1	IN THE SUPREME COUL	RT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2 3	CHRISTOPHER SENA,) No. 79036
4		Electronically Filed
5	Appellant,	May 20 2020 01:10 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown
6	V.	Clerk of Supreme Court
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
8	Respondent.)
9	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX	X VOLUME XIII PAGES 2722-2971
10		
11	DARIN IMLAY Clark County Public Defender	STEVE WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610	Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 AARON FORD	
14 15		Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
16		
17		Counsel for Respondent
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	IN THE SUPREME COUL	RT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
		Docket 79036 Document 2020-19233

1		
2	INDEX CHRISTOPHER SENA Case No. 79036	BACE NO
3	Amended Criminal Complaint filed 10/22/14	<u>PAGE NO.</u> 7-13
4	Amended Information filed 10/12/16	
5	Amended Judgment of Conviction filed 07/08/19	2399-2407
6	Audiovisual Transmission Equipment Appearance Request filed 02/06/19	2162-2164
7	Criminal Complaint filed 09/19/14	1-6
8	Defendant's Bench Memorandum Regarding Child Pornography Charges filed 02/15/19	2236-2246
10	Defendant's Memorandum of Points and Authorities Opposing Bindover After Preliminary Hearing filed 09/19/15	917-933
11 12	Defendant's Motion for Juror Questionnaire Date of Hrg: 01/03/18	1679-1701
12	Defendant's Motion for Production of Co-Offenders' PSIs and Related Discovery Date of Hrg: 08/22/18	1941-1950
14 15	Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date of Hrg: 09/13/17	1529-1545
	Defendant's Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 12/29/17	1731-1732
16 17	Defendant's Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 08/13/18	1891-1896
18	Defendant's Notice of Witnesses filed 01/08/18	1733-1735
19	Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used At Trial filed 02/15/19	2214-2235
20	District Court Minutes from 01/05/16 through 05/28/19	2408-2486
21	Ex Parte Motion and Order to Remand Witness Into Custody filed 02/06/19	2167-2168
22 23	Ex Parte Motion and Order to Remand Witness Into Custody filed 02/06/19	2169-2170
23	Ex Parte Order for Transcript filed 11/03/17	1552-1553
24	Ex Parte Order for Transcript filed 03/09/18	1741-1742
26	Ex Parte Order for Transport filed 12/05/17	1675-1676
27	Findings of Fact, Conclusions, of Law and Order Date of Hrg: 10/12/16	1251-1301
28	Fourth Amended Information filed 02/13/19	2171-2213

1	Information filed 12/16/15 1008-1052
2	Instructions to the Jury filed 02/21/19
3	Judgment of Conviction filed 05/31/19
4	Justice Court Minutes from 09/19/14 through 12/15/15
5 6	Motion for Stay Pending Resolution of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition Date of Hrg: 12/11/17
7 8	Motion to Compel Production of Discovery & Brady Material Date of Hrg: 08/23/17
9	Motion to Continue Trial Date Date of Hrg: 10/26/16 1247-1250
10	Motion to Continue Trial Date Date of Hrg: 01/10/18
11	Motion to Dismiss Counts for Violation of Statute of Limitations
12	Date of Hrg: 08/23/17
13	Motion to Sever Date of Hrg: 12/04/17
14	Notice of Appeal filed 06/14/19
15	Opposition to Motion to Strike Expert Witness Notice
16	Date of Hrg: 08/22/18
17	Opposition to State's Motion to Amend Criminal Information filed 10/05/16 1195-1201
18	Opposition to State's Motion to Clarify and/or Motion to Reconsider Date of Hrg: 08/22/18
19 20	Order filed 03/31/16 1093-1094
20	Order Denying Defendant's Motions of December 12/11/17
21	Date of Hrg: 12/11/17
22	Order for Production of Inmate filed 07/10/15 115-116
23	Order for Production of Inmate filed 08/22/17 1524-1525
24	Order for Production of Inmate filed 08/25/17 1526-1527
25	Order for Production of Inmate filed 02/01/19 2158-2159
26	Order for Production of Inmate filed 02/04/19 2160-2161
27	Order for Production of Inmate filed 02/06/19 2165-2166
28	

1 2	Order Granting State's Motion in Limine to Present the Complete Story of the Crime and Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Sexual Offenses and or Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts	1.500 1.500
3	Date of Hrg: 09/25/17	1522-1523
4	Order Granting State's Motion to Strike Expert Witness Date of Hrg: 08/22/18	1939-1940
5	Order to Show Cause RE: Contempt filed 09/06/18	2011-2039
6	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 03/18/16	1053-1092
7	Receipt of Copy filed 08/23/17	
8	Receipt of Copy filed 09/13/17	1551
9	Receipt of Copy filed 03/08/18	1740
10	Receipt of Copy filed 09/05/18	
11	Receipt of Copy filed 09/18/18	
12	Receipt of Copy filed 12/17/18	2045-2046
13	Receipt of Copy filed 01/23/19	
14	Reply to State's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Counts for Violation of Statute of Limitations filed 08/28/17	1455 1461
15		1455-1401
16	Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus Date of Hrg: 05/16/16	1095-1141
17	Second Amended Criminal Complaint filed 12/18/14	
18	Second Amended Information filed 09/05/18	1959-2001
19	State's Amended Fourth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 01/22/19	2047 2061
20	withesses and/or Expert withesses med 01/22/19	2047-2001
21	State's Fourth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 12/12/18	2041-2044
22	State's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of	
23	Bindover After Preliminary Hearing Date of Hrg: 11/20/15	827-916
24	State's Motion for Clarification and Supplement to Prior Motion in Limine to	
25	Present the Complete Story of the Crime and Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Sexual Crimes and/or Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts	1764 1040
26	Date of Hrg: 08/27/18	1/64-1848
27	State's Motion to Strike Defendant's Notice of Expert Witnesses Date of Hrg: 09/05/18	2002-2009
28		

1	State's Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine to Present the Complete Story of the Crime and Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Sexual
2	Offenses and/or Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts Date of Hrg: 08/06/17
3	State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Strike Defendant's Notice of Expert Witnesses, on an Order Shortening Time
5	Date of Hrg: 08/15/18
6	State's Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 08/08/17 1371-1389
7	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Directed Verdict Date of Hrg: 02/15/19
8	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Juror Questionnaire Date of Hrg: 01/03/18
9 10	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Stay Pending Resolution of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition
11	Date of Hrg: 12/11/17
12	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery and Brady Material Date of Hrg: 08/16/17
13 14	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date of Hrg: 09/06/17
15	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Sever Date of Hrg: 12/04/17
16 17	State's Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 08/31/18
18 19	State's Supplemental Memorandum Points and Authorities Opposing Bindover After Preliminary Hearing Date of Hrg: 12/14/15
20	State's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or
21	Expert Witnesses filed 07/17/18
22	State's Third Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 09/04/18
23	State's Trial Memorandum filed 02/15/19 2253-2263
24 25	Stipulation and Order Regarding Discovery of Child Pornographic Materials filed 02/19/15
26	Supplement to State's Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus and Motion to Amend Criminal Information Date of Hrg: 08/10/16
27 28	Third Amended Criminal Complaint filed 12/15/15

1	Third Amended Information filed 01/23/19 2115-2157
2	Verdict filed 02/21/19
3	TDANGCDIDTS
4	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>
5	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 1
6	Date of Hrg: 09/05/18
7	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 2
8	Date of Hrg: 09/06/18
9	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 3
10	Date of Hrg: 09/07/18
11	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 1
12	Date of Hrg: 01/28/19
13	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 2
14	Date of Hrg: 01/29/19
15	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 3
16	Date of Hrg: 01/30/19
17	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 4
18	Date of Hrg: 01/31/19
19	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 5
20	Date of Hrg: 02/01/19
21	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 6
22	Date of Hrg: 02/04/19
23	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 7
24	Date of Hrg: 02/05/19
25	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 8
26	Date of Hrg: 02/06/19
27	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 9
28	Date of Hrg: 02/07/19

1	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 10	
2	Date of Hrg: 02/08/19	19
3	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 11	
4	Date of Hrg: 02/11/19 5700-597	'9
5	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 12	
6	Date of Hrg: 02/13/19)0
7 8	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 13 Date of Hrg: 02/14/19	00
9 10	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 14 Date of Hrg: 02/15/19	32
11	Recorder's Transcript	
12	JURY TRIAL DAY 15 Date of Hrg: 02/19/19	'6
13	Recorder's Transcript	
14	JURY TRIAL DAY 16 Date of Hrg: 02/20/19	\$2
15	Recorder's Transcript	
16	JURY TRIAL DAY 17 Date of Hrg: 02/21/19	.1
17	Recorder's Transcript	
18	All Pending Motions Date of Hrg: 08/22/18)0
19	Recorder's Transcript	
20	Arraignment Date of Hrg: 01/20/16	19
21	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call	
22	Date of Hrg: 08/29/18	21
23	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call	
24	Date of Hrg: 01/23/19	6
25	Recorder's Transcript	
26	Calendar Call and All Pending Motions Date of Hrg: 09/06/17	51
27	Recorder's Transcript	
28	Defendant's Motion for Juror Questionnaire Date of Hrg: 01/03/18	'3

1	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of
2	Discovery and Brady Material Date of Hrg: 08/16/17
3	Recorder's Transcript
4	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Counts for Violation of Statute of Limitations
5	Date of Hrg: 08/23/17
6 7	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion to Sever Date of Hrg: 12/04/17
8	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion to Stay Pending Resolution of
9 10	Defendant's Motion to Stay Pending Resolution of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition; Defendant's Motion to Sever Date of Hrg: 12/11/17
11	Recorder's Transcript
12	Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Date of Hrg: 04/04/16
13 14	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Date of Hrg: 06/06/16
15	Recorder's Transcript
16	Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Date of Hrg: 07/13/16
17	Recorder's Transcript Further Proceedings: Defendant's Petition for
18	Writ of Habeas Corpus Date of Hrg: 10/12/16
19	Recorder's Transcript
20	Further Proceedings: Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus-Count 97 Date of Hrg: 08/29/16
21	
22	Recorder's Transcript Initial Arraignment
23	Date of Hrg: 01/05/16
24	Recorder's Transcript Pre-Trial Conference
25	Date of Hrg: 08/09/17
26	Recorder's Transcript Pre-Trial Conference
27	Date of Hrg: 08/01/18
28	

1	Recorder's Transcript Pre-Trial Conference
2	Date of Hrg: 12/12/18
3	Recorder's Transcript Sentencing
4	Date of Hrg: 04/29/19
5	Recorder's Transcript Sentencing
6	Date of Hrg: 05/28/19 6724-6780
7	Recorder's Transcript
8	Show Cause Hearing Date of Hrg: 09/26/18
9	Recorder's Transcript
10	Status Check: Expert Issues Date of Hrg: 12/19/18
11	Recorder's Transcript
12	Status Check: Juror Questionnaire Date of Hrg: 01/31/18
13	Recorder's Transcript
14	Status Check: Juror Questionnaire Date of Hrg: 08/15/18
15	Recorder's Transcript
16	Status Check: Outstanding Discover/Finalizing Jury Questionnaire Date of Hrg: 03/07/18
17	Recorder's Transcript
18	Status Check: Trial Setting; Defendant's Motion for Juror Questionnaire
19	Date of Hrg: 01/24/18
20	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Setting; Defendant's
21	Motion to Continue Trial Date Date of Hrg: 10/26/16
22	Reporter's Transcript
23	Argument and Bindover Date of Hrg: 12/15/15
24	Reporter's Transcript Motions
25	Date of Hrg: 07/13/15 117-121
26	Reporter's Transcript Preliminary Hearing Vol. I
27	Date of Hrg: 08/27/15 122-223
28	

1 2	Reporter's Transcript Preliminary Hearing Vol. II Date of Hrg: 08/28/15
3	
4	Reporter's Transcript Preliminary Hearing Vol. III Date of Hrg: 09/03/15
5	Reporter's Transcript
6	Preliminary Hearing Vol. IV Date of Hrg: 09/18/15
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Electronically Filed 10/18/2019 12:01 PM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COURT**

RTRAN

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA * * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C-15-311453-1

DEPT. NO. XIX

v.

CHRISTOPHER SENA,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM D. KEPHART, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2018

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING JURY TRIAL - DAY 1

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE:

JAMES R. SWEETIN, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney

MARY KAY HOLTHUS, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney

DAVID E. LOPEZ-NEGRETE, ESQ.

VIOLET R. RADOSTA, ESQ.

Deputy Public Defenders

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

ALSO PRESENT:

Elsa Marsico Spanish Interpreter

RECORDED BY: CHRISTINE ERICKSON, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2018 1 (Case called at 11:57 A.M.) 2 (Outside the presence of the prospective jury) 3 THE COURT: All right. We're on the record in the 4 5 case of State of Nevada versus Christopher Sena. I'd like the 6 record to reflect the presence of the defendant and his 7 counsel, as well as the plaintiff and their counsel. We're 8 outside the presence of the jury panel. 9 Before we get started, I've been handed a copy of a 10 motion filed by the State. Have you received a copy of this, Ms. Radosta? 11 12 MS. RADOSTA: Is it the motion to strike? THE COURT: Yes. 13 MS. RADOSTA: Yes. 14 15 THE COURT: According to their -- did you want to 16 address it at all? Did you want to have an opportunity to 17 respond to it? MS. RADOSTA: Well, first of all, the notice that 18 19 they are objecting, just for the record, has been filed since 20 December 29, 2017. So the fact that this notice to strike is 21 being filed today on the first day of trial is, obviously, 22 untimely. So for that reason, first and foremost, we would be 23 asking that the motion be denied. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MS. RADOSTA: For the fact that it's untimely.

THE COURT: Ms. Holthus.

1

MS. HOLTHUS: And as we pointed out, we just realized we had the other one that we had addressed and we just actually, getting ready for trial yesterday, discovered that this had been filed. We contacted -- Mr. Sweetin contacted Ms. Radosta. We're fine with working with them. We want to go to trial now, so we weren't going to, you know, cause it, but we have to have some information.

9 We did attempt -- we didn't attempt, we actually 10 contacted Larry Smith, the witness, who indicated he had never 11 been approached the defense, he had no information, he was not 12 aware that he was testifying regarding anything. And as far 13 as he knew, he knew nothing, basically. So in light of that, 14 we're bringing it.

They still have to comply with the statute. Whether we object timely or not, there's no notice as set forth, which is effectively a non-notice. And, therefore, we could have waited until they go to call the expert and say you didn't comply with the notice requirements, we request that they be forbidden. We're bringing it up now so that we can address it now probably a week before we even get to witnesses.

MS. RADOSTA: During our conversation yesterday, I did inform Mr. Sweetin that -- and to be clear, I did not have my notice in front of me when I was speaking to Mr. Sweetin yesterday, and I certainly will acknowledge that our notice

2724

1 does not have the topic of what he would be intending to 2 testify about.

But he is listed from Nevada Digital Forensic, and 3 it was clear from my conversation with Mr. Sweetin yesterday 4 5 that he was -- that Mr. Sweetin, at least, was aware that this would be some type of computer expert. And I informed him 6 7 over the phone yesterday that I could not specifically tell 8 him what Mr. Smith would be testifying about until I heard 9 their expert testify. There may or may not be a need for Mr. Smith to testify based on what -- I think it's Detective 10 11 Ramirez.

12 THE COURT: No, but the whole -- the whole complaint 13 always is that --

14

25

MS. RADOSTA: Right.

15 THE COURT: -- that at least you've got to let them 16 know something. I mean, here it just says his name and where 17 he's from. So if I was to look at this independent of any 18 argument by parties, I would be of the opinion that you were 19 calling him just to be a witness, not as an expert witness, 20 though, because you don't have to give notice of -- of just, 21 you know, a lay person's testimony as to --

22 MS. HOLTHUS: We certainly don't have a basis to 23 object to them calling him as a lay witness.

24 MS. RADOSTA: I mean --

MS. HOLTHUS: But they did title it expert.

MS. RADOSTA: -- for the record, if the Court needs 1 2 us to -- or, I'm sorry, if the State needs us to amend our 3 notice, we would have no objection to literally the notice that they gave for Vicente Ramirez is, you know, testify as to 4 an expert as to the forensic examination of computers and 5 6 related technology and/or as to the forensic examination of 7 computers and related technology acquired in the instant case. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 That's the notice that they provided MS. RADOSTA: for their expert. And I truly don't know at this point in 10 11 time, until we hear Detective Ramirez's testimony, anything 12 beyond he might refute some of what Detective Ramirez 13 testifies to and he may not. 14 THE COURT: Okay. How do you want to address the 15 fact that it is untimely? 16 MS. HOLTHUS: Our motion to strike? Like I said, I 17 think we're entitled to notice. I think we could have brought that as they went to call the witness and say we object, he 18 19 wasn't noticed in a timely manner. I don't know that we're 20 required to bring the motion to strike him from the list. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 MS. HOLTHUS: And that's -- that's a requirement 23 that --24 THE COURT: Well, any time you're asking to -- to 25 suppress or preclude an individual from using something at

Page 5

2726

trial, I mean, there is a time frame. 1 2 MS. HOLTHUS: Well, we didn't even know that they 3 were calling him, honestly. I mean, that -- that's --THE COURT: Well, it was on the notice. 4 5 MS. HOLTHUS: I know. I understand. And, honestly, 6 if that's what they're --7 THE COURT: And I don't know whether or not they're 8 still calling him or not. 9 MS. HOLTHUS: If that's what they're calling him for, we don't -- we don't object. We just --10 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 12 That's all we wanted. MS. HOLTHUS: 13 THE COURT: All right. Well, there it is, Ms. 14 Radosta. 15 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. That's fine. 16 THE COURT: She says they don't object as long as 17 you're calling them for the same purposes of what their --MS. HOLTHUS: Of our expert. 18 19 THE COURT: Yeah. 20 MS. RADOSTA: And that's -- okay. That's what I 21 informed Mr. Sweetin yesterday when we were on the phone. 22 That's not what she informed me. MR. SWEETIN: Just 23 to be clear, just so the record is clear, when we were on the 24 phone she told me she had no responsibility to have a 25 discussion with me as to what she was or was not calling this

1 witness for. 2 THE COURT: Okay. MR. SWEETIN: I informed her --3 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with what she's just 4 5 represented? 6 MR. SWEETIN: I am satisfied so long as that's the 7 parameters. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. SWEETIN: What was on our notice is on their 10 notice. THE COURT: Okay. 11 MS. RADOSTA: Well, just to be clean, we will file 12 an amended notice if that's all right with the Court --13 14 THE COURT: Sure. 15 MS. RADOSTA: -- just so it's clean. 16 THE COURT: That's fine. MS. RADOSTA: And we'll --17 THE COURT: Do that and --18 19 MS. RADOSTA: -- we'll try to take care of that in 20 the next day or two. 21 THE COURT: All right. Okay. All right. So anything else? 22 23 MS. RADOSTA: Yes, actually. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 THE CLERK: Are you denying that motion?

1THE COURT: Yes.2THE CLERK: Okay.

We, within the last -- let me think 3 MS. RADOSTA: I believe it was over the weekend I reached out for a second. 4 5 They had informed us of their intent to use our to the State. 6 client's statement during the course of the trial. And after 7 that email, I realized, as I was looking through my -- a 8 couple of banker boxes full of discovery that I did not actually have the audio of my client's statement. 9

And it's only relevant regarding him because now of their intent to play it for the jury at some point in time. But in realizing that I didn't have his statement, I realized I didn't have audio and/or video of any of the multiple interviews in this case, and there have been multiple interviews in this case.

So I reached out to Mr. Sweetin and Ms. Holthus over the weekend. They got back to me. They disputed whether or not I had been provided these pieces of discovery previously. I had gone back and checked all emails, checked all ROCs, and had no evidence at all that these had been provided to me previously.

So late yesterday afternoon, Mr. Sweetin's court -sorry, secretary, assistant, sorry, reached out and said they had 25 discs for me to pick up of the new -- of all of the audio and/or video. They were handed to me this morning in

2729

court. They did offer to let me pick them up yesterday
 afternoon, but it was just a hectic afternoon, obviously, the
 day before we start trial.

And in looking through them just really quickly this 4 5 morning, it appears that there is at least one, and maybe two statements here that have never been previously provided to us 6 7 and have not been -- and have not been transcribed. For sure 8 there are two statements here from Anita Sena, one is dated September 17, 2014, and then there's a second one from Anita 9 10 Sena that's dated, according to the date on the disc, 11 10/28/2014. That's the one, the second one, that has never been previously provided. 12

And this has been -- has been brought up on a couple of different occasions. She testified at the preliminary hearing that there was a second interview, that she was interviewed more than once by detectives. And at that point in time, I had reached out to the State and asked them for the second interview.

I had in front of Your Honor, I did file a discovery motion approximately a year ago, maybe a little bit longer than a year ago, contained within that motion which was ultimately taken off calendar by Your Honor for other reasons, but contained in that was also a request for any and all statements, including the second statement that Anita swore under oath she gave to detectives. And now we're just

2730

1 provided with audio of it.

2 At this point in time, Your Honor, I'm not sure 3 what, if any, problem there is with this other than the late -- plain and simple late discovery given to us of one of the 4 5 main witnesses in the case. And just to give the Court a 6 little bit of background, Anita's -- there is no video 7 involving Anita in this case. There is no medical exam 8 involving Anita in this case. There is no DNA involving Anita 9 in this case. It is just her word that anything happened between 10 11 her and my client. Just her word. So a second statement that 12 she gave to police that has been in the State's custody now for almost four years and is just being provided to us now 13 14 could potentially be a very important piece of discovery that 15 is being turned over to us at an incredibly late date. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 I don't want to ask for any specific MS. RADOSTA: 18 relief at this point in time, Judge, until I have a chance to 19 review it. 20 THE COURT: That's fine. 21 MS. RADOSTA: It may not be -- it may be two minutes 22 long where she says I don't want to talk to you and, you know, 23 walks out of the room. I honestly don't know. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 But I wanted to give the Court the MS. RADOSTA:

information that we're going to try to review it hopefully 1 2 tonight, depending on how late we get out of here, and maybe 3 be able to ask for, if we're going to ask for some type of relief, be able to address it tomorrow morning. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MS. RADOSTA: Hopefully. No promises, though, 7 Judge. 8 THE COURT: I got you. Okay. 9 MS. RADOSTA: I don't want to ask that --THE COURT: I'm not requiring you to right now. 10 11 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 12 THE COURT: I'll give you an opportunity to look at 13 it, see if there's something on there. 14 MS. RADOSTA: And I'll also try to look through the 15 other -- there are other --16 THE COURT: You believe there's other ones in there 17 that weren't provided to you? MS. RADOSTA: Well, here's the problem, Judge. 18 19 There are a bunch of -- they have the same -- like just for an 20 example, Tamara Grisham, 12/1/14. But then there's another 21 one in here for Tamara Grisham with the same date on it. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MS. RADOSTA: Which, in theory, it's just a 24 duplicate, but sometimes it's not. 25 THE COURT: Okay.

MS. RADOSTA: You really have to go through and look
 at them all.

MS. HOLTHUS: It's audio and a video.

Okay. They're informing me right now MS. RADOSTA: 4 5 one is audio and one is video, but in my experience, that's 6 true, yeah, 95 percent of the time. But every so often it's 7 not. And I really looked through them really, really quickly 8 right when they handed them to me, but it appears that the 9 only -- well, and here's another one from Anita that is either 10/20/14 or 10/28. 10 Then this is a third one of Anita.

11 That could be another duplicate. So I'm going to 12 have to look through another one of Anita. I'm going to have 13 to look through them all, Judge, but just looking at them 14 really quickly, the only one that jumped out at me as a 15 problem was Anita.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. RADOSTA: So --

THE COURT: All right. Well, if you find something else and you want to address it, I'll certainly hear it. At this point in time I'm not going to require that you make any -- take a position on it until you've heard it and seen it and see if there's anything that you think is possibly exculpatory or something that you think is different than what you knew of before.

25

16

17

3

MS. RADOSTA: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? 1 No. Did we --2 MS. RADOSTA: MR. LOPEZ-NEGRETE: The Preciado issue. 3 MS. RADOSTA: Oh, thank you. Your Honor, to move on 4 5 to the jury selection. 6 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 7 We are -- we had previously alerted MS. RADOSTA: 8 the State that we had objections of the first batch of jury 9 questionnaires that went out, that we felt that there were a significant number of people who had already indicated their 10 11 inability to be fair and impartial on the jury questionnaire. 12 And based on the Preciado case, at this point in 13 time, Your Honor, we would be moving to strike everybody from 14 the first, specifically right now, from the first 250. We 15 went through all of the 450 and we feel that there's a 16 significant number in the second batch, as well, but we let 17 the Court know originally of all of the people that we felt indicated that they could not be fair and impartial based on 18 19 the questionnaire alone. 20 And the Preciado case is very clear that if 21 somebody's ability -- if they state that their ability to be 22 impartial is equivocal, that they should not be able -- that

24 Your Honor, we have -- I think Mr. Sweetin threw out the

25 number approximately 70 percent of the first 250.

23

they should not be seated as a juror. At this point in time,

THE COURT: Can you -- can you go to -- just so 1 2 we're talking about. 3 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. THE COURT: Go to Adam Dunbar. His -- I think he's 4 5 No. 1. MS. RADOSTA: 6 Okay. 7 THE COURT: Is he somebody that you were saying 8 couldn't be fair and impartial based on your reading of the 9 Preciado case? Court's indulgence. 10 MS. RADOSTA: 11 MS. HOLTHUS: Was he listed on defense? 12 MS. RADOSTA: Yes. In response to answer -- to Question No. 20 --13 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MS. RADOSTA: -- when the question is, you know, can 16 you promise to remain fair and impartial -- can you promise to 17 remain fair and impartial and objectively evaluate all evidence before returning a verdict? And that's in reference 18 19 to the graphic videotape is the first part of that question. 20 And his answer is I cannot promise. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 Children should never be subjected to MS. RADOSTA: 23 this type of behavior. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 So he's already saying he does not MS. RADOSTA:

know if he can be fair and impartial at this point in time. 1 2 But beyond that, on the question -- on the page before, he is 3 indicating on Question 16 that he would feel pressure to vote guilty for fear of public retaliation. 4 5 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 6 MS. RADOSTA: So he's already thinking this is --7 that he's leaning towards guilty at this point in time. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MS. RADOSTA: So even if he now says I -- you know what today, if we ask him questions today and he says, no, I 10 11 can be fair and impartial, the answers on his jury 12 questionnaire --13 THE COURT: I know, but the reason why I left this on is because they've had an opportunity to reflect. They've 14 15 had an opportunity to understand the importance of their 16 position as a potential juror --17 MS. RADOSTA: Right. THE COURT: -- to reflect on their answers that 18 19 they've given. 20 MS. RADOSTA: Uh-huh. 21 THE COURT: And so if they -- if you are saying that 22 if they come in now and say, no, Judge, I can tell you I can be fair --23 24 MS. RADOSTA: Uh-huh. 25 THE COURT: -- that you're saying based on the

1 Preciado case --

2 MS. RADOSTA: Right. THE COURT: -- they're gone based on this. I don't 3 -- I don't read it that way. 4 5 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. 6 THE COURT: You're basically saying that once you 7 say it, then you're stuck with that for the rest of your life. Well, if they, for the --8 MS. RADOSTA: 9 THE COURT: At one time I didn't like a certain 10 football team. Now it's my favorite football team. But 11 you're saying the way you read Preciado is that I'm damned for 12 the rest of my life because a certain football team that I said I didn't like. That makes no sense to me. Especially in 13 14 a situation that's important like this. 15 MS. RADOSTA: Right. THE COURT: An individual's -- I recognize there's 16 17 probably some of them, based on what you're saying, will 18 probably not change. 19 MS. RADOSTA: Right. 20 THE COURT: And I want them to tell me that. I want 21 them to say that. And if they are, that's fine. I mean, if this person sticks with this type of position even after being 22 23 questioned by the parties --24 MS. RADOSTA: Uh-huh. 25 THE COURT: -- then, yeah, he's -- he -- I don't

1 know how he could be impartial. And so -- and I'll tell you, 2 this case is going to -- we're going to probably run into a 3 big majority of that.

And, I mean, just based on the facts that has been 4 5 presented and the factual scenario, I think people are seeing 6 -- and then -- and then because of the time frame. I think 7 they run together because they know that we want them for at 8 least a month. They're going to be coming up with answers 9 that probably aren't necessarily the same as what they would be giving in here, even though it says that you're doing this 10 11 basically under oath.

MS. RADOSTA: Right.

12

13 THE COURT: It's a difference between sitting in 14 this courtroom and standing up and taking an oath and writing 15 something down in the -- in the --

MS. RADOSTA: But for the sake of argument, Your Honor, Mr. Dunbar, the one we were talking about, he also has in his reference that his girlfriend was molested by an uncle. There are other factors. I don't really -- I disagree with the Court that people are answering these questions lightly or that they are answering these questions in a --

THE COURT: I'm not saying -- I didn't say that they're answering them lightly.

MS. RADOSTA: Well, and the idea that they've had time to reflect and now --

THE COURT: Yeah. 1 2 MS. RADOSTA: -- when they come into the courtroom 3 that they might have a difference of opinion, I disagree with I think that actually on the page --4 that. 5 THE COURT: Well, we'll see. We're going to find 6 out. 7 MS. RADOSTA: But --8 THE COURT: Because if there are some that do, 9 then --But how --10 MS. RADOSTA: 11 THE COURT: People --12 But for the sake of argument --MS. RADOSTA: 13 THE COURT: Greater minds disagree. 14 MS. RADOSTA: -- how, if they now come in and say, 15 nope, I'm good, I can be impartial, when you explain it to --16 THE COURT: Then you say why -- why have you changed 17 your position from what you said previously? 18 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. 19 THE COURT: And if they give you an answer that is 20 unequivocal, then you've met the very parameters of Preciado. 21 MS. RADOSTA: But if they even say, well, you know 22 what, Judge, when you put it to me that way, that it's 23 important and that this -- or, you know that --24 THE COURT: Yeah. 25 Then how does that undo the answer MS. RADOSTA:

1 when they say I don't think I can be fair and impartial? How
2 does that undo that?

3 THE COURT: They undid it. They tell you.
4 MS. RADOSTA: So if it were -- for the sake of
5 argument, if it were reversed, if they were saying, well, you
6 know what, no matter what you tell me, no matter what evidence
7 I see, I'm voting not guilty.

THE COURT: Right.

8

9 MS. RADOSTA: If that say, oh, well, you -- you 10 explained it to me that way --

11 THE COURT: I think you're entitled to the same, 12 you're entitled to the same position that you are the other way. No, I can never find a person that does this type of 13 thing quilty. Okay. Then they come in and -- and you ask 14 15 them the questions. And if they say, well, you know, I don't 16 know, but, yeah, I can. That's when I start looking at 17 whether or not they're equivocal or not. This here doesn't tell me that he -- that he's --18

MS. RADOSTA: What about -- I mean, for the sake of -- for the sake of argument, Mr. Dunbar, he does say I can't promise, but there were plenty of people that flat out, their answer was, no, I cannot be fair and impartial.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MS. RADOSTA: I was molested myself, my daughter was 25 molested, whatever their specific reason was.

2740

THE COURT: Well, we're going to have to hear them. 1 MS. RADOSTA: But also, Your Honor, for --2 THE COURT: Here's the problem, Ms. Radosta. 3 MS. RADOSTA: Uh-huh. 4 5 THE COURT: Is that you want them excused, the State 6 says we don't agree with it. 7 MS. RADOSTA: We want them excused not -- not 8 because it's not -- I mean, this is not a situation where we 9 are asking for these to be stipulated. We are basing it on the Preciado case. The jury questionnaire is clear that --10 11 THE COURT: Well --12 MS. RADOSTA: -- even though there -- this is a questionnaire, they are instructed to answer these questions 13 14 truthfully and completely at the time. They are -- it's taken 15 very seriously. 16 For the sake of argument, if we're not going to take 17 their answers on these questionnaires at face value, then I 18 don't really understand the value of the jury questionnaire if 19 we can come into court and -- and question what they answered 20 on the jury questionnaire. If we don't -- if we don't presume 21 that they're telling us the truth on this jury questionnaire, 22 then what was the point of it? 23 THE COURT: Well, I think oftentimes there's a lot 24 of questions that are follow-up questions that they have with 25 regards to the limited information that's provided to them in

1 a question. And it's kind of unfair to them that we cut them
2 off by a pointed question like this that they may have
3 questions about.

And so for them to just -- for us to just say, no, we're excusing you for this statement here, and you're saying that they have no means of changing their mind or understanding to do something different I think is unfair. I think it's unfair to them. That's the biggest fight that a lot of judges have about jury questionnaires.

MS. RADOSTA: Okay.

10

THE COURT: And so -- so the issue is this, is that -- is that if they're going to stick by the position that they're taking here, then, yes, I agree with you. They'll be excused. But what you're saying basically now is just excuse them, Judge, without any explanation, just excuse them without any questions in that area, we don't want to do that, just excuse them.

Because you're saying that you're done with it, you're satisfied with it. If you're satisfied with this, then let's do this, Ms. Radosta. Let's not ask any further questions of the jury at all and you decide which jurors you want based on just the questionnaires.

MS. RADOSTA: But that's not what --THE COURT: No, that's exactly what you're trying to tell me.

1 MS. RADOSTA: No, that's not what I'm trying to tell 2 Your Honor. I'm saying that based on the way I am reading 3 Preciado that if they change their answer, it is now an equivocal position, not an unequivocal position. 4 5 THE COURT: Preciado -- Preciado talks about --6 talks about a situation where they're kind of being 7 rehabilitated by the court in the questions that were being 8 there, and the court was concerned about that. But if they're 9 unequivocal about it saying, no, you know, I didn't understand 10 this, maybe the -- I mean, I think that many of these people 11 will stick with what they're saying. 12 MS. RADOSTA: Uh-huh. 13 THE COURT: And if I do, then I think there are 14 challenges for cause. But to just take it right now for just 15 what they're saying and without any further questioning by either side --16 17 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. THE COURT: That's why I say if that's the position 18 19 we're going to take, then we don't need any further questions 20 of the jury. MS. RADOSTA: 21 That's not --22 THE COURT: You guys all get together, and we'll 23 just go through the list right now --24 MS. RADOSTA: That's not --25 THE COURT: -- based on what it is.

MS. RADOSTA: That's not a fair assessment, Your 1 2 Honor. This is not the jury questionnaire that we 3 submitted --THE COURT: Okay. But you want me to --4 5 MS. RADOSTA: But this is not the jury --6 THE COURT: But you want me to excuse people simply 7 based on their answers. 8 MS. RADOSTA: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Yeah. 10 MS. RADOSTA: The answers to the questions that were 11 -- that were approved by the Court. The question is clear, 12 Judge. Can you be fair and impartial? It is the standard of -- of the court on these type --13 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MS. RADOSTA: -- on any and all types of cases. 16 THE COURT: We'll be getting through many of them. 17 I understand what you're saying, but I'm not in a position right now to just exclude them just based on that. If you get 18 19 together and you both agree, yes, I will. 20 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. 21 THE COURT: But other than that, I'm not in a 22 position just to exclude them just based on -- based on their 23 answers that they given in there by themselves without any 24 further questioning. 25 MS. RADOSTA: So --

THE COURT: If there's any -- if there's any 1 2 challenge by either party, okay. 3 MS. RADOSTA: So for the sake of argument, then, and I'm not saying that this is what I would do, but if when we 4 5 have the 34 in the box and I would a question of the panel --THE COURT: Okay. 6 7 MS. RADOSTA: -- do you feel at this point in time 8 knowing that, they're literally asked that same question. 9 And --10 THE COURT: Questions of the panel. What do you --11 MS. RADOSTA: If I -- if I asked the entire panel, 12 the 34 people that we have sitting in the box. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MS. RADOSTA: If I would ask them basically Question 15 20, as you're aware from the synopsis of the case, one piece 16 of evidence is a graphic videotape involving a sex assault 17 allegedly perpetrated on a child by the defendant, as a juror, 18 despite the graphic nature of the videotape, can you promise 19 to remain fair and impartial and objectively evaluate all 20 evidence before returning a verdict? If I say how do you --21 does anybody in this -- in the 34 up here feel that they 22 cannot be fair and impartial at this point in time, and we 23 have 20 people raise their hands --24 THE COURT: So are we going to do that? Are we 25 going to --

MS. RADOSTA: I --1 2 THE COURT: -- back through and ask questions --3 MS. RADOSTA: I prefaced that --THE COURT: -- again of the questions --4 5 MS. RADOSTA: -- Your Honor --6 THE COURT: -- that are already asked? 7 I prefaced that with saying I'm not MS. RADOSTA: 8 saying that this is how I'm going to do it. 9 THE COURT: Okay. But if I did it that way --10 MS. RADOSTA: 11 THE COURT: Uh-huh. And I'd stop you. 12 MS. RADOSTA: Then -- so -- so then --13 THE COURT: If you have specific questions about 14 specific --15 MS. RADOSTA: So -- so you're then telling me, and 16 I'm just trying to figure out individually now, every person 17 that we feel was an automatic for cause challenge based on the Preciado case, I can speak to them individually? 18 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. 21 THE COURT: I'm not going to stop you from doing If you have any specific questions based on the 22 that. 23 questionnaire, based on their answers to the question, they're 24 fair game. 25 MS. RADOSTA: Okay.

THE COURT: I'm not -- I'm not going to stop you 1 2 from that, Ms. Radosta, in any of those -- any of those 3 The very first question, just their name if you questions. had a specific -- you told me your name is Adam Dunbar, is 4 5 that -- Mr. Dunbar, does that relate to the Dunbar candy bars 6 or anything? I mean, I -- if you had a question or something 7 like that. I'm not going to stop you if you're -- if you're 8 giving them an understanding of which question you're talking 9 about. Do you understand? 10 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. No, no, no. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 I'm just -- I'm just playing it MS. RADOSTA: 13 through in my head, Judge. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MS. RADOSTA: I'm just -- I'm just playing it 16 through in my head. THE COURT: Okay. 17 MS. RADOSTA: Court's indulgence. 18 19 Your Honor, for the record, in light of this 20 request, this motion that we made to strike anybody who 21 answered the question in our opinion that was a for cause 22 challenge --23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MS. RADOSTA: -- we would like to make our Excel 25 sheet where we identified the people that we feel are for

cause challenges a court exhibit. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. So may I approach? 3 MS. RADOSTA: Can we get a copy of that? MS. HOLTHUS: 4 5 MS. RADOSTA: Do we have another? 6 MR. LOPEZ-NEGRETE: Yeah. 7 This, just for the record, is just --MS. RADOSTA: 8 THE COURT: And does it -- does it highlight the for 9 cause reason, or just --10 MS. RADOSTA: Yes. Well, it's just -- the reason 11 listed on that, Judge, would be the reason why we think they 12 are a for cause challenge. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 THE CLERK: Excuse me. Their name is not listed on 15 it and it's not the correct badge number on there, so I don't 16 know. 17 THE COURT: Yeah, how do we -- that might be --18 THE CLERK: That was one of the issues that we need 19 to raise, but I [inaudible] they didn't provide names. 20 MS. HOLTHUS: And I don't know, Judge. Did we make 21 a record that we did file a second amended information which 22 essentially --23 Right. MS. RADOSTA: 24 MS. HOLTHUS: I just want to, before I forget, 25 essentially --

THE COURT: Well, hold on. Let's -- yeah, I'll get 1 2 to that. 3 All right. MS. HOLTHUS: THE COURT: We'll talk --4 5 I just overheard your clerk saying MS. RADOSTA: 6 that it doesn't -- they don't have the correct badge numbers. 7 This is the badge number that we -- is identified, 12 dash --8 MR. LOPEZ-NEGRETE: No, it's 01. 9 MS. RADOSTA: Oh, I'm sorry. 01-0001. I didn't say -- did you just say I 10 MS. HOLTHUS: 11 said that? 12 MS. RADOSTA: No. 13 MS. HOLTHUS: Oh. MS. RADOSTA: I overheard Tia say it. And I'm not 14 -- I just want to clarify for -- just clarify for the record 15 16 what we just asked to be made a court exhibit. First of all, it is just for the first 250 -- the first 250 questionnaires 17 18 that we did. We have a second document, as well, for the 19 second --20 How many did we do in the second batch? Another 21 200? -- for another 200. 22 23 THE COURT: Well, I'm looking at No. 7. MS. RADOSTA: Uh-huh. 24 25 THE COURT: And I can't -- maybe I don't have all

1 the pages, but --

2 MS. RADOSTA: Wait, Judge. THE COURT: The one that says --3 MS. RADOSTA: Can I -- can I see what --4 5 THE COURT: -- can you promise to remain fair, and 6 it says no. 7 Can I -- I'm sorry, can I see what I MS. RADOSTA: 8 handed you, Judge? And I inadvertently handed you -- which 9 one were you just looking at, Judge? 10 THE COURT: No. 7. MS. RADOSTA: Okay. Can you pull that one up? 11 12 MR. LOPEZ-NEGRETE: Sure. 13 MS. RADOSTA: For a second I thought I handed you 14 the second batch, the second one, not the first one. On 15 Question 20 he answers, no, he cannot be fair and impartial. 16 And it's Steve --17 But, see, I mean, but you wrote -- you THE COURT: 18 wrote on here that you're making part of this record, that you 19 want to move -- you wrote daughter may be sexual assault 20 victim by boyfriend. It says suspected abuse by boyfriend. 21 There's a big difference between that --MS. RADOSTA: 22 Well --23 THE COURT: -- and sexual assault. I mean, and 24 that's what I'm not -- I'm just looking at it. This stuff 25 that you're writing in here that's supposed to be sufficient

1 from this. And you just say can't be partial. I don't see 2 anything in here --

MS. RADOSTA: He says --

3

4

5

THE COURT: -- can't be impartial.

MS. RADOSTA: Question 20, Judge.

6 THE COURT: I know, but you say can't be impartial. 7 As you're aware, it just says from the synopsis of this case, one piece of evidence is a graphic video involving sexual 8 assault allegedly perpetrated on a child by the defendant. 9 As a juror, despite the graphic nature of the video, can you 10 11 promise to remain fair and impartial and objectively evaluate 12 all evidence before returning a verdict, please discuss. He So you just say he can't be impartial. 13 says no. MS. RADOSTA: That's the -- that's the answer to the 14 15 question, that he can't be fair and impartial. 16 THE COURT: To the whole case or to --17 That's what the guestion --MS. RADOSTA: 18 THE COURT: -- to a video? 19 MS. RADOSTA: Can you promise to remain fair and 20 impartial and objectively evaluate all evidence before 21 returning a verdict? That's the question he answered. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 He said no. That's the phrasing of MS. RADOSTA: 24 the question that was presented in the jury questionnaire. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear from the State with

1 regards to the way that they've pointed this out. Let me hear 2 from the State. I mean, you stipulated to a lot of them, and 3 there's a portion of them that they challenge. And, I mean, I 4 don't know if you've looked at these, as well.

5 MS. HOLTHUS: I mean, our position is -- well, first 6 of all, we weren't for the jury questionnaire at the outset 7 for --

THE COURT: No, I know.

8

9 MS. HOLTHUS: -- a lot of these reasons. Having 10 said that, they got it, and we did, we got rid of a lot of 11 people. We stipulated to a lot of people that weren't 12 available that we believe couldn't ultimately be fair. In 13 this particular case, however, we think we have a right to 14 talk to them.

And if we were in court and somebody said, you know, I couldn't be fair because of blah blah blah, we'd have a right to traverse and to see, to -- to -- I mean, this is a weird case because it's really strange to say to somebody, I'm going to show you a videotape of this crime happening, can you now forget it happened? It's a little bit different.

And so the way we ask the questions is -- can be confusing, and there's a lot of interpretation. I mean, they may be saying, well, yeah, you know, obviously I see that, I can't unsee it, but I can still keep an open mind as to the rest of the evidence, and we have a right to pursue that with

the jurors. And I'm not sure why -- why we wouldn't. 1 2 So and as to this, I don't think this -- I mean, I 3 quess if this is an exhibit, the jury questionnaires themselves are already part of the court record; right? 4 5 THE COURT: Yeah, they're all right here. MS. HOLTHUS: So to the extent that on appeal we 6 7 needed to go back and we could say that they mischaracterized 8 and this is what was said and --9 THE COURT: Well, I think based on -- do you have --10 you need a copy of this. I think my position I'm taking is 11 that -- is that I think that the jury can be questioned about 12 this. Some of them may never change. MS. HOLTHUS: Absolutely. 13 THE COURT: Some of them may say, no, that's exactly 14 15 -- you're exactly right, I can't be fair in these types of 16 cases. But some of them may say, no, I -- look, I thought about this, I reflected on it, I thought about my position, or 17 18 I don't know, however they take it, and, you know, I can be 19 fair, I'll listen to everything you give me, and I'll do what 20 I can. 21 But, you know, I agree in a lot of regards with both 22 sides here. This is a tough case to get a jury in. It really 23 is. You have that right to a jury trial, the State has a 24 right to a jury trial. The reality is is that -- is that for 25 you to ask the public in which an individual is living in that

2753

1 is being tried by his peers to just put away their thoughts 2 and everything about certain things and is -- is -- is not 3 fair to them.

And so I think when we're asking -- when we ask questions, I allow these questionnaires because they can be helpful. But to just flat say based on the questionnaire alone, without any additional information, that -- that one side wants to exclude them and the other side is objecting to it, I'm not going to do it unless it's real clear.

10 And once they get in here and they're addressing 11 this, they may stay at that position. If they do, then a 12 challenge for cause is appropriate. But if they don't, I want to hear the reasons behind it because I don't think that the 13 14 case that you're citing is specifically saying that is that 15 they can never change their position and never with the --16 that -- that's ridiculous. And if the court is saying that, then I think they're ridiculous. 17

And so, I mean, that's my word for the day that might put me in trouble, but that's how it is. So I don't interpret it that way. I can't -- I just don't see that the court, for doing justice in a community in a case similar to this, would take that type of position. And so I'll mark this.

MS. RADOSTA: Thank you.

24

25

THE COURT: I want it clear, though, that by the

very first one that I looked at, No. 7, I can see where 1 2 there's some -- some poetic licensing that the defense has 3 taken. MS. RADOSTA: It's not -- but, Your Honor, just so 4 5 that the Court is aware, we did not create this document to 6 make it a court exhibit. This was a --7 THE COURT: Oh, okay. MS. RADOSTA: -- document created for --8 9 THE COURT: Then, here, you can take it back. MS. RADOSTA: No, no. It was created for us as a 10 11 shorthand way of looking at the --12 THE COURT: I know. But now --MS. RADOSTA: -- jury questionnaires --13 THE COURT: -- you're asking me to make it --14 15 MS. RADOSTA: -- and now we are. 16 THE COURT: -- as a court exhibit. 17 MS. RADOSTA: Right. THE COURT: I want it clear that this language in 18 19 here is the defense language. 20 MS. RADOSTA: That's fine. If the --21 THE COURT: Okay. MS. RADOSTA: It's the defense's request to make it 22 a court exhibit. Of course --23 24 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. 25 MS. RADOSTA: -- it's the defense's language.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. 1 2 MS. RADOSTA: I mean, I don't know, is there a way 3 to make it --THE COURT: Other than that, can we get the jury in? 4 5 MS. RADOSTA: Is there a way to make it a defense --6 I mean, I think it has to be a court exhibit, so --7 THE COURT: I just think it's a little bit, just 8 from my first reading of that, it appears that it's --9 MS. RADOSTA: Well, it was created by us, Judge, so of course it's going to be --10 THE COURT: Okay. Created by the defense. 11 12 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 MS. HOLTHUS: May I now just make a record that we 15 filed the second amended information that essentially combines 16 the remaining four counts into Count 120. 17 THE COURT: That's this right here. Yeah. Do you 18 have a copy of it? 19 MS. RADOSTA: Yes. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 THE CLERK: Can you ask them what we're doing about 22 the reading? What's that? 23 THE COURT: 24 THE CLERK: Can you ask them what we're going to do 25 about the reading?

THE COURT: Oh. Yeah, that's the last one. My 1 2 clerk is extremely worried about this. MS. HOLTHUS: And I think we've --3 THE COURT: I've heard it over and over and over 4 5 because there's so much here. We had to, in the past, I've 6 had to -- well, I haven't. My clerk has had to read in -- it 7 was that big robbery case we had. There was like 79, and it's 8 just cumbersome and it's time consuming. We'll do it if 9 either party objects to it. I think that the information provided to me by Mr. Sweetin in the email that was sent to --10 11 to Ms. Radosta is that the parties are stipulating that we can 12 just make a copy and give it to the --13 MS. RADOSTA: I haven't seen that. When was that 14 email sent? Was that yesterday? 15 THE COURT: You were in it. You responded it. 16 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. What was -- what they requested 17 yesterday, we did not agree to. She said that she didn't want a 18 MS. HOLTHUS: 19 summary. 20 MS. RADOSTA: Yes. 21 MS. HOLTHUS: And when I said a summary --MS. RADOSTA: 22 Yes. 23 THE COURT: Right. I'm not --24 MS. HOLTHUS: -- what I meant is just --25 THE COURT: -- talking about a summary. I'll give

them the whole -- the whole --1 2 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. THE COURT: -- indictment. 3 MS. RADOSTA: For the record, the email yesterday, 4 5 Your Honor, was that we would agree to a summary of the 6 charges being read and we would put our defense strategies for 7 not having it read on the record. 8 THE COURT: No. No, no, no, no. The end of it 9 -- Dave, go get it. It's on my desk. MS. RADOSTA: So if there was something else --10 11 THE COURT: No, there's a part --12 MS. RADOSTA: -- I have not checked --13 THE COURT: -- in there that you --14 MS. RADOSTA: -- email this morning, so --15 THE COURT: No, there's a part in there that I 16 believe you responded basically saying that you have no 17 objection to the -- the information. 18 MS. RADOSTA: Right. 19 THE COURT: Using the information --20 Right. Yeah. MS. RADOSTA: 21 THE COURT: -- in place of it being read in. 22 MS. RADOSTA: That was the first -- the first part 23 of my response. 24 THE COURT: That's all I was talking about. 25 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah.

MS. HOLTHUS: Judge, but I believe that we have 1 2 discussed it today and what we've agreed upon is we'll give 3 them copies of the information. We would ask, though, that your clerk, prior to that, read the title section, essentially 4 5 saying defendant is charged with 120 counts which include, and 6 then read the titles under that first paragraph, and then just 7 to say as set forth in the second amended information which 8 you have been provided. 9 THE COURT: Here's what I have, Violet. MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 10 THE COURT: It says we have previously stated that 11 12 we are fine with the Court not reading the information to the 13 jury and giving them a copy. 14 MS. RADOSTA: Right. 15 THE COURT: That's all I wanted to address. 16 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. 17 18 MS. RADOSTA: No, sorry, Judge. I was --19 THE COURT: That's all I was --20 It went on beyond that. MS. RADOSTA: That was 21 my --22 THE COURT: Okay. -- my response. 23 MS. RADOSTA: 24 THE COURT: Because all I was looking at is because 25 -- because the State did some research on it --

MS. RADOSTA: Uh-huh.

1

THE COURT: -- in Kelly versus State, a 1960 case. 2 3 Basically, the Supreme Court found that it was a technical error not to have read the information before evidence was 4 5 presented. And in that particular case it appeared that 6 neither party had agreed to stipulate to using the actual information. So -- so I think that if the parties are 7 8 stipulating to it, then they're not -- you know, there would 9 be no problem. That's why I wanted to make sure. If you're 10 not --11 MS. RADOSTA: No. 12 THE COURT: -- then I'll read it in. MS. RADOSTA: If it's just read to the jury -- I 13 mean, if it's just given to the jury, I think we do need to 14 15 make sure, though, as we do when it's normally read, that he 16 has entered not guilty pleas. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MS. RADOSTA: That part has to be --19 THE COURT: So you're -- what you're objecting to is 20 that if I was to say that -- that he's -- he's facing Count 1, 21 conspiracy to commit sexual assault, Counts 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 22 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, 20, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 23 114, lewdness with a child under the age of 14, that's what 24 your objection is? 25 Well, first of all --MS. RADOSTA:

THE COURT: I mean, they'd have a copy of this. 1 2 I think what you just read is MS. RADOSTA: 3 incorrect, first of all, but --THE COURT: No, I have it right here. 4 5 MS. RADOSTA: What did you just say? 6 MS. HOLTHUS: The State has no objection to that. 7 THE COURT: 2 is a lewdness, 4 is a lewdness --8 MS. RADOSTA: Well, Your Honor, I thought --9 THE COURT: -- 5 is a lewdness, 7 is a lewdness, 9 is a lewdness. That's what I'm saying. I just --10 11 MS. RADOSTA: In the end, Your Honor, we feel that 12 if you want to address, say there's 120 counts and these are the nature of the offenses, that's what we think would be 13 14 appropriate. But there is --15 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 16 MS. RADOSTA: But --17 THE COURT: So without reading it directly, I could -- I could tell them we'll give them a copy of it. There's 18 19 124 counts. 20 MS. HOLTHUS: We changed it. 21 THE COURT: Is it changed? MS. RADOSTA: 120 now. 22 23 THE COURT: Okay. 15 of which are lewdness with a 24 child under the age of 14, 10 of which are sexual assault of a 25 minor, something like that?

MS. HOLTHUS: That's fine, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Are you okay with that? MS. HOLTHUS: That's fine with us. 3 MS. RADOSTA: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So I'll do that 6 before. 7 MS. HOLTHUS: And will we then give them an opportunity to read it before we start? 8 9 THE COURT: What's that? 10 MS. HOLTHUS: Will they have a chance to read it before we start? 11 12 THE COURT: I'm going to provide this to the jury. 13 I'm not going to provide it to all the panel. 14 MS. HOLTHUS: Right. No, I mean, eventually. Yeah. 15 THE COURT: I'll give this to the jury. 16 MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. 17 THE COURT: I'll give them an opportunity before we 18 get started to read it themselves. 19 MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. THE COURT: I'm not going to give it to them --20 21 well --22 MS. RADOSTA: They shouldn't be allowed to probably 23 take it home. 24 THE COURT: No, they --25 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- they will not. I'm going to give it 1 2 to them right before we start our openings, okay, before you 3 start your openings, give them an opportunity to read through it. I'm going to inquire if they -- if they've read through 4 5 it, if they need any further statement from the Court 6 regarding this, all right.

7 But I'll let them know during the portion when I get 8 to -- there's a part in here where we have -- I have them read 9 it to the jury. At that point in time we'll hand it to them and I'll tell them don't read it until I'm done with -- and I 10 11 charge them is what I'm doing. I'll tell them that, you know, 12 just for their edifice, he's charged with 120 counts, one is a conspiracy, then of which are lewdness with -- that kind of 13 14 thing. I'll do that.

15 And then I'll go through the rest of my charging and 16 then we'll give this to them, I'll give them an opportunity to 17 read it. And then when they're done and they tell me they're 18 done reading it, then I'll leave it with them for the opening 19 -- with the opening statements, and then I'll collect it back 20 from them.

MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. 22 THE COURT: Unless you want me to leave it with them 23 during the trial.

24 I would request that if the --MS. HOLTHUS: 25 THE COURT: What do you think?

21

MS. RADOSTA: I would -- honestly, I don't think 1 2 that that's a good idea, but --3 THE COURT: Okay. MS. RADOSTA: Because they won't be listening. 4 5 They'll be flipping through it, they'll be --6 THE COURT: Well, they might --7 MS. RADOSTA: For the sake of argument, Judge, we 8 want their full attention. And anything --9 THE COURT: Okay. MS. RADOSTA: -- that could take their --10 11 THE COURT: Okay. I'll take it. 12 MS. RADOSTA: -- attention away --13 THE COURT: I'll collect it back from them, okay. 14 And then at the close when -- when I read jury instructions to 15 them, I'll give it to them again because they'll have a copy 16 of it, and then they'll -- and they will get a copy of it to 17 go into the jury room. 18 MS. RADOSTA: So on that note, Judge, we did not 19 research if it has to be read as part of jury instructions or 20 I hadn't thought about that. not. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MS. RADOSTA: So I appreciate your position right 23 now. 24 THE COURT: Maybe we'll do by then. I'll take a 25 look at it --

MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 1 2 THE COURT: -- and see whether or not I need to, and 3 if they do, then I'll read it to them. MS. RADOSTA: Yeah, I mean, for the --4 5 THE COURT: It doesn't bother me. I mean --6 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 7 THE COURT: -- it's long. 8 MS. RADOSTA: It is long. 9 THE COURT: It would take probably an hour to read 10 it to them, but I'll do it. If we need to when we get to the end, we'll get over that hurdle at the end. 11 12 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. Absolutely. 13 THE COURT: Right now we're at the beginning. We're 14 satisfied, okay. 15 MS. RADOSTA: Uh-huh. 16 THE COURT: All right. So are we ready now? 17 MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah. Can I go to the restroom while they bring the jury in? 18 19 MS. RADOSTA: Me too. 20 THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead. Do you need to go, 21 too? Anyone else? All right. You guys go ahead and go. All right. Don't beat each other up in the bathroom. 22 23 Ed, why don't you go ahead and start bringing them 24 in and seating them. (Inside the presence of the prospective jury) 25

THE COURT: Okay. Everybody go ahead and have a seat. All right. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. This is the time set for trial in Case No. C311453. And the State of Nevada is the plaintiff versus Christopher Sena, he's the defendant. I want the record to reflect the presence of the defendant, his counsel, and the State and their counsel, all officers of the court.

Are the parties ready to proceed in this matter? MS. HOLTHUS: The State is.

8

9

MS. RADOSTA: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you're in Department 19 of the Eighth Judicial District Court here in the State of Nevada, County of Clark. My name is William Kephart, and I am the presiding judge over this matter.

I want to take the opportunity before we get started on this matter to thank you all for being -- participating in this. I know you've been going through a number of jury questionnaires, you've been asked a lot of questions before even coming in here now, before even meeting us.

And I want to tell you how important your job really is. I do believe that this is the one thing that separates us from the rest of the world is the jury system in the United States and the ability of an individual to exercise that right. And without people like you, it wouldn't take place. Many of you probably if I said if you want to leave, go ahead and leave, many of you probably would trample that door trying
 to get through it.

I hope that after this proceeding that you'll understand how important your position really is to the system of justice that we all enjoy here in the State of Nevada and the United States. Also, we do recognize that it's highly inconvenient for you and we try to move it as quick as we can.

8 We've been in court all day. I know you've been 9 waiting and standing out there, and I apologize to you for the 10 facilities. This is not the best facility for jurors. We 11 don't have any special places for you other than on the third 12 floor. We have to hustle you up and down the elevators and it 13 takes some time to do that, it's crowded. It takes time to 14 get you in the courtroom here.

This is probably going to be -- well, I will tell you, this is the toughest part about a jury trial is -- is getting individuals to sit, getting individuals to respond, getting individuals to take part in this. So before we even get started, I want to thank you all for at least getting to this part.

I want to also introduce to you individuals that you may be coming into contact with during this trial. My staff, you've met my marshal already, Edward Kunz. He's the individual that you will be communicating with throughout this trial if you need to communicate with the Court. Please don't

2767

1 just try to walk up to the bench here. Then he will get 2 involved.

If you -- if we call upon you, we'll be talking to you in this proceeding here. But if you're out in the hall or something and you need to talk to the Court or you have some concerns, you'll figure it out as we go along, that's who you want to talk to, okay.

8 To his immediate left, you've met my judicial 9 executive assistant, Minddie Lloyd. She handles all of my 10 matters outside the courtroom itself, sets my calendars, my 11 personal calendars, handles all the correspondence that I have 12 with people outside the courtroom, phone calls, sets my dates for meetings that I have and for events that I'm going to, 13 14 even sets my doctor appointments and that. So, I mean, that's 15 -- that's what she does.

And then to her immediate left is Christine Erickson. She's my court recorder. If you look around the courtroom, you'll see a number of cameras. In front of many of you, there's microphones. Everything that's being said is being recorded both audibly and visually. So she keeps that record when we do -- when we do these trials.

If individuals need to have something read back, she's able to find it right away. So you'll hear her occasionally typing on the -- on the keyboard because she's keeping herself aware of where to get to certain portions of

2768

1 the testimony and to answers that's being given in here. 2 To her immediate left is my court clerk. She's 3 obligated to take care of my calendar, my official record. 4 She handles all the witnesses in our courtroom, she swears 5 them in, she handles all the evidence during the trial, keeps

6 them in a safe place, she marks the exhibits, keeps me going 7 in the -- in the criminal calendars, gets me straight when I'm 8 in here.

