

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHRISTOPHER SENA,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 79036

**FILED**

**FEB 26 2021**

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. YOUNG  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER GRANTING MOTION*

Cause appearing, appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until April 5, 2021, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

Handwritten Signature, C.J.

cc: Clark County Public Defender  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney