Case No. 79652

In the Supreme Court of Nevada

BARRICK GOLDSTRIKE MINES, INC.,
Petitioner,

us.

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Clark; and the Honorable ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ, District Judge,

Respondents,

and

BULLION MONARCH MINING, INC.,

Real Party in Interest.

Electronically Filed Feb 10 2020 07:00 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

District Court Case No. A785913

MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL VOLUME 6 OF BULLION'S APPENDIX TO ANSWER

Real party in interest Bullion Monarch Mining, Inc. moves this Court for an order sealing portions of its appendix. SRCR 3(1).

Tab 10 of Volume 6 to the appendix is Bullion's "Opposition to Renewed Motion to Dismiss," filed in United States District Court Case

No. 3:09-cv-00612-MMD-WGC. In candor, Bullion does not see any reason to maintain the confidentiality of this document. The redacted portions of the publicly-filed version cited to an appendix that has since been publicly filed in the Ninth Circuit. (1/3/20 Order to Publicly File

Appendix Volumes, Exhibit A.) Bullion submits it under seal here out of an abundance of caution, since it was originally filed pursuant to a protective order in that action.

Tabs 11–14 were filed under seal in the district court in furtherance of a protective order entered under NRCP 26(c). SRCR 3(4)(b). The parties' stipulated protective order (Exhibit B) and the orders sealing those particular papers (Exhibit C) are attached.

Dated this 10th day of February, 2020.

LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP

By: /s/ Abraham G. Smith

DANIEL F. POLSENBERG (SBN 2376)

JOEL D. HENRIOD (SBN 8492)

ABRAHAM G. SMITH (SBN 13,250)

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway,

Suite 600

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

(702) 949-8200

Attorneys for Bullion Monarch Mining, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on February 10, 2020, I submitted the foregoing Mo-TION TO FILE UNDER SEAL VOLUME 6 OF BULLION'S APPENDIX TO AN-SWER for filing *via* the Court's eFlex electronic filing system. Electronic notification will be sent to the following:

Michael R. Kealy
Ashley C. Nikkel
PARSONS BEHLE
& LATIMER
50 West Liberty Street,
Suite 750
Reno, NV 89501

Brandon J. Mark
PARSONS BEHLE
& LATIMER
201 S. Main Street,
Suite 1800
Salt Lake City, UT
84111

James J. Pisanelli
Debra L. Spinelli
Dustun H. Holmes
Kirill V. Mikhaylov
PISANELLI BICE PLLC
400 South 7th Street,
Suite 300
Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorneys for Barrick Goldstrike Mines, Inc.

Attorneys for Barrick Gold Corporation

Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez
Department 11
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

<u>/s/Lisa M. Noltie</u>
An Employee of Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

Case: 18-17246, 01/03/2020, ID: 11550080, DktEntry: 30, Page 1 of 1

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JAN 3 2020

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

BULLION MONARCH MINING, INC.,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

BARRICK GOLDSTRIKE MINES, INC.,

Defendant-Appellee.

No. 18-17246

D.C. No. 3:09-cv-00612-MMD-WGC District of Nevada, Reno

ORDER

On December 9, 2019, appellant submitted the opening brief and Volumes 8 and 9 of the excerpts of record provisionally under seal, accompanied by notices of intent to publicly file the documents pursuant to Ninth Circuit Rule 27-13(f). No other party has filed a motion to file or maintain under seal the opening brief and Volumes 8 and 9 of the excerpts of record. Consequently, the Clerk shall publicly file the notices, the opening brief, and all volumes of appellant's excerpts of record. The existing briefing schedule remains in effect.

FOR THE COURT:

MOLLY C. DWYER CLERK OF COURT

By: Mary Hurley Deputy Clerk Ninth Circuit Rule 27-7

EXHIBIT B

EXHIBIT B

Electronically Filed 5/15/2019 4:17 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

CLAYTON P. BRUST (SBN 5234) 1 KENT ROBISON (SBN 1167) ROBISON, SHARP, SULLIVAN & BRUST, P.C. 71 Washington Street Reno, Nevada 89503 3 $(775)^{'}329-3151$ (775) 329-7941 (Fax) 4 CBrust@RSSBLaw.com 5 DANIEL F. POLSENBERG (SBN 2376) JOEL D. HENRIOD (SBN 8492) 6 Abraham G. Smith (SBN 13,250) LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP 7 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 8 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5996 (702) 949-8200 (702) 949-8398 (Fax) 9 DPolsenberg@LRRC.com JHenriod@LRRC.com 10 ASmith@LRRC.com 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff 12

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

BULLION MONARCH MINING, INC.,

Plaintiff.

us.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

BARRICK GOLDSTRIKE MINES, INC.; BARRICK_GOLD EXPLORATION INC.; ABX FINANCECO INC.; BARRICK GOLD CORPORATION; and DOES 1 through 20, Defendants.