9 And to her left is my -- he's my court -- my clerk. 10 He handles -- when we're in trials like this, many times he 11 helps with assisting with the jurors. But he handles briefing 12 matters for me before I get ready for arguments, he does some 13 research for me, keeps me straight in a number of matters and 14 that and makes sure he's leading me in the right direction 15 when it comes to legal matters.

So with that being said, I'm going to ask the State to introduce themselves. If they'd like to, give us a brief statement of the nature of the case, state the names of the witnesses that you may be calling in this case.

20

21

Mr. Sweetin.

MR. SWEETIN: Thank you, Judge.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is James Sweetin, and seated next to me is Mary Kay Holthus. And we're Deputy District Attorneys of the Clark County DA's office. We've been assigned to prosecute this case, State of 1 Nevada versus Christopher Sena.

Now, I know that you have filled out jury questionnaires and there was sort of a synopsis of the facts of the case in that -- in that jury questionnaire. Now, I'm going to remind you of those because I know it's been awhile since you filled those out.

7 Christopher Sena, the defendant in this case, is 8 alleged to have sexually abused various members of his 9 immediate and extended family over the approximate period of 10 May 22, 2001, through June 30, 2014, specifically that abuse 11 is alleged to have been perpetrated upon seven individuals to 12 include the defendant's biological daughter and three sons, as 13 well as a young sister-in-law and two young nieces.

All of the alleged victims were minors when such abuse commenced. The sexual abuse occurred in the defendant's Las Vegas residence. The defendant gained access to the alleged victims by virtue of the fact that they either lived in or visited the defendant's residence.

The alleged sexual abuse included the defendant sexually touching and penetrating such young victims, as well as videotaping such young victims both in the nude, as well as while they were being sexually abused. Many of the alleged acts of sexual abuse were perpetrated by the defendant with the assistance of two of his wives, Terrie Sena and Deborah Sena.

2770

The defendant was originally married to Terrie Sena, and subsequently married Deborah Sena after divorcing Terrie Sena. Either Terrie or Deborah Sena are the biological mothers of each of the above-referenced four children of the defendant. Both Terrie and Deborah Sena lived at the same residence as the defendant at the same time for much of the above-referenced period of time we're talking about.

8 During this time, both Terrie and Deborah Sena 9 sexually touched and penetrated some of the above-referenced 10 victims in which acts the defendant did participate. Such --11 much of this abuse was documented on videos maintained by the 12 defendant, and which will be shown in the course of the trial.

Terrie and Deborah Sena have both pled guilty in the -- to the crime of sexual assault based upon the above-referenced conduct and have agreed to testify to such conduct during the course of this trial. Based upon the above, the defendant was charged with the charges that -- a number of charges that were made reference to.

Now, the State has previously provided defense counsel with a list of witnesses that it might call in the course of this trial, and I'm going to read you all of those witnesses. Certainly, the State will not be calling all of these witnesses in the course of the trial, but we retain the right to call these witnesses.

25

And one of the reasons it's important for you to

1 listen to it is the Judge is going to be asking you in a 2 minute whether or not you recognize any of these names as 3 people that you know.

First, Anita Sena, Brandon Sena, Canace Barr, who is 4 5 an attorney here in town, Kristin Bernat who works for 6 Department of Family Services, Mike Bolognini who works for Cox Communications, Michael Brinkley who is with the police 7 department, Dr. Sandra Cetl, Cheryl Cooley who is with the 8 9 Department of Family Services, Nileen Knoke who is also with 10 Cox Communications, potentially a custodian of records from 11 Google, K. Davis who works for the Department of Family 12 Services, Walt Detweiler who is with the police department, 13 Erin Clark, Kalena Edwards who is with the Department of 14 Family Services, Rachelle Ekroos who is a nurse practitioner here in town, Kimberly Grisham, Farah Henson who is with the 15 16 Department of Family Services, Patty Hinkson, Steve Hinkson, 17 Michele Iacullo who is with the police department, Lynn 18 Jasames who is with the Department of Family Services, William 19 Kurau who is a police officer, Alan Livengood who is also with 20 Cox Communications, Michael Loeffler who is with the police 21 department, Melissa Clark, Nick Madsen, all with the police 22 department, Nick Madsen, Nicole Mariam, Mark Martinez, and 23 Scott Miller, Sharice Parish who is with the Department of Family Services, Ryan Sena, Vince Ramirez who is with the 24 25 police department, Louise Renhard, Larry Samples, and Ryan

2772

Santarosa, all three of them with the police department,
 Stacey Scott who is with the Department of Family Services,
 Deborah Sena, Terrie Sena, Tamara Grisham, Terry Tails Sena,
 Jillian Tindall who is an attorney here in town, Jennifer
 Wheeler with the Department of Family Services, and finally
 Megal Zingelman who is with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
 Department. Thank you.

8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Sweetin. 9 Ms. Radosta, would you like to introduce your client 10 and co-counsel.

MS. RADOSTA: Thank you.

11

12 THE COURT: Give us a brief statement of what you 13 believe you will be presenting and any witnesses you may call. 14 MS. RADOSTA: Thank you.

Good afternoon, everybody. My name is Violet Radosta. This is my co-counsel, David Negrete, and this is our client, Christopher Sena. In addition to the witnesses that the State has already listed, we anticipate calling Larry Smith, Arlon Lewis, Patricia Hinkson, Steve Hinkson, and Jane Everette. Oh, that's right, and Bruce McCallister.

21 What we'll be asking you for, ladies and gentlemen, 22 is just two really simple things. The State already read you 23 a synopsis that you're familiar with from the jury 24 questionnaire, but we would just be asking you to keep an open 25 mind and to give us your attention. Because although the

2773

State has mentioned that there is a video in this particular 1 2 case, there is a lot more to this case than the video. There's a lot more evidence and a lot of witnesses 3 4 that we ask you to pay attention to and to give us an open 5 mind. And when everything is said and done, we will be asking 6 you for a not guilty verdict on behalf of Mr. Sena. Thank 7 you. 8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Radosta. 9 At this point in time, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to call your name. If you're here, answer by saying 10 present or here. That way we just keep a list of who is here 11 12 and it will be evident why we're doing it. 13 So go ahead. 14 (Prospective jury roll called) 15 THE COURT: With regards to, so the record is clear, 16 with regards to Badge 165, Ann Hast, she came in with a 17 toddler this morning, and she was instructed to come back 18 tomorrow. 19 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. 20 MS. HOLTHUS: Which number --MS. RADOSTA: 21 I presume --22 MS. HOLTHUS: -- is that? -- without the child? 23 MS. RADOSTA: 24 THE COURT: What's that? 25 MS. RADOSTA: Without the child?

THE COURT: Yeah. 1 2 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. 3 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, since we're going to be asking you some questions, I'm going to ask 4 5 that all of you stand, raise your right hand. I'm going to 6 have you sworn in. 7 (Prospective jury sworn) 8 THE COURT: Is there anyone in this panel that would 9 not take that oath? All right. No one has answered. Ladies and gentlemen, what we're about to begin here 10 11 is jury selection. It's called voir dire. During this 12 process you are going to be asked some questions, and the 13 questions are going to be bearing on your ability to be fair 14 and impartial jurors. 15 You've been provided already with a jury 16 questionnaire, and some of the questions I'm going to ask are 17 not meant to just repeat it, but some things I ask in the 18 sense of from coming from the Court in a manner to which we 19 can get the record and then you're going to be -- have some 20 follow up questions with regards to some of your answers that 21 you've had, given us in your jury questionnaire. Ladies and gentlemen, understanding that the 22 23 questions sometimes asked by myself or counsel on occasion 24 seem somewhat personal, we're not trying to get into your 25 personal feelings about certain things, but it's necessary for

1 the Court and the parties to make sure that they make an 2 intelligent decision and determination as to your capabilities 3 to serve fairly and impartially.

The term fair and impartial comes up a lot during jury questionnaire -- jury questions, and you'll see it during the voir dire here. And so I want you to understand how mortant and significant it is that you answer full, complete, and honest with your answers to all the questions that we're going to be asking you.

Please do not try to hide anything or withhold anything that might indicate a potential bias or prejudice of you -- by you of any sort for any reason. Should you fail to answer truthfully or if you try to hide or withhold certain information bearing on your qualifications, that could possibly contaminate your verdict.

16 I will tell you, contrary to a lot of what many 17 individuals believe, there are people that want to be on 18 juries and they want to be here so bad that they're willing to 19 even not be forthright with the Court and they get on the 20 juries. And if they are there and they haven't given true and 21 accurate answers to their -- to the questions that are asked 22 to them and it's found out, it usually causes a tremendous 23 problem with the case. So they're usually sitting here 24 instead of out there where you're at. And I don't think any 25 of you want to know that, because when they leave, they don't

2776

1 leave out that door, they leave out that door.

2 You know, I try to make things -- try to lighten 3 things up here a little bit. Maybe sometimes when I say things it might be funny to some of you. Maybe it might be 4 5 corny to some of you. Maybe you might think maybe I better 6 laugh because the Judge said it. It doesn't work like that. 7 So please understand that what we're going through is an 8 important process. It is time consuming. We'll deal with it 9 the best way we can. Bear with us, and hopefully we don't 10 repeat ourselves too often, okay.

11 I'm going to ask some general questions, then after 12 that time I'll give an opportunity for the -- for the parties to ask questions. Understand that there -- there are certain 13 14 procedures in which individuals may be asked not to sit as 15 part -- as -- and be on this jury, and that's called 16 challenges. There's two different types of challenges in this 17 process, one is called a challenge for cause, one is called a 18 peremptory challenge.

A peremptory challenge is a challenge posed by the parties after we've gotten to the point where we've gotten past the challenges for cause, and it's really a challenge that has no reason, it doesn't have to be presented to the Court what the reason is, other than maybe the parties who were examining you saw during some of the questioning that they felt that you wouldn't be particularly good for this type 1 of case.

2 Understand that we have all kinds of different types 3 of cases in here. Right now there's probably about 30 4 different potential jurors -- juries that we're dealing with. 5 So when you were down there downstairs, you probably saw many 6 other individuals that were going through the same type of 7 thing that you're all going through.

8 Some cases take a little bit longer than other 9 cases, some cases move quicker. Some cases are criminal 10 matter, some cases are civil matters. This is a criminal case 11 in which you've heard the basic allegations that's involved 12 here.

13 The most boring part, in my opinion, of the trial is this, is picking the jury and going through it. And you'll 14 see why after we start down asking. For those of you that 15 16 have been in juries before, you probably know what I'm talking about. But what I try to do is make sure that if there's 17 anything that I can do to make this something that will be 18 19 rememberable for you and hopefully positive for you because we 20 want you back. We want -- we need you for this process.

I will tell you that of all the jury trials that I've done, at the end of the jury trial I have an opportunity to speak with the jury, and I have yet to find anybody that's saying it wasn't a positive experience. So we recognize that it's an awesome responsibility that you have and that's put on, but it is an important aspect of the criminal justice
 system.

So at times when the parties will be asking -- in the past, when I first started, I've been doing this over 30 years. When I first started doing this, we used to have to stand up and ask the Court to excuse an individual. I always pick on Juror No. 1 just because you're the first in line, but Mr. Dunbar.

9 What would happen is, say I -- say I was with the 10 State or with the defense, I would have to stand up and say, 11 Judge, we'd like to thank and excuse Juror No. 1, Adam Dunbar. 12 And what I found out and my experience in what has happened 13 with that is that individuals take offense to it because they 14 know who did it.

Say I was with the State and I -- you know, the 15 16 prosecution and I -- and I say that, or even with the defense, 17 you might wait outside, and this happened many times, wait 18 outside and want to talk to the attorneys and say why did you 19 excuse me, I'd be a good juror, I'm fair, I listen to things, 20 I'm conscientious, I recognize what's going on in the 21 community, I'd be a good juror, why did you excuse me, why did 22 you excuse me, to the point where it got actually cumbersome 23 to the attorneys.

24 Because in our courtroom the attorneys will be 25 moving in and out of this courtroom through those doors. They 1 go out past the jurors and out past the prospective jurors and 2 -- and they would be seeing them, and so to -- to say that.

We don't do that any longer. It's done at the bench and -- and I'm the one excusing you, you have no idea if it was me that was excusing you or either one of the parties that was excusing you. And I welcome in the future if you are excused that if you have a concern, you can come talk to you and I'll explain to you what happened one way or the other, okay.

Like I said, please don't be offended should you be excused by one of these particular challenges. It's simply a part of the procedures that we use that we found is the best to protect the right of the parties under our system of government.

15 Also, understand that in this courtroom, in the 16 courthouse, like I said, the parties have to move through the 17 courthouse and many times they'll come into contact with you. 18 The reason why you're wearing a badge right now is to let the 19 parties in the courthouse know that you're a potential juror. 20 If you become a juror, we give you a different badge that 21 actually says juror. But the reason why you're wearing that 22 is for people to know that.

These parties, the attorneys here, I will tell you, I've known all of them the whole time they've been practicing -- practicing law in the State of Nevada. All of them are

2780

very polite individuals, all of them are very engaging individuals, but when it comes to trials, they shut that down and that's because we're instructing them to do so. Ethically, they cannot have any communication, any interaction with jurors or potential jurors. We found that that could cause a problem.

7 Let's say -- let's say one of the parties is on his 8 way into the courtroom or her way into the courtroom and their 9 mind is set on their case and you say hello to them and they 10 ignore you, not because they meant to ignore you, but because 11 their mind was set on their case and that was what was more 12 important to them than to say hello to a juror. And you may 13 take offense to that and hold that against them, and we found 14 that that's a big possibility.

15 So we have instructed them to have no interaction 16 whatsoever with jurors. We're fearful that it may cause or 17 contaminate a verdict, so they do that under our direction. You'll be instructed later this very thing that I'm talking to 18 19 you, but I do it now because that way if you have a tendency 20 to say hello to them or whatever and they don't say anything 21 back to you, it's not because they're being rude or 22 anti-social or -- or trying to ignore you or anything, it's just that they're being instructed by the Court not to. And 23 24 you will be instructed, as well, not to have any interaction 25 with them, okay.

When I'm asking you questions, if you wish to respond to any of my questions, I'll ask that you raise your hand. And if you all will look at your badges that you have on your chest right now, there's -- you have two numbers. You have what they call a Juror ID number. It's pretty big. And then you have a badge number.

7 Mr. Dunbar has got a badge number of 01-0001. What 8 I ask for is him, for the record, to just give me -- if he 9 wanted to answer, he would raise his hand and say my name is 10 Adam Dunbar and my badge number is, the last three digits, 11 001. Okay? We'll -- we'll keep you going on that.

12 And don't worry about it. Don't get too concerned about it. We'll make sure we have -- because that's what 13 14 Christy does, she will remind me consistently of what's the 15 badge number, what's the name. So sometimes you guys jump 16 right on board with me and I never have to ask, but if you 17 forget about it or whatever, don't worry about it. We'll get it on the record. Like I said, if you wish to respond to a 18 19 question, you just basically raise your hand, tell me your --20 when I call on you, tell me your name and your badge number, 21 okay.

All right. Before we get going really into the questions, is there anyone here that is a convicted felon? All right. No one has raised their hand.

25

Is anyone not a United States citizen? All right.

1 No one else has raised their hand.

-	
2	Is there anyone who has such a sympathy, prejudice,
3	or bias related to age, religion, race, gender, or national
4	origin that they feel would affect their ability to be
5	open-minded, fair and impartial jurors? Anyone? All right.
6	We've got in the back row. Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: My name is William
8	Robinson, 267. I'm retired military. I've been all over the
9	word. And what I've heard today, I've seen happen before and
10	it's against my religion, against my principles of what you
11	know, if he was accused, to me that's being guilty.
12	THE COURT: It's against your religion and against
13	your principles? What religion says if you're accused, you're
14	guilty? The inquisitives?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: No, I
16	THE COURT: The inquisition?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: I mean, my
18	THE CONDER. Obout Moll that a what way wave that
19	THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's what you were just
тJ	saying.
20	
	saying.
20	saying. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: Well, I'm Roman
20 21	saying. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: Well, I'm Roman Catholic.
20 21 22	saying. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: Well, I'm Roman Catholic. THE COURT: Okay.
20 21 22 23	saying. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: Well, I'm Roman Catholic. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: And from what I've seen

THE COURT: Okay. You could not be biased? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: Yes. I mean, I could 3 not --So that means you're impartial? THE COURT: 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: Impartial. 6 THE COURT: Okay. So you could not be impartial is 7 what you mean? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: Right. 9 THE COURT: And that's based on religious beliefs, 10 military beliefs? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: And the way I was 12 raised. 13 THE COURT: Okay. What -- what branch of the 14 military were you in? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: Air Force, sir. 16 THE COURT: Well, than you for your --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: Combat communications. 17 THE COURT: All right. Any other military 18 19 individuals here? Before we go any further, I want to thank 20 you all for your service, all right. If I forget to do that, 21 I've done it. All right. Thank you so much. We had some 22 people even clapping and I appreciate that. 23 Any of you have a similar type of belief based on 24 your military service? All right. Okay. 25 All right, Mr. Robinson, anything else?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: That's it.
2	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
3	Please understand, also, I'm not I'm not ignoring
4	his answer. We're just making notes of it. There may be some
5	additional questions. And the parties are making notes of
6	that for purposes of addressing the Court, okay.
7	So we're not ignoring you, Mr. Robinson. I don't
8	just automatically say, okay, thank you, go ahead, don't let
9	the door hit you in the back. You know, I mean, I don't do
10	that, okay. So we'll we'll address it further.
11	Anyone else with regards to that question, that they
12	have any and I'll read it again just so we all know. Is
13	there anyone here that has such sympathy, prejudice, or bias
14	relating to age, religion, race, gender, or national origin
15	that they feel would affect their ability to be fair and
16	open-minded in this matter as a juror? All right. Okay.
17	Are any of you acquainted with the defendant or his
18	attorneys? Okay.
19	Are any of you acquainted with the Deputy District
20	Attorneys? Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Good afternoon, Your
22	Honor. George Ward, Badge No. 036. In my previous employment
23	I was associated with Chief Deputy Holthus and Chief Deputy
24	I'm sorry
25	THE COURT: Sweetin.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Sweetin. Sorry. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: I just drew a blank. THE COURT: Lasting impression, Mr. Sweetin. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Well, I'm actually more 6 familiar with his son, Bob, so just --7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: -- you know, through my 9 previous employment. I did retire last June, and I'm familiar with Your Honor, as well. So I just want to -- I put that in 10 11 my questionnaire, but --12 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: -- you know, those are 13 14 relationships that I've had previously with -- through my 15 previous employer with --16 THE COURT: Is there anything about --17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: -- Clark County District 18 Court. 19 THE COURT: -- your previous employment or knowing 20 Ms. Holthus or Mr. Sweetin or even the Court that you believe 21 would affect your ability to be fair and impartial? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: I would like to think 23 not, but I don't want any answers that I give right now to 24 taint the rest of the jury pool. 25 THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: So I don't know if Your 1 2 Honor -- if I could approach and just kind of give some 3 background information. But I don't believe it would be a true conflict, but I think for the parties involved that it 4 5 would be best if I disclose --THE COURT: Okay. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: -- certain things. Not 8 in the audience right now in the jury gallery. 9 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. Anything else? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: No, Your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Ward. 12 Anyone else? Anyone else know Ms. Holthus or Mr. 13 Sweetin? How about any -- okay. 14 THE MARSHAL: We've got one, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Juror 040, Chelsea 17 Same thing, just on a professional level with my Stuenkel. current work. I've worked cases underneath the Deputy 18 19 District Attorney Holthus. 20 THE COURT: Is there anything about your knowledge 21 of Ms. Holthus that you think would affect your ability to be 22 fair and impartial here? No, sir. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: 24 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else? All right. 25 How about the names of the witnesses that the

1 parties have alluded to? Did any of them stick out to any of 2 you that you think you know them and wanted to let the Court 3 know? Anyone? All right. No one has raised their hand.

Okay. I know that you were told in the jury questionnaire the basic length of this trial, and I've heard a lot of -- I've got some correspondence with a lot of individuals considering that. And so now we're going to talk about that. It's expected to last probably four weeks, give or take.

MS. RADOSTA:

THE COURT: We're hoping to finish in the last week.
A lot of it depends on how quick we can get the jury, too.
But we want to make sure that we take our time here and we
don't overlook certain things. So we're talking, yeah, four
weeks. And I will tell you that if it goes beyond that, I
hold -- I continue on. I don't stop it and then bring it back
17 later.

Yeah.

I've been pretty good at -- we have not had any cases that have been longer than we've anticipated. And if I see that things are going a little bit longer, holding over a little longer, I'll ask the jury if they'll be willing to stay longer. I start my calendars on days that I don't have any other calendar. I start the jury trials around 9:00 in the morning and we go until 5:00.

25

10

I give you a lunch break, give you other breaks.

But the last trial I did, the parties of the juries were fine and we stayed until 7:00 two night in the jury trial. And that was -- and then they deliberated until about 7:00 on one of the nights. So I leave that all up to the jury.

5 Sometimes juries, like I'm in a situation where 6 we're getting ready to break for lunch or break for a break 7 and we might be I the middle of a certain witness and rather 8 than to break for that and interrupt the witness, I'll ask the 9 jury, and I will tell you nine times out of ten, the juries always say, yeah, we'll stay with this -- with this witness. 10 11 Sometimes they haven't. I mean, they say, yeah, we need a 12 break, and we'll give them a break.

But this is one time where the -- the jury is actually going to get part of the -- part of the say in what's going on in the courtrooms is when we're talking about breaks, how long we're going to take. I've even had an opportunity where we went on a weekend on a Saturday, but I try not to do that. I leave it up to the jury, okay.

19 So with that being said, would serving that period 20 of time present an undue burden upon any of you? Here's where 21 I get a lot of answers. So don't be afraid, raise your hand. 22 Okay. We'll just start in the back. All right.

23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Adam Dunbar, 001.
24 THE COURT: All right.
25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Financially, I can't

take work off for four weeks to six weeks or longer. I live 1 2 by myself, I've got a lot of bills to pay, a mortgage. My 3 work, I'm the only chef there that can handle my duties. THE COURT: Where do you work? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: I'm at a restaurant, 6 Duffy's Restaurant. 7 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Here's something I --8 anything else with that? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. We'll talk about it. 10 I want to 11 let everybody know with regards to that -- go ahead and have a 12 seat, sir. I appreciate your respect, though. I do. 13 In the state of Nevada, judges have recognized that 14 oftentimes it doesn't seem fair to our jurors that we take them out of their lives and we put them in here. And you will 15 16 get paid some money. It's not -- and I will tell you -- and I 17 saw the look on your face, you know, it's not -- it's not as 18 much as you probably make. 19 We've compared similar systems around the world to 20 see how other systems will try to compensate individuals that 21 they're asking for their time. And we actually proposed a 22 change in that to the legislature, legislation. And they came 23 back to us and told us that they addressed it with their 24 constituents, and the constituents felt that it was a civic 25 duty for individuals to be part of the jury and they felt they

1 shouldn't get paid at all.

2	Now, fortunately, no one has went that drastic. And
3	it's not a lot of money. I think it's about maybe \$40, \$50 a
4	day. Some of you that make a considerable amount more than
5	that are probably cringing over that. And other individuals
6	that make make less than that are probably happy with that.
7	But if many employers will pay for individuals
8	that are in the jury duty. I don't know if any of you have
9	checked into that or not, but I will tell you that
10	financially, you know, contrary to a lot of what individuals
11	want to say is that it's not at the top of the list of the
12	courts for purposes of excusing individuals, okay. But we do
13	consider it. We take it into consideration.
14	That's why I hear what you're saying with regards to
15	you're the sole breadwinner, so to speak, you're the only chef
16	at your employment, so I recognize that and we'll discuss
17	that, okay. So I just wanted everybody to know the position
18	the courts have taken on that.
19	So okay. Anyone else? Any other okay, just pass
20	it down.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: My name is Lindsay
22	Blood, Badge No. 002.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I live in a single
25	income home. I'm a stay at home mother. My husband will have

1 to take time off of work because we have five children and it 2 will cause financial hardship on us. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. 3 Next. All right. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Marlen Garner, 003. 6 Mine is really just dependent on when the trial is supposed to 7 I will be out of the country from September 12th start. 8 through the 18th. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Next. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Kate Gorski, No. 011. 11 12 Again, just like other people's travel plans, I'll be out of state September 19th through the 25th. 13 14 THE COURT: December 19th? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: September. 15 16 THE COURT: Oh. Okay. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Jill Pressman, 012. The Jewish holidays fall during that time frame. 18 I'm not sure if 19 we're recessing or not during those days. 20 THE COURT: When are the -- when are the Jewish 21 holidays? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: It's Rosh Hashanah, it's 23 on -- Rosh Hashanah is this Friday, and then the following 24 Wednesday is Yom Kippur. So it's September --25 THE COURT: So --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I don't have a calendar 1 2 in front of me to --So Friday and Wednesday? 3 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Friday -- this Friday 4 5 coming up, and then it's two weeks Wednesday, something like 6 that. 7 THE COURT: Okay. So those are the days you'd have 8 a concern with, is --9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Correct. THE COURT: -- Friday and Wednesday? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: It's -- I've got to find 11 a calendar. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: The 7th and 12th, I 15 guess, the days for Rosh Hashanah and Yom Kippur. So I don't 16 have the dates in front of me to actually tell you exactly. I 17 know one is this weekend because we're planning for it. 18 THE COURT: The 7th and the 19th. Okay. All right. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Julie Arcemont, Juror 20 016. I'm an independent contractor optometrist with eight 21 employees, so I -- it's very difficult for me to take the time 22 off. 23 How many employees? THE COURT: 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Eight. And also I'll be 25 out of the country from October 17th to November 2nd if it

goes long. And I have two minor children, also. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else in that row? Okay. The front -- do me 3 a favor, ma'am. Could you pass -- is there anyone else in the 4 5 second row? Anyone? No one else. Okay. So you're the next 6 in line. Perfect. 7 MS. RADOSTA: Your Honor, can we approach just 8 really quickly. 9 THE COURT: Sure. 10 Just a minute, ma'am. (Off-record bench conference) 11 12 THE COURT: Okay, ma'am. Sorry. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Gina Incaviglia, Badge 14 No. 041. I am currently in college for MLS, a bachelor's degree at College of Southern Nevada, and I'm doing 15 16 internships from Monday through Friday for eight hours a day 17 between now and December 16th. So if I were to be picked, my graduation will be delayed by a year, and it will be really 18 19 hard to reschedule. And, plus, I'm paying out of pocket for 20 the classes. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Nicholas Devita, 042. 22 23 Mine is not so much financial as much as getting projects 24 completed for contracts that I already have. I run my own 25 business doing iron fabrication, so I'd like to keep my

1 projects going, so that's about it.

2 THE COURT: Are you the only one that works for --3 does what you do, or --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yeah, I'm the sole 4 5 proprietor, I guess. 6 THE COURT: Okay. You don't have any employees or 7 anything like that/ PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: 8 No. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone in the -- oh, going the other way. Okay. 10 Go 11 ahead. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Chelsea Stuenkel, 040. 13 I know it doesn't look like it, but I'm due in six weeks just 14 in case it goes long, just for disclosure. 15 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: My name is Arlene Erwin, I have two kids to take care of. I have to take them 17 0037. to school and pick them up. Thank you. 18 19 THE COURT: Is there -- do you have any family here? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: My husband. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Can your husband pick them up? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: He has to work. 22 23 THE COURT: Okay. So are you -- are you employed? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yes, but I start at 25 noon, so I --

THE COURT: You start work at noon? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yes. 2 So who picks them up? 3 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: My husband, after work. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. So when do your kids have to be 6 to school? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: At 8:00. They have to be there at 8:00. 8 9 THE COURT: Oh, you'll probably -- you'll be able to And your husband can pick them up? 10 do that. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yeah. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. You'll be fine, but -- okay. A11 13 right. 14 Anyone else? Okay. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Robert Clawson, 025. It. 16 would create a hardship for me due to my employment. I work 17 for the University. I work, actually, for the Thomas and Mack Center. I'm an associate facility director and we have events 18 19 going all the time. I also negotiate contracts, which I'm 20 currently involved in about four of them. We've got a client 21 in the building this week. It's a multi-million-dollar client 22 to us that I -- that I also manage events. So time away from 23 the building, there's potential of us losing contacts and --24 and, you know, getting these contracts to -- to completion. 25 So that would create, you know, obviously, a bad scenario for

1 -- for the University.

2 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. 3 Clawson. Anyone else in that row? How about the third row 4 5 right here? Can you hand that over to the -- okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Hello. I am Karen 6 7 Hubbs, 043. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I had plans to leave out of town with my husband. We're celebrating 29 years of his 10 11 retirement from the fire department, and we're --12 THE COURT: When's your plans to leave out of town? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Tomorrow through the 14 16th, so if it's after that. Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Did you have like -- do you have 16 airline tickets or anything like that? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: We're driving. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: We're driving. 20 THE COURT: Are you -- are you taking time off to go 21 do it, or are you employed yourself or --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: No, I am not. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Is it something that you could 24 put off? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Well, we're --

THE COURT: I mean, he's --1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: -- other people are --3 THE COURT: -- retired and you've got forever now; right? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I agree. I agree. You 6 know, we're looking forward to that part of it. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Honestly, Judge, this is 9 something we've been planning for the last six months, so --10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I'll just leave it to 12 your discretion. 13 THE COURT: All right. Okay. Thank you. 14 Anyone else? Anyone? Anyone in that row? Okay. 15 How about in the fourth row? Someone in the fourth row? All 16 right. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 074: This is Nagendra 18 Achanta, 074. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 074: I have to take my 21 daughter, dropping to school and pick her up. I have only one 22 car. So I end up -- if I have to spend four weeks, then I'm 23 not sure that they'll keep me because I'm a contractor. 24 THE COURT: You say you have to drop your daughter 25 off at school, as well?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 074: Yes. I have a single 1 2 car. I mean, that's not [indiscernible], I can manage, but --3 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 074: -- not working for four 4 5 weeks, that really impacts my --6 THE COURT: Okay. What do you do for --7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 074: I'm a software quality 8 assurance consultant at IGT. I work as a contractor there. 9 THE COURT: Is there anyone else that does what you do? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 074: No. For my testing, my 12 team, I'm the only tester in there. Yeah, we usually have a 13 lot of products running and it really impacts my job. THE COURT: Okay. 14 Thank you. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 074: Thank you, sir. 16 THE COURT: Anyone else in that row? Anyone in the front row? 17 You missed one. 18 MS. HOLTHUS: 19 We've got one over here. THE MARSHAL: 20 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry, sir. I didn't even see 21 you. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Kaleb Miley, Juror 075. 23 It would make a hardship for me at work. I'm the only one at 24 my position for NV Energy. I'm the fleet parts manager there. 25 I run two fleet shops, and I'm the only one that orders the

1 parts for all the vehicles there.

2 THE COURT: So they might have to just give us free 3 power for a little while; right? If they couldn't get out --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: It's all the --4 5 THE COURT: -- if those vehicles --6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: -- NV Energy 2.0. 7 THE COURT: -- couldn't get out there. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: They're cutting back. 9 Waiting for that vote. 10 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. Miley. 11 Anyone else in the front row? All right. Why don't 12 we just -- however you want to do it, Ed. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Heidi Johnson, 081. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: I'll be out of the 16 country from October 6th to October 19th. 17 THE COURT: I really would be surprised if we got 18 that far, if we went that long, I mean. So if we're done 19 before that, you're okay? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: But we do have our hotel 22 23 and our flights and everything booked starting on the 6th. 24 THE COURT: Well, I'll tell you, if you make it on 25 our jury and we get that far, I will not affect your

traveling, just all the rest of the jurors will have to wait. 1 2 All right, everybody? Okay. 3 Okay. Anyone else in that row? All right. Okay, sir. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: My name is Nelson 6 Pacheco-Medina, Badge No. 086. 7 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: So at home I am the sole 9 -- I'm the only person that works in my household. I live by myself. And definitely in terms of like my position and 10 11 stuff, I am a sales consultant, work with a lot of clients 12 like in terms of like bars and restaurants and it would definitely affect --13 14 THE COURT: You're a what consultant? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: A sales consultant. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: I work with a lot of 17 bars and restaurants in terms of like electronics and stuff to 18 19 be able to basically refurbish like their new restaurants and 20 stuff like that. So it would definitely affect my overall 21 performance and definitely put my whole livelihood in 22 jeopardy. 23 Okay. I got you. THE COURT: 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Thank you. 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: David Moore, 087. I'm a 1 2 freshman high school teacher. We're about halfway through the 3 first quarter, and that would take me out of the classroom at 4 least through the end of the first quarter. 5 THE COURT: Do your students like you? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: They're freshmen. 7 THE COURT: Well, from that answer --8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: They're still kind of 9 afraid, you know. Yes. 10 THE COURT: What -- what do you teach? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Workplace skills and 12 career readiness. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Would this not be something that 14 you could take back to your class and talk about your 15 experience? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Absolutely. And that is part of it. It's -- you know, to -- I would be out almost, 17 18 then, the rest of the quarter. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: That's the issue. So, 21 yeah, positive things from it for sure. 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, Mr. Moore. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: All right. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Hi, Judge. Camryn, 089.

1 I'll be out of town September 15th and 16th. 2 THE CLERK: That's Saturday and Sunday. THE COURT: Saturday and Sunday? 3 THE CLERK: Yeah. 4 5 THE COURT: That's Saturday and Sunday. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: 6 Uh-huh. 7 THE COURT: We're okay. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Do you guys not do it on 9 weekends? THE COURT: We won't. We won't then. 10 Okay. All 11 right. So if you -- if -- if that's not an issue for you, 12 you're fine? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Uh-huh. 14 THE COURT: Is that a yes? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Uh-huh. Yes. Yes. 16 THE COURT: Okay. You're going to hear that a lot 17 in this trial. When someone goes uh-huh, uh-huh. I don't 18 know what they're saying. So I say is that a yes? That way 19 we know what we're hearing. Or is that a no? Okay? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Uh-huh. Yes. 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anyone else in that 22 row? Okay. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Christina Kyker, 100. 24 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: I work in an office

where it's just me and an operations manager. I'm the only 1 one that does all of the office end of the business. And if 2 3 I'm gone for a month, there's nobody to perform order entry, billing, any of the processes that I do. Plus, I'm in the 4 5 process of training on fuel in town. 6 THE COURT: What type of office is it? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: It's New West Oil. It's 8 an oil distributor. I do all their order entry, billing, 9 office admin, HR locally. THE COURT: Is that like oil for --10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: It's a Valvoline 11 12 distributor in town, fuel, oil. 13 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: My manager is already texting me, you done yet, get back. 15 16 THE COURT: Wow. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: He's helpless without 18 me. 19 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, Ms. Kyker. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 109: Hi. I am Erica Solomon. 21 My badge number is 109. I have two concerns regarding being a 22 juror. One mainly is financial hardship. Up until two weeks 23 ago my husband was the sole provider and I was a stay at home 24 mom. He recently lost his job, and I accepted a nanny 25 position. However, that depends on whether or not I'm chosen

as a juror. And, also, my other concern would be I don't 1 2 drive by choice, personal choice. So if I were to be chosen, 3 I would most likely need to rely on public transportation, which is sort of hard being that none of us are -- make --4 5 have an income right now. 6 THE COURT: Does your husband drive? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 109: Yes, he does. 8 THE COURT: Could he bring you? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 109: If he's not working yet, absolutely. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 109: But I'm sort of hoping 13 he finds a job yesterday, so --14 THE COURT: I got you. Okay. All right. Thank 15 you. 16 Anyone else in that row? Can you just pass it 17 across. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 338: Steve Waldron, Badge 19 338. I'm a tax CPA and we're coming upon our second tax 20 season, which is September 15th where all the corporate tax 21 returns are due, and then October 15th when all the individual 22 tax returns would be due. And the government isn't 23 necessarily the nicest, let's say, giving any extensions on 24 those things anymore. 25 THE COURT: So --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 338: So it would be tough. 1 2 THE COURT: -- the government, if you told them you 3 were in a jury trial, they don't care? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 338: To the Department of 4 5 Labor, it would not matter. Yeah, to the Department of 6 Labor --7 THE COURT: Wow. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 338: -- they would say that's 8 9 too bad. And so I have 5500s that are due for various different entities and so forth. 10 THE COURT: Okay. So you're right in the middle of 11 12 corporate tax? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 338: Corporate tax right now, 14 and then we'll switch over to get all the individual taxes out 15 by October 15th, yes. 16 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. 17 Anyone else in that row? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 325: Hello. I'm Oscar 18 19 Guerrero. I'm 325. It would create a financial hardship. 20 I'm pretty much the main source of income, and I have no 21 vacation time. 22 THE COURT: Who do you work for? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 325: IGM. We make slot 23 24 machines. 25 THE COURT: They won't pay you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 325: As far as I know --1 2 THE COURT: -- for jury duty? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 325: I didn't ask. I quess I could ask, but it slipped my mind. 4 5 THE COURT: I would be surprised if they didn't. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 325: Okay. 7 THE COURT: But I don't know. How big is the 8 company? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 325: Not that big. It's --10 THE COURT: What's not that big? How many -- how 11 many employees? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 325: About 150 employees. 13 THE COURT: Well, that's pretty good. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 325: Okay. 15 THE COURT: I think they probably -- okay. All 16 right. Well, check in on it, okay. I'm going to give 17 everybody a break here pretty soon, and if they have that question, then just call your employers, okay. 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: Hi. Cassandra Lucero, 20 Juror No. 321. I am the office manager for a structural 21 engineer. I'm his only employee. I do the accounts 22 receivable, accounts payable, his errands, meet with clients, 23 answer the phones, filing, everything. So if it was only a 24 day or two or three days it would be one thing, but for a 25 whole month, the office almost has to shut down without me.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 1 2 Anyone else? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: My name is Dany Canales, 320. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: I'd like to, but my 7 English is no -- is no good. I understand 50 percent, and I'm 8 not sure. 9 THE COURT: How long have you been in the United 10 States? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Ten years. Ten years? 12 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: I am starting, but I'm a 13 14 mom, wife, and [indiscernible]. 15 THE COURT: Are you employed? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Yes. 17 THE COURT: What do you do for work? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: I work in warehouse, 19 DHL. DHL. 20 THE COURT: Okay. What do you do for them? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: I scan products for 22 return. 23 THE COURT: You scan products that come in? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Yeah. 25 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Where are you from

1 originally?

-	originary.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: I'm from Honduras.
3	THE COURT: From where?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Honduras.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Central America.
7	THE COURT: Are you a U.S. citizen?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Is that a yes?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Did you say I think?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: No, I said yes. I am
13	sorry. I prepare, but when I reading something, it's
14	difficult to me yet.
15	THE COURT: Because when you become a U.S. citizen
16	you have to take it in English, right
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Yes.
18	THE COURT: the test?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: I take it in English.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: I study when I children,
22	but it's it's not it's not the saying when I study
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: in the country.
25	THE COURT: I understand. So what's your main

what's your -- the main language? Is it Spanish or --1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: It's Spanish. THE COURT: Is there -- is there a different dialect 3 in Honduras then there would be in Mexico or something? So 4 5 you would need a Honduran Spanish interpreter? If I got you 6 one, would that -- would that help you? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Yeah. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Let's see if we can reach out. 9 And so the whole concern you have is your English? You Okav. don't really understand me completely, is that what you're 10 11 saying? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: No understanding 13 complete. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Let me see if I can get you an 15 interpreter, okay? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Okay. 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 18 Anyone else in that row? Okay. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Kenneth Johnson, No. 319. 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: I know you mentioned the 23 dates, but I have surgery October 15th. So it probably won't 24 be a problem, I just wanted to make the Court aware of that. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Once again, if we're all the way

up to there, I don't think there's going to be an issue, okay. 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 319: Okay. THE COURT: All right. Okay. 3 Anyone else that -- okay. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 292: Hi. Kathleen, Juror 6 292. I travel for my job, and we start traveling on the 12th 7 and I'll be gone for four weeks. 8 THE COURT: What do you do for work? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 292: I work for the samples 10 and mobile photo studio for Zappos. THE COURT: Okay. I would be real surprised if they 11 12 weren't paying you. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 292: I'm -- it's not 14 financial. I just travel for my job and I have to --15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 292: -- go travel. 17 THE COURT: Well, I know, but it's with Zappos; right? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 292: Right. 20 THE COURT: You work for Zappos? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 292: But there's nobody else 22 that does my job. I'm the operations specialist. I prep the 23 product, I do the information. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 25 Anyone else in the next row? Can you just pass it

back and have them pass it down to the right. Okay. 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 350: My name is Lamar Noorda. 3 I'm No. 350. I run a small construction business. We're really busy. It wouldn't be impossible, but it would create a 4 5 real hardship for me. Unfortunately, I don't have employees 6 that can do what I need to do in the next few weeks with just 7 big projects going on here in Vegas. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And building -- building a 9 stadium, you are? Okay. 10 Anyone else? Okay. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 545: My name is Moises. My 12 badge number is 545. I'm scheduled for Friday the 7th. Ι 13 have a doctor's appointment. I might have a surgery done, so I don't know if I'm going to be able to come back. 14 15 THE COURT: When are you scheduled for surgery? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 545: This Friday. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Can you tell me what type of 18 surgery? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 545: Intestines. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Is that -- are you inpatient, are 21 they -- are you going to be in the hospital or --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 545: I don't know. I've qot 23 to -- I've got to go and see if they're going to be able to do 24 it. 25 THE COURT: What time is it scheduled?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 545: 11:30, I believe. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: My name is Ryan Ulibarri, Badge No. 224, and I am a full-time college student 4 5 at CSN. My major is automotive. And I need the time to study and do my mid-terms and do my final. I'll be needing the 6 7 whole month of September, please. THE COURT: You're a student at UNLV? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: At CSN. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. What you are studying? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: Automotive. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 13 Anyone else on that row? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Hi, Your Honor. My name 15 is Breena Hopkins, Badge No. 0216. 16 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: I'm a full-time nursing 18 student at UNLV. I am also a single mom of two boys. They 19 don't get out of school until like 3:00 p.m., but, you know, 20 there's nobody else to pick them up besides me and take them 21 to school. Also, they go to --22 THE COURT: When do they get out? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: At 3:00. 23 24 THE COURT: What's going to happen today? Who is 25 going to get them today?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: My mom is going to get 1 2 them today. 3 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: But that can't be an 4 5 everyday thing for her. 6 THE COURT: Why? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Because she has a job. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anyone else that can 9 pick them up? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: No. Their father is in Dallas. 11 12 THE COURT: How old are they? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: They are 6 and 7. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Do they have a bus or anything 15 for them that young? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: They do, but there would 17 be nobody to pick them up. THE COURT: What do you mean? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Who is going to pick 20 them up if I'm not home? 21 THE COURT: Well, I know, but can they go out of the 22 school, get on the bus, ride the bus to the bus stop, and then walk to their house? 23 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: No, they're not allowed 25 to do that.

THE COURT: Why is that? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Because they're not of 3 They're 6 and 7. age. THE COURT: Okay. That's not my question. 4 They 5 have busses for them? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Yeah, they have busses, 6 7 but they're 6 and 7. They're not allowed to be home alone. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. So that's the -- you're saying that no one is going to be there? 9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Yeah. Nobody is going 11 to be there. I'm home or my mom gets home. 12 THE COURT: Can they go to your -- go to your mom's? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: No. My mom, she gets home at 6:30. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. So she works until 6:30? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Yeah. 16 THE COURT: What about your -- your father? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: My father lives in 18 19 California. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So you don't have any sisters or 21 anybody else that can help or --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: She works. 22 23 THE COURT: No one else? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Nope. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Trevor Ellis, Badge No. 1 2 0210. I'm just going to be out of town September 14th until 3 the 18th. THE COURT: September 14th to the 18th? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Yeah. THE COURT: Where are you going? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: North Carolina to see my 8 mom. Okay. You already have tickets for that 9 THE COURT: 10 and everything or --11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: There -- it's -- I 12 didn't actually pay for it. I have stuff that I have paid for 13 that's out there, but I haven't paid for the actual plane 14 ticket yet because I was waiting on this. 15 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 182: Tyvon Warren, Badge No. 16 17 182. It's going to be a hardship for me because my other 18 manager is going to be out of the store for cervical cancer, and I'm the only other manager that can actually run the 19 20 store. 21 THE COURT: What type of store? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 182: T-Mobile. And she's going to be out for about two to three weeks. 23 24 THE COURT: You're the only -- there's, what, two 25 managers for T-Mobile?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 182: Correct. 1 Two per store. 2 THE COURT: Isn't there like a hundred T-Mobile 3 stores in Las Vegas, though? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 182: There is, but there's 4 5 like I'm a TPR company. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 182: So I don't work for corporate. I work for Sky Communications. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 10 Anyone else in that -- in that row? All the way 11 down to your right. Okay. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: Tim Duran, Badge 0129. 13 I am the only caregiver for my 92-year-old dad, and I drive 14 him to the VA hospital three times a week. That's about it. 15 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: Dana Deiana, Badge No. 16 17 247. At the risk of sounding redundant, Your Honor, I'd have 18 to echo in the statements from some of my contemporaries. I'm in retail sales and I'm not exempt. Most of my income is 19 20 based on commission. I don't receive any paid time off. 21 Currently, I don't have sufficient financial reserves in 22 place, and I don't have an additional source of income. The 23 best I could give you probably would be about a week, week and 24 a half in taking one for the team, but that would be about it. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

1	Anyone else in that row?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 266: Kathleen Colman, 266.
3	My issues are doctors' appointments that have come up since ${\tt I}$
4	filled out the questionnaire. My husband and I both have one
5	on the 10th. He ha one on the 11th at 4:00 and one on the
6	12th at 1:00, and the most important one on the 19th and I
7	need to be with him.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
9	Anyone else in that row? Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 286: Jose Medina, 0286. My
11	only concern is I'm a maintenance technician for a property
12	and there is no other maintenance technician right now. So I
13	would be, you know, as far as work orders or turning vacant
14	units, there is no one else available to do that.
15	THE COURT: Is it for like an apartment complex?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 286: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
18	All right. Anyone else? All right. Okay. Are
19	there any other reasons other than what you've just stated to
20	the Court that you believe would affect your ability to sit in
21	this case, in this particular case, that you haven't told me
22	that you kind of heard as you went along, maybe you thought
23	about it? Anyone else? Okay. In the back row, anybody? No?
24	In the second row?
25	Second row. Go ahead.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: My name is Alex Cordero,
2	Badge No. 022. My partner I've been with for 12 years was a
3	victim of sexual abuse. So just being with someone that over
4	that time period I've seen the instability and mental health
5	from like border personality disorder to bipolar. She's a
6	wonderful person, but I've just kind of seen the damage
7	firsthand that it can do to someone. I, myself, was a victim
8	of sexual abuse when I was much younger. I have just dealt
9	with it and coped with it, but I just I'm not so sure if I
10	could be impartial in that in this particular type of case.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Anyone else? Can you hand
12	that directly up?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I, too, was a victim of
14	sexual
15	THE COURT: Can you name and badge number?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I'm so sorry, Your
17	Honor. Karen Hubbs, 043. I, too, was a victim of sexual
18	abuse in my early teenage years, which I mentioned in my
19	questionnaire. I'm also kind of an amateur sleuth. I saw
20	this on Channel 8. And to be quite honest, being a mother, I
21	think my mind is pretty much made up and I'm pretty I
22	already have my mind done up and it would be a guilty verdict.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
24	Anyone else? Okay. Can you do you mind?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yeah.

Page 98

Thank you so much, Ms. Hubbs. 1 THE COURT: 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 073: Ron Quon, Badge No. 073. 3 Since the 1st I had a little mishap, and this one is scheduled to come off on the 7th, and depending on this one is when this 4 5 cast is coming off. THE COURT: Did you have -- did it happen at the 6 7 same time? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 073: Oh, hell, yeah. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 073: My friend says I don't 11 half-ass nothing. I go full out when I do things. 12 THE COURT: So you have a date to get those taken off? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 073: This one is scheduled to 14 15 come off this Friday. This one is pending. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 073: I've got two breaks or 18 two fractures in this wrist. 19 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 073: And I've got a fractured 21 finger in this hand. 22 THE COURT: All right. What time on Friday? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 073: I believe it's like 9:00 24 in the morning. 25 THE COURT: 9:00?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 073: Yes, sir. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 3 Anyone else? All right. We have some more hands out in the crowd. Right here in the front. Right here in the 4 5 front. Ed, right here in the front. Right here in the dark. 6 Okay. Someone else. Okay. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Camryn, 089. THE COURT: Hold on. Before we go any further, I 8 need to ask Ms. Canales Ruiz, do you mind moving into the back 9 10 with my interpreter --11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: -- so you can -- oh, you've already got 13 set up with the headset? Oh, okay. Perfect. She's going to 14 speak on that, and then I'm going to call you back, okay. All 15 right. Okay. 16 All right. Go ahead. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: When I actually first read this case, I thought that it was one of my friends who 18 was going through a trial or whatever, but, I mean, it turned 19 20 out not to be, obviously. But not through saying anything, 21 just through asking questions about her case. I just wanted to -- but, no, so I just see myself as being biased here just 22 23 because, I mean, she is my -- my best friend and it's very similar stuff. 24 THE COURT: So you're saying it's because you have a 25

friend that's having an issue similar to this matter is that 1 2 -- is that you believe that you could not be --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: 3 Uh-huh. THE COURT: -- fair and impartial in this case 4 5 because of your friend? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: 6 Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: I would say that. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 109: 9 Hi. Erica Solomon, 10 Badge No. 109. I did put in my paperwork questionnaire that I 11 was a victim of sexual abuse long-term from childhood to my 12 late teens. I believe I would do my best to be fair; however, 13 being that it hits so close to home, it just hits close to 14 home. So I'll do my best, I just want to be honest, as well. 15 THE COURT: Do you believe that -- understanding 16 that this is a case that has nothing to do with you --17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 109: Yeah, correct. 18 THE COURT: -- other than the nature of it; is that right? 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 109: Yeah. Yes. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Now, you said you'd do your best 22 to be fair. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 109: 23 Uh-huh. 24 THE COURT: Are you saying that you -- that you 25 think you could still be impartial but you just -- it's just

the nature of the offense is what gives you concern? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 109: Yeah. I mean, I'm 3 pretty stubborn and headstrong, so I feel as if I'm determined to be fair, I will be. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 109: However, just the nature 6 7 of it. And I also put in my paperwork I don't know how well I will do with images. 8 THE COURT: Okay. That's fair. Okay, Ms. Solomon. 9 10 Thank you. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 109: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Anyone else in that -- okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 122: Carolyn Carroll, Badge No. 122. 14 15 THE COURT: Uh-huh. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 122: And I also did put in my 16 17 paper that I have -- related to someone that has gone through 18 sexual abuse. I believe I could still be fair, but I just wanted to make that known and be very honest about it. It was 19 20 pretty painstaking. 21 THE COURT: Okay. So much like what I asked Ms. 22 Solomon. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 122: 23 Yeah. 24 THE COURT: The question is that -- is that even 25 though that you have experience with this, you believe that

1 you can be fair and --

2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 122: I believe I can still be 3 fair.

THE COURT: Here's the whole issue with that is that 4 5 -- is I'm not asking everyone to just disregard their past or 6 anything like that. That's why we asked the questions that we 7 did with respect to the jury questionnaires. Just the question of if you think that there's something in the past 8 9 that will affect you, that's what we want to know about. In 10 the sense -- the best question is if you're seated in the 11 defendant's position, and knowing what you know about what's 12 happened with your friend, do you think you would want 12 13 individuals with your same frame of mind seated in the jury --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 122: 14 No. 15 THE COURT: -- if they were trying you? Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 122: 16 No. 17 THE COURT: And why is that? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 122: I don't think that it 19 would be maybe fair. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's the whole issue. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 122: Yeah. 21 22 So you're saying that you don't think THE COURT: 23 you could be fair? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 122: I don't think so. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay. Because of your experience or

2824

your friend's experience, are you saying that you -- basically 1 2 the defendant is starting out in a tougher position simply because of what you know about your situation? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 122: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. That's -- that's fair. 6 All right. Okay. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 124: Lorie Pair, Badge 124. I was a victim of sexual abuse as a younger age and 8 I feel like that I probably wouldn't be a fair individual in 9 10 the case. I feel like that you would have to prove his not being guilty versus the other way around. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. Okay, thank you. Anyone else in that front row? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 324: Jose Gutierrez, Badge 14 15 My girlfriend had the same thing when she was little. 324. 16 She came from another country. And yeah, I don't know how --17 how I will judge it. 18 THE COURT: Because the information that you know about your girlfriend you're saying that you don't think you 19 20 could be fair here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 324: Yeah. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 23 Anyone else in that row? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 321: Cassandra Lucero, 321. 25 All three of my sister-in-laws have been sexually abused and

they have confided in me many stories, and I've seen how it 1 2 has affected them. I don't know how open-minded I can be 3 knowing what I know about them. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 4 5 All right. So we're back to --MS. HOLTHUS: There's another one, Judge. 6 7 THE COURT: -- Ms. Ruiz, are you able to -- are you able hear the interpreter? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Yes. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Perfect. So the question I asked is about a couple of them; I asked if whether or not serving as a 13 14 juror for a period of time in this matter would affect you 15 with an undue burden upon you. And then you said that you had issues with English, 16 17 and that's how we got to you. And so if you were to serve as 18 a juror here, if you were -- if I asked for your service for about four weeks, would that affect you -- would that put an 19 20 undue burden on you? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: I'm try to speak 22 English. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: No, I just no. But at 25 this moment we have passed the (indecipherable) for Mrs. -- I

1 don't know who's the name -- my brother have court and my
2 family's -- it's really hard.

3 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm really sorry, Ms. Ruiz, 4 I didn't hear what you said, something about your brother?

5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Yes. My brother's a 6 real good person. She -- he has degree. He's no bad person, 7 but his girlfriend accused my brother and -- and at this time 8 I am feeling bad for (indecipherable).

9 THE COURT: Do you mind -- do you mind speaking in 10 Spanish so the interpreter -- because I'm having a hard time 11 understanding. Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: (Through Interpreter).
My brother was accused -- is being accused of touching a girl
in [inaudible].

15 THE COURT: Okay. Currently?

16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Ten years ago.

17 THE INTERPRETER: Oh, ten years ago.

18 THE COURT: Okay. And was that here in Las Vegas?19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Yes.

20 THE COURT: Is there any -- what happened in that

21 case?

22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Right now, I cannot23 explain at this time.

24THE COURT: Well, what happened to your brother?25PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: He's not in jail.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Awaiting sentence. 3 THE COURT: So did he plead to something or did he go trial or? 4 5 THE INTERPRETER: Court's indulgence. THE COURT: Um-hum. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: The charges were filed this week, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Oh, just now? Okay. Okay, and it's 9 10 your position based on what you know of that case that you 11 think would affect your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: I don't feel I'm capable 12 13 of doing it. I cry and cry, constantly. 14 THE COURT: Is it because of the case involving your 15 brother? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 320: Yes. That's the first 16 17 time. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Okay, all right. Thank you. Anyone else in that row? Anyone in the second row? 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 545: My name is Moises, Badge 21 No. 545. Some of the people in this room, my wife was 22 molested when she was a child. And after that, we remarried 23 for four years and it's always been a struggle because the way 24 mentally she's affected. And I have a three-year old 25 daughter, and my -- the videos that you guys going to show,

2828

1 I'm already really mad and irritated, just annoyed to hear 2 what this [inaudible] going to be about.

3 THE COURT: You're already irritated about the case
4 because of the nature of the crime?

5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 545: Yes, because partially6 [inaudible] and [inaudible].

THE COURT: What's --

7

8

9

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 545: His uncle, the molester. THE COURT: Uh-huh.

10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 545: He was never thrown in 11 jail or judged and it's really hard and I don't know how to 12 like go about it.

13 THE COURT: You don't think you can set that aside? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 545: Well, I don't think and 15 even watch the videos and then look at my daughter.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

17 Anyone else in the second row?

18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 266: Kathleen Colman, 266. I 19 saw this case when it was on the news and was extremely upset 20 by it. I don't feel that I can be impartial. And I know I 21 definitely could not watch the videos.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
Anyone else in that row?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 250: Yes, Daniel Ferreira,
0250. I feel as though I wouldn't really be that fair because

I had a family member that was sexually abused and I just feel 1 2 there's no -- I -- I couldn't be fair enough if I was picked 3 as a juror, because I've seen what my -- you know, my family member went through and it was -- it took a lot out of her. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 250: Okay. 6 7 THE COURT: Anyone else in that -- in the back? Can you just pass that -- can you pass that to your right, sir? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 250: Oh, I'm sorry. 9 10 THE COURT: I'm just trying to keep -- make sure we 11 don't -- ma'am, raise your hand. There you go. That's --12 that's who it was. Okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Erica Urias, Badge No. 133. I have three children and I don't know if I'd be able to 14 15 watches the images or view images and be fair if I'm unable to view the content and the evidence. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else in that row? Okay, sir? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Dave Moffat, 150. 19 20 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: As I put in my 22 paperwork, when I was younger, I was abused. It still affects 23 I'm 61, and it still affects me. So to be fair, me. 24 impartial, I couldn't do it. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Trevor Ellis, 0210. 1 Mv 2 fiancé is a victim of sexual abuse also and I can't do the video footage either. I've tried it before. I actually took 3 a class at as CSN and the professor actually put on a film 4 5 that showed a 12-year old getting raped and I couldn't do 6 that. I had to just drop the class altogether. 7 THE COURT: You had a class where a teacher showed a 12-year --8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Yeah. 9 10 THE COURT: -- old being raped? At what school? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: That was at CSN. 11 THE COURT: Where? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: At CSN. 13 THE COURT: CSN? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: Yeah. THE COURT: What's the teacher's name? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: I don't -- I don't 17 remember. That was like 2012. It's not an actual like --18 like -- like child porn or anything. It was like a movie that 19 20 had -- that had a scene and the --21 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 210: -- that involved it, 23 but, you know. 24 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay, that kind of 25 raised some flags up on there. If somebody's showing that

1 kind of -- okay, all right.

2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: Hi, Your Honor. Breena 3 Hopkins. THE COURT: Uh-huh. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 216: And the Badge No. is 6 216. I have two boys, so I don't think I would be fair just 7 of the nature of the case. Also, I'm just going to be honest, I'm not going to feel comfortable watching the video. 8 I don't think I would be able to watch it personally. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else? 11 No one else. Okay. All right. 12 THE COURT: Has anyone in this panel ever engaged in 13 law enforcement work, or have a spouse or close relative that engaged in law enforcement work? 14 15 All right. Let's start in the back row. My Marshal used to be like 400 pounds until he 16 carried the mic around. Look at him now. Works -- works 17 18 good, don't it? Okay. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Kate Gorski, No. 011. 20 I'm a 911 Dispatcher for Las Vegas Metro Police. My mom is a 21 retired investigative specialist with Metro. And my dad's a retired police officer. And my grandfather was an officer in 22 23 California. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about your 25 employment, you family's employment --

2832

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I remember my mom talking about this case. She actually worked on some of the investigation with this particular case --

THE COURT: Okay.

5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: -- a matter of years ago 6 before she retired. I recognized the names immediately when 7 read about it.

8

25

4

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I don't know if my dad 9 10 had any involvement with it. I take calls daily from victims 11 that report crimes like this. I don't know if that would 12 affect my ability to be fair and impartial in this, but I 13 can't stay away from the media with the nature of my job we 14 are aware of any incidents going on 24/7. We can't really 15 close our -- our minds off to the media or anything over the 16 course of a trial, because I'm -- I can't do that with the 17 job that I work at.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I -- I take calls like 20 this all the time from victims reporting cases like this and 21 it --

22 THE COURT: Okay.

23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: -- it's hard to get 24 those victims' voices out of my head.

THE COURT: So is there -- is there anything about

what you do or your relationship with your family you think 1 2 that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I'm my mom's daughter. 3 THE COURT: Um-hum. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I actually aspire to 6 test someday for the position that she held on the Department, and I -- I remember a lot of what she talked about when she 7 worked in the Bureau that she did. And I have an 8 investigative mind, I have a curious mind. I like to seek out 9 10 answers and I -- I don't know that I wouldn't in the course of 11 this trial, try to --12 THE COURT: What do you mean by that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I like to know as much 13 14 information as possible. 15 THE COURT: Okay. So you're telling me that you may 16 do some investigation on your own? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: No, I mean, if I was 18 directed not to. I mean, I wouldn't --THE COURT: Well, you will be --19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: -- go ahead and --21 THE COURT: -- if you make it to the jury. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: It's hard, because I 23 work -- I -- I mean, all my friends are people that I work 24 with. 25 THE COURT: Um-hum.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: And so I don't know if I 1 2 would accidently hear something, something like that --THE COURT: 3 Um-hum. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: -- so. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Well, my question though is that, do you think that it would affect your ability to be fair and 6 7 impartial? Like, if you heard something that affected you, I would expect that you would tell me, and then we'd deal with 8 9 it here. But I mean, is there something in your past you 10 think that -- that -- I mean, are you starting out already 11 saying there's no way that I can, you know, he's already --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: It's hard for me to have 13 an impartial view of crimes such as this when you hear --14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: -- when I hear the victim's side of it most of the days that I work. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else in that row? 20 Anybody? No, no, no. Anybody in the second row? Right --21 right in front of you, ma'am. Okay, you've got it. All 22 right. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: George Ward, Badge No. 24 036. Current prosecutor, most recently with the Henderson 25 City Attorney's Office, and it's -- my full career was

entirely composed of being a prosecutor with the one exception
 of the stint that I was Judge Mosley's law clerk.

THE COURT: Okay. So -- all right. Is there anything about that you think that would affect your ability -- other than -- I mean, we're going to talk to you later, but besides that, do you think it would affect your ability to be fair and impartial?

8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: No, I don't think so.
 9 THE COURT: Oh, okay. All right. Thank you, Mr.
 10 Ward.

11 Can you pass that to your right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Chelsea Stuenkel, 040.THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: I'm currently employed with the Nevada Department of Public Safety seven years as a State Trooper, and the last year as a Parole and Probation Officer.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that you think would affect your ability to be fair and impartial here? 19 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: No, sir. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Stuenkel. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Um-hum. 23 Anyone in the third row? Can you hand THE COURT: 24 that right forward? Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: My name is Robert

25

McDermitt, 049. I worked for California Housing Authority for 1 2 three-and-a-half years, and currently I'm an investigator at the Cosmopolitan where I'm a video specialist. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: So I have extreme 6 knowledge and I rely heavily on video. 7 THE COURT: Is there anything about what you do for work you think would affect your ability to be fair and 8 impartial here? 9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No. My wife was also 11 sexually assaulted, so that may have. But as far as -- like I 12 said, I rely heavily on video --13 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: -- and I believe what I 14 15 see. When you say, it may have, though, with 16 THE COURT: 17 regards to your -- your wife's past, did you know her when she 18 -- that happened to her? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No, I didn't. 20 THE COURT: So it's -- it's what she --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: But I've been married to 21 22 her for 20 years --23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: -- and she lives it 25 weekly, yeah.

1 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: She can -- she's 2 3 constantly talking to me about it and going over her experiences. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Are you able to set that aside 6 though and hear the evidence --7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I don't know if I could. THE COURT: -- here? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I don't know. 9 I can't 10 say "yes" for sure. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Do you think that you would 12 automatically vote one way simply because of what happened before --13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No, not --15 THE COURT: -- to your wife? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: -- necessarily, no. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Would you wait and listen to all 18 the evidence before you make your decision? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yeah, but like I said, I 20 rely heavily on video and I believe what I see. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's evidence. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yeah, yeah. 23 THE COURT: And so what I'm talking about is --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Absolutely. But I'm 25 saying just strictly off video I could make my determination

rather than as far as evidence, other evidence. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. My more concern was is that your 3 statement about your wife --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: -- I want to know did -- are you coming in here with the -- with a --6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I haven't come in with any perceived notion --8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: -- at all, no. 11 THE COURT: All right. Okay. That's what I --12 okay, all right. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: All right. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? Okay, Ms. 15 Hubbs? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: 16 Hi. Ms. Hubbs, 043. My 17 father-in-law was Commander of Metro Intelligence for 25 18 years, Preston E. Hubbs. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about your 20 relationship with your father-in-law you think would affect 21 your ability to be fair and impartial here? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: No. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: More concerned about the 25 videos.

THE COURT: Okay. And what do you mean? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I'm very disturbed that 3 I would have the opportunity to watch something that was so heinous. 4 5 THE COURT: Well, you haven't seen it yet. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I've heard about it. 6 7 And --THE COURT: You've heard about it? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yeah, on the -- on the 9 10 news they said --11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: -- you know, what they 13 said on the news. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Next? And someone 14 15 else I think that was in that row. Yeah, there she is. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Karen Ackerman, Badge 17 No. 052. Just full disclosure, that I used to work with law 18 enforcement when I arrested shoplifters in a retail situation. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So you were like a loss 20 prevention officer? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Exactly. 22 THE COURT: Okay. For a retail store here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yes. 23 24 THE COURT: And you worked with law enforcement 25 officers under those occasions?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yes. 1 2 THE COURT: Is there anything about that you think 3 would affect your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: No, sir. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 Thank you. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Jim Freund, Badge No. 7 070. Thirty-five years law enforcement, recently retired from 8 I was a supervising homicide detective of a variety of LAPD. 9 units and teams. For many years, I also supervised a sexual 10 crimes -- sexual assault crimes unit in the LAPD as well. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: And prior to being a 13 supervising detective I've worked a variety of investigations that includes sexual assault crimes and homicide. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about what you used to do that you think would affect your ability to be fair 16 17 and impartial? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: No, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So you can keep an open mind in 20 this matter? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Yes, sir. 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 23 Anyone else in that row, in the front row? Okay. 24 All right. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 073: Ron Quon, Badge -- Badge

073. I used to be a former police officer in (indecipherable)
 California.

THE COURT: How long ago was that?

3

4

14

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 073: Back 2003, five years.

5 THE COURT: Well, how far did you get in -- how --6 how high did you get in your rank?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 073: Well, I did patrol.
Sometimes I'd work a gang unit, and part of the gang unit is
we also did some vice work.

10 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Is there anything 11 about your former employment as a police officer you think 12 would affect your ability to be fair and impartial here? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 073: No.

THE COURT: All right. Okay, thank you.

Anyone else in the front row with regards to law enforcement? To the left -- okay, that's fine.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 327: Johnie Williams, 0327.
Brother-in-law is retired California highway
patrolman, currently now he works for Merced County as a
Sheriff. That's it.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about your relationship with your brother-in-law that you think would affect your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 327: He tells me stories. Or right now he's [inaudible] Merced County Sheriff, delivers

2842

warrants and -- and as a retired cop, so. He tells me what he 1 2 -- what goes on with family disturbances and stuff like that. 3 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 327: And --4 5 THE COURT: Is there anything about that you think would --6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 327: No. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. 8 Anyone else in that front row? 9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: Josephine Churchill, 11 Badge No. 342. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: My father was military police army. My husband was SWAT, Detective for the Anaheim 14 15 Police Department 30 years. I was the -- in the records division in Santa Ana PD. And my daughter works for the 16 17 government at the test site. 18 THE COURT: Is there anything about what you used to 19 do or what your father or your husband or -- or is it your 20 daughter? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: Yes, daughter. 22 THE COURT: About --23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 342: No, there nothing that I 24 would look at the evidence and whatever was presented and 25 figure out what was right and wrong.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. 1 2 Anyone else, in the -- in this side over here? No 3 one? Over to the left? All right. We had someone in the front row. Ed? 4 Okay. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Heidi Johnson, Badge 6 081. My father is a retired Metro along with two of my 7 cousins. My husband was a municipal court judge for ten years, and many of my friends are with Metro. 8 9 THE COURT: Is there anything about your 10 relationship with your husband or your family members and what 11 they used to do, that would affect your ability to be fair and 12 impartial here? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No. 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Johnson. 15 Anyone else on that side? All right. Right behind 16 you. You're up. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Dave Moffat, Badge No. 17 18 My brother-in-law is retired lieutenant commanders in 150. 19 California Highway Patrol and [inaudible] patrol. And his 20 [inaudible]. 21 THE COURT: Is there anything about that 22 relationship with your family that -- and what they do you --23 would affect your ability to be fair and impartial here? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: No, not on that one. 25 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.

MS. RADOSTA: I'm sorry, Judge. Could we just -- we 1 2 missed his Badge Number. 3 THE COURT: It's David Moffat, Badge 150. MS. RADOSTA: 4 Thank you. 5 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 245: Hello, Judge. 6 Michael 7 Bailey, Badge No. 245. 8 I currently am living with a parole officer here in 9 Nevada for the past 15 years. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about your 11 living with this parole officer that would affect your ability 12 to be fair and impartial --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 245: I don't believe so. 13 THE COURT: -- in this case? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 245: No, sir. THE COURT: What's that? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 245: I believe -- no, sir. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. All right. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 245: 19 Um --20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 245: -- also for disclosure, 22 I have a cousin that was involved in a sexual abuse case about 23 40 years ago. 24 THE COURT: How long ago? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 245: About 40 years ago.

1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 245: When he was very --3 eight years old. THE COURT: Is there anything about what you know of 4 5 that case you think would affect your ability to be fair and impartial here? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 245: I don't think so. Т would view the evidence first before I made a decision. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Okay, thank you. 9 10 I have someone else had their hands up over here, 11 Ed. Ed? Oh, sorry. Okay, go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Christina Kyker, 100. 12 13 My husband's a former shift sergeant at NDOC for Southern Desert Correctional Center. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about what he used to do as a -- is he still there? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: No, he's now a Federal 17 18 prisoner at FCI Bastrop. 19 THE COURT: What did you say? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: He's now a federal 21 prisoner at FCI Bastrop. 22 THE COURT: Oh, okay. I thought you said he was a 23 former --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: He was. He used to 25 run --

THE COURT: -- and now he's a --1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: -- Southern Desert --THE COURT: -- federal prisoner? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Um-hum. 4 5 THE COURT: Well, from one extreme to the other, huh? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: 18 years military and law enforcement and I got a call from Metro one day. 8 9 THE COURT: Wow. Is there anything about what you 10 know of that situation you think would affect your ability to 11 be fair and impartial here? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: His didn't include this, 13 so no. THE COURT: Okay, Okay, all right. Thank you, Ms. 14 15 Kyker. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Hi, I'm Stephanie 16 17 Taylor, and I'm Badge 005. I do have a nephew who is a parol 18 officer -- a patrol officer in Anaheim, California. 19 I don't think that would be a conflict at all, 20 however, I'm an elementary school principal. I've been with 21 the Clark County School District for 25 years. And I do deal 22 with school police, Metro, Department of Family Services from 23 time to time regarding cases of child abuse and neglect. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: And I think this would

be difficult for me to be completely impartial. When I've had 1 2 to deal with small children dealing with abuse of a [inaudible] adult, I find that they're usually very, very 3 4 honest. So, okay. 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Jill Pressman, No. 012. 6 7 Just for disclosure, I work for the State of Nevada in Human I do human resource training which includes 8 Resources. Highway Patrol, Metro -- Highway Patrol and Probation. Also, 9 10 Child -- people who work in Child --THE COURT: CPS? 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- in child areas, and I 13 teach sexual harassment as a specific course. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that, you 15 think would affect your ability to be fair and impartial --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I'm a little bit --16 THE COURT: -- here? 17 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- on the zero tolerance 19 policy and I preach zero tolerance. And so --20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- that could affect my 22 ability in terms of if I believe there's a case, I believe 23 very much in zero tolerance. I'm not very forgiving on it. 24 THE COURT: Well, isn't zero tolerance basically 25 once you've made up your mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Well, no, in terms of --1 2 THE COURT: Or, I mean, do you start out beforehand 3 with just an accusation that, I'm zero tolerance, and if you're accused of it, you're -- you're good for it; is that 4 5 what you're saying? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Well, normally, when there's evidence that would show it --7 8 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- I'm normally for 9 10 [inaudible] -- I'm normally for the evidence if there's a case 11 against it. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: But I believe -- I teach 14 the zero tolerance policy that if you do something, and you 15 say you didn't know it was against the policy, I feel like you should have known it was a policy. You've signed off on it. 16 17 And you kind of know what's right and wrong. And I have a true problem with -- with that. 18 THE COURT: 19 Okay. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: A little bit justice. 21 THE COURT: You have a problem --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Well, I teach --23 THE COURT: I -- I'm having a --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- Human -- I -- how I 24 25 explain it is --

1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- I work in Human 3 Resources. THE COURT: Okay. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I see all kinds of cases. I teach a sexual harassment course. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: And we talk about the importance of had -- keeping your hands to yourself and not --9 10 and following the policy and all that. THE COURT: But if you had nothing to support 11 12 somebody making an allegation that -- that a person didn't 13 keep their hands to their self you would --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Then -- then --14 15 THE COURT: -- still take the --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- I would support they 16 17 were -- they were not guilty. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's the issue we're 19 getting at. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Correct. 21 THE COURT: But it sounded to me when you start out 22 saying, I have a zero tolerance, that means that an accusation 23 and a --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No, you're right. 25 THE COURT: -- that's enough.

2850

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: You're correct on that. 1 2 Correct. 3 Okay. So you would require the State to THE COURT: still prove the case, would you not? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Absolutely. THE COURT: Okay. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I just have --THE COURT: But you're just saying that --8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- [inaudible] in my 9 10 background. THE COURT: -- if you make that decision, based on 11 12 what you saw, then basically you're zero tolerance as a matter 13 that -- it would be something that's left up to me --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Correct. 14 15 THE COURT: -- right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: 16 Correct. 17 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Absolutely. 19 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I appreciate that. And just so the record -- it's -- it's -- once again, 20 Ms. Pressman? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Correct. 22 23 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to put "zero tolerance" 24 here, okay? All right. Okay. 25 Anyone else? All right. There we go.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Hi. Robert Clawson, 1 2 025. Just for disclosure, my younger sister is a corrections officer out at Indian Springs. My brother-in-law is retired 3 Metro Lieutenant, was the head of Homicide. 4 5 THE COURT: Who that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Raymond Steiber. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay, yep. Is he retired? I though the 8 just --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yeah, he retired --9 10 THE COURT: Maybe it's his son. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: -- actually about three 12 years ago. 13 THE COURT: Is it is son Ray Ray that just --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yeah, Raymond and 14 15 Zachary which are cousins, are active Metro. 16 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I've got several friends 17 18 on the Force, as I mentioned earlier. I'm in the event business, so I deal with East, whether it's Henderson, 19 20 University or Metro. 21 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Almost on a daily basis. 22 23 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 24 Well, let me ask you; is there anything about your 25 relationship with Metro and the relationships that you have

with your family that are part of that, that you think would 1 2 affect your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No, sir. 3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. 4 5 Anyone else? Okay. 6 Is there anyone that believes that they could not 7 follow the instructions and the Court -- by the Court if the instructions they feel were different from what their concepts 8 9 of what they believe the law ought to be? 10 I'll give you an example. The best example I always

11 use is in the State of Nevada if you grew up here in the State 12 of Nevada or if you've been here in the State of Nevada for a 13 number of years, we used to not have a speed limit in the 14 State of Nevada.

And so people used to drive from here to Reno and those straight roads, as fast as their car would take them. My dad -- I used to joke about him. It's kind of dumb now, but my dad had a stick he used to stick between the seat and the gas pedal and that was his cruise control. That's how -as far as that car would go, we would go.

And then the law came out with the 55 and it said that you can't go past 55 in the State of Nevada. Well, most people that fought that and argued against it were the individuals that live in the rural areas because they -basically their position was is that it can't apply to us. 1 Those people don't know anything about Nevada.

And if you look at the geographical makeup of Nevada, Nevada is a big state. And there's parts of Nevada you could see for miles out there in front of you. And so their argument was, is well, how could they possibly say that we can't go fifty -- I mean, we have to 55 here? And so, it can't apply to us.

8 So if they were seated on a jury and -- and I 9 instructed them that the law says you can't -- that if you go 10 faster than 55, that you're breaking the law. And the 11 question that the jury would be determining the facts is 12 whether or not the person went faster than 55, and you say, 13 yeah, okay, they went 60. I'll accept that.

But then you say, you know what, I know the law -the Court's telling me that -- that 55 is the law, and I'm fine in 60, but I don't care, I can't find him guilty because I don't think that that law applies to us.

That's what I'm talking about, when -- when the question is, is are you able to follow my instructions on the law, even if the instructions differ from your personal concepts of what you believe the law ought to be? Is there anyone that -- does everyone understand that, that's what that is? Is there anyone that doesn't understand that?

Okay. Is there anyone that would say, no, I can't follow the law, Judge. There'd be times that I believe that 1 you might instruct me but I can't really give you an answer 2 right now, but I won't follow your instructions. That's 3 basically what you'd be telling me.

4 Is there anyone that would say that? Okay. No one 5 has raised their hand on that.

6 So just as a follow-up with that is that if you're 7 telling me that you can follow my instructions, anyone who feels that they cannot be fact finders and follow my 8 instructions in this matter? And if they take my -- take the 9 10 oath, and you sit on my jury, and then you get back in the jury room and say, you know what, I know the Judge says that 11 12 this is the law, but I can't follow it, then you'd be 13 violating your oath.

14Does everyone understand that? All right. No one15has -- okay.

Under our system of justice here, certain principles of law apply in every criminal trial. They are, A, that the Information, or in this case, it's actually a Second Amended Information, is that it's a mere accusation, it's no evidence of the truth, or evidence of the guilt of the defendant.

Does everyone understand that? Okay, it's just a -it's just an accusation saying this is what we believe we can prove. Does everyone understand that?

Okay. That the defendant is presumed innocent. Ashe sits here right now, he is innocent. Does anyone have a

1 problem with that?

2	The reason why I ask that now is because I know that
3	you've had an opportunity to review the questionnaire here.
4	You understand when the State got up and gave the statement of
5	facts that they believe they could prove is that that's
6	evidence that they have to be that they have to present,
7	and you as a jury would make the determination whether or not
8	they've actually presented that evidence.
9	And so right now, if you sit here with the
10	determination that he's guilty simply because the State says
11	this is what we believe we have, you're not giving him the
12	protections that we have under our system. Does everyone
13	understand that? Does everyone understand that?
14	Okay. And the last precept is the State must prove
15	that the defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Okay.
16	In civil trials, the standard is is less than what's in
17	criminal trials.
18	Now, it's not beyond all doubt. It's beyond
19	reasonable doubt, and you'll be instructed on what the actual
20	definition of that is.
21	For those of you that have been in trials before you
22	may understand that there's a different standard between a
23	civil trial and a criminal trial. And you'll have an
24	opportunity to discuss that further if you'd like.
25	Does anyone not understand or believe these

2856

believe in these concepts of American jurisprudence? Anyone?
 All right.

Does anyone know anything about the case other than what was stated here in the courtroom today? We've heard some of you say that you've heard about it on the news. Has anyone not told us anything that they've heard about other than what they've already said?

8 Okay. All right. Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, it's 9 now 2:30. I'm going to give everybody a break and I want to 10 -- although you're not my jury yet, I need to read you this 11 admonishment; okay? And then I'll talk to you a little bit 12 about it before I let you take a break.

During this recess, you're admonished not to talk to or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial.

That means when you go out in the hall, don't get talking with each other about what's happening in here. Don't call anybody on the phone and say, hey, I'm down here in the jury trial and -- and this is the case and this is the case, what it's about, and explain to them what you've heard and that kind of thing.

Don't get on Facebook and take a picture of yourself and say, I'm in front of Courtroom -- Department 19 and -- and this is where I'm at, and the Judge is super funny; don't do things like that; okay? Don't go out in the hall and get on your Internet and find out anything about the case. Don't do any independent research. Don't try to find out who Mr. Sweetin, or Ms. Holthus, or Ms. -- Ms. Radosta, or Mr. Negrete is, or even the defendant. Don't look up my name. Don't do anything like that; okay?

7 When you're done with this case, you can do all you 8 want. You can find out all -- all you can about us if you'd 9 like. But at this point in time, do not do that.

You're not to read, watch or listen to any report or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial, by any medium of information, including without limitation to newspapers, television, the Internet or radio.

Now, we obviously can't follow you around all the time. We can't obviously have somebody behind you 24 hours a day to find out whether or not you're going to listen to my admonishment. You're on the honor system.

18 But I will tell you, with social media now, and with 19 the Internet, we have an ability to find out a little bit more 20 than some people really realize. We've had situations in cases where individuals have been found communicating on 21 22 Facebook when they're not supposed to, talking about the case, 23 talking about the trial. Then once again, like I say, they 24 end up up here, and then I'm questioning them, and on 25 occasion, they've went out that door; okay?

2858

So if you see something on the -- on the news or you -- you are looking at a newspaper and you see something in the newspaper that you think has something to do with this, I'm asking you to walk away from it. Don't try to confirm whether or not it's something to do with this.

Just -- if you think it is, walk away from it. You'll have an opportunity later to always go back and look and see what you might have missed. And if you hear something on the radio or you -- once again, if you're watching television and you see something that comes up, walk away from it, turn it off, if you can.

If somebody else is in the room and they're watching it, you just walk away from it. If somebody approaches you and tries to talk to you about this, tries to, you know, burn your ears out about what you're doing, trying to investigate on their own, please walk away from them. If they persist, then let my Marshal know.

Once again, you're not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until it's finally submitted to you.

Although you're not my jurors yet, we're in the process of this, and I'm asking you to follow my admonishment; all right?

It's 25 'till. I'll give you 15 minutes, so we'll be about 10 'till. Go ahead and go to the restroom. If you

want to get anything to drink, you can bring it in with you as 1 2 long as you have a lid on it; all right? Does anyone have any questions, before you leave? 3 Any questions? No? Remember where you're seated. Remember 4 5 where you're seated. When you come back in, sit back in the same seat that you're in when you're leaving now; okay? 6 7 Take anything you've brought in with you; okay? We'll be at ease while the jury exists the 8 9 courtroom. 10 (Pause in the proceedings) THE COURT: Yeah. You'll -- you'll need to leave 11 12 the courtroom; okay? 13 (Prospective jurors exit at 2:39 P.M.) (Outside the presence of the prospective jurors) 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. We're outside the presence of the 16 jury. I'm going to use the restroom and then when we come 17 back in and maybe we'll talk about some of these -- some of 18 the individuals now? 19 Yeah. Oh, and we found another one MS. RADOSTA: 20 that was stipulated kicked out that --21 THE COURT: Okay, cool. 22 MS. RADOSTA: -- is here, so. 23 THE COURT: Just let me know and then I'll -- okay? 24 So --25 MS. RADOSTA: And also, is there a way -- Mr. Sena

2860

didn't -- he ate at 3:00 A.M. --1 2 THE COURT: Okay. MS. RADOSTA: -- and then didn't have lunch because 3 of the timing. They said that they could grab lunch during 4 5 our break but we thought actually --THE COURT: I heard they were bringing up a lunch --6 7 bag lunch or something? 8 MS. RADOSTA: I don't know if they did or not. 9 That's all. 10 THE CORRECTIONS OFFICER: We will. 11 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. That's all. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MS. RADOSTA: I mean, I ate breakfast, but it was more like around 7:30 so. 14 15 THE COURT: Oh, they did? The other CO, before she left for her 16 THE CLERK: lunch break, they called [inaudible] said to bring it up, but 17 18 I don't know [inaudible]. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Did you guys bring a bag lunch up 20 for him? 21 THE CORRECTIONS OFFICER: No, I didn't bring one, 22 no. I'll go down right now. 23 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, go do that 24 then. 25 MS. RADOSTA: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and take a 1 2 quick restroom break and then we'll come back in and we'll go 3 over these; okay? MS. RADOSTA: Okie dokie. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. (Court recessed at 2:40 P.M. until 2:53 P.M.) 6 7 (Outside the presence of the prospective jurors) THE COURT: All right. We're back on the record in 8 the case of State of Nevada versus Christopher Sena, Case No. 9 10 C-311453. We're outside the presence of the jury. I'd like 11 the record to reflect the presence of the defendant and his 12 counsel -- go ahead and have a seat, guys --13 MS. RADOSTA: Thank you. THE COURT: -- and the State and their counsel. 14 15 At this point in time, do you want to discuss the 16 challenges, any challenges at this time? MS. RADOSTA: Sorry, Judge. Give me a second. 17 18 For the record, it's our belief that No. 3 -- I'm sorry, Badge No. 3 and Badge No. 22, were already stipulated 19 20 by both sides to be --21 MS. HOLTHUS: 22, who is it? 22 MS. RADOSTA: Mr. Cordero. 23 MS. HOLTHUS: On what basis? 24 MS. RADOSTA: Oh, we just have "stipulated" next to 25 him on ours. He said first of all he's out of -- he -- he had 1 said on his form he was out of state --

2 MS. HOLTHUS: Oh, okay. -- mid-September. Then he also -- he 3 MS. RADOSTA: also shared that he himself is a sex assault victim, his 4 5 partner's a sex assault victim, but I just have on my form, and on the list from Mr. Sweetin, that he was one of them that 6 7 we had agreed --MS. HOLTHUS: I don't -- I don't --8 -- I believe. 9 MS. RADOSTA: 10 MS. HOLTHUS: -- I didn't -- I didn't write the "out 11 of state" by him. I didn't -- if that was the case, I don't 12 have that notes by him. What's his badge number. 13 MS. RADOSTA: 22. 22. 14 MS. HOLTHUS: 15 MS. RADOSTA: Badge No. 22. Yeah, he would have 16 been (indecipherable). Yeah, what did I put him over here 17 MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah, he's out of town. 18 for. MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 19 In Texas. I did have --20 MS. HOLTHUS: 21 MS. RADOSTA: So --22 -- I did put him in the agreed upon. MS. HOLTHUS: So Number 3 and Number -- and Number 3. 23 THE COURT: 24 MS. RADOSTA: She also shared with us that she has a 25 vacation planned.

2863

THE COURT: Yeah, she --1 2 MS. RADOSTA: But she was already --THE COURT: Yeah, it's Marlen Garner. So No. 3, 3 Badge No. 3, Marlen Garner, and Badge No. 22, Alex Cordero 4 5 will be excused; okay? Thank you. 6 MS. RADOSTA: 7 MS. HOLTHUS: Yes. MS. RADOSTA: And then beyond that --8 MS. HOLTHUS: Gorski, did she put that on her 9 10 questionnaire? She says she's out of state 9/19 to 9/25. 11 Kathleen Gorski. 12 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah, she -- we have it written down from her --13 MS. HOLTHUS: Did you agree to let her go? 14 15 MS. RADOSTA: -- but she is also -- she has also previously worked with -- she's a Metro 911 dispatch. Her 16 whole family -- her mom worked --17 18 MS. HOLTHUS: Right. 19 MS. RADOSTA: -- with both Ms. Holthus and Mr. 20 Sweetin --21 MS. HOLTHUS: Well, I'm not agreeing to anything 22 other than if she's out of state for those dates at this 23 point. 24 MS. RADOSTA: We, I think, offered her as somebody 25 and at the time the State was not willing to agree, but that

was based -- that was the basis for our offering was her 1 2 vacation schedule. (Pause in the proceedings) 3 THE COURT: What date is the 19th? 4 5 MS. RADOSTA: I think it's a Wednesday through like 6 a Sunday. 7 THE COURT: Okay. We'll have to probably clarify 8 what she means by that, well, is she traveling, is she flying, does she have prearranged -- she just said here today out of 9 10 state from 9/19 to the 25th. 11 MS. RADOSTA: Um-hum. 12 THE COURT: So --13 MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah. THE COURT: -- all right, let's just go through 14 15 Okay, let's start at the beginning; all right? these. 16 MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. THE COURT: No. 1, Adam Dunbar, he said he had 17 financial issues and he's a sole provider and he's the only 18 19 chef. He didn't weigh in on anything else other than what was said on the -- on the questionnaire. 20 21 So based on just my questions, do you have any 22 grounds for -- for excusing Mr. Dunbar --MS. RADOSTA: At this point --23 24 THE COURT: -- either party? 25 MS. RADOSTA: -- I would say no.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 2 MS. RADOSTA: I mean, I -- honestly, I think he'd probably be better suited for a different trial just because 3 of the financial issues, but he --4 5 THE COURT: Yeah, I know. MS. RADOSTA: -- hasn't said anything that really --6 7 THE COURT: Okay. MS. RADOSTA: -- you know? 8 MS. HOLTHUS: Don't chefs work at night, by the way? 9 10 THE COURT: What about Lindsay Blood? She's a stay-11 at-home mother, five children, financial hardships. 12 MS. HOLTHUS: I'm not there yet. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Radosta? 14 MS. RADOSTA: Oh, sorry. 15 THE COURT: Do you agree? 16 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah, at this --17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MS. RADOSTA: -- point, no, I don't think --THE COURT: Okay. 19 20 MS. RADOSTA: -- she's said anything that --21 THE COURT: Garner's excused. Stephanie Taylor, 22 said that she's a -- nephew --23 She's a principal. MS. HOLTHUS: 24 THE COURT: -- of a patrol officer --25 MS. RADOSTA: She was the school principal.

