 129/16 130/6 131/11
hearsay [5] 40/1 $40 / 3 \quad 40 / 10$ 40/19 51/15
heated [2] 17/8 107/21
held [2] 131/23 135/18
help [8] $26 / 14 \quad 48 / 10 \quad 55 / 4 \quad 55 / 12$ 89/25 105/7 105/8 105/9
her [1] $84 / 9$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { here [31] } & 6 / 9 & 20 / 2 & 31 / 8 & 34 / 17\end{array}$ $47 / 157 / 22 \quad 62 / 6 \quad 63 / 20 \quad 67 / 24$ $74 / 2 \quad 74 / 15 \quad 74 / 21 \quad 75 / 5 \quad 77 / 13$ 82/20 84/11 84/11 95/12 $97 / 8$ 111/24 131/9 131/10 132/17 $132 / 20 \quad 132 / 25 \quad 133 / 7 \quad 134 / 9$ 134/12 135/24 136/5 136/5
Here's [1] 137/24
hereby [2] 129/25 139/16
herein [2] 129/16 129/23
highlighted [1] 55/24
highly [2] 21/15 137/8
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { him [88] } & 13 / 8 & 14 / 8 & 14 / 9 & 14 / 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 9 & 15 / 11 & 16 / 8 & 17 / 12 & 17 / 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 19 & 18 / 24 & 19 / 3 & 23 / 23 & 34 / 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}36 / 7 & 36 / 10 & 36 / 14 & 36 / 15 & 37 / 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}39 / 7 & 39 / 13 & 39 / 15 & 40 / 18 & 45 / 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}46 / 14 & 48 / 4 & 48 / 5 & 49 / 4 & 51 / 5 & 51 / 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}52 / 7 & 52 / 9 & 52 / 19 & 53 / 5 & 53 / 8 & 53 / 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}54 / 2 & 54 / 5 & 56 / 3 & 56 / 8 & 56 / 13 & 56 / 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}62 / 1 & 62 / 7 & 62 / 7 & 62 / 8 & 65 / 9 \\ 65 / 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}67 / 11 & 71 / 9 & 72 / 14 & 75 / 25 & 76 / 3\end{array}$ $76 / 4$ 76/14 77/17 81/21 81/23 83/11 89/16 89/16 89/19 90/22

90/23 90/25 92/13 94/20 94/23 98/23 101/25 102/14 103/10 104/6 105/7 105/7 105/17 111/23 115/2 116/21 124/19 124/20 125/2 126/18 131/23 134/21 135/17 136/25 137/13
himself [1] 105/9
his [57] $3 / 17$ 3/17 $11 / 22 \quad 12 / 4$ $\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 5 & 12 / 5 & 12 / 6 & 14 / 22 & 14 / 24 \\ 15 / 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}15 / 11 & 16 / 10 & 20 / 13 & 21 / 13 & 21 / 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}23 / 13 & 23 / 17 & 24 / 25 & 25 / 3 & 44 / 20\end{array}$ 54/9 63/12 65/13 69/25 78/8 86/14 86/15 94/18 96/17 98/15 98/19 101/13 101/18 101/23 101/25 102/11 110/8 110/20 $\begin{array}{lllll}116 / 1 & 116 / 6 & 122 / 5 & 122 / 6 & 122 / 7\end{array}$ 122/16 126/18 128/2 131/9 131/10 132/20 133/18 133/19 135/25 136/11 136/15 136/17 136/24 137/9
Hispanic [1] 22/18
hit [1] $42 / 18$
hitting [1] 101/25
hmm [29] $26 / 18 \quad 31 / 11 \quad 33 / 17 \quad 34 / 8$ $38 / 24$ 41/11 $42 / 23$ 43/10 $44 / 5$ $\begin{array}{llllll}44 / 10 & 44 / 22 & 48 / 22 & 49 / 13 & 50 / 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}53 / 24 & 54 / 25 & 55 / 6 & 57 / 13 & 59 / 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}62 / 17 & 70 / 17 & 73 / 19 & 76 / 22 & 80 / 7\end{array}$ 85/15 85/18 88/16 91/1 102/5 Hmm-mm [4] $31 / 11 \quad 34 / 8 \quad 54 / 25$ 91/1
hold [8] $37 / 19 \quad 38 / 7 \quad 39 / 9 \quad 133 / 5$ 134/21 135/16 135/19 135/21
home [1] 17/21
homeboys [1] 33/22
homeless [19] $11 / 3 \quad 11 / 16$ 12/1 $\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 14 & 13 / 1 & 14 / 12 & 14 / 20 & 17 / 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 13 & 17 / 18 & 17 / 21 & 20 / 13 & 23 / 12\end{array}$ $24 / 25$ 25/8 25/12 $42 / 1$ 94/13 106/1
homicide [2] $4 / 6 \quad 127 / 10$ Honestly [1] 54/13
Honor [31] 3/25 4/20 6/5 $18 / 12$ $\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 11 & 28 / 16 & 29 / 17 & 30 / 21 & 34 / 24\end{array}$ $39 / 25 \quad 40 / 18 \quad 45 / 14 \quad 46 / 7 \quad 46 / 9$ 55/16 67/13 82/10 82/17 84/20 90/5 96/25 119/10 125/24 126/14 126/17 126/25 130/5 130/11 132/17 134/5 137/23
HONORABLE [1] 1/16
hour [3] 27/9 27/11 28/14 hours [9] $31 / 8 \quad 35 / 14 \quad 35 / 15$ $35 / 21 \quad 35 / 24 \quad 54 / 16 \quad 80 / 1 \quad 89 / 11$ 89/13
house [10] 11/18 52/12 131/7 131/9 137/2 137/10 137/10 137/13 137/19 137/21
housekeeping [1] 4/2
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { how [44] } 5 / 25 & 7 / 12 & 9 / 15 & 10 / 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 8 & 12 / 7 & 12 / 12 & 14 / 8 & 16 / 22 & 20 / 6\end{array}$ $20 / 23 \quad 21 / 6 \quad 24 / 5 \quad 25 / 15 \quad 31 / 7$ $31 / 25 \quad 32 / 3 \quad 33 / 11 \quad 33 / 20 \quad 35 / 12$ $35 / 22 \quad 36 / 4 \quad 36 / 7 \quad 39 / 15 \quad 39 / 17$ $46 / 22$ 51/25 52/2 73/11 77/21 $84 / 25 \quad 85 / 4 \quad 85 / 6 \quad 85 / 9 \quad 86 / 11 \quad 87 / 2$ 94/24 99/20 100/13 103/24 105/2 105/13 130/14 135/19
however [1] 136/4
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { huh [4] } 39 / 22 & 65 / 21 & 67 / 5 & 78 / 25\end{array}$ hundred [2] $136 / 16$ 136/24
I
I'd [6] 6/6 20/8 21/11 $28 / 17$

|  | 50/16 60/10 64/14 64/17 69/5 | justified [2] 27/15 27/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 74/8 74/14 74/16 74/18 74/19 | K |
|  | 86/6 92/5 96/9 97/21 98/13 98/13 98/15 98/18 98/20 110/4 | K-e-n-n-e-t-h [1] 93/5 |
| 134/17 | $124 / 2$ 128/2 | keep [2] 84/3 118/1 |
| I'm [76] 6/6 8/20 10/9 17/24 | instead [1] 122/25 | Ken [1] 128/8 |
| 18/15 19/6 19/22 24/21 25/9 | insufficient [1] 127/3 | KENNETH [3] 2/14 $92 / 24$ 93/4 |
|  | intended [1] 65/9 | kept [2] 42/16 89/12 |
| 35/20 36/3 38/18 38/21 40/18 | intent [1] 44/18 | key [5] 43/22 43/25 44/2 58/7 |
| 41/2 41/3 41/7 46/16 47/16 | interest [1] 40/25 | 70/2 |
| 52/12 53/22 55/8 55/10 55/21 | interested [1] 140/2 | kill [1] 24/1 |
| 56/3 58/13 59/3 59/8 60/14 | interrupt [1] 93/11 | kind [23] 14/11 43/23 49/24 |
| 61/10 62/8 64/12 67/21 71/3 | intersection [1] 34/2 | 53/16 59/18 68/11 71/9 84/8 |
| 71/9 71/11 74/4 74/16 75/22 | intimidation [1] 82/10 | 86/5 86/18 87/22 87/24 88/1 |
| 76/4 77/5 83/17 83/22 83/25 | investigator [1] 134/6 | 93/10 95/5 97/6 101/19 120/17 |
| 92/14 95/3 97/2 97/11 104/24 | invoke [1] 6/6 | 120/20 121/10 133/25 134/22 |
| 106/3 106/3 106/5 106/19 108/18 | involved [7] 22/9 83/12 119/5 | 135/4 |
| 109/20 110/14 112/5 112/14 | 120/15 127/9 130/13 132/11 | knew [5] 29/22 92/1 105/5 105 |
| 112/25 113/12 113/16 115/9 | is [199] | 134/21 |
| 115/13 117/5 117/20 117/22 | isn't [2] 99/24 122/19 | knife [15] 11/22 12/3 12/6 12/8 |
| 122/16 133/10 136/11 136/15 | issue [5] 3/22 19/23 132/17 | $\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 15 & 20 / 13 & 20 / 22 & 20 / 24 & 21 / 6\end{array}$ |
| 137/1 | 134/4 137/15 | 23/10 23/17 23/23 23/25 24/1 |
| I've [8] 45/17 52/10 88/5 | issues [1] 3/11 | 24/3 |
| 126/17 131/19 131/25 135/23 | it [159] | know [95] 9/16 10/8 10/15 10/19 |
| 136/18 | it's [33] 3/15 6/12 17/20 29/11 |  |
| ice [1] 94/13 | 30/12 32/18 33/15 40/9 40/10 |  |
| idea [1] 95/8 | 40/12 40/18 $40 / 23$ 43/18 $43 / 19$ | 23/25 23/25 23/25 29/1 29/3 |
| identification [2] 4/6 34/25 | 45/18 45/23 46/12 46/25 51/16 | $31 / 2434 / 5$ 34/6 34/11 35/8 |
| identified [1] 130/19 | 58/10 58/25 67/25 77/13 77/14 | $35 / 14$ 35/20 35/20 36/2 37/24 |
| identify [2] 23/7 120/14 | 77/14 84/9 93/10 127/14 127/25 | 39/16 39/17 40/17 41/3 45/12 |
| illegal [1] 82/15 | 128/4 129/7 129/8 133/19 | 46/12 46/15 48/6 48/19 50/2 |
| immediately [1] 124/24 | it's appropriate [1] 127/14 | 50/15 52/2 53/4 54/8 55/23 |
| implored [1] 89/8 | its [3] $45 / 24$ 68/1 131/1 | 56/23 56/24 59/16 59/18 63/14 |
| importance [1] 89/16 | itself [3] 10/4 63/25 64/1 | 68/1 71/4 75/24 77/14 78/9 |
| important [2] 6/12 93/11 | J | 81/17 82/19 84/7 84/10 85/6 |
| inappropriate [1] 82/15 |  | 86/12 86/14 86/15 86/16 86/18 |
| inches [1] 20/25 | JACQUELINE [1] 1/21 | 86/25 87/2 87/21 87/21 88/1 |
| inconsistencies [1] 135/12 | jail [2] 3/14 7/16 | 90/22 91/14 $91 / 15$ 91/15 92/3 |
| inconsistent [1] 129/5 | JEA [1] 81/1 | 92/11 94/18 95/2 96/4 97/14 |
| incriminatory [1] 40/22 | Jeep [2] 97/14 101/17 | 99/25 108/9 119/23 120/2 120/20 |
| inculpatory [4] 40/12 40/22 | JEFFREY [1] 1/21 | 127/15 128/13 129/7 131/23 |
| 41/1 51/17 | job [2] 33/1 49/12 | 132/23 133/11 134/5 136/1 136/3 |
| independent [1] 135/20 | Judge [2] 82/4 133/6 | 137/5 137/17 |
| INDEX [1] 2/1 | Judge's [1] 83/6 | knowledge [2] 83/5 131/1 |
| indicate [1] 54/21 | Judicial [1] 130/1 | L |
| indicated [3] 21/21 23/15 130/4 | jugs [3] 38/13 74/12 77/15 | $\pm$ |
| indication [2] 47/21 48/20 | juices [2] 32/19 32/25 | labeled [1] 67/14 |
| individual [36] 22/10 23/7 | jump [5] 20/18 25/9 25/10 68/5 | lady's [1] 17/20 |
| 23/16 70/6 82/19 94/14 96/6 | 78/18 | language [1] 126/12 |
| 100/17 102/9 104/2 104/5 104/14 | jumping [1] 69/10 | LAS [41] $1 / 4 \mathrm{llll}$ (1/11 $13 / 6$ 13/8 |
| 106/11 106/15 106/24 106/25 | just [95] $4 / 1$ 7/14 11/5 11/7 |  |
| 107/7 110/1 110/7 111/15 114/20 |  | $34 / 6$ 34/12 45/11 48/1 48/19 |
| 115/1 115/25 118/3 123/23 | 17/22 24/7 24/21 25/7 25/13 | 68/3 70/7 72/25 73/7 85/13 |
| 124/10 124/11 124/18 125/1 | 26/24 28/16 31/14 33/2 35/9 | 85/17 86/4 95/8 95/10 95/12 |
| 127/19 127/21 128/6 133/14 | 35/10 37/19 38/17 39/8 40/24 | 97/9 99/8 99/18 100/21 112/1 |
| 133/17 133/21 134/1 | 42/4 46/10 46/12 47/5 47/21 | 112/6 112/7 115/8 116/22 117/4 |
| individuals [20] 22/21 60/19 | 47/22 47/24 48/8 48/14 48/18 | 120/10 121/11 135/25 139/1 |
| 83/12 83/21 98/11 99/6 100/16 | $52 / 14$ 55/23 58/25 59/3 59/12 | 139/19 139/20 |
| 101/7 104/17 111/7 112/22 | 60/1 62/15 66/21 67/14 68/20 | Las Vegas [32] 7/11 13/6 13/8 |
| 113/21 115/19 116/3 116/10 | 69/11 69/25 71/1 71/7 72/9 |  |
| 116/23 117/12 117/15 118/18 | 72/11 74/23 75/19 76/15 77/6 | 34/6 34/12 45/11 48/1 68/3 70/7 |
| 121/13 | 78/7 79/1 80/25 81/1 81/18 | 72/25 73/7 85/13 85/17 86/4 |
| indulgence [10] 16/12 25/19 | 83/15 83/25 84/3 86/9 86/19 | 97/9 99/8 99/18 100/21 112/1 |
| 26/17 28/1 46/18 53/14 57/1 | 87/12 88/4 93/8 98/3 100/2 | 112/6 112/7 115/8 116/22 117/4 |
| 80/17 88/22 119/13 | 100/24 101/2 101/5 104/8 104/10 | 120/10 121/11 135/25 |
| information [1] 135/8 | 105/8 105/10 108/1 108/10 | last [10] 5/12 16/7 26/24 30/15 |
| informed [1] 3/11 | 109/20 111/22 114/7 116/2 116/5 | 31/10 75/23 84/8 93/2 117/20 |
| inherent [2] 136/4 136/6 | 118/24 119/1 119/17 120/2 | 126/7 |
| initial [2] 24/18 25/22 | 120/25 121/2 $121 / 4 \quad 122 / 25$ | lastly [1] 4/9 |
| initially [3] 26/14 42/25 47/11 | 128/25 129/8 131/17 131/18 | late [2] 120/2 132/5 |
| initiated [1] 52/18 | Justice [4] 1/4 1/17 139/1 | later [6] $14 / 5$ 16/21 17/14 $18 / 6$ |
| inside [25] 38/10 38/15 50/10 | 9/19 | 25/18 53/8 |

L
launder [1] 86/22
laundered [1] 86/23
law [1] 27/22
lead [1] 116/14
leap [1] 133/17
least [5] 3/16 37/10 83/12 93/13 104/1
leave [7] 15/3 15/11 16/24 41/19 41/22 101/14 104/18
leaves [3] 36/20 36/22 101/14
left [20] $14 / 1 \quad 14 / 20 \quad 15 / 7 \quad 15 / 25$ 16/16 24/2 47/14 47/15 47/16 57/10 63/12 71/5 72/15 73/10 73/11 85/24 106/10 110/3 111/6 136/5
left-hand [2] 110/3 111/6
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { let [6] } & 18 / 19 & 33 / 7 & 55 / 23 & 79 / 20\end{array}$ 134/5 134/10
let's [6] 14/17 41/4 63/4 80/21 122/24 122/25
lethal [1] 23/24
lethally [1] 24/1
letters [1] 71/10
level [2] 137/24 138/2
Lewis [1] 139/20
liability [9] 4/11 127/2 127/13 127/23 128/20 128/23 128/24 129/19 130/16
license [4] 33/25 34/1 92/12 92/13
light [10] $48 / 7$ 50/2 50/2 87/4 87/8 125/11 125/12 125/17 128/11 136/8
lighter [1] 127/20
lighter-colored [1] 127/20
lighting [2] 125/14 125/16
lights [4] 120/11 123/6 125/10 125/12
like [86] 6/6 7/23 8/16 9/8 $\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 4 & 11 / 17 & 11 / 18 & 12 / 22 & 13 / 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 16 & 13 / 18 & 13 / 20 & 13 / 23 & 13 / 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}14 / 10 & 15 / 11 & 15 / 22 & 16 / 20\end{array} 17 / 1$ 17/8 17/21 20/20 21/8 22/14 $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}25 / 8 & 25 / 8 & 25 / 10 & 28 / 17 & 32 / 1 & 32 / 4\end{array}$ $32 / 17$ 32/18 $33 / 4 \quad 33 / 5 \quad 33 / 11$ $33 / 1435 / 5$ 35/5 35/6 35/14 $\begin{array}{llllll}35 / 14 & 36 / 1 & 36 / 9 & 36 / 15 & 36 / 17\end{array}$ 39/16 46/23 49/20 50/2 51/22 53/7 56/24 59/17 60/5 60/10 61/1 62/7 69/13 71/14 78/9 79/10 80/15 84/4 84/13 85/7 85/11 87/25 88/24 89/11 89/12 94/9 94/21 96/3 98/25 99/23 100/18 105/9 105/21 115/25 120/4 120/25 124/6 128/13 132/18 132/24 135/3
likely [2] 3/18 135/12
Limited [1] 4/24
line [1] 26/24
lit [1] 120/5
Literally [1] 81/1
little [8] 6/13 35/25 58/13 74/14 93/8 102/3 109/21 112/1
living [3] 7/7 7/12 10/21
load [1] 85/10
loading [2] 74/12 85/4
locals [1] 132/23
located [5] 34/3 46/25 95/9 96/21 109/15
location [2] 7/13 14/25
locked [2] 43/22 131/9
long [10] $7 / 12 \quad 11 / 8 \quad 14 / 8 \quad 20 / 25$ 25/15 31/25 32/3 35/22 46/22 49/23
longer [4] $26 / 8$ 27/4 $35 / 25$ 53/17
look [15] 22/14 39/13 55/3
55/10 57/10 89/23 90/1 96/3 98/25 104/14 105/8 115/13 118/1 124/6 132/6
looked [10] 12/22 13/16 13/18 13/20 13/23 15/22 16/5 $21 / 8$ 85/7 120/4
looking [13] 21/1 26/13 71/5 71/11 74/1 87/18 87/19 119/25 120/10 125/13 125/15 135/24 136/11
looks [8] 59/17 60/5 60/10 61/1 69/13 78/9 79/10 115/25
lot [21] 9/10 9/13 9/24 10/17
12/20 14/23 15/3 15/12 17/25 53/12 53/22 56/20 69/21 85/8 95/16 95/23 $96 / 7$ 98/7 120/7 121/12 123/6
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { loud [8] } & 6 / 13 & 10 / 14 & 11 / 1 & 11 / 18\end{array}$
93/7 95/20 95/21 100/17
lower [2] 137/24 138/1
Lucca [6] 1/24 138/6 138/7
139/14 140/4 140/5
lunch [5] $3 / 18 \quad 3 / 20 \quad 3 / 21 \quad 3 / 24$ 29/11
luncheon [1] 29/7
M
Ma'am [1] 84/9
machine [12] $32 / 18 \quad 33 / 6 \quad 35 / 5$ 35/7 36/1 36/2 38/19 58/4 61/2 77/13 77/16 77/21
machine's [1] 33/12
machines [3] 36/21 51/25 52/2 mad [1] 21/16
made [5] $32 / 8$ 32/23 47/21 $57 / 5$
134/8
main [3] $36 / 19 \quad 36 / 20 \quad 36 / 22$
make [12] 15/22 28/17 32/6
32/15 32/16 33/2 44/25 52/12
84/3 84/12 131/18 133/16
makes [1] 13/17
making [5] 38/11 48/20 51/12 129/8 134/20
male [5] 109/8 109/9 114/1 128/7 128/11
$\operatorname{man}[33] \quad 12 / 14 \quad 13 / 1 \quad 13 / 13 \quad 14 / 6$ 14/20 15/5 15/8 16/15 17/6 $\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 11 & 20 / 13 & 23 / 12 & 24 / 25 & 25 / 13\end{array}$ 25/16 26/10 27/15 34/20 39/10 41/10 $42 / 13$ 43/1 $44 / 21 \quad 45 / 1$ 45/5 47/8 $47 / 11$ 47/17 48/17
49/3 72/12 91/14 91/14
manner [2] 4/5 23/11
many [9] $16 / 22 \quad 24 / 5 \quad 36 / 4 \quad 85 / 1$ 85/5 85/6 85/9 86/11 103/24
map [2] 47/25 48/25
Maps [1] 45/19
marginal [4] 127/8 128/18 129/12 129/17
marine [1] 92/11
mark [6] 47/5 47/10 48/9 74/22 81/16 97/5
marked [8] 29/18 45/22 45/23
$\begin{array}{lllll}47 / 25 & 73 / 23 & 74 / 1 & 74 / 19 & 74 / 21\end{array}$
Market [2] 47/3 47/4
markings [1] 120/24
maroon [1] $86 / 16$
marshal [3] 6/11 83/13 83/24
material [2] 5/8 19/20
matter [6] 5/7 32/11 40/11
40/16 41/4 136/4
matters [2] 4/2 128/24
may [16] 6/3 18/11 19/16 21/9
23/18 40/8 45/14 46/6 55/15
90/4 90/5 93/17 130/6 130/9 134/22 137/4
maybe [6] 28/9 28/13 29/2 29/3 67/3 81/14
MCNAIR [75] 1/10 3/4 3/8 3/8 3/19 6/10 29/10 29/13 29/14 $34 / 15$ 34/17 $35 / 3 \quad 35 / 8 \quad 35 / 23$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}36 / 5 & 37 / 1 & 37 / 10 & 37 / 13 & 37 / 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}38 / 4 & 38 / 10 & 38 / 16 & 38 / 23 & 39 / 3\end{array}$ 39/11 39/19 41/6 41/14 42/11 $\begin{array}{llllll} & 43 / 8 & 43 / 13 & 44 / 2 & 44 / 4 & 44 / 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}47 / 14 & 50 / 15 & 50 / 18 & 50 / 22 & 50 / 24\end{array}$ 51/11 51/13 53/23 54/8 56/11 57/4 57/5 58/7 59/19 61/20 62/23 63/8 65/7 65/24 66/11 66/21 67/1 68/11 68/23 68/24 71/25 72/3 73/6 73/16 75/12
$\begin{array}{lllll}75 / 21 & 78 / 6 & 79 / 11 & 79 / 12 & 126 / 18\end{array}$ 127/9 129/24 129/25 135/23 137/9 139/7
me [60] $3 / 12 \quad 7 / 24 \quad 10 / 18 \quad 11 / 21$ $\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 11 & 17 / 20 & 18 / 19 & 27 / 1 & 33 / 7\end{array}$ 33/21 34/22 $39 / 6$ 42/16 $42 / 17$ 47/22 49/7 51/1 51/22 51/25 52/8 52/10 $54 / 15$ 55/8 55/23 58/4 58/9 60/23 63/2 65/8 66/5 $74 / 3$ 74/5 74/22 75/20 77/19 79/21 79/25 79/25 81/16 81/20 84/5 89/12 90/9 90/21 95/8 96/14 97/5 97/18 99/5 99/22 105/22 119/24 125/11 127/7 131/20 132/4 132/11 132/12 135/19 137/14
mean [9] $23 / 13 \quad 24 / 20 \quad 38 / 2140 / 9$ 74/17 76/13 129/3 132/4 132/15
mechanic [2] 35/4 52/1
meet [1] 125/1
meets [1] 128/17
memo [3] 135/2 135/11 135/13
memory [3] 19/1 89/22 90/24
men [2] 82/20 103/21
mentioned [1] 112/10
mess [2] 46/10 46/16
messed [2] 33/5 35/5
met [2] 126/17 132/16
Mexican [2] 99/1 102/23
MICHAEL [22] 1/10 3/4 6/10 29/10 $34 / 15$ 34/17 $37 / 1 \quad 60 / 5$ 60/23 66/25 68/22 69/13 69/25 70/20 75/11 77/8 85/17 85/21 85/23 88/7 129/24 139/7
microphone [2] 6/13 93/6 middle [3] 69/21 80/24 108/16 midnight [2] 37/25 119/19 might [2] 81/2 135/7
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Mike [5] } & 36 / 18 & 36 / 22 & 39 / 8 & 42 / 15\end{array}$ 87/18
milk [3] 32/19 32/23 32/25
million [1] 136/20
mine [2] 58/20 58/22
minute [8] $14 / 18 \quad 37 / 20 \quad 38 / 22$ 70/24 76/21 80/21 83/17 111/23 minutes [10] 11/10 16/21 25/18

| M | $\begin{aligned} & 137 / 9 \\ & \text { Mr. McNair [62] } 3 / 1929 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | net [1] 123/16 <br> NEVADA [11] 1/5 1/7 3/1 $34 / 12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| minutes... [7] 26/8 27/5 27/8 | 29/14 $35 / 3 \quad 35 / 8 \quad 35 / 23 \quad 36 / 5$ | 73/7 95/12 139/2 139/4 139/11 |
| 27/10 27/11 28/14 128/15 | $\begin{array}{llllll}37 / 10 & 37 / 13 & 37 / 17 & 38 / 4 & 38 / 10\end{array}$ | 139/16 139/20 |
| Miss [15] 3/6 3/11 3/21 4/22 | $38 / 16$ 38/23 39/3 39/19 41/6 | never [2] 23/14 136/5 |
| 5/2 6/14 29/12 29/16 127/11 | 41/14 $42 / 11$ 43/8 $43 / 13$ 44/2 | new [1] 103/6 |
| 129/2 129/10 131/15 131/16 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}44 / 4 & 47 / 14 & 50 / 15 & 50 / 18 & 50 / 22\end{array}$ | newbies [1] 36/2 |
| 135/2 136/1 | 50/24 51/11 51/13 53/23 54/8 | next [9] 29/16 30/10 53/11 |
| Miss Bluth [10] 3/21 4/22 29/12 | 56/11 57/4 57/5 58/7 59/19 | 77/16 101/10 105/11 106/13 |
| 29/16 127/11 129/10 131/15 | 61/20 62/23 63/8 65/7 65/24 | 126/6 133/6 |
| 131/16 135/2 136/1 | 66/11 66/21 67/1 68/11 68/23 | night [11] 31/10 33/14 37/21 |
| Miss Clerk's [1] 5/2 | 68/24 71/25 72/3 73/6 73/16 | 54/12 56/17 84/25 85/1 94/21 |
| Miss Gerri [1] 6/14 | 75/12 75/21 78/6 79/11 79/12 | 95/15 121/19 121/20 |
| missed [1] 136/13 | 126/18 127/9 129/25 135/23 | nightlight [1] 21/2 |
| mistake [1] 47/16 | 137/9 | nighttime [1] 12/10 |
| mm [28] 26/18 31/11 $33 / 17$ 34/8 | Mr. Phillips [1] 127/10 | nine [3] 100/4 135/3 135/6 |
| 38/24 41/11 42/23 $43 / 10$ 44/5 | Mr. Pike [16] 3/7 4/4 4/18 | no [106] 1/1 1/2 1/9 10/20 |
| 44/10 44/22 48/22 49/13 50/12 | 27/12 29/13 29/22 30/2 80/19 | $\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 13 & 12 / 16 & 13 / 6 & 15 / 4 & 16 / 9\end{array}$ |
| 53/24 54/25 55/6 57/13 59/13 | 82/24 84/18 91/18 119/12 132/5 | 16/11 18/10 18/25 20/1 20/4 |
| 62/17 70/17 73/19 76/22 80/7 | 133/11 135/19 137/4 | 22/4 22/8 23/9 24/11 28/2 31/12 |
| 85/15 85/18 91/1 102/5 | Mr. Pike's [1] 132/13 | $\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 13 & 31 / 15 & 33 / 2 & 33 / 24 & 34 / 9\end{array}$ |
| Mm-hmm [24] 26/18 $33 / 17$ 38/24 | Mr. Purdy [1] 37/3 | $\begin{array}{llllll}34 / 10 & 35 / 9 & 36 / 14 & 37 / 15 & 39 / 14\end{array}$ |
| 41/11 $42 / 23$ 43/10 $44 / 544 / 10$ | Mr. Razo [1] 28/4 | 41/10 43/2 44/1 44/16 45/2 45/7 |
| 44/22 48/22 49/13 50/12 53/24 | Mr. Razo's [4] 127/18 129/3 | 45/21 49/7 50/17 50/20 50/23 |
| 55/6 57/13 59/13 62/17 $70 / 17$ | 129/4 129/10 | 52/23 52/25 53/3 53/10 $54 / 4$ |
| 73/19 76/22 80/7 85/15 85/18 | Mr. Rogan [5] 3/6 5/16 29/11 | 54/7 54/10 54/16 54/23 55/1 |
| 102/5 | 30/11 82/8 | 55/2 55/8 55/25 57/7 57/9 59/17 |
| moment [9] 52/3 53/16 59/4 | Mr. Romero [2] 31/7 84/24 | 62/7 64/7 $74 / 14$ 74/18 $75 / 22$ |
| 68/10 84/8 94/15 95/2 95/3 | Mr. Saldana [2] 94/7 119/9 | $\begin{array}{llllll}76 / 12 & 79 / 23 & 80 / 3 & 83 / 5 & 86 / 2\end{array}$ |
| 115/15 | Mr. Saldana's [3] 129/5 129/11 | 86/15 87/1 88/4 88/19 88/21 |
| MONDAY [2] 1/18 3/1 | 135/9 | 89/21 90/16 90/17 90/24 91/2 |
| money [1] 137/6 | Mr. Westbrook [2] 132/10 137/5 | 91/3 91/9 91/12 91/16 91/21 |
| month [3] 32/2 32/4 46/23 | Ms [2] 2/15 94/6 | 92/18 100/23 103/16 103/19 |
| months [1] 32/2 | much [17] 6/20 19/10 28/3 36/2 | 104/12 104/16 105/3 111/18 |
| more [5] 41/23 64/12 72/9 103/3 | 58/12 84/19 89/10 91/5 92/19 | 122/11 123/10 123/14 124/1 |
| 133/25 | 97/12 105/13 119/8 125/22 126/1 | 124/9 124/22 125/11 125/15 |
| morning [5] 5/25 19/15 28/24 | 130/10 136/1 136/3 | 126/17 131/23 134/15 134/22 |
| 31/17 33/16 | multiple [1] 41/25 | 136/4 138/7 139/5 140/5 |
| mornings [1] 94/21 | murder [4] 128/23 129/18 134/2 | No. [1] 97/2 |
| most [2] 3/18 135/12 | 136/7 | No. 3 [1] 97/2 |
| motion [1] 84/2 | music [15] 10/12 10/13 10/25 | nod [2] 6/17 84/12 |
| mouthing [1] 101/5 | 11/18 17/17 17/19 25/7 95/20 | nodding [1] 35/19 |
| move [6] 43/19 45/24 61/5 75/14 | 95/21 96/8 96/20 97/6 100/17 | nonverbal [1] 84/14 |
| 93/8 113/12 | 106/17 107/15 | Nope [3] 49/5 121/21 122/1 |
| moved [1] 43/17 | music's [1] 97/19 | north [9] 8/5 16/2 34/6 47/25 |
| moves [1] 43/20 | must [1] 5/7 | 48/19 49/1 86/4 120/10 121/11 |
| moving [1] 67/15 | muster [1] 131/12 | not [45] 4/3 5/8 21/18 23/6 |
| $\operatorname{Mr}$ $[23]$ $2 / 5$ $2 / 6$ $2 / 7$ | my [52] 6/11 8/12 $8 / 24$ 9/8 | 23/6 23/7 28/25 33/22 34/1 |
| 2/11 2/12 2/16 3/8 3/8 5/24 | $\begin{array}{llllll}17 / 20 & 18 / 23 & 24 / 22 & 27 / 3 & 33 / 9\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}36 / 14 & 37 / 3 & 40 / 10 & 40 / 12 & 40 / 13\end{array}$ |
| 6/12 19/14 $24 / 17$ 31/6 39/11 | 33/21 33/22 34/10 35/18 $36 / 16$ | 46/16 52/24 62/9 66/4 83/12 |
| 44/14 84/23 88/14 89/6 91/24 | $\begin{array}{llllll}36 / 18 & 36 / 19 & 38 / 18 & 38 / 19 & 38 / 21\end{array}$ | 90/19 91/19 93/11 97/25 98/1 |
| 119/16 127/15 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}38 / 22 & 44 / 12 & 47 / 16 & 48 / 3 & 50 / 14\end{array}$ | 99/9 104/24 104/24 105/10 123/4 |
| Mr. [100] 3/6 3/7 3/19 4/4 4/18 | 52/12 54/1 57/15 59/15 61/10 | 124/1 124/22 126/19 126/20 |
| 5/16 27/12 28/4 29/11 29/13 | 62/19 70/19 71/3 $71 / 3$ 71/3 $71 / 5$ | 128/22 128/24 129/7 131/1 131/3 |
| 29/13 29/14 29/22 30/2 30/11 | 73/21 75/22 79/5 80/9 81/1 | 131/8 131/17 132/9 136/21 137/6 |
| $31 / 7$ 35/3 35/8 35/23 36/5 37/3 | 83/24 83/24 85/20 86/25 91/3 | 139/22 140/2 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}37 / 10 & 37 / 13 & 37 / 17 & 38 / 4 & 38 / 10\end{array}$ | 92/14 99/24 100/2 100/11 130/2 | note [1] 135/14 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}38 / 16 & 38 / 23 & 39 / 3 & 39 / 19 & 41 / 6\end{array}$ | 133/1 134/5 | nothing [11] 5/20 19/8 $24 / 12$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}41 / 14 & 42 / 11 & 43 / 8 & 43 / 13 & 44 / 2\end{array}$ | N | $\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 3 & 54 / 16 & 88 / 10 & 91 / 17 & 92 / 14\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}44 / 4 & 47 / 14 & 50 / 15 & 50 / 18 & 50 / 22\end{array}$ | $N$ | 92/16 94/3 125/24 |
| 50/24 51/11 51/13 53/23 54/8 | name [14] 5/12 6/11 13/24 30/15 | nothing's [1] 131/24 |
| 56/11 57/4 57/5 58/7 59/19 | $34 / 15$ 50/4 50/5 75/23 86/14 | now [99] 7/1 12/24 14/5 16/14 |
| 61/20 62/23 63/8 65/7 65/24 | 86/15 93/2 94/16 94/18 120/25 | 17/10 17/14 17/24 19/1 19/3 |
| 66/11 66/21 67/1 68/11 68/23 | named [2] 4/7 129/23 | 20/5 27/12 28/10 28/18 $31 / 25$ |
| 68/24 71/25 72/3 73/6 73/16 | nature [2] 40/22 137/7 | 32/1 $34 / 22$ 37/13 45/24 47/2 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}75 / 12 & 75 / 21 & 78 / 6 & 79 / 11 & 79 / 12\end{array}$ | Near [1] 63/24 | $47 / 7$ 56/5 56/6 60/1 60/20 62/23 |
| 80/19 82/8 82/24 84/18 84/24 | nearby [1] 12/17 | 63/22 64/5 65/1 65/7 65/18 |
| 91/18 94/7 119/9 119/12 126/18 | nearly [1] 63/23 | 67/15 67/21 68/14 69/3 69/10 |
| 127/9 127/10 127/18 129/3 129/4 | need [5] 17/19 46/14 81/2 83/19 | $\begin{array}{llllll}70 / 15 & 70 / 15 & 72 / 22 & 73 / 5 & 73 / 15\end{array}$ |
| 129/5 129/10 129/11 129/25 | 105/8 | $\begin{array}{llllll}73 / 16 & 75 / 10 & 75 / 14 & 76 / 1 & 76 / 20\end{array}$ |
| 132/5 132/10 132/13 133/11 | needed [1] 105/9 | $\begin{array}{llllll}78 / 14 & 78 / 18 & 79 / 10 & 80 / 3 & 85 / 12\end{array}$ |
| 135/9 135/19 135/23 137/4 137/5 | needs [2] 32/13 136/18 | 89/15 94/17 95/14 98/6 102/19 |


| N | 27/20 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Opponent [5] }\end{aligned}$ | partaking [1] 134/2 <br> particular [4] 49/19 53/19 59/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| now... [44] 103/5 103/7 103/9 | 40/24 51/17 | 120/7 |
| 106/19 106/24 107/9 107/14 | opportunity [5] 3/21 22/1 24/8 | parties [3] 20/9 21/4 29/20 |
| 108/7 108/8 108/18 109/3 109/8 | 45/18 130/12 | party [5] 40/7 40/9 40/19 40/24 |
| 109/25 110/3 110/14 110/18 | opposite [1] 117/5 | 51/16 |
| 111/6 111/15 112/22 112/25 | order [2] 129/25 137/20 | Pass [2] 80/18 119/10 |
| 113/5 113/12 113/16 114/20 | original [2] 102/6 103/5 | passenger [3] 102/18 113/9 |
| 115/7 115/9 115/13 115/24 | originally [1] 80/13 | 118/5 |
| 115/25 116/10 117/12 117/20 | other [61] 9/21 9/22 10/1 11/15 | passing [1] 45/13 |
| 118/4 118/10 119/18 125/8 | $\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 18 & 13 / 13 & 14 / 13 & 15 / 5 & 15 / 23\end{array}$ | past [3] 19/25 136/14 137/11 |
| 130/13 130/23 130/25 131/24 | $\begin{array}{lllll}20 / 19 & 21 / 22 & 23 / 14 & 25 / 2 & 25 / 4\end{array}$ | patrols [1] 72/7 |
| 132/23 133/5 134/1 134/16 | 36/8 42/8 $42 / 12$ 42/12 $43 / 1$ 45/1 | pause [2] 70/23 111/22 |
| NRS [2] 139/6 139/18 | 47/8 $47 / 18$ 49/24 $50 / 1$ 50/6 $54 / 5$ | paused [2] 108/7 109/1 |
| number [3] 130/14 139/23 139/24 | 54/6 61/18 62/5 64/20 65/20 | pay [1] 86/19 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 65/25 71/12 82/20 83/11 83/21 | PEACE [1] 1/17 |
|  | 88/6 93/11 93/12 98/4 98/7 98/9 | peculiar [1] 122/5 |
| O00 [2] 138/3 140/1 | 98/11 99/11 99/17 101/3 101/13 | penalties [1] 136/8 |
| objection [5] 39/25 40/2 45/20 | 107/16 108/2 110/9 111/25 117/3 | people [23] 7/21 8/21 9/3 9/18 |
| 45/21 51/15 | 123/16 124/12 129/21 130/20 | 22/3 22/6 29/2 42/1 49/24 50/1 |
| observations [1] 83/13 | 130/23 132/11 132/12 134/23 | 50/6 87/3 88/6 105/24 110/4 |
| observers [1] 29/2 | 135/13 | 120/14 120/18 122/3 122/4 |
| observing [2] 84/10 121/19 | our [3] 29/11 71/2 93/10 | 123/15 124/11 129/9 129/9 |
| obviously [9] 82/2 82/3 82/9 | out [58] 6/18 15/11 17/1 17/7 | perceive [1] 129/9 |
| 83/15 105/22 132/16 133/18 | 18/1 19/6 22/19 22/22 44/8 | percipient [1] 130/19 |
| 136/13 136/19 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}44 / 15 & 44 / 18 & 45 / 4 & 47 / 14 & 47 / 15\end{array}$ | period [2] 94/11 107/22 |
| occurred [1] 130/15 | 47/16 49/7 53/21 54/15 56/20 | permission [3] 82/7 83/4 83/7 |
| OCTOBER [3] 1/18 3/1 138/1 | 57/4 58/6 63/20 64/5 66/24 | person [57] 9/2 9/20 9/21 9/22 |
| October 25 [1] 138/1 | 68/20 79/12 85/1 85/12 85/16 | 10/1 10/3 $10 / 16$ 10/17 10/18 |
| off [15] 14/12 14/22 14/25 | 96/2 98/21 98/22 100/1 100/17 | 10/19 10/21 11/5 11/5 11/7 |
| 17/19 33/21 46/10 49/8 76/20 | 101/22 101/22 102/19 102/21 | 11/14 11/16 11/23 12/1 $20 / 12$ |
| 92/13 98/16 99/7 99/7 101/5 | 105/2 105/8 107/8 109/8 114/23 | 21/6 21/21 22/11 22/14 23/11 |
| 114/21 125/19 | 118/4 123/23 123/24 124/1 124/3 | 27/14 27/21 34/15 41/23 41/24 |
| offense [1] 136/7 | 124/4 124/5 124/7 124/16 124/18 | 41/25 42/3 42/5 42/7 60/1 60/4 |
| offered [3] 27/13 40/10 40/15 | 124/19 124/23 132/24 134/10 | 70/9 82/21 93/12 97/21 98/12 |
| officer [6] 54/18 54/21 54/22 | 135/18 | 98/25 100/18 102/19 102/22 |
| 99/22 133/4 134/4 | outlined [1] 129/20 | 103/6 103/9 110/4 119/2 123/16 |
| Official [1] 1/25 | Outside [15] 6/11 37/17 38/6 | 124/12 125/4 130/21 130/21 |
| often [2] 35/12 36/7 | $38 / 20$ 38/23 39/2 41/6 53/12 | 133/25 136/5 139/23 139/25 |
| Oh [5] 31/16 55/14 56/1 | 75/11 76/5 80/1 82/4 89/12 | perspective [1] 79/15 |
| 125/18 | 96/11 101/12 | Phillips [3] 4/8 94/16 127/10 |
| Okay [38] 5/1 5/9 6/4 6/8 6/15 | over [17] 3/14 20/18 21/17 | phone [4] 57/6 63/13 106/2 |
| 6/16 8/13 18/20 24/19 28/11 | 21/23 25/10 77/13 92/2 93/11 | 128/4 |
| 29/4 31/16 31/17 31/20 32/20 | 98/6 99/13 111/25 112/2 117/21 | photograph [4] 61/8 82/11 82/21 |
| $36 / 3$ 37/5 37/20 43/7 44/13 | 130/25 134/22 134/23 135/4 | 83/9 |
| 47/13 48/16 61/12 81/25 84/16 | overhead [1] 45/18 | photographs [2] 83/3 83/5 |
| 87/23 89/2 93/15 93/16 95/1 | overheard [1] 11/3 | photography [1] 83/1 |
| 95/6 95/7 100/10 102/4 119/20 | overnight [1] 37/7 | picture [4] 81/14 81/19 81/20 |
| 121/24 123/8 137/24 | Overruled [1] 51/19 | 82/14 |
| old [3] 17/20 36/19 135/25 | Own [1] 86/25 | pictures [1] 82/6 |
| once [1] 24/7 | Owns [1] 124/3 | PIKE [25] 1/23 2/6 2/10 2/12 |
| One [49] 3/13 9/20 9/20 9/21 | P | 2/16 $3 / 7$ 4/4 $4 / 18$ 19/14 $27 / 12$ |
| 11/5 11/7 $22 / 23$ 23/15 $25 / 5 \quad 25 / 8$ | P | 29/13 29/22 $30 / 2$ 80/19 $82 / 24$ |
| 29/15 33/22 $38 / 7$ 39/9 41/23 | p.m [3] 33/13 33/14 36/23 | 84/18 84/23 91/18 91/24 119/12 |
| 41/24 41/25 42/4 67/25 72/9 | packs [1] 77/15 | 119/16 132/5 133/11 135/19 |
| 72/9 72/11 80/5 80/14 82/1 83/9 | page [16] 2/2 18/15 18/18 26/20 | 137/4 |
| 85/9 86/13 87/8 88/19 93/12 | 26/23 26/25 26/25 55/18 55/21 | Pike's [1] 132/13 |
| 100/3 104/6 104/22 104/23 110/4 | $\begin{array}{llllll}55 / 25 & 56 / 1 & 56 / 4 & 56 / 8 & 90 / 4 & 90 / 9\end{array}$ | placard [2] 13/24 13/24 |
| 115/24 116/3 116/13 122/5 | 90/15 | place [17] 7/22 8/23 $9 / 7$ 9/11 |
| 122/16 122/17 123/16 123/24 | page 27 [1] 56/8 | 11/9 11/11 26/5 26/9 39/21 40/6 |
| 124/1 124/11 124/16 125/5 | pants [2] 13/20 23/3 | 54/12 55/11 75/20 105/13 112/9 |
| 130/18 | parallel [1] 86/5 | 125/13 125/15 |
| ones [1] 124/14 | parked [6] 14/24 15/9 101/17 | Plaintiff [2] 1/8 139/5 |
| only [11] 4/25 29/25 41/23 | 123/7 123/9 123/12 | plastic [3] 74/16 74/19 85/10 |
| 43/13 71/2 80/5 80/14 86/13 | parking [20] 9/10 9/12 9/23 | play [2] 58/13 106/3 |
| 93/12 127/21 137/3 | 10/17 12/20 14/23 15/3 15/12 | played [1] 86/9 |
| open [6] 42/15 43/8 82/12 84/9 | 17/25 53/12 53/22 56/20 69/21 | playing [82] 57/19 58/16 59/6 |
| 96/10 139/18 | 95/16 95/23 96/7 98/7 120/7 | 59/24 60/16 61/14 62/21 63/6 |
| opened [1] 66/21 | 121/12 123/6 | 63/10 64/3 64/9 64/24 65/5 |
| opening [3] 65/8 66/13 69/5 | parks [2] 17/25 101/17 | 65/15 66/8 66/15 66/19 67/18 |
| opens [1] 42/17 | part [6] 14/1 17/9 24/2 $37 / 2$ | $\begin{array}{llllll} 68 / 8 & 68 / 18 & 69 / 1 & 69 / 8 & 69 / 23 & 70 / 4 \end{array}$ |


