IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Case Nos. 79425 and 79526

ATHANASIOS SKARPELOS, AN INDIVIDU Electronically Filed Jul 01 2020 02:37 p.m. Appellants, Elizabeth A. Brown **Clerk of Supreme Court**

v.

WEISER ASSET MANAGEMENT, LTD., A BAHAMAS COMPANY AND WEISER (BAHAMAS) LTD., A BAHAMAS COMPANY,

Respondents.

WEISER ASSET MANAGEMENT, LTD., A BAHAMAS COMPANY AND WEISER (BAHAMAS) LTD., A BAHAMAS COMPANY

Appellants,

v.

ATHANASIOS SKARPELOS, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Respondent.

Appeal from the Judgment of the Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County District Court Case No.: CV15-02259 Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada In and For the County of Washoe

JOINT APPENDIX **VOLUME 7**

WOODBURN AND WEDGE John F. Murtha, Esq. [SBN 85] Dane W. Anderson, Esq. [SBN 6883] Seth J. Adams [SBN 11034] 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500 Reno, NV 89511 Tel: 775-688-3000/Fax: 775-688-3088 jmurtha@woodburnandwedge.com danderson@woodburnandwedge.com sadams@woodburnandwedge.com Attorneys for Appellant/Respondent Athanasios Skarpelos

HOLLAND & HART, LLP Jeremy J. Nork, Esq. [SBN4017] Frank Z. LaForge, Esq. [SBN 12246] 5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor Reno, NV 89511 Tel: 775-327-3000/775-786-6179 inork@hollandandhart.com Izforge@hollandandhart.com Attorneys for Respondents/Appellants Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., A Bahamas Company and Weiser (Bahamas) Ltd., A Bahamas Company

Document Title (Chronological)	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Complaint	11/18/2015	1	JA0001- JA0012
Acceptance of Service (Murtha)	1/28/2016	1	JA0013- JA0015
Acceptance of Service (Nork)	1/28/2016	1	JA0016- JA0018
Answer to Complaint and Cross-Claim (Defendant Cross-Claimant Skarpelos)	2/18/2016	1	JA0019- JA0029
Amended Complaint	4/29/2016	1	JA0030- JA0042
Consent to File Amended Complaint	4/29/2016	1	JA0043- JA0045
Answer to Amended Complaint and Cross-Claim (By Defendant Skarpelos)	5/23/2016	1	JA0046- JA0057
Weiser's Answer and Cross Claim	5/24/2016	1	JA0058- JA0070
Weiser's Answer to Skarpelos' Cross- Claim	6/15/2016	1	JA0071- JA0074
Skarpelos' Answer to Weiser's Cross- Claim	6/17/2016	1	JA0075- JA0081
Joint Case Management Report	8/23/2016	1	JA0082- JA0095

Document Title (Chronological)	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Pretrial Order	3/31/2017	1	JA0096- JA0105
Motion to Compel	7/28/2017	1	JA0106- JA0133
Weiser's Opposition to Motion to Compel	8/14/2017	1	JA0134- JA0137
Reply in Support of Motion to Compel	8/21/2017	1	JA0138- JA0144
Recommendation for Order	10/31/2017	1	JA0145- JA0157
Confirming Order	11/17/2017	1	JA0158- JA0159
Athanasios Skarpelos' Motion for Summary Judgment	3/12/2018	1; 2	JA0160- 210; JA0211- JA0248
Affidavit of John Murtha in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment	3/12/2018	2	JA0249- JA0253
Affidavit of Athanasios Skarpelos in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment	3/12/2018	2	JA0254- JA0277
Athanasios Skarpelos' Motion in Limine	3/21/2018	2	JA0278- JA0348
Affidavit of John F. Murtha In Support of Motion in Limine	3/21/2018	2	JA0349- JA0352

Document Title (Chronological)	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Weiser's Opposition to Skarpelos' Motion in Limine	4/12/2018	2; 3	JA0353- JA0420; JA0421- 0465
Weiser's Opposition to Skarpelos' Motion for Summary Judgment	4/12/2018	3	JA0466- JA0583
Athanasios Skarpelos' Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment	4/27/2018	3	JA0584- JA0596
Affidavit of John F. Murtha In Support of Skarpelos' Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment	4/27/2018	3	JA0597- JA0602
Athanasios Skarpelos' Reply in Support of Motion in Limine	4/27/2018	3	JA0603- JA0607
Order Denying Athanasios Skarpelos' Motion for Summary Judgment	6/21/2018	3	JA0608- JA0615
Order Denying Skarpelos' Motion in Limine	6/29/2018	3	JA0616- JA0622
Defendant Cross-Claimant Athanasios Skarpelos' Pretrial Disclosures	12/21/2018	3	JA0623- JA0626
Defendant Cross-Claimants Weiser's Pretrial Disclosures	12/31/2018	3	JA0627- JA0629
Skarpelos' Objections to Weiser's Pretrial Disclosures	1/11/2019	4	JA0630- JA0635
Defendants Cross-Claimants Weser's Trial Statement	1/23/2019	4	JA0636- JA0658

Document Title (Chronological)	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Defendant Cross-Claimant Athanasios Skarpelos' Trial Statement	1/23/2019	4	JA0659- JA0713
Order Granting Motion for Discharge	1/23/2019	4	JA0714- JA0716
Deposition of Christos Livadas Dated 10/23/2018	1/28/2019	4; 5; 6	JA0717- JA0840; JA841- 1050; JA1051- JA1134
Trial Exhibit 1, Anavex Life Sciences Corp. Share Certificate 0753 for 6,633,332 shares (WEISER000281)	1/28/2019	6	JA1135- JA1136
Trial Exhibit 2, WAM New Account Opening Form (WEISER000352-361)	1/28/2019	6	JA1137- JA1147
Trial Exhibit 3, Letter dated October 30, 2015 from Montello Law Firm to NATCO (WEISER000002- WEISER000003)	1/28/2019	6	JA1148- JA1150
Trial Exhibit 7, 05/30/2011 Email between Athanasios Skarpelos and Howard Daniels re Courier Address for WAM, Ltd. (S000006)	1/28/2019	6	JA1151- JA1152
Trial Exhibit 8, 05/31/2011 Skarpelos Identify Verification Form with Supporting Documents (WEISER000362- WEISER00367)	1/28/2019	6	JA1153- JA1159

Document Title (Chronological)	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Trial Exhibit 13, 1/10/2013 Corporate Indemnity to Nevada Agency and Transfer Company to Reissuance of Lost Certificate (S000007)	1/28/2019	6	JA1160- JA1161
Trial Exhibit 14, 3/28/2013 Athanasios Skarpelos Affidavit for Lost Stock Certificate (S000008-S000009)	1/28/2019	6	JA1162- JA1164
Trial Exhibit 15, 3/29/2013 Athanasios Skarpelos Stop Transfer Order (S000010)	1/28/2019	6	JA1165- JA1166
Trial Exhibit 16, 4/4/2013 NATCO Transfer (S000011)	1/28/2019	6	JA1167- JA1168
Trial Exhibit 20, 5/24/2013 email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (WEISER000340)	1/28/2019	6	JA1169- JA1170
Trial Exhibit 21, 06/24/2013 Email Christos Livadas Lambros to Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com (S000012)	1/28/2019	6	JA1171- JA1172
Trial Exhibit 22, 06/24/2013 Email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (S000013)	1/28/2019	6	JA1173- JA1174
Trial Exhibit 23, 06/24/2013 Email Christos Livadas Lambros to Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com (S000014)	1/28/2019	6	JA1175- JA1176

Document Title (Chronological)	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Trial Exhibit 24, 06/24/2013 Email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (S000015)	1/28/2019	6	JA1177- JA1178
Trial Exhibit 25, 06/24/2013 Email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (WEISER000333-000337)	1/28/2019	6	JA1179- JA1184
Trial Exhibit 26, 06/25/2013 Email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (S000016)	1/28/2019	6	JA1185- JA1186
Trial Exhibit 27, 07/02/2013 Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (S000017)	1/28/2019	6	JA1187- JA1188
Trial Exhibit 28, 07/02/2013 Christos Livadas Lambros to Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com (S000018)	1/28/2019	6	JA1189- JA1190
Trial Exhibit 29, 07/03/2013 Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (S000019)	1/28/2019	6	JA1191- JA1192
Trial Exhibit 30, 07/05/2013 Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement between Weiser and Skarpelos (WEISER000207- WEISER000209)	1/28/2019	6	JA1193- JA1196

Document Title (Chronological)	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Trial Exhibit 31, 07/09/2013 Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos (S000020)	1/28/2019	6	JA1197- JA1198
Trial Exhibit 32, 07/09/2013 Blank Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement signed by Skarpelos (WEISER000161- WEISER000163)	1/28/2019	6	JA1199- JA1202
Trial Exhibit 33, 7/09/2013 Email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (WEISER000328-WEISER000332)	1/28/2019	6	JA1203- JA1208
Trial Exhibit 34, Blank Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement (WEISER000156- WEISER000158)	1/28/2019	6	JA1209- JA1212
Trial Exhibit 35, 07/12/2013 Power of Attorney to Transfer Bonds or Shares (WEISER000368)	1/28/2019	6	JA1213- JA1214
Trial Exhibit 36, 07/12/2013 Power of Attorney to Transfer Bonds or Shares (WEISER000369)	1/28/2019	6	JA1215- JA1216
Trial Exhibit 40, 10/28/2013 Email Tom Skarpelos and Christos Livadas (WEISER000339)	1/28/2019	6	JA1217- JA1218

Document Title (Chronological)	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Trial Exhibit 43, 12/31/2013 Weiser Skarpelos Statement of Account for February 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013 (WEISER000378-WEISER000380)	1/28/2019	6	JA1219- JA1222
Trial Exhibit 44, Duplicate copy of 12/31/2013 Weiser Skarpelos Statement of Account for February 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013 (WEISER000378- WEISER000380)	1/28/2019	6	JA1223- JA1226
Trial Exhibit 46, 11/02/2015 Letter Ernest A. Alvarez to Nevada Agency and Transfer Company Weiser Asset Management Ltd. (WEISER000004)	1/28/2019	6	JA1227- JA1228
Trial Exhibit 47, 11/03/2015 Letter Alexander H. Walker III to Ernest A. Alvarez (WEISER000001)	1/28/2019	6	JA1229- JA1230
Trial Exhibit 48, 11/12/2015 Letter Elias Soursos, Weiser Asset Management Ltd. to NATCO (WEISER000011)	1/28/2019	6	JA1231- JA1232
Trial Exhibit 49, 11/12/2015 Letter Bernard Pinsky to Nevada Agency and Transfer Company (WEISER000007- WEISER000008)	1/28/2019	6	JA1233- JA1235
Trial Exhibit 50, 11/12/2015 Email Christos Livadas to Nick Boutasalis (WEISER 000214-WEISER000215)	1/28/2019	6	JA1236- JA1238

Document Title (Chronological)	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Trial Exhibit 51, 11/13/2015 Letter Ernesto A. Alvarez to Alexander Walker III, Esq. (WEISER000009)	1/28/2019	6	JA1239- JA1240
Trial Exhibit 52, 11/13/2015 Letter Ernesto A. Alvarez to Nevada Agency and Transfer Company (WEISER000005)	1/28/2019	6	JA1241- JA1242
Trial Exhibit 53, 11/13/2015 email Alexander H. Walker III to Ernesto A. Alvarez cc Amanda Cardinelli (WEISER000187-WEISER000189)	1/28/2019	6	JA1243- JA1246
Trial Exhibit 54, 11/13/2015 Letter Nick Boutsalis to NATCO (PID-00045-PID- 00048)	1/28/2019	6	JA1247- JA1251
Trial Exhibit 55, 11/16/2015 letter to Ernesto A. Alvarez to Alexander Walker III, Esq., (WEISER000012)	1/28/2019	6	JA1252- JA1253
Trial Exhibit 56, 11/17/2015 email Bill Simonitsch to Louis R. Montello cc Ernesto Alvarez (WEISER000238)	1/28/2019	6	JA1254- JA1255
Trial Exhibit 57, 11/18/2015 email Bill Simonitsch and Ernesto A. Alvarez (WEISER000216-WEISER000217)	1/28/2019	6	JA1256- JA1258
Trial Exhibit 58, 11/19/2015 Email bill Simonitsch and Ernesto A. Alvarez cc Louis Montello (WEISER000218- WEISER000219)	1/28/2019	7	JA1259- JA1261

Document Title (Chronological)	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Trial Exhibit 59, 11/19/2015 Email Christos Livadas re Tom Transfer request (WEISER000320-WEISER000322)	1/28/2019	7	JA1262- JA1265
Trial Exhibit 60, 11/19/2015 email Christos Livadas re Skarpelos Email flow 2011-2013 (WEISER000341- WEISER000343)	1/28/2019	7	JA1266- JA1269
Minutes - Bench Trial Day 1	1/28/2019	7	JA1270- JA1271
Transcript of Proceedings - Trial - Day 1	1/28/2019	7	JA1272- JA1423
Minutes - Bench Trial Day 2	1/29/2019	7	JA1424
Transcript of Proceedings - Trial - Day 2	1//29/2019	7; 8	JA1425- JA1470; JA1471- JA1557
Minutes - Bench Trial Day 3	1/30/2019	8	JA1558- JA1559
Trial Exhibit 61, Bank documents (S000032-S000035)	1/30/2019	8	JA1560- JA1564
Transcript of Proceedings – Bench Trial – Day 3	1/30/2019	8; 9	JA1565- JA1680; JA1681- JA1713
Minutes - Bench Trial Day 4	1/31/2019	9	JA1714- JA1715

Document Title (Chronological)	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Trial Exhibit 11, MHNYMA Swift-Single Customer Credit Transfer (WEISER000346)	1/31/2019	9	JA1716- JA1717
Trial Exhibit 12, 12/21/2012 email Lambros Pedafronimos L. Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (WEISER000345)	1/31/2019	9	JA1718- JA1719
Trial Exhibit 18, 4/26/2013 email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (WEISER000338)	1/31/2019	9	JA1720- JA1721
Trial Exhibit 19, 5/09/2013 email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (WEISER000312)	1/31/2019	9	JA1722- JA1723
Transcript of Proceedings – Bench Trial – Day 4	1/31/2019	9	JA1724- JA1838
Minutes - Bench Trial Day 5	2/1/2019	9	JA1839- JA1850
Transcript of Proceedings – Bench Trial – Day 5	2/01/219	9; 10	JA1851- JA1890; JA1891- JA1913
Transcript of Proceedings 02/06/2019	2/6/2019	10	JA1914- JA1950

Document Title (Chronological)	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Minutes - Decision Hearing	2/25/2019	10	JA1951
Minutes - Conference Call on 3/14/19	3/15/2019	10	JA1952
Defendants/Cross-Claimants Weiser's Objections to Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Judgment	4/3/2019	10	JA1953- JA2048
Skarpelos' Responses to Weiser's Objections to Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Judgment	4/8/2019	10	JA2049- JA2052
Defendant Cross-Claimants Weiser's Supplemental Brief Pursuant to Court Order	4/8/2019	10; 11	JA2053- JA2100; JA2101- JA2150
Skarpelos' Post-Trial Brief Regarding Restriction on Disposition of Stock	4/8/2019	11	JA2151- JA2155
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment	4/22/2019	11	JA2156- JA2164
NEF Proof of Electronic Service (Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment)	4/22/2019	11	JA2165- JA2167
Notice of Entry of Judgment (Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment)	4/22/2019	11	JA2168- JA2181
Minutes - Conference Call on 04/22/2019	4/22/2019	11	JA2182
Skarpelos' Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment	4/25/2019	11	JA2183- JA2248

Document Title (Chronological)	Date	Vol.	Page No.
NEF Proof of Electronic Service (Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment)	4/25/2019	11	JA2249- JA2251
Motion for Attorney's Fees	4/25/2019	11; 12	JA2252- JA2310; JA2311- JA2338
Declaration of Dane W. Anderson In Support of Motion for Attorneys' Fees	4/25/2019	12	JA2339- JA2362
Verified Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	4/25/2019	12	JA2363- JA2443
Affidavit of Dane W. Anderson In Support of Verified Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	4/25/2019	12	JA2444- JA2447
Defendants/Cross-Claimants Weiser's Motion to Retax Costs	5/3/2019	12	JA2448- JA2454
Opposition to Motion to Retax costs	5/14/2019	12	JA2455- JA2460
Declaration of Dane W. Anderson In Support of Motion to Retax Costs	5/14/2019	12	JA2461- JA2485
Defendant/Cross-Claimant Weiser's Reply In Support of Motion To Retax Costs	5/20/2019	12	JA2486- JA2491

Document Title (Chronological)	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Defendants/Cross-Claimants Weiser's Opposition to Skarpelos' Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment	5/24/2019	12	JA2492- JA2501
Weiser's Opposition to Skarpelo's Motion for Attorney's Fees	5/24/2019	12	JA2502- JA2508
Reply in Support of Motion for Attorneys' Fees	6/7/2019	12	JA2509- JA2518
Reply in Support of Skarpelos' Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment	6/7/2019	13	JA2519- JA2526
Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Motion to Retax Costs	8/6/2019	13	JA2527- JA2538
Order Denying Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment	8/6/2019	13	JA2539- JA2544
NEF Proof of Electronic Filing (Order Denying Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment)	8/6/2019	13	JA2545- JA2547
Order Granting Motion for Attorney's Fees	8/9/2019	13	JA2548- JA2554
Notice of Entry of Order (Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Motion to Retax Costs)	8/9/2019	13	JA2555- JA2571
Notice of Entry of Order (Order Denying Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment)	8/9/2019	13	JA2572- JA2582

Document Title (Chronological)	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Notice of Entry of Order (Order Granting Motion for Attorneys' Fees)	8/9/2019	13	JA2583- JA2594
Notice of Appeal	8/15/2019	13	JA2595- JA2615
Weiser's Motion for Reconsideration of Attorney's Fee Award (Request for Oral Argument)	8/19/2019	13	JA2616- JA2623
Opposition to Motion for Reconsideration of Attorney's Fee Award	8/28/2019	13	JA2624- JA2633
Notice of Cross-Appeal	8/29/2019	13	JA2634- JA2655
Reply in Support of Weiser's Motion for Reconsideration for Attorney's Fees Award	9/10/2019	13	JA2656- JA2662
Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration	10/24/2019	13	JA2663- JA2669
Notice of Entry of Order (Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration)	11/18/2019	14	JA2670- JA2681
NEF Proof of Electronic Filing (Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration)	11/18/2019	14	JA2682- JA2684

ALAPHABETICAL INDEX TO APPENDIX

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
Acceptance of Service (Murtha)	1/28/2016	1	JA0013-
			JA0015

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
Acceptance of Service (Nork)	1/28/2016	1	JA0016- JA0018
Affidavit of Athanasios Skarpelos in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment	3/12/2018	2	JA0254- JA0277
Affidavit of Dane W. Anderson In Support of Verified Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	4/25/2019	12	JA2444- JA2447
Affidavit of John F. Murtha In Support of Motion in Limine	3/21/2018	2	JA0349- JA0352
Affidavit of John F. Murtha In Support of Skarpelos' Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment	4/27/2018	3	JA0597- JA0602
Affidavit of John Murtha in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment	3/12/2018	2	JA0249- JA0253
Amended Complaint	4/29/2016	1	JA0030- JA0042
Answer to Amended Complaint and Cross-Claim (By Defendant Skarpelos)	5/23/2016	1	JA0046- JA0057
Answer to Complaint and Cross-Claim (Defendant Cross-Claimant Skarpelos)	2/18/2016	1	JA0019- JA0029
Athanasios Skarpelos' Motion for Summary Judgment	3/12/2018	1; 2	JA0160- 210; JA0211- JA0248

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
Athanasios Skarpelos' Motion in Limine	3/21/2018	2	JA0278- JA0348
Athanasios Skarpelos' Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment	4/27/2018	3	JA0584- JA0596
Athanasios Skarpelos' Reply in Support of Motion in Limine	4/27/2018	3	JA0603- JA0607
Complaint	11/18/2015	1	JA0001- JA0012
Confirming Order	11/17/2017	1	JA0158- JA0159
Consent to File Amended Complaint	4/29/2016	1	JA0043- JA0045
Declaration of Dane W. Anderson In Support of Motion for Attorneys' Fees	4/25/2019	12	JA2339- JA2362
Declaration of Dane W. Anderson In Support of Motion to Retax Costs	5/14/2019	12	JA2461- JA2485
Defendant Cross-Claimant Athanasios Skarpelos' Pretrial Disclosures	12/21/2018	3	JA0623- JA0626
Defendant Cross-Claimant Athanasios Skarpelos' Trial Statement	1/23/2019	4	JA0659- JA0713
Defendant Cross-Claimants Weiser's Pretrial Disclosures	12/31/2018	3	JA0627- JA0629

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
Defendant Cross-Claimants Weiser's Supplemental Brief Pursuant to Court Order	4/8/2019	10; 11	JA2053- JA2100; JA2101- JA2150
Defendant/Cross-Claimant Weiser's Reply In Support of Motion To Retax Costs	5/20/2019	12	JA2486- JA2491
Defendants Cross-Claimants Weser's Trial Statement	1/23/2019	4	JA0636- JA0658
Defendants/Cross-Claimants Weiser's Motion to Retax Costs	5/3/2019	12	JA2448- JA2454
Defendants/Cross-Claimants Weiser's Objections to Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Judgment	4/3/2019	10	JA1953- JA2048
Defendants/Cross-Claimants Weiser's Opposition to Skarpelos' Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment	5/24/2019	12	JA2492- JA2501
Deposition of Christos Livadas Dated 10/23/2018	1/28/2019	4; 5; 6	JA0717- JA0840; JA841- 1050; JA1051- JA1134
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment	4/22/2019	11	JA2156- JA2164
Joint Case Management Report	8/23/2016	1	JA0082- JA0095

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
Minutes - Decision Hearing	2/25/2019	10	JA1951
Minutes - Bench Trial Day 1	1/28/2019	7	JA1270- JA1271
Minutes - Bench Trial Day 2	1/29/2019	7	JA1424
Minutes - Bench Trial Day 3	1/30/2019	8	JA1558- JA1559
Minutes - Bench Trial Day 4	1/31/2019	9	JA1714- JA1715
Minutes - Bench Trial Day 5	2/1/2019	9	JA1839- JA1850
Minutes - Conference Call on 04/22/2019	4/22/2019	11	JA2182
Minutes - Conference Call on 3/14/19	3/15/2019	10	JA1952
Motion for Attorney's Fees	4/25/2019	11; 12	JA2252- JA2310; JA2311- JA2338
Motion to Compel	7/28/2017	1	JA0106- JA0133
NEF Proof of Electronic Filing (Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration)	11/18/2019	14	JA2682- JA2684

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
NEF Proof of Electronic Filing (Order Denying Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment)	8/6/2019	13	JA2545- JA2547
NEF Proof of Electronic Service (Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment)	4/22/2019	11	JA2165- JA2167
NEF Proof of Electronic Service (Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment)	4/25/2019	11	JA2249- JA2251
Notice of Appeal	8/15/2019	13	JA2595- JA2615
Notice of Cross-Appeal	8/29/2019	13	JA2634- JA2655
Notice of Entry of Judgment (Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment)	4/22/2019	11	JA2168- JA2181
Notice of Entry of Order (Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration)	11/18/2019	14	JA2670- JA2681
Notice of Entry of Order (Order Denying Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment)	8/9/2019	13	JA2572- JA2582
Notice of Entry of Order (Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Motion to Retax Costs)	8/9/2019	13	JA2555- JA2571
Notice of Entry of Order (Order Granting Motion for Attorneys' Fees)	8/9/2019	13	JA2583- JA2594

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
Opposition to Motion for Reconsideration of Attorney's Fee Award	8/28/2019	13	JA2624- JA2633
Opposition to Motion to Retax costs	5/14/2019	12	JA2455- JA2460
Order Denying Athanasios Skarpelos' Motion for Summary Judgment	6/21/2018	3	JA0608- JA0615
Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration	10/24/2019	13	JA2663- JA2669
Order Denying Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment	8/6/2019	13	JA2539- JA2544
Order Denying Skarpelos' Motion in Limine	6/29/2018	3	JA0616- JA0622
Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Motion to Retax Costs	8/6/2019	13	JA2527- JA2538
Order Granting Motion for Attorney's Fees	8/9/2019	13	JA2548- JA2554
Order Granting Motion for Discharge	1/23/2019	4	JA0714- JA0716
Pretrial Order	3/31/2017	1	JA0096- JA0105
Recommendation for Order	10/31/2017	1	JA0145- JA0157
Reply in Support of Motion for Attorneys' Fees	6/7/2019	12	JA2509- JA2518

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
Reply in Support of Motion to Compel	8/21/2017	1	JA0138- JA0144
Reply in Support of Skarpelos' Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment	6/7/2019	13	JA2519- JA2526
Reply in Support of Weiser's Motion for Reconsideration for Attorney's Fees Award	9/10/2019	13	JA2656- JA2662
Skarpelos' Answer to Weiser's Cross- Claim	6/17/2016	1	JA0075- JA0081
Skarpelos' Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment	4/25/2019	11	JA2183- JA2248
Skarpelos' Objections to Weiser's Pretrial Disclosures	1/11/2019	4	JA0630- JA0635
Skarpelos' Post-Trial Brief Regarding Restriction on Disposition of Stock	4/8/2019	11	JA2151- JA2155
Skarpelos' Responses to Weiser's Objections to Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Judgment	4/8/2019	10	JA2049- JA2052
Transcript of Proceedings – Bench Trial – Day 3	1/30/2019	8; 9	JA1565- JA1680; JA1681- JA1713
Transcript of Proceedings – Bench Trial – Day 4	1/31/2019	9	JA1724- JA1838

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
Transcript of Proceedings – Bench Trial – Day 5	2/01/219	9; 10	JA1851- JA1890; JA1891- JA1913
Transcript of Proceedings - Trial - Day 1	1/28/2019	7	JA1272- JA1423
Transcript of Proceedings - Trial - Day 2	1//29/2019	7; 8	JA1425- JA1470; JA1471- JA1557
Transcript of Proceedings 02/06/2019	2/6/2019	10	JA1914- JA1950
Trial Exhibit 1, Anavex Life Sciences Corp. Share Certificate 0753 for 6,633,332 shares (WEISER000281)	1/28/2019	6	JA1135- JA1136
Trial Exhibit 11, MHNYMA Swift-Single Customer Credit Transfer (WEISER000346)	1/31/2019	9	JA1716- JA1717
Trial Exhibit 12, 12/21/2012 email Lambros Pedafronimos L. Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (WEISER000345)	1/31/2019	9	JA1718- JA1719
Trial Exhibit 13, 1/10/2013 Corporate Indemnity to Nevada Agency and Transfer Company to Reissuance of Lost Certificate (S000007)	1/28/2019	6	JA1160- JA1161

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
Trial Exhibit 14, 3/28/2013 Athanasios Skarpelos Affidavit for Lost Stock Certificate (S000008-S000009)	1/28/2019	6	JA1162- JA1164
Trial Exhibit 15, 3/29/2013 Athanasios Skarpelos Stop Transfer Order (S000010)	1/28/2019	6	JA1165- JA1166
Trial Exhibit 16, 4/4/2013 NATCO Transfer (S000011)	1/28/2019	6	JA1167- JA1168
Trial Exhibit 18, 4/26/2013 email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (WEISER000338)	1/31/2019	9	JA1720- JA1721
Trial Exhibit 19, 5/09/2013 email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (WEISER000312)	1/31/2019	9	JA1722- JA1723
Trial Exhibit 2, WAM New Account Opening Form (WEISER000352-361)	1/28/2019	6	JA1137- JA1147
Trial Exhibit 20, 5/24/2013 email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (WEISER000340)	1/28/2019	6	JA1169- JA1170
Trial Exhibit 21, 06/24/2013 Email Christos Livadas Lambros to Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com (S000012)	1/28/2019	6	JA1171- JA1172

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
Trial Exhibit 22, 06/24/2013 Email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (S000013)	1/28/2019	6	JA1173- JA1174
Trial Exhibit 23, 06/24/2013 Email Christos Livadas Lambros to Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com (S000014)	1/28/2019	6	JA1175- JA1176
Trial Exhibit 24, 06/24/2013 Email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (S000015)	1/28/2019	6	JA1177- JA1178
Trial Exhibit 25, 06/24/2013 Email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (WEISER000333-000337)	1/28/2019	6	JA1179- JA1184
Trial Exhibit 26, 06/25/2013 Email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (S000016)	1/28/2019	6	JA1185- JA1186
Trial Exhibit 27, 07/02/2013 Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (S000017)	1/28/2019	6	JA1187- JA1188
Trial Exhibit 28, 07/02/2013 Christos Livadas Lambros to Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com (S000018)	1/28/2019	6	JA1189- JA1190

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
Trial Exhibit 29, 07/03/2013 Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (S000019)	1/28/2019	6	JA1191- JA1192
Trial Exhibit 3, Letter dated October 30, 2015 from Montello Law Firm to NATCO (WEISER000002- WEISER000003)	1/28/2019	6	JA1148- JA1150
Trial Exhibit 30, 07/05/2013 Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement between Weiser and Skarpelos (WEISER000207- WEISER000209)	1/28/2019	6	JA1193- JA1196
Trial Exhibit 31, 07/09/2013 Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos (S000020)	1/28/2019	6	JA1197- JA1198
Trial Exhibit 32, 07/09/2013 Blank Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement signed by Skarpelos (WEISER000161- WEISER000163)	1/28/2019	6	JA1199- JA1202
Trial Exhibit 33, 7/09/2013 Email Lambros Pedafronimos L.Pedaf@gmail.com to Christos Livadas (WEISER000328-WEISER000332)	1/28/2019	6	JA1203- JA1208
Trial Exhibit 34, Blank Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement (WEISER000156- WEISER000158)	1/28/2019	6	JA1209- JA1212

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
Trial Exhibit 35, 07/12/2013 Power of Attorney to Transfer Bonds or Shares (WEISER000368)	1/28/2019	6	JA1213- JA1214
Trial Exhibit 36, 07/12/2013 Power of Attorney to Transfer Bonds or Shares (WEISER000369)	1/28/2019	6	JA1215- JA1216
Trial Exhibit 40, 10/28/2013 Email Tom Skarpelos and Christos Livadas (WEISER000339)	1/28/2019	6	JA1217- JA1218
Trial Exhibit 43, 12/31/2013 Weiser Skarpelos Statement of Account for February 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013 (WEISER000378-WEISER000380)	1/28/2019	6	JA1219- JA1222
Trial Exhibit 44, Duplicate copy of 12/31/2013 Weiser Skarpelos Statement of Account for February 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013 (WEISER000378- WEISER000380)	1/28/2019	6	JA1223- JA1226
Trial Exhibit 46, 11/02/2015 Letter Ernest A. Alvarez to Nevada Agency and Transfer Company Weiser Asset Management Ltd. (WEISER000004)	1/28/2019	6	JA1227- JA1228
Trial Exhibit 47, 11/03/2015 Letter Alexander H. Walker III to Ernest A. Alvarez (WEISER000001)	1/28/2019	6	JA1229- JA1230

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
Trial Exhibit 48, 11/12/2015 Letter Elias Soursos, Weiser Asset Management Ltd. to NATCO (WEISER000011)	1/28/2019	6	JA1231- JA1232
Trial Exhibit 49, 11/12/2015 Letter Bernard Pinsky to Nevada Agency and Transfer Company (WEISER000007- WEISER000008)	1/28/2019	6	JA1233- JA1235
Trial Exhibit 50, 11/12/2015 Email Christos Livadas to Nick Boutasalis (WEISER 000214-WEISER000215)	1/28/2019	6	JA1236- JA1238
Trial Exhibit 51, 11/13/2015 Letter Ernesto A. Alvarez to Alexander Walker III, Esq. (WEISER000009)	1/28/2019	6	JA1239- JA1240
Trial Exhibit 52, 11/13/2015 Letter Ernesto A. Alvarez to Nevada Agency and Transfer Company (WEISER000005)	1/28/2019	6	JA1241- JA1242
Trial Exhibit 53, 11/13/2015 email Alexander H. Walker III to Ernesto A. Alvarez cc Amanda Cardinelli (WEISER000187-WEISER000189)	1/28/2019	6	JA1243- JA1246
Trial Exhibit 54, 11/13/2015 Letter Nick Boutsalis to NATCO (PID-00045-PID- 00048)	1/28/2019	6	JA1247- JA1251

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
Trial Exhibit 55, 11/16/2015 letter to Ernesto A. Alvarez to Alexander Walker III, Esq., (WEISER000012)	1/28/2019	6	JA1252- JA1253
Trial Exhibit 56, 11/17/2015 email Bill Simonitsch to Louis R. Montello cc Ernesto Alvarez (WEISER000238)	1/28/2019	6	JA1254- JA1255
Trial Exhibit 57, 11/18/2015 email Bill Simonitsch and Ernesto A. Alvarez (WEISER000216-WEISER000217)	1/28/2019	6	JA1256- JA1258
Trial Exhibit 58, 11/19/2015 Email bill Simonitsch and Ernesto A. Alvarez cc Louis Montello (WEISER000218- WEISER000219)	1/28/2019	7	JA1259- JA1261
Trial Exhibit 59, 11/19/2015 Email Christos Livadas re Tom Transfer request (WEISER000320-WEISER000322)	1/28/2019	7	JA1262- JA1265
Trial Exhibit 60, 11/19/2015 email Christos Livadas re Skarpelos Email flow 2011-2013 (WEISER000341- WEISER000343)	1/28/2019	7	JA1266- JA1269
Trial Exhibit 61, Bank documents (S000032-S000035)	1/30/2019	7	JA1560- JA1564
Trial Exhibit 7, 05/30/2011 Email between Athanasios Skarpelos and Howard Daniels re Courier Address for WAM, Ltd. (S000006)	1/28/2019	6	JA1151- JA1152

	Date	Vol.	Page No.
Document Title (<i>Alphabetical</i>)			
Trial Exhibit 8, 05/31/2011 Skarpelos Identify Verification Form with Supporting Documents (WEISER000362- WEISER00367)	1/28/2019	6	JA1153- JA1159
Verified Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	4/25/2019	11	JA2363- JA2443
Weiser's Motion for Reconsideration of Attorney's Fee Award (Request for Oral Argument)	8/19/2019	13	JA2616- JA2623
Weiser's Opposition to Motion to Compel	8/14/2017	1	JA0134- JA0137
Weiser's Opposition to Skarpelo's Motion for Attorney's Fees	5/24/2019	12	JA2502- JA2508
Weiser's Opposition to Skarpelos' Motion for Summary Judgment	4/12/2018	3	JA0466- JA0583
Weiser's Opposition to Skarpelos' Motion in Limine	4/12/2018	2; 3	JA0353- JA0420; JA0421- 0465
Weiser's Answer and Cross Claim	5/24/2016	1	JA0058- JA0070
Weiser's Answer to Skarpelos' Cross- Claim	6/15/2016	1	JA0071- JA0074

Message	
From:	Simonitsch, Bill J [bill.simonitsch@klgates.com]
Sent:	11/19/2015 1:50:32 PM
То:	Ernesto Alvarez [/O=FIRST ORGANIZATION/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=Ealvarez]
CC:	Louis Montello [/O=FIRST ORGANIZATION/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=LMontello]
Subject:	RE: Transfer of Shares of Anavex Life Sciences Corp.

Thank you again for providing this back-up. Do you also have proof that you can provide me showing that the purchase price was paid and received?

Sincerely,

Bill Simonitsch



William J. Simonitsch K&L Gates LLP Southeast Financial Center, Suite 3900 200 S. Biscayne Blvd. Miami, Florida 33131 Phone: 305.539.3336 Fax: 305.358.7095 E-mail: <u>bill.simonitsch@klgates.com</u> Website: www.klgates.com

From: Ernesto Alvarez [mailto:ealvarez@montellolaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2015 12:21 PM
To: Simonitsch, Bill J
Cc: Louis Montello
Subject: Transfer of Shares of Anavex Life Sciences Corp.



Mr. Simonitsch:

As per your request, attached please find the following documents:

1. Stock Power.

2. Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement.

Thank you,

Ernesto A. Alvarez



2750 NE 185th St., Suite 201

Aventura, FL 33180

T: (305) 682-2000 | F: (305) 682-3669

ealvarez@montellolaw.com

www.montellolaw.com

This transmission is intended to be delivered only to the named addressee(s) and may contain information that is confidential, proprietary, attorney work-product or attorney-client privileged. If this information is received by anyone other than the named addressee(s), the recipient should immediately notify the sender by e-mail and by telephone (305-682-2000) and obtain instructions as to the disposal of the transmitted material. In no event shall this material be read, used, copied, reproduced, stored or retained by anyone other than the named addressee(s), except with the express consent of the sender of the named addressee(s). Thank you.

This electronic message contains information from the law firm of K&L Gates LLP. The contents may be privileged and confidential and are intended for the use of the intended addressee(s) only. If you are not an intended addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact me at <u>bill.simonitsch@klgates.com</u>.



No. UIS-02259 Skappeloz Weider etaf <u>Weisen Ex. 58</u> Admitted: 1/28, 2019 JACQUELINE BRYANT, CLERK By MM) Deputy



Message

From:	Christos [christos@bizex.bz]
Sent:	11/19/2015 1:13:30 PM
То:	Christos Weiser Capital [/O=WEISER/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP
	(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=Xtos]
Subject:	FW: Tom Transfer request. Fw: Quadruple Bypass

Begin forwarded message:

From: Alana Wheaton Date: April 27, 2013 at 1:17:02 AM GMT+8 To: Rainbow Cc: Christos Subject: RE: Transfer request. Fw: Quadruple Bypass

Completed.

From: Rainbow Sent: Friday, April 26, 2013 12:42 PM To: Alana Wheaton Cc: Christos Subject: FW: Transfer request. Fw: Quadruple Bypass

Hi Alana,

Please authorize the following payment online per Christos` request.

Txn Date	Payment From	Beneficiary	Reference	Amount	Prepared/ 1st Authorised
29/04/2013	Business Integrated Account 817-552540-838 USD Savings	NIKOLAOS PENTAFRONIMOS 542002101002793		USD 20,000.00	PUSER2/



Thanks

Rainbow

From: Christos Sent: 2013年4月26日 23:30 To: Rainbow Subject: Transfer request. Fw: Quadruple Bypass

Hi R,

Can you transfer \$20k as shareholder withdrawal to details below. Soonest possible.. Tom had heart-attack and is waiting for payments to stay alive.

From: Lambros Pedafronimos To: Christos Sent: Fri Apr 26 11:21:32 2013 Subject: Quadruple Bypass

Bank Name: Alpha Bank A.E

Bank Address: 2, Mavrothalassiti Street, Paralio Astros, 22001

Branch: 542

Bank Tel: +30 27550 52466

Beneficiary: Nikolaos Pentafronimos

Beneficiary Address: Astros Kynourias, Arkadia Greece

IBAN: GR78 0140 5420 5420 0210 1002 793

Account Number: 542 00 2101 002 793

BIC/SWIFT: CRBAGRAAXXX

US Intermediary: Bank of New York Mellon, New York, IRVTUS3N

Lambros Pedafronimos

No. CU15-02259 Skanpeloz Weisenofal Weiser Ex. Admitted: 128, 2019 JACQUELINE BRYANT, CLERK ву _____ Deputy



,

Message

From:	Christos Weiser Capital [/O=WEISER/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP
	(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=XTOS]
Sent:	11/19/2015 1:41:10 PM
То:	Christos Weiser Capital [/O=WEISER/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP
	(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=Xtos]
Subject:	Skarpelos email flow 2011-2013

	S + & C S & Microw B Orderic Wes AL - + S	
	Chemica Contai Banka maka mana profile idente est quille 27 the multipera	244 1 75 2011 11 13 454 3 K M
Cutioon Web Access	Chambres Re. Assertances and Marson to Interest Science.	The 127 2011 "11 PM 4 KB **
and and a second se	Chemican Activity attemption in Secondary - 1922	The D I DOLL D I AN I I KD
	a Clemen For Presente Bylene	Ter 2 1 2011 2 15 A24 20 X2
La martine internation	Chronica RE AVEL - Shurt Lenne Foresting Newton	Frs] \$ 2021 17:34 AM 11 58
Cimin and Constants and	Character Ro. AVXL - Short Terms Financiang Contrib	Fis 3.4 2011 # 14 7% 14 KB
	S Common For 1927 Deally. From Alexander For All	The 2/5/2011 4/26 AM - 235 KB
1000	a Courses for IDV Dealy And They Reads to Descrip the US on Descrip	Tex : 1 2011 4-20 PM 394 KB
and the second secon	Chevrons Ro Frank unions Co. 4	X'94 2 9 7361 4.89 FM 4 KB
and the second state of the second	Chastion Ro AVXI Sect	The I 10 2011 3 12 AM 4 KM
A Paties Fattern beiseten en E	Chernalese Ro: Asservers - Derectese Appendication	Teo 3 15 2011 9 26 FM 9 KB
1.9***	Canades for AD partner w second F.S. CEN Company	WW2 1 14 2017 9 19 734 1 183
	Carutes RI. America Road Sarv Dack	Ten 3 17 2011 5 45 PM 13 NB
	Chroster Departur for Algebranen 13	76 2 (\$ 191) 3.21 PM KB
<u> </u>	ý līganos Iali	Fo 2 25-2011 12.22 AM 672 8
	Chrushos As: AVXI regenerated trainment	Ter 112011128 AM 1 KA
C •	Character Ro Freedmark Streen Freed Analysia	Toe 1 1 2011 10 13 PM 4 KB
0 🖌	Clementes Out of Officer AstroPapely ANAVEZ, Chainer, Ropert, 19, 1923, 2013, 2017	World 1 101 11 11 AM 638 B
	Chernalish For: Federation) gray - change sind free raids Allahit	341 11 1011 1:14 AM 1 53
	Chemics Assess 2.73	The (He light) LOD FALL KE
and the second sec	Chemana Re Faresay addantial tota etainta	fo i 1979) i kitali 🛛 j ke
	Character Ba Forecast parameter was assisted	Fa: 2030(132) A34 3 KB
	Chernica Appress collificat	The \$ 2 2011 3 19 ALC KB
in the second	Chemico de Aseren cuidire	The 5.7 3011 For A.M. 188
and the second se	Corners RE Asserve - Consta Castling	Mar 6 13 2011 10.36 FM 13 KB
	Chronice AV-5	The # 14 10(1 1 43 A28 1 KB
1. The second	Chrone Barry dealong paybook	Sal 19 (19) (19) AM 3 KB
(and a second	Chernes de Asserva CEO	Wes 6 72 2011 7 23 PM 9 28
C	Circulas Horroy R.E. Azoren - lazadar Islan	The 0-21-2011 2.15 AM - 27 SB
C7	Chronics Rs. Harvey RE. America - licenser Sulley	54622 7011 3 47 PM 19 KB
	Chevron Barroy tectro	Mon 11 2011 4 19 204 1 1 1 2
Samp	s Chemine FU' Hymes Capalit Martula (action layouter llainey at Hypercharty May with CLI 27 Furget Date	Mon 11 2011 2.35 PM 1 168
	Chevators FW Parts	Tree 7 19 2011 5-17 755 3 228
	Chemica Rubert C	Alon 1: 2011 \$ 50 PM S.R.
	Canatos Lab	Teo (13 201) 7 39 For 2 XB ->
	a golymmetry by NSP blockland to particle to a second contract and a second of the second of the	and the state of t

🔆 🖉 ingo 🖂 kanadar e 🖉	🐃 🗃 🖾 🛒 Marceson Dualacen Horts A.2.,	
	Canados Re: Lati prospond	The 8/2 2012 4:37 Fish 2 KB
Outlook Web Access	Champers Widow community for Proble for Autorst	1499 2 5 1012 6 28 PM 23 KB
Jahren.	Character Weiter Supplitue	THE 2012 5 30 FM 1 KB
	Christian Ro Wolder Superior	Tro 8 7/2012 8-19 PM 2 KB
	Christian Res Profile for America	The 8 9 2012 11 16 A54 13 828
🗍 – Secondaria Santa Caral	Chamilton Re Be band	The EQ 2012 5-57 PM 8 KB
and shake the	Character With band an antice version builds	Set \$ 12/2012 * 33 AM 4 KB
	and Chematon Out of Office AntoReply AV Private	Too 2 21 2012 8 70 FM 342 8
and have the one of the state o	t Christian Field AVXL DTCC Share	Mon # 17 2012 9:16 PM - 24 KB
an anti-the second second	Chemica "Mart Alexandra" Acaman Advancedor Very Madee"	Nor # 10 2012 201 AM 4213
	Charles Commune promp some FDA aver Antonyn natwy - Hendel - Aldersmeite Dannes - NDANex's aver	Mex \$ 10 2013 2:19 AM 761 B
C.	Chevron Fran East that FDA can make yor a second control	1'd 9 12 2012 6 31 FM 17 KB
LOC	🞄 Chrunge Re: Machiberry is dead	\$1429129:57 AM 18991 B
Carlos de las contractions de l	. Chevron Re Markhonry is send	\$46.9 14 2012 12.45 MA 1 KB
	Compose Re ANAVEX - SUDDMARY OUTCOME OF THE TELL CONTERENCE WITH DE MAZZA	Toe 9 18 2012 4 28 A24 4 KB
	CHARGE RE ANALYX - SUMMARY OUTCOME OF THE VELECONT EPENCE WITH DE PLAZIA	Tow 9 18 2012 5-48 ALA 775 B
13	🖕 Oderson For Londing pharmicronical dama (provide the Addimicrof's braining album much all represent Salari trais	1049 19 3082 2-57 PL 1 KB
0	Carmin Rev U-PSON	In 9712012144764 - JKB
D	 Charaton Ret: Annuaria 1.P. annual annuare 59 14 2012 	Mos \$ 24 2012 1 11 AM 12 KB
	Chematon Chart With Chemitopher 201973 2012	50 9 28 2012 10-21 552 1 XB
	Cherada Ra America's response	Mar HELDINA HOPM SKB
	- Clausico New B agreemant in Alex	Mage 10 1 2012 11 18 PM 1 XB
	Claristic Ze: Asservate engenets	Tod 16 3 3003 12 31 AM \$ X8
0	Chanadas Kai Anst ente asganette	10127012311AM 188
2	. 2 Character FW Vanas skatske - CV all 1985-1996 Side S. Approval. Requested	Wed 10 10 2012 1 26 AM 24 KB
	. a Casadaa Saad FW Xaaras Fact Saad	3.46 10 10 2011 9.36 PM 19 KB
	. Conserve The 35% add Anterian new againment and and at contrast 13-10-11	Mars 10 15 2012 7 12 PM 10 KB
1 D	s Cleanics FW Alabaman's Are We Roady for the Control Episineur."	Nos 15 13 2012 1 34 FM 118 KB
2 - 4 2 - 4		The 10 11 2012 4 91 A.M. 662 B
	Chemistry Fre: U.A.V.Z. ANAVER 3. 73 regions in Fre. 2 and where stress, provincing cases of Alebosthet's doctors	The 20 33 DOIL 440 FM 2 KB
	. Character Re Fill - Assessment	Fa 16 19 201.) 1:21 AM 2 AB
	S Cherneline Assurtance	Fn 56 17 2012 101 AM 2 KB
	Chronicas Re Annerson	To 10 14 1012 1.39 AM - I EB
С.	Carates Be 254-360 Free approximation	The #0 23 3012 # 44 PM 14 KB
Ū.	Chemina For NISI Selia First XIRESSO Classe Yochi	Prink M 2013 1-22 Prot 17 68 -

....

S. J. Milps - Junes.in. •		🗧 🏯 🖸 👘 Shiranan Quala and Hade Ac		:
utiook Web Acress	w Uesseys	Y 5 3 X as to 1 - Nor Georges		
	kambolen Maryenes	, beben	C Page 14 Lal Mi	New York
P • 0	ኛ d Fran	Anhje rt	Regivel	
	Carses	AC continuents to Train	Sat 10-27 2012 1-34 AM	i KB
all and the second s	Classics	AV examples produce the	Ton 10 10(2012 1:1" PM	i XB
	Carson	In Conditional on America actual actual	Not 14 11 2011 2 17 AM	12 88
annaistean State State of 📈	Contains	٨V	Level 11 4 2012 10 59 FM	¥12 B
🗧 istaalista katalista katalista 🗧	Christian	24. AV	Sec. 11 6 2012 11 27 254	178
🖶 managana 🖸	Смажен	Ro. Notes in AC and AV	Ton 11 6 2012 5.52 A34	333
	3 Chevation	For Ford Pression of actionate	Tee 11 1 2012 10 26 PM	69 XB
11 à	Cherrica	Most in Trenes, and an 2027 agreement	Tax 11 1 2012 12 11 AM	300
an a	Cacanon	Re: Ment at Ormore, makan 2007 approximate	The 14 \$ 2012 32.55 AN	143
Station and the C	Cherron	Extern rame's actionship wat?	The 11 15 2012 12:04 AM	
G	Cianation	. It are not a school with the set	The 11 15 2012 13 19 AM	
- Alar Anar Anar	Camero	Re 219 Augure 14-13-2012	The 11 15 2012 12 52 AM	
	Chernos	Re: 259 Annual 14-11-1012	The 11 13 2012 1.26 AM	523
0	Carinden	Re: \$19 Appenditures	Mam 11 17 2012 4:23 FM	XB
1 mar.	Cinetos	Billionen neu en anter de anteré	Sec 11 24 2012 HOR AM	373 8
(m)	Chromen	Re: Where we us a met."	Sec 11 24 2012 5 49 234	2103
	Circletos	in the second	West 11 25 1917 10 31 PM	
n a		Contemp Tearing AMT RE. Lit New doub of anisodiment and make gravities on passage	Mag 22 3 2012 8 54 954	7 X.B
13	* Chesima	FW: AVID. DTCC Been	Fact 12 4 2012 7:49 PM	31 22
	Consta	As AVEL STOC Sheet	Tex 12 4 2012 1 01 PM	382
	Carneton	Sending protocolo	War 12 4 2012 10 21 201 War 12 4 2012 10 21 201	188
	Christia	Azrez - Deserar		1 A 19 1 X 33
	> Cheeses	The STREET	West 12:14:2012 5:17 PM State 1 7:2013 4:22 994	1 8.15 39 5.35
	Chesters	Le Develor	The Line Collins and	89 5.8 337 B
3-e	Chernite	een erste state and Toxist		
10 L		- 500000 Comir → 3 - 54 2 - 45 3 - 25 3 3	The 1 to 2011 i 20 Fid	974 段
	8 Chronica	enne Tenene Cela Ce. 28 des Venn - 20787.	Ten 1 10 3013 6 45 PM	101 B
	Constan		Wed 5 23 2013 6:30 PM	
1.3		heartaire Denge May Wax II. Apparenti. Aller Berly Tendo Aller Berly B. Andrew May May II. Apparenti. Aller Berly Tendo	Tus 2 12 2013 1-29 PM	3 88
	Charlescon	IAMOOLD TAKES 15% STAKE IN EAGLE HOUNTAIN (E TIN), EAGLE ACQUILES 160% OF ENDOUTINA PROFECT	700 2 X 2 201 1 9-57 934	29 XB
1	Charatera	CV CV	¥w1 ¥ 315 438 AM	; XB
10 t -	Ostation	CM CM	VH I & XH 430 M	: X2 💆

ţ NOZ) oi b RISIR Admitted: 128, 2019 JACQUELINE BRYANT, CLERK M Ву 🗕 Deputy



FILED Electronically CV15-02259 2019-01-28 04:59:27 PM Jacqueline Bryant Clerk of the Court Transaction # 7089420

CASE NO. CV15-02259

NEVADA AGENCY & TRANSFER CO. VS. WEISER ASSET ETAL

DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF	Pg. 1
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES-HEARING
1/28/19	BENCH TRIAL
HONORABLE	Respective counsel met with the Clerk on January 24, 2019, to mark Trial Exhibits 1-62.
ELLIOTT A.	8:37 a.m. – Court convened.
SATTLER	Alexander Walker, III, Esq., was present on behalf of Plaintiff Nevada Agency & Transfer
DEPT. NO. 10	Company. President Amanda Cardinalli was present with counsel Walker.
M. Merkouris	Jeremy Nork, Esq., was present on behalf of Cross-Claimants Weiser (Bahamas) Ltd.,
(Clerk) P. Hoogs	and Weiser Asset Management, Ltd. Mr. Christos Livadas was present with counsel Nork.
(Reporter)	Cross-Claimant Anthanasios Skarpelos was present with counsel Dane Anderson, Esq.,
(hepoiloi)	and Seth Adams, Esq.
	COURT reviewed the procedural history of the case, noting that this is the time set for a
	5-day bench trial, however there some pretrial issues to address.
	Counsel Walker advised the Court that he is here to address the issue of the deposit of
	the stock certificate in question.
	Discussion ensued between the Court and respective counsel regarding the stock certificate.
	COURT ORDERED: The stock certificate shall be given to the Clerk for safekeeping
	during the trial; at the end of the trial, the original stock certificate shall be given to the
	prevailing party. The Clerk is directed to make a copy of the original stock certificate and
	make it part of the record.
	Discussion ensued between the Court and respective counsel regarding the order of
	witnesses and opening statements. Counsel Anderson advised the Court that he will defer his opening statement until the beginning of his case.
	Counsel Nork presented opening statements.
	Counsel Nork invoked the Rule of Exclusion.
	Counsel Nork advised the Court that the parties have stipulated to the
	following Exhibits: 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20-36, 40, and 46-58; ordered
	ADMITTED into evidence.
	Counsel Nork called Christos Livadas who was sworn and direct examined. Counsel Nork offered Exhibit 5; counsel Anderson objected.
	Witness further direct examined.
	Counsel Nork re-offered Exhibit 5; counsel Anderson objected; objection
	overruled and Exhibit 5 ordered ADMITTED into evidence.
	Witness further direct examined.
	Counsel Nork offered Exhibit 6; counsel Anderson objected; objection overruled and Exhibit 6 ordered ADMITTED into evidence.
	Witness further direct examined.
	10:15 a.m. – Court stood in recess.
	10:33 a.m. – Court reconvened.
	Witness further direct examined.

CASE NO. CV15-02259 NEVADA AGENCY & TRANSFER CO. VS. WEISER ASSET ETAL

DATE, JUDGE	Pg. 2
OFFICERS OF	1 g. 2
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES-HEARING
1/28/19	BENCH TRIAL
HONORABLE	Counsel Nork offered Exhibit 9; no objection; ordered ADMITTED into
ELLIOTT A.	evidence.
SATTLER	Witness further direct examined.
DEPT. NO. 10	Counsel Nork offered Exhibit 12; counsel Anderson objected; objection
M. Merkouris	sustained.
(Clerk)	Witness further direct examined.
P. Hoogs	Discussion ensued between the Court and respective counsel regarding Exhibits 18 & 59.
(Reporter)	Counsel Nork offered Exhibit 59; counsel Anderson objected; objection overruled and Exhibit 59 shall be ADMITTED into evidence with the
	limitations as stated by the Court.
	Witness further direct examined.
	Counsel Nork offered Exhibit 60; counsel Anderson objected; objection
	overruled and Exhibit 60 shall be ADMITTED into evidence.
	12:05 p.m. – Court stood in recess for lunch.
	1:19 p.m. – Court reconvened.
	Witness further direct examined.
	Counsel Nork offered Exhibit 44; counsel Anderson objected.
	Counsel Anderson requested to conduct voir dire of the witness; SO ORDERED.
	Counsel Anderson moved to open and publish the deposition of Christos Livadas, dated
	October 23, 2018; SO ORDERED.
	Counsel Anderson further conducted voir dire; witness questioned by the Court.
	COURT ORDERED: Objection overruled and Exhibit 44 shall be ADMITTED
	into evidence.
	Counsel Nork offered Exhibit 43; counsel Anderson maintained his
	objection; objection overruled and Exhibit 43 shall be ADMITTED into
	evidence.
	Witness further direct examined; cross examined by counsel Anderson; questioned by
	the Court; further cross examined.
	3:00 p.m. – Court stood in recess.
	3:17 p.m. – Court reconvened. Witness further cross examined.
	Counsel Anderson offered Exhibit 3; no objection; ordered ADMITTED into
	evidence.
	Witness further cross examined.
	4:07 p.m. – Court stood in recess.
	4:16 p.m. – Court reconvened.
	Witness further cross examined.
	4:47 p.m. – Court stood in recess, to reconvene tomorrow, January 29, 2019, at
	8:30 a.m.

1 2 3 4 5 б SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 7 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE THE HONORABLE ELLIOTT A. SATTLER, DISTRICT JUDGE 8 9 -000-Case No. CV15-02259 10 NEVADA AGENCY AND TRANSFER COMPANY, a Nevada corporation, Dept. No. 10 11 Plaintiff, 12 vs. 13 WEISER ASSET MANAGEMENT, LTD., a Bahamas company; ATHANASIOS 14 SKARPELOS, an individual; and 15 DOES 1-10, Defendants. 16 17 AND RELATED ACTIONS. 18 19 TRIAL DAY ONE 20 21 MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019 22 RENO, NEVADA 23 Job No.: 524000 24 Reported By: PEGGY B. HOOGS, CCR #160, RDR, CRR

			Page 2				Page 3
1		-000- APPEARANCES -000-	-	1 2		INDEX	-
2					WITNESSES FO WEISER (BAHA	NR FOR WEISER ASSET MANAGEMENT, LTD. MAS), LTD.:	aria
3		IOS SKARPELOS:		3			_
4		RN & WEDGE		4	CHRISTOS LIV	ADAS	Page
_	-	ANE W. ANDERSON, ESQ.				Examination by Mr. Nork	18
5		ETH J. ADAMS, ESQ. eil Road, Suite 500		5		re Examination by Mr. Anderson	184
6		Vevada 89505		6		Examination (Resumed) by Mr. Nork Examination by Mr. Anderson	208 215
7	NEIIO, I	10,000 0,000		7		-	-
8	FOR WEISER A	ASSET MANAGEMENT, LTD. and		8		EXHIBITS	
ľ	WEISER (BAHA			9	Exhibit No.	Description	Admitted
9	- (1.0	Exhibit 1	Anavex Life Sciences Corp Share	15
	HOLLANI	D & HART		10 11	Exhibit 2	Certificate 0753 WAM New Account Opening Form	15
10	By: JI	EREMY NORK, ESQ.		12	Exhibit 3	10/30/15 letter, Montello Law Firm	
	FI	RANK LAFORGE, ESQ.		12		to NATCO	
11	5441 K:	ietzke Lane, Second Floor		13	Exhibit 4	9/24/07 Anavex physical	15
1	Reno, 1	Nevada 89511		14		certificates registered to	
12				15		Athanasios Skarpelos	
13				1 23	Exhibit 5	9/27/07 Anavex Affiliate Stock	70
14	ALSO PRESEN			16		Purchase Agreement	
15	ALEXANI	DER H. WALKER III, ESQ.		17	Exhibit 6	10/1/07 email between Skarpelos and Livadas	74
16				18			
17 18				10	Exhibit 7	5/30/11 email between Skarpelos	15
18				19 20	Exhibit 8	and Howard Daniels 5/31/11 Skarpelos Identify	15
20						Verification Form with Supporting	
20				21 22	Exhibit 9	Documents 5/31/11 certified copy of	100
22				⁴⁴	TTTTTTT A	Pedafronimos Lambros passport	TOO
23				23	m 1.11.1. 10		15
24				24	Exhibit 13	1/10/13 Corporate Indemnity to NATCO	15
-			Page 4				Page 5
1	Exhibit 14	Affidavit for Lost Stock	15	1	Exhibit 33	7/9/13 email, Pedafronimos to Livadas	15
2	Exhibit 15	Certificate 3/29/13 Athanasios Skarpelos Stop	15	2	Exhibit 34	Blank Stock Sale and Purchase	15
	010 10	Transfer Order		3		Agreement	
4	Exhibit 16	4/4/13 NATCO Transfer	15	4	Exhibit 35	7/12/13 Power of Attorney to Transfer Bonds or Shares	15
5				5			1-
6	Exhibit 20	5/24/13 email, Lambros Pedafronimos to Christos Livadas	15	6	Exhibit 36	7/12/13 Power of Attorney to Transfer Bonds or Shares	15
6 7	Exhibit 21	6/24/13 email, Livadas to	15	7	Exhibit 40	10/28/13 email, Skarpelos and	15
		Pedafronimos		8		Livadas	
8	Exhibit 22	6/24/13 email, Pedafronimos to	15		Exhibit 43	12/31/13 Weiser Skarpelos	207
9		Livadas		9		Statement of Account for 2/1/13-12/31/13	
10	Exhibit 23	6/24/13 email, Livadas to Pedafronimos	15	10			
11				11	Exhibit 44	Weiser Asset Management Account Agreement Terms and Conditions	207
12	Exhibit 24	6/24/13 email, Pedafronimos to Livadas	15	12	Exhibit 46	11/2/15 letter, Ernesto Alvarez to	15
13	Exhibit 25	6/24/13 email, Pedafronimos to	15	13		NATCO and Weiser Asset Management Ltd.	
14		Livadas		14	Exhibit 47	11/3/15 letter, Alexander Walker	15
	Exhibit 26	6/25/13 email, Pedafronimos to	15	15		III to Ernesto Alvarez	
15		Livadas		1.5	Exhibit 48	11/12/15 letter, Elias Sourson,	15
16	Exhibit 27	7/2/13 email, Pedafronimos to Livadas	15	16		Weiser Asset Management Ltd. To	
17				17		NATCO	
18	Exhibit 28	7/2/13 email, Livadas to Pedafronimos	15		Exhibit 49	11/12/15 letter, Bernard Pinsky to	15
19	Exhibit 29	7/3/13 email, Pedafronimos to	15	18 19	Exhibit 50	NATCO 11/12/15 email, Livadas to Nick	15
20		Livadas				Boutsalis	
20	Exhibit 30	7/5/13 Stock Sale and Purchase	15	20	Exhibit 51	11/13/15 letter, Alvarez to Walker	15
21	Tabibit 21	Agreement	15	21			
22	Exhibit 31	7/9/13 email, Pedafronimos to Livadas	15	22	Exhibit 52	11/13/15 letter, Alvarez to NATCO	15
23	B 1 11 1 ·		15		Exhibit 53	11/13/15 email, Walker to Alvarez	15
24	Exhibit 32	7/9/13 Blank Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement signed by	15	23	Exhibit 54	11/13/15 letter, Boutsalis to	15
1		Skarpelos		24		NATCO	

			Page 6		Daga 7
1	Exhibit 55	11/16/15 letter, Alvarez to Walker	15	1	Page 7 -000-
2	Exhibit 56	11/17/15 email, Bill Simonitsch to	15	2	RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 8:37 A.M.
		Lewis Montello		3	-000-
3	Exhibit 57	11/18/15 email, Simonitsch and	15	4	
4	EXHIDIC 57	Alvarez	10	5	THE COURT: This is CV15-02259, Nevada Agency
5	Exhibit 58	11/19/15 email, Simonitsch and	15	6	and Transfer Company vs
		Alvarez		7	Is it WEEZ-er or WIZE-er?
6				8	MR. NORK: WIZE-er, Your Honor.
7	Exhibit 59	11/19/15 email, Livadas re Tom Transfer request	118	9	THE COURT: Weiser Asset Management, et al.
8	Exhibit 60	11/19/15 email, Livadas re	163	10	Let's see. Mr. Walker is here Where's Mr.
		Skarpelos email flow 2011-2013		11	Walker?
9				12	MR. WALKER: Right here, Your Honor.
10				13	THE COURT: You're behind somebody.
11 12				14	Mr. Walker is here on behalf of Nevada Agency
13				15	and Transfer Company.
14				16	Good morning, Mr. Walker.
15				17	MR. WALKER: Good morning, Your Honor.
16				18	MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Nork and Mr. LaForge are
17 18				19	here on behalf of Weiser.
10				20	MR. NORK: Good morning, Your Honor.
20				21	THE COURT: And Mr. Anderson and I'm looking
21				22	around the corner there to see you there, Mr. Adams.
22				23	Good morning to you as well.
23 24				24	MR. ADAMS: Good morning.
21					
1	TH	E COURT: This is the time set for a	Page 8 five-day	1	Page 9 NATCO is happy to hold the certificate if it's
2		The case initiated as an interplead	-	2	deemed deposited for purposes of the discharge, Your
3		Nevada Agency and Transfer Company de		3	Honor. However the Court wants to handle it, the
4		d a stock certificate, and then there	-	4	certificate is here in my hand. I'm happy to hand it to
5		terests in the stock certificate betw		5	the Court or I'm happy to hold it at the Court's
6		r. Skarpelos.		6	direction.
7		re you Mr. Skarpelos?		7	THE COURT: When he had the pretrial conference
8		2. SKARPELOS: Yes, sir.		8	on December 7th of 2018, the issue of the discharge had
9		E COURT: Good morning to you as well		9	not yet been resolved, Mr. Walker. You had filed on
10		that's what we're here to resolve.		10	December 5th the motion for discharge, but my
11		re there any pretrial issues we need t	to take	11	recollection was I didn't want it because that issue
12		ker, I hear you have something.		12	hadn't been fully briefed and submitted.
13	-	2. WALKER: Yes, Your Honor, I do. In	n fact,	13	You are correct that the issue now has been
14		ng here only to deal with the issue of		14	fully briefed. You filed the motion on December 5th.
15		the stock certificate in question follows		15	There was a nonopposition filed by Mr. Skarpelos on
16	-	of January 23rd where Nevada Agency wa	-	16	December 11th of 2018, and then Weiser Asset Management
17	-	d discharged in this matter. However		17	didn't file anything. You eventually then submitted the
18		rou indicated that the plaintiff shall		18	matter for the Court's consideration, and because there
19	-	ate with the court, and I brought the	-	19	was no opposition, the Court granted the motion.
20		for that purpose, Your Honor, but I a		20	Mr. Anderson, on behalf of Mr. Skarpelos, what
21		en we had our pretrial conference, I		21	would you like to do with the actual document itself?
22		ate then, and you said, you know, why	-	22	What I would anticipate is allowing Mr. Walker to simply
23		to it and the Court will come up with		23	provide it to the Court. At the end of the case we can
1	-				-
24	disposition.			24	turn it over to whoever is supposed to get it. Of

	Daga 10		Dage 11
1	Page 10 course, that presumes that there's no appeal. If there's	1	Page 11 file a stipulation regarding discharge, which I think
2	an appeal of the Court's decision, then the Court would	2	crossed paths at the same time that Mr. Walker's motion
3	retain it.	3	was submitted, so the parties did stipulate as to the
4	I think the Court should just retain the	4	disposition of the stock certificate and as to the
5	document until the end of the trial, but I also don't	5	dismissal of NATCO. Be that as it may, I'm happy with
6	think that it's reasonable to have Mr. Walker have to	6	the stock certificate being in Your Honor's possession
7	hold onto it forever, and then theoretically we have to	7	for the pendency of this case.
8	go get it from him. Now it can be deposited with the	8	THE COURT: Mr. Walker, mission accomplished.
9	Court and made part of the record.	9	MR. WALKER: Very good. I think both counsel
10	In the end we would make a photocopy of both	10	have seen the certificate and I'm happy to give it to the
11	sides of it, assuming it needs to be given to somebody.	11	Court at this point.
12	We'll make a photocopy of both sides of it, and that will	12	THE COURT: I notice it came with a colorful
13	be in the order. The stock certificate itself, I would	13	sheet you could put over the top of it so nothing bad
14	think, needs to go to the prevailing party once that	14	happens with it, making a contribution to justice.
15	issue has been resolved.	15	MR. WALKER: I'm very much happy to do it.
16	MR. WALKER: I'm extremely happy to give the	16	THE COURT: The cover as well. Thank you very
17	certificate to the Court today.	17	much.
18	MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, Mr. Skarpelos is	18	So that will given be given to the Court. The
19	fine with the Court's preference.	19	clerk is instructed not to place anything on it, and by
20	THE COURT: And on behalf of Weiser Asset	20	"it" I mean the stock certificate itself, no evidentiary
21	Management?	21	stickers, but we'll indicate that the Court has received
22	MR. NORK: Your Honor, Mr. Nork for Weiser	22	it, and now it is in the Court's custody.
23	Asset Management and Weiser Capital.	23	MR. WALKER: Your Honor, thank you very much.
24	I guess one thing to clarify, we did eventually	24	Good luck to all the parties.
	Dec. 10		- 12
1	Page 12 THE COURT: Thank you very much for your	1	Page 13 I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset
1 2		1 2	
	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your		I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset
2	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker.	2	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and
2 3	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.)	2 3	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied
2 3 4	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing	2 3 4	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
2 3 4 5	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the	2 3 4 5	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties
2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial.	2 3 4 5 6	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire	2 3 4 5 6 7	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire record of the file. I apologize that I forgot there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the parties are in. During any trial the Court would always
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire record of the file. I apologize that I forgot there was that issue with the stipulation that just got kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the parties are in. During any trial the Court would always defer to the plaintiff to make the opening statement and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire record of the file. I apologize that I forgot there was that issue with the stipulation that just got kind of mixed up, but it doesn't really matter at this point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the parties are in. During any trial the Court would always defer to the plaintiff to make the opening statement and to go forward in that fashion. Because both parties are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire record of the file. I apologize that I forgot there was that issue with the stipulation that just got kind of mixed up, but it doesn't really matter at this point. The Court has reviewed the contents of the file. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the parties are in. During any trial the Court would always defer to the plaintiff to make the opening statement and to go forward in that fashion. Because both parties are plaintiffs, I think what I've decided to do is this. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire record of the file. I apologize that I forgot there was that issue with the stipulation that just got kind of mixed up, but it doesn't really matter at this point. The Court has reviewed the contents of the file. The Court has further reviewed the motion practice that has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the parties are in. During any trial the Court would always defer to the plaintiff to make the opening statement and to go forward in that fashion. Because both parties are plaintiffs, I think what I've decided to do is this. I need to go back and look.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire record of the file. I apologize that I forgot there was that issue with the stipulation that just got kind of mixed up, but it doesn't really matter at this point. The Court has reviewed the contents of the file. The Court has further reviewed the motion practice that has taken place in the case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the parties are in. During any trial the Court would always defer to the plaintiff to make the opening statement and to go forward in that fashion. Because both parties are plaintiffs, I think what I've decided to do is this. I need to go back and look. In order of preference for opening statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire record of the file. I apologize that I forgot there was that issue with the stipulation that just got kind of mixed up, but it doesn't really matter at this point. The Court has reviewed the contents of the file. The Court has further reviewed the motion practice that has taken place in the case. Additionally, the Court has received and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the parties are in. During any trial the Court would always defer to the plaintiff to make the opening statement and to go forward in that fashion. Because both parties are plaintiffs, I think what I've decided to do is this. I need to go back and look. In order of preference for opening statement and to go forward, the Court is going to defer, then, to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire record of the file. I apologize that I forgot there was that issue with the stipulation that just got kind of mixed up, but it doesn't really matter at this point. The Court has reviewed the contents of the file. The Court has further reviewed the motion practice that has taken place in the case. Additionally, the Court has received and reviewed the January 23, 2019, file-stamped	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the parties are in. During any trial the Court would always defer to the plaintiff to make the opening statement and to go forward in that fashion. Because both parties are plaintiffs, I think what I've decided to do is this. I need to go back and look. In order of preference for opening statement and to go forward, the Court is going to defer, then, to Mr. Skarpelos for the following reason: Mr. Skarpelos
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire record of the file. I apologize that I forgot there was that issue with the stipulation that just got kind of mixed up, but it doesn't really matter at this point. The Court has reviewed the contents of the file. The Court has further reviewed the motion practice that has taken place in the case. Additionally, the Court has received and reviewed the January 23, 2019, file-stamped Defendant/Cross-Claimant Athanasios Skarpelos' Trial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the parties are in. During any trial the Court would always defer to the plaintiff to make the opening statement and to go forward in that fashion. Because both parties are plaintiffs, I think what I've decided to do is this. I need to go back and look. In order of preference for opening statement and to go forward, the Court is going to defer, then, to Mr. Skarpelos for the following reason: Mr. Skarpelos filed his Answer to the Amended Complaint and Cross-Claim
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire record of the file. I apologize that I forgot there was that issue with the stipulation that just got kind of mixed up, but it doesn't really matter at this point. The Court has reviewed the contents of the file. The Court has further reviewed the motion practice that has taken place in the case. Additionally, the Court has received and reviewed the January 23, 2019, file-stamped Defendant/Cross-Claimant Athanasios Skarpelos' Trial Statement. Additionally, the Court has received and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the parties are in. During any trial the Court would always defer to the plaintiff to make the opening statement and to go forward in that fashion. Because both parties are plaintiffs, I think what I've decided to do is this. I need to go back and look. In order of preference for opening statement and to go forward, the Court is going to defer, then, to Mr. Skarpelos for the following reason: Mr. Skarpelos filed his Answer to the Amended Complaint and Cross-Claim on May 23rd of 2016, and the I'll just refer to them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire record of the file. I apologize that I forgot there was that issue with the stipulation that just got kind of mixed up, but it doesn't really matter at this point. The Court has reviewed the contents of the file. The Court has further reviewed the motion practice that has taken place in the case. Additionally, the Court has received and reviewed the January 23, 2019, file-stamped Defendant/Cross-Claimant Athanasios Skarpelos' Trial Statement. Additionally, the Court has received and reviewed the defendant Cross-Claimant Weiser's Trial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the parties are in. During any trial the Court would always defer to the plaintiff to make the opening statement and to go forward in that fashion. Because both parties are plaintiffs, I think what I've decided to do is this. I need to go back and look. In order of preference for opening statement and to go forward, the Court is going to defer, then, to Mr. Skarpelos for the following reason: Mr. Skarpelos filed his Answer to the Amended Complaint and Cross-Claim on May 23rd of 2016, and the I'll just refer to them as "the Weiser litigants" the Weiser litigants filed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire record of the file. I apologize that I forgot there was that issue with the stipulation that just got kind of mixed up, but it doesn't really matter at this point. The Court has reviewed the contents of the file. The Court has further reviewed the motion practice that has taken place in the case. Additionally, the Court has received and reviewed the January 23, 2019, file-stamped Defendant/Cross-Claimant Athanasios Skarpelos' Trial Statement. Additionally, the Court has received and reviewed the defendant Cross-Claimant Weiser's Trial Statement. I've gone through the pretrial motions, as I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the parties are in. During any trial the Court would always defer to the plaintiff to make the opening statement and to go forward in that fashion. Because both parties are plaintiffs, I think what I've decided to do is this. I need to go back and look. In order of preference for opening statement and to go forward, the Court is going to defer, then, to Mr. Skarpelos for the following reason: Mr. Skarpelos filed his Answer to the Amended Complaint and Cross-Claim on May 23rd of 2016, and the I'll just refer to them as "the Weiser litigants" the Weiser litigants filed their Answer and Cross-Claim on May 24th of 2016, so they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire record of the file. I apologize that I forgot there was that issue with the stipulation that just got kind of mixed up, but it doesn't really matter at this point. The Court has reviewed the contents of the file. The Court has reviewed the motion practice that has taken place in the case. Additionally, the Court has received and reviewed the January 23, 2019, file-stamped Defendant/Cross-Claimant Athanasios Skarpelos' Trial Statement. Additionally, the Court has received and reviewed the defendant Cross-Claimant Weiser's Trial Statement. I've gone through the pretrial motions, as I said, and so I'm familiar with those. I reviewed the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the parties are in. During any trial the Court would always defer to the plaintiff to make the opening statement and to go forward in that fashion. Because both parties are plaintiffs, I think what I've decided to do is this. I need to go back and look. In order of preference for opening statement and to go forward, the Court is going to defer, then, to Mr. Skarpelos for the following reason: Mr. Skarpelos filed his Answer to the Amended Complaint and Cross-Claim on May 23rd of 2016, and the I'll just refer to them as "the Weiser litigants" the Weiser litigants filed their Answer and Cross-Claim on May 24th of 2016, so they filed first, unless there's something else that I've said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire record of the file. I apologize that I forgot there was that issue with the stipulation that just got kind of mixed up, but it doesn't really matter at this point. The Court has reviewed the contents of the file. The Court has reviewed the motion practice that has taken place in the case. Additionally, the Court has received and reviewed the January 23, 2019, file-stamped Defendant/Cross-Claimant Athanasios Skarpelos' Trial Statement. Additionally, the Court has received and reviewed the defendant Cross-Claimant Weiser's Trial Statement. I've gone through the pretrial motions, as I said, and so I'm familiar with those. I reviewed the pleadings that have brought this matter to the Court's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the parties are in. During any trial the Court would always defer to the plaintiff to make the opening statement and to go forward in that fashion. Because both parties are plaintiffs, I think what I've decided to do is this. I need to go back and look. In order of preference for opening statement and to go forward, the Court is going to defer, then, to Mr. Skarpelos for the following reason: Mr. Skarpelos filed his Answer to the Amended Complaint and Cross-Claim on May 23rd of 2016, and the I'll just refer to them as "the Weiser litigants" the Weiser litigants filed their Answer and Cross-Claim on May 24th of 2016, so they filed first, unless there's something else that I've said in the past.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your assistance, Mr. Walker. (Mr. Walker exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: So now we are down to the competing interests of the cross-claimants, and we can begin the trial. Counsel, the Court has gone through the entire record of the file. I apologize that I forgot there was that issue with the stipulation that just got kind of mixed up, but it doesn't really matter at this point. The Court has reviewed the contents of the file. The Court has further reviewed the motion practice that has taken place in the case. Additionally, the Court has received and reviewed the January 23, 2019, file-stamped Defendant/Cross-Claimant Athanasios Skarpelos' Trial Statement. Additionally, the Court has received and reviewed the defendant Cross-Claimant Weiser's Trial Statement. I've gone through the pretrial motions, as I said, and so I'm familiar with those. I reviewed the pleadings that have brought this matter to the Court's attention.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I speak declaratory relief, and Weiser Asset Management has brought a claim for declaratory relief and for breach of contract and for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. With the interpleader action, as the parties have acknowledged, the parties are both basically plaintiffs. It's an interesting position that the parties are in. During any trial the Court would always defer to the plaintiff to make the opening statement and to go forward in that fashion. Because both parties are plaintiffs, I think what I've decided to do is this. I need to go back and look. In order of preference for opening statement and to go forward, the Court is going to defer, then, to Mr. Skarpelos for the following reason: Mr. Skarpelos filed his Answer to the Amended Complaint and Cross-Claim on May 23rd of 2016, and the I'll just refer to them as "the Weiser litigants" the Weiser litigants filed their Answer and Cross-Claim on May 24th of 2016, so they filed first, unless there's something else that I've said in the past. MR. NORK: No, Your Honor, but we may be able

	Page 14		Page 15
1	By the way, my client Chris Livadas is here.	1	MR. NORK: Is that right, Counsel? That's fine
2	THE COURT: Mr. Livadas, nice to meet you as	2	with me.
3	well.	3	MR. ANDERSON: No, not 60.
4	And I think we've agreed upon an order of	4	THE COURT: So not 60, but with the
5	witnesses.	5	identification of the stipulated exhibits, is that a
6	THE COURT: Good. Okay.	6	correct statement, Mr. Anderson, not including Exhibit
7	MR. NORK: Starting with Mr. Livadas, then	7	No. 60?
8	Mr. Skarpelos, then Lambros Pedafronimos, and then	8	MR. ANDERSON: Not including Exhibit No. 60,
9	perhaps Mr. Walker, and in light of that, I will be going	9	Mr. Nork did read the exhibits correct, and I would
10	first. I will defer to Mr. Anderson about whether and	10	stipulate to the admission of those documents that he
11	when he wants to give his opening statement.	11	identified, the exhibits he identified.
12	The other housekeeping matter is we've	12	THE COURT: Those identified documents will be
13	stipulated to quite a number of exhibits, and I'd like to	13	admitted.
14	put that on the record if I may.	14	(Exhibit 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21,
15	THE COURT: Okay.	15	22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35,
16	MR. NORK: We have agreed to the admissibility	16	36, 40, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57,
17	and move for admission of the following exhibits: 1, 2,	17	58 were admitted.)
18	4, 7, 8, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27,	18	MR. ANDERSON: And, Your Honor, I would also
19	28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 40, 46, 47, 48, 49,	19	agree with Mr. Nork that we had an understanding that his
20	50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, and that's it.	20	case would proceed first with Mr. Livadas, and then I
21	THE CLERK: Counsel, I show that you have	21	don't believe he has any other witnesses. Then I plan to
22	stipulated to Exhibit 60.	22	call Mr. Skarpelos, Mr. Pedafronimos and perhaps
23	MR. NORK: Six zero?	23	Mr. Walker, and I would defer my opening statement to the
24	THE CLERK: Yes.	24	beginning of my case.
-	Page 16		Page 17
1	THE COURT: Okay. Any other pretrial matters	1	referred to as WAM and Weiser Capital. This, as the
2	on behalf of Weiser Asset Management?	2	Court correctly observed, is an interpleader action to
3	MR. NORK: No, Your Honor.	3	determine the ownership of certain shares of stock in a
4	THE COURT: Any pretrial matters on behalf of	4	company called Anavex Life Sciences, and over the next
5	Mr. Skarpelos?	5	couple of days the Court will hear a lot about the
6	MR. ANDERSON: No, Your Honor.	6	intricacies of privately selling publicly traded stock.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Then let's go forward with	7	This Court will also hear terms like "broker-dealer,"
8	opening statement.	8	"primary broker-dealer," "powers of attorney," "purchase
9	As I told the parties and I think they	9	and sale agreements."
10	confirmed with my judicial assistant, feel free to make	10	Notwithstanding those intricacies, reduced to
11	an opening statement if you choose to do so. I find it	11	its essence, this is really a simple case, and the
12	helpful, but I also appreciate the fact that it's a bench	12	evidence will bear that out. Mr. Skarpelos wanted to
13	trial, so if you don't want to make an opening statement,	13	raise money by selling his stock, and my client helped
14	don't feel like you're behind. At some point if you want	14	him do that, putting \$250,000 into an account held by
15	to make an opening statement I would be happy to hear it.	15	Mr. Skarpelos at Weiser Asset Management for that stock.
16	On behalf of Weiser, go ahead.	16	Unfortunately, the evidence will also show that right
17	MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. And I would	17	about the same time that my client was endeavoring to
18	like to make an opening statement but only a brief one	18	sell Mr. Skarpelos's stock, Mr. Skarpelos filed an
19	because I know the Court is familiar with the pleadings,	19	affidavit claiming that the stock certificates that he
20	and this is for all intents and purposes a fairly small	20	delivered to my client for the purpose of opening the
21	trial, at least as far as the number of witnesses go.	21	account had been lost.
22	We're looking at three and a half witnesses, maybe.	22	Now, testimony will bear out whether or not
23	I'm Jeremy Nork on behalf of cross-claimant	23	that affidavit is true or false, but there's no doubt
24	Weiser Asset Management. From time to time we'll be	24	that because of that affidavit my client was prevented

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

	Page 18		Page 19
1	from completing his purchase of Mr. Skarpelos's stock.	1	Q Would you please state and spell your name for
2	Specifically, my client was unable to thereafter sell the	2	the record.
3	stock because there was a dispute about his ownership.	3	A Christos Livadas, C-h-r-i-s-t-o-s, last name
4	Now, we are sitting in a court of equity	4	L-i-v-a-d-a-s.
5	because it's an interpleader action, and the question is	5	Q Mr. Livadas, what is your job or occupation?
6	this: Does the evidence demonstrate that Mr. Skarpelos's	6	A The job is now I'm the owner of a
7	account of Weiser Asset Management was credited with	7	broker-dealer, so I have the job of owner of the
8	\$250,000? If the answer is yes, then equity demands that	8	broker-dealer.
9	judgment be entered in favor of my client.	9	Q What is the name of that broker-dealer?
10	Thank you, Your Honor.	10	A Weiser Asset Management.
11	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Nork. You can call	11	Q And sometimes referred to as WAM; correct?
12	your first witness.	12	A Correct.
13	- MR. NORK: Mr. Livadas, please.	13	Q Could you briefly outline for the Court your
14	Your Honor, I'm excluding witnesses. We've	14	educational background?
15	already discussed it.	15	A Educational background is high school and some
16		16	years' university, incomplete, and business commerce.
17	CHRISTOS LIVADAS,	17	Q And where did you attend university?
18	having been first duly sworn,	18	A University of Calgary, Canada.
19	was examined and testified as follows:	19	Q Okay. Did you obtain a degree?
20		20	A No.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION	21	Q After attending college, can you outline for
22	BY MR. NORK:	22	the Court your work history, please?
23	Q Good morning.	23	A After college, miscellaneous jobs, various
24	A Good morning.	24	jobs, mostly service industry and so forth shortly after
			Jonn,
1	Page 20	1	Page 21
1	college. Continue until now?	1	what's we're calling Weiser Capital.
2	college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please.	2	what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you
2 3	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then</pre>	2 3	what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when?
2 3 4	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call</pre>	2 3 4	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was</pre>
2 3 4 5	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so</pre>	2 3 4 5	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after I sold my interest in Stockhouse, then I went into more</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down. Don't think that I'm trying to take the record of these</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after I sold my interest in Stockhouse, then I went into more of the venture capital business and helping raise capital</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down. Don't think that I'm trying to take the record of these proceedings. That's the court reporter's job. I'm just</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after I sold my interest in Stockhouse, then I went into more of the venture capital business and helping raise capital for companies.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down. Don't think that I'm trying to take the record of these proceedings. That's the court reporter's job. I'm just making notes to myself. So I don't want you to feel I'm</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after I sold my interest in Stockhouse, then I went into more of the venture capital business and helping raise capital for companies. Q And what year, approximately, was that?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down. Don't think that I'm trying to take the record of these proceedings. That's the court reporter's job. I'm just making notes to myself. So I don't want you to feel I'm not paying attention to you if you look at me and I'm not</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after I sold my interest in Stockhouse, then I went into more of the venture capital business and helping raise capital for companies. Q And what year, approximately, was that? A For which part?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down. Don't think that I'm trying to take the record of these proceedings. That's the court reporter's job. I'm just making notes to myself. So I don't want you to feel I'm not paying attention to you if you look at me and I'm not looking at you. So you look wherever you want to,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after I sold my interest in Stockhouse, then I went into more of the venture capital business and helping raise capital for companies. Q And what year, approximately, was that? A For which part? Q After you completed the sale of Stockhouse.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down. Don't think that I'm trying to take the record of these proceedings. That's the court reporter's job. I'm just making notes to myself. So I don't want you to feel I'm not paying attention to you if you look at me and I'm not looking at you. So you look wherever you want to, whatever makes you most comfortable.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after I sold my interest in Stockhouse, then I went into more of the venture capital business and helping raise capital for companies. Q And what year, approximately, was that? A For which part? Q After you completed the sale of Stockhouse. A Oh, that was around 2000, 2001.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down. Don't think that I'm trying to take the record of these proceedings. That's the court reporter's job. I'm just making notes to myself. So I don't want you to feel I'm not paying attention to you if you look at me and I'm not looking at you. So you look wherever you want to, whatever makes you most comfortable. THE WITNESS: So Weiser Asset Management as a</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after I sold my interest in Stockhouse, then I went into more of the venture capital business and helping raise capital for companies. Q And what year, approximately, was that? A For which part? Q After you completed the sale of Stockhouse. A Oh, that was around 2000, 2001. Q Okay. And how long did you work in the venture</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down. Don't think that I'm trying to take the record of these proceedings. That's the court reporter's job. I'm just making notes to myself. So I don't want you to feel I'm not paying attention to you if you look at me and I'm not looking at you. So you look wherever you want to, whatever makes you most comfortable. THE WITNESS: So Weiser Asset Management as a broker-dealer which was owned by a bank and trust, I knew</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after I sold my interest in Stockhouse, then I went into more of the venture capital business and helping raise capital for companies. Q And what year, approximately, was that? A For which part? Q After you completed the sale of Stockhouse. A Oh, that was around 2000, 2001. Q Okay. And how long did you work in the venture capital area?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down. Don't think that I'm trying to take the record of these proceedings. That's the court reporter's job. I'm just making notes to myself. So I don't want you to feel I'm not paying attention to you if you look at me and I'm not looking at you. So you look wherever you want to, whatever makes you most comfortable. THE WITNESS: So Weiser Asset Management as a broker-dealer which was owned by a bank and trust, I knew the principals. Just through general associations I knew</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after I sold my interest in Stockhouse, then I went into more of the venture capital business and helping raise capital for companies. Q And what year, approximately, was that? A For which part? Q After you completed the sale of Stockhouse. A Oh, that was around 2000, 2001. Q Okay. And how long did you work in the venture capital area? A So I'll call it freelance venture capital.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down. Don't think that I'm trying to take the record of these proceedings. That's the court reporter's job. I'm just making notes to myself. So I don't want you to feel I'm not paying attention to you if you look at me and I'm not looking at you. So you look wherever you want to, whatever makes you most comfortable. THE WITNESS: So Weiser Asset Management as a broker-dealer which was owned by a bank and trust, I knew the principals. Just through general associations I knew the principals of the bank, and we did a deal where I</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after I sold my interest in Stockhouse, then I went into more of the venture capital business and helping raise capital for companies. Q And what year, approximately, was that? A For which part? Q After you completed the sale of Stockhouse. A Oh, that was around 2000, 2001. Q Okay. And how long did you work in the venture capital area? A So I'll call it freelance venture capital. That would be essentially until the present. It's still</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down. Don't think that I'm trying to take the record of these proceedings. That's the court reporter's job. I'm just making notes to myself. So I don't want you to feel I'm not paying attention to you if you look at me and I'm not looking at you. So you look wherever you want to, whatever makes you most comfortable. THE WITNESS: So Weiser Asset Management as a broker-dealer which was owned by a bank and trust, I knew the principals. Just through general associations I knew the principals of the bank, and we did a deal where I would help them build a business on the broker-dealer</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after I sold my interest in Stockhouse, then I went into more of the venture capital business and helping raise capital for companies. Q And what year, approximately, was that? A For which part? Q After you completed the sale of Stockhouse. A Oh, that was around 2000, 2001. Q Okay. And how long did you work in the venture capital area? A So I'll call it freelance venture capital. That would be essentially until the present. It's still the type of business I do.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down. Don't think that I'm trying to take the record of these proceedings. That's the court reporter's job. I'm just making notes to myself. So I don't want you to feel I'm not paying attention to you if you look at me and I'm not looking at you. So you look wherever you want to, whatever makes you most comfortable. THE WITNESS: So Weiser Asset Management as a broker-dealer which was owned by a bank and trust, I knew the principals. Just through general associations I knew the principals of the bank, and we did a deal where I would help them build a business on the broker-dealer side because it wasn't the key focus for them, and it was</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after I sold my interest in Stockhouse, then I went into more of the venture capital business and helping raise capital for companies. Q And what year, approximately, was that? A For which part? Q After you completed the sale of Stockhouse. A Oh, that was around 2000, 2001. Q Okay. And how long did you work in the venture capital area? A So I'll call it freelance venture capital. That would be essentially until the present. It's still the type of business I do. Q Okay. Now, there are three different entities</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down. Don't think that I'm trying to take the record of these proceedings. That's the court reporter's job. I'm just making notes to myself. So I don't want you to feel I'm not paying attention to you if you look at me and I'm not looking at you. So you look wherever you want to, whatever makes you most comfortable. THE WITNESS: So Weiser Asset Management as a broker-dealer which was owned by a bank and trust, I knew the principals. Just through general associations I knew the principals of the bank, and we did a deal where I would help them build a business on the broker-dealer side because it wasn't the key focus for them, and it was doing some business, but not that much business and so on</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after I sold my interest in Stockhouse, then I went into more of the venture capital business and helping raise capital for companies. Q And what year, approximately, was that? A For which part? Q After you completed the sale of Stockhouse. A Oh, that was around 2000, 2001. Q Okay. And how long did you work in the venture capital area? A So I'll call it freelance venture capital. That would be essentially until the present. It's still the type of business I do. Q Okay. Now, there are three different entities with the name "Weiser" in them. There's Weiser Asset</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down. Don't think that I'm trying to take the record of these proceedings. That's the court reporter's job. I'm just making notes to myself. So I don't want you to feel I'm not paying attention to you if you look at me and I'm not looking at you. So you look wherever you want to, whatever makes you most comfortable. THE WITNESS: So Weiser Asset Management as a broker-dealer which was owned by a bank and trust, I knew the principals. Just through general associations I knew the principals of the bank, and we did a deal where I would help them build a business on the broker-dealer side because it wasn't the key focus for them, and it was doing some business, but not that much business and so on and so forth.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>college. Continue until now? Q Yes, please. A So miscellaneous jobs after college, and then eventually got introduced into the I guess I'll call it the business of public companies and so on and so forth. From there I was the co-founder of a company called Stockhouse, which was a financial media-type news company in Canada. That was in the late '90s, and after I sold my interest in Stockhouse, then I went into more of the venture capital business and helping raise capital for companies. Q And what year, approximately, was that? A For which part? Q After you completed the sale of Stockhouse. A Oh, that was around 2000, 2001. Q Okay. And how long did you work in the venture capital area? A So I'll call it freelance venture capital. That would be essentially until the present. It's still the type of business I do. Q Okay. Now, there are three different entities</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>what's we're calling Weiser Capital. Can you please describe to the Court how you became involved in all three of those and when? A So Weiser Asset Management, which was originally owned by a bank and trust in the Bahamas should I look at the judge? I'm not sure where I should be looking at. THE COURT: Wherever you feel comfortable. Sometimes what you'll see is I'm writing things down. Don't think that I'm trying to take the record of these proceedings. That's the court reporter's job. I'm just making notes to myself. So I don't want you to feel I'm not paying attention to you if you look at me and I'm not looking at you. So you look wherever you want to, whatever makes you most comfortable. THE WITNESS: So Weiser Asset Management as a broker-dealer which was owned by a bank and trust, I knew the principals. Just through general associations I knew the principals of the bank, and we did a deal where I would help them build a business on the broker-dealer side because it wasn't the key focus for them, and it was doing some business, but not that much business and so on</pre>

Page Page 23 2.2 1 referred to as an introducer's agreement or an agency 1 capital, so provided them advisory and capital-raising 2 agreement where I would help introduce business to the 2 services. 3 broker-dealer. So with that I established Weiser 3 And then approximately 2014, end of 2013, 2014, 4 Capital, which is my company. 4 then I negotiated a deal to acquire the broker-dealer, 5 BY MR. NORK: 5 during which Weiser Holdings was incorporated, around the 6 end of 2013, which then acquired Weiser Asset Management. Q What year was that, sir? 6 7 A That would have been, Weiser Capital, 2012, 7 0 Okav. approximately. 8 8 A At the end of 2013, 2014? 9 THE COURT: I hate to interrupt you. Mr. Nork, 9 Q So the current owner of Weiser Asset Management though, asked you when you became involved with Weiser is Weiser Holdings? 10 10 11 Asset Management. You didn't give me a specific date. 11 A Correct. 12 You described it, but you didn't say when that happened. 12 Q And Weiser Capital continues to provide 13 THE WITNESS: Oh, got involved around 2011. referral service to Weiser Asset Management? 13 14 Late 2010 to 2011 is when I first got involved with that 14 A Yes. 15 15 group. Q Does Weiser Capital only engage in business 16 16 with Weiser Asset Management or does it provide other THE COURT: Thank you. 17 BY MR. NORK: 17 services as well? 18 A No. It has multiple clients who are corporate 18 Q So then you formed Weiser Capital? 19 clients. Not other broker-dealers, but corporate clients A Weiser Capital, about a year later or so. 19 20 Q What services did Weiser Capital provide to 20 which hire us as an advisor for capital-raising and 21 Weiser Asset Management? M and A services. 21 22 A Introducing clients to Weiser Asset Management, 22 Q What do you mean by "M and A services"? 23 and separately Weiser Capital was also -- the clients 23 A If a company wants to do mergers or find 24 also consisted of corporate clients who need to raise 24 acquisitions, we help them find acquisition targets, how Page 25 Page 24 Q How would that work? 1 to structure the acquisition targets and put those deals 1 2 together. 2 A How would it work in the sense of? 3 Q So every time there's a sale? Q Okay. And you said there was an agreement 3 4 between Weiser Capital and WAM; correct? A Well, it's done typically quarterly. So 4 quarterly the broker-dealer looks at all the revenue 5 A Correct. 5 6 Q And how was Weiser Capital compensated for the 6 commission tables, etcetera, and then pays its 7 work it did for WAM? 7 introducers. 8 A It's compensated by getting a percentage of the 8 Q And that arrangement existed from 2011, 2012 to 9 commission fees, of the revenues that clients of Weiser 9 the present; correct? 10 which it has introduced generates at the firm. 10 A Correct. 11 11 Q So give me an example of how that would work. Q Now, you also testified that you acquired WAM 12 A So typical -- it's a pretty standard introducer 12 in 2013, 2014? 13 structure. Introducers will get anywhere -- typically, 13 A Yes. 14 standard is about 20 percent revenue share on the 14 Q Who was the prior owner of WAM? 15 commissions that are generated by the clients it has 15 A Equity Bank and Trust. 16 introduced to the broker-dealer. 16 Q And how did you know Equity Bank and Trust? 17 Q So if Weiser Capital introduced a client to 17 A I knew them -- I had just met them in Bahamas through friends. I had a relationship just amongst the 18 Weiser Asset Management, Weiser Capital would receive a 18 19 commission? 19 business community. 20 20 Q Okay. And Weiser Asset Management was a A Correct. 21 Q And if that client for Weiser Asset Management 21 broker-dealer arm of Equity Bank and Trust? 22 continued to buy and sell stock, would that continue to 22 A Correct. It was a subsidiary of Equity. 23 generate income for Weiser Capital? 23 Q Do you recall when you completed the acquisition of WAM? 24 A Correct. 24

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

	Page 26		Page 27
1	A It was between December 2013 and February 2014.	1	financials. That pretty much summarizes.
2	Q Okay. When you acquired WAM, describe to the	2	Q Did you receive any computer records?
3	Court what, if any, documents you received as part of the	3	A Computer records, no. We received prints.
4	acquisition.	4	Q Okay. What was printed out that you received
5	A So the documents we had received was basically	5	from their computer system?
6	a large storage facility with the transactional records	6	A Everything in the sense of there's again,
7	of WAM, plus we had received files, what we call the KYC	7	the KYC files, I don't know if that comes from the
8	files, the actual client identification files which	8	computer system or if it's hard copies, how that was
9	Q What does "KYC" stand know?	9	originated, but we receive I can't tell you exactly
10	A Know Your Client, Know Your Client files, which	10	everything that's there because it's a large storage
11	is client identification, account openings, things like	11	it's a large storage of paper essentially that has all
12	that.	12	the transactional records, their filings with the
13	Q So that would be like bank account	13	regulators, the company's books and records.
14	applications?	14	Q Did you receive access to the prior owner's
15	A It would be the account opening application,	15	computer system?
16	passports, reference letters, documents that verify their	16	A No.
17	residency, their residential address.	17	Q When you say "transactional records," how was
18	Q Are these all documents that are provided by a	18	that sorted? Was it sorted on a transactional basis or
19	customer in opening an account with WAM?	19	on a customer basis?
20	A Absolutely, yes.	20	A Transactional.
21	Q So you received transactional records, Know	21	Q Did you receive any customer records or
22	Your Customer records. What else?	22	statements?
23	A Various operating manuals, compliance manuals,	23	A We received the statements for 2013, which are
24	the firm's audited financials, historical audited	24	the closing statements for 2013.
	D		
1	Page 28 Q And did you receive a 2013 closing statement	1	Page 29 A Depends how I asked for it. Typically I would
2	for every customer of WAM?	2	just call and ask how many shares of XYZ does client ABC
3	A Yes.	3	have or how much cash do they have.
4	Q Okay. Prior to acquiring WAM, did you have	4	Q Okay. And you were allowed that information
5	access to WAM's records?	5	because you were the one who introduced that client to
6	A I had access to basic records. I quess the way	6	- WAM?
7	I would say it is I could request holdings, I could	7	A Correct. And because I was dealing with the
8	request certain information on certain clients, not all	8	client relationship on an ongoing basis.
9	the clients. I couldn't access all of WAM's	9	Q For your clients, what was their primary source
10	transactional records. So I could only access some basic	10	of contact with WAM? How did they contact WAM?
11	client information just for the clients that I had	11	A Mostly through me. Nearly all the time would
12	introduced.	12	be through me.
13	Q So if you had introduced clients to WAM, you	13	Q And what do you mean by that?
14	had the ability to access those clients' records?	14	A If they wanted to do something, to make a trade
15	A Some parts of it.	15	or ask a question on their account, they would ask me.
16	Q What do you mean by "some parts of it"?	16	Q And then you in turn would ask someone at WAM?
17	A Typically holdings, how much shares and cash	17	A Correct.
18	they have is what I was allowed to ask.	18	Q So you have seen the storage facility that
19	Q And how would you acquire that information?	19	contains all of WAM's records?
20	A I would ask either the CEO or the compliance	20	A Yes.
21	officer or the broker, the official the registered	21	Q And what is your title with respect to WAM now
22	broker of the firm.	22	that you have acquired it?
23	Q Okay. And how was that information provided to	23	A My title now? I'm a risk advisor to the firm.
24	you?	24	Q And what is your title with Weiser Holdings?

	Page 30		Page 31
1	A Director.	1	MR. NORK: Sorry.
2	Q So you're a director of Weiser Holdings and	2	BY MR. NORK:
3	Weiser Holdings is a 100 percent owner of WAM?	3	Q From the time of your acquisition of WAM to the
4	A Correct.	4	present, you are familiar with WAM's recordkeeping
5	Q Are you familiar with the documents that are	5	system?
6	kept in WAM's ordinary course of business?	6	- A Yes.
7	MR. ANDERSON: Objection, Your Honor. Vaque as	7	Q And you've already established that you had the
8	to time period.	8	opportunity to review all of the files that were provided
9	THE COURT: You can clarify the question.	9	to you as part of your acquisition of WAM; is that
10	MR. NORK: I'll clarify.	10	correct?
11	BY MR. NORK:	11	A Not all of the files, no. There's a lot of
12	Q Since the time of your acquisition of WAM, are	12	files. No, I haven't reviewed all of the files.
13	you familiar with the documents kept in WAM's ordinary	13	Q I understand, but you're familiar with what was
14	course of business?		
		14	provided to you as part of your acquisition?
15	A Yes. The types of documents, yes.	15	A The general types of files.
16	Q And you're familiar with the files kept at WAM	16	Q And have you had an opportunity to specifically
17	from the time of your ownership forward?	17	review some of those historic documents?
18	A Generally. I don't have a clear I don't do	18	A Some. Yes, I've dug through some.
19	a whole detailed file structure.	19	Q Since the time of your acquisition of WAM, have
20	Q You haven't committed them to memory?	20	you participated in audits of WAM?
21	A No.	21	A No.
22	Q You're familiar with WAM's recordkeeping	22	Q Have you participated in audits of WAM's
23	system?	23	recordkeeping system?
24	MR. ANDERSON: Same objection, Your Honor.	24	A No.
	Page 32		Page 33
1	Q From the time of your acquisition of WAM, do	1	A So a Class I broker-dealer is permitted to do
2	you know WAM's procedures for generating and maintaining	2	custodized assets for clients.
3	its records?	3	Q What does that mean?
4	A For generating its records?	4	A To actually hold them on its I won't say its
5	Q Yes.		
1 7	£	5	balance sheet, but it's allowed to it's authorized to
6	A Well, the records are generated by computer	5 6	balance sheet, but it's allowed to it's authorized to hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I
-	-		
6	A Well, the records are generated by computer	6	hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I
6 7	A Well, the records are generated by computer database system.	6 7	hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower
6 7 8	A Well, the records are generated by computer database system. Q And you're familiar with that system?	6 7 8	hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower classes of licenses aren't permitted to hold or to take
6 7 8 9	 A Well, the records are generated by computer database system. Q And you're familiar with that system? A Yes. 	6 7 8 9	hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower classes of licenses aren't permitted to hold or to take the risk of holding the assets. They must put it with a
6 7 8 9 10	 A Well, the records are generated by computer database system. Q And you're familiar with that system? A Yes. Q Now, you've used the term "broker-dealer." Can 	6 7 8 9 10	hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower classes of licenses aren't permitted to hold or to take the risk of holding the assets. They must put it with a prime broker-dealer or with a prime bank who takes the
6 7 8 9 10 11	 A Well, the records are generated by computer database system. Q And you're familiar with that system? A Yes. Q Now, you've used the term "broker-dealer." Can you generally describe for the court what a broker-dealer 	6 7 8 9 10 11	hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower classes of licenses aren't permitted to hold or to take the risk of holding the assets. They must put it with a prime broker-dealer or with a prime bank who takes the responsibility for the assets.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>A Well, the records are generated by computer database system.</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower classes of licenses aren't permitted to hold or to take the risk of holding the assets. They must put it with a prime broker-dealer or with a prime bank who takes the responsibility for the assets. Q Okay. So give me an example of how that class
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>A Well, the records are generated by computer database system. Q And you're familiar with that system? A Yes. Q Now, you've used the term "broker-dealer." Can you generally describe for the court what a broker-dealer is and does? A A broker-dealer is a financial institution</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower classes of licenses aren't permitted to hold or to take the risk of holding the assets. They must put it with a prime broker-dealer or with a prime bank who takes the responsibility for the assets. Q Okay. So give me an example of how that class designation would apply for a customer of WAM. Let's say
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A Well, the records are generated by computer database system. Q And you're familiar with that system? A Yes. Q Now, you've used the term "broker-dealer." Can you generally describe for the court what a broker-dealer is and does? A A broker-dealer is a financial institution which is licensed by the Securities Commission to carry 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower classes of licenses aren't permitted to hold or to take the risk of holding the assets. They must put it with a prime broker-dealer or with a prime bank who takes the responsibility for the assets. Q Okay. So give me an example of how that class designation would apply for a customer of WAM. Let's say I'm a customer of WAM and I deliver a stock certificate
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>A Well, the records are generated by computer database system. Q And you're familiar with that system? A Yes. Q Now, you've used the term "broker-dealer." Can you generally describe for the court what a broker-dealer is and does? A A broker-dealer is a financial institution which is licensed by the Securities Commission to carry customer assets, which are typically cash and securities,</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower classes of licenses aren't permitted to hold or to take the risk of holding the assets. They must put it with a prime broker-dealer or with a prime bank who takes the responsibility for the assets. Q Okay. So give me an example of how that class designation would apply for a customer of WAM. Let's say I'm a customer of WAM and I deliver a stock certificate to you. What would you as a Class I broker-dealer be
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A Well, the records are generated by computer database system. Q And you're familiar with that system? A Yes. Q Now, you've used the term "broker-dealer." Can you generally describe for the court what a broker-dealer is and does? A A broker-dealer is a financial institution which is licensed by the Securities Commission to carry customer assets, which are typically cash and securities, and to trade, to execute trades on those assets. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower classes of licenses aren't permitted to hold or to take the risk of holding the assets. They must put it with a prime broker-dealer or with a prime bank who takes the responsibility for the assets. Q Okay. So give me an example of how that class designation would apply for a customer of WAM. Let's say I'm a customer of WAM and I deliver a stock certificate to you. What would you as a Class I broker-dealer be allowed to do with that stock certificate?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A Well, the records are generated by computer database system. Q And you're familiar with that system? A Yes. Q Now, you've used the term "broker-dealer." Can you generally describe for the court what a broker-dealer is and does? A A broker-dealer is a financial institution which is licensed by the Securities Commission to carry customer assets, which are typically cash and securities, and to trade, to execute trades on those assets. Q Are there different classes of broker-dealer? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower classes of licenses aren't permitted to hold or to take the risk of holding the assets. They must put it with a prime broker-dealer or with a prime bank who takes the responsibility for the assets. Q Okay. So give me an example of how that class designation would apply for a customer of WAM. Let's say I'm a customer of WAM and I deliver a stock certificate to you. What would you as a Class I broker-dealer be allowed to do with that stock certificate; A If it's a physical certificate, to keep it in
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A Well, the records are generated by computer database system. Q And you're familiar with that system? A Yes. Q Now, you've used the term "broker-dealer." Can you generally describe for the court what a broker-dealer is and does? A A broker-dealer is a financial institution which is licensed by the Securities Commission to carry customer assets, which are typically cash and securities, and to trade, to execute trades on those assets. Q Are there different classes of broker-dealer? A Yes. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower classes of licenses aren't permitted to hold or to take the risk of holding the assets. They must put it with a prime broker-dealer or with a prime bank who takes the responsibility for the assets. Q Okay. So give me an example of how that class designation would apply for a customer of WAM. Let's say I'm a customer of WAM and I deliver a stock certificate to you. What would you as a Class I broker-dealer be allowed to do with that stock certificate? A If it's a physical certificate, to keep it in its vault, so to vault that certificate, and if it was
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A Well, the records are generated by computer database system. Q And you're familiar with that system? A Yes. Q Now, you've used the term "broker-dealer." Can you generally describe for the court what a broker-dealer is and does? A A broker-dealer is a financial institution which is licensed by the Securities Commission to carry customer assets, which are typically cash and securities, and to trade, to execute trades on those assets. Q Are there different classes of broker-dealer? A Yes. Q And is WAM a broker-dealer? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower classes of licenses aren't permitted to hold or to take the risk of holding the assets. They must put it with a prime broker-dealer or with a prime bank who takes the responsibility for the assets.</pre> Q Okay. So give me an example of how that class designation would apply for a customer of WAM. Let's say I'm a customer of WAM and I deliver a stock certificate to you. What would you as a Class I broker-dealer be allowed to do with that stock certificate? A If it's a physical certificate, to keep it in its vault, so to vault that certificate, and if it was delivered electronically, to post it to Weiser's account
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A Well, the records are generated by computer database system. Q And you're familiar with that system? A Yes. Q Now, you've used the term "broker-dealer." Can you generally describe for the court what a broker-dealer is and does? A A broker-dealer is a financial institution which is licensed by the Securities Commission to carry customer assets, which are typically cash and securities, and to trade, to execute trades on those assets. Q Are there different classes of broker-dealer? A Yes. Q And is WAM a broker-dealer? A Yes. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower classes of licenses aren't permitted to hold or to take the risk of holding the assets. They must put it with a prime broker-dealer or with a prime bank who takes the responsibility for the assets. Q Okay. So give me an example of how that class designation would apply for a customer of WAM. Let's say I'm a customer of WAM and I deliver a stock certificate to you. What would you as a Class I broker-dealer be allowed to do with that stock certificate? A If it's a physical certificate, to keep it in its vault, so to vault that certificate, and if it was delivered electronically, to post it to Weiser's account and take the responsibility for it.</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Well, the records are generated by computer database system. Q And you're familiar with that system? A Yes. Q Now, you've used the term "broker-dealer." Can you generally describe for the court what a broker-dealer is and does? A A broker-dealer is a financial institution which is licensed by the Securities Commission to carry customer assets, which are typically cash and securities, and to trade, to execute trades on those assets. Q Are there different classes of broker-dealer? A Yes. Q And is WAM a broker-dealer? A Yes. Q What class is WAM? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower classes of licenses aren't permitted to hold or to take the risk of holding the assets. They must put it with a prime broker-dealer or with a prime bank who takes the responsibility for the assets. Q Okay. So give me an example of how that class designation would apply for a customer of WAM. Let's say I'm a customer of WAM and I deliver a stock certificate to you. What would you as a Class I broker-dealer be allowed to do with that stock certificate? A If it's a physical certificate, to keep it in its vault, so to vault that certificate, and if it was delivered electronically, to post it to Weiser's account and take the responsibility for it. Q So it would be as if you had my balance of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Well, the records are generated by computer database system. Q And you're familiar with that system? A Yes. Q Now, you've used the term "broker-dealer." Can you generally describe for the court what a broker-dealer is and does? A A broker-dealer is a financial institution which is licensed by the Securities Commission to carry customer assets, which are typically cash and securities, and to trade, to execute trades on those assets. Q Are there different classes of broker-dealer? A Yes. Q And is WAM a broker-dealer? A Yes. Q What class is WAM? A It's a Class I broker-dealer. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>hold them under its risk, I guess is the easiest way I could describe it, whereas broker-dealers with lower classes of licenses aren't permitted to hold or to take the risk of holding the assets. They must put it with a prime broker-dealer or with a prime bank who takes the responsibility for the assets.</pre>

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

	Page 34		Page 35
1	of stock versus a physical stock certificate?	1	now has clients who are other broker-dealers as its
2	A Oh, well, a paper certificate can't be	2	clients, so that's as a prime broker now, and then it has
3	transacted on easily. If a client wants to sell today,	3	its direct individual clients.
4	let's say, they can't transact with a paper certificate.	4	So as it sits now, the client group that it's
5	Q What has to happen to a paper certificate	5	responsible for with the broker-dealers which it
6	before a client can sell it?	6	custodizes for, somewhere in the neighborhood of
7	A It has to go to a transfer agent to be cleared	7	approximately 2,000 clients, thereabouts.
8	into the electronic DTC system.	8	Q When you acquired WAM in early 2014, how many
9	Q And I've heard the term "dematerialize." Is	9	customers did WAM have?
10	that the process you just described?	10	A About a hundred.
11	A Correct.	11	Q Now, you have also used the term "prime
12	Q So a physical stock certificate goes to the	12	broker-dealer." What is a prime broker-dealer?
13	transfer agent, it becomes converted into an electronic	13	A So prime broker-dealer is one who has full
14	stock certificate, which then goes to WAM's balance;	14	custodial rights of assets, but also one that the way
15	correct?	15	we most differentiate it is that it also carries the cash
16	A Correct.	16	balances for clients because it has relationships to
17	Q And then WAM can sell it or buy it as directed	17	prime banks to do so.
18	by its clients?	18	Small broker-dealers typically cannot get a
19	A Correct.	19	prime banking relationship, they can't take
20	Q Approximately how many customers does WAM have	20	responsibility for the cash, so they go to a prime
21	presently?	21	broker-dealer. So sometimes it's depending on the
22	A Presently, I would classify the customers as	22	jurisdiction, it's because either their license doesn't
23	two on two levels. One is if it is institutional	23	allow them to carry the cash or because they're just not
24	customers, so we have institutional customers. So WAM	24	big enough for a prime bank to deal with them, so they'll
1	Page 36 deal with a prime broker-dealer, and that's who carries	1	Page 37 A No.
- I	deal with a prime broker dealer, and that's who earlies	<u>+</u>	A NO.
2	their cash positions	2	O For CTRC, at the time you acquired WAM, do you
2	their cash positions. O Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship	2	Q For CIBC, at the time you acquired WAM, do you recall who its prime bank was?
3	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship	3	recall who its prime bank was?
3 4	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer?	3 4	recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end.
3 4 5	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks.	3 4 5	recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing?
3 4 5 6	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks?	3 4 5 6	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct.	3 4 5 6 7	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t?</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did WAM have any relationships directly with prime banks?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t? A Correct.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did WAM have any relationships directly with prime banks? A No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t? A Correct. Q do you know who its prime bank was?</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did WAM have any relationships directly with prime banks? A No. Q Did WAM have relationships with prime	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t? A Correct. Q do you know who its prime bank was? A HSBC.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did WAM have any relationships directly with prime banks? A No. Q Did WAM have relationships with prime broker-dealers?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t? A Correct. Q do you know who its prime bank was? A HSBC. Q HSBC.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did WAM have any relationships directly with prime banks? A No. Q Did WAM have relationships with prime broker-dealers? A Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t? A Correct. Q do you know who its prime bank was? A HSBC. Q HSBC. Walk me through an example of if I'm a</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did WAM have any relationships directly with prime banks? A No. Q Did WAM have relationships with prime broker-dealers? A Yes. Q Can you give the Court the names of some of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t? A Correct. Q do you know who its prime bank was? A HSBC. Q HSBC. Walk me through an example of if I'm a customer of WAM and I sold my stock, how would the prime</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did WAM have any relationships directly with prime banks? A No. Q Did WAM have relationships with prime broker-dealers? A Yes. Q Can you give the Court the names of some of those prime broker-dealers?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t? A Correct. Q do you know who its prime bank was? A HSBC. Q HSBC. Walk me through an example of if I'm a customer of WAM and I sold my stock, how would the prime bank and the prime broker-dealer be involved?</pre>
 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did WAM have any relationships directly with prime banks? A No. Q Did WAM have relationships with prime broker-dealers? A Yes. Q Can you give the Court the names of some of those prime broker-dealers? A At the time we acquired it, it was Verdmont,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t? A Correct. Q do you know who its prime bank was? A HSBC. Q HSBC. Walk me through an example of if I'm a customer of WAM and I sold my stock, how would the prime bank and the prime broker-dealer be involved? A If you sold your stock on the public market?</pre>
 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did WAM have any relationships directly with prime banks? A No. Q Did WAM have relationships with prime broker-dealers? A Yes. Q Can you give the Court the names of some of those prime broker-dealers? A At the time we acquired it, it was Verdmont, which is in the case, CIBC, Interactive Brokers, and then	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t? A Correct. Q do you know who its prime bank was? A HSBC. Q HSBC. Walk me through an example of if I'm a customer of WAM and I sold my stock, how would the prime bank and the prime broker-dealer be involved? A If you sold your stock on the public market? Q No. If I directed WAM to sell my stock.</pre>
 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did WAM have any relationships directly with prime banks? A No. Q Did WAM have relationships with prime broker-dealers? A Yes. Q Can you give the Court the names of some of those prime broker-dealers? A A the time we acquired it, it was Verdmont, which is in the case, CIBC, Interactive Brokers, and then there was about three or four others.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t? A Correct. Q do you know who its prime bank was? A HSBC. Q HSBC. Walk me through an example of if I'm a customer of WAM and I sold my stock, how would the prime bank and the prime broker-dealer be involved? A If you sold your stock on the public market? Q No. If I directed WAM to sell my stock. A Are you talking in a private transaction or in</pre>
 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did WAM have any relationships directly with prime banks? A No. Q Did WAM have relationships with prime broker-dealers? A Yes. Q Can you give the Court the names of some of those prime broker-dealers? A A the time we acquired it, it was Verdmont, which is in the case, CIBC, Interactive Brokers, and then there was about three or four others. Q Okay. And did those prime broker-dealers then	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t? A Correct. Q do you know who its prime bank was? A HSBC. Q HSBC. Walk me through an example of if I'm a customer of WAM and I sold my stock, how would the prime bank and the prime broker-dealer be involved? A If you sold your stock on the public market? Q No. If I directed WAM to sell my stock. A Are you talking in a private transaction or in the public markets?</pre>
 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did WAM have any relationships directly with prime banks? A No. Q Did WAM have relationships with prime broker-dealers? A Yes. Q Can you give the Court the names of some of those prime broker-dealers? A At the time we acquired it, it was Verdmont, which is in the case, CIBC, Interactive Brokers, and then there was about three or four others. Q Okay. And did those prime broker-dealers then have relationships with prime banks?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t? A Correct. Q do you know who its prime bank was? A HSBC. Q HSBC. Walk me through an example of if I'm a customer of WAM and I sold my stock, how would the prime bank and the prime broker-dealer be involved? A If you sold your stock on the public market? Q No. If I directed WAM to sell my stock. A Are you talking in a private transaction or in the public markets? Q Let's take them each separately. Start with</pre>
 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did WAM have any relationships directly with prime banks? A No. Q Did WAM have relationships with prime broker-dealers? A Yes. Q Can you give the Court the names of some of those prime broker-dealers? A A the time we acquired it, it was Verdmont, which is in the case, CIBC, Interactive Brokers, and then there was about three or four others. Q Okay. And did those prime broker-dealers then have relationships with prime banks? A Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t? A Correct. Q do you know who its prime bank was? A HSBC. Q HSBC. Walk me through an example of if I'm a customer of WAM and I sold my stock, how would the prime bank and the prime broker-dealer be involved? A If you sold your stock on the public market? Q No. If I directed WAM to sell my stock. A Are you talking in a private transaction or in the public markets? Q Let's take them each separately. Start with the private transaction.</pre>
 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did WAM have any relationships directly with prime banks? A No. Q Did WAM have relationships with prime broker-dealers? A Yes. Q Can you give the Court the names of some of those prime broker-dealers? A A the time we acquired it, it was Verdmont, which is in the case, CIBC, Interactive Brokers, and then there was about three or four others. Q Okay. And did those prime broker-dealers then have relationships with prime banks? A Yes. Q Okay. And did those prime broker-dealers then	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t? A Correct. Q do you know who its prime bank was? A HSBC. Q HSBC. Walk me through an example of if I'm a customer of WAM and I sold my stock, how would the prime bank and the prime broker-dealer be involved? A If you sold your stock on the public market? Q No. If I directed WAM to sell my stock. A Are you talking in a private transaction or in the public markets? Q Let's take them each separately. Start with the private transaction. A Okay. So ask me the question again with a</pre>
 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Q Okay. So today does WAM have a relationship with a prime broker-dealer? A It does. And prime banks. Q So it also has relationships with prime banks? A Correct. Q At the time you acquired WAM in early 2014, did WAM have any relationships directly with prime banks? A No. Q Did WAM have relationships with prime broker-dealers? A Yes. Q Can you give the Court the names of some of those prime broker-dealers? A A the time we acquired it, it was Verdmont, which is in the case, CIBC, Interactive Brokers, and then there was about three or four others. Q Okay. And did those prime broker-dealers then have relationships with prime banks? A Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>recall who its prime bank was? A CIBC, the broker-dealer end. Q And the bank is the same thing? A Yes. Q And for Verdmont V-e-r-d-m-o-n-t? A Correct. Q do you know who its prime bank was? A HSBC. Q HSBC. Walk me through an example of if I'm a customer of WAM and I sold my stock, how would the prime bank and the prime broker-dealer be involved? A If you sold your stock on the public market? Q No. If I directed WAM to sell my stock. A Are you talking in a private transaction or in the public markets? Q Let's take them each separately. Start with the private transaction.</pre>

	Page 38		Page 39
1	bank involved in that transaction, if at all?	1	Q Now, if I'm a WAM client and I have money in my
2	A No. In a private transaction, if we have both	2	account with WAM, can I direct funds from that account to
3	the buyer and the selling clients on the private	3	be wired to some other account?
4	transaction, the prime broker and the prime bank are not	4	A Yeah.
5	involved because there's no transaction at their level.	5	Q And how would the prime broker-dealer and the
6	Q That transaction is contained entirely within	6	prime bank be involved in that process?
7	WAM?	7	A Well, we give the transfer request to the prime
8	A Correct.	8	broker, and then the prime broker processes that forward
9	Q Okay. What if the buyer of the stock is not a	9	with their prime bank.
10	WAM client? Then how are they involved?	10	Q And then what happens?
11	A If it's not a WAM client, then we will	11	A And then the account which is on the
12	typically take the funds into escrow from the buyer,	12	instructions to receive the money, wherever that might
13	we'll have the funds in escrow, which are at the prime	13	be, receives the money.
14	broker or the prime bank, and when the deal closes, the	14	Q Okay. So let's say I wanted to give Frank
15	bank or the escrow release will happen to so the buyer	15	LaForge some money out of my WAM account. I would make
16	will have the cash on escrow, the buyer will have the	16	that request to you at WAM; correct?
17	cash on escrow, and then when the seller assigns the	17	A Correct.
18	certificate over, then the cash is released from escrow	18	Q And then you would instruct the prime
19	to the seller. Is that in the right order? Ask me if	19	broker-dealer?
20	you need me to explain it again?	20	A Correct.
20	Q I think I've got it. So the money gets	20	0 To do what?
22	escrowed from the buyer; the seller sells the stock and	22	A To send to send this balance of funds to
22	receives the money?	23	Frank's account.
24	A Correct.	23 24	Q Okay. And that prime broker-dealer makes that
27	A COLLECC.	27	Q OKAY. AINI HIAL PIINE DIOKEI-dealei makes hial
	Page 40		Page 41
	instruction to the prime bank?	1	A Yes.
2	A Correct.	2	Q Because I'm a WAM client, but you're not
3	Q And then the prime bank sends the money to	3	physically holding it?
4	Frank's bank?	4	A Right.
5	A Yes.	5	Q It's being held by the prime broker-dealer?
6	Q And so if we're talking about, as an example,	6	A Yes.
7	Verdmont being the prime broker-dealer and its bank being	7	Q In this case Verdmont?
8	HSBC, the money would go from WAM to Verdmont to HSBC to	8	A Yes.
9	Frank LaForge's bank; correct?	9	Q And because it's not a bank, it has to instruct
10	A Correct.	10	its bank to send the money to Frank LaForge?
11	Q Why couldn't the money go directly from WAM to	11	A Correct.
12	Frank LaForge's bank?	12	Q All right. Now, we touched on this briefly,
13	A Because WAM only has an account at the prime	13	but I'd like to go into a little more detail.
14	broker. So the prime broker actually holds WAM's money	14	Let's talk about transactions between WAM
15	on its account, and its account is at its prime bank, so	15	clients. So one WAM client wants to sell its stock to
16	we don't have we don't have a bank to instruct to send	16	another WAM client.
17	money to.	17	You indicated that a prime broker-dealer and a
18	Q Okay. That's why you're relying on a prime	18	prime bank are not involved at all; correct?
19	broker-dealer?	19	A Correct.
20	A Yes.	20	Q What if that request is being made by Weiser
21	Q Because you don't actually hold cash?	21	Capital? Do you understand me? So I ask you at Weiser
22	A Right.	22	Capital to find a buyer for my stock.
23	Q You can tell me that I have an account that has	23	A But you're a client of WAM?
24	cash in it; correct?	24	Q But I'm a client of WAM, correct.

Page 42 Page 43 1 How would that transaction go through? 1 would like to buy IBM or Coca-Cola or GM when these -- if MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Vague as to time. 2 they come up, I want to buy them? So you've got that 2 3 BY MR. NORK: 3 list of buyers --4 Q At any time. Has the process ever changed? 4 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 5 A No. 5 THE COURT: -- and now Mr. Nork is suggesting 6 Q Okay. Then at any time? 6 now I have somebody who wants to sell, the first thing 7 7 THE COURT: Overruled, then. you do is look at our list of buyers? 8 THE WITNESS: So you're a client of WAM? 8 THE WITNESS: Correct. 9 BY MR. NORK: 9 THE COURT: Go ahead. 10 10 BY MR. NORK: Q Yes, sir. 11 A And you ask me because I'm your rep as Weiser 11 Q That keeps it all within WAM; correct? 12 12 A Correct. Capital? 13 Q Yes. 13 Q And you indicated that it doesn't involve a 14 A So if you're holding 100 shares of Apple, 14 prime bank or a prime broker-dealer; correct? 15 whatever it might be, and you say, "I want to sell these 15 A Correct. shares," then it happens one of two ways. If we don't 16 Q What, if any, documentation is required to 16 17 have a buyer for those shares on the order book side, on memorialize that transaction? 17 A None. 18 18 the buy side, then the order goes to market. If we have 19 19 a buyer on the buy side of our order book, then the Q What do you mean by that? 20 transaction happens directly between us, say between --20 A When somebody gives the order, there's no 21 the firm's in the middle and it settles the trade between 21 documentation that gets processed to execute the trade. 22 the buyer and the seller. 22 Q And is that because they're both WAM customers? 23 23 THE COURT: So just to clarify, then, on the A There's no -- just in the brokerage business, when you give an order, there's no documentation. 24 books on the buy side, that's just clients who say, I 24 Page 44 Page 45 Q So if I just call my stockbroker and say, A If it doesn't have any restrictions from the 1 1 2 "Please sell my Apple stock," you say there's no 2 securities commission, no. 3 documentation? Q And what if there is a restriction? 3 4 A No, not until after the trade is done. After A Then you can't sell it. 4 5 the trade is done, then of course they'll put it to your 5 Q How long do I have to wait typically? 6 account. A Every stock is different depending on the 6 7 Q Okay. And as I understand the transaction 7 restrictions it has on it. If you're talking on a 8 involving two WAM customers, the stock goes from the 8 private transaction, it depends. It can go anywhere from 9 seller to Weiser Capital to the buyer; is that correct? 9 zero to 12 months or more. The norm is 6 to 12 months on 10 A To Weiser Asset Management. If it's a listed private transactions. 10 11 security -- if it's a public security, it goes through 11 If a certificate already has a legend on it and 12 Weiser Asset Management. 12 it's been issued under Rule 144 or other SEC rules that 13 I'm not familiar with, but if it has a legend on it that Q Okay. And how long is it held by Weiser Asset 13 14 Management? 14 says it cannot be sold in the private market and then you 15 A For a microsecond, just -- it's simultaneous. buy that certificate, then you still can't sell it for 15 six months minimum, and it's usually six to twelve months 16 Q The money and the stock is changing at 16 17 approximately the same time? 17 that it can't be sold. 18 A But it never goes directly client to client. 18 Q Okay. So if I'm buying that kind of stock, I'm 19 It has to always go through the broker. 19 a Weiser client, there's no documentation of the order or 20 Q Okay. If I'm a Weiser client and I buy stock of the sale or of the purchase, but I can't do anything 20 21 from a Weiser client, can I then immediately sell it? 21 with the stock for six months? 22 A No. Not until the restriction is lifted from 22 A If it's publicly traded, yeah. 23 the certificate. 23 Q Okay. Is there a time restriction on my 24 ability to sell it? 24 Q And does Weiser need to do anything to get that

	Page 46		Page 47
1	restriction lifted?	1	way?
2	A Yes. We have to put it through a transfer	2	THE WITNESS: No. I don't know. Because it
3	agent, to the issuer or the transfer agent and request	3	goes to the transfer agent. I would imagine that the
4	that the certificate is either reissued as a certificate	4	transfer agent this is my guess that the transfer
5	without the restriction or is put directly in electronic	5	agent keeps them and has somehow done I don't know
6	form to DTC, as we call it.	6	something.
7	Q And that's the dematerialization that we talked	7	THE COURT: I don't want you to guess. I won't
8	about before; correct?	8	consider that answer because it's speculative. I was
9	A Yes.	9	just curious.
10	Q So after six months Weiser can then go to the	10	THE WITNESS: I don't know because it happens
11	transfer agent and say either issue a new stock	11	at the transfer agent level.
12	certificate in a new name or convert it to electronic	12	BY MR. NORK:
13	form so that we can then sell it out in the open market?	13	Q But one thing you do know, though, is when WAM
14	A Yeah. The point of dematerializing is not	14	is trying to dematerialize stock, it must sell the stock
15	necessarily to just sell it out because you can	15	certificate to the transfer agent; correct?
16	dematerialize it and not sell it. It's to have it in	16	A Not sell it, no. We just send it.
17	electronic form because it's always preferred to have	17	Q Send it?
18	it or people generally prefer to have it in electronic	18	A Send it, yeah.
19	form rather than holding the paper certificate.	19	Q So it gets delivered to the transfer agent, and
20	THE COURT: Just out of curiosity, what happens	20	that's the last WAM sees of the original stock
21	to the paper certificate once it's dematerialized?	21	certificate?
22	THE WITNESS: No idea. The transfer agent does	22	A Correct.
23	something.	23	Q So in the scenario we just described where
24	THE COURT: Is it shredded or destroyed in some	24	we've got two WAM clients doing a private sale of stock,
1	Page 48 what happens if the seller doesn't deliver the stock?	1	Page 49 it. So then when you want to sell it, the firm has the
2	A Well, we usually don't do the transaction	2	liability to it when you sell it. It has to cover that
-	-	-	
3	unless the firm is holding the stock. So it will always	3	
3 4	unless the firm is holding the stock. So it will always ask for the position to be there in order to do a	3 4	liability.
4	ask for the position to be there in order to do a	4	liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser
4 5	ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is	4 5	liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to
4 5 6	ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there.	4 5 6	liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that
4 5	ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there. Q Okay. So WAM is holding the physical stock	4 5	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser</pre>
4 5 6 7 8	ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there. Q Okay. So WAM is holding the physical stock certificate?	4 5 6 7 8	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there.</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself? A It has to pay the client. If you're showing</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10	<pre>ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there. Q Okay. So WAM is holding the physical stock certificate? A Right. Q Okay. What if there's an instance in which</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself? A It has to pay the client. If you're showing you have an asset worth \$1,000 in the account and you</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there. Q Okay. So WAM is holding the physical stock certificate? A Right. Q Okay. What if there's an instance in which there's something wrong with the stock certificate or</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself? A It has to pay the client. If you're showing you have an asset worth \$1,000 in the account and you decide to to sell that asset, transfer that asset,</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there. Q Okay. So WAM is holding the physical stock certificate? A Right. Q Okay. What if there's an instance in which there's something wrong with the stock certificate or it's on hold or something has happened to that stock</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself? A It has to pay the client. If you're showing you have an asset worth \$1,000 in the account and you decide to to sell that asset, transfer that asset, Weiser has to cover that request.</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there. Q Okay. So WAM is holding the physical stock certificate? A Right. Q Okay. What if there's an instance in which there's something wrong with the stock certificate or it's on hold or something has happened to that stock certificate? What happens to that sale?</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself? A It has to pay the client. If you're showing you have an asset worth \$1,000 in the account and you decide to to sell that asset, transfer that asset, Weiser has to cover that request. Q And they can cover it either by cash or by</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there. Q Okay. So WAM is holding the physical stock certificate? A Right. Q Okay. What if there's an instance in which there's something wrong with the stock certificate or it's on hold or something has happened to that stock certificate? What happens to that sale? A Well, technically, the sale has already gone</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself? A It has to pay the client. If you're showing you have an asset worth \$1,000 in the account and you decide to to sell that asset, transfer that asset, Weiser has to cover that request. Q And they can cover it either by cash or by buying stock itself?</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there. Q Okay. So WAM is holding the physical stock certificate? A Right. Q Okay. What if there's an instance in which there's something wrong with the stock certificate or it's on hold or something has happened to that stock certificate? What happens to that sale? A Well, technically, the sale has already gone through because it's already been effected on the records</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself? A It has to pay the client. If you're showing you have an asset worth \$1,000 in the account and you decide to to sell that asset, transfer that asset, Weiser has to cover that request. Q And they can cover it either by cash or by buying stock itself? A Right, buying stock itself and then buying</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there. Q Okay. So WAM is holding the physical stock certificate? A Right. Q Okay. What if there's an instance in which there's something wrong with the stock certificate or it's on hold or something has happened to that stock certificate? What happens to that sale? A Well, technically, the sale has already gone through because it's already been effected on the records of WAM, so one client is owning it and one client now is</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself? A It has to pay the client. If you're showing you have an asset worth \$1,000 in the account and you decide to to sell that asset, transfer that asset, Weiser has to cover that request. Q And they can cover it either by cash or by buying stock itself?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there.</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself? A It has to pay the client. If you're showing you have an asset worth \$1,000 in the account and you decide to to sell that asset, transfer that asset, Weiser has to cover that request. Q And they can cover it either by cash or by buying stock itself? A Right, buying stock itself and then buying stock itself to cover the position that's missing from the client's account.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there. Q Okay. So WAM is holding the physical stock certificate? A Right. Q Okay. What if there's an instance in which there's something wrong with the stock certificate or it's on hold or something has happened to that stock certificate? What happens to that sale? A Well, technically, the sale has already gone through because it's already been effected on the records of WAM, so one client is owning it and one client now is not owning it on the records of WAM. Q Does that potentially expose Weiser to any</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself? A It has to pay the client. If you're showing you have an asset worth \$1,000 in the account and you decide to to sell that asset, transfer that asset, Weiser has to cover that request. Q And they can cover it either by cash or by buying stock itself? A Right, buying stock itself and then buying stock itself to cover the position that's missing from the client's account. Q So if I bought a thousand shares of Apple in a </pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there. Q Okay. So WAM is holding the physical stock certificate? A Right. Q Okay. What if there's an instance in which there's something wrong with the stock certificate or it's on hold or something has happened to that stock certificate? What happens to that sale? A Well, technically, the sale has already gone through because it's already been effected on the records of WAM, so one client is owning it and one client now is not owning it on the records of WAM. Q Does that potentially expose Weiser to any risk?</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself? A It has to pay the client. If you're showing you have an asset worth \$1,000 in the account and you decide to to sell that asset, transfer that asset, Weiser has to cover that request. Q And they can cover it either by cash or by buying stock itself? A Right, buying stock itself and then buying stock itself to cover the position that's missing from the client's account. Q So if I bought a thousand shares of Apple in a private sale at Weiser and I wait my six months for the</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there. Q Okay. So WAM is holding the physical stock certificate? A Right. Q Okay. What if there's an instance in which there's something wrong with the stock certificate or it's on hold or something has happened to that stock certificate? What happens to that sale? A Well, technically, the sale has already gone through because it's already been effected on the records of WAM, so one client is owning it and one client now is not owning it on the records of WAM. Q Does that potentially expose Weiser to any risk? A Yes, absolutely.</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself? A It has to pay the client. If you're showing you have an asset worth \$1,000 in the account and you decide to to sell that asset, transfer that asset, Weiser has to cover that request. Q And they can cover it either by cash or by buying stock itself? A Right, buying stock itself and then buying stock itself to cover the position that's missing from the client's account. Q So if I bought a thousand shares of Apple in a private sale at Weiser and I wait my six months for the restriction and I come to you and say, "Okay, I'm ready
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there. Q Okay. So WAM is holding the physical stock certificate? A Right. Q Okay. What if there's an instance in which there's something wrong with the stock certificate or it's on hold or something has happened to that stock certificate? What happens to that sale? A Well, technically, the sale has already gone through because it's already been effected on the records of WAM, so one client is owning it and one client now is not owning it on the records of WAM. Q Does that potentially expose Weiser to any risk? A Yes, absolutely. Q How so?</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself? A It has to pay the client. If you're showing you have an asset worth \$1,000 in the account and you decide to to sell that asset, transfer that asset, Weiser has to cover that request. Q And they can cover it either by cash or by buying stock itself? A Right, buying stock itself and then buying stock itself to cover the position that's missing from the client's account. Q So if I bought a thousand shares of Apple in a private sale at Weiser and I wait my six months for the restriction and I come to you and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell my thousand shares of Apple," and for whatever </pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there. Q Okay. So WAM is holding the physical stock certificate? A Right. Q Okay. What if there's an instance in which there's something wrong with the stock certificate or it's on hold or something has happened to that stock certificate? What happens to that sale? A Well, technically, the sale has already gone through because it's already been effected on the records of WAM, so one client is owning it and one client now is not owning it on the records of WAM. Q Does that potentially expose Weiser to any risk? A Yes, absolutely. Q How so? A Because the firm is responsible for the risk of</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself? A It has to pay the client. If you're showing you have an asset worth \$1,000 in the account and you decide to to sell that asset, transfer that asset, Weiser has to cover that request. Q And they can cover it either by cash or by buying stock itself? A Right, buying stock itself and then buying stock itself to cover the position that's missing from the client's account. Q So if I bought a thousand shares of Apple in a private sale at Weiser and I wait my six months for the restriction and I come to you and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell my thousand shares of Apple," and for whatever reason you don't have those 1,000 shares at your</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>ask for the position to be there in order to do a transaction. It can't do a transaction if the stock is not there. Q Okay. So WAM is holding the physical stock certificate? A Right. Q Okay. What if there's an instance in which there's something wrong with the stock certificate or it's on hold or something has happened to that stock certificate? What happens to that sale? A Well, technically, the sale has already gone through because it's already been effected on the records of WAM, so one client is owning it and one client now is not owning it on the records of WAM. Q Does that potentially expose Weiser to any risk? A Yes, absolutely. Q How so?</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>liability. Q Okay. So if I buy stock from another Weiser client, a private sale, I wait my six months and I go to you at Weiser and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell that stock now," and it's not on the books, what does Weiser have to do to cover itself? A It has to pay the client. If you're showing you have an asset worth \$1,000 in the account and you decide to to sell that asset, transfer that asset, Weiser has to cover that request. Q And they can cover it either by cash or by buying stock itself? A Right, buying stock itself and then buying stock itself to cover the position that's missing from the client's account. Q So if I bought a thousand shares of Apple in a private sale at Weiser and I wait my six months for the restriction and I come to you and say, "Okay, I'm ready to sell my thousand shares of Apple," and for whatever </pre>

	Page 50		Page 51
1	A Correct.	1	non-WAM client, and then funds go into escrow and the
2	Q So we've discussed transactions between WAM	2	deal closes, at which point the shares then get
3	clients. What about transactions between WAM clients and	3	reassigned to the buyer, change of title or transferred
4	non-WAM clients? How is that different?	4	over, however the buyer wants it. Many times the buyers
5	A It's different also because for starters, it	5	will open accounts at WAM when a transaction closes
6	has more risk because you're dealing with a third party	6	because it makes it convenient.
7	who you may not know or have any of their assets that you	7	Q It makes it easy?
8	can deal with, say, if they screw up the trade.	8	A Yes.
9	So that's where Weiser Capital comes in is	9	Q Because that way you can go through the process
10	Weiser Capital will broker the trade with the nonclient,	10	you just described of dematerializing the stock and
11	and Weiser Capital will broker the trade because it	11	having it held on the account of WAM; correct?
12	doesn't have the same risk exposure that WAM does as a	12	A Right. So to make it simple, if buyer and
13	broker-dealer. It does have some exposure, but since	13	seller are both there, WAM will do the transaction. If a
14	Weiser Capital itself doesn't custodize assets, it's not	14	buyer or a seller is outside of the firm, then Weiser
15	a broker that custodizes assets, it can help mitigate	15	Capital will help facilitate that transaction.
16	some of the risk if transactions go wrong, which does	16	Q Okay. And for sales that involve private
17	happen.	17	sales that involve non-WAM clients, there's more
18	So if a client wants to sell if a WAM client	18	documentation involved; correct?
19	wishes to sell their shares in the private transaction	19	A Yes.
20	because they can't be sold publicly, then Weiser Capital	20	Q And what documentation is required?
21	will go out to source buyers to introduce into the deal,	21	A The purchase and sale agreements.
22	and if it finds a buyer for the stock, then the	22	Q Okay.
23	paperwork, the purchase and sale agreements and things	23	A Because that outside buyer is agreeing to the
24	like that, will get done with the outside buyer, the	24	price because an outside buyer or outside seller is
	Page 52		Page 53
1	Page 52 not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order	1	Page 53 certificate.
1 2	5	1 2	certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the
	not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order \ensuremath{VAM}		certificate.
2	not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase	2	certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct?
2 3	not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client.	2 3 4 5	certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to
2 3 4	not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client. Q Okay. One thing I forgot to ask you, in	2 3 4	certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client. Q Okay. One thing I forgot to ask you, in transactions involving WAM clients, after the six-month</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client. Q Okay. One thing I forgot to ask you, in transactions involving WAM clients, after the six-month period has elapsed and the purchaser is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help do that for them with a transfer agent because dealing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client. Q Okay. One thing I forgot to ask you, in transactions involving WAM clients, after the six-month period has elapsed and the purchaser is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required to effect that other than the original stock certificate?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help do that for them with a transfer agent because dealing with a transfer agent, I guess, sometimes is complicated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help do that for them with a transfer agent because dealing with a transfer agent, I guess, sometimes is complicated. It's always a certificate and the stock power
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help do that for them with a transfer agent because dealing with a transfer agent, I guess, sometimes is complicated. It's always a certificate and the stock power Q Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client. Q Okay. One thing I forgot to ask you, in transactions involving WAM clients, after the six-month period has elapsed and the purchaser is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required to effect that other than the original stock certificate? A The purchasers never look to dematerialize. That's an automatic function that happens. The firm always dematerializes anything that it can so it can send</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help do that for them with a transfer agent because dealing with a transfer agent, I guess, sometimes is complicated. It's always a certificate and the stock power Q Okay. A that are provided.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client. Q Okay. One thing I forgot to ask you, in transactions involving WAM clients, after the six-month period has elapsed and the purchaser is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required to effect that other than the original stock certificate? A The purchasers never look to dematerialize. That's an automatic function that happens. The firm always dematerializes anything that it can so it can send it in electronic form naturally. So I'm sorry, I cut off</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help do that for them with a transfer agent because dealing with a transfer agent, I guess, sometimes is complicated. It's always a certificate and the stock power Q Okay. A that are provided. Q And the purchase and sale agreement only comes</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client. Q Okay. One thing I forgot to ask you, in transactions involving WAM clients, after the six-month period has elapsed and the purchaser is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required to effect that other than the original stock certificate? A The purchasers never look to dematerialize. That's an automatic function that happens. The firm always dematerializes anything that it can so it can send it in electronic form naturally. So I'm sorry, I cut off your question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help do that for them with a transfer agent because dealing with a transfer agent, I guess, sometimes is complicated. It's always a certificate and the stock power Q Okay. A that are provided. Q And the purchase and sale agreement only comes into play when it's non-WAM clients who are purchasing</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client. Q Okay. One thing I forgot to ask you, in transactions involving WAM clients, after the six-month period has elapsed and the purchaser is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required to effect that other than the original stock certificate? A The purchasers never look to dematerialize. That's an automatic function that happens. The firm always dematerializes anything that it can so it can send it in electronic form naturally. So I'm sorry, I cut off your question. Q Thank you for correcting that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help do that for them with a transfer agent because dealing with a transfer agent, I guess, sometimes is complicated. It's always a certificate and the stock power Q Okay. A that are provided. Q And the purchase and sale agreement only comes into play when it's non-WAM clients who are purchasing stock?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client. Q Okay. One thing I forgot to ask you, in transactions involving WAM clients, after the six-month period has elapsed and the purchaser is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required to effect that other than the original stock certificate? A The purchasers never look to dematerialize. That's an automatic function that happens. The firm always dematerializes anything that it can so it can send it in electronic form naturally. So I'm sorry, I cut off your question. Q Thank you for correcting that. But once WAM, after the six months, is seeking</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help do that for them with a transfer agent because dealing with a transfer agent, I guess, sometimes is complicated. It's always a certificate and the stock power Q Okay. A that are provided. Q And the purchase and sale agreement only comes into play when it's non-WAM clients who are purchasing stock? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client. Q Okay. One thing I forgot to ask you, in transactions involving WAM clients, after the six-month period has elapsed and the purchaser is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required to effect that other than the original stock certificate? A The purchasers never look to dematerialize. That's an automatic function that happens. The firm always dematerializes anything that it can so it can send it in electronic form naturally. So I'm sorry, I cut off your question. Q Thank you for correcting that. But once WAM, after the six months, is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help do that for them with a transfer agent because dealing with a transfer agent, I guess, sometimes is complicated. It's always a certificate and the stock power Q Okay. A that are provided. Q And the purchase and sale agreement only comes into play when it's non-WAM clients who are purchasing stock? A Correct. Q Changing gears a little bit, when was the first</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client. Q Okay. One thing I forgot to ask you, in transactions involving WAM clients, after the six-month period has elapsed and the purchaser is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required to effect that other than the original stock certificate? A The purchasers never look to dematerialize. That's an automatic function that happens. The firm always dematerializes anything that it can so it can send it in electronic form naturally. So I'm sorry, I cut off your question. Q Thank you for correcting that. But once WAM, after the six months, is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required other than the original stock certificate?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help do that for them with a transfer agent because dealing with a transfer agent, I guess, sometimes is complicated. It's always a certificate and the stock power Q Okay. A that are provided. Q And the purchase and sale agreement only comes into play when it's non-WAM clients who are purchasing stock? A Correct. Q Changing gears a little bit, when was the first time you met Mr. Skarpelos?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client. Q Okay. One thing I forgot to ask you, in transactions involving WAM clients, after the six-month period has elapsed and the purchaser is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required to effect that other than the original stock certificate? A The purchasers never look to dematerialize. That's an automatic function that happens. The firm always dematerializes anything that it can so it can send it in electronic form naturally. So I'm sorry, I cut off your question. Q Thank you for correcting that. But once WAM, after the six months, is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required other than the original stock certificate? A The stock power.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help do that for them with a transfer agent because dealing with a transfer agent, I guess, sometimes is complicated. It's always a certificate and the stock power Q Okay. A that are provided. Q And the purchase and sale agreement only comes into play when it's non-WAM clients who are purchasing stock? A Correct. Q Changing gears a little bit, when was the first time you met Mr. Skarpelos? A Around 1993, '94.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client. Q Okay. One thing I forgot to ask you, in transactions involving WAM clients, after the six-month period has elapsed and the purchaser is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required to effect that other than the original stock certificate? A The purchasers never look to dematerialize. That's an automatic function that happens. The firm always dematerializes anything that it can so it can send it in electronic form naturally. So I'm sorry, I cut off your question. Q Thank you for correcting that. But once WAM, after the six months, is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required other than the original stock certificate? A The stock power. Q Power of attorney?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help do that for them with a transfer agent because dealing with a transfer agent, I guess, sometimes is complicated. It's always a certificate and the stock power Q Okay. A that are provided. Q And the purchase and sale agreement only comes into play when it's non-WAM clients who are purchasing stock? A Correct. Q Changing gears a little bit, when was the first time you met Mr. Skarpelos? A Around 1993, '94. Q How did you come about meeting him?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client. Q Okay. One thing I forgot to ask you, in transactions involving WAM clients, after the six-month period has elapsed and the purchaser is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required to effect that other than the original stock certificate? A The purchasers never look to dematerialize. That's an automatic function that happens. The firm always dematerializes anything that it can so it can send it in electronic form naturally. So I'm sorry, I cut off your question. Q Thank you for correcting that. But once WAM, after the six months, is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required other than the original stock certificate? A The stock power. Q Power of attorney? A Yes. We call it a stock power, a stock power</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help do that for them with a transfer agent because dealing with a transfer agent, I guess, sometimes is complicated. It's always a certificate and the stock power Q Okay. A that are provided. Q And the purchase and sale agreement only comes into play when it's non-WAM clients who are purchasing stock? A Correct. Q Changing gears a little bit, when was the first time you met Mr. Skarpelos? A Around 1993, '94. Q How did you come about meeting him? A A common friend of ours introduced us, and we</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>not a client of WAM, so it can't take a simple order because it's not a client. It's not bound. WAM can't hold them to the order and vice-versa. So it's typical in buying or selling anything; there will be a purchase and sale agreement if it's a non-WAM client. Q Okay. One thing I forgot to ask you, in transactions involving WAM clients, after the six-month period has elapsed and the purchaser is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required to effect that other than the original stock certificate? A The purchasers never look to dematerialize. That's an automatic function that happens. The firm always dematerializes anything that it can so it can send it in electronic form naturally. So I'm sorry, I cut off your question. Q Thank you for correcting that. But once WAM, after the six months, is seeking to dematerialize the stock, what documentation is required other than the original stock certificate? A The stock power. Q Power of attorney?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>certificate. Q So when it's being sought to dematerialize the stock, the stock power and the stock certificate go to the transfer agent in cases of WAM clients correct? transactions between WAM clients? A Yes. Any time a certificate has to be dematerialized sometimes we have non-WAM clients that want to clear their certificates, and the firm will help do that for them with a transfer agent because dealing with a transfer agent, I guess, sometimes is complicated. It's always a certificate and the stock power Q Okay. A that are provided. Q And the purchase and sale agreement only comes into play when it's non-WAM clients who are purchasing stock? A Correct. Q Changing gears a little bit, when was the first time you met Mr. Skarpelos? A Around 1993, '94. Q How did you come about meeting him?</pre>

	5 54		
1	Page 54 Q Okay. Let me stop you right there.	1	Page 55 define that, but Tom was involved with public companies,
2	Mr. Skarpelos's first name is Athanasios;	2	he was raising money for public companies, so he
3	correct?	3	introduced me to that business.
4	A Yes.	4	Q Okay. And what time period was this?
5	Q But he goes by "Tom"; correct?	5	A When did this begin?
6	A Correct.	6	Q Yes, sir.
7	Q And so you indicated that you met Tom through a	7	A Not long after we met. Around 1994.
8	mutual friend?	8	Q Okay. And did you assist Mr. Skarpelos in
9	A Yes.	9	raising money?
10	Q Where were you located at the time?	10	A Yes. Well, I assisted in the early days, was
11	A In Calgary, Canada.	11	introducing him to investors. I happen to know investors
12	Q And was Mr. Skarpelos in Calgary as well?	12	as well. I was always meeting new investors and
13	A I can't remember if he was living there or not,	13	introducing him to investors that might be interested in
14	but that's where we met.	14	investing in companies that he was involved with.
15	Q And did you engage in any business or assist	15	Q And what types of companies at that time was
16	Mr. Skarpelos in any business at the time you met him?	16	Mr. Skarpelos involved with?
17	A Well, shortly after I met him, Tom introduced	17	A Mostly mining companies.
18	me to this business, actually. He was the first one who	18	Q Okay. Were you successful in helping
19	brought me into this business.	19	Mr. Skarpelos to raise money?
20	0 "This" being the broker-dealer business?	20	A Yes, I believe so.
21	A Just generally the stock market business,	21	Q And this continued for a couple years; is that
22	finding investors for companies, raising capital. It's	22	correct?
23	not the brokerage business, I would say, but the business	23	A This went on for somewhere three to five years
24	around the stock market. I don't know how to describe or	24	from that initial time.
1			
1	Page 56	1	Page 57 0. In 2007 who did you understand the officers and
1	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were	1	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and
2	${\tt Q}$ And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or	2	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be?
2 3	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and	2 3	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director.
2 3 4	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on	2 3 4	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman,
2 3 4 5	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for.	2 3 4 5	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the
2 3 4 5 6	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with	2 3 4 5 6	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies? A I think from time to time. I mean, there was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for Anavex?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies? A I think from time to time. I mean, there was a period of some small years when maybe we hadn't spoken</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for Anavex? A It was actually a friend of Tom's who first</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies? A I think from time to time. I mean, there was a period of some small years when maybe we hadn't spoken for two or three years or something, but for the most	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for Anavex? A It was actually a friend of Tom's who first told me about Anavex, that Tom was working on Anavex and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies? A I think from time to time. I mean, there was a period of some small years when maybe we hadn't spoken for two or three years or something, but for the most part, generally over the period from '94 to almost	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for Anavex? A It was actually a friend of Tom's who first told me about Anavex, that Tom was working on Anavex and can you help Tom raise some funds for Anavex. So he put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies? A I think from time to time. I mean, there was a period of some small years when maybe we hadn't spoken for two or three years or something, but for the most part, generally over the period from '94 to almost present, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for Anavex? A It was actually a friend of Tom's who first told me about Anavex, that Tom was working on Anavex and can you help Tom raise some funds for Anavex. So he put us together, and Tom explained the company to me and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies? A I think from time to time. I mean, there was a period of some small years when maybe we hadn't spoken for two or three years or something, but for the most part, generally over the period from '94 to almost present, yes. Q And then at some point later you again</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for Anavex? A It was actually a friend of Tom's who first told me about Anavex, that Tom was working on Anavex and can you help Tom raise some funds for Anavex. So he put us together, and Tom explained the company to me and asked me if I could help him raise funds.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies? A I think from time to time. I mean, there was a period of some small years when maybe we hadn't spoken for two or three years or something, but for the most part, generally over the period from '94 to almost present, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for Anavex? A It was actually a friend of Tom's who first told me about Anavex, that Tom was working on Anavex and can you help Tom raise some funds for Anavex. So he put us together, and Tom explained the company to me and asked me if I could help him raise funds. Q So what physically did you do to assist him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies? A I think from time to time. I mean, there was a period of some small years when maybe we hadn't spoken for two or three years or something, but for the most part, generally over the period from '94 to almost present, yes. Q And then at some point later you again reengaged with Mr. Skarpelos in raising funds; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for Anavex? A It was actually a friend of Tom's who first told me about Anavex, that Tom was working on Anavex and can you help Tom raise some funds for Anavex. So he put us together, and Tom explained the company to me and asked me if I could help him raise funds. Q So what physically did you do to assist him? A Well, a few things. For starters, we helped
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies? A I think from time to time. I mean, there was a period of some small years when maybe we hadn't spoken for two or three years or something, but for the most part, generally over the period from '94 to almost present, yes. Q And then at some point later you again reengaged with Mr. Skarpelos in raising funds; is that correct? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for Anavex? A It was actually a friend of Tom's who first told me about Anavex, that Tom was working on Anavex and can you help Tom raise some funds for Anavex. So he put us together, and Tom explained the company to me and asked me if I could help him raise funds. Q So what physically did you do to assist him? A Well, a few things. For starters, we helped raise capital directly into the company, into Anavex,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies? A I think from time to time. I mean, there was a period of some small years when maybe we hadn't spoken for two or three years or something, but for the most part, generally over the period from '94 to almost present, yes. Q And then at some point later you again reengaged with Mr. Skarpelos in raising funds; is that correct? A Correct. Q And what was the company that Mr. Skarpelos was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for Anavex? A It was actually a friend of Tom's who first told me about Anavex, that Tom was working on Anavex and can you help Tom raise some funds for Anavex. So he put us together, and Tom explained the company to me and asked me if I could help him raise funds. Q So what physically did you do to assist him? A Well, a few things. For starters, we helped raise capital directly into the company, into Anavex, and, also, Tom at that time had some stock, and we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies? A I think from time to time. I mean, there was a period of some small years when maybe we hadn't spoken for two or three years or something, but for the most part, generally over the period from '94 to almost present, yes. Q And then at some point later you again reengaged with Mr. Skarpelos in raising funds; is that correct? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for Anavex? A It was actually a friend of Tom's who first told me about Anavex, that Tom was working on Anavex and can you help Tom raise some funds for Anavex. So he put us together, and Tom explained the company to me and asked me if I could help him raise funds. Q So what physically did you do to assist him? A Well, a few things. For starters, we helped raise capital directly into the company, into Anavex, and, also, Tom at that time had some stock, and we discussed him selling some stock to also raise capital.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies? A I think from time to time. I mean, there was a period of some small years when maybe we hadn't spoken for two or three years or something, but for the most part, generally over the period from '94 to almost present, yes. Q And then at some point later you again reengaged with Mr. Skarpelos in raising funds; is that correct? A Correct. Q And what was the company that Mr. Skarpelos was asking you to help raise funds for? A Anavex.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for Anavex? A It was actually a friend of Tom's who first told me about Anavex, that Tom was working on Anavex and can you help Tom raise some funds for Anavex. So he put us together, and Tom explained the company to me and asked me if I could help him raise funds. Q So what physically did you do to assist him? A Well, a few things. For starters, we helped raise capital directly into the company, into Anavex, and, also, Tom at that time had some stock, and we discussed him selling some stock to also raise capital. Q He had some stock in Anavex?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies? A I think from time to time. I mean, there was a period of some small years when maybe we hadn't spoken for two or three years or something, but for the most part, generally over the period from '94 to almost present, yes. Q And then at some point later you again reengaged with Mr. Skarpelos in raising funds; is that correct? A Correct. Q And what was the company that Mr. Skarpelos was asking you to help raise funds for? A Anavex. Q What did you understand Anavex to be?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for Anavex? A It was actually a friend of Tom's who first told me about Anavex, that Tom was working on Anavex and can you help Tom raise some funds for Anavex. So he put us together, and Tom explained the company to me and asked me if I could help him raise funds. Q So what physically did you do to assist him? A Well, a few things. For starters, we helped raise capital directly into the company, into Anavex, and, also, Tom at that time had some stock, and we discussed him selling some stock to also raise capital. Q He had some stock in Anavex? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies? A I think from time to time. I mean, there was a period of some small years when maybe we hadn't spoken for two or three years or something, but for the most part, generally over the period from '94 to almost present, yes. Q And then at some point later you again reengaged with Mr. Skarpelos in raising funds; is that correct? A Correct. Q And what was the company that Mr. Skarpelos was asking you to help raise funds for? A Anavex.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for Anavex? A It was actually a friend of Tom's who first told me about Anavex, that Tom was working on Anavex and can you help Tom raise some funds for Anavex. So he put us together, and Tom explained the company to me and asked me if I could help him raise funds. Q So what physically did you do to assist him? A Well, a few things. For starters, we helped raise capital directly into the company, into Anavex, and, also, Tom at that time had some stock, and we discussed him selling some stock to also raise capital. Q He had some stock in Anavex?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And then what, at some point you no longer were engaged in that business or A No. I just got busy with other projects, and we just didn't have a project we would be working on together per se to raise capital for. Q Okay. Did you still keep in touch with Mr. Skarpelos even after you were done assisting him with the mining companies? A I think from time to time. I mean, there was a period of some small years when maybe we hadn't spoken for two or three years or something, but for the most part, generally over the period from '94 to almost present, yes. Q And then at some point later you again reengaged with Mr. Skarpelos in raising funds; is that correct? A Correct. Q And what was the company that Mr. Skarpelos was asking you to help raise funds for? A Anavex. Q What did you understand Anavex to be? A A biotech research company.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q In 2007 who did you understand the officers and directors of Anavex to be? A In 2007 Harvey Lalach was the CEO and director. Actually, I can't remember if he was CEO. One gentleman, an officer, was Harvey Lalach. I can't remember who the CEO was or who other directors were at that time. Q Okay. And who initiated the conversation between you and Mr. Skarpelos about raising funds for Anavex? A It was actually a friend of Tom's who first told me about Anavex, that Tom was working on Anavex and can you help Tom raise some funds for Anavex. So he put us together, and Tom explained the company to me and asked me if I could help him raise funds. Q So what physically did you do to assist him? A Well, a few things. For starters, we helped raise capital directly into the company, into Anavex, and, also, Tom at that time had some stock, and we discussed him selling some stock to also raise capital. Q He had some stock in Anavex? A Correct. Q So in 2007 you assisted Mr. Skarpelos in

	Page 58		Page 59
1	Q Do you recall in '07 or '08 who the purchaser	1	A Yes.
2	of the Anavex stock was?	2	Q This document, there's a term we lawyers use
3	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Relevance.	3	called a Bates stamp, which is a
4	THE COURT: Mr. Nork, what's the relevancy?	4	A Can I get my glasses? They're in my left or
5	MR. NORK: It shows the beginning of their	5	right outside pocket.
6	business relationship in selling stock of Anavex, which	6	THE COURT: You can go get them.
7	is the subject matter of this lawsuit.	7	MR. NORK: It might be faster. I can't find
8	THE COURT: Mr. Anderson.	8	them.
9	MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, he testified already	9	BY MR. NORK:
10	that he helped arrange to have Mr. Skarpelos sell some	10	Q So as I mentioned, there's a term called a
11	stock. I don't know if it matters who the buyer is.	11	Bates stamp which is an alphanumeric code that we lawyers
12	THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer that	12	use to refer to page numbers, and you see there's a Bates
13	question. Go ahead.	13	stamp on the lower right-hand corner of the first page
14	BY MR. NORK:	14	that says WEISER 000316?
15	Q Do you recall who the buyer was?	15	A Yes.
16	A There was a couple of buyers. One was Amsbach,	16	Q And that indicates that this document came from
17	which I recall from the documentation.	17	Weiser.
18	MR. NORK: May I approach?	18	A Okay.
19	THE COURT: Yes.	19	Q And is this a document you've seen prior to
20	BY MR. NORK:	20	today?
21	Q Mr. Livadas, I've handed you a binder, and that	21	A Is this a document
22	is the binder of trial exhibits in this case, and I	22	Q Is this a document you have seen prior to
23	wonder if you could turn, please, to Exhibit 5.	23	today?
24	Do you have that in front of you?	24	A Yes.
	Page 60		Page 61
1	Q And where was this document located when you	1	ultimately entered into later in this case, so it shows,
2	saw it?	2	again, a pattern of Mr. Skarpelos selling stock vis-a-vis
3	A Prior to today?	3	these stock purchase agreements using Mr. Livadas. I
4	Q Yes, sir.	4	mean
5	A The last time I saw it was at our depositions.	5	
6	O T underset and last above and deltable formed de	6	THE COURT: As we think about it, Mr. Anderson,
	Q I understand, but when you initially found it	6	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in
	to produce it in this case	7	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I
8	to produce it in this case A Oh, okay. I found it in my email records, if I	7 8	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant.
8 9	to produce it in this case A Oh, okay. I found it in my email records, if I remember correctly.	7 8 9	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, now he's saying it
8 9 10	<pre>to produce it in this case A Oh, okay. I found it in my email records, if I remember correctly. Q Okay. So this is in your personal email</pre>	7 8 9 10	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, now he's saying it shows a habit, so he's trying to introduce it as habit
8 9 10 11	<pre>to produce it in this case A Oh, okay. I found it in my email records, if I remember correctly. Q Okay. So this is in your personal email records as an attachment?</pre>	7 8 9 10 11	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, now he's saying it shows a habit, so he's trying to introduce it as habit evidence, and I don't think he's met the requirements for
8 9 10 11 12	<pre>to produce it in this case A Oh, okay. I found it in my email records, if I remember correctly. Q Okay. So this is in your personal email records as an attachment? A Yes.</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, now he's saying it shows a habit, so he's trying to introduce it as habit evidence, and I don't think he's met the requirements for that as well.
8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>to produce it in this case A Oh, okay. I found it in my email records, if I remember correctly. Q Okay. So this is in your personal email records as an attachment? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 5.</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, now he's saying it shows a habit, so he's trying to introduce it as habit evidence, and I don't think he's met the requirements for that as well. THE COURT: Well, that's not the response.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>to produce it in this case A Oh, okay. I found it in my email records, if I remember correctly. Q Okay. So this is in your personal email records as an attachment? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 5. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, again, I don't</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, now he's saying it shows a habit, so he's trying to introduce it as habit evidence, and I don't think he's met the requirements for that as well. THE COURT: Well, that's not the response. It's relevant. I understand the relevance of it now.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>to produce it in this case A Oh, okay. I found it in my email records, if I remember correctly. Q Okay. So this is in your personal email records as an attachment? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 5. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, again, I don't believe it's relevant. He talked about the transaction.</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, now he's saying it shows a habit, so he's trying to introduce it as habit evidence, and I don't think he's met the requirements for that as well. THE COURT: Well, that's not the response. It's relevant. I understand the relevance of it now. There may be a number of other objections that can be
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>to produce it in this case A Oh, okay. I found it in my email records, if I remember correctly. Q Okay. So this is in your personal email records as an attachment? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 5. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, again, I don't believe it's relevant. He talked about the transaction. I don't know why an agreement that was dated six years</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, now he's saying it shows a habit, so he's trying to introduce it as habit evidence, and I don't think he's met the requirements for that as well. THE COURT: Well, that's not the response. It's relevant. I understand the relevance of it now. There may be a number of other objections that can be made to the document, but the Court finds that it is
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>to produce it in this case A Oh, okay. I found it in my email records, if I remember correctly. Q Okay. So this is in your personal email records as an attachment? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 5. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, again, I don't believe it's relevant. He talked about the transaction. I don't know why an agreement that was dated six years before the events at issue has any relevance to the</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, now he's saying it shows a habit, so he's trying to introduce it as habit evidence, and I don't think he's met the requirements for that as well. THE COURT: Well, that's not the response. It's relevant. I understand the relevance of it now. There may be a number of other objections that can be made to the document, but the Court finds that it is relevant under 48.015.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>to produce it in this case</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, now he's saying it shows a habit, so he's trying to introduce it as habit evidence, and I don't think he's met the requirements for that as well. THE COURT: Well, that's not the response. It's relevant. I understand the relevance of it now. There may be a number of other objections that can be made to the document, but the Court finds that it is relevant under 48.015. Now, do you have any other objections you want
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>to produce it in this case A Oh, okay. I found it in my email records, if I remember correctly. Q Okay. So this is in your personal email records as an attachment? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 5. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, again, I don't believe it's relevant. He talked about the transaction. I don't know why an agreement that was dated six years before the events at issue has any relevance to the transaction that we're taking about here in this case. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, now you've got me a</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, now he's saying it shows a habit, so he's trying to introduce it as habit evidence, and I don't think he's met the requirements for that as well. THE COURT: Well, that's not the response. It's relevant. I understand the relevance of it now. There may be a number of other objections that can be made to the document, but the Court finds that it is relevant under 48.015. Now, do you have any other objections you want to make, Mr. Anderson?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>to produce it in this case A Oh, okay. I found it in my email records, if I remember correctly. Q Okay. So this is in your personal email records as an attachment? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 5. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 5. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, again, I don't believe it's relevant. He talked about the transaction. I don't know why an agreement that was dated six years before the events at issue has any relevance to the transaction that we're taking about here in this case. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, now you've got me a little bit confused. I thought he was just going to</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, now he's saying it shows a habit, so he's trying to introduce it as habit evidence, and I don't think he's met the requirements for that as well. THE COURT: Well, that's not the response. It's relevant. I understand the relevance of it now. There may be a number of other objections that can be made to the document, but the Court finds that it is relevant under 48.015. Now, do you have any other objections you want to make, Mr. Anderson? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, if the Court finds
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>to produce it in this case A Oh, okay. I found it in my email records, if I remember correctly. Q Okay. So this is in your personal email records as an attachment? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 5. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, again, I don't believe it's relevant. He talked about the transaction. I don't know why an agreement that was dated six years before the events at issue has any relevance to the transaction that we're taking about here in this case. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, now you've got me a</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, now he's saying it shows a habit, so he's trying to introduce it as habit evidence, and I don't think he's met the requirements for that as well. THE COURT: Well, that's not the response. It's relevant. I understand the relevance of it now. There may be a number of other objections that can be made to the document, but the Court finds that it is relevant under 48.015. Now, do you have any other objections you want to make, Mr. Anderson? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, if the Court finds it relevant, I guess I would also add an authenticity or
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>to produce it in this case</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, now he's saying it shows a habit, so he's trying to introduce it as habit evidence, and I don't think he's met the requirements for that as well. THE COURT: Well, that's not the response. It's relevant. I understand the relevance of it now. There may be a number of other objections that can be made to the document, but the Court finds that it is relevant under 48.015. Now, do you have any other objections you want to make, Mr. Anderson? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, if the Court finds it relevant, I guess I would also add an authenticity or foundation argument because it's not signed by the buyer.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>to produce it in this case</pre>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	relevance simply means that the existence of a fact in issue would be more or less likely with the item, so I guess it's relevant. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, now he's saying it shows a habit, so he's trying to introduce it as habit evidence, and I don't think he's met the requirements for that as well. THE COURT: Well, that's not the response. It's relevant. I understand the relevance of it now. There may be a number of other objections that can be made to the document, but the Court finds that it is relevant under 48.015. Now, do you have any other objections you want to make, Mr. Anderson? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, if the Court finds it relevant, I guess I would also add an authenticity or

	Daga 62		Daga 62
1	Page 62 really sufficient foundation in order to establish where	1	Page 63 relevant, but now we're talking about this specific
2	this document came from. So far all I've heard from	2	document and whether or not it's admissible. I think you
3	Mr. Livadas is that it was attached to some email	3	need to lay some more foundation.
4	somewhere.	4	- MR. NORK: Okay.
5	MR. NORK: It was it was in his email	5	BY MR. NORK:
6	folder. It was produced by Weiser in this case, so it's	6	Q Mr. Livadas, could you further explain to the
7	the only place it came from. It did not come from	7	Court where you located this document, where physically
8	Mr. Skarpelos, it came from Mr. Livadas, and as he	8	it was located?
9	testified, it was part of he was engaged with	9	A I located it in my email. And also, if I can
10	Mr. Skarpelos in 2007 in selling Anavex stock to raise	10	add some more, if we're trying to establish foundation,
11	money for Anavex, which you will find six years later to	11	I'm not sure what that means, but this transaction is
12	be the same thing. It's relevant, Your Honor, because it	12	also filed with the Securities Commission as well.
13	demonstrates that that's how these individuals conducted	13	Q I understand that, but let's talk about this
14	business.	14	document.
15	THE COURT: I'm not disagreeing with you. I've	15	Where was your email folder maintained?
16	overruled the relevance objection. I think it is	16	A Oh, my email folder is maintained on the
17	relevant. I think you need to lay some more foundation	17	internet.
18	about where it came from and exactly what it is, but	18	Q Do you have a cloud-based
19	assuming it is what you're suggesting it is and that you	19	A Yeah. Yeah.
20	can lay the proper foundation from where it came, I'm	20	Q You have a cloud-based email account?
21	still not clear about that.	21	A Correct.
22	It is relevant. There's no question that it's	22	Q And are you able to search that email account?
23	relevant as you've explained it, and based on my	23	A Yes.
24	familiarity with the case, I understand now why it is	24	Q And did you search that email account and find
	Page 64		Page 65
1	this document?	1	Who sent the email? How is he familiar with who sent the
		<u>+</u>	who belie the chart. How is he functiful with who belie the
2	A Correct.	2	email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it?
2 3	A Correct. Q And then you provided it to your counsel;		
		2	email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it?
3	Q And then you provided it to your counsel;	2 3	email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is
3 4	Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct?	2 3 4	email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I
3 4 5	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5	email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name THE WITNESS: Livadas. THE COURT: Is Mr. Livadas familiar with the
3 4 5 6	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name THE WITNESS: Livadas.
3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name THE WITNESS: Livadas. THE COURT: Is Mr. Livadas familiar with the
3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I don't know what additional foundation I can lay other than the fact he</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name THE WITNESS: Livadas. THE COURT: Is Mr. Livadas familiar with the signature on the last page? There's all kinds of things you can do to lay some foundation, but all I know right now is that this document was attached to some email that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I don't know what additional foundation I can lay other than the fact he had possession of it and provided it to his counsel.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name THE WITNESS: Livadas. THE COURT: Is Mr. Livadas familiar with the signature on the last page? There's all kinds of things you can do to lay some foundation, but all I know right now is that this document was attached to some email that I have no idea who the email was. It could have been
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I don't know what additional foundation I can lay other than the fact he had possession of it and provided it to his counsel. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name THE WITNESS: Livadas. THE COURT: Is Mr. Livadas familiar with the signature on the last page? There's all kinds of things you can do to lay some foundation, but all I know right now is that this document was attached to some email that I have no idea who the email was. It could have been from me I'm just saying hypothetically or it could</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I don't know what additional foundation I can lay other than the fact he had possession of it and provided it to his counsel. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, as I understand the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name THE WITNESS: Livadas. THE COURT: Is Mr. Livadas familiar with the signature on the last page? There's all kinds of things you can do to lay some foundation, but all I know right now is that this document was attached to some email that I have no idea who the email was. It could have been from me I'm just saying hypothetically or it could have been from Mr. Skarpelos. I don't know.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I don't know what additional foundation I can lay other than the fact he had possession of it and provided it to his counsel. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, as I understand the testimony, he located it in an email. The email is not</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name THE WITNESS: Livadas. THE COURT: Is Mr. Livadas familiar with the signature on the last page? There's all kinds of things you can do to lay some foundation, but all I know right now is that this document was attached to some email that I have no idea who the email was. It could have been from me I'm just saying hypothetically or it could have been from Mr. Skarpelos. I don't know. MR. NORK: I understand your point. Thank you,</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I don't know what additional foundation I can lay other than the fact he had possession of it and provided it to his counsel. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, as I understand the testimony, he located it in an email. The email is not part of the exhibit even though it's attached to an email</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name THE WITNESS: Livadas. THE COURT: Is Mr. Livadas familiar with the signature on the last page? There's all kinds of things you can do to lay some foundation, but all I know right now is that this document was attached to some email that I have no idea who the email was. It could have been from me I'm just saying hypothetically or it could have been from Mr. Skarpelos. I don't know. MR. NORK: I understand your point. Thank you, Your Honor.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I don't know what additional foundation I can lay other than the fact he had possession of it and provided it to his counsel. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, as I understand the testimony, he located it in an email. The email is not part of the exhibit even though it's attached to an email apparently. The email is not offered into evidence, he</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name THE WITNESS: Livadas. THE COURT: Is Mr. Livadas familiar with the signature on the last page? There's all kinds of things you can do to lay some foundation, but all I know right now is that this document was attached to some email that I have no idea who the email was. It could have been from me I'm just saying hypothetically or it could have been from Mr. Skarpelos. I don't know. MR. NORK: I understand your point. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Just here this is. So go ahead.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I don't know what additional foundation I can lay other than the fact he had possession of it and provided it to his counsel. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, as I understand the testimony, he located it in an email. The email is not part of the exhibit even though it's attached to an email apparently. The email is not offered into evidence, he didn't testify who sent it to him, still hasn't</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I don't know what additional foundation I can lay other than the fact he had possession of it and provided it to his counsel. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, as I understand the testimony, he located it in an email. The email is not part of the exhibit even though it's attached to an email apparently. The email is not offered into evidence, he didn't testify who sent it to him, still hasn't identified why the buyer hasn't signed it, but if it was</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I don't know what additional foundation I can lay other than the fact he had possession of it and provided it to his counsel. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, as I understand the testimony, he located it in an email. The email is not part of the exhibit even though it's attached to an email apparently. The email is not offered into evidence, he didn't testify who sent it to him, still hasn't identified why the buyer hasn't signed it, but if it was sent in an email from somebody else, then it's a hearsay</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I don't know what additional foundation I can lay other than the fact he had possession of it and provided it to his counsel. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, as I understand the testimony, he located it in an email. The email is not part of the exhibit even though it's attached to an email apparently. The email is not offered into evidence, he didn't testify who sent it to him, still hasn't identified why the buyer hasn't signed it, but if it was sent in an email from somebody else, then it's a hearsay objection, too.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I don't know what additional foundation I can lay other than the fact he had possession of it and provided it to his counsel. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, as I understand the testimony, he located it in an email. The email is not part of the exhibit even though it's attached to an email apparently. The email is not offered into evidence, he didn't testify who sent it to him, still hasn't identified why the buyer hasn't signed it, but if it was sent in an email from somebody else, then it's a hearsay objection, too. THE COURT: I'll allow you to lay some more</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I don't know what additional foundation I can lay other than the fact he had possession of it and provided it to his counsel. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, as I understand the testimony, he located it in an email. The email is not part of the exhibit even though it's attached to an email apparently. The email is not offered into evidence, he didn't testify who sent it to him, still hasn't identified why the buyer hasn't signed it, but if it was sent in an email from somebody else, then it's a hearsay objection, too. THE COURT: I'll allow you to lay some more foundation, Mr. Nork, but Mr. Anderson's objection is, I</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name THE WITNESS: Livadas. THE COURT: Is Mr. Livadas familiar with the signature on the last page? There's all kinds of things you can do to lay some foundation, but all I know right now is that this document was attached to some email that I have no idea who the email was. It could have been from me I'm just saying hypothetically or it could have been from Mr. Skarpelos. I don't know. MR. NORK: I understand your point. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Just here this is. So go ahead. EY MR. NORK: Q So turning to the second-to-last page of this document, do you see the signature above the signature line for Athanasios Skarpelos? A Yes. Q Do you recognize that signature? </pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I don't know what additional foundation I can lay other than the fact he had possession of it and provided it to his counsel. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, as I understand the testimony, he located it in an email. The email is not part of the exhibit even though it's attached to an email apparently. The email is not offered into evidence, he didn't testify who sent it to him, still hasn't identified why the buyer hasn't signed it, but if it was sent in an email from somebody else, then it's a hearsay objection, too. THE COURT: I'll allow you to lay some more foundation, Mr. Nork, but Mr. Anderson's objection is, I would suggest, well-founded and identifies certain things</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name</pre>
 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	<pre>Q And then you provided it to your counsel; correct? A Correct. Q You printed it out and provided it to your counsel? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I don't know what additional foundation I can lay other than the fact he had possession of it and provided it to his counsel. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, as I understand the testimony, he located it in an email. The email is not part of the exhibit even though it's attached to an email apparently. The email is not offered into evidence, he didn't testify who sent it to him, still hasn't identified why the buyer hasn't signed it, but if it was sent in an email from somebody else, then it's a hearsay objection, too. THE COURT: I'll allow you to lay some more foundation, Mr. Nork, but Mr. Anderson's objection is, I</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>email? When was the email sent? When did he receive it? Was it contemporaneous with the date on this, which is September 27th of 2007? Is Mr. Livadas and I apologize if I mispronounce your name THE WITNESS: Livadas. THE COURT: Is Mr. Livadas familiar with the signature on the last page? There's all kinds of things you can do to lay some foundation, but all I know right now is that this document was attached to some email that I have no idea who the email was. It could have been from me I'm just saying hypothetically or it could have been from Mr. Skarpelos. I don't know. MR. NORK: I understand your point. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Just here this is. So go ahead. EY MR. NORK: Q So turning to the second-to-last page of this document, do you see the signature above the signature line for Athanasios Skarpelos? A Yes. Q Do you recognize that signature? </pre>

	Page 66		Page 67
1	signature?	1	Q And
2	A Yes.	2	THE COURT: That's A-m-s-b-a-c-h, Amsbach
3	Q How are you familiar with Mr. Skarpelos's	3	Overseas Incorporated, which is listed on Schedule A on
4	signature?	4	page 319. Go ahead.
5	A From other documents that I've seen him sign.	5	BY MR. NORK:
6	Q Okay.	6	Q So you played a role in negotiating this sale;
7	A Various other transactions.	7	correct?
8	Q And do you recall approximately when via email	8	A Yes.
9	you received this document?	9	Q And then Mr. Skarpelos sent this document to
10	A I recall receiving it not long after the dating	10	you as part of the sale?
11	of the document. I think it was in October of 2007.	11	A Correct.
12	Q And do you recall who you received it from?	12	Q And do you recognize his signature at the
13	A From Tom.	13	bottom of the second-to-last page?
14	Q Do you recall why it was you received it from	14	A Yes.
15	Tom?	15	MR. NORK: I move to admit, Your Honor.
16	A I received it because he was executing this	16	THE COURT: Do you have any other questions,
17	document and because I had found potential buyers for	17	Mr. Anderson?
18	this stock.	18	MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I would just add
19	Q Okay. So did you play any part in negotiating	19	that he's testifying to the contents of an email that
20	the sale that is evidenced in this document?	20	attaches this, and that email is not in evidence.
21	A Yes.	21	THE WITNESS: I can also
22	Q And were you the one who contacted the buyer,	22	THE COURT: Don't talk.
23	Amsbach?	23	Mr. Nork.
24	A Correct.	24	MR. NORK: It doesn't matter. It lays the
1	Page 68 foundation for his ownership. It could have just been an	1	Page 69 needed a purchase and sale agreement. From there I don't
2	email from Tom saying, what do you think about this? The	2	know who drafted it. I know I didn't draft it because
3	fact of the matter is it came into the possession of	3	I'm not capable of drafting these things. And I told him
4	Mr. Livadas as a result of his doing business with	4	I needed the executed purchase and sale agreement to
5	Mr. Skarpelos and he recognizes the signature.	5	finalize the transaction.
6	THE COURT: I just have one question of you	6	THE COURT: And you've already testified that
7	before I rule on the objection.	7	you are the person who found Amsbach Overseas
8	Did you and by "you" I mean your business	8	Incorporated as the purchaser for the shares; correct?
9	prepare this document, forward it to Mr. Skarpelos for	9	THE WITNESS: Correct.
10	his signature, and then he forwarded it back to you, or	10	THE COURT: Well, under those circumstances,
11	alternatively, is this something that he, himself,	11	Mr. Anderson, I'm going to admit it over objection. The
12	prepared and just sent to you? Put another way, where	12	witness has testified he didn't even prepare it, that
13	did the document itself come from before it was signed?	13	your client prepared it and forwarded it to him, so it's
14	THE WITNESS: I know that me or my business did	14	clearly a statement or an admission of a party opponent.
15	not create this document, so I'm not sure who generated	15	You can cross-examine him on it. I don't know
16	it, but I did not create the draft or was not involved in	16	what weight I'll give it. I'll give it the appropriate
17	drafting it.	17	weight at the time, but at this point the Court does find
18	THE COURT: Give me, then, some explanation as	18	that it's relevant under NRS 48.015 and it's admissible.
19	to how it came to be in your possession, some more	19	I think there's been enough foundation laid about where
20	detailed explanation about why Mr. Skarpelos just	20	it came from.
21	prepared this document and emailed it to you in its	21	And I've never seen a case, Mr. Anderson, or a
22		22	rule of evidence that supports the proposition that in
	signed form.		
23	signed form. THE WITNESS: So what I recall, I recall		
23 24	signed form. THE WITNESS: So what I recall, I recall discussing finding buyers for his shares. I told him I	23 24	order to admit this, you'd also need to see the email chain that it was associated with. Even if there's some

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

	Down 70	-	Dage 71
1	Page 70 question about its authenticity, certainly you can argue	1	Page 71 Tom, who might be investing in Anavex or not, or we
2	that or you can raise it on cross-examination, but at	2	raised funds for Anavex directly for the company from
3	this point I'll admit the exhibit, so Exhibit 5 is	3	investors and also by selling shares which might be
4	admitted over objection.	4	reinvested into the company as well again.
5	MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor.	5	Q Okay. And in that case the money would go to
6	(Exhibit 5 was admitted.)	6	Tom, and then he would be free to reinvest it into the
7	BY MR. NORK:	7	company?
8	Q So for Exhibit 5 you found a buyer for the	8	A The funds would go wherever they would be
9	stock; correct?	9	directed to go, so at seller's direction.
10	A Correct.	10	Q Okay. Would you turn, please, to Exhibit 6.
11	Q And to your knowledge what was the next step	11	Exhibit 6 is a two-page exhibit, again, with a
12	after you received this stock purchase agreement?	12	Weiser Bates stamp in the lower right-hand corner;
13	A The next step was for Tom to then issue the	13	correct?
14	shares in the name of the buyer, so to provide a stock	14	A That's right. 314?
15	certificate that would be issued in the name of the	15	O Yes, sir.
15	buyer.	16	A This is the email that it was essentially
17	Q Okay. And on the first page of this document,	17	attached to.
18	stock was sold for approximately \$1.6 million US;	18	THE COURT: Hold on a second.
19	correct?	19	Mr. Nork, you just identified Exhibit 6 as a
20	A Yes, sir.	20	two-page exhibit. Mine is one page. The one I've got on
20	Q And, again, this was part of your ongoing	20	
22	relationship with Mr. Skarpelos in raising funds for	21	the bench is a single page.
22	Anavex?	22	MR. NORK: I'm sorry. There's a copying error on my part. It is a single page, Your Honor.
24	A Correct, yes. It was either raising funds for	24	THE COURT: I just wanted to make sure I wasn't
21	A correct, yes. It was cruice raising raises for	21	THE COOKI. I Just wallou to make sure I wash t
	Page 72		Page 73
		1	0 Observe and here some toll it is to recur
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	missing something. All it is is identified by Weiser		Q Okay. And how can you tell it's to you?
2	Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit?	2	A Because it has my I thought it had my email
2 3	Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor.	2 3	A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name.
2 3 4	Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the	2 3 4	<pre>A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how?</pre>
2 3 4 5	Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench.	2 3 4 5	 A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box.
2 3 4 5 6	Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK:	2 3 4 5 6	 A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we
2 3 4 5 6 7	Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007";	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007"; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right. Q So this is your document, you received it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007"; correct? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right. Q So this is your document, you received it. What was the date that you received this email?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007"; correct? A Correct. Q And for Exhibit 5, what is the date of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right. Q So this is your document, you received it. What was the date that you received this email? A October 1st.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007"; correct? A Correct. Q And for Exhibit 5, what is the date of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right. Q So this is your document, you received it. What was the date that you received this email? A October 1st. Q Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007"; correct? A Correct. Q And for Exhibit 5, what is the date of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement? A The date of the email or the date</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right. Q So this is your document, you received it. What was the date that you received this email? A October 1st. Q Okay. A 2007.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007"; correct? A Correct. Q And for Exhibit 5, what is the date of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement? A The date of the email or the date Q No, sir. Go back to Exhibit 5.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right. Q So this is your document, you received it. What was the date that you received it. What was the date that you received this email? A October 1st. Q Okay. A 2007. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 6.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007"; correct? A Correct. Q And for Exhibit 5, what is the date of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement? A The date of the email or the date Q No, sir. Go back to Exhibit 5. A Oh. September 27th.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right. Q So this is your document, you received it. What was the date that you received this email? A October 1st. Q Okay. A 2007. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 6. MR. ANDERSON: Relevance, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007"; correct? A Correct. Q And for Exhibit 5, what is the date of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement? A The date of the email or the date Q No, sir. Go back to Exhibit 5. A Oh. September 27th. Q Okay. So as you indicated, this email is the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right. Q So this is your document, you received it. What was the date that you received this email? A October 1st. Q Okay. A 2007. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 6. MR. ANDERSON: Relevance, Your Honor. Objection on that basis.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007"; correct? A Correct. Q And for Exhibit 5, what is the date of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement? A The date of the email or the date Q No, sir. Go back to Exhibit 5. A Oh. September 27th. Q Okay. So as you indicated, this email is the one that enclosed the stock purchase agreement that we</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right. Q So this is your document, you received it. What was the date that you received this email? A October 1st. Q Okay. A 2007. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 6. MR. ANDERSON: Relevance, Your Honor. Objection on that basis. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, a relevance objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007"; correct? A Correct. Q And for Exhibit 5, what is the date of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement? A The date of the email or the date Q No, sir. Go back to Exhibit 5. A Oh. September 27th. Q Okay. So as you indicated, this email is the one that enclosed the stock purchase agreement that we talked about in Exhibit 5; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right. Q So this is your document, you received it. What was the date that you received this email? A October 1st. Q Okay. A 2007. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 6. MR. ANDERSON: Relevance, Your Honor. Objection on that basis. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, a relevance objection. MR. NORK: I think we've already addressed</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007"; correct? A Correct. Q And for Exhibit 5, what is the date of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement? A The date of the email or the date Q No, sir. Go back to Exhibit 5. A Oh. September 27th. Q Okay. So as you indicated, this email is the one that enclosed the stock purchase agreement that we talked about in Exhibit 5; correct? A It was part of this thread. I can't say if it</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right. Q So this is your document, you received it. What was the date that you received this email? A October 1st. Q Okay. A 2007. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 6. MR. ANDERSON: Relevance, Your Honor. Objection on that basis. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, a relevance objection. MR. NORK: I think we've already addressed relevance in the capacity of the Affiliate Stock Purchase
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007"; correct? A Correct. Q And for Exhibit 5, what is the date of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement? A The date of the email or the date Q No, sir. Go back to Exhibit 5. A Oh. September 27th. Q Okay. So as you indicated, this email is the one that enclosed the stock purchase agreement that we talked about in Exhibit 5; correct? A It was part of this thread. I can't say if it was this email, but it was this email thread.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right. Q So this is your document, you received it. What was the date that you received this email? A October 1st. Q Okay. A 2007. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 6. MR. ANDERSON: Relevance, Your Honor. Objection on that basis. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, a relevance objection. MR. NORK: I think we've already addressed relevance in the capacity of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement. Again, it has to do with the way that these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007"; correct? A Correct. Q And for Exhibit 5, what is the date of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement? A The date of the email or the date Q No, sir. Go back to Exhibit 5. A Oh. September 27th. Q Okay. So as you indicated, this email is the one that enclosed the stock purchase agreement that we talked about in Exhibit 5; correct? A It was part of this thread. I can't say if it was this email, but it was this email thread. Q Okay. And who is this email from?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right. Q So this is your document, you received it. What was the date that you received this email? A October 1st. Q Okay. A 2007. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 6. MR. ANDERSON: Relevance, Your Honor. Objection on that basis. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, a relevance objection. MR. NORK: I think we've already addressed relevance in the capacity of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement. Again, it has to do with the way that these individuals did business with respect to raising money by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007"; correct? A Correct. Q And for Exhibit 5, what is the date of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement? A The date of the email or the date Q No, sir. Go back to Exhibit 5. A Oh. September 27th. Q Okay. So as you indicated, this email is the one that enclosed the stock purchase agreement that we talked about in Exhibit 5; correct? A It was part of this thread. I can't say if it was this email, but it was this email from? A This is from Tom Skarpelos.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right. Q So this is your document, you received it. What was the date that you received this email? A October 1st. Q Okay. A 2007. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 6. MR. ANDERSON: Relevance, Your Honor. Objection on that basis. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, a relevance objection. MR. NORK: I think we've already addressed relevance in the capacity of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement. Again, it has to do with the way that these individuals did business with respect to raising money by selling Anavex stock.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Bates stamp 314. That's the whole exhibit? MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. That's what I have on the bench. BY MR. NORK: Q And it says under "Attachments," it says "Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement September 27, 2007"; correct? A Correct. Q And for Exhibit 5, what is the date of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement? A The date of the email or the date Q No, sir. Go back to Exhibit 5. A Oh. September 27th. Q Okay. So as you indicated, this email is the one that enclosed the stock purchase agreement that we talked about in Exhibit 5; correct? A It was part of this thread. I can't say if it was this email, but it was this email thread. Q Okay. And who is this email from?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Because it has my I thought it had my email address. Yeah, there's me, my name. Q Okay. And you located this email how? A Searching through my emails, email box. Q And this is on the cloud-based email server we talked about before? A Right. Q So this is your document, you received it. What was the date that you received this email? A October 1st. Q Okay. A 2007. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 6. MR. ANDERSON: Relevance, Your Honor. Objection on that basis. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, a relevance objection. MR. NORK: I think we've already addressed relevance in the capacity of the Affiliate Stock Purchase Agreement. Again, it has to do with the way that these individuals did business with respect to raising money by

Page 74 Page 75 1 missing regarding Exhibit 5, and so it's relevant. So 1 that the easiest way -- the fastest way to raise it would based on the objection only being relevance, the Court 2 be if he sold some of his shares. 2 3 will admit it. 3 Q Then there's a reference to bank information at the bottom of this Exhibit 6. 4 MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 5 5 (Exhibit 6 was admitted.) Do you see that? 6 BY MR. NORK: 6 A Yes. 7 Q And what is that for? Q Now, this email from Tom to you, October 1, 7 8 2007, it references the attachment of the Affiliate Stock A That is the account where he requested the 8 9 Purchase Agreement; correct? 9 funds be sent to. 10 A Correct. 10 Q Okay. And was that being sent to his bank 11 Q And then the note says, "Hi Bud, I sent the 11 account? 12 rest of papers to Harvey. I sing this tell the director 12 A I can't -- well, it says Casad, which is a 13 to sing and cope one" -- I think he means "copy," but it 13 corporation. says "cope" -- "for me, and tell me when you can send the 14 14 Q So it wasn't being sent to his personal bank 15 money. It's very urgent. I have to pay lots of stuff 15 account? ASAP. Otherwise I'm having problem [as read]." 16 16 A Personal, no. 17 Do you see that? 17 Q It was being sent to a company called Casad? 18 18 A Yes. A Correct. 19 Q Does that refresh your recollection as to any 19 Q And do you recall actually having the 20 conversations you had with Mr. Skarpelos in or about this \$1.6 million actually sent to Casad? 20 21 time frame regarding his need for money? 21 A It wouldn't have been me dealing with it. It 22 A Yes. 22 would have been on the seller's side and the seller's 23 bank -- I'm sorry -- the buyer's side. It would be the Q What was that? 23 24 A That he has a need for money, and we discussed 24 buyer's side bank dealing with that. Page 77 Page 76 Q Did you provide this bank information to the Mr. Skarpelos opened an account at WAM; correct? 1 1 2 buyer's side? 2 A Correct. 3 Q And what is your recollection of the A Yes. 3 circumstances leading up to Mr. Skarpelos opening an 4 Q Do you as you sit here today have any 4 5 recollection as to why Mr. Skarpelos urgently needed 5 account at WAM? 6 money in October 2007? 6 A The circumstances? The particular 7 A 2007 was the beginning of the financial crisis. 7 circumstances, I suggested to him that it would be nice 8 I remember it clearly. It was -- a lot of us were having for him to open an account at WAM. 8 9 financial crises around that time. So things were not 9 Q And why is that? 10 good in the market. There was a lot of volatility. Of A Because I was introducing clients to WAM, and 10 11 course, a lot of bad news was starting to emerge. I Tom and I had been friends for a long time, doing 11 12 think around that time, I think, was when Bear Stearns 12 business together, so I thought it would be nice for him 13 was starting to go under. So generally things were tough 13 to have an account at WAM. 14 around this point. 14 Q And you made that suggestion to other clients 15 And the company, Anavex, had very, very little of yours; correct? 15 16 money. There was also a laboratory which is involved 16 A Yes. 17 with Anavex that also does research for Anavex that also 17 Q And other friends of yours? 18 needed money. So things were tight and things were not 18 A Yes. 19 so good in the marketplace, and there was a need for 19 Q Okay. And so did you put Mr. Skarpelos in 20 money. 20 touch with WAM? 21 21 Q Okay. And you assisted Mr. Skarpelos in A Correct. 22 raising funds for the company? 22 Q And how did you do that? 23 A Correct. 22 A I think it was by email. I believe I made an 24 24 email introduction or I exchanged contact details between Q Okay. Now, at some point after 2007

	Page 78		Page 79
1	an officer of WAM and Skarpelos.	1	A Where it says "Review and submit this
2	Q And other than making the introduction, did you	2	application"?
3	take any further steps to assist Mr. Skarpelos in opening	3	Q Yes, sir.
4	his WAM account?	4	A Yes.
5	A Directly, no.	5	Q You see Mr. Skarpelos's name is printed?
6	Q Okay. After you acquired WAM, did you have the	6	A Yes.
7	opportunity to review the account-opening paperwork	7	Q And do you recognize the signature?
8	submitted by Mr. Skarpelos as part of opening an account	8	A Yes.
-			
9	at WAM?	9	Q Quick question. Do you recognize the signature
10	A Yes.	10	under "Internal Weiser Asset Management Approval"
11	Q Can you turn please	11	excuse me "Weiser Management Approval"?
12	Actually, Your Honor, if I may, what time do	12	A Yes. It looks like the compliance officer's
13	you take your morning break?	13	signature.
14	THE COURT: 15 minutes.	14	Q And what's the compliance officer's name?
15	BY MR. NORK:	15	A Oddie is the last name, Charlie or Charles
		16	Oddie.
16	Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 2, which is a		
17	document already admitted into evidence.	17	Q Okay. And you see below the signature of
18	THE COURT: Exhibit 2 has been admitted by	18	Weiser Asset Management excuse me Weiser Management
19	stipulation.	19	Approval, there's an account number?
20	BY MR. NORK:	20	A Yes.
21	Q What is Exhibit 2, Mr. Livadas?	21	Q Is that the account number of Mr. Skarpelos's
22	A It's an account opening application form.	22	account or is that some other number?
23	Q And if you can turn, please, to the last page	23	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Calls for
24	of Exhibit 2.	24	speculation.
	Page 80		D 01
	-		Page 81
1	THE COURT: Well, if he knows.	1	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this,
1 2	-	1 2	-
	THE COURT: Well, if he knows.		May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this,
2	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK:	2	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is
2 3 4	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These	2 3 4	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open?
2 3 4 5	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is	2 3 4 5	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions.
2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that	2 3 4 5 6	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is	2 3 4 5 6 7	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the
2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is	2 3 4 5 6 7	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are for. I can describe what these numbers are for.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened. THE WITNESS: The way we do it right now is
2 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are for. I can describe what these numbers are for. THE COURT: Overrule the objection. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened. THE WITNESS: The way we do it right now is it's opened
2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are for. I can describe what these numbers are for. THE COURT: Overrule the objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: So new clients receive a client	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened. THE WITNESS: The way we do it right now is it's opened THE COURT: I don't care the way you do it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are for. I can describe what these numbers are for. THE COURT: Overrule the objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: So new clients receive a client number, which is like a client account, and separately	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened. THE WITNESS: The way we do it right now is it's opened THE COURT: I don't care the way you do it right now. I want to know what happened then.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are for. I can describe what these numbers are for. THE COURT: Overrule the objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: So new clients receive a client number, which is like a client account, and separately they receive actual holding account numbers, so this is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened. THE WITNESS: The way we do it right now is it's opened THE COURT: I don't care the way you do it right now. I want to know what happened then. THE WITNESS: I wasn't so involved back then. THE COURT: So you don't know the answer to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are for. I can describe what these numbers are for. THE COURT: Overrule the objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: So new clients receive a client number, which is like a client account, and separately they receive actual holding account numbers, so this is a client account number.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened. THE WITNESS: The way we do it right now is it's opened THE COURT: I don't care the way you do it right now. I want to know what happened then. THE WITNESS: I wasn't so involved back then. THE COURT: So you don't know the answer to that question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are for. I can describe what these numbers are for. THE COURT: Overrule the objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: So new clients receive a client number, which is like a client account, and separately they receive actual holding account numbers, so this is a client account number. BY MR. NORK: Q This is a number that identifies the client?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened. THE WITNESS: The way we do it right now is it's opened THE COURT: I don't care the way you do it right now. I want to know what happened then. THE WITNESS: I wasn't so involved back then. THE COURT: So you don't know the answer to that question? THE WITNESS: Not back then, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are for. I can describe what these numbers are for. THE COURT: Overrule the objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: So new clients receive a client number, which is like a client account, and separately they receive actual holding account numbers, so this is a client account number. BY MR. NORK: Q This is a number that identifies the client? A The client, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened. THE WITNESS: The way we do it right now is it's opened THE COURT: I don't care the way you do it right now. I want to know what happened then. THE WITNESS: I wasn't so involved back then. THE COURT: So you don't know the answer to that question? THE WITNESS: Not back then, no. THE COURT: Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are for. I can describe what these numbers are for. THE COURT: Overrule the objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: So new clients receive a client number, which is like a client account, and separately they receive actual holding account numbers, so this is a client account number. BY MR. NORK: Q This is a number that identifies the client? A The client, yes. Q This is not the number that identifies the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened. THE WITNESS: The way we do it right now is it's opened THE COURT: I don't care the way you do it right now. I want to know what happened then. THE WITNESS: I wasn't so involved back then. THE COURT: So you don't know the answer to that question? THE WITNESS: Not back then, no. THE COURT: Go ahead. BY MR. NORK:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are for. I can describe what these numbers are for. THE COURT: Overrule the objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: So new clients receive a client number, which is like a client account, and separately they receive actual holding account numbers, so this is a client account number. BY MR. NORK: Q This is a number that identifies the client? A The client, yes. Q This is not the number that identifies the client's account?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened. THE WITNESS: The way we do it right now is it's opened THE COURT: I don't care the way you do it right now. I want to know what happened then. THE WITNESS: I wasn't so involved back then. THE COURT: So you don't know the answer to that question? THE WITNESS: Not back then, no. THE COURT: Go ahead. BY MR. NORK: Q Turning to the first page of Exhibit 2, there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are for. I can describe what these numbers are for. THE COURT: Overrule the objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: So new clients receive a client number, which is like a client account, and separately they receive actual holding account numbers, so this is a client account number. BY MR. NORK: Q This is a number that identifies the client? A The client, yes. Q This is not the number that identifies the client's account? A No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened. THE WITNESS: The way we do it right now is it's opened THE COURT: I don't care the way you do it right now. I want to know what happened then. THE WITNESS: I wasn't so involved back then. THE COURT: So you don't know the answer to that question? THE WITNESS: Not back then, no. THE COURT: Go ahead. BY MR. NORK: Q Turning to the first page of Exhibit 2, there are some check marks indicating that as part of opening</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are for. I can describe what these numbers are for. THE COURT: Overrule the objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: So new clients receive a client number, which is like a client account, and separately they receive actual holding account numbers, so this is a client account number. BY MR. NORK: Q This is a number that identifies the client? A The client, yes. Q This is not the number that identifies the client's account? A No. Q Okay. Then flipping to the first page of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened. THE WITNESS: The way we do it right now is it's opened THE COURT: I don't care the way you do it right now. I want to know what happened then. THE WITNESS: I wasn't so involved back then. THE WITNESS: I wasn't so involved back then. THE COURT: So you don't know the answer to that question? THE WITNESS: Not back then, no. THE WITNESS: Not back then, no. THE COURT: Go ahead. BY MR. NORK: Q Turning to the first page of Exhibit 2, there are some check marks indicating that as part of opening the individual account there was a completed identity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are for. I can describe what these numbers are for. THE COURT: Overrule the objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: So new clients receive a client number, which is like a client account, and separately they receive actual holding account numbers, so this is a client account number. BY MR. NORK: Q This is a number that identifies the client? A The client, yes. Q This is not the number that identifies the client's account? A No. Q Okay. Then flipping to the first page of Exhibit 2, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened. THE WITNESS: The way we do it right now is it's opened THE COURT: I don't care the way you do it right now. I want to know what happened then. THE WITNESS: I wasn't so involved back then. THE COURT: So you don't know the answer to that question? THE WITNESS: Not back then, no. THE COURT: Go ahead. BY MR. NORK: Q Turning to the first page of Exhibit 2, there are some check marks indicating that as part of opening</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are for. I can describe what these numbers are for. THE COURT: Overrule the objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: So new clients receive a client number, which is like a client account, and separately they receive actual holding account numbers, so this is a client account number. BY MR. NORK: Q This is a number that identifies the client? A The client, yes. Q This is not the number that identifies the client's account? A No. Q Okay. Then flipping to the first page of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened. THE WITNESS: The way we do it right now is it's opened THE COURT: I don't care the way you do it right now. I want to know what happened then. THE WITNESS: I wasn't so involved back then. THE WITNESS: I wasn't so involved back then. THE COURT: So you don't know the answer to that question? THE WITNESS: Not back then, no. THE WITNESS: Not back then, no. THE COURT: Go ahead. BY MR. NORK: Q Turning to the first page of Exhibit 2, there are some check marks indicating that as part of opening the individual account there was a completed identity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Well, if he knows. BY MR. NORK: Q Do you know? A These THE COURT: Just so you know, the objection is speculation. I don't want you to guess on what that number is. If you know what the number is THE WITNESS: I know what these numbers are for. I can describe what these numbers are for. THE COURT: Overrule the objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: So new clients receive a client number, which is like a client account, and separately they receive actual holding account numbers, so this is a client account number. BY MR. NORK: Q This is a number that identifies the client? A The client, yes. Q This is not the number that identifies the client's account? A No. Q Okay. Then flipping to the first page of Exhibit 2, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	May 31st of 2011, when Mr. Skarpelos signs this, presumably, and it's forwarded to you, or is it officially opened on October 13th of 2011 when Mr is it Oddie? when Mr. Oddie signs it? Then is it open? I generally try not to jump in and ask questions. MR. NORK: No. Feel free. THE COURT: I just want to know when the account is officially when it's opened. THE WITNESS: The way we do it right now is it's opened THE COURT: I don't care the way you do it right now. I want to know what happened then. THE WITNESS: I wasn't so involved back then. THE COURT: So you don't know the answer to that question? THE COURT: Go ahead. EY MR. NORK: Q Turning to the first page of Exhibit 2, there are some check marks indicating that as part of opening the individual account there was a completed identity verification form and a copy of passport?

L

	Page 82		Page 83
1	Q And what's the purpose of providing that	1	director or 10 percent or more shareholder of Anavex Life
2	information?	2	Sciences; correct?
3	A It's required for regulatory purposes to obtain	3	THE COURT: That's actually not the next page.
4	this information to meet the new client rules,	4	You skipped you went from 355, you skipped 356 and
5	requirements.	5	357.
6	Q Is this the Know Your Customer information that	6	MR. NORK: I'm on 356.
7	you referenced before?	7	THE COURT: Gotcha, but then you said 358.
8	A Yes.	8	That's why I'm a little bit confused.
9	Q The second page of Exhibit 2 indicates that	9	MR. NORK: That's my eyesight, Your Honor. I
10	this is being opened as an individual account; correct?	10	apologize.
11	A Correct.	11	BY MR. NORK:
12	Q And that Mr. Skarpelos has no other accounts	12	Q 356, it indicates that Mr. Skarpelos is an
13	with WAM?	13	officer, director or 10 percent shareholder of Anavex;
14	A Correct.	14	correct?
15	Q The third page, what is that?	15	A Yes.
16	A 354?	16	Q The next page, 357, is generally an indication
17	Q Yes, sir.	17	of how much Mr. Skarpelos intends to use the account;
18	A That's the information template with the	18	correct?
19	client's first and last name, contact details and so	19	A Correct.
20	forth.	20	Q What does that generally mean for WAM's
21	Q Okay. The next page is blank; correct?	21	purposes? How does that direct WAM's activities?
22	A Correct.	22	A When they're describing how much in the
23	Q The next page, 358, there's some check marks at	23	portfolio their activity level?
24	the top indicating that Mr. Skarpelos is an officer,	24	Q Yes, sir.
—	D		
1	Page 84 A This helps set the risk parameters for	1	Page 85 shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in
1	A This helps set the risk parameters for	1	shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in
2	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset	2	shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public
2 3	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps	2 3	shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point.
2 3 4	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can	2 3 4	shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in
2 3 4 5	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the	2 3 4 5	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in the account? A Yes. Yes, of course, it sets the equity of the account. Q And what does that mean?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358. It has some additional information about Mr. Skarpelos's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in the account? A Yes. Yes, of course, it sets the equity of the account. Q And what does that mean? A Well, the equity account, it means the value of</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358. It has some additional information about Mr. Skarpelos's financial experience; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358. It has some additional information about Mr. Skarpelos's financial experience; correct? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in the account? A Yes. Yes, of course, it sets the equity of the account. Q And what does that mean? A Well, the equity account, it means the value of the account should the account need to be liquidated. Q And under what circumstances would the account</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358. It has some additional information about Mr. Skarpelos's financial experience; correct? A Yes. Q The next page is 359. Do you have that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in the account? A Yes. Yes, of course, it sets the equity of the account. Q And what does that mean? A Well, the equity account, it means the value of the account should the account need to be liquidated. Q And under what circumstances would the account need to be liquidated?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358. It has some additional information about Mr. Skarpelos's financial experience; correct? A Yes. Q The next page is 359. Do you have that? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in the account? A Yes. Yes, of course, it sets the equity of the account. Q And what does that mean? A Well, the equity account, it means the value of the account should the account need to be liquidated. Q And under what circumstances would the account need to be liquidated? A Oh, if the account owed the firm money and if</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358. It has some additional information about Mr. Skarpelos's financial experience; correct? A Yes. Q The next page is 359. Do you have that? A Yes. Q So the top section, section 4, says, "Please	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in the account? A Yes. Yes, of course, it sets the equity of the account. Q And what does that mean? A Well, the equity account, it means the value of the account should the account need to be liquidated. Q And under what circumstances would the account need to be liquidated? A Oh, if the account owed the firm money and if the firm called for payment of that balance.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358. It has some additional information about Mr. Skarpelos's financial experience; correct? A Yes. Q The next page is 359. Do you have that? A Yes. Q So the top section, section 4, says, "Please tell us how you are funding this account. Check all that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in the account? A Yes. Yes, of course, it sets the equity of the account. Q And what does that mean? A Well, the equity account, it means the value of the account should the account need to be liquidated. Q And under what circumstances would the account need to be liquidated? A Oh, if the account owed the firm money and if the firm called for payment of that balance. Q That stock would be on deposit to satisfy that?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358. It has some additional information about Mr. Skarpelos's financial experience; correct? A Yes. Q The next page is 359. Do you have that? A Yes. Q So the top section, section 4, says, "Please tell us how you are funding this account. Check all that apply." And what boxes are checked?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in the account? A Yes. Yes, of course, it sets the equity of the account. Q And what does that mean? A Well, the equity account, it means the value of the account should the account need to be liquidated. Q And under what circumstances would the account need to be liquidated? A Oh, if the account owed the firm money and if the firm called for payment of that balance. Q That stock would be on deposit to satisfy that? A Correct. Yes. Yes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358. It has some additional information about Mr. Skarpelos's financial experience; correct? A Yes. Q The next page is 359. Do you have that? A Yes. Q So the top section, section 4, says, "Please tell us how you are funding this account. Check all that apply." And what boxes are checked? A It says, "Funds from another account" and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in the account? A Yes. Yes, of course, it sets the equity of the account. Q And what does that mean? A Well, the equity account, it means the value of the account should the account need to be liquidated. Q And under what circumstances would the account need to be liquidated? A Oh, if the account owed the firm money and if the firm called for payment of that balance. Q That stock would be on deposit to satisfy that? A Correct. Yes. Yes. Q The next section is section 5, "Tell us how you</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358. It has some additional information about Mr. Skarpelos's financial experience; correct? A Yes. Q The next page is 359. Do you have that? A Yes. Q So the top section, section 4, says, "Please tell us how you are funding this account. Check all that apply." And what boxes are checked? A It says, "Funds from another account" and "Other," which is funded with a certificate of AVXL.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in the account? A Yes. Yes, of course, it sets the equity of the account. Q And what does that mean? A Well, the equity account, it means the value of the account should the account need to be liquidated. Q And under what circumstances would the account need to be liquidated? A Oh, if the account owed the firm money and if the firm called for payment of that balance. Q That stock would be on deposit to satisfy that? A Correct. Yes. Yes. Q The next section is section 5, "Tell us how you want to work with us." </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358. It has some additional information about Mr. Skarpelos's financial experience; correct? A Yes. Q The next page is 359. Do you have that? A Yes. Q So the top section, section 4, says, "Please tell us how you are funding this account. Check all that apply." And what boxes are checked? A It says, "Funds from another account" and "Other," which is funded with a certificate of AVXL. Q What does it mean to fund an account at WAM	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in the account? A Yes. Yes, of course, it sets the equity of the account. Q And what does that mean? A Well, the equity account, it means the value of the account should the account need to be liquidated. Q And under what circumstances would the account need to be liquidated? A Oh, if the account owed the firm money and if the firm called for payment of that balance. Q That stock would be on deposit to satisfy that? A Correct. Yes. Yes. Q The next section is section 5, "Tell us how you want to work with us." Do you see that?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358. It has some additional information about Mr. Skarpelos's financial experience; correct? A Yes. Q The next page is 359. Do you have that? A Yes. Q So the top section, section 4, says, "Please tell us how you are funding this account. Check all that apply." And what boxes are checked? A It says, "Funds from another account" and "Other," which is funded with a certificate of AVXL. Q What does it mean to fund an account at WAM with a stock certificate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in the account? A Yes. Yes, of course, it sets the equity of the account. Q And what does that mean? A Well, the equity account, it means the value of the account should the account need to be liquidated. Q And under what circumstances would the account need to be liquidated? A Oh, if the account owed the firm money and if the firm called for payment of that balance. Q That stock would be on deposit to satisfy that? A Correct. Yes. Yes. Q The next section is section 5, "Tell us how you want to work with us." Do you see that? A Yes. </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358. It has some additional information about Mr. Skarpelos's financial experience; correct? A Yes. Q The next page is 359. Do you have that? A Yes. Q So the top section, section 4, says, "Please tell us how you are funding this account. Check all that apply." And what boxes are checked? A It says, "Funds from another account" and "Other," which is funded with a certificate of AVXL. Q What does it mean to fund an account at WAM with a stock certificate? A That means you're putting your shares on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in the account? A Yes. Yes, of course, it sets the equity of the account. Q And what does that mean? A Well, the equity account, it means the value of the account should the account need to be liquidated. Q And under what circumstances would the account need to be liquidated? A Oh, if the account owed the firm money and if the firm called for payment of that balance. Q That stock would be on deposit to satisfy that? A Correct. Yes. Yes. Q The next section is section 5, "Tell us how you want to work with us." Do you see that? A Yes. Q And under the heading "Borrowing Money to Buy </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358. It has some additional information about Mr. Skarpelos's financial experience; correct? A Yes. Q The next page is 359. Do you have that? A Yes. Q So the top section, section 4, says, "Please tell us how you are funding this account. Check all that apply." And what boxes are checked? A It says, "Funds from another account" and "Other," which is funded with a certificate of AVXL. Q What does it mean to fund an account at WAM with a stock certificate? A That means you're putting your shares on account.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in the account? A Yes. Yes, of course, it sets the equity of the account. Q And what does that mean? A Well, the equity account, it means the value of the account should the account need to be liquidated. Q And under what circumstances would the account need to be liquidated? A Oh, if the account owed the firm money and if the firm called for payment of that balance. Q That stock would be on deposit to satisfy that? A Correct. Yes. Yes. Q The next section is section 5, "Tell us how you want to work with us." Do you see that? A Yes. Q And under the heading "Borrowing Money to Buy Securities (buying on margin, please read carefully)," it</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A This helps set the risk parameters for compliance and also the long-term portfolio asset management. So if there was an asset manager who helps manage the client's asset, they use this so they can model their portfolio and how they're going to manage the money for the client and how much risk to take or not to take. Q Okay. The next page, this one is actually 358. It has some additional information about Mr. Skarpelos's financial experience; correct? A Yes. Q The next page is 359. Do you have that? A Yes. Q So the top section, section 4, says, "Please tell us how you are funding this account. Check all that apply." And what boxes are checked? A It says, "Funds from another account" and "Other," which is funded with a certificate of AVXL. Q What does it mean to fund an account at WAM with a stock certificate? A That means you're putting your shares on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>shares, and the point of that is that you can transact in the security if it's free traded as far as the public market side goes. That's the top level point. Q Okay. Does it establish a level of equity in the account? A Yes. Yes, of course, it sets the equity of the account. Q And what does that mean? A Well, the equity account, it means the value of the account should the account need to be liquidated. Q And under what circumstances would the account need to be liquidated? A Oh, if the account owed the firm money and if the firm called for payment of that balance. Q That stock would be on deposit to satisfy that? A Correct. Yes. Yes. Q The next section is section 5, "Tell us how you want to work with us." Do you see that? A Yes. Q And under the heading "Borrowing Money to Buy</pre>

	Page 86		Page 87
1	customarily known as a margin account. To help you	1	parameters depending on the value and the type of
2	decide whether a margin loan account is right for you,	2	security that's on an account. So if it's a marginable
3	please read this information in the Margin Loan	3	stock, marginable stocks, by regulatory regulations,
4	Agreement."	4	firms can give 25 to 75 percent margin or lend against
5	And then it says, "In a cash account you pay	5	that under certain parameters on stocks that have margin.
6	for your securities in full at the time of purchase. In	6	Q For the account held by Mr. Skarpelos, did he
7	a margin loan account, we may lend you a portion of the	7	ever acquire stock on margin?
8	purchase price. This is called buying securities on	8	A No.
9	margin." And then there's an example of that situation,	9	Q Okay. Then you were explaining what a cash
10	and at the bottom the box is checked "No, I do not want	10	account is.
11	the ability to borrow funds in my account, which means I	11	A Right.
12	will have a cash account."	12	Q What can an account holder do if he has a cash
			~
13	Do you see that?	13	account with WAM?
14	A Yes.	14	A Well, he can only buy stocks if he has cash on
15	Q What does having a cash account entitle the	15	account, and of course he can deposit and withdraw cash
16	account holder to do?	16	from the accounts.
17	A To withdraw cash from their account.	17	Q And how does an account holder with a cash
18	Q And	18	account withdraw cash?
19	A I didn't understand the question exactly as far	19	A He puts the request in to transfer funds.
20	as "entitle."	20	Q And does WAM then sell the stock that's on
21	Q Well, first of all, explain to me the	21	deposit to cover that?
22	distinction between a margin account and cash account.	22	A No, not necessarily. And it wouldn't do it
23	A Okay. So a margin account allows the client to	23	without an instruction from the client.
24	borrow cash to buy more securities, and that has specific	24	Q So what happens to the client's balance if he
	Page 88		Page 89
1	asks for money to be withdrawn in a cash account that is	1	Exhibit 2 right the Know Your Customer information?
2	secured not secured but that was funded by a	2	A Yes.
3	certificate?	3	Q And this is the actual Know Your Customer
4	A It goes into an overdrawn balance.	4	information that was provided; correct?
5	Q So it goes into a negative balance?	5	A Correct.
6	A Correct.	6	Q So the first page is just a description of the
7	Q And to your understanding did that happen in	7	account holder; correct?
8	this case?	8	A Yes.
9	A Yes.	9	Q The second page contains a signature.
10	0 That money was withdrawn and the balance went	10	Do you recognize that signature?
11	into negative?	11	A Yes.
12	A Correct.	12	A les. O Whose is it?
12	Q Turn, please, to Exhibit 8.	13	A It looks like Tom's.
14	THE COURT: This has not been admitted; is that	14	Q What is the third page?
15	correct?	15	A Tom's passport.
16	MR. NORK: This has been admitted, Your Honor.	16	Q And there's a certification at the lower
17	THE COURT: It has? Oh, I'm looking at the	17	left-hand corner.
18	earlier list. I apologize. 8 is admitted.	18	What is that certification?
19	BY MR. NORK:	19	A That certification is that the client presented
20	Q What is Exhibit 8, Mr. Livadas?	20	an original copy of their passport, so it's a
21	A It's the Know Your Client forms that are filled	21	certification that this is a copy of an original.
22	out. The firm completes its compliance review so it	22	Q Okay. And why is it signed and stamped by
23	knows the client better.	23	why is it signed by Equity Trust Bahamas?
24	Q So this is the section that was referred to in	24	A Because Tom went there to have them certify the
1			

	Page 90		Page 91
1	original.	1	THE WITNESS: Yeah. It has the utility
2	Q What is the next page, 365?	2	company's logo on it.
3	A This looks like a utility bill.	3	THE COURT: Okay. As I looked at it, I didn't
4	Q Okay. And is that common in providing Know	4	know how you got there, so as they say, it all looks
5	Your Customer information?	5	Greek to me. There's an old saying. No offense
6	A Yes. Because we're required to have proof of	6	intended, sir. I didn't understand anything there.
7	residency, which is usually a utility bill or bank	7	Why don't we at this point I think you've
8	statement.	8	gone through Exhibit 8; is that correct?
9	Q And the next page is a terrible copy, but can	9	MR. NORK: Except the last page, Your Honor.
10	you tell what that is?	10	BY MR. NORK:
11	A It's a reference letter from a bank.	11	Q 367, what is page 367?
12	Q And is that typically required under Know Your	12	A Yes.
13	Customer requirements?	13	Q What is it?
14	A Yes.	14	A A copy of the bank statement.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Livadas, if I can ask you a	15	Q Is that also required under the Know Your
16	question about the utility bill.	16	Customer requirements?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.	17	A No, not required because we'll take either a
18	THE COURT: I don't mean to be presumptuous,	18	utility bill or a bank statement or a phone bill. So it
19	but I assume that you read Greek?	19	just adds to the Know Your Client. You have options with
20	THE WITNESS: Decently.	20	how many you can submit.
21	THE COURT: I mean, I look at that and I can't	21	MR. NORK: Thank you.
22	read it at all, so I was just kind of curious	22	Now is a good time, Your Honor.
23	miscellaneous how you figured out that was a utility	23	THE COURT: Thank you. We will take a
23	bill.	23	15-minute recess.
21		21	
	Page 92		Page 93
1	(A recess was taken.)	1	Q And it happens, but at least in this case WAM
2	THE COURT: We're back on the record in	2	had the Anavex stock certificate on deposit?
3	CV15-02259.	3	A Correct.
4	From this point forward I think I'm going to	4	Q So a debit position is different than a
5	call it Skarpelos vs. Weiser. It's a little bit easier	5	negative equity position; correct?
6	and makes a little more sense in my mind.	6	A Yes. Negative equity means there isn't enough
7	And so, everybody, we are back on the record.	7	equity to cover the borrowings. So even if all of the
8	Everybody is present. Mr. Livadas is still on the	8	shares were sold, there wouldn't be enough to cover the
9	witness stand, and we had just concluded discussing	9	debit.
10	Exhibit No. 8.	10	Q And is that commonplace, to go into the
11	Go ahead, Mr. Nork.	11	negative equity position?
12			
	MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor.	12	A Very rarely, because the firm will try to
13	MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK:		manage the risk of the account and sell the securities
13 14	MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor.	12	
	MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK:	12 13	manage the risk of the account and sell the securities
14	MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK: Q One thing, as we were wrapping up before the	12 13 14	manage the risk of the account and sell the securities before it goes negative equity, long before negative
14 15	<pre>MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK: Q One thing, as we were wrapping up before the break, you mentioned that it's possible for a cash</pre>	12 13 14 15	manage the risk of the account and sell the securities before it goes negative equity, long before negative equity.
14 15 16	<pre>MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK: Q One thing, as we were wrapping up before the break, you mentioned that it's possible for a cash account at WAM to go into debit; correct? A Correct. Q And is that a common occurrence?</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>manage the risk of the account and sell the securities before it goes negative equity, long before negative equity. Q Okay. So as long as there's enough value on</pre>
14 15 16 17	MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK: Q One thing, as we were wrapping up before the break, you mentioned that it's possible for a cash account at WAM to go into debit; correct? A Correct.	12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>manage the risk of the account and sell the securities before it goes negative equity, long before negative equity.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18	<pre>MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK: Q One thing, as we were wrapping up before the break, you mentioned that it's possible for a cash account at WAM to go into debit; correct? A Correct. Q And is that a common occurrence?</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>manage the risk of the account and sell the securities before it goes negative equity, long before negative equity.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK: Q One thing, as we were wrapping up before the break, you mentioned that it's possible for a cash account at WAM to go into debit; correct? A Correct. Q And is that a common occurrence? A Fairly common. The client might transfer or	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>manage the risk of the account and sell the securities before it goes negative equity, long before negative equity. Q Okay. So as long as there's enough value on deposit in the account to cover the debit, that is not as much of a concern to WAM? A Correct. Margin can go 25 to 75 percent</pre>
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK: Q One thing, as we were wrapping up before the break, you mentioned that it's possible for a cash account at WAM to go into debit; correct? A Correct. Q And is that a common occurrence? A Fairly common. The client might transfer or withdraw cash and take it as a loan. It's kind of	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>manage the risk of the account and sell the securities before it goes negative equity, long before negative equity. Q Okay. So as long as there's enough value on deposit in the account to cover the debit, that is not as much of a concern to WAM? A Correct. Margin can go 25 to 75 percent negative, let's call it. On cash accounts it's small,</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK: Q One thing, as we were wrapping up before the break, you mentioned that it's possible for a cash account at WAM to go into debit; correct? A Correct. Q And is that a common occurrence? A Fairly common. The client might transfer or withdraw cash and take it as a loan. It's kind of like we call it an overdraw position, not a margin</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>manage the risk of the account and sell the securities before it goes negative equity, long before negative equity. Q Okay. So as long as there's enough value on deposit in the account to cover the debit, that is not as much of a concern to WAM? A Correct. Margin can go 25 to 75 percent negative, let's call it. On cash accounts it's small, 5 percent, 10 percent the firm will typically give to</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK: Q One thing, as we were wrapping up before the break, you mentioned that it's possible for a cash account at WAM to go into debit; correct? A Correct. Q And is that a common occurrence? A Fairly common. The client might transfer or withdraw cash and take it as a loan. It's kind of like we call it an overdraw position, not a margin position.</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>manage the risk of the account and sell the securities before it goes negative equity, long before negative equity.</pre>

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

1	Page 94		Page 95
	THE COURT: Mr. Nork, maybe you can cover this	1	with it in real time.
2	with Mr. Livadas. If the value in the account is the	2	THE COURT: So I think the analysis or the
3	amount of the security and the security value fluctuates,	3	example that you gave earlier this morning, we were
4	I'm not quite sure how we know exactly what the value of	4	talking about everyone wants to use Apple stock
5	the account is. It might be approximate, but I don't	5	because we presume Apple stock is a solid stock. The
6	know if that's important to the analysis or not.	6	value of the shares of Apple stock that one owns
7	MR. NORK: That's a good question, Your Honor.	7	fluctuates constantly based on what the value of the
8	BY MR. NORK:	8	stock is at any given moment?
9	Q The example the Court just gave, is that why	9	THE WITNESS: Correct.
10	the cash account is only allowed to go to 5 to	10	THE COURT: But that fluctuation is very minor,
11	10 percent?	11	I would assume, assuming that something awful doesn't
12	- A It's allowed to go to 5 to 10 percent because	12	happen like iPhones all of a sudden around the world
13	typically the securities are not marginable, as we call	13	catch fire and now the value of Apple stock goes
14	them. What happens with marginable securities is the	14	plummeting. So then the value of that person's account,
15	exchange or the prime banks will actually lend liquidity	15	based only on those stocks, might crater?
16	to the firm against it. If it's a cash account or a cash	16	THE WITNESS: Correct.
17	nonmarginable security, that means it's the firm itself	17	THE COURT: "Crater" is a nontechnical term,
18	taking the risk on lending the capital	18	but
19	Q Okay.	19	THE WITNESS: It's happened. They crater.
20	A or allowing it to be in the negative	20	THE COURT: they crater.
21	position on it.	21	So the value of someone's account does have a
22	And the values to answer your question, the	22	small amount of variance in it just based on at any given
23	values are monitored almost now hourly. As the account	23	point in time what the value of the stock is?
24	value moves, that's how much your credit margin moves	24	THE WITNESS: Correct.
	Page 96		Page 97
1	THE COURT: You have a general understanding of	1	Q How long have you known Lambros?
2	what the stock is over time by just looking at any	2	A I probably met Lambros sometime around 1996,
3	THE WITNESS: Yeah. But the brokerage system	3	'97, '95.
	will look at it in real time. So let's say this morning		
4	will look at it in real time. So it's bay this morning	4	Q To your knowledge did Lambros ever open an
4 5	at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the	4 5	Q To your knowledge did Lambros ever open an account with WAM?
5	at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the	5	account with WAM?
5 6	at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the	5 6	account with WAM? A No.
5 6 7	at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the value drops to \$9,000 even one minute later, then the	5 6 7	account with WAM? A No. Q Has Lambros ever personally been a client of
5 6 7 8	at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the value drops to \$9,000 even one minute later, then the amount of credit available to the client drops in that	5 6 7 8	account with WAM? A No. Q Has Lambros ever personally been a client of Weiser Capital?
5 6 7 8 9	at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the value drops to \$9,000 even one minute later, then the amount of credit available to the client drops in that same ratio, whatever that number would be.	5 6 7 8 9	account with WAM? A No. Q Has Lambros ever personally been a client of Weiser Capital? A No.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the value drops to \$9,000 even one minute later, then the amount of credit available to the client drops in that same ratio, whatever that number would be. THE COURT: I think I understand. Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10	account with WAM? A No. Q Has Lambros ever personally been a client of Weiser Capital? A No. Q Have you done any business dealings with
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the value drops to \$9,000 even one minute later, then the amount of credit available to the client drops in that same ratio, whatever that number would be. THE COURT: I think I understand. Thank you. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK: Q I want to change gears a little bit.</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	account with WAM? A No. Q Has Lambros ever personally been a client of Weiser Capital? A No. Q Have you done any business dealings with Lambros individually?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the value drops to \$9,000 even one minute later, then the amount of credit available to the client drops in that same ratio, whatever that number would be. THE COURT: I think I understand. Thank you. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK: Q I want to change gears a little bit. Do you know a person by the name of Lambros</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>account with WAM?</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the value drops to \$9,000 even one minute later, then the amount of credit available to the client drops in that same ratio, whatever that number would be. THE COURT: I think I understand. Thank you. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK: Q I want to change gears a little bit.</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>account with WAM?</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the value drops to \$9,000 even one minute later, then the amount of credit available to the client drops in that same ratio, whatever that number would be.</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>account with WAM?</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the value drops to \$9,000 even one minute later, then the amount of credit available to the client drops in that same ratio, whatever that number would be.</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>account with WAM? A No. Q Has Lambros ever personally been a client of Weiser Capital? A No. Q Have you done any business dealings with Lambros individually? A Only on business related to Tom. Q And that's why I specified my question whether you did business with Lambros without the involvement of Tom. Did you ever do that? A No. Q Did you ever conduct business with Tom through</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the value drops to \$9,000 even one minute later, then the amount of credit available to the client drops in that same ratio, whatever that number would be. THE COURT: I think I understand. Thank you. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK: Q I want to change gears a little bit. Do you know a person by the name of Lambros Pedafronimos? A Yes. Q And I'm going to refer to him as Lambros just for ease of pronunciation.</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>account with WAM?</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the value drops to \$9,000 even one minute later, then the amount of credit available to the client drops in that same ratio, whatever that number would be. THE COURT: I think I understand. Thank you. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK: Q I want to change gears a little bit. Do you know a person by the name of Lambros Pedafronimos? A Yes. Q And I'm going to refer to him as Lambros just for ease of pronunciation. Who is Lambros?</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>account with WAM?</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the value drops to \$9,000 even one minute later, then the amount of credit available to the client drops in that same ratio, whatever that number would be.</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>account with WAM? A No. Q Has Lambros ever personally been a client of Weiser Capital? A No. Q Have you done any business dealings with Lambros individually? A Only on business related to Tom. Q And that's why I specified my question whether you did business with Lambros without the involvement of Tom. Did you ever do that? A No. Q Did you ever conduct business with Tom through Lambros? A Most of the time. Q What do you mean by "most of the time"?</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the value drops to \$9,000 even one minute later, then the amount of credit available to the client drops in that same ratio, whatever that number would be.</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>account with WAM?</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the value drops to \$9,000 even one minute later, then the amount of credit available to the client drops in that same ratio, whatever that number would be. THE COURT: I think I understand. Thank you. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. NORK: Q I want to change gears a little bit. Do you know a person by the name of Lambros Pedafronimos? A Yes. Q And I'm going to refer to him as Lambros just for ease of pronunciation. Who is Lambros? A Lambros is Tom's nephew. Q How do you know Lambros? A I met Lambros through Tom, and of course I've</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>account with WAM?</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>at 10 o'clock, if it's worth \$10,000, it knows that the client has \$5,000 it can borrow against Apple, but if the value drops to \$9,000 even one minute later, then the amount of credit available to the client drops in that same ratio, whatever that number would be.</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>account with WAM?</pre>

1	Page 98		Page 99
	company, let's say, a lot of it was coordinated by	1	A Right.
2	Lambros.	2	Q And we've already discussed Tom's desire to
3	Q And	3	sell stock back in 2007.
4	A And Lambros would coordinate between Tom and I.	4	Do you know if any of those communications went
5	Q Okay. And your communications with Lambros,	5	through Lambros?
6	was that via email?	6	A I don't remember if any of them were or not at
7	A Yes.	7	that time.
8	Q Was it via telephone?	8	Q Okay. Did there ever come a time where almost
9	A Yes.	9	all your communications regarding Tom's business was
10	Q Was it in person?	10	through Lambros?
11	A Yes.	11	A Oh, for all? Yes, that would be after Tom
12	Q Did you ever come to understand why many of	12	became ill.
13	your business dealings with Tom went through Lambros?	13	Q Okay. And do you recall what time frame it was
14	A Why?	14	that Mr. Skarpelos got sick?
15	Q Yes, sir.	15	A I remember it was springtime. I can't
16	A Well, a few reasons. Lambros was just more	16	remember, without looking, what year that was now. I
17	organized at getting things done than Tom. He's kind of	17	forget.
18	like Tom's assistant, essentially, and Lambros, being	18	Q Springtime of some year?
19	younger, was more quicker or savvy at communicating in	19	A Yes.
20	various forms.	20	Q While he was sick, you primarily communicated
21	Q Whether it's a text or an email or something	21	through Lambros to get to Tom?
22	like that?	22	A Correct.
23	A Yes.	23	Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 9?
24	Q He was faster with the phone than Tom was?	24	You see Exhibit 9 has the Weiser Bates stamp in
	Page 100		Dago 101
1		1	Page 101 A Correct.
1	the lower right-hand corner?	1 2	A Correct.
2	the lower right-hand corner? A Yes.	2	A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364,
2 3	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity</pre>	2 3	A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos
2 3 4	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.?</pre>	2 3 4	A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct?
2 3 4 5	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5	<pre>A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case. Q Okay. Is this Exhibit 9 part of the account</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct. Q And in fact, it's dated the same, both Equity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case. Q Okay. Is this Exhibit 9 part of the account opening documentation for Mr. Skarpelos's account?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct. Q And in fact, it's dated the same, both Equity Trust Bahamas stamps are the same, May 31, 2011; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case. Q Okay. Is this Exhibit 9 part of the account opening documentation for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A It was in that file with the account with</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct. Q And in fact, it's dated the same, both Equity Trust Bahamas stamps are the same, May 31, 2011; correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case. Q Okay. Is this Exhibit 9 part of the account opening documentation for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A It was in that file with the account with the Skarpelos account opening documentation. It was in</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct. Q And in fact, it's dated the same, both Equity Trust Bahamas stamps are the same, May 31, 2011; correct? A Correct. Q What is your understanding as to why Lambros
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case. Q Okay. Is this Exhibit 9 part of the account opening documentation for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A It was in that file with the account with the Skarpelos account opening documentation. It was in that package together.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct. Q And in fact, it's dated the same, both Equity Trust Bahamas stamps are the same, May 31, 2011; correct? A Correct. Q What is your understanding as to why Lambros provided Know Your Customer information for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case. Q Okay. Is this Exhibit 9 part of the account opening documentation for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A It was in that file with the account with the Skarpelos account opening documentation. It was in that package together. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 9.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct. Q And in fact, it's dated the same, both Equity Trust Bahamas stamps are the same, May 31, 2011; correct? A Correct. Q What is your understanding as to why Lambros provided Know Your Customer information for Mr. Skarpelos's account?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case. Q Okay. Is this Exhibit 9 part of the account opening documentation for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A It was in that file with the account with the Skarpelos account opening documentation. It was in that package together. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 9. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct. Q And in fact, it's dated the same, both Equity Trust Bahamas stamps are the same, May 31, 2011; correct? A Correct. Q What is your understanding as to why Lambros provided Know Your Customer information for Mr. Skarpelos's account? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case. Q Okay. Is this Exhibit 9 part of the account opening documentation for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A It was in that file with the account with the Skarpelos account opening documentation. It was in that package together. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 9. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I guess based on</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct. Q And in fact, it's dated the same, both Equity Trust Bahamas stamps are the same, May 31, 2011; correct? A Correct. Q What is your understanding as to why Lambros provided Know Your Customer information for Mr. Skarpelos's account? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case. Q Okay. Is this Exhibit 9 part of the account opening documentation for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A It was in that file with the account with the Skarpelos account opening documentation. It was in that package together. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 9. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I guess based on his based on his testimony that it was within the WAM</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct. Q And in fact, it's dated the same, both Equity Trust Bahamas stamps are the same, May 31, 2011; correct? A Correct. Q What is your understanding as to why Lambros provided Know Your Customer information for Mr. Skarpelos's account? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It calls for speculation. I think he said he wasn't involved in the process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case. Q Okay. Is this Exhibit 9 part of the account opening documentation for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A It was in that file with the account with the Skarpelos account opening documentation. It was in that package together. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 9. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I guess based on his based on his testimony that it was within the WAM records, I don't have any objection at this time.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct. Q And in fact, it's dated the same, both Equity Trust Bahamas stamps are the same, May 31, 2011; correct? A Correct. Q What is your understanding as to why Lambros provided Know Your Customer information for Mr. Skarpelos's account? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It calls for speculation. I think he said he wasn't involved in the process. THE COURT: I think that's it would either</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case. Q Okay. Is this Exhibit 9 part of the account opening documentation for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A It was in that file with the account with the Skarpelos account opening documentation. It was in that package together. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 9. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I guess based on his based on his testimony that it was within the WAM records, I don't have any objection at this time. THE COURT: Exhibit 9 will be admitted. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct. Q And in fact, it's dated the same, both Equity Trust Bahamas stamps are the same, May 31, 2011; correct? A Correct. Q What is your understanding as to why Lambros provided Know Your Customer information for Mr. Skarpelos's account? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It calls for speculation. I think he said he wasn't involved in the process. THE COURT: I think that's it would either be speculative or it would be hearsay unless you can lay
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case. Q Okay. Is this Exhibit 9 part of the account opening documentation for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A It was in that file with the account with the Skarpelos account opening documentation. It was in that package together. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 9. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I guess based on his based on his testimony that it was within the WAM records, I don't have any objection at this time. THE COURT: Exhibit 9 will be admitted. (Exhibit 9 was admitted.)</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct. Q And in fact, it's dated the same, both Equity Trust Bahamas stamps are the same, May 31, 2011; correct? A Correct. Q What is your understanding as to why Lambros provided Know Your Customer information for Mr. Skarpelos's account? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It calls for speculation. I think he said he wasn't involved in the process. THE COURT: I think that's it would either be speculative or it would be hearsay unless you can lay some additional foundation, Mr. Nork. I'll sustain the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case. Q Okay. Is this Exhibit 9 part of the account opening documentation for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A It was in that file with the account with the Skarpelos account opening documentation. It was in that package together. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 9. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I guess based on his based on his testimony that it was within the WAM records, I don't have any objection at this time. THE COURT: Exhibit 9 will be admitted. (Exhibit 9 was admitted.) EY MR. NORK:</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct. Q And in fact, it's dated the same, both Equity Trust Bahamas stamps are the same, May 31, 2011; correct? A Correct. Q What is your understanding as to why Lambros provided Know Your Customer information for Mr. Skarpelos's account? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It calls for speculation. I think he said he wasn't involved in the process. THE COURT: I think that's it would either be speculative or it would be hearsay unless you can lay some additional foundation, Mr. Nork. I'll sustain the objection and allow you to ask additional questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case. Q Okay. Is this Exhibit 9 part of the account opening documentation for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A It was in that file with the account with the Skarpelos account opening documentation. It was in that package together. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 9. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I guess based on his based on his testimony that it was within the WAM records, I don't have any objection at this time. THE COURT: Exhibit 9 will be admitted. (Exhibit 9 was admitted.) EY MR. NORK: Q Now, this appears to be Know Your Customer</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct. Q And in fact, it's dated the same, both Equity Trust Bahamas stamps are the same, May 31, 2011; correct? A Correct. Q What is your understanding as to why Lambros provided Know Your Customer information for Mr. Skarpelos's account? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It calls for speculation. I think he said he wasn't involved in the process. THE COURT: I think that's it would either be speculative or it would be hearsay unless you can lay some additional foundation, Mr. Nork. I'll sustain the objection and allow you to ask additional questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>the lower right-hand corner? A Yes. Q And you see Exhibit 9 has the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas Ltd.? A Correct. Q When did you first see this document? A When I was looking through the files to find the documentation for this case. Q Okay. Is this Exhibit 9 part of the account opening documentation for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A It was in that file with the account with the Skarpelos account opening documentation. It was in that package together. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 9. THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I guess based on his based on his testimony that it was within the WAM records, I don't have any objection at this time. THE COURT: Exhibit 9 will be admitted. (Exhibit 9 was admitted.) EY MR. NORK:</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Correct. Q And if you were to turn to Exhibit 8, page 364, it's very similar to the documentation that Mr. Skarpelos provided; correct? A Correct. Q Which is a copy of the passport and then the stamp of Equity Trust Bahamas at the bottom? A Correct. Q And in fact, it's dated the same, both Equity Trust Bahamas stamps are the same, May 31, 2011; correct? A Correct. Q What is your understanding as to why Lambros provided Know Your Customer information for Mr. Skarpelos's account? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It calls for speculation. I think he said he wasn't involved in the process. THE COURT: I think that's it would either be speculative or it would be hearsay unless you can lay some additional foundation, Mr. Nork. I'll sustain the objection and allow you to ask additional questions.

	Page 102		Page 103
1	make requests of you regarding Mr. Skarpelos's account?	1	A Yes.
2	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Hearsay.	2	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Vague as to time.
3	MR. NORK: That wouldn't be hearsay.	3	THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection,
4	THE COURT: He can answer that question. He	4	Mr. Anderson. I think I understand the nature of the
5	didn't ask what the requests were. He just asked, did he	5	questioning. It's not vague.
6	make requests, so I'll overrule the objection.	6	BY MR. NORK:
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.	7	Q Go ahead.
8	BY MR. NORK:	8	THE COURT: So an average person can't call in
9	Q And what kind of requests was Lambros making of	9	and say, "I would like to talk to you about
10	you regarding Tom's account?	10	Mr. Skarpelos's account"?
11	MR. ANDERSON: Same objection.	11	THE WITNESS: Correct.
12	THE COURT: Now I'll sustain the objection.	12	THE COURT: But can Mr. Skarpelos identify
13	You're asking him a hearsay the response would be	13	people that you are allowed to talk to about his account?
14	hearsay.	14	THE WITNESS: Correct.
15	- MR. NORK: Well	15	THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Nork.
16	THE COURT: Unless well, go ahead.	16	BY MR. NORK:
17	MR. NORK: Let me rephrase it, then.	17	Q Is this what Exhibit 9 was provided for, to
18	BY MR. NORK:	18	allow communications between Lambros and WAM?
19	Q Lambros communicated with you regarding Tom's	19	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Calls for
20	account; correct?	20	speculation. He testified he wasn't involved.
21	A Yes.	21	- MR. NORK: Well, he's
22	Q If a third party is communicating with WAM	22	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
23	regarding a WAM account, does WAM require Know Your	23	MR. NORK: Okay.
24	Customer information of that third party?	24	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection
	Page 104		Page 105
1	because he wasn't involved in the preparation of this so	1	-
1	because he wasn't involved in the preparation of this so he couldn't testify why it occurred. I don't think.	1 2	not been registered."
1 2 3	he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think.		not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate
2		2	not been registered."
2 3	he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think. MR. NORK: Okay. That's fine, Your Honor. I	2 3	not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months
2 3 4	he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think. MR. NORK: Okay. That's fine, Your Honor. I will ask it a different way. BY MR. NORK:	2 3 4	not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized?
2 3 4 5	he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think. MR. NORK: Okay. That's fine, Your Honor. I will ask it a different way. BY MR. NORK: Q You've indicated already that third parties	2 3 4 5	not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think. MR. NORK: Okay. That's fine, Your Honor. I will ask it a different way. BY MR. NORK: Q You've indicated already that third parties provide Know Your Customer information to WAM so they can</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think. MR. NORK: Okay. That's fine, Your Honor. I will ask it a different way. BY MR. NORK: Q You've indicated already that third parties	2 3 4 5 6 7	not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think. MR. NORK: Okay. That's fine, Your Honor. I will ask it a different way. BY MR. NORK: Q You've indicated already that third parties provide Know Your Customer information to WAM so they can communicate with WAM about a WAM client's account;</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to open his WAM account?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think. MR. NORK: Okay. That's fine, Your Honor. I will ask it a different way. BY MR. NORK: Q You've indicated already that third parties provide Know Your Customer information to WAM so they can communicate with WAM about a WAM client's account; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to open his WAM account? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to open his WAM account? A Correct. Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 4, which has</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think. MR. NORK: Okay. That's fine, Your Honor. I will ask it a different way. BY MR. NORK: Q You've indicated already that third parties provide Know Your Customer information to WAM so they can communicate with WAM about a WAM client's account; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. That's good enough for me.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to open his WAM account? A Correct. Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 4, which has already been admitted.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think. MR. NORK: Okay. That's fine, Your Honor. I will ask it a different way. BY MR. NORK: Q You've indicated already that third parties provide Know Your Customer information to WAM so they can communicate with WAM about a WAM client's account; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. That's good enough for me. Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 1, which is</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to open his WAM account? A Correct. Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 4, which has already been admitted. What is Exhibit 4?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to open his WAM account? A Correct. Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 4, which has already been admitted. What is Exhibit 4? A Anavex stock certificate number 0660 for</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to open his WAM account? A Correct. Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 4, which has already been admitted. What is Exhibit 4? A Anavex stock certificate number 0660 for 92,500.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to open his WAM account? A Correct. Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 4, which has already been admitted. What is Exhibit 4? A Anavex stock certificate number 0660 for 92,500. Q The 92,500 is the number of shares of stock;</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to open his WAM account? A Correct. Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 4, which has already been admitted. What is Exhibit 4? A Anavex stock certificate number 0660 for 92,500. Q The 92,500 is the number of shares of stock; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to open his WAM account? A Correct. Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 4, which has already been admitted. What is Exhibit 4? A Anavex stock certificate number 0660 for 92,500. Q The 92,500 is the number of shares of stock; correct? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to open his WAM account? A Correct. Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 4, which has already been admitted. What is Exhibit 4? A Anavex stock certificate number 0660 for 92,500. Q The 92,500 is the number of shares of stock; correct? A Correct. Q And this is stock certificate number 660;</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to open his WAM account? A Correct. Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 4, which has already been admitted. What is Exhibit 4? A Anavex stock certificate number 0660 for 92,500. Q The 92,500 is the number of shares of stock; correct? A Correct. Q And this is stock certificate number 660; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to open his WAM account? A Correct. Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 4, which has already been admitted. What is Exhibit 4? A Anavex stock certificate number 0660 for 92,500. Q The 92,500 is the number of shares of stock; correct? A Correct. Q And this is stock certificate number 660; correct? A Correct. </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to open his WAM account? A Correct. Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 4, which has already been admitted. What is Exhibit 4? A Anavex stock certificate number 0660 for 92,500. Q The 92,500 is the number of shares of stock; correct? A Correct. Q And this is stock certificate number 660; correct? A Correct. Q And this one restricted as well?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>he couldn't testify why it occurred, I don't think.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>not been registered." Q Okay. So is this the kind of stock certificate that is restricted, where you have to wait six months before it can be dematerialized? A Correct. Q Is this the stock certificate or is this one of the stock certificates that Mr. Skarpelos deposited to open his WAM account? A Correct. Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 4, which has already been admitted. What is Exhibit 4? A Anavex stock certificate number 0660 for 92,500. Q The 92,500 is the number of shares of stock; correct? A Correct. Q And this is stock certificate number 660; correct? A Correct. Q And this one restricted as well? A Yes. </pre>

	Page 106		Page 107
1	A Yes.	1	THE COURT: Unless you can explain to me how
2	Q Now, are you aware that one of the issues in	2	it's not hearsay. We could get to the foundation issues
3	this case is whether or not a WAM account was ever in	3	momentarily, but on its face it's hearsay because I just
4	fact opened for Mr. Skarpelos?	4	glanced at it and it's an email from Lambros
5	A Yes. I recently became aware of that.	5	Pedafronimos, it's not an email from Mr. Skarpelos, so
6	Q Okay. Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 12.	6	it's not an admission of a party opponent.
7	Do you see this is a Weiser document as	7	MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I also meant to add
8	indicated in the lower right-hand corner?	8	a relevancy objection. I apologize.
9	A Number 345?	9	THE COURT: I'm not even focused on the
10	Q Yes.	10	relevance. It's just hearsay.
11	A Yes.	11	MR. NORK: Your Honor, I can ask him questions
12	Q This is an email to you from Lambros; correct?	12	about what, if anything, he did in response to this email
13	A Correct.	13	and not rely upon the truth of the matter asserted in the
14	Q What is the date of the email?	14	email.
15	A December 21, 2012.	15	THE COURT: You can do that, but then that's
16	Q And how did you locate this email?	16	not admissible.
17	A Searching my email box.	17	MR. NORK: Well, it's admissible in that he
18	Q Okay.	18	received an email from Lambros on December 21, 2012. I
19	MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 12.	19	mean regardless of the content, we talked about his
20	MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'd object on the	20	testimony where
21	grounds of foundation and hearsay with respect	21	THE COURT: Hold on, Mr. Nork.
22	THE COURT: Sustained on the hearsay. Go	22	It's true that you can ask him questions about
23	ahead.	23	what he did as a result of receiving this email.
24	MR. NORK: Well	24	However, that doesn't mean that the email itself becomes
			·
1	Page 108		Page 109
	admiggible and it's not heargay	1	-
1	admissible and it's not hearsay.	1	this email?
2	I think that people frequently rely on the	2	this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not
2 3	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the	2	this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is.
2 3 4	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect	2 3 4	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus</pre>
2 3 4 5	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but	2 3 4 5	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM. to then contact Verdmont to check the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can do that. MR. NORK: And I will do that, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM. to then contact Verdmont to check the beneficiary details in the transfer and to add or check</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can do that. MR. NORK: And I will do that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm going to sustain the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM. to then contact Verdmont to check the beneficiary details in the transfer and to add or check the beneficiary. There it is, yeah, the beneficiary</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can do that. MR. NORK: And I will do that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm going to sustain the objection. I think that the statement excuse me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM. to then contact Verdmont to check the beneficiary details in the transfer and to add or check the beneficiary. There it is, yeah, the beneficiary name. Right, add the beneficiary name to the transfer.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can do that. MR. NORK: And I will do that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm going to sustain the objection. I think that the statement excuse me Exhibit 12, the email, is hearsay, and so I'll sustain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM. to then contact Verdmont to check the beneficiary details in the transfer and to add or check the beneficiary. There it is, yeah, the beneficiary name. Right, add the beneficiary name to the transfer. Q So you were in the process of doing a money</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can do that. MR. NORK: And I will do that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm going to sustain the objection. I think that the statement excuse me Exhibit 12, the email, is hearsay, and so I'll sustain the objection, but you can ask him what he did as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM. to then contact Verdmont to check the beneficiary details in the transfer and to add or check the beneficiary. There it is, yeah, the beneficiary name. Right, add the beneficiary name to the transfer. Q So you were in the process of doing a money transfer; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can do that. MR. NORK: And I will do that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm going to sustain the objection. I think that the statement excuse me Exhibit 12, the email, is hearsay, and so I'll sustain the objection, but you can ask him what he did as a result of receiving this, and certainly the witness can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM. to then contact Verdmont to check the beneficiary details in the transfer and to add or check the beneficiary. There it is, yeah, the beneficiary name. Right, add the beneficiary name to the transfer. Q So you were in the process of doing a money transfer; correct? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can do that. MR. NORK: And I will do that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm going to sustain the objection. I think that the statement excuse me Exhibit 12, the email, is hearsay, and so I'll sustain the objection, but you can ask him what he did as a result of receiving this, and certainly the witness can look at this and say, I remember getting this and then I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM. to then contact Verdmont to check the beneficiary details in the transfer and to add or check the beneficiary. There it is, yeah, the beneficiary name. Right, add the beneficiary name to the transfer. Q So you were in the process of doing a money transfer; correct? A Correct. Q And you received this email and had to take</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can do that. MR. NORK: And I will do that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm going to sustain the objection. I think that the statement excuse me Exhibit 12, the email, is hearsay, and so I'll sustain the objection, but you can ask him what he did as a result of receiving this, and certainly the witness can look at this and say, I remember getting this and then I did X.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM. to then contact Verdmont to check the beneficiary details in the transfer and to add or check the beneficiary. There it is, yeah, the beneficiary name. Right, add the beneficiary name to the transfer. Q So you were in the process of doing a money transfer; correct? A Correct. Q And you received this email and had to take some additional steps to finalize that transfer?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can do that. MR. NORK: And I will do that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm going to sustain the objection. I think that the statement excuse me Exhibit 12, the email, is hearsay, and so I'll sustain the objection, but you can ask him what he did as a result of receiving this, and certainly the witness can look at this and say, I remember getting this and then I did X. MR. NORK: Fair enough, Your Honor. I will ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM. to then contact Verdmont to check the beneficiary details in the transfer and to add or check the beneficiary. There it is, yeah, the beneficiary name. Right, add the beneficiary name to the transfer. Q So you were in the process of doing a money transfer; correct? A Correct. Q And you received this email and had to take some additional steps to finalize that transfer? A Yes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can do that. MR. NORK: And I will do that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm going to sustain the objection. I think that the statement excuse me Exhibit 12, the email, is hearsay, and so I'll sustain the objection, but you can ask him what he did as a result of receiving this, and certainly the witness can look at this and say, I remember getting this and then I did X. MR. NORK: Fair enough, Your Honor. I will ask that question. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM. to then contact Verdmont to check the beneficiary details in the transfer and to add or check the beneficiary. There it is, yeah, the beneficiary name. Right, add the beneficiary name to the transfer. Q So you were in the process of doing a money transfer; correct? A Correct. Q And you received this email and had to take some additional steps to finalize that transfer? A Yes. Q Okay. Do you recall from what account the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can do that. MR. NORK: And I will do that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm going to sustain the objection. I think that the statement excuse me Exhibit 12, the email, is hearsay, and so I'll sustain the objection, but you can ask him what he did as a result of receiving this, and certainly the witness can look at this and say, I remember getting this and then I did X. MR. NORK: Fair enough, Your Honor. I will ask that question. Thank you. BY MR. NORK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM. to then contact Verdmont to check the beneficiary details in the transfer and to add or check the beneficiary. There it is, yeah, the beneficiary name. Right, add the beneficiary name to the transfer. Q So you were in the process of doing a money transfer; correct? A Correct. Q And you received this email and had to take some additional steps to finalize that transfer? A Yes. Q Okay. Do you recall from what account the money was transferred?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can do that. MR. NORK: And I will do that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm going to sustain the objection. I think that the statement excuse me Exhibit 12, the email, is hearsay, and so I'll sustain the objection, but you can ask him what he did as a result of receiving this, and certainly the witness can look at this and say, I remember getting this and then I did X. MR. NORK: Fair enough, Your Honor. I will ask that question. Thank you. BY MR. NORK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM. to then contact Verdmont to check the beneficiary details in the transfer and to add or check the beneficiary. There it is, yeah, the beneficiary name. Right, add the beneficiary name to the transfer. Q So you were in the process of doing a money transfer; correct? A Correct. Q And you received this email and had to take some additional steps to finalize that transfer? A Yes. Q Okay. Do you recall from what account the money was transferred? A The money was transferred from Tom's account.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can do that. MR. NORK: And I will do that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm going to sustain the objection. I think that the statement excuse me Exhibit 12, the email, is hearsay, and so I'll sustain the objection, but you can ask him what he did as a result of receiving this, and certainly the witness can look at this and say, I remember getting this and then I did X. MR. NORK: Fair enough, Your Honor. I will ask that question. Thank you. BY MR. NORK: Q So Exhibit 12, you recall receiving this email? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM. to then contact Verdmont to check the beneficiary details in the transfer and to add or check the beneficiary. There it is, yeah, the beneficiary name. Right, add the beneficiary name to the transfer. Q So you were in the process of doing a money transfer; correct? A Correct. Q And you received this email and had to take some additional steps to finalize that transfer? A Yes. Q Okay. Do you recall from what account the money was transferred? A The money was transferred from Tom's account. Q Okay. And do you know where the money was</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I think that people frequently rely on the response to a hearsay objection, it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, it's offered for the effect upon the listener, and I agree then it's not hearsay, but then the finder of fact doesn't need to know what the statement really is. Did you receive a statement from Mr. Pedafronimos on December 21st of 2012? Yes, I did. As a result of that statement, what did you do? You can do that. MR. NORK: And I will do that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm going to sustain the objection. I think that the statement excuse me Exhibit 12, the email, is hearsay, and so I'll sustain the objection, but you can ask him what he did as a result of receiving this, and certainly the witness can look at this and say, I remember getting this and then I did X. MR. NORK: Fair enough, Your Honor. I will ask that question. Thank you. BY MR. NORK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>this email? A This email ties to another email, and I'm not sure which which request it is. Q Well, we'll get to that, but I want to focus first on what did you do in response to receiving this email? A So following this email I contacted the firm Q Which firm? A That would have been WAM. to then contact Verdmont to check the beneficiary details in the transfer and to add or check the beneficiary. There it is, yeah, the beneficiary name. Right, add the beneficiary name to the transfer. Q So you were in the process of doing a money transfer; correct? A Correct. Q And you received this email and had to take some additional steps to finalize that transfer? A Yes. Q Okay. Do you recall from what account the money was transferred? A The money was transferred from Tom's account.</pre>

	Page 110		Page 111
1	A To the beneficiary here, Dina Pedafronimos.	1	Q And that request was being made by Lambros?
2	Q Okay. So is this an example of money being	2	A Correct.
3	withdrawn from Tom's account at WAM?	3	Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 18? Do you
4	A Yes.	4	have it?
5	Q And does this refresh your recollection that	5	A Yes.
6	you were communicating with Lambros regarding many of the	6	Q And Exhibit 18 is an email again from Lambros
7	transactions concerning Tom's WAM account?	7	to you titled "Quadruple Bypass"?
8	A Yes.	8	A Correct.
9	Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 19? Do you	9	Q Do you recall receiving this email?
10	have it?	10	A Absolutely, yes.
11	A Yes.	11	Q And what did you do in response to receiving
12	Q This is an email from Lambros to you; correct?	12	this email?
13	A Correct.	13	A I sent a request in to send funds to the
14	Q Do you recall receiving this email?	14	account I was instructed.
15	A Yes.	15	Q And is this yet another example of Lambros
16	Q And what did you do in response to receiving	16	requesting funds to be transferred out of the WAM out
17	this email?	17	of Tom's WAM account to another bank?
18	A I submitted the transfer request for funds to	18	A Yes.
19	be transferred to Nicholas Pedafronimos's accounts from	19	Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 59.
20	Tom's balance.	20	THE COURT: 59 is admitted; correct?
21	Q Does this refresh your recollection of another	21	MR. NORK: It is not.
22	example in which funds were being transferred out of	22	THE COURT: I knew 60 wasn't, but I couldn't
23	Mr. Skarpelos's WAM account?	23	remember 59.
24	A Yes.	24	/////
	Page 112		Page 113
1	BY MR. NORK:	1	A Correct.
2	Q So if you could, please, compare Exhibit 18 to	2	Q And the subject is "Transfer request for
3	Exhibit 59.	3	quadruple bypass."
4	MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object.	4	Do you see that?
5	Exhibit 18 hasn't been admitted into evidence, and I	5	A Yes.
6	don't know what they're intending to offer this for. I	6	Q And the narrative there is "Hi R. Can you
7	don't know what the purpose is in comparing them. I	7	transfer 20,000" "20K as shareholder withdrawal to
8	guess I'd establish a relevance objection.	8	details below as soon as possible. Tom had a heart
9	THE COURT: What's the	9	attack and is waiting for funds to stay alive."
10	MR. NORK: All I'm trying to show is that	10	MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object
11	Exhibit 59 is a continuation of a thread that started in	11	to counsel treating an email that hasn't been admitted
12	Exhibit 18. I can do that without 18.	12	into evidence. He hasn't established a foundation for
13	THE COURT: Okay.	13	the witness. There's numerous hearsay objections
14	BY MR. NORK:	14	littered throughout this document, so I think he should
15	Q So let's go to 59.	15	try to establish a foundation to get this document
16	You know how with email threads, you have to	16	admitted before he has the witness testify to its
17	read them from the bottom up?	17	contents.
18	A Yes.	18	THE COURT: Mr. Anderson, while I think that
19	Q So the first email is the email from Lambros to	19	the objection is technically correct, I would note that
20	you that we've already seen entitled "Quadruple Bypass"	20	there's not a jury present and I'm able to parse these
21	and providing bank transfer information; correct?	21	things out, so I'll overrule the objection.
22	A Correct.	22	As we discussed in the order that I wrote
23	Q Then the next email up is from you to someone	23	regarding motions in limine in bench trials, oftentimes
24	named Rainbow; correct?	24	there are things you have to look through and kind of

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

	Page 114		Page 115
1	parse through as the judge and then disregard certain	1	So I think it can be admitted there. The Court
2	things and consider others. So while we're flipping to,	2	will parse that out and admit it for the effect upon the
3	like, Exhibit 18 or 19, I can look at them, but then I	3	listener as it pertains to Exhibit 59 and that he sent
4	either consider them or I don't consider them in my final	4	the \$20,000 share withdrawal. So we're up to that
5	analysis, so I haven't admitted 18 or 19.	5	portion that is admissible.
6	I would note that the bottom portion of 60 on	6	Now, the next thing I'm assuming you're going
7	page Bates stamp page 321 is one of those exhibits. So	7	to object to is the email from Rainbow to someone else as
8	then I don't consider that. The next thing up is	8	hearsay.
9	something that Mr. Livadas	9	MR. ANDERSON: That's correct, Your Honor. And
10	Did you write that? Is that you wrote that	10	if I can just briefly state, I understand the realities
11	to Rainbow?	11	of a bench trial and what Your Honor said. I'm
12	THE WITNESS: Are we on 59?	12	preserving the record as ${\tt I'm}$ required to do for ${\tt my}$
13	THE COURT: Correct, on page 321.	13	client, but I understand what the Court has said and I $% \left[{\left[{{\left[{{\left[{\left[{\left[{\left[{\left[{\left[{\left$
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.	14	will sit down now.
15	THE COURT: "Can you transfer 20,000"	15	THE COURT: I'm not suggesting, Mr. Anderson,
16	Did you write that?	16	that you're being an obstructionist or making
17	THE WITNESS: Correct. Yes.	17	inappropriate evidentiary objections, because I've
18	THE COURT: So I'll consider that as a	18	sustained some of them. I think they're appropriate. I
19	nonhearsay. I won't consider the bottom portion because	19	think this is the first time where I can say, well, I
20	at that point, then, Mr. Anderson, I think it comes in	20	need to start looking at that and maybe being able to
21	not as hearsay there, but as the effect upon the	21	parse through it a little bit.
22	listener, the effect being he sent this to Rainbow. So	22	So why don't we get to where Rainbow sends
23	it's different than admitting it under 18 just as a	23	something to someone by the name of Alana Wheaton on
24	standalone document.	24	April 26th of 2013.
	Page 116		Page 117
1	Is your objection to that hearsay?		me reforwarding it to myself in 2012 when sorry in
2	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.	2	2015 so I can get a proper copy of the document for the
3	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Nork.	3	case. So the top shouldn't be the top is not part of
4	MR. NORK: I'm going to move for the admission	4	the 2013 thread.
5	of 59. As to the language that Mr. Livadas wrote to	5	THE COURT: Oh, I see. Because that is dated
6	Rainbow, I understand that the while Mr. Livadas was	6	November 19th of 2015, and then the last thing that we
7	copied on the two subsequent excuse me the three subsequent emails that formed that email thread, the	7	see below that is April 27, 2013, at 1:17 a.m., Greenwich
8		8	Mean Time plus 8, from Rainbow to you, and then an
9	operative language here that I would like to focus on is	9	indication that something happened.
10 11	what Christos wrote to Rainbow and why. THE COURT: The Court will admit Exhibit 59	10 11	THE WIINESS: Right. THE COURT: So, Counsel, just so everybody
11	over objection. Wait. Prior to doing that, let me ask	12	understands how I'll admit this, the Court will admit
12	one additional question of Mr. Livadas in aid of my	13	Exhibit 59 with the following limitation.
14	ruling.	14	I'm not going to admit it to establish that the
14	The last thing that you see on the top of the	14	\$20,000 actually was transferred. There's no evidence of
15	first page marked 320 is from you; is that correct,	16	that and that would be hearsay. I'll admit it to
17	Mr. Livadas?	17	indicate that Mr. Livadas received the information from
18	THE WITNESS: On the top of the first page?	18	Lambros and took action in that he directed Rainbow to do
19	THE COURT: Correct.	19	something, Rainbow then does something, but, Mr. Nork, I
20	THE WITNESS: That's from me to myself so I can	20	can't admit it to establish that there actually was the
20	get a copy of this email.	20	\$20,000 transferred.
22	THE COURT: So you're involved both in the	22	MR. NORK: We'll get there, Your Honor.
23	beginning and the end; is that correct?	23	THE COURT: Okay. As long as you understand
24	THE WITNESS: Correct. This portion here was	24	it's being admitted to show in my mind that the witness
1 44			J

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

1	Page 118		Page 119
	received a request. As a result of the request, he	1	Lambros that Tom was in the hospital, had had a heart
2	directed someone internally to move money out of an	2	attack and was in the hospital and needed funds for bills
3	account I don't know if that actually occurred or not	3	and now was going to go for quadruple bypass and really,
4	as I sit here but then he received information back	4	really, really urgently needed funds.
5	putatively that it occurred, and then he made this record	5	Q And so what do you mean when you say to
6	and forwarded it to himself. That's how I read	6	Rainbow, "Can you transfer 20,000 as shareholder
7	Exhibit 59.	7	withdrawal to details below"? What are you asking
8	MR. NORK: Understood, Your Honor.	8	Rainbow to do?
9	THE COURT: Any objection to that?	9	A I'm asking her to transfer the funds. I don't
10	MR. ANDERSON: Other than the one I've already	10	know why "shareholder" came to why I even used that
11	stated, no, Your Honor.	11	word, but I'm asking her to transfer \$20,000 to these
12	THE COURT: So let's go ahead.	12	instructions.
13	MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor.	13	Q Okay. Then you further state, "as soon as
14	(Exhibit 59 was admitted.)	14	possible. Tom had a heart attack and is waiting for
15	BY MR. NORK:	15	payments to stay alive."
16	Q In light of that instruction, Mr. Livadas,	16	A Correct.
17	let's focus on the portion of the email that you wrote.	17	Q Did you come to that understanding as a result
18	Who is Rainbow?	18	of your communications with Lambros?
19	A Rainbow is a controller at the firm.	19	A Yes.
20	Q When you say "the firm," that's WAM?	20	Q So we see at least in December of 2012,
21	A Correct. That's WAM.	21	April 2013, May of 2013 that you engage in communications
22	Q And what prompted you to ask for the transfer	22	with Lambros; correct?
23	of \$20,000?	23	A Correct.
24	A I had received messages, calls, etcetera, from	24	Q Regarding transferring money out of Tom's
1	Page 120	1	Page 121 company. For Tom, from 2007, in between, no, not that I
2	A Correct.		recall.
3	Q As you sit here today, do you recall if there	2	Ictail.
		2	0 Did there ever come a time that that changed
		3	Q Did there ever come a time that that changed
4	are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come	4	and Tom asked you to raise money for him?
4 5	are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account?	4 5	and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick.
4 5 6	are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account? A It was frequent. Not specific examples, but	4 5 6	and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time?
4 5 6 7	are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account? A It was frequent. Not specific examples, but there was many times that Lambros or Tom would ask for	4 5 6 7	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of</pre>
4 5 6 7 8	are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account? A It was frequent. Not specific examples, but there was many times that Lambros or Tom would ask for funds to be sent and typically to Nicholas Pedafronimos's	4 5 6 7 8	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9	are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account? A It was frequent. Not specific examples, but there was many times that Lambros or Tom would ask for funds to be sent and typically to Nicholas Pedafronimos's account.	4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were always ongoing for the company and off and on for Tom.</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10	are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account? A It was frequent. Not specific examples, but there was many times that Lambros or Tom would ask for funds to be sent and typically to Nicholas Pedafronimos's account. Q And if funds came out of Tom's WAM account,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were always ongoing for the company and off and on for Tom. Q Okay. What specifically do you recall the</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account? A It was frequent. Not specific examples, but there was many times that Lambros or Tom would ask for funds to be sent and typically to Nicholas Pedafronimos's account. Q And if funds came out of Tom's WAM account, would that put that account into a debit position?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were always ongoing for the company and off and on for Tom. Q Okay. What specifically do you recall the conversations concerning in late 2012, early 2013</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account?</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were always ongoing for the company and off and on for Tom. Q Okay. What specifically do you recall the conversations concerning in late 2012, early 2013 regarding raising funds for Tom?</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account?</pre>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were always ongoing for the company and off and on for Tom. Q Okay. What specifically do you recall the conversations concerning in late 2012, early 2013 regarding raising funds for Tom? A The discussion was that we couldn't raise funds</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account?</pre>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were always ongoing for the company and off and on for Tom. Q Okay. What specifically do you recall the conversations concerning in late 2012, early 2013 regarding raising funds for Tom? A The discussion was that we couldn't raise funds for the company because it was in a pretty bad position,</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account?</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were always ongoing for the company and off and on for Tom. Q Okay. What specifically do you recall the conversations concerning in late 2012, early 2013 regarding raising funds for Tom? A The discussion was that we couldn't raise funds for the company because it was in a pretty bad position, and Tom needed funds as well, so I suggested he sell some</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account?</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were always ongoing for the company and off and on for Tom. Q Okay. What specifically do you recall the conversations concerning in late 2012, early 2013 regarding raising funds for Tom? A The discussion was that we couldn't raise funds for the company because it was in a pretty bad position, and Tom needed funds as well, so I suggested he sell some of his shares, which would help raise some money, whether</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account?</pre>	 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were always ongoing for the company and off and on for Tom. Q Okay. What specifically do you recall the conversations concerning in late 2012, early 2013 regarding raising funds for Tom? A The discussion was that we couldn't raise funds for the company because it was in a pretty bad position, and Tom needed funds as well, so I suggested he sell some of his shares, which would help raise some money, whether it was for Tom or the company or Tom ran it through the </pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account?</pre>	 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were always ongoing for the company and off and on for Tom. Q Okay. What specifically do you recall the conversations concerning in late 2012, early 2013 regarding raising funds for Tom? A The discussion was that we couldn't raise funds for the company because it was in a pretty bad position, and Tom needed funds as well, so I suggested he sell some of his shares, which would help raise some money, whether it was for Tom or the company or Tom ran it through the company, whatever the case may be there was bills</pre>
 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	<pre>are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account?</pre>	 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were always ongoing for the company and off and on for Tom. Q Okay. What specifically do you recall the conversations concerning in late 2012, early 2013 regarding raising funds for Tom? A The discussion was that we couldn't raise funds for the company because it was in a pretty bad position, and Tom needed funds as well, so I suggested he sell some of his shares, which would help raise some money, whether it was for Tom or the company or Tom ran it through the company, whatever the case may be there was bills floating around everywhere so to sell some shares to</pre>
 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	<pre>are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account?</pre>	 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were always ongoing for the company and off and on for Tom. Q Okay. What specifically do you recall the conversations concerning in late 2012, early 2013 regarding raising funds for Tom? A The discussion was that we couldn't raise funds for the company because it was in a pretty bad position, and Tom needed funds as well, so I suggested he sell some of his shares, which would help raise some money, whether it was for Tom or the company or Tom ran it through the company, whatever the case may be there was bills floating around everywhere so to sell some shares to get some cash, which would allow us to start trying to</pre>
 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	<pre>are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account?</pre>	 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were always ongoing for the company and off and on for Tom. Q Okay. What specifically do you recall the conversations concerning in late 2012, early 2013 regarding raising funds for Tom? A The discussion was that we couldn't raise funds for the company because it was in a pretty bad position, and Tom needed funds as well, so I suggested he sell some of his shares, which would help raise some money, whether it was for Tom or the company or Tom ran it through the company, whatever the case may be there was bills floating around everywhere so to sell some shares to get some cash, which would allow us to start trying to fix things with the company.</pre>
 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	<pre>are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account?</pre>	 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were always ongoing for the company and off and on for Tom. Q Okay. What specifically do you recall the conversations concerning in late 2012, early 2013 regarding raising funds for Tom? A The discussion was that we couldn't raise funds for the company because it was in a pretty bad position, and Tom needed funds as well, so I suggested he sell some of his shares, which would help raise some money, whether it was for Tom or the company or Tom ran it through the company, whatever the case may be there was bills floating around everywhere so to sell some shares to get some cash, which would allow us to start trying to fix things with the company. Q Okay. And what shares of stock were you </pre>
 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	<pre>are further examples of Lambros requesting money to come out of Tom's account?</pre>	 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	<pre>and Tom asked you to raise money for him? A Yes. Around this time when he got sick. Q What time? A In the it would have been at the end of 2012 the discussions started again. The discussions were always ongoing for the company and off and on for Tom. Q Okay. What specifically do you recall the conversations concerning in late 2012, early 2013 regarding raising funds for Tom? A The discussion was that we couldn't raise funds for the company because it was in a pretty bad position, and Tom needed funds as well, so I suggested he sell some of his shares, which would help raise some money, whether it was for Tom or the company or Tom ran it through the company, whatever the case may be there was bills floating around everywhere so to sell some shares to get some cash, which would allow us to start trying to fix things with the company.</pre>

	Page 122		Page 123
1	Q In Anavex?	1	concerned selling 6.6 million shares of stock for a half
2	A Correct.	2	million dollars?
3	Q The ones on deposit with WAM?	3	A Correct.
4	A Correct. Those were all his shares.	4	THE COURT: And it was occurring approximately
5	Q Did there come a time that you began to discuss	5	when?
6	how many shares were to be sold and for what amount?	6	THE WITNESS: When we were having those
7	A Yes. I can't remember the dates exactly, but	7	discussions?
8	we discussed it frequently as to how much to sell and	8	THE COURT: Correct.
9	what price to sell. We were always debating or arguing	9	THE WITNESS: From late 2012 and onwards.
10	over the price and amount of price.	10	BY MR. NORK:
		11	
11	Q Did there ever come a time that you reached an	12	Q So what did you do, once the amount of shares
12	agreement on how much to sell and for how much?		and the dollar amount was agreed upon, to assist Tom in
13	A Yeah. We had agreed at a value of half a	13	selling that stock?
14	million dollars, assuming all of the shares.	14	A So I went to find buyers for the stock.
15	Q And "all" being how many?	15	Q Okay. And where did you look?
16	A All. And it was out of the 6.3 or 6.6 million,	16	A First, just clients of WAM and also talking to
17	whatever that amount was.	17	parties that are not my clients, outside of WAM.
18	Q Let's go to Exhibit 1.	18	Q When you discussed selling the stock with Tom,
19	A Yeah. The discussions always revolved around	19	did it matter to Tom to whom the stock was sold?
20	the one share position, 0753.	20	A No, not as far as I could tell.
21	Q Okay. That's Exhibit 1, 6.6 million shares of	21	Q In a typical stock sale transaction, does the
22	stock?	22	seller care who the buyer is?
23	A Correct.	23	A Not usually, no.
24	Q So it's your testimony that the discussion	24	Q The only point is getting the money for the
1	Page 124	1	Page 125 0 And do you recall approximately what time frame
1	stock; correct?	1	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame
2	stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah.	2	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to
2 3	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case</pre>	2 3	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for
2 3 4	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here?</pre>	2 3 4	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000?
2 3 4 5	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April,
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April,
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the year.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed buyers for some and indicated buyers for some other. So</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the year. Q And did WAM actually finalize those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed buyers for some and indicated buyers for some other. So we had committed and indicated buyers.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the year. Q And did WAM actually finalize those transactions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed buyers for some and indicated buyers for some other. So we had committed and indicated buyers. Q Okay. And where did the committed where did</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the year. Q And did WAM actually finalize those transactions? A It finalized some of the transactions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed buyers for some and indicated buyers for some other. So we had committed and indicated buyers. Q Okay. And where did the committed where did the committed buyers come from?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the year. Q And did WAM actually finalize those transactions? A It finalized some of the transactions. Q Okay. And explain, again, the process that WAM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed buyers for some and indicated buyers for some other. So we had committed and indicated buyers. Q Okay. And where did the committed where did the committed buyers come from? A They were existing clients of WAM.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the year. Q And did WAM actually finalize those transactions? A It finalized some of the transactions. Q Okay. And explain, again, the process that WAM undertook to finalize that process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed buyers for some and indicated buyers for some other. So we had committed and indicated buyers. Q Okay. And where did the committed where did the committed buyers come from? A They were existing clients of WAM. Q Okay. And how much were the committed buyers</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the year. Q And did WAM actually finalize those transactions? A It finalized some of the transactions. Q Okay. And explain, again, the process that WAM undertook to finalize that process. A So WAM had the request to sell shares
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed buyers for some and indicated buyers for some other. So we had committed and indicated buyers. Q Okay. And where did the committed where did the committed buyers come from? A They were existing clients of WAM. Q Okay. And how much were the committed buyers of WAM willing to pay and how much stock were they</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the year. Q And did WAM actually finalize those transactions? A It finalized some of the transactions. Q Okay. And explain, again, the process that WAM undertook to finalize that process. A So WAM had the request to sell shares MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object, Your Honor,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed buyers for some and indicated buyers for some other. So we had committed and indicated buyers. Q Okay. And where did the committed where did the committed buyers come from? A They were existing clients of WAM. Q Okay. And how much were the committed buyers of WAM willing to pay and how much stock were they willing to purchase?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the year. Q And did WAM actually finalize those transactions? A It finalized some of the transactions. Q Okay. And explain, again, the process that WAM undertook to finalize that process. A So WAM had the request to sell shares MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object, Your Honor, on foundation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed buyers for some and indicated buyers for some other. So we had committed and indicated buyers. Q Okay. And where did the committed where did the committed buyers come from? A They were existing clients of WAM. Q Okay. And how much were the committed buyers of WAM willing to pay and how much stock were they willing to purchase? A We offered it at \$500,000 equivalent value, so then the per-share price came out to whatever I can't</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the year. Q And did WAM actually finalize those transactions? A It finalized some of the transactions. Q Okay. And explain, again, the process that WAM undertook to finalize that process. A So WAM had the request to sell shares MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object, Your Honor, on foundation. THE COURT: Mr. Nork. MR. NORK: He is an owner of WAM, has reviewed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed buyers for some and indicated buyers for some other. So we had committed and indicated buyers. Q Okay. And where did the committed where did the committed buyers come from? A They were existing clients of WAM. Q Okay. And how much were the committed buyers of WAM willing to pay and how much stock were they willing to purchase? A We offered it at \$500,000 equivalent value, so then the per-share price came out to whatever I can't remember exactly 8 cents, I think it was. So we </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the year. Q And did WAM actually finalize those transactions? A It finalized some of the transactions. Q Okay. And explain, again, the process that WAM undertook to finalize that process. A So WAM had the request to sell shares MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object, Your Honor, on foundation. THE COURT: Mr. Nork. MR. NORK: He is an owner of WAM, has reviewed WAM's records and is familiar with this transaction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed buyers for some and indicated buyers for some other. So we had committed and indicated buyers. Q Okay. And where did the committed where did the committed buyers come from? A They were existing clients of WAM. Q Okay. And how much were the committed buyers of WAM willing to pay and how much stock were they willing to purchase? A We offered it at \$500,000 equivalent value, so then the per-share price came out to whatever I can't remember exactly 8 cents, I think it was. So we offered it for 8 cents and we had buyers at 8 cents. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the year. Q And did WAM actually finalize those transactions? A It finalized some of the transactions. Q Okay. And explain, again, the process that WAM undertook to finalize that process. A So WAM had the request to sell shares MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object, Your Honor, on foundation. THE COURT: Mr. Nork. MR. NORK: He is an owner of WAM, has reviewed WAM's records and is familiar with this transaction. MR. ANDERSON: He testified he didn't acquire
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed buyers for some and indicated buyers for some other. So we had committed and indicated buyers. Q Okay. And where did the committed where did the committed buyers come from? A They were existing clients of WAM. Q Okay. And how much were the committed buyers of WAM willing to pay and how much stock were they willing to purchase? A We offered it at \$500,000 equivalent value, so then the per-share price came out to whatever I can't remember exactly 8 cents, I think it was. So we offered it for 8 cents and we had buyers at 8 cents. Q Okay. How many shares of stock were they</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the year. Q And did WAM actually finalize those transactions? A It finalized some of the transactions. Q Okay. And explain, again, the process that WAM undertook to finalize that process. A So WAM had the request to sell shares MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object, Your Honor, on foundation. THE COURT: Mr. Nork. MR. NORK: He is an owner of WAM, has reviewed WAM's records and is familiar with this transaction. MR. ANDERSON: He testified he didn't acquire WAM until 2014, and I don't think Mr. Nork has laid a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed buyers for some and indicated buyers for some other. So we had committed and indicated buyers. Q Okay. And where did the committed where did the committed buyers come from? A They were existing clients of WAM. Q Okay. And how much were the committed buyers of WAM willing to pay and how much stock were they willing to purchase? A We offered it at \$500,000 equivalent value, so then the per-share price came out to whatever I can't remember exactly 8 cents, I think it was. So we offered it for 8 cents and we had buyers at 8 cents. Q Okay. How many shares of stock were they willing to purchase at 8 cents a share?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the year. Q And did WAM actually finalize those transactions? A It finalized some of the transactions. Q Okay. And explain, again, the process that WAM undertook to finalize that process. A So WAM had the request to sell shares MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object, Your Honor, on foundation. THE COURT: Mr. Nork. MR. NORK: He is an owner of WAM, has reviewed WAM's records and is familiar with this transaction. MR. ANDERSON: He testified he didn't acquire WAM until 2014, and I don't think Mr. Nork has laid a foundation that he was involved with WAM in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>stock; correct? A Most of the time, yeah. Q And did you understand that to be the case here? A Yes. Q Were you eventually able to find buyers for some or all of the stock? A Yes. We found buyers we found committed buyers for some and indicated buyers for some other. So we had committed and indicated buyers. Q Okay. And where did the committed where did the committed buyers come from? A They were existing clients of WAM. Q Okay. And how much were the committed buyers of WAM willing to pay and how much stock were they willing to purchase? A We offered it at \$500,000 equivalent value, so then the per-share price came out to whatever I can't remember exactly 8 cents, I think it was. So we offered it for 8 cents and we had buyers at 8 cents. Q Okay. How many shares of stock were they</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And do you recall approximately what time frame it was that you located these committed buyers to purchase approximately half of the 6.6 million shares for \$250,000? A We started to get the buy orders started in January, went through January, February, March, April, went all the way all the way through, throughout the year. Q And did WAM actually finalize those transactions? A It finalized some of the transactions. Q Okay. And explain, again, the process that WAM undertook to finalize that process. A So WAM had the request to sell shares MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object, Your Honor, on foundation. THE COURT: Mr. Nork. MR. NORK: He is an owner of WAM, has reviewed WAM's records and is familiar with this transaction. MR. ANDERSON: He testified he didn't acquire WAM until 2014, and I don't think Mr. Nork has laid a

	Page 126		Page 127
1	MR. NORK: I will lay that foundation.	1	Q So you dealt with the staff of WAM to confirm
2	THE COURT: Go ahead. Lay that foundation.	2	that the buyers had enough capital to buy?
3	BY MR. NORK:	3	A Correct.
4	Q What was your involvement in this transaction	4	Q And once you confirmed that, then what did you
5	in early 2013 regarding the sale of Tom's stock?	5	that do?
6	A Finding buyers for it.	6	A Yeah. Then I put through to execute the trade
7	Q Okay. And who did you deal with?	7	order.
8	A Clients of WAM.	8	Q And what does that specifically mean?
9	Q Okay.	9	A That means that the firm settles the
10	A And nonclients of WAM.	10	transaction of the buyer and the seller, closing the
11	Q Okay. And once clients of WAM were located,	11	trade, which is the transaction.
12	what did you then do to make sure this transaction went	12	Q And what steps did you take in that regard?
13	through?	13	A What steps did I take?
14	A I made sure that the client of WAM had the	14	Q Yes. Who did you communicate with at WAM to
15	capital to execute the transaction.	15	make sure that that trade took place?
16	Q And how did you do that?	16	A It would have been one of the brokers. I think
17	A And then by checking on the account balances	17	it was Leo.
18	of their accounts.	18	THE COURT: Leo?
19	Q Okay. And who did you do that through?	19	THE WITNESS: A fellow a broker named Leo
20	A I did that through the parties, which would be	20	who is at the firm.
21	either the broker or the CEO, whoever the staff was at	21	BY MR. NORK:
22	WAM.	22	Q What's Leo's full name?
23	0 Staff of who?	23	A Leo Soursos.
24	A WAM.	24	Q His first name is Elias; correct?
	Page 128		Page 129
1	A Flind wed	1	-
1	A Elias, yes.	1	approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct?
2	Q But he goes by "Leo"?	2	approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct? A Correct.
2 3	Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct.	2 3	approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct? A Correct. Q All right. So look at admitted Exhibit 59, if
2 3 4	Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos,	2 3 4	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct? A Correct. Q All right. So look at admitted Exhibit 59, if you would, and the second page.</pre>
2 3 4 5	Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s?	2 3 4 5	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct? A Correct. Q All right. So look at admitted Exhibit 59, if you would, and the second page. A I think it was just before going for the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes.</pre>	2 3 4	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct? A Correct. Q All right. So look at admitted Exhibit 59, if you would, and the second page. A I think it was just before going for the bypass, so I can't recall an exact date, but it would</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct? A Correct. Q All right. So look at admitted Exhibit 59, if you would, and the second page. A I think it was just before going for the bypass, so I can't recall an exact date, but it would have been around the middle of April.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct? A Correct. Q All right. So look at admitted Exhibit 59, if you would, and the second page. A I think it was just before going for the bypass, so I can't recall an exact date, but it would have been around the middle of April. Q April of what year?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct? A Correct. Q All right. So look at admitted Exhibit 59, if you would, and the second page. A I think it was just before going for the bypass, so I can't recall an exact date, but it would have been around the middle of April. Q April of what year? A 2013.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a foundation for that.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct? A Correct. Q All right. So look at admitted Exhibit 59, if you would, and the second page. A I think it was just before going for the bypass, so I can't recall an exact date, but it would have been around the middle of April. Q April of what year? A 2013. Q Okay. April 2013 is when the last 3.3 million</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a foundation for that. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection based</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a foundation for that. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection based on the foundation that's been laid. Go ahead.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct? A Correct. Q All right. So look at admitted Exhibit 59, if you would, and the second page. A I think it was just before going for the bypass, so I can't recall an exact date, but it would have been around the middle of April. Q April of what year? A 2013. Q Okay. April 2013 is when the last 3.3 million shares got sold? A Yes. That's the first 3.3.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a foundation for that. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection based on the foundation that's been laid. Go ahead. MR. NORK: Thank you.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a foundation for that. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection based on the foundation that's been laid. Go ahead. MR. NORK: Thank you. BY MR. NORK:</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a foundation for that. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection based on the foundation that's been laid. Go ahead. MR. NORK: Thank you. EY MR. NORK: Q Now, you talked about the terms being 3.3</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a foundation for that. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection based on the foundation that's been laid. Go ahead. MR. NORK: Thank you. BY MR. NORK: Q Now, you talked about the terms being 3.3 million shares for \$250,000; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a foundation for that. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection based on the foundation that's been laid. Go ahead. MR. NORK: Thank you. EY MR. NORK: Q Now, you talked about the terms being 3.3 million shares for \$250,000; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a foundation for that. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection based on the foundation that's been laid. Go ahead. MR. NORK: Thank you. EY MR. NORK: Q Now, you talked about the terms being 3.3 million shares for \$250,000; correct? A Correct. Q Do you know when, approximately, all of those</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct? A Correct. Q All right. So look at admitted Exhibit 59, if you would, and the second page. A I think it was just before going for the bypass, so I can't recall an exact date, but it would have been around the middle of April. Q April of what year? A 2013. Q Okay. April 2013 is when the last 3.3 million shares got sold? A Yes. That's the first 3.3. Q Yes. The first 3.3 to WAM clients? A Yes. Q Now, we talked this morning, earlier this morning about what documentation is needed for a sale of stock between WAM clients. What documentation is required?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a foundation for that. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection based on the foundation that's been laid. Go ahead. MR. NORK: Thank you. BY MR. NORK: Q Now, you talked about the terms being 3.3 million shares for \$250,000; correct? A Correct. Q Do you know when, approximately, all of those 3.3 million shares were finally sold?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a foundation for that. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection based on the foundation that's been laid. Go ahead. MR. NORK: Thank you. BY MR. NORK: Q Now, you talked about the terms being 3.3 million shares for \$250,000; correct? A Correct. Q Do you know when, approximately, all of those 3.3 million shares were finally sold? A Transacted? In April.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct? A Correct. Q All right. So look at admitted Exhibit 59, if you would, and the second page. A I think it was just before going for the bypass, so I can't recall an exact date, but it would have been around the middle of April. Q April of what year? A 2013. Q Okay. April 2013 is when the last 3.3 million shares got sold? A Yes. That's the first 3.3. Q Yes. The first 3.3 to WAM clients? A Yes. Q Now, we talked this morning, earlier this morning about what documentation is needed for a sale of stock between WAM clients. What documentation is required? A None. Just the orders from the clients, which can be verbal, text messages, emails, any form that it</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a foundation for that. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection based on the foundation that's been laid. Go ahead. MR. NORK: Thank you. BY MR. NORK: Q Now, you talked about the terms being 3.3 million shares for \$250,000; correct? A Correct. Q Do you know when, approximately, all of those 3.3 million shares were finally sold? A Transacted? In April. Q April what?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct? A Correct. Q All right. So look at admitted Exhibit 59, if you would, and the second page. A I think it was just before going for the bypass, so I can't recall an exact date, but it would have been around the middle of April. Q April of what year? A 2013. Q Okay. April 2013 is when the last 3.3 million shares got sold? A Yes. That's the first 3.3. Q Yes. The first 3.3 to WAM clients? A Yes. Q Now, we talked this morning, earlier this morning about what documentation is needed for a sale of stock between WAM clients. What documentation is required? A None. Just the orders from the clients, which can be verbal, text messages, emails, any form that it comes in.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a foundation for that. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection based on the foundation that's been laid. Go ahead. MR. NORK: Thank you. BY MR. NORK: Q Now, you talked about the terms being 3.3 million shares for \$250,000; correct? A Correct. Q Do you know when, approximately, all of those 3.3 million shares were finally sold? A Transacted? In April. Q April what? A Can I look at the records to find it? Well, I</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a foundation for that. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection based on the foundation that's been laid. Go ahead. MR. NORK: Thank you. BY MR. NORK: Q Now, you talked about the terms being 3.3 million shares for \$250,000; correct? A Correct. Q Do you know when, approximately, all of those 3.3 million shares were finally sold? A Transacted? In April. Q April what? A Can I look at the records to find it? Well, I can't remember the exact date.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct? A Correct. Q All right. So look at admitted Exhibit 59, if you would, and the second page. A I think it was just before going for the bypass, so I can't recall an exact date, but it would have been around the middle of April. Q April of what year? A 2013. Q Okay. April 2013 is when the last 3.3 million shares got sold? A Yes. That's the first 3.3. Q Yes. The first 3.3 to WAM clients? A Yes. Q Now, we talked this morning, earlier this morning about what documentation is needed for a sale of stock between WAM clients. What documentation is required? A None. Just the orders from the clients, which can be verbal, text messages, emails, any form that it comes in. Q Okay. And that's what happened in this case; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Q But he goes by "Leo"? A Correct. Q So you communicated with Mr. Soursos, S-o-u-r-s-o-s? A Yes. Q To make sure that the transaction went through? A Correct. MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think I've laid a foundation for that. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection based on the foundation that's been laid. Go ahead. MR. NORK: Thank you. BY MR. NORK: Q Now, you talked about the terms being 3.3 million shares for \$250,000; correct? A Correct. Q Do you know when, approximately, all of those 3.3 million shares were finally sold? A Transacted? In April. Q April what? A Can I look at the records to find it? Well, I</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>approximately the time that Tom was sick; correct?</pre>

	Page 130		Page 131
1	Q You found buyers who were WAM clients and you	1	A Yes. We were still sourcing buyers.
2	had a seller, Tom, who was a WAM client?	2	Q And when you say "sourcing buyers," what does
3	A Correct.	3	that entail?
4	Q And, again, is this an instance where the	4	A Trying to find buyers who would be interested
5	shares go to Weiser and then to the buyers?	5	in buying the stock.
6	A Well, Weiser's already holding the shares	6	Q Okay. Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 21. Do
7	right? in custody, so it credits from the seller's	7	you have it?
8	account to the buyer's account.	8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. Once that transaction goes through in	9	Q What is Exhibit 21?
10	April 2013, are the buyers then able to sell the stock	10	A It's an email from myself to Lambros.
11	that they purchased immediately?	11	Q Okay. Where did you locate this email?
12	A No. Because it's restricted, so they have to	12	A In my email box. No. Actually, this one, no,
13	wait the time period required until they can sell them.	13	I didn't locate this one because I don't have Gmail. I
14	Q And you previously testified that's usually six	14	don't think I submitted this one.
15	months?	15	Q Then I am not going to ask you questions about
16	A And to clarify, they can't sell them to the	16	this one.
17	public market. They could sell them, again, privately if	17	THE COURT: It's admitted, is it not? You can
18	they wanted to, but they can't sell them to the public	18	ask him questions if you want to.
19	markets for six to twelve months.	19	MR. ANDERSON: Exhibit 21 is in, Your Honor.
20	Q Okay. Now, you mentioned just a moment ago	20	THE COURT: Pardon?
21	that the first 3.3 million was sold to WAM customers?	21	MR. ANDERSON: Exhibit 21 is in.
22	A Yes.	22	THE COURT: If you want to ask him questions
23	Q Were you still trying to sell the second	23	about it, it's been admitted.
24	3.3 million shares?	24	/////
-	Page 132		Page 133
1	BY MR. NORK:	1	Q Turn, please, to Exhibit 22. This is also
1 -		-	g fully preader, to manufic 22. This is also
2	Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21.	2	admitted to evidence.
2	Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21.	2	admitted to evidence.
2 3	Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct?	2 3	admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email;
2 3 4	<pre>Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4	admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct?
2 3 4 5	 Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. 	2 3 4 5	admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say?
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs."</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs." A Yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place." Q Who did you understand or did you have an understanding about who the "we" was who was putting the docs in place?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs." A Yes. Q What is "kinezi"?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place." Q Who did you understand or did you have an understanding about who the "we" was who was putting the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs." A Yes. Q What is "kinezi"? A "Kinezi" is Greek for "Chinese."</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place." Q Who did you understand or did you have an understanding about who the "we" was who was putting the docs in place?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs." A Yes. Q What is "kinezi"? A "Kinezi" is Greek for "Chinese." Q And what does this email refer to?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place." Q Who did you understand or did you have an understanding about who the "we" was who was putting the docs in place? A "We," I assumed him and Tom.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs." A Yes. Q What is "kinezi"? A "Kinezi" is Greek for "Chinese." Q And what does this email refer to? A So it's referring to a text that I had sent him</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place." Q Who did you understand or did you have an understanding about who the "we" was who was putting the docs in place? A "We," I assumed him and Tom. Q You weren't preparing the documents?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs." A Yes. Q What is "kinezi"? A "Kinezi" is Greek for "Chinese." Q And what does this email refer to? A So it's referring to a text that I had sent him that I'm speaking to some potential Chinese investors and</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place." Q Who did you understand or did you have an understanding about who the "we" was who was putting the docs in place? A "We," I assumed him and Tom. Q You weren't preparing the documents? A Not that I recall.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs." A Yes. Q What is "kinezi?" A "Kinezi" is Greek for "Chinese." Q And what does this email refer to? A So it's referring to a text that I had sent him that I'm speaking to some potential Chinese investors and that I need documentation.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place." Q Who did you understand or did you have an understanding about who the "we" was who was putting the docs in place? A "We," I assumed him and Tom. Q You weren't preparing the documents? A Not that I recall. Q What documents were required for this sale to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs." A Yes. Q What is "kinezi"? A "Kinezi" is Greek for "Chinese." Q And what does this email refer to? A So it's referring to a text that I had sent him that I'm speaking to some potential Chinese investors and that I need documentation. Q Why are you asking Lambros for documentation? A Because Lambros helps coordinate the documentation on transactions related to Anavex.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place." Q Who did you understand or did you have an understanding about who the "we" was who was putting the docs in place? A "We," I assumed him and Tom. Q You weren't preparing the documents? A Not that I recall. Q What documents were required for this sale to non-WAM customers? A The purchase and sale agreement. Q Anything else?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs." A Yes. Q What is "kinezi?" A "Kinezi" is Greek for "Chinese." Q And what does this email refer to? A So it's referring to a text that I had sent him that I'm speaking to some potential Chinese investors and that I need documentation. Q Why are you asking Lambros for documentation? A Because Lambros helps coordinate the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place." Q Who did you understand or did you have an understanding about who the "we" was who was putting the docs in place? A "We," I assumed him and Tom. Q You weren't preparing the documents? A Not that I recall. Q What documents were required for this sale to non-WAM customers? A The purchase and sale agreement.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs." A Yes. Q What is "kinezi"? A "Kinezi" is Greek for "Chinese." Q And what does this email refer to? A So it's referring to a text that I had sent him that I'm speaking to some potential Chinese investors and that I need documentation. Q Why are you asking Lambros for documentation? A Because Lambros helps coordinate the documentation on transactions related to Anavex.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place." Q Who did you understand or did you have an understanding about who the "we" was who was putting the docs in place? A "We," I assumed him and Tom. Q You weren't preparing the documents? A Not that I recall. Q What documents were required for this sale to non-WAM customers? A The purchase and sale agreement. Q Anything else? A Specifically for them, for them specifically would be the purchase and sale agreement, but at the same</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs." A Yes. Q What is "kinezi"? A "Kinezi" is Greek for "Chinese." Q And what does this email refer to? A So it's referring to a text that I had sent him that I'm speaking to some potential Chinese investors and that I need documentation. Q Why are you asking Lambros for documentation? A Because Lambros helps coordinate the documentation on transactions related to Anavex. Q Okay. And you also indicate here that you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place." Q Who did you understand or did you have an understanding about who the "we" was who was putting the docs in place? A "We," I assumed him and Tom. Q You weren't preparing the documents? A Not that I recall. Q What documents were required for this sale to non-WAM customers? A The purchase and sale agreement. Q Anything else? A Specifically for them, for them specifically</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs." A Yes. Q What is "kinezi"? A "Kinezi" is Greek for "Chinese." Q And what does this email refer to? A So it's referring to a text that I had sent him that I'm speaking to some potential Chinese investors and that I need documentation. Q Why are you asking Lambros for documentation? A Because Lambros helps coordinate the documentation on transactions related to Anavex. Q Okay. And you also indicate here that you have "a call with them late tonight."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place." Q Who did you understand or did you have an understanding about who the "we" was who was putting the docs in place? A "We," I assumed him and Tom. Q You weren't preparing the documents? A Not that I recall. Q What documents were required for this sale to non-WAM customers? A The purchase and sale agreement. Q Anything else? A Specifically for them, for them specifically would be the purchase and sale agreement, but at the same</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs." A Yes. Q What is "kinezi"? A "Kinezi" is Greek for "Chinese." Q And what does this email refer to? A So it's referring to a text that I had sent him that I'm speaking to some potential Chinese investors and that I need documentation. Q Why are you asking Lambros for documentation? A Because Lambros helps coordinate the documentation on transactions related to Anavex. Q Okay. And you also indicate here that you have "a call with them late tonight." Who is "them"?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place." Q Who did you understand or did you have an understanding about who the "we" was who was putting the docs in place? A "We," I assumed him and Tom. Q You weren't preparing the documents? A Not that I recall. Q What documents were required for this sale to non-WAM customers? A The purchase and sale agreement. Q Anything else? A Specifically for them, for them specifically would be the purchase and sale agreement, but at the same time we also needed documentation to prepare to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs." A Yes. Q What is "kinezi"? A "Kinezi" is Greek for "Chinese." Q And what does this email refer to? A So it's referring to a text that I had sent him that I'm speaking to some potential Chinese investors and that I need documentation. Q Why are you asking Lambros for documentation? A Because Lambros helps coordinate the documentation on transactions related to Anavex. Q Okay. And you also indicate here that you have "a call with them late tonight." Mho is "them"? A The Chinese investors.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place." Q Who did you understand or did you have an understanding about who the "we" was who was putting the docs in place? A "We," I assumed him and Tom. Q You weren't preparing the documents? A Not that I recall. Q What documents were required for this sale to non-WAM customers? A The purchase and sale agreement. Q Anything else? A Specifically for them, for them specifically would be the purchase and sale agreement, but at the same time we also needed documentation to prepare to dematerialize the certificate.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Q Let's talk about Exhibit 21. It's an email from you to Lambros; correct? A Correct. Q And the subject line needs some explanation. This is in June of 2013. It says, "Did you get my text a few days ago re kinezi?" and "I need docs." A Yes. Q What is "kinezi"? A "Kinezi" is Greek for "Chinese." Q And what does this email refer to? A So it's referring to a text that I had sent him that I'm speaking to some potential Chinese investors and that I need documentation. Q Why are you asking Lambros for documentation? A Because Lambros helps coordinate the documentation on transactions related to Anavex. Q Okay. And you also indicate here that you have "a call with them late tonight." Who is "them"? A The Chinese investors, were these non-WAM</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>admitted to evidence. This is a response from Lambros to your email; correct? A Correct. Q And what does he say? A "Yes. We're putting the docs in place." Q Who did you understand or did you have an understanding about who the "we" was who was putting the docs in place? A "We," I assumed him and Tom. Q You weren't preparing the documents? A Not that I recall. Q What documents were required for this sale to non-WAM customers? A The purchase and sale agreement. Q Anything else? A Specifically for them, for them specifically would be the purchase and sale agreement, but at the same time we also needed documentation to prepare to dematerialize the certificate. Q And what documentation is that?</pre>

Page 134 Page 135 1 Q The power of attorney? 1 A Not that I recall. 2 A Yeah. 2 Q Do you recognize any of the names of the buyers 3 3 and sellers of the stock under this draft stock sale and Q Turn, please, to Exhibit 23. This has also been admitted into evidence. purchase agreement? 4 4 5 5 You respond to Lambros's email saying, "Email A No. 6 me blank ones now so I can show them what they'll be 6 Q And you don't know where he got the form power 7 looking like, etc." 7 of attorney? 8 What does that mean? 8 A The power of attorney, where he received this, 9 A So I'm preparing a whole package of the 9 I can't say. It's a pretty standard stock power. investments for the investors, and I'm needing him to Q Okay. Please go to Exhibit 26. 10 10 11 send me the purchase and sale agreement so that I have 11 This is a continuation of the thread. So he, 12 that ready so that I can represent the transaction to the 12 back in Exhibit 25, sent you the exhibits saying, 13 potential investors. "Attached, Bud," and you respond saying, "We need someone 13 14 Q Okay. Turn, please, to Exhibit 25, also to complete the language on the Purchase and Sale 14 15 admitted into evidence. Agreement. Do we have anyone on our end for that?" 15 16 A 25? 16 What did you mean by that? 17 Q 25. 17 MR. ANDERSON: I just want to clarify. This is 18 someone else sending the email. A 25, he emails me with the documents attached. 18 19 19 THE COURT: I think you have that backwards, Q So he responds to your email and says, 20 "Attached, Bud." And there are attached to the back of 20 Mr. Nork. It's from Lambros to Mr. Livadas. 21 this email the attachments he sent to you; correct? 21 MR. NORK: You're absolutely correct, Your 22 A Yes. 22 Honor. I apologize. THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. You 23 Q As you sit here today, do you know where 23 24 Lambros got these forms? 24 can rephrase the question. Page 137 Page 136 Lambros; correct? MR. NORK: I'll rephrase the question. 1 1 2 BY MR. NORK: 2 A Correct. 3 3 Q And it says, "Don't forget they need to be Q Lambros is asking you --4 A Yeah. notarized. Courier originals to Bouts." 4 Who is Bouts? 5 Q -- "Do we have anyone on our end for that?" 5 6 What does he mean by that? 6 A Bouts is Nick Boutsalis, who works at our 7 A He's asking me if we have anybody on -- and 7 company, which is the company that is the shareholder 8 "our end" means collectively all of us because we're 8 administration for Anavex. 9 working on the transaction together. So do we have 9 Q Nick Boutsalis? 10 somebody who's familiar enough, I think, with the 10 THE COURT: Ms. Reporter, Bouts is B-o-u-t-s. 11 documents to finish completing the language in them, in 11 MR. NORK: And Nick Boutsalis is 12 B-o-u-t-s-a-l-i-s; correct? 12 the purchase and sale agreement. 13 Q Okay. It looks like the next communication is 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 Exhibit 27. 14 BY MR. NORK: 15 This is, again, from Lambros to you; correct? 15 Q So you're telling Lambros they need to be 16 16 notarized and the originals need to be sent to Bouts; A Correct. 17 Q Where he's sending you a copy of the purchase 17 correct? 18 18 and sale agreement? A Correct. 19 A Correct. 19 Q The next exhibit, Exhibit 29, also already 20 admitted, this is Lambros to you, saying, "Hi Bud. Q And as you sit here today, do you know if this 20 21 version contained any changes from the first version he 21 Please find attached the updated purchase and sale 22 22 sent to you? document with the figures that were discussed. This is 23 the version that will be notarized. Cheers. L." A I don't recall. 23 24 Q Okay. Exhibit 28, this one is from you to 24 Correct?

	Page 138		Page 139
1	A Correct.	1	Exhibit 33.
2	Q Do you recall what figures were being discussed	2	A Yes.
3	that are referenced in this Exhibit 29?	3	Q 25 and 33. And compare the powers of attorney,
4	A We didn't have figures because we weren't sure	4	if you could, between the two.
5	how much was going to be sold exactly. We just knew we	5	A Yes.
6	had a goal, but we didn't know exactly what would be the	6	Q Do you see any difference between the power of
7	exact figure that might actually be transacted.	7	attorney that was sent back in June and the one that is
8	Q Okay. Turn, please, to Exhibit 33.	8	sent in mid-July other than the signature and notary
9	This exhibit, which is admitted into evidence,	9	stamp?
10	is dated July 9th, approximately six days after the email	10	A No. No.
11	we just looked at before, and this is from Lambros to	11	Q Okay. As you've already testified, the power
12	you; correct?	12	of attorney is a fairly standard form; correct?
13	A Uh-huh.	13	A Yes.
14	Q "Yes"?	14	Q Okay. To your knowledge why was the power of
15	A Yes.	15	attorney signed and notarized in blank?
16	Q And it says, "Hi Bud. Per our discussion,	16	A Clients it's standard to send them to us in
17	please find attached notarized copies. Regards."	17	blank because for two main reasons: One, we don't
18	Correct?	18	know if it's going to be a WAM client or not a WAM
19	A Correct.	19	client, and ultimately this when we're dematerializing
20	Q And attached are notarized copies of the power	20	a certificate, the stock power is written to the
21	of attorney and the sale and purchase agreement; correct?	21	broker-dealer so he can dematerialize the stock
22	A Correct.	22	certificate. So it's standard that they send them to us
23	Q Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you if you could	23	blank so that the firm can deal with dematerializing the
24	turn, please, to Exhibit 25 and keep your place on	24	certificate.
	Page 140		Page 141
1	Page 140 Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes	1	Page 141 Q They both use that same language; correct?
1 2		1 2	-
	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes		Q They both use that same language; correct?
2	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction	2	Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct.
2 3	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires?	2 3	<pre>Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the</pre>
2 3 4	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct.	2 3 4	Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the
2 3 4 5	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33,	2 3 4 5	Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct?
2 3 4 5 6	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement.	2 3 4 5 6	Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement. Exhibit 25 is Lambros sending to you blank	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement. Exhibit 25 is Lambros sending to you blank forms, the blank power of attorney and blank stock sale	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the closing shall occur on and then other than the date,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the closing shall occur on and then other than the date, they both say or such other date as the parties here to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement. Exhibit 25 is Lambros sending to you blank forms, the blank power of attorney and blank stock sale and purchase agreement; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the closing shall occur on and then other than the date, they both say or such other date as the parties here to may agree to, the closing date correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement. Exhibit 25 is Lambros sending to you blank forms, the blank power of attorney and blank stock sale and purchase agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And Exhibit 33, the stock sale and purchase	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the closing shall occur on and then other than the date, they both say or such other date as the parties here to may agree to, the closing date correct? A Correct. A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement. Exhibit 25 is Lambros sending to you blank forms, the blank power of attorney and blank stock sale and purchase agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And Exhibit 33, the stock sale and purchase agreement, is signed and notarized; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the closing shall occur on and then other than the date, they both say or such other date as the parties here to may agree to, the closing date correct? A Correct. Q Skip ahead to section 4.1. They both include
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement. Exhibit 25 is Lambros sending to you blank forms, the blank power of attorney and blank stock sale and purchase agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And Exhibit 33, the stock sale and purchase agreement, is signed and notarized; correct? A Correct. Q Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the closing shall occur on and then other than the date, they both say or such other date as the parties here to may agree to, the closing date correct? A Correct. Q Skip ahead to section 4.1. They both include the same language under the heading entire agreement;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement. Exhibit 25 is Lambros sending to you blank forms, the blank power of attorney and blank stock sale and purchase agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And Exhibit 33, the stock sale and purchase agreement, is signed and notarized; correct? A Correct. Q Now, if you take a look at section 1.1 of both	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the closing shall occur on and then other than the date, they both say or such other date as the parties here to may agree to, the closing date correct? A Correct. Q Skip ahead to section 4.1. They both include the same language under the heading entire agreement; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement. Exhibit 25 is Lambros sending to you blank forms, the blank power of attorney and blank stock sale and purchase agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And Exhibit 33, the stock sale and purchase agreement, is signed and notarized; correct? A Correct. Q Now, if you take a look at section 1.1 of both documents, both stock sale and purchase agreements, one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the closing shall occur on and then other than the date, they both say or such other date as the parties here to may agree to, the closing date correct? A Correct. Q Skip ahead to section 4.1. They both include the same language under the heading entire agreement; correct? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement. Exhibit 25 is Lambros sending to you blank forms, the blank power of attorney and blank stock sale and purchase agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And Exhibit 33, the stock sale and purchase agreement, is signed and notarized; correct? A Correct. Q Now, if you take a look at section 1.1 of both documents, both stock sale and purchase agreements, one Exhibit 25 and one Exhibit 33, they both use language	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the closing shall occur on and then other than the date, they both say or such other date as the parties here to may agree to, the closing date correct? A Correct. Q Skip ahead to section 4.1. They both include the same language under the heading entire agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And finally section 4.2, they both state that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement. Exhibit 25 is Lambros sending to you blank forms, the blank power of attorney and blank stock sale and purchase agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And Exhibit 33, the stock sale and purchase agreement, is signed and notarized; correct? A Correct. Q Now, if you take a look at section 1.1 of both documents, both stock sale and purchase agreements, one Exhibit 25 and one Exhibit 33, they both use language that the sale is, quote, effective as of the closing date	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the closing shall occur on and then other than the date, they both say or such other date as the parties here to may agree to, the closing date correct? A Correct. Q Skip ahead to section 4.1. They both include the same language under the heading entire agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And finally section 4.2, they both state that the agreement shall be governed by California law;</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement. Exhibit 25 is Lambros sending to you blank forms, the blank power of attorney and blank stock sale and purchase agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And Exhibit 33, the stock sale and purchase agreement, is signed and notarized; correct? A Correct. Q Now, if you take a look at section 1.1 of both documents, both stock sale and purchase agreements, one Exhibit 25 and one Exhibit 33, they both use language that the sale is, quote, effective as of the closing date close quote; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the closing shall occur on and then other than the date, they both say or such other date as the parties here to may agree to, the closing date correct? A Correct. Q Skip ahead to section 4.1. They both include the same language under the heading entire agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And finally section 4.2, they both state that the agreement shall be governed by California law; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement. Exhibit 25 is Lambros sending to you blank forms, the blank power of attorney and blank stock sale and purchase agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And Exhibit 33, the stock sale and purchase agreement, is signed and notarized; correct? A Correct. Q Now, if you take a look at section 1.1 of both documents, both stock sale and purchase agreements, one Exhibit 25 and one Exhibit 33, they both use language that the sale is, quote, effective as of the closing date close quote; correct? A Section 1.1?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the closing shall occur on and then other than the date, they both say or such other date as the parties here to may agree to, the closing date correct? A Correct. Q Skip ahead to section 4.1. They both include the same language under the heading entire agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And finally section 4.2, they both state that the agreement shall be governed by California law; correct? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement. Exhibit 25 is Lambros sending to you blank forms, the blank power of attorney and blank stock sale and purchase agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And Exhibit 33, the stock sale and purchase agreement, is signed and notarized; correct? A Correct. Q Now, if you take a look at section 1.1 of both documents, both stock sale and purchase agreements, one Exhibit 25 and one Exhibit 33, they both use language that the sale is, quote, effective as of the closing date close quote; correct? A Section 1.1? Q Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the closing shall occur on and then other than the date, they both say or such other date as the parties here to may agree to, the closing date correct? A Correct. Q Skip ahead to section 4.1. They both include the same language under the heading entire agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And finally section 4.2, they both state that the agreement shall be governed by California law; correct? A Correct. Q And so these terms that I just went over were</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement. Exhibit 25 is Lambros sending to you blank forms, the blank power of attorney and blank stock sale and purchase agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And Exhibit 33, the stock sale and purchase agreement, is signed and notarized; correct? A Correct. Q Now, if you take a look at section 1.1 of both documents, both stock sale and purchase agreements, one Exhibit 25 and one Exhibit 33, they both use language that the sale is, quote, effective as of the closing date close quote; correct? A Section 1.1? Q Yes, sir. It says, "On and subject to the terms and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the closing shall occur on and then other than the date, they both say or such other date as the parties here to may agree to, the closing date correct? A Correct. Q Skip ahead to section 4.1. They both include the same language under the heading entire agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And finally section 4.2, they both state that the agreement shall be governed by California law; correct? A Correct. Q And so these terms that I just went over were in the purchase and sale agreement in the very first</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. And the dematerializing becomes necessary at least after that six-month restriction period expires? A Correct. Q Now, still comparing Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 33, let's compare the stock sale and purchase agreement. Exhibit 25 is Lambros sending to you blank forms, the blank power of attorney and blank stock sale and purchase agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And Exhibit 33, the stock sale and purchase agreement, is signed and notarized; correct? A Correct. Q Now, if you take a look at section 1.1 of both documents, both stock sale and purchase agreements, one Exhibit 25 and one Exhibit 33, they both use language that the sale is, quote, effective as of the closing date close quote; correct? A Section 1.1? Q Yes, sir. It says, "On and subject to the terms and conditions of this agreement effective as of the closing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Q They both use that same language; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.2, the last sentence for both is the same, which says the purchase price shall be paid to the seller at the closing in cash; correct? A Correct. Q Section 1.3, the first sentence says the closing shall occur on and then other than the date, they both say or such other date as the parties here to may agree to, the closing date correct? A Correct. Q Skip ahead to section 4.1. They both include the same language under the heading entire agreement; correct? A Correct. Q And finally section 4.2, they both state that the agreement shall be governed by California law; correct? A Correct. Q And so these terms that I just went over were in the purchase and sale agreement in the very first draft that was sent to you by Lambros in June, and they</pre>

	Page 142		Page 143
1	A Correct.	1	A Weiser Bahamas, which is the same as Weiser
2	Q And for both power of attorney and the purchase	2	Capital.
3	and sale agreement, whose signature is there and	3	Q Weiser Capital. That's your company; correct?
4	notarized?	4	A Correct.
5	A Tom's.	5	Q And do you recall what it was that the stock
6	Q And the stock sale and purchase agreement is	6	power was filled out with Weiser Capital as the
7	also signed in blank; correct?	7	
8	A Correct.	8	A Because it was being because it was being
9	Q And why is that?	9	submitted to dematerialize the certificate.
10	A Because we don't know who the ultimate buyers	10	Q And explain that some more. What do you mean
11	are going to be on that transaction and for how much.	11	by that?
12	Also, how it will be constructed, if it will be Weiser	12	A So when it's submitted to the transfer agent,
13	Capital acting as an intermediary or it might even end up	13	you fill it in when you're submitting it to the transfer
14	at the firm, and so we leave it blank until we figure out	14	agent so the transfer agent can then register the shares
15	the buyer's side.	15	so they can be deposited in electronic form at the firm.
16	Q Okay. Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 30.	16	Q So under normal circumstances this power of
17	Actually, turn to Exhibit 35.	17	attorney would be sent to the transfer agent, here NATCO;
18	What's Exhibit 35? It's already admitted into	18	correct?
19	evidence.	19	A Correct.
20	A It's the stock power.	20	Q The stock referenced in the power of attorney
21	Q And this stock power, it's filled out; correct?	21	would be dematerialized; correct?
22	A Correct.	22	A Correct.
23	Q And who is the transferee under the stock	23	Q And then what would happen?
24	power?	24	A And then it would be in electronic form on
	<u> </u>		
1	Page 144 account of the broker-dealer.	1	Page 145 O And who filled it out?
	Q And which broker-dealer?	2	A This one, I filled it out.
3	A WAM.	3	Q Okay. And why did you fill it out in the
4	Q So did you fill in the date as well? Compare	4	manner that you did?
5	Exhibit 33 to Exhibit 35.	5	-
1 5	EXILIDIC 33 CO EXILIDIC 33.		A Co thig atople purchage never happened but we
6			A So this stock purchase never happened, but we
6	A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office,	6	still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled $% \left[{\left[{{\left[{{\left[{\left[{\left[{\left[{\left[{\left[{\left$
7	A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific.	6 7	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there.</pre>
7	A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the	6 7 8	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean?</pre>
7 8 9	A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected?	6 7 8 9	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews.</pre>
7 8 9 10	<pre>A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected? A Oh, why the date of July 12, '13? Not that I</pre>	6 7 8 9 10	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews. Q Okay.</pre>
7 8 9 10 11	<pre>A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected? A Oh, why the date of July 12, '13? Not that I recall specifically. I can't remember why.</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews. Q Okay. A So for compliance. If we're then going to be</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12	A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected? A Oh, why the date of July 12, '13? Not that I recall specifically. I can't remember why. Q Okay. Well, if we look at Exhibit 33, the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews. Q Okay. A So for compliance. If we're then going to be depositing the stock at the prime broker or the prime</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected? A Oh, why the date of July 12, '13? Not that I recall specifically. I can't remember why. Q Okay. Well, if we look at Exhibit 33, the notarized in blank copy was sent to you on July 9th;	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews. Q Okay. A So for compliance. If we're then going to be depositing the stock at the prime broker or the prime custodian because ultimately it goes to DTC</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected? A Oh, why the date of July 12, '13? Not that I recall specifically. I can't remember why. Q Okay. Well, if we look at Exhibit 33, the notarized in blank copy was sent to you on July 9th; correct?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews. Q Okay. A So for compliance. If we're then going to be depositing the stock at the prime broker or the prime custodian because ultimately it goes to DTC Q What is DTC?</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected? A Oh, why the date of July 12, '13? Not that I recall specifically. I can't remember why. Q Okay. Well, if we look at Exhibit 33, the notarized in blank copy was sent to you on July 9th; correct? A Correct, yeah.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews. Q Okay. A So for compliance. If we're then going to be depositing the stock at the prime broker or the prime custodian because ultimately it goes to DTC Q What is DTC? A It's the central depository agency where all</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected? A Oh, why the date of July 12, '13? Not that I recall specifically. I can't remember why. Q Okay. Well, if we look at Exhibit 33, the notarized in blank copy was sent to you on July 9th; correct? A Correct, yeah. Q And so the date on the power of attorney is a</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews. Q Okay. A So for compliance. If we're then going to be depositing the stock at the prime broker or the prime custodian because ultimately it goes to DTC Q What is DTC? A It's the central depository agency where all the shares in America sit.</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected? A Oh, why the date of July 12, '13? Not that I recall specifically. I can't remember why. Q Okay. Well, if we look at Exhibit 33, the notarized in blank copy was sent to you on July 9th; correct? A Correct, yeah. Q And so the date on the power of attorney is a couple days after that; correct?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews. Q Okay. A So for compliance. If we're then going to be depositing the stock at the prime broker or the prime custodian because ultimately it goes to DTC Q What is DTC? A It's the central depository agency where all the shares in America sit. Q Okay.</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected? A Oh, why the date of July 12, '13? Not that I recall specifically. I can't remember why. Q Okay. Well, if we look at Exhibit 33, the notarized in blank copy was sent to you on July 9th; correct? A Correct, yeah. Q And so the date on the power of attorney is a couple days after that; correct? A Right. Correct.</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews. Q Okay. A So for compliance. If we're then going to be depositing the stock at the prime broker or the prime custodian because ultimately it goes to DTC Q What is DTC? A It's the central depository agency where all the shares in America sit. Q Okay. A So at DTC are other prime brokers as well, so</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected? A Oh, why the date of July 12, '13? Not that I recall specifically. I can't remember why. Q Okay. Well, if we look at Exhibit 33, the notarized in blank copy was sent to you on July 9th; correct? A Correct, yeah. Q And so the date on the power of attorney is a couple days after that; correct? A Right. Correct. Q Now, if you could turn, please, to Exhibit 30,</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews. Q Okay. A So for compliance. If we're then going to be depositing the stock at the prime broker or the prime custodian because ultimately it goes to DTC Q What is DTC? A It's the central depository agency where all the shares in America sit. Q Okay. A So at DTC are other prime brokers as well, so if we're sending the shares to go on account at a prime</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected? A Oh, why the date of July 12, '13? Not that I recall specifically. I can't remember why. Q Okay. Well, if we look at Exhibit 33, the notarized in blank copy was sent to you on July 9th; correct? A Correct, yeah. Q And so the date on the power of attorney is a couple days after that; correct? A Right. Correct. Q Now, if you could turn, please, to Exhibit 30, which is also admitted into evidence.</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews. Q Okay. A So for compliance. If we're then going to be depositing the stock at the prime broker or the prime custodian because ultimately it goes to DTC Q What is DTC? A It's the central depository agency where all the shares in America sit. Q Okay. A So at DTC are other prime brokers as well, so if we're sending the shares to go on account at a prime broker, they like to see AML, KYC and AML compliance</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected? A Oh, why the date of July 12, '13? Not that I recall specifically. I can't remember why. Q Okay. Well, if we look at Exhibit 33, the notarized in blank copy was sent to you on July 9th; correct? A Correct, yeah. Q And so the date on the power of attorney is a couple days after that; correct? A Right. Correct. Q Now, if you could turn, please, to Exhibit 30, which is also admitted into evidence. So Exhibit 30, admitted into evidence, is just</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews. Q Okay. A So for compliance. If we're then going to be depositing the stock at the prime broker or the prime custodian because ultimately it goes to DTC Q What is DTC? A It's the central depository agency where all the shares in America sit. Q Okay. A So at DTC are other prime brokers as well, so if we're sending the shares to go on account at a prime broker, they like to see AML, KYC and AML compliance background to it, to show where the stock comes from, why</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected? A Oh, why the date of July 12, '13? Not that I recall specifically. I can't remember why. Q Okay. Well, if we look at Exhibit 33, the notarized in blank copy was sent to you on July 9th; correct? A Correct, yeah. Q And so the date on the power of attorney is a couple days after that; correct? A Right. Correct. Q Now, if you could turn, please, to Exhibit 30, which is also admitted into evidence. So Exhibit 30, admitted into evidence, is just like the one that was attached to Exhibit 33 except it's 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews. Q Okay. A So for compliance. If we're then going to be depositing the stock at the prime broker or the prime custodian because ultimately it goes to DTC Q What is DTC? A It's the central depository agency where all the shares in America sit. Q Okay. A So at DTC are other prime brokers as well, so if we're sending the shares to go on account at a prime broker, they like to see AML, KYC and AML compliance background to it, to show where the stock comes from, why did it come from, things like that.</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected? A Oh, why the date of July 12, '13? Not that I recall specifically. I can't remember why. Q Okay. Well, if we look at Exhibit 33, the notarized in blank copy was sent to you on July 9th; correct? A Correct, yeah. Q And so the date on the power of attorney is a couple days after that; correct? A Right. Correct. Q Now, if you could turn, please, to Exhibit 30, which is also admitted into evidence. So Exhibit 30, admitted into evidence, is just like the one that was attached to Exhibit 33 except it's filled out; correct? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews. Q Okay. A So for compliance. If we're then going to be depositing the stock at the prime broker or the prime custodian because ultimately it goes to DTC Q What is DTC? A It's the central depository agency where all the shares in America sit. Q Okay. A So at DTC are other prime brokers as well, so if we're sending the shares to go on account at a prime broker, they like to see AML, KYC and AML compliance background to it, to show where the stock comes from, why did it come from, things like that. Q Was this document, Exhibit 30, necessary to</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Excuse me. This was filled out by my office, not by me, if we're being specific. Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you know why the date of July 12, 2013, was selected? A Oh, why the date of July 12, '13? Not that I recall specifically. I can't remember why. Q Okay. Well, if we look at Exhibit 33, the notarized in blank copy was sent to you on July 9th; correct? A Correct, yeah. Q And so the date on the power of attorney is a couple days after that; correct? A Right. Correct. Q Now, if you could turn, please, to Exhibit 30, which is also admitted into evidence. So Exhibit 30, admitted into evidence, is just like the one that was attached to Exhibit 33 except it's 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>still need to have a PSA for AML reasons, so I filled Q Hold it right there. What does AML mean? A Anti-money laundering reviews. Q Okay. A So for compliance. If we're then going to be depositing the stock at the prime broker or the prime custodian because ultimately it goes to DTC Q What is DTC? A It's the central depository agency where all the shares in America sit. Q Okay. A So at DTC are other prime brokers as well, so if we're sending the shares to go on account at a prime broker, they like to see AML, KYC and AML compliance background to it, to show where the stock comes from, why did it come from, things like that.</pre>

	Page 146		Page 147
1	A No.	1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. Did the sale to the Chinese buyers ever	2	Q And that's because you needed it to
3	go through?	3	dematerialize the stock; correct?
4	A No.	4	A Correct.
5	Q Okay. So it's your testimony that this	5	Q So the sale to the Chinese buyers ultimately
6	document, Exhibit 30, simply exists for anti-money	6	never went through; correct?
7	laundering purposes?	7	A Correct.
8	A Correct.	8	Q The sale to the WAM clients went through in
9	Q Regarding what sale?	9	April 2013; correct?
10	A Actually, no sale. It's just backup paperwork	10	A Correct.
11	to explain why the firm has the positions, why the	11	Q You had the power of attorney in July of 2013
12	positions are in the size that they are, and it's not a	12	to dematerialize the stock that was sold to the WAM
13	specific sale.	13	clients?
14	Q Okay. Is the power of attorney, which is	14	A Correct.
15	completed as Exhibit 35, is that necessary to effectuate	15	Q And in a perfect world what would be the next
	•	16	steps, then, for purposes of allowing the WAM purchasers
16	the sale to the WAM clients that took place in		
17	April 2013?	17	to do something with their stock?
18	A For the WAM clients to effect it? I know we	18	A So, then, the stock power and the stock
19	needed to dematerialize the certificate. Legally I don't	19	certificate would go to the transfer agent so the
20	know if it's required to effect the trade transaction.	20	transfer agent could turn it into electronic form, so
21	Q Fair enough. Let me ask it a different way.	21	then it's on account at the prime broker so that the
22	In order for the WAM clients who bought the	22	shares can be transacted if the clients wish to do so.
23	stock in April 2013 to be able to then resell it, did you	23	Q Okay. So let's go to Exhibit 41, please.
24	need the power of attorney?	24	This is an email from Nick Boutsalis to you;
	Dage 148		Dage 149
1	Page 148	1	Page 149 Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming
1 2		1 2	5
	correct?		Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming
2 3	correct? A Correct.	2	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send
2 3 4	correct? A Correct. Q Dated December 17, 2013? A Correct.	2 3 4	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753
2 3 4 5	<pre>correct? A Correct. Q Dated December 17, 2013? A Correct. Q And upon receipt of this email, what did you</pre>	2 3 4 5	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it?
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>correct? A Correct. Dated December 17, 2013? A Correct. Q And upon receipt of this email, what did you do? A I forwarded it to WAM's back office who</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well in this case; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well in this case; correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well in this case; correct? A Correct. Q And when did you learn that there was going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well in this case; correct? A Correct. Q And when did you learn that there was going to be a problem dematerializing the 3.3 million shares of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well in this case; correct? A Correct. Q And when did you learn that there was going to be a problem dematerializing the 3.3 million shares of stock that were sold in April 2013?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>correct? A Correct. Q Dated December 17, 2013? A Correct. Q And upon receipt of this email, what did you do? A I forwarded it to WAM's back office who processes these types of things. Q Okay. In December 2013 were efforts being made to dematerialize the 3.3 million shares of stock? A Yes. Q Okay. And as you previously testified, that involved sending the power of attorney and the original stock certificate to the transfer agent; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well in this case; correct? A Correct. Q And when did you learn that there was going to be a problem dematerializing the 3.3 million shares of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well in this case; correct? A Correct. Q And when did you learn that there was going to be a problem dematerializing the 3.3 million shares of stock that were sold in April 2013?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>correct? A Correct. Q Dated December 17, 2013? A Correct. Q And upon receipt of this email, what did you do? A I forwarded it to WAM's back office who processes these types of things. Q Okay. In December 2013 were efforts being made to dematerialize the 3.3 million shares of stock? A Yes. Q Okay. And as you previously testified, that involved sending the power of attorney and the original stock certificate to the transfer agent; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well in this case; correct? A Correct. Q And when did you learn that there was going to be a problem dematerializing the 3.3 million shares of stock that were sold in April 2013? A I believe shortly after this. I don't know if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well in this case; correct? A Correct. Q And when did you learn that there was going to be a problem dematerializing the 3.3 million shares of stock that were sold in April 2013? A I believe shortly after this. I don't know if it was in December or January, but it was within, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>correct? A Correct. Q Dated December 17, 2013? A Correct. Q And upon receipt of this email, what did you do? A I forwarded it to WAM's back office who processes these types of things. Q Okay. In December 2013 were efforts being made to dematerialize the 3.3 million shares of stock? A Yes. Q Okay. And as you previously testified, that involved sending the power of attorney and the original stock certificate to the transfer agent; correct? A Correct. Q Until or about December 17, 2013, had you become aware yet as to whether or not there was going to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well in this case; correct? A Correct. Q And when did you learn that there was going to be a problem dematerializing the 3.3 million shares of stock that were sold in April 2013? A I believe shortly after this. I don't know if it was in December or January, but it was within, I think, a few weeks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well in this case; correct? A Correct. Q And when did you learn that there was going to be a problem dematerializing the 3.3 million shares of stock that were sold in April 2013? A I believe shortly after this. I don't know if it was in December or January, but it was within, I think, a few weeks. Q December of 2013 or January 2014?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well in this case; correct? A Correct. Q And when did you learn that there was going to be a problem dematerializing the 3.3 million shares of stock that were sold in April 2013? A I believe shortly after this. I don't know if it was in December or January, but it was within, I think, a few weeks. Q December of 2013 or January 2014? A Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>correct? A Correct. Q Dated December 17, 2013? A Correct. Q And upon receipt of this email, what did you do? A I forwarded it to WAM's back office who processes these types of things. Q Okay. In December 2013 were efforts being made to dematerialize the 3.3 million shares of stock? A Yes. Q Okay. And as you previously testified, that involved sending the power of attorney and the original stock certificate to the transfer agent; correct? A Correct. Q Until or about December 17, 2013, had you become aware yet as to whether or not there was going to be any problem in dematerializing the 3.3 million shares of stock? A No.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well in this case; correct? A Correct. Q And when did you learn that there was going to be a problem dematerializing the 3.3 million shares of stock that were sold in April 2013? A I believe shortly after this. I don't know if it was in December or January, but it was within, I think, a few weeks. Q December of 2013 or January 2014? A Yeah. Q And what did you learn in that time period?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>correct? A Correct. Q Dated December 17, 2013? A Correct. Q And upon receipt of this email, what did you do? A I forwarded it to WAM's back office who processes these types of things. Q Okay. In December 2013 were efforts being made to dematerialize the 3.3 million shares of stock? A Yes. Q Okay. And as you previously testified, that involved sending the power of attorney and the original stock certificate to the transfer agent; correct? A Correct. Q Until or about December 17, 2013, had you become aware yet as to whether or not there was going to be any problem in dematerializing the 3.3 million shares of stock? A No. Q Okay. But in any event, in December 2013, that</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well in this case; correct? A Correct. Q And when did you learn that there was going to be a problem dematerializing the 3.3 million shares of stock that were sold in April 2013? A I believe shortly after this. I don't know if it was in December or January, but it was within, I think, a few weeks. Q December of 2013 or January 2014? A Yeah. Q And what did you learn in that time period? A Learned that there was a hold. I was told
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q So at least by December of 2013, assuming everything went well, at that time you'd be able to send the original power of attorney and the original stock certificate to NATCO to have Stock Certificate 753 dematerialized so that the buyers, the WAM client buyers who bought it back in April of 2013, could then sell it? A Correct. Q The process I just described I prefaced by saying, "assuming all went well," but all did not go well in this case; correct? A Correct. Q And when did you learn that there was going to be a problem dematerializing the 3.3 million shares of stock that were sold in April 2013? A I believe shortly after this. I don't know if it was in December or January, but it was within, I think, a few weeks. Q December of 2013 or January 2014? A Yeah. Q And what did you learn in that time period? A Learned that there was a hold. I was told there was a hold on the certificate.

	Page 150		Page 151
1	A No.	1	Q This is a document called an Affidavit of Lost
2	Q Okay. So what did you then do once you found	2	Stock Certificate.
3	out about the hold?	3	A Correct.
4	A I was contacting Lambros. I think that was the	4	Q And it says, "I, Athanasios Skarpelos, hereby
5	first thing I started doing was contacting Lambros.	5	declare and affirm as follows."
6	Q Okay. And in late 2013, early 2014, were you	6	Do you see that? And then there are seven
7	still in regular communication with Tom and Lambros?	7	paragraphs of affirmations.
8	A Lambros, fairly regular, fairly regular. Tom,	8	A Yes.
9	not as much, very little.	9	Q Affirmation No. 5 says that "The present status
10	Q Okay. When did you first learn of the cause of	10	of the certificate is as follows:" And then handwritten
11	the hold that you became aware of in late 2013, early	11	there it says, "Lost."
12	2014?	12	Do you see that?
13	A Because I was told the cause of the hold	13	A Yes.
14	Q I'm asking when did you learn.	14	Q And the stock certificates being referenced in
15	A When I learned the cause of the hold was after	15	this affidavit are Stock Certificates 661 and 753;
16	we retained counsel sometime in 2015. It was much time	16	correct?
17	later that we retained counsel, the firm retained counsel	17	A 660.
18	to look at the matter, deal with the matter, etcetera,	18	Q I'm sorry. 660 and 753; correct?
19	with the transfer agent, and then we were informed that	19	A Correct.
20	the certificate had been filed as a lost certificate.	20	Q And on the second page of this exhibit is a
21	Q Okay. Turn, please, to Exhibit 14, which is	21	notary stamp; correct?
22	admitted into evidence.	22	A The Greek one?
23	Do you see that?	23	Q Yes. Well, yes.
24	A Yes.	24	A Yes. Yes. Yes. It's a lawyer's stamp.
	Page 152		Page 153
			1496 199
1	Q Right. What is the signature date on this	1	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of
1 2	Q Right. What is the signature date on this document?	1 2	~
			Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of
2	document?	2	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011?
2 3	document? A March 23, 2013.	2 3	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No.
2 3 4	document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate	2 3 4	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular
2 3 4 5	document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753?	2 3 4 5	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then.
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753? THE COURT: Let me just ask a clarification</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753? THE COURT: Let me just ask a clarification question, if I could. I'm not sure if that's a 3 or an 8. You identified</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753? THE COURT: Let me just ask a clarification question, if I could. I'm not sure if that's a 3 or an 8. You identified THE WITNESS: Could be a 9.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then. Q Okay. Certainly leading up to March 2013 A Yes. Q you were in communication with Tom?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753? THE COURT: Let me just ask a clarification question, if I could. I'm not sure if that's a 3 or an 8. You identified THE WITNESS: Could be a 9. THE COURT: Or a 9, possibly. It says</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then. Q Okay. Certainly leading up to March 2013 A Yes. Q you were in communication with Tom? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753? THE COURT: Let me just ask a clarification question, if I could. I'm not sure if that's a 3 or an 8. You identified THE WITNESS: Could be a 9. THE COURT: Or a 9, possibly. It says March 2nd, and then if I were to guess, I thought it was</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then. Q Okay. Certainly leading up to March 2013 A Yes. Q you were in communication with Tom? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753? THE COURT: Let me just ask a clarification question, if I could. I'm not sure if that's a 3 or an 8. You identified THE WITNESS: Could be a 9. THE COURT: Or a 9, possibly. It says March 2nd, and then if I were to guess, I thought it was an 8. You say it's a 3 or a 9, but I want to make sure</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then. Q Okay. Certainly leading up to March 2013 A Yes. Q you were in communication with Tom? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753? THE COURT: Let me just ask a clarification question, if I could. I'm not sure if that's a 3 or an 8. You identified THE WITNESS: Could be a 9. THE COURT: Or a 9, possibly. It says March 2nd, and then if I were to guess, I thought it was an 8. You say it's a 3 or a 9, but I want to make sure we're all talking about it's in March of 2013</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then. Q Okay. Certainly leading up to March 2013 A Yes. Q you were in communication with Tom? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes. Q Who was the primary contact that Tom had at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753? THE COURT: Let me just ask a clarification question, if I could. I'm not sure if that's a 3 or an 8. You identified THE WITNESS: Could be a 9. THE COURT: Or a 9, possibly. It says March 2nd, and then if I were to guess, I thought it was an 8. You say it's a 3 or a 9, but I want to make sure we're all talking about it's in March of 2013 MR. NORK: The date doesn't matter, Your Honor,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then. Q Okay. Certainly leading up to March 2013 A Yes. Q you were in communication with Tom? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes. Q Who was the primary contact that Tom had at WAM?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753? THE COURT: Let me just ask a clarification question, if I could. I'm not sure if that's a 3 or an 8. You identified THE WITNESS: Could be a 9. THE COURT: Or a 9, possibly. It says March 2nd, and then if I were to guess, I thought it was an 8. You say it's a 3 or a 9, but I want to make sure we're all talking about it's in March of 2013 MR. NORK: The date doesn't matter, Your Honor, but you're right, it's hard to tell.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then. Q Okay. Certainly leading up to March 2013 A Yes. Q you were in communication with Tom? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes. Q Who was the primary contact that Tom had at WAM? A That would have been me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753? THE COURT: Let me just ask a clarification question, if I could. I'm not sure if that's a 3 or an 8. You identified THE WITNESS: Could be a 9. THE COURT: Or a 9, possibly. It says March 2nd, and then if I were to guess, I thought it was an 8. You say it's a 3 or a 9, but I want to make sure we're all talking about it's in March of 2013 MR. NORK: The date doesn't matter, Your Honor, but you're right, it's hard to tell. THE COURT: It's just well, it's prior to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then. Q Okay. Certainly leading up to March 2013 A Yes. Q you were in communication with Tom? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes. Q Who was the primary contact that Tom had at WAM? A That would have been me. Q Okay. And so if he ever needed any information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753? THE COURT: Let me just ask a clarification question, if I could. I'm not sure if that's a 3 or an 8. You identified THE WITNESS: Could be a 9. THE COURT: Or a 9, possibly. It says March 2nd, and then if I were to guess, I thought it was an 8. You say it's a 3 or a 9, but I want to make sure we're all talking about it's in March of 2013 MR. NORK: The date doesn't matter, Your Honor, but you're right, it's hard to tell. THE COURT: It's just well, it's prior to the April transfers?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then. Q Okay. Certainly leading up to March 2013 A Yes. Q you were in communication with Tom? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes. Q Who was the primary contact that Tom had at WAM? A That would have been me. Q Okay. And so if he ever needed any information about WAM or about his account at WAM or about his stock
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753? THE COURT: Let me just ask a clarification question, if I could. I'm not sure if that's a 3 or an 8. You identified THE WITNESS: Could be a 9. THE COURT: Or a 9, possibly. It says March 2nd, and then if I were to guess, I thought it was an 8. You say it's a 3 or a 9, but I want to make sure we're all talking about it's in March of 2013 MR. NORK: The date doesn't matter, Your Honor, but you're right, it's hard to tell. THE COURT: It's just well, it's prior to the April transfers? MR. NORK: Correct. I'll just refer to it,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then. Q Okay. Certainly leading up to March 2013 A Yes. Q you were in communication with Tom? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes. Q Who was the primary contact that Tom had at WAM? A That would have been me. Q Okay. And so if he ever needed any information about WAM or about his account at WAM or about his stock at WAM, who did he communicate with?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>document?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then. Q Okay. Certainly leading up to March 2013 A Yes. Q you were in communication with Tom? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes. Q Who was the primary contact that Tom had at WAM? A That would have been me. Q Okay. And so if he ever needed any information about WAM or about his account at WAM or about his stock at WAM, who did he communicate with? A With myself.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753? THE COURT: Let me just ask a clarification question, if I could. I'm not sure if that's a 3 or an 8. You identified THE WITNESS: Could be a 9. THE COURT: Or a 9, possibly. It says March 2nd, and then if I were to guess, I thought it was an 8. You say it's a 3 or a 9, but I want to make sure we're all talking about it's in March of 2013 MR. NORK: The date doesn't matter, Your Honor, but you're right, it's hard to tell. THE COURT: It's just well, it's prior to the April transfers? MR. NORK: Correct. I'll just refer to it, Your Honor, as March of 2013. BY MR. NORK:</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then. Q Okay. Certainly leading up to March 2013 A Yes. Q you were in communication with Tom? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes. Q Mho was the primary contact that Tom had at WAM? A That would have been me. Q Okay. And so if he ever needed any information about WAM or about his account at WAM or about his stock at WAM, who did he communicate with? A With myself. Q And leading up to March of 2013, did you ever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753? THE COURT: Let me just ask a clarification question, if I could. I'm not sure if that's a 3 or an 8. You identified THE WITNESS: Could be a 9. THE COURT: Or a 9, possibly. It says March 2nd, and then if I were to guess, I thought it was an 8. You say it's a 3 or a 9, but I want to make sure we're all talking about it's in March of 2013 MR. NORK: The date doesn't matter, Your Honor, but you're right, it's hard to tell. THE COURT: It's just well, it's prior to the April transfers? MR. NORK: Correct. I'll just refer to it, Your Honor, as March of 2013. BY MR. NORK: Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then. Q Okay. Certainly leading up to March 2013 A Yes. Q you were in communication with Tom? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes. Q Who was the primary contact that Tom had at WAM? A That would have been me. Q Okay. And so if he ever needed any information about WAM or about his account at WAM or about his stock at WAM, who did he communicate with? A With myself. Q And leading up to March of 2013, did you ever learn either from Lambros or Tom that there was a concern
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>document?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then. Q Okay. Certainly leading up to March 2013 A Yes. Q you were in communication with Tom? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes. Q Who was the primary contact that Tom had at WAM? A That would have been me. Q Okay. And so if he ever needed any information about WAM or about his account at WAM or about his stock at WAM, who did he communicate with? A With myself. Q And leading up to March of 2013, did you ever learn either from Lambros or Tom that there was a concern that that Stock Certificate 753 had been lost?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>document? A March 23, 2013. Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate 753? THE COURT: Let me just ask a clarification question, if I could. I'm not sure if that's a 3 or an 8. You identified THE WITNESS: Could be a 9. THE COURT: Or a 9, possibly. It says March 2nd, and then if I were to guess, I thought it was an 8. You say it's a 3 or a 9, but I want to make sure we're all talking about it's in March of 2013 MR. NORK: The date doesn't matter, Your Honor, but you're right, it's hard to tell. THE COURT: It's just well, it's prior to the April transfers? MR. NORK: Correct. I'll just refer to it, Your Honor, as March of 2013. BY MR. NORK: Q In March of 2013 where was Stock Certificate</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Had it ever left the vault at WAM since May of 2011? A No. Q And in March of 2013 were you in regular communication with either Lambros and/or Tom? A Lambros, yes, but Tom not so much because he had become sick around then. Q Okay. Certainly leading up to March 2013 A Yes. Q you were in communication with Tom? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes. Q And Tom had a way to reach you; correct? A Yes. Q Who was the primary contact that Tom had at WAM? A That would have been me. Q Okay. And so if he ever needed any information about WAM or about his account at WAM or about his stock at WAM, who did he communicate with? A With myself. Q And leading up to March of 2013, did you ever learn either from Lambros or Tom that there was a concern

	Page 154		Page 155
1	Q And, in fact, in March of 2013 what were you	1	Q And what is the date of this document?
2	discussing doing for Tom?	2	A March 29, 2013.
3	A Well, the discussion was prior to March. Tom	3	Q And does the 29 look sort of like the date on
4	was pretty sick in March so we didn't have that much	4	the prior Exhibit 14, to the best of your ability? It's
5	discussion, though Lambros and I were discussing the	5	hard to tell.
6	continuation of finding buyers for the stock certificates	6	THE COURT: That looks a little clearer to me
7	and raising capital.	7	to be a 29.
8	Q So you were trying to sell the stock?	8	MR. NORK: Yeah.
9	A Yes.	9	THE COURT: It's admitted. It is what it is.
10	Q Did this Affidavit of Lost Certificate come as	10	MR. NORK: Yes, it definitely is.
11	a surprise to you?	11	BY MR. NORK:
12	A Yes.	12	Q What do you understand this document to be,
13	Q Do you have any explanation as you sit here	13	Exhibit 15?
14	today why Mr. Skarpelos would have executed this	14	A I requested a transfer agent to stop any
15	affidavit?	15	transfer requests on the certificates.
16	A No.	16	Q So this is asking NATCO to prevent any
17	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Calls for	17	transfers of Stock Certificate 660 or 753; correct?
18	speculation.	18	A Correct.
19	- THE COURT: Sustained.	19	Q And who's requesting the stop transfer?
20	BY MR. NORK:	20	A Tom Skarpelos.
21	Q Let's turn, please, to Exhibit 15, which is	21	Q And what is the stamp in the lower right-hand
22	also admitted into evidence.	22	corner with the signature on it?
23	What is Exhibit 15?	23	A It looks like a lawyer's, attorney's stamp.
24	A A Stop Transfer Order.	24	Q What is the purpose of that?
	David 156		Deve 157
1	Page 156 A To be notarized. A notary.	1	Page 157 Q And this is in January, three months before the
	Q So at or about the same time that the affidavit		-
2		2	Affidavit of Lost Certificate; correct?
3	was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to	2 3	Affidavit of Lost Certificate; correct? A Correct.
	-		
3	was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to	3	A Correct.
3 4	was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct?	3 4	A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013
3 4 5	was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct? A Yes.	3 4 5	A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you
3 4 5 6	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. You didn't learn about either of these</pre>	3 4 5 6	A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock?
3 4 5 6 7	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7	A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time.
3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8	 A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time. Q Were you aware at all that Anavex had executed
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. You didn't learn about either of these documents until well after the April 2013 sale; correct? A Yes. 2015. These documents are from 2016. Q Turn, please, if you would, to Exhibit 13.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time. Q Were you aware at all that Anavex had executed this Corporate Indemnity?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. You didn't learn about either of these documents until well after the April 2013 sale; correct? A Yes. 2015. These documents are from 2016. Q Turn, please, if you would, to Exhibit 13. This is already admitted into evidence. What</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time. Q Were you aware at all that Anavex had executed this Corporate Indemnity? A No, not at all.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time. Q Were you aware at all that Anavex had executed this Corporate Indemnity? A No, not at all. Q When did you find out about this Corporate
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time. Q Were you aware at all that Anavex had executed this Corporate Indemnity? A No, not at all. Q When did you find out about this Corporate Indemnity?</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. You didn't learn about either of these documents until well after the April 2013 sale; correct? A Yes. 2015. These documents are from 2016. Q Turn, please, if you would, to Exhibit 13. This is already admitted into evidence. What is Exhibit 13? A It's an indemnity from Anavex, the issue indemnifying NATCO, indemnifying NATCO if they reissue a</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time. Q Were you aware at all that Anavex had executed this Corporate Indemnity? A No, not at all. Q When did you find out about this Corporate Indemnity? A After 2015.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. You didn't learn about either of these documents until well after the April 2013 sale; correct? A Yes. 2015. These documents are from 2016. Q Turn, please, if you would, to Exhibit 13. This is already admitted into evidence. What is Exhibit 13? A It's an indemnity from Anavex, the issue indemnifying NATCO, indemnifying NATCO if they reissue a new certificate replacing the lost certificate.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time. Q Were you aware at all that Anavex had executed this Corporate Indemnity? A No, not at all. Q When did you find out about this Corporate Indemnity? A After 2015. Q Okay. And this is a Corporate Indemnity to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. You didn't learn about either of these documents until well after the April 2013 sale; correct? A Yes. 2015. These documents are from 2016. Q Turn, please, if you would, to Exhibit 13. This is already admitted into evidence. What is Exhibit 13? A It's an indemnity from Anavex, the issue indemnifying NATCO, indemnifying NATCO if they reissue a new certificate replacing the lost certificate. Q Okay. What is the date of this document?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time. Q Were you aware at all that Anavex had executed this Corporate Indemnity? A No, not at all. Q When did you find out about this Corporate Indemnity? A After 2015. Q Okay. And this is a Corporate Indemnity to NATCO; correct?</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. You didn't learn about either of these documents until well after the April 2013 sale; correct? A Yes. 2015. These documents are from 2016. Q Turn, please, if you would, to Exhibit 13. This is already admitted into evidence. What is Exhibit 13? A It's an indemnity from Anavex, the issue indemnifying NATCO, indemnifying NATCO if they reissue a new certificate replacing the lost certificate. Q Okay. What is the date of this document? A January 10, 2013.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time. Q Were you aware at all that Anavex had executed this Corporate Indemnity? A No, not at all. Q When did you find out about this Corporate Indemnity? A After 2015. Q Okay. And this is a Corporate Indemnity to NATCO; correct? A Correct.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. You didn't learn about either of these documents until well after the April 2013 sale; correct? A Yes. 2015. These documents are from 2016. Q Turn, please, if you would, to Exhibit 13. This is already admitted into evidence. What is Exhibit 13? A It's an indemnity from Anavex, the issue indemnifying NATCO, indemnifying NATCO if they reissue a new certificate replacing the lost certificate. Q Okay. What is the date of this document? A January 10, 2013. Q So January 10, 2013, this Corporate Indemnity</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time. Q Were you aware at all that Anavex had executed this Corporate Indemnity? A No, not at all. Q When did you find out about this Corporate Indemnity? A After 2015. Q Okay. And this is a Corporate Indemnity to NATCO; correct? A Correct. Q And it says below the line about a little more</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. You didn't learn about either of these documents until well after the April 2013 sale; correct? A Yes. 2015. These documents are from 2016. Q Turn, please, if you would, to Exhibit 13. This is already admitted into evidence. What is Exhibit 13? A It's an indemnity from Anavex, the issue indemnifying NATCO, indemnifying NATCO if they reissue a new certificate replacing the lost certificate. Q Okay. What is the date of this document? A January 10, 2013. Q So January 10, 2013, this Corporate Indemnity is executed, and it says in the first recital at the very</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time. Q Were you aware at all that Anavex had executed this Corporate Indemnity? A No, not at all. Q When did you find out about this Corporate Indemnity? A After 2015. Q Okay. And this is a Corporate Indemnity to NATCO; correct? A Correct. Q And it says below the line about a little more than halfway down through the document, "And it further</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. You didn't learn about either of these documents until well after the April 2013 sale; correct? A Yes. 2015. These documents are from 2016. Q Turn, please, if you would, to Exhibit 13. This is already admitted into evidence. What is Exhibit 13? A It's an indemnity from Anavex, the issue indemnifying NATCO, indemnifying NATCO if they reissue a new certificate replacing the lost certificate. Q Okay. What is the date of this document? A January 10, 2013. Q So January 10, 2013, this Corporate Indemnity is executed, and it says in the first recital at the very top that the company has requested duplicate certificates</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time. Q Were you aware at all that Anavex had executed this Corporate Indemnity? A No, not at all. Q When did you find out about this Corporate Indemnity? A After 2015. Q Okay. And this is a Corporate Indemnity to NATCO; correct? A Correct. Q And it says below the line about a little more than halfway down through the document, "And it further be resolved that should the original certificate ever be</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. You didn't learn about either of these documents until well after the April 2013 sale; correct? A Yes. 2015. These documents are from 2016. Q Turn, please, if you would, to Exhibit 13. This is already admitted into evidence. What is Exhibit 13? A It's an indemnity from Anavex, the issue indemnifying NATCO, indemnifying NATCO if they reissue a new certificate replacing the lost certificate. Q Okay. What is the date of this document? A January 10, 2013. Q So January 10, 2013, this Corporate Indemnity is executed, and it says in the first recital at the very top that the company has requested duplicate certificates of stock for shares of common stock of the company to be</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time. Q Were you aware at all that Anavex had executed this Corporate Indemnity? A No, not at all. Q When did you find out about this Corporate Indemnity? A After 2015. Q Okay. And this is a Corporate Indemnity to NATCO; correct? A Correct. Q And it says below the line about a little more than halfway down through the document, "And it further be resolved that should the original certificate ever be properly presented for transfer, NATCO is hereby</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. You didn't learn about either of these documents until well after the April 2013 sale; correct? A Yes. 2015. These documents are from 2016. Q Turn, please, if you would, to Exhibit 13. This is already admitted into evidence. What is Exhibit 13? A It's an indemnity from Anavex, the issue indemnifying NATCO, indemnifying NATCO if they reissue a new certificate replacing the lost certificate. Q Okay. What is the date of this document? A January 10, 2013. Q So January 10, 2013, this Corporate Indemnity is executed, and it says in the first recital at the very top that the company has requested duplicate certificates of stock for shares of common stock of the company to be issued in replacement of the lost Certificates 660 and</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time. Q Were you aware at all that Anavex had executed this Corporate Indemnity? A No, not at all. Q When did you find out about this Corporate Indemnity? A After 2015. Q Okay. And this is a Corporate Indemnity to NATCO; correct? A Correct. Q And it says below the line about a little more than halfway down through the document, "And it further be resolved that should the original certificate ever be properly presented for transfer, NATCO is hereby instructed to transfer and reissue such certificate, and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>was executed, the Stop Transfer Order was also sent to NATCO; correct? A Yes. Q Okay. You didn't learn about either of these documents until well after the April 2013 sale; correct? A Yes. 2015. These documents are from 2016. Q Turn, please, if you would, to Exhibit 13. This is already admitted into evidence. What is Exhibit 13? A It's an indemnity from Anavex, the issue indemnifying NATCO, indemnifying NATCO if they reissue a new certificate replacing the lost certificate. Q Okay. What is the date of this document? A January 10, 2013. Q So January 10, 2013, this Corporate Indemnity is executed, and it says in the first recital at the very top that the company has requested duplicate certificates of stock for shares of common stock of the company to be issued in replacement of the lost Certificates 660 and 753 which has been lost.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Correct. Q How did the timing of this January 2013 Corporate Indemnity coincide with the request made of you to try to sell 6.6 million shares of stock? A This is all around the same period of time. Q Were you aware at all that Anavex had executed this Corporate Indemnity? A No, not at all. Q When did you find out about this Corporate Indemnity? A After 2015. Q Okay. And this is a Corporate Indemnity to NATCO; correct? A Correct. Q And it says below the line about a little more than halfway down through the document, "And it further be resolved that should the original certificate ever be properly presented for transfer, NATCO is hereby instructed to transfer and reissue such certificate, and the company agrees it will take the appropriate actions

	Page 158		Page 159
1	Do you see that?	1	A Correct.
2	A Yes.	2	Q And, again, when did you become aware of this
3	Q To your recollection and understanding, were	3	document?
4	the original stock certificates for 753 presented to	4	A After 2015.
5	NATCO for transfer?	5	Q Between 2011 when the WAM account was opened
6	A Yes.	6	and 2013 when the Affidavit of Lost Stock Certificate was
7	Q And this Corporate Indemnity notwithstanding,	7	submitted, did you ever have occasion to see
8	when it was presented for transfer to NATCO, was the	8	Mr. Skarpelos in person?
9	stock 753 reissued?	9	A Oh, yes, many times.
10	A No. When we submitted it, it wasn't reissued,	10	Q Approximately how many times over that two-year
11	but I think it had technically been already reissued.	11	period?
12	Q A replacement certificate had been?	12	A From 2011 to 2013?
13	A Right.	13	Q Yes, sir.
14	Q Okay. That's different than reissuing the	14	A I would have to say at least 30, 40 times at
15	original, though; correct?	15	least.
16	A I don't know.	16	Q And where on the planet did you see him in
17	Q Okay. Well, let's look at Exhibit 16, which is	17	person?
18	also admitted into evidence.	18	A Oh, many places. Hong Kong, Miami, Bahamas,
19	What does this show about the status of	19	Greece. Many places.
20	exhibit excuse me of Certificate 753 and 660?	20	Q And also during that same two-year period,
21	A If I'm reading correctly, it shows they were	21	spring of 2011 to spring of 2013, did you have occasion
22	canceled.	22	to see Lambros in person?
22	Q Correct. Canceled and then reissued as	23	A Yes.
23	-	23 24	
24	Certificate 975; correct?	24	Q Approximately how many times did you see him?
	Down 160		D 161
	Page 160		Page 161
1	A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom.	1	Q And generally can you describe what the content
1 2	-	1 2	
	A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom.		Q And generally can you describe what the content
2	A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him?	2	Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email?
2 3	 A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I 	2 3	Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the
2 3 4	 A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. 	2 3 4	Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom.
2 3 4 5	 A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? 	2 3 4 5	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom. Q And did you take these screenshots yourself?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	 A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in 	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom. Q And did you take these screenshots yourself? A Yes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently 	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom. Q And did you take these screenshots yourself? A Yes. Q So you copied them and then pasted them into an</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently all over Europe and Bahamas and Hong Kong. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom. Q And did you take these screenshots yourself? A Yes. Q So you copied them and then pasted them into an email?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently all over Europe and Bahamas and Hong Kong. Q Okay. And during that same two-year period, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom. Q And did you take these screenshots yourself? A Yes. Q So you copied them and then pasted them into an email? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently all over Europe and Bahamas and Hong Kong. Q Okay. And during that same two-year period, did you have occasion to speak with or exchange emails 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom. Q And did you take these screenshots yourself? A Yes. Q So you copied them and then pasted them into an email? A Correct. Q And then you sent it to yourself?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently all over Europe and Bahamas and Hong Kong. Q Okay. And during that same two-year period, did you have occasion to speak with or exchange emails with Tom?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently all over Europe and Bahamas and Hong Kong. Q Okay. And during that same two-year period, did you have occasion to speak with or exchange emails with Tom? A From 2011	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom. Q And did you take these screenshots yourself? A Yes. Q So you copied them and then pasted them into an email? A Correct. Q And then you sent it to yourself? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 60.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently all over Europe and Bahamas and Hong Kong. Q Okay. And during that same two-year period, did you have occasion to speak with or exchange emails with Tom? A From 2011 Q Spring 2011 to spring 2013.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom. Q And did you take these screenshots yourself? A Yes. Q So you copied them and then pasted them into an email? A Correct. Q And then you sent it to yourself? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 60. MR. ANDERSON: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	<pre>A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently all over Europe and Bahamas and Hong Kong. Q Okay. And during that same two-year period, did you have occasion to speak with or exchange emails with Tom? A From 2011 Q Spring 2011 to spring 2013. A Yes. Yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom. Q And did you take these screenshots yourself? A Yes. Q So you copied them and then pasted them into an email? A Correct. Q And then you sent it to yourself? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 60. MR. ANDERSON: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay and best evidence rule.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently all over Europe and Bahamas and Hong Kong. Q Okay. And during that same two-year period, did you have occasion to speak with or exchange emails with Tom? A From 2011 Q Spring 2011 to spring 2013. A Yes. Yes. Q How many times, approximately?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom. Q And did you take these screenshots yourself? A Yes. Q So you copied them and then pasted them into an email? A Correct. Q And then you sent it to yourself? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 60. MR. ANDERSON: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay and best evidence rule. THE COURT: How is it the best evidence rule?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently all over Europe and Bahamas and Hong Kong. Q Okay. And during that same two-year period, did you have occasion to speak with or exchange emails with Tom? A From 2011 Q Spring 2011 to spring 2013. A Yes. Yes. Q How many times, approximately? A Must have had many dozens, a hundred plus. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom. Q And did you take these screenshots yourself? A Yes. Q So you copied them and then pasted them into an email? A Correct. Q And then you sent it to yourself? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 60. MR. ANDERSON: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay and best evidence rule. THE COURT: How is it the best evidence rule? MR. ANDERSON: That's a good question.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently all over Europe and Bahamas and Hong Kong. Q Okay. And during that same two-year period, did you have occasion to speak with or exchange emails with Tom? A From 2011 Q Spring 2011 to spring 2013. A Yes. Yes. Q How many times, approximately? A Must have had many dozens, a hundred plus. Q Okay. Same question regarding Lambros. How</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom. Q And did you take these screenshots yourself? A Yes. Q So you copied them and then pasted them into an email? A Correct. Q And then you sent it to yourself? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 60. MR. ANDERSON: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay and best evidence rule. THE COURT: How is it the best evidence rule? MR. ANDERSON: That's a good question. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection on the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently all over Europe and Bahamas and Hong Kong. Q Okay. And during that same two-year period, did you have occasion to speak with or exchange emails with Tom? A From 2011 Q Spring 2011 to spring 2013. A Yes. Yes. Q How many times, approximately? A Must have had many dozens, a hundred plus. Q Okay. Same question regarding Lambros. How many times did you speak with or exchange e-mails or</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom. Q And did you take these screenshots yourself? A Yes. Q So you copied them and then pasted them into an email? A Correct. Q And then you sent it to yourself? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 60. MR. ANDERSON: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay and best evidence rule. THE COURT: How is it the best evidence rule? MR. ANDERSON: That's a good question. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection on the best evidence rule. I don't think the best evidence rule</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently all over Europe and Bahamas and Hong Kong. Q Okay. And during that same two-year period, did you have occasion to speak with or exchange emails with Tom? A From 2011 Q Spring 2011 to spring 2013. A Yes. Yes. Q How many times, approximately? A Must have had many dozens, a hundred plus. Q Okay. Same question regarding Lambros. How many times did you speak with or exchange e-mails or texts with him during that two-year period? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently all over Europe and Bahamas and Hong Kong. Q Okay. And during that same two-year period, did you have occasion to speak with or exchange emails with Tom? A From 2011 Q Spring 2011 to spring 2013. A Yes. Yes. Q How many times, approximately? A Must have had many dozens, a hundred plus. Q Okay. Same question regarding Lambros. How many times did you speak with or exchange e-mails or texts with him during that two-year period? A A hundred plus. Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 60. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently all over Europe and Bahamas and Hong Kong. Q Okay. And during that same two-year period, did you have occasion to speak with or exchange emails with Tom? A From 2011 Q Spring 2011 to spring 2013. A Yes. Yes. Q How many times, approximately? A Must have had many dozens, a hundred plus. Q Okay. Same question regarding Lambros. How many times did you speak with or exchange e-mails or texts with him during that two-year period? A A hundred plus. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom. Q And did you take these screenshots yourself? A Yes. Q So you copied them and then pasted them into an email? A Correct. Q And then you sent it to yourself? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 60. MR. ANDERSON: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay and best evidence rule. THE COURT: How is it the best evidence rule? MR. ANDERSON: That's a good question. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection on the best evidence rule. I don't think the best evidence rule has any application to the objection. But regarding the hearsay objection MR. NORK: Your Honor, this is actually the rare instance where it is not being offered for the truth</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A At least as many, if not twice as many, as Tom. Q And where on the planet did you see him? A Everywhere. Yeah, we went Lambros and I were actually in Iceland in the fall of 2012. Q You were in Iceland together? A No. That was maybe 2013. We were in Iceland yeah, late 2013. Lambros was very frequently all over Europe and Bahamas and Hong Kong. Q Okay. And during that same two-year period, did you have occasion to speak with or exchange emails with Tom? A From 2011 Q Spring 2011 to spring 2013. A Yes. Yes. Q How many times, approximately? A Must have had many dozens, a hundred plus. Q Okay. Same question regarding Lambros. How many times did you speak with or exchange e-mails or texts with him during that two-year period? A hundred plus. Q Can you turn, please, to Exhibit 60. Exhibit 60 is an email from you to you; 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Q And generally can you describe what the content is of this email? A It's screenshots it's a screenshot of the emails of email correspondence that I had with Tom. Q And did you take these screenshots yourself? A Yes. Q So you copied them and then pasted them into an email? A Correct. Q And then you sent it to yourself? A Yes. MR. NORK: Move to admit Exhibit 60. MR. ANDERSON: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay and best evidence rule. THE COURT: How is it the best evidence rule? MR. ANDERSON: That's a good question. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection on the best evidence rule. I don't think the best evidence rule has any application to the objection. But regarding the hearsay objection MR. NORK: Your Honor, this is actually the</pre>

	Page 162		Page 163
1	during the time frame we've just been talking about.	1	was an email that addressed the identified issue.
2	THE COURT: And based on that, Mr. Anderson,	2	It will be admitted simply to demonstrate that
3	what's the hearsay objection?	3	there was communication of some form between Mr. Livadas
4	MR. ANDERSON: Well, it is an out-of-court	4	and Mr. Skarpelos during the identified periods of time,
5	statement offered to prove the truth of the matter	5	and so the Court will admit it for that limited purpose.
6	asserted, which is he sent hundreds or whatever number of	6	(Exhibit 60 was admitted.)
7	emails are in here. He's already testified that he sent	7	THE COURT: It is now noon, Mr. Nork, so we're
8	some emails. The emails apparently aren't included in	8	going to take a break, but I always I add syllables to
9	this exhibit binder or we'd have a lot more documents,	9	your name, Mr. Livadas, and I apologize.
10	and so it's basically hearsay to establish that he's	10	THE WITNESS: Livadas is good.
11	communicating with Tom, but he's already testified to	11	THE COURT: I think I said LAD-DE-VOS a second
12	that fact.	12	ago, so I don't know why I throw an extra D in there, but
13	THE COURT: So, then, I guess the argument is	13	I apologize to you for mispronouncing your name on a
14	that it's not hearsay; it's needlessly cumulative under	14	couple occasions.
15	48.035.	15	The question I had and possibly it's
16	MR. ANDERSON: I still think it's both.	16	something you're going to address as you continue to
17	THE COURT: Well, the Court will admit it as	17	question Mr. Livadas is I'm unsure, as I've now looked
18	nonhearsay. The Court is not admitting the screenshots	18	through the exhibits that have been admitted, how
19	to support the truth of the matter asserted, and by the	19	Mr. Skarpelos would know to contact Nevada Agency
20	truth of the matter asserted, I mean what is identified	20	Transfer Company in the first place. Was that someplace
21	in the subject matter line on each email. I'm not going	21	that always was used or let me just take a step back.
22	to look at those and say, that's what this email was	22	I'm flipping through my exhibit book and talking at the
23	about because I have no idea. So it's not being offered	23	same time, which is not efficient.
24	for the truth of the matter asserted, that is, that there	24	Exhibit 13, 14, 15 and 16 all involve the
	Page 164		Page 165
1	Page 164 contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and	1	Page 165 -000-
1 2	5	1 2	
	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and		-000-
2	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost	2	-000- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M.
2 3	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in	2 3	-000- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M.
2 3 4	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15.	2 3 4	-000- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -000-
2 3 4 5	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15. As I'm sitting here, I don't know how	2 3 4 5	-000- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -000- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in
2 3 4 5 6	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15. As I'm sitting here, I don't know how Mr. Skarpelos knows he needs to contact that agency out	2 3 4 5 6	-000- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -000- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259.
2 3 4 5 6 7	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15. As I'm sitting here, I don't know how Mr. Skarpelos knows he needs to contact that agency out of all the other transfer agencies in the world to send	2 3 4 5 6 7	-000- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -000- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259. Counsel, we're starting about five minutes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15. As I'm sitting here, I don't know how Mr. Skarpelos knows he needs to contact that agency out of all the other transfer agencies in the world to send this information to. If the deal is going on in April, selling the internal shares to WAM clients, I'm just not quite sure as I sit here. If you want to address that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	-00- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -00- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259. Counsel, we're starting about five minutes late. It is totally my fault. I was sitting in my office typing an email to somebody when my judicial assistant tapped on the door and said, "Are you going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15. As I'm sitting here, I don't know how Mr. Skarpelos knows he needs to contact that agency out of all the other transfer agencies in the world to send this information to. If the deal is going on in April, selling the internal shares to WAM clients, I'm just not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	-00- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -00- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259. Counsel, we're starting about five minutes late. It is totally my fault. I was sitting in my office typing an email to somebody when my judicial assistant tapped on the door and said, "Are you going to go back in?" and I looked up and saw what time it is. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15. As I'm sitting here, I don't know how Mr. Skarpelos knows he needs to contact that agency out of all the other transfer agencies in the world to send this information to. If the deal is going on in April, selling the internal shares to WAM clients, I'm just not quite sure as I sit here. If you want to address that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	-00- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -00- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259. Counsel, we're starting about five minutes late. It is totally my fault. I was sitting in my office typing an email to somebody when my judicial assistant tapped on the door and said, "Are you going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15. As I'm sitting here, I don't know how Mr. Skarpelos knows he needs to contact that agency out of all the other transfer agencies in the world to send this information to. If the deal is going on in April, selling the internal shares to WAM clients, I'm just not quite sure as I sit here. If you want to address that when we come back after lunch MR. NORK: I'll address it when we come back because I'm not sure I can get it in through Mr. Livadas,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	-OO- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -OO- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259. Counsel, we're starting about five minutes late. It is totally my fault. I was sitting in my office typing an email to somebody when my judicial assistant tapped on the door and said, "Are you going to go back in?" and I looked up and saw what time it is. So I apologize if you were waiting here for five minutes because I told you we would start promptly at 1:15, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15. As I'm sitting here, I don't know how Mr. Skarpelos knows he needs to contact that agency out of all the other transfer agencies in the world to send this information to. If the deal is going on in April, selling the internal shares to WAM clients, I'm just not quite sure as I sit here. If you want to address that when we come back after lunch MR. NORK: I'll address it when we come back because I'm not sure I can get it in through Mr. Livadas, but we for sure can get it in through Mr. Skarpelos.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	-OO- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -OO- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259. Counsel, we're starting about five minutes late. It is totally my fault. I was sitting in my office typing an email to somebody when my judicial assistant tapped on the door and said, "Are you going to go back in?" and I looked up and saw what time it is. So I apologize if you were waiting here for five minutes because I told you we would start promptly at 1:15, and now it's about 1:19, so it was totally on me, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15. As I'm sitting here, I don't know how Mr. Skarpelos knows he needs to contact that agency out of all the other transfer agencies in the world to send this information to. If the deal is going on in April, selling the internal shares to WAM clients, I'm just not quite sure as I sit here. If you want to address that when we come back after lunch MR. NORK: I'll address it when we come back because I'm not sure I can get it in through Mr. Livadas,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	-OO- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -OO- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259. Counsel, we're starting about five minutes late. It is totally my fault. I was sitting in my office typing an email to somebody when my judicial assistant tapped on the door and said, "Are you going to go back in?" and I looked up and saw what time it is. So I apologize if you were waiting here for five minutes because I told you we would start promptly at 1:15, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15. As I'm sitting here, I don't know how Mr. Skarpelos knows he needs to contact that agency out of all the other transfer agencies in the world to send this information to. If the deal is going on in April, selling the internal shares to WAM clients, I'm just not quite sure as I sit here. If you want to address that when we come back after lunch MR. NORK: I'll address it when we come back because I'm not sure I can get it in through Mr. Livadas, but we for sure can get it in through Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: Yeah. I just didn't know how he would know to send it off to them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	-oOo- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -oOo- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259. Counsel, we're starting about five minutes late. It is totally my fault. I was sitting in my office typing an email to somebody when my judicial assistant tapped on the door and said, "Are you going to go back in?" and I looked up and saw what time it is. So I apologize if you were waiting here for five minutes because I told you we would start promptly at 1:15, and now it's about 1:19, so it was totally on me, and I apologize to everybody that you were sitting here waiting for me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	-00- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -00- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259. Counsel, we're starting about five minutes late. It is totally my fault. I was sitting in my office typing an email to somebody when my judicial assistant tapped on the door and said, "Are you going to go back in?" and I looked up and saw what time it is. So I apologize if you were waiting here for five minutes because I told you we would start promptly at 1:15, and now it's about 1:19, so it was totally on me, and I apologize to everybody that you were sitting here waiting for me. Mr. Nork, you may continue your direct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	-00- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -00- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259. Counsel, we're starting about five minutes late. It is totally my fault. I was sitting in my office typing an email to somebody when my judicial assistant tapped on the door and said, "Are you going to go back in?" and I looked up and saw what time it is. So I apologize if you were waiting here for five minutes because I told you we would start promptly at 1:15, and now it's about 1:19, so it was totally on me, and I apologize to everybody that you were sitting here waiting for me. Mr. Nork, you may continue your direct examination of Mr. Livadas.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15. As I'm sitting here, I don't know how Mr. Skarpelos knows he needs to contact that agency out of all the other transfer agencies in the world to send this information to. If the deal is going on in April, selling the internal shares to WAM clients, I'm just not quite sure as I sit here. If you want to address that when we come back after lunch MR. NORK: I'll address it when we come back because I'm not sure I can get it in through Mr. Livadas, but we for sure can get it in through Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: Yeah. I just didn't know how he would know to send it off to them. So, Counsel, we will be in recess until approximately 1:15, and at that point we will continue with direct examination.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	-00- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -00- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259. Counsel, we're starting about five minutes late. It is totally my fault. I was sitting in my office typing an email to somebody when my judicial assistant tapped on the door and said, "Are you going to go back in?" and I looked up and saw what time it is. So I apologize if you were waiting here for five minutes because I told you we would start promptly at 1:15, and now it's about 1:19, so it was totally on me, and I apologize to everybody that you were sitting here waiting for me. Mr. Nork, you may continue your direct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15. As I'm sitting here, I don't know how Mr. Skarpelos knows he needs to contact that agency out of all the other transfer agencies in the world to send this information to. If the deal is going on in April, selling the internal shares to WAM clients, I'm just not quite sure as I sit here. If you want to address that when we come back after lunch MR. NORK: I'll address it when we come back because I'm not sure I can get it in through Mr. Livadas, but we for sure can get it in through Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: Yeah. I just didn't know how he would know to send it off to them. So, Counsel, we will be in recess until approximately 1:15, and at that point we will continue with direct examination. Court is in recess.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	-00- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -00- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259. Counsel, we're starting about five minutes late. It is totally my fault. I was sitting in my office typing an email to somebody when my judicial assistant tapped on the door and said, "Are you going to go back in?" and I looked up and saw what time it is. So I apologize if you were waiting here for five minutes because I told you we would start promptly at 1:15, and now it's about 1:19, so it was totally on me, and I apologize to everybody that you were sitting here waiting for me. Mr. Nork, you may continue your direct examination of Mr. Livadas. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15. As I'm sitting here, I don't know how Mr. Skarpelos knows he needs to contact that agency out of all the other transfer agencies in the world to send this information to. If the deal is going on in April, selling the internal shares to WAM clients, I'm just not quite sure as I sit here. If you want to address that when we come back after lunch MR. NORK: I'll address it when we come back because I'm not sure I can get it in through Mr. Livadas, but we for sure can get it in through Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: Yeah. I just didn't know how he would know to send it off to them. So, Counsel, we will be in recess until approximately 1:15, and at that point we will continue with direct examination.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	-00- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -00- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259. Counsel, we're starting about five minutes late. It is totally my fault. I was sitting in my office typing an email to somebody when my judicial assistant tapped on the door and said, "Are you going to go back in?" and I looked up and saw what time it is. So I apologize if you were waiting here for five minutes because I told you we would start promptly at 1:15, and now it's about 1:19, so it was totally on me, and I apologize to everybody that you were sitting here waiting for me. Mr. Nork, you may continue your direct examination of Mr. Livadas. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15. As I'm sitting here, I don't know how Mr. Skarpelos knows he needs to contact that agency out of all the other transfer agencies in the world to send this information to. If the deal is going on in April, selling the internal shares to WAM clients, I'm just not quite sure as I sit here. If you want to address that when we come back after lunch MR. NORK: I'll address it when we come back because I'm not sure I can get it in through Mr. Livadas, but we for sure can get it in through Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: Yeah. I just didn't know how he would know to send it off to them. So, Counsel, we will be in recess until approximately 1:15, and at that point we will continue with direct examination. Court is in recess.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	-00- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -00- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259. Counsel, we're starting about five minutes late. It is totally my fault. I was sitting in my office typing an email to somebody when my judicial assistant tapped on the door and said, "Are you going to go back in?" and I looked up and saw what time it is. So I apologize if you were waiting here for five minutes because I told you we would start promptly at 1:15, and now it's about 1:19, so it was totally on me, and I apologize to everybody that you were sitting here waiting for me. Mr. Nork, you may continue your direct examination of Mr. Livadas. DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>contact between Mr. Skarpelos and Nevada Agency and Transfer Company regarding the Indemnity in 13, the lost stock certificates in 14, and then the Stop Transfer in 15.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	-00- RENO, NEVADA; MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2019; 1:20 P.M. -00- THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser, et al., CV15-02259. Counsel, we're starting about five minutes late. It is totally my fault. I was sitting in my office typing an email to somebody when my judicial assistant tapped on the door and said, "Are you going to go back in?" and I looked up and saw what time it is. So I apologize if you were waiting here for five minutes because I told you we would start promptly at 1:15, and now it's about 1:19, so it was totally on me, and I apologize to everybody that you were sitting here waiting for me. Mr. Nork, you may continue your direct examination of Mr. Livadas. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor.

	Page 166		Page 167
1	where we left off just before our lunch break, and a	1	Q And you also testified that the sale to the
2	question was asked by the Court.	2	non-WAM Chinese investors did not go through; correct?
3	What is the connection between Anavex and	3	A Correct.
4	NATCO? Can you explain that for the Court?	4	Q What was the purpose for completing and filling
5	A NATCO is Anavex's transfer agent, and they're	5	out this document?
6	the ones responsible for managing and handling all the	6	A It was completed to be put into the file,
7	issuances of share certificates.	7	particularly for AML, anti-money laundering purposes, as
8	THE COURT: For all cases, that's the only	8	I mentioned. So it closes up the file and the
9	people you use?	9	transaction, and it's mostly for AML purposes.
10	THE WITNESS: For Anavex. That's their	10	Q And for anti-money laundering purposes, who
11	assigned transfer agent.	11	would be looking for this document?
12	BY MR. NORK:	12	A When the stock is later deposited to prime
13	Q So any time Anavex buys or sells stock, it goes	13	brokers, so after the share certificate becomes
14	through NATCO?	14	electronic and when we put with our main custodian, they
15	A Once they buy or sell, if they're issuing if	15	sometimes ask for these kinds of things. Later, when
16	they're issuing or clearing a certificate, it goes	16	clients want to trade, if they're trading a position
17	through NATCO.	17	which hasn't been purchased from the market, they want to
18	Q Okay. Another topic that came up before the	18	know where the position come from, why did it come from
19	break concerned the stock sale and purchase agreement,	19	and that it's anti-money laundering, so they know you're
20	which is Exhibit 30.	20	not, say, flipping certificates with terrorists and
21	You testified that this stock sale and purchase	21	things like that.
22	agreement was not required for the April 2013 sale of	22	Q Okay. And then we also talked about examples
23	3.3 million shares of stock; correct?	23	in which you received requests from Lambros asking for
24	A Correct.	24	you to you received requests from Lambros, and as a
	Page 168		Page 169
1	result of those requests, you transferred money out of	1	buyer and the seller; correct?
I -	resure or chose requests, you cransrerred money out or	±	buyer and the serier, correct?
2	Tom's WAM account to various other banks?	2	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again.
			-
2	Tom's WAM account to various other banks?	2	- THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again.
2 3	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct.	2 3	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my
2 3 4	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what
2 3 4 5	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012 excuse me December 2012, April 2013, May of 2013, is	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying.
2 3 4 5 6	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012 excuse me December 2012, April 2013, May of 2013, is what we've seen so far.	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so
2 3 4 5 6 7	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012 excuse me December 2012, April 2013, May of 2013, is what we've seen so far. Other than money coming out of Tom's WAM	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012 excuse me December 2012, April 2013, May of 2013, is what we've seen so far. Other than money coming out of Tom's WAM account and into some designated account, is there any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to dematerialize
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012 excuse me December 2012, April 2013, May of 2013, is what we've seen so far. Other than money coming out of Tom's WAM account and into some designated account, is there any other explanation that you can think of for why Lambros	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to dematerialize THE WITNESS: It's irrelevant on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012 excuse me December 2012, April 2013, May of 2013, is what we've seen so far. Other than money coming out of Tom's WAM account and into some designated account, is there any other explanation that you can think of for why Lambros would be making these requests of you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to dematerialize THE WITNESS: It's irrelevant on the transaction side. It needs to become electronic so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012 excuse me December 2012, April 2013, May of 2013, is what we've seen so far. Other than money coming out of Tom's WAM account and into some designated account, is there any other explanation that you can think of for why Lambros would be making these requests of you? A No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to dematerialize THE WITNESS: It's irrelevant on the transaction side. It needs to become electronic so anybody so anybody can deal with it after, to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012 excuse me December 2012, April 2013, May of 2013, is what we've seen so far. Other than money coming out of Tom's WAM account and into some designated account, is there any other explanation that you can think of for why Lambros would be making these requests of you? A No. Q Then we also talked about your efforts to start	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to dematerialize THE WITNESS: It's irrelevant on the transaction side. It needs to become electronic so anybody so anybody can deal with it after, to eventually trade it on the public market. So it's like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012 excuse me December 2012, April 2013, May of 2013, is what we've seen so far. Other than money coming out of Tom's WAM account and into some designated account, is there any other explanation that you can think of for why Lambros would be making these requests of you? A No. Q Then we also talked about your efforts to start dematerializing the stock.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to dematerialize THE WITNESS: It's irrelevant on the transaction side. It needs to become electronic so anybody so anybody can deal with it after, to eventually trade it on the public market. So it's like paper cash. If you have paper cash, you take the paper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012 excuse me December 2012, April 2013, May of 2013, is what we've seen so far. Other than money coming out of Tom's WAM account and into some designated account, is there any other explanation that you can think of for why Lambros would be making these requests of you? A No. Q Then we also talked about your efforts to start dematerializing the stock. Can you remind the Court what dematerializing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to dematerialize THE WITNESS: It's irrelevant on the transaction side. It needs to become electronic so anybody so anybody can deal with it after, to eventually trade it on the public market. So it's like paper cash. If you have paper cash, you take the paper cash to the bank, they turn it into electronic so that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012 excuse me December 2012, April 2013, May of 2013, is what we've seen so far. Other than money coming out of Tom's WAM account and into some designated account, is there any other explanation that you can think of for why Lambros would be making these requests of you? A No. Q Then we also talked about your efforts to start dematerializing the stock. Can you remind the Court what dematerializing is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to dematerialize THE WITNESS: It's irrelevant on the transaction side. It needs to become electronic so anybody so anybody can deal with it after, to eventually trade it on the public market. So it's like paper cash. If you have paper cash, you take the paper cash to the bank, they turn it into electronic so that you can then transact on it with other people not in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012 excuse me December 2012, April 2013, May of 2013, is what we've seen so far. Other than money coming out of Tom's WAM account and into some designated account, is there any other explanation that you can think of for why Lambros would be making these requests of you? A No. Q Then we also talked about your efforts to start dematerializing the stock. Can you remind the Court what dematerializing is? A That's when we take the paper certificate and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to dematerialize THE WITNESS: It's irrelevant on the transaction side. It needs to become electronic so anybody so anybody can deal with it after, to eventually trade it on the public market. So it's like paper cash. If you have paper cash, you take the paper cash to the bank, they turn it into electronic so that you can then transact on it with other people not in cash. It has to become electronic.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012 excuse me December 2012, April 2013, May of 2013, is what we've seen so far. Other than money coming out of Tom's WAM account and into some designated account, is there any other explanation that you can think of for why Lambros would be making these requests of you? A No. Q Then we also talked about your efforts to start dematerializing the stock. Can you remind the Court what dematerializing is? A That's when we take the paper certificate and make it into electronic form so it can go to the central	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to dematerialize THE WITNESS: It's irrelevant on the transaction side. It needs to become electronic so anybody so anybody can deal with it after, to eventually trade it on the public market. So it's like paper cash. If you have paper cash, you take the paper cash to the bank, they turn it into electronic so that you can then transact on it with other people not in cash. It has to become electronic. BY MR. NORK:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012 excuse me December 2012, April 2013, May of 2013, is what we've seen so far. Other than money coming out of Tom's WAM account and into some designated account, is there any other explanation that you can think of for why Lambros would be making these requests of you? A No. Q Then we also talked about your efforts to start dematerializing the stock. Can you remind the Court what dematerializing is? A That's when we take the paper certificate and make it into electronic form so it can go to the central depository.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to dematerialize THE WITNESS: It's irrelevant on the transaction side. It needs to become electronic so anybody so anybody can deal with it after, to eventually trade it on the public market. So it's like paper cash. If you have paper cash, you take the paper cash to the bank, they turn it into electronic so that you can then transact on it with other people not in cash. It has to become electronic. BY MR. NORK: Q So I guess more to the Court's question, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Tom's WAM account to various other banks?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to dematerialize THE WITNESS: It's irrelevant on the transaction side. It needs to become electronic so anybody so anybody can deal with it after, to eventually trade it on the public market. So it's like paper cash. If you have paper cash, you take the paper cash to the bank, they turn it into electronic so that you can then transact on it with other people not in cash. It has to become electronic. BY MR. NORK: Q So I guess more to the Court's question, the actual transaction in April 2013 did not require
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Tom's WAM account to various other banks? A Correct. Q And these requests took place in April 2012 excuse me December 2012, April 2013, May of 2013, is what we've seen so far. Other than money coming out of Tom's WAM account and into some designated account, is there any other explanation that you can think of for why Lambros would be making these requests of you? A No. Q Then we also talked about your efforts to start dematerializing the stock. Can you remind the Court what dematerializing is? A That's when we take the paper certificate and make it into electronic form so it can go to the central depository. Q And that's where we have to wait the six months right? during the restricted period?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to dematerialize THE WITNESS: It's irrelevant on the transaction side. It needs to become electronic so anybody so anybody can deal with it after, to eventually trade it on the public market. So it's like paper cash. If you have paper cash, you take the paper cash to the bank, they turn it into electronic so that you can then transact on it with other people not in cash. It has to become electronic. EY MR. NORK: Q So I guess more to the Court's question, the actual transaction in April 2013 did not require dematerialization?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Tom's WAM account to various other banks?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to dematerialize THE WITNESS: It's irrelevant on the transaction side. It needs to become electronic so anybody so anybody can deal with it after, to eventually trade it on the public market. So it's like paper cash. If you have paper cash, you take the paper cash to the bank, they turn it into electronic so that you can then transact on it with other people not in cash. It has to become electronic. BY MR. NORK: Q So I guess more to the Court's question, the actual transaction in April 2013 did not require dematerialization? A Right. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Tom's WAM account to various other banks?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Ask the question again. THE COURT: Maybe I should go back through my notes and look again to make sure I understand what you're saying. If it's a WAM buyer and a WAM seller, so they're both your customers, do you need to dematerialize THE WITNESS: It's irrelevant on the transaction side. It needs to become electronic so anybody so anybody can deal with it after, to eventually trade it on the public market. So it's like paper cash. If you have paper cash, you take the paper cash to the bank, they turn it into electronic so that you can then transact on it with other people not in cash. It has to become electronic. BY MR. NORK: Q So I guess more to the Court's question, the actual transaction in April 2013 did not require dematerialization? A Right. No. Q But after the restriction period expires, if

1 right? It needs to be converted into electronic groots; 1 bold on it in 2014, I began to ask questions [] water 2 correct? 1 bold on it in 2014, I began to ask questions [] water 3 A If he widthes to sell it on the public market. 0 char, And that's what dematerialising is; 5 correct. 0 A Correct. 5 6 A Correct. 0 M wate it on a little bit book and forth for a few on the states at to any there? 1 0 day, And you began to undertable that process. 9 Wate do you mean by that? 11 0 day, And you began to undertable that process. 10 0 day, And you began to undertable that process. 12 a correct. 0 0 Wate do you mean by that? 13 base to correct? 0 0 Wate do you mean by that? 14 A forp rever ask it be dematerialized or they ould the seming of down that hard been prepared it 0 0 14 A forp rever. 10 0 A for procer ask it be dematerialized or the seming of down that hard been prepared it 15 A Correct. 10 0 A fore procer ask it b		Page 170		Page 171
3 A If he wishes to sell it on the public market. 3 really have Tow's mumber set that time, and mostly it was 4 0 Gay. And that's what dematerializing is; 3 really have Tow's mumber set that time, and mostly it was 5 correct. 0 A Three signals and the set of the shares. 9 10 A Yeah. 10 A Tob a signal back corrigination to the shares. 9 11 0 Gay. And you begen to undertake that process, so you settified, in the 2013, seriy 2014, and you have set brough the Affidawic of toot 10 A Tob a cative, it he shares. 12 as you testified, in that 2013, seriy 2014, and you have set back to be dematerialised or they could the seall it? 13 head of the sease to a back of the sease to you and axis of the sease the price started to go up in 2015. 1 the limit the stock, and they were indicating they are started the market, with then the sease and in the sease are indicating they are able were to you have to sell. So the sease the price started to go up in 2015. 2 the climit a show of the sease are indicating they are started creating at the able at the stow and they were started the sease are indicating they are axis w	1		1	
4 usually through Lambros. So I usan't setting claser 5 correct? 6 6 A Correct. 7 7 Q It turns a physical stock certificate into the set of the degen and the set of the dege	2	correct?	2	trying to get ahold of Lambros and Tom, but I didn't
5 correct? 5 responses from Lambros as to why there's a hold on it, 6 A Correct. 6 a that the time there was no rush to nobody 8 electronic equivalant, which allows sense or all of it to 7 0 It turns a physical stock certificate into the 8 electronic equivalant, which allows sense or all of it to 8 9 Wast do you near by that? 10 A Yesh. 0 Correct. 9 0 Wast do you near by that? 11 0 Okay. And you began to understate that process. 11 0 0 Kast do you near by that? 12 as you testified, in late 2013, early 2014, and you 11 0 0 Kast do you near by that? 13 14 Correct. 15 A Correct. 16 0 And we went through the Affidwit of Lost 14 A Correct. 17 0 Stat noo of then came to you anking of the mesing 15 A Correct. 17 0 Stat noo of then came to you anking of the mesing 16 O and we went through the Affidwit of Lost 17 0 Stat noo of then came to you anking of the 17 Correct. 0 A did that c	3	A If he wishes to sell it on the public market.	3	really have Tom's number at that time, and mostly it was
6 A Correct. 6 and this went on a little bit back and forth for a few method, and at that time there was no with the - mobody was asking to be active on the shares. 9 be sold in the open market? 9 Q Wast do you mean by that? 10 A Yeah. 10 A Terme. 9 Q Wast do you mean by that? 11 C Okey. And you hogen to undertake that process, and this went on a little bit back and forth for a few was asking for the starts on the above. 9 Q Wast do you mean by that? 11 C Okey. And you hogen to undertake that process, and that time there was no that common the example of you asking for the starts is a comparing to you asking for the starts of the open work had acquired the start	4	Q Okay. And that's what dematerializing is;	4	usually through Lambros. So I wasn't getting clear
7 Q It turns a physical stock certificate into the 8 7 months, and at that time there was no rush to molody 8 8 electronic equivalent, which allows seme or all of it to 9 9 Q Must do you many that? 10 A Yeah. 10 A Yeah. 10 11 Q Kay. And you began to undertake that proceeds a you testified. In late 0213, early 2014, and you 13 10 Q Kay. So none of the buyers who had aquited the stock in April 1031 were coming to you aking for the 13 13 Certificate 73; correct? 10 A They never sak it he dematerialized. A client 14 A first never caning to you aking for the 15 14 Certificate and related documents that had been prepared 16 0 But nose of the norme can to you and said, "I want 16 15 A Correct. 10 A bes. 0 But nose of the norme can to you asking of the 10 16 O The next example of you taking efforts to 20 Q And did that change? 11 A Bo. 17 Cerrect. 10 A Stores? 2 Q And did that change? 18 ourgany and the stock, and they were indicating they 20 A Clients started asking about the status of the 20 2 14 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 20 A Clients started aski	5	correct?	5	responses from Lambros as to why there's a hold on it,
8 electronic equivaler, which allows some or all of it to 9 Was asking to be active on the shares. 9 be sold in the open market? 9 Was asking to be active on the shares. 11 0 Okay. And you began to undertake that process. 9 0 Was asking to be active on the shares. 12 as you testified, in late 2013, early 2014, and you 10 A They never ask it be dematerialized to buy ousking for the 13 learned there was a hold or something concerning Stock 11 Q Okay. So once of the buyers who had acquired 14 Certificate 753; correct? 14 A finy never ask it be dematerialized. A climits and related dooment that had been prepared 15 A correct. 10 Q fat nose of the same so oy ou and said, "I want 16 Q The next excepted from that delay? 10 A transmit the stock. 10 20 The next excepted from the stock. 20 Q and what happend in 2015. 21 21 correct. 10 Q and what happend in 2015. 22 A Correct. 10 23 A Correct. 20 Q and what happend in 2015. 21 A trease 10 24	6	A Correct.	6	and this went on a little bit back and forth for a few
9 be sold in the open market? 9 Q Mat do you nean by that? 10 A Yesh. 10 A Yesh. 11 Q Gwy. Nord you began to undertake that process, 10 A To be active, to want to cell them. 12 as you testified, in late 2013, early 2014, and you 12 the stock in April 2013 were coming to you asking for the 13 learned there was a hold or something concerning Stock 13 estock to be dematerialized as they could them sell it? 14 Correct. 15 a Correct. 16 of dematerialized as they could them sell it? 15 A Correct. 19 A No. 0 Dat none of them came to you and said, "I want 16 O Ard we want through the Affidavit of Lost 10 O W Mo. Sarpelos: correct? 10 No. 17 Certext. 10 A No. 20 Q And what happened in 2015. 21 A No. 21 A Correct. 10 A way. Weiser has to also	7	Q It turns a physical stock certificate into the	7	months, and at that time there was no rush to nobody
10 A Yesh. 10 A Tobe active, to want to sell them. 11 Q Ckey. And you began to undertake that process. 0 Ckey. So none of the hypers who had acquired 12 as you testified, in late 2013, early 2014, and you 11 Q Ckey. So none of the hypers who had acquired 12 learned there was a hold or something concerning stock 11 0 Ckey. So none of the hypers who had acquired 13 learned there was a hold or something concerning stock 13 the stock in April 2013 ware coming to you asking for the maning 14 A Top newer ask it be dematerialised. A client only asks to transact. They don't understand the maning 15 A Correct. 10 A Bot once of the scock i bought? 16 Q The next comple of you taking efforts to 20 Q And duit that change? 11 company and the stock, and they were indicating they 2 A Correct. 20 Q Ad duit that change? 12 company and the stock, and they were indicating they 2 A Clients started asking about the status 1 14 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 2 A Client says ell, we have to sell. So When a 15 otokin in the stock i April 2015 that ceased the 2 A Client	8	electronic equivalent, which allows some or all of it to	8	was asking to be active on the shares.
11 Q Okay. And you began to undertake that process, 11 Q Okay. So none of the bayers who had acquired 12 as you testified, in late 2013, early 2014, anyou 12 the stock in April 2013 were coming to you asking for the 13 learned there was a hold or something concerning Stock 14 A They never ask it be dematerialized. A client 15 A Correct. 15 A They never ask it be dematerialized. A client 16 Q And we want through the Affidavit of Lost 17 Q But one of them came to you and said, "I want 18 by Mr. Starpelos; correct? 18 to now sell the stock I bought? 19 A Ourrect. 0 Q And we want through the Affidavit of Lost 10 Or for host example of you taking efforts to 0 Q And what happened in 2015. 11 There was a hold on it, was not until later in 2015. 2 Q And what happened in 2015 that caused the 12 wata is your explanation for that delay? 2 A Clients started asking about the status of the 12 company and the stock, and they were infinitating for the 1 way. Weiser has to also or Weiser can sell short in 13 eonpany. And do you have an understanding of way 4 the clients showed an increased interest	9	be sold in the open market?	9	Q What do you mean by that?
12 as you testified, in late 2013, early 2014, and you 12 the stock in April 2013 were coming to you asking for the 13 learned there was a hold or something concerning Stock 13 stock to be dematerialized or you asking for the 14 Ourreit. Ourreit. 14 and you went through the Affidavit of Lost 15 al Correct. 14 and you ask to transact. They don't understand the meaning 15 A Correct. 16 of dematerializing. 17 Q But none of then came to you and said, "I want 16 O The next example of you taking efforts to 19 A No. 20 Q Add dthat change? 12 there was a hold on it, was not until later in 2015. 20 Q Add what happened in 2015 that caused the 21 company and the stock, and they were indicating they 24 A Clients started asking about the status of the 21 company and the stock, and they were indicating they 1 way, Weiser has to also or Weiser can sell short in 21 company and the stock, and they were indicating they 1 way, Weiser has to also or Weiser can sup all short in 21 company and the stock, and they were indicating they 1 way, Weiser has to also or Weiser can sup Page 173	10	A Yeah.	10	A To be active, to want to sell them.
13 learned there was a hold or something concerning Stock 13 secok to be dematerialized so they could them sell it? 14 Certificate 753, correct? 14 A may never ask it be dematerialized. A client. 15 A dorrect. 15 Certificate and related domments that had been prepared 16 of dematerialized. Certificate and related domments that had been prepared 16 0 And we went through the Affidavit of Lost Certerialize. 17 Q But none of them came to you and said, "I want 13 by Mr. Skarpelos; correct? 19 A Correct. 10 Q And did that change? 20 Q The next example of you taking efforts to 20 Q And did that change? 21 A Yes. Then it changed in 2015. 21 dematerialize to 10 ord materialize to also was not until later in 2015. 22 Q Accrect. 20 Q And that happened in 2015 that caused the 23 wated to become active in the stock. 20 Q And what happened in 2015 that caused the 3 Q Ckay. And do you have an understanding of twy 1 way. Weiser has to also or Weiser can sup 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 10 wexp. Weis	11	Q Okay. And you began to undertake that process,	11	Q Okay. So none of the buyers who had acquired
14 Certificate 753; correct? 14 A They never ask it be dematerialized. A client 15 A Correct. 15 of dematerialized documents that had been prepared 16 of dematerializing. 17 Q but we went through the Affidavit of Lost 16 of dematerialize and related documents that had been prepared 17 Q but none of them came to you and said, "I want 18 by Mr. Skarpelos; correct? 19 A No. 20 Q had did that change? 20 dematerialize the stock, notwithstanding your balief that 21 A Yes. Then it changed in 2015. 21 there was a hold on it, was not until later in 2015. 20 Q and what happened in 2015 that caused the 22 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 21 A Clients started asking about the status of the 23 Maat is your explanation for that Galay? 24 A Clients started asking about the status of the 24 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 21 wasy. Weiser has to also or Weiser can sell short in 2 Correct in 2015? 1 way. Weiser has to also or Weiser can sell short in 2 A Because the price started to go up in 2015. 1 way. Weiser has to credit tharcocab to the or derist.	12	as you testified, in late 2013, early 2014, and you	12	the stock in April 2013 were coming to you asking for the
15 A Correct. 15 only asks to transact. They don't understand the meaning of dematerializing. 16 Q And we went through the Affidavit of Lost. 17 Q But noce of them came to you and said, "I went to get and what happened in 2015 that caused the converting of dematerializing. 17 Q The next example of you taking efforts to 21 Q And with the stock in obvittstanding your belief that that is your explanation for that delay? 20 Q And what happened in 2015 that caused the cause the price started to go up in 2015. Q And what happened in 2015 that caused the caused the caused the caused the cause the price started to go up in 2015. 16 Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put for any up the two myself but WAM. It starts 10 way. Weiser charket and has to also give the cause it a clean request to is shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has to also cause it a clean request to is shares. And it cause is a clean request to is shares. And it cause the cleant. 8 THE CORFT. Our I mus analysis, the example is allow the is allow of you just if the account let's say I think I've bought the is alread. 17 Q So if a buyer of haways stock mov. 16 THE CORFT. Our I've is allow and it have 10 16 18 Weiser and the shares aren't there, Weiser has to also cause i look like that trade has tak	13	learned there was a hold or something concerning Stock	13	stock to be dematerialized so they could them sell it?
16 Q And we went through the Affidavit of Lost 16 of denaterializing. 17 Certificate and related documents that had been prepared 17 Q But none of them came to you and said, "I want 18 by Mr. Skarpelos; correct? 19 A No. 20 Q The next example of you taking efforts to 20 Q And did that change? 21 dematerialize the stock, not which the adday? A Ves. Then it changed in 2015. 24 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 22 25 what is your explanation for that delay? 24 26 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 22 27 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 24 28 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 24 29 wate to become active in the stock. 24 30 Q Kay. And do you have an understanding of way 4 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 5 5 a Keall, it started it started creating 1 6 A Because the price started in ogo up in 2015. 2 7 Q Kay. And what kind of a position did that puthe 7 <td< td=""><th>14</th><td>Certificate 753; correct?</td><th>14</th><td>A They never ask it be dematerialized. A client</td></td<>	14	Certificate 753; correct?	14	A They never ask it be dematerialized. A client
16 Q And we went through the Affidavit of Lost 16 of denaterializing. 17 Certificate and related documents that had been prepared 17 Q But none of them came to you and said, "I want 18 by Mr. Skarpelos; correct? 19 A No. 20 Q The next example of you taking efforts to 20 Q And did that change? 21 dematerialize the stock, not which the adday? A Ves. Then it changed in 2015. 24 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 22 25 what is your explanation for that delay? 24 26 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 22 27 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 24 28 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 24 29 wate to become active in the stock. 24 30 Q Kay. And do you have an understanding of way 4 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 5 5 a Keall, it started it started creating 1 6 A Because the price started in ogo up in 2015. 2 7 Q Kay. And what kind of a position did that puthe 7 <td< td=""><th>15</th><td>A Correct.</td><th>15</th><td>only asks to transact. They don't understand the meaning</td></td<>	15	A Correct.	15	only asks to transact. They don't understand the meaning
18 by Mr. Skarpelos; correct? 18 to now sell the stock I bought? 19 A Correct. 19 A No. 20 Q The next example of you taking efforts to 20 Q And did that change? 21 demeterialize the stock, notwithstanding your belief that 21 A Yes. Then it changed in 2015. 23 What is your explanation for that delay? 24 A Correct So once we discovered there was a 24 A Correct So once we discovered there was a 24 A Clients started asking about the status of the 2 a Correct So once we discovered there was a 24 A Clients started asking about the status of the 2 wanted to becone active in the stock. Page 172 way, Weiser has to also or Weiser can sell short in 3 Q Okay. And do you have an understanding of why 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 5 5 stock in 2015? G okay. And what kind of a position did that put 3 we call a short position in the market, which then 4 increases its risk substantially as well. So when a 5 client says sell, we have to all. So Wieser ands up 6 A Because the price started treasting 9	16	Q And we went through the Affidavit of Lost	16	
19 A Correct. 19 A No. 20 Q The next example of you taking efforts to 20 Q And did that change? 21 dematerialize the stock, notwithstanding your balief that A Yes. Then it changed in 2015. 21 there was a hold on it, was not until later in 2015. Q And what happened in 2015 that caused the 23 Mat is your explanation for that delay? A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 24 24 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 24 A Clients started asking about the status of the 24 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 24 A Clients started asking about the status of the 25 company and the stock, and they were indicating they 1 way, Weiser has to also or Weiser can sell short in 3 Q Okay. And do you have an understanding of why 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 5 client asys sell, we have to sell. So when a 5 A Because the price started to go up in 2015. 6 g going short in the market, which the actient. 6 A Because the price started creating 9 you just gave, would Weiser actually have to go and buy 10	17	Certificate and related documents that had been prepared	17	Q But none of them came to you and said, "I want
20 Q The next example of you taking efforts to 20 Q And did that change? 21 dematerialize the stock, notwithstanding your belief that 22 A Yes. Then it changed in 2015. 23 What is your explanation for that delay? A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 24 A Clients started asking about the status of the 24 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 24 A Clients started asking about the status of the 2 company and the stock, and they were indicating they 1 way, Weiser has to also or Weiser can sell short in 2 wated to become active in the stock. 2 1 way, Weiser has to also or Weiser can sell short in 3 Q Okay. And do you have an understanding of Way 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 4 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 5 client says sell, we have to sell. So Weiser ends up 5 a Stock in 2015? Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put 7 Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put 8 Weiser Capital in? 7 Q Okay. And what kind of a cositin the stock in a sole or you now and I say I'd like to sell 5 9 A Well, it started lit started creating	18	by Mr. Skarpelos; correct?	18	to now sell the stock I bought"?
21 dematerialize the stock, notwithetanding your belief that 21 A Yes. Then it changed in 2015. 23 What is your explanation for that delay? 24 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 24 A Clients started asking about the status of the 24 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a Page 172 Page 172 1 way, Weiser has to also or Weiser can sell short in 3 Q Okay. And do you have an understanding of why 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 3 we call a short position in the market, which then 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 5 client says sell, we have to sell. So Weiser ends up 6 A Because the price started to go up in 2015. Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put 8 THE CORT: Just in your analysis, the example 9 A Well, it started it started creating 9 you just gave, would Weiser actually have to go and buy 10 liabilities for WAM because if a client requests to 12 shares, and I come to you now and I say I'd like to sell 13 shares and the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has 13 them, like would buist that cash to the y're 14 that liability. It has to deliver that sell order and 1	19	A Correct.	19	A No.
22 there was a hold on it, was not until later in 2015. 22 Q And what happened in 2015 that caused the 23 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a Page 172 24 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a Page 172 25 Q And what happened in 2015 that caused the 26 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a Page 172 27 Company and the stock, and they were indicating they Page 172 28 Wast is your explanation for that delay? A 29 Wast is your explanation for that delay? A 20 Company and the stock, and they were indicating they Page 173 2 Wast is your explanation for that delay? A 3 Q Okay. And boyou have an understanding of why the clients showed an increased interest in selling the the increases its risk substantially as well. So when a 5 client says sell, we have to sell. So when a 5 client says sell, we have to sell. So when a 6 A Because the price started to go up in 2015. 7 Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put 7 Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put 10 the shares of Anavex in the market, and has to also give the 1<	20	Q The next example of you taking efforts to	20	Q And did that change?
23 What is your explanation for that delay? 23 change? 24 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 24 A Clients started asking about the status of the 1 company and the stock, and they were indicating they 24 A Clients started asking about the status of the 2 wared to become active in the stock. 24 A Clients started asking about the status of the 3 Q Okay. And do you have an understanding of why 4 the market, which means the brokerage firm is taking what 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 5 the market, which means the brokerage firm is taking what 5 a Because the price started to go up in 2015. 6 going short in the market, which then 6 A Because the price started creating 9 you just gave, would Weiser actually have to go and buy 1 liabilities to Weiser Capital because I'm sorry 10 the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has 1 with liability. It has to deliver that sell order and them, and they're trading at \$100 a share and I have 10 14 that liability. It has to deliver that sell order and them, and they're trading at \$100 a share and I have 10 13 sell the shares and say, "I'd like to sell my stock now,"	21	dematerialize the stock, notwithstanding your belief that	21	A Yes. Then it changed in 2015.
24 A Correct. So once we discovered there was a 24 A Clients started asking about the status of the 1 company and the stock, and they were indicating they watted to become active in the stock. Page 172 2 watted to become active in the stock. way, Weiser has to also or Weiser can sell short in 3 Q Okay. And do you have an understanding of why the clients showed an increased interest in selling the the warket, which means the brokerage firm is taking what 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the the warket, which means the brokerage firm is taking what 5 stock in 2015? G Okay. And what kind of a position did that put 6 A Because the price started to go up in 2015. G Okay. And what kind of a position did that put 7 Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put THE COURT: Just in your analysis, the example 9 A Well, it started it started creating THE COURT: Just in your analysis, the example 10 liabilities for WAM because if a client requests to The shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has 13 sell the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has THE COURT: Couldn't you just put a thousand 17 April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they THE WINNESS: Correct. <t< td=""><th>22</th><td>there was a hold on it, was not until later in 2015.</td><th>22</th><td>Q And what happened in 2015 that caused the</td></t<>	22	there was a hold on it, was not until later in 2015.	22	Q And what happened in 2015 that caused the
Page 1721company and the stock, and they were indicating they2wanted to become active in the stock.3Q Okay. And do you have an understanding of why4the clients showed an increased interest in selling the5stock in 2015?6A Because the price started to go up in 2015.7Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put8Weiser Capital in?9A Well, it started it started creating9A Well, it started it started creating10the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has11with liabilities for WM because if a client requests to12shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has13that cash to the clients.14that cash to the clients.15THE WITNESS: Correct.16Q So if a buyer of Anavex stock who bought it in17April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they18come to Weiser and say, "I'd like to sell my stock now,"	23	What is your explanation for that delay?	23	change?
1 company and the stock, and they were indicating they 1 wax, Weiser has to also or Weiser can sell short in 2 wated to become active in the stock. 2 the market, which means the brokerage firm is taking what 3 Q Okay. And do you have an understanding of why 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 3 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 5 client says sell, we have to sell. So Weiser ends up 6 A Because the price started to go up in 2015. 6 going short in the market and has to also give the 7 Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put 7 client has to credit that cash to the client. 8 Weiser Capital in? 8 THE COURT: Just in your analysis, the example 9 A Well, it started it started creating 9 you just gave, would Weiser actually have to go and buy 10 liabilities for WAM because if a client requests to 12 shares, and I come to you now and I say I'd like to sell 13 sell the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has 13 them, and they're trading at \$100 a share and I have 10 14 that cash to the clients. 15 THE WITNESS: Correct. 16 Q So if a buyer of	24	A Correct. So once we discovered there was a	24	A Clients started asking about the status of the
1 company and the stock, and they were indicating they 1 wax, Weiser has to also or Weiser can sell short in 2 wated to become active in the stock. 2 the market, which means the brokerage firm is taking what 3 Q Okay. And do you have an understanding of why 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 3 4 the clients showed an increased interest in selling the 5 client says sell, we have to sell. So Weiser ends up 6 A Because the price started to go up in 2015. 6 going short in the market and has to also give the 7 Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put 7 client has to credit that cash to the client. 8 Weiser Capital in? 8 THE COURT: Just in your analysis, the example 9 A Well, it started it started creating 9 you just gave, would Weiser actually have to go and buy 10 liabilities for WAM because if a client requests to 12 shares, and I come to you now and I say I'd like to sell 13 sell the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has 13 them, and they're trading at \$100 a share and I have 10 14 that cash to the clients. 15 THE WITNESS: Correct. 16 Q So if a buyer of				
2wanted to become active in the stock.2the market, which means the brokerage firm is taking what3Q Okay. And do you have an understanding of why the clients showed an increased interest in selling the stock in 2015?2the market, which means the brokerage firm is taking what4the clients showed an increased interest in selling the stock in 2015?3we call a short position in the market, which then increases its risk substantially as well. So when a client says sell, we have to sell. So Weiser ends up 67Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put 86going short in the market and has to also give the 78Weiser Capital in?6going short in the market, or could you just 99A Well, it started it started creating 109you just gave, would Weiser actually have to go and buy 1010liabilities for WAM because if a client requests to 138THE COURT: Just in your analysis, the example 913with liability. It has to deliver that sell order and 1411them, and they're trading at \$100 a share and I have 1014that cash to the clients.15THE WITNESS: Correct.16Q So if a buyer of Anavex stock who bought it in 1416THE COURT: Couldn't you just put a thousand dollars into my account? Do you actually have to buy the shares to make it look like that trade has taken place?19right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's options to cover that request?1020A It has to go into the market and buy the shares to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells <th>1</th> <td></td> <th>1</th> <td>5</td>	1		1	5
3Q Okay. And do you have an understanding of why the clients showed an increased interest in selling the stock in 2015?3we call a short position in the market, which then increases its risk substantially as well. So when a client says sell, we have to sell. So Weiser ends up going short in the market and has to also give the client has to credit that cash to the client.7Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put Weiser Capital in?6Because the price started to go up in 2015. q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put liabilities to Weiser Capital because I'm sorry liabilities for WAM because if a client requests to sell the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has liability. It has to deliver that sell order and that clash to the clients.3we call a short position in the market, which then increases its risk substantially as well. So when a client has to credit that cash to the client.8Weiser Capital in? 9A Well, it started it started creating 109You just gave, would Weiser actually have to go and buy the shares of Anavex in the market, or could you just the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has sell the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has that cash to the clients.10the shares.10that cash to the clients.1112Source to weiser and say, "I'd like to sell my stock now," and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell and Weiser at the				-
4the clients showed an increased interest in selling the stock in 2015?4increases its risk substantially as well. So when a client says sell, we have to sell. So Weiser ends up going short in the market and has to also give the client has to credit that cash to the client.7Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put Weiser Capital in?6Because the price started to go up in 2015. (Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put P699A Well, it started it started creating Ilabilities to Weiser Capital because I'm sorry Ilabilities for WAM because if a client requests to sell the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has that cliability. It has to deliver that sell order and that liability. It has to deliver that sell order and that cash to the clients.4increases its risk substantially as well. So Weiser ends up going short in the market and has to also give the client has to credit that cash to the clients.10WAM I mix up the two myself but WAM. It starts with liability. It has to deliver that sell order and that cash to the clients.10the shares of Anavex in the market, or could you just shares.11WAM I mix up the two myself but WAM. It starts that cash to the clients.11credit the account let's say I think I've bought the shares.12with liability. It has to deliver that sell order and that cash to the clients.13them, and they're trading at \$100 a share and I have 1014deliver of Anavex stock who bought it in a come to Weiser and say, "I'd like to sell my stock now," and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell i options to cover that request?14tha				
5stock in 2015?5client says sell, we have to sell. So Weiser ends up6A Because the price started to go up in 2015.6going short in the market and has to also give the7Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put7client has to credit that cash to the client.8Weiser Capital in?8THE COURT: Just in your analysis, the example9A Well, it started it started creating9you just gave, would Weiser actually have to go and buy10liabilities to Weiser Capital because I'm sorry10the shares of Anavex in the market, or could you just11WAM I mix up the two myself but WAM. It starts11credit the account let's say I think I've bought the12with liabilities for WAM because if a client requests to12shares, and I come to you now and I say I'd like to sell13sell the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has13them, and they're trading at \$100 a share and I have 1014that liability. It has to deliver that sell order and14shares.15THE WITNESS: Correct.16THE COURT: Couldn't you just put a thousand17April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they1818come to Weiser and say, "I'd like to sell my stock now,"1819THE WITNESS: We either have to buy them and20right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's2021A It has to go into the market and buy the shares2122A It has to go into the market and buy the shares22 <th></th> <td></td> <th></th> <td>-</td>				-
6A Because the price started to go up in 2015.6going short in the market and has to also give the7Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put7Going short in the market and has to also give the8Weiser Capital in?8THE COURT: Just in your analysis, the example9A Well, it started it started creating9you just gave, would Weiser actually have to go and buy10liabilities to Weiser Capital because I'm sorry10the shares of Anavex in the market, or could you just11WAM I mix up the two myself but WAM. It starts11credit the account let's say I think I've bought the12with liabilities for WAM because if a client requests to12shares, and I come to you now and I say I'd like to sell13sell the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has13them, and they're trading at \$100 a share and I have 1014that cash to the clients.15THE WITNESS: Correct.16Q So if a buyer of Anavex stock who bought it in16THE COURT: Couldn't you just put a thousand17April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they17dollars into my account? Do you actually have to buy the18come to Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell19THE WITNESS: We either have to buy them and20right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's20sell them, like we would buy them so that they're21options to cover that request?21credited to the firm's account, and then sell them so22A It has to go i		•		-
7Q Okay. And what kind of a position did that put7Client has to credit that cash to the client.8Weiser Capital in?8THE COURT: Just in your analysis, the example9A Well, it started it started creating9you just gave, would Weiser actually have to go and buy10liabilities to Weiser Capital because I'm sorry10the shares of Anavex in the market, or could you just11WAM I mix up the two myself but WAM. It starts11credit the account let's say I think I've bought the12with liabilities for WAM because if a client requests to12shares, and I come to you now and I say I'd like to sell13sell the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has13them, and they're trading at \$100 a share and I have 1014that cash to the clients.15THE WITNESS: Correct.16Q So if a buyer of Anavex stock who bought it in16THE COURT: Couldn't you just put a thousand17April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they18shares to make it look like that trade has taken place?19and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell19THE WITNESS: We either have to buy them and20right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's20sell them, like we would buy them so that they're21options to cover that request?21credited to the firm's account, and then sell them so22A It has to go into the market and buy the shares22that there's the matching sell transaction to match the23to then ful				
8Weiser Capital in?8THE COURT: Just in your analysis, the example9A Well, it started it started creating9you just gave, would Weiser actually have to go and buy10liabilities to Weiser Capital because I'm sorry10the shares of Anavex in the market, or could you just11WAM I mix up the two myself but WAM. It starts11credit the account let's say I think I've bought the12with liabilities for WAM because if a client requests to12shares, and I come to you now and I say I'd like to sell13sell the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has13them, and they're trading at \$100 a share and I have 1014that liability. It has to deliver that sell order and14shares.15that cash to the clients.15THE COURT: Couldn't you just put a thousand17April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they17dollars into my account? Do you actually have to buy the18come to Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell19THE WITNESS: We either have to buy them and20right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's20sell them, like we would buy them so that they're21options to cover that request?21credited to the firm's account, and then sell them so22A It has to go into the market and buy the shares22that there's the matching sell transaction to match the23to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells23client. So that's one way.				
9A Well, it started it started creating9you just gave, would Weiser actually have to go and buy10liabilities to Weiser Capital because I'm sorry10the shares of Anavex in the market, or could you just11WAM I mix up the two myself but WAM. It starts11credit the account let's say I think I've bought the12with liabilities for WAM because if a client requests to12shares, and I come to you now and I say I'd like to sell13sell the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has13them, and they're trading at \$100 a share and I have 1014that liability. It has to deliver that sell order and14shares.15that cash to the clients.15THE WITNESS: Correct.16Q So if a buyer of Anavex stock who bought it in16THE COURT: Couldn't you just put a thousand17April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they18shares to make it look like that trade has taken place?19and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell19THE WITNESS: We either have to buy them and20right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's20sell them, like we would buy them so that they're21options to cover that request?20at thas to go into the market and buy the shares2222A It has to go into the market and buy the shares22that there's the matching sell transaction to match the23to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells23client. So that's one way.				
 10 liabilities to Weiser Capital because I'm sorry 10 liabilities to Weiser Capital because I'm sorry 10 the shares of Anavex in the market, or could you just 11 WAM I mix up the two myself but WAM. It starts 12 with liabilities for WAM because if a client requests to 13 sell the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has 14 that liability. It has to deliver that sell order and 15 that cash to the clients. 16 Q So if a buyer of Anavex stock who bought it in 17 April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they 18 come to Weiser and say, "I'd like to sell my stock now," 19 and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell 19 and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell 10 the shares of Anavex in the market, or could you just 10 the shares of Anavex in the market, or could you just 11 credit the account let's say I think I've bought the 12 shares, and I come to you now and I say I'd like to sell 13 them, and they're trading at \$100 a share and I have 10 14 that liability. It has to deliver that sell order and 15 THE WITNESS: Correct. 16 THE COURT: Couldn't you just put a thousand 17 April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they 18 come to Weiser and say, "I'd like to sell my stock now," 18 shares to make it look like that trade has taken place? 19 THE WITNESS: We either have to buy them and 20 right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's 21 options to cover that request? 22 A It has to go into the market and buy the shares 23 to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells 23 to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells 23 to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells 				
11WAM I mix up the two myself but WAM. It starts11credit the account let's say I think I've bought the12with liabilities for WAM because if a client requests to12shares, and I come to you now and I say I'd like to sell13sell the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has13them, and they're trading at \$100 a share and I have 1014that liability. It has to deliver that sell order and14shares.15that cash to the clients.15THE WITNESS: Correct.16Q So if a buyer of Anavex stock who bought it in16THE COURT: Couldn't you just put a thousand17April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they18shares to make it look like that trade has taken place?19and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell19THE WITNESS: We either have to buy them and20right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's20sell them, like we would buy them so that they're21options to cover that request?21credited to the firm's account, and then sell them so22A It has to go into the market and buy the shares23client. So that's one way.				
12with liabilities for WAM because if a client requests to12shares, and I come to you now and I say I'd like to sell13sell the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has13them, and they're trading at \$100 a share and I have 1014that liability. It has to deliver that sell order and14shares.15that cash to the clients.15THE WITNESS: Correct.16Q So if a buyer of Anavex stock who bought it in16THE COURT: Couldn't you just put a thousand17April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they17dollars into my account? Do you actually have to buy the18come to Weiser and say, "I'd like to sell my stock now,"18shares to make it look like that trade has taken place?19and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell19THE WITNESS: We either have to buy them and20right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's20sell them, like we would buy them so that they're21options to cover that request?21credited to the firm's account, and then sell them so22A It has to go into the market and buy the shares22that there's the matching sell transaction to match the23to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells23client. So that's one way.				
 13 sell the shares and the shares aren't there, Weiser has 14 that liability. It has to deliver that sell order and 15 that cash to the clients. 16 Q So if a buyer of Anavex stock who bought it in 17 April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they 18 come to Weiser and say, "I'd like to sell my stock now," 19 and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell 19 and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell 19 and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell 10 right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's 20 A It has to go into the market and buy the shares 21 then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells 23 to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells 23 to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells 23 to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells 24 that sell order. So when the client sells 25 to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells 26 to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells 27 the fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells 28 to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells 29 that there's the matching sell transaction to match the 20 the fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells 20 that there's one way. 				
14that liability. It has to deliver that sell order and that cash to the clients.14shares.15that cash to the clients.15THE WITNESS: Correct.16Q So if a buyer of Anavex stock who bought it in April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they come to Weiser and say, "I'd like to sell my stock now,"16THE COURT: Couldn't you just put a thousand dollars into my account? Do you actually have to buy the shares to make it look like that trade has taken place?19and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's options to cover that request?19THE WITNESS: We either have to buy them and sell them, like we would buy them so that they're credited to the firm's account, and then sell them so22A It has to go into the market and buy the shares 2322that has to go into the market and buy the shares 232324A It he sell order. So when the client sells23client. So that's one way.		-		
15that cash to the clients.15THE WITNESS: Correct.16Q So if a buyer of Anavex stock who bought it in April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they16THE COURT: Couldn't you just put a thousand17April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they come to Weiser and say, "I'd like to sell my stock now,"18there's the time doesn't have the stock to sell19and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell19THE WITNESS: We either have to buy the shares to make it look like that trade has taken place?20right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's options to cover that request?20sell them, like we would buy them so that they're credited to the firm's account, and then sell them so22A It has to go into the market and buy the shares to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells23client. So that's one way.				
16Q So if a buyer of Anavex stock who bought it in16THE COURT: Couldn't you just put a thousand17April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they17dollars into my account? Do you actually have to buy the18come to Weiser and say, "I'd like to sell my stock now,"18shares to make it look like that trade has taken place?19and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell19THE WITNESS: We either have to buy them and20right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's20sell them, like we would buy them so that they're21options to cover that request?21credited to the firm's account, and then sell them so22A It has to go into the market and buy the shares22that there's the matching sell transaction to match the23to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells23client. So that's one way.				
17April 2013, stock value is going up in 2015, and they17dollars into my account? Do you actually have to buy the18come to Weiser and say, "I'd like to sell my stock now,"18shares to make it look like that trade has taken place?19and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell19THE WITNESS: We either have to buy them and20right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's20sell them, like we would buy them so that they're21options to cover that request?21credited to the firm's account, and then sell them so22A It has to go into the market and buy the shares22that there's the matching sell transaction to match the23to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells23client. So that's one way.				
18come to Weiser and say, "I'd like to sell my stock now,"18shares to make it look like that trade has taken place?19and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell19THE WITNESS: We either have to buy them and20right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's20sell them, like we would buy them so that they're21options to cover that request?21credited to the firm's account, and then sell them so22A It has to go into the market and buy the shares22that there's the matching sell transaction to match the23to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells23client. So that's one way.				
19and Weiser at the time doesn't have the stock to sell right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's options to cover that request?19THE WITNESS: We either have to buy them and sell them, like we would buy them so that they're credited to the firm's account, and then sell them so20A It has to go into the market and buy the shares to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells22that there's the matching sell transaction to match the client. So that's one way.				
20right? because there's a hold on it, what are Weiser's options to cover that request?20sell them, like we would buy them so that they're credited to the firm's account, and then sell them so21A It has to go into the market and buy the shares to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells20sell them, like we would buy them so that they're credited to the firm's account, and then sell them so23A It has to go into the market and buy the shares to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells23client. So that's one way.				_
21options to cover that request?21credited to the firm's account, and then sell them so22A It has to go into the market and buy the shares22that there's the matching sell transaction to match the23to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells23client. So that's one way.				
22A It has to go into the market and buy the shares22that there's the matching sell transaction to match the23to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells23client. So that's one way.		-		
23 to then fulfill the sell order. So when the client sells 23 client. So that's one way.				
				-
				-
	24			

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

	Page 174		Page 175
1	sell short into the market, it means you still owe that	1	THE WITNESS: Correct. Yeah. And you can't
2	stock, not necessarily to the client, but you owe it to	2	just credit it because then none of the accounts will
3	the market, and if you owe it to the market, at some	3	balance in the firm. You have to credit it from
4	point you have to pay it back to the market. And when it	4	somewhere. It has to come from something. So the firm's
5	comes time to pay it back, it can range anywhere between	5	accounts will be out of balance. They won't close. They
6	one day to a long period of time. You never know when	6	won't settle.
7	the market will call you to cover your shorts.	7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	THE COURT: There's a whole movie about that.	8	BY MR. NORK:
9	THE WITNESS: And of course, if you go short,	9	Q And did you, in fact, go out in the market and
10	so if that client is wanting to sell at 6, 7, \$8 per	10	buy stock or buy shorts to cover in this case?
11	share and if Weiser goes short at that price, okay, it's	11	A Yes. We did some, yes.
12	short, but if the price goes later to, let's say, \$20,	12	Q Because you had already assured the buyers that
13	then Weiser has even more exposure on the short side.	13	they have owned the stock since April 2013?
14	THE COURT: Because when the call comes up, you	14	A Correct.
15	have to pay it at 20, not 6?	15	THE COURT: But not a total coverage? You
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, you have to go buy it back.	16	bought some of them? You shorted some of the stock?
17	At some point you're either buying it right away and	17	THE WITNESS: Shorted some. Either way we're
18	giving it to the client or you're buying it later to	18	still short. Whichever side we call it on, the firm is
19	cover the short at some point.	19	short.
20	THE COURT: So the big takeaway is, once the	20	BY MR. NORK:
21	client begins to indicate that he or she or it wants to	21	Q Okay. So let's turn, please, to Exhibit 50,
22	sell, you have a potential liability that you can't fix	22	which is already admitted, and even though it's already
23	because either it's fixed at that moment or you have to	23	admitted, there's a lot redacted on the first page.
24	go buy it?	24	I want to focus on the second page, and this is
	Dama 196		- 100
1	Page 176 your email to Nick Boutsalis.	1	Page 177 "Please find the stock certificate and have the
1 2	your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50?	1	"Please find the stock certificate and have the
	your email to Nick Boutsalis.	-	•
2	your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50?	2	"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed."
2 3	your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes.	2	"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed." What was the purpose of this letter?
2 3 4	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay.</pre>	2 3 4	"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed." What was the purpose of this letter? A The purpose of this letter is to instruct to
2 3 4 5	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where</pre>	2 3 4 5	"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed." What was the purpose of this letter? A The purpose of this letter is to instruct to instruct the transfer agent to dematerialize the
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where it says, "Thank you for confirming your office received</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed." What was the purpose of this letter? A The purpose of this letter is to instruct to instruct the transfer agent to dematerialize the certificate and to put it in the custodial account, which
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where it says, "Thank you for confirming your office received original Stock Certificate Number 753, the Stock Power</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed." What was the purpose of this letter? A The purpose of this letter is to instruct to instruct the transfer agent to dematerialize the certificate and to put it in the custodial account, which is which is the same which would be part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed." What was the purpose of this letter? A The purpose of this letter is to instruct to instruct the transfer agent to dematerialize the certificate and to put it in the custodial account, which is which is the same which would be part of the same package that would go with the email that went to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed." What was the purpose of this letter? A The purpose of this letter is to instruct to instruct the transfer agent to dematerialize the certificate and to put it in the custodial account, which is which is the same which would be part of the same package that would go with the email that went to Nick.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where it says, "Thank you for confirming your office received original Stock Certificate Number 753, the Stock Power and a copy of the passport of Athanasios Skarpelos on November 2013 and is in safekeeping. Please confirm receipt of this email and courier these to Anavex."</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed."</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where it says, "Thank you for confirming your office received original Stock Certificate Number 753, the Stock Power and a copy of the passport of Athanasios Skarpelos on November 2013 and is in safekeeping. Please confirm receipt of this email and courier these to Anavex." What is the purpose of this request?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed."</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where it says, "Thank you for confirming your office received original Stock Certificate Number 753, the Stock Power and a copy of the passport of Athanasios Skarpelos on November 2013 and is in safekeeping. Please confirm receipt of this email and courier these to Anavex." What is the purpose of this request? A So the purpose of this request is to put the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed."</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where it says, "Thank you for confirming your office received original Stock Certificate Number 753, the Stock Power and a copy of the passport of Athanasios Skarpelos on November 2013 and is in safekeeping. Please confirm receipt of this email and courier these to Anavex." What is the purpose of this request? A So the purpose of this request is to put the stock certificate in, again, to be cleared or</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed." What was the purpose of this letter? A The purpose of this letter is to instruct to instruct the transfer agent to dematerialize the certificate and to put it in the custodial account, which is which is the same which would be part of the same package that would go with the email that went to Nick. Q Okay. A Because the firm the firm has to give specific account instruction to the transfer agent. Q So this is Weiser telling NATCO where they want</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where it says, "Thank you for confirming your office received original Stock Certificate Number 753, the Stock Power and a copy of the passport of Athanasios Skarpelos on November 2013 and is in safekeeping. Please confirm receipt of this email and courier these to Anavex." What is the purpose of this request? A So the purpose of this request is to put the stock certificate in, again, to be cleared or dematerialized.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed."</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where it says, "Thank you for confirming your office received original Stock Certificate Number 753, the Stock Power and a copy of the passport of Athanasios Skarpelos on November 2013 and is in safekeeping. Please confirm receipt of this email and courier these to Anavex." Mhat is the purpose of this request? A So the purpose of this request is to put the stock certificate in, again, to be cleared or dematerialized. Q And is this at or about the time frame that</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed."</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where it says, "Thank you for confirming your office received original Stock Certificate Number 753, the Stock Power and a copy of the passport of Athanasios Skarpelos on November 2013 and is in safekeeping. Please confirm receipt of this email and courier these to Anavex." What is the purpose of this request? A So the purpose of this request is to put the stock certificate in, again, to be cleared or dematerialized. Q And is this at or about the time frame that some of the April 2013 buyers were asking about selling</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed." What was the purpose of this letter? A The purpose of this letter is to instruct to instruct the transfer agent to dematerialize the certificate and to put it in the custodial account, which is which is the same which would be part of the same package that would go with the email that went to Nick. Q Okay. A Because the firm the firm has to give specific account instruction to the transfer agent. Q So this is Weiser telling NATCO where they want the stock to go? A Correct. Q And that is State Street Bank?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where it says, "Thank you for confirming your office received original Stock Certificate Number 753, the Stock Power and a copy of the passport of Athanasios Skarpelos on November 2013 and is in safekeeping. Please confirm receipt of this email and courier these to Anavex." What is the purpose of this request? A So the purpose of this request is to put the stock certificate in, again, to be cleared or dematerialized. Q And is this at or about the time frame that some of the April 2013 buyers were asking about selling their stock?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed." What was the purpose of this letter? A The purpose of this letter is to instruct to instruct the transfer agent to dematerialize the certificate and to put it in the custodial account, which is which is the same which would be part of the same package that would go with the email that went to Nick. Q Okay. A Because the firm the firm has to give specific account instruction to the transfer agent. Q So this is Weiser telling NATCO where they want the stock to go? A Correct. Q And that is State Street Bank? A Correct. Which is the firm's prime bank.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where it says, "Thank you for confirming your office received original Stock Certificate Number 753, the Stock Power and a copy of the passport of Athanasios Skarpelos on November 2013 and is in safekeeping. Please confirm receipt of this email and courier these to Anavex." What is the purpose of this request? A So the purpose of this request is to put the stock certificate in, again, to be cleared or dematerialized. Q And is this at or about the time frame that some of the April 2013 buyers were asking about selling their stock? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed."</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where it says, "Thank you for confirming your office received original Stock Certificate Number 753, the Stock Power and a copy of the passport of Athanasios Skarpelos on November 2013 and is in safekeeping. Please confirm receipt of this email and courier these to Anavex." What is the purpose of this request? A So the purpose of this request is to put the stock certificate in, again, to be cleared or dematerialized. Q And is this at or about the time frame that some of the April 2013 buyers were asking about selling their stock? A Correct. Q So you asked Nick to send this information to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed." What was the purpose of this letter? A The purpose of this letter is to instruct to instruct the transfer agent to dematerialize the certificate and to put it in the custodial account, which is which is the same which would be part of the same package that would go with the email that went to Nick. Q Okay. A Because the firm the firm has to give specific account instruction to the transfer agent. Q So this is Weiser telling NATCO where they want the stock to go? A Correct. Q And that is State Street Bank? A Correct. Which is the firm's prime bank. Q Turn to Exhibit 54, which has already been admitted into evidence.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where it says, "Thank you for confirming your office received original Stock Certificate Number 753, the Stock Power and a copy of the passport of Athanasios Skarpelos on November 2013 and is in safekeeping. Please confirm receipt of this email and courier these to Anavex." What is the purpose of this request? A So the purpose of this request is to put the stock certificate in, again, to be cleared or dematerialized. Q And is this at or about the time frame that some of the April 2013 buyers were asking about selling their stock? A Correct. Q So you asked Nick to send this information to NATCO?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed."</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where it says, "Thank you for confirming your office received original Stock Certificate Number 753, the Stock Power and a copy of the passport of Athanasios Skarpelos on November 2013 and is in safekeeping. Please confirm receipt of this email and courier these to Anavex." What is the purpose of this request? A So the purpose of this request is to put the stock certificate in, again, to be cleared or dematerialized. Q And is this at or about the time frame that some of the April 2013 buyers were asking about selling their stock? A Correct. Q So you asked Nick to send this information to NATCO? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed."</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>your email to Nick Boutsalis. A Number 50? Q Five zero, yes. A Five zero. Okay. Q And this is your email to Nick Boutsalis where it says, "Thank you for confirming your office received original Stock Certificate Number 753, the Stock Power and a copy of the passport of Athanasios Skarpelos on November 2013 and is in safekeeping. Please confirm receipt of this email and courier these to Anavex." What is the purpose of this request? A So the purpose of this request is to put the stock certificate in, again, to be cleared or dematerialized. Q And is this at or about the time frame that some of the April 2013 buyers were asking about selling their stock? A Correct. Q So you asked Nick to send this information to NATCO? A Correct. Q Turn, please, to Exhibit 48. This has also</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>"Please find the stock certificate and have the restrictive legend removed."</pre>

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

	Page 178		Page 179
1	Q So this is basically Nick doing what you asked	1	A This is an account statement.
2	him to do the day before?	2	Q And it's an account statement for who?
3	A Correct.	3	A For Tom Skarpelos.
4	Q Okay. And submitting all the information to	4	Q And what is the stated date range of this
5	NATCO for the purpose of dematerializing that stock	5	account statement?
6	certificate?	6	A February 1, 2013, to December 31, 2013.
7	A Correct.	7	Q Okay. And when did you receive this document?
8	Q And do you know what the response, if anything,	8	A These were received well, when the firm
و	was to this letter?	9	when I acquired the firm, they were in the files of the
10	A To this one specifically? I don't remember	10	firm, so these were delivered at closing time when the
11	specifically what followed exactly.	11	firm was acquired.
12	Q But they didn't dematerialize the stock, did	12	Q You previously testified about receiving 2013
13	they?	13	closing statements for all 60 to 100 existing WAM
14	A No.	14	customers when you acquired WAM; correct?
15	Q And that's where you became more aware of the	15	A Correct.
16	dispute?	16	Q And is this one of those 2013 closing
17	A Correct.	17	statements?
18	Q Turn, please, to Exhibit 44. Exhibit 44 and	18	A Correct.
19	Exhibit 43 are the same document.	19	Q And this is the 2013 closing statement for
20	Counsel and I have gone back and forth about	20	Mr. Skarpelos; correct?
21	which one is a better copy, and, frankly, I'm still	21	A Correct.
22	undecided, but they're the same document, 43 and 44.	22	Q And you received this and all the others when
23	Let's focus on 44.	23	you acquired WAM; correct?
24	What is this document?	24	A Correct.
	Page 180		Page 181
1	Q And you acquired WAM in 2014; correct?	1	BY MR. NORK:
2	A Correct. At the end of 2013, beginning 2014.	2	Q Did you have to take any corrective actions as
3	Q Okay. As part of your due diligence in	3	a result of the audit of the 2013 records?
4	acquiring WAM, did you become aware of whether or not WAM	4	A No.
5	had been audited for the year 2013?	5	Q This document, Exhibit 44, was maintained with
6	A Yes. WAM was audited in 2014 for 2013.	6	all the other 2013 customer statements; correct?
7	Q Okay. So WAM was audited for 2013 after you	7	A Correct.
8	had owned it?	8	Q And it was part of what you received when you
9	A Correct.	9	acquired WAM?
10	0 And what were the results of that audit?	10	A Correct.
11	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Hearsay.	11	Q And you had access to this was it a box of
12	MR. NORK: He was the owner. He just testified	12	records? A file folder of records?
13	that he was the owner when the audit took place.	13	A My staff pulled this from the records. I
14	MR. ANDERSON: I don't think he testified that	14	didn't qo into the boxes or whatever they are.
15	WAM performed the audit on themselves. I think the	15	Q But you know where they're stored?
	-		
16	testimony would deal with a third party, and the results	16	A Yes. Yes. Yes.
17	produced by that third party are hearsay.	17	Q And do you have any reason to doubt the
18	THE COURT: I think that's true, Mr. Nork.	18	accuracy of this document?
19	I'll sustain the unless that's not accurate, but if it	19	A No.
20	was some outside entity that conducted the audit of the	20	Q Is WAM audited every year?
21	business, then whatever that outside entity said would be	21	A Every year, yes.
22	hearsay.	22	Q And does that audit try to determine whether or
23	MR. NORK: Okay.	23	not there are any accounting errors for the preceding
24	/////	24	year?
24			

—	D 100		D 102
1	Page 182 A That's the main purpose of the audit is to make	1	Page 183 Exhibit 44, falls squarely under the business records
2	sure that all of the firm's accounts and the assets	2	exception rule. Mr. Livadas does not have to be the
3	balance.	3	author of this document. It simply has to be a document
4	Q And have you had to take any corrective action	4	that was prepared in the ordinary course of business,
5	as a result of any audits for any years for WAM?	5	that he knows where it's maintained, that it's a true and
6	A Corrective audits, yes. They find	6	correct copy of the original, and that's exactly what he
7	discrepancies, and then it has to go and be searched why	7	testified to.
8	there's a discrepancy amongst accounts.	8	He acquired this when he acquired WAM. There
9	Q Did you have to do any search like that for the	9	were audits. He indicated he didn't have to take any
10	year 2013?	10	corrective actions, which goes to the accuracy of this
11	A No, not for 2013.	11	document, and if this document doesn't come under the
12	MR. NORK: Okay. Move to admit Exhibit 44.	12	business records exception, I'm not sure what does
13	MR. ANDERSON: A couple of objections, Your	13	because this is exactly what is contemplated. It was in
14	Honor. First of all, I don't think a foundation has been	14	the files, he knows where to access them in the files, he
15	laid that this witness can testify to the contents of	15	owns the company, and I believe for that reason the
16	this document. He testified he acquired ownership in	16	hearsay objection is not applicable.
17	2014, but I think he wasn't involved at all in the	17	MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor
18	preparation of this document or involved at all in the	18	THE COURT: With regard to the business record
19	internal procedures of WAM at that time. I'd also object	19	exception.
20	on hearsay grounds.	20	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. Mr. Livadas
21	THE COURT: Mr. Nork.	21	said that he obtained this document because someone on
22	MR. NORK: Your Honor, as I indicated in my	22	his staff that he didn't identify pulled it from a stack
23	trial statement in anticipation of the very objection	23	of records that apparently exist in a storage unit or
24	that has just arisen, I believe that this document,	24	somewhere with hundreds or perhaps thousands of documents
	Dage 184		Dage 185
1	Page 184 that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was	1	Page 185 Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser
1 2		1 2	
	that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was		Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser
2	that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these	2	Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings?
2 3	that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents.	2 3	<pre>Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4	that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him,	2 3 4	Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your
2 3 4 5	that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him, Mr. Livadas is going to testify he didn't have any	2 3 4 5	Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on
2 3 4 5 6	that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him, Mr. Livadas is going to testify he didn't have any involvement in 2011, '12 or '13 in the internal	2 3 4 5 6	Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day?
2 3 4 5 6 7	that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him, Mr. Livadas is going to testify he didn't have any involvement in 2011, '12 or '13 in the internal operations of WAM. This is a document that somebody	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him, Mr. Livadas is going to testify he didn't have any involvement in 2011, '12 or '13 in the internal operations of WAM. This is a document that somebody found apparently, and he has no idea how it was prepared,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct. Q And you answered my questions truthfully at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him, Mr. Livadas is going to testify he didn't have any involvement in 2011, '12 or '13 in the internal operations of WAM. This is a document that somebody found apparently, and he has no idea how it was prepared, and if Your Honor would give me the opportunity to voir	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct. Q And you answered my questions truthfully at that time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him, Mr. Livadas is going to testify he didn't have any involvement in 2011, '12 or '13 in the internal operations of WAM. This is a document that somebody found apparently, and he has no idea how it was prepared, and if Your Honor would give me the opportunity to voir dire him, I think I can establish that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct. Q And you answered my questions truthfully at that time? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him, Mr. Livadas is going to testify he didn't have any involvement in 2011, '12 or '13 in the internal operations of WAM. This is a document that somebody found apparently, and he has no idea how it was prepared, and if Your Honor would give me the opportunity to voir dire him, I think I can establish that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct. Q And you answered my questions truthfully at that time? A Correct. Q Okay. When you acquired ownership of WAM, that</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him, Mr. Livadas is going to testify he didn't have any involvement in 2011, '12 or '13 in the internal operations of WAM. This is a document that somebody found apparently, and he has no idea how it was prepared, and if Your Honor would give me the opportunity to voir dire him, I think I can establish that. THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct. Q And you answered my questions truthfully at that time? A Correct. Q Okay. When you acquired ownership of WAM, that was December 2014; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him, Mr. Livadas is going to testify he didn't have any involvement in 2011, '12 or '13 in the internal operations of WAM. This is a document that somebody found apparently, and he has no idea how it was prepared, and if Your Honor would give me the opportunity to voir dire him, I think I can establish that. THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct. Q And you answered my questions truthfully at that time? A Correct. Q Okay. When you acquired ownership of WAM, that was December 2014; correct? A '13.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him, Mr. Livadas is going to testify he didn't have any involvement in 2011, '12 or '13 in the internal operations of WAM. This is a document that somebody found apparently, and he has no idea how it was prepared, and if Your Honor would give me the opportunity to voir dire him, I think I can establish that. THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct. Q And you answered my questions truthfully at that time? A Correct. Q Okay. When you acquired ownership of WAM, that was December 2014; correct? A '13. Q Well, didn't you state in your declaration in</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct. Q And you answered my questions truthfully at that time? A Correct. Q Okay. When you acquired ownership of WAM, that was December 2014; correct? A '13. Q Well, didn't you state in your declaration in support of your Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him, Mr. Livadas is going to testify he didn't have any involvement in 2011, '12 or '13 in the internal operations of WAM. This is a document that somebody found apparently, and he has no idea how it was prepared, and if Your Honor would give me the opportunity to voir dire him, I think I can establish that. THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you recall I took your deposition in October of 2018; correct? A Yes. Q And on that day you testified in various</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct. Q And you answered my questions truthfully at that time? A Correct. Q Okay. When you acquired ownership of WAM, that was December 2014; correct? A '13. Q Well, didn't you state in your declaration in support of your Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment that you acquired WAM in December of 2014?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct. Q And you answered my questions truthfully at that time? A Correct. Q Okay. When you acquired ownership of WAM, that was December 2014; correct? A '13. Q Well, didn't you state in your declaration in support of your Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment that you acquired WAM in December of 2014? A I recall it as December 2013.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him, Mr. Livadas is going to testify he didn't have any involvement in 2011, '12 or '13 in the internal operations of WAM. This is a document that somebody found apparently, and he has no idea how it was prepared, and if Your Honor would give me the opportunity to voir dire him, I think I can establish that. THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you recall I took your deposition in October of 2018; correct? A Yes. Q And on that day you testified in various</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct. Q And you answered my questions truthfully at that time? A Correct. Q Okay. When you acquired ownership of WAM, that was December 2014; correct? A '13. Q Well, didn't you state in your declaration in support of your Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment that you acquired WAM in December of 2014? A I recall it as December 2013. Q Okay.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him, Mr. Livadas is going to testify he didn't have any involvement in 2011, '12 or '13 in the internal operations of WAM. This is a document that somebody found apparently, and he has no idea how it was prepared, and if Your Honor would give me the opportunity to voir dire him, I think I can establish that. THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you recall I took your deposition in October of 2018; correct? A Yes. Q And on that day you testified in various capacities. You testified on behalf of Weiser Asset</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct. Q And you answered my questions truthfully at that time? A Correct. Q Okay. When you acquired ownership of WAM, that was December 2014; correct? A '13. Q Well, didn't you state in your declaration in support of your Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment that you acquired WAM in December of 2014? A I recall it as December 2013. Q Okay. A Early 2014. Q So your declaration that you wrote or that you signed in April of 2018 is not accurate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct. Q And you answered my questions truthfully at that time? A Correct. Q Okay. When you acquired ownership of WAM, that was December 2014; correct? A '13. Q Well, didn't you state in your declaration in support of your Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment that you acquired WAM in December of 2014? A I recall it as December 2013. Q Okay. A Early 2014. Q So your declaration that you wrote or that you signed in April of 2018 is not accurate? A In April of 2018?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him, Mr. Livadas is going to testify he didn't have any involvement in 2011, '12 or '13 in the internal operations of WAM. This is a document that somebody found apparently, and he has no idea how it was prepared, and if Your Honor would give me the opportunity to voir dire him, I think I can establish that. THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you recall I took your deposition in October of 2018; correct? A Yes. Q And on that day you testified in various capacities. You testified on behalf of Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct. Q And you answered my questions truthfully at that time? A Correct. Q Okay. When you acquired ownership of WAM, that was December 2014; correct? A '13. Q Well, didn't you state in your declaration in support of your Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment that you acquired WAM in December of 2014? A I recall it as December 2013. Q Okay. A Early 2014. Q So your declaration that you wrote or that you signed in April of 2018 is not accurate? A In April of 2018? THE COURT: Why don't you show it to him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>that WAM left him. He didn't testify that he was involved in the course of preparing any of these documents. If I had an opportunity to voir dire him, Mr. Livadas is going to testify he didn't have any involvement in 2011, '12 or '13 in the internal operations of WAM. This is a document that somebody found apparently, and he has no idea how it was prepared, and if Your Honor would give me the opportunity to voir dire him, I think I can establish that. THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you recall I took your deposition in October of 2018; correct? A Yes. Q And on that day you testified in various capacities. You testified on behalf of Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q You testified on behalf of Weiser Bahamas aka</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Q And you also testified on behalf of Weiser Holdings? A Correct. Q And you agreed with me at the time I took your deposition that you had the knowledge to testify on behalf of the subjects that we talked about that day? A Correct. Q And you answered my questions truthfully at that time? A Correct. Q Okay. When you acquired ownership of WAM, that was December 2014; correct? A '13. Q Well, didn't you state in your declaration in support of your Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment that you acquired WAM in December of 2014? A I recall it as December 2013. Q Okay. A Early 2014. Q So your declaration that you wrote or that you signed in April of 2018 is not accurate? A In April of 2018?</pre>

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

	Page 186		Page 187
1	it marked?	1	Limine; correct?
2	THE COURT: No. If it's a prior inconsistent	2	A Correct.
3	statement well, it's part of the record so I don't	3	Q Okay. And if you turn to the last page, which
4	think we need to mark and admit it as a prior	4	is page 6, that's your signature?
5	inconsistent statement because it is, as I said, part of	5	A That is correct.
6	the record. One moment. Let me pull it up here. I've	6	Q Okay. And you signed it in April 2018?
7	got the whole packet.	7	A Yes.
8	What day is it file-stamped again?	8	Q I'm sorry. I didn't hear you.
9	MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I believe it was	9	A Yes.
10	attached to their Opposition to Motion for Summary	10	Q And right above your signature you say, "I
11	Judgment.	11	declare under penalty of perjury, under the law of the
12	THE COURT: April 12, 2018.	12	State of Nevada and the United States, that the foregoing
13	MR. ANDERSON: I believe so.	13	is true and correct."
14	THE COURT: I've got it right here.	14	A Correct.
15	MR. ANDERSON: May I approach the witness?	15	Q And you had a chance to review this document
16	THE COURT: Yes. Can you tell me what you're	16	prior to your signing it?
17	looking at?	17	A Correct.
18	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. I'll be	18	Q Without telling me what you talked about with
19	referring to paragraph 2.	19	Mr. Nork, you had an opportunity to discuss this with
20	THE COURT: I see. Okay.	20	counsel before it was filed?
21	BY MR. ANDERSON:	21	A Correct.
22	Q Mr. Livadas, you are looking at Declaration of	22	Q And so in paragraph 2 you state that in the
23	Christos Livadas in Support of Weiser's Opposition to	23	last sentence of paragraph 2, "I acquired WAM in
24	Skarpelos's Motion for Summary Judgment and Motion in	24	December 2014 and founded Weiser Capital in May of 2011."
	Dama 100		
1	Page 188	1	Page 189
1	A That's what I recalled at the time.	1	A No.
2	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind?	2	A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when
2 3	A That's what I recalled at the time.Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind?A From what I recall, because I was going through	2 3	A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to
2 3 4	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes	2 3 4	A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser
2 3 4 5	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted	2 3 4 5	A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital?
2 3 4 5 6	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this	2 3 4 5 6	A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents at your deposition; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents at your deposition; correct? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM? A Yes. Q So Weiser Capital would find people like Tom
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents at your deposition; correct? A Correct. Q And you didn't provide those, did you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM? A Yes. Q So Weiser Capital would find people like Tom Skarpelos and bring them to WAM to do business?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents at your deposition; correct? A Correct. Q And you didn't provide those, did you? A Right. So if I'm permitted, I could check.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM? A Yes. Q So Weiser Capital would find people like Tom Skarpelos and bring them to WAM to do business? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents at your deposition; correct? A Correct. Q And you didn't provide those, did you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM? A Yes. Q So Weiser Capital would find people like Tom Skarpelos and bring them to WAM to do business? A Correct. Q But you don't know how WAM kept records prior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents at your deposition; correct? A Correct. Q And you didn't provide those, did you? A Right. So if I'm permitted, I could check. Q I'm asking what you stated under oath in April	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM? A Yes. Q So Weiser Capital would find people like Tom Skarpelos and bring them to WAM to do business? A Correct. Q But you don't know how WAM kept records prior to your acquiring ownership, do you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents at your deposition; correct? A Correct. Q And you didn't provide those, did you? A Right. So if I'm permitted, I could check. Q I'm asking what you stated under oath in April of 2018.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	 A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM? A Yes. Q So Weiser Capital would find people like Tom Skarpelos and bring them to WAM to do business? A Correct. Q But you don't know how WAM kept records prior to your acquiring ownership, do you? A Specifically, no, not in detail.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents at your deposition; correct? A Correct. Q And you didn't provide those, did you? A Right. So if I'm permitted, I could check. Q I'm asking what you stated under oath in April of 2018. A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM? A Yes. Q So Weiser Capital would find people like Tom Skarpelos and bring them to WAM to do business? A Correct. Q But you don't know how WAM kept records prior to your acquiring ownership, do you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents at your deposition; correct? A Correct. Q And you didn't provide those, did you? A Right. So if I'm permitted, I could check. Q I'm asking what you stated under oath in April of 2018. A Correct. Q I'm going to talk about a number of other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM? A Yes. Q So Weiser Capital would find people like Tom Skarpelos and bring them to WAM to do business? A Correct. Q But you don't know how WAM kept records prior to your acquiring ownership, do you? A Specifically, no, not in detail. Q And you don't know what WAM's recordkeeping
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents at your deposition; correct? A Correct. Q And you didn't provide those, did you? A Right. So if I'm permitted, I could check. Q I'm asking what you stated under oath in April of 2018. A Correct. Q I'm going to talk about a number of other things we talked about at the deposition, but you were</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM? A Yes. Q So Weiser Capital would find people like Tom Skarpelos and bring them to WAM to do business? A Correct. Q But you don't know how WAM kept records prior to your acquiring ownership, do you? A Specifically, no, not in detail. Q And you don't know what WAM's recordkeeping process was, do you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents at your deposition; correct? A Correct. Q And you didn't provide those, did you? A Right. So if I'm permitted, I could check. Q I'm asking what you stated under oath in April of 2018. A Correct. Q I'm going to talk about a number of other things we talked about at the deposition, but you were not an employee of WAM prior to your acquiring ownership? A No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM? A Yes. Q So Weiser Capital would find people like Tom Skarpelos and bring them to WAM to do business? A Correct. Q But you don't know how WAM kept records prior to your acquiring ownership, do you? A Specifically, no, not in detail. Q And you don't know what WAM's recordkeeping process was, do you? A No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents at your deposition; correct? A Correct. Q And you didn't provide those, did you? A Right. So if I'm permitted, I could check. Q I'm asking what you stated under oath in April of 2018. A Correct. Q I'm going to talk about a number of other things we talked about at the deposition, but you were not an employee of WAM prior to your acquiring ownership?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM? A Yes. Q So Weiser Capital would find people like Tom Skarpelos and bring them to WAM to do business? A Correct. Q But you don't know how WAM kept records prior to your acquiring ownership, do you? A Specifically, no, not in detail. Q And you don't know what WAM's recordkeeping process was, do you? A No. Q You don't know how WAM tracked and reported on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents at your deposition; correct? A Correct. Q And you didn't provide those, did you? A Right. So if I'm permitted, I could check. Q I'm asking what you stated under oath in April of 2018. A Correct. Q I'm going to talk about a number of other things we talked about at the deposition, but you were not an employee of WAM prior to your acquiring ownership? A No. Q You were not an officer prior to your</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM? A Yes. Q So Weiser Capital would find people like Tom Skarpelos and bring them to WAM to do business? A Correct. Q But you don't know how WAM kept records prior to your acquiring ownership, do you? A Specifically, no, not in detail. Q And you don't know what WAM's recordkeeping process was, do you? A No. Q You don't know how WAM tracked and reported on client transactions from 2011 until December 2014?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents at your deposition; correct? A Correct. Q And you didn't provide those, did you? A Right. So if I'm permitted, I could check. Q I'm asking what you stated under oath in April of 2018. A Correct. Q I'm going to talk about a number of other things we talked about at the deposition, but you were not an employee of WAM prior to your acquiring ownership? A No. Q You were not an officer prior to your ownership?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM? A Yes. Q So Weiser Capital would find people like Tom Skarpelos and bring them to WAM to do business? A Correct. Q But you don't know how WAM kept records prior to your acquiring ownership, do you? A Specifically, no, not in detail. Q And you don't know what WAM's recordkeeping process was, do you? A No. Q You don't know how WAM tracked and reported on client transactions from 2011 until December 2014? A How it tracked?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>A That's what I recalled at the time. Q Okay. So now you're changing your mind? A From what I recall, because I was going through actually my audit yesterday and I saw audit notes yesterday that Weiser Holdings had done had contracted to the purchase in December 2013. I happened to see this as I'm going through an audit process. Q I asked you about those acquisition documents at your deposition; correct? A Correct. Q And you didn't provide those, did you? A Right. So if I'm permitted, I could check. Q I'm asking what you stated under oath in April of 2018. A Correct. Q I'm going to talk about a number of other things we talked about at the deposition, but you were not an employee of WAM prior to your acquiring ownership? A No. Q You were not an officer prior to your ownership? A No.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A No. Q Your only involvement with WAM prior to when you acquired ownership in December 14th, according to your declaration, was basically as the owner of Weiser Capital? A Correct. Q And Weiser Capital's purpose was basically just to find clients for WAM? A Yes. Q So Weiser Capital would find people like Tom Skarpelos and bring them to WAM to do business? A Correct. Q But you don't know how WAM kept records prior to your acquiring ownership, do you? A Specifically, no, not in detail. Q And you don't know what WAM's recordkeeping process was, do you? A No. Q You don't know how WAM tracked and reported on client transactions from 2011 until December 2014? A How it tracked? Q Yes. How they tracked and reported on client

Page 190 Page 191 1 Q You don't know; correct? 1 A No. Transactions -- transaction records for a 2 firm will be there. A firm does not print statements 2 A Correct. 3 Q And WAM doesn't have any statements for 3 every month to keep in paper files. 4 Mr. Skarpelos's accounts for the years 2011 or 2012, does Q Are you saying that there's no obligation under 4 5 it? Bahamas securities regulations for a broker-dealer to 5 6 6 send account statements to the client? A It's supposed to have transaction records. 7 Statements aren't kept for -- a firm does not keep 7 A To send client statements. So if a client 8 requests a statement, then the firm has to put a statements. 8 9 Q Well, your counsel is trying to introduce a 9 statement together. 10 statement right now. How does it not keep statements and Q I just want to make sure I understand this. 10 11 still have a statement? 11 Your testimony is that as a Class I broker-12 A Because the statements were given as part of 12 dealer under Bahamas securities regulations, WAM is not 13 the closing when the firm was going in transition over to obligated to send client statements? 13 14 the new ownership, into our new system. 14 A It's not obligated to send statements, no. 15 Q My question, though, is, it doesn't have any 15 THE COURT: Just to put a fine point on that, 16 statements for Mr. Skarpelos's account for 2011 or '12? are you asking him whether there's an obligation to send 16 17 A Not that I've seen. a regular statement at some fixed time? Monthly? 17 18 Quarterly? Semiannually? Yearly? Is that what you're Q You've had an opportunity to look? 18 19 A Correct. 19 trying to say? 20 Q And they should be there -- correct? -- but 20 THE WITNESS: There's no obligation to send 21 they're not? statements daily, quarterly, monthly. 21 22 22 THE COURT: But is the point that you're trying A No, they shouldn't be there. 23 Q You didn't testify in your deposition that they to make, Mr. Livadas, that if a client asks, we have to 23 24 should be there? 24 send them a statement? Is that what you're saying? Page 192 Page 193 THE WITNESS: Correct. And my point to the Q And I asked you if those should be there, but 1 1 they're not, and what was your answer? 2 records is that a brokerage firm keeps transactions, 2 A Statements should not be there. 3 records of all of its transactions, and to produce a 3 4 statement, a statement is produced from records of 4 Q Okay. Did you testify at your deposition that 5 transactions. So when you log into your bank accounts, 5 the statements should be there? 6 the bank software will create a statement from a history 6 A I don't recall exactly what I testified at my of transactions. 7 7 deposition, but what I know is, again, that --8 There is no statement that the bank keeps. 8 THE COURT: Don't answer beyond that. The 9 There's no -- banks don't keep a statement and print out 9 question was simply, did you testify to that at your 10 statements and keep statements in the file. Those are 10 deposition? 11 11 produced from transaction records. THE WITNESS: I don't recall what I testified 12 THE COURT: On a monthly basis? 12 at the deposition. 13 THE WITNESS: If you ask for it monthly, or you 13 MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'd request to open 14 might ask for your statement for three months or you 14 and publish Mr. Livadas's deposition. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, any objection? 15 might ask for a one-year statement, you might ask for a 15 16 two-year statement. Whatever it is, the system has to 16 MR. NORK: No objection. 17 create the statement. You don't keep a statement. 17 THE COURT: So we'll do that. 18 MR. ANDERSON: If I can approach the bench, I THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Anderson. 18 19 BY MR. ANDERSON: 19 have a copy for you. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. Q The question I asked you earlier was -- and I 20 21 think you answered yes -- WAM doesn't have any account 21 THE CLERK: The deposition of Christos Livadas 22 statements for Mr. Skarpelos's account for 2011 and 2012; 22 dated October 23, 2018, opened and published. 23 correct? 23 MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, may I approach the 24 A Correct. 24 witness?

	Page 194		Page 195
1	THE COURT: You may.	1	Your answer: "Correct."
2	BY MR. ANDERSON:	2	Next question: "So as the owner of WAM and
3	Q Mr. Livadas, I direct your attention to	3	having acquired all their assets, there were no account
4	page 114, and let's start at line 18 of page 114, and I	4	statements for Mr. Skarpelos for the year 2011; correct?"
5	asked you the question: "And the only account statement	5	"ANSWER: Not that I received.
6	I've seen is for the year 2013."	6	"QUESTION: Well, you're the owner of WAM;
7	Your answer: "Right."	7	correct?
8	My question: "And that's the only one you're	8	"ANSWER: Yeah.
9	aware of; correct?"	9	"QUESTION: And based on this document
10	Answer: "Correct."	10	retention policy that was part of Mr. Skarpelos's
11	A I'm sorry. What page?	11	contract that you alleged, those records should still be
12	Q Page 114.	12	there; correct?
13	A Okay.	13	"ANSWER: Should be.
14	Q Do you want me to start over?	14	"QUESTION: But they're not?
15	A Yes, please.	15	"ANSWER: Correct."
16	Q Page 114. If you look down, there's a series	16	THE COURT: To be complete, it says, "Okay.
17	of numbers on the left-hand side that starts at line 18	17	But they're not?"
18	that I'm looking at.	18	MR. ANDERSON: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
19	A Correct.	19	THE COURT: That's okay.
20	Q And I ask you the question: "And the only	20	BY MR. ANDERSON:
21	account statement I've seen is for the year 2013."	21	Q So on page 115 of your deposition on
22	Your answer was "Right."	22	October 23, 2018, you agreed with me that other account
23	My next question: "And that's the only one	23	statements should be there, but they're not; correct?
24	you're aware of; correct?"	24	A No, that's not correct.
	Page 196		Page 197
1	Q That's not what your testimony is?	1	that he's finished with it, and then you begin your
2	A No. Those records should be there, not the	2	answer. Don't start talking at the same time.
3	statements.	3	For example, I think I understood the
4	Q Well, we were just talking about the statements	4	distinction you're trying to make in your response
5	in the bottom half of page 114 to the top of page 115.	5	beginning at line 5 of page 115. I also understand the
6	We were talking about the account statements.	6	distinction that Mr. Anderson is trying to draw my
7	A When you say "records" to me, records and	7	attention to. So you think there's an explanation to it.
8	statements are not the same thing.	8	I'll let Mr. Anderson ask you the next question.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Livadas and Mr. Anderson, but	9	Go ahead, Mr. Anderson.
10	primarily for your benefit, sir, on cross-examination	10	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	you're generally being challenged about the things you've	11	BY MR. ANDERSON:
12	said in the past.	12	Q And WAM doesn't also have account statements
13	THE WITNESS: Understood.	13	for Mr. Skarpelos's account for the years 2014 through
14	THE COURT: And oftentimes one has the desire	14	the present; correct?
15	to jump in and answer the question before it gets	15	A There are no account statements unless they're
16	finished. My court reporter has to take down every	16	requested, and in this case closing statements were
17	single thing that you say and everything that	17	provided so that we had the closing year's data to begin
18	Mr. Anderson says. She is incredibly talented, but what	18	the new year.
19	she cannot do is take down two people talking at the	19	Q So your answer to my question is no, WAM does
20	exact same time. And so while Mr. Anderson is asking you	20	not have statements for those years?
21	a question, please let him finish the question like	21	A For?
22	you're doing with Mr. Nork, and then answer the question,	22	Q 2014 through 2018.
23	and I promise you that I will make sure that you get a	23	A No. No, there is no statements.
24	full opportunity to answer the question, but make sure	24	${\tt Q}$ And WAM should have the records of all client

	Page 198		Page 199
1	transactions in its possession; correct?	1	A Correct.
2	A Correct.	2	Q Okay. One of which is an alleged stock sale on
3	Q But it does not in this case; correct?	3	April 2, 2013?
4	A What do you mean?	4	A Correct.
5	Q Well, didn't you testify at your deposition	5	Q And several other transactions involving the
6	that WAM does not have records of all transactions on	6	withdrawal of money from his account; correct?
7	Mr. Skarpelos's account?	7	A Correct.
8	A Please show me where that is.	8	Q And WAM does not have records of those
وا	Q Yes. Would you turn to page 110 of your	9	transactions; correct?
		-	-
10	deposition, please.	10	A WAM would have records of transactions.
11	A Which line are you referring me to?	11	Q Okay. Can you show me in the exhibit binder
12	MR. ANDERSON: Court's indulgence, please.	12	where those transaction records are?
13	THE COURT: Okay.	13	A In Exhibit
14	MR. ANDERSON: I'll withdraw that last	14	Q Strike that.
15	question, Your Honor.	15	You've produced, as WAM's owner, records of all
16	THE COURT: Disregard that question. He'll ask	16	the transactions that you think are available to WAM for
17	you another question.	17	this case?
18	THE WITNESS: Am I allowed to speak or do I	18	A I produced the statement.
19	have to wait for a question?	19	Q Okay. But you testified already that WAM
20	THE COURT: No, there's no question pending.	20	doesn't keep statements.
21	BY MR. ANDERSON:	21	A But this statement, I testified that this
22	Q Mr. Livadas, you're alleging in this case that	22	statement are you going to let me finish or should I
23	there were a number of transactions that occurred on	23	wait for her to type so you can start?
23		23	
24	Mr. Skarpelos's account with WAM; correct?	24	THE COURT: Mr. Livadas, don't do that.
	Page 200		Page 201
1	Page 200 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.	1	Page 201 Q And what you're telling me is that the account
1 2		1 2	-
	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.		Q And what you're telling me is that the account
2	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that.	2	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's
2 3	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.	2 3	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it?
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this	2 3 4	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question.	2 3 4 5	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it?
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and	2 3 4 5 6	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between statements, which you said WAM doesn't have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall. Q Okay. Thank you.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between statements, which you said WAM doesn't have any obligation to produce, and transaction records; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall. Q Okay. Thank you. You weren't involved in WAM's internal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between statements, which you said WAM doesn't have any obligation to produce, and transaction records; correct? A Ask the question again, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall. Q Okay. Thank you. You weren't involved in WAM's internal accounting procedures at all from 2011 to 2014; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between statements, which you said WAM doesn't have any obligation to produce, and transaction records; correct? A Ask the question again, please. Q Yes. As I understand your testimony, you've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall. Q Okay. Thank you. You weren't involved in WAM's internal accounting procedures at all from 2011 to 2014; correct? A No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between statements, which you said WAM doesn't have any obligation to produce, and transaction records; correct? A Ask the question again, please. Q Yes. As I understand your testimony, you've made a distinction between account statements, which you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall. Q Okay. Thank you. You weren't involved in WAM's internal accounting procedures at all from 2011 to 2014; correct? A No. Q And you weren't involved in any way in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between statements, which you said WAM doesn't have any obligation to produce, and transaction records; correct? A Ask the question again, please. Q Yes. As I understand your testimony, you've made a distinction between account statements, which you said WAM does not have an obligation to produce to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall. Q Okay. Thank you. You weren't involved in WAM's internal accounting procedures at all from 2011 to 2014; correct? A No. Q And you weren't involved in any way in the compilation of data or production of account statements
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between statements, which you said WAM doesn't have any obligation to produce, and transaction records; correct? A Ask the question again, please. Q Yes. As I understand your testimony, you've made a distinction between account statements, which you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall. Q Okay. Thank you. You weren't involved in WAM's internal accounting procedures at all from 2011 to 2014; correct? A No. Q And you weren't involved in any way in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between statements, which you said WAM doesn't have any obligation to produce, and transaction records; correct? A Ask the question again, please. Q Yes. As I understand your testimony, you've made a distinction between account statements, which you said WAM does not have an obligation to produce to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall. Q Okay. Thank you. You weren't involved in WAM's internal accounting procedures at all from 2011 to 2014; correct? A No. Q And you weren't involved in any way in the compilation of data or production of account statements
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between statements, which you said WAM doesn't have any obligation to produce, and transaction records; correct? A Ask the question again, please. Q Yes. As I understand your testimony, you've made a distinction between account statements, which you said WAM does not have an obligation to produce to clients; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall. Q Okay. Thank you. You weren't involved in WAM's internal accounting procedures at all from 2011 to 2014; correct? A No. Q And you weren't involved in any way in the compilation of data or production of account statements at WAM prior to December 2014; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between statements, which you said WAM doesn't have any obligation to produce, and transaction records; correct? A Ask the question again, please. Q Yes. As I understand your testimony, you've made a distinction between account statements, which you said WAM does not have an obligation to produce to clients; correct? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall. Q Okay. Thank you. You weren't involved in WAM's internal accounting procedures at all from 2011 to 2014; correct? A No. Q And you weren't involved in any way in the compilation of data or production of account statements at WAM prior to December 2014; correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between statements, which you said WAM doesn't have any obligation to produce, and transaction records; correct? A Ask the question again, please. Q Yes. As I understand your testimony, you've made a distinction between account statements, which you said WAM does not have an obligation to produce to clients; correct? A Correct. Q And on the other hand, there are transaction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall. Q Okay. Thank you. You weren't involved in WAM's internal accounting procedures at all from 2011 to 2014; correct? A No. Q And you weren't involved in any way in the compilation of data or production of account statements at WAM prior to December 2014; correct? A Correct. Q In fact, you testified at your deposition that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between statements, which you said WAM doesn't have any obligation to produce, and transaction records; correct? A Ask the question again, please. Q Yes. As I understand your testimony, you've made a distinction between account statements, which you said WAM does not have an obligation to produce to clients; correct? A Correct. Q And on the other hand, there are transaction records, which WAM does keep track of; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall. Q Okay. Thank you. You weren't involved in WAM's internal accounting procedures at all from 2011 to 2014; correct? A No. Q And you weren't involved in any way in the compilation of data or production of account statements at WAM prior to December 2014; correct? A Correct. Q In fact, you testified at your deposition that you believe WAM doesn't even keep account statements is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between statements, which you said WAM doesn't have any obligation to produce, and transaction records; correct? A Ask the question again, please. Q Yes. As I understand your testimony, you've made a distinction between account statements, which you said WAM does not have an obligation to produce to clients; correct? A Correct. Q And on the other hand, there are transaction records, which WAM does keep track of; correct? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall. Q Okay. Thank you. You weren't involved in WAM's internal accounting procedures at all from 2011 to 2014; correct? A No. Q And you weren't involved in any way in the compilation of data or production of account statements at WAM prior to December 2014; correct? A Correct. Q In fact, you testified at your deposition that you believe WAM doesn't even keep account statements is what you just said?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between statements, which you said WAM doesn't have any obligation to produce, and transaction records; correct? A Ask the question again, please. Q Yes. As I understand your testimony, you've made a distinction between account statements, which you said WAM does not have an obligation to produce to clients; correct? A Correct. Q And on the other hand, there are transaction records, which WAM does keep track of; correct? A Correct. Q So even if WAM doesn't produce an account	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall. Q Okay. Thank you. You weren't involved in WAM's internal accounting procedures at all from 2011 to 2014; correct? A No. Q And you weren't involved in any way in the compilation of data or production of account statements at WAM prior to December 2014; correct? A Correct. Q In fact, you testified at your deposition that you believe WAM doesn't even keep account statements is what you just said? A Correct. Statements are not kept as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: Do not do that. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. THE COURT: I control the process in this courtroom. Nobody else does. Listen to the question and answer the question. What's the next question, Mr. Anderson? BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Mr. Livadas, you've made a distinction between statements, which you said WAM doesn't have any obligation to produce, and transaction records; correct? A Ask the question again, please. Q Yes. As I understand your testimony, you've made a distinction between account statements, which you said WAM does not have an obligation to produce to clients; correct? A Correct. Q And on the other hand, there are transaction records, which WAM does keep track of; correct? A Correct. Q So even if WAM doesn't produce an account statement, there should be records of a transaction that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And what you're telling me is that the account statement is the only thing you could find in WAM's records that has transactions in it? A The only thing that I could find that has transactions in it? Q Did you produce to your counsel transaction records for Mr. Skarpelos's account? A I believe the only thing I produced was this statement that I recall. Q Okay. Thank you. You weren't involved in WAM's internal accounting procedures at all from 2011 to 2014; correct? A No. Q And you weren't involved in any way in the compilation of data or production of account statements at WAM prior to December 2014; correct? A Correct. Q In fact, you testified at your deposition that you believe WAM doesn't even keep account statements is what you just said? A Correct. Statements are not kept as statements, no.

Page 203 Page 202 1 A They had a computer system, but I wasn't 1 that again. familiar with it. 2 2 Q You weren't involved in the time period I 3 Q Okay. You weren't physically present when 3 indicated, which was May 2011 to December 2014, you were 4 Mr. Skarpelos submitted his WAM account application on 4 not involved in preparing or implementing any of WAM's 5 May 31, 2011; correct? policies or procedures governing their accounts with 5 6 A Correct. 6 clients? 7 Q Okay. And you weren't involved at all in WAM's 7 A Policies and procedures, no. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. 8 account opening process in 2011; correct? 8 9 A Only making the introduction. Nothing that I 9 Your Honor, I would maintain my objection on 10 recall with the process of submitting KYC, etcetera. 10 that ground. 11 Q So basically your involvement was introducing 11 THE COURT: Mr. Livadas, answer something for 12 Mr. Skarpelos to the Equity Trust people or the new WAM 12 me. As I understand your testimony right now, Exhibit 13 No. 44, which is exactly the same as Exhibit No. 43, is a people? 13 14 14 document that was in Mr. Skarpelos's file, if you will, A Correct. 15 Q And you weren't involved at all in preparing 15 when you purchased WAM; is that correct? 16 Tom's account opening form or in WAM's process of 16 THE WITNESS: Correct. 17 completing it; correct? 17 THE COURT: And that in order to establish the A Correct. 18 equity or the value of everybody's account when you 18 19 19 Q From the period of May 2011 to your acquiring purchased WAM, your predecessors gave you an account 20 ownership in December 2014, you were not involved in 20 statement like this for each client that they had? 21 preparing or implementing any of WAM's policies or 21 THE WITNESS: Correct. 22 procedures governing their accounts with clients; 22 THE COURT: Basically when you're buying it, here's what you're buying or here's what we owe each 23 correct? 23 24 24 A Governing their accounts or procedures? Ask person? Page 204 Page 205 THE WITNESS: Correct. It's the --1 somebody new, that company should be able to rely upon 1 2 THE COURT: So, then, my question to you is 2 those documents that were generated beforehand. Even if 3 this: Have you done anything to verify any of the 3 Mr. Livadas is not the author of that document, he 4 information contained in this document to make sure that 4 testified where it was located, he testified how it was it's accurate? It may be -- just so everybody knows 5 5 acquired, and he testified through the audit testimony 6 where I'm going, it may be a business record if there is 6 that it is accurate. That meets the prima facie showing 7 some verification of what's there, yes, this is a 7 of the business records exception, Your Honor. 8 business record, but if it's just we pulled this off the 8 THE COURT: Mr. Anderson, it's your objection. 9 9 I'll give you the final thought. top of the file, this is just the last thing we received, 10 but no one's verified any of the documents or the 10 MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, the applicable 11 information contained in it, how is it a business record? statute, NRS 51.135 sets forth that "A memorandum, 11 12 MR. NORK: Your Honor, I think Mr. Livadas has 12 report, record or compilation of data, in any form, of 13 already testified to that, which is there are audits done 13 acts, events, conditions, opinions or diagnoses, made at 14 every year, and for year 2013 there were no discrepancies 14 or near the time by, or from information transmitted by, 15 found in any of the audits, which means all of the 15 a person with knowledge, all in the course of a regularly 16 records that Mr. Livadas acquired when he bought WAM were conducted activity, as shown by the testimony or 16 17 accurate, and that is the indicia. 17 affidavit of the custodian or other qualified person..." 18 18 And, again, this Court is given broad Now, Mr. Livadas clearly isn't the custodian of 19 discretion in determining who a qualified person is who 19 WAM. The question is whether he's a qualified person. I 20 can testify to business records and broad discretion in think his answers on voir dire demonstrated that he 20 21 determining whether or not a document falls within the 21 doesn't have any knowledge of how records were kept. He 22 business records exception, and this is it. This is 22 can't say whether these transactions that they want to 23 exactly what is contemplated by the business records 23 rely on were entered at or near the time that they 24 exception. When a company is wholesale bought by 24 purport to be. He hasn't testified it was transmitted by

-			
1	Page 206 a person with knowledge because he wasn't involved, he	1	Page 207 and in the argument that he makes, the person testifying
2	doesn't know who prepared it.	2	does not have to be the custodian of records. He can be
3	"All in the course of a regularly conducted	3	a qualified person. The Court finds that there is an
4	activity." He's already said that he that they don't	4	indicia of reliability regarding the information
5	prepare statements. This is not a regularly conducted	5	contained in the document and that it is a business
6	activity at WAM. They don't prepare statements and no	6	record pursuant to 51.135 and will admit Exhibit No. 44.
7	one has any explanation for why it's February 1st through	7	Go ahead.
8	December 31st of 2013.	8	MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, just a quick
9	If this is an audit being done by someone like	9	question. I think I wasn't sure if he was offering
10	Grant Thornton, I would think they're going to want to	10	both 43 and 44.
11	know what the entire year is, so I think this record	11	MR. NORK: Can I do both, Your Honor? Because
12	completely lacks any indicia of trustworthiness. I think	12	they're identical, but the quality of copies is really
13	that he is not a qualified person under the law. He was	13	inconsistent.
14	not at the business of WAM in the year it was generated.	14	THE COURT: Maintain the same objection to 43,
15	He found it in a stack of documents, and I think he	15	Mr. Anderson?
16	testified that it wasn't in a client file. He said that	16	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.
17	there weren't files kept by clients. He said they were	17	THE COURT: The Court will admit 43 and 44
18	kept by transaction. So I think his testimony is	18	because the parties stipulate that they are the same
19	inconsistent. I don't think he's laid the foundation,	19	document and there may be just some ease of reading of
20	and I think this is inadmissible hearsay.	20	one versus the other. So the Court will admit 43 for the
21	THE COURT: I've reviewed NRS 51.135. The	21	same reason it admits 44 over objection of Mr. Anderson.
22	Court finds that this document is admissible as a	22	(Exhibits 43 and 44 were admitted.)
23	business record under that statute.	23	Go ahead.
24	As Mr. Nork pointed out in his trial statement	24	MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor.
1	Page 208		Page 209
1 I	DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	0 \$140,288; correct?
2		1 2	Q \$140,288; correct? A The balance is \$140,800 something.
	DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. NORK:		<pre>Q \$140,288; correct? A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay.</pre>
2	(Resumed) BY MR. NORK:	2	A The balance is \$140,800 something.
2 3	(Resumed)	2 3	A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay.
2 3 4	(Resumed) BY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's	2 3 4	 A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to
2 3 4 5	(Resumed) BY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you	2 3 4 5	A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44.
2 3 4 5 6	(Resumed) BY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses?	2 3 4 5 6	A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK:
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>(Resumed) BY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>(Resumed) BY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes. Q you can turn to Exhibit 43.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK: Q \$140,288. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>(Resumed) BY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes. Q you can turn to Exhibit 43. You've indicated already this is the statement</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK: Q \$140,288. Okay. Then there is some activity in or about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>(Resumed) BY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes. Q you can turn to Exhibit 43. You've indicated already this is the statement of account for Tom Skarpelos; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK: Q \$140,288. Okay. Then there is some activity in or about March 25, 2013.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>(Resumed) BY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes. Q you can turn to Exhibit 43. You've indicated already this is the statement of account for Tom Skarpelos; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK: Q \$140,288. Okay. Then there is some activity in or about March 25, 2013. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>(Resumed) BY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes. Q you can turn to Exhibit 43. You've indicated already this is the statement of account for Tom Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And what I'd like to focus on is the second</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK: Q \$140,288. Okay. Then there is some activity in or about March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>(Resumed) BY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes. Q you can turn to Exhibit 43. You've indicated already this is the statement of account for Tom Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And what I'd like to focus on is the second page of this exhibit.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK: Q \$140,288. Okay. Then there is some activity in or about March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And what is the activity indicated on this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>(Resumed) BY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes. Q you can turn to Exhibit 43. You've indicated already this is the statement of account for Tom Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And what I'd like to focus on is the second page of this exhibit. Now, you've already testified that it was your</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK: Q \$140,288. Okay. Then there is some activity in or about March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And what is the activity indicated on this statement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>(Resumed) EY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes. Q you can turn to Exhibit 43. You've indicated already this is the statement of account for Tom Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And what I'd like to focus on is the second page of this exhibit. Now, you've already testified that it was your understanding that requests were being made for funds to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK: Q \$140,288. Okay. Then there is some activity in or about March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And what is the activity indicated on this statement? A A transfer of 10,000 euros.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>(Resumed) BY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes. Q you can turn to Exhibit 43. You've indicated already this is the statement of account for Tom Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And what I'd like to focus on is the second page of this exhibit. Now, you've already testified that it was your understanding that requests were being made for funds to be transferred out of Mr. Skarpelos's account and into </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK: Q \$140,288. Okay. Then there is some activity in or about March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And what is the activity indicated on this statement? A A transfer of 10,000 euros. Q And that's March of 2013; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>(Resumed) EY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes. Q you can turn to Exhibit 43. Vou've indicated already this is the statement of account for Tom Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And what I'd like to focus on is the second page of this exhibit. Now, you've already testified that it was your understanding that requests were being made for funds to be transferred out of Mr. Skarpelos's account and into some other accounts during the years 2012 and 2013;</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK: Q \$140,288. Okay. Then there is some activity in or about March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And what is the activity indicated on this statement? A A transfer of 10,000 euros. Q And that's March of 2013; correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>(Resumed) EY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes. Q you can turn to Exhibit 43. You've indicated already this is the statement of account for Tom Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And what I'd like to focus on is the second page of this exhibit. Now, you've already testified that it was your understanding that requests were being made for funds to be transferred out of Mr. Skarpelos's account and into some other accounts during the years 2012 and 2013; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK: Q \$140,288. Okay. Then there is some activity in or about March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And what is the activity indicated on this statement? A A transfer of 10,000 euros. Q And that's March of 2013; correct? A Correct. Q And then the next one looks like March 25,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>(Resumed) EY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes. Q you can turn to Exhibit 43. You've indicated already this is the statement of account for Tom Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And what I'd like to focus on is the second page of this exhibit. Now, you've already testified that it was your understanding that requests were being made for funds to be transferred out of Mr. Skarpelos's account and into some other accounts during the years 2012 and 2013; correct? A Correct. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK: Q \$140,288. Okay. Then there is some activity in or about March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And what is the activity indicated on this statement? A A transfer of 10,000 euros. Q And that's March of 2013; correct? A Correct. Q And then the next one looks like March 25, 2013, a wire out fee. Do you see that?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>(Resumed) EY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes. Q you can turn to Exhibit 43. You've indicated already this is the statement of account for Tom Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And what I'd like to focus on is the second page of this exhibit. Now, you've already testified that it was your understanding that requests were being made for funds to be transferred out of Mr. Skarpelos's account and into some other accounts during the years 2012 and 2013; correct? A Correct. Q As an example well, actually, let me just go</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK: Q \$140,288. Okay. Then there is some activity in or about March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And what is the activity indicated on this statement? A A transfer of 10,000 euros. Q And that's March of 2013; correct? A Correct. Q And then the next one looks like March 25, 2013, a wire out fee. Do you see that? A Yes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>(Resumed) EY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes. Q you can turn to Exhibit 43. Vou've indicated already this is the statement of account for Tom Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And what I'd like to focus on is the second page of this exhibit. Now, you've already testified that it was your understanding that requests were being made for funds to be transferred out of Mr. Skarpelos's account and into some other accounts during the years 2012 and 2013; correct? A Correct. Q As an example well, actually, let me just go through it.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK: Q \$140,288. Okay. Then there is some activity in or about March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And what is the activity indicated on this statement? A Yes. Q And what is the activity indicated on this statement? A A transfer of 10,000 euros. Q And that's March of 2013; correct? A Correct. Q And then the next one looks like March 25, 2013, a wire out fee. Do you see that? A Yes. Q How much is that?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>(Resumed) EY MR. NORK: Q So let's focus on Exhibit 44, and if it's easier for you Do you have your glasses? A Yes. Q you can turn to Exhibit 43. You've indicated already this is the statement of account for Tom Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And what I'd like to focus on is the second page of this exhibit. Now, you've already testified that it was your understanding that requests were being made for funds to be transferred out of Mr. Skarpelos's account and into some other accounts during the years 2012 and 2013; correct? A Correct. Q As an example well, actually, let me just go through it. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>A The balance is \$140,800 something. Q Okay. THE COURT: Actually, it looks a lot easier to read on 44. THE WITNESS: Correct. \$140,288. BY MR. NORK: Q \$140,288. Okay. Then there is some activity in or about March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And what is the activity indicated on this statement? A A transfer of 10,000 euros. Q And that's March of 2013; correct? A Correct. Q And then the next one looks like March 25, 2013, a wire out fee. Do you see that? A Yes. Q How much is that? A \$125.</pre>

—	Page 210		Dago 211
1	A It's the sale of Anavex, shares of Anavex of	1	A Correct. Page 211
2	3.3 million, approximately.	2	Q Okay. And that May 9th entry coincides with an
3	Q So it says, "Stock sale Anavex Life Science	3	email you received from Lambros; correct?
4	Corp. 3,316,665"; correct?	4	A Correct. I assume so. I'd have to look at it,
5	A 666. 65?	5	but I assume so.
6	THE COURT: 3,316,666, it looks like.	6	Q And then right below that is a wire out fee for
7	Actually, no. Stop. It's a 6 or a 5.	7	another \$125,000; correct?
8	MR. NORK: It's either a 6 or a 5, and, Your	8	A \$125.
9	Honor it's either a 6 or a 5.	9	THE COURT: \$125.
10	BY MR. NORK:	10	MR. NORK: Thank you everyone. \$125.
11	Q And how much value is attributed to the account	11	BY MR. NORK:
12	as a result of that stock transaction?	12	Q What is the next line item?
13	A Net value, 249,580.	13	A Another transfer for 15,033.90 euros.
14	Q Okay. What is the next line item?	14	0 What does that convert to U.S. dollars?
15	A It's a transfer for 15,000 euros.	15	A 20,000.
16	Q And that appears to be May 9th; correct?	16	Q And do you recall, in or about late April 2013,
17	A Yes.	17	your request for \$20,000 to go to Tom for his heart
18	THE COURT: Just so we're clear, the euros were	18	surgery?
19	always converted into dollars in the debit column?	19	A Yes. April or May? January, February, March,
20	THE WITNESS: Correct.	20	April, May
21	BY MR. NORK:	21	Q It initiated in April; correct?
22	Q So it shows 15,000 euros under the column	22	A Something like this, yes.
23	"Activity," but when you get to "Debit," it's converted	23	Q And then the next line is also May 22nd for a
24	to U.S. dollars; correct?	24	wire out fee of \$125; correct?
1	Page 212	1	Page 213
1	A Correct.	1	tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the
2	A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that	2	tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these
2 3	A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction?	2 3	tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these
2 3 4	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros.</pre>	2 3 4	tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the
2 3 4 5	 A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, 	2 3 4 5	tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done. Q Okay. And as a result of that inquiry, do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal? A 15,000 euros.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done. Q Okay. And as a result of that inquiry, do you have any reason to doubt the accuracy of this account
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal? A 15,000 euros. Q And similarly there's a wire out fee of \$125;</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done. Q Okay. And as a result of that inquiry, do you have any reason to doubt the accuracy of this account statement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal? A 15,000 euros. Q And similarly there's a wire out fee of \$125; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal? A 15,000 euros. Q And similarly there's a wire out fee of \$125; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal? A 15,000 euros. Q And similarly there's a wire out fee of \$125; correct? A Correct. Q And then what's the last one?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal? A 15,000 euros. Q And similarly there's a wire out fee of \$125; correct? A Correct. Q And then what's the last one? A 7,500 euros.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14	<pre>tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal? A 15,000 euros. Q And similarly there's a wire out fee of \$125; correct? A Correct. Q And similarly there's a wire out fee of \$125; correct? A Correct. Q And then what's the last one? A 7,500 euros. Q And that was on September 18, 2013?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal? A 15,000 euros. Q And similarly there's a wire out fee of \$125; correct? A Correct. Q And then what's the last one? A 7,500 euros. Q And that was on September 18, 2013? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done. Q Okay. And as a result of that inquiry, do you have any reason to doubt the accuracy of this account statement? A No. Q According to this document, sir, does this indicate that Mr. Skarpelos received something slightly less than \$250,000 on or about April 2, 2013? A Correct. His account was credited \$250,000 less the commissions on the transaction, so it was the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal? A 15,000 euros. Q And similarly there's a wire out fee of \$125; correct? A Correct. Q And then what's the last one? A 7,500 euros. Q And that was on September 18, 2013? A Correct. Q And after the wire out fee is deducted on that</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal? A 15,000 euros. Q And similarly there's a wire out fee of \$125; correct? A Correct. Q And then what's the last one? A 7,500 euros. Q And that was on September 18, 2013? A Correct. Q And after the wire out fee is deducted on that same day, September 18, 2013, what is the remaining</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal? A 15,000 euros. Q And similarly there's a wire out fee of \$125; correct? A Correct. Q And then what's the last one? A 7,500 euros. Q And then what's the last one? A 7,500 euros. Q And that was on September 18, 2013? A Correct. Q And after the wire out fee is deducted on that same day, September 18, 2013, what is the remaining balance left as indicated in this document, Exhibit 44?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal? A 15,000 euros. Q And similarly there's a wire out fee of \$125; correct? A Correct. Q And then what's the last one? A 7,500 euros. Q And then what's the last one? A 7,500 euros. Q And that was on September 18, 2013? A Correct. Q And after the wire out fee is deducted on that same day, September 18, 2013, what is the remaining balance left as indicated in this document, Exhibit 44? A \$4,115.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done. Q Okay. And as a result of that inquiry, do you have any reason to doubt the accuracy of this account statement? A No. Q According to this document, sir, does this indicate that Mr. Skarpelos received something slightly less than \$250,000 on or about April 2, 2013? A Correct. His account was credited \$250,000 less the commissions on the transaction, so it was the net of the transaction. Q And since that date, amounts have been withdrawn from that account; correct? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal? A 15,000 euros. Q And similarly there's a wire out fee of \$125; correct? A Correct. Q And then what's the last one? A 7,500 euros. Q And then what's the last one? A 7,500 euros. Q And that was on September 18, 2013? A Correct. Q And after the wire out fee is deducted on that same day, September 18, 2013, what is the remaining balance left as indicated in this document, Exhibit 44? A \$4,115. Q Based on the audits that have been conducted of</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal? A 15,000 euros. Q And similarly there's a wire out fee of \$125; correct? A Correct. Q And then what's the last one? A 7,500 euros. Q And that was on September 18, 2013? A Correct. Q And after the wire out fee is deducted on that same day, September 18, 2013, what is the remaining balance left as indicated in this document, Exhibit 44? A \$4,115. Q Based on the audits that have been conducted of WAM's records, do you have any reason to doubt the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>A Correct. Q Then the next, July 2, 2013, how much is that transaction? A 15,000 euros. Q And then another wire out fee that same date, \$125; correct? A Correct. Q Then what's the next withdrawal? A 15,000 euros. Q And similarly there's a wire out fee of \$125; correct? A Correct. Q And then what's the last one? A 7,500 euros. Q And then what's the last one? A 7,500 euros. Q And that was on September 18, 2013? A Correct. Q And after the wire out fee is deducted on that same day, September 18, 2013, what is the remaining balance left as indicated in this document, Exhibit 44? A \$4,115. Q Based on the audits that have been conducted of</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>tried to verify some of these transactions. Besides the fact that I was pretty much involved in all of these transactions so I can attest I was involved in these transactions, I did also put requests through to the company's prime broker at the time to also try to provide me records further verifying that these transactions were done.</pre>

	Page 214		Bago 21E
1	Q And then at the very bottom of this second	1	Page 215 Court's indulgence for one moment.
2	page, there's a section entitled "Securities - US	2	THE COURT: Take your time, Mr. Anderson. It's
3	Dollars."	3	easier to get everything in order than to go looking for
4	Do you see that?	4	it when you're cross-examining a witness.
5	A Of which?	5	
6	Q The second page, Exhibit 44. It says	6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	"Securities - USD" at the very bottom.	7	BY MR. ANDERSON:
8	A Is that page number 409?	8	Q Mr. Livadas, I want to make sure I understand
9	Q No. 408.	9	what you testified to on direct examination.
10	A Yes. Yes. I'm sorry.	10	During the year 2013 there were two
11	Q What does that show?	11	transactions that were contemplated; is that correct?
12	A That's the transactions on securities for the	12	A It wasn't contemplated as two transactions. It
13	period.	13	happened to flow that way.
14	Q Okay. And what is the only transaction that's	14	Q Okay. One transaction was the April 2, 2013,
15	indicated during the period of February 1, 2013, through	15	stock sale that you just talked about; correct?
16	December 31, 2013?	16	A Correct.
17	A The sale of the 3.3 million, approximately,	17	Q And then later on there was a possible sale to
18	securities of Anavex.	18	Chinese investors; correct?
19	Q And when was the date of that transaction?	19	A Correct. And others, yes.
20	A April 2, 2013.	20	Q And others. A non-WAM client?
21	MR. NORK: Thank you, sir. I have no further	21	A And potentially WAM clients.
22	questions.	22	Q Okay. And I just want to make sure I
23	THE COURT: Cross-examination, Mr. Anderson.	23	understand.
24	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.	24	Your testimony was that the July discussion
	Page 216		Page 217
1	between you and Lambros and the subsequent purchase and	1	A I'm claiming that clients of Weiser own some of
2	sale agreement that was sent and then later executed,	2	the stock.
3	that related to the second transaction?	3	Q So your testimony today in court is that
3 4	that related to the second transaction? A The purchase and sale agreement related to the	3 4	Q So your testimony today in court is that neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are
4	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the	4	neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are
4 5	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction.	4	neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock?
4 5 6	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction	4 5 6	neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock? A It would be the clients of Weiser Asset
4 5 6 7	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened?	4 5 6 7	neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock? A It would be the clients of Weiser Asset Management that are the owners of the stock.
4 5 6 7 8	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened? A Correct.	4 5 6 7 8	neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock? A It would be the clients of Weiser Asset Management that are the owners of the stock. Q Okay. So Weiser Asset Management does not own
4 5 6 7 8 9	 A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened? A Correct. Q Okay. So what you're claiming now today in 	4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock? A It would be the clients of Weiser Asset Management that are the owners of the stock. Q Okay. So Weiser Asset Management does not own the stock that's at issue in this lawsuit; correct?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened? A Correct. Q Okay. So what you're claiming now today in this trial is that the April 2, 2013, transaction is the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock? A It would be the clients of Weiser Asset Management that are the owners of the stock. Q Okay. So Weiser Asset Management does not own the stock that's at issue in this lawsuit; correct? A Correct.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened? A Correct. Q Okay. So what you're claiming now today in this trial is that the April 2, 2013, transaction is the one that Tom Skarpelos breached that caused damage? Or	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened? A Correct. Q Okay. So what you're claiming now today in this trial is that the April 2, 2013, transaction is the one that Tom Skarpelos breached that caused damage? Or basically Tom Skarpelos breached the April 2, 2013,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened? A Correct. Q Okay. So what you're claiming now today in this trial is that the April 2, 2013, transaction is the one that Tom Skarpelos breached that caused damage? Or basically Tom Skarpelos breached the April 2, 2013, agreement?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened? A Correct. Q Okay. So what you're claiming now today in this trial is that the April 2, 2013, transaction is the one that Tom Skarpelos breached that caused damage? Or basically Tom Skarpelos breached the April 2, 2013, agreement? A I don't call it breached, no.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock?</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened? A Correct. Q Okay. So what you're claiming now today in this trial is that the April 2, 2013, transaction is the one that Tom Skarpelos breached that caused damage? Or basically Tom Skarpelos breached the April 2, 2013, agreement? A I don't call it breached, no. Q Okay. Tom Skarpelos did not deliver the shares	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened? A Correct. Q Okay. So what you're claiming now today in this trial is that the April 2, 2013, transaction is the one that Tom Skarpelos breached that caused damage? Or basically Tom Skarpelos breached the April 2, 2013, agreement? A I don't call it breached, no. Q Okay. Tom Skarpelos did not deliver the shares pursuant to the April 2, 2013, agreement?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened? A Correct. Q Okay. So what you're claiming now today in this trial is that the April 2, 2013, transaction is the one that Tom Skarpelos breached that caused damage? Or basically Tom Skarpelos breached the April 2, 2013, agreement? A I don't call it breached, no. Q Okay. Tom Skarpelos did not deliver the shares pursuant to the April 2, 2013, agreement? A The shares were already delivered.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened? A Correct. Q Okay. So what you're claiming now today in this trial is that the April 2, 2013, transaction is the one that Tom Skarpelos breached that caused damage? Or basically Tom Skarpelos breached the April 2, 2013, agreement? A I don't call it breached, no. Q Okay. Tom Skarpelos did not deliver the shares pursuant to the April 2, 2013, agreement? A The shares were already delivered. Q What are you claiming in this case?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened? A Correct. Q Okay. So what you're claiming now today in this trial is that the April 2, 2013, transaction is the one that Tom Skarpelos breached that caused damage? Or basically Tom Skarpelos breached the April 2, 2013, agreement? A I don't call it breached, no. Q Okay. Tom Skarpelos did not deliver the shares pursuant to the April 2, 2013, agreement? A The shares were already delivered. Q What are you claiming in this case? A What are we claiming? We went to clear the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened? A Correct. Q Okay. So what you're claiming now today in this trial is that the April 2, 2013, transaction is the one that Tom Skarpelos breached that caused damage? Or basically Tom Skarpelos breached the April 2, 2013, agreement? A I don't call it breached, no. Q Okay. Tom Skarpelos did not deliver the shares pursuant to the April 2, 2013, agreement? A The shares were already delivered. Q What are you claiming in this case? A What are we claiming? We went to clear the share certificate, and that told us that the share	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened? A Correct. Q Okay. So what you're claiming now today in this trial is that the April 2, 2013, transaction is the one that Tom Skarpelos breached that caused damage? Or basically Tom Skarpelos breached the April 2, 2013, agreement? A I don't call it breached, no. Q Okay. Tom Skarpelos did not deliver the shares pursuant to the April 2, 2013, agreement? A The shares were already delivered. Q What are you claiming in this case? A What are we claiming? We went to clear the share certificate, and that told us that the share certificate was claimed lost, so I don't know what	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A The purchase and sale agreement related to the second transaction. Q And your testimony was that that transaction never was consummated or never happened? A Correct. Q Okay. So what you're claiming now today in this trial is that the April 2, 2013, transaction is the one that Tom Skarpelos breached that caused damage? Or basically Tom Skarpelos breached the April 2, 2013, agreement? A I don't call it breached, no. Q Okay. Tom Skarpelos did not deliver the shares pursuant to the April 2, 2013, agreement? A The shares were already delivered. Q What are you claiming in this case? A What are we claiming? We went to clear the share certificate, and that told us that the share certificate was claimed lost, so I don't know whatbesides that, we're stuck in the process of this. I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>neither Weiser Asset Management nor Weiser Capital are the owners of the stock?</pre>

	Page 218		Page 219
1	Exhibit 30, the stock sale and purchase	1	Q Yes. I'm sorry.
2	agreement that your counsel asked you about earlier, that	2	A So when a certificate is dematerialized and
3	has a date of July 5, 2013; correct?	3	later may be traded, when the shares are traded later,
4	A Correct.	4	parties on the other side of the trades, the
5	Q And it also has a written-in closing date of	5	counterparties or their brokers can ask where did these
6	September 30, 2013; correct?	6	shares come from.
7	A Correct.	7	Q So this purchase agreement and the stock power
8	Q You wrote those dates in?	8	that you looked at with your attorney, those were for
9	A Correct.	9	this July transaction that never occurred?
10	Q And your testimony today is that this agreement	10	A Incorrect. So the purchase and sale agreement
11	was for a transaction that never happened?	11	was going to be for the second transaction. The stock
12	A Correct.	12	power is a separate document that would have gone with
13	Q Okay. And I think you testified that the	13	the dematerialization of the certificate in general.
14	reason you completed it was to lodge it with some entity.	14	Q For the first transaction or the second?
15	I can't remember the name.	15	A Any transaction, to dematerialize.
16	A Not to lodge it, but to basically complete the	16	${\tt Q}$ So as we went through these records, the only
17	file on the transaction in general so that we have a	17	stock power I saw even discussed with Mr. Skarpelos was
18	record that could be used for AML purposes, which	18	in June or July of 2013.
19	sometimes these are asked for by counterparties like the	19	A I think it was with Lambros.
20	prime banks when you're depositing or trading the shares.	20	Q I'm sorry. With Mr. Skarpelos or Lambros, the
21	Q Which file were you completing?	21	only discussion I saw regarding obtaining a stock power
22	A The file which goes with the stock certificate,	22	was in June or July of 2013; correct?
23	with the stock power to dematerialize the certificates.	23	A The only discussion we've seen, yes, was in
24	So when if I	24	June 2013 for the stock power, yes.
1	Page 220 O You didn't obtain a stock power from	1	Page 221 A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but
1	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from	1	A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but
2	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct?	2	A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be
2 3	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No.	2 3	A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months.
2 3 4	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that	2 3 4	A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations
2 3 4 5	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated,	2 3	A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely
2 3 4 5 6	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013?	2 3 4 5 6	A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes. Q Again, just so I'm clear because I've got to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos? A I don't have evidence that I asked.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes. Q Again, just so I'm clear because I've got to be honest, a lot of this sounds like a new theory to me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos? A I don't have evidence that I asked. Q Okay. And so what was the purpose of the stock	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes. Q Again, just so I'm clear because I've got to be honest, a lot of this sounds like a new theory to me. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos? A I don't have evidence that I asked. Q Okay. And so what was the purpose of the stock power that you did obtain from him? Was it for the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes. Q Again, just so I'm clear because I've got to be honest, a lot of this sounds like a new theory to me. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. ANDERSON: I'll withdraw that. I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos? A I don't have evidence that I asked. Q Okay. And so what was the purpose of the stock power that you did obtain from him? Was it for the first transaction or the second transaction?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes. Q Again, just so I'm clear because I've got to be honest, a lot of this sounds like a new theory to me. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. ANDERSON: I'll withdraw that. I'm sorry. THE COURT: Okay.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos? A I don't have evidence that I asked. Q Okay. And so what was the purpose of the stock power that you did obtain from him? Was it for the first transaction or the second transaction? A Neither. It's to dematerialize the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes. Q Again, just so I'm clear because I've got to be honest, a lot of this sounds like a new theory to me. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. ANDERSON: I'll withdraw that. I'm sorry. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. ANDERSON:</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos? A I don't have evidence that I asked. Q Okay. And so what was the purpose of the stock power that you did obtain from him? Was it for the first transaction or the second transaction? A Neither. It's to dematerialize the certificate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes. Q Again, just so I'm clear because I've got to be honest, a lot of this sounds like a new theory to me. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. NNDERSON: I'll withdraw that. I'm sorry. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Exhibit 30 is for a transaction that never</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos? A I don't have evidence that I asked. Q Okay. And so what was the purpose of the stock power that you did obtain from him? Was it for the first transaction or the second transaction? A Neither. It's to dematerialize the certificate. Q So the stock power you obtained from him, your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes. Q Again, just so I'm clear because I've got to be honest, a lot of this sounds like a new theory to me. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. ANDERSON: I'll withdraw that. I'm sorry. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Exhibit 30 is for a transaction that never happened?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos? A I don't have evidence that I asked. Q Okay. And so what was the purpose of the stock power that you did obtain from him? Was it for the first transaction or the second transaction? A Neither. It's to dematerialize the certificate. Q So the stock power you obtained from him, your testimony is it was not for either transaction?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes. Q Again, just so I'm clear because I've got to be honest, a lot of this sounds like a new theory to me. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. ANDERSON: I'll withdraw that. I'm sorry. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Exhibit 30 is for a transaction that never happened? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos? A I don't have evidence that I asked. Q Okay. And so what was the purpose of the stock power that you did obtain from him? Was it for the first transaction or the second transaction? A Neither. It's to dematerialize the certificate. Q So the stock power you obtained from him, your testimony is it was not for either transaction? A It's to dematerialize the certificate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes. Q Again, just so I'm clear because I've got to be honest, a lot of this sounds like a new theory to me. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. NNCK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. ANDERSON: I'll withdraw that. I'm sorry. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Exhibit 30 is for a transaction that never happened? A Correct. Q And I haven't seen any other documents produced</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos? A I don't have evidence that I asked. Q Okay. And so what was the purpose of the stock power that you did obtain from him? Was it for the first transaction or the second transaction? A Neither. It's to dematerialize the certificate. Q So the stock power you obtained from him, your testimony is it was not for either transaction? A It's to dematerialize the certificate. Q Well, there's one certificate, but only half	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes. Q Again, just so I'm clear because I've got to be honest, a lot of this sounds like a new theory to me. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. ANDERSON: I'll withdraw that. I'm sorry. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Exhibit 30 is for a transaction that never happened? A Correct. Q And I haven't seen any other documents produced that reference any other July 2013 agreement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos? A I don't have evidence that I asked. Q Okay. And so what was the purpose of the stock power that you did obtain from him? Was it for the first transaction or the second transaction? A Neither. It's to dematerialize the certificate. Q So the stock power you obtained from him, your testimony is it was not for either transaction? A It's to dematerialize the certificate. Q Well, there's one certificate, but only half the shares are at issue; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes. Q Again, just so I'm clear because I've got to be honest, a lot of this sounds like a new theory to me. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. ANDERSON: I'll withdraw that. I'm sorry. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Exhibit 30 is for a transaction that never happened? A Correct. Q And I haven't seen any other documents produced that reference any other July 2013 agreement. A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos? A I don't have evidence that I asked. Q Okay. And so what was the purpose of the stock power that you did obtain from him? Was it for the first transaction or the second transaction? A Neither. It's to dematerialize the certificate. Q So the stock power you obtained from him, your testimony is it was not for either transaction? A It's to dematerialize the certificate. Q Well, there's one certificate, but only half the shares are at issue; correct? A The two are unrelated. The two are unrelated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes. Q Again, just so I'm clear because I've got to be honest, a lot of this sounds like a new theory to me. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. ANDERSON: I'll withdraw that. I'm sorry. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Exhibit 30 is for a transaction that never happened? A Correct. Q And I haven't seen any other documents produced that reference any other July 2013 agreement. A Correct. Q Mr. Livadas, do you recall testifying at your</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos? A I don't have evidence that I asked. Q Okay. And so what was the purpose of the stock power that you did obtain from him? Was it for the first transaction or the second transaction? A Neither. It's to dematerialize the certificate. Q So the stock power you obtained from him, your testimony is it was not for either transaction? A It's to dematerialize the certificate. Q Well, there's one certificate, but only half the shares are at issue; correct? A The two are unrelated. The two are unrelated. Q Okay. I just want to understand your purpose	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes. Q Again, just so I'm clear because I've got to be honest, a lot of this sounds like a new theory to me. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. ANDERSON: I'll withdraw that. I'm sorry. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Exhibit 30 is for a transaction that never happened? A Correct. Q And I haven't seen any other documents produced that reference any other July 2013 agreement. A Correct. Q Mr. Livadas, do you recall testifying at your deposition that Exhibit 30 that we've been looking at was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q You didn't obtain a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos on or about April 2, 2013; correct? A No. Q And that's the date that you're claiming that transaction that actually went through was consummated, on April 2, 2013? A Correct. Q And at that point in time you didn't ask for a stock power from Mr. Skarpelos? A I don't have evidence that I asked. Q Okay. And so what was the purpose of the stock power that you did obtain from him? Was it for the first transaction or the second transaction? A Neither. It's to dematerialize the certificate. Q So the stock power you obtained from him, your testimony is it was not for either transaction? A It's to dematerialize the certificate. Q Well, there's one certificate, but only half the shares are at issue; correct? A The two are unrelated. The two are unrelated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>A I believe I asked for it much earlier, but there was no rush for it because it cannot be dematerialized for six to twelve months. Q And you and Lambros had email conversations about the stock power and this apparently completely unrelated stock sale and purchase agreement in the course of the same emails? A Yes. Q Again, just so I'm clear because I've got to be honest, a lot of this sounds like a new theory to me. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. NORK: Objection, Your Honor. MR. ANDERSON: I'll withdraw that. I'm sorry. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Exhibit 30 is for a transaction that never happened? A Correct. Q And I haven't seen any other documents produced that reference any other July 2013 agreement. A Correct. Q Mr. Livadas, do you recall testifying at your</pre>

—	Page 222		Page 223
1	Q Of course. If you'd look at page 142, please.	1	understood that I was referring to the stock transaction
2	A Yes.	2	of April 2, 2013, on Exhibit 44?
3	Q I would direct your attention to, beginning at	3	A Ask the question again.
4	line 21, I asked you, "Okay. And is the 249,580 that's	4	Q In October of 2018, when I asked you that
5	referenced in this statement, is that the payment	5	question about whether the 249,580 that's mentioned on
6	pursuant to the purchase and sale agreement that's at	6	Exhibit 44, is that the payment pursuant to the purchase
7	issue in this case?	7	and sale agreement at issue in this case, your answer was
8	"ANSWER: Yes."	8	yes; correct?
9	A Correct.	9	A Correct, that was my answer.
10	Q Okay. And so this testimony that you gave was	10	Q Okay. And that's the purchase and sale
11	referring to the account statement that your counsel just	11	agreement that's Exhibit 30; correct?
12	looked at, Exhibit 44; correct?	12	A Correct.
13	A I'm sorry. One second. I'm just reading it	13	Q And I'll have you look at page 155, please.
14	again.	14	Court's indulgence for one moment, please.
15	Q Yes.	15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	A Sorry. Go ahead.	16	BY MR. ANDERSON:
17	Q The number that I just discussed in your	17	Q I'll have you look at page 178 instead.
18	deposition at page 142, line 21, is that the same number	18	A few moments ago we were looking at Exhibit 30
19	that you were referring to as the stock sale in the	19	and I asked you if you wrote in the date of September 30,
20	account statement that's Exhibit 44?	20	2013, on there.
20	A Is that the number that I'm referring to in	20	Correct?
21	Q Go ahead and look at Exhibit 44.	21	A I wrote down, yes, September 30th.
23	A Yes.	22	Q And you recall at your deposition, if you look
23 24			
24	Q Okay. So when I asked you this question, you	24	at page 178, line 11, I asked you, "Did you understand
	Page 224		Page 225
	that the closing date under this agreement would be		Exhibit 30?
2	September 30, 2013?	2	A I don't know what you mean by "paper" it.
3	"ANSWER: That's what I wrote in there."	3	Q My point is, Exhibit 30 is to document the
4	And if you skip down to 17, I asked you, "Okay.	4	transaction, the stock sale that was already executed;
5	Why did you write September 30, 2013, in there?"	5	correct?
6	And you answered, "Because I wrote this date	6	A To document it?
7	in there because we had already executed the transaction.	7	Q Well, do you want me to go back and reread the
8	Now we were preparing to dematerialize the share stock	8	testimony I just read to you?
9	certificate."	9	A Sure. Sure.
10	Was that your answer?	10	Q Okay.
11	A Yes.	11	A Because I said sorry. Are you going to read
12	Q So the transaction that had already happened on	12	it or should I read it?
13	April 2nd of 2013 that you were talking about in your	13	THE COURT: Let him ask a question.
14	deposition, at your deposition you were referencing	14	BY MR. ANDERSON:
15	Exhibit 30; correct?	15	Q Page 142, lines 21 through 25.
16	A Correct.	16	A 142?
17	Q Court's indulgence. I apologize.	17	Q Page 142, lines 21 through 25.
18	So you would agree with me, then, contrary to	18	We just talked about that, and your testimony
19	your testimony this morning, that Exhibit 30, the stock	19	was that the 249,580 that's identified on Exhibit 44 in
20	sale and purchase agreement, actually was related to the	20	the account statement, that's the payment that you're
21	April 2, 2013, transaction; correct?	21	attempting to document by the purchase and sale agreement
22	A Related in what way?	22	in this case.
1	Q Well, you were attempting to paper the	23	A I didn't say document or to paper it. I'm not
23	z mell, fet mele decarpellis ee papel and	1 23	
23 24	transaction that happened on April 2nd by way of	24	sure what that

	Page 226		Page 227
1	Q I asked you, "And is the 249,580 that's	1	your testimony on October 23, 2018?
2	referenced in this statement, is that the payment	2	A It didn't deal with the transaction. It was
3	pursuant to the purchase and sale agreement that's at	3	being completed so it could go to the file for the
4	issue in this case?"	4	portion of the transaction that was finished for
5	And your answer was "Yes."	5	potential AML purposes. My apologies. Because the word
6	A Correct.	6	"pursuant"
7	Q So I'm correct that Exhibit 30, which is the	7	Q That's okay. I want everybody to be clear.
8	only purchase and sale agreement related to the stock at	8	I understood you to mean on October 23, 2008,
9	issue in this case, is being drafted up after the payment	9	that the 249,580 that's referenced in the statement, that
10	of 249,580?	10	was the payment pursuant to this purchase and sale
11	A It's been drafted after it's been completed	11	agreement in Exhibit 30. That was your testimony;
12	after the payments.	12	correct?
13	Q Okay. And so that's the payment that's I	13	A I answered yes. My definition of "pursuant"
14	apologize.	14	actually isn't that clear, but I did answer yes, so, yes,
15	The payment that's referenced in this stock	15	I answered yes.
16	sale and purchase agreement, Exhibit 30, had already been	16	THE COURT: What page are you on again?
17	made; correct?	17	MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, that was at
18	A Correct.	18	page 142.
19	0 This document did not relate to an	19	THE COURT: 142. Hold on.
	unconsummated transaction?	20	BY MR. ANDERSON:
20			
21	A It was going to be used for a future	21	Q And then if you'd turn to page 178, if you look
22	transaction which didn't consummate.	22	at starting at line 17, I asked you, "Okay. Why did you
23	Q Okay. But it also dealt with the transaction	23	write 'September 30, 2013,' in there?
24	that had already happened correct? according to	24	"ANSWER: Because I wrote this date in there
	Page 228		Page 229
1	Page 228 because we had already executed the transaction."	1	Page 229 BY MR. ANDERSON:
1 2		1 2	-
	because we had already executed the transaction."	-	BY MR. ANDERSON:
2	because we had already executed the transaction." Correct?	2	BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually,
2 3	because we had already executed the transaction." Correct? A Correct.	2	BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that.
2 3 4	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction." Correct? A Correct. Q And the only transaction related to the stock</pre>	2 3 4	BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking
2 3 4 5	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction." Correct? A Correct. Q And the only transaction related to the stock at issue that was actually executed was April 2nd;</pre>	2 3 4 5	<pre>BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction."</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th;
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction."</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction." Correct? A Correct. Q And the only transaction related to the stock at issue that was actually executed was April 2nd; correct? A Correct. Q So when you write September 30th in this</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction."</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct? A Correct. Q And you indicated it was delivered previously
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction."</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct? A Correct. Q And you indicated it was delivered previously to September 30th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction." Correct? A Correct. Q And the only transaction related to the stock at issue that was actually executed was April 2nd; correct? A Correct. Q So when you write September 30th in this document, you're relating that to the April 2nd transaction that was already executed? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct? A Correct. Q And you indicated it was delivered previously to September 30th. A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction."</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct? A Correct. Q And you indicated it was delivered previously to September 30th. A Correct. Q And then if you turn to the next page, I asked</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction." Correct? A Correct. Q And the only transaction related to the stock at issue that was actually executed was April 2nd; correct? A Correct. Q So when you write September 30th in this document, you're relating that to the April 2nd transaction that was already executed? A Correct. THE COURT: Hold on a second. Mr. Anderson, you've repeatedly read part of his answer, but not the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct? A Correct. Q And you indicated it was delivered previously to September 30th. A Correct. Q And then if you turn to the next page, I asked you, "Your position, I understand, is that the payment</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction."</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct? A Correct. Q And you indicated it was delivered previously to September 30th. A Correct. Q And then if you turn to the next page, I asked you, "Your position, I understand, is that the payment was on April 2nd when the as the account statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction." Correct? A Correct. Q And the only transaction related to the stock at issue that was actually executed was April 2nd; correct? A Correct. Q So when you write September 30th in this document, you're relating that to the April 2nd transaction that was already executed? A Correct. THE COURT: Hold on a second. Mr. Anderson, you've repeatedly read part of his answer, but not the complete answer, so I'm just reviewing the complete answer that he gave to your question.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct? A Correct. Q And you indicated it was delivered previously to September 30th. A Correct. Q And then if you turn to the next page, I asked you, "Your position, I understand, is that the payment was on April 2nd when the as the account statement shows, that the stock was sold and his account was</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction." Correct? A Correct. Q And the only transaction related to the stock at issue that was actually executed was April 2nd; correct? A Correct. Q So when you write September 30th in this document, you're relating that to the April 2nd transaction that was already executed? A Correct. THE COURT: Hold on a second. Mr. Anderson, you've repeatedly read part of his answer, but not the complete answer, so I'm just reviewing the complete answer that he gave to your question. MR. ANDERSON: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct? A Correct. Q And you indicated it was delivered previously to September 30th. A Correct. Q And then if you turn to the next page, I asked you, "Your position, I understand, is that the payment was on April 2nd when the as the account statement shows, that the stock was sold and his account was credited?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction." Correct? A Correct. Q And the only transaction related to the stock at issue that was actually executed was April 2nd; correct? A Correct. Q So when you write September 30th in this document, you're relating that to the April 2nd transaction that was already executed? A Correct. THE COURT: Hold on a second. Mr. Anderson, you've repeatedly read part of his answer, but not the complete answer, so I'm just reviewing the complete answer that he gave to your question. MR. ANDERSON: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct? A Correct. Q And you indicated it was delivered previously to September 30th. A Correct. Q And then if you turn to the next page, I asked you, "Your position, I understand, is that the payment was on April 2nd when the as the account statement shows, that the stock was sold and his account was credited? "ANSWER: Correct."</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction." Correct? A Correct. Q And the only transaction related to the stock at issue that was actually executed was April 2nd; correct? A Correct. Q So when you write September 30th in this document, you're relating that to the April 2nd transaction that was already executed? A Correct. THE COURT: Hold on a second. Mr. Anderson, you've repeatedly read part of his answer, but not the complete answer, so I'm just reviewing the complete answer that he gave to your question. MR. ANDERSON: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Livadas, you're referencing a second sale in that transaction as well. Is that the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct? A Correct. Q And you indicated it was delivered previously to September 30th. A Correct. Q And then if you turn to the next page, I asked you, "Your position, I understand, is that the payment was on April 2nd when the as the account statement shows, that the stock was sold and his account was credited? "ANSWER: Correct." And I asked you, "Okay. So was that considered</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction." Correct? A Correct. Q And the only transaction related to the stock at issue that was actually executed was April 2nd; correct? A Correct. Q So when you write September 30th in this document, you're relating that to the April 2nd transaction that was already executed? A Correct. THE COURT: Hold on a second. Mr. Anderson, you've repeatedly read part of his answer, but not the complete answer, so I'm just reviewing the complete answer that he gave to your question. MR. ANDERSON: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Livadas, you're referencing a second sale in that transaction as well. Is that the sale to the potential Chinese buyers?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct? A Correct. Q And you indicated it was delivered previously to September 30th. A Correct. Q And then if you turn to the next page, I asked you, "Your position, I understand, is that the payment was on April 2nd when the as the account statement shows, that the stock was sold and his account was credited? "ANSWER: Correct." And I asked you, "Okay. So was that considered a cash payment to Mr. Skarpelos, the April 2nd?" And after Mr. Nork's objection, you answered,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction." Correct? A Correct. Q And the only transaction related to the stock at issue that was actually executed was April 2nd; correct? A Correct. Q So when you write September 30th in this document, you're relating that to the April 2nd transaction that was already executed? A Correct. THE COURT: Hold on a second. Mr. Anderson, you've repeatedly read part of his answer, but not the complete answer, so I'm just reviewing the complete answer that he gave to your question. MR. ANDERSON: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Livadas, you're referencing a second sale in that transaction as well. Is that the sale to the potential Chinese buyers? THE WITNESS: Potentially, yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct? A Correct. Q And you indicated it was delivered previously to September 30th. A Correct. Q And then if you turn to the next page, I asked you, "Your position, I understand, is that the payment was on April 2nd when the as the account statement shows, that the stock was sold and his account was credited? "ANSWER: Correct." And I asked you, "Okay. So was that considered a cash payment to Mr. Skarpelos, the April 2nd?" And after Mr. Nork's objection, you answered, "We considered it a cash payment. It was not physical</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction." Correct? A Correct. Q And the only transaction related to the stock at issue that was actually executed was April 2nd; correct? A Correct. Q So when you write September 30th in this document, you're relating that to the April 2nd transaction that was already executed? A Correct. THE COURT: Hold on a second. Mr. Anderson, you've repeatedly read part of his answer, but not the complete answer, so I'm just reviewing the complete answer that he gave to your question. MR. ANDERSON: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Livadas, you're referencing a second sale in that transaction as well. Is that the sale to the potential Chinese buyers? THE WITNESS: Potentially, yes. THE COURT: And other people?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct? A Correct. Q And you indicated it was delivered previously to September 30th. A Correct. Q And then if you turn to the next page, I asked you, "Your position, I understand, is that the payment was on April 2nd when the as the account statement shows, that the stock was sold and his account was credited? "ANSWER: Correct." And I asked you, "Okay. So was that considered a cash payment to Mr. Skarpelos, the April 2nd?" And after Mr. Nork's objection, you answered, "We considered it a cash payment. It was not physical cash, but it's considered a cash payment."</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>because we had already executed the transaction." Correct? A Correct. Q And the only transaction related to the stock at issue that was actually executed was April 2nd; correct? A Correct. Q So when you write September 30th in this document, you're relating that to the April 2nd transaction that was already executed? A Correct. THE COURT: Hold on a second. Mr. Anderson, you've repeatedly read part of his answer, but not the complete answer, so I'm just reviewing the complete answer that he gave to your question. MR. ANDERSON: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Livadas, you're referencing a second sale in that transaction as well. Is that the sale to the potential Chinese buyers? THE WITNESS: Potentially, yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>BY MR. ANDERSON: Q If you'd look at page 185 and, actually, let's look at page 184 before that. At the bottom half of page 184, I was asking you questions about whether there was a \$250,000 cash payment delivered to Tom Skarpelos on September 30th; correct? A Correct. Q And you indicated it was delivered previously to September 30th. A Correct. Q And then if you turn to the next page, I asked you, "Your position, I understand, is that the payment was on April 2nd when the as the account statement shows, that the stock was sold and his account was credited? "ANSWER: Correct." And I asked you, "Okay. So was that considered a cash payment to Mr. Skarpelos, the April 2nd?" And after Mr. Nork's objection, you answered, "We considered it a cash payment. It was not physical</pre>

	Page 230		Page 231
1	Q If you turn to page 195	1	Q Okay. But this is the only July agreement I'm
2	MR. NORK: 195?	2	aware of that discusses the sale of stock from
3	MR. ANDERSON: 195.	3	Mr. Skarpelos to anybody.
4	BY MR. ANDERSON:	4	A Correct.
5	Q as sort of a follow-up topic to what we were	5	Q And this document, Exhibit 30, purports to be
6	just talking about, which was the cash payment, on line 2	6	between the front says Weiser Ltd. and the back page
7	• • • • • • •	7	
	I asked you, "I understand that. My question is, you as		says Weiser Bahamas, which is also known as Weiser
8	the owner of Weiser Capital could have said, 'Tom, I want	8	Capital; correct?
9	to reference in here that you've already received the	9	A Correct.
10	consideration.'	10	Q So this is a July agreement between
11	"ANSWER: Sure.	11	Mr. Skarpelos and Weiser Capital?
12	"QUESTION: Okay.	12	A Correct.
13	"ANSWER: Yes, could have."	13	Q Okay. Are you aware of any other July 2013
14	And then it goes on to discuss the additional	14	agreements by which Mr. Skarpelos agreed to sell his
15	transactions again; correct?	15	stock to anybody?
16	A Correct.	16	A No.
17	Q Now, the agreement that you signed or the	17	Q Are you aware of any other July agreements
18	exhibit that you earlier claimed was not a consummated	18	where Mr. Skarpelos agreed to sell his stock to Weiser
19	transaction was the July agreement that's Exhibit 30;	19	Asset Management?
20	correct?	20	A Any other besides these, no.
21	A It wasn't the July transaction. The agreements	21	Q And specifically in July
22	were put together in July to close sometime in the near	22	A July, no.
23	future after the agreement was put together, which then	23	0 2013?
24	that contemplated transaction didn't close.	24	A No. No.
1	Page 232	1	Page 233
1	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please.	1	\$250,000 comes from in April 2013?
2	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that,	2	\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct.
	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please.		\$250,000 comes from in April 2013?
2	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that,	2	\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct.
2 3	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind.	2 3	\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So that's done.
2 3 4	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser	2 3 4	\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So that's done. Now we're talking about this July deal, but
2 3 4 5	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013?	2 3 4 5	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So that's done. Now we're talking about this July deal, but there really is no July deal. There's never a sale that</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So that's done. Now we're talking about this July deal, but there really is no July deal. There's never a sale that takes place. There's nobody out there, as you've already</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So that's done. Now we're talking about this July deal, but there really is no July deal. There's never a sale that takes place. There's nobody out there, as you've already articulated, that's waiting for this contract to be</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as Weiser because we're the intermediary. Many times the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So that's done. Now we're talking about this July deal, but there really is no July deal. There's never a sale that takes place. There's nobody out there, as you've already articulated, that's waiting for this contract to be consummated. They're waiting for their shares of Anavex</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as Weiser because we're the intermediary. Many times the broker of the deal will be the one that interfaces with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So that's done. Now we're talking about this July deal, but there really is no July deal. There's never a sale that takes place. There's nobody out there, as you've already articulated, that's waiting for their contract to be consummated. They're waiting for their shares of Anavex as we sit here today, and you're not and by "you" I</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as Weiser because we're the intermediary. Many times the broker of the deal will be the one that interfaces with both sides, so it's a technicality, but the technicality	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So that's done. Now we're talking about this July deal, but there really is no July deal. There's never a sale that takes place. There's nobody out there, as you've already articulated, that's waiting for this contract to be consummated. They're waiting for their shares of Anavex as we sit here today, and you're not and by "you" I mean Weiser you've already testified you're not buying</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as Weiser because we're the intermediary. Many times the broker of the deal will be the one that interfaces with both sides, so it's a technicality, but the technicality is that Weiser becomes and I'll give you the example	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So that's done. Now we're talking about this July deal, but there really is no July deal. There's never a sale that takes place. There's nobody out there, as you've already articulated, that's waiting for this contract to be consummated. They're waiting for their shares of Anavex as we sit here today, and you're not and by "you" I mean Weiser you've already testified you're not buying them either. You're not trying to purchase the shares.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as Weiser because we're the intermediary. Many times the broker of the deal will be the one that interfaces with both sides, so it's a technicality, but the technicality is that Weiser becomes and I'll give you the example with the stock certificate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So that's done. Now we're talking about this July deal, but there really is no July deal. There's never a sale that takes place. There's nobody out there, as you've already articulated, that's waiting for this contract to be consummated. They're waiting for their shares of Anavex as we sit here today, and you're not and by "you" I mean Weiser you've already testified you're not buying them either. You're not trying to purchase the shares. You were only acting as an intermediary for some other</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as Weiser because we're the intermediary. Many times the broker of the deal will be the one that interfaces with both sides, so it's a technicality, but the technicality is that Weiser becomes and I'll give you the example with the stock certificate. Even though our clients own the shares, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So that's done. Now we're talking about this July deal, but there really is no July deal. There's never a sale that takes place. There's nobody out there, as you've already articulated, that's waiting for this contract to be consummated. They're waiting for their shares of Anavex as we sit here today, and you're not and by "you" I mean Weiser you've already testified you're not buying them either. You're not trying to purchase the shares. You were only acting as an intermediary for some other person who now is I'm just going to use the term is</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as Weiser because we're the intermediary. Many times the broker of the deal will be the one that interfaces with both sides, so it's a technicality, but the technicality is that Weiser becomes and I'll give you the example with the stock certificate. Even though our clients own the shares, when the stock certificate goes to NATCO, it's in Weiser's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So that's done. Now we're talking about this July deal, but there really is no July deal. There's never a sale that takes place. There's nobody out there, as you've already articulated, that's waiting for this contract to be consummated. They're waiting for their shares of Anavex as we sit here today, and you're not and by "you" I mean Weiser you've already testified you're not buying them either. You're not trying to purchase the shares. You were only acting as an intermediary for some other person who now is I'm just going to use the term is gone. They're not sitting there five years later waiting</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as Weiser because we're the intermediary. Many times the broker of the deal will be the one that interfaces with both sides, so it's a technicality, but the technicality is that Weiser becomes and I'll give you the example with the stock certificate. Even though our clients own the shares, when the stock certificate goes to NATCO, it's in Weiser's name. It's the one interfacing in between. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So that's done. Now we're talking about this July deal, but there really is no July deal. There's never a sale that takes place. There's nobody out there, as you've already articulated, that's waiting for this contract to be consummated. They're waiting for their shares of Anavex as we sit here today, and you're not and by "you" I mean Weiser you've already testified you're not buying them either. You're not trying to purchase the shares. You were only acting as an intermediary for some other person who now is I'm just going to use the term is gone. They're not sitting there five years later waiting for their shares. Am I misunderstanding</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as Weiser because we're the intermediary. Many times the broker of the deal will be the one that interfaces with both sides, so it's a technicality, but the technicality is that Weiser becomes and I'll give you the example with the stock certificate. Even though our clients own the shares, when the stock certificate goes to NATCO, it's in Weiser's name. It's the one interfacing in between. So everything most of the time ends up in the name of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So that's done. Now we're talking about this July deal, but there really is no July deal. There's never a sale that takes place. There's nobody out there, as you've already articulated, that's waiting for this contract to be consummated. They're waiting for their shares of Anavex as we sit here today, and you're not and by "you" I mean Weiser you've already testified you're not buying them either. You're not trying to purchase the shares. You were only acting as an intermediary for some other person who now is I'm just going to use the term is gone. They're not sitting there five years later waiting for their shares. Am I misunderstanding THE WITNESS: There was buyers ready for the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as Weiser because we're the intermediary. Many times the broker of the deal will be the one that interfaces with both sides, so it's a technicality, but the technicality is that Weiser becomes and I'll give you the example with the stock certificate. Even though our clients own the shares, when the stock certificate goes to NATCO, it's in Weiser's name. It's the one interfacing in between. So everything most of the time ends up in the name of the broker. THE COURT: The question, though, that I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as Weiser because we're the intermediary. Many times the broker of the deal will be the one that interfaces with both sides, so it's a technicality, but the technicality is that Weiser becomes and I'll give you the example with the stock certificate. Even though our clients own the shares, when the stock certificate goes to NATCO, it's in Weiser's name. It's the one interfacing in between. So everything most of the time ends up in the name of the broker. THE COURT: The question, though, that I have for you, based now on the cross-examination that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as Weiser because we're the intermediary. Many times the broker of the deal will be the one that interfaces with both sides, so it's a technicality, but the technicality is that Weiser becomes and I'll give you the example with the stock certificate. Even though our clients own the shares, when the stock certificate goes to NATCO, it's in Weiser's name. It's the one interfacing in between. So everything most of the time ends up in the name of the broker. THE COURT: The question, though, that I have for you, based now on the cross-examination that Mr. Anderson has done, before he moves on to Exhibit 3 is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as Weiser because we're the intermediary. Many times the broker of the deal will be the one that interfaces with both sides, so it's a technicality, but the technicality is that Weiser becomes and I'll give you the example with the stock certificate. Even though our clients own the shares, when the stock certificate goes to NATCO, it's in Weiser's name. It's the one interfacing in between. So everything most of the time ends up in the name of the broker. THE COURT: The question, though, that I have for you, based now on the cross-examination that Mr. Anderson has done, before he moves on to Exhibit 3 is this: You've already testified this morning that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as Weiser because we're the intermediary. Many times the broker of the deal will be the one that interfaces with both sides, so it's a technicality, but the technicality is that Weiser becomes and I'll give you the example with the stock certificate. Even though our clients own the shares, when the stock certificate goes to NATCO, it's in Weiser's name. It's the one interfacing in between. So everything most of the time ends up in the name of the broker. THE COURT: The question, though, that I have for you, based now on the cross-examination that Mr. Anderson has done, before he moves on to Exhibit 3 is this: You've already testified this morning that you sold half of the shares to a WAM client or clients.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q I'd like you to look at Exhibit 3, please. THE COURT: Just before you go off that, Mr. Anderson, you've caused some confusion in my mind. There was no deal to sell the stock to Weiser in any of its iterations in July of 2013? THE WITNESS: It was not to Weiser, but Weiser acting as the intermediary. Sometimes it gets listed as Weiser because we're the intermediary. Many times the broker of the deal will be the one that interfaces with both sides, so it's a technicality, but the technicality is that Weiser becomes and I'll give you the example with the stock certificate. Even though our clients own the shares, when the stock certificate goes to NATCO, it's in Weiser's name. It's the one interfacing in between. So everything most of the time ends up in the name of the broker. THE COURT: The question, though, that I have for you, based now on the cross-examination that Mr. Anderson has done, before he moves on to Exhibit 3 is this: You've already testified this morning that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>\$250,000 comes from in April 2013?</pre>

	Page 234		Page 235
1	THE WITNESS: No. No.	1	\$250,000, and almost all of it went to Mr. Skarpelos's
2	THE COURT: You're not suing, as you've just	2	account, and if I understand your testimony correctly,
3	acknowledged, to get the shares yourself as Weiser in any	3	based on Exhibit 30, I think it is no, it's not 30,
4	of its iterations? You're not saying, you owe Weiser the	4	it's 44 based on Exhibit 44, Mr. Skarpelos is
5	shares because we purchased them, because you would	5	basically drawing that account down to about 5,000 bucks
6	assume, then, that there's an additional \$250,000	6	back then, 4,000 and change?
7	somewhere in Mr. Skarpelos's account.	7	THE WITNESS: Sure.
8	I'm just kind of confused about the references	8	THE COURT: So that April transaction has taken
9	to this additional sale. This is one of those few times	9	place. I guess I'm kind of circling back to the point
10	where now I get to feel like a jury where the jury gets	10	that Mr. Anderson was asking. What are you suing for?
11	to ask questions of the witness, and so that's why ${\tt I}{\tt `m}$	11	Is it simply to get Stock Certificate 753 so it can be
12	asking the questions, Counsel. And obviously, Mr. Nork,	12	dematerialized and the owners who purchased on April 2nd
13	you'll get the opportunity to respond to the questions as	13	of 2013 can get their half, their 3-plus-million shares?
14	well.	14	Is that it and that's all of it?
15	But I just don't quite understand the	15	THE WITNESS: There's two parts, I think, to
16	statements that you've made at the beginning of	16	answer your question.
17	cross-examination, which is you weren't buying them other	17	First, the broker does own the shares
18	than acting in your role as a conduit or intermediary.	18	momentarily. It is only a nanosecond, but that
19	As you've described it earlier this morning, it might be	19	nanosecond matters because we can't complete the
20	nanoseconds that they're actually yours. You're just	20	transaction through. So even though we're showing the
21	transferring them from one place to the next.	21	credit of ownership to the clients, the ownership, the
22	So there really is no additional contract out	22	process of ownership, we can't complete it as the broker
23	there. The WAM clients purchased half of the shares of	23	because we didn't own it for that nanosecond to pass it
24	Stock Certificate 753 on April 2nd of 2013, and they paid	24	through. So WAM or Weiser Capital is the buyer for that
	Page 236		Page 237
1	initial second, and that's and we're stuck there	1	reason to because we as the broker's intermediary,
2	because	2	technically we sold them the shares because we buy and
3	THE COURT: And I'm going to just jump ahead a	3	then we sell at the same time. So we've sold them the
4	little bit to my knowledge of the trial statements. I	4	shares, we fulfilled our commitment as the broker to
5	believe it was in Mr. Anderson's trial statement.	5	them. They received their credit on it, but we're stuck
6	Now the issue is that the value of the shares	6	still receiving the front side of the transaction, and we
7	of the stock, I'm assuming, have gone up.	7	can't receive the front side of the transaction unless
8	THE WITNESS: They're up a bit now, yes.	8	unless the certificate is dematerialized to us in
9	THE COURT: I don't think you'd be fighting	9	electronic form.
10	over the value of something that is less valuable than it	10	THE COURT: Okay. I think I kind of understand
11	was at the time. So now that the value of the shares has	11	what you're saying.
12	gone up, your position is we bought the shares and we	12	Go ahead, Mr. Anderson. I didn't mean to
13	were entitled to them even though we were going to	13	hijack your question.
14	immediately, in that nanosecond that we've described,	14	MR. ANDERSON: That's okay.
15	move them to the other customer who is not trying to sue,	15	BY MR. ANDERSON:
16	doesn't want the shares now and has gotten their money	16	Q I'm still confused, Mr. Livadas.
17	back? Now you just think you're entitled to the shares	17	Right now neither WAM nor Weiser Capital are
18	because you're supposed to have them as the intermediary	18	the owners of the stock; correct?
19	for that nanosecond? So all that would happen is that	19	A They're not the owners of the stock because the
20	the escrow account would kick into place, the money that	20	stock hasn't been cleared into Weiser.
21	the Chinese people would have had would go arguably	21	Q Okay. But you testified just in answering the
22	to Mr. Skarpelos, and then the shares would go from you	22	judge's question that the stock went from Mr. Skarpelos's
23 24	right through the escrow account to the purchaser?	23	account on April 2nd to Weiser Asset Management for a
	THE WITNESS: The ultimate owners don't have a	24	nanosecond and then on to the ultimate buyer?
27			

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

	Page 238		Page 239
1	A That's what it's supposed to do, but it can't	1	If you trade if you put in an order in for
2	finish that process unless the certificate unless and	2	your Apple stock, to sell your hundred shares of Apple at
3	until the certificate becomes electronic to cover our	3	JP Morgan and somebody else in their book buys the
4	side of the transaction.	4	hundred shares, they're not going to tell you who. They
5	Q So you're claiming now that Weiser Asset	5	would need various permissions and court orders to get
6	Management is the owner? I'm confused.	6	that information of who bought that hundred shares.
7	A I'm not a legal professional to define what	7	Q But you'd agree with me, if that person is
8	exactly is ownership. I can only describe the process so	8	claiming ownership, they'd be an important party to have
9	somebody can decide where ownership falls.	9	in this lawsuit?
10	Q I thought you testified earlier that Weiser	10	A They claim ownership by virtue of us giving
11	Asset Management has liability because a third-party	11	them ownership and crediting it to their account. So as
12	buyer paid for the stock and didn't get what they paid	12	far as the clients understand, they bought it, the
13	for.	13	transaction interfaces with WAM, with their broker, so
14	A We've given them what they paid for, which	14	they have the credit to their account.
15	means we're short.	15	Now, if WAM now has ended up going short to the
16	Q So they put money into WAM?	16	client, the client doesn't know, doesn't care, not their
17	A Their clients have accounts with money.	17	business. They've already received the credit, their
18	Q Who are these clients?	18	benefit.
19	A I can't disclose our clients.	19	Q Okay. So your testimony is that these clients
20	Q You're not going to tell us or this Court who	20	that you won't name paid WAM for the stock; correct?
21	these clients are?	21	A Uh-huh.
22	A I cannot disclose the clients. We're a	22	THE COURT: Are you talking about the April
23	financial institution. A financial institution needs	23	deal?
24	permission to disclose its clients.	24	MR. ANDERSON: I'm talking about the April
	Dage 240		Dage 241
1	Page 240 deal.	1	Page 241 transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the
1 2		1	3
	deal.		transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the
2	deal. THE COURT: Just for clarification of the record, let's make sure that we're identifying the April	2	transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought? A WAM does not have the stock. The clients have
2 3	deal. THE COURT: Just for clarification of the	2 3	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought? A WAM does not have the stock. The clients have the stock. Now, they may have sold the stock, but the</pre>
2 3 4 5	deal. THE COURT: Just for clarification of the record, let's make sure that we're identifying the April deal, and then we'll call it the July deal, which is the one that did not happen.	2 3 4	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought? A WAM does not have the stock. The clients have the stock. Now, they may have sold the stock, but the clients ended up getting the stock.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	deal. THE COURT: Just for clarification of the record, let's make sure that we're identifying the April deal, and then we'll call it the July deal, which is the one that did not happen. We know that the April deal took place because	2 3 4 5	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	deal. THE COURT: Just for clarification of the record, let's make sure that we're identifying the April deal, and then we'll call it the July deal, which is the one that did not happen. We know that the April deal took place because there's a credit on Mr. Skarpelos's ledger of	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	deal. THE COURT: Just for clarification of the record, let's make sure that we're identifying the April deal, and then we'll call it the July deal, which is the one that did not happen. We know that the April deal took place because there's a credit on Mr. Skarpelos's ledger of approximately \$250,000. So for my ease of understanding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	deal. THE COURT: Just for clarification of the record, let's make sure that we're identifying the April deal, and then we'll call it the July deal, which is the one that did not happen. We know that the April deal took place because there's a credit on Mr. Skarpelos's ledger of approximately \$250,000. So for my ease of understanding what you're talking about, let's keep talking about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	deal. THE COURT: Just for clarification of the record, let's make sure that we're identifying the April deal, and then we'll call it the July deal, which is the one that did not happen. We know that the April deal took place because there's a credit on Mr. Skarpelos's ledger of approximately \$250,000. So for my ease of understanding what you're talking about, let's keep talking about either the April deal or the July deal of 2013.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	deal. THE COURT: Just for clarification of the record, let's make sure that we're identifying the April deal, and then we'll call it the July deal, which is the one that did not happen. We know that the April deal took place because there's a credit on Mr. Skarpelos's ledger of approximately \$250,000. So for my ease of understanding what you're talking about, let's keep talking about either the April deal or the July deal of 2013. BY MR. ANDERSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>deal. THE COURT: Just for clarification of the record, let's make sure that we're identifying the April deal, and then we'll call it the July deal, which is the one that did not happen. We know that the April deal took place because there's a credit on Mr. Skarpelos's ledger of approximately \$250,000. So for my ease of understanding what you're talking about, let's keep talking about either the April deal or the July deal of 2013. EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Let's focus on April 2, 2013.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>deal.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>deal.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>deal.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>deal.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>deal.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>deal.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>deal.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>deal.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought? A WAM does not have the stock. The clients have the stock. Now, they may have sold the stock, but the clients ended up getting the stock. THE COURT: In April the person or persons who provided the \$250,000 received 3-plus-million shares of Anavex stock? THE WITNESS: Credited to their account. THE COURT: Credited to their account. Regardless of how you came up with it? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: So now I've got it. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q How do you credit to their account a paper stock certificate? A Because the stock certificate is in the vault, so it's shown as a credit to their account, the same way it shows as a credit of shares, because it's been</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>deal.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>deal.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought? A WAM does not have the stock. The clients have the stock. Now, they may have sold the stock, but the clients ended up getting the stock. THE COURT: In April the person or persons who provided the \$250,000 received 3-plus-million shares of Anavex stock? THE WITNESS: Credited to their account. THE COURT: Credited to their account. THE COURT: Credited to their account. Regardless of how you came up with it? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: So now I've got it. EY MR. ANDERSON: Q How do you credit to their account a paper stock certificate? A Because the stock certificate is in the vault, so it's shown as a credit to their account, the same way it shows as a credit of shares, because it's been deposited to the vault. Q So the only record we don't have any record</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>deal.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>transaction that, according to you, doesn't have the stock that they bought?</pre>

	Page 242		Page 243
1	Q You have not produced in this case a record of	1	pass that there's a problem with the stock certificate
2	WAM crediting this third party's account?	2	and they can't actually acquire ownership through the
3	A I have not been asked to produce other clients'	3	transfer agent; is that right?
4	statements. If I was, I couldn't without the permission	4	A WAM cannot. They can if we if WAM, again,
5	of the client or the Court.	5	in this intermediary takes care of the imbalance either
6	Q So we don't know the name of the buyer?	6	by going short and buying the stock somewhere else and
7	A No.	7	then letting them sell it.
8	Q And we don't have any record that WAM actually	8	Q So I'm still confused.
9	credited their account?	9	Who owns the stock on April 2, 2013?
10	A You don't.	10	A The clients who bought that stock.
11	Q Okay. Well, you haven't produced any in this	11	Q Okay. So the clients own the stock on April 2,
12	case; correct?	12	2013. When did the clients no longer own the stock?
13	A Here, no.	13	A They sold it at different times.
14	Q Okay. "Here" meaning throughout the four years	14	Q To other people?
15	of litigation that we've been in, you haven't produced a	15	A Correct.
16	record of WAM crediting that third-party buyer's account;	16	Q Okay.
17	correct?	17	A Much time much time later.
18	A The first time that I was asked that I recall	18	Q So at some point in time WAM got pressured to
19	was at the deposition, if I would produce. I told you	19	deliver the certificate in order for these sales to other
20	for me to produce I would need to have a local court	20	parties to be able to be consummated; correct?
21	order or concession of the clients to produce their	21	A We had pressure yes, we had pressure to deal
22	account statements.	22	with the issue of the imbalance because the
23	Q Okay. So you credit their account on April 2nd	23	certificate the hold on the certificates created an
24	with the 3.3 million shares, and ultimately it comes to	24	imbalance in Weiser's books.
	Page 244		Page 245
1	Page 244 Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the	1	right with these third parties?
2	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to	2	right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short.
2 3	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else?	2 3	right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means.
2 3 4	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example.	2 3 4	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple?</pre>
2 3 4 5	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the	2 3 4 5	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank has clients that own some of this hundred dollar bill, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to balance the sale requests from clients. That's the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank has clients that own some of this hundred dollar bill, so they've already credited to the clients the hundred	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to balance the sale requests from clients. That's the easiest way I can explain it.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank has clients that own some of this hundred dollar bill, so they've already credited to the clients the hundred dollar bill and then realize, oh, this hundred dollar	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to balance the sale requests from clients. That's the easiest way I can explain it. Q So Weiser entered into transactions to somehow</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank has clients that own some of this hundred dollar bill, so they've already credited to the clients the hundred dollar bill and then realize, oh, this hundred dollar bill is counterfeit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to balance the sale requests from clients. That's the easiest way I can explain it. Q So Weiser entered into transactions to somehow get money or stock did Weiser get money or stock for</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank has clients that own some of this hundred dollar bill, so they've already credited to the clients the hundred dollar bill and then realize, oh, this hundred dollar bill is counterfeit. They're not going to subtract the other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to balance the sale requests from clients. That's the easiest way I can explain it. Q So Weiser entered into transactions to somehow get money or stock did Weiser get money or stock for these short transactions?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank has clients that own some of this hundred dollar bill, so they've already credited to the clients the hundred dollar bill and then realize, oh, this hundred dollar bill is counterfeit. They're not going to subtract the other clients of the bank are not going to have an issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to balance the sale requests from clients. That's the easiest way I can explain it. Q So Weiser entered into transactions to somehow get money or stock did Weiser get money or stock for these short transactions? A When you sell short, you receive cash.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank has clients that own some of this hundred dollar bill, so they've already credited to the clients the hundred dollar bill and then realize, oh, this hundred dollar bill is counterfeit. They're not going to subtract the other clients of the bank are not going to have an issue because the bank is holding a hundred dollar counterfeit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to balance the sale requests from clients. That's the easiest way I can explain it. Q So Weiser entered into transactions to somehow get money or stock did Weiser get money or stock for these short transactions? A When you sell short, you receive cash. Q So WAM made it right with these third parties</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank has clients that own some of this hundred dollar bill, so they've already credited to the clients the hundred dollar bill and then realize, oh, this hundred dollar bill is counterfeit. They're not going to subtract the other clients of the bank are not going to have an issue because the bank is holding a hundred dollar counterfeit bill. That's the bank's problem to deal with the hundred	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to balance the sale requests from clients. That's the easiest way I can explain it. Q So Weiser entered into transactions to somehow get money or stock did Weiser get money or stock for these short transactions? A When you sell short, you receive cash. Q So WAM made it right with these third parties not by giving them shares of Anavex stock, but by giving</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank has clients that own some of this hundred dollar bill, so they've already credited to the clients the hundred dollar bill and then realize, oh, this hundred dollar bill is counterfeit. They're not going to subtract the other clients of the bank are not going to have an issue because the bank is holding a hundred dollar counterfeit bill. That's the bank's problem to deal with the hundred dollar counterfeit bill and go to correct that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to balance the sale requests from clients. That's the easiest way I can explain it. Q So Weiser entered into transactions to somehow get money or stock did Weiser get money or stock for these short transactions? A When you sell short, you receive cash. Q So WAM made it right with these third parties not by giving them shares of Anavex stock, but by giving them cash?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank has clients that own some of this hundred dollar bill, so they've already credited to the clients the hundred dollar bill and then realize, oh, this hundred dollar bill is counterfeit. They're not going to subtract the other clients of the bank are not going to have an issue because the bank is holding a hundred dollar counterfeit bill. That's the bank's problem to deal with the hundred dollar counterfeit bill and go to correct that counterfeit bill.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to balance the sale requests from clients. That's the easiest way I can explain it. Q So Weiser entered into transactions to somehow get money or stock did Weiser get money or stock for these short transactions? A When you sell short, you receive cash. Q So WAM made it right with these third parties not by giving them shares of Anavex stock, but by giving them cash? A It already credited the shares at the beginning</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank has clients that own some of this hundred dollar bill, so they've already credited to the clients the hundred dollar bill is counterfeit. They're not going to subtract the other clients of the bank are not going to have an issue because the bank is holding a hundred dollar counterfeit bill. That's the bank's problem to deal with the hundred dollar counterfeit bill and go to correct that counterfeit bill.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to balance the sale requests from clients. That's the easiest way I can explain it. Q So Weiser entered into transactions to somehow get money or stock did Weiser get money or stock for these short transactions? A When you sell short, you receive cash. Q So WAM made it right with these third parties not by giving them shares of Anavex stock, but by giving them cash? A It already credited the shares at the beginning of the transaction. Later they wanted to sell. When</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank has clients that own some of this hundred dollar bill, so they've already credited to the clients the hundred dollar bill is counterfeit. They're not going to subtract the other clients of the bank are not going to have an issue because the bank is holding a hundred dollar counterfeit bill. That's the bank's problem to deal with the hundred dollar counterfeit bill and go to correct that counterfeit bill.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to balance the sale requests from clients. That's the easiest way I can explain it. Q So Weiser entered into transactions to somehow get money or stock did Weiser get money or stock for these short transactions? A When you sell short, you receive cash. Q So WAM made it right with these third parties not by giving them shares of Anavex stock, but by giving them cash? A It already credited the shares at the beginning of the transaction. Later they wanted to sell. When they wanted to sell and Weiser couldn't take it from the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank has clients that own some of this hundred dollar bill, so they've already credited to the clients the hundred dollar bill is counterfeit. They're not going to subtract the other clients of the bank are not going to have an issue because the bank is holding a hundred dollar counterfeit bill. That's the bank's problem to deal with the hundred dollar counterfeit bill and go to correct that counterfeit bill. Q So what you've saying, then, is that WAM made it right with the ultimate buyer and the other people by giving some sort of substitute for the shares that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to balance the sale requests from clients. That's the easiest way I can explain it. Q So Weiser entered into transactions to somehow get money or stock did Weiser get money or stock for these short transactions? A When you sell short, you receive cash. Q So WAM made it right with these third parties not by giving them shares of Anavex stock, but by giving them cash? A It already credited the shares at the beginning of the transaction. Later they wanted to sell. When they wanted to sell and Weiser couldn't take it from the inventory of 0753, whatever the certificate number was,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank has clients that own some of this hundred dollar bill, so they've already credited to the clients the hundred dollar bill is counterfeit. They're not going to subtract the other clients of the bank are not going to have an issue because the bank is holding a hundred dollar counterfeit bill. That's the bank's problem to deal with the hundred dollar counterfeit bill and go to correct that counterfeit bill.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to balance the sale requests from clients. That's the easiest way I can explain it. Q So Weiser entered into transactions to somehow get money or stock did Weiser get money or stock for these short transactions? A When you sell short, you receive cash. Q So WAM made it right with these third parties not by giving them shares of Anavex stock, but by giving them cash? A It already credited the shares at the beginning of the transaction. Later they wanted to sell. When they wanted to sell and Weiser couldn't take it from the inventory of 0753, whatever the certificate number was, Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to match the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Well, didn't it also create a problem for the ultimate buyers, the WAM clients that then went on to sell to somebody else? A No. I'll give you an example. Let's say, for example, somebody goes to the bank and gives a counterfeit hundred dollar bill. That counterfeit hundred dollar bill now is at the bank. Somewhere in some other side of the transaction, the bank has clients that own some of this hundred dollar bill, so they've already credited to the clients the hundred dollar bill is counterfeit. They're not going to subtract the other clients of the bank are not going to have an issue because the bank is holding a hundred dollar counterfeit bill. That's the bank's problem to deal with the hundred dollar counterfeit bill and go to correct that counterfeit bill. Q So what you've saying, then, is that WAM made it right with the ultimate buyer and the other people by giving some sort of substitute for the shares that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>right with these third parties? A I believe it mostly went short. Q Explain what that means. A It means that how can I explain it simple? So let's say you don't own Apple. You can still go and sell Apple yourself, Dane, you can go and sell Apple in the market even though you don't own it. That makes you short. So Weiser had to go short in the marketplace to balance the sale requests from clients. That's the easiest way I can explain it. Q So Weiser entered into transactions to somehow get money or stock did Weiser get money or stock for these short transactions? A When you sell short, you receive cash. Q So WAM made it right with these third parties not by giving them shares of Anavex stock, but by giving them cash? A It already credited the shares at the beginning of the transaction. Later they wanted to sell. When they wanted to sell and Weiser couldn't take it from the inventory of 0753, whatever the certificate number was,</pre>

	Page 246		Page 247
1	long inventory in physical certificate, which is what	1	the third-party client; correct?
2	it's trying to deal with the issue on.	2	A Those are Weiser's trades in the market. Yes,
3	Q So to make it right, these buyers these	3	it will show Weiser.
4	buyers never got the shares of Certificate 753; correct?	4	Q And those trades related to the dispute that
5	A Nobody gets the shares of certificate. It get	5	we're talking about here because you were attempting to
6	credited to the account. It's like if I can give an	6	remedy the situation; correct?
7	example, like on Tom's account here, it shows I	7	A Correct.
8	believe it's okay. On the front page it shows here	8	Q And you didn't produce those documents to your
9	that he has these shares right? but he's not	9	client or your counsel in this case, did you?
10	holding those shares. Those shares are in the vault, but	10	A Not that I'm aware of. I don't know if I was
11	it reflects on his account. It's a credit on account.	11	asked for them. I don't think I was asked for them.
12	Q Okay. So you credited to this third-party	12	Q Let's look at Exhibit 3, please.
13	client's account shares of stock that ultimately you	13	THE COURT: Before we get to Exhibit 3, now's
14	could not deliver as transferrable, tradeable stock?	14	the time we normally would take our afternoon recess, so
15	A Correct.	15	if we're moving on to a different exhibit, maybe this
16	Q Okay. And you made it right by shorting other	16	would be a good time to do it.
17	stock and paying them cash?	17	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. I was thinking
18	A Well, we paid them cash to settle their trade,	18	of asking a follow-up, but I decided not to.
19	yes.	19	THE COURT: If it's literally just one
20	Q So Weiser Asset Management, does it have any	20	follow-up, I'll let you do that, but lawyers are
21	records of these payments and these transactions?	21	notorious my favorite thing is a lawyer who says, "Can
22	A I'm sure it does. I could find the sale	22	I ask one additional question?" and my response always
23	transactions, short transactions.	23	is, "You just did."
24	Q Yeah. The short transactions wouldn't mention	24	MR. ANDERSON: In that case, Your Honor, I'll
1	Page 248 wait	1	Page 249 I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps
1	wait	1	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps
	wait THE COURT: If you have one additional		5
2	wait	2	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct.
2 3	wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him.	2 3	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct?
2 3 4	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break.</pre>	2 3 4	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements,
2 3 4 5	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for</pre>	2 3 4 5	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests?
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser Asset Management, CV15-02259.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct. Q Do you have any evidence in this case that Mr. Skarpelos ever requested Exhibit 44 be generated?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct. Q Do you have any evidence in this case that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser Asset Management, CV15-02259. Mr. Anderson, you were doing cross-examination.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct. Q Do you have any evidence in this case that Mr. Skarpelos ever requested Exhibit 44 be generated? A That he requested it, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser Asset Management, CV15-02259. Mr. Anderson, you were doing cross-examination. I would note to the parties that we will finish</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct. Q Do you have any evidence in this case that Mr. Skarpelos ever requested Exhibit 44 be generated? A That he requested it, no. Q Okay. There are a number of transactions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser Asset Management, CV15-02259. Mr. Anderson, you were doing cross-examination. I would note to the parties that we will finish right around 4:45 today to give everyone the opportunity</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct. Q Do you have any evidence in this case that Mr. Skarpelos ever requested Exhibit 44 be generated? A That he requested it, no. Q Okay. There are a number of transactions listed on here that we've talked about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser Asset Management, CV15-02259. Mr. Anderson, you were doing cross-examination. I would note to the parties that we will finish right around 4:45 today to give everyone the opportunity to clear the courtroom before the court staff begins the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct. Q Do you have any evidence in this case that Mr. Skarpelos ever requested Exhibit 44 be generated? A That he requested it, no. Q Okay. There are a number of transactions listed on here that we've talked about. Looking at the first entry on here, it says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser Asset Management, CV15-02259. Mr. Anderson, you were doing cross-examination. I would note to the parties that we will finish right around 4:45 today to give everyone the opportunity to clear the courtroom before the court staff begins the mass exodus out the one door we all use to leave the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct. Q Do you have any evidence in this case that Mr. Skarpelos ever requested Exhibit 44 be generated? A That he requested it, no. Q Okay. There are a number of transactions listed on here that we've talked about. Looking at the first entry on here, it says February 1, 2013, and if you go over to the column that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser Asset Management, CV15-02259. Mr. Anderson, you were doing cross-examination. I would note to the parties that we will finish right around 4:45 today to give everyone the opportunity to clear the courtroom before the court staff begins the mass exodus out the one door we all use to leave the building.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct. Q Do you have any evidence in this case that Mr. Skarpelos ever requested Exhibit 44 be generated? A That he requested it, no. Q Okay. There are a number of transactions listed on here that we've talked about. Looking at the first entry on here, it says February 1, 2013, and if you go over to the column that says "Debit," there's a negative \$143,287.54.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser Asset Management, CV15-02259. Mr. Anderson, you were doing cross-examination. I would note to the parties that we will finish right around 4:45 today to give everyone the opportunity to clear the courtroom before the court staff begins the mass exodus out the one door we all use to leave the building. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct. Q Do you have any evidence in this case that Mr. Skarpelos ever requested Exhibit 44 be generated? A That he requested it, no. Q Okay. There are a number of transactions listed on here that we've talked about. Looking at the first entry on here, it says February 1, 2013, and if you go over to the column that says "Debit," there's a negative \$143,287.54. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser Asset Management, CV15-02259. Mr. Anderson, you were doing cross-examination. I would note to the parties that we will finish right around 4:45 today to give everyone the opportunity to clear the courtroom before the court staff begins the mass exodus out the one door we all use to leave the building. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Right around 4:45 we'll wrap it up</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct. Q Do you have any evidence in this case that Mr. Skarpelos ever requested Exhibit 44 be generated? A That he requested it, no. Q Okay. There are a number of transactions listed on here that we've talked about. Looking at the first entry on here, it says February 1, 2013, and if you go over to the column that says "Debit," there's a negative \$143,287.54. Do you see that? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser Asset Management, CV15-02259. Mr. Anderson, you were doing cross-examination. I would note to the parties that we will finish right around 4:45 today to give everyone the opportunity to clear the courtroom before the court staff begins the mass exodus out the one door we all use to leave the building. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Right around 4:45 we'll wrap it up so you guys can get out of here, and we'll start tomorrow</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct. Q Do you have any evidence in this case that Mr. Skarpelos ever requested Exhibit 44 be generated? A That he requested it, no. Q Okay. There are a number of transactions listed on here that we've talked about. Looking at the first entry on here, it says February 1, 2013, and if you go over to the column that says "Debit," there's a negative \$143,287.54. Do you see that? A Yes. Q Now, you weren't involved with WAM at the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser Asset Management, CV15-02259. Mr. Anderson, you were doing cross-examination. I would note to the parties that we will finish right around 4:45 today to give everyone the opportunity to clear the courtroom before the court staff begins the mass exodus out the one door we all use to leave the building. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Right around 4:45 we'll wrap it up so you guys can get out of here, and we'll start tomorrow again at 8:30.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct. Q Do you have any evidence in this case that Mr. Skarpelos ever requested Exhibit 44 be generated? A That he requested it, no. Q Okay. There are a number of transactions listed on here that we've talked about. Looking at the first entry on here, it says February 1, 2013, and if you go over to the column that says "Debit," there's a negative \$143,287.54. Do you see that? A Yes. Q Now, you weren't involved with WAM at the time that this account statement was generated; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser Asset Management, CV15-02259. Mr. Anderson, you were doing cross-examination. I would note to the parties that we will finish right around 4:45 today to give everyone the opportunity to clear the courtroom before the court staff begins the mass exodus out the one door we all use to leave the building. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Right around 4:45 we'll wrap it up so you guys can get out of here, and we'll start tomorrow again at 8:30. Go ahead, Mr. Anderson.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct. Q Do you have any evidence in this case that Mr. Skarpelos ever requested Exhibit 44 be generated? A That he requested it, no. Q Okay. There are a number of transactions listed on here that we've talked about. Looking at the first entry on here, it says February 1, 2013, and if you go over to the column that says "Debit," there's a negative \$143,287.54. Do you see that? A Yes. Q Now, you weren't involved with WAM at the time that this account statement was generated; correct? A I was involved as far as being an agent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser Asset Management, CV15-02259. Mr. Anderson, you were doing cross-examination. I would note to the parties that we will finish right around 4:45 today to give everyone the opportunity to clear the courtroom before the court staff begins the mass exodus out the one door we all use to leave the building. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Right around 4:45 we'll wrap it up so you guys can get out of here, and we'll start tomorrow again at 8:30. Go ahead, Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct. Q Do you have any evidence in this case that Mr. Skarpelos ever requested Exhibit 44 be generated? A That he requested it, no. Q Okay. There are a number of transactions listed on here that we've talked about. Looking at the first entry on here, it says February 1, 2013, and if you go over to the column that says "Debit," there's a negative \$143,287.54. Do you see that? A Yes. Q Now, you weren't involved with WAM at the time that this account statement was generated; correct? A I was involved as far as being an agent representative and so forth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>wait THE COURT: If you have one additional question, I'll let you ask him. MR. ANDERSON: I'll wait until after the break. THE COURT: So we'll be in recess for 15 minutes. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in Skarpelos vs. Weiser Asset Management, CV15-02259. Mr. Anderson, you were doing cross-examination. I would note to the parties that we will finish right around 4:45 today to give everyone the opportunity to clear the courtroom before the court staff begins the mass exodus out the one door we all use to leave the building. MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Right around 4:45 we'll wrap it up so you guys can get out of here, and we'll start tomorrow again at 8:30. Go ahead, Mr. Anderson. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. EY MR. ANDERSON: </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I think you testified earlier that WAM keeps transaction records for all client transactions; correct? A Correct. Q And you said with regard to account statements, that they don't keep account statements, they only generate those if a client requests? A Correct. Q Do you have any evidence in this case that Mr. Skarpelos ever requested Exhibit 44 be generated? A That he requested it, no. Q Okay. There are a number of transactions listed on here that we've talked about. Looking at the first entry on here, it says February 1, 2013, and if you go over to the column that says "Debit," there's a negative \$143,287.54. Do you see that? A Yes. Q Now, you weren't involved with WAM at the time that this account statement was generated; correct? A I was involved as far as being an agent representative and so forth. Q And you had testified that you caused WAM to

	Page 250		Page 251
1	A Correct.	1	A Say that again.
2	Q And you testified that Mr. Skarpelos had a	2	Q Sure. I'll rephrase it.
3	large negative balance that existed prior to this	3	If you look down that column, there are one,
4	statement; correct?	4	two, three, four, five, six, seven roughly eight or
5	A Well, at the beginning of this statement, it	5	nine additional debits, specific debit amounts taken out
6	had a negative balance, which I wouldn't call large.	6	of the account on that date; correct?
7	Q Okay. It's a relative term; right?	7	A Yes.
8	A Yes.	8	Q Why would there be an opening balance that
9	THE COURT: It seems large to me.	9	lists a debit on February 1, 2013?
10	THE WITNESS: Relative to the equity value, it	10	A Because when you produce a statement, it shows
11	was not so big.	11	the opening balance.
12	THE COURT: Okay.	12	Q Okay. Well, if you look over two other
13	BY MR. ANDERSON:	13	columns, there's a balance that's different than the
14	Q So it shows a debit on February 1st of 2013.	14	debit.
15	Is that the transaction where \$140,287.54 left	15	A It looks like it's a rounded balance.
16	Mr. Skarpelos's account, on that date?	16	Q Okay. But there are no other rounded balances
17	A No. That would have been the opening balance,	17	below that; correct?
18	which would have been the result of more transactions	18	A Correct.
19	prior to that.	19	Q Okay. And so you don't know why that 46 cent
20	Q Okay. Why does it show as a debit?	20	discrepancy exists on this statement, do you?
21	A Because I believe at the opening balance of	21	A No.
22	this statement period it had a debit balance.	22	Q And I believe your testimony at deposition was
23	Q It looks like the remainder of the debits on	23	that the large negative balance that Mr. Skarpelos had on
24	that transaction all relate to one transaction; right?	24	February 1, 2013, had been existing for some time;
	Dago 252		Page 253
1	Page 252 correct?	1	Page 253 of the transactions.
1 2	-	1 2	
	correct?	_	of the transactions.
2	correct? A Correct.	2	of the transactions. $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$ Now, the first transaction after the opening
2 3	correct? A Correct. Q Multiple transactions over a period of 2011,	2	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25,
2 3 4	correct? A Correct. Q Multiple transactions over a period of 2011, 2012?	2 3 4	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013.
2 3 4 5	<pre>correct? A Correct. Q Multiple transactions over a period of 2011, 2012? A Whatever that period before this, yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6	correct? A Correct. Q Multiple transactions over a period of 2011, 2012? A Whatever that period before this, yes. Q Now, WAM doesn't have any transaction records	2 3 4 5 6	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q 10,000 euros?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q 10,000 euros? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q 10,000 euros? A Correct. Q And as I understood your testimony on direct,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q 10,000 euros? A Correct. Q And as I understood your testimony on direct, that equates to 13,391.90 in U.S. dollars?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q 10,000 euros? A Correct. Q And as I understood your testimony on direct, that equates to 13,391.90 in U.S. dollars? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>correct? A Correct. Q Multiple transactions over a period of 2011, 2012? A Whatever that period before this, yes. Q Now, WAM doesn't have any transaction records for those transactions; correct? A The transaction records should be somewhere in WAM's transaction files. Q And if you had them, you would have produced them in this case? A If I could go digging through and go digging</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q 10,000 euros? A Correct. Q And as I understood your testimony on direct, that equates to 13,391.90 in U.S. dollars? A Correct. Q And it looks like there's a date of activity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>correct? A Correct. Q Multiple transactions over a period of 2011, 2012? A Whatever that period before this, yes. Q Now, WAM doesn't have any transaction records for those transactions; correct? A The transaction records should be somewhere in WAM's transaction files. Q And if you had them, you would have produced them in this case? A If I could go digging through and go digging through to find them all, but it takes a lot of time to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q 10,000 euros? A Correct. Q And as I understood your testimony on direct, that equates to 13,391.90 in U.S. dollars? A Correct. Q And it looks like there's a date of activity and a date of value; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>correct? A Correct. Q Multiple transactions over a period of 2011, 2012? A Whatever that period before this, yes. Q Now, WAM doesn't have any transaction records for those transactions; correct? A The transaction records should be somewhere in WAM's transaction files. Q And if you had them, you would have produced them in this case? A If I could go digging through and go digging through to find them all, but it takes a lot of time to dig them all, and I don't recall if we were asked to find</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q 10,000 euros? A Correct. Q And as I understood your testimony on direct, that equates to 13,391.90 in U.S. dollars? A Correct. Q And it looks like there's a date of activity and a date of value; correct? A Yes. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>correct? A Correct. Q Multiple transactions over a period of 2011, 2012? A Whatever that period before this, yes. Q Now, WAM doesn't have any transaction records for those transactions; correct? A The transaction records should be somewhere in WAM's transaction files. Q And if you had them, you would have produced them in this case? A If I could go digging through and go digging through to find them all, but it takes a lot of time to dig them all, and I don't recall if we were asked to find transactional records.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q 10,000 euros? A Correct. Q And as I understood your testimony on direct, that equates to 13,391.90 in U.S. dollars? A Correct. Q And it looks like there's a date of activity and a date of value; correct? A Yes. Yes. Q Now, WAM didn't produce any account records
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q 10,000 euros? A Correct. Q And as I understood your testimony on direct, that equates to 13,391.90 in U.S. dollars? A Correct. Q And it looks like there's a date of activity and a date of value; correct? A Yes. Yes. Q Now, WAM didn't produce any account records or I'm sorry any transaction records related to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>correct? A Correct. Q Multiple transactions over a period of 2011, 2012? A Whatever that period before this, yes. Q Now, WAM doesn't have any transaction records for those transactions; correct? A The transaction records should be somewhere in WAM's transaction files. Q And if you had them, you would have produced them in this case? A If I could go digging through and go digging through to find them all, but it takes a lot of time to dig them all, and I don't recall if we were asked to find transactional records. Q Okay. Would it be fair to say you didn't look through all the documents that WAM has to locate</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q 10,000 euros? A Correct. Q And as I understood your testimony on direct, that equates to 13,391.90 in U.S. dollars? A Correct. Q And it looks like there's a date of activity and a date of value; correct? A Yes. Yes. Q Now, WAM didn't produce any account records or I'm sorry any transaction records related to the March 25, 2013, transfer; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>correct? A Correct. Q Multiple transactions over a period of 2011, 2012? A Whatever that period before this, yes. Q Now, WAM doesn't have any transaction records for those transactions; correct? A The transaction records should be somewhere in WAM's transaction files. Q And if you had them, you would have produced them in this case? A If I could go digging through and go digging through to find them all, but it takes a lot of time to dig them all, and I don't recall if we were asked to find transactional records. Q Okay. Would it be fair to say you didn't look through all the documents that WAM has to locate documents that might be relevant; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q 10,000 euros? A Correct. Q And as I understood your testimony on direct, that equates to 13,391.90 in U.S. dollars? A Correct. Q And it looks like there's a date of activity and a date of value; correct? A Yes. Yes. Q Now, WAM didn't produce any account records or I'm sorry any transaction records related to the March 25, 2013, transfer; correct? A We found some transaction records. I requested
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q 10,000 euros? A Correct. Q And as I understood your testimony on direct, that equates to 13,391.90 in U.S. dollars? A Correct. Q And it looks like there's a date of activity and a date of value; correct? A Yes. Yes. Q Now, WAM didn't produce any account records or I'm sorry any transaction records related to the March 25, 2013, transfer; correct? A We found some transaction records. I requested verification of these transactions from our prime broker,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>correct? A Correct. Q Multiple transactions over a period of 2011, 2012? A Whatever that period before this, yes. Q Now, WAM doesn't have any transaction records for those transactions; correct? A The transaction records should be somewhere in WAM's transaction files. Q And if you had them, you would have produced them in this case? A If I could go digging through and go digging through to find them all, but it takes a lot of time to dig them all, and I don't recall if we were asked to find transactional records. Q Okay. Would it be fair to say you didn't look through all the documents that WAM has to locate documents that might be relevant; correct? A No. Q Sometimes when I say "Correct?" you answer</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q 10,000 euros? A Correct. Q And as I understood your testimony on direct, that equates to 13,391.90 in U.S. dollars? A Correct. Q And it looks like there's a date of activity and a date of value; correct? A Yes. Yes. Q Now, WAM didn't produce any account records or I'm sorry any transaction records related to the March 25, 2013, transfer; correct? A We found some transaction records. I requested verification of these transactions from our prime broker, which were later found and I believe are in here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>correct? A Correct. Q Multiple transactions over a period of 2011, 2012? A Whatever that period before this, yes. Q Now, WAM doesn't have any transaction records for those transactions; correct? A The transaction records should be somewhere in WAM's transaction files. Q And if you had them, you would have produced them in this case? A If I could go digging through and go digging through to find them all, but it takes a lot of time to dig them all, and I don't recall if we were asked to find transactional records. Q Okay. Would it be fair to say you didn't look through all the documents that WAM has to locate documents that might be relevant; correct? A No. Q Sometimes when I say "Correct?" you answer "No." Let me just rephrase and make it clear.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of the transactions. Q Now, the first transaction after the opening balance is entitled "Transfer," and it's dated March 25, 2013. Do you see that? A Yes. Q 10,000 euros? A Correct. Q And as I understood your testimony on direct, that equates to 13,391.90 in U.S. dollars? A Correct. Q And it looks like there's a date of activity and a date of value; correct? A Yes. Yes. Q Now, WAM didn't produce any account records or I'm sorry any transaction records related to the March 25, 2013, transfer; correct? A We found some transaction records. I requested verification of these transactions from our prime broker, which were later found and I believe are in here somewhere.

	Page 254		Page 255
1	to see if they could also find records of these	1	A It relates more to these there's transaction
2	transactions.	2	numbers, but I would need these transaction numbers to be
3	Q So WAM should have records of this transaction,	3	able to find them easily in the old transaction history.
4	but you either didn't look or couldn't find them;	4	Q Well, you have the transaction number right
5	correct?	5	here.
6	A I looked but did not go through all of the	6	A Right. So for these, I should be able to find
7	records, and it was easier to ask the prime broker,	7	these, but I didn't
8	because they have much more sophisticated systems than	8	Q Okay.
9	the paper records that we have, to try to find the to	9	A on the WAM side files. I found them on the
10	find some more history or information on these	10	prime broker's transaction records.
11	transactions.	11	Q Okay. Nothing in WAM's files?
12	Q Okay. So your testimony is you had to go to a	12	A Not that I found, no.
13	third party to try to find information on transactions	13	Q And just so I understand, they're not organized
14	that WAM should have records of that you couldn't find?	14	by client name or identity? They're organized by
15	- A Correct.	15	transaction and I think you said by transaction number?
16	Q Okay. As I understand your testimony on	16	A Right.
17	direct, you said that the records that WAM, when you	17	Q So how can you quickly identify where in the
18	acquired it, were not organized by client, they were	18	large stack of documents a transaction number might be?
19	organized by transaction; is that correct?	19	Do you have
20	A Transactions are yeah, just transactions,	20	A Quickly is not possible the way that we
21	yes.	21	received the files.
22	Q Okay. So if they're not organized by client	22	Q And this was something that was done before you
23	and they're organized by transaction, is it	23	took over?
24	chronological?	24	A Correct.
1	Page 256	1	Page 257
	Q Same question for the next one, March 25th	1	WAM previously had some kind of computer system. I don't
2	wire-out fee. There should be some record of that;	2	know what it was exactly, how it functioned, how great it
	correct?		
3		3	was, but they had their computer system, but the
4	A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There	4	regulators require us to keep a history of transaction
4 5	A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes.	4 5	regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records.
4 5 6	<pre>A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer?</pre>	4	regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all
4 5	 A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't 	4 5	regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but
4 5 6	<pre>A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer?</pre>	4 5 6	regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but we're also not required to keep them organized. If a
4 5 6 7	 A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't 	4 5 6 7	regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but we're also not required to keep them organized. If a regulator wants to go in to find the transaction records,
4 5 6 7 8	A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't know how it was done then, so I keep I can only	4 5 6 7 8	regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but we're also not required to keep them organized. If a
4 5 6 7 8 9	A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't know how it was done then, so I keep I can only reference to how I know things are done now.	4 5 6 7 8 9	regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but we're also not required to keep them organized. If a regulator wants to go in to find the transaction records,
4 5 7 8 9 10	A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't know how it was done then, so I keep I can only reference to how I know things are done now. Q I understand.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but we're also not required to keep them organized. If a regulator wants to go in to find the transaction records, the storage facility is there and they can go in and
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't know how it was done then, so I keep I can only reference to how I know things are done now. Q I understand. So with the wire-out fee, I mean, now would</pre>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but we're also not required to keep them organized. If a regulator wants to go in to find the transaction records, the storage facility is there and they can go in and Q You just give them the storage facility
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't know how it was done then, so I keep I can only reference to how I know things are done now. Q I understand. So with the wire-out fee, I mean, now would there be some sort of record or documentation saying,</pre>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but we're also not required to keep them organized. If a regulator wants to go in to find the transaction records, the storage facility is there and they can go in and Q You just give them the storage facility documents and say have at it?
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't know how it was done then, so I keep I can only reference to how I know things are done now. Q I understand. So with the wire-out fee, I mean, now would there be some sort of record or documentation saying, hey, WAM is taking a fee of X dollars for this	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but we're also not required to keep them organized. If a regulator wants to go in to find the transaction records, the storage facility is there and they can go in and Q You just give them the storage facility documents and say have at it? A Correct.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't know how it was done then, so I keep I can only reference to how I know things are done now. Q I understand. So with the wire-out fee, I mean, now would there be some sort of record or documentation saying, hey, WAM is taking a fee of X dollars for this transaction?</pre>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but we're also not required to keep them organized. If a regulator wants to go in to find the transaction records, the storage facility is there and they can go in and Q You just give them the storage facility documents and say have at it? A Correct. Q But what I'm focused on is and I guess the
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't know how it was done then, so I keep I can only reference to how I know things are done now. Q I understand. So with the wire-out fee, I mean, now would there be some sort of record or documentation saying, hey, WAM is taking a fee of X dollars for this transaction? A It's in our computer database, yeah. We can</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but we're also not required to keep them organized. If a regulator wants to go in to find the transaction records, the storage facility is there and they can go in and Q You just give them the storage facility documents and say have at it? A Correct. Q But what I'm focused on is and I guess the answer is you don't know how they did it back then,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't know how it was done then, so I keep I can only reference to how I know things are done now. Q I understand. So with the wire-out fee, I mean, now would there be some sort of record or documentation saying, hey, WAM is taking a fee of X dollars for this transaction? A It's in our computer database, yeah. We can look it up through our computerized database to see the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't know how it was done then, so I keep I can only reference to how I know things are done now. Q I understand. So with the wire-out fee, I mean, now would there be some sort of record or documentation saying, hey, WAM is taking a fee of X dollars for this transaction? A It's in our computer database, yeah. We can look it up through our computerized database to see the transaction and the details and the fees and so on and so	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't know how it was done then, so I keep I can only reference to how I know things are done now. Q I understand. So with the wire-out fee, I mean, now would there be some sort of record or documentation saying, hey, WAM is taking a fee of X dollars for this transaction? A It's in our computer database, yeah. We can look it up through our computerized database to see the transaction and the details and the fees and so on and so forth.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but we're also not required to keep them organized. If a regulator wants to go in to find the transaction records, the storage facility is there and they can go in and Q You just give them the storage facility documents and say have at it? A Correct. Q But what I'm focused on is and I guess the answer is you don't know how they did it back then, correct, in terms of tracking transactions? A No. I know they had a computer system, but I don't know the details on it.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't know how it was done then, so I keep I can only reference to how I know things are done now. Q I understand. So with the wire-out fee, I mean, now would there be some sort of record or documentation saying, hey, WAM is taking a fee of X dollars for this transaction? A It's in our computer database, yeah. We can look it up through our computerized database to see the transaction and the details and the fees and so on and so forth. Q But back then, back in this time frame, 2013,</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but we're also not required to keep them organized. If a regulator wants to go in to find the transaction records, the storage facility is there and they can go in and Q You just give them the storage facility documents and say have at it? A Correct. Q But what I'm focused on is and I guess the answer is you don't know how they did it back then, correct, in terms of tracking transactions? A No. I know they had a computer system, but I don't know the details on it. Q When you acquired WAM, did you get that</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't know how it was done then, so I keep I can only reference to how I know things are done now. Q I understand. So with the wire-out fee, I mean, now would there be some sort of record or documentation saying, hey, WAM is taking a fee of X dollars for this transaction? A It's in our computer database, yeah. We can look it up through our computerized database to see the transaction and the details and the fees and so on and so forth. Q But back then, back in this time frame, 2013, if WAM doesn't have a computer system or an ability to</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but we're also not required to keep them organized. If a regulator wants to go in to find the transaction records, the storage facility is there and they can go in and Q You just give them the storage facility documents and say have at it? A Correct. Q But what I'm focused on is and I guess the answer is you don't know how they did it back then, correct, in terms of tracking transactions? A No. I know they had a computer system, but I don't know the details on it. Q When you acquired WAM, did you get that computer system?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't know how it was done then, so I keep I can only reference to how I know things are done now. Q I understand. So with the wire-out fee, I mean, now would there be some sort of record or documentation saying, hey, WAM is taking a fee of X dollars for this transaction? A It's in our computer database, yeah. We can look it up through our computerized database to see the transaction and the details and the fees and so on and so forth. Q But back then, back in this time frame, 2013, if WAM doesn't have a computer system or an ability to track these statements, how would they go about tracking</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but we're also not required to keep them organized. If a regulator wants to go in to find the transaction records, the storage facility is there and they can go in and Q You just give them the storage facility documents and say have at it? A Correct. Q But what I'm focused on is and I guess the answer is you don't know how they did it back then, correct, in terms of tracking transactions? A No. I know they had a computer system, but I don't know the details on it. Q When you acquired WAM, did you get that computer system? A Huh-uh.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>A It usually just gets posted and yeah. There should be something, yes. Q Well, you mean posted on a computer? A Yeah. Again, I know how we do it now. I don't know how it was done then, so I keep I can only reference to how I know things are done now. Q I understand. So with the wire-out fee, I mean, now would there be some sort of record or documentation saying, hey, WAM is taking a fee of X dollars for this transaction? A It's in our computer database, yeah. We can look it up through our computerized database to see the transaction and the details and the fees and so on and so forth. Q But back then, back in this time frame, 2013, if WAM doesn't have a computer system or an ability to track these statements, how would they go about tracking this transaction?</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>regulators require us to keep a history of transaction records. Now, they gave us the paper copies of all these, but admittedly they're not that organized, but we're also not required to keep them organized. If a regulator wants to go in to find the transaction records, the storage facility is there and they can go in and Q You just give them the storage facility documents and say have at it? A Correct. Q But what I'm focused on is and I guess the answer is you don't know how they did it back then, correct, in terms of tracking transactions? A No. I know they had a computer system, but I don't know the details on it. Q When you acquired WAM, did you get that computer system? A Huh-uh. Q What happened to it?</pre>

	Page 258		Page 259
1	about it, it wasn't great in the sense of what I wanted	1	that cutoff date moving forward.
2	to have.	2	Q Let's look at the April 2, 2013, entry.
3	Q I think you testified at your deposition that	3	A Yes.
4	when you acquired WAM, the only information that was	4	Q Now, I think you testified a few minutes ago
5	available that they provided you was static information	5	that this is the only record that WAM has of that stock
6	from their system?	6	sale in writing?
7	A Correct. It was a static of data which gave us	7	A Yes. This is the record.
8	the start date to start our new system. So all the data	8	Q This is the only record?
9	starts fresh in the new system from the closing from	9	A This is the record that I say record. It's
10	the closing date of the data they gave us.	10	a reference record.
11	Q You didn't have the ability to get into their	11	Q Okay. It's a record of another record?
12	system and do historical reviews of their documents?	12	A Well, a statement is a summary of records.
13	A No.	13	Q Okay. So there must have existed at some point
14	Q And would it also follow that if you didn't	14	in time documents from which whoever prepared this
15	have the ability to do that, the auditor wouldn't have	15	statement gets this information and then enters it into
16	the ability to do that?	16	this account statement?
17	A I can't say the auditor would have the ability	17	A Records on stock trades don't get how do I
18	or not with their system. The auditor might have had the	18	say when it comes to fund transfers, those get
19	ability with their system. I didn't. I wasn't getting	19	actually more records because there's counterparties in
20	into their system. I didn't care for their system. I	20	between, so you end up with records that relate to other
21	wanted the start data which was already audited, we	21	counterparty activities from the prime broker, prime
22	knew as far as we can know because the auditor signs	22	bank, etcetera.
23	it off, but the company's accounts are all balanced,	23	For trades, you don't have and you wouldn't
24	which means to us everything is good. So we start with	24	see, like, printed records for a trade because those end
			P 0(1
1	Page 260 up if it goes to the public market, that record is	1	Page 261 Q But the bottom line is that you didn't find
2	with your prime broker. If it ends up here, you know you	2	anything in WAM's records to demonstrate in writing this
3	have it on your balances.	3	alleged April 2nd transaction other than this statement;
4	Q Well, how does this person who created this	4	correct?
5	know there was a sale on April 2, 2013?	5	A As far as I recall, I was asked to find the
6	A I don't know if it's a person who created this	6	cash transaction records. I wasn't asked to find a
7	or if it's a computer that created this.	7	record, historical record of
8	Q Okay. So if there was a computer that created	8	Q I'm not really concerned what you were asked to
9	this, at some point in time there must have been a	9	find. You did look through the records?
10	computer entry	10	A For cash transactions.
1.1			
11	A It would have had it's database record,	11	Q You didn't look through the records of WAM to
11 12	A It would have had it's database record, correct.	11 12	Q You didn't look through the records of WAM to see if there was actually anything evidencing this stock
			-
12	correct.	12	see if there was actually anything evidencing this stock
12 13	correct. Q So your testimony is that when there's a stock	12 13	see if there was actually anything evidencing this stock sale of April 2nd?
12 13 14	correct. Q So your testimony is that when there's a stock sale, if it's a private sale, there's no records	12 13 14	see if there was actually anything evidencing this stock sale of April 2nd? A No.
12 13 14 15	correct. Q So your testimony is that when there's a stock sale, if it's a private sale, there's no records generated?	12 13 14 15	see if there was actually anything evidencing this stock sale of April 2nd? A No. Q And all we have is this Exhibit 44; correct?
12 13 14 15 16	correct. Q So your testimony is that when there's a stock sale, if it's a private sale, there's no records generated? A Not in paper printout form. The regulators	12 13 14 15 16	<pre>see if there was actually anything evidencing this stock sale of April 2nd?</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>correct. Q So your testimony is that when there's a stock sale, if it's a private sale, there's no records generated? A Not in paper printout form. The regulators require when it comes to funds transfer transactions,</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>see if there was actually anything evidencing this stock sale of April 2nd?</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>correct. Q So your testimony is that when there's a stock sale, if it's a private sale, there's no records generated? A Not in paper printout form. The regulators require when it comes to funds transfer transactions, they like to see the regulator is much more sensitive</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>see if there was actually anything evidencing this stock sale of April 2nd?</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>correct. Q So your testimony is that when there's a stock sale, if it's a private sale, there's no records generated? A Not in paper printout form. The regulators require when it comes to funds transfer transactions, they like to see the regulator is much more sensitive about cash-type transactions, so they like to see some</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>see if there was actually anything evidencing this stock sale of April 2nd?</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>correct. Q So your testimony is that when there's a stock sale, if it's a private sale, there's no records generated? A Not in paper printout form. The regulators require when it comes to funds transfer transactions, they like to see the regulator is much more sensitive about cash-type transactions, so they like to see some record history on that.</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>see if there was actually anything evidencing this stock sale of April 2nd?</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>correct. Q So your testimony is that when there's a stock sale, if it's a private sale, there's no records generated? A Not in paper printout form. The regulators require when it comes to funds transfer transactions, they like to see the regulator is much more sensitive about cash-type transactions, so they like to see some record history on that. When it comes to trades, there's not really</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>see if there was actually anything evidencing this stock sale of April 2nd?</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>correct. Q So your testimony is that when there's a stock sale, if it's a private sale, there's no records generated? A Not in paper printout form. The regulators require when it comes to funds transfer transactions, they like to see the regulator is much more sensitive about cash-type transactions, so they like to see some record history on that. When it comes to trades, there's not really paper for them. They have the database, and they gave us</pre>	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>see if there was actually anything evidencing this stock sale of April 2nd? A No. Q And all we have is this Exhibit 44; correct? A All we have as far as Q The only thing we have in writing that demonstrates a stock sale on April 2nd of 2013 is Exhibit 44? A Yes. Correct. Q And I guess Exhibit 43, which is identical? A Yes.</pre>

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

	Page 262		Page 263
1	A Evidence to do the order? No.	1	clear.
2	Q I want to be clear. I understand you testified	2	As I understood your testimony earlier, the
3	that he did. I'm just focusing on there's no written	3	deal went as follows: Tom authorizes the sale of stock;
4	direction from Tom?	4	WAM becomes the intermediary for, I think you said, a
5	A Correct. No written.	5	nanosecond; and then it goes to a third-party buyer;
6	Q No written direction from Tom to you saying do	6	correct?
7	this transaction; right?	7	A Correct.
8	A Correct.	8	Q So there's nothing in writing showing that WAM
9	Q No written direction from Tom to WAM saying	9	was the nanosecond intermediary owner; correct?
10	please do this transaction; correct?	10	A No.
11	A Written, no. Almost everything was always	11	Q And there's also nothing in writing that shows
12	verbal because most of our discussions was verbal. He	12	that there was a third-party buyer?
13	liked to Tom liked to have verbal discussions.	13	A There would be there would be similar
14	Q But there was no written direction to WAM;	14	statements that there was a buyer.
15	correct?	15	Q Did they ask for a statement?
16	A Correct, no written.	16	A The clients?
17	Q And there was also no written direction from	17	Q Yes.
18	Tom to Weiser Capital to do anything related to this	18	A I don't know if they asked or not, but we
19	transaction?	19	received the statements of clients for that year for our
20	A Written, no.	20	transition.
21	Q And there's nothing in writing to demonstrate	21	Q Okay. So there is a document out there that
22	WAM's temporary ownership; correct?	22	exists that shows a credit to an unknown party on
23	A In writing for temporary	23	April 2nd of 2013?
24	Q I'm not sure you understand. I want to be	24	A I'm pretty confident if I looked for it, yes.
	5		
	Page 264		Page 265
1	There would be statements for all the clients this year	1	Page 265 out.
1 2		1 2	-
	There would be statements for all the clients this year		out.
2	There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that.	2	out. A Oh, yeah, we could black it out.
2 3	There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document?	2 3	<pre>out. A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the</pre>
2 3 4	There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No.	2 3 4	out. A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws
2 3 4 5	There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it?	2 3 4 5	out. A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct?
2 3 4 5 6	There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.)	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>out. A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.) Q Actually, I'm not sure I got an answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>out. A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality information. All of the activity of a client is</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.) Q Actually, I'm not sure I got an answer. You didn't look for that document; correct? A Correct. No. Q If you look at the statement, it has</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>out. A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality information. All of the activity of a client is confidential unless the client gives us permission to release any of their activity. Q Okay. Well, in theory you could black out all</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.) Q Actually, I'm not sure I got an answer. You didn't look for that document; correct? A Correct. No.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>out. A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality information. All of the activity of a client is confidential unless the client gives us permission to release any of their activity. Q Okay. Well, in theory you could black out all the other activity and leave that April 2nd transaction</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.) Q Actually, I'm not sure I got an answer. You didn't look for that document; correct? A Correct. No. Q If you look at the statement, it has Mr. Skarpelos's name on it; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>out. A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality information. All of the activity of a client is confidential unless the client gives us permission to release any of their activity. Q Okay. Well, in theory you could black out all the other activity and leave that April 2nd transaction on there that everyone already knows about?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.) Q Actually, I'm not sure I got an answer. You didn't look for that document; correct? A Correct. No. Q If you look at the statement, it has Mr. Skarpelos's name on it; correct? A Correct. Q And it has an account number that's listed</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>out. A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality information. All of the activity of a client is confidential unless the client gives us permission to release any of their activity. Q Okay. Well, in theory you could black out all the other activity and leave that April 2nd transaction on there that everyone already knows about? A Yes. I guess if it doesn't have their account</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	<pre>There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.) Q Actually, I'm not sure I got an answer. You didn't look for that document; correct? A Correct. No. Q If you look at the statement, it has Mr. Skarpelos's name on it; correct? A Correct. Q And it has an account number that's listed below his name?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>out. A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality information. All of the activity of a client is confidential unless the client gives us permission to release any of their activity. Q Okay. Well, in theory you could black out all the other activity and leave that April 2nd transaction on there that everyone already knows about? A Yes. I guess if it doesn't have their account and everything else is gone, I think that would be</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.) Q Actually, I'm not sure I got an answer. You didn't look for that document; correct? A Correct. No. Q If you look at the statement, it has Mr. Skarpelos's name on it; correct? A Correct. Q And it has an account number that's listed below his name? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality information. All of the activity of a client is confidential unless the client gives us permission to release any of their activity. Q Okay. Well, in theory you could black out all the other activity and leave that April 2nd transaction on there that everyone already knows about? A Yes. I guess if it doesn't have their account and everything else is gone, I think that would be allowed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.) Q Actually, I'm not sure I got an answer. You didn't look for that document; correct? A Correct. No. Q If you look at the statement, it has Mr. Skarpelos's name on it; correct? A Correct. Q And it has an account number that's listed below his name? A Correct. Q Would the third-party client buyer also if a</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>out. A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality information. All of the activity of a client is confidential unless the client gives us permission to release any of their activity. Q Okay. Well, in theory you could black out all the other activity and leave that April 2nd transaction on there that everyone already knows about? A Yes. I guess if it doesn't have their account and everything else is gone, I think that would be allowed. Q So we don't have any written transaction</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.) Q Actually, I'm not sure I got an answer. You didn't look for that document; correct? A Correct. No. Q If you look at the statement, it has Mr. Skarpelos's name on it; correct? A Correct. Q And it has an account number that's listed below his name? A Correct. Q Would the third-party client buyer also if a statement was generated for them, would they also have</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>out. A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality information. All of the activity of a client is confidential unless the client gives us permission to release any of their activity. Q Okay. Well, in theory you could black out all the other activity and leave that April 2nd transaction on there that everyone already knows about? A Yes. I guess if it doesn't have their account and everything else is gone, I think that would be allowed. Q So we don't have any written transaction records of the actual sale outside of this document? We</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.) Q Actually, I'm not sure I got an answer. You didn't look for that document; correct? A Correct. No. Q If you look at the statement, it has Mr. Skarpelos's name on it; correct? A Correct. Q And it has an account number that's listed below his name? A Correct. Q Would the third-party client buyer also if a statement was generated for them, would they also have their name and account number on there?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality information. All of the activity of a client is confidential unless the client gives us permission to release any of their activity. Q Okay. Well, in theory you could black out all the other activity and leave that April 2nd transaction on there that everyone already knows about? A Yes. I guess if it doesn't have their account and everything else is gone, I think that would be allowed. Q So we don't have any written transaction records of the actual sale outside of this document? We don't have any transaction records of the third-party
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.) Q Actually, I'm not sure I got an answer. You didn't look for that document; correct? A Correct. No. Q If you look at the statement, it has Mr. Skarpelos's name on it; correct? A Correct. Q And it has an account number that's listed below his name? A Correct. Q Would the third-party client buyer also if a statement was generated for them, would they also have their name and account number on there? A Yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality information. All of the activity of a client is confidential unless the client gives us permission to release any of their activity. Q Okay. Well, in theory you could black out all the other activity and leave that April 2nd transaction on there that everyone already knows about? A Yes. I guess if it doesn't have their account and everything else is gone, I think that would be allowed. Q So we don't have any written transaction records of the actual sale outside of this document? We don't have any transaction records of the third-party buyer either depositing money or receiving ownership of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.) Q Actually, I'm not sure I got an answer. You didn't look for that document; correct? A Correct. No. Q If you look at the statement, it has Mr. Skarpelos's name on it; correct? A Correct. Q And it has an account number that's listed below his name? A Correct. Q Would the third-party client buyer also if a statement was generated for them, would they also have their name and account number on there? A Yes. Q Now, you would agree with me it would be pretty</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality information. All of the activity of a client is confidential unless the client gives us permission to release any of their activity. Q Okay. Well, in theory you could black out all the other activity and leave that April 2nd transaction on there that everyone already knows about? A Yes. I guess if it doesn't have their account and everything else is gone, I think that would be allowed. Q So we don't have any written transaction records of the actual sale outside of this document? We don't have any transaction records of the third-party buyer either depositing money or receiving ownership of the shares; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.) Q Actually, I'm not sure I got an answer. You didn't look for that document; correct? A Correct. No. Q If you look at the statement, it has Mr. Skarpelos's name on it; correct? A Correct. Q And it has an account number that's listed below his name? A Correct. Q Would the third-party client buyer also if a statement was generated for them, would they also have their name and account number on there? A Yes. Q Now, you would agree with me it would be pretty easy just to redact that name and account number;</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality information. All of the activity of a client is confidential unless the client gives us permission to release any of their activity. Q Okay. Well, in theory you could black out all the other activity and leave that April 2nd transaction on there that everyone already knows about? A Yes. I guess if it doesn't have their account and everything else is gone, I think that would be allowed. Q So we don't have any written transaction records of the actual sale outside of this document? We don't have any transaction records of the third-party buyer either depositing money or receiving ownership of the shares; correct? A I believe we do. I don't know you don't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.) Q Actually, I'm not sure I got an answer. You didn't look for that document; correct? A Correct. No. Q If you look at the statement, it has Mr. Skarpelos's name on it; correct? A Correct. Q And it has an account number that's listed below his name? A Correct. Q Would the third-party client buyer also if a statement was generated for them, would they also have their name and account number on there? A Yes. Q Now, you would agree with me it would be pretty easy just to redact that name and account number; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality information. All of the activity of a client is confidential unless the client gives us permission to release any of their activity. Q Okay. Well, in theory you could black out all the other activity and leave that April 2nd transaction on there that everyone already knows about? A Yes. I guess if it doesn't have their account and everything else is gone, I think that would be allowed. Q So we don't have any written transaction records of the actual sale outside of this document? We don't have any transaction records of the third-party buyer either depositing money or receiving ownership of the shares; correct? A I believe we do. I don't know you don't. We do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>There would be statements for all the clients this year which would reflect that. Q So you've never actually seen that document? A No. Q And you didn't look for it? A (No audible response.) Q Actually, I'm not sure I got an answer. You didn't look for that document; correct? A Correct. No. Q If you look at the statement, it has Mr. Skarpelos's name on it; correct? A Correct. Q And it has an account number that's listed below his name? A Correct. Q Would the third-party client buyer also if a statement was generated for them, would they also have their name and account number on there? A Yes. Q Now, you would agree with me it would be pretty easy just to redact that name and account number;</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Oh, yeah, we could black it out. Q And if you did that, no one would know who the buyer was? You wouldn't be violating any securities laws or anything; correct? A We would be violating confidentiality information. All of the activity of a client is confidential unless the client gives us permission to release any of their activity. Q Okay. Well, in theory you could black out all the other activity and leave that April 2nd transaction on there that everyone already knows about? A Yes. I guess if it doesn't have their account and everything else is gone, I think that would be allowed. Q So we don't have any written transaction records of the actual sale outside of this document? We don't have any transaction records of the third-party buyer either depositing money or receiving ownership of the shares; correct? A I believe we do. I don't know you don't.

	Page 266		Page 267
1	A Correct.	1	Q So at some point WAM takes a transaction fee of
2	Q And there's really no evidence from which any	2	\$420; correct?
3	of us can follow the money trail in this case; right?	3	A Correct.
4	A Explain some more.	4	Q And there's no record of that?
5	Q Sure. That April 2, 2013, transaction shows a	5	A I believe there would be a record.
6	stock sale and a credit to Tom's account of \$249,580;	6	Q Well, we don't have it here today, do we?
7	right?	7	A Correct.
8	A Correct.	8	Q And there's no written record of the deposit
9	Q Which is \$420 less than the full purchase	و	being made; correct?
10	price?	10	A Not in the court, no.
11	A Correct.	11	Q And we don't have any evidence of the shorts
12	Q Where did the \$420 go?	12	you talk about that WAM made to make it right; correct?
13	A That would have been a transaction fee.	13	A Not in court, no.
14	Q And we don't have that record, do we?	14	Q Not in court. And we also don't have any
15	A On trades it gets it gets netted out. It's	15	evidence that money actually went out of Skarpelos's
16	mixed into the trade, the net trade value.	16	account?
17	Q Well, the buyer deposited \$250,000; correct?	17	A The evidence that I have found is the prime
18	A Well, they would have probably already had it	18	bank's from a prime broker and prime bank, some
19	in their accounts from whenever.	19	transaction records they had.
20	Q So they deposit 250,000 at some point?	20	Q Let's talk about that.
21	A Or more.	21	You testified on direct that WAM does not hold
22	Q In any event, there's enough to consummate the	22	cash; correct?
23	purchase?	23	A Correct.
24	A Right.	24	Q Okay. It utilizes the services of a prime
	Paga 268		Dago 269
1	Page 268 broker?	1	Page 269 Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she
1 2		1 2	
	broker?		Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she
2	broker? A Yes.	2	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee?
2 3	broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the	2 3	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me.
2 3 4 5	<pre>broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee?
2 3 4 5 6	broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money	2 3 4 5 6	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to Well, first of all, Tom has to give the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee? A I'm not sure. I would assume so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to Well, first of all, Tom has to give the direction to you; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee? A I'm not sure. I would assume so. Q In any event, you contact someone at WAM, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to Well, first of all, Tom has to give the direction to you; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee? A I'm not sure. I would assume so. Q In any event, you contact someone at WAM, and we have one writing to show that. Then WAM, I guess,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to Well, first of all, Tom has to give the direction to you; correct? A Correct. Q Or Lambros?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee? A I'm not sure. I would assume so. Q In any event, you contact someone at WAM, and we have one writing to show that. Then WAM, I guess, does something to send money out of his account with WAM?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to Well, first of all, Tom has to give the direction to you; correct? A Correct. Q Or Lambros? A Sure.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee? A I'm not sure. I would assume so. Q In any event, you contact someone at WAM, and we have one writing to show that. Then WAM, I guess, does something to send money out of his account with WAM? A Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to Well, first of all, Tom has to give the direction to you; correct? A Correct. Q Or Lambros? A Sure. Q And then you have to give the direction to WAM;</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee? A I'm not sure. I would assume so. Q In any event, you contact someone at WAM, and we have one writing to show that. Then WAM, I guess, does something to send money out of his account with WAM? A Right. Q So WAM then instructs the prime broker to do a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to Well, first of all, Tom has to give the direction to you; correct? A Correct. Q Or Lambros? A Sure. Q And then you have to give the direction to WAM; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee? A I'm not sure. I would assume so. Q In any event, you contact someone at WAM, and we have one writing to show that. Then WAM, I guess, does something to send money out of his account with WAM? A Right. Q So WAM then instructs the prime broker to do a transaction where money goes out of Tom's account?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to Well, first of all, Tom has to give the direction to you; correct? A Correct. Q Or Lambros? A Sure. Q And then you have to give the direction to WAM; correct? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee? A I'm not sure. I would assume so. Q In any event, you contact someone at WAM, and we have one writing to show that. Then WAM, I guess, does something to send money out of his account with WAM? A Right. Q So WAM then instructs the prime broker to do a transaction where money goes out of Tom's account? A To go out of WAM's account. So WAM will debit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to Well, first of all, Tom has to give the direction to you; correct? A Correct. Q Or Lambros? A Sure. Q And then you have to give the direction to WAM; correct? A Correct. Q There's nothing in writing from you to WAM</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee? A I'm not sure. I would assume so. Q In any event, you contact someone at WAM, and we have one writing to show that. Then WAM, I guess, does something to send money out of his account with WAM? A Right. Q So WAM then instructs the prime broker to do a transaction where money goes out of Tom's account? A To go out of WAM's account. So WAM will debit Tom's account and ask the prime broker to transfer money
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to Well, first of all, Tom has to give the direction to you; correct? A Correct. Q Or Lambros? A Sure. Q And then you have to give the direction to WAM; correct? A Correct. Q There's nothing in writing from you to WAM saying, I want you to do these transactions here?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee? A I'm not sure. I would assume so. Q In any event, you contact someone at WAM, and we have one writing to show that. Then WAM, I guess, does something to send money out of his account with WAM? A Right. Q So WAM then instructs the prime broker to do a transaction where money goes out of Tom's account? A To go out of WAM's account. So WAM will debit Tom's account and ask the prime broker to transfer money to whoever the recipient is. So WAM debits the client's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to Well, first of all, Tom has to give the direction to you; correct? A Correct. Q Or Lambros? A Sure. Q And then you have to give the direction to WAM; correct? A Correct. Q There's nothing in writing from you to WAM saying, I want you to do these transactions here? A I found the one I believe I found the one,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee? A I'm not sure. I would assume so. Q In any event, you contact someone at WAM, and we have one writing to show that. Then WAM, I guess, does something to send money out of his account with WAM? A Right. Q So WAM then instructs the prime broker to do a transaction where money goes out of Tom's account? A To go out of WAM's account. So WAM will debit Tom's account and ask the prime broker to transfer money to whoever the recipient is. So WAM debits the client's account, the prime broker debits WAM's account, the prime
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to Well, first of all, Tom has to give the direction to you; correct? A Correct. Q Or Lambros? A Sure. Q And then you have to give the direction to WAM; correct? A Correct. Q There's nothing in writing from you to WAM saying, I want you to do these transactions here? A I found the one I believe I found the one, so we have one, I think. I don't know if there was more.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee? A I'm not sure. I would assume so. Q In any event, you contact someone at WAM, and we have one writing to show that. Then WAM, I guess, does something to send money out of his account with WAM? A Right. Q So WAM then instructs the prime broker to do a transaction where money goes out of Tom's account? A To go out of WAM's account. So WAM will debit Tom's account and ask the prime broker to transfer money to whoever the recipient is. So WAM debits the client's account, the prime broker debits WAM's account, the prime bank debits the prime broker's account, the Federal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to Well, first of all, Tom has to give the direction to you; correct? A Correct. Q Or Lambros? A Sure. Q And then you have to give the direction to WAM; correct? A Correct. Q There's nothing in writing from you to WAM saying, I want you to do these transactions here? A I found the one I believe I found the one,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee? A I'm not sure. I would assume so. Q In any event, you contact someone at WAM, and we have one writing to show that. Then WAM, I guess, does something to send money out of his account with WAM? A Right. Q So WAM then instructs the prime broker to do a transaction where money goes out of Tom's account? A To go out of WAM's account. So WAM will debit Tom's account and ask the prime broker to transfer money to whoever the recipient is. So WAM debits the client's account, the prime broker debits WAM's account, the prime bank debits the prime broker's account, the Federal Reserve debits the prime bank, and finally it ends up at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to Well, first of all, Tom has to give the direction to you; correct? A Correct. Q Or Lambros? A Sure. Q And then you have to give the direction to WAM; correct? A Correct. Q There's nothing in writing from you to WAM saying, I want you to do these transactions here? A I found the one I believe I found the one, so we have one, I think. I don't know if there was more. Q Was that the email with Rainbow and A Yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee? A I'm not sure. I would assume so. Q In any event, you contact someone at WAM, and we have one writing to show that. Then WAM, I guess, does something to send money out of his account with WAM? A Right. Q So WAM then instructs the prime broker to do a transaction where money goes out of Tom's account? A To go out of WAM's account. So WAM will debit Tom's account and ask the prime broker to transfer money to whoever the recipient is. So WAM debits the client's account, the prime broker's account, the prime bank debits the prime broker's account, the prime bank debits the prime broker's account, the prime bank debits the prime broker's account, the prime bank, and finally it ends up at another bank.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>broker? A Yes. Q Okay. And that prime broker utilizes the services of a prime bank? A Correct. Q So if Mr. Skarpelos is going to withdraw money from his account or if you're going to be doing it on his behalf, you give the direction to Well, first of all, Tom has to give the direction to you; correct? A Correct. Q Or Lambros? A Sure. Q And then you have to give the direction to WAM; correct? A Correct. Q There's nothing in writing from you to WAM saying, I want you to do these transactions here? A I found the one I believe I found the one, so we have one, I think. I don't know if there was more. Q Was that the email with Rainbow and</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q You didn't testify at your deposition that she was a Weiser Capital employee? A I'm not sure. If you could show me. Q We'll get to that later. How about Alana? Is she also a WAM employee? A She's been on and off. Q Well, at the time of that email we looked at, I take it she was a WAM employee? A I'm not sure. I would assume so. Q In any event, you contact someone at WAM, and we have one writing to show that. Then WAM, I guess, does something to send money out of his account with WAM? A Right. Q So WAM then instructs the prime broker to do a transaction where money goes out of Tom's account? A To go out of WAM's account. So WAM will debit Tom's account and ask the prime broker to transfer money to whoever the recipient is. So WAM debits the client's account, the prime broker debits WAM's account, the prime bank debits the prime broker's account, the Federal Reserve debits the prime bank, and finally it ends up at

—			D
1	Page 270 couple, three days, which is why you have value dates,	1	Page 271
2	because sometimes it takes longer.	2	A Then it goes to the customer's bank. So all of
3	BY MR. ANDERSON:	3	the money is at the Federal Reserve, and the prime banks
4	Q So I want to make sure it's clear in my head.	4	have accounts at the Federal Reserve, and they're
5	Once WAM receives the direction from you, they	5	crediting and debiting their balance at the Federal
6	direct the prime broker to debit WAM's account?	6	Reserve level while the primary banks are then
	A Correct.	7	individually crediting and debiting their client
8	0 And then the prime broker does that or directs	8	accounts.
9	the prime bank to debit WAM's account, the prime bank?	9	Q Okay. So at some point the Federal Reserve
10	A No. To debit the prime broker's account. So	10	gives direction that allows the money that has been asked
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11	to be debited from the WAM account be then credited to
11	everybody debits everybody's account until the cash at	12	
12	the end balances, which is the Federal Reserve, actually,		the customer's account?
13	debits it out and sends and then credits it to the	13	A Correct. Ultimately.
14	recipient's bank.	14	Q Okay. And so we don't have any records of WAM
15	Q Okay. And, again, I'm not trying to be obtuse.	15	actually directing the prime broker to debit WAM's
16	I just want to get through the order.	16	account for any of these transactions on Exhibit 44;
17	WAM directs the broker-dealer to debit WAM's	17	correct?
18	account, and then the broker-dealer then asks the prime	18	A All I have is the transactions I received from
19	bank to debit the prime dealer's account, and then the	19	the prime broker showing the debit of their account.
20	prime dealer asks the Federal Reserve to approve it, or	20	Q That's at the prime broker level. That's a
21	does it actually go to the Federal Reserve?	21	third party that's not WAM; correct?
22	A At that point I'm lost. I'm not sure.	22	A Correct.
23	Q Okay. Well, at some point after the Federal	23	Q WAM doesn't have any records for any of these
24	Reserve, then I think you said it goes to the customer's	24	monetary transactions on here where it's requesting that
		1	
	Page 272		Page 273
1	Page 272 the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct?	1	Page 273 A Yes.
1 2		1 2	-
	the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct?		A Yes.
2	the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct? A WAM will have it, but it's not in the court.	2	A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited
2 3	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct? A WAM will have it, but it's not in the court. Q So they do have the records? They're just</pre>	2 3	A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example,
2 3 4	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct? A WAM will have it, but it's not in the court. Q So they do have the records? They're just not</pre>	2 3 4	A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute
2 3 4 5	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct? A WAM will have it, but it's not in the court. Q So they do have the records? They're just not A Correct. WAM will have its large ledger of its</pre>	2 3 4 5	A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos?
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of WAM's, WAM is a client of the prime broker, and the prime
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of WAM's, WAM is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the bank. So nobody knows who the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of WAM's, WAM is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the bank. So nobody knows who the end clients are on the end of each side through all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of WAM's, WAM is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the bank. So nobody knows who the end clients are on the end of each side through all the intermediaries.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of WAM's, WAM is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the bank. So nobody knows who the end clients are on the end of each side through all the intermediaries. Q Okay. So really the only way we would know it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct? A WAM will have it, but it's not in the court. Q So they do have the records? They're just not A Correct. WAM will have its large ledger of its debits. Q Did you look for that document when you were reviewing the records? A That would be a huge there's a huge document of all of WAM's intercustodial Q So you didn't find anything that would show that WAM actually made written direction to the prime broker to debit WAM's account?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of WAM's, WAM is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the bank. So nobody knows who the end clients are on the end of each side through all the intermediaries. Q Okay. So really the only way we would know it involves Mr. Skarpelos at all is at the WAM level?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of WAM's, WAM is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the bank. So nobody knows who the end clients are on the end of each side through all the intermediaries. Q Okay. So really the only way we would know it involves Mr. Skarpelos at all is at the WAM level? A Correct. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of WAM's, WAM is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the pank. So nobody knows who the end clients are on the end of each side through all the intermediaries. Q Okay. So really the only way we would know it involves Mr. Skarpelos at all is at the WAM level? A Correct. Correct. Q And, again, the same sorts of questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the pank. So nobody knows who the end clients are on the end of each side through all the intermediaries. Q Okay. So really the only way we would know it involves Mr. Skarpelos at all is at the WAM level? A Correct. Correct. Q And, again, the same sorts of questions. So as we go up the chain from the prime broker
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of WAM's, WAM is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the bank. So nobody knows who the end clients are on the end of each side through all the intermediaries. Q Okay. So really the only way we would know it involves Mr. Skarpelos at all is at the WAM level? A Correct. Correct. Q And, again, the same sorts of questions. So as we go up the chain from the prime broker to the prime bank, the prime bank to the Federal Reserve,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct? A WAM will have it, but it's not in the court. Q So they do have the records? They're just not A Correct. WAM will have its large ledger of its debits. Q Did you look for that document when you were reviewing the records? A That would be a huge there's a huge document of all of WAM's intercustodial Q So you didn't find anything that would show that WAM actually made written direction to the prime broker to debit WAM's account? A The written request, no, I didn't look for the written request. Q Okay. And WAM doesn't also have any records of the prime broker actually debiting WAM's account? A It will be it will be in WAM's central } } </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of WAM's, WAM is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the pank. So nobody knows who the end clients are on the end of each side through all the intermediaries. Q Okay. So really the only way we would know it involves Mr. Skarpelos at all is at the WAM level? A Correct. Correct. Q And, again, the same sorts of questions. So as we go up the chain from the prime broker to the prime bank, the prime bank to the Federal Reserve, and the Federal Reserve ultimately to the end customer,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct? A WAM will have it, but it's not in the court. Q So they do have the records? They're just not A Correct. WAM will have its large ledger of its debits. Q Did you look for that document when you were reviewing the records? A That would be a huge there's a huge document of all of WAM's intercustodial Q So you didn't find anything that would show that WAM actually made written direction to the prime broker to debit WAM's account? A The written request, no, I didn't look for the written request. Q Okay. And WAM doesn't also have any records of the prime broker actually debiting WAM's account? A It will be it will be in WAM's central ledger.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of WAM's, WAM is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the bank. So nobody knows who the end clients are on the end of each side through all the intermediaries. Q Okay. So really the only way we would know it involves Mr. Skarpelos at all is at the WAM level? A Correct. Correct. Q And, again, the same sorts of questions. So as we go up the chain from the prime broker to the prime bank, the prime bank to the Federal Reserve, and the Federal Reserve ultimately to the end customer, WAM doesn't have any written records of those?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct? A WAM will have it, but it's not in the court. Q So they do have the records? They're just not A Correct. WAM will have its large ledger of its debits. Q Did you look for that document when you were reviewing the records? A That would be a huge there's a huge document of all of WAM's intercustodial Q So you didn't find anything that would show that WAM actually made written direction to the prime broker to debit WAM's account? A The written request, no, I didn't look for the written request. Q Okay. And WAM doesn't also have any records of the prime broker actually debiting WAM's account? A It will be it will be in WAM's central ledger. Q Okay. And that's not been made available to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of WAM's, WAM is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the bank. So nobody knows who the end clients are on the end of each side through all the intermediaries. Q Okay. So really the only way we would know it involves Mr. Skarpelos at all is at the WAM level? A Correct. Correct. Q And, again, the same sorts of questions. So as we go up the chain from the prime broker to the prime bank, the prime bank to the Federal Reserve, and the Federal Reserve ultimately to the end customer, WAM doesn't have any written records of those? A Sorry. Say that again.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct? A WAM will have it, but it's not in the court. Q So they do have the records? They're just not A Correct. WAM will have its large ledger of its debits. Q Did you look for that document when you were reviewing the records? A That would be a huge there's a huge document of all of WAM's intercustodial Q So you didn't find anything that would show that WAM actually made written direction to the prime broker to debit WAM's account? A The written request, no, I didn't look for the written request. Q Okay. And WAM doesn't also have any records of the prime broker actually debiting WAM's account? A It will be it will be in WAM's central ledger. Q Okay. And that's not been made available to the Court; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of WAM's is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the bank. So nobody knows who the end clients are on the end of each side through all the intermediaries. Q Okay. So really the only way we would know it involves Mr. Skarpelos at all is at the WAM level? A Correct. Correct. Q And, again, the same sorts of questions. So as we go up the chain from the prime broker to the prime bank, the prime bank to the Federal Reserve, and the Federal Reserve ultimately to the end customer, WAM doesn't have any written records of those? A Sorry. Say that again. Q Sure. It was a mouthful.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>the prime broker debit WAM's account; correct? A WAM will have it, but it's not in the court. Q So they do have the records? They're just not A Correct. WAM will have its large ledger of its debits. Q Did you look for that document when you were reviewing the records? A That would be a huge there's a huge document of all of WAM's intercustodial Q So you didn't find anything that would show that WAM actually made written direction to the prime broker to debit WAM's account? A The written request, no, I didn't look for the written request. Q Okay. And WAM doesn't also have any records of the prime broker actually debiting WAM's account? A It will be it will be in WAM's central ledger. Q Okay. And that's not been made available to the Court; correct? A No.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Yes. Q And when WAM asks to have its account debited on behalf of a specific customer, say, for example, Mr. Skarpelos, how does the prime bank know to attribute that withdrawal specifically to Mr. Skarpelos? A It doesn't a bank doesn't know which end client it's for because a client of WAM's is a client of WAM's, WAM is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the prime broker, and the prime broker is a client of the bank. So nobody knows who the end clients are on the end of each side through all the intermediaries. Q Okay. So really the only way we would know it involves Mr. Skarpelos at all is at the WAM level? A Correct. Correct. Q And, again, the same sorts of questions. So as we go up the chain from the prime broker to the prime bank, the prime bank to the Federal Reserve, and the Federal Reserve ultimately to the end customer, WAM doesn't have any written records of those? A Sorry. Say that again. Q Sure. It was a mouthful. As we look at the chain from the prime broker

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

	Page 274		Page 275
1	ultimate customer, WAM doesn't have any records of those	1	case?
2	transactions; correct?	2	A No.
3	A We only have parts of the record from the prime	3	Q At least nothing with respect to Mr. Skarpelos;
4	broker to the part of the record, which is from the	4	correct?
5	prime broker to the prime bank.	5	A Correct. Not for a SWIFT trace, no.
6	Q Okay. And as far as the rest of the chain, we	6	Q And so I guess my point is, the only way to
7	don't have any of that?	7	identify that Mr. Skarpelos was the one requesting money
8	A To the final end client, like where it ended	8	would be at the WAM level; correct?
9	up?	9	A From whose
10	Q Yes.	10	Q From his own account?
11	A No. That would be on the receiving client's	11	A From whose perspective? I'm sorry. I didn't
12	side.	12	catch that.
13	Q And there's no way once the request is made	13	Q I just want to make sure I understand.
14	from WAM to the prime broker, there's no way to track if	14	At the WAM level is where Mr. Skarpelos,
15	it's tied to a specific customer or client from that	15	through you, requests money?
16	level on because at the prime broker level you're dealing	16	A Correct.
17	strictly with WAM's account; correct?	17	Q And once WAM makes that request or processes
18	A Well, I think I understand your question. You	18	the transaction in its books and then makes the request
19	can trace money from beginning to end. That's when you	19	from the prime broker to carry it out, the prime broker
20	request a SWIFT trace. That's a special trace that has	20	does not know that it's Mr. Skarpelos requesting the
21	to be requested, and a SWIFT trace can give you all of	21	money; correct?
22	those all those jumping points, if you do a SWIFT	22	A Correct.
23	trace.	23	Q It's WAM requesting the money?
24	Q Did you do a request for a SWIFT trace in this	24	A Correct.
	Page 276	_	Page 277
1	Q So in this case you're saying, on these	1	broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's
2	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to	2	broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.
2 3	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker?	2 3	broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve. A Well, we have the requests from Lambros.
2 3 4	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct.	2 3 4	broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve. A Well, we have the requests from Lambros. Q But that's at the initial level. That's him
2 3 4 5	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct?	2 3 4 5	broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve. A Well, we have the requests from Lambros. Q But that's at the initial level. That's him asking you
2 3 4 5 6	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker,	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve. A Well, we have the requests from Lambros. Q But that's at the initial level. That's him asking you A So we have some of the initial and some of the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able let's say we had a document from the prime broker to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able let's say we had a document from the prime broker to the prime bank. We wouldn't know it was related to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able let's say we had a document from the prime broker to the prime bank. We wouldn't know it was related to Mr. Skarpelos because you testified that it just comes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able let's say we had a document from the prime broker to the prime bank. We wouldn't know it was related to Mr. Skarpelos because you testified that it just comes out of WAM's general account?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able let's say we had a document from the prime broker to the prime bank. We wouldn't know it was related to Mr. Skarpelos because you testified that it just comes out of WAM's general account? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able let's say we had a document from the prime broker to the prime bank. We wouldn't know it was related to Mr. Skarpelos because you testified that it just comes out of WAM's general account? A Correct. Q So with respect to everything down the chain,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able let's say we had a document from the prime broker to the prime bank. We wouldn't know it was related to Mr. Skarpelos because you testified that it just comes out of WAM's general account? A Correct. Q So with respect to everything down the chain, there's no records of these transactions other than what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able let's say we had a document from the prime broker to the prime bank. We wouldn't know it was related to Mr. Skarpelos because you testified that it just comes out of WAM's general account? A Correct. Q So with respect to everything down the chain, there's no records of these transactions other than what exists in Exhibit 44?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able let's say we had a document from the prime broker to the prime bank. We wouldn't know it was related to Mr. Skarpelos because you testified that it just comes out of WAM's general account? A Correct. Q So with respect to everything down the chain, there's no records of these transactions other than what exists in Exhibit 44? A In the court or in the real world?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able let's say we had a document from the prime broker to the prime bank. We wouldn't know it was related to Mr. Skarpelos because you testified that it just comes out of WAM's general account? A Correct. Q So with respect to everything down the chain, there's no records of these transactions other than what exists in Exhibit 44? A In the court or in the real world? Q In the court.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able let's say we had a document from the prime broker to the prime bank. We wouldn't know it was related to Mr. Skarpelos because you testified that it just comes out of WAM's general account? A Correct. Q So with respect to everything down the chain, there's no records of these transactions other than what exists in Exhibit 44? A In the court or in the real world? Q In the court. A In the court, the whole chain, no. We wouldn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able let's say we had a document from the prime broker to the prime bank. We wouldn't know it was related to Mr. Skarpelos because you testified that it just comes out of WAM's general account? A Correct. Q So with respect to everything down the chain, there's no records of these transactions other than what exists in Exhibit 44? A In the court or in the real world? Q In the court. A In the court, the whole chain, no. We wouldn't have the whole chain, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able let's say we had a document from the prime broker to the prime bank. We wouldn't know it was related to Mr. Skarpelos because you testified that it just comes out of WAM's general account? A Correct. Q So with respect to everything down the chain, there's no records of these transactions other than what exists in Exhibit 44? A In the court or in the real world? Q In the court. A In the court, the whole chain, no. We wouldn't have the whole chain, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able let's say we had a document from the prime broker to the prime bank. We wouldn't know it was related to Mr. Skarpelos because you testified that it just comes out of WAM's general account? A Correct. Q So with respect to everything down the chain, there's no records of these transactions other than what exists in Exhibit 44? A In the court or in the real world? Q In the court. A In the court, the whole chain, no. We wouldn't have the whole chain, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q So in this case you're saying, on these transactions here, that WAM sent all these requests to the prime broker? A Correct. Q And we don't have those records; correct? A The record of the request to the prime broker, no. Q And on down the chain, we wouldn't be able let's say we had a document from the prime broker to the prime bank. We wouldn't know it was related to Mr. Skarpelos because you testified that it just comes out of WAM's general account? A Correct. Q So with respect to everything down the chain, there's no records of these transactions other than what exists in Exhibit 44? A In the court or in the real world? Q In the court. A In the court, the whole chain, no. We wouldn't have the whole chain, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>broker's request to the prime bank, the prime bank's request to the Federal Reserve.</pre>

	Page 278		Page 279
1	A Yes.	1	asserting a claim for declaratory relief that it is the
2	Q But there's no records that show it carrying	2	owner of the stock?
3	out beyond the prime broker level; correct?	3	A Yes.
4	A From the prime broker to the prime bank, yes.	4	Q And as I understood your testimony earlier, you
5	Q There is?	5	were saying that WAM is now claiming it's the owner of
6	A I believe I saw some.	6	the stock?
7	Q Let's focus on the level from Federal Reserve	7	A Sorry. Which Weiser are we I missed that.
8	to the ultimate customer. There's no records to ever	8	Q Your counsel has collectively referred to
9	show that money requested by Mr. Skarpelos ever went into	9	Weiser Asset Management and Weiser Capital as "Weiser"?
10	any account affiliated with him?	10	A Collectively, right.
11	A I think there's one record showing one of the	11	Q And in some of the pleadings it does not
12	transactions. I saw one at least. One or two are in	12	distinguish who claims to be the actual buyer of the
		13	stock that's at issue.
13	here.		
14	Q You understand in this case that Weiser	14	Now, my question to you is, as I understand
15	well, your counsel has called Weiser in this case	15	from you a few minutes ago, you're testifying that WAM
16	collectively Weiser Asset Management and Weiser Capital;	16	was the owner of the stock.
17	correct?	17	A Well, there's multiple intermediaries. One is
18	A Correct.	18	Weiser, Weiser Capital and WAM, because Weiser Capital
19	Q You've seen the pleadings. You understand that	19	interfaces also with WAM.
20	they've been collectively referred to; correct?	20	Q So Weiser Capital at one moment was the owner?
21	A Yes.	21	A How can I make it simple? Because I don't know
22	Q Is that right?	22	how to define ownership in the transaction process of
23	A Yes. Yes. Yes.	23	these of these trades. Weiser, WAM.
24	Q And you're aware that in this case Weiser is	24	And so I don't mix up the two transactions, in
<u> </u>	Page 280		Page 281
1	the first transaction	1	
1		1 ±	A NO. WAM.
2	Q Let me stop you there. There was only one	2	A No. WAM. Q Let's look at Exhibit 3.
	Q Let me stop you there. There was only one transaction; right?		
2		2	Q Let's look at Exhibit 3.
2 3	transaction; right?	2 3	Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before?
2 3 4	transaction; right? A Right.	2 3 4	Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes.
2 3 4 5	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested,</pre>	2 3 4 5	Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law?
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe it's WAM that is the one that is making the claim.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do you know who Ernesto Alvarez is?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe it's WAM that is the one that is making the claim. Q You sound unsure.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do you know who Ernesto Alvarez is? A He's one of the attorneys at Montello Law.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe it's WAM that is the one that is making the claim. Q You sound unsure. A Yeah. Because I'm missing the legalities of</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do you know who Ernesto Alvarez is? A He's one of the attorneys at Montello Law. Sorry. Second page, the purchase and sale agreement?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe it's WAM that is the one that is making the claim. Q You sound unsure. A Yeah. Because I'm missing the legalities of it, but I guess I can only explain it by process, and in</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do you know who Ernesto Alvarez is? A He's one of the attorneys at Montello Law. Sorry. Second page, the purchase and sale agreement? Q I'm sorry. We're on Exhibit 3. A Oh, Exhibit 3.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe it's WAM that is the one that is making the claim. Q You sound unsure. A Yeah. Because I'm missing the legalities of it, but I guess I can only explain it by process, and in the process WAM was in the middle of the buy and sell transaction. That transaction closed, and it's WAM</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do you know who Ernesto Alvarez is? A He's one of the attorneys at Montello Law. Sorry. Second page, the purchase and sale agreement? Q I'm sorry. We're on Exhibit 3.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe it's WAM that is the one that is making the claim. Q You sound unsure. A Yeah. Because I'm missing the legalities of it, but I guess I can only explain it by process, and in the process WAM was in the middle of the buy and sell transaction. That transaction closed, and it's WAM that's responsible to close the process in that</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do you know who Ernesto Alvarez is? A He's one of the attorneys at Montello Law. Sorry. Second page, the purchase and sale agreement? Q I'm sorry. We're on Exhibit 3. A Oh, Exhibit 3. Q If you look at the second page of Exhibit 3,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe it's WAM that is the one that is making the claim. Q You sound unsure. A Yeah. Because I'm missing the legalities of it, but I guess I can only explain it by process, and in the process WAM was in the middle of the buy and sell transaction. That transaction closed, and it's WAM</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do you know who Ernesto Alvarez is? A He's one of the attorneys at Montello Law. Sorry. Second page, the purchase and sale agreement? Q I'm sorry. We're on Exhibit 3. A Oh, Exhibit 3. Q If you look at the second page of Exhibit 3, there's the name Ernesto Alvarez. A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe it's WAM that is the one that is making the claim. Q You sound unsure. A Yeah. Because I'm missing the legalities of it, but I guess I can only explain it by process, and in the process WAM was in the middle of the buy and sell transaction. That transaction closed, and it's WAM that's responsible to close the process in that transaction, and so to close the process in that transaction, it needs to dematerialize that certificate.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do you know who Ernesto Alvarez is? A He's one of the attorneys at Montello Law. Sorry. Second page, the purchase and sale agreement? Q I'm sorry. We're on Exhibit 3. A Oh, Exhibit 3. Q If you look at the second page of Exhibit 3, there's the name Ernesto Alvarez.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe it's WAM that is the one that is making the claim. Q You sound unsure. A Yeah. Because I'm missing the legalities of it, but I guess I can only explain it by process, and in the process WAM was in the middle of the buy and sell transaction. That transaction closed, and it's WAM that's responsible to close the process in that transaction, and so to close the process in that transaction, it needs to dematerialize that certificate. So in my view where the issue lies is WAM is stuck with</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do you know who Ernesto Alvarez is? A He's one of the attorneys at Montello Law. Sorry. Second page, the purchase and sale agreement? Q I'm sorry. We're on Exhibit 3. A Oh, Exhibit 3. Q If you look at the second page of Exhibit 3, there's the name Ernesto Alvarez. A Yes. Q And he's an attorney with Montello Law? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe it's WAM that is the one that is making the claim. Q You sound unsure. A Yeah. Because I'm missing the legalities of it, but I guess I can only explain it by process, and in the process WAM was in the middle of the buy and sell transaction. That transaction closed, and it's WAM that's responsible to close the process in that transaction, and so to close the process in that transaction, it needs to dematerialize that certificate.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do you know who Ernesto Alvarez is? A He's one of the attorneys at Montello Law. Sorry. Second page, the purchase and sale agreement? Q I'm sorry. We're on Exhibit 3. A Oh, Exhibit 3. Q If you look at the second page of Exhibit 3, there's the name Ernesto Alvarez. A Yes. Q And he's an attorney with Montello Law? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe it's WAM that is the one that is making the claim. Q You sound unsure. A Yeah. Because I'm missing the legalities of it, but I guess I can only explain it by process, and in the process WAM was in the middle of the buy and sell transaction. That transaction closed, and it's WAM that's responsible to close the process in that transaction, and so to close the process in that transaction, it needs to dematerialize that certificate. So in my view where the issue lies is WAM is stuck with dematerializing the certificate, so it would be making the claim to those shares. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do you know who Ernesto Alvarez is? A He's one of the attorneys at Montello Law. Sorry. Second page, the purchase and sale agreement? Q I'm sorry. We're on Exhibit 3. A Oh, Exhibit 3. Q If you look at the second page of Exhibit 3, there's the name Ernesto Alvarez. A Yes. Q And he's an attorney with Montello Law? A Correct. Q And do you recognize his signature? A No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe it's WAM that is the one that is making the claim. Q You sound unsure. A Yeah. Because I'm missing the legalities of it, but I guess I can only explain it by process, and in the process WAM was in the middle of the buy and sell transaction. That transaction closed, and it's WAM that's responsible to close the process in that transaction, and so to close the process in that transaction, it needs to dematerialize that certificate. So in my view where the issue lies is WAM is stuck with dematerializing the certificate, so it would be making the claim to those shares. Q So WAM is the owner of the shares?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do you know who Ernesto Alvarez is? A He's one of the attorneys at Montello Law. Sorry. Second page, the purchase and sale agreement? Q I'm sorry. We're on Exhibit 3. A Oh, Exhibit 3. Q If you look at the second page of Exhibit 3, there's the name Ernesto Alvarez. A Yes. Q And he's an attorney with Montello Law? A Correct. Q And do you recognize his signature? A No. Q It looks like Weiser Asset Management was cc'd</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe it's WAM that is the one that is making the claim. Q You sound unsure. A Yeah. Because I'm missing the legalities of it, but I guess I can only explain it by process, and in the process WAM was in the middle of the buy and sell transaction. That transaction closed, and it's WAM that's responsible to close the process in that transaction, and so to close the process in that transaction, it needs to dematerialize that certificate. So in my view where the issue lies is WAM is stuck with dematerializing the certificate, so it would be making the claim to those shares. Q So WAM is the owner of the shares? A Yes. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do you know who Ernesto Alvarez is? A He's one of the attorneys at Montello Law. Sorry. Second page, the purchase and sale agreement? Q I'm sorry. We're on Exhibit 3. A Oh, Exhibit 3. Q If you look at the second page of Exhibit 3, there's the name Ernesto Alvarez. A Yes. Q And he's an attorney with Montello Law? A Correct. Q And do you recognize his signature? A No. Q It looks like Weiser Asset Management was cc'd on this letter?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe it's WAM that is the one that is making the claim. Q You sound unsure. A Yeah. Because I'm missing the legalities of it, but I guess I can only explain it by process, and in the process WAM was in the middle of the buy and sell transaction. That transaction closed, and it's WAM that's responsible to close the process in that transaction, and so to close the process in that transaction, it needs to dematerialize that certificate. So in my view where the issue lies is WAM is stuck with dematerializing the certificate, so it would be making the claim to those shares. Q So WAM is the owner of the shares? A Yes. Q Okay. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do you know who Ernesto Alvarez is? A He's one of the attorneys at Montello Law. Sorry. Second page, the purchase and sale agreement? Q I'm sorry. We're on Exhibit 3. A Oh, Exhibit 3. Q If you look at the second page of Exhibit 3, there's the name Ernesto Alvarez. A Yes. Q And he's an attorney with Montello Law? A Correct. Q And do you recognize his signature? A No. Q It looks like Weiser Asset Management was cc'd on this letter? A Yes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>transaction; right? A Right. Q So you're talking, as the Court requested, about the April 2nd transaction? A Right. The April 2nd transaction, I believe it's WAM that is the one that is making the claim. Q You sound unsure. A Yeah. Because I'm missing the legalities of it, but I guess I can only explain it by process, and in the process WAM was in the middle of the buy and sell transaction. That transaction closed, and it's WAM that's responsible to close the process in that transaction, and so to close the process in that transaction, it needs to dematerialize that certificate. So in my view where the issue lies is WAM is stuck with dematerializing the certificate, so it would be making the claim to those shares. Q So WAM is the owner of the shares? A Yes. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Q Let's look at Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before? A Yes. Yes. Q And what is Montello Law? A It's an attorney for the firm. Q Okay. And if you'd turn to the second page, do you know who Ernesto Alvarez is? A He's one of the attorneys at Montello Law. Sorry. Second page, the purchase and sale agreement? Q I'm sorry. We're on Exhibit 3. A Oh, Exhibit 3. Q If you look at the second page of Exhibit 3, there's the name Ernesto Alvarez. A Yes. Q And he's an attorney with Montello Law? A Correct. Q And do you recognize his signature? A No. Q It looks like Weiser Asset Management was cc'd on this letter?</pre>

Page 282 Page 283 the contents of this letter with Mr. Alvarez before it 1 1 Q And you had a chance to see this letter; 2 was sent? 2 correct? 3 A I'm not sure if I saw the letter or if it went A Correct. 3 4 Q And did Weiser Asset Management authorize to other counsel. I can't recall if I reviewed this 4 Mr. Alvarez to send this letter? letter to clear this letter. 5 5 6 A As far as I would recall, I would assume so. Q You were the owner of WAM at this point in 6 7 MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'd move to the 7 time; correct? 8 admission of Exhibit 3. 8 A I am the owner, but it also has its own legal 9 MR. NORK: No objection. 9 department, compliance office and so on and so forth, so THE COURT: Exhibit 3 will be admitted. 10 I don't carry out every single action. 10 11 (Exhibit 3 was admitted.) 11 Q You're telling us you don't think you were 12 BY MR. ANDERSON: 12 involved in the discussion leading up to this letter? 13 13 A I know I was involved in the discussion and Q This letter, if you look at the first sentence, 14 it says, "We are writing on behalf of Weiser Asset sending some documents to the law firm. Did I review 14 15 Management," and then they call it "Weiser," and it says, 15 this letter? I don't recall. 16 "On or about July 12, 2013, Athanasios Skarpelos, seller, Q Was one of the documents you sent Exhibit 30? 16 17 sold 3,316,666 shares of common stock of Anavex Life 17 A Yes, that is correct. 18 Sciences Corp." Q Okay. And I'd like you to look through this 18 19 Did I read that correctly? letter. I don't see anywhere in this letter where 19 20 A Correct. 20 Mr. Alvarez claims WAM became the owner of the stock by 21 Q So your attorney on October 30, '15, is telling way of an April 2, 2013, transaction. 21 A Yeah. I see it says on or about July 12th, 22 Nevada Agency and Transfer Company that WAM acquired the 22 23 stock as an owner on July 12, 2013; correct? 23 which matches no dates to anything that I have. 24 24 Q It matches closely with the Exhibit 30 --A That is what he wrote, yes. Page 284 Page 285 1 correct? -- which is one of the documents you just said A I don't see references to the purchase and sale 1 agreement or the April transaction. 2 you sent to him? 2 3 A Well, Exhibit 30 has September 30th as a 3 Q Okay. But it does reference a July 12, 2013, 4 closing date. The July 5th date would have been 4 agreement -- correct? -- on the first paragraph? 5 impossible to put in there -- I'm sorry -- not impossible 5 A I don't see agreement. It says on July 12th 6 to put in. The July 5th date would have been put in at a 6 sold. I don't see that -- I don't see it referencing an 7 much later date which, as we discussed during my 7 agreement. 8 deposition, this was also an error because how can you do 8 Q Okay. You're absolutely correct. It doesn't 9 a July 5th date when the document wasn't even notarized 9 reference an agreement, but it does state that that's 10 until July 9th. 10 when the sale took place? 11 11 So there was an error on the date of the A Correct. 12 agreement because it would have been impossible for me to 12 Q And Exhibit 30, although it says July 5th, is 13 date it July 5th when I received the blank on July 9th, also in July of 2013; correct? 13 14 so that was an error. And I believe the lawyer also used 14 A That is correct. 15 a wrong date. I don't know why he referenced July 12th 15 Q Now, this letter from Mr. Alvarez doesn't say 16 to anything, so in my view he made an error on his 16 anything about WAM being an intermediary; correct? 17 dating. 17 A Weiser Asset Management. Weiser Asset 18 Management is an intermediary. It's a broker-dealer. Q Sounds like a lot of mistakes were being made. 18 19 19 A Yes. Q Where does it say that in the letter? 20 Q But in any event, this letter doesn't reference A It doesn't say it in the letter. It references 20 21 at all any April 2, 2013, transaction? 21 the intermediary, which is Weiser Asset Management. 22 A I don't see references to the purchase and sale 22 Q I'm sorry. Are you saying it says intermediary 23 agreement or the April transaction. 23 in the letter? 24 Q I'm sorry? 24 A No. It references the intermediary.

Page 286 Page 287 1 Q Correct. It references WAM; right? 1 deposit it to its prime bank's account in its name, which 2 A Right. 2 infers -- in general terms it infers ownership though 3 Q But it doesn't say WAM is an intermediary? 3 it's acting in ownership as custodian. 4 A No, it doesn't say that it is. It doesn't Q So you're claiming that WAM at some point 4 5 spell it out. 5 claimed the transfer agent to be the owner of the stock? 6 6 A It claimed -- it requested for the stock to be Q It also doesn't say there's any third-party 7 buyers out there, does it? 7 deposited -- to be cleared in its name. So if you, Dane, go to NATCO and say, "Hi, I have a stock certificate from 8 A No. No. 8 9 Q And it also doesn't say that WAM has liability 9 Jeremy, I would like you to register this and clear this to any third-party buyers because Skarpelos failed to electronically to my account," you don't tell NATCO 10 10 11 deliver the stock? 11 you're the owner. You say, "I would like to clear it to 12 A Liability, no. 12 my account." 13 Q And this letter is asking NATCO to register WAM 13 Q But that prior request to NATCO that you're 14 as the owner of the disputed stock and not any talking about, didn't that involve a customer? Didn't it 14 15 third-party buyer; correct? 15 indicate that you're doing it on behalf of a WAM 16 A Correct. 16 customer? 17 Q And this is two and a half years after the 17 A I'm not sure what you're referring to. 18 transaction? 18 Q I'm just asking, do you recall? 19 19 A Yes. Correct. A That Weiser was doing it for a customer? 20 Q Now, there's no documents at all that would 20 Q Yes. 21 demonstrate that prior to October 30th of 2015 WAM 21 A No. 22 claimed to be the owner of the disputed stock; correct? 22 Q So your recollection is that WAM told NATCO 23 A That it claimed to be the owner? Well, it was prior to October 30, 2015, that WAM was the owner of the 23 24 24 asking the transfer agent to register the shares and stock? Page 288 Page 289 A It said, "Please clear it to our account." It as far as time-sensitive is because the lawyer is asking 1 1 says, "We are the owners." It said, "Please clear it to 2 2 for a stop transfer on the replacement certificate 3 our account." because the attorney had discovered that the original 3 4 Q That answers my questions. You didn't tell Certificate 0756, if I have the number correct, had been 4 5 NATCO previously that WAM was the owner? 5 replaced with a new one, and he was concerned that the б A No. 6 new certificate would then be clearing to other 7 Q Look at Exhibit 46, please. And just quickly, 7 ownership. 8 this is already admitted into evidence. 8 Q Okay. Look at Exhibit 52, please, and, again, 9 9 This is Mr. Alvarez writing to NATCO a couple this letter from Mr. Alvarez, it again references a 10 of days later and asking NATCO to follow up on the prior July 12, 2013, sale transaction whereby Mr. Skarpelos 10 11 letter; correct? 11 sold the 3.3 million shares of Anavex stock to Weiser? 12 A Correct. 12 A Correct. 13 13 Q Weiser Asset Management; correct? Q I'm sorry. Take your time and read it, by the 14 14 A Correct. way. 15 A Correct. 15 Q Again, there's nothing in this letter about any 16 Q The last sentence starts with, "This is a 16 April 2, 2013, transaction; correct? 17 time-sensitive issue, and therefore we reiterate our 17 A Correct. 18 demand that you immediately place a stop transfer order 18 Q And did you, after you received the on the replacement certificate and confirm to us you have 19 19 October 30th letter that's Exhibit 30, did you voice --20 done so." 20 I'm sorry, not Exhibit 30 -- the October 30th letter 21 Is that what you considered a time-sensitive 21 that's Exhibit 3, did you voice any objection and say, 22 matter at that point in time? 22 "Hey, that's not the right transaction, you've got the 23 A Yeah, it was. In mid to late 2015 it became a 23 wrong date"? time-sensitive matter, and I believe the reference here 24 24 MR. NORK: Objection. That gets into

Page 290 Page 291 1 attorney-client privilege. 1 and then he was asking you, are there any emails not with THE COURT: Don't answer it. 2 2 your attorneys, but just internal emails at WAM about 3 3 that. THE WITNESS: But I'd like to answer it. 4 MR. ANDERSON: I'll rephrase it. 4 Is that correct, Mr. Anderson? 5 THE COURT: Okay. I'll allow you to rephrase 5 MR. ANDERSON: Correct. Let me try an easier 6 the question. 6 question. 7 BY MR. ANDERSON: 7 BY MR. ANDERSON: 8 Q After you received the October 30th letter, did 8 Q Don't tell me about conversations with your 9 you have any internal discussions at WAM and say, "Hey, 9 attorney. that's not the right date. It's April 2nd, not 10 10 Were there internal discussions at WAM, after 11 July 12th"? 11 the October 30th letter, where WAM employees or 12 personnel, including yourself, said that letter has the A Yes. 12 13 wrong transaction date in it? Q Okay. And did you produce those records in 13 14 this case? 14 A Our attorneys were copied on all of these 15 A Discussion records? 15 emails that we had regarding --16 Q Well, let me ask you this: Were there any 16 Q And I don't want to know what the attorneys 17 emails about that? found out. I'm just focusing on what information was 17 18 A There were emails with the attorney -exchanged internally at WAM. 18 19 MR. NORK: Wait. Hold it. Stop. 19 A Again, the attorneys were copied on our 20 THE COURT: Your attorney is trying to advise 20 correspondence. 21 you not to discuss anything you talked about with him. 21 THE COURT: Why don't we do this. I'm going to 22 Mr. Anderson has asked you first, were there any 22 take a very brief recess and allow Mr. Livadas to discuss 23 discussions, and I think that resulted in your slight this with Mr. Nork and Mr. LaForge, and possibly Mr. Nork 23 24 quizzical look on your face about records of discussions, 24 and Mr. LaForge can discuss it with Mr. Anderson and Page 292 Page 293 "In July" --1 Mr. Adams because we're walking a tightrope, and it's 1 2 pretty clear Mr. Livadas is not clear about what he's 2 A Excuse me. What exhibit? 3 supposed to say and not say regarding privileged or 3 Q You don't have it. I'm going to read it to 4 confidential communication. So I'm going to give you you. This is what your attorney wrote in the cross-claim 4 guys a couple minutes to discuss that, and when you're 5 5 that was filed on May 24, 2016. 6 ready, let me know. Court is in recess. 6 A Okay. 7 (A recess was taken.) 7 Q And I'm sure if I read it incorrectly, counsel 8 THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in 8 and the judge will let me know. 9 CV15-02259, Skarpelos vs. Weiser. All parties are 9 Paragraph 3 reads: "In July 2013 Weiser and 10 present. 10 Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a 11 11 certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of Mr. Anderson, you may continue your 12 cross-examination. The parties have said you're --12 the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." 13 Strike that. My court clerk has told me that you're 13 Are you aware of any documents in this case 14 ready to go, so I assume you've worked out any issues 14 that would suggest that Weiser ever took the position 15 regarding answers that may touch on privileged or 15 that the transaction actually happened on April 2nd of 2013? 16 confidential information. 16 17 MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. And I'll 17 A Ask the question again. Is there any documents 18 move on from that area just to avoid that issue. 18 that we took the position that it happened in April? 19 BY MR. ANDERSON: 19 Q Let me back up. 20 Q Mr. Livadas, you're aware that your counsel 20 The section I just read to you from your 21 filed a cross-claim on your behalf for declaratory 21 cross-claim indicates that the transaction upon which 22 relief. We talked about that earlier; correct? 22 Weiser is relying was July of 2013; correct? 23 A Yes. 23 A I'm sorry. I'm jet lag phasing out. 24 Q And in that cross-claim, paragraph 3 reads: 24 Q I understand. I was jet lagged, too, when I

<u> </u>	Page 294		Page 295
1	went that way for depositions.	1	Stock Certificate 0753"; correct?
2	I just read from your cross-claim that your	2	A Correct.
3	attorneys filed a document with this Court alleging that	3	Q And you sent this to Mr. Boutsalis on
4	the transaction at issue was July 2013.	4	November 12th of 2015?
5	A Yes.	5	A Correct.
6	Q And I'm asking you if there's anything in	6	Q And why were you emailing Mr. Boutsalis?
7	writing where Weiser Asset Management or Weiser Capital	7	A Because he had some of the documentation, and
8	ever claimed that the transaction was not in July of 2012	8	Mr. Boutsalis's company is essentially the issuer, is
9	but was actually I'm sorry July of 2013 but was	9	Anavex, and they typically deal with or coordinate with
10	actually in April of 2013?	10	transfer agents to deal with certificates being cleared.
11	A Is there anything in these documents that it	11	Q Okay. What original documents did
12	was April? Is that what you said?	12	Mr. Boutsalis have?
13	Q Correct.	13	A They had the stock certificate, stock I'm
14	A Besides the account statement, not that I'm	14	sorry I don't know if it's originals. It says here
15	aware of.	15	original stock certificate, stock power and copy of
16	Q Okay. Would you look at Exhibit 50.	16	passport. I'm assuming these were not all originals.
17	A 50, five zero.	17	Some were originals, some not.
18	Q I'm sorry. 50, five zero.	18	Q Why are you assuming that?
19	I believe Mr. Nork asked you about this	19	A Because well, it says copy of passport, so
20	document on direct examination.	20	it wouldn't be an original. So they had the original
21	If you look at the second page, that's the	21	stock certificate. That's the one that says original.
22	email exchange from you to Nick Boutsalis.	22	Q Okay. It says original stock certificate,
23	A Yes.	23	stock power and a copy of passport; correct?
24	Q And the subject is "Submission request Anavex	24	A Yes.
	D		D
1	Page 296 0 So	1	Page 297 your Weiser Capital account when this apparently involves
2	A So from the little bit I recall, they confirmed	2	a WAM claim of ownership?
3	they had the stock certificate, the stock power and the	3	A Because this is the one that I used
4	copy of the passport.	4	predominantly until last year, actually.
5	Q Okay. So your understanding of this email is	5	Q Okay. And why did you stop using it?
6	that Mr. Boutsalis had the original stock certificate.	6	A Because now I have a shorter one called Wamo,
7	Now, is that something that Weiser Asset	7	which I like better.
8	Management sent to him at some point in time?	8	Q And it also indicates that they have a copy
9	A Yes.	9	of Mr. Skarpelos's passport. It says, "On
10	Q And it looks to me like you're also saying he	10	November 13th."
11	has the original stock power.	11	Do you know what that means?
12	A That's what we interpreted this to mean, that	12	- THE COURT: It actually says, "On
13	they had the original stock power.	13	November 2013," not "November 13th."
14	Q And then the next sentence below says, "Please	14	MR. ANDERSON: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Thank
15	confirm receipt of this email and courier these items to	15	you. I'm not jet lagged, but
16	Anavex's transfer agent."	16	BY MR. ANDERSON:
17	A Correct.	17	Q As I read this, basically it means that you're
1	Q This is from a weisercapital.bz email; correct?	18	confirming with Mr. Boutsalis that he received those
18		1	-
18 19	A Correct.	19	documents in November of 2013?
		19 20	
19	A Correct.		A I'm not sure what this grammar means or what I was writing, I guess.
19 20	A Correct. Q How long did you have that account?	20	A I'm not sure what this grammar means or what I
19 20 21	A Correct.Q How long did you have that account?A Oh, exactly, I'm not sure because I had Weiser	20 21	A I'm not sure what this grammar means or what I was writing, I guess.
19 20 21 22	A Correct. Q How long did you have that account? A Oh, exactly, I'm not sure because I had Weiser Capital and Wamo and Weiser. I have various Weiser email	20 21 22	A I'm not sure what this grammar means or what I was writing, I guess.Q Do you have an understanding of when you sent

1	Page 298 periods of time. They didn't go as one package. I think	1	Page 299 Q And is that passport current as of the date of
2	it was they had some of them from earlier on. The	2	this letter?
3	certificate we sent last, I guess, in 2015, I think.	3	A I can't read it.
4	Q In any event, did Mr. Boutsalis execute your	4	Q Okay. I agree, it's hard to read.
5	request in this email?	5	And then the next page is the stock
6	A I believe yeah, I believe he sent everything	6	certificate?
7	to the transfer agent.	7	A Yes.
8	Q Would you look at Exhibit 54, please.	8	Q And this would have been the original stock
9	A Yes.	و	certificate, as you discussed earlier; correct?
10	Q And this indicates that Mr. Boutsalis is	10	A Correct.
11	sending some of those items or all of them to NATCO.	11	Q And the next page is the original power of
12	A Correct.	12	attorney that Mr. Boutsalis had in his possession;
13	Q Is this Mr. Boutsalis's typical letterhead?	13	correct?
14	A I don't see a letterhead on this.	14	A I guess so, yeah. I'm assuming this is a
15	Q Okay. Is that how Primoris Group typically	15	photocopy of everything he sent in that.
16	sends correspondence, without any type of letterhead?	16	Q Well, the letter we looked at earlier suggests
17	A I have no idea.	17	that he was sending originals.
18	Q In any event, it looks like he sent it on	18	A Everything I'm looking at is a copy, so I can't
19	November 13, 2015, and NATCO received it on November 16,	19	say.
20	2015; correct?	20	Q You don't know whether Mr. Boutsalis had the
21	A Correct.	21	original or not?
22	Q And included in this document is	22	A I don't know. I don't even know if it was the
23	Mr. Skarpelos's passport on the next page?	23	original share certificate because I can only I'm
24	A Yes, correct.	24	assuming he sent it.
1	Page 300 O I understand you weren't involved in sending	1	Page 301 Weiser Capital. does it?
1	Q I understand you weren't involved in sending	1	Weiser Capital, does it?
2	Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to	1 2 3	Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No.
2 3	Q I understand you weren't involved in sending	2	Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is
2 3 4	Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock?	2 3	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct?</pre>
2 3	Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct.	2 3 4	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is	2 3 4 5	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct</pre>
2 3 4 5	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes. Q Would you look at Exhibit 55, please.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct? A Correct. He keeps referring to it as the same</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes. Q Would you look at Exhibit 55, please. A 55, yes. The Montello Law letter? Q Pardon me?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct? A Correct. He keeps referring to it as the same date as was in his original letter. Q Would you look at Exhibit 56, please.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes. Q Would you look at Exhibit 55, please. A 55, yes. The Montello Law letter? Q Pardon me? A That's the letter from Montello?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct? A Correct. He keeps referring to it as the same date as was in his original letter. Q Would you look at Exhibit 56, please. A Yes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes. Q Would you look at Exhibit 55, please. A 55, yes. The Montello Law letter? Q Pardon me?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct? A Correct. He keeps referring to it as the same date as was in his original letter. Q Would you look at Exhibit 56, please. A Yes. Q And this appears to be an email from a</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes. Q Would you look at Exhibit 55, please. A 55, yes. The Montello Law letter? Q Pardon me? A That's the letter from Montello? Q Yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct? A Correct. He keeps referring to it as the same date as was in his original letter. Q Would you look at Exhibit 56, please. A Yes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes. Q Would you look at Exhibit 55, please. A 55, yes. The Montello Law letter? Q Pardon me? A That's the letter from Montello? Q Yes. A Yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct? A Correct. He keeps referring to it as the same date as was in his original letter. Q Would you look at Exhibit 56, please. A Yes. Q And this appears to be an email from a gentleman by the name of Bill Simonitsch,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes. Q Would you look at Exhibit 55, please. A 55, yes. The Montello Law letter? Q Pardon me? A That's the letter from Montello? Q Yes. A Yes. Q This appears to be another follow-up letter</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct? A Correct. He keeps referring to it as the same date as was in his original letter. Q Would you look at Exhibit 56, please. A Yes. Q And this appears to be an email from a gentleman by the name of Bill Simonitsch, S-i-m-o-n-i-t-c-h I'm sorryt-s-c-h to Lewis</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes. Q Would you look at Exhibit 55, please. A 55, yes. The Montello Law letter? Q Pardon me? A That's the letter from Montello? Q Yes. A Yes. Q This appears to be another follow-up letter from Mr. Montello to NATCO making a demand on behalf of</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct? A Correct. He keeps referring to it as the same date as was in his original letter. Q Would you look at Exhibit 56, please. A Yes. Q And this appears to be an email from a gentleman by the name of Bill Simonitsch, S-i-m-o-n-i-t-c-h I'm sorryt-s-c-h to Lewis Montello; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes. Q Would you look at Exhibit 55, please. A 55, yes. The Montello Law letter? Q Pardon me? A That's the letter from Montello? Q Yes. A Yes. Q This appears to be another follow-up letter from Mr. Montello to NATCO making a demand on behalf of WAM as the owner of the stock at issue; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct? A Correct. He keeps referring to it as the same date as was in his original letter. Q Would you look at Exhibit 56, please. A Yes. Q And this appears to be an email from a gentleman by the name of Bill Simonitsch, S-i-m-o-n-i-t-c-h I'm sorryt-s-c-h to Lewis Montello; correct? A Correct. Q Now, Lewis Montello was also with the Montello</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes. Q Would you look at Exhibit 55, please. A 55, yes. The Montello Law letter? Q Pardon me? A That's the letter from Montello? Q Yes. A Yes. Q This appears to be another follow-up letter from Mr. Montello to NATCO making a demand on behalf of WAM as the owner of the stock at issue; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct? A Correct. He keeps referring to it as the same date as was in his original letter. Q Would you look at Exhibit 56, please. A Yes. Q And this appears to be an email from a gentleman by the name of Bill Simonitsch, S-i-m-o-n-i-t-c-h I'm sorryt-s-c-h to Lewis Montello; correct? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes. Q Would you look at Exhibit 55, please. A 55, yes. The Montello Law letter? Q Pardon me? A That's the letter from Montello? Q Yes. A Yes. Q This appears to be another follow-up letter from Mr. Montello to NATCO making a demand on behalf of WAM as the owner of the stock at issue; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And Mr. Alvarez says, "WAM is a</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct? A Correct. He keeps referring to it as the same date as was in his original letter. Q Would you look at Exhibit 56, please. A Yes. Q And this appears to be an email from a gentleman by the name of Bill Simonitsch, S-i-m-o-n-i-t-c-h I'm sorryt-s-c-h to Lewis Montello; correct? A Correct. Q Now, Lewis Montello was also with the Montello Law Firm that was your attorney in this matter; correct? A Yes. Yes. Yes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes. Q Would you look at Exhibit 55, please. A 55, yes. The Montello Law letter? Q Pardon me? A That's the letter from Montello? Q Yes. A Yes. Q This appears to be another follow-up letter from Mr. Montello to NATCO making a demand on behalf of WAM as the owner of the stock at issue; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And Mr. Alvarez says, "WAM is a protected purchaser because it purchased a certificated security for value," and he's demanding that NATCO</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct? A Correct. He keeps referring to it as the same date as was in his original letter. Q Would you look at Exhibit 56, please. A Yes. Q And this appears to be an email from a gentleman by the name of Bill Simonitsch, S-i-m-o-n-i-t-c-h I'm sorryt-s-c-h to Lewis Montello; correct? A Correct. Q Now, Lewis Montello was also with the Montello Law Firm that was your attorney in this matter; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes. Q Would you look at Exhibit 55, please. A 55, yes. The Montello Law letter? Q Pardon me? A That's the letter from Montello? Q Yes. A Yes. Q This appears to be another follow-up letter from Mr. Montello to NATCO making a demand on behalf of WAM as the owner of the stock at issue; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And Mr. Alvarez says, "WAM is a protected purchaser because it purchased a certificated</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct? A Correct. He keeps referring to it as the same date as was in his original letter. Q Would you look at Exhibit 56, please. A Yes. Q And this appears to be an email from a gentleman by the name of Bill Simonitsch, S-i-m-o-n-i-t-c-h I'm sorryt-s-c-h to Lewis Montello; correct? A Correct. Q Now, Lewis Montello was also with the Montello Law Firm that was your attorney in this matter; correct? A Yes. Q And Mr. Simonitsch, who is that? A I didn't know who he was, but I know the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Q I understand you weren't involved in sending the letter itself, but this is what Mr. Boutsalis sent to NATCO on November 16th of 2015 on your behalf to support Weiser's claim to be the owner of the stock? A Correct. Correct. Q At this point in time that power of attorney is still blank; correct? A Yes. Q Would you look at Exhibit 55, please. A 55, yes. The Montello Law letter? Q Pardon me? A That's the letter from Montello? Q Yes. A Yes. Q This appears to be another follow-up letter from Mr. Montello to NATCO making a demand on behalf of WAM as the owner of the stock at issue; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And Mr. Alvarez says, "WAM is a protected purchaser because it purchased a certificated security for value," and he's demanding that NATCO register the shares to WAM; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Weiser Capital, does it? A No. No. Q And it also doesn't say that anyone else is claiming to be the owner of the stock; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this letter also does not correct the prior letters that say that the transaction by which WAM acquired ownership was July 12, 2013; correct? A Correct. He keeps referring to it as the same date as was in his original letter. Q Would you look at Exhibit 56, please. A Yes. Q And this appears to be an email from a gentleman by the name of Bill Simonitsch, S-i-m-o-n-i-t-c-h I'm sorryt-s-c-h to Lewis Montello; correct? A Correct. Q Now, Lewis Montello was also with the Montello Law Firm that was your attorney in this matter; correct? A Yes. Q And Mr. Simonitsch, who is that?</pre>

Page 303 Page 302 1 Q So Mr. Simonitsch, you believe, is an attorney 1 A Correct. 2 that is somehow involved in representing Anavex? Q Now, Mr. Alvarez, it looks like, sent, in 2 3 A Correct. 3 response to Mr. Simonitsch's request, a copy of the stock 4 Q And this is sent on November 17, 2015; correct? power and the stock sale and purchase agreement; correct? 4 5 5 A Correct. A Correct. 6 Q And the subject is "Transfer of shares of 6 Q Now, do you know which stock sale and purchase Anavex Life Science." 7 7 agreement was sent to Mr. Simonitsch by your attorney? 8 A Yes. A I can only assume. 8 9 Q Do you know why your attorney was reaching out 9 Q Okay. Are you aware of any other purchase and sale agreement besides the July 2013 one that is 10 to Anavex's attorney? 10 11 A The issue -- I'm not sure. I wouldn't know. 11 Exhibit 30? 12 A No. 12 Q Well, it looks like in this email that there 13 was a discussion between Mr. Simonitsch and Mr. Montello 13 Q So Mr. Alvarez was sending Mr. Simonitsch a 14 where Mr. Montello indicated he would send a fully 14 copy of the July 2013 purchase and sale agreement which 15 executed power of attorney and a copy of the fully is Exhibit 30? 15 16 executed July 12, 2013, sale transaction between 16 A Why do you refer to it as July 13th. 17 Skarpelos and Weiser. 17 Q I'm sorry. July 2013. 18 18 A Yes. Yes. Yes. Do you see that? 19 19 A Yes. Q So Mr. Alvarez is sending Mr. Simonitsch a copy 20 of the stock sale and purchase agreement that is Q And I'd like you to look at Exhibit 57, please. 20 21 Now, this is also an email from -- it starts Exhibit 30; correct? 21 22 with an email from Mr. Simonitsch to Mr. Alvarez on 22 A Correct. 23 November 18, 2015, which is just one day after 23 Q And also a stock power. Do you know which 24 Exhibit 56; correct? stock power he's sending him? 24 Page 304 Page 305 A I'm not sure because based on just the previous fully executed copy? 1 1 2 correspondence, the stock power looks like it was already 2 A I don't know. I don't recall. 3 going from the issuer to the transfer agent, if I got my Q And then if you look at Exhibit 58, please, it 3 4 dates correct here. It looks like it was sent to NATCO. looks like Mr. Simonitsch is responding, "Thank you again 4 5 Q And NATCO received that on November 16th of 5 for providing this backup," which I take to mean he's at 6 2013; correct? 6 that point received a fully executed stock power and 7 A Yes. 7 stock purchase agreement. 8 Q And so Mr. Simonitsch, if you look at 8 Is that fair? 9 Exhibit 56, is asking for a copy of a fully executed 9 A I don't know since it went to NATCO, so that 10 power of attorney -- correct? -- the stock power? 10 confused me. 11 A I'm sorry. Refer me back. 56? Yes. Yes. 11 Q And he also asks your attorney, "Do you also 12 Yes. 12 have proof that you can provide me showing that the 13 Q And it looks like --13 purchase price was paid and received?" 14 14 A Yes. Do you see that? 15 Q I'm sorry. You were reading. 15 A Yes. 16 And then in Exhibit 57 Mr. Alvarez is sending Q Do you know whether your attorney ever sent him 16 17 Mr. Simonitsch the fully executed stock power; correct? 17 that information? 18 18 A I have no idea. A All it says is stock power, so I don't know if 19 it's an executed stock power. 19 Q Okay. Did you ever provide your attorney a 20 Q In any event, that's what Mr. Simonitsch document -- let me clarify that. 20 21 requested in Exhibit 56? 21 Did you ever provide Mr. Alvarez a document 22 A Yes. 22 that you believed the purchase price had been paid and 23 Q And you don't know whether the copy that 23 received? 24 Mr. Alvarez sent Mr. Simonitsch on November 18th was the 24 A Yes. I believe that was the account statement.

	Page 306		Page 307
1	THE COURT: When you say "the account	1	A I wasn't clear in the details of who was
2	statement," you're talking about the April 2nd	2	which one was named or if it was both or one. I got them
3	THE WITNESS: The account statement that had	3	mixed up myself in the technicalities of what was being
4	the transactions with the April 2nd.	4	asked for and from whom.
5	THE COURT: Not anything in July?	5	Q Okay. To your knowledge you've already
6	THE WITNESS: "Anything" as in?	6	testified about the April 2, 2013, transaction and WAM
7	THE COURT: Anything regarding the July deal.	7	being the owner pursuant to that.
8	THE WITNESS: Well, the July the July deal	8	Why would Weiser Capital have a claim to
9	was being formed in July to happen later in the year.	9	ownership of stock?
10	THE COURT: Okay.	10	A Why would it have a claim? Well, by the time
11	BY MR. ANDERSON:	11	late 2015 had come, WAM was already getting the exposure
12	Q It looks to me like what Mr. Simonitsch is	12	to the clients and Weiser Capital was then also sharing
13	requesting is documentation of a deal and proof of	13	in some of that liability and exposure. We shift risks
14	payment for the purpose of transferring shares?	14	and liabilities between the two, and that's part of the
15	A Yes.	15	reason why Weiser Capital exists actually in doing deals,
16	Q Okay. And the only deal that had ever been	16	because it helps buffer risk.
17	executed where shares could be transferred was the	17	So we will shift the exposure and the risk
18	April 2, 2013, deal; correct?	18	sometimes away from the broker-dealer to Weiser Capital
19	A Correct.	19	to take the risk, to keep the assets of the firm and its
20	Q Now, we talked a little bit earlier about	20	clients safer.
21	Weiser Capital and WAM alleging in this lawsuit to be	21	Q But this transaction that's at issue was a WAM
22	Weiser claiming ownership.	22	seller and a WAM buyer; correct?
23	Are you aware that Weiser Asset Management	23	A That was
24	originally was the only named Weiser entity?	24	Q Is that correct?
	De 200		Dama 200
1	Page 308 A In 2013 sorry. Say that again.	1	Page 309 completing the whole process, it would have all happened
2	Q Sure. The April 2, 2013, transaction was a WAM	2	in nanoseconds. It would have been all finished if it
3	seller and WAM buyer?	3	hadn't if it was finished properly. If the issues
4	A In 2013, yes.	4	hadn't arisen, now, WAM is the owner, it's the broker,
5	Q I should clarify. Both the buyer and seller	5	it's the custodian. It can reassign where it's going to
6	were customers of WAM?	6	put the trade ultimately, because if it's already taken
7	A Correct.	7	care of the client, the original client buyers, now the
8	Q And I thought you testified earlier that when	8	client buyers are covered and WAM is remaining stuck as
9	there's internal trades between WAM clients, that Weiser	9	the owner, but it can assign that right over to another
10	Capital doesn't get involved in those?	10	client or to another entity.
11	A Not at the order-taking stage, no. But if I	11	Q Okay. In this case did it assign ownership to
12	may continue, WAM and Weiser Capital will shift risks	12	Weiser Capital?
13	between them throughout a period of time if needed.	13	A It was it was being discussed, and it has
14			moved back and forth between the two to manage its risks.
1	Q Okay.	14	IIIOVEU DACK ANU TOTUN DECWEEN UNE UNO CO IIIANAGE IUS IIISKS.
15	Q Okay. A So two years later I'll try not to make it	14 15	Q Okay. Does Weiser Capital own any of the
15	A So two years later I'll try not to make it	15	Q Okay. Does Weiser Capital own any of the
15 16	A So two years later I'll try not to make it technical, but two years later Weiser Capital was	15 16	Q Okay. Does Weiser Capital own any of the 3.1 million shares?
15 16 17	A So two years later I'll try not to make it technical, but two years later Weiser Capital was absorbing some of the risk, the hedge risk, the short	15 16 17	Q Okay. Does Weiser Capital own any of the 3.1 million shares? A I will say right now it's sitting back with
15 16 17 18	A So two years later I'll try not to make it technical, but two years later Weiser Capital was absorbing some of the risk, the hedge risk, the short risk from WAM. Weiser Capital was agreeing to take some	15 16 17 18	Q Okay. Does Weiser Capital own any of the 3.1 million shares? A I will say right now it's sitting back with WAM. WAM, I believe, is the entity now.
15 16 17 18 19	A So two years later I'll try not to make it technical, but two years later Weiser Capital was absorbing some of the risk, the hedge risk, the short risk from WAM. Weiser Capital was agreeing to take some of that hedge risk from WAM. Q How does that make it an owner?	15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. Does Weiser Capital own any of the 3.1 million shares? A I will say right now it's sitting back with WAM. WAM, I believe, is the entity now. Q Okay. Do you recall at your deposition that you told me that Weiser Capital was the intermediary
15 16 17 18 19 20	A So two years later I'll try not to make it technical, but two years later Weiser Capital was absorbing some of the risk, the hedge risk, the short risk from WAM. Weiser Capital was agreeing to take some of that hedge risk from WAM.	 15 16 17 18 19 20 	Q Okay. Does Weiser Capital own any of the 3.1 million shares? A I will say right now it's sitting back with WAM. WAM, I believe, is the entity now. Q Okay. Do you recall at your deposition that
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A So two years later I'll try not to make it technical, but two years later Weiser Capital was absorbing some of the risk, the hedge risk, the short risk from WAM. Weiser Capital was agreeing to take some of that hedge risk from WAM. Q How does that make it an owner? A Because in the end WAM gets to decide where to	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. Does Weiser Capital own any of the 3.1 million shares? A I will say right now it's sitting back with WAM. WAM, I believe, is the entity now. Q Okay. Do you recall at your deposition that you told me that Weiser Capital was the intermediary owner of the stock, not WAM? Do you recall that?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A So two years later I'll try not to make it technical, but two years later Weiser Capital was absorbing some of the risk, the hedge risk, the short risk from WAM. Weiser Capital was agreeing to take some of that hedge risk from WAM. Q How does that make it an owner? A Because in the end WAM gets to decide where to complete the transaction to. So, again, if everything	 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	<pre>Q Okay. Does Weiser Capital own any of the 3.1 million shares?</pre>

Itat's look at your deposition transartigt at page 130 at issue, correct? Page 310 I bink you to battifid earlier tabk Water Quick laws an intermediary for an ultimate bayer under the transactions? 'NENER: It was the intermediary, so at a 'QUENCIA' (Key, At what point was it so 'Page 310') See hee, it's in the cortext of - from what I see hee, it's in the cortext of the secont reasortion. 'Page 311' A That was in the cortext of - from what I see hee, it's in the cortext of the secont reasortion. 'Page 311' A That was in the cortext of the secont reasortion with the transaction. 'Page 311' A That was in the cortext of the secont reasortion. 'Page 311' A That was in the cortext of the secont reasortion. 'Page 311' A That was in the cortext of the secont reasortion. 'Page 312' A That was in the cortext is in the cortext. 'Page 312' A Cortext. Yet's referencing Schift 32's in the deposition. 'Page 313' A That was in intermediary. 'Page 312' 'Page 313' A Cortext. 'Page 312' 'Page 313' 'Page 314' 'Page 313' 'Page 313' A Tha mixing up - parawath on intermediary, for a sing on t		D 210		D 011
 J I think you testified earlier that Weiser Opital was an 4 intermediary for an ultimate bayer under the transactions 4 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 5 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 6 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was it no 6 (URSTOR: Ose, At what point was that transaction. The OLIFT: Statewe was no ultimate bayer in 11 of the ultimate bayer, and you staid, "Right." I B CHAR, MERSEN: I B CHAR, WALENSEN: I B CHAR, WALENSEN: I WALENSEN: I Adval you lok at page 200, plase. W're reference to you is Bohiht 30 in this trail is an under onear, onear correct. I B NALENSEN: I A At was an intermediary were described at the segrement, 4 (URSTOR: Ose (1	Page 310 Let's look at your deposition transcript at page 149.	1	Page 311 at issue; correct?
4 intermediary for an ultimate bayer under the transactions 4 "QUESTION: Oksy. At what point was it no 5 were talking about in this lammity right?" 6 NATOR was in the context of from what I area 7 A. That was in the context of from what I area 7 Processed or data incompately. It was or it was no 10 about the Chinese transaction. 100 reget the owner in Agult." 8 11 about the Chinese transaction. 100 reget the owner in Agult." 9 11 about the Chinese transaction. 100 reget the owner in Agult." 100 reget the owner in Agult. 12 before, it's in the context of is all 100 reget the owner in Agult." 100 reget the owner in Agult." 13 for the utimate hayer, and you asset. well. partly 100 reget the owner in Agult. 110 reget the owner in Agult." 14 the thinsel hyper, and you asset. mean intermediary. 111 the week that if is all how what it the stansaction technolly closed on April from with it is all the stansaction technolly closed on April from week it is all the stansaction technolly closed on April from week it is all the stansaction in Other in April it would not longer be the stansaction in Other in April it would not longer be the stansaction in Other in April it would not longer be the stansaction. 12 behibit 25, Weiser Capital was the intermediary	2	If you'd look at line 18, I say or ask, "Sure.	2	"ANSWER: It was the intermediary, so at a
 swire talking about in this lassuit, right? And you add, "Kight." An that was in the context of from what I see here, it's in the context of the second transaction with the Chinese, if I'm not mistaken, because this is all about the Chinese transaction. Q Gay, Sat there were no ultimate bayers in that transaction? I said here there was an intermediary f or the ultimate bayer, and you said, "Right." The COSE": Bat above that it's talking about the kinesi, k-i-m-e-i, which you told as is 'Chinese' in Greek, the kinesi bayers, 's or the context is in Greek, the kinesi bayers, 's or the context is in Greek, the kinesi bayers, 's or the context is in Greek, the kinesi bayers, 's or the context is in Greek, the kinesi bayers, 's or the context is in Greek, the kinesi bayers, 's or the context is in Greek, the kinesi bayers, 's or the context is in Greek, the kinesi bayers, 's or the context is in Greek, the kinesi bayers, 's or the context is in Abbit 25, Weiser Capital is the owner of the stock in brihibit 30, wiser Capital is the owner of the stock in brihibit 30, wiser Capital is the owner of the stock in brihibit 30, wiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a o So you tot due as your deposition in October intermediary in the transaction 1 helieve that's what i intermediary in the transaction 1 helieve that's what i intermediary in the transaction 1 helieve that's what i intermediary in the transaction intermediary in the t	3	I think you testified earlier that Weiser Capital was an	3	point it was the owner.
6 And you said, "Right." 6 "MENGE: So this agreement was processed 7 A That was in the context of from what I see the rest, it in the context of the second transaction with the chinese, if I'm not mistaken, because this is all to about the chinese transaction. 1 Processed or dated inaccurately. It was or it was no state transaction? I said here there was an intermediary to the days. The there were no ultimate hypers in the transaction? I said here there was an intermediary. 1 Product the context is the days. The sector is the sector. 10 'dated inaccurately' meant, and you stated. "Well, partly the date that I put. July 5th clear, July 5th c	4	intermediary for an ultimate buyer under the transactions	4	"QUESTION: Okay. At what point was it no
7 A flat was in the context of from what I see 8 here; it's in the context of the second transaction with 10 the Chinese transaction. 7 processed or dated inaccurately. It was or it was no 10 longer the owner in Agril.* 10 of Gay. But there were no ultimate boyers in 11 that transaction? I said here there was an intermediary 12 that transaction? I said here there was an intermediary 13 for the ultimate boyer, and you said, "Right." 14 THE COURT: but above that it's tailing about 15 the Kinesi, k-i-n-e-i, which you told us is "Chinese" 16 in Greek, "the kinesi boyers," so the context is 17 different than you're describing. 18 EVM. NURSENG: 19 O Would you look at page 200, please. 20 We're referencing Bubbit 25 in the deposition, 21 twick TI regresent to you is Bubbit 30 in this trial 22 point it was the owner, of the stock 31 Bubbit 30, Weiser Capital is the owner of the stock 4 or Bubbit 25, Weiser Capital is the owner of the stock 4 the was an intermediary, so at a 2 point it was the intermediary, so at a 2 point it was the intermediary, so at a 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 yets, correct. 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 yets, correct. 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 wets an intermediary. It was all on 3 to theiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 wets, correct. 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 wets and prover easying that the transaction 3 to thermediary in the transaction. 3 to buy is that Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset 4 worde aduy the on Bubbit 30, 4 A Correct. 4 worde aduy the on Bubbit 30, 5 worde aduy the on Bubbit 30, 6 A I'm axis in intermediary. 5 A Right. 5 A Right. 6 A Correct. 10 So how as an intermediary? 11 Q So how can misser Capital and Weiser Asset 13 to the backer. 14 O So your ustaincore and WM, and that' waset I 15 A Right. 16 Q Gakay.	5	we're talking about in this lawsuit; right?"	5	longer the owner?
 a here, it's in the context of the second transaction with b the Chinese, if I'm not mistaken, because this is all about the Chinese transaction. a dout the Chinese transaction. b different than you're deveribing. b different than you're deveribing. a dout a transaction at line 6, 'So based a context. a brihit 25, Weiser Capital set the concert. b chinit 25, Weiser Capital set the intermediary of a dout her way it c point it was the owner; ourcet. a for maxing up - pursuant to the agreement. y es, correct. a for maxing up - pursuant to the agreement. y es, correct. a for maxing up - pursuant to the agreement. y explain in the reasaction. I believe that's what I mant there, that it was an intermediary. T was also an intermediary. a ta Weiser Capital was the intermediary was down why you with the way it was trying to capital. a A lit was an intermediary. T was also an intermediary. a correct. a A lit was an intermediary. T was also an intermediary. b a Right. b A Right. c A Right. c A Right. c A Correct. a Correct. b Weils here a addit and Weiser Agent and Weil or it was aching a no intermediary. a Corect. a Correct. a Correct.<th>6</th><th>And you said, "Right."</th><th>6</th><th>"ANSWER: So this agreement was processed</th>	6	And you said, "Right."	6	"ANSWER: So this agreement was processed
 9 the Chinese, if I'm not mistaken, because this is all 10 about the Chinese transaction. 9 And if you go down from that, I asked you shat 10 'abadd inaccurately' meant, and you stated, "Well, partly 11 that transaction? I said here there was an intermediary. 11 that transaction? I said here there was an intermediary. 12 the karet is, k-i-n-e-1, which you told ne is 'Ohinese' 13 for the ultimate bayers, and you said, "Right." 14 the COURT: But above that it's talking about 15 the kinesi, k-i-n-e-1, which you told ne is 'Ohinese' 16 in Greak, 'the kinesi bayers,' so the context is 17 different than you're describing. 18 EY MR. NERSON: 19 Q Would you look at page 200, please. 19 Q Would you look at page 200, please. 10 which 'll regresent to you is Bahibit 30 in this trial 10 binder: 21 asked you the question at line 6, 'bo based 22 on Ethibit 25, Weiser Capital is the owner of the stock 23 I asked you day up - pursuant to the agreement, 4 yes, correct. 21 Bahibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a 23 o So you to bas at your deposition in thother 4 that with regard to the April atox step, April 60 Coulds. 22 on int twas in intermediary I think that's what I 3 mat there, that it was an intermediary. 23 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 yes, correct. 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 yes, correct. 3 A I'w mas an intermediary I think that's what I 4 max typing to explain. 3 A R'm an intermediary I think that's what I 4 maker April toox sells, April 20 Austing an intermediary. 4 A legat. 4 A legat. 5 A Right. 4 A Right. 5 A Right. 5 A Right. 6 Q Gay. 7 A Correct. 8 A Right. 9 A Correct. 9 A Correct. 9 A Correct. 9 A Correct. 9 A Work or an intermediary or I'w as alson 10 intermedia	7	A That was in the context of from what I see	7	processed or dated inaccurately. It was or it was no
10 about the Chinese transaction. 10 "dated inaccurately" meant, and you stated, "Well, partly 11 0 Okay. But there were no ultimate bayers in 11 that transaction? I said here there was an intermediary 13 for the ultimate bayer, and you said, "Right." 11 the date that I put. July Sth Closeng, I don't know what 14 THE CORRY: But above that it's talking about 10 "dated inaccurately" meant, and you stated, "Well, partly 15 the kinexi, k-t-mew-ri, which you told me is 'Chinese' in Greak, 'the kinesi bayers,' so the context is 10 "dated inaccurately" meant, and you stated, "Well, partly 16 the kinexi, k-t-mew-ri, which you told me is 'Chinese' in transaction technically closed on Agril through a trade 17 different than you're describing. Bithit 30, nu're describing babilit 25 in the deposition. 20 I asked you he question at line 6, "So based 18 bhibit 25, Weiser Capital was the intermediary. 20 Q So you testified earlier that the way it 2 opini it was the owner; ourset? 3 A T'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, the state threemediary. 4 yee, correct. So how is it that Weiser Capital was the intermediary bary: ourset? 3 A Twas an intermediary. T was the owner; o	8	here, it's in the context of the second transaction with	8	longer the owner in April."
11 Q Okay. But there were no ultimate bayers in 11 the date that I put. July 5th closing, I don't know what 12 that transaction? I said here there was an intermediary 11 the date that I put. July 5th closing, I don't know what 13 for the ultimate bayer, and you said, "Right." 11 the date that I put. July 5th closing, I don't know what 14 THE COURT: But above that if's talking about 11 the date that I put. July 5th closing, I don't know what 15 the kineri bayer, and you said, "Right." 11 the date that I put. July 5th closing, I don't know what 16 in Greek, 'the kineri bayers,'' so the context is 11 the date that I put. July 5th closing, I don't know what 17 BKIME JO, Mayour answer was the transaction appending it was asking you about what is now 18 WM. ANDERSON: 12 A Dorrect. But then you were asking about Weiser 18 which I'll represent to you is Bthibit 30 in this trial 12 it was the owner, and I and you answer was the transaction appending 19 Q Would you look at page 200, please. 20 Q So your testimoy in your deposition was that 21 which I'll represent to you is Bthibit 30 in this trial 12 12 Q Bu you tastiffied earlier that the way it	9	the Chinese, if I'm not mistaken, because this is all	9	And if you go down from that, I asked you what
12 that transaction? I said here there was an intermediary 12 the exact legal definitions of closing are because the 13 for the ultimate boyer, and you said, "Right." 12 the exact legal definitions of closing are because the 14 THE COURT: But above that it's talking about 14 transaction technically closed on April through a trade 16 in Greek, 'the kinezi buyers,' so the context is 15 trade order." 16 in Greek, 'the kinezi buyers,' so the context is 16 So I was asking you about what is now 17 different than you're describing. 16 So I was asking you about what is now 18 BY WR. NEERSON: 16 So I was asking you about what is now 12 which I'll represent to you is Biblit 30 in this trial 16 So I was asking you about what is triansotion in the describing. 14 which I'll represent to you is Biblit 30 in this trial 2 Capital being the owner, and I said yeah, at that point 14 on Brhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a 1 1 I was an intermediary. 16 point it was the owner; correct? 3 A I'm mixing up - pursuant to the agreement, which weiser Gapital was the intermediary. 11 Q But you testified earlier that ways i <th>10</th> <th>about the Chinese transaction.</th> <th>10</th> <th>"dated inaccurately" meant, and you stated, "Well, partly</th>	10	about the Chinese transaction.	10	"dated inaccurately" meant, and you stated, "Well, partly
13 for the ultimate boyer, and you said, "Right." 13 transaction technically closed on April through a trade 14 THE CURF: But above that it's talking about 13 transaction technically closed on April through a trade 15 the kinesi, 't-in-e-z-i, which you told me is 'folders' 50 I was asking you about what is now 16 the kinesi, 't-in-e-z-i, which you told me is 'folders' 50 I was asking you about what is now 17 different than you're describing. 50 N as asking you about what is now 18 PK R. ADERSON: 16 A correct. But then you were asking about Weiser 20 New're referencing babibit 25 in the deposition, 11 it was the owner, but in April and I don't know why I put July 5th there. 21 A correct. But then you were asking about Weiser 20 O so you testimony in your deposition was that 22 on Babibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a 0 So you testified earlier that way it 23 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 9 O worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpalos 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 9 So how is it that Weiser Capital was the intermediary to you testified earlier that was alaso 3	11	Q Okay. But there were no ultimate buyers in	11	the date that I put. July 5th closing, I don't know what
14 THE COURT: But above that it's talking about 14 order when Ton put the trade transaction, gave us the 15 the kinexi, k-i-m-e-t.i, which you told me is 'Chinese'' 16 trade order.'' 16 in Greek, 'the kinexi buyers,' so the context is 17 So I was asking you about what is now 18 BY ME. ANDERSON: 16 So I was asking you about what is now 18 BY ME. ANDERSON: 16 A Correct. But then you wee asking about Neiser 20 Weich I'll represent to you is Babibit 30 in this trial 17 A Correct. 16 A Correct. 21 which I'll represent to you is Babibit 30 in this trial 14 in agreement, which is trial 22 I asked you the question at line 6, 'so based 23 Q So your testinony in your deposition was that 23 I asked you the question at line 6, 'so based 23 Q So your testinony in your deposition was that 24 24 on Babibit 25, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a 2 Q So your testinony in your deposition was that 25 Q So you told me at your deposition in October 4 9 Babibit 30, Weiser Capital was also 5 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, which is traia	12	that transaction? I said here there was an intermediary	12	the exact legal definitions of closing are because the
15 the kinezi, k-i-n-e-z-i, which you told me is "Chinese" 16 in Greek, "the kinezi huyers," so the context is 17 different than you're describing. 18 BY MR. ANDERSON: 19 Q Would you look at page 200, please. 20 We're referencing Exhibit 25 in the deposition. 21 which I'll represent to you is Exhibit 30 in this trial 21 which I'll represent to you is Exhibit 30 in this trial 22 is asked you the question at line 6, "So based 23 I asked you the question at line 6, "So based 24 on Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a 25 Q So you told me at your deposition in October 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 yes, correct. 4 yes, correct. 5 Q So you told me at your deposition in October 6 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 7 that Weiser Capital was the intermediary I think that's what I 9 o You told me at your deposition in October 8 A I'w maintermediary I think that's what I 9 wetter than was an intermediary I think that's what I	13	for the ultimate buyer, and you said, "Right."	13	transaction technically closed on April through a trade
16 in Greek, 'the kinesi bayers,' so the context is 17 different than you're describing. 18 BY ME, ANDERSON: 19 Q Would you look at page 200, please. 20 We're referencing Edibit 25 in the deposition, 21 which T'll represent to you is Edibit 30 in this trial 22 It asked you the question at line 6, 'So based 23 I asked you the question at line 6, 'So based 24 on Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a 2 point it was the owner; correct? 3 A Th mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 yes, correct. 4 So how is it that Veiser Capital was the intermediary or correct? 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 yes, correct. 5 Q So you told me at your deposition in October 6 Capital was the intermediary I think that's what I 9 meant there, that it was an intermediary I think that's what I 9 meant there, that it was an intermediary I think that's what I 9 west correct. Ye Note July Sth on Exhibit 30. 10 intermediary in the transaction. I believe that'	14	THE COURT: But above that it's talking about	14	order when Tom put the trade transaction, gave us the
17 different than you're describing. 18 BY ME. ANDERSON: 19 Q Would you look at page 200, please. 20 We're referencing Schibit 25 in the deposition, 21 which I'll represent to you is Schibit 30 in this trial 22 I asked you the question at line 6, "So based 23 I asked you the question at line 6, "So based 24 on Exhibit 25, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a 25 Q So you total meat your deposition in October 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 yes, correct. 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 yes, correct. 6 A I'u was an intermediary I think that's what I 9 meant there, that it was an intermediary Myer, correct? 7 A Correct. 10 intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I 11 was trying to explain. 12 Q Kell, Mer you're saying that the transaction 13 thereference. 14 Weiter explain. 15 A Right. 16 Q Okay. 17 A C	15	the kinezi, k-i-n-e-z-i, which you told me is "Chinese"	15	trade order."
18 BY MR. ANDERSON: 18 in April, and I don't know why I put July 5th there. 19 Q Would you look at page 200, please. 20 A Correct. But then you were asking about Weiser 20 Which I'll represent to you is Exhibit 30 in this trial 20 Capital being the owner, and I said yeah, at that point 21 which I'll represent to you is Exhibit 30 in this trial 20 Capital being the owner, and I said yeah, at that point 23 I asked you the question at line 6, "So based 20 Q So your testimony in your deposition was that 24 on Exhibit 25, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a 20 Q So your testified earlier that the way it 25 Q So you cold me at your deposition in October 6 Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 yes; correct. 4 So how is it that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? 3 A It was an intermediary I think that's what I 1 0 So how is it that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. 19 meant there, that it was an intermediary. I was lookibit 30. 10 intermediary in the transaction. 13 thetwiser Capital and Weiser Asset 11 0 So	16	in Greek, "the kinezi buyers," so the context is	16	So I was asking you about what is now
19 Q Would you look at page 200, please. 19 A Correct. But then you were asking about Weiser 20 We're referencing Exhibit 25 in the deposition, 20 Capital being the owner, and I said yeah, at that point 21 which I'll represent to you is Exhibit 30 in this trial 20 Capital being the owner, but in April it would no longer be the 22 Dinder. 23 I asked you the question at line 6, "So based 24 on Exhibit 25, Weiser Capital is the owner of the stock 23 Q So your testimony in your deposition was that 23 I asked you the question at line 6, "So based 20 Q So your testimony in your deposition was that 24 on Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a page 312 Page 313 2 point it was the owner; correct? 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 4 yes, correct. 4 So how is it that Weiser Capital was also an 5 involved? You tool me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser 6 that with regard to the April stock salso an 10 which one it was acting as an intermediary. 7 14 wes trying to explain. 1 believe that's what I 10 which one it was acting as an intermediary. 12	17	different than you're describing.	17	Exhibit 30, and your answer was the transaction happened
20 We're referencing Behibit 25 in the deposition, 20 Capital being the owner, and I said yeah, at that point 21 which I'll represent to you is Behibit 30 in this trial 20 Capital being the owner, and I said yeah, at that point 23 I asked you the question at line 6, "So based 0 So your testimony in your deposition was that 24 on Exhibit 25, Weiser Capital is the owner of the stock Page 312 Page 312 1 Page 312 Page 312 Page 313 2 point it was the owner; correct? 0 But you testified earlier that the way it 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, ves, correct. 9 But you testified earlier that the way it 4 yes, correct. 9 So you told me at your deposition in October 5 0 So you told me at your deposition in October 5 Q So you told me at your deposition in October 6 Capital was the intermediary. So waw. 7 6 A I'w was an intermediary buyer; correct? A Correct. And I beliver I was referring to the 8 A I was an intermediary. I'was also an 9 and MWM or I may have ween mixed up the transactions and 10 intermediary in the transactions 1	18	BY MR. ANDERSON:	18	in April, and I don't know why I put July 5th there.
21 which I'll represent to you is Exhibit 30 in this trial 21 it was the owner, but in April it would no longer be the 23 I asked you the question at line 6, "So based 21 it was the owner, but in April it would no longer be the 24 on Exhibit 25, Weiser Capital is the owner of the stock 23 Q So your testimony in your deposition was that 25 M Shibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a Page 312 Page 312 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 so Not si t that Weiser Capital was as the intermediary, so at a 5 Q So you told me at your deposition in October 5 involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser 6 that weiser Capital was the intermediaryI think that's what I 9 and NM or I may have envine mixed up between Weiser Capital 9 weat there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an 10 which one it was acting as an intermediary. 11 was trying to explain. 12 A well, NMM is definitely an intermediary because 13 technically closed in April, and you don't know why you 14 Q So your testimony is different today than it	19	Q Would you look at page 200, please.	19	A Correct. But then you were asking about Weiser
22 binder. 22 owner. 23 I asked you the question at line 6, "So based 23 Q So your testimony in your deposition was that 24 on Exhibit 25, Weiser Capital is the owner of the stock Page 312 Page 312 1 Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a Page 312 Page 313 2 point it was the owner; correct? 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 4 yes, correct. 4 So how is it that Weiser Capital was also 5 5 Q So you told me at your deposition in October 6 Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. 7 that Weiser Capital was the intermediary purper; correct? 4 So how is it that Weiser Capital was also 5 Q So you told me at your deposition in October 6 Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. 7 that Weiser Capital was an intermediary purper; correct? 7 A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the 8 an twas an intermediary. 10 which one it was acting as an intermediary. 11 was thying to explain. 1 Q So who was an intermediary. 12 Q Wall, here you're saying that the transaction <th>20</th> <th>We're referencing Exhibit 25 in the deposition,</th> <th>20</th> <th>Capital being the owner, and I said yeah, at that point</th>	20	We're referencing Exhibit 25 in the deposition,	20	Capital being the owner, and I said yeah, at that point
23 I asked you the question at line 6, "So based 23 Q So your testimony in your deposition was that 24 on Exhibit 25, Weiser Capital is the owner of the stock 24 24 24 21 Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a Page 312 Page 313 2 Q So your testified earlier that the way it Worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 So how is it that Weiser Capital was also 5 Q So you told me at your deposition in October 6 that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, 7 that weiser Capital was the intermediary bury; correct? 7 A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the 8 A It was an intermediary. I' was also an 10 which one it was acting as an intermediary. 11 was trying to explain. 12 Q So who was an intermediary. 12 Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction 14 Q So who was an intermediary. 13 technically closed in April, and you don't know why you 14 Q So who was an intermediary. 14 worke O april Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset 17 15 A R	21	which I'll represent to you is Exhibit 30 in this trial	21	it was the owner, but in April it would no longer be the
24 on Exhibit 25, Weiser Capital is the owner of the stock 24 in reference to this agreement, which is trial 1 Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a 2 a 2 point it was the owner; correct? 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, 4 yes, correct. 3 b Q But you testified earlier that the way it 2 3 A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. 3 correct. 3 b Correct. 3 correct. 4 So how is it that Weiser Capital was also 5 Q So you told me at your deposition in October 6 that win regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, 7 A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the 8 A It was an intermediary. It was also an 10 intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I 10 which one it was acting as an intermediary. 11 was trying to explain. 10 Q So your testimony is different today than it 12 Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction 10 Q So your testimony is different today than it 13 technically closed in April, and you don't know why you 11 Q So your testimony is different today than it <th>22</th> <th>binder.</th> <th>22</th> <th>owner.</th>	22	binder.	22	owner.
Page 312Page 3121Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a1Q But you testified earlier that the way it2point it was the owner; correct?3A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement,44yes, correct.3to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer.5Q So you told me at your deposition in October5involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser6that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct?68A It was an intermediary I think that's what I99meant there, that it was an intermediary. I twas also an109meant there, that it was an intermediary. I twas also an109meant there, that it was an intermediary. I twas also an1010intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I1011Q So who was an intermediary?1112Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction1113the fight.1214wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30.1415A Right.1616Q Okay.1617A Correct.18Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset19Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal?10A Because Weiser Capital can also be between11A Because Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it19A Because Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it10A Because Weiser Capital can also be betw	23	I asked you the question at line 6, "So based	23	Q So your testimony in your deposition was that
1Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a1Q But you testified earlier that the way it2point it was the owner; correct?3A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement,34yes, correct.4So how is it that Weiser Capital was also5Q So you told me at your deposition in October5So how is it that Weiser Capital was also6that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013,6So how is it that Weiser Capital was also7that Weiser Capital was an intermediary buyer; correct?7A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the8A It was an intermediary I think that's what I8discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital9meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an10which one it was acting as an intermediary.11was trying to explain.11Q So who was an intermediary.12Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction14Q So your testimony is different today than it15A Right.16A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was16Q Okay.16A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was17A Correct.17incorrect. I was meaning to mean WAM, and that's what I18Q So how can Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are correct, I did say a few sections20A Because Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are correct, I did say a few sections21A Because Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are co	24	on Exhibit 25, Weiser Capital is the owner of the stock	24	in reference to this agreement, which is trial
1Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a1Q But you testified earlier that the way it2point it was the owner; correct?3A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement,34yes, correct.4So how is it that Weiser Capital was also5Q So you told me at your deposition in October5So how is it that Weiser Capital was also6that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013,6So how is it that Weiser Capital was also7that Weiser Capital was an intermediary buyer; correct?7A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the8A It was an intermediary I think that's what I8discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital9meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an10which one it was acting as an intermediary.11was trying to explain.11Q So who was an intermediary.12Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction14Q So your testimony is different today than it15A Right.16A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was16Q Okay.16A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was17A Correct.17incorrect. I was meaning to mean WAM, and that's what I18Q So how can Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are correct, I did say a few sections20A Because Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are correct, I did say a few sections21A Because Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are co	1			
3A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement,3to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer.4yes, correct.5Q So you told me at your deposition in October5involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser6that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013,6Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM.7that Weiser Capital was an intermediary buyer; correct?7A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the8A It was an intermediary I think that's what I9and WAM or I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital9meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an9and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and10intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I10which one it was acting as an intermediary.11was trying to explain.11Q So who was an intermediary?12Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction12A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because13technically closed in April, and you don't know why you14Q So your testimony is different today than it14Worte July Sth on Exhibit 30.14Q So your testimony is different today than it15A Right.1516Q Okay.1617A Correct.1718Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset19Meacause Weiser Capital can also be between20A Because Weiser Capital can also be between21Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM		Page 312		Page 313
4yes, correct.4So how is it that Weiser Capital was also5Q So you told me at your deposition in October5involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser6that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013,6Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM.7that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct?6Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM.8A It was an intermediary I think that's what I8discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital9meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an10intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I10was trying to explain.10which one it was acting as an intermediary.11was trying to explain.11Q So who was an intermediary?12Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction12A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because13technically closed in April, and you don't know why you13it's the broker.14wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30.14Q So your testimony is different today than it15A Right.15Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal?16Q Okay.16A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was17A Correct.17incorrect. I was meaning to mean WAM, and that's what I18Q So how can Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are correct. I did say a few sections20A Because Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM ori21	1		1	
5Q So you told me at your deposition in October5involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser6that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013,6Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM.7that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct?7A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the8A It was an intermediary I think that's what I8discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital9meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an9and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and10intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I10which one it was acting as an intermediary.11was trying to explain.11Q So who was an intermediary?12Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction12A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because13technically closed in April, and you don't know why you13it's the broker.14wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30.14Q So your testimony is different today than it15A Right.15was on October 23rd of 2018?16Q Okay.16A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was17A Correct.18believe I tried to explain in the section 12, 13, 1418Q So how can Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are correct, I did say a few sections20A Because Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it20So you are correct, I did say a few sections21Weiser Capital can also be		Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a		Q But you testified earlier that the way it
 6 that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, 7 that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? 8 A It was an intermediary I think that's what I 9 meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an 10 intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I 11 was trying to explain. 12 Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction 13 technically closed in April, and you don't know why you 14 wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30. 16 Q Okay. 17 A Correct. 18 Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset 19 Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal? 10 Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it 10 Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it 10 Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. 6 Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. 7 A Correct. 9 mangement both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal? 10 Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it 11 Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it 12 Can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction 13 can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the 	2	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct?	2	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos
7that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct?7A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the8A It was an intermediary I think that's what I8discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital9meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an9and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and10intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I10which one it was acting as an intermediary.11was trying to explain.11Q So who was an intermediary?12Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction12A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because13technically closed in April, and you don't know why you13it's the broker.14wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30.14Q So your testimony is different today than it15A Right.15was on October 23rd of 2018?16Q Okay.16A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was17A Correct.18believe I tried to explain in the section 12, 13, 1418Q So how can Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are correct, I did say a few sections20A Because Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it21above pursuant to the agreement that it was the owner of21Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it22the stock, and then I explained it was no longer the22can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction23owmer in April.23can go from a seller to Weiser Capital	2 3	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement,	2 3	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer.
 A It was an intermediary I think that's what I meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I was trying to explain. Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction technically closed in April, and you don't know why you technically closed in April, and you don't know why you wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30. A Right. Q Okay. A Correct. Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal? Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it Can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction Can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the d iscussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital d iscussion I may have even mixed up the transactions and which one it was acting as an intermediary. Weiser Capital can also be between Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or I Can ago from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the 	2 3 4	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct.	2 3 4	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also
 9 meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an 9 and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and 10 intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I 11 was trying to explain. 12 Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction 13 technically closed in April, and you don't know why you 14 wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30. 14 Q So your testimony is different today than it 15 A Right. 16 Q Okay. 17 A Correct. 18 Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset 19 Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal? 10 Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and which one it was acting as an intermediary. 11 Q So who was an intermediary? 12 A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because 13 it's the broker. 14 Q So your testimony is different today than it 15 A Right. 16 A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was 17 A Correct. 18 P So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset 19 Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal? 19 Weiser Capital can also be between 20 A Because Weiser Capital can also be between 21 Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it 22 can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction 23 can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the 23 can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the 	2 3 4 5	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. Q So you told me at your deposition in October	2 3 4 5	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser
 intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I was trying to explain. Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction technically closed in April, and you don't know why you technically closed in April, and you don't know why you wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30. A Right. Q Okay. A Correct. Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal? A Because Weiser Capital can also be between Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it Can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction Can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction Can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction Can also be between a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the Mandemine Capital to WAM, the Weiser Capital con also be between a part of the specific to the splained it was no longer the owner of the splained it was no longer the owner of the splained it was no longer the owner of the splained it was no longer the owner of appril. 	2 3 4 5 6	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. Q So you told me at your deposition in October that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct?	2 3 4 5 6	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the
11was trying to explain.11Q So who was an intermediary?12Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction12A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because13technically closed in April, and you don't know why you13it's the broker.14wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30.14Q So your testimony is different today than it15A Right.15was on October 23rd of 2018?16Q Okay.16A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was17A Correct.1718Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset1819Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal?1920A Because Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are correct, I did say a few sections21Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it21above pursuant to the agreement that it was the owner of22can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction22the stock, and then I explained it was no longer the23can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the23owner in April.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. Q So you told me at your deposition in October that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? A It was an intermediary I think that's what I	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the
12Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction12A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because13technically closed in April, and you don't know why you13it's the broker.14wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30.14Q So your testimony is different today than it15A Right.1516Q Okay.16A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was17A Correct.1718Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset1819Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal?1920A Because Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are correct, I did say a few sections21Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it21above pursuant to the agreement that it was the owner of22can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction22the stock, and then I explained it was no longer the23can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the23owner in April.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. Q So you told me at your deposition in October that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? A It was an intermediary I think that's what I meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital
 13 technically closed in April, and you don't know why you 14 wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30. 15 A Right. 16 Q Okay. 17 A Correct. 18 Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset 19 Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal? 20 A Because Weiser Capital can also be between 21 Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it 22 can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction 23 can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the 13 it's the broker. 14 Q So your testimony is different today than it was on October 23rd of 2018? 16 A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was 17 incorrect. I was meaning to mean WAM, and that's what I believe I tried to explain in the section 12, 13, 14 because I said it was no longer the owner in April. 20 So you are correct, I did say a few sections 21 above pursuant to the agreement that it was the owner of 22 can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction 23 can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the 23 con go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. Q So you told me at your deposition in October that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? A It was an intermediary I think that's what I meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and
14wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30.14Q So your testimony is different today than it15A Right.15was on October 23rd of 2018?16Q Okay.16A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was17A Correct.1718Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset1819Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal?1920A Because Weiser Capital can also be between2021Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it2122can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction2223can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the2324owner in April.2325owner in April.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. Q So you told me at your deposition in October that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? A It was an intermediary I think that's what I meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and which one it was acting as an intermediary. Q So who was an intermediary?
15A Right.15was on October 23rd of 2018?16Q Okay.16A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was17A Correct.16A That is correct. I was meaning to mean WAM, and that's what I18Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset18believe I tried to explain in the section 12, 13, 1419Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal?19because I said it was no longer the owner in April.20A Because Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are correct, I did say a few sections21Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it21above pursuant to the agreement that it was the owner of22can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction22the stock, and then I explained it was no longer the23can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the23owner in April.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. Q So you told me at your deposition in October that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? A It was an intermediary I think that's what I meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I was trying to explain. Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and which one it was acting as an intermediary. Q So who was an intermediary?
16Q Okay.16A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was17A Correct.17incorrect. I was meaning to mean WAM, and that's what I18Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset18believe I tried to explain in the section 12, 13, 1419Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal?19because I said it was no longer the owner in April.20A Because Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are correct, I did say a few sections21Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it21above pursuant to the agreement that it was the owner of22can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction22the stock, and then I explained it was no longer the23can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the23owner in April.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. Q So you told me at your deposition in October that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? A It was an intermediary I think that's what I meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I was trying to explain. Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and which one it was acting as an intermediary. Q So who was an intermediary? A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because it's the broker.
17A Correct.17incorrect. I was meaning to mean WAM, and that's what I18Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset18believe I tried to explain in the section 12, 13, 1419Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal?19because I said it was no longer the owner in April.20A Because Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are correct, I did say a few sections21Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it21above pursuant to the agreement that it was the owner of22can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction22the stock, and then I explained it was no longer the23can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the23owner in April.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. Q So you told me at your deposition in October that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? A It was an intermediary I think that's what I meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I was trying to explain. Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction technically closed in April, and you don't know why you wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and which one it was acting as an intermediary. Q So who was an intermediary? A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because it's the broker. Q So your testimony is different today than it
18Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset18believe I tried to explain in the section 12, 13, 1419Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal?19because I said it was no longer the owner in April.20A Because Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are correct, I did say a few sections21Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it21above pursuant to the agreement that it was the owner of22can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction22the stock, and then I explained it was no longer the23can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the23owner in April.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. Q So you told me at your deposition in October that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? A It was an intermediary I think that's what I meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I was trying to explain. Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction technically closed in April, and you don't know why you wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30. A Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and which one it was acting as an intermediary. Q So who was an intermediary? A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because it's the broker. Q So your testimony is different today than it was on October 23rd of 2018?
19Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal?19because I said it was no longer the owner in April.20A Because Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are correct, I did say a few sections21Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it21above pursuant to the agreement that it was the owner of22can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction22the stock, and then I explained it was no longer the23can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the23owner in April.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. Q So you told me at your deposition in October that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? A It was an intermediary I think that's what I meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I was trying to explain. Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction technically closed in April, and you don't know why you wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30. A Right. Q Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and which one it was acting as an intermediary. Q So who was an intermediary? A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because it's the broker. Q So your testimony is different today than it was on October 23rd of 2018? A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was
20A Because Weiser Capital can also be between20So you are correct, I did say a few sections21Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it21above pursuant to the agreement that it was the owner of22can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction22the stock, and then I explained it was no longer the23can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the23owner in April.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and which one it was acting as an intermediary. Q So who was an intermediary? A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because it's the broker. Q So your testimony is different today than it was on October 23rd of 2018? A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was incorrect. I was meaning to mean WAM, and that's what I
21Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it21above pursuant to the agreement that it was the owner of22can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction22the stock, and then I explained it was no longer the23can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the23owner in April.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and which one it was acting as an intermediary. Q So who was an intermediary? A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because it's the broker. Q So your testimony is different today than it was on October 23rd of 2018? A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was incorrect. I was meaning to mean WAM, and that's what I believe I tried to explain in the section 12, 13, 14
22can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction22the stock, and then I explained it was no longer the23can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the23owner in April.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. Q So you told me at your deposition in October that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? A It was an intermediary I think that's what I meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I was trying to explain. Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction technically closed in April, and you don't know why you wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30. A Right. Q Okay. A Correct. Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and which one it was acting as an intermediary. Q So who was an intermediary? A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because it's the broker. Q So your testimony is different today than it was on October 23rd of 2018? A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was incorrect. I was meaning to mean WAM, and that's what I believe I tried to explain in the section 12, 13, 14 because I said it was no longer the owner in April.
23 can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the 23 owner in April.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and which one it was acting as an intermediary. Q So who was an intermediary? A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because it's the broker. Q So your testimony is different today than it was on October 23rd of 2018? A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was incorrect. I was meaning to mean WAM, and that's what I believe I tried to explain in the section 12, 13, 14 because I said it was no longer the owner in April. So you are correct, I did say a few sections
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. Q So you told me at your deposition in October that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? A It was an intermediary I think that's what I meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I was trying to explain. Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction technically closed in April, and you don't know why you wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30. A Right. Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal? A Because Weiser Capital can also be between Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and which one it was acting as an intermediary. Q So who was an intermediary? A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because it's the broker. Q So your testimony is different today than it was on October 23rd of 2018? A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was incorrect. I was meaning to mean WAM, and that's what I believe I tried to explain in the section 12, 13, 14 because I said it was no longer the owner in April. So you are correct, I did say a few sections above pursuant to the agreement that it was the owner of
24 broker, to then the buyer or the other way around. 24 Q Well, I think you said if you look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. Q So you told me at your deposition in October that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? A It was an intermediary I think that's what I meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I was trying to explain. Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction technically closed in April, and you don't know why you wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30. A Right. Q Okay. A Correct. Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal? A Because Weiser Capital can also be between Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and which one it was acting as an intermediary. Q So who was an intermediary? A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because it's the broker. Q So your testimony is different today than it was on October 23rd of 2018? A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was incorrect. I was meaning to mean WAM, and that's what I believe I tried to explain in the section 12, 13, 14 because I said it was no longer the owner in April. So you are correct, I did say a few sections above pursuant to the agreement that it was the owner of the stock, and then I explained it was no longer the
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit 30, Weiser Capital was the intermediary, so at a point it was the owner; correct? A I'm mixing up pursuant to the agreement, yes, correct. Q So you told me at your deposition in October that with regard to the April stock sale, April of 2013, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary buyer; correct? A It was an intermediary I think that's what I meant there, that it was an intermediary. It was also an intermediary in the transaction. I believe that's what I was trying to explain. Q Well, here you're saying that the transaction technically closed in April, and you don't know why you wrote July 5th on Exhibit 30. A Right. Q So how can Weiser Capital and Weiser Asset Management both be intermediaries on this April 2nd deal? A Because Weiser Capital can also be between Weiser Capital can also be between a seller and WAM or it can also be between a buyer and WAM. So a transaction can go from a seller to Weiser Capital to WAM, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q But you testified earlier that the way it worked on April 2nd was that it went from Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser Asset Management to the ultimate buyer. So how is it that Weiser Capital was also involved? You told me on October 23, 2018, that Weiser Capital was the intermediary. You didn't say WAM. A Correct. And I believe I was referring to the discussion I may have mixed up between Weiser Capital and WAM or I may have even mixed up the transactions and which one it was acting as an intermediary. Q So who was an intermediary? A Well, WAM is definitely an intermediary because it's the broker. Q So your testimony is different today than it was on October 23rd of 2018? A That is correct. My Weiser Capital was incorrect. I was meaning to mean WAM, and that's what I believe I tried to explain in the section 12, 13, 14 because I said it was no longer the owner in April. So you are correct, I did say a few sections above pursuant to the agreement that it was the owner of the stock, and then I explained it was no longer the owner in April.

Page 314 Page 315 1 page 202, line 3, you said, "On April 2nd it passed 1 it now or not, I would have to check if they had sold it 2 through to whoever the final buyers are"; correct? 2 or not." 3 A Correct. 3 A Correct. 4 Q Okay. And your testimony today, which is 4 Q So on October 23rd of 2018, you didn't know if 5 different than your testimony then, is that Weiser 5 the ultimate buyers who were supposed to have this 6 Capital was not involved at all? transaction were still claiming any ownership in it? 6 7 A I can't say it was not involved at all. 7 A Correct. I'd have to check to see if they 8 Q Well, it was not an intermediary in this 8 still owned shares. 9 transaction? 9 Q If Weiser Asset Management had done something 10 to make it right with these ultimate buyers, why wouldn't A I can't say 100 percent for sure. WAM was 10 11 definitely an intermediary, I remember that for sure. I 11 you have told me that at that time? 12 can't remember in the April transaction if Weiser Capital 12 A I don't know if I was asked or not asked, and was an intermediary. you were asking me a lot of -- you were asking me a lot 13 13 14 Q I think you indicated on page 203 that you 14 of questions to orient you to the way the business works, 15 didn't know who the current owner of the stock was at so for me to try to explain how Weiser hedges its risk 15 16 and has to short and has to balance the client accounts I that point. 16 17 17 think would have made it a very long day. A The current owner, can you show me where that 18 is? 18 Q Okay. So in any event, when I asked you the 19 question, "Does that buyer still claim to be the owner of Q Well, I asked you starting at line 11 on 19 20 page 203, "Is the ultimate buyer that acquired the stock the stock," you didn't tell me, "Well, Weiser Asset 20 21 after that instantaneous moment that Weiser had it in Management made it right by shorting its position and 21 22 April, does that buyer still claim to be the owner of the 22 giving the buyer some sort of credit"; correct? 23 stock? 23 A That's internal to Weiser. You asked me about 24 "ANSWER: At that time, yes. Whether they own 24 the -- as the person who bought that stock, do they still Page 317 Page 316 see you again, sir. 1 own it, and I said I don't know, I have to check. 1 2 Because you're asking if they still own it, not what 2 Court is in recess. 3 Weiser did for some clients who may have sold it. 3 (Proceedings adjourned at 4:48 p.m.) 4 Q Now --4 5 THE COURT: Mr. Anderson, are you about to go 5 into a new subject matter because we're right about --6 6 MR. ANDERSON: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Time 7 7 8 flies when you're having fun. I will start a new 8 9 9 section. 10 THE COURT: Okay, Counsel. Then we will 10 11 reconvene at 8:30 a.m. tomorrow. The rule of exclusion 11 12 has been invoked. I would advise counsel to make sure 12 13 they discuss the rule of exclusion with their respective 13 14 witnesses to make sure that the witnesses aren't 14 15 interacting with each other about their conversations 15 16 here in court. So it would be inappropriate for one 16 17 witness to start talking to another witness about his or 17 her testimony in court. That's what the rule of 18 18 19 exclusion contemplates. 19 20 So I don't know who the witnesses are. I don't 20 21 know what interaction they have with each other. I would 21 22 just note that everyone has to be mindful of their 22 23 23 responsibilities towards the rule of exclusion when we come back tomorrow at 8:30 a.m., and at that time I will 24 24

		D 010
1	Page 318 STATE OF NEVADA)	Page 319 1 HEALTH INFORMATION PRIVACY & SECURITY: CAUTIONARY NOTICE
) ss.	2 Litigation Services is committed to compliance with applicable federal
2	COUNTY OF WASHOE)	3 and state laws and regulations ("Privacy Laws") governing the
3		4 protection and security of patient health information.Notice is
4	I, PEGGY B. HOOGS, Certified Court Reporter in	5 herebygiven to all parties that transcripts of depositions and legal
5	and for the State of Nevada, do hereby certify:	6 proceedings, and transcript exhibits, may contain patient health
6	That the foregoing proceedings were taken by me	7 information that is protected from unauthorized access, use and
7	at the time and place therein set forth; that the	8 disclosure by Privacy Laws. Litigation Services requires that access,
8	proceedings were recorded stenographically by me and	9 maintenance, use, and disclosure (including but not limited to
9	thereafter transcribed via computer under my supervision;	10 electronic database maintenance and access, storage, distribution/
10	that the foregoing is a full, true and correct	11 dissemination and communication) of transcripts/exhibits containing
11	transcription of the proceedings to the best of my	12 patient information be performed in compliance with Privacy Laws.
12	knowledge, skill and ability.	13 No transcript or exhibit containing protected patient health
13	I further certify that I am not a relative nor	14 information may be further disclosed except as permitted by Privacy
14	an employee of any attorney or any of the parties, nor am	15 Laws. Litigation Services expects that all parties, parties'
15	I financially or otherwise interested in this action.	16 attorneys, and their HIPAA Business Associates and Subcontractors will
16	I declare under penalty of perjury under the	17 make every reasonable effort to protect and secure patient health
17	laws of the State of Nevada that the foregoing statements	18 information, and to comply with applicable Privacy Law mandates,
18	are true and correct.	19 including but not limited to restrictions on access, storage, use, and
19	Dated this 27th day of March, 2020.	20 disclosure (sharing) of transcripts and transcript exhibits, and
20		21 applying "minimum necessary" standards where appropriate. It is
21	/s/ Peggy B. Hoogs	22 recommended that your office review its policies regarding sharing of
		23 transcripts and exhibits - including access, storage, use, and
22	Peggy B. Hoogs, CCR #160, RDR	24 disclosure - for compliance with Privacy Laws.
23		 asserbatic for compliance with rivacy haws. © All Rights Reserved. Litigation Services (rev. 6/1/2019)
24		25 W AII RIGHUS RESELVED. DICIGATION SELVICES (IEV. 0/1/2019)

#	\$20,000 115:4 117:15,21	0660 105:13	93:21,22 94:11, 12 96:5 156:16,	110 198:9
#160 1:24	118:23 119:11 211:17	07 57:24 58:1	17 173:13	114 194:4,12,16 196:5
\$	\$249,580 266:6	0753 3:10 104:17 122:20	10,000 209:15 253:7	115 195:21
	\$250,000 17:14	245:21 295:1	10/1/07 3:17	196:5 197:5
\$1,000 49:10	18:8 125:4 128:16 213:14, 15 229:5 233:1	0756 289:4	10/28/13 5:7	118 6:6
\$1.6 70:18 75:20	234:6 235:1 240:8,13,22	08 57:24 58:1	10/30/15 3:12	11th 9:16
<pre>\$10,000 96:5</pre> \$100 173:13	241:7 266:17 \$4,115 212:20	1	100 3:22 30:3 42:14 179:13 314:10	12 45:9 106:6,19 108:14,22 144:9,10 184:6 186:12 190:16
\$125 209:22 211:8,9,10,24 212:6,10	\$420 266:9,12 267:2	1 3:9 14:17 15:14 74:7 104:12,14 122:18,21 179:6 214:15	11 223:24 314:19	282:16,23 285:3 289:10 301:8 302:16 313:18
\$125,000 211:7	\$5,000 96:6 \$500,000 124:17	249:14 251:9, 24	11/12/15 5:15, 17,19	12/31/13 5:8
\$140,000 208:24	\$8 174:10	1,000 48:24 49:22	11/13/15 5:20, 21,22,23	12th 283:22 284:15 285:5 290:11 295:4
\$140,287.54 250:15	\$9,000 96:7	1-10 1:15	11/16/15 6:1	13 3:23 14:18
\$140,288 209:1, 6,8		1.1 140:14,19	11/17/15 6:2	15:14 144:10 156:9,11 163:24 164:2
\$140,800 209:2	-t-s-c-h 301:15	1.2 141:3	11/18/15 6:3	184:6 185:13 298:19 313:18
\$143,287.54	0	1.3 141:7	11/19/15 6:5,6,8	13,391.90
249:15		1/10/13 3:23	11/2/15 5:12	253:10
\$20 174:12	000316 59:14	10 1:11 83:1,13	11/3/15 5:14	13th 81:3

Index: 14..2013

297:10,13 303:16	155 223:13	1994 55:7	2,000 35:7	203:3 252:3
14 4:1 14:18	16 4:4 14:18 15:14 158:17	1996 97:2	20 4:5 14:18 15:14 24:14	2011-2013 6:8
15:14 150:21 155:4 163:24	163:24 298:19	19th 117:6	174:15	2012 22:7 25:8
164:3 313:18	163 6:8	1:15 164:18	20,000 113:7	106:15 107:18 108:8 117:1
142 222:1,18	16th 300:3	165:13	114:15 119:6 211:15	119:20 121:8, 11 123:9 160:4
225:15,16,17 227:18,19	304:5	1:17 117:7	200 310:19	168:4,5 190:4 192:22 208:17 252:4 294:8
144 45:12	17 148:3,16 224:4 227:22 302:4	1:19 165:14	2000 20:16	2013 23:3,6,8
149 310:1		1:20 165:2	2001 20:16	25:12 26:1 27:23,24 28:1
14th 189:3	178 223:17,24 227:21	1st 73:11 206:7 250:14	2007 56:24 57:1, 3,22 62:10 65:4	115:24 117:4,7 119:21 121:11 126:5 129:9,10
15 3:9,11,13,18, 20,23 4:1,3,4,5,	18 3:4 111:3,6 112:2,5,12	2	66:11 72:8 73:13 74:8	130:10 132:6 144:9 146:17, 23 147:9,11
7,8,10,11,13, 14,16,17,19,20,	114:3,5,23 194:4,17		76:6,7,24 99:3 120:16,19	148:3,9,16,21 149:1,6,14,18
22,23 5:1,2,4,5, 7,12,14,15,17,	212:15,18 302:23 310:2	2 3:11 14:17 15:14 78:16,18,	121:1	150:6,11 152:3, 4,14,20,22
19,20,21,22,23 6:1,2,3,5 14:18 15:14 78:14	184 3:5 229:3,4	21,24 80:22,23 81:19 82:9 89:1	2008 227:8	153:4,8,21 154:1 155:2
154:21,23 155:13 163:24	185 229:2	186:19 187:22, 23 199:3	2010 22:14	156:7,16,17 157:4 159:6,12,
164:4 248:6 282:21		209:23 212:2 213:14 214:20 215:14 216:10,	2011 22:13,14	21 160:6,7,13 166:22 168:5
15,000 210:15,	18th 304:24	12,16 220:2,6 223:2 224:21	25:8 81:1,3 101:10 153:2 159:5,12,21	169:19 170:12 171:12 172:17 175:12 176:0
22 212:4,9	19 110:9 114:3,5	230:6 240:12 243:9,11 259:2	160:12,13 184:6 187:24	175:13 176:9, 16 179:6,12,16, 19 180:2,5,6,7
15,033.90	195 230:1,2,3	260:5 266:5 283:21 284:21	189:20 190:4, 16 192:22	181:3,6 182:10, 11 185:17
211:13	1993 53:20	289:16 306:18 307:6 308:2	195:4 201:12 202:5,8,19	188:6 194:6,21 199:3 204:14
15-minute 91:24				

206:8 208:17, 23 209:10,16, 19,23 211:16 212:2,15,18 213:14 214:15, 16,20 215:10, 14 216:10,12, 16 218:3,6 219:18,22,24 220:2,6 221:19	16,19 187:24 189:20 197:13, 22 201:12,16, 24 202:20 203:3 2015 117:2,6 150:16 156:8 157:13 159:4	20K 113:7 21 4:7 14:18 15:14 106:15 107:18 131:6,9, 19,21 132:2 222:4,18 225:15,17	15:15 87:4 93:19 134:14, 16,17,18 135:12 138:24 139:3 140:5,7, 16 209:10,18 225:15,17 253:3,17 310:20,24	229:14,19 234:24 235:12 237:23 242:23 261:3,13,18 263:23 265:11 280:6,7 290:10 293:15 306:2,4 312:19 313:2 314:1
223:2,20 224:2, 5,13,21 227:23	170:22 171:21, 22 172:5,6,17	215 3:6	250,000 266:20	3
231:13,23 232:5 233:1 234:24 235:13	286:21 287:23 288:23 295:4 298:3,19,20 300:3 302:4,23	21st 108:8	25th 256:1	3 3:12 152:8,13
240:10,12 243:9,12 249:14 250:14	307:11	22 4:8 14:18 15:15 133:1	26 4:14 14:18 15:15 135:10	232:1,20 247:12,13 281:2,11,12,13
251:9,24 253:4, 17 256:19 259:2 260:5	2016 13:17,19 156:8 293:5	22nd 211:23	26th 115:24	282:8,10,11 289:21 292:24 293:9 314:1
261:18 263:23 266:5 282:16, 23 283:21 284:21 285:3,	2018 9:8,16 184:16 185:21, 22 186:12	23 4:10 12:15 14:18 15:15 134:3 152:3 193:22 195:22	27 4:16 14:18 15:15 72:8 117:7 136:14	3,316,665 210:4
13 289:10,16 293:9,16,22	187:6 188:14 193:22 195:22 197:22 223:4	227:1,8 313:5	27th 65:4 72:15	3,316,666 210:6 282:17
294:4,9,10 297:13,19 301:8 302:16 303:10,14,17	227:1 313:5,15 315:4 2019 1:21 7:2	 23rd 8:16 13:17 313:15 315:4 24 4:11 14:18 	28 1:21 4:17 7:2 14:19 15:15 136:24 165:2	3-plus-million 235:13 241:7
304:6 306:18 307:6 308:1,2,4 312:6	12:15 165:2	15:15 293:5	282 3:12	3.1 309:16
2014 23:3,8 25:12 26:1 35:8	202 314:1	249,580 210:13 222:4 223:5 225:19 226:1,	29 4:19 14:19 15:15 137:19	3.3 128:15,19 129:10,12,13 130:21,24
36:8 125:21 149:18 150:6,	203 314:14,20	10 227:9	138:3 155:2,3,7	148:10,18 149:13 166:23
12 170:12 171:1 180:1,2,6	207 5:8,10	24th 13:19	2nd 152:12 221:23 224:13,	210:2 214:17 242:24 289:11
182:17 185:12,	208 3:5	25 4:13 14:18	24 228:5,9	3/28/13 4:1

	1	1	1	I
3/29/13 4:3	32 4:23 14:19	15:16	44 5:10 178:18,	5
	15:15		22,23 181:5	
30 4:20 14:19		364 101:2	182:12 183:1	
15:15 142:16	320 116:16		203:13 207:6,	5 3:15 58:23
144:19,21			10,17,21,22	60:13 70:3,6,8
145:23 146:6		365 90:2	208:4 209:5	72:11,14,18
159:14 166:20	321 114:7,13		212:19 214:6	74:1 85:17
217:15,17		367 91:11	222:12,20,22	93:21,22 94:10,
218:1,6 221:15,	33 5:1 14:19		223:2,6 225:19	12 151:9 197:5
22 223:11,18,	15:15 138:8		235:4 240:13,	210:7,8,9 218:3
19 224:2,5,15,	139:1,3 140:5,	4	16 248:23	
19 225:1,3	11,16 144:5,12,		249:9 261:15,	5,000 235:5
226:7,16	22		19 271:16	3,000 200.0
227:11,23		4 3:13 14:18	276:16,21 277:18	
230:19 231:5	34 5:2 14:19	15:14 84:14	211.10	5/24/13 4:5
235:3 282:21	15:15	105:10,12		
283:16,24	10.10		46 5:12 14:19	5/30/11 3:18
284:3 285:12		4,000 235:6	15:16 251:19	
287:23 289:19,	345 106:9	,	288:7	
20 303:11,15,				5/31/11 3:20,22
21 310:21	35 5:4 14:19	4.1 141:12	47 5:14 14:19	
311:17 312:1,	15:15 142:17,		15:16	50 5:19 14:20
14	18 144:5	4.2 141:16		15:16 124:24
	146:15			175:21 176:2
30th 223:22		4/4/13 4:4	48 5:15 14:19	294:16,17,18
228:8 229:6,10	354 82:16		15:16 176:22	
284:3 286:21	334 02.10			500 2:5
289:19,20		40 5:7 14:19	48.015 61:17	000 2.0
290:8 291:11	355 83:4	15:16 159:14	69:18	
				51 5:20 14:20
31 4:22 14:19	356 83:4,6,12	408 214:9	48.035 162:15	15:16
15:15 101:10				
179:6 202:5		409 214:8		51.135 205:11
214:16	357 83:5,16	403 214.0	49 5:17 14:19	206:21 207:6
			15:16	
044 74.44 70.0	358 82:23 83:7	41 147:23		52 5:21 14:20
314 71:14 72:2	84:8		4:45 248:12,17	15:16 289:8
		43 5:8 178:19,22		10.10 203.0
319 67:4	359 84:12	203:13 207:10,	4:48 317:3	
		14,17,20,22	4.40 317.3	524000 1:23
31st 81:1 206:8		208:8 261:21		
	36 5:5 14:19			53 5:22 14:20

Index: 54..above

15:16	71:10,11,19 73:14 74:5 75:4	7	8	95 97:3
54 5:23 14:20 15:16 177:18,	174:10,15 187:4 210:7,8,9 310:23	7 3:18 14:18	8 3:20 14:18	97 97:3
20 298:8	6,633,332	15:14 174:10	15:14 88:13,18, 20 91:8 92:10 101:2 117:8	975 158:24
5441 2:11	104:20	7,500 212:14	124:19,20,22 152:8,13	9th 138:10 144:13 210:16
55 6:1 14:20 15:16 300:9,10	6.3 122:16	7/12/13 5:4,5 7/2/13 4:16,17	89505 2:6	211:2 284:10, 13
56 6:2 14:20 15:16 301:11	6.6 122:16,21 123:1 125:3	7/3/13 4:10,17	89511 2:11	A
302:24 304:9, 11,21	157:6 6/24/13 4:7,8,10,	7/5/13 4:20	8:30 248:19 316:11,24	А-М-S-В-А-С-Н 67:2
57 6:3 14:20 15:16 302:20	11,13	7/9/13 4:22,23	8:37 7:2	a.m. 7:2 117:7
304:16	6/25/13 4:14	5:1	9	316:11,24
58 6:5 14:20 15:17 305:3	60 6:8 14:22 15:3,4,7,8 111:22 114:6	70 3:15	0 0.00 00.00 04	ABC 29:2
59 6:6 111:19, 20,23 112:3,11, 15 114:12	160:21,22 161:12 163:6 179:13	74 3:1775 87:4 93:19,22	9 3:22 99:23,24 100:3,9,14,19, 20 103:17 152:10,11,13	ability 28:14 44:24 86:11 155:4 256:20
115:3 116:5,11 117:13 118:7, 14 129:3	65 210:5	753 149:4 151:15,18	9/24/07 3:13	258:11,15,16, 17,19
5th 9:10,14	660 105:18 151:17,18	152:5,23 153:23 155:17 156:22 158:4,9,	9/27/07 3:15	able 13:22 63:22 113:20 115:20 124:6
284:4,6,9,13 285:12 311:11, 18 312:14	155:17 156:21 158:20	20 169:24 170:14 176:7	90s 20:9	130:10 146:23 149:2 205:1
6	661 151:15	177:22 234:24 235:11 244:22 246:4	92,500 105:14, 15	243:20 255:3,6 276:8
	666 210:5	7th 9:8	94 53:20 56:12	above 65:19 187:10 310:14
6 3:17 45:9		I	I	I

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

Index: absolutely..actually

r				
313:21	11,13,23,24	14 240:14,16,	accuracy	188:8
	86:1,2,5,7,11,	17 241:9,10,15,	181:18 183:10	
	12,15,16,17,22,	18,19 242:2,9,	212:23 213:9	
absolutely	23 87:2,6,10,	16,22,23 246:6,		acquisitions
26:20 48:20				23:24
111:10 135:21	12,13,15,17,18	7,11,13 249:4,	accurate 180:19	
285:8	88:1 89:7 92:16	5,19,24 250:16	185:21 204:5,	
200.0	93:13,17 94:2,	251:6 252:23	17 205:6	acting 142:13
	5,10,16,23	253:15 259:16	17 205.0	232:7 233:12
absorbing	95:14,21 97:5	264:13,18,21		234:18 287:3
308:17	100:9,10,11,12	265:13 266:6	acknowledged	313:10
500.17			•	515.10
	101:14,24	267:16 268:7	13:6 234:3	
access 27:14	102:1,10,20,23	269:12,15,16,		action 8:3 13:5
28:5,6,9,10,14	103:10,13	17,19,20 270:6,	acquire 23:4	17:2 18:5
	104:8 105:8,24	9,10,11,18,19	-	
181:11 183:14	106:3 109:20,	271:1,11,12,16,	28:19 87:7	117:18 182:4
	22 110:3,7,23	19 272:1,13,17,	125:20 243:2	283:10
accomplished	111:14,17	23 273:2		
11:8	,			actions 1.17
11:8	118:3 120:1,5,	274:17 275:10	acquired 23:6	actions 1:17
	9,10,11 126:17	276:12 278:10	25:11 26:2	157:22 181:2
according	130:8 144:1	287:1,10,12	29:22 35:8	183:10
•	145:19 147:21	288:1,3 294:14	36:8,16,23 37:2	
189:3 213:12	153:18 159:5	296:20 297:1	78:6 171:11	
226:24 240:19	168:2,8,24	305:24 306:1,3	179:9,11,14,23	active 171:8,10
241:1		505.24 500.1,5	180:1 181:9	172:2
	173:11,17,21			
	177:6,12 179:1,	account-	182:16 183:8	
account 3:11	2,5 190:16	opening 78:7	185:11,16	activities 83:21
5:9,10 17:14,21	191:6 192:21,		187:23 189:3	259:21
18:7 26:11,13,	22 194:5,21		195:3 204:16	
15,19 29:15	195:3,22 196:6	accounting	205:5 244:24	
33:19 39:2,3,	197:12,13,15	181:23 201:12	254:18 257:19	activity 83:23
11,15,23 40:13,	198:7,24 199:6		258:4 282:22	205:16 206:4,6
15,23 44:6	,		301:8 314:20	209:9,13
,	200:14,21	accounts 51:5	301.0 314.20	210:23 253:12
48:23 49:10,17	201:1,7,15,19	82:12 87:16		265:7,9,11
51:11 63:20,22,	202:4,8,16	93:20 110:19	acquiring 28:4	. ,
24 75:8,11,15	203:18,19	126:18 175:2,5	180:4 188:18	
77:1,5,8,13	208:10,16			acts 205:13
78:4,8,22	210:11 213:9,	182:2,8 190:4	189:14 202:19	
79:19,21,22	15,19 222:11,	192:5 202:22,		
80:12,13,14,19,	20 225:20	24 203:5	acquisition	actual 9:21 26:8
24 81:8,21		208:17 238:17	23:24 24:1	80:13 89:3
,	229:14,15	258:23 260:23		169:19 265:17
82:10 83:17	234:7 235:2,5	266:19 271:4,8	25:24 26:4	279:12
84:15,17,19,22,	236:20,23	315:16	30:12 31:3,9,	
23 85:5,7,9,10,	237:23 239:11,	510110	14,19 32:1	
				actually 33:4

	•			
40:14,21 54:18	Additionally	115:2 116:11	advise 290:20	140:2 144:17
57:4,10 75:19,	12:14,17	117:12,14,16,	316:12	148:22 149:15
20 78:12 83:3	12.14,17	20 161:12	510.12	150:15 156:7
84:8 94:15		162:17 163:5		157:13 159:4
	address 26:17		advisor 23:20	
117:15,20	73:3 163:16	182:12 186:4	29:23	164:11 167:13
118:3 125:9	164:10,12	207:6,17,20		169:11,22
131:12 138:7			- L	180:7 212:17
142:17 146:10	l	admits 207:21	advisory 23:1	226:9,11,12
160:4 161:21	addressed			229:20 230:23
173:9,17 188:4	73:18 163:1		affidavit 4:1	248:4 253:2
208:20 209:4		admitted 3:9	17:19,23,24	270:23 277:16,
210:7 217:15	addresses	15:13,17 70:4,6	151:1,15	17 286:17
220:5,24	296:23	74:5 78:17,18	154:10,15	289:18 290:8
221:23 224:20	200.20	88:14,16,18	156:2 157:2	291:10 302:23
227:14 228:5		100:19,20	159:6 170:16	314:21
229:2 234:20	adds 91:19	104:13 105:11	205:17	
242:8 243:2		111:20 112:5	203.17	afternoon
259:19 261:12	adjourned	113:11,16		
264:3,7 267:15	317:3	114:5 115:1	Affiliate 3:15	247:14
268:23 269:24	517.5	117:24 118:14	72:8,12 73:19	
270:12,21		129:3 131:17,	74:8	again 27:6
271:15 272:12,	administration	23 133:2 134:4,		37:22 38:20
17 293:15	137:8	15 137:20		56:14 60:14
294:9,10 297:4,		138:9 142:18	affiliated 278:10	61:2 70:21
12 307:15		144:20,21		71:4,11 73:20
	admissibility	150:22 154:22	affirm 151:5	111:6 120:13
	14:16	155:9 156:10		121:8 125:12
Adams 2:5 7:22,		158:18 163:2,6,		130:4,17
24 292:1	admissible 63:2	18 175:22,23	Affirmation	136:15 159:2
	69:18 107:16,	176:23 177:19	151:9	169:2,4 176:13
add 61:21 63:10	17 108:1 115:5	207:22 282:10,		186:8 193:7
67:18 107:7	206:22	11 288:8	affirmations	200:12 203:1
109:11,13	200.22	11200.0	151:7	204:18 221:9
163:8			101	222:14 223:3
103.0	admission	admittedly		227:16 230:15
	14:17 15:10	257:7	after 19:21,23,	243:4 248:19
additional 64:10	69:14 107:6		24 20:3,9,15	
84:9 101:20,21	116:4 282:8	admitting	44:4 46:10	251:1 256:7
109:18 116:13		admitting	52:7,17 54:17	270:15 273:15,
230:14 234:6,9,	admit 60:13	114:23 162:18	55:7 56:7 66:10	20 277:8 289:8,
22 247:22	67:15 69:11,23		70:12 76:24	9,15 291:19
248:2 251:5	,	advantage	78:6 99:11	293:17 305:4
	70:3 73:14 74:3	33:24	120:19 138:10	308:1,22 317:1
	100:14 106:19			
	I	l	I	

Index: against..already

against 87:4	185:4 195:22	140:15 230:21	27:11 28:8,9	309:1,2 310:9
94:16 96:6	231:14,18	231:14,17	29:11,19 31:8,	314:6,7
	293:12		11,12 38:1	
agencies 164:7		agrees 157:22	41:12,18 43:11	alleged 195:11
agencies 104.7	agreeing 51:23	agrees 107.22	62:2 65:8,9	199:2 261:3
	308:18		72:1 84:15	199.2 201.5
agency 1:10	500.10	ahead 16:16	86:21 90:22	
7:5,14 8:3,16		43:9 58:13	91:4 93:7 95:12	alleging 198:22
22:1 145:15	agreement 3:16	65:16 67:4	99:9,11 112:10	294:3 306:21
163:19 164:1,6	4:21,24 5:3,11	80:10 81:17	122:4,14,15,16	
282:22	21:24 22:1,2	92:11 102:16	124:7 125:7	allow 35:23
	24:3 52:5 53:14	103:7,15	128:18 129:3	
agant 24.7 12	60:16,24 69:1,4	106:23 108:12	136:8 145:15	64:21 93:22
agent 34:7,13	70:12 72:8,12,	118:12 126:2	149:9 152:14	101:21 103:18
46:3,11,22	17 73:20 74:9	128:12 141:12	157:7,8,10	121:20 290:5
47:3,4,5,11,15,	86:4 122:12	184:11 192:18	160:8 163:24	291:22
19 52:24 53:4,	133:16,19	197:9 207:7,23	164:7 166:6,8	
9,10 143:12,14,	134:11 135:4,	222:16,22	170:8 178:4	allowed 28:18
17 147:19,20	15 136:12,18	228:24 236:3	179:13,22	29:4 33:5,16
148:14 150:19	138:21 140:6,9,	237:12 248:20	181:6 182:2,14,	94:10,12
155:14 166:5,	12,22 141:13,	277:11	17,18 192:3	103:13 198:18
11 177:5,12	17,21 142:3,6		195:3 197:24	265:15
243:3 249:20	166:19,22		198:6 199:15	
286:24 287:5	216:2,4,13,16	ahold 171:2	201:12 202:7,	
296:16 298:7	218:2,10 219:7,		15 204:15	allowing 9:22
304:3 308:24	10 221:6,19	aid 116:13	205:15 206:3	94:20 147:16
	222:6 223:7,11		213:2 235:1,14	
agents 295:10	224:1,20		236:19 248:14	allows 86:23
0	225:21 226:3,8,	aka 184:22	249:2 250:24	170:8 271:10
100.00	16 227:11		252:13,14,17,	
ago 130:20	230:17,19,23	Alana 115:23	22,24 254:6	
132:7 163:12	231:1,10	269:5	257:6 258:8,23	almost 56:12
223:18 259:4	281:10 284:12,		260:23 261:15,	94:23 97:22
279:15	23 285:2,4,5,7,		16 264:1 265:7,	99:8 235:1
	9 303:4,7,10,	Alexander 2:15	10 268:9	262:11
agree 15:19	14,20 305:7	5:14	269:23 271:2,	
108:5 141:10	311:6,24 312:3		18 272:10	alphanumeric
224:18 239:7	313:21	alive 113:9	273:10,13	59:11
264:20 299:4		119:15	274:21,22	
			276:2 284:21	already 19.15
ograd 44.440	agreements		286:20 291:14	already 18:15
agreed 14:4,16	17:9 50:23	all 11:24 16:20	292:9 295:16	31:7 45:11
122:13 123:12	51:21 61:3	21:3 25:5 26:18	298:11 304:18	48:14,15 58:9

	_	_	_	
69:6 73:18	22 168:12	53:11 55:12	70:23 71:1,2	20 131:19,21
78:17 99:2	173:1,6 176:22	121:9 122:9,19	73:22 76:15,17	135:17 154:17
104:6,13	182:19 185:1	163:8,21	83:1,13 93:2	161:13,16
105:11 112:20	197:5,12	210:19 247:22	104:15 105:13	162:2,4,16
118:10 130:6	211:23 213:4,5	262:11	120:18,24	180:11,14
137:19 139:11	217:11 218:5		122:1 132:17	182:13 183:17,
142:18 156:10	226:23 231:7	Amended 13:16	137:8 156:12	20 184:14
158:11 162:7,	244:1 254:1	Amendeu 15.10	157:8 166:3,10,	185:24 186:9,
11 175:12,22	257:8 258:14		13 172:16	13,15,18,21
177:18 199:19	262:17 263:11	America 145:16	173:10 176:10	192:18,19
204:13 206:4	264:16,17		210:1,3 214:18	193:13,18,23
208:9,14	267:14 269:5		233:8 241:8	194:2 195:18,
213:21 216:17	272:16 279:19	AML 145:6,8,20	245:16,24	20 196:9,18,20
224:7,12 225:4	283:8 284:8,14	167:7,9 218:18	282:17 289:11	197:6,8,9,10,11
226:16,24	285:13 286:6,9	227:5	294:24 295:9	198:12,14,21
228:1,10 230:9	296:10 297:8		301:24 302:2,7	200:7,8 203:8
232:21 233:6,	301:3,6,18	amongst 25:18	001.21002.2,1	205:8,10 207:8,
10 239:17	302:21 303:23	182:8		15,16,21
244:10 245:18	305:11 307:12		Anavex's 166:5	214:23,24
258:21 265:12	312:9,20,21,22		296:16 302:10	215:2,7 217:17,
266:18 288:8	313:4	amount 94:3		18 221:12,14
304:2 307:5,11	515.4	95:22 96:8	and/or 153:5	223:16 225:14
309:6		122:6,10,17		227:17,20
509.0	alternatively	123:11,12		228:12,16
	68:11	293:11	Anderson 2:4	229:1 230:3,4
also 2:14 8:20			3:5,6 7:18,21	232:3,20
10:5 15:18	although	amounts 213:18	9:20 10:18	,
16:12 17:7,16	285:12	251:5	14:10 15:3,6,8,	235:10 237:12,
22:23,24 25:11	200.12	201.0	18 16:6 30:7,24	14,15 239:24 240:11 241:14
35:11,14,15			42:2 58:3,8,9	-
36:6 50:5	Alvarez 5:12,14,	Amsbach 58:16	60:14 61:5,9,	247:17,24
57:18,19 61:21	20,21,22 6:1,4,	66:23 67:2 69:7	19,20 64:12,13	248:4,10,20,21,
63:9,12 67:21	5 281:8,14		67:17,18 69:11,	22 250:13
69:23 71:3	282:1,5 283:20	analysis 94:6	21 73:15,24	270:3 277:11,
76:16,17 84:2	285:15 288:9	95:2 114:5	79:23 100:15,	12 282:7,12
91:15 107:7	289:9 300:19	173:8	16 101:15	290:4,7,22
123:16 132:18	302:22 303:2,	175.0	102:2,11 103:2,	291:4,5,7,24
133:1,20 134:3,	13,19 304:16,		4,19 106:20	292:11,17,19
14 137:19	24 305:21	Anavex 3:9,13,	107:7 112:4	297:14,16
142:7,12		15 17:4 56:20,	113:10,18	306:11 310:18
144:20 154:22		21 57:2,9,11,	114:20 115:9,	316:5,7
156:3 158:18	always 13:8	12,17,20,23	15 116:2	
159:20 167:1,	44:19 46:17	58:2,6 62:10,11	118:10 125:15,	Anderson's
,	48:3 52:13		,	

Index: another..around

			1	1
64:22 236:5	11:19 45:20,24	210:16 300:15	approximate	239:22,24
	52:4,13 91:6	301:13	94:5	240:3,6,10,12
another 41:16	97:23 107:12			241:6 242:23
49:4 68:12	133:17 178:8	Apple 42:14	approximately	243:9,11 259:2
84:17 105:23	204:3 261:2,12	44:2 49:18,21,	20:13 22:8 23:3	260:5 261:3,13,
109:2 110:21	262:18 265:5	24 95:4,5,6,13	34:20 35:7	18 263:23
111:15,17	272:11 276:21	96:6 239:2	44:17 66:8	265:11 266:5
166:18 172:24	283:23 284:16	245:5,6	70:18 123:4	280:6,7 283:21
198:17 211:7,	285:16 290:21	,.	124:24 125:1,3	284:21,23
13 212:5	294:6,11		128:18 129:1	285:2 289:16
259:11 269:22	300:24 306:5,6,	applicable	138:10 159:10,	290:10 293:15,
277:9 300:15	7	183:16 205:10	24 160:15	18 294:10,12
309:9,10			164:18 208:24	306:2,4,18
316:17	anywhere 24:13	application	210:2 214:17	307:6 308:2
	45:8 174:5	26:15 78:22	240:8,14	311:8,13,18,21
	283:19	79:2 161:19		312:6,13,19
answering 237:21		202:4	April 115:24	313:2,19,23
237.21	apologies 227:5		117:7 119:21	314:1,12,22
	apologies 227.5	applications	125:6 128:20,	
answers 205:20		26:14	21 129:7,8,10	area 20:18
288:4 292:15	apologize 12:8	20.14	130:10 146:17,	292:18
	65:5 83:10		23 147:9 149:6,	
anti-money	88:18 107:8	apply 33:13	14 152:18	arguably 236:21
145:9 146:6	135:22 163:9,	84:16	156:7 164:8	
167:7,10,19	13 165:12,15		166:22 168:4,5	
- , -, -	224:17 226:14	appreciate	169:19 171:12	argue 70:1
		16:12	172:17 175:13	
anticipate 9:22	apparently		176:16 185:21,	arguing 122:9
	64:16 162:8	approach 50:10	22 186:12	
anticipation	183:23 184:8	approach 58:18 186:15 193:18,	187:6 188:13	argument 61:22
182:23	221:5 297:1	23	199:3 209:23	argument 61:22 162:13 207:1
		20	211:16,19,20,	102.13 207.1
anybody 136:7	appeal 10:1,2		21 213:14	
169:11 231:3,		appropriate	214:20 215:14	arisen 182:24
15		69:16 115:18	216:10,12,16	309:4
	APPEARANCES	157:22	217:14 220:2,6	
	2:1		221:23 223:2	arm 25:21
anyone 135:15		Approval 79:10,	224:13,21,24	
136:5 301:3	appearing 8:14	11,19	228:5,9 229:14,	
			19 233:1	around 7:22
anything 9:17	annoara 100:00	200-20	234:24 235:8,	20:16 22:13
	appears 100:22	approve 270:20	12 237:23	23:5 53:20

Index: arrange..audit

		1	•	
54:24 55:7	19:10 20:23	assistant 16:10	4:1,3 12:16	attorney 5:4,5
76:9,12,14	21:4,16 22:11,	98:18 165:10	54:2 65:20	17:8 52:21,23
95:12 97:2,24	21,22 23:6,9,		151:4 176:8	134:1 135:7,8
121:5,19	13,16 24:18,21		282:16	138:21 139:3,7,
122:19 129:7	25:20 44:10,12,	assisted 55:10		12,15 140:8
153:7 157:7	13 49:10,11	57:22 76:21		142:2 143:17,
248:12,17	79:10,18 84:2,		attached 62:3	20 144:16
312:24	3,4 184:19	assisting 56:7	64:15 65:10	146:14,24
	217:4,6,8	120:17	71:17 134:18,	147:11 148:13
	231:19 237:23		20 135:13	149:3 219:8
arrange 58:10	238:5,11		137:21 138:17,	281:6,16
	246:20 248:9	associated	20 144:22	282:21 289:3
arrangement	278:16 279:9	69:24	186:10	290:18,20
25:8	281:20,23,24			291:9 293:4
	282:4,14	associates	attaches 67:20	299:12 300:6
orronging	285:17,21	96:24		301:19,23
arranging 97:22,23	289:13 294:7		attachment	302:1,9,10,15
97.22,23	296:7 306:23	associations	60:11 74:8	303:7 304:10
	312:18 313:3	21:18	00.1174.0	305:11,16,19
articulated	315:9,20	21.10		
233:7			attachments	
	2015 16	assume 90:19	72:7 134:21	attorney's 155:23
ASAP 74:16	assets 32:15,16 33:2,9,11 35:14	95:11 211:4,5		155.25
	48:23 50:7,14,	234:6 269:9	attack 113:9	
	48.23 50.7, 14, 15 182:2 195:3	282:6 292:14	119:2,14	attorney-client
asks 88:1	307:19	303:8	110.2,14	290:1
171:15 191:23	507.19			
270:18,20		assumed	attempting	attorneys 281:9
273:2 305:11	assign 309:9,11	133:11	224:23 225:21	291:2,14,16,19
		100.11	247:5	294:3
asserted 107:13	assigned			234.0
108:4 161:23	166:11	assuming 10:11	attend 19:17	
162:6,19,20,24	100.11	62:19 95:11		attribute 273:4
		115:6 122:14		
	assigns 38:17	149:1,9 236:7	attending 19:21	attributed
asserting 279:1		295:16,18		210:11
	assist 54:15	299:14,24	attention 12:22	210.11
asset 1:13 2:8	55:8 57:15 78:3		21:13 194:3	
3:2 5:10,12,16	123:12	assured 175:12	197:7 222:3	audible 264:6
7:9 9:16 10:20,				
23 13:1 16:2,24				audit 180:10,13,
17:15 18:7	assistance 12:2	Athanasios	attest 213:3	15,20 181:3,22
		1:14 2:3 3:14		,,
	I		I	

Index: audited..become

	•	•	•	•
182:1 188:4,7 205:5 206:9	AVXL 84:18 aware 106:2,5	235:6,9 236:17 248:8 256:19 257:15 292:8	balanced 258:23	94:15 168:2 192:9 218:20 271:3,6
audited 26:24 180:5,6,7 181:20 258:21	148:17 150:11 157:8 159:2 178:15 180:4 194:9,24 231:2,	293:19 304:11 309:14,17 316:24	balances 35:16 126:17 251:16 260:3 270:12	based 62:23 74:2 95:7,15,22 100:16,17
auditor 258:15, 17,18,22	13,17 247:10 278:24 292:20 293:13 294:15 303:9 306:23	background 19:14,15 145:21	bank 21:5,17,19 25:15,16,21 26:13 33:10 35:24 36:24	128:11 162:2 195:9 212:21 232:19 235:3,4 304:1 310:23
audits 31:20,22 182:5,6 183:9 204:13,15 212:21	away 80:23 174:17 307:18	backup 146:10 305:5	37:3,5,10,15 38:1,4,14,15 39:6,9 40:1,3,4,	basic 28:6,10
authenticity 61:21 70:1	awful 95:11	backwards 135:19	7,9,12,15,16 41:9,10,18 43:14 75:3,10, 14,23,24 76:1	basically 13:6 26:5 162:10 178:1 189:4,7 202:11 203:22
author 183:3 205:3	B	bad 11:13 76:11 121:14	90:7,11 91:14, 18 111:17 112:21 169:14	202.11 203.22 216:12 218:16 235:5 297:17
authorize 282:4	B-O-U-T-S 137:10	Bahamas 1:14 2:8 3:2 21:5 25:17 89:23 100:4 101:7,10	177:16,17 192:5,6,8 244:6,7,8,14,15 259:22 267:18	basis 27:18,19 29:8 73:16 192:12
authorized 33:5	B-O-U-T-S-A-L-I- S 137:12	143:1 159:18 160:8 184:22 191:5,12 231:7	268:4 269:20, 21,22 270:9,14, 19 271:2 273:4,	Bates 59:3,11, 12 71:12 72:2
263:3	back 13:12 68:10 72:14 81:13,16 92:2,7	balance 33:5,21 34:14 39:22	6,9,17,23 274:5 276:10 277:1, 21 278:4	99:24 114:7 bear 17:12,22
automatic 52:12 available 96:8	99:3 118:4 134:20 135:12 139:7 148:7	85:14 87:24 88:4,5,10 110:20 175:3,5	bank's 244:16 267:18 277:1	76:12
199:16 258:5 272:20	149:6 163:21 164:11,12 165:5,11 169:3 171:6 174:4,5,	182:3 208:23 209:2 212:19 245:9 250:3,6,	287:1 banking 35:19	became 21:3 22:10 99:12 106:5 150:11 178:15 283:20
average 103:8	16 178:20 225:7 231:6 233:20,21	17,21,22 251:8, 11,13,15,23 253:3 271:5	banks 35:17 36:5,6,9,20	288:23
avoid 292:18		315:16	, , - , -	become 148:17
	-	-	-	-

Index: becomes..borrow

				•
153:7 159:2	begins 174:21	55:20 60:15	216:22 231:20	bills 119:2
169:10,16	248:13	77:23 149:15	294:14 303:10	121:18
172:2 180:4	210.10	182:24 183:15	201111000.10	121.10
172.2 100.1		186:9,13 201:8,		
	behalf 7:14,19	19 221:1 236:5	best 155:4	binder 58:21,22
becomes 34:13	9:20 10:20	245:2 246:8	161:14,15,18	162:9 199:11
107:24 140:1	16:2,4,16,23	250:21 251:22		310:22
167:13 172:24	184:19,22	253:20 265:21	better 88:23	
232:11 238:3	185:1,6 268:8	267:5 268:19	178:21 297:7	biotech 56:22
263:4	273:3 282:14	277:21 278:6		
	287:15 292:21	280:7 284:14	hotwoon 217	bit 12:22 52:10
before 34:6 46:8	300:3,16	288:24 294:19	between 3:17, 18 8:5 24:4	bit 13:23 53:18 60:20 83:8 92:5
60:17 68:7,13		298:6 302:1	26:1 41:14	96:13 115:21
73:7 80:23 82:7	behind 7:13	305:24 309:18	42:20,21 50:2,3	171:6 236:4,8
92:14 93:14	16:14	312:10 313:7,	42.20,21 50.2,3	296:2 306:20
105:4 113:16		18	86:22 98:4	230.2 300.20
129:5 138:11	being 11:6 40:7		103:18 121:1	
157:1 166:1,18	41:5,20 53:2	believed 305:22	129:17 139:4,6	black 264:24
178:2 187:20	54:20 74:2		159:5 161:24	265:2,10
196:15 229:3	75:10,14,17		163:3 164:1	
232:2,20	82:10 98:18	below 79:17	166:3 174:5	blank 4:23 5:2
247:13 248:13	110:2,22 111:1	113:8 117:7	200:9,14 216:1	82:21 134:6
252:5 255:22	114:22 115:16,	119:7 157:17	231:6,10	139:15,17,23
281:3 282:1	20 117:24	211:6 251:17	232:15 259:20	140:7,8 142:7,
	122:15 128:15	264:14 296:14	302:13,16	14 144:13
beforehand	138:2 143:8		307:14 308:9,	284:13 300:7
205:2	144:7 148:9	bench 8:2 16:12	13 309:14	
	151:14 161:22	71:21 72:5	312:20,21,22	blink 269:23
began 120:16	162:23 168:24	113:23 115:11	313:8	DIIIR 203.23
122:5 170:11	177:23 196:11	193:18		
171:1	206:9 208:15		beyond 193:8	Bonds 5:4,6
	226:9 227:3	beneficiary	277:19 278:3	
	241:23 249:20	109:11,12,13	211.10 210.0	book 42:17,19
begin 12:5 55:5	267:9 277:18,	110:1		163:22 239:3
197:1,17	20 284:18		big 35:24	
	285:16 295:10		174:20 250:11	haaka 07:40
beginning	306:9 307:3,7	benefit 196:10		books 27:13
15:24 58:5 76:7	309:13 311:20	239:18	bill 6:2 90:3,7,	42:24 49:7 243:24 275:18
116:23 180:2			16,24 91:18	243.24 213:18
197:5 222:3	belief 170:21	Bernard 5:17	244:6,7,9,11,	
234:16 245:18			12,16,17,18	borrow 86:11,
250:5 274:19	believe 15:21	besides 213:1	301:14	24 96:6

Index: Borrowing..buyer

Borrowing	23 137:6,9,11	309:23	33:1,10,15	building 248:15
85:21	147:24 176:1,5		35:12,13,21	
00121	177:22 294:22		36:1,4 37:4,15,	
	295:3,6,12	broad 204:18,20	24 39:5,19,24	business 19:16
borrowings	296:6,24		40:7,19 41:5,17	20:5,11,21
93:7	,	broker 28:21,22	40.7,19 41.5,17	21:20,22 22:2
	297:18,23			23:15 25:19
hath 10:10 10	298:4,10	35:2 38:4,14	54:20 139:21	30:6,14 43:23
both 10:10,12	299:12,20	39:8 40:14	144:1,2 191:5	53:24 54:15,16,
11:9 13:6,10	300:2	44:19 50:10,11,	270:17,18	18,19,20,21,23
38:2 43:22		15 126:21	285:18 307:18	55:3 56:2 58:6
51:13 101:9	Boutsalis's	127:19 145:12,		62:14 68:4,8,14
116:22 140:14,	295:8 298:13	20 147:21	broker-dealers	73:21 77:12
15,16 141:1,3,	200.0 200.10	213:5 232:9,17	23:19 33:7	97:10,12,14,17,
9,12,16 142:2		235:17,22	35:1,5,18	24 98:13 99:9
162:16 169:7	box 73:5 86:10	237:4 239:13	36:12,15,19	180:21 183:1,4,
207:10,11	106:17 131:12	253:19,24	50.12,15,15	12,18 189:11
232:10 307:2	181:11	254:7 259:21		204:6,8,11,20,
308:5 312:19		260:2 267:18	brokerage	
	boxes 84:16	268:1,3 269:14,	43:23 54:23	22,23 205:7
hottom (7.10		17,19 270:6,8	96:3 173:2	206:14,23
bottom 67:13	181:14	271:15,19,20	192:2	207:5 239:17
75:4 86:10		272:1,13,17,23		315:14
101:7 112:17	breach 13:3	273:8,9,16,22		
114:6,19 196:5		274:4,5,14,16	brokers 36:17,	busy 56:3
214:1,7 229:4	I	275:19 276:3,6,	22 127:16	·····, ····
261:1	breached	9,24 277:21,24	145:18 167:13	
	216:11,12,14	278:3,4 309:4	219:5	buy 24:22 34:17
bought 49:18		312:24 313:13		42:18,19,24
146:22 149:6	break 78:13		brought 8:19,21	43:1,2 44:20
169:24 171:18	92:15 163:8		12:21 13:2	45:15 49:4
172:16 173:11	166:1,19 248:4	broker's 237:1	54:19	85:21 86:24
175:16 204:16,		255:10 269:20	0 11 10	87:14 125:5
24 236:12		270:10 277:1		127:2 166:15
239:6,12 241:2	brief 16:18		bucks 235:5	172:22 173:9,
243:10 315:24	291:22	broker- 191:11		17,19,20
243.10 313.24			Bud 74:11	174:16,24
	briefed 9:12,14		134:20 135:13	175:10 237:2
bound 52:2		broker-dealer	137:20 138:16	280:12
		17:7,8 19:7,8,9	137.20 130.10	
Boute 107.4 5	briefly 19:13	21:17,20 22:3		
Bouts 137:4,5,	41:12 115:10	23:4 24:16	buffer 307:16	buyer 38:3,9,12,
6,10,16		25:5,21 32:10,		15,16,22 41:22
	bring 100.11	11,13,17,19,22		42:17,19,22
Boutsalis 5:19,	bring 189:11		build 21:20	44:9 50:22,24
	I		I	

Index: buyer's..carry

51:3,4,12,14, 23,24 58:11,15 61:22 64:18	10 buying 45:18	282:15 called 17:4 20:8	258:17 283:4 299:3,18 314:7, 10,12	10 217:4,11 230:8 231:8,11 235:24 237:17
66:22 70:8,14, 16 123:22 127:10 169:1,6, 23 172:16	49:14,15 52:4 85:22 86:8 131:5 172:24 174:17,18	59:3,10 75:17 85:14 86:8 151:1 278:15 297:6	Canada 19:18 20:9 54:11	262:18 269:2 278:16 279:9, 18,20 280:24 294:7 296:22
235:24 237:24 238:12 240:23 242:6 244:20 263:5,12,14	203:22,23 233:10 234:17 243:6	calling 21:1	canceled 158:22,23	297:1 301:1 306:21 307:8, 12,15,18 308:10,12,16,
264:16 265:4, 19 266:17 279:12 286:15 307:22 308:3,5 240:4 12 212:7	buys 166:13 239:3	calls 79:23 101:16 103:19 118:24 154:17	cannot 35:18 45:14 196:19 221:2 238:22 243:4	18 309:12,15, 20 310:3,24 311:20 312:1,7, 18,20,21,23 212:4 6 8 16
310:4,13 312:7, 22,24 313:3 314:20,22 315:19,22	bypass 111:7 112:20 113:3 119:3 129:6	came 11:12 59:16 62:2,7,8, 18,20 68:3,19 69:20 119:10	capable 69:3	313:4,6,8,16 314:6,12 Capital's 189:7
buyer's 75:23, 24 76:2 130:8 142:15 242:16	C	120:10 124:18 166:18 171:17 241:11	capacities 184:19	capital-raising 23:1,20
	C-H-R-I-S-T-O-S		capacity 73:19	
buyers 43:3,7 50:21 51:4 58:16 66:17 68:24 123:14 124:6,8,9,10,	19:3 Calgary 19:18 54:11,12	can't 27:9 34:2, 4 35:19 45:4, 15,17,20 48:5 50:20 52:1,2 54:13 57:4,5	capital 10:23 17:1 20:11,18, 19 21:1 22:4,7, 18,19,20,23	care 81:11 123:22 239:16 243:5 257:24 258:20 309:7
12,14,20 125:2 126:6 127:2 130:1,5,10	California 141:17	59:7 72:19 75:12 90:21 99:15 103:8 117:20 122:7	23:1,12,15 24:4,6,17,18,23 41:21,22 42:12 44:9 50:9,10,	carefully 85:22 carried 277:18,
131:1,2,4 135:2 142:10 146:2 147:5 149:5	call 15:22 18:11 20:4,19 26:7 29:2 44:1 46:6	124:18 128:23 129:6 130:16, 18 135:9	11,14,20 51:15 54:22 56:5 57:17,19 94:18	20 carries 35:15
154:6 171:11 175:12 176:16 228:20 233:16, 17 244:2 246:3,	52:22 92:5,21 93:20 94:13 103:8 132:19	144:11 169:24 174:22 175:1 189:24 205:22	97:8 120:24 126:15 127:2 142:13 143:2,3,	36:1
4 286:7,10 309:7,8 310:11, 16 314:2 315:5,	173:3 174:7,14 175:18 216:14 240:4 250:6	218:15 235:19, 22 237:7 238:1, 19 243:2	6 154:7 172:8, 10 184:23 187:24 189:5,	carry 32:14 35:23 275:19 283:10

Index: carrying..Cheers

r				
carrying 278:2	93:20 94:10,16	certain 17:3	219:2,13	274:6 276:8,14,
			· ·	
	121:20 141:5	28:8 64:23 87:5	220:15,18,19	19,20
Casad 75:12,17,	169:13,14,16	114:1 293:11	224:9 232:12,	
20	172:15 173:7		14 234:24	challenged
	229:5,19,21,22	certainly 70:1	235:11 237:8	196:11
	230:6 245:14,	108:16 153:8	238:2,3 241:16,	100.11
case 1:10 8:2	17 246:17,18	100.10 155.0	17 243:1,19,23	
9:23 11:7 12:13	261:6,10		244:22 245:21	chance 187:15
15:20,24 17:11	267:22 270:11	certificate 3:10	246:1,4,5	281:24 283:1
36:17 41:7		4:2 8:4,5,15,19,	280:16,18	
58:22 60:7,18	_	20,22 9:1,4	287:8 288:19	
61:1 62:6,24	cash-type	10:13,17 11:4,	289:2,4,6	change 51:3
69:21 71:5 88:8	260:19	6,10,20 33:14,	295:1,13,15,21,	96:13 171:20,
93:1 100:8		16,17,18 34:1,	22 296:3,6	23 235:6
106:3 117:3	catch 95:13	2,4,5,12,14	298:3 299:6,9,	
121:18 124:3	275:12	38:18 45:11,15,	290.3 299.0,9, 23	changed 42:4
129:22 149:10	275.12	23 46:4,12,19,	23	121:3 171:21
175:10 197:16		21 47:15,21		121.3 171.21
	cause 150:10,	,	certificated	
198:3,22	13,15	48:8,11,13	300:20	changes 136:21
199:17 216:18		52:10,19 53:1,		_
222:7 223:7		3,6,11 70:15		
225:22 226:4,9	caused 171:22	84:18,20 88:3	certificates 3:14	changing 44:16
233:24 242:1,	216:11 232:3	93:2 104:15,19,	17:19 53:8	53:18 188:2
12 247:9,24	249:22	22,24 105:2,6,	105:7 120:14	
249:8 252:11		13,18,23	151:14,15	Charles 79:15
266:3 275:1	cc'd 281:20	133:21 139:20,	154:6 155:15	
276:1 278:14,	CC U 201.20	22,24 143:9	156:19,21	
15,24 290:14		146:19 147:19	158:4 164:3	Charlie 79:15
293:13 309:11	CCR 1:24	148:14 149:4,	166:7 167:20	
		22 150:20	218:23 243:23	check 81:20
	054.40	151:2,10 152:4,	295:10	
cases 53:4	cent 251:19	22 153:23		82:23 84:15
166:8		154:10 155:17		109:10,11
	central 145:15	156:14 157:2,	certification	188:12 315:1,7
cash 28:17 29:3	168:17 272:18	19,21,23	89:16,18,19,21	316:1
32:15 35:15,20,		158:12,20,24		
23 36:2 38:16,		159:6 166:16	certified 3:22	checked 84:16
17,18 40:21,24	cents 124:19,	167:13 168:16		86:10
49:13 85:23	20,22	169:24 170:7,		
86:5,12,15,17,		14,17 176:7,13	certify 89:24	
22,24 87:9,12,	CEO 28:20 57:3,			checking
	4,6 126:21	177:1,6,22	chain 69:24	126:17
14,15,17,18	7,0120.21	178:6 216:20,		
88:1 92:15,20		21 218:22	273:16,22	Cheers 137:23
			1	

Index: Chinese..closing

	I			
Chinese 132:10,	23 230:18	270:4 283:5	130:2 139:18,	147:8,13,22
13,21,22 146:2	286:22,23	287:9,11 288:1,	19 149:5	164:9 167:16
147:5 167:2	287:5,6 294:8	2 292:2 307:1	171:14 172:12,	171:24 172:4,
215:18 228:20	207.3,0 234.0	2 232.2 307.1	23 173:5,7,23	15 189:8
236:21 310:9,			174:2,10,18,21	200:16 202:22
	claiming 17:19	cleared 34:7		200:10 202.22 203:6 206:17
10,15	216:9,18,19,24	176:13 237:20	189:20,22	
	217:1 220:4	287:7 295:10	191:6,7,13,23	215:21 217:1,6,
choose 16:11	238:5 239:8		197:24 203:20	21 232:13,22
85:24	279:5 287:4		206:16 215:20	234:23 235:21
	301:4 306:22	clearer 155:6	232:22 239:16	238:17,18,19,
	315:6		242:5 245:23	21,22,24
Chris 14:1		clearing 166:16	247:1,9 249:2,6	239:12,19
		289:6	254:18,22	241:3,5 242:21
Christos 3:3 4:6	claims 279:12		255:14 264:16	243:10,11,12
18:17 19:3	283:20		265:7,8 271:7	244:2,9,10,14
116:10 161:24		clearly 69:14	273:7,8,9	245:9 263:16,
186:23 193:21	clarification	76:8 205:18	274:8,15 309:7,	19 264:1
100.23 133.21	152:6 240:2		8,10 315:16	273:10 307:12,
	152.0 240.2	clerk 11:19		20 308:9 316:3
chronological		14:21,24	client's 49:17	
254:24	clarify 10:24	193:21 292:13	80:19 82:19	clients' 28:14
	30:9,10 42:23	195.21 292.15		
CIBC 36:17	130:16 135:17		84:4 87:24	242:3
	305:20 308:5	client 14:1	104:8 246:13	
37:2,4		17:13,17,20,24	269:18 274:11	close 140:18
		18:2,9 24:17,21		175:5 230:22,
circle 96:23	class 32:21,22,	26:8,10,11	clients 22:22,	24 280:14,15
	23 33:1,12,15	28:11 29:2,5,8	23,24 23:18,19	,
	191:11	34:3,6 35:4	24:9,15 28:8,9,	
circling 235:9		38:10,11 39:1	11,13 29:9 33:2	closed 280:13
	classes 32:17	41:2,15,16,23,	34:18 35:1,2,3,	311:13 312:13
circumstances	33:8	24 42:8 44:18,	7,16 38:3 41:15	
69:10 77:4,6,7		20,21 45:19	42:24 47:24	closely 283:24
85:11 143:16		48:16 49:5,9	50:3,4 51:17	
	classify 34:22	50:18 51:1	52:7 53:4,5,7,	
		52:1,2,5 69:13	15 77:10,14	closes 38:14
claim 13:2	clear 30:18 53:8	80:11,12,14,16,	80:11 123:16,	51:2,5 167:8
217:21 239:10	62:21 80:24	17 82:4 84:6	17 124:13	
279:1 280:8,19	171:4 210:18	86:23 87:23	126:8,11	closing 27:24
297:2 300:4	216:19 221:9	88:21,23 89:19	129:13,17,19	28:1 127:10
307:8,10	227:7,14	91:19 92:19	130:1 132:23	140:17,22
314:22 315:19	248:13 252:21	96:6,8 97:7	139:16 145:24	141:5,8,10
	262:2 263:1	115:13 126:14	146:16,18,22	179:10,13,16,
claimed 216:21,		110.10 120.14	140.10,10,22	170.10,10,10,
	I		1	

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

Index: cloud-based..complicated

19 190:13	49:20 53:21	24:15 213:16	community	12:4
197:16,17	62:7 68:13		25:19	
218:5 224:1	98:12 99:8			
258:9,10	119:17 120:4	commitment	_	compilation
260:23 284:4	121:3 122:5,11	237:4	companies	201:15 205:12
311:11,12	124:12 145:22		20:5,12 54:22	
	154:10 164:11,	committed	55:1,2,14,15,17	Complaint
	12 167:18	30:20 124:8,10,	56:8	13:16
cloud-based	172:18 173:12	11,12,14 125:2		10.10
63:18,20 73:6	175:4 183:11	11,12,14 120.2	company 1:10,	
	219:6 307:11		14 7:6,15 8:3	complete
co-founder 20:7	316:24	common 53:22	17:4 20:7,9	135:14 195:16
	310.24	90:4 92:18,19	22:4 23:23	218:16 228:14
		156:20 282:17		235:19,22
Coca-cola 43:1	comes 27:7		56:18,22 57:13,	308:22
	50:9 53:14	commonplace	17 71:2,4,7	
code 59:11	114:20 129:21	93:10	75:17 76:15,22	completed
157:24	145:21 174:5,	95.10	98:1 120:20,21	20:15 25:23
107.24	14 233:1		121:1,9,14,17,	
	242:24 259:18	communicate	18,21 137:7	81:21 146:15
coincide 157:5	260:17,21	104:8 127:14	143:3 156:19,	167:6 218:14
213:22	276:11	153:19	20 157:22	226:11 227:3
	-		163:20 164:2	
			172:1 183:15	completely
coincides 211:2	comfortable	communicated	204:24 205:1	206:12 221:5
	21:8,15	99:20 102:19	282:22 295:8	
collectively		128:4		
136:8 278:16,	coming 168:7		company's	completes
20 279:8,10	171:12	communicating	27:13 91:2	88:22
	17 1.12	98:19 102:22	213:5 258:23	
		110:6 162:11	210.0200.20	completing
college 19:21,	commerce	110.0 102.11		18:1 136:11
23 20:1,3	19:16	_	compare 112:2	167:4 202:17
		communication	139:3 140:6	218:21 309:1
colorful 11:12	Commercial	136:13 150:7	144:4	210.21000.1
	157:24	153:5,10		
	107.24	161:24 163:3	comparing	compliance
column 210:19,		292:4	112:7 140:5	26:23 28:20
22 249:14	commission		112.7 140.0	79:12,14 84:2
251:3	24:9,19 25:6	communication		88:22 145:11,
	32:14 45:2	s 98:5 99:4,9	compensated	20 283:9
columns 251:13	63:12	5 98.5 99.4,9 103:18 119:18,	24:6,8	
		21		complicated
	commissions	<u>ک</u> ا	composing 0.5	complicated
come 8:23 43:2	COMMISSIONS		competing 8:5	
	Titication	-	•	-

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

Index: computer..conversations

53:10	conduit 234:18	114:2,4,8,18,19	contacting 150:4,5	165:17 292:11 308:12
computer 27:2, 3,5,8,15 32:6 201:23 202:1	conference 8:21 9:7	consideration 9:18	contained 38:6 136:21 204:4,	continued 24:22 55:21
256:6,15,20 257:1,3,17,20 260:7,8,10	confident 263:24	consideration.' 230:10	11 207:5	120:24
a a manufaction of	confidential	considered	contains 29:19 89:9	continues 23:12
computerized 256:16	265:8 292:4,16	229:18,21,22 288:21	contemplated 183:13 204:23	contract 13:3 195:11 233:7 234:22 293:10
concern 93:18 153:22	confidentiality 265:6	consisted 22:24	215:11,12 230:24	
concerned	confirm 127:1	constantly 95:7	contemplates	contracted 188:5
123:1 166:19 261:8 289:5	176:9 288:19 296:15	constructed	316:19	contracts 97:23
concerning	confirmed 16:10 127:4	142:12	contemporaneo us 65:3	contrary 224:18
110:7 121:11 170:13	296:2	consulted 13:24	content 107:19	contribution
concession	confirming 176:6 297:18	consummate 226:22 266:22	161:1	11:14
242:21		consummated	contents 12:11 67:19 113:17	control 200:4
concluded 92:9	confused 60:20 83:8 234:8 237:16 238:6	216:7 220:5 230:18 233:8	182:15 282:1	controller 118:19
conditions 5:11 140:22 205:13	243:8 305:10	243:20	context 310:7,8, 16	convenient 51:6
conduct 97:17	confusion 232:3	contact 29:10 77:24 82:19 109:10 153:14	continuation	conversation
conducted	connection	163:19 164:1,6 269:10	112:11 135:11 154:6	57:7
62:13 180:20 205:16 206:3,5	166:3	contacted 66:22	continue 20:1	conversations 74:20 121:11
212:21	consider 47:8	109:7	24:22 120:19 163:16 164:18	221:4 291:8 316:15

Index: convert..correct

convert 46:12	corner 7:22	76:23 77:1,2,	21,22 144:14,	21,24 202:5,6,
211:14	59:13 71:12	15,21 82:10,11,	15,17,18,23,24	8,14,17,18,23
	89:17 100:1	14,21,22 83:2,	146:8 147:3,4,	203:15,16,21
_	104:23 106:8	14,18,19 84:10	6,7,9,10,14	204:1 208:10,
converted	155:22	85:16 88:6,12,	148:1,2,4,14,	11,18,19 209:1,
34:13 170:1	100.22	15 89:4,5,7	15,23,24 149:7,	6,16,17 210:4,
210:19,23		91:8 92:16,17	10,11 151:3,16,	16,20,24 211:1,
	Corp 3:9 210:4	93:3,5,19 95:9,	18,19,21	3,4,7,21,24
coordinate 98:4	282:18	16,24 99:22	152:19 153:12	212:1,6,7,11,
132:16 295:9		100:5,24 101:1,	155:17,18	12,16 213:15,
102.10 200.0	corporate 3:23	4,5,8,10,11	156:4,7 157:2,	19,20,23,24
	22:24 23:18,19	102:20 103:11,	3,15,16 158:15,	215:11,15,16,
coordinated	156:17 157:5,9,	14 104:9,10	23,24 159:1	18,19 216:8
98:1		,	,	,
	11,14 158:7	105:5,9,16,17, 19,20 106:12,	160:23 161:9 166:23 24	217:9,10,12,13,
cope 74:13,14		13 109:15,16	166:23,24 167:2,3 168:3	21 218:3,4,6,7, 9,12 219:22
cope 74.13,14	corporation	110:12,13	169:1 170:2,5,	220:2,7,20
	1:10 75:13	111:2,8,20	6,14,15,18,19,	220.2,7,20
copied 116:7		112:21,22,24	24 173:15	222:9,12 223:8,
161:7 291:14,	correct 9:13	112:21,22,24	175:1,14	9,11,12,21
19	15:6,9 19:11,12	114:13,17	176:18,21	224:15,16,21
	23:11 24:4,5,	115:9 116:16,	177:15,17	225:5 226:6,7,
copies 27:8	20,24 25:9,10,	19,23,24	178:3,7,17	17,18,24
138:17,20	22 29:7,17 30:4	118:21 119:16,	179:14,15,18,	227:12 228:2,3,
207:12 257:6	31:10 33:22	22,23 120:2	20,21,23,24	6,7,11 229:7,8,
	34:11,15,16,19	122:2,4,23	180:1,2,9	11,17,23,24
	36:7 37:9 38:8,	123:3,8 124:1	181:6,7,10	230:15,16,20
copy 3:22 74:13	24 39:16,17,20	127:3,24 128:3,	183:6 184:16,	231:4,8,9,12
81:22 89:20,21	40:2,9,10,24	8,16,17 129:1,	20,21,23,24	232:23 233:2,
90:9 91:14	41:11,18,19,24	2,23,24 130:3	185:3,7,10,12	22 237:18
100:23 101:6	43:8,11,12,14,	132:3,4,24	187:1,2,5,13,	239:20 240:15,
116:21 117:2	15 44:9 46:8	133:4,5 134:21	14,17,21 188:9,	16 241:23
136:17 144:13	47:15,22 50:1	135:21 136:15,	10,15 189:6,12	242:12,17
176:8 178:21	51:11,18 53:4,	16,19 137:1,2,	190:1,2,19,20	243:15,20
183:6 193:19	17 54:3,5,6	12,17,18,24	192:1,23,24	244:17,23
295:15,19,23	55:22 56:16,17	138:1,12,18,19,	194:9,10,19,24	246:4,15 247:1,
296:4 297:8	57:21 63:21	21,22 139:12	195:1,4,7,12,	6,7 249:2,3,7,
299:18 302:15	64:2,4,5,8	140:4,9,10,12,	15,23,24	19,24 250:1,4
303:3,14,19	66:24 67:7,11	13,18 141:1,2,	197:14 198:1,2,	251:6,17,18
304:9,23 305:1	69:8,9 70:9,10,	5,6,10,11,14,	3,24 199:1,4,6,	252:1,2,7,18,
	19,24 71:13	15,18,19,24	7,9 200:11,16,	20,23,24 253:8,
copying 71:22	72:9,10,18	142:1,7,8,21,22	17,19,20,23,24	11,13,17 254:5,
	74:9,10 75:18			15,19 255:24
		142:1,7,8,21,22 143:3,4,18,19,	17,19,20,23,24 201:12,16,17,	

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

	1			
256:3 257:13,	311:1,19 312:2,	counterparties	16 26:3 30:9	18 163:5,7,11
16 258:7	4,7,17 313:7,	218:19 219:5	32:11 36:14	164:15,20
260:12 261:4,	16,20 314:2,3	259:19	42:7,23 43:5,9	165:5 166:2,4,8
,		259.19		
15,20,24 262:5,	315:3,7,22		46:20,24 47:7	168:14,23
8,10,15,16,22		counterparty	58:4,8,12,19	169:3 173:8,16
263:6,7,9	correcting	259:21	59:6 60:19	174:8,14,20
264:8,9,11,12,	52:16		61:5,13,16,20,	175:7,15
15,22 265:5,20,			24 62:15 63:7	180:18 182:21
24 266:1,8,11,		COUNTY 1:7	64:12,21 65:7,	183:18 184:11
17 267:2,3,7,9,	corrective		16 67:2,16,22	185:23 186:2,
12,22,23 268:5,	181:2 182:4,6	couple 17:5	68:6,18 69:6,	12,14,16,20
10,11,15,16	183:10	55:21 58:16	10,17 71:18,24	191:15,22
270:7 271:13,		144:17 163:14	72:4 73:17,23	192:12,18
17,21,22 272:1,	correctly 17:2	182:13 270:1	74:2 78:14,18	193:8,15,17,20
5,21 273:14	60:9 158:21	288:9 292:5	80:1,5,10,23	194:1 195:16,
274:2,17 275:4,	235:2 282:19	200.0 202.0	81:7,11,14,17	19 196:9,14,16
5,8,16,21,22,24	200.2 202.10		83:3,7 88:14,17	198:13,16,20
276:4,5,13,22		courier 137:4	90:15,18,21	199:24 200:2,4
277:14,15,19	correspondence	176:10 296:15	91:3,23 92:2	203:11,17,22
278:3,17,18,20	161:4 291:20		94:1,9 95:2,10,	204:2,18 205:8
281:17 282:3,	298:16 304:2	course 10:1	17,20 96:1,10	206:21,22
20,23 283:2,7,		30:6,14 44:5	100:15,19	207:3,14,17,20
17 284:1 285:4,	counsel 11:9	76:11 85:6	101:18 102:4,	209:4 210:6,18
8,11,13,14,16	12:7 13:24		12,16 103:3,8,	211:9 214:23
286:1,15,16,19,		87:15 96:22	12,15,22,24	215:2 217:3
22 288:11,12,	14:21 15:1	174:9 183:4	106:22 107:1,9,	221:13 223:15
15 289:4,12,13,	64:3,7,11	184:2 205:15	15,21 108:12	225:13 227:16,
14,16,17 291:4,	113:11 117:11	206:3 221:6	111:20,22	19 228:12,18,
5 292:22	150:16,17	222:1	112:9,13	22,24 232:2,18,
293:22 294:13	164:17 165:7		113:18 114:13,	24 233:3,19,23
295:1,2,5,23	178:20 187:20	court 1:6 7:5,9,	15,18 115:1,13,	234:2 235:8
296:17,18,19	190:9 201:6	13,21 8:1,9,19,	15 116:3,11,19,	236:3,9 237:10
298:12,20,21,	218:2 222:11	23 9:3,5,7,19,	22 117:5,11,12,	238:20 239:5,
24 299:9,10,13	234:12 247:9	23 10:2,4,9,17,	23 118:9,12	22 240:2 241:6,
300:5,7,17,18,	278:15 279:8	20 11:8,11,12,	123:4,8 125:17,	10,13 242:5,20
22,23 301:4,5,	283:4 292:20	16,18,21 12:1,	24 126:2	247:13,19
6,8,9,16,17,19	293:7 316:10,	4,7,11,12,14,17	127:18 128:11	248:2,5,8,13,17
302:3,4,5,24	12	13:8,14 14:2,6,	131:17,20,22	250:9,12
303:1,4,5,21,22		15 15:4,12	135:19,23	265:23 267:10,
304:4,6,10,17	counterfeit	16:1,4,7,19	137:10 152:6,	13,14 269:23
306:18,19	244:6,7,12,15,	17:2,5,7 18:4,	11,17 154:19	272:2,21
307:22,24	17,18	11 19:13,22	155:6,9 161:15,	276:17,18,19
308:7 309:23	, -	21:2,8,11 22:9,	17 162:2,13,17,	277:8 280:5
000.1 000.20		, c , .c,	17 102.2,10,17,	211.0200.0

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: Court's..date

			1	
282:10 290:2,5,	260:4,6,7,8	12:18 16:23	custodian	customers
20 291:21	200.4,0,7,0	12.10 10.20	145:13 167:14	34:20,22,24
292:6,8,13				35:9 43:22 44:8
, , ,	creating 172:9	cross-claimants	205:17,18	
294:3 297:12	-	12:5	207:2 287:3	130:21 133:15
306:1,5,7,10			309:5	169:7 179:14
310:14 316:5,	credit 94:24			308:6
10,16,18 317:2	96:8 173:7,11	cross-	custodize 50:14	
	175:2,3 235:21	examination 3:6		cut 52:14
Court's 9:5,18	237:5 239:14,	70:2 196:10		Gut 02.14
	17 240:7,14	214:23 215:6	custodized 33:2	
10:2,19 11:22	241:15,18,19,	232:19 234:17		cutoff 259:1
12:21 169:18	20 242:23	248:10 292:12		
198:12 215:1	246:11 263:22		custodizes 35:6	
223:14 224:17	266:6 315:22		50:15	CV15-02259
	200.0 0 10.22	cross-examine		1:10 7:5 92:3
courtroom 12:3		69:15	custodizing	165:6 248:9
200:5 248:13	credited 18:7		48:23	292:9
200.5 240.15	173:21 213:15	araaa	40.23	
	229:16 241:9,	cross-		
covenant 13:4	10,23 242:9	examining	custody 11:22	D
	244:10 245:18	215:4	84:24 130:7	
	246:6,12			
cover 11:16	271:11	crossed 11:2		daily 191:21
49:2,8,12,13,	271.11		customarily	·····
16,24 87:21			86:1	
93:7,8,17 94:1	crediting	CRR 1:24		damage 216:11
170.01 174.7				-
172:21 174:7,	239:11 242:2,		customer	_
19 175:10	239:11 242:2, 16 271:5,7	oumulativo	customer	Dane 2:4 245:6
· · · · ·	,	cumulative	26:19,22 27:19,	Dane 2:4 245:6
19 175:10	16 271:5,7	cumulative 162:14	26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15	Dane 2:4 245:6 287:7
19 175:10 238:3	16 271:5,7 credits 130:7		26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14	
19 175:10 238:3 coverage	16 271:5,7	162:14	26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14 82:6 89:1,3	
19 175:10 238:3	16 271:5,7 credits 130:7		26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14 82:6 89:1,3 90:5,13 91:16	287:7
19 175:10 238:3 coverage	16 271:5,7 credits 130:7 270:13	162:14 curiosity 46:20	26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14 82:6 89:1,3 90:5,13 91:16 100:22 101:13	287:7 Daniels 3:19
19 175:10 238:3 coverage 175:15	16 271:5,7 credits 130:7	162:14 curiosity 46:20 curious 47:9	26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14 82:6 89:1,3 90:5,13 91:16 100:22 101:13 102:24 104:7	287:7 Daniels 3:19 data 197:17
19 175:10 238:3 coverage	16 271:5,7 credits 130:7 270:13 crises 76:9	162:14 curiosity 46:20	26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14 82:6 89:1,3 90:5,13 91:16 100:22 101:13 102:24 104:7 168:24 181:6	287:7 Daniels 3:19 data 197:17 201:15 205:12
19 175:10 238:3 coverage 175:15	16 271:5,7 credits 130:7 270:13	162:14 curiosity 46:20 curious 47:9	26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14 82:6 89:1,3 90:5,13 91:16 100:22 101:13 102:24 104:7	287:7 Daniels 3:19 data 197:17
19 175:10 238:3 coverage 175:15	16 271:5,7 credits 130:7 270:13 crises 76:9	162:14 curiosity 46:20 curious 47:9 90:22	26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14 82:6 89:1,3 90:5,13 91:16 100:22 101:13 102:24 104:7 168:24 181:6	287:7 Daniels 3:19 data 197:17 201:15 205:12
19 175:10 238:3 coverage 175:15 covered 309:8	16 271:5,7 credits 130:7 270:13 crises 76:9 crisis 76:7	162:14 curiosity 46:20 curious 47:9 90:22 current 23:9	26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14 82:6 89:1,3 90:5,13 91:16 100:22 101:13 102:24 104:7 168:24 181:6 236:15 273:3,	287:7 Daniels 3:19 data 197:17 201:15 205:12 258:7,8,10,21
19 175:10 238:3 coverage 175:15 covered 309:8 crater 95:15,17,	16 271:5,7 credits 130:7 270:13 crises 76:9 crisis 76:7 cross-claim	162:14 curiosity 46:20 curious 47:9 90:22 current 23:9 299:1 314:15,	26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14 82:6 89:1,3 90:5,13 91:16 100:22 101:13 102:24 104:7 168:24 181:6 236:15 273:3, 18 274:1,15	287:7 Daniels 3:19 data 197:17 201:15 205:12 258:7,8,10,21 database 32:7
19 175:10 238:3 coverage 175:15 covered 309:8 crater 95:15,17, 19,20	16 271:5,7 credits 130:7 270:13 crises 76:9 crisis 76:7 cross-claim 13:16,19	162:14 curiosity 46:20 curious 47:9 90:22 current 23:9	26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14 82:6 89:1,3 90:5,13 91:16 100:22 101:13 102:24 104:7 168:24 181:6 236:15 273:3, 18 274:1,15 278:8 287:14,	287:7 Daniels 3:19 data 197:17 201:15 205:12 258:7,8,10,21 database 32:7 256:15,16
19 175:10 238:3 coverage 175:15 covered 309:8 crater 95:15,17, 19,20 create 68:15,16	16 271:5,7 credits 130:7 270:13 crises 76:9 crisis 76:7 cross-claim 13:16,19 292:21,24	162:14 curiosity 46:20 curious 47:9 90:22 current 23:9 299:1 314:15,	26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14 82:6 89:1,3 90:5,13 91:16 100:22 101:13 102:24 104:7 168:24 181:6 236:15 273:3, 18 274:1,15 278:8 287:14, 16,19	287:7 Daniels 3:19 data 197:17 201:15 205:12 258:7,8,10,21 database 32:7
19 175:10 238:3 coverage 175:15 covered 309:8 crater 95:15,17, 19,20	16 271:5,7 credits 130:7 270:13 crises 76:9 crisis 76:7 cross-claim 13:16,19	162:14 curiosity 46:20 curious 47:9 90:22 current 23:9 299:1 314:15,	26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14 82:6 89:1,3 90:5,13 91:16 100:22 101:13 102:24 104:7 168:24 181:6 236:15 273:3, 18 274:1,15 278:8 287:14, 16,19 customer's	287:7 Daniels 3:19 data 197:17 201:15 205:12 258:7,8,10,21 database 32:7 256:15,16
19 175:10 238:3 coverage 175:15 covered 309:8 crater 95:15,17, 19,20 create 68:15,16	16 271:5,7 credits 130:7 270:13 crises 76:9 crisis 76:7 cross-claim 13:16,19 292:21,24	162:14 curiosity 46:20 curious 47:9 90:22 current 23:9 299:1 314:15, 17	26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14 82:6 89:1,3 90:5,13 91:16 100:22 101:13 102:24 104:7 168:24 181:6 236:15 273:3, 18 274:1,15 278:8 287:14, 16,19 customer's 270:24 271:2,	287:7 Daniels 3:19 data 197:17 201:15 205:12 258:7,8,10,21 database 32:7 256:15,16
19 175:10 238:3 coverage 175:15 covered 309:8 crater 95:15,17, 19,20 create 68:15,16	16 271:5,7 credits 130:7 270:13 crises 76:9 crisis 76:7 cross-claim 13:16,19 292:21,24	162:14 curiosity 46:20 curious 47:9 90:22 current 23:9 299:1 314:15, 17 custodial 35:14	26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14 82:6 89:1,3 90:5,13 91:16 100:22 101:13 102:24 104:7 168:24 181:6 236:15 273:3, 18 274:1,15 278:8 287:14, 16,19 customer's	287:7 Daniels 3:19 data 197:17 201:15 205:12 258:7,8,10,21 database 32:7 256:15,16 260:11,22
19 175:10 238:3 coverage 175:15 covered 309:8 crater 95:15,17, 19,20 create 68:15,16 192:6,17 244:1	16 271:5,7 credits 130:7 270:13 crises 76:9 crisis 76:7 cross-claim 13:16,19 292:21,24 293:4,21 294:2	162:14 curiosity 46:20 curious 47:9 90:22 current 23:9 299:1 314:15, 17 custodial 35:14	26:19,22 27:19, 21 28:2 32:15 33:13,14 37:14 82:6 89:1,3 90:5,13 91:16 100:22 101:13 102:24 104:7 168:24 181:6 236:15 273:3, 18 274:1,15 278:8 287:14, 16,19 customer's 270:24 271:2,	287:7 Daniels 3:19 data 197:17 201:15 205:12 258:7,8,10,21 database 32:7 256:15,16 260:11,22 date 22:11 65:3

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

Index: dated..dematerialization

106:14 128:23 129:6 140:17,	132:7 138:10 144:17 270:1	debit 92:16 93:4,9,17	86:2 238:9 308:21	238:7 279:22
23 141:8,9,10 144:4,9,10,16 152:1,15 155:1, 3 156:15 179:4	288:10 deal 8:14 21:19 23:4 35:24 36:1	120:11 208:24 210:19,23 249:15 250:14, 20,22 251:5,9,	decided 13:11 247:18	definitely 155:10 313:12 314:11
212:5 213:18 214:19 218:3,5 220:4 223:19	38:14 50:8,21 51:2 126:7 139:23 150:18	14 269:16 270:6,9,10,17, 19 271:15,19	decision 10:2	definition 32:23 227:13
224:1,6 227:24 250:16 251:6 253:12,13 258:8,10 259:1 284:4,6,7,9,11,	164:8 169:11 180:16 227:2 232:4,9 233:4,5 239:23 240:1,4,	272:1,13 debited 271:11 273:2	declaration 185:14,20 186:22 189:4	definitions 311:12
13,15 289:23 290:10 291:13	6,10 243:21 244:16 246:2 263:3 295:9,10	debiting 271:5,7 272:17	declaratory 12:24 13:1,2 279:1 292:21	degree 19:19
299:1 301:10 311:11	306:7,8,13,16, 18 312:19	debits 250:23	declare 151:5	delay 170:23
dated 60:16 101:9 117:5 138:10 148:3	dealer 191:12 270:20	251:5 269:18, 19,20,21 270:11,13	187:11	deliver 33:14 48:1 172:14 216:15 243:19
193:22 253:3 311:7,10	dealer's 270:19	272:6	212:17	246:14 286:11 delivered 17:20
dates 122:7 213:23 218:8	dealing 13:4 29:7 50:6 53:9 75:21,24	December 9:8, 10,14,16 26:1 106:15 107:18 108:8 119:20	deemed 9:2 defendant	33:19 47:19 179:10 216:17 229:6,9
270:1 283:23 304:4	274:16	148:3,9,16,21 149:1,16,18 168:5 179:6	12:18	demand 288:18 300:16
dating 66:10 284:17	dealings 97:10 98:13	185:12,16,17 187:24 188:6 189:3,20	Defendant/ cross-claimant 12:16	demanding
day 1:20 174:6 178:2 184:18 185:6 186:8	deals 24:1 307:15	201:16,24 202:20 203:3 206:8 214:16	Defendants 1:16	300:21 demands 18:8
212:18 302:23 315:17	dealt 127:1 226:23	Decently 90:20	defer 13:9,14 14:10 15:23	dematerializatio n 46:7 169:20
days 17:5 55:10	debating 122:9	decide 49:11	define 55:1	219:13

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

Index: dematerialize..directly

	1			
dematerialize	demonstrates	258:3 269:1	desiring 8:3	differentiate
34:9 46:16	62:13 261:18	284:8 309:19		35:15
47:14 52:9,11,		310:1,20	destroyed	
18 53:2 133:21	department	311:23 312:5	46:24	dig 252:14
139:21 143:9	283:9			
146:19 147:3,		depositions		
12 148:10		60:5 294:1	detail 41:13	digging 252:12
169:8 170:21	depending		189:15	
177:5 178:12	35:21 45:6 87:1			diligence 180:3
218:23 219:15		depository	detailed 30:19	J. J. L. L.
220:14,18	depends 29:1	145:15 168:18	68:20	
224:8 280:16	45:8			Dina 110:1
		Dept 1:11		
dematerialized			details 77:24	dire 3:5 184:4,
46:21 53:7	deposit 8:15,18		82:19 109:11	10,13 205:20
105:4 143:21	85:15 87:15,21	describe 21:2	113:8 119:7	-,
149:5 171:13,	93:2,17 120:14	26:2 32:11 33:7	256:17 257:18	
14 176:14	122:3 266:20	54:24 80:9	307:1	direct 3:4,5
219:2 221:3	267:8 287:1	161:1 238:8		18:21 35:3 39:2
235:12 237:8			determine 17:3	83:21 164:19
	deposited 9:2	described 22:12	181:22	165:17,21
	10:8 105:7,24	34:10 47:23		194:3 208:1
dematerializes	143:15 167:12	51:10 149:8		215:9 222:3
52:13	241:21 266:17	234:19 236:14	determining	253:9 254:17
	287:7		204:19,21	267:21 270:6
dematerializing		-l		294:20
46:14 51:10		describing	diagnoses	
139:19,23	depositing	83:22 310:17	205:13	directed 34:17
140:1 148:18	145:12 218:20			37:17 71:9
149:13 168:13,	265:19	description 3:9	difference	117:18 118:2
14 170:4		89:6	difference 139:6	
171:16 178:5	deposition		139.0	directing
280:18	184:15 185:5	designated		271:15
	188:9,17	168:8	different 20:22	2/1.13
domonation	190:23 193:4,7,	100.0	32:17 45:6	
demonstrate	10,12,14,21		50:4,5 93:4	direction 9:6
18:6 163:2	195:21 198:5,	designation	104:4 114:23	71:9 262:4,6,9,
261:2 262:21 286:21	10 201:18	33:13	146:21 158:14	14,17 268:8,10,
200.21	217:20,23		243:13 247:15	14 270:5
	221:22 222:18	desire 99:2	251:13 297:24	271:10 272:12
demonstrated	223:23 224:14	196:14	310:17 313:14	
205:20	242:19 251:22		314:5	directly 36:9
	I	I	I	I

Index: director..done

40:11 42:20 44:18 46:5	187:19 230:14 281:24 290:21	disposal 49:23	114:24 117:2 137:22 141:23	26:3,5,16,18 30:5,13,15
57:17 71:2 78:5	291:22,24 292:5 316:13	disposition	145:23 146:6 151:1 152:2	31:17 66:5 133:12,14
director 30:1,2		8:24 11:4	155:1,12	134:18 136:11
57:3 74:12	discussed		156:15 157:18	140:15 156:7,8
83:1,13 188:23	18:15 50:2	dispute 18:3	159:3 167:5,11	162:9 170:17
	57:19 74:24	178:16 247:4	178:19,22,24	183:24 184:3
	99:2 113:22		179:7 181:5,18	188:8 204:10
directors 57:2,6	122:8 123:18	disputed	182:16,18,24	205:2 206:15
	137:22 138:2	286:14,22	183:3,11,21	221:18 247:8
directs 270:8,17	219:17 222:17		184:7 187:15	252:17,18
	284:7 299:9	disregard 114:1	195:9 203:14	255:18 257:12
disagreeing	309:13	198:16	204:4,21 205:3 206:22 207:5,	258:12 259:14 272:24 283:14,
62:15		190.10	19 208:22	16 284:1
02.10	discusses		212:19,23	286:20 293:13,
	231:2	distinction	213:12 219:12	17 294:11
discharge 9:2,8,	20112	86:22 197:4,6	225:3,6,21,23	295:11 297:19,
10 11:1		200:9,14	226:19 228:9	23,24
	discussing		231:5 263:21	,
discharged	68:24 92:9	distinguish	264:3,8 265:17	deller 400:40
8:17	154:2,5	279:12	272:7,9 276:9	dollar 123:12
			281:3 284:9	244:6,7,9,11, 15,17
disclose	discussion	DISTRICT 1:6,8	294:3,20	15,17
238:19,22,24	121:13 122:24		298:22 305:20,	
	138:16 154:3,5		21	dollars 122:14
	215:24 219:21,	docs 132:7		123:2 173:17
discovered	23 283:12,13	133:7,10	documentation	210:19,24
170:24 289:3	290:15 302:13		43:16,21,24	211:14 214:3
	313:8	document 9:21	44:3 45:19	253:10 256:13
discrepancies		10:5 59:2,16,	51:18,20 52:9,	
182:7 204:14	discussions	19,21,22 60:1,	18 58:17 100:8,	done 25:4 44:4,
	121:8 122:19	22,23 61:16	10,12 101:3	5 47:5 50:24
discrepancy	123:7 262:12,	62:2 63:2,7,14	129:16,17	56:7 97:10
182:8 251:20	13 290:9,23,24	64:1 65:10,19	132:14,15,17	98:17 188:5
	291:10	66:9,11,17,20 67:9 68:9,13,	133:20,22	204:3,13 206:9
discretion		15,21 70:17	256:12 295:7 306:13	213:7 232:20, 24 233:3
204:19,20	dismissal 11:5	73:9 78:17	300.13	24 233:3 255:22 256:8,9
		100:6 106:7		288:20 315:9
	dismissed 8:17	113:14,15	documents	200.20010.0
discuss 122:5		, ,	3:21 15:10,12	
	I		I	

Index: door..emailed

	•			
door 165:10 248:14	duly 18:18	207:19 240:8	168:12 170:20	13,14,16,17,19, 22 5:1,7,19,22
				6:2,3,5,6,8
	duplicate	easier 92:5	eight 251:4	60:8,10 62:3,5
doubt 17:23	156:19	208:5 209:4		63:9,15,16,20,
181:17 212:22		215:3 254:7	either 28:20	22,24 64:14,15,
213:9	during 13:8	291:5	35:22 46:4,11	16,19,24 65:1,
	23:5 159:20		49:13,23 70:24	
		and and and	,	2,10,11 66:8
down 12:4,24	160:9,19 162:1	easiest 33:6	91:17 101:18	67:19,20 68:2
21:9 115:14	163:4 168:20	75:1 245:10	114:4 126:21	69:23 71:16
157:18 194:16	208:17 214:15		153:5,22 156:6	72:13,16,20,21
196:16,19	215:10 284:7	easily 34:3	173:19 174:17,	73:2,4,5,6,10
223:22 224:4		255:3	23 175:17	74:7 77:23,24
235:5 251:3		200.0	210:8,9 220:17	98:6,21 106:12,
276:8,14 311:9	E		233:11 240:10	14,16,17 107:4,
		easy 51:7	243:5 254:4	5,12,14,18,23,
		264:21	265:19	24 108:14,22
dozens 160:16	e-mails 160:18			109:1,2,6,7,17
				110:12,14,17
draft 68:16 69:2		educational	elapsed 52:8	111:6,9,12
135:3 141:22	each 37:20	19:14,15		112:16,19,23
100.0 141.22	162:21 203:20,		electronic 33:24	
	23 273:10	eerily 60:24	34:8,13 46:5,	113:11 115:7
drafted 69:2	316:15,21	eeniy 00.24		116:8,21
226:9,11			12,17,18 52:14	118:17 131:10,
	eerlier 00.10	effect 52:10	143:15,24	11,12 132:3,11
	earlier 88:18	108:4 114:21,	147:20 167:14	133:3 134:5,19,
drafting 68:17	95:3 129:15	22 115:2	168:17 169:10,	21 135:18
69:3	192:20 218:2	146:18,20	14,16 170:1,8	138:10 147:24
	220:24 221:1	110.10,20	237:9 238:3	148:5 160:22
draw 197:6	230:18 234:19		245:24	161:2,4,8
	238:10 249:1	effected 48:15		162:21,22
	263:2 279:4			163:1 165:9
drawing 235:5	292:22 298:2	effective		176:1,5,10
_	299:9,16		33:19 287:10	177:8 211:3
	306:20 308:8	140:17,22		221:4 268:21
drops 96:7,8	310:3 313:1		Elias 5:15	269:7 294:22
		effectuate	127:24 128:1	
DTC 34:8 46:6		145:24 146:15	121.27 120.1	296:5,15,18,22,
145:13,14,18	early 35:8 36:8	140.24 140.10		24 298:5
	55:10 121:11		ELLIOTT 1:8	301:13 302:12,
	126:5 150:6,11	efficient 163:23		21,22
due 180:3	170:12 185:19			
			· ·	emailed 68.21
	00.40	efforts 148:9	4:5,7,8,10,11,	
dug 31:18	ease 96:18			
due 180:3 dug 31:18		efficient 163:23 efforts 148:9	email 3:17,18 4:5,7,8,10,11,	21,22 emailed 68:2

Index: emailing..everything

emailing 295:6 emails 73:5	232:16 260:2 269:21	218:14 306:24 309:10,18	essence 12:23 17:11	200:21 201:19 205:2 217:20 219:17 232:13
116:8 129:20 134:18 160:10 161:4 162:7,8	engage 23:15 54:15 119:21	entries 213:23	essentially 20:20 27:11 71:16 98:18	235:20 236:13 245:7 269:24 284:9 299:22
213:21 221:7 290:17,18 291:1,2,15	engaged 56:2 62:9	entry 209:23 211:2 249:13 259:2 260:10	295:8	313:9 event 148:21
emerge 76:11	enough 35:24 69:19 93:6,8,16	equates 253:10	establish 62:1 63:10 85:4 112:8 113:15 117:14,20	266:22 269:10 284:20 298:4, 18 304:20
employee 188:18 268:23	104:11 108:19 127:2 136:10 146:21 266:22	equity 18:4,8 25:15,16,21,22 85:4,6,9 89:23	162:10 184:10 203:17	315:18 events 60:17
269:2,5,8 employees	entail 131:3	93:5,6,7,11,14, 15 100:3 101:7, 9 202:12	established 22:3 31:7 64:24 113:12	205:13
291:11	entered 18:9 61:1 205:23	203:18 250:10	et al 7:9 165:6	eventually 9:17 10:24 20:4 124:6 169:12
enclosed 72:17	245:11 293:10	equivalent 124:17 170:8		every 25:3 28:2
end 9:23 10:5, 10 23:3,6,8 37:4 116:23	enters 259:15	Ernesto 5:12,14 281:8,14	etcetera 25:6 118:24 150:18 157:24 177:23	45:6 181:20,21 191:3 196:16 204:14 283:10
121:7 135:15 136:5,8 142:13	entire 12:7 141:13 206:11	error 71:22	202:10 259:22	everybody 92:7,
180:2 259:20, 24 270:12 273:6,10,18	entirely 38:6	284:8,11,14,16	Europe 160:8	8 117:11 165:15 204:5
274:8,19 308:21	entities 20:22	errors 181:23	euros 209:15 210:15,18,22 211:13 212:4,9,	227:7 270:11
endeavoring 17:17	entitle 32:23 86:15,20	escrow 38:12, 13,15,16,17,18 51:1 233:17,20	14 253:7	everybody's 203:18 270:11
ended 239:15	entitled 112:20 214:2 236:13,	236:20,23	even 56:7 64:15 69:12,24 93:7 96:7 97:23	everyone 95:4 211:10 248:12 265:12 316:22
241:5 274:8	17 253:3	escrowed 38:22	107:9 119:10 120:19 142:13 174:13 175:22	everything
ends 173:5	entity 180:20,21	ESQ 2:4,5,10,15		······································

Index: everywhere..exhibit

	1		I	
27:6,10 149:2	exactly 27:9	exchange 94:15	4,5,7,8,10,11,	151:20 154:21,
196:17 215:3	62:18 86:19	160:10,18	13,14,16,17,19,	23 155:4,13
232:16 258:24	94:4 122:7	294:22	20,22,23 5:1,2,	156:9,11
262:10 265:14	124:19 138:5,6	207.22	4,5,7,8,10,12,	158:17,20
276:14 298:6	178:11 183:6,		14,15,17,19,20,	160:21,22
	,	exchanged		'
299:15,18	13 193:6	77:24 291:18	21,22,23 6:1,2,	161:12 162:9
308:22,23	203:13 204:23		3,5,6,8 14:22	163:6,22,24
	238:8 257:2		15:6,8,14 58:23	166:20 175:21
everywhere	296:21	excluding 18:14	60:13 64:15	176:22 177:18,
121:19 160:3			70:3,6,8 71:10,	20 178:18,19
	examination	exclusion	11,19,20 72:2,	181:5 182:12
	3:4,5 18:21	316:11,13,19,	11,14,18 73:14	183:1 199:11,
evidence 17:12,	164:19 165:18,	23	74:1,5 75:4	13 203:12,13
16 18:6 61:11	21 184:13	20	78:16,18,21,24	207:6 208:4,8,
64:16 67:20	208:1 215:9		80:22,23 81:19	13 212:19
69:22 78:17	294:20	excuse 79:11,	82:9 88:13,20	214:6 217:15,
112:5 113:12	294.20	18 108:13	89:1 91:8 92:10	16,17 218:1
117:15 133:2		116:7 144:6	99:23,24 100:3,	221:15,22
134:4,15 138:9	examined 18:19	158:20 168:5	9,14,19,20	222:12,20,22
142:19 144:20,		293:2	101:2 103:17	223:2,6,11,18
21 150:22			104:12,14	224:15,19
154:22 156:10	example 24:11	avaauta 22,10	105:10,12	225:1,3,19
158:18 161:14,	33:12 36:22	execute 32:16	106:6,19	226:7,16
15,18 177:19	37:13 40:6 86:9	43:21 126:15	108:14,22	227:11 230:18,
220:10 249:8	94:9 95:3	127:6 249:23	110:9 111:3,6,	19 231:5 232:1,
261:23 262:1	110:2,22	298:4	19 112:2,3,5,	20 235:3,4
266:2 267:11,	111:15 170:20		11,12 114:3	240:13 247:12,
15,17 288:8	173:8 197:3	executed 69:4	115:3 116:11	13,15 248:23
	208:20 232:11	154:14 156:3,	117:13 118:7,	249:9 261:15,
	244:4,5 246:7	18 157:8 216:2	14 122:18,21	19,21 271:16
evidenced	273:3	224:7 225:4	129:3 131:6,9,	276:16,21
66:20		228:1,5,10	19,21 132:2	277:18 281:2,
	examples	302:15,16	133:1 134:3,14	11,12,13 282:8,
evidencing	120:4,6 167:22	304:9,17,19	135:10,12	10,11 283:16,
261:12	120.4,0 107.22	305:1,6 306:17		24 284:3
201.12		308:23	136:14,24	
	except 91:9	500.25	137:19 138:3,8,	285:12 288:7
evidentiary	144:22		9,24 139:1	289:8,19,20,21
11:20 115:17		executing 66:16	140:5,7,11,16	293:2 294:16
	ovcontion		142:16,17,18	298:8 300:9
avaat 129:22	exception	exhibit 3:9,11,	144:5,12,19,21,	301:11 302:20,
exact 128:23	183:2,12,19		22 145:23	24 303:11,15,
129:6 138:7	204:22,24	12,13,15,17,18,	146:6,15	21 304:9,16,21
196:20 311:12	205:7	20,22,23 4:1,3,	147:23 150:21	305:3 309:24

Index: exhibits..file

310:20,21,24 311:17 312:1, 14	143:10 146:11 166:4 245:3,4, 10 266:4	facilitate 51:15	202:2	fee 209:19 211:6,24 212:5, 10,17 256:2,11,
	280:11 312:11	facility 26:6 29:18 257:10,	familiarity 62:24	13 266:13
exhibits 3:7	313:18 315:15	29.18 237.10, 11		267:1
14:13,17 15:5,			far 16:21 62:2	
9,11 58:22	explained 57:13	fact 8:13 16:12	85:2 86:19	feel 16:10,14
114:7 135:12	62:23 313:22	61:6 64:10 68:3	123:20 168:6 239:12 249:20	21:8,12 81:6
163:18 207:22		101:9 106:4	258:22 261:5,	234:10
	explaining 87:9	108:6 154:1	16 274:6	
exist 183:23		162:12 175:9	276:23,24	fees 24:9
	explanation	201:18 213:2	282:6 289:1	256:17
existed 25:8	68:18,20 132:5			
250:3 259:13	154:13 168:9	failed 286:10	fashion 13:10	fellow 127:19
	170:23 197:7			
existence 61:6	206:7	fair 13:4 108:19	faster 59:7	few 57:16 98:16
		146:21 252:16	98:24	132:7 149:17
existing 124:13	expose 48:18	305:8		171:6 223:18
179:13 251:24			fastest 75:1	234:9 259:4
	exposure 50:12,	fairly 16:20		279:15 313:20
exists 146:6	13 174:13	92:19 139:12	fault 165:8	
251:20 263:22	307:11,13,17	150:8		fighting 236:9
276:16 307:15			favor 18:9	
	extra 163:12	faith 13:4	1 8001 10.9	figure 138:7
exited 12:3				142:14
	extremely 10:16	fall 160:4	favorite 247:21	
exodus 248:14				figured 90:23
	eye 269:23	falls 183:1	February 26:1	
ovnorionoo	· y · _ · · · · · ·	204:21 238:9	125:6 179:6 206:7 208:23	figures 137:22
experience 84:10	eyesight 83:9		206.7 208.23 211:19 214:15	138:2,4
	cycsignt 00.0	false 17:23	249:14 250:14	
			251:9,24	file 9:17 11:1
expires 140:3 169:22	F	familiar 12:20		12:8,11 30:19
		16:19 30:5,13,	Federal 269:20	100:11 167:6,8
ovalain 20.20	face 104:21	16,22 31:4,13	270:12,20,21,	181:12 192:10
explain 38:20 63:6 86:21	107:3 290:24	32:8 45:13	23 271:3,4,5,9	203:14 204:9 206:16 218:17,
107:1 125:12		65:1,7,24 66:3	273:17,18,23,	21,22 227:3
	facie 205:6	125:19 136:10	24 277:2 278:7	_ · ·,== = _ · · ·

	I			I
253:23	13	206:22 207:3	175:4 177:17 182:2	fixed 174:23 191:17
file-stamped 12:15 186:8	finalized 125:11	fine 10:19 15:1 104:3 191:15 228:16	firms 87:4	flies 316:8
filed 9:9,14,15 13:16,18,20	finally 128:19 141:16 269:21	finish 136:11	first 13:20 14:10 15:20 18:12,18	flipping 80:21 114:2 163:22
17:18 63:12 150:20 187:20 292:21 293:5	financial 20:8 32:13 76:7,9	196:21 199:22 238:2 248:11 277:10	22:14 43:6 53:18 54:2,18 57:10 59:13	167:20
292.21 293.5	84:10 238:23	finished 196:16	70:17 80:21 81:19 82:19	floating 121:19
files 26:7,8,10 27:7 30:16	financials 26:24 27:1	197:1 227:4 309:2,3	86:21 89:6 100:6 109:5 112:19 115:19	Floor 2:11
31:8,11,12,15 100:7 179:9 183:14 191:3	find 16:11 23:23,24 41:22	fire 95:13	116:16,18 123:16 124:23	flow 6:8 215:13
206:17 252:9 255:9,11,21	59:7 62:11 63:24 69:17	firm 3:12 24:10	127:24 129:12, 13 130:21 136:21 141:7,	fluctuates 94:3 95:7
filings 27:12	100:7 123:14 124:6 128:22 131:4 137:21 138:17 157:11	28:22 29:23 48:3,22 49:1 51:14 52:12 53:8 84:24	21 150:5,10 156:18 163:20 175:23 182:14	fluctuation 95:10
fill 143:13 144:4 145:3	177:1 182:6 189:8,10 201:2, 4 246:22	85:13,14 88:22 93:12,21 94:16, 17 109:7,8	219:14 220:12 235:17 242:18 249:13 253:2	focus 21:21 109:4 116:9
filled 88:21 142:21 143:6 144:6,23 145:1,	252:13,14 254:1,4,9,10, 13,14 255:3,6 257:9 261:1,5,	118:19,20 127:9,20 139:23 142:14 143:15 146:11	268:9 280:1 282:13 285:4 290:22	118:17 175:24 178:23 208:4, 12 240:12 278:7
2,6 272:24	6,9 272:11	150:17 173:2 175:3,18	five 55:23 165:7,12 176:3,	focused 107:9
filling 167:4	finder 108:6	177:11 179:8,9, 10,11 190:7,13 191:2,8 192:2	4 233:14 251:4 294:17,18	257:14 277:16
final 114:4 205:9 274:8 314:2	finding 54:22 68:24 126:6 154:6	245:23 281:6 283:14 301:19 307:19	five-day 8:1	focusing 262:3 291:17
finalize 69:5 109:18 125:9,	finds 50:22 61:16,20	firm's 26:24 42:21 173:21	fix 121:21 174:22	folder 62:6 63:15,16 181:12
	l			l

Index: follow..generally

	-	-	-	-
follow 258:14 266:3 288:10	116:8 306:9	founded 187:24	front 58:24 231:6 237:6,7 246:8	76:22 84:17 86:11 87:19 110:18,22
follow-up 230:5 247:18,20	former 293:11	four 36:18 242:14 251:4	fulfill 172:23	111:13,16 113:9 119:2,4,9
300:15	forms 88:21 98:20 134:24 140:8	frame 74:21 99:13 125:1	fulfilled 237:4	120:8,10,12 121:12,13,15 208:15 233:17
followed 178:11	forward 13:10,	162:1 176:15 256:19	full 35:13 86:6	260:17
following 8:15 13:15 14:17 85:23 109:7 117:13	14 16:7 30:17 39:8 68:9 92:4 259:1	Frank 2:10 39:14 40:9,12 41:10	127:22 196:24 266:9	further 12:12 63:6 78:3 119:13 120:4
	forwarded		fully 9:12,14 302:14,15	157:18 213:6 214:21
follows 18:19 151:5,10 263:3	68:10 69:13 81:2 118:6 148:7	Frank's 39:23 40:4	304:9,17 305:1, 6	future 226:21 230:23
foregoing 187:12	found 60:6,8	frankly 178:21	fun 316:8	 G
forever 10:7	66:17 69:7 70:8 124:8 130:1 150:2 184:8	free 16:10 71:6 81:6 85:2	function 52:12	
forget 99:17	204:15 206:15 253:18,20	freelance 20:19	functioned 257:2	Gates 301:23
137:3 forgot 12:8 52:6	255:9,12 267:17 268:19 291:17	frequent 120:6	fund 84:19 259:18	gave 94:9 95:3 173:9 203:19 222:10 228:15
	foundation	frequently		257:6 258:7,10 260:22 311:14
form 3:11,20 46:6,13,17,19 52:14 68:22	61:22 62:1,17, 20 63:3,10 64:10,22 65:9	108:2 122:8 160:7	funded 84:18 88:2	gears 53:18 96:13
78:22 81:22 129:20 135:6	68:1 69:19 101:20 106:21	fresh 258:9	funding 84:15	
139:12 143:15, 24 147:20 163:3 168:17 202:16 205:12	107:2 113:12, 15 125:16,22 126:1,2 128:10, 12 182:14	friend 53:22 54:8 57:10	funds 38:12,13 39:2,22 51:1 56:15,19 57:8,	general 21:18 31:15 96:1 218:17 219:13 276:12 287:2
237:9 260:16	206:19	friends 25:18 77:11,17 96:23	12,14 70:22,24 71:2,8 75:9	generally 30:18
formed 22:18	I	I	I	

Index: generate..happened

32:11 46:18 53:23 54:21	69:16 87:4 93:21 173:6	93:14 95:13 128:2 130:9	Grant 206:10	292:5
56:12 76:13 81:5 83:16,20 161:1 196:11	177:11 184:9 205:9 232:11 244:4 246:6	145:13 166:13, 16 174:11,12 183:10 218:22	granted 9:19	н
generate 24:23 249:6	248:12 257:11 268:8,9,14 274:21 292:4	230:14 232:14 244:5 260:1 263:5 269:15 270:24 271:2	great 257:2 258:1	habit 61:10
generated 24:15 32:6 68:15 205:2 206:14 249:9, 19 260:15	given 10:11 11:18 95:8,22 190:12 204:18 233:20 238:14	gone 12:7,19 48:14 91:8 178:20 219:12 233:14,20 236:7,12	Greek 90:19 91:5 132:10 151:22 310:16	half 16:22 97:22 122:13 123:1 125:3 196:5 220:19 229:4 232:22 234:23 235:13 286:17
264:17	gives 43:20 244:6 265:8 271:10	240:23 265:14	Greenwich 117:7	halfway 157:18
generates 24:10	giving 174:18	good 7:16,17, 20,23,24 8:9	ground 203:10	hand 9:4 200:18
generating 32:2,4	239:10 244:21 245:16 261:23 315:22	11:9,24 13:4 14:6 18:23,24 76:10,19 91:22	grounds 106:21 182:20	handed 58:21
gentleman 57:4 301:14	glanced 107:4	94:7 104:11 161:16 163:10 247:16 258:24	group 22:15 35:4 298:15	handle 9:3 handling 166:6
gentlemen 277:9	glasses 59:4 208:6	Gotcha 83:7	guess 10:24 20:4 28:6 33:6	handwritten 151:10
getting 24:8 98:17 108:17	GM 43:1	gotten 236:16	47:4,7 53:10 61:8,21 80:6	happen 34:5
123:24 171:4 241:5 258:19 307:11	Gmail 131:13	governed 141:17	100:16 112:8 152:12 162:13 169:18 235:9	38:15 50:17 55:11 88:7 95:12 143:23
give 10:16 11:10 14:11	goal 138:6	governing 202:22,24 203:5	257:14 261:21 265:13 269:11 275:6 280:11	236:19 240:5 306:9
22:11 24:11 33:12 36:14 39:7,14 43:24	goes 34:12,14 42:18 44:8,11, 18 47:3 54:5	grammar	297:21 298:3 299:14	happened 22:12 48:12 81:12 95:19 117:9
49:23 68:18	85:3 88:4,5	297:20	guys 248:18	95:19 117:9 129:22 145:5

Index: happens..Honor

171:22 188:6 215:13 216:7	head 270:4	51:15 53:8 56:19 57:12,14	here's 203:23	87:12,17 89:7
218:11 220:24 221:16 224:12, 24 226:24	heading 85:21 141:13	86:1 121:16	hey 256:13 289:22 290:9	holding 33:9 41:3 42:14
257:22 293:15, 18 309:1	hear 8:12 16:15	helped 17:13 57:16 58:10	high 19:15	46:19 48:3,7 80:13 130:6 244:15 246:10
311:17	17:5,7 187:8 heard 34:9 62:2	helpful 16:12	hijack 237:13	holdings 20:24
happens 11:14 39:10 42:16,20 46:20 47:10 48:1,13 52:12	hearsay 64:19 101:19 102:2,3,	helping 20:11 55:18	himself 68:11 118:6	23:5,10 28:7,17 29:24 30:2,3 185:2 188:5 260:24
87:24 92:24 93:1 94:14	13,14 106:21, 22 107:2,3,10 108:1,3,5,14	helps 84:1,3 132:16 307:16	hire 23:20	holds 40:14
happy 9:1,4,5 10:16 11:5,10, 15 16:15	113:13 114:21 115:8 116:1 117:16 161:13,	here 7:10,12,14, 19 8:10,14 9:4	historic 31:17	HOLLAND 2:9
hard 27:8	20 162:3,10,14 180:11,17,22	14:1 60:18 65:16 76:4 110:1 116:9,24	historical 26:24 258:12 261:7	honest 221:10
152:16 155:5 299:4	182:20 183:16 206:20	118:4 120:3 124:4 132:18 134:23 136:20	history 19:22 192:6 254:10 255:3 257:4	Hong 159:18 160:8
HART 2:9	heart 113:8 119:1,14 211:17	141:9 143:17 154:13 162:7 164:5,10	260:20	Honor 7:8,12, 17,20 8:13,20
Harvey 57:3,5 74:12	hedge 308:17, 19	165:12,15 186:6,14 230:9 233:9 242:13, 14 246:7,8	hold 8:23 9:1,5 10:7 33:4,6,8 40:21 48:12 52:3 71:18	9:3 10:18,22 11:23 13:22 15:18 16:3,6,17 18:10,14 30:7,
hate 22:9	hedges 315:15	247:5 248:18 249:12,13	107:21 145:7 149:21,22,24	24 58:9 60:14, 23 61:9,20
having 18:18 51:11 74:16 75:19 76:8	held 17:14 41:5	253:20 255:5 260:2 267:6 268:18 271:24	150:3,11,13,15 170:13,22 171:1,5 172:20	62:12 64:9,13 65:15 67:15,18 70:5 71:23 72:3
86:15 123:6 195:3 316:8	44:13 51:11 87:6	276:2 277:21, 23 278:13 288:24 295:14	227:19 228:12 243:23 267:21 277:8 290:19	73:15 74:4 78:12 83:9 88:16 91:9,22
	help 21:20 22:2	304:4 310:8,12	211.0 200.10	92:12 94:7

Index: Honor's..indicated

101:15,22 104:3 106:20	14:12	305:18	44:21 130:11 236:14 288:18	186:2,5 206:19 207:13
107:7,11 108:11,19 112:4 113:10	Howard 3:19	identical 207:12 261:21	implementing 202:21 203:4	incorporated 23:5 67:3 69:8
115:9,11 116:2 117:22 118:8, 11,13 125:15	however 8:17 9:3 51:4 107:24	identification 15:5 26:8,11	implied 13:3	incorrect
128:9 131:19 135:22 152:15, 20 161:13,21	HSBC 37:11,12 40:8	identified 15:11, 12 64:18 71:19	important 94:6 239:8	219:10 313:17 incorrectly
165:19 182:14, 22 183:17,20 184:9 185:24	huge 272:9	72:1 152:9 162:20 163:1,4 225:19	impossible	293:7
186:9,18 193:13,23 195:18 197:10	Huh-uh 257:21	identifies 64:23	284:5,12 inaccurately	increased 172:4 increases 173:4
198:15 203:9 204:12 205:7, 10 207:8,11,16,	hundred 35:10 160:16,20 239:2,4,6	80:16,18 identify 3:20	311:7,10	incredibly
24 210:9 214:24 221:11 227:17 228:16	244:6,7,9,10, 11,15,16	103:12 183:22 255:17 275:7	inadmissible 206:20	196:18 indemnifying
247:17,24 248:16,21 282:7 292:17	hundreds 162:6 183:24	identifying 240:3	inappropriate 115:17 316:16	156:13
297:14 316:7	hypothetically 65:12	identity 81:21 255:14	include 141:12	indemnity 3:23 156:12,17 157:5,9,12,14
Honor's 11:6	I	2:15 5:14	included 162:8 298:22	158:7 164:2
1:8	IBM 43:1	ill 99:12	including 15:6,8 291:12	INDEX 3:1 indicate 11:21
HOOGS 1:24 hospital 119:1,2	iceland 160:4,5, 7	imagine 47:3	income 24:23	117:17 132:18 174:21 213:13 287:15
hourly 94:23	7 idea 46:22	imbalance 243:5,22,24	incomplete 19:16	indicated 8:18
housekeeping	65:11 162:23 184:8 298:17	immediately	inconsistent	41:17 43:13 54:7 72:16
housekeeping	184:8 298:17	immediately	inconsistent	54:772:16

Index: indicates..into

		1		
104:6 106:8 124:9,10	information 28:8,11,19,23	314:21	intents 16:20	233:12 234:18 236:18 237:1
182:22 183:9 203:3 208:9 209:13 212:19 214:15 229:9	29:4 73:24 75:3 76:1 82:2,4,6, 18 84:9 86:3 80:1 4 00:5	instantaneously 240:23	interacting 316:15	243:5 263:4,9 285:16,18,21, 22,24 286:3 200:20 210:4
302:14 314:14	89:1,4 90:5 100:23 101:13 102:24 104:7	instead 223:17	interaction 316:21	309:20 310:4, 12 311:2 312:1, 7,8,9,10 313:6,
indicates 59:16 82:9 83:12 293:21 297:8	112:21 117:17 118:4 153:17 164:8 176:19 178:4 204:4,11	institution 32:13 238:23	Interactive 36:17,22	10,11,12 314:8, 11,13
298:10 indicating 81:20	205:14 207:4 239:6 254:10, 13 258:4,5	institutional 34:23,24	intercustodial 272:10	internal 79:10 164:9 182:19 184:6 201:11 290:9 291:2,10
82:24 172:1 indication 83:16	259:15 265:7 291:17 292:16 305:17	instruct 39:18 40:16 41:9 177:4,5	interest 20:10 172:4	308:9 315:23
117:9	informed	instructed	interested	internally 118:2 291:18
indicia 204:17 206:12 207:4	150:19	11:19 111:14 157:21 177:23	55:13 131:4	internet 63:17
individual 1:14	informing 177:22	instruction 40:1 87:23 118:16	interesting 13:7	interplead 8:4
35:3 81:21 82:10	initial 55:24 236:1 277:4,6	177:12	interests 8:5 12:5	interpleader 8:2 13:5 17:2 18:5
individually 97:11 271:7	initially 60:6	instructions 39:12 119:12	interfaces 232:9 239:13 279:19	interpreted 296:12
individuals 62:13 73:21	initiated 8:2 57:7 211:21	instructs 269:14	interfacing 232:15	interrupt 22:9
indulgence 198:12 215:1	inquiry 213:8	intended 91:6	intermediaries 273:11 279:17	into 17:14 20:4, 10 34:8,13
223:14 224:17	instance 48:10 130:4 161:22	intending 112:6	312:19	38:12 41:13 50:21 51:1 53:15 54:19
industry 19:24 infers 287:2	instantaneous	intends 83:17	intermediary 142:13 232:7,8	57:17 61:1 64:16 68:3

Index: intricacies..July

		1		
71:4,6 78:17	introducer's	37:15 38:1,5,10	12:9 46:11	J
88:4,5,11 92:16	22:1	39:6 41:18	60:17 61:7	
93:10 112:5	<i>LL</i> . 1	51:18 53:23	70:13 156:12	
113:12 120:11		55:1,14,16	163:1 217:9,12	
134:4,15 138:9	introducers	68:16 76:16	220:20 222:7	January 1:21
142:18 144:20,	24:13 25:7	81:13 97:24	223:7 226:4,9	7:2 8:16 12:15
21 147:20		101:17 103:20	228:5 236:6	125:6 149:16,
150:22 154:22	introducing	104:1 116:22	243:22 244:14	18 156:16,17
156:10 158:18	22:22 55:11,13	125:22 148:13	246:2 279:13	157:1,4 165:2
161:7 167:6	77:10 202:11	182:17,18	280:17 288:17	211:19
168:8,17	11.10 202.11	184:2 201:11,	292:18 294:4	
'		14 202:7,15,20	300:17 302:11	Jeremy 2:10
169:14 170:1,7	introduction			16:23 287:9
172:22 173:17,	77:24 78:2	203:2,4 206:1	307:21 311:1	10.23 207.9
24 174:1	202:9	213:2,3 249:18,		
177:19 181:14		20 283:12,13	issued 45:12	jet 293:23,24
190:14 192:5	inventory	300:1 302:2	70:15 156:21	297:15
208:16 210:19	inventory	308:10 313:5		
236:20 237:20	245:21,24	314:6,7		job 1:23 19:5,6,
238:16 245:11	246:1		issuer 46:3	7 21:11
258:11,20		involvement	295:8 304:3	7 21.11
259:15 266:16	investing 55:14	97:14 126:4		
278:9 288:8	71:1	184:6 189:2	issues 8:11	jobs 19:23,24
289:24 293:10		202:11	106:2 107:2	20:3
316:6	in vootmonto		292:14 309:3	
	investments			JP 239:3
intricacies 17:6,	134:10	involves 273:13	inguing 100.15	JF 239.3
10		297:1	issuing 166:15,	
	investors 54:22		16	judge 1:8 21:6
	55:11,12,13	involving 44:8		114:1 293:8
introduce 22:2	71:3 132:13,21,	52:7 199:5	item 61:7	
50:21 61:10	22 134:10,13		209:24 210:14	judge's 237:22
190:9	167:2 215:18	1.1	211:12	Judge S 237.22
		iphones 95:12		
introduced 20:4	invoked 316:12		Hama 000:45	judgment 18:9
24:10,16,17	Invokeu 510.12	irrelevant 169:9	items 296:15	185:15 186:11,
28:12,13 29:5			298:11	24
53:22 54:17	involve 43:13	linguaraa		
55:3	51:16,17	issuances	iterations 232:5	judicial 1:6
	163:24 287:14	166:7	234:4	16:10 165:9
introducer				10.10 100.9
introducer	involved 21:3	issue 8:14 9:8,		
24:12	22:10,13,14	11,13 10:15		July 138:10
	22.10,13,14			141:24 144:9,
	I	I	I	I

Index: jump..large

			l	
10,13 147:11	к	165:24 172:7	L	11,14,18 98:2,
212:2 215:24		234:8 235:9		4,5,13,16,18
217:14 218:3		237:10 257:1		99:5,10,21
219:9,18,22				101:12,24
220:23 221:19	K&I 301:23		L-I-V-A-D-A-S	102:9,19
230:19,21,22		kinds 65:8	19:4	103:18 106:12
231:1,10,13,17,	k-i-n-e-z-i	167:15		107:4,18 110:6,
21,22 232:5	310:15		laboratory	12 111:1,6,15
233:4,5 240:4,		kinezi 132:7,9,	76:16	112:19 117:18
10 282:16,23		10 310:15,16		119:1,18,22
283:22 284:4,6,	keep 33:17 56:6	10 010110,10		120:4,7 131:10
9,10,13,15	138:24 190:7,		lacks 206:12	132:3,15,16
285:3,5,12,13	10 191:3 192:9,	knew 21:17,18		133:3 134:24
289:10 290:11	10,17 199:20	25:17 111:22	LAD-DE-VOS	135:20 136:3,
293:1,9,22	200:19 201:19	138:5 257:24	163:11	15 137:1,15,20
294:4,8,9 301:8	240:9 249:5	258:22		138:11 140:7
302:16 303:10,	256:8 257:4,8			141:22 150:4,5,
· · ·	307:19	knowledge	Laforge 2:10	7,8 153:5,6,22
14,16,17 306:5, 7,8,9 311:11,18		70:11 97:4	7:18 39:15	154:5 159:22
312:14	keeps 43:11	139:14 185:5	41:10 291:23,	
512.14	47:5 192:2,8	205:15,21	24	160:3,7,17 167:23,24
	249:1 301:9	206:1 236:4		
jump 81:5	210.1 001.0	307:5	Laforge's 40:9,	168:9 171:2,4,5 211:3 216:1
196:15 236:3		507.5	12	
	kept 30:6,13,16		12	219:19,20 221:4 268:12
jumping 274:22	189:13 190:7	known 86:1		
jumping 274:22	201:21 205:21	96:23 97:1	lag 293:23	277:3,13
	206:17,18	231:7		
June 132:6	256:24		lagged 293:24	Lambros's
139:7 141:22		knows 80:1	297:15	100:23 134:5
219:18,22,24	key 21:21	88:23 96:5	201110	
	133:24	164:6 183:5,14		Lane 2:11
iurisdiction	100.27	204:5 265:12	laid 61:24 69:19	
jurisdiction 35:22		204.5 205.12	125:21 128:9,	
30.22	kick 236:20	213.3	12 182:15	language 116:5,
			206:19	9 135:14
jury 113:20	Kietzke 2:11	Kong 159:18		136:11 140:16
234:10		160:8	Lalach 57:3,5	141:1,13
justice 11:14	kind 12:9 45:18	KYC 26:7,9 27:7		large 26:6
	90:22 92:20	145:20 202:10	Lambros 3:22	large 26:6
	98:17 102:9	140.20 202.10	4:5 14:8 96:14,	27:10,11 250:3,
	105:2 113:24		17,19,20,21,22,	6,9 251:23
			23 97:1,2,4,7,	253:24 255:18