Case No.: A-19-785913-B

Dep't No.: XI

STIPULATED CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT AND PROTECTIVE ORDER

Plaintiff Bullion Monarch Mining, Inc. ("Plaintiff" or "Bullion") and defendants Barrick Goldstrike Mines, Inc., Barrick Gold Exploration Inc., ABX Financeco Inc., and Barrick Gold Corporation (collectively the "Defendants" or "Barrick") hereby stipulate that the handling of confidential material in these proceedings shall be governed by the provisions set forth below:

Applicability of this Protective Order: Subject to Section 21 1. below, this Protective Order does not and will not govern any trial proceedings

4835-4654-6326v1

28 Lewis Roca 2

in this action but will otherwise be applicable to and govern the handling of documents, depositions, deposition exhibits, interrogatory responses, responses to requests for admissions, responses to requests for production of documents, and all other discovery obtained pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure or other legal process by or from, or produced on behalf of, a party or witness in connection with this action (this information hereinafter shall be referred to as "Discovery Material"). As used herein, "Producing Party" or "Disclosing Party" shall refer to the parties and nonparties that give testimony or produce documents or other information in connection with this action; "Receiving Party" shall refer to the parties in this action that receive such information, and "Authorized Recipient" shall refer to any person or entity authorized by Sections 12, 13, and 14 of this Protective Order to obtain access to Confidential Information, Highly Confidential Information, or the contents of such Discovery Material.

- 2. **Designation of Information:** Any Producing Party may designate Discovery Material that is in its possession, custody, or control produced to a Receiving Party as "Confidential," "Highly Confidential," or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the terms of this Protective Order if the Producing Party in good faith reasonably believes that such Discovery Material contains nonpublic, confidential information as defined in Sections 4 and 5 below.
- 3. Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material for Protection: Each Producing Party that designates information or items for protection under this Protective Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. Indiscriminate designations are prohibited.
- 4. Confidential Information: For purposes of this Protective Order, "Confidential Information" means any information that constitutes, reflects, or discloses nonpublic information, trade secrets, know-how, or other financial, proprietary, commercially sensitive, confidential business, marketing, regul-

atory, or strategic information (regarding business plans or strategies, technical data, and nonpublic designs), and/or similarly sensitive information of the type contemplated by Rule 26(c) of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, the disclosure of which the Producing Party believes in good faith might reasonably jeopardize law enforcement, result in safety, security or operational injury, economic or competitive, or business injury to the Producing Party (or its affiliates, personnel, or clients) and which is not publicly known and cannot be ascertained from an inspection of publicly available sources, documents, material, or devices. Confidential Information shall also include sensitive personal information that is not otherwise publicly available, such as home addresses; social security numbers; dates of birth; employment personnel files; medical information; home telephone records/numbers; employee disciplinary records; wage statements or earnings statements; employee benefits data; tax records; financial institution account numbers, and other similar personal financial information. A party may also designate as "CONFIDENTIAL" compilations of publicly available discovery materials, which would not be known publicly in a compiled form.

- 5. Highly Confidential Information: For purposes of this Protective Order, Highly Confidential Information is any Confidential Information as defined in Section 4 above that also includes extremely sensitive, highly confidential, nonpublic information, consisting either of trade secrets or proprietary or other highly confidential business, financial, regulatory, private, or strategic information (including information regarding business plans, technical data, and nonpublic designs), the disclosure of which would create a substantial risk of competitive, business, or personal injury to the Producing Party. A party may re-designate material originally "CONFIDENTIAL" as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" by giving notice of such a re-designation to all parties.
 - 6. Attorneys' Eyes Only Information: For the purposes of this

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

 24 25

26

27

Protective Order, Attorneys' Eyes Only Information is any Confidential or Highly Confidential Information as defined in Sections 4 or 5 above, the disclosure of which would result in harm of a safety, security, or operational nature. A party may re-designate material originally "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" as "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" by giving notice of such a re-designation to all parties.

7. Designating Confidential Information, Highly Confidential Information or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information. If any party in this action determines in good faith that any information, documents, things, or responses produced in the course of discovery in this action should be designated as Confidential Information, Highly Confidential Information, or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information (the "Designating Party"), it shall advise any party receiving such material of this fact, and all copies of such documents, things, or responses, or portions thereof deemed to be confidential shall be marked "CONFIDENTIAL," "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" or "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" (whether produced in hard copy or electronic form) at the expense of the designating party and treated as such by all parties. A Designating Party may inform another party that a document is Confidential, Highly Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only by providing the Bates number of the document in writing. If Confidential, Highly Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information is produced via an electronic form on a computer readable medium (e.g., CD-ROM), other digital storage medium, or via electronic transmission, the Producing Party or Designating Party shall affix in a prominent place on the storage medium or container file on which the information is stored, and on any container(s) for such medium, the legend "Includes CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION,", "Includes HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION" or "Includes ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." Nothing in this section shall extend confidentiality or the protections associated therewith to any information

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

1718

1920

21 22

 $\frac{23}{24}$

25 oc

26 27

28

Lewis Roca

that does not otherwise constitute "Confidential Information," "Highly Confidential Information," or "Attorneys' Eyes Only Information" as defined in Sections 4, 5, or 6 herein.

- 8. Redaction Allowed: Any Producing Party may redact from the documents or things it produces matter that the Producing Party claims is subject to the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, a legal prohibition against disclosure, or any other privilege from disclosure. Any Producing Party also may redact information that is both personal and nonresponsive, such as a social security number. A Producing Party may not withhold nonprivileged, responsive information solely on the grounds that such information is contained in a document that includes privileged information. The Producing Party shall mark each redaction with a legend stating "REDACTED," and include an annotation indicating the specific reason for the redaction (e.g., "REDACTED - Work Product"). All documents redacted based on attorney-client privilege, work product immunity, deliberative process privilege or any other claim of privilege shall be listed in an appropriate log in conformity with Nevada law and Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5). Where a document consists of more than one page, the page on which information has been redacted shall so be marked. The Producing Party shall preserve an unredacted version of such document.
- 9. Use of Confidential Information, Highly Confidential Information, or Attorneys' Eyes Only. Except as provided herein, Confidential Information, Highly Confidential Information, and Attorneys' Eyes Only designated or marked shall be maintained in confidence, used solely for the purposes of this action, to the extent not otherwise prohibited by an order of the Court, shall be disclosed to no one except those persons identified herein in Sections 12, 13, and 14 and shall be handled in such manner until such designation is removed by the Designating Party or by order of the Court. Confidential, Highly

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

17

16

18 19

2021

22 23

24

25

2627

28 ewis Roca.

Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only information produced by another party shall not be used by any Receiving Party for any commercial, competitive, operational, or personal purpose. Nothing in this Protective Order shall govern or restrict a Producing Party's use of its own Confidential, Highly Confidential, Attorneys' Eyes Only Information in any way.

- 10. Once the Court enters this Protective Order, a party shall have thirty (30) days to designate as Confidential or Highly Confidential any documents previously produced in this action, which it can do by stamping "CONFIDENTIAL," "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL," or "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" on the document, or informing the other parties of the Bates numbers of the documents so designated.
- 11. Use of Confidential Information, Highly Confidential Information, Attorneys' Eyes Only in Depositions. Counsel for any party shall have the right to disclose Confidential or Highly Confidential Information at depositions, provided that such disclosure is consistent with this Protective Order, including Sections 10 and 11. Any counsel of record may request that all persons not entitled under Sections 12, 13, or 14 of this Protective Order to have access to Confidential Information, Highly Confidential Information, or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information leave the deposition room during the confidential portion of the deposition. Failure of such other persons to comply with a request to leave the deposition shall constitute substantial justification for counsel to advise the witness that the witness need not answer the question where the answer would disclose Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information. Additionally, at any deposition session, (1) upon inquiry with regard to the content of any discovery material(s) designated or marked as "CONFIDENTIAL," "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL," or "ATTORNEYS" EYES ONLY;" (2) whenever counsel for a party deems that the answer to a question may result in the disclosure or revelation of Confidential, Highly

Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information; and/or (3) whenever counsel for a party deems that the answer to any question has resulted in the disclosure or revelation of Confidential, Highly Confidential Information, or Attorneys' Eyes Only, counsel to any party may designate portions of a deposition transcript and/or video of any deposition (or any other testimony) as containing Confidential, Highly Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information in accordance with this Order by a statement on the record during the deposition or by notifying all other parties in writing, within thirty (30) calendar days of receiving the transcript or video that it contains Confidential, Highly Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information and designating the specific pages, lines, and/or counter numbers as containing Confidential, Highly Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information. If a designation is made via a statement on the record during a deposition, counsel must follow up in writing within thirty (30) calendar days of receiving the transcript or video, identifying the specific pages, lines, and/or counter numbers containing the Confidential or Highly Confidential Information. If no confidentiality designations are made within the thirty calendar (30) day period, the entire transcript shall be considered non-confidential. During the thirty (30) day period, the entire transcript and video shall be treated as Confidential Information (or Highly Confidential Information or Attorneys' Eyes Only). All originals and copies of deposition transcripts that contain Confidential Information, Highly Confidential Information, or Attorneys' Eyes Only shall be prominently marked "CONFIDENTIAL," "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" or "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" on the cover thereof and, if and when filed with the Court, the portions of such transcript so designated shall be filed under seal. Counsel must designate portions of a deposition transcript as "CONFIDENTIAL," "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL," or "ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY" within thirty calendar (30) days of receiving the transcript. Any DVD or other digital storage medium containing Confidential or Highly

Confidential deposition testimony shall be labeled in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.

- 12. Persons Authorized to Receive Confidential Information.

 Confidential Information produced pursuant to this Protective Order may be disclosed or made available only to the Court, its employees, other court personnel, any discovery referee, mediator or other official who may be appointed by the Court, and to the persons below:
 - (a) A party, or officers, directors, employees, and agents of a party deemed necessary by counsel to aid in the prosecution, defense, or settlement of this action;
 - (b) Counsel for a party (including in-house attorneys, outside attorneys associated with a law firm(s) of record, and paralegal, clerical, and secretarial staff employed by such counsel);
 - (c) Persons retained by a party to provide litigation support services (photocopying, videotaping, translating, preparing exhibits or demonstrations, organizing, storing, retrieving data in any form or medium, etc.);
 - (d) Consultants or expert witnesses (together with their support staff) retained for the prosecution or defense of this litigation, provided that such an expert or consultant is not a current employee of a direct competitor of a party named in this action;
 - (e) Court reporter(s) and videographers(s) employed in this action;
 - (f) Any authors or recipients of the Confidential Information;
 - (g) A witness at any deposition or other proceeding in this action, who shall sign the Confidentiality Agreement attached as "Exhibit A" to this Protective Order before being shown a confidential document; and
 - (h) Any other person as to whom the parties in writing agree or that the Court in these proceedings so designates.

