IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC.

Case No. 79271

Appellant,

Related Case No. 70754 Electronically Filed Feb 28 2020 07:03 p.m.

Elizabeth A. Brown

Clerk of Supreme Court

VS.

WEST SUNSET 2050 TRUST,

Respondent.

APPEAL

From the Eighth Judicial District Court, Department XIII The Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez, District Judge District Court Case No. A-13-691323-C

APPENDIX TO OPENING BRIEF¹, **VOLUME VII**

ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8276 MELANIE D. MORGAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8215 SCOTT R. LACHMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12016 AKERMAN, LLP 1635 Village Center Circle, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89134 Telephone: (702) 634-5000

Attorneys for Appellant

51739858;1

¹ Documents from Volumes 1-5 are identical to the Joint Appendix Volumes 1-5 of Related Case No. 70754.

Alphabetical Index

Volume	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates
I	12.	10/8/2014	Affidavit of Publication	0054
I	2.	12/9/2013	Affidavit of Service	0008
I	3.	12/9/2013	Affidavit of Service	0009
I	4.	12/9/2013	Affidavit of Service	0010
I	5.	12/11/2013	Affidavit of Service	0011
I	6.	12/19/2013	Answer to Complaint	0012-0019
I	11.	6/18/2014	Answer to Counterclaim	0043-0053
VI	41.	12/20/2017	Association of Counsel	1038-1040
VI	46.	7/27/2018	Clerk's Certificate/Judgment (NVSC 70754)	1049-1062
I	1.	11/6/2013	Complaint	0001-0007
VI	60.	5/14/2019	Court Minutes (Calendar Call)	1114
VI	53.	2/28/2019	Court Minutes (Minute Order Advancing Calendar Call)	1077
VI	47.	8/29/2018	Court Minutes (Minute Order Resetting Status Check)	1063
VI	64.	5/28/2019	Court Minutes (Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Motion in Limine to Introduce into Evidence at Trial Documents Disclosed After the Close of Discovery)	1158
IX	107.	8/30/2019	Court Minutes (Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Motion to Retax)	1823
VI	52.	2/28/2019	Court Minutes (Status Check)	1076
VI	44.	3/20/2018	Court Minutes (Status Check: Status of Case)	1047
VI	45.	6/19/2018	Court Minutes (Status Check: Status of Case)	1048
VI	48.	10/15/2018	Court Minutes (Status Check: Status of Case)	1064-1065
VI	40.	9/19/2017	Court Minutes (Status Check: Stay)	1037
IV	22.	7/29/2015	Default Against New Freedom Mortgage Corporation	0790-0792
IV	21.	7/29/2015	Default Against Stephanie Tablante	0783-0789
II	14.	5/11/2015	Deposition of 30(b)(6) Designee Red Rock Financial Services Julia Thompson	0277-0301

Volume	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates
I, II	13.	5/11/2015	Deposition of 30(b)(6) Designee United Legal Services, LLC Robert Atkinson, Esq.	0055-0276
IX	93.	7/16/2019	Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law	1737-1744
VI	49.	10/17/2018	First Amended Order Setting Civil Bench Trial and Calendar Call	1066-1068
VI	62.	5/22/2019	Joint PreTrial Memorandum	1129-1146
VII	69.		Joint Trial Exhibit 1 (Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed)	1380-1384
VII	70.		Joint Trial Exhibit 2 (Deed of Trust)	1385-1404
VII	71.		Joint Trial Exhibit 3 (Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure)	1405-1410
VII	72.		Joint Trial Exhibit 4 (Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure)	1411-1417
VII	73.		Joint Trial Exhibit 5 (Assignment of Deed of Trust)	1418-1420
VII	74.		Joint Trial Exhibit 6 (Substitution of Trustee)	1421-1422
VII	75.		Joint Trial Exhibit 7 (Lien for Delinquent Assessments)	1423-1424
VII	76.		Joint Trial Exhibit 8 (Notice of Default and Election to Sell Pursuant to the Lien for Delinquent Assessments)	1425-1426
VII	77.		Joint Trial Exhibit 9 (Corporation Assignment of Deed of Trust)	1427-1429
VII	78.		Joint Trial Exhibit 10 (Notice of Foreclosure Sale)	1430-1431
VII	79.		Joint Trial Exhibit 11 (Foreclosure Deed Upon Sale)	1432-1435
VII	80.		Joint Trial Exhibit 12 (Notice of Breach and Default and of Election to Cause Sale of Real Property Under Deed of Trust)	1436-1441
VII	81.		Joint Trial Exhibit 13 (ULS Documents from Deposition)	1442-1469
VIII	82.		Joint Trial Exhibit 14 (Red Rock Documents from Deposition)	1470-1523

Volume	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates
VIII	83.		Joint Trial Exhibit 15 (HOA and 1st	1524-1564
			100 LLC Contracts)	
VIII	84.		Joint Trial Exhibit 16 (ULS Auction	1565-1567
			Results)	
VIII	85.		Joint Trial Exhibit 17 (ULS Emails)	1568-1611
VIII	86.		Joint Trial Exhibit 18 (John Peter Lee,	1612-1646
			Ltd.'s Subpoena Duces Tecum	
			Response)	
VIII	87.		Joint Trial Exhibit 19 (Title Policy)	1647-1663
VIII	88.		Joint Trial Exhibit 20 (Red Rock's	1644-1711
	0.0		Subpoena Duces Tecum Response)	1=1=1=1=
VIII	89.		Joint Trial Exhibit 21 (Declaration of	1712-1718
			Julia Thompson in Support of Red	
			Rock Financial Services, LLC's	
			Limited Opposition to Motion for	
IV	00		Summary Judgment)	1710 1722
IX	90.		Joint Trial Exhibit 27 (BANA Transfer Letter)	1719-1723
IX	91.		/	1724-1733
IA	91.		Joint Trial Exhibit 30 (Lease Agreement)	1/24-1/33
IX	98.	7/22/2019	Memorandum of Costs and	1778-1781
121	70.	1/22/2019	Disbursements	1770 1701
V	33.	10/11/2016	Motion for Final Judgment Pursuant to	0936-1007
,		10/11/2010	Rule 54(b) and to Stay Remaining	0,20 100,
			Claims Pending Conclusion of Appeal	
			on and Order Shortening Time	
I	10.	5/20/2014	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Answer,	0033-0042
			Counterclaim Against West Sunset	
			2050 Trust and Cross-Claim Against	
			Stephanie Tablante	
IX	100.	7/22/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Case	1785-1788
			Appeal Statement	
VI	56.	4/26/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's First	1086-1094
			Amended PreTrial Disclosures	
VI	61.	5/14/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Motion in	1115-1128
			Limine to Introduce into Evidence at	
			Trial Documents Disclosed After the	
IV	102	7/26/2010	Close of Discovery	1700 1706
IX	102.	7/26/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Motion to	1790-1796
			Retax	

Volume	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates
IX	99.	7/22/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Notice of Appeal	1782-1784
IX	95.	7/17/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Notice of Filing of Proposed Supplemental Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Judgment	1757-1771
IX	92.	7/15/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of Claims Against Stephanie Tablante Without Prejudice	1734-1736
IX	106.	8/22/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Reply in Support of its Motion to Retax	1819-1822
VI	58.	5/3/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Second Amended PreTrial Disclosures	1099-1108
IV	32.	7/1/2016	Notice of Appeal	0917-0935
VI	43.	1/30/2018	Notice of Association of Counsel for Bank of America, N.A.	1044-1046
VI	42.	1/18/2018	Notice of Change of Address	1041-1043
VI	54.	3/19/2019	Notice of Department Sealing and/or Redacting Procedures	1078-1079
VI	50.	11/2/2018	Notice of Disassociation of Counsel	1069-1071
IV	23.	7/29/2015	Notice of Entry of Default	0793-0798
IV	24.	7/29/2015	Notice of Entry of Default	0799-0808
IX	94.	7/17/2019	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law	1745-1756
I	9.	2/4/2014	Notice of Entry of Order	0029-0032
IV	26.	2/16/2016	Notice of Entry of Order	0813-0820
IV	31.	6/3/2016	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and to Alter and Amend Order Granting Nationstar Mortgage LLC and Bank of America, N.A.'s Motion for Summary Judgment	0911-0916
IX	109.	10/4/2019	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Motion to Retax Costs	1827-1833
V	36.	11/10/2016	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion for Final Judgment Pursuant to Rule 54(b) and to Stay Remaining Claims Pending Conclusion of Appeal	1015-1022

Volume	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates
VI	39.	7/3/2017	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and	1030-1036
			Order for Disclaimer of Interest and	
			Dismissal of Bank of America, N.A.	
IX	101.	7/26/2019	Notice of Hearing	1789
VI	51.	2/7/2019	Notice of Lis Pendens	1072-1075
IX	103.	7/30/2019	Notice of Posting of Bond on Appeal	1797-1801
IX	96.	7/17/2019	Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of	1772-1774
			Defendant New Freedom Mortgage	
			Corporation Without Prejudice	
IX	97.	7/17/2019	Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of	1775-1777
			Defendant Stephanie Tablante	
			Without Prejudice	
III, IV	17.	6/10/2015	Opposition to Motion for Summary	0600-0737
,			Judgment and Countermotion for	
			Summary Judgment	
VI	63.	5/23/2019	Opposition to Motion in Limine	1147-1157
IV	28.	3/22/2016	Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for	0891-0898
			Reconsideration and to Alter and	
			Amend Order Granting Defendants	
			Nationstar Mortgage LLC and Bank of	
			America, N.A.'s Motion for Summary	
			Judgment	
IV	30.	5/31/2016	Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for	0909-0910
			Reconsideration and to Alter and	
			Amend Order Granting Nationstar	
			Mortgage LLC and Bank of America,	
			N.A.'s Motion for Summary Judgment	
I	8.	2/3/2014	Order Granting Dismissal of the	0027-0028
			Cooper Castle Law Firm, LLP	
IX	108.	10/2/2019	Order Granting in Part Nationstar	1824-1826
			Mortgage LLC's Motion to Retax	
			Costs	
V	35.	11/9/2016	Order Granting Motion for Final	1010-1014
			Judgment Pursuant to Rule 54(b) and	
			to Stay Remaining Claims Pending	
		- 10 15 5 5	Conclusion of Appeal	
IV	25.	2/8/2016	Order Granting Nationstar Mortgage	0809-0812
			LLC's Countermotion for Summary	
			Judgment and Denying Plaintiff's	
			Motion for Summary Judgment	

Volume	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates
IV	27.	3/4/2016	Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and to Alter and Amend Order Granting Defendants Nationstar Mortgage LLC and Bank of America, N.A.'s Countermotion for Summary Judgment	0821-0890
IV	29.	3/28/2016	Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration and to Alter and Amend Order Granting Defendants Nationstar Mortgage LLC and Bank of America, N.A.'s Countermotion for Summary Judgment	0899-0908
VI	65.	5/31/2019	Plaintiff's Trial Brief	1159-1164
VI	57.	5/1/2019	Plaintiff, West Sunset 2050 Trust's Objections to Defendant Nationstar Mortgage LLC's First Amended PreTrial Disclosures	1095-1098
VI	59.	5/6/2019	Plaintiff, West Sunset 2050 Trust's Objections to Defendant Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Second Amended PreTrial Disclosures	1109-1113
IX	105.	8/9/2019	Plaintiff West Sunset 2050 Trust's Opposition to Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Motion to Retax	1805-1818
IV	20.	7/13/2015	Recorder's Transcript Re: Calendar Call	0778-0782
I	7.	1/15/2014	Recorder's Transcript Re: Defendant, The Cooper Castle Law Firm's LLP, Motion to Dismiss; Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss; Countermotion for Leave to Amend Complaint	0020-0026
V	34.	10/26/2016	Recorder's Transcript Re: Plaintiff/Counter Defendant, West Sunset 2050 Trust's Motion for Final Judgment Pursuant to Rule 54(b) and to Stay Remaining Claims Pending Conclusion of Appeal on an Order Shortening Time	1008-1009

Volume	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates
IV	19.	6/24/2015	Recorder's Transcript Re: West Sunset	0760-0777
			2050 Trust's Motion for Summary	
			Judgment; Opposition to Motion for	
			Summary Judgment and	
			Countermotion for Summary	
			Judgment	
IX	104.	8/8/2019	Request for Transcript of Proceedings	1802-1804
VI	38.	6/30/2017	Stipulation and Order for Disclaimer	1027-1029
			of Interest and Dismissal of Bank of	
		~ /0 / 0 0 1 ~	America, N.A.	1000 1001
VI	37.	5/9/2017	Substitution of Attorneys	1023-1026
VI	66.	6/6/2019	Transcript of Proceedings (Bench	1165-1260
X 777		7 /2 /2 0 1 0	Trial – Day 1)	10:1 107:
VII	67.	7/3/2019	Transcript of Proceedings (Bench	1261-1356
X 777		7/12/2010	Trial – Day 2)	1057 1070
VII	68.	7/12/2019	Transcript of Proceedings (Bench	1357-1379
	1.7	5/02/0015	Trial – Day 3)	0202 0477
II	15.	5/22/2015	West Sunset 2050 Trust's Motion for	0302-0477
7.77	~ ~	1/06/0010	Summary Judgment	1000 1007
VI	55.	4/26/2019	West Sunset 2050 Trust's Pre-Trial	1080-1085
IV	10	C/10/2015	Disclosures West Sevent 2050 Tweetle Banks in	0729 0750
IV	18.	6/18/2015	West Sunset 2050 Trust's Reply in	0738-0759
			Support of Motion for Summary	
			Judgment and Opposition to Countermotion for Summary	
			Countermotion for Summary Judgment	
III	16.	5/22/2015	West Sunset 2050 Trust's Request for	0478-0599
1111	10.	3/44/4013	Judicial Notice in Support of Motion	U 1 / O-UJ77
			for Summary Judgment	
			101 Summary Judgment	

Chronological Index

Volume	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates
I	1.	11/6/2013	Complaint	0001-0007
I	2.	12/9/2013	Affidavit of Service	8000
I	3.	12/9/2013	Affidavit of Service	0009
I	4.	12/9/2013	Affidavit of Service	0010
I	5.	12/11/2013	Affidavit of Service	0011
I	6.	12/19/2013	Answer to Complaint	0012-0019
I	7.	1/15/2014	Recorder's Transcript Re: Defendant, The Cooper Castle Law Firm's LLP, Motion to Dismiss; Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss; Countermotion for Leave to Amend Complaint	0020-0026
I	8.	2/3/2014	Order Granting Dismissal of the Cooper Castle Law Firm, LLP	0027-0028
I	9.	2/4/2014	Notice of Entry of Order	0029-0032
I	10.	5/20/2014	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Answer, Counterclaim Against West Sunset 2050 Trust and Cross-Claim Against Stephanie Tablante	0033-0042
I	11.	6/18/2014	Answer to Counterclaim	0043-0053
I	12.	10/8/2014	Affidavit of Publication	0054
I, II	13.	5/11/2015	Deposition of 30(b)(6) Designee United Legal Services, LLC Robert Atkinson, Esq.	0055-0276
II	14.	5/11/2015	Deposition of 30(b)(6) Designee Red Rock Financial Services Julia Thompson	0277-0301
II	15.	5/22/2015	West Sunset 2050 Trust's Motion for Summary Judgment	0302-0477
III	16.	5/22/2015	West Sunset 2050 Trust's Request for Judicial Notice in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment	0478-0599
III, IV	17.	6/10/2015	Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment	0600-0737

Volume	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates
IV	18.	6/18/2015	West Sunset 2050 Trust's Reply in	0738-0759
			Support of Motion for Summary	
			Judgment and Opposition to	
			Countermotion for Summary	
			Judgment	
IV	19.	6/24/2015	Recorder's Transcript Re: West Sunset	0760-0777
			2050 Trust's Motion for Summary	
			Judgment; Opposition to Motion for	
			Summary Judgment and	
			Countermotion for Summary	
	• •	- / 1 - / 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	Judgment	
IV	20.	7/13/2015	Recorder's Transcript Re: Calendar Call	0778-0782
IV	21.	7/29/2015	Default Against Stephanie Tablante	0783-0789
IV	22.	7/29/2015	Default Against New Freedom	0790-0792
			Mortgage Corporation	
IV	23.	7/29/2015	Notice of Entry of Default	0793-0798
IV	24.	7/29/2015	Notice of Entry of Default	0799-0808
IV	25.	2/8/2016	Order Granting Nationstar Mortgage	0809-0812
			LLC's Countermotion for Summary	
			Judgment and Denying Plaintiff's	
			Motion for Summary Judgment	
IV	26.	2/16/2016	Notice of Entry of Order	0813-0820
IV	27.	3/4/2016	Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration	0821-0890
			and to Alter and Amend Order	
			Granting Defendants Nationstar	
			Mortgage LLC and Bank of America,	
			N.A.'s Countermotion for Summary	
***	20	0/00/2015	Judgment	0004 0006
IV	28.	3/22/2016	Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for	0891-0898
			Reconsideration and to Alter and	
			Amend Order Granting Defendants	
			Nationstar Mortgage LLC and Bank of	
			America, N.A.'s Motion for Summary	
			Judgment	

Volume	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates
IV	29.	3/28/2016	Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion for Reconsideration and to Alter and Amend Order Granting Defendants Nationstar Mortgage LLC and Bank of America, N.A.'s Countermotion for Summary Judgment	0899-0908
IV	30.	5/31/2016	Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and to Alter and Amend Order Granting Nationstar Mortgage LLC and Bank of America, N.A.'s Motion for Summary Judgment	0909-0910
IV	31.	6/3/2016	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and to Alter and Amend Order Granting Nationstar Mortgage LLC and Bank of America, N.A.'s Motion for Summary Judgment	0911-0916
IV	32.	7/1/2016	Notice of Appeal	0917-0935
V	33.	10/11/2016	Motion for Final Judgment Pursuant to Rule 54(b) and to Stay Remaining Claims Pending Conclusion of Appeal on and Order Shortening Time	0936-1007
V	34.	10/26/2016	Recorder's Transcript Re: Plaintiff/Counter Defendant, West Sunset 2050 Trust's Motion for Final Judgment Pursuant to Rule 54(b) and to Stay Remaining Claims Pending Conclusion of Appeal on an Order Shortening Time	1008-1009
V	35.	11/9/2016	Order Granting Motion for Final Judgment Pursuant to Rule 54(b) and to Stay Remaining Claims Pending Conclusion of Appeal	1010-1014
V	36.	11/10/2016	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion for Final Judgment Pursuant to Rule 54(b) and to Stay Remaining Claims Pending Conclusion of Appeal	1015-1022
VI	37.	5/9/2017	Substitution of Attorneys	1023-1026

Volume	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates
VI	38.	6/30/2017	Stipulation and Order for Disclaimer	1027-1029
			of Interest and Dismissal of Bank of	
			America, N.A.	
VI	39.	7/3/2017	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and	1030-1036
			Order for Disclaimer of Interest and	
X 77	40	0/10/2017	Dismissal of Bank of America, N.A.	1007
VI	40.	9/19/2017	Court Minutes (Status Check: Stay)	1037
VI	41.	12/20/2017	Association of Counsel	1038-1040
VI	42.	1/18/2018	Notice of Change of Address	1041-1043
VI	43.	1/30/2018	Notice of Association of Counsel for Bank of America, N.A.	1044-1046
VI	44.	3/20/2018	Court Minutes (Status Check: Status	1047
			of Case)	
VI	45.	6/19/2018	Court Minutes (Status Check: Status	1048
			of Case)	
VI	46.	7/27/2018	Clerk's Certificate/Judgment (NVSC 70754)	1049-1062
VI	47.	8/29/2018	Court Minutes (Minute Order	1063
V 1	77.	0/27/2010	Resetting Status Check)	1003
VI	48.	10/15/2018	Court Minutes (Status Check: Status	1064-1065
			of Case)	
VI	49.	10/17/2018	First Amended Order Setting Civil	1066-1068
			Bench Trial and Calendar Call	
VI	50.	11/2/2018	Notice of Disassociation of Counsel	1069-1071
VI	51.	2/7/2019	Notice of Lis Pendens	1072-1075
VI	52.	2/28/2019	Court Minutes (Status Check)	1076
VI	53.	2/28/2019	Court Minutes (Minute Order	1077
			Advancing Calendar Call)	
VI	54.	3/19/2019	Notice of Department Sealing and/or	1078-1079
			Redacting Procedures	
VI	55.	4/26/2019	West Sunset 2050 Trust's Pre-Trial	1080-1085
			Disclosures	
VI	56.	4/26/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's First	1086-1094
			Amended PreTrial Disclosures	
VI	57.	5/1/2019	Plaintiff, West Sunset 2050 Trust's	1095-1098
			Objections to Defendant Nationstar	
			Mortgage LLC's First Amended	
			PreTrial Disclosures	

Volume	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates
VI	58.	5/3/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Second	1099-1108
			Amended PreTrial Disclosures	
VI	59.	5/6/2019	Plaintiff, West Sunset 2050 Trust's	1109-1113
			Objections to Defendant Nationstar	
			Mortgage LLC's Second Amended	
	_		PreTrial Disclosures	
VI	60.	5/14/2019	Court Minutes (Calendar Call)	1114
VI	61.	5/14/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Motion in	1115-1128
			Limine to Introduce into Evidence at	
			Trial Documents Disclosed After the	
171	62	5/22/2010	Close of Discovery	1120 1146
VI VI	62.	5/22/2019 5/23/2019	Joint PreTrial Memorandum Opposition to Motion in Limina	1129-1146 1147-1157
VI	63. 64.	5/28/2019	Opposition to Motion in Limine Court Minutes (Nationstar Mortgage	1147-1157
V I	04.	3/26/2019	LLC's Motion in Limine to Introduce	1136
			into Evidence at Trial Documents	
			Disclosed After the Close of	
			Discovery)	
VI	65.	5/31/2019	Plaintiff's Trial Brief	1159-1164
VI	66.	6/6/2019	Transcript of Proceedings (Bench	1165-1260
			Trial – Day 1)	
VII	67.	7/3/2019	Transcript of Proceedings (Bench	1261-1356
			Trial – Day 2)	
VII	68.	7/12/2019	Transcript of Proceedings (Bench	1357-1379
			Trial – Day 3)	
VII	69.		Joint Trial Exhibit 1 (Grant, Bargain	1380-1384
X 777	70		and Sale Deed)	1207 1404
VII	70.		Joint Trial Exhibit 2 (Deed of Trust)	1385-1404
VII	71.		Joint Trial Exhibit 3 (Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure)	1405-1410
VII	72.		Joint Trial Exhibit 4 (Deed in Lieu of	1411-1417
V 11	12.		Foreclosure)	1711-171/
VII	73.		Joint Trial Exhibit 5 (Assignment of	1418-1420
, 11			Deed of Trust)	10 1 . 2 0
VII	74.		Joint Trial Exhibit 6 (Substitution of	1421-1422
			Trustee)	
VII	75.		Joint Trial Exhibit 7 (Lien for	1423-1424
			Delinquent Assessments)	

Volume	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates
VII	76.		Joint Trial Exhibit 8 (Notice of	1425-1426
			Default and Election to Sell Pursuant	
			to the Lien for Delinquent	
			Assessments)	
VII	77.		Joint Trial Exhibit 9 (Corporation	1427-1429
			Assignment of Deed of Trust)	1.120.1.121
VII	78.		Joint Trial Exhibit 10 (Notice of	1430-1431
X 777	70		Foreclosure Sale)	1.422.1.425
VII	79.		Joint Trial Exhibit 11 (Foreclosure	1432-1435
3711	90		Deed Upon Sale)	1426 1441
VII	80.		Joint Trial Exhibit 12 (Notice of Breach and Default and of Election to	1436-1441
			Cause Sale of Real Property Under	
			Deed of Trust)	
VII	81.		Joint Trial Exhibit 13 (ULS	1442-1469
V 11	01.		Documents from Deposition)	1112 1109
VIII	82.		Joint Trial Exhibit 14 (Red Rock	1470-1523
,			Documents from Deposition)	
VIII	83.		Joint Trial Exhibit 15 (HOA and 1st	1524-1564
			100 LLC Contracts)	
VIII	84.		Joint Trial Exhibit 16 (ULS Auction	1565-1567
			Results)	
VIII	85.		Joint Trial Exhibit 17 (ULS Emails)	1568-1611
VIII	86.		Joint Trial Exhibit 18 (John Peter Lee,	1612-1646
			Ltd.'s Subpoena Duces Tecum	
X 7777	0.5		Response)	1547 1550
VIII	87.		Joint Trial Exhibit 19 (Title Policy)	1647-1663
VIII	88.		Joint Trial Exhibit 20 (Red Rock's	1644-1711
3/111	90		Subpoena <i>Duces Tecum</i> Response) Loint Trial Exhibit 21 (Declaration of	1712 1710
VIII	89.		Joint Trial Exhibit 21 (Declaration of Julia Thompson in Support of Red	1712-1718
			Rock Financial Services, LLC's	
			Limited Opposition to Motion for	
			Summary Judgment)	
IX	90.		Joint Trial Exhibit 27 (BANA	1719-1723
			Transfer Letter)	11-5 1,-0
IX	91.		Joint Trial Exhibit 30 (Lease	1724-1733
			Agreement)	

Volume	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates
IX	92.	7/15/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Notice of	1734-1736
			Voluntary Dismissal of Claims	
			Against Stephanie Tablante Without	
***	0.2	7/1 / / / 0 0 1 0	Prejudice S. F. J. G. J. i.	1505 1511
IX	93.	7/16/2019	Findings of Fact and Conclusions of	1737-1744
137	0.4	7/17/2010	Law	1745 1756
IX	94.	7/17/2019	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law	1745-1756
IX	95.	7/17/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Notice of	1757-1771
			Filing of Proposed Supplemental	
			Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law,	
IV	0.6	7/17/2010	and Judgment	1770 1774
IX	96.	7/17/2019	Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of	1772-1774
			Defendant New Freedom Mortgage Corporation Without Prejudice	
IX	97.	7/17/2019	Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of	1775-1777
121) 1.	7/11/2019	Defendant Stephanie Tablante	1775 1777
			Without Prejudice	
IX	98.	7/22/2019	Memorandum of Costs and	1778-1781
			Disbursements	
IX	99.	7/22/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Notice of	1782-1784
			Appeal	
IX	100.	7/22/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Case	1785-1788
	101	- /2 - / 2 0 1 0	Appeal Statement	1=00
IX	101.	7/26/2019	Notice of Hearing	1789
IX	102.	7/26/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Motion to	1790-1796
IX	103.	7/30/2019	Retax Notice of Posting of Rond on Appeal	1797-1801
IX	103.	8/8/2019	Notice of Posting of Bond on Appeal Request for Transcript of Proceedings	1802-1804
IX	104.	8/9/2019	Plaintiff West Sunset 2050 Trust's	1805-1818
1/1	105.	0/ // 2017	Opposition to Nationstar Mortgage	1005-1010
			LLC's Motion to Retax	
IX	106.	8/22/2019	Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Reply in	1819-1822
			Support of its Motion to Retax	
IX	107.	8/30/2019	Court Minutes (Nationstar Mortgage	1823
			LLC's Motion to Retax)	

Volume	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates
IX	108.	10/2/2019	Order Granting in Part Nationstar	1824-1826
			Mortgage LLC's Motion to Retax Costs	
IX	109.	10/4/2019	Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part Nationstar Mortgage LLC's Motion to Retax Costs	1827-1833

DATED February 28, 2020.

AKERMAN LLP

/s/ Scott R. Lachman

ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 8276
MELANIE D. MORGAN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 8215
SCOTT R. LACHMAN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 12016
1635 Village Center Circle, Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89134

Attorneys for Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I electronically filed on February 28, 2020, the foregoing

APPENDIX TO OPENING BRIEF, VOLUME VII with the Clerk of the Court

for the Nevada Supreme Court by using the CM/ECF system. I further certify that

all parties of record to this appeal either are registered with the CM/ECF or have

consented to electronic service.

[] By placing a true copy enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed as

follows: Not applicable.

[X] (By Electronic Service) Pursuant to CM/ECF System, registration as a

CM/ECF user constitutes consent to electronic service through the

Court's transmission facilities. The Court's CM/ECF systems sends an e-

mail notification of the filing to the parties and counsel of record listed

above who are registered with the Court's CM/ECF system.

[X] (Nevada) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the

bar of this Court at whose discretion the service was made.

<u>/s/ Carla Llarena</u>

An employee of Akerman LLP

Electronically Filed 9/9/2019 8:07 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA * * * * *

WEST SUNSET 2050 TRUST

CASE NO. A-13-691323-C Plaintiff

VS. DEPT. NO. XI

NEW FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION, et al.

Transcript of

Defendants . Proceedings

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GONZALEZ, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

BENCH TRIAL - DAY 2

WEDNESDAY, JULY 3, 2019

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: LUIS A. AYON, ESQ.

STEPHEN H. BURKE, ESQ.

FOR THE DEFENDANTS: ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ.

MELANIE D. MORGAN, ESQ.

COURT RECORDER: TRANSCRIPTION BY:

JILL HAWKINS FLORENCE HOYT

District Court Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, JULY 3, 2019, 9:36 A.M.			
2	(Court was called to order)			
3	THE COURT: Good morning. West Sunset; correct?			
4	MS. MORGAN: Yes.			
5	THE COURT: All right. Which witness are we calling			
6	now?			
7	MS. MORGAN: Nationstar calls Matt LaBrie.			
8	MATTHEW LABRIE, DEFENDANTS' WITNESS, SWORN			
9	THE CLERK: Please state and spell your first and			
10	last name.			
11	THE WITNESS: Matthew Labrie, M-A-T-T-H-E-W, LaBrie,			
12	L-A-B-R-I-E.			
13	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Sir, there's water			
14	there, there are exhibit books that have some of the exhibits			
15	in that you've got right in front of you, and then there's			
16	also M&M dispensers, if you need some food. Don't let the			
17	lawyers have any.			
18	You may continue, Ms. Morgan.			
19	MS. MORGAN: Thank you.			
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
21	BY MS. MORGAN:			
22	Q Mr. LaBrie, are you employed?			
23	A Yes, I am.			
24	Q Who is your employer?			
25	A Bank of America N.A.			
26	Q What is your job title at Bank of America?			

A Assistant vice president, consumer resolution associate.

Q All right. And what does it mean to have that job title?

A In my duties I handle a portfolio of litigated cases for the bank that I work with counsel to resolve. I also appear at trials, depositions, and mediations as a witness for the bank.

Q All right. And have you appeared in trials regarding Nevada HOA foreclosures before?

- A Yes, I have. Lots.
- Q And it's been more than one; right?
- 13 A Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

16

- 0 Ouite a few?
- 15 A Yes.
 - Q All right. Are you familiar with a loan concerning borrower Stephanie Tablante?
- 18 A Yes, I am.
- 19 Q How are you familiar with that loan?
- A I reviewed the loan on our system of record and the documents relating to that loan in our document management portal.
- Q What is Bank of America's relation with respect to Ms. Tablante's loan?
- A We were the servicer on behalf of Freddie Mac.

- O What does it mean to service a loan?
- A Service the loan, basically we're the agent that collects the payments, that performs any kind of duties that are needed on the loan. If the loan is in foreclosure, we would work with the borrower to seek any pre-foreclosure activities, send statements, things of that nature.
- Q All right. So does the servicer -- is that the entity responsible for collecting payments and then routing them wherever they need to go?
- 10 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

- 11 Q Does Bank of America service loans that it does not 12 own?
 - A Yes, we do.
 - Q All right. And what about in this case?
- 15 A That's the case on this loan.
- 16 Q All right. Who owned the loan in this case?
- MR. AYON: Objection, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: A basis?
- MR. AYON: I'm sure she's trying to elicit an answer
 that says that Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae owns this loan, which
- 21 has already --
- 22 THE COURT: Well, he already said he was the 23 servicer for Freddie Mac. So I figure I'm going to hear that
- 24 answer.
- 25 MR. AYON: It's their witness. They should

reasonably understand what is an order, what's been a motion 1 2 in limine in this case, Your Honor. So they should have 3 instructed as far as that. All this evidence has already been excluded. To the extent --5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. MORGAN: You know, I can withdraw the question. 6 7 THE COURT: Why don't you ask it a different way. 8 MS. MORGAN: Sure. 9 BY MS. MORGAN: 10 All right. So I believe you testified that in this case Bank of America does not own the loan. 11 12 That's correct. Α All right. So if you could turn with me to 13 14 Exhibit 2. Are you familiar with this document? 15 Yes, I am. What is it? 16 0 17 This is a copy of the deed of trust for the loan 18 we're talking about. 19 Did Bank of America originate the loan secured by this deed of trust? 20 21 No, we didn't. 22 Who did? 23 New Freedom Mortgage Corporation. 24 0 Did Bank of America ever own this note? 25 Α No, we didn't.

Or ever own this loan? 1 2 No, we didn't. 3 So has Bank of America's role with respect to this Q 4 loan only been as a servicer? 5 Yes, it has. Α When did Bank of America begin servicing this loan? 6 7 Basically right after the inception of the loan. Α Did BAC Home Loan Servicing ever service the loan? 8 9 Α Yes. Was that before or after -- well, actually, let me 10 11 ask that differently. What's the relationship between BAC 12 Home Loan Servicing and Bank of America? BAC Home Loan Servicing merged into Bank of America 13 in 2011. 14 15 All right. So if you would look with me -- well, 0 let me ask you this. Did Bank of America or BAC Home Loan 16 17 Servicing let Ms. Tablante know that they were the servicer of 18 the loan? 19 Α Yes. 20 If you would turn with me, please, to Exhibit 27. 21 Α Okay. 22 Are you familiar with this document? 23 Α Yes, I am. 24 THE COURT: That is a proposed exhibit; correct? 25 MS. MORGAN: Yes.

