

NOT

Frederick O Silver

P.O BOX 276353

SAN ANTONIO, TX 78227

E-mail: ASCLV1@gmail.com

Tel: 210-803-2299

Electronically Filed
Sep 04 2019 11:47 a.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Candice Katie Towner,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Frederick O Silver,

Defendant,

} **CASE NO: D-18-565588-C**

} Related Cases: R-17-196685-R

} DEPT NO: H / CHILD SUPPORT

} Demand for Trial by Jury

NOTICE TO APPEAL TO SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA.

COMES NOW Frederick O Silver, a Man, and One of the People of the United States of America gives Notice that Frederick O Silver is Appealing to the Nevada Supreme Court, the attached Order in this notice of appeal.

1. Order.

Respectfully submitted

Dated this 28th day of August 2019.

By: /s/: Frederick O Silver

P. O BOX 276353

SAN ANTONIO, TX 78227

Tel: 210-803-2299

Email: ASCLV1@gmail.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE / MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 28th day of August 2019, A true copy and accurate copies of the foregoing document was electronically filed on-line using Odyssey File & Serve:

(a) On Odyssey File & Serve system, which will automatically serve a notice of Electronic filing;

(b) By deposit in the U.S. Mail

(c) By e-mail to the below identified on the following persons:

1. Clark County Child Support Division/DA Family Support Division

1900 East Flamingo Road Suite 100, Las Vegas, NV 89119

2. Candice Katie Towner

8216 MT BRODIE CIR, LAS VEGAS, NV 89145-4559

(Attorney) Mary D Perry: 840 S Rancho Dr. Suite 4-256, Las Vegas, NV 89106.

Respectfully submitted

DATED this 28th day of August 2019.

By: /S/ Frederick O Silver
P. O BOX 276353
SAN ANTONIO, TX 78227
Tel: 210-803-2299
Email: ASCLV1@gmail.com

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA****DA - Child Support In State****COURT MINUTES****January 17, 2019****R-17-196685-R**

Candice Katie Towner, Petitioner(s).

vs.

Frederick Omoyuma Silver, Respondent(s).

**January 17, 2019****09:00 AM****Minute Order****HEARD BY:**

Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03G**COURT CLERK:**

Prock, Kathy

PARTIES PRESENT:**Public by DAFS, Other, Not Present****Steven B Wolfson, Attorney, Not Present****Candice Katie Towner, Petitioner, Not Present****Frederick Omoyuma Silver, Respondent, Not Present****Gabriel William Towner, Subject Minor, Not Present****JOURNAL ENTRIES**

This child support case is set for hearing on Wednesday, Jan. 23, 2019, at 9 am. This matter is an objection to the hearing masters recommendation from a hearing held on October 9, 2018. The Objection was filed on November 28, 2018. On December 19, 2018, **Mr. Towner filed a Notice of Appeal.**

Because the matter is on appeal, and the objection to the recommendation is not collateral to the appeal, this hearing should be vacated.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:**FUTURE HEARINGS:****Jan 29, 2019 9:00AM Motion****Greystone Courtroom #2 Femiano, Jane**

Heather J. Smith
CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **ORDR**
2 **MARY D. PERRY, ESQ.**
3 Nevada Bar No.: 7863
4 840 Rancho Drive, Suite 4-256
5 Las Vegas, NV 89106
6 Telephone (702) 384-9911
7 maryd@marydperryllaw.com
8 Attorney for Plaintiff

9
10 **DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION**
11 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

12 **CANDICE KATIE TOWNER,**
13 **Plaintiff,**

Case No: **D-18-565588-C**
Dept No: **H**

14 **vs.**

Linked/Related with Case Nos.:
D-18-572202-R & R-17-196685-R

15 **FREDERICK O. SILVER,**
16 **Defendant.**

Date: 12/18/18
Time: 9:00 am

17 **ORDER AFTER HEARING CERTIFYING INTENT TO GRANT RELIEF**

18 This matter having come on regularly before the Court for upon the Motion for
19 *Determination of Vexations Litigant for Sanctions/Attorney's Fees and Restraining*
20 *Order* and the Plaintiff, Candice Katie Towner not appearing personally and being
21 represented by her attorney, MARY D. PERRY, ESQ.; and the Defendant, Frederick O.
22 Silver not personally appearing; and the Court, having reviewed all the pleadings and
23 papers filed herein, and good cause appearing, hereby finds:

24 **FINDINGS:**

- 25 1. That the Court must analyze the various factors in that any individual with
26 a valid claim access to the courts;
27 2. That the Court seeks to protect litigants from frivolous and/or abusive
28 filings;
29 3. That any Court access restrictions have to be justified;
30 4. That the Court has the inherent powers to control how individuals exercise
31 matters in a civil case;

1 5. That there was notice to the Defendant, Frederick O. Silver, which protected
2 his due process rights, and there sufficient evidence in the record that he had actual
3 notice, as well as his response and participation in this matter and oppositions to the
4 within motion;

5 6. That the Court has made allowances for the Defendant to appear via
6 telephonic appearance in previous hearings, and Defendant made no such request for
7 this hearing, and the Court will consider his filed pleadings;

8 7. That the Court is required to create an accurate record;

9 8. That the Order of November 30, 2018, with Notice of Entry filed December
10 3, 2018, from which Defendant appeals, did not relate to the Motion for
11 Determination of Vexatious Litigant, but rather post judgment matters (approximate
12 15 filings) which were being filed by the Defendant on an almost daily basis;

13 9. That as to substance of the filings, the Court is required to review the
14 entirety of the matter as well as said applications to make any determination as to
15 whether or not they are frivolous and/or harassing in nature; that the Defendant has
16 not helped himself by the repeated language he uses and/or his descriptive of the
17 Plaintiff, the minor child, judicial officers, etc.;

18 10. That the Court has sought to look past the offensive descriptives to see if
19 the Defendant has made any claim which had any substantive merit;

20 11. The Court finds that the pleadings filed are repetitive, containing the same
21 requests for relief, over and over again;

22 12. That there is no factual or legal basis to challenge the court's orders;

23 13. That the Defendant made assertions that the Court cannot make orders as
24 to child custody/child support, etc., as he was not the father;

25 14. That the Court, in an abundance of caution as to this particular issue, the
26 Court stated that even though, through prior proceedings that the Defendant was
27 determined to be the father, the Defendant was provided, on the outside chance that
28 he may have an actual defense, allowed for the Defendant to take a DNA test to at
least to have some factual basis that Defendant is the actual parent;

1 15. The Defendant refused to avail himself of said order of the Court, in fact,
2 multiple pleadings have been filed, and are in the record, of his voluntary refusal to
3 take same;

4 16. Subsequently, after further hearing, the Decree of Custody was entered,
5 with the Defendant again determined to be the biological parent of the subject minor
6 child; the Defendant was granted partial relief in that he wanted absolutely no
7 relationship or contact with the minor child, and that sole legal and phisical custody
8 was awarded to the Plaintiff in the child's best interests;

9 17. That the issues presented herein were simple - the determination of child
10 custody and/or child support;

11 18. That the Court, in reviewing the record, finds that the multitude of
12 pleadings were intended by the Defendant to harass the Plaintiff with his abuse of
13 process, and that the Plaintiff's motion is meritorious;

14 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

15 19. That the Court, after review and analysis, determines that with the Notice
16 of Appeal having been filed, that the ruling on the within motion is not collateral to
17 the Decree of Custody and that it is a separate issue, one not being solely for
18 attorneys fees or contempt;

19 20. That it is the intent of the Court to grant the relief requested, which will still
20 leave the Defendant the ability to have access to the Court process, but with restrictions.

21 ORDER


22 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the Court certifies its intention to grant the
23 *Determination of Vexations Litigant for Sanctions/Attorney's Fees and Restraining*
24 *Order*, and is making this record for the purposes of a remand as stated in Huneycutt
25 *v. Huneycutt*, 94 Nev. 79, 575 P.2d 585 (1978); and it is further
26
27
28

1 ORDERED, that it is directed to the Supreme Court that should it provide a
2 *Huneycutt* remand, in that it is the intention of the within court to grant the relief to
3 the Plaintiff as requested in said motion.

4
5 Dated the 10 day of Jan., 2019.

6
7 
8 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
9 T ART RITCHIE, JR. *TR*

10 Submitted by:

11 
12 MARY D. PERRY, ESQ.
13 Nevada Bar No.: 7863
14 840 Rancho Drive, Suite 4-256
15 Las Vegas, NV 89106
16 Telephone (702) 384-9911
17 maryd@marydperryllaw.com
18 Attorney for Plaintiff


19
20
21
22
23
24
25 

26
27
28
AUG 20 2019

CERTIFIED COPY
DOCUMENT ATTACHED IS A
TRUE AND CORRECT COPY
OF THE DOCUMENT ON FILE

MRAO
STEVEN B. WOLFSON
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Nevada Bar No. 001565
FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION
1900 East Flamingo Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168
(702) 671-9200
TTY and/or other relay services: 711
605017100A

Electronically Filed
2/20/2019 8:23 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT



District Court

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Candice Katie Towner,

Petitioner,

vs.

Frederick Omoyuma Silver,

Respondent.