Any person to whom Confidential Information is disclosed pursuant to

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

formation is being disclosed pursuant to an order of the Court, that the information may not be disclosed by such person to any person not permitted to have access to the Confidential Information pursuant to this Protective Order, and that any violation of this Protective Order may result in the imposition of such sanctions as the Court deems proper. Any person to whom Confidential Information is disclosed pursuant to subpart (c), (d), (g) or (h) of this section shall also be required to execute a copy of the form Exhibit A. The persons shall agree in writing to be bound by the terms of this Protective Order by executing a copy of Exhibit A (which shall be maintained by the counsel of record for the party seeking to reveal the Confidential Information) in advance of being shown the Confidential Information. No party (or its counsel) shall discourage any persons from signing a copy of Exhibit A. If a person refuses to execute a copy of Exhibit A, the party seeking to reveal the Confidential Information shall seek an order from the Court directing that the person be bound by this Protective Order. In the event of the filing of such a motion, Confidential Information may not be disclosed to such person until the Court resolves the issue. Proof of each written agreement provided for under this Section shall be maintained by each of the parties while this action is pending and disclosed to the other parties upon good cause shown and upon order of the Court.

subparts (a) through (g) hereinabove shall be advised that the Confidential In-

13. Persons Authorized to Receive Highly Confidential Information. "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" documents and information may be used only in connection with this case and may be disclosed only to the Court and the persons listed in subsections (b) to (e) and (g) to (h) of Section 10 above, but shall not be disclosed to a party, or an employee of a party, unless otherwise agreed or ordered. With respect to sub-section (f) of Section 10 above, the parties will consider disclosure of Highly Confidential Information to an author or

28 ewis Roca

Lewis Roca

recipient on a case-by-case basis. Any person to whom Highly Confidential Information is disclosed pursuant to sub-sections (c), (d), (g) or (h) of Section 10 above shall also be required to execute a copy of the form Exhibit A.

- 14. Persons Authorized to Receive Attorneys' Eyes Only Information. "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" documents and information may be used only in connection with this case and may be disclosed only to outside counsel for a party. Any person to whom ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Information is disclosed shall also be required to execute a copy of the form Exhibit A.
- 15. Filing of Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information With the Court. Any party seeking to file or disclose materials designated as Confidential Information, Highly Confidential Information, or Attorneys' Eyes Only with the Court in this Action must seek to file such Confidential, Highly Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information under seal pursuant to Rule 3 of the Nevada Rules for Sealing and Redacting Court Recond the department of occasion. Sealing and Redacting Court Recond the department of occasion. The Designating Party will have the burden to provide the Court with any information necessary to support the designation as Confidential Information.
- 16. Notice to Nonparties. Any party issuing a subpoena to a nonparty shall enclose a copy of this Protective Order and advise the nonparty that it may designate any Discovery Material it produces pursuant to the terms of this Protective Order, should the nonparty producing party wish to do so. This Order shall be binding in favor of nonparty designating parties to the maximum extent permitted by law. Any nonparty invoking the Protective Order shall comply with, and be subject to, all applicable sections of the Protective Order.
- 17. Knowledge of Unauthorized Use or Possession. If a party receiving Confidential Information, Highly Confidential Information, or Attorneys' Eyes Only learns of any possession, knowledge, use or disclosure of any Confidential Information, Highly Confidential Information, or Attorneys' Eyes

Lewis Roca ROTH GERBER CHRISTIE Only Information in violation of the terms of this Protective Order, the Receiving Party shall immediately notify in writing the party that produced the Confidential Information, Highly Confidential Information or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information. The Receiving Party shall promptly furnish the Producing Party the full details of such possession, knowledge, use or disclosure. With respect to such unauthorized possession, knowledge, use or disclosure the Receiving Party shall assist the Producing Party in remedying the disclosure (e.g., by retrieving the Confidential Information from an unauthorized recipient) and/or preventing its recurrence.

- 18. Copies, Summaries or Abstracts. Any copies, summaries, abstracts or exact duplications of Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information shall be marked "CONFIDENTIAL," "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL," or "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," and shall be considered Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information subject to the terms and conditions of this Protective Order.
- 19. Information Not Confidential. The restrictions set forth in this Protective Order shall not be construed to apply to any information or materials that:
- (a) Were lawfully in the Receiving Party's possession prior to such information being designated as Confidential, Highly Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information in this action, and that the Receiving Party is not otherwise obligated to treat as confidential;
- (b) Were obtained without any benefit or use of Confidential, Highly Confidential Information, or Attorneys' Eyes Only, from a third party having the right to disclose such information to the Receiving Party without restriction or obligation of confidentiality;
- (c) Were independently developed after the time of disclosure by persons who did not have access to the Producing Party's Confidential, Highly

9

5

10 11

13 14

12

16 17

15

18

19 20

21

2223

2425

2627

28 Lewis Roca Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only, Information;

- (d) Have been or become part of the public domain by publication or otherwise and not due to any unauthorized act or omission on the part of a Receiving Party; or
 - (e) Under law, have been declared to be in the public domain.
- 20. Challenges to Designations. Any party may object to the designation of Confidential Information, Highly Confidential Information, or Attorneys' Eyes Only, on the ground that such information does not constitute Confidential Information, Highly Confidential, or Attorneys Eyes' Only Information by serving written notice upon counsel for the Producing Party within sixty (60) calendar days of the date the item(s) was designated, specifying the item(s) 3 in question and the grounds for the objection. If a party objects to the designation of any materials as Confidential Information, Highly Confidential Information, or Attorneys' Eyes Only, the party challenging the designation shall arrange for an EDCR 2.34 conference to be held within ten (10) calendar days of service of a written objection to the designation to attempt to informally resolve the dispute. If the parties cannot resolve the matter, the party challenging the designation may file a motion with the Court to resolve the dispute. Such motions must be filed within ten (10) calendar days of the EDCR 2.34 conference. This Protective Order will not affect the burden of proof on any such motion, or impose any burdens upon any party that would not exist had the Protective Order not been entered; as a general matter, the burden shall be on the person making the designation to establish the propriety of the designation. Any contested information shall continue to be treated as confidential and subject to this Protective Order until such time as such motion has been ruled upon.
- 21. Use in Court. If any Confidential, Highly Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information is used in any pretrial Court proceeding in this action, it shall not necessarily lose its confidential status through such use, and

Lewis Roca ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE the party using such information shall take all reasonable steps consistent with the Nevada Supreme Court Rules Governing Sealing and Redacting Court Records to maintain its confidentiality during such use.

- 22. No Waiver. This Protective Order is entered solely for the purpose of facilitating the exchange of documents and information among the parties to this action without involving the Court unnecessarily in the process. Nothing in this Protective Order, nor the production of any information or document under the terms of this Protective Order, nor any proceedings pursuant to this Protective Order shall be deemed to be a waiver of any rights or objections to challenge the authenticity or admissibility of any document, testimony or other evidence at trial. Additionally, this Protective Order will not prejudice the right of any party or nonparty to oppose production of any information on the ground of attorney-client privilege; work product doctrine or any other privilege or protection provided under the law.
- 23. Reservation of Rights. The parties each reserve the right to seek or oppose additional or different protection for particular information, documents, materials, items or things. This Stipulation shall neither enlarge nor affect the proper scope of discovery in this Action. In addition, this Stipulation shall not limit or circumscribe in any manner any rights the Parties (or their respective counsel) may have under common law or pursuant to any state, federal, or foreign statute or regulation, and/or ethical rule.
- 24. Inadvertent Failure to Designate. The inadvertent failure to designate information produced in discovery as Confidential, Highly Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only, shall not be deemed, by itself, to be a waiver of the right to so designate such discovery materials as Confidential, Highly Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information. Within a reasonable time of learning of any such inadvertent failure, the Producing Party shall notify all Receiving Parties of such inadvertent failure and take such other steps as necessary to

Lewis Roca ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE correct such failure after becoming aware of it. Disclosure of such discovery materials to any other person prior to later designation of the discovery materials in accordance with this section shall not violate the terms of this Protective Order. However, immediately upon being notified of an inadvertent failure to designate, all parties shall treat such information as though properly designated, and shall take any actions necessary to prevent any future unauthorized disclosure, use, or possession.

- 25. No Waiver of Privilege: Disclosure (including production) of information that a party or nonparty later claims was inadvertent and should not have been disclosed because of a privilege, including, but not limited to, the attorney-client privilege or work product doctrine ("Privileged Information"), shall not constitute a waiver of, or estoppel as to, any claim of attorney-client privilege, attorney work product, or other ground for withholding production as to which the Disclosing or Producing Party would be entitled in this action.
- Party hereby agrees to promptly return, sequester, or destroy any Privileged Information disclosed or produced by Disclosing or Producing Party upon request by Disclosing or Producing Party regardless of whether the Receiving Party disputes the designation of Privileged Information. The Receiving Party may sequester (rather than return or destroy) such Privileged Information only if it contends that the information itself is not privileged or otherwise protected and it challenges the privilege designation, in which case it may only sequester the information until the claim of privilege or other protection is resolved. If any party disputes the privilege claim ("Objecting Party"), that Objecting Party shall object in writing by notifying the Producing Party of the dispute and the basis therefore. The parties thereafter shall meet and confer in good faith regarding the disputed claim within ten (10) court days after service of the written objection. In the event that the parties do not resolve their dispute, the

Lewis Roca OTHIGERBER CHRISTIE Objecting Party may bring a motion for a determination of whether a privilege applies within ten (10) court days of the meet and confer session, but may only contest the asserted privileges on ground other than the inadvertent production of such document(s). In making such a motion, the Objecting Party shall not publicly disclose the content of the document(s) at issue, but may file the information under seal and/or refer to the information contained on the privilege log. Nothing herein shall relieve counsel from abiding by applicable ethical rules regarding inadvertent disclosure and discovery of inadvertently disclosed privileged or otherwise protected material. The failure of any party to provide notice or instructions under this Paragraph shall not constitute a waiver of, or estoppel as to, any claim of attorney-client privilege, attorney work product, or other ground for withholding production as to which the Disclosing or Producing Party would be entitled in this action.