| P | proceedings [5] 29/8 81/6 138/5 | 81/5 81/13 83/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| playing... [53] 73/25 75/3 75/8 | processing [1] 32/18 | recognize [2] $67 / 23$ 11 <br> recollect [1] $129 / 9$  |
| 75/17 76/7 76/18 77/1 77/10 | project [1] 106/1 | record [21] 4/22 5/13 6/14 6/19 |
| 77/24 78/3 78/7 78/21 79/8 | proper [1] 127/23 | 30/16 34/24 $45 / 17$ 57/16 58/10 |
| 79/18 96/8 106/8 106/17 106/22 | property [11] 95/22 99/7 101/15 | 58/18 58/23 67/13 71/1 81/8 |
| 107/5 107/12 107/19 107/25 | 101/15 102/12 109/3 112/23 | 83/16 92/14 92/14 93/3 97/1 |
| 108/5 108/13 108/21 108/25 | 113/22 117/19 120/23 123/17 | 106/20 136/18 |
| 109/6 109/18 109/23 110/16 | Proposed [2] 29/18 45/24 | recorded [1] 55/4 |
| 110/23 111/4 111/13 112/16 | protect [2] 136/21 137/1 | recording [2] 55/3 55/11 |
| 112/20 113/3 113/14 113/19 | protected [1] 52/13 | records [1] 29/23 |
| 114/5 114/12 114/18 115/5 | protection [1] 131/4 | recross [3] 2/12 27/25 91/23 |
| 115/11 115/17 115/22 116/8 | protective [1] 50/7 | Recross-Examination [2] 2/12 |
| 116/17 117/1 117/10 117/25 | prove [1] 127/7 | 91/23 |
| 118/8 118/14 118/22 | public [2] 1/23 82/25 | red [3] 48/11 82/1 83/18 |
| plea [1] 137/25 | pull [3] 113/5 114/7 121/10 | Reddin [4] 28/18 28/21 28/22 |
| please [12] 4/15 6/10 24/14 | pulled [1] 108/1 | 29/1 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll}34 / 19 & 47 / 6 & 47 / 10 & 47 / 12 & 58 / 4\end{array}$ | pulls [2] 102/1 128/5 | Redding [1] 28/20 |
| 58/9 60/24 63/8 90/5 | punch [1] 103/15 | redirect [8] 2/7 2/11 24/13 |
| point [28] 12/24 14/5 15/25 | Punched [1] 79/2 | 24/16 88/11 88/13 91/20 125/23 |
| 16/19 18/9 34/14 $34 / 19$ 37/13 | punches [3] 103/10 103/11 | refer [1] 94/23 |
| 37/16 42/11 43/7 44/9 50/24 | 105/10 | referencing [1] 59/1 |
| 52/7 54/2 56/17 58/25 61/23 | punching [4] 101/25 103/20 | reflect [1] 34/25 |
| 74/9 82/23 90/14 96/11 98/10 | 104/6 105/13 | refresh [1] 89/22 |
| 98/16 101/7 111/17 116/19 | Purdy [3] 36/18 36/22 37/3 | refused [1] 134/6 |
| 135/22 | purposes [5] $4 / 1$ 4/22 29/24 | refuses [1] 137/13 |
| pointing [4] 14/1 47/2 61/24 | 58/25 130/25 | regarding [3] 130/7 130/16 |
| 109/13 | pursuant [3] 4/14 128/8 139/18 | 139/19 |
| poker [1] 84/3 | put [9] 4/22 33/4 38/11 38/14 | regards [7] 127/12 127/13 |
| police [18] 7/1 18/6 24/5 25/21 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}38 / 14 & 48 / 14 & 58 / 2 & 116 / 1 & 116 / 6\end{array}$ | 127/19 127/24 128/19 128/19 |
| 26/1 26/15 27/4 27/7 27/13 | putting [6] 74/3 $74 / 5$ 74/14 | 132/15 |
| 27/17 45/3 54/11 54/18 54/21 | 74/16 74/18 77/5 | regrind [3] 33/2 33/6 57/23 |
| 54/22 79/24 105/25 106/2 | Q | regrinding [2] $33 / 3$ 33/4 |
|  | Q ${ }^{\text {question [9] 18/23 25/25 }}$ | relation [1] 9/19 <br> release [3] 135/17 137/10 |
| 137/8 137/18 | 36/3 38/8 38/8 61/6 111/23 | 137/13 |
| posted [1] 137/12 | 119/22 | released [1] 137/20 |
| posting [1] 137/9 | questions [14] 6/2 6/22 7/4 | remain [1] 83/14 |
| pounds [1] 21/11 | 17/10 19/16 27/12 28/2 89/1 | remainder [1] 127/5 |
| pre [1] 95/6 | 91/20 94/8 95/4 95/6 119/17 | remaining [1] 3/23 |
| pre-questions [1] 95/6 | 125/22 | remains [1] 83/10 |
| preliminary [12] 1/15 3/6 4/1 | guite [1] 95/16 | remember [51] 10/6 12/22 14/2 |
| 4/23 4/24 6/10 29/24 126/19 | R | $\begin{array}{llllllll}18 / 3 & 18 / 5 & 18 / 24 & 19 / 3 & 26 / 7 & 26 / 14\end{array}$ |
| 127/9 128/25 130/6 131/11 |  | 27/3 34/2 37/21 49/17 51/11 |
| preponderance [1] 127/7 | R-a-m-i-r-o [1] 30/17 | 54/11 54/13 54/24 55/5 55/9 |
| present [8] $3 / 7 \begin{array}{lllll}\text { [ }\end{array}$ | R-a-z-O [1] 5/15 | 55/12 56/5 56/6 56/9 56/14 |
| 29/12 29/13 29/14 131/10 | R-o-m-e-r-o [1] 30/18 | 56/16 57/3 57/5 57/8 78/12 |
| presentation [1] 130/5 | race [1] 16/4 | 80/15 85/2 89/7 89/10 89/15 |
| presumably [1] 123/7 | raised [2] 24/25 25/3 | 89/19 90/1 90/3 90/18 90/19 |
| presume [1] 53/18 | raising [1] 20/9 | 90/21 90/22 91/4 91/5 91/7 |
| pretrial [1] 136/11 | RAMIRO [3] $2 / 8$ 30/12 $30 / 17$ | 91/10 91/13 96/17 101/10 121/16 |
| pretty [8] 10/9 17/8 34/13 76/9 | ramp [1] 57/23 | 132/4 135/1 |
| 93/7 107/21 120/5 120/13 | RANDALL [1] 1/23 | reminder [1] 84/1 |
| previous [1] 18/23 | RAZO [7] $2 / 4$ 5/5 $5 / 14$ 6/12 $28 / 4$ | removal [1] 83/8 |
| previously [9] $26 / 24$ 28/19 60/7 | 127/16 127/17 | remove [2] 84/2 84/5 |
| 61/2 62/4 62/10 80/4 88/17 | Razo's [4] 127/18 129/3 129/4 | removed [2] 83/11 83/18 |
| 117/16 | 129/10 | reopen [1] 88/24 |
| price [1] 88/4 | RE [1] 139/6 | report [1] 4/3 |
| principles [1] 4/11 | read [9] 18/19 19/3 24/8 26/23 | reported [2] 1/24 139/18 |
| prior [6] 19/24 50/21 52/10 | 26/24 26/25 55/22 90/9 90/14 | reporter [3] 1/25 93/10 139/15 |
| 53/8 57/4 83/4 | reading [1] 18/21 | REPORTER'S [2] 1/14 139/17 |
| probable [1] 131/24 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { ready [4] } & \text { 29/15 } & 76 / 14 & 79 / 4 & 79 / 5\end{array}$ | representation [1] 28/17 |
| probably [9] 9/9 12/13 25/18 | real [2] 35/6 36/18 | request [1] 83/13 |
|  | realize [1] 132/3 | required [1] 127/8 |
| $\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { 135/11 } \\ \text { probation [8] } & 133 / 3 & 133 / 4 & 133 / 4\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{lr}\text { Reserve [1] } & 126 / 23 \\ \text { resolved [1] } & 83 / 10\end{array}$ |
| 133/22 134/16 135/15 135/18 | reason [4] 24/2 76/12 132/21 | resolved [1] 83/10 |
| 135/20 | 137/14 | respond [1] 131/17 |
| problem [2] 39/24 43/6 | rebuttal [1] 126/23 | rest [1] 126/9 |
| problems [2] 17/3 39/7 | recall [4] 18/4 21/9 87/11 | rested [1] 126/10 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{\|lllll} \text { proceed } \\ 93 / 17 \end{array}\right] \quad 5 / 16 \quad 29 / 15 \quad 30 / 20$ | 134/25 recess [6] | restrictions [1] 83/1 <br> restroom [1] 81/2 |


| R | $\begin{array}{lllll} 14 / 8 & 14 / 10 & 15 / 5 & 16 / 8 & 16 / 14 \\ 21 / 22 & 22 / 21 & 24 / 3 & 24 / 24 & 25 / 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|lllll} \hline \text { seems [1] } & 129 / 4 \\ \text { seen [14] } & 6 / 3 & 15 / 11 & 22 / 5 & 42 / 20 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| resuming [1] 3/23 | 26/10 41/25 45/9 48/7 51/11 | 49/7 67/14 78/7 79/21 83/23 |
| retaliation [1] 134/7 | 51/14 53/11 56/13 62/7 71/7 | 92/5 105/17 134/17 135/23 |
| retrieve [1] 69/25 | 81/18 86/9 86/19 91/25 92/1 | 136/18 |
| review [2] 18/8 45/18 | 114/7 120/17 121/7 121/10 | self [1] 23/20 |
| reviewed [1] 134/25 | 121/16 123/15 124/19 127/22 | self-defense [1] 23/20 |
| revolver [2] 87/20 105/20 | 129/22 | sell [1] 52/10 |
| right [88] 5/1 5/10 6/24 6/25 | say [28] 13/17 17/12 17/14 19/5 | semiautomatic [1] 105/20 |
| 7/2 7/5 8/24 8/25 9/1 9/8 14/12 | 20/8 21/11 27/9 31/15 38/4 39/5 | September [7] 6/3 6/23 7/7 37/7 |
| 14/18 $14 / 19$ 17/13 18/16 $24 / 25$ | 39/20 39/23 40/18 41/14 49/3 | 37/7 94/10 94/17 |
| 25/23 26/2 $26 / 5$ 26/7 26/21 | 49/6 51/13 51/21 53/1 67/3 | September 14 [5] 6/3 7/7 37/7 |
| 26/22 27/1 27/2 27/15 27/18 | 80/21 82/2 98/1 105/12 105/14 | 94/10 94/17 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}28 / 18 & 29 / 4 & 30 / 4 & 31 / 14 & 31 / 20\end{array}$ | 120/22 135/7 137/3 | September 15 [1] 37/7 |
| $32 / 22$ 32/24 34/20 34/22 36/19 | saying [25] 10/15 10/25 11/16 | service [1] 136/12 |
| 42/22 47/1 50/11 55/21 56/9 | 11/17 $18 / 2$ 18/24 $19 / 4$ 20/17 | set [2] 130/17 136/15 |
| 58/11 58/14 58/19 58/21 62/16 | 20/18 $25 / 6$ 42/25 $43 / 3$ 43/5 $43 / 6$ | setting [1] 130/7 |
| 64/13 65/20 68/2 70/15 70/16 | 55/8 91/4 91/7 91/10 91/13 93/9 | seven [3] 9/17 16/23 100/4 |
| 74/14 74/21 75/10 76/1 80/3 | 101/1 103/9 127/16 131/25 | seven feet [1] 9/17 |
| 80/6 80/19 80/25 84/17 85/23 | 133/24 | several [1] 134/6 |
| 86/6 97/8 97/8 97/15 99/8 99/22 | says [6] 24/21 27/8 38/16 41/6 | shadowing [1] 122/9 |
| 100/19 101/16 101/17 107/9 | 71/5 90/11 | shake [1] 84/13 |
| 107/14 108/8 108/17 110/18 | scene [1] 69/12 | she [8] 3/13 6/14 28/19 32/10 |
| 111/8 111/24 112/5 117/7 117/13 | screaming [1] 111/20 | 32/12 32/13 93/12 136/1 |
| 117/20 118/11 125/2 125/5 125/8 | screen [13] 57/11 59/11 60/2 | she's [2] 5/1 31/14 |
| 125/11 126/18 133/5 | 60/19 71/4 71/4 71/5 71/13 | sheet [1] 136/12 |
| right-hand [2] 58/11 58/19 | 81/19 96/23 97/4 101/17 114/2 | shelter [1] 106/1 |
| risk [6] 132/19 132/22 136/2 | screens [2] 71/2 71/12 | shift [12] 33/8 33/9 33/15 |
| 136/4 136/6 136/11 | Searles [17] 7/11 8/1 8/5 8/6 | 35/10 35/11 37/2 37/7 37/11 |
| Rizzo [1] 127/16 | 8/7 8/8 10/4 10/16 11/23 15/14 | 49/20 71/13 84/25 85/1 |
| ROGAN [15] 1/21 2/5 2/7 2/9 | 34/7 72/23 73/6 86/3 106/25 | shifts [2] 36/4 36/6 |
| 2/11 3/6 5/16 5/24 24/17 29/11 | 108/17 115/14 | shininess [1] 12/9 |
| 30/11 31/6 82/8 88/14 89/6 | seat [4] 5/11 30/14 93/1 118/4 | shirt [62] 13/20 13/22 14/6 |
| roll [1] 84/13 | second [10] 22/22 38/7 39/9 | $\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 18 & 14 / 21 & 15 / 8 & 16 / 3 & 16 / 7\end{array}$ |
| ROMERO [5] $2 / 8$ 30/13 $30 / 17$ 31/7 | 51/14 122/8 122/13 124/7 124/8 | 16/15 17/11 23/1 25/5 25/16 |
| 84/24 | 124/10 134/1 | $\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 10 & 34 / 23 ~ 49 / 18 ~ 50 / 2 ~ 50 / 3 ~\end{array}$ |
| Roughly [1] 85/ | seconds [17] 63/23 65/1 65/17 | 50/6 53/19 53/23 54/3 54/6 54/6 |
| rule [1] 40/10 | 66/10 66/17 68/6 68/16 69/4 | 56/14 56/16 56/20 60/6 82/1 |
| rules [1] 83/19 | 69/11 70/11 70/16 72/21 77/3 | 83/18 86/17 86/18 87/8 87/10 |
| run [2] 128/14 137/10 | 78/5 78/19 106/6 106/20 | 106/12 106/16 107/8 109/9 110/1 |
| running [5] 33/12 52/1 77/22 | security [19] $22 / 2$ 39/8 42/20 | 110/5 111/16 114/2 114/20 115/2 |
| 89/11 117/12 | 44/17 48/7 63/17 72/6 72/7 | 115/24 116/1 116/1 116/5 116/6 |
| rush [1] 76/12 | 72/10 86/5 86/11 86/20 105/25 | 116/13 119/3 123/17 123/24 |
| Ryan [2] 75/22 75/23 | 106/13 120/22 122/17 123/1 | 123/24 123/25 124/2 124/12 |
| S | $\left[\begin{array}{cc} 139 / 22 & 139 / 24 \\ \text { see }[115] & 6 / 1 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124 / 13 \text { 125/1 125/4 127/20 } \\ & 128 / 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| S-a-l-d-a-n-a [1] 93/5 | 11/19 12/3 12/6 12/9 12/17 13/1 | shirts [2] 87/4 87/15 |
| safety [6] 50/8 132/19 133/2 | 13/5 13/8 13/21 14/5 14/9 14/21 | shit [7] 42/17 66/6 70/10 71/9 |
| 134/3 134/13 136/16 | 15/9 15/19 15/24 16/10 20/22 | 72/13 76/5 79/25 |
| said [40] 8/17 8/18 10/10 16/16 | 21/3 21/16 22/10 23/6 23/6 | shocked [1] 128/12 |
| 18/25 19/2 19/6 24/24 38/5 | $\begin{array}{llllll}23 / 10 & 25 / 16 & 29 / 5 & 34 / 17 & 39 / 10\end{array}$ | shooter [3] 104/11 116/4 130/20 |
| 38/17 41/10 46/20 47/7 50/10 | 41/4 41/9 42/15 45/5 45/9 46/24 | shooting [6] 23/6 27/15 27/21 |
| 51/22 51/25 52/3 56/25 62/4 | 48/17 50/18 51/5 53/8 54/2 54/5 | 104/13 105/13 105/18 |
| 68/12 71/8 72/12 80/5 80/15 | 57/11 58/5 58/6 58/20 58/22 | shoots [3] 102/2 103/21 128/9 |
| 89/11 89/14 90/22 90/23 90/24 | 59/11 60/19 61/17 62/1 62/7 | short [2] 99/3 128/6 |
| 97/24 98/5 101/24 119/1 121/7 | 62/8 62/23 64/13 66/3 66/6 | shorter [5] 102/25 103/2 103/21 |
| 122/19 129/24 132/6 136/1 137/4 | 68/13 71/9 $71 / 11$ 71/22 $71 / 25$ | 104/6 128/9 |
| 139/21 | 72/14 74/24 75/5 77/21 78/5 | Shorthand [1] 139/14 |
| sake [1] 61/6 | 79/14 79/16 83/16 84/10 84/11 | Shortly [1] 127/22 |
| SALDANA [5] 2/14 92/25 93/4 | 84/11 86/1 87/25 94/20 95/3 | shot [3] 23/8 23/18 105/23 |
| 94/7 119/9 | 95/25 96/7 96/23 97/18 99/5 | should [4] 28/15 82/22 130/7 |
| Saldana's [4] 128/8 129/5 | 102/14 103/17 105/16 105/16 | 134/15 |
| 129/11 135/9 | 106/10 106/11 106/24 106/25 | shouldn't [1] 82/2 |
| sale [1] 88/8 | 107/7 109/8 109/12 110/3 111/6 | show [10] 26/20 50/24 55/21 |
| same [26] 7/4 14/6 14/25 23/1 | 113/5 113/21 114/1 114/20 115/1 | 56/3 56/8 79/24 79/25 80/2 97/5 |
| 23/3 42/13 67/15 67/22 78/19 | 115/19 115/24 115/25 117/12 | 133/13 |
| 84/8 105/1 106/4 106/4 108/23 | 118/3 118/16 123/9 123/11 124/1 | showed [8] 50/20 51/1 $52 / 3$ 52/8 |
| 109/2 109/9 109/25 110/5 112/9 | 124/20 132/2 132/8 132/23 | 52/14 53/4 132/11 132/11 |
| 112/22 117/7 117/15 118/19 | 137/19 | showing [5] 18/15 52/21 67/21 |
| 124/5 124/6 133/7 | seeing [7] 14/2 57/21 67/24 | 79/20 80/4 |
| saving [1] 29/24 | 74/2 101/11 119/2 121/16 | shows [3] 80/10 101/18 136/12 |
| saw [38] 6/23 8/17 11/12 11/21 | seem [3] 76/9 128/12 128/13 | shut [1] 101/2 |


| S | $\begin{array}{ccc}100 / 10 & 101 / 15 & 123 / 7\end{array}$ | $\text { stopped [4] } 48 / 9 \quad 48 / 14 \quad 48 / 16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| side [47] $8 / 1$ 8/3 9/20 9/21 | sorry [17] $6 / 6$ 8/20 24/21 $25 / 25$ | stopping [1] 59/8 |
| 9/22 10/1 10/16 11/6 11/15 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll} & 31 / 9 & 31 / 14 & 33 / 7 & 34 / 3 & 35 / 19 & 36 / 3\end{array}$ | stores [2] 88/20 88/21 |
| 11/24 12/19 13/13 15/23 21/22 | 41/8 47/16 53/22 60/14 74/4 | street [40] 8/24 8/25 9/8 10/22 |
| 21/23 22/6 25/2 25/5 42/8 42/12 | 74/16 74/24 | 11/6 13/14 15/13 15/20 15/21 |
| 42/13 43/1 45/1 47/8 47/18 62/5 | sounded [2] 16/20 21/15 | 15/22 18/1 18/25 21/17 26/11 |
| 64/20 65/20 65/25 69/16 72/22 | south [2] 8/6 72/22 | 42/19 $44 / 8$ 44/15 44/19 45/12 |
| 81/10 97/11 98/7 98/9 99/17 | southbound [1] 15/16 | 53/21 57/4 65/19 67/8 67/9 |
| 102/18 110/9 111/25 114/23 | southeast [1] 73/6 | 67/12 70/7 80/6 80/14 97/9 99/8 |
| 117/3 117/4 117/5 117/7 118/10 | speak [2] 6/13 93/11 | 99/9 99/15 101/23 108/16 111/1 |
| 120/9 125/10 | speaking [4] 42/25 44/21 54/11 | 111/16 116/2 116/11 124/23 |
| sidewalk [5] 10/4 15/19 15/23 | 93/7 | 125/12 |
| 42/1 67/9 | Special [1] 1/23 | streetlight [1] 21/3 |
| sign [2] 42/19 99/8 | specific [2] 33/1 98/4 | streets [3] 7/9 13/4 34/5 |
| significant [1] 137/12 | specifically [4] 7/24 9/6 39/20 | strong [1] 128/21 |
| signs [2] 82/3 82/5 | 39/23 | stuffed [1] 35/6 |
| silently [2] 18/19 55/22 | spectator [1] 83/9 | stunned [1] 104/14 |
| since [3] 18/9 36/20 131/25 | sped [1] 14/23 | submit [1] 134/18 |
| sir [78] 5/6 5/25 18/15 19/15 | speech [2] 21/13 21/14 | subpoenaed [2] 5/6 6/9 |
| 19/19 20/1 20/4 23/9 31/19 37/4 | spell [3] 5/13 30/16 93/3 | subsequent [1] 82/15 |
| 37/9 37/12 $41 / 13$ 42/10 43/12 | spoke [4] 7/1 25/21 26/1 89/7 | Suburban [21] 101/20 101/24 |
| 44/7 44/12 45/2 50/17 50/20 | spot [1] 47/5 | 102/15 102/17 102/20 103/6 |
| 50/23 54/7 54/10 54/23 55/2 | SS [1] 139/11 | 103/10 113/6 114/14 114/24 |
| 57/7 57/9 57/12 58/1 59/15 60/3 | staff [1] 83/24 | 118/4 122/18 122/22 123/1 123/5 |
| 60/8 60/21 61/21 62/19 62/24 | stairs [1] 57/23 | 124/17 124/19 128/5 128/6 |
| 65/23 66/23 67/7 67/21 68/4 | stand [4] 23/20 27/18 27/22 | 133/14 133/17 |
| 68/15 69/19 70/19 70/22 71/21 | 100/24 | sufficient [1] 131/12 |
| 71/24 72/1 72/8 72/24 73/1 73/8 | standard [3] 128/18 132/16 | suggest [1] 137/8 |
| 73/21 74/10 75/6 75/13 76/24 | 134/14 | SULLIVAN [1] 1/16 |
| 78/17 80/9 85/20 85/25 86/2 | standing [19] 9/19 12/12 42/14 | support [1] 127/4 |
| 86/7 86/10 86/15 88/9 88/15 | 47/8 47/11 47/18 61/1 61/18 | sure [12] 10/9 18/13 34/13 |
| 89/7 89/18 89/21 91/3 91/9 | 62/2 62/4 62/5 62/8 62/9 66/11 | 52/12 56/24 61/7 84/12 88/23 |
| 91/12 91/16 92/7 92/19 95/6 | 73/5 104/4 104/9 104/10 108/17 | 91/21 100/8 104/24 132/14 |
| 119/18 | start [9] 3/5 15/12 18/18 31/23 | surprised [1] 104/15 |
| sit [1] 80/25 | 33/10 33/11 35/10 90/8 106/5 | surprising [2] 129/7 133/19 |
| situated [1] 100/14 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { started [10] } & 3 / 13 & 35 / 9 & 35 / 10\end{array}$ | surveillance [8] 3/10 3/22 |
| situation [1] 19/7 | 49/8 58/18 97/21 100/7 100/17 | 29/19 81/15 129/14 129/22 |
| six [3] 9/17 9/17 100/3 | 105/18 119/5 | 132/10 135/8 |
| six feet [1] 9/17 | starts [1] 101/25 | suspecting [1] 29/2 |
| skinny [1] 99/3 | STATE [21] 1/5 1/7 1/21 2/2 3/7 | SUV [3] 97/15 121/3 121/4 |
| sleep [4] 17/20 21/17 31/10 | 5/5 5/12 29/12 30/15 82/13 | swing [1] 105/10 |
| 89/11 | 88/24 92/24 93/2 126/9 126/10 | switch [2] 73/22 117/21 |
| sleeping [2] 99/16 125/16 | 126/22 127/6 139/2 139/4 139/11 | sworn [3] 5/19 31/2 94/2 |
| sleeps [1] 110/13 | 139/16 | T |
| slight [4] 127/8 128/18 129/12 | State's [11] 2/22 2/23 29/18 | 1 |
| 129/17 | 30/7 30/10 45/23 46/3 57/16 | tag [2] 50/4 50/5 |
| slurring [1] 21/13 | 65/18 82/9 97/1 | take [17] 3/17 19/7 28/9 32/13 |
| smaller [3] 116/5 125/6 125/7 | stated [1] 103/20 | 38/7 41/3 42/21 46/10 57/10 |
| so [142] | statement [17] 18/16 23/15 24/9 | 80/20 81/19 82/5 83/2 89/22 |
| Social [2] 139/22 139/24 | 24/10 26/13 40/4 40/5 40/7 40/8 | 93/12 121/23 121/24 |
| some [37] 3/10 6/2 6/2 6/22 | 40/19 $40 / 24$ 40/24 51/16 55/4 | taken [4] 1/18 81/14 83/6 $93 / 10$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}6 / 23 & 12 / 24 & 14 / 5 & 16 / 19 & 26 / 1\end{array}$ | 55/22 89/9 89/23 | takes [1] 36/20 |
| 27/12 $31 / 10$ 34/14 $37 / 13$ 37/16 | statements [2] 135/3 135/13 | taking [9] 6/14 7/22 8/23 9/7 |
| 42/11 43/22 52/11 54/2 56/17 | statute [1] 83/2 | 9/11 31/15 55/3 55/10 82/11 |
| 95/3 95/4 95/5 96/11 98/10 | stay [5] 35/25 83/19 83/20 | talk [13] 9/14 14/17 22/2 22/11 |
| 101/7 $706 / 3$ 128/3 129/5 132/11 | 83/22 98/7 | 36/10 36/14 36/15 52/6 54/21 |
| 132/12 133/23 135/8 135/12 | stayed [1] 13/15 | 80/23 81/1 94/15 137/19 |
| 135/13 136/6 136/10 136/13 | staying [3] 94/12 99/20 100/13 | talked [5] 3/15 17/18 18/6 |
| somebody [9] 10/13 14/13 14/14 | stays [1] 44/16 | 27/13 27/17 |
| 14/16 24/1 81/2 84/5 92/9 | step [2] 6/10 132/21 | talking [24] 17/24 18/5 42/7 |
| 130/19 | stepped [1] 103/21 | 42/17 55/9 61/22 63/14 63/16 |
| someone [2] 94/15 128/5 | steps [1] 102/1 | 70/10 71/8 $72 / 13$ 73/9 73/10 |
| something [22] 5/8 5/9 10/4 | still [10] 4/3 14/13 46/13 | 73/11 76/5 77/19 78/10 78/11 |
| 10/12 $14 / 1616 / 1917 / 519 / 4$ | 54/14 64/14 106/4 108/16 110/19 | 87/18 90/21 91/6 104/8 107/15 |
| 20/20 $23 / 18$ 34/21 37/14 41/7 | 127/14 134/23 | 121/6 |
| 41/8 46/14 80/10 81/3 81/13 | stipping [2] 4/4 4/6 | tall [3] 9/15 21/7 99/3 |
| 95/15 105/6 127/20 135/2 | stipulated [2] 29/20 58/24 | taller [4] 102/25 104/2 104/5 |
| Sometime [1] $37 / 25$   <br> sometimes [4] $6 / 17$ $33 / 21$ $35 / 24$ | stipulations [1] 4/21 stocky [1] 103/3 | $\begin{array}{ll} 104 / 14 \\ \text { TCR [1] } & \\ \end{array}$ |
| $35 / 24$ $\text { somewhere [5] } 74 / 13 \quad 95 / 14$ | stop [5] 17/21 42/19 71/2 98/11 104/11 | technology [1] 3/11 <br> tell [14] 7/24 $16 / 3 \quad 27 / 7 \quad 65 / 12$ |

tell... [10] 81/16 89/9 90/9 99/1 99/5 105/19 105/22 131/20 131/22 133/18
telling [10] 26/7 27/4 39/11 $\begin{array}{llll}55 / 8 & 63 / 2 & 63 / 18 & 76 / 3 \\ 76 / 4 & 89 / 17\end{array}$ 89/19
tells [1] 39/19
term [1] 133/23
testified [3] 5/21 31/3 94/3 testify [10] 5/7 5/20 6/9 31/2 80/13 90/13 91/8 94/2 126/18 126/19
testifying [5] 20/2 31/17 78/11 89/20 126/20
testimony [15] 3/17 $3 / 17$ 6/6 80/24 80/24 82/16 127/18 128/9 129/3 129/4 129/6 129/11 129/12 129/16 135/10
text [1] 81/2
than [10] $23 / 14$ 26/8 $27 / 5$ 41/23 53/18 54/6 61/19 72/9 102/25 130/20
thank [31] 3/25 6/19 19/10 $\begin{array}{llllll}24 / 14 & 27 / 24 & 28 / 2 & 28 / 3 & 29 / 4\end{array}$ 29/17 30/5 30/19 30/21 39/18 45/25 55/19 58/12 61/12 84/16 84/19 88/10 92/19 93/16 93/19 97/12 97/17 119/8 125/22 125/24 126/1 130/10 137/22
thanks [3] 31/14 32/20 60/25 that [504]
that's [48] $11 / 23 \quad 23 / 24 \quad 24 / 22$ 28/13 30/3 31/13 35/16 36/19 $\begin{array}{llllll}39 / 18 & 40 / 12 & 44 / 13 & 50 / 9 & 51 / 18\end{array}$ 52/24 58/1 59/2 59/12 60/23 61/18 68/16 69/11 70/10 70/11 $\begin{array}{lllll}72 / 4 & 72 / 6 & 73 / 6 & 73 / 23 & 82 / 11 \\ 93 / 6\end{array}$ 94/15 95/6 95/16 97/13 97/13 97/14 100/13 101/17 106/15 108/10 109/15 109/16 110/4 110/8 110/11 114/10 119/22 125/16 134/14
their [2] 20/9 29/21
them [25] $4 / 16$ 11/1 $11 / 19 \quad 26 / 7$ $33 / 2$ 33/2 $38 / 11 \quad 38 / 1438 / 14$ 41/19 41/20 77/16 83/22 104/22 104/23 121/23 121/24 122/5 122/9 122/24 122/25 124/15 125/19 134/8 135/7
then [51] $3 / 18 \quad 3 / 18 \quad 3 / 194 / 1$ $\begin{array}{lllll}4 / 9 & 4 / 13 & 14 / 12 & 14 / 12 & 14 / 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}15 / 12 & 17 / 25 & 18 / 1 & 20 / 21 & 21 / 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}25 / 16 & 26 / 25 & 37 / 1 & 38 / 14 & 38 / 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll} & 40 / 11 & 48 / 7 & 85 / 17 & 87 / 15 \\ 98 / 20\end{array}$ 99/5 100/5 101/18 101/21 102/14 104/17 105/22 106/14 110/9 114/1 115/25 118/16 121/12 122/8 122/17 123/15 123/18 $\begin{array}{llllll}123 / 23 & 124 / 5 & 128 / 2 & 128 / 4 & 128 / 7\end{array}$ 128/15 129/11 130/25 137/12 137/14
theories [8] 127/13 127/25 128/19 128/24 129/13 129/19 130/16 130/23
theory [2] 127/1 127/14
there [89] 3/10 7/20 9/10 9/12 $\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 10 & 10 / 12 & 11 / 11 & 12 / 18 & 12 / 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 13 & 14 / 14 & 20 / 5 & 21 / 2 & 22 / 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}22 / 16 & 22 / 18 & 28 / 22 & 28 / 24 \\ 31 / 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}32 / 3 & 32 / 4 & 32 / 5 & 33 / 1 & 33 / 10 & 33 / 10\end{array}$
$34 / 20$ 35/6 35/9 36/11 36/19 $37 / 2 \quad 37 / 18 \quad 38 / 23 \quad 41 / 23 \quad 42 / 4$ 42/18 45/2 $45 / 3$ 45/3 $46 / 9$ 47/7 48/6 49/23 53/17 54/15 57/11 62/2 70/6 72/4 72/9 81/1 82/3 82/5 82/13 83/1 83/4 83/5 86/4 87/7 91/25 92/4 92/5 92/8 95/5 96/6 99/8 99/22 101/17 102/17 104/4 104/9 104/10 108/1 108/17 112/2 120/4 121/12 $122 / 2 \quad 125 / 2$ 127/3 129/12 129/17 131/3 133/12 134/1 134/2 135/2 136/6 136/10
there's [16] 6/9 $28 / 18 \quad 38 / 5$ 40/2 $40 / 13$ 41/25 48/10 63/20 72/11 82/20 86/13 94/14 110/4 130/23 130/24 134/13
therein [1] 40/11
these [7] 29/2 48/10 48/11 80/5 116/23 130/1 134/9
they [43] $10 / 25$ 12/22 $17 / 22$ 25/7 29/3 29/3 60/22 79/25 $\begin{array}{lllll}79 / 25 & 83 / 3 & 83 / 14 & 83 / 22 & 87 / 4\end{array}$ 88/7 89/12 91/20 97/21 99/7 100/21 100/24 101/23 102/6 103/7 104/19 104/21 106/1 108/1 111/16 115/7 116/2 116/21 116/22 117/18 123/21 124/14 125/19 125/19 128/2 128/14 135/4 137/11 137/14 137/19
they're [2] 101/1 132/22 thin [2] 103/3 103/4
thing [5] 40/12 74/14 84/9 101/10 137/3
things [6] 6/2 6/23 17/1 $46 / 11$ 129/8 132/12
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { think [41] } & 3 / 12 & 7 / 16 & 11 / 8 & 16 / 22\end{array}$ 26/13 28/8 35/12 37/23 51/18 $\begin{array}{lllll}56 / 22 & 58 / 23 & 72 / 14 & 81 / 12 & 81 / 13\end{array}$ 82/21 89/25 92/8 119/22 122/15 122/16 128/17 128/21 130/7 132/10 132/15 132/17 133/1 133/5 133/9 133/12 133/16 134/3 134/10 $134 / 13$ 134/14 $134 / 15$ 135/1 135/22 136/4 136/6 137/4 third [1] 90/8
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { this [106] } & 5 / 2 & 5 / 7 & 6 / 4 & 7 / 1 & 7 / 8\end{array}$ 10/6 10/10 11/8 12/10 17/19 $\begin{array}{llllll}19 / 7 & 22 / 10 & 23 / 5 & 24 / 6 & 24 / 12 & 25 / 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 2 & 27 / 13 & 28 / 24 & 29 / 19 & 29 / 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 17 & 33 / 8 & 37 / 8 & 37 / 22 & 39 / 11\end{array}$ 42/7 42/16 $43 / 143 / 14 \quad 44 / 8$ 47/20 47/25 48/18 $48 / 25 \quad 53 / 2$ $\begin{array}{llllll}53 / 5 & 54 / 12 & 54 / 12 & 55 / 22 & 56 / 19\end{array}$ 57/16 57/24 58/5 58/14 58/25 61/22 $64 / 13 \quad 67 / 14 \quad 70 / 20 \quad 71 / 15$ $\begin{array}{lllll}71 / 23 & 73 / 23 & 74 / 8 & 74 / 11 & 74 / 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}77 / 15 & 78 / 10 & 79 / 14 & 79 / 21 & 79 / 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}81 / 18 & 82 / 19 & 82 / 23 & 83 / 25 & 84 / 7\end{array}$ 91/14 91/14 96/20 97/6 97/8 97/9 98/3 99/10 99/24 101/3 103/6 104/25 105/1 107/16 107/21 111/17 111/19 112/5 114/14 117/4 119/2 119/18 121/20 126/21 128/1 128/19 130/13 $130 / 17$ 131/14 131/18 $\begin{array}{llllll}133 / 2 & 133 / 5 & 133 / 7 & 133 / 14 & 134 / 14\end{array}$ 135/15 135/22 137/4 137/10 140/3
those [17] 4/21 20/21 22/5 38/12 85/9 91/25 92/2 99/5 100/16 101/7 111/9 112/22

115/19 116/3 117/15 131/6 135/6 though [5] 25/13 31/18 36/15 40/22 58/10
thought [2] 23/16 127/20
thousand [2] 136/16 136/24
threat [3] 20/17 131/2 131/8
threatening [1] 23/11
threats [1] 134/8
three [10] $31 / 8 \quad 35 / 15 \quad 35 / 21$ $80 / 20$ 82/22 85/3 100/3 100/6 102/1 103/21
three feet [2] 102/1 103/21
through [12] 28/9 32/18 42/25 43/9 43/14 70/21 71/9 83/24 83/24 99/2 121/14 135/11
throughout [1] 131/10
Till [1] 80/3
time [57] $11 / 20$ 14/8 $14 / 10$ 16/7 18/4 20/14 21/20 22/3 22/9 $\begin{array}{llll}23 / 16 & 24 / 12 & 25 / 22 & 26 / 1\end{array} 26 / 4$ $\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 9 & 26 / 9 & 28 / 4 & 29 / 24 & 33 / 9\end{array} 37 / 21$ 38/9 39/11 42/4 42/9 43/19 49/16 51/10 51/14 53/11 57/3 58/24 59/1 $72 / 14$ 83/4 84/24 92/20 93/13 94/11 99/12 109/16 111/17 117/20 119/18 119/24 119/25 122/9 123/12 $124 / 7$ 124/8 126/2 126/19 126/21 130/5
130/17 $133 / 3$ 133/4 $137 / 14$
times [5] 24/5 102/1 103/24 104/1 128/10
today [8] $5 / 25 \quad 20 / 3 \quad 24 / 10 \quad 28 / 4$ 31/7 92/20 126/2 129/17
together [1] 35/13
told [11] 17/19 $26 / 14 \quad 38 / 18$ 39/6 39/7 42/15 48/18 51/25 52/9 79/4 105/24
too [6] 10/13 11/1 50/6 56/4 67/12 125/12
took [17] 11/8 11/11 14/12 14/22 14/25 26/5 26/9 39/20 40/6 54/12 55/11 82/21 83/9 83/16 90/1 105/10 105/13
top [6] $18 / 18$ 56/3 $71 / 5 \quad 106 / 10$ 111/6 114/2
total [1] 35/21
totally [1] 71/15
touch [1] 112/4
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { toward [3] } & 45 / 13 & 73 / 18 & 109 / 14\end{array}$ towards [28] 13/6 13/8 15/17 16/15 17/16 25/16 45/11 47/24 64/12 65/2 68/3 70/7 72/25 77/17 86/4 102/7 103/7 111/7 112/23 115/8 115/14 116/21 $\begin{array}{lllllll}119 / 2 & 120 / 10 & 124 / 15 & 128 / 3 & 128 / 8\end{array}$ 134/8
TOWNSHIP [2] $1 / 4$ 139/1
track [2] 135/5 136/25
trailer [14] 38/15 $43 / 17 \quad 43 / 19$ $43 / 20$ 58/1 58/6 74/15 74/19 74/20 77/5 77/7 78/24 79/12 85/10
trailers [1] 85/1
transcript [10] $1 / 14$ 18/8 $24 / 9$ 55/4 55/11 89/23 90/2 138/4 139/17 139/21
tree [3] 97/8 97/13 $112 / 5$
trial [2] 130/25 135/7
trouble [2] 41/21 52/11
truck [56] $14 / 22$ 14/24 59/12 59/16 59/17 69/15 72/4 86/5 86/12 95/22 96/5 96/8 96/9

| T | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137/16 } \\ & \text { upon [16] } \end{aligned} 11 / 2 \quad 23 / 22 \quad 27 / 21$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 120/14 } 136 / 21 \\ \text { violation [1] } 83 / 18 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| truck... [43] 96/12 97/20 97/21 | 48/25 61/17 82/17 88/25 126/20 | violence [1] 19/22 |
| 98/12 98/15 98/19 101/14 101/18 | 129/10 129/11 129/15 130/15 | violent [1] 133/23 |
| 101/18 101/19 101/23 101/25 | 131/6 135/22 136/17 136/18 | voice [2] 24/25 25/3 |
| 102/9 102/10 102/21 103/1 103/6 | upper [4] 58/11 58/19 58/21 | voices [1] 20/10 |
| 106/18 112/1 119/4 120/17 | 110/3 | voluntary [1] 18/16 |
| 120/20 120/21 120/22 121/1 | upwards [1] 48/21 | volunteering [1] 122/1 |
| 121/2 121/5 121/5 121/7 121/10 | Us [3] $60 / 2461 / 18$ 119/2 | W |
| 122/9 122/14 122/17 123/1 123/4 | use [6] 6/19 48/11 81/2 129/18 | W |
| 123/4 123/5 123/11 123/12 124/4 | 133/23 136/7 | wah [3] 101/3 101/3 101/3 |
| 124/17 124/19 124/23 | used [3] 31/20 35/20 57/25 | wait [1] 121/12 |
| trucks [1] 12/23 | using [2] 47/10 122/16 | waiting [3] 31/8 80/1 89/13 |
| true [1] 138/4 | usually [2] 17/1 82/3 | waits [1] 44/16 |
| truth [14] 5/20 5/20 5/21 31/2 | utilized [1] 82/14 | walk [16] 13/8 15/14 26/10 |
| $31 / 2$ 31/3 $40 / 11$ 40/15 $41 / 3$ 89/9 | V | 41/16 $42 / 18$ 45/9 45/11 47/19 |
| 89/17 94/2 94/2 94/3 | $V$ | 49/7 77/17 80/14 101/8 103/7 |
| try [2] 3/13 93/13 | Valley [1] 95/9 | 104/19 119/2 123/17 |
| trying [5] 17/20 38/5 52/9 | various [1] 129/19 | walked [18] 21/19 25/13 25/15 |
| 52/10 134/11 | VEGAS [41] 1/4 3/1 7/11 13/6 | 42/19 45/13 51/1 51/22 52/8 |
| turn [5] 17/19 94/9 105/24 | 13/8 15/18 16/2 16/16 17/16 | 76/14 80/6 85/12 85/16 97/20 |
| 107/15 125/19 | 25/17 $34 / 6$ 34/12 45/11 48/1 | 98/12 98/13 99/12 124/14 128/7 |
| turned [2] 21/19 85/13 | $\begin{array}{lllll}48 / 19 & 68 / 3 & 70 / 7 & 72 / 25 & 73 / 7\end{array}$ | walking [41] 15/12 15/16 15/17 |
| two [44] 8/20 9/3 11/5 $22 / 5$ | 85/13 85/17 86/4 95/9 95/11 | 16/7 17/15 18/1 18/25 23/5 49/8 |
| 22/16 22/21 32/1 35/15 35/21 | 95/12 97/9 99/8 99/18 100/21 | 64/21 64/21 64/22 65/2 66/1 |
| 60/19 64/19 77/12 82/20 83/17 | 112/1 112/6 112/7 115/8 116/22 | 67/3 67/8 67/11 70/6 71/9 72/2 |
| 83/21 85/3 89/11 98/10 99/5 | 117/4 120/10 121/11 135/25 | 73/17 73/18 75/20 77/12 86/3 |
| 100/3 100/16 101/7 102/6 105/6 | 139/1 139/19 139/20 | 100/2 105/7 108/15 110/12 |
| 106/6 110/4 111/7 112/22 113/21 | vehicle [16] 59/18 68/11 96/2 | 110/13 110/19 111/7 112/23 |
| 115/19 116/23 117/12 117/15 | 96/3 102/11 104/21 104/22 | 113/22 115/2 115/7 115/14 |
| 118/19 120/18 121/13 122/3 | 104/23 106/14 106/15 106/16 | 116/21 118/16 120/18 121/13 |
| 122/4 122/8 122/13 123/15 | 107/8 109/2 111/10 113/5 114/7 | walks [1] 128/15 |
| 124/11 128/9 131/6 | vehicles [2] 12/17 12/18 | want [21] 6/22 9/14 17/2 17/9 |
| type [2] 22/12 133/7 | verbally [1] 23/23 | 20/2 43/6 48/11 52/12 55/22 |
| types [1] 7/4 | verbatim [1] 24/22 | 57/10 66/5 80/25 90/8 102/3 |
| U |  | $\begin{aligned} & 111 / 23 \quad 131 / 18 \quad 131 / 21 \quad 131 / 23 \\ & 132 / 1 \quad 132 / 2 \quad 133 / 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| U5 [2] 73/23 74/1 | 92/19 125/22 126/1 128/21 | wanted [1] 43/14 |
| UC [1] 47/6 | 130/10 134/9 | wanting [1] 88/5 |
| Uh [3] 65/21 67/5 78/25 | victim [6] 4/7 128/1 128/3 | wants [4] 42/16 83/2 134/19 |
| Uh-huh [3] 65/21 67/5 78/25 | 128/8 128/9 128/24 | 135/19 |
| under [2] 129/19 135/17 | video [110] 29/19 29/25 46/10 | warehouse [1] 85/22 |
| understand [5] 6/15 32/11 32/12 | 57/19 58/14 58/16 59/6 59/8 | warrant [2] 5/9 19/21 |
| 42/2 135/19 | 59/11 59/24 60/2 60/16 61/14 | was [256] |
| understanding [1] 27/22 | 61/19 62/21 63/6 63/10 64/3 | Washington [1] 95/10 |
| unidentified [1] 83/10 | 64/6 64/9 64/13 64/24 65/5 | wasn't [3] 119/24 122/9 125/15 |
| Unified [13] 31/21 34/3 35/22 | 65/15 66/8 66/15 66/19 67/14 | watch [3] 38/19 63/8 119/24 |
| 46/21 46/22 46/24 50/5 53/18 | 67/18 67/23 68/8 68/18 69/1 | watched [1] 125/19 |
| 56/20 57/25 74/8 87/17 89/8 | 69/8 69/23 $70 / 4 \quad 70 / 13 \quad 71 / 2$ | watching [5] 22/10 104/4 104/7 |
| uniform [9] 13/18 13/19 22/12 | $\begin{array}{lllll}71 / 18 & 71 / 23 & 72 / 19 & 73 / 3 & 73 / 13\end{array}$ | 105/1 122/2 |
| 22/24 25/10 49/20 49/22 49/24 | $\begin{array}{llllll}73 / 23 & 73 / 25 & 74 / 1 & 75 / 3 & 75 / 8\end{array}$ | water [2] 106/1 134/11 |
| 87/1 | 75/17 76/7 76/18 77/1 77/10 | way [15] 46/9 47/20 48/5 48/6 |
| uniform-type [1] 22/12 | 77/24 $78 / 3$ 78/7 $78 / 21$ 79/8 | 48/18 82/15 85/13 90/9 90/12 |
| uniforms [2] 87/2 87/3 | 79/18 79/20 79/21 80/10 81/14 | 108/15 112/2 121/11 132/21 |
| United [1] 34/3 | 82/14 86/9 92/1 95/3 95/4 106/3 | 133/23 136/9 |
| unless [1] 28/8 | 106/8 106/22 107/5 107/12 | we [41] $3 / 15$ 3/18 $3 / 22 \quad 6 / 18$ |
| unlock [2] 43/14 44/4 | 107/19 107/25 108/5 108/13 | 28/8 29/19 31/15 36/2 41/16 |
| unobstructed [2] 71/15 120/13 | 108/21 108/25 109/6 109/9 | 43/18 58/2 61/5 64/13 64/21 |
| unpacker [3] 77/15 77/18 78/15 | 109/18 109/23 110/16 110/23 | 74/2 81/12 83/16 88/4 95/5 |
| unpacks [1] 77/16 | 111/4 111/13 112/16 112/20 | 106/10 106/24 109/8 110/3 111/6 |
| until [2] 6/11 50/20 | 113/3 113/14 113/19 114/5 | 113/5 114/20 115/24 115/25 |
| unusual [4] 21/13 21/14 52/21 | 114/12 114/18 115/5 115/11 | 117/12 124/11 131/12 132/16 |
| 52/24 | 115/17 115/22 116/8 116/17 | 132/18 132/18 133/5 133/9 |
| up [43] 15/24 20/19 27/14 33/5 | 117/1 117/10 117/25 118/8 | 133/11 133/16 133/21 134/11 |
| 35/5 36/1 37/13 41/16 42/18 | 118/14 118/22 132/10 133/10 | 135/16 |
| 42/24 43/18 46/11 48/5 50/20 | 133/20 | we'd [1] 93/8 |
| 59/3 63/4 66/5 74/12 76/15 78/1 | videos [1] 80/5 | we'll [5] 3/23 28/9 29/5 37/19 |
| 85/13 97/20 101/2 101/18 102/3 | videotape [1] 82/5 | 133/7 |
| 102/15 105/7 106/25 106/25 | view [19] 45/19 59/12 61/18 | we're [28] 3/5 3/12 4/6 29/15 |
| 113/6 114/7 115/14 116/21 | 64/5 67/15 67/15 67/21 70/15 | $36 / 1 \quad 36 / 14$ 38/7 41/19 42/21 |
| 118/16 120/17 121/11 124/7 | 71/23 78/18 97/2 106/4 108/23 | $46 / 11$ 57/21 60/11 67/15 67/24 |
| 125/2 128/5 129/8 137/6 137/7 | 113/12 113/16 115/9 117/21 | 68/5 73/22 74/25 75/14 76/16 |

we're... [9] 78/18 78/18 80/20 81/8 82/18 93/9 94/14 110/18 119/2
we've [5] 29/14 58/24 67/14 118/19 135/14
weapon [8] 11/20 23/8 103/18 128/16 129/19 129/22 130/22 136/8
wear [7] 49/20 49/25 50/1 50/6 50/7 86/21 86/25
wearing [21] 22/12 22/23 25/5 34/21 49/16 53/23 54/5 56/11 56/14 56/17 56/19 60/6 61/9 61/10 86/16 86/19 87/3 122/5 123/18 124/11 124/12
week [4] 52/11 88/5 133/5 133/6 weigh [1] 134/19
weight [2] 21/9 134/20
well [13] 21/13 25/3 32/10 32/17 32/22 35/8 40/8 40/21 $42 / 15$ 83/15 91/14 120/5 129/2
well-lit [1] 120/5
went [36] $13 / 1$ 13/3 $13 / 5$ 14/11 15/6 16/2 16/18 17/15 17/22 18/24 21/17 45/6 45/10 48/8 48/17 48/18 49/10 50/10 50/15 50/16 53/4 53/12 58/6 85/12 85/23 86/1 86/20 98/13 98/20 99/9 105/24 105/25 108/10 110/8 123/18 124/2
were [99] $4 / 184 / 21$ 7/7 7/15 $7 / 25$ 8/5 8/8 8/10 8/14 9/3 9/18 $\begin{array}{llll}10 / 25 & 12 / 12 & 13 / 25 & 17 / 5 \\ 19 / 20\end{array}$ 20/5 20/7 21/1 21/24 22/3 22/6 $\begin{array}{llllll}22 / 9 & 22 / 16 & 22 / 22 & 23 / 5 & 25 / 7 & 29 / 8\end{array}$ 29/19 33/7 34/14 35/19 36/13 37/6 39/15 42/3 44/21 45/1 49/4 49/16 51/10 53/18 53/21 57/3 64/19 64/21 74/11 78/10 78/14 80/5 80/14 81/6 81/12 84/24 85/1 85/4 85/9 85/22 85/24 86/3 86/11 87/3 87/18 87/19 88/7 89/20 91/25 92/2 92/4 94/11 96/21 97/6 97/22 97/23 99/20 105/12 107/16 111/19 113/6 113/10 116/21 120/9 120/15 120/18 120/24 121/13 121/19 122/2 122/2 123/6 124/14 125/9 125/13 134/10 135/2 135/4 135/16 135/17 137/1
weren't [1] 83/12
west [3] 13/11 16/15 120/9
westbound [1] 15/17
Westbrook [2] 132/10 137/5
westerns [1] 87/25
what [156]
what's [20] $18 / 15$ 33/3 $39 / 3$ 45/22 55/24 57/11 59/1 67/21 69/3 69/14 71/11 73/15 75/19 75/23 77/14 78/8 82/4 101/10 107/14 108/8
whatever [3] 19/7 42/19 137/13 wheat [2] 87/12 87/13
when [60] 18/5 18/19 20/5 20/21 21/17 25/21 25/22 26/4 31/23 33/4 42/7 42/24 43/7 43/17
 51/14 51/22 52/8 53/12 53/21 55/23 62/1 70/20 78/10 78/14 78/15 78/23 79/11 85/16 85/21

86/3 87/18 89/7 90/10 91/6 91/25 97/6 98/1 98/12 98/13 98/18 98/22 100/16 104/6 104/13 104/25 104/25 105/12 105/17 105/22 108/10 116/21 122/8 132/10 134/21 136/6
When's [1] 53/11
Whenever [1] 82/10
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { where [87] } 7 / 7 & 7 / 15 & 7 / 22 & 7 / 23\end{array}$ 7/24 8/10 8/10 8/23 9/6 9/10 $\begin{array}{llllll}9 / 18 & 9 / 18 & 12 / 3 & 13 / 1 & 13 / 5 & 13 / 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 2 & 15 / 6 & 15 / 24 & 16 / 1 & 21 / 16 & 41 / 4\end{array}$ 45/5 45/5 45/10 46/24 47/8 47/11 48/6 48/6 48/9 48/14 48/16 48/17 48/19 49/10 50/15 53/4 58/1 58/2 60/9 62/8 63/12 63/22 64/11 64/19 65/17 65/24 67/11 69/20 71/7 72/13 74/12 74/15 74/19 74/20 77/3 77/12 85/24 86/1 92/3 94/11 95/9 95/21 96/20 97/6 99/16 99/20 99/21 100/13 100/14 104/19 108/9 109/15 109/16 110/13 111/19 111/24 112/1 112/3 117/18 123/6 123/9 123/11 125/9 125/12 125/16
whereabouts [2] 7/10 48/15 wherever [1] 13/3
whether [14] 17/12 27/14 34/11 54/8 55/10 56/13 57/5 68/11 105/19 131/1 131/3 132/23 136/20 136/20
which [20] 4/7 37/17 40/19 47/17 47/18 58/19 68/1 81/25 108/15 110/12 115/7 116/3 121/5 122/13 122/14 128/12 132/16 136/12 136/17 137/17
while [6] 21/24 36/10 37/3 46/11 81/12 82/12
white [30] 72/4 86/4 96/15 97/14 97/14 99/1 101/16 101/20 101/24 102/14 102/21 102/22 112/1 113/5 113/6 120/17 120/25 $121 / 2$ 121/4 121/8 122/9 122/14 122/25 123/4 123/5 124/16 124/19 128/5 128/6 133/14
who [27] 10/15 27/14 29/1 29/23 37/1 60/4 60/22 63/14 66/24 68/20 77/6 80/5 82/21 83/2 83/9 84/7 84/10 88/15 88/17 91/15 100/17 118/16 118/24 119/1 119/5 133/11 133/22
who's [8] 63/16 69/11 70/9 72/2 107/2 110/9 128/6 133/21
whole [4] 5/20 14/10 31/2 94/2
whose [2] 59/16 102/9
why [9] 16/24 24/2 27/20 41/17
43/16 77/17 79/3 80/13 105/4
wide [1] 96/9
wife [1] 131/10
will [14] 3/18 3/21 30/1 30/5 45/25 48/10 $84 / 5$ 126/20 127/6 132/6 134/4 137/5 137/19 137/22
within [4] 19/25 128/15 131/1 139/15
without [2] 82/6 83/6
witness [30] $3 / 14 \quad 3 / 16 \quad 3 / 19 \quad 5 / 4$ 5/9 6/5 18/11 19/20 28/6 28/9 29/15 29/16 30/10 $46 / 6$ 55/15 71/8 80/18 82/10 92/22 97/1 100/6 100/7 119/10 126/4 126/6 126/7 130/18 130/18 130/19

135/3
witnesses [12] $2 / 2$ 3/23 6/7 84/15 126/15 130/13 133/24 134/6 134/9 134/12 134/23 135/7
woman [1] $84 / 7$
won't [1] 56/8
words [9] 6/19 42/13 61/19 93/14 97/20 97/22 97/23 107/16 122/16
wore [1] 87/4
work [22] 13/18 31/20 $32 / 3$ 33/20 35/22 $36 / 5$ 36/7 48/8 49/20 53/7 53/19 73/18 74/3 $74 / 5$ 75/20 76/20 85/23 86/20 86/21 87/1 87/2 91/25
worked [6] $32 / 4 \quad 35 / 12 \quad 35 / 22$ 46/20 49/11 92/10
worker [2] 99/2 101/23
working [14] 13/16 31/23 33/7 34/14 35/9 37/6 37/10 49/23 50/11 53/18 74/6 84/25 85/23 121/25
workplace [2] 38/6 52/22
works [2] 37/1 120/23
worries [1] 91/21
would [54] 4/11 7/17 13/11 20/17 23/19 26/14 28/11 28/12 $32 / 1$ 35/4 35/5 35/6 35/7 36/1 $37 / 2340 / 2545 / 245 / 345 / 4$ $48 / 25$ 50/1 50/6 54/15 55/3 55/12 84/3 85/11 86/21 86/22 88/24 89/22 89/25 91/8 94/9 94/20 94/23 94/24 105/14 117/15 126/9 127/1 130/17 131/3 131/5 131/7 131/7 131/8 131/9 131/14 132/22 134/11 137/3 137/8 137/17
wouldn't [2] 86/25 133/19
wound [1] 4/5
written [1] 24/9
wrong [1] 92/9
$Y$
yeah [64] $14 / 15$ 31/13 $31 / 22$ $32 / 17 \quad 34 / 16 \quad 34 / 18 \quad 35 / 20 \quad 35 / 24$ $36 / 12$ 36/18 37/18 38/1 39/12 42/6 43/4 43/15 43/20 43/24 47/23 $48 / 5$ 49/22 $51 / 7$ 52/5 $52 / 8$ 52/15 55/8 55/14 55/14 56/5 56/7 56/12 58/8 59/20 61/4 61/10 62/3 62/11 63/15 64/1 65/11 66/6 66/13 67/2 67/10 67/25 68/4 68/24 69/17 70/8 74/7 76/2 76/11 78/7 78/13 79/13 80/3 80/12 81/23 87/14 90/3 91/13 107/23 109/14 122/13 year [3] 6/4 $7 / 8 \quad 37 / 8$
years [2] 19/25 135/25
yelling [6] $20 / 14$ 98/3 $98 / 11$ 99/10 104/8 108/2
Yep [1] 107/10
yes [244]
yet [2] 49/22 83/9
you [666]
you'd [1] 71/14
you're [28] 13/25 19/17 25/11 32/11 37/2 42/7 42/24 47/2 $48 / 20$ 55/8 55/23 61/1 62/1 65/1 $\begin{array}{lllll}67 / 8 & 70 / 15 & 71 / 4 & 74 / 12 & 80 / 24\end{array}$ 84/10 84/10 84/11 90/10 93/7 100/13 103/9 104/25 131/17 you've [8] 5/6 23/11 26/23

| Y |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
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STEVEN B. WOLFSON

Clark County District Attorney

Nevada Bar \#001565
JACQUELINE BLUTH
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#010625
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212
(702) 671-2500

Attorney for Plaintiff
I.A. 10/25/17
DISTRICT COURT
10:00 AM.
RANDALL PIKE

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,
-vs-
MICHAEL MCNAIR, aka, Michael Deangelo Mcnair, \#1959573

Defendant.

CASE NO: C-17-327395-1
DEPT NO: III

## IN F OR M A TION

STATE OF NEVADA )
COUNTY OF CLARK ) ss.
STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:

That MICHAEL MCNAIR, aka, Michael Deangelo Mcnair, the Defendant(s) above named, having committed the crimes of MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165-NOC 50001) and CARRYING CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER DEADLY WEAPON (Category C Felony - NRS 202.350 (1)(d)(3) - NOC 51459), on or about the 14th day of September, 2017, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

## COUNT 1 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and with malice aforethought, kill GORDON PHILLIPS, a human being, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by shooting into
the body of the said GORDON PHILLIPS with said firearm, the said killing having been willful, deliberate and premeditated. Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other unknown person to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and unknown person aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by acting in concert throughout. COUNT 2 - CARRYING CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER DEADLY WEAPON
did then and there willfully, intentionally, unlawfully and feloniously carry concealed upon his person, a firearm or other deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#001565

BY /s/ Jacqueline Bluth
JACQUELINE BLUTH
Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar \#010625

Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this Information are as follows:

## NAME

ASBERY, Courtney

BRENNAN, Joshua
COON, Tyler

## ADDRESS

Unified Containers
1300 N. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV

Palm Mortuary Security
Unified Containers
1300 N. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV

Clark County Detention Center,
330 S. Casino Center Blvd., Las Vegas, NV

CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE

CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE

CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE

GARDNER, Ashley
HOFFMAN, John
HONAKER, Jamie OR DESIGNEE

KOWALSKI, Brian
LESH, Bret
LOPEZ, Deanna
PHILLIPS, Sundra
RAMIRO, Romero
RAZZO, Anthony
SALDANA, Kenneth

Clark County Detention Center, Communications
330 S. Casino Center Blvd., Las Vegas, NV

LVMPD Communications, 400 S. Martin L. King Blvd., Las Vegas, NV

LVMPD Records
400 S. Martin L. King Blvd., Las Vegas, NV

1200 N. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV
LVMPD \# 9001
INVESTIGATOR
C.C. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

LVMPD \# 8550
Transient
18 W. Owens Ave., \#13, Las Vegas, NV
C/O District Attorney's Office
4646 Drake Cir., Las Vegas, NV
2300 Olive St., \#38, Las Vegas, NV
Transient

RTRAN

THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Plaintiff,
vs.
MICHAEL MCNAIR,
Defendant.

CASE\#: C-17-327395-1
DEPT. III
Heard In Lower
Level Arraignment

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MELISA DE LA GARZA, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 25, 2017
RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING:
INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT

APPEARANCES:
For the State:
WILLIAM J. MERBACK, ESQ
Chief Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:
RANDALL H. PIKE, ESQ.
Assistant Special Public Defender
RECORDED BY: KIARA SCHMIDT, COURT RECORDER
[Hearing began at 10:42 a.m.]
THE COURT: Page 54, State of Nevada versus Michael McNair, C327395. He is present, in custody, Mr. Pike is here on his behalf; counsel?

MR. PIKE: Thank you, Your Honor. This is an entry of a plea of not guilty, be referred up for trial setting. I don't know if the State intends on taking it to the death review committee or not. So --

THE COURT: All right. Sir, you received a copy of the Information stating the charges against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: You read through it and understood it?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: You want to waive a formal reading of the charges?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: How do you plead?
THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty.
THE COURT: You do have a right to a trial within 60 days.
Do you want to waive or invoke that right?
MR. PIKE: This time, Your Honor, we're going to waive it to determine -- to find out whether or not there's going to be a determination made by the death review committee.

THE COURT: Is that true, sir? You want to waive your right
to a speedy trial?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma’am.
THE COURT: All right. So we're going to put it in front of Judge Herndon next Tuesday for trial setting noting that he has waived his right to a speedy trial.

THE CLERK: So that would be October $31^{\text {st }}, 9$ a.m. in Department 3.

THE COURT: And, Counsel, pursuant to statute you have 21 days from today for the filing of any writs. If the transcript has not been filed as of today, you have 21 days from the filing.

MR. PIKE: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
[Hearing concluded at 10:43 a.m.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.


Court Recorder/Transcriber

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,
vs.

MICHAEL MCNAIR,
Defendant.
CASE NO. C-17-327395-1
DEPT. III

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS W. HERNDON, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE TUESDAY, OCTOBER 31, 2017

## RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING STATUS CHECK: TRIAL SETTING

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

For the Defendant:

JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, ESQ.
Chief Deputy District Attorney
VIVIAN LUONG, ESQ.
Deputy District Attorney
RANDALL H. PIKE, ESQ.
Senior Deputy Special Public Defender

RECORDED BY: SARAH RICHARDSON, COURT RECORDER

Tuesday, October 31, 2017 - Las Vegas, Nevada
[Proceedings begin at 8:58 a.m.]

COURT: All right. Anybody else?
MR. PIKE: Yes, Your Honor. Page 14, Mr. McNair.
THE COURT: Mr. McNair's matter is 327395 . The gentleman is present in custody. This is -- just came up from Lower Level. Right, Randy?

MR. PIKE: That's correct, Your Honor. It's Ms. Bluth's case. I didn't know --

MS. LUONG: That's correct, and I don't have a file.
THE COURT: Let me pass it for a second till she comes in.
MR. PIKE: Thank you.
[Matter trailed at 9:04 a.m.]
[Off record]
[Matter recalled at 9:24 a.m.]
THE COURT: All right. Wait. What page is it?
THE MARSHAL: 14.
THE COURT: 14. I'm sorry. All right. Mr. McNair is present. This matter came up from Lower Level arraignment. I'm assuming -- did he enter a plea there?

MR. PIKE: He did, Your Honor. He waived his right to a speedy trial.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PIKE: We've consulted and believe that late July into early August is a good trial date --

THE COURT: It would be your best trial date. Okay. Did it waive up or did it go through a hearing.

MR. PIKE: No, it went through a preliminary hearing.
THE COURT: Any transcripts available from the Lower Level yet?
MS. BLUTH: Not yet.
THE COURT: Okay. 21 days after receipt of copy to file any transcripts. Is the matter going to the death review committee?

MS. BLUTH: It is not.
THE COURT: All right. The matter is going to be assigned to -- yeah, it's assigned to me, actually. All right. It's going to be assigned to Department 3. We will go ahead and set a trial date. You said, I'm sorry, Randy, late July?

MR. PIKE: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. You can go ahead, Debbie.
[Court and Clerk confer]
THE CLERK: Jury trial will be July 23rd at 10:00 a.m. Calendar call, July 12th at 9:00 a.m.

THE COURT: All right. And we will set a status check in 60 days just on moving forward with trial, discovery issues and whatnot. So that will be our first status check, and that will be January 2nd.

THE CLERK: Hold on, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sure.
THE CLERK: January 9th at 9:00 a.m.
MS. BLUTH: Sounds good.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
MR. PIKE: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
THE CLERK: Oh, I'm sorry, it's the 10th, February 10th.

THE COURT: February 10th.
[Proceedings concluded at 9:31 a.m.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audiovisual recording of the proceeding in the above entitled case to the best of my ability.


Renee Vincent, Court Recorder/Transcriber

PET
RANDALL H. PIKE
ASST. SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
NSB No. 1940
330 South Third Street, 8th Floor
Las Vegas, NV 89155
(702) 455-6265

Fax No. 702-455-6273
RPIKE@CLARKCOUNTYNV.GOV
Attorneys for McNAIR

## DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
STATE OF NEVADA,

Defendant.

## PETITION FOR PRE-TRIAL WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

DATE: $\frac{01 / 09 / 18}{9: 00 \text { AM }}$
TIME: 9:00 AM
TO: The Honorable Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Clark:

The Petition of Defendant Michael McNair, by and through his attorney Randall H. Pike, Assistant Special Public Defender, respectfully shows:

1. Petitioner is duly qualified, practicing and licensed attorney and court-appointed counsel for Defendant.
2. That Petitioner makes application herein for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; that the place where Petitioner is restrained of his liberty is the Clark County Detention Center; that the officer by whom he is restrained is Joseph Lombardo, Sheriff.
3. That the imprisonment and restraint of Petitioner is unlawful in that the evidence presented at the Preliminary Hearing to the Justice of the Peace was insufficient to sustain the charges and the State presented improper and/or insufficient testimony.
4. That client of Petitioner waives the 60-day limitation for bringing the charges to trial.
5. That client of Petitioner consents that if any party appeals the Court's rulings and the appeal is not determined before the date set for trial, the trial date is automatically vacated and the trial postponed unless the Court otherwise orders.
6. That no other Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus has heretofore been filed on behalf of defendant on this particular issue.
7. That this Writ is filed within 21 days of the receipt of the transcript, which was filed on December 3, 2017.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays that the Honorable Court issue an order directing the Clark County Clerk to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus directed to the said Sheriff, commanding him to bring the above-captioned defendant before your Honor, and return the cause of imprisonment.

Dated: December 14, 2017

## SUBMITTED BY:

/s/ RANDALL H. PIKE

RANDALL H. PIKE

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The State presented this case to the Justice of the Peace and the defendant was bound over after the hearing on October 23, 2017 upon the charges of Murder with use of a deadly weapon and carrying a concealed weapon. The transcript was filed 12/3/17.

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

The nature and cause of death of Gordon Phillips, as well as his identity was stipulated to by the parties. The cause of death was a gunshot wound, the manner was homicide. (PHT 4). There were surveillance videos along with an in custody witness, Anthony Razo.

The first witness called to the stand was Anthony Razo who was an inmate at the CCDC. He was living "on the streets" on September 14, 2017 around Searles and Las Vegas Boulevard. (PHT 7). On that evening, he heard an argument between a couple of people in front of his campsite about 50 feet to his right. (PHT 8-9).

Mr. Razo saw the person who was on the Searles side of the fence and he testified that "he had a knife in the back of his hand." (PHT 11-12). This person was identified as the deceased, Mr. Gordon. Mr. Razo identified someone in a blue shirt being involved in the argument, who looked to be African American. (PHT 16).

Both of the parties were arguing, and Mr. Razo recalled the homeless man with the knife saying "he was going to jump over the fence, and, I don't know, beat the other guy up or something like that." (PHT 20). The knife was about 4 inches long and he "sounded highly agitated". (PHT 21). Mr. Razo described his belief of the deceased’s actions as "lethal force" because "him having a knife and verbally arguing with the guy". (PHT 21).

The second witness called by the State was Ramiro Romero. Mr. Romero was also an employee of the plant. Mr. Romero identifies Mr. McNair as wearing a blue shirt. (PHT 60). Mr. Romero testifies that Mr. McNair told him "about the guy behind the fence." (PHT 63). He sees

Mr. McNair talking to the security guard. Afterwards, Mr. Romero and Mr. McNair leave the property and walk to the southeast corner of Searles and Las Vegas Blvd. They remain there for a short time and then walk back to the factory. (PHT 73). There was no physical contact at that time by either Mr. McNair or Mr. Romero. (PHT 76).

The last witness called to the stand was Kenneth Saldana. (PHT 93) Mr. Saldana was an acquaintance of the deceased. (PHT 94). He observed two individuals consistent with the testimony of Mr. Romero walk up to Las Vegas Boulevard and yelling with Gordon and then leave. (PHT 101). Mr. Saldana then sees one of the co-workers go back into "Flavors" and the other get into his truck and park it next to a white Jeep on the property. (PHT 101). A white suburban shows up, and a male occupant gets out of the Suburban and walks with the Worker.

Mr. Saldana then describes what happens next:
The guy that was in the white Suburban truck starts hitting Gordon, punching him in his face five, 10 times, steps back three feet, pulls a gun and shoots Gordon. (PHT 102)
Q. And, now, another person gets out of that Suburban, correct?
A. Out of the white truck, yes.
Q. Was that person African American, White, Asian, Mexican?
A. African American.
Q. Was he shorter or taller than the driver of the burgundy truck?
A. Shorter.
Q. Was he thin build or more stocky?
A. Thin.
Q. Now, the original coworker of the burgundy truck and this new person from the Suburban, now they walk toward Gordon, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you're saying the person from the Suburban approaches Gordon and punches him five to 10 punches?
A. Yes.
Q. Approximately?
A. Yes.
Q. Does Gordon ever punch back?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever see Gordon with any weapon?
A. No.
Q. After the punching you stated that the shorter of the men stepped back three feet and shoots Gordon?
A. Yes.
Q. How many times do you believe the gun was fired?
A. At least five times.
Q. What was the taller of the individual doing?
A. Standing there, watching.
Q. What was the taller of the individual doing when the shorter one was punching him?
A. Watching.
Q. Was he yelling, was he talking, or just standing there?
A. Just standing there. (PHT 105)

The State then goes through the surveillance videos with the witness, who identifies someone other than the defendant as the person who shot Gordon.