THE COURT: -- in Anaheim, California, and she could 1 2 be fair and all that; so okay? MS. RADOSTA: She actually said that her being a 3 school principal, she thinks it would make it very difficult 4 5 for her to be fair and --6 THE COURT: Okay, yeah. 7 MS. RADOSTA: -- I'm not sure if she actually said, difficult to be fair and impartial --8 THE COURT: School district principal, difficult to 9 10 be --11 MS. HOLTHUS: I think there's more to --12 THE COURT: -- impartial. 13 MS. HOLTHUS: -- more to be asked. She said she thinks kids are honest, but I think that that needs to be 14 15 pursued more. I'm not going to agree to it at this point. THE COURT: Okay. Steve Burtis, he's not here. 16 17 MS. RADOSTA: He didn't show up. 18 THE COURT: Kathlyn Gorski, that's the one we talked about previously. I think we need --19 20 MS. HOLTHUS: Depending on if -- if --21 THE COURT: -- to question more with regards to the -- the --22 23 MS. HOLTHUS: Trip. 24 MS. RADOSTA: Um-hum. 25 THE COURT: Okay. And then you have --

MS. RADOSTA: Although, I will say --1 2 THE COURT: -- Jill --MS. RADOSTA: I will say regarding Ms. Gorski --3 THE COURT: Um-hum. 4 5 MS. RADOSTA: -- the -- she also indicated her 6 mother worked on this case. So she could potentially have 7 knowledge about this case that won't be introduced during the trial, and I really think it would be a huge potential problem 8 to leave her on the jury. I think there's enough there for 9 10 cause right now. 11 THE COURT: Okay. I got you. Did you hear that? 12 MS. HOLTHUS: Did we? Yeah, I -- I don't agree at 13 this point. If -- let's just see what the out of state does, 14 that may resolve it. 15 It's not -- no, it's not the out of MS. RADOSTA: She said her mother worked on this case. 16 state. THE COURT: Yeah. 17 MS. RADOSTA: She might know facts about this --18 THE COURT: I -- I --19 20 MS. RADOSTA: -- case that are not going to --THE COURT: -- I read her as --21 22 I heard her say that --THE COURT: 23 MS. HOLTHUS: I thought she said she might know 24 this --25 THE COURT: I heard her say that based on what she's

done as a dispatcher, I wrote down she said that she couldn't 1 2 be impartial. She did say that as well. 3 MS. RADOSTA: THE COURT: I wrote, said not impartial. So -- so 4 5 I'm going to grant it with her. Okay? 6 So then you have Jill Pressman is the Jewish holiday 7 issue, and --MS. RADOSTA: She was also the zero tolerance --8 THE COURT: Yeah. 9 10 MS. RADOSTA: -- zero policy. 11 THE COURT: I wrote her down. But I -- I don't see 12 based on that that -- I mean, we can probably accommodate her 13 for the holidays, maybe, but I don't know. MS. RADOSTA: I think -- I think --14 15 THE COURT: But --What's the rule; is it in by sundown, 16 MS. HOLTHUS: 17 or is it --18 MS. RADOSTA: I'm not -- you know --MS. HOLTHUS: -- the whole day off? 19 20 MS. RADOSTA: I'm -- yeah, if -- if it's the one 21 that -- where they -- where it's --22 THE COURT: Sundown to, I think, sun up. 23 MS. HOLTHUS: I don't know. 24 MS. RADOSTA: I'm not sure off the top of my head. 25 THE COURT: We can ask. Okay, but I don't --

2869

MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 1 2 THE COURT: -- think there's enough here. 3 Glen Caceres, no. Julie Arcemont says, independent contractor. 4 5 MS. HOLTHUS: Where are you? Which one are you on? THE COURT: No. 16. 6 7 MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. Got it. I got it. THE COURT: Said that she's out of -- out of the 8 country -- I mean, out of the county from 10/17 so -- until 9 10 11. So that was -- she says she has two minor children, but 11 she didn't say that it was going to affect anything. 12 The next one would be Robert Clawson. Works -- this 13 is the individual sitting in the front row here, says he --14 he's got some issues with Thomas & Mack contract issues, a 15 younger sister --16 MS. RADOSTA: Um-hum. 17 THE COURT: -- correction officer, didn't say it 18 would affect him at all. But do you want to -- do you see any grounds for cause here? 19 20 MS. HOLTHUS: I don't. THE COURT: Do you? 21 22 MS. RADOSTA: Court's indulgence for just a second. 23 Based on what he said in court, but he -- he's 24 somebody that I would feel much more comfortable questioning 25 outside the presence based on some of his answers on his

1 questionnaire, Judge. I just move right now.

2 He said some things on his questionnaire that he 3 would punish, according to God's law.

THE COURT: Okay.

4

5 MS. RADOSTA: He was quoting, you know, things that 6 there are above man's law. I just think he could potentially 7 be a --

8 THE COURT: But he wouldn't be punishing him because 9 he doesn't do -- have punishment.

MS. RADOSTA: I understand that, Judge. But the things that he could say in front of the whole panel could potentially be -- I think could taint the panel.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

MS. RADOSTA: That's my -- that's my issue with the things that he's said so far in his -- nothing that he's said so far in court, but the things that he said on his Jury Questionnaire that caused me concern.

THE COURT: Okay. George Ward, he's the one that basically says because he knows Mary Kay and Mr. Sweetin that he wanted to disclose outside the presence of the jury. So, I'll call him in first.

MS. RADOSTA: He's the former prosecutor, lifelong
 prosecutor --

24 THE COURT: Right.

25 MS. RADOSTA: -- right?

THE COURT: Right. 1 2 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 3 THE COURT: Then you have --MS. RADOSTA: And just for the record, I did notice 4 5 he and you, Your Honor, talking just briefly as he walked out of the courtroom. It wasn't anything. 6 7 THE COURT: Um-hum. 8 MS. RADOSTA: But I think you asked him, when did 9 you retire and he said --10 THE COURT: Right. Because he said --11 MS. RADOSTA: -- last year. 12 THE COURT: -- he retired. He said he retired a 13 year ago. MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 14 15 THE COURT: So. Arlene Erwin, two kids to take to school. 16 17 MS. RADOSTA: Husband works. 18 MS. HOLTHUS: I think we established that was okay, 19 because it was 8:00 o'clock --20 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. MS. HOLTHUS: -- she could make it. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay, yep. 23 MS. RADOSTA: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Chelsea Stuenkel? 25 MS. RADOSTA: Stuenkel.

THE COURT: I think she didn't say anything that I 1 2 could see that -- do you have grounds to strike her? MS. RADOSTA: Well, at this point, I mean, she said 3 that she's worked with both Ms. -- I think definitely with Ms. 4 5 Holthus and perhaps also with Mr. Sweetin, as a P&P Officer. 6 I mean, maybe she hasn't --7 THE COURT: She said she can be fair and impartial 8 and that doesn't -- I asked if there's anything about her 9 relationship with them that would affect her and she said 10 "no". 11 MS. RADOSTA: Do you -- by the way, Judge, do you 12 know her? 13 THE COURT: Um, yeah, I know --MS. RADOSTA: Because she indicated --14 15 THE COURT: -- who she is. MS. RADOSTA: -- on her form that she knew you. 16 17 THE COURT: Yeah, I know who she is. 18 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. THE COURT: She's a -- well, she's -- works for P&P. 19 20 So --21 Okay. Because she didn't offer --MS. RADOSTA: 22 THE COURT: I think she knows --23 MS. RADOSTA: -- that up --24 THE COURT: -- Minddie more than --25 MS. RADOSTA: Okay.

1 THE COURT: -- more than me, so. 2 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. At this point, there's not 3 enough at this point. THE COURT: Gina Incaviglia. She says that she's 4 5 currently in college. MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah, we're fine with releasing --6 THE COURT: It's going to -- this is --7 MS. HOLTHUS: -- her. 8 THE COURT: -- going to affect her. I'm --9 10 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 11 THE COURT: -- I'm going to excuse her. 12 MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah. 13 THE COURT: Okay. MS. HOLTHUS: Did she put that on her questionnaire 14 15 because I --MS. RADOSTA: 16 Yes. MS. HOLTHUS: -- would have probably let her go. 17 18 THE COURT: Nicholas --19 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah, she did. 20 THE COURT: -- Devita, he says his works projects, he's the only iron -- only proprietor. Does -- he's the only 21 22 one that does what he has to do so it's -- it's a work issue. 23 MS. RADOSTA: Is he the one who --24 THE COURT: He's the one sitting right here on the 25 very end.

MS. RADOSTA: 1 Okay. 2 THE COURT: I don't see that that's grounds. 3 Karen Hubbs. MS. RADOSTA: She was out of state tomorrow through 4 5 the 16th. THE COURT: Plans on leaving to go out of state 6 7 tomorrow. She said that she possibly could rearrange that. She says she was the victim of sexual assault. 8 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. She did --9 10 THE COURT: She said her mind's already made up. 11 MS. RADOSTA: Yes. Because of --THE COURT: And --12 13 MS. RADOSTA: -- what she's seen on T.V. 14 THE COURT: Right. 15 MS. RADOSTA: And to be fair --THE COURT: Um-hum. 16 MS. RADOSTA: -- she's saying she saw this on T.V. 17 18 THE COURT: Yeah. 19 MS. RADOSTA: Who knows if that's accurate or not, 20 but she does feel like -- I think -- I think there's enough 21 there for cause at this point --22 THE COURT: I'm -- I'm going to excuse her. 23 MS. RADOSTA: -- in time. 24 THE COURT: What's -- what's your position, State? 25 Do you -- I mean, based on what she's said --

MS. HOLTHUS: We'll submit it. 1 THE COURT: -- that -- she's the one sitting right 2 in front of you guys, right there, so. 3 MS. HOLTHUS: I'll submit it. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Next one is Robert McDermitt. 6 He's the investigator for the Cosmos. He's a video guy. He's 7 really good with video. He's the one right here. I thought he was going to give us something about -- about -- because 8 his -- his wife had been sexually assaulted. 9 She lives it 10 everyday, he says. 11 And -- but he -- he told me that he -- that's not 12 going to affect him here, he just feels that when he sees the 13 video he believes in the video and so -- so I don't see there's any grounds to strike --14 15 MS. RADOSTA: Actually --THE COURT: -- him. 16 MS. RADOSTA: -- Your Honor, if I could, he seemed 17 18 -- he said a couple times, I'm going to rely on the video. 19 THE COURT: Um-hum. 20 MS. RADOSTA: On the video. And so there is a lot of other evidence in this case besides the video. 21 22 THE COURT: Right. 23 MS. RADOSTA: I'm -- in my opinion, he was already 24 saying that the video is it, and he's -- he's -- I mean, he 25 didn't absolutely say, my mind is made up. But he said a

1 couple of times, I work with video, I know video doesn't lie.
2 THE COURT: Um-hum.

MS. RADOSTA: And I think he's already to the point where he's not going to listen to any other evidence other than.

6 THE COURT: Well, no, he told me he -- I remember 7 him saying that he felt -- he put a high emphasis on the 8 video, basically is how I understood it. But he did say that 9 he -- he recognizes that there's other evidence but he -- the 10 video doesn't lie. I remember him -- that, so I don't think 11 at this point in time that there's grounds to strike him.

I think we can ask further questions if you feel uncomfortable that he needs to be -- understand that he needs to look at everything and if he says he wouldn't consider anything else, then yes, that would be grounds to strike him. MS. RADOSTA: Okay.

THE COURT: The next one's Karen Ackerman, No. 52. She said she worked with Las Vegas in Loss Prevention. It was the lady here in the front. I don't think there's any grounds for that.

21 Then you have Laura Velasquez, I already excuse her.
22 I'm sorry, that --

MS. RADOSTA: Yeah.

23

24

THE COURT: Cristina Moya, show cause.

25 MS. RADOSTA: She didn't --

THE COURT: Okay. Jim Freund, retired LAPD, 1 Homicide, Sexual Assault Units, but he said he could be fair. 2 3 MS. HOLTHUS: Right. THE COURT: Ronald Quon, he says he has a doctor 4 5 appointment 9:00 o'clock on Friday. This is the guy right here with the broken wrist, to have his cast removed. 6 7 MS. RADOSTA: Um-hum. THE COURT: And he was the former police officer. 8 MS. RADOSTA: I -- just because of his doctor's 9 10 appointment on Friday morning, I mean, unfortunately, it may 11 ultimately not affect the trial, but it -- we could have a 12 jury by Friday morning, and if he's on it then that's a 13 problem, so. 14 MS. HOLTHUS: Are we starting at 9:00? 15 MS. RADOSTA: That was the plan. THE COURT: What's that? 16 MS. HOLTHUS: Are we starting at 9:00 on Friday? 17 18 THE COURT: Yeah, more than likely. So --19 MS. HOLTHUS: We could start at 10:00 --THE COURT: We could ask him and see --20 MS. HOLTHUS: -- or he could ask them to move it. 21 22 THE COURT: -- I'll -- we'll ask him. I'll ask the 23 question when he comes back in about --24 MS. HOLTHUS: I could --25 THE COURT: -- if he could move his --

MS. HOLTHUS: -- take the cast really. 1 2 THE COURT: -- appointment. And then you have 3 Nagendra Achanta, independent contractor. MS. HOLTHUS: Is the guy with one car --4 5 MS. RADOSTA: He said that ---- and kids? I didn't understand what 6 MS. HOLTHUS: 7 he --8 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. MS. HOLTHUS: -- was telling me. 9 10 MS. RADOSTA: He said that he has -- he's an 11 independent contractor and because he is --12 THE COURT: He's the only one. 13 MS. RADOSTA: -- the only one on the team that if he isn't there for four weeks he could lose the contract. 14 15 THE COURT: Yeah. MS. RADOSTA: So as far as like financial issues he 16 actually seemed in my opinion to articulate it a little bit 17 better than just, I'm the only person on the office. 18 19 THE COURT: Yeah. 20 MS. RADOSTA: Being an independent contractor I --21 he absolutely could --22 MS. HOLTHUS: I am --23 -- lose -- I --MS. RADOSTA: 24 MS. HOLTHUS: I just --25 MS. RADOSTA: -- I think he can -- I think --

MS. HOLTHUS: I disagree. I think that he's no more 1 2 important than Dunbar financially. I think they both wait, but that's my opinion. 3 MS. RADOSTA: Well, Dunbar is not going to lose his 4 5 job as a chef. MS. HOLTHUS: Dunbar's not going to get paid for 6 7 four weeks. THE COURT: Oh, he is. He'll get --8 MS. HOLTHUS: I'm guessing contractor guy --9 10 THE COURT: -- \$40 a day. 11 MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. I'm -- that's probably --12 THE COURT: So he is getting paid. 13 MS. HOLTHUS: -- not going to pay his rent. 14 MS. RADOSTA: But --15 MS. HOLTHUS: Or his mortgage. I'm just saying. 16 MS. RADOSTA: -- actually, you know --17 THE COURT: Well, if we want to do that, we need to 18 release everybody that's got a financial issue. 19 MS. HOLTHUS: I wasn't releasing either of them. 20 THE COURT: Oh, okay. I was just --MS. RADOSTA: And --21 22 THE COURT: -- what I'm saying is that -- is that --23 I mean, if we take that position that it'd be everybody. 24 MS. HOLTHUS: No, that --25 MS. RADOSTA: Absolutely.

MS. HOLTHUS: -- no, that's what I'm saying. 1 Ι 2 don't think -- I think there are some extreme ones. Sometimes there are people who have been unemployed for six months and 3 they've just finally got a job and they're digging their way 4 5 out. THE COURT: Yeah. 6 7 MS. HOLTHUS: And then giving them deferred --THE COURT: You know --8 MS. HOLTHUS: -- service isn't bad. 9 10 THE COURT: -- I give -- I give enough room on the days that I'm doing my calendar that we start like at 1:00 11 o'clock and that so individuals can address their -- their 12 13 work issues and --14 MS. RADOSTA: Just though to address that, the 15 difference between Mr. Dunbar and -- and Mr. -- and I'm sorry, I've already -- Mr. --16 17 THE COURT: Achanta. 18 MS. RADOSTA: -- Achanta, is he is an independent contractor. He will not be paid by anybody for being here, 19 20 unlike Mr. --21 MS. HOLTHUS: Mr. Kephart will pay him. 22 MS. RADOSTA: -- Dunbar who works as a chef for 23 somebody that most likely is -- I mean, any -- any restaurant, any other official business in -- in Clark County are supposed 24 25 to pay their employees. So there is a difference.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 2 MS. RADOSTA: He is -- he is an independent contractor. Nobody is going to be paying him except the \$40 a 3 day that he gets. I'm just -- there is a distinction between 4 5 Mr. Dunbar and Mr. Achanta. MS. HOLTHUS: And that's what our chef said is that 6 7 he doesn't get paid. So --8 MS. RADOSTA: He said -- I thought he said, I 9 haven't looked into it. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MS. RADOSTA: So. 12 THE COURT: Well, I put a question mark --13 MS. HOLTHUS: Well, I'm not agreeing, so whatever. THE COURT: -- by Mr. Achanta. 14 15 I'm going to excuse Mr. Achanta. 16 And we have Kaleb Miley, work hardship, Nevada 17 Energy, Fleet Manager. I'm not -- I'm not going to with him, 18 okay. There's more people with --19 MS. RADOSTA: Right. 20 THE COURT: -- with them and -- okay. 21 Then you have Heidi Johnson. 22 MS. HOLTHUS: She's out of -- out of the country at 23 10/6, but we should be --24 THE COURT: October. 25 MS. HOLTHUS: -- fine by then or --

THE COURT: All right. Anything further on that 1 2 one? She also, though, shared with us that 3 MS. RADOSTA: she used to be married to a municipal court judge, if I'm 4 5 remembering correctly. MS. HOLTHUS: I don't think that's cause. 6 7 MS. RADOSTA: Hang on. No, I'm confusing my --No, she said something about her 8 MS. HOLTHUS: husband's a municipal court judge for ten years but I -- I 9 10 don't recall anything cause related being said, like I can't be fair, or that would impact me at all. 11 12 MS. RADOSTA: Court's indulgence. 13 (Pause in the proceedings) 14 MS. RADOSTA: Did she -- out of curiosity did she 15 share with the Court that she was George Assad's wife? That's 16 the municipal court judge that she was married to, is Judge 17 Assad. 18 THE COURT: She said at a previous -- she didn't say who it was. 19 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. All right. 20 21 THE COURT: She just said a municipal court judge. 22 I don't recall if she got into some of MS. RADOSTA: 23 the things that she in her questionnaire so I'll just -- I'll 24 just let her be further questioned. 25 THE COURT: Okay. And then Nelson Pacheco-Medina

2883

only works in the -- the only one working in the house, sales 1 consultant. He said his -- he's the one that said his 2 livelihood's in jeopardy. 3 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 4 5 THE COURT: He's the gentleman that's right here in 6 the --MS. RADOSTA: He's commissioned --7 If you're leaving Achanta, then we've 8 MS. HOLTHUS: 9 got to take him out. 10 THE COURT: All right. Yep. I'll excuse him. 11 And David Moore, a freshman high school teacher, I 12 don't know --13 MS. HOLTHUS: Nah. 14 THE COURT: -- about that. I'm not going to excuse 15 him for that. Camryn Estrada, she said she'd be biased --16 17 MS. RADOSTA: Yes. THE COURT: -- because of this case -- type of case. 18 MS. HOLTHUS: 19 That --20 MS. RADOSTA: We would move to strike her for that. 21 Oh, that's --MS. HOLTHUS: 22 THE COURT: She's the one -- she brought that up on 23 her own, too, I mean, just --24 MS. RADOSTA: She -- she said it. 25 MS. HOLTHUS: She just said her best friend went

1 through it but --2 MS. RADOSTA: And she said she's --3 MS. HOLTHUS: -- I mean, there's some traverse -- I mean, I --4 THE COURT: Okay. No, I'll let you --5 6 MS. HOLTHUS: -- we can't kick everybody in the 7 community who's --8 THE COURT: No, I know, but we've got to be --9 MS. HOLTHUS: -- there are some that I -- I agree, 10 that there are a couple here who are obviously being 11 emotionally impacted at even --12 THE COURT: No, I'll let you question her. 13 MS. HOLTHUS: Thank you. 14 THE COURT: I think that -- I'd be surprised though 15 based on what she was saying here that she's going to change. 16 MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. THE COURT: So I think -- and, you know, it might 17 18 just be my perception, my perception is sometimes I think 19 individuals see it as an out and they -- they --20 MS. RADOSTA: Um-hum. 21 THE COURT: -- but some of them might be legit, you 22 know, but --23 MS. HOLTHUS: I agree and --24 MS. RADOSTA: I mean, there --25 MS. HOLTHUS: -- I have --

THE COURT: -- so --1 2 MS. HOLTHUS: -- a couple here that I do think are 3 legit --THE COURT: -- so --4 5 MS. HOLTHUS: -- and they impact them in a way that they can't --6 7 THE COURT: So I'll let you question further but I 8 think this one, she's -- to my -- in my opinion she's on the 9 fence. 10 MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. 11 THE COURT: So --12 MS. RADOSTA: I mean, she -- she just volunteered 13 that. THE COURT: She did. 14 15 MS. RADOSTA: Very --THE COURT: She did. 16 MS. RADOSTA: -- very organically --17 18 THE COURT: No, I agree. 19 MS. RADOSTA: -- if you will. 20 THE COURT: I agree. MS. RADOSTA: It wasn't, you know --21 22 THE COURT: But she -- I agree. 23 MS. RADOSTA: There are those people that are 24 raising their hand for every question and then they also --25 THE COURT: Well, she's --

MS. RADOSTA: -- throw in, oh and by the way, I 1 2 can't be fair and impartial. She's not one of them. She's --THE COURT: She -- no, she did. She wanted to tell 3 me, I can't do this because I'm not going to be here on -- on 4 5 the weekend. 6 MS. RADOSTA: She thought -- she thought we worked 7 weekends. 8 And I said, well, if it's not a big THE COURT: deal; no, it's no big deal. And so I'll let you question --9 10 MS. RADOSTA: Well, they do on the T.V. shows --THE COURT: -- yeah. 11 12 MS. RADOSTA: -- you know --13 THE COURT: Then Christina Kyker, she has a work 14 issue, she's the only worker. 15 MS. HOLTHUS: How would you like you --THE COURT: She's an oil distributor --16 MS. RADOSTA: Was she the one --17 THE COURT: -- who said --18 MS. RADOSTA: Was she the one who said her --19 20 THE COURT: -- it would basically close it down --MS. RADOSTA: -- her --21 22 THE COURT: -- if she's not the one that's sending stuff out. 23 Yeah, her. 24 MS. HOLTHUS: 25 MS. RADOSTA: Was she the one who said her boss is

1 already texting her?

2 THE COURT: Yeah. She's also the one -- and I would like 3 MS. HOLTHUS: you to inquire further -- her husband's a former guard and now 4 5 he's an inmate. I'd like to know about his crime --6 THE COURT: Right. 7 MS. HOLTHUS: -- if you would find out for us, 8 please. I think she did talk about that in 9 MS. RADOSTA: 10 her --11 MR. LOPEZ-NEGRETE: She said it's not sex assault. 12 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah, she -- she referenced in here, 13 not sex assault, but she did share that in her Jury Questionnaire. 14 15 MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. 16 MS. RADOSTA: Because I -- I did the same thing you 17 did, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Um-hum. MS. RADOSTA: I was like, what -- did I read that 19 20 correctly? 21 THE COURT: Yeah. Okay, the next one is Erica 22 Solomon, financial hardship, recent lost job. Her husband just lost his job. She's got transportation issues. 23 She said 24 she --25 MS. HOLTHUS: I would let her go.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 2 MS. RADOSTA: No objection. THE COURT: Victim of sexual assault --3 MS. HOLTHUS: Financial hardship. 4 5 THE COURT: -- long-term -- okay. I'll excuse. 6 MS. RADOSTA: She also that she just got the nanny 7 job only if she doesn't get --THE COURT: Right. 8 MS. RADOSTA: -- jury duty for the next month. 9 10 THE COURT: Carolyn Carroll, she said that someone 11 who's had a sexual assault, she doesn't think she could be fair. That's the one I had quite a discussion with, African-12 13 American lady in the front row there. MS. RADOSTA: Um-hum. We would move to strike. 14 15 MS. HOLTHUS: I won't object. I think she's somebody who's using it to get out, but that's --16 THE COURT: Well, I -- based on what she told me 17 18 though she --19 MS. HOLTHUS: That's fine. 20 THE COURT: -- she said she couldn't be fair. 21 Lorie Pair, victim of sexual assault, I wrote would 22 not be fair. 23 MS. HOLTHUS: You did? 24 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. That -- we would have to prove 25 him not guilty.

THE COURT: Yeah. 1 2 MS. RADOSTA: That's what she said. 3 THE COURT: That's -- yep. MS. RADOSTA: We would move to strike. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Timothy Duran --MS. HOLTHUS: Oh, yeah, she was emotional. 6 7 THE COURT: He says he's taking his dad to the VA 8 three times a week and he's --MS. HOLTHUS: No objection. 9 10 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. We'd --11 THE COURT: -- the only transportation. Excuse, 12 okay. Erica Urias --13 MS. RADOSTA: She said she couldn't watch the video. 14 15 THE COURT: Yep. We need to talk a little bit more 16 MS. HOLTHUS: 17 about that because I didn't know that was an option. MS. RADOSTA: A lot of people said that in their 18 19 Jury Questionnaires, so --20 MS. HOLTHUS: I know, but it's -- I don't know that 21 that's an option. MS. RADOSTA: But for the --22 23 MS. HOLTHUS: And -- I don't know. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. 25 MS. RADOSTA: For the sake of argument, think we

need to be aware of those people that are saying it up-front, 1 2 and I mean, I don't think at this point there's enough to kick 3 her, but she's --THE COURT: Okay. 4 5 MS. RADOSTA: -- telling us right now, she won't 6 watch a large part of the State's case. Certainly, we would 7 have no objection to her not watching the video. THE COURT: Okay. 8 MS. RADOSTA: But it is what it is. 9 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 MS. RADOSTA: We want people to pay attention to all 12 of the case. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MS. RADOSTA: So. 15 THE COURT: The next one would be David Moffat. He said as a child, he's still affected. He about broke down in 16 tears in here. 17 18 MS. HOLTHUS: Him --19 MS. RADOSTA: Yes. 20 MS. HOLTHUS: -- him I will let go. I think he's 21 still being affected by it right now. 22 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 23 THE COURT: Any objection? 24 MS. RADOSTA: No objection. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Tyvon Warren, says he's the only

1 manager for T-Mobile.

2 MS. HOLTHUS: Nah. THE COURT: Okay. Trevor Ellis said he's going to 3 be gone 9/14 through 9/18. He hasn't bought his tickets yet 4 5 but he's going to North Carolina. MS. HOLTHUS: Yes. 6 THE COURT: And then he said his fiance's -- was a 7 victim of sexual assault and he has trouble with the video, 8 9 too. 10 MS. HOLTHUS: I'm not sure what his issue is, but if 11 he's going to North Carolina, I'm not sure he's getting a 12 ticket. I don't know that I believe him, but --13 THE COURT: I -- yeah, I know, but he --MS. HOLTHUS: -- but I'm like --14 15 MS. RADOSTA: He also -- he also --MS. HOLTHUS: -- do you know how much it's going to 16 17 cost? 18 MS. RADOSTA: -- and --19 MS. HOLTHUS: But it -- I don't think it's worth 20 wasting time at this point. MS. RADOSTA: -- he's also a full-time -- he didn't 21 22 tell us this, but on his form, or on his Jury Questionnaire he 23 says he's a full-time UNLV student as well. 24 MS. HOLTHUS: I suspect it's an excuse he forgot, 25 because he's given us every other one.

2892

THE COURT: Yeah. Any objection to him being 1 2 excused? 3 MS. RADOSTA: No. THE COURT: Breena Hopkins, a full-time nursing --4 5 she's -- she is --MS. RADOSTA: She's --6 7 THE COURT: Any objection to excusing her? MS. RADOSTA: 8 No. MS. HOLTHUS: I'm sorry, which one? 9 10 MS. RADOSTA: Ms. Hopkins. 11 THE COURT: Breena Hopkins. 12 MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah, that's fine. 13 THE COURT: You want to excuse her? MS. HOLTHUS: Yes. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Full-time student. 16 MS. HOLTHUS: THE COURT: Well, she had --17 18 MS. HOLTHUS: Nursing student. 19 THE COURT: -- full-time everything. 20 MS. HOLTHUS: Well, yeah. THE COURT: Full-time mom, full-time --21 22 MS. HOLTHUS: She had me at full-time nursing. 23 THE COURT: -- full-time transportator of her kids. 24 MS. HOLTHUS: She started losing me. 25 THE COURT: Full-time anti-sexual assault charges.

MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah. 1 THE COURT: Full-time student, full -- everything 2 3 you could think of she was --4 MS. HOLTHUS: I know, like I said --THE COURT: -- you know --5 MS. HOLTHUS: -- I was more sympathetic when she 6 7 first said it. 8 THE COURT: All right. Ryan Ulibarri, college 9 student --10 MS. HOLTHUS: Fine. 11 THE COURT: -- CSN. I always -- you know, I'll tell 12 you this. I -- my inclination is to excuse the students. 13 I've always been that way. So if you don't have any objection, that's the position --14 MS. HOLTHUS: I don't if they're -- if they're --15 16 yeah. 17 THE COURT: Violet, do you have any --18 MS. RADOSTA: No objection. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Michael Bailey, lives with a 20 parole officer, said -- and was involved in the sexual assault 21 but he says that he can be -- it wouldn't affect him. 22 MS. RADOSTA: At this -- I mean, at this --23 THE COURT: Did I read that right? 24 MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah. 25 MS. RADOSTA: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 2 MS. RADOSTA: Um --3 THE COURT: All right. Dana Deiana, retired sales, 4 commission, no --5 MS. HOLTHUS: Where are you? THE COURT: It's No. 247. Dana Deiana. 6 7 MS. HOLTHUS: Oh. 8 MS. RADOSTA: Commissioned based pay --9 THE COURT: Retail sales --MS. RADOSTA: -- retail sales. 10 11 THE COURT: -- no time off, no other source of 12 income. 13 MS. HOLTHUS: All right. Let them go, I guess. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Let them go? 15 MS. HOLTHUS: No paid time off. Well, I mean --16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MS. HOLTHUS: -- if we're letting other people --18 THE COURT: Yep. 19 MS. HOLTHUS: -- that don't get -- have any money 20 coming in, it doesn't --21 THE COURT: Yeah, I know. I know. 22 MS. HOLTHUS: -- seem fair to single this person 23 out. 24 THE COURT: Well, I wouldn't if it was just a short 25 time, but a whole week -- a whole month --

MS. RADOSTA: Right. 1 No, I get it. 2 MS. HOLTHUS: 3 THE COURT: -- that's -- okay. MS. HOLTHUS: I couldn't probably do it. 4 5 THE COURT: Daniel Ferreira. MS. RADOSTA: He did say he can't be fair. 6 7 THE COURT: He said, I can't be fair because of a 8 family member being abused, is what he flat said. 9 MS. RADOSTA: He's seen the -- yeah, and he's seen the effect of it. We'd move to strike. 10 11 THE COURT: Any objection? Daniel Ferreira. MS. HOLTHUS: I don't think we --12 13 THE COURT: Okay. MS. HOLTHUS: -- went very far with that, on that 14 15 one. The next one's Kathleen Coleman, 266. 16 THE COURT: 17 MS. RADOSTA: And -- sorry, Judge, are you --18 THE COURT: Are we still on Daniel -- yeah, I was 19 going to excuse him --20 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. 21 THE COURT: -- based on your motion. 22 MS. RADOSTA: Thank you. 23 THE COURT: Daniel Coleman -- or Kathleen Coleman. 24 She had all the doctors appointments. MS. RADOSTA: 25 THE COURT: Yeah. And then she said she couldn't be

impartial is what -- well, also --1 She also did indicate that these all MS. RADOSTA: 2 3 came up since --4 MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah, it sounded like her husband may 5 have been diagnosed for something not good. MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 6 7 THE COURT: Yeah. 8 MS. RADOSTA: So we will --9 THE COURT: Okay, I'll excuse her. MS. RADOSTA: -- move to strike. Yeah. 10 11 THE COURT: William Robinson, 267. Retired 12 military. He's the one that said --13 MS. HOLTHUS: I don't even know what that meant, but I'm --14 THE COURT: Yeah. 15 MS. HOLTHUS: -- okay with getting rid of him. 16 17 THE COURT: Do you want to excuse him? 18 MS. RADOSTA: No objection. MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah, I can't judge guilty because 19 20 accused. 21 THE COURT: Yeah. 22 MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah. 23 MS. RADOSTA: He -- he was dancing around it. 24 Basically, he was saying, yeah --25 THE COURT: He didn't want to be here so I'm going

1 to say he was guilty.