- 27. Inadvertent Production of Non-Discoverable Documents. If a Producing Party inadvertently produces a document that contains no discoverable information, the Producing Party may request in writing that the Receiving Party return the document, and the Receiving Party will return the document. A Producing Party may not request the return of a document pursuant to this section if the document contains any discoverable information. If a Producing Party inadvertently fails to redact personal information (e.g., a social security number), the Producing Party may provide the Receiving Party a substitute version of the document that redacts the personal information, and the Receiving Party shall return the original, unredacted document to the Producing Party.
- 28. Return of Information. Within thirty (30) calendar days after the final disposition of this action, all Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material and/or Attorneys' Eyes Only Material produced by an opposing party or nonparty (including, without limitation, any copies, extracts or summaries

<u>Lewis</u> Roca thereof) as part of discovery in this action shall be destroyed by the parties to whom the Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material, and/or Attorneys' Eyes Only Material, was produced, and each counsel shall, by declaration delivered to all counsel for the Producing Party, affirm that all such Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material, and/or Attorneys' Eyes Only Material, (including, without limitation, any copies, extracts or summaries thereof) has been destroyed; provided, however, that each counsel shall be entitled to retain pleadings, motions and memoranda in support thereof, declarations or affidavits, deposition transcripts and videotapes, or documents reflecting attorney work product or consultant or expert work product, even if such material contains or refers to Confidential Material, Highly Confidential Material, and/or Attorneys' Eyes Only Material but only to the extent necessary to preserve a litigation file with respect to this action.

- 29. Attorneys' Fees. Nothing in this Protective Order is intended to either expand or limit a prevailing party's right under the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure or other applicable state or federal law to pursue costs and attorneys' fees incurred related to confidentiality designations or the abuse of the process described herein.
- 30. Injunctive Relief and Sanctions Available for Unauthorized Disclosure or Use of Confidential, Highly Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information. The Parties and/or nonparties shall not utilize any Confidential, Highly Confidential, and/or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information for their own personal and/or business advantage or gain, aside from purpose(s) solely related to the instant litigation. The Parties and nonparties acknowledge and agree that unauthorized use and/or disclosure of Confidential, Highly Confidential, and/or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information beyond this litigation shall subject the offending party or nonparty to sanctions contemplated in NRCP 37(b)(1), up to and including entry of judgment against the offending party in

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ties and/or nonparties receiving or being given access to Confidential, Highly Confidential, and/or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information acknowledge that monetary remedies would be inadequate to protect each party in the case of unauthorized disclosure or use of Confidential, Highly Confidential, and/or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information that the Receiving Party only received through discovery in this action and that injunctive relief would be necessary and appropriate to protect each party's rights in the event there is any such unauthorized disclosure or use of Confidential Highly Confidential, and/or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information. The availability of injunctive relief to protect against the unauthorized disclosure or use of Confidential, Highly Confidential, and/or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information shall not be exclusive.

circumstances involving willful disobedience with this order. Further, the Par-

31. Other Actions and Proceedings. If a Receiving Party (a) is subpoenaed in another action, investigation, or proceeding, (b) is served with a demand in another action, investigation, or proceeding, or (c) is served with any legal process by one not a party to this Protective Order, seeking materials which were produced or designated as Confidential, Highly Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes only pursuant to this Protective Order, the Receiving Party shall give prompt actual written notice by electronic transmission to counsel of record for such Producing Party within five (5) business days of receipt of such subpoena, demand or legal process, or such shorter notice as may be required to provide other parties with the opportunity to object to the immediate production of the requested discovery materials to the extent permitted by law. The burden of opposing enforcement of the subpoena shall fall upon the party or nonparty who produced or designated the Discovery Material as Confidential, Highly Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information. Unless the party or nonparty who produced or designated the Confidential, Highly Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only Information obtains an order directing that the subpoena

not be complied with, and serves such order upon the Receiving Party prior to 1 2 production pursuant to the subpoena, the Receiving Party shall be permitted to 3 produce documents responsive to the subpoena on the subpoena response date. The Receiving Party shall not produce documents responsive to the subpoena 4 until the subpoena response date. Compliance by the Receiving Party with any 5 6 order directing production pursuant to a subpoena of any Confidential, Highly 7 Confidential, or Attorneys' Eyes Only, Information shall not constitute a viola-8 tion of this Protective Order. Nothing in this Protective Order shall be con-9 strued as authorizing a party to disobey a lawful subpoena issued in another ac-10 tion. 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

32. Execution in Counterparts. This Protective Order may be signed in counterparts, and a fax or "PDF" signature shall have the same force and effect as an original ink signature.

33. Order Survives Termination. This Protective Order shall survive the termination of this action, and the Court shall retain jurisdiction to resolve any dispute concerning the use of information disclosed hereunder.

By:

Dated this 10th day of May, 2019.

