#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA Electronically Filed Apr 20 2020 03:55 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown CHRISTIAN STEPHON MILES,

Appellant,

vs.

### THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Appeal

From the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County The Honorable Mary Kay Holthus, District Court Judge

# APPELLANT'S APPENDIX (Volume 8)

MARIO D. VALENCIA Nevada Bar No. 6154 40 S. Stephanie St., Ste. 201 Henderson, NV 89012 (702) 384-7494 *Attorney for Christian Stephon Miles* 

## ALPHABETICAL INDEX Miles v. State

Vol.	Document	Dates	Pages
1	Amended Information	2019-04-01	112-113
8	Amended Judgment of Conviction	2020-03-26	1386-1388
1	Arrest Warrant	2015-03-13	3
1	Bindover and Order to Appear	2015-05-07	22
1	Court Minutes - Confirmation of Counsel	2016-03-10	60
1	Court Minutes - Initial Arraignment	2015-05-18	26
1	Court Minutes - Motion to Withdraw as Counsel - Colucci	2016-02-05	41-42
1	Court Minutes - Pro Se Motion to Withdraw Counsel	2016-06-28	69-70
8	Court Minutes - Sentencing	2019-06-27	1347
8	Court Minutes - Status Check: Clarification of Aggregate Total Sentence	2020-03-26	1385
1	Criminal Complaint	2015-03-11	1-2
1	Decision and Order - Denying Motion to Dismiss because of Uncorroborated Accomplice Testimony	2018-04-11	91-92
1	Decision and Order - Denying Motion to Suppress Illegally Obtained Evidence	2018-05-23	93-99
1	Declaration of Arrest	2015-03-24	5
8	District Court, Docket Sheet	2020-04-02	1391-1469
1	Information	2015-05-12	23-25
8	Judgment of Conviction	2019-09-05	1383-1384

			г
1	Justice Court Minutes: Arraignment	2015-03-26	6
1	Justice Court Minutes: Preliminary Hearing	2015-05-07	21
1	Motion to Withdraw as Counsel - Colucci	2016-02-03	34-40
8	Notice of Appeal from Amended Judgment of Conviction	2020-04-02	1389-1390
1	Order Denying Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Craigslist Advertisements	2019-03-05	100-101
2	Order Denying Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Facebook Evidence	2019-04-02	281-282
1	Order Denying Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Samsung III Text Messages	2019-03-05	102-103
1	Order Denying Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude ZTE Phone	2019-03-05	104-105
1	Order - Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Suppress Metro PCS Documents	2016-10-18	89-90
1	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Destruction of Evidence	2019-03-05	106-107
1	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss	2019-03-05	108-109
2	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss	2019-04-02	285-286
1	Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Suppress LG Cellular Phone	2019-03-05	110-111
2	Order Denying Defendant's Supplemental Motion to Suppress Cellular Evidence	2019-04-02	283-284
1	Pro Se Motion to Withdraw Counsel	2016-05-02	64-68

Pro Se Notice of Appeal	2019-09-03	1382
Temporary Custody Order	2015-03-24	4
Transcript, Appointment of Counsel	2016-03-10	61-63
Transcript, Calendar Call	2015-06-11	27-33
Transcript, Faretta Hearing	2016-06-28	71-88
Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 1	2019-04-01	114-280
Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 2	2019-04-02	287-429
Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 3	2019-04-03	430-659
Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 4	2019-04-04	660-832
Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 5	2019-04-05	833-1028
Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 6	2019-04-08	1029-1270
Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 7	2019-04-09	1271-1344
Transcript, Motion to Withdraw as Counsel (Colucci)	2016-02-05	43-59
Transcript, Preliminary Hearing	2015-05-07	7-20
Transcript, Sentencing	2019-09-03	1355-1381
Transcript, Status Check: PSI/Victim Speaker	2019-08-27	1348-1354
Verdict	2019-04-09	1345-1346
	Temporary Custody OrderTranscript, Appointment of CounselTranscript, Calendar CallTranscript, Faretta HearingTranscript, Jury Trial, Day 1Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 2Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 3Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 4Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 5Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 6Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 7Transcript, Motion to Withdraw as Counsel (Colucci)Transcript, SentencingTranscript, Status Check: PSI/Victim Speaker	Temporary Custody Order2015-03-24Transcript, Appointment of Counsel2016-03-10Transcript, Calendar Call2015-06-11Transcript, Faretta Hearing2016-06-28Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 12019-04-01Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 22019-04-02Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 32019-04-03Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 42019-04-04Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 52019-04-05Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 62019-04-08Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 72019-04-09Transcript, Motion to Withdraw as Counsel (Colucci)2016-02-05Transcript, Preliminary Hearing2015-05-07Transcript, Sentencing2019-09-03Transcript, Status Check: PSI/Victim Speaker2019-08-27

### CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX Miles v. State

Vol.	Document	Dates	Pages
1	Criminal Complaint	2015-03-11	1-2
1	Arrest Warrant	2015-03-13	3
1	Temporary Custody Record	2015-03-24	4
1	Declaration of Arrest	2015-03-24	5
1	Justice Court Minutes – Arraignment	2015-03-26	6
1	Transcript, Preliminary Hearing	2015-05-07	7-20
1	Justice Court Minutes - Preliminary Hearing	2015-05-07	21
1	Bindover and Order to Appear	2015-05-07	22
1	Information	2015-05-12	23-25
1	Court Minutes - Initial Arraignment	2015-05-18	26
1	Transcript, Calendar Call	2015-06-11	27-33
1	Motion to Withdraw – Attorney Colucci	2016-02-03	34-40
1	Court Minutes - Motion to Withdraw	2016-02-05	41-42
1	Transcript, Motion to Withdraw	2016-02-05	43-59
1	Court Minutes - Confirmation of Counsel	2016-03-10	60
1	Transcript, Appointment of Counsel	2016-03-10	61-63
1	Pro Se Motion to Withdraw Counsel	2016-05-02	64-68
1	Court Minutes - Mt to Withdraw Counsel	2016-06-28	69-70
1	Transcript, Faretta Hearing	2016-06-28	71-88
1	Order - Denying Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Suppress Metro PCS Documents	2016-10-18	89-90

1	Decision and Order - Denying Motion to Dismiss because of Uncorroborated Accomplice Testimony	2018-04-11	91-92
1	Decision and Order - Denying Motion to Suppress Illegally Obtained Evidence	2018-05-23	93-99
1	Order Denying Motion in Limine to Exclude Craigslist Advertisements	2019-03-05	100-01
1	Order Denying Motion in Limine to Exclude Samsung III Text Messages	2019-03-05	102-103
1	Order Denying Motion in Limine to Exclude ZTE Phone	2019-03-05	104-105
1	Order Denying Motion to Dismiss for Destruction of Evidence	2019-03-05	106-107
1	Order Denying Motion to Dismiss	2019-03-05	108-109
1	Order Denying Motion to Suppress LG Cellular Phone	2019-03-05	110-111
1	Amended Information	2019-04-01	112-113
2	Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 1	2019-04-01	114-280
2	Order Denying Motion in Limine to Exclude Facebook Evidence	2019-04-02	281-282
2	Order Denying Defendant's Supplemental Motion to Suppress Cellular Evidence	2019-04-02	283-284
2	Order Denying Motion to Dismiss	2019-04-02	285-286
3	Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 2	2019-04-02	287-429
4	Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 3	2019-04-03	430-659
5	Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 4	2019-04-04	660-832
6	Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 5	2019-04-05	833-1028

7	Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 6	2019-04-08	1029-1270
8	Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 7	2019-04-09	1271-1344
8	Verdict	2019-04-09	1345-1346
8	Court Minutes - Sentencing	2019-06-27	1347
8	Transcript, Status Check: PSI/Victim Speaker	2019-08-27	1348-1354
8	Transcript, Sentencing	2019-09-03	1355-1381
8	Pro Se Notice of Appeal	2019-09-03	1382
8	Judgment of Conviction	2019-09-05	1383-1384
8	Court Minutes - Status Check: Clarification of Aggregate Total Sentence	2020-03-26	1385
8	Amended Judgment of Conviction	2020-03-26	1386-1388
8	Notice of Appeal from Amended Judgment of Conviction	2020-04-02	1389-1390
8	District Court Docket Sheet	2020-04-02	1391-1469

		Electronically Filed 7/9/2019 3:03 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT	-
1	RTRAN	Oliver.	
2			
3			
4			
5	DISTRIC	T COURT	
6	CLARK COUN	NTY, NEVADA	
7 8	STATE OF NEVADA,	) ) CASE#: C-15-306436-1	
9	Plaintiff,	DEPT. XVIII	
10	vs.		
11	CHRISTIAN STEPHON MILES,		
12	Defendant.		
13	BEFORE THE HONORAB	LE MARY KAY HOLTHUS	
14		OURT JUDGE APRIL 9, 2019	
15	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIP	PT OF JURY TRIAL - DAY 7	
16			
17	APPEARANCES:		
18		AMUEL MARTINEZ, ESQ. RISTINA RHOADES, ESQ.	
19 20	Defendant Pro Se: Cl	HRISTIAN MILES	
20 21	Standby Counsel for R	OBERT BECKETT, ESQ.	
21	Defendant:		
22			
23 24			
24 25	RECORDED BY: YVETTE SISON, C		
20			
	_ ·	<sup>1 -</sup> 1271	
	Case Number: C-15-3		

1	INDEX
2	
3	Jury Instructions Read to Jury 11
4	State Closing Argument23
5	Defendant Closing Argument 46
6	State Rebuttal Closing Argument57
7	Verdict
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday, April 9, 2019
[Case called at 12:49 p.m.]
[Outside the presence of the jury.]
THE COURT: Okay. We're back on the record outside the
presence of the jury on State of Nevada versus Christian Miles.
We have copies of the jury instructions all counted up and
numbered and changed. I'm going to give you guys a few minutes to
look those over. Just for the record, I have my own added and I'm
assuming there's no objection, but I'll hear you if there is. Instructions 9
and 10, the fact that a witness has been convicted of a felony, if such be
a fact, may be considered by you only for the purpose of determining the
credibility of that witness. The fact of such a conviction does not
necessarily destroy or impair the witness's credibility. It is one of the
circumstances you may take into consideration of weighing the
testimony of such a witness.
And additionally instruction 10, evidence of Defendant's prior
felony conviction must not be considered by you to prove either the
Defendant is a person of bad character or that the Defendant has a
disposition to commit any crime.
You guys want to come up and get these and hand them all
out please? Do either side object to those additional instructions that I
added?
MR. MILES: That you added, no.
MR. MARTINEZ: The State does not object.

1	MR. MILES: I don't object, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay. They're
3	MR. MILES: Yeah.
4	THE COURT: for your benefit.
5	MR. MILES: Yeah, I know.
6	THE COURT: So is there anything else we need to do outside
7	the presence?
8	MR. MILES: Yeah. We was talking about the phones and
9	how that was going to work, because my thing is if the phones power
10	on, can we inspect those right now to see if they actually power on?
11	Because they says they don't power on. So can we check that right now?
12	THE COURT: Yeah. I'll have the marshal check it while
13	you're looking over the jury instructions.
14	MR. MILES: Okay.
15	THE COURT: Anything else?
16	MR. MILES: I think there was another thing. Oh, yeah. Can I
17	get the exhibits and stuff?
18	THE COURT: Can you get what exhibits?
19	MR. MILES: My exhibits.
20	THE COURT: Now they're all my exhibits.
21	MR. MILES: Oh, okay. We can't I can't use them during
22	THE COURT: Yeah, you can use them.
23	MR. MILES: Okay.
24	THE COURT: But I'm just saying, they're not you don't
25	keep them anymore. They belong to the Court.

1	MR. MILES: Okay.
2	THE COURT: Once they're moved into evidence or yeah.
3	Once they're moved in they kind of belong here. You're free to use
4	them. Just don't mix them up with anything, okay?
5	MR. MILES: Okay. I don't need the proposed exhibits. Just
6	the ones that's been admitted.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	[Pause]
9	THE COURT: Is that it then?
10	MR. MARTINEZ: Just trying to power up the phones.
11	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to step off because I'm I
12	haven't eaten; take a bite. Call me if you need me.
13	THE CLERK: Uh-huh.
14	THE COURT: Going to go off the record.
15	THE CLERK: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Off the record.
17	[Recess at 12:52 p.m., recommencing at 1:08 p.m.]
18	[Outside the presence of the jury.]
19	THE COURT: Everybody look through the jury instructions?
20	Everything looks good? Changes made appropriately?
21	MR. MARTINEZ: I just want to make sure that each packet
22	doesn't have the verdict form, the only one
23	THE COURT: It does not. Verdict forms were just attached to
24	the copies for all the parties and the Court. The jury will have just the
25	one blue backed.

1	Anything else? Did you all verify that the phones don't turn
2	on?
3	MR. MILES: One of the phones turned on, the LG phone.
4	THE COURT: It did.
5	MR. MARTINEZ: It turned on in the exact way that the
6	witnesses testified. It would just turn on and do nothing else.
7	MR. MILES: Yeah. I don't think I don't believe that the
8	witnesses should be able to try to power on any phones. I think the
9	evidence was offered for like demonstrative evidence, maybe just to
10	view the phone to see what it looks like. I think that exceeds the scope of
11	what it was admitted into evidence for. So I think they actually should be
12	instructed to not attempt to turn on any phones, just so there's no
13	problems.
14	THE COURT: Do you have an objection if I just go ahead and
15	order them to do that? Technically
16	MR. MARTINEZ: I do. I don't we tried to power it on. It
17	wouldn't power on. It was dead. The Defendant himself tried to power it
18	on. I don't think we should have them even focus on one piece of
19	evidence over
20	THE COURT: Well, all I was going to do was instruct them
21	that they've got evidence and they're not allowed to manipulate or
22	further add to, for example you can't be turning on or powering up
23	phones, the phones.
24	MR. MILES: I think that's great.
25	THE COURT: Nobody intends them to; is that correct?

1	MR. MARTINEZ: Nobody intends them to and they can't.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So does it really matter if
3	MR. MARTINEZ: Right. I guess what I'm saying is, we don't
4	need to bring attention to it if it doesn't matter.
5	MR. MILES: I think we do because I think it should be fair for
6	the jury to understand, don't turn on the phones. If all the evidence is
7	submitted to the jury and they got it common sense would say oh, well
8	maybe we're allowed to start touching the evidence. I mean, the jury
9	doesn't know how it goes.
10	MR. MARTINEZ: Well, they are allowed to touch it.
11	MR. MILES: Well, yeah. But not power it on. That's the
12	thing. That exceeds
13	MR. MARTINEZ: But it we're just going in circles. What I
14	THE COURT: Do you really care? You don't intend they'll
15	power it up, so at the end of the day does it really matter if Defense
16	wants me to tell them, don't power it up, don't charge it up?
17	MR. MARTINEZ: I'll submit it, Your Honor.
18	MR. MILES: Thank you.
19	THE COURT: I will instruct them somehow like that. Both
20	nobody intends them to supplement the evidence by getting into the
21	phones.
22	MR. MARTINEZ: That's correct.
23	THE COURT: It's strictly for demonstrative purposes so that
24	they can compare the phones to the phones in the photographs I
25	assume. That's what we're doing here, right?

1	MR. MARTINEZ: That's correct.		
2	MR. MILES: Okay.		
3	THE COURT: Having said that, you generally don't put		
4	evidence in with limitations, at least I don't. Once it's in, it's in. But in		
5	this particular case we're going to make an exception and do it that way,		
6	okay.		
7	MR. MILES: Okay.		
8	MS. RHOADES: And, Your Honor, we have the verdict forms		
9	on our jury instructions. I just want to make sure that they		
10	THE COURT: Right.		
11	MS. RHOADES: didn't have okay.		
12	THE COURT: They do not.		
13	MS. RHOADES: Okay.		
14	THE COURT: She did up six sets. Double check that before		
15	we hand them out, but Kelly said she did their sets without and our sets		
16	with.		
17	MS. RHOADES: Okay.		
18	THE COURT: So you could see the verdict form.		
19	MS. RHOADES: Thank you.		
20	THE COURT: Morgan will check right now. Then is		
21	everybody done?		
22	MS. RHOADES: Yes.		
23	MR. MILES: Yes, Your Honor.		
24	THE COURT: All right. Let's bring on the jury.		
25	THE MARSHAL: All right.		

1	THE COURT: Do I have to do anything else? I'm just going		
2	to go into reading instructions, right? We all rested on the record		
3	yesterday, yeah?		
4	MR. MILES: Yeah. I believe we rested.		
5	THE COURT: Okay, okay. Oh, hold that door for one second		
6	guys. Just don't let them in for one second. We're still outside the		
7	presence of the jury. I just want to clarify for the record that both sides		
8	are familiar with jury instructions one now through 27. I know we made		
9	a record before, but I've given you time in between.		
10	Did you double check that the corrections were made and		
11	that everything conforms with and other than the objections that we put		
12	on the record previously, is everybody does the State agree to jury		
13	instructions one through 27?		
14	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, Your Honor.		
15	THE COURT: And Mr. Miles, do you agree to instructions		
16	one through 27?		
17	MR. MILES: Yes, Your Honor. Everything appears to be		
18	right.		
19	THE COURT: Okay. And everybody wants me to instruct		
20	before the arguments, yes?		
21	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.		
22	MR. MILES: Yes.		
23	THE COURT: Okay.		
24	THE MARSHAL: We had one that stepped out to use the		
25	restroom.		

1	THE COURT: Verdict forms are not attached to the jurors;		
2	just ours.		
3	MS. RHOADES: Thank you.		
4	[Pause]		
5	THE MARSHAL: All rise.		
6	[Inside the presence of the jury.]		
7	THE MARSHAL: All present, Your Honor.		
8	THE COURT: Thank you.		
9	Welcome back. All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I am going		
10	to now read you the instructions on the law that applies to this case. Do		
11	we have the copies handed out? Do they all have them?		
12	THE MARSHAL: Not yet. I'll give it to them.		
13	THE COURT: We're going to give you copies to follow along.		
14	You can take them to the back because sometimes they're a little		
15	complicated or just so you can I don't know, read along. Everybody		
16	ready?		
17	State of Nevada versus Christian Stefan Miles. Instructions		
18	to the jury.		
19	Instruction number 1. Members of the jury, it is now my duty		
20	as judge to instruct you on the law that applies to this case. It is your		
21	duty as jurors to follow these instructions and to apply the rules of law to		
22	the facts as you find them from the evidence.		
23	You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of		
24	law stated in these instructions. Regardless of any opinion you may		
25	have as to what the law ought to be, it would be a violation of your oath		

to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that given in the
 instructions -- do you have my glasses? Could you go grab them for me?
 Sorry. I'm sorry guys.

4 It would be a violation of your oath to base a verdict upon
5 any other view of the law than that given in the instructions by the court.

6 If, in these instructions, any rule, direction or idea is repeated
7 or stated in a different way, no emphasis thereon is intended by me and
8 none may be inferred by you. For that reason, you are not to single out
9 any certain sentence or individual point or instruction and ignore the
10 others, but you are to consider all the instructions as a whole and regard
11 each in light of all the others. The order in which the instructions are
12 given has no significance as to their relative importance.

Instruction number 3. An information is but a formal method
of accusing a person of a crime. It is not itself any evidence of his guilt.
In this case it is charged in an amended information that on or between
February 1st, 2015 and February -- thank you. February 13th, 2015 the
Defendant committed the offenses of sex trafficking of a child under the
age of 18 years of age, first degree kidnapping, living from the earnings
of a prostitute and child abuse, neglect or endangerment as follows:

20 Count I, sex trafficking of a child under 18 years of age, did
21 willfully, unlawfully and feloniously induce, harbor, obtain and/or
22 maintain GK, a child under 18 years of age, to engage in prostitution.

Count II, first degree kidnapping. Did willfully, unlawfully
and felonious lead, entice, carry away or kidnap GK, a minor, with the
intent to keep, imprison or confine said GK from Becky York, her parents,

guardians or other person or persons having lawful custody of GK, or
 with the intent to hold GK to unlawful service, or to perpetrate upon the
 person of GK any unlawful act to wit prostitution.

Count III, living from the earnings of a prostitute. Did
willfully, unlawfully and felonious knowingly accept, receive, levy,
appropriate money without consideration from GK, the proceeds of
prostitution activity.

8 Count IV, child abuse, neglect or endangerment. Did 9 willfully, unlawfully, feloniously cause a child under the age of 18 years 10 to wit GK being approximately 16 years of age, to suffer unjustifiable 11 physical pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect to wit 12 sexual exploitation and/or cause GK to be placed in a situation where 13 she might have suffered unjustifiable physical pain or mental suffering 14 as a result of abuse or neglect to wit sexual exploitation by encouraging 15 and/or directing the said GK to engage in prostitution.

16 It is the duty of the jury to apply the rules of law contained in
17 these instructions to the facts of the case and determine whether or not
18 the Defendant is guilty of one or more of the offenses charged. Each
19 charge and evidence pertaining to it should be considered separately.
20 The fact that you may find the Defendant guilty or not as to one of the
21 offenses charged should not control your verdict as to any other offense
22 charged.

Instruction number 4. To constitute the crime charged there
must exist a union or joint operation of an act forbidden by law and an
intent to do the act. The intent with which an act is done is shown by the

facts and circumstances around the case. Do not confuse motive -intent with motive. Motive is what prompts a person to act. Intent refers
only to the state of mind with which the act is done. Motive is not an
element of the crime charged and the State is not required to prove a
motive on the part of the Defendant in order to convict. However, you
may consider evidence of motive or lack of motive as a circumstance in
the case.

8 Instruction number 5. The defendant is presumed innocent
9 until the contrary is proved. This presumption places upon the State the
10 burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt every material element of
11 the crime charged and that the defendant is the person who committed
12 the offense. A reasonable doubt is one based on reason. It is not mere
13 possible doubt, but is such a doubt as would govern or control a person
14 in the more weighty affairs of life.

15 If the minds of the jurors after the entire comparison and
16 consideration of all of the evidence, are in such a condition that they can
17 say they feel an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge there is not
18 a reasonable doubt. Doubt to be reasonable must be actual, not mere
19 possibility or speculation. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the guilty
20 of the Defendant, he is entitled to a verdict of not guilty.

Instruction 6. You are here to determine whether Defendant
is guilty or not from the evidence in the case. You are not called upon to
return a verdict as to the guilt of any other person. So if the evidence in
the case convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt of the guilt of the
Defendant, you should so find, even though you may believe one or

more persons are also guilty.

1

Instruction 7. The evidence which you are to consider in this
case consists of the testimony of witnesses, the exhibits, and any facts
admitted or agreed to by counsel and parties. There are two types of
evidence, direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is the testimony of a
person who claims to have personal knowledge of the commission of the
crime, which has been charged such as an eyewitness.

8 Circumstantial evidence is the proof of a chain of facts and
9 circumstances which tend to show whether the Defendant is guilty or not
10 guilty. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given
11 either direct or circumstantial evidence.

Therefore, all of the evidence in the case, including the
circumstantial evidence, should be considered by you in arriving at your
verdict. Statements, arguments and opinions of counsel are not
evidence in the case. However, if the attorneys stipulate to the existence
of a fact, you must accept the stipulation as evidence and regard that fact
as proved. You must not speculate to be true any insinuations
suggested by a question asked by -- of a witness.

The question is not evidence and may be considered only as
it supplies meaning to the answer. You must disregard any evidence to
which an objection was sustained by the court and any evidence ordered
stricken by the court. Anything you may have seen or heard outside the
courtroom is not evidence and must also be disregarded.

Instruction number 8. The credibility or believability of a
witness should be determined by his or her manner upon the stand, his

- 14 -



or her relationship to the parties, his or her fears, motives, interests or
 feelings, his or her opportunity to have observed the matter to which he
 or she testified, the reasonableness of his or her statements and the
 strength or weakness of his or her recollections.

If you believe that a witness has lied about any material fact
in the case, you may disregard the entire testimony of that witness or
any portion of his or her testimony which is not proved by other
evidence.

9 Number 9. The fact that a witness had been convicted of a
10 felony, such a fact may be considered only for the purpose of
11 determining the credibility of that witness. The fact that such a
12 conviction does not necessarily destroy or impair the witness's
13 credibility. It is one of the circumstances that you may take into
14 consideration in weighing the testimony of such a witness.

Instruction number 10. Evidence of the Defendant's prior
felony conviction must not be considered by you to prove either the
Defendant is a person of bad character, or that the Defendant has a
disposition to commit any crime.

Instruction number 11. A witness who has special
knowledge, skill, experience, training or education, a particular science,
professional occupation as an expert witness. An expert witness may
give his opinion as to any manner in which he is skilled. You should
consider such expert opinion and weigh the reasons if any, given for it.
You are not bound however by such an opinion. Give it the weight to
which you deem it entitled, whether that be great or slight, and you may

reject it if in your judgment the reasons given for it are unsound.

1

2 Instruction 12. Evidence that the Defendant committed 3 offenses other than that for which he is on trial if believed was not 4 received, it may not be considered by you to prove that he is a person of 5 bad character, or to prove that he has a disposition to commit crimes. 6 Such as evidence was received and may be considered only by you for 7 the limited purpose of proving the Defendant's motive, opportunity, 8 intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, absence of mistake or 9 accident and/or propensity. You must weight this evidence in the same 10 manner as you do all other evidence in this case.

Instruction number 13. Both the Nevada and the United
States Constitution entitle criminal defendants to the right to counsel,
even at no cost if necessary. However, in certain circumstances
defendant may elect to represent himself. On such occasions the court
may decide to appoint standby counsel to answer legal questions and
assist the defendant in representing himself.

No inferences, either positive or negative may be drawn from
Defendant electing to represent himself on this case. It has no bearing
on whether the State has proven the alleged crimes beyond a reasonable
doubt. Thus this topic should not enter into your deliberations for any
reason.

Instruction 14. A person is guilty of sex trafficking of a child
if the person induces, causes, recruits, harbors, transports, provides,
obtains and/or maintains a child to engage in prostitution or to enter any
place within the state in which prostitution is practices, encouraged or

		1
1	allowed for the purpose of sexual conduct of prostitution.	1
2	Child means a person less than 18 years of age.	
3	Induced means to persuade, encourage, inveigle or entice.	
4	Prostitution means engaging in sexual conduct with another	
5	person in return for a fee, monetary consideration or other thing of	
6	value.	1
7	Sexual conduct includes sexual intercourse, oral genital	
8	conduct, or any touching of the sexual organs or other intimate parts of	1
9	the person for the purpose of arousing or gratifying the sexual desire of	1
10	either person.	1
11	Transport means to transport or cause to be transported by	
12	any means of conveyance into, through or across the state, or to aid or	1
13	assist in obtaining such transportation.	1
14	Instruction 15. The consent of a child to an act of prostitution	1
15	is not a defense to a prosecution for the crime of sex trafficking.	1
16	Instruction 16. It is not a defense that the Defendant did not	1
17	have knowledge of the victim's age, nor is it reasonable mistake of age a	1
18	valid defense to prosecution of sex trafficking of a child.	1
19	Instruction 17. There is no requirement that the testimony of	
20	a victim of sex trafficking with child be corroborated and her testimony	
21	standing alone, if believed beyond a reasonable doubt, is sufficient to	
22	sustain a verdict of guilty.	1
23	Count [sic] 18. Every person who leaves, takes, entices or	1
24	carries away or detains any minor;	1
25	1) with the intent to keep, imprison or confine him from his	
		1

1287

parents, guardians, or any other person having lawful custody of the
 minor or;

3

2) with the intent to hold the minor to unlawful service or;

3) to perpetrate on the person of a minor, any unlawful act isguilty of first degree kidnapping.

The law does not require the person being kidnapped to be
carried away for any minimal distance. Consent of the minor under the
age of 18 is not a defense to first degree kidnapping. Force or threat of
force is not an element of first degree kidnapping.

Instruction number 19. Any person who knowingly accepts,
receives, levies or appropriates any money or other valuable thing
without consideration from the proceeds of any prostitute is guilty of
living off from the earnings of a prostitute. Any such acceptance, receipt,
levy, appropriation of money or valuable thing is presumptive evidence
of the lack of consideration.

Instruction 20. A person who willfully causes a child who is
less than 18 years of age to suffer unjustifiable physical pain or mental
suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, or to be placed in a situation
where the child may suffer physical pain or mental suffering as a result
of the abuse or neglect is guilty of child abuse, neglect or endangerment.
Abuse or neglect means physical or mental injury of a non-accidental
nature.

23 Sexual abuse, sexual exploitation and/or negligent treatment
24 or maltreatment of a child under the age of 18 years under
25 circumstances which indicate the child's health or welfare is harmed or

threatened with harm. Sexual exploitation includes forcing, allowing or
 encouraging a child to solicit for or engage in prostitution.

Instruction number 21. The State has the burden of proving
the accused voluntarily, knowingly and intelligently waived his Miranda
rights by a preponderance of the evidence. In the same manner as a
determination of voluntariness of a confession, this standard of proof
should leave the trier of fact to find that the existence of the contested
fact is more probable than its non-existence.

A warning to a suspect in custody of his Miranda rights is a
prophylactic advisement to ensure that their right against compulsory
self-incrimination is protected. The Miranda warning mitigates the
dangers of untrustworthiness and helps to guarantee that the accused
gives a fully accurate statement and that the statement is rightfully
reported by the prosecution at trial.

15 Instruction number 22. Although you are to consider only 16 the evidence in this case in reaching a verdict, you must bring to it the 17 consideration of the evidence, your everyday common sense and 18 judgment as reasonable men and women. Thus you are not limited 19 solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify. You may draw 20 reasonable inferences from the evidence which you feel are justified in 21 the light of common experience, keeping in mind that such inferences 22 should not be based on speculation or guess.

A verdict must -- may never be influenced by sympathy,
prejudice or public opinion. Your decision should be the product of
sincere judgment and sound discretion in accordance with these rules of

1		

law.
------

•	
2	Instruction 23. In your deliberation you may not discuss or
3	consider the subject of punishment as that is the matter which lies solely
4	with the Court. Your duty is confined to the determination of whether
5	the Defendant is guilty or not guilty.
6	Instruction 23. During the course of this trial and your
7	deliberations you are not to;
8	1) communicate with in any way regarding this case or its
9	merits either by phone, text, internet or other means;
10	2) read, watch or listen to any news or media accounts or
11	commentary about the case;
12	3) do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using the
13	internet, using reference materials;
14	4) make any investigation, test the theory of the case,
15	recreate any aspect of this case or in any other way investigate or learn
16	about this case on your own.
17	Instruction number 25. When you retire to consider your
18	verdict you must select one of your members to act as a foreperson who
19	will preside over your deliberation, will be your spokesperson here in
20	court.
21	During your deliberations you will have all the exhibits which
22	were admitted into evidence, these written instructions and forms of
23	verdict which have been prepared for your convenience. Your verdict
24	must be unanimous. As soon as you've agreed upon a verdict have it
25	signed and dated by your foreperson and then return it to this room.

Instruction 26. If during your deliberation you should be
 desired to be further informed on any point of law or hear again,
 portions of any testimony you must reduce your request in writing
 signed by the foreperson. The officer will then return you to the court
 where the information sought will be given to you in the presence of and
 after notice to the district attorney and the defendant and his or her
 counsel.

8 Playbacks of testimony are time consuming and are not
9 encouraged unless you deem it a necessity. Should you require a
10 playback you must carefully describe the testimony to be played back so
11 that the court can reorder -- court recorder can arrange his or her notes.
12 Remember the court is not at liberty to supplement the evidence.

13 Instruction 27. Now you will listen to the arguments of 14 counsel who will endeavor to aid you to reach a proper verdict by 15 refreshing in your minds the evidence and by showing the application 16 thereof to the law, but whatever counsel may say you must bear -- you'll 17 bear in mind that it is your duty to not be -- to be governed in your 18 deliberation by the evidence as you remember it and remember it to be 19 and by the law as given to you in these instructions with the sole, fixed 20 and steadfast purpose of doing equal and exact justice between the 21 Defendant and the State of Nevada.

Given Mary Kay Holthus, District Court Judge. And one
other thing as I read this to you, the exhibits are all going to go back with
you. You are not to manipulate or change the exhibits in any way. That
means it comes to you as is. We don't turn on or power up the cell

- 21 -

phones, okay.		
And with that State ready?		
MS. RHOADES: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.		
STATE CLOSING ARGUMENT		
BY MS. RHOADES:		
Good afternoon. Find that which they can exploit and		
manipulate to their advantage. That's a quote from Donald Hoier when		
he testified in front of you about the pimp prostitution subculture. That		
is what pimps do. That is what Christian Miles did in this case. He		
manipulated and exploited 16 year-old Gabrielle King.		
MR. MILES: Objection, Your Honor. Improper comment.		
THE COURT: Overruled.		
MR. MILES: Improper remark.		
THE COURT: Overruled.		
BY MS. RHOADES:		
He manipulated and exploited Gabrielle King and he used		
her to his advantage. Now, the State bears the burden of proof in		
criminal cases and we talked about this during jury selection. The		
burden of proof is beyond a reasonable doubt. We are tasked with		
proving each element of the crime, each element of every crime with		
which he is charged beyond a reasonable doubt. And yes, we use		
PowerPoints. Yes, we are two trained attorneys. But it our burden to		
prove to you these charges and these elements beyond a reasonable		
doubt.		
So what is beyond a reasonable doubt? The Court just		

1 instructed you on that. It is based on reason. It is not mere possibility or 2 speculation. It has to be actual doubt. For you to have reasonable 3 doubt, it has to be actual doubt. It can't be guessing, speculation, 4 anything like that. Simply put, if you have a reasonable doubt that the 5 Defendant is guilty, then you must find him not guilty. If you have a 6 reasonable doubt, an actual doubt, that he did the things that you heard 7 about, then he's entitled to not guilty verdict, but if you do not have an 8 actual reasonable doubt, he's guilty. 9 MR. MILES: And Your Honor, I'm going to object to the 10 prosecution defining -- impermissibly defining reasonable doubt. I think 11 that has already been given to the jury. And for them to supplement and 12 redefine reasonable doubt, I think that's improper. 13 THE COURT: The injury instruction was given to you. I 14 believe that she -- that's all she commented on. But to the extent that 15 anything was different, the reasonable doubt jury instruction is what 16 reasonable doubt it. 17 MS. RHOADES: So that's overruled? 18 THE COURT: Overruled, yes. 19 MS. RHOADES: Thank you. 20 BY MS. RHOADES: 21 I want to touch on a few other instructions. Common 22 sense. You must bring to the consideration of evidence your everyday 23 common sense and judgment. I urge you to do that in this case. I urge 24 you to do that when you're assessing all of the witness' credibility and all 25 of the evidence in this case. You may draw reasonable inferences from

the evidence, but again, you can't speculate. You can't guess, solve
 anything. It's just based on the evidence in this case.

You cannot base your verdict on sympathy, prejudice or
public opinion. You cannot consider punishment or potential
punishment. We talked about this again in jury instruction. It has to be
your sincere judgment, sound discretion. So the evidence, which
includes the testimony from the witness stand applied to the law that the
Court just read to you. That's all you can base it on. Self-representation.
I do want to talk about this a little bit.

You can't make any inferences, either positive or negative.
His comments. He made several comments throughout the trial, Mr.
Miles did. It's going to be hard and I'm having a hard time with this and I
don't have a fancy PowerPoint, things like that. First of all, ask
yourselves why he said those things in front of you. He's smart. He's
not stupid. Ask yourselves why he kept repeating those comments to
you. And two, you can't take any of that into consideration anyway.

MR. MILES: And I'm going to object to that as expression
personal opinion, Your Honor. I don't think that -- I think that those
remarks are improper.

20 MS. RHOADES: I didn't tell them what I think about his21 comments.

THE COURT: Overruled.

23 BY MS. RHOADES:

22

24 It has no bearing on whether or not the State has25 proved the crimes beyond a reasonable doubt. Again, statements,



arguments, opinions, not evidence. Insinuations of questions are not
 evidence, either.

The elements of sex trafficking of a child, induce, cause,
recruit, transport, provide, obtain and/or maintain. And induce is defined
for you. Persuade, encourage or entice. So notice that these are
disjunctive. We do not have to prove that he did all of these things. We
only have to prove that he did one of these things to Gabby. And I -- I
mean, I submit to you that there are one that he did to Gabby, but it's
very important that that is in the disjunctive.

MR. MILES: And I'm going to object to that, Your Honor. I
think the law is clear they have to prove all elements beyond a
reasonable doubt. I think that actually changes what reasonable doubt
is.

Why are -- inferring -- and for the record, on the PowerPoint,
she has and/or in bold, insinuating that if she proves that she only
maintained Gabby to engage in prostitution that that's enough, I think
you already instructed the jury on the elements of reasonable doubt and
what elements the States [sic] have to prove? So I think I'm going to
object as improper.

20THE COURT: Okay. Overruled. It's proper statement of the21law.

MS. RHOADES: Thank you.

23 BY MS. RHOADES:

22

24 It's in the disjunctive. We only have to prove one of
25 those things. I submit that we have proved more, but we only have to



prove one under the law. So child. That's the second element. And
 then third, to engage in prostitution. He had to have induced,
 encouraged, transported, maintained a child to engage in prostitution.
 Those are the elements. What does the State not have to prove? It is not
 a defense that the child consented to the act of prostitution, so we don't
 have to prove that she was forced to engage in prostitution.

We don't have to prove that the Defendant knew her age.
And I'll say that again. We do not have to prove that the Defendant knew
her age. We have to prove that she was a child. That's an element of
the crime. And she testified how old she was that day. That element is
more than met. We do not have to prove that he knew. We don't have
to prove that he had a reasonable mistake about her age, because we
don't have to prove that he knew how old she was.

We have to prove that he knew that he was inducing,
encouraging her to engage in prostitution, but we don't have to prove
that he knew her age. Although, I submit to you she told you that he
knew her age. But that's not a defense to sex trafficking.

The victim's testimony standing alone, if believed beyond a
reasonable doubt, is sufficient to sustain a verdict of guilty. There is no
requirement that Gabrielle's testimony be corroborated, but here we
have extensive corroborating evidence, so I'm going to talk about
Gabrielle's testimony and the credibility instruction, which applies to
every witness that testified in this case, including Mr. Miles.

So in addition to the common sense that you all bring withyou back into that deliberation room, the manner upon the stand,

- 26 -



relationship to the parties, their fears, motives, interests, feelings, the
reasonableness of their statements, opportunity to have observed the
matter to which they're testifying to, the strength or weakness of their
recollection. I just want to talk about his credibility when he testified in
front of you yesterday, his manner upon the stand. I submit to you he
has an excuse to everything, and I submit to you that he thinks he can
talk his way out of anything.

And he showed that to you when he was testifying. He
showed that to you when we played his statement. And we'll talk more
about that. His statement in March of 2015 with Detective Gatus. His
fears, motives, interests, feelings, what does he have to lose or gain? A
lot. He's got a lot to lose or gain.

13 MR. MILES: I'm going to object as personal opinion, Your14 Honor. Those are improper remarks.

MS. RHOADES: I'm not saying my personal opinion.
THE COURT: It's overruled. It's argument.

17 BY MS. RHOADES:

The reasonableness of his statements. Again, similar to his
statement to Detective Gatus. So Gabby rented the room at the Rio.
Sixteen year-old Gabby. The people at the Rio let her rent a room. She's
a house arrest and her parents, who testified in front of you, let her go
rent a room at the Rio.

MR. MILES: I'm going to object to that, Your Honor. I
misstates the actual testimony and unsupported by the evidence. It's not
[indiscernible].

1	THE COURT: This is argument. The jury's recollection will
2	count as the evidence. Overruled.
3	BY MS. RHOADES:
4	He told you guys yesterday that Gabby he went to the Rio
5	and Gabby rented the room. That's what he told you.
6	MR. MILES: No okay.
7	BY MS. RHOADES:
8	He testified that he was robbed at the room at the
9	suites. He kept putting in there she was getting Molly. She was on
10	weed. She kept she's smoking weed. She's a liar. My friend told me
11	she's a liar. Why is saying all of that? Why? Ask yourselves that when
12	you are assessing his credibility. The strength or weakness of his
13	recollection. He recalls things very quickly when it suits him. And then
14	when it doesn't suit him, he doesn't remember, okay?
15	Porsha loves Samsungs. He remembers that, even though
16	he hasn't seen her for so long. She loves Samsung phones, because
17	he's trying to put that in her hand. That's just unreasonable. Contrast
18	that with every other witness that testified. Also, you heard that he has a
19	felony conviction. That goes directly to his credibility and how credible
20	he is. Contrast that with Gabrielle's testimony and every other witness
21	that testified, frankly.
22	So Gabby's credibility. She was a 16 year-old child when it
23	happened. She's now 21 years-old. I submit she's still very much a kid.
24	Don Hoier testified about that about the brain and it doesn't fully
25	develop until you're 26 years-old. So she's still a kid and just think about

- 28 -

1 that when you're assessing her credibility and the things --

MR. MILES: Objection, Your Honor. I think that actually
misstates the testimony. I think that's improper. I don't believe he
testified to that specifically.

5 THE COURT: Overruled. It's argument. The jury account6 and recollection will count.

7 BY MS. RHOADES:

8 She's still very much a kid. It's not a sophisticated, 9 rehearsed story that she came in to tell you guys, because why? For 10 what purpose? Think of her relationship to the parties. She's testifying 11 in front of this guy who did these things to her. He questioned her on 12 cross-examination. She told you, I mean, at one point, she was like you 13 did it. You know what you did. What are her motives and interests in 14 coming in here and making up some story about Christian Miles? What 15 does she have to gain?

16 She has absolutely nothing to gain. There has been 17 absolutely no motive submitted to you to why she would come in here 18 and make up a story about Christian Miles, why she would come in here 19 to court on two days, after she's testified on three separate occasions 20 down in this courthouse. Why? For what purpose? To what end? The 21 reasonableness of her statements. Defendant tries a lot to trip her up on the phone number of the LG phone. She doesn't remember exactly what 22 23 that phone number was.

The make of the car. Was it a BMW? Was it a Volvo? I
mean, think about the reasonableness of those statements. One, those
are not elements of the crime. Two, it's reasonable to think that a 16
 year-old might not remember the phone number that she didn't have for
 that long and that she might not know the make and the model of the
 car. That actually goes to show that this is not a made-up, rehearsed
 story.

6 And the strength of her recollection, I want to talk about her 7 consistency and the exhibits that you have back there. So Exhibit 40 is 8 her May 7th, 2015 preliminary hearing testimony when she was 17 years 9 old. 41 is the April 27th, 2017 when she was 19 years old. 42, January 10 29, 2018, when she was 20 years old and here in front of you, she was 21 11 years old. So what did she tell you during trial? She told you why they 12 got together. It wasn't to be boyfriends. It wasn't to --13 boyfriend/girlfriend. It wasn't to be friends.

He wanted her to work for him as a prostitute. She defined
that as selling her body for money and giving the money to Christian.
She testified that she previously engaged in prostitution and that he
reached out to her, said, "I saw you walking on Boulder." So that also
goes along with Donald Hoier's testimony. That's what pimps do. They
pick up girls who have been engaging in prostitution, because it makes it
easier for them. They don't have to tell them what to do.

She told you why they went to the suites. She said it's time
to start hoeing. And that was the understanding that the two of them
had. The first night she didn't have a phone. The next day, he got her
one. That is that white LG phone. The pictures of her. They go to his
house. They take pictures. He posts it on Craig's List. These are all

things that she testified to. And again, just based on her testimony
 alone, if believed beyond a reasonable doubt, is sufficient to sustain a
 guilty verdict.

4 She talked about the TextNow app. She confirmed the 5 phone number was the same number that was listed on Craig's List. She 6 told you that she didn't know how to post on Craig's List, which goes 7 along with the fact that he saw her walking on Boulder. She confirmed 8 that that TextNow number was the number that she and the Defendant 9 shared. She told you that she did an in-call at the suites. She told you 10 that she did an out-call on February 10th, 2015, that the Defendant drove 11 her.

She told you that -- this is a quote that the Defendant said.
"I'm going to buy us a house. We're going to be straight. You're going
to get your nails done. You're going to get your hair done." That also
goes along with Donald Hoier's testimony, these things that he's
promising her to manipulate and exploit her. This is the preliminary
hearing transcript.

18 Your Honor, may I approach just a little bit, so I can try to19 read that?

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. RHOADES: Is that okay?

22 BY MS. RHOADES:

20

21

25

23 This is what she testified to when she was 17 years-old.
24 Because -- okay. So the question was:

"How do you know that's why he was doing something?"

And she says, "Because he was explaining to me to get
 down, like what he was going to do and what was going to happen. So
 he told me that he was going to put pictures on the site, and I was going
 to get clients and I was going to have sex with them, and I was going to
 get money and I was going to give it to him."

I mean, that's sex trafficking of a child.

6

7 This is where she's asked, "So the next day, what happened
8 after you guys woke up?"

9 "We went to go get me a phone, because I didn't have one at
10 the time. And then he presented some type of texting so -- where the
11 clients would text my phone, but he will also get the text and he would
12 reply to them."

So that's what she told you when she testified as well about
the TextNow app, about the phone. Defendant made a big deal whether
she had a phone or not. It was a nonworking phone that worked for the
internet. I mean, she had to have some way to contact him on Facebook,
so she had the Wi-Fi phone and then they go get her working phone that
he puts the TextNow app on. These are also things that she testified to
back in May of 2015.

20 She talks about them cutting off the GPS, that they went to 21 Walmart, that the Defendant took pictures of her, that they went to the 22 suites, that she gave the money that she got from prostituting to the 23 Defendant. She testified -- and these are the page numbers referenced 24 in those exhibits. Defendant explained what he wanted her to do before 25 they met in person, saw her walking on Boulder. She again talks about the phone only had Wi-Fi, clarifies that again during the preliminary
 hearing. This all goes to the consistency and the strength of her
 recollection.

Exhibit 41, April 2017. She testified that she worked as a
prostitute for the Defendant, that she did out-calls and in-calls, that he
took pictures of her, posted it online. She testified that she knows
Porsha. She talked about how it wasn't a formal introduction that but
that she knew her and that she rented the room. All things that she told
you guys during this trial. She talked about the TextNow app.

Again, during that hearing -- you'll see, because you'll have
that exhibit with you -- that he tries to trip her up on the phone numbers.
You know, I think she's off a couple of digits of the LG cellphone number.

13 MR. MILES: Objection. That misstates the testimony. It's an14 improper remark.

15 THE COURT: The exhibits will speak for themselves. This is16 argument.

MS. RHOADES: Thank you.

18 BY MS. RHOADES:

17

You'll have that exhibit. He tries to trip her up on the
phone number. She's off a couple of digits on the white LG phone
number and that's reasonable. This is a -- this is from that same exhibit.
She's asked, "How were you able to meet these men that you
had sex with for money?"

She says, "Really Christian would do all of the work and he'll
just tell me that you're having a client in like 30 minutes, so be ready. So

I never really got to talk to them or text them or anything. He would just
 tell me the price and they were coming for -- and they'll come, and we'll
 do a date and that would be that." That's exactly what she told you
 guys.

5 Exhibit 42 was the January 2018. This is where the
6 Defendant's asking her about JJ and her Facebook and Durelle. She
7 testified even when she wasn't with the Defendant, she was supposed to
8 respond back to him, and he had access to her texts. She testified that
9 the Defendant would get the texts, respond and give her instructions on
10 what to do about the dates.

She talks about the GPS bracelet. And these are quotes from
that. So they're talking about the Facebook and the Defendant's
basically asking her, well why didn't you say that I cut off your GPS
bracelet basically is what the gist is. And again, you have the exhibit
back there with you.

So she's asked, "Okay. And what was that? What was goingon in your situation?

Because she says, "I didn't really need to tell them about the situation." She says that you were ta -- so she defines the situation. "You were taking me from my home, cutting off my bracelet and we was going to make money together. They didn't need to know that," explaining why she didn't post that on Facebook when the Defendant's asking her why she didn't say exactly that on Facebook.

She's asked, "Okay, had you wanted to run away before you
met Christian from your house? Had you done that before?"



She answers, "No, because I really didn't have a way to run
 away. I didn't know how to cut off my bracelet and I didn't have no car
 and no escape routes, so no."

Just based on her testimony and all of those consistent
things that she said in those exhibits that you have, he's guilty beyond a
reasonable doubt of sex trafficking of a child, but there is so much more.
There is so much corroboration in this case. Gabby's white LG
cellphone, the Defendant's cellphones. He told Porsha, get the phones.
Why do you think he wanted Porsha to get the phones? The ZTE
cellphone number was connected to that 2289 number.

The Defendant also admits that that's his phone number
when he interviewed with Detective Gatus. The Samsung cellphone
didn't have a phone number connected to it at the time that officers
impounded that phone. You have Craig's List records, Facebook records.
You have Porsha's testimony, the suites registration to corroborate
exactly what Gabby told you about that. You have his own statement on
March 24th, 2015.

So first I want to talk about the white LG phone. Okay, that's
the phone. That's the phone that he bought for Gabby, that the
Defendant bought for Gabby on February 9th, 2015. You have the
receipt back there. That corroborates exactly when she told you they got
the phone. It's photographed in that picture, while she's taking selfies
with the Defendant at the suites, because she told you that's where they
were at.

25

These text messages that were talked about a lot, where she

talks about her vagina hurting, that's all corroborating evidence as to
what she told you occurred. And that's on February 10th, 2015, the early
morning hours, when they talk about she's got to go do this. She's got
to make money. And you'll have all this back. I know this a little bit hard
to read. So later on in the day on February 10th, 2015, she's got an
incoming text. "Smile little bae, everything finna be fine. We finna get
rich. Don't stress."

And then she talks. "Oh, I have a lot of stuff going on in my
head." Okay. Those go back to the promises that Donald Hoier talked
about. That's after she had sex for money, because those were earlier in
the day, when she talks about her vagina hurting.

12 Incoming, "I'm missing out on money. Oh, I mean, you are.13 What are you doing?"

14

Okay, "I'm missing out on money. I mean, you are."

15 Well really, it's Christian who's missing out on money, 16 because he's taken all the money from her. So that all corroborates 17 her -- all of those texts. The phone numbers that were verified by 18 Detective Gatus, by Gabby, by the Defendant himself. Remember, these 19 were photographs in the Defendant's phone, the Samsung phone. She's 20 got that same photo. This is the number, the TextNow number. This is 21 actually a TextNow photo. Vince Ramirez told you that, that these 22 photos came in through the TextNow app. And you could tell that by 23 that path there, the source path.

Another picture in the Defendant's phone -- and the
Defendant made a point about the Facebook records, that Gabby took

this photo before. Well yeah, Gabby took the photo before that, I submit
 to you, was probably her phone that didn't work. That's the phone that
 she had, and she took a picture like that and then sent it to the
 Defendant. The other one is the phone that he bought for her.
 Regardless, both of those were on the Defendant's Samsung phone.

6 This corroborates Gabby, this picture that Porsha testified 7 about, that the Defendant talked about in his own statement that he had 8 this, you know, photo room in his house. Those photos Gabby told you 9 she took in the photo rooms. You can tell by the background. It's got 10 that same TextNow number on it as well. Again, these were in the 11 Samsung phone. The Samsung phone that the Defendant took a selfie 12 of him and Gabby. He's taken the photo. You can tell that by his hand. 13 That's the same phone that these were in.

14 These are in his Samsung cellphone as well. Same TextNow 15 number. That's Gabby. The Craig's list ads that were obtained from 16 Craig's List, the email, addresses -- and you have the emails that were 17 obtained from the Samsung phone match up with these email address 18 and Craig's List. February 9th, 2015. That's when it gets posted. It's this 19 photo that was in the Defendant's phone that Gabby took of her and sent 20 to her. She told you that she sent that to him. That same TextNow 21 number that's on the ads that are found in his phone, the photos that are 22 found in his phone.

23 That's one. This is the second one. Different email address.
24 Same date, February 9th, 2015, consistent with her testimony,
25 corroborating her testimony, the same TextNow number that she

verified was the number that she and the Defendant shared. Here's
 another one, the third one, with the third email, February 9th, 2015, same
 TextNow number. Now we have the two different photos, both of which
 were in the Defendant's phone. The one that she took with the white LG
 phone and the one she took with the black phone.

6 These are the emails that were found in the Defendant's 7 phone, that list of the G Mail accounts. All three of those were the ones 8 that were listed in the Craig's List ad. Gabby and Porsha both told you 9 that they used the Text Now application with the Defendant. They 10 explained to you how that worked. It's a different number than your 11 phone number and that each person just kind of like an email that you 12 have on two different devices can respond to the texts, can respond to 13 the calls.

