1	ROGER P. CROTEAU, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4958
2	TIMOTHY E. RHODA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7878
3	ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
5	(702) 228-7719 (facsimile) Elizabeth A. Brown
6	<u>croteaulaw@croteaulaw.com</u> <u>Attorney for Appellant</u> Clerk of Supreme Court
7	LAS VÉĜAS DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC
8	
	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
9	***
10 11	LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC,) a Nevada limited liability company,
12	Appellant,) Supreme Court No. 79055
13	VS.
13) District Court Case No. A-15-715532-C
	JAMES R. BLAHA, an individual; BANK OF) AMERICA, NA, a National Banking)
15	Association, as successor by merger to BAC) HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP;)
16	RECONTRUST COMPANY NA, a Texas) corporation; EZ PROPERTIES, LLC, a Nevada)
17	limited liability company; K&L BAXTER) FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Nevada)
18	limited partnership; FCH FUNDING, INC, an) unknown corporate entity,
19	Respondents.)
20	JOINT MOTION FOR REMAND TO EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
21	AND REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL OF APPEAL
22	
23	COMES NOW, Appellant, LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC, and
24	Respondents, JAMES R. BLAHA; BANK OF AMERICA, NA; RECONTRUST COMPANY
25	NA; EZ PROPERTIES, LLC; K&L BAXTER FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP; and
26	NOBLE HOME LOANS, INC. fka FCH FUNDING, INC, by and through their respective
27	undersigned counsel, and jointly move this Court, pursuant to Foster v. Dingwall, 126 Nev. 49,
27	228 P.3d 453 (2010), for an Order remanding this matter back to the District Court and
	Page 1 of 7 7639 Turquoise Stone

Docket 79055 Document 2020-31404

1	dismissing this appeal. The parties also jointly n	nove the Court to suspend the briefing schedule	
2	until it decides the Motion to Remand, as further briefing will be unnecessary if the Court		
3	remands the case. The Opening Brief is presently due on August 31, 2020, pursuant to this		
4	Court's Order dated July 31, 2020. This Motion is made and based upon the attached		
5	Memorandum of Points and Authorities and all p	papers and pleadings on file herein.	
6	DATED this <u>25th</u> day of Augu	ust, 2020.	
7 8	ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES, LTD.	MAURICE WOOD	
9 10	<u>/s/ Timothy E. Rhoda</u> ROGER P. CROTEAU, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4958 TIMOTHY E. RHODA, ESQ.	<u>/s/ Brittany Wood</u> AARON R. MAURICE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6412 BRITTANY WOOD, ESQ.	
11	Nevada Bar No. 7878 2810 West Charleston Blvd., Suite 75	Nevada Bar No. 7562 9525 Hillwood Drive, Suite 140	
12 13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 (702) 254-7775 <i>Attorney for Plaintiff</i>	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Attorney for Defendants James R. Blaha and Noble Home Loans	
14	Las Vegas Development Group, LLC	formerly known as FCH Funding, Inc.	
15	LAW OFFICES OF KEVIN R. HANSEN	AKERMAN, LLP	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23 	<u>/s/ Amy M. Wilson</u> KEVIN R. HANSEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6336 AMY M. WILSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13421 5440 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 206 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Attorney for Defendants EZ Properties, LLC and K&L Baxter Family Partnership	<u>/s/ Lilith V. Xara</u> LILITH V. XARA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13138 1635 Village Center Circle, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Attorney for Defendants Bank of America, N.A. and Recontrust Company	
23	MEMORANDUM OF POI	NTS AND AUTHORITIES	
24	A. STATEMENT OF THE FACTS		
25 26		elated to real property commonly known as 7639	
26	Turquoise Stone Court, Las Vegas, Nevada 8911		
27 28	within a common interest community known as 1		

Page 2 of 7

("HOA") and was the subject of a homeowners association lien foreclosure sale conducted by 1 Absolute Collection Services, LLC ("ACS") on behalf of HOA ("HOA Foreclosure Sale"). Las 2 Vegas Development Group, LLC ("LVDG") purchased the Property on April 12, 2011, by 3 successfully bidding at the HOA Foreclosure Sale in accordance with N.R.S. 116.3116, et seq. 4 On August 29, 2011, the Property was then sold at a deed of trust foreclosure sale to third-party 5 purchaser, EZ Properties LLC ("EZ"). One-month later, on September 30, 2011, James R. 6 Blaha, the current record property owner, purchased the Property from EZ. Following his 7 purchase of the Property, Blaha obtained a loan from Noble Home Loans, Inc. (formerly known 8 as FCH Funding, Inc.). The primary issues in the case involve the force and effect of the HOA 9 Foreclosure Sale upon a deed of trust recorded against the Property and held by Bank of 10 America, N.A. ("BANA"), the validity of a subsequent foreclosure sale conducted by BANA 11 based upon that deed of trust, and the subsequent sale of the Property to the current record 12 property owner, James R. Blaha. 13