ROBISON, SHARP, SULLIVAN & BRUST, P.C. By:

CLAYTON P. BRUST (SBN 5234) KENT ROBISON (SBN 1167) 71 Washington Street Reno, Nevada 89503

DANIEL F. POLSENBERG (SBN 2376) JOEL D. HENRIOD (SBN 8492) ABRAHAM G. SMITH (SBN 13,250) LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Attorneys for Plaintiff

PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER

MICHAEL R. KEALY (SBN 972) ASHLEY C. NIKKEL/(SBN 12.838) 50 W. Liberty Street, Suite 750 Reno, Nevada 89501 (775) 323-1601

MICHAEL P. PETROGEORGE (pro hac vice pending) Brandon J. Mark (pro hac vice pending) One Utah Center 201 South Main St, Ste. 1800 Salt Lake City, Utah 8411 (801) 536-6700

Attorneys for Defendants

28

ewis Roca

ORDER It is so ORDERED. Dated this Laday of May, 2019. Respectfully submitted by: LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP By: DANIEL F. POLSENBERG (SBN 2376) JOEL D. HENRIOD (SBN 8492) ABRAHAM G. SMITH (SBN 13,250) 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 CLAYTON P. BRUST (SBN 5234)
KENT ROBISON (SBN 1167)
ROBISON, SHARP, SULLIVAN & BRUST, P.C.
71 Washington Street
Reno, Nevada 89503 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Lewis Roca ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE

EXHIBIT A CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT

3	I, do hereby acknowledge and agree, under penalty					
4	of perjury, as follows:					
5	1. I have read the Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protec-					
6	tive Order ("Protective Order") entered in Bullion Monarch Mining, Inc. v. Bar					
7	rick Goldstrike Mines, Inc., et al., Eighth Judicial District Court Case No. A-18					
8	785913-B on, and I fully understand its contents.					
9	2. I hereby agree and consent to be bound by the terms of the Protec-					
10	tive Order and to comply with it in all respects, and to that end, I hereby know					
11	ingly and voluntarily submit and subject myself to the personal jurisdiction of					
12	the Eighth Judicial District Court of Nevada so that the said court shall have					
13	the power and authority to enforce the Protective Order and to impose appropr					
14	ate sanctions upon me for knowingly violating the Protective Order, including					
15	punishment for contempt of court for a knowing violation of the Protective Or-					
16	der.					
17	3. I understand that by signing this instrument, I will be eligible to re-					
18	ceive "Confidential Information" and/or "Highly Confidential Information" un-					
19	der the terms and conditions of the Protective Order. I further understand and					
20	agree that I must treat any "Confidential Information" and/or "Highly Confiden					
21	tial Information" in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Protective					
22	Order, and that, if I should knowingly make a disclosure of any such infor-					
23	mation in a manner unauthorized by the Protective Order, I will have violated a					
24	court order, will be in contempt of court, and will be subject to punishment by					
25	the court for such conduct.					
26	DATED:					
27	(Signature)					
28	(Printed Name)					

Lewis Roca

20

4835-4654-6326v1

(Address)

4835-4654-6326v1

Lewis Roca

EXHIBIT C

EXHIBIT C

Electronically Filed
1/23/2019 2:50 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

OGM 1 CLAYTON P. BRUST (SBN 5234) KENT ROBISON (SBN 1167) ROBISON, SIMONS, SHARP & BRUST, P.C. 71 Washington Street Reno, Nevada 89503 (775) 329-3151 4 (775) 329-7941 (Fax) CBrust@RSSBLaw.com 5 DANIEL F. POLSENBERG (SBN 2376) 6 JOEL D. HENRIOD (SBN 8492) ABRAHAM G. SMITH (SBN 13,250) LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5996 (702) 949-8200 (702) 949-8398 (Fax) 10 DPolsenberg@LRRC.com JHenriod@LRRC.com ASmith@LRRC.com 11 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff DISTRICT COURT 13 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 14 Case No.: A-18-785913-B BULLION MONARCH MINING, 15 INC., Dep't No.: 11 16 Plaintiff. ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SEAL AND REDACT COMPLAINT 17 US. Hearing Date: January 14, 2019 18 BARRICK GOLDSTRIKE MINES, Hearing Time: In Chambers INC.; BARRICK GOLD EXPLORATION INC.; ABX 19 FINANCECO INC.; BARRICK GOLD 20 CORPORATION; and DOES 1 through 20, 21 Defendants. 22 Plaintiff Bullion Monarch Mining, Inc.'s "Motion to Seal and Redact 23 24 Complaint" was advanced for an in-chambers hearing on January 14, 2019. Pursuant to EDCR 2.23, the Court decided the matter without the necessity of 25 26 oral argument. The Court finds that good cause exists to scall and redact the complaint, 27

Lewis Roca

as the proposed redaction is narrowly tailored to protect sensitive commercial

information. It is hereby ORDERED that plaintiff's motion is GRANTED. It is FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk will maintain the unredacted complaint, and the redacted complaint will be publicly filed. Dated this <u>2.2</u> day of January, 2019. ISTRICT COURT/INDGE Respectfully submitted by: LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP By: DANIEL F. POLSENBERG (SBN 2376) JOEL D. HENRIOD (SBN 8492) ABRAHAM G. SMITH (SBN 13,250) 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 CLAYTON P. BRUST (SBN 5234) KENT ROBISON (SBN 1167) ROBISON, SIMONS, SHARP & BRUST, P.C. 71 Washington Street Reno, Nevada 89503 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Lewis Roca

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Purchase/Sale of Stock, Assets, COURT MINUTES

August 30, 2019

A-18-785913-B

Bullion Monarch Mining Inc, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Barrick Goldstrike Mines Inc, Defendant(s)

August 30, 2019

3:00 AM

Motion to Seal Bullion's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment on Savings Statute (NRS 11.500) and Accompanying Appendix Volumes

HEARD BY: Gonzalez, Elizabeth COURTROOM: Chambers

COURT CLERK: Dulce Romea

PARTIES None. Minute order only – no hearing held.

PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Upon review of the papers and pleadings on file in this Matter, as proper service has been provided, this Court notes no opposition has been filed. Accordingly, pursuant to EDCR 2.20(e) the Motion to Seal the Opposition to the Motion for Summary Judgment on Savings Statute (NRS 11.500) and Accompanying Appendix Volumes is deemed unopposed. As the proposed redaction is narrowly tailored to protect sensitive commercial information, good cause appearing, COURT ORDERED, motion is GRANTED. Moving Counsel is to prepare and submit an order within ten (10) days and distribute a filed copy to all parties involved in this matter.

9-20-19 CHAMBERS MOTION TO SEAL AND REDACT PORTIONS OF GOLDSTRIKE'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND SUPPORTING DECLARATION OF BRANDON MARK (DEPT XI - Gonzalez)

11-21-19 9:00 AM STATUS CHECK: RESUMPTION OF SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE (DEPT XIII - Denton)

1-13-20 9:00 AM STATUS CHECK (DEPT XI - Gonzalez)

PRINT DATE: 09/03/2019 Page 1 of 2 Minutes Date: August 30, 2019

A-18-785913-B

3-26-20	9:30 AM	PRE TRIAL CON	FERENCE	(DEPT XI - Gonzalez)
4-14-20	9:30 AM	CALENDAR CAI	LL (DEPT)	XI - Gonzalez)
4-20-20	1:30 PM	JURY TRIAL	(DEPT XI - Go	nzalez)

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was distributed via Odyssey File and Serve. / dr 9-3-19

PRINT DATE: 09/03/2019 Page 2 of 2 Minutes Date: August 30, 2019

Electronically Filed 9/24/2019 9:30 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 OGM PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER 2 Michael R. Kealy, Nevada Bar No. 971 Ashley C. Nikkel, Nevada Bar No. 12838 3 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 750 Reno, Nevada 89501 4 Telephone: (775) 323-1601 MKealy@parsonsbehle.com 5 ANikkel@parsonsbehle.com 6 Kristine E. Johnson (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Brandon J. Mark (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) 7 201 South Main Street, Suite 1800 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 8 Telephone: (801) 532-1234 KJohnson@parsonsbehle.com 9 BMark@parsonsbehle.com 10 Attorneys for Defendant Barrick Goldstrike Mines, Inc. 11 DISTRICT COURT 12 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 14 Case No. A-18-785913-B BULLION MONARCH MINING, INC., Dept. No. XI Plaintiff, vs. Hearing Date: BARRICK GOLDSTRIKE MINES, INC.; BAR-RICK GOLD EXPLORATION INC.; ABX FI-Hearing Time: NANCECO INC.; BARRICK GOLD CORPORA-TION; and DOES 1 through 20, Defendants. **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SEAL AND REDACT PORTIONS OF REPLY IN SUPPORT OF GOLDSTRIKE'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND SECOND DECLARATION OF BRANDON MARK IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR

The Court has reviewed and considered Defendant Barrick Goldstrike Mines Inc.'s ("Goldstrike's") Motion to Seal and Redact Portions of Reply in Support of Goldstrike's Motion for Summary Judgment and Second Declaration of Brandon Mark in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment. Having evaluated the Motion and materials submitted by the parties and the record

PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

28214.005\4843-2640-8096v1

before the Court, and noting no opposition from Plaintiff Bullion Monarch Mining, Inc., the Court finds and orders as follows: 3 The Court finds that Goldstrike has satisfied the requirements of SRCR 3, as the sealing and redaction furthers a protective order entered in a related federal action. It is hereby ORDERED that the Motion to Seal and Redact Portions of Reply in Support of 6 Goldstrike's Motion for Summary Judgment and Second Declaration of Brandon Mark in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED. 9 It is FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall file Exhibits 5 and 7 of the Second 10 Declaration of Brandon Mark in Support Motion for Summary Judgment under seal. 11 It is FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall file the redacted version of the 12 Reply in Support of Goldstrike's Motion for Summary Judgment on the public docket, and shall 13 seal the original, unredacted version of the Reply. 14 Dated this 23 day of Sept., 2019 15 16 17 Respectfully submitted by: 18 PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER 19 20 Michael R. Kealy, Nevada Bar No. 971 Ashley C. Nikkel, Nevada Bar no. 12838 21 50 W. Liberty Street, Suite 750 Reno, Nevada 89501 22 Telephone: (775) 323-1601 MKealy@parsonsbehl.com 23 ANikkel@parsonsbehle.com 24 Kristine E. Johnson (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Brandon J. Mark (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) 25 201 South Main Street, Suite 1800 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 26 Telephone: (801) 532-1234 KJohnson@parsonsbehle.com 27 BMark@parsonsbehle.com

PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER

28

2

28214.005\4843-2640-8096v1

Attorneys for Defendant Barrick Goldstrike Mines, Inc.