1 Real Parties in Interest. 2 3 4 5 Pursuant to N.R.A.P. 27(a)(3), Real Party in Interest Eldorado Hills, 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

ELDORADO HILLS, LLC'S RESPONSE TO **DEFENDANTS' EMERGENCY MOTION FOR EXTENSION** OF TIME TO FILE ANSWERING BRIEF AND COUNTER-REQUEST FOR AFFIRMATIVE RELIEF

LLC ("Eldorado") responds to The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's (the "Rogich Trust") Motion for Extension of Time to File Answering Brief (the "Motion"). Further, Eldorado requests that it be permitted to file its Answer if one is necessary—to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's ("Nanyah") Petition for Writ of Mandamus, or in the Alternative, Prohibition (the "Writ Petition") ten calendar days following the Rogich Trust's Response to the Writ Petition.¹ DATED this 12th day of August, 2019.

BAILEY * KENNEDY

By: /s/ Dennis L. Kennedy DENNIS L. KENNEDY JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN

Attorneys for Eldorado Hills, LLC

Eldorado requested that Nanyah stipulate to such an extension, and Nanyah declined. (July 29, 2019 e-mail correspondence, attached as Exhibit 1.)

1

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

2	Nanyah's Writ Petition is entirely premised on a legal dispute between		
3	Nanyah and the Rogich Trust regarding the requirements of NRS 163.120.		
4	Although Eldorado is a party to the same consolidated action as the Rogich		
5	Trust, the Writ Petition and its outcome should not affect Eldorado.		
6	Regardless, Nanyah has named Eldorado as a Real Party in Interest. ² As a		
7	result, when this Court issued its Order Directing Answer, it directed all of the		
8	Real Parties in Interest to file an Answer.		
9	Eldorado does not currently intend to file an Answer because the		
10	requirements of NRS 163.120 only apply to Nanyah and the Rogich Trust.		
11	However, to the extent that Eldorado determines that something in Nanyah's		
12	Writ Petition or the Rogich Trust's Answer affects Eldorado in some respect		
13	and needs to be addressed, Eldorado would like the opportunity to respond.		
14	But it cannot informatively do so until it reviews the Rogich Trust's Answer.		
15	Eldorado agrees with and consents to the Rogich Trust's request for an		
16			
17	Teld, LLC and Peter Eliades, individually and as Trustee of the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08 (the "Eliades Defendants"), were also listed as Rea Parties in Interest. However, as recognized in Nanyah's Writ Petition, the		
18	Eliades Defendants were dismissed from this action via summary judgment on October 5, 2018. Thus, the Eliades Defendants are no longer parties and will not be filing an Answer to the Writ Petition.		

Page 3 of 6

	extension. Pursuant to NRAP 26(b)(1), Eldorado also requests an extension to				
2	file its Answer—to the extent one is necessary—until ten days after the				
3	Rogich Trust's Answer so that Eldorado may review the Rogich Trust's				
1	Answer before determining whether or not it needs to file an Answer. Based				
5	on the Rogich Trust's Motion, Eldorado's deadline would be October 11,				
5	2019.				
7	DATED this 12th day of August, 2019.				
3	BAILEY * KENNEDY				
)	By: /s/ Dennis L. Kennedy Dennis L. Kennedy				
)	JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN				
	Attorneys for Eldorado Hills, LLC				
2					
3					
1					
5					
5					
7					
3					

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				
2	I certify that I am an employee of BAILEY KENNEDY and that on the				
3	12 th day of August, 2019, service of the foregoing ELDORADO HILLS ,				
4	LLC'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' EMERGENCY MOTION FOR				
5	EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ANSWERING BRIEF AND				
6	COUNTER-REQUEST FOR AFFIRMATIVE RELIEF was made by				
7	electronic service through Nevada Supreme Court's electronic filing system				
8	and/or by depositing a true and correct copy in the U.S. Mail, first class postage				
9	prepaid, and addressed to the following at their last known address:				
10	MARK G. SIMONS, ESQ.	Email: msimons@shjnevada.com			
11	SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC 6490 S. McCarran Blvd., Suite F-46	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
12	Reno, NV 89509	NANYAH VEGAS, LLC			
12					
13	SAMUEL S. LIONEL, ESQ.	Email: slionel@fclaw.com			
14	BRENOCH WIRTHLIN, ESQ.	bwirthlin@fclaw.com			
14	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 300 S. Fourth Street, Suite 1400	Attorneys for Defendant			
15	Las Vegas, NV 89101	SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND			
16		ROGICH, Individually and as Trustee			
16		of THE ROGICH FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST, and			
17		IMITATIONS, LLC			
18					

Page **6** of **6**

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

Joseph Liebman

From:

Mark Simons <msimons@shjnevada.com>

Sent:

Monday, July 29, 2019 9:36 AM

To:

Joseph Liebman; WIRTHLIN, BRENOCH

Cc:

Sharon Murnane: Jodi Alhasan

Subject:

RE: Writ Petition

Joe.

The Supreme Court Clerk required we identify all parties in the caption as real parties in interest.

We actually explained the situation and they said that was the rule.

As to your request, I do not feel comfortable attempting to stip around the SCt's Order.

Mark

Mark G. Simons

Partner

SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC

msimons@shjnevada.com 6490 S. McCarran Blvd., Ste. F-46 Reno, NV 89509

T: (775) 785-0088 F: (775) 785-0087

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL: The information contained in this message may be privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited. If you believe you have received this e-mail message in error, please email the sender at msimons@shinevada.com.

From: Joseph Liebman < JLiebman@baileykennedy.com>

Sent: Monday, July 29, 2019 9:33 AM

To: WIRTHLIN, BRENOCH <BWIRTHLIN@fclaw.com>; Mark Simons <msimons@shjnevada.com> **Cc:** Sharon Murnane <SMurnane@baileykennedy.com>; Jodi Alhasan <jalhasan@shjnevada.com>

Subject: Writ Petition

Brenoch and Mark:

In the Supreme Court's Order Directing Answer, it directed all the Real Parties in Interest to file a response. Eldorado Hills is listed as a Real Party in Interest in the caption (as are the Eliades Defendants even though they have been dismissed). As you would likely agree, this issue before the Supreme Court is between your clients, and doesn't directly involve Eldorado Hills. That being said, I do want to comply with the Order. Our current intention is to file a short response explaining why this issue does not involve Eldorado Hills. However, I would like to see Rogich's Response first to determine how in depth my explanation needs to be. Do you two have any objection to a stipulation where Eldorado Hills' response would not be due until 7 days after Rogich's?

Joseph A. Liebman, Esq. | Bailey Kennedy, LLP 8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302 (702) 562-8820 (main) | (702) 562-8821 (fax) | (702) 853-0750 (direct) | <u>JLiebman@BaileyKennedy.com</u>

WWW.	Baile:	yKenned [*]	y.com

This e-mail message is a confidential communication from Bailey Kennedy, LLP and is intended only for the named recipient(s) above and may contain information that is a trade secret, proprietary, privileged or attorney work product. If you have received this message in error, or are not the named or intended recipient(s), please immediately notify the sender at 702-562-8820 and delete this e-mail message and any attachments from your workstation or network mail system.