272:5	283:14 300:10 301:19	97:21 119:20 140:2 148:22	19,23 128:2	298:13,14,16
last 19:3 47:20 60:5 65:8 78:23 79:15 82:19	laws 265:4	149:1 159:14, 15 160:1 168:21,22	Leo's 127:22	letters 26:16 301:7
91:9 116:15 117:6 129:10 141:3 187:3,23	lawsuit 58:7 217:9,12 239:9	275:3 278:12	less 61:7 213:14,16 236:10 266:9	letting 243:7
198:14 204:9 212:13 288:16	306:21 310:5	248:14 265:11	let 54:1 102:17	level 38:5 47:11 83:23 85:3,4
297:4 298:3	lawyer 247:21 284:14 289:1	ledger 240:7 272:5,19	116:12 144:8 146:21 152:6 163:21 186:6	271:6,20 273:13 274:16 275:8,14 277:4,
121:11 123:9 132:19 150:6,	lawyer's 151:24 155:23	left 59:4 153:1 166:1 184:1	196:21 197:8 199:22 208:20 225:13 247:20	19,21,24 278:3, 7
11 160:7 165:8 170:12 211:16 288:23 307:11	lawyers 59:2,11 247:20	212:19 250:15	248:3 252:21 277:9 280:2 290:16 291:5	levels 34:23
later 22:19	lay 62:17,20	left-hand 89:17 104:23 194:17	290:16 291:5 292:6 293:8,19 305:20	Lewis 6:2 301:15,18
56:14 61:1 62:11 96:7 150:17 167:12, 15 170:22	63:3 64:10,21 65:9 101:19 126:1,2	legal 238:7 283:8 311:12	letter 3:12 5:12, 14,15,17,20,21, 23 6:1 90:11	liabilities 172:10,12 307:14
174:12,18 215:17 216:2 219:3 233:14	lays 67:24	legalities 280:10	176:24 177:3,4, 21 178:9	liability 49:2,3
243:17 245:19 253:20 269:4 284:7 288:10	leading 77:4 153:8,21 283:12	Legally 146:19	281:21,24 282:1,5,13 283:1,3,5,12, 15,19 284:20	172:14 174:22 238:11 286:9, 12 307:13
306:9 308:15, 16	learn 149:12,20	legend 45:11,13 104:23 177:2	285:15,19,20, 23 286:13 288:11 289:9,	license 35:22
laundering 145:9 146:7 167:7,10,19	150:10,14 153:22 156:6	lend 86:7 87:4 94:15	15,19,20 290:8 291:11,12 299:2,16 300:2,	licensed 32:14
law 3:12 141:17	learned 149:21 150:15 170:13	lending 94:18	10,12,15,24 301:6,10	licenses 33:8
187:11 206:13 281:5,9,16	least 16:21 93:1	Leo 127:17,18,	letterhead	lies 280:17
201.0,0,10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Life 3:9 17:4

Index: lifted..looking

	_	_		
83:1 210:3 282:17 302:7	306:12	277:17	92:8 94:2 114:9 116:5,6,13,17	lodge 218:14,16
lifted 45:22 46:1	liked 262:13	Listen 200:5	117:17 118:16 135:20 161:24	log 192:5
	likely 04.7	listener 100.5	163:3,9,10,17	lama 01:0
light 14.0	likely 61:7	listener 108:5 114:22 115:3	164:13 165:18,	logo 91:2
light 14:9 118:16		114.22 115.5	24 183:2,20 184:5,15	
like 9:21 14:13	limine 113:23 187:1	lists 251:9	186:22,23 191:23 193:21	long 20:17 44:13 45:5 55:7 66:10 77:11
16:14,18 17:7			194:3 196:9	93:14,16 97:1
26:11,13 41:13	limitation	literally 247:19	198:22 199:24	117:23 174:6
43:1 50:24	117:13		200:9 203:11	246:1 296:20
79:12 80:12		litigants 13:18	204:12,16	315:17
89:13 90:3	limited 163:5	U	205:3,18 215:8	
92:21 95:12		litigation 040.45	221:21 228:18	
98:18,22 103:9	line 65:20 132:5	litigation 242:15	237:16 248:23	long-term 84:2
114:3 116:9	157:17 162:21		291:22 292:2,	
134:7 136:13	194:4,17 197:5	littered 113:14	20	longer 56:1
144:22 145:20,	194.4,17 197.5			243:12 270:2
22 155:3,23	210:14 211:12,	little 13:23	Livadas's	311:5,8,21
165:24 167:21	23 222:4,18	41:13 53:18	193:14	313:19,22
169:12 172:18	223:24 227:22	60:20 76:15		
173:12,18,20	230:6 261:1	83:8 92:5,6	living 54:13	looked 91:3
182:9 185:24	310:2,23 314:1,	96:13 115:21	Inving 54.15	138:11 163:17
189:10 196:21	19	150:9 155:6		165:11 219:8
203:20 206:9		157:17 171:6	loan 85:24 86:2,	222:12 252:24
208:12 209:18		236:4 257:24	3,7 92:20	254:6 263:24
210:6 211:22	lines 225:15,17	296:2 306:20		269:7 299:16
218:19 221:10			local 242:20	
232:1 234:10	liquidated			
246:6,7 250:23	85:10,12	Livadas 3:3,17	lesste 100.10	looking 7:21
251:15 253:12		4:6,7,9,10,12,	locate 106:16	16:22 21:7,14
259:24 260:18,	liquidity 04.15	13,15,16,17,19, 22,5:1,7,19,6:6	131:11,13	88:17 96:2
19 274:8	liquidity 94:15	22 5:1,7,19 6:6, 8 14:1,2,7	252:17	99:16 100:7
281:20 283:18		8 14:1,2,7 15:20 18:13,17		115:20 134:7 167:11 186:17,
284:18 287:9,	list 43:3,7 88:18	19:3,5 58:21	located 54:10	22 194:18
11 290:3		61:3 62:3,8	60:1 63:7,8,9	215:3 221:22
296:10 297:7 298:18 302:12,	listed 44:10	63:6 65:4,6,7	64:14 73:4	223:18 249:13
298.18 302.12, 20 303:2 304:2,	67:3 232:7	68:4 78:21	125:2 126:11	299:18
4,13 305:4	249:12 264:13	88:20 90:15	205:4	200.10
-, 10 000. 1				
	I	I		

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: looks..marks

	I	I	I	
looks 25:5	м	127:15 128:7	25:20 44:10,12,	16,18 211:19
79:12 89:13		152:13 168:17	14 79:10,11,18	253:3,17 256:1
90:3 91:4		169:4 173:18	84:3 184:20	,
136:13 155:6,		182:1 191:10,	217:4,7,8	
23 209:4,18	made 10:9	23 196:23,24	231:19 237:23	margin 85:22,24
210:6 250:23	41:20 61:16	197:4 204:4	238:6,11	86:1,2,3,7,9,22,
251:15 253:12	77:14,23 111:1	215:8,22 240:3	246:20 248:9	23 87:4,5,7
281:20 296:10	118:5 126:14	244:24 246:3	278:16 279:9	92:21 93:19,23
298:18 302:12	148:9 157:5	252:21 261:24	281:20,23,24	94:24
303:2 304:2,4,	200:9,14	267:12 270:4	282:4,15	
13 305:4	205:13 208:15	275:13 277:13	285:17,18,21	marginable
306:12	226:17 234:16	279:21 308:15,	289:13 294:7	87:2,3 94:13,14
000.12	244:19 245:15	20 315:10	296:8 306:23	07.2,0 0 1.10,1 1
	246:16 267:9,	316:12,14	312:19 313:3	
lost 4:1 17:21	12 272:12,20	510.12,14	315:9,21	mark 186:4
150:20 151:1,	274:13 277:16		515.5,21	
11 153:23	284:16,18	makes 21:15		marked 116:16
154:10 156:14,	315:17,21	39:24 51:6,7	manager 84:3	186:1
21,22 157:2		92:6 207:1		100.1
159:6 164:2	Magic 264:24	245:7 275:17,	managing 166:6	
170:16 216:21		18	managing 100.0	Marker 264:24
270:22				
	main 139:17	making 11:14	manner 145:4	market 37:16
lot 17:5 31:11	167:14 182:1	21:12 78:2		42:18 45:14
76:8,10,11 98:1		102:9 115:16	manuals 26:23	46:13 53:23
162:9 175:23	maintain 203:9	168:10 202:9		54:21,24 76:10
209:4 221:10	207:14	280:8,18		85:3 130:17
252:13 284:18		300:16	many 29:2	167:17 169:12
315:13			34:20 35:8 51:4	170:3,9 172:22
	maintained		91:20 96:23	173:2,3,6,10,24
	63:15,16 181:5	manage 84:4,5	98:12 104:18	174:1,3,4,7
lots 74:15	183:5	93:13 309:14	110:6 120:7	175:9 245:7
			122:6,15	247:2 260:1
lower 33:7	maintaining	management	124:21 159:9,	
59:13 71:12	32:2	1:13 2:8 3:2	10,18,19,24	markatalass
89:16 100:1		5:10,12,16 7:9	160:1,15,16,18	marketplace
106:8 155:21	make 10:10,12	9:16 10:21,23	232:8	76:19 245:8,22
	13:9 16:10,13,	13:2 16:2,24		
	15,18 29:14	17:15 18:7	March 125:6	markets 37:19
luck 11:24	39:15 51:12	19:10 20:24	152:3,4,12,14,	130:19
	61:19 71:24	21:4,16 22:11,	20,22 153:4,8,	
lunch 164:11	102:1,6 126:12	21,22 23:6,9,	21 154:1,3,4	marks 81:20
166:1	102.1,0120.12	13,16 24:18,21	155:2 209:10,	marks 01.20

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: mass..missed

82:23 mass 248:14	211:2,19,20,23 219:3 241:4 292:11,15 293:5 308:12	258:24 297:11, 17,20	53:19,23 54:7, 14,16,17 55:7 61:11 96:22 97:2	166:23 210:2 214:17 242:24 289:11 309:16
match 173:22 245:22	313:8,9 316:3 maybe 16:22	meant 107:7 311:10 312:9 media-type 20:8	Miami 159:18	mind 92:6 117:24 188:2 232:3
matches 283:23,24	56:10 94:1 115:20 160:6 169:3 247:15	meet 14:2 82:4	microsecond 44:15	mindful 316:22
matching 173:22	mean 11:20 23:22 28:16	meeting 53:21 55:12	mid 288:23	Mine 71:20
matter 8:17 9:18 12:10,21 14:12 58:7 67:24 68:3	29:13 33:3 43:19 56:9 61:4 68:8 83:20 84:19 85:8	meets 205:6	mid-july 139:8 midday 164:21	minimum 45:16 mining 55:17 56:8
107:13 108:4 123:19 150:18 152:15 161:23	90:18,21 97:20 107:19,24 117:8 119:5 127:8 134:8	memorandum 205:11	middle 42:21 129:7 277:7 280:12	minor 95:10
162:5,19,20,21, 24 288:22,24 301:19 316:6	135:16 136:6 143:10 145:8 162:20 171:9	memorialize 43:17	might 39:12 42:15 55:13	minute 96:7 217:24
matters 16:1,4 58:11 235:19	198:4 225:2 227:8 233:10 237:12 256:6, 11 296:12	memory 30:20 mention 246:24	59:7 71:1,3 92:19 94:5 95:15 97:24 138:7 142:13	minutes 78:14 165:7,12 248:6 259:4 279:15
may 11:5 13:17, 19,22 14:14 50:7 58:18	305:5 313:17 meaning 171:15	mentioned 59:10 92:15 130:20 167:8	192:14,15 234:19 252:18 255:18 258:18	292:5 miscellaneous
61:15 78:12 81:1 86:7 101:10 119:21 121:18 141:10	242:14 313:17 means 61:6	223:5 mergers 23:23	309:22 million 70:18	19:23 20:3 90:23
153:1 165:17 168:5 186:15 187:24 193:23	63:11 74:13 84:21,24 85:9 86:11 93:6	messages	75:20 122:14, 16,21 123:1,2 125:3 128:16,	mispronounce 65:5
194:1 202:5,19 203:3 204:5,6 207:19 210:16	94:17 127:9 136:8 173:2 174:1 204:15	118:24 129:20 met 25:17	19 129:10 130:21,24 148:10,18 149:13 157:6	mispronouncin g 163:13
	238:15 245:3,4		149.13 137.0	missed 279:7

Index: missing..name

missing 49:16 72:1 74:1	223:18	6:2 281:5,9,16 300:10,12,16	129:15,16 224:19 232:21	movie 174:8
280:10	MONDAY 1:21 7:2 165:2	300:10,12,18 301:16,18 302:13,14	234:19 232.21	moving 247:15 259:1
mission 11:8	monetary	month 191:3	most 21:15 35:15 56:11	much 11:15,17,
mistaken 310:9	271:24	monthly 191:17,	97:19,20,21 124:2 232:16 262:12	23 12:1 21:22 27:1 28:17 29:3
mistakes 284:18	money 17:13 38:21,23 39:1,	21 192:12,13	mostly 19:24	83:17,22 84:6 93:18 94:24 122:8,12
misunderstandi ng 233:15	12,13,15 40:3, 8,11,14,17 41:10 44:16 49:23 55:2,9,19 62:11 71:5	months 45:9,16, 21 46:10 49:5, 19 52:17 105:3 130:15,19 157:1 168:20	29:11 55:17 167:9 171:3 245:2	124:14,15 138:5 142:11 150:9,16 153:6 154:4 209:21
mitigate 50:15	73:21 74:15,21, 24 76:6,16,18,	171:7 192:14 221:3	motion 9:10,14, 19 11:2 12:12	210:11 212:2 213:2 221:1 243:17 254:8
mix 172:11 279:24	20 84:6 85:13, 21 88:1,10 109:14,21,22,	more 20:10 41:13 45:9 50:6	185:15 186:10, 24	260:18 284:7
mixed 12:10 266:16 307:3	23,24 110:2 118:2 119:24 120:4,17 121:4,	51:17 61:7 62:17 63:3,10 64:21 68:19	motions 12:19 113:23	multiple 23:18 252:3 279:17
313:8,9 mixing 312:3	16 123:24 168:1,7 199:6 233:20,21 236:16,20	83:1 86:24 92:6 97:21 98:16,19 143:10 157:17	mouthful 273:21	must 33:9 47:14 160:16 259:13 260:9
model 84:5	238:16,17 240:20 245:12 265:19 266:3	162:9 169:18 174:13 178:15 250:18 254:8, 10 255:1	move 14:17 60:13 67:15 73:14 80:23	mutual 54:8
moment 95:8 130:20 174:23 186:6 215:1	267:15 268:6 269:12,15,17 271:3,10 274:19 275:7,	259:19 260:18 266:4,21 268:20	100:14 106:19 116:4 118:2 161:12 182:12	<u> </u>
223:14 279:20 314:21	15,21,23 278:9	Morgan 239:3	236:15 282:7 292:18	name 19:1,3,9 20:23 46:12 54:2 65:5
momentarily 107:3 235:18	monitored 94:23	morning 7:16, 17,20,23,24 8:9	moved 309:14	70:14,15 73:3 79:5,14,15 82:19 96:14
moments	Montello 3:12	18:23,24 78:13 95:3 96:4	moves 94:24 232:20	109:13 115:23

Index: named..nonhearsay

			1	
127:22,24	304:4,5 305:9	308:13	Nevada 1:6,10,	298:23 299:5,
163:9,13		000110	22 2:6,11 7:2,5,	11
218:15 232:15,			14 8:3,16	
, ,	naturally 52:14	needing 134:10	163:19 164:1	
16 239:20				nice 14:2 77:7,
242:6 255:14	4 400 4		165:2 187:12	12
264:11,14,18,	nature 103:4	needlessly	282:22 318:1	
21 281:14		162:14		
287:1,7 301:14	near 205:14,23		never 44:18	Nicholas 110:19
	230:22	needs 10:11,14	52:11 69:21	120:8
named 112:24	200.22	132:5 164:6	145:5 147:6	
				Niek 5:40 407:0
127:19 306:24	Nearly 29:11	169:10 170:1	171:14 174:6	Nick 5:19 137:6,
307:2		238:23 280:16	216:7 218:11	9,11 147:24
			219:9 221:15	176:1,5,19
names 36:14	necessarily	negative 88:5,	233:5 246:4	177:9,21 178:1
135:2	46:15 87:22	11 93:5,6,11,	264:3	294:22
100.2	174:2	14,20,22 94:20		
			now 0:11 10:11	nine 051.5
nanosecond		249:15 250:3,6	new 3:11 46:11,	nine 251:5
235:18,19,23	necessary	251:23	12 55:12 80:11	
236:14,19	140:2 145:23		82:4 156:14	nobody 171:7
237:24 263:5,9	146:15	negotiated 23:4	190:14 197:18	200:5 233:6
201.21200.0,0			202:12 205:1	246:5 273:9
	need 8:11 12:24		221:10 244:24	240.0 21 0.0
nanoseconds	13:12 22:24	negotiating	257:23 258:8,9	
234:20 309:2	38:20 45:24	66:19 67:6	289:5,6 316:6,8	non-wam 50:4
				51:1,17 52:5
	62:17 63:3			53:7,15 132:22
narrative 113:6	69:23 74:21,24	neighborhood	news 20:8 76:11	133:15 167:2
	76:19 85:10,12	35:6		215:20
NATCO 3:12,24	108:6 115:20		next 17:4 70:11,	
4:4 5:12,16,18,	132:7,14	Neil 2:5	13 82:21,23	
21,24 9:1 11:5	135:13 137:3,		83:3,16 84:8,12	nonclient 50:10
143:17 149:4	15,16 145:6		, , ,	
	146:24 168:23	neither 217:4	85:17 90:2,9	nonclients
155:16 156:4,	169:7 186:4	220:14 237:17	112:23 114:8	
13 157:15,20	239:5 242:20		115:6 136:13	126:10
158:5,8 166:4,	255:2	nonkow 00:00	137:19 147:15	
5,14,17 176:20,		nephew 96:20	170:20 194:23	none 43:18
24 177:13,22			195:2 197:8	129:19 171:11,
178:5 232:14	needed 69:1,4	net 210:13	200:7 209:18	17 175:2
286:13 287:8,	76:5,18 119:2,4	213:17 266:16	210:14 211:12,	17 17 0.2
10,13,22 288:5,	121:15 129:16	210.17 200.10	23 212:2,8	
9,10 298:11,19	133:20 146:19		229:12 234:21	nonhearsay
300:3,16,21	147:2 153:17	netted 266:15	256:1 296:14	114:19 162:18

	1		1	
nonmarginable 94:17	106:19,24 107:11,17,21	normally 247:14	300:3 302:4,23 304:5,24	125:15 182:19
nonopposition 9:15	108:11,19,21 111:21 112:1, 10,14 116:3,4 117:19,22 118:8,13,15	notarized 137:4, 16,23 138:17, 20 139:15 140:12 141:23 142:4 144:13	now's 247:13 NRS 69:18	objection 30:7, 24 42:2 58:3 62:16 64:20,22 68:7 69:11 70:4 73:16,17 74:2
nontechnical	123:10 125:17,	156:1 284:9	205:11 206:21	79:23 80:5,10
95:17	18,21,24 126:1,	100.1 204.9		100:18 101:21
noon 163:7	3 127:21 128:9, 13,14 132:1 135:20,21 136:1,2 137:11,	notary 139:8 151:21 156:1	number 14:13 16:21 61:15 79:19,21,22	102:2,6,11,12 103:2,3,19,22, 24 107:8 108:3,
Nork 2:10 3:4,5	14 152:15,19,	note 74:11	80:7,12,14,16, 18 96:9 104:16,	13,15 112:8 113:19,21
7:8,18,20 10:22	21 154:20	113:19 114:6	19 105:13,15,	116:1,12 118:9
13:22 14:7,16,	155:8,10,11	248:11 316:22	18 106:9 162:6	128:11 135:23
23 15:1,9,19	161:12,21	210.11010.22	171:3 176:2,7	154:17 161:13,
16:3,17,23	163:7 164:12		188:16 198:23	17,19,20 162:3
18:11,13,22	165:17,19,23	notes 21:12	214:8 222:17,	180:11 182:23
22:5,9,17	166:12 169:17	169:4 188:4	18,21 245:21	183:16 193:15,
30:10,11 31:1,2	175:8,20		249:11,23	16 203:9 205:8
42:3,9 43:5,10 47:12 58:4,5,	180:12,18,23	nothing 11:13	255:4,15,18	207:14,21
14,18,20 59:7,9	181:1 182:12, 21,22 187:19	202:9 255:11	264:13,18,21	221:11 229:20
60:13,19,23	193:15,16	262:21 263:8,	289:4	282:9 289:21,
61:24 62:5	196:22 204:12	11 268:17		24
63:4,5 64:9,22	206:24 207:11,	275:3 289:15	numbers 59:12	
65:14,17 67:5,	24 208:3 209:7		80:8,9,13	objections
15,23,24 70:5,7	210:8,10,21	notice 11:12	194:17 255:2	61:15,18
71:19,22 72:3,6	211:10,11			113:13 115:17
73:14,17,18	214:21 217:16	notorious		182:13
74:4,6 78:15,20	221:11 230:2	notorious 247:21	numerous 113:13	
80:2,15 81:6,18	234:12 248:16	Z41.Z1	113.13	obligated
83:6,9,11	282:9 289:24			obligated 191:13,14
88:16,19 91:9,	290:19 291:23	notwithstanding	0	191.10,14
10,21 92:11,12,	294:19	17:10 158:7		
13 94:1,7,8		170:21		obligation
96:11,12	Nork's 229:20		oath 188:13	191:4,16,20
100:14,21		November		200:11,15
101:20,22,23	45.0	117:6 176:9	object 101:15	
102:3,8,15,17,	norm 45:9	295:4 297:10,	106:20 112:4	observed 17:2
18 103:6,15,16,		13,19 298:19	113:10 115:7	
21,23 104:3,5	normal 143:16		110.10 110.7	

Index: obstructionist..opened

obstructionist	occurs 200:23	57:5 78:1 82:24	114:7 116:13	134:6 166:6
115:16		83:13 188:20	118:10 122:20	
	October CC:11		127:16 131:12,	angeing 20.0
abtain 10.10	October 66:11	officerie 70.10	13,14,16	ongoing 29:8 70:21 121:9
obtain 19:19	73:11 74:7 76:6	officer's 79:12,	133:24 136:24	
82:3 220:1,12	81:3 184:16	14	139:7,17	161:23
	193:22 195:22		140:15,16	
obtained 183:21	223:4 227:1,8	officers 57:1	144:22 145:2	only 8:14 16:18
220:16	282:21 286:21		151:22 173:23	23:15 28:10
	287:23 289:19,		174:6 178:10,	40:13 53:14
	20 290:8	official 28:21	21 179:16	62:7 74:2 87:14
obtaining	291:11 312:5		186:6 194:8,23	94:10 95:15
219:21 220:23	313:5,15 315:4	officially 80:24	196:14 199:2	97:12 123:24
		81:3,8	206:7 207:20	166:8 168:23
obtuse 270:15	Oddie 79:15,16	,	209:18 212:13	171:15 189:2
	81:4		215:1,14	194:5,8,20,23
	• • • •	oftentimes	216:11 220:19	201:2,4,8 202:9
obviously		113:23 196:14	222:13 223:14	214:14 219:16,
234:12	off 52:14 121:9		232:9,15 234:9,	21,23 220:19
	164:16 165:24	old 91:5 255:3	21 240:5	226:8 228:4
occasion 159:7,	166:1 169:24		247:19,22	231:1 233:12
21 160:10	204:8 232:2		248:2,14	235:18 238:8
	258:23 269:6	once 10:14	250:24 251:3	241:22 249:5
		46:21 52:17	256:1 265:3	256:8 258:4
occasions	offense 91:5	123:11 126:11	268:19,20	259:5,8 261:17
163:14		127:4 130:9 150:2 166:15	269:11 275:7	273:12 274:3
	offer 110.0	170:24 174:20	277:9 278:11,	275:6 280:2,11
occupation	offer 112:6	270:5 274:13	12 279:17,20	299:23 303:8
19:5		270.5 274.13 275:17	280:2,8 281:9	306:16,24
	offered 64:16	275.17	283:16 284:1	
occur 141:8	108:3,4 124:17,		289:5 295:21	amurada (102-0
	20 161:22	one 1:20 10:24	297:3,6 298:1	onwards 123:9
	162:5,23	16:18 29:5	302:23 303:10	
occurred 104:2		34:23 35:13,14	307:2 313:10	open 46:13 51:5
118:3,5 125:23	offering 207:9	41:15 42:16	316:16	77:8 81:4 97:4
198:23 219:9	onening 207.9	47:13 48:16		105:8,24 170:9
		52:6 54:18 57:4		193:13
occurrence	office 144:6	58:16 61:23	one's 204:10	
92:18	148:7 165:9	66:22 68:6		oppond 77.1
	176:6 283:9	71:20 72:17	one-year 192:15	opened 77:1 80:24 81:3,8,10
		74:13 84:8	-	82:10 106:4
occurring 123:4	officer 28:21	92:14 95:6 96:7	ones 122:3	159:5 193:22
		105:6,21 106:2	01165 122.3	109.0 190.22
L				

Index: opening..ownership

opening 3:11	23	original 47:20	over 9:24 11:13	309:15 314:24
13:9,13 14:11		52:10,19 89:20,	17:4 38:18 51:4	316:1,2
15:23 16:8,11,	options 91:19	21 90:1 148:13	56:12 69:11	
13,15,18 17:20	172:21	149:3 157:19	70:4 96:2	owned 21:5,17
26:15,19 77:4	172.21	158:4,15 176:7	116:12 122:10	175:13 180:8
78:3,8,22 81:20		183:6 289:3	141:20 159:10	
100:10,12	order 4:3 8:16,	295:11,15,20,	160:8 190:13	315:8
101:24 202:8,	18 10:13 13:13	21,22 296:6,11,	194:14 207:21	
16 208:22	14:4 38:19	13 299:8,11,21,	236:10 249:14	owner 19:6,7
250:17,21	42:17,18,19	23 301:10	251:12 252:3	23:9 25:14 30:3
251:8,11 253:2	43:20,24 45:19	309:7	255:23 277:9	125:18 180:12,
,	48:4 52:1,3		309:9	13 189:4 195:2,
	62:1 69:23			6 199:15 230:8
openings 26:11	113:22 127:7	originally 21:5		238:6 263:9
	146:22 154:24	306:24	overdraw 92:21	279:2,5,16,20
opens 272:23	156:3 172:14,			280:20 282:23
	23 203:17	originals 137:4,	overdrawn 88:4	283:6,8,20
	215:3 239:1	16 295:14,16,		286:14,22,23
operating 26:23	242:21 243:19	17 299:17		287:5,11,23
	245:23 261:24		overrule 80:10	288:5 293:11
operations	262:1 270:16		102:6 103:3	300:4,17 301:4
184:7	288:18 311:14,	originated 27:9	113:21 128:11	307:7 308:20
	15		161:17	309:4,9,21
	10	others 36:18		310:24 311:3,5,
operative 116:9		114:2 179:22	overruled 42:7	8,20,21,22
	order-taking	215:19,20	58:12 62:16	312:2 313:19,
opinions 205:13	308:11	,		21,23 314:15,
				17,22 315:19
	orders 125:5	Otherwise	Overseas 67:3	17,22 010.10
opponent 69:14	129:19 239:5	74:16	69:7	
107:6	120.10 200.0			owner's 27:14
		out-of-court	owe 174:1,2,3	
opportunity	ordinary 30:6,	162:4	203:23 234:4	owners 217:5,7
31:8,16 78:7	13 183:4			235:12 236:24
184:4,9 187:19			anna 1, 05, 10	237:18,19
190:18 196:24	organized 98:17	outline 19:13,21	owed 85:13	288:2
234:13 248:12	254:18,19,22,			
	23 255:13,14	outside 50:24	own 48:23,24	
	257:7,8	51:14,23,24	217:1,8,11	ownership 17:3
opposed 220:23		59:5 123:17	232:13 235:17,	18:3 30:17 68:1
	ariant 045-44	180:20,21	23 243:11,12	182:16 185:11
opposition 9:19	orient 315:14	265:17	244:9 245:5,7	188:18,21,24
185:15 186:10,			275:10 283:8	189:3,14
		-	-	-

Index: owning..per-share

190:14 202:20	34:2,4,5 46:19,	124:23 177:7	pass 235:23	306:14
217:21 235:21,	21 168:16	180:3 181:8	243:1	
22 238:8,9	169:13 191:3	186:3,5 190:12		
239:8,10,11	224:23 225:2,	195:10 228:13		payments
240:24 243:2	23 241:15	274:4 307:14	passed 314:1	119:15 226:12
		274.4 307.14		246:21
262:22 265:19	254:9 257:6			
279:22 287:2,3	260:16,22	participated	passport 3:22	
289:7 297:2		31:20,22	81:22 89:15,20	pays 25:6
301:8 306:22	papers 74:12		100:23 101:6	
307:9 309:11			176:8 295:16,	Pedafronimos
315:6		particular 77:6	19,23 296:4	3:22 4:6,7,8,10,
	paperwork		297:9 298:23	
	50:23 78:7		299:1	11,13,14,16,18,
owning 48:16,	146:10	particularly		19,22 5:1 14:8
17		167:7		15:22 96:15
			passports	107:5 108:8
owns 95:6	paragraph	parties 11:3,24	26:16	110:1
121:24 183:15	186:19 187:22,	13:5,6,8,10		
	23 285:4	16:9 104:6	past 13:21	Pedafronimos's
216:24 243:9	292:24 293:9			
		123:17 126:20	196:12	110:19 120:8
Р	_	141:9 207:18		
•	paragraphs	219:4 243:20	pasted 161:7	PEGGY 1:24
	151:7	245:1,15		
		248:11 292:9,		
p.m. 165:2	parameters	12	paths 11:2	penalty 187:11
317:3				
	84:1 87:1,5		mottom C1.0	nondonov 11.7
		partly 311:10	pattern 61:2	pendency 11:7
package 100:13	Pardon 131:20			
134:9 177:8	300:11	parts 28:15,16	pay 49:9 74:15	pending 198:20
298:1	000.11	235:15 274:3	86:5 124:15	
		200.10 214.0	174:4,5,15	
packet 186:7	parse 113:20		177.4,0,10	people 46:18
Packel 100.1	114:1 115:2,21	party 10:14 50:6		103:13 108:2
		69:14 102:22,	paying 21:13	166:9 169:15
paid 141:4		24 107:6	246:17	189:10 196:19
234:24 238:12,	part 10:9 20:14	180:16,17	-	202:12,13
14 239:20	26:3 31:9,14	233:23 239:8		228:22 236:21
240:19,22	56:12 62:9	254:13 263:22	payment 85:14	243:14 244:20
246:18 305:13,	64:15 66:19	271:21	222:5 223:6	270.17 277.20
240.10 303.13, 22	67:10 70:21		225:20 226:2,9,	
	71:23 72:19		13,15 227:10	per-share
	78:8 81:20	party's 242:2	229:6,13,19,21,	124:18
paper 27:11	100:9 117:3	l' í	22 230:6	
			-	
	1	•	1	

nercent 04:44	265-0	00:24	planet 150:10	200.20 207.4
percent 24:14 30:3 83:1,13	265:8	98:24	planet 159:16 160:2	266:20 267:1 270:22,23
87:4 93:19,21,	normiosiono	nhotocony		271:9 275:6
22 94:11,12	permissions 239:5	photocopy 10:10,12	play 53:15	283:6 287:4
124:24 314:10	209.0	299:15	66:19	288:22 296:8
		200110	00.10	300:6 305:6
percentage	permitted 33:1,			311:3,4,20
24:8	8 188:12	physical 3:13 33:17 34:1,12	played 67:6	312:2 314:16
		48:7 170:7		
perfect 147:15	person 69:7	229:21 246:1	pleadings 12:21	pointed 206:24
	96:14 98:10		16:19 278:19	
perfectly 308:23	103:8 159:8,17, 22 203:24	physically 41:3	279:11	points 274:22
	204:19 205:15,	57:15 63:7		
	17,19 206:1,13	202:3	plummeting	policies 202:21
performed 180:15	207:1,3 233:13		95:14	203:5,7
100.15	239:7 241:6	Pinsky 5:17		
	260:4,6 315:24		plus 26:7 117:8	policy 195:10
perhaps 14:9 15:22 183:24		place 11:10	160:16,20	
15.22 165.24	person's 95:14	place 11:19 12:13 62:7		portfolio 83:23
		127:15 133:7,	POA 177:23	84:2,5
period 30:8 52:8	personal 60:10	10 138:24	308:24	0
55:4 56:10,12 130:13 140:3	75:14,16	146:16 163:20		portion 86:7
148:22 149:20		168:4 173:18	pocket 59:5	114:6,19 115:5
157:7 159:11,	personally 97:7	180:13 233:6		116:24 118:17
20 160:9,19		234:21 235:9	point 11:11	169:24 227:4
168:20 169:22	personnel	236:20 240:6 285:10 288:18	12:10 16:14	
174:6 202:19	291:12	200.10 200.10	46:14 51:2	position 13:7
203:2 214:13,			56:1,14 65:14	48:4 49:16,24
15 250:22	persons 241:6	places 159:18, 19	69:17 70:3 76:14,24 84:23	92:21,22 93:4,
252:3,5 308:13	Persons 241.0	13	85:1,3 91:7	5,11 94:21
			92:4 95:23	120:11 121:14
periods 163:4	perspective 275:11	plaintiff 1:11	114:20 123:24	122:20 124:24
298:1	273.11	8:18 13:9	164:18 174:4,	167:16,18 172:7 173:3
			17,19 191:15,	229:13 236:12
perjury 187:11	pertains 115:3	plaintiffs 13:7,	22 192:1	293:14,18
		11	217:22 220:8 221:24 225:3	315:21
permission	phasing 293:23		235:9 243:18	
238:24 242:4		plan 15:21	259:13 260:9	positions 36:2
	phone 91:18			
		-	-	-

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: possession..prime

				
146:11,12 possession	219:7,12,17,21, 24 220:1,9,12, 16,23 221:5	69:12 133:20 206:5,6	81:2 presume 95:5	172:6 174:11, 12 266:10 305:13,22
11:6 64:11 68:3,19 198:1 299:12	295:15,23 296:3,11,13 299:11 300:6 302:15 303:4,	prepared 68:12, 21 69:13 170:17 183:4 184:8 206:2	presumes 10:1	prima 205:6
possible 92:15 113:8 119:14	23,24 304:2,10, 17,18,19 305:6	259:14	presumptuous 90:18	primarily 99:20 196:10
215:17 255:20 possibly 152:11 163:15 291:23	powers 17:8 133:23 139:3	preparing 133:12 134:9 184:2 202:15, 21 203:4 224:8	pretrial 8:11,21 9:7 12:19 16:1, 4	primary 17:8 29:9 153:14 271:6
103.13 291.23	practice 12:12			
post 33:19	preceding 181:23	present 2:14 20:20 25:9 31:4 56:13 92:8	pretty 24:12 27:1 121:14 135:9 154:4	prime 33:10 35:2,11,12,13, 17,19,20,24 36:1,4,5,6,9,11,
posted 256:4,6 potential 66:17	predecessors 203:19	113:20 151:9 197:14 202:3 292:10	213:2 263:24 264:20 292:2	15,19,20,23 37:3,10,14,15, 24 38:4,13,14
132:13 134:13 174:22 227:5 228:20	predominantly 297:4	presented 89:19 157:20 158:4,8	prevailing 10:14 prevent 155:16	39:5,6,7,8,9,18, 24 40:1,3,7,13, 14,15,18 41:5, 17,18 43:14
potentially 48:18 215:21 228:21	prefaced 149:8	presently 34:21, 22	prevented 17:24	94:15 145:12, 18,19 147:21 167:12 177:17 213:5 218:20
power 5:4,5 52:20,21,22,23	prefer 46:18 preference	preserving 115:12	previous 304:1	253:19,24 254:7 255:10 259:21 260:2
53:3,11 133:24 134:1 135:6,8,9 138:20 139:6,	10:19 13:13	pressure	previously 128:24 130:14 148:12 179:12	267:17,18,24 268:3,4 269:14, 17,19,20,21
11,14,20 140:8 142:2,20,21,24	preferred 46:17 preparation	243:21	229:9 257:1 288:5	270:6,8,9,10, 18,19,20 271:3,
143:6,16,20 144:16 146:14, 24 147:11,18	104:1 182:18	pressured 243:18	price 51:24 86:8 122:9,10	15,19,20 272:1, 12,17,23 273:4, 8,16,17,22,23
148:13 149:3 176:7 218:23	prepare 68:9	presumably	124:18 141:4	274:3,5,14,16 275:19 276:3,6,
L'	=	-	-	-

Index: Primoris..purchase

9,10 277:1,21, 24 278:3,4	260:14	processed 43:21 311:6,7	pronunciation 96:18	90:4 112:21 305:5
287:1	privately 17:6			
	130:17	processes 39:8	proof 90:6	PSA 145:6
Primoris 298:15		148:8 275:17	305:12 306:13	
	privilege 290:1			public 20:5
principals		produce 60:7	proper 62:20	37:16,19 44:11
21:18,19		192:3 200:11,	117:2	55:1,2 85:2
	privileged 292:3,15	15,21 201:6		97:24 130:17,
print 191:2	292.3,15	242:3,19,20,21	properly 157:20	18 169:12
192:9		247:8 251:10	309:3	170:3 260:1
102.0	probably 97:2	253:15 290:13	000.0	
	266:18			publicly 17:6
printed 27:4 64:6 79:5		produced 62:6	proposition 69:22	44:22 50:20
259:24	problem 74:16	180:17 192:4,	09.22	
200.24	148:18 149:13	11 199:15,18		publish 193:14
	243:1 244:1,16	201:8 221:18	protected	
printout 260:16		242:1,11,15	300:20	muhliahad
	procedures	252:10		published 193:22
prints 27:3	32:2 182:19		prove 162:5	195.22
	201:12 202:22,	production		
prior 25:14	24 203:5,7	201:15	provide 9:23	pull 186:6
27:14 28:4			22:20 23:12,16	
59:19,22 60:3	proceed 15:20	professional	52:24 70:14	pulled 181:13
116:12 152:17		238:7	76:1 104:7	183:22 204:8
154:3 155:4	proceedings		188:11 213:5	
186:2,4 187:16	21:11 317:3	project 56:4	305:12,19,21	purchase 3:16
188:18,20,23				4:20,24 5:2
189:2,13 201:16,24	process 34:10	projects 56:3	provided 23:1	17:8 18:1 45:20
250:3,19	39:6 42:4 51:9		26:18 28:23	50:23 51:21
286:21 287:13,	101:17 109:14		31:8,14 53:13	52:4 53:14 61:3
23 288:10	125:12,13	promise 196:23	64:3,6,11 89:4	69:1,4 70:12
301:7	149:8 170:11		101:4,13 103:17 197:17	72:8,12,17 73:19 74:9
	188:7 189:17	prompted	241:7 258:5	86:6,8 124:16,
private 37:18,	200:4 202:8,10,	118:22	LTI.1 200.0	22 125:3
21,23 38:2,3	16 216:22			133:16,19
45:8,10,14	235:22 238:2,8	promptly	provides 73:24	134:11 135:4,
47:24 49:5,19	279:22 280:11, 12,14,15 309:1	165:13		14 136:12,17
50:19 51:16	12,14,10 309.1		providing 82:1	137:21 138:21
	I	I	l	

Index: purchased..rather

140:6,9,11,15	112:7 155:24	putting 17:14	19,20 200:5,6,	quote 140:17,18
141:4,21 142:2,	163:5 167:4	84:21,23 133:7,	7,12 204:2	
6 145:5 166:19,	176:11,12	9 245:23	205:19 207:9	R
21 188:6 216:1,	177:3,4 178:5		222:24 223:3,5	
4 218:1 219:7,	182:1 189:7	Q	225:13 228:15	
10 221:6 222:6	220:11,22		230:7,12	
223:6,10	306:14		232:18 235:16	Rainbow 112:24
224:20 225:21			237:13,22	114:11,22
226:3,8,16	purposes 9:2	quadruple	247:22 248:3	115:7,22 116:6,
227:10 233:11	16:20 82:3	111:7 112:20	256:1 274:18	10 117:8,18,19
266:9,23	83:21 146:7	113:3 119:3	277:10 279:14	118:18,19
281:10 284:22	147:16 167:7,9,		290:6 291:6	119:6,8 268:21,
285:1 303:4,6,	10 218:18	qualified 204:19	293:17 310:23	23
9,14,20 305:7,	227:5	205:17,19	311:4 315:19	
13,22	221.5	206:13 207:3		raise 17:13
		200.10 201.0	questioning	20:11 22:24
purchased	pursuant 207:6		103:5	55:19 56:5,19
130:11 167:17	216:16 222:6	quality 207:12	103.5	57:12,14,17,19
203:15,19	223:6 226:3			62:10 70:2 75:1
203.15,19	227:6,10,13	quarterly 25:4,5	questions 67:16	121:4,13,16
234.5,25	307:7 312:3	191:18,21	81:5 101:21	121.4,10,10
233.12 300.20	313:21	101.10,21	107:11,22	
			131:15,18,22	raised 71:2
purchaser 52:8	put 11:13 14:14	question 8:15	171:1 185:8	
58:1 69:8	24:1 33:9 44:5	18:5 29:15 30:9	214:22 229:5	raising 54:22
236:23 300:20	46:2,5 57:12	37:22 52:15	234:11,12,13	55:2,9 56:15
	40.2,5 57.12 68:12 77:19	58:13 60:21	273:15 288:4	57:8 70:22,24
nurahacara	120:11 127:6	62:22 68:6 70:1	315:14	73:21 76:22
purchasers 52:11 147:16		79:9 81:15		120:17,24
52.11 147.10	167:6,14 172:7,	86:19 90:16	aujek 70.0	121:12 154:7
	24 173:16	94:7,22 97:13	quick 79:9 207:8	121.12 104.7
purchasing	176:12 177:6 191:8,15 213:4	102:4 108:20	207.0	
53:15	,	116:13 135:24		ran 121:17
	230:22,23	136:1 152:7	quicker 98:19	
purport 205:24	233:17 238:16 239:1 253:24	160:17 161:16		range 174:5
		163:15,17	quickly 255:17,	179:4
	284:5,6 309:6	166:2 169:2,18	20 288:7	170.1
purports 231:5	311:11,14,18	190:15 192:20	20 200.1	
240:13		193:9 194:5,8,		rare 161:22
	putatively 118:5	20,23 195:2,6,	quite 14:13 94:4	
purpose 8:20		9,14 196:15,21,	164:10 234:15	rarely 93:12
17:20 82:1	pute 97.10	22,24 197:8,19		
17.20 02.1	puts 87:19	198:15,16,17,	quizzical 290:24	
			Yuizzivai 230.24	rather 46:19

Index: ratio..records

			•	•
ratio 96:9	realize 244:11	144:11 184:15	289:18 290:8	9:11 74:19 76:5
	Teanze 244.11	185:17 188:3	297:18 298:19	77:3 110:5,21
				,
RDR 1:24	really 12:10	193:6,11 201:9	304:5 305:6,13,	158:3 287:22
	17:11 62:1	202:10 211:16	23	
	108:7 119:3,4	221:21 223:23		reconvene
reach 153:12	171:3 207:12	242:18 252:14	receives 38:23	316:11
	233:5 234:22	261:5 282:6	39:13 270:5	
reached 122:11	260:21 261:8	283:4,15	00.10 21 0.0	
	266:2 273:12	287:18 296:2		record 10:9
	200.2 213.12	305:2 309:19,	receiving 66:10	12:8 14:14 19:2
reaching 302:9		21	107:23 108:16,	21:10 92:2,7
	reason 13:15		22,24 109:5	115:12 118:5
read 15:9 85:22	49:22 181:17		110:14,16	165:5 183:18
	183:15 207:21	recalled 188:1	111:9,11	186:3,6 204:6,
86:3 90:19,22	212:22 213:9		179:12 237:6	8,11 205:12
112:17 118:6	218:14 237:1	receipt 148:5	265:19 274:11	206:11,23
209:5 225:8,11,	307:15	176:10 296:15		207:6 218:18
12 228:13				240:3 241:22,
282:19 288:13			recently 106:5	24 242:1,8,16
293:3,7,20	reasonable 10:6	receive 24:18		248:8 256:2,12
294:2 297:17		27:2,9,14,21	recess 91:24	259:5,7,8,9,10,
299:3,4	reasons 98:16	28:1 39:12 65:2	92:1 164:17,20,	11 260:1,11,20
	139:17 145:6	80:11,13 108:7	21 247:14	261:7 266:14
read] 74:16	139.17 143.0	179:7 237:7	248:5,7 291:22	267:4,5,8
		245:14	292:6,7 317:2	
	reassign 309:5		292.0,7 317.2	274:3,4 276:6
reading 158:21		received 11:21		277:18 278:11
207:19 222:13	record 51.2		recipient 269:18	292:8
304:15	reassigned 51:3	12:14,17 26:3,		
		5,7,21 27:3,4,	na almi an tha	recordkeeping
	recall 25:23	23 66:9,12,14,	recipient's	30:22 31:4,23
reads 292:24	36:23 37:3	16 70:12 73:9,	270:14	189:16
293:9	58:1,15,17	10 107:18		
	66:8,10,12,14	109:17 117:17	recital 156:18	
ready 49:6,20	68:23 75:19	118:1,4,24		records 26:6,
134:12 233:16	99:13 108:22	135:8 167:23,		21,22 27:2,3,
292:6,14	109:20,24	24 176:6 179:8,	recognize 65:22	12,13,17,21
	110:14 111:9	22 181:8 195:5	67:12 79:7,9	28:5,6,10,14
	120:3 121:2,10	204:9 211:3	89:10 135:2	29:19 32:3,4,6
real 95:1 96:4	125:1 129:6	213:13 230:9	281:18	48:15,17 60:8,
276:17	133:13,24	237:5 239:17		11 100:18
	135:1 136:23	241:7 255:21	recognizes 68:5	125:19 128:22
realities 115:10	138:2 143:5	263:19 271:18		181:3,12,13
	100.2 170.0	281:23 284:13		183:1,12,23
			recollection	

Index: redact..relevancy

189:13 190:6 191:1 192:2,3, 4,11 195:11	59:12 96:17 132:11 152:19 303:16 304:11	reflect 264:2 reflects 246:11	153:4 191:17 regularly	related 1:17 97:12 132:17 170:17 216:3,4
196:2,7 197:24 198:6 199:8,10, 12,15 200:11,	reference 26:16 75:3 90:11	reforwarding	205:15 206:3,5	221:23 224:20, 22 226:8 228:4 247:4 252:23
19,22 201:3,7 204:16,20,22, 22 205:7 21	221:19 230:9 256:9 259:10	117:1	regulations 87:3 191:5,12	253:16 262:18 276:10
23 205:7,21 207:2 212:22 213:6 219:16 246:21 249:2	284:20 285:3,9 288:24 311:24	refresh 74:19 110:5,21	regulator 257:9 260:18	relates 255:1
252:6,8,15,22 253:15,16,18,	referenced 82:7 138:3 143:20	regard 127:12 183:18 249:4	regulators	relating 228:9
22,23 254:1,3, 7,9,14,17 255:10 256:23,	151:14 222:5 226:2,15 227:9 284:15	312:6	27:13 256:24 257:4 260:16	relationship 25:18 29:8
24 257:5,9 259:12,17,19,	references 74:8	regarding 11:1 74:1,21 99:9 102:1,10,19,23	regulatory 82:3 87:3	35:19 36:3 58:6 70:22
20,24 260:14 261:2,6,9,11 265:17,18 267:19 271:14,	234:8 284:22 285:1,20,24 286:1 289:9	110:6 113:23 119:24 121:12 126:5 146:9 160:17 161:19	reinvest 71:6	relationships 35:16 36:6,9, 11,20
23 272:3,8,16 273:19 274:1 276:5,15 277:20,22	referencing 224:14 228:18 285:6 310:20	164:2 207:4 219:21 291:15 292:3,15 306:7	reinvested 71:4	relative 250:7, 10
278:2,8 290:13, 15,24		regardless	157:21	release 38:15
redact 264:21,	referral 23:13	107:19 241:11	reissued 46:4 158:9,10,11,23	265:9
23	referred 17:1 19:11 22:1 88:24 278:20	regards 138:17 157:23	reissuing	released 38:18
redacted 175:23	279:8	register 143:14	158:14	relevance 58:3 60:17,22 61:6,
reduced 17:10	referring 132:12 186:19 198:11	286:13,24 287:9 300:22	reiterate 288:17	14 62:16 73:15, 17,19 74:2 107:10 112:8
reengaged 56:15	222:11,19,21 223:1 287:17 301:9 313:7	registered 3:14 28:21 105:1	relate 213:21 226:19 250:24 259:20	relevancy 58:4 107:8
refer 13:17		regular 150:7,8		

Index: relevant..response

relevant 60:15	12	represent	111:16 120:4	Reserve 269:21
61:8,14,17,21		134:12 310:21	155:19 271:24	270:12,20,21,
62:12,17,22,23			275:7,20,23	24 271:3,4,6,9
63:1 69:18	remind 168:14		306:13	273:17,18,24
73:23 74:1		representative	000.10	277:2 278:7
252:18	removed 177:2	249:21		211.2 210.1
232.10			requests 102:1,	
		represented	5,6,9 155:15	residency 26:17
reliability 207:4	Reno 1:22 2:6,	104:18,24	167:23,24	90:7
	11 7:2 165:2	·	168:1,4,10	
		244:22	172:12 191:8	recidential
relief 12:24	non 10.11		208:15 213:4,	residential
13:1,2 279:1	rep 42:11	representing	22 245:9 249:6	26:17
292:22		302:2	275:15 276:2	
	repeatedly		277:3	resolve 8:10
rely 107:13	228:13			
108:2 205:1,23		request 6:7		
		28:7,8 39:7,16	require 102:23	resolved 9:9
	rephrase	41:20 46:3	169:19 257:4	10:15 157:19
relying 40:18	102:17 135:24	49:12 87:19	260:17	
293:22	136:1 251:2	109:3 110:18		respect 29:21
	252:21 290:4,5	111:1,13 113:2	required 43:16	73:21 106:21
remainder		118:1 125:14	51:20 52:9,19	275:3 276:14
250:23	replaced 289:5	157:5 172:21	82:3 90:6,12	210.0 210.14
200.20		176:11,12	91:15,17	
		193:13 211:17	115:12 129:18	respective
remained	replacement	253:24 272:14,	130:13 133:14	316:13
141:23	156:21 158:12	15 274:13,20,	146:20 166:22	
	288:19 289:2	24 275:17,18		respond 134:5
remaining		276:6,24 277:1,	256:23 257:8	135:13 234:13
212:18 309:8	replacing	2,13,16 287:13		155.15 254.15
212.10 309.0	156:14	294:24 298:5	requirements	
	150.14	303:3	61:11 82:5	responding
remedy 247:6			90:13 91:16	305:4
	report 205:12			
nomember 0.04	-	requested 75:8		
remember 8:21	reperted 1.04	155:14 156:19	reread 225:7	responds
54:13 57:4,5	reported 1:24	197:16 249:9,		134:19
60:9 76:8 99:6,	189:19,22	10 253:18	research 56:22	
15,16 108:17		274:21 278:9	76:17	response 61:13
111:23 122:7	reporter 137:10	280:5 287:6	-	102:13 107:12
124:19 128:23	196:16	304:21		108:3,24 109:5
144:11 178:10			resell 146:23	110:16 111:11
218:15 314:11,		requesting		133:3 178:8
	reporter's 21:11			
	I		I	

Index: responses..sale

r				
197:4 247:22	119:17 149:24	reviews 145:9	rules 45:12 82:4	314:1 316:1
264:6 303:3	168:1 181:3	258:12		
	182:5 210:12		ruling 116:14	sale 4:20,23 5:2
responses	213:8 250:18	revolved 122:19		17:9 20:15 25:3
171:5				45:20 47:24
	resulted 290:23		rush 171:7	48:13,14 49:5,
		right-hand	221:2	19 50:23 51:21
responsibilities		59:13 71:12		52:5 53:14
316:23	results 180:10,	100:1 106:8	S	60:24 66:20
	16	155:21		67:6,10 69:1,4
responsibility				123:21 126:5
33:11,20 35:20	Resumed 3:5	rights 35:14	S-I-M-O-N-I-T-C-	129:16 133:14,
,	165:22 208:2	5		16,19 134:11
			H 301:15	135:3,14
responsible		risk 29:23 33:6,		136:12,18
35:5 48:22,24	retain 10:3,4	9 48:19,22	S-O-U-R-S-O-S	137:21 138:21
166:6 280:14		50:6,12,16	128:5	140:6,8,11,15,
	retained 150:16,	84:1,6 93:13		17 141:21
rest 74:12 274:6	17	94:18 173:4	safekeeping	142:3,6 145:24
		307:16,17,19	176:9	146:2,9,10,13,
restricted	retention	308:17,18,19	170.3	16 147:5,8
	195:10	315:15		156:7 166:19,
104:22 105:3, 21 130:12	195.10		safer 307:20	21,22 167:1
168:20		risks 307:13		199:2 210:1,3
100.20	revenue 24:14	308:12 309:14	said 8:22 12:20	214:17 215:15,
	25:5		13:20 24:3 83:7	17 216:2,4
restriction		Road 2:5	101:16 115:11,	218:1 219:10
44:23 45:3,22	revenues 24:9	Rudu 2.5	13 163:11	221:6 222:6,19
46:1,5 49:20	Tevenues 24.9		165:10 171:17	223:7,10
140:2 148:22		role 67:6 234:18	180:21 183:21	224:20 225:4,
169:22	review 31:8,17		186:5 196:12	21 226:3,8,16
	78:7 79:1 88:22	roughly 251:4	200:10,15	227:10 228:19,
restrictions	187:15 283:14		201:20 206:4,	20 231:2 233:5
45:1,7			16,17 225:11	234:9 245:9,23
	reviewed 12:11,	rounded	230:8 249:4	246:22 259:6
	12,15,18,20	251:15,16	254:17 255:15	260:5,14
restrictive	31:12 125:18		263:4 270:24	261:13,18
177:2	206:21 283:4	rule 45:12 68:7	284:1 288:1,2	263:3 265:17
		69:22 161:14,	291:12 292:12	266:6 281:10
result 68:4		15,18 183:2	294:12 310:6,	284:22 285:1,
107:23 108:9,	reviewing	316:11,13,18,	12,13 311:20	10 289:10
16 118:1	228:14 272:8	23	313:19,24	293:10 302:16

Index: sales..sees

202:4 0 10 14	00.7 00.4 40	04.004.4		05.17.00.04
303:4,6,10,14,	28:7 33:4,13	24 234:4	Sciences 3:9	85:17 88:24
20 312:6	34:4 39:14	237:11 244:19	17:4 83:2	140:14,19
	42:15,20,24	256:12 262:6,9	282:18	141:3,7,12,16
sales 51:16,17	44:1,2 46:11	268:18 276:1		214:2 293:20
243:19	49:6,20 50:8	279:5 285:22	screenshot	313:18 316:9
	54:23 72:19	296:10 312:12	161:3	
	91:4 96:4 97:21		101.0	sections 313:20
same 11:2	98:1 103:9	says 45:14		Sections 010.20
17:17 30:24	108:17 115:19	48:23 59:14	screenshots	
37:5 44:17	118:20 119:5		161:3,5 162:18	secured 88:2
50:12 62:12	131:2 133:6	72:7 74:11,14		
96:9 101:9,10	135:9 141:9	75:12 79:1	50.0	
102:11 133:19	152:13 159:14	84:14,17 86:5	screw 50:8	securities
141:1,4,13	162:22 167:20	104:24 132:6		32:14,15 45:2
143:1 156:2	172:18 173:11,	134:19 137:3	search 63:22,24	63:12 85:22
157:7 159:20	12 174:12	138:16 140:21	182:9	86:6,8,24 93:13
160:9,17	187:10 189:24	141:4,7 151:4,	102.0	94:13,14 191:5,
163:23 177:7,8	191:19 196:7,	9,11 152:11		12 214:2,7,12,
· ·	,	156:18 157:17	searched 182:7	18 265:4
178:19,22	17 205:22	173:5 176:6		
196:8,20 197:2	225:23 244:5	195:16 196:18	Coorobing 70.5	
203:13 207:14,	245:5 251:1	210:3 214:6	Searching 73:5	security 44:11
18,21 212:5,18	252:16,20	231:6,7 247:21	106:17	85:2 87:2 94:3,
221:7 222:18	257:12 258:17	249:13,15		17 300:21
237:3 241:18	259:9,18 273:3,	282:14,15	SEC 45:12	
256:1 273:15	20 276:9	283:22 285:5,		seeking 12:23
301:9	285:15,19,20	12,22 288:2		52:8,17
	286:3,4,6,9	· ·	second 1:6 2:11	52.0,17
	287:8,11	295:14,19,21,	71:18 82:9 89:9	
satisfy 85:15	289:21 290:9	22 296:14	129:4 130:23	seems 250:9
	292:3 299:19	297:9,12	151:20 163:11	
SATTLER 1:8	300:24 301:3,7	300:19 304:18	175:24 208:12	44.40
	306:1 308:1		214:1,6 216:3,5	seen 11:10
	309:17 310:2	scenario 47:23	219:11,14	29:18 59:19,22
savvy 98:19	313:6,20 314:7,		220:13 222:13	66:5 69:21
	10		228:12,19	112:20 168:6
saw 60:2,5		Schedule 67:3	236:1 277:8	190:17 194:6,
165:11 188:4			281:7,10,13	21 213:21
	saying 61:9	sahaal 10:15	294:21 310:8	219:23 221:18
219:17,21	65:12 68:2 91:5	school 19:15	234.21 310.0	264:3 278:19
277:23 278:6,	134:5 135:12,			281:3
12 283:3	13 137:20	Science 210:3	second-to-last	
	149:9 169:5	302:7	65:18 67:13	47.00
say 22:12 27:17	176:24 191:4,			sees 47:20
	I		section 84:14	
L				

Index: selected..shares

	1	1	1	
selected 144:9	75:22 130:7	115:22 270:13 298:16	22:23 37:20 80:12	several 199:5
sell 17:18 18:2	sellers 135:3			share 3:9 24:14
24:22 34:3,6,17		sense 25:2 27:6	September 65:4	115:4 122:20
37:17 41:15		92:6 258:1	72:8,15 212:15,	124:22 166:7
42:15 43:6	selling 17:6,13		18 218:6	167:13 173:13
44:2,21,24	38:3 52:4		223:19,22	174:11 216:20
45:4,15 46:13,	57:19,23 58:6	sensitive	224:2,5 227:23	224:8 299:23
15,16 47:14,16	61:2 62:10 71:3	260:18	228:8 229:6,10	
49:1,2,6,11,21	73:22 123:1,13,		284:3	aharahaldar
50:18,19 58:10	18 164:9 172:4	sent 64:17,19		shareholder
87:20 93:13	176:16	65:1,2 67:9	series 194:16	83:1,13 113:7
99:3 121:15,19,		68:12 74:11	series 194.10	119:6,10 137:7
23 122:8,9,12	sells 38:22	75:9,10,14,17,		
125:14 130:10,	166:13 172:23	20 109:24	server 73:6	shares 5:4,6
13,16,17,18,23		111:13 114:22		17:3 28:17 29:2
149:6 154:8	Semiannually	115:3 120:8	service 19:24	42:14,16,17
157:6 166:15	191:18	132:12 134:21	23:13	48:24 49:18,21,
169:23 170:3	191.10	135:12 136:22	20.10	22,24 50:19
171:10,13,18		137:16 139:7,8		51:2 68:24 69:8
172:13,14,18,	send 39:22	141:22 143:17	services 22:20	70:14 71:3 75:2
19,23 173:1,5,	40:16 41:10	144:13 156:3	23:2,17,21,22	84:21,23 85:1
12,20,21,22,24	47:16,17,18	161:10 162:6,7	267:24 268:4	93:8 95:6
174:1,10,22	52:13 74:14	216:2 276:2		104:18,24
231:14,18	111:13 134:11	282:2 283:16	set 8:1 84:1	105:15 121:16,
232:4 237:3	139:16,22	284:2 295:3		19,22,24 122:4,
239:2 243:7	149:2 164:7,16	296:8 297:22,		6,14,21 123:1,
244:3 245:6,14,	176:19 191:6,7,	24 298:3,6,18	SETH 2:5	11 124:21
19,20 280:12	13,14,16,20,24	299:15,24		125:3,14
293:12	269:12 282:5	300:2 302:4	sets 85:6	128:16,19
	302:14	303:2,7 304:4,	205:11	129:11 130:5,6,
seller 38:17,19,		24 305:16		24 143:14
22 42:22 44:9	sending 135:18		cottle 175:0	145:16,19
48:1 51:13,14,	136:17 140:7	sentence 141:3,	settle 175:6	147:22 148:10,
24 123:22	145:19 148:13	7 187:23	246:18	18 149:13
127:10 130:2	283:14 296:24	282:13 288:16		156:20 157:6
141:5 169:1,6	298:11 299:17	296:14	settles 42:21	164:9 166:23
282:16 307:22	300:1 303:13,		127:9	171:8 172:13,
308:3,5 312:21,	19,24 304:16	conorate 010:10		22 173:10,12,
23		separate 219:12	seven 151:6	14,18 210:1
	sends 40:3		251:4	216:15,17
seller's 71:9	Jenus 4 0.0	separately		218:20 219:3,6

Index: sharing..sir

220:20 232:13,	13:23	240:13 247:3	244:8 255:9	302:1,13,22
22 233:8,11,15,	13.23	250:20 269:3,	273:10 274:12	303:7,13,19
		,	273.10 274.12	
17 234:3,5,23	shorted 175:16,	11 272:11		304:8,17,20,24
235:13,17	17	278:2,9 309:22	sides 10:11,12	305:4 306:12
236:6,11,12,16,		314:17	232:10	
17,22 237:2,4				Simonitsch's
239:2,4,6	shorter 297:6	showed 172:4		303:3
240:24 241:7,		Showed 172.4	sign 66:5	000.0
20 242:24	shorting 246:16			
244:21,24	315:21	showing 49:9	signature 61:23	simple 17:11
245:16,18,24	313.21	205:6 235:20	•	51:12 52:1
246:4,5,9,10,13		263:8 271:19	65:8,19,22	245:4 279:21
265:20 280:19,	shortly 19:24	278:11 305:12	66:1,4 67:12	
20 282:17	54:17 149:15		68:5,10 79:7,9,	
286:24 289:11	• • • • • • • • • •		13,17 89:9,10	simply 9:22
300:22 302:6		shown 205:16	139:8 142:3	61:6 146:6
	shorts 174:7	241:18	152:1 155:22	161:23 163:2
306:14,17	175:10 267:11		187:4,10	183:3 193:9
309:16 315:8		shows 58:5	281:18	235:11
	abould 10.4			
sharing 307:12	should 10:4	61:1,10 158:21		
	21:6 85:10	208:24 209:23	signed 4:24	simultaneous
	113:14 157:19	210:22 229:15	61:22 64:18	44:15
Sharpie 264:24	169:3 190:20,	241:19,20	68:13,22 89:22,	
	24 193:1,3,5	246:7,8 250:14	23 139:15	since 30:12
sheet 11:13	195:11,13,23	251:10 263:11,	140:12 141:23	31:19 50:12
33:5	196:2 197:24	22 266:5	142:7 185:21	153:1 175:13
33.5	199:22 200:22		187:6 230:17	213:18 305:9
	205:1 225:12	abraddad 40,04		213.10 305.9
shift 307:13,17	252:8 253:23	shredded 46:24		
308:12	254:3,14 255:6		signing 187:16	sing 74:12,13
	256:2,5 308:5	sick 99:14,20		
	,	121:5 129:1	signs 81:1,4	
short 173:1,3,6,		153:7 154:4	258:22	single 71:21,23
24 174:1,9,11,	shouldn't 117:3			196:17 283:10
12,13,19	190:22			
175:18,19		side 21:21	similar 60:24	sir 8:8 22:6
238:15 239:15	show 14:21	42:17,18,19,24	101:3 263:13	42:10 55:6 60:4
243:6 245:2,8,	17:16 112:10	75:22,23,24		70:20 71:15
13,14,22,24	117:24 134:6	76:2 85:3	cimilarly 010.10	70.2071.15 72:14 79:3
246:23,24		142:15 169:10	similarly 212:10	
308:17 315:16	145:21 158:19	174:13 175:18		82:17 83:24
	161:23 185:23	194:17 219:4	Simonitsch 6:2,	91:6 98:15
	198:8 199:11	237:6,7 238:4	3,5 301:14,21	140:20 159:13
short-circuit	208:22 214:11		-,, <u>-</u> .	196:10 213:12

Index: sit..sophisticated

	•			-
014.01 017.1	EE 0 40 40	10.10	27.444645.44	12.20 20.14
214:21 317:1	55:8,16,19	12:16	37:14,16 45:14,	13:20 29:14
	56:7,15,18		17 50:20 70:18	46:23 47:6
sit 76:4 115:14	57:8,22 58:10	Skarpelos's	75:2 93:8 122:6	48:11,12,24
118:4 120:3	61:2 62:8,10	17:18 18:1,6	123:19 128:19	56:11 68:11
134:23 136:20	65:13,20 67:9	54:2 65:24 66:3	129:11 130:21	72:1 95:11
145:16 154:13	68:5,9,20 70:22	79:5,21 84:9	138:5 147:12	98:21 114:9
164:10 233:9	72:22 74:20	100:10 101:14	149:14 170:9	115:23 117:9,
101.10 200.0	76:5,21 77:1,4,	102:1 103:10	229:15 232:22	19 147:17
	19 78:1,3,8	110:23 186:24	237:2,3 241:4	163:16 170:13
sits 35:4	81:1 82:12,24	190:4,16	243:13 282:17	175:4 203:11
	83:12,17 87:6	192:22 195:10	285:6 289:11	209:2 211:22
sitting 18:4	92:5 99:14	197:13 198:7,	315:1 316:3	213:13 216:23
164:5 165:8,15	100:12 101:3	24 201:7		236:10 255:22
233:14 309:17	103:12 105:7,	203:14 208:16	solid 95:5	256:5 269:12
200.14 009.17	24 106:4 107:5		Solid 95.5	296:7 315:9
	120:17 121:23	234:7 235:1		
situation 86:9	151:4 154:14	237:22 240:7,	somebody 7:13	
247:6	155:20 159:8	14 249:23	10:11 43:6,20	sometime 97:2
	161:24 163:4,	250:16 252:23	64:19 136:10	150:16 230:22
alter 4.4.00 45.40	19 164:1,6,14	264:11 267:15	165:9 184:7	
six 14:23 45:16,	165:6 170:18	297:9 298:23	205:1 238:9	sometimes
21 46:10 49:5,	176:8 179:3,20		239:3 244:3,5	12:24 19:11
19 52:17 60:16	189:11 195:4	skip 141:12		21:9 35:21
62:11 105:3	202:4,12	224:4		53:7,10 167:15
130:14,19	208:10 213:13		somehow 47:5	218:19 232:7
138:10 168:19	216:11,12,15		245:11 302:2	252:20 270:2
221:3 251:4	219:17,20	skipped 83:4		307:18
	220:2,9 229:6,		someone 29:16	001110
six-month 52:7	19 231:3,11,14,	slight 290:23	112:23 115:7,	
140:2 148:22	18 235:4	oligin 200.20	23 118:2	somewhere
	236:22 240:19		135:13,18	35:6 55:23
	248:9 249:9	slightly 213:13	183:21 206:9	57:24 62:4
size 146:12			269:10	175:4 183:24
	250:2 251:23	slow 12:24	203.10	234:7 243:6
Skarpelos 1:14	268:6 273:4,5,			244:8 252:8
2:3 3:14,17,18,	13 275:3,7,14,		someone's	253:21
20 4:1,3 5:7,8	20 276:11	small 16:20	95:21	
6:8 8:6,7,8	278:9 282:16	35:18 56:10		soon 113:8
9:15,20 10:18	286:10 289:10	93:20 95:22	someplace	119:13
12:23 13:15,24	292:9 293:10,		163:20	119.10
14:8 15:22 16:5	11 302:17	software 192:6	103.20	
17:12,15,18	313:2	JUILWAIE 132.0		sophisticated
53:19 54:12,16			something 8:12	254:8
00.1004.12,10	Skarpelos'	sold 20:10		

Index: sorry..statements

٦

sorry 31:1 52:14	50:21	101:16 103:20	stamps 101:10	115:10 119:13
71:22 75:23		154:18		141:16 177:16
117:1 151:18				185:14 187:12,
169:2 172:10	sourcing 131:1,		stand 26:9 92:9	22 285:9 318:1
187:8 194:11	2	speculative		
195:18 200:1,3		47:8 101:19	standalone	
214:10 217:14,	Sourson 5:15		114:24	stated 118:11
16 219:1,20		spell 19:1 286:5	117.27	179:4 188:13
221:12 222:13,		Spen 19.1200.3		311:10
,	Soursos 127:23		standard 24:12,	
16 225:11	128:4	spent 240:20	14 135:9	atatamant 5.0
240:16 253:16		-	139:12,16,22	statement 5:9
273:20 275:11		50.40		12:17,19 13:9,
279:7 281:10,	speak 13:1	spoken 56:10		13 14:11 15:6,
11 284:5,24	160:10,18		start 37:20	23 16:8,11,13,
285:22 288:13	198:18	spring 159:21	115:20 120:21	15,18 28:1
289:20 293:23		160:13	121:20 165:13,	69:14 90:8
294:9,18	speaking	100.10	24 168:12	91:14,18 108:7,
295:14 297:14	132:13		194:4,14 197:2	9,13 162:5
301:15 303:17	152.15	springtime	199:23 248:18	179:1,2,5,19
304:11,15		99:15,18	258:8,21,24	182:23 186:3,5
308:1 309:24	special 274:20		316:8,17	190:10,11
316:7	-	aguerahy 102.1		191:8,9,17,24
		squarely 183:1		192:4,6,8,9,14,
	specific 22:11		started 112:11	15,16,17 194:5,
sort 155:3 230:5	63:1 86:24	stack 183:22	121:8 125:5	21 199:18,21,
244:21 256:12	120:6 144:7	206:15 255:18	150:5 171:24	22 200:22
315:22	146:13 177:12		172:6,9	201:2,9 203:20
	251:5 273:3			206:24 208:9
sorted 27:18	274:15	staff 126:21,23	starters 50:5	209:14 213:10
Solieu 27.10		127:1 181:13	57:16	
	specifically	183:22 248:13	07.10	222:5,11,20
sorts 273:15	18:2 31:16			225:20 226:2
	121:10 127:8	stage 308:11	starting 14:7	227:9 229:14
		Slaye 500.11	76:11,13 165:7	236:5 240:16,
sought 53:2	133:18 144:11		227:22 277:9	17 241:19
	178:10,11	stamp 59:3,11,	314:19	249:19 250:4,5,
sound 280:9	189:15,24	13 71:12 72:2		22 251:10,20
	231:21 273:5	99:24 100:3		259:12,15,16
		101:7 114:7	starts 172:11	261:3 263:15
sounds 221:10	specified 97:13	139:9 151:21,	194:17 258:9	264:10,17
284:18		24 155:21,23	288:16 302:21	294:14 305:24
				306:2,3
source 29:9	speculation		state 1:6 19:1	
30010C 23.3	79:24 80:6	stamped 89:22	State 1.0 13.1	statomanta
				statements
	-	-	-	-

Index: states..strictly

			1	
27:22,23,24	109:18 127:12,	46:11 47:14,20,	164:3 166:13,	295:1,13,15,21,
179:13,17	13 147:16	24 48:1,3,5,7,	19,21,23	22,23 296:3,6,
181:6 190:3,7,	10 1 11 10	11,12 49:4,7,	167:12 168:13	11,13 299:5,8
8,10,12,16		14,15,16 50:22	169:23 170:1,7,	300:4,17 301:4
191:2,6,7,13,	stickers 11:21	51:10 52:9,10,	13,21 171:12,	303:3,4,6,20,
14,21 192:10,		18,19,20,22,23	13,18 172:1,2,	23,24 304:2,10,
22 193:3,5	still 20:20 45:15	53:3,11,16,23	5,16,17,18,19	17,18,19 305:6,
195:4,23 196:3,	56:6 62:21	54:21,24 57:18,	174:2 175:10,	7 307:9 309:21
4,6,8 197:12,	64:17 92:8	19,20,23 58:2,	13,16 176:7,13,	310:24 312:6
15,16,20,23	120:13 130:23	6,11 60:24	17 177:1,14,22	313:22 314:15,
199:20 200:10,	131:1 140:5	61:2,3 62:10	178:5,12 199:2	20,23 315:20,
14 201:15,19,	145:6 150:7	66:18 70:9,12,	210:3,12	20,23 315.20, 24
21,22 206:5,6	162:16 174:1	14,18 72:8,12,	210.3,12 215:15 216:24	24
234:16 236:4	175:18 178:21	14,18 72.8,12, 17 73:19,22		
242:4,22 249:4,	190:11 195:11	74:8 84:20	217:2,5,7,9,11,	stockbroker
5 256:21	217:21 237:6,		21 218:1,22,23	44:1
260:23 263:14,	16 243:8 245:5	85:15 87:3,7,20 93:2 95:4,5,6,8,	219:7,11,17,21, 24 220:1,9,11,	
19 264:1	300:7 314:22	93.2 95.4,5,6,8, 13,23 96:2 99:3	16,23 221:5,6	Stockhouse
19 204.1	315:6,8,19,24	104:15,18,19,	222:19 223:1	20:8,10,15
	316:2			20.0,10,13
states 85:23	510.2	21 105:2,6,7,	224:8,19 225:4	
187:12		13,15,18,23 120:13 121:22	226:8,15 228:4	stocks 87:3,5,
	stipulate 11:3	120:13 121:22	229:15 231:2, 15,18 232:4,12,	14 95:15
static 258:5,7	15:10 207:18	13,14,18,19,21	14 234:24	
Static 200.0,7		124:1,7,15,21	235:11 236:7	stop 4:3 54:1
	stipulated	124:1,7,13,21	237:18,19,20,	154:24 155:14,
status 151:9	14:13,22 15:5	130:10 131:5	22 238:12	19 156:3 164:3
158:19 171:24	14.10,22 10.0	133:23,24	239:2,20	210:7 280:2
		135:3,9 139:20,	240:20,22	288:18 289:2
statute 205:11	stipulation 11:1	21 140:6,8,11,	240.20,22	290:19 297:5
206:23	12:9 78:19	15 142:6,20,21,	16,17,23 243:1,	200.10 201.0
200.20		23 143:5,20	6,9,10,11,12	
	stock 3:15 4:1,	145:5,12,21	245:12,16	storage 26:6
stay 113:9	20,23 5:2 8:4,5,	146:23 147:3,	246:13,14,17	27:10,11 29:18
119:15	15 10:13 11:4,	12,17,18	259:5,17	183:23 257:10,
	6,20 17:3,6,13,	148:10,14,19	260:13 261:12,	11
Stearns 76:12	15,18,19 18:1,3	149:3,4,14	18 263:3 266:6	
	24:22 33:14,16,	151:2,14,15	279:2,6,13,16	stored 181:15
	22 34:1,12,14	152:4,22	282:17,23	
step 70:11,13	37:14,16,17	153:18,23	283:20 286:11,	
163:21	38:9,22 41:15,	154:6,8 155:17	14,22 287:5,6,	Street 177:16
	22 44:2,8,16,20	156:20 157:6	8,24 289:11	
steps 78:3	45:6,18,21	158:4,9 159:6	293:11,12	strictly 274:17
	10.0,10,21	100.4,3 103.0	233.11,12	

Index: Strike..talked

	_	_	_	_
Strike 199:14 292:13	101:24 116:7,8 216:1	suggestion 77:14	102:12 103:22, 24 108:12,14 135:23 180:19	127:12,13 140:14 157:22 161:5 163:8,21
structure 24:1, 13 30:19	subsidiary 25:22	suggests 299:16	sustained 106:22 115:18	168:16 169:13 181:2 182:4 183:9 196:16, 19 215:2
stuck 216:22 236:1 237:5 280:17 309:8	substantially 173:4	suing 234:2 235:10	154:19 SWIFT 274:20,	245:20 247:14 264:24 269:8 288:13 291:22
stuff 74:15	substitute 244:21	Suite 2:5	21,22,24 275:5	305:5 307:19 308:18
subject 58:7 113:2 132:5	subtract 244:13	summarizes 27:1	sworn 18:18 syllables 163:8	takeaway 174:20
140:21 162:21 294:24 302:6 316:6	successful 55:18	summary 185:15 186:10, 24 259:12	system 27:5,8, 15 30:23 31:5,	taken 12:13 92:1 164:21 173:18 235:8
subjects 185:6 Submission	such 141:9 157:21,23	support 162:19 185:15 186:23 300:3	23 32:7,8 34:8 96:3 190:14 192:16 201:23 202:1 256:20	248:7 251:5 292:7 309:6
294:24	sudden 95:12 sue 236:15	Supporting	257:1,3,17,20, 23,24 258:6,8, 9,12,18,19,20	takes 33:10 233:6 243:5 252:13 267:1
submit 79:1 91:20	sufficient 62:1	3:20 supports 69:22	systems 254:8	269:24 270:2 taking 60:18
submitted 9:12, 17 11:3 78:8 110:18 131:14 143:9,12	suggest 64:23 293:14	supposed 9:24 190:6 236:18	T	94:18 170:20 173:2 256:13
158:10 159:7 177:24 202:4	suggested 73:24 77:7	238:1 292:3 315:5	tables 25:6	talented 196:18
submitting 143:13 178:4	121:15	surgery 211:18	take 8:11 21:10 33:8,20 35:19 37:20 38:12	talk 41:14 63:13 67:22 103:9,13 132:2 188:16
202:10	suggesting 43:5 62:19 115:15 121:23	surprise 154:11	52:1 78:3,13 84:6,7 91:17,23 92:20 109:17	267:12,20 277:9
subsequent		sustain 101:20		talked 46:7

Index: talking..thing

	1	1		
60:15 72:18	technically	162:7,11	218:10 220:17	147:17 166:10
73:7 107:19	48:14 113:19	166:21 167:1	222:10 224:19	176:17 186:10
128:15 129:15	158:11 237:2	170:12 179:12	225:8,18 227:1,	195:3 202:22,
167:22 168:12	311:13 312:13	180:12,14	11 233:19	24 203:5 219:5
185:6 187:18	011.10.012.10	182:16 183:7	235:2 239:19	233:8,15
188:17 215:15		184:18,19,22	251:22 253:19	235:13 236:16
225:18 249:12	telephone 98:8	185:1 193:6,11		237:5 238:17
			254:12,16 260:13 263:2	
290:21 292:22	telling 107.15	199:19,21		239:3,11,13,14,
306:20	telling 137:15	201:18 204:13	279:4 311:23	16,17 241:9,10,
	177:13 187:18	205:4,5,24	313:14 314:4,5	15,18,23 242:9,
talking 37:18	201:1 282:21	206:16 208:14	316:18	21,23 246:18
40:6 45:7 63:1	283:11	215:9 217:19		257:3,24 258:6,
95:4 123:16		218:13 232:21	text 98:21	11,12,18,19,20
152:14 162:1	template 82:18	233:10 237:21	129:20 132:6,	260:23,24
163:22 196:4,6,		238:10 249:1,	12	264:18 265:9,
19 197:2		22 250:2 258:3	12	13 266:19
224:13 230:6	temporary	259:4 262:2		271:5,7,19
233:4 239:22,	262:22,23	267:21 276:11	texts 160:19	316:13,15,22
24 240:9 247:5		307:6 308:8		
280:5 287:14	term 32:10 34:9	310:3 313:1	than 46:19	themselves
306:2 310:5,14	35:11 59:2,10		52:10,19 64:10	180:15
316:17	95:17 233:13	tootify 64.17	78:2 93:4 97:22	100.15
310.17	250:7	testify 64:17 104:2 113:16		
	200.7		98:17,24	theoretically
tapped 165:10		182:15 184:1,5	114:23 118:10	10:7
	terms 5:11 17:7	185:5 190:23	139:8 141:8	
terrete 02:04	128:15 140:21	193:4,9 198:5	157:18 158:14	the em. 001.10
targets 23:24	141:20 257:16	204:20 269:1	168:7 213:14	theory 221:10
24:1	287:2		215:3 234:18	265:10
		testifying 67:19	236:10 251:13	
tear 169:24		207:1 221:21	254:8 261:3	thereabouts
	terrible 90:9	279:15	266:9 276:15,	35:7 57:24
			21 310:17	
technical	terrorists		313:14 314:5	
308:16	167:20	testimony 17:22		thereafter 18:2
		64:14 100:17	their 13:19	
technicalities		107:20 122:24	26:16,17 27:5,	therefore
307:3	testified 18:19	146:5 180:16	12 29:9,15	288:17
	25:11 58:9 62:9	191:11 196:1	35:22 36:2 38:5	
	69:6,12 103:20	200:13 203:12	39:9 50:7,19	
technicality	120:16 125:20	205:5,16	53:8 58:5 83:23	thing 10:24 37:5
232:10	128:24 130:14	206:18 215:24	84:5 86:17	43:6 47:13 52:6
	139:11 148:12	216:6 217:3	89:20 126:18	62:12 92:14
			00.20 120.10	

Index: things..told

4440 445.0	450:40 005:0	104.40.44	40.0 4 0 44.47	
114:8 115:6	152:12 205:9	164:13,14	42:2,4,6 44:17,	24
116:15 117:6	238:10 308:8	166:14,17	23 53:6,19	
150:5 196:8,17		167:2 169:3	54:10,16 55:4,	time-sensitive
201:2,4,8 204:9	thousand	170:16 171:4	15,24 56:9	288:17,21,24
247:21 261:17	49:18,21,24	188:3,7 197:13,	57:6,18 60:5	289:1
	173:16	22 205:5 206:7	69:17 74:21	200.1
things 21:9	175.10	208:21 213:4	76:9,12 77:11	
•		214:15 219:16	78:12 86:6	times 51:4
26:11 50:23	thousands	220:5 225:15,	91:22 95:1,23	120:7 159:9,10,
53:24 57:16	183:24	17 235:20,24	96:2,4 97:19,	14,24 160:15,
64:23 65:8 69:3		236:23 243:2	20,21,22 99:7,	18 232:8 234:9
76:9,13,18		252:12,13,17,	8,13 100:18	243:13
98:17 113:21,	thread 72:19,20	22,24 254:6	103:2 115:19	
24 114:2	112:11 116:8	256:16 261:9,	117:8 121:3,5,6	
121:21 133:23	117:4 135:11	11 270:16	122:5,11 124:2	timing 157:4
145:22 148:8		273:10 275:15	125:1.23 129:1	
167:15,21	threads 112:16	283:18 311:13	130:13 133:20	title 29:21,23,24
188:17 196:11				51:3
256:9		314:2	149:2,20,23	51.5
	three 16:22		150:16 156:2	
	20:22 21:3	throughout	157:7 162:1	titled 111:7
thinking 247:17	36:18 55:23	113:14 125:7	163:4,23	
	56:11 116:7	242:14 308:13	165:11 166:13	
third 50:6 82:15	157:1 192:14	212.110000.10	171:3,7 172:19	today 10:17
89:14 102:22,	251:4 270:1		174:5,6 176:15	34:3 36:3
24 104:6	2011121011	throw 163:12	179:10 182:19	59:20,23 60:3
180:16,17			185:4,9 188:1	76:4 120:3
242:2 245:1,15	through 12:7,19	tied 274:15	191:17 196:20	134:23 136:20
254:13 271:21	21:18 25:18		197:2 203:2	154:14 216:9
204.15 27 1.21	29:11,12 31:18		205:14,23	217:3 218:10
	37:13 42:1	ties 109:2	213:5 215:2	233:9 248:12
third-party	44:11,19 46:2		220:8 232:16	267:6 313:14
238:11 242:16	48:15 51:9 54:7	timber 70:40	236:11 237:3	314:4
246:12 247:1	73:5 91:8 96:22	tight 76:18	242:18 243:17,	
263:5,12	97:17 98:13		18 247:14,16	
264:16 265:18	99:5,10,21	tightrope 292:1	249:18 251:24	together 24:2
286:6,10,15	100:7 113:24	5	252:13 256:19	56:5 57:13
	114:1 115:21		259:14 260:9	77:12 100:13
	121:17 125:6,7	time 8:1 11:2	269:7 283:7	136:9 160:5
Thornton	126:13,19,20	16:24 17:17	288:13,22	191:9 230:22,
206:10	127:6 128:7	25:3 29:11	•	23
	130:9 146:3	30:8,12,17	296:8 298:1	
thought 60:20	147:6,8 157:18	31:3,19 32:1	300:6 307:10	told 16:9 57:11
73:2 77:12		36:8,16,23 37:2	308:13 314:24	68:24 69:3
13.211.12	163:18,22		315:11 316:7,	00.24 09.3
				I

Index: Tom..transactional

149:21 150:13 165:13 216:20 242:19 287:22	20 111:17 119:24 120:5, 10 126:5 142:5	tough 76:13	260:21 266:15 279:23 308:9	6,10 218:11,17 219:9,11,14,15 220:5,13,17,24
292:13 309:20 310:15 312:5	168:2,7 171:3 202:16 241:19	towards 316:23	trading 167:16 173:13 218:20	221:15,23 223:1 224:7,12,
313:5 315:11	246:7 266:6 269:15,17	trace 274:19,20, 21,23,24 275:5	173:13 218:20	21,24 225:4 226:20,22,23
Tom 6:6 53:23			trail 266:3	227:2,4 228:1,
54:5,7,17 55:1 57:11,12,13,18 66:13,15 68:2 70:13 71:1,6	tomorrow 248:18 316:11, 24	track 200:19 256:21 274:14 tracked 189:19,	transact 34:4 85:1 169:15 171:15	4,10,19 230:19, 21,24 233:18 235:8,20 237:6, 7 238:4 239:13 241:1 244:8
72:22 74:7 77:11 89:24	tonight 132:19	21,22	transacted 34:3	245:19 249:2 250:15,24
96:22 97:12,15, 17 98:4,13,17, 24 99:11,21 113:8 119:1,14	took 117:18 127:15 146:16 168:4 180:13	tracking 256:21 257:16	128:20 138:7 147:22	250:15,24 252:6,8,9 253:2,16,18 254:3,19,23
120:7,17,20,23 121:1,4,9,12, 15,17 123:12, 18,19 129:1 130:2 133:11	188.4 180.13 184:15 185:4 240:6 255:23 285:10 293:14, 18	trade 29:14 32:16 42:21 43:21 44:4,5 50:8,10,11	transaction 37:18,21,23 38:1,2,4,5,6 42:1,20 43:17 44:7 45:8 48:2,	255:1,2,3,4,10, 15,18 256:14, 17,22,23,24 257:4,9 261:3, 6,24 262:7,10,
150:7,8 153:5, 6,10,12,14,22 154:2,3 155:20 160:1,11 161:4, 24 162:11 171:2 179:3 189:10 208:10	top 11:13 82:24 84:14 85:3 116:15,18 117:3 156:19 196:5 204:9	127:6,11,15 146:20 167:16 169:12 173:18 239:1 246:18 259:24 266:16 309:6 311:13, 14,15	5 50:19 51:5, 13,15 60:15,18, 21 63:11 69:5 123:21 125:19, 23 126:4,12,15 127:10,11 128:7 130:9	19 265:11,16, 18 266:5,13 267:1,19 269:15 275:18 279:22 280:1,3, 6,7,13,15,16 283:21 284:21,
211:17 216:11, 12,15 229:6 230:8 261:23	topic 166:18 230:5	tradeable 246:14	134:12 136:9 142:11 146:20 167:9 169:10,	23 285:2 286:18 289:10, 16,22 291:13
263:3 268:9 311:14	total 175:15	traded 17:6	19 173:22 190:6 191:1 192:11 199:12	293:15,21 294:4,8 301:7 302:16 307:6,
	totally 165:8,14	44:22 85:2 219:3	200:11,18,22 201:6 206:18	21 308:2,22,24 310:8,10,12
Tom's 57:10 89:13,15 96:20 98:18 99:2,9 102:10,19	touch 56:6 77:20 292:15	trades 32:16 219:4 247:2,4 259:17,23	210:12 212:3 213:16,17 214:14,19 215:14 216:3,5,	311:13,14,17 312:10,12,22 314:9,12 315:6
109:22 110:3,7,	touched 41:12			transactional

Index: transactions..two-year

		1		
26:6,21 27:12, 17,18,20 28:10 252:15	13 39:7 46:2,3, 11,22 47:3,4, 11,15,19 49:11 52:24 53:4,9,10	208:16 240:24 306:17	trust 21:5,17 25:15,16,21 89:23 100:4 101:7,10	131:6 133:1 134:3,14 138:8, 24 142:16,17 144:19 147:20
transactions 41:14 45:10 50:2,3,16 52:7 53:5 66:7 97:23	87:19 92:19 109:11,13,15, 18 110:18 112:21 113:2,7	transferring 119:24 234:21 306:14	202:12 trustworthiness 206:12	150:21 154:21 156:9 160:21 169:14 175:21 176:22 177:18
110:7 125:10, 11 132:17 189:20,23 191:1 192:2,3,	114:15 118:22 119:6,9,11 143:12,13,14, 17 147:19,20	transfers 152:18 155:17 259:18	truth 107:13 108:4 161:22	178:18 187:3 198:9 208:8 227:21 229:12 230:1 281:7
5,7 198:1,6,23 199:5,9,10,16 201:3,5 205:22 213:1,3,4,6	148:14 150:19 154:24 155:14, 15,19 156:3 157:20,21 158:5,8 163:20	transition 190:13 263:20 transitioned	162:5,19,20,24 truthfully 185:8	turning 65:18 81:19 217:19
214:12 215:11, 12 230:15 245:11,13	164:2,3,7 166:5,11 177:5,	257:23	try 81:5 93:12 113:15 157:6	turns 170:7
246:21,23,24 249:2,11,23 250:18 252:3,7,	12 209:15 210:15 211:13 243:3 253:3,17 260:17 269:17	transmitted 205:14,24	181:22 213:5 254:9,13 291:5 308:15 315:15	twelve 45:16 130:19 221:3
23 253:1,19 254:2,11,13,20 257:16 260:17,	282:22 286:24 287:5 288:18	treating 113:11	trying 21:10 47:14 61:10	twice 160:1
19 261:10 268:18 271:16, 18,24 274:2 276:2,15 277:17 278:12	289:2 295:10 296:16 298:7 302:6 304:3 308:24	trial 1:19 8:2 10:5 12:6,16,18 13:8 16:13,21 58:22 115:11 182:23 206:24	63:10 112:10 121:20 130:23 131:4 154:8 171:2 190:9 191:19,22	two 34:23 42:16 44:8 47:24 56:11 116:7 139:4,17 172:11 196:19
279:24 306:4 310:4 313:9	transferee 142:23 143:7	216:10 236:4,5 310:21 311:24	197:4,6 233:11 236:15 246:2 270:15 290:20 312:11	215:10,12 220:21 235:15 251:4,12 278:12 279:24
transacts 277:17	transferrable 246:14	trials 113:23	turn 9:24 29:16	286:17 307:14 308:15,16
transcript 310:1	transferred 51:3 109:21,22	tried 213:1 313:18	58:23 71:10 78:11,16,23	309:14
transfer 1:10 4:3,4 5:4,6 6:7	110:19,22 111:16 117:15, 21 168:1	true 17:23 107:22 180:18	88:13 99:23 101:2 104:12 105:10 106:6	two-page 71:11, 20
7:6,15 8:3 34:7,	21 100.1	183:5 187:13	110:9 111:3,19	two-year

				11
	1			
159:10,20	13 313:3	86:19 91:6	undertook	270:11 284:10
160:9,19	314:20 315:5,	96:10 98:12	125:13	297:4
192:16	10	103:4 115:10,		
		13 116:6	Unfortunately	updated 137:21
tune 20:21 97:1	ultimotoly 61.1	117:23 124:3	17:16	upualeu 157.21
type 20:21 87:1 199:23 298:16	ultimately 61:1 139:19 145:13	133:8 149:23	17.10	
199.25 290.10		155:12 169:4		upper 104:23
	147:5 242:24	171:15 191:10	Uniform 157:23	
types 30:15	246:13 271:13	197:5 200:13		
31:15 55:15	273:18 309:6	203:12 215:8,		urgent 74:15
148:8		23 220:22	unit 183:23	
	unable 18:2	223:24 229:13		urgently 76:5
		230:7 233:19	United 187:12	119:4
typical 24:12		234:15 235:2		
52:3 123:21	unconsummate	237:10 239:12		
298:13	d 226:20	254:16 255:12	university	USD 214:7
		256:10 262:2,	19:16,17,18	
typically 24:13	undecided	24 274:18		use 59:2,12
25:4 28:17 29:1	178:22	275:13 278:14,	unknown	83:17 84:4 95:4
32:15 35:18	110.22	19 279:14	263:22	140:16 141:1
38:12 45:5		293:24 300:1	200.22	166:9 233:13
90:12 93:21	under 33:6	293.24 300.1		248:14
94:13 120:8	45:12 61:17		unless 13:20	240.14
295:9 298:15	69:10,18 72:7	understanding	48:3 85:24	
	76:13 79:10	15:19 88:7 96:1	101:19 102:16	used 32:10
	85:11,21 87:5	101:12 119:17	107:1 180:19	35:11 119:10
typing 165:9	90:12 91:15	133:9 158:3	197:15 237:7,8	163:21 218:18
	114:23 135:3	172:3 208:15	238:2 265:8	226:21 284:14
	141:13 142:23	240:8 296:5		297:3
U	143:16 157:23	297:22	unrelated	
	162:14 183:1,		220:21 221:6	using 61:3
	11 187:11	understande		297:5
U.S. 210:24	188:13 191:4,			231.3
211:14 253:10	12 206:13,23	117:12	unsure 163:17	
	210:22 224:1		280:9	usually 45:16
Uh-huh 138:13	310:4	understood		48:2 90:7
239:21		118:8 196:13	until 10.5 20.1	123:23 130:14
233.21		197:3 223:1	until 10:5 20:1, 20 44:4 45:22	171:4 256:4
	understand	227:8 253:9	20 44:4 45:22 125:21 130:13	
ultimate 142:10	31:13 41:21	263:2 279:4		
236:24 237:24	44:7 56:21 57:1		142:14 148:16	utility 90:3,7,16,
244:2,20 274:1	60:6 61:14		156:7 164:17	23 91:1,18
278:8 310:4,11,	62:24 63:13	undertake	170:22 189:20	
	64:13 65:14	170:11	238:3 248:4	utilizes 267:24
1				

TRIAL - DAY 1 - 01/28/2019

٦

V venture 20:11, 17,19 virtue 239:10 walking 292:1 21,22 126:8,1 11,14,22,24 V-E-R-D-M-O-N- T 37:8 verbal 129:20 262:12,13 vis-a-vis 61:2 WAM 3:11 17:1 130:1,2,21 vague 30:7 42:2 103:2,5 verbal 129:20 262:12,13 voice 289:19,21 25:11,14,24 146:16.18,22 vague 30:7 42:2 103:2,5 Verdmont 36:16 37:7 40:7,8 41:7 109:10 voir 3:5 184:4,9, 13 205:20 16 31:3,9,19,20 159:5 164:9 valuable 236:10 verification 3:20 81:22 204:7 253:19 volatility 76:10 3:3:13,14 34:17, 20:24 35:8,9 16 32:3,9,1,23 23 180:1,4,6,1 14.21,23 96:7 122:13 124:17 verified 204:10 vait 45:5 49:5, 19 105:3 39:1,2,15,16 184:1,7 185:1 20:11 13 20:4:3 213:1 16 81:12 130:13 23:24 42:8 19 9:03 20:21 22:33 verifying 213:6 290:19 52:1,2,7,17 19:22,44:8 values 94:22,23 verig 26:16 21:01:16,17 22:22:37:8,14 10;13.20 78:1, 46:19 82:13,20 20:24:12 various 19:23 verig 26:2 versus 34:1 93:22 207:20 93:22 207:20 Walk 37:13 99:210:6,6 22:22:23 20:24:12 20:24:12<	268:3	246:10	6	Walker's 11:2	125:9,12,14,18,
V venture 20:11, 17,19 virtue 239:10 walking 292:1 11,14,22,24 127:1,14 V-E-R-D-M-O-N- T 37:8 verbal 129:20 262:12,13 virtue 239:10 walking 292:1 11,14,22,24 127:1,14 vague 30:7 42:2 103:2,5 verbal 129:20 262:12,13 voice 289:19,21 265:11,14,24 262:7,19,282, 41:7 109:10 147:81,2,16 41:3 20:610 valuable 236:10 verification 3:20 81:22 93:16 94:2,3,4, 24 95:6,7,13, 14,21,23 96:7 verified 204:10 voit 3:5 184:4,9, 13 205:20 16 31:3,9,19,20 32:1,19,21,23 159:5 164:9 32:1,19,21,23 value 85:9 87:1 93:16 94:2,3,4, 24 95:6,7,13, 14,21,23 96:7 verified 204:10 verified 204:10 wait 45:5 49:5, 19 105:3 39:1,2,15,16 184:1,7 185:19 39:1,2,15,16 verify 26:16 210:11,13 verifying 213:6 19 105:3 19 105:3 23:24 42:8 19 190:3 33:1,14 34:1,7 189:2,6 197:1 39:1,2,15,16 variance 95:22 verifying 213:6 19 105:12, 13 6:21 137:23 19 105:12, 15 23:7,8,14 20:3,12,18 19 99:3 22:16 93:1,18 19:2,2 207:10, 20:24 32:1,18 19:22 207:10, 30:15,19 variance 95:22 versus 34:1 93:22 207:20 valk 37:13 84:19 87:13,20 20:16 93:1,18 20:31,5,19 various 19:23 26:22 66:7 via 66:8 98:6,8 <td>200.5</td> <td>240.10</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td>	200.5	240.10	0		
V Venture 20:11, 17,19 Virtue 239:10 warking 292:1 127:1,14 127:1,14 V-E-R-D-M-O-N- T 37:8 verbal 129:20 262:12,13 vis-a-vis 61:2 WAM 3:11 17:1 19:11 24:4,7 139:18 144:3 vague 30:7 42:2 Verdmont 36:16 37:7 40:7,8 voice 289:19,21 25:11,14,24 149:5 152:24 valuable 236:10 verification 37:7 40:7,8 voir 3:5 184:4,9, 13 205:20 16 31:3,919,20 159:5 164:9 valuable 236:10 verification 32:04:7 253:19 volatility 76:10 36:3,8,9,11,23 36:3,8,9,11,23 36:3,8,9,11,23 38:7,10,11 38:7,10,11 38:5,19,20 value 85:9 87:1 3:20 81:22 wait 45:5 49:5, 172:17, 203:18 Verify 26:16 116:12 130:13 36:3,8,9,11,23 38:12,214,17 188:18,23 12:11,113 204:7 253:19 W 37:2,41,17 188:18,23 19:12 19:22 19:12 19:22 19:12 19:22 188:18,23 12:11,11,3 204:7 253:19 W wait 45:5 49:5, 19:12:13:12,414,17 188:18,23 19:12 19:22 19:12 19:22 19:12 19:22 19:12 19:22 19:12 19:22 19:12 19:22 19:12 19:22 19:12 19:22 19:12 19:22					
V-E-R-D-M-O-N- T 37:8 verbal 129:20 262:12,13 vis-a-vis 61:2 voice 289:19,21 WAM 3:11 17:1 19:11 24:4,7 25:11,14,24 129:13,17 130:12,21 vague 30:7 42:2 103:2,5 Verdmont 36:16 37:7 40:7,8 41:7 109:10 voice 289:19,21 25:11,14,24 26:2,7,19 28:2, 4,13 29:6,10, 16,21 30:3,12, 32:1,19,21,23 146:16,18,22 147:8,12,16 valuable 236:10 verification 3:20 81:22 voir 3:5 184:4,9, 13 205:20 16,21 30:3,12, 33:13,14 34:17, 20,24 35:8,9 129:13,17, 13:14,13,115,18,1 value 85:9 87:1 93:16 94:2,3,4, 24 95:6,7,13, 14,21,23 96:7 verified 204:10 verified 204:10 vait 45:5 49:5, 19 105:3 33:7,40,11 182:8,19,83,11,13 verify 26:16 19 105:3 19 105:3 41:2,14,17 16 187:23 189:2,8,11,13 266:16 270:1 verify 26:16 19 105:3 41:2,24,42:8 189:2,8,11,13 16 187:23 values 94:22,23 verify 21:3:6 290:19 43:1,20 78:1, 19:23 248:1,4 52:1,2,7,17 20:01,15,19, 119:14 165:12, 15:2,31,21 99:10:3,71 values 94:22,23 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walk 37:13 84:19 87:13,20 20:31:1,18,19 values 94:22,23 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walk 37:13 84:19 87:13,20 20:31:1,18,19 20:2	V	,	virtue 239:10	walking 292:1	
V-E-R-D-M-O-N- T 37:8 verbal 129:20 262:12,13 vis-a-vis 61:2 WAM 3:11 17:1 19:11 24:4,7 130:1,2,21 1318 14:43 vague 30:7 42:2 103:2,5 Verdmont 36:16 37:7 40:7,8 41:7 109:10 voice 289:19,21 32:05:20 25:11,14,24 16,21 30:3,12, 13 205:20 143:3,919,20 32:1,19,21,23 149:5 152:24 163:3,9,19,20 32:1,19,21,23 valuable 236:10 verification 33:16 94:2,3,4, 24 95:6,7,13, 14,21,23 96:7 verified 204:10 verified 204:10 volatility 76:10 20:47 253:19 30:12,15,16 16 187:23 30:12,15,16 23:80:1,4,6,7 30:2,14,17 15 181:9,20 30:2,14,17 204:7 253:19 verified 204:10 vait 45:5 49:5, 19 105:3 36:3,8,9,11,23 39:1,2,15,16 188:18,23 39:1,2,15,16 188:18,23 39:1,2,15,16 204:7 253:19 verify 26:16 19 105:3 116:12 130:13 168:19 198:19 39:12,2 14:17 138:14,48 19 190:3 188:1,48 19 19:32 44:12,14,15,16, 188:18,23 189:1,48 19 19:12 192:2 44:13,20,24 19 19:12 192:2 44:13 19 19:12 192:2 19 112 19 112 19 112 19 112 19 114 165:12, 19 112 19 112 19 112 19		17,19			,
V-E-R-D-M-O-N- T 37:8 verbal 129:20 262:12,13 voice 289:19,21 19:11 24:4,7 133:18 14:4:3 vague 30:7 42:2 Verdmont 36:16 37:7 40:7,8 voice 289:19,21 25:11,14,24 146:16,18,22 valuable 236:10 37:7 40:7,8 41:7 109:10 voir 3:5 184:4,9, 13 205:20 16,21 30:3,12, 16,31:3,919,20 159:5 164:9 valuable 236:10 verification 3:20 81:22 volatility 76:10 20:43 58,9 12 179:13,14, 33:13,14 34:17, 12 179:13,14, 33:13,14 34:17, 12 179:13,14, 33:13,14 34:17, 12 179:13,14, 12 179:13,14, 13 8:7,10,11 13 8:7,10,11 18 8:15,12,2 13 10:1,46,7 value 85:9 87:1 12 213:12 124:17 verify 26:16 204:3 213:1 116:12 130:13 16 8:19 198:19 38:7,10,11 18 8:18,23 18 8:12,8 19 10:3 18 8:12,8 19 10:3 250:10 253:13 266:16 270:1 300:21 verifying 213:6 verifying 213:6 19 112 139:2 19 223 248:1,4 47:13,20,24 19 121 2192:2 19:2,2,3,12,18 values 94:22,23 verion 33:24 136:21 137:23 vaiting 113:9 19:2,2 37:8,14 10,13,20 78:1, 10,13,20 78:1, 10,320 78:1, 23 20:2,4,12 20:2,4,12 various 19:23 26:23 66:7 98:20 16			vis-a-vis 61·2	WAM 3.11 17.1	
T 37:8 262:12,13 voice 289:19,21 25:11,14,24 146:16,18,22 vague 30:7 42:2 Verdmont 36:16 37:7 40:7,8 41:7 109:10 voire 35:184:4,9, 16,21 30:3,12, 135:15,18,12,16 valuable 236:10 verification 3:20 81:22 204:7 253:19 W 33:13,14 34:17, 169:6 172:11, value 85:9 87:1 93:16 94:2,3,4, verified 204:7 253:19 W 37:2,14,17 15 181:9,20 32:10 11,13 verified 204:10 verified 204:10 Wait 45:5 49:5, 142:1,21,5,16 484:1,7 185:1 12:2:13 124:17 verify 26:16 204:3 213:1 168:19 198:19 39:1,2,15,16 484:1,7 185:1 26:16 270:1 verify 26:16 204:3 213:1 168:19 198:19 43:11,22 44:8 19 190:3 300:21 verifying 213:6 verifying 213:6 20:17 20:13,14 19:12 192:2 values 94:22,23 verison 33:24 19:14 165:12, 51:5,11,13 19:24 198:6,2 19:24 19:25 93:22 207:20 Value 38:118,31	V-E-R-D-M-O-N-	verbal 129.20			
vague 30:7 42:2 Verdmont 36:16 voice 289:19,21 26:2,7,19 28:2, 147:8,12,16 103:2,5 37:7 40:7,8 41:3 29:6,10, 149:5 152:24 149:5 152:24 valuable 236:10 verification 13 205:20 16,21 30:3,12, 159:5 164:9 valuable 236:10 verification 32:0 81:22 204:7 253:19 W 37:2,14,17 15 181:9,20 14,21,23 96:7 204:7 253:19 W 37:2,14,17 15 181:9,20 38:7,10,11 182:5,19 183: 14,21,23 96:7 verify 26:16 19 105:3 19 105:3 14:12,14,1516 184:17, 185:1 122:13 124:17 verify 26:16 19 105:3 19 105:3 18:18,23 189:2,8,11,13 16 187:23 26:616 270:1 300:21 verifying 213:6 290:19 50:1,2,3,12,18 19:22,4,12 19:22,6,16,17 19:23,244:28 19:22,6,17,17 19:12 19:22 values 94:22,23 verifying 213:6 290:19 50:1,2,3,12,18 19:24,18,16,17 19:22,4,12 values 94:22,23 verifying 213:6 29:22 207:20 Walk 37:13 92:16,93:1,18 20					
vague 30:7 42:2 103:2,5 Verdmont 36:16 37:7 40:7,8 41:7 109:10 voir 3:5 184:4,9, 13 205:20 4,13 29:6,10, 16,21 30:3,12, 16,21 30:3,13,14 34:17, 20,24 35:8,9 31,2,15,16 149:5 152:24 15,31,1,3,14,34:17, 16,31,3,9,19,20 32:1,17,23 18,21,41,71 18,21,516 149:5 152:24 15,31,1,3,12, 16,21 30:3,12, 18,21,42,42,8 19,10,3 30:21 values 94:22,23 verify 26:16 20:11 300:21 verifying 213:6 21,2,7,17 wait 45:5 49:5, 19,105:3 116:12 130:13 168:19 198:19 199:23 248:1,4 290:19 4,13 29:6,10, 32,24 42:8 19,103 188:18,23 23,24 42:8 19,103 199:2,2,8,11,13 199:24,198:6,2 32,3,42,18 values 94:22,23 verifying 213:6 waiting 113:9 119:14 165:12, 15 233:7,8,14 19,24 198:6,2 51:4,20,22 6:17 20,213:5,19 23:42,37.11 various 19:23 26:23 66:7 via 66:8 98:6,8 98:20 168:2 waik 37:13 Walk 37:13 92:16 93:1,18 206:6,14 206:6,14 97:5 100:17 202:22 23:22 23:22 23:22 vault 33:18 view 280:17 28:416 11:8		,	voice 289:19,21		
value 9.07 42.2 verimont voir 35: 184:4,9, 16,21 30:3,12, 153:1,15,18,1 103:2,5 37: 7 40:7,8 41: 7 109:10 13 205:20 16 31:3,9,19,20 159:5 164:9 valuable 236:10 verification 32:1,19,21,23 169:6 172:11, 93:16 94:2,3,4, 204:7 253:19 W 37:7,214,17 158:1,9 198:3 14,21,23 96:7 verified 204:10 wait 45:5 49:5, 19 105:3 122:13 124:17 136:21 131:1 166:12 130:13 168:19 198:19 39:1,2,15,16 184:17, 185:11 236:6,10,11 204:3 213:1 168:19 198:19 43:11,22 44:8 19 190:3 236:6,10,11 204:3 213:1 186:19 198:19 43:11,22 44:8 19 190:3 230:21 verifying 213:6 weiting 113:9 119:14 165:12, 300:21 verifying 213:6 Waik 37:13 199:23 248:1,4 47:13,20,24 191:12 192:2 values 94:22,23 136:21 137:23 119:14 165:12, 152:3,7,8,14 10,13,20 78:1, 23 202:4,12					
103.2,5 37.7 40.7,8 41:7 109:10 13 205:20 16 31:3,9,9,20 32:1,19,21,23 159:5 164:9 32:1,19,21,23 valuable 236:10 verification 3:20 81:22 volatility 76:10 32:1,19,21,23 168:2,7,24 yaluable 236:10 verification 3:20 81:22 volatility 76:10 33:1,14 34:17, 20,24 35:89 12 179:13,14, 36:3,89,11,23 23 80:1,4,6,1 yaluable 236:7 verified 204:10 wait 45:5 49:5, 19 105:3 38:7,10,11 182:5,19 183: 39:1,2,15,16 184:1,7 185:1 122:13 124:17 verify 26:16 19 105:3 16 61:12 130:13 16 187:23 189:2,8,11,13 2366:10,11 204:3 213:1 166:12 130:13 1320:2,42 42:8 189:2,8,11,13 189:12,92:2 300:21 verifying 213:6 290:19 52:1,2,7,17 200:10,15,19, 53:4,5 77:1,5,8, 19:4 198:6,2 variance 95:22 versus 34:1 93:22 207:20 waik 37:13 84:19 87:13,20 204:16 205:11 various 19:23 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 102:2,23 232:22 23:2 232:22 23:2 98:20 168:2 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 102:22,23 232:22 23:2 232:22 23:2 98:20 168:2 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 107	•		voir 3:5 184:4,9,		
valuable 236:10 verification 32:1,19,21,23 168:2,7,24 value 85:9 87:1 32:0 81:22 33:13,14 34:17, 169:6 172:11, 93:16 94:2,34, 204:7 253:19 W 36:38,9,11,23 23 180:1,46, 14,21,23 96:7 verified 204:10 wait 45:5 49:5, 39:1,2,15,16 184:1,7 185:1 122:13 124:17 verify 26:16 19 105:3 39:1,2,24:18 19 105:3 236:6,10,11 204:3 213:1 168:19 198:19 43:11,22 44:8 19 190:3 250:10 253:13 verifying 213:6 290:19 48:7,16,17 19:22,64:11,3 266:16 270:1 veriging 213:6 290:19 48:7,16,17 19:22,64:19:11 300:21 verison 33:24 waiting 113:9 52:1,2,7,17 200:10,15:19 values 94:22,23 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walk 37:13 99:23 248:1,4 46,9 82:13 203:15,19 93:22 207:20 Walk 37:13 84:19 87:13,20 204:16 205:19 92:16 93:1,18 206:6,14 98:20 168:2 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walk 37:13 84:19 87:13,20 204:16 205:19 92:16 93:1,18 206:6,14 98:20 168:2 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walk 37:13<	103:2,5				
valuable 236:10 verification solutility 76:10 33:13,14 34:17, 20,24 35:8,9 169:6 172:11, 20,24 35:8,9 93:16 94:2,3,4, 204:7 253:19 204:7 253:19 W 36:3,8,9,11,23 23 180:1,4,6,7 24 95:6,7,13, 14,21,23 96:7 204:7 253:19 W 37:2,14,17 15 181:9,20 122:13 124:17 verified 204:10 wait 45:5 49:5, 19 105:3 38:17,10,11 182:5,19 183: 210:11,13 204:3 213:1 16:12 130:13 16:14:12 30:13 16:14:2 130:13 16:17:23 250:10 253:13 204:3 213:1 168:19 198:19 43:11,22 44:8 19 100:3 266:16 270:1 verifying 213:6 290:19 50:2,3,12,18 19:24 198:6,2 300:21 version 33:24 waiting 113:9 119:14 165:12, 15:11,13 199:8,10,16,1 values 94:22,23 136:21 137:23 119:14 165:12, 15:233:7,8,14 10,13,20 78:1, 23 202:4,12 23 202:4,12 variance 95:22 versus 34:1 93:22 207:20 Walk 37:13 84:19 87:13,20 204:16 205:13 98:20 168:2 via 66:8 98:6,8 Valk 37:13 84:19 87:13,20 204:16 205:14 98:		41:7 109:10			
value 85:9 87:1 93:16 94:2,3,4, 24 95:6,7,13, 14,21,23 96:7 122:13 124:17 172:17 203:18 210:11,13 236:6,10,11 255:10 253:13 266:16 270:1 300:21 verification 3:20 81:22 204:7 253:19 with 45:5 49:5, 19 105:3 116:12 130:13 168:19 198:19 199:23 248:1,4 20,24 35:8,9 36:3,8,9,11,23 37:2,14,17 12 179:13,14, 36:3,8,9,11,23 verified 204:10 verified 204:10 wait 45:5 49:5, 19 105:3 116:12 130:13 38:7,10,11 182:5,19 183: 39:1,2,15,16 210:11,13 verify 26:16 204:3 213:1 verify 19 81:9 19 105:3 16:12 130:13 168:19 198:19 23,24 42:8 189:2,8,11,13 250:10 253:13 266:16 270:1 verifying 213:6 290:19 48:7,16,17 195:2,6 197:1 values 94:22,23 136:21 137:23 119:14 165:12, 15 233:7,8,14 53:4,5 77:1,5,8, 21 201:16,19, 39:22 207:20 204:16 205:13 92:16 93:1,18 204:16 205:13 202:4,12 various 19:23 28:20 168:2 184:18 239:5 296:22 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 5:14,20,22 6:1 7:10,11,12,14, 16,17 8:12,13 103:18 104:7,8 23:22 23:22 valut 33:18 view 280:17 11:8,9,15,23 109:21 103;7, 12:23 149; 23:111:16,17 241:3 242:2,8	valuable 236:10				
value 85:9 87:1 93:16 94:2,3,4, 24 95:6,7,13, 14,21,23 96:7 3:20 81:22 204:7 253:19 36:3,8,9,11,23 37:2,14,17 23 180:1,4,6,7,13 14,21,23 96:7 verified 204:10 wait 45:5 49:5, 172:17 203:18 39:1,2,15,16 184:1,7 185:1 210:11,13 204:3 213:1 wait 45:5 49:5, 116:12 130:13 23,22,42:8 189:2,8,11,13 256:10 253:13 266:16 270:1 204:3 213:1 168:19 198:19 43:11,22 44:8 19 100:3 266:16 270:1 verifying 213:6 290:19 51:5,11,13 199:23 248:1,4 47:13,20,24 191:12 192:27 300:21 version 33:24 waiting 113:9 52:1,2,7,17 200:10,15,19, 51:5,11,13 199:8,10,16,1 various 19:23 93:22 207:20 Walk 37:13 84:19 87:13,20 204:16 205:13 26:23 66:7 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 10:2:22,23 23:15,19 98:20 168:2 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 10:2:22,23 23:2:2 23:22 23:11:16,17 296:22 via 66:8 98:6,8 29:2.106,16 23:11:16,17 24:3:24:28 23:16 23:11 10:3:18 104:7,8 23:5:24 237:11 10		verification	volatility 76:10	, , ,	
93:16 94:2,3,4, 24 95:6,7,13, 14,21,23 96:7 204:7 253:19 W 37:2,14,17 15 181:9,20 14,21,23 96:7 verified 204:10 wait 45:5 49:5, 172:17 203:18 38:7,10,11 182:5,19 183: 39:1,2,15,16 184:1,7 185:1 210:11,13 verify 26:16 19 105:3 41:2,14,15,16, 116:12 130:13 188:18,23 236:6,10,11 204:3 213:1 168:19 198:19 43:11,22 44:8 189:2,8,11,13 250:10 253:13 verifying 213:6 290:19 47:13,20,24 191:12 192:2 266:16 270:1 verifying 213:6 290:19 50:2,3,12,18 19.24 498:6,2 300:21 version 33:24 waiting 113:9 52:1,2,7,17 200:10,15,19, values 94:22,23 136:21 137:23 119:14 165:12, 53:4,5 77:1,5,8, 21 201:16,19, 93:22 207:20 Walk 37:13 92:16 93:1,18 206:6,14 97:5 100:17 215:21 217:20 various 19:23 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 5:14,20,22 6:1 103:18 104:7,8 233:22 23:22 232:22 23:22 98:20 168:2 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 102:22,23 232:22 23:22 232:22 23:22 232:22 23:22 232:22 23:22 232:22 23:22 2	value 85:0.87:1	3:20 81:22		36:3,8,9,11,23	23 180:1,4,6,7,
24 95:6,7,13, 14,21,23 96:7 122:13 124:17 172:17 203:18 210:11,13 verified 204:10 38:7,10,11 182:5,19 183: 39:1,2,15,16 122:13 124:17 172:17 203:18 210:11,13 verify 26:16 204:3 213:1 19 105:3 19 105:3 38:7,10,11 182:5,19 183: 39:1,2,15,16 210:11,13 236:6,10,11 verify 26:16 204:3 213:1 19 105:3 168:19 198:19 23,24 42:8 189:2,8,11,13 236:6,10,11 204:3 213:1 168:19 198:19 199:23 248:1,4 47:13,20,24 191:12 192:22 266:16 270:1 verifying 213:6 290:19 50:2,3,12,18 19,24 198:6,2 300:21 version 33:24 waiting 113:9 119:14 165:12, 15 233:7,8,14 51:5,11,13 199:8,10,16,1 values 94:22,23 versus 34:1 93:22 207:20 Walk 37:13 92:16 93:1,18 204:16 205:19 92:16 93:1,18 various 19:23 26:23 66:7 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 5:14,20,22 6:1 103:18 104:7,8 232:22 23:22 98:20 168:2 98:20 168:2 vice-versa 52:3 7:10,11,12,14, 16,17 8:12,13 109:9 110:3,7, 15,20 240:23 238:16 239:13 99:9,22 10:6,16 23 111:16,17 241:3 242:2,8 141:20:22 142:23:44:18 99:9,22 10:6,16 23 111:16,17 241:3 242:2,8 142:23:24:2,8 142:23:24:2,8		204:7 253:19	W	37:2,14,17	15 181:9,20
14,21,23 96:7 verified 204:10 39:1,2,15,16 184:1,7 185:1 122:13 124:17 wait 45:5 49:5, 40:8,11,13 16 187:23 172:17 203:18 verify 26:16 19 105:3 23,24 42:8 189:2,8,11,13 236:6,10,11 204:3 213:1 168:19 198:19 43:11,22 44:8 19 100:3 250:10 253:13 204:3 213:1 168:19 198:19 43:11,22 44:8 19 10:3 266:16 270:1 verifying 213:6 290:19 48:7,16,17 199:8,10,16,1 300:21 version 33:24 waiting 113:9 52:1,2,7,17 200:10,15,19, values 94:22,23 136:21 137:23 119:14 165:12, 53:4,5 77:1,5,8, 21 201:16,19, 19:22 207:20 Walk 37:13 99:216 93:1,18 206:6,14 various 19:23 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 102:22,23 23:22 23:12,17:20 98:20 168:2 vice-versa 52:3 5:14,20,22 6:1 103:18 104:7,8 23:22 23:12,23:12,17:20 98:20 168:2 vice-versa 52:3 7:10,11,12,14, 105:8,24 106:3 238:16 239:12,17:20 99:9,22 10:6,16 23 111:16,17 241:3 242:2,8 238:16 239:12,13:13 29:9:22 10:6,16 23 111:16,				38:7,10,11	182:5,19 183:8
122:13 124:17 wait 45:5 49:5, 40:8,11,13 16 187:23 172:17 203:18 verify 26:16 19 105:3 23,24 42:8 189:2,8,11,13 210:11,13 204:3 213:1 168:19 198:19 43:11,22 44:8 19 190:3 250:10 253:13 266:16 270:1 verifying 213:6 290:19 48:7,16,17 195:2,6 197:1 300:21 version 33:24 136:21 137:23 119:14 165:12, 15:5,11,13 199:8,10,16,1 values 94:22,23 136:21 137:23 119:14 165:12, 15:233:7,8,14 10,13,20 78:1, 23 202:4,12 variance 95:22 versus 34:1 93:22 207:20 Walk 37:13 84:19 87:13,20 204:16 205:19 various 19:23 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 103:18 104:7,8 232:22 234:22 98:20 168:2 vice-versa 52:3 7:10,11,12,14, 105:8,24 106:3 238:16 239:12 184:18 239:5 vice-versa 52:3 9:9,22 10:6,16 23 111:16,17 241:3 242:2,8 10:318 104:7,8 235:24 237:17 15,20 240:23 238:16 239:12 29:22 vice-versa 52:3 11:8,9,15,23 109:9 110:3,7, 15,20 240:23 99:9,22 10:6,16 23 111		verified 201.10		39:1,2,15,16	184:1,7 185:11,
172:17 203:18 210:11,13verify 26:16 204:3 213:119 105:3 168:19 198:19 199:23 248:1,441:2,14,15,16, 23,24 42:8188:18,23 189:2,8,11,13236:6,10,11 250:10 253:13 266:16 270:1 300:21204:3 213:1116:12 130:13 168:19 198:19 199:23 248:1,443:11,22 44:8 43:11,22 44:819 190:3 191:12 192:22 48:7,16,17191:12 192:22 190:3version 33:24 version 33:24version 33:24 136:21 137:23vaiting 113:9 119:14 165:12, 15 233:7,8,1452:1,2,7,17 53:4,5 77:1,5,8, 21:201:16,19, 53:4,5 77:1,5,8, 21:201:16,19, 20:10,15,19200:10,15,19, 52:1,2,7,17variance 95:22 98:20 168:2 98:20 168:2 184:18 239:5 296:22via 66:8 98:6,8 vice-versa 52:3Walker 2:15 5:14,20,22 6:1 7:10,11,12,14, 16,17 8:12,13 9:9,22 10:6,16103:18 104:7,8 238:16 239:12 31:11:16,17238:16 239:12 24:3,218vault 33:18view 280:17 284:1611:8,9,15,23 14:2 3 14:9118:20,21 18:20,2116 243:4,18 20:40.24		vermeu 204.10	wait 45.5 49.5	40:8,11,13	16 187:23
210:11,13 236:6,10,11 250:10 253:13 266:16 270:1 300:21verifying 213:6116:12 130:13 168:19 198:19 199:23 248:1,4 290:1923,24 42:8 43:11,22 44:8 43:11,22 44:8 47:13,20,24 48:7,16,17 50:2,3,12,18 51:5,11,13 199:8,10,16,1189:2,8,11,13 19 190:3 191:12 192:2 199:23 248:1,4 48:7,16,17 50:2,3,12,18 51:5,11,13 52:1,2,7,17 50:2,3,12,18 51:5,11,13 199:8,10,16,1 52:1,2,7,17 200:10,15,19, 53:4,5 77:1,5,8, 21 201:16,19, 193:22 207:20waiting 113:9 119:14 165:12, 15 233:7,8,1423,24 42:8 43:11,22 44:8 191:12 192:2 191:12 192:2 191:12 192:2 191:12 192:2 199:23 248:1,4 200:10,15,19, 53:4,5 77:1,5,8, 10,13,20 78:1, 23 202:4,12 201:16,19, 10,13,20 78:1, 23 202:4,12 201:16,19, 10,13,20 78:1, 23 202:4,12 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,10,11,12,14, 10,13,20 78:1, 201:16,12,13 201:16,14 201:16,14189:2,8,11,13 191:12 192:2 200:10,15,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,19, 201:16,11,12,14, 102:22,23 201:16,117 201:16,18,10,17 201:16,17 201:16,18,10,17 201:16,19,13,20 201:16,19,13,20 201:16,19,13,20 201:16,19			,	41:2,14,15,16,	188:18,23
236:6,10,11 250:10 253:13 266:16 270:1 300:21204:3 213:1168:19 198:19 199:23 248:1,4 290:1943:11,22 44:8 47:13,20,24 48:7,16,17 50:2,3,12,18 51:5,11,13 199:8,10,16,1191:0:3 191:12 192:22 191:12 191:12 192:22 191:12 192:22 191:12 192:22 191:12 192:22 191:12 192:22 191:12 192:22 191:12 192:22 191:12 192:22 191:12 191:12 192:22 191:12 191:12 191:12 192:22 191:12 191:12 192:22 191:12 191:12 192:22 191:13 199:8,10,16,1 191:14 165:12, 191:12 192:21 191:12 191:12 192:22 191:12 191:12 192:22 191:12 191:12 191:12 192:22 101:15,11,13 191:14 165:12, 191:12 191:12 191:12 192:22 191:13 199:8,10,16,11 191:14 165:12, 191:13 199:8,10,16,11 191:14 165:12, 191:12 192:22 207:2043:11,20 191:14 165:12, 191:12 192:22 191:13 202:4,12 102:22,23 102:12 1217:20 102:22,23 102:12 1217:20 102:22,23 102:12 1217:20 102:22,23 102:12 11:10:17 102:22,23 102:12 11:10:17 102:12 121:12 121:12 102:12 121:12 121:12 102:12 10:13,7, 102:22,23 102:12 11:16,17 11:8,9,15,23 11:8:20,21 11:8:20,		-		23,24 42:8	189:2,8,11,13,
250:10 253:13 266:16 270:1 300:21verifying 213:6199:23 248:1,4 290:1947:13,20,24 48:7,16,17 50:2,3,12,18 51:5,11,13191:12 192:22 48:7,16,17 50:2,3,12,18 51:5,11,13values 94:22,23version 33:24 136:21 137:23waiting 113:9 119:14 165:12, 15 233:7,8,1447:13,20,24 48:7,16,17 50:2,3,12,18 52:1,2,7,17 53:4,5 77:1,5,8, 21 201:16,19, 53:4,5 77:1,5,8, 21 201:16,19, 10,13,20 78:1, 23 202:4,12191:12 192:22 48:7,16,17 109:8,10,16,1values 94:22,23version 33:24 136:21 137:23waiting 113:9 119:14 165:12, 15 233:7,8,1447:13,20,24 48:7,16,17 50:2,3,12,18 10,13,20 78:1, 23:45 77:1,5,8, 21 201:16,19, 10,13,20 78:1, 23:202:4,12191:12 192:22 48:19,87:13,20 204:16 205:19 20:10,15,19various 19:23 26:23 66:7 98:20 168:2 184:18 239:5 296:22via 66:8 98:6,8 5:14,20,22 6:1 7:10,11,12,14, 16,17 8:12,13 9:9,22 10:6,1647:13,20,24 48:7,16,17 50:2,3,12,18 103:18 104:7,8 235:24 237:17 232:22 234:22vault 33:18view 280:17 284:1611:8,9,15,23 12:2 3 14:9118:20,21 11:8,9,15,23	,	204:3 213:1		43:11,22 44:8	19 190:3
266:16 270:1 300:21verifying 213:6290:1948:7,16,17195:2,6 197:1300:21version 33:24 136:21 137:23waiting 113:9 119:14 165:12, 15 233:7,8,1450:2,3,12,18 51:5,11,13199:8,10,16,1 200:10,15,19, 53:4,5 77:1,5,8, 10,13,20 78:1, 23 202:4,12variance 95:22versus 34:1 93:22 207:20walk 37:1353:4,5 77:1,5,8, 15 233:7,8,1421 201:16,19, 53:4,5 77:1,5,8, 10,13,20 78:1, 200:10,15,19various 19:23 26:23 66:7 98:20 168:2via 66:8 98:6,8Walker 2:15 5:14,20,22 6:1 7:10,11,12,14, 16,17 8:12,13 99:9,22 10:6,16102:22,23 103:18 104:7,8 238:16 239:12202:2 234:23 232:22 234:23vault 33:18view 280:17 284:1611:8,9,15,23 112:2 3 14:9109:10:3,7, 102:22,1116 243:4,18 200:44				47:13,20,24	191:12 192:21
300:21version 33:24waiting 113:950:2,3,12,1819,24 198:6,2values 94:22,23136:21 137:23119:14 165:12, 15 233:7,8,1451:5,11,13199:8,10,16,1variance 95:22versus 34:1 93:22 207:20119:14 165:12, 15 233:7,8,1453:4,5 77:1,5,8, 10,13,20 78:1, 23:4,5 77:1,5,8, 23:4,5 77:1,5,8, 21 201:16,19, 10,13,20 78:1, 203:15,19203:15,19, 23:202:4,12various 19:23 26:23 66:7 98:20 168:2 184:18 239:5 296:22via 66:8 98:6,8Walker 2:15 5:14,20,22 6:1 7:10,11,12,14, 16,17 8:12,13 9:9,22 10:6,16102:22,23 103:18 104:7,8 235:24 237:17204:16 205:19, 202:4,12vault 33:18view 280:17 284:1611:8,9,15,23 12:2 3 14:9118:20,21 16 243:4,1816:243:4,18 204:0 40.41		verifving 213:6			195:2,6 197:12,
values94:22,23version33:24 136:21waiting113:9 119:1452:1,2,7,17 53:4,5200:10,15,19, 21variance95:22versus34:1 93:2215233:7,8,1410,13,2078:1, 46,923202:4,12 203:15,19various19:23 26:23via66:898:6,8 5:14,20,22Walk37:1384:1987:13,20 92:16204:16205:19 204:16various19:23 26:23via66:898:6,8 5:14,20,22Walker2:15 21:21102:22,23 23:22232:22234:22valut33:18view280:17 284:1611:8,9,15,23 12:23118:20,2116243:4,18 20:40.44					19,24 198:6,24
values94:22,23136:21137:23119:14165:12, 1553:4,577:1,5,8, 10,13,20212121:16,19, 10,13,20variance95:22versus34:1 93:2293:22Walk37:1334:1984:1987:13,20 92:16204:16205:19, 203:15,19various19:23 26:23via66:898:6,8 98:20Walker2:15 102:22,23204:16205:19, 204:1698:20168:2 184:18via66:898:6,8 5:14,20,22Walker2:15 102:22,23103:18104:7,8 235:24235:24237:17 235:24235:24237:17 235:24105:8,24106:3 238:16238:16239:17 23111:16,17105:20240:23vault33:18view280:17 284:1611:8,9,15,23 122:3118:20,21 122:316:243:4,18 12:23103:18104:7,4 12:2316:243:4,18 12:23					199:8,10,16,19
variance95:22versus34:115 233:7,8,1410,13,20 78:1,23 202:4,12various19:2393:22 207:20Walk37:1384:19 87:13,20204:16 205:19various19:23via66:8 98:6,892:16 93:1,18206:6,1498:20 168:2via66:8 98:6,85:14,20,22 6:1102:22,23232:22 234:22184:18 239:5vice-versa52:37:10,11,12,14,105:8,24 106:3238:16 239:12296:22view280:1711:8,9,15,23118:20,2116 243:4,18vault33:18284:1612:2 3 14:9420:40.44044			•		
variance95:22versus34:1 93:22203:15,19 204:16various19:23 26:2366:7 98:20via66:898:6,884:1984:1987:13,20 92:16204:16205:19 204:1698:20168:2 184:18via66:898:6,897:5100:17 102:22,23215:21217:20 232:2298:20168:2 184:18vice-versa52:35:14,20,226:1 102:22,23103:18104:7,8 103:18235:24237:17 235:24296:22vice-versa52:37:10,11,12,14, 16,17105:8,24106:3 23238:16239:13 239:12vault33:18view280:17 284:1611:8,9,15,23 12:2118:20,21 14:916243:4,18 244:2	values 94:22,23	136:21 137:23	,		
variance 93:22 versus 94:11 93:22 93:23 93:22 93:23 93:23 93:23 93:24 93:23 93:25 93:20 103:18 104:7,8 93:23 93:22 93:23 93:22 93:23 93:22 93:23 93:23 93:23 93:23 93:23 93:24 93:17 103:18 104:7,8 93:23 93:24 93:23 93:24 93:23 93:24 93:23 93:24 93:23 93:24 93:23 93:24 93:23 93:24 93:23 103:18 104:7,8 93:23 93:24 93:23 93:24 93:23 103:18 104:7,8 93:23 93:24 93:23 103:18 104:37,7 15,20 240:23 93:24 93:24 103:13 109:9 110:3,7 15,20 240:23 93:24 241:3 241:3			15 255.7,0,14		
various 19:23 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 92:16 93:1,18 206:6,14 98:20 168:2 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 102:22,23 232:22 234:23 184:18 239:5 vice-versa 52:3 5:14,20,22 6:1 103:18 104:7,8 235:24 237:17 296:22 view 280:17 16,17 8:12,13 109:9 110:3,7, 15,20 240:23 vault 33:18 view 280:17 11:8,9,15,23 118:20,21 16 243:4,18	variance 95:22	versus 34:1			,
various 19:23 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 97:5 100:17 215:21 217:20 98:20 168:2 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 102:22,23 232:22 234:23 184:18 239:5 vice-versa 52:3 7:10,11,12,14, 105:8,24 106:3 238:16 239:13 296:22 view 280:17 11:8,9,15,23 109:9 110:3,7, 15,20 240:23 vault 33:18 284:16 12:2 3 14:9 420:40 44 14:0 44		93:22 207:20	Walk 37:13	,	
26:23 66:7 via 66:8 98:6,8 Walker 2:15 102:22,23 232:22 234:23 98:20 168:2 102:22,23 235:24 237:17 184:18 239:5 vice-versa 52:3 7:10,11,12,14, 105:8,24 106:3 238:16 239:13 296:22 view 280:17 11:8,9,15,23 118:20,21 16 243:4,18 vault 33:18 284:16 12:2,3 14:9 420:40.44 044:0.24	various 19.23			•	,
98:20 168:2 184:18 239:5 5:14,20,22 6:1 103:18 104:7,8 235:24 237:17 296:22 vice-versa 52:3 7:10,11,12,14, 105:8,24 106:3 238:16 239:13 view 280:17 11:8,9,15,23 118:20,21 16 243:4,18 284:16 12:2,3 14:9 420:40.44 104:7,8 235:24 237:17		via 66.8 98.6 8	Walker 2:15		
184:18 239:5 vice-versa 52:3 7:10,11,12,14, 105:8,24 106:3 238:16 239:13 296:22 16,17 8:12,13 109:9 110:3,7, 15,20 240:23 view 280:17 11:8,9,15,23 118:20,21 16 243:4,18 284:16 12:2 3 14:9 420:40 44 102:40 44		via 00.0 00.0,0		•	
296:22 Vice-versa 52:3 16,17 8:12,13 109:9 10:3,7, 15,20 240:23 vault 33:18 view 280:17 11:8,9,15,23 118:20,21 16 241:3 242:2,8 vault 33:18 284:16 12:2,3 14:9 420:40 14 244:3 242:2,8				,	
vault 33:18 view 280:17 9:9,22 10:6,16 23 111:16,17 241:3 242:2,8 11:8,9,15,23 118:20,21 16 243:4,18 284:16 12:2 3 14:9 420:40 44 044:0 24		vice-versa 52:3		,	
vault 33:18 view 280:17 11:8,9,15,23 118:20,21 16 243:4,18 284:16 12:2,3,14:9 120:40,44 0.44:0,40,04					,
Vault 33:18 284:16 12:2,3,14:9 400:40,44		view 280:17		,	
		284:16	12:2,3 14:9	120:10,14	244:2,19,24
152:24 153:1			15:23	•	245:15 249:1,
	Z41.17,Z1	violating 265.4			18,22 252:6,17
violating 265:4, 17 124:13,15 18,22 252:6,1		violating 265:4,			10,22 202.0,17

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

JA1420

Index: Wam's..weisercapital.bz

253:15,22,23	125:19 148:7	29:14 39:14	WEEZ-ER 7:7	230:8 231:6,7,
254:3,14,17	189:16 199:15	71:24 130:18		11,18 232:4,6,
255:9 256:13,	201:2,11 202:7,	172:2 245:19,	weight 69:16,17	8,11 233:10
20 257:1,19	16,21 203:4	20 258:1,21		234:3,4 235:24
258:4 259:5	212:22 252:9,			237:17,20,23
261:11 262:9,	22 255:11	wanting 174:10	Weiser 1:13 2:8	238:5,10 245:8,
14 263:4,8	261:2 262:22	wanting 174.10	3:2 5:8,10,12,	11,12,20,22
267:1,12,21	269:16,19		16 7:9,19 8:6	246:20 247:3
268:14,17,23	270:6,9,17	wants 9:3 14:11	9:16 10:20,22,	248:9 262:18
269:5,8,10,11,	271:15 272:1,	23:23 34:3	23 13:1,18	269:2 278:14,
12,14,16,18	10,13,17,18	41:15 43:6	16:2,16,24	15,16,24 279:7,
270:5,17	273:7,8 274:17	50:18 51:4 95:4	17:1,15 18:7	9,18,20,23
271:11,14,21,	276:12	169:23 174:21	19:10 20:23,24	280:24 281:20,
23 272:2,5,12,		257:9	21:1,4,16 22:3,	23,24 282:4,14,
16,23 273:2,8,	Mama 000-00		7,10,18,19,20,	15 285:17,21
13,19 274:1,14	Wamo 296:22	WASHOE 1:7	21,22,23 23:5,	287:19 289:11,
275:8,14,17,23	297:6	WASHUE 117	6,9,10,12,13,	13 292:9 293:9,
276:2 277:14,			15,16 24:4,6,9,	12,14,22 294:7
17,19 279:5,15,	want 9:11	way 14:1 28:6	17,18,21,23	296:7,21,22
18,19,23 280:8,	16:13,14 21:12,	33:6 35:14 47:1	25:20 29:24	297:1 301:1
12,13,17,20	14 42:15 43:2	51:9 68:12	30:2,3 41:20,21	302:17 306:21,
281:1 282:22	47:7 49:1 53:8	73:20 75:1	42:11 44:9,10,	22,23,24 307:8,
283:6,20	61:18 80:6	81:9,11 104:4	12,13,20,21	12,15,18 308:9,
285:16 286:1,3,	81:7,12 85:18	125:7 146:21	45:19,24 46:10	12,16,18
9,13,21 287:4,	86:10 96:13	153:12 173:1,	48:18 49:4,6,7,	309:12,15,20
15,22,23 288:5	109:4 131:18,	23 175:17	12,19 50:9,10,	310:3,24
290:9 291:2,10,	22 135:17	201:14 215:13	11,14,20 51:14	311:19 312:1,7,
11,18 297:2	152:13 164:10	224:22,24	59:14,17 62:6	18,20,21,23
300:17,19,22	167:16,17	240:24 241:18	71:12 72:1	313:3,4,5,8,16
301:8 306:21	171:10,17	245:10 255:20	79:10,11,18	314:5,12,21
307:6,11,21,22	175:24 177:13	273:12 274:13,	92:5 97:8 99:24	315:9,15,20,23
308:2,3,6,9,12,	191:10 194:14	14 275:6	106:7 130:5	316:3
18,19,21 309:4,	205:22 206:10	283:21 288:14	142:12 143:1,3,	
8,18,21 312:21,	215:8,22	294:1 312:24	6 145:24 165:6	
22,23 313:6,9,	220:22 225:7	313:1 315:14	172:8,10,13,18,	Weiser's 12:18
12,17 314:10	227:7 230:8		19,24 173:1,5,9	33:19 130:6
	236:16 262:2,	Wave 10:16	174:11,13	172:20 186:23
	24 268:18	ways 42:16	176:24 177:13,	232:14 243:24
WAM's 28:5,9	270:4,16		23 184:19,22,	247:2 300:4
29:19 30:6,13,	275:13 291:16	WEDGE 2:4	23 185:1	
22 31:4,22 32:2	309:23		187:24 188:5	weisercapital.bz
34:14 40:14		weeks 149:17	189:4,7,10	296:18
83:20,21	wonted 17:40	WEERS 149.11	217:1,4,6,8,11	
	wanted 17:12			
	-	-	-	

	I	1	I	I
well-founded	14 39:12 71:8	will 8:23 10:12	wired 39:3	81:9,13,16
64:23		11:18 14:9,10		90:17,20 91:1
	whather 4440	15:12 17:5,7,		92:9 95:9,16,
	whether 14:10	12,16,22 24:13	wish 147:22	19,24 96:3
went 20:10	17:22 63:2 86:2	38:11,15,16		102:7 103:11,
55:23 83:4	97:13 98:21	48:3 50:10,11,	wishes 50:19	14 108:16
88:10 89:24	104:22 106:3	21,24 51:5,13,	170:3	113:13,16
98:13 99:4	121:16 148:17	15 52:4 53:8		114:12,14,17
123:14 125:6,7	180:4 181:22	62:11 74:3		116:18,20,24
126:12 128:7	191:16 204:21	85:23 86:12	withdraw 86:17	117:10,24
141:20 147:6,8	205:19,22	91:23 93:12,21	87:15,18 92:20	123:6,9 127:19
149:2,9 160:3	223:5 229:5	94:15 96:4	198:14 221:12	137:13 152:10
170:16 171:6	299:20 304:23	100:19 104:4	268:6	163:10 166:10
177:8 216:19	305:16 314:24	108:11,19		169:2,9 173:15,
219:16 220:5		115:2,14	withdrawal	19 174:9,16
235:1 237:22	Whichever	116:11 117:12	113:7 115:4	175:1,17
244:2 245:2	175:18	126:1 137:23	119:7 199:6	182:15 186:15
253:24 263:3	170.10	142:12 157:22	212:8 213:22	191:20 192:1,
267:15 278:9		162:17 163:2,5	273:5	13 193:11,24
283:3 294:1	while 99:20	164:17,18	210.0	196:13 198:18
305:9 313:2	113:18 114:2	174:7 175:2,5		200:1,3 203:16,
	116:6 196:20	191:2 192:6	withdrawn 88:1,	200.1,3 203.10, 21 204:1 209:6
whatever 21:15	217:19 245:24	196:23 203:14	10 110:3	210:20 215:4
42:15 49:21	271:6	207:6,17,20	213:19	228:21,23
96:9 121:18		232:9 247:3		232:6,23 233:2,
122:17 124:18	whoever 9:24	232.9 247.3	within 38:6	16,22 234:1,11
162:6 180:21	126:21 259:14	272:2,5,18	43:11 100:17	235:7,15 236:8,
181:14 192:16	269:18 314:2	282:10 293:8	149:16 204:21	24 241:9,12
245:21 252:5	203.10 314.2	307:17 308:12	140.10 204.21	250:10 269:24
240.21202.0				
	whole 30:19	309:17 316:8, 10,24	without 46:5	290:3 306:3,6,8 316:17
Wheaton	72:2 134:9	10,24	87:23 97:14	510.17
115:23	174:8 186:7		99:16 112:12	
	276:19,20	willing 124:15,	187:18 242:4	witnesses 3:2
whenever	309:1	16,22	298:16	14:5 15:21
266:19				16:21,22 18:14
200.13	wholesale	wire 209:19	witness 18:12	316:14,20
	204:24	211:6,24 212:5,	21:16 22:13	
whereas 33:7	207.27	10,17	42:8 43:4,8	WIZE-ER 7:7,8
		10,17	46:22 47:2,10	
whereby 289:10	whom 123:19		65:6 67:21	
WINCICDY 209.10	307:4	wire-out 256:2,	68:14,23 69:9,	wonder 58:23
		11	12 80:8,11	
wherever 21:8,			12 00.0,11	
	I	I	I	I

WOODBURN 2:4 263:8,11 268:17 269:11 282:14 288:9 294:7 297:21 21,24 182:10 194:6,21 195:4 197:18 204:14 206:11,14 Z word 119:11 227:5 written 139:20 262:3,5,6,9,11, 14,16,17,20 265:16 267:8 273:19 veriten 139:20 266:16 267:8 273:19 zero 14:23 45:9 176:3,4 294:17, 18 work 19:22 20:17 24:7,11 25:1,2 85:18 written 139:20 265:16 267:8 273:19 year's 197:17 zero 14:23 45:9 176:3,4 294:17, 18 worked 292:14 308:23 313:2 written-in 218:5 273:19 year's 197:17 zero 14:23 45:9 176:3,4 294:17, 18 working 56:4 57:11 136:9 wrong 48:11 50:16 284:15 289:23 291:13 years 55:21,23 182:5 190:4 197:13,20 208:17 233:14 242:14 286:17 308:15,16 working 95:12 147:15 164:7 276:17 wrote 113:22 114:10 116:5, 10 118:17 years' 19:16 worth 49:10 96:5 312:14 years' 19:16 wrap 248:17 X yet 9:9 111:15 148:17 wrap 248:17 X year 20:13 22:6, 19 56:23 99:16, 19 56:23 99:16, 224:22 227:23 228:8 yourself 161:5, 10 234:23 245:6 291:12					
word 119:11 227:5 282:14 288:9 294:7 297:21 197:18 204:14 206:11,14 206:11,14 206:10 263:19 264:1 297:4 306:9 zero 14:23 45:9 176:3,4 294:17, 18 work 19:22 2017 24:7,11 25:1,2 85:18 written 139:20 265:16 267:8 277:12,14,15 273:19 year's 197:17 Yearly 191:18 zero 14:23 45:9 176:3,4 294:17, 18 worked 292:14 308:23 313:2 written-in 218:5 273:19 year's 197:17 Yearly 191:18 zero 14:23 45:9 176:3,4 294:17, 18 worked 292:14 308:23 313:2 written-in 218:5 273:19 year's 197:17 Yearly 191:18 zero 14:23 45:9 176:3,4 294:17, 18 worked 292:14 308:23 313:2 written-in 218:5 years 55:21,23 56:10,11 60:16 62:11 96:23 50:16 284:15 289:23 291:13 years 55:21,23 56:10,11 60:16 62:11 96:23 182:5 190:4 208:17 233:14 208:17 233:14 208:17 308:15,16 works 137:6 315:14 wrote 113:22 114:10 116:5, 10 118:17 years' 19:16 224:36 227:24 224:36 227:23 228:8 year 20:13 22:6 10 234:3 245:6 10 234:3 245:6 writing 21:9 250:6 261:2 17 year 20:13 22:6 19 56:23 99:16 20:12 24:6 yourself 161:5, 10 234:3 245:6		· ·	· ·	Z	
227:5written 139:20 262:3,5,6,9,11, 14,16,17,20 265:1,2 85:18 $264:1 297:4$ 306:9 $176:3,4 294:17,$ 18work 19:22 25:1,2 85:18 $262:3,5,6,9,11,$ 265:16 267:8 272:12,14,15 273:19year's 197:1718worked 292:14 308:23 313:2written-in 218:5 write 13:22 289:23 291:13year's 55:21,23 56:10,11 60:16 62:11 96:23 197:13,20year's 197:17working 56:4 57:11 136:9wrong 48:11 50:16 284:15 289:23 291:1356:10,11 60:16 62:11 96:23 197:13,20start 190:14works 137:6 315:14wrote 113:22 10 118:17 224:36 227:24 224:3,6 227:24 224:36 227:24 224:3,6 227:24 224:36 227:24 223:19,22years' 19:16wrap 248:17XYZ 29:2 20:00 get 98:19write 114:10,16 224:5 227:23 228:8Year 20:13 22:6, 19 56:23 99:16, 19 56:23 99:16, 19 56:23 99:16, 19 56:23 99:16, 19 56:23 99:16, 10 234:3 245:6writing 21:9 250:6 02:61:2 17Year 20:13 22:6, 19 56:23 99:16, 19 56:23 99:16, 10 234:3 245:6	word 119:11	282:14 288:9	197:18 204:14 206:11,14		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		262:3,5,6,9,11,	264:1 297:4		
worked 29:14 308:23 313:2written-in 218:5years 55:21,23 56:10,11 60:16 62:11 96:23 182:5 190:4working 56:4 57:11 136:9wrong 48:11 50:16 284:15 289:23 291:13years 55:21,23 56:10,11 60:16 62:11 96:23 197:13,20 208:17 233:14works 137:6 315:14wrote 113:22 114:10 116:5, 10118:17 147:15 164:7 223:19,22 224:3,6 227:24 96:5wrote 113:22 114:10 116:5, 10118:17 223:19,22 224:3,6 227:24 224:3,6 227:24 224:3 312:14years' 19:16 242:14 286:17 308:15,16wrap 248:17XYyear 98:19 234:20write 114:10,16 224:5 228:8Yyours 77:15,17 234:20writing 21:9 250:6 23174Year 20:13 22:6, 19 56:23 99:16, 20:14 2Yourself 161:5, 20:14 2		265:16 267:8 272:12,14,15	-		
working 56:4 57:11 136:9wrong 48:11 50:16 284:15 289:23 291:13years 56:21,23 			Yearly 191:18		
works 137:6 315:14 wrote 113:22 114:10 116:5, 10 118:17 208:17 233:14 242:14 286:17 308:15,16 world 95:12 147:15 164:7 276:17 10 118:17 185:20 218:8 223:19,22 years' 19:16 worth 49:10 96:5 224:3,6 227:24 282:24 293:4 312:14 yesterday 188:4,5 wrap 248:17 X yet 9:9 111:15 148:17 wrapping 92:14 XYZ 29:2 younger 98:19 write 114:10,16 224:5 227:23 228:8 year 20:13 22:6, 19 56:23 99:16, yourself 161:5, 10 234:3 245:6 204:42	-	wrong 48:11 50:16 284:15	56:10,11 60:16 62:11 96:23 182:5 190:4		
147:15 164:7 185:20 218:8 years' 19:16 276:17 223:19,22 224:3,6 227:24 yesterday worth 49:10 282:24 293:4 188:4,5 96:5 312:14 yet 9:9 111:15 wrap 248:17 X yet 9:9 111:15 wrapping 92:14 XYZ 29:2 younger 98:19 write 114:10,16 Y yours 77:15,17 228:8 year 20:13 22:6, 19 56:23 99:16, 19 56:23 99:16, 19 56:23 99:16, 10 234:3 245:6 yourself 161:5, 10 234:3 245:6	315:14	wrote 113:22 114:10 116:5,	208:17 233:14 242:14 286:17		
worth 49:10 282:24 293:4 312:14 yesterday 188:4,5 wrap 248:17 X yet 9:9 111:15 148:17 wrapping 92:14 XYZ 29:2 younger 98:19 write 114:10,16 224:5 227:23 228:8 Y yours 77:15,17 234:20 writing 21:9 250:6 261:2 17 year 20:13 22:6, 19 56:23 99:16, 251:6 261:2 17 yourself 161:5, 10 234:3 245:6	147:15 164:7	185:20 218:8 223:19,22	-		
wrap 248:17 X 148:17 wrapping 92:14 XYZ 29:2 younger 98:19 write 114:10,16 Y yours 77:15,17 224:5 227:23 Y 234:20 writing 21:9 year 20:13 22:6, 19 56:23 99:16, 19 56:23 99:16, 250:6 261:2 17 yourself 161:5, 10 234:3 245:6 201:42		282:24 293:4			
write 114:10,16 Y yours $77:15,17$ 224:5 227:23 $year$ 20:13 22:6, writing 21:9 $year$ 20:13 22:6, $yourself$ 161:5, 10 234:3 $yourself$ 161:5, 10 201:42	wrap 248:17	X			
224:5 227:23 228:8 writing 21:9 250:6 261:2 17 year 20:13 22:6, 19 56:23 99:16, 234:20 yourself 161:5, 10 234:3 245:6 201:12	wrapping 92:14	XYZ 29:2	younger 98:19		
writing 21:9 250:6 261:2 17 19 56:23 99:16, 201:12 24:3 245:6	224:5 227:23	Y			
262:21,23 180:5 181:20,	259:6 261:2,17	19 56:23 99:16, 18 125:8 129:8	10 234:3 245:6		

FILED Electronically CV15-02259 2019-01-29 04:21:58 PM Jacqueline Bryant Clerk of the Court Transaction # 7091869

CASE NO. CV15-0	2259 NEVADA AGENCY & TRANSFER CO. VS. WEISER ASSET ETAL
DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF	Pg. 1
COURT PRESENT	Γ APPEARANCES-HEARING
1/29/19	ONGOING BENCH TRIAL
HONORABLE	8:32 a.m. – Court reconvened.
ELLIOTT A. SATTLER DEPT. NO. 10	Jeremy Nork, Esq., was present on behalf of Cross-Claimants Weiser (Bahamas) Ltd., and Weiser Asset Management, Ltd. Mr. Christos Livadas was present with counsel Nork.
M. Merkouris (Clerk)	Cross-Claimant Anthanasios Skarpelos was present with counsel Dane Anderson, Esq., and Seth Adams, Esq.
P. Hoogs (Reporter)	Counsel Anderson placed an objection on the record; counsel Nork responded. Christos Livadas was reminded by the Court that he remains under oath; further cross examined by counsel Anderson.
	10:18 a.m. – Court stood in recess.
	10:37 a.m. – Court reconvened
	Witness further cross examined; re-direct examined; re-cross examined.
	12:05 p.m. – Court stood in recess for lunch. 1:23 p.m. – Court reconvened.
	Witness further re-cross examined; and excused.
	Counsel Nork advised the Court that he is not resting his case, however counsel
	Anderson is now going to call a witness out of order.
	Counsel Anderson called Anthanasios Skarpelos who was sworn and direct examined.
	3:00 p.m. – Court stood in recess.
	3:17 p.m. – Court reconvened.
	Witness further direct examined.
	Upon questioning by the Court, counsel Anderson indicated that he will waive his opening statement, however he does intend to make a Rule 50b motion, which he cannot do until counsel Nork rests.
	Discussion ensued between the Court and respective counsel regarding the order for the remaining witnesses.
	Counsel Nork indicated that he would prefer not to break up his cross examination of Mr. Skarpelos, so it would be his request that the Court take the evening recess now, and then they can call Mr. Walker first thing in the morning (as Mr. Walker has travel plans later in the day); at the conclusion of Mr. Walker's testimony, counsel Nork will then conduct cross examination of Mr. Skarpelos. Counsel Anderson had no objection.
	3:49 p.m. – Court stood in recess for the evening, to reconvene tomorrow, January 30, 2019, at 8:30 a.m.

1 CODE: 4185 LORI URMSTON, CCR #51 2 Litigation Services 151 Country Estates Circle 3 Reno, Nevada 89511 (775) 323-3411 4 Court Reporter 5 6 SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 7 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE 8 HONORABLE ELLIOTT A. SATTLER, DISTRICT JUDGE 9 10 NEVADA AGENCY & TRANSFER Company, a Nevada corporation, 11 Plaintiff, 12 Case No. CV15-02259 vs. 13 Dept. No. 10 WEISER ASSET MANAGEMENT, LTD., a Bahamas company; ATHANASIOS 14 SKARPELOS, an individua; and 15 Does 1-10, Defendants. 16 17 18 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 19 TRIAL - DAY 2 20 Tuesday, January 29, 2019 21 Reno, Nevada 22 23 Job No.: 524001 24 Reported by: LORI URMSTON, CCR #51

	Page 2		Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:	1	INDEX
2	FOR DEFENDANTS WEISER ASSET MANAGEMENT AND WEISER	2	
1	BAHAMAS, LTD.:	3	WITNESSES PAGE
3		4	CHRISTOS LIVADAS
	HOLLAND & HART		Cross-Examination (Resumed) by Mr. Anderson 9
4	By: JEREMY L. NORK, ESQ.	5	Examination by the Court 18
	FRANK Z. LaFORGE, ESQ.		Cross-Examination (Resumed) by Mr. Anderson 24
5	5441 Kietzke Lane, Second Floor	6	Examination by the Court 108
6	Reno, Nevada 89511	7	Cross-Examination (Resumed) by Mr. Anderson 110 Redirect Examination by Mr. Nork 112
7	FOR CROSS-CLAIMANT ATHANASIOS SKARPELOS:		Examination by the Court 120
8	WOODBURN AND WEDGE	8	Redirect Examination (Resumed) by Mr. Nork 124
	By: DANE W. ANDERSON, ESQ.		Recross Examination by Mr. Anderson 152
9	SETH J. ADAMS, ESQ.	9	-
	6100 Neil Road, Suite 500	10	ATHANASIOS SKARPELOS
10	Reno, Nevada 89509		Direct Examination by Mr. Anderson 175
11		11	
12		12	
13		13	
14 15		14 15	
16		16	
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
1	Page 4	1	Page 5
1	RENO, NEVADA; TUESDAY, JANUARY 29, 2019; 8:33 A.M.	1	Mr. Anderson, if you would like to continue your
2	000	2	cross-examination.
3	THE COURT: This is CV15-02259, Skarpelos versus	3	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. Good morning.
4	the Weiser entities. Mr. LaForge and Mr. Nork are here	4	Your Honor, before I resume my questioning I would
5	on behalf of Weisers' interests, Weisers plural.	5	like to place an objection on the record.
6	Good morning, gentlemen.	6	Mr. Skarpelos would object to Weiser presenting any
7	MR. NORK: Good morning, Your Honor.	7	further evidence or argument that the July 2013
8	THE COURT: Mr. Livadas, you're still on the stand	8	agreement is not the basis of their claims in this
1 °	· .		5
9	and you're under oath. Do you understand that, sir?	9	case. All the pleadings and documents up to this date
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.	10	have alleged that Mr. Skarpelos breached a July 2013
11	THE COURT: Good morning to you as well.	11	agreement, and those pleadings were never amended to
12	Mr. Skarpelos, good morning to you, sir.	12	allege that he breached an April sale.
13	MR. SKARPELOS: Good morning.	13	If you look at their trial statement filed just
14	THE COURT: And good morning to you as well,	14	shortly before trial, they identified four critical
15	Mr. Anderson and Mr. Adams.	15	documents, one of which on page 2 is identified as the
16	Mr. Adams, I'm not trying to be disrespectful.	16	Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement that is at issue in
17	You're just kind of stuck behind my computer screen, so	17	this case, Exhibit 30. And they indicate in the trial
			-
18	every time I have to see you I look all the way over	18	statement that Mr. Skarpelos agreed to sell the shares
19	there to peek at you. But good morning as well. I	19	to Weiser Capital for \$250,000 and that the transaction
20	have not forgotten your presence.	20	occurred on April 2nd, 2013.
21	MR. ADAMS: Thank you.	21	They then go on to cite on page 5 that the
22	THE COURT: When we broke last night Mr. Anderson	22	agreement provides the certain terms set forth and that
23	was in cross-examination of Mr. Livadas. As I said,	23	the closing date would be such other date as the
24	Mr. Livadas is still under oath.	24	parties may hereto agree. And then the next paragraph
			Freezes and notices affect, that then the new paragraph

1	Page 6 starting at line 12 states with respect to the \$250,000	1	Page 7 \$250,000 minus a \$420 processing fee which I helped
2	purchase price Weiser attributed \$249,580 to	2	arrange." That was in April of 2018 in our opposition
3	Skarpelos's account balance in April of 2013 when the	3	to the motion for summary judgment which was under
4	actual exchange took place.	4	oath.
5	For the first time yesterday I heard what I thought	5	THE COURT: I don't think that Mr. Anderson is
6	was a new theory being advanced which was that there	6	contesting that.
7	was the April 2nd transaction and that the	7	MR. ANDERSON: I'm not contesting what's in his
8	documentation that was transacted or exchanged in July,	8	declaration. I'm saying what's in their pleadings and
9	including the purchase and sale agreement, was a deal	9	what has been the evidence at issue Your Honor, I
10	that was never consummated. That's completely at odds	10	know there's going to be a dispute. And I understand
11	with their pleadings, Your Honor. And I just wanted to	11	what Mr. Nork's objections are, but the fact is the
12	put on the record in the event that they plan to do a	12	pleadings that they filed are the controlling documents
13	Rule 15(b) motion to conform the pleadings to the	13	in this case. And if you look at their operative
14	evidence that we're not expressly or impliedly	14	pleading, which is Weiser's answer and crossclaim,
15	consenting to that issue being tried.	15	filed on May 24th, 2016
16	THE COURT: Mr. Nork or Mr. LaForge.	16	THE COURT: I think is the argument that you're
17	MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor.	17	making, Mr. Anderson, just so I understand it
18	I appreciate counsel's objection. However, it	18	correctly, that the operative documents are the
19	doesn't reflect reality. In fact, almost a year ago in	19	crossclaim, it's not any response in a motion for
20	our opposition to the motion for summary judgment filed	20	summary judgment, that's not what forms the basis of
21	by Mr. Skarpelos, Mr. Livadas in his declaration stated	21	the defendant's or excuse me of the Weiser
22	at paragraph 13, quote, "In April 2013 Skarpelos sold	22	entities' complaint, it's the answer and the
23	3,316,666 shares of the Anavex shares he had deposited	23	counterclaim?
24	with WAM in 2011 to Weiser Capital in exchange for	24	MR. ANDERSON: That's correct, Your Honor. The
	Page 8		Page 4
1	Page 8 document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th,	1	Page 9 MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just
1 2	3	1 2	-
	document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th,		MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just
2	document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of	2	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record.
2 3	document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos	2 3	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose
2 3 4	document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain	2 3 4	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct?
2 3 4 5	document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the	2 3 4 5	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser."	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." That's the allegation and that's what the evidence</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." That's the allegation and that's what the evidence shows that his lawyers were claiming back in 2015 and</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." That's the allegation and that's what the evidence shows that his lawyers were claiming back in 2015 and they've never filed an amended pleading alleging that</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Good morning, Mr. Livadas.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." That's the allegation and that's what the evidence shows that his lawyers were claiming back in 2015 and they've never filed an amended pleading alleging that there was an April 2nd agreement and then a later July</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Good morning, Mr. Livadas. A Good morning.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." That's the allegation and that's what the evidence shows that his lawyers were claiming back in 2015 and they've never filed an amended pleading alleging that there was an April 2nd agreement and then a later July contemplated agreement that didn't take place.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Good morning, Mr. Livadas. A Good morning. Q Would you turn to Exhibit 30, please.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." That's the allegation and that's what the evidence shows that his lawyers were claiming back in 2015 and they've never filed an amended pleading alleging that there was an April 2nd agreement and then a later July contemplated agreement that didn't take place. Everything in this case, all the discovery, has been</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Good morning, Mr. Livadas. A Good morning. Q Would you turn to Exhibit 30, please. We talked a little bit about this document</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." That's the allegation and that's what the evidence shows that his lawyers were claiming back in 2015 and they've never filed an amended pleading alleging that there was an April 2nd agreement and then a later July contemplated agreement that didn't take place. Everything in this case, all the discovery, has been based on the July '13 agreement that they allege was breached by Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: So noted. It might be it might be</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Good morning, Mr. Livadas. A Good morning. Q Would you turn to Exhibit 30, please. We talked a little bit about this document yesterday; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." That's the allegation and that's what the evidence shows that his lawyers were claiming back in 2015 and they've never filed an amended pleading alleging that there was an April 2nd agreement and then a later July contemplated agreement that didn't take place. Everything in this case, all the discovery, has been based on the July '13 agreement that they allege was breached by Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: So noted. It might be it might be an issue that is raised at the conclusion of the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Good morning, Mr. Livadas. A Good morning. Q Would you turn to Exhibit 30, please. We talked a little bit about this document yesterday; correct? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." That's the allegation and that's what the evidence shows that his lawyers were claiming back in 2015 and they've never filed an amended pleading alleging that there was an April 2nd agreement and then a later July contemplated agreement that didn't take place. Everything in this case, all the discovery, has been based on the July '13 agreement that they allege was breached by Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: So noted. It might be it might be an issue that is raised at the conclusion of the case-in-chief for the Weiser entities, but I appreciate</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Good morning, Mr. Livadas. A Good morning. Q Would you turn to Exhibit 30, please. We talked a little bit about this document yesterday; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this is the Stock Sale and Purchase</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." That's the allegation and that's what the evidence shows that his lawyers were claiming back in 2015 and they've never filed an amended pleading alleging that there was an April 2nd agreement and then a later July contemplated agreement that didn't take place. Everything in this case, all the discovery, has been based on the July '13 agreement that they allege was breached by Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: So noted. It might be it might be an issue that is raised at the conclusion of the case-in-chief for the Weiser entities, but I appreciate the fact you're raising the issue now, Mr. Anderson.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Good morning, Mr. Livadas. A Good morning. Q Would you turn to Exhibit 30, please. We talked a little bit about this document yesterday; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this is the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement that was entered into between Weiser Capital or Weiser Bahamas and Mr. Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." That's the allegation and that's what the evidence shows that his lawyers were claiming back in 2015 and they've never filed an amended pleading alleging that there was an April 2nd agreement and then a later July contemplated agreement that didn't take place. Everything in this case, all the discovery, has been based on the July '13 agreement that they allege was breached by Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: So noted. It might be it might be an issue that is raised at the conclusion of the case-in-chief for the Weiser entities, but I appreciate the fact you're raising the issue now, Mr. Anderson. And I would also note that by conducting your</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Good morning, Mr. Livadas. A Good morning. Q Would you turn to Exhibit 30, please. We talked a little bit about this document yesterday; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this is the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement that was entered into between Weiser Capital or Weiser Bahamas and Mr. Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And the date up top is July 5th, 2013, but you </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." That's the allegation and that's what the evidence shows that his lawyers were claiming back in 2015 and they've never filed an amended pleading alleging that there was an April 2nd agreement and then a later July contemplated agreement that didn't take place. Everything in this case, all the discovery, has been based on the July '13 agreement that they allege was breached by Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: So noted. It might be it might be an issue that is raised at the conclusion of the case-in-chief for the Weiser entities, but I appreciate the fact you're raising the issue now, Mr. Anderson. And I would also note that by conducting your cross-examination and continuing to participate in</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Good morning, Mr. Livadas. A Good morning. Q Would you turn to Exhibit 30, please. We talked a little bit about this document yesterday; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this is the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement that was entered into between Weiser Capital or Weiser Bahamas and Mr. Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And the date up top is July 5th, 2013, but you said you don't know exactly why you put that date in </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." That's the allegation and that's what the evidence shows that his lawyers were claiming back in 2015 and they've never filed an amended pleading alleging that there was an April 2nd agreement and then a later July contemplated agreement that didn't take place. Everything in this case, all the discovery, has been based on the July '13 agreement that they allege was breached by Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: So noted. It might be it might be an issue that is raised at the conclusion of the case-in-chief for the Weiser entities, but I appreciate the fact you're raising the issue now, Mr. Anderson. And I would also note that by conducting your cross-examination and continuing to participate in cross-examining other witnesses that Mr. LaForge and</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Good morning, Mr. Livadas. A Good morning. Q Would you turn to Exhibit 30, please. We talked a little bit about this document yesterday; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this is the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement that was entered into between Weiser Capital or Weiser Bahamas and Mr. Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And the date up top is July 5th, 2013, but you said you don't know exactly why you put that date in there? </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." That's the allegation and that's what the evidence shows that his lawyers were claiming back in 2015 and they've never filed an amended pleading alleging that there was an April 2nd agreement and then a later July contemplated agreement that didn't take place. Everything in this case, all the discovery, has been based on the July '13 agreement that they allege was breached by Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: So noted. It might be it might be an issue that is raised at the conclusion of the case-in-chief for the Weiser entities, but I appreciate the fact you're raising the issue now, Mr. Anderson. And I would also note that by conducting your cross-examination and continuing to participate in cross-examining other witnesses that Mr. LaForge and Mr. Nork may call, you're not waiving the objection or</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Good morning, Mr. Livadas. A Good morning. Q Would you turn to Exhibit 30, please. We talked a little bit about this document yesterday; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this is the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement that was entered into between Weiser Capital or Weiser Bahamas and Mr. Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And the date up top is July 5th, 2013, but you said you don't know exactly why you put that date in there? A Correct. </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>document, the crossclaim that was filed on May 24th, 2016, nearly three years ago, alleges in paragraph 3 of the crossclaim, "In July 2013 Weiser and Skarpelos entered into a contract for the sale of a certain amount of stock. Skarpelos, the former owner of the stock, agreed to sell it to Weiser." That's the allegation and that's what the evidence shows that his lawyers were claiming back in 2015 and they've never filed an amended pleading alleging that there was an April 2nd agreement and then a later July contemplated agreement that didn't take place. Everything in this case, all the discovery, has been based on the July '13 agreement that they allege was breached by Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: So noted. It might be it might be an issue that is raised at the conclusion of the case-in-chief for the Weiser entities, but I appreciate the fact you're raising the issue now, Mr. Anderson. And I would also note that by conducting your cross-examination and continuing to participate in cross-examining other witnesses that Mr. LaForge and</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to preserve that for the record. THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's the purpose of the objection. Correct? MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I think I'm with you. Go ahead. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Good morning, Mr. Livadas. A Good morning. Q Would you turn to Exhibit 30, please. We talked a little bit about this document yesterday; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And this is the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement that was entered into between Weiser Capital or Weiser Bahamas and Mr. Skarpelos; correct? A Correct. Q And the date up top is July 5th, 2013, but you said you don't know exactly why you put that date in there? </pre>

<u> </u>	Page 10		Page 11
1	A Correct.	1	"I'll just ask you this question. Exhibit 25, the
2	Q And I'll represent to you, and I have copies if	2	purchase and sale agreement that we looked at earlier,
3	you need to see it, that the deposition number for this	3	that document was intended to, I guess, document the
4	document was Exhibit 25. Would you like to see a copy?	4	arrangement that you had with Mr. Skarpelos that
5	A Of 25? It's the same as this; right?	5	resulted in the April 2nd transaction?"
6	Q Exhibit 25 is the same as Exhibit 30.	6	And your answer was "Yes, correct."
7	A I remember that.	7	Right?
8	MR. ANDERSON: I've got a copy if the Court would	8	A Correct, yes.
9	prefer I mark it, but	9	Q Okay. So in October of 2018 you agreed with me
10	THE COURT: No, that's not necessary.	10	that Exhibit 30, trial Exhibit 30, was intended to
11	You understand that during the deposition it's	11	document the April 2nd transaction that is on Exhibit
12	being referred to as Exhibit 25, here it's been marked	12	44, the account statement; correct?
13	as Exhibit 30, but it's the same document; correct?	13	A Sir, that was in here or are you asking me the
14	THE WITNESS: Correct.	14	question?
15	THE COURT: All right. So he understands that,	15	Q I'm asking you the question.
16	Mr. Anderson. Go ahead.	16	A Oh, sorry. Ask me the question again.
17	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.	17	Q Sure. So you agreed with me in October of 2018
18	BY MR. ANDERSON:	18	that the purpose of trial Exhibit 30, which was
19	Q And at your deposition on page 228 and I'll	19	deposition Exhibit 25, trial Exhibit 30, the purpose of
20	let you turn to that page. I was struggling to find	20	that was to document the April 2nd transaction that you
21	this yesterday, but I knew we had this conversation.	21	said took place April 2nd of 2013; correct?
22	Are you at page 228?	22	A Correct.
23	A Yes.	23	Q Okay. And let's look at some of the terms of
24	Q Starting at line 6 I asked you the question,	24	Exhibit 30. After the date that you wrote in there, it
-	Page 12		Page 13
1	indicates that this agreement is made and entered into	1	A Sure.
2	by and among Weiser, Ltd., which you intended to be	2	Q Okay. And you wrote September 30th as the
3	Weiser Capital correct?	3	closing date. We talked about that yesterday; correct?
4	A Correct.	4	A Correct.
5	Q and Athanasios Skarpelos with respect to the	5	Q And the agreement calls for a \$250,000 cash
6	following facts. That's what it says; correct?	6	payment to be made at closing; correct?
7	A Yes.	7	A Correct.
8	Q So the agreement is based on the terms and	8	Q Which is September 30th; correct?
9	conditions set forth in this agreement; correct?	9	A Correct.
10	A Say that again. The agreement is based on the	10	Q Okay. Now, you agreed with me at your
11	conditions, yes.	11	deposition that Weiser Capital as the party on this
12	Q Yes. Your understanding of Mr. Skarpelos was	12	contract could have included a reference to the
13	based on this document?	13	April 2nd payment that you say occurred, they could
14	A Correct.	14	have set forth that term in this contract, but they did
15	Q Okay. And this document provides that the	15	not; correct?
16	agreement doesn't go into effect until the closing date	16	A I could have included, yes.
17	set forth in the agreement; correct?	17	Q Okay. But you didn't?
18	A Say that again. This document doesn't go into	18	A Correct.
19	effect until the closing date?	19	Q And if you look at section 3.2 on the second
20	Q Correct. That's what the agreement provides is	20	page
21	that it doesn't go into effect until the closing date;	21	A Yes.
22	correct?	22	Q that indicates that you as the buyer have
23	A Correct.	23	reviewed all the SEC reports involving Anavex stock;
24	Q It says effective as of closing date.	24	correct?
		1	

1	Page 14		Page 15
	A Correct.	1	Mr. Skarpelos or Lambros about having California law
2	Q And Mr. Skarpelos's ownership of Anavex stock?	2	apply?
3	A Correct.	3	A No.
4	Q Okay. And let's turn to the last page. And	4	Q That California law provision was just in an
5	I'm going to read to you paragraph 4.1 which is	5	internet form that Lambros found somewhere on the
6	entitled Entire Agreement. "This agreement constitutes	6	internet?
7	the entire understanding and agreement of the parties	7	A Yes.
8	relating to the subject matter hereof and supersedes	8	Q So it wasn't really the intent of the parties
9	any and all prior understandings, agreements,	9	that California law apply to this transaction?
10	negotiations and discussions, both written and/oral,	10	A Right.
11	between the parties hereto with respect to the subject	11	Q And if you look at section 4.4, that's entitled
12	matter hereof"; correct?	12	Further Assurances. And I'll paraphrase what I think
13	A That is what it says, correct.	13	it means and I'll ask you a question. But as I read
14	Q And the "subject matter hereof" is the disputed	14	this provision, it's basically saying if any further
15	stock at issue in this case; correct?	15	documentation needs to be executed or completed to
16	Let me rephrase that. The "subject matter hereof"	16	fulfill this agreement that Mr. Skarpelos or you as the
17	is referred to on the first page of the agreement which	17	other party agree to provide that; correct?
18	says the 3,316,666 shares of Anavex stock that	18	A Correct.
19	Mr. Skarpelos owned?	19	Q Okay. Basically if we need to execute this
20	A Correct.	20	form to complete this deal, that's what this provision
21	Q Okay. And there's also in section 4.2 a	21	contemplates?
22	Governing Law provision. Do you see that?	22	A Correct.
23	A Yes.	23	Q Okay. Did you ever send anything in writing to
24	Q Okay. Did you have a specific discussion with	24	Mr. Skarpelos or Lambros saying that further
	Page 16		Page 17
1	documentation needed to be completed to finish this	1	that was going to take place later in the year.
2	contract?	2	So when that transaction didn't take place, when we
3	A Not that I recall for this contract.	3	didn't complete the sale of all of his stock, then I
4	Q And that's your signature on the left-hand side	4	used this then I executed the agreement much later
5	at the bottom?		
		5	just to finish the file on what we had begun earlier in
6	A Yes.	6	the year.
6 7	Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.?	6 7	the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document,
6 7 8	Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.? A Correct.	6 7 8	the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd
6 7 8 9	Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.?A Correct.Q In any of the email discussions or prior	6 7 8 9	the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd transaction.
6 7 8 9 10	 Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.? A Correct. Q In any of the email discussions or prior correspondence did you indicate to Lambros or to Tom 	6 7 8 9 10	<pre>the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd transaction. A It started for the purpose of finding buyers</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.? A Correct. Q In any of the email discussions or prior correspondence did you indicate to Lambros or to Tom Skarpelos that Weiser Capital would be the buyer of the 	6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd transaction. A It started for the purpose of finding buyers outside of WAM's clients. That's how this started.</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.? A Correct. Q In any of the email discussions or prior correspondence did you indicate to Lambros or to Tom Skarpelos that Weiser Capital would be the buyer of the stock in this agreement?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd transaction. A It started for the purpose of finding buyers outside of WAM's clients. That's how this started. Q Okay. So this was now your testimony is</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.? A Correct. Q In any of the email discussions or prior correspondence did you indicate to Lambros or to Tom Skarpelos that Weiser Capital would be the buyer of the stock in this agreement? A That Weiser Capital would be the buyer. Not	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd transaction. A It started for the purpose of finding buyers outside of WAM's clients. That's how this started. Q Okay. So this was now your testimony is that Exhibit 30 was started for the purpose of a second</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.? A Correct. Q In any of the email discussions or prior correspondence did you indicate to Lambros or to Tom Skarpelos that Weiser Capital would be the buyer of the stock in this agreement? A That Weiser Capital would be the buyer. Not that I can recall.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd transaction. A It started for the purpose of finding buyers outside of WAM's clients. That's how this started. Q Okay. So this was now your testimony is that Exhibit 30 was started for the purpose of a second transaction</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.? A Correct. Q In any of the email discussions or prior correspondence did you indicate to Lambros or to Tom Skarpelos that Weiser Capital would be the buyer of the stock in this agreement? A That Weiser Capital would be the buyer. Not that I can recall. Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 8, please. And	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd transaction. A It started for the purpose of finding buyers outside of WAM's clients. That's how this started. Q Okay. So this was now your testimony is that Exhibit 30 was started for the purpose of a second transaction A Correct.</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.? A Correct. Q In any of the email discussions or prior correspondence did you indicate to Lambros or to Tom Skarpelos that Weiser Capital would be the buyer of the stock in this agreement? A That Weiser Capital would be the buyer. Not that I can recall. Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 8, please. And before you turn there, actually what you said	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd transaction. A It started for the purpose of finding buyers outside of WAM's clients. That's how this started. Q Okay. So this was now your testimony is that Exhibit 30 was started for the purpose of a second transaction A Correct. Q that never happened, so you used it for the</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.? A Correct. Q In any of the email discussions or prior correspondence did you indicate to Lambros or to Tom Skarpelos that Weiser Capital would be the buyer of the stock in this agreement? A That Weiser Capital would be the buyer. Not that I can recall. Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 8, please. And before you turn there, actually what you said yesterday that you completed Exhibit 30 at a much later	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd transaction. A It started for the purpose of finding buyers outside of WAM's clients. That's how this started. Q Okay. So this was now your testimony is that Exhibit 30 was started for the purpose of a second transaction A Correct. Q that never happened, so you used it for the first transaction?</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.? A Correct. Q In any of the email discussions or prior correspondence did you indicate to Lambros or to Tom Skarpelos that Weiser Capital would be the buyer of the stock in this agreement? A That Weiser Capital would be the buyer. Not that I can recall. Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 8, please. And before you turn there, actually what you said yesterday that you completed Exhibit 30 at a much later date than July 2013; correct?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd transaction. A It started for the purpose of finding buyers outside of WAM's clients. That's how this started. Q Okay. So this was now your testimony is that Exhibit 30 was started for the purpose of a second transaction A Correct. Q that never happened, so you used it for the first transaction? A I used it to close our file, because it was</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.? A Correct. Q In any of the email discussions or prior correspondence did you indicate to Lambros or to Tom Skarpelos that Weiser Capital would be the buyer of the stock in this agreement? A That Weiser Capital would be the buyer. Not that I can recall. Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 8, please. And before you turn there, actually what you said yesterday that you completed Exhibit 30 at a much later date than July 2013; correct? A Correct, because this agreement we were	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd transaction. A It started for the purpose of finding buyers outside of WAM's clients. That's how this started. Q Okay. So this was now your testimony is that Exhibit 30 was started for the purpose of a second transaction A Correct. Q that never happened, so you used it for the first transaction? A I used it to close our file, because it was supposed to be transactions happen in multiple</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.? A Correct. Q In any of the email discussions or prior correspondence did you indicate to Lambros or to Tom Skarpelos that Weiser Capital would be the buyer of the stock in this agreement? A That Weiser Capital would be the buyer. Not that I can recall. Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 8, please. And before you turn there, actually what you said yesterday that you completed Exhibit 30 at a much later date than July 2013; correct? A Correct, because this agreement we were doing this agreement for the contemplated sale that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd transaction. A It started for the purpose of finding buyers outside of WAM's clients. That's how this started. Q Okay. So this was now your testimony is that Exhibit 30 was started for the purpose of a second transaction A Correct. Q that never happened, so you used it for the first transaction? A I used it to close our file, because it was supposed to be transactions happen in multiple transactions. The order was to sell the stock. We</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.? A Correct. Q In any of the email discussions or prior correspondence did you indicate to Lambros or to Tom Skarpelos that Weiser Capital would be the buyer of the stock in this agreement? A That Weiser Capital would be the buyer. Not that I can recall. Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 8, please. And before you turn there, actually what you said yesterday that you completed Exhibit 30 at a much later date than July 2013; correct? A Correct, because this agreement we were doing this agreement for the contemplated sale that would happen later in the year. So this agreement was	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd transaction. A It started for the purpose of finding buyers outside of WAM's clients. That's how this started. Q Okay. So this was now your testimony is that Exhibit 30 was started for the purpose of a second transaction A Correct. Q that never happened, so you used it for the first transaction? A I used it to close our file, because it was supposed to be transactions happen in multiple transactions. The order was to sell the stock. We started this document to deal with outside non-WAM</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.? A Correct. Q In any of the email discussions or prior correspondence did you indicate to Lambros or to Tom Skarpelos that Weiser Capital would be the buyer of the stock in this agreement? A That Weiser Capital would be the buyer. Not that I can recall. Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 8, please. And before you turn there, actually what you said yesterday that you completed Exhibit 30 at a much later date than July 2013; correct? A Correct, because this agreement we were doing this agreement for the contemplated sale that would happen later in the year. So this agreement was for when this was being drafted, it was for a sale	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd transaction. A It started for the purpose of finding buyers outside of WAM's clients. That's how this started. Q Okay. So this was now your testimony is that Exhibit 30 was started for the purpose of a second transaction A Correct. Q that never happened, so you used it for the first transaction? A I used it to close our file, because it was supposed to be transactions happen in multiple transactions. The order was to sell the stock. We started this document to deal with outside non-WAM clients. And, yes, after we concluded with what the</pre>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. And you wrote in Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.? A Correct. Q In any of the email discussions or prior correspondence did you indicate to Lambros or to Tom Skarpelos that Weiser Capital would be the buyer of the stock in this agreement? A That Weiser Capital would be the buyer. Not that I can recall. Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 8, please. And before you turn there, actually what you said yesterday that you completed Exhibit 30 at a much later date than July 2013; correct? A Correct, because this agreement we were doing this agreement for the contemplated sale that would happen later in the year. So this agreement was	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>the year. Q You just testified earlier that this document, Exhibit 30, was related to the first April 2nd transaction. A It started for the purpose of finding buyers outside of WAM's clients. That's how this started. Q Okay. So this was now your testimony is that Exhibit 30 was started for the purpose of a second transaction A Correct. Q that never happened, so you used it for the first transaction? A I used it to close our file, because it was supposed to be transactions happen in multiple transactions. The order was to sell the stock. We started this document to deal with outside non-WAM</pre>

Page 18 Page 19 1 first page from Lambros. And he sends you both the 1 for our AML purposes. 2 THE COURT: I apologize for interrupting your notarized power of attorney and transfer of bonds of 2 3 cross-examination, Mr. Anderson. 3 shares -- or shares, I should say, notarized in blank. 4 EXAMINATION A Correct. 4 5 5 BY THE COURT: 0 And then the next document is the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement notarized in blank. 6 Q Can you clarify something for me? 6 7 7 A Yes, sir. A Correct. Q Okay. So we're on the same page. 8 Q Look at Exhibit No. 30. You've got your finger 8 9 on Exhibit 30? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 10 A Yes. 0 Did you just fill out this document, the 11 Q Now go to Exhibit No. 33. So you've got both 11 notarized document, that you received? And by "fill 12 of them simultaneously. 12 out" I mean did you just insert in the document that 13 A Correct. 13 Lambros sent to you the information that then is on 14 page -- or excuse me -- is on Exhibit 30? Because as 0 Did you just -- now, in Exhibit 33 there are 14 15 I'm looking at them -- and as the finder fact what I'm one, two -- on the third page we've got that stock 15 16 agreement. Do you see that? 16 doing is I'm just comparing them. 17 A Yes, sir. 17 A Yes, sir. 18 Q Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement. 18 0 So it looks like the notary is the same. I 19 would note that Exhibit 30 is in black and white and А Correct. 19 that Exhibit 33 is in color. But it appears just as 20 Q And this is the document that Lambros emailed 20 to you as a completed document? I'm going back and forth and comparing them, flipping 21 21 22 22 A Correct. back and forth between the two, it looks like you just took Exhibit 33, filled it out, signed it yourself and 23 Q Okay. So you received this presumably on 23 July 9th of 2013. That's when the email is sent on the 24 24 then, as I understand your testimony, you just put it Page 20 Page 21 in the file to complete the file as you keep saying. This is not to memorialize -- I didn't complete 1 1 Α 2 Is that accurate or inaccurate? 2 it to memorialize the second sale. A That is correct. I can elaborate a little bit 3 3 Q Because there was no second sale. 4 further. A Correct. 4 5 Q No, I'll allow Mr. Anderson and Mr. Nork to 5 And you didn't do it to memorialize the April 0 6 clarify those issues. But you basically just took what 6 sale because that's over with. And I'm not telling you 7 Lambros emailed you in -- I apologize. I just forgot 7 what to say. I'm asking you if that's a correct 8 when it was. 8 statement. 9 MR. ANDERSON: 33, Your Honor. 9 A I understand. And I don't know what 10 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 memorialize means exactly, but in my words, yes, I BY THE COURT: 11 11 completed it much later so that the file was complete 12 Q So you just took what Lambros emails you in 12 for AML purposes to explain to our compliance if they 13 July of 2013, fill it out yourself, date it -- or 13 wished to submit it to anybody. excuse me -- you don't date it, you just sign it 14 14 Q But, again, just for clarification purposes, 15 yourself and you just inserted those numbers? 15 this document, the sale agreement that is Exhibit 33, 16 A Yes. 16 was originally sent to you by Lambros in order to be 17 Q And as I understand your testimony so far today 17 able to put into effect the potential sale to the 18 and yesterday, it's not to memorialize a sale from 18 outside buyers, not the April sale, but the second sale of the second half of the shares? 19 Mr. Skarpelos to Weiser of the second half of the 19 20 shares that he still has represented on Certificate 20 A Correct. 21 753, it's just to kind of close the whole thing out. 21 0 So that's why Lambros sends it to you. 22 He's already sold the first half of the shares in 22 А There's two parts on this. The discussion of 23 April. This has nothing to do with that. That's your 23 the stock power -- dealing with the stock power is a 24 testimony so far, isn't it? 24 separate discussion than the purchase and sale

	Page 22		Page 23
1	agreement so that we're clear on that.	1	transpire, it doesn't happen?
2	Q Okay. I appreciate that.	2	A No.
3	A I needed the stock power to finish	3	Q Never?
4	dematerializing the whole position in general. So the	4	A No.
5	stock power and the purchase and sale are unrelated	5	Q So then you just fill it out for a different
б	events in a way.	6	purpose?
7	Q I understand. But NATCO couldn't dematerialize	7	A Correct. Correct.
8	Certificate 753 without that stock power signed and	8	Q Not because there's a sale to Weiser. You're
9	notarized?	9	just filling it out just to kind of close it out. So
10	A Correct.	10	you're using it for something other than it was sent to
11	Q At all?	11	you?
12	A Correct.	12	A Correct.
13	Q I understand that. I'm just more focused on	13	THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Anderson.
14	what Mr. Anderson is talking to you about with the	14	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement itself. Tell me if	15	THE COURT: And, again, I apologize to both you and
16	I'm wrong in my analysis of what you've said so far.	16	to Mr. Nork, because I've done it with both of you that
17	You asked Lambros to give you this notarized agreement	17	I just ask questions, I think, because I just need to
18	so you could sell the second half	18	understand in my mind what the testimony is. And
19	A Correct.	19	sometimes, like with this, I'm looking at it going, I
20	Q of 753?	20	need to know if this is the same thing or a
21	A Yes.	21	different an entirely different document. And
22	Q That's correct?	22	that's why I wanted the clarification from Mr. Livadas
23	A Correct.	23	that it's actually the same document that Lambros sent
24	Q All right. Then the second half sale doesn't	24	to him in July. It had nothing initially to do with
	Page 24		Page 25
1	the April sale.	1	A In my interpretation of the word "document," I
1 2	the April sale. MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.	1 2	5
	-		A In my interpretation of the word "document," I
2	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.	2	A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it,
2 3	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go	2 3	A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes.
2 3 4	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead.	2 3 4	A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition,
2 3 4 5	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5	A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed)	2 3 4 5 6	 A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money laundering purposes?	2 3 4 5 6 7	A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction. I asked you starting at line 6, "Is Exhibit 25, depo Exhibit 25, intended to document the April 2nd arrangement with Mr. Skarpelos?"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money laundering purposes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction. I asked you starting at line 6, "Is Exhibit 25, depo Exhibit 25, intended to document the April 2nd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money laundering purposes? A That's generally what we so frequently when</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction. I asked you starting at line 6, "Is Exhibit 25, depo Exhibit 25, intended to document the April 2nd arrangement with Mr. Skarpelos?"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money laundering purposes? A That's generally what we so frequently when we get</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction. I asked you starting at line 6, "Is Exhibit 25, depo Exhibit 25, intended to document the April 2nd arrangement with Mr. Skarpelos?" And you said, "Yes, correct."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money laundering purposes? A That's generally what we so frequently when we get Q I'm just asking you that's what you said;</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	 A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction. I asked you starting at line 6, "Is Exhibit 25, depo Exhibit 25, intended to document the April 2nd arrangement with Mr. Skarpelos?" And you said, "Yes, correct." A Correct, that's what I said.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money laundering purposes? A That's generally what we so frequently when we get Q I'm just asking you that's what you said; correct? A Yeah, yeah. Q And so you used the document for a purpose</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction. I asked you starting at line 6, "Is Exhibit 25, depo Exhibit 25, intended to document the April 2nd arrangement with Mr. Skarpelos?" And you said, "Yes, correct." A Correct, that's what I said. Q Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money laundering purposes? A That's generally what we so frequently when we get Q I'm just asking you that's what you said; correct? A Yeah, yeah. Q And so you used the document for a purpose other than the purpose it was sent to you, as the judge</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction. I asked you starting at line 6, "Is Exhibit 25, depo Exhibit 25, intended to document the April 2nd arrangement with Mr. Skarpelos?" And you said, "Yes, correct." A Correct, that's what I said. Q Okay. Thank you. And you also just told the judge that the purchase and sale agreement that Lambros sent to you had a separate purpose than the power of attorney that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money laundering purposes? A That's generally what we so frequently when we get Q I'm just asking you that's what you said; correct? A Yeah, yeah. Q And so you used the document for a purpose</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction. I asked you starting at line 6, "Is Exhibit 25, depo Exhibit 25, intended to document the April 2nd arrangement with Mr. Skarpelos?" And you said, "Yes, correct." A Correct, that's what I said. Q Okay. Thank you. And you also just told the judge that the purchase and sale agreement that Lambros sent to you had a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money laundering purposes? A That's generally what we so frequently when we get Q I'm just asking you that's what you said; correct? A Yeah, yeah. Q And so you used the document for a purpose other than the purpose it was sent to you, as the judge</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction. I asked you starting at line 6, "Is Exhibit 25, depo Exhibit 25, intended to document the April 2nd arrangement with Mr. Skarpelos?" And you said, "Yes, correct." A Correct, that's what I said. Q Okay. Thank you. And you also just told the judge that the purchase and sale agreement that Lambros sent to you had a separate purpose than the power of attorney that was sent to you; correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money laundering purposes? A That's generally what we so frequently when we get Q I'm just asking you that's what you said; correct? A Yeah, yeah. Q And so you used the document for a purpose other than the purpose it was sent to you, as the judge just asked, and that was for anti-money laundering purposes? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction. I asked you starting at line 6, "Is Exhibit 25, depo Exhibit 25, intended to document the April 2nd arrangement with Mr. Skarpelos?" And you said, "Yes, correct." A Correct, that's what I said. Q Okay. Thank you. And you also just told the judge that the purchase and sale agreement that Lambros sent to you had a separate purpose than the power of attorney that was sent to you; correct? A Correct. Q Would you look at Exhibit 25. I'm sorry. 24,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money laundering purposes? A That's generally what we so frequently when we get Q I'm just asking you that's what you said; correct? A Yeah, yeah. Q And so you used the document for a purpose other than the purpose it was sent to you, as the judge just asked, and that was for anti-money laundering purposes?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction. I asked you starting at line 6, "Is Exhibit 25, depo Exhibit 25, intended to document the April 2nd arrangement with Mr. Skarpelos?" And you said, "Yes, correct." A Correct, that's what I said. Q Okay. Thank you. And you also just told the judge that the purchase and sale agreement that Lambros sent to you had a separate purpose than the power of attorney that was sent to you; correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money laundering purposes? A That's generally what we so frequently when we get Q I'm just asking you that's what you said; correct? A Yeah, yeah. Q And so you used the document for a purpose other than the purpose it was sent to you, as the judge just asked, and that was for anti-money laundering purposes? A Correct. Q And just to kind of close the loop on the judge's questions, when the second sale that you allege</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction. I asked you starting at line 6, "Is Exhibit 25, depo Exhibit 25, intended to document the April 2nd arrangement with Mr. Skarpelos?" And you said, "Yes, correct." A Correct, that's what I said. Q Okay. Thank you. And you also just told the judge that the purchase and sale agreement that Lambros sent to you had a separate purpose than the power of attorney that was sent to you; correct? A Correct. Q Would you look at Exhibit 25. I'm sorry. 24,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money laundering purposes? A That's generally what we so frequently when we get Q I'm just asking you that's what you said; correct? A Yeah, yeah. Q And so you used the document for a purpose other than the purpose it was sent to you, as the judge just asked, and that was for anti-money laundering purposes? A Correct. Q And just to kind of close the loop on the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction. I asked you starting at line 6, "Is Exhibit 25, depo Exhibit 25, intended to document the April 2nd arrangement with Mr. Skarpelos?" And you said, "Yes, correct." A Correct, that's what I said. Q Okay. Thank you. And you also just told the judge that the purchase and sale agreement that Lambros sent to you had a separate purpose than the power of attorney that was sent to you; correct? A Correct. Q Would you look at Exhibit 25. I'm sorry. 24, please. Now, this is an email exchange between you and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money laundering purposes? A That's generally what we so frequently when we get Q I'm just asking you that's what you said; correct? A Yeah, yeah. Q And so you used the document for a purpose other than the purpose it was sent to you, as the judge just asked, and that was for anti-money laundering purposes? A Correct. Q And just to kind of close the loop on the judge's questions, when the second sale that you allege was being contemplated by all these emails and this document didn't happen, you used Exhibit 30 to document</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction. I asked you starting at line 6, "Is Exhibit 25, depo Exhibit 25, intended to document the April 2nd arrangement with Mr. Skarpelos?" And you said, "Yes, correct." A Correct, that's what I said. Q Okay. Thank you. And you also just told the judge that the purchase and sale agreement that Lambros sent to you had a separate purpose than the power of attorney that was sent to you; correct? A Correct. Q Would you look at Exhibit 25. I'm sorry. 24, please. Now, this is an email exchange between you and Lambros that addresses the blank forms that the judge was looking at on Exhibit 33. And the attachments to this email are actually different. These are the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So that's why I asked the question. Go ahead. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And you said you did Exhibit 30 for anti-money laundering purposes? A That's generally what we so frequently when we get Q I'm just asking you that's what you said; correct? A Yeah, yeah. Q And so you used the document for a purpose other than the purpose it was sent to you, as the judge just asked, and that was for anti-money laundering purposes? A Correct. Q And just to kind of close the loop on the judge's questions, when the second sale that you allege was being contemplated by all these emails and this</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A In my interpretation of the word "document," I didn't use it to document that transaction. I used it, if it would be needed, for AML purposes. Q Let's look back at page 228 of your deposition, because I think you agreed with me earlier that trial Exhibit 30 was intended to document the April 2nd transaction. I asked you starting at line 6, "Is Exhibit 25, depo Exhibit 25, intended to document the April 2nd arrangement with Mr. Skarpelos?" And you said, "Yes, correct." A Correct, that's what I said. Q Okay. Thank you. And you also just told the judge that the purchase and sale agreement that Lambros sent to you had a separate purpose than the power of attorney that was sent to you; correct? A Correct. Q Would you look at Exhibit 25. I'm sorry. 24, please. Now, this is an email exchange between you and Lambros that addresses the blank forms that the judge was looking at on Exhibit 33. And the attachments to

	Page 26		Page 27
1	A I assume so.	1	Q And you never did show any potential Kinezi
2	Q Okay. And in this email you asked Lambros,	2	buyers the purchase and sale agreement that Lambros
3	"Email me blank ones now so I can show them what	3	sent you, did you?
4	they'll be looking like," et cetera. And it looks like	4	A I represented the terms of the purchase and
5	down below Lambros sent you a power of attorney and a	5	sale agreement.
6	Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement; correct?	6	Q Okay. You didn't actually show them the
7	A Correct.	7	documents that Lambros sent you?
8	Q Nothing in this email indicates that you were	8	A Correct. No.
9	asking for the power of attorney for any separate	9	MR. ANDERSON: I apologize, Your Honor. I'm going
10	purpose other than showing the Kinezi folks what a	10	to try to get back on track here.
11	sample purchase and sale agreement and power of	11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	attorney might look like; correct?	12	BY MR. ANDERSON:
13	A When I was saying I want to show them what	13	Q Let's look back at Exhibit 30 real quick. And
14	they'll be looking like, the reference to that is I	14	focusing on sections 1.2 and 1.3, neither you nor WAM
15	want to show them what the deal is going to be looking	15	nor Weiser Capital delivered \$250,000 in cash to Tom
16	like. We didn't typically show draft templates of	16	Skarpelos on September 30th of 2013; correct?
17	purchase and sale agreements to buyers. And we had had	17	A On September 30th, no.
18	discussions about the POAs separately. The discussion	18	Q Okay. And neither you nor WAM nor Weiser
19	was coming together there to finish dealing with all	19	Capital nor anyone else delivered \$250,000 in cash to
20	these documents at once.	20	Mr. Skarpelos after September 30th, 2013; correct?
21	Q Okay. But there are no emails that talk about	21	A No.
22	the power of attorney being separate from the purchase	22	Q You're saying that the payment that's
23	and sale agreement; correct?	23	contemplated by the agreement, this July 2013
24	A Not in here.	24	agreement, was the April 2nd credit to Tom's account
1	Page 28 statement at WAM; correct?	1	Page 29 I believe that went to October or November of 2013.
2	A Ask that again, please.	2	And then at that time the transaction was set to close,
3	Q Yes. Your testimony is that the payment that	3	but then Tom and I never came to an agreement to close
4	was made to Tom Skarpelos for the stock at issue in	4	that transaction.
5	this case was the April 2nd, 2013, credit to his	5	Q You testified that you filled this document out
6	account	6	much later.
7	A Correct.	7	A Correct.
8	Q that is not mentioned in any way in this	8	Q And I would like you to look at Exhibit 54,
9	agreement?	9	please. Now I would like you to look at 54 first and
10			
11	A No.	10	then we're going to look at 35, so if you can put your
	A No. Q Okay. I'm correct, it's not in there?	10 11	then we're going to look at 35, so if you can put your thumb into that one as well.
12			
	Q Okay. I'm correct, it's not in there?	11	thumb into that one as well.
12	Q Okay. I'm correct, it's not in there? A Correct, it's not in there.	11 12	thumb into that one as well. Now, Exhibit 54, if you look this is the email
12 13	<pre>Q Okay. I'm correct, it's not in there? A Correct, it's not in there. Q Let's look at well, actually one more</pre>	11 12 13	thumb into that one as well. Now, Exhibit 54, if you look this is the email we talked about yesterday or the letter we talked about
12 13 14	<pre>Q Okay. I'm correct, it's not in there? A Correct, it's not in there. Q Let's look at well, actually one more question on Exhibit 30. There's a provision in here</pre>	11 12 13 14	thumb into that one as well. Now, Exhibit 54, if you look this is the email we talked about yesterday or the letter we talked about yesterday where Mr. Boutsalis on November 13th of 2015
12 13 14 15	Q Okay. I'm correct, it's not in there? A Correct, it's not in there. Q Let's look at well, actually one more question on Exhibit 30. There's a provision in here that states that the closing date is September 30th or	11 12 13 14 15	thumb into that one as well. Now, Exhibit 54, if you look this is the email we talked about yesterday or the letter we talked about yesterday where Mr. Boutsalis on November 13th of 2015 is sending documentation regarding Weiser's ownership
12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. I'm correct, it's not in there? A Correct, it's not in there. Q Let's look at well, actually one more question on Exhibit 30. There's a provision in here that states that the closing date is September 30th or such other date as the parties hereto may agree to the	11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>thumb into that one as well. Now, Exhibit 54, if you look this is the email we talked about yesterday or the letter we talked about yesterday where Mr. Boutsalis on November 13th of 2015 is sending documentation regarding Weiser's ownership of the stock to NATCO; correct?</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. I'm correct, it's not in there? A Correct, it's not in there. Q Let's look at well, actually one more question on Exhibit 30. There's a provision in here that states that the closing date is September 30th or such other date as the parties hereto may agree to the closing date. Is it your testimony that you and	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>thumb into that one as well. Now, Exhibit 54, if you look this is the email we talked about yesterday or the letter we talked about yesterday where Mr. Boutsalis on November 13th of 2015 is sending documentation regarding Weiser's ownership of the stock to NATCO; correct? A Correct.</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. I'm correct, it's not in there? A Correct, it's not in there. Q Let's look at well, actually one more question on Exhibit 30. There's a provision in here that states that the closing date is September 30th or such other date as the parties hereto may agree to the closing date. Is it your testimony that you and Mr. Skarpelos agreed to a different date than	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>thumb into that one as well. Now, Exhibit 54, if you look this is the email we talked about yesterday or the letter we talked about yesterday where Mr. Boutsalis on November 13th of 2015 is sending documentation regarding Weiser's ownership of the stock to NATCO; correct? A Correct. Q And we looked at the third page yesterday of</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. I'm correct, it's not in there? A Correct, it's not in there. Q Let's look at well, actually one more question on Exhibit 30. There's a provision in here that states that the closing date is September 30th or such other date as the parties hereto may agree to the closing date. Is it your testimony that you and Mr. Skarpelos agreed to a different date than September 30th, 2013?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>thumb into that one as well. Now, Exhibit 54, if you look this is the email we talked about yesterday or the letter we talked about yesterday where Mr. Boutsalis on November 13th of 2015 is sending documentation regarding Weiser's ownership of the stock to NATCO; correct? A Correct. Q And we looked at the third page yesterday of Exhibit 54 which is the stock power filled out by</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. I'm correct, it's not in there? A Correct, it's not in there. Q Let's look at well, actually one more question on Exhibit 30. There's a provision in here that states that the closing date is September 30th or such other date as the parties hereto may agree to the closing date. Is it your testimony that you and Mr. Skarpelos agreed to a different date than September 30th, 2013? A We were having ongoing discussions past	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>thumb into that one as well. Now, Exhibit 54, if you look this is the email we talked about yesterday or the letter we talked about yesterday where Mr. Boutsalis on November 13th of 2015 is sending documentation regarding Weiser's ownership of the stock to NATCO; correct? A Correct. Q And we looked at the third page yesterday of Exhibit 54 which is the stock power filled out by Mr. Skarpelos that the judge was looking at in Exhibit</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. I'm correct, it's not in there? A Correct, it's not in there. Q Let's look at well, actually one more question on Exhibit 30. There's a provision in here that states that the closing date is September 30th or such other date as the parties hereto may agree to the closing date. Is it your testimony that you and Mr. Skarpelos agreed to a different date than September 30th, 2013? A We were having ongoing discussions past September 30th which this was still blank at the time.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>thumb into that one as well. Now, Exhibit 54, if you look this is the email we talked about yesterday or the letter we talked about yesterday where Mr. Boutsalis on November 13th of 2015 is sending documentation regarding Weiser's ownership of the stock to NATCO; correct? A Correct. Q And we looked at the third page yesterday of Exhibit 54 which is the stock power filled out by Mr. Skarpelos that the judge was looking at in Exhibit 33.</pre>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. I'm correct, it's not in there? A Correct, it's not in there. Q Let's look at well, actually one more question on Exhibit 30. There's a provision in here that states that the closing date is September 30th or such other date as the parties hereto may agree to the closing date. Is it your testimony that you and Mr. Skarpelos agreed to a different date than September 30th, 2013? A We were having ongoing discussions past September 30th which this was still blank at the time. We were still having ongoing discussions past	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>thumb into that one as well. Now, Exhibit 54, if you look this is the email we talked about yesterday or the letter we talked about yesterday where Mr. Boutsalis on November 13th of 2015 is sending documentation regarding Weiser's ownership of the stock to NATCO; correct? A Correct. Q And we looked at the third page yesterday of Exhibit 54 which is the stock power filled out by Mr. Skarpelos that the judge was looking at in Exhibit 33. A Correct.</pre>

1 2	Page 30		Page 31
1 2	Q Okay. And this is this is almost two	1	may help you, because on November 13th of 2015 it's
1 *	years more than two years after the transaction.	2	still incomplete; correct?
3	And then we also looked at Exhibit 57, I believe. 56.	3	A My recollection was that it was completed, so I $$
4	So Exhibit 56 we looked at. That was Mr. Simonitsch	4	would be surprised that the issuer would send a blank
5	from Anavex asking your attorney to send him a complete	5	stock power to the transfer agent. So I'm trying to
6	copy of the executed power of attorney and fully	6	think or trying to confirm to myself that they sent a
7	executed July 12th, 2013, sales transaction; correct?	7	blank stock power, because it would be rather how do
8	A Correct.	8	I say? It's not normal course of business to send
9	Q And then we also looked at Exhibit 57 which was	9	blank stock powers to transfer agents.
10	the day after Exhibit 56. In that document it appears	10	Q Right. The transfer agent wants to see a
11	your attorney was sending him a stock power, Stock Sale	11	complete stock power, otherwise they can't do anything?
12	and Purchase Agreement; correct?	12	A Yeah.
13	A Correct.	13	Q But if you look at Exhibit 50, and in
14	Q So if we turn we looked at the Boutsalis	14	particular the second page, on November 12th of 2015
15	letter, Exhibit 54, to NATCO. It had a blank power of	15	you're confirming with Mr. Boutsalis that he received
16	attorney as of November of 2015; correct?	16	the original stock certificate and stock power. So if
17	A Correct.	17	he had it on November 12th, and on November 13th he's
18	Q Okay. Isn't it correct that you filled these	18	writing a letter to NATCO, why is he sending a blank
19	documents out sometime in November of 2015?	19	one?
20	A The purchase and sale agreement, I can't	20	A That's yeah, that's what I don't understand,
21	remember exactly when I filled that one out.	21	because I'm pretty sure it would have been a completed
22	Q But certainly the stock power?	22	one that would have gone through the process.
23	A The stock power would have been completed	23	Q Okay. The bottom line is you don't know when
24	Q I'll direct you to Exhibit 54. The third page	24	you filled out either the stock power or the purchase
1	Page 32 and sale agreement; correct?	1	Page 33 A To be clear, not to transfer them to Weiser
2	A Exactly when, no.	2	Capital but to effect the dematerialization of them,
3	Q Okay. And let's look at Exhibit 35 real quick.	3	because transfer is an important term when we talk
4	This is the stock power that you filled out at some	4	about transfer.
-		-	
5	point: correct?	5	0 Well, they say "name of transferee" which is
5 6	point; correct? A Correct.	5 6	Q Well, they say "name of transferee" which is A I know that's what it says there, but when it's
5 6 7	A Correct.		A I know that's what it says there, but when it's
6	 A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, 	6	A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee
6 7 8	A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the	6 7 8	A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning.
6 7 8 9	A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the signature of the transferor and the notary stamp;	6 7 8 9	 A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning. Q Well, then shouldn't broker-dealers use a
6 7 8 9 10	A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the	6 7 8 9 10	 A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning. Q Well, then shouldn't broker-dealers use a special power of attorney rather than an internet form
6 7 8 9 10 11	A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the signature of the transferor and the notary stamp; correct? A Correct.	6 7 8 9 10 11	 A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning. Q Well, then shouldn't broker-dealers use a special power of attorney rather than an internet form to make clear exactly to their clients what's
6 7 8 9 10	<pre>A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the signature of the transferor and the notary stamp; correct? A Correct. Q You wrote in everything else or typed in or</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning. Q Well, then shouldn't broker-dealers use a special power of attorney rather than an internet form to make clear exactly to their clients what's happening?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the signature of the transferor and the notary stamp; correct? A Correct. Q You wrote in everything else or typed in or caused someone to type this information in; correct? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning. Q Well, then shouldn't broker-dealers use a special power of attorney rather than an internet form to make clear exactly to their clients what's happening?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the signature of the transferor and the notary stamp; correct? A Correct. Q You wrote in everything else or typed in or caused someone to type this information in; correct? A Correct. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning. Q Well, then shouldn't broker-dealers use a special power of attorney rather than an internet form to make clear exactly to their clients what's happening? A These are standard stock powers are quite standard. I wouldn't call it an internet form. This
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the signature of the transferor and the notary stamp; correct? A Correct. Q You wrote in everything else or typed in or caused someone to type this information in; correct? A Correct. Q So at some point in time, much later than the 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning. Q Well, then shouldn't broker-dealers use a special power of attorney rather than an internet form to make clear exactly to their clients what's happening? A These are standard stock powers are quite standard. I wouldn't call it an internet form. This is a very standard form that transfer agents use.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the signature of the transferor and the notary stamp; correct? A Correct. Q You wrote in everything else or typed in or caused someone to type this information in; correct? A Correct. Q So at some point in time, much later than the date of Exhibit 30, the purchase and sale agreement, 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning. Q Well, then shouldn't broker-dealers use a special power of attorney rather than an internet form to make clear exactly to their clients what's happening? A These are standard stock powers are quite standard. I wouldn't call it an internet form. This is a very standard form that transfer agents use. Q But you just said there's a very important
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the signature of the transferor and the notary stamp; correct? A Correct. Q You wrote in everything else or typed in or caused someone to type this information in; correct? A Correct. Q So at some point in time, much later than the date of Exhibit 30, the purchase and sale agreement, you typed in name of transferee, Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.; 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning. Q Well, then shouldn't broker-dealers use a special power of attorney rather than an internet form to make clear exactly to their clients what's happening? A These are standard stock powers are quite standard. I wouldn't call it an internet form. This is a very standard form that transfer agents use. Q But you just said there's a very important difference between transferee in the normal world and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the signature of the transferor and the notary stamp; correct? A Correct. Q You wrote in everything else or typed in or caused someone to type this information in; correct? A Correct. Q So at some point in time, much later than the date of Exhibit 30, the purchase and sale agreement, you typed in name of transferee, Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.; correct? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning. Q Well, then shouldn't broker-dealers use a special power of attorney rather than an internet form to make clear exactly to their clients what's happening? A These are standard stock powers are quite standard. I wouldn't call it an internet form. This is a very standard form that transfer agents use. Q But you just said there's a very important difference between transferee in the normal world and in the broker-dealer world; correct?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the signature of the transferor and the notary stamp; correct? A Correct. Q You wrote in everything else or typed in or caused someone to type this information in; correct? A Correct. Q So at some point in time, much later than the date of Exhibit 30, the purchase and sale agreement, you typed in name of transferee, Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.; correct? A Correct. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning. Q Well, then shouldn't broker-dealers use a special power of attorney rather than an internet form to make clear exactly to their clients what's happening? A These are standard stock powers are quite standard. I wouldn't call it an internet form. This is a very standard form that transfer agents use. Q But you just said there's a very important difference between transferee in the normal world and in the broker-dealer world; correct? A Correct.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the signature of the transferor and the notary stamp; correct? A Correct. Q You wrote in everything else or typed in or caused someone to type this information in; correct? A Correct. Q So at some point in time, much later than the date of Exhibit 30, the purchase and sale agreement, you typed in name of transferee, Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.; correct? A Correct. Q In other words, you're saying transfer the 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning. Q Well, then shouldn't broker-dealers use a special power of attorney rather than an internet form to make clear exactly to their clients what's happening? A These are standard stock powers are quite standard. I wouldn't call it an internet form. This is a very standard form that transfer agents use. Q But you just said there's a very important difference between transferee in the normal world and in the broker-dealer world; correct? A Correct. Q So as the owner of WAM, which is a Class 1
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the signature of the transferor and the notary stamp; correct? A Correct. Q You wrote in everything else or typed in or caused someone to type this information in; correct? A Correct. Q So at some point in time, much later than the date of Exhibit 30, the purchase and sale agreement, you typed in name of transferee, Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.; correct? A Correct. Q In other words, you're saying transfer the shares to Weiser Capital? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning. Q Well, then shouldn't broker-dealers use a special power of attorney rather than an internet form to make clear exactly to their clients what's happening? A These are standard stock powers are quite standard. I wouldn't call it an internet form. This is a very standard form that transfer agents use. Q But you just said there's a very important difference between transferee in the normal world and in the broker-dealer world; correct? A Correct. Q So as the owner of WAM, which is a Class 1 broker-dealer, shouldn't they be using special forms to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the signature of the transferor and the notary stamp; correct? A Correct. Q You wrote in everything else or typed in or caused someone to type this information in; correct? A Correct. Q So at some point in time, much later than the date of Exhibit 30, the purchase and sale agreement, you typed in name of transferee, Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.; correct? A Correct. Q In other words, you're saying transfer the shares to Weiser Capital? A Correct. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning. Q Well, then shouldn't broker-dealers use a special power of attorney rather than an internet form to make clear exactly to their clients what's happening? A These are standard stock powers are quite standard. I wouldn't call it an internet form. This is a very standard form that transfer agents use. Q But you just said there's a very important difference between transferee in the normal world and in the broker-dealer world; correct? A Correct. Q So as the owner of WAM, which is a Class 1 broker-dealer, shouldn't they be using special forms to let their client know it's not our intent to be the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Correct. Q And when the judge was looking at Exhibit 33, which is what Lambros sent you, it only had the signature of the transferor and the notary stamp; correct? A Correct. Q You wrote in everything else or typed in or caused someone to type this information in; correct? A Correct. Q So at some point in time, much later than the date of Exhibit 30, the purchase and sale agreement, you typed in name of transferee, Weiser Bahamas, Ltd.; correct? A Correct. Q In other words, you're saying transfer the shares to Weiser Capital? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A I know that's what it says there, but when it's a broker-dealer for a financial institution, transferee has a different meaning. Q Well, then shouldn't broker-dealers use a special power of attorney rather than an internet form to make clear exactly to their clients what's happening? A These are standard stock powers are quite standard. I wouldn't call it an internet form. This is a very standard form that transfer agents use. Q But you just said there's a very important difference between transferee in the normal world and in the broker-dealer world; correct? A Correct. Q So as the owner of WAM, which is a Class 1 broker-dealer, shouldn't they be using special forms to

TRIAL - DAY 2 - 01/29/2019

	Page 34		Page 35
1	Q You don't think it's important?	1	A Correct.
2	A No. This is the standard process.	2	Q And that was the entire position that
3	Q Okay. I just want to make sure I'm clear. So	3	Mr. Skarpelos had in Certificate 753?
4	you're the owner of WAM. This is a WAM agent, Weiser	4	A Correct.
5	Capital, acquiring ownership of WAM's client's shares,	5	Q The Exhibit 30 that we looked at says that
6	and you're saying that there's nothing outside of an	6	Weiser Capital is only acquiring half of those shares.
7	ordinary internet form found by a guy who is not a	7	A Correct.
8	lawyer that is needed to effect a transfer like that?	8	Q Okay. But you wrote in all six million shares
9	A Again, I wouldn't call this a transfer of	9	here.
10	ownership. That's an important difference, because	10	A Correct.
11	Weiser was not going to take ownership of 6.6 million	11	Q Sometime much later than the transactions that
12	shares.	12	you allege happened?
13	Q Okay. Thank you for clarifying that. But	13	A Correct.
14	according to Exhibit 30 Weiser Capital was going to	14	Q Okay. And you're the one that typed in
15	take ownership of half of those shares?	15	Certificate 753; correct?
16	A Correct.	16	A Myself or somebody at the firm.
17	Q And still you're using a stock power that was	17	Q Okay. Now, you knew in October of 2013 that
18	sent to you by Lambros?	18	I think you said there was a hold on Certificate 753;
19	A Correct.	19	correct?
20		20	
20	Q Okay. Nothing provided to you by WAM or Weiser	20 21	
	Capital?		Q Okay. So when you filled out this power of
22	A NO.	22	stock power, which is Exhibit 35, you knew that there
23	Q Okay. Now, you typed in all 6,633,000 shares	23	might be a problem with Certificate 753; correct?
24	into this power; right?	24	A When this was initially completed, I don't
	Page 36		Page 37
1	believe so, because if we were putting the request to	1	Q Okay. So if you sent to NATCO in October of
2	the transfer agent we would have sent a completed stock	2	2013 the original stock certificate and the executed
3	power. So I don't know how Primoris sent a blank stock	3	power of attorney notifying them that they're to
4	power, because we never send blank stock powers.	4	transfer ownership, they would have completed copies of
5	Q Well, you just said you don't know when you	5	those documents in their files?
6	executed this or filled in this document.	6	A I would assume so.
7	A Not exactly what date, but when we were but	7	Q Not blank powers of attorney, not a blank
8	when we begin initiating to clear a certificate, we	8	purchase and sale agreement, not anything else that's
9	don't send blank stock powers to transfer agents. So	9	uncertain, they would have the documents that you
10	normally we complete the stock power, send it to the	10	deemed necessary to transfer ownership?
11	transfer agent with the stock certificate and then they	11	A I would expect, yes, completed documents.
12	would come back and say there's an issue. It's not the	12	Q Okay. And those documents show that Weiser
13	ordinary course of business to send blank stock powers.	13	Capital is entitled to 6.6 million shares, Tom's entire
14	Q I thought you said that NATCO or somebody told	14	stock?
15	you that there was an issue with the stock in October	15	A Again, it's entitled, no, because the
16	of 2013.	16	broker-dealer is just trying to dematerialize the
17	A After we submitted the request to clear, to	17	certificate. It doesn't mean that the institution is
18	dematerialize the certificate.	18	entitled to the stock, because that stock becomes
19	Q So you sent to NATCO the documents that are	19	entitled it's holding it in custody and it's
20	necessary to dematerialize the certificate in October	20	entitled to clients.
21	of 2013?	21	Q Well, in any event, you're telling NATCO and
22	A We would have sent them in late 2013, because	22	basically the world here or you're asking NATCO to
23	that's how we would have received back the message that	23	dematerialize his entire certificate?
	-		
24	there's a hold.	24	A Correct.

	Page 38		Page 39
1	Q With no documentation that you're allowed to do	1	A No, that's not
2	that?	2	Q Let me just finish my question. Your counsel
3	A No documentation to be allowed to do that?	3	can follow up with you.
4	Q Where did Mr. Skarpelos authorize you to	4	Exhibit 30 contemplates a sale of 3.1 million
5	dematerialize all 6.6 million shares?	5	shares; correct?
6	A When a client provides us a stock certificate	6	A 3.1 or 3.3.
7	and a stock power, that is to dematerialize the	7	Q And there was only one yeah, 3.3, one-half
8	certificate into electronic form.	8	of the number that's in Exhibit 35.
9	Q Okay. But you can't just go do it without	9	A Correct.
10	something happening that allows you to do it.	10	Q No other transaction happened according to you
11	A Well, that is the stock power and the client	11	other than the April 2nd transaction; correct?
12	having deposited the position to Weiser.	12	A Correct.
13	Q So you're saying that the stock power that	13	Q And that was only 3.3 million shares?
14	Mr. Skarpelos caused to be sent to you while discussing	14	A Correct.
15	a possible transaction with Kinezi that didn't happen	15	Q Okay. But at sometime later Weiser Asset
16	allows you to dematerialize his entire position?	16	I'm sorry Weiser Capital or yourself took it upon
17	A Yes. When a client deposits shares at the	17	yourself to say that the entire 6.6 million should be
18	firm, and then also with it a stock power, that is the	18	dematerialized?
19	request, that is the authorization, that is the right	19	A We didn't take it just upon ourselves out of
20	of the firm to allow it to turn a physical asset into	20	the blue. That's the job of that's what the
21	an electronic asset.	21	broker-dealer does. That's the business; that's the
22	Q Okay. So the firm has the right to basically	22	function.
23	do whatever it wants with those certificates. In this	23	THE COURT: Mr. Livadas, in order to effectuate the
24	case we've looked at	24	transfer of the April sale, so the transfer of half of
	Page 40		Page 41
		1	rage II
1	Stock Certificate 753, would you have to dematerialize	1	in any way obligated to transfer the other half that
1 2	Stock Certificate 753, would you have to dematerialize the entire stock certificate?	1 2	5
	-		in any way obligated to transfer the other half that
2	the entire stock certificate?	2	in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos?
2 3	the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3	in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no.
2 3 4	the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question.	2 3 4	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM</pre>
2 3 4 5	the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. BY MR. ANDERSON:	2 3 4 5	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up. But that doesn't mean so let's say that that</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos in writing directing WAM to direct Weiser Capital to do</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up. But that doesn't mean so let's say that that happens. You have to dematerialize the whole thing in</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos in writing directing WAM to direct Weiser Capital to do this; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up. But that doesn't mean so let's say that that happens. You have to dematerialize the whole thing in order to give the April sale shares, half of those</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos in writing directing WAM to direct Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A The stock power is the direction to do it.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up. But that doesn't mean so let's say that that happens. You have to dematerialize the whole thing in order to give the April sale shares, half of those shares, to whoever purchased them; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos in writing directing WAM to direct Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A The stock power is the direction to do it. Q So you're telling me that WAM can just assign</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up. But that doesn't mean so let's say that that happens. You have to dematerialize the whole thing in order to give the April sale shares, half of those shares, to whoever purchased them; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos in writing directing WAM to direct Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A The stock power is the direction to do it. Q So you're telling me that WAM can just assign the ability to take its blank stock power it's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up. But that doesn't mean so let's say that that happens. You have to dematerialize the whole thing in order to give the April sale shares, half of those shares, to whoever purchased them; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: And then once that happens who owns the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos in writing directing WAM to direct Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A The stock power is the direction to do it. Q So you're telling me that WAM can just assign the ability to take its blank stock power it's client's blank stock powers to the transfer agent and</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up. But that doesn't mean so let's say that that happens. You have to dematerialize the whole thing in order to give the April sale shares, half of those shares, to whoever purchased them; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: And then once that happens who owns the remaining shares at that moment?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos in writing directing WAM to direct Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A The stock power is the direction to do it. Q So you're telling me that WAM can just assign the ability to take its blank stock power it's client's blank stock powers to the transfer agent and do anything with them?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up. But that doesn't mean so let's say that that happens. You have to dematerialize the whole thing in order to give the April sale shares, half of those shares, to whoever purchased them; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: And then once that happens who owns the remaining shares at that moment? THE WITNESS: In this case it would be</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos in writing directing WAM to direct Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A The stock power is the direction to do it. Q So you're telling me that WAM can just assign the ability to take its blank stock power it's client's blank stock powers to the transfer agent and do anything with them? A Not to do anything with them, to dematerialize </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up. But that doesn't mean so let's say that that happens. You have to dematerialize the whole thing in order to give the April sale shares, half of those shares, to whoever purchased them; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: And then once that happens who owns the remaining shares at that moment? THE WITNESS: In this case it would be Mr. Skarpelos.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos in writing directing WAM to direct Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A The stock power is the direction to do it. Q So you're telling me that WAM can just assign the ability to take its blank stock power it's client's blank stock powers to the transfer agent and do anything with them? A Not to do anything with them, to dematerialize so they can put the electronic asset in custody. </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up. But that doesn't mean so let's say that that happens. You have to dematerialize the whole thing in order to give the April sale shares, half of those shares, to whoever purchased them; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: And then once that happens who owns the remaining shares at that moment? THE WITNESS: In this case it would be Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: Next question.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos in writing directing WAM to direct Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A The stock power is the direction to do it. Q So you're telling me that WAM can just assign the ability to take its blank stock power it's client's blank stock powers to the transfer agent and do anything with them? A Not to do anything with them, to dematerialize so they can put the electronic asset in custody. Q But I haven't seen anything in writing </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up. But that doesn't mean so let's say that that happens. You have to dematerialize the whole thing in order to give the April sale shares, half of those shares, to whoever purchased them; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: And then once that happens who owns the remaining shares at that moment? THE WITNESS: In this case it would be Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: Next question. BY MR. ANDERSON:</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos in writing directing WAM to direct Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A The stock power is the direction to do it. Q So you're telling me that WAM can just assign the ability to take its blank stock power it's client's blank stock powers to the transfer agent and do anything with them? A Not to do anything with them, to dematerialize so they can put the electronic asset in custody. Q But I haven't seen anything in writing indicating that Weiser Capital had that sort of</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up. But that doesn't mean so let's say that that happens. You have to dematerialize the whole thing in order to give the April sale shares, half of those shares, to whoever purchased them; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: And then once that happens who owns the remaining shares at that moment? THE WITNESS: In this case it would be Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: Next question. EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Where does Mr. Skarpelos's name appear on this</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos in writing directing WAM to direct Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A The stock power is the direction to do it. Q So you're telling me that WAM can just assign the ability to take its blank stock power it's client's blank stock powers to the transfer agent and do anything with them? A Not to do anything with them, to dematerialize so they can put the electronic asset in custody. Q But I haven't seen anything in writing indicating that Weiser Capital had that sort of relationship with WAM. </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up. But that doesn't mean so let's say that that happens. You have to dematerialize the whole thing in order to give the April sale shares, half of those shares, to whoever purchased them; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: And then once that happens who owns the remaining shares at that moment? THE WITNESS: In this case it would be Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: Next question. EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Where does Mr. Skarpelos's name appear on this document other than authorizing the 6.6 million shares</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos in writing directing WAM to direct Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A The stock power is the direction to do it. Q So you're telling me that WAM can just assign the ability to take its blank stock power it's client's blank stock powers to the transfer agent and do anything with them? A Not to do anything with them, to dematerialize so they can put the electronic asset in custody. Q But I haven't seen anything in writing indicating that Weiser Capital had that sort of relationship with WAM. A Sorry. I didn't understand the question. </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up. But that doesn't mean so let's say that that happens. You have to dematerialize the whole thing in order to give the April sale shares, half of those shares, to whoever purchased them; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: And then once that happens who owns the remaining shares at that moment? THE WITNESS: In this case it would be Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: Next question. EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Where does Mr. Skarpelos's name appear on this document other than authorizing the 6.6 million shares to Weiser Capital?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos in writing directing WAM to direct Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A The stock power is the direction to do it. Q So you're telling me that WAM can just assign the ability to take its blank stock power it's client's blank stock powers to the transfer agent and do anything with them? A Not to do anything with them, to dematerialize so they can put the electronic asset in custody. Q But I haven't seen anything in writing indicating that Weiser Capital had that sort of relationship with WAM. A Sorry. I didn't understand the question. Q I'm wondering what writing lets people like </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>the entire stock certificate? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Next question. EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Okay. So THE COURT: Excuse me. Let me ask a follow-up. But that doesn't mean so let's say that that happens. You have to dematerialize the whole thing in order to give the April sale shares, half of those shares, to whoever purchased them; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: And then once that happens who owns the remaining shares at that moment? THE WITNESS: In this case it would be Mr. Skarpelos. THE COURT: Next question. EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Where does Mr. Skarpelos's name appear on this document other than authorizing the 6.6 million shares to Weiser Capital? A It is in the the name appears under the </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>in any way obligated to transfer the other half that wasn't sold back to Mr. Skarpelos? A It does not say that, no. Q Okay. There's nothing in writing from WAM directing Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A Directing Weiser. No. No. Q Okay. And there's nothing from Mr. Skarpelos in writing directing WAM to direct Weiser Capital to do this; correct? A The stock power is the direction to do it. Q So you're telling me that WAM can just assign the ability to take its blank stock power it's client's blank stock powers to the transfer agent and do anything with them? A Not to do anything with them, to dematerialize so they can put the electronic asset in custody. Q But I haven't seen anything in writing indicating that Weiser Capital had that sort of relationship with WAM. A Sorry. I didn't understand the question. Q I'm wondering what writing lets people like Mr. Skarpelos know that WAM will assign the stock power</pre>

TRIAL - DAY 2 - 01/29/2019

	Page 42		Page 43
1	A WAM can do whatever it likes in that regard as	1	A I was receiving monetary compensation from WAM.
2	long as the client is credited the asset. In the end	2	Q Okay. But that was a that was commissions
3	it has to give the asset to the client.	3	basically?
4	Q Okay. So you're basically saying that WAM	4	A Correct.
5	doesn't have to get anything in writing from the client	5	Q Let's look at Exhibit 8, please.
6	authorizing the shares to be dematerialized, just a	6	THE COURT: 8?
7	blank stock power signed at some point in time and	7	MR. ANDERSON: Yes.
8	given to someone who is not employed by WAM is enough?	8	BY MR. ANDERSON:
9	A Correct, as long as WAM ends up with the stock	9	Q You discussed this form with Mr. Nork
10	power. It's very, very common for the firm to end up	10	yesterday; correct?
11	with the stock certificate and the stock power so it	11	A Yes, correct.
12	can convert it from physical to electronic.	12	Q And I think you may have called it a Know Your
13	Q Okay. I didn't see that Mr. Skarpelos ever	13	Customer form?
14	sent the stock power to WAM.	14	A Yes.
15	A That he sent directly, no.	15	Q The formal title in WAM's records is Identity
16	Q He sent it to you, Weiser Capital, or you	16	Verification Form; correct?
17	individually?	17	A Correct.
18	A It was sent to me, and me is either Weiser	18	Q Form IVF?
19	Capital and also dealing with WAM.	19	A Correct.
20	Q Okay. But you weren't employed by WAM at that	20	Q And I'm going to read the first paragraph to
21	time?	21	you. "This form must be completed for each beneficial
22	A I was their agent, so I was employed as an	22	owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd.,
23	employee, no, not as an employee.	23	account, including individuals named on powers of
24	Q You weren't on their payroll?	24	attorney and trading authorizations."
	Page 44		Page 45
1	A I'm sorry. Are you on No. 8?	1	A Correct.
2	Q Exhibit 8, first page.		
1 ~	2,,,,,	2	Q And for purposes of anti-money laundering;
3	A On the bottom is it page 362?	3	Q And for purposes of anti-money laundering; correct?
3 4		3 4	correct? A Yes.
3 4 5	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. 	3 4 5	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically
3 4 5 6	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the 	3 4 5 6	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a
3 4 5 6 7	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. 	3 4 5 6 7	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory
3 4 5 6 7 8	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be 	3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., account, including 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they need to fill out this information; correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., account, including individuals named on powers of attorney and trading 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they need to fill out this information; correct? A Correct.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., account, including individuals named on powers of attorney and trading authorizations." 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they need to fill out this information; correct? A Correct. Q So that WAM has some kind of record of knowing</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., account, including individuals named on powers of attorney and trading authorizations." A Correct. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they need to fill out this information; correct? A Correct. Q So that WAM has some kind of record of knowing who is authorized to transact on the account; correct?</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., account, including individuals named on powers of attorney and trading authorizations." A Correct. Q "The information requested is required under 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they need to fill out this information; correct? A Correct. Q So that WAM has some kind of record of knowing who is authorized to transact on the account; correct? A Correct. A Correct.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., account, including individuals named on powers of attorney and trading authorizations." A Correct. Q "The information requested is required under anti-money laundering regulations of the Bahamas." 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they need to fill out this information; correct? A Correct. Q So that WAM has some kind of record of knowing who is authorized to transact on the account; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And it mentions the form itself, powers</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., account, including individuals named on powers of attorney and trading authorizations." A Correct. Q "The information requested is required under anti-money laundering regulations of the Bahamas." A Correct. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they need to fill out this information; correct? A Correct. Q So that WAM has some kind of record of knowing who is authorized to transact on the account; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And it mentions the form itself, powers of attorney and trading authorizations. And I</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., account, including individuals named on powers of attorney and trading authorizations." A Correct. Q "The information requested is required under anti-money laundering regulations of the Bahamas." A Correct. Q "Please copy this form as necessary to complete 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they need to fill out this information; correct? A Correct. Q So that WAM has some kind of record of knowing who is authorized to transact on the account; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And it mentions the form itself, powers of attorney and trading authorizations. And I interpret trading authorizations as meaning this person</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., account, including individuals named on powers of attorney and trading authorizations." A Correct. Q "The information requested is required under anti-money laundering regulations of the Bahamas." A Correct. Q "Please copy this form as necessary to complete for each relevant individual." 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they need to fill out this information; correct? A Correct. Q So that WAM has some kind of record of knowing who is authorized to transact on the account; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And it mentions the form itself, powers of attorney and trading authorizations. And I interpret trading authorizations as meaning this person is authorized to conduct trades.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., account, including individuals named on powers of attorney and trading authorizations." A Correct. Q "The information requested is required under anti-money laundering regulations of the Bahamas." A Correct. Q "Please copy this form as necessary to complete for each relevant individual." Did I read that correctly? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they need to fill out this information; correct? A Correct. Q So that WAM has some kind of record of knowing who is authorized to transact on the account; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And it mentions the form itself, powers of attorney and trading authorizations. And I interpret trading authorizations as meaning this person is authorized to conduct trades. A Correct. </pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., account, including individuals named on powers of attorney and trading authorizations." A Correct. Q "The information requested is required under anti-money laundering regulations of the Bahamas." A Correct. Q "Please copy this form as necessary to complete for each relevant individual." Did I read that correctly? A Yes.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they need to fill out this information; correct? A Correct. Q So that WAM has some kind of record of knowing who is authorized to transact on the account; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And it mentions the form itself, powers of attorney and trading authorizations. And I interpret trading authorizations as meaning this person is authorized to conduct trades. A Correct. Q And powers of attorney we looked at earlier.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., account, including individuals named on powers of attorney and trading authorizations." A Correct. Q "The information requested is required under anti-money laundering regulations of the Bahamas." A Correct. Q "Please copy this form as necessary to complete for each relevant individual." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, that form which Weiser had 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they need to fill out this information; correct? A Correct. Q So that WAM has some kind of record of knowing who is authorized to transact on the account; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And it mentions the form itself, powers of attorney and trading authorizations. And I interpret trading authorizations as meaning this person is authorized to conduct trades. A Correct. Q And powers of attorney we looked at earlier. That's basically a document that lets the recipient</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., account, including individuals named on powers of attorney and trading authorizations." A Correct. Q "The information requested is required under anti-money laundering regulations of the Bahamas." A Correct. Q "Please copy this form as necessary to complete for each relevant individual." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, that form which Weiser had Mr. Skarpelos fill out in May of 2011 indicates that 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they need to fill out this information; correct? A Correct. Q So that WAM has some kind of record of knowing who is authorized to transact on the account; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And it mentions the form itself, powers of attorney and trading authorizations. And I interpret trading authorizations as meaning this person is authorized to conduct trades. A Correct. Q And powers of attorney we looked at earlier. That's basically a document that lets the recipient know that the person who is being is directing</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., account, including individuals named on powers of attorney and trading authorizations." A Correct. Q "The information requested is required under anti-money laundering regulations of the Bahamas." A Correct. Q "Please copy this form as necessary to complete for each relevant individual." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, that form which Weiser had Mr. Skarpelos fill out in May of 2011 indicates that the information in this document that's asked about the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they need to fill out this information; correct? A Correct. Q So that WAM has some kind of record of knowing who is authorized to transact on the account; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And it mentions the form itself, powers of attorney and trading authorizations. And I interpret trading authorizations as meaning this person is authorized to conduct trades. A Correct. Q And powers of attorney we looked at earlier. That's basically a document that lets the recipient know that the person who is being is directing transactions is authorized by the client; correct?</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A On the bottom is it page 362? Q Yes, sir. A Okay. I see it now. Q So I'm going to start over. I apologize to the court reporter. The first paragraph reads, "This form must be completed for each beneficial owner and signatory of a Weiser Asset Management, Ltd., account, including individuals named on powers of attorney and trading authorizations." A Correct. Q "The information requested is required under anti-money laundering regulations of the Bahamas." A Correct. Q "Please copy this form as necessary to complete for each relevant individual." Did I read that correctly? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, that form which Weiser had Mr. Skarpelos fill out in May of 2011 indicates that 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>correct? A Yes. Q Okay. And as I read this paragraph, basically WAM as a registered Class 1 broker-dealer is letting a client know that if anyone is going to be a signatory on your account, if anyone is going to be able to act on your account, we need to know who they are and they need to fill out this information; correct? A Correct. Q So that WAM has some kind of record of knowing who is authorized to transact on the account; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And it mentions the form itself, powers of attorney and trading authorizations. And I interpret trading authorizations as meaning this person is authorized to conduct trades. A Correct. Q And powers of attorney we looked at earlier. That's basically a document that lets the recipient know that the person who is being is directing</pre>

	Page 46		Page 47
1	Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos filled this out and on the	1	facility.
2	second page signed and dated it; correct?	2	Q Okay. And in the process of preparing this
3	A Correct.	3	case you located this document Exhibit 8; correct?
4	Q And you weren't physically present when that	4	A Correct.
5	happened?	5	Q Okay. And was it part of a Skarpelos file?
6	A Correct.	6	Because I understood you yesterday to say there
7	Q And when you acquired ownership of WAM	7	weren't they weren't organized by client.
8	strike that. You weren't present when it happened and	8	A Transactions are not organized by client, but
9	you also weren't involved in WAM's internal procedures	9	client QIC is organized by client file.
10	or anything at that time?	10	Q Okay. So your testimony is that you found
11	A Not in a detailed level.	11	Exhibit 8 in Mr. Skarpelos's client file?
12	Q In terms of clients filling out paperwork and	12	A Correct.
13	the process of getting them as clients, that wasn't	13	Q Okay. And Exhibit 9 was admitted yesterday as
14	your deal?	14	well. Your testimony is you found that in
15	A Correct.	15	Mr. Skarpelos's client file?
16	Q But you acquired ownership of WAM in December	16	A Correct.
17	of 2014 and received is it a storage unit full of	17	Q What else was in Mr. Skarpelos's client file?
18	documents?	18	A I can't recall exactly what else would have
19	A Correct.	19	been in there.
20	Q Okay. In boxes? In stacks? How is it	20	Q Okay. Would it be fair to say that these two
21	A Well, there's two parts. One is a storage	21	documents are what you remember finding?
22	facility. Separate is filing cabinets which come with	22	A Yeah, and some of these other there was
23	the office. So there's some files in the office and	23	what's in here is what I recall pulling out of the file
24	then a lot of transactional records are in a storage	24	specifically.
	Page 48		Page 49
1	Q And I'll have you glance at Exhibit 2 real	1	the agent doesn't have to complete Know Your Customer
2	quick. We'll get to it in more detail later. Was that	2	forms to Mr. Skarpelos?
3	in Mr. Skarpelos's client file?	3	A To Mr
4	A Yes.	4	Q That was a bad question. I'm sorry.
5	Q Okay. All right. Let's look back at Exhibit	5	Your testimony is that as WAM's agent Weiser
6	8.	6	Capital is not required to fill out an IVF form for a
7	A 8?	7	customer such as Mr. Skarpelos?
8	Q Yes. I'm sorry. I don't mean to be flipping	8	A For Weiser Capital to fill it out?
9	back and forth. I just want to confirm what you found	9	Q You or Weiser Capital. You said you were the
10	in Mr. Skarpelos's client file.	10	agent.
11	Now, in Mr. Skarpelos's client file there's no IVF	11	A We might help in filling it out. In this case
12	form, Exhibit 8, that's completed by anyone other than	12	we didn't. I didn't in this case. Many times we'll
13	Mr. Skarpelos; correct?	13	help clients fill it out, but in this case I didn't.
14	A Correct.	14	Q Okay. And my question is neither Weiser
15	Q You did not complete a form to be an authorized	15	Capital nor you individually filled out an IVF form for
16	signatory on Mr. Skarpelos's account; correct?	16	Mr. Skarpelos's account?
17	A I don't fill out IVF forms in client level	17	A For Mr. Skarpelos, no.
18	files because I'm an agent, representative agent, of	18	Q For his account.
19	the firm. So the firm already has my information since	19	A We didn't fill it out.
20	I'm an agent working with the firm.	20	Q Okay. "We" being you and Weiser Capital? I
21	Q Okay. My question was you did not complete	21	want to be really clear on that.
22	this form for Mr. Skarpelos?	22	A Yes.
23	A No.	23	Q And you also didn't find in Mr. Skarpelos's
24	Q And your testimony is that Weiser Capital as	24	account file any powers of attorney; correct?

	Page 50		Page 51
1	A Correct.	1	nothing specifically in writing that WAM sent
2	Q I mean Mr. Skarpelos never listed you	2	Mr. Skarpelos that says we understand that you want
3	specifically, either you or Weiser Capital, as an	3	Christos Livadas and Weiser Capital to transact on your
4	authorized signatory on his account; correct?	4	account or we're confirming this; correct?
5	A He did not list me, because I don't need to be	5	A Not that I recall.
6	listed.	6	Q Okay. And I think I asked you, there's no
7	Q Okay. You've got to listen really carefully to	7	evidence of any power of attorney where Tom empowered
8	my question. I'm only asking you if Mr. Skarpelos put	8	you or anyone else to act on his behalf regarding WAM
9	anything in writing in the WAM client file that said	9	transactions?
10	Christos Livadas is authorized to transact on my	10	A Correct.
11	account.	11	Q And Tom didn't complete a trading authorization
12	A Correct, he did not.	12	form authorizing you or Weiser Capital or anyone else
13	Q And are you aware of any WAM documents that	13	to transact on his account; correct?
14	were provided to Mr. Skarpelos that says our agents	14	A "Anyone else" is very broad. Ask the question
15	don't have to sign anything to transact on your	15	again.
16	account?	16	Q Sure. Did you find a trading authorization
17	A Let me take a look.	17	form in Tom Skarpelos's file?
18	Q Well, we can get to the exhibits. I'm just	18	A Trading authorization, no.
19	asking you off the top of your head.	19	Q Okay. Did you find any other document in the
20	A Off the top of my head?	20	nature of a trading authorization stating specifically
21	Q Yeah.	21	that Tom Skarpelos was authorizing you, Weiser Capital
22	A I can't answer off the top of my head. I'm not	22	or anyone else to execute transactions on his account?
23	sure.	23	A I can't answer towards anyone else, because
24	Q There's nothing a better question is there's	24	anyone else refers again to the representatives, the
			<u> </u>
	Page 52		Page 53
1	agents, brokers, et cetera, of the firm.	1	0 He didn't fill out any of the information
1 2	agents, brokers, et cetera, of the firm. O Okay. Did you find any kind of that document		Q He didn't fill out any of the information called for in Exhibit 8?
2	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document	2	called for in Exhibit 8?
2 3	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents	2 3	called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of.
2 3 4	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm	2 3 4	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said	2 3 4 5	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person. Q Okay. I mean, basically you didn't find	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent to someone other than himself, WAM would require that</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person. Q Okay. I mean, basically you didn't find anything in the WAM file that specifically said Tom is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent to someone other than himself, WAM would require that that request be in writing; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person. Q Okay. I mean, basically you didn't find anything in the WAM file that specifically said Tom is authorizing Christos Livadas or Weiser Capital	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent to someone other than himself, WAM would require that that request be in writing; correct? A No, sometimes they do the calls verbally,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person. Q Okay. I mean, basically you didn't find anything in the WAM file that specifically said Tom is authorizing Christos Livadas or Weiser Capital A Specifically, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent to someone other than himself, WAM would require that that request be in writing; correct? A No, sometimes they do the calls verbally, sometimes they do it through what we call secure</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person. Q Okay. I mean, basically you didn't find anything in the WAM file that specifically said Tom is authorizing Christos Livadas or Weiser Capital A Specifically, no. Q And let me finish my question so it's clear.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent to someone other than himself, WAM would require that that request be in writing; correct? A No, sometimes they do the calls verbally, sometimes they do it through what we call secure message which is like writing, yes. So sometimes the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person. Q Okay. I mean, basically you didn't find anything in the WAM file that specifically said Tom is authorizing Christos Livadas or Weiser Capital A Specifically, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent to someone other than himself, WAM would require that that request be in writing; correct? A No, sometimes they do the calls verbally, sometimes they do it through what we call secure</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person. Q Okay. I mean, basically you didn't find anything in the WAM file that specifically said Tom is authorizing Christos Livadas or Weiser Capital A Specifically, no. Q And let me finish my question so it's clear.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent to someone other than himself, WAM would require that that request be in writing; correct? A No, sometimes they do the calls verbally, sometimes they do it through what we call secure message which is like writing, yes. So sometimes the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person. Q Okay. I mean, basically you didn't find anything in the WAM file that specifically said Tom is authorizing Christos Livadas or Weiser Capital A Specifically, no. Q And let me finish my question so it's clear. You didn't find anything that specifically Tom	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent to someone other than himself, WAM would require that that request be in writing; correct? A No, sometimes they do the calls verbally, sometimes they do it through what we call secure message which is like writing, yes. So sometimes the requests are verbal to send funds, and especially if</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person. Q Okay. I mean, basically you didn't find anything in the WAM file that specifically said Tom is authorizing Christos Livadas or Weiser Capital A Specifically, no. Q And let me finish my question so it's clear. You didn't find anything that specifically Tom authorized you or Weiser Capital to transact on his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent to someone other than himself, WAM would require that that request be in writing; correct? A No, sometimes they do the calls verbally, sometimes they do it through what we call secure message which is like writing, yes. So sometimes the requests are verbal to send funds, and especially if it's already been to an account which is known to the </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person. Q Okay. I mean, basically you didn't find anything in the WAM file that specifically said Tom is authorizing Christos Livadas or Weiser Capital A Specifically, no. Q And let me finish my question so it's clear. You didn't find anything that specifically Tom authorized you or Weiser Capital to transact on his account?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent to someone other than himself, WAM would require that that request be in writing; correct? A No, sometimes they do the calls verbally, sometimes they do it through what we call secure message which is like writing, yes. So sometimes the requests are verbal to send funds, and especially if it's already been to an account which is known to the firm which has had previous transfers to it.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person. Q Okay. I mean, basically you didn't find anything in the WAM file that specifically said Tom is authorizing Christos Livadas or Weiser Capital A Specifically, no. Q And let me finish my question so it's clear. You didn't find anything that specifically Tom authorized you or Weiser Capital to transact on his account? A Not specifically.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent to someone other than himself, WAM would require that that request be in writing; correct? A No, sometimes they do the calls verbally, sometimes they do it through what we call secure message which is like writing, yes. So sometimes the requests are verbal to send funds, and especially if it's already been to an account which is known to the firm which has had previous transfers to it. Q Would you look at page 99, please, of your</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person. Q Okay. I mean, basically you didn't find anything in the WAM file that specifically said Tom is authorizing Christos Livadas or Weiser Capital A Specifically, no. Q And let me finish my question so it's clear. You didn't find anything that specifically Tom authorized you or Weiser Capital to transact on his account? A Not specifically. Q And Lambros did not complete a form IVF for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent to someone other than himself, WAM would require that that request be in writing; correct? A No, sometimes they do the calls verbally, sometimes they do it through what we call secure message which is like writing, yes. So sometimes the requests are verbal to send funds, and especially if it's already been to an account which is known to the firm which has had previous transfers to it. Q Would you look at page 99, please, of your deposition. </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person. Q Okay. I mean, basically you didn't find anything in the WAM file that specifically said Tom is authorizing Christos Livadas or Weiser Capital A Specifically, no. Q And let me finish my question so it's clear. You didn't find anything that specifically Tom authorized you or Weiser Capital to transact on his account? A Not specifically. Q And Lambros did not complete a form IVF for Weiser Asset Management either, did he?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent to someone other than himself, WAM would require that that request be in writing; correct? A No, sometimes they do the calls verbally, sometimes they do it through what we call secure message which is like writing, yes. So sometimes the requests are verbal to send funds, and especially if it's already been to an account which is known to the firm which has had previous transfers to it. Q Would you look at page 99, please, of your deposition. MR. NORK: 99, counsel? </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person. Q Okay. I mean, basically you didn't find anything in the WAM file that specifically said Tom is authorizing Christos Livadas or Weiser Capital A Specifically, no. Q And let me finish my question so it's clear. You didn't find anything that specifically Tom authorized you or Weiser Capital to transact on his account? A Not specifically. Q And Lambros did not complete a form IVF for Weiser Asset Management either, did he? A Not that I've seen. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent to someone other than himself, WAM would require that that request be in writing; correct? A No, sometimes they do the calls verbally, sometimes they do it through what we call secure message which is like writing, yes. So sometimes the requests are verbal to send funds, and especially if it's already been to an account which is known to the firm which has had previous transfers to it. Q Would you look at page 99, please, of your deposition. MR. NORK: 99, counsel? MR. ANDERSON: 99. </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. Did you find any kind of that document in his file? I'm not talking about general documents that WAM says we can do this or we can do that. I'm talking about anything specific that Mr. Skarpelos said this person can or this person can transact on my account. A For a specific person, I don't recall seeing anything for a specific person. Q Okay. I mean, basically you didn't find anything in the WAM file that specifically said Tom is authorizing Christos Livadas or Weiser Capital A Specifically, no. Q And let me finish my question so it's clear. You didn't find anything that specifically Tom authorized you or Weiser Capital to transact on his account? A Not specifically. Q And Lambros did not complete a form IVF for Weiser Asset Management either, did he? A Not that I've seen. Q The only thing Weiser Asset Management has is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>called for in Exhibit 8? A Not that I'm aware of. Q And neither did Nikolaos; correct? A No. Q Nikolaos Pedafronimos. A No, I don't remember anything from Nikolaos. Q And you would agree with me that if a client was going to direct that money from his account be sent to someone other than himself, WAM would require that that request be in writing; correct? A No, sometimes they do the calls verbally, sometimes they do it through what we call secure message which is like writing, yes. So sometimes the requests are verbal to send funds, and especially if it's already been to an account which is known to the firm which has had previous transfers to it. Q Would you look at page 99, please, of your deposition. MR. NORK: 99, counsel? MR. ANDERSON: 99. THE WITNESS: Of the deposition? </pre>

	Page 54		Page 55
1	I direct your attention to line 8. And I asked you	1	transactions, accepting instructions from third parties
2	the question: "Okay. And, for instance, if a client	2	that haven't completed this form and haven't completed
3	was going to direct that any that money can be	3	powers of attorney and trading authorizations, would it
4	distributed from his or her account to someone other	4	be violating the anti-money laundering laws?
5	than the client, you would want that request in	5	A As far as the anti-money laundering laws
6	writing; correct?"	6	please ask the question again.
7	"ANSWER: Correct."	7	Q Sure. So if WAM is allowing unauthorized
8	A Correct.	8	persons to transact on Mr. Skarpelos's account without
9	Q Let's well, staying on Exhibit 8, there's	9	having been deemed a valid signatory, completed powers
10	nothing in WAM's records that Tom authorized WAM to	10	of attorney and trading authorizations, would it be
11	distribute money to anyone but Mr. Skarpelos; correct?	11	violating anti-money laundering laws?
12	A Say that again.	12	A I can't say if it would violate the anti I
13	Q There's nothing in WAM's records showing that	13	can't say if it would violate the anti-money laundering
14	Mr. Skarpelos authorized WAM to distribute money to	14	laws. I'm not sure.
15	anyone but him; correct?	15	Q But they would be violating their own policy;
16	A Correct.	16	correct?
17	Q Okay. And that includes Lambros and Nikolaos;	17	A If they didn't have an authorization it would.
18	correct?	18	Q Look at Exhibit 2, please.
19	A That is correct.	19	Now, you talked a little bit yesterday with
20	Q And there's nothing in WAM's records in writing	20	Mr. Nork about introducing Tom to Weiser Asset
20	authorizing Lambros to request withdrawals or debits	20	Management; correct?
22	from Tom's account; correct?	22	A Yes.
23	A That is correct.	22	Q And you were doing that as Weiser Capital, as
23 24		23	an agent of WAM; right?
24	Q So as I read Exhibit 8, if WAM is doing these	24	an agent of waw; fight:
	Page 56		Page 57
1	A Correct.	1	A Correct. Yeah, in the filling out of the form,
2	Q I think we talked about it a little bit in your	2	no, I wasn't involved.
3	deposition. You were trying to establish a	3	Q You just introduced Tom to WAM and they took it
4	relationship with the Equity Trust/Weiser Asset	4	from there?
5	Management people?	5	A For filling out this form, yes.
6	A Correct.	6	Q Okay. And if you look at the first paragraph,
7	Q Okay. And you talked a little bit about your	7	it says on page 1 it talks about "this application."
8	refer agreement and the commissions that you get for	8	Do you see that?
9	that. I think you said yesterday it was a 20 percent	9	A Yeah.
10	commission?	10	Q Okay. And there's a sentence the second
11	A I can't remember what mine were exactly, but	11	sentence of that paragraph reads, "When we accept it,
12	that's about industry standard.	12	this application and all accompanying or supplemental
13	Q I recall in your deposition that you said	13	documents form the entire agreement between us for this
14	50 percent.	14	account." Do you see that?
15	A It can go up to 50, yes.	15	A Yes.
16	THE COURT: What exhibit are you on, Mr. Anderson?	16	Q Okay. And you don't know whether WAM gave Tom
17	MR. ANDERSON: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 2.	17	any accompanying or supplemental documents at this
18	THE COURT: Thank you. I was writing something	18	time; correct?
19	down and I didn't flip over.	19	A From what I know from looking at the client
20	BY MR. ANDERSON:	20	file when I pulled it, it had the terms and conditions
21	Q Now, we talked about how you weren't physically	21	that go with the account application.
22	present when Mr. Skarpelos completed this. You didn't	22	Q Okay. So you're saying the terms and
23	have any involvement in the process of Tom or WAM	23	conditions some document called terms and conditions
24	completing this form other than introducing Tom to WAM?	24	was in there?
1 * *			

	Page 58	-	Page 59
1	A Correct. Yeah, terms and I don't know if	1	Q Okay. And there certainly are no documents
2	it's called exactly that, but terms and conditions.	2	showing that anything was delivered to him, there's no
3	Q Okay. But you don't know if WAM actually sent	3	letter saying here are the supplemental documents to
4	that to Mr. Skarpelos?	4	your account, read them carefully; correct?
5	A Did it send it to him.	5	A I thought I recall an email. I'm not sure if
6	Q I'll withdraw that question and ask you	6	the email is in here in the exhibits or not, but I
7	another. The only thing you know is that you found	7	thought it was emailed to him.
8	that document in the file of Mr. Skarpelos when you	8	Q And if you saw that, you would have provided it
9	acquired ownership?	9	to your counsel; correct?
10	A Correct.	10	A Correct.
11	Q You weren't present at the account application	11	Q Okay. All you know is you didn't send him any
12	meeting and so you don't know whether they handed him	12	supplemental documents?
13	anything?	13	A Not myself directly, no.
14	A No, I don't know, no.	14	Q Now let's look at page 9 of 10. And as you're
15	Q And you don't know whether they mailed him	15	flipping through that, let me ask you this. There's
16	anything?	16	nothing in this document that indicates that Tom is
17	A I don't know.	17	going to share the account with anybody or authorize
18	Q And you don't know if they in fact ever	18	anybody to transact on it?
19	provided him the account terms and conditions?	19	A I'm sorry. Page 9 of 10?
20	A Right.	20	Q Yes. I'm sorry. Yeah, page 9 of 10, you can
21	Q And other than the account terms and	21	go ahead and turn there, but before I ask
22	conditions, you don't know if any other documents were	22	A Exhibit 9?
23	sent to Mr. Skarpelos?	23	Q Of Exhibit 2. I apologize.
24	A I wouldn't know, no.	24	A Page 9 of 10, yes.
	Page 60		Page 61
	5		
1	Q Okay. Before I ask you any specific questions	1	A Yes.
1 2	about that page, are you aware of anything in this	1 2	A Yes. Q And I think you indicated that a customer can
2	about that page, are you aware of anything in this	2	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can
2 3	about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to	2	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash
2 3 4	about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but	2 3 4	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if
2 3 4 5	about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it?	2 3 4 5	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct?
2 3 4 5 6	about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no.	2 3 4 5 6	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic
2 3 4 5 6 7	about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look at 8 of 10.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to transact them to, but yes. To be clear, people can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look at 8 of 10. Now, on page 8 of 10 Mr. Skarpelos or WAM, someone,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to transact them to, but yes. To be clear, people can transact also on restricted shares, but they can't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look at 8 of 10. Now, on page 8 of 10 Mr. Skarpelos or WAM, someone, checked the box "No, I do not want the ability to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to transact them to, but yes. To be clear, people can transact also on restricted shares, but they can't transact to the public markets with them. So they can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look at 8 of 10. Now, on page 8 of 10 Mr. Skarpelos or WAM, someone, checked the box "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to transact them to, but yes. To be clear, people can transact also on restricted shares, but they can't transact to the public markets with them. So they can still transact but not to the public stock exchange.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look at 8 of 10. Now, on page 8 of 10 Mr. Skarpelos or WAM, someone, checked the box "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a cash account"; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to transact them to, but yes. To be clear, people can transact also on restricted shares, but they can't transact to the public markets with them. So they can still transact but not to the public stock exchange. Q Okay. And that's your understanding of what a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look at 8 of 10. Now, on page 8 of 10 Mr. Skarpelos or WAM, someone, checked the box "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a cash account"; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to transact them to, but yes. To be clear, people can transact also on restricted shares, but they can't transact to the public markets with them. So they can still transact but not to the public stock exchange. Q Okay. And that's your understanding of what a cash account a customer can do on a cash account
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look at 8 of 10. Now, on page 8 of 10 Mr. Skarpelos or WAM, someone, checked the box "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a cash account"; correct? A Correct. Q And you testified yesterday to your</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to transact them to, but yes. To be clear, people can transact also on restricted shares, but they can't transact to the public markets with them. So they can still transact but not to the public stock exchange. Q Okay. And that's your understanding of what a cash account a customer can do on a cash account with securities deposited?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look at 8 of 10. Now, on page 8 of 10 Mr. Skarpelos or WAM, someone, checked the box "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a cash account"; correct? A Correct. Q And you testified yesterday to your understanding what a cash account is; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to transact them to, but yes. To be clear, people can transact also on restricted shares, but they can't transact to the public markets with them. So they can still transact but not to the public stock exchange. Q Okay. And that's your understanding of what a cash account a customer can do on a cash account with securities deposited? A With any account, a cash or a margin account,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look at 8 of 10. Now, on page 8 of 10 Mr. Skarpelos or WAM, someone, checked the box "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a cash account"; correct? A Correct. Q And you testified yesterday to your understanding what a cash account is; correct? A I don't believe I testified what a cash account is. I don't recall testifying what a cash account is. Q Do you recall your testimony with Mr. Nork</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to transact them to, but yes. To be clear, people can transact also on restricted shares, but they can't transact to the public markets with them. So they can still transact but not to the public stock exchange. Q Okay. And that's your understanding of what a cash account a customer can do on a cash account with securities deposited? A With any account, a cash or a margin account, they can transact.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look at 8 of 10. Now, on page 8 of 10 Mr. Skarpelos or WAM, someone, checked the box "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a cash account"; correct? A Correct. Q And you testified yesterday to your understanding what a cash account is; correct? A I don't believe I testified what a cash account is. I don't recall testifying what a cash account is. Q Do you recall your testimony with Mr. Nork where he was discussing margins versus cash accounts?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to transact them to, but yes. To be clear, people can transact also on restricted shares, but they can't transact to the public markets with them. So they can still transact but not to the public stock exchange. Q Okay. And that's your understanding of what a cash account a customer can do on a cash account with securities deposited? A With any account, a cash or a margin account, they can transact. Q Okay. And, again, that's your understanding; correct? A Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look at 8 of 10. Now, on page 8 of 10 Mr. Skarpelos or WAM, someone, checked the box "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a cash account"; correct? A Correct. Q And you testified yesterday to your understanding what a cash account is; correct? A I don't believe I testified what a cash account is. I don't recall testifying what a cash account is. Q Do you recall your testimony with Mr. Nork where he was discussing margins versus cash account, even</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to transact them to, but yes. To be clear, people can transact also on restricted shares, but they can't transact to the public markets with them. So they can still transact but not to the public stock exchange. Q Okay. And that's your understanding of what a cash account a customer can do on a cash account with securities deposited? A With any account, a cash or a margin account, they can transact. Q Okay. And, again, that's your understanding; correct? A Yeah. Q Okay. But you weren't present, so you don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look at 8 of 10. Now, on page 8 of 10 Mr. Skarpelos or WAM, someone, checked the box "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a cash account"; correct? A Correct. Q And you testified yesterday to your understanding what a cash account is; correct? A I don't believe I testified what a cash account is. I don't recall testifying what a cash account is. Q Do you recall your testimony with Mr. Nork where he was discussing margins versus cash accounts?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to transact them to, but yes. To be clear, people can transact also on restricted shares, but they can't transact to the public markets with them. So they can still transact but not to the public stock exchange. Q Okay. And that's your understanding of what a cash account a customer can do on a cash account with securities deposited? A With any account, a cash or a margin account, they can transact. Q Okay. And, again, that's your understanding; correct? A Yeah. Q Okay. But you weren't present, so you don't know if anyone at WAM discussed the details of a WAM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look at 8 of 10. Now, on page 8 of 10 Mr. Skarpelos or WAM, someone, checked the box "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a cash account"; correct? A Correct. Q And you testified yesterday to your understanding what a cash account is; correct? A I don't believe I testified what a cash account is. I don't recall testifying what a cash account is. Q Do you recall your testimony with Mr. Nork where he was discussing margins versus cash account; And I think you testified that in a cash account, even if there's no cash in there, you can still debit the account.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to transact them to, but yes. To be clear, people can transact also on restricted shares, but they can't transact to the public markets with them. So they can still transact but not to the public stock exchange. Q Okay. And that's your understanding of what a cash account a customer can do on a cash account with securities deposited? A With any account, a cash or a margin account, they can transact. Q Okay. And, again, that's your understanding; correct? A Yeah. Q Okay. But you weren't present, so you don't know if anyone at WAM discussed the details of a WAM cash account with Tom, do you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look at 8 of 10. Now, on page 8 of 10 Mr. Skarpelos or WAM, someone, checked the box "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a cash account"; correct? A Correct. Q And you testified yesterday to your understanding what a cash account is; correct? A I don't believe I testified what a cash account is. Q Do you recall your testimony with Mr. Nork where he was discussing margins versus cash account, even if there's no cash in there, you can still debit the account. A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to transact them to, but yes. To be clear, people can transact also on restricted shares, but they can't transact to the public markets with them. So they can still transact but not to the public stock exchange. Q Okay. And that's your understanding of what a cash account a customer can do on a cash account with securities deposited? A With any account, a cash or a margin account, they can transact. Q Okay. And, again, that's your understanding; correct? A Yeah. Q Okay. But you weren't present, so you don't know if anyone at WAM discussed the details of a WAM cash account with Tom, do you? A No, I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>about that page, are you aware of anything in this document, Exhibit 2, that shows that Tom intended to share the account with anybody or authorize anybody but him to use it? A In this document, no. Q Okay. And I apologize. I want you to look at 8 of 10. Now, on page 8 of 10 Mr. Skarpelos or WAM, someone, checked the box "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a cash account"; correct? A Correct. Q And you testified yesterday to your understanding what a cash account is; correct? A I don't believe I testified what a cash account is. I don't recall testifying what a cash account is. Q Do you recall your testimony with Mr. Nork where he was discussing margins versus cash account; And I think you testified that in a cash account, even if there's no cash in there, you can still debit the account.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And I think you indicated that a customer can transact on deposited shares, even if there's no cash in the account they can transact on deposited shares if they are free trading; correct? A They can transact, yes, if they're electronic form free trading. It depends where they're going to transact them to, but yes. To be clear, people can transact also on restricted shares, but they can't transact to the public markets with them. So they can still transact but not to the public stock exchange. Q Okay. And that's your understanding of what a cash account a customer can do on a cash account with securities deposited? A With any account, a cash or a margin account, they can transact. Q Okay. And, again, that's your understanding; correct? A Yeah. Q Okay. But you weren't present, so you don't know if anyone at WAM discussed the details of a WAM cash account with Tom, do you?

	Page 62		Page 63
1	ability to borrow funds in my account"; correct?	1	A We're on number
2	A That is correct.	2	Q I'm sorry. Page 9 of 10.
3	Q Let's look at well, in this case the only	3	A Oh, back on Exhibit 2?
4	funds in Tom's account were the stock certificates;	4	Q Yes, we're still on Exhibit 2.
5	correct?	5	A 9 of 10, yes.
6	A Correct.	6	Q Now, section 5 at the top says, "Please supply
7	Q Okay. And you're saying that he did borrow	7	a user name and password for on-line access." Do you
8	from his account; correct?	8	see that?
9	A He withdrew cash which put it in a debit	9	A Yes.
10	position which is like borrowing, yes.	10	Q And there's no information provided there;
11	Q So basically he did borrow money from his	11	correct?
12	account?	12	A Correct.
13	A Correct.	13	Q And the application also doesn't have any
14	Q According to you?	14	information on how the client is supposed to log on;
15	A Yes.	15	correct?
16	Q Let's look at page 10 of 10. I apologize,	16	A No, I don't think so.
17	Mr. Livadas. I wrote the numbers down wrong on my	17	Q I mean, I think it just generally references
18	outline.	18	the internet or on line, but it doesn't have any
19	MR. ANDERSON: I apologize, Your Honor.	19	specific location or instructions; correct?
20	THE COURT: It's okay.	20	A Right.
21	BY MR. ANDERSON:	20	Q And you don't have any evidence that
22	Q Let's look at page 9 of 10.	22	Mr. Skarpelos was ever provided a user name and
23	Now, this section 5 is entitled "Tell us how you	23	password for WAM; correct?
24	want to work with us."	24	A No evidence, no.
1		1	
1	Page 64	1	Page 65
1 2	Q Okay. And in fact you don't even know if WAM had an on-line platform back when Mr. Skarpelos	1 2	typically, but I can't say how they did it here. Typically by email.
3	completed this account application?		Typically by cliait.
		1 2	O Okaya Tamigallar bu omail Now you togtified
	A 2011 T conit recall T conit recall	3	Q Okay. Typically by email. Now, you testified
4	A 2011, I can't recall. I can't recall.	4	yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at
4 5	Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with	4 5	yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page?
4 5 6	Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct?	4 5 6	yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct.
4 5 6 7	Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct.	4 5 6 7	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2,</pre>	4 5 6 7 8	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on	4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10	<pre>Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on May 31st, 2011.</pre>	4 5 7 8 9 10	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever met Mr. Oddie?</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on May 31st, 2011. A Correct.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever met Mr. Oddie? A I think so.</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on May 31st, 2011. A Correct. Q And he was in the Bahamas at Equity Trust's	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever met Mr. Oddie? A I think so. Q Okay. Mr. Skarpelos was primarily dealing with</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on May 31st, 2011. A Correct. Q And he was in the Bahamas at Equity Trust's offices; correct?</pre>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever met Mr. Oddie? A I think so. Q Okay. Mr. Skarpelos was primarily dealing with Howard Daniels in this process; correct?</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on May 31st, 2011. A Correct. Q And he was in the Bahamas at Equity Trust's offices; correct? A I would assume it would be in person, so I'm</pre>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever met Mr. Oddie? A I think so. Q Okay. Mr. Skarpelos was primarily dealing with Howard Daniels in this process; correct? A As far as I recall.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on May 31st, 2011. A Correct. Q And he was in the Bahamas at Equity Trust's offices; correct? A I would assume it would be in person, so I'm assuming he was there in person.</pre>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever met Mr. Oddie? A I think so. Q Okay. Mr. Skarpelos was primarily dealing with Howard Daniels in this process; correct? A As far as I recall. Q And I think you testified at your deposition</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on May 31st, 2011. A Correct. Q And he was in the Bahamas at Equity Trust's offices; correct? A I would assume it would be in person, so I'm assuming he was there in person. Q Okay. And down below well, let me ask you</pre>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever met Mr. Oddie? A I think so. Q Okay. Mr. Skarpelos was primarily dealing with Howard Daniels in this process; correct? A As far as I recall. Q And I think you testified at your deposition that you don't know why there was such a delay between</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on May 31st, 2011. A Correct. Q And he was in the Bahamas at Equity Trust's offices; correct? A I would assume it would be in person, so I'm assuming he was there in person. Q Okay. And down below well, let me ask you this. Do you know back in 2011 how WAM notified its	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever met Mr. Oddie? A I think so. Q Okay. Mr. Skarpelos was primarily dealing with Howard Daniels in this process; correct? A As far as I recall. Q And I think you testified at your deposition that you don't know why there was such a delay between Mr. Skarpelos submitting this account application and</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on May 31st, 2011. A Correct. Q And he was in the Bahamas at Equity Trust's offices; correct? A I would assume it would be in person, so I'm assuming he was there in person. Q Okay. And down below well, let me ask you this. Do you know back in 2011 how WAM notified its clients that the application had been accepted?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever met Mr. Oddie? A I think so. Q Okay. Mr. Skarpelos was primarily dealing with Howard Daniels in this process; correct? A As far as I recall. Q And I think you testified at your deposition that you don't know why there was such a delay between Mr. Skarpelos submitting this account application and the approval date that's indicated.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on May 31st, 2011. A Correct. Q And he was in the Bahamas at Equity Trust's offices; correct? A I would assume it would be in person, so I'm assuming he was there in person. Q Okay. And down below well, let me ask you this. Do you know back in 2011 how WAM notified its clients that the application had been accepted? A How? I only have assumptions. I don't know	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever met Mr. Oddie? A I think so. Q Okay. Mr. Skarpelos was primarily dealing with Howard Daniels in this process; correct? A As far as I recall. Q And I think you testified at your deposition that you don't know why there was such a delay between Mr. Skarpelos submitting this account application and the approval date that's indicated. A Not specifically, no.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on May 31st, 2011. A Correct. Q And he was in the Bahamas at Equity Trust's offices; correct? A I would assume it would be in person, so I'm assuming he was there in person. Q Okay. And down below well, let me ask you this. Do you know back in 2011 how WAM notified its clients that the application had been accepted? A How? I only have assumptions. I don't know how. I have assumptions.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever met Mr. Oddie? A I think so. Q Okay. Mr. Skarpelos was primarily dealing with Howard Daniels in this process; correct? A As far as I recall. Q And I think you testified at your deposition that you don't know why there was such a delay between Mr. Skarpelos submitting this account application and the approval date that's indicated. A Not specifically, no. Q And you didn't discuss the status of approval</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on May 31st, 2011. A Correct. Q And he was in the Bahamas at Equity Trust's offices; correct? A I would assume it would be in person, so I'm assuming he was there in person. Q Okay. And down below well, let me ask you this. Do you know back in 2011 how WAM notified its clients that the application had been accepted? A How? I only have assumptions. I don't know how. I have assumptions. Q So prior to your ownership you don't know how	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever met Mr. Oddie? A I think so. Q Okay. Mr. Skarpelos was primarily dealing with Howard Daniels in this process; correct? A As far as I recall. Q And I think you testified at your deposition that you don't know why there was such a delay between Mr. Skarpelos submitting this account application and the approval date that's indicated. A Not specifically, no. Q And you didn't discuss the status of approval with Mr. Skarpelos during that time, did you?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on May 31st, 2011. A Correct. Q And he was in the Bahamas at Equity Trust's offices; correct? A I would assume it would be in person, so I'm assuming he was there in person. Q Okay. And down below well, let me ask you this. Do you know back in 2011 how WAM notified its clients that the application had been accepted? A How? I only have assumptions. I don't know how. I have assumptions. Q So prior to your ownership you don't know how WAM would notify clients that their application had	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever met Mr. Oddie? A I think so. Q Okay. Mr. Skarpelos was primarily dealing with Howard Daniels in this process; correct? A As far as I recall. Q And I think you testified at your deposition that you don't know why there was such a delay between Mr. Skarpelos submitting this account application and the approval date that's indicated. A Not specifically, no. Q And you didn't discuss the status of approval with Mr. Skarpelos during that time, did you? A I don't recall.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on May 31st, 2011. A Correct. Q And he was in the Bahamas at Equity Trust's offices; correct? A I would assume it would be in person, so I'm assuming he was there in person. Q Okay. And down below well, let me ask you this. Do you know back in 2011 how WAM notified its clients that the application had been accepted? A How? I only have assumptions. I don't know how. I have assumptions. Q So prior to your ownership you don't know how WAM would notify clients that their application had been accepted; correct?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever met Mr. Oddie? A I think so. Q Okay. Mr. Skarpelos was primarily dealing with Howard Daniels in this process; correct? A As far as I recall. Q And I think you testified at your deposition that you don't know why there was such a delay between Mr. Skarpelos submitting this account application and the approval date that's indicated. A Not specifically, no. Q And you didn't discuss the status of approval with Mr. Skarpelos during that time, did you? A I don't recall. Q Okay. And you don't know there's nothing in</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Now, Mr. Skarpelos only had one account with Weiser Asset Management; correct? A Correct. Q And if you look on the next page of Exhibit 2, page 10 of 10, it looks like Mr. Skarpelos signed it on May 31st, 2011. A Correct. Q And he was in the Bahamas at Equity Trust's offices; correct? A I would assume it would be in person, so I'm assuming he was there in person. Q Okay. And down below well, let me ask you this. Do you know back in 2011 how WAM notified its clients that the application had been accepted? A How? I only have assumptions. I don't know how. I have assumptions. Q So prior to your ownership you don't know how WAM would notify clients that their application had	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>yesterday that that's Charles Oddie's signature down at the bottom page? A Yes, correct. Q Okay. And, again, who is Mr. Oddie? A He was the compliance officer. Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Skarpelos ever met Mr. Oddie? A I think so. Q Okay. Mr. Skarpelos was primarily dealing with Howard Daniels in this process; correct? A As far as I recall. Q And I think you testified at your deposition that you don't know why there was such a delay between Mr. Skarpelos submitting this account application and the approval date that's indicated. A Not specifically, no. Q And you didn't discuss the status of approval with Mr. Skarpelos during that time, did you? A I don't recall.</pre>

1	Page 66 ever notified that this account was opened; correct?	Page 67 1 A Yes, that is what WAM did. It assigns client
2	A Not that I know of. Not that I've seen.	2 numbers.
3	Q Okay. And you yourself weren't involved in the	3 Q I thought you said you weren't involved back
4	process of approving Mr. Skarpelos's account; correct?	4 then.
5	A The approval, no.	5 A I was involved. You're asking me a different
6	Q And it looks to me down below that WAM wrote in	6 level of detail.
7	the account number as 11120001; correct?	7 Q Okay. Didn't you testify in your deposition
8	A Correct.	8 that that number looks like an internal reference
9	Q That's what they wrote there. Now, the account	9 number or could be a reference number?
10	number that's listed there is not the account number	10 A Correct, that's what it looks like to me.
11	that's on Exhibit 44, the account statement; correct?	11 Q Okay. And you don't know whether WAM actually
12	A Correct.	12 sent Tom, Mr. Skarpelos, a copy with the acceptance
13	Q Okay. And you were not involved in this	13 form completed; correct?
14	process, so you don't know one way or the other whether	14 A I don't know.
15	this was an account number on Exhibit 2 that was	15 Q I think you testified at your deposition that
16	assigned to Mr. Skarpelos's account?	16 you were told that the account had been opened by
17	A Well, my experience of having the accounts	17 Lambros.
18	opened and dealing with the firm, they typically	18 A This account was opened by Lambros?
19	assigned a client what I refer to as a client	19 Q Yes.
20	number. So a client is referred to as an account and	20 A I'm sorry. Can you show me that?
21	then the client has its own accounts which have account	21 Q Yes. Can you turn to page 86.
22	numbers.	22 So on page 86, line 5, I asked you, "Okay. Did
23	Q So that's based on your experience, but you	23 you, I guess, a representative of Mr. Skarpelos, were
24	don't know if that's what WAM did or not, do you?	24 you aware of whether this account was approved or not?"
		Dago 60
1	Page 68 And after some objections you said, "I was told the	Page 69 1 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered
1 2	5	
	And after some objections you said, "I was told the	1 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered
2	And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened."	1 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered 2 and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission;
2 3	And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?"	1 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered 2 and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; 3 correct?
2 3 4	And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me."	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5	And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when
2 3 4 5 6	And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me.	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application?
2 3 4 5 6 7	And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018;	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018; correct?	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application? A Yes. Q Okay. Did you ever produce documentation in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018; correct? A Yeah, Lambros told me initially.</pre>	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application? A Yes. Q Okay. Did you ever produce documentation in this case that WAM was actually licensed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018; correct? A Yeah, Lambros told me initially. Q But there's nothing in WAM's records	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application? A Yes. Q Okay. Did you ever produce documentation in this case that WAM was actually licensed? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018; correct? A Yeah, Lambros told me initially. Q But there's nothing in WAM's records demonstrating that WAM notified Tom that his account</pre>	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application? A Yes. Q Okay. Did you ever produce documentation in this case that WAM was actually licensed? A Yes. Q Okay. You provided to your counsel documents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018; correct? A Yeah, Lambros told me initially. Q But there's nothing in WAM's records demonstrating that WAM notified Tom that his account had been opened?</pre>	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application? A Yes. Q Okay. Did you ever produce documentation in this case that WAM was actually licensed? A Yes. Q Okay. You provided to your counsel documents that WAM was licensed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018; correct? A Yeah, Lambros told me initially. Q But there's nothing in WAM's records demonstrating that WAM notified Tom that his account had been opened? A Not that I've seen.</pre>	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application? A Yes. Q Okay. Did you ever produce documentation in this case that WAM was actually licensed? A Yes. Q Okay. You provided to your counsel documents that WAM was licensed? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018; correct? A Yeah, Lambros told me initially. Q But there's nothing in WAM's records demonstrating that WAM notified Tom that his account had been opened? A Not that I've seen. Q And there's nothing in WAM's records notifying</pre>	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application? A Yes. Q Okay. Did you ever produce documentation in this case that WAM was actually licensed? A Yes. Q Okay. You provided to your counsel documents that WAM was licensed? A Yes. Q Did you provide a copy of the license?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018; correct? A Yeah, Lambros told me initially. Q But there's nothing in WAM's records demonstrating that WAM notified Tom that his account had been opened? A Not that I've seen. Q And there's nothing in WAM's records notifying Mr. Skarpelos what his account number is; correct?</pre>	1QNow, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered2and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission;3correct?4ACorrect.5QWas WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when6Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application?7AYes.8QOkay. Did you ever produce documentation in9this case that WAM was actually licensed?10AYes.11QOkay. You provided to your counsel documents12that WAM was licensed?13AYes.14QDid you provide a copy of the license?15AYes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018; correct? A Yeah, Lambros told me initially. Q But there's nothing in WAM's records demonstrating that WAM notified Tom that his account had been opened? A Not that I've seen. Q And there's nothing in WAM's records notifying Mr. Skarpelos what his account number is; correct? A Are you asking me if I've looked and seen in</pre>	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application? A Yes. Q Okay. Did you ever produce documentation in this case that WAM was actually licensed? A Yes. Q Okay. You provided to your counsel documents that WAM was licensed? A Yes. Q Did you provide a copy of the license? A Yes. Q Now, WAM is also a registered foreign
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018; correct? A Yeah, Lambros told me initially. Q But there's nothing in WAM's records demonstrating that WAM notified Tom that his account had been opened? A Not that I've seen. Q And there's nothing in WAM's records notifying Mr. Skarpelos what his account number is; correct? A Are you asking me if I've looked and seen in the records or did they have something in the records?</pre>	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application? A Yes. Q Okay. Did you ever produce documentation in this case that WAM was actually licensed? A Yes. Q Okay. You provided to your counsel documents that WAM was licensed? A Yes. Q Did you provide a copy of the license? A Yes. Q Now, WAM is also a registered foreign broker-dealer in Canada; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018; correct? A Yeah, Lambros told me initially. Q But there's nothing in WAM's records demonstrating that WAM notified Tom that his account had been opened? A Not that I've seen. Q And there's nothing in WAM's records notifying Mr. Skarpelos what his account number is; correct? A Are you asking me if I've looked and seen in the records or did they have something in the records? Q I'm asking you if you've seen anything in Tom's</pre>	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application? A Yes. Q Okay. Did you ever produce documentation in this case that WAM was actually licensed? A Yes. Q Okay. You provided to your counsel documents that WAM was licensed? A Yes. Q Did you provide a copy of the license? A Yes. Q Now, WAM is also a registered foreign broker-dealer in Canada; correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018; correct? A Yeah, Lambros told me initially. Q But there's nothing in WAM's records demonstrating that WAM notified Tom that his account had been opened? A Not that I've seen. Q And there's nothing in WAM's records notifying Mr. Skarpelos what his account number is; correct? A Are you asking me if I've looked and seen in the records or did they have something in the records? Q I'm asking you if you've seen anything in Tom's client file where you found this document.</pre>	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application? A Yes. Q Okay. Did you ever produce documentation in this case that WAM was actually licensed? A Yes. Q Okay. You provided to your counsel documents that WAM was licensed? A Yes. Q Did you provide a copy of the license? A Yes. Q Now, WAM is also a registered foreign broker-dealer in Canada; correct? A Correct. Q Regulated by the Ontario Securities Commission?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018; correct? A Yeah, Lambros told me initially. Q But there's nothing in WAM's records demonstrating that WAM notified Tom that his account had been opened? A Not that I've seen. Q And there's nothing in WAM's records notifying Mr. Skarpelos what his account number is; correct? A Are you asking me if I've looked and seen in the records or did they have something in the records? Q I'm asking you if you've seen anything in Tom's client file where you found this document. A I have not seen.</pre>	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application? A Yes. Q Okay. Did you ever produce documentation in this case that WAM was actually licensed? A Yes. Q Okay. You provided to your counsel documents that WAM was licensed? A Yes. Q Did you provide a copy of the license? A Yes. Q Now, WAM is also a registered foreign broker-dealer in Canada; correct? A Correct. Q Regulated by the Ontario Securities Commission? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018; correct? A Yeah, Lambros told me initially. Q But there's nothing in WAM's records demonstrating that WAM notified Tom that his account had been opened? A Not that I've seen. Q And there's nothing in WAM's records notifying Mr. Skarpelos what his account number is; correct? A Are you asking me if I've looked and seen in the records or did they have something in the records? Q I'm asking you if you've seen anything in Tom's client file where you found this document. A I have not seen. Q And you don't know whether WAM has any</pre>	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application? A Yes. Q Okay. Did you ever produce documentation in this case that WAM was actually licensed? A Yes. Q Okay. You provided to your counsel documents that WAM was licensed? A Yes. Q Did you provide a copy of the license? A Yes. Q Now, WAM is also a registered foreign broker-dealer in Canada; correct? A Correct. Q Now, the Ontario Securities Commission, when I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>And after some objections you said, "I was told the account was opened." And below I asked you, "Okay. Who told you that?" You said, "I believe it was Lambros who told me." A Yes, this is Lambros, but he wasn't the only party who told me. Q That was your answer in October of 2018; correct? A Yeah, Lambros told me initially. Q But there's nothing in WAM's records demonstrating that WAM notified Tom that his account had been opened? A Not that I've seen. Q And there's nothing in WAM's records notifying Mr. Skarpelos what his account number is; correct? A Are you asking me if I've looked and seen in the records or did they have something in the records? Q I'm asking you if you've seen anything in Tom's client file where you found this document. A I have not seen. Q And you don't know whether WAM has any documents showing that Mr. Skarpelos was notified of an</pre>	 Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer registered and regulated by the Bahamas Securities Commission; correct? A Correct. Q Was WAM a licensed broker-dealer in 2011 when Mr. Skarpelos submitted his account application? A Yes. Q Okay. Did you ever produce documentation in this case that WAM was actually licensed? A Yes. Q Okay. You provided to your counsel documents that WAM was licensed? A Yes. Q Did you provide a copy of the license? A Yes. Q Now, WAM is also a registered foreign broker-dealer in Canada; correct? A Correct. Q Now, the Ontario Securities Commission, when I took your deposition they had an investigation going of

	Daga 70		Daga 71
1	Page 70 Q And Mr. Sidders was employed by WAM in the	1	Page 71 A Correct.
2	timeframe of 2011 to 2014?	2	Q And you talked about there's different classes
3	A No, he was employed from 2000 not earlier	3	of broker-dealers, but WAM is sort of at that highest
4	than 2013. I think 2014, somewhere in there.	4	level; correct?
5	Q 2013, 2014. Okay.	5	A Correct.
6	And I think you told me at your deposition when I	6	Q And because of that, it has a greater duty of
7	asked you whether that involved any of his activities	7	responsibility to its clients than maybe some of the
8	at WAM, you said it wasn't clear yet; correct?	8	lesser classes?
9	A Sorry. When the	9	A Yes.
10	Q I think I asked you at your deposition if you	10	Q And Bahamian securities law requires that WAM's
11	knew whether that activity that was the subject of the	11	broker-dealer license be held or in the name of some
12	investigation involved WAM.	12	qualified person; correct?
	-	13	
13	A Oh, of Mr. Sidders?		A Ask the question again, please.
14	Q Yes.	14	Q Yeah, it was a bad question. Bahamian
15	A Correct.	15	securities law requires that there be a qualified
16	Q In October you didn't know yet?	16	person at a broker-dealer; correct?
17	A Correct.	17	A Correct.
18	Q Do you know whether that	18	Q And what does that person do to become
19	A As far as we know, the activities that the	19	qualified?
20	Ontario Securities Commission is investigating him for	20	A Well, principally it's the chief operating
21	was not his activities at WAM. It was prior to his	21	sorry the chief compliance officer who is the first
22	time at WAM.	22	required qualified person or principal at the firm.
23	Q Now, WAM is a Class 1 broker-dealer who acts in	23	Compliance officers go through various training to get
24	a fiduciary capacity to its clients; correct?	24	their certifications to be compliance officers.
	Page 72		Page 73
			iuge /J
1	Q Okay. And there's been four to five different	1	A Correct.
1 2	Q Okay. And there's been four to five different qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct?	1 2	-
			A Correct.
2	qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct?	2	A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is
2 3	qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct.	2 3	 A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control
2 3 4	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for</pre>	2 3 4	A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures?
2 3 4 5	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM?</pre>	2 3 4 5	<pre>A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional certifications in the securities area; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional certifications in the securities area; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures; correct? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional certifications in the securities area; correct? A Correct. Q And you've never been the compliance officer?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures; correct? A Correct. Q And it's also to there's a regulation that</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional certifications in the securities area; correct? A Correct. Q And you've never been the compliance officer? A No.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures; correct? A Correct. Q And it's also to there's a regulation that governs the identification and segregation of client</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional certifications in the securities area; correct? A Correct. Q And you've never been the compliance officer? A No. Q But you're aware generally that Bahamian</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures; correct? A Correct. Q And it's also to there's a regulation that governs the identification and segregation of client cash and securities?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional certifications in the securities area; correct? A Correct. Q And you've never been the compliance officer? A No. Q But you're aware generally that Bahamian securities laws impose certain duties and restrictions</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures; correct? A Correct. Q And it's also to there's a regulation that governs the identification and segregation of client cash and securities? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional certifications in the securities area; correct? A Correct. Q And you've never been the compliance officer? A No. Q But you're aware generally that Bahamian securities laws impose certain duties and restrictions on WAM as a registered broker-dealer?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures; correct? A Correct. Q And it's also to there's a regulation that governs the identification and segregation of client cash and securities? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional certifications in the securities area; correct? A Correct. Q And you've never been the compliance officer? A No. Q But you're aware generally that Bahamian securities laws impose certain duties and restrictions on WAM as a registered broker-dealer? A Yes. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures; correct? A Correct. Q And it's also to there's a regulation that governs the identification and segregation of client cash and securities? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to provide keep documents to provide an audit trail for</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional certifications in the securities area; correct? A Correct. Q And you've never been the compliance officer? A No. Q But you're aware generally that Bahamian securities laws impose certain duties and restrictions on WAM as a registered broker-dealer? A Yes. Q I think you said you're the risk advisor for</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures; correct? A Correct. Q And it's also to there's a regulation that governs the identification and segregation of client cash and securities? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to provide keep documents to provide an audit trail for client instructions and orders; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional certifications in the securities area; correct? A Correct. Q And you've never been the compliance officer? A No. Q But you're aware generally that Bahamian securities laws impose certain duties and restrictions on WAM as a registered broker-dealer? A Yes. Q I think you said you're the risk advisor for the firm.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures; correct? A Correct. Q And it's also to there's a regulation that governs the identification and segregation of client cash and securities? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to provide keep documents to provide an audit trail for client instructions and orders; correct? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional certifications in the securities area; correct? A Correct. Q And you've never been the compliance officer? A No. Q But you're aware generally that Bahamian securities laws impose certain duties and restrictions on WAM as a registered broker-dealer? A Yes. Q I think you said you're the risk advisor for the firm. A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures; correct? A Correct. Q And it's also to there's a regulation that governs the identification and segregation of client cash and securities? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to provide keep documents to provide an audit trail for client instructions and orders; correct? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to keep</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional certifications in the securities area; correct? A Correct. Q And you've never been the compliance officer? A No. Q But you're aware generally that Bahamian securities laws impose certain duties and restrictions on WAM as a registered broker-dealer? A Yes. Q I think you said you're the risk advisor for the firm. A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence one moment.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures; correct? A Correct. Q And it's also to there's a regulation that governs the identification and segregation of client cash and securities? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to provide keep documents to provide an audit trail for client instructions and orders; correct? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to keep records to demonstrate an audit trail for each trade</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional certifications in the securities area; correct? A Correct. Q And you've never been the compliance officer? A No. Q But you're aware generally that Bahamian securities laws impose certain duties and restrictions on WAM as a registered broker-dealer? A Yes. Q I think you said you're the risk advisor for the firm. A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence one moment. THE COURT: Okay.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures; correct? A Correct. Q And it's also to there's a regulation that governs the identification and segregation of client cash and securities? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to provide keep documents to provide an audit trail for client instructions and orders; correct? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to keep records to demonstrate an audit trail for each trade transmitted or executed for the account of the client</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional certifications in the securities area; correct? A Correct. Q And you've never been the compliance officer? A No. Q But you're aware generally that Bahamian securities laws impose certain duties and restrictions on WAM as a registered broker-dealer? A Yes. Q I think you said you're the risk advisor for the firm. A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence one moment. THE COURT: Okay. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures; correct? A Correct. Q And it's also to there's a regulation that governs the identification and segregation of client cash and securities? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to provide keep documents to provide an audit trail for client instructions and orders; correct? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to the provide keep documents to provide an audit trail for client instructions and orders; correct? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to keep records to demonstrate an audit trail for each trade transmitted or executed for the account of the client in the name of WAM?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>qualified persons for WAM since 2011; correct? A Correct. Q But you've never been a qualified person for WAM? A Correct. Q You don't have any licenses or professional certifications in the securities area; correct? A Correct. Q And you've never been the compliance officer? A No. Q But you're aware generally that Bahamian securities laws impose certain duties and restrictions on WAM as a registered broker-dealer? A Yes. Q I think you said you're the risk advisor for the firm. A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence one moment. THE COURT: Okay. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Correct. Q And one of the purposes of those regulations is to demonstrate compliance with internal control procedures? A Correct. Q And one of those purposes is to demonstrate compliance with the firm's policies and procedures; correct? A Correct. Q And it's also to there's a regulation that governs the identification and segregation of client cash and securities? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to provide keep documents to provide an audit trail for client instructions and orders; correct? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to the provide keep documents to provide an audit trail for client instructions and orders; correct? A Correct. Q And you're aware that WAM is required to keep records to demonstrate an audit trail for each trade transmitted or executed for the account of the client in the name of WAM? A Correct.

—	Daga 74	-	Dege 75
1	Page 74 A Correct.	1	Page 75 transactions to the client?
2	Q Okay. WAM is also required to report to	2	A Sorry. To transact or transmit?
3	clients on contract notes; correct?	3	Q Transmit.
4	A Contract notes. I'm not sure what's meant by	4	A To transmit. Those become available to the
5	that.	5	clients on their account when they want to pull that
6	Q Let me read you this and see if you agree.	6	information. They never as far as I know, they're
7	"Any registered firm that carries out any sale or	7	not required to email or mail the transaction to the
8	purchase of securities on behalf of a client shall	8	client, but they're supposed to have that transaction
9	within one business day after the sale or purchase was	9	note available, yes, to the client when the client
10	executed make a contract note of the transaction."	10	requests it or when they pull it up on their account or
11	Would you agree that that's what Bahamian law provides?	11	when they generate their statements.
12	A Yes.	12	Q Okay. And a contract note would require the
13	Q And then the next section says, "Unless	13	quantity and description of the security, the price at
14	otherwise expressly directed by the client in writing,	14	which the transaction was effected, the commission, any
15	any registered firm that carries out any sale or	15	other fees charged, the settlement date, the name of
16	purchase of securities on behalf of a client shall	16	the registered firm involved, whether the registered
17	immediately after the sale or purchase was executed	17	firm was acting as principal or agent and the
18	transmit a contract note of the transaction to its	18	marketplace, if any, on which the transaction took
19	client." You're aware of that provision?	19	place; correct?
20	A Let me see what you're looking at.	20	A Correct.
21	Q I don't have a copy. I'm not going to make	21	Q So your testimony is that WAM wasn't required
22	this an exhibit.	22	to transmit that to Mr. Skarpelos regarding the
23	Are you aware that Bahamian law requires that the	23	April 2nd transaction, but there was a contract note
24	registered broker-dealer transact information on	24	that should have been in his file?
1	Page 76 A Yes Not in the file There will be a	1	Page 77 O But you haven't seen it?
1	A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a	1	Q But you haven't seen it?
2	A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the	2	<pre>Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not.</pre>
2 3	A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a		Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose
2 3 4	A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file.	2 3 4	 Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their
2 3 4 5	A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the	2 3 4 5	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements?
2 3 4 5 6	A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction?	2 3 4	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early on that's not the way we use them. If a client 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the client in writing, a registered firm must send or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early on that's not the way we use them. If a client requests a trade and a trade is done, the client 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early on that's not the way we use them. If a client 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the client in writing, a registered firm must send or deliver a statement of account to each client not less
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early on that's not the way we use them. If a client requests a trade and a trade is done, the client typically has up to three days maximum to challenge the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the client in writing, a registered firm must send or deliver a statement of account to each client not less than once every three months showing any debit or credit balance and the details of securities held or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early on that's not the way we use them. If a client requests a trade and a trade is done, the client typically has up to three days maximum to challenge the trades. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the client in writing, a registered firm must send or deliver a statement of account to each client not less than once every three months showing any debit or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early on that's not the way we use them. If a client requests a trade and a trade is done, the client typically has up to three days maximum to challenge the trades. Q Assuming they're notified.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the client in writing, a registered firm must send or deliver a statement of account to each client not less than once every three months showing any debit or credit balance and the details of securities held or owned by the client"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early on that's not the way we use them. If a client requests a trade and a trade is done, the client typically has up to three days maximum to challenge the trades. Q Assuming they're notified. A Yes, if they're notified. And they are 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the client in writing, a registered firm must send or deliver a statement of account to each client not less than once every three months showing any debit or credit balance and the details of securities held or owned by the client"? A I'm not aware of this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early on that's not the way we use them. If a client requests a trade and a trade is done, the client typically has up to three days maximum to challenge the trades. Q Assuming they're notified. A Yes, if they're notified. And they are notified. Typically we call clients call us to put 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the client in writing, a registered firm must send or deliver a statement of account to each client not less than once every three months showing any debit or credit balance and the details of securities held or owned by the client"? A I'm not aware of this. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations provide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early on that's not the way we use them. If a client requests a trade and a trade is done, the client typically has up to three days maximum to challenge the trades. Q Assuming they're notified. A Yes, if they're notified. And they are notified. Typically we call clients call us to put orders in. The trades are done. We call the client 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the client in writing, a registered firm must send or deliver a statement of account to each client not less than once every three months showing any debit or credit balance and the details of securities held or owned by the client"? A I'm not aware of this. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations provide that when a registered firm purchases securities as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early on that's not the way we use them. If a client requests a trade and a trade is done, the client typically has up to three days maximum to challenge the trades. Q Assuming they're notified. A Yes, if they're notified. And they are notified. Typically we call clients call us to put orders in. The trades are done. We call the client back, tell them your order is done. And they have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the client in writing, a registered firm must send or deliver a statement of account to each client not less than once every three months showing any debit or credit balance and the details of securities held or owned by the client"? A I'm not aware of this. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations provide that when a registered firm purchases securities as a principal it shall record such securities in the book
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early on that's not the way we use them. If a client requests a trade and a trade is done, the client typically has up to three days maximum to challenge the trades. Q Assuming they're notified. A Yes, if they're notified. And they are notified. Typically we call clients call us to put orders in. The trades are done. We call the client back, tell them your order is done. And they have three days, sort of an industry standard, three days to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the client in writing, a registered firm must send or deliver a statement of account to each client not less than once every three months showing any debit or credit balance and the details of securities held or owned by the client"? A I'm not aware of this. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations provide that when a registered firm purchases securities as a principal it shall record such securities in the book of accounts separate from the book of accounts relating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early on that's not the way we use them. If a client requests a trade and a trade is done, the client typically has up to three days maximum to challenge the trades. Q Assuming they're notified. A Yes, if they're notified. And they are notified. Typically we call clients call us to put orders in. The trades are done. We call the client back, tell them your order is done. And they have three days, sort of an industry standard, three days to challenge that trade. And if they challenge that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the client in writing, a registered firm must send or deliver a statement of account to each client not less than once every three months showing any debit or credit balance and the details of securities held or owned by the client"? A I'm not aware of this. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations provide that when a registered firm purchases securities as a principal it shall record such securities in the book of accounts separate from the book of accounts relating to securities held as an agent?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early on that's not the way we use them. If a client requests a trade and a trade is done, the client typically has up to three days maximum to challenge the trades. Q Assuming they're notified. A Yes, if they're notified. And they are notified. Typically we call clients call us to put orders in. The trades are done. We call the client back, tell them your order is done. And they have three days, sort of an industry standard, three days to challenge that trade. And if they challenge that trade, then it goes to the contract notes and the transaction records and so on and so forth. So there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the client in writing, a registered firm must send or deliver a statement of account to each client not less than once every three months showing any debit or credit balance and the details of securities held or owned by the client"? A I'm not aware of this. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations provide that when a registered firm purchases securities as a principal it shall record such securities in the book of accounts separate from the book of accounts relating to securities held as an agent? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early on that's not the way we use them. If a client requests a trade and a trade is done, the client typically has up to three days maximum to challenge the trades. Q Assuming they're notified. A Yes, if they're notified. And they are notified. Typically we call clients call us to put orders in. The trades are done. We call the client back, tell them your order is done. And they have three days, sort of an industry standard, three days to challenge that trade. And if they challenge that trade, then it goes to the contract notes and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the client in writing, a registered firm must send or deliver a statement of account to each client not less than once every three months showing any debit or credit balance and the details of securities held or owned by the client"? A I'm not aware of this. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations provide that when a registered firm purchases securities as a principal it shall record such securities in the book of accounts separate from the book of accounts relating to securities held as an agent? A Correct. THE COURT: By "correct" you mean you are aware of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. Not in the file. There will be a contract note, because that's what I refer to as the transaction records that are kept. So there would be a contract note in the transaction file. Q Wouldn't that be important to establish the legitimacy of that April 2nd transaction? A To establish the legitimacy. Wouldn't it be important. If it was if it was challenged early on that's not the way we use them. If a client requests a trade and a trade is done, the client typically has up to three days maximum to challenge the trades. Q Assuming they're notified. A Yes, if they're notified. And they are notified. Typically we call clients call us to put orders in. The trades are done. We call the client back, tell them your order is done. And they have three days, sort of an industry standard, three days to challenge that trade. And if they challenge that trade, then it goes to the contract notes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q But you haven't seen it? A Correct, no, I have not. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations impose duties on a registered broker-dealer to send their client statements? A No, I'm not. Q Okay. Are you aware there's a regulation that says, "Unless otherwise expressly directed by the client in writing, a registered firm must send or deliver a statement of account to each client not less than once every three months showing any debit or credit balance and the details of securities held or owned by the client"? A I'm not aware of this. Q Are you aware that Bahamian regulations provide that when a registered firm purchases securities as a principal it shall record such securities in the book of accounts separate from the book of accounts relating to securities held as an agent? A Correct. THE COURT: By "correct" you mean you are aware of that regulation?

1 W MR. NUMBERS: Proge 70 1 0 Did you receive any forwarded emails firm 2 0 Add you're sears that Bahmian regulations 2 amphoto you're same data Bahmian regulations 3 govern certain conflicts of interser related to client discussions with Tom about apphysics 4 4 accounts; correct? 4 Years and the clients. 7 9 wou cannot conflict and overlap assets between the film 7 0 Years and In looked at this togen. If there were emails from WW staff, myelf, 10 and the clients. 7 0 Years and I clooked at this togen. If there were emails from WW staff, myelf. 11 with the same mail scheme MB and I clooked at this togen and the clients. 7 0 Years and I clooked at this togen and the clients. 12 A. Tom and I clooked at this togen and the I clooke at this togen and the clients. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 13 the careed. A mod at this togen and the clooke at the cond at this togen and the clooke at this togen and the		Dago 79		Page 79
3 govern certain conflicts of interest related to client: 3 discussions with Ton about maything? 4 accounts; correct? 4 New, throughout the history of the relationship 5 A I flow that thy - yee, they keep assets 6 three were enails throughout the history. 7 you cannot conflict and overlap asset between the III. 7 0 You cannot conflict and overlap asset between the III. 8 and the clients. 7 0 You cannot conflict and overlap asset between the III. 9 0 Wanki you look at Bhihit 7, please. I'm nut 9 0 You and I looked at this together yesterdy. 10 in terms of a stock sale or transactions or marything 11 Interest of a stock sale or transactions or marything 11 back of this email, correct? 13 A O May uddhn't find anything in the NM files 12 h. Not in the file here, no. 13 A He date of this email, correct? 12 A Bhils in general between Hoard at Tor? 2 A In the file, no. 12 A Bhails in general between Hoard at Tor? 14 A He file anything in writing i	1	Page 78 BY MR. ANDERSON:	1	-
4 A res, throughout the history of the relationship 5 A res, throughout the history of the relationship 5 A res, throughout the history of the relationship 5 separate. Wy moveledge that is yes, if there's 7 yuu cannot conflict and overlap assets between the firm 8 and the clients. 9 0 Would you look at Bshibit 7, please. I'm not 10 are if you and 1 looks at Bshibit 7, please. I'm not 11 but this is an emil exchange between Ton and 12 A res. 13 A Correct. 14 A would but the file here, no. 15 to be clear. There's no other emails from NW to 16 Kr. Skarpelos or from Kr. Skarpelos to WM following 17 0 Kady you didn't see any I mean, there' 18 a rest ship on anyone at Weiser Asset 19 Q Roadis from anyone at Weiser Asset 10 obs. you don't have sent box orquets. 11 which I wean't shile to reproduce. 12 A in her file, no. 13 Q Roady ou didn't file anyot response anyot the weanything in the WM files 14 A weah. 15 <td< td=""><td>2</td><td>Q And you're aware that Bahamian regulations</td><th>2</th><td>anybody with a Weiserm.com domain that reflected</td></td<>	2	Q And you're aware that Bahamian regulations	2	anybody with a Weiserm.com domain that reflected
5 A I know that they yes, they keep assets 6 esparate. Wy knowledge of that is yes. If there's you cannot conflict and overlap assets between the finit and the clients. 5 there were were ensile throughout the bistory. 9 Q Nuld you lock at Bshiht 7, please. I'm not sure if you and I locked this together yesterday. 9 Q Gay. And I thist we tabled about it, but I just want is to be clear. There's no other ensils from NWM to I doing in the date of this ensily correct? Q A I is had you ddin't find in WM's records any notes is to be clear. There's no other ensils from NWM to is the date of this ensily correct? Q A is it had you ddin't find any they records any notes is the date of this ensily correct? 10 O May. And you ddin't find in WM's records any notes is the asset the stamples to NWM following in the date of this ensily correct? A A is in general between Hoard and Ton? 11 the date of this ensily correct? A A is in general between Hoard and Ton? 12 A is in general between Hoard and Ton? Q Muld you ddin't find anything in the NWM file 12 A is in the stream were is between the asset the reguests to you that you weren't bake to regrowing. Q Nu is the stream were reguests 2 A don't din't is any the ise more one one one one one one one one one on	3	govern certain conflicts of interest related to client	3	discussions with Tom about anything?
 separate. My knowledge of that is yes. If there's	4	accounts; correct?	4	A Yes, throughout the history of the relationship
7 you cannot conflict and overlap assets between the firm 7 Q You actually saw those? 8 and the clients. 8 X Yeah. I was copied on cone of them, yes. 9 Q Would you look at Bdhiht 7, please. Y'n not 9 Q Geay. And if they were relevant to the issues 10 but his is an email exchange between Ton and 11 Like that, you would have produce them, yes. 11 but his is an email exchange between Ton and 11 Like that, you would have produce them, yes. 13 A Currect. 13 Q And you didn't find in WMY's records any notes 14 O And I think we talked about it, but I jut want 14 of any phone calls with Mr. Starpelos. NO, I did not 15 A Entits in general between Board and Tow? 15 A Fohee calls with Mr. Starpelos to WM requesting any 19 Q Qay. And you didn't find anything in the WM files 16 idectly from Kr. Starpelos to WM requesting any 10 D ahile from anyone at Weiser Asset 10 Q Weit, anybere. Bave you ever seen anything in 20 Management you see he's got a Weiserm.com donin? A A ses far as authorizations, w	5	A I know that they yes, they keep assets	5	there were there were emails from WAM staff, myself,
8 and the clients. 8 A Yeah. I was copied on some of them, yes. 9 Q Would you and L looked at this together yesterday. 9 Q Gay. And if they were relevant to the issuese 11 but this is an email exchange between Ton and 11 like that, you would have produced them, correct? 12 Mr. Daniels, Howard Daniels. 13 A Garrect. 13 Q And you didn't find in WW's records any notes 14 Q And I think we talked about it, but I just want 14 of any product if find in WW's records any notes 15 to be clear. There's no other emails from WM to 16 16 Not in the file hare, no. 17 the date of this email; correct? 16 10 A up ou didn't find anything in the WM files 18 A Not in the file hare, no. 19 Q Gay. And you didn't see any I mean, there 10 19 Q Gkay. And you didn't see any I mean, there 10 Q Wall, anythere. Baryelos to WM saying, "I want you 20 R In the file, no. 21 A In the file, no. 21 A Keah. Yeah. 20 A In the file, no. 22 Q Gkay. So your testimony is that K. skarpelos 21 A Kes, I have, because he has sent me requests	6	separate. My knowledge of that is yes. If there's	6	Tom. There were emails throughout the history.
9 Q Mould you look at bahibit 7, please. I'm not 9 Q Okay. And if they were relevant to the issues 10 serie if you and I looked at this together yesterday, in terms of a stock sale or transactions or anything 11 but this is an email exchange between Ton and 11 like that, you would have produced them; correct? 13 A Currect. 12 A If I had seen them, yes. 14 Q And I think we talked about it, but I jute want 13 A If I had seen them, yes. 15 to be clear. There's no other emails from NM to 14 O And you didn't find in W4W's records any notes 16 the date of this meall; or correct? 15 A If I had seen them, yes. 17 the date of this meall is generate. If I had seen them, yes. 16 Iooku p tome calls with Mr. Skarpelos; correct? 18 A Not in the file hore, no. 17 Q And you didn't find in W4W's records any notes 19 Q Okay. And you didn't see any	7	you cannot conflict and overlap assets between the firm	7	Q You actually saw those?
10 sure if you and I looked at this together yesterday, 10 in terms of a stock sale or transactions or anything 11 but this is an email exchange between Ten and 11 like that, you would have produced them, correct? 13 A Correct. 13 Q And You didn't find in WAM's records any notes 14 Q And I think we talked about it, but I jut want 14 14 of any phone calls with Mr. Skarpelos, correct? 15 the date of this email; correct? 15 A Fonce calls with Mr. Skarpelos, to WM filles 16 Hr. Skarpelos or from Mr. Skarpelos to WA following 15 A Fonce calls with Mr. Skarpelos, No, I did not 16 Hr. Skarpelos or Mr. Skarpelos to WA following 15 A Fonce calls with Mr. Skarpelos, No, I did not 16 Hr. Skarpelos or Mr. Skarpelos to WA following 16 I directly from Mr. Skarpelos to WM requesting any 17 Transaction; correct? 20 A In the file, no. 21 Q Wailing from Mr. Skarpelos to WM seying, "I want you 18 writing than in general between Mean and Ton; 24 A Yes, I have, hecause he has sent me requests 19 Q Gav, So you cestiming in keites 22 X for Mr. Skarpelos to WM seying, "I want you 20 Remails fr	8	and the clients.	8	A Yeah. I was copied on some of them, yes.
11 but this is an email exchange betwen Ton and 11 like that, you would have produced them; correct? 12 Mr. Daniels, Howard Baniels. 11 like that, you would have produced them; correct? 13 A Correct. 12 A If I had seen them; yes. 14 O And I think we talked about it, but I just want 14 of any phone calls with Mr. Skarpelos; correct? 15 to be clear. There's no other emails from WM to 14 of any phone calls with Mr. Skarpelos; No, I did not 16 Mr. Skarpelos of from Mr. Skarpelos to WM following 17 Q And you didn't find anything in the WM files 18 A Not in the file here, no. 17 Q And you didn't find anything in the WM files 19 Q Kay. And you didn't see any I mean, there 19 The dist from anyone at Weiser Asset 12 Management you see he's got a Weiser Asset 12 Q Well, anythere. Have you ever seen anything in 12 Q Kay. So your testimory is that Mr. Skarpelos 14 A far as authorizations, me putting in writing to Ton 13 A have seen those requests. I don't have anything from Mr. Skarpelos and. 2 Yes. 14 weiting form Mr. Skarpelos to WM saying, 'T want you didn't see anything in writing to Ton 5	9	Q Would you look at Exhibit 7, please. I'm not	9	Q Okay. And if they were relevant to the issues
12 Mr. Daniels, Roward Daniels. 12 A fif I had seen them, yes. 13 A Correct. 13 Q had I think we talked about it, but I just want 14 Q had I think we talked about it, but I just want 14 of any phone calls with Mr. Skarpelos, No, I did not 15 to be clear. There's no other emails from WM to 15 A Prone calls with Mr. Skarpelos, No, I did not 16 Mr. Skarpelos or from Mr. Skarpelos to WM following 15 A Prone calls with Mr. Skarpelos, No, I did not 17 the date of this email; correct? 17 Q had you didn't find any WM files 18 A Not in the file here, no. 19 transactions; correct? 20 were none forwarde to you; correct? 20 A In the file, no. 21 A Prails from myone at Weiser Asset 21 Q Well, anywhere are you ever seen anything in 23 Management you see he's got a Weiser Asset 22 Q Well, anywhere were you ever seen anything in 24 Yeak. 24 A Yeak, I have, because he has sent me requests 24 winch I was my thing in writing to Tom 23 Q Yee. 3 a I have seen those requests. I don't 4 A Sorry. Ask the question again.	10	sure if you and I looked at this together yesterday,	10	in terms of a stock sale or transactions or anything
13 A Correct. 13 Q And you didn't find in WAM's records any notes 14 Q And J think we talked about it, but J just want 14 of any phone calls with Mr. Skarpelos; correct? 15 to be clear. There's no other emails from WM to 15 A Home calls with Mr. Skarpelos; correct? 16 the date of this email; correct? 13 Q And you didn't find anything in the WM files 18 A Not in the file here, no. 19 Q Okey. And you didn't see any I mean, there 20 were none forwarded to you; correct? 20 A In the file, no. 21 A Brails in general between Howard and Tom? 21 Q Well, anywhere. Have you ever seen anything in 22 Q Smails from anyone at Weiser Asset 22 20 Winter first man, "Skarpelos to WM saying, "I went you 23 which I wan't able to reproduce. Page 80 1 A Sorry. Ask the question again. 3 ergroduce? 10 or this you and you also don't have anything from Xr. Skarpelos 1 A Sorry. Ask the question again. 5 A I have seen those requests. I have received 4 A Sorry. Ask the question again. 5 A I have seen those requests. I don't 5 A I weth at teat messages, et cetera. <td>11</td> <td>but this is an email exchange between Tom and</td> <th>11</th> <td>like that, you would have produced them; correct?</td>	11	but this is an email exchange between Tom and	11	like that, you would have produced them; correct?
14 Q And I think we talked about it, but I just want 14 of any phone calls with Mr. Skarpelos; correct? 15 to be clear. There's no other emails from WM to 15 A Phone calls with Mr. Skarpelos. No, I did not 16 Mr. Skarpelos or from Mr. Skarpelos to WM following 15 A Phone calls with Mr. Skarpelos. No, I did not 16 Mr. Skarpelos or from Mr. Skarpelos to WM following 16 look up phone call records. 17 Q Kay. And you didn't is see any I mean, there 17 Q And you didn't is see any I mean, there 20 Weine forwarded to you; correct? 20 A In the file, no. 21 A Baails in general between Howard and Ton? 21 Q Weil, anywhere. Have you ever seen anything in 21 A Yeah. 21 Q Weil, anythere alway you ever seen anything in 22 Q Kay. So your testimony is that Mr. Skarpelos Q Weil, anything in writing to Ton 3 A Yeah. 24 A Yeas. 4 a Sory. Ask the question again. 5 5 A I have seen those requests. I don't have the records. I don't have anything from Yu. Skarpelos 6 6 those requests. I don't have anything from you to WM Q Weil, anything in writing to Ton, no. 9	12	Mr. Daniels, Howard Daniels.	12	A If I had seen them, yes.
15 to be clear. There's no other emails from WM to 16 Mr. Skarpelos or from Mr. Skarpelos to WM following 17 the date of this email; correct? 18 A Not in the file here, no. 19 Q Okay. And you didn't file eary I mean, there 20 were none forwarded to you; correct? 21 A Emails in general between Howard and Tom? 22 Q Emails from anyone at Weiser Asset 23 Management you see he's got a Weiserm.com domain? 24 A Yeah. 2 Q Okay. So your testimony is that Mr. Skarpelos 3 sent requests to you that you weren't able to reproduce. 2 Q Okay. So your testimony is that Mr. Skarpelos 3 a reproduce? 3 A Inverting from Mr. Skarpelos 4 reproduce? 5 A I have seen those requests. I have received 6 to oth is avait able to reproduce. 9 Q So you don't have anything from Mr. Skarpelos 9 Q So you don't have anything from You to WAM 10 other seguesting any transactions; correct? 12 A To me, to WAM, I would have. I never I have 13 <t< td=""><td>13</td><td>A Correct.</td><th>13</th><td>Q And you didn't find in WAM's records any notes</td></t<>	13	A Correct.	13	Q And you didn't find in WAM's records any notes
16 Mr. Skarpelos or from Mr. Skarpelos to WAM following 16 lock up phone call records. 17 the date of this email; correct? 17 Q And you didn't find anything in the WAM files 18 A Not in the file here, no. 18 directly from Mr. Skarpelos to WAM requesting any 19 Q Okay. And you didn't see any I mean, there 18 directly from Mr. Skarpelos to WAM requesting any 20 Baails in general between Howard and Ton? 20 Well, anywhere. Have you ever seen anything in 20 Q Dkay. And you didn't see any I mean, there 12 Witting from Mr. Skarpelos to WAM saying, "I want you 21 A Brails in general between Howard and Ton? 20 Well, anywhere. Have you ever seen anything in 22 Wardsmagenet you see he's got a Weisern.com domain? 21 Q Well, anywhere. Have you ever seen anything in 24 A Yea. A Yea. A Yea. I have, because he has sent me requests 3 generatize to reproduce? 1 A As far as authorizations? 3 sent requests to you that you weren't able to reproduce? 3 Q Yee. 4 have seen those requests. I don't have anything from Yr. Skarpelos 9 Q You didn't put anything in writing to Tom, no. <	14	Q And I think we talked about it, but I just want	14	of any phone calls with Mr. Skarpelos; correct?
17 the date of this email; correct? 17 Q And you didn't find anything in the WAM files 18 A Not in the file here, no. 18 directly from Mr. Skarpelos to WAM requesting any 19 Q Gkay. And you didn't see any I mean, there 18 directly from Mr. Skarpelos to WAM requesting any 19 Q Gkay. And you didn't see any I mean, there 18 directly from Mr. Skarpelos to WAM requesting any 20 Parals in general between Howard and Tom? 2 A In the file, no. 2 21 A Brails in general between Howard and Tom? 2 A Well, anythere. Have you ever seen anything in 21 A Teah. Page 80 1 A file file, no. 22 Q Gkay. So your testimony is that Mr. Skarpelos 2 to Tom regarding authorizations? 3 as ent requests to you that you weren't able to reproduce? 3 Q Yes. 3 a I have seen those requests. I don't have anything from You to WM 4 A Sorry. Ask the guestion again. 5 A J have the text messages, et cetera. 9 Q In fact, there's nobhing in writing at all 10 other than that email you talked about with Rainbow 9 Q In fact, there's nobhing in writing at all 10 </td <td>15</td> <td>to be clear. There's no other emails from WAM to</td> <th>15</th> <td>A Phone calls with Mr. Skarpelos. No, I did not</td>	15	to be clear. There's no other emails from WAM to	15	A Phone calls with Mr. Skarpelos. No, I did not
18 A Not in the file here, no. 18 directly from Mr. Skarpelos to WM requesting any 19 Q Gkay. And you didn't see any I mean, there 19 transactions; correct? 20 were none forwarded to you; correct? 20 A In the file, no. 21 A Enails in general between Howard and Tom? 20 Well, anywhere. Have you ever seen anything in 23 Management you see he's got a Weiser Asset 21 Q Well, anywhere. Have, because he has sent me requests 24 A Yeah. Page 81 A kes far as authorizations; me putting in writing in writing in writing to Tom 2 Q Okay. So your testimony is that Mr. Skarpelos 3 Q Yes. 3 sent requests to you that you weren't able to 3 Q Yes. 4 have seen those requests. I don't have anything from Mr. Skarpelos 3 Q Yes. 5 A In have sent that messages, et cetera. 6 A In writing to Tom, no. 9 O In fact, there's nothing in writing at 11 between WM and Tom to confirm any of the transactions 10 other than that email you talked about with Rainbow 9 In fact, there's nothing in writing at all 10 other than that email you talked about with Rainbow 9	16	Mr. Skarpelos or from Mr. Skarpelos to WAM following	16	look up phone call records.
19 Q Okay. And you didn't see any I mean, there 19 transactions; correct? 20 Meangement you see he's got a Weisern com domain? A In the file, no. 21 A Emails from anyone at Weiser Asset 20 A In the file, no. 22 Q Beangement you see he's got a Weisern com domain? 24 A Yes, I have, because he has sent me requests 24 A Yeah. Page 80 Page 81 1 which I wasn't able to reproduce. Page 80 Page 81 2 Q Okay. So your testimony is that Mr. Skarpelos 1 A As far as authorizations, me putting in writing 3 sent requests to you that you weren't able to 3 Q Yes. 4 A Sorry. Ask the question again. 5 A I have seen those requests. I have received 5 Q You didh't put anything in writing to Tom. 6 saying, "I want to confirm that when I go to ask WM to 7 have the text messages, et cetera. 8 A In writing to Tom, no. 9 Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all 10 other than that email you talked about with Rainbow 1 Mease requesting any transactions correct? 1 12 A To me, to WAM. </td <td>17</td> <td>the date of this email; correct?</td> <th>17</th> <td>Q And you didn't find anything in the WAM files</td>	17	the date of this email; correct?	17	Q And you didn't find anything in the WAM files
20 were none forwarded to you; correct? 20 A In the file, no. 21 A Enails in general between Howard and Tom? Q Well, anywhere. Have you ever seen anything in 22 Q Enails from anyone at Weiser Asset 21 Q Well, anywhere. Have you ever seen anything in 23 Management you see he's got a Weisern.com domain? 24 A Yes, I have, because he has sent me requests 24 A Yeah. Page 80 1 A As far as authorizations, me putting in writing 2 Q Okay. So your testimony is that Mr. Skarpelos 2 to Tom regarding authorizations? 2 3 sent requests to you that you weren't able to 3 Q Yes. 4 A Sorry. Ask the question again. 5 A I have seen those requests. I don't have the records. I don't 6 saying, "I want to confirm that when I go to ask WeM to to the reaseding, any thing in writing to Tom 6 to you and you also don't have anything from you to WM 9 Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all 10 other than thet mail you talked about with Rainbow 1 A Sure end shift 4; correct? 12 A To me, to WAM, I would have. I never I have 1 A In ther, no. 13 seen them when I was when infor	18	A Not in the file here, no.	18	directly from Mr. Skarpelos to WAM requesting any
21 A Emails in general between Howard and Tom? 2 Q Well, anywhere. Have you ever seen anything in 22 Q Emails from anyone at Weiser Asset 21 Q Well, anywhere. Have you ever seen anything in 23 Management you see he's got a Weisern.com domain? 24 A Yeah. 23 to do this?? 24 A Yeah. Page 80 Page 81 7 A Yeah. Page 80 Page 81 8 Q Okay. So your testimory is that Mr. Skarpelos 3 Q Yes. 4 reproduce? 3 Q Yes. 4 A Sorry. Ask the question again. 5 5 A I have seen those requests. I don't 5 Q You didn't put anything in writing to Tom 6 saging, "I want to confirm that when I go to ask WM to 7 have the text messages, et cetera. 8 A In writing to Tom, no. 9 Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all 10 other than that email you alked about with Rainbow 9 Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all 10 other than that email you alked about with Rainbow 9 Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all 10 other than that email you alked about with Rainbow 9 Q Let's ta	19	Q Okay. And you didn't see any I mean, there	19	transactions; correct?
22 Q Emails from anyone at Weiser Asset 22 writing from Mr. Skarpelos to WAM saying, "I wart you 23 A Yeah. 23 to do this"? 24 A Yeah. 24 A Yeah. 23 to do this"? 24 A Yeah. Page 80 1 A A S far as authorizations, me putting in writing 2 Q Okay. So your testimony is that Mr. Skarpelos 1 A As far as authorizations, me putting in writing 2 Q Kay. So your testimony is that Mr. Skarpelos 3 Q Yes. 3 sent requests to you that you weren't able to reproduce? 4 A Sorry. Ask the question again. 5 5 A I have seen those requests. I don't have anything from You to KMM 6 saying, "I want to confirm that when I go to ask WAM to 7 7 have the text messages, et cetera. 8 A So you don't have anything from You to WAM 10 other than that email you talked about with Rainbow 9 Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all 10 other than that email you talked about with Rainbow 13 Q Let's talk a little bit about the canceled 14 of me regarding some of these things, I was looking for <t< td=""><td>20</td><td>were none forwarded to you; correct?</td><th>20</th><td>A In the file, no.</td></t<>	20	were none forwarded to you; correct?	20	A In the file, no.
23 Management you see he's got a Weiserm.com domain? 23 to do this?? 24 A Yeah. 24 A Yes, I have, because he has sent me requests 24 A Yeah. Page 80 Page 81 1 which I wasn't able to reproduce. Page 81 Page 81 2 Q Okay. So your testimony is that Mr. Skarpelos 3 Q Yes. 3 sent requests to you that you weren't able to 4 A Sorry. Ask the question again. 5 A I have seen those requests. I don't thave the records. I don't 6 saying, "I want to confirm that when I go to ask WAM to 7 have the text messages, et cetera. 9 Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all 10 other than that email you talked about with Rainhow 1 be ween WM and Tom to confirm any of the transactions 11 A Tom e, to WAM, I would have. I never I have 10 A In here, no. 12 A Tom e, to WAM, I would have. I never I have 12 A In here, no. 13 seen them when I was when information was requested 13 Q Iet's talk a little bit about the canceled 14 of me regarding some of these things, I was looking for 1 A If you can refer me to <td>21</td> <td>A Emails in general between Howard and Tom?</td> <th>21</th> <td>Q Well, anywhere. Have you ever seen anything in</td>	21	A Emails in general between Howard and Tom?	21	Q Well, anywhere. Have you ever seen anything in
24 A Yesh. 24 A Yes, I have, because he has sent me requests 1 which I wasn't able to reproduce. Page 80 2 Q Okay. So your testimony is that Mr. Skarpelos A As far as authorizations, me putting in writing 3 sent requests to you that you weren't able to A As far as authorizations? 4 reproduce? 4 A Sorry. Ask the question again. 5 A I have seen those requests. I have received 5 Q You didn't put anything in writing to Tom 6 those requests. I don't have the records. I don't 7 do this you're authorizing me to do this?' 8 Q So you don't have anything from You to WM 9 Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all 10 other than that email you talked about with Rainbow 9 Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all 10 other than that email you talked about with Rainbow 10 11 requesting any transactions; correct? 13 12 A To me, to WAM, I would have. I never I have 13 13 seen them when I was when information was requested 14 16 the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't 15 16 look for the correspondence or pull the correspondence 14 15	22	Q Emails from anyone at Weiser Asset	22	writing from Mr. Skarpelos to WAM saying, "I want you
Page 80 Page 81 1 which I wasn't able to reproduce. 1 A As far as authorizations, me putting in writing 2 Q Okay. So your testimony is that Mr. Skarpelos 1 A As far as authorizations, me putting in writing 3 sent requests to you that you weren't able to 3 Q Yes. 4 reproduce? 4 A Sorry. Ask the question again. 5 A I have seen those requests. I have received 5 Q You didn't put anything in writing to Tom 6 those requests. I don't have the records. I don't 6 saying, "I want to confirm that when I go to ask WAM to 7 have the text messages, et cetera. 8 A In writing to Tom, no. 9 Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all 10 other than that enail you talked about with Rainbow 9 Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all 10 11 other regarding some of these things, I was looking for 13 Q Let's talk a little bit about the canceled 14 of me regarding some of these things, I was looking for 14 stock certificate. And Mr. Nork showed you some 15 the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't 16 this was I guess this thing happened, the canceled	23	Management you see he's got a Weiserm.com domain?	23	to do this"?
1 which I wasn't able to reproduce. 1 A As far as authorizations, me putting in writing 2 Q Okay. So your testimony is that Mr. Skarpelos 3 Q Yes. 3 sent requests to you that you weren't able to 3 Q Yes. 4 A Sorry. Ask the question again. 5 5 A I have seen those requests. I have received 5 Q You didn't put anything in writing to Tom 6 those requests. I don't have the records. I don't 6 saying, "I want to confirm that when I go to ask WAM to 7 have the text messages, et cetera. 7 do this you're authorizing me to do this"? 8 Q So you don't have anything from Mr. Skarpelos 9 Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all 10 other than that email you talked about with Rainbow 10 between WAM and Tom to confirm any of the transactions 11 requesting any transactions; correct? 11 A In here, no. 13 Q Let's talk a little bit about the canceled 14 of me regarding some of these things, I was looking for 14 stock certificate. And Mr. Nork showed you some 15 the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't 15 exhibits yesterday that Mr. Skarpelos filled out. And <	24	A Yeah.	24	A Yes, I have, because he has sent me requests
2Q Okay. So your testimony is that Mr. Skarpelos2to Tom regarding authorizations?3sent requests to you that you weren't able to3Q Yes.4reproduce?4A Sorry. Ask the question again.5A I have seen those requests. I have received5Q You didn't put anything in writing to Tom6those requests. I don't have the records. I don't6saying, "I want to confirm that when I go to ask WAM to7have the text messages, et cetera.7do this you're authorizing me to do this"?8Q So you don't have anything from Mr. Skarpelos8A In writing to Tom, no.9to you and you also don't have anything from you to WAM9Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all10other than that email you talked about with Rainbow10between WAM and Tom to confirm any of the transactions11requesting any transactions; correct?11that are listed on Exhibit 44; correct?12A To me, to WAM, I would have. I never I have13Q Let's talk a little bit about the canceled13seen them when I was when information was requested14stock certificate. And Mr. Nork showed you some15the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't16this was I guess this thing happened, the canceled16this was I guess this thing happened, the canceledthis was I guess this thing happened, the canceled18Q Well, WAM is the party; right?18A If you can refer me to19A Yeah, yeah.19Q Yes. I'm sorry. 13, I gu				Page 81
3 sent requests to you that you weren't able to 3 Q Yes. 4 reproduce? 4 A Sorry. Ask the question again. 5 A I have seen those requests. I have the records. I don't 5 Q You didn't put anything in writing to Tom 6 those requests. I don't have the records. I don't 6 saying, "I want to confirm that when I go to ask WAM to 7 have the text messages, et cetera. 8 Q So you don't have anything from Mr. Skarpelos 8 A In writing to Tom, no. 9 Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all 10 between WAM and Tom to confirm any of the transactions 10 other than that email you talked about with Rainbow 10 between WAM and Tom to confirm any of the transactions 11 other than that email you talked about with Rainbow 10 between WAM and Tom to confirm any of the transactions 12 A To me, to WAM, I would have. I never I have 12 A In here, no. 13 ge ter's talk a little bit about the canceled 14 stock certificate. And Mr. Nork showed you some 15 the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't 16 this was I guess t	1	which I wasn't able to reproduce.	1	A As far as authorizations, me putting in writing
4reproduce?4A Sorry. Ask the question again.5A I have seen those requests. I have received5Q You didn't put anything in writing to Tom6those requests. I don't have the records. I don't6saying, "I want to confirm that when I go to ask WAM to7have the text messages, et cetera.7do this you're authorizing me to do this"?8Q So you don't have anything from You to WAM9Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all10other than that email you talked about with Rainbow10between WAM and Tom to confirm any of the transactions11requesting any transactions; correct?11between WAM and Tom to confirm any of the transactions12A To me, to WAM, I would have. I never I have13Q Let's talk a little bit about the canceled14of me regarding some of these things, I was looking for14stock certificate. And Mr. Nork showed you some15the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't15exhibits yesterday that Mr. Skarpelos filled out. And16look for the correspondence or pull the correspondence16this was I guess this thing happened, the canceled17from me to WAM.17Stock, in January of 2013.18Q Okay. And you never sent Tom anything in20Yes. I'm sorry. 13, I guess. Actually 14 is20Q Okay. And you never sent Tom anything in21A Correct.21that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct23A I'm on Exhibit 14; correct?		Q Okay. So your testimony is that Mr. Skarpelos		to Tom regarding authorizations?
5A I have seen those requests. I have received 65Q You didn't put anything in writing to Tom 66those requests. I don't have the records. I don't 7have the text messages, et cetera.6saying, "I want to confirm that when I go to ask WAM to 	3		3	▲
6those requests. I don't have the records. I don't6saying, "I want to confirm that when I go to ask WAM to7have the text messages, et cetera.7do this you're authorizing me to do this"?8Q So you don't have anything from Mr. Skarpelos8A In writing to Tom, no.9to you and you also don't have anything from you to WAM9Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all10other than that email you talked about with Rainbow10between WAM and Tom to confirm any of the transactions11requesting any transactions; correct?11that are listed on Exhibit 44; correct?12A To me, to WAM, I would have. I never I have12A In here, no.13seen them when I was when information was requested13Q Let's talk a little bit about the canceled14of me regarding some of these things, I was looking for14stock certificate. And Mr. Nork showed you some15the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't15exhibits yesterday that Mr. Skarpelos filled out. And16look for the correspondence or pull the correspondence16this was I guess this thing happened, the canceled17from me to WAM.19Q Yes. I'm sorry. 13, I guess. Actually 14 is20Q Okay. And you never sent Tom anything in21A Correct.21to othis. I just want to put something in writing22Q And that's dated March of 2013?23that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct23A I'm on Exhibit 14; correct?		-		
7have the text messages, et cetera.7do this you're authorizing me to do this"?8Q So you don't have anything from Mr. Skarpelos9Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all10other than that email you talked about with Rainbow9Q In fact, there's nothing in writing at all10other than that email you talked about with Rainbow10between WAM and Tom to confirm any of the transactions11requesting any transactions; correct?11that are listed on Exhibit 44; correct?12A To me, to WAM, I would have. I never I have13Q Let's talk a little bit about the canceled13seen them when I was when information was requested13Q Let's talk a little bit about the canceled14of me regarding some of these things, I was looking for14stock certificate. And Mr. Nork showed you some15the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't15exhibits yesterday that Mr. Skarpelos filled out. And16look for the correspondence or pull the correspondence16this was I guess this thing happened, the canceled17from me to WAM.19Q Yes. I'm sorry. 13, I guess. Actually 14 is20Q Okay. And you never sent Tom anything in20A Correct.21that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct23A I'm on Exhibit 14; correct?				
8QSo you don't have anything from Mr. Skarpelos8AIn writing to Tom, no.9to you and you also don't have anything from you to WAM9QIn fact, there's nothing in writing at all10other than that email you talked about with Rainbow10between WAM and Tom to confirm any of the transactions11requesting any transactions; correct?11that are listed on Exhibit 44; correct?12ATo me, to WAM, I would have. I never I have12A13seen them when I was when information was requested13QLet's talk a little bit about the canceled14of me regarding some of these things, I was looking for14stock certificate. And Mr. Nork showed you some15the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't15exhibits yesterday that Mr. Skarpelos filled out. And16look for the correspondence or pull the correspondence16this was I guess this thing happened, the canceled17from me to WAM.17stock, in January of 2013.18QNeal, yeah.19QYes. I'm sorry. 13, I guess. Actually 14 is20QOkay. And you never sent Tom anything in20the Affidavit for Lost Stock Certificate.21writing that confirmed, "Hey, I know you are asking me21ACorrect.22QAnd that's dated March of 2013?23AI'm on Exhibit 14; correct?		-		
9to you and you also don't have anything from you to WAM9QIn fact, there's nothing in writing at all10other than that email you talked about with Rainbow10between WAM and Tom to confirm any of the transactions11requesting any transactions; correct?11that are listed on Exhibit 44; correct?12A To me, to WAM, I would have. I never I have12A In here, no.13seen them when I was when information was requested13Q Let's talk a little bit about the canceled14of me regarding some of these things, I was looking for14stock certificate. And Mr. Nork showed you some15the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't15exhibits yesterday that Mr. Skarpelos filled out. And16look for the correspondence or pull the correspondence16this was I guess this thing happened, the canceled17from me to WAM.19Q Yes. I'm sorry. 13, I guess. Actually 14 is20Q Okay. And you never sent Tom anything in20Yes. I'm sorry. 13, I guess. Actually 14 is21that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct23A I'm on Exhibit 14; correct?		-		
10other than that email you talked about with Rainbow10between WAM and Tom to confirm any of the transactions11requesting any transactions; correct?11that are listed on Exhibit 44; correct?12A To me, to WAM, I would have. I never I have12A In here, no.13seen them when I was when information was requested13Q Let's talk a little bit about the canceled14of me regarding some of these things, I was looking for13Q Let's talk a little bit about the canceled15the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't15exhibits yesterday that Mr. Skarpelos filled out. And16look for the correspondence or pull the correspondence16this was I guess this thing happened, the canceled17from me to WAM.17stock, in January of 2013.18QWell, WAM is the party; right?18A If you can refer me to19A Yeah, yeah.19Q Yes. I'm sorry. 13, I guess. Actually 14 is20Q Okay. And you never sent Tom anything in20the Affidavit for Lost stock Certificate.21writing that confirmed, "Hey, I know you are asking me21A Correct.22Q And that's dated March of 2013?23A I'm on Exhibit 14; correct?	1			-
11requesting any transactions; correct?11that are listed on Exhibit 44; correct?12A To me, to WAM, I would have. I never I have12A In here, no.13seen them when I was when information was requested13Q Let's talk a little bit about the canceled14of me regarding some of these things, I was looking for14stock certificate. And Mr. Nork showed you some15the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't15exhibits yesterday that Mr. Skarpelos filled out. And16look for the correspondence or pull the correspondence16this was I guess this thing happened, the canceled17from me to WAM.17stock, in January of 2013.18Q Well, WAM is the party; right?18A If you can refer me to19A Yeah, yeah.19Q Yes. I'm sorry. 13, I guess. Actually 14 is20Q Okay. And you never sent Tom anything in20the Affidavit for Lost Stock Certificate.21to do this. I just want to put something in writing21A Correct.23that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct23A I'm on Exhibit 14; correct?				
12A To me, to WAM, I would have. I never I have12A In here, no.13seen them when I was when information was requested13Q Let's talk a little bit about the canceled14of me regarding some of these things, I was looking for14stock certificate. And Mr. Nork showed you some15the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't15exhibits yesterday that Mr. Skarpelos filled out. And16look for the correspondence or pull the correspondence16this was I guess this thing happened, the canceled17from me to WAM.17stock, in January of 2013.18Q Well, WAM is the party; right?18A If you can refer me to19A Yeah, yeah.19Q Yes. I'm sorry. 13, I guess. Actually 14 is20Q Okay. And you never sent Tom anything in20the Affidavit for Lost Stock Certificate.21that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct23A I'm on Exhibit 14; correct?		_		-
13seen them when I was when information was requested13QLet's talk a little bit about the canceled14of me regarding some of these things, I was looking for14stock certificate. And Mr. Nork showed you some15the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't15exhibits yesterday that Mr. Skarpelos filled out. And16look for the correspondence or pull the correspondence16this was I guess this thing happened, the canceled17from me to WAM.17stock, in January of 2013.18QWell, WAM is the party; right?18A19AYeah, yeah.19Q20Okay. And you never sent Tom anything in20the Affidavit for Lost Stock Certificate.21writing that confirmed, "Hey, I know you are asking me21A23that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct23A23Li'm on Exhibit 14; correct?				
14of me regarding some of these things, I was looking for14stock certificate. And Mr. Nork showed you some15the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't15stock certificate. And Mr. Nork showed you some16look for the correspondence or pull the correspondence16this was I guess this thing happened, the canceled17from me to WAM.17stock, in January of 2013.18QWell, WAM is the party; right?18A19AYeah, yeah.19Q20Q kay. And you never sent Tom anything in20the Affidavit for Lost Stock Certificate.21writing that confirmed, "Hey, I know you are asking me21A23that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct23A24I'm on Exhibit 14; correct?				
15the correspondence between myself and Tom. I didn't15exhibits yesterday that Mr. Skarpelos filled out. And16look for the correspondence or pull the correspondence16this was I guess this thing happened, the canceled17from me to WAM.17stock, in January of 2013.18Q Well, WAM is the party; right?18A If you can refer me to19A Yeah, yeah.19Q Yes. I'm sorry. 13, I guess. Actually 14 is20Q Okay. And you never sent Tom anything in20the Affidavit for Lost Stock Certificate.21writing that confirmed, "Hey, I know you are asking me21A Correct.22to do this. I just want to put something in writing22Q And that's dated March of 2013?23that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct23A I'm on Exhibit 14; correct?		-		-
 look for the correspondence or pull the correspondence from me to WAM. Q Well, WAM is the party; right? A Yeah, yeah. Q Okay. And you never sent Tom anything in writing that confirmed, "Hey, I know you are asking me to do this. I just want to put something in writing that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct the Affidavit 14; correct? 				_
17from me to WAM.17stock, in January of 2013.18QWell, WAM is the party; right?18AIf you can refer me to19AYeah, yeah.19QYes. I'm sorry.13, I guess. Actually 14 is20QOkay. And you never sent Tom anything in20the Affidavit for Lost Stock Certificate.21writing that confirmed, "Hey, I know you are asking me21ACorrect.22to do this. I just want to put something in writing22QAnd that's dated March of 2013?23that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct23AI'm on Exhibit 14; correct?		* *		
18QWell, WAM is the party; right?18AIf you can refer me to19AYeah, yeah.19QYes. I'm sorry. 13, I guess. Actually 14 is20QOkay. And you never sent Tom anything in20the Affidavit for Lost Stock Certificate.21writing that confirmed, "Hey, I know you are asking me21ACorrect.22to do this. I just want to put something in writing22QAnd that's dated March of 2013?23that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct23AI'm on Exhibit 14; correct?				
19A Yeah, yeah.19Q Yes. I'm sorry. 13, I guess. Actually 14 is20Q Okay. And you never sent Tom anything in20the Affidavit for Lost Stock Certificate.21writing that confirmed, "Hey, I know you are asking me21A Correct.22to do this. I just want to put something in writing22Q And that's dated March of 2013?23that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct23A I'm on Exhibit 14; correct?				· · ·
20QOkay. And you never sent Tom anything in20the Affidavit for Lost Stock Certificate.21writing that confirmed, "Hey, I know you are asking me21ACorrect.22to do this. I just want to put something in writing22QAnd that's dated March of 2013?23that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct23AI'm on Exhibit 14; correct?				-
21writing that confirmed, "Hey, I know you are asking me21ACorrect.22to do this. I just want to put something in writing22QAnd that's dated March of 2013?23that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct23AI'm on Exhibit 14; correct?		-		
22to do this. I just want to put something in writing22QAnd that's dated March of 2013?23that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct23AI'm on Exhibit 14; correct?				
23 that says Christos Livadas is authorized to conduct 23 A I'm on Exhibit 14; correct?				
				-
		-		
	27	cranadorionia :	47	2 Tes, EMILITE 17. IL YOU WOULD LOOK at LIE

	Page 82		Page 83
1	second page.	1	Q They don't discuss Weiser, they don't discuss
2	A I think we concluded it was March 29th.	2	Weiser Capital and they don't discuss 753?
3	Q Okay. Now, we've looked at a bunch of emails	3	A Correct.
4	going back and forth between you and Lambros discussing	4	Q And nothing in Exhibit 30 discusses 753?
5	the July transaction; correct?	5	A Correct.
6	A Correct.	6	Q So in theory they are the same shares, they're
7	Q And nowhere in any of those emails or anywhere	7	just represented by a different number?
8	else did Lambros or Mr. Skarpelos indicate that what	8	A Yes.
9	they were selling was specifically Certificate 753?	9	Q Okay. And when Tom filled out the blank power
10	A In the emails that it was specific to the	10	of attorney, which is Exhibit 36, he didn't write in
11	certificate, no.	11	any specific certificate number, did he?
12	Q Okay. And you would agree with me that even	12	A As far as I recall this would be the blank
13	though Certificate 753 was canceled by Mr. Skarpelos	13	one. No, no specific certificate number.
14	and new Certificate 975 was issued by NATCO, they're	14	Q Okay. And so let's assume that the transaction
15	the same shares; correct?	15	that's happening in July or the discussions that are
16	A Are they the same shares.	16	happening in July, those goes on and eventually you
17	Q They're the same 6,600,000 and odd shares that	17	find a buyer for the stock and everything happens well.
18	were represented by 753; correct?	18	Tom could have written in Certificate 975 and he would
19	A To me they're different, because the context of	19	be selling the same shares that were being talked about
20	our discussions was always the discussions of the	20	in those emails; correct?
21	shares that were on deposit at Weiser.	21	A In my view incorrect, because there was already
22	Q Is that what those emails say? Do the emails	22	a transaction. So there was already a transaction and
23	discuss Weiser in any way?	23	the power of attorney was in relationship to the
24	A No.	24	certificate that Weiser had on deposit.
	5 04		
	Page 84	1	Page 85
1	3	1	strike that.
1 2	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying	1 2	
	3		strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the
2	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates	2	strike that.
2 3	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct?	2 3	strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they?
2 3 4 5	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled.	2 3 4	strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they?
2 3 4	<pre>Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock</pre>	2 3 4 5	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March of 2013 correct? March 29? If you look at	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct? A Sorry. Are you talking about the stock power</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March of 2013 correct? March 29? If you look at Exhibit 15. A So that's the the affidavit is in March,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct? A Sorry. Are you talking about the stock power or the PSA, the purchase and sale agreement?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March of 2013 correct? March 29? If you look at Exhibit 15.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct? A Sorry. Are you talking about the stock power or the PSA, the purchase and sale agreement? Q The purchase and sale agreement.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March of 2013 correct? March 29? If you look at Exhibit 15. A So that's the the affidavit is in March, yes, 2013. Q And if you look at Exhibit 15, the stock</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct? A Sorry. Are you talking about the stock power or the PSA, the purchase and sale agreement? Q The purchase and sale agreement. A Correct, yes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March of 2013 correct? March 29? If you look at Exhibit 15. A So that's the the affidavit is in March, yes, 2013. Q And if you look at Exhibit 15, the stock transfer order that you submitted to NATCO was dated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct? A Sorry. Are you talking about the stock power or the PSA, the purchase and sale agreement? Q The purchase and sale agreement. A Correct, yes. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for one</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March of 2013 correct? March 29? If you look at Exhibit 15. A So that's the the affidavit is in March, yes, 2013. Q And if you look at Exhibit 15, the stock transfer order that you submitted to NATCO was dated March 29, 2013, at the top?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct? A Sorry. Are you talking about the stock power or the PSA, the purchase and sale agreement? Q The purchase and sale agreement. A Correct, yes. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for one moment.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March of 2013 correct? March 29? If you look at Exhibit 15. A So that's the the affidavit is in March, yes, 2013. Q And if you look at Exhibit 15, the stock transfer order that you submitted to NATCO was dated March 29, 2013, at the top? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct? A Sorry. Are you talking about the stock power or the PSA, the purchase and sale agreement? Q The purchase and sale agreement. A Correct, yes. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for one moment. THE COURT: Sure. You have about ten minutes</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March of 2013 correct? March 29? If you look at Exhibit 15. A So that's the the affidavit is in March, yes, 2013. Q And if you look at Exhibit 15, the stock transfer order that you submitted to NATCO was dated March 29, 2013, at the top?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct? A Sorry. Are you talking about the stock power or the PSA, the purchase and sale agreement? Q The purchase and sale agreement. A Correct, yes. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for one moment. THE COURT: Sure. You have about ten minutes before we take our recess.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March of 2013 correct? March 29? If you look at Exhibit 15. A So that's the the affidavit is in March, yes, 2013. Q And if you look at Exhibit 15, the stock transfer order that you submitted to NATCO was dated March 29, 2013, at the top? A Yes. Q Okay. And it looks like if you look at Exhibit 16 that NATCO canceled those certificates on April 4th	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct? A Sorry. Are you talking about the stock power or the PSA, the purchase and sale agreement? Q The purchase and sale agreement. A Correct, yes. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for one moment. THE COURT: Sure. You have about ten minutes before we take our recess. BY MR. ANDERSON:</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March of 2013 correct? March 29? If you look at Exhibit 15. A So that's the the affidavit is in March, yes, 2013. Q And if you look at Exhibit 15, the stock transfer order that you submitted to NATCO was dated March 29, 2013, at the top? A Yes. Q Okay. And it looks like if you look at Exhibit 16 that NATCO canceled those certificates on April 4th of 2013. The date is in the upper left-hand corner.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct? A Sorry. Are you talking about the stock power or the PSA, the purchase and sale agreement? Q The purchase and sale agreement. A Correct, yes. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for one moment. THE COURT: Sure. You have about ten minutes before we take our recess. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Would you look at Exhibit 35, please.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March of 2013 correct? March 29? If you look at Exhibit 15. A So that's the the affidavit is in March, yes, 2013. Q And if you look at Exhibit 15, the stock transfer order that you submitted to NATCO was dated March 29, 2013, at the top? A Yes. Q Okay. And it looks like if you look at Exhibit 16 that NATCO canceled those certificates on April 4th of 2013. The date is in the upper left-hand corner. A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct? A Sorry. Are you talking about the stock power or the PSA, the purchase and sale agreement? Q The purchase and sale agreement. A Correct, yes. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for one moment. THE COURT: Sure. You have about ten minutes before we take our recess. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Would you look at Exhibit 35, please. Now, this stock certificate power or stock power</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March of 2013 correct? March 29? If you look at Exhibit 15. A So that's the the affidavit is in March, yes, 2013. Q And if you look at Exhibit 15, the stock transfer order that you submitted to NATCO was dated March 29, 2013, at the top? A Yes. Q Okay. And it looks like if you look at Exhibit 16 that NATCO canceled those certificates on April 4th of 2013. The date is in the upper left-hand corner. A Yes. Q Okay. So the transaction that you're saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct? A Sorry. Are you talking about the stock power or the PSA, the purchase and sale agreement? Q The purchase and sale agreement. A Correct, yes. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for one moment. THE COURT: Sure. You have about ten minutes before we take our recess. EY MR. ANDERSON: Q Would you look at Exhibit 35, please. Now, this stock certificate power or stock power that you filled out is dated July 12th, 2013; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March of 2013 correct? March 29? If you look at Exhibit 15. A So that's the the affidavit is in March, yes, 2013. Q And if you look at Exhibit 15, the stock transfer order that you submitted to NATCO was dated March 29, 2013, at the top? A Yes. Q Okay. And it looks like if you look at Exhibit 16 that NATCO canceled those certificates on April 4th of 2013. The date is in the upper left-hand corner. A Yes. Q Okay. So the transaction that you're saying happened was on April 2nd, 2013; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct? A Sorry. Are you talking about the stock power or the PSA, the purchase and sale agreement? Q The purchase and sale agreement. A Correct, yes. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for one moment. THE COURT: Sure. You have about ten minutes before we take our recess. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Would you look at Exhibit 35, please. Now, this stock certificate power or stock power that you filled out is dated July 12th, 2013; correct? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March of 2013 correct? March 29? If you look at Exhibit 15. A So that's the the affidavit is in March, yes, 2013. Q And if you look at Exhibit 15, the stock transfer order that you submitted to NATCO was dated March 29, 2013, at the top? A Yes. Q Okay. And it looks like if you look at Exhibit 16 that NATCO canceled those certificates on April 4th of 2013. The date is in the upper left-hand corner. A Yes. Q Okay. So the transaction that you're saying happened was on April 2nd, 2013; correct? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct? A Sorry. Are you talking about the stock power or the PSA, the purchase and sale agreement? Q The purchase and sale agreement. A Correct, yes. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for one moment. THE COURT: Sure. You have about ten minutes before we take our recess. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Would you look at Exhibit 35, please. Now, this stock certificate power or stock power that you filled out is dated July 12th, 2013; correct? A Correct. Q And it's dated at Athens. Were you in Athens</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. And the transaction that you're saying happened on April 2nd was just after these certificates were canceled; correct? A I don't see what date these certificates were canceled. Q Well, the stock transfer order the stock transfer order was submitted by Mr. Skarpelos in March of 2013 correct? March 29? If you look at Exhibit 15. A So that's the the affidavit is in March, yes, 2013. Q And if you look at Exhibit 15, the stock transfer order that you submitted to NATCO was dated March 29, 2013, at the top? A Yes. Q Okay. And it looks like if you look at Exhibit 16 that NATCO canceled those certificates on April 4th of 2013. The date is in the upper left-hand corner. A Yes. Q Okay. So the transaction that you're saying happened was on April 2nd, 2013; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>strike that. The emails leading up to Exhibit 30, which is the purchase and sale agreement, don't say anything about Certificate 753 in it, do they? A Correct, no. Q And that document that we discussed earlier that you completed and filled out was to document the April 2nd transaction; correct? A Sorry. Are you talking about the stock power or the PSA, the purchase and sale agreement? Q The purchase and sale agreement. A Correct, yes. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for one moment. THE COURT: Sure. You have about ten minutes before we take our recess. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q Would you look at Exhibit 35, please. Now, this stock certificate power or stock power that you filled out is dated July 12th, 2013; correct? A Correct.</pre>

I	Page 86		Page 87
1	wouldn't have been in Athens.	1	tells you, "I'm around if you want to talk"; correct?
2	Q You weren't in Athens?	2	A Correct.
3	A No.	3	Q Okay. Now, this email in here doesn't say
4	Q And you wrote July 12th, 2013; correct?	4	anything about Weiser Asset Management, Weiser Capital
5	A Yes.	5	or the sale of stock; correct?
6	Q Okay. And that's the same date that appears in	6	A As far as the sale of stock, only that the
7	Mr. Montello's letters or Mr. Alvarez's letters to	7	funds were ready for the second this is the
8	NATCO; correct?	8	reference for the funds for the second transaction.
9	A That is correct.	9	Q Okay. So your testimony is that this is the
10	Q When you learned of the hold on the stock in	10	funds for the Chinese investor transaction that you say
11	2013 did you notify Mr. Skarpelos in writing at all	11	never happened?
12	that you heard there was a problem with the	12	A Yes.
13	certificate?	13	THE COURT: Why does it say Lou Lou in the subject
14	A I couldn't get ahold of Skarpelos, so I	14	line?
15	notified I first contacted Lambros.	15	THE WITNESS: Lou Lou is Lambros's other name,
16	Q Is there anything in writing from you to	16	nickname.
17	Lambros or to Tom Skarpelos saying, "I've been told	17	THE COURT: Gotcha. Okay. And that's L-o-u L-o-u.
18	there's a problem with the stock certificate"?	18	Go ahead.
19	A In writing here, no.	19	BY MR. ANDERSON:
20	0 In fact, the only evidence of a written	20	Q But it doesn't mention anything about Kinezi or
20	communication between you and Mr. Skarpelos after	20	Weiser Asset Management or Weiser Capital?
21	July 2013 is Exhibit 40; correct?	22	A In this email, no.
23	A Correct.	22	
23 24		23 24	Q And there's no other written communications from you to Tom after this email; correct?
24	Q And in Exhibit 40 at the bottom Mr. Skarpelos	24	from you to fom after this email; correct?
	Page 88	-	Page 89
1	A Not that I'm aware of.	1	Do you have a response to that?
2	Q And there's also nothing in writing from WAM to	2	THE WITNESS: Sorry. As far as I know which don't
3	Tom after this date on any subject, including the stock	3	exist?
	sale?	4	BY MR. ANDERSON:
5	A I don't know.	5	Q Any writings from WAM to Tom at any point in
6	Q You haven't seen it?	6	time discussing the stock sale.
7	A Not that I recall, no.	7	A I believe that there is that they do exist.
8	Q You haven't seen any documents?	8	Q But you haven't produced them?
9	A I may have seen them, but I don't recall.	9	A No, no.
10	Q So as far as you know they don't exist?	10	Q We talked a little bit earlier about what a
11	Prior to	11	conflict of interest might be. Do you recall that?
12	THE COURT: Hold on a second. You didn't answer	12	A Earlier today?
13	that question.	13	Q At your deposition.
14	THE WITNESS: I did not catch if it was a question	14	A I remember a little bit, yes.
15	or a statement.	15	Q Now, as the owner of WAM you don't know whether
16	THE COURT: I wasn't quite sure either. You just	16	WAM has any policies or procedures addressing conflicts
17	said as far as	17	of interest; correct?
18	MR. ANDERSON: I thought it was a question. Your	18	A I know that operating policies and procedures
19	Honor, I apologize. I thought I heard him answer, but	19	about conflict of interest exist. I don't have those
20	it wouldn't be the first time I was mistaken.	20	with me to recall them in detail.
21	THE COURT: That's okay. We're all imperfect.	21	Q Do you recall at your deposition testifying
22	So the question and it was a question. The	22	that you don't know whether WAM has any policies or
23	question was as far as you know they don't exist. That	23	procedures addressing conflicts of interest?
24	was your question correct? Mr. Anderson?	24	A If you could reference me to it.

	Page 90		Page 91
1	Q Yes. Look at page 57, please. And in	1	anything to educate its employees or agents about a
2	particular I would refer you to page 57, line 12. And	2	conflict of interest?
3	I asked you, "Okay. Do you have an understanding of	3	A It does.
4	what a conflict of interest is?"	4	Q How about Weiser Capital?
5	"ANSWER: Yes."	5	A Does Weiser Capital educate its
6	"QUESTION: Okay. Can you tell me what that is?"	6	Q Does Weiser Capital have any policies or
7	"ANSWER: That's when you may have do it by	7	procedures on conflicts of interest?
8	example, I suppose is when you're in a deal and may	8	A No.
9	have benefit from both sides, both parties on both	9	THE COURT: You are Weiser Capital; correct?
10	sides of the deal."	10	THE WITNESS: Correct.
11	Do you recall saying that?	11	THE COURT: So there's no one other than you that
12	A Yeah.	12	is an employee of Weiser Capital? Just so I'm clear.
13	Q And my question was "Does Weiser Asset	13	THE WITNESS: Correct.
14	Management have any policies or procedures that address	14	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
15	conflicts of interest?"	15	BY MR. ANDERSON:
16	"ANSWER: I would have to refer to their compliance	16	Q And that's always been the case; correct?
17	manual to see."	17	Since 2011 you are Weiser Capital?
18	"QUESTION: Okay. Off the top of your head then	18	A Yes.
19	you don't know whether there are any policies or	19	Q So it doesn't have any policies or procedures.
20	procedures addressing conflicts of interest?"	20	Do you have take any classes or anything like that to
21	"ANSWER: No."	21	educate yourself on how to avoid conflicts of interest?
22	That was your testimony in October?	22	A I do studies on it. I don't take classes, but
23	A Correct.	23	I do studies and read our compliance manuals, yes.
24	Q Okay. And you don't know whether WAM does	24	Q So Weiser Capital has compliance manuals?
	Page 92		Page 93
1	A No. I read WAM's compliance manuals.	1	question.
2	Q Okay. Is WAM's compliance manual different	2	Q Okay. Your testimony and the Exhibit 44
3	than the terms and conditions that we talked about	3	account statement that you've offered purports to
4	earlier?	4	demonstrate that Mr. Skarpelos had a negative balance
5	A It would be. The compliance manual is a very	5	of almost \$150,000 in March of 2013; correct?
6	large document, so it's different in the terms.	6	THE COURT: You're looking at 44?
7	Q Do you know if that's ever been produced in	7	MR. ANDERSON: 44, sir.
8	this case?	8	THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry. Say again.
9	A The compliance manual?	9	BY MR. ANDERSON:
10	Q Yes.	10	Q You've testified that this account statement
11	A Not that I'm aware of.	11	reflects the books and records of WAM. I know we had a
12	Q Have any documents been produced that talk	12	dispute over that, but this document is admitted.
13	about WAM's internal policies and procedures other than	13	You're saying that that was the status of Tom's
1 .	the terms and conditions that you	14	account?
14	-		
15	A Here, no.	15	A Correct.
15 16	Q Okay. Now, let's assume for a second that the	16	Q And in early 2013, if you look at the March
15 16 17	Q Okay. Now, let's assume for a second that the April 2nd, 2013, transaction actually happened and that	16 17	Q And in early 2013, if you look at the March entry, there's a negative 153,804.54; correct?
15 16 17 18	Q Okay. Now, let's assume for a second that the April 2nd, 2013, transaction actually happened and that Mr. Skarpelos's account was credited with \$250,000.	16 17 18	<pre>Q And in early 2013, if you look at the March entry, there's a negative 153,804.54; correct? A Correct.</pre>
15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. Now, let's assume for a second that the April 2nd, 2013, transaction actually happened and that Mr. Skarpelos's account was credited with \$250,000. According to that statement there was a positive	16 17 18 19	<pre>Q And in early 2013, if you look at the March entry, there's a negative 153,804.54; correct? A Correct. Q We talked yesterday about how WAM doesn't have</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. Now, let's assume for a second that the April 2nd, 2013, transaction actually happened and that Mr. Skarpelos's account was credited with \$250,000. According to that statement there was a positive roughly \$100,000 balance in the account at that point;	16 17 18 19 20	Q And in early 2013, if you look at the March entry, there's a negative 153,804.54; correct? A Correct. Q We talked yesterday about how WAM doesn't have any records prior to this year, so we don't have any
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. Now, let's assume for a second that the April 2nd, 2013, transaction actually happened and that Mr. Skarpelos's account was credited with \$250,000. According to that statement there was a positive roughly \$100,000 balance in the account at that point; correct?	16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And in early 2013, if you look at the March entry, there's a negative 153,804.54; correct? A Correct. Q We talked yesterday about how WAM doesn't have any records prior to this year, so we don't have any WAM evidence of the transactions that occurred to make
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. Now, let's assume for a second that the April 2nd, 2013, transaction actually happened and that Mr. Skarpelos's account was credited with \$250,000. According to that statement there was a positive roughly \$100,000 balance in the account at that point; correct? A Yes, if you say so.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And in early 2013, if you look at the March entry, there's a negative 153,804.54; correct? A Correct. Q We talked yesterday about how WAM doesn't have any records prior to this year, so we don't have any WAM evidence of the transactions that occurred to make it \$140,000 negative; correct?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. Now, let's assume for a second that the April 2nd, 2013, transaction actually happened and that Mr. Skarpelos's account was credited with \$250,000. According to that statement there was a positive roughly \$100,000 balance in the account at that point; correct?	16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And in early 2013, if you look at the March entry, there's a negative 153,804.54; correct? A Correct. Q We talked yesterday about how WAM doesn't have any records prior to this year, so we don't have any WAM evidence of the transactions that occurred to make

	Page 94		Page 95
1	A Correct.	1	A Yeah.
2	Q Okay. So you're saying on April 2nd, 2013, a	2	Q Okay. Where is the money on April 2nd, 2013?
3	transaction happened where Tom was credited almost	3	A Well, the money the transactions are always
4	\$250,000?	4	kept as an electronic ledger, so that's the credits
5	A Correct.	5	and liabilities of clients are kept on a ledger. Where
6	Q And if you look to the right it shows a	6	the actual cash is, the cash ends up usually at the
7	positive balance of almost \$95,000; correct?	7	prime bank or the Federal Reserve. I'm sorry. I don't
8	A Correct.	8	understand your question.
9	Q Where is that money?	9	Q You testified yesterday that the cash, the
10	A Where is it. I'm sorry. I can't	10	\$250,000 that the buyer paid, was in an account under
11	Q On April 2nd, 2013, where is the actual cash?	11	the buyer's name held in WAM's name; correct?
12	Because you testified yesterday that WAM doesn't hold	12	A You say that the cash I'm sorry. When you
13	cash.	13	say "the cash," to me that refers to a physical thing.
14	A Yeah, the actual cash would be at the Federal	14	Q The electronic money that the buyer reflects
15	Reserve.	15	that the buyer
16	Q Okay. So let me make sure I understand this.	16	A The credit balance, yes.
17	WAM credits his account. The buyer supposedly has paid	17	Q Okay. It exists on WAM's books, but I'm
18	\$250,000 somewhere. We don't have any evidence of	18	assuming WAM doesn't do that unless it has proof that
19	that; correct?	19	the buyer has actually paid something that justifies
20	A Correct.	20	that credit.
21	Q Now, WAM then takes that cash and apparently	21	A Sorry. Ask the question again, please.
22	applies 150,000 roughly to Mr. Skarpelos's supposed	22	Q How did you confirm that Tom Skarpelos should
23	debit and there's \$100,000 surplus on April 2nd, 2013;	23	be paid \$250,000 or have \$250,000 credited to his
24	right?	24	account?
1	19.0.		
1	Page 96	1	Page 97
1	A How did I confirm that it should be?	1	the first half of Certificate 753, the three plus
2	Q Mr. Livadas, did the buyer, ultimate buyer, pay	2	million shares. That person had a positive account
3	\$250,000	3	with WAM in excess of \$250,000.
4	A Yes.	4	And then Mr. Skarpelos, according to the testimony
5	Q that caused WAM to credit this account?	5	
6			at this point, had a negative balance of \$153,804.54.
_	A Correct, the buyer's account was debited	6	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so
7	\$250,000.	6 7	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes
8	\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and	6 7 8	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's
8 9	\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort	6 7 8 9	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a
8 9 10	\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort of proof that the buyer has submitted money somewhere	6 7 8 9 10	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a positive balance now of \$95,775.46. Is that correct?
8 9 10 11	\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort of proof that the buyer has submitted money somewhere to justify this transaction.	6 7 8 9 10 11	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a positive balance now of \$95,775.46. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Correct.
8 9 10	\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort of proof that the buyer has submitted money somewhere	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a positive balance now of \$95,775.46. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So it's just an internal because
8 9 10 11	<pre>\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort of proof that the buyer has submitted money somewhere to justify this transaction. A Well, the buyer would have a credit on their account already, a credit balance, whatever that cash</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a positive balance now of \$95,775.46. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Correct.
8 9 10 11 12	<pre>\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort of proof that the buyer has submitted money somewhere to justify this transaction. A Well, the buyer would have a credit on their</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a positive balance now of \$95,775.46. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So it's just an internal because
8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort of proof that the buyer has submitted money somewhere to justify this transaction. A Well, the buyer would have a credit on their account already, a credit balance, whatever that cash</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a positive balance now of \$95,775.46. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So it's just an internal because it's two WAM clients, it's just internal movement in
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort of proof that the buyer has submitted money somewhere to justify this transaction. A Well, the buyer would have a credit on their account already, a credit balance, whatever that cash amount might be.</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a positive balance now of \$95,775.46. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So it's just an internal because it's two WAM clients, it's just internal movement in the ledgers of the WAM accounts. Is that your
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort of proof that the buyer has submitted money somewhere to justify this transaction. A Well, the buyer would have a credit on their account already, a credit balance, whatever that cash amount might be. Q And you don't credit their account balance</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a positive balance now of \$95,775.46. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So it's just an internal because it's two WAM clients, it's just internal movement in the ledgers of the WAM accounts. Is that your testimony?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort of proof that the buyer has submitted money somewhere to justify this transaction. A Well, the buyer would have a credit on their account already, a credit balance, whatever that cash amount might be. Q And you don't credit their account balance unless they put money somewhere that says we should now</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a positive balance now of \$95,775.46. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So it's just an internal because it's two WAM clients, it's just internal movement in the ledgers of the WAM accounts. Is that your testimony? THE WITNESS: Correct.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort of proof that the buyer has submitted money somewhere to justify this transaction. A Well, the buyer would have a credit on their account already, a credit balance, whatever that cash amount might be. Q And you don't credit their account balance unless they put money somewhere that says we should now credit their account balance?</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a positive balance now of \$95,775.46. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So it's just an internal because it's two WAM clients, it's just internal movement in the ledgers of the WAM accounts. Is that your testimony? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: We'll be in recess. You're free to
 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	<pre>\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort of proof that the buyer has submitted money somewhere to justify this transaction. A Well, the buyer would have a credit on their account already, a credit balance, whatever that cash amount might be. Q And you don't credit their account balance unless they put money somewhere that says we should now credit their account balance? A Put money somewhere.</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a positive balance now of \$95,775.46. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So it's just an internal because it's two WAM clients, it's just internal movement in the ledgers of the WAM accounts. Is that your testimony? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: We'll be in recess. You're free to pick that up again right from that spot, Mr. Anderson.
 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	<pre>\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort of proof that the buyer has submitted money somewhere to justify this transaction. A Well, the buyer would have a credit on their account already, a credit balance, whatever that cash amount might be. Q And you don't credit their account balance unless they put money somewhere that says we should now credit their account balance? A Put money somewhere. THE COURT: Are you asking him how the account is</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a positive balance now of \$95,775.46. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So it's just an internal because it's two WAM clients, it's just internal movement in the ledgers of the WAM accounts. Is that your testimony? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: We'll be in recess. You're free to pick that up again right from that spot, Mr. Anderson. And if I have misstated something or if I'm not
 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	<pre>\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort of proof that the buyer has submitted money somewhere to justify this transaction. A Well, the buyer would have a credit on their account already, a credit balance, whatever that cash amount might be. Q And you don't credit their account balance unless they put money somewhere that says we should now credit their account balance? A Put money somewhere. THE COURT: Are you asking him how the account is initiated? I'm just trying we're going to take a</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a positive balance now of \$95,775.46. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So it's just an internal because it's two WAM clients, it's just internal movement in the ledgers of the WAM accounts. Is that your testimony? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: We'll be in recess. You're free to pick that up again right from that spot, Mr. Anderson. And if I have misstated something or if I'm not understanding it correctly, please feel free to
 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	<pre>\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort of proof that the buyer has submitted money somewhere to justify this transaction. A Well, the buyer would have a credit on their account already, a credit balance, whatever that cash amount might be. Q And you don't credit their account balance unless they put money somewhere that says we should now credit their account balance? A Put money somewhere. THE COURT: Are you asking him how the account is initiated? I'm just trying we're going to take a recess and then you can clarify this when we come back,</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a positive balance now of \$95,775.46. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So it's just an internal because it's two WAM clients, it's just internal movement in the ledgers of the WAM accounts. Is that your testimony? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: We'll be in recess. You're free to pick that up again right from that spot, Mr. Anderson. And if I have misstated something or if I'm not understanding it correctly, please feel free to continue in your line of inquiry. That's just how I
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	<pre>\$250,000. Q Okay. So WAM doesn't debit their account and credit Mr. Skarpelos's account without seeing some sort of proof that the buyer has submitted money somewhere to justify this transaction. A Well, the buyer would have a credit on their account already, a credit balance, whatever that cash amount might be. Q And you don't credit their account balance unless they put money somewhere that says we should now credit their account balance? A Put money somewhere. THE COURT: Are you asking him how the account is initiated? I'm just trying we're going to take a recess and then you can clarify this when we come back, because it's now 10:16. But in essence, as I</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The sale takes place between those two entities, and so the purchaser's account is debited \$250,000. WAM takes their \$420, \$420 fee, and then credits Mr. Skarpelos's account in the amount of \$249,580, giving him a positive balance now of \$95,775.46. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: So it's just an internal because it's two WAM clients, it's just internal movement in the ledgers of the WAM accounts. Is that your testimony? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: We'll be in recess. You're free to pick that up again right from that spot, Mr. Anderson. And if I have misstated something or if I'm not understanding it correctly, please feel free to continue in your line of inquiry. That's just how I understood the testimony. So court will be in recess

—	Page 98		Page 99
1	THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in	1	has been admitted by the Court?
2	CV15-02259, Weiser entities versus Skarpelos.	2	THE COURT: Yes.
3	Mr. Anderson, you may continue your	3	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.
4	cross-examination of Mr. Livadas.	4	BY MR. ANDERSON:
5	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.	5	Q Now, the initial email on this document from
6	BY MR. ANDERSON:	6	Lambros to you, the subject is "Quadruple bypass";
7	Q Mr. Livadas, would you turn to Exhibit 12,	7	correct?
8	please. I apologize, Mr. Livadas. Let's look at	8	A Correct.
9	Exhibit 59.	9	Q And then all the body of the text is some kind
10	Now, Exhibit 59 was admitted in part yesterday, I	10	of bank information; correct?
11	think for the purpose of establishing that Lambros sent	11	A Correct.
12	you an email and that you then requested that Rainbow	12	Q And there's nothing in the body of the email
13	perform some sort of transaction; correct?	13	that mentions Tom's name; correct?
14	A Correct.	14	A Correct.
15	THE COURT: Hold on a second. That's not correct.	15	Q Or that there's a transfer request happening;
16	It wasn't admitted in part. It was admitted over	16	correct?
17	objection with an explanation on how I would consider	17	A He's not stating it as such in the email.
18	certain aspects of it, but the exhibit itself has been	18	Q Okay. Now, if you look above that line that's
19	admitted.	19	there, there's an email from you to Rainbow who I think
20	MR. ANDERSON: Okay.	20	you say is WAM's controller?
21	THE COURT: Just so we're clear.	21	A Correct.
22	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.	22	Q And the subject is "Transfer request, forward
23	THE COURT: That's a legal technicality.	23	quadruple bypass." So you added the "transfer request"
24	MR. ANDERSON: Just to clarify, the entire exhibit	24	portion when you forwarded it; correct?
	Page 100		Page 101
1	A Correct.	1	Capital and Weiser Management?
2	Q Even though it doesn't say that in the email?	2	A She was involved with both in that time. Now
3	A I added that in the subject line.	3	she's not.
4	Q Even though that wasn't in Lambros's email?	4	Q Okay. Now, let's look at Exhibit 60.
5	A Correct.	5	A 60?
6	Q Now, who is Alana Wheaton?	6	Q 60, please. And we discussed this exhibit at
7	A Alana is one of my assistants.	7	your deposition as well; correct?
8	Q Okay. And you testified at your deposition	8	A I don't recall, but I'm sure it's in there.
9	that she's an employee of Weiser Capital; correct?	9	Q You produced this document in response to a
10	A She's been an employee of WAM and Weiser	10	request from your lawyers for communications between
11	Capital on and off.	11	Tom and somebody who represented Weiser; correct? And
12	Q Would you turn to page 218 of your deposition,	12	if you need to refer to your deposition, you could look
13	please. And at page 218, line 6, I asked you, "Who is	13	at page 219. If you look at lines 17 to 23 and just
14	Alana Wheaton?"	14	read those to yourself really quick.
15	"ANSWER: Alana is my assistant."	15	A Which lines?
16	"QUESTION: Is she a current employee of Weiser	16	Q Lines 17 to 23.
17	Capital?"	17	A 17. Okay. "Why did you send this screen
18	"ANSWER: Of Weiser Capital, yes."	18	shot"
19	"QUESTION: Okay. Does she work for Weiser Asset	19	THE COURT: Don't read it out loud. Just read it
20	Management at all?"	20	to yourself.
21	"ANSWER: No."	21	THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.
22	A At present, no.	22	Yes.
23	Q Did I read that correctly? Okay. So your	23	BY MR. ANDERSON:
24	testimony is that in 2013 she was employed at Weiser	24	Q Okay. And so they were asking for
1			

Page 102 Page 103 correspondence involving you and Weiser; correct? A Correct. 1 1 A Correct. Me and Weiser or Tom and I? 2 2 But you didn't do that? 0 3 Q Well, you testified they were asking for 3 Α No. 4 correspondence with Tom and that he had contact with Q Are you aware that my office requested all 4 5 somebody who represented Weiser. 5 emails between you and Tom? My understanding was all emails relevant to the 6 A Correct. 6 А 7 7 Q And this is what you provided them; correct? transaction, not all emails. 8 8 So you provided all the emails relevant to the А Correct. 0 9 Q But this was your personal email account; 9 transaction? 10 A That I could find that I thought were relevant 10 correct? 11 A This was my Weiser Capital corporate account. 11 to the transaction. 12 Q Okay. Do you recall testifying at deposition 12 Q I think you testified yesterday about the 13 that it was your personal email? I'll have you look at negative balance that Mr. Skarpelos had on his account 13 14 a little bit. And as I understand it, WAM didn't do page 221, line 8. 14 15 15 anything to notify Mr. Skarpelos that his account had A Sure. I'm sorry. I was looking at the top. Yes, this would have been my -- it's more personal, 16 gone negative in any way? 16 17 yeah. 17 A Well, I had told Mr. Skarpelos. He was aware 18 that he was in negative liquidity. Q And when you took this screen shot you 18 19 basically pulled up the emails on your screen and 19 Q But there's nothing in writing from Weiser 20 printed the screen shot and this is what came out? 20 Asset Management advising Mr. Skarpelos that his 21 A Correct. account was in negative liquidity? 21 22 Q Okay. At that time you had the ability to 22 A Not that I'm aware of. 23 click on any of these emails, open them up and print 23 Q Okay. I think we talked about this at your 24 deposition, and luckily this hasn't happened to me those out; correct? 24 Page 104 Page 105 in order to credit Tom's account. The buyer had to since college, but when I would go overdraft in my bank 1 1 2 account they would immediately send me a notice saying 2 have deposited the money somewhere to then cause WAM to 3 you're in overdraft. Are you telling me WAM doesn't do do the ledger transaction. 3 4 anything like that? A At some point through the buyer's history of 4 5 A It expects its rep to communicate with the 5 his account he would have either deposited securities 6 clients on the status of their accounts. or would have -- or sent an electronic funds transfer, 6 7 Q Okay. And you were that rep is your testimony? 7 not cash, but an electronic funds transfer to our prime 8 8 bank or prime broker to then create the credit in his Α Yes. 9 9 Q Even though there's nothing in writing to account. 10 indicate that? 10 Q Okay. And that's what I was getting at. I was 11 A Correct. 11 not trying to be confusing. But there was evidence of 12 Q Now, before we broke we were talking a little 12 some kind of payment by the buyer, whether it was a 13 bit about the April 2nd transaction you say happened 13 deposit of certificates or an electronic funds 14 and how the dynamics of that worked. I understood 14 transfer, to somewhere that caused WAM to look at that 15 based on the judge's question that WAM only has ledgers 15 and say, "Okay. We're good now. Let's credit 16 for client accounts and that you credited 16 Mr. Skarpelos's account"? 17 Mr. Skarpelos's account and you debited the buyer's 17 A Well, we would have -- yes, a credit balance so 18 account; correct? 18 there's enough cash to do the transaction. 19 A Correct. 19 0 And was that balance at the prime bank? The specific client's credit balance, that 20 Q Where did the buyer's money go? 20 Α ledger would be at WAM. The total -- the total credit 21 A The buyer's money. 21 22 22 0 The money that they paid for the stock. balances of all clients would be at the prime broker. 23 A It was credited to Tom's account. 23 Q Okay. So do you know whether the buyer --24 Q Right. But there wasn't cash delivered to WAM 24 allegedly under the April 2nd transaction whether that

	Page 106		Page 107
1	buyer paid with stock, did they pay with electronic	1	A Correct. Correct.
2	buyer transfers, did they pay with cash?	2	Q And we don't have any documentation of what
3	A On April 2nd they would have paid by having	3	happened outside of WAM that led WAM to do these ledger
4	their account debited that amount of funds.	4	transactions; correct?
5	Q Okay. Do you know when they deposited the	5	A Are you talking specifically for the trade or
6	securities or the cash in order to cause that debit to	6	for the funds transfers?
7	happen?	7	Q I'm talking about everything that happened that
8	A Well, I imagine it was it would have been	8	is supposed to have happened on April 2nd.
9	much prior to that. The buyer wasn't a client who	9	A Well, we do have a little bit of information on
10	walked in that day to do the transaction. It was an	10	the funds transfers, what was happening at the prime
11	existing client.	11	broker level.
12	Q And at some point WAM credits Mr. Skarpelos's	12	Q You provided funds that happened at the prime
13	account because the buyer's funds or stock or whatever	13	broker documents showing what happened at the prime
14	has paid for the stock that was supposed to be	14	broker level regarding the April 2nd transaction?
15	transferred?	15	A April 2nd, no, because that was the trade.
16	A Correct.	16	Q That's the document I'm talking about. That's
17	Q Okay. And you're saying that WAM received	17	the date I'm talking about. You're saying on April
18	money in order to credit Mr. Skarpelos's account	18	2nd something happened that caused WAM to credit
19	\$100,000?	19	Mr. Skarpelos's ledger and simultaneously debit the
20	A It would have had to have that balance on	20	ledger for the buyer.
20	accounts.	20	A Correct.
21 22		21	
	Q Okay. My point is all these transactions that		Q So my point is that all WAM has are ledgers and
23	took place happen outside of WAM; correct? The only	23	the activity that took place that caused WAM to adjust
24	thing that happened inside WAM was ledger transactions?	24	these ledgers happened somewhere else; correct?
	Page 108		Page 109
1	A At WAM.	1	bank well, let me start again. The prime bank
2	A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records?	1 2	bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts.
	A At WAM.		bank well, let me start again. The prime bank
2	A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records?	2	bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts.
2 3	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. 	2 3	bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then
2 3 4	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've 	2 3 4	bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000
2 3 4 5	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a 	2 3 4 5	bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for
2 3 4 5 6	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. 	2 3 4 5 6	bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. Q Accounts payable. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct. Q So is it your testimony that there really is no</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. Q Accounts payable. A Correct. So account statements are compiled, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct. Q So is it your testimony that there really is no interaction with the prime bank regarding this \$250,000</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. Q Accounts payable. A Correct. So account statements are compiled, produced based on transaction records. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct. Q So is it your testimony that there really is no interaction with the prime bank regarding this \$250,000 transfer?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. Q Accounts payable. A Correct. So account statements are compiled, produced based on transaction records. Q Okay. And you haven't produced any of those 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct. Q So is it your testimony that there really is no interaction with the prime bank regarding this \$250,000 transfer? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. Q Accounts payable. A Correct. So account statements are compiled, produced based on transaction records. Q Okay. And you haven't produced any of those transaction records that led to the statement; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct. Q So is it your testimony that there really is no interaction with the prime bank regarding this \$250,000 transfer? A Correct. Q Because no money is being exchanged from some</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. Q Accounts payable. A Correct. So account statements are compiled, produced based on transaction records. Q Okay. And you haven't produced any of those transaction records that led to the statement; correct? A Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct. Q So is it your testimony that there really is no interaction with the prime bank regarding this \$250,000 transfer? A Correct. Q Because no money is being exchanged from some outside source that affects WAM's internal ledgers?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. Q Accounts payable. A Correct. So account statements are compiled, produced based on transaction records. Q Okay. And you haven't produced any of those transaction records that led to the statement; correct? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for a moment. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct. Q So is it your testimony that there really is no interaction with the prime bank regarding this \$250,000 transfer? A Correct. Q Because no money is being exchanged from some outside source that affects WAM's internal ledgers? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. Q Accounts payable. A Correct. So account statements are compiled, produced based on transaction records. Q Okay. And you haven't produced any of those transaction records that led to the statement; correct? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for a moment. THE COURT: Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct. Q So is it your testimony that there really is no interaction with the prime bank regarding this \$250,000 transfer? A Correct. Q Because no money is being exchanged from some outside source that affects WAM's internal ledgers? A Correct. Q The prime bank doesn't think I don't want to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. Q Accounts payable. A Correct. So account statements are compiled, produced based on transaction records. Q Okay. And you haven't produced any of those transaction records that led to the statement; correct? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for a moment. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions at this time, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct. Q So is it your testimony that there really is no interaction with the prime bank regarding this \$250,000 transfer? A Correct. Q Because no money is being exchanged from some outside source that affects WAM's internal ledgers? A Correct. Q The prime bank doesn't think I don't want to say they don't think anything has happened, but they're</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. Q Accounts payable. A Correct. So account statements are compiled, produced based on transaction records. Q Okay. And you haven't produced any of those transaction records that led to the statement; correct? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for a moment. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions at this time, Your Honor. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct. Q So is it your testimony that there really is no interaction with the prime bank regarding this \$250,000 transfer? A Correct. Q Because no money is being exchanged from some outside source that affects WAM's internal ledgers? A Correct. Q The prime bank doesn't think I don't want to say they don't think anything has happened, but they're not notified that anything has happened</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. Q Accounts payable. A Correct. So account statements are compiled, produced based on transaction records. Q Okay. And you haven't produced any of those transaction records that led to the statement; correct? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for a moment. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions at this time, Your Honor. EXAMINATION 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct. Q So is it your testimony that there really is no interaction with the prime bank regarding this \$250,000 transfer? A Correct. Q Because no money is being exchanged from some outside source that affects WAM's internal ledgers? A Correct. Q The prime bank doesn't think I don't want to say they don't think anything has happened, but they're not notified that anything has happened A No.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. Q Accounts payable. A Correct. So account statements are compiled, produced based on transaction records. Q Okay. And you haven't produced any of those transaction records that led to the statement; correct? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for a moment. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions at this time, Your Honor. EXAMINATION EY THE COURT: Q Mr. Livadas, just so I understand your</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct. Q So is it your testimony that there really is no interaction with the prime bank regarding this \$250,000 transfer? A Correct. Q Because no money is being exchanged from some outside source that affects WAM's internal ledgers? A Correct. Q The prime bank doesn't think I don't want to say they don't think anything has happened, but they're not notified that anything has happened A No. Q because their WAM account is exactly the same value.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. Q Accounts payable. A Correct. So account statements are compiled, produced based on transaction records. Q Okay. And you haven't produced any of those transaction records that led to the statement; correct? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for a moment. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions at this time, Your Honor. EXAMINATION BY THE COURT: Q Mr. Livadas, just so I understand your testimony regarding the transactions themselves, your</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct. Q So is it your testimony that there really is no interaction with the prime bank regarding this \$250,000 transfer? A Correct. Q Because no money is being exchanged from some outside source that affects WAM's internal ledgers? A Correct. Q The prime bank doesn't think I don't want to say they don't think anything has happened, but they're not notified that anything has happened A No. Q because their WAM account is exactly the same value. A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. Q Accounts payable. A Correct. So account statements are compiled, produced based on transaction records. Q Okay. And you haven't produced any of those transaction records that led to the statement; correct? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for a moment. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions at this time, Your Honor. EXAMINATION BY THE COURT: Q Mr. Livadas, just so I understand your testimony regarding the transactions themselves, your prime bank has WAM's account; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct. Q So is it your testimony that there really is no interaction with the prime bank regarding this \$250,000 transfer? A Correct. Q Because no money is being exchanged from some outside source that affects WAM's internal ledgers? A Correct. Q The prime bank doesn't think I don't want to say they don't think anything has happened, but they're not notified that anything has happened A No. Q because their WAM account is exactly the same value. A Correct. Q You're just allocating it differently</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>A At WAM. Q So WAM does have records? A Of course it has records. Q I'm confused, Mr. Livadas. The only thing I've ever seen regarding this April 2nd transaction and a transfer of funds or a credit of funds is Exhibit 44. A Which is the accounts payable. Q Accounts payable. A Correct. So account statements are compiled, produced based on transaction records. Q Okay. And you haven't produced any of those transaction records that led to the statement; correct? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: The Court's indulgence for a moment. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions at this time, Your Honor. EXAMINATION BY THE COURT: Q Mr. Livadas, just so I understand your testimony regarding the transactions themselves, your</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>bank well, let me start again. The prime bank thinks that WAM has \$1 million in client accounts. Let's just use a small number, \$1 million. Then internally WAM breaks that \$1 million down into \$50,000 for client A and 450,000 for client B and 200,000 for client C. So you've got it internally broken down. A Correct. Q So is it your testimony that there really is no interaction with the prime bank regarding this \$250,000 transfer? A Correct. Q Because no money is being exchanged from some outside source that affects WAM's internal ledgers? A Correct. Q The prime bank doesn't think I don't want to say they don't think anything has happened, but they're not notified that anything has happened A No. Q because their WAM account is exactly the same value. A Correct.</pre>

	Page 110		Page 111
1	purchased stock for \$250,000 and one client has sold	1	them previously yesterday.
2	stock for \$250,000.	2	Q Which one held the account that would have
3	A Correct.	3	dealt with this transaction?
4	Q But the net effect in WAM's books is zero. I	4	A They wouldn't have dealt with this transaction,
5	can't say it's zero, because there's the \$420 servicing	5	because this transaction was a buyer and a seller at
6	fee. But the net effect is zero at the prime bank; is	6	WAM.
7	that correct?	7	Q Okay. Where was WAM's bank account that as the
8	A Correct.	8	Court pointed out would have had no change in balance
9	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Anderson, do you have	9	because of the net zero?
10	any questions about that? I just wanted to clarify	10	A That would have been CIBC or HSBC or its prime
11	that.	11	brokers which were Verdmont, Interactive Brokers and a
12	MR. ANDERSON: Very briefly, Your Honor.	12	couple others that I don't recall.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.	13	Q Okay. So for WAM at this time it was, as I
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed)	14	understand the order, client and then WAM and then
15	BY MR. ANDERSON:	15	prime broker and then prime bank?
16	Q What was the prime bank that would have been	16	A Correct.
17	involved in this April 2nd transaction?	17	Q Okay. And so you don't know if WAM's account,
18	A It wouldn't have been involved.	18	the actual bank account at the time, was at the prime
19	Q Where was WAM's prime bank account at that	19	broker or the prime bank?
20	time?	20	A Well, it has at that time it was at the
21	A With a prime broker. Well, it had multiple	21	prime broker, because it didn't have a strong prime
22	prime brokers.	22	banking relationship.
23	Q Who was the prime broker at that time?	23	Q So was the prime broker a bank?
24	A There was three that I recall. I named some of	24	A No, it's a prime broker.
	D		
1	Page 112 Q Okay. But there is an account somewhere that	1	Page 113 MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	has WAM's general account?	2	THE WITNESS: Maybe the marker.
3	A Multiple banks would have because we have	3	THE COURT: Well, no, I don't like using a marker
4	multiple prime brokers, so there's multiple prime banks	4	on a whiteboard, Mr. Livadas, because if we create an
5	that hold the assets ultimately.	5	exhibit, that is, if it's something that you identify
6	Q Okay. So in 2011 there was a WAM account that	6	and then becomes marked and admitted, there's no way to
7	had money in it?	7	mark and admit the whiteboard.
8	A Correct.	8	BY MR. NORK:
9	Q In 2011 to 2013 which banks had WAM's money at	9	Q Okay. So you just testified that WAM had its
10	that time?	10	accounts that had ledger entries for all of its
11	A It would have been mostly between HSBC and	11	customers; correct?
12	CIBC.	12	A Correct.
13	MR. ANDERSON: No further questions, Your Honor.	13	Q All right. So I'll start with WAM. And that's
14	THE COURT: Redirect examination based on the	14	customer accounts?
15	cross-examination, Mr. Nork.	15	A Correct.
16	MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor.	16	Q Then in 2011 because its banking it wasn't
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	17	strong enough to have a prime banking relationship, WAM
18	BY MR. NORK:	18	then had an account with a prime broker; correct?
19	Q I will start right where we left off. I think	19	A Prime brokers, yeah.
20	better with pictures, so, Mr. Livadas, if you would	20	Q And you named two; right? What were those two?
21	indulge me just to talk about the banking relationship.	21	A Verdmont and Interactive Brokers were the ones
22	THE COURT: I wouldn't rely on those little	21	we used the most.
23	stickers holding that sheet of paper up. I would go	22	Q And you also mentioned CIBC?
23	with the clips, Mr. Nork.	24	A Those firms so our prime brokers had
7.4			THOSE FILMS DO OUT PLINE DIOUCED HAG

	Page 114		Page 115
1	their prime banks were typically HSBC and CIBC.	1	THE COURT: Sure.
2	Q So Verdmont and Interactive, they are prime	2	MR. NORK: I'll get out of the way too, Dane.
3	brokers?	3	BY MR. NORK:
4	A Yeah.	4	Q For the transaction that took place in April of
5	Q And the name of the account holder for those	5	2013, the money moving around all took place at what
6	two is who?	6	level?
7	A WAM.	7	A At WAM.
8	Q Then these are prime broker-dealers; correct?	8	Q At the WAM level?
9	A Correct.	9	A Correct.
10	Q So they have relationships with what?	10	Q So as far as Verdmont as far as the WAM
11	A Prime banks.	11	account at Verdmont and the WAM account at Interactive,
12	Q Prime banks. And who was Verdmont's prime bank	12	would it reflect anything?
13	relationship with?	13	A No.
14	A HSBC.	14	0 And for the Verdmont account at HSBC and the
15	Q And who was Interactive's with?	15	Interactive account at CIBC, would it reflect anything?
16	A I believe it was CIBC.	16	A No.
17	Q And who was the account holder at HSBC?	17	Q Now, let me ask you another question. If a
18	A The prime broker.	18	customer of WAM wanted to wire funds out of his WAM
10 19	Q So that would be Verdmont?	19	account, what would the order be for those funds to
20	A Correct.	20	work its way finally to whatever bank is designated by
20	Q And who was the account holder at CIBC?	20	the WAM customer?
22	A Interactive Brokers.	22	A So we would give the order to the prime broker.
23		22	
23	MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, may I step over here so I can see?	24	
27		27	A Correct. They would give the order to credit
	Page 116		Page 117
1	the account that's on the receiving instruction, so	1	A Yes.
2	whoever the receiving person is.	2	Q Again, I think better in pictures, so you've
3	THE COURT: Let's just say it's a Wells Fargo	3	got a WAM seller and he has stock; correct?
4	account.	4	A Right.
5	THE WITNESS: Correct. So we would tell Verdmont	5	Q And then you've got a WAM buyer; correct?
6	to credit Wells Fargo's account. So then Verdmont	6	A Correct.
7	would then give that instruction to HSBC to credit the	7	Q And the buyer has money?
8	Wells Fargo account.	8	A Correct.
9	BY MR. NORK:	9	Q And as you testified today, by saying the WAM
10	Q Okay. So it would go through here. But if you	10	buyer has money, that means his account shows what?
11	were to look at a transaction, for example, between	11	A That it has a credit balance.
12	Verdmont and HSBC, would it reflect the WAM customer's	12	Q Okay. And that is at the WAM level that we
13	name?	13	just talked about?
14	A No.	14	A Correct.
15	Q Well, who would be the customer here?	15	Q Now, if a WAM buyer wants to buy stock from a
16	A Just Verdmont.	16	WAM seller, what is the first step of the transaction?
17	Q It would be Verdmont, because Verdmont is	17	A The first step is that each of them give the
18	asking HSBC to send the money; correct?	18	order to WAM.
19	A Correct.	19	Q Okay. WAM buyer gives an order, WAM seller
20	Q Now, another issue that keeps coming up is	20	gives an order; correct?
21	that came up during cross-examination has to do with	21	A Correct.
22	structuring stock sales among WAM customers. Do you	22	Q And then what happens?
23	remember that line of questioning both this morning and	23	A So then WAM debits the account of the buyer.
24	yesterday?	24	That actually happens at the WAM ledger level, because
1		1	

TRIAL - DAY 2 - 01/29/2019

Page 118 Page 119 transactions never happen across clients. So it has to Q For the buyer -- assuming it's restricted 1 1 debit WAM's ledger. So the WAM buyer's account is 2 2 stock, when can the buyer sell the stock that his 3 debited, WAM's account is credited, and then WAM then 3 account is credited with? credits that over to the WAM seller. A If he's selling it to the public, it would be 4 4 5 after the restriction lifts which is six to twelve Q Okay. So just continuing through, the money 5 comes from the buyer to WAM and then gets credited to 6 6 months later. 7 7 the seller? Q Okay. The buyer must wait six plus months. 8 A Correct. Okay. During that waiting period typically what does 8 9 0 The WAM's seller's account is credited with 9 WAM do to facilitate any future use of that stock assuming that the sale was of a stock certificate? 10 money; correct? 10 11 А Correct. 11 A Well, we collect the POA, we get the POA, which 12 And what is the WAM buyer's account credited 12 then we wait until the restriction lifts to then submit 0 13 with? the POA to the transfer agent. 13 14 А The shares. 14 Q For the purpose of doing what? 15 15 A To make it electronic, into an electronic form 0 Okay. And you have testified both on cross-examination and direct that for an infinitesimal 16 16 so it can come up on the electronic ledger of WAM. 17 moment WAM owns the stock? 17 Okay. And that's the dematerialization term? 0 18 18 A Correct. А Correct. 19 19 Q Because it goes through WAM? Q And you testified WAM dematerializes it by 20 20 taking the stock certificate with the POA and sending Α Correct. 21 it to the transfer agent. Now, there was some 0 When this transaction takes place how soon 21 discussion this morning about -- let's assume there's 22 afterwards can the seller use the money to which his 22 no transaction, we've just got a WAM seller who owns 23 account was credited? 23 24 24 stock and the stock -- and WAM dematerializes it. What A Immediately. Page 120 Page 121 would happen to the account of the WAM seller's stock if the seller in Mr. Nork's example sold the entirety 1 1 2 after dematerialization? 2 of the stock certificate? So in our specific example 3 A Nothing. here, let's say that instead of selling \$250,000 worth 3 4 It would still show as stock? 4 or half of the shares in April Mr. Skarpelos allegedly 0 5 A Correct, yeah, if it's a paper certificate. 5 sold the whole thing, the entirety of the certificate. 6 Let's say you have a million shares, but it's a 6 Right. А 7 certificate, it shows a million shares in the account. 7 Would you still need to dematerialize it and 0 8 0 So before and after dematerialization his 8 make it a digital record or would you be able to simply 9 account would still reflect a million shares? 9 transfer it physically, now the certificate goes from 10 A Correct. 10 WAM's seller to WAM buyer's file or account or 11 something like that? Put another way, do you have to Q All it is is it puts it in a form that can be 11 12 more easily transferred if someone should so desire? 12 dematerialize it if you're selling the entirety of what 13 A Correct. 13 is represented in the physical certificate? 14 0 And then -- so we've got the waiting for six 14 A You have to dematerialize if you're going to be 15 months, the dematerialization of the stock. And then 15 selling to the public market, because you can't 16 afterwards can the buyer sell the stock? 16 transact with the public market. I think it's next to 17 A I'm sorry. What was the question? 17 impossible to transact with the public market if it's 18 Afterwards can the WAM buyer then sell the 18 not in electronic form. 0 19 stock? 19 Q But I'm saying in the example that we've got 20 A After it's dematerialized, yes. 20 going right here, let's assume instead of selling half 21 EXAMINATION 21 of the stock certificate internally in April you sold 22 22 BY THE COURT: the whole thing. 23 Q While you're writing that down, Mr. Livadas, 23 A Okay. would you have to dematerialize the stock certificate 24 24 Q And I guess I'm assuming also you're selling it

	Page 122		Page 123
1	to one person, you're not breaking it up to different	1	Q Okay.
2	or multiple clients.	2	MR. NORK: Well, my name wouldn't be on that stock
3	A Actually many times it is multiple clients.	3	certificate; correct?
4	Q So you might have to even under those	4	THE WITNESS: Correct.
5	circumstances, Mr. Livadas, you would have to	5	MR. NORK: It would be Tom's name?
6	dematerialize it, because even if Mr. Skarpelos has	6	THE WITNESS: Correct.
7	divested himself in the entirety of the shares, they	7	BY THE COURT:
8	need to be sprinkled about to the people who have	8	Q And you can't endorse it. This just
9	purchased them, so you still would have to	9	demonstrates that I'm not heavily invested in the stock
10	dematerialize them?	10	market. But you can't just endorse the back of it, you
11	A Correct.	11	know, Mr. Skarpelos couldn't just endorse it to
12	Q With my analysis, if you sold it to one person,	12	Mr. Nork and now it's Mr. Nork's?
13	if Mr. Skarpelos sold all of his shares to Mr. Nork,	13	A That is the POA. So we could put a new
14	then theoretically you wouldn't need to dematerialize	14	certificate in Mr. Nork's name. I don't know why we
15	it because Mr. Nork then would just be in possession of	15	would do that, but we could put a new certificate
16	the certificate?	16	Q Theoretically.
17	A No, because he's not in possession of the	17	A in Mr. Nork's name, yes.
18	certificate.	18	Q But, regardless, the dematerialization process
19	Q Gotcha. Okay.	19	is almost always, if not always, necessary even with
20	A It's in the vault of the bank let's call it.	20	the internal WAM transaction; is that a fair statement?
21	So the bank still has to turn it into electronic form.	21	A Correct, if they ever want to do anything with
22	Q Even under that circumstance you couldn't just	22	it.
23	give it to Mr. Nork?	23	MR. NORK: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	A No, no.	24	/////
1	Page 124 THE COURT: And I apologize, Mr. Nork, again for	1	Page 125 his orders or it has to go short in the marketplace.
2	jumping in on you.	2	Q I just wrote down WAM find other stock, but
3	MR. NORK: No, I think that was very helpful.	3	that can be in the form of either acquiring other stock
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)	4	in the public market or, as you said, taking short
5	BY MR. NORK:	5	positions; correct?
6		6	A Correct.
7		7	
8	dematerializing the stock?	8	Q And so that then happens instead of dematerializing; correct?
	A No.	-	•
9	Q He doesn't know; he doesn't care?	9	A Correct.
10	A No.	10	Q And as a result can the buyer still sell the
	Q Because instantaneously his account was	11	stock?
12	credited with the stock; correct?	12	A Yes.
13	A Correct.	13	Q Now, if that happens is the stock the buyer
14	Q And so after waiting six months can the	14	is selling in the case here, is that stock from
15	buyer the buyer can sell the stock?	15	Certificate 753?
16	A Right.	16	A Sorry. Say that again.
17	Q Now, let's say you can't dematerialize, that	17	Q So the subject matter of this lawsuit is the
18	doesn't that can't happen for some reason or	18	shares of stock represented in Certificate 753. In the
19	another. The buyer can still sell the stock; correct?	19	case where WAM has to find other stock because it can't
20	A The firm has to make sure he can still sell the	20	dematerialize, and the buyer sells the stock, is the
21	stock.	21	stock that buyer is selling the stock from Certificate
22	Q Okay. So what does the firm have to do to make	22	753?
23	sure that the buyer can still sell the stock?	23	A No.
24	A So the firm has to find other stock to fulfill	24	Q Okay. It's replacement stock that WAM had to

Page 126 Page 127 go out and get? so that it's -- WAM honored the account statements that 1 1 2 A Correct. 2 reflected them having a stock balance? 3 Q Because, again, as soon as the transaction took 3 A Correct. 4 place as far as the WAM buyer was concerned, his Q But right now WAM's account with its prime 4 5 account was credited with other stock? broker is a little imbalanced because it had to find 5 other stock? 6 А Correct. 6 7 Q All right. Final step of the process. Let's 7 A Correct. Yeah, it's short somewhere in that 8 say WAM at the conclusion of this matter obtains the chain. 8 3.3 million shares as contained in Certificate 753. 9 9 Q Okay. And if WAM is awarded the 3. million What would WAM do with that stock? 10 shares, it would deposit it with its prime broker to 10 11 A Then it would deposit it -- it would settle 11 settle up WAM's account? 12 it -- we call settle it to its prime broker accounts. 12 A Correct. 13 Q With either Verdmont or Interactive? 13 Q Okay. I'm going to start with some of the A They've changed now, but it would settle it questions you were asked this morning and then I'll 14 14 15 there to get its accounts in balance. focus a little bit on the ones you were asked 15 16 Q Used to settle its account. 16 yesterday. To begin, do you have your deposition 17 A Correct. We call it settling to the account transcript? 17 A Yes. 18 which means it gets credited to its account at the 18 19 19 prime broker. Turn, please, to page 228. 0 20 Q WAM's account? 20 Now, a couple times you were read a portion, but 21 А Yes. 21 only a portion, of your testimony on page 228. And 22 Q Okay. Up to the point of at least here on the 22 that portion is the part that starts on line 6 that 23 board, this is what has happened; correct? WAM has 23 says, "I'll just ask you this question. Exhibit 25, found other stock for those buyers who wanted to sell 24 24 the purchase and sale agreement that we looked at Page 129 Page 128 earlier, that document was intended to, I guess, 1 1 A Yes, correct. 2 document the arrangement that you had with 2 Q Mr. Skarpelos asked you to sell, you found 3 Mr. Skarpelos that resulted in the April 2nd buyers, on April 2nd, 2013, the transaction took place; 3 4 transaction?" 4 correct? 5 "ANSWER: Yes, correct." 5 A Correct. 6 And you remember being read that section earlier 6 Q And under inquiry from the Court actually, it 7 today? was pointed out -- well, let me ask you this. Did you 7 8 8 need the purchase and sale agreement to accomplish that A Yes. 9 9 sale in April of 2013? Q But you continued to testify in your deposition 10 on that same page; correct? 10 A No. 11 A Yes. 11 Q But you had that document once the Chinese sale 12 0 And, in fact, you were asked the question at 12 fell through; correct? 13 13 line 16: "Okay. Was there an agreement other than A Correct. 14 that agreement with Mr. Skarpelos of a verbal nature 14 0 And what did you use that document for once the 15 relating to that specific stock?" 15 Chinese sale fell through? 16 "ANSWER: We had the order to sell the stock. So 16 A Used it to just fill in our file for ALM 17 the order to sell the stock, we don't consider that an 17 reasons. 18 agreement. It's an order." 18 Q Okay. So if we look at Exhibit 30, which is 19 "QUESTION: Okay. Basically, and then you executed 19 the purchase and sale agreement, is this a document you 20 that order on April 2nd? 20 needed to do the April 2013 stock sale? 21 "ANSWER: Correct." 21 Α No. 22 Now, is that consistent with how you understand --22 0 You just had it -- you had it available once understood the sale of the 3. million shares took 23 23 the Chinese sale fell through; correct? A Correct. 24 24 place?

	Der. 130		D 101
1	Page 130 Q And as you testified both yesterday, this	1	Page 131 here thinking, well, he is "he," Mr. Livadas, is
2	morning and in your deposition, your purpose of	2	saying that I put this in the file to memorialize
3	completing and throwing it in your file was for ALM	3	something that it wasn't Mr. Skarpelos's intention to
4	purposes; correct?	4	memorialize. I don't know why you would take a
5	A Correct.	5	document that's notarized for one reason and put it in
6	Q In fact and you have historically taken that	6	a different file for another reason, for AML purposes
7	position; correct?	7	or for any other purposes.
8	A Yes.	8	It's like this isn't what this contract means. I
9	Q Would you turn, please, to your deposition at	9	just signed it anyway and threw it in a different file.
10	page 165.	10	It's not a I'm not making a finding of fact. It's
11	Do you have it?	11	not a contract at all.
12	A Yes.	12	MR. NORK: It's not anything, Your Honor.
13	Q At line 13 you testify: "The stock power needs	13	THE COURT: It's just a thing that I signed. I
14	to be notarized. The purchase and sale agreement is	14	mean, it could say you know, he could put Ronald
15	not overly relative, but it's part of general AML	15	Reagan's name on it.
16	process to have on record, but the stock power has to	16	MR. NORK: That's right.
17	be notarized." Correct?	17	THE COURT: It doesn't mean anything.
18	A Correct.	18	MR. NORK: It doesn't mean anything, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Nork, if I can ask you a question.	19	And that's the whole point, that Mr. Livadas has
20	Maybe you can clarify this.	20	testified that he believes it helped cover his files
21	MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor.	21	for AML purposes, but the point of Mr. Livadas's
22	THE COURT: What is the value of a document for AML	22	testimony is that it had nothing to do with that April
23	purposes that completely misrepresents what it is?	23	sale.
24	That's what again, as the finder of fact I'm sitting	24	THE WITNESS: I can add to this if you're
-	Page 132		Page 133
1	Page 132 interested in AML situations.	1	Page 133 understand how it would give them that degree of
1 2	interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure.	1 2	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of
	interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the		understand how it would give them that degree of
2 3 4	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's</pre>	2 3 4	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't
2 3 4 5	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive,</pre>	2 3 4 5	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?"</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why they came from.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't know why I put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why they came from. So if we have any documentation that explains how</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't know why I put THE COURT: You just picked some random day prior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why they came from. So if we have any documentation that explains how the certificate was created, why it was dematerialized</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't know why I put THE COURT: You just picked some random day prior to the day that you received it. So I guess I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why they came from. So if we have any documentation that explains how the certificate was created, why it was dematerialized and anything else, even though it's not totally</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't know why I put THE COURT: You just picked some random day prior to the day that you received it. So I guess I'm not trying to be argumentative, Mr. Nork. I just don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why they came from. So if we have any documentation that explains how the certificate was created, why it was dematerialized and anything else, even though it's not totally relevant, but it gives some context to what had</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't know why I put THE COURT: You just picked some random day prior to the day that you received it. So I guess I'm not trying to be argumentative, Mr. Nork. I just don't understand at all the purpose of what this even means.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why they came from. So if we have any documentation that explains how the certificate was created, why it was dematerialized and anything else, even though it's not totally relevant, but it gives some context to what had happened in the past, their compliance is happy because</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't know why I put THE COURT: You just picked some random day prior to the day that you received it. So I guess I'm not trying to be argumentative, Mr. Nork. I just don't understand at all the purpose of what this even means. And you're telling me now and Mr. Livadas is confirming
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why they came from. So if we have any documentation that explains how the certificate was created, why it was dematerialized and anything else, even though it's not totally relevant, but it gives some context to what had happened in the past, their compliance is happy because they see something that can give context to who the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't know why I put THE COURT: You just picked some random day prior to the day that you received it. So I guess I'm not trying to be argumentative, Mr. Nork. I just don't understand at all the purpose of what this even means. And you're telling me now and Mr. Livadas is confirming it really doesn't mean anything. It certainly doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why they came from. So if we have any documentation that explains how the certificate was created, why it was dematerialized and anything else, even though it's not totally relevant, but it gives some context to what had happened in the past, their compliance is happy because they see something that can give context to who the previous owners were, who the current owners might be,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't know why I put THE COURT: You just picked some random day prior to the day that you received it. So I guess I'm not trying to be argumentative, Mr. Nork. I just don't understand at all the purpose of what this even means. And you're telling me now and Mr. Livadas is confirming it really doesn't mean anything. It certainly doesn't mean what it purports to mean.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why they came from. So if we have any documentation that explains how the certificate was created, why it was dematerialized and anything else, even though it's not totally relevant, but it gives some context to what had happened in the past, their compliance is happy because they see something that can give context to who the previous owners were, who the current owners might be, which we don't usually give them that, just to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't know why I put THE COURT: You just picked some random day prior to the day that you received it. So I guess I'm not trying to be argumentative, Mr. Nork. I just don't understand at all the purpose of what this even means. And you're telling me now and Mr. Livadas is confirming it really doesn't mean anything. It certainly doesn't mean what it purports to mean. Any person who has not been privy to this trial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why they came from. So if we have any documentation that explains how the certificate was created, why it was dematerialized and anything else, even though it's not totally relevant, but it gives some context to what had happened in the past, their compliance is happy because they see something that can give context to who the previous owners were, who the current owners might be, which we don't usually give them that, just to generally verify that it didn't come from Russia or</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't know why I put THE COURT: You just picked some random day prior to the day that you received it. So I guess I'm not trying to be argumentative, Mr. Nork. I just don't understand at all the purpose of what this even means. And you're telling me now and Mr. Livadas is confirming it really doesn't mean anything. It certainly doesn't mean what it purports to mean. Any person who has not been privy to this trial would look at this and think it means something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why they came from. So if we have any documentation that explains how the certificate was created, why it was dematerialized and anything else, even though it's not totally relevant, but it gives some context to what had happened in the past, their compliance is happy because they see something that can give context to who the previous owners were, who the current owners might be, which we don't usually give them that, just to generally verify that it didn't come from Russia or Iran or anybody that they don't aren't happy with.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't know why I put THE COURT: You just picked some random day prior to the day that you received it. So I guess I'm not trying to be argumentative, Mr. Nork. I just don't understand at all the purpose of what this even means. And you're telling me now and Mr. Livadas is confirming it really doesn't mean anything. It certainly doesn't mean what it purports to mean. Any person who has not been privy to this trial would look at this and think it means something entirely different than what it means. And as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why they came from. So if we have any documentation that explains how the certificate was created, why it was dematerialized and anything else, even though it's not totally relevant, but it gives some context to what had happened in the past, their compliance is happy because they see something that can give context to who the previous owners were, who the current owners might be, which we don't usually give them that, just to generally verify that it didn't come from Russia or Iran or anybody that they don't aren't happy with. So we'll generally throw anything into a file that</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't know why I put THE COURT: You just picked some random day prior to the day that you received it. So I guess I'm not trying to be argumentative, Mr. Nork. I just don't understand at all the purpose of what this even means. And you're telling me now and Mr. Livadas is confirming it really doesn't mean anything. It certainly doesn't mean what it purports to mean. Any person who has not been privy to this trial would look at this and think it means something entirely different than what it means. And as Mr. Anderson points out, though it's not dispositive of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why they came from. So if we have any documentation that explains how the certificate was created, why it was dematerialized and anything else, even though it's not totally relevant, but it gives some context to what had happened in the past, their compliance is happy because they see something that can give context to who the previous owners were, who the current owners might be, which we don't usually give them that, just to generally verify that it didn't come from Russia or Iran or anybody that they don't aren't happy with. So we'll generally throw anything into a file that might relate to the original stock and the original</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't know why I put THE COURT: You just picked some random day prior to the day that you received it. So I guess I'm not trying to be argumentative, Mr. Nork. I just don't understand at all the purpose of what this even means. And you're telling me now and Mr. Livadas is confirming it really doesn't mean anything. It certainly doesn't mean what it purports to mean. Any person who has not been privy to this trial would look at this and think it means something entirely different than what it means. And as Mr. Anderson points out, though it's not dispositive of the issues of the case, one of the issues on the motion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why they came from. So if we have any documentation that explains how the certificate was created, why it was dematerialized and anything else, even though it's not totally relevant, but it gives some context to what had happened in the past, their compliance is happy because they see something that can give context to who the previous owners were, who the current owners might be, which we don't usually give them that, just to generally verify that it didn't come from Russia or Iran or anybody that they don't aren't happy with. So we'll generally throw anything into a file that might relate to the original stock and the original owners and how it was created, because it makes the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't know why I put THE COURT: You just picked some random day prior to the day that you received it. So I guess I'm not trying to be argumentative, Mr. Nork. I just don't understand at all the purpose of what this even means. And you're telling me now and Mr. Livadas is confirming it really doesn't mean anything. It certainly doesn't mean what it purports to mean. Any person who has not been privy to this trial would look at this and think it means something entirely different than what it means. And as Mr. Anderson points out, though it's not dispositive of the issues of the case, one of the issues on the motion for summary judgment was an allegation that this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>interested in AML situations. MR. NORK: Sure. THE WITNESS: So when it comes time to turn to the public market, let's say we give the order and let's say these are our prime brokers, let's say Interactive, Interactive will say, "You didn't buy these shares through the public market. Where did they come from?" They kind of want to know where they came from and why they came from. So if we have any documentation that explains how the certificate was created, why it was dematerialized and anything else, even though it's not totally relevant, but it gives some context to what had happened in the past, their compliance is happy because they see something that can give context to who the previous owners were, who the current owners might be, which we don't usually give them that, just to generally verify that it didn't come from Russia or Iran or anybody that they don't aren't happy with. So we'll generally throw anything into a file that might relate to the original stock and the original</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	understand how it would give them that degree of comfort, Mr. Livadas, because it's a false sense of comfort because it's not what it purports to be. You even would have to note you postdated it. You didn't receive the notarized document based on Exhibit 33 until July 9th of 2013. And for some reason you went back and dated it July 5th of 2013. THE WITNESS: Correct. I don't even know. I don't know why I put THE COURT: You just picked some random day prior to the day that you received it. So I guess I'm not trying to be argumentative, Mr. Nork. I just don't understand at all the purpose of what this even means. And you're telling me now and Mr. Livadas is confirming it really doesn't mean anything. It certainly doesn't mean what it purports to mean. Any person who has not been privy to this trial would look at this and think it means something entirely different than what it means. And as Mr. Anderson points out, though it's not dispositive of the issues of the case, one of the issues on the motion

-	Page 134		Page 135
1	I guess that's that's what I'm saying.	1	A We dematerialize all 6.6 million so it can all
2	Mr. Anderson, you stood to object or	2	be electronically credited to WAM's account at the
3	MR. ANDERSON: I just wanted to, I guess, reiterate	3	prime broker.
4	my objection this morning. The Court said it was	4	Q Can you only dematerialize part of Certificate
5	preserved, but since we're going into this line of	5	753?
6	questioning I just want to note it again.	6	A It is possible to do parts, yeah. We don't
7	THE COURT: Thank you.	7	normally do it, but it is possible to do parts.
8	Go ahead, Mr. Nork. I'll let you continue.	8	Generally everybody likes to have everything
9	MR. NORK: Thank you.	9	electronic. It makes operations all easier.
10	BY MR. NORK:	10	Q Okay. And in the process of dematerializing
11	Q Well, Exhibit 30, Mr. Livadas, it reflects a	11	the 6.6, again, in light of the April sale of 3.3,
12	sale of 3.3 million shares; correct?	12	where would the remaining 3.3 million shares go?
13	A Correct.	13	A Well, Skarpelos's account is already credited
14	Q For \$250,000; correct?	14	that 3.3, so they don't go anywhere. It just
15	A Correct.	15	changes it just changes the ledger balance in our
16	Q Sold by Mr. Skarpelos; correct?	16	physical vaults to our ledger balance our
17	A Correct.	17	electronic.
18	Q And all of those three things happened in April	18	Q That wasn't a very good question by me. Prior
19	2013?	19	to April 2013 Mr. Skarpelos's accounts reflect a
20	A Correct.	20	balance of 6.6 million shares?
21	Q Now, you were asked some questions about why	21	A Correct.
22	were you dematerializing 6.6 million shares versus	22	Q It's dematerialized and 3.3 million shares go
23	3.3 million shares. Can you please explain the answer	23	to the new buyer. What does Mr. Skarpelos's account
24	to that?	24	reflect afterwards regarding what's left?
	Decc. 126		Degree 127
1	Page 136	1	Page 137
1	A What's left, the 3.3.	1	Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning?
2	A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic;	2	Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes.
2 3	A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct?	2 3	Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed?
2 3 4	A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct.	2 3 4	Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm.
2 3 4 5	A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis	2 3 4 5	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM.</pre>
2 3 4 5	A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis	2 3 4 5	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized? THE WITNESS: Correct. But they still show in the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate authorization?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized? THE WITNESS: Correct. But they still show in the accounts.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate authorization? A No, no.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized? THE WITNESS: Correct. But they still show in the accounts. THE COURT: Gotcha.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate authorization? A No, no. Q And then with respect to the authorization to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized? THE WITNESS: Correct. But they still show in the accounts. THE COURT: Gotcha. BY MR. NORK:</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate authorization? A No, no. Q And then with respect to the authorization to withdraw money out, you were again read a portion of</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized? THE WITNESS: Correct. But they still show in the accounts. THE COURT: Gotcha. BY MR. NORK: Q And in fact if we look at the account</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate authorization? A No, no. Q And then with respect to the authorization to withdraw money out, you were again read a portion of your deposition at page 99. Please turn to that.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized? THE WITNESS: Correct. But they still show in the accounts. THE COURT: Gotcha. BY MR. NORK: Q And in fact if we look at the account statement, Exhibit 44, what does it show as balance of</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate authorization? A No, no. Q And then with respect to the authorization to withdraw money out, you were again read a portion of your deposition at page 99. Please turn to that. Do you have it?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized? THE WITNESS: Correct. But they still show in the accounts. THE COURT: Gotcha. BY MR. NORK: Q And in fact if we look at the account statement, Exhibit 44, what does it show as balance of Mr. Skarpelos's stock on the first bottom of the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate authorization? A No, no. Q And then with respect to the authorization to withdraw money out, you were again read a portion of your deposition at page 99. Please turn to that. Do you have it? A Yes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized? THE WITNESS: Correct. But they still show in the accounts. THE COURT: Gotcha. BY MR. NORK: Q And in fact if we look at the account statement, Exhibit 44, what does it show as balance of Mr. Skarpelos's stock on the first bottom of the first page? A It shows two balances. One is the 3.3 million</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate authorization? A No, no. Q And then with respect to the authorization to withdraw money out, you were again read a portion of your deposition at page 99. Please turn to that. Do you have it? A Yes. Q Okay. And the portion you were read started at line 8 which said, "QUESTION: Okay. And, for</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized? THE WITNESS: Correct. But they still show in the accounts. THE COURT: Gotcha. BY MR. NORK: Q And in fact if we look at the account statement, Exhibit 44, what does it show as balance of Mr. Skarpelos's stock on the first bottom of the first page? A It shows two balances. One is the 3.3 million which is the balance of the 6.6. And there was another</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate authorization? A No, no. Q And then with respect to the authorization to withdraw money out, you were again read a portion of your deposition at page 99. Please turn to that. Do you have it? A Yes. Q Okay. And the portion you were read started at line 8 which said, "QUESTION: Okay. And, for instance, if a client was going to direct that money</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized? THE WITNESS: Correct. But they still show in the accounts. THE COURT: Gotcha. BY MR. NORK: Q And in fact if we look at the account statement, Exhibit 44, what does it show as balance of Mr. Skarpelos's stock on the first bottom of the first page? A It shows two balances. One is the 3.3 million which is the balance of the 6.6. And there was another certificate as well which was 92,000.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate authorization? A No, no. Q And then with respect to the authorization to withdraw money out, you were again read a portion of your deposition at page 99. Please turn to that. Do you have it? A Yes. Q Okay. And the portion you were read started at line 8 which said, "QUESTION: Okay. And, for instance, if a client was going to direct that money that money can be distributed from his or her account</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized? THE WITNESS: Correct. But they still show in the accounts. THE COURT: Gotcha. BY MR. NORK: Q And in fact if we look at the account statement, Exhibit 44, what does it show as balance of Mr. Skarpelos's stock on the first bottom of the first page? A It shows two balances. One is the 3.3 million which is the balance of the 6.6. And there was another certificate as well which was 92,000. Q 92,000 is the Certificate 660. This shows that</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate authorization? A No, no. Q And then with respect to the authorization to withdraw money out, you were again read a portion of your deposition at page 99. Please turn to that. Do you have it? A Yes. Q Okay. And the portion you were read started at line 8 which said, "QUESTION: Okay. And, for instance, if a client was going to direct that money that money can be distributed from his or her account to someone other than the client, you would want that</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized? THE WITNESS: Correct. But they still show in the accounts. THE COURT: Gotcha. BY MR. NORK: Q And in fact if we look at the account statement, Exhibit 44, what does it show as balance of Mr. Skarpelos's stock on the first bottom of the first page? A It shows two balances. One is the 3.3 million which is the balance of the 6.6. And there was another certificate as well which was 92,000. Q 92,000 is the Certificate 660. This shows that Mr. Skarpelos still has a balance of 3.3 million</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate authorization? A No, no. Q And then with respect to the authorization to withdraw money out, you were again read a portion of your deposition at page 99. Please turn to that. Do you have it? A Yes. Q Okay. And the portion you were read started at line 8 which said, "QUESTION: Okay. And, for instance, if a client was going to direct that money that money can be distributed from his or her account to someone other than the client, you would want that request in writing; correct?"</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized? THE WITNESS: Correct. But they still show in the accounts. THE COURT: Gotcha. BY MR. NORK: Q And in fact if we look at the account statement, Exhibit 44, what does it show as balance of Mr. Skarpelos's stock on the first bottom of the first page? A It shows two balances. One is the 3.3 million which is the balance of the 6.6. And there was another certificate as well which was 92,000. Q 92,000 is the Certificate 660. This shows that Mr. Skarpelos still has a balance of 3.3 million shares correct? after the other 3.3 went out?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate authorization? A No, no. Q And then with respect to the authorization to withdraw money out, you were again read a portion of your deposition at page 99. Please turn to that. Do you have it? A Yes. Q Okay. And the portion you were read started at line 8 which said, "QUESTION: Okay. And, for instance, if a client was going to direct that money that money can be distributed from his or her account to someone other than the client, you would want that request in writing; correct?" "ANSWER: Correct."</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized? THE WITNESS: Correct. But they still show in the accounts. THE COURT: Gotcha. BY MR. NORK: Q And in fact if we look at the account statement, Exhibit 44, what does it show as balance of Mr. Skarpelos's stock on the first bottom of the first page? A It shows two balances. One is the 3.3 million which is the balance of the 6.6. And there was another certificate as well which was 92,000. Q 92,000 is the Certificate 660. This shows that Mr. Skarpelos still has a balance of 3.3 million shares correct? after the other 3.3 went out? A Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate authorization? A No, no. Q And then with respect to the authorization to withdraw money out, you were again read a portion of your deposition at page 99. Please turn to that. Do you have it? A Yes. Q Okay. And the portion you were read started at line 8 which said, "QUESTION: Okay. And, for instance, if a client was going to direct that money that money can be distributed from his or her account to someone other than the client, you would want that request in writing; correct?" But then later on that same page the question is </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>A What's left, the 3.3. THE COURT: And now they would be electronic; correct? THE WITNESS: Correct. THE COURT: Because it under Mr. Nork's analysis would have been dematerialized even though in this case it has not been dematerialized? THE WITNESS: Correct. But they still show in the accounts. THE COURT: Gotcha. BY MR. NORK: Q And in fact if we look at the account statement, Exhibit 44, what does it show as balance of Mr. Skarpelos's stock on the first bottom of the first page? A It shows two balances. One is the 3.3 million which is the balance of the 6.6. And there was another certificate as well which was 92,000. Q 92,000 is the Certificate 660. This shows that Mr. Skarpelos still has a balance of 3.3 million shares correct? after the other 3.3 went out?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Tom's WAM account. Do you recall that questioning? A Yes. Q Why are you not listed? A Because I'm a representative of the firm. Q Of what firm? A Of WAM. Q Okay. And did you need a separate authorization? A No, no. Q And then with respect to the authorization to withdraw money out, you were again read a portion of your deposition at page 99. Please turn to that. Do you have it? A Yes. Q Okay. And the portion you were read started at line 8 which said, "QUESTION: Okay. And, for instance, if a client was going to direct that money that money can be distributed from his or her account to someone other than the client, you would want that request in writing; correct?" "ANSWER: Correct."</pre>

	Page 138		Page 139
1	is authorized to make distributions to Lambros or	1	Q Is that an example of a template?
2	Nikolaos?"	2	A This is an example of an instruction from the
3	"ANSWER: Tom would typically call me verbally to	3	request, but this becomes a template after in the
4	tell me that he wants money sent, needs money, needs	4	system. So if they call and request a second time in
5	money."	5	the future, they can just call and say, you know,
6	The next page. "And the account template provided	6	please send money to Nikolaos. So on a call, the
7	in many cases was his uncle's. So if he provided me a	7	receiver, typically the person in the office, will see
8	verbal and there's two parts. If a client provided	8	we have a template for Nikolaos, we've sent money here
9	an account direction, it would be with a template onto	9	before, and we'll direct it.
10	the account so the accounts have a template tied to	10	Q So the first time the information that needs to
11	them."	11	be provided are things like the bank name, the account,
12	Do you see that?	12	the beneficiary, the IBAN number?
13	A Yes.	13	A Correct.
14	Q What do you mean by a template?	14	Q What's an IBAN number?
15	A So if a transfer if there's a transfer	15	A It's a European SWIFT or a European ACA number.
16	request and the client says send money to my sister's	16	Q Is that for purposes of wire transfers?
17	Wells Fargo account, in the future they will just call	17	A Correct. It's the receiving bank's information
18	and say send money to my sister's Wells Fargo account	18	with the account at that bank.
19	without sending the instructions because it's already	19	Q And then the BIC/SWIFT number at the bottom,
20	in the template. That account is already on file, so	20	what's that for?
21	we won't ask for those account details every time.	21	A That's the bank's account number which is
22	Q Okay. Turn, please, to Exhibit 59, bottom of	22	typically the bank's account number at the central
23	the last page.	23	bank.
24	A Yes.	24	Q Okay. So that kind of information is provided
	Page 140	1	Page 141
1	then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later	1	A Yes.
2	then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send	2	A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it
2 3	then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then,	2 3	A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in
2 3 4	then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha	2 3 4	A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase."
2 3 4 5	then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided?	2 3 4 5	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6	then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided? A</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided? A</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct? A Correct. Q So the statement in the box that says, "I do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct? A Correct. Q So the statement in the box that says, "I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account,"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided? A</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct? A Correct. Q So the statement in the box that says, "I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account," under this distinction between a cash account and a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided? A</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct? A Correct. Q So the statement in the box that says, "I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account," under this distinction between a cash account and a margin account, what are the funds being borrowed for?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct? A Correct. Q So the statement in the box that says, "I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account," under this distinction between a cash account and a margin account, what are the funds being borrowed for? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided? A Correct. Q And so it's your testimony that once the template is made future requests can just be made verbally and in shorthand? A Correct. Q Turn, please, to Exhibit 2. And the page designation is 359. Lower right-hand corner, please. And this is where you were asked questions about cash versus margin account; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct? A Correct. Q So the statement in the box that says, "I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account," under this distinction between a cash account and a margin account, what are the funds being borrowed for? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to him interpreting this particular document because he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided? A</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct? A Correct. Q So the statement in the box that says, "I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account," under this distinction between a cash account and a margin account, what are the funds being borrowed for? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to him interpreting this particular document because he wasn't involved in its preparation as he testified to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided? A Correct. Q And so it's your testimony that once the template is made future requests can just be made verbally and in shorthand? A Correct. Q Turn, please, to Exhibit 2. And the page designation is 359. Lower right-hand corner, please. And this is where you were asked questions about cash versus margin account; correct? A Correct. Q And again you were asked about a portion of this page, not the entire page. The portion you were</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct? A Correct. Q So the statement in the box that says, "I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account," under this distinction between a cash account and a margin account, what are the funds being borrowed for? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to him interpreting this particular document because he wasn't involved in its preparation as he testified to. MR. NORK: I was just asking his general
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct? A Correct. Q So the statement in the box that says, "I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account," under this distinction between a cash account and a margin account, what are the funds being borrowed for? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to him interpreting this particular document because he wasn't involved in its preparation as he testified to. MR. NORK: I was just asking his general understanding of the difference between a cash account
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided? A Correct. Q And so it's your testimony that once the template is made future requests can just be made verbally and in shorthand? A Correct. Q Turn, please, to Exhibit 2. And the page designation is 359. Lower right-hand corner, please. And this is where you were asked questions about cash versus margin account; correct? A Correct. Q And again you were asked about a portion of this page, not the entire page. The portion you were asked about is the box that is checked that says, "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct? A Correct. Q So the statement in the box that says, "I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account," under this distinction between a cash account and a margin account, what are the funds being borrowed for? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to him interpreting this particular document because he wasn't involved in its preparation as he testified to. MR. NORK: I was just asking his general understanding of the difference between a cash account and a margin account.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided? A Correct. Q And so it's your testimony that once the template is made future requests can just be made verbally and in shorthand? A Correct. Q Turn, please, to Exhibit 2. And the page designation is 359. Lower right-hand corner, please. And this is where you were asked questions about cash versus margin account; correct? A Correct. Q And again you were asked about a portion of this page, not the entire page. The portion you were asked about is the box that is checked that says, "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a cash account." Do you see</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct? A Correct. Q So the statement in the box that says, "I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account," under this distinction between a cash account and a margin account, what are the funds being borrowed for? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to him interpreting this particular document because he wasn't involved in its preparation as he testified to. MR. NORK: I was just asking his general understanding of the difference between a cash account and a margin account.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided? A Correct. Q And so it's your testimony that once the template is made future requests can just be made verbally and in shorthand? A Correct. Q Turn, please, to Exhibit 2. And the page designation is 359. Lower right-hand corner, please. And this is where you were asked questions about cash versus margin account; correct? A Correct. Q And again you were asked about a portion of this page, not the entire page. The portion you were asked about is the box that is checked that says, "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a cash account." Do you see that?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct? A Correct. Q So the statement in the box that says, "I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account," under this distinction between a cash account and a margin account, what are the funds being borrowed for? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to him interpreting this particular document because he wasn't involved in its preparation as he testified to. MR. NORK: I was just asking his general understanding of the difference between a cash account and a margin account. THE COURT: I'll allow him to answer the question in a general sense but not the specific intent of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided? A Correct. Q And so it's your testimony that once the template is made future requests can just be made verbally and in shorthand? A Correct. Q Turn, please, to Exhibit 2. And the page designation is 359. Lower right-hand corner, please. And this is where you were asked questions about cash versus margin account; correct? A Correct. Q And again you were asked about a portion of this page, not the entire page. The portion you were asked about is the box that is checked that says, "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a cash account." Do you see that? A Yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct? A Correct. Q So the statement in the box that says, "I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account," under this distinction between a cash account and a margin account, what are the funds being borrowed for? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to him interpreting this particular document because he wasn't involved in its preparation as he testified to. MR. NORK: I was just asking his general understanding of the difference between a cash account and a margin account. THE COURT: I'll allow him to answer the question in a general sense but not the specific intent of the parties in drafting or executing Exhibit No. 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>then to WAM at least at the outset so that then later on a shorthand request can be made saying please send in this example to Nikolaos Pedafronimos. And then, for example, HSBC could then wire directly to the Alpha Bank the instructions that were provided? A Correct. Q And so it's your testimony that once the template is made future requests can just be made verbally and in shorthand? A Correct. Q Turn, please, to Exhibit 2. And the page designation is 359. Lower right-hand corner, please. And this is where you were asked questions about cash versus margin account; correct? A Correct. Q And again you were asked about a portion of this page, not the entire page. The portion you were asked about is the box that is checked that says, "No, I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account which means I will have a cash account." Do you see that?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Yes. Q So a couple lines above on that same page it says, "In a cash account you pay for your securities in full at the time of purchase." A Correct. Q "In a margin loan account we may lend you a portion of the purchase price. This is called buying securities on margin." Correct? A Correct. Q So the statement in the box that says, "I do not want the ability to borrow funds in my account," under this distinction between a cash account and a margin account, what are the funds being borrowed for? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to him interpreting this particular document because he wasn't involved in its preparation as he testified to. MR. NORK: I was just asking his general understanding of the difference between a cash account and a margin account. THE COURT: I'll allow him to answer the question in a general sense but not the specific intent of the

	Page 142		Page 143
1	the bank and having a line of credit at the bank. A	1	Q So the difference between a cash account and a
2	checking account is a cash account. A line of credit	2	margin account has to do with how that customer is
3	is a margin account essentially.	3	acquiring stock?
4	So on a checking account, your cash has to be there	4	A Correct.
5	to do things in theory, but on a checking account there	5	Q Either paying in full under the cash example or
6	is cases and many times the bank or we allow clients to	6	buying it on margin?
7	overwrite checks, to write too many checks, let's say,	7	A Correct. We do allow clients as well to buy
8	taking the account below zero. So we refer to that	8	and go into debt on cash accounts, but it creates more
	•		-
9	more as an overdraft, not really a lend. We refer to	9	of a liability for the firm than on a margin account.
10	it more as an overdraft.	10	Q Okay. In any event, that didn't happen in this
11	BY MR. NORK:	11	case?
12	Q I understand that. But in a margin account	12	A No.
13	what does the customer borrow funds to buy?	13	Q You were asked a lot of questions about
14	A Shares. They borrow funds to buy shares.	14	Bahamian law. Do you remember those questions?
15	Q And that's where the term margin comes from	15	A Um-hum.
16	right? because they're buying it on margin?	16	Q To your knowledge has WAM ever been held in
17	A Right.	17	violation of any Bahamian securities laws?
18	Q Okay. To your knowledge did Tom Skarpelos ever	18	A None whatsoever.
19	buy stock on margin in his account at WAM?	19	Q Then you were asked questions about the stock
20	A No.	20	transfer order that Mr. Skarpelos signed. Do you
21	Q To your knowledge he just had funds wired out	21	remember that?
22	of that account which put it in a debit position;	22	A Yes.
23	correct?	23	Q Okay. And the questions concerned well,
24	A Correct.	24	whether the stock was actually turn, please, if you
1	Page 144 could, to Exhibit 16.	1	Page 145 A No.
1 -	could, co maintic io.	I	A NO.
2	N Voc	2	O Did you even loor steals 075 evidend?
2	A Yes.	2	Q Did you even know stock 975 existed?
3	Q First of all, this document indicates that	3	A No.
3 4	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753,	3 4	A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock
3 4 5	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled?	3 4 5	A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being
3 4 5 6	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct.	3 4 5 6	A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct?
3 4 5 6 7	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975?	3 4 5 6 7	<pre>A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8	 A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps?
3 4 5 6 7	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct. Q Notably the date of this order or the date of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps? A They would have to deposit 975 at the firm.
3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8	 A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct. Q Notably the date of this order or the date of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps? A They would have to deposit 975 at the firm.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct. Q Notably the date of this order or the date of this document is two days after the sale of the stock	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps? A They would have to deposit 975 at the firm. Q And until it was deposited, no matter what the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct. Q Notably the date of this order or the date of this document is two days after the sale of the stock that was held by WAM that Mr. Skarpelos deposited with	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps? A They would have to deposit 975 at the firm. Q And until it was deposited, no matter what the power of attorney said, you couldn't sell stock 975;
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct. Q Notably the date of this order or the date of this document is two days after the sale of the stock that was held by WAM that Mr. Skarpelos deposited with WAM; correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps? A They would have to deposit 975 at the firm. Q And until it was deposited, no matter what the power of attorney said, you couldn't sell stock 975; correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct. Q Notably the date of this order or the date of this document is two days after the sale of the stock that was held by WAM that Mr. Skarpelos deposited with WAM; correct? A Correct.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps? A They would have to deposit 975 at the firm. Q And until it was deposited, no matter what the power of attorney said, you couldn't sell stock 975; correct? A Correct.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct. Q Notably the date of this order or the date of this document is two days after the sale of the stock that was held by WAM that Mr. Skarpelos deposited with WAM; correct? A Correct. Q But be that as it may, the questions were what	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps? A They would have to deposit 975 at the firm. Q And until it was deposited, no matter what the power of attorney said, you couldn't sell stock 975; correct? A Correct. Q And, again, you never were never even</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct. Q Notably the date of this order or the date of this document is two days after the sale of the stock that was held by WAM that Mr. Skarpelos deposited with WAM; correct? A Correct. Q But be that as it may, the questions were what difference does it make if Lambros and Mr. Skarpelos	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps? A They would have to deposit 975 at the firm. Q And until it was deposited, no matter what the power of attorney said, you couldn't sell stock 975; correct? A Correct. Q And, again, you never were never even advised that 975 existed, let alone was it sent to you</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct. Q Notably the date of this order or the date of this document is two days after the sale of the stock that was held by WAM that Mr. Skarpelos deposited with WAM; correct? A Correct. Q But be that as it may, the questions were what difference does it make if Lambros and Mr. Skarpelos were asking you to sell the stock from 753 or 975. Do	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps? A They would have to deposit 975 at the firm. Q And until it was deposited, no matter what the power of attorney said, you couldn't sell stock 975; correct? A Correct. Q And, again, you never were never even advised that 975 existed, let alone was it sent to you for deposit?</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct. Q Notably the date of this order or the date of this document is two days after the sale of the stock that was held by WAM that Mr. Skarpelos deposited with WAM; correct? A Correct. Q But be that as it may, the questions were what difference does it make if Lambros and Mr. Skarpelos were asking you to sell the stock from 753 or 975. Do you remember those questions?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps? A They would have to deposit 975 at the firm. Q And until it was deposited, no matter what the power of attorney said, you couldn't sell stock 975; correct? A Correct. Q And, again, you never were never even advised that 975 existed, let alone was it sent to you for deposit? A No. Correct. I didn't see this until I</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct. Q Notably the date of this order or the date of this document is two days after the sale of the stock that was held by WAM that Mr. Skarpelos deposited with WAM; correct? A Correct. Q But be that as it may, the questions were what difference does it make if Lambros and Mr. Skarpelos were asking you to sell the stock from 753 or 975. Do you remember those questions? A Yes.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps? A They would have to deposit 975 at the firm. Q And until it was deposited, no matter what the power of attorney said, you couldn't sell stock 975; correct? A Correct. Q And, again, you never were never even advised that 975 existed, let alone was it sent to you for deposit? A No. Correct. I didn't see this until I haven't seen this until just last year.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct. Q Notably the date of this order or the date of this document is two days after the sale of the stock that was held by WAM that Mr. Skarpelos deposited with WAM; correct? A Correct. Q But be that as it may, the questions were what difference does it make if Lambros and Mr. Skarpelos were asking you to sell the stock from 753 or 975. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q Well, did WAM have the ability to sell the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps? A They would have to deposit 975 at the firm. Q And until it was deposited, no matter what the power of attorney said, you couldn't sell stock 975; correct? A Correct. Q And, again, you never were never even advised that 975 existed, let alone was it sent to you for deposit? A No. Correct. I didn't see this until I haven't seen this until just last year. Q Okay. I know we touched on it a little bit,</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct. Q Notably the date of this order or the date of this document is two days after the sale of the stock that was held by WAM that Mr. Skarpelos deposited with WAM; correct? A Correct. Q But be that as it may, the questions were what difference does it make if Lambros and Mr. Skarpelos were asking you to sell the stock from 753 or 975. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q Well, did WAM have the ability to sell the stock represented by Certificate 975?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps? A They would have to deposit 975 at the firm. Q And until it was deposited, no matter what the power of attorney said, you couldn't sell stock 975; correct? A Correct. Q And, again, you never were never even advised that 975 existed, let alone was it sent to you for deposit? A No. Correct. I didn't see this until I haven't seen this until just last year. Q Okay. I know we touched on it a little bit, but let's go back to Exhibit 59.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct. Q Notably the date of this order or the date of this document is two days after the sale of the stock that was held by WAM that Mr. Skarpelos deposited with WAM; correct? A Correct. Q But be that as it may, the questions were what difference does it make if Lambros and Mr. Skarpelos were asking you to sell the stock from 753 or 975. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q Well, did WAM have the ability to sell the stock represented by Certificate 975? A Did we have the ability to sell the stock. No, no.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps? A They would have to deposit 975 at the firm. Q And until it was deposited, no matter what the power of attorney said, you couldn't sell stock 975; correct? A Correct. Q And, again, you never were never even advised that 975 existed, let alone was it sent to you for deposit? A No. Correct. I didn't see this until I haven't seen this until just last year. Q Okay. I know we touched on it a little bit, but let's go back to Exhibit 59. A Exhibit 59? Q 59, yes. Back to the template on the bottom of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q First of all, this document indicates that Stock Certificate 660 and the one at issue here, 753, were canceled? A Correct. Q And a new one was issued, 975? A Correct. Q Notably the date of this order or the date of this document is two days after the sale of the stock that was held by WAM that Mr. Skarpelos deposited with WAM; correct? A Correct. Q But be that as it may, the questions were what difference does it make if Lambros and Mr. Skarpelos were asking you to sell the stock from 753 or 975. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q Well, did WAM have the ability to sell the stock represented by Certificate 975? A Did we have the ability to sell the stock. No,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A No. Q So you couldn't have just sold the stock represented by 975 without some additional steps being taken; correct? A Correct. Q And what were those additional steps? A They would have to deposit 975 at the firm. Q And until it was deposited, no matter what the power of attorney said, you couldn't sell stock 975; correct? A Correct. Q And, again, you never were never even advised that 975 existed, let alone was it sent to you for deposit? A No. Correct. I didn't see this until I haven't seen this until just last year. Q Okay. I know we touched on it a little bit, but let's go back to Exhibit 59. A Exhibit 59?

JA1461

	Page 146		Page 147
1	think he called it a template.	1	A Correct.
2	MR. NORK: I'm sorry. You're right. I stand	2	Q And are you aware of any discrepancies
3	corrected.	3	discovered as a result of that audit?
4	THE COURT: The pink area.	4	A No.
5	MR. NORK: Okay.	5	MR. ANDERSON: Objection to the extent it calls for
6	THE WITNESS: The very bottom of the email where it	6	hearsay.
7	says the account information for Alpha Bank?	7	MR. NORK: That's a fair I can ask him if he's
8	BY MR. NORK:	8	aware of any discrepancies.
9	Q Yes, sir.	9	THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.
10	Do you know who Nikolaos Pedafronimos is?	10	BY MR. NORK:
11	A Yes. That's Tom's uncle.	11	Q Go ahead.
12	Q And when you instructed Rainbow to do a	12	A I've read the auditor's reports and those
13	transfer, you included this bank information in your	13	reports
14	email; correct?	14	THE COURT: Don't tell me what the reports say.
15	A Correct.	15	The question is are you aware of any issues.
16	Q And as far as you know, the money, the \$20,000	16	THE WITNESS: No, I'm not aware of any issues.
17	deposited into or was the instruction given to send	17	THE COURT: Next question.
18	the \$20,000 to Nikolaos Pedafronimos's account?	18	BY MR. NORK:
19		19	
	A That was my instruction.	-	Q Okay. And to you what does that mean regarding
20	Q Okay. You were asked a series of questions	20	the accuracy of Exhibit 44?
21	yesterday about the accuracy of the figures in Exhibit	21	A It means that all accounts throughout the whole
22	44. Now, you also indicated you were aware that an	22	chain of the firm are in balance.
23	audit had taken place regarding WAM's accounts in 2013;	23	Q Okay. And then you also testified about trying
24	correct?	24	to independently verify some of the wire transfers that
	Deve. 140		
	Page 148		Page 149
1	are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct?	1	Page 149 being offered in support of the truth of the matter
1 2		1 2	3
	are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct?		being offered in support of the truth of the matter
2	are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct.	2	being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted.
2 3	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two</pre>	2 3	being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a
2 3 4	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities?</pre>	2 3 4	being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then.
2 3 4 5	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to</pre>	2 3 4 5	being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK:
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSEC?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSBC? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44? A No.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSBC? A Correct. Q And as a result of your investigation were you</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44? A No. Q And then still on Exhibit 44, you were asked</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSBC? A Correct. Q And as a result of your investigation were you able to confirm that at least some of the wires</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44? A No. Q And then still on Exhibit 44, you were asked about the difference between statements and</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSBC? A Correct. Q And as a result of your investigation were you able to confirm that at least some of the wires identified in Exhibit 44 matched up with transfers</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44? A No. Q And then still on Exhibit 44, you were asked about the difference between statements and transactions as far as records that are held by WAM.</pre>
2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSBC? A Correct. Q And as a result of your investigation were you able to confirm that at least some of the wires identified in Exhibit 44 matched up with transfers between Verdmont and HSBC?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44? A No. Q And then still on Exhibit 44, you were asked about the difference between statements and transactions as far as records that are held by WAM. Do you remember those questions?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSEC? A Correct. Q And as a result of your investigation were you able to confirm that at least some of the wires identified in Exhibit 44 matched up with transfers between Verdmont and HSEC? THE COURT: Don't answer that question.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44? A No. Q And then still on Exhibit 44, you were asked about the difference between statements and transactions as far as records that are held by WAM. Do you remember those questions? A Yes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSBC? A Correct. Q And as a result of your investigation were you able to confirm that at least some of the wires identified in Exhibit 44 matched up with transfers between Verdmont and HSBC? THE COURT: Don't answer that question. MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object to the extent it</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44? A No. Q And then still on Exhibit 44, you were asked about the difference between statements and transactions as far as records that are held by WAM. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q And what is your testimony regarding what</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSBC? A Correct. Q And as a result of your investigation were you able to confirm that at least some of the wires identified in Exhibit 44 matched up with transfers between Verdmont and HSBC? THE COURT: Don't answer that question. MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object to the extent it calls for hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Nork.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44? A No. Q And then still on Exhibit 44, you were asked about the difference between statements and transactions as far as records that are held by WAM. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q And what is your testimony regarding what records are maintained by WAM?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSBC? A Correct. Q And as a result of your investigation were you able to confirm that at least some of the wires identified in Exhibit 44 matched up with transfers between Verdmont and HSBC? THE COURT: Don't answer that question. MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object to the extent it calls for hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Nork. MR. NORK: I carefully worded the question is he </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44? A No. Q And then still on Exhibit 44, you were asked about the difference between statements and transactions as far as records that are held by WAM. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q And what is your testimony regarding what records are maintained by WAM? A What records</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSEC? A Correct. Q And as a result of your investigation were you able to confirm that at least some of the wires identified in Exhibit 44 matched up with transfers between Verdmont and HSEC? THE COURT: Don't answer that question. MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object to the extent it calls for hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Nork. MR. NORK: I carefully worded the question is he aware as a result of the investigation is he aware</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44? A No. Q And then still on Exhibit 44, you were asked about the difference between statements and transactions as far as records that are held by WAM. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q And what is your testimony regarding what records are maintained by WAM? A What records Q Yeah, customer statements versus transaction records.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSBC? A Correct. Q And as a result of your investigation were you able to confirm that at least some of the wires identified in Exhibit 44 matched up with transfers between Verdmont and HSBC? THE COURT: Don't answer that question. MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object to the extent it calls for hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Nork. MR. NORK: I carefully worded the question is he aware as a result of the investigation is he aware that they matched up. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44? A No. Q And then still on Exhibit 44, you were asked about the difference between statements and transactions as far as records that are held by WAM. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q And what is your testimony regarding what records are maintained by WAM? A What records Q Yeah, customer statements versus transaction records. A So transaction records are maintained by WAM</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSEC? A Correct. Q And as a result of your investigation were you able to confirm that at least some of the wires identified in Exhibit 44 matched up with transfers between Verdmont and HSEC? THE COURT: Don't answer that question. MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object to the extent it calls for hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Nork. MR. NORK: I carefully worded the question is he aware as a result of the investigation is he aware that they matched up. THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. I think</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44? A No. Q And then still on Exhibit 44, you were asked about the difference between statements and transactions as far as records that are held by WAM. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q And what is your testimony regarding what records are maintained by WAM? A What records Q Yeah, customer statements versus transaction records. A So transaction records are maintained by WAM and statements are produced from transaction records.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSBC? A Correct. Q And as a result of your investigation were you able to confirm that at least some of the wires identified in Exhibit 44 matched up with transfers between Verdmont and HSBC? THE COURT: Don't answer that question. MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object to the extent it calls for hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Nork. MR. NORK: I carefully worded the question is he aware as a result of the investigation is he aware that they matched up. THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. I think that would call for a hearsay answer. He would be</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44? A No. Q And then still on Exhibit 44, you were asked about the difference between statements and transactions as far as records that are held by WAM. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q And what is your testimony regarding what records are maintained by WAM? A What records Q Yeah, customer statements versus transaction records. A So transaction records are maintained by WAM and statements are produced from transaction records. Q Okay. And that was your deposition testimony</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSEC? A Correct. Q And as a result of your investigation were you able to confirm that at least some of the wires identified in Exhibit 44 matched up with transfers between Verdmont and HSEC? THE COURT: Don't answer that question. MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object to the extent it calls for hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Nork. MR. NORK: I carefully worded the question is he aware as a result of the investigation is he aware that they matched up. THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. I think that would call for a hearsay answer. He would be testifying to basically a comparison of the documents </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44? A No. Q And then still on Exhibit 44, you were asked about the difference between statements and transactions as far as records that are held by WAM. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q And what is your testimony regarding what records are maintained by WAM? A What records Q Yeah, customer statements versus transaction records. A So transaction records are maintained by WAM and statements are produced from transaction records. Q Okay. And that was your deposition testimony back in October; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>are listed on the second page of Exhibit 44; correct? A Correct. Q And these were transactions between what two entities? A That would have been from our prime broker to the prime bank. Q And so it would be between Verdmont and HSBC? A Correct. Q And as a result of your investigation were you able to confirm that at least some of the wires identified in Exhibit 44 matched up with transfers between Verdmont and HSBC? THE COURT: Don't answer that question. MR. ANDERSON: I'm going to object to the extent it calls for hearsay. THE COURT: Mr. Nork. MR. NORK: I carefully worded the question is he aware as a result of the investigation is he aware that they matched up. THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. I think that would call for a hearsay answer. He would be</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>being offered in support of the truth of the matter asserted. MR. NORK: All right. Well, let me ask it a different way then. BY MR. NORK: Q As a result of your investigation do you have any reason to question the accuracy of Exhibit 44? A No. Q And then still on Exhibit 44, you were asked about the difference between statements and transactions as far as records that are held by WAM. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q And what is your testimony regarding what records are maintained by WAM? A What records Q Yeah, customer statements versus transaction records. A So transaction records are maintained by WAM and statements are produced from transaction records. Q Okay. And that was your deposition testimony</pre>

Page 150 Page 151 page 107 of your deposition. Q Now, you testified the only reason you have the 1 1 2 THE COURT: Page what? account statement that is Exhibit 44 is because you 2 3 MR. NORK: One zero seven. 3 received one of these for every customer of WAM; 4 THE COURT: Thank you. correct? 4 5 BY MR. NORK: 5 A Correct. 6 Q Do you have it? 6 0 And when did you receive this statement of 7 7 A Yes. account for every customer of WAM? 8 Line 22. "QUESTION: Okay. And I probably 8 A These would have started to be delivered over 0 9 asked that poorly. I was just focusing on 9 towards the end of 2013, 2014. Mr. Skarpelos's account." 10 And was this part of your acquisition of WAM? 10 Q 11 "ANSWER: Okay." 11 А Correct, yeah, the one-year transition period, 12 "QUESTION: And whether WAM has records, I guess, 12 so I might have not been exact, but it was somewhere 13 dating seven years back for all of its account between the beginning of 2014 and end of 2014. 13 14 And what did this account statement, Exhibit statements." 14 15 "ANSWER: They don't keep account statements. They 15 44, and all the other ones for all the other customers 16 at WAM allow you as the new owner to do? 16 don't make monthly statements to keep statements of. 17 The firm generally keeps records of transactions, so 17 A It allows us to take the balances of the transactions being fund transfers. And, of course, if accounts, basically the first paper which has the cash 18 18 19 and securities balances, so this could all be put into there was any trading activity, public markets, they 19 20 will then keep some record of the trading ticket. They the new computer database which we start fresh because 20 21 don't keep a statement, because they keep transactional we have a new computer system. We don't use the 21 22 records." 22 previous bank's system. 23 23 Is that consistent with your testimony today? So this goes into the computerized database so that 24 A Yes. 24 the computer -- so the system has day zero on it, or Page 152 Page 153 it would maintain its records for seven years; correct? day zero, day one, so then they can begin to process 1 1 2 the future transactions and keep future transactions, 2 A The question is all of its records. There are 3 records in the electronic database and do its job. many records that are not required to be kept. 3 4 Q Thank you, sir. I have no further questions. Q Okay. In general WAM had a policy of keeping 4 5 THE COURT: Recross based on the redirect. 5 its records for seven years? 6 6 A Yes, fund transfer and trade records, yes. Mr. Anderson. 7 MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 7 And I asked you at line 12: "Did WAM maintain 0 8 RECROSS EXAMINATION 8 records for all account transactions for 9 BY MR. ANDERSON: 9 Mr. Skarpelos's account for seven years?" 10 Q Mr. Livadas, you were asked about some 10 And the answer you gave was "I can't answer that either." 11 testimony that you gave at page 107 of your deposition. 11 12 А 107, yes. 12 A Correct, I cannot say that for a fact. 13 13 Q And I think what your testimony was, not on Okay. And Exhibit 44 is the only record that 0 14 that particular page but just a few minutes ago, is 14 you found that references any transactions on Mr. Skarpelos's account; correct? 15 that WAM doesn't generate account statements, it has 15 transaction records, and if an account statement is 16 16 A Correct. 17 needed the statement can be generated from those 17 0 Okay. So Exhibit 44 must have been generated 18 transaction records; correct? 18 at some point in time by somebody; correct? 19 Yes. A Correct. 19 А 20 Okay. And at page 107 of your deposition we 20 It wasn't you? 0 0 21 were talking about whether WAM maintains all of its 21 A Correct. This would have been generated by the 22 records for seven years at line 9. Do you see that? 22 bank that owned the firm at that time. 23 Α Yes. 23 0 Okay. And does it say which bank on it? 24 Okay. And that's because WAM had a policy that 24 Α No. Q

	Page 154		Page 155
1	Q Okay. By the way, on Exhibit 44 I notice that	1	effectively; right?
2	the logo on there is different for Weiser than on	2	A Correct, there was no
3	Exhibit 2.	3	Q That audit really only involved looking at
4	A Yeah.	4	client holdings correct? and seeing if they
5	Q Okay. Do you know when that changed?	5	matched the account?
6	A Exactly, no.	6	A Correct, they look at holdings, yeah.
7	Q Okay. You were asked by Mr. Nork you were	7	Q They didn't get into the nitty-gritty of each
8	asked questions about whether you did anything in	8	transaction; correct?
9	response to an audit. I think he asked you a question	9	A An audit of each transaction, no.
10	about whether WAM was audited with respect to the	10	Q Okay. You were asked about Exhibit 16 as well.
11	account statements and whether you did anything in	11	That was the NATCO document referencing the canceled
12	response to that.	12	certificates. And Mr. Nork asked you that or
13	A I don't remember a question like that.	13	pointed out that this was just a couple of days after
14	Q He was asking you about Exhibit 44. And then	14	the alleged April 2nd transaction; right?
15	do you recall his questions a few minutes ago about	15	A Right.
16	whether an audit was performed by Grant Thornton of the	16	Q Okay. But your testimony is that you didn't do
17	firm's accounts?	17	anything about the stock issue or the stock hold until
18	A Yes.	18	2015; right?
19	Q Okay. And I'm not talking about you being	19	A I began to try to investigate it and to
20	audited individually. There was an audit performed by	20	understand what was happening on the issue in early
21	somebody?	21	2014. That's when the process began.
22	A Yes.	22	Q But there was no immediate consequence of the
23	Q And I think you answered the question that WAM	23	cancellation of the stock certificate in terms of the
24	didn't have any concerns after the audit was performed	24	April 2nd transaction?
	Page 156		Page 157
1	A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you	1	A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself
2	A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?"	2	A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has.
	A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you		A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself
2	A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?"	2	A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has.
2 3	A Sorry. Say the question again. What do youmean by "immediate consequence?"Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then	2 3	A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itselfhas.Q You were asked about the cash account on
2 3 4	 A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock 	2 3 4	 A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an
2 3 4 5	A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right?	2 3 4 5	 A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	 A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or its buyers or Weiser Capital and its buyers, whoever it</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or its buyers or Weiser Capital and its buyers, whoever it might be, as a result of the canceled stock certificate</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that? A Correct. Q Okay. Now, a line of credit is something that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or its buyers or Weiser Capital and its buyers, whoever it might be, as a result of the canceled stock certificate at that time?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that? A Correct. Q Okay. Now, a line of credit is something that a homeowner or a bank customer would fill out an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or its buyers or Weiser Capital and its buyers, whoever it might be, as a result of the canceled stock certificate at that time? A At that time, not yet, no.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that? A Correct. Q Okay. Now, a line of credit is something that a homeowner or a bank customer would fill out an application expressly asking to borrow the funds;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or its buyers or Weiser Capital and its buyers, whoever it might be, as a result of the canceled stock certificate at that time? A At that time, not yet, no. Q Okay. If there had been you would have been</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that? A Correct. Q Okay. Now, a line of credit is something that a homeowner or a bank customer would fill out an application expressly asking to borrow the funds; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or its buyers or Weiser Capital and its buyers, whoever it might be, as a result of the canceled stock certificate at that time? A At that time, not yet, no. Q Okay. If there had been you would have been aware of it and done something about it immediately;</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that? A Correct. Q Okay. Now, a line of credit is something that a homeowner or a bank customer would fill out an application expressly asking to borrow the funds; correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or its buyers or Weiser Capital and its buyers, whoever it might be, as a result of the canceled stock certificate at that time? A At that time, not yet, no. Q Okay. If there had been you would have been aware of it and done something about it immediately; right?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that? A Correct. Q Okay. Now, a line of credit is something that a homeowner or a bank customer would fill out an application expressly asking to borrow the funds; correct? A Correct. Q Now, a checking account, banks don't generally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or its buyers or Weiser Capital and its buyers, whoever it might be, as a result of the canceled stock certificate at that time? A At that time, not yet, no. Q Okay. If there had been you would have been aware of it and done something about it immediately; right? A Yeah, there would have been more aggressive</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that? A Correct. Q Okay. Now, a line of credit is something that a homeowner or a bank customer would fill out an application expressly asking to borrow the funds; correct? A Correct. Q Now, a checking account, banks don't generally let you run up large negative balances without, you</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or its buyers or Weiser Capital and its buyers, whoever it might be, as a result of the canceled stock certificate at that time? A At that time, not yet, no. Q Okay. If there had been you would have been aware of it and done something about it immediately; right? A Yeah, there would have been more aggressive action taken.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that? A Correct. Q Okay. Now, a line of credit is something that a homeowner or a bank customer would fill out an application expressly asking to borrow the funds; correct? A Correct. Q Now, a checking account, banks don't generally let you run up large negative balances without, you know, making the account current; correct?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or its buyers or Weiser Capital and its buyers, whoever it might be, as a result of the canceled stock certificate at that time? A At that time, not yet, no. Q Okay. If there had been you would have been aware of it and done something about it immediately; right? A Yeah, there would have been more aggressive action taken. Q And you were asked by Mr. Nork whether Bahamian</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that? A Correct. Q Okay. Now, a line of credit is something that a homeowner or a bank customer would fill out an application expressly asking to borrow the funds; correct? A Correct. Q Now, a checking account, banks don't generally let you run up large negative balances without, you know, making the account current; correct? A Banks generally don't. Q WAM had a policy, did it not, of requiring fund
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or its buyers or Weiser Capital and its buyers, whoever it might be, as a result of the canceled stock certificate at that time? A A that time, not yet, no. Q Okay. If there had been you would have been aware of it and done something about it immediately; right? A Yeah, there would have been more aggressive action taken. Q And you were asked by Mr. Nork whether Bahamian securities regulators if you've ever had any issues with them, and the answer was no? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that? A Correct. Q Okay. Now, a line of credit is something that a homeowner or a bank customer would fill out an application expressly asking to borrow the funds; correct? A Correct. Q Now, a checking account, banks don't generally let you run up large negative balances without, you know, making the account current; correct? A Banks generally don't. Q WAM had a policy, did it not, of requiring fund transfers to be only done if there's an authorized
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or its buyers or Weiser Capital and its buyers, whoever it might be, as a result of the canceled stock certificate at that time? A At that time, not yet, no. Q Okay. If there had been you would have been aware of it and done something about it immediately; right? A Yeah, there would have been more aggressive action taken. Q And you were asked by Mr. Nork whether Bahamian securities regulators if you've ever had any issues with them, and the answer was no? A Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that? A Correct. Q Okay. Now, a line of credit is something that a homeowner or a bank customer would fill out an application expressly asking to borrow the funds; correct? A Correct. Q Now, a checking account, banks don't generally let you run up large negative balances without, you know, making the account current; correct? A Banks generally don't. Q WAM had a policy, did it not, of requiring fund transfers to be only done if there's an authorized by an authorized signatory?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or its buyers or Weiser Capital and its buyers, whoever it might be, as a result of the canceled stock certificate at that time? A At that time, not yet, no. Q Okay. If there had been you would have been aware of it and done something about it immediately; right? A Yeah, there would have been more aggressive action taken. Q And you were asked by Mr. Nork whether Bahamian securities regulators if you've ever had any issues with them, and the answer was no? A Correct. Q Have you notified Bahamian securities 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that? A Correct. Q Okay. Now, a line of credit is something that a homeowner or a bank customer would fill out an application expressly asking to borrow the funds; correct? A Correct. Q Now, a checking account, banks don't generally let you run up large negative balances without, you know, making the account current; correct? A Banks generally don't. Q WAM had a policy, did it not, of requiring fund transfers to be only done if there's an authorized by an authorized signatory? A Yes, correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or its buyers or Weiser Capital and its buyers, whoever it might be, as a result of the canceled stock certificate at that time? A At that time, not yet, no. Q Okay. If there had been you would have been aware of it and done something about it immediately; right? A Yeah, there would have been more aggressive action taken. Q And you were asked by Mr. Nork whether Bahamian securities regulators if you've ever had any issues with them, and the answer was no? A Correct. Q Have you notified Bahamian securities regulators that WAM is in a dispute with a client over 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that? A Correct. Q Okay. Now, a line of credit is something that a homeowner or a bank customer would fill out an application expressly asking to borrow the funds; correct? A Correct. Q Now, a checking account, banks don't generally let you run up large negative balances without, you know, making the account current; correct? A Banks generally don't. Q WAM had a policy, did it not, of requiring fund transfers to be only done if there's an authorized by an authorized signatory? A Yes, correct. Q Now, you were asked some questions about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Sorry. Say the question again. What do you mean by "immediate consequence?" Q So the April 2nd transaction occurred and then two days later NATCO issued the canceled stock certificate document; right? A Yeah. Q There was no immediate consequence to WAM or its buyers or Weiser Capital and its buyers, whoever it might be, as a result of the canceled stock certificate at that time? A At that time, not yet, no. Q Okay. If there had been you would have been aware of it and done something about it immediately; right? A Yeah, there would have been more aggressive action taken. Q And you were asked by Mr. Nork whether Bahamian securities regulators if you've ever had any issues with them, and the answer was no? A Correct. Q Have you notified Bahamian securities 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A I haven't. I don't know if the firm itself has. Q You were asked about the cash account on Mr. Skarpelos's account application. And you gave an example to describe what you understand a cash account to be as kind of a line of credit and a checking account; right? Do you remember that? A Correct. Q Okay. Now, a line of credit is something that a homeowner or a bank customer would fill out an application expressly asking to borrow the funds; correct? A Correct. Q Now, a checking account, banks don't generally let you run up large negative balances without, you know, making the account current; correct? A Banks generally don't. Q WAM had a policy, did it not, of requiring fund transfers to be only done if there's an authorized by an authorized signatory? A Yes, correct.

	Page 158		Page 159
1	Q And I think you were directed to page 99 and	1	A Well, templates exist, but it's just a template
2	100 of your deposition.	2	of past transfers. That's all it is.
3	A 99.	3	Q They exist in a computer?
4	Q And the discussion that Mr. Nork pointed out I	4	A Yes.
5	think begins at the bottom of the page. And at line 24	5	Q And I think you testified yesterday that you
6	you indicate that "Tom would typically call me verbally	6	don't even know if WAM had a computer system at that
7	to tell me that he wants money and needs money."	7	time.
8	And on the second line of page 100 you describe the	8	A I didn't say that. I said I didn't know much
9	account template that you were talking about; right?	9	about their computer system, but they had a computer
10	A Correct.	10	system.
11	Q Okay. If you look further down on page 100 at	11	Q And you don't know if they had an account
12	line 13, I asked you the question: "My question to you	12	template for Lambros or Nikolaos or for Mr. Skarpelos
13	is do you know whether in WAM's records, which you have	13	at all, do you?
14	access to, there's anything in writing from Tom	14	A I know that they kept account templates. It's
15	Skarpelos to WAM authorizing WAM to distribute money to	15	a standard process to keep a template.
16	Lambros or Nikolaos?"	16	Q But you weren't involved with WAM at that time?
17	"ANSWER: I don't know."	17	A I wasn't involved with WAM.
18	A Correct.	18	Q And you don't know whether they had an account
19	Q And when I asked you if you looked for them,	19	template for Mr. Skarpelos that directed him that he
20	you indicated that you haven't seen any?	20	directed to send money to Lambros or Nikolaos?
21	A Correct.	21	A I cannot say for a fact, but it was standard
22	Q So you don't know in this case whether a	22	operating procedure.
23	template even existed for the instruction letter that	23	Q And no template or printout of a template has
24	you were talking about?	24	been produced in this case; correct?
	Page 160		Page 161
1	Page 160 A No.	1	Page 161 anything. And I think you agreed with him on that;
1 2		1 2	-
	A No.		anything. And I think you agreed with him on that;
2	A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that	2	anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right?
2 3	A No.Q You testified in regards to the template thatif a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that	2 3	anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything
2 3 4	A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template?	2 3 4	anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial
2 3 4 5	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. 	2 3 4 5	anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to
2 3 4 5 6	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template 	2 3 4 5 6	anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template and decide whether they can do the cash transfer? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document? A Am I aware. When I read most of those things I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template and decide whether they can do the cash transfer? A Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document? A Am I aware. When I read most of those things I don't understand them in detail, so Q If your attorney described the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement as a critical document in this case,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template and decide whether they can do the cash transfer? A Correct. Q Do they make any sort of internal notes that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document? A Am I aware. When I read most of those things I don't understand them in detail, so Q If your attorney described the Stock Sale and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template and decide whether they can do the cash transfer? A Correct. Q Do they make any sort of internal notes that describe what's happening? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document? A Am I aware. When I read most of those things I don't understand them in detail, so Q If your attorney described the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement as a critical document in this case,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template and decide whether they can do the cash transfer? A Correct. Q Do they make any sort of internal notes that describe what's happening? A Internal notes. I can only describe how we do 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document? A Am I aware. When I read most of those things I don't understand them in detail, so Q If your attorney described the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement as a critical document in this case, would you disagree with him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template and decide whether they can do the cash transfer? A Correct. Q Do they make any sort of internal notes that describe what's happening? A Internal notes. I can only describe how we do it now. I don't know exactly what it was back then. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document? A Am I aware. When I read most of those things I don't understand them in detail, so Q If your attorney described the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement as a critical document in this case, would you disagree with him? A As a critical document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template and decide whether they can do the cash transfer? A Correct. Q Do they make any sort of internal notes that describe what's happening? A Internal notes. I can only describe how we do it now. I don't know exactly what it was back then. Q So you don't know if Mr. Skarpelos or Lambros 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document? A Am I aware. When I read most of those things I don't understand them in detail, so Q If your attorney described the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement as a critical document in this case, would you disagree with him? A As a critical document? THE COURT: What page of the trial statement are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template and decide whether they can do the cash transfer? A Correct. Q Do they make any sort of internal notes that describe what's happening? A Internal notes. I can only describe how we do it now. I don't know exactly what it was back then. Q So you don't know if Mr. Skarpelos or Lambros had called a receiver and I guess who are the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document? A Am I aware. When I read most of those things I don't understand them in detail, so Q If your attorney described the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement as a critical document in this case, would you disagree with him? A As a critical document? THE COURT: What page of the trial statement are you looking at?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template and decide whether they can do the cash transfer? A Correct. Q Do they make any sort of internal notes that describe what's happening? A Internal notes. I can only describe how we do it now. I don't know exactly what it was back then. Q So you don't know if Mr. Skarpelos or Lambros had called a receiver and I guess who are the receivers? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document? A Am I aware. When I read most of those things I don't understand them in detail, so Q If your attorney described the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement as a critical document in this case, would you disagree with him? A As a critical document? THE COURT: What page of the trial statement are you looking at? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, the first page indicates</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template and decide whether they can do the cash transfer? A Correct. Q Do they make any sort of internal notes that describe what's happening? A Internal notes. I can only describe how we do it now. I don't know exactly what it was back then. Q So you don't know if Mr. Skarpelos or Lambros had called a receiver and I guess who are the receivers? A That would be myself as a representative or if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document? A Am I aware. When I read most of those things I don't understand them in detail, so Q If your attorney described the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement as a critical document in this case, would you disagree with him? A As a critical document? THE COURT: What page of the trial statement are you looking at? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, the first page indicates there are four critical documents in this case. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template and decide whether they can do the cash transfer? A Correct. Q Do they make any sort of internal notes that describe what's happening? A Internal notes. I can only describe how we do it now. I don't know exactly what it was back then. Q So you don't know if Mr. Skarpelos or Lambros had called a receiver and I guess who are the receivers? A That would be myself as a representative or if they called somebody else at the firm. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document? A Am I aware. When I read most of those things I don't understand them in detail, so Q If your attorney described the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement as a critical document in this case, would you disagree with him? A As a critical document? THE COURT: What page of the trial statement are you looking at? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, the first page indicates there are four critical documents in this case. I'm looking at subparagraph 3 on page 2 which identifies the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement or stock and purchase agreement.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template and decide whether they can do the cash transfer? A Correct. Q Do they make any sort of internal notes that describe what's happening? A Internal notes. I can only describe how we do it now. I don't know exactly what it was back then. Q So you don't know if Mr. Skarpelos or Lambros had called a receiver and I guess who are the receivers? A That would be myself as a representative or if they called somebody else at the firm. Q And you testified earlier that you don't have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document? A Am I aware. When I read most of those things I don't understand them in detail, so Q If your attorney described the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement as a critical document in this case, would you disagree with him? A As a critical document? THE COURT: What page of the trial statement are you looking at? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, the first page indicates there are four critical documents in this case. I'm looking at subparagraph 3 on page 2 which identifies the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement or stock and purchase agreement. THE WITNESS: It's an important document in the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template and decide whether they can do the cash transfer? A Correct. Q Do they make any sort of internal notes that describe what's happening? A Internal notes. I can only describe how we do it now. I don't know exactly what it was back then. Q So you don't know if Mr. Skarpelos or Lambros had called a receiver and I guess who are the receivers? A That would be myself as a representative or if they called somebody else at the firm. Q And you testified earlier that you don't have any written records that evidence any of these 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document? A Am I aware. When I read most of those things I don't understand them in detail, so Q If your attorney described the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement as a critical document in this case, would you disagree with him? A As a critical document? THE COURT: What page of the trial statement are you looking at? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, the first page indicates there are four critical documents in this case. I'm looking at subparagraph 3 on page 2 which identifies the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement or stock and purchase agreement. THE WITNESS: It's an important document in the case I believe is what my lawyer was making a point of,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template and decide whether they can do the cash transfer? A Correct. Q Do they make any sort of internal notes that describe what's happening? A Internal notes. I can only describe how we do it now. I don't know exactly what it was back then. Q So you don't know if Mr. Skarpelos or Lambros had called a receiver and I guess who are the receivers? A That would be myself as a representative or if they called somebody else at the firm. Q And you testified earlier that you don't have any written records that evidence any of these transactions? A Correct. Q Now, the judge asked you some questions on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document? A Am I aware. When I read most of those things I don't understand them in detail, so Q If your attorney described the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement as a critical document in this case, would you disagree with him? A As a critical document? THE COURT: What page of the trial statement are you looking at? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, the first page indicates there are four critical documents in this case. I'm looking at subparagraph 3 on page 2 which identifies the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement or stock and purchase agreement. THE WITNESS: It's an important document in the case I believe is what my lawyer was making a point of, which I mostly agree, but it's not a critical document</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A No. Q You testified in regards to the template that if a customer calls and asks to execute a trade that the receiver will look at the template? A Not a trade but a cash transfer. Q Okay. I'm sorry. They'll look at the template and decide whether they can do the cash transfer? A Correct. Q Do they make any sort of internal notes that describe what's happening? A Internal notes. I can only describe how we do it now. I don't know exactly what it was back then. Q So you don't know if Mr. Skarpelos or Lambros had called a receiver and I guess who are the receivers? A That would be myself as a representative or if they called somebody else at the firm. Q And you testified earlier that you don't have any written records that evidence any of these transactions? A Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>anything. And I think you agreed with him on that; right? A I don't means not anything Q Are you aware that your attorney filed a trial statement about ten days ago where he referred to Exhibit 30 as a critical document? A Am I aware. When I read most of those things I don't understand them in detail, so Q If your attorney described the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement as a critical document in this case, would you disagree with him? A As a critical document? THE COURT: What page of the trial statement are you looking at? MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, the first page indicates there are four critical documents in this case. I'm looking at subparagraph 3 on page 2 which identifies the Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement or stock and purchase agreement. THE WITNESS: It's an important document in the case I believe is what my lawyer was making a point of,</pre>

	Page 162		Page 163
1	BY MR. ANDERSON:	1	Q But you didn't you testified that you didn't
2	Q And that was the second transaction that never	2	have to; correct?
3	happened?	3	A Correct.
4	A It's not an important document to the first	4	Q But you chose to do it?
5	transaction.	5	A Correct.
6	Q Well, the first question I asked you out of the	6	Q Now, you mentioned that if Weiser prevails in
7	gate this morning was whether that Exhibit 30 related	7	this lawsuit it would settle to its account with the
8	to the first transaction and you said that was a	8	prime bank those shares; correct?
9	document intended to document the first transaction.	9	A Correct.
10	A Yes.	10	Q And that's Weiser Asset Management?
11	Q Look at page 228.	11	A Correct.
12	A Pardon?	12	Q Okay. We talked a little bit yesterday about
13	Q Please look at page 228 of your deposition.	13	your testimony during your deposition where you said
14	A Yes, I don't disagree that I said that in the	14	that Weiser Capital was actually the owner for a brief
15	deposition.	15	second and then ownership passed on to the buyers;
16	Q Okay. And what you said is that purchase and	16	correct?
17	sale agreement was intended to document the April 2nd	17	A Take me back to that, please, if you could.
18	deal.	18	Q I was afraid you'd ask me that.
19	A Yes, that's what I had said.	19	A Sorry.
20	Q And you also indicated that Exhibit 30, you did	20	Q So if you look at page 200, starting at line 6
21	document it I'm sorry. You did sign or fill in	21	I asked you: "So based on Exhibit 25," which was depo
22	Exhibit 30 to document the April 2nd transaction;	22	Exhibit 25 and is now trial Exhibit 30, "Weiser Capital
23	right?	23	is the owner of the stock at issue; correct?"
24	A Yeah.	24	"ANSWER: It was the intermediary, so at a point it
	Page 164		Page 165
1	was the owner."	1	Q Now, if you look at your declaration on page 3,
2	A Correct, that's what I said.	2	paragraph 13, you state in April 2013 Skarpelos sold
3	Q "QUESTION: Okay. At what point was it no	3	3,316,666 shares of
4	longer the owner?"	4	A Sorry. One second, sir. Paragraph 13?
5	"ANSWER: Since this was processed in or dated	5	Q I'm sorry. Not line 13, paragraph 13.
6	inaccurately" and I think you're referring there to	6	You state, "In April 2013 Skarpelos sold 3,316,666
7	this July 5th date "it was or it was no longer	7	shares of Anavex stock shares he had deposited with WAM
8	the owner in April."	8	in 2011 to Weiser Capital in exchange for \$250,000
9	A Correct. And I believe I was mixing up Weiser	9	minus a \$420 processing fee which I helped arrange."
10	Capital and WAM.	10	Did I read that correctly?
11	Q Well, let's look at your declaration then.	11	A Correct.
12	MR. ANDERSON: May I approach the clerk, Your	12	Q And that was your sworn testimony that you gave
13	Honor?	13	to the court in April of 2018?
14	THE COURT: Yes.	14	A Yes.
15	MR. ANDERSON: And may I approach the witness?	15	THE COURT: What page is that on again?
16	THE COURT: You certainly may.	16	MR. ANDERSON: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Page 3,
17	BY MR. ANDERSON:	17	paragraph 13.
18	Q So the testimony you just gave was that on	18	THE COURT: That's my fault. I looked at line 13.
19	October 23rd, 2018, you had confused Weiser Capital for	19	I apologize. Go ahead.
20	Weiser Asset Management; right?	20	BY MR. ANDERSON:
21	A Most likely.	21	Q And then in paragraph 17 you state that "In
22	Q Okay. Well, that was your testimony just a few	22	late 2013 when Weiser Capital attempted to resell the
23	minutes ago; right?	23	Anavex stock it believed it had acquired from
24	A Yeah, correct.	24	Skarpelos, it discovered for the first time that

	Page 166		Page 167
1	Skarpelos had Certificates No. 660 and 753 deemed	1	Q Okay. And then in paragraph 18 you state that
2	lost"; correct?	2	Weiser Capital through WAM attributed \$249,580 to his
3	A Yes.	3	account balance referring to Skarpelos; correct?
4	Q Okay. So, again, in paragraph 17 your sworn	4	A Correct.
5	testimony is it's Weiser Capital that got the stock and	5	Q So in this document you were claiming Weiser
6	they're attempting to resell it?	6	Capital was the owner and during this trial you're
7	A I don't think it's referring when I read	7	claiming WAM is the owner; correct?
8	this I don't think it's referring I think it's	8	A If I could explain something.
9	referring to the resell for the second transaction.	9	Q I'm just is that correct?
10	Q How could there be a resell of the second	10	A Sorry. Ask the question again.
11	transaction if it never happened?	11	Q In this declaration you're claiming Weiser
12	A Well, we were selling we were working on a	12	Capital is the owner; correct?
13	second transaction to sell.	13	A Correct.
14	Q Well, your declaration that we just looked at,	14	Q And in your deposition on October 23rd, 2018,
15	page 2, paragraph I'm sorry page 3, paragraph 13,	15	which was six months after your declaration, you were
16	says that Weiser Capital acquired ownership of shares.	16	also claiming that Weiser Capital was the owner;
17	A That was in paragraph 13 we referred to?	17	correct?
18	Q Yes.	18	A Correct.
19	A Okay. Yes.	19	Q Okay. And here at trial you're claiming WAM is
20	Q Okay. And then in paragraph 17 you said that	20	the owner; is that correct?
21	Weiser Capital, not Weiser Asset Management or anyone	21	A I'm claiming that WAM needs to end up with the
22	else, attempted to resell those certificates. I'm	22	position to settle its accounts. And my understanding
23	sorry. Those shares.	23	is that it needs to become ultimately the owner of it.
24	A Yes, yes.	24	Q Because Weiser Capital bought it and didn't get
	Dage 168		Dage 169
1	Page 168 it or WAM?	1	Page 169 will continue with the recross examination.
1 2		1 2	
	it or WAM?		will continue with the recross examination.
2	it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it.	2	will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess.
2 3	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has</pre>	2 3	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance;</pre>	2 3 4	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not ownership?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not ownership? MR. NORK: Objection. Calls for a legal</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not ownership? MR. NORK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not ownership? MR. NORK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know how to answer</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not ownership? MR. NORK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know how to answer that.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not ownership? MR. NORK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know how to answer that. THE COURT: Sustained.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not ownership? MR. NORK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know how to answer that. THE COURT: Sustained. At this point, Mr. Anderson, I'm not cutting off</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not ownership? MR. NORK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know how to answer that. THE COURT: Sustained. At this point, Mr. Anderson, I'm not cutting off your recross examination, I'm saying we're going to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not ownership? MR. NORK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know how to answer that. THE COURT: Sustained. At this point, Mr. Anderson, I'm not cutting off your recross examination, I'm saying we're going to take our lunch recess.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not ownership? MR. NORK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know how to answer that. THE COURT: Sustained. At this point, Mr. Anderson, I'm not cutting off your recross examination, I'm saying we're going to take our lunch recess. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not ownership? MR. NORK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know how to answer that. THE COURT: Sustained. At this point, Mr. Anderson, I'm not cutting off your recross examination, I'm saying we're going to take our lunch recess. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Because I let it go it looked</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not ownership? MR. NORK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know how to answer that. THE COURT: Sustained. At this point, Mr. Anderson, I'm not cutting off your recross examination, I'm saying we're going to take our lunch recess. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Because I let it go it looked like based on your pause and as you glanced down at</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not ownership? MR. NORK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know how to answer that. THE COURT: Sustained. At this point, Mr. Anderson, I'm not cutting off your recross examination, I'm saying we're going to take our lunch recess. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Because I let it go it looked like based on your pause and as you glanced down at your notes, it looked like you were about to move on.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not ownership? MR. NORK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know how to answer that. THE COURT: Sustained. At this point, Mr. Anderson, I'm not cutting off your recross examination, I'm saying we're going to take our lunch recess. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Because I let it go it looked like based on your pause and as you glanced down at your notes, it looked like you were about to move on. MR. ANDERSON: I think I'm very close.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>it or WAM? A Because ultimately WAM hasn't received it. Q Really what you're claiming is that WAM has been damaged by Mr. Skarpelos's nonperformance; correct? A Correct. Q Okay. So you're claiming damages, not ownership? MR. NORK: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know how to answer that. THE COURT: Sustained. At this point, Mr. Anderson, I'm not cutting off your recross examination, I'm saying we're going to take our lunch recess. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Because I let it go it looked like based on your pause and as you glanced down at your notes, it looked like you were about to move on. MR. ANDERSON: I think I'm very close. THE COURT: That's okay. I'm still going to take </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>will continue with the recross examination. Court is in recess. (The lunch recess was taken at 12:05 p.m.)</pre>

	Page 170		Page 171
1	RENO, NEVADA; TUESDAY, JANUARY 29, 2019; 1:24 P.M.	1	that are involved in transactions that involve WAM and
2	00o	2	its clients; correct?
3	THE COURT: We'll go back on the record in	3	I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.
4	CV15-02259, Skarpelos versus Weiser. Let's see. We're	4	A Yes, that's correct.
5	missing one person.	5	Q And I understand you to say that WAM has an
6	MR. NORK: Mr. LaForge is absent, Your Honor.	6	account at the prime bank; is that correct?
7	THE COURT: Mr. LaForge is not here. Are you ready	7	A At the prime broker.
8	to go without him, Mr. Nork?	8	Q At the prime broker. Okay. And in this case I
9	MR. NORK: Yes, Your Honor.	9	think you said something to the effect of money if
10	THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Nork is here on	10	money is moving around, that took place at the WAM
11	behalf of the Weiser entities. Mr. Livadas is still on	11	level?
12	the stand.	12	A If it was if the transactions involved
13	You're still under oath, sir. Do you understand	13	clients that were within WAM, between clients that are
14	that?	14	at WAM.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.	15	Q Okay. And when you say money moving around,
16	THE COURT: Mr. Anderson, Mr. Skarpelos and	16	are you referring to actual money in accounts at the
17	Mr. Adams are all here on behalf of Skarpelos. So when	17	WAM level or just ledger transactions?
18	we broke at lunch you were doing your recross	18	A To answer the question correctly, at all
19	examination, Mr. Anderson. You may continue.	19	financial institutions it's ledger transactions.
20	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.	20	Q Okay. I can't walk into WAM with a bag of cash
21	BY MR. ANDERSON:	21	and deposit it with WAM?
22	Q Mr. Livadas, on redirect examination from	22	A No.
23	Mr. Nork you talked a little bit again about the	23	Q Let's say I wanted to deposit a box of cash,
24	relationships between the various entities or parties	24	let's say \$100,000, and open a WAM account. How would
	Page 172		Page 173
			-
1	I do that?	1	A Correct.
2	I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash.	2	A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions
2 3	I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go	2 3	A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a
2 3 4	I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank?	2 3 4	A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example,
2 3 4 5	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash.</pre>	2 3 4 5	A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account?
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	 A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital?	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct. Q And when did that relationship become	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account? A If you're debiting the buyer account, you</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct. Q And when did that relationship become established?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account? A If you're debiting the buyer account, you credit the WAM account and then you debit the WAM</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct. Q And when did that relationship become established? A I don't know exactly.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account? A If you're debiting the buyer account, you credit the WAM account and then you debit the WAM account.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct. Q And when did that relationship become established? A I don't know exactly. Q Okay. If I said to you that public records</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account? A If you're debiting the buyer account, you credit the WAM account and then you debit the WAM account and credit the seller's account. Q Okay. And that all happens internally?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct. Q And when did that relationship become established? A I don't know exactly. Q Okay. If I said to you that public records establish that it was that the relationship began in</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account? A If you're debiting the buyer account, you credit the WAM account and then you debit the WAM account and credit the seller's account. Q Okay. And that all happens internally? A Correct.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct. Q And when did that relationship become established? A I don't know exactly. Q Okay. If I said to you that public records establish that it was that the relationship began in 2014, would you disagree?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account? A If you're debiting the buyer account, you credit the WAM account and then you debit the WAM account and credit the seller's account. Q Okay. And that all happens internally? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I have no further</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct. Q And when did that relationship become established? A I don't know exactly. Q Okay. If I said to you that public records establish that it was that the relationship began in 2014, would you disagree? A I think so.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account? A If you're debiting the buyer account, you credit the WAM account and then you debit the WAM account and credit the seller's account. Q Okay. And that all happens internally? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I have no further questions.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct. Q And when did that relationship become established? A I don't know exactly. Q Okay. If I said to you that public records establish that it was that the relationship began in 2014, would you disagree? A I think so. Q Okay. But you don't know for sure?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account? A If you're debiting the buyer account, you credit the WAM account and then you debit the WAM account and credit the seller's account. Q Okay. And that all happens internally? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Livadas, you may step down.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct. Q And when did that relationship become established? A I don't know exactly. Q Okay. If I said to you that public records establish that it was that the relationship began in 2014, would you disagree? A I think so. Q Okay. But you don't know for sure? A No, no.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account? A If you're debiting the buyer account, you credit the WAM account and then you debit the WAM account and credit the seller's account. Q Okay. And that all happens internally? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Livadas, you may step down. THE WITNESS: Thank you.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct. Q And when did that relationship become established? A I don't know exactly. Q Okay. If I said to you that public records establish that it was that the relationship began in 2014, would you disagree? A I think so. Q Okay. But you don't know for sure? A No, no. Q Okay. And Verdmont Capital was under</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account? A If you're debiting the buyer account, you credit the WAM account and then you debit the WAM account and credit the seller's account. Q Okay. And that all happens internally? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Livadas, you may step down. THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, you can call your next</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct. Q And when did that relationship become established? A I don't know exactly. Q Okay. If I said to you that public records establish that it was that the relationship began in 2014, would you disagree? A I think so. Q Okay. But you don't know for sure? A No, no. Q Okay. And Verdmont Capital was under investigation by the SEC; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account? A If you're debiting the buyer account, you credit the WAM account and then you debit the WAM account and credit the seller's account. Q Okay. And that all happens internally? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Livadas, you may step down. THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, you can call your next witness.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct. Q And when did that relationship become established? A I don't know exactly. Q Okay. If I said to you that public records establish that it was that the relationship began in 2014, would you disagree? A I think so. Q Okay. But you don't know for sure? A No, no. Q Okay. And Verdmont Capital was under investigation by the SEC; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account? A If you're debiting the buyer account, you credit the WAM account and then you debit the WAM account and credit the seller's account. Q Okay. And that all happens internally? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Livadas, you may step down. THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, you can call your next witness. THE WITNESS: Do I take these?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct. Q And when did that relationship become established? A I don't know exactly. Q Okay. If I said to you that public records establish that it was that the relationship began in 2014, would you disagree? A I think so. Q Okay. But you don't know for sure? A No, no. Q Okay. And Verdmont Capital was under investigation by the SEC; correct? A Correct. Q And they filed for bankruptcy in 2016; correct?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account? A If you're debiting the buyer account, you credit the WAM account and then you debit the WAM account and credit the seller's account. Q Okay. And that all happens internally? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Livadas, you may step down. THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, you can call your next witness. THE WITNESS: Do I take these? THE COURT: Just leave those there. Mr. Nork will</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct. Q And when did that relationship become established? A I don't know exactly. Q Okay. If I said to you that public records establish that it was that the relationship began in 2014, would you disagree? A I think so. Q Okay. But you don't know for sure? A No, no. Q Okay. And Verdmont Capital was under investigation by the SEC; correct? A Correct. Q And they filed for bankruptcy in 2016; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account? A If you're debiting the buyer account, you credit the WAM account and then you debit the WAM account and credit the seller's account. Q Okay. And that all happens internally? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Livadas, you may step down. THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, you can call your next witness. THE WITNESS: Do I take these?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>I do that? A You can't come in with cash, physical cash. Q So I would have to go to would I have to go to a bank? A Yes, only commercial banks can take the cash. Q Now, WAM's relationship you said WAM had a relationship with Verdmont Capital? A That's correct. Q And when did that relationship become established? A I don't know exactly. Q Okay. If I said to you that public records establish that it was that the relationship began in 2014, would you disagree? A I think so. Q Okay. But you don't know for sure? A No, no. Q Okay. And Verdmont Capital was under investigation by the SEC; correct? A Correct. Q And they filed for bankruptcy in 2016; correct? A Correct.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>A Correct. Q And when you were describing the transactions going back and forth, as I understood it, you said in a transaction like you were talking about the example, you would debit the buyer account? A Debit the buyer, yes. Q Okay. And then you would debit the WAM account? A If you're debiting the buyer account, you credit the WAM account and then you debit the WAM account and credit the seller's account. Q Okay. And that all happens internally? A Correct. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I have no further questions. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Livadas, you may step down. THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Nork, you can call your next witness. THE WITNESS: Do I take these? THE COURT: Just leave those there. Mr. Nork will collect those and give them to the clerk.</pre>

	Page 174		Page 175
1	call the next witness.	1	THE COURT: Okay. We'll just take the deposition
2	THE COURT: So you have no additional witnesses to	2	then.
3	call?	3	ATHANASIOS SKARPELOS,
4	MR. NORK: No, I fully intend		having been first duly sworn, was
5	THE COURT: You're not resting I guess is what I'm	4	examined and testified as follows:
6	saying.	5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	MR. NORK: I am not resting.	6	BY MR. ANDERSON:
8	THE COURT: Okay. Then, Mr. Anderson, I guess	7	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Skarpelos.
9	we're going to do it out of order. Then you would like	8	A Good afternoon, sir.
10	to call a witness; is that correct?	9	Q Would you please state and spell your full
11	MR. ANDERSON: That's correct, Your Honor. We will	10	name.
12	call Mr. Skarpelos.	11	A Athanasios Tom Skarpelos, A-t-h-a-n-a-s-i-o-s,
13	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Skarpelos, if you could	12	Tom, T-o-m, Skarpelos, S-k-a-r-p-e-l-o-s.
14	please step forward and be sworn as a witness in these	13	Q And is Tom a part of your legal name or is that
15	proceedings.	14	a nickname?
16	THE BAILIFF: Come stand over here, please. Face	15	A They use it in North America.
17	the court clerk and raise your right hand.	16	Q So you've heard lots of testimony today
18	(The oath was administered.)	17	referring to Tom. That is you?
19	THE WITNESS: I do.	18	A Yes.
20	THE COURT: Deputy, if you would collect the	19 20	Q Okay. And where do you reside? A I live in Greece right now in Astros, Arcadia.
21	transcript and the exhibit binder. Or should we leave	20	It's about a couple hours north of Athens.
22	the exhibit binder with him, Mr. Anderson?	21	Q How big is that town?
23	MR. ANDERSON: If you would leave the exhibit	23	A It's a small town.
24	binder, Your Honor, that would be great.	24	Q And English is not your first language;
			*
1	Page 176 correct?	1	Page 177 Q Can you tell us about your education
2	A No.	2	background?
3	Q Okay. Do you understand English well?	3	A I finished high school in Greece.
4	A Very much, yes.	4	Q Okay. When did you graduate from high school?
5	Q Okay. Do you speak English as well as you	5	A In 1983, I believe.
6	understand it?	6	Q And did you serve in the military at some
7	A Yes. I quess 80 percent.	7	point?
8	Q Okay. We'll go slow.	8	A Yes.
9	A Yes.		
		0	
		9	Q Okay. Tell us a little about that.
10	Q And the court reporter may appreciate you going	10	Q Okay. Tell us a little about that.A As a Greek citizen I have to serve in the
10 11	Q And the court reporter may appreciate you going slow. So if you don't understand something, let me	10 11	Q Okay. Tell us a little about that. A As a Greek citizen I have to serve in the military for three years.
10 11 12	Q And the court reporter may appreciate you going slow. So if you don't understand something, let me know, but	10 11 12	 Q Okay. Tell us a little about that. A As a Greek citizen I have to serve in the military for three years. Q Do you have any formal business training?
10 11 12 13	<pre>Q And the court reporter may appreciate you going slow. So if you don't understand something, let me know, but A Okay.</pre>	10 11 12 13	Q Okay. Tell us a little about that. A As a Greek citizen I have to serve in the military for three years. Q Do you have any formal business training? A No.
10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Q And the court reporter may appreciate you going slow. So if you don't understand something, let me know, but A Okay. Q myself and counsel and the judge is going to</pre>	10 11 12 13 14	 Q Okay. Tell us a little about that. A As a Greek citizen I have to serve in the military for three years. Q Do you have any formal business training? A No. Q After you got out of the military what did you
10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And the court reporter may appreciate you going slow. So if you don't understand something, let me know, but A Okay. Q myself and counsel and the judge is going to assume that if you're asked a question and you give an	10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. Tell us a little about that. A As a Greek citizen I have to serve in the military for three years. Q Do you have any formal business training? A No. Q After you got out of the military what did you do for work?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And the court reporter may appreciate you going slow. So if you don't understand something, let me know, but A Okay. Q myself and counsel and the judge is going to assume that if you're asked a question and you give an answer that you understood it. Okay?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. Tell us a little about that. A As a Greek citizen I have to serve in the military for three years. Q Do you have any formal business training? A No. Q After you got out of the military what did you do for work? A I work in the family's business. It's an olive
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 	<pre>Q And the court reporter may appreciate you going slow. So if you don't understand something, let me know, but</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. Tell us a little about that. A As a Greek citizen I have to serve in the military for three years. Q Do you have any formal business training? A No. Q After you got out of the military what did you do for work? A I work in the family's business. It's an olive oil business. We produce olive oil and table olives.
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	Q And the court reporter may appreciate you going slow. So if you don't understand something, let me know, but A Okay. Q myself and counsel and the judge is going to assume that if you're asked a question and you give an answer that you understood it. Okay? A Okay. Q Were you born in Greece?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. Tell us a little about that. A As a Greek citizen I have to serve in the military for three years. Q Do you have any formal business training? A No. Q After you got out of the military what did you do for work? A I work in the family's business. It's an olive oil business. We produce olive oil and table olives. THE COURT: What was oh, table oils did you say?
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	Q And the court reporter may appreciate you going slow. So if you don't understand something, let me know, but A Okay. Q myself and counsel and the judge is going to assume that if you're asked a question and you give an answer that you understood it. Okay? A Okay. Q Were you born in Greece? A Yes.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. Tell us a little about that. A As a Greek citizen I have to serve in the military for three years. Q Do you have any formal business training? A No. Q After you got out of the military what did you do for work? A I work in the family's business. It's an olive oil business. We produce olive oil and table olives. THE COURT: What was oh, table oils did you say? THE WITNESS: Yes.
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	Q And the court reporter may appreciate you going slow. So if you don't understand something, let me know, but A Okay. Q myself and counsel and the judge is going to assume that if you're asked a question and you give an answer that you understood it. Okay? A Okay. Q Were you born in Greece? A Yes. Q Okay. And did you live there your whole life?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. Tell us a little about that. A As a Greek citizen I have to serve in the military for three years. Q Do you have any formal business training? A No. Q After you got out of the military what did you do for work? A I work in the family's business. It's an olive oil business. We produce olive oil and table olives. THE COURT: What was oh, table oils did you say? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you.
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Q And the court reporter may appreciate you going slow. So if you don't understand something, let me know, but A Okay. Q myself and counsel and the judge is going to assume that if you're asked a question and you give an answer that you understood it. Okay? A Okay. Q Were you born in Greece? A Yes. Q Okay. And did you live there your whole life? A No, I live there all the way to 1988 and then I	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. Tell us a little about that. A As a Greek citizen I have to serve in the military for three years. Q Do you have any formal business training? A No. Q After you got out of the military what did you do for work? A I work in the family's business. It's an olive oil business. We produce olive oil and table olives. THE COURT: What was oh, table oils did you say? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. BY MR. ANDERSON:
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Q And the court reporter may appreciate you going slow. So if you don't understand something, let me know, but A Okay. Q myself and counsel and the judge is going to assume that if you're asked a question and you give an answer that you understood it. Okay? A Okay. Q Were you born in Greece? A Yes. Q Okay. And did you live there your whole life? A No, I live there all the way to 1988 and then I move to Canada.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. Tell us a little about that. A As a Greek citizen I have to serve in the military for three years. Q Do you have any formal business training? A No. Q After you got out of the military what did you do for work? A I work in the family's business. It's an olive oil business. We produce olive oil and table olives. THE COURT: What was oh, table oils did you say? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And after you moved to Canada you obviously
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q And the court reporter may appreciate you going slow. So if you don't understand something, let me know, but A Okay. Q myself and counsel and the judge is going to assume that if you're asked a question and you give an answer that you understood it. Okay? A Okay. Q Were you born in Greece? A Yes. Q Okay. And did you live there your whole life? A No, I live there all the way to 1988 and then I move to Canada. Q Okay. And where did you move in Canada?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. Tell us a little about that. A As a Greek citizen I have to serve in the military for three years. Q Do you have any formal business training? A No. Q After you got out of the military what did you do for work? A I work in the family's business. It's an olive oil business. We produce olive oil and table olives. THE COURT: What was oh, table oils did you say? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And after you moved to Canada you obviously weren't working at the olive oil farm anymore.
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Q And the court reporter may appreciate you going slow. So if you don't understand something, let me know, but A Okay. Q myself and counsel and the judge is going to assume that if you're asked a question and you give an answer that you understood it. Okay? A Okay. Q Were you born in Greece? A Yes. Q Okay. And did you live there your whole life? A No, I live there all the way to 1988 and then I move to Canada.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. Tell us a little about that. A As a Greek citizen I have to serve in the military for three years. Q Do you have any formal business training? A No. Q After you got out of the military what did you do for work? A I work in the family's business. It's an olive oil business. We produce olive oil and table olives. THE COURT: What was oh, table oils did you say? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q And after you moved to Canada you obviously

TRIAL - DAY 2 - 01/29/2019

	Page 178		Page 179
1	Q What did you do in Canada?	1	MR. ANDERSON: If I can just pause. I want to make
2	A In Canada I move and I work for my uncle. He's	2	sure the court reporter is able to understand.
3	operating a hotel in Alberta outside of Calgary. And	3	THE COURT: Mr. Skarpelos, I want you to know we're
4	then I move to British Columbia and I operate a lounge	4	not being disrespectful. I've understood everything I
5	there, a bar.	5	think that you've said so far, but if there is some
6	Q Okay. What kind of work were you doing for the	6	issue, we're going to let you know that maybe we're not
7	hotel and bar?	7	understanding completely what you say.
8	A I help my uncle with everything.	8	What I would request is that you speak just a
9	Q At some point in time did you become involved	9	little bit slower when you're using names, because
10	in the stock market?	10	oftentimes it's the names of things or the names of
11	A Yes. That was around 1994.	11	places that we tend to say very fast. But, again, I'm
12	Q Okay. And tell us a little bit about your	12	not hopefully you're not offended by that.
13	investing experience.	13	THE WITNESS: No, no, no.
14	A I have no experience up to that point. I	14	THE COURT: I have a pretty good ear for languages,
15	become a small player like a stock I play the	15	and so I've understood you completely, but we just want
16	stocks, small investor. I play the penny stocks, the	16	to make sure we get exactly what you say down. The
17	Canadian mining stocks.	17	court reporter's job is to take down every single word
18	Q And you just mentioned that at some point in	18	that you say accurately.
19	time you invested in a diamond mining business?	19	So go ahead, Mr. Anderson. Thank you.
20	A Yes. Happenstance I met a Canadian geologist	20	BY MR. ANDERSON:
21	which was working here in Nevada actually for gold	21	Q And tell us a little bit more about that mining
22	exploration and in Northwest Territories in Canada. He	22	business you invested in.
23	was exploring for diamonds. And that led to a diamond	23	A It was a small company at first looking for
24	discovery in 1995.	24	diamonds across Canada all the way to Northwest
1	Page 180 Territories in Canada. And that led to a big discovery	1	Page 181 21 years old, and a boy, he's 14 years old.
2	in 1995. So the company stock jumped from pennies to	2	Q And so you and your family moved to Athens?
3	\$67.	3	A Yes.
4	Q Did you make some money off that?	4	Q And when was that?
5	A Yes.	5	A That was in 2003.
6	Q Now, at some point in time did you move back to	6	Q And is your wife Greek as well?
	greece?	7	A She's Canadian, Greek Canadian, from Calgary,
8	A Yes. I stayed in Canada until 1999 and around	8	Alberta.
9	the summer of 1999 I move back to Greece.	9	Q She was born and raised in Canada?
10	Q And did you do anything for work when you moved	10	A Yes.
11	back to Greece?	11	Q When you moved to Athens in 2005 what did you
12	A No.	12	do?
13	Q When you moved back to Greece where did you	13	A 2003.
14	move?	14	
15	A I moved back to my home town in Astros.	15	Q I'm sorry. A Yes. I felt I have to get back to business,
	•		but in Greece we don't have mining sector. There are
16	Q At some point did you move from Astros to	16	5
17	another place?	17	no mines there. So I apply my knowledge, what I learn
18	A Yes. I stayed in Astros for three years with	18	with exploring for metals in North America, to the
19	my family, my wife, my daughter. And I hang around in	19	biotech sector for discovering new pharmaceuticals.
20	the family's business in the farms there and then I	20	Q Was there anything in particular about the
21	decide to go to Athens.	21	biotech sector that attracted you?
22	Q Okay. And you mentioned your family. You're	22	A Yes, yes, a research project for Alzheimer's
23	married?	23	disease.
24	A Yes, I'm married and I have a daughter, she's	24	Q And how did you get involved in that research