On March 19, 2019, Blaha and Noble Home Loans, Inc. (collectively, the "Blaha 14 Defendants") filed a Motion for Summary Judgment asserting that LVDG's claims – which seek 15 to remove Blaha from title to the Property by rescinding two sales of the property – fail as a 16 matter of law based on Bank of Am., N.A. v. Thomas Jessup, LLC Series VII, 135 Nev. 42, 435 17 P.3d 1217 (2019) ("Jessup 1"). On March 25, 2019, the HOA filed a Limited Opposition to the 18 Blaha Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. The Opposition filed by the HOA objected to 19 three of the statements of undisputed fact identified in the Blaha Defendant's Motion (none of 20 which were material to the Motion), but conceded that Jessup I controlled the case and 21 acknowledged that the Deed of Trust survived the HOA Foreclosure Sale such that title to the 22 Property should be quieted in favor of the Blaha Defendants. Subsequent to filing its limited 23 Opposition, the claims against the HOA and ACS were dismissed without prejudice. The 24 defendants anticipate that the HOA and ACS will need to be rejoined as parties to this action. 25 26

- 27
- 28

On or about May 24, 2019, the district court entered an Order Granting Summary
 Judgment in favor of the Defendants. The primary basis for the Order Granting Summary
 Judgment was the Nevada Supreme Court's decision in the matter of *Jessup 1*. LVDG appealed
 the Order granting Summary Judgment on June 18, 2019. The Blaha Defendants filed a Notice
 of Cross Appeal on July 2, 2019.

On May 7, 2020, this Court issued an Order vacating its prior Order in *Jessup 1* and 6 replacing it with an Order Affirming in Part, Reversing in Part and Remanding to the district 7 court. Bank of Am. v. Jessup, No. 73785, 2020 Nev. Unpub. LEXIS 471 (May 7, 2020) ("Jessup 8 2"). Pursuant to Jessup 2, the en banc Supreme Court wholly reversed the Panel's decision in 9 Jessup 1. The parties agree that the final disposition of Jessup warrants the remand of this matter 10 for further proceedings. To that end, the parties requested that the District Court certify its intent 11 to vacate the Order appealed from herein. The District Court did so on August 18, 2020. See 12 Exhibit 1, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. 13

14

B. <u>LEGAL ARGUMENT</u>

The timely filing of a notice of appeal "divests the district court of jurisdiction to act and 15 vests jurisdiction in this court." See Foster v. Dingwall, 126 Nev. at 52, 228 P.3d at 454-455, 16 citing Mack-Manley v. Manley, 122 Nev. 849, 855, 138 P.3d 525, 529 (2006) (quoting Rust v. 17 Clark Cty. School District, 103 Nev. 686, 688, 747 P.2d 1380, 1382 (1987)). A District Court, 18 however, retains limited jurisdiction to review motions seeking to alter, vacate, or otherwise 19 change or modify an order or judgment challenged on appeal. See Foster, 228 P.3d at 455 citing 20 Mack-Manley, 122 Nev. At 855-56, 138 P.3d at 529-30 and Huneycutt v. Huneycutt, 94 Nev. 78, 21 80-81, 575 P.2d 585, 585-86 (1978). This limited jurisdiction allows the District Court to direct 22 briefing on the motion, hold a hearing regarding the motion, and enter an order denying the 23 motion, but does not allow it to enter an order granting such a motion. See Foster, 228 P.3d at 24 455 citing *Huneycutt*, 94 Nev. 78, 575 P.2d 585 (1978). 25

Where the District Court has exercised that limited jurisdiction and indicated its intention to grant the requested relief, the appropriate procedure is for the District Court to certify its intention to grant the requested relief. *Foster*, 126 Nev. at 55, 228 P.3d at 455 citing *Mack*-

Manley, 122 Nev. at 855, 138 P.3d at 530 and Huneycutt, 94 Nev. At 81, 575 P.2d at 587. After 1 the District Court certifies its intent to grant the requested relief, it is appropriate for the moving 2 party to file a motion - to which the District Court's certification of its intent to grant relief is 3 attached – with this Court seeking remand to the District Court for entry of an order granting the 4 requested relief. See Foster, 126 Nev. at 55, 228 P.3d at 455-56 citing Mack-Manley, 122 Nev. 5 at 855-56, 138 P.3d at 530 and Huneycutt, 94 Nev. At 81, 575 P.2d at 586. 6

Here, a timely appeal and cross-appeal were filed. After the release of the Jessup 2 7 decision, the parties conferred and determined that the intervening change in the law upon which 8 the Order appealed from was based warrants the remand of this matter. After considering the 9 parties' stipulation, the District Court certified its intent to vacate the Order appealed from. The 10 Certification was filed in the District Court on August 18, 2020. See Exhibit 1. 11

The parties recognize that it is within this Court's discretion to grant the instant Motion 12 for Remand. In the interest of judicial economy, and for the reasons expressed in the District 13 Court's Certification, the parties respectfully request that this Court exercise that discretion and 14 remand this matter back to the District Court so that the District Court may vacate its Order 15 Granting Summary Judgment and the case may move forward and be decided based upon the law 16 as it currently stands. 17

18 19

//

//

//

- // 20 21
- // 22
- // 23
- // 24 // 25
- // 26
- // 27
- 28

//

	CON		
1	CONCLUSION		
2	This case is appropriate for remand based on <i>Huneycutt v. Huneycutt</i> and <i>Foster v.</i>		
3	Dingwall, supra, because the District Court has certified its intent to vacate the Order appealed		
4	from. Accordingly, the parties hereto respect	tfully request that this Court remand the matter for	•
5	further proceedings. The parties further reque	est that the briefing schedule herein be suspended	
6	until this Court rules on this Motion, as furthe	er briefing will be unnecessary if the Court remand	S
7	the case.		
8	DATED this <u>25th</u> day of A	ugust, 2020.	
9	ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES, LTD.	MAURICE WOOD	
10	,		
11	lsl Timothy E. Rhoda	s Brittany Wood	
12	ROGER P. CROTEAU, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4958	AARON R. MAURICE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6412	
13	TIMOTHY E. RHODA, ESQ.	BRITTANY WOOD, ESQ.	
14	Nevada Bar No. 7878 2810 West Charleston Blvd., Suite 75	Nevada Bar No. 7562 9525 Hillwood Drive, Suite 140	
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 (702) 254-7775	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 <i>Attorney for Defendants</i>	
15	Attorney for Plaintiff	James R. Blaha and Noble Home Loans	
16	Las Vegas Development Group, LLC	formerly known as FCH Funding, Inc.	
17	LAW OFFICES OF KEVIN R. HANSEN	AKERMAN, LLP	
18		a chil at the	
19	Isl Amy M. Wilson	/s/ <i>Lilith V. Xara</i> LILITH V. XARA, ESQ.	
20	KEVIŃ R. HANSEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6336	Nevada Bar No. 13138 1635 Village Center Circle, Suite 200	
21	AMY M. WILSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13421	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 <i>Attorney for Defendants</i>	
22	5440 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 206 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146	Bank of America, N.A. and Recontrust Company	
23	<i>Attorney for Defendants</i> <i>EZ Properties, LLC and K&L Baxter</i>		
24	Family Partnership		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Pag	ge 6 of 7 7639 Turquoise Sto	one

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that I am an employee of ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
3	and that on the <u>25^{th}</u> day of August, 2020, I caused a true and correct copy of the
4	foregoing document to be served on all parties as follows:
5	X VIA ELECTRONIC SERVICE: through the Nevada Supreme Court's eflex e-file and
6	serve system.
7 8	VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, addressed as indicated on service list below in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada.
9	VIA FACSIMILE: by causing a true copy thereof to be telecopied to the number indicated on the service list below.
10 11	VIA PERSONAL DELIVERY: by causing a true copy hereof to be hand delivered on this date to the addressee(s) at the address(es) set forth on the service list below.
12	
13	<u>/s/ Timothy E. Rhoda</u>
14	An employee of ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
27	
28	Page 7 of 7 7639 Turquoise Stone

I

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

Docket 79055 Document 2020-31404

ī	ELECTRONICALLY SE		
	8/18/2020 3:33 PN	Λ	Electronically Filed
			08/18/2020 3:32 PM
			CLERK OF THE COURT
1	CERT		
2	ROGER P. CROTEAU, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4958		
3	TIMOTHY E. RHODA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7878		
3	ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES, LTD.		
4	2810 W. Charleston Blvd., #75 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102		
5	(702) 254-7775		
6	(702) 228-7719 (facsimile) croteaulaw@croteaulaw.com		
	Attorney for Plaintiff	~	
7	LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLO		
8	DISTRICT	COURT	
9			
10	CLARK COUN	IY, NEVADA	
11	**	*	
	LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC,		
12	a Nevada limited liability company,)	
13	Plaintiff,	Case No. Dept. No.	A-15-715532-C XXX
14	VS.		
15	JAMES R. BLAHA, an individual; BANK OF)	
16	AMERICA, NA, a National Banking Association, as successor by merger to BAC		
	HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP;		
17	RECONTRUST COMPANY NA, a Texas corporation; JOSE PEREZ, JR. an individual;		
18	EZ PROPERTIES, LLC, a Nevada limited		
19	liability company; K&L BAXTER FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Nevada limited)	
20	partnership; FCH FUNDING, INC, an unknown corporate entity; DOE individuals I through		
	XX; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through		
21	XX, Defendants.		
22			
23	CERTIFICATION OF	INTENT TO VA	CATE
24	ORDER GRANTING MOTION	FOR SUMMAR	Y JUDGMENT
25	The court, upon the joint request of the pa	rties hereto, has re	eviewed and considered the
26	papers on file herein, pursuant to NRCP 60 and it	s inherent power t	to manage litigation, finds as
27	follows:		
28			
	Page 1	of 3	7639 Turquoise Stone

1	1.	On or about May 24, 2019, this Court entered an Order Granting Summary
2		Judgment in favor of the Defendants herein.
3	2.	The primary basis for the Order Granting Summary Judgment was the Nevada
4		Supreme Court's prior decision in the matter of Bank of Am., N.A. v. Thomas
5		Jessup, LLC Series VII, 135 Nev. 42, 435 P.3d 1217 (2019) ("Jessup 1").
6	3.	Plaintiff, Las Vegas Development Group, LLC, appealed the Order granting
7		Summary Judgment on June 18, 2019. Defendants, James R. Blaha and Noble
8		Home Loans, Inc. f/k/a FCH Funding, Inc., filed a Notice of Cross Appeal on July
9		2, 2019.
10	4.	The appeal and cross-appeal divested this Court of jurisdiction unless remanded
11		pursuant to Huneycutt v. Huneycutt, 94 Nev. 79, 575 P.2d 585 (1978),
12		disapproved on other grounds by Foster v. Dingwall, 126 Nev, 228 P.3d
13		453 (2010).
14	5.	On May 7, 2020, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an Order vacating its prior
15		Order in Jessup 1 and replacing it with an Order Affirming in Part, Reversing in
16		Part and Remanding to the district court. Bank of Am. v. Jessup, No. 73785, 2020
17		Nev. Unpub. LEXIS 471 (May 7, 2020) ("Jessup 2"). Pursuant to Jessup 2, the
18		en banc Supreme Court wholly reversed the Panel's decision in Jessup 1.
19	6.	Accordingly, the stated basis on which the Order granting Motion for Summary
20		Judgment herein was granted is no longer valid.
21	GOOI	D CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, this Court hereby certifies that if the case on
22	appeal is rem	anded to this Court, it would vacate its Order granting Summary Judgment dated on
23	or about May	24, 2019, and enter an Order directing that this matter move forward with the
24	//	
25	//	
26	//	
27	//	
28	//	

1	parties being granted leave to file new motions for summary judgment based upon the current		
2	state of the law and/or such other relief upon which the parties and the Court may agree.		
3	DATED this day of August,	2020. Dated this 18th day of August, 2020	
4			
5			
6		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
7		6EB A1D BCD4 AFAB	
8	Respectfully submitted by:	Jerry A. Wiese District Court Judge	
9	ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES, LTD.	MAURICE WOOD	
10			
11	<u>/s/ Timothy E. Rhoda</u>	ls/Brittany Wood	
12	ROGER P. CROTEAU, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4958	AARON Ŕ. MAURICE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6412	
	TIMOTHY E. RHODA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7878	BRITTANY WOOD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7562	
13	2810 West Charleston Blvd., Suite 75 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102	9525 Hillwood Drive, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134	
14	(702) 254-7775 Attorney for Plaintiff	Attorney for Defendants James R. Blaha and Noble Home Loans	
15	Las Vegas Development Group, LLC	formerly known as FCH Funding, Inc.	
16	LAW OFFICES OF KEVIN R. HANSEN	AKERMAN, LLP	
17	LAW OFFICES OF KEVIN K. HANSEN		
18	1 June VA Milan	<u>/s/ Lilith V. Xara</u> LILITH V. XARA, ESQ.	
19	<u>/s/ Amy M. Wilson</u> KEVIN R. HANSEN, ESQ.	Nevada Bar No. 13138	
20	Nevada Bar No. 6336 AMY M. WILSON, ESQ.	1635 Village Center Circle, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134	
21	Nevada Bar No. 13421 5440 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 206	Attorney for Defendants Bank of America, N.A. and Recontrust	
22	Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 <i>Attorney for Defendants</i>	Company	
23	EZ Properties, LLC and K&L Baxter Family Partnership		
24	v 1		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Pa	ge 3 of 3 7639 Turquoise Stone	

Tim Rhoda

From:	Amy Wilson <amy@kevinrhansen.com></amy@kevinrhansen.com>
Sent:	Monday, August 10, 2020 4:23 PM
То:	Tim Rhoda; lilith.xara@akerman.com; bwood@mauricewood.com
Cc:	Receptionist; ariel.stern@akerman.com; melanie.morgan@akerman.com; Kevin R.
	Hansen
Subject:	RE: Las Vegas Development Group v. Blaha - No. 79055 - Perez

Tim,

On behalf of our clients EZ Properties, LLC and K&L Baxter Family Partnership I confirm my authorization for my Esignature on your proposed Certification of Intent to Vacate Order Granting Motion for Summary Judgment and Stipulation for Reconsideration and Certification.

Sincerely,

Amy M. Wilson, Esq. Law Offices of Kevin R. Hansen 5440 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 206 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Phone: (702) 478-7777 Fax: (702) 728-2484 amy@kevinrhansen.com

-DISCLAIMER-

This electronic mail message and any attachments are confidential and may also contain privileged attorney-client information or work product. The message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, or the person responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you may not use, disseminate, distribute or copy this communication. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify us by reply electronic mail or by telephone at (702) 478-7777, and delete this original message. Thank you.

From: Tim Rhoda <tim@croteaulaw.com>
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2020 3:27 PM
To: lilith.xara@akerman.com; bwood@mauricewood.com
Cc: Amy Wilson <amy@kevinrhansen.com>; Receptionist <receptionist@croteaulaw.com>; ariel.stern@akerman.com; melanie.morgan@akerman.com
Subject: RE: Las Vegas Development Group v. Blaha - No. 79055 - Perez

All,

In follow-up to Lilith's last email, I have updated the attached documents with e-signatures and the current date. Would you each please respond to this email in turn, confirming authorization for your e-signatures in order to conform with the district court's COVID19 rules for submitting documents? Thank you.

Tim

Timothy E. Rhoda

Of Counsel

Roger P. Croteau & Associates, Ltd. 9120 West Post Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Telephone: (702) 254-7775 Facsimile: (702) 228-7719 tim@croteaulaw.com

IRS Circular 230 Notice:

To ensure compliance with requirements by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

* * * NOTICE * * *

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain attorney/client information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by reply email or telephone at (702) 254-7775, and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

Tim Rhoda

From:	Brittany Wood <bwood@mauricewood.com></bwood@mauricewood.com>
Sent:	Monday, August 10, 2020 3:29 PM
То:	Tim Rhoda; lilith.xara@akerman.com
Cc:	amy@kevinrhansen.com; Receptionist; ariel.stern@akerman.com; melanie.morgan@akerman.com
Subject: Attachments:	RE: Las Vegas Development Group v. Blaha - No. 79055 - Perez image001.png

I consent to the use of my electronic signature.



Las Vegas, Nevada | 89134 Office: (702) 463-7616 | Fax: (702) 463-6224 bwood@mauricewood.com

This communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding tax penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer. This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, any use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately.

From: Tim Rhoda <tim@croteaulaw.com>
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2020 3:27 PM
To: lilith.xara@akerman.com; Brittany Wood <bwood@mauricewood.com>
Cc: amy@kevinrhansen.com; Receptionist <receptionist@croteaulaw.com>; ariel.stern@akerman.com; melanie.morgan@akerman.com
Subject: RE: Las Vegas Development Group v. Blaha - No. 79055 - Perez

All,

In follow-up to Lilith's last email, I have updated the attached documents with e-signatures and the current date. Would you each please respond to this email in turn, confirming authorization for your e-signatures in order to conform with the district court's COVID19 rules for submitting documents? Thank you.

Tim

Timothy E. Rhoda

Of Counsel

Roger P. Croteau & Associates, Ltd. 9120 West Post Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Telephone: (702) 254-7775 IRS Circular 230 Notice:

To ensure compliance with requirements by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

* * * NOTICE * * *

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain attorney/client information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by reply email or telephone at (702) 254-7775, and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

Tim Rhoda

From:	lilith.xara@akerman.com
Sent:	Monday, August 10, 2020 3:29 PM
То:	Tim Rhoda; bwood@mauricewood.com
Cc:	amy@kevinrhansen.com; Receptionist; ariel.stern@akerman.com;
	melanie.morgan@akerman.com
Subject:	RE: Las Vegas Development Group v. Blaha - No. 79055 - Perez

Hello Tim,

Approved.

Lilith V. Xara

Associate, Consumer Financial Services Practice Group Akerman LLP | <u>1635 Village Center Circle, Suite 200 | Las Vegas, NV 89134</u> D: <u>702 634 5020</u> | T: <u>702 634 5000</u> | F: <u>702 380 8572</u> <u>lilith.xara@akerman.com</u>

vCard | Profile

	light side har in distribut pitcon. Remot. Remot. Ligh	Ta helppolet your privary	Ontonio presortini antonale	daarihad of Ris ym
--	--------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: The information contained in this transmission may be privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately reply to the sender that you have received this communication in error and then delete it. Thank you.

From: Tim Rhoda <tim@croteaulaw.com>
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2020 3:27 PM
To: Xara, Lilith (Assoc-Las) <lilith.xara@akerman.com>; bwood@mauricewood.com
Cc: amy@kevinrhansen.com; Receptionist <receptionist@croteaulaw.com>; Stern, Ariel (Ptnr-Las)
<ariel.stern@akerman.com>; Morgan, Melanie (Ptnr-Las) <melanie.morgan@akerman.com>
Subject: RE: Las Vegas Development Group v. Blaha - No. 79055 - Perez

All,

In follow-up to Lilith's last email, I have updated the attached documents with e-signatures and the current date. Would you each please respond to this email in turn, confirming authorization for your e-signatures in order to conform with the district court's COVID19 rules for submitting documents? Thank you.

Tim

Timothy E. Rhoda

Of Counsel

Roger P. Croteau & Associates, Ltd. 9120 West Post Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Telephone: (702) 254-7775 IRS Circular 230 Notice:

To ensure compliance with requirements by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

* * * NOTICE * * *

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain attorney/client information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by reply email or telephone at (702) 254-7775, and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

1	CSERV		
2			
3	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
4			
5			
6	Las Vegas Development Group LLC, Plaintiff(s)	CASE NO: A-15-715532-C	
7	LLC, Flamun(S)	DEPT. NO. Department 30	
8	vs.		
9	James Blaha, Defendant(s)		
10			
11	AUTOMATED	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
12 13		ervice was generated by the Eighth Judicial District erved via the court's electronic eFile system to all he above entitled case as listed below:	
14	Service Date: 8/18/2020		
15	"Aaron R. Maurice, Esq." .	amaurice@klnevada.com	
16 17	"Amy M. Wilson, Esq" .	amy@kevinrhansen.com	
18	"Darren T. Brenner, Esq." .	darren.brenner@akerman.com	
19	"Kevin R. Hansen, Esq" .	kevin@kevinrhansen.com	
20	"Roger P. Croteau, Esq." .	croteaulaw@croteaulaw.com	
21	"Ryan T. Gormley, Esq." .	rgormley@klnevada.com	
22	"Susan A. Owens, Legal Assistant" .	sowens@klnevada.com	
23 24	"William S. Habdas, Esq." .	William.Habdas@akerman.com	
24	Akerman Las Vegas Office .	akermanlas@akerman.com	
26	Amanda Harmon .	amandah@kevinrhansen.com	
27	Brieanne Siriwan .	brieanne.siriwan@akerman.com	
28			

1	Shirin Weisman .	receptionist@croteaulaw.com
2 3	eFiling District	nvdistrict@klnevada.com
4	Shane Cox	shane@absolute-collection.com
5	Elizabeth Aronson	earonson@mauricewood.com
6	Brittany Wood	bwood@mauricewood.com
7	Aaron Maurice	amaurice@mauricewood.com
8		$\overline{\mathbf{U}}$
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		