1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Dominic P. Gentile, Esq. (NSBN 1923) Ross Miller, Esq. (NSBN 8190) John A. Hunt, Esq. (NSBN 1888) CLARK HILL, PLLC 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 ph. (702) 862-8300; fax (702) 862-8400 Email: dgentile@clarkhill.com Email: rmiller@clarkhill.com Email: jhunt@clarkhill.com Attorneys for Respondents (less Serenity Wellness Center, LLC and the State of Nevada, Department of Taxation	Electronically Filed May 27 2020 01:43 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court
9	IN THE SUPREME COURT	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
10 11	GREENMART OF NEVADA NLV LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; and Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC,	Supreme Court Case No.: 79668
12	Appellants,	District Court Case No.: A-19-786962-B
13	VS.	RESPONSE TO
14		NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY
15 16	SERENITY WELLNESS CENTER, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, TGIG, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, NULEAF INCLINE DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,	DISCLOSURE
17	NEVADA HOLISTIC MEDICINE, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, TRYKE	
18 19	COMPANIES SO NV, LLC a Nevada limited liability company, TRYKE	
20	COMPANIES RENO, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, PARADISE WELLNESS CENTER, LLC, a Nevada	
21	limited liability company, GBS NEVADA PARTNERS, LLC, a Nevada limited	
22	liability company, FIDELIS HOLDINGS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,	
23	GRAVITAS NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, NEVADA PURE,	
24	LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, MEDIFARM, LLC, a Nevada limited	
25	liability company; MEDIFARM, IV LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; and THE	
26	STATE OF NEVADA, DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION,	
27	Respondents.	

Respondents (less Serenity Wellness Center, LLC and the State of Nevada, Department of Taxation¹), by and through their attorneys, hereby submit this Response to the *Notice of Voluntary Disclosure* filed May 20, 2020 ("Notice"). This Response is made and based upon the following points and authorities and the papers and pleadings on file.

POINTS & AUTHORITIES

I. THE NOTICE

The Notice signed by Justice Silver provides, in pertinent part:

This is an appeal from a district court order granting a preliminary injunction. Tisha Black, Esq. is on my permanent recusal list filed with the Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Office. Ms. Black and the Black family are personal friends. Although I am unaware of the Black family's involvement in the pending case, if my participation in the above-entitled case could implicate other pending cases involving the Black family, I would ask the parties to make this court aware of any potential conflict or impact, or to make a motion to disqualify me from presiding over the above-entitled case based on those representations. The parties shall have 7 days from the date of this order to advise this court of any potential conflict or impact due to my participation in this case or file a motion for disqualification based on my disclosure.

<u>Id.</u>, pg. 2.

II. **DISCUSSION**

The Notice provides, in part, "Tisha Black, Esq. is on my [Justice Silver's] permanent recusal list filed with the Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Office. Ms. Black

¹ PARADISE WELLNESS CENTER, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company ("PWC"), is listed in the caption as a Respondent. However, at a hearing on July 2, 2019, in case number A-19-786962-B, in connection with the underlying Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file a first amended complaint, the district court granted PWC leave to withdraw as a Plaintiff.

and the Black family are personal friends." <u>Id.</u> Recusal lists are generally list of party's/attorneys whose appearance would disqualify a judge for the objective relationships/factors found in the Nevada Code of Judicial Conduct. <u>See</u> Rule 2.11(A)(2) or NRS 1.230(2).² Recusal list are generally to: 1) list names; 2) state the basis of disqualification under rule/law; and 3) be public, and be reviewed by the judge. <u>Millen v.</u> Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 122 Nev. 1245 (2006).

Rule 2.11 of Nevada Code of Judicial Conduct (NCJC) addresses disqualification. NCJC 2.11(A) provides "[a] judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to the following circumstances…." The "comments" to NCJC 2.11 provide, in pertinent part:

Under this Rule, a judge is disqualified whenever the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, regardless of whether any of the specific provisions of paragraphs (A)(1) through (6) apply. For example, if a judge were in the process of negotiating for employment with a law firm, the judge would be disqualified from any matters in which that law firm appeared, unless the disqualification was waived by the parties after disclosure by the judge.

Id. (Emphasis added.)

NCJC 2.11, adopted from the model rule, imposes a duty upon a judge to disqualify himself, or herself, in a proceeding where the judge's impartiality "*might* reasonably be questioned." (Emphasis added). Throughout the Code of Judicial Conduct, the "appearance of impropriety" - not actual impropriety or actual bias - is the standard.

The primary policy behind the Code of Judicial Conduct is "to promote public confidence in the judiciary." <u>Hogan v. Warden, Ely State Prison</u>, 112 Nev. 553, 558, 916 P.2d 805, 808 (1996). Because fundamental fairness requires an absence of actual bias in

² It is recognized that NRS 1.230 is inapplicable to Justices of the Supreme Court.

the consideration of a case or appeal, and "because the legal system has always sought to prevent even the probability of unfairness, due process may sometimes require a trial judge who has no actual bias to recuse himself or herself from a case where that judge's hearing of the case would create the appearance of partiality." People v. Hall, 157 I11.2d 324, 626 N.E.2d 131 (1993), rehearing denied January 31, 1994, cert. denied 130 L. Ed.2d 415, 115 S.Ct. 507 (1994).

The Notice provides, in part, "[t]he parties shall have 7 days from the date of this order to advise this court of any potential conflict or impact due to my participation in this case or file a motion for disqualification based on my disclosure." Id., pg. 2. Here, Tisha Black, Esq. is the founding partner of the law firm Black & LoBello. Black & LoBello represents Defendant in Intervention, Clear River LLC, in the now consolidated district court matter captioned "In Re: DOT LITIGATION" from which the above-captioned appeal originates. As the Notice reflects, this is an appeal from a district court order granting a preliminary injunction. The Appellants, in part, seek to have the preliminary injunction reversed or set aside. The Black & LoBello client, Defendant in Intervention, Clear River LLC, would benefit if the district court's order granting preliminary injunction were reversed, set aside, or limited.

III. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, pursuant to the Notice, the preceding is provided to advise of the potential conflict or impact due to Justice Silver's participation in this case. In keeping with the Notice and the above, including the fact that Tisha Black, Esq. is on Justice

Silver's permanent recusal list filed with the Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Office, and that Tisha Black, Esq. and the Black family are personal friends of Justice Silver, it is submitted Justice Silver's impartiality might be reasonably questioned, and, therefore, Justice Silver should enter her disqualification relative to this appeal.

Respectfully submitted this 27th day of May, 2020.

CLARK HILL, PLLC

By: /s/ John A. Hunt, Esq.
Dominic P. Gentile, Esq. (NSBN 1923)
Ross Miller, Esq. (NSBN 8190)
John A. Hunt, Esq. (NSBN 1888)
3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Suite 500
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
ph. (702) 862-8300; fax (702) 862-8400

ph. (702) 862-8300; fax (702) 862-8400
Email: dgentile@clarkhill.com
Email: rmiller@clarkhill.com
Email: jhunt@clarkhill.com
Attorneys for Respondents
(less Serenity Wellness Center, LLC and the State of Nevada, Department of Taxation)

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that pursuant to NRAP 25(1)(d) on the 27th day of May 2020, I
3	
4	served a true and correct copy of the foregoing via the appellate CM/ECF electronic
5	filing system to all parties currently on the electronic service list.
6	
7	/s/ Tanya Bain
8	An employee of Clark Hill PLLC
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	