THE WITNESS: 1 Yes, I am. 2 BY MS. MORGAN: 3 Okay. What is it? Q 4 This is a letter to the borrower letting them know 5 that the servicing of their loan is going from BAC Home Loan Servicing to Bank of America. 6 7 All right. And what was the date of the transfer 8 from BAC Home Loan Servicing to Bank of America? 9 July 1st, 2011. 10 In your preparation for your testimony today is this 11 a document that you found in Bank of America's system of 12 record? 13 Α Yes. 14 Are these types of letters notifying borrowers of a 15 servicing transfer, are those letters kept in the regular course of Bank of America's business activities? 16 17 Yes, they are. 18 Was this letter one that was routinely made and kept as a usual business practice of Bank of America? 19 20 Α Yes. 21 And was the record made at or near the time of the 22 events reported within this letter? 23 Yes, it was. 24 Was this letter made by a person with knowledge or 25 from information transmitted by a person with knowledge?

Yes. 1 And was that knowledge reported in the regular 2 3 course of business? 4 Α Yes, it was. 5 MS. MORGAN: Your Honor, move to admit Exhibit 27. THE COURT: Any objection? 6 7 Yeah. Your Honor, all those questions MR. AYON: 8 were leading in terms of what the letter was. There was no testimony as far as who wrote the letter, whether it was sent, or any of that. So if it does get admitted, it should only be 10 11 admitted for the limited purpose that it was in their actual 12 file. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Sir, are you the custodian of the 14 records for Bank of America? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Okay. Tell me how you perform your job 16 17 duties as a custodian given all of your other job duties. 18 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I don't understand. THE COURT: Usually the custodian of records is not 19 20 the person who goes to mediations, appears at trials. So I'm 21 trying to figure out how you could possibly do both jobs. 22 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 23 THE COURT: Are you in charge of the computer 24 system? 25 THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.

THE COURT: Do you know how the records are put into that computer system?

THE WITNESS: I know that they're done with -- the records are only put into the system by people that have access to do that.

THE COURT: Right. But you're not that person in charge of that group?

THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. MORGAN: I can lay some additional foundation.

THE COURT: That'd be lovely.

MS. MORGAN: Okay. Thank you.

BY MS. MORGAN:

Q I'm assuming that Bank of America has different computer systems that comprise its system of records. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And can you describe those systems of -- those computer systems that Bank of America uses.

A Yes. We have a system known as AS400 that's basically the system of record. In that system you can pull -- you can enter a loan number and pull up any information about the loan, when the loan was originated, the payment amounts, how much the escrow payments are, stuff like that.

Q All right. Are there any other systems other than

AS400?

- A Yes. There are numerous other systems.
- Q When you saw this record, Exhibit 27, within Bank of America's system of record where did you see it?
 - A In the document management portal.
 - Q Is that different than the AS400?
- A Yes, it is.
 - Q Okay. What is the document management portal?
- A The document management portal hosts -- basically you enter the loan number that you're searching for, and you can pull up every document for the life of the loan. So you can see a copy of the note, a copy of the deed of trust, any letters that were sent to the borrower, the origination documents. Basically everything.
- Q Is it important that the information in the document management portal be reliable and accurate?
- 17 A Yes, it is.
 - Q Why is that important?
 - A Because it's used to service the loan. So it's important for me that the information be accurate so that I know when I'm searching for a loan and I put the loan number in that I'm going to see the documents that relate to that loan that I'm looking for.
 - Q Does Bank of America take any steps, such as regular audits or anything like that, to ensure that the information

within the document management portal is in fact reliable and 1 2 accurate? 3 Α Yes. 4 And how long have you worked at Bank of America? 5 Six and a half years. Α 6 In your six and a half years at working with Bank of Q 7 America has it been your experience that the information 8 located within the document management portal is in fact accurate and reliable? Yes. It's always been accurate and reliable. 10 Do you have any reason to believe that Exhibit 27, 11 the letter to the borrower, does not relate to this loan? 12 No, I don't. 13 Α 14 MS. MORGAN: Okay. With that additional foundation 15 I'd like to move to admit Exhibit 27. THE COURT: Any additional objections, Mr. Ayon? 16 17 MR. AYON: Just the same thing as far -- well, let 18 me clarify that. Same thing as far as that the only 19 admissibility is that it's in their file. But I don't see any evidence that it was mailed out to borrower. 20 21 MS. MORGAN: That's okay. 22 THE COURT: For what purpose are you admitting the 23 document?

servicing did transfer. And then I'm going to ask some

MS. MORGAN: I'm admitting the document to show that

24

questions about the debt validation notice section on the 1 2 second page of the exhibit. But I'm not admitting it to show 3 that it was actually mailed to Ms. Tablante. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. 5 MR. AYON: That's fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: With that understanding, that can be 6 7 admitted for that limited purpose. Thank you. (Joint Exhibit 27 admitted) 8 9 BY MS. MORGAN: And, Mr. LaBrie, if you would turn to the second 10 11 page of that letter, Bate Stamp NSM00829. 12 Α Okay. Under Section 2(b) it says, "The name of the 13 creditor to whom the debt is owed, FHLMCS-A --14 15 MR. AYON: Your Honor, before she kind of continues with that question which is kind of in the same realm, there 16 17 is an order of the Court saying that this evidence has been 18 excluded. It was already --19 THE COURT: Because it was never disclosed on time. 20 MR. AYON: Yes. So to the extent that they're 21 trying to back-door an argument --22 THE COURT: Was this document disclosed previously, 23 Mr. Ayon? 24 MR. AYON: That I don't know. I can't make a 25 representation one way or the other.

THE COURT: Ms. Morgan, when was this document, 1 2 Exhibit 27, which has been admitted for a limited purpose, 3 disclosed as part of the discovery process? 4 MS. MORGAN: I don't know what day it was disclosed, 5 but I do know that it was included in pretrial disclosures and 6 Mr. Ayon did not object to the timeliness of the document. Не 7 did object to the timeliness of a number of documents that were the subject of the motion in limine. 8 9 MR. STERN: What's the Bates number? MS. MORGAN: 829. 10 11 THE COURT: Come on, Mr. Stern. Tell me what group 12 it was -- come on. Tell me when it was produced. Come on. 13 You've got the whole list there. 14 MR. STERN: Oh. It's going to take a second, Judge. 15 Well, at least through the Bates number THE COURT: you'll know when, because you produced them in tranches; 16 17 right? 18 MS. MORGAN: I do know -- because I anticipated this 19 would come up, so I looked last night, and I do know that 20 Sunset did not object in the pretrial disclosures or in our --21 in the joint pretrial memo to this particular document. 22 THE COURT: So what I'm trying to get to is it's got 23 a Bates number of 829. Which of your Rule 16.1 disclosures 24 was it a part of?

Sorry, sir. There have been some contentious issues

in the last two months in this case. And they're not your 1 2 fault. 3 THE WITNESS: All righty. 4 (Pause in the proceedings) 5 MS. MORGAN: All right. This document was disclosed 6 on April 22nd, 2019. If I recall, discovery did close on 7 February 22nd, 2019. Our position is that the untimeliness of this particular document was waived. And that's because when 8 we disclosed it two times as pretrial disclosures in an 10 amended and a second amended pretrial disclosures, and neither of the objections did West Sunset object that the document was 11 12 in any way untimely. And I think that's important; because, while Sunset did object to a number of our documents being 13 14 untimely, so they clearly knew how to object to something 15 being untimely disclosed, but they waived that objection with respect to this particular argument. 16 17 They waived it again in the joint pretrial 18 memorandum when they didn't object at all to the document. 19 THE COURT: Hold on. I'm in the objection section 20 of theirs, which was filed on May 6th. 21 MR. AYON: And, Your Honor --22 THE COURT: Wait. Hold on. 23 What's the Bates number again, 862?

14

24

25

MR. AYON:

829.

MS. MORGAN: 827, 828, 829.

THE COURT: So the objection ends at the document NSM00826 and then resumes again at the document NSM00836. So it appears that the objection was not made to this document of the group that was produced.

MR. AYON: Can I be heard on that issue?
THE COURT: Sure.

MR. AYON: Your Honor, I think this is -- I mean,
I'm actually surprised that they're making this argument. I
think this is really a violation of the professional rules of
conduct, because, Your Honor, we know that these issues -that they were objected to. And the fact that they were
trying to back-door an argument like that is -- frankly, is
disingenuous. Your Honor --

THE COURT: Well, you understand that I know I'm not letting in evidence about the actual owner of the loan because of the late disclosure and the poor conduct on behalf of someone who should have disclosed it many, many years ago. So to the extent that somebody has a reference in there to a loan number which might indicate it was a Freddie Mac loan, I'm going to still allow the loan number to be admitted, since it's part of this document. But I am certainly not going to go back to a situation where because of the failure to timely disclose the actual ownership of the loan somebody, like the plaintiff, may have been prejudiced. Okay? How's that?

MR. AYON: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So you may continue with that understanding. So I don't care what the loan number is, but I'm happy for him to refer to it.

MS. MORGAN: All right. And I would just like to make a record that we were not trying to back-door anything. We actually brought it affirmatively to the Court's attention in our motion in limine. So --

THE COURT: You did. You did. And we briefed it and we argued it, and I made a ruling. And some day somebody in some other city is going to rule on that.

MR. AYON: And, Your Honor, I do want this argument to kind of be at least -- or the other side to be cautioned, because I think that the next step is that we move to strike her answer.

THE COURT: Luckily, I have no jury here. So you don't have the issue of unringing the bell. It's whether somebody's going to convince me that I was wrong -- and, by the way, you still haven't submitted an order, Mr. Ayon -- related to the motion in limine that was filed on or about May 14th, which I denied.

MR. AYON: I believe that I submitted one in open court, Your Honor. That was -- because I had Mr. Stern sign it at the time, the trial the last time.

THE COURT: Motion in limine?

MR. AYON: Yes.

MS. MORGAN: As I recall, there was a draft on the 1 2 first day that we commenced trial. It's a very simple order that just says "Denied." I don't know --3 4 MR. AYON: It wasn't very contentious, that's for 5 sure. MS. MORGAN: Yeah. 6 7 THE COURT: I haven't seen it. 8 MS. MORGAN: Okay. We may not have --9 MR. AYON: I'll resubmit it, Your Honor. THE COURT: You may have handed it among yourselves 10 11 and just not given it to me. 12 MR. AYON: Which is very likely, Your Honor. THE COURT: I only know there's no order because it 13 14 says so in the computer file which I am not the custodian of 15 records with and which is not always accurate. MR. AYON: I know what system you're talking about. 16 17 And you're right, it was never accurate. 18 THE COURT: Okay. We were on 27, and you were 19 asking him about the loan number on page 2. 20 BY MS. MORGAN: 21 Right. I had read the first sentence of Section 22 2(b). I won't re-read it; but did I read that correctly the 23 first time? 24 Α Yes. 25 0 What does FHLMC stand for?

MR. AYON: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Freddie Mac.

BY MS. MORGAN:

- Q And then the second sentence in section (b) says, "Please note that unless Bank of America N.A. is listed in 2(b) as the creditor of your loan, Bank of America N.A. does not own your loan and only services your loan on behalf of your creditor subject to the requirements and guidelines of your creditor." Did I read that correctly?
 - A Yes, you did.
- Q Is that sentence consistent with the documents that you reviewed in preparation for your testimony today?
 - A Yes, it is.
- Q Did you see any indication in your system of record of any other creditor to whom the debt is owed other than the one listed in Section 2(b)?
- MR. AYON: Objection, Your Honor. I mean, she's still trying to elicit testimony as far as the ownership of this after we've already kind of went through this.
- THE COURT: Okay. So remember I know who owns it, because I dealt with the motion in limine and denied it. So this is not a surprise to me. I've already decided I'm not going to consider it. You may and should continue to make your objections, and we'll all continue to make our record

just because somebody's going to raise this issue when you are 1 2 at an appellate level several months from now. I didn't say 3 years. I thought about it, but months. 4 Right, Mr. Stern? How long do they take? 5 MR. STERN: You would have been right, Your Honor. 6 It's a couple years, in our experience. Actually, they're 7 getting faster. 8 THE COURT: Yeah. Right. Yeah. Uh-huh. Okay. 9 Keep going, Ms. Morgan. BY MS. MORGAN: 10 11 All right. So did you see an indication in Bank of 12 America's system of record that there was ever any other creditor to whom the debt is owed other than the one listed in 13 Section 2(b)? 14 15 MR. AYON: Your Honor, did you sustain the 16 objection, or overrule it? 17 THE COURT: I overruled your objection. 18 MR. AYON: Okay. 19 THE WITNESS: No, it didn't. 20 BY MS. MORGAN: So am I correct to understand that Bank of America 21 22 during the entire time it serviced the loan serviced the loan 23 on behalf of its owner, Freddie Mac? 24 MR. AYON: Objection. 25 THE COURT: Sustained. So you won't answer that

question. 1 2 Ms. Morgan, remember, we're not asking that issue. 3 The fact that Bank of America is not the owner and I know who 4 the owner is because of the motion in limine is still not 5 something we're going to get into in this trial. The fact 6 they serviced it for the owner, who was not Bank of America, 7 is fair game in this hearing, and I'm happy for you to do that. But we're not going to talk about Freddie Mac. 8 9 MS. MORGAN: Okay. I understand. 10 THE COURT: I hope so. MS. MORGAN: I think so. I want to make a record, 11 12 but not beat a dead horse. So that's what I'm trying to do here. 13 BY MS. MORGAN: 14 15 All right. In your preparation for your testimony here today did you review Bank of America's system of record 16 17 for HOA notices? 18 Α Yes. 19 And are you familiar with -- since you've testified 20 before in Nevada HOA quiet title actions, are you generally 21 familiar with what those notices look like and consist of? 22 Yes. 23 If you would turn with me, please, to Exhibit 8.

20

THE COURT: And Exhibit 8 was previously admitted.

24

25

//

BY MS. MORGAN: I'll represent to you that this is the HOA's notice of default in this case. In your review of Bank of America's system of record did you see a copy of this notice of default? No, I did not. Α The date of this notice of default is May 23rd, 0 2012. Do you see that in about the middle of the page? Α Yes. In 2012 did Bank of America have a business practice in place for responding to notice of default from Nevada HOAs? Yes, we did. What was that business practice? When we would receive a notice like this we would open a file within the department I work in, and then we'll hire counsel to tender payment. THE COURT: So, sir, how do you know that, since you weren't at Bank of America then? THE WITNESS: Because I have testified in over 75 of these types of cases in trial and been deposed. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

21 BY MS. MORGAN:

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q Did Bank of America retain outside counsel to satisfy the superpriority portion of the lien for this case?

A No.

Q Why not?

- A Because we didn't receive this notice.
- Q And by this notice are you referring to the notice of default in Exhibit 8?
 - A Yes, I am.

- Q When did Bank of America stop servicing this loan?
- A In February of 2013.
- Q And which entity began servicing after Bank of America?
- A Nationstar Mortgage. But I think they go by Mr. Cooper now.
- Q If you would please turn with me to Exhibit 3. I'm going to be referring to Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 4. They're both previously admitted recorded documents entitled deed in lieu of foreclosure. Exhibit 4 is just re-recorded with the property description. That's the only difference.
- In your review of Bank of America's system of record did you see any indication that Bank of America ever consented to taking a deed in lieu from Ms. Tablante?
- A No, I did not.
 - Q Did you see any indication that New Freedom Mortgage ever agreed to take a deed in lieu?
 - A No, I did not.
 - Q Do you have any reason to believe that Bank of America would not have followed its customary business practice of retaining outside counsel had it received the

1	notice of default in this case?
2	MR. AYON: Objection, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Overruled.
4	THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
5	MS. MORGAN: That's all I have.
6	THE COURT: Thank you.
7	Cross-examination.
8	MR. AYON: Court's indulgence, Your Honor, for just
9	a second.
10	(Pause in the proceedings)
11	MR. AYON: Your Honor, I was going to ask him about
12	the I'm trying to think the practices and procedures in
13	2012. But you asked that question already as far
14	THE COURT: Well, you're welcome to follow up
15	MR. AYON: Okay.
16	THE COURT: because mine was sort of a very short
17	question. And direct.
18	MR. AYON: Yeah. And like I said, I think you kind
19	of nail right on the head.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. AYON:
22	Q So you had testified that you've only been with Bank
23	of America for six and a half years; is that correct?
24	A That's correct.
25	Q And you're testifying to policies and procedures in
	23

2012; is that what I'm hearing correct?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

24

25

Q And you said part of that was just from doing your depositions and things like that. How did you get familiar -- how were you able to testify at those depositions about policies and procedures in 2012? What specific information did you gain to be able to testify to that?

A I reviewed our policy and procedure that stated when we receive an HOA notice to open a file and hire counsel.

- Q Okay. And in this case are you saying that -- now, you're saying that you never received the notice; right?
 - A That's correct.
- Q As part of your review for this testimony do you know whether Bank of America actually received actual notice of a foreclosure?
- 16 A No, I don't.
 - Q Okay. So it's very possible that Bank of America could have received notice from either MERS -- is that correct?
- 20 MS. MORGAN: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 21 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that.
- 23 BY MR. AYON:
 - Q Yeah. So in your preparation for this testimony -- you've already testified that you don't know whether Bank of

America got actual notice of this. Is it possible that you 1 2 got -- that Bank of America, you, received notice through 3 another source, for example, MERS? 4 It's possible that we could have received notice 5 through MERS. What about from -- my understanding, from New 6 Q 7 Freedom Mortgage Corporation? It's possible, I guess. 8 9 Did you review any of your -- did you review any of the computer notes or anything like that that would have 10 11 indicated that Bank of America got actual notice? 12 Yes, I did. Α Okay. And what did -- what did you see there? 13 14 Α I did not see that we got notice. 15 Okay. But you don't know, though, either; is that -- so you've got some inconsistent testimony there. 16 17 Α I didn't -- we didn't receive the notice of default. 18 That's not my question. So my question is did you 0 19 get actual notice of the sale -- of the notice of default? 20 I'm not sure. Α 21 Will you turn to Exhibit 5, please. 22 THE COURT: And that's an admitted exhibit. 23 MR. AYON: That's correct, Your Honor. 24 BY MR. AYON: 25 Have you seen this document before?

Yes, I have. 1 And what is it? 2 It's an assignment of deed of trust to BAC Home Loan 3 4 Servicing. 5 And what does this assignment -- what is your Q understanding that this assignment does? 6 7 Α It transfers the beneficial interest to Bank of 8 America. 9 And then turn to Exhibit 6. And can you tell me 0 what that document is. 10 11 It's a substitution of trustee. 12 And what does that do? It's transferring interest to Bank of America. 13 14 MR. AYON: Your Honor, I'm just taking one quick 15 look at my notes, and I should be wrapping up here soon. THE COURT: It's okay. 16 17 MR. AYON: Thanks, Your Honor. 18 (Pause in the proceedings) 19 BY MR. AYON: 20 And I do want you to turn to page 10. Exhibit 10? 21 Α 22 Yes. I'm sorry. Exhibit 10. Have you seen this 23 document before? 24 Α Yes, I have. 25 Is it your testimony -- did Bank of America ever

receive this notice? 1 2 I'm not sure if we received this one. 3 If you receive a notice of sale, what's Bank of 4 America's custom and practice to do with that notice of sale? 5 It'll be the same procedures if we received a notice Α of default. Open a file and hire counsel. 6 7 Was that done in this case? No, it wasn't. 8 9 MR. AYON: That's all the questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Redirect? 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MS. MORGAN: We can just stay exactly where Mr. Ayon left off, at 13 Exhibit 10. 14 15 Α Okay. Do you see -- what's the date on this notice of 16 17 sale? June 2013. 18 Α 19 Where are you looking? Sorry. This is recorded on April 4, 2012. 20 Α 21 THE COURT: Are you on Exhibit 10? 22 THE WITNESS: I think so. The notice of foreclosure 23 sale? 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Mine has May 28th, 2013, on the 25 signature line, and May 29th on the recording stamp.

THE WITNESS: Oh. Yeah. I was reading the date in 1 2 the first sentence. But the date at the bottom is May 28, 3 2013. BY MS. MORGAN: Okay. Now, is that -- was Bank of America still 5 Q servicing on May 28th, 2013? 6 7 No, we weren't. Remind us when Bank of America stopped servicing. 8 9 February of 2013. Α You testified you didn't see a copy of the notice of 10 11 default in Bank of America's system of record; is that 12 correct? That's correct. 13 Α You didn't see a notice of default that was 14 15 forwarded from MERS; is that accurate? I didn't see one at all. So there wasn't one 16 17 forwarded from MERS. If there would have been, I would have 18 seen it. 19 0 Would that have been in the document management 20 portal? 21 Yes. Α 22 Is that the same if something had been forwarded --23 or if a notice of default had been forwarded from New Freedom 24 Mortgage? 25 Yes. It would have been in there.

- Q And Mr. Ayon asked you if it's possible that Bank of America got notice. Is it possible that Bank of America didn't get notice?

 A Yes.
 - Q And what makes you think that's possible?
- A Because we didn't get the notice of default in this case.
- Q Would you say it's probable that Bank of America didn't have notice of the sale because Bank of America didn't receive a notice of default?
- A Yes.

- Q Mr. Ayon turned your attention to Exhibit 5, which was the assignment of deed of trust. And you can correct me if I'm wrong. I believe you testified that this assignment transfers beneficial interest to Bank of America. Is that your testimony?
 - A Yes.
- Q Does this assignment -- is it your understanding that this assignment transfers ownership of the loan at all?
- 20 A No, it doesn't.
 - Q What about Exhibit 6, the substitution of trustee?

 Does that document operate to in any way transfer ownership of the loan?
- A No, it doesn't.
- MS. MORGAN: That's all I have.

THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Ayon? 1 MR. AYON: No, Your Honor. 2 3 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. We appreciate your 4 time. Have a very nice day. Travel safely. 5 Next witness. MS. MORGAN: Nationstar Mortgage calls Aaryn 6 7 Richardson. AARYN RICHARDSON, DEFENDANTS' WITNESS, SWORN 8 9 THE CLERK: Please be seated. And please state and 10 spell your first and last name. 11 THE WITNESS: My name is Aaryn Richardson, A-A-R-Y-N 12 R-I-C-H-A-R-D-S-O-N. THE COURT: And, sir, you will notice there's a 13 14 pitcher of water next to you, and then there are also an 15 exhibit binder and M&Ms in the dispenser behind you. Proceed. 16 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MS. MORGAN: 19 Mr. Richardson, who are you employed by? 20 Nationstar Mortgage doing business as Mr. Cooper. Α What kind of business is Nationstar? 21 22 We are a mortgage loan servicer. 23 What is your title? 24 My title is senior principal -- sorry. It just 25 changed recently. It's senior litigation resolution analyst.

- Q What are your job duties having that title?
- A Generally speaking I am assigned to loans that

 Nationstar services that are in default and are also involved in some form of litigation. And generally I'm tasked with assisting and bringing resolution to those litigated matters. That can take a lot of different forms, but generally that's what I do.
- Q Have you previously testified on behalf of Nationstar in Nevada HOA matters?
- 10 A I have.

2

3

4

5

8

- 11 Q And approximately how many times?
- 12 A Less than a dozen.
- Q Are you familiar with the loan concerning Stephanie
 Tablante?
 - A I'm assuming you mean in court.
- 16 Q Yes.
- 17 A Yes. Less than a dozen.
- Q Okay. What about in depositions?
- A More than that. Probably 30 or 40, if I had to quess. I really don't know.
- Q All right. Are you familiar with a loan concerning Stephanie Tablante?
- 23 A I am.
- Q How are you familiar with that loan?
- 25 A It is a loan that Nationstar services. And I also

reviewed all the business records that Nationstar maintains that pertain to that loan.

- Q What does it mean generally to service a loan?
- A Loan servicing, I call it the customer-facing side of a mortgage loan. So it's taking and receiving payments, sending out billing statements, we maintain a call center for customer service purposes, questions, that sort of thing. We handle loss mitigation in the event that the loan goes into default or the default's imminent. We're also tasked with proceeding with foreclosure in the event that default cannot be cured.
- Q All right. Does Nationstar service loans it does not own?
 - A Frequently.

- Q And when that is the case does Nationstar facilitate the transfer of funds from the borrower to the loan owner?
- A That's one of its primary responsibilities.
- 18 O And did Nationstar do that in this case?
- 19 A No. Because it was in default. We didn't --
- 20 Q Oh. Got it.
 - A We never received any payments. But had they been the borrower been making payments, yes, that's what would have happened.
 - Q Even though there were no payments to forward, is

 Nationstar -- would Nationstar -- let me ask you -- Nationstar

still services this loan; correct?

- A Yes, ma'am.
- Q All right. Had Nationstar been in regular contact with the owner of the loan --
 - A Yes.

- Q -- as far as reporting?
- A Yes. On a monthly basis.
- Q Can you don't describe what that means.
- A Sure. So whenever Nationstar's servicing a loan on behalf of a different entity, that is, the owner, we do monthly reporting. We call it investor reporting. So depending on what that particular investor's looking for in terms of format, we send them a set amount of information, the loan level, every loan that we service for them, indicates whether or not it's performing or not, past due, how far past due, you know, current balances, that sort of thing.
- Q Can you describe for us Nationstar's different systems of -- well, I guess one system of record, but the different programs that comprise that system of record.
- A Sure. So our primary loan servicing platform is a program called LSAMS. It's an acronym, and, no, I can't remember what it stands for. But that's -- that does the bulk of the loan servicing functions at Nationstar.

Then we have a couple of other programs that I would say assist or augment that system. But next probably most

used is our file imaging or document imaging system, and that's called FileNet. I have access to both of these systems and have had extensive training on both.

- Q Is it important that the information in LSAMS and in FileNet be reliable and accurate?
- A It is I would say crucial to our business that it remain -- that it be accurate.
- Q Does Nationstar undertake any efforts to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the information in LSAMS and FileNet?
- A Yes. There are many, many layers of quality control and audits that take place on both those systems.
 - Q How long have you worked at Nationstar?
 - A Began at Nationstar in March of 2014.
- Q Well, how frequently do you have occasion to work in LSAMS and FileNet?
- 17 A Daily.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

18

- Q In your experience has the information in LSAMS and FileNet been accurate and reliable?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q Did you review both LSAMS and FileNet in preparation for your testimony today?
- 23 A I did.
- Q And I'm assuming that's with respect to this loan.
- 25 A Yes, ma'am.

- Q All right. In your review did you -- were you able to determine whether Nationstar ever owned this loan?
 - A Yes, I was able to make that determination.
 - Q Okay. And what did you discover?
- A Nationstar has never had any ownership interest in this particular loan.
 - Q When did Nationstar begin servicing this loan?
 - A February of 2013.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

- Q Did Nationstar advise the borrower of the servicing transfer to Nationstar?
 - A Yes. It's required to do so.
- Q And my question said Nationstar began servicing from Bank of America?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Servicing transferred from Bank of America to Nationstar?
- 17 A That is correct.
- 18 Q All right. How would Nationstar have communicated 19 that information to Ms. Tablante?
- 20 A It goes out in what we commonly call a welcome
 21 letter. It advises the borrower that loan -- the servicing
 22 has transferred from in this case Bank of America to
 23 Nationstar. It advises her that she'll be receiving a new
- loan number and other pieces of information that pertain to

5 her loan now being serviced by Nationstar, you know, the date

of the transfer and who to call if she has questions. The loan was in default at the time, so there would have been some additional information related to that included with the letter.

Q When servicing transferred does that mean that ownership of the loan transfers, as well?

A It does not. It just means that the -- strictly the mortgage servicing or the mortgage servicing rights have been transferred from one entity to another, in this case Bank of America to Nationstar.

Q All right. If could turn with me to Exhibit 28.

THE COURT: And that's a proposed exhibit.

MS. MORGAN: Yes.

BY MS. MORGAN:

- Q Have you seen the document in Exhibit 28 before?
- A Yes, I have.
- Q Where have you seen this document?
 - A Copies of these documents are maintained in our FileNet system.

MR. AYON: Your Honor, to the extent that this is going to be the same line of questioning that we have from the Bank of America one, I'd like to raise the objection before you even start going into this line of questioning.

THE COURT: So you understand the objection. My ruling's going to be the same thing. I understand to the

extent there are loan numbers or something that indicate 1 Freddie Mac, you could certainly ask those questions. But to 2 3 the extent who the owner is is out of bounds. MS. MORGAN: Okay. All right. So am I correct that 5 I don't need to lay a foundation as a business record, that 6 that's not the objection, it's just the Freddie Mac? 7 MR. AYON: Yes. 8 THE COURT: So are you okay admitting it for limited 9 purpose of the dates? MR. AYON: I mean, outside I think this is all so --10 you know, she's -- we never objected to pretrial disclosures 11 12 on this one. This was outside the discovery deadline. However, to the extent that they were going to use it to try 13 14 to back-door in a Freddie Mac argument, then, yeah, I am 15 objecting to even the admissibility of this document, because 16 it was outside discovery. 17 THE COURT: Ms. Morgan, what purpose are you 18 offering this exhibit? 19 MS. MORGAN: I'm offering this exhibit -- well, I think whether or not it's a business record -- or 20 21 admissible --22 THE COURT: That's not what I'm asking. I have a 23 very limited question. What is your purpose for the admission

37

MS. MORGAN: The purpose is to show that Nationstar

24

25

of this document?

was the servicer as of a certain date, the relation of that 1 2 date in relation to the HOA's notices --3 MR. AYON: And I can --4 THE COURT: Wait. Can you stop it while she 5 finishes, please. 6 MR. AYON: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I was going to 7 come up with a better solution. 8 THE COURT: I'm going to have a stipulation that 9 you're going give me in a minute. 10 MR. AYON: Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Ms. Morgan. MS. MORGAN: And then I was going to point to some 12 language on the second page of that exhibit saying that, we 13 14 look forward to servicing your loan on behalf of Freddie Mac. 15 THE COURT: Yeah. That part we're not going to. 16 So do you have a stipulation that you'd like to 17 make, Mr. Ayon, to solve those problems? 18 MR. AYON: Yes, Your Honor. We can redact all the 19 references to Freddie Mac, and I think I would stipulate to the exhibit. 20 21 THE COURT: So do you want instead to stipulate to 22 the dates that she's using it for? That's fine, Your Honor. 23 MR. AYON: 24 THE COURT: So it sounds like, Ms. Morgan, he's 25 going to stipulate that as of the date of February 2013 that

servicing began by Nationstar and that they were the servicer, 1 2 although not the owner, at that time. 3 MS. MORGAN: Okay. 4 THE COURT: So that's before the notice of sale and 5 after the notice of default in the grand scheme of things. Does that solve most of your problems? 6 7 And I am going to sustain the objection related to 8 the portion that's on page 2 that you wanted to read. 9 MS. MORGAN: That's just fine. Thank you. 10 Well, I don't know that I have any questions now. THE COURT: Now you've got a stipulation. 11 12 solves most of your problems. 13 MS. MORGAN: There we go. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Ayon, do you have any questions? 15 MR. AYON: Cross-examination? MS. MORGAN: Oh. I just meant I don't have any 16 17 questions about this particular document now. 18 THE COURT: Oh. I thought you were done. 19 MS. MORGAN: Not quite. BY MS. MORGAN: 20 Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit 5. 21 22 I'm there. 23 All right. Did you see this document within 24 Nationstar's system of record? 25 I did. Α

1 Q What is it?

3

4

7

8

9

24

25

- 2 A This is an assignment of the deed of trust.
 - Q And who is the assignor, who's the assignee?
 - A The assignor is MERS, Mortgage Electronic

5 Registration Systems, and the assignee is BAC Home Loan 6 Servicing --

- O And what is --
- A -- formerly known as Countrywide.
- Q What date was this assignment recorded?
- 10 A It was recorded on July 29th, 2011.
- Q Will you please look at Exhibit 9. Are you familiar with this document?
- 13 A I am.
- 0 What is this document?
- 15 A This is also an assignment of the deed of trust 16 titled Corporation Assignment of Deed of Trust --
- Q Okay.
- 18 A -- from BAC Home Loan Servicing to Nationstar.
- 20 All right. And if we look at the second page, it 20 actually says Bank of America NA, successor by merger to BAC 21 Home Loan Servicing LP, FAA, formerly known as Countrywide 22 Home Loan Servicing LP; is that correct?
- 23 A That's correct.
 - Q Is it your understanding that either of the two assignments we just looked at in any way transfers ownership

of the loan?

- A Neither transfer ownership of the loan.
- Q Okay. Why is it that if Nationstar began servicing in February 2013 we're not seeing an assignment to Nationstar recorded until March of 2013?

A That is very common. The assignment is done to facilitate in this case ultimately a foreclosure. So it's not uncommon with a servicing transfer for the assignment to lag behind a little bit. Because this is not one loan being transferred. Hundreds and thousands of loans we being transferred during this time, so the assignment may be delayed slightly as part of the servicing transfer.

- Q All right. And while on the topic of servicing transfers, can you describe for us whether Nationstar -- do they typically get documents from the previous servicer upon a transfer?
 - A Yes, they do.
- Q And how are those documents integrated into Nationstar's system?

