1	Real Parties in Interest.		
2			
3	Pursuant to N.R.A.P. 27(a)(3), Real Party in Interest Eldorado Hills,		
4	LLC ("Eldorado") responds to The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's (the		
5	"Rogich Trust") Motion for Extension of Time to File Answering Brief		
6	(Second Request) (the "Motion"). Further, Eldorado requests that it be		
7	permitted to file its Answer—if one is necessary—to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's		
8	("Nanyah") Petition for Writ of Mandamus, or in the Alternative, Prohibition		
9	(the "Writ Petition") ten calendar days following the Rogich Trust's Response		
10	to the Writ Petition. ¹		
11	DATED this 16th day of September, 2019.		
12	BAILEY * KENNEDY		
13	By: <u>/s/ Dennis L. Kennedy</u> DENNIS L. KENNEDY		
14	JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN		
15	Attorneys for Eldorado Hills, LLC		
16	Eldorado requested similar relief in response to the Rogich Trust's First Request for an Extension of Time to File Answering Brief. (<i>See</i> Eldorado		
17	Hills, LLC's Response to Defendants' Emergency Mot. for Ext. of Time to File Answering Brief and Counter-Request for Affirmative Relief, filed Aug. 12, 2019). Although this Court granted the Rogich Trust's request for an extension, it has not yet issued a ruling on Eldorado's Counter-Request. A ruling on this Counter-Request should moot Eldorado's prior Counter-Request.		
18			

1

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

2	Nanyah's Writ Petition is entirely premised on a legal dispute between
3	Nanyah and the Rogich Trust regarding the requirements of NRS 163.120.
4	Although Eldorado is a party to the same consolidated action as the Rogich
5	Trust, the Writ Petition and its outcome should not affect Eldorado.
6	Regardless, Nanyah has named Eldorado as a Real Party in Interest. ² As a
7	result, when this Court issued its Order Directing Answer, it directed all of the
8	Real Parties in Interest to file an Answer.
9	Eldorado does not currently intend to file an Answer because the
10	requirements of NRS 163.120 only apply to Nanyah and the Rogich Trust.
11	However, to the extent that Eldorado determines that something in Nanyah's
12	Writ Petition or the Rogich Trust's Answer affects Eldorado in some respect
13	and needs to be addressed, Eldorado would like the opportunity to respond.
14	But it cannot informatively do so until it reviews the Rogich Trust's Answer.
15	Eldorado agrees with and consents to the Rogich Trust's request for an
16	
17	Teld, LLC and Peter Eliades, individually and as Trustee of the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08 (the "Eliades Defendants"), were also listed as Rea Parties in Interest. However, as recognized in Nanyah's Writ Petition, the
18	Eliades Defendants were dismissed from this action via summary judgment on October 5, 2018. Thus, the Eliades Defendants are no longer parties and will not be filing an Answer to the Writ Petition.

Page 3 of 6

1	extension. It makes sense to delay briefing on the Writ Petition pending the		
2	trial court's decision on the pending Motions, as these decisions may moot the		
3	Writ Petition by providing Nanyah with a direct right of appeal. Thus,		
4	pursuant to NRAP 26(b)(1), Eldorado also requests an extension to file its		
5	Answer—to the extent one is necessary—until ten days after the Rogich		
6	Trust's Answer so that Eldorado may review the Rogich Trust's Answer		
7	before determining whether or not it needs to file an Answer. Based on the		
8	Rogich Trust's Motion, Eldorado's deadline would be November 11, 2019.		
9	DATED this 16 th day of September, 2019.		
10	BAILEY * KENNEDY		
11	By: <u>/s/ Dennis L. Kennedy</u> Dennis L. Kennedy		
12	JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN Attorneys for Eldorado Hills, LLC		
13	imernejs jer Ziderdde 11mis, ZZe		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2	I certify that I am an employee of BAILEY KENNEDY and that on		
3	the 16 th day of September, 2019, service of the foregoing ELDORADO		
4	HILLS, LLC'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' EMERGENCY		
5	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TI	ME TO FILE ANSWERING BRIEF	
6	(SECOND REQUEST) AND COUN'	TER-REQUEST FOR	
7	AFFIRMATIVE RELIEF was made by electronic service through Nevada		
8	Supreme Court's electronic filing system and/or by depositing a true and		
9	correct copy in the U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid, and addressed to the		
10	following at their last known address:		
11	Mark G. Simons, Esq.	Email: msimons@shjnevada.com	
12	SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC 6490 S. McCarran Blvd., Suite F-46	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
13	Reno, NV 89509	NANYAH VEGAS, LLC	
14	SAMUEL S. LIONEL, ESQ.	Email: slionel@fclaw.com	
15	Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.	bwirthlin@fclaw.com	
16	300 S. Fourth Street, Suite 1400 Las Vegas, NV 89101	Attorneys for Defendant SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND	
17		ROGICH, Individually and as Trustee of THE ROGICH FAMILY	
18		IRREVOCABLE TRUST, and IMITATIONS, LLC	