Case No. R-17-196685-R

Department No. CHILD SUPPORT

MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION

This matter having been heard on JANUARY 29, 2019 before the undersigned Hearing Master, having considered all the evidence and having been fully advised in the premises, hereby makes the following Findings and Recommendations:

Parties present: ☒ Respondent ☐ Respondent's attorney ☒ Petitioner ☐ Petitioner's attorney

☐ PATERNITY ☒ PATERNITY PREVIOUSLY DECIDED

☒ FINANCIALS: ☐ CONTINUE PRIOR ORDERS (NO CHANGE TO PRIOR FINANCIAL ORDERS).

Respondent's gross monthly income (GMI) : ; formula amount % of GMI=

Basis for deviation from state formula: _____

Respondent is to pay current support for the child(ren), Gabriel Towner.

CHILD SUPPORT

Respondent is to pay monthly:

\$562.00 child support

\$51.00 medical support (in lieu of health insurance)

spousal support

arrear payment

☐ ARREARAGES ☒ ARREARAGES NOT ADDRESSED AT THIS HEARING

\$ 613.00

TOTAL monthly payment is due on the 1st day of each month, and continues thereafter until said child(ren) reach majority, become emancipated or further order of the Court.

Respondent's INCOME SHALL BE WITHHELD for the payment of support.

☐ Good cause to stay income withholding is based on: _____. Said withholding shall be postponed until Respondent becomes delinquent in an amount equal to 30 days support.

☐ ENFORCEMENT OF CONTROLLING ORDER: The registered order from _____, dated _____, #_____, is hereby confirmed and is the controlling order for the following reasons: ☐ only order _____.

☐ ESTABLISHMENT OF CONTROLLING ORDER: This is the first order establishing a child support obligation for this noncustodial parent for the child(ren) listed in this order who reside(s) with this custodian.

☐ Respondent is referred to Employment Services for an appointment on _____ at _____ AM.

☒ Health insurance coverage for the minor child(ren) herein:

☐ Respondent to provide: ☒ Petitioner to provide: ☐ Both Parties to provide:

☒ if available through employer. ☐ shall provide per court order.

☒ Ordered Party(ies) to provide proof of said insurance to the District Attorney's Office, Family Support Division within 90 days of today's date.

Under the Affordable Care Act, Medicaid is acceptable coverage.

☐ CONTEMPT OF COURT ☒ NOT A SHOW CAUSE HEARING
☐ MODIFICATION OF PRIOR ORDER:

☐ SUSPENSION OF LICENSES:

PAYMENTS

All mailed payments **MUST** be made in the form of a cashier's check, money order or business check **ONLY**, made payable to State Collection and Disbursement Unit (SCaDU). If payments are made in person, cash or debit card are also accepted.

Payments can be mailed to:

State Collection and Disbursement Unit (SCaDU)
P.O. Box 98950
Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-8950

Payments can be made in person at:

State Collection and Disbursement Unit (SCaDU)
1900 East Flamingo Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168

Additionally, the following information must be included with each payment: name (first, middle, last) of person responsible for paying child support, social security number of person responsible for paying child support, child support case number, and name of petitioner (first and last name of person receiving child support).

NOTICE: NO CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER.

NOTICE: PRIOR ORDERS NOT SPECIFICALLY MODIFIED HEREIN REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT.

NOTICE: Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases with a Nevada controlling order pursuant to NRS 99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion thereof, of an obligation to pay support for a child, pursuant to NRS 125B.095. If the Respondent pays support through income withholding and the full obligation is not met by the amount withheld by the employer, the Respondent is responsible to pay the difference between the court ordered obligation and the amount withheld by the employer directly to the state disbursement unit. If the Respondent fails to do so, he/she may be subject to assessment of penalties and interest. The Respondent may avoid these additional costs by making current support payments each month. If another state takes jurisdiction and obtains a new order, Nevada interest and penalties will only be calculated to the date of the new order and will be enforced.

NOTICE: Pursuant to NRS 125B.145 and federal law, EITHER parent, the legal guardian, and the Division of Welfare and Supportive Services, where there is an assignment of support rights to the State, has the right to request a review of the support provision of this order at least every three (3) years to determine if modification is appropriate; an application for this purpose may be obtained from D.A. Family Support at 1900 E. Flamingo Rd., Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168.

NOTICE: Objections/Appeals are governed by EDCR1.40(e) and (f). You have ten (10) days from receipt of this Master's Recommendation to serve and file written objections to it. A failure to file and serve written objections will result in a final Order/Judgment being ordered by District Court. However, the Master's Recommendation is not an Order/Judgment unless signed and filed by a Judge.

NOTICE: Appeal from a Final Judgment by the Court is governed by NRAP 4 and must be filed within 30 days of written Notice of Entry of Judgment.

NOTICE: Respondent is responsible for notifying the District Attorney, Family Support Division, of any change of address, change of employment, health insurance coverage, change of custody, or any order relative to child support within ten (10) days of such change.

Respondent to bring new financial statement and proof of income next date.

This order does not stay collection of support arrears by execution or any other means allowed by law.

MISCELLANEOUS FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Respondent is making regular payments every two weeks.

Chief DDA notes that Supreme Court appeal dismissed on 12/20/18.

Having reviewed R's Motions and Family Court proceedings and Supreme Court proceedings:

R's Motions (see Motions for Debt Validation to Clark County Child Support Enforcement Agency Nevada and Persons with Name: Veronica Gomez, Femiano, Jane D and R's Motion and Notice of Fraud By Person With Name of Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr., and R's Motion to Set Aside A Void Judgment, Recission of Paternity, and Paternity Disestablishment) and demands for relief addressed in his numerous filings are denied.

This Court has previously ruled and established paternity. In subsequent hearings, this Court has denied R's repeated requests to disestablish paternity. Again, this Court denies R's request to dis-establish paternity based on res judicata.


Child Support Court conforms to District Court orders. In D565588, Decision and Order filed on 11/30/18 reflects R's "serial filings" and R's filings do not state any facts or arguments that constitute adequate cause to reconsider the orders from those prior hearings. Child Support Court also recommends that R's filings in R196685 do not state any facts or arguments that constitute adequate cause to reconsider the orders from those prior hearings.

Finally, District Court has also issued an Order After Hearing Certifying Intent to Grant Relief, that was filed on 1/18/19 in R196685 and other cases, finding R to be "vexatious litigant".

Chief DDA indicates that R has credit balance on his arrears, unaudited. As such arrears payment removed. If arrears should occur in the future, the arrears payment of \$60 will be re-instated.

NEXT HEARING DATE IS OC in Courtroom in Child Support Court at Child Support Center of Southern Nevada, 1900 East Flamingo Road, Las Vegas, Nevada, for further proceedings.

DATED: JANUARY 29, 2019



MASTER

USJR DISPOSITIONS

- ☒ - Settled/Withdrawn w/Judicial Conference/Hearing
- ☐ - Involuntary (Statutory) Dismissal
- ☐ - Dismissed / Want of Prosecution
- ☐ - Transferred to Another Jurisdiction
- ☐ - Other Manner of Dispo
- ☐ - Close Case

**Respondent/Respondent's Attorney
Receipt of this document is
acknowledged by my signature.**

ORDER/JUDGMENT

☒ The Clerk of the Court having reviewed the District Court's file and having determined that no objection has been filed within the ten day objection period, the Master's Recommendation is hereby deemed approved by the District Court

pursuant to NRS 425.3844. The affixing of the Clerk of the Court's file stamp to this Master's Recommendation signifies that the ten-day objection period has expired without an objection having been filed and that the District Court deems the Master's Recommendation to be approved as an **ORDER/JUDGMENT** of the District Court, effective with the file stamp date, without need of a District Court Judge's signature affixed hereto. **The parties are ordered to comply with this Order/Judgment.**

☐ The District Court, having reviewed the above and foregoing Master's Recommendation, and having received and considered the objection thereto, as well as any other papers, testimony and argument related thereto and good cause appearing,

☐ **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Master's Recommendation IS affirmed and adopted as an **ORDER/JUDGMENT** of the District Court this _____ day of _____, 20____.

☐ **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Master's Recommendation IS NOT affirmed and adopted this _____ day of _____, 20____ and this matter is remanded to Child Support Court on _____, 20____ at _____M.

District Court Judge, Family Division

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar No. 001565

By: Robert J. Dandrea
DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION
1900 East Flamingo Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168

ASSUMED NAME RECORDS

CERTIFICATE OF OWNERSHIP FOR UNINCORPORATED BUSINESS OR PROFESSION

NOTICE: "CERTIFICATES OF OWNERSHIP" ARE VALID ONLY FOR A PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED 10 YEARS FROM THE DATE FILED IN THE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE.

(See Chapter 71 of the Texas Business and Commerce Code for other requirements and additional information)

NAME IN WHICH BUSINESS IS, OR IS TO BE, CONDUCTED:

FREDERICK OMOYUMA SILVER

PHYSICAL ADDRESS OF BUSINESS: 7737 SKOLOUT ST, APT 126

CITY: San Antonio STATE: TEXAS ZIP CODE: [78227]

PERIOD DURING WHICH ASSUMED NAME WILL BE USED (not to exceed 10 years): 10 Years

BUSINESS IS TO BE CONDUCTED AS (check one):

☒ Individual

☐ General Partnership

☐ Limited Partnership

☐ Other (name type): _____

CERTIFICATE OF OWNERSHIP

I/We, the undersigned, are the owner(s) of the above business and my/our name(s) and address(es) given is/are true and correct, and there is/are no ownership(s) in said business other than those listed herein below.