A So Nationstar will take the imaged documents that they receive from the prior servicer and after it's -- during the onboarding process some may come over a little bit afterwards, but typically it's during the onboarding process. And once the quality control procedures have been completed, those documents will be uploaded into FileNet and under the

designation of the new loan number that the loan is given by Nationstar.

- Q And I believe you testified in Exhibit 9 that the assignment to Nationstar -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- was to facilitate any foreclosure that may take place. Is that correct?
- A Right. The loan was in default, and in order to exercise its rights under the security instrument the deed of trust would need to be assigned to Nationstar from the prior servicer.
- Q How does Nationstar know whether -- well, is a deed of trust always assigned to Nationstar, or is it sometimes assigned to a different entity that Nationstar's servicing on behalf of?
- A Yes. That's not uncommon. It really depends on the entity that Nationstar was servicing the loan for. Sometimes it may be assigned to them and Nationstar exercises the rights under the deed of trust through a power of attorney relationship or something along those lines. But sometimes it's assigned directly to Nationstar and --
- Q Did Nationstar follow -- were there guidelines in place that Nationstar followed in its servicing of this loan?
 - A Yes.

- Q Do you know where those guidelines can be found?
- A Yes. The -- it's the Freddie Mac Servicers Guide

that governs all of the rights and responsibilities that Nationstar has as a loan servicer for Freddie Mac. So -- and that's all available on the Freddie Mac Website.

- Q And so did those guidelines permit Nationstar to take an assignment in Nationstar's name?
- A Yes. In instances of foreclosure or in situations like this those are all done in the name of the servicer.

 That's how Freddie Mac has it done. So Nationstar would have taken the -- the deed of trust would have been assigned directly to Nationstar.
- Q During the time that Nationstar has serviced this loan, I think around six years, has it always serviced on behalf of the same owner?
 - A Yes.

- Q Am I correct when I say that Nationstar was servicing this loan on the date of the HOA foreclose sale, which I'll represent to you is June 22nd, 2013?
 - A That is correct.
- 19 Q Will you please look with me to Exhibit 10.
- Actually, I'm going to back up a little bit.
- Exhibit 8. And this is the HOA's notice of default. In your review of Nationstar's system of record did you see a copy of this notice of default?
- 24 A I did not.
 - Q Did you -- knowing this was a Nevada HOA foreclosure

case, did you specifically look for the notice of default?

A Yes. I pulled every single document that we received from Bank of America and went through them personally page by page to determine if we received this document from Bank of America. And we had not.

- Q And now let's turn to Exhibit 10, which is the HOA's notice of foreclosure sale. And that is dated May 28th, 2013, recorded May 29th, 2013; is that correct?
 - A Yes.

- Q In your review of Nationstar's system of record did you see a copy of this notice of foreclosure sale?
 - A I did not.
- Q Knowing this was a Nevada HOA quiet title action, did you specifically look for a notice of foreclosure sale from the HOA?
- A I did. I went through a similar process and reviewed all the documents that we have in our file in that system that pertain to this particular loan, and I was unable to find this document.
- Additionally, I went through our servicing notes, the LSAMS platform where we document activities, and I did not show that we received this at the time it was recorded or at any time thereafter prior to this litigation commencing.
- Q And when you say you didn't -- Nationstar didn't receive it, does that mean from any source, whether it be

MERS, New Freedom Mortgage, the HOA, its trustee? 1 2 It did not receive it from any source. 3 THE COURT: Until litigation. 4 THE WITNESS: That's correct. THE COURT: Okay. 5 BY MS. MORGAN: 6 7 Will you please turn with me to Exhibit 2, which is 0 8 the deed of trust. 9 Α I'm there. All right. On page NSM00015 I'm going to point your 10 11 attention to paragraph 20 at the bottom of that page. 12 Sorry. Which paragraph? Α 20. 13 0 14 Α Okay. 15 It's entitled Sale of Note, Change of Loan Servicer, Notice of Grievance." And then the first sentence says, "The 16 17 note or a partial interest in the note, together with this 18 security instrument, can be sold one or more times without 19 prior notice to borrower." Did I read that correctly? 20 Α Yes. 21 What's the -- do you know what the purpose is for 22 including that language in the deed of trust? 23 It is to put the borrower on notice that their loan 24 can be bought or sold multiple times --25 Q Okay.

-- without any prior notice. 1 And does it put more than the borrower on notice, 2 3 since it's a publicly recorded document? 4 Α Yes. 5 In your review of Nationstar's system of record did Q you see any correspondence or reference to any communications 6 7 with the Federal Housing Financing Authority, FHFA? MR. AYON: Objection, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Overruled. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did see notices -- or I saw 11 record of communication. 12 BY MS. MORGAN: With FHFA? 13 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay. What did you see? Just our monthly reporting. 16 Α 17 Q Oh. Okay. You're talking about the monthly 18 reporting? Yes. That's all. 19 20 Okay. Did you see any communications with FHFA 21 regarding potential extinguishment of the deed of trust as a 22 lien? 23 MR. AYON: Objection, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Sustained. Can you rephrase your 25 question.

MS. MORGAN: Sure. 1 2 BY MS. MORGAN: 3 Did you see any other types of communications with the FHFA? 5 I did not. Α Please turn to Exhibit 7. 6 7 Α I'm there. All right. This is the HOA's lien for delinquent 8 assessments. In your review of Nationstar's system of record did you see a copy of this lien? 10 11 I did not. 12 Has it ever been Nationstar's understanding that Bank of America agreed to taking a deed in lieu from Ms. 13 Tablante? 14 15 I did not see anything in the business records that indicated that there had been a deed in lieu between Bank of 16 17 America and Ms. Tablante. 18 What about between New Freedom Mortgage Corporation 0 19 and Ms. Tablante? 20 Same answer. Α 21 Are you familiar with the Cooper Castle law firm? 22 I am. 23 How are you familiar with that law firm? 24 Work on other cases and other loans. I have just a 25 general familiarity with their work as a foreclosure firm in

this area. They've done work on other cases I've been 2 involved in. 3 Do you know whether Nationstar retained Cooper 4 Castle with respect to this loan? 5 That's my understanding. Α For what purpose were they retained? 6 Q 7 To proceed with foreclosure. Α 8 Do you know -- did you see any communications from Cooper Castle about the deeds in lieu that were recorded in this case? 10 11 Sorry. I can't recall off the top of my head. 12 All right. If you'd please turn to Exhibit 18. THE COURT: And that's a proposed exhibit. 13 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 14 15 BY MS. MORGAN: And I'm going to turn your attention to Bates Number 16 17 NSM000482. 18 MR. AYON: I'm sorry. Can I have that number again? 19 MS. MORGAN: Sure. 482. 20 MR. AYON: 482? 21 MS. MORGAN: Yes. 22 BY MS. MORGAN: 23 Did you see a copy of this letter in Nationstar's 24 system of record? 25 I did. And -- sorry. Yes, I did.

- Q Where did you see it? Was it in FileNet?
- A That's correct.

Q Give us, without reading the letter word for word, what is the -- what is the gist of the letter on page 482?

A That the foreclosure firm had discovered these recorded deed in lieus and was reaching out to Ms. Tablante to get additional information.

Q Okay. The second-to-last sentence of the first paragraph says, "We are unaware any agreement by New Freedom that a conveyance of the property to New Freedom would satisfy --"

THE COURT: Are you reading from an unadmitted document on purpose?

MS. MORGAN: Oh. Not on purpose. I'd like to move to admit this. We have an affidavit of custodian of records on page 459.

THE COURT: There's no objection. Now you can read from it, Ms. Morgan.

(Joint Exhibit 18 admitted)

MS. MORGAN: Thank you.

BY MS. MORGAN:

Q Can you tell me if I'm reading this correctly. "We are unaware any agreement by New Freedom that a conveyance of the property to New Freedom would satisfy the obligations of the deed of trust." Did I read that correctly?

A Yes.

Q Is that consistent with your understanding that there was never an agreement by Bank of America, by New Freedom Mortgage to take a deed in lieu?

A That's correct.

MS. MORGAN: That's all the questions I have.

THE COURT: Mr. Ayon, cross-examination.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. AYON:

- Q What's your understanding of a deed in lieu?
- A It's a -- where borrower who's in default deeds their ownership interest in the house back to the lender to satisfy a deed in lieu in foreclosure, is the full title. So you deed the property back to the bank in lieu of a foreclosure.
 - Q Is your understanding that at least this was recorded as part of the public record, right, this deed in lieu?
 - A Which?
 - Q The deed in lieu that we're just talking about in Exhibit 8.
 - A Yes. If there was one recorded, yes.
 - Q Why not accept this deed in lieu? Wouldn't it save the trouble of foreclosure and save the trouble of this trial at this point?

A It requires -- that's not how it works. Obviously you have to have the consent of the lender to move forward with a deed in lieu. Your title checks and things have to be run. There's a number of reasons for it. But it doesn't work that way.

Q Ultimately it's the same thing as doing a foreclosure sale; is that right? Ultimately the deed would have been -- or the title to the property would have been in the name of whoever the lender was at the time? I'll take that question back.

A Possibly. I mean, I guess it depends on what happened at the sale. Also, the foreclosure wipes out any other liens on the title. So it doesn't function quite the same, and I don't know what the outcome would be.

Q Now, at the time this was recorded back in 2011 was New Freedom Mortgage the record title holder of the property?

A Sorry. Could you ask that question one more time.

Q At the time back in 2011 -- so March 3rd, 2011, New Freedom Mortgage was the actual record holder -- or was the beneficiary of the deed of trust at the time; is that right?

A I don't remember when the first assignment of the deed of trust was recorded. I don't remember that date off the top of my head. So if it was prior to the date of the recording, then MERS would have had the beneficial interest. If it was after the assignment, then it would have been BAC.

- Q Can you turn back to Exhibit 8. Is that a notice of default? Let me know when you get there.
 - A Okay. One second.
- Q When you testified earlier your testimony was very exact that you said that Nationstar did not receive this notice of default from Bank of America. Do you remember that?
 - A Yes.
- Q Was this notice of default contained anywhere in your file, though?
- 10 A No.

2

3

4

5

7

- 11 Q So when you look at the file are there servicing 12 notes?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q Did you review those notes?
- 15 A I did.
- 16 Q Do you know why those weren't produced in this 17 litigation?
- 18 A No idea.
- 20 So we're working on just your memory of what those service notes say?
- A As far as my testimony is based on those notes, yeah, I guess so.
- Q When did you review those notes?
- A I've reviewed them multiple times. The last time I reviewed them was last night.

- Q How many pages of servicing notes are there?
- A They weren't in a printed-out format, so I'm not sure. Several.
 - Q How long did it take you to review it?
- A I didn't review -- I reviewed it in parts, so I would review sections of the notes and then maybe look at some documents. So total time spent on the notes, hour maybe.
- Q Did you take any personal notes for those servicing notes?
- 10 A No, I did not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q Okay. So you didn't write anything down. Did you jot anything that says, oh, this might be important?
- 13 A Previously I had made some notes to myself, yeah.
- O Where are those notes now?
- 15 A Saved in my computer.
- Q Okay. Are they -- are you able to get a copy of those notes?
- 18 A Right now, no.
- 19 Q How many entries are in those notes? In the 20 servicing notes, I should say.
- A In the servicing notes, oh, I'm not certain. Quite a few.
- O Ouite a few. More than a hundred?
- 24 A I don't know. Maybe. Maybe less.
- 25 Q More than 50?

A Probably more than 50.

- Q So even though there is some record, written-down record of the servicing of this loan, it's your testimony that we're relying on to make sure that whether Bank of America or Nationstar actually received that notice of default or maybe another source?
- A There are -- I was able to make that determination based on the review of two different systems. One, the servicing notes are my LSAMS record, and then also what was kept in FileNet.
- Q And none of those records have been produced here today; is that right?
 - A I don't know what's been produced.
- Q So we're just all -- but you don't have any documents in front of you that shows those notes at all?
- A Well, I can't produce a document that says we didn't get something; right?
- Q Well, actually, let's do this, then.

 [Unintelligible] Go flip to the beginning of this binder here, flip through -- so there's an index there. There's three pages of an index.
- THE COURT: Do you have an index, sir, in yours?
- THE WITNESS: I don't think that I have an index.
- 24 THE COURT: Yeah. The index doesn't go to him.
- MR. AYON: I can either show him the index, or he

can go flip through the exhibits. I'd like to --1 2 THE COURT: We don't give witnesses the exhibit 3 lists. 4 MR. AYON: Got it, Your Honor. BY MR. AYON: 5 So what we'll do is, then, just so we know, if you 6 7 take a look at through those exhibits that's in front of 8 you --9 THE COURT: And they're 1 through 30, and they're not all admitted, but you're welcome to look at all of them if 10 11 you'd like. BY MR. AYON: 12 Are there any servicing notes in those documents? 13 Oh. From Nationstar? 14 15 Yes. Or any servicing notes, for that matter. To answer your question, Exhibit 26 is -- includes a 16 17 portion of the servicing notes. Other than that, that's the 18 only exhibit. 19 MR. AYON: That's all the questions I have. Thank 20 you. 21 THE COURT: Redirect? 22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MS. MORGAN: 24 Mr. Ayon asked some questions about servicing notes, 25 and you referred us to Exhibit 26.

MR. AYON: Your Honor -- objection, Your Honor. That's still an order of the Court.

THE COURT: It is still an order of the Court. Can you rephrase your question.

MS. MORGAN: Well, Mr. Ayon opened the door for servicing notes, and so -- and asked --

THE COURT: Actually, he didn't. He asked the witness what he used to refresh his recollection to be here at trial. The witness told him. The witness told him a whole of stuff the witness had used to refresh his recollection and prep for trial, none of which was produced here for the trial. Nobody asked me to order it produced before I finish the witness's testimony, but it is fair game to ask the witness if any of the information he used to refresh his recollection prior to trial is actually included in the exhibits, since nobody knew. That's not opening the door, Counsel.

If you want to stop and have the witness go get his computer and provide a copy of everyone of what he reviewed in preparation to refresh his recollection, I'd be happy to do it.

MS. MORGAN: No. My intent of referring to Exhibit 26 was that Mr. Ayon asked about servicing notes.

THE COURT: The witness is the one who talked about servicing notes. He started it.

MS. MORGAN: Okay.

So don't say Mr. Ayon opened the door. THE COURT: 1 MS. MORGAN: All right. 2 3 BY MS. MORGAN: In your review of the system of record in this case 4 5 would you have expected to see a servicing note that said we didn't receive notices? 6 Α No. 8 Okay. 9 That's not how it works. When did Nationstar become aware that the HOA 10 11 foreclosed? 12 Based on my review of business records it was in approximately November of 2013. 13 MS. MORGAN: That's all the questions I have. 14 15 THE COURT: Mr. Ayon, anything else? Briefly, Your Honor. 16 MR. AYON: 17 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. AYON: 19 When did Nationstar take over this servicing? 20 THE COURT: You already stipulated to that. That 21 was in February of 2013, I believe. 22 MR. AYON: Sorry, Your Honor. My sometimes --23 THE COURT: But you stipulated to it. 24 MR. AYON: I had a followup question to that. I just wanted to ask it.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. AYON:

- Q So in February 2013 Nationstar had taken over the servicing of the note; is that correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q At the time of the servicing you'd already testified that the note was in default; is that right?
 - A Yes, the loan was in default.
- Q Is it your typical understanding that if a note is in default or the mortgage is in default that other things would be -- other homeowner obligations would also be in default, like utilities, HOA dues, taxes, anything like that?
- A It is not uncommon for there to be other delinquencies. Just because it is in default doesn't necessarily mean that's the case. Typically the prior servicer would be -- you know, if there's delinquent taxes and insurance, that sort of thing, they would be advancing those costs. With regard to HOA fees I guess it would just depend on how the prior servicer handled that. I don't know.
- Q Well, have you ever seen a servicer ever pay HOA fees?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. In this case did you -- but it's more likely than that not if a servicer is not paying the HOA dues and the loan's in default, that the HOA dues would also be in default?

It's possible. It's possible that could not be the 1 2 case. I've seen --3 I'm just saying it's more likely than not. 4 I don't know. I've seen people not pay their 5 mortgages but pay their taxes. It happens sometimes. What about their HOA dues, though? 6 7 I don't know. It's possible. I mean, if they're 8 paying taxes, they'd be paying the HOA dues. One doesn't necessarily correlate with the other. I don't know. Just speaking in common sense, though, if you're not 10 paying your mortgage, wouldn't it be --11 12 THE COURT: Mr. Ayon, were not worried about common sense. Okay. Keep going. 13 14 MR. AYON: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 BY MR. AYON: Nationwide [sic] is able to employ attorneys, law 16 17 firms to protect its right for those deed of trusts; right? 18 Α You mean Nationstar? 19 MS. MORGAN: Objection. Beyond the scope of the 20 direct. 21 THE COURT: Overruled. You talked about the Cooper 22 Castle stuff. 23 I'm sorry. Could you ask the question THE WITNESS: 24 again. 25 //

BY MR. AYON: 2 Nationwide has the ability to employ a law firm to 3 protect its rights with respect to the deed of trusts; is that right? 5 It's Nationstar. Α But yes. 6 MR. AYON: Okay. Thank you. 7 THE COURT: Anything else? Anything else, Ms. 8 Morgan? 9 MS. MORGAN: Nothing further. THE COURT: You can step down, sir. 10 Any additional witnesses that you'd like to call? 11 12 MR. STERN: We have one remaining witness, Your Honor, Robert Atkinson. I don't know if he's here yet. We 13 14 had told him to expect the afternoon, but I've been emailing 15 him, and he's on his way. He said he'd be here about 11:00. THE COURT: How long is he? 16 17 MR. STERN: I would guess about 20 minutes on my 18 side. 19 THE COURT: Yeah. Because I've got a lunch meeting 20 I've got to break for. I'm not sure -- Dan hasn't told me 21 what time I have to break. 22 (Pause in the proceedings) 23 THE COURT: So Dan says I have to break at 11:45 24 and I can resume at 1:15. 25 MR. STERN: Judge, as soon as Mr. Atkinson gets

here, we'll get going, I think. Mr. Ayon points out the 1 2 usually you take longer with Mr. Atkinson than I've suggested. But I think it'll be 20 minutes, half hour. 3 4 THE COURT: Do I look like I'm saying you can't have 5 as long as you need? MR. STERN: 6 No. 7 So let me talk to you about next THE COURT: Okay. 8 Friday. We have closing arguments scheduled. For some reason I've scheduled them at 9:30. Can we move them up a little in the day so that I can go back to my very exciting business of 10 cannabis hearing that I'm on Day 14 or 15 or 20? 11 12 MR. STERN: You mean make earlier, or later? 13 THE COURT: Yeah. Can I move you guys up earlier? 14 How long are you going to be on closings? I'm just trying to 15 figure out closing times. MR. AYON: Like how early would you like to do it? 16 17 THE COURT: Well, that's -- I'm trying to figure out 18 how long you're going to take so I can give you enough time to 19 also be able to start my business of cannabis hearing for that 20 day. 21 MR. STERN: Whatever time you'd like, Your Honor. 22 We'll do it. 23 Why don't we knock it both out in one MR. AYON: 24 hour? 25 MR. STERN: An hour each?

```
MR. AYON: Not an hour each. What is this, a full
 1
 2
    trial?
 3
              THE COURT: It is a full trial. It's a bench trial,
   but it's a full trial.
 5
              So can we start at 8:30?
              MR. AYON: Yeah. That would work, Your Honor.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: That way you can have an hour each.
                                                                Ιf
 8
    you don't use the hour each, that's okay. And I will be then
    able to start by at least 10:30 with my case.
              MR. STERN: That's July 12th.
10
              THE COURT:
                         So Friday, July 12th, a week from
11
12
    Friday.
13
              MR. STERN: All right.
14
              MS. MORGAN: Your Honor, may Mr. Richardson be
15
    excused so he can --
              THE COURT:
                         If he'd like.
16
17
              'Bye. Travel safely, sir. Have a nice day.
18
                      (Pause in the proceedings)
19
              MR. AYON: Your Honor, Mr. Burke's going to take
    over for me.
20
21
              THE COURT:
                         'Bye. Have a nice holiday. Enjoy your
22
    son's baseball game.
           (Court recessed at 10:56 a.m., until 11:06 a.m.)
23
              THE COURT: Good morning.
24
25
              MR. ATKINSON: Good morning.
```

62

1		THE COURT: Come on up.
2		MR. STERN: Thanks for coming on short notice.
3		MR. ATKINSON: Sure. Dropped everything.
4		THE COURT: We appreciate that. Raise your right
5	hand so we	e can swear you in.
6		ROBERT ATKINSON, DEFENDANTS' WITNESS, SWORN
7		THE CLERK: Thank you. Please state and spell your
8	first and	last name.
9		THE WITNESS: Robert Atkinson, A-T-K-I-N-S-O-N.
10		DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. STE	ERN:
12	Q	Good morning, Mr. Atkinson. When did you
13		THE COURT: Wait.
14		Sir, there's water there, there are M&Ms behind you,
15	and there	are binders with the exhibits or a binder with
16	the exhibi	Lts.
17		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18		THE COURT: Now you can go.
19		MR. STERN: Sorry about that.
20	BY MR. STE	ERN:
21	Q	Tell us what you do for a living.
22	А	I'm an attorney in the state of Nevada.
23	Q	Are you licensed here in Nevada?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	I'm sorry. I cut you off.
		63

How long have you been licensed here?

- A Since October 2006.
- Q 2006. Okay. And are you familiar with a company called United Legal Services?
 - A I am.

- Q How so?
- A I am the sole owner, sole officer, and sole director of that company.
 - Q What is that company's business?
- A It no longer is in business. And when it was in business it was a single-purpose entity. It was formed to perform HOA sales under NRS 116 on behalf of its HOA clients.
- Q And what -- do you currently practice?
- A Let me parse that. I personally currently practice law. United Legal Services has not been in business since October 2013. And I have not been involved in the HOA auction field since ULS ceased to exist.
- Q Okay. Can you give us an approximation during the time -- I'm going to abbreviate it, ULS. Is that okay?
 - A That is fine.
- Q During the time that ULS was in business can you give us an approximation of how many foreclosure sales it conducted as an authorized agent on behalf of the foreclosing entity?
- A My recollection is that it was between 120 and 140

or thereabouts.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

Q During the time -- I want to clarify. Did you have any -- did ULS have any employees other than you?

- A Yes.
- Q How many?

A Several, depending on the month. Because business sort of picked up, and then it tapered off.

Q Okay.

A So it was, you know, in any particular month it could have been anywhere between one other person other than me and up to four other people other than me.

12 Q Did you personally conduct auctions on behalf of 13 ULS?

A Yes. For all auctions that were held in Clark County.

Q Okay. Did anybody else affiliated or associated with ULS conduct any auctions where ULS was the foreclosing agent?

- A Only in Reno and Washoe County.
- Q Okay. Are you familiar with a company called First 100 LLC?
 - A I am.
- Q How are you familiar with that company?
- A To answer that question I'm going to -- I'm assuming
 I'm here as a 30(b)(6) representative for ULS. Correct?

Q Sort of. We're at trial, but essentially. It's not a deposition, but we're asking --

THE COURT: 30(b)(6) doesn't apply in trial. Only applies for depos. So --

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

BY MR. STERN:

- Q But you're here as a representative of ULS. That's why we called you.
- A Yeah. I personally am familiar with First 100 in several different capacities.
 - Q Okay.
- A With respect to United Legal Services ULS had two different contractual relationships. One of them was through something called a payment arrangement agreement, and that was between ULS and First 100; and the second relationship was a series of tri-party agreements in which one party was ULS, one party was First 100, and one party was any one of a number of different HOAs.
- Q Okay. You mentioned a series of agreements as triparty agreements. Is there only one payment arrangement agreement?
 - A That is -- with United Legal Services --
- O Yes.
 - A -- and First 100, yes. It is my recollection there was only one payment arrangement agreement, and it was dated,

if I recall correctly, December 5th, 2012.

- Q Okay. And I'm going to try and help you with my questions, being specific as to whether I'm asking you individually or you on behalf of ULS, but as kind of a ground rule to make it easier I think you can assume, unless my question says otherwise, that when I say you I'm really talking about you as an agent for ULS, not you individually.
 - A That helps. Thank you.
- Q Okay. So if you could please turn to Exhibit 15, which I believe is admitted.

THE COURT: 13?

MR. STERN: 15.

THE COURT: 15. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 BY MR. STERN:

- Q And specifically if you could start looking at the Bates labels. Obviously the first page there, 286, it's kind of a cover page. I think you prepared this cover page; right?
 - A Correct. I personally prepared this cover page.
- Q Okay. And if we could turn to page 287, starting there and going through the rest of the exhibit, would you by just referencing the pages, the Bates labels explain to us what documents we can find here in Exhibit 15.
- A I can answer that in two different ways. Number one is I cannot attest as to whether the documents that are behind

Section 4 are in fact the ones that ULS produced. And the only reason I say that is because if you turn the page, it looks like everything's highlighted and things like that, and I don't recall that being a document that I would have sent out.

Now, if are going to represent to me that this is a copy, an accurate copy but perhaps a copy of a copy so it's a little smeared, of what was presented -- what was produced to Akerman, then that's fine. But I don't -- you can see how every page was like totally photocopied. It might just be an artifact of being copied over and over again by Akerman.

Q I will tell you this. Well, actually, let me ask a couple questions.

Do you recall you've given depositions in numerous HOA cases?

A Yes.

Q Is there any -- I'm not sure that you would specifically recall this one, but I can represent to you that these are copies of the exhibits to your deposition. And we can publish the disposition if that would be helpful.

A No. That is the simple representation that I needed, that this basically is the equivalent but much photocopied set of documents previously produced. So in that spirit I will then continue to answer your question, which is would you like me to step through this and talk about what

each document is?

Q Yes. And if we could start -- well, let's just start at the beginning.

A Sure. Document 287 is a document that traditionally I would have received from someone at First 100 that would have been a request to develop a purchase and sale agreement using a template. And this information on this page would have, it's my understanding, reflected the deal that had been worked out with a potential HOA, in this case Toscana Homeowners Association, between the HOA and First 100, which I personally have no knowledge of. I simply would have gotten an email with this attached to it, saying, hey, here's another one, or something like that --

Q Okay.

A $\,$ -- would you please produce a purchase and sale agreement.

Q And before we continue parsing through the exhibits there's a couple followup questions I want to ask now. Do you recognize this page 287 as a document prepared on a First 100 template?

A With the caveat that I had earlier with respect to authenticity, but with that caveat, yes, I generally recognize this as being a standard template of a letter that was sent to me. I have no reason to doubt its authenticity.

Q Okay. And you individually, you're I think familiar

with First 100; correct? You know who it is, what that company is?

- A Oh, yes. Very much.
- Q Okay.

- A So I would get emails that looked like this offer, which would have been an attachment to the email, quite often. And it does look a lot like the standard template that they used to memorialize an offer [inaudible].
 - Q And if we could turn to page 288, Bates Label 288.
- 10 A Yes.
 - Q Could you identify what this agreement is starting on that page. Or identify it and explain what it is.
 - A Certainly. Bates 288 through Bates 307 would be the purchase and sale agreement that is one of the tri-party agreements.
- Okay. Do you recognize the signature under "Agent" on page 307?
- 18 A Yes. That is my signature.
 - Q Okay. I'm sorry. I cut you off. Go ahead.
 - A So this is the PSA with Toscana Homeowners

 Association. I refer to them colloquially as the PSA instead
 of purchase and sale agreement.
- 23 Q Sure. Okay.
 - A In addition, later on Bates 308 and 309 and 310 and 311 and 312 and 313 and 314 reflect additional placements of

properties under the PSA. So the PSA was designed as a master agreement so there was only one that needed to be signed. And Exhibit 3 to the master agreement was a mechanism for additional properties to be placed under the terms and conditions of the Purchase and sale agreement. And it is my recollection and the documents reflect here that there were I think four batches total with Toscana. I refer to as batches, but they were just groups of properties. So there's the original one plus three additional placements under Exhibit 3.

Q Okay. And just go ahead in big picture terms, the purchase -- the PSA purchase agreement, can you just tell us what is being purchased and who is purchasing that.

A The document itself is fairly comprehensive. It contains many terms and conditions. The specific asset that is being purchased is defined in Section 2.01 under "Asset Sold." In particular, the assets is a defined term to be "all of seller's interest, if any --" it's hard to read here, I'm sorry. "...all of seller's interest in any and all PPI arising from or relating to select delinquent assessments."

Q A lot of defined terms there. Can you tell us what PPI means. Looks like there's a definition on page 288.

A That's what I was referring to. I didn't want to rely on my memory. This is six years old.

Q Sure.

A PPI stands for proceeds on past income, and it's

contained in the fourth recital.

Q Okay. And so would you be able to explain to us why the asset being purchased and sold is defined as proceeds on income? What was -- how did that work?

A That question is perhaps better asked to First 100. However, I can give you my interpretation of it.

- Q All right. Before we get to that let's just back up for a second and understand your role. Did you have any role in -- obviously we've established that you signed this agreement for ULS; correct?
 - A Correct.
- Q And as part of your day-to-day business, your being ULS's day-to-day business, you were governed by this agreement; right?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. And so as part of that day-to-day business and as a party to the agreement is it fair to say that you have an understanding of the agreement?
- A Yes, for the roles, duties and responsibilities of ULS, as well as for the HOA. In other words, ULS was not purchasing or selling anything here. We just simply were signing up and agreeing to the agent authorized for sale for the HOA.
- Q Okay. And I guess in colloquial terms what I'm getting at is based on your role as a party to this, based on

your knowledge with First 100, the interpretation that you propose giving us of what PPI means, should it -- is it pretty accurate, based on your experience? Do you know what that means? Do you know what PPI means?

A The reason that I'm being a bit cautious is because the template itself was prepared by Atkinson Law Associates, my law firm, for First 100 LLC, its client. And I refuse to get into any communications thereon. This document was held as a template by ULS. And so from that standpoint I'm not going to give you my legal interpretation as to what it is. I think that's entirely inappropriate. However, I'm happy to give my colloquial interpretation from the perspective of ULS. Does that make sense?

- Q That makes sense, and I think that's going to work for us. And I'll just tell you that I have not ask and will not ask and you should not interpret any question I'm going to ask as soliciting privileged attorney-client or work product communications.
 - A Thank you.

- Q With that understanding, tell us what PPI means.
- A It was my understanding that based on the unique characteristics of HOA accounting that when assessments are imposed upon parcels and property within the community then those assessments will be counted as income in that current month or quarter, and they would stay as income and would be

reported in that entire fiscal year as income regardless of whether it was paid or not. So if that debt was never paid, then it would still be out there as income, It is not considered to be an account receivable, if that makes sense from an accounting standpoint.

Q Okay.

A And so a proceed on past income is if there was a seriously delinquent assessment that was from a prior fiscal year and it happened to monetize in some way, then it simply was a proceed that was extra money, because the loss had already been incurred and accounted for years prior. And so the reason PPI was defined as proceeds and past income, my understanding from ULS's standpoint is because it accurately reflected the way HOAs do accounting.

Q Okay. And so based on what you've said there are we correct to understand that the asset defined as PPI that was sold -- and I'm just going to read this from page 289, Section 2.01 -- "All sales or interest in any and all PPI." So that would be -- the seller is the HOA, right, Toscana?

A Yes. And so what is being sold is akin to an accounts receivable, but isn't actually an accounts receivable because PPI through the definition on page 1, which is Bates 288, at Recital 4, I think you have to really read that thoroughly to understand what PPI is. It's basically like if there is a delinquent assessment that is part of this contract

and therefore is a select delinquent assessment, if in any way that monetizes in any way in the future, then seller's interest in those moneys would have been sold under the agreement.

Q Okay. And the purchaser, the party to whom those were sold is who?

A First 100 LLC. Now, notice, there is no discussion of any lien being sold. That is an interpretation I've seen in other courtrooms, and I vehemently disagree that that is what was being sold. It's PPI that's being sold.

Q If I ask you about liens, then maybe we can have the discussion. But for now if we could turn to page 309.

A Yes.

Q I think you've described the document we're seeing here as a subsequent installment of additional accounts. I'm using the word "accounts." I understand the contract says PPI.

A In my colloquial terms it's another batch that was placed under the PSA.

Q Okay. And if you could turn your attention to the third entry on that table on page 309.

A Yes.

Q It lists an address, 7255 West Sunset, Suite or Apartment 2050. I'll represent to you that that's the property about which we're having this trial.

- A That is the subject matter of the current case.
- Q Correct.
- A Yes.

- Q And what I'd like to ask you about is this purchase price. You this in the middle column there. Can you tell us -- first of all, can you confirm whether that is the amount paid by First 100?
- A I have no knowledge in any capacity of the answer to that question.
- Q If we could take a step back, then. Do you have any knowledge generally speaking in your experience personally as an attorney on behalf of or practicing through ULS or through any other firm regarding how First 100 agreed with homeowner associations on the purchase price for PPI? Would you be able to give us any information about that?
- A I have no personal knowledge of that. I never participated in any capacity in any marketing or sale.
- Q Okay. So if we look at page 309 and see the purchase price as \$1,476, and for the purpose of this question, given your lack of personal knowledge, if we were to just assume for this question that that was in fact the amount paid, am I correct to understand that you would not be able to tell us why that amount was selected as opposed to some other amount?
 - A I partially answered carefully earlier I have no

personal knowledge, but ULS was told as to how that purchase price was derived. And so from that standpoint it's merely hearsay. I don't know if it's got any evidentiary quality to it. If you'd like me to tell you what I heard, that would be fine. Otherwise I have no personal knowledge.

- Q I would love to hear what you heard said, yes.
- A I was told that First 100 had a sophisticated multivariable Excel or some other financial model that calculated what the value was for this. In reality I took a look at some of these and just tried to figure out how they calculated them. And in many cases, but not all cases, in many cases the purchase price was set at being nine times the assessments.
 - Q The assessment meaning a monthly assessment?
- A It depends on the HOA. Some HOAs are quarterly. But it would have been nine months' worth of assessments.
- Q Understood. So if it was a quarterly, it be divided by --
 - A Three times the quarterly assessment.
- Q Okay. Now, can you confirm that ULS was in fact appointed as agent for foreclosure relating to this property that we see on row number 3 on page 309, 7255 West Sunset Road, Apartment 2050?
- A Yes. Because ULS was so appointed under the PSA and this combination of Bates 308 plus 309 is collectively an exhibit and attachment and extension and supplement to the

PSA, then, yes, absolutely ULS was the agent authorized for sale for this property for the HOA.

- Q And I think this is pretty straightforward, but can you just confirm for us that if the subject property that we're discussing today had not been made part of the PSA, ULS would not have been appointed as agent for foreclosure?
 - A Correct.

- Q Okay. Do you know who the agent for foreclosure or the trustee, I think, in that case was prior to ULS becoming the agent?
- A I can answer that question two different ways. First, there is no such thing as a trustee under NRS 116. And so I believe you're talking about just the agent authorized for sale.

Second. the agent authorized for sale has to do with the third leg of foreclosure. And so there was none, because the property had not been set for or moved to actual sale by the HOA previously.

I think I can answer your question more directly, which was who was the upstream collections agency used by the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{HOA}}$.

- Q Okay. Let's go with that.
- A And I think that's what you're asking. And my recollection is that it was Red Rock Financial Services LLC.
 - Q Okay. So can you confirm for us why -- or explain

to us why it was that after the purchase by First 100 of the PPI relating to this property ULS was substituted as agent in place of Red Rock?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sure. That gets into the whole business model behind the PSA a little bit. There needed to be an agent authorized for sale that would actually auction these properties off under the business model that was contemplated by First 100. And each of the different HOAs used different collections agencies, such as Red Rock or NAS. And there were other ones, as well. And I personally -- I'm just a businessman at heart, and I saw an opportunity to make potentially a lot of money, and so I was looking at it and saying, well, you know, really isn't too hard to do, we just need to crank it out over and over again for each property pursuant to the statute. And I saw an opportunity to make a ton of money. And so I formed ULS in order to perform that, and it just shoehorned right in. So I think it was just an easy way for First 100 to have a contract that it could present to the PSAs that was -- excuse me, present to the HOAs that was basically in final form and they wouldn't have to go -- for each individual PSA go and negotiate with not only the HOA, but also the -- whatever collections company they were currently -- the HOA's currently using. So it basically having a single, standard agent authorized for sale under the contract, whether it was ULS or it easily could have been some

other company was I think conducive I think to having a relatively smooth marketing and sale process for First 100.

- Q Okay. And just as a followup to that, the appointment of ULS in place of Red Rock, is that required under the PSA?
- A It's my recollection that that was an express term, yes.
 - Q Okay. Now if you could turn to Exhibit 10.
- A Yes.

- Q You can see there's a -- you can see what this says.

 This is a notice of sale. Could you confirm that ULS prepared and recorded this notice?
- A This appears to be the notice prepared by ULS for the sale of the subject property, yes.
 - Q And the second paragraph, which starts with, "You are in default under the lien for delinquent assessment --"
- 18 A Yes.

You see that?

- Q -- that ends -- the end of that paragraph says that the amount owed as of that time was \$7,806.42. Do you see that?
- A I do.
- Q Okay. Now, this document, the notice of sale, that occurred after the purchase by First 100 of the PPI; correct?
 - A Yes. Once a batch was placed, then they would kick

off all of the processes for ULS.

Q Okay. So I'd like to understand the distribution of any I think you used the word "monetized" -- so if any revenue was actually realized that could be applied to the PPI. After the purchase by First 100 can you confirm for us what obligations ULS would have in terms of distributing proceeds on PPI?

A ULS had a contractual obligation to distribute up to the amount of the lien any sale proceeds came in after ULS's sale-related collections costs were deducted.

Q Okay.

A And if there were excess proceeds on a sale above and beyond that, that amount would not have been distributed to First 100, because the HOA legally would not have been entitled to receive those.

Q Okay. And I think -- I just want to make sure. Amounts up to the lien amount would be distributed to First 100?

- A That's correct.
- Q Okay.

A And that would be the net proceeds after ULS deducted its sale-related collections costs.

Q Of course. Okay. So I guess the -- and we're talking here about proceeds from a sale; right?

A That's correct.

Q What about proceeds realized before sale? For example, let's say a homeowner made a partial payment. How would those proceeds be distributed?

A In exactly the same fashion. So approximately 200 properties were noticed up for sale by ULS, and approximately 70 of them paid off prior to sale, and on those we collected the full lien amount and then United Legal Services was allowed to collect -- sorry, deduct from that its allowed collections costs pursuant to NAC 117, Nevada Administrative Code. And then the remainder would have been remitted to First 100 pursuant to contract.

Q And how much, if any, would have been sent to the association, Toscana in this case?

A None.

- Q Then how much, if any, would be sent to any holder of the first deed of trust on the property have been?
 - A Are you referring to a presale payoff of the lien?
- Q That's a good point. Yeah. Let's start with that, a presale payoff.

A Ok. So if somebody called up and said, I don't want this property to go to sale, what's the amount owed, we would calculate the amount owed precisely as of that day and give them a payoff quote. And if they paid that off with cashier's check, then none of it would have gone to the HOA, and none of it would have gone to the -- any other party, such as the deed

of trust holder, because it only would have been up to the amount legally owed. And so after collections costs were deducted then the entire remittance would have gone to First 100. And so you can sort of see the beauty of the purchase and sale agreement, because if it was purchased for less than that lien amount owed, then even on a property that paid off in full before the sale First 100 still would have made some, not much, but some profit on it.

Q Now what about after a sale?

A So almost all of the sales that were conducted by ULS were what I consider to be lousy little condos that weren't worth much. And very, very few of them sold for more than the lien. Some did. A handful of them did. They were somewhere between maybe 10 and 20 total. The rest of them didn't. So in instances in which the total sale price was less than the lien, then it followed the exact example before, which all of the net moneys would have been transmitted to First 100.

Q Okay. And --

THE COURT: Mr. Stern, I have to break for lunch now. So I will see you guys at 1:15.

MR. STERN: Okay. Thank you, Judge.

(Court recessed at 11:40 a.m., until 1:18 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. You're still under oath.

25 Thanks for coming back. Hope you had a nice lunch.

You're back up, Mr. Stern. 1 2 MR. STERN: Thank you, Judge. 3 BY MR. STERN: 4 0 Mr. Atkinson, before our break we were discussing 5 distribution of proceeds and the like. I would like to shift 6 gears slightly and go back to Exhibit 10. 7 Α Yes. According to Exhibit 10, and this is, of course, the 8 9 notice of sale, the sale is set for June 22nd, 2013. Looking at Exhibit 11, the foreclosure deed upon sale, can you confirm 10 whether that's the date the sale actually took place? 11 12 Based upon review of my records prior to today's deposition, yes, that was the auction. 13 14 MR. STERN: Okay. Judge, I'd like at this point to 15 request judicial notice that June 22nd, 2013, was a Saturday. THE COURT: Does everybody think June 12th [sic], 16 17 2013, was a Saturday? 18 I'm sorry. 22nd, 2013. MR. STERN: THE COURT: June 22nd, 2013, was a Saturday? 19 Hold on a second. Let me see if I can check it. 20 Ι don't know how. Hold on. I'm going in my calendar to the 21 22 year 2013. June --23 MR. STERN: 22nd. THE COURT: -- 22 is a Saturday according to the 24 25 Windows calendar on my computer. So I will take judicial

notice of that. It may be wrong, though, because I don't rely on technology for a reason.

MR. STERN: Well, then your calendar and mine would be equally wrong and we'd go with that.

BY MR. STERN:

- Q But based on -- Mr. Atkinson, was it customary for ULS to conduct sales on Saturdays?
- A Yes, it was customary, because we've held them at my law office and I didn't want a bunch of people wandering around my law office during normal business hours and there was nothing the statute prohibiting a Saturday auction.
- Q Okay. So, according to the PSA, ULS was required to open bidding at \$99; correct?
 - A More precisely, ULS began and opened the bidding as a credit bid for the HOA at \$99.
 - Q Okay. And can you tell us whether or not that happened with respect to the subject property today -- that we're discussing today?
 - A Yes, it did.
 - Q Okay. Who was responsible for paying ULS's fees and reimbursing ULS for its costs under the PSA?
 - A Pursuant to the PSA, that was First 100, the details of which were contained in the payment arrangement agreement we spoke of earlier.
 - Q Okay. Now, if we could turn to Exhibit 11.

A Yes.

Q And most particularly page MSN00046.

A Yes.

Q Can you tell us whether or not ULS prepared this declaration of value form?

A Partially.

Q What part would ULS have prepared?

A The bottom. That is Mr. Opdyke's handwriting, but that is not has handwriting in the value -- in Section 3 that's not his writing, and that is not his signature nor his writing in the Section 5. But that is our template for the bottom, and that is Mr. Opdyke's handwriting --

Q Okay. Do you know --

A -- at the bottom.

Q Do you know who determined the \$63,280 value?

A At the time in late 2012 and early 2013 the traditional practice was to put the assessed value in Section 3 in order to properly calculate the real property tax transfer. And that standard mechanism arose because an email had been sent out from the Clark County Recorder's Office, a woman named Georgia Brunson Wright [phonetic], I believe, who indicated that for HOA sales some of the prices at which things were sold were deemed to be inappropriate for calculating RPTT, and therefore she advised everyone to use the current assessed value. I have no knowledge how the

63,280 arose, because we are not responsible for and did not put in that handwriting. But I'm assuming it was approximately equal to the Tax Assessor's assessed value of the property at the time.

Q So who would have been responsible for inputting that number? Would that have been the buyer?

A Yes. We would have -- because this was a regular foreclosure deed, we would have given it to the individual for the buyer as a record double document, and you can see on the front page of Exhibit 11, which is Bates -- I don't know what Bates it is, but on the bottom it says 44, the requester was in fact the buyer, West Sunset 2050 Trust. So we would have given them a physical, mostly filled-out deed, except for the back end, and then they would have filled it out and then they would have probably hand recorded it.

- Q Okay. Now, if we could turn back to page -- excuse me. Exhibit 15.
 - A Yes.
- Q And all the way at the end of the exhibit it's page MSN325.
- 21 A Yes.

- Q Are you familiar with what this is?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Can you identify what it is, explain.
- 25 A Yes. It is a proceeds reconciliation report, which

is a fancy name for a simple spreadsheet that I put together periodically. Because the collections costs that were owed to United Legal Services were incurred by the HOA, because this is an HOA sale, but pursuant to the PSA, First 100 was contractually obligated to remit those proceeds to ULS, then the way I ran ULS was anytime a property was placed with ULS then I, as head of ULS, required that the first typically \$750 of collections costs would need to be paid right at that time, because I was about to incur all kinds of out-of-pocket costs and, you know, costs for service and so forth.

And then, in addition, if a sale actually occurred, then there would be proceeds that would have been gotten, and in addition there would have been additional expenses, collections costs that were incurred under NAC that also First 100 would have been responsible for remitting the dollar value of on behalf of the HOA.

And so what happened is, you know, we would auction off some property and then whether other sorts of monetization events would come in and then every now and then, maybe once a week or once every two weeks, I would have a debit and credit spreadsheet saying what came in and what goes out, and the debits were things -- money that came in. And reading on page 325, you can see somebody made a -- some bank made a superpriority payment of nine months' assessments, so they received the notice of foreclosure sale and then they

proactively contacted me and made a superpriority payment and all of the legal implications of that.

On the next one we were on there was a payment plan that Red Rock had put somebody on just a few days before it got placed with us, and so that payment plan was continuing. And then there was auction proceeds from the subject property, and then there was auction proceeds from two other properties that were auctioned off on that same date, June 22nd.

The credits column identifies the fees and costs that were reimbursable collections costs, and you could see the Nevada Administrative Code sections by which they were earned off to the right, and then all those would be deducted. And so you could see what was -- everything that came in minus everything that went out. The last row right before the sum was a remittance. And so I would have cut a check from ULS's trust account for \$12,880.95, which is the net due and payable to First 100 as a result. Does that make sense?

Q Yes. And then a couple of followup questions for that. It looks from the \$6,000 credit it looks like there were auction proceeds from two other properties that were sold.

A That's correct. On that same day, June 22nd, there were three total priorities that were auctioned off, one of which is the subject property, the present case.

Q And the other two, the ones we're not discussing

today, looks like First 100 bought those, too.

A They were the auction winner, correct, and were the auction winner for those other two properties, correct.

Q Okay. And can you tell us based on your review of this document or any other source who the recipient of the \$7800 in sale proceeds were?

A The way to read this is that it was a collective remittance. And so the 7,800 came in because the 7,800, which was the purchase price -- auction purchase price for the subject property was less than the HOA lien and there were no excess proceeds. You see that calculation off to the right. And then there would have been three fees that were earned by United Legal Services under the Nevada Administrative Code, so those would have been deducted. And so that would have -- those total up to \$400 even. And so of the \$7,800 that came in \$400 of it was kept by United Legal Services for services delivered, and the other 7,400 would have been remitted directly to First 100 pursuant to the purchase and sale agreement as the contractual obligation United Legal Services was obligated to do.

Q Okay. Now, understanding that this auction took place just a hair over six years ago, do you know what other bidders participated with respect to the subject property we're discussing today?

A I reviewed the bidding -- let take a step back. For

most auctions I recorded them, and prior to today's testimony I went back and listened to this particular auction and more specifically just the section relating to the subject property, and there was active bidding. It started off at \$99 and then kept going up and up and up and up and ended up at 7800 very actively. There were at least two bidders. There could have been three. I couldn't quite tell from the voices. So there were either two or -- definitely there was two, and perhaps two or three.

Q Can you tell us whether First 100 was one of those?

A One of those voices was somebody that I recognized from First 100.

MR. STERN: Okay. I have no other questions, Judge.

THE COURT: Redirect -- oh. I'm sorry. Cross.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BURKE:

Q Mr. Atkinson, in your opinion do you believe
First 100's payment paid off the superpriority lien amount?

MR. STERN: Objection. Calls for improper opinion testimony.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: No, not at all. That was simply a payment for the rights to receive any money that came in.

There was never any discussion in any capacity that I can remember that that nine months was or was intended to pay for

the superpriority lien. It's my understanding that the only reason that most of the purchase prices that you saw in an earlier exhibit, on those offer sheets, was -- ended up being nine months' assessments was not in order to effect any legal implication, but instead simply was part of their sales pitch that said something along the following, which is, look if you don't do anything on this property and you let the bank foreclose, which might happen in the next two or three or five years, the most that the HOA will get from this particular parcel will be nine months' worth of assessments plus collections costs and since that's the most you're going to get, then ow about we just pay you right now for nine months' worth of assessments and plus collections costs and in exchange First 100 will get any monetization that might happen from that lien. And that's all it was, was, you know, some things would pay off before the auction and First 100 would make a profit, some things would be purchased at auction by First 100 and then First 100 would pay the full price, they'd pay it to me, and then through a remittance just like you saw on that remittance sheet they'd end up getting some of it Then also they would have the property and then would have to undertake all of the difficulties of trying to quiet title on it, and then some properties were purchased by other third parties, in which case First 100 would make a profit on that. So in any outcome of that First 100 would make a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

profit, and in addition the HOA would get the most that they ever would get anyway. And so it seemed to me that that was a win-win pitch for the business model. That was my understanding.

MR. BURKE: No further questions.

THE COURT: Any further questions, Mr. Stern?

MR. STERN: Just a couple of followups.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. STERN:

Q Mr. Atkinson, did you at any point after the sale that we're discussing today converse with any representative of Toscana to learn how they accounted for the proceeds that were paid pursuant to the PSA?

A ULS rarely interacted with anybody from the HOA. Occasionally we would interact with the property manager, because it was the property managers that would keep the accounting, not the -- of course, not the HOA boards themselves.

Q Right.

A And I have no recollection as to how they did their -- having any conversation with anyone from Toscana as to how they accounted for it.

Q Okay. In your capacity as the head of ULS or in any other capacity as you sit here today do you have agency authority to speak on behalf of Toscana?

```
That purchase and sale agreement terminated a
 1
 2
    long time ago.
 3
              MR. STERN: Okay. No further questions, Judge.
 4
              THE COURT:
                          Anything else?
 5
              MR. BURKE:
                          No.
 6
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you, sir. Have a very nice
 7
                Happy Fourth of July.
    afternoon.
 8
              Does that conclude the witness testimony?
 9
                          Yes, it does.
              MR. STERN:
10
              THE COURT:
                          Do you rest?
              MR. STERN:
                          Yes.
11
              THE COURT:
                          So you don't have a rebuttal case?
12
13
              MR. BURKE:
                          No.
14
              THE COURT:
                          We'll see you for arguments a week from
15
    Friday at 8:30.
                          I'm sorry. 8:30?
16
              MR. STERN:
17
              THE COURT:
                          July 12th.
18
              MR. STERN:
                          Yes.
19
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. A week from Friday.
20
              MR. STERN:
                          Yes.
21
                          Okay. Anything else? All right.
              THE COURT:
22
    a great day.
                 'Bye. Have a good Fourth of July.
23
              MR. STERN:
                          Thank you, Judge.
24
                  (Court recessed at 1:36 p.m., until
25
                 Friday, July 12, 2019, at 8:30 a.m.)
                                   94
```

INDEX

NAME	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
DEFENDANTS' WITNESSES				
Matthew LaBrie Aaryn Richardson Robert Atkinson	2 30 63	23 50 91	27 55 93	57

* * *

EXHIBITS

DESCRIPTION	ADMITTED
JOINT EXHIBIT NO.	
18 27	49 12

* * :

CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

AFFIRMATION

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

FLORENCE HOYT Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

FLORENCE M. HOYT. TRANSCRIBER

9/5/19

DATE

Electronically Filed 9/9/2019 8:07 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

* * * * *

WEST SUNSET 2050 TRUST

Plaintiff . CASE NO. A-13-691323-C

•

VS.

DEPT. NO. XI

NEW FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION, et al.

Transcript of

Defendants . Proceedings

.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GONZALEZ, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

BENCH TRIAL - DAY 3

FRIDAY, JULY 12, 2019

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: LUIS A. AYON, ESQ.

STEPHEN H. BURKE, ESQ.

FOR THE DEFENDANTS: ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ.

MELANIE D. MORGAN, ESQ.

COURT RECORDER: TRANSCRIPTION BY:

JILL HAWKINS FLORENCE HOYT

District Court Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, FRIDAY, JULY 12, 2019, 8:34 A.M. 1 (Court was called to order) 2 3 THE COURT: Are you guys ready? 4 MR. STERN: Yes. 5 Yeah. We're trying to figure out how MR. AYON: 6 long we want to take on this one here. 7 THE COURT: Short as possible. 8 MR. AYON: Short as possible? 9 THE COURT: Because the State and gathered throng 10 are coming back probably before you're done. MR. AYON: I don't know. We're --11 MR. STERN: We're going to be fat. 12 Yeah, we're going to be pretty quick 13 MR. AYON: 14 here. 15 THE COURT: It's not that complicated. When you told me you needed an hour I almost said something, but I 16 17 didn't; because I was trying to respect your analytical and strategic reasons. 18 19 MR. STERN: Thank you, Judge. But I think I agree 20 with you. It's not going to be an hour. 21 MR. AYON: We have a --22 THE COURT: Okay. Go. 23 We have -- we fall into these like traps. MR. AYON: 24 We're like, oh, you know, we're going to make this grand, this argument and with all the stuff sitting. I mean, how much do

1 you --THE COURT: 2 Yeah. 3 MR. AYON: And we've been talking about this --4 THE COURT: I've drafted my findings that I have 5 here with my red pen so I can change arguments. And I've got 6 some question marks here, so I might ask you some questions. 7 But I'm ready. I'm going to throw in a few -- well, 8 MR. AYON: 9 should we go on the record, I guess? THE COURT: We've been on the record. 10 MR. AYON: Oh. We have? 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 MR. AYON: Good thing I didn't say anything too 13 14 embarrassing, then. Maybe just white out some of that 15 transcript, if you don't mind. 16 THE COURT: No. It's no. 17 MR. AYON: Do I have to file a motion now to like 18 cut that --19 THE COURT: No. Go. PLAINTIFF'S CLOSING ARGUMENT 20 21 MR. AYON: Well, good morning, Your Honor. 22 for the levity this morning, but I think that it's kind of a 23 culmination of just then, what, eight years now that we've 24 been doing these cases, and I don't know there's a lot to 25 argue. However, we seem to find something for this particular case. And it seems like the argument is that the lien was paid off by First 100 in some way.

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, we've already been up and down to the Nevada Supreme Court, and really I think the biggest indicator of how the Nevada Supreme Court feels and what the law is on this case is the fact that they had the opportunity, one, to examine this issue. They said that the payment by First 100 to the HOA was not commercially unreasonable. So they felt that under Shadow Wood analysis it was fine. And they didn't necessarily look at -- they took the opportunity -- they could have taken the opportunity to say, listen, the lien, the superpriority was paid off. And the reason they didn't do that is simply because that amount of money that comprises nine months is still owed. It's still owed by the borrower, it's still owed by the homeowner. So regardless of whatever tender doctrine, whatever doctrine that they can argue, simply it's still owed, it's still part of the lien, it was still foreclosed upon by the agent of the HOA. Whatever agreement was there, those amounts were still owed. And ultimately that nine months was still there, it's still a lien, and it still extinguished the first deed of trust.

Judge, I don't -- I don't know how much more you need me to go into it.

THE COURT: So I have a question mark in my draft findings that I've been working on, because I'm trying to --

I've got too many things going on, so I had do my draft after we finished the evidence the other day.

Can you tell me what your position is, Mr. Ayon, and where in the evidence you can point me to the notice of the default being served on the related parties that are currently in this litigation, not those that were related to the deed in lieu.

MR. AYON: And, Your Honor, I can't specifically state that --

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-- because that's not -- and that wasn't our analysis to make. The analysis under West Sunset when it went up there is that they had to show the actual prejudice of the findings. And you've from the evidence, as well, that they employed lawyers, they employed great lawyers like Akerman, they employed Cooper Castle, they employed a number of, you know, other professionals to be able to handle this particular thing. So our argument may be, well, it may not be that they had notice or not, they did; it's whether they were prejudiced by not having that one particular notice. And you've heard on the stand that -- the Bank of America representative testified that they weren't clear whether they got the notice or not. They just said they didn't receive the actual notice from them. It was a MERS loan. It was also from -- forget the actual original lender who was on title,

New Freedom, I believe. So they never produced notes, either. You heard me -- you heard me in that line of questioning with the servicing note. So we're not clear whether they actually had actual notice or not. We just know that they didn't have a recorded notice by -- through the testimony.

But, Your Honor, that issue, again, has already been decided by the Nevada Supreme Court.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?

MR. AYON: No, Your Honor. That's it.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Stern.

DEFENDANTS' CLOSING ARGUMENT

MR. STERN: Thank you, Judge.

You've already determined the sanction on federal foreclosure bar, so I won't say anything other than, just to preserve my record here, repeat our request that you consider that issue and grant judgment in our favor based on that.

THE COURT: I will address that issue in the findings so that it's clear that the issue was raised at trial.

MR. STERN: Thank you, Judge. And that's all I wanted to preserve here.

The Court should rule in favor of preserving the deed of trust for two principal reasons. One is the payment, and the other is the equitable balancing and the lack of

notice.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

With respect to the payment, Judge, we understand that the Supreme Court's order of remand said what it said probably looking at the contract on its face. But the facts as they came in in this case are different. There was no rebuttal to the testimony from Mr. Greengrass, who testified that he spoke with the board and based on his personal observation, his communications, and his personal knowledge, confirmed that the board after collecting the payment from First 100 wrote off the rest. And so when Mr. Ayon tells you that the money is still owed, there's a significant question that he does not answer. Owed to whom? There's no evidence that any of that money is owed to First 100. Mr. Atkinson, in explaining how the contract worked, actually confirms that those moneys are not owed to First 100. The way they set up this contract was to transfer what he described as income that had already been realized, whether that makes sense accountingwise or not.

THE COURT: Unrealized.

MR. STERN: Correct. Well, I think what he said was realized but uncollected.

THE COURT: Future potential payments.

MR. STERN: Realized but uncollected. I think that is the hair they tried to split to make this thing work. And whether it works or not, the Supreme Court seems to think it

does work at least at a theoretical level. What we know based on the facts that came in is that the payment has to be owed to somebody. To whom is that payment owed? Mr. Greengrass said that it wasn't owed to HOA, they wrote it off. We asked him directly, after this deal how much money was owed to you; he said, nothing.

THE COURT: The maintenance guy.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ STERN: Not just the maintenance guy, Your Honor. The management guy.

THE COURT: I understand. Yeah.

MR. STERN: The agent for the HOA, the guy who said that he spoke to the board and saw that the board had written this off. Maybe the plaintiffs could have made some evidentiary objections to that testimony. They didn't. That's the record in.

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. STERN: So you've got the Supreme Court order saying one thing, and we've got the facts that say something else. We think that the remand order is not so pervasive as law of the case, that the Court has to ignore the facts as they came in. I think the payment here is very straightforward.

There's another element to this, and that comes from how they distributed proceeds at the conclusion of the sale.

There's a reason we went through that with Mr. Atkinson. Now,

you saw Mr. Atkinson. You saw how prepared he was. if there's one guy who's exact and precise, it's Mr. Atkinson. I don't know how many times he corrected me on things that he was right about, but very technical. He would not have [unintelligible] distribution approach. He's wrong. And he confirmed that after they went to sale the entirety of the proceeds after paying his costs went to Mr. -- excuse me, to First 100. This I think confirms that the superpriority component was paid, because under the statute the payments have to be costs and fees first, then the HOA gets to pay itself, then the rest of the proceeds go to the next claimant, which is the bank, because it has a seniority over the subpriority component of the deed of trust. So if it had done things in that manner, he would have paid First 100 essentially a refund of what they paid, which is was a superpriority amount to purchase the proceeds from past income, as they called it, and the rest would have gone to the deed of trust holder. But, of course, that's not how they did it. So you have Mr. Greengrass saying the HOA lien was completely paid off at that point, and you've got Mr. Atkinson, who is precise, if nothing else, applying the proceeds consistent with that. And there's been no evidence from the other side to contradict that.

1

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we do believe that there is a record of payment here and, while the Supreme Court remanded based on its

finding that there was no impermissible split of the lien from the payment right the way they had described in the Edelstein decision, that does not filter down to a finding as a factual matter in the first instance by the Supreme Court that there was in fact a payment. I think that's what this Court could determine. And if the Court determines in our favor, I think the Supreme Court, as they must subject to an abuse of discretion standard, would find that there's substantial evidence to find that. So there was payment here. Equitable balancing.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As the Court noted in the question to Mr. Ayon, you have a notice problem here. I think what the Supreme Court looked at was that on the record -- and let's just not forget that it was a summary judgment-type record, not a full evidentiary hearing or trial like we've done here, the Supreme Court determined that we hadn't showed prejudice. Well, we did show prejudice in this case. You heard Mr. LaBrie, the Bank of America representative, say that it was the bank's policy to pay. He also confirmed that there was no evidence that the bank had received that notice. Mr. Ayon said that it was unclear. Maybe it was unclear to him. I think Mr. LaBrie was quite clear that there was nothing there either in the images or in the servicing notes. There was some colloquy as to whether the servicing notes came in, whether they were produced. I don't know how the Court's going to resolve that.

I think it's incumbent upon the plaintiff --1 They didn't come in. 2 THE COURT: 3 MR. STERN: I'm sorry, Your Honor? THE COURT: They're not part of the evidence. 4 They aren't part of the evidence, but 5 MR. STERN: 6 Mr. LaBrie then made some comments --7 THE COURT: He did. He refreshed his recollection 8 by looking at them. 9 MR. STERN: And so I'll address that further, Your 10 We had a discovery boo-boo here with not producing the federal foreclosure bar. Some of that I think is the 11 plaintiff's problem, as well. They could have done discovery 12 to confirm whether or not there was notice on there. 13 They had 14 no evidence that there wasn't. 15 THE COURT: So let's talk about that. There's this doctrine that we all learn in law school called laches. 16 17 it basically is if you sit on your rights for years and years 18 and years and don't do something, then you run the risk. 19 do you think -- and I know that I've already ruled on this 20 Fannie Mae issue. Why do you think that the federal foreclosure bar should be an issue that I even address in more 21 22 than a footnote given the failure to disclose it for over five 23 years in this litigation? 24 MR. STERN: Because, Your Honor, it is I think -- as 25 kind of an offer of proof, if we were to show the proof here,

this would be determined in favor under both the Ninth Circuit and the Nevada Supreme Court's finding precedence in favor of the bank. And there is a strong public policy that in our view overrides the concern about laches. Can't really get into more detail on that. I think that's --

THE COURT: I understand. So I'll stay where I am. But you and I have had a discussion and you've made your record. So if you need to raise that issue at the Supreme Court, it is clear you've talked about it as part of the trial.

MR. STERN: I think -- and that's all I intended to do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's okay.

MR. STERN: With respect to the potential laches or failure to do discovery on the plaintiff's side, they could have offered evidence from the bank if they had taken the necessary discovery to show notice. But they can't imply notice. They have no evidence to rebut what Mr. LaBrie and then, oh, gosh, the Nationstar representative whose name unfortunately suddenly escapes me, but the Nationstar representative, as well, both testified that there was no evidence of notice in there. It's up to the plaintiff to rebut that. That's why we were here for a trial. And under the caselaw that's come out we have shown prejudice here. The prejudice is Bank of America had a policy of paying these.

Some of the other banks didn't. Bank of America did. They were a little bit ahead of the curve with respect to the other lenders, and they would have paid it, or at least they would have attempted to pay it. Who knows what --

THE COURT: Mr. Young would have had some communication with them.

MR. STERN: Yes. Yes. And that communication would have probably included a delivery of a check. I can't imagine Mr. Atkinson simply ignoring the checks like some of -- I'm going to say trustees, but he would correct me and say authorized agents. Again, that is one thing that we can say about Mr. Atkinson. He gets it right. So when he distributed proceeds the way he did, that's a meaningful thing. That's not just an oversight.

Your Honor, there's another element here of equitable balancing apart from the frustration of our ability to cure based on the failure of notice, and that is how they set up the bidding here. Under the contract they were required to bid at \$99, open bidding at \$99. Mr. Atkinson said that he recognized based on the tape that there was at least one, at most two, he wasn't sure, other bidders. And he confirmed that the other bidder was the First 100 person. So the First 100, which is the purported owner of the payment right is also bidding and by contract sets the bid at \$99.

We believe that this is an artificial bill-cheating

attempt on the part of First 100 to buy the property as cheaply as possible. What better way --

MR. AYON: Your Honor, I'm going to object that argument.

THE COURT: Overruled. Overruled.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ STERN: Yeah. Well, what better way to accomplish that than --

THE COURT: But, luckily, Mr. Atkinson is more anal than some of the other agents and does a voice recording.

MR. STERN: He does. And even though nobody asked him to, he listened to it before coming in. I actually think Mr. Atkinson was highly credible on the point when he said, yeah, the other person there was First 100. And so you've got First 100, the party that purportedly owns the proceeds from past income, and my contract requires the bid to be set at \$99, who's then actively bidding --

THE COURT: With someone else participating in the bid, according to Mr. Atkinson.

MR. STERN: Yeah, somebody else participating, Your Honor. But it's a far cry from setting the bid at the amount owed. Let's keep in mind that the winning bid here was under the lien amount -- or I should say the purported lien amount. And so this is -- and, by the way, on a Saturday morning. Now, Mr. Atkinson was correct. Nothing in the statute

requires it to be on a business day.

THE COURT: He had a really rational explanation as to why he did them on Saturdays, though.

MR. STERN: Yeah. He said he wanted few people there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: No people at his office to interfere with his other business at his law firm.

MR. STERN: Yeah. And so the common thread to that, Your Honor, is no people there. In other words, chilled bidding. Now, he didn't admit that his purpose was to chill the bidding, but come on. I mean, he basically said it. we know that he's there with the guy -- excuse me a company, First 100, who was paying his bills. This is an insider deal, Your Honor. This is a deliberate attempt to minimize the The Supreme Court has said in all of those cases addressing commercial -- what we have called commercial unreasonableness, fraud, oppression, unfairness, however you want to describe the formulation that when the evidence of value is substantial. I stated that incorrectly. Where the inadequacy of the price is substantial. And I think we have shown that through the taxable value here, we didn't have valuation experts, but we did have as part of the record the taxable value, and we're well under the 20 percent threshold here. If my memory serves right, we're about at 14 percent. The initial evidence of fraud, oppression, or unfairness is very slight, but it necessary for the Court to set aside and

say equitably. And here we think that that's satisfied.

