In the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada

DESIRE EVANS-WAIAU, individually; GUADALUPE PARRA-MENDEZ, individually,

Appellants,

vs.

BABYLYN TATE, individually,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed
May 03 2022 11:25 a.m.
Case No. 7942 izabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

APPELLANTS DESIRE EVANS-WAIAU AND GUADALUPE PARRA-MENDEZ'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRAP 31(e), the following supplemental authority is relevant and pertinent to the legal issues addressed in Appellants Desire Evans-Waiau and Guadalupe Parra-Mendez's ("Appellants") Petition for Review, filed on August 9, 2021.

Rives v. Farris, No. 80271, No. 81052, 138 Nev. Adv. Rep. 17, 2022 Nev. LEXIS 17 (Mar. 31, 2022).

Appellants supplement pages 8 through 12 of their Petition for Review. Appellants cite *Rives* to confirm this Court's recent holding that a motion for new trial is not required to seek a new trial on appeal so long as

the aggrieved party properly preserved the issues or alleged errors committed by the trial court for appeal:

The plain language of our jurisdictional rules confirms that appellants are not required to file a motion for a new trial in district court to preserve their ability to request a new trial on appeal.

. . .

[T]he plain language of our jurisdictional rule and the preserved error rule make it clear that a party is not required to file a motion for a new trial to preserve the party's ability to request such a remedy on appeal for harmful error to which the party objected.

. . .

. . .

. . .

. .

. . .

. . .

. . .

. . .

. . .

. . .

. . .

. . .

Taken together, these authorities make clear that a party need not file a motion for a new trial to raise a preserved issue on appeal or request a new trial as a remedy for alleged errors below. Such a holding is consistent with both the federal approach and our past decisions considering a preserved error without the appellant having moved for a new trial below.

Rives, 138 Nev. Adv. Rep. 17, at *2, 7-8.

DATED this 3rd day of May, 2022.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Kevin T. Strong

DENNIS M. PRINCE

Nevada Bar No. 5092

KEVIN T. STRONG

Nevada Bar No. 12107

PRINCE LAW GROUP

10801 W. Charleston Boulevard

Suite 560

Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

Tel: (702) 534-7600

Fax: (702) 534-7601

Attorneys for Appellants

 $Desire\ Evans\text{-}Waiau\ and\ Guadalupe$

Parra-Mendez

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this document was filed electronically with the Supreme Court of Nevada on the <u>3rd</u> day of May, 2022. Electronic service of the foregoing document entitled <u>APPELLANTS DESIRE</u>

EVANS-WAIAU AND GUADALUPE PARRA-MENDEZ'S NOTICE OF

SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

Daniel F. Polsenberg Joel D. Henriod Adrienne R. Brantley-Lomeli **LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP** 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

-AND-

Thomas E. Winner Caitlin J. Lorelli WINNER & SHERROD 1117 South Rancho Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Tel. (702) 243-7000 Fax: (702) 243-7059 Attorneys for Respondent Babylyn Tate

/s/ Kevin T. Strong
An Employee of PRINCE LAW GROUP