NAMES OF OWNERS

NAME Silver, Frederick O moyuma SIGNATURE [Signature]

ADDRESS 7737 skolout st, Apt 126, San Antonio TX [78227]

NAME _____ SIGNATURE _____

ADDRESS _____

NAME _____ SIGNATURE _____

ADDRESS _____

STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OF BEXAR
CERTIFIED COPY CERTIFICATE

The page to which this certificate is affixed may have been lawfully altered to redact confidential personal information but is otherwise a full, true and correct copy of the original on file and of record in my office.
ATTESTED:

FEB 11 2019



LUCY ADAME-CLARK
COUNTY CLERK
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

BY: [Signature]
DEPUTY

THE STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF BEXAR

BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, on this day personally appeared _____

Silver, Frederick O moyuma

known to me to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he is/are the owner(s) of the above-named business and that he signed the same for the purpose and consideration therein expressed.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE, on February 11, 2019



Notary Public in and for State of Texas
OR/BY

LUCY ADAME-CLARK, Bexar County Clerk

By: [Signature], Deputy

Sf

Lucy Adame-Clark

COUNTY CLERK



BEXAR COUNTY

MAILING ADDRESS:
BEXAR COUNTY COURTHOUSE
100 DOLOROSA ST. SUITE 104
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78205

LOCATION ADDRESS:
PAUL ELIZONDO TOWER
101 W. NUEVA ST. SUITE 120
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78205

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF TEXAS §
 §
COUNTY OF BEXAR §

I, LUCY ADAME-CLARK, COUNTY CLERK OF BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS,
DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE BELOW AND FOREGOING IS A TRUE
AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL:

[1] ASSUMED NAME CERTIFICATE

[] ABANDONMENT OF ASSUMED NAME CERTIFICATE

WHICH IS FILED UNDER NUMBER 20190553497 AND APPEARS OF
RECORD IN MY OFFICE IN THE OFFICIAL PUBLIC RECORDS OF
GOVERNMENTAL, BUSINESS, AND PERSONAL MATTERS OF BEXAR
COUNTY, TEXAS.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL
SEAL OF OFFICE GIVEN IN THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, BEXAR COUNTY,
TEXAS, ON THIS THE 11 DAY OF February A.D., 20 19.

LUCY ADAME-CLARK
COUNTY CLERK
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

BY:

Lilas Dwyer

DEPUTY



VG-147-2019-20190558497

File Information

FILED IN THE OFFICIAL PUBLIC RECORDS OF BEXAR COUNTY
LUCY ADAME-CLARK, BEXAR COUNTY CLERK

Document Number: 20190558497
Recorded Date: February 11, 2019
Recorded Time: 9:33 AM
Total Pages: 2
Total Fees: \$14.00

**** THIS PAGE IS PART OF THE DOCUMENT ****

**** Do Not Remove ****

Any provision herein which restricts the sale or use of the described real property because of race is invalid and unenforceable under Federal law

STATE OF TEXAS, COUNTY OF BEXAR

I hereby Certify that this instrument was FILED in File Number Sequence on this date and at the time stamped hereon by me and was duly RECORDED in the Official Public Record of Bexar County, Texas on:
2/11/2019 9:33 AM



Lucy Adame-Clark
Lucy Adame-Clark
Bexar County Clerk

STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OF BEXAR
CERTIFIED COPY CERTIFICATE
The page to which this certificate is affixed may have been lawfully altered to redact confidential personal information but is otherwise a full, true and correct copy of the original on file and of record in my office.
ATTESTED:

FEB 11 2019



LUCY ADAME-CLARK
COUNTY CLERK
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

BY:

DEPUTY

HEARST

MEDIA SOLUTIONS

San Antonio Express-News | ExpressNews.com | mySA.com

SAN ANTONIO EXPRESS NEWS AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF TEXAS: COUNTY OF BEXAR


Before me, the undersigned authority, a Notary Public in and for the State of Texas, on this day personally appeared: Lynette Nelson, who after being duly sworn, says that she is the BOOKKEEPER of HEARST NEWSPAPERS, LLC - dba: SAN ANTONIO EXPRESS-NEWS, a daily newspaper published in Bexar County, Texas and that the publication, of which the annexed is a true copy, was published to wit:

Customer ID: 862073

Customer Name: Silver, Frederick Omoyuma

Order ID: 3010279


Publication	Pub Date
Southside Reporter	20-FEB-19
Southside Reporter	27-FEB-19



Lynette Nelson
Bookkeeper

Sworn and subscribed to before me, this 27 day of Feb. A.D. 2019

Notary public in and for the State of Texas



PUBLIC NOTICE OF REGISTERED ASSUMED NAME

Texas, Bexar County.
Please be advised that Silver, Frederick Omoyuma, living at 7737 Skolout St, Apt 126, San Antonio Texas [78227], is the Executor/Beneficiary/Name holder of the business now being carried on at PO Box 276353, San Antonio Texas 78227, in the following assumed name, to wit, FREDERICK OMOYUMA SILVER; and the nature of the business is commerce. This statement is to be filed with the Clerk of Court of Bexar County, this 13th day of February 2018. San Antonio, Texas Bexar County.

Office of the Minnesota Secretary of State

Certificate of Assumed Name

Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 333



The filing of an assumed name does not provide a user with exclusive rights to that name. The filing is required for consumer protection in order to enable customers to be able to identify the true owner of a business.

ASSUMED NAME: **FREDERICK OMOYUMA SILVER**

PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS: **7737 Skolout St, Apt 126 San Antonio TX 78227 USA**

NAMEHOLDER(S):

Name:

Silver Frederick Omoyuma

Address:

**7737 Skolout St, Apt 126 San Antonio TX 78227
USA**

If you submit an attachment, it will be incorporated into this document. If the attachment conflicts with the information specifically set forth in this document, this document supersedes the data referenced in the attachment.

By typing my name, I, the undersigned, certify that I am signing this document as the person whose signature is required, or as agent of the person(s) whose signature would be required who has authorized me to sign this document on his/her behalf, or in both capacities. I further certify that I have completed all required fields, and that the information in this document is true and correct and in compliance with the applicable chapter of Minnesota Statutes. I understand that by signing this document I am subject to the penalties of perjury as set forth in Section 609.48 as if I had signed this document under oath.

SIGNED BY: **Silver, Frederick Omoyuma**

MAILING ADDRESS: **7737 Skolout St, Apt 126 San Antonio TX 78227**

EMAIL FOR OFFICIAL NOTICES: **asclv1@gmail.com**



Work Item 1066489100024
Original File Number 1066489100024

STATE OF MINNESOTA
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE
FILED
02/02/2019 11:59 PM

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Steve Simon".

Steve Simon
Secretary of State

Business Record Details »

Minnesota Business Name

FREDERICK OMOYUMA SILVER

Business Type

Assumed Name

MN Statute

333

File Number

1066489100024

Home Jurisdiction

Minnesota

Filing Date

2/2/2019

Status

Active / In Good Standing

Renewal Due Date

12/31/2020

Principal Place of Business Address

7737 Skolout St, Apt 126
San Antonio, TX 78227
USA

Mailing Address

7737 Skolout St, Apt 126
San Antonio, TX 78227
USA

Nameholder

Nameholder Address

Silver Frederick Omoyuma

7737 Skolout St, Apt 126, San Antonio, TX 78227

**Office of the Minnesota Secretary of State
Certificate of Existence and Registration**

I, Steve Simon, Secretary of State of Minnesota, do certify that: The entity listed below was filed under the chapter of Minnesota Statutes listed below with the Office of the Secretary of State on the date listed below and that this entity or filing is registered at the time this certificate has been issued.

Name:	FREDERICK OMOYUMA SILVER
Date Filed:	02/02/2019
File Number:	1066489100024
Minnesota Statutes, Chapter:	333
Home Jurisdiction:	Minnesota
 This certificate has been issued on:	 02/02/2019



Steve Simon
Steve Simon
Secretary of State
State of Minnesota



1 ASTA

2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE**
7 **STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR**
8 **THE COUNTY OF CLARK**

9 CANDICE K. TOWNER,

10 Plaintiff(s)

11 vs.

12 FREDERICK O. SILVER,

13 Defendant(s),

Case No: D-18-565588-C

Dept No: H

14
15
16 **CASE APPEAL STATEMENT**

17
18 1. Appellant(s): Frederick O. Silver

19 2. Judge: T. Arthur Ritchie, Jr.

20 3. Appellant(s): Frederick O. Silver

21 Counsel:

22 Frederick O. Silver
23 P.O. Box 276353
24 San Antonio, TX 78227

25 4. Respondent (s): Candice K. Towner

26 Counsel:

27 Emily McFarling, Esq.
28 6230 W. Desert Inn Rd.
Las Vegas, NV 89146

- 1 5. Appellant(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: N/A
2 Permission Granted: N/A
3 Respondent(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: Yes
4 Permission Granted: N/A
5 6. Has Appellant Ever Been Represented by Appointed Counsel In District Court: No
6 7. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel On Appeal: N/A
7 8. Appellant Granted Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis: Yes, July 3, 2018
8 *Expired*
9 Appellant Filed Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis: No
10 Date Application(s) filed: N/A
11 9. Date Commenced in District Court: February 7, 2018
12 10. Brief Description of the Nature of the Action: DOMESTIC - Child Custody
13 Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed: Misc. Order
14 11. Previous Appeal: Yes
15 Supreme Court Docket Number(s): 77672, 77673, 77787, 77815, 78005, 78067
16 12. Case involves Child Custody and/or Visitation: Custody
17 Appeal involves Child Custody and/or Visitation: Custody
18 13. Possibility of Settlement: Unknown

19 Dated This 30 day of August 2019.

20 Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

21 /s/ Heather Ungermann
22 Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk
23 200 Lewis Ave
24 PO Box 551601
25 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601
26 (702) 671-0512

27 cc: Frederick O. Silver
28

CASE SUMMARY**CASE NO. D-18-565588-C**

Candice K Towner, Plaintiff.
vs.
Frederick O Silver, Defendant.

§
 §
 §
 §

Location: **Department H**
 Judicial Officer: **Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.**
 Filed on: **02/07/2018**

CASE INFORMATION**Related Cases**

D-15-518374-C (1J1F Related - Rule 5.103)

R-17-196685-R (1J1F Related - Rule 5.103)

Case Type: **Child Custody Complaint**Case Status: **11/20/2018 Reopened****Statistical Closures**

11/19/2018 Settled/Withdrawn With Judicial Conference or Hearing

Case Flags: **Proper Person Documents Mailed**
Appealed to Supreme Court
Vexatious Litigant
Per Order Filed 01/18/2019
In Forma Pauperis Granted
Expired 07/03/2019 - Granted to Frederick Silver 07/03/2018


DATE**CASE ASSIGNMENT****Current Case Assignment**

Case Number	D-18-565588-C
Court	Department H
Date Assigned	02/07/2018
Judicial Officer	Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.

PARTY INFORMATION














Plaintiff	Towner, Candice K 8216 Mount Brodie CIR Las Vegas, NV 89145	<i>Attorneys</i> McFarling, Emily M, ESQ <i>Retained</i> 702-565-4335(W)
Defendant	Silver, Frederick O PO Box 276353 San Antonio, TX 78227	Pro Se 210-803-2299(H)
Subject Minor	Towner, Gabriel William	

DATE**EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT****EVENTS**

02/07/2018	 Complaint for Custody <i>Complaint for Custody</i>
04/09/2018	 Affidavit in Support <i>Affidavit in Support of Service</i>
05/16/2018	 Default Filed By: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K Default Prty: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Default</i>
06/22/2018	 Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O
07/03/2018	 Order to Proceed In Forma Pauperis Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O

















CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-18-565588-C

07/03/2018	 Answer Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O Party 2: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K <i>Defendant Fredrick O Silver response to Complaint to establish Custody and Demand for Termination of Parental Rights</i>
07/10/2018	 Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O Party 2: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K <i>Defendant Frederick O Silver Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Rule 12(B)(6) and Counterclaim and Cross- Claim Pursuant to Rule Rule 13</i>
07/10/2018	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Certificate of Service</i>
07/16/2018	 Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O Party 2: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K <i>Motion/Petition For Termination Of Child Support Order</i>
07/16/2018	 Document Filed Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Income Withholding For Support</i>
07/18/2018	 Reply to Counterclaim Filed By: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K <i>Reply to Frederick O Silver's Response to Complaint to Establish Custody and Demand for Termination of Parental Righths</i>
07/19/2018	 Notice Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Intent to Appear by Communication Equipment</i>
07/23/2018	 Financial Disclosure Form Filed by: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K
07/23/2018	 Opposition and Countermotion Filed By: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K Party 2: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Pltf's Combined Opposition To Motion To Dismiss And Motion To Terminate Child Support Counter Motion To Consolidate Cases Determination Of Child Custody Confirm Child Support For Attys Fees</i>
07/24/2018	 Certificate of Mailing Filed By: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K Party 2: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Certificate of Mailing</i>
07/24/2018	 Order
07/26/2018	 Miscellaneous Filing <i>Defts Request for Correction of Name on Register of Actions</i>
07/26/2018	 Certificate of Mailing Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O Party 2: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K <i>Certificate of Mailing</i>
07/30/2018	 Certificate of Service <i>Amended Certificate of Service</i>
07/31/2018	 NRCP 16.2 Case Management Conference <i>Order Setting Case Management Conference and Directing Compliance with NRCP 16.205</i>
08/01/2018	 Notice of Change of Address

















CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-18-565588-C

	Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Change of Address</i>
08/10/2018	 Notice Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Defendant Frederick O Silver Notice of Motion to the Court and Judge T. Arthur Ritchie Jr.</i>
08/15/2018	 Notice Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Def't's Non- Consent and Non-Waiver to Trial by a Judge</i>
08/16/2018	 Objection Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Objection to Order Setting Case Management Conference and Directing Compliance with NRCP 16.205</i>
09/07/2018	 Request Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Frederick O Silver Demand to Produce</i>
09/07/2018	 Objection <i>Frederick O Silver Objection and Rejection to DNA Testing and Rescission of Acknowledgemetn of Paternity to T Arthur Ritchie</i>
09/12/2018	 Order <i>Order After Hearing</i>
09/14/2018	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
09/18/2018	 Response Filed By: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K <i>Response to Defendant's Objection</i>
09/25/2018	 Notice Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Intent to Appear by Communication Equipment</i>
09/25/2018	 Objection Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Frederick O Silver Objection and Rejection of Order Signed by Person with Name T. Arthur Ritchie, Jr.</i>
10/02/2018	 Order <i>Order</i>
10/09/2018	 Notice Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>NOTICE OF FINAL WARNING</i>
11/05/2018	 Motion to Set Aside Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion and Notice of Motion for Orders to Set Aside Order, Judgment, and/or Default</i>
11/05/2018	 Notice Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Frederick O Silver Notice to Fat Face Idiot With Name T. Arthur Ritchie, Jr.</i>
11/07/2018	 Demand Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Frederick O Silver Demand for Service of Process by US Postal Certified Mail to Fat Face Idiot With Name T. Arthur Ritchie, Jr.</i>
11/11/2018	 Demand Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Demand for copy of order signed on 10/02/2018</i>

















CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-18-565588-C

11/13/2018	 Demand Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Demand for Affidavit of Service Process and Motions in limine</i>
11/15/2018	 Opposition to Motion Filed by: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K <i>Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion to Set Aside</i>
11/15/2018	 Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K Party 2: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Pltf's Motion And Notie Of Motion For Determination Of Vexations Litigants For Sanctions Atty Fees And Restraining Order</i>
11/15/2018	 Notice of Entry of Order <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
11/15/2018	 Demand Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Demand to Produce Affidavit of Paternity</i>
11/16/2018	 Reply Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Defendant's Demand In Support of Motion to Set Aside a Void Judgment, Rescission of Paternity and Paternity Disestablishment</i>
11/16/2018	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Paternity Disestablishment by Frederick O Silver</i>
11/19/2018	 Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion to produce signed affidavit of paternity</i>
11/19/2018	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion to produce signed affidavit of paternity</i>
11/19/2018	 Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion For Termination Of Child Support Order</i>
11/19/2018	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion/Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
11/19/2018	 Decree <i>Decree of Child Custody</i>
11/20/2018	 Motion to Dismiss Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Frederick O Silver Motion to Dismiss Pursuant To Rule 12(B)() and Counterclaim and Cross Claim Pursuant to Rule 13 (H) Joinder of Additional Parties</i>
11/20/2018	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>FEE SHEET</i>
11/20/2018	 Objection Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Def't's Objection to Plaintiff's Motion and Notice of Motion for Determination of Vexations Litigants for Sanctions Attorney Fees and Restraining Order.</i>
11/20/2018	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-18-565588-C

	Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
11/23/2018	 Notice of Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Motion</i>
11/23/2018	 Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion for an Demand to Produce the Foreign Agents Registration Statement Act of 1938</i>
11/23/2018	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion and Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
11/27/2018	 Notice of Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Motion</i>
11/28/2018	 Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion to Produce Affidavit of Paternity</i>
11/28/2018	 Notice of Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Motion</i>
11/28/2018	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion and Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
11/29/2018	 Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion and Notice of Fraud by Person With Name Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.</i>
11/29/2018	 Notice of Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Motion</i>
11/29/2018	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion and Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
11/29/2018	 Reply Filed By: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K <i>Reply and Supplement to Motion for Determination of Vexatious Litigant and Supplement</i>
11/29/2018	 Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Def't's Motion For Receipted Of Itemized Services Provided To Frederick O Silver By Mary D Perry For \$5000</i>
11/29/2018	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion/Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
11/29/2018	 Notice of Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Motion</i>
11/30/2018	 Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Def't's Motion Rebutting All and Any Presumptions by Mary D. Perry</i>
11/30/2018	 Notice of Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-18-565588-C