So the final point, Your Honor, is in Mr. Atkinson's explanation, you know, he had that distribution of proceeds reconciliation form, he testified that on the other two sales that took place on that day First 100 was in fact the winning bidder and bought those other two properties of the three that were available for sale that day for a combined \$6,000.

So we think that almost is res ipsa. It really speaks for itself. So based on commercial reasonableness, fraud, oppression, or unfairness, equitable balancing, [unintelligible] present that standard that we have more than minimal evidence sufficient for the Court equitably to set aside the sale. We have payment. The Court should enter judgment in favor of the beneficiary of the deed of trust here, which is ultimately Freddie Mac with Nationstar as the servicer.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Anything else, Mr. Ayon?

PLAINTIFF'S REBUTTAL

MR. AYON: Yeah. Real -- couple brief points, Your Honor. I think if you -- even if you were to take most of what Mr. Stern said as true, I think what it falls back to and still kind of the -- you know, the elephant in the room is that my client didn't know anything about this. He wasn't part of First 100. He's testified about his relationship with

the HOA, testified that he had no relationship with the HOA, First 100, or anybody -- or Mr. Atkinson in any way, shape, or So you still have the analysis. So even if you go back and you talk about every little thing and you believe Mr. Stern, and we don't concede any of it, we think that's -- we have completely different opinion of it. On top of that, the Nevada Supreme Court has a different opinion on this. So even if you take all that, we still win under the bona fide purchaser doctrine. There's simply no evidence. He can't -there is two bidders there. My client actively bid on it. there's nothing there that you can possibly say -- now, equity under the Shadow Wood case is did my client actually know about any of this. And there's simply no evidence. can't point to one thing. If there was something there that my client did know about, they absolutely would have pointed it out. But, again, no knowledge whatsoever. And you have to have knowledge in order for them to be able to use and break through that bona fide purchaser argument.

1

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Evidence as far as -- he talked about the critical evidence if we would have done one thing or the other. Your Honor, we're able to rely on those deeds, on the notices that they complied with Nevada law. And what's funny about this whole that Nationstar Bank of America was so upset with what they did, they were so angry about the notice, they were so angry about the First 100 deal. What did they do? Nothing.

They didn't sue them, they didn't cross-claim. They're not here to explain their conduct, Your Honor. And it goes to show that this bona fide purchaser -- this is their grasp to say, hey, listen, we're going to throw out to Your Honor, they going to say, hey, listen, this is everything that happened. But you know what, Your Honor? At the end of the day they didn't what they were supposed to do in this case. frankly, we're able to rely on the deed, we're able to rely on the notices. And if you go back in time to the sale, my client testified that what you looked at in the recorded documents, you saw this deed in lieu that really nobody knew about it. They basically figured, hey, the bank owns this property so we're going to bid on it. And that's what he saw. That's what he relied on, was the recorded documents. know the deed in lieu is a whole different other story and it's not central to this case, but my client -- this is what he saw and what he looked at, notices, deed in lieu, this was clean title, this was something that they could have foreclosed on. There's no evidence he knew about the First 100 contract or any payouts, Mr. Atkinson, none of it.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So even if you go through every single step, Your Honor, you still cannot go back and say that he knew that he wasn't able to benefit from the bona fide purchaser. So regardless of what the arguments are, you know, Your Honor, we can still rest on that, notwithstanding all the arguments

```
still do not rise to the level of setting aside the sale.
 1
 2
   notices were sent. They're alive. They frankly didn't have
 3
   -- they decided not to do anything with those. They could
   have set aside the sale, they could have done any number of
   things for five years. They didn't. So here we are, Your
 5
   Honor. We're asking that the title to this property be clear
   in favor of the Trust, that the deed of trust be an order that
                                                     I mean, we've
   is extinguished and allow for this clear title.
   talked about public policy, but -- and we've tied up these
10
   properties for a very, very long time, and this is all --
    these are all things that they could have avoided years ago.
11
   And that's why we're here today.
12
13
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
14
              MR. AYON:
                         Thank you.
15
                          Anything else, Mr. Stern?
              THE COURT:
16
              MR. STERN:
                          If you would entertain a brief response
17
   on the BFP, Your Honor.
18
                          Well, you do have a cross-claim.
              THE COURT:
19
              MR. STERN:
                          Thank you, Judge.
20
              THE COURT:
                          And a counterclaim. So I guess that
21
   means you get the last word.
22
                       DEFENDANTS' SURREBUTTAL
23
              MR. STERN:
                          So with respect to the bona -- yeah.
24
   Won't take more than a couple minutes, Judge.
25
              The bona fide purchaser. First of all, if the Court
```

goes in our favor with respect to the payment, the bona fide purchaser doctrine doesn't matter. The Supreme Court has already determined that in the context of bank issued tender there's no real difference as to whether First 100 is the one who satisfied the lien if there was -- and it's a threshold inquiry. In order for the bank to lose this case, in order for the Court to rule in favor of the plaintiffs, of course, you would first have to answer -- it's almost axiomatic. You would have to ask and answer the following the question, was there a superpriority lien. That's why you start. the answer to the question is no, because First 100 paid it. If the Court agrees with that the on that, the bona fide purchaser cannot resurrect that lien. The Supreme Court has already held that in what we called the Diamond Spur case, which is SFR Investments versus U.S. Bank -- versus Bank of America III.

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And there's a certain logic to that. The lien may be gone -- the lien is gone, you may be the most innocent purchaser ever, if the lien wasn't there in the first place, you're innocence as to the underlying facts is not going to revive an interest that's essentially dead. So the only relevance there is to the BFP argument, which is our secondary -- or the second argument we have made.

And with respect to that, Your Honor, the plaintiffs were present at the sale. They were there on a Saturday

morning, knowing that it was a Saturday, they saw that there was only one other bidder. There's no evidence one way or the other, I don't think, as to whether they knew about the First 100 contract, but they did know that bidding was opened at \$99. So they cannot be a bona fide purchaser with respect to that. They were, and they benefitted from it. That's all I have.

THE COURT: All right. So I have one procedural question for you guys as a group. Because I've had a lot of issues kicked back recently by the Nevada Supreme Court, you have two defendants, Mr. Ayon, who did not appear, New Freedom Mortgage Corporation and Stephanie Tablante. Are you going to take defaults against those individuals and so something, or are you going to voluntarily dismiss them?

MR. AYON: I can just voluntarily dismiss them.

THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Stern, you have one cross-defendant who's not appeared. That would be Stephanie Tablante. Are you going to take a default or do a voluntary dismissal?

MR. STERN: No. We'll voluntarily dismiss.

THE COURT: Okay. So given the parties' indications that they're going to voluntarily dismiss those two parties, I'm going to grant the request to voluntarily dismiss that. If you'll submit the order so when I issue my judgment then we will be at the final stage and you can do whatever you need to

do at the next level. MR. AYON: Okay. THE COURT: Anything else? The matter will stand submitted. I'll have a written decision to you within two weeks. Dulce, if you'd put on my chambers calendar two weeks. All right. I hope to have it out earlier, but I have this other evidentiary hearing that I am in Day 14 of. MR. AYON: Take your time. No reason to rush. MR. STERN: Yeah, we're in no rush. THE PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 8:58 A.M.

CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

AFFIRMATION

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

FLORENCE HOYT Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

TURENCE M. HOYT. TRANSCRIBER

9/5/19

DATE

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1



Fee: \$17.00

RPTT: \$1,002.15

N/C Fee: \$0.00

12/07/2005

09:54:01

T20050220961

Requestor:

FIRST AMERICAN TITLE COMPANY OF NEVADS

Frances Deane

PUN

Clark County Recorder

Pgs: 4

When Recorded Mail To: Mail Tax Statements To: Stephanie Tablante 7255 West Sunset Road Unit 2050 Las Vegas, NV 89113

176-03-510-102

\$1,002.16

101-2237055 (SC)

A.P.N.:

File No:

R.P.T.T.:

US



GRANT, BARGAIN and SALE DEED

FOR A VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged,

Tuscano Condominiums LLC., a Nevada limited liability company

do(es) hereby GRANT, BARGAIN and SELL to

Stephanie Tablante, a single woman

the real property situate in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, described as follows:

PARCEL ONE (1) - UNITS:

UNIT 2050 IN BUILDING 7 AS SHOWN ON THE FINAL PLAT OF TUSCANO CONDOMINIUMS, A CONDOMINIUM COMMUNITY, RECORDED JANUARY 31, 2005, IN BOOK 122, PAGE 11 OF PLATS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA (THE "PLAT"), AND

PARCEL TWO (2) - COMMON ELEMENTS:

1/352 INTEREST AS A TENANT-IN-COMMON IN THE COMMON ELEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AND SUBJECT TO THE TERMS OF THE DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, CONDITIONS, AND RESTRICTIONS FOR TUSCANO TOWNHOMES RECORDED APRIL 5, 2005 IN BOOK 20050405 AS DOCUMENT NO. 0002422 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA (THE "DECLARATION").

EXCEPTING THEREFROM, ALL UNITS SHOWN ON THE PLAT.

RESERVING THEREFROM, THE RIGHT TO USE ANY OF THOSE AREAS DESIGNATED AS LIMITED COMMON ELEMENTS IN THE PLAT AND/OR THE DECLARATION.

FURTHER RESERVING THEREFROM, FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE OWNERS OF ALL UNITS SHOWN ON THE PLAT (EXCEPT THE UNIT REFERRED TO IN PARCEL 1 ABOVE) NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENTS FOR ACCESS, INGRESS, EGRESS USE, ENJOYMENT AND OTHER PURPOSES ON, OVER AND ACROSS THE COMMON ELEMENTS, AS DEFINED IN AND SUBJECT TO THE DECLARATION.

PARCEL THREE (3) - APPURTENANT EASEMENTS:

NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENTS FOR ACCESS, INGRESS, EGRESS USE, ENJOYMENT AND OTHER PURPOSES ON, OVER AND ACROSS THE COMMON ELEMENTS AS DEFINED IN AND SUBJECT TO THE DECLARATION, WHICH EASEMENTS ARE APPURTENANT TO PARCELS 1, 2 ABOVE.

Subject to

- 1. All general and special taxes for the current fiscal year.
- 2. Covenants, Conditions, Restrictions, Reservations, Rights, Rights of Way and Easements now of record.

TOGETHER with all tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances, including easements and water rights, if any, thereto belonging or appertaining, and any reversions, remainders, rents, issues or profits thereof.

Date: 12/02/2005

Tuscano Condor Limited Liability	miniums LLC, a Ne Company	evada			
By: Ken Bax	ter, Member				
STATE OF	NEVADA)			
COUNTY OF	CLARK	: ss.)			
This instrument Tuscano Con Member.	nt was acknowledge dominiums LLC, a	d before me or Nevada Lim	12/2/05 Ited Liability Compar	- <u>></u> ny by Ken Baxter	by
(My eommissio	Notary Public on expires: 2/27	1049	Notary Publi	DNZOUMET lic State of Nevada 02-73748-1 kp. Feb. 22, 2006	

STATE OF NEVADA DECLARATION OF VALUE

1 .	Assessor Parcel Number(s)					
a)	176-03-510-102					
b)_						
c)_						
_رں		*				
2.	Type of Property	48				
a)	Vacant Land b)	Single Fam. Res.	FOR F	RECORDERS	S OPTIO	NAL USE
c)	X Condo/Twnhse d)	2-4 Plex	Book _	F	Page:	
e)	Apt. Bldg. f)	Comm'l/Ind'l	Date of	Recording:		
g)		Mobile Home	Notes:	_	<u> </u>	
i)	Other					
3.	Total Value/Sales Price of Prop	perty:		\$196,400.00	D	
	Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure Or	•	- +v) (\$	·)
		ily (value of proper	(Y)		^	
	Transfer Tax Value:		-	\$196,400.00		
	Real Property Transfer Tax Du	е	_	\$1,002.1	15	
4.	If Exemption Claimed:					
	a. Transfer Tax Exemption, pe	er 375.090, Section	n:			
	b. Explain reason for exemption	on:				
5.	Partial Interest: Percentage be	_		00_%		
	The undersigned declares and	d acknowledges, u	nder pen	alty of perjur	y, pursua	ant to NRS
3/5	.060 and NRS 375.110, that rmation and belief, and can be	the information p	roviueu Imentatic	is correct it	non to si	ihstantiate
the	information provided herein.	Furthermore, the	parties a	agree that d	isallowar	ice of any
clai	med exemption, or other deter	mination of addition	onal tax o	due, may re:	sult in a	penalty of
10%	6 of the tax due plus interest at	1% per month. F	ursuant t	to NRS 375.	030, the	Buyer and
	er shall be jointly and severally			Λ	ــــ	
_	nature:		Capacity		jent	
Sig	nature:	The second secon	Capacity			
	SELLER (GRANTOR) INFOR	<u>MATION</u>	BUYER	(GRANTEE		MATION
	(REQUIRED)			(REQUI	·	- 1 -
Prir	nt Name: <u>Tuscano Condominiu</u>	ims LLC.	Print Nar	ne: Stepha		
Add	dress: 630 Trade Center Driv	<u>e</u>	Address:		est Sunse	t Road
City	/: Las Vegas		· -	as Vegas		
Sta		89119	State:	NV	- ' -	89113
<u>co</u>	MPANY/PERSON REQUESTIN	IG RECORDING (required	if not seller	or buye	<u>r)</u>
	First American Title Co		711 - A.A	404_04		001005
	nt Name: Nevada		-ile Numt	per: <u>101-22</u>	3/055	SUISKE
	dress 2490 Paseo Verde Parkv r: Henderson		State: N\	J	Zip: 890	74
CIL	(AS A PUBLIC RECORD T				- '	

23920

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2

20051207-0002367

Fee: \$32.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

12/07/2005

09:54:01

T20050220961

Requestor:

FIRST AMERICAN TITLE COMPANY OF NEVADE

Frances Deane

PUN

Clark County Recorder

Pgs: 19

Return To: NEW FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION

Assessor's Parcel Number: 176-03-510-102

ATTN: FINAL DOC'S

2363 SOUTH FOOTHILL DRIVE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84109

Prepared By: Tammy Gonzales 2363 SOUTH FOOTHILL DRIVE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84109

-Recording Requested By:

NEW FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION 2363 SOUTH FOOTHILL DRIVE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84109

49

(19)

- [Space Above This Line For Recording Data] -

DEED OF TRUST

MIN 1000360-0000275964-1

DEFINITIONS

Words used in multiple sections of this document are defined below and other words are defined in Sections 3, 11, 13, 18, 20 and 21. Certain rules regarding the usage of words used in this document are also provided in Section 16.

(A) "Security Instrument" means this document, which is dated together with all Riders to this document.

November 29, 2005

(B) "Borrower" is STEPHANIE TABLANTE, A SINGLE WOMAN

Borrower is the trustor under this Security Instrument.

(C) "Lender" is NEW FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION

Lender is a A CORPORATION

organized and existing under the laws of

THE STATE OF UTAH

TABLAN, S275964

275964

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT

Form 3029 1/01

WITH MERS
-6A(NV) (0507) MW 07/03

Page 1 of 15

Initials: 5 T

VMP Mortgage Solutions, Inc.

(800)521-7291



Lender's address is 2363 SOUTH	FOOTHILL DRIVE, SALI LA	KE CITY, UT 84109
(D) "Trustee" is FIRST AMERICA	N TITLE CO. OF NEVADA	•
(E) "MERS" is Mortgage Electronicating solely as a nominee for Lengunder this Security Instrument. MI address and telephone number of P.O. (F) "Note" means the promissory not The Note states that Borrower owes I Hundred Sixty and no/100 (U.S. \$176,760.00) pl Payments and to pay the debt in full in (G) "Property" means the property Property." (H) "Loan" means the debt evidence due under the Note, and all sums due (I) "Riders" means all Riders to this Riders are to be executed by Borrower.	der and Lender's successors and ERS is organized and existing und D. Box 2026, Flint, MI 48501-202 te signed by Borrower and dated Lender One Hundred Sevent; tus interest. Borrower has promise not later than December 1 that is described below under the ed by the Note, plus interest, any e under this Security Instrument, p is Security Instrument, p	assigns. MERS is the beneficiary der the laws of Delaware, and has an 26, tel. (888) 679-MERS. November 29, 2005 y Six Thousand Seven Dollars of to pay this debt in regular Periodic. 2035 heading "Transfer of Rights in the prepayment charges and late charges olus interest.
Adjustable Rate Rider X Cor Balloon Rider Plan		Second Home Rider 1-4 Family Rider Other(s) [specify]
(J) "Applicable Law" means all ordinances and administrative rules a non-appealable judicial opinions. (K) "Community Association Dues, charges that are imposed on Borroussociation or similar organization. (L) "Electronic Funds Transfer" in the check, draft, or similar paper instrument, computer, or magnetic talor credit an account. Such term included in transfers.	Fees, and Assessments" means a concern and transfer of funds, oth ument, which is initiated through the so as to order, instruct, or auticulates, but is not limited to, point	f law) as well as all applicable final, all dues, fees, assessments and other dominium association, homeowners her than a transaction originated by h an electronic terminal, telephonic horize a financial institution to debit int-of-sale transfers, automated teller
(M) "Escrow Items" means those items. (N) "Miscellaneous Proceeds" means by any third party (other than insural damage to, or destruction of, the Property; (iii) conveyance in lieu of value and/or condition of the Property (O) "Mortgage Insurance" means in	ns any compensation, settlement, a nce proceeds paid under the cover property; (ii) condemnation or other condemnation; or (iv) misrepresery.	award of damages, or proceeds paid rages described in Section 5) for: (i) her taking of all or any part of the entations of, or omissions as to, the
he Loan. (P) "Periodic Payment" means the relate, plus (ii) any amounts under Section (Q) "RESPA" means the Real Estate implementing regulation, Regulation	ction 3 of this Security Instrument e Settlement Procedures Act (12 U	U.S.C. Section 2601 et seq.) and its
TABLAN, S275964	275964 Init	tials: ST
-6A(NV) (0507)	Page 2 of 15	Form 3029 1/01

time, or any additional or successor legislation or regulation that governs the same subject matter. As used in this Security Instrument, "RESPA" refers to all requirements and restrictions that are imposed in regard to a "federally related mortgage loan" even if the Loan does not qualify as a "federally related mortgage loan" under RESPA.

(R) "Successor in Interest of Borrower" means any party that has taken title to the Property, whether or not that party has assumed Borrower's obligations under the Note and/or this Security Instrument.

TRANSFER OF RIGHTS IN THE PROPERTY

The beneficiary of this Security Instrument is MERS (solely as nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns) and the successors and assigns of MERS. This Security Instrument secures to Lender: (i) the repayment of the Loan, and all renewals, extensions and modifications of the Note; and (ii) the performance of Borrower's covenants and agreements under this Security Instrument and the Note. For this purpose, Borrower irrevocably grants and conveys to Trustee, in trust, with power of sale, the following described property located in the COUNTY [Type of Recording Jurisdiction] CLARK [Name of Recording Jurisdiction]: of

SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT "A" APN: 176-03-510-102

Parcel ID Number: 176-03-510-102 7255 W. SUNSET ROAD #2050 LAS VEGAS

[City], Nevada 89113 ("Property Address"):

TOGETHER WITH all the improvements now or hereafter erected on the property, and all easements, appurtenances, and fixtures now or hereafter a part of the property. All replacements and additions shall also be covered by this Security Instrument. All of the foregoing is referred to in this Security Instrument as the "Property." Borrower understands and agrees that MERS holds only legal title to the interests granted by Borrower in this Security Instrument, but, if necessary to comply with law or custom, MERS (as nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns) has the right: to exercise any or all of those interests, including, but not limited to, the right to foreclose and sell the Property; and to take any action required of Lender including, but not limited to, releasing and canceling this Security Instrument.

BORROWER COVENANTS that Borrower is lawfully seised of the estate hereby conveyed and has the right to grant and convey the Property and that the Property is unencumbered, except for encumbrances

TABLAN, S275964 275964 Initials: ST **MP-6A(NV)** (0507) Form 3029 1/01 Page 3 of 15

which currently has the address of

[Street]

[Zip Code]

of record. Borrower warrants and will defend generally the title to the Property against all claims and demands, subject to any encumbrances of record.

THIS SECURITY INSTRUMENT combines uniform covenants for national use and non-uniform covenants with limited variations by jurisdiction to constitute a uniform security instrument covering real property.

UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender covenant and agree as follows:

1. Payment of Principal, Interest, Escrow Items, Prepayment Charges, and Late Charges. Borrower shall pay when due the principal of, and interest on, the debt evidenced by the Note and any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note. Borrower shall also pay funds for Escrow Items pursuant to Section 3. Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument shall be made in U.S. currency. However, if any check or other instrument received by Lender as payment under the Note or this Security Instrument is returned to Lender unpaid, Lender may require that any or all subsequent payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument be made in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity; or (d) Electronic Funds Transfer.

Payments are deemed received by Lender when received at the location designated in the Note or at such other location as may be designated by Lender in accordance with the notice provisions in Section 15. Lender may return any payment or partial payment or partial payments are insufficient to bring the Loan current. Lender may accept any payment or partial payment insufficient to bring the Loan current, without waiver of any rights hereunder or prejudice to its rights to refuse such payment or partial payments in the future, but Lender is not obligated to apply such payments at the time such payments are accepted. If each Periodic Payment is applied as of its scheduled due date, then Lender need not pay interest on unapplied funds. Lender may hold such unapplied funds until Borrower makes payment to bring the Loan current. If Borrower does not do so within a reasonable period of time, Lender shall either apply such funds or return them to Borrower. If not applied earlier, such funds will be applied to the outstanding principal balance under the Note immediately prior to foreclosure. No offset or claim which Borrower might have now or in the future against Lender shall relieve Borrower from making payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument or performing the covenants and agreements secured by this Security Instrument.

2. Application of Payments or Proceeds. Except as otherwise described in this Section 2, all payments accepted and applied by Lender shall be applied in the following order of priority: (a) interest due under the Note; (b) principal due under the Note; (c) amounts due under Section 3. Such payments shall be applied to each Periodic Payment in the order in which it became due. Any remaining amounts shall be applied first to late charges, second to any other amounts due under this Security Instrument, and then to reduce the principal balance of the Note.

If Lender receives a payment from Borrower for a delinquent Periodic Payment which includes a sufficient amount to pay any late charge due, the payment may be applied to the delinquent payment and the late charge. If more than one Periodic Payment is outstanding, Lender may apply any payment received from Borrower to the repayment of the Periodic Payments if, and to the extent that, each payment can be paid in full. To the extent that any excess exists after the payment is applied to the full payment of one or more Periodic Payments, such excess may be applied to any late charges due. Voluntary prepayments shall be applied first to any prepayment charges and then as described in the Note.

Any application of payments, insurance proceeds, or Miscellaneous Proceeds to principal due under the Note shall not extend or postpone the due date, or change the amount, of the Periodic Payments.

3. Funds for Escrow Items. Borrower shall pay to Lender on the day Periodic Payments are due under the Note, until the Note is paid in full, a sum (the "Funds") to provide for payment of amounts due for: (a) taxes and assessments and other items which can attain priority over this Security Instrument as a lien or encumbrance on the Property; (b) leasehold payments or ground rents on the Property, if any; (c) premiums for any and all insurance required by Lender under Section 5; and (d) Mortgage Insurance premiums, if any, or any sums payable by Borrower to Lender in lieu of the payment of Mortgage Insurance premiums in accordance with the provisions of Section 10. These items are called "Escrow Items." At origination or at any time during the term of the Loan, Lender may require that Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any, be escrowed by Borrower, and such dues, fees and assessments shall be an Escrow Item. Borrower shall promptly furnish to Lender all notices of amounts to be paid under this Section. Borrower shall pay Lender the Funds for Escrow Items unless Lender waives

TABLAN, S275964	275964	Initials:	ST		
-6A(NV) (0507)	Page 4 of 15			Form 3029	1/01

Borrower's obligation to pay the Funds for any or all Escrow Items. Lender may waive Borrower's obligation to pay to Lender Funds for any or all Escrow Items at any time. Any such waiver may only be in writing. In the event of such waiver, Borrower shall pay directly, when and where payable, the amounts due for any Escrow Items for which payment of Funds has been waived by Lender and, if Lender requires, shall furnish to Lender receipts evidencing such payment within such time period as Lender may require. Borrower's obligation to make such payments and to provide receipts shall for all purposes be deemed to be a covenant and agreement contained in this Security Instrument, as the phrase "covenant and agreement" is used in Section 9. If Borrower is obligated to pay Escrow Items directly, pursuant to a waiver, and Borrower fails to pay the amount due for an Escrow Item, Lender may exercise its rights under Section 9 and pay such amount and Borrower shall then be obligated under Section 9 to repay to Lender any such amount. Lender may revoke the waiver as to any or all Escrow Items at any time by a notice given in accordance with Section 15 and, upon such revocation, Borrower shall pay to Lender all Funds, and in such amounts, that are then required under this Section 3.

Lender may, at any time, collect and hold Funds in an amount (a) sufficient to permit Lender to apply the Funds at the time specified under RESPA, and (b) not to exceed the maximum amount a lender can require under RESPA. Lender shall estimate the amount of Funds due on the basis of current data and reasonable estimates of expenditures of future Escrow Items or otherwise in accordance with Applicable Law.

The Funds shall be held in an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity (including Lender, if Lender is an institution whose deposits are so insured) or in any Federal Home Loan Bank. Lender shall apply the Funds to pay the Escrow Items no later than the time specified under RESPA. Lender shall not charge Borrower for holding and applying the Funds, annually analyzing the escrow account, or verifying the Escrow Items, unless Lender pays Borrower interest on the Funds and Applicable Law permits Lender to make such a charge. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on the Funds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on the Funds. Borrower and Lender can agree in writing, however, that interest shall be paid on the Funds. Lender shall give to Borrower, without charge, an annual accounting of the Funds as required by RESPA.

If there is a surplus of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall account to Borrower for the excess funds in accordance with RESPA. If there is a shortage of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the shortage in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments. If there is a deficiency of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the deficiency in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments.

Upon payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall promptly refund to Borrower any Funds held by Lender.

4. Charges; Liens. Borrower shall pay all taxes, assessments, charges, fines, and impositions attributable to the Property which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, leasehold payments or ground rents on the Property, if any, and Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any. To the extent that these items are Escrow Items, Borrower shall pay them in the manner provided in Section 3.

Borrower: (a) agrees in writing to the payment of the obligation secured by the lien in a manner acceptable to Lender, but only so long as Borrower is performing such agreement; (b) contests the lien in good faith by, or defends against enforcement of the lien in, legal proceedings which in Lender's opinion operate to prevent the enforcement of the lien while those proceedings are pending, but only until such proceedings are concluded; or (c) secures from the holder of the lien an agreement satisfactory to Lender subordinating the lien to this Security Instrument. If Lender determines that any part of the Property is subject to a lien which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, Lender may give Borrower a notice identifying the

TABLAN, S275964
-6A(NV) (0507)

275964

Initials: ST

Page 5 of 15

Form 3029 1/01

lien. Within 10 days of the date on which that notice is given, Borrower shall satisfy the lien or take one or more of the actions set forth above in this Section 4.

Lender may require Borrower to pay a one-time charge for a real estate tax verification and/or reporting service used by Lender in connection with this Loan.

5. Property Insurance. Borrower shall keep the improvements now existing or hereafter erected on the Property insured against loss by fire, hazards included within the term "extended coverage," and any other hazards including, but not limited to, earthquakes and floods, for which Lender requires insurance. This insurance shall be maintained in the amounts (including deductible levels) and for the periods that Lender requires. What Lender requires pursuant to the preceding sentences can change during the term of the Loan. The insurance carrier providing the insurance shall be chosen by Borrower subject to Lender's right to disapprove Borrower's choice, which right shall not be exercised unreasonably. Lender may require Borrower to pay, in connection with this Loan, either: (a) a one-time charge for flood zone determination, certification and tracking services; or (b) a one-time charge for flood zone determination and certification services and subsequent charges each time remappings or similar changes occur which reasonably might affect such determination or certification. Borrower shall also be responsible for the payment of any fees imposed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency in connection with the review of any flood zone determination resulting from an objection by Borrower.

If Borrower fails to maintain any of the coverages described above, Lender may obtain insurance coverage, at Lender's option and Borrower's expense. Lender is under no obligation to purchase any particular type or amount of coverage. Therefore, such coverage shall cover Lender, but might or might not protect Borrower, Borrower's equity in the Property, or the contents of the Property, against any risk, hazard or liability and might provide greater or lesser coverage than was previously in effect. Borrower acknowledges that the cost of the insurance coverage so obtained might significantly exceed the cost of insurance that Borrower could have obtained. Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 5 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

All insurance policies required by Lender and renewals of such policies shall be subject to Lender's right to disapprove such policies, shall include a standard mortgage clause, and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee. Lender shall have the right to hold the policies and renewal certificates. If Lender requires, Borrower shall promptly give to Lender all receipts of paid premiums and renewal notices. If Borrower obtains any form of insurance coverage, not otherwise required by Lender, for damage to, or destruction of, the Property, such policy shall include a standard mortgage clause and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee.

In the event of loss, Borrower shall give prompt notice to the insurance carrier and Lender. Lender may make proof of loss if not made promptly by Borrower. Unless Lender and Borrower otherwise agree in writing, any insurance proceeds, whether or not the underlying insurance was required by Lender, shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not lessened. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such insurance proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repairs and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such insurance proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such proceeds. Fees for public adjusters, or other third parties, retained by Borrower shall not be paid out of the insurance proceeds and shall be the sole obligation of Borrower. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be lessened, the insurance proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with

TABLAN,	\$27	5964
---------	------	------

275964

Initials: ST

-6A(NV) (0507)

Page 6 of 15

Form 3029 1/01

the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such insurance proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

If Borrower abandons the Property, Lender may file, negotiate and settle any available insurance claim and related matters. If Borrower does not respond within 30 days to a notice from Lender that the insurance carrier has offered to settle a claim, then Lender may negotiate and settle the claim. The 30-day period will begin when the notice is given. In either event, or if Lender acquires the Property under Section 22 or otherwise, Borrower hereby assigns to Lender (a) Borrower's rights to any insurance proceeds in an amount not to exceed the amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, and (b) any other of Borrower's rights (other than the right to any refund of unearned premiums paid by Borrower) under all insurance policies covering the Property, insofar as such rights are applicable to the coverage of the Property. Lender may use the insurance proceeds either to repair or restore the Property or to pay amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, whether or not then due.

- 6. Occupancy. Borrower shall occupy, establish, and use the Property as Borrower's principal residence within 60 days after the execution of this Security Instrument and shall continue to occupy the Property as Borrower's principal residence for at least one year after the date of occupancy, unless Lender otherwise agrees in writing, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, or unless extenuating circumstances exist which are beyond Borrower's control.
- 7. Preservation, Maintenance and Protection of the Property; Inspections. Borrower shall not destroy, damage or impair the Property, allow the Property to deteriorate or commit waste on the Property. Whether or not Borrower is residing in the Property, Borrower shall maintain the Property in order to prevent the Property from deteriorating or decreasing in value due to its condition. Unless it is determined pursuant to Section 5 that repair or restoration is not economically feasible, Borrower shall promptly repair the Property if damaged to avoid further deterioration or damage. If insurance or condemnation proceeds are paid in connection with damage to, or the taking of, the Property, Borrower shall be responsible for repairing or restoring the Property only if Lender has released proceeds for such purposes. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repairs and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. If the insurance or condemnation proceeds are not sufficient to repair or restore the Property, Borrower is not relieved of Borrower's obligation for the completion of such repair or restoration.

Lender or its agent may make reasonable entries upon and inspections of the Property. If it has reasonable cause, Lender may inspect the interior of the improvements on the Property. Lender shall give Borrower notice at the time of or prior to such an interior inspection specifying such reasonable cause.

- 8. Borrower's Loan Application. Borrower shall be in default if, during the Loan application process, Borrower or any persons or entities acting at the direction of Borrower or with Borrower's knowledge or consent gave materially false, misleading, or inaccurate information or statements to Lender (or failed to provide Lender with material information) in connection with the Loan. Material representations include, but are not limited to, representations concerning Borrower's occupancy of the Property as Borrower's principal residence.
- 9. Protection of Lender's Interest in the Property and Rights Under this Security Instrument. If (a) Borrower fails to perform the covenants and agreements contained in this Security Instrument, (b) there is a legal proceeding that might significantly affect Lender's interest in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument (such as a proceeding in bankruptcy, probate, for condemnation or forfeiture, for enforcement of a lien which may attain priority over this Security Instrument or to enforce laws or regulations), or (c) Borrower has abandoned the Property, then Lender may do and pay for whatever is reasonable or appropriate to protect Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including protecting and/or assessing the value of the Property, and securing and/or repairing the Property. Lender's actions can include, but are not limited to: (a) paying any sums secured by a lien which has priority over this Security Instrument; (b) appearing in court; and (c) paying reasonable

TABLAN, \$275964

275964

Initials: 57

Form 3029 1/01

attorneys' fees to protect its interest in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument, including its secured position in a bankruptcy proceeding. Securing the Property includes, but is not limited to, entering the Property to make repairs, change locks, replace or board up doors and windows, drain water from pipes, eliminate building or other code violations or dangerous conditions, and have utilities turned on or off. Although Lender may take action under this Section 9, Lender does not have to do so and is not under any duty or obligation to do so. It is agreed that Lender incurs no liability for not taking any or all actions authorized under this Section 9.

Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 9 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

If this Security Instrument is on a leasehold, Borrower shall comply with all the provisions of the lease. If Borrower acquires fee title to the Property, the leasehold and the fee title shall not merge unless Lender agrees to the merger in writing.

10. Mortgage Insurance. If Lender required Mortgage Insurance as a condition of making the Loan, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain the Mortgage Insurance in effect. If, for any reason, the Mortgage Insurance coverage required by Lender ceases to be available from the mortgage insurer that previously provided such insurance and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to obtain coverage substantially equivalent to the Mortgage Insurance previously in effect, at a cost substantially equivalent to the cost to Borrower of the Mortgage Insurance previously in effect, from an alternate mortgage insurer selected by Lender. If substantially equivalent Mortgage Insurance coverage is not available, Borrower shall continue to pay to Lender the amount of the separately designated payments that were due when the insurance coverage ceased to be in effect. Lender will accept, use and retain these payments as a non-refundable loss reserve in lieu of Mortgage Insurance. Such loss reserve shall be non-refundable, notwithstanding the fact that the Loan is ultimately paid in full, and Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such loss reserve. Lender can no longer require loss reserve payments if Mortgage Insurance coverage (in the amount and for the period that Lender requires) provided by an insurer selected by Lender again becomes available, is obtained, and Lender requires separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance. If Lender required Mortgage Insurance as a condition of making the Loan and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain Mortgage Insurance in effect, or to provide a non-refundable loss reserve, until Lender's requirement for Mortgage Insurance ends in accordance with any written agreement between Borrower and Lender providing for such termination or until termination is required by Applicable Law. Nothing in this Section 10 affects Borrower's obligation to pay interest at the rate provided in the Note.

Mortgage Insurance reimburses Lender (or any entity that purchases the Note) for certain losses it may incur if Borrower does not repay the Loan as agreed. Borrower is not a party to the Mortgage Insurance.

Mortgage insurers evaluate their total risk on all such insurance in force from time to time, and may enter into agreements with other parties that share or modify their risk, or reduce losses. These agreements are on terms and conditions that are satisfactory to the mortgage insurer and the other party (or parties) to these agreements. These agreements may require the mortgage insurer to make payments using any source of funds that the mortgage insurer may have available (which may include funds obtained from Mortgage Insurance premiums).

As a result of these agreements, Lender, any purchaser of the Note, another insurer, any reinsurer, any other entity, or any affiliate of any of the foregoing, may receive (directly or indirectly) amounts that derive from (or might be characterized as) a portion of Borrower's payments for Mortgage Insurance, in exchange for sharing or modifying the mortgage insurer's risk, or reducing losses. If such agreement provides that an affiliate of Lender takes a share of the insurer's risk in exchange for a share of the premiums paid to the insurer, the arrangement is often termed "captive reinsurance." Further:

(a) Any such agreements will not affect the amounts that Borrower has agreed to pay for Mortgage Insurance, or any other terms of the Loan. Such agreements will not increase the amount Borrower will owe for Mortgage Insurance, and they will not entitle Borrower to any refund.

TABLAN, S275964

275964

Initials: SI

MP-6A(NV) (0507)

Page 8 of 15

Form 3029 1/01

- (b) Any such agreements will not affect the rights Borrower has if any with respect to the Mortgage Insurance under the Homeowners Protection Act of 1998 or any other law. These rights may include the right to receive certain disclosures, to request and obtain cancellation of the Mortgage Insurance, to have the Mortgage Insurance terminated automatically, and/or to receive a refund of any Mortgage Insurance premiums that were unearned at the time of such cancellation or termination.
- 11. Assignment of Miscellaneous Proceeds; Forfeiture. All Miscellaneous Proceeds are hereby assigned to and shall be paid to Lender.

If the Property is damaged, such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not lessened. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such Miscellaneous Proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may pay for the repairs and restoration in a single disbursement or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such Miscellaneous Proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such Miscellaneous Proceeds. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be lessened, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

In the event of a total taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is equal to or greater than the amount of the sums secured by this Security Instrument immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the sums secured by this Security Instrument shall be reduced by the amount of the Miscellaneous Proceeds multiplied by the following fraction: (a) the total amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value divided by (b) the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value. Any balance shall be paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is less than the amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument whether or not the sums are then due.

If the Property is abandoned by Borrower, or if, after notice by Lender to Borrower that the Opposing Party (as defined in the next sentence) offers to make an award to settle a claim for damages, Borrower fails to respond to Lender within 30 days after the date the notice is given, Lender is authorized to collect and apply the Miscellaneous Proceeds either to restoration or repair of the Property or to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due. "Opposing Party" means the third party that owes Borrower Miscellaneous Proceeds or the party against whom Borrower has a right of action in regard to Miscellaneous Proceeds.

Borrower shall be in default if any action or proceeding, whether civil or criminal, is begun that, in Lender's judgment, could result in forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. Borrower can cure such a default and, if acceleration has occurred, reinstate as provided in Section 19, by causing the action or proceeding to be dismissed with a ruling that, in Lender's judgment, precludes forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. The proceeds of any award or claim for damages that are attributable to the impairment of Lender's interest in the Property are hereby assigned and shall be paid to Lender.

All Miscellaneous Proceeds that are not applied to restoration or repair of the Property shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

TABLAN, S275964	275964			
ŕ		Initials: ST		
-6A(NV) (0507)	Page 9 of 15	·	Form 3029	1/0

- 12. Borrower Not Released; Forbearance By Lender Not a Waiver. Extension of the time for payment or modification of amortization of the sums secured by this Security Instrument granted by Lender to Borrower or any Successor in Interest of Borrower shall not operate to release the liability of Borrower or any Successors in Interest of Borrower. Lender shall not be required to commence proceedings against any Successor in Interest of Borrower or to refuse to extend time for payment or otherwise modify amortization of the sums secured by this Security Instrument by reason of any demand made by the original Borrower or any Successors in Interest of Borrower. Any forbearance by Lender in exercising any right or remedy including, without limitation, Lender's acceptance of payments from third persons, entities or Successors in Interest of Borrower or in amounts less than the amount then due, shall not be a waiver of or preclude the exercise of any right or remedy.
- 13. Joint and Several Liability; Co-signers; Successors and Assigns Bound. Borrower covenants and agrees that Borrower's obligations and liability shall be joint and several. However, any Borrower who co-signs this Security Instrument but does not execute the Note (a "co-signer"): (a) is co-signing this Security Instrument only to mortgage, grant and convey the co-signer's interest in the Property under the terms of this Security Instrument; (b) is not personally obligated to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (c) agrees that Lender and any other Borrower can agree to extend, modify, forbear or make any accommodations with regard to the terms of this Security Instrument or the Note without the co-signer's consent.

Subject to the provisions of Section 18, any Successor in Interest of Borrower who assumes Borrower's obligations under this Security Instrument in writing, and is approved by Lender, shall obtain all of Borrower's rights and benefits under this Security Instrument. Borrower shall not be released from Borrower's obligations and liability under this Security Instrument unless Lender agrees to such release in writing. The covenants and agreements of this Security Instrument shall bind (except as provided in Section 20) and benefit the successors and assigns of Lender.

14. Loan Charges. Lender may charge Borrower fees for services performed in connection with Borrower's default, for the purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees. In regard to any other fees, the absence of express authority in this Security Instrument to charge a specific fee to Borrower shall not be construed as a prohibition on the charging of such fee. Lender may not charge fees that are expressly prohibited by this Security Instrument or by Applicable Law.

If the Loan is subject to a law which sets maximum loan charges, and that law is finally interpreted so that the interest or other loan charges collected or to be collected in connection with the Loan exceed the permitted limits, then: (a) any such loan charge shall be reduced by the amount necessary to reduce the charge to the permitted limit; and (b) any sums already collected from Borrower which exceeded permitted limits will be refunded to Borrower. Lender may choose to make this refund by reducing the principal owed under the Note or by making a direct payment to Borrower. If a refund reduces principal, the reduction will be treated as a partial prepayment without any prepayment charge (whether or not a prepayment charge is provided for under the Note). Borrower's acceptance of any such refund made by direct payment to Borrower will constitute a waiver of any right of action Borrower might have arising out of such overcharge.

must be in writing. Any notice to Borrower in connection with this Security Instrument shall be deemed to have been given to Borrower when mailed by first class mail or when actually delivered to Borrower's notice address if sent by other means. Notice to any one Borrower shall constitute notice to all Borrowers unless Applicable Law expressly requires otherwise. The notice address shall be the Property Address unless Borrower has designated a substitute notice address by notice to Lender. Borrower shall promptly notify Lender of Borrower's change of address. If Lender specifies a procedure for reporting Borrower's change of address, then Borrower shall only report a change of address through that specified procedure. There may be only one designated notice address under this Security Instrument at any one time. Any notice to Lender shall be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to Lender's address stated herein unless Lender has designated another address by notice to Borrower. Any notice in connection with this Security Instrument shall not be deemed to have been given to Lender until actually received by Lender. If any notice required by this Security Instrument is also required under Applicable Law, the Applicable Law requirement will satisfy the corresponding requirement under this Security Instrument.

TABLAN, S275964	275964	Initials: 5T		
MP-6A(NV) (0507)	Page 10 of 15	71111111111 <u>7</u>	Form 3029	1/01

16. Governing Law; Severability; Rules of Construction. This Security Instrument shall be governed by federal law and the law of the jurisdiction in which the Property is located. All rights and obligations contained in this Security Instrument are subject to any requirements and limitations of Applicable Law. Applicable Law might explicitly or implicitly allow the parties to agree by contract or it might be silent, but such silence shall not be construed as a prohibition against agreement by contract. In the event that any provision or clause of this Security Instrument or the Note conflicts with Applicable Law, such conflict shall not affect other provisions of this Security Instrument or the Note which can be given effect without the conflicting provision.

As used in this Security Instrument: (a) words of the masculine gender shall mean and include corresponding neuter words or words of the feminine gender; (b) words in the singular shall mean and include the plural and vice versa; and (c) the word "may" gives sole discretion without any obligation to take any action.

- 17. Borrower's Copy. Borrower shall be given one copy of the Note and of this Security Instrument.
- 18. Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

- 19. Borrower's Right to Reinstate After Acceleration. If Borrower meets certain conditions, Borrower shall have the right to have enforcement of this Security Instrument discontinued at any time prior to the earliest of: (a) five days before sale of the Property pursuant to any power of sale contained in this Security Instrument; (b) such other period as Applicable Law might specify for the termination of Borrower's right to reinstate; or (c) entry of a judgment enforcing this Security Instrument. Those conditions are that Borrower: (a) pays Lender all sums which then would be due under this Security Instrument and the Note as if no acceleration had occurred; (b) cures any default of any other covenants or agreements; (c) pays all expenses incurred in enforcing this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees, and other fees incurred for the purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument; and (d) takes such action as Lender may reasonably require to assure that Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, and Borrower's obligation to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument, shall continue unchanged. Lender may require that Borrower pay such reinstatement sums and expenses in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality or entity; or (d) Electronic Funds Transfer. Upon reinstatement by Borrower, this Security Instrument and obligations secured hereby shall remain fully effective as if no acceleration had occurred. However, this right to reinstate shall not apply in the case of acceleration under Section 18.
- 20. Sale of Note; Change of Loan Servicer; Notice of Grievance. The Note or a partial interest in the Note (together with this Security Instrument) can be sold one or more times without prior notice to Borrower. A sale might result in a change in the entity (known as the "Loan Servicer") that collects Periodic Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument and performs other mortgage loan servicing obligations under the Note, this Security Instrument, and Applicable Law. There also might be

TABLAN,S275964	275964			
		Initials: 57		
MP-6A(NV) (0507)	Page 11 of 15		Form 3029	1/01

one or more changes of the Loan Servicer unrelated to a sale of the Note. If there is a change of the Loan Servicer, Borrower will be given written notice of the change which will state the name and address of the new Loan Servicer, the address to which payments should be made and any other information RESPA requires in connection with a notice of transfer of servicing. If the Note is sold and thereafter the Loan is serviced by a Loan Servicer other than the purchaser of the Note, the mortgage loan servicing obligations to Borrower will remain with the Loan Servicer or be transferred to a successor Loan Servicer and are not assumed by the Note purchaser unless otherwise provided by the Note purchaser.

Neither Borrower nor Lender may commence, join, or be joined to any judicial action (as either an individual litigant or the member of a class) that arises from the other party's actions pursuant to this Security Instrument or that alleges that the other party has breached any provision of, or any duty owed by reason of, this Security Instrument, until such Borrower or Lender has notified the other party (with such notice given in compliance with the requirements of Section 15) of such alleged breach and afforded the other party hereto a reasonable period after the giving of such notice to take corrective action. If Applicable Law provides a time period which must elapse before certain action can be taken, that time period will be deemed to be reasonable for purposes of this paragraph. The notice of acceleration and opportunity to cure given to Borrower pursuant to Section 22 and the notice of acceleration given to Borrower pursuant to Section 18 shall be deemed to satisfy the notice and opportunity to take corrective action provisions of this Section 20.

21. Hazardous Substances. As used in this Section 21: (a) "Hazardous Substances" are those substances defined as toxic or hazardous substances, pollutants, or wastes by Environmental Law and the following substances: gasoline, kerosene, other flammable or toxic petroleum products, toxic pesticides and herbicides, volatile solvents, materials containing asbestos or formaldehyde, and radioactive materials; (b) "Environmental Law" means federal laws and laws of the jurisdiction where the Property is located that relate to health, safety or environmental protection; (c) "Environmental Cleanup" includes any response action, remedial action, or removal action, as defined in Environmental Law; and (d) an "Environmental Condition" means a condition that can cause, contribute to, or otherwise trigger an Environmental Cleanup.

Borrower shall not cause or permit the presence, use, disposal, storage, or release of any Hazardous Substances, or threaten to release any Hazardous Substances, on or in the Property. Borrower shall not do, nor allow anyone else to do, anything affecting the Property (a) that is in violation of any Environmental Law, (b) which creates an Environmental Condition, or (c) which, due to the presence, use, or release of a Hazardous Substance, creates a condition that adversely affects the value of the Property. The preceding two sentences shall not apply to the presence, use, or storage on the Property of small quantities of Hazardous Substances that are generally recognized to be appropriate to normal residential uses and to maintenance of the Property (including, but not limited to, hazardous substances in consumer products).

Borrower shall promptly give Lender written notice of (a) any investigation, claim, demand, lawsuit or other action by any governmental or regulatory agency or private party involving the Property and any Hazardous Substance or Environmental Law of which Borrower has actual knowledge, (b) any Environmental Condition, including but not limited to, any spilling, leaking, discharge, release or threat of release of any Hazardous Substance, and (c) any condition caused by the presence, use or release of a Hazardous Substance which adversely affects the value of the Property. If Borrower learns, or is notified by any governmental or regulatory authority, or any private party, that any removal or other remediation of any Hazardous Substance affecting the Property is necessary, Borrower shall promptly take all necessary remedial actions in accordance with Environmental Law. Nothing herein shall create any obligation on Lender for an Environmental Cleanup.

TABLAN, S275964

275964

Initials: 57

MP-6A(NV) (0507)

Page 12 of 15

Form 3029 1/01

NON-UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

22. Acceleration; Remedies. Lender shall give notice to Borrower prior to acceleration following Borrower's breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument (but not prior to acceleration under Section 18 unless Applicable Law provides otherwise). The notice shall specify: (a) the default; (b) the action required to cure the default; (c) a date, not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given to Borrower, by which the default must be cured; and (d) that failure to cure the default on or before the date specified in the notice may result in acceleration of the sums secured by this Security Instrument and sale of the Property. The notice shall further inform Borrower of the right to reinstate after acceleration and the right to bring a court action to assert the non-existence of a default or any other defense of Borrower to acceleration and sale. If the default is not cured on or before the date specified in the notice, Lender at its option, and without further demand, may invoke the power of sale, including the right to accelerate full payment of the Note, and any other remedies permitted by Applicable Law. Lender shall be entitled to collect all expenses incurred in pursuing the remedies provided in this Section 22, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of title evidence.

If Lender invokes the power of sale, Lender shall execute or cause Trustee to execute written notice of the occurrence of an event of default and of Lender's election to cause the Property to be sold, and shall cause such notice to be recorded in each county in which any part of the Property is located. Lender shall mail copies of the notice as prescribed by Applicable Law to Borrower and to the persons prescribed by Applicable Law. Trustee shall give public notice of sale to the persons and in the manner prescribed by Applicable Law. After the time required by Applicable Law, Trustee, without demand on Borrower, shall sell the Property at public auction to the highest bidder at the time and place and under the terms designated in the notice of sale in one or more parcels and in any order Trustee determines. Trustee may postpone sale of all or any parcel of the Property by public announcement at the time and place of any previously scheduled sale. Lender or its designee may purchase the Property at any sale.

Trustee shall deliver to the purchaser Trustee's deed conveying the Property without any covenant or warranty, expressed or implied. The recitals in the Trustee's deed shall be prima facie evidence of the truth of the statements made therein. Trustee shall apply the proceeds of the sale in the following order: (a) to all expenses of the sale, including, but not limited to, reasonable Trustee's and attorneys' fees; (b) to all sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (c) any excess to the person or persons legally entitled to it.

- 23. Reconveyance. Upon payment of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall request Trustee to reconvey the Property and shall surrender this Security Instrument and all notes evidencing debt secured by this Security Instrument to Trustee. Trustee shall reconvey the Property without warranty to the person or persons legally entitled to it. Such person or persons shall pay any recordation costs. Lender may charge such person or persons a fee for reconveying the Property, but only if the fee is paid to a third party (such as the Trustee) for services rendered and the charging of the fee is permitted under Applicable Law.
- 24. Substitute Trustee. Lender at its option, may from time to time remove Trustee and appoint a successor trustee to any Trustee appointed hereunder. Without conveyance of the Property, the successor trustee shall succeed to all the title, power and duties conferred upon Trustee herein and by Applicable Law.
- 25. Assumption Fee. If there is an assumption of this loan, Lender may charge an assumption fee of U.S. \$ 0.00

TABLAN, S275964	275964	67		
-6A(NV) (0507)	Page 13 of 15	Initials: 5 \	Form 3029	1/01

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Security Instrument and in any Rider executed by Borrower and recorded with it.

Wilnesses:		
	tablan	(Seal)
	STEPHANIE TABLANTE	-Borrowei
		(Seal)
		-Borrower
-Borrower		(Seal) -Borrowei
- DOITO WOI		-Bollowei
(Seal)		(Seal)
-Borrower		-Borrower
(Seal)		(Seal)
-Borrower		-Borrowei

TABLAN, S275964

275964

MP-6A(NV) (0507)


Page 14 of 15

Form 3029 1/01

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF


This instrument was acknowledged before me on STEPHANIE TABLANTE

December 1, 2005 by



Egyles (

Mail Tax Statements To:
NEW FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION
2363 SOUTH FOOTHILL DRIVE
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84109



TABLAN, S275964

6A(NV) (0507)

275964

Initials: 57

Page 15 of 15

Form 3029 1/01

EXHIBIT "A"

The land referred to in this Commitment is situated in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada and is described as follows:

PARCEL ONE (1) - UNITS:

UNIT 2050 IN BUILDING 7 AS SHOWN ON THE FINAL PLAT OF TUSCANO CONDOMINIUMS, A CONDOMINIUM COMMUNITY, RECORDED JANUARY 31, 2005, IN BOOK 122, PAGE 11 OF PLATS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA (THE "PLAT"), AND

PARCEL TWO (2) - COMMON ELEMENTS:

1/352 INTEREST AS A TENANT-IN-COMMON IN THE COMMON ELEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AND SUBJECT TO THE TERMS OF THE DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, CONDITIONS, AND RESTRICTIONS FOR TUSCANO TOWNHOMES RECORDED APRIL 5, 2005 IN BOOK 20050405 AS DOCUMENT NO. 0002422 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA (THE "DECLARATION").

EXCEPTING THEREFROM, ALL UNITS SHOWN ON THE PLAT.

RESERVING THEREFROM, THE RIGHT TO USE ANY OF THOSE AREAS DESIGNATED AS LIMITED COMMON ELEMENTS IN THE PLAT AND/OR THE DECLARATION.

FURTHER RESERVING THEREFROM, FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE OWNERS OF ALL UNITS SHOWN ON THE PLAT (EXCEPT THE UNIT REFERRED TO IN PARCEL 1 ABOVE) NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENTS FOR ACCESS, INGRESS, EGRESS USE, ENJOYMENT AND OTHER PURPOSES ON, OVER AND ACROSS THE COMMON ELEMENTS, AS DEFINED IN AND SUBJECT TO THE DECLARATION.

PARCEL THREE (3) - APPURTENANT EASEMENTS:

NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENTS FOR ACCESS, INGRESS, EGRESS USE, ENJOYMENT AND OTHER PURPOSES ON, OVER AND ACROSS THE COMMON ELEMENTS AS DEFINED IN AND SUBJECT TO THE DECLARATION, WHICH EASEMENTS ARE APPURTENANT TO PARCELS 1, 2 ABOVE.

CONDOMINIUM RIDER

THIS CONDOMINIUM RIDER is made this 29th day of November 2005, and is incorporated into and shall be deemed to amend and supplement the Mortgage, Deed of Trust, or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument") of the same date given by the undersigned (the "Borrower") to secure Borrower's Note to NEW FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION

(the

"Lender") of the same date and covering the Property described in the Security Instrument and located at:

7255 W. SUNSET ROAD #2050, LAS VEGAS, NV 89113 [Property Address]

The Property includes a unit in, together with an undivided interest in the common elements of, a condominium project known as:

TUSCANO CONDOMINIUMS

[Name of Condominium Project]

(the "Condominium Project"). If the owners association or other entity which acts for the Condominium Project (the "Owners Association") holds title to property for the benefit or use of its members or shareholders, the Property also includes Borrower's interest in the Owners Association and the uses, proceeds and benefits of Borrower's interest.

CONDOMINIUM COVENANTS. In addition to the covenants and agreements made in the Security Instrument, Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

- A. Condominium Obligations. Borrower shall perform all of Borrower's obligations under the Condominium Project's Constituent Documents. The "Constituent Documents" are the: (i) Declaration or any other document which creates the Condominium Project; (ii) by-laws; (iii) code of regulations; and (iv) other equivalent documents. Borrower shall promptly pay, when due, all dues and assessments imposed pursuant to the Constituent Documents.
- B. Property Insurance. So long as the Owners Association maintains, with a generally accepted insurance carrier, a "master" or "blanket" policy on the Condominium Project which is satisfactory to Lender and which provides insurance coverage in the amounts (including deductible levels), for the periods, and against loss by fire, hazards included within the term "extended coverage," and any other hazards, including, but not limited to, earthquakes and floods, from which Lender requires insurance, then: (i) Lender waives the provision in

TABLAN, S275964

275964

MULTISTATE CONDOMINIUM RIDER - Single Family - Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT

-8R (0411)

Form 3140 1/01

Page 1 of 3

Initials: \$T

VMP Mortgage Solutions, Inc. (800)521-7291 MW 11/04

Section 3 for the Periodic Payment to Lender of the yearly premium installments for property insurance on the Property; and (ii) Borrower's obligation under Section 5 to maintain property insurance coverage on the Property is deemed satisfied to the extent that the required coverage is provided by the Owners Association policy.

What Lender requires as a condition of this waiver can change during the term of the loan.

Borrower shall give Lender prompt notice of any lapse in required property insurance coverage provided by the master or blanket policy.

In the event of a distribution of property insurance proceeds in lieu of restoration or repair following a loss to the Property, whether to the unit or to common elements, any proceeds payable to Borrower are hereby assigned and shall be paid to Lender for application to the sums secured by the Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower.

- C. Public Liability Insurance. Borrower shall take such actions as may be reasonable to insure that the Owners Association maintains a public liability insurance policy acceptable in form, amount, and extent of coverage to Lender.
- D. Condemnation. The proceeds of any award or claim for damages, direct or consequential, payable to Borrower in connection with any condemnation or other taking of all or any part of the Property, whether of the unit or of the common elements, or for any conveyance in lieu of condemnation, are hereby assigned and shall be paid to Lender. Such proceeds shall be applied by Lender to the sums secured by the Security Instrument as provided in Section 11.
- E. Lender's Prior Consent. Borrower shall not, except after notice to Lender and with Lender's prior written consent, either partition or subdivide the Property or consent to: (i) the abandonment or termination of the Condominium Project, except for abandonment or termination required by law in the case of substantial destruction by fire or other casualty or in the case of a taking by condemnation or eminent domain; (ii) any amendment to any provision of the Constituent Documents if the provision is for the express benefit of Lender; (iii) termination of professional management and assumption of self-management of the Owners Association; or (iv) any action which would have the effect of rendering the public liability insurance coverage maintained by the Owners Association unacceptable to Lender.
- F. Remedies. If Borrower does not pay condominium dues and assessments when due, then Lender may pay them. Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this paragraph F shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by the Security Instrument. Unless Borrower and Lender agree to other terms of payment, these amounts shall bear interest from the date of disbursement at the Note rate and shall be payable, with interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

TABLAN, S275964	275964		
		Initials: ST	
MP-8R (0411)	Page 2 of 3		Form 3140 1/01

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Condominium Rider.

Jull	(Seal)	(Seal)
STEPHANTE TABLANTE	-Borrower	-Borrowei
	(Seal) -Borrower	(Seal) -Borrowe
	(Seal) -Borrower	(Seal) -Borrowe
	(Seal) -Borrower	(Seal -Borrowe
TABLAN, S275964	275964	
OD (0/11)	Page 3 of 3	Form 3140 1/0

EXHIBIT 3

EXHIBIT 3

(5-1)

Inst #: 201103030003444 Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$25.00

RPTT: \$499.80 Ex: # 03/03/2011 01:40:52 PM Receipt #: 694986

Requestor:

JOHN PETER LEE LTD
Recorded By: JRV Pgs: 5
DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

APN # 176-03-510-102

Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure

Recording requested by:

JOHN PETER LEE, LTD.

Return to:

John Peter Lee, Ltd. 830 Las Vegas Boulevard South Las Vegas, NV 89101

This page added to provide additional information required by NRS 111.312 Sections 1-2. (Additional recording fee applies.)

This cover page must be typed or printed clearly in black ink only.

APN 176-03-510-102

The undersigned hereby affirms that there is no Social Security number

contained in this document.

WHEN RECORDED, RETURN TO:

New Freedom Mortgage Corporation

2363 South Foothill Drive

Salt Lake City, UT 84109

GRANTEE/MAIL TAX STATEMENTS TO:

New Freedom Mortgage Corporation

2363 South Foothill Drive

Salt Lake City, UT 84109

DEED IN LIEU OF FORECLOSURE

THIS INDENTURE, made and entered into this $\frac{5}{2}$ day of March, 2011, by and between

Stephanie Tablante, party of the first part, and New Freedom Mortgage Corporation, its successors

and assigns, party of the second part.

WITNESSETH

That the said party of the first part for valuable consideration conveys to the party of the

second part, all that certain real property situate in Clark County, State of Nevada, described as

follows:

APN: 176-03-510-102

7255 W. Sunset Road, # 2050

Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

NSM00026

TOGETHER with the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereunto belonging or appertaining, and the reversion and reversions, remainder and remainders, rents, issues and profits thereof.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said premises, together with the appurtenances, unto the said party of the second part, and to the assigns and transferees of the said party of the second part forever.

THIS DEED is an absolute conveyance, the party of the first part having sold said land to the party of the second part for a fair and adequate consideration, such consideration being full satisfaction of all obligations secured by the Deeds of Trust executed by the party of the first part to New Freedom Mortgage Corporation, trustee for party of the second part, beneficiary, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. ("MERS"), P.O. Box 2026, Flint, MI 48501-2026 nominee for lender, New Freedom Mortgage Corporation and recorded on December 7, 2005, in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder's Office, Las Vegas, Nevada as Document Number 200512070002367. Party of the first part declares that this conveyance is voluntarily and freely and fairly made and that there are no agreements, oral or written, other than this deed between the parties hereto with respect to the property hereby conveyed.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the party of the first part has executed this Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure the day and year first hereinabove written.

STATE OF <u>Mevacla</u>) SS.:
COUNTY OF <u>Clark</u>)

On March 15, 2011, before me the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, personally appeared Stephanie Tablante, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged to me that she executed the same.

My appt. exp. Sept. 28, 2014

STATE OF NEVADA DECLARATION OF VALUE FORM 1. Assessor Parcel Number(s) a. 176-03-510-102 2. Type of Property: Single Fam. Res. FOR RECORDER'S OPTIONAL USE ONLY Vacant Land **b**. Condo/Twnhse 2-4 Plex Book:_____ Page:____ d. Apt. Bldg f. Comm'l/Ind'l Date of Recording: e. I Agricultural Mobile Home h. Notes: Other 3. a. Total Value/Sales Price of Property \$ 165,850.63 67,977.00 b. Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure Only (value of property) c. Transfer Tax Value: \$<u>99.873.00</u> \$_510.00 499.80 m.m. d. Real Property Transfer Tax Due 4. If Exemption Claimed: a. Transfer Tax Exemption per NRS 375.090, Section _____ b. Explain Reason for Exemption: 5. Partial Interest: Percentage being transferred: The undersigned declares and acknowledges, under penalty of perjury, pursuant to NRS 375.060 and NRS 375.110, that the information provided is correct to the best of their information and belief, and can be supported by documentation if called upon to substantiate the information provided herein. Furthermore, the parties agree that disallowance of any claimed exemption, or other determination of additional tax due, may result in a penalty of 10% of the tax due plus interest at 1% per month. Pursuant to NRS 375.030, the Buyer and Seller shall be jointly and severally liable for any additional amount owed. Capacity Seller Hope Capacity_ Signature_ **BUYER (GRANTEE) INFORMATION** SELLER (GRANTOR) INFORMATION (REQUIRED) (REQUIRED) Print Name: New Freedom Mortgage Corp. Print Name: Stephanie Tablante Address: 2363 South Foothill Drive Address: 9037 Loggers Mile Ave. City: Las Vegas City: Salt Lake City Zip:_ 89143 Zip: 84109 UT State: NV State: COMPANY/PERSON REQUESTING RECORDING (required if not seller or buyer) Print Name: John Peter Lee, Ltd. Escrow #: Address: 830 Las Vegas Blvd., South State: NV Zip: 89101 City: Las Vegas

AS A PUBLIC RECORD THIS FORM MAY BE RECORDED/MICROFILMED

CCOR_DV_Form.pdf ~ 01/12/09

1410

EXHIBIT 4

EXHIBIT 4



APN # 176-03-510-102

Re-recorded to correct legal description (Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure)

Inst #: 201106210002567 Fees: \$18.00 N/C Fee: \$25.00

RPTT: \$0.00 Ex: #003 06/21/2011 01:48:06 PM Receipt #: 819251

Requestor:

JOHN PETER LEE LTD
Recorded By: JRV Pgs: 6
DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER



Recording requested by:

JOHN PETER LEE, LTD.

Return to:

John Peter Lee, Ltd. 830 Las Vegas Boulevard South Las Vegas, NV 89101

This page added to provide additional information required by NRS 111.312 Sections 1-2. (Additional recording fee applies.)

This cover page must be typed or printed clearly in black ink only.

APN # 176-03-510-102

Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure

hst #: 201103030003444 Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$25.00

RPTT: \$499.80 Ex: # 03/03/2011 01:40:52 PM

Receipt #: 694986

Requestor:

JOHN PETER LEE LTD Recorded By: JRV Pgs: 5

DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

Recording requested by:

JOHN PETER LEE, LTD.

Return to:

John Peter Lee, Ltd. 830 Las Vegas Boulevard South Las Vegas, NV 89101

This page added to provide additional information required by NRS 111.312 Sections 1-2. (Additional recording fee applies.)

This cover page must be typed or printed clearly in black ink only.

APN 176-03-510-102

The undersigned hereby affirms that there is no Social Security number contained in this document.

WHEN RECORDED, RETURN TO:

New Freedom Mortgage Corporation 2363 South Foothill Drive Salt Lake City, UT 84109

GRANTEE/MAIL TAX STATEMENTS TO:

New Freedom Mortgage Corporation 2363 South Foothill Drive Salt Lake City, UT 84109

DEED IN LIEU OF FORECLOSURE

THIS INDENTURE, made and entered into this 21 day of June, 2011, by and between Stephanie Tablante, party of the first part, and New Freedom Mortgage Corporation, its successors and assigns, party of the second part.

WITNESSETH

That the said party of the first part for valuable consideration conveys to the party of the second part, all that certain real property situate in Clark County, State of Nevada, described as follows:

PARCEL ONE (1) - UNITS:

UNIT 2050 IN BUILDING 7 AS SHOWN ON THE FINAL PLAT OF TUSCANO CONDOMINIUMS, A CONDOMINIUM COMMUNITY, RECORDED JANUARY 31, 2005, IN BOOK 122, PAGE 11 OF PLATS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA (THE "PLATE"), AND

PARCEL TWO (2) - COMMON ELEMENTS:

1/352 INTEREST AS A TENANT - IN - COMMON IN THE COMMON ELEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AND SUBJECT TO THE TERMS OF THE DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, CONDITIONS, AND RESTRICTIONS FOR TUSCANO TOWNHOMES RECORDED APRIL 5, 2005 IN BOOK 20050405 AS DOCUMENT NO. 0002422 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA (THE "DECLARATION").

EXCEPTING THEREFROM, ALL UNITS SHOWN ON THE PLAT.

RESERVING THEREFROM, THE RIGHT TO USE ANY OF THOSE AREAS DESIGNATED AS LIMITED COMMON ELEMENTS IN THE PLAT AND/OR THE DECLARATION.

FURTHER RESERVING THEREFROM, FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE OWNERS OF ALL UNITS SHOWN ON THE PLAT (EXCEPT THE UNIT REFERRED TO IN PARCEL 1 ABOVE) NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENTS FOR ACCESS, INGRESS, EGRESS USE ENJOYMENT AND OTHER PURPOSES ON, OVER AND ACROSS THE COMMON ELEMENTS, AS DEFINED IN AND SUBJECT TO THE DECLARATION.

PARCEL THREE (3) - APPURTENANT EASEMENTS:

NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENTS FOR ACCESS, INGRESS, EGRESS USE, ENJOYMENT AND OTHER PURPOSES ON, OVER AND ACROSS THE COMMON ELEMENTS AS DEFINED IN AND SUBJECT TO THE DECLARATION, WHICH EASEMENTS ARE APPURTENANT TO PARCELS 1,2 ABOVE.

TOGETHER with the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereunto belonging or appertaining, and the reversion and reversions, remainder and remainders, rents, issues and profits thereof.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said premises, together with the appurtenances, unto the said party of the second part, and to the assigns and transferees of the said party of the second part forever.

THIS DEED is an absolute conveyance, the party of the first part having sold said land to the party of the second part for a fair and adequate consideration, such consideration being full satisfaction of all obligations secured by the Deeds of Trust executed by the party of the first part to

New Freedom Mortgage Corporation, trustee for party of the second part, beneficiary, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. ("MERS"), P.O. Box 2026, Flint, MI 48501-2026 nominee for lender, New Freedom Mortgage Corporation and recorded on December 7, 2005, in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder's Office, Las Vegas, Nevada as Document Number 200512070002367. Party of the first part declares that this conveyance is voluntarily and freely and fairly made and that there are no agreements, oral or written, other than this deed between the parties hereto with respect to the property hereby conveyed.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the party of the first part has executed this Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure the day and year first hereinabove written.

Stephanie/Tablante

STATE OF NEVADA)
) SS.:
COUNTY OF CLARK)

On June 215, 2011, before me the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, personally appeared Stephanie Tablante, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged to me that she executed the same.

M. HERNANDEZ
Notary Public State of Nevada
No. 10-3243-1
My appt. exp. Sept. 28, 2014

STATE OF NEVADA	
DECLARATION OF VALUE FORM	
1. Assessor Parcel Number(s)	
a. 176-03-510-102	
b	
C	
d.	
2. Type of Property:	EOR RECORDERS OPTIONAL LISE ONLY
a. Vacant Land b. Single Fam. R	•
c. X Condo/Twnhse d. 2-4 Plex	Book: Page:
e. Apt. Bldg f. Comm'l/Ind'l	Date of Recording:
g. Agricultural h. Mobile Home	Notes:
Other	<u> </u>
3. a. Total Value/Sales Price of Property	
b. Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure Only (value of p	
c. Transfer Tax Value:	\$ 99.873.00 \$ 400-80 773
d. Real Property Transfer Tax Due	
4. If Exemption Claimed:a. Transfer Tax Exemption per NRS 375.090,	Section 3
b. Explain Reason for Exemption: Re-Reco	
discription #20110303	3444
5. Partial Interest: Percentage being transferred:	%
The undersigned declares and acknowledges	·
NRS 375.060 and NRS 375.110, that the information	
information and belief, and can be supported by do	
information provided herein. Furthermore, the part	
exemption, or other determination of additional tax	
due plus interest at 1% per month. Pursuant to NRS	
jointly and severally liable for any additional amount	
Joinery and Boyerary made and and american and an	
Signature Jah	Capacity <u>seller</u>
	•
Signature	Capacity
SELLER (GRANTOR) INFORMATION	BUYER (GRANTEE) INFORMATION
(REQUIRED)	(REQUIRED)
Print Name: Stephanie Tablante	Print Name: New Freedom Mortgage Corp
Address: 9037 Loggers Mile Ave.	Address: 2363 South Foothill Dr.
City: Las Vegas	City: Salt Lake City
State: NV Zip: 89143	State: <u>UT</u> Zip: <u>84109</u>
COMPANY/PERSON REQUESTING RECOR	DING (required if not seller or buyer)
Print Name: JOhn Peter Lee, Ltd.	Escrow #:
Address: 830 Las Vegas Blvd., South	
City: Las Vegas	State: NV Zip: 89101

AS A PUBLIC RECORD THIS FORM MAY BE RECORDED/MICROFILMED

 $\texttt{CCOR_DV_Form.pdf} \sim 01/12/09$

EXHIBIT 5

EXHIBIT 5

Inst #: 201107290000895

Fees: \$15.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

07/29/2011 09:30:03 AM

Receipt #: 862036

Requestor: CORELOGIC

Recorded By: MSH Pgs: 2

DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

Recording Requested By:

Bank of America

Prepared By: Srbui Muradyan

888-603-9011

When recorded mail to:

CoreLogic

450 E. Boundary St. Attn: Release Dept. Chapin, SC 29036

DocID#

16910692327163615

Tax ID:

176-03-510-102

Property Address:

7255 W Sunset Rd Unit 2050 Las Vegas, NV 89113-1911

NV0-ADT 14411205

7/25/2011

This space for Recorder's use

MIN #: 1000360-0000275964-1

MERS Phone #: 888-679-6377

ASSIGNMENT OF DEED OF TRUST

For Value Received, the undersigned holder of a Deed of Trust (herein "Assignor") whose address is 3300 S.W. 34TH AVENUE, SUITE 101 OCALA, FL 34474 does hereby grant, sell, assign, transfer and convey unto BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP FKA COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS SERVICING LP whose address is 400 NATIONAL WAY, SIMI VALLEY, CA 93065 all beneficial interest under that certain Deed of Trust described below together with the note(s) and obligations therein described and the money due and to become due thereon with interest and all rights accrued or to accrue under said Deed of Trust.

Original Lender:

NEW FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION

Made By:

STEPHANIE TABLANTE, A SINGLE WOMAN

Trustee:

FIRST AMERICAN TITLE CO. OF NEVADA

Date of Deed of Trust: 11/29/2005

Original Loan Amount: \$176,760.00

Recorded in Clark County, NV on: 12/7/2005, book 20051207, page 0002367 and instrument number N/A

I the undersigned hereby affirm that this document submitted for recording does not contain the social security number of any person or persons.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has caused this Assignment of Deed of Trust to be executed on

MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.

By:

Chester Levings, Assistant Secretary

State of California County of Ventura

On <u>o7/28/11</u> before me, <u>MARUELUS</u> ELUS Notary Public, personally appeared Chester Levings, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS by band and official seal.

Notary Public: MARCELUS EUUS

My Commission Expires: 10/3/113

(Seal)

MARCELLUS ELLIS
Commission # 1869981
Notary Public - California
Los Angeles County
My Comm. Expires Oct 31, 2013

BURROWER: STEPHANIE TABLANTE

EXHIBIT 6

EXHIBIT 6

When Recorded Mail To:

The Cooper Castle Law Firm

820 S. Valley View Blvd.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89107

Attn.: Jessica Chester

T.S. No.:

11-08-31196-NV

APN:

176-03-510-102

TITLE REPORT No.: 5817079

<u>^</u>

Inst #: 201202020000943

Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$25.00

02/02/2012 12:21:18 PM Receipt #: 1054869

Requestor:

DOCUMENT PROCESSING SOLUTIC

Recorded By: SCA Pgs: 1

DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

SUBSTITUTION OF TRUSTEE

WHEREAS, Stephanie Tablante, the original Trustor, First American Title Co. of Nevada. was the original Trustee, and Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. (MERS) was the original Beneficiary under that certain Deed of Trust dated November 29, 2005 and recorded on December 7, 2005, as Book: 20051207 Instrument: 0002367 of Official Records of Clark County, Nevada; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned is the present Beneficiary under said Deed of Trust, and

WHEREAS, the undersigned desires to substitute a new Trustee effective as of 8/10/2011 under said Deed of Trust in place and instead of said original Trustee, or Successor Trustee, thereunder, in the manner in said Deed of Trust provided,

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby substitutes Cooper Castle Law Firm, LLP, A Multi-Jurisdictional Law Firm, as Trustee under said Deed of Trust.

The Beneficiary hereby ratifies and confirms all action taken on the Beneficiary's behalf by the instant and/or Successor Trustee prior to the recording of the substitution of trustee.

Effective Date: 8/10/2011

Stephanie Tablante / 11-08-31196-NV

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP FKA COUNTRYWIDE HOME

LOANS SERVICING LP

Acknowledgement: State of Texas County of Dallas before me, Maxine P. Luster Michelle Johnson, AVP, who provided to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his her their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his her their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument. I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of Texas that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct. WITNESS my hand and official seal. Signature **MAXINE P LUSTER** My Commission Expires January 23, 2014

EXHIBIT 7

EXHIBIT 7

Assessor Parcel Number: 176-03-510-102

File Number: R792725

Accommodation

Inst #: 201204040001017

Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

04/04/2012 09:15:46 AM Receipt #: 1119464

Requestor:

NORTH AMERICAN TITLE COMPAN

Recorded By: SOL Pgs: 1
DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS

Red Rock Financial Services is a debt collector and is attempting to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN: Red Rock Financial Services, a division of RMI Management LLC, officially assigned as agent by the Tuscano Homeowners Association, herein also called the Association, in accordance with Nevada Revised Statues 116 and outlined in the Association Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions, herein also called CC&R's, recorded on 04/05/2006, in Book Number 20060405, as Instrument Number 0002422 and including any and all Amendments and Annexations et. seq., of Official Records of Clark County, Nevada, which have been supplied to and agreed upon by said owner.

Said Association imposes a Lien for Delinquent Assessments on the commonly known property:

7255 W Sunset Rd #2050, Las Vegas, NV 89113

TUSCANO CONDO PLAT BOOK 122 PAGE 11 UNIT 2050 BLDG 7, in the County of Clark

Current Owner(s) of Record:

NEW FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION

The amount owing as of the date of preparation of this lien is **\$2,695.10.

This amount includes assessments, late fees, interest, fines/violations and collection fees and costs.

** The said amount may increase or decrease as assessments, late fees, interest, fines/violations, collection fees, costs or partial payments are applied to the account.

Dated: March 29, 2012

Prepared By Rebecca Tom, Red Rock Financial Services, on behalf of Tuscano Homeowners Association

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK

On March 29, 2012, before me, personally appeared Rebecca Tom, personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that they executed the same in their authorized capacity, and that by their signature on the instrument the person, or the entity upon behalf of which the person acted, executed the instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

When Recorded Mail To: Red Rock Financial Services

7251 Amigo Street, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

702-932-6887

ELIZABETH CERNAK
Notary Public State of Nevada
No. 04-91116-1
My april exp. Aug. 5, 2012

EXHIBIT 8

EXHIBIT 8

Assessor Parcel Number: 176-03-510-102

File Number:

R792725

Property Address:

7255 W Sunset Rd #2050

Las Vegas, NV 89113

Title Order Number: 1048078

Inst #: 201205290001690

Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

05/29/2012 12:55:19 PM Receipt #: 1178178

Requestor:

STEWART TITLE LAS VEGAS WAR

Recorded By: SOL Pgs: 1 DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND ELECTION TO SELL PURSUANT TO THE LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS **♦ IMPORTANT NOTICE ♦**

Red Rock Financial Services is a debt collector and is attempting to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

WARNING! IF YOU FAIL TO PAY THE AMOUNT SPECIFIED IN THIS NOTICE, YOU COULD LOSE YOUR HOME, EVEN IF THE **AMOUNT IS IN DISPUTE!**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN: Red Rock Financial Services officially assigned as agent by the Tuscano Homeowners Association, under the Lien for Delinquent Assessments, recorded on 04/04/2012, in Book Number 20120404, as Instrument Number 0001017, reflecting NEW FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION as the owner(s) of record on said lien, land legally described as TUSCANO CONDO PLAT BOOK 122 PAGE 11 UNIT 2050 BLDG 7, of the Official Records in the Office of the Recorder of Clark County, Nevada, makes known the obligation under the Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions recorded 04/05/2006, in Book Number 20060405, as Instrument Number 0002422, has been breached. As of 12/01/2011 forward, all assessments, whether monthly or otherwise, late fees, interest, Association charges, legal fees and collection fees and costs, less any credits, have gone unpaid.

Above stated, the Association has equipped Red Rock Financial Services with verification of the obligation according to the Covenants, Conditions and Restriction in addition to documents proving the debt, therefore declaring any and all amounts secured as well as due and payable, electing the property to be sold to satisfy the obligation. In accordance with Nevada Revised Statutes 116, no sale date may be set until the ninety-first (91) day after the recorded date or the mailing date of the Notice of Default and Election to Sell. As of May 23, 2012, the amount owed is \$4,018.40. This amount will continue to increase until paid in full.

Dated: May 23, 2012 Prepared By Rebecca Tom, Red Rock Financial Services, on behalf of Tuscano Homeowners Association

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK

On May 23, 2012, before me, personally appeared Rebecca Tom, personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that they executed the same in their authorized capacity, and that by their signature on the instrument the person, or the entity upon behalf of which the person acted, executed the instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Mail To:

When Recorded Red Rock Financial Services 7251 Amigo Street, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

702-932-6887

ELIZABETH CERNAK Notary Public State of Nevada No. 04-91116-1 My appt. exp. Aug. 5, 2012

EXHIBIT 9

EXHIBIT 9

Inst #: 201303200000887

Fees: \$18.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

03/20/2013 08:28:50 AM Receipt #: 1541118

Requestor:

CASTLE STAWIARSKI, LLC - NE

Recorded By: MSH Pgs: 2

DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

Tax Parcel: 176-03-510-102

Recording requested by:
BANK OF AMERICA N.A.,
SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BAC
HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP FKA
COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS
SERVICING, LP

When recorded mail to: NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC 350 HIGHLAND DRIVE LEWISVILLE, TX 75067 Attn: MOSAIC

Mail tax statement to: Bank of America, N.A. 1757 Tapo Canyon Road, #300 Simi Valley, CA 93063

CORPORATION ASSIGNMENT OF DEED OF TRUST

Doc. ID# 95010692327170532 Commitment# A41682

For value received, the undersigned, BANK OF AMERICA N.A., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP FKA COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP, 1800 TAPO CANYON ROAD, SIMI VALLEY, CA 93063, hereby grants, assigns and transfers to:

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC 350 HIGHLAND DRIVE, LEWISVILLE, TX 75067

All beneficial interest under that certain Deed of Trust dated 11/29/05, executed by: STEPHANIE TABLANTE, Trustor as per TRUST DEED recorded as Instrument No. 20051207-0002367 on 12/07/05 in Book Page of official records in the County Recorder's Office of CLARK County, NEVADA.

The Trustee is FIRST AMERICAN TITLE CO OF NEV. Original Mortgage \$176,760.00
7255 W SUNSET ROAD #2050, LAS VEGAS, NV 89113

Together with the Note or Notes therein described or referred to, the money due and to become due thereon with interest, and all rights accrued or to accrue under said Deed of Trust.

CORPORATION ASSIGNMENT OF DEED OF TRUST

Doc. ID# 95010692327170532 Commitment# A41682

Dated: FEB 28 2013

BANK OF AMERICA N.A., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP FKA COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS

SERVICING. LP

Bv

CARMEN DENA, ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT

State of California County of Ventura

On FEB 28 2013 before me, IRMA DIAZ, Notary Public, personally appeared CARMEN DENA, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

Witness my hand and official seal.

Signature:

Prepared by: THANIA ORNELAS

1800 TAPO CANYON ROAD SIMI VALLEY, CA 93063 Phone#: (213) 345-0979 IRMA DIAZ
Commission # 1903988
Notary Public - California
Ventura County
My Comm. Expires Sep 13, 2014

NSM00042

EXHIBIT 10

EXHIBIT 10

Inst #: 201305290000306

Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

05/29/2013 08:03:04 AM Receipt #: 1632393

Requestor:

UNITED LEGAL SERVICES INC.

Recorded By: DXI Pgs: 1
DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

APN: 176-03-510-102 ULS#: NV-TU3-03

When recorded mail to:
United Legal Services Inc.
A Nevada Law Firm
9484 South Eastern Ave. #163
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Phone: (702) 617-3263

NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE SALE

UNDER THE LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS

WARNING! A SALE OF YOUR PROPERTY IS IMMINENT! UNLESS YOU PAY THE AMOUNT SPECIFIED IN THIS NOTICE BEFORE THE SALE DATE, YOU COULD LOSE YOUR HOME, EVEN IF THE AMOUNT IS IN DISPUTE. YOU MUST ACT BEFORE THE SALE DATE. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CALL UNITED LEGAL SERVICES INC. AT (702) 617-3263. IF YOU NEED ASSISTANCE, PLEASE CALL THE FORECLOSURE SECTION OF THE OMBUDSMAN'S OFFICE, NEVADA REAL ESTATE DIVISION, AT (877) 829-9907.

YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER THE LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS, notice of which was recorded on April 4, 2012 as instrument 201204040001017 in the Official Records of the Recorder of Clark County, Nevada ("Official Records"), by the Tuscano Homeowners Association. The Notice of Default and Election to Sell Pursuant to the Lien for Delinquent Assessments was recorded on May 29, 2012 as instrument 201205290001690 in the Official Records. The property owner(s) of record is/are: New Freedom Mortgage Corporation. The total amount necessary to satisfy the lien as of the proposed sale date is \$7,806.42.

UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY. IT WILL BE SOLD AT PUBLIC SALE. United Legal Services Inc. ("ULS") has the collections file on this account. Any payments to satisfy the lien must be in cash, cashier's check, or wire transfer, and must be actually received by ULS prior to the sale. If payment in full is not received prior to the date/time below, the property will be auctioned. All auction sales are final and late payments will be returned. If you need an explanation of this notice or its contents, you should contact an attorney.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT on June 22, 2013 at 9:00 AM at 8965 S. Eastern Ave, Suite 350, Las Vegas, NV 89123, United Legal Services Inc., as duly authorized agent for sale pursuant to NRS 116, will sell at public auction to the highest bidder, for lawful money of the United States, all right, title, and interest in the property commonly known as: 7255 W Sunset Unit 2050, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113. Payment by the winning bidder must be made at the conclusion of the auction and in cash or a cashier's check drawn on a bank or credit union authorized to do business in the State of Nevada. The sale will be made without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding, but not limited to, title, possession, encumbrances, or obligations to satisfy any secured or unsecured liens.

Date: May 28, 2013

By: Mia Fregeau

An employee of United Legal Services Inc.

As authorized agent for, and on behalf of, Tuscano Homeowners Association

EXHIBIT 11

EXHIBIT 11



APN: 176-03-510-102

Return document and mail tax statements to:

West Sunset 2050 Trust P.O. Box 530541 Henderson NV 89053 Inst #: 201306240003127 Fees: \$18.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

RPTT: \$323.85 Ex: # 06/24/2013 03:46:06 PM Receipt #: 1667567

Requestor:

WEST SUNSET 2050 TRUST Recorded By: GILKS Pgs: 3

DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

FORECLOSURE DEED UPON SALE

Foreclosing lienholder TUSCANO HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, under power of sale pursuant to NRS Chapter 116, does hereby sell, without warranty, expressed or implied, to:

WEST SUNSET 2050 TRUST

the real property situated in Clark County, Nevada legally described as:

SEE EXHIBIT A ATTACHED FOR LEGAL DESCRIPTION

and commonly known as 7255 W SUNSET RD UNIT 2050, LAS VEGAS NV 89113.

This conveyance is made pursuant to the powers conferred upon Agent by NRS Chapter 116, the foreclosing Association's governing documents (CC&R's), and the notice of the Lien for Delinquent Assessments, recorded on April 4, 2012 as instrument 201204040001017 in the Official Records of the Recorder of Clark County, Nevada. Default occurred as set forth in the Notice of Default and Election to Sell, recorded on May 29, 2012 as instrument 201205290001690 in the Official Records of the Recorder of Clark County, Nevada. All requirements of law have been complied with, including, but not limited to, the elapsing of the 90 days, the mailing of copies of the notice of Lien of Delinquent Assessment, and Notice of Default, and the mailing, posting, and publication of the Notice of Foreclosure Sale. Agent, in compliance with the Notice of Foreclosure Sale and in exercise of its power under NRS § 116.31164, sold the property at public auction on June 22, 2013.

By:

Robert Opdyke, Esq.

United Legal Services Inc.

As authorized agent for, and on behalf of, foreclosing Association

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK

This instrument was acknowledged before me

on June 14th, 2013, by: Robert Opdyke.

Notar A My Ap

CRYSTAL BENNETT
Notary Public-State of Nevada
APPT. NO. 12-8606-1
My App. Expires August 07, 2016

EXHIBIT A

All that certain real property situated in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, described as follows:

PARCEL ONE (1) - UNITS:

UNIT 2050 IN BUILDING 7 AS SHOWN ON THE FINAL PLAT OF TUSCANO CONDOMINIUMS, A CONDOMINIUM COMMUNITY, RECORDED JANUARY 31, 2005, IN BOOK 122, PAGE 11 OF PLATS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA (THE "PLAT"), AND

PARCEL TWO (2) - COMMON ELEMENTS:

1/352 INTEREST AS A TENANT-IN-COMMON IN THE COMMON ELEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AND SUBJECT TO THE TERMS OF THE DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, CONDITIONS, AND RESTRICTIONS FOR TUSCANO TOWNHOMES RECORDED APRIL 5, 2005 IN BOOK 20050405 AS DOCUMENT NO. 0002422 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA (THE "DECLARATION").

EXCEPTING THEREFROM, ALL UNITS SHOWN ON THE PLAT.

RESERVING THEREFROM, THE RIGHT TO USE ANY OF THOSE AREAS DESIGNATED AS LIMITED COMMON ELEMENTS IN THE PLAT AND/OR THE DECLARATION.

FURTHER RESERVING THEREFROM, FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE OWNERS OF ALL UNITS SHOWN ON THE PLAT (EXCEPT THE UNIT REFERRED TO IN PARCEL 1 ABOVE) NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENTS FOR ACCESS, INGRESS, EGRESS USE, ENJOYMENT AND OTHER PURPOSES ON, OVER AND ACROSS THE COMMON ELEMENTS, AS DEFINED IN AND SUBJECT TO THE DECLARATION.

PARCEL THREE (3) - APPURTENANT EASEMENTS:

NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENTS FOR ACCESS, INGRESS, EGRESS USE, ENJOYMENT AND OTHER PURPOSES ON, OVER AND ACROSS THE COMMON ELEMENTS AS DEFINED IN AND SUBJECT TO THE DECLARATION, WHICH EASEMENTS ARE APPURTENANT TO PARCELS 1,2 ABOVE.

STATE OF NEVADA DECLARATION OF VALUE

1. Assessor Parcel Num	iber(s)		
a. 176-03-510-10	02		
L			
c.			
d.			
2. Type of Property:			
a. Vacant Land c. ✓ Condo/Twnhse	b. Single Fam. Res. d. 2-4 Plex		RS OPTIONAL USE ONLY Page:
	f. Comm'l/Ind'l		
e. Apt. Bldg			g:
g. Agricultural	h. Mobile Home	Notes:	<u></u>
Other		- /2004	
3.a. Total Value/Sales Pr	•	\$ 63280,0	0
	eclosure Only (value of prop)
c. Transfer Tax Value:		\$ <u>63280.0</u>	0
d. Real Property Transf	er Tax Due	\$ 323.85	
b. Explain Reason f	emption per NRS 375.090, S	ection	
and NRS 375.110, that the and can be supported by Furthermore, the parties additional tax due, may remain and the supported by the support of the support of the supported by the support of th	agree that disallowance of an esult in a penalty of 10% of	correct to the best of the on to substantiate the in the input of the tax due plus interests.	neir information and belief, nformation provided herein.
o:	7	Capacity: Gr	1,1+00
Signature		Capacity:	411100
Signature		Capacity:	
SELLER (GRANTOR)	INFORMATION	BUYER (GRAN	TEE) INFORMATION
(REQUI			QUIRED)
Print Name: United Leg		•	t Sunset 2050 Trust
Address: 9484 S. Easte		Address: P.O. Bo	
City: Las Vegas	III Ave. #103	City: Hendersor	
	7in: 90123	State: NV	Zip:89053
State: NV	Homeowers Association.	State. 14 V	2ip.0000
COMPANY/PERSON	REQUESTING RECORD		t seller or buyer)
Print Name:		Escrow #	
Address:	<u> </u>		5.
City:		State:	Zip:

AS A PUBLIC RECORD THIS FORM MAY BE RECORDED/MICROFILMED

EXHIBIT 12

EXHIBIT 12

Inst #: 201309180002103

Fees: \$221.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

09/18/2013 01:51:55 PM Receipt #: 1775955

Requestor:

FIRST AMERICAN NATIONAL DEF

Recorded By: CYV Pgs: 5

DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO: Cooper Castle Law Firm, LLP 5275 S. Durango Drive Las Vegas, NV 89113

T.S. No.:

11-08-31196-NV

APN:

176-03-510-102

Property Address:

7255 W. Sunset Road #2050, Las Vegas, NV 89113

NOTICE OF BREACH AND DEFAULT AND OF ELECTION TO CAUSE SALE OF REAL PROPERTY UNDER DEED OF TRUST

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT: THE COOPER CASTLE LAW FIRM, LLP, A MULTIJURISDICTIONAL LAW FIRM is the duly appointed Trustee under a Deed of Trust dated November 29, 2005, executed by Stephanie Tablante, as Trustor in favor of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. (MERS), recorded on December 7, 2005 and recorded as. 20051207-0002367 of Official Records in the office of the County recorder of Clark County, Nevada securing, among other obligations:

One note(s) for the Original sum of \$176,760.00, that the beneficial interest under such Deed of Trust and the obligations secured hereby are presently held by the undersigned; that a breach of and default in the obligations for which such Deed of Trust is security has occurred or that payment has not been made of:

The installment of Principal, Interest, impounds and late fees which became due March 1, 2011 together with all subsequent installments of principal, interest, impounds, late fees and foreclosure fees and expenses. Any advances which may hereafter be made. All obligations and indebtedness as they become due and charges pursuant to said Note and Deed of Trust.

That by reason thereof the present Beneficiary under such Deed of Trust has executed and delivered to said duly appointed Trustee a substitution of trustee and a request for Sale of the security pursuant to the Deed of Trust and all documents evidencing obligations secured thereby and has declared and does hereby declare all sums secured thereby immediately due and payable and has elected and does hereby elect to cause the trust property to be sold to satisfy the obligations secured thereby.

NOTICE

You may have the right to cure the default hereon and reinstate the one obligation secured by such Deed of Trust above described. Section NRS 107.080 permits certain defaults to be cured upon the payment of the amounts required by that statutory section without requiring payment of that portion of principal and interest which would not be due had no default occurred. Where reinstatement is possible, if the default is not cured within 35 days following recording and mailing of this Notice to Trustor or Trustor's successor in interest, the right of reinstatement will terminate and the property may thereafter be sold. The Trustor may have the right to bring a court action to assert the nonexistence of a default or any other defense of Trustor to acceleration and Sale.

(PAGE 1 of 2)

T.S. No.:

11-08-31196-NV

APN:

176-03-510-102

To determine if reinstatement is possible and the amount, if any, to cure the default, contact:

Nationstar Mortgage, LLC C/O The Cooper Castle Law Firm, LLP A MultiJurisdictional Law Firm 5275 S. Durango Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 (702) 435-4175 Telephone (702) 877-7424 Facsimile

BE ADVISED THAT THE COOPER CASTLE LAW FIRM, LLP A MULTIJURISDICTIONAL LAW FIRM MAY BE ACTING AS A DEBT COLLECTOR AND IS ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION PROVIDED BY YOU WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

Dated: September 13, 2013

THE COOPER CASTLE LAW FIRM, LLP
A Multi-Jurisdictional Law Firm

Justin Grim

Attorney at Law

State of NEVADA County of CLARK

} ss.

On September 13, 2013, before me, <u>MCLIO Santini</u>, Notary Public, personally appeared Justin Grim personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature (Seal

Stephanie Tablante / 11-08-31196-NV

NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEVADA County of Clark AMELIA SANTINI Appt. No. 13-11068-1 My Appt. Expires June 14, 2017

(PAGE 2 OF 2)

AFFIDAVIT OF AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND ELECTION TO SELL [NRS § 107.080]

Borrowers Identified in Deed of Trust: Stephanie Tablante		Trustee Address: The Cooper Castle Law Firm, LLP 5275 S. Durango Dr. Las Vegas, NV 89113
Property Address: 7255 W. Sunset Road #2050, Las Vegas, NV 89113		Deed of Trust Instrument Number: 20051207-0002367
STATE OF TEXAS		
COUNTY OF DENTON)	SS:	
The affiant, <u>Jerrell Menyweather</u> sworn upon oath and under penalty of pe	erjury	, attests as follows:

- 1. I am an employee of Nationstar Mortgage, LLC. I am duly authorized to make this Affidavit in the capacity as the current beneficiary of the subject Deed of Trust (Beneficiary) or the Servicer for the current beneficiary of the Deed of Trust.
- 2. I have the personal knowledge required to execute this Affidavit, as set forth in NRS 107.080(2)(c) and can confirm the accuracy of the information set forth herein. If sworn as a witness, I could competently testify to the facts contained herein.
- 3. In the regular and ordinary course of business, it is Nationstar Mortgage, LLC s practice to make, collect, and maintain business records and documents related to any loan it originates, funds, purchases and/or services, including the Subject Loan (collectively, Business Records). I have continuing access to the Business Records for the Subject Loan, and I am familiar with the Business Records and I have personally reviewed the business records relied upon to compile this Affidavit.
- 4. The full name and business address of the current trustee or the current trustee s representative or assignee is:

The Cooper Castle Law Firm, LLP 5275 S. Durango Dr. Las Vegas, NV 89113

TS#- 11-08-31196-NV APN#- 176-03-510-102

Page 1 of 3

5. The full name and business address of the current holder of the note secured by the Deed of Trust is:

Nationstar Mortgage, LLC 350 Highland Drive Lewisville, TX 75067

6. The full name and business address of the current beneficiary of record of the Deed of Trust is:

Nationstar Mortgage, LLC 350 Highland Drive Lewisville, TX 75067

7. The full name and business address of the current servicer of the obligation or debt secured by the Deed of Trust is:

Nationstar Mortgage, LLC 350 Highland Drive Lewisville, TX 75067

- 8. The beneficiary, its successor in interest, or the trustee of the Deed of Trust has: (I) actual or constructive possession of the note secured by the Deed of Trust; and/or (II) is entitled to enforce the obligation or debt secured by the Deed of Trust. If the latter is applicable and the obligation or debt is an instrument, as defined in NRS § 104.3103(2), the beneficiary, successor in interest to the beneficiary, or trustee entitled to enforce the obligation or debt is either: (1) the holder of the instrument constituting the obligation or debt; (2) a non-holder in possession of the instrument who has the rights of the holder; or (3) a person not in possession of the instrument who is entitled to enforce the instrument pursuant to a court order issued NRS § 104.3309.
- 9. The beneficiary, its successor in interest, the trustee, the servicer of the obligation or debt secured by the Deed of Trust, or an attorney representing any of those persons, has sent to the obligor or borrower of the of the obligation or debt secured by the Deed of Trust a written statement containing the following information (I) the amount of payment required to make good the deficiency in performance or payment, avoid the exercise of the power of sale and reinstate the underlying obligation or debt, as of the date of the statement; (II) The amount in default; (III) the principal amount of the obligation or debt secured by the Deed of Trust; (IV) the amount of accrued interest and late charges; (V) a good faith estimate of all fees imposed in connection with the exercise of the power of sale; (VI) contact information for obtaining the most current amounts due and a local or toll free

TS#- 11-08-31196-NV APN#- 176-03-510-102

Page 2 of 3

telephone number where the obligor or borrower of the obligation or debt may call to receive the most current amounts due and a recitation of the information contained in this Affidavit.

- The borrower or obligor may utilize the following toll-free or local 10. telephone number to inquire about the default, obtain the most current amounts due, receive a recitation of the information contained in this Affidavit, and/or explore loss mitigation alternatives: (888) 480 2432.
- Pursuant to my personal review of the business records of the beneficiary, the successor in interest of the beneficiary, and/or the business records of the servicer of the obligation or debt secured by the Deed of Trust; and/or the records of the county recorder where the subject real property is located; and or the title guaranty or title insurance issued by a title insurer or title agent authorized to do business in the state of Nevada, the following is the (I) date, (II) recordation number (or other unique designation); and (III) assignee of each recorded assignment of the subject Deed of Trust:

Date:

07/29/11

Record Number:

201107290000895

Assignee:

BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP FKA Countrywide Home Loans Servicing LP

Assistant Secretary

Date:

03/20/13

Record Number:

201303200000887

Assignee:

Nationstar Mortgage, LLC

8-29-13 Dated: August 29, 2013 Signed By: Print Name: Jerrell Menyweather

STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF Den

On this 29 day of august 2013, personally appeared before Notary Public, me, in and for said County Jerrell Menywester known to me to be the persons described in and who executed the foregoing instrument in the capacity set forth therein, who acknowledged to me that he/she executed the same freely and voluntarily and for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.

Notary Public, State of Texas My Commission Expires April 13, 2016

TS#- 11-08-31196-NV APN#- 176-03-510-102 NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR SAID COUNTY AND STATE

Page 3 of 3

EXHIBIT 13

EXHIBIT 13

Robert Atkinson

Trom:

Georgia Brunson-Wright < GeoW@ClarkCountyNV.gov>

ent:

Thursday, October 04, 2012 11:47 AM

To:

Robert Atkinson

Cc:

Eugene Mendiola; Denise Gulia; Audrey Shaw; Cheryl Ertel; Debbie Boxton; Shirley

Millette

Subject:

RE: Transaction # 1481138 Grantee First 100 LLC

I spoke with the State of Nevada, Department of Taxation and they advised me that the Department would not accept the values stated for the property as they considered them nominal. After I relayed this to the Recorder's counsel, they stated that because of NRS 375.019 the recorder was required to follow the guidance given by the Department and cannot record these transfers with the values given.

If you still wish to record these documents, you could do so using the Assessor's current taxable value as the taxable value on the Declaration of Value form and calculate the tax based on that value. If you do not agree with that value, you could file for a refund and begin the appeal process after the documents are recorded.

If I can do anything else, please feel free to contact me directly.

Georgia Brunson-Wright CFE, ACCA
Auditor II, Office of the Clark County Recorder
500 South Grand Central Parkway
PO Box 551510
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1510
Office (702)455-1530 Fax (702)380-9691

From: Robert Atkinson [mailto:Robert@kupperlin.com]

Sent: Thursday, October 04, 2012 8:46 AM

To: Georgia Brunson-Wright

Subject: RE: Transaction # 1481138

OK. Please let me know if there's anything else you need.

Thank you,

-Robert

Robert Atkinson, Esq.

Attorney

© Office: (702) 614-0600

☐ Email: robert@kupperlin.com

雷 Fax: (702) 614-0647

pperlin Law Group, LLC

J65 South Eastern Ave Suite 350

Las Vegas, NV 89123

www.las-vegas-bankruptcy-lawyer.com

EXHIBIT A

DATE 5-1-15
WITNESS: 0-1 RANDOM
PAGE(S)
Kele R. Smith, CCR No. 672

<u>Motice</u>: If this email was sent to a client or prospective client, then it is a PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL communication.

rom: Georgia Brunson-Wright [mailto:GeoW@ClarkCountyNV.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, October 03, 2012 4:09 PM

To: Robert Atkinson

Subject: RE: Transaction # 1481138

Robert,

I apologize that this is taking so long, but I am doing some legwork for our counsel. Let me get back with you in the morning.

Leorgia

From: Robert Atkinson [mailto:Robert@kupperlin.com]

Sent: Wednesday, October 03, 2012 10:19 AM

To: Georgia Brunson-Wright

Subject: RE: Transaction # 1481138

Hello Georgia, I just left you a voice mail.

Any word back yet as to the RPTT amounts due?

-Robert

Robert Atkinson, Esq.

Attorney

盆 Fax: (702) 614-0647

Kupperlin Law Group, LLC 8965 South Eastern Ave Suite 350 Las Vegas, NV 89123 www.las-vegas-bankruptcy-lawyer.com

<u>Modice</u>: If this email was sent to a client or prospective client, then it is a PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL communication.

From: Georgia Brunson-Wright [mailto:GeoW@ClarkCountyNV.gov]

Sent: Monday, October 01, 2012 3:28 PM

To: Robert Atkinson

Subject: RE: Transaction # 1481138

Thank you Mr. Atkinson, I will forward these up the chain.

Georgia Brunson-Wright CFE, ACCA

'uditor II, Office of the Clark County Recorder

JOO South Grand Central Parkway

PO Box 551510

Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1510 Office (702)455-1530 Fax (702)380-9691

From: Robert Atkinson [mailto:Robert@kupperlin.com]

Sent: Monday, October 01, 2012 1:50 PM

To: Georgia Brunson-Wright **Subject:** Transaction # 1481138

Good afternoon Georgia,

Per our discussion this morning, please find attached the Receipts of Sale for these three properties.

From an RPTT perspective, these all ended up being nominal dollar sales. The opening bid from the HOA for all three was set at \$99.

Please let me know if there is anything else that you need from me.

Thank you,

-Robert

Robert Atkinson, Esq.

Attorney

d Office: (702) 614-0600

Email: <u>robert@kupperlin.com</u>

■ 5---- (702) 614-0647

2 Fax: (702) 614-0647

Kupperlin Law Group, LLC 8965 South Eastern Ave Suite 350 Las Vegas, NV 89123

Notice: If this email was sent to a client or prospective client, then it is a PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL communication.

Inst #: 20150210-0000871

Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

02/10/2015 09:30:14 AM Receipt #: 2309829

Requestor:

5 ARCH CODE COMPLIANCE LLC

Recorded By: DXI Pgs: 1
DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

APN: 179-09-415-008 LOAN NUMBER: 596862665 RECORDING REQUESTED BY: 5 ARCH CODE COMPLIANCE, LLC WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC c/o 5 Arch Code Compliance, LLC P.O. Box 7338 Newport Beach, CA 92658

218.PMD.JD.NV.RFN

REQUEST FOR NOTICE Under NRS Chapters 107 and 116

The undersigned is a person with an interest in the real property described herein, having an interest in that certain Deed of Trust, wherein the Trustor is MILINDA SMITH, An Unmarried Woman, the Trustee is PACIFIC TITLE, and the Beneficiary is Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., which was recorded as Instrument No. 20060316-0001676 on 3/16/2006 in the Official Records of Clark County, Nevada. Pursuant to NRS 116.31168, the name(s) of the property owner(s) is/are SMITH, MILINDA, and the name(s) of the common-interest community/ies is/are RIVER LANDING HOMEOWNERS ASSOC., INC... This Request for Notice relates to any liens recorded against the property.

Accordingly, the undersigned hereby requests that a copy of any notice of default and a copy of any notice of sale sent pursuant to NRS Chapters 107 or 116, including but not limited to NRS 107.090 and NRS 116.31168, is mailed to the address listed below.

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC

Address for Notices:

Patrick Duffy, Assistant Secretary DATED: January 6, 2015 NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC c/o 5 Arch Code Compliance, LLC P.O. Box 7338 Newport Beach, CA 92658

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA) COUNTY OF ORANGE)

On January 6, 2015, before me, Jessica Delano, Notary Public, personally appeared, Patrick Duffy, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same in his authorized capacity, and that by his signature on the instrument the person, or the entity upon behalf of which the person acted, executed the instrument. I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature of Notary

JESSICA DELANO
COMM. #2089037
Notary Public · California
Orange County
My Comm. Expires Nov. 7, 2018

COLLECTIONS FEES and COSTS SCHEDULE

CLARK COUNTY


	Amount	Type	Item	Statutory basis	
<u>.</u>	275	Fee	Notice of Sale	NAC 116.470(2)(f)	
Ş			Mailing fee (10 pieces)	NAC 116.470(2)(o)	
\$	20	Fee	Trustee's Sale Guarantee (addresses for NOS)	NAC 116.470(3)	
\$	250	Cost		NAC 116.470(3)	Relating to NOS
\$	90	Cost	Publication	NAC 116.470(3)	
\$	22	Cost	Recordation cost for NOS		
\$	88	Cost	Posting costs	NAC 116.470(3)	-
\$	55	Cost	USPS mailing costs (incl. certified mail)	NAC 116.470(3)	
Ś	125	Fee	Conduct foreclosure sale	NAC 116.470(2)(h)	
¢	125	Fee	Prepare and record transfer deeds	NAC 116.470(2)(i)	Relating to Sale
ç	150	Fee	Foreclosure fee	NAC 116.470(2)(t)	
2		Total	101001003112.12		
Ş	1,200	rotai			

Adjustments if paid off before auction (MUST PAY IN FULL)

	Amount	Type	ltem	Statutory basis
\$	(125)	Fee	Conduct foreclosure sale	Deduct from above
	(125)	Fee	Prepare and record transfer deeds	Deduct from above
\$ ¢	(150)	Fee	Foreclosure fee	Deduct from above
\$	150)	Fee	Payoff quote	NAC 116.470(2)(q)
\$	30	Fee	Release of Notice of Lien	NAC 116.470(2)(I)
\$		Cost	Recordation of Release of Notice	NAC 116.470(3)
\$	22		Notice of Rescission of Default	NAC 116.470(2)(m)
\$	30	Fee	Recordation of Notice of Rescission	NAC 116.470(3)
\$	22	Cost	Recordation of Notice of Reseasion	

Section 3

United Legal Services documents



1448 NSM000131 APN: 176-03-510-102 ULS#: NV-TU3-03

When recorded mail to: United Legal Services Inc. A Nevada Law Firm 9484 South Eastern Ave. #163 Las Vegas, NV 89123 Phone: (702) 617-3263

NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE SALE

UNDER THE LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS

WARNING! A SALE OF YOUR PROPERTY IS IMMINENT! UNLESS YOU PAY THE AMOUNT SPECIFIED IN THIS NOTICE BEFORE THE SALE DATE, YOU COULD LOSE YOUR HOME, EVEN IF THE AMOUNT IS IN DISPUTE. YOU MUST ACT BEFORE THE SALE DATE. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CALL UNITED LEGAL SERVICES INC. AT (702) 617-3263. IF YOU NEED ASSISTANCE, PLEASE CALL THE FORECLOSURE SECTION OF THE OMBUDSMAN'S OFFICE, NEVADA REAL ESTATE DIVISION, AT (877) 829-9907.

YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER THE LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS, notice of which was recorded on April 4, 2012 as instrument 201204040001017 in the Official Records of the Recorder of Clark County, Nevada ("Official Records"), by the Tuscano Homeowners Association. The Notice of Default and Election to Sell Pursuant to the Lien for Delinquent Assessments was recorded on May 29, 2012 as instrument 201205290001690 in the Official Records. The property owner(s) of record is/are: New Freedom Mortgage Corporation. The total amount necessary to satisfy the lien as of the proposed sale date is \$7,806.42.

UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT WILL BE SOLD AT PUBLIC SALE. United Legal Services Inc. ("ULS") has the collections file on this account. Any payments to satisfy the lien must be in cash, cashier's check, or wire transfer, and must be actually received by ULS prior to the sale. If payment in full is not received prior to the date/time below, the property will be auctioned. All auction sales are final and late payments will be returned. If you need an explanation of this notice or its contents, you should contact an attorney.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT on June 22, 2013 at 9:00 AM at 8965 S. Eastern Ave, Suite 350, Las Vegas, NV 89123, United Legal Services Inc., as duly authorized agent for sale pursuant to NRS 116, will sell at public auction to the highest bidder, for lawful money of the United States, all right, title, and interest in the property commonly known as: 7255 W Sunset Unit 2050, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113. Payment by the winning bidder must be made at the conclusion of the auction and in cash or a cashier's check drawn on a bank or credit union authorized to do business in the State of Nevada. The sale will be made without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding, but not limited to, title, possession, encumbrances, or obligations to satisfy any secured or unsecured liens.

Date: May 28, 2013

By: N

Mia Fregeau

An employee of United Legal Services Inc.

As authorized agent for, and on behalf of, Tuscano Homeowners Association

Inst#: 201305290000306

Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

05/29/2013 08:03:04 AM Receipt #: 1632393

Requestor:

UNITED LEGAL SERVICES INC.

Recorded By: DXI Pgs: 1

DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

APN: 176-03-510-102 ULS#: NV-TU3-03

When recorded mail to: United Legal Services Inc. A Nevada Law Firm 9484 South Eastern Ave. #163 Las Vegas, NV 89123 Phone: (702) 617-3263

NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE SALE UNDER THE LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS

WARNING! A SALE OF YOUR PROPERTY IS IMMINENT! UNLESS YOU PAY THE AMOUNT SPECIFIED IN THIS NOTICE BEFORE THE SALE DATE, YOU COULD LOSE YOUR HOME, EVEN IF THE AMOUNT IS IN DISPUTE. YOU MUST ACT BEFORE THE SALE DATE. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CALL UNITED LEGAL SERVICES INC. AT (702) 617-3263. IF YOU NEED ASSISTANCE, PLEASE CALL THE FORECLOSURE SECTION OF THE OMBUDSMAN'S OFFICE, NEVADA REAL ESTATE DIVISION, AT (877) 829-9907.

YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER THE LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS, notice of which was recorded on April 4, 2012 as instrument 201204040001017 in the Official Records of the Recorder of Clark County, Nevada ("Official Records"), by the Tuscano Homeowners Association. The Notice of Default and Election to Sell Pursuant to the Lien for Delinquent Assessments was recorded on May 29, 2012 as instrument 201205290001690 in the Official Records. The property owner(s) of record is/are: New Freedom Mortgage Corporation. The total amount necessary to satisfy the lien as of the proposed sale date is \$7,806.42.

UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT WILL BE SOLD AT PUBLIC SALE. United Legal Services Inc. ("ULS") has the collections file on this account. Any payments to satisfy the lien must be in cash, cashier's check, or wire transfer, and must be actually received by ULS prior to the sale. If payment in full is not received prior to the date/time below, the property will be auctioned. All auction sales are final and late payments will be returned. If you need an explanation of this notice or its contents, you should contact an attorney.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT on June 22, 2013 at 9:00 AM at 8965 S. Eastern Ave, Suite 350, Las Vegas, NV 89123, United Legal Services Inc., as duly authorized agent for sale pursuant to NRS 116, will sell at public auction to the highest bidder, for lawful money of the United States, all right, title, and interest in the property commonly known as: 7255 W Sunset Unit 2050, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113. Payment by the winning bidder must be made at the conclusion of the auction and in cash or a cashier's check drawn on a bank or credit union authorized to do business in the State of Nevada. The sale will be made without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding, but not limited to, title, possession, encumbrances, or obligations to satisfy any secured or unsecured liens.

Date: May 28, 2013

By: Mia Fregeau

An employee of United Legal Services Inc.

As authorized agent for, and on behalf of, Tuscano Homeowners Association

NOTICE TO TENANTS OF THE PROPERTY

Foreclosure proceedings against this property have started, and a notice of sale of the property to the highest bidder has been issued.

You may either: (1) terminate your lease or rental agreement and move out; or (2) remain and possibly be subject to eviction proceedings under chapter 40 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Any subtenants may also be subject to eviction proceedings.

Between now and the date of the sale, you may be evicted if you fail to pay rent or live up to your other obligations to the landlord.

After the date of the sale, you may be evicted if you fail to pay rent or live up to your other obligations to the successful bidder, in accordance with chapter 118A of the Nevada Revised Statutes.

Under the Nevada Revised Statutes, eviction proceedings may begin against you after you have been given a notice to quit.

If the property is sold and you pay rent by the week or another period of time that is shorter than 1 month, you should generally receive notice after not less than the number of days in that period of time.

If the property is sold and you pay rent by the month or any other period of time that is 1 month or longer, you should generally receive notice at least 60 days in advance.

Under Nevada Revised Statutes 40.280, notice must generally be served on you pursuant to chapter 40 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and may be served by:

- (1) Delivering a copy to you personally in the presence of a witness;
- (2) If you are absent from your place of residence or usual place of business, leaving a copy with a person of suitable age and discretion at either place and mailing a copy to you at your place of residence or business; or
- (3) If your place of residence or business cannot be ascertained, or a person of suitable age or discretion cannot be found there, posting a copy in a conspicuous place on the leased property, delivering a copy to a person residing there, if a person can be found, and mailing a copy to you at the place where the leased property is.

If the property is sold and a landlord, successful bidder or subsequent purchaser files an eviction action against you in court, you will be served with a summons and complaint and have the opportunity to respond. Eviction actions may result in temporary evictions, permanent evictions, the awarding of damages pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes 40.360 or some combination of those results.

Under the Justice Court Rules of Civil Procedure:

- (1) You will be given at least 10 days to answer a summons and complaint;
- (2) If you do not file an answer, an order evicting you by default may be obtained against you;
- (3) A hearing regarding a temporary eviction may be called as soon as 11 days after you are served with the summons and complaint; and
- (4) A hearing regarding a permanent eviction may be called as soon as 20 days after you are served with the summons and complaint.

4 1010	(Domestic Mail O	Service MAIL RECEIPT Inly; No Insurance Coverage Provided) Ition visit our website at www.usps.com CALUSE
<u>L534</u>	Postage	\$
	Certified Fee	Postmark
1000	Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	(3)
	Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	(# (10) S S
2270	Total Postage & Fees	\$
凹	Sent To	OWNER OR OCCUPANT
7075	Street, Apt. No.; or PO Box No.	7255 W SUNSET RD APT 2050 _AS VEGAS NV 89113-1911
	PS Form 3800. August 2	2006 See Reverse for instructions





UNITED LEGAL SERVICES INC. 9484 SOUTH EASTERN AVE #163 LAS VEGAS, NV 89123 ումիկուրհարկիրդիկումիկումիրդիկումիրումի THE COOPER CASTLE LAW FIRM 820 S. VALLEY VIEW BLVD LAS VEGAS NV 89107-4411 FORWARD 1 891 NTE 1 5121 00 05/29/13 THE COOPER CASTLE LAW FERN 5275 S DURANGO OR LAS VEGAS NY 89113-0159

891.23 103 987

The state of the s

SO.460 ETS POSTAGE FOR BRIDGE FOR BOTTO BO

UNITED LEGAL SERVICES INC. 9484 SOUTH EASTERN AVE #163 LAS VEGAS, NV 89123 NIXIE 891 5E 1040 0006/27/13

RETURN TO SENDER YACANT

大路庙作品面8

1455 NSM000138 SO.460 INTERPLET PROSTAGE FOR FROM 89123 PM ST 28 2013 PM ST 29 2013 PM ST 28 2013 PM ST 29 2013 PM

UNITED LEGAL SERVICES INC. 9484 SOUTH EASTERN AVE #163 LAS VEGAS, NV 89123 իկվակիկկարևկիկիսիկիուիկիուիկիուկիկիրը NEW FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION 2363 S FOOTHILL DR SALT LAKE CITY UT 84109-1458 NIXIE 841 5E 1 DD 05/ RETURN TO SENDER WANDLE TO FORMARD

89123 63987

8C: 892233398784 *0779-03344-28-39

1456 NSM000139

stamps Applite of the thirth and the three of three of the three of three of the three of three of three of three of the three of the three of the three of רטום פבזים ססט בפפא נוסים OWNER OR OCCUPANT 7255 W SUNSET RD APT 2050 AS VEGAS NV 89113-1911 SEA TO TO THOU UNITED LEGAL SERVICES INC. 9484 SOUTH EASTERN AVE #163 LAS VEGAS, NV 89123 **据证正"张明和两张**随 AT TEMPTED

☐ Agent ☐ Addressee 102595-02-M-1540 C. Date of Delivery ☐ Express Mail ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes if YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No □ Yes 1010 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) L534 B. Received by (Printed Name) 3. Service Type

Type Certified Mail

Registered

Insured Mail עסטם סובים בעוסט A. Signature Domestic Return Receipt OWNER OR OCCUPANT 7255 W SUNSET RD APT 2050 Attach this card to the back of the mallpiece, Item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.

■ Print your name and address on the reverse LAS VEGAS NV 89113-1911*** ■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete so that we can return the card to you. SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION or on the front if space permits. 2. Article Number: (Irahster from service label) PS Form 3811, February 2004 1. Article Addressed to: ÷ ;

11.15

* . . .

II, US POSTAGE FIRST-CLASS FROM 89123 MAY 28 2013 stamps RETURN TO SENDER REFUSED UNABLE TO FORWAR BAT DE 1940 BC: 89423398784 NEW FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION 2363 S FOOTHILL DR 7012 2210 0001 6534 1003 100 CB C2 T60 **SALT LAKE CITY UT 84109-1458** UNITED LEGAL SERVICES INC. 9484 SOUTH EASTERN AVE #163 LAS VEGAS, NV 89123

ELIVERY	☐ Agent ☐ Addressee	C. Date of Delivery	em 12. 🗀 Yes. 💮 🗍 ow: 🗀 No		Express Mall:		m	
COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	A. Signature X	B. Received by (Printed Name)	D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ 'Yes. If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No			2	2210 0001 6534 1003	atic Return Receipt
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Printinger name and address on the reverse	 Attack his card to the back of the malipiece, Of one from from if space permits. 	1, Artiole) রিবাessed to:	NEW EREDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION	SALT LAKE CITY UT 84109-1458		22 2	
							The second of th	The second secon

	Fee Charge If Registered Value Insured Due Sender DC SC SH Charge If Registered Value If COD Fee Fee Fee		U.S. POSTAGE PAID HENDBESON.NV 89052 MAY 28, 13	-	office selfs.	CULLINGUE OF THE PROPERTY OF A STATE OF A ST	N WSOVAIL	PI)	See Privacy Act Statement on Reverse
Check type of mail of service: Certified CoD Delivery Confirmation Signature Confirmation Insured Insured	Addressee (Name, Street, City, State, & ZIP Code) NEW FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION 2363-S-POOTHILL-DRSALT_LAKE CITY UT-84109-1458.	OWNER OR OCCUPANT 7255 W SUNSET RD APT 2050 LAS VEGAS NV 89113-1911 OFFICE OF THE OMBUDSMAN	ATTN: ANNE MOOKE LAS VEGAS REAL BSTATE DIVISION	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. 1757-FAPO CANYON ROAD SUITE 300 SIMI VALLEY CA 93063-3390	NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC 350 HIGHLAND DRIVE LEWISYILLE TX 75067-4177	THE COOPER-CASTLE-LAW-FIRM820-SVALLEY-VIEW-BLVDLAS VEGAS NV 89107-4411			Postmaster, Per (Name of receiving employee)
UNITED LEGAL SERVICES INC. 9484 SOUTH EASTERN AVE #163 LAS VEGAS, NV 89123	Article Number 1.	2.		4.	5.	6.	7.	ဖ ွဲ	Total Number of Pieces Listed by Sender 6 Received at Post Office

1461 NSM000144

AFFIDAVIT OF POSTING

STATE C	F N	EVADA)	
)	SS:
COUNTY	ΟF	CLARK)	

Mia Fregeau, being duly sworn, says: That at all times herein affiant was and is over 18 years of age. That on <u>May 29, 2013</u>, affiant posted a copy of the below listed documents:

- NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE SALE UNDER THE LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS Regarding 7255 W. Sunset Rd Unit 1173, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113
- NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE SALE UNDER THE LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS Regarding 7255 W. Sunset Rd Unit 2018, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113
- NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE SALE UNDER THE LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS Regarding 7255 W. Sunset Rd Unit 2050, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

In each the following locations:

- The public board located near the elevators on the first floor of the Regional Justice Center, 200 Lewis Ave., Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
- The public board located in the Clerk of Court's office for the Eighth Judicial District, located on the third floor of the Regional Justice Center, 200 Lewis Ave., Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
- The public board located on the first floor of the Grant Sawyer Building, 555 East Washington Ave., Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

MIA FREGEAU

SIGNED and SWORN to before me on 29th day of May, 2013, by Mia Fregeau

ARY PUBLIC

CRYSTAL BENNETT
Notary Public-State of Nevada
APPT. NO. 12-8606-1
My App. Expires August 07, 2016

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE OF NEVADA)

SS:
COUNTY OF CLARK)

Mia Fregeau, being duly sworn, says: That at all times herein affiant was and is over 18 years of age. That on May 29, 2013, affiant served the below listed documents at the addresses and in the manner stated:

7255 W. Sunset Rd Unit 1173, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

- NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE SALE UNDER THE LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS
- NOTICE TO TENANTS OF THE PROPERTY

by posting the Notices conspicuously on the front door of the residence.

7255 W. Sunset Rd Unit 2018, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

- NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE SALE UNDER THE LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS
- NOTICE TO TENANTS OF THE PROPERTY

by posting the Notices conspicuously on the front door of the residence.

7255 W. Sunset Rd Unit 2050, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

- NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE SALE UNDER THE LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS
- NOTICE TO TENANTS OF THE PROPERTY


by posting the Notices conspicuously on the front door of the residence.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the law. of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct

SIGNED and SWORN to before me on 29th day of May 2013, by Mia Fregeau

ARY PUBLIC

CRYSTAL BENNETT
Notary Public-State of Nevada
APPT. NO. 12-8606-1
My App. Expires August 07, 2016



NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE SALE UNDER THE LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS

WARNINGI A SALE OF YOUR PROPERTY IS IMMINENTI UNLESS YOU PAY THE AMOUNT SPECIFIED IN THIS NOTICE BEFORE THE SALE DATE, YOU COULD LOSE YOUR HOME, EVEN IF THE AMOUNT IS IN DISPUTE. YOU MUST ACT BEFORE THE SALE DATE, IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CALL UNITED LEGAL SERVICES INC. AT (702) 617-3263. IF YOU NEED ASSISTANCE, PLEASE CALL THE RORECLOSURE SECTION OF THE OMBUDSMAN'S OFFICE, NEVADA REAL ESTATE DIVISION, AT (877) 829-9907.

YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER THE LIEN FOR DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS, notice of which was recorded on April 4, 2012 as instrument 201204040001017 in the Official Records of the Recorder of Clark County, Nevada ('Official Records'), by the Tuscano Homeowners Association. The Notice of Default and Election to Sell Pursuant to the Lien for Delinquent Assessments was recorded on May 29, 2012 as instrument 201205290001690 in the Official Records. The property owner(s) of record Is/are; New Freedom Mortgage Corporation. The total amount necessary to satisfy the Iten as of the proposed sale date is \$7,808.42.

UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT WILL BE SOLD AT PUBLIC SALE. United Legal Services Inc. ("ULS") has the collections file on this account. Any payments to satisfy the fien must be in cash, cashler's check, or wire transfer, and must be actually received by ULS prior to the sale. If payment in full is not received prior to the date/time below, the property will be auctioned. All auction sales are final and late payments will be returned. If you need an explanation of this notice or its contents, you should contact an attorney.

APN: 176-03-510-102 ULS#: NV-TU3-03

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT on June 22, 2013 at 9:00 AM at 8965 S. Eastern Ave, Suite 350, Las Vegas, NV 89123, United Legal Services Inc., as duly authorized egent for sale pursuant to NRS 116, will sell at public auction to the highest bidder, for lawful money of the United States, all right, title, and interest in the property commonly known as: 7255 W Sunset Unit 2050, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113. Payment by the winning bidder must be made at the conclusion of the auction and in cash or a cashier's check drawn on a bank or credit union authorized to do business in the State of Nevada. The sale will be made without coverant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding, but not limited to, title, possession, encumbrances, or obligations to satisfy any secured or unsecured liens.

Date: May 28, 2013

By: Mia Fregeau An employee of United Legal Services Inc. As authorized agent for, and on behalf of, Tuscano Homeowners Association

> PUBLISHED 05/31/2013, 06/07/2013 & 08/14/2013

CLARK COUNTY LEGAL NEWS CLARK & NYE COUNTY, NEVADA CCLN FILE 130531c.wps

Affidavit of Publication

This is to confirm that, on the aforementioned dates, the attached Legal Notice was published in the Clark County Legal News newspaper, a newspaper of general and subscription circulation in both Clark County, Nevada and Nye County, Nevada.

Per NRS 238.030, the Clark County Legal News newspaper is printed and published in whole or in part in both Clark County and Nye County, Nevada.

WITNESS my hand on this

06-14-13

MIRANDA DONOVAN, legal notice director,

Clark County Legal News newspaper

STATE OF NEVADA

COUNTY OF CLARK


On <u>June 14</u>, <u>20(3</u>, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared;

Miranda Donovan,

personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged to me that it was executed by said person.

WITNESS my hand and official seal:

Notary Public In and for said State



RECEIPT OF SALE

United Legal Services Inc.

(702) 617-3263

PROPERTY INFOR	INFORMATION:					
APN	PROPERTY STREET ADDRESS					
176-03-510-102	7255 W SUNSET RD UNIT 2050, LAS VEGAS NV 89113					
SALE INFORMATION	DN:					
SALE DATE	WINNING BID AMOUNT (\$):					
6/22/13	\$7,800					
BUYER INFORMAT	ION:					
BUYER (OR REPRES	SENTATIVE'S) NAME CONTACT INFORMATION					
Kenneth Berberich	P.O. Box 530541					
	Henderson NV 89053					
VESTING -						
RECORD TITLE V	Vest Sunset 2050 Trust					
AS SHOWN		·				
PAYMENT INFORM	YMENT INFORMATION:					
AMOUNT	DRAWN ON (or WIRE FROM) DATE RECEIVED by AGENT	INITIALS				
Ø.	(1)	5-				
\$7,800	Ch:150.14 6/24/13	720				

CERTIFICATION OF AGENT:	
I hereby certify that the information above is accurate. Signature:	_

All sales of property are on any "as is" basis, with no warranties, express or implied.

APN: 176-03-510-102

Return document and mail tax statements to:

West Sunset 2050 Trust P.O. Box 530541 Henderson NV 89053

FORECLOSURE DEED UPON SALE

Foreclosing lienholder TUSCANO HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, under power of sale pursuant to NRS Chapter 116, does hereby sell, without warranty, expressed or implied, to:

WEST SUNSET 2050 TRUST

the real property situated in Clark County, Nevada legally described as:

SEE EXHIBIT A ATTACHED FOR LEGAL DESCRIPTION

and commonly known as 7255 W SUNSET RD UNIT 2050, LAS VEGAS NV 89113.

This conveyance is made pursuant to the powers conferred upon Agent by NRS Chapter 116, the foreclosing Association's governing documents (CC&R's), and the notice of the Lien for Delinquent Assessments, recorded on April 4, 2012 as instrument 201204040001017 in the Official Records of the Recorder of Clark County, Nevada. Default occurred as set forth in the Notice of Default and Election to Sell, recorded on May 29, 2012 as instrument 201205290001690 in the Official Records of the Recorder of Clark County, Nevada. All requirements of law have been complied with, including, but not limited to, the elapsing of the 90 days, the mailing of copies of the notice of Lien of Delinquent Assessment, and Notice of Default, and the mailing, posting, and publication of the Notice of Foreclosure Sale. Agent, in compliance with the Notice of Foreclosure Sale and in exercise of its power under NRS § 116.31164, sold the property at public auction on June 22, 2013.

By:	Robert Opdyke, Esq.
•	United Legal Services Inc.
	As authorized agent for, and on behalf of, foreclosing Association
STAT	E OF NEVADA)
COU	NTY OF CLARK)
This in	nstrument was acknowledged before me
on Jun	ne, 2013, by: Robert Opdyke.
	NOTARY PUBLIC

EXHIBIT A

All that certain real property situated in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, described as follows:

PARCEL ONE (1) - UNITS:

UNIT 2050 IN BUILDING 7 AS SHOWN ON THE FINAL PLAT OF TUSCANO CONDOMINIUMS, A CONDOMINIUM COMMUNITY, RECORDED JANUARY 31, 2005, IN BOOK 122, PAGE 11 OF PLATS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA (THE "PLAT"), AND

PARCEL TWO (2) - COMMON ELEMENTS:

1/352 INTEREST AS A TENANT-IN-COMMON IN THE COMMON ELEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AND SUBJECT TO THE TERMS OF THE DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, CONDITIONS, AND RESTRICTIONS FOR TUSCANO TOWNHOMES RECORDED APRIL 5, 2005 IN BOOK 20050405 AS DOCUMENT NO. 0002422 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA (THE "DECLARATION").

EXCEPTING THEREFROM, ALL UNITS SHOWN ON THE PLAT.

RESERVING THEREFROM, THE RIGHT TO USE ANY OF THOSE AREAS DESIGNATED AS LIMITED COMMON ELEMENTS IN THE PLAT AND/OR THE DECLARATION.

FURTHER RESERVING THEREFROM, FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE OWNERS OF ALL UNITS SHOWN ON THE PLAT (EXCEPT THE UNIT REFERRED TO IN PARCEL 1 ABOVE) NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENTS FOR ACCESS, INGRESS, EGRESS USE, ENJOYMENT AND OTHER PURPOSES ON, OVER AND ACROSS THE COMMON ELEMENTS, AS DEFINED IN AND SUBJECT TO THE DECLARATION.

PARCEL THREE (3) - APPURTENANT EASEMENTS:

NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENTS FOR ACCESS, INGRESS, EGRESS USE, ENJOYMENT AND OTHER PURPOSES ON, OVER AND ACROSS THE COMMON ELEMENTS AS DEFINED IN AND SUBJECT TO THE DECLARATION, WHICH EASEMENTS ARE APPURTENANT TO PARCELS 1,2 ABOVE.

STATE OF NEVADA DECLARATION OF VALUE

FOR RECORDERS OPTIONAL USE ONLY
BookPage:
Date of Recording:
Notes:
\$
perty ()
\$
\$
Section
penalty of perjury, pursuant to NRS 375.060 correct to the best of their information and belief, on to substantiate the information provided herein. ny claimed exemption, or other determination of the tax due plus interest at 1% per month. Pursuant y and severally liable for any additional amount owed.
Capacity:
Capacity:
BUYER (GRANTEE) INFORMATION
(REQUIRED)
Print Name: West Sunset 2050 Trust
Address: P.O. Box 530541
City: Henderson
State: NV Zip: 89053
ING (Required if not seller or buyer)
Escrow #
State: Zip:

AS A PUBLIC RECORD THIS FORM MAY BE RECORDED/MICROFILMED