

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

OSCAR A. STANLEY,

Appellant,

vs.

A

JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUPREME COURT DEPUTY CLERK

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 39775

JUN 24 2002

JANETTE M. BLOOM

DEPUTY CLERK

SUPREME COURT

FILED

ORIGINAL

DOCKETING STATEMENT CRIMINAL APPEALS

(Including pretrial and post-conviction habeas corpus and petitions for post-conviction relief)

GENERAL INFORMATION

1.	Judicial District	Eighth	County Clark	
	Judge Donald M. Mosle	V	District Ct. Docket No.	C180446

2. If the defendant was given a sentence,

(a) what is the sentence? <u>Defendant was sentenced as a habitual criminal to the</u> <u>Nevada Department of Corrections; as to Count I: LIFE without the possibility of</u> <u>parole; Count II: a MAXIMUM term of Forty-eight (48) Months with a MINIMUM parole</u> <u>eligibility of Twelve (12) Months and pay \$500 Restitution; Count VI: a MAXIMUM term</u> <u>of One Hundred Eighty (180) Months with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of (See back)</u>

(b)	has	the sentence beer	n stayed pending appeal?	No
			ed to bail pending appeal?	No

3. Was trial or post conviction counsel appointed <u>XXX</u> or retained ______

4. Attorney filing this docketing statement:

Attorney	Lauren R. Diefenbach	 455-4576
Firm	Clark County Public Defender's Office	 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	309 S. Third St., #226	N
	Las Vegas, NV 89155	
Client(s)_	Oscar A. Stanley	

If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names and addresses of other counsel on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.

5. Attorney(s) representing respondent(s):

Attorney	Stewart L. Bell	Telephone 455-47	41
Firm	Clark County District Attorney's		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Address	200 S. Third St.		
	Las Vegas, NV 89155		
Client(s)	The State of Nevada		
Attorney	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Telephone	
Cilless VE	<u> </u>	·	1
Widdress <u> </u>		s.	
JUN 242002			
JUN Z 4 ZUUZ			
	/List additional councel on some	who should if nonconnyl	

Nature of disposition	n below:		
Judgment after	bench trial		Grant of pretrial habeas
🕅 🔰 Judgment after	jury verdict		Grant of motion to suppress evidence
Judgment upon	guilt plea		Post-conviction relief (NRS ch. 177)
Grant of pretr	ial motion to dismiss		□ grant □ denial
Parole/Probati	on revocation		Post-conviction habeas (NRS ch. 34)
Motion for new	trial		□ grant □ denial
🛛 grant 🗖	denial		Other disposition (specify)
Motion to with	draw guilty plea		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
🛛 grant 👘 🗖	denial		4
🗴 life sentence	· 🗖	-	nile offender rial proceedings
Expedited appeals: The Are you in favor of p			te the appellate process in this matter
Yes No <u>XX</u> _			
	proceedings presently	or pre	t the case name and docket number of a eviously pending before this court whic als by co-defendants, appeal after post
are related to this conviction proceeding			
are related to this			

N/A

defendants):

11. Nature of action: Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

Defendant was charged by way of Amended Information to the following counts: 2 counts of Robbery (Felony - N.R.S. 200.380); 1 count Larceny from the Person (Felony - N.R.S. 205.270); 2 counts of Burglary (Felony - N.R.S. 205.060); 1 count Grand Larceny Auto (Felony - N.R.S. 205.228); 1 count Attempt Murder with Use of a Deadly Weapon (Felony - N.R.S. 200.010, 193.330, 193.165); 1 count Mayhem (Felony - N.R.S. 200.280); 1 count Attempt Robbery, Victim over 65 years of age (Felony - N.R.S. 200.380, 193.167, 193.330), 1 count Attempt Grand Larceny (Felony -N.R.S. 205.220, 205.222, 193.330), 1 count Battery with Deadly Weapon causing Substantial Bodily Harm.