	<i>Notice of Motion</i>
11/30/2018	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion and Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
11/30/2018	 Order <i>Decision and Order</i>
12/02/2018	 Ex Parte Motion Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Ex Parte Motion for Continuance</i>
12/02/2018	 Notice of Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Motion</i>
12/02/2018	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion and Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
12/03/2018	 Notice of Entry of Order <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
12/07/2018	 Objection Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Objection to Decision and Order by Face Person With Name Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.</i>
12/07/2018	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion Opposition Fee Information</i>
12/07/2018	 Notice of Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Motion</i>
12/07/2018	 Notice of Appeal Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>NOTIC OF APPEAL</i>
12/09/2018	 Motion for Summary Judgment Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion for Summary Judgment</i>
12/09/2018	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Family Court Motion/Opposition Fee Information Sheet - MOFI</i>
12/09/2018	 Notice of Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Motion</i>
12/11/2018	 Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Case Appeal Statement</i>
12/14/2018	 Notice of Entry of Decree Party: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K <i>Notice of Entry of Decree of Child Custody</i>
01/07/2019	 Estimate of Transcript <i>Hearing date August 21, 2018; October 1, 2018; December 18, 2018</i>
01/18/2019	 Order Filed By: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K

CASE SUMMARY


















CASE NO. D-18-565588-C

Order After Hearing Certifying Intent to Grant Relief

01/18/2019	 Notice of Entry Filed By: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K <i>Notice of Entry of Order After Hearing</i>
01/23/2019	 Notice of Appeal Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Appeal</i>
01/23/2019	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
01/23/2019	 Notice of Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Motion</i>
01/24/2019	 Objection Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Frederick O Silver Objection and Rejection of Order Signed by Fat Face Idiot With Name T. Arthur Ritchie, Jr Filed on 01/18/2019</i>
01/24/2019	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion and Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
01/24/2019	 Notice of Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Motion</i>
01/25/2019	 Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Case Appeal Statement</i>
01/30/2019	 Association of Counsel Filed by: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K <i>Notice of Association of Counsel</i>
02/12/2019	 Notice Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice to Fat Face Idiot With Name T. Arthur Ritchie, Jr. Challenging Jurisdiction</i>
02/15/2019	 Notice Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Error In / On Order/ Judgement to Fat Face Idiot with Name T. Arthur Ritchie, Jr.</i>
02/20/2019	 Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O Party 2: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K <i>Defendant's Motion Challenging Jurisdiction of This Matter and Child Support Court</i>
02/23/2019	 NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Dismissed <i>Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate/Remittitur Judgment - Dismissed</i>
02/28/2019	 Motion to Dismiss Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Motion to Dismiss</i>
02/28/2019	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>MOFI</i>
02/28/2019	 Notice of Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-18-565588-C

	<i>Notice of Motion</i>
02/28/2019	 Objection Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Objection To Substitution Of Attorney For Candice Katie Towner And Challenging Jurisdiction</i>
02/28/2019	 Notice of Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>Notice of Motion</i>
02/28/2019	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>MOFI</i>
03/07/2019	 Affidavit in Support Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF OBJECTION AND REJECTION OF ORDER</i>
03/13/2019	 Request Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>REQUEST TO PRODUCE</i>
03/13/2019	 Notice Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>FRAUD ON COURT</i>
03/13/2019	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>MOFI</i>
03/13/2019	 Notice of Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>NOTICE OF MOTION</i>
03/13/2019	 Exhibits Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>EXHIBIT</i>
03/14/2019	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>Clerk's Notice of Hearing</i>
04/07/2019	 Notice Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT</i>
04/07/2019	 Notice of Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>NOTICE OF MOTION</i>
04/07/2019	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>MOFI</i>
04/08/2019	 Notice of Hearing <i>Notice of Hearing</i>
04/08/2019	 Motion Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>MOTION TO RECUSE</i>
04/08/2019	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O <i>MOFI</i>
04/08/2019	 Notice of Motion

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-18-565588-C

Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O
NOTICE OF MOTION

04/29/2019



Order

Filing in Response to Nevada Supreme Court's Order Directing Entry and Transmission of Written Order

04/29/2019



Notice of Entry of Order

Notice of Entry of Order

05/06/2019



Withdrawal of Attorney

Notice of Withdrawal

05/30/2019



Notice

Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O

Notice of Rogatory Appointment

05/30/2019



Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet

Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O

MOFI

05/30/2019



Notice of Motion

Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O

Defendant's Notice of Motion

05/31/2019



Notice of Hearing

Notice of Hearing

05/31/2019



Response

Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF HEARING

05/31/2019



Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet

Filed by: Defendant Silver, Frederick O

modi

07/23/2019



Motion

Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O

MOTION TO RECUSE

07/26/2019



Motion

Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O

SUBMISSION OF MOTION

07/26/2019



NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Dismissed

Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate/Remittitur Judgment - Dismissed

08/28/2019



Notice of Appeal

Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O

NOTICE OF APPEAL

08/30/2019



Case Appeal Statement

Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O

Case Appeal Statement

HEARINGS

08/21/2018

Motion (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.)

Events: 07/10/2018 Motion

Defendant Frederick O Silver Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Rule 12(B)(6) and Counterclaim and Cross- Claim Pursuant to Rule Rule 13

MINUTES



Motion

Filed By: Defendant Silver, Frederick O

Party 2: Plaintiff Towner, Candice K

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-18-565588-C

Defendant Frederick O Silver Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Rule 12(B)(6) and Counterclaim and Cross-Claim Pursuant to Rule Rule 13

Denied;

08/21/2018



Case Management Conference (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.)

Events: 07/31/2018 NRCP 16.2 Case Management Conference

Referred for Paternity Testing;

08/21/2018



All Pending Motions (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE...DEFENDANT FREDERICK O. SILVER'S MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO RULE 12(B)(6) AND COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSS-CLAIM PURSUANT TO RULE 13 Defendant appeared telephonically. Defendant stated he resides in San Antonio, TX. Court reviewed the history of the case. Attorney Perry stated Defendant filed a Petition to terminate his parental rights in Nevada (Case no. D-18-572202-R and never did anything else. Court stated there is no question as to jurisdiction based on the filings. Court stated the child support case is not consolidated with the domestic case. Attorney Perry stated the DNA Diagnostic Center did the pre-birth test and Defendant acknowledged as to paternity. Attorney Perry stated Plaintiff was never served with the Termination Of Parental Rights Petition. Defendant stated he merely wants his name removed from the birth certificate. Court stated Plaintiff is requesting sole legal custody and sole physical custody. Court noted Defendant does not want anything to do with the child. Court stated Defendant is the father due to the acknowledgement of parentage. Court stated Plaintiff alleged in the child support case, in this case, and in the dismissed case that she is the mother and Defendant is the father. Court noted the Termination Of Parental Rights Petition was never served and the case was open 2 1/2 months. By Defendant filing the Petition to terminate his parental rights, indicates he is the father. Court stated Defendant has raised a legitimate issue of biology. COURT ORDERED, the following: Parties REFERRED to LABCORP. for DNA TESTING. Defendant shall have SIXTY (60) DAYS to take the test in TEXAS. Return date set. TEMPORARILY, Plaintiff shall have SOLE LEGAL CUSTODY and SOLE PHYSICAL CUSTODY. Defendant's MOTION shall be DENIED. All of Defendant's REQUESTS are DENIED. The TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS case shall be DISMISSED and the HEARING scheduled for 8/28/18 shall be VACATED. Plaintiff's REQUEST to CONSOLIDATE is DENIED. Attorney Perry shall prepare the Order. 10/1/18 10:00 AM RETURN: DNA TESTING - Regional Justice Center, Courtroom 3G;

08/28/2018

CANCELED Motion (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.)

Vacated - per Judge

Motion/Petition For Termination Of Child Support Order

08/28/2018

CANCELED Opposition & Countermotion (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.)

Vacated - per Judge

Pltf's Combined Opposition To Motion To Dismiss And Motion To Terminate Child Support Counter Motion To Consolidate Cases Determination Of Child Custody Confirm Child Support For Attys Fees

10/01/2018



Return Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.)

DNA TESTING

Decision Made;

Journal Entry Details:

Defendant was not present. Court noted no DNA test was done. COURT ORDERED, the TEMPORARY ORDERS become PERMANENT. Court reviewed the history of the case. Court stated the process ends, since Defendant did not test. Court stated if Defendant does file something, Plaintiff will need to cooperate. Plaintiff sworn and testified. Attorney Perry canvassed the Plaintiff. Plaintiff stated the Child Support Court will continue monitoring child support in case no. R-17-196685-R. Court signed the Order from the 8/21/18 hearing in OPEN COURT, that was provided by Attorney Perry. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, the following: Based on the Answer filed, it is in the best interest of the child for Plaintiff to have SOLE LEGAL CUSTODY and SOLE PHYSICAL CUSTODY. Attorney Perry shall prepare the Custody Decree.;

12/04/2018

CANCELED Motion (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.)

Vacated

Def't's Motion and Notice of Motion for Orders to Set Aside Order, Judgment, and/or Default

12/04/2018

CANCELED Opposition (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.)

Vacated

Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion to Set Aside

12/04/2018

CANCELED Hearing (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.)

Vacated

Demand In Support of Motion to Set Aside a Void Judgment, Rescission of Paternity and Paternity Disestablishment




12/18/2018

Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.)

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-18-565588-C

	<p>Events: 11/15/2018 Motion <i>Pltf's Motion And Notice Of Motion For Determination Of Vexations Litigants For Sanctions Atty Fees And Restraining Order</i> Matter Heard;</p>
12/18/2018	<p> Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) Events: 11/29/2018 Reply <i>Pltf's Reply And Supplement To Motion For Determination Of Vexatious Litigant And Supplement</i> Matter Heard;</p>
12/18/2018	<p> All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION FOR DETERMINATION OF VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, FOR SANCTIONS, ATTORNEY'S FEES, AND RESTRAINING ORDER...PLAINTIFF'S REPLY AND SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION FOR DETERMINATION OF VEXATIOUS LITIGANT AND SUPPLEMENT <i>Neither party was present. Court reviewed the history of the case. Court noted there is a Notice Of Appeal filed on 11/7/18, and the Court can only rule on issues collateral to the appeal. Court stated its FINDINGS regarding the vexatious filings. Attorney Perry stated there were ten or fifteen filings in November and early December of 2018. Attorney Perry stated the appeal is on the Affidavit Of Paternity. Court stated the Defendant has requested to appear telephonically at previous hearings, however, he did not make that request for today's hearing. COURT FINDS, Defendant continues to harass the Plaintiff and Defendant's filings are vexatious and repetitive. Court stated it concludes the ruling on this Motion, to deem Defendant a vexatious litigant is not collateral to the appeal and further stated if the appeal is dismissed or the Supreme Court remands it back, then the Court will deal with the vexatious litigant, since it will have jurisdiction to do so. COURT ORDERED, the HEARINGS scheduled for 1/8/19 at 10:00 AM and 1/14/19 at 10:00 AM shall be VACATED. Attorney Perry shall prepare the Order. ;</i></p>
01/07/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated</i> <i>Def't's Motion to Produce Affidavit of Paternity</i></p>
01/07/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated</i> <i>Def't's Motion and Notice of Fraud by Person With Name Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.</i></p>
01/07/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated</i> <i>Def't's Motion Rebutting All and Any Presumptions by Mary D. Perry</i></p>
01/07/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated</i> <i>Dft's Motion For Receipted Of Itemized Services Provided To Frederick O Silver By Mary D Perry For \$5000</i></p>
01/07/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated - per Judge</i> <i>Def't's Ex Parte Motion for Continuance</i></p>
01/08/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion for Summary Judgment (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated - per Judge</i> <i>Pltf's Motion For Summary Judgment</i></p>
01/14/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated - per Judge</i> <i>Notice of Motion</i></p>
01/14/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated</i> <i>Def't.'s Notice of Motion</i></p>
03/21/2019	<p> Minute Order (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Supreme Court Order Filed 3/15/19</i> Decision Made; Pursuant to EDCR 2.23(c) Journal Entry Details: <i>On 3/15/19, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an Order Staying Issuance Of The Remitter, until July 23, 2019. Pursuant to EDCR 2.23(c), the Objection set for 3/27/19 is OFF CALENDAR; Defendant's Motion to Dismiss set for 4/8/19 is OFF CALENDAR; Defendant's Motion For Child Support, Child Custody, and Other Relief set for 4/24/19 is OFF CALENDAR; and Defendant's Objection To Substitution of Attorney For Candice Katie Towner And Challenging Jurisdiction is OFF CALENDAR.;</i></p>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-18-565588-C

03/27/2019	<p>CANCELED Objection (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated - per Judge</i> <i>Frederick O Silver Objection and Rejection of Order Signed and Filed on 01/18/2019</i></p>
04/08/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated - per Judge</i> <i>Defendants Motion to Dismiss</i></p>
04/24/2019	<p>CANCELED Objection (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated - per Judge</i> <i>Defendant's Objection To Substitution Of Attorney For Candice Katie Towner And Challenging Jurisdiction</i></p>
04/24/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated - per Judge</i> <i>Def't's Notice of Motion for Child Support, Child Custody and Motion/Demand</i> 04/17/2019 Reset by Court to 04/24/2019</p>
05/08/2019	<p> Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Defendan't Notice of Motion for Child Support, Child Custody And Demand</i> <i>Off Calendar;</i> <i>Journal Entry Details:</i> <i>Attorney Christopher Alderman, Bar #13061, appeared for Attorney Emily McFarling, on behalf of the Plaintiff.</i> <i>Defendant was not present. Court informed Plaintiff and Attorney Alderman that this matter is on appeal and the</i> <i>matters on calendar today are not collateral to the appeal. Court stated it has to have jurisdiction to rule.</i> <i>Discussion regarding the case going before the Chief Judge to hear the matters. Attorney Alderman requested</i> <i>attorney's fees. Court stated it cannot rule on attorney's fees since this Court has no jurisdiction. COURT</i> <i>ORDERED, matters OFF CALENDAR.;</i></p>
05/13/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated</i> <i>Motion/Demand</i></p>
06/03/2019	<p> Minute Order (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated Per Judge;</i> <i>Journal Entry Details:</i> <i>This matter is on appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court. On 5/30/19, Frederick Silver filed a Notice of Rogatory</i> <i>Appointment. On 5/30/19, Frederick Silver filed a Notice of Motion. This matter is set for hearing in Dept. H on</i> <i>7/8/19 at 10:00 AM. On 5/31/19, Frederick Silver filed a Response To Notice Of Hearing. This matter is on</i> <i>appeal. The hearing set for 7/8/19 at 10:00 AM, should be vacated. The case is pending before the Appellate</i> <i>Court. The hearing is vacated by this journal entry.;</i></p>
07/08/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated - per Judge</i> <i>Defendant's Motion/Demand</i></p>
07/08/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.) <i>Vacated</i> <i>Notice of Motion</i></p>
<p><u>SERVICE</u></p>	
02/07/2018	<p>Summons Silver, Frederick O Served: 03/30/2018</p>


CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR
MARY D. PERRY, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No.: 7863
840 Rancho Drive, Suite 4-256
Las Vegas, NV 89106
Telephone (702) 384-9911
maryd@marydperrylaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

**DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

CANDICE KATIE TOWNER,
Plaintiff,

vs.

FREDERICK O. SILVER,
Defendant.

Case No: D-18-565588-C
Dept No: H

*Linked/Related with Case Nos.:
D-18-572202-R & R-17-196685-R*

Date: 12/18/18
Time: 9:00 am

ORDER AFTER HEARING CERTIFYING INTENT TO GRANT RELIEF

This matter having come on regularly before the Court for upon the Motion for Determination of Vexations Litigant for Sanctions/Attorney's Fees and Restraining Order and the Plaintiff, Candice Katie Towner not appearing personally and being represented by her attorney, MARY D. PERRY, ESQ.; and the Defendant, Frederick O. Silver not personally appearing; and the Court, having reviewed all the pleadings and papers filed herein, and good cause appearing, hereby finds:

FINDINGS:

1. That the Court must analyze the various factors in that any individual with a valid claim access to the courts;
2. That the Court seeks to protect litigants from frivolous and/or abusive filings;
3. That any Court access restrictions have to be justified;
4. That the Court has the inherent powers to control how individuals exercise matters in a civil case;

1 5. That there was notice to the Defendant, Frederick O. Silver, which protected
2 his due process rights, and there sufficient evidence in the record that he had actual
3 notice, as well as his response and participation in this matter and oppositions to the
4 within motion;

5 6. That the Court has made allowances for the Defendant to appear via
6 telephonic appearance in previous hearings, and Defendant made no such request for
7 this hearing, and the Court will consider his filed pleadings;

8 7. That the Court is required to create an accurate record;

9 8. That the Order of November 30, 2018, with Notice of Entry filed December
10 3, 2018, from which Defendant appeals, did not relate to the Motion for
11 Determination of Vexatious Litigant, but rather post judgment matters (approximate
12 15 filings) which were being filed by the Defendant on an almost daily basis;

13 9. That as to substance of the filings, the Court is required to review the
14 entirety of the matter as well as said applications to make any determination as to
15 whether or not they are frivolous and/or harassing in nature; that the Defendant has
16 not helped himself by the repeated language he uses and/or his descriptive of the
17 Plaintiff, the minor child, judicial officers, etc.;

18 10. That the Court has sought to look past the offensive descriptives to see if
19 the Defendant has made any claim which had any substantive merit;

20 11. The Court finds that the pleadings filed are repetitive, containing the same
21 requests for relief, over and over again;

22 12. That there is no factual or legal basis to challenge the court's orders;

23 13. That the Defendant made assertions that the Court cannot make orders as
24 to child custody/child support, etc., as he was not the father;

25 14. That the Court, in an abundance of caution as to this particular issue, the
26 Court stated that even though, through prior proceedings that the Defendant was
27 determined to be the father, the Defendant was provided, on the outside chance that
28 he may have an actual defense, allowed for the Defendant to take a DNA test to at
least to have some factual basis that Defendant is the actual parent;

15. The Defendant refused to avail himself of said order of the Court, in fact, multiple pleadings have been filed, and are in the record, of his voluntary refusal to take same;

16. Subsequently, after further hearing, the Decree of Custody was entered, with the Defendant again determined to be the biological parent of the subject minor child; the Defendant was granted partial relief in that he wanted absolutely no relationship or contact with the minor child, and that sole legal and physical custody was awarded to the Plaintiff in the child's best interests;

17. That the issues presented herein were simple - the determination of child custody and/or child support;

18. That the Court, in reviewing the record, finds that the multitude of pleadings were intended by the Defendant to harass the Plaintiff with his abuse of process, and that the Plaintiff's motion is meritorious;

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

19. That the Court, after review and analysis, determines that with the Notice of Appeal having been filed, that the ruling on the within motion is not collateral to the Decree of Custody and that it is a separate issue, one not being solely for attorneys fees or contempt;

20. That it is the intent of the Court to grant the relief requested, which will still leave the Defendant the ability to have access to the Court process, but with restrictions.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the Court certifies its intention to grant the *Determination of Vexatious Litigant for Sanctions/Attorney's Fees and Restraining Order*, and is making this record for the purposes of a remand as stated in Huneycutt v. Huneycutt, 94 Nev. 79, 575 P.2d 585 (1978); and it is further

1 ORDERED, that it is directed to the Supreme Court that should it provide a
2 *Huneycutt* remand, in that it is the intention of the within court to grant the relief to
3 the Plaintiff as requested in said motion.


4
5 Dated the 10 day of Jan., 2019.

6
7
8 
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
9 T ART RITCHIE, JR. 

10 Submitted by:

11 

12 **MARY D. PERRY, ESQ.**
13 Nevada Bar No.: 7863
14 840 Rancho Drive, Suite 4-256
15 Las Vegas, NV 89106
16 Telephone (702) 384-9911
17 maryd@marydperryllaw.com
18 Attorney for Plaintiff
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



1 **NEOJ**
2 **MARY D. PERRY, ESQ.**
3 Nevada Bar #007863
4 840 South Rancho Dr., Suite 4-256
5 Las Vegas, NV 89106
6 Telephone (702) 384-9911
7 Email: maryd@marydperrylaw.com
8 Attorney for Plaintiff

6 **DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FAMILY DIVISION**
8 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

8 CANDICE KATIE TOWNER,
9 Plaintiff,

Case No: D-18-565588-C
Dept No: H

10 vs.


Linked/Related with Case Nos.:
D-18-572202-R & R-17-196685-R

11 FREDERICK O. SILVER,
12 Defendant.

14 **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER AFTER HEARING**

15 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an *Order After Hearing* was duly entered in the
16 above-referenced case on the 18th day of January, 2019, attached hereto as *Exhibit*
17 "A".

18 **DATED** this 18th day of January, 2019.

20 
21 **MARY D. PERRY, ESQ.**
22 Nevada Bar #007863
23 840 South Rancho Dr., Suite 4-256
24 Las Vegas, NV 89106
25 Telephone (702) 384-9911
26 Email: maryd@marydperrylaw.com
27 Attorney for Plaintiff

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the Law Office of
3 Mary D. Perry, LLC and that on this 18th day of January, 2018, I caused the *Notice*
4 *of Entry of Order After Hearing* to be served as follows:

- 5 ☐ Pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a), EDCR 8.05(f) and Administrative Order 14-
6 2 captioned "In the Administrative Matter of Mandatory Electronic
7 Service in the Eighth Judicial" by mandatory electronic service through
8 the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system;
9 ☒ by placing same to be deposited for mailing in the United States Mail,
10 in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was prepaid in Las
11 Vegas, Nevada;
12 ☐ pursuant to EDCR 7.26 to be sent via facsimile or email, by duly
13 executed consent for service by electronic means;

14 Frederick O. Silver
15 P. O. Box 276353
16 San Antonio, TX 78227

17 

18 Mary D. Perry, Esq.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR
MARY D. PERRY, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No.: 7863
840 Rancho Drive, Suite 4-256
Las Vegas, NV 89106
Telephone (702) 384-9911
maryd@marydperryllaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

**DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

CANDICE KATIE TOWNER,
Plaintiff,

vs.

FREDERICK O. SILVER,
Defendant.

Case No: D-18-565588-C
Dept No: H

*Linked/Related with Case Nos.:
D-18-572202-R & R-17-196685-R*

Date: 12/18/18
Time: 9:00 am

ORDER AFTER HEARING CERTIFYING INTENT TO GRANT RELIEF

This matter having come on regularly before the Court for upon the Motion for *Determination of Vexations Litigant for Sanctions/Attorney's Fees and Restraining Order* and the Plaintiff, Candice Katie Towner not appearing personally and being represented by her attorney, MARY D. PERRY, ESQ.; and the Defendant, Frederick O. Silver not personally appearing; and the Court, having reviewed all the pleadings and papers filed herein, and good cause appearing, hereby finds:

FINDINGS:

1. That the Court must analyze the various factors in that any individual with a valid claim access to the courts;
2. That the Court seeks to protect litigants from frivolous and/or abusive filings;
3. That any Court access restrictions have to be justified;
4. That the Court has the inherent powers to control how individuals exercise matters in a civil case;

1 5. That there was notice to the Defendant, Frederick O. Silver, which protected
2 his due process rights, and there sufficient evidence in the record that he had actual
3 notice, as well as his response and participation in this matter and oppositions to the
4 within motion;

5 6. That the Court has made allowances for the Defendant to appear via
6 telephonic appearance in previous hearings, and Defendant made no such request for
7 this hearing, and the Court will consider his filed pleadings;

8 7. That the Court is required to create an accurate record;

9 8. That the Order of November 30, 2018, with Notice of Entry filed December
10 3, 2018, from which Defendant appeals, did not relate to the Motion for
11 Determination of Vexatious Litigant, but rather post judgment matters (approximate
12 15 filings) which were being filed by the Defendant on an almost daily basis;

13 9. That as to substance of the filings, the Court is required to review the
14 entirety of the matter as well as said applications to make any determination as to
15 whether or not they are frivolous and/or harassing in nature; that the Defendant has
16 not helped himself by the repeated language he uses and/or his descriptive of the
17 Plaintiff, the minor child, judicial officers, etc.;

18 10. That the Court has sought to look past the offensive descriptives to see if
19 the Defendant has made any claim which had any substantive merit;

20 11. The Court finds that the pleadings filed are repetitive, containing the same
21 requests for relief, over and over again;

22 12. That there is no factual or legal basis to challenge the court's orders;

23 13. That the Defendant made assertions that the Court cannot make orders as
24 to child custody/child support, etc., as he was not the father;

25 14. That the Court, in an abundance of caution as to this particular issue, the
26 Court stated that even though, through prior proceedings that the Defendant was
27 determined to be the father, the Defendant was provided, on the outside chance that
28 he may have an actual defense, allowed for the Defendant to take a DNA test to at
least to have some factual basis that Defendant is the actual parent;

15. The Defendant refused to avail himself of said order of the Court, in fact, multiple pleadings have been filed, and are in the record, of his voluntary refusal to take same;

16. Subsequently, after further hearing, the Decree of Custody was entered, with the Defendant again determined to be the biological parent of the subject minor child; the Defendant was granted partial relief in that he wanted absolutely no relationship or contact with the minor child, and that sole legal and physical custody was awarded to the Plaintiff in the child's best interests;

17. That the issues presented herein were simple - the determination of child custody and/or child support;

18. That the Court, in reviewing the record, finds that the multitude of pleadings were intended by the Defendant to harass the Plaintiff with his abuse of process, and that the Plaintiff's motion is meritorious;

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

19. That the Court, after review and analysis, determines that with the Notice of Appeal having been filed, that the ruling on the within motion is not collateral to the Decree of Custody and that it is a separate issue, one not being solely for attorneys fees or contempt;

20. That it is the intent of the Court to grant the relief requested, which will still leave the Defendant the ability to have access to the Court process, but with restrictions.

ORDER


IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the Court certifies its intention to grant the *Determination of Vexations Litigant for Sanctions/Attorney's Fees and Restraining Order*, and is making this record for the purposes of a remand as stated in Huneycutt v. Huneycutt, 94 Nev. 79, 575 P.2d 585 (1978); and it is further

1 ORDERED, that it is directed to the Supreme Court that should it provide a
2 *Huneycutt* remand, in that it is the intention of the within court to grant the relief to
3 the Plaintiff as requested in said motion.
4

5 Dated the 10 day of Jan., 2019.
6

7 
8 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
9 T ART RITCHIE, JR. *dp*

10 Submitted by:

11 
12

13 MARY D. PERRY, ESQ.
14 Nevada Bar No.: 7863
15 840 Rancho Drive, Suite 4-256
16 Las Vegas, NV 89106
17 Telephone (702) 384-9911
18 maryd@marydperrylaw.com
19 Attorney for Plaintiff
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Child Custody Complaint

COURT MINUTES

August 21, 2018

D-18-565588-C Candice K Towner, Plaintiff.
vs.
Frederick O Silver, Defendant.

August 21, 2018 11:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03G

COURT CLERK: Kathy Prock

PARTIES:

Candice Towner, Plaintiff, present	Mary Perry, Attorney, present
Frederick Silver, Defendant, present	Pro Se
Gabriel Towner, Subject Minor, not present	

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE...DEFENDANT FREDERICK O. SILVER'S MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO RULE 12(B)(6) AND COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSS-CLAIM PURSUANT TO RULE 13

Defendant appeared telephonically. Defendant stated he resides in San Antonio, TX.