2 MS. HOLTHUS: I didn't think he was dancing. I --3 MS. RADOSTA: MS. HOLTHUS: I think he said, I don't want to be 4 5 He's accused, so he's guilty. here. THE COURT: Yeah. That's --6 7 Yeah, no, that's --MS. RADOSTA: THE COURT: -- exactly what he did. 8 9 MS. HOLTHUS: If I'm not mistaken. MS. RADOSTA: -- I mean, I don't think he wanted to 10 11 come right out and say, I look at him and I see a guilty 12 person. 13 THE COURT: Well, because he knows what he was 14 saying was so --15 MS. RADOSTA: Right. Ridiculous. 16 MS. HOLTHUS: 17 MS. RADOSTA: Yes. That's what I mean by --THE COURT: -- contrived, you know? 18 19 MS. RADOSTA: -- dancing around it. No, not 20 ridiculous, it's what he believes and he just didn't like how 21 it sounded coming out of his mouth. 22 THE COURT: Jose Medina, 286. He's the only maintenance tech for a -- for a --23 24 MS. HOLTHUS: No. 25 THE COURT: -- property, apartment complex.

2898

MS. HOLTHUS: He didn't indicate it was financial, 1 2 so somebody --3 THE COURT: Nope. MS. HOLTHUS: -- has to wait for their clogged 4 5 toilet. 6 THE COURT: He said that no one else will be able to 7 do what he did, but he didn't --8 MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah. 9 THE COURT: -- say it's going to affect him. Kathleen Crow, 292, Zappos, I -- other people can do 10 11 her job. 12 MS. RADOSTA: I'm not so sure, Judge. I would ask maybe --13 THE COURT: You can ask more questions, but based on 14 15 what she told me, I'm not going to excuse her. 16 MS. RADOSTA: Well, yeah, just because it sounded 17 not like it's somebody else can't do her job, but she's like 18 already -- they've already planned to send her out of town for four weeks starting on the 12th --19 20 THE COURT: Yeah. MS. RADOSTA: -- so. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. Kenneth Johnson, Badge 319. 23 MS. HOLTHUS: Surgery, let him go. 24 THE COURT: Surgery. I'm going to -- he's got 25 surgery, he's fine.

Dany --1 So let him go? 2 THE CLERK: No, I'm not excusing Kenneth Johnson. 3 THE COURT: MS. HOLTHUS: Oh, you're keeping the surgery guy? 4 5 Well, the surgery is --MS. RADOSTA: THE COURT: He doesn't have it until October 15th. 6 7 Oh, I was thinking Friday, I thought. MS. HOLTHUS: 8 THE COURT: No, that was the guy we --9 MS. HOLTHUS: Oh, shoot. THE COURT: -- we already talked -- he's --10 11 MS. HOLTHUS: Oh, shoot. Okay. You're right. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MS. HOLTHUS: I wrote 10/15 and thought 9/15. 14 THE COURT: Then you have Dany Canales Ruiz, she's 15 the individual who can't speak --I would say let her go. 16 MS. HOLTHUS: 17 THE COURT: Are you okay --18 MS. RADOSTA: Given what she finally shared with us, 19 yeah, I think --20 She's been crying for --MS. HOLTHUS: 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 23 MS. HOLTHUS: -- the last 20 minutes. 24 THE COURT: All right. You'll need to stay just to 25 let her know that we're letting her go; okay?

Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. THE INTERPRETER: 1 THE COURT: All right. 2 3 And then you have Cassandra Lucero, Badge 321. She's fine. MS. HOLTHUS: 4 5 THE COURT: She said that she may not be open minded 6 Her sister-in-law as a sexual assault victim, officer manager, 7 insuring -- she's the one that says that they will close her doors if she's not working. 8 9 MS. RADOSTA: I would move to strike, Your Honor, just because she said she didn't think that she could --10 11 THE COURT: Be open-minded. 12 MS. RADOSTA: -- be open-minded, yeah, because of --13 MS. HOLTHUS: I didn't write that down, so I must 14 not have been impressed by it, but yeah. 15 THE COURT: I'm going to excuse her. 16 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. 17 MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. 18 THE COURT: Jose Gutierrez, he says his girlfriend 19 was sexual assaulted, may not be able to be fair. I wrote 20 that down. 21 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 22 THE COURT: That's what he said. 23 MS. HOLTHUS: I think that was another wishy washy 24 one then, Judge, could we --25 MS. RADOSTA: I --

THE COURT: Okay. I'll let you question. 1 MS. RADOSTA: -- for the record --2 Thank you. 3 MS. HOLTHUS: MS. RADOSTA: -- we'd be moving to strike. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Oscar Guerrero, Badge 325, 6 financial hardships, main income. He's the only source of income in his house. 7 8 MS. HOLTHUS: Well, is there a difference between 9 main source of income and only source of income? Because I would say only source, not main, if --10 11 THE COURT: Yeah. 12 MS. HOLTHUS: -- I was the only. So I'm --13 THE COURT: No. 14 MS. HOLTHUS: -- wondering if there's somebody else 15 working. 16 THE COURT: I think there is a difference. 17 Johnie Williams, 327. Brother-in-law, retired LAPD, 18 nothing. MS. HOLTHUS: Are you keeping Guerrero --19 20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 MS. HOLTHUS: -- for now? Okay. 22 THE COURT: Stephen Waldron, 338. He's a CPA. He 23 says they're in tax -- their corporate tax starts right now. 24 I'm not going to excuse him, okay, not on that. 25 Josephine Churchill is 342.

MS. RADOSTA: Did -- I'm sorry, could we just back 1 2 up to Mr. Waldron for a second? 3 THE COURT: Okay, yeah. MS. RADOSTA: Did the Court ask anything about 4 5 anybody being arrested? You haven't asked that yet, no? 6 THE COURT: Nope. 7 MS. RADOSTA: Yet? No? 8 THE COURT: Nope. 9 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. 10 THE COURT: Nope, nope, nope. 11 MS. RADOSTA: Because he -- he shared something on 12 his questionnaire and I --13 THE COURT: Okay. MS. RADOSTA: -- was making sure you didn't. 14 15 THE COURT: Josephine Churchill was fine, just said everyone she's related to is a police officer. 16 17 Lamar Noorda, has a small construction company and 18 he's in a big project and he's the only one that understands 19 it basically. Okay. 20 And then you have Moises Salgado. He's the one that 21 says he has surgery on Friday at 11:30. 22 MS. RADOSTA: We'd strike him. 23 THE COURT: So I'm going to excuse him. 24 I knew I heard it somewhere. MS. HOLTHUS: 25 THE COURT: Okay. He's Badge 545. He's on --

THE CLERK: Page 7. 1 2 THE COURT: -- page 7. All right. So --3 THE CLERK: Did we agree to let go [inaudible]? 4 5 THE COURT: No. THE CLERK: No? Okay. 6 7 THE COURT: Tyvon Warren. No. No. 8 Okay, go ahead and get the jury in. 9 (Court/Clerk conferring) I need you just to bring in Ward, George 10 THE COURT: 11 Ward. 12 MS. RADOSTA: Oh, yeah. I'm only showing two from 13 the first 34 that got kicked; is that right? Or is there more? 14 No, that's six. 15 THE CLERK: THE COURT: 16 No. 17 MS. HOLTHUS: What happened to the jury? THE CLERK: I had seats 3 --18 THE COURT: Can you walk out and just tell him to 19 20 bring in George Ward? 21 THE CLERK: -- which is Garner. 22 MS. RADOSTA: Right. 23 THE CLERK: 5, is Gorski. 24 MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 25 THE CLERK: Seat 11, is Cordero.

MS. RADOSTA: Yeah. 1 Seat 19, Incaviglia. 2 THE CLERK: 3 MS. RADOSTA: Oh, yeah. THE CLERK: Seat 21 is Hubbs. And Seat 33, is 4 5 Achanta. 6 MS. RADOSTA: Okay. Thanks, sorry. I had them all 7 written down and I just lost my place. 8 (Pause in the proceedings) 9 (In the presence of Prospective Juror No. 036) 10 THE COURT: All right. Yes, Mr. Ward, can you go 11 back --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. Yes. 13 THE COURT: -- towards your seat? 14 All right. Okay. All right. We're in the presence 15 of Prospective Juror George Ward, and Badge 036. Mr. Ward had indicated that and the question of whether or not he knew any 16 17 of the parties, he indicated that he knew both Ms. Holthus and 18 Mr. Sweetin as well as the Court, based on previous 19 employment, and he felt more comfortable in disclosing how he 20 knew both the prosecutors outside the presence of the jury. 21 So is that -- am I about -- am I right with that? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: That is correct, Judge. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Ward, what did you want to 24 tell us? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Well, first I want to

1	apologize to Mr. Sweetin. I just had a momentary lapse of		
2	seniority at this stage, but yeah, I came to know both of them		
3	through my employment, first of all, with Judge Mosley. At		
4	some point, Mr. Sweetin was on the track with Scott Mitchell.		
5	And Ms. Holthus did several trials in front of Judge Mosley.		
6	And I'll be honest with you, the two of them helped shape my		
7	decision to become a prosecutor.		
8	MS. HOLTHUS: Ah.		
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: I have the highest		
10	regard for both of them. They were obviously, Ms. Holthus		
11	has been on the sexual assault track for decades now and I've		
12	seen her in action and honestly, a lot of the cross-		
13	examination skills that I took away from that could be		
14	attributed to her.		
15	There's a case that comes to mind, the Darren Lewis		
16	[phonetic] case where it was Randy Pike and it was a it was		
17	a case I'll never forget. I don't think she will either,		
18	where he took the stand and just kind of it was textbook		
19	cross-examination and it got her the conviction.		
20	And Mr. Sweetin, with his meticulousness, his		
21	accounting background, it's something that I aspire to be as		
22	technically precise.		
23	So my my concern is just that to have such high		
24	regard for them, I would love to serve on this jury. I		
25	honestly, I was excited. But if I didn't know the two		
I			

2906

prosecutors, I think it's a cleaner slate. But, you know, with my interactions with them, and also with Jim's son, Bob, who I've worked in a professional capacity while I was at the City of Henderson, is the City Attorney of Mesquite, and we got to know each other through appeals, and he's just a great guy.

7 So I'm just concerned that I'm not sure I could be 8 100 percent impartial because of these two prosecutors and the 9 high regard that I hold them, and that I don't want that to 10 subconsciously kind of influence how much validity to the 11 evidence and the techniques that are demonstrated in the 12 trial.

So that -- that was my concern. And I think once --Id I didn't want to say that in front of the entire jury, just because I don't want to attest to their qualifications and their skill level. So that was the concern that I had. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ward, do you have any

18 question? Does anyone want to ask any further questions? 19 MS. RADOSTA: Well, I think at this point we -- we'd 20 ask you just strike --

THE COURT: Okay.

21

25

22 MS. RADOSTA: -- him for cause. I mean, I think 23 he's being totally honest. I think he's being --

24 THE COURT: No, I --

MS. RADOSTA: -- totally straightforward. But at

this point, I think that his prior interactions -- and he's 1 2 even said, he's not sure if it --3 MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. MS. RADOSTA: -- would affect him. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. MS. RADOSTA: So we'd ask to strike him for cause. 6 7 MS. HOLTHUS: We like him a lot, but. 8 THE COURT: No, I know. Well, here's the way I look 9 at it, Mr. Ward, is I -- I really value your answer in the 10 sense that I believe in your case, you understand the concern 11 with the Court wanting a fair and impartial juror. And with 12 your answer, you're basically saying that you recognize that, 13 you probably recognize that more so than most of the 14 prospective jurors that are here. 15 And so this -- and the idea that it's taken you to 16 the point where you're saying that I don't know whether or not 17 I could -- I could set aside my knowledge of both the 18 prosecutors and that I -- you didn't really say you didn't 19 know if you could be -- you know, that it would affect your 20 ability to be fair, but you're saying that you think it might 21 affect your -- your perception of the evidence based on your 22 admiration for the two prosecutors. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: That is correct. 24 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: I feel like I could 25

answer in good faith that I want to -- I feel like I could be 1 fair and impartial but just internally the struggle is, it's 2 3 because of these two prosecutors who I have the deepest respect for. I'm familiar with their body of work. 4 I'm just -- when it -- if it's a close case, I'm not 5 sure I would subconsciously give deference to them in terms of 6 7 how they proceed with the case, certain questions that they 8 ask, because that's, you know, been something, not 9 specifically jury trials but, you know, the technique. So I'm just afraid that subconsciously I may give 10 11 more weight to the things that they do versus the defense. 12 And I don't know any of the attorneys, I'm sure they're fine

13 attorneys, but there's a reason why I chose the prosecution 14 path.

15

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: And honestly, they did have significant influence in the way that, you know, ultimately when I had a choice to, you know, go on two paths, I chose this one.

20 THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: And like I said, I've seen Mary Kay do several trials, I've seen Mr. Sweetin, who was part of the track with Scott Mitchell that I got to be very, you know, close, in terms of discussions and, you know, just talking about careers and whatnot. And that, you know,

2909

it was 20 years ago with Judge Mosley, but those things still 1 2 had a significant impact on how my career proceeded. 3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Thank you, Judge. 4 5 THE COURT: No, go ahead and say there. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Counsel --6 7 THE COURT: Ed qo ahead and bring the jury in. 8 (Pause in the proceedings) (Inside the presence of the prospective jurors at 3:31 P.M.) 9 THE COURT: Okay. Everybody go ahead and have a 10 11 This is the continuation of jury selection in Case No. seat. 12 C-311453, the State of Nevada versus Christopher Sena. I'd 13 like the record to reflect the presence of the defendant and 14 his counsel, as well as the State and their counsel, and all 15 members of the prospective jury panel. 16 Will the parties stipulate to, well, this section, 17 portion of the prospective jury panel we've been discussing 18 today? 19 MS. HOLTHUS: Yes, Judge. 20 MS. RADOSTA: Yes, Judge. 21 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, if you 22 hear your named called you'll be excused. I'm going to ask 23 that you go back to the Jury Commission room and let them know you've been excused. 24 25 And if you don't hear your name called, it doesn't

2910

1 mean that we're ignoring what you've told us. There's just 2 some additional discussions that may take place with regards 3 to some additional questions; okay?

And I want to tell you once again, thank you so much for taking part in this portion of the jury selection, and maybe we can find another jury for you that might suit you a little better; okay?

8 If you hear your name called, please wait until all 9 the names are called, that way I don't have a big bottleneck 10 at the door; okay?

11 Juror No. 003, Marlen Garner. Juror No. 011, 12 Kathlyn Gorski. Juror No. 022, Alex Cordero. Juror No. 036, 13 George Ward. Juror No. 041, Gina Incaviglia. Juror No. 043, 14 Karen Hubbs. Juror No. 074, Nagendra Achanta. Juror No. 086, 15 Nelson Pacheco-Medina. Juror No. 109, Erica Solomon. Juror 16 No. 122, Carolyn Carroll. Juror No. 124, Lorie Pair. Juror 17 No. 129, Timothy Duran. Juror No. 150, David Moffat. Juror 18 No. 210, Trevor Ellis. Juror No. 216, Breena Hopkins. Juror 19 No. 224, Ryan Ulibarri.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: Ulibarri.

THE COURT: Ulibarri.

20

21

Juror No. 247, Dana Deiana. Juror No. 250, Daniel Ferreira. Juror No. 266, Kathleen Colman. Juror No. 320, Dany Canales Ruiz. Juror No. 321, Cassandra Lucero. And Juror No. 545, Moises Salgado.

All right. So we'll be at ease while those jurors 1 2 Take your badge with you. Take all your exit the courtroom. 3 belongings with you. Thank you so much. THE CLERK: [Inaudible]. 4 5 THE COURT: Oh, yeah, I'll tell them. THE CLERK: 6 Okay. 7 THE COURT: I missed that. 8 THE CLERK: Sorry. 9 THE COURT: Yeah. And Mr. Robinson, William Robinson? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: Badge 267, you're excused as well. 13 Okay? Made you sweat a little while. Okay. 14 (Excused Prospective Jurors exit the courtroom) 15 THE COURT: So ladies and gentlemen, if you hear 16 your name called, we're going to fill in these spots right 17 here; all right? I'm going to have you move from where you're at there, put you up in these spots; okay? 18 Call the next one in order. 19 20 THE CLERK: In Seat No. 3, it's Badge No. 077, 21 Melissa Zaragoza. In Seat 5, it's Badge No. 079, Vidal 22 Alvarado. In Seat No. 11, it's going to be Badge No. 081, 23 Heidi Johnson. In Seat 16, it's Badge No. 087, David Moore. 24 In Seat 19, it's Badge No. 089, Camryn Estrada. In Seat 21, 25 Badge No. 095, Michael Radcliffe. And in Seat 33, it's Badge

1 100, Christina Kyker.

25

years, since '05.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, ladies and gentlemen, at this point in time, I'm going to ask each one of you individually some questions, and I'm only dealing with this group up here.

As you see, if someone is struck from this group, then we pull from the rest of the pool. And so just -- I'm not going to be asking you those questions until you're up here, so bear in mind, or listen to the questions. Keep in mind that you may be answering those, as well, so you might be kind of preparing your answers.

12 So -- all right. So we can give it to Adam Dunbar, 13 as Badge 001. Mr. Dunbar, and everyone else that's here, some 14 of these questions may be -- you don't need to stand, okay? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Oh.

16 THE COURT: I don't need you all standing on this; 17 all right?

Understand that this may be some repetitive questions based on what you have answered already. But they're just some that I want to make sure that the record's clear on this; okay?

22So, Mr. Dunbar, how long have you lived in Las23Vegas?24PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: About 12 years, 13

Page 192

1		THE COURT: Did you say 12 years or 30 years?
2		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Thirteen.
3		THE COURT: Oh.
4		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Sorry about that.
5		THE COURT: Okay. Where are you from originally?
6		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: California.
7		THE COURT: All right. And you said you're
8	employed.	
9		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.
10		THE COURT: You're a chef for a
11		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.
12		THE COURT: Japanese restaurant?
13		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Correct.
14		THE COURT: Okay. How far did you get in your
15	education	?
16		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Junior college.
17		THE COURT: Okay. What were you studying?
18		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Two years in junior
19	college.	Liberal studies.
20		THE COURT: All right. How long have you been a
21	chef?	
22		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: About six years.
23		THE COURT: Okay. Are you married?
24		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: no.
25		THE COURT: Do you have any children?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No. 1 THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever served as a juror 2 before? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: I have not. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Have you or anyone close to you such as a family member or a friend ever been a victim of 6 7 a crime? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes. 9 THE COURT: When and who? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: A friend, when they were 10 11 young, her uncle molested her. 12 THE COURT: Okay. How long ago would you say? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Thirty -- 30 years ago. THE COURT: Were you friends with her when that 14 15 happened? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: 16 No. 17 THE COURT: Okay. When was it you first learned 18 about it? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Probably about 14 years 20 ago. 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And is there anything 22 about that experience you think that would affect your ability 23 to be fair and impartial in this case? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Have you, or anyone close to you,

1 such as a family member or a friend ever been accused of a
2 crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.

3

8

13

25

THE COURT: All right. Would you have a tendency to give more weight or credence or less weight or credence to the testimony of a police officer simply because he or she is a police officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.

9 THE COURT: Okay. Can you wait in forming your 10 opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant until 11 all the evidence has been heard and after consider the 12 instructions I'll give you on the law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yeah.

14 THE COURT: The reason I ask that so everyone 15 understands the reason -- and I ask it of every one of you. 16 The reason I do that is because the way the case proceeds is 17 the State would go first and then the defense would go after 18 the State. And -- and oftentimes there has been situations where when the State's done, some of the jurors will just sit 19 20 back and cross their arms and almost like they go deaf to the 21 defense. So the defense always asks me to ask that question, 22 because they want to make sure that you hear everything until 23 the case is concluded, to give them that opportunity to -- to 24 make sure that you've heard everything.

Now, understand the State has no -- I mean, not the

State -- the defense has no obligation to present any evidence if they choose not to. They have no obligation to do so. However, if they are, they're asking that you wait until all of that -- and that -- that's why I -- when I give the jury the admonition to you, I say, you're not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected to this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

8 What that means is when the case is done, when all 9 the evidence is done and I tell you they're done, the State 10 gets to argue, the defense gets to argue, then you -- it's 11 submitted to you. You go deliberate. That's when you start 12 deciding whether or not there's -- the evidence has been 13 proven or whatever you want to -- do you understand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Correct. Yeah. 14 Yes, 15 sir. 16 THE COURT: Okay. And that's why I ask that. So 17 can you do that? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: I believe so, yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Do you know of any reason why you 20 couldn't be completely fair and impartial if you're selected 21 in this case? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No, except for again, 23 for the friend. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: But I think I can

2917

1 separate that, I believe.

THE COURT: Okay. So you can set that aside? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: I believe so. 3 Again, 4 it's hard to say now then actually during the trial. 5 THE COURT: Um-hum. Yeah. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: I might have certain 6 7 feelings that come up. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: But as of now, yeah, I 10 think so, yeah. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Is there any medical reason you 12 think that would prevent you from participating? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No. 14 THE COURT: Okay. One additional question; did you 15 have an opportunity to contact your work to see whether or not 16 you will still be getting paid? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: I will not be getting 18 paid. It's like a little mom and pop --19 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: -- restaurant so --20 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: -- I won't be getting 23 paid. 24 THE COURT: Do you do like the Hibachi type of 25 cooking, is that what that is, or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No, not Hibachi. It's 1 2 -- it's --THE COURT: -- when I say Japanese that's what I 3 think about. 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: -- noodles, it's 6 everything, hot side --7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: -- some side -- there's 9 another chef for sushi. THE COURT: Okay. 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: But I do all the -- I'm 12 the line cook, the prep cook, I'm everything. 13 THE COURT: When -- when -- I mean, do you -- what are your hours? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: 11:00 to 2:00 for lunch, 15 16 so I've missed today. And then 4:00 to 11:00 for dinner, Tuesday through Friday, and then Saturday, Sunday, just 17 18 dinner. THE COURT: Okay. 19 20 MS. HOLTHUS: Judge, can we approach? 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 (Off-record bench conference) THE COURT: Mr. Dunbar --23 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes. 25 THE COURT: -- if we were to keep you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Um-hum. 1 THE COURT: -- if you were to make it on the jury, 2 3 would you be able to work -- I mean, I get -- let everyone go 4 by 5:00, would you be able to work sometime in the afternoon 5 or -- or is it going to be a point where they're not going to use you because you're not there from 4:00? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Probably not going to 8 use us, because the dinner rush is probably about 5:00 to 9 about 8:00 --Oh, okay. 10 THE COURT: 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: -- 5:00 to 7:00 --12 THE COURT: All right. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: -- and I need to get 13 14 there for prep and everything. 15 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: 16 Yeah. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Dunbar, I'm going to excuse you. Ι 18 appreciate you being willing to serve here. I'll excuse you 19 if you want to be excused. If not, that's up to you. I'm 20 going to leave it up to you. What do you want to do? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Um --22 THE COURT: I mean, I don't -- we don't want to --23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: I understand. 24 -- screw your life up, you know --THE COURT: 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: I understand. I just --

THE COURT: -- it's just --1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: I do take pride in, you 2 3 know, this, but financially, yeah, it's --4 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: -- yeah. THE COURT: Mr. Dunbar, thank you so much. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yeah. 8 THE COURT: I'll excuse you. You can go ahead and go back to the Jury Commission room and let them know that 9 you've been excused; okay? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: All right. And we're going to put 13 another person in Mr. Dunbar's spot. Thank you so much, Mr. Dunbar. 14 15 In Seat No. 1, it's Badge No. 133, THE CLERK: 16 Erica, is it Urias? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Urias. 18 THE COURT: Urias. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MS. RADOSTA: Judge, can I approach your Clerk for a 21 I think I got mixed up on some of the people that second? 22 just got sat in, the new people. 23 Can I actually approach? 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 MS. RADOSTA: Thanks.

THE COURT: Ms. Urias, how long have you lived in 1 2 Las Vegas? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: 3 Ten years. THE COURT: Where are you from originally? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: California. THE COURT: Are you employed? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes. 8 THE COURT: What do you do for work? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I'm a registered nurse. 10 THE COURT: Okay. And how far did you get in your 11 education then? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I have an associates 12 13 degree in nursing. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And are you married? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes. 15 16 THE COURT: Is your spouse employed? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Right now, we're 18 currently separated. We live in separate homes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I have three children 20 21 and five stepkids. 22 THE COURT: How old are they? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: 18, 17, 16, 13, 14, 15, 24 11 and 7. 25 And they're all living there at THE COURT: Wow.

1 your house?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I have my two biological 2 3 -- three biological children live with me, and then my other step kids are with my husband. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. So the three that are living with you, how old are they? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: 18, 16, and 7. 8 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So have you ever 9 served as a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: 10 No. 11 THE COURT: And have you or anyone close to you such 12 as a family member or a friend member or a friend ever been a 13 victim of a crime? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: 14 No. 15 THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you such as a family member or a friend ever been accused of a crime? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No. 18 THE COURT: Would you have a tendency to give more 19 weight or credence or less weight or credence to the testimony 20 of a police officer simply because he or she is a police 21 officer? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No. 23 THE COURT: Can you wait in forming your opinion as 24 to the guilt or innocense of the defendant until all the 25 evidence has been heard and consider the instructions I give

2923

1 you and the law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes. 2 3 THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you 4 couldn't be completely fair and impartial if you're selected 5 in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I just think it would be 6 7 hard for me to view the evidence and fully given the circumstances of the case. I don't know if I'd be able to 8 9 watch the videos and go through the evidence. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: It'd be kind of hard for 12 me just --13 THE COURT: Well, as a nurse, you see some pretty horrific things, don't you? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. And as a nurse you have to 17 evaluate some pretty horrific things before you make a 18 decision on doing something that may be a life or death 19 situation; do you not? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: 20 Yes. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I just think when 23 children are involved it's -- it's more harder than as adults, 24 That's my opinion. for me. 25 THE COURT: What happens when a child comes in that

2924

you have to ascertain or determine the cause or --1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Um, well, I'm a labor 2 3 and delivery nurse. I -- I've been doing that for the last two-and-a-half years. So I deliver babies, and --4 5 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: -- we necessarily don't 6 7 deal with, you know, infants, preschoolers, we -- it's just 8 babies coming into the world pretty much. THE COURT: Have you ever seen a situation where a 9 10 baby comes in that's complicated? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I've delivered babies 12 who are no longer living and, yes. 13 THE COURT: And you've had to endure that. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes. 14 15 THE COURT: Was that uncomfortable for you? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: It's not my favorite 17 part of my job. It's one of the worst things I have to deal 18 with as a nurse on my -- in my Department, yes. 19 THE COURT: Under the circumstances here though, the 20 question I'm asking you is that -- I mean, we -- I know that 21 this is a tough situation, a tough case based on what you've 22 heard. But are you saying that you wouldn't be willing to --23 to look at the evidence here and --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I'm not saying I 25 wouldn't be willing. I don't know if I'd be able to handle

the evidence just on the graphics and the stuff like that. 1 THE COURT: Okay. Okay, Do you know of any reason 2 3 -- do you know of any medical reason that would prevent you 4 from participating in this case? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No. THE COURT: Okay. All right. 6 7 Can you pass that over to Lindsay Blood? Okay. 8 MS. RADOSTA: Your Honor, can we approach just for a 9 really quick second? THE COURT: 10 Sure. Sure. 11 (Off-record bench conference) 12 THE COURT: So, Ms. Blood? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes. 14 THE COURT: She's Badge 002. 15 Ms. Blood, how long have you lived in Las Vegas? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Thirty-one years. 16 17 THE COURT: How long? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Thirty-one. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Are you employed? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No, I'm a stay-at-home 21 mother. 22 THE COURT: And how far did you get in your 23 education? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I graduated high school. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Are you married?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes. 1 THE COURT: Is your spouse employed? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: 3 Yes. Yes. THE COURT: What does your spouse do? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: He works for the City of Las Vegas. He is [inaudible] for the Parks Department. 6 7 THE COURT: Can you hear her okay, Christine? 8 THE COURT RECORDER: No, it's cutting in and out. 9 THE COURT: All right. So he works for the City of 10 Las Vegas, Parks and Recreation? Okay. 11 Do you have any children? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes, I have five 13 children. 14 THE COURT: Okay. How old are they? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: 1, 4, 8 and twin 11-15 16 year-olds. 17 THE COURT: Okay. And -- okay. Have you ever 18 served as a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: 19 No. 20 THE COURT: And have you or anyone close to you such 21 as family member or friend ever been a victim of a crime? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Can you tell us about it? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: My sister-in-law was a 25 victim of rape.

THE COURT: Okay. How long ago? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Probably about ten years 2 3 ago. THE COURT: Okay. Here in Las Vegas? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No, in Cedar City. THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that 6 7 event and what you know of it that you think would affect your 8 ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I don't think so. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. And anyone else? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I have cousins as well. 12 But --THE COURT: Okay. Cousins -- I have cousins, too. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I have cousins that were 14 15 -- many cousins that have been --16 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: -- molested. 17 18 THE COURT: Anything that stands out that you --19 that we should know about, that you think would affect your 20 ability to be fair and impartial here? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: (No audible response). 22 THE COURT: Okay. And was the most recent one, the 23 one that you just talked about? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: My sister-in-law. 25 THE COURT: Okay. And are you close with your

1 sister-in-law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Very. 2 3 THE COURT: All right. And you've had discussions 4 with her about it? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Do you think you can still 6 7 be fair and impartial in this matter? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I think I could. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone close to you 10 such as a family member or friend ever been accused of a 11 crime? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No. 13 THE COURT: What about that -- the one with your 14 sister-in-law, was that -- did anyone get accused of that one? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes. 15 16 THE COURT: What happened to it? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: They went to jail. 18 THE COURT: It went to trial then and --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes. And then they 19 20 released, and then they did it again. 21 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Not to her, but. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: But another person. 25 THE COURT: So how did you hear about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: From her. 1 THE COURT: Oh. So she -- she told you that she 2 3 knew that it happened again? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Um-hum. It's a small 5 town. THE COURT: Is that a "yes"? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And that's Cedar City? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Would you have a tendency to give 10 11 more weight or credence or less weight or credence to the 12 testimony of a police officer simply because he or she is a 13 police officer? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: 14 No. No. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Can you wait in forming your 16 opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant until all the evidence has been heard and after considering the 17 18 instructions that I'll give you on the law? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. Do you know of any reason why you 21 couldn't be completely fair and impartial if you're selected 22 in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: 23 No. 24 THE COURT: Is there any medical reason that would 25 prevent you from participating?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I do have a doctor's 1 2 appointment next week and that could change things. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Can you change that or? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I have a growth on my 4 5 ovary. THE COURT: 6 Okay. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: So I really can't change 8 that. 9 THE COURT: Okay. When is that doctor's appointment 10 scheduled? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: The 11th. I believe 12 it's at like 9:30. 13 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ma'am, I want to go back to the situation you told me about your sister-in-law. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes. 15 16 THE COURT: You said that happened in Cedar City 17 about ten years ago? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: About, yes. THE COURT: The individual that was accused of it, 19 20 did it happen again in Cedar City? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Okay. So the person didn't move out of 23 Cedar City --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No. 25 THE COURT: -- and it happened someplace else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Correct. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. All right. 3 Thank you, Ms. Blood. 4 Can you pass that to Melissa Zaragoza, Badge 077. 5 Ms. Zaragoza, how long have you lived in Las Vegas? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Twenty-five years. 6 7 THE COURT: And are you employed? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes. 9 What do you do for work? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I'm a customer service 10 11 rep. 12 THE COURT: Okay. How far did you get in your 13 education? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I graduated high school. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Are you married? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: 16 No. 17 THE COURT: Do you have any children? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes. 19 THE COURT: How old and how many? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Two, my daughter's 4, 21 and my son's 1. 22 THE COURT: Okay. And have you ever been -- served 23 as a juror before? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: No. 25 THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you such as

a family member or a friend ever been victim of a crime? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: No. 2 3 THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you such as 4 a family member or a friend ever been accused of a crime? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: No. THE COURT: Would you have a tendency to give more 6 7 weight or credence or less weight or credence to the testimony 8 of a police officer simply because he or she is a police officer? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: 10 No. 11 THE COURT: Can you wait in forming your opinion as 12 to the quilt or innocence of the defendant until all the 13 evidence has been heard and after considering the instructions I give you at the close of the case? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes. 15 16 THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you 17 couldn't be completely fair and impartial if you're selected 18 here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: It's just very hard for 19 20 me because I love children, it's just very emotional for me. 21 THE COURT: Okay. I'm not asking anybody to put -set their emotions aside or leave them outside the door, I 22 23 mean, you understand that in certain cases -- and the reason 24 why there's so many people here, and I have another 300 people 25 like you that went through this, as well. I understand that

1 it's emotional.

The question is, is that, understanding your 2 3 emotions, knowing what you are all about, can you set them aside for purposes of determining the strength or weakness of 4 5 the evidence that's presented in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I just feel like I 6 7 wouldn't be fair. 8 THE COURT: In what regard? Can you tell me what --9 what it is that you wouldn't -- why you wouldn't be fair? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I wouldn't fee 10 11 comfortable like seeing videos or images or anything like 12 that. 13 THE COURT: I don't think anybody would be. I don't 14 -- I really don't think anybody would comfortable in viewing 15 videos or looking at a crime that may have taken place or may 16 have not taken place. But understand under the system of 17 justice that we have, a jury is the determination of the 18 So the jury makes the determination of what the facts facts. 19 are. 20 The video may show something that you completely 21 disagree with the State or disagree with the defense as to 22 what they say it shows. And that's -- that's the beauty of 23 it. 24 Would you consider the video? Would you -- would --25 if I was instructed -- to instruct you that you need to

Page 213

2934

consider the evidence in the case, would you do that? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I just wouldn't feel 2 3 comfortable. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Understand not feeling 5 comfortable. Like I said, many of you probably don't want to be here at all, just simply because of the nature of the case. 6 7 But can -- do you think you could be fair? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I can try. THE COURT: 9 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: It's just hard for me 10 11 because I have two kids of my own. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Are you -- are you in a situation 13 right now that you've already made a decision as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I feel like my mind is 16 set. 17 THE COURT: Right now? And that's -- you haven't 18 heard any evidence at all. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Just by reading 20 everything. 21 THE COURT: But you haven't heard any evidence. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I know. 23 THE COURT: Nothing has been -- I mean, the State 24 gave you a statement, if they weren't able to establish that, 25 and that's you to decide, then --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I can try. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. 3 All right. Is there any medical reason that would prevent you from participating? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: No. THE COURT: All right. Can you pass that over then 6 7 to -- to Stephanie Taylor, is Badge 005. 8 Ms. Taylor, how long have you lived in Las Vegas? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. Twenty-five years. 10 THE COURT: And you said you're a principal with the 11 School District. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes, I am. 13 THE COURT: So do you have a Masters? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes, I do. 15 I say that because in my THE COURT: Yeah. 16 experience as a Judge, when we talk to teachers, it impresses 17 me that I would say 99 percent of all of our teachers have 18 And so that's impressive to me, that -- yeah. masters. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: They're amazing, yes. 20 Yeah. So all right, so do you have any THE COURT: 21 other degrees besides a masters? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No, I just have a 23 bachelors and a masters. 24 THE COURT: Is it in teaching? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No, my bachelors is

actually in journalism with an emphasis in public relations. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: And my masters is in 4 educational leadership. 5 THE COURT: Good. And are you -- are you married? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I'm divorced. 6 7 Okay. Do you have any children? THE COURT: 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. 9 THE COURT: How many? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: 10 I have two. 11 THE COURT: And how old are they? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: They're 21 and 22. 13 THE COURT: Are they employed? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: They're both full-time 15 students. THE COURT: Here in --16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I wish they were 18 employed, yes, they're --19 THE COURT: They're going to school here? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes, yes. 21 THE COURT: Are they living with you? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes, they are. 23 THE COURT: Okay. What are they studying? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: My daughter just 25 finished her bachelors in psychology --

THE COURT: Okay. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: -- and now she's getting 2 3 a masters in public policy. THE COURT: And they're not -- and she's not 4 5 employed? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No, you can't be 6 7 employed with her masters that she's doing. She's -- it's a 8 -- it's Bradston [phonetic] position. 9 THE COURT: Yeah, because she's pulling some 10 psychology. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: At least that's what she tells me, yes. 12 13 THE COURT: I think she's pulling some psychology. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. And I --14 15 THE COURT: I think she's pulling some psychology on 16 you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. And my son's at 17 18 CSI [sic] and he's studying business. THE COURT: Okay. That's good. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Um-hum. 20 21 THE COURT: All right. So have you ever served as a 22 juror before? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No, I haven't. 24 THE COURT: All right. Have you or anyone close to 25 you such as a family member or a friend ever been a victim of

a crime? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. My mom was 2 3 molested when she was young by an uncle. THE COURT: Okay. And when was it that you learned 4 5 about that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I learned about it many 6 7 years later from my grandmother. 8 THE COURT: Okay. How old were you when she -- when 9 your grandmother told you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Oh, goodness, probably 10 11 30 or so. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that 13 experience and what you were told you think would affect your 14 ability to be fair and impartial in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No, I don't think so. 15 Ι 16 think it makes you very sensitive though to how easy it would 17 be to take advantage of a family member. THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone close to you 18 19 such as a family member or a friend ever been accused of a 20 crime? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Would you have a tendency to give 23 more weight or credence or less weight or credence to the 24 testimony of a police officer simply because he or she is a 25 police officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. 1 THE COURT: Can you wait in forming your opinion as 2 3 to the guilt or innocence of the defendant until all the evidence has been heard and after considering the instructions 4 5 I'll give you on the case -- at the close of this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. 6 7 Okay. Do you know of any reason why you THE COURT: 8 couldn't be completely fair and impartial if you were selected 9 in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: My concern about this 10 11 case is that, you know, for 25 years I've been a teacher and 12 administrator. My number one priority every day has always 13 been to protect students, to protect young students. And I've 14 dealt with situations that have been very hard with abuse, and 15 my heart always goes to the child and that's -- that's difficult for me. 16 Have you been involved in having to 17 THE COURT: 18 resolve disputes as a principal? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Oh, yes. 20 THE COURT: And when you're resolving disputes, 21 would you not say that you want to review all the evidence 22 before you make a decision? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Absolutely. 24 THE COURT: And have you ever had to resolve a 25 dispute between a teacher and a child? 26 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. THE COURT: And so would you want to see all the 27 Page 219

2940

evidence before you've made the decision one way or the other 1 even though your heart goes out to the child? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. 3 THE COURT: That's kind of what we're asking you to 4 5 do here. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Um-hum. 6 7 THE COURT: So understanding, and, you know, proudly 8 saying that you want to protect a child in the position you're 9 in, and I applaud you for that. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Right. 10 11 THE COURT: My question to you is that, can you be 12 fair in this case? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I really do think I can. 14 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: But I am -- because I'm 15 16 fair every day at my job, at least I hope to be. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: That it's just, given my 19 day job and 25 years doing it, it's just -- it's just a little bit difficult. 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. But you haven't heard anything. 22 You've read some statements that were made to you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: 23 Yes. 24 THE COURT: And a statement was made to you when we

started this selection process. But you understand, that's 1 2 not evidence. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: 3 Right. THE COURT: What they say to you, and what that --4 5 that's not evidence. That's just saying -- that's basically that allegation I was talking about. Do you understand that? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. 8 THE COURT: So that's basically, in the situation 9 you're in, when an adult and a child has a dispute and you're 10 resolving that dispute. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Um-hum. 12 THE COURT: So do you immediately make a decision 13 based on the adult telling you what happened or do you wait to see what the other side might have? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No, we always have to weigh both sides. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. That's what's being asked here. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Right. 19 THE COURT: Do you understand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes. 20 21 Do you think you could do that? THE COURT: 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: You know, in my job, you 23 have to have a fairly decent IQ, but you have to have a really 24 powerful kind of, in overdrive, EQ. And I feel -- I just --

I'm nervous about it. That's all I can say. I'm nervous 1 about it. Do I think I can do it? I think I can do anything. 2 But I am nervous about this particular subject matter. 3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Is there any medical 4 5 reason that would prevent you from participating in the 6 [inaudible]? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No. 8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Taylor. 9 Can you pass it to Vidal Alvarado? This is 079. Mr. -- is that right, Alvarado? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Correct. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Alvarado, how long have you lived in 13 Las Vegas? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Eight years. 14 15 THE COURT: And where are you from originally? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: El Salvador. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. And are you employed? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Absolutely. 19 THE COURT: What do you do for work? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: I'm a hotel operations 21 manager. 22 THE COURT: Okay. How far did you get in your 23 education? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Bachelors Degree.

THE COURT: What's --1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: A bachelor's degree. 2 THE COURT: 3 In what? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Computer Science 4 5 Engineering. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Are you married? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: No. 8 THE COURT: Do you have any children? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: No. Have you ever served as a juror before? 10 THE COURT: 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: No. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone close to you 13 such as a family member or a friend ever been a victim of a crime? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Negative. 16 THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you such as 17 a family member or a friend ever been accused of a crime? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: No, sir. 19 THE COURT: Would you have a tendency to give more 20 weight or credence or less weight or credence to the testimony 21 of a police officer simply because he or she is a police 22 officer? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: 23 No. 24 THE COURT: Can you wait in forming your opinion as

to the quilt or innocence of the defendant until all the 1 2 evidence has been heard and after considering the instructions I'll give you on the law? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Yes, sir. 4 5 THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you 6 couldn't be a completely fair and impartial juror if you're 7 selected in this case? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: No, sir. 9 THE COURT: Is there any medical reason that would prevent you from participating? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: No, sir. 12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Alvarado. 13 Can you pass that to Jill Pressman, is Badge 012. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Hello. 14 15 THE COURT: Ms. Pressman, how long have you lived in 16 Las Vegas? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I moved here in '93. 18 THE COURT: Where'd you move from? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: New York. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. Are you employed? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Yes. 21 22 THE COURT: What do you do for work? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I work for the State of 24 Nevada, Human Resource trainer.

THE COURT: Okay. And so how far did you get in 1 2 your education? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I have a masters. 3 THE COURT: A masters? In what? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: (Indecipherable) management. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. And are you married? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Yes. 9 Is your spouse employed? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: 10 Yes. 11 THE COURT: What does your spouse do? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: He's the President of 12 13 ConvExx, a small convention company. THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any children? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I have two. 15 THE COURT: How old are they? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I have -- I -- I have a 18 fifth grader who's ten and an eight-year-old --19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- girl. 21 THE COURT: And have you ever served as a juror 22 before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: 23 No. 24 THE COURT: You told us previously that you observe 1 the Jewish Holiday.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Correct. 2 THE COURT: And there's two matters -- two issues 3 4 that are coming up, two holidays that are coming up. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Correct. THE COURT: Can you tell me how do they work with 6 7 regards to -- I know -- I understand one of them is from -- is 8 it from sundown to --9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: They're both from sundown to --10 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- the next day sundown. 13 THE COURT: Would you be okay serving as a juror if 14 you were out of here by sundown and you didn't have to come in 15 until after sunup? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: 16 Yes. 17 THE COURT: Okay. So if I get you out of here by --18 if you're a juror and if I get you out of here before sundown, you'll be fine, as long as I don't have you here before sunup? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I have to be out of here 20 by 4:00 to get there, because one's a fasting holiday. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: So I have to be able to 24 get home to eat.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: And then to temple. 2 Okay. Which one is that one? 3 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: So -- Yom Kippur. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. So Rash Hashanah is the first one, right? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: That's the first one. 8 That's the Jewish New Year. 9 THE COURT: And you just need to be out by -- by --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: If I got out by 4:00 to 10 11 get home in order to have my holiday meal before going to 12 temple. 13 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: That would be 14 15 appreciated. So both of them, the same time by 4:00? 16 THE COURT: 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. All right. If you make it on the 19 jury, make sure you remind me of that; okay? I certainly 20 don't want to do anything to --21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Okay. 22 THE COURT: -- affect your holiday; okay? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I appreciate that. 24 THE COURT: All right. Okay. So did I -- I asked

you if you'd been a juror before, right, and you said no? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Correct. 2 3 THE COURT: Is there anyone close to you such as a family member or a friend ever been a victim of a crime? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No. THE COURT: How about has any -- have you or anyone 6 7 close to you such as a family member or a friend ever been 8 accused of a crime? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: 9 Yes. THE COURT: Can you tell us about that? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: My first cousin was --12 served time for drugs. 13 THE COURT: When was it? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: In his -- about 20 14 15 years, because I'm --16 THE COURT: Back in New York? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No, in California. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that experience and what you knew of that you think would --19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No. 20 21 THE COURT: -- affect your ability to be fair and 22 impartial here? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No, they're -- they're 24 no relation to drugs and sexual crime.

THE COURT: I know. But what about -- was there 1 2 anything that happened, did you feel that he was mistreated or 3 -- or --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Oh, well, now that I --4 5 THE COURT: -- he was prosecuted --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- well, now that drugs 6 7 are legal --8 THE COURT: -- or anything --9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- I feel, yes. What's that? 10 THE COURT: 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Now, that drugs are 12 legal, then he went to -- you know, that he served for. But, 13 no. 14 THE COURT: So it was marijuana? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Yes. 15 16 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Now, I look back on it, 18 I think it was a silly sentence. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So -- so with that in mind, you 20 understand that the prosecution, although it has nothing to do 21 with drugs here, is that the prosecution in this case, may, 22 you know, the State of Nevada has prosecuted individuals in 23 the past for drugs and -- and possibly even marijuana and, as 24 a matter of fact, I know there's one I have right now in my

court that has to do with some manufacturing of marijuana --1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Okay. 2 3 THE COURT: -- which is still illegal if you don't 4 have proper licensing. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: True. THE COURT: So with that being said, is that 6 7 something that the State needs to be worried about that --8 that you're going to hold it against somebody because your ex-9 husband was prosecuted with -- to a crime that you felt was silly? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Well, it's my first 12 cousin. It wasn't --13 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you said it 14 was a --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No, my first cousin. 15 THE COURT: Oh, I thought you said it was my --16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No. 17 THE COURT: -- my first husband. 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No, no, my first -- my 20 first -- my -- like no, no, no, no. 21 THE COURT: So I was going to count. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No, no, my -- it's my --23 THE COURT: I was going to ask how many have you 24 had?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- first cousin. 1 No, 2 no, first cousin. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: 4 No. 5 THE COURT: Okay. So is that -- that's once again, 6 is that something that was -- that the State may be worried 7 about? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I don't think so. 9 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: So you asked for --10 11 that's the only crime that I know of in my family. 12 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: That's why. 14 THE COURT: Anyone else? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: 15 No. 16 THE COURT: All right. Would you have a tendency to 17 give more weight or credence or less weight or credence to the 18 testimony of a police officer simply because he or she is a police officer? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: 20 Yes. 21 Okay. Can you tell me about that? THE COURT: 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I look at police 23 officers in a really high -- in high regards. And so I tend 24 to look at them more in a high regards, in terms of what they

-- when they testify or they say something --1 THE COURT: Um-hum. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- I tend to give them 3 4 the benefit of the doubt of they're being more honest than an 5 everyday person. THE COURT: Okay. Would you automatically just 6 7 accept whatever was being said by the police officer 8 irrespective if there was evidence to show contrary? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I have a tendency to be 10 more gullible when someone's wearing a badge and they're in an 11 officer position --12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: -- in terms of believing 14 them, based on their position. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Can you wait in forming your 16 opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant until 17 all the evidence has been presented and you consider the laws 18 that I instruct you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Yes. 19 20 THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you 21 couldn't be completely fair and impartial if you're selected 22 in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: 23 No. 24 THE COURT: Is there any medical reason that would

1 prevent you from participating?

2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Pressman. Can you pass that to Glen --4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Caceres. 5 THE COURT: -- Caceres? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Is 015. Mr. Caceres, how long have you 9 lived in Las Vegas? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Over 35 years, on and 11 off. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So you're in and out? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Well, I was in the military for awhile. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Are you employed now? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Yes. 16 17 THE COURT: What do you do for work? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: A surgical tech at the 19 hospital. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And how far did you get in your 21 education? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: A bachelors in healthcare administration. 23 24 THE COURT: All right. And are you married?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Divorced. 1 THE COURT: How far -- okay, do you have any 2 3 children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: 4 Two. 5 THE COURT: How old? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: 16 and 18. 6 7 THE COURT: Are they here with you now? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Okay. And have you ever served as a juror before? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: No. Never. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone close to such 13 as a family member or a friend ever been a victim of a crime? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Yes. 14 15 THE COURT: Can you tell me about it? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Over 30 years ago, a 17 friend of mine, his sister was molested by her father. 18 THE COURT: Okay. When did you learn about that? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: In my 40s. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And was there anything about that 21 experience of what you were told you think would affect your 22 ability to be fair and impartial here? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: No. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else -- anyone else

1 victim of a crime? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: No. THE COURT: All right. Have you or anyone close to 3 4 you such as a family member or a friend ever been accused of a 5 crime? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: How long ago? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: I don't know. It was my 9 brother. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Yeah. Drugs. 12 THE COURT: Here in Vegas? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Yes. THE COURT: Whatever came of that? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: He went to jail for 15 awhile. I don't know if it was --16 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: -- prison or what. 19 THE COURT: Were you close to your brother when this 20 was happening? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: No. 22 THE COURT: Were you -- did you know anything about 23 the case when it was happening --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: No.

THE COURT: -- other than what he was telling you? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Correct. I didn't even 2 talk to him. 3 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: We just started talking recently. 6 7 THE COURT: Is there anything about that experience 8 you think would affect your ability to be fair and impartial 9 here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: 10 No. 11 THE COURT: Would you be holding that against the 12 State at all? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: No. THE COURT: Okay. Would you have the tendency to 14 give more weight or credence or less weight or credence to the 15 16 testimony of a police officer simply because he or she is a 17 police officer? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Absolutely not. 19 THE COURT: Did you say absolutely not? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Yes. 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. Can you wait in forming your 22 opinion as to the guilty or innocence of the defendant until 23 all the evidence has been presented and I've instructed you on 24 the law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Absolutely. 1 THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you 2 couldn't be completely fair and impartial if you were selected 3 here? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: No. THE COURT: Is there any medical reason that would 6 7 prevent you from participating? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: No. 9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. 10 Can you pass that over to Julie Arcemont? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Arcemont. Um-hum. 12 Arcemont. 13 THE COURT: Arcemont. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yeah. 14 15 THE COURT: 016. Ms. Arcemont, how long have you 16 lived in Las Vegas? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Twenty-one years. 18 THE COURT: And are you employed? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes. 19 20 THE COURT: What do you do for work? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Well, I'm a -- I'm an 22 optometrist. I'm an independent contractor --23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: -- so I'm -- yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I work. 2 3 THE COURT: And how far did you get in your education then? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Doctorate of Optometry. THE COURT: Okay. Are you married? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Is your spouse employed? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: 9 Yes. THE COURT: What does your spouse do? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: He works for a slot 12 machine company. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I have two boys, 15 and 14 13. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. And have you ever served as a 17 juror before? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No, I have not. 19 THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you such as 20 a family member or a friend ever been a victim of a crime? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: A good friend in college 22 was raped. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: So, yes.

THE COURT: So when did you learn about that? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Shortly afterward --2 3 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: -- in 1993. 4 5 Were you involved in the case at all? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No. I was just support 6 7 for her after it happened. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that case 9 you think would affect your ability to be fair and impartial 10 here? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I -- I don't know. No. 12 Probably not. 13 THE COURT: Okay. When you say, I don't know, no, 14 probably not, I mean, what is it? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: That's hard to say. 15 16 THE COURT: That's what you said. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I hope not. No. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I quess. 20 THE COURT: How long ago was it? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: It was 1993. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Did -- was it here in --23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No, it was in --24 THE COURT: -- in Nevada?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: -- San Diego. 1 THE COURT: Okay. Are you still friends with your 2 -- the friend that --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: -- do you still talk with her on 6 occasion or? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Oh, occasionally. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. And you're of the opinion 9 that you can set that aside and -- and base your decision on the facts of the case itself? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I don't know if that 12 affects me so much as having children affects me --13 THE COURT: Um-hum. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: -- and the whole -- the 14 15 whole case in general. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: But yes, I think -- I think it does affect me, yeah. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. I understand it affecting people 20 and that's completely understandable. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Um-hum. 22 THE COURT: But the question that I have is that can 23 you -- can you be fair in this case; wait until all the 24 evidence has been presented to you and decide the case based

1 on the evidence itself?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Honestly, from all that 2 3 we've heard so far, I don't know that I could. THE COURT: Really? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: The -- the summary that we read and what we've heard so far, I don't know that I 6 7 could. 8 THE COURT: In your profession --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Um-hum. 9 THE COURT: -- when someone tells you something 10 11 about someone's eyes, do you just accept that and act on that 12 or do you go and do some investigation yourself and look at 13 all the facts to support it? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: It depends. I do look 15 at the facts. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: That's true. I do the exam and decide. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever found in certain 20 situations where someone's come to you and said, hey, a doctor 21 said this is what is wrong with me, and you looked at it and 22 found that it was probably not correct? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Sure. That's happened. 24 THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: It's not quite the same
thing.

THE COURT: No, I agree with you. But in this situation you'll be set at the so-called doctor basing your opinion on the information that's provided to you as you view it, as you watch it, the evidence that's been presented to you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Right.

9 THE COURT: So -- so you'll be asked to determine 10 your verdict based on the evidence, solely the evidence 11 presented. And are you saying that you couldn't do that? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I don't know. I'm -- I

13 don't know.

8

14

15

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. All right. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Sorry.

16 THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Okay.

18 THE COURT: Is there any medical reason that would 19 prevent you from participating?

20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No.

21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.

22 Can you pass that over to Shahzad Razi?

23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: Yeah.

24 THE COURT: Mr. Razi is Badge 019. Mr. Razi, how

1 long have you lived in Las Vegas?

2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: Since 2005. THE COURT: And where are you from originally? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: Originally, from 4 5 Pakistan. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Razi, are you employed? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: I'm self-employed. 8 THE COURT: What do you do --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: I'm a consultant. 9 THE COURT: -- for work? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: I'm a computer systems 12 engineer. 13 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: And I do consulting for 14 15 a construction company. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Are you married? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Is your spouse employed? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: No, she's not. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any children? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: Yes. 22 THE COURT: How many? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: Five. 24 THE COURT: How old are they?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: 23, 19, 17, 15 and 4-1 2 and-a-half years. THE COURT: Okay. The 23-year-old and the 19; do 3 4 they work? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: They go to UNLV. THE COURT: Okay. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: My daughter is almost 8 finishing her elementary education bachelors. 9 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: And my son is going for 10 11 computer science. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever served as a juror 13 before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: 14 No. 15 THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you such as 16 a family member or a friend ever been a victim of a crime? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: No. 18 THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you such as a family member or a friend ever been accused of a crime? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: No. 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. Would you have the tendency to 22 give more weight or credence or less weight or credence to the 23 testimony simply because he or she is a police officer? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: No.

THE COURT: Can you wait in forming your opinion as 1 2 to the guilt or innocence of the defendant until all the 3 evidence has been presented and I've instructed you on the 4 law? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: Yes. THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you 6 7 couldn't be completely fair and impartial if you're selected 8 here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: 9 No. THE COURT: Is there any medical reason that would 10 11 prevent you from participating as a juror? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: No medical reason, but I 12 13 -- on Fridays, I would need like two hours between 1:00 and 14 3:00. 15 THE COURT: Why? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: That's my prayer day. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. 18 Razi. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 019: Yeah. 19 20 THE COURT: Can you pass that over to Megan 21 Pierrott? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Pierrott. 23 THE COURT: Pierrott, is Badge 021. 24 Ms. Pierrott, how long have you lived in Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Essentially, my entire 1 2 life. Okay. And are you employed? 3 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: I am. 4 5 THE COURT: What do you do for work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: I'm a registered nurse. 6 7 THE COURT: And how far did you get in your education then? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Masters Degree. 10 THE COURT: In? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: In nursing, with an 12 emphasis on education. 13 THE COURT: Okay. And are you married? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: I am. 14 15 THE COURT: Is your spouse employed? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: 16 Yes. 17 THE COURT: What does your spouse do? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: He works in the IT 18 19 Department at UNLV. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: I do. 21 22 THE COURT: How old? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: One and five. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever served as a juror

1 before?

\perp	before?		
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No, I have not.		
3	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you such as		
4	a family member or a friend ever been the victim of a crime?		
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.		
6	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you such as		
7	a family member or a friend ever been accused of a crime?		
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.		
9	THE COURT: Would you have a tendency to give more		
10	weight or credence or less weight or credence to the testimony		
11	of a police officer simply because he or she is a police		
12	officer?		
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.		
14	THE COURT: Can you wait in forming your opinion as		
15	to the guilt or innocence of the defendant until all the		
16	evidence has been heard and after considering the instructions		
17	I'll give you on the law?		
18	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you		
19	couldn't be completely fair and impartial if you're selected		
20	here?		
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.		
22	THE COURT: Is there any medical reason that would		
23	prevent you from participating as a juror?		
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.		
I	Page 247		

THE COURT: All right. Thank you so much, Ms. 1 2 Pierrott. Can you pass the mic forward? And can you please 3 4 pass that all the way down to the other end? It would go to 5 Heidi Johnson, Badge 081. 6 Okay. Ms. Johnson, how long have you lived Las 7 Vegas? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: I was born here. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Are you employed? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes, I am. 10 11 THE COURT: What do you do for work? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Hotel Operations 13 Director. THE COURT: Okay. How far did you get in your 14 education? 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: I attended UNLV, 17 hospitality major. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Did you actually graduate with 19 that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes. 20 21 THE COURT: So you have a Bachelor in Hospitality? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Okay. And are you married? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes.

THE COURT: And is your spouse employed? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes, he is. 2 What does he do? 3 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: He's a Commissioner. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. One of our County Commissioners? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes, for the Department 6 7 of Transportation. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And how -- do you have any 9 children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No. I have stepchildren 10 11 but they're grown and out of the house. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Johnson, did you indicate 13 earlier that you were married to a Municipal Court Judge? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes, I did. THE COURT: And is -- was that Mr. Assad or --15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes, it is. 16 17 THE COURT: -- Judge Assad? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Um-hum. 19 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Is there anything about your marriage with -- with Judge Assad you think that 20 21 would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in a -- in 22 a criminal trial? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever served as a juror

1 before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes, I have. 2 3 THE COURT: How many times? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: One time. 4 5 THE COURT: And --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: It was about five years 6 7 ago. 8 THE COURT: -- was it here in Las Vegas? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes, it was. Do you remember if it was a criminal or 10 THE COURT: 11 a civil trial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Criminal. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. Without telling us what the verdict was, were you able to reach a verdict? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Yes, we were. 16 THE COURT: And were you the foreperson? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No. 18 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything about that experience you think would affect your ability to be fair and 19 20 impartial if you're selected in this case? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: No. 22 THE COURT: All right. Have you or anyone close to 23 you such as a family member or a friend ever been a victim of 24 a crime?

1		
1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
2		NI 7002 (
3	CHRISTOPHER SENA,)	No. 79036
4	Appellant,	
5	v.)	
6) THE STATE OF NEVADA,)	
7	IIIE STATE OF NEVADA,)	
8	Respondent.)	
9	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XIII PAGES 2722-2971	
10	DARIN IMLAY	STEVE WOLFSON
11	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street	Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 AARON FORD	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
13		Attorney General 100 North Carson Street
14		Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
15		Counsel for Respondent
16		
17	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>	
18	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court 20 on the day of May 2020. Electronic Service of the foregoing document	
19	Supreme Court <u>20</u> on the day of May, 2020. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:	
20	AARON FORD	WILLIAM M. WATERS
21	ALEXANDER CHEN	HOWARD S. BROOKS
22		
23	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and	
24	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:	
25	CHRISTOPHER SENA, #1217884 HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON	
26	P.O. BOX 650	
27	INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070	
28		<u>Carrie Connolly</u>
20	Employee	e, Clark County Public Defender's Office