After a jury trial, Defendant was found guilty of 2 counts of Robbery, 1 count of Attempt Robbery, Victim over 65 years of age, 1 count of Mayhem, 1 count Larceny from the Person, 1 count Battery with a Deadly Weapon causing Substantial Bodily Harm, 1 count Unlawful Taking of an Automobile (a Gross Misdemeanor), and 1 count of Attempt Unlawful Taking of an Automobile, a misdemeanor.

After post-trial motions, the Court dismissed the Unlawful Taking of an Automobile and Attempt Unlawful Taking of an Automobile counts, and dismissed the Age Enhancement for the Attempt Robbery conviction.

12. No Merit Appeal. If appellant was the defendant below, does counsel intend to file an affidavit of no merit appeal pursuant to Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967) and Sanchez v. State, 85, Nev. 95, 450 P.2d 793 (1969):

Yes____ No<u>XX</u>

13. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal:

- 1. That the Court committed reversible error when it denied the Defendant's Motion to Suppress his Statement.
- 2. That the Court committed reversible error when it denied the Defendant's counsel the right to ask the pre-submitted voir dire questions.
- 3. That the Court committed reversible error when it permitted the State to dismiss a black juror using a peremptory challenge that this was a <u>Batson</u> violation.
- 4. That the Court committed reversible error when it dismissed a black juror who arrived in Court about 15 minutes late on the first day before jury selection began - that this violated the Defendant's constitutional right to a jury of his peers.
- 5. That the Court committed reversible error when it sustained the State's objection to defense counsel's explanation of reasonable doubt during Closing Argument.
- 6. That the Court committed reversible error when it responded incorrectly to the jury's question about whether the State needed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Defendant took the wallet AND lawful money of the U.S. as it was charged in the Amended Information.
- 7. That the Court committed reversible error by permitting both the mayhem and Battery with a Deadly Weapon causing Substantial Bodily Harm convictions to stand when they are clearly duplicitous.
- 14. **Constitutional issues.** If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?

N/A<u>X</u> Yes____ No____ If not, explain____

15. **Issues of first-impression or of public interest.** Does this appeal present a substantial legal issue of first-impression in this jurisdiction or one affecting an important public interest?

 First-impression:
 Yes_____
 No XX____

 Public interest:
 Yes_____
 No XX____

16. Length of trial. If this action proceeded to trial in the district court, how many days did the trial last?

_____days

17. **Oral argument.** Would you object to submission of this appeal for disposition without oral argument?

Yes<u>X</u> No____

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

- 18. Date district court announced decision, sentence or order appealed from May 10, 2002
- 19. Date of entry of written judgment or order appealed from_____ June 4, 2002

(a) If no written judgment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for seeking appellate review:

20. If this appeal is from an order granting or denying a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, indicate the date written notice of entry of judgment or order was served_____

(a) Was service by delivery or by mail_____(specify).

21. If the time for filing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion,

(a) Specify the type of motion, and the date of filing of the motion:

Arrest judgmentDate filedNew trialDate filed(newly discovered evidence)New trialDate filed(other grounds)Date filed

(b) Date of entry of written order resolving motion____

22. Date notice of appeal filed 06/07/02

23. Specify statue or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal, e.g., NRAP 4(b), NRS 34.710, NRS 34.815, NRS 177.015(2), or other <u>NRAP 4(b)</u>

SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY

24. Specify statute, rule or other authority which grants this court jurisdiction to review the judgment or order appealed from:

NRS	177.015(1)(b)	N
NRS	177.015(2)	N
NRS	177.055	N
NRS	177.385	0

NRS 34.710(3)	
NRS 34.710(4)	
NRS 34.815	
Other (specify)	NRS 177.015(3)

VERIFICATION

I certify that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Oscar A. Stanley Name of appellant

Lauren	R.	Diefenbach		
Name	of	counsel	or	record

Signature of counsel of record

06/20/02 Date

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 20th day of June, 2002, I served a copy of this completed docketing statement upon all counsel of record:



by personally serving it upon him/her; or DA Appellate

by mailing it by first class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following address(es):

Dated this 20th day of June, 2002.

Signature