## ARGUMENT

## I. THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED TO THE JUSTICE OF THE PEACE WAS INSUFFICIENT TO SUSTAIN THE CHARGES

A defendant may object to the sufficiency of the evidence to sustain an information only by application for a writ of habeas corpus. N.R.S. 172.155(2). For an information or indictment to withstand a challenge by habeas corpus, the District Court judge must determine that the State has met its burden to show that there is probable cause to believe that a crime has been committed and that the person charged committed the crime. Tertrou v. Sheriff, Clark County, 89 Nev. 166, 509 P.2d 970 (1973); Azbill v. State, 84 Nev. 345, 440 P.2d 1014 (1968). Probable cause to support a criminal charge "may be based on 'slight,' even 'marginal' evidence,. . . because it does not involve a determination of the guilt or innocence of an accused." Sheriff v. Hodes, 96 Nev. 184, 186, 606 P.2d 178, 180 (1980). "To commit an accused for trial, the State is not required to negate all inferences which might explain his conduct, but only to present enough evidence to support a reasonable inference that the accused committed the offense." Kinsey v. Sheriff, 87 Nev. 361, 363, 487 P.2d 340, 341 (1971).

Murder is the unlawful killing of a human being, with malice aforethought, either express or implied. NRS 200.010(1). Express malice is a deliberate intention unlawfully to take away the life of a fellow creature, which is manifested by external circumstances capable of proof. NRS 200.020(2). Malice shall be implied when no considerable provocation appears, or when all the circumstances of the killing show an abandoned and malignant heart. NRS 200.020(2). For murder to be in the first degree, in addition to requiring malice aforethought, it must also be willful, deliberate, and premeditated. NRS 200.030(1)(a).

In this case, there is insufficient evidence to support an inference that Mr. McNair personally committed the instant offense. In fact, the State's witness directly testified contrary to this.

## II. THERE IS NO COMPETENT EVIDENCE THAT MR. McNAIR COMMITTED A MURDER OR WAS PART OF A CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MURDER

A conspiracy is an agreement between two or more persons for an unlawful purpose. Myatt v. State, 101 Nev. 761, 763, 710 P.2d 720, 722 (1985) (citing Sheriff v. Blasko, 98 Nev. 327, 647 P.2d 371 (1982)). Mere association is insufficient to support a charge of conspiracy. Peterson v. Sheriff, 95 Nev. 522, 525, 598 P.2d 623, 625 (1979) (citing State v. Sullivan, 68 Ariz. 81, 200 P.2d 346 (1948)). "[T]o sustain a conviction of conspiracy, the prosecution is required to present proof, independent of the defendant's own admissions that the defendant entered into an agreement with at least one other person." Doyle v. State, 112 Nev. 879, 894, 921 P.2d 901, 911 (1996) (overruled on other grounds by Kaczmarek v. State, 120 Nev. 314, 91 P.3d 16 (2004) and Nika v. State, 124 Nev. 1272, 1286 (Nev. 2008)).

## CONCLUSION

This Court should dismiss the information insofar as the murder count was charged against Mr. McNair. There was no evidence to establish that the gun Mr. McNair allegedly showed to his co-employee was the murder weapon, or that the person who actually shot the deceased did so as part of any conspiracy. In this case, the properly admitted evidence is not sufficient to support probable cause to believe that Mr. McNair is legally responsible for the actions of the shooter, whom the witness identified as not being Mr. McNair.

Dated: December 14, 2017

# SUBMITTED BY: 

/s/ Randall H. Pike
RANDALL H. PIKE
Attorney for Defendant
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MICHAEL MCNAIR, aka
Michael Deangelo Mcnair, \#1959573,
MICHAEL MCNAIR, aka
Michael Deangelo Mcnair, \#1959573,
for a Writ of Habeas Corpus.
In the Matter of Application,

## STATES RETURN TO WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

DATE OF HEARING: 1/9/2018 TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 A.M.

COMES NOW, JOE LOMBARDO, Sheriff of Clark County, Nevada, Respondent, through his counsel, STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County District Attorney, through JACQUELINE BLUTH, Chief Deputy District Attorney, in obedience to a writ of habeas corpus issued out of and under the seal of the above-entitled Court on the 15 th day of December, 2017, and made returnable on the 9th day of January, 2018, at the 9:00 A.M., before the above-entitled Court, and states as follows:

1. Respondent admits the allegations of Paragraphs) one and two.
2. Respondent denies the allegations of Paragraph three.
3. Paragraphs) four, five, and six do not require admission or denial.
4. The Petitioner is in the actual or constructive custody of JOE LOMBARDO, Clark County Sheriff, Respondent herein, pursuant to a Criminal Information, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated by reference herein.

Wherefore, Respondent prays that the Writ of Habeas Corpus be discharged and the Petition be dismissed.

DATED this 20th day of December, 2017.
Respectfully submitted,
STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Clark County District Attorney


BY
JACQUELINE BLUTH
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#10625

## POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

Ramiro Romero worked at Unified Containers (Flavors) for about a month in September of 2017. (PHT p. 32) Michael McNair (hereinafter Defendant) was an individual that worked at United Containers with Mr. Romero. (PHT pp. 34-36)

On September 14, 2017, around 9 or 10 o'clock at night, Defendant came into the area where Mr. Romero was working and stated there was a guy causing a disturbance. (PHT p. 38). The two then walked out to the parking lot and Defendant pointed out the guy that was causing the problems. (PHT p. 39) Mr. Romero and Defendant walked up to the fence because Mr. Romero wanted to see if the individual wanted to fight him because the individual was "talking shit." (PHT p. 42) Mr. Romero then left the property and followed the Victim, but according to Mr. Romero's testimony, Defendant stayed on property. (PHT p. 44) Mr. Romero followed the individual, but once the individual crossed Las Vegas Boulevard, Mr. Romero returned back to the Flavors property. Originally, Mr. Romero stated that he did not see Defendant again that evening. (PHT p. 50) Mr. Romero then changed his story and stated that when Defendant walked back into Flavors, Defendant showed him a gun because according to Mr. Romero he had been asking Defendant to get him a gun because he wanted to buy one. (PHT pp. 51-52) He did not see Defendant again that evening until the police
showed up. According to Mr. Romero, that night, Defendant was wearing a light blue employee shirt and he never saw Defendant change his clothes. Mr. Romero was then impeached with his statement to police where he tells police that after returning to the property Defendant changed into a burgundy shirt. (PHT pp. 54-56)

Mr. Romero was asked whether or not he remembered Defendant being on the phone while they were standing outside watching the Victim, Mr. Romero's answer was no. Mr. Romero was then shown video that illustrates the following': (For the purposes of preliminary hearing, defense did not object to the State bringing in video surveillance from Unified Containers/Flavors. Those exhibits were admitted as States two and three.) ${ }^{2}$

## Preliminary Hearing Exhibit 3, Video, View 7 (Attached to this motion as Exhibit 3)

12:17:13: Defendant and Mr. Romero are standing outside of Flavors and Defendant is talking on the phone. Per, Mr. Romero, Defendant is talking on the phone to a security guard telling them about the disturbance.

12:19:34: Defendant opens up the gate and he walks out towards Victim, Mr. Romero follows. Defendant is walking 15 to 20 feet ahead of Mr. Romero.

Exhibit 3, Video, View 8 (Attached to this motion as Exhibit 3)

12:20:20: Defendant and Mr. Romero are walking towards Las Vegas Boulevard and stop at the corner before crossing over to where the Victim is located.

12:21: Defendant and Mr. Romero then walk back to Flavors.

Exhibit 2, video U5 (Attached to this motion as Exhibit 2)

[^0]12:22:10: Mr. Romero returns inside to work.

12:26:44: Defendant returns to work and shows Mr. Romero a gun.

At the conclusion of Mr. Romero's testimony he was impeached with his statement to police where he said, "If I did testify, I would have to go to court. Yeah, because, this man, I don't know this man very well, so I don't know what he's capable of or what or who he knows." (PHT p. 91)

On September 14, 2017, Kenneth Saldana was homeless and his camp was located in front of Flavors ice cream which is located between Foremaster and Washington on Las Vegas Boulevard. Also living in that area was another homeless individual by the name of Gordon Phillips. The two were not friends but were more acquaintances. (PHT pp.94-95) Around 9 pm there was a Flavors employee (Defendant) in the Flavors parking lot playing their music very loudly from the truck. Defendant was standing outside of his truck and Mr. Saldana could see that he was an African American male. The Victim walked up to Defendant and it was clear that the two began yelling at one another. The Victim never crossed the fence of Flavors, but instead, stood on the other side while yelling at Defendant. (PHT pp. 97,98) After the two were done yelling at one another, Defendant got in his truck and drove off, then came back, parked his truck, and walked inside Flavors.

Mr. Saldana then saw Defendant walk back out of Flavors with another male that worked at Flavors. They walked out of the parking lot and came to Las Vegas Boulevard, but did not cross the street. The two males then began yelling at the Victim who had moved back to his camp located on the other side of Las Vegas Boulevard. (PHT pp. 98, 99) After a short period of yelling at the Victim, the two individuals then returned back to Flavors (PHT p. 101). Defendant got back in his truck, drove off, and his co-worker went back inside of Flavors. Shortly after, Defendant's truck came back into Mr. Saldana's view and then a white suburban showed up in the parking lot. A short, African American male got out of the suburban and he and Defendant walked over to where the Victim was. The male with

Defendant began punching the Victim multiple times to the face, stepped back a few feet, and shot the Victim. (PHT pp. 101-102) According to Mr. Saldana, when the unidentified male shot the Victim, the taller of the two (Defendant) stood there and watched. At no point during the shooting did Defendant attempt to stop it or seem shocked or stunned that the shooting had taken place. The two men then walked back to Flavors. (PHT pp. 102-104)

Video at time of preliminary hearing showed the following:

Exhibit 3, Video, View 8 (Attached to this motion as Exhibit 3)

At 12:13:44, the Victim can be seen walking up Searles Street towards an individual sitting in a burgundy truck. An individual in a blue shirt (Defendant) then gets out of the blue truck. The two exchange words.

At 12:15:17, Defendant gets inside of his truck and drives away. The Victim walks into the middle of the street.

12:16:31, Defendant comes back onto the property in his truck.

12:18:41, Defendant is now outside of Flavors standing with a coworker, he can be seen pointing in the Victim's direction. Victim can be seen walking back in the direction of his homeless camp.

12:19:40, Defendant and his co-worker walk towards where Victim is walking. They never cross the street to where Victim is located but instead just yell at him from the opposite side of Las Vegas Boulevard.

12:21:02, Defendant and co-worker walk back towards Flavors.

12:22:39, A white suburban enters the Flavors parking lot. African American Male gets out without a shirt on and follows Defendant. Defendant walks in the lead all the way up Searles Avenue and crosses Las Vegas Boulevard with the other male.

Exhibit 3, Video, View Seven (Attached to this motion as Exhibit 3)

12:22:29, An individual with no shirt on gets out of the White suburban. Defendant is walking in front of him towards the area of the victim. Defendant and the other individual cross the street towards Victim. Shortly thereafter, you see Defendant and the other individual running back onto the Flavors property.

## ARGUMENT

The State presented sufficient evidence to show that Defendant committed the crime with which he is charged. NRS 171.206 requires the magistrate to hold a defendant to answer in the district court if it appears from the evidence adduced at the preliminary examination that there is probable cause to believe that an offense has been committed and that the defendant has committed it. Marcum v. Sheriff, $85 \mathrm{Nev} .175,451 \mathrm{P} .2 \mathrm{~d} 845$ (1969); citing Beasley v. Lamb, $79 \mathrm{Nev} .78,378$ P.2d 524 (1963). A preliminary hearing is not a trial. Whittley v. Sheriff, 87 Nev. 614, 491 P.2d 1282 (1971); see also Goldsmith v. Sheriff, 85 Nev. 295, 454 P.2d 86 (1969). In a preliminary hearing, the State is only required to present enough evidence to support a reasonable inference that the accused committed the offense. LaPena v. Sheriff, 91 Nev. 692, 696, 541 P.2d 907, 910 (1975); citing Kinsey v. Sheriff, 87 Nev. 361, 487 P.2d 340 (1971).

Furthermore, the State is not required to negate all inferences but need only present enough proof to support a reasonable inference that the accused committed the offense. Whittley, 87 Nev .614 ; see also Lamb v. Holsten, 85 Nev . 566, 459 P.2d 771 (1969). The same standard of proof applies to proof of the corpus delicti. Sheriff v . Middleton, 112 Nev . 956, 921 P.2d 282 (1996).

The Nevada Supreme Court has also held:

> [E]ven on a murder charge the quantum of proof necessary in order to hold an accused to answer in the district court is only that it appear to the magistrate, from substantial and competent evidence, that an offense had been committed and that the defendant committed it. When the evidence is in conflict at the preliminary examination it is the function of the magistrate to determine the weight to be accorded the testimony of the witnesses, and so long as an inference of criminal agency can be drawn from the evidence it is proper for the magistrate to draw it, thereby leaving to the jury at the trial the ultimate determination of which of the witnesses are more credible.

Ricci v. Sheriff, 88 Nev. 662, 663, 503 P.2d 1222 (1972).
This was a probable cause hearing where the State showed that Defendant was part of a conspiracy to murder the Victim. A conspiracy is an agreement between two or more persons for an unlawful purpose. To be guilty of conspiracy, a defendant must intend to commit the specific crime agreed to. The crime is the agreement to do something unlawful; it does not matter whether it was successful or not.

Mere knowledge or approval of, or acquiescence in, the object and purpose of a conspiracy without an agreement to cooperate in achieving such object or purpose does not make one a party to conspiracy. Conspiracy is seldom susceptible of direct proof and is usually established by inference from the conduct of the parties. In particular, a conspiracy may be supported by a coordinated series of acts, in furtherance of the underlying offense, sufficient to infer the existence of an agreement. Doyle v. State, 112 Nev. 879, 894 (1996)

It is not necessary in proving a conspiracy to show a meeting of the alleged conspirators or the making of an express or formal agreement. The formation and existence of a conspiracy may be inferred from all circumstances tending to show the common intent and may be proved in the same way as any other fact may be proved, either by direct testimony of the fact or by circumstantial evidence, or by both direct and circumstantial evidence. Id.

Here, it is clear from testimony and the video that Defendant got in a verbal altercation with Victim over Defendant playing his music too load. Defendant then went and got his coworker, Romiro Romero, in an attempt to intimidate or cause harm to Victim. While outside with Mr. Romero, Defendant can be seen on the phone. Also, while Defendant and Mr.

Romero are outside standing, Defendant can be seen on video pointing a gun in the direction of Victim. Defendant and Mr. Romero then walk up the street toward the direction of the Victim where they stop and yell across the street where Victim is. The two then walk back to Flavors. Shortly thereafter, Mr. Romero walks back into Flavors and Defendant meets someone in the parking lot in a white suburban. The two then walk together out of the Flavors parking lot towards Victim. Mr. Saldana testified that was when the smaller of the two punched the Victim multiple times, stepped back three feet, and shot the Victim. Defendant did not attempt to stop it and did not seem shocked or surprised. The two then ran back to the parking lot where Defendant returned to work with the gun. These facts are a very clear cut conspiracy that are illustrated not only through testimony but also through video. At any point Defendant could have attempted to stop what was going on, could have walked away, and most importantly could have gotten the Victim help. The Defendant did none of those things because he was the facilitator of the murder.

Due to this being a probable cause hearing and the state of the law as it relates to conspiracy, the State met its burden at preliminary hearing.

## CONCLUSION

For the reasons discussed, the State respectfully requests that Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus be denied.

DATED this 20th day of December, 2017.
Respectfully submitted,
STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \# 001565
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THE STATE OF NEVADA,
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MICHAEL MCNAIR, aka, Michael Deangelo Mcnair, \#1959573

Defendant.

CASE NO: C-17-327395-1
DEPT NO: III

## INFORMATION

STATE OF NEVADA $\quad\{$ ss.
COUNTY OF CLARK
STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:

That MICHAEL MCNAIR, aka, Michael Deangelo Mcnair, the Defendants) above named, having committed the crimes of MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165 - NOC 50001) and CARRYING CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER DEADLY WEAPON (Category C Felony - NRS 202.350 (1)(d)(3) - NOC 51459), on or about the 14th day of September, 2017, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada, COUNT 1 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON
did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and with malice aforethought, kill GORDON PHILLIPS, a human being, with use of a deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm, by shooting into
the body of the said GORDON PHILLIPS with said firearm, the said killing having been willful, deliberate and premeditated. Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by counseling, encouraging, hiring, commanding, inducing and/or otherwise procuring the other unknown person to commit the crime; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, Defendant and unknown person aiding or abetting and/or conspiring by acting in concert throughout.

COUNT 2 - CARRYING CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER DEADLY WEAPON
did then and there willfully, intentionally, unlawfully and feloniously carry concealed upon his person, a firearm or other deadly weapon, to wit: a firearm.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#001565

BY /s/ Jacqueline Bluth<br>JACQUELINE BLUTH<br>Chief Deputy District Attorney<br>Nevada Bar \#010625

Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this Information are as follows:

NAME
ASBERY, Courtney

BRENNAN, Joshua
COON, Tyler

CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE

## ADDRESS

Unified Containers 1300 N. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV

Palm Mortuary Security
Unified Containers
1300 N. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV

Clark County Detention Center,
330 S. Casino Center Blvd., Las Vegas, NV

CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE

CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE

CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE

GARDNER, Ashley
HOFFMAN, John
HONAKER, Jamie OR DESIGNEE

KOWALSKI, Brian
LESH, Bret
LOPEZ, Deanna
PHILLIPS, Sundra
RAMIRO, Romero
RAZZO, Anthony
SALDANA, Kenneth

Clark County Detention Center, Communications 330 S. Casino Center Blvd., Las Vegas, NV

LVMPD Communications,
400 S. Martin L. King Blvd., Las Vegas, NV

LVMPD Records
400 S. Martin L. King Blvd., Las Vegas, NV

1200 N. Las Vegas Blvd., Las Vegas, NV
LVMPD \# 9001
INVESTIGATOR
C.C. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

LVMPD \# 8550
Transient
18 W. Owens Ave., \#13, Las Vegas, NV
C/O District Attorney's Office
4646 Drake Cir., Las Vegas, NV
2300 Olive St., \#38, Las Vegas, NV
Transient




EXHIBIT 3

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,
vs.

MICHAEL MCNAIR,
Defendant.
CASE NO. C-17-327395-1
DEPT. III

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS W. HERNDON, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE TUESDAY, JANUARY 9, 2018

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PETITION FOR PRETRIAL WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

APPEARANCES:

For the State:
JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, ESQ.
Chief Deputy District Attorney

RANDALL H. PIKE, ESQ.
Senior Deputy Special Public Defender

RECORDED BY: SARAH RICHARDSON, COURT RECORDER

Tuesday, January 9, 2018 - Las Vegas, Nevada
[Proceedings begin at 9:51 a.m.]

THE COURT: Ready?
MR. PIKE: We are, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Which one is it, Randy?
MR. PIKE: Page 13, Michael McNair.
THE COURT: Got it. 327395. This is on for a petition for writ of habeas corpus. We also have a status check set for tomorrow on trial readiness. We have a trial date pending in July, so l'll vacate tomorrow's date since you guys are here today. Okay. As to your petition?

MR. PIKE: Thank Your Honor. This is one of those rare circumstances in which the only eyewitness called to testify at the time of the preliminary hearing identified an individual who is not my client as having shot and killed the deceased in this case.

The State attempts to build a conspiracy count around this by way of introduction of video -- surveillance videos -- where my client was a security guard over on Searles and Las Vegas Boulevard North. There was words -- there was an exchange between the deceased and my client, and then the videos -- and let me step back for a second.

There were a number of stipulations that were entered into this, nature and cause of death, the identification because those weren't issues.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. PIKE: And, similarly, the introduction of the video -- surveillance videos without calling the custodian of record. We didn't need those.

## THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PIKE: So what you see is is my client going over and exchanging -or exchanging words with somebody, presumably the deceased, with a coemployee. Another individual comes over. My client goes over there -- again, over to the same area where the deceased was sleeping or was over against the -- a homeless camp -- encampment, and it was there where the other individual shot and killed the deceased according to the eyewitness.

Going back and forth does not indicate that there's anything more than a "let's go tell this guy to stay away from the premises; let's go tell him to calm down; let's go tell him to do whatever is going to happen." There's no indication that there was anything bad that was going to happen, anything illegal that was going to happen.

The State attempts to demonstrate that by the progressions of going outside of the perimeter of the premises and then going over there and then finally saying, well, there -- at some point in time my client got out of his car, came in and pointed what the State is saying is a gun. Well, the State can't testify to that. The video -- the Justice of the Peace made no finding that there was a pointing of a gun that was done in concomitant with that or that it was a gun and -- instead of just relying just upon this --

THE COURT: Well, let me back you up a minute because implicitly the Justice of the Peace did find that there was a gun because he bound him over on the carrying concealed firearm count -- or charge.

MR. PIKE: But that was on a different situation. That was based upon the testimony of a co-employee that said that my client was taking -- took a gun into him to sell it to him.

THE COURT: No -- no, I realize that, but I'm just saying that in terms of arguing what's on the videotape is a gun or not a gun, I mean, a full characterization of that is there is evidence that he had a gun. Whether the argument is that the video wasn't clear, if that's the gun he was pointing around at that time, there was testimony from other people that he had a gun with him.

MR. PIKE: Or if -- there was no testimony that that a gun that was a death-producing weapon.

THE COURT: No, I agree with you on that.
MR. PIKE: Now, and if you look at the video and finish looking at that, it is equally consistent with Michael going in, just pointing over towards the individual and then turning around, coming to the door and then turning towards his truck in both hands and pushing a remote control lock because on the video, you will see the lights come on on the truck when it automatically locks when he does that.

So that -- without those findings and without that -- any eyewitness that indicates that my client was involved in the shooting or that there was a conspiracy or that there was any mal intent or evil intent when they went over there, there's insufficient evidence to bind it over on the murder charge.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Bluth.
MS. BLUTH: I have a few points that I'd like to add, Judge, but before I get started, do you have any specific questions for me?

THE COURT: No, no, you can go ahead.
MS. BLUTH: Okay. So the reason why I attached the exhibits is because I think that the proof of the conspiracy pretty much lays in those videos. I mean, if you look at the Defendant having the altercation with the victim beforehand about his music being too loud, which is what witnesses discussed at the preliminary
hearing, he then goes in and gets Ramiro Romero, they go over and intimidate the victim.

Before they go over there, it's the State's position you can clearly see the firearm being presented when the Defendant is looking over at the victim who's across the street, and he holds up the firearm. So that's our first basic snapshot of him with the firearm.

You also see him on the telephone. After they go back from intimidating the victim, you see Ramiro Romero go back inside. You see the white Suburban pull up. The Defendant's not surprised at the white Suburban. He's not surprised at the occupants of the white Suburban. They then get in, they walk towards the victim. Obviously, the murder happens off camera. Both of them run together back towards this Suburban. The smaller individual gets in the Suburban with a female. They drive off.

The Defendant closes the gate -- let's them out, closes the gate, walks back in, and, again, you can clearly see that that's a firearm. He goes back to Ramiro Romero talking to him. He's animated, shows him the gun, and they walk back together. That is more than enough for a slight or marginal evidentiary standard.

I think that we met the elements. I think that the conspiracy is there. It's not a close call whether or not that is the gun. We provided snapshots as well as the video, and so I think for the probable cause hearing -- I mean, we went to preliminary hearing; we didn't go to Grand Jury, as you know -- we definitely met out burden, and so I think that the points that Mr. Pike makes up, there are good points, but I think that they're better left for a jury because at this point we've met our burden.

## THE COURT: Mr. Pike.

MR. PIKE: Yes. Without any -- the mere fact that he was going out and went with these two individuals to either tell the deceased stay away, stay off -don't come on -- don't come near our property, that's equally consistent with his functions as a security guard going over to do -- to stop people from accosting people on the property without any conversation, without any physical evidence to indicate they had an intent to commit a criminal act or that Mr. McNair knew that there was going to be a criminal act committed or that there was going to be anything else that occurred in relationship to that and without the eyewitness testimony that there was anything consistent with a conspiracy to commit a criminal act, and during the course of that, the shorter individual pulled out a gun and shot the deceased. There's no evidence of a conspiracy.

And if the Court has any questions -- I'd ask the Court to just review the videos, look at that and make a determination. They're not that long.

THE COURT: Well, I did get the videos, so I've reviewed all that. One of the things I wanted to go back and correct was, when you referenced earlier, said a count of conspiracy, there isn't a conspiracy charge --

MR. PIKE: I understand, yes.
THE COURT: -- one of the theories that's in the murder count, which are the three general theories that are always there, that either directly committed the acts or he was an aider and/or abettor by counseling and encouraging, procuring, those type of things, with somebody else that committed the acts or that he was involved in a conspiracy to commit the crime.

And I think based on the totality, look, it's -- you know, you would love to have ten people come in and testify at a grand jury and it be much more
substantive that a lot of grand jury proceedings are, but there's not -- that requirement doesn't maintain. Looking through everything, I think there's enough for the indictment to stand. The testimony from the people that were involved and looking at the videos, I think all kind of indicate that there's some type of issue or altercation or interaction that occurs with the Defendant and Mr. Romero and the victim that can safely be characterized as some type of argument, him yelling, whether it's about music or anything else that evolves from that.

That occurs and that the Defendant has a gun. I think the Justice of the Peace found that reasonably, I think the testimony showed that, and I do think the videos are clear enough to indicate that, that somebody else shows up and they go back over to that area. And regardless of whether he's the shooter or not, there's enough inference in what was produced to -- for, I think, the Grand Jury to have reasonably decided that under one of those theories, he's liable for that murder charge.

MR. PIKE: I assume the Court meant the Justice of the Peace?
THE COURT: Well, yes. I'm sorry. In any event, so I'm going to deny the writ. In terms of the status check that we had set for tomorrow, what's going on with getting ready for our case? I mean, are there any issues?

MS. BLUTH: So I gave Mr. Pike, actually, I think almost all of the discovery before the preliminary hearing, but we're actually going to do a file review today at 2:00 o'clock to make sure that he has everything in my file.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. BLUTH: I always make a point of it to go to homicide and review not only what's on their computer, but also in their binder and their case file, and so l'll be doing that and make sure Mr. Pike has everything. So I'm definitely ready for
the pending trial date, and I'll Mr. Pike make any representations.
MR. PIKE: Okay. The investigator assigned to this case and I have been out a number of occasions and have been reviewing the list of eyewitnesses that have been provided to the State in trying to locate any additional witnesses.

There's some outstanding forensics that we're waiting upon, and we anticipate that we'll be ready for the July date.

THE COURT: Okay. Are there any offers that are outstanding in the case or have you guys had conversations about that?

MR. PIKE: We haven't. We are waiting until the writ was argued, and then we have the file review, and then we can start talking about those things.

MS. BLUTH: We also wanted to have the forensics back, Your Honor -THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BLUTH: -- before we did a negotiation on my behalf.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then we will go ahead -- as I said, we'll vacate tomorrow's status check date. We'll reset the status check date for 30 days.

THE CLERK: February 7th at 9:00 a.m.
THE COURT: All right, guys. Thank you.
MS. BLUTH: Thank Your Honor.
(Proceedings concluded at 10:02 a.m.)

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audiovisual recording of the proceeding in the above entitled case to the best of my ability.
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## RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING STATUS CHECK: TRIAL READINESS
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For the State:
JEFFERY S. ROGAN, ESQ.
Chief Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:
RANDALL H. PIKE, ESQ.
Senior Deputy Special Public Defender

THE COURT: Which one, Randy?
MR. PIKE: McNair.
THE COURT: Page 3, 327395. He's present in custody. This is on for a status check on a July 23rd trial date.

MR. PIKE: This is where we're at with that, Your Honor. Most of the witnesses are homeless.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PIKE: We've been chasing them down. The investigator and I are interviewing two witnesses that are now in Ely prison, and we're doing that next week.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PIKE: There is one request for forensics that is outstanding, and other than that, everything looks like it's a go for that July date.

THE COURT: Okay. I know we discussed last time that you all were awaiting certain forensic things before we even get to the point of having any meaningful conversations about resolution. What is the forensic thing that we're waiting on and when --

MR. PIKE: There is -- there is a gun that we're requesting that the DNA -a DNA test be run on that to determine if there's any errant DNA.

THE COURT: Okay. And is that as far as you know been submitted already or --

MR. PIKE: As far as I know, it's been submitted.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROGAN: It's been submitted several months, so --
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then we will go ahead and set our --
MR. PIKE: We have had the bio review and a conflict that we'll do for
discovery, so --
THE COURT: Okay. Let's set another status check then in 30 days.
THE CLERK: March 7th at 9:00 a.m.
MR. PIKE: Thank you very much.
MR. ROGAN: Thank Your Honor.
(Proceedings concluded at 9:49 a.m.)
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DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
STATE OF NEVADA,
CASE NO. C-17-327395-1
) DEPT. 3
vs.
MICHAEL McNAIR, ID 1959573
Defendant.

## MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE AND MOTION TO HAVE THE HANDGUN THAT WAS RECOVERED TESTED FOR ANY DNA

DATE: $\qquad$
TIME: $\qquad$
COMES NOW, the Defendant, MICHAEL McNAIR, by and through Randall H. Pike, Chief Deputy Special Public Defender and hereby requests pursuant to Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194 (1963), the Due Process Clause to the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Nevada Constitution Article 1 § 8, this Court order the State to produce any and all relevant evidence in its actual or constructive possession

This Motion is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the attached Declaration of Counsel, and oral argument at the time set for hearing this Motion.

## NOTICE OF MOTION

TO: STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff; and
TO: District Attorney, Attorney for Plaintiff
YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant's Motion is placed on the Court's calendar for hearing on $\qquad$ at the hour of $\qquad$ a.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

The Defendant in this case, according to at least one of the State's theories of liability involves allegations of a Conspiracy to commit a murder or another criminal act. Based upon the information provided by the State, the second person with him was MITCHELL JOHNSON, the defendant's half-brother. Mitchell Johnson has not been charged by the State, although according to the testimony presented at the time of the Preliminary Hearing, the eyewitness identifies Mr. Johnson as being the individual that shot the deceased. (Defendant herein incorporates the Writ that was previously filed in the instant case). Metro detectives recovered a weapon at the scene, however, as of the present date, no DNA testing has been requested on the gun.

During the secondary disclosure of discovery from the State, the Chief Deputy provided the identity of Mr. Johnson and a recorded statement by him. As a result of the investigation in this matter, Mitchell Johnson has been identified by the State as a witness in other cases. Most notably in the case of State v. Johnson, C-12-285924-1, an attempt murder case. The State has removed from the defense access of witness information via LRMS, preventing the defense from accessing all cases in which Mr. Johnson has testified, been a person of interest, been a confidential informant or witness for the state, and/or received any compensation or benefit from his working with the police.

## ARGUMENT

## I. FAILURE BY THE STATE TO PROVIDE DISCOVERY IS A VIOLATION OF THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE UNDER THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND THE NEVADA CONSTITUTION

In the present case, the State and the defense have met for a file review. The Chief Deputy District Attorney provided to the defense copies of all evidence within her possession. This included information and a statement from Mitchell Johnson. Investigation by the defense has led to information that the witness has testified in other cases, and that the witness has been previously identified as a confidential informant in other prosecutions. The defense has confirmed what is available via interviews and public records, however the defense cannot confirm all information that may be used to impeach and/or properly cross examine the witness, it is necessary to obtain any and all exculpatory evidence that the State has in its actual or constructive possession prior to trial. Failure to do so results in a violation of the Due Process Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution. Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963); Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 419, (1995). The rule applies regardless of how the State has chosen to structure its overall discovery process. Strickler v. Greene, 527 U.S. 263, (1999).

Article 1, Section 8 of the Nevada Constitution also guarantees every defendant a right to due process. "It is a violation of due process for the prosecutor to withhold exculpatory evidence, and his motive for doing so is immaterial....The prosecutor represents the state and has a duty to see that justice is done in criminal prosecution." Jimenez v. State, 112 Nev. 610, 618 (1996). The United State Supreme Court has held that a defendant has a right to have otherwise confidential records reviewed by the trial court to determine if they contain material evidence. Pennsylvania v. Ritchie, 480 U.S. 39, 60 (1987).

The purpose of Brady is to ensure that criminal trials are fair. Brady, 373 U.S. at 87. To ensure "that a miscarriage of justice does not occur," United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667, 675
(1985). The prosecution's duty to divulge relevant information is a "broad duty of disclosure." Strickler, 527 U.S. at 281; cf. United States v. Agurs, 427 U.S. 97, 108 (1976) (finding that "the prudent prosecutor will resolve doubtful questions in favor of disclosure.

Favorable evidence, under Federal precedent, clearly includes both exculpatory information and impeachment information. In Giglio, the government's case rested entirely on the testimony of one witness, yet the defense was not informed that the witness testified in exchange for a promise not to be prosecuted. The Supreme Court held that the prosecution was required to divulge this information because "evidence of any understanding or agreement as to a future prosecution would be relevant to [the witness's] credibility and the jury was entitled to know of it," accordingly, the conviction was reversed. Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150, 154 (1972). The Supreme Court has made clear that the prosecution must disclose all impeachment evidence, not just evidence relating to cooperation agreements. Youngblood v. West Virginia, 547 U.S. 867 (U.S. 2006); United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667, 676 (1985). In the present case, the presence of Mr. Johnson, his activities as demonstrated at the time of the preliminary hearing, the sole eyewitness's testimony that Mr. Johnson was the only person who fired the gun - along with the fact that he has not been charged by the State, necessitates the instant motion.

The defense recognizes that there are specific instances in which the defense is obligated to identify and make a specific request for Brady material when "there exists a reasonable possibility that the claimed evidence would have affected the judgment of the trier of fact." Roberts v. State, 110 Nev. 1121 (1994). See, also, Jimenez v. State, supra; State v. Bennett, 119 Nev. 589 (2003). The Nevada Supreme Court has defined "material evidence" as evidence that is logically connected with the facts of consequences or the issues in the case. Wyman v. State, 217 P.3d 572, 583 (Nev. 2009).

## A. THE STATE MUST RUN CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECKS ON LAY WITNESSES AND THE DECEASED, DISCLOSING BRADY MATERIAL, INCLUDING IMPEACHMENT INFORMATION.

The State should provide the defense with any Brady information that is accessible to it by performing a search of the NCIC database. The Supreme Court has made clear that the prosecution must disclose all impeachment evidence, not just evidence relating to cooperation agreements. Youngblood v. West Virginia, 547 U.S. 867 (U.S. 2006); United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667, 676 (1985). The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has also specifically addressed the prosecutor’s duties regarding impeachment evidence in Carriger v. Stewart, 132 F.3d 463, 479-82 ( $9^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 1997).

The Court in Odle v. United States, 65 F. Supp. 2d 1065 (N.D. Cal. 1999), rev'd on other grounds by Odle v. Woodford, 238 F.3d 1084 ( $9^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 2001), similarly recognized that " $[t]$ he cases variously describe the prosecutor's duty in terms of a duty to search for favorable evidence or in terms of constructive or imputed knowledge." Id. at 1071 (citing Carriger, 132 F.3d at 479-80; Kyles, 514 U.S. at 437). Further, the Court stated that "knowledge may be imputed to the prosecutor, or a duty to search may be imposed, in cases where a search for readily available background information is routinely performed, such as routine criminal background checks of witnesses." Id. at 1072 (citing United States v. Perdomo, 929 F.2d 967 (3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Cir. 1991) (emphasis added); Carriger, 132 F.3d 463; United States v. Auten, 632 F. 2 d 478 (5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 1980); United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197, 1202-02 ( $9^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 1988); United States v. Cadet, 727 F.2d 1453, 1467 (9th Cir. 1984); United States v. Jennings, 960 F.2d 1488, 1490-91 (9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 1992) (emphasis added). The disclosure of criminal history information to defense counsel appears to be routinely done in criminal cases in order to comply with Brady.

The defense requests that the NCIC information be provided to defense counsel. If there is no NCIC record for a particular witness, the State can make that representation. If there is a
record, the defense will stipulate to accept the ability to review the record and make notes as being sufficient to satisfy its request. The defense is not insisting that NCICs be run on the State's experts or law enforcement witnesses.

## II. THE STATE HAS THE ALLEGED GUN THAT WAS USED IN THIS CASE WITHIN ITS POSSESSION. CURRENTLY THE RESULTS OF ANY FORENSIC TESTING IS PENDING. THE DEFENDANT RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS THAT THE SEIZED WEAPON BE TESTED FOR ANY DNA EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE OBTAINED THEREBY.

The Defense acknowledges that Metro's lab has a large amount of evidence from the cases pending that is subject to DNA examination, and that may be the reason that the gun has not been processed, or if processed the report has not yet reached the office of the District Attorney. However, given the pending trial date in July of this year, it is requested that this processing occur sooner rather than later, and that the following forensic reports be provided.

Request, results and/or reports of any and all crime scene analysis, evidence collection and/or forensic testing performed in this case, including, but not limited to, any and all photographs, the results of any fingerprint collection and comparison, AFIS (Automated Fingerprint Identification System) searches and/or results, DNA testing, CODIS (Combined DNA Index System) searches and/or results, toxicological analyses, footwear impressions, trace evidence analyses, any forensic analysis of cellular telephones, any requests for forensic analysis regardless of the outcome of such request.

Wherefore, Defendant respectfully requests the above discovery be provided in the instant case.

Dated: February 23, 2018
SUBMITTED BY:
/s/ Randall H. Pike
RANDALL H. PIKE
Attorney for Defendant

## CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that service of the Motion For Disclosure Of Evidence And Motion To Have The Handgun That Was Recovered Tested For Any DNA was made on $2 / 23 / 18$, by Electronic Filing to:

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
email: motions@clarkcountyda.com
/s/Elizabeth (Lisa) Araiza
An employee of the Special Public Defender
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STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#001565
JEFFREY S. ROGAN
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#10734
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212
(702) 671-2500

Attorney for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff,

CASE NO: C-17-327395-1
MICHAEL MCNAIR, aka, Michael Deangelo Mcnair, \#1959573

Defendant.

DEPT NO: III

RESP
STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#001565
JEFFREY S. ROGAN
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#10734
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212
(702) 671-2500
Attorney for Plaintiff
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA


## POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

 STATEMENT OF FACTSRamiro Romero worked at Unified Containers (Flavors) for about a month in September of 2017. (PHT p. 32) Michael McNair (hereinafter, "Defendant") also worked at United Containers with Mr. Romero. (PHT pp. 34-36)

On September 14, 2017, around 9 or 10 o'clock at night, Defendant came into the area where Mr. Romero was working and stated there was a guy causing a disturbance. (PHT p. 38). The two then walked out to the parking lot and Defendant pointed out the guy that was causing the problems. (PHT p. 39) Mr. Romero and Defendant walked up to the fence because Mr. Romero wanted to see if the individual wanted to fight him because the individual was "talking shit." (PHT p. 42) Mr. Romero then left the property and followed the Victim, but according to Mr. Romero's testimony, Defendant stayed on property. (PHT p. 44) Mr. Romero followed the individual, but once the individual crossed Las Vegas Boulevard, Mr. Romero returned back to the Flavors property. Originally, Mr. Romero stated that he did not see Defendant again that evening. (PHT p. 50) Mr. Romero then changed his story and stated that when Defendant walked back into Flavors, Defendant showed him a gun because according to Mr. Romero he had been asking Defendant to get him a gun because he wanted to buy one. (PHT pp. 51-52) He did not see Defendant again that evening until the police showed up. According to Mr. Romero, that night, Defendant was wearing a light blue employee shirt and he never saw Defendant change his clothes. Mr. Romero was then impeached with his statement to police where he tells police that after returning to the property Defendant changed into a burgundy shirt. (PHT pp. 54-56)

Mr. Romero was asked whether or not he remembered Defendant being on the phone while they were standing outside watching the Victim, Mr. Romero's answer was no. Mr. Romero was then shown video that illustrates the following ${ }^{1}$ :

[^1]Preliminary Hearing Exhibit 3, Video, View 7
12:17:13 Defendant and Mr. Romero are standing outside of Flavors and Defendant is talking on the phone. Per, Mr. Romero, Defendant is talking on the phone to a security guard telling them about the disturbance.

12:19:34 Defendant opens up the gate and he walks out towards Victim, Mr. Romero follows. Defendant is walking 15 to 20 feet ahead of Mr. Romero.

## Preliminary Hearing Exhibit 3, Video, View 8

12:20:20 Defendant and Mr. Romero are walking towards Las Vegas Boulevard and stop at the corner before crossing over to where the Victim is located.

12:21 Defendant and Mr. Romero then walk back to Flavors.

Preliminary Hearing Exhibit 2, video U5
12:22:10 Mr. Romero returns inside to work.
12:26:44 Defendant returns to work and shows Mr. Romero a gun.

At the conclusion of Mr. Romero's testimony he was impeached with his statement to police where he said, "If I did testify, I would have to go to court. Yeah, because, this man, I don't know this man very well, so I don't know what he's capable of or what or who he knows." (PHT p. 91)

On September 14, 2017, Kenneth Saldana was homeless and his camp was located in front of Flavors ice cream which is located between Foremaster and Washington on Las Vegas Boulevard. Also living in that area was another homeless individual by the name of Gordon Phillips. The two were not friends but were more acquaintances. (PHT pp. 94-95) Around 9 pm there was a Flavors employee (Defendant) in the Flavors parking lot playing their music very loudly from the truck. Defendant was standing outside of his truck and Mr. Saldana could see that he was an African American male. The Victim walked up to Defendant and it was clear that the two began yelling at one another. The Victim never crossed the fence of Flavors, but instead, stood on the other side while yelling at Defendant. (PHT pp. 97,98) After the two were done yelling at one another, Defendant got in his truck and drove off, then came back, parked his truck, and walked inside Flavors.

Mr. Saldana then saw Defendant walk back out of Flavors with another male that worked at Flavors. They walked out of the parking lot and came to Las Vegas Boulevard, but did not cross the street. The two males then began yelling at the Victim who had moved back to his camp located on the other side of Las Vegas Boulevard. (PHT pp.98, 99) After a short period of yelling at the Victim, the two individuals then returned back to Flavors (PHT p. 101). Defendant got back in his truck, drove off, and his co-worker went back inside of Flavors. Shortly after, Defendant's truck came back into Mr. Saldana's view and then a white suburban showed up in the parking lot. A short, African American male got out of the suburban and he and Defendant walked over to where the Victim was. The male with Defendant began punching the Victim multiple times to the face, stepped back a few feet, and shot the Victim. (PHT pp. 101-102) According to Mr. Saldana, when the unidentified male shot the Victim, the taller of the two (Defendant) stood there and watched. At no point during the shooting did Defendant attempt to stop it or seem shocked or stunned that the shooting had taken place. The two men then walked back to Flavors. (PHT pp. 102-104)

Video at time of preliminary hearing showed the following:

## Preliminary Hearing Exhibit 3, Video, View 8

12:13:44 the Victim can be seen walking up Searles Street towards an individual sitting in a burgundy truck. An individual in a blue shirt (Defendant) then gets out of the blue truck. The two exchange words.

12:15:17 Defendant gets inside of his truck and drives away. The Victim walks into the middle of the street.

12:16:31 Defendant comes back onto the property in his truck.
12:18:41 Defendant is now outside of Flavors standing with a coworker, he can be seen pointing in the Victim's direction. Victim can be seen walking back in the direction of his homeless camp.

12:19:40 Defendant and his co-worker walk towards where Victim is walking. They never cross the street to where Victim is located but instead just yell at him from the opposite side of Las Vegas Boulevard.

12:21:02 Defendant and co-worker walk back towards Flavors.
12:22:39 A white suburban enters the Flavors parking lot. African American Male gets out without a shirt on and follows Defendant. Defendant walks in the lead all the way up Searles Avenue and crosses Las Vegas Boulevard with the other male.

## Preliminary Hearing Exhibit 3, Video, View Seven

12:22:29 An individual with no shirt on gets out of the White suburban. Defendant is walking in front of him towards the area of the victim. Defendant and the other individual cross the street towards Victim. Shortly thereafter, you see Defendant and the other individual running back onto the Flavors property.

## ARGUMENT

## 1. Federal Law Prohibits the State from Providing any Criminal History Information to the Defendant.

The defendant requests that the State "perform a search of the [National Crime Information Center (NCIC)] database" on all lay witnesses and the victim. Deft.'s Mot. at 5. However, as a user of the NCIC database, the State is prohibited from disseminating criminal history information to non-criminal justice agencies as defined by Title 28 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §20.3. That regulation describes a criminal justice agency as: (1) Courts; and (2) a government agency or any subunit thereof which performs the administration of criminal justice pursuant to a statute or executive order, and which allocates a substantial part of its annual budget to the administration of criminal justice. Unless specifically authorized by federal law, access to the NCIC/III for non-criminal justice purposes is prohibited.

A 1989 United States Supreme Court case looked at this issue from the standpoint of an invasion of privacy and ruled accordingly:

> Accordingly, we hold as a categorical matter that a third party's request for law enforcement records or information about a private citizen can reasonably be expected to invade that citizen's privacy, and that when the request seeks no "official information" about a Government agency, but merely records that the Government happens to be storing, the invasion of privacy is "unwarranted."

United States Department of Justice v. the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press, 109 S.Ct. 1468, 1485 (1989).

Criminal defense attorneys, public or private, are not within the definition of "criminal justice agency," nor is the criminal defense function considered a "criminal justice purpose." Therefore, Defendant is not entitled to the criminal history information he seeks.

However, if the State learns that any witness the State intends to call at trial has a prior felony conviction within the last ten (10) years, which would be admissible for impeachment purposes under NRS 50.095, or convictions for offenses pertaining to moral turpitude, the State will disclose that information to the defense immediately.

## 2. The DNA Results on the Handgun are Pending.

On March 5, 2018, the DNA manager of the LVMPD Forensic Laboratory prioritized the examination of the handgun and assured the State that the DNA analysis will be completed before the trial date.

DATED this 13th day of March, 2018.
Respectfully submitted,
STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#001565

BY s/ Jeffrey S. Rogan
JEFFREY S. ROGAN
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#10734

## CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that service of the foregoing Response, was made this 13th day of March, 2018, by Electronic Filing to:

ELIZABETH ARAIZA elizabeth.araiza@clarkcountynv.gov

RANDALL H. PIKE, ESQ. rpike@clarkcountynv.gov

BY s/ Jeffrey S. Rogan
JEFFREY S. ROGAN
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#10734

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,
vs.

MICHAEL MCNAIR,
Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS W. HERNDON, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
TUESDAY, MARCH 20, 2018
RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING THE DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE AND MOTION TO HAVE THE HANDGUN THAT WAS RECOVERED TESTED FOR ANY DNA

APPEARANCES:
For the State:
JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney

RANDALL H. PIKE, ESQ.
Senior Deputy Special Public Defender

Tuesday, March 20, 2018 - Las Vegas, Nevada
[Proceedings begin at 9:34 a.m.]

THE COURT: Which one, Randy?
MR. PIKE: McNair.
THE COURT: Page 3, 327395. He's present in custody. This is on for a status check on a July 23rd trial date.

MR. PIKE: This is where we're at with that, Your Honor. Most of the witnesses are homeless.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PIKE: We've been chasing them down. The investigator and I are interviewing two witnesses that are now in Ely prison, and we're doing that next week.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PIKE: There is one request for forensics that is outstanding, and other than that, everything looks like it's a go for that July date.

THE COURT: Okay. I know we discussed last time that you all were awaiting certain forensic things before we even get to the point of having any meaningful conversations about resolution. What is the forensic thing that we're waiting on and when --

MR. PIKE: There is -- there is a gun that we're requesting that the DNA -a DNA test be run on that to determine if there's any errant DNA.

THE COURT: Okay. And is that as far as you know been submitted already or --

MR. PIKE: As far as I know, it's been submitted.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROGAN: It's been submitted several months, so --
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then we will go ahead and set our --
MR. PIKE: We have had the bio review and a conflict that we'll do for
discovery, so --
THE COURT: Okay. Let's set another status check then in 30 days.
THE CLERK: March 7th at 9:00 am.
MR. PIKE: Thank you very much.
MR. ROGAN: Thank Your Honor.
(Proceedings concluded at 9:49 a.m.)
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## DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
STATE OF NEVADA,

vs.

MICHAEL McNAIR, ID 1959573
Defendant.

## MOTION TO CLARIFY BAIL OBLIGATION TO INCLUDE HOUSE ARREST

> DATE:
$\qquad$ TIME: $\qquad$
COMES NOW, the Defendant, MICHAEL McNAIR, by and through Randall H. Pike, Chief Deputy Special Public Defender and hereby requests that this issue an order clarifying the Bail obligation to conform with the Order issued by the Justice of the Peace that included House Arrest as a component part of any release.

This Motion is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the attached Exhibit, and oral argument at the time set for hearing this Motion.

## NOTICE OF MOTION

TO: STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff; and
TO: District Attorney, Attorney for Plaintiff
YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant's Motion is placed on the Court's calendar for hearing on $4-10-18 \quad$ at the hour of $\quad 9 \mathrm{AM}$ a.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

The Defendant in this case, after the preliminary hearing, the Justice of the Peace set bail in the amount of $\$ 100,000.00$ and house arrest. See Exhibit A. During inquiries with the pre-trial services, this second requirement is in question. So that there is no confusion, the Defense is requesting that this Court clarify the record and issue an Order that Bail, if posted, is also subject to acceptance into the house arrest program.

THE DEFENSE IS NOT REQUESTING ANY CHANGE IN THE ORDERS HERETOFORE ISSUED BY THE JUSTICE OF THE PEACE AND MERELY REQUESTS THAT THE DISTRICT COURT ACKNOWLEDGE THAT BOTH PREREQUISITS REQUIRED BY THE JUSTICE OF THE PEACE CONTINUE TO CARRY FULL FORCE AND EFFECT.

Wherefore, the defendant acknowledges the prior order of the Justice of the Peace and requests that this Honorable Court order the same requirements in the event bond is posted.

Dated: March 30, 2018
SUBMITTED BY:
/s/ Randall H. Pike
RANDALL H. PIKE
Attorney for Defendant

## CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that service of the Motion To Clarify Bail Obligation To Include House Arrest was made on $3 / 30 / 18$, by Electronic Filing to:

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
email: motions@clarkcountyda.com
/s/Elizabeth (Lisa) Araiza

An employee of the Special Public Defender

EXHIBIT A


JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA,
Plaintiff,
vs.
Michael McNair

Defendant

## BINDOVER and ORDER TO APPEAR

An Order having been made this day by me that Michael McNair be held to answer before the Eighth Judicial District Court, upon the charge(s) of Open murder, e/dw [50001]; Carry conceal expl/gun/dang weap w/o prmt [51459] committed in said Township and County, on September 14, 2017.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said defendant is commanded to appear in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Regional Justice Center, Lower Level Arraignment Courtroom "A", Las Vegas, Nevada on October 25, 2017 at 10:00 AM for arraignment and further proceedings on the within charge(s).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Sheriff of the County of Clark is hereby commanded to receive the above named defendant(s) into custody, and detain said defendant(s) until he/she can be legally discharged, and be committed to the custody of the Sheriff of said County, until bail is given in the sum of $\$ 100,000$ AND House Arrest.

Dated this 23rd day of October, 2017
Disead Sullitata

Justice of the Peace, Las Vegas Township

RTRAN
DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,
vs.

MICHAEL MCNAIR,
Defendant.
CASE NO. C-17-327395-1
DEPT. III

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS W. HERNDON, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE TUESDAY, APRIL 10, 2018

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CLARIFY BAIL OBLIGATION TO INCLUDE HOUSE ARREST

APPEARANCES:
For the State:
JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, ESQ.
Chief Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:
RANDALL H. PIKE, ESQ.
Senior Deputy Special Public Defender

RECORDED BY: SARAH RICHARDSON, COURT RECORDER

Tuesday, April 10, 2018 - Las Vegas, Nevada
[Proceedings begin at 9:30 a.m.]

MS. BLUTH: Judge, I also have Michael McNair on page 4, please.
THE COURT: Get closer to that microphone.
UNIDENTIFIED STUDENT: Page 4, Case C327395, State of Nevada versus Michael McNair.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. McNair is present in custody. Mr. Pike is here on his behalf. This is on for a motion to clarify -- well, you tell me what the problem is, Randy.

MR. PIKE: Your Honor, he was requested to -- he requested an opportunity to fill out the opportunity for house arrest because posting bond is independent of house arrest. There was a question whether or not how the house arrest component had been informed or followed up the orders on the Court. The bail was set at $\$ 100,000$ plus a house arrest.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. PIKE: And so just to clarify it, to make sure that the District Court order complied with -- or was the same as the Justice Court jail -- or bail setting, I request that that be confirmed.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PIKE: I'm not asking -- I'm not asking to change anything, just to verify.

THE COURT: So did he post the bail and they're not letting him out --
MR. PIKE: The bail has not been posted.
THE COURT: -- because of house arrest? Okay.

MS. BLUTH: And then, Judge, may we approach for a quick second? THE COURT: Sure.
[Bench conference begins]
THE COURT: This happens a lot.
MS. BLUTH: I just wanted to give you a heads up as well because I gave Randy a heads up. I'm going to be doing a motion in regards to the bail setting just because I think some things were -- whatever. When bail was originally set, we're not clear.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. BLUTH: So I just wanted you to know that and I wanted Randy to know that so that today when I get back to my office, you guys are like, why did you -- because I don't --

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. BLUTH: First I was going to do it orally, but I feel like I need to do it in a little bit more detail.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. BLUTH: And so l'm just letting you guys know that. I don't have any issue with what Randy is discussing right now.

THE COURT: Do you -- do you think you'll file the motion before the status check that we already had set for April 17?

MS. BLUTH: I was going to file it this afternoon.
THE COURT: Okay. Because we can -- we can have a quick discussion about the status check and just vacate that date.

MS. BLUTH: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: And then have the motion get on calendar when it normally
does. I can give you a date for the motion today. Whatever you want to do.
MS. BLUTH: If we could get back here -- today's Tuesday, right?
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. BLUTH: We could -- and they will want time to respond, right?
MR. PIKE: One of -- in this next week is the status check, so we can just set for that.

MS. BLUTH: Oh.
THE COURT: Can you -- can you respond by then?
MR. PIKE: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. BLUTH: Okay.
THE COURT: Then why I don't just move it to the 18th instead of the 17th on a Wednesday when there's less on calendar.

MS. BLUTH: Sounds great.
THE COURT: Okay.
[Bench conference concludes]
THE COURT: Okay. So I will clarify that for purposes currently, Mr. McNair had bail set at $\$ 100,000$. There was a condition -- bless you -- that house arrest would be applied if and when he makes the bail as well. Correct?

MR. PIKE: Right.
THE COURT: Okay. So that will stand in place right now. The State indicated that they may be filing a motion to have some discussion further about that. So when you file it, Jackie, just use the date of April 18th.

MS. BLUTH: Yes, Judge.
THE COURT: We're going to vacate the 17th status check date and just
move that to Wednesday, the 18th, instead. So that will be a status check and motion regarding bail.

MS. BLUTH: Yes, Judge.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. PIKE: In reference to the last discovery motion that was brought, the State did respond and provided me the LRMS items that I had requested.

THE COURT: Oh, okay.
MR. PIKE: So that has been accomplished, and we're still awaiting the DNA on -- on the weapon.

MS. BLUTH: That's all correct, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ill see you next week, guys. Thank you. (Proceedings concluded at 9:33 a.m.)
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OBJ evidence was elicited. Two notable things occurred at the time of the preliminary hearing - the

State filed an amended complaint which included language that Mr. McNair was not the shooter and that he may be liable under different theories of liability for the death of Mr. Gordon; and, the presentation of an eyewitness to the shooting of the deceased identifying someone other than the defendant as being the person who shot the deceased.

The last witness called to the stand was Kenneth Saldana. (PHT 93) Mr. Saldana was an acquaintance of the deceased. (PHT 94). He observed two individuals consistent with the testimony of Mr. Romero walk up to Las Vegas Boulevard and yelling with Gordon and then leave. (PHT 101). Mr. Saldana then sees one of the co-workers go back into "Flavors" and the other get into his truck and park it next to a white Jeep on the property. (PHT 101). A white suburban shows up, and a male occupant gets out of the Suburban and walks with the worker.

Mr. Saldana then describes what happens next:
The guy that was in the white Suburban truck starts hitting Gordon, punching him in his face five, 10 times, steps back three feet, pulls a gun and shoots Gordon. (PHT 102)
Q. And, now, another person gets out of that Suburban, correct?
A. Out of the white truck, yes.
Q. Was that person African American, White, Asian, Mexican?
A. African American.
Q. Was he shorter or taller than the driver of the burgundy truck?
A. Shorter.
Q. Was he thin build or more stocky?
A. Thin.
Q. Now, the original coworker of the burgundy truck and this new person from the Suburban, now they walk toward Gordon, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you're saying the person from the Suburban approaches Gordon and punches him five to 10 punches?
A. Yes.
Q. Approximately?
A. Yes.
Q. Does Gordon ever punch back?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever see Gordon with any weapon?
A. No.
Q. After the punching you stated that the shorter of the men stepped back three feet and shoots Gordon?
A. Yes.
Q. How many times do you believe the gun was fired?
A. At least five times.
Q. What was the taller of the individual doing?
A. Standing there, watching.
Q. What was the taller of the individual doing when the shorter one was punching him?
A. Watching.
Q. Was he yelling, was he talking, or just standing there?
A. Just standing there. (PHT 105)

The State then goes through the surveillance videos with the witness, who identifies someone other than the defendant as the person who shot Gordon.

It was only after this testimony that the Justice of the Peace set forth the acceptable terms of release.

The State alleges that there is new information that was obtained after the preliminary hearing that warrants the raising of bail. Respectfully, the defense disagrees.

## THE COURT CONSIDERED MANY ASPECTS OF THE CASE IN MAKING HIS DECISION REGARDING THE APPROPRIATE AMOUNT OF BAIL

The Court noted that in considering bail, it was "[the State’s] memo or something, but there were like eight or nine different witness statements and they were kind of all over the board and it was hard for the Court to track." The Court cautioned counsel and the defendant regarding the two part requirement of house arrest and the posting of "a significant amount of bail" - indicating that would "assure his future court appearance, and to basically track him down if he were to flee, to protect the community..." (PHT 137) Upon information and belief, the defense believes that the "memo" was the State’s Memorandum filed October 6, 2017 in the Justice Court case in which the State indicated that "Ashley Parmley, a witness to the event, positively identified [Alfonso] Henderson as one of the suspects."

The person identified by the State’s witness, Kenneth Saldana, as the shooter is Mitchell Johnson. He was interviewed prior to the preliminary hearing, as was the mother of his child,

Bianca Redden, in that same memo was identified as driving Mitchell Johnson to the scene and leaving the scene. Despite all of the evidence against Mitchell Johnson, and the State pursuing a number of vicarious liability theories against Michael McNair, Mitchell remains uncharged. Mr. McNair, did not leave the scene.

Anthony Razo, identified within the above referenced memo was represented to have "observed the Victim in possession of a silver bladed knife, which he held behind his back." (p.5).

THE JUSTICE OF THE PEACE WAS AWARE OF THE PROBATION HOLD
As the Court is aware, the pretrial services workup would have contained such information.

## THE DEFENSE CONFIRMED THE ORDER OF THE JUSTICE COURT

Rather than attempt to obtain a release without being in complete compliance with the Justice Court requirements, it was the defendant that brought the issue to the Court's attention. He was arrested at the place of his employment, has two children, a wife and has every intention of remaining in Clark County to answer these charges.

Dated: April 17, 2018

SUBMITTED BY:
/s/ Randall H. Pike
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MS. BLUTH: 16, Michael McNair.
THE COURT: Thank you. 327395. McNair is present. This is on for the State's motion to -- oh, okay. The State's motion to increase bail. The motion was provided to the Court. The motion and accompanying documents were provided, as I understand it, last week to Mr. Pike. Correct?

MR. PIKE: That's correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And based upon the nature of some of what's in the motion and the personal information in there, the motion is going to be filed under seal today. All right. Ms. Bluth.

MS. BLUTH: Thank Your Honor.
MR. PIKE: I did file an opposition.
THE COURT: I got that as well.
MR. PIKE: You got that as well. Okay.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. BLUTH: Thank you, Judge. So a couple of things. In response to Mr. Pike's motion, he -- or opposition, excuse me, he discussed the memo that I had filed in Justice Court, and so I kind of just want to discuss really quickly. In Justice Court, Mr. McNair was represented by Mr. Westbrook, who had filed this motion for an OR based on actual innocence and that the picture of the Defendant holding the gun walking back in was actually him holding a cell phone. And so as part of his reasoning of why the Defendant should be let out, he talks about this identification of an Alfonzo Henderson as the actual shooter.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. BLUTH: So Judge Sullivan said, look, you guys, I only have like a two-page arrest report. I need to have a better understanding of what's going on in this case. So that's when I went back, I wrote a memo of all of the witnesses because I -- you know, and having candor to the Court, I didn't feel like it was verified, just put the information that was good for me. So I wrote a memo describing each of the witnesses and what they had said because that was kind of what Judge Sullivan was requesting of us.

So then as you can see, this is kind of had somewhat of a troubled past from the State's perspective as it wasn't until after the probable cause hearing where we meet with detectives and we go through the video still by still that we find the Defendant has the firearm before the murder takes place, which was always confusing to both the detectives and the State because it's like where did this firearm come from, which led everyone to think, okay, maybe the brother, Mitchell Johnson, brought it to the place of employment.

So then after prelim, after, you know, painstakingly hour after hour we look at these stills, we see that very quick still of the gun that the Defendant is pointing towards the victim as the victim is walking back up Searles Avenue to where the homeless camp is.

THE COURT: All right.
MS. BLUTH: So then we go into a probation revocation where he's in front of Judge Delaney. I mean, no one thinks that he's going to be reinstated and then dishonorably discharged. Obviously, our argument was he should be revoked. He's on probation at the time. This is his past criminal history. He's on probation when he commits the murder. The murder has been bound up, and Judge

Delaney disagrees. She reinstates him and dishonorably discharges him.
I never made any request to increase the bail only because the Defendant never showed, you know, that he was ever going to be able to make the bail, and so I believe that our concerns in regards to ties to the community or flight risk or danger to the community, I didn't think those were at issue. When Mr. Pike filed his motion in the -- with the clarification for house arrest last week is when I started realizing, okay, this is something that we should probably be concerned about, and that's why I wrote the lengthy motion -- the lengthy motion to Your Honor.

I mean, here's the deal. Whether or not he's a flight risk, I'm not sure. He does have a lot of failure to appears. In fact, that was discussed in his PSI. But this is someone who cannot stay out of trouble. I mean, if you look at his history in the last PSI that I attached and I went through my motion, that's something that I think should bring this Court concern.

But most concerning of all is the facts of this case -- I'm not going to go into them in detail because I know Your Honor read my motion, but, I mean, this is just a homeless guy yelling at the Defendant to turn down his music, you know, and it angers the Defendant to a point where he goes and gets a firearm, calls his brother for backup. They go up there, the murder takes place. He runs back and then hides the gun.

So, I mean, I don't think that these facts, if you look at the criminal history of the Defendant, his failure to appear in court, his danger to the community and just -- I mean, obviously, his wire is very short because those facts to a murder just don't make sense of why someone would want to go shoot and kill someone because they tell you to turn down your music.

So that was why I filed the motion to increase bail, and like Your Honor said, I appreciate you being able to file it under seal because I did include information that I think he has a right to privacy about.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pike?
MR. PIKE: Thank Your Honor. In all confidence to the Court, the State filed an indication that a Mr. Henderson had been identified as the shooter.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. PIKE: That was pre-preliminary hearing. Prior to the preliminary hearing, they had -- the State had interviewed and had a recorded statement from Mitchell, our alternate suspect, and, in fact, it was Mitchell that was identified by the civilian witness that was there. He was identified as the person that was the shooter.

The State filed an amended information offering a different theory of culpability, which was, somebody else shot him, but you either conspired with him, helped him or did something like that. Maybe you didn't tell the police who it was. Maybe you just invoked your right and you didn't want to talk to the police, which is perfectly fine.

We've already argued, and I filed the writ of habeas corpus. Your Honor heard all of these arguments before, but there were some additional aspects of it, the Henderson statement. The Court at that time -- or the Justice of the Peace at that time having reviewed the pretrial motion for bail and having reviewed the motion filed by previous counsel and the evidence that was presented based upon that evidence and knowing full -- the contents of the pretrial workup, that there was a moderate risk that he would not reappear, and the Court set a large bail, $\$ 100,000$ for a family of limited means and house arrest. And the Court went
through and said, this -- this is enough to provide assurances that he'll appear. This is -- I believe he has enough ties to the community.

His wife, who is present in court today and has been present at every hearing, is here to support him. Offer him a place to live. He was employed at the time that this happened. At least he's not employed back there at that location, but also has three children. So there are a lot of ties to the community, including extended family, and that includes his half-brother Mitchell, who we have invested and have found him to have been involved in testifying in other cases. And I brought that motion before the Court. The Court took that into consideration. The State gave us all the LRMS information on that pursuant to the order immediately.

We have been working this case, and there is no reason for him to flee. There is every reason for him to allow him to spend time with his family if he is approved for house arrest and if he can meet $\$ 100,000$ bail. Just because the family can put together -- scrape together enough money to potentially pay a premium on bail and have assurances that he will appear means that it's a reasonable bail.

There's a lot of people involved in the family trying to get this money together, and there's every incentive for him to reappear. And it's not that we should go beyond a reasonable bail that could possibly be made by this family with assurances of house arrest. You can honor -- or you could order electronic monitoring during a period of time that he's out to further ensure his appearances. Could change it to an unreasonable bail that he could never make and his family could ever make. So it's our desire at this point in time that Your Honor keep the bail at the amount that it's set.

Regarding the gun issue, we argued that before. We're still waiting for
ballistics and other forensics in reference to the gun, not necessarily a gun because we don't know whether or not it was the gun that was fired at that time and that -- we talked about the video and how if you take the still photograph that they have, which is a turning -- turning around at that point in time, as I argued before, if you put that into context with the entire video as he turns around and does that, around that same time, the lights click off on his car as though a remote control is locking the truck that he had that was parked behind him.

So there has been no additional evidence that's been brought before the Court today to justify an increase of bail.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Bluth?
MS. BLUTH: I disagree with that part because the issue is that he had the weapon before the murder took place, which is additional evidence.

THE COURT: Well, and to be clear, this is the first time I'm really addressing this. So I understand you're talking about things that were produced in front of the Justice Court at the time that they set that bail. But the only thing I think l've been asked so far, really, is to reaffirm what we talked about earlier with the -- last week on the house arrest. But go ahead.

MS. BLUTH: So that's the main thing that is new, but for anybody. I mean, I understand it's all new for you, but any bail argument, the fact that we now have the gun in his hand, clearly pointing the weapon at the victim as the victim's walking back, that is a huge piece to the puzzle like I already stated. Because before the whole question was, how did this gun get to the scene? And everyone thought, oh, okay, it's the brother.

He calls the brother for backup. The brother brings a gun. Once we go through it slide by slide, then we realize, oh, no, he had the gun from the
beginning, and he clearly has it at the end when he walks back in. We have an employee that says he walks back with the gun, and then you have his brother who said, yeah, we went up this street, we went up to the victim, the homeless man, and as the brother says, as he's walking away, he sees his brother and he hears pow, pow, pow, and then he looks back and he sees the homeless man fall to the ground. I mean, that's really good evidence in the case against the Defendant that we didn't have from the beginning.

And, look, I understand Mr. Pike's situation. It's not like I'm -- we're not trying -- I'm not trying to purposely jam somebody up just because I see they're about to, you know, get bail. We never believed that he could make that bail, and I'm not going to seek to get blood from a turnip. I wasn't coming in here, you know, and asking for more when I didn't believe that the family could make it in the first place. I'm coming here because there is new evidence for the Court to consider, and I do think that there's a danger to community that the Court should consider in regards to this case.

MR. PIKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, just if I may.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. PIKE: The State had interviewed -- the police officers had interviewed Mitchell, the brother, before the preliminary hearing. He was not called to the preliminary hearing.

THE COURT: Well, here's -- here's what l'll say about the potential facts. You know, when the potential facts look really good but for the State, you argue a lot about the potential facts. If the potential facts look better for the Defense, we argue a lot about the potential facts, which is kind of why, I think, the Supreme Court and people with any wisdom of this say, really what the facts may or may not
be isn't the primary concern when you're trying to deal with setting bail.
You're looking at all the other things that give you some kind of idea of Mr. McNair -- and l'll speak to you because this is who it applies to -- about danger to the community and whether somebody is likely to come back. l'll be honest with you, I never would've set bail in the amount of $\$ 100,000$ if I was setting it right away.

On this case, based on what I know about it and having three felony convictions and two gross misdemeanors, 2008, 2011, 2012, 2012, 2016, failed probation, failed paroles, not even close, to be quite honest. I mean, I have a lot of concerns about criminal activity and the ability to come back to court if you can't even comply with being in structured probation or paroles, particularly when you're looking at this kind of case.

And, look, you know, I look at what's been provided and issues about the weapon. Even if you're not the shooter, you shouldn't have a gun anyway because you got three prior felony convictions and was on probation, I think, at the time this offense occurred. Correct?

MS. BLUTH: Correct.
THE COURT: So I am going to raise the bail. I'm going to reset the bail at the amount of $\$ 250,000$. House arrest will continue to apply if the bail is made.

MR. PIKE: Thank Your Honor.
MS. BLUTH: Thank you.
THE COURT: The trial date, calendar call date stand. We do need to set another status check as we move forward getting ready for our trial. So that will be in 30 days.

THE CLERK: May 23rd, 9:00 a.m.

THE COURT: Is there anything in that regard to report today? Today was kind of a status check in addition to the motion day. Any updated issues on anything related to forensic testing or anything?

MR. PIKE: That's a -- there hasn't been. That's still outstanding with Metro.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PIKE: But other than that, as I indicated before, the last motion includes [indiscernible], I received that that day --

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PIKE: -- from counsel, and we've -- we've processed all of that, and we've done all of the out-of-county interviews that we -- that were necessary to do.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. BLUTH: I did call the lab, Your Honor, and let them, you know, about our trial date and the forensics needed to be sped up, and they told me that they would.

THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll see you back in 30 days. Thank you.
MR. PIKE: Thank you, Your Honor.
MS. BLUTH: Sounds good. Thank you.
[Proceedings concluded at 10:01 a.m.]
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Testimony proffered during the showing of this video is on pages 63-78.
    ${ }^{2}$ These videos are attached as Exhibits Two and Three for the court's viewing.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the purposes of preliminary hearing, defense did not object to the State bringing in video surveillance from Unified Containers/Flavors. Those exhibits were admitted as States two and three. Testimony proffered during the showing of this video is on pages 63-78, and was attached to the State's previously filed Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus.

