## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROMAN HILDT,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
RICHARD SCOTTI, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
CITY OF HENDERSON,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 79605

NOV 1 5 2019

CLERKOF SUPPLEME COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DIRECTING ANSWER

This petition for a writ of mandamus challenges the district court's denial of petitioner's appeal from a misdemeanor conviction and determination that he was not entitled to a jury trial for the offense of misdemeanor domestic battery. In light of our recent decision in Andersen v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 135 Nev., Adv. Op. 42, \_\_\_ P.3d \_\_\_ (Sept. 12, 2019) (determining that a defendant charged with misdemeanor domestic battery has the right to a jury trial), we have determined that an answer would assist the court in resolving the petition. Accordingly, the real party

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To the extent that petitioner also sought habeas relief, a petition for a writ of habeas corpus is the improper method of challenging the district court's decision on appeal. See NRAP 22.

in interest, on behalf of respondents, shall have 21 days from the date of this order to file an answer, including authorities, against issuance of the requested writ.

It is so ORDERED.

Hillow, C.J.

cc: Hon. Richard Scotti, District Judge Aisen Gill & Associates LLP Attorney General/Carson City Henderson City Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk