IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SCOTT VINH DUONG, M.D.; ANNIE LYNN PENACO DUONG, M.D.; AND DUONG ANESTHESIA, PLLC, Appellants, vs.

FIELDEN HANSON ISAACS MIYADA ROBISON YEH, LTD.,

Respondent.

No. 79460 FILED JUN 08 2020 ELIZADETHA. BROWN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Extraordinary and compelling circumstances having been shown, appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 26(b)(1)(B). Appellant shall have until July 9, 2020, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary and compelling circumstances. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering, C.J.

20-21422

Howard & Howard Attorneys PLLC Dickinson Wright PLLC

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

cc: