

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RENELYN BAUSISTA

Supreme Court No. 79534

Appellant

VS.

JAMES PICONE

Respondent

RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE
TO DOCKETING STATEMENT

Oct 02 2019 11:27 a.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

Respondent strongly disagrees with Appellant's Docketing Statement [ADS] filed October 1, 2019 and files this Response pursuant to NRAP 14(f).

ADS Section 9 states that Respondent's fees were "not incurred as a result of the pro bono nature of his counsel." This is inaccurate. Counsel represented Respondent in conjunction with Legal Aid of Southern Nevada for all aspects of the case.

ADS Section 9 states “Appellant was the prevailing party on the majority of the issues.” This is inaccurate. Respondent prevailed in every issue, except his child support arrearages, which were stipulated by him.

A Motion to Dismiss for jurisdictional issues is being filed simultaneously as required by NRAP 14(f).

Both attorneys and the Settlement Judge, Ishi Kunin, are electronic filers, so this Response will be transmitted to Appellant's counsel and the settlement through the electronic filing system and counsel will additionally email the filed Objection to Jon Jones at jjones@blacklobello.law and Ishi Kunin at ishi@kuninlawgroup.com.

By: /s/ Benjamin B. Childs

BENJAMIN B. CHILDS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar #: 3946
Attorney for Respondent