Court reviewed the history of the case.

Attorney Perry stated Defendant filed a Petition to terminate his parental rights in Nevada (Case no. D-18-572202-R and never did anything else.

Court stated there is no question as to jurisdiction based on the filings.

Court stated the child support case is not consolidated with the domestic case. Attorney Perry stated the DNA Diagnostic Center did the pre-birth test and Defendant acknowledged as to paternity.

Attorney Perry stated Plaintiff was never served with the Termination Of Parental Rights Petition. Defendant stated he merely wants his name removed from the birth certificate. Court stated Plaintiff

PRINT DATE:	08/30/2019	Page 1 of 11	Minutes Date:	August 21, 2018
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	-----------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

is requesting sole legal custody and sole physical custody. Court noted Defendant does not want anything to do with the child. Court stated Defendant is the father due to the acknowledgement of parentage.

Court stated Plaintiff alleged in the child support case, in this case, and in the dismissed case that she is the mother and Defendant is the father. Court noted the Termination Of Parental Rights Petition was never served and the case was open 2 1/2 months. By Defendant filing the Petition to terminate his parental rights, indicates he is the father. Court stated Defendant has raised a legitimate issue of biology.

COURT ORDERED, the following:

Parties REFERRED to LABCORP. for DNA TESTING. Defendant shall have SIXTY (60) DAYS to take the test in TEXAS.

Return date set.

TEMPORARILY, Plaintiff shall have SOLE LEGAL CUSTODY and SOLE PHYSICAL CUSTODY.

Defendant's MOTION shall be DENIED. All of Defendant's REQUESTS are DENIED.

The TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS case shall be DISMISSED and the HEARING scheduled for 8/28/18 shall be VACATED.

Plaintiff's REQUEST to CONSOLIDATE is DENIED.

Attorney Perry shall prepare the Order.

10/1/18 10:00 AM RETURN: DNA TESTING - Regional Justice Center, Courtroom 3G

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Oct 01, 2018 10:00AM Return Hearing
DNA TESTING
RJC Courtroom 03G Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.

PRINT DATE:	08/30/2019	Page 2 of 11	Minutes Date:	August 21, 2018
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	-----------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Child Custody Complaint

COURT MINUTES

October 01, 2018

D-18-565588-C Candice K Towner, Plaintiff.
vs.
Frederick O Silver, Defendant.

October 01, 2018 10:00 AM Return Hearing

HEARD BY: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03G

COURT CLERK: Kathy Prock

PARTIES:

Candice Towner, Plaintiff, present	Mary Perry, Attorney, present
Frederick Silver, Defendant, not present	Pro Se
Gabriel Towner, Subject Minor, not present	

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Defendant was not present. Court noted no DNA test was done.

COURT ORDERED, the TEMPORARY ORDERS become PERMANENT.

Court reviewed the history of the case.

Court stated the process ends, since Defendant did not test. Court stated if Defendant does file something, Plaintiff will need to cooperate.

Plaintiff sworn and testified. Attorney Perry canvassed the Plaintiff.

Plaintiff stated the Child Support Court will continue monitoring child support in case no. R-17-196685-R.

Court signed the Order from the 8/21/18 hearing in OPEN COURT, that was provided by Attorney Perry.

COURT FURTHER ORDERED, the following:

PRINT DATE:	08/30/2019	Page 3 of 11	Minutes Date:	August 21, 2018
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	-----------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Based on the Answer filed, it is in the best interest of the child for Plaintiff to have SOLE LEGAL CUSTODY and SOLE PHYSICAL CUSTODY.

Attorney Perry shall prepare the Custody Decree.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Oct 01, 2018 10:00AM Return Hearing
DNA TESTING
RJC Courtroom 03G Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.

PRINT DATE:	08/30/2019	Page 4 of 11	Minutes Date:	August 21, 2018
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	-----------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Child Custody Complaint

COURT MINUTES

December 18, 2018

D-18-565588-C Candice K Towner, Plaintiff.
vs.
Frederick O Silver, Defendant.

December 18, 2018 9:00 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03G

COURT CLERK: Kathy Prock

PARTIES:

Candice Towner, Plaintiff, not present
Frederick Silver, Defendant, not present
Gabriel Towner, Subject Minor, not present

Emily McFarling, Attorney, not present
Pro Se

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- PLAINTIFF'S MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION FOR DETERMINATION OF VEXATIOUS LITIGANT, FOR SANCTIONS, ATTORNEY'S FEES, AND RESTRAINING ORDER...PLAINTIFF'S REPLY AND SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION FOR DETERMINATION OF VEXATIOUS LITIGANT AND SUPPLEMENT

Neither party was present.

Court reviewed the history of the case. Court noted there is a Notice Of Appeal filed on 11/7/18, and the Court can only rule on issues collateral to the appeal.

Court stated its FINDINGS regarding the vexatious filings. Attorney Perry stated there were ten or fifteen filings in November and early December of 2018.

Attorney Perry stated the appeal is on the Affidavit Of Paternity.

Court stated the Defendant has requested to appear telephonically at previous hearings, however, he did not make that request for today's hearing.

PRINT DATE:	08/30/2019	Page 5 of 11	Minutes Date:	August 21, 2018
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	-----------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

COURT FINDS, Defendant continues to harass the Plaintiff and Defendant's filings are vexatious and repetitive.

Court stated it concludes the ruling on this Motion, to deem Defendant a vexatious litigant is not collateral to the appeal and further stated if the appeal is dismissed or the Supreme Court remands it back, then the Court will deal with the vexatious litigant, since it will have jurisdiction to do so.

COURT ORDERED, the HEARINGS scheduled for 1/8/19 at 10:00 AM and 1/14/19 at 10:00 AM shall be VACATED.

Attorney Perry shall prepare the Order.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

PRINT DATE:	08/30/2019	Page 6 of 11	Minutes Date:	August 21, 2018
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	-----------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Child Custody Complaint

COURT MINUTES

March 21, 2019

D-18-565588-C Candice K Towner, Plaintiff.
vs.
Frederick O Silver, Defendant.

March 21, 2019 1:30 PM Minute Order

HEARD BY: Ritchie, T. Arthur, Jr.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03G

COURT CLERK: Kathy Prock

PARTIES:

Candice Towner, Plaintiff, not present	Emily McFarling, Attorney, not present
Frederick Silver, Defendant, not present	Pro Se
Gabriel Towner, Subject Minor, not present	

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- On 3/15/19, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an Order Staying Issuance Of The Remitter, until July 23, 2019.

Pursuant to EDCR 2.23(c), the Objection set for 3/27/19 is OFF CALENDAR; Defendant's Motion to Dismiss set for 4/8/19 is OFF CALENDAR; Defendant's Motion For Child Support, Child Custody, and Other Relief set for 4/24/19 is OFF CALENDAR; and Defendant's Objection To Substitution of Attorney For Candice Katie Towner And Challenging Jurisdiction is OFF CALENDAR.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

PRINT DATE:	08/30/2019	Page 7 of 11	Minutes Date:	August 21, 2018
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	-----------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

May 08, 2019

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

PRINT DATE:	08/30/2019	Page 9 of 11	Minutes Date:	August 21, 2018
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	-----------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

June 03, 2019

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

FUTURE HEARINGS:

PRINT DATE:	08/30/2019	Page 11 of 11	Minutes Date:	August 21, 2018
-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	-----------------

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.



EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY
ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT

FREDERICK O. SILVER
P.O. BOX 276353
SAN ANTONIO, TX 78227

DATE: August 30, 2019
CASE: D-18-565588-C

RE CASE: CANDICE K. TOWNER vs. FREDERICK O. SILVER

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: August 28, 2019

YOUR APPEAL HAS BEEN SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT.

PLEASE NOTE: DOCUMENTS **NOT TRANSMITTED HAVE BEEN MARKED:**

- ☒ \$250 – Supreme Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the Supreme Court)**
 - If the \$250 Supreme Court Filing Fee was not submitted along with the original Notice of Appeal, it must be mailed directly to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court Filing Fee will not be forwarded by this office if submitted after the Notice of Appeal has been filed.
- ☐ \$24 – District Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**
- ☒ \$500 – Cost Bond on Appeal (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**
 - NRAP 7: Bond For Costs On Appeal in Civil Cases
- ☐ Case Appeal Statement
 - NRAP 3 (a)(1), Form 2
- ☐ Order
- ☐ Notice of Entry of Order

NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (3) states:

"The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (e) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies.

*****Per District Court Administrative Order 2012-01, in regards to civil litigants, "...all Orders to Appear in Forma Pauperis expire one year from the date of issuance." You must reapply for in Forma Pauperis status.***

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada }
County of Clark } SS:

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL TO SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; ORDER AFTER HEARING CERTIFYING INTENT TO GRANT RELIEF; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER AFTER HEARING; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY

CANDICE K. TOWNER,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

FREDERICK O. SILVER,

Defendant(s),


Case No: D-18-565588-C

Dept No: H

now on file and of record in this office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto
Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the
Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada
This 30 day of August 2019.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court



Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk