

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK OF THE COURT

REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER 200 LEWIS AVENUE, 3rd FI. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155-1160 (702) 671-4554 Electronically Filed Dec 30 2020 01:27 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Anntoinette Naumec-Miller Court Division Administrator

Steven D. Grierson Clerk of the Court

December 30, 2020

Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of the Court 201 South Carson Street, Suite 201 Carson City, Nevada 89701-4702

> RE: STATE OF NEVADA vs. RAMON MURIL DORADO S.C. CASE: 79556 D.C. CASE: C-17-323098-1

Dear Ms. Brown:

Pursuant to your Order of Limited Remand, dated August 17, 2020, Order, dated December 2, 2020 and Order Granting Motion, dated December 8, 2020, enclosed is a certified copy of the Order Denying Motion to Dismiss for Pre-Indictment Delay and Lack of Jurisdiction After Limited Remand from Nevada Supreme Court filed December 29, 2020 in the above referenced case. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me at (702) 671-0512.

Sincerely, STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT

eather Ungering

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk

$\wedge l$	Electronically Filed 12/29/2020 11:54 AM
Æ	uns Aun
•	CLERK OF THE COURT

		CLERK OF THE COURT	
ORDR STEVEN P. WOLESON			
Clark County District Attorney			
GENEVIEVE CRAGGS			
Nevada Bar #013469			
Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212			
(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff			
CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA		
THE STATE OF NEVADA,			
Plaintiff,			
-VS-	CASE NO:	C-17-323098-1	
RAMON MURIL DORADO,	DEPT NO:	XXIX	
Defendant.			
INDICTMENT DELAY AND LA	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR PRE- INDICTMENT DELAY AND LACK OF JURSIDICTION AFTER		
LIMITED REMAND FROM	NEVADA SUPRI	<u>EME COURT</u>	
DATE OF HEARING: TIME OF HEARI	DECEMBER 22 NG: CHAMBER	, 2020 S	
THIS MATTER having presented before	ore the above entitl	led Court on the 22nd day of	
DECEMBER, 2020; Parties not present; the C	Court having review	ved and decided the matter in	
Chambers based upon the pleadings, and with	good cause appea	ring,	
//			
//			
//			
//			
//			
//			
\\CLARKCOUNTYDA.NET\CRMCASE2\201	7\207\03\201720703C-ORDR	R-(DORADO RAMON 12 22 2020)-001.DOCX	
	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 GENEVIEVE CRAGGS Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #013469 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, -vs- RAMON MURIL DORADO, #1673321 Defendant. ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'' INDICTMENT DELAY AND LA LIMITED REMAND FROM DATE OF HEARING: TIME OF HEARING: TIME OF HEARING DATE OF HEARING THIS MATTER having presented befo DECEMBER, 2020; Parties not present; the C Chambers based upon the pleadings, and with // //	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #013469 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, -vs- RAMON MURIL DORADO, #1673321 ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO I INDICTMENT DELAY AND LACK OF JURSID LIMITED REMAND FROM NEVADA SUPRI DATE OF HEARING: CHAMBER 2 THE OF HEARING: CHAMBER THIS MATTER having presented before the above entit DECEMBER, 2020; Parties not present; the Court having review Chambers based upon the pleadings, and with good cause appea //	

THE COURT FINDS that the Nevada Supreme Court holding in <u>Wyman v. State</u>, 125 Nev. 592, 217 P.3d 572 (2009) is consistent with the principles set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>United States v. Lovasco</u>, 431 U.S. 783, 97 S. Ct. 2044 (1977) and the Ninth Circuit in <u>United States v. DeGeorge</u>, 380 F.3d 1204 (9th Cir. 2004). The Nevada Supreme Court adopted an abuse of discretion standard of review on appeal because the test for analyzing pre-indictment delay challenges is factually intense. <u>Id</u>. at 600, 271 P.3d at 578. The Court held in <u>Wyman</u> that, in order to succeed on such a challenge, the accused must show: "1) that he or she suffered actual, nonspeculative prejudice from the delay; and 2) that the prosecution intentionally delayed bringing the charges in order to gain a tactical advantage over the accused, or that the prosecution delayed in bad faith." <u>Id</u>. at 601, 217 P.3d at 578 (citing <u>United States v. Lovasco</u>, 431 U.S. 783, 784, 97 S. Ct. 2044 (1977) and <u>U.S. v.</u> <u>DeGeorge</u>, 380 F.3d 1203, 1210-11 (9th Cir. 2004)). This court finds that the test put forth in <u>Wyman</u> is taken directly from the analysis by the United States Supreme Court in <u>Lovasco</u>, and is not inconsistent with the test put forth by the Ninth Circuit in <u>DeGeorge</u>.

THE COURT FINDS that Defendant was unable to satisfy either prong under <u>Wyman</u>. Pursuant to the limited remand from the Nevada Supreme Court, this Court conducted an evidentiary hearing.

Defendant failed to show actual, nonspeculative prejudice. The Court found no evidence that the delay prejudiced the Defendant. The instant case focused on the testimony of the victim at the time of the alleged sexual assault, which was an act witnessed only by the parties. Defendant was unable to present evidence that would have changed the outcome of the case based on the testimony of the victim. Moreover, there was not any loss of material evidence the Defendant can point to that would have led to his acquittal.

As far as the second prong in the <u>Wyman</u> decision, there was no valid proof of a violation of any printed policy or procedures at the time of the investigation. Defendant fails to show that the State or investigating agency acted in bad faith or to gain a tactical advantage.

//

//

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PRE-		
2	INDICTMENT DELAY AND LACK OF JURISDICTION shall be and is Denied.		
3	Dated this 29th day of December, 2020 DATED this day of December, 2020		
4			
5	DISTRICT JUDGE		
6	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney E89 FD9 916D 364F		
7	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565E89 FD9 916D 364F David M Jones District Court Judge		
8			
9	BY GENEVIEVE CRAGGS		
10	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #13469		
11			
12			
13			
14 15			
15 16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	December 30, 2020		
23	INTATES OF		
24	ARICT COLLET		
25			
26	THE ALE AND A TH		
27	CERTIFIED COPY		
28	GCC/hjc/SVU ELECTRONIC SEAL (NRS 1.190(3))		
	3		
	\\CLARKCOUNTYDA.NET\CRMCASE2\2017\207\03\201720703C-ORDR-(DORADO RAMON 12 22 2020)-001.DOCX		

1	CSERV			
2		DISTRICT COURT		
3	CL	ARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
4				
5				
6	State of Nevada	CASE NO: C-17-323098-1		
7	VS	DEPT. NO. Department 29		
8	Ramon Dorado			
9				
10	AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
11	This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District			
12	Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all			
13	recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:			
14	Service Date: 12/29/2020			
15	Dept 20 Law Clerk	Dept20LC@clarkcountycourts.us		
16	Chris Howell	christopher.howell@clarkcountynv.gov		
17	howard conrad	howard.conrad@clarkcountyda.com		
18	linda mason	linda.mason@clarkcountyda.com		
19	Ann McMahan	mcmahaae@clarkcountynv.gov		
20	Thomas Pitaro	kristine.fumolaw@gmail.com		
21 22	Thomas Pitaro	pitaro@gmail.com		
23	Elizabeth Mercer	elizabeth.mercer@clarkcountyda.com		
24	Motions Clark County DA	Motions@clarkcountyda.com		
25	Jacob Villani	Jacob.villani@clarkcountyda.com		
26	Bryan Schwartz	bryan.schwartz@clarkcountyda.com		
27				
28				

1	Genevieve Crags	genevieve.c.craggs@clarkcountyda.com
2	Michael Lasher	michaellasher2@gmail.com
3 4	DC29 BOX	DC29INBOX@CLARKCOUNTYCOURTS.US
5	DC LC	DEPT29LC@CLARKCOUNTYCOURTS.US
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
24 25		
23 26		
20		
28		