The same photos of Gabby that were found on his phone
were on the TextNow photographs that you have back there that
Detective Gatus took, the ones with all the blocks that she got and told
you, one, that the phone number came back to that phone number that's
listed on the ads and two, that were contained -- that contained the
photographs that we just look at that were on Defendant's phone. That's
Gabby's LG cellphone number. Detective Gatus testified about that.

Again, she testified that the TextNow on Gabby's phone,
after she took those screenshot pictures was that 291-2355 number. The
Defendant's Samsung phone, you've got the TextNow app pictures on
there, so you know that he had that on that Samsung phone. You know
that there were different numbers. Sure, that number didn't show up,

1	but I submit to you, you can delete a new number and create a new
2	number. I mean, there were four different numbers on that phone when
3	they found it and obtained it from the Defendant in March of 2015.
4	So we know that he had the app. We know that it's him. He
5	confirms that it's himself. This Chris. This Christian. He says that, I
6	think
7	MR. MILES: Objection, Your Honor. That's improper.
8	That's
9	MS. RHOADES: three times.
10	MR. MILES: improper that's not what misstates the
11	record. That's not supported by evidence.
12	THE COURT: Overruled. The jury's accounts and
13	recollection will count. This is argument.
14	MS. RHOADES: Thank you.
15	BY MS. RHOADES:
16	He states that at least three times on those pictures that
17	you have of the TextNow pictures, which are the bigger screens from the
18	Samsung phone versus the little ones that Detective Gatus took. That all
19	corroborates Gabby. And here is the pictures that Detective Gatus took.
20	And what's important is this February 13th conversation, where they talk
21	about the room and where to go. So I submit to you it's Christian on the
22	TextNow app, telling the trick, telling the John, go to Building F. It's 2069
23	is the room.
24	And then he's asking her on those text messages that you
25	have from her phone a separate exhibit, "Where are you? Where are

1	you? Are you at the room? Are you at the room? You got a date	
2	coming." She doesn't come and so he sends that long paragraph, "Hey,	
3	Ace, this is actually an agency. Sorry, we have a lot of other girls," is	
4	what he says to her.	
5	MR. MILES: Objection. Not supported by evidence, Your	
6	Honor.	
7	THE COURT: Overruled.	
8	BY MS. RHOADES:	
9	He says to him. I'm guessing Ace is a him. I misspoke.	
10	That's the same exact room that Porsha told you that she rented at the	
11	Defendant's behest, that Gabby told you she stayed in. And again, that	
12	February 13th day is consistent with those text messages and they	
13	exactly match up.	
14	So let's talk about his statement from March 24th, 2015.	
15	What does he say before Detective Gatus even says anything about	
16	anything?	
17	"I didn't have her working. We had nothing to do with	
18	working. She's a liar. I know my friend, my friend told me that she's a	
19	liar." Okay? Kind of similar to what he told you guys when he testified	
20	on the witness stand? And remember, this is almost two months until	
21	I'm sorry. So February, early February. This is about a month and a half	
22	after he engaged with Gabby, so he's trying to cover his tracks right	
23	there. He does admit that they met on Facebook, admits to the photo	
24	shoot, admits to the LG cellphone.	
25	Claims that they were selling weed. Think about the	
		l

1	reasonableness of that. We kicked it. We drove a lot of places. That's	
2	what the out-calls were. She wasn't having sex for money. She'll tell	
3	you herself. She was posting ads. So I mean, those are contradictory	
4	right	
5	MR. MILES: Objection, Your Honor.	
6	MS. RHOADES: in themselves.	
7	MR. MILES: That actually mis I'm going to unsupported by	
8	the evidence.	
9	THE COURT: Overruled.	
10	BY MS. RHOADES:	
11	You will have the recording of his statement with you	
12	back in the deliberation room. The girls gave him the idea of TextNow.	
13	He didn't think of that. It was the girls that did that. He talks about him	
14	and Gabby starting a clothing line. He's going to sell products from	
15	China. It's a PS3, it's a PS4, there's parts for the PS3. He's got his	
16	budget DTA. I mean, think about why he says what he says. Think about	
17	the reasonableness of what he says and contrast that with all of the other	
18	evidence in this case.	
19	All of the evidence, Gabby's testimony, everything that	
20	corroborates her. There's no doubt that he induced, he persuaded, he	
21	encouraged, he enticed a child to engage in prostitution and he's guilty	
22	beyond a reasonable doubt of sex trafficking of a child. You don't have	
23	to specifically tell somebody come work for me as a prostitute to be	
24	guilty of sex trafficking of a child. He told her in so many words and	
25	she actually did the State doesn't have to prove that she even engaged	

in prostitution, just that he encouraged her to do it, but here she did it
 and there's just -- I mean, there's no reasonable doubt.

3 Count II is first degree kidnapping. The elements there, 4 leads, takes, entices or carries away a minor with the intent to either, 5 one, keep or confine from her parents or two, to hold the minor to 6 unlawful service or three, to perpetrate upon the minor any unlawful act. 7 So again, it's in the disjunctive. We just have to prove one of those 8 things. The big thing here is the intent. We do not have to prove that 9 she actually engaged in the unlawful act of prostitution, just what his 10 intent was at the time he took her on February 8th, 2015.

11 He doesn't have to do any other crime after that. It's just on 12 that time that he took her, what was his intent in taking her? There's no 13 minimal distance required. There's no force, threat of force. Consent of 14 a minor is not a defense. Again, she's a minor. That's been proven to 15 you. Gabby, Becky York, Mark Hunt all testified no parent's permission. 16 Nobody told him it was okay to take her. The Defendant's own 17 statements that he took her -- I mean, he admits in that statement that he 18 took here.

Detective Gatus testified about the license plate number, that
that car that we talked about so much from the Rhodes Ranch
surveillance, that license plate came back to the defendant. She talked
about the VIN number and how it was the same car that he was arrested
in on March 24th, 2015. Why did he --

24 MR. MILES: Objection. That is actually unsupported by the25 evidence, Your Honor.

- 42 -

1

## THE COURT: Overruled.

2 BY MS. RHOADES:

Ask yourselves why he changed the license plate. He -there's no doubt that he led, took, carried her away and that she's a
minor, so those two elements are met. The intent -- so we can't, you
know, open his brain and go back and see what his intent was. We have
to look at all the circumstances.

8 So it's direct and circumstantial evidence. On February 5th,
9 2015, that record from Rhodes Ranch, one, that corroborates Gabby's
10 testimony that she went with him on that day and that he told her on that
11 day that she wanted her to work -- that he wanted her to work for him.

12 Gabby's testimony that when he picked her up, that was the 13 understanding, that he wanted her to work for him. And the Defendant's 14 actions after he picked Gabby up. Well, what did he do after? So in 15 addition to that record, in addition to the fact that he went and talked to 16 her about working for him and that he picked her up to work for him per 17 Gabby, what did he do after he picked her up? Well, they went and took 18 some pictures. They went to the suites. He bought her a phone. They 19 rented that room. He posted her on Craig's List.

All of that shows his intent and his intent was for her to engage in an unlawful act, which was prostitution. He also took her away without her parent's permission, so that is also first degree kidnapping. So either one of those things, however you find it. The TextNow number of course. He's got that. He's also got that on the Craig's List record. So there's no doubt that he committed first degree

1 kidnapping of Gabby.

2	Count III is living from the earnings of a prostitute. The
3	elements are knowingly accepts or receives any money without
4	consideration. The acceptance of that money is presumptive evidence
5	that there was no consideration from the proceeds of any prostitute. So
6	we've got Gabby's testimony here that she told you after the out-call,
7	after the in-call, she gave the money to the Defendant. Porsha's
8	testimony that they lived in a house together at this Holly Bluff, so he
9	was paying some bills some way. Porsha also told you that he had no
10	job. He was living from the earnings of a prostitute.
11	MR. MILES: Objection. That's actually unsupported by the
12	record, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Overruled.
14	BY MS. RHOADES:
15	He accepted money after Gabby engaged in prostitution and
16	he's guilty of Count III. Count IV is child abuse and neglect. The
17	elements, causes a child under the age of 18 to be placed in a situation
18	where the child may suffer physical pain or mental suffering as a result
19	of abuse or neglect. Specifically here, it's sexual exploitation, which is
20	defined as forcing or allowing or encouraging a child to solicit for or
21	engage in prostitution.
22	Again, we are in the disjunctive here. We do not have to
23	prove that the child actually suffered physical pain or mental suffering

prove that the child actually suffered physical pain or mental suffering,
just that he placed her in a situation where she may suffer those things
as a result of that sexual exploitation. Gabby told you she did out-calls,

she did in-calls. You've got the texts showing that she did out-calls and 1 2 she did in-calls. I submit to you that placing a 16 year-old in rooms with 3 adult men, strangers, to have sex for money, is placing them in a 4 situation, where they may suffer physical pain or mental suffering. And 5 he's guilty of child abuse and neglect. All of those elements are met. 6 This is not a case about Facebook. This is not a case about 7 whether Gabby knew the exact phone number of that white LG phone 8 number. This is not a case about Gabby's GPS bracelet. This is about 9 whether the Defendant manipulated and exploited 16 year-old Gabrielle 10 King in February 2015, whether he encouraged her to engage in 11 prostitution and whether he took her from her home on February 8th, 12 2015 for that purpose. He did that. He is guilty beyond a reasonable 13 doubt and we ask that you find him so. Thank you. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Miles? 15 MR. MILES: Yes, Your Honor. 16 DEFENDANT CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. MILES: 17 18 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 19 Now we have heard testimony from Gabrielle King. We've 20 heard testimony from Porsha. We've heard testimony from Detective 21 Gatus, and we heard testimony from various other people. 22 The testimony that we've heard from Gabrielle King, Porsha 23 and Detective Gatus isn't consistent with the State's own evidence. 24 They've testified falsely and they testified to things that they knew were 25 false.

Now if you'll recall Gabrielle King testified that her 1 2 statements to police during the case was truthful. Now during her 3 interview, as I pointed out, she testified -- I mean during her interview 4 she confirmed that in that interview she told detectives that from the 5 point the room was allegedly obtained I did not tell her at any point in 6 time she was going to be working as a prostitute. So her statements 7 alone to police shows that she has lied on the stand for the prosecution 8 and has completely changed her story and said I told her on Facebook to 9 work as a prostitute.

10 Now she's also told the detectives that I picked her up on 11 February 8th of 2015 in a white BMW. That's important to this case 12 because as I submitted to you guys and I've admitted in my statement 13 and on the testimony when I was on the stand, I did pick her up. But I 14 picked her up on the 5th, not the 8th. That's important. Because I didn't 15 pick her up on the 8th alone. I don't know who picked her up; it wasn't 16 me. So her testimony alone and her statement to police that she told her 17 she was picked up in a white BMW proves that I at least didn't pick her 18 up on the 8th. And that she has been lying and saying that I picked her 19 up on the 8th which is important when it comes to her allegedly 20 engaging in prostitution.

All the facts in this case are important and I encourage you to
please look over all the testimony, recall everything that you've heard on
the stand and put the pieces to the puzzle together because nothing's
adding up in this case.

25

She's also testified that she has offered true and correct

testimony during the entire investigation of this case and why she has 1 2 testified in this trial and the evidentiary hearings. Now if you'll recall in 3 the evidentiary hearing she testified that she was with a friend JJ and 4 Durrell from February 10th, 2015 to February 11th. Her testimony alone 5 proves that she was not with me on February 10th or February 11th. She 6 testified to it. That was under oath and she was required to tell the truth. 7 If she wasn't telling the truth then, what makes you think she's telling the 8 truth now?

9 She raised her right hand and said, I will tell the truth and
10 nothing but the truth. Is she telling the truth or is she lying? What's
11 true? Is it true then or is it true now? We'll never know. Only Gabrielle
12 King will know. But we do know that she testified that she wasn't with
13 me on the 10th and the 11th. We do know that and that's not consistent
14 with the State's evidence or with their alleged text messages.

Now she did testify at a hearing, and I do encourage you to
look at all the testimony in the hearing to review all these -- the
testimony at the hearing. She did testify at the hearing that she didn't
have a phone with her between the dates of February 8th, 2015 and
February 13th of 2015.

Now she's came here and changed her complete testimony
and said that she did have a phone between those dates. Which one is
true? We will never know again. When she testified to that she raised
her right hand and said she will tell the truth and nothing but the truth. If
that's not true, what is true? We will never know.

25

These are statements that she made under oath. And she's

required to be held to that same standard. Nothing in her testimony is
 believable and you shouldn't consider any of her testimony when
 making a consideration of whether you believe I'm guilty or not.

4 Now she's also testified -- and that's very important. She 5 testified at the preliminary hearing, which Ms. Rhoades has showed you 6 all brief pieces of the preliminary hearing. That the cell phone number 7 that she had that I bought her was 517-1020. Ms. Rhoades said, okay. It 8 was a couple digits off. That's completely a different number. 517-1020 9 was the number she said I provided to her under oath. Now she's came 10 here and said you know what, the number that he provided to me was 11 the LG cellular phone number. That's what she testified to.

She's also testified that the Text Now number that she
allegedly used to engage in prostitution just at the preliminary hearing
was 517-2010. Again, Ms. Rhoades says the numbers were a couple
digits off. They were a complete different number. And that's important
to this case especially when Gabrielle King is alleging that the Text Now
app was used for her to engage in prostitution.

What's also important is you will see when you look at the
State's evidence that there's not one text message from any client or any
dude which would suggest that she engaged in prostitution with any of
those clients. The only text message you see is a text message that
says, this is a service agency. There's no text message that says, okay.
Send me the room. Nice meeting you.

We heard from the expert that the State offered that you
would see a text message if it was coming from an outcall saying here's

my address and are you coming over. There's no text messages like
 that. There's no text messages because she's never had sex with any
 clients. And it had nothing to do with the Text Now application.

Now Ms. Rhoades brought this up. She said on the Text
Now app you will see that it has no number. Why doesn't it have a
number? Because that wasn't the Text Now number, that's why. The
photo was never taken. The phone was never preserved. We will never
know what number it was, but we do know there's no text messages
between her or any of the dudes on that Text Now app that would show
that she had sex with anybody between those days.

Again, Gabrielle King's testimony is not true and none of hertestimony should be considered by you guys today.

13 She's also testified at trial, which is very important, because 14 Ms. Rhoades didn't bring this up, but she did show you the evidence. 15 She testified for the State and she's testified during my cross-16 examination that I didn't take her to get her nails done. The text 17 messages that they're showing you would suggest that somebody text 18 her and took her to get her nails done. She testified to that twice. We 19 didn't really get to see a snippet of that because the State knows that she 20 said that.

But they're not going to correct the truth. They're not going
to tell you what's false and what's not false. They're only going to show
you things that would suggest that I'm guilty of it. Even though they do
have an oath and the law is, if they know a witness is testifying falsely
they have to set the record straight and let the court know that material

evidence has been presented during the trial. They haven't did that. 1 2 Now she's also -- she also at one point in the trial testified 3 that I didn't tell her anything about working; it was just my demeanor. 4 That's important because she's alleging that I text -- I hit her up on 5 Facebook and told her I wanted her to work as a prostitute? Which one 6 is it? She testified at trial, this was for the State when she said that. 7 They asked her, what did he say about you working. She said you know 8 what, he didn't really say nothing. It was just his demeanor and I knew 9 that he just wanted me to work.

10 Then they asked her again the same question. I didn't object 11 because this was important. They asked her the same question. Did he 12 say anything on Facebook? Completely changed her story and said well, 13 he said he -- we was going to get money together and we was -- I was 14 going to have sex with clients. This is important because again, she's 15 testifying to it. I'm the one that's being accused of the crime. She's 16 testifying to these statements and all of these are completely false and is 17 not consistent with any of the State's evidence.

18 Now we did hear testimony from Detective Gatus, which 19 Detective Gatus' testimony is -- shouldn't even be considered by you 20 guys too and I'm going to tell you why. At one point in time I asked her 21 during the trial, has all of your testimony been true and correct. She said 22 yes. Then I end up grilling her on one specific issue and she said you 23 know what, that statement wasn't true. That was a false statement. So 24 she's admitted that she lied on the stand. That's the only thing she would admit to. She admits she lied. 25

1 Now I also asked Detective Gatus, were these the images that 2 you received from the HOA, which was a very important question, 3 because the State had witnesses come and testify that only this image 4 was the one that was associated with Gabrielle King getting picked up on 5 the 8th. That's very important because that's not true. There was no 6 images of me picking her up on the 8th because I never picked her up. 7 But the State had the witnesses testify to that. Detective Gatus herself 8 testified you know what, this was the only thing I received from the HOA, 9 which clearly shows that she was picked up on the 5th. Again, it's very 10 important when it comes to this case.

Now Porsha also testified if you recall me asking her, I asked
her, is your statements to police, were they true and correct. Were they
truthful? She said, you know what, my statements to police were
truthful. Again, her testimony is essential if I'm getting accused of the
crime. You will notice in her interview to detectives she said you know
what, the phones are hers. That's what she said to the police.

So her own testimony shows that she hasn't been truthful. If
she's testified that she has been truthful with the police and has admitted
that the phones were hers, just later to come change her testimony for
the State. And keep in mind, she is being offered immunity to testify
against me. That just shows you, she has not been telling the truth and
none of her testimony should be considered. And again, those are only
single issues.

Now I testified that I wasn't with Gabrielle King from the 10th
-- February 10th, 2015 to February 13th. If anybody believes me, no

other inferences need to be drawn. You could just stick with that and say
 you know what, I believe that he was not with her on February 10th, 2015
 through February 13th, 2015 if you believe me.

Now I also testified that I activated number 702-913-2289 on
February 25th of 2015. That's very important. It's important again. This
has been admitted into evidence. Detective Gatus had the opportunity to
subpoena cell phone records. The only records she subpoenaed was
Metro. Metro maintains their records for six months. There is no
evidence that the number I was using was a working number on
February 8, 2015 to February 13th.

11 Again, the phone is no longer functional. Nobody will be 12 able to look at the phone and tell specifically what text messages are on 13 it, because it doesn't work. This is the evidence that was in Detective 14 Gatus' possession the whole time. That doesn't work no more. We're 15 just missing evidence here. The numbers haven't been preserved. And 16 the number that was allegedly on the Text Now application that 17 Detective Gatus had in her possession, that's not there either. All the 18 evidence that she's in possession of mysteriously comes up missing.

And you will keep in mind that I asked Detective Gatus on the
stand, were you the one that was using -- well, that logged into Gabrielle
King's Facebook account? There's no messages between me and
Gabrielle King on Facebook because all of those had been deleted except
two, that you -- that we -- yeah. Except two. All the messages have been
deleted.

25

So we have a phone that's no longer functional and

messages that have been deleted and they were all in Detective Gatus's 2 possession.

1

3 Now I've also testified that I didn't receive any money from 4 Gabrielle King. Again, if you believe my testimony you could go along 5 with that, no other inferences need to be drawn. If you believe that I did 6 not receive any money from her you could say you know what, I believe 7 he did not receive any money from her and I'm just going to go along 8 with that. You could do that if you believe me.

9 Now I did also say that I did not text Gabrielle King on or 10 between the dates of February 8th, 2015 and February 13th with phone 11 number 702-913-2289. That's important. Again, I told you that Detective 12 Gatus had the opportunity to subpoena Metro records. Those Metro 13 records clearly show that the ZTE phone and the activation date for that 14 account was February 25th of 2015. Again, no other subpoenas have 15 been issued. There's just no evidence to determine if this number was 16 functional. No evidence at all. Completely no evidence.

17 But there is evidence to show you know what, this number 18 was activated on February 2nd -- I mean, February 25th of 2015. There is 19 evidence to show that. And if you believe that then you would believe 20 that that corroborates my testimony that I did not text this girl.

21 Court's indulgence. Now again, Gabrielle King admits that 22 she -- admits that she wasn't with me. That she was with a friend named 23 Durrell and JJ. Now this the interview and I asked Detective Gatus about 24 this interview, which is very important. She said this interview was on 25 February 19th of 2015, which is important. Because Ms. Rhoades was

building you a timeline and said okay. Well, she was 16 when she said
 this. She was 17 when she said this. When she was 16 she said you
 know what, I was with Durrell at Bailey's. I left with JJ and spent the
 night.

That clearly shows that the detectives already knew about
these statements. And that she told the detectives that. That was fresh
on her mind. Ms. Rhoades made a big deal of that in her power point.
Well, she was only 16 then. She was 17 the next time she testified. She
was 18 the next time she testified. Her statements have been there since
day one. They're just -- they just haven't got presented how they should
get presented, but they've been there since day one.

12 Now another thing that was very important. They -- Ms. 13 Rhoades did point this out. She said you know what, the images that 14 they allegedly believe was on the Craig's List, she was saying okay. 15 Well, he took pictures of her. But guess what, there's not a single photo 16 that I took for her when I -- when she -- when I told you I was in a 17 photoshoot, a single photo on Craig's List. Why's that? Because I didn't 18 post it. If I was posting photos or taking pictures of her to post on 19 Craig's List, why is none of the photos that I took on Craig's List? 20 Because I didn't post -- I didn't take photos of her to post on Craig's List, 21 that's why.

Now it's a very big deal because at first -- and Detective
Gatus did testify to this, this photo that she took obviously that appears
to be a ZTE phone. Not my phone. But the phone on February 25th of
2015. That's what I testified to. It's important because you will notice on

her Facebook this photo was uploaded on January 19th of 2015. Again,
 Gabrielle King said the first day she met up with me was on February 5th
 when we went to the hotel.

So I clearly didn't take this photo, and she clearly didn't take
this with my phone, clearly. This is from Facebook records itself. Didn't
take the photo of her. It wasn't with my phone that I used because she
never admitted at that point in time. Never admitted.

Now this is important because Ms. Rhoades brought this up
too. Now obviously I purchased a phone. I used my ID to post it and I
use my bank card, my business bank card to post it, where they said I
didn't have no job. A business is a job. I used my business card and I
used my ID to pay for that phone.

Now from the records this phone was bought on the 9th.
And you guys will have this evidence with you. This -- and this is very
important. This phone was bought on the 9th. Now if I refer you back to
the Metro PCS records, this phone was bought on the 9th. Obviously
when you buy a phone, or you open up an account with Metro they give
you a number and that date is listed.

On Metro it clearly shows that that number was activated on
February 9th of 2015. It doesn't -- it's not going to say obviously account
activate -- I mean, it's not going to obviously say number activation. It's
the account. When you have any type of cell phone provider, use your
common sense here. Whenever you activate the number it's going to
reflect on your cell phone records when that number was activated. Just
use your common sense on that. I ask you that.

Now as I said, if you believe anything I said in this case you
could just go along with it. No other inferences need to be drawn. If you
believe anything I said you can say you know what, I believe this guy on
this issue and I'm not going to -- I'm just going to go just along with that
and I'm going to believe him. So I really ask you to believe the
testimony that I've told you and search through the evidence.

7 Now what's also important, the State has shown you 8 multiple Text Now accounts on one phone. Now it's not entirely clear 9 based on the evidence that they submitted how a person can install the 10 same application on one phone multiple times. Use your common sense 11 here. When you have an application on the phone you can only install it 12 one time. It's not entirely clear how seven or eight different applications 13 got installed on one phone multiple times. That's not clear. And they 14 haven't submitted any evidence to support that.

And I really ask you again, use your judgment. Witnesses
have lied. If you believe any witness's lie you could say you know what,
I do not believe this witness and I do not want to consider any of their
testimony ,and you could stick to that. That is okay. Thank you.

19THE COURT: Everybody good to finish up with the State?20Okay. Mr. Martinez.

MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you.

22

21

## STATE REBUTTAL CLOSING ARGUMENT

23 BY MR. MARTINEZ:

Just a couple things, ladies and gentlemen. It's important
that you use your everyday common sense and judgment as reasonable

men and women. You're allowed to draw reasonable inferences on the
 evidence that's been presented to you. Don't speculate, don't guess.
 And the Defendant has stood up here and was begging you believe me,
 believe what I say. And you have that credibility instruction.

I want you to go back when you deliberate, listen to that
statement that he gave detectives. Detective Gatus asks Christian Miles,
who's Gabrielle. And what's his response, "Oh, I see where this is going.
She wasn't working for me and I thought she was over age." Is that how
you respond when you're asked about somebody named Gabrielle?
Think about that and use your common sense.

How many times did he lie to the detectives about that white
LG phone? And then you think about his testimony. So you'll go
through and go ahead and just count, because there's at least ten times, I
did not buy her an LG phone. I did not buy her a phone. I did not buy
her a phone. I did not buy Gabrielle a phone. I did not buy that girl a
phone. I didn't buy her a phone.

Then they show him his ID and the debit card and the receipt
for the LG phone. He's like oh, okay. Yeah. I bought her a phone. And
then he stood up -- and then he sat on the stand and testified to you that
was my fault. That's my fault.

Okay. So let's use our common sense for a second and put
that together. He also admitted in his statement to detectives, they said,
what's your phone number. They didn't give him a phone number.
They said, what is your phone number and he says 702-913-2289. The
Defendant says that the evidence wasn't preserved. Well, yeah it was.

Detective Gatus preserved that information that was on the phone.
 Thankfully we have that information.

She did a report. She extracted the information with a
Cellebrite machine and preserved that evidence. That phone number
that the Defendant admitted was his texted that LG cell phone that he
says on the stand is his phone, but tells detectives oh, yeah. I bought her
that phone after denying it ten times. So which is it?

8 Use your common sense, did he text himself? So if that's 9 true, if you believe his testimony, you believe what he's telling you right 10 now, I bought the LG phone, that's my phone. 702-913-2289, that's my 11 phone number. And you heard evidence, and you saw evidence, and 12 you're going to have evidence that that number existed in October of 13 2014 associated with a pawn ticket with the Defendant's name on it, with 14 his driver's license number, same driver's license number as what's in 15 the Samsung phone, photograph of his driver's license. It's all there. 16 That number existed. That was his number.

So let's go back. Is the LG phone his like he testified on the
stand under oath, or is it the one he bought Gabby? So if you take what
he says on the stand that the LG phone was mine, then that number that
he admitted was -- that means he texted himself that he had an outcall
and then he texted himself back again that his vagina hurt.

22MR. MILES: And I'm going to object --23MR. MARTINEZ: Use your common sense.

24 MR. MILES: -- that's an improper remark, Your Honor. I'm
25 not understanding. I'm just going to object; I think that was improper

1 what he just said.

THE COURT: Overruled.

3

2

MR. MILES: Okay.

## 4 BY MR. MARTINEZ:

5 He admits that phone number is his. He tells you on the 6 stand under oath that the LG phone was his. That's the LG phone that 7 you saw Gabby taking selfies with. The Craig's List ads were posted with 8 user accounts with emails that are attached to the Defendant's Samsung 9 phone. The Samsung phone has messages that says, "This is Christian". 10 The Defendant tried to tell you on the stand that that was Porsha's, that 11 was Laporsha's phone. So Laporscha goes by Christian when she's 12 using the Text Now app. This is Chris, this is Christian. Does that make 13 sense to you? Is that common sense? It's not common sense at all.

14 I think Gabby said it best on the stand, when she faced the 15 person that was trafficking her. When she answered his questions and 16 she had to face him. Be real with yourself. That's her way of saying to 17 you, use your common sense. He's a pimp. He recruited her. We don't 18 have to show, and we're not required to prove as an element of sex 19 trafficking and kidnapping, living from the earnings of a prostitute, or 20 child abuse, or neglect. We're not required, and the law does not require 21 that the Defendant be the person who turned her out. She admitted she 22 engaged in prostitution. That's undisputed.

MR. MILES: And I'm going to object to misstating the law as
far as in regards to him saying the law doesn't require to show that I'm
the one that recruited her and the prostitution, I think that actually

misstates the law. I believe the law does require to show the recruitment 1 2 of the prostitute. And I think that's clear from Your Honor's instruction. 3 THE COURT: Overruled. That is a correct statement of the 4 law. 5 BY MR. MARTINEZ: 6 Look at the words carefully. It says "or" or "and/or". The law 7 says, and you took an oath to follow the law, one of those things induce. 8 What does induce mean? It just means to encourage. We don't have to 9 prove that an act of prostitution actually occurred. We don't have to 10 show that Gabby never engaged in prostitution before and this was the 11 first time that she was introduced to this world by the Defendant. No. 12 That's not the way the law works. 13 The law says that if you encourage a child to engage in 14 prostitution that's sex trafficking a child. That's what the law is. And we ask you to follow these instructions. 15 16 The Defendant up here focused a lot on Gabby. Called her a 17 liar. Said, look at all these inconsistent statements that she has. And 18 Ms. Rhoades talked about how you didn't hear a sophisticated, 19 rehearsed, memorized story. And ask yourselves, why is that? Maybe 20 it's because she's been spending the last four years trying to forget --21 MR. MILES: I'm going to object --22 MR. MARTINEZ: -- that which has happened --23 MR. MILES: I'm going to object, Your Honor, as to personal 24 opinion. 25 THE COURT: This is just argument, overruled.

1

BY MR. MILES:

Been trying to forget what happened four years ago. She
didn't testify perfectly consistent, use the exact same words every single
time. That means she's a liar. That's what the Defendant would have
you believe.

6 She had a hard time remembering some details that 7 happened four years ago. That happens. Look at -- when you listen to 8 the Defendant's statement to the detectives. They asked him some 9 things that happened a month ago and he's like, you're asking me to 10 remember things that happened a month ago. How am I supposed to 11 remember that stuff? So what does that tell you?

12 The Defendant wants you to focus a lot on that Metro PCS 13 record where it says account activation day is February 25th, 2015. That 14 has nothing to do with the phone number. It's an account with Metro 15 PCS. Meaning he setup an account February 25th, 2015. Who cares? He 16 said his number was that 2289 number. You have evidence that that was 17 his number in October of 2014. He did a pawn ticket; he did a pawn 18 transaction. His driver's license number is there and the phone number 19 from October 14th, 2014, that number existed not only before the 25th, it 20 was his number and he admitted it to the detectives in his own words.

I want you to remember not only the words that were
testified to, but each of the witnesses' demeanor on the stand. It's
important when determining credibility. You think about Gabby sitting
in that chair raising her hand and talking about things with 15 strangers
right, adults, about really embarrassing things. She had to say in a

public courtroom that she engaged in sexual activities for money. And
 ask yourselves, is that -- what does she have to gain from admitting that
 publicly?

When you go back and deliberate I want you to remember
that there's absolutely no requirement for us to show that there was any
force, physical abuse, anything of that nature. None of that is required.
All that is required is if the Defendant encouraged her, encouraged
Gabby to engage in prostitution. You have so much evidence of that in
the text messages, in Gabby's testimony, Defendant's own testimony,
his statements to detectives.

11 Stand back a little bit and look at the overall case. Don't get 12 lost in the weeds and details of every single phone number and every 13 single digit from every phone number. Just stand back and ask 14 yourselves what happened. When you put it all together use your 15 common sense, use reasonable inferences as reasonable men and 16 women. You are going to come to the conclusion that the Defendant, 17 Christian Miles, is guilty of each of these counts beyond a reasonable 18 doubt. Thank you for your time and your patience.

19 THE COURT: Thank you. The clerk will now swear in the20 officers to take charge of the jurors and the alternate jurors.

21 [The Clerk swore in the officers to take charge of the jury during
22 deliberations.]

THE COURT: As you know, a criminal jury is composed of 12
members and there's 15 of you here. Three of you are going to serve as
alternates. You are not discharged from your jury service. Your service

may still be needed if one of the other jurors is no longer able to
 participate in deliberations.

Ms. Neuhauser, Mr. Ortiz and Mr. Hayes, you guys are the
alternate jurors and we'll be in touch if we need to. I thank you for sitting
through, especially when we have a trial that goes long it's important
that we have people so that we don't have to redo everything. Flu
season we lose a juror here or there. Next thing you know we're back
from square zero.

So I do appreciate it's an important part of it. If you'd please
leave your names and numbers with my judicial executive assistant so
you can be contacted if you're required to continue the deliberation
process. And you're free to call in or find out if you're interested in the
verdict and what ultimately happens.

14 Jurors are excused.

15

16

THE MARSHAL: All rise. Bring everything with you.

[The jury retired to deliberate at 2:37 p.m.]

17 THE COURT: Counsel, please leave your numbers with the18 clerk.

THE CLERK: Judge, did you give the admonishment or no?
THE COURT: I don't know. I'll go ahead and do it. Just you
can never admonish too many times, right? You guys probably know it
by now.

Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a recess obviously
while the jury considers their verdict. During this recess you are
admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone

1	else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, watch or listen to
2	any report or of any commentary on the trial, or any person connected
3	with the trial by any medium of information including without limitation
4	to newspapers, television, the internet and radio and form or express
5	any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is
6	finally submitted to you. And no legal or factual research or
7	investigation or recreation of testimony on your own, okay. Still under
8	oath just in case. Thank you again.
9	You guys leave your PowerPoint printouts?
10	MS. RHOADES: I'm going to email them; is that okay? Can I
11	email them?
12	THE COURT: I guess we'll print it out.
13	MS. RHOADES: Or I'll go print it out.
14	MR. MARTINEZ: I'll print mine out.
15	THE COURT: Just when you at some point
16	MR. MARTINEZ: And Christina
17	THE COURT: when you come back.
18	MR. MARTINEZ: will send it by email. I'm happy to print
19	mine out.
20	MR. MILES: Yeah. Can I get a copy of the power points,
21	Your Honor? I think just a good record.
22	THE COURT: Does the State request that the Defendant's
23	PowerPoint papers be photographed and kept for anything?
24	MS. RHOADES: Yes.
25	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Okay.					
2	THE CLERK: Just because we're asking for theirs so I assume					
3	we would need his.					
4	THE COURT: Mr. Miles, we you want your opening and					
5	closing notes?					
6	MR. MILES: You they want it?					
7	THE COURT: Yeah.					
8	MR. MILES: They're entitled to opening and closing notes?					
9	THE COURT: Well, they're copies of things that you showed					
10	the jury. Not your notes.					
11	MR. MILES: Oh, okay, okay.					
12	THE COURT: Your handwritten power point.					
13	MR. MILES: Yeah. There's					
14	THE COURT: Correct.					
15	[Recess at 2:40 p.m., recommencing at 3:47 p.m.]					
16	THE MARSHAL: Kay Holthus presiding. Please be seated.					
17	THE COURT: Okay. We're outside the presence of the jury. I					
18	hear we have a verdict. You want to bring the jury in?					
19	Record will reflect everybody's present. Mr. Beckett's here as					
20	well and has been throughout.					
21	MS. RHOADES: Also, Your Honor, I did print a copy of the					
22	State's power point and I provided that to the clerk.					
23	THE COURT: Thank you much.					
24	MR. MARTINEZ: The corrections officer's offered to allow					
25	Mr. Miles to put on a tie and he declined.					
1	THE COURT: Okay.					
----	--	--	--	--	--	--
2	MR. MILES: And, Your Honor, can I get a copy of the power					
3	point? And when can I get a copy of all the exhibits, the proposed					
4	exhibits?					
5	MS. RHOADES: He's not entitled to a copy of the					
6	THE COURT: No.					
7	MS. RHOADES: PowerPoint. The Court has					
8	THE COURT: Yeah.					
9	MS. RHOADES: the PowerPoint.					
10	THE COURT: If something needs to be					
11	MR. MILES: Well, for the					
12	THE COURT: If something needs to be done you can file a					
13	motion and we'll address it that way.					
14	MR. MILES: All right. I'll file a motion.					
15	THE COURT: Did you do both the opening and the closing					
16	PowerPoints?					
17	MR. MARTINEZ: No. I still need to give you					
18	THE COURT: Okay.					
19	MR. MARTINEZ: my opening.					
20	MR. MILES: And, Your Honor, can I actually review that real					
21	quick just to glance over the one that the copy that she provided to the					
22	Court, can I review that? The power point, just to make sure it had					
23	everything on there that I remember seeing.					
24	MR. MARTINEZ: I think as an officer of the court Ms.					
25	Rhoades can represent that that is the power point that she used in her					

1	closing.					
2	THE COURT: It's with the clerk now. It'll be it's here. So					
3	nobody can					
4	THE MARSHAL: All rise.					
5	THE COURT: mess with it.					
6	[Inside the presence of the jury.]					
7	THE COURT: The record will reflect the presence of the					
8	Defendant and the deputy district attorneys. Do the parties stipulate to					
9	the presence of the jury?					
10	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, Your Honor.					
11	MR. MILES: The jurors					
12	THE COURT: Has the jury elected a foreperson.					
13	JURY FOREPERSON: Yes, Your Honor.					
14	THE COURT: And who is the foreperson?					
15	JURY FOREPERSON: Myself, Eric Rudd, R-U-D-D.					
16	THE COURT: Mr. Rudd, has the jury reached a verdict?					
17	JURY FOREPERSON: Yes, Your Honor.					
18	THE COURT: Will you please hand the verdict to the					
19	marshal? The clerk will now record the or the clerk will now read the					
20	verdict. Will the Defendant please stand?					
21	THE CLERK: District Court Clark County Nevada, State of					
22	Nevada, Plaintiff versus Christian Stefan Miles, Defendant, case number					
23	C15306436-1, Department Number 18.					
24	Verdict.					
25	We the jury, in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant,					
	- 67 -					

1	Christian Stefan Miles as follows:					
2	Count I, sex trafficking of a child under 18 years of age, guilty					
3	of sex trafficking of a child under 18 years of age.					
4	Count II, first degree kidnapping, guilty of first degree					
5	kidnapping.					
6	Count III, living from the earnings of a prostitute, guilty of					
7	living from the earnings of a prostitute.					
8	Count IV, child abuse, neglect or endangerment, guilty of					
9	child abuse, neglect or endangerment.					
10	Dated this 9th day of April 2019. Signed by Eric Rudd,					
11	foreperson.					
12	THE COURT: Do either of the parties desire to have the jury					
13	polled?					
14	MR. MILES: Yes.					
15	THE COURT: Okay.					
16	MR. MARTINEZ: Not from the State.					
17	THE CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, are these					
18	your verdicts as read, so say you one, so say you all?					
19	IN UNISON: Yes.					
20	THE CLERK: Juror Number 1, Ms. Debra Foytik, is this your					
21	verdict, as read?					
22	JUROR 1: Yes.					
23	THE CLERK: Number 2, Ms. Adriana Raugust, is this your					
24	jury, as read					
25	JUROR 2: Yes.					

1	THE CLERK: verdict, as read?					
2	Number 3, Ms. Stephanie Farri, is this your verdict, as read?					
3	JUROR 3: Yes.					
4	THE CLERK: Number 4, Ms. Kathryn Dahl, is this your					
5	verdict, as read?					
6	JUROR 4: Yes.					
7	THE CLERK: Number 5, Mr. Michael Mathisen, is this your					
8	verdict, as read?					
9	JUROR 5: Yes.					
10	THE CLERK: Number 6, Mr. Anthony Picini, is this your					
11	verdict, as read?					
12	JUROR 6: Yes.					
13	THE CLERK: Number 7, Mr. George Brewer, is this your					
14	verdict, as read?					
15	JUROR 7: Yes.					
16	THE CLERK: Number 8, Ms. Laura Brown, is this your					
17	verdict, as read?					
18	JUROR 8: Yes.					
19	THE CLERK: Number 9, Mr. Eric Rudd, is this your verdict, as					
20	read?					
21	JUROR 9: Yes.					
22	THE CLERK: Number 10, Ms. Brandi Wendel, is this your					
23	verdict, as read?					
24	JUROR 10: Yes.					
25	THE CLERK: Number 11, Ms. Julie Geiger, is this your					

1	verdict, as read?					
2	JUROR 11: Yes.					
3	THE CLERK: And Number 12, Ms. Monica Campos, is this					
4	your verdict, as read?					
5	JUROR 12: Yes.					
6	THE COURT: The Clerk will now record the verdicts and the					
7	minutes of the court.					
8	Ladies and gentlemen, as you know, the right to trial by jury					
9	is one of our basic and fundamental constitutional guarantees. On					
10	behalf of counsel, the parties and the 8th Judicial District Court, I wish to					
11	thank you for your careful deliberation which you gave to this case. This					
12	process could not happen without your participation and I sincerely					
13	thank you for taking part in the process.					
14	I hope this was a rewarding experiencing for you and that					
15	you know what an integral part you are to the system. Thank you so					
16	much for your service and your time.					
17	The question may now arise as to whether you may talk to					
18	other persons regarding this matter. I advise you that you may if you					
19	wish talk to other persons and discuss your deliberation which you gave					
20	this case. You're not required to do so. However, sometimes attorneys					
21	may want to talk to you and see what you thought if they could do better					
22	next time. Talk to them, don't talk to them, it's entirely up to you, but we					
23	certainly appreciate your time and I know that you probably had a lot of					
24	other things that you could have been doing. But thanks so much for					
25	coming down and enjoy the rest of your week. You're excused.					

1	THE MARSHAL: All rise.					
2	[Jury out at 3:54 p.m.]					
3	THE COURT: Okay. And Defendant is remanded into					
4	custody. Case is referred to Probation for a presentence investigation					
5	report. Sentencing will be on?					
6	THE CLERK: June 4th, at 9:00 a.m.					
7	MR. MARTINEZ: The State would like to address his custody					
8	status, the Defendant's custody status					
9	THE COURT: Okay.					
10	MR. MARTINEZ: if possible. We'd ask that he be					
11	remanded without bail. I'm not sure if that's what you just ordered, but					
12	that's just for the record to be clear, we'd ask that he be remanded					
13	without bail.					
14	THE COURT: That's that'll be the order.					
15	MR. MILES: Okay. And, Your Honor, we talked about this					
16	last time. Can I get the orders I guess they filed on the record? You said					
17	you wasn't sure if you wanted to give them to me because there hasn't					
18	been a verdict yet. The orders for the motion to suppress that he filed. I					
19	asked for like probably like a week ago. And you said well, you never					
20	know, they might find you not guilty. So I just wanted to make sure I had					
21	all the					
22	MS. RHOADES: Well, we didn't file any motions to suppress.					
23	I think they were the most recent orders that we submitted to Your					
24	Honor regarding the prior motions that were denied.					
25	MR. MILES: Yeah. That's what I'm talking about. The					

1	orders.					
2	MR. MARTINEZ: You're going to have access to the whole					
3	docket					
4	MR. MILES: I just want to make sure					
5	MR. MARTINEZ: and the orders.					
6	MR. MILES: I get it and so it's no issues when it comes to					
7	later that I didn't receive it. But I did ask for it and the Court was like you					
8	know what, let's just wait until the verdict so.					
9	THE COURT: Well, they should be available on Odyssey.					
10	MR. MARTINEZ: Perhaps Mr. Beckett can assure that he gets					
11	those orders.					
12	MR. MILES: Well, I mean, I'm the counsel of record. I just					
13	didn't want there to be no issues, I didn't receive it, so we don't have to					
14	hear this again.					
15	THE COURT: How many are there?					
16	THE CLERK: Orders?					
17	THE COURT: Yeah. You mean, just these last					
18	MR. MILES: Yeah. These last					
19	THE COURT: one or two pages, three motions or					
20	something?					
21	MR. MILES: Yeah.					
22	MR. MARTINEZ: I don't know what he's talking about.					
23	MR. MILES: Yeah, exactly. There should have been a motion					
24	to suppress cellular evidence, the motion to suppress Facebook					
25	evidence. They said they filed like three of them. Should have just been					

1	for the suppression motions.				
2	THE COURT: Can you pull up and see what the last three are				
3	please?				
4	MR. MARTINEZ: And just so the Defendant understands, it's				
5	the Court that files the record, the orders. It's her judgment. It's not the				
6	State's orders. It's the Court's.				
7	MR. MILES: Well, yeah. You submit them; she signs off.				
8	THE CLERK: There's three on file on April 2nd.				
9	THE COURT: April 2nd. Can you send those to a printer?				
10	THE CLERK: I'm not connected to a printer.				
11	THE COURT: What?				
12	THE CLERK: I'm not connected to a printer.				
13	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'll have I can do it.				
14	THE COURT: All right. There were three orders filed on April				
15	2nd. I'm assuming those are the ones.				
16	MR. MILES: Okay.				
17	THE COURT: We'll print them out and give them to you.				
18	MR. MILES: Thank you.				
19	THE COURT: That's a rap.				
20	MR. MILES: And can we set a status check?				
21	MS. RHOADES: Thank you, Your Honor.				
22	MR. MILES: I'm not really sure how it works. When is the				
23	judgment of conviction?				
24	THE COURT: No. You have a sentencing date. Did we set it?				
25	THE CLERK: Yes, we set it.				

1	MR. MILES: I'm talking about for the judgment of conviction.					
2	THE COURT: No.					
3	MR. MILES: That normally gets filed before or after					
4	MR. MARTINEZ: After sentencing.					
5	MR. MILES: After sentencing.					
6	THE COURT: No you get sentenced first.					
7	MR. MILES: All right.					
8	THE MARSHAL: Go ahead. I'll bring them out to you.					
9	MR. MILES: All right.					
10	[Proceedings concluded at 3:57 p.m.]					
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio-visual recording of the proceeding in the above entitled case to the					
22	best of my ability.					
23	Junia B. Cahill					
24	Maukele Transcribers, LLC Jessica B. Cahill, Transcriber, CER/CET-708					
25						

1 2 3	VER ORIGINAL FILED IN OPEN COURT STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT APR 0 9 2019 WWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW		
4	BY, <u>N. W. D. Orpin</u> DARA YORKE, DEPUTY		
5	DISTRICT COURT C-15-308436-1		
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA VER Verdict		
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
8	Plaintiff, <b>CASE NO: C-15-306436-1</b>		
9 10	-vs- CASE NO: C-15-300430-1 CHRISTIAN STEPHON MILES, DEPT NO: XVII		
10	Defendant.		
12			
13	<u>V E R D I C T</u>		
14	We, the jury in the above entitled case, find the Defendant, CHRISTIAN STEPHON		
15	MILES, as follows:		
16	<u>COUNT 1</u> – SEX TRAFFICKING OF A CHILD UNDER 18 YEARS OF AGE		
17	(Please check the appropriate box, select only one)		
18	Guilty of Sex Trafficking of a Child Under 18 Years of Age		
19	Not Guilty		
20			
21	<u>COUNT 2</u> – FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING		
22	(Please check the appropriate box, select only one)		
23	Guilty of First Degree Kidnapping		
24	□ Not Guilty		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	1345		

••..

· ···•						
1	<u>COUNT 3</u> – LIVING FROM THE EARNINGS OF A PROSTITUTE					
2	(Please check the appropriate box, select only one)					
3	Guilty of Living from the Earnings of a Prostitute					
4	□ Not Guilty					
5 6	<u>COUNT 4</u> – CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT					
7	(Please check the appropriate box, select only one)					
8	Guilty of Child Abuse, Neglect, or Endangerment					
9	□ Not Guilty					
10	ath					
11	DATED this $9^{\pm}$ day of April, 2019.					
12						
13	Zil Full FOREPERSON					
14						
15						
16						
17						
18 19						
20						
20						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

•

## DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	June 27, 2019	
C-15-306436-1	State of Nevad vs Christian Miles			
June 27, 2019	09:00 AM	Sentencing		
HEARD BY:	Holthus, Mary Kay	COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03F		
COURT CLERK:	Yorke, Dara			
RECORDER:	Sison, Yvette G.			
REPORTER:				
PARTIES PRESE	ENT:			
Christian Stephon Miles		Defendant		
Kristina A. Rhoades		Attorney for Plaintiff		
Samuel Martinez		Attorney for Plaintiff		
State of Nevada		Plaintiff		

## JOURNAL ENTRIES

Robert Beckett, Esq. present as standby counsel only.

Court inquired about a psych evaluation being done. Mr. Martinez noted the evaluation wasn't necessary. Court noted it would be needed for COUNT 2. Court further inquired if the victim speaker was present and if not, were they asked if they wanted to be present. Court advised Mr. Martinez it wanted to confirm the victim speaker was aware of the date. Following colloquy, Deft. informed the Court he was going be requesting a continuance because he didn't receive the pre-sentence investigation (PSI) report. Colloquy between parties regarding psych evaluation. Deft. presented an Order for Transcripts which was SIGNED IN OPEN COURT. Colloquy between parties regarding danger psych and psycho sexual evaluation. Following review, Court noted it would be a danger psych that would be needed. Deft. requested next hearing be set for status check to make sure victim speakers would be present and PSI would be don't. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for status check.

## REMANDED WITHOUT BAIL

7/25/19 9:00 AM STATUS CHECK: PSI



		Electronically Filed 12/12/2019 2:29 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1		Atump. Atumon
2	RTRAN	
3		
4		
5		NTY, NEVADA
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	) ) ) CASE NO. C-15-306436-1
7	Plaintiff,	) )
8 9	VS.	DEPT. NO. IX
10	CHRISTIAN STEPHON MILES,	
11	Defendant.	
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY KA	Y HOLTHUS, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
13		
14	TUESDAY, AU	JGUST 27, 2019
15	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: STATUS CHECK: PSI/VICTIM SPEAKER	
16		
17		
18	APPEARANCES:	
19	For the Plaintiff:	SAMUEL S. MARTINEZ Chief Deputy District Attorney
20		
21	For the Defendant:	IN PROPER PERSON
22	Also Present:	DODEDT & DECKETT ESO
23		ROBERT S. BECKETT, ESQ. Standby Counsel
24 25		
25	RECORDED BY: YVETTE SISON, COU	RT RECORDER
		1
	Case Number: C-15-	306436-1

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, AUGUST 27, 2019, 9:33 A.M.
2	* * * *
3	THE CLERK: State of Nevada versus Christian Miles, C306436.
4	MR. MARTINEZ: Good morning.
5	THE COURT: Morning.
6	THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.
7	THE COURT: Okay, we're status checking, right?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, that is right.
9	THE COURT: And I now have the danger evaluation, so I believe we're
10	ready to set the sentencing date. Is that correct?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, we're ready, and I just wanted to address,
12	there is like some issues in the PSI. I was looking at it the other day. I was going to
13	talk it over with Mr. Martinez last month when we was here, but he didn't come. But
14	it's saying in here – because I pled guilty to transporting a prostitute, but in here it's
15	saying from that conviction I was supposed to register for that, for like as a sex
16	offender for that, but that wouldn't – that didn't require registration.
17	MR. MARTINEZ: That's true. He's not required to register as a sex
18	offender for his prior. It was a pandering, furnishing transportation.
19	THE COURT: Okay, so, query, does that need to go back to P & P to
20	fix that or –
21	MR. MARTINEZ: I don't think so. I think you can make a note in the
22	minutes that he isn't – he has to register as a sex offender for this case, so I don't
23	know what issue he has.
24	THE DEFENDANT: Well, 'cause it says right here, it says, if I fail to
25	register on that –

1	THE COURT: Okay, well, what Mr. Martinez is saying is he agrees with
2	you, so we'll put in the minutes that your prior didn't require registration, however, it
3	doesn't make a lot of difference because your current offenses do require -
4	THE DEFENDANT: Okay, okay.
5	THE COURT: - registration. Is that okay?
6	THE DEFENDANT: That makes sense, but, I mean, I would want it
7	corrected, but if he doesn't - if he still wants to proceed like that that's - I guess
8	that's his position.
9	THE COURT: I – you know, I can send it back –
10	MR. MARTINEZ: I think that's just a -
11	THE COURT: – P & P, but –
12	MR. MARTINEZ: - gigantic waste of time.
13	THE COURT: What other issues? Is there any other issues or is that
14	the only one?
15	THE DEFENDANT: All the other things – I mean, it was a small issue,
16	when I was in prison it said I got convicted of threats against staff. I didn't really get
17	convicted for that. I left before the whole appeal process, the whole disciplinary
18	process. So that's – it's just kind of small, but it was talking about my – when I was
19	in prison, little write-ups I got. That's the only other thing I seen in there. It said
20	threats against staff. I didn't get – I didn't get found guilty for that.
21	MR. MARTINEZ: What page are you referring to?
22	THE COURT: Yeah.
23	THE DEFENDANT: Page 6.
24	MR. MARTINEZ: Of the psychosexual, where the -
25	THE DEFENDANT: Nah, page 6, where it says institutions, supervised

1	- basically says in there all my - all the write-ups I got in prison. It says I got found
2	guilty of like possession of attack gun, and then it says threats at the end of that.
3	MR. MARTINEZ: Are you saying you didn't get those write-ups?
4	THE DEFENDANT: I didn't get the threats against staff. I didn't get
5	found guilty for that.
6	THE COURT: I don't see threats against staff, I just see threats.
7	THE DEFENDANT: Well, it says threats, but it's referring to the threats
8	against staff. There was only one –
9	THE COURT: How do you know that?
10	THE DEFENDANT: - write-up I got for threats, threats against staff.
11	THE COURT: Oh, so you did get written up for threats against staff.
12	THE DEFENDANT: I just didn't get found guilty. It says I got found
13	guilty.
14	THE COURT: Well –
15	MR. MARTINEZ: That's – I don't think that's what P & P is trying to say,
16	that you weren't –
17	THE COURT: Right.
18	MR. MARTINEZ: - adjudicated in a court of law. It's just that the
19	prison –
20	THE DEFENDANT: Well, it says –
21	MR. MARTINEZ: – wrote you up right there.
22	THE DEFENDANT: It says right here, according to Nevada Department
23	of Corrections, defendant was found guilty of the following offenses while
24	incarcerated.
25	THE COURT: But I think they mean a, I don't know, civil or a generic

1	found guilty versus actually convicted.
2	THE DEFENDANT: Not in a –
3	THE COURT: That's the way I take that. I don't – I don't think for one
4	half a second that you actually have a conviction for tattooing or possession of tattoo
5	device.
6	THE DEFENDANT: Well, not a conviction; I'm talking about as far as
7	the disciplinary guilty, I didn't get found guilty disciplinary wise in prison of the
8	threats against staff.
9	THE COURT: All right. What – can I put that in the minutes or do you
10	want –
11	MR. MARTINEZ: I –
12	THE COURT: Do you want to just send it back for the –
13	MR. MARTINEZ: Well, I don't know that P & P's going have that
14	information in the first place, and I think your –
15	THE COURT: Well, I –
16	MR. MARTINEZ: - interpretation of it is fine, and we can put that in the
17	minutes too.
18	THE COURT: And I agree, but I've – they've specifically said it's
19	according to the Nevada Department of Corrections. If the Corrections says this,
20	you say that, I won't take it into account, okay.
21	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
22	THE COURT: That being said, let's set it for sentencing.
23	MR. MARTINEZ: Or we can do it today.
24	THE DEFENDANT: The sooner – the sooner the better. I wasn't ready
25	for today –

1	THE COURT: Is –
2	THE DEFENDANT: – but we could set it next week.
3	THE COURT: I wasn't either. Is the speaker here?
4	MR. MARTINEZ: No, she does not want to speak.
5	THE COURT: I thought she did.
6	MR. MARTINEZ: She does not.
7	THE COURT: I thought her family was coming in.
8	MR. MARTINEZ: You wanted me – you wanted me to reach out and
9	check with the family to see if they wanted to speak. We did that and they don't
10	want to.
11	THE COURT: I have a speaker tag.
12	MR. MARTINEZ: We made the reservation in case she wanted to do it.
13	It's standard in the SVU cases.
14	THE COURT: I'd like – I'm not going to sentence him without her being
15	advised of the date and her decide – I mean, because that can be an up and down
16	thing. She may change her mind.
17	MR. MARTINEZ: No, she – she's – we've reached out multiple times.
18	She's told us, no, I don't want to come to sentencing, I'm over it, I don't want - I
19	don't want to speak.
20	THE COURT: Well, I'm not ready -
21	MR. MARTINEZ: That's fine.
22	THE COURT: - because I didn't read it -
23	MR. MARTINEZ: That's okay.
24	THE COURT: - because I thought that's what we were doing.
25	MR. MARTINEZ: That's fine.

1	THE COURT: Okay, so I'm going to set it for sentencing.	
2	THE DEFENDANT: Sooner the better.	
3	THE CLERK: September 3 <sup>rd</sup> at 9 a.m.	
4	THE DEFENDANT: That's fine. Thank you.	
5	MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you.	
6	THE COURT: And, you know, if you made another call to the victim to	
7	make sure, that would be great.	
8	MR. MARTINEZ: Sure, be happy to.	
9	THE COURT: Thank you so much.	
10	MR. BECKETT: Thank you.	
11	THE COURT: I assume you have the psych, right?	
12	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, it's a high risk.	
13	THE COURT: It is, from Dr. Chambers.	
14	MR. MARTINEZ: That is true.	
15	PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 9:39 A.M.	
16	* * * * * * *	
17	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio-	
18	video recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case.	
19	LARA CORCORAN	
20	Court Recorder/Transcriber	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	7	

		Electronically Filed 12/12/2019 2:29 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1	RTRAN	Atum B. a Summer
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	CLARK COUNTY, N	EVADA
7		
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	) CASE#: C-15-306436-1
9 10	Plaintiff,	) DEPT. XVIII
10		
12	CHRISTIAN STEPHON MILES, Defendant.	
13		<u>}</u>
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MA	ARY KAY HOLTHUS,
15	DISTRICT COURT	
16	TUESDAY, SEPTEMB RECORDER'S TRANSCRIF	,
17	SENTENCIA	
18	APPEARANCES: For the State: SAM N	
19	KRIST	ARTINEZ, ESQ. INA RHOADES, ESQ.
20		N THOMSON, ESQ. / District Attorneys
21	For the Defendant: PRO F	'ER
22	ROBE	RT BECKETT, ESQ., by Counsel
23	BRIGI	D HOFFMAN, ESQ.
24		ENKINS, ESQ. / Public Defenders
25		
	RECORDED BY: YVETTE SISON, COUF	RT RECORDER
	Page 1 Case Number: C-15-306436-1	1355

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday, September 3, 2019
2	
3	[Hearing began 10:43 a.m.]
4	THE COURT CLERK: State of Nevada versus Christian
5	Stephon Miles, C306436.
6	MS. THOMSON: I think that's
7	THE COURT: That's his.
8	MS. THOMSON: the same officer or
9	THE COURT: No this is a sentencing.
10	MR. MARTINEZ: This is a sentencing.
11	MS. THOMSON: Okay, thanks.
12	THE COURT: These are the trial deputies. Okay. This is the
13	time set for entry of judgment and imposition of sentence. Is there any
14	legal cause or reason why judgment should not be pronounced against
15	you at this time Mr. Miles?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, I have a couple of
17	reasons. The first reason would be, I think this is the tenth time I had
18	asked the State, and I think you've ordered the State, to file orders for
19	the motion to suppress the Facebook evidence and motion to dismiss
20	insufficient information; that hasn't been filed yet.
21	THE COURT: It's been filed.
22	THE DEFENDANT: No, it hasn't been filed. I have the court
23	minutes. It hasn't been filed.
24	MR. MARTINEZ: Every order that you've given, we've
25	submitted orders to be signed, and they've been filed.

1	THE COURT: She'll go double check, but we just signed a
2	whole stack, so. I don't have any in my box to sign.
3	MR. MARTINEZ: I don't think that's a sufficient basis to not go
4	forward with sentencing anyways.
5	THE COURT: It's not. It has nothing to do with the
6	sentencing in my opinion, but I'm just trying to
7	THE DEFENDANT: Well because obviously there's going to
8	be an appeal, so that ties into the appeal, and Your Honor ordered them
9	to do that so
10	THE COURT: What are the names of the motions?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Motion to suppress Facebook evidence I
12	mean yeah Facebook evidence, and motion to dismiss insufficient
13	information; those haven't been filed.
14	THE COURT: Okay. She's going to go check.
15	THE DEFENDANT: And again, I'll just state for the record
16	since this is the time for sentencing, that there is errors in the PSI, and
17	would really like those to be corrected. The PSI is going to follow me to
18	the prison. Other officers is going to see, parole, when I go to prison
19	they're going to look at it, and they're going to say, okay well; I think the
20	errors in the PSI, court's indulgence, it was on page 5. I was convicted
21	of pandering, furnishing transportation to a prostitute, and it's saying in
22	the PSI that that requires registration and that I failed to register; that's
23	not correct.
24	THE COURT: Didn't we address this already? Didn't we have
25	like six status checks on sentencing and decided and ruled and done

1 what we were going to do again?

	5 5 5
2	THE DEFENDANT: Well this is the time for sentencing, so I
3	just wanted to make a record to reflect that I did want those changes
4	pursuant to <u>Stockmeier</u> decision; and like I said the PSI is going to follow
5	me, so people are going to look at this and they're going to say; oh
6	well, you didn't register. You know my new offense requires it.
7	THE COURT: What are you looking your new offense is
8	going to require you to register.
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sex trafficking I'm aware of that,
10	but that still doesn't correct these errors in the PSI.
11	THE COURT: And what are you saying?
12	THE DEFENDANT: It says right here, it says; CGIS reflects
13	that the Defendant is a registered sex offender due to his conviction in
14	case #C-13-289609-2, with no tier level assessment required. The
15	current status reflects he has failed to register; and that's incorrect.
16	THE COURT: Okay, well I mean, it may be incorrect if that's
17	correct, but all it says is CGIS is saying that.
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah I know and then
19	THE COURT: And I assume that is what CGIS is saying.
20	THE DEFENDANT: and then when I go up for parole,
21	they're going to look at that like; oh okay well, your new offense requires
22	registration for the sex trafficking, and they're going to say; well you
23	didn't register, you failed to do it, and that's going to cause problems;
24	and the PSI was already I mean grounded on that information. I don't
25	know, maybe they took that into consideration for the time.

1	THE COURT: I know we just before, but I don't remember
2	what we decided.
3	MR. MARTINEZ: I think we went over this last time.
4	THE COURT: We did, I know we did, I just can't remember
5	MR. MARTINEZ: Well I think you were not going to consider
6	that as an issue, and I'm fine with the minute order and the judgment of
7	conviction or whatever saying that he didn't have to register as a sex
8	offender, and that's an error, but I don't think we have to send it
9	THE COURT: Do we know that that's an error?
10	MR. MARTINEZ: I don't think he has to register as sex
11	offender for pandering, furnishing transportation. That's not even an
12	offense anymore, and so that that could be an error.
13	THE DEFENDANT: And see Your Honor, this was the
14	problem, the reason why it was an error
15	THE COURT: Why did I think that was in California, I don't
16	know.
17	MR. MARTINEZ: No that was here.
18	THE DEFENDANT: I was born in California. And like I said,
19	it's going to cause problems. They're going to look at that the minute
20	order doesn't follow me, so they're going to look at the PSI, and the
21	minute order is not going to be there with the PSI and say; oh well, this
22	is an error.
23	THE COURT: Yeah it will.
24	THE DEFENDANT: I'm going to tell them I been in prison
25	before, and when they look at the PSI, there was errors in my other PSI,

1	that's why the error is important.
2	THE COURT: Actually, can we put it in the judgment of
3	conviction?
4	MR. MARTINEZ: You can strike it.
5	THE COURT: That's what we'll go ahead and do. We're
6	going to strike the
7	MR. MARTINEZ: The supplemental information at the bottom
8	of page 5.
9	THE COURT: Correct; because now that I know it's in
10	Nevada, he would that's not our registerable
11	MR. MARTINEZ: That's correct.
12	THE COURT: offense. You're right, so we're going to take
13	that out.
14	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
15	THE COURT: We're going to put we're going to put the in
16	the judgment of conviction, page 5, supplemental information that
17	because I'm right, right?
18	MR. MARTINEZ: Correct.
19	THE COURT: Pandering specialist.
20	THE DEFENDANT: But it's not going to be in the PSI, all of
21	that is not going to be in there; but I've been to prison before, I know
22	what happens with these types of things
23	THE COURT: Can I cross stuff out?
24	MR. MARTINEZ: You can strike it.
25	THE COURT: I mean can I literally go hard copy, write it out,

and everybody sign it? 1 MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Okay, let's do that. Do you have the -- the 3 original copy? 4 THE COURT CLERK: Copy of? 5 THE COURT: The PSI. So what I'm going to do, on page 5, 6 by interlineation, I'm going to draw a line through supplemental 7 8 information. All of that is going to be crossed out. Where it says supplemental information, CGIS reflects the Defendant is a registered 9 10 sex offender due to his conviction in case C-13-289609-2, with no Tier 11 Level Assessment required. The current status reflects he has failed to 12 register. And I am looking at the case and note that he was convicted of 13 pandering, furnishing transportation which does not require him to be registered as sex offender; and so everybody agrees that's getting 14 taken out --15 MR. MARTINEZ: Correct. 16 THE COURT: -- lined through it, and initialed okay. 17 MR. MARTINEZ: Okay. 18 [Colloquy the Court and the court clerk] 19 20 THE COURT: Okay, we're going to print it out and send it to -as soon as we have a copy everybody can initial off on it, and that'll be 21 22 the official order. Okay, what else? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Well I think, there might actually be some 24 double jeopardy issues I wanted to raise, but the reason why I wasn't -- I 25 couldn't timely raise those issues because the jail took my legal work, I

1	was trying to get that back. I only got half of it back. I'm still in the
2	grievance process trying to get the other half back so, I couldn't really,
3	you know, file that. I'm still they're still looking for the rest of it that
4	came up missing. So, it's been like an ongoing battle.
5	THE COURT: Okay, well I can't address anything that's not
6	before me on calendar by way of motion.
7	THE DEFENDANT: So that's why I'm saying, if I could
8	probably get a continuance to be able to file that. I just didn't want to
9	wait
10	THE COURT: You can still file it.
11	THE DEFENDANT: yeah because sometimes it's not
12	considered timely, but yeah I just want to put that on the record so.
13	THE COURT: I think it's probably already not considered
14	timely, but I'm not sure what you're filing but
15	THE DEFENDANT: Well pursuant to the case law that I
16	looked at, once the jury imposes I mean once the jury finds you guilty,
17	actually the Court has an obligation to resolve double jeopardy issues,
18	and I have the right to file that after the Court's sentencing.
19	THE COURT: Well you should've filed it.
20	THE DEFENDANT: I couldn't; I didn't have my legal work.
21	THE COURT: Well you should've brought it up the last time
22	that we status checked before we set the sentencing date for the fifth
23	time.
24	THE DEFENDANT: I brought that up; and Your Honor said
25	you didn't want to an order to try to because they were keeping my

1	legal work hostage unless you gave an order saying; you wanted them
2	to give my legal work back, and you said you didn't want to do an order
3	for that.
4	THE COURT: I'm not the jail I'm assuming they give you
5	what you need to. If there was something going on, file a motion. What
6	else?
7	THE DEFENDANT: That's it.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Let me wait for
9	[Colloquy - the Court and the law clerk]
10	THE COURT: Can I give him these orders, this? Mr. Miles,
11	I'm going to give you a copy of the order what does it say?
12	[Colloquy - the Court and the law clerk]
13	THE COURT: Denying your Facebook motion there.
14	THE DEFENDANT: Is that for the denial of the motion in
15	limine or the motion to suppress, because there were two separate
16	motions.
17	THE COURT: Let's take a look at it and hears a copy of the
18	minutes. What did you tell me they need to do with this?
19	THE LAW CLERK: Athena, the clerk with Togliatti, I need to
20	ask her where it is in the because there's like seven pages on
21	Odyssey of a thousand motions, and so I didn't want everyone waiting
22	for me.
23	THE COURT: All right, well here's the minute order indicating
24	though the denial of the other motions as well. All right, will somebody
25	approach and grab this PSI? I'm initialing page 5, the changes that we

1	made. If everybody else would initial that as well, and then we'll make
2	that the official copy. Adam can you give this to Mr. Miles?
3	THE DEFENDANT: And, Your Honor, for the record, this is
4	just the order denying the motion in limine; it's not for the motion to
5	suppress.
6	[Colloquy - the Court and the law clerk]
7	THE COURT: Was it your huge motion to suppress all kinds
8	of stuff?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Well there was a separate there was
10	two motions to there was a motion to suppress the cellular evidence
11	and there was a motion to suppress the Facebook evidence, and then
12	there was a separate motion in limine. This is only the order denying
13	motion in limine oh yeah, this is just the order denying motion in
14	limine.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. Did he sign it?
16	[Colloquy - the Court and the law clerk]
17	THE COURT: All right Mr. Miles, my law clerk is going to sift
18	through everything again
19	THE DEFENDANT: I have the court minutes right here, Your
20	Honor, if that would help. It hasn't been filed. I have the court minutes
21	right here. Everything is laid out.
22	THE LAW CLERK: Do you have the dates?
23	THE DEFENDANT: I have all the dates.
24	THE LAW CLERK: For the one that you're asking for.
25	THE DEFENDANT: Oh. Okay so on you're looking for the

1	date that the motion was filed or denied or, what are you looking for?
2	THE LAW CLERK: Heard and when it was maybe ordered, or
3	entered.
4	THE DEFENDANT: Okay well on February 12 <sup>th</sup>
5	[Colloquy - the Court and the court clerk]
6	THE DEFENDANT: on February 12, 2019, that's when we
7	had a at 9 o'clock, that's when we did all pending motions, and I
8	believe that's when the motion was denied, and there was a status
9	check filing of State's orders on February 26, 2019; and then on
10	February 5, 2019, they filed some orders to the motions in limine, but
11	didn't file the motion I mean the order for the motion to suppress
12	Facebook evidence. And I brought that up at least ten times.
13	THE LAW CLERK: When was the actual motion to suppress
14	the Facebook evidence heard?
15	THE DEFENDANT: It should've been heard on February 12,
16	2019 along with probably three other motions that day.
17	THE LAW CLERK: I think that's the one I just gave you. So
18	okay, I'll need time to look into it though.
19	THE DEFENDANT: I mean I Martinez can represent to you,
20	you didn't file it, if that would help. I'm pretty sure
21	MR. MARTINEZ: No, it wouldn't help. We had this
22	conversation before trial and went through all the motions. Our
23	secretary went through and looked at every single motion that the
24	Defendant filed, and every single minute order that was filed and
25	ensured that there were orders for Your Honor to sign on every single

one of his billion motions. 1 THE DEFENDANT: So I think it would help which dates --2 THE COURT: How many motions did you file total? 3 THE DEFENDANT: -- which date -- I'm not sure, probably like 4 15, 16, I'm not --5 THE COURT: Well probably like -- doesn't help me -- I need 6 to know so that we can --7 MR. MARTINEZ: The problem is too is he files the same 8 motion over and over and over again --9 10 THE COURT: I know, I know, I know --11 MR. MARTINEZ: -- so there has to be 15 orders for 15 12 motions to suppress Facebook evidence or motions in limine to 13 suppress THE DEFENDANT: -- there was --14 THE COURT: -- right, and that's why I'm getting confused 15 because ---16 THE DEFENDANT: -- that's a misrepresentation, Your Honor; 17 I only filed one motion to suppress the Facebook evidence. I didn't file 18 two or three of them. I haven't filed --19 20 THE COURT: -- I feel like you did, but maybe not. [Colloquy - the Court and the court clerk] 21 THE DEFENDANT: -- there were three different search 22 warrants. 23 24 THE COURT: All right. She can do it. She's going to do it for 25 you, but it's going to take a while, so we'll put it on calendar -- what do

1	you want a week, two weeks for that? In the meantime, we're going to
2	sentence today though.
3	THE DEFENDANT: That's fine.
4	[Colloquy - the Court and the court clerk]
5	THE COURT CLERK: September 19 <sup>th</sup> at 9 a.m.
6	THE COURT: So you want to stay down here until the
7	THE DEFENDANT: No, I mean I'm ready to go. I just want to
8	make sure everything's done right because
9	THE COURT: Well I hope
10	THE DEFENDANT: because like said there's going to be an
11	appeal; I don't want
12	THE COURT: well we gotta bring you back because you
13	represent yourself, so never mind it's all good.
14	THE DEFENDANT: yeah okay.
15	THE COURT: Okay now let's this is the time set for entry of
16	judgment and imposition of sentence. Is there any legal cause or reason
17	why judgment should not be pronounced against you at this time, other
18	than what you've already stated?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Other than what I stated, no other reason.
20	THE COURT: Okay. By virtue of your pleas of well by
21	actually hang on a minute; by virtue of your the verdict of the jury, I
22	hereby adjudge you guilty of the offenses of sex trafficking of a child
23	under 18 years of age, first-degree kidnapping, living from the earnings
24	of a prostitute, child abuse or neglect or endangerment; State.
25	MR. MARTINEZ: Your Honor, I'm not going to get into the

facts so much because you heard the trial, and you saw the victim
 testify. I would like to start off with talking about the Defendant's prior
 pandering furnishing transportation case in 2013.

He was actually charged with pandering a child. He ended up
pleading guilty to pandering furnishing transportation. So this isn't the
first time that he's dealt with the trafficking and children.

And he actually wrote a statement out in that other case, in C13-289609-2. He says; I feel wrong about what I did and will not let
something like this ever happen again. I also feel like I will be suitable for
probation because it will guide me to the right path that I must take, and
then he talks about being on probation and how it's going to help him.

Well, he violated probation, violated parole, and ended up
expiring his term because of this case, where he's sex trafficking a child.
THE COURT: How old was the victim in that case?

MR. MARTINEZ: I don't know -- I don't know the age of her -I don't have her age. She's a teenager.

THE COURT: Okay.

17

MR. MARTINEZ: And now I'd like to turn your attention to Dr.
Mark Chambers' psychosexual evaluation, where he says that this
Defendant is deemed to be a high risk to re-offend both sexually and
violently; and I think it's important to consider that in this circumstance.

When he is out of custody, he is constantly in the recruitment mode. When you look at all of his Facebook activity, you see him constantly reaching out to females and recruiting them to join his escort service; and he is constantly victimizing or attempting to victimize young women to make money for him, engaging in prostitution.

1

4

22

25

2 This particular victim, I cannot express how much courage it had to take for her to take the stand, not just during trial, but two 3 evidentiary hearings, when Judge Togliatti was presiding over the proceedings. 5

She testified twice and had to take questions from the very 6 7 person who was trafficking her; and so not only facing him but answering 8 his questions. And then she comes to trial, sits on the stand in front of 14 jurors and testifies as to what happened; and I think the Defendant 9 10 has made it clear that this is all that he's ever gonna do. This is what he 11 does, is he victimizes young women.

12 And so what we're going to be asking for is the complete 13 maximum possible allowed under the law, which will be 167 months to 14 life. It would be 60 months to life on Count 1, 60 months to life on Count 15 2; we're going to ask that it be run consecutive to Count 1.

16 On Count 3, living from the earnings of a prostitute, we'd be 17 asking for 19 to 48 months, and then on Count 4, child abuse, neglect, 18 or endangerment; we'd be asking for 28 to 72 months, to be served in the Nevada Department of Corrections. I think that makes, if I do my 19 20 math correctly, its 167 months to life. He has 546 days credit for time 21 served.

THE DEFENDANT: And Your Honor, I'll just address --

23 THE COURT: Hang on one second, do the math on 167 24 months, years wise.

MR. MARTINEZ: -- yep, 60 plus 60 is 120, plus 19, plus 28, so

1	167 so
2	THE COURT: No, I know but break that down in years for me.
3	MR. MARTINEZ: Oh in years
4	THE CORRECTIONS OFFICER: It's 13.9.
5	THE COURT: its 13.9? Thank you. Okay. Mr. Miles.
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I guess I'll start with addressing
7	everything that Martinez said initially.
8	When we first heard from Martinez that I had a pandering
9	charge of furnishing transportation to the prostitute, he didn't get into the
10	facts; because in the facts of that case, the girl didn't say I really did
11	anything. I was just the driver, and I got the police picked me up
12	because my license plate showed that my Co-Defendant was dropped
13	off at the MGM.
14	She didn't say I did anything specifically. She said in there, I
15	had nothing to do with her engaging in prostitution; but I ended up taking
16	the deal anyway because I was trying to get out, so but he didn't get into
17	the facts of that.
18	And as far as me violating my probation, it was for this
19	instance offense, which was which occurred about four, five years
20	ago; and I would ask that you actually disregard Mr. Martinez' comments
21	in regards to the courage it took for the alleged victim. She didn't even
22	show up today. She doesn't want to show up. She says she's done with
23	the case, and I have my reasons why she would finally be done with the
24	case but, she didn't show up. She doesn't want to show up, and
25	particularly, she doesn't really care about anything that's going on.

And I would say that -- I would actually ask -- I would ask that you impose the minimum sentence, P&P actually recommended the minimum terms for -- which is still five to life, which is still a big sentence. I mean 5 to life, a lot of people in prison don't even get out on 5 to life; it normally takes about 10, 15 years. So I think the minimum is still a high time I would have to serve in prison anyway; with obviously no victim impact.

8 I graduated from high school. I did have a business at the
9 time, and I would just point out that Mr. Martinez didn't really go over the
10 facts of, you know, the case; because the facts was pretty much
11 everywhere.

And I will point out too, during the trial; she said these text messages weren't even true; that's what she testified to at the trial. She said; yep, these text messages are not true and correct because -- yeah that's what she said, in the trial, I have the transcripts. And that's pretty much where these allegations come from, is from these text messages. I just wanted to point that out.

18 MR. MARTINEZ: The math is 13.9 -- oh I'm sorry, I thought
19 you were done.

THE DEFENDANT: What was that?

20

MR. MARTINEZ: She was asking me to do the math on the -how many years that would be. It would be 13.91 years. I thought you
were done though, but go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: My family is here too. I have strong
family support. They've always been supporting me from the beginning,
1	even though I ask them not to show up, they still showed up anyway;					
2	that shows how strong and supportive my family has for me.					
3	I would just ask that you do the minimum; again, like I said,					
4	the minimum is still high now. It's two 5 to life's; the possibility of getting					
5	out anytime soon on that would probably be not possible, so. And that's					
6	pretty much everything I have to say.					
7	THE COURT: Mr. Martinez, will you remind me regarding the					
8	highlights of the text messages?					
9	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, the ones that we focused on a lot in					
10	trial was where the Defendant texted Gabrielle and saying; you got an					
11	outcall, and she said, uhhh my vagina hurts, but F it, I'll do it anyways.					
12	And so she goes and does has sexual contact with an adult					
13	male. This is a 16, 17-year-old girl that's going out on his behalf. She					
14	made the money, gave it to the Defendant; and there are other text					
15	messages just talking about dates and things of that nature.					
16	THE COURT: I just wanted to make sure in my head I had the					
17	right one. Okay thank you.					
18	THE DEFENDANT: And again, as I pointed out, Your Honor,					
19	during the trial, at one point in time, during the testimony, I asked her if					
20	these text messages is true and correct? She's like no; that's what she					
21	said.					
22	THE COURT: I sat through the trial, that's not my recollection,					
23	but					
24	THE DEFENDANT: That's what she said.					
25	THE COURT: Anything else? And there is no victim impact,					

1	correct?				
2	MR. MARTINEZ: That's correct.				
3	THE COURT: In accordance with the laws of the State of				
4	Nevada, this Court does now sentence you. In addition to the \$25				
5	administrative assessment fee, \$150 DNA fee, AA fee of \$2,500 and				
6	DNA administrative assessment fee of \$3.				
7	As to Count 1, you are sentenced to 60, basically 5 to life. Is				
8	the fine mandatory?				
9	MR. MARTINEZ: The 5?				
10	THE COURT: The fine?				
11	MR. MARTINEZ: No, Your Honor.				
12	THE COURT: Okay. As to Count 2, first-degree kidnapping,				
13	that will be a 5 to life as well; that will run consecutive to Count 1.				
14	Count 3, living off the earnings, that'll be a 19 to 48, that will				
15	also be consecutive to Count 1; and Count 4, the child abuse, 28-72;				
16	that too will be consecutive to Counts 3, 2, and 1.				
17	THE COURT: You get Count 1 for turning a 16-year-old out				
18	and having her have sex with complete strangers, to the point where her				
19	vagina hurts. You get Count 2 from dragging her away from her parents				
20	in order to do that. And the rest is pretty obvious. You get those all				
21	consecutive because you've done it before. The best indicator of what				
22	you're going to do in the future is what you did in the past; and then the				
23	final icing is Dr. Chambers says you're a danger, so I and what you do				
24	is a danger. So, your credit for time served is 546 days credit.				
25	MR. MARTINEZ: That's correct.				

1	THE COURT: You also will register as a sex offender within					
2	48 hours of release from custody. Anything else?					
3	MR. MARTINEZ: I think you just need to put the aggregate					
4	total.					
5	THE COURT: The aggregate will be 13.9 years to life or 167					
6	to life.					
7	MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.					
8	MS. RHOADES: Thank you.					
9	THE DEFENDANT: Again, there's a couple other things, Your					
10	Honor. Actually pursuant to NRS 177.075, once the Court imposes					
11	sentence, I actually will request that the court clerk file a notice of appeal					
12	for me. I actually have my notice of appeal here, but they can actually					
13	file that on my behalf right now.					
14	THE COURT: I don't know that not to be true, so we'll take it.					
15	THE DEFENDANT: if I could just if I could just date it you					
16	have a pen to date it?					
17	THE COURT: We can date it for you.					
18	THE DEFENDANT: All right.					
19	MR. BECKETT: And Judge, my role as standby counsel,					
20	does that end today?					
21	THE COURT: You are cleared, it's done.					
22	MR. BECKETT: All right, thank you.					
23	THE COURT: Thank you. And for the record, he has filed the					
24	notice of appeal.					
25	THE DEFENDANT: Well yeah, that was just my notice of					

1	appeal, but I think the clerk I mean I think your clerk files a separate			
2	one, pursuant to the statute but I just had one filled out just in case.			
3	[Colloquy - the Court and the court clerk]			
4	THE COURT: You know, part of the problem with			
5	representing yourself is, you know, you gotta figure it all out. I filed that			
6	is in open court, if there's something else you need to do, you need to			
7	figure it out. Are you requesting counsel be appointed at this point? Or			
8	do you want to continue representing yourself?			
9	THE DEFENDANT: Well, I can't represent myself for an			
10	appeal. That's that's I wish I could but I can't represent myself on			
11	appeal. That's a direct appeal.			
12	MS. HOFFMAN: Judge I don't think we can			
13	THE COURT: Help me out here.			
14	MS. HOFFMAN: Can we approach? Dan.			
15	THE COURT: Yes please. We're not talking specifically about			
16	your case; I'm asking them about what happens procedurally, because I			
17	don't honestly know.			
18	[Bench Conference]			
19	MS. HOFFMAN: I thought we're not supposed to take			
20	something when we didn't do the trial. I thought it was like			
21	MR. JENKINS: No that changed.			
22	MS. HOFFMAN: are you sure?			
23	THE COURT: You don't think I would let you go, I mean I get			
24	why you wouldn't want			
25	MS. HOFFMAN: No, no, no but I mean that's always been			
	1275			

1	like such a big deal. Are you a hundred percent positive?					
2	MR. JENKINS: We used to not get appointments. We still					
3	don't get appointments after private counsel's pled them before					
4	sentencing, but after sentencing, private counsel can now withdraw, and					
5	our office can be appointed.					
6	MS. HOFFMAN: But I thought we don't even okay, well I					
7	although Dan does sound highly confident in this, and it would be great if					
8	that's true, I just don't I'm too worried. Can we pass it to Thursday					
9	THE COURT: Yes.					
10	MS. HOFFMAN: and I'll talk to Darren and just make sure.					
11	MR. MARTINEZ: How about we just use the status check					
12	date on the orders?					
13	THE COURT: On the 19 <sup>th</sup> ?					
14	MS. HOFFMAN: And then I can request it be assigned to					
15	Dan, since he's so proficient.					
16	MR. JENKINS: When's the status check?					
17	THE COURT: The 19 <sup>th</sup> .					
18	MR. MARTINEZ: The 19 <sup>th</sup> .					
19	MR. JENKINS: We just I think isn't well I guess he filed					
20	a notice of appeal. I just don't					
21	THE COURT: I think we should handle this on Thursday.					
22	MR. JENKINS: I just don't want to run into appeal issues					
23	and stuff like that.					
24	THE COURT: Let's just put this over on Thursday.					
25	MS. HOFFMAN: Yeah that's good.					
	4070					

1	MR. MARTINEZ: Okay.					
2	MS. HOFFMAN: See Martinez is trying to be sneaky here.					
3	MR. MARTINEZ: I don't even know what's going on. Can you					
4	let me know about the orders if we need to do something?					
5	THE LAW CLERK: Yeah, I was going to email you.					
6	MS. HOFFMAN: I'm sure you're probably right, but I don't like					
7	to mess with power.					
8	THE COURT: Maybe we can take care of it all on Thursday, if					
9	it's all if you have it all. No but if she's already done it all, we can just					
10	have her resend them.					
11	THE LAW CLERK: No, she doesn't. I did look over					
12	THE COURT: I thought we did but.					
13	MR. MARTINEZ: I would bet all \$18 in my savings account					
14	that it's done.					
15	MS. HOFFMAN: Sam, are the victims in this case like did					
16	your client pick some people or were there not					
17	MR. MARTINEZ: She would've been represented by the PD,					
18	so					
19	MS. HOFFMAN: Oh so					
20	THE COURT: Well that I mean Mr. Beckett was appointed.					
21	MR. MARTINEZ: yeah but					
22	MR. JENKINS: Through Drew Christensen?					
23	MR. MARTINEZ: yes Drew appointed him.					
24	MS. HOFFMAN: that would be a problem itself because I					
25	don't think					

1	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, if Drew appointed him, then you guys					
2	will probably have a conflict because he represented her.					
3	THE COURT: So here's a question, does Mr. Beckett get					
4	back on or					
5	MR. JENKINS: I don't know.					
6	MS. HOFFMAN: He probably can request if he wanted to, but					
7	it would probably be better to ask Drew to appoint somebody.					
8	MR. MARTINEZ: He doesn't want to.					
9	MS. HOFFMAN: He may not have the					
10	THE COURT: I just figure he was standby so. All right, here's					
11	what we're going to do, we're going to pass it to Thursday.					
12	MS. HOFFMAN: but you'll call Drew maybe call Drew and					
13	have him because I don't think we can do it.					
14	THE COURT: Yes. You certainly can because that's why he					
15	was on					
16	MS. HOFFMAN: Yes.					
17	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes.					
18	THE COURT: She has she cut her GPS monitor off her					
19	ankle.					
20	MR. MARTINEZ: That says PD all over it.					
21	[Bench Conference Concluded]					
22	THE COURT: All right Mr. Miles, is it my oh the original					
23	question was, he has to have counsel for appeal?					
24	MS. HOFFMAN: I think so, yes, if he requested it, I would					
25	hope so.					
	Page 24 1378					

1	THE COURT: Well obviously if he requested it; but my					
2	question is can he proceed pro per on appeal?					
3	THE DEFENDANT: I mean I wish I could represent myself for					
4	appeal, but I can't. That's that's what the					
5	MS. HOFFMAN: Right. I think I just heard that recently.					
6	THE COURT: Okay.					
7	MS. HOFFMAN: Then you cannot do a Faretta or anything on					
8	appeal.					
9	THE COURT: Okay, so what we're going to do is we're going					
10	to pass this until Thursday for appointment of counsel for appellate					
11	purposes. We'll keep the 19 <sup>th</sup> day on the orders unless we can figure it					
12	out by then but on Thursday, we'll call Mr. Christensen and have					
13	because obviously there was a PD, correct Mr. Beckett? That's why you					
14	were appointed, correct? Because the PD had a conflict?					
15	MR. BECKETT: That's probably originally why Mr. Colucci					
16	was appointed, and then I took over for him; yes, that's my					
17	understanding.					
18	THE COURT: Okay. All right, so we'll go ahead and refer this					
19	back to Mr. Christensen to decide what goes on with this, and we'll have					
20	counsel here on Thursday.					
21	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.					
22	THE COURT: All right.					
23	MS. HOFFMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.					
24	THE COURT: Thank you.					
25	THE COURT CLERK: September 5 <sup>th</sup> at 9 a.m.					

1	[Case recalled at 11:29 a.m.]					
2	THE COURT: Guys, I was just told I can't amend that PSI like					
3	I did. Actually you know what, will you have					
4	MS. THOMSON: Mr. Martinez and Ms. Rhoades					
5	THE COURT: yes. Brigid stand in for half a second					
6	MS. HOFFMAN: Sure.					
7	THE COURT: for Mr. Miles, because I think he's gone. Ask					
8	Mr. Martinez to call P&P by Thursday and get that PSI fixed the real way					
9	please.					
10	MS. THOMSON: Okay.					
11	MS. HOFFMAN: I didn't hear any of that, but is there anything					
12	I can do?					
13	THE COURT: There was a section, it was additional					
14	information. It said that CGIS showed that he was required to register					
15	as a sex offender for his prior pandering					
16	MS. HOFFMAN: Okay.					
17	THE COURT: and that in fact he hadn't registered and so it					
18	was considered believed he was not he was in violation of that. The					
19	pandering didn't					
20	MS. HOFFMAN: Correct.					
21	THE COURT: at the time require it, so I crossed it out					
22	MS. HOFFMAN: Okay.					
23	THE COURT: we all initialed it and made it like some wholly					
24	interlineation, and I'm told that I can't actually do that so let's just clean it					
25	all up, and I'm going to ask Mr. Martinez just to P&P should be able to					

overnight that for Thursday I would think. 1 2 MS. HOFFMAN: Okay. Highly optimistic. I'll be pleasantly surprised. 3 THE COURT: It's literally a white out. 4 MS. HOFFMAN: Yeah it -- I don't know, and perhaps your law 5 6 clerk can --THE COURT: Yes she will. 7 MS. HOFFMAN: -- can confirm, but I know with Judge 8 Togliatti, sometimes there would be frustration of it taking a while. 9 10 THE COURT: No we're going to -- one of the three of us back 11 there is going to make --12 MS. HOFFMAN: Okay. 13 THE COURT: -- some -- a call and get that. Sam needs to follow-up first; but if there's any question, have him call me and we'll fix 14 it. 15 MS. HOFFMAN: Sounds good. Thanks Judge. 16 17 [Hearing concluded at 11:31 a.m.] + + + + 18 19 20 21 ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the 22 audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. 23 24 25 Sison ourt Recorder/Transcriber 1381

Page 27

1	FILED IN OPEN COURT STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT	•
2	NOAS Name: Christian Stephon Miles SEP 0 3 2019	
	Name:     Christion Stephon Miles     SEP U 3 2019       Address:     330 5. Cosino CIR     WWWWWW       City/State/Zip:     Los Vegos, NW, 99101     BY,	2
3	Phone: DARA YORKE, DEPUTY	
4		
5	IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN	
6	AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK	
7		
8	The State of Nevada	
9	Plaintiff,	
10	CASE NO. (-15-306436-1	
11	DEPT. NO. XVIII	
12		
	Christian Stephon Miles, Defendant.	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	NOTICE OF APPEAL	
16	Notice is hereby given that Christian Stephon Miles, Defendant above-named,	
17	hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from Final Judgement	
18		
19		
20		
21	entered in this action on the day of, $20_{19}$ .	-
22	NOASC Notice of Appeal (criminal)	
23	DATED this day of, 2019.       4860154	lii
24		
25	Defendant's Signature	
26		
27	NOTE: list either the Final Judgment or an Order (describe it) on the lines above.	
28		
	1382	١

ļ

•

1 2 3	JOC	Electronically Filed 9/5/2019 8:58 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT		
4	DISTRICT COURT			
5	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
6 7 8 9 10	THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, -vs- CHRISTIAN STEPHON MILES #2888634	CASE NO. C-15-306436-1 DEPT. NO. XVIII		
11 12 13	Defendant.			
14	JUDGMENT C	OF CONVICTION		
15				
16				
17	The Defendant previously entered a ple	ea of not guilty to the crimes of COUNT 1 - SEX		
18	TRAFFICKING OF A CHILD UNDER 18 YEAR	RS OF AGE (Category A Felony) in violation of		
19	NRS 201.300.2a1; COUNT 2 - FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING (Category A Felony) in			
20	violation of NRS 200.310, 200.320; COUNT 3 - LIVING FROM THE EARNINGS OF A			
21	PROSTITUTE (Category D Felony) in violation of NRS 201.320; and COUNT 4 - CHILD			
22 23	ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS			
24	200.508(1); and the matter having been tried before a jury and the Defendant having been			
25	found guilty of the crimes of COUNT 1 - SEX TRAFFICKING OF A CHILD UNDER 18 YEARS			
26	OF AGE (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 201.300.2a1; COUNT 2 - FIRST DEGREE			
27	KIDNAPPING (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 200.310, 200.320; COUNT 3 - LIVING			
28	FROM THE EARNINGS OF A PROSTITUTE (	Category D Felony) in violation of NRS		

201.320; and COUNT 4 - CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.508(1); thereafter, on the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of September, 2019, the Pro Se Defendant was present in court for sentencing with standby counsel ROBERT BECKETT, ESQ., and good cause appearing,

THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED guilty of said offenses and, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment Fee, \$2,500.00 Administrative Assessment Fee per AB241, and \$150.00 DNA Analysis Fee including testing to determine genetic markers plus \$3.00 DNA Collection Fee, the Defendant is SENTENCED to the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) as follows: **COUNT 1** - LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS; **COUNT 2** - LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS, CONSECUTIVE to COUNT 1; **COUNT 3** - a MAXIMUM of FORTY-EIGHT (48) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of NINETEEN (19) MONTHS, CONSECUTIVE to COUNTS 1 & 2; and **COUNT 4** - a MAXIMUM of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS, CONSECUTIVE to COUNTS 1, 2, & 3; with FIVE HUNDRED FORTY-SIX (546) DAYS credit for time served. The AGGREGATE TOTAL sentence is LIFE with a MINIMUM PAROLE ELIGIBILITY of ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-SEVEN (167) MONTHS.

FURTHER ORDERED, the Defendant is to REGISTER as a sex offender in accordance with NRS 179D.460 within FORTY-EIGHT (48) HOURS after any release from custody.

DATED this  $\underline{\mathcal{H}}$  day of September, 2019.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

in Kay Hotthus

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

179 <u>4</u>

C-15-306436-1

#### DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	March 26, 2020
C-15-306436-1	State of Nevac vs Christian Miles	-	
March 26, 2020	12:00 PM	STATUS CHECK: CLARIFICATION SENTENCE	OF AGGREGATE TOTAL
HEARD BY: Holthus, Mary Kay COURTROOM: RJC Lower Level Arraignment		evel Arraignment	
COURT CLERK:	Yorke, Dara		
RECORDER:	Sison, Yvette G.		
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESE	ENT:		
Christian Stephor	n Miles	Defendant	
Samuel Martinez		Attorney for Plaintiff	
State of Nevada		Plaintiff	

#### JOURNAL ENTRIES

Mr. Valencia not present. Mr. Martinez informed the Court at sentencing the Court indicated an aggregate total of 167 months to Life; however, it should have been 163 months. Following colloquy, COURT ORDERED, Judgment of Conviction to be AMENDED to reflect an AGGREGATE TOTAL of ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-THREE (163) MONTHS to LIFE.

NDC



1	AJOC	Electronically Filed 3/26/2020 2:21 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT	hum			
2						
3	DISTRIC	CT COURT				
4	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA				
5						
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,					
7	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C-15-306436-1				
8	-VS-	DEPT. NO. XVIII				
9 10	CHRISTIAN STEPHON MILES #2888634	DEFT. NO. XVIII				
11	Defendant.					
12						
13						
14						
15						
16 17	The Defendant previously entered a	plea of not guilty to the crimes of COUNT				
17						
19	Felony) in violation of NRS 201.300.2a1; COUNT 2 - FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING (Category A Felony) in violation of NRS 200.310, 200.320; COUNT 3 - LIVING FROM					
20						
21						
22	THE EARNINGS OF A PROSTITUTE (Category D Felony) in violation of NRS					
23	201.320; and COUNT 4 - CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT					
24	(Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.508(1); and the matter having been tried					
25	before a jury and the Defendant having be	en found guilty of the crimes of COUNT 1 -				
26	SEX TRAFFICKING OF A CHILD UNDER	18 YEARS OF AGE (Category A Felony) in				
27 28		FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING (Category				

A Felony) in violation of NRS 200.310, 200.320; COUNT 3 - LIVING FROM THE EARNINGS OF A PROSTITUTE (Category D Felony) in violation of NRS 201.320; and COUNT 4 - CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 200.508(1); thereafter, on the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of September, 2019, the Pro Se Defendant was present in court for sentencing with standby counsel ROBERT BECKETT, ESQ., and good cause appearing,

THE DEFENDANT WAS ADJUDGED guilty of said offenses and, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment Fee, \$2,500.00 Administrative Assessment Fee per AB241, and \$150.00 DNA Analysis Fee including testing to determine genetic markers plus \$3.00 DNA Collection Fee, the Defendant was sentenced to the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) as follows: **COUNT 1** - LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS; **COUNT 2** - LIFE with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS, CONSECUTIVE to COUNT 1; **COUNT 3** - a MAXIMUM of FORTY-EIGHT (48) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of NINETEEN (19) MONTHS, CONSECUTIVE to COUNTS 1 & 2; and **COUNT 4** - a MAXIMUM of SEVENTY-TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS, CONSECUTIVE to COUNTS 1, 2, & 3; with FIVE HUNDRED FORTY-SIX (546) DAYS credit for time served. The AGGREGATE TOTAL sentence is LIFE with a MINIMUM PAROLE ELIGIBILITY of ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-SEVEN (167) MONTHS.

FURTHER ORDERED, the Defendant is to REGISTER as a sex offender in accordance with NRS 179D.460 within FORTY-EIGHT (48) HOURS after any release from custody.

C-15-306436-1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THEREAFTER, on the 26<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2020, the Pro Se Defendant was present in court, and pursuant to a Status Check hearing regarding an inquiry from the Nevada Department of Corrections; COURT ORDERED the following correction: The AGGREGATE TOTAL sentence is LIFE with a MINIMUM of ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-THREE (163) MONTHS.

DATED this 26th day of March, 2020.

Mary Kay Latthue

DY

MARY KAY HOLTHUS DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

1 2 3 4 5	Electronically Filed 4/2/2020 1:06 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR Other A. And Steven D. Grierson 
6	DISTRICT COURT
7	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA, ) Case No. C-15-306436-1
9	Plaintiff, Dept. No. 18
10	V. )
11 12	CHRISTIAN STEPHON MILES,
12	Defendant.
13	NOTICE OF APPEAL
15	Notice is hereby given that defendant, Christian Stephon Miles, appeals to the Supreme
16	Court of Nevada from the Amended Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) entered on March 26,
17	2020. The original Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) was entered on September 5, 2019. Miles
18	appealed from the original Judgment of Conviction on September 3, 2019, the day he was
19	sentenced. That appeal is currently pending before the Nevada Supreme Court (No. 79554).
20	DATED: April 2, 2020.
21	/s/ Mario D. Valencia
22	MARIO D. VALENCIA Nevada Bar No. 6154 40 S. Stephenia St., Ste. 201
23	40 S. Stephanie St., Ste. 201 Henderson, NV 89012 (702) 384-7494
24	Counsel for Christian Stephon Miles
25	
	1389

1	CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION
2	I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing was made this day, April 2, 2020,
3	by electronic transmission to:
4	Steven B. Wolfson District Attorney
5	Samuel Martinez
6	Deputy District Attorney
7	Counsel for the State of Nevada
8	/s/ Mario D. Valencia
9	MARIO D. VALENCIA
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	2

#### **Case Information**

C-15-306436-1 | State of Nevada vs Christian Miles

Case Number C-15-306436-1 File Date 05/07/2015 Court Department 18 Case Type Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay Case Status Closed

### Party

Plaintiff State of Nevada

Active Attorneys ▼ Attorney Jones, Jr., John T.

Attorney Merback, William J.

Attorney Albritton, Alicia A.

Attorney Giles, Michael G

Attorney Lexis, Chad N.

Lead Attorney Wolfson, Steven B

Attorney Mercer, Elizabeth A. Attorney Martinez, Samuel

Attorney Moskal, Thomas J.

Attorney Rhoades, Kristina A.

Attorney Wong, Hetty O.

Defendant Miles, Christian Stephon

DOB XX/XX/XXXX

Address 3813 CRANBROOK HILL LAS VEGAS NV 89129 Active Attorneys▼ Attorney Beckett, Robert S. Court Appointed

Lead Attorney Valencia, Mario D Court Appointed

Pro Se

Inactive Attorneys 
Attorney
COLUCCI,
CARMINE
Retained

#### Charge

Charges

#### Miles, Christian Stephon

1SEX TRAFFICKING OF A CHILD UNDER 18 YEARS OF AGE201.300.2Felony02/01/20152FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING200.310.1Felony02/01/20153LIVING FROM THE EARNINGS OF A PROSTITUTE201.320Felony02/01/20154CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT200.508.1b1Felony02/01/2015		Description	Statute	Level	Date
2Finder blocklinFolder blocklinKIDNAPPING3LIVING FROM THE EARNINGS OF A PROSTITUTE201.320Felony02/01/20154CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR200.508.1b1Felony02/01/2015	1	OF A CHILD UNDER 18 YEARS	201.300.2	Felony	02/01/2015
EARNINGS OF A PROSTITUTE 4 CHILD ABUSE, 200.508.1b1 Felony 02/01/2015 NEGLECT, OR	2		200.310.1	Felony	02/01/2015
NEGLECT, OR	3	EARNINGS OF A	201.320	Felony	02/01/2015
	4	NEGLECT, OR	200.508.1b1	Felony	02/01/2015

### **Disposition Events** 05/18/2015 Plea -Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay 1 SEX TRAFFICKING OF A CHILD UNDER 18 Not Guilty YEARS OF AGE 2 FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING Not Guilty LIVING FROM THE EARNINGS OF A Not Guilty 3 PROSTITUTE CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR Not Guilty 4 ENDANGERMENT 09/03/2019 Disposition -Judicial Officer

Holthus, Mary Kay

1 SEX TRAFFICKING OF A CHILD UNDER 18 Guilty YEARS OF AGE

2	FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING	Guilty
3	LIVING FROM THE EARNINGS OF A PROSTITUTE	Guilty
4	CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERM	IENT Guilty
09/03/	2019 Adult Adjudication 👻	
1	SEX TRAFFICKING OF A CHILD Adu UNDER 18 YEARS OF AGE	It Adjudication
Sente	nced to Nevada Dept of Corrections	
Te	rm: Life with the possibility of parole after 60 years	
09/03/	2019 Adult Adjudication 👻	
2	FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING Adult	Adjudication
Sente	nced to Nevada Dept of Corrections	
Te	rm: Life with the possibility of parole after 60 years	
Сс	nsecutive: Charge 1	
09/03/	2019 Adult Adjudication 👻	
3	LIVING FROM THE EARNINGS OF A Adu PROSTITUTE	It Adjudication
Sente	nced to Nevada Dept of Corrections	
	rm: Minimum: 19 Months Maximum: 48 Months	
Сс	nsecutive: Charge 1 and 2	

09/03/2019 Adult Adjudication -

4 CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT

Adult Adjudication

Sentenced to Nevada Dept of Corrections

Term: Minimum: 24 Months Maximum: 72 Months

Consecutive: Charge 1, 2 and 3

Credit for Time Served: 546 Days

#### Comment

Comment (Additionally, COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Defendant to register as a sex offender in accordance with NRS 179D.460 within 48 hours after sentencing or release from incarceration. Deft. file a Notice of Appeal. Further, Mr. Beckett inquired about being removed as standby counsel, which Court noted he would no longer be appointed as standby counsel.)

Fee Totals

\$25.00

\$150.00

\$3.00

Fee Totals

\$178.00

Comment

Comment (\$2,500.00 Administrative Assessment Fee per AB241,)

03/26/2020 Amended Adult Adjudication -

Amend Reason Amended

> 1 SEX TRAFFICKING OF A CHILD UNDER 18 YEARS OF AGE

Adult Adjudication

Sentenced to Nevada Dept of Corrections

Term: Life with the possibility of parole after 60 years

5 of 79

Ameno Ameno	l Reason led	
2	FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING	Adult Adjudication
Senter	nced to Nevada Dept of Corrections	
Ter	m: Life with the possibility of parole a	after 60 years
Co	nsecutive: Charge 1	
3/26/2	2020 Amended Adult Adjudication <del>•</del>	
	Reason	
3	LIVING FROM THE EARNINGS OPROSTITUTE	DF A Adult Adjudication
Senter	nced to Nevada Dept of Corrections	
Tei	m: Minimum: 19 Months Maximum: 4	18 Months
Co	nsecutive: Charge 1 and 2	
)3/26/2	2020 Amended Adult Adjudication 🕶	
Ameno Ameno	l Reason led	
4	CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR ENDANGERMENT	Adult Adjudication
Comm	ent	
registe after so Furthe	ent (Additionally, COURT FURTHER r as a sex offender in accordance wit entencing or release from incarceration r, Mr. Beckett inquired about being re Court noted he would no longer be ap	h NRS 179D.460 within 48 hours on. Deft. file a Notice of Appeal. moved as standby counsel,

Sentenced to Nevada Dept of Corrections

Term: Minimum: 24 Months Maximum: 72 Months

	Consecutive: Charge 1, 2 and 3
	Credit for Time Served: 546 Days
Coi	nment
AG	mment (03/26/20 - COURT ORDERED the following correction: The GREGATE TOTAL sentence is LIFE with a MINIMUM of ONE HUNDRED TYTHREE (163) MONTHS.)
Fee	e Totals
	\$25.00
	\$150.00
	\$3.00
	Fee Totals
	\$178.00
Со	nment
Co	nment (\$2,500.00 Administrative Assessment Fee per AB241,)

## **Events and Hearings**

05/07/2015 Case Opened

05/07/2015 Criminal Bindover Packet Las Vegas Justice Court -

Criminal Bindover

05/12/2015 Information -

Information

Comment Information

05/12/2015 Order for Production of Inmate ▼	
Order for Production of Inmate	
Comment Order for Production of Inmate	
05/18/2015 Initial Arraignment ▼	
Original Type Initial Arraignment	
Initial Arraignment	
Judicial Officer De La Garza, Melisa	
Hearing Time 1:00 PM	
Result Trial Date Set	
Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Mercer, Elizabeth A.	
05/29/2015 Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses ▼	
Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses	
Comment Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses	
06/11/2015 Calendar Call <del>▼</del>	
Calendar Call	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Result Trial Date Set	
Parties Present▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 10:30 AM Cancel Reason Vacated - per Judge 07/13/2015 Reporters Transcript -Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing 5/7/15 Comment Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing 5/7/15 08/07/2015 Supplemental Witness List -Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses Comment Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses 08/10/2015 Amended Notice -Amended Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses Comment Amended Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses 08/20/2015 Calendar Call -Calendar Call Judicial Officer Barker, David Hearing Time 9:00 AM Result Vacated and Reset Parties Present -Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel 08/31/2015 Jury Trial -Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time 10:30 AM

Cancel Reason Vacated - per Judge	
01/07/2016 Calendar Call 👻	
Calendar Call	
Calendar Call	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Result Continued	
Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.	
01/19/2016 Jury Trial ▼ Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 10:30 AM	
Cancel Reason Vacated - per Judge	
01/26/2016 Status Check -	
Status Check	
Status Check	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
9:00 AM Result	

Plaintiff: State of Nevada

**1400** 4/2/2020, 12:14 PM

Attorney: Albritton, Alicia A.
02/03/2016 Motion -
Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record and Appointment of Counsel
Comment Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record and Appointment of Counsel
02/04/2016 Order Shortening Time -
Request for an Order Shortening Time
Comment REOUEST FOR AN ORDER SHORTENING TIME ON COUNSEL'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY OF RECORD
02/05/2016 Hearing -
Judicial Officer Barker, David
Hearing Time 10:15 AM
Result Matter Heard
Comment Disputes
02/05/2016 Motion to Withdraw as Counsel -
Judicial Officer Barker, David
Hearing Time 10:15 AM
Result Granted
Comment Carmine Colucci, Esq's Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record and Appointment of Counsel
02/05/2016 All Pending Motions <del>-</del>
All Pending Motions
Barker, David
Hearing Time

10:15 AM

Result Matter Heard

Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon

Plaintiff: State of Nevada

Attorney: Merback, William J.

03/10/2016 Calendar Call -

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time 9:00 AM

Cancel Reason Vacated - per Judge

03/10/2016 Status Check -

Status Check

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time 9:00 AM

Result Set Status Check

Comment Appointment of Counsel / Discovery

Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon

Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.

Plaintiff: State of Nevada

Attorney: Lexis, Chad N.

03/21/2016 Jury Trial -

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time 10:30 AM

Cancel Reason Vacated - per Judge

04/07/2016 Status Check: Reset Trial Date -	
Status Check: Reset Trial Date	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Result Trial Date Set	
Parties Present  Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
05/02/2016 Motion -	
Motion to Withdraw Counsel	
Comment Motion to Withdraw Counsel	
05/02/2016 Notice of Motion ▼	
Notice of Motion	
Comment Notice of Motion	
05/09/2016 Supplemental Witness List ▼	
Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witness	es
Comment Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses	
05/24/2016 Motion -	
Motion	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Result Continued	
Comment	

**1403** 4/2/2020, 12:14 PM

Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Withdraw Counsel
Parties Present▲ Defendant
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.
05/26/2016 Calendar Call ▼
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Continued
05/26/2016 All Pending Motions ▼
All Pending Motions
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Continued
Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel
Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.
05/26/2016 Order -
Order
Comment Order of Remand
05/31/2016 All Pending Motions -
All Pending Motions

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time 9:00 AM Result Matter Heard Parties Present -Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Attorney: Beckett, Robert S. Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A. 05/31/2016 Order -Order Comment Order of Remand 06/02/2016 Hearing -Hearing Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 2:00 PM Result Minute Order - No Hearing Held Comment Defendant's Discovery Meeting (NOTE: MEETING B/W DEFT. AND ATTORNEY ONLY - NO HEARING WILL BE HELD) 06/06/2016 Jury Trial -Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 10:30 AM Cancel Reason Vacated - per Judge 06/07/2016 Status Check -Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 9:00 AM

Result Continued	
Comment Reset Trial Date / Production of Discovery / Defendant's Representation Status	
Parties Present   Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
06/07/2016 All Pending Motions -	
All Pending Motions	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Result Matter Heard	
Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
06/07/2016 Order -	
Order	
Comment Order to Remand	
06/14/2016 All Pending Motions -	
All Pending Motions	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Result Matter Heard	
Parties Present▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	

Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.
06/28/2016 Status Check -
Judicial Officer Bixler, James
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Matter Heard
Comment Faretta Canvass
06/28/2016 All Pending Motions -
All Pending Motions
Judicial Officer Bixler, James
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Matter Heard
Parties Present▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.
07/06/2016 Notice of Motion -
Notice of Motion
Comment Notice of Motion
07/06/2016 Motion -
Motion for Production of Documents, Books, Papers, Results, or Tangible Objects
Comment Motion for Production of Documents, Books, Papers, Results, or Tangible Objects

07/11/2016 Motion in Limine -
Motion in Limine
Comment Motion in Limine
07/11/2016 Notice of Motion -
Notice of Motion
Comment Notice of Motion
07/13/2016 Motion to Suppress ▼
Motion to Suppress
Comment Motion to Suppress
07/13/2016 Notice of Motion -
Notice of Motion
Comment Notice of Motion
07/15/2016 Opposition -
Opposition to Defendant's Motion For Production Of Documents, Books, Papers, Results Or Tangible Ob
Comment Opposition to Defendant's Motion For Production Of Documents, Books, Papers, Results Or Tangible Objects
07/25/2016 Opposition to Motion -
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress
Comment State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress
07/25/2016 Opposition to Motion -
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine
Comment State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine
07/26/2016 Motion to Suppress ▼
Motion to Suppress
Comment Motion to Suppress
07/26/2016 Notice of Motion -

Notice of Motion
Comment Notice of Motion
07/26/2016 Motion to Suppress -
Motion to Suppress
Comment Motion to Suppress
07/26/2016 Notice of Motion -
Notice of Motion
Comment Notice of Motion
07/28/2016 Motion ▼
Motion
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Matter Continued
Comment Defendant's Pro Per Motion for Production of Documents, Books, Papers, Results, or Tangible Objects
Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel
08/04/2016 Motion in Limine -
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Comment Defendant's Pro Per Motion in Limine
08/04/2016 Motion to Suppress -

Judicial Officer

1409 4/2/2020, 12:14 PM Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 9:00 AM Result Matter Continued Comment Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress for Use as Evidence Facebook Account 08/04/2016 Status Check -Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 9:00 AM Result Matter Heard Comment Status Check: Appointment of Investigator 08/04/2016 All Pending Motions -All Pending Motions Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 9:00 AM Result Matter Heard Parties Present -Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel 08/04/2016 Opposition to Motion -State's Opposition to Defendant's Two Motions to Suppress, Both Filed On July 26, 2016 Comment State's Opposition to Defendant's Two Motions to Suppress, Both Filed On July 26, 2016

08/10/2016 Order -	
Order Appointing Investigator	
Comment Order Appointing Investigator	
08/30/2016 Motion to Dismiss 👻	
Motion to Dismiss	
Comment Motion to Dismiss	
08/30/2016 Notice of Motion -	
Notice of Motion	
Comment Notice of Motion	
09/09/2016 Opposition -	
Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss	
Comment Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss	
09/29/2016 All Pending Motions -	
All Pending Motions	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Result Matter Heard	
Parties Present   Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.	
09/29/2016 Calendar Call 🕶	
Judicial Officer	

Hearing Time 10:00 AM
09/29/2016 Motion -
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 10:00 AM
Result Denied
Comment Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress for Use as Evidence Attached Exhibits, Namely, Metro PCS Document
09/29/2016 Motion -
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 10:00 AM
Result Withdrawn
Comment Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress for Use as Evidence Attached Exhibits, Namely, Phone SMS Text Messages
Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress for Use as Evidence Attached Exhibits, Namely, Phone SMS Text Messages
Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress for Use as Evidence Attached
Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress for Use as Evidence Attached Exhibits, Namely, Phone SMS Text Messages
Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress for Use as Evidence Attached Exhibits, Namely, Phone SMS Text Messages 09/29/2016 Status Check ▼ Judicial Officer
Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress for Use as Evidence Attached Exhibits, Namely, Phone SMS Text Messages 09/29/2016 Status Check ← Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time
Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress for Use as Evidence Attached Exhibits, Namely, Phone SMS Text Messages 09/29/2016 Status Check ▼ Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 10:00 AM Comment
Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress for Use as Evidence Attached Exhibits, Namely, Phone SMS Text Messages 09/29/2016 Status Check ▼ Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 10:00 AM Comment
Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress for Use as Evidence Attached Exhibits, Namely, Phone SMS Text Messages 09/29/2016 Status Check - Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 10:00 AM Comment STATUS CHECK: INVESTIGATOR MEETING
Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress for Use as Evidence Attached Exhibits, Namely, Phone SMS Text Messages 09/29/2016 Status Check • Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 10:00 AM Comment STATUS CHECK: INVESTIGATOR MEETING 09/29/2016 Motion to Dismiss •

Comment

Defend	ant's Pro Per Motion to Dismiss
10/10/2	2016 Jury Trial 🔻
	l Officer i, Jennifer
Hearing 10:30 A	
Cancel Vacated	Reason d
10/17/2	2016 Motion 🔻
Supple	mental Motion to Suppress Facebook Evidence
	iment plemental Motion to Suppress Facebook Evidence
10/17/2	2016 Notice of Motion -
Notice	of Motion
	iment ce of Motion
10/18/2	2016 Order -
Order	
Com Orde	iment er
11/03/2	016 Status Check: Trial Setting 👻
e a arerea	l Officer i, Jennifer
Hearing 9:00 AN	
Result Continu	led
11/03/2	016 Status Check <del>-</del>
	l Officer i, Jennifer
Hearing 9:00 AM	
Result Continu	ied

Comment Status Check: Gabriel King Records
11/03/2016 All Pending Motions -
All Pending Motions
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Matter Heard
Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Albritton, Alicia A.
11/29/2016 Motion to Suppress ▼
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Continued
Comment Defendant's Pro Per Supplemental Motion to Suppress: Appearance of P & P
11/29/2016 All Pending Motions -
All Pending Motions
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Matter Heard
Parties Present▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel

01/17/2017 Status Check 🔻
Judicial Officer
Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time
9:00 AM
Comment Phones 1, 2, & 3
01/17/2017 All Pending Motions ▼
All Pending Motions
Judicial Officer
Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result
Matter Heard
Parties Present▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel
Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.
02/08/2017 Notice of Motion -
Notice Of Motion
Comment Notice Of Motion
02/08/2017 Motion -
02/08/2017 Motion ▼ Motion To Suppress Cellular Evidence
Motion To Suppress Cellular Evidence
Motion To Suppress Cellular Evidence Comment
Motion To Suppress Cellular Evidence Comment Motion To Suppress Cellular Evidence
Motion To Suppress Cellular Evidence Comment Motion To Suppress Cellular Evidence 02/16/2017 Opposition to Motion - State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Cellular

02/17/2017 Notice of W	/itnesses and/or Expert Witnesses ▼
Notice of Witnesses an	d/or Expert Witnesses [NRS 174.234]
Comment Notice of Witnesses	and/or Expert Witnesses [NRS 174.234]
02/21/2017 Motion to S	Suppress -
Motion to Suppress	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Result Matter Heard	
Comment Defendant's Pro Per Me	otion to Suppress Cellular Evidence
Parties Present▲ Defendant: Miles, Ch	ristian Stephon
Plaintiff: State of Nev	ada
Attorney: Martinez,	Samuel
03/13/2017 Status Che	ack 🗸
Status Check	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 10:30 AM	
Result Minute Order - No Hea	ring Held
Comment Pro Per Discovery Con	ference
03/13/2017 Ex Parte M	lotion 👻
Ex Parte Motion and O	rder for Release of Juvenile Records
Comment Ex Parte Motion and	l Order for Release of Juvenile Records
04/21/2017 All Pending	g Motions 👻
All Donding Motions	

All Pending Motions

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Result Matter Heard	
Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.	
04/21/2017 Evidentiary Hearing -	
Evidentiary Hearing	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 11:30 AM	
Result Continued	
Comment MOTION TO SUPPRESS FACEBOOK AND ALL RELATED PLEADINGS / MOTION TO SUPPRESS CELLULAR EVIDENCE	E
04/21/2017 Status Check -	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 11:30 AM	
Result Matter Heard	
Comment Juvenile History Production	
05/02/2017 Status Check -	
Status Check	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	

Result Matter Heard	
Comment Evidentiary Hearing	
Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
05/10/2017 Motion -	
Motion to Suppress LG Phone	
Comment Motion to Suppress LG Phone	
05/10/2017 Notice of Motion -	
Notice of Motion	
Comment Notice of Motion	
05/16/2017 At Request of Court -	
At Request of Court	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Result Matter Continued	
Comment Reset Evidentiary Hearing Date	
Parties Present▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	

05/19/2017 Opposition -
Opposition - OPPS
Comment State's Opposition to Defendant s Motion to Suppress L.G. Phone
06/01/2017 All Pending Motions -
All Pending Motions
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Matter Heard
Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel
06/01/2017 Status Check: Reset Trial Date ▼
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 10:00 AM
Result Continued
06/01/2017 Status Check 🕶
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 10:00 AM
Result Continued
Comment Redaction / Production of Redacted Records

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 10:00 AM Result Continued Comment Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress LG Phone 06/01/2017 Evidentiary Hearing -Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 10:00 AM Cancel Reason Vacated - Duplicate Entry 06/15/2017 Status Check -Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 8:30 AM Result Continued Comment Record of Offer 06/15/2017 Status Check -Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 8:30 AM Result Continued Comment Juvenile Record Disclosure 06/15/2017 All Pending Motions -All Pending Motions Judicial Officer

Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time 8:30 AM

Result Matter Heard

Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon

Plaintiff: State of Nevada

Attorney: Albritton, Alicia A.

07/18/2017 All Pending Motions -

All Pending Motions

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time 9:00 AM

Result Matter Heard

Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon

Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.

Plaintiff: State of Nevada

Attorney: Martinez, Samuel

07/27/2017 Calendar Call -

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time 9:00 AM

Cancel Reason Vacated - per Judge

08/07/2017 Jury Trial -

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time 10:30 AM

Cancel Reason Vacated - per Judge

08/10/2017 Further Proceedings -

Further Proceedings Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 9:00 AM Result Matter Heard Comment Further Proceedings Re: Records Parties Present -Defendant Attorney: Beckett, Robert S. Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel 09/05/2017 All Pending Motions -All Pending Motions Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 9:00 AM Result Matter Heard Parties Present -Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Attorney: Beckett, Robert S. Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A. 09/07/2017 Motion in Limine -Motion in Limine - MLIM Comment Motion in Limine to Exclude ZTE Phone 09/07/2017 Notice of Motion -Notice of Motion - NOTM Comment Notice of Motion 09/08/2017 Motion to Dismiss -

Motion to Dismiss - MDSM
Comment Motion to Dismiss for Uncorroborated Accomple Testimony
09/08/2017 Notice -
Notice - NOTC
Comment Notice of Motion
09/26/2017 Notice of Motion -
Notice of Motion - NOTM
Comment Notice of Motion
09/26/2017 Motion in Limine -
Motion in Limine - MLIM
Comment Motion In Limine to Exclude Samsung III Text Messages
09/26/2017 Opposition to Motion -
Opposition to Motion - OPPM
Comment State's Opposition to Defendant s Motion in Limine to Exclude Zte Phone
09/26/2017 Opposition to Motion -
Opposition to Motion - OPPM
Comment State's Opposition to Defendant s Motion to Dismiss for Uncorroborated Accomplice Testimony
10/05/2017 Opposition -
Opposition - OPPS
Comment State's Omnibus Opposition to Defendant's Motions in Limine to Exclude LG, ZTE, and Samsung III Text Messages
10/10/2017 Notice of Motion -
Notice of Motion - NOTM
Comment Notice of Motion

10/10/2017 Motion in Limine 👻
Motion in Limine - MLIM
Comment Motion In Limine to Exlcude Facebook Messages
10/17/2017 Motion in Limine ▼
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Continued
Comment Defendant's Pro Per Motion in Limine to Exclude ZTE Phone
Parties Present  Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel
10/17/2017 Motion to Dismiss ▼
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Continued
Comment Motion to Dismiss for Uncorroborated Accomplice Testimony

10/17/2017	Motion in Limine -
Judicial Off Togliatti, Je	
Hearing Tin 9:00 AM	ne
Result Continued	
Comment Defendant's Messages	Pro Per Motion In Limine to Exclude Samsung III Text
10/17/2017	All Pending Motions ▼
All Pending	Motions
Judicial Offi Togliatti, Je	
Hearing Tin 9:00 AM	ne
Result Matter Hear	rd
Parties Pre Defendan	esent ▲ t: Miles, Christian Stephon
Attorne	y: Beckett, Robert S.
Plaintiff: S	State of Nevada
Attorne	y: Martinez, Samuel
10/19/2017	Notice of Motion -
Notice of M	otion - NOTM
Commer Notice of	
10/19/2017	Motion in Limine -
Motion in Li	mine - MLIM
Commer Motion ir	nt n Limine to Exclude Craiglist Advertise

0/19/2017 Opposition -
pposition - OPPS
Comment State's Omnibus Opposition to Defendant s Motion in Limine to Exclude Facebook Messages
0/20/2017 Order -
rder - ORDR
Comment Order for Rough Draft Transcripts
I/02/2017 Opposition ▼
pposition - OPPS
Comment State's Opposition to Defendant s Motion in Limine to Exclude Craigslist Advertisements
/03/2017 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
ecorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN
Comment Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings: Status Check: Juvenile History Production/Evidentiary Hearing: Motion to Suppress Facebook and All Related Pleadings/Motions to Suppress Cellular Evidence - April 21, 2017
//03/2017 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
ecorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN
Comment Recorder's Rough Transcript of Proceedings: Status Check: Reset Trial Date; Status Check: Redaction/Production of Redacted Records; Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress LG Phone; Evidentiary Hearing: Motion to Suppress Facebook and all Related Pleadings/Motion to Suppress Cellular Evidence - June 1, 2017
I/16/2017 Motion in Limine ▼
ıdicial Officer onzalez, Elizabeth

omment efendant's Pro Per Motion in Limine to Exclude Facebook Messag	ges
I/16/2017 Status Check ▼	
ıdicial Officer onzalez, Elizabeth	
earing Time D:00 AM	
esult ontinued	
omment eset Evidentiary Hearing	
I/16/2017 Motion in Limine ▼	
ıdicial Officer onzalez, Elizabeth	
earing Time D:00 AM	
esult ontinued	
omment efendant's Pro Per Motion in Limine to Exclude Craigslist dvertisements	
I/16/2017 All Pending Motions ▼	
I Pending Motions	
ıdicial Officer onzalez, Elizabeth	
earing Time D:00 AM	
esult atter Heard	
arties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	

11/29/2017 Receipt of Copy ▼
Receipt of Copy - ROC (CRM)
Comment Receipt of Copy for Discovery Provided
11/30/2017 All Pending Motions ▼
Minutes - All Pending Motions
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Matter Heard
Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel
12/15/2017 Notice of Motion -
Notice of Motion - NOTM (CRM)
Comment Notice of Motion
12/15/2017 Supplemental <del>-</del>
Supplemental - SUPP (CRM)
Comment Supplemental Motion to Suppress Cellular Evidence
12/26/2017 Opposition -
Opposition - OPPS (CRM)
Comment State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental Motion to Suppress Cellular Evidence
12/28/2017 All Pending Motions -
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time

## 9:00 AM

Cancel Reason Vacated - Duplicate Entry

12/28/2017 All Pending Motions -

Minutes - All Pending Motions

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time 9:00 AM

Result Matter Heard

Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon

Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.

Plaintiff: State of Nevada

Attorney: Martinez, Samuel

01/16/2018 Status Check -

Minutes - Status Check

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time 9:00 AM

Result Matter Heard

Comment Motions / Evidentiary Hearing

Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon

Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.

Plaintiff: State of Nevada

Attorney: Jones, Jr., John T.

Attorney: Martinez, Samuel

01/18/2018 Calendar Call -

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time

9:00 AM Cancel Reason Vacated - per Judge 01/29/2018 Jury Trial -Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 10:30 AM Cancel Reason Vacated - per Judge 01/29/2018 Motion to Suppress -Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 2:00 PM Comment Defendant's Pro Per Supplemental Motion to Suppress Cellular Evidence 01/29/2018 Status Check: Reset Trial Date -Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 2:00 PM 01/29/2018 Evidentiary Hearing -Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time 2:00 PM 01/29/2018 All Pending Motions -Minutes - All Pending Motions Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer Hearing Time

2:00 PM

	Present ▲ dant: Miles, Christian Stephon
	ff: State of Nevada
Atto	ney: Martinez, Samuel
Atto	ney: Rhoades, Kristina A.
02/08/20	018 Notice of Motion -
Notice c	f Motion - NOTM (CRM)
Com Notic	nent e of Motion
02/08/20	018 Motion to Suppress ▼
Motion t	o Suppress - MSPR (CRM)
Com Motic	nent n to Suppress Illegally Obtained Evidence
02/08/20	018 Notice of Motion -
Notice c	f Motion - NOTM (CRM)
Com Notic	nent e of Motion
02/08/20	018 Motion -
Motion -	MOT (CRM)
Comi Omni	nent bus Motion to Dismiss for Destruction of Evidence
02/13/20	018 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <del>▼</del>
Recorde	ers Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
	nent rder's Rough Draft Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - lay, January 29, 2018
02/14/20	018 Order for Production of Inmate ▼
Order fo	r Production of Inmate - OPI (CRM)
Com	nent

02/21/2018 Reply -	
Reply - RPLY (CRM)	
Comment Reply to State's Opposition	
03/22/2018 Opposition -	
Opposition - OPPS (CRM)	
Comment State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Illegally Obtained Evidence	
03/22/2018 Opposition -	
Opposition - OPPS (CRM)	
Comment State's Opposition to Defendant's Omnibus Motion to Dismiss for Destruction of Evidence	
03/23/2018 Motion to Suppress -	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 11:00 AM	
Result Continued	
Comment Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress Illegally Obtained Evidence	
03/23/2018 Motion to Dismiss -	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 11:00 AM	
Result Continued	
Comment Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Dismiss for Destruction of Evidence	
03/23/2018 All Pending Motions -	

Minutes - All Pending Motions

1	5
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 11:00 AM	
Result Matter Heard	
Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.	
03/23/2018 Supplemental ▼	
Supplemental	
Comment Second Supplemental Motion to Suppress Facebook Evidence	
	_
04/04/2018 Decision -	
Minutes - Decision	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 3:00 AM	
Result Denied	
Comment Decision: Motion to Dismiss for Uncorroborated Accomplice Testimony	
04/11/2018 Decision and Order ▼	
Decision and Order - DAO (CRM)	
Comment Decision and Order	
05/03/2018 Petition for Writ of Mandamus ▼	
Petition for Writ of Mandamus - PMAN (CRM)	
Comment Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition (NRS 24.150 thru 34.320)	
05/03/2018 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼	

Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment	
Recorder's Transcript Re: Status Check: Juvenile History	
Production/Evidentiary Hearing/Motion to Suppress Facebook and All Related Pleadings/Motions to Suppress Celluar Evidence	
- April 21, 2017	
05/03/2018 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment	
Recorder's Transcript Re: Status Check: Reset Trial Date; Status	
Check: Redaction/Production of Redacted Records; Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress LG Phone; Evidentiary Hearing;	
Motion to Suppress Facebook and All Related Pleadings/Motion	
to Suppress Cellular Evidence - June 1, 2017	
05/03/2018 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment	
Recorder's Transcript Re: AllPending Motions - January 29, 2018	
05/04/2018 All Pending Motions -	
_	
Minutes - All Pending Motions	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time	
10:00 AM	
Result	
Matter Heard	
Parties Present  Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
05/09/2018 Decision -	
Minutes - Decision	
Judicial Officer	
Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time	
3:00 AM	

Result

Denied
Comment Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress Illegally Obtained Evidence
05/15/2018 Notice of Motion ▼
Notice of Motion - NOTM (CRM)
Comment Notice of Motion
05/15/2018 Motion to Dismiss -
Motion to Dismiss - MDSM (CRM)
Comment Motion to Dismiss Insufficient Information
05/23/2018 Decision and Order -
Decision and Order - DAO (CRM)
Comment Decision and Order
05/24/2018 Opposition ▼
Opposition - OPPS (CRM)
Comment State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Insufficient Information
05/25/2018 Opposition ▼
Opposition - OPPS (CRM)
Comment State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental Motion to Suppress Facebook Evidence

06/15/2	2018 Motion to Dismiss ▼
Motion	to Dismiss
	l Officer i, Jennifer
Hearing 10:00 A	-
Result Continu	Jed
Comme Decisio Informa	n: Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Dismiss Insufficient
06/15/2	2018 All Pending Motions -
Minutes	s - All Pending Motions
	l Officer i, Jennifer
Hearing 10:00 A	-
Result Matter	Heard
	s Present ▲ ndant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Atto	orney: Beckett, Robert S.
Plaint	tiff: State of Nevada
Atto	orney: Martinez, Samuel
06/15/2	2018 Reply -
Reply	
Repl	nment ly to State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental Motion uppress Cellular Evidence
08/08/2	2018 Notice of Motion -
Notice	of Motion - NOTM (CRM)
	nment ce of Motion
08/08/2	2018 Motion to Suppress -
Motion	to Suppress - MSPR (CRM)

Comment	
<sup>8/</sup> M% ଝିଚୀ ୧୦୮୫୩ କୁର୍ବା ଅନ୍ତ୍ର ଅନ୍ତ୍ର କରି ଅନ୍ତ୍ର ଅନ୍ତ୍ର କରି ଅନ୍ତ୍ର ଅନ୍ତ୍ର କରି ଅନ୍ତ୍ର ଅନ୍ତ ଅନ୍ତ୍ର ଅନ୍ତ୍ର ଅନ୍ତ୍ର ଅନ୍ତ୍ର ଅନ୍ତ୍ର ଅନ୍ତ୍ର ଅନ୍ତ୍ର ଅନ୍ତ୍ର ଅନ୍ତ୍ର ଅନ୍ତ୍ର	
Reply to Opposition - ROPP (CRM)	
Comment	
Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental I	lotion
to Suppress Facebook Evidence	
08/08/2018 Reply to Opposition ▼	
Reply to Opposition - ROPP (CRM)	
Comment	
Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dism Insufficient Information	SS
8/17/2018 Opposition ▼	
Opposition - OPPS (CRM)	
Comment	
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress L.G Cellular Phone	
9/19/2018 All Pending Motions -	
Vinutes - All Pending Motions	
Judicial Officer	
ōgliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time I1:00 AM	
Result	
Matter Heard	
09/25/2018 Motion to Suppress 👻	
Sorzorzo to motion to ouppress .	
Judicial Officer	
logliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time I:30 PM	
Result	
Denied	
Comment	
Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Suppress LG Cellular Phone	
Parties Present▲	
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	

Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
09/25/2018 All Pending Motions -	
All Pending Motions	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 1:30 PM	
Result Matter Heard	
Parties Present   Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
09/27/2018 Motion to Dismiss -	
Motion to Dismiss - MDSM (CRM)	
Comment Supplemental Omnibus Motion to Dismiss for Destruction of Evidence	of
09/27/2018 Notice of Motion -	
Notice of Motion - NOTM (CRM)	
Comment Notice of Motion	
10/03/2018 Discovery Conference -	
Minutes - Discovery Conference	
Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer	
Hearing Time 1:30 PM	
Result Matter Heard	
10/19/2018 Opposition -	
Opposition - OPPS (CRM)	
Comment	

State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental Omnibus Motion

## to Dismiss for Destruction of Evidence

10/30/2018 Motion to Dismiss -

Judicial Officer Jones, Tierra

Hearing Time 10:30 AM

Result Continued

Comment

Defendant's Pro Per Supplemental Motion to Dismiss for Destruction of Evidence (FILED o/a 09/25/18)

10/30/2018 All Pending Motions -

Minutes - All Pending Motions

Judicial Officer Jones, Tierra

Hearing Time 10:30 AM

Result Matter Heard

Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon

Plaintiff: State of Nevada

Attorney: Jones, Jr., John T.

10/30/2018 Reply to Opposition -

Reply to Opposition

Comment defendant's Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental Omnibus Motion to Dismiss for Destruction of Evidentce

11/08/2018 All Pending Motions -

Minutes - All Pending Motions

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time 9:00 AM

Result Matter Heard Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon

Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.

Plaintiff: State of Nevada

Attorney: Martinez, Samuel

11/14/2018 Decision -

Decision

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time 3:00 AM

Result Minute Order - No Hearing Held

## Comment

Defendant's Pro Per Supplemental Motion to Dismiss for Destruction of Evidence (FILED o/a 09/25/18) / Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Dismiss for Destruction of Evidence

11/29/2018 Status Check -

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time 10:00 AM

Result Continued

Comment Status Check: UFED / 3.11.15 Justice Court Transcript

11/29/2018 All Pending Motions -

Minutes - All Pending Motions

Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer

Hearing Time 10:00 AM

Result Matter Heard

Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon

Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.

Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel 01/07/2019 Case Reassigned to Department 18 -Comment Judicial Reassignment - From Judge Togliatti to Judge Holthus 01/29/2019 All Pending Motions -Minutes - All Pending Motions Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay Hearing Time 9:00 AM Result Matter Heard Parties Present -Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel 02/12/2019 All Pending Motions -Minutes - All Pending Motions Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay Hearing Time 9:00 AM Result Matter Heard Comment All Pending Motions (2/12/2019) Parties Present -Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Lexis, Chad N. 02/19/2019 All Pending Motions -Minutes - All Pending Motions Judicial Officer

Holthus, Mary Kay

Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Matter Heard
Parties Present  Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel
02/26/2019 Status Check -
Minutes - Status Check
Minutes - Status Check
Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Continued
Comment Status Check: Filing of State's Order
Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
02/26/2019 Motion -
Motion
Comment Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Dismiss
03/05/2019 Order -
Order - ORDR (CRM)
Comment Order Denying Defendant's Motion in LImine to Exclude Craig's List Advertisements
03/05/2019 Order -
Order - ORDR (CRM)
Comment Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Destruction of Evidence
03/05/2019 Order -

Order - ORDR (CRM)
Comment
Order Denying Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Samsung III Text Messages
03/05/2019 Order -
Order - ORDR (CRM)
Comment Order Denying Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude ZTE Phone
03/05/2019 Order -
Order - ORDR (CRM)
Comment Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss
03/05/2019 Order -
Order - ORDR (CRM)
Comment Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Suppress LG Cellular Phone
03/12/2019 Opposition -
Opposition - OPPS (CRM)
Comment State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss
03/19/2019 Motion to Dismiss -
Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Continued
03/19/2019 All Pending Motions -
Minutes - All Pending Motions
Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Matter Heard Comment All Pending Motions (3/19/2019) Parties Present -Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel 03/20/2019 Reply to Opposition -Reply to Opposition Comment Reply to State's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss 03/25/2019 Opposition -Opposition - OPPS (CRM) Comment State's Supplemental Opposition to Defendant s Motion to Suppress Filed 07/13/16, Supplemental Motion to Suppress Facebook Evidence Filed 10/17/16, Motion in Limine to Exclude Facebook Messages Filed 10/10/17, and Second Supplemental Motion to Suppress Facebook Evidence Filed 03/23/18 03/25/2019 Supplemental Witness List -Supplemental Witness List - SLOW (CRM) Comment State's Third Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses 03/26/2019 Calendar Call -Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay Hearing Time 9:00 AM Result Matter Heard 03/26/2019 All Pending Motions -All Pending Motions Judicial Officer

Holthus, Mary Kay

Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Result Matter Heard	
Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Attorney: Beckett, Robert S.	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.	
03/28/2019 Status Check -	
Minutes - Status Check	
Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Result Matter Heard	
Comment Status Check: Voir Dire Questions	
Parties Present	
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel 03/28/2019 Motion ▼	
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel 03/28/2019 Motion ▼ Motion Comment Ex Parte Motion for Expedited Production of Transcripts of Bench Conference	
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel 03/28/2019 Motion ▼ Motion Comment Ex Parte Motion for Expedited Production of Transcripts of Bench	
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel 03/28/2019 Motion ▼ Motion Comment Ex Parte Motion for Expedited Production of Transcripts of Bench Conference	
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel 03/28/2019 Motion ▼ Motion Comment Ex Parte Motion for Expedited Production of Transcripts of Bench Conference 04/01/2019 Jury Trial ▼	
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel 03/28/2019 Motion ▼ Motion Comment Ex Parte Motion for Expedited Production of Transcripts of Bench Conference 04/01/2019 Jury Trial ▼ Minutes - Jury Trial	
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel 03/28/2019 Motion ▼ Motion Comment Ex Parte Motion for Expedited Production of Transcripts of Bench Conference 04/01/2019 Jury Trial ▼ Minutes - Jury Trial Minutes - Jury Trial	
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel 03/28/2019 Motion ▼ Motion Comment Ex Parte Motion for Expedited Production of Transcripts of Bench Conference 04/01/2019 Jury Trial ▼ Minutes - Jury Trial Minutes - Jury Trial Minutes - Jury Trial	
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel 03/28/2019 Motion • Motion Comment Ex Parte Motion for Expedited Production of Transcripts of Bench Conference 04/01/2019 Jury Trial • Minutes - Jury Trial Minutes - Jury Trial Minutes - Jury Trial Minutes - Jury Trial	

Minutes - Jury Trial
Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay
Hearing Time 1:00 PM
Result Continued
Parties Present▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel
Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.
04/01/2019 Amended Information -
Amended Information
Comment Amended Information
04/01/2019 Filed Under Seal -
Comment Document Filed Under Seal
04/01/2019 Filed Under Seal ▼
Comment Document Filed Under Seal
04/02/2019 Order -
Order - ORDR (CRM)
Comment Order Denying Defendant's Supplemental Motion to Suppress Cellular Evidence
04/02/2019 Order -
Order - ORDR (CRM)
Comment Order Denying Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Facebook Messages

Drder - ORDR (CRM)	
Comment	
Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss	
04/02/2019 Jury List 🝷	
Jury List	
04/09/2019 Verdict -	
/erdict	
04/09/2019 Instructions to the Jury -	
nstructions to the Jury	
04/18/2019 Motion -	
Minutes - Motion	
Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Result Moot	
Comment Ex Parte Motion for Expedited Production of Transcripts of Bench Conference	า
Parties Present▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
04/22/2019 Motion -	
Notion	
Comment Motion for Production of Transcripts	
04/22/2019 Notice of Motion -	
Notice of Motion	

Minutes - Motion	
Minutes - Motion	
Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Result Matter Continued	
Comment Motion for Production of Transcripts	
Parties Present▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
05/21/2019 PSI	-
06/04/2019 Sentencing -	
Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Cancel Reason Vacated - per Judge	
06/06/2019 Status Check -	
Minutea - Status Chask	
Minutes - Status Check Judicial Officer	
Holthus, Mary Kay	
Hearing Time 9:00 AM	
Result Matter Heard	
Comment STATUS CHECK: MOTIONS	
Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon	
Plaintiff: State of Nevada	
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel	
06/06/2019 Motion for Production of Transcript -	

1448

Motion for Production of Transcript - MPOT (CRM)
Comment
Order for Transcript
06/06/2019 Motion for Production of Transcript -
Motion for Production of Transcript - MPOT (CRM)
Comment Order for Transcripts of Multiple Hearing Dates
06/27/2019 Sentencing -
Minutes - Sentencing
Minutes - Sentencing
Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Matter Continued
Parties Present ▲
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel
Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.
Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A. 06/27/2019 Motion for Production of Transcript ▼
Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A. 06/27/2019 Motion for Production of Transcript ▼ Motion for Production of Transcript - MPOT (CRM) Comment
Plaintiff: State of Nevada Attorney: Martinez, Samuel Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A. 06/27/2019 Motion for Production of Transcript ▼ Motion for Production of Transcript - MPOT (CRM) Comment Order for Transcript (Bench Conference) - January 29, 2019
Plaintiff: State of Nevada         Attorney: Martinez, Samuel         Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.         06/27/2019 Motion for Production of Transcript ▼         Motion for Production of Transcript - MPOT (CRM)         Comment         Order for Transcript (Bench Conference) - January 29, 2019         06/28/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Plaintiff: State of Nevada         Attorney: Martinez, Samuel         Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.         06/27/2019 Motion for Production of Transcript ▼         Motion for Production of Transcript - MPOT (CRM)         Comment         Order for Transcript (Bench Conference) - January 29, 2019         06/28/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼         Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)         Comment         Recorder's Transcript Re: Partial Transcript - Bench Conference -
Plaintiff: State of Nevada         Attorney: Martinez, Samuel         Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.         06/27/2019 Motion for Production of Transcript ▼         Motion for Production of Transcript - MPOT (CRM)         Comment         Order for Transcript (Bench Conference) - January 29, 2019         06/28/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼         Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)         Comment         Recorder's Transcript Re: Partial Transcript - Bench Conference - January 29, 2019
Plaintiff: State of Nevada         Attorney: Martinez, Samuel         Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.         06/27/2019 Motion for Production of Transcript ▼         Motion for Production of Transcript ▼         Motion for Production of Transcript - MPOT (CRM)         Comment         Order for Transcript (Bench Conference) - January 29, 2019         06/28/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼         Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)         Comment         Recorder's Transcript Re: Partial Transcript - Bench Conference - January 29, 2019         07/09/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼

07/09/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -

Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: Jury Trial - Day 2 - April 2, 2019
07/09/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: Jury Trial - Day 3 - April 3, 2019
07/09/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: Jury Trial - Day 4 - April 4, 2019
07/09/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: Jury Trial - Day 5 - April 5, 2019
07/09/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: Jury Trial - Day 6 - April 8, 2019
07/09/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: Jury Trial - Day 7 - April 9, 2019
07/25/2019 Status Check ▼
Minutes - Status Check
Minutes - Status Check
Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Matter Continued
Comment STATUS CHECK: PSI/ VICTIM SPEAKER

Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Rhoades, Kristina A.
08/19/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - May 26, 2016
08/19/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - May 31, 2016
08/19/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions
08/19/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - June 28, 2016
08/19/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - September 29, 2016
08/19/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - September 25, 2018
08/19/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment

Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - November 8,

2018
08/19/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - November 29, 2018
09/03/2019 Notice of Appeal (criminal) -
Notice of Appeal (criminal)
Comment Notice of Appeal
09/05/2019 Confirmation of Counsel -
Minutes - Confirmation of Counsel
Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay
Hearing Time 9:00 AM
Result Matter Continued
Parties Present  Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel
09/05/2019 Order Denying Motion -
Order - ORDR (CRM)
Comment Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Insufficient Information
09/05/2019 Judgment of Conviction -
Judgment of Conviction - JOC (CRM)
Comment Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial)

09/05/2019 Case Appeal Statement -

Case Appeal Statement - ASTA (CRM)

Comment Case Appeal Statement

09/10/2019 Status Check -

Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay

Hearing Time 9:00 AM

Result Matter Continued

Comment STATUS CHECK: SUPPLEMENTAL PSI

09/10/2019 All Pending Motions -

Minutes - All Pending Motions

Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay

Hearing Time 9:00 AM

Result Matter Continued

Parties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon

Plaintiff: State of Nevada

Attorney: Martinez, Samuel

09/10/2019 PSI - Supplemental PSI

09/12/2019 All Pending Motions -

Minutes - All Pending Motions

Judicial Officer Holthus, Mary Kay

Hearing Time 9:00 AM

Result Matter Heard

Parties Present -

Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
Attorney: Valencia, Mario D
Plaintiff: State of Nevada
Attorney: Martinez, Samuel
09/19/2019 Order Appointing Counsel -
Order Appointing Counsel - OAC (CRM)
Comment Order Appointing Appellate Counsel
09/23/2019 Notice of Entry of Order -
Notice of Entry of Order - NEOJ (CRM)
Comment Notice of Entry of Order Appointing Appellate Counsel
10/14/2019 Request ▼
Request - REQT (CRM)
Comment Request for Transcript of Proceedings
10/14/2019 Request ▼
Request - REQT (CRM)
Comment Request for Transcript of Proceedings
12/06/2019 Criminal Order to Statistically Close Case -
Criminal Order to Statistically Close Case - COSCC (CRM)
Comment Criminal Order to Statistically Close Case
12/12/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - February 12, 2019

12/12/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - February 19, 2019	
12/12/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: Status Check: Filing of State's Order - February 26, 2019	
12/12/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: Status Check: Filing of State's Order - March 7, 2019	
12/12/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - March 19, 2019	
12/12/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - March 26, 2019	
12/12/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: Status Check: Voir Dire Questions - March 28, 2019	
12/12/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: Ex Parte Motion for Expedited Production of Transcripts of Bench Conference - April 18, 2019	
12/12/2010 Recorders Transprint of Hearing	

12/12/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -

R	omment ecorder's Transcript Re: Motion for Production of Transcripts - lay 14, 2019
12/1	2/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Reco	orders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
R	omment ecorder's Transcript Re: Motion for Production of Transcripts - lay 30, 2019
12/1	2/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Reco	orders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
-	omment ecorder's Transcript Re: Status Check: Motions - June 6, 2019
12/1	2/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Reco	orders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
-	omment ecorder's Transcript Re: Sentencing - June 27, 2019
12/1	2/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Reco	orders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
R	omment ecorder's Transcript Re: Status Check: PSI/Victim Speaker - Jy 25, 2019
12/1	2/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Reco	orders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
R	omment ecorder's Transcript Re: Status Check: PSI/Victim Speaker - ugust 27, 2019
12/1	2/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing 👻
Rec	orders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
-	omment ecorder's Transcript Re: Sentencing - September 3, 2019
12/1	2/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
	orders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)

Recorder's Transcript Re: Confirmation of Counsel - September

5, 2019	
12/12/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: Confirmation of Counsel; Status Check: Motions/Supplemental PSI - September 10, 2019	
12/12/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: Confirmation of Counsel; Status Check: Supplemental PSI - September 12, 2019	
12/12/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - January 29, 2019	
Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - January 29,	
Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - January 29, 2019	
Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - January 29, 2019	
Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - January 29, 2019 12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼ Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: CALENDAR CALL	
Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - January 29, 2019 12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼ Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: CALENDAR CALL HEARD ON JUNE 11, 2015	
Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - January 29, 2019 12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼ Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: CALENDAR CALL HEARD ON JUNE 11, 2015 12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼	
Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - January 29, 2019 12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼ Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: CALENDAR CALL HEARD ON JUNE 11, 2015 12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼ Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: CALENDAR CALL	
Recorder's Transcript Re: All Pending Motions - January 29, 2019 12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼ Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: CALENDAR CALL HEARD ON JUNE 11, 2015 12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼ Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: CALENDAR CALL HEARD ON AUGUST 20, 2015	

12/13/2019	Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders -	Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
	nt DER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: CALENDAR CALL. ON JANUARY 12, 2016
12/13/2019	Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders -	Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
DISCOV	nt DER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: STATUS CHECK: 'ERY EXCHANGE EXCEPT THE 9-1-1 CALL. HEARD UARY 26, 2016
12/13/2019	Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
	Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
DISCOV	nt DER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: STATUS CHECK: 'ERY EXCHANGE EXCEPT THE 9-1-1 CALL. HEARD RUARY 2, 2016
12/13/2019	Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders -	Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
	nt DER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING IS. HEARD ON FEBRUARY 5, 2016
12/13/2019	Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders <sup>-</sup>	Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
APPOIN	nt DER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: STATUS CHECK: TMENT OF COUNSEL/DISCOVERY. HEARD ON 10, 2016
12/13/2019	Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders	Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
	nt DER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: STATUS CHECK: TRIAL DATE. HEARD ON APRIL 7, 2016
12/13/2019	Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders	Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)

Comment

https://www	.clarkco
RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION TO WITHDRAW COUNSEL. HEARD ON MAY 24, 2016	
12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING MOTIONS. HEARD ON JUNE 14, 2016	
12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, BOOKS, PAPERS, RESULTS, OR TANGIBLE OBJECTS. HEARD ON JULY 28, 2016	
12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING MOTIONS. HEARD ON AUGUST 4, 2016	
12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING MOTIONS. HEARD ON NOVEMBER 3, 2016	
12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING MOTIONS. HEARD ON NOVEMBER 29, 2016	
12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -	
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)	
Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING MOTIONS. HEARD ON JANUARY 17, 2017	
12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -	

Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment
RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: DEFENDANT'S
PRO PER MOTION TO SUPPRESS CELLULAR EVIDENCE.
HEARD ON FEBRUARY 21, 2017
12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment
RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: STATUS CHECK:
EVIDENTIARY HEARING. HEARD ON MAY 2, 2017
12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Describes Transmith of the science DTDANI (ODM)
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment
RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: EVIDENTIARY
HEARING. HEARD ON MAY 4, 2017
12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment
RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: AT REQUEST OF
COURT. HEARD ON MAY 16, 2017
12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment
Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING
MOTIONS. HEARD ON JUNE 15, 2017
12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment
RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING
MOTIONS. HEARD ON JULY 18, 2017
12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -
Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
Comment
RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: FURTHER
PROCEEDINGS. HEARD ON AUGUST 10, 2017
12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing

12/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing -

	Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING MOTIONS. HEARD ON SEPTEMBER 5, 2017
12	2/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Re	ecorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
	Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING MOTIONS. HEARD ON OCTOBER 17, 2017
12	2/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Re	ecorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
	Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING MOTIONS. HEARD ON NOVEMBER 16, 2017
12	2/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Re	ecorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
	Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING MOTIONS. HEARD ON NOVEMBER 30, 2017
12	2/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Re	ecorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
	Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING MOTIONS. HEARD ON DECEMBER 28, 2017
12	2/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Re	ecorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
	Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: MOTIONS/EVIDENTIARY HEARING. HEARD ON JANUARY 16, 2018
12	2/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing ▼
Re	ecorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
	Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING MOTIONS. HEARD ON MARCH 23, 2018

	Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING MOTIONS. HEARD ON MAY 4, 2018
12	/13/2019 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <
Re	ecorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)
	Comment RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: ALL PENDING MOTIONS. HEARD ON JUNE 15, 2018
03	/04/2020 Order for Production of Inmate -
O	der for Production of Inmate - OPI (CRM)
	Comment Order for Production of Inmate
03	/26/2020 Status Check 🔻
Mi	nutes - Status Check
	dicial Officer olthus, Mary Kay
	earing Time ::00 PM
	esult atter Heard
SI	omment TATUS CHECK: CLARIFICATION OF AGGREGATE TOTAL ENTENCE
	arties Present ▲ Defendant: Miles, Christian Stephon
I	Plaintiff: State of Nevada
	Attorney: Martinez, Samuel
03	/26/2020 Amended Judgment of Conviction -
Ar	nended Judgment of Conviction - AJOC (CRM)
	Comment Amended Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial)

## **Documents Criminal Bindover** Information Order for Production of Inmate Initial Arraignment Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses Calendar Call Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing 5/7/15 Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses Amended Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses Calendar Call Calendar Call Calendar Call Status Check Status Check Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record and Appointment of Counsel Request for an Order Shortening Time All Pending Motions Status Check Status Check: Reset Trial Date Motion to Withdraw Counsel Notice of Motion Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses Motion All Pending Motions Order All Pending Motions Order Hearing Order All Pending Motions All Pending Motions All Pending Motions Notice of Motion Motion for Production of Documents, Books, Papers, Results, or **Tangible Objects** Motion in Limine Notice of Motion Motion to Suppress

Notice of Motion
Opposition to Defendant's Motion For Production Of Documents,
Books, Papers, Results Or Tangible Ob
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine
Motion to Suppress
Notice of Motion
Motion to Suppress
Notice of Motion
State's Opposition to Defendant's Two Motions to Suppress, Both Filed On July 26, 2016
Motion
Order Appointing Investigator
All Pending Motions
Motion to Dismiss
Notice of Motion
Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss
All Pending Motions
Supplemental Motion to Suppress Facebook Evidence
Notice of Motion
Order
All Pending Motions
All Pending Motions
Notice Of Motion
Motion To Suppress Cellular Evidence
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Cellular Evidence
Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses [NRS 174.234]
All Pending Motions
Motion to Suppress
Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of Juvenile Records
Status Check
All Pending Motions
Status Check
Motion to Suppress LG Phone
Notice of Motion
Evidentiary Hearing
Opposition - OPPS
At Request of Court
All Pending Motions
All Pending Motions
All Pending Motions
Further Proceedings

Notice of Motion - NOTM Motion to Dismiss - MDSM Notice - NOTC All Pending Motions Notice of Motion - NOTM Motion in Limine - MLIM Opposition to Motion - OPPM Opposition to Motion - OPPM **Opposition - OPPS** Notice of Motion - NOTM Motion in Limine - MLIM All Pending Motions Notice of Motion - NOTM Motion in Limine - MLIM **Opposition - OPPS** Order - ORDR **Opposition - OPPS** Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN All Pending Motions Receipt of Copy - ROC (CRM) Minutes - All Pending Motions Notice of Motion - NOTM (CRM) Supplemental - SUPP (CRM) **Opposition - OPPS (CRM)** Minutes - All Pending Motions Minutes - Status Check Minutes - All Pending Motions Notice of Motion - NOTM (CRM) Motion to Suppress - MSPR (CRM) Notice of Motion - NOTM (CRM) Motion - MOT (CRM) Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Order for Production of Inmate - OPI (CRM) Reply - RPLY (CRM) Opposition - OPPS (CRM) **Opposition - OPPS (CRM)** Minutes - All Pending Motions Supplemental Decision and Order - DAO (CRM) Minutes - Decision

Motion in Limine - MLIM

1465 4/2/2020, 12:14 PM Petition for Writ of Mandamus - PMAN (CRM) Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Minutes - All Pending Motions Notice of Motion - NOTM (CRM) Motion to Dismiss - MDSM (CRM) Decision and Order - DAO (CRM) Minutes - Decision **Opposition - OPPS (CRM) Opposition - OPPS (CRM)** Minutes - All Pending Motions Reply Notice of Motion - NOTM (CRM) Motion to Suppress - MSPR (CRM) Reply to Opposition - ROPP (CRM) Reply to Opposition - ROPP (CRM) **Opposition - OPPS (CRM)** Minutes - All Pending Motions Motion to Dismiss - MDSM (CRM) Notice of Motion - NOTM (CRM) Motion to Dismiss All Pending Motions Minutes - Discovery Conference **Opposition - OPPS (CRM)** Minutes - All Pending Motions Reply to Opposition Minutes - All Pending Motions Decision Minutes - All Pending Motions Motion Order - ORDR (CRM) **Opposition - OPPS (CRM)** Minutes - Status Check

Minutes - Status Check Reply to Opposition Minutes - All Pending Motions **Opposition - OPPS (CRM)** Supplemental Witness List - SLOW (CRM) All Pending Motions Motion Minutes - Status Check Amended Information Order - ORDR (CRM) Order - ORDR (CRM) Order - ORDR (CRM) Jury List Minutes - Jury Trial Minutes - Jury Trial Verdict Instructions to the Jury Minutes - Jury Trial Motion Notice of Motion Minutes - Motion Minutes - Motion Minutes - Motion Motion for Production of Transcript - MPOT (CRM) Motion for Production of Transcript - MPOT (CRM) Minutes - Status Check Motion for Production of Transcript - MPOT (CRM) Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Minutes - Sentencing Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Minutes - Status Check Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)

Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Minutes - Status Check Notice of Appeal (criminal) Minutes - Sentencing Order - ORDR (CRM) Judgment of Conviction - JOC (CRM) Case Appeal Statement - ASTA (CRM) Minutes - Confirmation of Counsel Minutes - All Pending Motions Order Appointing Counsel - OAC (CRM) Minutes - All Pending Motions Notice of Entry of Order - NEOJ (CRM) Request - REQT (CRM) Request - REQT (CRM) Criminal Order to Statistically Close Case - COSCC (CRM) Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM)

Recorders Transcript of Hearing - RTRAN (CRM) Order for Production of Inmate - OPI (CRM) Amended Judgment of Conviction - AJOC (CRM) Minutes - Status Check