

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LAWRENCE D. CANARELLI; HEIDI  
CANARELLI; AND FRANK MARTIN,  
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE  
ESTATE OF EDWARD C. LUBBERS,  
FORMER TRUSTEES,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE  
GLORIA STURMAN, DISTRICT  
JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

SCOTT CANARELLI, BENEFICIARY  
OF THE SCOTT LYLE GRAVES  
CANARELLI IRREVOCABLE TRUST  
DATED FEBRUARY 24, 1998,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 78883

**FILED**

**JUN 10 2019**

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DIRECTING ANSWER AND DENYING STAY*

This original petition for a writ of prohibition or mandamus challenges a district court order allowing the production of allegedly privileged material. Petitioners have filed an emergency motion seeking to stay the district court proceedings pending our consideration of this petition.

Having reviewed the petition and supporting documents, it appears that an answer may assist this court in resolving this matter. Accordingly, real party in interest, on behalf of respondents, shall have 21 days from the date of this order within which to file and serve an answer, including authorities, against issuance of the requested writ. Petitioners

shall have 14 days from service of the answer within which to file and serve any reply.

Further, having reviewed the stay motion, opposition thereto, and reply in light of the NRAP 8(c) factors, we deny a stay at this time. The district court has already stayed discovery related to the disputed documents and all proceedings related to the supplemental petition, except for that concerning unrelated subjects like valuation and accounting. The district court noted that, in the event of dispute over the stay's scope, the parties could seek appropriate relief from that court. Moreover, the district court may decide to alter or expand the partial stay's scope if it later appears unworkable to protect against use of the allegedly confidential information. We conclude that, given the partial stay already in place, the factors do not militate in favor of a complete stay of proceedings. Therefore, we deny, without prejudice, petitioners' motion for a stay.

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering, J.  
Pickering

Parraguirre  
Parraguirre

Cadish, J.  
Cadish

cc: Hon. Gloria Sturman, District Judge  
Campbell & Williams  
Marquis Aurbach Coffing  
Solomon Dwiggin & Freer, Ltd.  
Eighth District Court Clerk