

1 **IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

2
3 JASWINDER SINGH,

4 Petitioner,

5 vs.

6 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
7 COURT, CLARK COUNTY,
8 NEVADA, AND THE HONORABLE
9 SANDRA POMRENZE, DISTRICT
10 JUDGE

11 Respondent,

12 and

13 RAJWANT KAUR,

14 Real Party in Interest.

-COA
No.: 79591

ERRATA TO WRIT PETITION

Electronically Filed
Sep 12 2019 06:00 p.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

FILED

OCT 01 2019

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

15 F. Peter James, Esq., counsel for Petitioner, hereby submits this Errata to
16 the Writ Petition.

17 Dated this 12th day of September, 2019

18 /s/ *F. Peter James*

19 _____
20 LAW OFFICES OF F. PETER JAMES

F. Peter James, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 10091

3821 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 250

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

702-256-0087

Counsel for Petitioner

1 **DECLARATION OF F. PETER JAMES, ESQ.**

2 F. Peter James, Esq. declares and states as follows:

- 3 1. I am counsel for Petitioner, Jaswinder Singh.
- 4 2. I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of Nevada.
- 5 3. I am competent and willing to testify in a court of law as to the facts
- 6 contained herein.
- 7 4. I have knowledge of factual errors made Writ Petition filed earlier today.
- 8 5. On page 3, line 14 and on page 6, line 8, I drafted that the parties would
- 9 each become retroactive bigamists if the Decree of Divorce were set aside.
- 10 I have learned this to be partially untrue.
- 11 6. Though counsel for the Real Party in Interest did confirm that both parties
- 12 remarried after the entry of the Decree of Divorce at issue (PA at 164:2),
- 13 this was actually not factually correct.
- 14 7. In preparation for trial today and as was discussed at trial today, Petitioner
- 15 never remarried. Real Party in Interest, however, did remarry. (PA at 15).
- 16 8. As such, I rescind any reference to Petitioner having remarried and to both
- 17 parties becoming retroactive bigamists if the district court grants the
- 18 motion to set aside and if a stay (to be requested separately) is not issued.
- 19 9. Only the Real Party in Interest would retroactively become a bigamist.

20 ///

1 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to
2 the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.
3

4 /s/ F. Peter James

September 12, 2019

5 NAME

DATE

1

2

4

10

11

12

13