

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

Case No. 79669

GREENMART OF NEVADA NLV LLC,; and
NEVADA ORGANIC REMEDIES, LLC
Appellants/Cross-Respondents,

Electronically Filed
Apr 15 2020 11:05 a.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

v.

ETW MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC; GLOBAL HARMONY LLC; GREEN
LEAF FARMS HOLDINGS LLC; GREEN THERAPEUTICS LLC; HERBAL
CHOICE INC.; JUST QUALITY LLC; LIBRA WELLNESS CENTER LLC;
ROMBOUGH REAL ESTATE INC. D/B/A MOTHER HERB; NEVCANN LLC;
RED GARDENS LLC; THC NEVADA LLC; ZION GARDENS LLC; and
MMOF VEGAS RETAIL INC.,
Respondents/Cross-Appellants,

and

THE STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION,
Respondent,

Appeal from the Eighth Judicial District Court,
Clark County, Nevada
District Court Case # A-19-797004-B
The Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX – VOLUME 47

David R. Koch (NV Bar #8830)
Brody R. Wight (NV Bar #13615)
KOCH & SCOW LLC
11500 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 210
Henderson, NV 89052
Telephone: (702) 318-5040
Email: dkoch@kochscow.com, bwight@kochscow.com
Attorneys for Appellant Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC

INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
24	Amended Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	9/19/19	AA 005907 - AA 005933
7, 8	Clear River, LLC's Answer to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Complaint	5/7/19	AA 001739 - AA 001756
20	Clear River, LLC's Answer to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Corrected First Amended Complaint	7/26/19	AA 004981 - AA 004998
27	Clear River, LLC's Joinder to Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al.'s Opposition to Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	10/14/19	AA 006692 - AA 006694
8	Clear River, LLC's Joinder to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Opposition to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5/9/19	AA 001822 - AA 001829
20	Clear River, LLC's Joindr to Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Pocket Brief Regarding Regulatory Power Over Statutes Passed by Voter Initiative	6/24/19	AA 004853 - AA 004856
8	Clear River, LLC's Order Granting Motion to Intervene in Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-786962-B	5/8/19	AA 001820 - AA 001821
11	Compassionate Team of Las Vegas LLC's Joinder to Motions for Preliminary Injunction	5/17/19	AA 002695 - AA 002696
46	Court's Exhibit 3, Email From Attorney General's Office Regarding the successful Applicants' Complainece with NRS 453D.200(6)	n/a	AA 011406, AA 011407
24	CPCM Holdings, LLC d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace's Joinder to Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al.'s Opposition to Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	9/24/19	AA 005991 - AA 005996

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
27	CPCM Holdings, LLC, d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace et al.'s Joinder to Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al.'s Opposition to Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	10/10/19	AA 006681 - AA 006686
20	ETW Management Group, LLC et al.'s Answer to Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al. and CPCM Holdings, LLC, d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace et al.'s Counterclaim	7/11/19	AA 004925 - AA 004937
1, 2	ETW Management Group, LLC et al.'s Complaint	1/4/19	AA 000028 - AA 000342
2, 3	ETW Management Group, LLC et al.'s Errata to First Amended Complaint	2/21/19	AA 000427 - AA 000749
6	ETW Management Group, LLC et al.'s Joinder to Motions for Preliminary Injunction	5/6/19	AA 001355 - AA 001377
27	ETW Management Group, LLC et al.'s Notice of Cross Appeal	10/3/19	AA 006513 - AA 006515
18	ETW Management Group, LLC et al.'s Reply in support of Joinder to Motions for Preliminary Injunction	5/22/19	AA 004307 - AA 004328
18	ETW Management Group, LLC et al.'s Reply in support of Joinder to Motions for Preliminary Injunction	5/22/19	AA 004409 - AA 004496
15	ETW Management Group, LLC et al.'s Second Amended Complaint	5/21/19	AA 003649 - AA 003969
29	Euphoria Wellness, LLC's Answer to First Amended Complaint	11/21/19	AA 007068 - AA 007071
20	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Answer to ETW Management Group, LLC et al.'s Second Amended Complaint	6/24/19	AA 004857 - AA 004874
11	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Answer to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's First Amended Complaint	5/16/19	AA 002567 - AA 002579

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
6	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Answer to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Complaint	4/16/19	AA 001293 - AA 001307
20	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Answer to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Corrected First Amended Complaint	7/17/19	AA 004961 - AA 004975
21	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Bench Brief	8/15/19	AA 005029 - AA 005038
26	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Joinder to Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al.'s Opposition to Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	9/30/19	AA 006361 - AA 006393
27	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Joinder to Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al.'s Opposition to Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	10/15/19	AA 006695 - AA 006698
17, 18	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Joinder to Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5/21/19	AA 004248 - AA 004260
16, 17	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Joinder to Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Appendix	5/20/19	AA 003970 - AA 004247
27	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Joinder to Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	10/10/19	AA 006539 - AA 006540
6	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Joinder to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Opposition to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5/13/19	AA 002541 - AA 002547

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
26	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Joinder to State of Nevada, Department of Taxation's Opposition to Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	9/30/19	AA 006328 - AA 006360
8	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Motion to Intervene in ETW Management Group, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-787004-B	5/7/19	AA 001757 - AA 001790
8	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Motion to Intervene in Nevada Wellness Center, LLC v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-787540-W	5/7/19	AA 001791 - AA 001819
5	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Motion to Intervene in Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-786962-B	4/2/19	AA 001094 - AA 001126
20	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Notice of Entry of Order and Order Granting Motion to Intervene in ETW Management Group, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-787004-B	6/24/19	AA 004875 - AA 004878
11	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Notice of Entry of Order and Order Granting Motion to Intervene in MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-18-785818-W	5/16/19	AA 002690 - AA 002694
20	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Notice of Entry of Order and Order Granting Motion to Intervene in Nevada Wellness Center, LLC v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-787540-W	7/24/19	AA 004976 - AA 004980
6	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Notice of Entry of Order and Order Granting Motion to Intervene in Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-786962-B	4/16/19	AA 001308 - AA 001312
24	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Notices of Appeal	9/19/19	AA 005934 - AA 005949

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
22	GreenMart of Nevada NLV, LLC's Objection to Court's Exhibit 3	8/26/19	AA 005301 - AA 005304
18, 19	Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Answer to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Complaint	6/3/19	AA 004497 - AA 004512
27	Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Joinder to Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al.'s Opposition to Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	10/17/19	AA 006699 - AA 006700
18	Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Joinder to Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5/21/19	AA 004261 - AA 004266
23	Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Joinder to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Objection to Court's Exhibit 3	8/28/19	AA 005571 - AA 005572
11	Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Joinder to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Opposition to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5/13/19	AA 002548 - AA 002563
5	Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Motion to Intervene in Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-786962-B	4/1/19	AA 001064 - AA 001091
6	Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Notice of Entry of Order and Order Granting Motion to Intervene in Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-786962-B	4/15/19	AA 001289 - AA 001292
22	Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Objection to Court's Exhibit 3	8/26/19	AA 005305 - AA 005319
20	Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al. and CPCMH Holdings, LLC, d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace et al.'s Answer to ETW Management Group, LLC et al.'s Second Amended Complaint and Counterclaim	6/14/19	AA 004829 - AA 004852

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
20	Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al. and CPCMH Holdings, LLC, d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace et al.'s Answer to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's First Amended Complaint and Counterclaim	6/14/19	AA 004809 - AA 004828
20	Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al. and CPCMH Holdings, LLC, d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace et al.'s Answer to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Complaint and Counterclaim	6/14/19	AA 004785 - AA 004808
18	Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al. and CPCMH Holdings, LLC, d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace et al.'s Joinder to various oppositions to Motions for Preliminary Injunction	5/23/19	AA 004329 - AA 004394
4	Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al. and CPCMH Holdings, LLC, d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace et al.'s Motion to Intervene in ETW Management Group, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-787004-B	3/20/19	AA 000916 - AA 000985
4	Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al. and CPCMH Holdings, LLC, d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace et al.'s Motion to Intervene in Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-786962-B	3/19/19	AA 000879 - AA 000915
6	Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al. and CPCMH Holdings, LLC, d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace et al.'s Notice of Entry of Order and Order Granting Motion to Intervene in ETW Management Group, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-787004-B	4/22/19	AA 001327 - AA 001332

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
11	Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al. and CPCM Holdings, LLC, d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace et al.'s Notice of Entry of Order and Order Granting Motion to Intervene in MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-18-785818-W	5/17/19	AA 002697 - AA 002703
5	Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al. and CPCM Holdings, LLC, d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace et al.'s Notice of Entry of Order and Order Granting Motion to Intervene in Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-786962-B	4/2/19	AA 001127 - AA 001132
5	Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al. and CPCM Holdings, LLC, d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace et al.'s Order Granting Motion to Intervene in Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-786962-B	4/1/19	AA 001092 - AA 001093
21	Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al.'s Bench Brief	8/15/19	AA 005018 - AA 005028
24	Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al.'s Motion to Intervene in Nevada Wellness Center, LLC v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-787540-W	9/20/19	AA 005962 - AA 005983
27	Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al.'s Opposition to Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	10/4/19	AA 006516 - AA 006527
19	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Answer to ETW Management Group, LLC et al.'s Second Amended Complaint	6/7/19	AA 004550 - AA 004563

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
19	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Answer to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's's First Amended Complaint	6/5/19	AA 004527 - AA 004536
19	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Answer to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Complaint	6/5/19	AA 004537 - AA 004547
19	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure	6/7/19	AA 004548 - AA 004549
11	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Joinder to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Opposition to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5/13/19	AA 002564 - AA 002566
23	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Joinder to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Court's Exhibit 3	8/27/19	AA 005533 - AA 005534
5	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Motion to Intervene in ETW Management Group, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-787004-B	3/28/19	AA 001035 - AA 001063
4, 5	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Motion to Intervene in Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-786962-B	3/25/19	AA 000991 - AA 001021
23	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Motion to Strike MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's's Objection to Court's Exhibit 3	8/28/19	AA 005573 - AA 005578
26	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Notice of Appeal	9/27/19	AA 006324 - AA 006327
6	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Notice of Entry of Order and Order Granting Motion to Intervene in ETW Management Group, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-787004-B	4/23/19	AA 001333 - AA 001337

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
5	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Notice of Entry of Order and Order Granting Motion to Intervene in Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-786962-B	4/4/19	AA 001133 - AA 001137
22	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Objection to Court's Exhibit 3	8/26/19	AA 005320 - AA 005322
15	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5/20/19	AA 003565 - AA 003602
14, 15	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Appendix	5/20/19	AA 003445 - AA 003564
27	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to Motion to Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	10/10/19	AA 006541 - AA 006569
20	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Pocket Brief Regarding Regulatory Power Over Statutes Passed by Voter Initiative	6/11/19	AA 004778 - AA 004784
21	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Supplemental Authorities for Closing Arguments	8/15/19	AA 005039 - AA 005098
1	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Affidavit/Declaration of Service of Summons and Complaint	12/21/18	AA 000026 - AA 000027
20	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Answer to Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al. and CPCM Holdings, LLC, d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace et al.'s Counterclaim	7/12/19	AA 004941 - AA 004948
5	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Answer to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Counterclaim	4/5/19	AA 001138 - AA 001143

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
1	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's First Amended Complaint and Petition for Judicial Review or Writ of Mandamus	12/18/18	AA 000013 - AA 000025
6	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5/6/19	AA 001378 - AA 001407
6, 7	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Appendix 1	5/6/19	AA 001408 - AA 001571
7	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Appendix 2	5/6/19	AA 001572 - AA 001735
24, 25	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	9/24/19	AA 005997 - AA 006323
27	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Notice of Cross Appeal	10/3/19	AA 006509 - AA 006512
23, 24	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Notice of Errata to Appendix to Objection to Court's Exhibit 3	8/28/19	AA 005579 - AA 005805
7	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Notice of Filing Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5/6/19	AA 001736 - AA 001738
22, 23	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Objection to Court's Exhibit 3	8/26/19	AA 005496 - AA 005509
22	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Objection to Court's Exhibit 3, Appendix	8/26/19	AA 005323 - AA 005495
28	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Opposition to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Application for Writ of Mandamus to Compel State of Nevada , Department of Taxation to Move Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC Into "Tier 2" of Successful Conditional License Applicants	10/24/19	AA 006833 - AA 006888

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
21	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Pocket Brief Regarding Background check Requirement	8/21/19	AA 005099 - AA 005109
21-22	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Pocket Brief Regarding Background check Requirement, Appendix	8/21/19	AA 005110 - AA 005276
28	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Reply in Support of Motion to Alter or Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Preliminary Injunction	10/23/19	AA 006817 - AA 006826
11	MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Supplement to Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5/16/19	AA 002580 - AA 002689
1	MM Development Company Inc.'s Complaint and Petition for Judicial Review or Writ of Mandamus	12/10/18	AA 000001 - AA 000012
29	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Amended Application for Writ of Mandamus to Compel State of Nevada , Department of Taxation to Move Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC Into "Tier 2" of Successful Conditional License Applicants	11/21/19	AA 007072 - AA 007126
4	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Answer to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's's First Amended Complaint and Counterclaim	3/15/19	AA 000754 - AA 000768
27	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Application for Writ of Mandamus to Compel State of Nevada , Department of Taxation to Move Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC Into "Tier 2" of Successful Conditional License Applicants	10/10/19	AA 006570 - AA 006680
20, 21	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Bench Brief	8/14/19	AA 004999 - AA 005017
27	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Joinder to Integral Associates, LLC, d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries et al. and Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	10/11/19	AA 006687 - AA 006691

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
18	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Joinder to Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5/21/19	AA 004267 - AA 004306
2	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Motion to Intervene in ETW Management Group, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-787004-B	1/25/19	AA 000376 - AA 000400
2	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Motion to Intervene in Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-786962-B	1/25/19	AA 000401 - AA 000426
5	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Motion to Strike Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Motion for Preliminary Injunction	3/26/19	AA 001023 - AA 001030
6	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Notice of Entry of Order and Order Granting Motion to Intervene in ETW Management Group, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-787004-B	4/26/19	AA 001338 - AA 001341
3, 4	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Notice of Entry of Order and Order Granting Motion to Intervene in MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-18-785818-W	3/18/19	AA 000750 - AA 000753
4	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Notice of Entry of Order and Order Granting Motion to Intervene in Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al. v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation Case No. A-19-786962-B	3/22/19	AA 000986 - AA 000990
24	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Notices of Appeal	9/19/19	AA 005950 - AA 005961
23	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Objection to Court's Exhibit 3	8/26/19	AA 005510 - AA 005532

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
8	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Opposition to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5/9/19	AA 001830 - AA 001862
8-10	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Opposition to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Appendix	5/9/19	AA 001863 - AA 002272
29	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's reply in Support of Amended Application for Writ of Mandamus to Compel State of Nevada , Department of Taxation to Move Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC Into "Tier 2" of Successful Conditional License Applicants	12/6/19	AA 007154 - AA 007163
23	Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Response to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's's Objection to Court's Exhibit 3	8/27/19	AA 005535 - AA 005539
5	Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Affidavit of Service of the Complaint on the State of Nevada, Department of Taxation	3/25/19	AA 001022
2	Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Complaint and Petition for Judicial Review or Writ of Mandamus	1/15/19	AA 000360 - AA 000372
29	Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Joinder to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's's Opposition to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Application for Writ of Mandamus to Compel State of Nevada , Department of Taxation to Move Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC Into "Tier 2" of Successful Conditional License Applicants	12/6/19	AA 007167 - AA 007169
11	Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Joinder to Motions for Preliminary Injunction	5/10/19	AA 002535 - AA 002540
24	Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	9/13/19	AA 005806 - AA 005906
26	Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	9/30/19	AA 006394 - AA 006492

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
29	Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Notice of Appeal	12/6/19	AA 007164 - AA 007166
26, 27	Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	9/30/19	AA 006493 - AA 006505
27, 28	Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	10/17/19	AA 006701 - AA 006816
2	Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Summons to State of Nevada, Department of Taxation	1/22/19	AA 000373 - AA 000375
28, 29	Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Supplement in Support of Reply in Support of Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	10/30/19	AA 006955 - AA 007057
29	Notice of Entry of Order and Order Denying MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Motion to Alter or Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Preliminary Injunction	11/23/19	AA 007127 - AA 007130
23	Notice of Entry of Order and Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	8/28/19	AA 005544 - AA 005570
29	Notice of Entry of Order and Order Regarding Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Alter or Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Preliminary Injunction	11/6/19	AA 007058 - AA 007067
20	Order Granting in Part Motion to Coordinate Cases for Preliminary Injunction Hearing	7/11/19	AA 004938 - AA 004940
22	Order Granting Preliminary Injunction (Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law)	8/23/19	AA 005277 - AA 005300
46, 47	Preliminary Injunction Hearing, Defendant's Exhibit 2009 Governor's Task Force Report	n/a	AA 011408 - AA 011568
47	Preliminary Injunction Hearing, Defendant's Exhibit 2018 List of Applicants for Marijuana Establishment Licenses 2018	n/a	AA 011569 - AA 011575

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
47	Preliminary Injunction Hearing, Defendant's Exhibit 5025 Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Organizational Chart	n/a	AA 011576 - AA 011590
47	Preliminary Injunction Hearing, Defendant's Exhibit 5026 Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Ownership Approval Letter	n/a	AA 011591, AA 011592
47	Preliminary Injunction Hearing, Defendant's Exhibit 5026 Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Ownership Approval Letter as Contained in the Application	n/a	AA 011593 - AA 011600
47	Preliminary Injunction Hearing, Defendant's Exhibit 5038 Evaluator Notes on Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Application	n/a	AA 011601 - AA 011603
47	Preliminary Injunction Hearing, Defendant's Exhibit 5045 Minutes of ther Legislative Commission, Nevada Legislative Counsel Bureau	n/a	AA 011604 - AA 011633
47	Preliminary Injunction Hearing, Defendant's Exhibit 5049 Governor's Task Force for the Regulation and Taxation of Marijuana Act Meeting Minutes	n/a	AA 011634 - AA 011641
47	Register of Actions for Serenity Wellness Center, LLC v. State of Nevada, Department of Taxation, Case No. A-18-786962-B	n/a	AA011642 - AA 011664
27	Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Joinder to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's's Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	9/30/19	AA 006506 - AA 006508
2	Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Complaint	1/4/19	AA 000343 - AA 000359
0	Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Corrected First Amended Complaint	7/11/19	AA 004907 - AA 004924
5, 6	Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Ex Parte Motion for Leave to file Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction in Excess of Thirty Pages in Length	4/10/19	AA 001163 - AA 001288

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
20	Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s First Amended Complaint	7/3/19	AA 004889 - AA 004906
40	Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Joinder to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5/20/19	AA 003603 - AA 003636
23	Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Joinder to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Objection to Court's Exhibit 3	8/27/19	AA 005540 - AA 005543
27	Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Joinder to Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	10/7/19	AA 006528 - AA 006538
4	Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Motion for Preliminary Injunction	3/19/19	AA 000769 - AA 000878
18	Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Reply in support of Motions for Summary Judgment	5/22/19	AA 004395 - AA 004408
29	Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Second Amended Complaint	11/26/19	AA 007131 - AA 007153
5	Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Summons to State of Nevada, Department of Taxation	3/26/19	AA 001031 - AA 001034
19	Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Supplemental Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Preliminary Injunction	6/10/19	AA 004564 - AA 004716
6	State of Nevada, Department of Taxation's Answer to ETW Management Group, LLC et al.'s Amended Complaint	4/17/19	AA 001313 - AA 001326
19	State of Nevada, Department of Taxation's Answer to ETW Management Group, LLC et al.'s Second Amended Complaint	6/4/19	AA 004513 - AA 004526
5	State of Nevada, Department of Taxation's Answer to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's First Amended Complaint	4/10/19	AA 001150 - AA 001162

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
6	State of Nevada, Department of Taxation's Answer to Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Complaint	5/2/19	AA 001342 - AA 001354
15	State of Nevada, Department of Taxation's Answer to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Complaint	5/20/19	AA 003637 - AA 003648
20	State of Nevada, Department of Taxation's Answer to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Corrected First Amended Complaint	7/15/19	AA 004949 - AA 004960
11	State of Nevada, Department of Taxation's Opposition to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5/20/19	AA 002704 - AA 002724
11-14	State of Nevada, Department of Taxation's Opposition to MM Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC Development Company Inc. and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Appendix	5/20/19	AA 002725 - AA 003444
24	State of Nevada, Department of Taxation's Opposition to Motion to Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	9/23/19	AA 005984 - AA 005990
28	State of Nevada, Department of Taxation's Opposition to Motion to Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Motion for Preliminary Injunction	10/24/19	AA 006827 - AA 006832
28	State of Nevada, Department of Taxation's Opposition to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Application for Writ of Mandamus to Compel State of Nevada , Department of Taxation to Move Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC Into "Tier 2" of Successful Conditional License Applicants	10/24/19	AA 006889 - AA 006954
10	State of Nevada, Department of Taxation's Opposition to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC et al.'s Motion for Preliminary Injunction	5/9/19	AA 002273 - AA 002534
19-20	State of Nevada, Department of Taxation's Pocket Brief Regarding Regulatory Power Over Statutes Passed by Voter Initiative	6/10/19	AA 004717 - AA 004777

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
20	State of Nevada, Department of Taxation's Supplement to Pocket Brief Regarding Regulatory Power Over Statutes Passed by Voter Initiative	6/24/19	AA 004879 - AA 004888
5	Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing and Extend Briefing Schedule for Motion for Preliminary Injunction	4/8/19	AA 001144 - AA 001149
46	Transcripts for Hearing on Objections to State's Response, Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion Re Compliance Re Physical Address, and Bond Amount Set	8/29/19	AA 011333 - AA 011405
29	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 1	5/24/19	AA 007170 - AA 007404
30	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 2 Volume 1	5/28/19	AA 007405 - AA 007495
30, 31	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 2 Volume 2	5/28/19	AA 007496 - AA 007601
31	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 3 Volume 1	5/29/19	AA 007602 - AA 007699
31, 32	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 3 Volume 2	5/29/19	AA 007700 - AA 007843
32, 33	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 4	5/30/19	AA 007844 - AA 008086
33	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 5 Volume 1	5/31/19	AA 008087 - AA 008149
33, 34	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 5 Volume 2	5/31/19	AA 008150 - AA 008369
34, 35	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 6	6/10/19	AA 008370 - AA 008594
35, 36	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 7	6/11/19	AA 008595 - AA 008847

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
36	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 8 Volume 1	6/18/19	AA 008848 - AA 008959
36, 37	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 8 Volume 2	6/18/19	AA 008960 - AA 009093
37	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 9 Volume 1	6/19/19	AA 009094 - AA 009216
38	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 10 Volume 1	6/20/19	AA 009350 - AA 009465
38, 39	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 10 Volume 2	6/20/19	AA 009466 - AA 009623
39	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 11	7/1/19	AA 009624 - AA 009727
39, 40	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 12	7/10/19	AA 009728 - AA 009902
40, 41	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 13 Volume 1	7/11/19	AA 009903 - AA 010040
41	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 13 Volume 2	7/11/19	AA 010041 - AA 010162
41, 42	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 14	7/12/19	AA 010163 - AA 010339
42	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 15 Volume 1	7/15/19	AA 010340 - AA 010414
42, 43	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 15 Volume 2	7/15/19	AA 010415 - AA 010593
43	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 16	7/18/19	AA 010594 - AA 010698

VOL.	DOCUMENT	DATE	BATES
43, 44	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 17 Volume 1	8/13/19	AA 010699 - AA 010805
44	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 17 Volume 2	8/13/19	AA 010806 - AA 010897
44, 45	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 18	8/14/19	AA 010898 - AA 011086
45	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 19	8/15/19	AA 011087 - AA 011165
45, 46	Transcripts for the Evidentiary Hearing on Motions for Preliminary Injunction Day 20	8/16/19	AA 011166 - AA 011332

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **APPELLANT NEVADA ORGANIC REMEDIES, LLC'S OPENING BRIEF** was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 17th day of January, 2020. Electronic service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

Adam Fulton and Maximilien D. Fetaz
Brownsein Hyatt Farber Shreck, LLP

Counsel for Respondents,

ETWManagement Group LLC; Global Harmony LLC; Green Leaf Farms Holdings LL; Green Therapeutics LLC; Herbal Choice Inc.; Just Quality LLC; Libra Wellness Center LLC; Rombough Real Estate Inc. d/b/a Mother Herb; NEVCANN LLC; Red Gardens LLC; TH Nevada LLC; Zion Gardens LLC; and MMOF Vegas Retail Inc.

Ketan D. Bhirud, Aaron D. Ford, Theresa M. Haar, David J. Pope,
and Steven G. Shevorski

Office of the Attorney General

Counsel for Respondent,

The State of Nevada Department of Taxation

David R. Koch, Steven B. Scow, Daniel G. Scow, and Brody R. Wight

Koch & Scow, LLC

Counsel for Appellant,

Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC

Margaret A. McLetchie, Alina M. Shell

McLetchie Law

Counsel for Appellant,

Counsel for GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC

/s/ David R. Koch

Koch & Scow

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

Jennifer DeLett-Snyder of Join Together Northern Nevada and the Nevada Statewide Coalition Partnership dissents for this reason: Medical Marijuana is currently under the Department of Public and Behavioral Health since it's a public health matter; therefore, it should be regulated in a manner separate than recreational marijuana since its being considered medicine. If the state would like Medical Marijuana and Recreational Marijuana to be co-located under one department, I suggest the creation of an Alcohol and Marijuana Control Board as was done in Alaska and Washington State.

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

Revise NRS 453A to allow the Department of Taxation to perform any function required by the Department of Health and Human Services. Request input from Department of Taxation and Department of Health and Human Services as to whether any other chapters must be addressed to transfer the medical marijuana program from Department of Health and Human Services to the Department of Taxation.

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

None

Inspection Requirements

1. Working group name:

Production/Manufacturing Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*Anna Thornley, Department of Taxation
Jennifer Lazovich, Kaempfer Crowell
Chad Westorn, DPBH*

3. Describe the recommendation:

The proposal of the working group is to streamline and ensure consistent inspection requirements of recreational establishments by the same State agency, contingent upon the applicability to all of the appropriate laws.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principal 4 - Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.

Guiding Principal 6 - establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement (at the local, state and federal levels), consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable.

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

This recommendation applies to the requirements concerning operations of marijuana establishments.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

The recommendation provides that the same State agency should be in charge of inspecting both medical and recreational operations so that there is a single point for inspection and enforcement.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent if the programs are merged under the Department of Taxation. If the medical and recreational marijuana programs are not merged under the Department of Taxation, Anna Thornley has noted a concern about the feasibility of there being a single agency performing State inspections.

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

A regulation should be adopted stating that both the medical and recreational marijuana establishments shall be inspected by the same state agency to ensure consistency and efficiencies between state and marijuana operations. If the medical and recreational marijuana programs are combined into the Department of Taxation, then we suggest the following changes be made to NAC 453A.320 and NAC 453A.322.

NAC 453A.320 Health and safety inspections of establishments. (NRS 453A.370)

1. ~~The Division-Department~~ may, at any time it determines an inspection is needed, conduct an investigation into the premises, facilities, qualifications of personnel, methods of operation, policies and purposes of any ~~medical-marijuana~~ establishment and of any person proposing to engage in the operation of a ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment. An inspection of a facility may include, without limitation, investigation of standards for safety from fire on behalf of the ~~Division Department~~ by the local fire protection agency. If a local fire protection agency is not available, the State Fire Marshal may conduct the inspection after the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment pays the appropriate fee to the State Fire Marshal for such inspection.

2. ~~The Division-Department~~ will not issue a ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment registration certificate until the ~~Division-Department~~ completes an inspection of the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment. Such an inspection may require more than one visit to the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment.

3. ~~In addition to complying with the provisions of chapter 372A of NRS and chapter 372A of NAC governing the imposition of an excise tax on medical marijuana establishments, a medical A~~ marijuana establishment may not operate until it has been issued a ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment registration certificate from the ~~Division-Department~~.

4. ~~The Division-Department~~ will not issue a ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment registration certificate until it has received a satisfactory report of full compliance with and completion of all applicable public safety inspections required by state and local jurisdictions, including, without limitation, fire, building, health and air quality inspections, except as otherwise provided in subsection 3 of NAC 453A.426.

(Added to NAC by Div. of Pub. & Behavioral Health by R004-14, 3-28-2014, eff. 4-1-2014)

NAC 453A.322 Inspections of establishments. (NRS 453A.370)

1. Submission of an application for a ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment registration certificate constitutes permission for entry to and reasonable inspection of the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment by the ~~Department-Division~~, with or without notice. An inspector conducting an inspection pursuant to this section does not need to be accompanied during the inspection.

2. ~~The Division-Department~~ may, upon receipt of a complaint against a ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment, except for a complaint concerning the cost of services, a complaint concerning the efficacy of ~~medical~~ marijuana or a complaint related to customer service issues, conduct an investigation during the operating hours of the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment, with or without notice, into the premises, facilities, qualifications of personnel, methods of operation, policies,

procedures and records of that ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment or any other ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment which may have information pertinent to the complaint.

3. The ~~Division-Department~~ may enter and inspect any building or premises at any time, with or without notice, to:

- (a) Secure compliance with any provision of this chapter or chapter 453A of NRS;
- (b) Prevent a violation of any provision of this chapter or chapter 453A of NRS; or
- (c) Conduct an unannounced inspection of a ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment in response to an allegation of noncompliance with this chapter or chapter 453A of NRS.

4. The ~~Division-Department~~ will enter and inspect at least annually, with or without notice, each building or the premises of a ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment to ensure compliance with the standards for health and sanitation.

5. The ~~Division-Department~~ will enter and inspect, with or without notice, any building or premises operated by a ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment within 72 hours after the ~~Division-Department~~ is notified that the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment is operating without a ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment registration certificate.

(Added to NAC by Div. of Pub. & Behavioral Health by R004-14, 3-28-2014, eff. 4-1-2014)

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

It would be more cost effective to have one agency conduct inspections of both medical and recreational marijuana facilities. This will ensure cost efficiencies for the state as well as consistency in application of inspections for operators of both medical and recreational marijuana operations.

Local Government Regulation

1. Working group name:

Operations - Production/ Manufacturing

2. Individual sponsor(s):

Tommy Robinson, Deputy Police Chief, Reno Police Department

Alex Woodley, Director of Code Enforcement, City of Reno

Jacob Ward, Pure Tonic Concentrates

Jennifer Lazovich, Kaempfer Crowell

3. Describe the recommendation:

The intent of this recommendation is to ensure that there is consistency in regulation throughout the State for certain matters involving recreational marijuana. The point of the recommendation is that there are some state responsibilities and some local responsibilities. Anything in the initiative that gives responsibility to the state would be uniform across the state and it could not change. The initiative gives the local governments the right to do the zoning and business licensing.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 1 – Promote the health, safety, and well-being of Nevada’s communities

Guiding Principle 2 – Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments and the industry

Guiding Principle 4 – Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome

Guiding Principle 5 – Begin a discussion between the State and local governments regarding the costs of carrying out Question 2

Guiding Principle 6 – Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement (at the local, State and federal levels), consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

- 1) *NRS 453D.020 (3) (c) Cultivating, manufacturing, testing, transporting and selling marijuana will be strictly controlled through State licensing and regulation*

2) NRS 453D.200 Duties of Department relating to regulation and licensing of marijuana establishments; information about consumers.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

This recommendation would provide guidance to local governments as to issues that should be deferred to State regulation. Certain matters involving edibles, packaging, concentrates, product types, dosing, potency and serving size limitations should be consistent throughout the State to ensure consumer safety. Some other resolutions offered by this recommendation are:

- Ensures uniformity for the industry & predictability with set regulations
- Provides opportunity for State regulators to become subject matter experts
- Reduces costs of regulation to local jurisdictions
- Minimizes ongoing training of the local jurisdictions by the State
- Minimizes possibility of contradictory regulations between the State and local governments
- Provides for local and State interactions similar to that related to existing gaming regulations

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

A regulation should be adopted that makes it clear that local governments may regulate recreational marijuana establishments on zoning, general business license matters, fire and building code compliance. However, local governments must defer to State regulation on matters involving edibles, packaging, concentrates, dosing, potency, serving size limitations, and products types.

Essentially, the State should carry out the provisions outlined in 453D.200 and the local governments should not add to or take away from the subject matter in those same provisions at the local level. 453D.200 (1) (h) will be exempt from this regulation.

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

None

Marijuana Control Board

1. Working group name:

Law Enforcement Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

Chuck Callaway, Las Vegas Metro Police Department

Todd Raybuck, Las Vegas Metro Police Department

Jill Tullies, Nevada Assembly

Brian Sooudi, Assistant City Attorney, City of Reno

Riana Durrett, Executive Director, Nevada Dispensary Association

3. Describe the recommendation:

The recommendation is as soon as allowed by law, urge the Nevada Legislature to establish by statute a Marijuana Control Board to provide oversight to the recreational and medical marijuana industries. The structure and duties of the Marijuana Control Board would be generally based on Nevada Revised Statute 463, Nevada Gaming Control Board.

When the Nevada Gaming Control Board was established, the environment in the state was very similar to the current environment with marijuana. An activity which is illegal under federal law but legal under state law, must be appropriately regulated and have a designated body to provide proper oversight, accountability, and due process.

A quote from the Nevada Resort Association's History of Gaming in Nevada states, "As Nevada's economy became more and more dependent upon gaming as an economic engine, the fear of federal gaming prohibition and negative public sentiment grew, prompting the Nevada State Legislature to create the Gaming Control Board in 1955."

The State of Alaska established a Marijuana Control Board in 2015 under Senate Bill 60.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 1 - Promote the health, safety, and well-being of Nevada's communities

Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments and the industry

Guiding Principle 3 - Ensure that youth are protected from the risks associated with marijuana, including preventing the diversion of marijuana to anyone under the age of 21

Guiding Principle 4 - Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome

Guiding Principle 6 - Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement (at the local, state and federal levels), consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

Sec. 2. Preamble.

In the interest of the public health and public safety, and in order to better focus state and local law enforcement resources on crimes involving violence and personal property, the People of the State of Nevada find and declare that the use of marijuana should be legal for persons 21 years of age or older, and its cultivation and sale should be regulated similar to other legal businesses.

The People of the State of Nevada declare that the cultivation and sale of marijuana should be taken from the domain of criminals and be regulated under a controlled system, where businesses will be taxed and the revenue will be dedicated to public education and to the enforcement of the regulations in this act.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

This recommendation would create a Marijuana Control Board to provide direct oversight and accountability to the recreational and medical marijuana industry.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

None at this time

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

Legislative action will be required when allowed per Ballot Question 2

9. Additional Information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.)

There will be a fiscal cost to establish a Marijuana Control Board. This cost is undetermined at this time, but could be comparable to the cost associated with the current Gaming Control Board.

Ownership Interest

1. Working group name:

Laboratory Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

Shane A. Johnson, SJ3D Holdings, Inc.

3. Describe the recommendation:

With respect to ownership interest, the recommendation is to maintain an effective status quo (accounting for the new distributor license type) with respect to ownership interest requirements under the Medical Marijuana Program per Adopted Regulation R148-15.

453A.332 1. The Division/Dept. of Taxation will deny an application for an applicant to renew a marijuana or recreational establishment registration certificate if:

- (a) The application or the marijuana establishment is not in compliance with any provision of this chapter or chapter 453A and 453D of NRS; or*
- (b) An owner, officer or board member of the marijuana establishment:*
 - (1) Is an employee or contractor of the Division;*
 - (2) Has an ownership or financial investment interest in an independent testing laboratory and also is an owner, officer or board member of a marijuana dispensary, cultivation facility or facility for the production of edible marijuana products or marijuana-infused products;*

Sec. 64. 453A.656 An independent testing laboratory shall not handle, test or analyze marijuana unless:

- 1. The laboratory has been issued a marijuana establishment registration certificate;*
- 2. The laboratory is independent from all other persons involved in the marijuana industry in Nevada; and*
- 3. No person with a direct or indirect interest in the laboratory has a direct or indirect financial interest in:*
 - (a) A-marijuana dispensary;*
 - (b) A facility for the production of edible marijuana products or marijuana-infused products;*
 - (c) A cultivation facility;*
 - (d) A distributor;*
 - (e) A physician who provides or has provided written documentation for the issuance of registry identification cards [;] or letters of approval; or*
 - (f) Any other entity that may benefit from the cultivation, manufacture, dispensing, sale, purchase or use of marijuana or marijuana products*

4. Notwithstanding the forgoing, laboratories are exempted from using a distributor to collect and move testing samples.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 4 – Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

Section 2 (b): "Business owners are subject to a review by the State of Nevada to confirm that the business owners and the business location are suitable to produce or sell marijuana." Existing owners have already been vetted by the State of Nevada.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

Maintaining the status quo 1) prevents potential conflict of interest (by disallowing common ownership between laboratory owners and owners of other Marijuana Establishments), and 2) provides seamless efficiency from a regulatory / oversight perspective

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

None

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

None

Advisory Committee

1. Working group name:

Labs Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

Ed Alexander- Common Sense Botanicals

Sarah Chapman- President, Nye County Consultants Association

3. Describe the recommendation:

The following recommendation suggests the formation of an Advisory Committee for the Cannabis Industry much like Medical Program had the Independent Laboratory Advisory Committee (ILAC) under NAC 453A.666. The intent of this committee is to address and adapt to changes and challenges that the cannabis industry will face as it matures.

Independent Cannabis Advisory Committee:

Establishment; duties.

1. *The Nevada Department of Taxation will establish an Independent Cannabis Advisory Committee comprised of members which ensure that the membership of the Advisory Committee is representative of the Medical and Recreational Marijuana Industry, Local and State representatives including but not limited to:*

Two Dispensary representatives (Northern and Southern Nevada)

Two Laboratory representatives (Northern and Southern Nevada)

Two Production representatives (Northern and Southern Nevada)

Two Cultivation representatives (Northern and Southern Nevada)

One Distributor/Transportation representative

One representative from Law Enforcement

One representative from the Department of Taxation

One representative from the Department of Agriculture

One representative from the Department of Public and Behavioral Health

One representative from the RSCVA

One representative from the LVCVA

One representative from NACO

One representative from the League of Cities

Incorporated and unincorporated local jurisdictional representatives

2. *The Advisory Committee shall:*

(a) Provide recommendations to the Department of Taxation regarding all aspects of Nevada marijuana industry

- (b) Make recommendations to the Department of Taxation for any changes to NRS/NAC chapters relating to Marijuana
- (c) Assist the Department of Taxation in creating and updating a policy manual to be used by the Department of Taxation to guide the implementation of policies and procedures directly or indirectly involving Marijuana.
- (d) Meet at least quarterly or at the discretion of the Chair
- (e) Elect a Chair and Vice Chairperson
- (f) Serve a Two-year term
- (g) Need a quorum to vote in order to make said recommendations the Department

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments and the industry

Guiding Principle 6 - Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement, consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable

Guiding Principle 7 - Take action that is faithful to the text of Question 2

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

Sec. 5 Powers and Duties of the Department- this committee will help aid the department in carrying out sections 1-18 of Question 2 past the initial 12 month roll out.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

The recommendation provides a vehicle for the ongoing improvement of the industry. It allows the industry to address and respond to issues that present themselves at a later date.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

Adapting of NAC 453A.666 to establish an industry centered advisory committee as recommended above.

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

As this committee would be a volunteer basis, cost of the implementation should be negligible. A meeting room, office supplies and potential a staff member to take notes in accordance with Open Meeting Law.

Ancillary Marijuana Business Licensing

1. Working group name:

Laboratory Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*Ed Alexander- Common Sense Botanicals
Lynn Hettrick- Nevada Department of Agriculture
Alec Garcia- 374 Labs
Shane Johnson- Silver State Trading*

3. Describe the recommendation:

The recommendation is to empower local and state jurisdictions to license and regulate ancillary businesses.

"Ancillary Business" defined. Any person or business that has not received a registration certificate under NRS 453A nor has been licensed as a marijuana establishment under NRS 453D that directly profits from onsite adult use consumption of marijuana or marijuana infused products.

It is the opinion of this working group that additional local and state fees will unduly burden licensed marijuana cultivation, production, retail, and laboratory related businesses, as these entities already must obtain State and Local licenses under NRS 453. It is the recommendation of the working group to allow state and local officials to create licensing and fee structures for those Ancillary Businesses where adult use consumption is permitted.

- State and Local jurisdictions shall have the ability to license, regulate, and collect fees from ancillary marijuana related businesses.*
- Ancillary businesses might include but are not limited to: Adult Use consumption facilities, any business which allows on site use or consumption of marijuana, spa's, social clubs, music venues, etc.*
- Ancillary businesses should not include: businesses that do not directly profit from the sale or use of Marijuana but might provide products or services to the industry. Garden Centers, or Grow Shops, Paraphernalia/Smoke Shops. Etc*
- Licensing fees shall be consistent with other limited licensing types, these fees should not impact local jurisdictions ability to obtain a percentage of revenue generated through adult use taxation.*
- Licensing shall be consistent with current local and state law which may require business licenses, special use permits, etc.*
- State of Nevada Department of Taxation shall provide reasonable effort to identify what percentage of Adult use excise and other tax revenue will be allocated to local jurisdictions based on the city, and or county from which the revenue is generated.*
- Standardized Educational materials pertaining to Adult Use Consumption shall be adopted and prominently displayed in facilities which sell or allow the use of marijuana.*
- Smoking/vaping/consumption of marijuana shall be similar to rules and regulations for smoking/vaping/consumption of tobacco, and or alcohol.*

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support? **Promote Health, safety, and well-being of Nevada's communities. Provides efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.**

Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments and the industry

Guiding Principle 6 - Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement, consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

Sec. 2 Preamble

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

Provides a means by which Local and State regulators can license and regulate Adult Use Ancillary Businesses

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

Statute, Policy, and Regulations will need to be developed by the State of Nevada as well as Local Jurisdictions as necessary to insure public health and safety.

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc).

None

Co-Location

1. Working group name:

Operations - Production/ Manufacturing

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*Alex Woodley, Director of Code Enforcement, City of Reno
Jennifer Lazovich, Lawyer and Partner with Kaempfer Crowell
Jacob Wurd, President, Prue Tonic Concentrates*

3. Describe the recommendation:

Allow the coexistence of Marijuana production, cultivation, dispensary & distribution establishments within the same facility. Also, allow the coexistence of both recreational and medical marijuana establishments within the same facility.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 2 – Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments and the industry

Guiding Principle 4 – Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome

Guiding Principle 6 – Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement (at the local, state and federal levels), consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

The Requirements Concerning Operation of Marijuana Establishments, specifically as it relates to the provision covering allowed locations.

NRS 453A.350 relates to the Location, land use, appearance, signage and change of locations.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

- *Minimizes safety and security risks associated with constant transport of product and money*
- *Allows local jurisdictions to apply zoning and code ordinances*
- *Allows for business to operate more efficiently thereby reducing costs to consumers*
- *Reduces capital costs of building the facilities thereby reducing costs to consumers*
- *Reduces the amount of time and effort required for inspections and audits*
- *Reduces the footprint of Marijuana establishments throughout the given jurisdiction (i.e. 4 locations instead of possibly up to 16 locations)*

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

- 1) *Legislative changes in the Nevada Revised Statute and possibly the Nevada Administrative Code (NRS 453A.350) may be required to allow for shared use of facility types (cultivation, production, dispensary, distribution).*

- *SB 329 is currently before the legislature and provides the following as part of its submittal, which will allow for shared facility types:*

Sec. 49. NRS 453A.350 is hereby amended to read as follows:

453A.350 1. Each medical marijuana establishment must:

(a) Be located in a ~~{separate building or facility that is located in a}~~ commercial or industrial zone or overlay;

(b) Comply with all local ordinances and rules pertaining to 44 zoning, land use and signage;

- *Provide language if SB 329 does not pass:*

Each medical marijuana establishment must: (a) Be located in a separate building or facility as approved by the local jurisdiction that and is located in a commercial or industrial zone or overlay as approved by the local jurisdiction;

- 2) *The permanent regulations supporting recreational marijuana should include wording to allow for the production, manufacture, distribution, and dispensing of both medical and recreational marijuana from the same facility.*

A marijuana establishment may cultivate, manufacture, distribute, and sell to the public both medical marijuana and recreational marijuana according to the establishment's license type.

Each marijuana establishment must:

(a) Be located in a ~~{separate building or facility that is located in a}~~ commercial or industrial zone or overlay;

(b) Comply with all local ordinances and rules pertaining to zoning, land use and signage;

9. Additional Information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc).

None

Dual Use Medical and Retail

1. Working group name:

Operations- Retail Establishment

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*Riano Durrett, Executive Director, Nevada Dispensary Association
Andrew Jolley, President, Nevada Dispensary Association
Wes Henderson, Executive Director, Nevada League of Cities & Municipalities*

3. Describe the recommendation:

The Operations- Retail Establishment working group recommends that the Department and any affected local government enact regulations and ordinances permitting a medical marijuana establishment and a retail marijuana establishment to operate at the same location and to permit a dual licensee to serve patients and recreational customers in the same retail area without the need to make changes in the design and construction of licensed medical marijuana dispensaries to allow for dual use.

These recommendations are based on the following propositions:

- The Nevada medical marijuana program leads the nation in its testing, tracking, security, and cleanliness standards;*
- The Nevada medical marijuana program has been successful in protecting patient and public health and safety;*
- Nevada has successfully avoided the public health problems other states with legalized marijuana have faced;*
- Colorado and Oregon regulators have emphasized the benefits of combining the medical and recreational marijuana programs;*
- Colorado and Oregon regulators have advised Nevada legislators to avoid the inefficiencies and confusion that results from having separate medical and recreational programs; and*
- IP1 expressly states that the Department of Taxation may not pass regulations that would make operation of a marijuana business unreasonably impracticable.*

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments and the industry

Guiding Principle 4 - Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome

Guiding Principle 6 - Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement, consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

This recommendation applies to Initiative Petition 1 ("IP1"), Section 5, which bestows the responsibility for regulating recreational marijuana in the Department of Taxation and allows for a "dual license" of retail and medical establishments. Under the Nevada Constitution, an initiative petition cannot be amended by the Nevada Legislature for three (3) years. Therefore, in order to implement dual use establishments, any NRS or NAC must comply with IP1 and any NRS revisions must be made to the medical program under NAC 453A and not to IP1.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

This recommendation carries out the provision in IP1 that allow for dual license establishments. IP1 allots a certain number of retail marijuana dispensaries and allows the owners of a medical marijuana establishment license to also "operate a marijuana establishment." IP1 anticipates that these will be operated at the same location and allowing them to operate at the same location promotes efficiency and a reduction in the physical number of establishments.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

None

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

NRS requires revisions as it currently only addresses the medical program. The retail marijuana program should be set forth in NRS 453A, or a separate section, for example, NRS 453E.

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

None

Taxation and Revenue

Taxation – 15% Excise Tax

1. Working group name:

Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*John Ritter, Nevada Dispensary Association
Steve Gilbert, Program Manager, Medical Marijuana Program*

3. Describe the recommendation:

The Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group recommends that the excise tax on all wholesale cannabis (whether it is later sold at the dispensary as medical or recreational) be the same as the 15% tax on recreational cannabis at the wholesale level as provided for in IP1 and that it should be administered in the same manner. This tax will replace the current two or three-tiered wholesale/retail system applied to medical cannabis (three if the cannabis is first sold to a production facility before being sold to a dispensary) at 2% per tier. By taxing all wholesale cannabis at the same rate and in the same manner you allow the cannabis, and products produced from that cannabis, to be "single streamed" and designated as medical or recreational at the point of purchase at the retail level. This will allow for a much more efficient process for both the government and private sectors.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 2 - Ensure that youth are protected from the risks associated with marijuana, including preventing the diversion of marijuana to anyone under the age of 21

Guiding Principle 4 - Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.

Guiding Principle 6 - Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement (at the local, state and federal levels), consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

Question 2 states the Department of Taxation is required to regulate the marijuana establishments. By allowing for "single streamed," cannabis can be cultivated or produced and then designated medical or recreational based on the current market demand at the time. Having this ability will assist the industry in cultivating, producing and stocking dispensary inventory based on recreational customer or patient demand and avoid an excess or shortage of inventory in the different categories. Streamlining the flow of

Ownership Issues/ Licensing Requirements

1. Working group name:

Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*John Ritter, Advisory Board Member for TGIG, LLC, The Grove
David Goldwater, Inya Fine Cannabis Dispensary*

3. Describe the recommendation:

The Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group recommends that the following changes relative to recreational marijuana establishment licensee ownership issues be made from the current medical marijuana establishment rules.

a) Require only Owners with 5% or more cumulatively (please see below for a definition of cumulatively), Officers and Board members of the company(s) holding the license(s) to be fingerprinted, be required to undergo a background check and resubmit a new application for license renewal.

[IN ORDER TO MAKE THE MEDICAL PROGRAM CONSISTENT NEED TO CHANGE NRS 453A.332 PARAGRAPH 5]

b) Require all Owners, regardless of ownership, to be fingerprinted, be required to undergo a background check and resubmit a new application only every five years whether for a renewal or not.

[IN ORDER TO MAKE THE MEDICAL PROGRAM CONSISTENT NEED TO CHANGE NRS 453A]

c) Only require Owners with 5% or more ownership cumulatively, Directors and Officers of the company(s) holding the license(s) and employees of the company to obtain agent registration cards.

[FOR MEDICAL: Officers and Board members must obtain agent cards under 453A.410 (2) (a). An Owner with less than 5% interest, that is not an Officer or Board member, does not need to obtain an agent card pursuant to NAC 453A.302.]

d) For the purposes of signing ownership transfers, applications and any other appropriate legal or regulatory documentation, the Department shall look to the governing documents of the company that holds the license to assess who has approval rights and signatory authority. If the documents require a vote to establish that authority then the Department shall have the right to request documentation evidencing that a vote has taken place.

[IN ORDER TO MAKE THE MEDICAL PROGRAM CONSISTENT NEED TO CHANGE NRS 453A]

"Cumulatively" shall mean the cumulative ownership any particular natural person holds in any Nevada company(s) that owns licensed recreational marijuana establishments.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments, and the industry.

Guiding Principle 4 - Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

Section 2 (b) of IP1 states that "Business owners are subject to a review by the State of Nevada to confirm that the business owners ... are suitable to produce or sell marijuana;"

Section 5 paragraph 1 of IP1 states that "The regulations must not prohibit the operation of marijuana establishments, either expressly or through regulations that make their operation unreasonably impractical."

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

To allow companies that own marijuana establishment licenses in which there are multiple Owners that own less than 5%, in some cases far less, to be able to operate practically and efficiently. To allow companies that own marijuana establishment licenses to function based on their governing documents as companies are allowed to do in other industries.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent.

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

There would need to be adoption of a regulation to address this recommendation.

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

None

Monopolies - Limitations on the Number of Marijuana Establishments

1. Working group name:

Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*John Ritter, Advisory Board Member for TGIG, LLC, The Grove
Dagney Stapleton, Nevada Association of Counties
Steve Gilbert, Program Manager DPBH Medical Marijuana Program*

3. Describe the recommendation:

The Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group recommends that the same limitations that were applied in the medical program for medical marijuana establishment registration certificates be used for the recreational marijuana licensing process. The recommendation applies this limitation specifically to retail marijuana stores not only in a county whose population is 100,000 or more but also in each local jurisdiction within that county.

The recommendation is to adopt regulations similar to NRS 453A.326: ... limitation on number of licenses issued to any one person; ...

2. To prevent monopolistic practices, the Department shall ensure, in a county whose population is 100,000 or more, that it does not issue, to any licensee, the greater of:

(a) One retail store license; or

(b) More than 10 percent of the retail store licenses allocable in the county along with the same limitation on the local governmental jurisdiction level.

4. As used in this section, "local governmental jurisdiction" means a city or unincorporated area within a county.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 1 - Promote the health, safety, and well-being of Nevada's communities

Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments, and the industry.

Guiding Principle 4 - Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

Section 5 (a) of IP1 describes that the Department shall adopt all regulations necessary to carry out the provisions of the act including "Procedures for the Issuance ... of a license to operate a marijuana establishment."

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

To prevent monopolistic practices this recommendation ensures that no one "person" can own or control more than 10% of the retail marijuana store licenses in a county whose population is 100,000 or more and not more than 10% of the retail marijuana store licenses in each local jurisdiction within that county.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent.

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

There would need to be adoption of a regulation to address this recommendation.

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

None

Agent Card Requirements

1. Working group name:

Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*Jennifer Wilcox, Essence Cannabis Dispensary
Marla, McDade – Williams, Strategies 360*

3. Describe the recommendation:

The Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group recommends that the revised agent card application process as pursuant to Assembly Bill No. 422 be applied to recreational licenses (revised provisions related AB 422 outlined below):

Existing law requires a medical marijuana establishment that wishes to retain as a volunteer or employ or contract with a person to provide labor to the medical marijuana establishment to submit an application to register the person as a medical marijuana establishment agent. (NRS 453A.332)

- Section 31 of the AB422 bill allows such a person to submit an application for registration as a medical marijuana establishment agent on his or her own behalf.*
- Section 31 also provides for the temporary registration of a person as a medical marijuana establishment agent upon submission of a complete application for registration or renewal of registration.*
- Section 31 allows an independent contractor or employee of an independent contractor who is registered as a medical marijuana establishment agent to provide labor to any medical marijuana establishment and any other person who is registered as a medical marijuana establishment agent to work or volunteer at any medical marijuana establishment for which the category of the person's medical marijuana establishment agent card is valid.*

The group recommends applying the proposed revisions above to the recreational marijuana agent card application process.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

This recommendation would ensure efficiency with recreational establishments as it relates to cards for agents and independent contractors:

- Allows potential employees to apply directly to the State to obtain registered agent card and allows them to work while card is pending;*

- *Allows agents and independent contractors to obtain one card for each type of facility rather than multiple cards*
- *Allows temporary registration of a person as an establishment agent*

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

This recommendation should become part of the final recommendations for recreational marijuana licensing.

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

This has been discussed at session (AB422), Assemblyman Araujo agreed to carry the revision of the agent card application process.

Retail Store Allocation

1. Working group name:

Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*John Ritter, Nevada Dispensary Association & Owner
Amanda Connor, Attorney Connor & Connor - Marijuana law*

3. Describe the recommendation:

The Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group recommends that the retail marijuana store licenses allocated to the counties, as described in IP1, be distributed to the local jurisdiction(s) within those counties prorata based on the population in the jurisdiction(s).

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

*Guiding Principle 1 - Promote the health, safety, and well-being of Nevada's communities
Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments, and the industry.
Guiding Principle 4 - Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.*

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

Question 2 imposed a limitation on the number of retail stores located in the counties. The recommendation addresses how those retail store licenses should be distributed.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

This recommendation ensures even distribution of the retail marijuana licenses to ensure that the needs to the consumers, non-consumers, local government and industry are met by preventing over or under saturation of retail marijuana stores in particular areas.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

There was no dissent regarding this recommendation.

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

There would need to be adoption of a regulation or statute to address this recommendation.

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

None

Inventory Tracking

Inventory Control

1. Working group name:

Operations - Production/ Manufacturing

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*Anna Thornley, Deputy Director, Retail Marijuana, Department of Taxation
Brian Hyun, Production Manager/Owner, The Grove
Jake Ward, President, Pure Tonic Concentrates*

3. Describe the recommendation:

Each marijuana facility should maintain a perpetual inventory system which adequately documents the flow of controlled inventory through the cultivating, manufacturing, distributing, and retail sale process and is updated daily.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments and the industry

Guiding Principle 4 - Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

NRS 453A.322 requires that an MME develop operating procedures which includes "The use of an electronic verification system and inventory control system..."

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

This recommendation allows State and Local authorities to retroactively and in real time monitor the chain of custody of marijuana products within individual establishments, from establishment to establishment, and from seed to sale. State and Local oversight plays an important role in avoiding diversion.

This recommendation is intended to cover inventory control systems for individual establishments only.

This recommendation is not intended to cover the issue of a centralized seed-to-sale system overseen by the Department or Department contractors. That issue will be covered in a separate recommendation.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No Dissent

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

There is a solid framework already in place within the Medical regulations which should be mostly adapted to recreational marijuana regulation. Other than normal formatting changes, the only recommended changes from the 453A language is that the terms "Quantity of THC" and "measured in milligrams" should be removed. This is because it is impractical and unnecessary from both a business and regulatory standpoint for producers, cultivators, and distributors to be required to track THC amounts, measured in milligrams, across their entire inventory. Total THC can't be totaled for plants that are growing or infused products until lab results are received on "finished" products. Total THC amounts will still be able to be calculated if necessary and if possible via product weights and laboratory results on specific products in inventory.

"Inventory control system" should be defined as it is in NRS 453A.108 "Inventory control system" means a process, device or other contrivance that may be used to monitor the chain of custody of marijuana used for medical purposes from the point of cultivation to the end consumer."

Section 34 of LCB File No. R148-15 amends NAC 453A.414. The amended section should be adapted into the permanent recreational marijuana regulations with the purpose of providing oversight for inventory control issues. There are standard "medical to recreational" formatting changes required in the language, and the following functional changes should also take place:

4. Each cultivation facility, medical marijuana [establishment] dispensary and facility for the production of edible marijuana products or marijuana-infused products shall establish and implement an inventory control system that documents:

(a) Each day's beginning inventory, acquisitions, harvests, sales, disbursements, disposal of unusable marijuana and ending inventory [.] , including, without limitation, the:

- (1) Number of plants and cuttings;*
- (2) Weight of flowers, measured in grams;*
- (3) Weight of trim, measured in grams;*
- (4) Quantity of THC, measured in milligrams; and*
- (5) Weight of seeds, measured in grams.*

(b) When acquiring medical marijuana from a person who holds a valid registry identification card [or his or her] , including, without limitation, a designated primary caregiver:

- (1) A description of the medical marijuana acquired, including the amount and strain as specified by the cardholder, [or caregiver,] if known;*

- (2) The name and number of the valid registry identification card of the person who provided the medical marijuana; [or, if provided by a designated primary caregiver, his or her name];
 - (2) The name and medical marijuana establishment agent registration card number of the medical marijuana establishment agent receiving the medical marijuana on behalf of the medical marijuana dispensary; and
 - (4) The date of acquisition.
- (c) When acquiring medical marijuana from another medical marijuana establishment:
- (1) A description of the medical marijuana acquired, including the amount, strain and batch number [;], lot number and production run number, or any combination thereof;
 - (2) The name and identification number of the medical marijuana establishment registration certificate of the medical marijuana establishment providing the medical marijuana;
 - (3) The name and medical marijuana establishment agent registration card number of the medical marijuana establishment agent providing the medical marijuana;
 - (4) The name and medical marijuana establishment agent registration card number of the medical marijuana establishment agent receiving the medical marijuana on behalf of the medical marijuana establishment; and
 - (5) The date of acquisition.
- (d) For each batch of marijuana cultivated:
- (1) The batch number [;], lot number and production run number, as applicable.
 - (2) Whether the batch originated from marijuana seeds or marijuana cuttings.
 - (3) The strain of the marijuana seeds or marijuana cuttings planted.
 - (4) The number of marijuana seeds or marijuana cuttings planted.
 - (5) The date on which the marijuana seeds or cuttings were planted.
 - (6) A list of all chemical additives used in the cultivation, including, without limitation, nonorganic pesticides, herbicides and fertilizers.
 - (7) The number of marijuana plants grown to maturity.
 - (8) Harvest information, including, without limitation:
 - (I) The date of harvest;
 - (II) The final yield weight of processed usable marijuana [;], in grams; and
 - (III) The name and medical marijuana establishment agent registration card number of the medical marijuana establishment agent responsible for the harvest.
 - (9) The disposal of marijuana that is not usable marijuana, including:
 - (I) A description of and reason for the marijuana being disposed of, including, if applicable, the number of failed or other unusable marijuana plants;
 - (II) The date of disposal;
 - (III) Confirmation that the marijuana was rendered unusable before disposal;
 - (IV) The method of disposal; and
 - (V) The name and medical marijuana establishment agent registration card number of the medical marijuana establishment agent responsible for the disposal.
- (e) When providing medical marijuana to another medical marijuana establishment:
- (1) The amount, strain, [and] batch number, lot number and production run number, as applicable, of medical marijuana provided to the medical marijuana establishment;
 - (2) The name and medical marijuana establishment registration certificate number of the other medical marijuana establishment;
 - (3) The name and medical marijuana establishment agent registration card number of the medical marijuana establishment agent who received the medical marijuana on behalf of the other medical marijuana establishment; and

- (4) The date on which the ~~medical~~ marijuana was provided to the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment.
- (f) When receiving edible marijuana products from another ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment:
- (1) A description of the edible marijuana products received from the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment, including the total weight of each edible marijuana product and the ~~estimated~~ amount of THC, ~~measured in milligrams~~, and [batch] the production run number of the marijuana in each edible marijuana product.
 - (2) The total ~~estimated~~ amount and [batch] production run number of marijuana in the edible marijuana products.
 - (3) The name and:
 - (I) ~~Medical~~ marijuana establishment registration certificate number of the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment providing the edible marijuana products to the receiving ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment;
 - (II) ~~Medical~~ marijuana establishment agent registration card number of the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment agent providing the edible marijuana products to the receiving ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment; and
 - (III) ~~Medical~~ marijuana establishment agent registration card number of the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment agent receiving the edible marijuana products on behalf of the receiving ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment.
 - (4) The date on which the edible marijuana products were provided to the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment.
- (g) When receiving marijuana-infused products from another ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment:
- (1) A description of the marijuana-infused products received from the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment, including the total weight of each marijuana-infused product and the ~~estimated~~ amount of THC, ~~measured in milligrams~~, and [batch] the production run number of the marijuana infused in each marijuana-infused product.
 - (2) The total ~~estimated~~ amount and [batch] production run number of marijuana infused in the marijuana-infused products.
 - (3) The name and:
 - (I) ~~Medical~~ marijuana establishment registration certificate number of the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment providing the marijuana-infused products to the receiving ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment;
 - (II) ~~Medical~~ marijuana establishment agent registration card number of the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment agent providing the marijuana-infused products to the receiving ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment; and
 - (III) ~~Medical~~ marijuana establishment agent registration card number of the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment agent receiving the marijuana-infused products on behalf of the receiving ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment.
 - (4) The date on which the marijuana-infused products were provided to the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment.
- (h) When receiving concentrated cannabis or products containing concentrated cannabis from a facility for the production of edible marijuana products or marijuana-infused products:
- (1) A description of the concentrated cannabis or products containing concentrated cannabis received from the facility for the production of edible marijuana products or marijuana-infused products, including the total weight of each product, the amount of THC, ~~measured in milligrams~~, and the production run number for each product;
 - (2) The name and:

- (I) ~~Medical~~ marijuana establishment registration certificate number of the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment providing the concentrated cannabis or products containing concentrated cannabis to the receiving ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment;
 - (II) ~~Medical~~ marijuana establishment agent registration card number of the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment agent providing the concentrated cannabis or products containing concentrated cannabis to the receiving ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment; and
 - (III) ~~Medical~~ marijuana establishment agent registration card number of the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment agent receiving the concentrated cannabis or products containing concentrated cannabis on behalf of the receiving ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment; and
- (3) The date on which the concentrated cannabis or products containing concentrated cannabis were provided to the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment.

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

None

Centralized Inventory Tracking

1. Working group name:

Operations - Production/ Manufacturing

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*Anna Thornley – Department of Taxation
Bryan Hyun – Owner/Operator – The Grove
Jake Ward – Pure Tonic Concentrates*

3. Describe the recommendation:

All marijuana facilities will have internal inventory control systems and movement of all controlled substances between facilities will be closely monitored per regulation. It has been proposed that a centralized seed-to-sale system also be put into effect by the Department which would centrally monitor all inventory in the State. This data would potentially be used to not only track inventory but also for tracking business transactions so that fair market values may be established per NRS 453D.

Since inventory control systems are a very important part of how the industry interfaces with regulators, it is recommended that the Department work closely with industry to decide whether a centralized seed-to-sale inventory tracking system is necessary. If the system is deemed necessary, then the Department should work closely with industry to develop system requirements and implement the system.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments and the industry

Guiding Principle 4 - Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

- NRS 453D.200 (c) Requirements for the security of marijuana establishments;*
- NRS 453D.200 (d) Requirements to prevent the sale or diversion of marijuana and marijuana products to persons under 21 years of age*
- NRS 453D.200 (g) Requirements for record keeping by marijuana establishments*
- NRS 453D.200 (i) Procedures to establish the fair market value at wholesale of marijuana*

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

This recommendation resolves the issue of implementing an inventory control system which is robust enough to allow for the gathering of pertinent data, but not redundant or unduly burdensome on the industry.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent.

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

The Department will have to reach out to industry to gain some knowledge as to how inventory and transactions are currently tracked and industry will have to learn more about the needs of the Department as final regulations are drafted. Inventory control systems are a very important part of how the industry interfaces with regulators.

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

None

Inventory Tracking and Separation of Product

1. Working group name:

Retail Establishments

2. Individual sponsor(s):

Andrew Jolley, President, Nevada Dispensary Association

3. Describe the recommendation:

The Retail Establishments working group recommends that to the degree possible, dual licensed medical marijuana establishments (MMEs) and recreational marijuana establishments (RMEs) should not be required to segregate inventory into medical and recreational products. Although some segregation and delineation may be required based on current tax structures, the working group recommends that to the degree possible, all marijuana products should be inventoried and handled the same way until the point of sale.

These recommendations are based on the following propositions:

- *Segregating inventory into medical and recreational products would lead to operational inefficiencies and will ultimately increase the cost to patients and consumers;*
- *The Nevada medical marijuana program leads the nation in its inventory and tracking standards;*
- *The Nevada medical marijuana program has been successful in protecting patient and public health and safety;*
- *Colorado operators and regulators have advised Nevada legislators to avoid the inefficiencies and confusion that results from unnecessarily segregating product inventory; and*
- *Products can be designated as a medical or recreational purchase at the point of sale for tax and reporting purposes.*

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Efficient inventory management promotes the guiding principle to be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments, and the industry. The measure would also promote efficient and effective regulations that are clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

This recommendation applies to Initiative Petition 1 ("IP1"), Section 5, which bestows the responsibility for regulating recreational marijuana in the Department of Taxation. Section 13.1.b requires MREs to "Secure the inventory and equipment of the marijuana establishment during and after operating hours to deter and prevent theft of marijuana." Allowing products to be stored together and not requiring unnecessary segregation and delineation will assist MREs to operate more efficiently and to better secure inventory.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

Allowing products to be stored together and not requiring unnecessary segregation and delineation will assist MREs to operate more efficiently and to better secure inventory.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

Jennifer DeLett-Snyder of Join Together Northern Nevada and the Nevada Statewide Coalition Partnership dissents for this reason: medical marijuana is currently regulated by the Department of Public and Behavioral Health as a medicinal product. If medical marijuana continues to be viewed by the state as a medical product, regardless of the regulatory department, the product should remain separated from other marijuana products that will be sold to the general public for recreational purposes.

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

This recommendation can be taken by Department of Taxation as a general guideline when promulgating regulations that will affect inventory management. Additionally, in the event that tax structures for medical and recreational products become normalized, this recommendation can be implemented to apply to all or most products in dual licensed facilities.

9. Additional Information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

The intent of this recommendation is to save money.

Retail Store Operations

Operations – Service

1. Working group name:

Retail Establishments

2. Individual sponsor(s):

Mona Lisa Samuelson - Marijuana Advocate

Wes Henderson - Executive Director, Nevada League of Cities & Municipalities

3. Describe the recommendation:

The Operations Retail Establishment working group recommends the Department of Taxation include provisions in any regulation giving preference in a dual-use licensed facility to holders of a medical marijuana card.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 2- Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments and the industry.

Guiding Principle 4- "Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome".

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

Section 5(1)(k) of the initiative.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

The inconvenience for medical marijuana patients due to an increase of traffic in the dual use license facilities.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

Not known

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

Not known

Retail Regulations

1. Working group name:

Operations- Retail Establishment

2. Individual sponsor(s):

Riana Durrett, Executive Director, Nevada Dispensary Association

3. Describe the recommendation:

The Operations- Retail Establishment working group recommends that the Department of Taxation ("Department") apply the medical marijuana program regulations to the retail marijuana program, with certain exceptions. Nevada's medical marijuana program is exemplary in many areas and the medical marijuana regulations should be adopted and applied to recreational marijuana, with the exceptions identified and discussed below. The exceptions below pertain to dispensaries as other working groups are expected to address the regulations that pertain to their designated subject matter.

The regulations promulgated under IP1 should be codified in a separate sub-chapter, for example, NAC 453D:

1) *Application to Operate Establishment*

NAC 453A.304 to NAC 453A.332 provide the requirements for applying for and renewing a license to operate a medical marijuana establishment. These requirements would need to be revised to reflect the mandates under IP1, including the provisions for dual licensure.

2) *Entry and Identification of Patients/Customers*

Under NAC 453A.406, a person must be a patient or primary caregiver to be on the premises of a dispensary, other than registered agents and properly authorized visitors.

NAC 453D should only limit persons under 21 years of age from entering a dispensary. Those persons should be limited to the retail/customer area and not allow access to restricted areas, unless they are a properly authorized visitor who is accompanied and monitored by a registered agent at all times. NAC 453D should adopt the same provisions as NAC453A with regards to visitor access, visitor identification, visitor logs, etc.

NAC 453D should specify that any person can enter the dispensary by showing proof they are 21 years of age or proof they are a patient or caregiver. However, persons entering the dispensary who wish to go beyond the customer/retail area of the dispensary must be a registered agent, properly authorized visitor, or law enforcement or regulator.

Any dispensary that allows entry of those under 21 years of age that is not properly authorized shall be subject to disciplinary action ranging from a fine to revocation, depending on the culpability of the dispensary.

3) Patient Records

NAC 453A.452 sets forth the requirements pertaining to patient records and requiring documentation for denial of sales to a patient. NAC453D should eliminate this requirement.

4) Tracking Sales

Under NAC 453A.412, a dispensary agent must verify patient identification, offer education materials, verify purchase would not exceed patient's 2.5 ounce limit, verify the validity of the patient card, and enter the patient's identification, purchase amount, and information about the dispensary into the on-line portal and inventory control system.

NAC 453D should eliminate each of these requirements. NAC453D should only impose the 1-ounce purchase limit, but not require a customer to show any identifying information, other than proof that the person is over 21 years of age and it should not require the dispensary to document any information provided by the customer.

5) Labeling

Under NAC453A.510, the dispensary must affix a label to each product that includes various information, such as patient name. Under IP1, the Department should revise the requirements for labeling retail marijuana to reflect the provision in IP1 that precludes the Department from tracking customer information.

Further, the Department should require dispensaries to include label information with each purchase, but it should not require each dispensary to "affix" the label to the product. Dispensaries have faced unnecessary challenges from the requirement to "affix" the label because some products are too small for a label. The dispensary should be required to provide the label information with the purchase, just as prescription drug information is often provided in a separate booklet with the purchase of the prescription.

Further modifications to the labeling requirements should be addressed in a separate recommendation.

6) Purchase limits

NAC 453A.412 requires verification of patient purchase limits and requires dispensaries to only sell within those limits. Any limits under NAC453D would be 1 ounce of marijuana or 1/8th of an ounce of concentrated cannabis, per IP1, but the dispensary is not required to track recreational purchase amounts as required they are under the medical marijuana program.

7) Training

Training is currently addressed under NAC 453A.336. The same training should be required

under NAC 453, but should be expanded. Further training requirements will be proposed in a separate recommendation.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

This recommendation promotes efficient and effective regulations that are clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

This recommendation applies to Initiative Petition 1 ("IP1"), Section 5, which vests the responsibility for regulating recreational marijuana in the Department of Taxation and allows for a "dual license" of retail and medical establishments.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

The sponsor of this recommendation and leaders in the medical marijuana industry, including legislators and regulators, strongly recommend that the Department adopt the medical marijuana regulations to regulate the retail marijuana market, with specific exceptions and adaptations. The medical marijuana regulations lead the nation in testing, tracking, safety, etc. However, not all of the current regulations are compatible or consistent with IP1 and thus some must be revised to comport with IP1. The regulations that pertain to dispensaries that must be revised to comport with IP1 are addressed above.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

The Department will need to promulgate regulations pertaining to the retail marijuana program, as mandated by IP1. The Department should adopt the same regulations that apply to the medical marijuana, with specific exceptions. The exceptions that apply to dispensaries are discussed above.

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

Not known

Cultivation Operations

Outdoor Cultivation-Buffer Zone

1. Working group name:

Cultivation Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*Lynn Hettrick – Division Administrator - Nevada Department of Agriculture
Tessa Rognier – Agriculturist III - Nevada Department of Agriculture*

3. Describe the recommendation:

Both marijuana and industrial hemp are in the genus Cannabis Sativa L. Cross pollination can destroy the value of both crops. The recommendation is to establish a buffer zone of at least five miles between outdoor Cannabis Cultivation Facilities or from any indoor cannabis cultivation facilities, unless the Nevada Department of Agriculture grants a variance. It will be necessary for the Nevada Department of Agriculture to be informed of the proposed site of an outdoor Cannabis Cultivation facility during the application process to ensure that there is an adequate buffer zone to prevent cross pollination of the cannabis crops.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments and the industry

Guiding Principle 6 - Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement, consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable

Guiding Principle 7 - Take action that is faithful to the text of Question 2

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

The provisions of Question 2 that allow for Outdoor Cannabis Cultivation, as opposed to Medical Cultivation which must be inside a warehouse or greenhouse facility.

Section 13, Subsection 2: All cultivation, processing, and manufacture of marijuana must take place at a physical address approved by the Department and within an area that is enclosed and locked in a manner that restricts access only to persons authorized to access the area. The area may be uncovered only if it is enclosed with security fencing that is designed to prevent unauthorized entry and that is at least 8 feet high.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

The issue is of cross pollination that may occur between male industrial hemp species with less than 0.3% THC that produce pollen, and female cannabis plants cultivated for medicinal/adult consumption. The recommended buffer zone would also limit the possibility of female hemp species with less than 0.3% THC being pollinated by cannabis plants cultivated for medicinal/adult consumption that contain more than 0.3% THC.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No, everyone in the working group was in agreement there should be regulations set in place to prevent both of the fore mentioned situations.

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

Recommendation to add the following into regulation for outdoor cannabis cultivation facilities:

Outdoor cannabis cultivation facilities need to be isolated at least 5 miles from any other outdoor cannabis cultivation facilities and any indoor cannabis cultivation facilities to limit the possibility of cross pollination between male and female cannabis plants. Cannabis cultivation is defined as industrial hemp cultivation and/or marijuana cultivation. The Department of Agriculture may consider granting a variance relating to isolation distances of cannabis cultivation facilities.

Application for certification of location Outdoor Cannabis Cultivation

1. *For each outdoor cultivation location, an applicant must submit to the Department of Agriculture an application for verification of adequate isolation. An outdoor cultivation facility may request from the NDA, confirmation of adequate buffer zone isolation prior to submitting the application for certification of location.*
2. *Each application must:*
 - (a) Be on a form obtained from the Department of Agriculture;*
 - (b) Include documentation that verifies that the cultivator has;*
 - 1) Appropriate licensing,*
 - 2) Approved zoning and*
 - 3) Any other use approvals required by the local jurisdiction;*
 - (c) Include a map and/or GPS co-ordinates that demonstrates the location of the proposed cultivation facility;*
 - (d) Include any other information requested by the Department of Agriculture;*
 - (e) Be received and approved by the Department of Agriculture before the proposed cultivation area begins operations.*
9. **Additional Information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).**

A consolidated budget, including the services to be provided by the NDA, has been submitted to the Department of Taxation.

Home Cultivation

1. Working group name:

Cultivation Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*Lynn Hettrick – Division Administrator - Nevada Department of Agriculture
Jason Strull – 374 Labs*

3. Describe the recommendation:

Recommendation is to add to the following to the applicable NAC Sections

Personal use cultivation of marijuana must be registered with the Department of Taxation

Personal use cultivated marijuana is subject to the same quality standards, set forth by the Department of Taxation, as commercially cultivated marijuana, including but not limited to pesticide, heavy metal, microbial and mycotoxin contamination levels.

Untested personal use cultivated marijuana that is given or delivered and that has not been tested by an Independent Laboratory must be clearly labeled: "This marijuana is not tested and may contain harmful pesticides and other contaminants"

In the event there is a complaint with given or delivered personal use marijuana, an independent laboratory or the Nevada Department of Agriculture may test the product. If the product is found to have level of contaminants exceeding the limits set forth by the Department, Nevada Department of Public Health, or any other applicable agency, the Department of Taxation may take reasonable action against the cultivator.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 1- Promote the health, safety, and well being of Nevada's communities

Guiding Principle 6 - Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement, consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable.

Guiding Principle 7 - Take action that is faithful to the text of Question 2

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

The provisions of Question 2 that allow for Personal Cannabis Cultivation

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

Establishes clear and practical guidelines that marijuana cultivated for personal use is subject to the same safety regulations as marijuana sold by marijuana establishments.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

Amend the appropriate sections of the NAC, including Section 14 of IP 1, for penalties for violations of the recommended home cultivation standards

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

None

Pesticide Application and Worker Protection Standards

1. Working group name:

Cultivation Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*Lynn Hettrick – Division Administrator - Nevada Department of Agriculture
Tessa Rognier – Agriculturist III - Nevada Department of Agriculture
Jason Strull, Lab Director, 374 Labs
Armen Yemenidjian, Integral Associates II*

3. Describe the recommendation:

The recommendation is to establish for compliance with Pesticide Worker Protection Standards and Certification through Nevada Department of Agriculture (NDA) Pesticide Certification Program of at least one cultivation facility staff member in Commercial Greenhouse category for indoor cultivation and/or Commercial Agricultural Plant/Animal category for outdoor cultivation. Random and/or scheduled facility visits by NDA staff inspectors in conjunction with cultivation and pesticide consultations, quality assurance or for cause, such as a complaint. These provisions are required by 40 CFR Part 170 Worker Protection Standard, and 40 CFR Part 150 to 180 Pesticide Programs.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 6 - Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement, consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

Section 13, Subsection 5: A marijuana establishment is subject to reasonable inspection by the Department, and a person who holds a marijuana establishment license must make himself or herself, or an agent thereof, available and present for any inspection required by the Department. The Department shall make reasonable accommodations so that ordinary business is not interrupted and safety and security procedures are not compromised by the inspection.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

To ensure safe use of pesticides in outdoor and indoor cultivation facilities, considered under Worker Protection Standards to be indoor/outdoor greenhouses and outdoor cultivation farm establishments.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

Recommendation to add the following into regulation for cannabis cultivation facilities:

Certification through Nevada Department of Agriculture (NDA) Pesticide Certification Program of at least one cultivation facility staff member in Commercial Greenhouse category for indoor cultivation and/or Commercial Agricultural Plant/Animal category for outdoor cultivation. This is an existing procedure for pesticide applicators. The test typically takes less than one hour and costs \$50.00.

Pesticide Education Consultation performed by Nevada Department of Agriculture Inspectors with Cultivation Staff at Initial Certification of Indoor/Outdoor cannabis cultivation facilities.

Submit to random and/or scheduled facility visits by NDA staff inspectors in conjunction with quality assurance or for cause, such as a complaint.

Provide funding for the NDA to provide the services required by federal law.

Applicable NAC with suggested changes:

NAC 453A.306 Applications to operate establishment: Required provisions. (NRS 453A.322, 453A.344, 453A.370) An application submitted in response to a request for applications issued pursuant to NAC 453A.304 must include:

11. Evidence that the applicant has a plan to staff, educate and manage the proposed medical marijuana establishment on a daily basis, which must include, without limitation:

(a) A detailed budget for the proposed medical marijuana establishment, including pre-opening, construction and first year operating expenses;

(b) An operations manual that demonstrates compliance with this chapter;

(c) An education plan which must include, without limitation, providing educational materials to the staff of the proposed medical marijuana establishment; and

(d) A plan to minimize the environmental impact of the proposed medical marijuana establishment.

(e) At least one Certified Pesticide Applicator at each facility, certified by the Nevada Department of Agriculture pesticide program, in the Greenhouse Category for indoor/outdoor greenhouse marijuana cultivations and/or Agricultural Plant and/or Agricultural Animal Categories for outdoor marijuana cultivation depending on the type of cultivation facility.

CHAPTER 555 - CONTROL OF INSECTS, PESTS AND NOXIOUS WEEDS

NAC 555.440 Precautionary requirements. (NRS 555.380, 555.400)

1. A licensee or certified applicator engaged in the application of pesticides shall exercise reasonable precautions to protect persons, animals, crops and property from harm or damage.

2. A pesticide or its empty container must not be disposed of or left unattended where it may present a hazard to any person, animal, crop or property, or be disposed of in a manner likely to cause injury. A licensee or certified applicator shall ensure that all empty containers are removed from the operations work site or otherwise safeguarded.

3. A licensee or certified applicator engaged in the application of pesticides shall:

(a) Provide his or her employees with the information, precautions and safety equipment required by the manufacturer of the pesticide or recommended by the Department; and

(b) Ensure that any safety equipment provided pursuant to paragraph (a) is in good working order.

4. A licensee or certified applicator engaged in the application of pesticides shall use materials, dosages, formulas, devices and methods of application and disposal only in accordance with the directions on the label of the pesticide or device registered by the Environmental Protection Agency, registered by the Department or in accordance with the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 136 et seq.

5. A licensee who performs a preconstruction treatment to the soil using a liquid termiticide shall use, unless otherwise authorized by the Director:

(a) A flow metering device which is accurately calibrated to display the number of gallons of termiticide dispensed pursuant to NAC 555.410, 555.428 and 555.700; or

(b) A measuring device which is accurately calibrated by the equipment manufacturer to display the number of gallons of termiticide dispensed pursuant to NAC 555.410, 555.428 and 555.700.

[Dep't of Agriculture, part No. 55.34, eff. 6-1-59; A 7-1-69; 5-22-72; + part No. 55.37, eff. 8-1-74; A 1-17-77] — (NAC A 2-5-82; R033-01, 5-1-2002; R062-10, 1-13-2011)

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

A consolidated budget for the services to be provided by the NDA has been submitted to the Department of Taxation.

Pesticides

1. Working group name:

Cultivation Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*Lynn Hettrick – Division Administrator - Nevada Department of Agriculture
Tessa Rognier – Agriculturist III - Nevada Department of Agriculture*

3. Describe the recommendation:

Recommendation is to use the existing statutes for pesticide application and the existing Medical Marijuana Cultivation statutes, regulations and policies to regulate Outdoor and Indoor Cultivation of Marijuana in regards to pesticides that can be applied on marijuana crops in the state of Nevada.

PESTICIDES USED ON MARIJUANA

NRS 555.380 Regulations of Director: Materials and methods for application.

1. The Director may, by regulation, prescribe materials or methods to be used and prohibit the use of materials or methods in custom application of pesticides, to the extent necessary to protect health or to prevent injury because of the drifting, washing or application of those materials to desired plants or animals, including pollinating insects and aquatic life.
2. In adopting the regulations, the Director shall give consideration to relevant research findings and recommendations of other agencies of this State or of the Federal Government.

NRS 586.550 Requirements for use of certain pesticides by medical marijuana establishments; publication of list of approved pesticides for use on medical marijuana.

1. A medical marijuana establishment may use a pesticide in the cultivation and production of marijuana, edible marijuana products and marijuana-infused products if the pesticide:
 - (a) Is exempt from registration pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 152.25 or allowed to be used on Crop Group 19, as defined in 40 C.F.R. § 180.41(c)26, hops or unspecified crops or plants;
 - (b) Has affixed a label which allows the pesticide to be used at the intended site of application; and
 - (c) Has affixed a label which allows the pesticide to be used on crops and plants intended for human consumption.
2. The State Department of Agriculture shall, in accordance with the provisions of this pursuant to this section and accept requests from pesticide manufacturers and medical marijuana establishments, or a representative thereof, to add pesticides to the list.
3. As used in this section:
 - (a) "Edible marijuana products" has the meaning ascribed to it in NRS 453A.101.

- (b) "Marijuana" has the meaning ascribed to it in NRS 453A.110.
(c) "Marijuana-infused products" has the meaning ascribed to it in NRS 453A.112.
(d) "Medical marijuana establishment" has the meaning ascribed to it in NRS 453A.116.
(Added to NRS by 2015, 3103)

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments and the industry

Guiding Principle 6 - Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement, consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable

Guiding Principle 7 - Take action that is faithful to the text of Question 2

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

The provisions of Question 2 that allow for Dual Licensing.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

Establishes clear and practical guidelines that, regardless of which program marijuana is cultivated under, the pesticide application policy will remain uniform in application.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

Utilize the existing provisions of NRS 555.380 and NRS 586.550; which provide the Nevada Department of Agriculture the authority to regulate pesticide applications and adapt the existing Medical Marijuana DPBH statutes, regulations and policies regarding pesticide application for all marijuana cultivation in Nevada.

9. Additional Information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

The authority to regulate pesticide applications at marijuana establishments exists within NRS 555.380 and NRS 586.550 as they are written, without altering the existing NRS.

Outdoor Cultivation - Security Requirements

1. Working group name:

Cultivation Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*John Ritter, Nevada Dispensary Association
Amanda Connor – Connor & Connor PLLC*

3. Describe the recommendation:

The Cultivation Working Group recommends that the security requirements for outdoor cultivation be at a minimum equal to the current medical marijuana cultivation requirements, as there is a need to ensure stringent security over the product.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 1 – Promote the health, safety, and well-being of Nevada's communities.

Guiding Principle 3 – Ensure that youth are protected from the risks associated with marijuana, including preventing the diversion of marijuana to anyone under the age of 21.

Guiding Principle 4 – Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.

Guiding Principle 6 – Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement (at the local, state and federal levels), consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable.

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

Question 2 allows outdoor cultivation while the current medical marijuana program does not. Therefore, this recommendation addresses what security should be required for outdoor cultivation.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

This recommendation would resolve the concern over outdoor cultivation and the need to have the product adequately monitored and secure.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

There was dissent by Lynn Hettrick and Wes Henderson. They offered the following dissent:

Dissenting Position Regarding the Security Recommendation

Point #1

The recommendation to build an 8-foot block wall with razor wire and another chain link fence with razor wire inside of that, around an entire outdoor cultivation facility clearly violates the IP language in Section 3 (19);

19. "Unreasonably Impracticable" means that the measures necessary to comply with the regulations require such a high investment of risk, money, time, or any other resource or asset that the operation of a marijuana establishment is not worthy of being carried out in practice by a reasonably prudent businessperson.

We do not have 8-foot block walls with razor wire around our state prisons. The IP requires "security fencing that is designed to prevent unauthorized entry and that is at least 8 feet high." It can be argued that requiring more than such a fence and requiring other measures that are "unreasonably impractical", is a violation of the NRS created by the voters.

It makes far more sense to require a block building for drying and storage of saleable material than to require a block wall around the cultivation area.

Point #2

The recommendation to require cultivation site location within 15 minutes of law enforcement response time effectively eliminates outdoor cultivation in several ways:

About 85% of Nevada land is controlled by the federal, state and local governments – no legal outdoor cultivation is likely on that land.

A vast percentage of the land remaining that is within 15-minute response time, is either not agricultural land or is inappropriately zoned.

Even if a cultivator can satisfy the zoning limitations, they must then eliminate any land that is "visible from a public place by normal unaided vision".

The 15-minute law enforcement response time forces a would-be cultivator into conflict with zoning laws and visibility restrictions and effectively eliminates all rural agricultural land that could otherwise be used for outdoor cultivation.

Summary

The "unreasonably impractical" provision of the IP applies to every aspect of recreational marijuana. The IP created NRS that allows for outdoor cultivation with an 8-foot-high fence. It is reasonable to add security cameras, motion detectors and lighting. It is not reasonable to add provisions that make it effectively impossible to find a suitable location or make it economically impossible to operate an outdoor cultivation facility.

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

This change will require the Department of Tax to adopt regulation regarding the security requirements for an outdoor cultivation facility. The following suggested security requirements should be included to be similar to medical marijuana cultivation with a few additional requirements given that outdoor cultivation will not be in an enclosed locked facility:

NAC 453A.420 Security. ~~(NRS 453A.370)~~ To prevent unauthorized access to medical marijuana at a medical or outdoor cultivation marijuana establishment, the medical marijuana establishment must have:

1. Security equipment to deter and prevent unauthorized entrance into limited access areas that includes, without limitation:

(a) Devices or a series of devices to detect unauthorized intrusion, which may include a signal system interconnected with a radio frequency method, such as cellular or private radio signals, or other mechanical or electronic device with motion detectors covering the entire cultivation area, perimeter and exterior area;

(b) Exterior lighting to facilitate surveillance covering the entirety of the cultivation area of the establishment, although if there are times during the grow cycle when the lighting would interfere with the flowering cycle then the lighting should cover the perimeter wall, fence and the exterior area around the cultivation area;

(c) Electronic monitoring, including, without limitation:

(1) At least one call-up monitor that is 19 inches or more;

(2) A video printer capable of immediately producing a clear still photo from any video camera image;

(3) Video cameras with a recording resolution of at least 704 x 480 or the equivalent which provide coverage of the entire cultivation area, perimeter and exterior area around the cultivation area, entrances to and exits from limited access areas and all entrances to and exits from the building establishment and which are capable of identifying any activity occurring in or adjacent to the building establishment;

~~(4) A video camera at each point-of-sale location which allows for the identification of any person who holds a valid registry identification card or his or her designated primary caregiver purchasing medical marijuana;~~

~~(5)-(4) A video camera in each grow room which is capable of identifying any activity occurring within the grow room areas in low light conditions;~~

~~(6)-(5) A method for storing video recordings from the video cameras for at least 30 calendar days;~~

~~(7)-(6) A failure notification system that provides an audible and visual notification of any failure in the electronic monitoring system; and~~

~~(8)-(7) Sufficient battery backup for video cameras and recording equipment to support at least 5 minutes of recording in the event of a power outage; and~~

(d) Immediate automatic or electronic notification to alert local law enforcement agencies of an unauthorized breach of security at the medical marijuana establishment in the interior of each building of the medical marijuana establishment.

(e) Be located within a 15-minute response time of local law enforcement;

Or

As otherwise determined by local law enforcement to be an acceptable response time.

(f) An alarm system and cameras monitored 24 hours a day.

(g) A solid 8-foot block wall with razor wire on top as the exterior barrier, and then the 8-foot fence inside that wall, also with razor wire on top, installed with 10 to 20 feet separation between them;

Or

A chain link fence with razor wire on top as the exterior barrier, and then the 8-foot fence inside that wall, installed with 10 to 20 feet separation between them as determined by local law enforcement.

- (h) *A secure block building suitable to dry and store marijuana and marijuana products as approved by the Department. The building must meet the security requirements of indoor cultivation establishments.*

2. *Policies and procedures:*

- (a) *That restrict access to the areas of the medical-marijuana establishment that contain medical marijuana to persons authorized to be in those areas only;*
- (b) *That provide for the identification of persons authorized to be in the areas of the establishment that contain medical-marijuana;*
- (c) *That prevent loitering;*
- (d) *For conducting electronic monitoring; and*
- (e) *For the use of the automatic or electronic notification to alert local law enforcement agencies of an unauthorized breach of security at the medical marijuana establishment.*

9. **Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).**

None

Product Acquisition

1. Working group name:

Cultivation Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*Armen Yemenidjian – Integral Associates, LLC
Amanda Connor – Connor & Connor PLLC*

3. Describe the recommendation:

The Cultivation Working Group recommends that the product acquisition procedures be similar to medical marijuana product acquisition procedures.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 1 – Promote the health, safety, and well-being of Nevada's communities.

Guiding Principle 3 – Ensure that youth are protected from the risks associated with marijuana, including preventing the diversion of marijuana to anyone under the age of 21.

Guiding Principle 4 – Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.

Guiding Principle 6 – Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement (at the local, state and federal levels), consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable.

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

Question 2 allows cultivation and this recommendation addresses how the cultivation facilities will acquire product.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

This recommendation would resolve the method for cultivation facilities to receive product.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

This change will require the Department of Tax to adopt regulation regarding product acquisition. The following suggested product acquisition requirements should be included to be similar to medical marijuana cultivation:

NAC 453A.414 Inventory control system; where establishment may acquire marijuana and related products; perpetual inventory system of manufacturing process; duties of establishment if loss is incurred. (NRS 453A.370)

1. Each ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment shall designate in writing a ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment agent who has oversight of the inventory control system of the medical marijuana establishment.
2. A ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment shall only acquire marijuana, edible marijuana products or marijuana-infused products from:
 - (a) Another ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment, including, without limitation, a cultivation facility and a facility for the production of edible marijuana products or marijuana-infused products, except that ~~a medical marijuana dispensary may not purchase marijuana from another medical marijuana dispensary, or~~ a retail marijuana establishment
 - (b) ~~A person who holds a valid registry identification card or his or her designated primary caregiver in the manner set forth in subsection 5 of NRS 453A.352.~~ A marijuana establishment may acquire product from a medical marijuana establishment that is licensed under NRS 453A.
 - (c) A marijuana cultivation establishment may acquire seeds for the cultivation of marijuana that are legally purchased pursuant to NRS 453D.
 - (d) A home grower registered with the State of Nevada Department of Agriculture.
3. Each ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment shall establish and implement an inventory control system that documents:
 - (a) Each day's beginning inventory, acquisitions, harvests, sales, disbursements, disposal of unusable marijuana and ending inventory.
 - (b) ~~When acquiring medical marijuana from a person who holds a valid registry identification card or his or her designated primary caregiver:~~
 - (1) ~~A description of the medical marijuana acquired, including the amount and strain as specified by the cardholder or caregiver, if known;~~
 - (2) ~~The name and number of the valid registry identification card of the person who provided the medical marijuana or, if provided by a designated primary caregiver, his or her name;~~
 - (3) ~~The name and medical marijuana establishment agent registration card number of the medical marijuana establishment agent receiving the medical marijuana on behalf of the medical marijuana dispensary; and~~
 - (4) ~~The date of acquisition.~~
 - (c) ~~(b) When acquiring medical marijuana from another medical marijuana establishment:~~
 - (1) A description of the ~~medical~~ marijuana acquired, including the amount, strain and batch number;
 - (2) The name and identification number of the ~~medical~~ marijuana establishment

inventory through the seed to sale process will help avoid over inventory situations and potential illegal diversion of excess product. The Department of Taxation will be able to more effectively regulate this segment of the industry if the supply chain is efficiently streamlined so the correct amount of inventory / medicine is always produced and designated.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

This recommendation would resolve the inefficiencies created by requiring the industry to separately inventory and track wholesale cannabis product as medical and retail when that product is identical and grown under the same conditions at the same time. There is no logical reason that the product needs to be designated as medical or recreational until a retail sale occurs. In States where this is done, like Colorado, the regulators and the industry regularly cite the requirement to track and inventory medical and recreational cannabis separately from seed to sale as unnecessary, highly inefficient and cumbersome.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

This change will require legislation to change the current tax structure on medical cannabis to reflect the tax structure mandated by IP1

NRS 372A.290 will need to be amended as follows:

NRS 372A.290 Imposition and amount of tax; distribution of revenue collected.

~~— 1. — An excise tax is hereby imposed on each wholesale sale in this State of marijuana by a cultivation facility to another medical marijuana establishment at the rate of 2 percent of the sales price of the marijuana. The excise tax imposed pursuant to this subsection is the obligation of the cultivation facility.~~

~~— 2. — An excise tax is hereby imposed on each wholesale sale in this State of edible marijuana products or marijuana infused products by a facility for the production of edible marijuana products or marijuana infused products to another medical marijuana establishment at the rate of 2 percent of the sales price of these products. The excise tax imposed pursuant to this subsection is the obligation of the facility for the production of edible marijuana products or marijuana infused products which sells the edible marijuana products or marijuana infused products to the other medical marijuana establishment.~~

1. An excise tax is hereby imposed and must be collected by the State respecting wholesale sales of medical marijuana in this State by a medical marijuana cultivation facility at a rate of 15 percent of the fair market value at wholesale of the medical marijuana, the fair market value as determined by the Department of Taxation pursuant to NRS 453D.200(1)(i). ~~on each retail sale in this State of marijuana, edible marijuana products or marijuana infused products by a medical marijuana dispensary at the rate of 2 percent of the sales price of the marijuana, edible marijuana products or marijuana infused products.~~ The excise tax imposed pursuant to this subsection:

(a) Is the obligation of the medical marijuana dispensary cultivation facility, and;

(b) Is separate from and in addition to any general state and local sales and use taxes that apply to retail sales of tangible personal property.

—(c) Must be considered part of the total retail price to which general state and local sales and use taxes apply.

The working group recommends that the revenue distribution will need to be addressed prior to implementation.

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

None

Taxation – Retail Tax 10%

1. Working group name:

Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

David Goldwater, Former Legislator / Owner

Dagny Stapleton, Nevada Association of Counties

Amanda Connor, Attorney at Connor & Connor - Marijuana law

3. Describe the recommendation:

The Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group recommends an additional tax on retail marijuana at the point of sale at a rate of 10%. This recommended tax is in addition to the 15% tax collected at the wholesale level. Governor Sandoval, in his State of the State message, recommended this new tax in addition to those taxes found in Question 2. The working group placed considerable weight on his recommendation and considered the rate. The 10% component rate is consistent an overall target rate of 30% total taxation for the retail product. This target rate is consistent with other jurisdictional findings that the balance between a healthy, regulated industry and a shrinking black market is around 30% total taxation. While the Governor recommended that this tax go to education, the working group was reluctant to specifically recommend how the new tax should be allocated, understanding there are impacts on local government, law enforcement, communities, and agencies which should all be considered when policy makers decide on allocation.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 1 –Promote the health, safety, and well-being of Nevada's communities

Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments, and the industry.

Guiding Principle 4 - Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

Question 2 imposed a 15 percent excise tax on marijuana sales by cultivation facilities (wholesale). It mandated that annual State licensing fees range from \$3,300 to \$30,000, depending on the type of license. Question 2 was designed to allocate revenue from the tax, licensing fees, and penalties first to the Department of Taxation and local governments to cover costs related to the measure, and then all remaining revenue to the State Distributive School Account. Recommending an additional tax at retail point of sale is consistent with the concepts of value added taxation found in Question 2.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

This recommendation accomplishes 3 objectives: 1) It provides revenue for important State priorities from a product that is considered a discretionary purchase for most people, 2) it is still a low enough rate to keep the price disparity between legal, regulated businesses and illegal, black market operators small enough to discourage a significant black market from arising, and, 3) it creates a significant enough difference in the retail price from medical marijuana that medical patients will have a financial incentive to continue to participate in the medical program.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

There was little or no dissent regarding this recommendation.

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

There will need to be legislative action to adopt this recommendation. As this recommendation is consistent with the Governor's recommended budget, the recommended statutory changes will be in legislation supported by the Governor's office.

9. Additional Information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

None

Fees – Local Government Share

1. Working group name:

Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*Dagny Stapleton, Nevada Association of Counties
David Goldwater, Former Legislator / Owner*

3. Describe the recommendation:

The Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group recognizes that local government, as critical partners in the delivery of services to Nevada's citizens, and stewards of the health safety and welfare of Nevada's communities, should share in the revenues generated from the creation and taxation of the marijuana industry in Nevada. The voters of Nevada recognized this and spoke to it in Question 2. The Working Group also recognizes that the target tax rate at retail is approximately 30%, and that more than that could drive the expansion of a black market. The Working Group recommends that local government should receive a share of revenues generated by this new industry, both so that they share in the financial benefits from legalization of marijuana, as well as to mitigate the impacts that will be felt at local government levels throughout the State.

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 1 - Promote the health, safety, and well-being of communities

Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments, and the industry.

Guiding Principle 4 - Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

The preamble to Question 2 states that the cultivation and sale of marijuana "should be regulated similar to other legal businesses," and "... in a manner similar to alcohol." Like alcohol, a discretionary purchase for most people yet one that requires a strict regulatory structure, revenues from the taxation of marijuana are intended to support and provide a benefit to both State and local government in Nevada. Question 2 also imposes an excise tax and creates State licensing fees to ensure that some revenue can be dedicated to the costs related to carrying out the legalization of marijuana and the regulation of the related industry. Supporting the receipt of revenue for local government is consistent with these provisions. Public safety and land use regulation are also referenced in Question 2 - ensuring that local governments receive a share of revenue will support them in carrying out those services as well as the many others that they are mandated to provide to Nevada's citizens including the provision of various health and human services,

indigent medical care and legal defense, the collection of taxes, the creation and maintenance of local infrastructure and emergency response.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

This recommendation provides revenue for critical local government services from a product that is considered a discretionary purchase for most people. This recommendation affirms that the aggregate tax rate at retail should remain low enough to keep the price disparity between legal, regulated businesses and illegal, black market operators small enough to discourage a significant black market.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

Deonne Contine dissented.

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

Currently local governments have the authority to impose business license fees; however, to place a cap on those fees, legislation would be needed. Legislation would also be required to impose an additional excise tax.

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

None

Application and Licensing Requirements

Application Process

1. Working Group Name:

Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group

2. Individual Sponsor(s):

*Amanda Conner, Attorney at Connor & Connor - Marijuana law
Neil Krutz, Assistant City Manager, City of Sparks
Jacqueline Holloway, Director of Business License Development, Clark County
John Ritter, Nevada Dispensary Association
Steve Gilbert, Program Manager, Medical Marijuana Program*

3. Describe the Recommendation:

The Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group recommends that, as described in IP1, the qualifications for licensure that are directly and demonstrably related to the operation of a marijuana establishment and the impartial and numerically scored bidding process for "retail marijuana stores", be maintained, however, we recommend the local governments be given the responsibility to affirm candidates location(s) based on requirements within the respective jurisdiction. Conceptually, the recommended process would look as follows:

- The Department of Taxation (Department) would receive marijuana establishment applications, score and rank them in each jurisdiction based on an applicant's qualifications as required in IP1.*
- The Department will not require zoning approval to be submitted in the marijuana establishment application. If an applicant does have zoning and land use approval and chooses to include it their application, no extra points or merit will be awarded for it being included.*
- The Department passes each ranked marijuana establishment list on to the applicable local jurisdiction, based on the maximum number of retail establishments allowed under IP1. This list of applicants will be awarded a conditional license from the Department.*
- Each applicant that receives a conditional license from the Department must then get local jurisdiction approval for zoning and land use. If an applicant needs to pursue an alternative location because the original location was denied local approval, the alternative location must be similar in scope with respect to building size, operation, and systems. Licenses will remain conditional until all local requirements have been obtained and submitted to the Department to finalize the application. If all state and local requirements are met, the applicant will be awarded a provisional license allowing them to commence development of their establishment. Licenses will remain provisional until all required audits and inspections have been completed by the Department and the establishment is awarded a final license.*

- *If the marijuana establishment is not able to receive local jurisdiction zoning and land use approval within 18 months from the date the Department issued the conditional license, the applicant will surrender the license back to the department for reissuance through another application process. The Department may extend the 18 months due to extenuating circumstances at the discretion of the Department.*

4. Which Guiding Principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 1 - Promote the health, safety, and well-being of Nevada's communities. Following this application recommendation will ensure the best applicants are awarded a license.

Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments and the industry. This recommendation will help streamline the application process and potentially avoid costly lawsuits in the future.

Guiding Principle 3 - Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome. This recommendation will improve upon the medical marijuana application process that was already successfully used. These minor improvements will make it clearer to the applicant, the Department and remove ambiguity.

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

Question 2 states the Department of Taxation would be charged with adopting regulations necessary to carry out the provisions of this ballot measure. The regulations must address licensing procedures; licensee qualifications; security of marijuana establishments; testing, labeling, and packaging requirements; reasonable restrictions on advertising; and civil penalties for violating any regulation adopted by the Department.

IP1 Section 10 (5)(e) states:

Upon request of a county government, the Department may issue retail marijuana store licenses in that county in addition to the number otherwise allowed pursuant to this paragraph

The Department shall approve a license application if the locality in which the proposed marijuana establishment will be located does not affirm to the Department that the proposed marijuana establishment will be in violation of zoning or land use rules adopted by the locality.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

This recommendation would resolve the imbalance of the application score and ranking when it comes to the local jurisdiction approval for marijuana establishment locations. The Department will be tasked with scoring applicants based how well they meet the qualifications described in IP1, and the local jurisdiction will be tasked with zoning and land use approval.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

No dissent

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

A statute change will not be required.

Regulation language will need to be added defining the application process and requirements.

9. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

None

Rating Criteria on Applications

1. Working group name:

Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group

2. Individual sponsor(s):

*John Ritter, Nevada Dispensary Association and The Grove
Amanda Connor, Attorney Connor & Connor - Marijuana law*

3. Describe the recommendation:

The Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group recommends that the impartial and numerically scored bidding process as described in IP1 for "retail marijuana stores" include the following criteria and weighting to be used for scoring applications. IP1 also requires the department to adopt regulations that include "qualifications for licensure that are directly and demonstrably related to the operation of a marijuana establishment." Therefore we also recommend that the applicable criteria and weighting shown below that demonstrate those qualifications be included in the regulations.

The following criteria and weighting should be included:

- 1. Applicant has an existing temporary recreational marijuana establishment license that is operational (as defined in the temporary regulations) and in good standing (as defined in the temporary regulations). - Very heavily weighted*
- 2. Applicant has a medical marijuana establishment license that is operational (as defined in the temporary regulations) by December 31, 2017 and is in good standing (as defined in the temporary regulations) - Very Heavily weighted.*
- 3. Owners, officers and/or the management team have direct experience with a medical and/or recreational marijuana establishment for the specific type of marijuana establishment license the applicant is seeking and have demonstrated a track record of operating that establishment in a manner that complies with the requirements of the applicable State regulatory authority overseeing such establishment. Experience in a Nevada marijuana establishment is preferred. - Very Heavily weighted*
- 4. Applicant has demonstrated a track record of paying taxes to the Department of Taxation generated by the operation of a medical or recreational marijuana establishment. - Very heavily weighted*
- 5. Owners, Officers and/or Board members have a demonstrated track record of employing Nevadans and paying state and local taxes and fees in Nevada. - Heavily Weighted*
- 6. Owners, Officers and/or Board members have a demonstrated track record of giving back to the community through their civic and/or philanthropic involvement in Nevada. - Heavily Weighted*
- 7. Owners, Officers, and/or Board members have experience operating another kind of business(s) that has given them applicable experience to running a marijuana establishment in the State of Nevada. - Medium weighted.*

8. *Applicant has submitted a business plan for the operation of the marijuana establishment that demonstrates knowledge and experience with the license type that is being applied for and addresses how the establishment will be operated under the requirements established by regulation of the Department as required by NRS 453D.200 - Medium weighted.*

4. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

Guiding Principle 1 - Promote the health, safety, and well-being of Nevada's communities

Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments, and the industry.

Guiding Principle 4 - Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.

5. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

Question 2 states that there is to be an impartial and numerically scored bidding process for "retail marijuana stores" and that the Department has a duty to use "qualifications for licensure that are directly and demonstrably related to the operation of a marijuana establishment". The recommendation addresses criteria and weighting to apply to each of those provisions.

6. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

This recommendation resolves the issue of how to determine what criteria and weighting should be considered for issuance of a license.

7. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

There was no dissent regarding this recommendation.

8. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

There would need to be adoption of regulations to address this recommendation.

9. Additional Information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc.).

None.

2018 Retail Marijuana Store Application Scores and Rankings

Revised 4pm 5/14/2019

CARSON CITY				
Rank	Business Name	DBALOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes/No
1	EMERGENCY TROPICANA, LLC	EMERGENCY	227.17	Yes
2	NEVADA ORGANIC REMEDIES, LLC	THE SOURCE	222.64	Yes
3	LONG MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC	ZENLEAF	214.50	No
4	TRIVYON, LLC	GRASSROOTS	196.49	No
5	CLARK NATURAL MEDICINAL SOLUTIONS, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	191.67	No
6	NYE NATURAL MEDICINAL SOLUTIONS, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	191.67	No
7	BIOMEDICA INNOVATIONS OF CARSON CITY, LLC	BIOMEDICA INNOVATIONS	188.00	No
8	CLARK NANO, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	178.84	No
9	D LUX, LLC	D LUX	150.49	No
10	ON LICKENHILL, INC	CANA NEVADA	120.61	No
11	CARSON CITY MEDICAL SOLUTIONS, LLC	CARSON CITY MEDICAL SOLUTIONS	128.67	No

CLARK COUNTY				
Rank	Business Name	DBALOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes/No
NO APPLICATIONS RECEIVED				

CLARK COUNTY HENDERSON				
Rank	Business Name	DBALOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes/No
1	EMERGENCY TROPICANA, LLC	EMERGENCY	227.17	Yes
2	NEVADA ORGANIC REMEDIES, LLC	THE SOURCE	222.64	Yes
3	DEEP ROOTS MEDICAL, LLC	DEEP ROOTS HARVEST	222.49	Yes
4	CHRYSTLE MEDICAL, LLC	THRIVE	214.50	Yes
5	GREENMART OF NEVADA, LLC	HEALTH FOR LIFE	211.37	Yes
6	CLEAR RIVER, LLC	KABUKI	210.14	No
7	QUALCAN, LLC	QUALCAN	209.69	No
8	CIRCLE 8 FARMS, LLC	CIRCLE 8	209.00	No
9	WISC, INC	NEBULA WELL	201.50	No
10	VEGAS VALLEY GROWERS	KBY MEDICUM CANNABIS	197.17	No
11	TRIVYON, LLC	GRASSROOTS	196.49	No
12	HARVEST OF NEVADA, LLC	HARVEST	195.01	No
13	RED EARTH, LLC	RED EARTH	194.67	No
14	GRAVITAS NEVADA, LTD	THE APOTHECARY	194.00	No
15	CLARK NATURAL MEDICINAL SOLUTIONS, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	191.67	No
16	NYE NATURAL MEDICINAL SOLUTIONS, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	191.67	No
17	FRANKLIN BIO SCIENCE NV, LLC	BEYONDBELLO	190.66	No
18	GREEN THERAPEUTICS, LLC	PROVISIONS	188.00	No
19	NY HHS PARTNERS, LLC	EVYGREEN ORGANIX	188.00	No
20	BIOMEDICA INNOVATIONS CENTER, LLC	BIOMEDICA INNOVATIONS	188.00	No
21	BIOMEDICA INNOVATIONS, LLC	BIOMEDICA INNOVATIONS	188.00	No
22	CLARK NANO, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	178.84	No
23	BIOMEDICA INNOVATIONS, INC	NATURAL MEDICINE	178.84	No
24	NEVADA GROUP WELLNESS, LLC	PHASE	178.18	No
25	WELLNESS & CONNECTIONS OF NEVADA, LLC	MIND	172.16	No
26	BIOMEDICA INNOVATIONS, LLC	GOOD CHEMISTRY	167.17	No
27	TRIVYON TWELVE, LLC	1212 DISPENSARY	166.67	No
28	REDFIRE HARMONY, LLC	TUP NOTCH	166.31	No
29	JUST QUALITY, LLC	PANAMA CANNABIS (HMS)	161.83	No
30	STW MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC	QASTERS	158.17	No
31	GREEN LEAF FARMS, LLC	PLAYERS NETWORK	148.51	No
32	LIBRA WELLNESS CENTER, LLC	LIBRA WELLNESS	134.17	No
33	NYE FARM TECH, LTD	IRON LEAF	131.34	No
34	GREEN LEAF WELLNESS, INC	GREEN LEAF WELLNESS	134.81	No
35	GREENWAY HEALTH COMMUNITY, LLC	GREENWAY HEALTH COMMUNITY	87.33	No

CLARK COUNTY LAS VEGAS				
Rank	Business Name	DBALOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes/No
1	EMERGENCY TROPICANA, LLC	EMERGENCY	227.17	Yes
2	NEVADA ORGANIC REMEDIES, LLC	THE SOURCE	222.64	Yes
3	DEEP ROOTS MEDICAL, LLC	DEEP ROOTS HARVEST	222.49	Yes
4	HELPING HANDS WELLNESS CENTER, INC	HELPING HANDS WELLNESS CENTER	218.29	Yes
5	CHRYSTLE MEDICAL, LLC	THRIVE	214.50	Yes
6	LONG MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC	ZENLEAF	214.50	Yes
7	GREENMART OF NEVADA, LLC	HEALTH FOR LIFE	211.37	Yes
8	CLEAR RIVER, LLC	KABUKI	210.14	Yes
9	WELLNESS CONNECTION OF NEVADA, LLC	CULTIVATE	206.67	Yes
10	CIRCLE 8 FARMS, LLC	CIRCLE 8	206.00	Yes
11	QUALCAN, LLC	QUALCAN	207.31	No
12	MM DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, INC	PLANET 11 MEDICINE	204.01	No
13	JAP, INC	NATURE'S CHEMISTRY	202.81	No
14	WISC, INC	NEBULA WELL	201.50	No
15	ACRES MEDICAL, LLC	ACRES DISPENSARY	199.84	No
16	LAS VEGAS WELLNESS & COMMISSION CENTER	PEDESTAL NV	199.83	No
17	VEGAS VALLEY GROWERS	KBY MEDICUM CANNABIS	197.17	No
18	NATURAL MEDICINE, LLC	NATURAL MEDICINE	197.17	No
19	TCID, LLC	THE GROWL	196.67	No
20	TRIVYON, LLC	GRASSROOTS	196.49	No
21	TRIVYON, LLC	GRASSROOTS	196.49	No
22	GRAVITAS HENDERSON, LLC	BETTER BUDS	196.01	No
23	DJL PLANTING, INC	THE APOTHECARY GROUP	196.00	No
24	HARVEST OF NEVADA, LLC	HARVEST	195.01	No
25	RED EARTH, LLC	RED EARTH	194.67	No
26	TRIVYON WELLNESS OF NEVADA, LLC	STRIVE	194.00	No
27	CLARK NATURAL MEDICINAL SOLUTIONS, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	191.67	No
28	NYE NATURAL MEDICINAL SOLUTIONS, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	191.67	No
29	FRANKLIN BIO SCIENCE NV, LLC	BEYONDBELLO	190.66	No
30	UNIVERSE WELLNESS, LLC	THE DISPENSARY	190.17	No
31	BYO FINE CANNABIS DISPENSARY, LLC	BYO	189.66	No
32	TRIVYON COMPANIES DO NV, LLC	TRIVYON	189.33	No
33	NY HHS PARTNERS, LLC	EVYGREEN ORGANIX	188.00	No
34	AGUA STREET, LLC	CURLEAF	188.00	No
35	GREEN THERAPEUTICS, LLC	PROVISIONS	187.67	No
36	WELLNESS & CONNECTIONS CENTER, LLC	POLARIS MIND	184.81	No
37	NEON NEBULA MEDICAL, LLC	NEON	184.81	No



Rank	Business Name	DBA/LOGO	Scores	Conditional License Yes/No
38	GTI NEVADA, LLC	RUSH	184.33	No
39	GTI NEVADA, LLC	RUSH	184.33	No
40	GTI NEVADA, LLC	RUSH	184.33	No
41	TRYKS COMPANIES RENO, LLC	RSSF	182.00	No
42	SILVER SAUS WELLNESS, LLC	+ VIBES	181.99	No
43	CW NEVADA, LLC	CANCPH	181.62	No
44	TRYKS COMPANIES RENO, LLC	RSSF	181.33	No
45	AGATRIX NV, LLC	MATRIX NV	180.62	No
46	SECURITY WELLNESS CENTER, LLC	OASIS CANNABIS	180.17	No
47	GBS NEVADA PARTNERS, LLC	SHOW GROW	180.17	No
48	GBS NEVADA PARTNERS, LLC	SHOW GROW	180.17	No
49	WONGKULU REAL ESTATE, INC	MOTHER HOUS	179.83	No
50	CLARK NMD, LLC	NUPEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	178.84	No
51	NEVADA GROUP WELLNESS, LLC	PRIME	178.18	No
52	WAVEIDER OF NEVADA, LLC	BENNY'S DISPENSARY	176.34	No
53	NE.VG, LLC	DESERT BLOOM WELLNESS CENTER	173.83	No
54	MBSI FARM IV, LLC	BLUM	172.58	No
55	NEVADA HOLISTIC MEDICINE, LLC	NHM	172.50	No
56	WELLNESS & CARBOHYDRATES OF NEVADA NV, LLC	DAWD	172.16	No
57	ELFF ENTERPRISES NV, INC	SWEET CANNABIS	171.33	No
58	THC NEVADA, LLC	CANNA VIBE	170.99	No
59	THE HARVEST FOUNDATION, LLC	THE HARVEST FOUNDATION	170.50	No
60	MALANA LV, LLC	MALANA LV	168.66	No
61	WEST COAST DEVELOPMENT NEVADA, LLC	SWEET OULDY	168.37	No
62	GOOD CHEMISTRY NEVADA, LLC	GOOD CHEMISTRY	167.37	No
63	TWELVE TWELVE, LLC	1212 DISPENSARY	166.67	No
64	GLOBAL HARMONY, LLC	TOP NOTCH	166.34	No
65	NEVADA PURE, LLC	DIAMOND LAS VEGAS	164.83	No
66	FEWEL, LLC	GREEN HARVEST (I love A Heart)	164.83	No
67	NEVADA MEDICAL GROUP, LLC	THE CLUBHOUSE DISPENSARY	164.37	No
68	JUST QUALITY, LLC	PANACA CANNABIS (RUSH)	163.83	No
69	SOUTHERN NEVADA GROWERS, LLC	BOWTIE CANNABIS	163.17	No
70	GREENPOINT NEVADA, INC	CHALK & FARMS	160.84	No
71	STW MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC	GASSERS	158.17	No
72	NEVADA WELLNESS CENTER, LLC	NWCC	156.51	No
73	ALTERNATIVE MEDICINE ASSOCIATION, LLC	ALTERNATIVE WELLNESS	154.67	No
74	YMY VENTURES, LLC	STIM	154.16	No
75	WOLACE ENTERPRISES	THALLO	153.67	No
76	WOMOF VEGAS RETAIL, INC	MEDAGIN	152.67	No
77	RELIEF INC (INDUSTRIAL), LLC	RELIEF	152.50	No
78	YMY VENTURES, LLC	STIM	152.16	No
79	NEVCANN, LLC	NEVCANN	150.67	No
80	NEVCANN, LLC	NEVCANN	150.67	No
81	GREEN LEAF FARMS, LLC	PLAYERS NOT WORK	150.33	No
82	WENDOVERA, LLC	WENDOVERA	145.66	No
83	FOREVER GREEN, LLC	FOREVER GREEN	144.01	No
84	RELIEF CULTIVATION, LLC	RELIEF CULTIVATION	143.83	No
85	HERBAL CHOICE, INC	HERBAL CHOICE	143.33	No
86	PARADISE WELLNESS CENTER, LLC	LAS VEGAS RELIEF	142.99	No
87	PURE TONIC CONCENTRATES, LLC	THE GREEN HEART	141.83	No
88	CN LICENSECO I, INC	ICANA NEVADA	139.01	No
89	DIVERSIFIED MODALITIES MARKETING, LTD	DIVERSIFIED MODALITIES MARKETING	138.66	No
90	SCONVADA, LLC	MARAPHARM LAS VEGAS	137.33	No
91	SCONVADA, LLC	MARAPHARM LAS VEGAS	137.33	No
92	PHENIX FARM NV, LLC	MARAPHARM LAS VEGAS	137.33	No
93	EP HOLDINGS, INC	COMPASSIONATE TEAM OF LAS VEGAS	134.87	No
94	EP HOLDINGS, INC	COMPASSIONATE TEAM OF LAS VEGAS	134.87	No
95	LIBRA WELLNESS CENTER, LLC	LIBRA WELLNESS	134.17	No
96	SCYE FARM TECH, LTD	URBN LEAF	133.34	No
97	SCYE FARM TECH, LTD	URBN LEAF	133.34	No
98	HELOSUM GROUP, LLC	HEALING TUBES	125.50	No
99	HE SCIENCES NEVADA, LL	HE SCIENCES	121.00	No
100	BUTAL REMEDIES, LLC	LOX'S APOTHECARY	119.16	No
101	GREEN LEAF WELLNESS, INC	GREEN LEAF WELLNESS	112.16	No
102	BIO HIGHLAND	TWIGLEAF	111.58	No
103	NE.V WELLNESS, LLC	ETUXX	109.27	No

Rank	Business Name	DBA/LOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes/No
18	ACRES MEDICAL, LLC	ACRES DISPENSARY	198.67	No
19	NATURAL MEDICINE, LLC	NATURAL MEDICINE	197.17	No
20	VEGAS VALLEY GROWERS	KIFF PREMIUM CANNABIS	197.17	No
21	TODD, LLC	THE GROWS	196.67	No
22	TRINITY, LLC	GRASSROOTS	196.49	No
23	GRAVITAS HENDERSON, LLC	BETTER HUBS	196.01	No
24	D.H. FLAMINGO, INC.	THE APOTHECARY SHOPPE	195.67	No
25	HARVEST of NEVADA, LLC	HARVEST	195.01	No
26	RED EARTH, LLC	RED EARTH	195.00	No
27	GRAVITAS NV	THE APOTHECARIUM	194.68	No
28	ZION GARDENS, LLC	ZION GARDENS	194.12	No
29	GREENCAPS PRODUCTIONS, LLC	HIBBIAL WELLNESS CENTER	193.83	No
30	CLARK NATURAL MEDICINAL SOLUTIONS, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	191.67	No
31	CLARK NATURAL MEDICINAL SOLUTIONS, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	191.67	No
32	NYE NATURAL MEDICINAL SOLUTIONS, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	191.67	No
33	NYE NATURAL MEDICINAL SOLUTIONS, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	191.67	No
34	FRANKLIN BIO SCIENCE NV, LLC	BEYOND MELLO	190.66	No
35	LIVWELL WELLNESS, LLC	THE DISPENSARY	190.17	No
36	INVO FINE CANNABIS DISPENSARY, LLC	INVO	189.68	No
37	TRYKE COMPANIES SO NV, LLC	REEF	189.33	No
38	FIELD IS NOT DINGUS, LLC	PISOS	189.33	No
39	FIELD IS NOT DINGUS, LLC	PISOS	189.00	No
40	LYMC C&P, LLC	CANNA CUPSA	188.50	No
41	GREEN THERAPEUTICS, LLC	PROVISIONS	187.67	No
42	AGUA STREET, LLC	CURALEAF	187.17	No
43	AGUA STREET, LLC	CURALEAF	186.30	No
44	CANNEVADA, LLC	CANOPY	184.34	No
45	TRYKE COMPANIES RENO, LLC	REEF	181.33	No
46	MATRIX NV, LLC	MATRIX NV	180.33	No
47	STRENGTH WELLNESS CENTER, LLC	OASIS CANNABIS	180.17	No
48	GO2 NEVADA PARTNERS, LLC	SHOW GROW	180.17	No
49	KOMODOUR REAL ESTATE, INC.	MOTHER HUBB	179.50	No
50	CLARK OASIS, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	178.84	No
51	NEVADA GROUP WELLNESS, LLC	PRIME	178.18	No
52	WAVEBEE OF NEVADA, LLC	JENNY'S DISPENSARY	176.74	No
53	NEVO, LLC	DESERT BLOOM WELLNESS CENTER	173.83	No
54	NEED FARM IV, LLC	BLUM	173.50	No
55	WELLNESS & CAREGIVERS OF NEVADA NV, LLC	MMO	172.18	No
56	LIFE ENTERPRISES NV, INC.	SWIFT CANNABIS	171.33	No
57	WEST COAST DEVELOPMENT NEVADA, LLC	SWIFT GOLDY	168.17	No
58	GOOD CHEMISTRY NEVADA, LLC	GOOD CHEMISTRY	167.17	No
59	TWELVE TWELVE, LLC	THE DISPENSARY	166.47	No
60	GLOBAL HARMONY, LLC	TOP NOTCH	166.54	No
61	NEVADA PURE, LLC	THANGO LAS VEGAS	165.83	No
62	BLUMBIA WELLNESS, LLC	SCIPHORIA WELLNESS	165.16	No
63	PSM, LLC	GREEN HARVEST (Jana & Heath)	164.83	No
64	NEVADA MEDICAL GROUP, LLC	THE CLARKSON DISPENSARY	164.32	No
65	BEST QUALITY, LLC	PANACA CANNABIS (JOSH)	163.83	No
66	SOUTHERN NEVADA GROWERS, LLC	BOWTIE CANNABIS	163.17	No
67	CRISTOFORU NEVADA, INC.	CHALICE FARMS	160.84	No
68	STW MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC	GASTERS	158.17	No
69	NEVADA WELLNESS CENTER, LLC	NWC	155.18	No
70	YMY VENTURES, LLC	ATEM	151.83	No
71	ARMOR VEGAS RETAIL, INC.	MIDGEM	152.67	No
72	BULLEAF DOLORE DISPENSARY, LLC	BULLEAF	152.58	No
73	NEVCANN, LLC	NEVCANN	150.67	No
74	PURE TONIC CONCENTRATES, LLC	THE GREEN HEART	146.99	No
75	WINDOVERA, LLC	WINDOVERA	145.66	No
76	NICM, LLC	NICM	144.16	No
77	NICM, LLC	NICM	144.15	No
78	RELEAF CULTIVATION, LLC	RELEAF CULTIVATION	141.83	No
79	HIBBIAL CHOICE, INC.	HIBBIAL CHOICE	141.51	No
80	CHLUTENICO, INC.	CANA NEVADA	139.01	No
81	DIVERSIFIED MODALITIES MARKETING, LTD.	DIVERSIFIED MODALITIES MARKETING	138.66	No
82	JYENCFARM NV, LLC	MARATHAM LAS VEGAS	137.33	No
83	GREEN LEAF FARMS, LLC	PLAYERS NETWORK	135.83	No
84	DP HOLDINGS, INC.	COMPASSIONATE TEAM OF LAS VEGAS	134.83	No
85	LIBRA WELLNESS CENTER, LLC	LIBRA WELLNESS	134.17	No
86	DIYE FARM THER, LTD.	URBAN LEAF	133.34	No
87	GOIVE DISPENSARY, LLC	OS	128.83	No
88	BLOSSOM GROUP, LLC	REALINO HERN	125.50	No
89	GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC	GB SCIENCES	125.00	No
90	KINDIBLES, LLC	AREA 51	117.50	No
91	KINDIBLES, LLC	AREA 51	117.10	No
92	KINDIBLES, LLC	AREA 51	117.50	No
93	KINDIBLES, LLC	AREA 51	117.50	No
94	NLY WELLNESS, LLC	NYLUX	109.67	No
95	GREENWAY MEDICAL, LLC	GREENWAY MEDICAL	101.00	No
96	MILLER FARMS, LLC	BLU'D	88.66	No
97	DAM RAD, LLC	SUNSHINE CANNABIS	64.66	No

Rank	Business Name	DBA/LOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes/No
1	LOVE MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC	FEEL LEAF	214.50	Yes
2	GREEN VIBRA FEUTER, LLC	PROVISIONS	198.34	Yes
3	POLARIS WELLNESS CENTER, LLC	POLARIS MMO	184.84	No
4	GREEN LEAF FARMS, LLC	PLAYERS NETWORK	118.33	No
5	PURE TONIC CONCENTRATES, LLC	THE GREEN HEART	146.99	No
6	WINDOVERA, LLC	WINDOVERA	145.66	No
7	NICM, LLC	NICM	144.15	No

Rank	Business Name	DBA/LOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes/No
1	CREYEMBE MEDICAL, LLC	THRIVE	216.50	Yes
2	GREENMART OF NEVADA NV, LLC	HEALTH FOR LIFE	212.53	No
3	QUALCAN, LLC	QUALCAN	209.66	No
4	HARVEST of NEVADA, LLC	HARVEST	195.01	No
5	BEST QUALITY, LLC	PANACA CANNABIS (JOSH)	163.83	No
6	WINDOVERA, LLC	WINDOVERA	145.66	No
7	HAK GROWERS, CORP.	HAK GROWERS	129.81	No
8	LYNCH NATURAL PRODUCTS, LLC	LNP	124.00	No

Rank	Business Name	DBA/LOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes / No
ESMERALDA COUNTY				
Rank	Business Name	DBA/LOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes / No
1	LOVE MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC	ZENLEAF	214.52	Yes
2	POLARIS WELLNESS CENTER, LLC	POLARIS MMA	185.33	Yes
3	BLUE COYOTE RANCH, LLC	BLUE COYOTE RANCH	100.63	No
EUREKA COUNTY				
Rank	Business Name	DBA/LOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes / No
1	LOVE MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC	ZENLEAF	214.50	Yes
2	EUREKA NEWGEN FARMS, LLC	EUREKA NEWGEN FARMS	87.87	Yes
FISHERY COUNTY				
Rank	Business Name	DBA/LOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes / No
1	TINYTUNE, LLC	GRASSROOTS	196.49	Yes
2	PURE TONIC CONCENTRATES, LLC	THE GIGGLE HEART	146.99	Yes
3	LYNCH NATURAL PRODUCTS, LLC	LND	134.00	No
4	RURAL REMEDIES, LLC	DOC'S APOTHECARY	119.16	No
5	MILLER FARMS, LLC	LDCD	82.66	No
LANIER COUNTY				
Rank	Business Name	DBA/LOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes / No
1	LOVE MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC	ZENLEAF	214.52	Yes
2	TINYTUNE, LLC	GRASSROOTS	196.49	Yes
3	HARVEST of NEVADA, LLC	HARVEST	195.61	No
4	DIVERSIFIED MODALITIES MARKETING, LTD	DIVERSIFIED MODALITIES MARKETING	128.66	No
5	RURAL REMEDIES, LLC	DOC'S APOTHECARY	119.16	No
LINCOLN COUNTY				
Rank	Business Name	DBA/LOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes / No
1	LOVE MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC	ZENLEAF	214.50	Yes

Rank	Business Name	DBA/LOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes/No
------	---------------	----------	-------	----------------------------

WASHINGTON COUNTY - RENO				
Rank	Business Name	DBA/LOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes/No
1	ESSENCE TROPICANA, LLC	ESSENCE	227.84	Yes
2	NEVADA ORGANIC REMEDIES, LLC	THE SOURCE	212.88	Yes
3	DEEP ROOTS MEDICAL, LLC	DEEP ROOTS HARVEST	212.49	Yes
4	CHEYENNE MEDICAL, LLC	THRIVE	210.59	Yes
5	LONG MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC	ZENLEAF	214.59	Yes
6	GREENMART OF NEVADA N.V., LLC	HEALTH FOR LIFE	213.64	Yes
7	COMMERCE PARK MEDICAL, LLC	THRIVE	212.16	No
8	QUALCAN, LLC	QUALCAN	209.66	No
9	WELLNESS CONNECTION OF NEVADA, LLC	CULTIVATE	208.33	No
10	CIRCLE'S FARMS, LLC	CIRCLE'S	208.00	No
11	MM DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, INC	PLANET 13 / MEDIZIN	204.61	No
12	WACC, INC	DEEPA WELL	201.50	No
13	ACRES MEDICAL, LLC	ACRES DISPENSARY	199.84	No
14	TGMO, LLC	THE GROVE	196.67	No
15	TRIVYPM, LLC	GRASSROOTS	196.49	No
16	CLARK NATURAL MEDICINAL SOLUTIONS, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	191.67	No
17	NYE NATURAL MEDICINAL SOLUTIONS, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	191.67	No
18	FRANKLIN BIO SCIENCE NV, LLC	BYSTANDER CO	188.66	No
19	LIVFIRE WELLNESS, LLC	THE DISPENSARY	180.50	No
20	NYO FINE CANNABIS DISPENSARY, LLC	NYO	189.68	No
21	GREEN THORATEUTICK, LLC	PROVISIONS	188.34	No
22	BIORIVA INNOVATIONS OF CARSON CITY, LLC	BIORIVA INNOVATIONS	187.67	No
23	HIGH SIERRA HOLISTICS, LLC	HSH	184.93	No
24	OTTI NEVADA, LLC	RISE	184.33	No
25	HIGH SIERRA CULTIVATION, LLC	HIGH SIERRA	183.53	No
26	SERENITY WELLNESS CENTER, LLC	OASIS CANNABIS	180.17	No
27	CLARK NORTH, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	178.84	No
28	ROMBOUGH REAL ESTATE, INC	MOTHER HERB	178.50	No
29	NEVADA GROUP WELLNESS, LLC	PRIME	178.18	No
30	WAVEFIRE OF NEVADA, LLC	BONNY'S DISPENSARY	175.67	No
31	WELLNESS & CAREGIVERS OF NEVADA N.V., LLC	MMCI	172.16	No
32	THE NEVADA, LLC	CANNA VIBE	170.99	No
33	HELIX NV, LLC	HYBRIVIZE	167.17	No
34	ANNVY HOLDINGS, LLC	MULMEN	166.83	No
35	GLOBAL HARMONY, LLC	TOP NOTICE	166.34	No
36	FWFL, LLC	GREEN HARVEST (Grow A Root)	164.83	No
37	NEVADA MEDICAL GROUP, LLC	THE CLIMBERS DISPENSARY	164.32	No
38	GREENPOINT NEVADA, INC	CHALKY FARMS	159.84	No
39	NEVADA WELLNESS CENTER, LLC	NSA	155.18	No
40	NULRAF BICLINE DISPENSARY, LLC	NULRAF	152.59	No
41	NEVCANN, LLC	NEVCANN	150.67	No
42	D LUX, LLC	D LUX	149.81	No
43	PURE TONIC CONCENTRATES, LLC	THE GREEN HEART	141.81	No
44	CN LICENSING CO, INC	CANA NEVADA	139.01	No
45	LIDRA WELLNESS CENTER, LLC	LIDRA WELLNESS	134.17	No
46	HAK GROWERS, CORP	HAK GROWERS	134.50	No
47	BLOSSOM ORGANIC, LLC	HEALING ROOTS	125.50	No
48	LYNCH NATURAL PRODUCTS, LLC	LYNCH	124.00	No
49	RURAL REMEDIES, LLC	DOC'S APOTHECARY	120.16	No
50	NEVADA BOTANICAL SCIENCE, INC	VICOR DISPENSARIES	115.74	No
51	SVY GREEN, INC	NV GREEN	105.84	No
52	MILLER FARMS, LLC	LUNCH	89.66	No
53	MONARD, LLC	SUNSHINE CANNABIS	64.65	No

WASHINGTON COUNTY - SPARKS				
Rank	Business Name	DBA/LOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes/No
1	ESSENCE TROPICANA, LLC	ESSENCE	227.84	Yes
2	NEVADA ORGANIC REMEDIES, LLC	THE SOURCE	223.99	No
3	LONG MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC	ZENLEAF	214.50	No
4	GREENMART OF NEVADA N.V., LLC	HEALTH FOR LIFE	213.33	No
5	TGMO, LLC	THE GROVE	196.67	No
6	TRIVYPM, LLC	GRASSROOTS	196.49	No
7	CLARK NATURAL MEDICINAL SOLUTIONS, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	192.01	No
8	NYE NATURAL MEDICINAL SOLUTIONS, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	191.67	No
9	SERENITY WELLNESS CENTER, LLC	OASIS CANNABIS	180.17	No
10	CLARK NORTH, LLC	NUVEDA (THE GREEN SOLUTION)	178.84	No
11	ROMBOUGH REAL ESTATE, INC	MOTHER HERB	178.50	No
12	GREENPOINT NEVADA, INC	CHALKY FARMS	161.17	No
13	NULRAF BICLINE DISPENSARY, LLC	NULRAF	153.73	No
14	D LUX, LLC	D LUX	149.81	No
15	CN LICENSING CO, INC	CANA NEVADA	139.01	No
16	RURAL REMEDIES, LLC	DOC'S APOTHECARY	120.16	No

WASHINGTON COUNTY - CARSON CITY				
Rank	Business Name	DBA/LOGO	Score	Conditional License Yes/No
		NO ALLOCATION		

the+source

5.2.10.1

ORGANIZATIONAL CHARTS



IFICATION
DEFTS)


-B

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 5-10-19 Page 2 of 10
DOI-NV-Organic001426

AA 011576

5.2.10.1. An organizational chart showing all owners, officers, and board members of the recreational marijuana establishment, including percentage of ownership for each individual.

The following Organizational Chart shows all owners, officers and board members of Nevada Organic Remedies LLC ("NOR").¹ This chart is also provided in larger size in *Exhibit A: Organizational Chart and Ownership Structure*.



NOR is a robust organization with oversight, governance and support provided by owners, board members and officers. Due to the size of the organization, multiple charts have been provided in this section in an effort to clearly illustrate not only the Company's ownership, but the operational structure of the company leadership team and the retail store organizational structure. Collectively, these sub-sections and exhibits provide a wholistic view of the Company's ownership and operational structure and are referenced here for clarity:

1. Organizational Chart and Ownership Structure. This section and the associated exhibit (*Exhibit A: Organizational Chart and Ownership Structure*) outline NOR's organizational

¹ Please note this ownership structure was approved by the Department of Taxation on August 20, 2018 (see attached letter **Exhibit E**). Please note the Department was provided notice of the officers of the Company on August 31, 2018 and September 7, 2018 (see attached letters **Exhibit E**).

chart showing all owners, board members and officers and provides a separate chart dedicated only to illustrating ownership structure.

2. Officers, Directors, Key Employees and Advisors. This section and the associated exhibit (*Exhibit B: Officers, Key Employees and Advisors*) outlines the leadership team within the Company.
3. Retail Marijuana Store Organizational Chart. This section and associated exhibit (*Exhibit C: Retail Marijuana Store Organizational Chart*) outlines the organization structure within the retail marijuana store.

In 2014, NOR strategically formed a team of owners, officers, and board members that brought diverse educational, business, philanthropic and marijuana experience to ensure that the Company could operate a compliant and successful medical marijuana establishment. Since that time, the team has demonstrated an unparalleled level of success and accomplishment in Nevada's medical and retail marijuana industry. The Company currently operates two of the most successful dispensaries/retail marijuana stores in the state. Combined, these two locations have completed over [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] NOR's dispensary/retail marijuana store known as The Source Las Vegas was named Best Dispensary of Las Vegas by NPR's Desert Companion Magazine in February 2018 and is regularly featured in news articles, industry publications, and network TV due to its popularity, success, and community involvement.

Throughout its operations the company has continued to develop and add members to the organization that bring diverse experience to further strengthen the team and its operations. As more fully explained below and detailed in the organizational charts and documents attached, the diverse owners, officers, and board members, along with the key personnel have a wide range of educational, business, marijuana and philanthropic experience that has developed and operated a dual-licensed cultivation facility, a dual-licensed production facility, a distribution facility and one of the busiest dispensary/retail marijuana stores in the state in compliance and good standing since 2015. This unique group of individuals will continue the tradition of compliance, success and good community partner facilities if granted additional retail stores.

As outlined in R092-17 Section 80, NOR's diverse group of owners, officers, and board members have broad experience that will allow this ownership group to operate a compliant and successful retail marijuana store as highlighted below and more fully detailed in the attached documentation.

Owner, Officers and Board Members have experience operating another kind of business that has given them experience which is applicable to the operation of a marijuana establishment

Bringing over 500 years of business experience, ranging from overseeing retail operations to the practice of law, the owners, officers, and board members have the following experience that is applicable to the operation of a marijuana establishment.

Diversity of the owners, officers or board members of the proposed marijuana establishment

As identified in Attachment C, the owners, officers, and board members of the Company are a diverse group of individuals. The ownership group is comprised of six females and 16 males including multiple different ethnicities and races. Board Member Steve Stoute serves as an advisor for diversity planning and strategy, ensuring that diversity permeates down to the staff. The company prides itself on having a diverse staff that represents its customer base to more fully meet the needs of the customers (as more fully detailed in 5.3.6 and 5.3.7). The Company, if granted additional retail marijuana stores, will continue this practice of having a diverse staff, in addition to the diverse owners, officers, and board members, to provide an optimal shopping experience for the customer as illustrated by Nevada Organic Remedies LLC Diversity statement:

Our Commitment to Diversity
<p>Cannabis consumers are not bound by race or religion, gender or age. They are encompassing of all. Cannabis is inclusive; a social activity, yet a personal retreat. A shared experience with others, but unique to oneself. Cannabis is a bridge. An equalizer. These are more than just words to us. This is a time of social change; a revolution of sorts. As responsible cannabis users ourselves, these are the same values we seek in our mission to normalization.</p> <p>Like the product itself, we have built a team that is inclusive and promotes individuality and representative of the diverse consumers of cannabis. Our team represents all and excludes none. We promote equality, inclusion and acceptance and feel that we have created a unique community, steeped in the diversity of our team members. This is important to us as a retail store, in that it ensures our customers (whom are as equally diverse) feel welcome and at ease.</p>

The educational achievements of the owners, officers or board members of the proposed marijuana establishment

Collectively, the owners, officers, and board members have over 100 years of secondary education experience and hold nine post-secondary degrees ranging from a Master's in Business Administration to a Juris Doctorate. The owners, officers, and board members have accumulated over 25 bachelors and graduate degrees. This educational experience gives the ownership group ample knowledge to successfully operate the retail marijuana stores.


Whether the owners, officers or board members of the proposed marijuana establishment have direct experience with the operation of a medical marijuana establishment or marijuana establishment in this State and have demonstrated a record of operating such an establishment in

compliance with the laws and regulations of this State for an adequate period of time to demonstrate success

Unlike many "startup" marijuana companies, NOR has an extensive leadership team that provides much needed support to the quickly evolving and ever-changing business. Due to the range and number of effective leaders within the ownership group and executive team, the organization chart is divided into three parts: Owners, Officers, Key Employees and Advisors, and Retail Operations Organizational Chart. Although the owners, board members, officers, employees and advisors are shown in separate charts for ease of illustration, all of these team members work in concert to provide strategic decision making, professional corporate oversight and governance, and lessons learned from over 500 years of combined business and retail experience across some of the country's most successful and well-known brands, as well as demonstrated leadership and success in Nevada's marijuana industry. The combined resources of this team will allow the Company to professionally expand, finance, and support retail marijuana operations in the state of Nevada and beyond for many years.

Bottom-up Philosophy


Unlike the typical corporate top-down management approach, we like to think of our organization structure as bottom-up. We understand that our company relies on customer satisfaction, and the best way to accomplish that is by maintaining a highly motivated, skilled, and capable team of employees. To that end, all management efforts are geared towards investing and enabling our team.



Redacted

Ownership Chart

The following chart outlines the ownership structure for NOR. See *Exhibit A: Organizational Chart and Ownership Structure* for a larger version of this chart.













Details of NOR's individual and corporate owners are provided more fully in the following sections. This summary provides a general explanation of the ownership structure.




The largest owner of NOR is GGB Nevada LLC (95%), which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Xanthic Biopharma, Inc. ("Xanthic"). Xanthic is a premium cannabinoid life sciences and technology company dedicated to solving two of the key deficiencies of competitive Cannabis-infused products: water solubility and consistent, reliable dosing. The remainder of NOR's owners, Andrew Jolley (2.2%), Stephen Byrne (1.7%), Patrick Byrne (0.5%), Liesl Sicz (0.5%, through Harvest Dispensaries, Cultivation, and Kitchen Consultants LLC), and Darren Petersen (0.1%) are the company's original owners and leaders, dating back to 2014.

Ownership Summary

This table provides a very brief description of each owner of the company. Sections 5.2.10.4 and 5.2.10.5 provide detailed biographies and resumes for each individual.


	OWNER	TITLE	ROLE
	Jean Schottenstein	Xanthic Board Member	Mrs. Schottenstein and her husband Jay are controlling shareholders in several national and Nevada-based retailers including Albertsons/Safeway, American Eagle, and DSW. She spends much of her time serving the community as a member of multiple charitable boards and fighting for women's health issues.
	Peter Horvath	Xanthic Board Member	Mr. Horvath has been an established leader in the national retail industry for over 30 years, having led companies such as Victoria's Secret, American Eagle, DSW and the Limited Brands.
	Stephen Stoute	Xanthic Board Member	Mr. Stoute serves as a board member and advisor to Xanthic. He is a serial entrepreneur who has built successful companies across multiple industries including music and entertainment, beauty care, and advertising.
	Marc Lehmann	Xanthic Board Member	Mr. Lehmann's extensive background in corporate finance enables him to advise the company in areas of investment banking, finance, and strategic management.
	Carli Posner	Xanthic Chairman, Board Member	Ms. Posner is recognized and seasoned business leader. In addition to her role as Xanthic Chairman, she is currently the Co-CEO and principal of the media company Notable Life.

	Timothy D. Moore	Xanthic CEO, Board Member	Mr. Moore has over 30 years' experience leading Fortune 500 companies in the areas of marketing, sales, operations, and management.
	Igor Galitsky	Xanthic President, Board Member	Mr. Galitsky has been a pioneer in the areas of cannabis extracts and products in Canada for over seven years. He serves as an advisor for the Licensed Medical Cannabis Producers.
	David Bhungara	Xanthic CFO, Board Member	With over 20 years of senior management experience in the areas of finance and accounting, David oversees all areas of finance and accounting for Xanthic and its subsidiaries.
	Andrew M. Jolley	CEO, Owner	Andrew is the founder of three successful and recognized Nevada-based marijuana companies that collectively employ 175 team members and have generated over \$31 million in retail sales in the past year alone. He serves as President of the Nevada Dispensary Association and has been one of the most notable leaders in Nevada's marijuana industry from the beginning.
	Stephen J. Byrne	Owner	Mr. Byrne has a broad professional background in banking, commercial real estate, and retail. He is one of the founding partners of NOR and has been a strategic advisor for the company since inception.

	Patrick G. Byrne	Owner	Mr. Byrne served as the Managing Partner of the distinguished law firm, Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., and has been an entrepreneur in a number of very successful ventures. He has been an owner and legal advisor for NOR since the company was founded in 2014.
	Liesl Sicz	Owner	Ms. Sicz founded and runs Harvest Dispensaries, which holds over 30 dispensary/retail licenses nationwide and operates the most successful chain of medical dispensaries in the State of Arizona.
	Darren C. Petersen	Owner	Mr. Petersen is an engineer by training and has been an entrepreneur and small business owner in Nevada for over 25 years.

Officers, Key Employees and Advisors Chart

The following chart outlines officers, key employees, and outside advisors for NOR. See *Exhibit B: Officers, Key Employees and Advisors* for a larger version of this chart.



Detailed biographies and resumes are provided in section 5.2.10.4 and 5.2.10.5 for each of the officers and information on all of these individuals is provided below:

Exhibit A: Organizational Chart and Ownership Structure

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY 5211 Tru W Page 24 of 403
DOT-NV-Organic001448

AA 011587





Exhibit B: Officers, Key Employees and Advisors





BRIAN SANDOVAL
Governor
JAMES DEVOLLO
Chair, Nevada Tax Commission
BILL ANDERSON
Executive Director

STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION
Web Site: <https://tax.nv.gov>

1550 College Parkway, Suite 115
Carson City, Nevada 89706-7937
Phone: (775) 684-2000 Fax: (775) 684-2020

LAS VEGAS OFFICE
Grant Sawyer Office Building, Suite 1300
555 E. Washington Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Phone: (702) 486-2300 Fax: (702) 486-2373

RENO OFFICE
4600 Kietzke Lane
Building L, Suite 235
Reno, Nevada 89502
Phone: (775) 687-9999
Fax: (775) 688-1303

HENDERSON OFFICE
2550 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 180
Henderson, Nevada 89074
Phone: (702) 486-2300
Fax: (702) 486-3377

August 20, 2018

Ms. Amanda Connor
Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC
710 Coronado Center Dr. Suite 121
Henderson, NV 89052

State of Nevada Application ID Number:	MME Certificate	C094 - 88242054656300627601
	ME License	# 1018539646-002-CUL
	MME Certificate	D152 - 02441426022753521200
	ME License	# 1018539646-001-DIP
	MME Certificate	P063 - 72792951478780009507
	ME License	# 1018539646-002-PRO
	ME License	T056 # 1018539646-002-DIT

Subject: MME Ownership Change

Dear Ms. Connor,

Your Notice of Transfer of Interest pertaining to the ownership of the above referenced MME(s) has been reviewed and APPROVED. Effective immediately, your MME(s) and ownership Schedule of Interest is recorded as follows:

Name

GGB Nevada, LLC


Xanthic Biopharma, Inc.

Board Members:

- Jean Schottenstein
- Peter Horvath
- Stephen Stoute
- Carli Posner, Chairman
- Timothy Moore, CEO
- Igor Galitsky, President
- Marc Lehmann, Board Member
- David Bhungara, CFO

% Held

95.00%



IFICATION
DEFTS)

B

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

DOT-NVOrganic000096

5.2.7. Tab VII - Page 48 of 49

AA 011591

Officers:

- Igor Galitsky
- Timothy Moore, CEO
- David Bhungara, CFO
- Carli Posner, Chairman

Andrew M. Jolley	2.20%
Stephen J. Byrne	1.70%
Patrick G. Byrne	0.50%
Harvest Dispensaries, Cultivation & Kitchen Consultants, LLC	0.50%
Liesl Sicz	
Darren C. Petersen	<u>0.10%</u>
Total	100.00%

Please feel free to contact us at marijuana@tax.state.nv.us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Steve Gilbert, Program Manager II
Department of Taxation, Marijuana Enforcement Division

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

DOT-NVOrganic000097

5.2.7. Tab VII - Page 49 of 49

AA 011592

Exhibit E: Ownership Approval Letter and Notice of Officer Letters



DOT-NV Organic 001588

AA 011593



BRIAN SANDOVAL
Governor
JAMES DEVOLLO
Chair, Nevada Tax Commission
BILL ANDERSON
Executive Director

STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION

Web Site: <https://tax.nv.gov>

1550 College Parkway, Suite 115
Carson City, Nevada 89706-7937
Phone: (775) 684-2000 Fax: (775) 684-2020

LAS VEGAS OFFICE
Grant Sawyer Office Building, Suite 1300
555 E. Washington Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Phone: (702) 486-2300 Fax: (702) 486-2373

RENO OFFICE
4600 Kietzke Lane
Building L, Suite 235
Reno, Nevada 89502
Phone: (775) 687-9999
Fax: (775) 688-1303

HENDERSON OFFICE
2550 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 180
Henderson, Nevada 89074
Phone: (702) 486-2300
Fax: (702) 486-3377

August 20, 2018

Ms. Amanda Connor
Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC
710 Coronado Center Dr. Suite 121
Henderson, NV 89052

State of Nevada Application ID Number:	MME Certificate	C094 - 88242054656300627601
	ME License	# 1018539646-002-CUL
	MME Certificate	D152 - 02441426022753521200
	ME License	# 1018539646-001-DIP
	MME Certificate	P063 - 72792951478780009507
	ME License	# 1018539646-002-PRO
	ME License	T056 # 1018539646-002-DIT

Subject: MME Ownership Change

Dear Ms. Connor,

Your Notice of Transfer of Interest pertaining to the ownership of the above referenced MME(s) has been reviewed and APPROVED. Effective immediately, your MME(s) and ownership Schedule of Interest is recorded as follows:

<u>Name</u>	<u>% Held</u>
GGB Nevada, LLC	95.00%
Xanthic Biopharma, Inc.	
Board Members:	
- Jean Schottenstein	
- Peter Horvath	
- Stephen Stoute	
- Carli Posner, Chairman	
- Timothy Moore, CEO	
- Igor Galitsky, President	
- Marc Lehmann, Board Member	
- David Bhungara, CFO	

DOT-NV Organic 001589

AA 011594

Officers:

- Igor Galitsky
- Timothy Moore, CEO
- David Bhungara, CFO
- Carli Posner, Chairman

Andrew M. Jolley	2.20%
Stephen J. Byrne	1.70%
Patrick G. Byrne	0.50%
Harvest Dispensaries, Cultivation & Kitchen Consultants, LLC	0.50%
Liesl Sicz	
Darren C. Petersen	<u>0.10%</u>
Total	100.00%

Please feel free to contact us at marijuana@tax.state.nv.us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Steve Gilbert, Program Manager II
Department of Taxation, Marijuana Enforcement Division



CONNOR & CONNOR PLLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

710 CORONADO CENTER DR., SUITE 121, HENDERSON, NV 89052

August 31, 2018

Reference No. 100.101

Via Email Only

Ms. Karalin Cronkhite
State of Nevada
Department of Taxation
Marijuana Program
555 E. Washington Blvd., Ave., 4100
Las Vegas, NV 89101
kcronkhite@tax.state.nv.us

**Re: Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC
Notice of Change of Officers for the Company**

Dear Ms. Cronkhite:


I am writing this letter on behalf of Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC Please note the following people have been made officers in Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC as follows:

- Andrew Jolley, CEO
- Brandon Wiegand, Chief Compliance Officer
- Ed Kistner, Chief Operations Officer
- Steve Little, CFO
- Kent Kiffner, General Council
- Chris Vickers, Director of Retail
- Kim Lester, Director of Human Resources
- Jeanine Terrance, Director of Finance
- Courtney Barker, Director of Purchasing

T individuals will be applying for officer agent cards. Attached for your reference is an organizational chart for your convenience. If you have any questions or concerns, please let me know.

Sincerely,

CONNOR & CONNOR PLLC


By: 
AMANDA N. CONNOR, ESQ.

ANC/mal
Encl: as stated

Tel: (702) 750-9139

ENCLOSURE
FAX: (702) 750-9139
100.101

AA 011596



DOTENV/Original/001592



CONNOR & CONNOR PLLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

710 CORONADO CENTER DR., SUITE 121, HENDERSON, NV 89052

September 7, 2018

Reference No. 100.101

Via Email Only

Ms. Karalin Cronkhite
State of Nevada
Department of Taxation
Marijuana Program
555 E. Washington Ave., Suite 4100
Las Vegas, NV 89101
kcronkhite@tax.state.nv.us

Re: Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC – Notice of Change of Officers for the Company

Dear Ms. Cronkhite:

I am writing this letter on behalf of Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC. Please note the following people are the current officers in Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC:

- Andrew Jolley, CEO
- Brandon Wiegand, Chief Compliance Officer
- Ed Kistner, Chief Operations Officer
- Steve Little, CFO
- Kent Kiffner, General Council
- Chris Vickers, Director of Retail
- Kim Lester, Director of Human Resources
- Jeanine Terrance, Director of Finance
- Courtney Barker, Director of Purchasing

The following individual is hereby added as an officer:

- Dan Zarrella, Director of Marketing

///

Tel: (702) 750-9139

ESCOTAS NV Case 158-91149
Fax: (702) 749-5991
CO01593

AA 011598


Ms. Karalin Cronkhite
State of Nevada
Department of Taxation
Marijuana Program
September 7, 2018
Page 2

Mr. Zarrella will be applying for an officer agent card. Attached for your reference is an organizational chart for your convenience. If you have any questions or concerns, please let me know.


Sincerely,

CONNOR & CONNOR PLLC

By:


AMANDA N. CONNOR, ESQ.

ANC/ml:ap
Encl: as stated



BOI NV Org 3/16/2015 95

EVALUATOR NAME: WYLAAR

ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE (IDENTIFIED)

ME ID# KA15 ID

APPLICATION EVALUATION – Evaluator's Guidelines - ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE (IDENTIFIED)
Applications shall be consistently evaluated and scored in accordance with NRS 453D and LCB File No. R092-17.

TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS = 60 Points

The following is intended to assist evaluators in scoring responses to the request for applications for marijuana establishments. Applying these guidelines using your experience and expertise to the scoring process will ensure that your scoring is consistent and unbiased, which is critical when deciding the points assigned to each individual criteria. The point range is detailed under each criteria section and points should be assigned based on the evaluators assessment of the response falling into categories of "excellent," "average," or "inadequate."

5.2.10

ORG. CHARTS Pg. 4/11/15/20/26-30/169/172
OWNERSHIP SUMMARIES Pg. 12-14; Pg. 16-19
RETAIL JOB DESCRIPTION Pg. 21-164
ATTC - APPLICANT REVIEW Pg. 197-263
RESUMES Pg. 264-197-263

DOT028138

Location:
Las Vegas
Scott Apple

ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

Merit Criteria Per NRS and R092-17	Evaluation Elements	Individual Scores	Comments	Revised Score If applicable	Comments
R092-17 Sec. 80 (a) Whether the owners, officers or board members have experience operating another kind of business that has given them experience which is applicable to the operation of a marijuana establishment	An organizational chart showing all owners, officers and board members, and key personnel of the marijuana establishment, including percentages of ownership for each individual and a short description of the proposed organizational structure.		22 ORLOE/BM See detail on back page.		
R092-17 Sec. 80 (b) The diversity of the owners, officers or board members of the proposed marijuana establishment	The organizational chart clearly demonstrates the following: -Defines the roles and responsibilities that will make up the company's functioning and shows how everything fits together as a whole. -Demonstrates groupings of functions to ensure they are overseen and performed by a member of the organization.		• Multiple Org Charts w/groupings		
R092-17 Sec. 80 (c) The educational achievements of the owners, officers or board members of the proposed marijuana establishment	-Position job descriptions demonstrate the scope, function and limits of their roles, and for what tasks and outcomes they will be held responsible for -Organizational structure shows efficiency between roles		• ① Owners/Officers/Key Employees+Advisors • ② Retail Operations Org Chart		
R092-17 Sec. 80 (g) Whether the owners, officers or board members of the proposed marijuana establishment have direct experience with the operation of a medical marijuana establishment or marijuana establishment in this State	An excellent response would include all the following elements: The organizational chart and position descriptions demonstrate all or most of the above expectations, are reasonable, and the rationale for the structure appears reasonable and logical. For each key personnel, their experience, roles and duties are included.	15	Includes brief description of each owner. • Detailed Biographies • Detailed Resumes • Detailed Roles+Duties for all positions filled or not.		



DEFENDANTS EXHIBIT (DEFTS)

EVALUATOR NAME _____

ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE (IDENTIFIED)

ME ID# _____

R092-17 Sec. 80 (h) The experience of key personnel that the applicant intends to employ in operating the type of marijuana establishment for which the applicant seeks a license; and	An average response would include the following: The organizational chart and position descriptions demonstrate few of the above expectations and needed positions appear to be missing.				
#1	An inadequate response would include the following: The organizational chart and position descriptions do not demonstrate the above expectations and needed positions appear to be missing.				
	Range 0 - 15 points	(15)			
Merit Criteria Per NRS and R092-17	Evaluation Elements	Individual Scores	Comments	Revised Score If applicable	Comments
#2	<p>A narrative description not to exceed 750 words, and a resume, including educational achievements, for each owner, officer and board member, demonstrating the following:</p> <p>Any previous experience at operating other businesses or non-profit organizations.</p> <p>An excellent response would include the following: Owners, officers and board members can each demonstrate business experience running other businesses or non-profits. Each individual has the knowledge and experience relevant to the roles and responsibilities outlined.</p> <p>A average response would include the following: Some owners, officers and board members can demonstrate business experience running other businesses or non-profits. Some individual has some knowledge and experience relevant to the roles and responsibilities outlined.</p> <p>An inadequate response would include the following: Owners, officers and board members demonstrates little to no prior business experience.</p>	(10)	<p>750 w Narrative & Resumes included for all 32 off/own.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NV Based Retail Business • Corporate Finance & Banking • Marketing Sales/Operations Mgt. • Canada - 7 yrs. Cannabis Extractions • NV Based MTS Companies w/175 team members. • Pres. of NV Dispensary Assoc. • Law - Managing Partner • Harvest Dispensaries - 30 licenses nationwide & AZ. 		
	Range 0 - 10 points				

DOT028139

ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE (IDENTIFIED)

ME ID# _____

Merit Criteria Per NRS and R092-17	Evaluation Elements	Individual Scores	Comments	Revised Score If applicable	Comments
	A narrative description not to exceed 750 words; and a resume, including educational achievements, for each owner, officer and board member, demonstrating the following:				
	The educational achievements of the persons who are proposed to be owners, officers or board members of the proposed marijuana establishment		Collectively, owners, officers, & board members have over 100 yrs of Secondary educ.		
	<p>An excellent response would include the following: The resumes demonstrate college degrees or higher.</p> <p>An average response would include the following: The resumes demonstrate some college degrees or higher.</p> <p>An inadequate response would include the following: The resumes do not demonstrate college degrees or higher. Some course work in related fields may be indicated.</p>	<p>(15)</p>	<p>9- post Secondary degrees</p> <p>MBA to Juris Doctorate</p> <p>25 BA or Graduate degrees</p>		
	Range 0 - 5 points				

NO COLLEGE LISTED

- LESTER
- SICIL
- STOUTE
- ARELLA

22 W/ DEGREES
4 W/OUT DEGREES
22

DOT028140

ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY



MINUTES OF THE
LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION
NEVADA LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU
Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 218E.150

The Legislative Commission held its first meeting in Calendar Year 2018 on Tuesday, February 27, 2018. The meeting began at 9:20 a.m. in Room 4401 of the Grant Sawyer State Office Building, 555 East Washington Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada, and was videoconferenced to Room 3137 of the Legislative Building, 401 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada,

COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

Assemblyman Jason Frierson, Chair
Assemblywoman Teresa Benitez-Thompson, Vice Chair
Senator Kelvin D. Atkinson
Senator Moises (Mo) Denis
Senator Patricia Farley
Senator Aaron D. Ford
Senator Pete Goicoechea for Senator Ben Kieckhefer
Senator Scott T. Hammond
Assemblywoman Maggie Carlton
Assemblyman Al Kramer for Assemblyman Keith Pickard
Assemblyman James Oscarson
Assemblyman Jim Wheeler

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU STAFF PRESENT:

Rick Combs, Director
Rocky Cooper, Legislative Auditor, Audit Division
Mark Krmpotic, Senate Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division
Cindy Jones, Assembly Fiscal Analyst, Fiscal Analysis Division
Brenda J. Erdoes, Legislative Counsel, Legal Division
Risa B. Lang, Chief Deputy Legislative Counsel, Legal Division
Michael J. Stewart, Research Director, Research Division
Janet Coons, Manager of Secretarial Services, Research Division
Sylvia A. Wiese, Executive Assistant, Director's Office

Items taken out of sequence during the meeting have been placed in agenda order.

AGENDA ITEM I—ROLL CALL

Chair Frierson called the meeting to order.

AGENDA ITEM II—PUBLIC COMMENT

Chair Frierson called for public comment.

Mona Lisa Samuelson, Nevada resident, medical marijuana patient advocate and community activist, stressed the importance of medical marijuana patients' concerns being heard during the regulatory process.

Jefferson W. Boswell, Esq., Peel Brimley LLP, Henderson, Nevada, representing For Fairness in the Cannabis Industry, LLC, urged the Commission to allow Peel Brimley LLP the opportunity to work with Nevada's Department of Taxation in revising R092-17 ([Agenda Item V A-2](#)), with the goal of promoting an impartial and numerically scored competitive bidding process regarding the issuance of retail licenses to new marijuana establishments. The main points of his testimony are set forth in a letter dated January 15, 2018, addressed to the Department ([Agenda Item II A](#)).

Tom Haney, resident, Las Vegas, Nevada, remarked about conflicts of interest concerning CWNevada, LLC (cultivator and producer of marijuana and owner of marijuana dispensaries), and he called for the investigation of CWNevada, LLC.

Rafael Arroyo, representative, Smog Plus DMV Services (a licensing document preparation company), Las Vegas, testified regarding the Department of Motor Vehicles' (DMV) decision to discontinue its standby/walk-up registration service window at its four southern Nevada locations—effective March 5, 2018—utilized by document preparation companies. He is of the opinion the policy change will: (1) limit Nevadans' options for processing document transactions; (2) reduce efficiency; and (3) potentially curtail the industry's business by 50 percent. Mr. Arroyo provided a copy of several communication exchanges between himself and others and DMV representatives as well as a letter dated February 26, 2018, from Senator Tick Segerblom, Senate District 3, to Governor Sandoval requesting his intervention in the matter ([Agenda Item II B](#)). He stated the document preparation industry requests that the DMV postpone its implementation of the policy change until after industry representatives and the DMV have had the opportunity to meet and thoroughly vet the change.

Karen Boeger, Member and Board Policy Chair, Backcountry Hunters & Anglers (BHA), Reno, Nevada, commented on a letter dated February 26, 2018, from the BHA to the Commission that expresses its reasons for supporting three regulations

adopted by the Board of Wildlife Commissioners, Department of Wildlife ([Agenda Item II C](#)): (1) R144-15 ([Agenda Item V A-11](#)); (2) R012-16 ([Agenda Item V A-7](#)); and (3) R134-17 ([Agenda Item V A-13](#)). She urged the Commission's support of the regulations.

Barry Smith, Executive Director, Nevada Press Association, Carson City, Nevada, provided a letter dated February 26, 2018, from the Association to the Commission ([Agenda Item II D](#)) that outlines the Association's objections to Section 242 of R092-17 ([Agenda Item V A-2](#)). He argued the regulatory language provides an exception to Nevada's open records law, commonly referred to as the Nevada Public Records Act, by creating confidentiality for the name or any other identifying information of any person who facilitates or delivers services pursuant to Chapter 453D ("Regulation and Taxation of Marijuana") of NRS. Mr. Smith pointed out the exception was initially intended to be applied to the medical marijuana statute and provisions that protected doctors and cardholders. He said extending the exception to recreational marijuana could negatively impact other public records requests.

Will Adler, Owner, Sierra Cannabis Coalition, offered counterpoints to a letter dated January 17, 2017, written by Brett H. Pojunis ([Agenda Item II E](#)), Former Chair, Libertarian Party of Nevada (LPN), regarding defects in R092-17 perceived by the LPN—specifically, that the regulation is not well vetted. He countered the regulation was reviewed at length through multiple hearings. In addition, Mr. Adler stated marijuana can only be sold by dispensaries or retail marijuana stores, which is how the marijuana tax is structured. He concluded the Department of Taxation has done a great job, and he fully supports R092-17 as written.

Geoffrey Lawrence, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Compliance Officer, Player's Network, Inc., Las Vegas, on behalf of Green Leaf Farms, Inc. (licensed cultivator and producer of marijuana in Nevada), testified Green Leaf Farms, Inc. is of the opinion R092-17 fails the specific statutory standards in Sections 76–80 of Chapter 453D concerning the licensing process for new marijuana retail applications. He said the process must be impartial, numerically scored, and competitive, and he provided examples of how the regulation fails statutory standards. Mr. Lawrence urged the Commission to grant a temporary extension of the regulation so the issues can be addressed in order to avoid a judicial review.

Delilah De La O, owner of a Las Vegas DMV registration business, concurred with Mr. Arroyo's comments regarding the DMV's policy change of closing its standby/walk-up registration window at its four Las Vegas offices. She conjectured one of the reasons for the change in policy is due to unscrupulous businesses that have used the DMV logo on their stationary and websites noting the document preparation industry is not opposed to being regulated by the DMV. She also discussed other matters relating to the policy change, such as: (1) benefits derived from the use of document preparation services; (2) comparing the effectiveness of

DMV programs used in northern Nevada to their use in southern Nevada that affect wait times; and (3) the effect on minorities concerning the DMV's five-day document processing delay.

Riana Durrett, Esq., and Executive Director, Nevada Dispensary Association, Las Vegas, stated the Association supports R092-17 as written. She also provided background information on R092-17 and addressed others' opposition to the regulation's licensing criteria.

Madison Saglibene, representing the Las Vegas Chapter for the National Organization for the Reform of Marijuana Laws (NORML), raised concerns over the unintentional consequences of R092-17, specifically, the rise of the marijuana black market due to the high prices of recreational marijuana. She encouraged more transparency in the regulation process for NORML, consumers, and the State of Nevada.

Amanda N. Connor, Esq., Connor & Connor PLLC, representing the Nevada Cannabis Coalition (NCC), Las Vegas, which represents approximately 40 Nevada marijuana license holders, stated the NCC is in support of R092-17 as proposed. She also commented on the collaborative efforts between working groups, the Department of Taxation, and the Governor's Task Force on the Implementation of Ballot Question 2: The Regulation and Taxation of Marijuana Act, in drafting the regulation. Ms. Connor noted R092-17 is impartial and gives everyone who is eligible to apply for a license the opportunity to rank in various categories.

William (Bill) Process, Nevada resident, testified in opposition to R110-16 ([Agenda Item V A-12](#)) as it is currently written. He is of the opinion horse owners should not be limited to using veterinarians in Nevada for equine dental services when other nonveterinarian individuals with the proper education and experience are available.

Zach Rhodes, Nevada resident, and certified equine dentist, elaborated on his extensive credentials and experience in the field of equine dentistry; however, in order to legally practice equine dentistry, he must go out of state. He opposes R110-16, as written, and would like to see it amended because it is restrictive and anticompetitive.

Kristie Baldasarre, Owner and Operator, Done and Done DMV Service, Las Vegas, spoke in opposition to the DMV's decision to close the standby/walk-up registration service window at its four southern Nevada locations, and she stressed the industry's value in providing community service.

Cristina Alfonso-Zea, disabled veteran and resident of Las Vegas, discussed the life-saving benefits of medical marijuana to veterans and its reduced cost compared

to that of recreational marijuana. She encouraged the Commission to reconsider the taxation of recreational marijuana dispensaries in an effort to make it more affordable to veterans and others and as an alternative to the marijuana black market.

Allen Puliz, Managing Partner, The Nevada LLC (a marijuana cultivator and production company), explained why the company suggests R092-17 needs to be more fair and evenhanded. His comments focused on:

- The scoring method used in obtaining a dispensary license;
- The term *experienced key personnel* in subsection (h) of Section 80; and
- The monopolistic properties in the marijuana industry.

Mr. Puliz urged the Department of Taxation to recognize cultivation and production companies in Nevada that have a history, have invested money, and have hired employees.

Steven B. Cohen, Esq., Cohen, Johnson, Parker, Edwards, in Las Vegas, representing Mr. Puliz and The Nevada LLC, provided testimony concerning R092-17 as it relates to:

- Ambiguities in the dispensary application process and dispensary employees and licensing;
- Potential litigation; and
- Unintended consequences if the regulation is adopted:
 - Cutting competition;
 - Keeping prices high;
 - Providing preferential treatment to existing marijuana dispensaries; and
 - Undercutting of state licensing by the black market.

Mr. Cohen requested the opportunity to address what he perceives as glaring defects in the regulation process and to clear up ambiguities in the regulation.

Craig R. Rombough, President, Mother Herb, Inc. (a marijuana cultivation facility), Las Vegas, shared the lessons his company has learned since its inception three and one-half years prior and how those lessons can affect R092-17 regarding the issuance of new marijuana licenses. He said his company grows one of the best

marijuana strains in Nevada that is effective in treating pain, combatting opioid addiction, and cancer treatments. Mr. Rombough indicated his company can grow marijuana at a lower cost than the black market, but it needs an outlet from which to pass the savings on to consumers because the dispensaries still charge high prices. He argued against allowing the same cultivators to acquire more dispensary licenses, which would prevent fair prices for consumers, and the black market would still exist. Mr. Rombough stressed the benefit of current cultivators having already been vetted and the fact they have put up large cash amounts to get the businesses going for their dispensaries. He thinks vetted cultivators should be given a fair shot, or even greater shot, in an effort to create a proper and free market that is not controlled by the same people with more dispensaries. He suggested reconsidering the approval of R092-17 as written.

Cindy Brown, Nevada resident, patient advocate, and cancer survivor, said legislation passed during the 2017 Session reduced the number of marijuana plants from 12 plants per person per household to 12 plants per household, which is not enough to effectively use medical marijuana. She stressed medical marijuana patients do not need more monopolies, but rather they need more diverse dispensaries. Ms. Brown stated medical marijuana patients who are on the registry are prohibited from growing at home; therefore, they are being forced to pay higher prices from recreational dispensaries. In addition, patients are getting marijuana from the black market, which is supplied primarily by California and Colorado. Ms. Brown asked the members to keep this information in mind during the 2019 Session.

Nicola (Nick) M. Spirtos, M.D., Representative, The Apothecary Shoppe, Las Vegas, made the following points:

1. There are no perfect regulations;
2. Not everyone got what they wanted during discussions on R092-17, but everyone was heard;
3. There should be flexibility in the Legislature and the Department of Taxation;
4. There is a misconception by cultivators that they have supported the dispensaries and have taken losses; and
5. If R092-17 is not adopted, the dispensary industry would be operating without guidance.

Evan Marder, Chief Operating Officer, Matrix NV Cannabis Products (cultivation and production facility), Las Vegas, concurred with previous comments made that cultivators would like a fair shake at dispensary licensing. He expressed concern for the future of independent cultivators and producers because dispensaries bring on

their own cultivation. Mr. Marder offered a reminder that the majority of dispensary licenses granted were given to people without dispensary experience.

Jason Scheurer, representing marijuana industry investors, stated many investors are concerned with ambiguities in R092-17 regarding dispensary licensing. He said if the growers are not given more access, one or two companies will eventually own all dispensary licenses, and dispensaries will become the control mechanism that will dictate to the growers what the products and prices will be. Mr. Schreurer stated the investors he represents will not invest in future growing facilities until they see more clarity in the regulation.

Mr. Pojunis, previously identified, stated he is a shareholder in many Nevada marijuana companies. He referred to his letter addressed to the Commission in which he gives a brief description of the defects he sees in R092-17 ([Agenda Item II E](#)). Specifically, Mr. Pojunis is concerned as more retail license holders complete their own cultivation facilities, independent cultivators will be left with fewer dispensaries willing to purchase their product. He suggested extending temporary regulations and revisiting R092-17 to avoid potential litigation.

Ben Sillitoe, Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Cofounder, Oasis Cannabis, Las Vegas, stated the regulation process for R092-17 was followed, and there were adequate opportunities for input from interested parties. He is of the opinion the intent of the law was to allow the best operators to be the ones to face the public because public perception is important. Mr. Sillitoe explained the black market is Oasis Cannabis's greatest competitor and, yet, Oasis Cannabis has not raised its prices since before July 1. However, he said some operators that have accused dispensaries of price-fixing are charging 30 to 40 percent more than what they charged in May 2017. He indicated high prices are a direct result of supply and demand, and it is impossible for dispensaries to price-fix because all 80 of them cannot supply their own needs. Lastly, he opined there will always be threats of litigation; he encouraged the adoption of R092-17.

Mark Bradley, CEO of Green Leaf Farms, Inc. and Player's Network, Inc., stated he applied for a dispensary license early in the process but did not receive one. Since then, he has built a cultivation facility, but it is difficult to sell product when dispensaries also hold a cultivation and production license. He pointed out that in other states, marijuana cultivators and dispensary owners cannot have both licenses. Mr. Bradley remarked about the Department of Taxation's dismissive behavior toward licensees during the regulations' hearings. He said he does not think dispensary licensees who purchased licenses from original owners should be eligible to apply for additional licenses, because that was not the intent of the law. Mr. Bradley touched on points outlined in his written comments ([Agenda Item II F](#)). He asked the Commission to extend the temporary regulations in an effort to allow interested parties to revise R092-17 so that it aligns more with legislation.

Jennifer Solas, Founder, Wellness Education Cannabis Advocates of Nevada, stated she agrees with the cultivators and production companies' comments. She cautioned if R092-17 is approved as written, it could lead to the collapse of the marijuana cultivation and production industry for several months, which occurred in Oregon, and it allowed a monopoly for cultivators with clean growing practices to rise. Ms. Solas explained this is due to the current growing practice of many cultivators in Nevada because pesticides are not evident during pesticide cultivation testing to the extent that they fail the crop; however, when crops are concentrated, so are the pesticides. She requested the extension of the temporary regulation, and she noted the regulation also affects the hemp industry because it follows the same regulations as marijuana cultivation.

AGENDA ITEM III—APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE DECEMBER 19, 2017, MEETING

MOTION: Assemblywoman Carlton moved approval of the minutes of the December 19, 2017, meeting. Senator Ford seconded the motion. The motion carried. Vice Chair Benitez-Thompson was not present for the vote.

AGENDA ITEM IV—LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR

A. Summary of Audit Reports Presented to Legislative Commission's Audit Subcommittee (NRS 218G.240)

Rocky Cooper, previously identified, drew the Commission's attention to a letter dated January 17, 2018, from Assemblywoman Benitez-Thompson, Chair, Audit Subcommittee of the Legislative Commission (NRS 218G.240) ([Agenda Item IV A-1](#)), indicating that on that date a meeting of the Audit Subcommittee was held in which six audit reports were presented. He provided a summary of each report, as follows:

Hearings Division, Department of Administration (DOA) ([Agenda Item IV A-2](#))

The purpose of the audit was to determine the adequacy of controls over personally identifiable and sensitive health information and the evaluation of other financial and administrative controls. The Division's controls related to the protection of personally identifiable and sensitive health information need improvement. The Division collects Social Security numbers (SSNs) it does not need, and the information is maintained in a hard-copy format. In addition, the Social Security information for 435 individuals was stored unencrypted on the Division's information system, and it had not adequately protected information relating to case management. The Division needs to work with the Division of Enterprise Information Technology Services (EITS), DOA, to review server security, which will help reduce the risk of a third-party security breach. The Division can also improve its performance measures. It did not ensure the collection of all bills due from state agencies for conducting hearings, and employees did not receive

required performance evaluations. Out of 69 employee evaluations due, 56 in Fiscal Years 2015 and 2016 were not conducted. The Division accepted the nine audit recommendations.

Victims of Crime Program, DOA ([Agenda Item IV A-3](#))

The purpose of this audit was to determine the adequacy of controls over the protection of personally identifiable and sensitive health information. The audit also evaluated other financial and administrative controls. The Program's controls related to the protection of personally identifiable and sensitive health information were weak. Documents containing sensitive information were not stored in a secured manner. Boxes containing victim medical records and various other documents set aside for shredding were located in an open area accessible to program staff and janitorial staff provided by the building owner. These documents contained medical information and applications that included victims' names, addresses, dates of birth, crime information, and SSNs. In light of the recent mass shooting in Las Vegas and the increase in volume of victims' crime information, it was suggested at the Audit Subcommittee meeting that the Audit Division (Audit) verify the corrective actions taken by the Program the next time Audit staff visited Las Vegas. Earlier this month, Audit verified that victims' information is adequately protected in a locking container prior to being shredded. In addition, Audit found the Program's contractor stores victim data on its server at the contractor's office in Las Vegas. According to Program staff, neither the Program nor EITS has reviewed the security settings of the server, which is important in preventing a security breach. Other issues identified: (1) the Program's controls over collecting and calculating performance measures need improvement; (2) the Program needs to establish a process to track known subrogation opportunities; (3) revenue collection and tracking processes need improvement; and (4) the Program completed only 9 out of 16 employee evaluations in 2015 and 2016. The Program accepted Audit's seven recommendations.

Housing Division, Department of Business and Industry ([Agenda Item IV A-4](#))

The Housing Division is effectively monitoring low-income housing properties funded by a federal tax credit and grant programs. The Audit Division tested 50 out of 273 properties the Division currently monitors and found the Division timely and thoroughly monitored all properties in Calendar Year 2016. The properties comprise approximately 23,000 housing units. The thoroughness and quality of the Division's monitoring provides assurance that families are housed in safe conditions, charged appropriate rent, and are eligible for the program's services. It was found the Division could improve its performance measures; Audit made two recommendations in that area, which the Division accepted.

Records, Communications and Compliance Division, Information Security, Department of Public Safety (DPS) ([Agenda Item IV A-5](#))

This was an information security audit performed by Audit's Information Systems staff. Information security is very important for DPS because it supports Nevada's criminal justice community, which includes areas such as: (1) dispatch; (2) warrants; (3) Nevada Criminal History Repository; (4) Brady Point of Contact Firearms Program; and (5) the Sex Offender Registry. Audit identified several weaknesses in the Division's information security controls. Of the Division's 234 network user accounts, 63 former employee accounts were identified whose network access had not been disabled or removed timely, which included 13 accounts over three years old. Of the Division's staff and vendors, 41 had not completed their annual security awareness training, which is required by state security standards. The Division did not maintain a master list of authorized users or review its system access privileges for several of its mission-critical applications, and the Division did not have a disaster recovery plan to ensure prioritization of mission-critical services for restoration in the event of an emergency. Timely restoration of mission-critical services could be severely affected when this plan does not exist, potentially impacting public safety. A service level agreement was not in place between EITS and the Division. An agreement should be in place because the Division relies on EITS for its information technology support. The Division accepted the ten recommendations to improve information security.

Adult Mental Health Services, Community-Based Living Arrangement Homes (CBLA), Division of Public and Behavioral Health (DPBH), Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) ([Agenda Item IV A-6](#))

The Audit Division inspected CBLA homes that serve clients of northern and southern Nevada adult mental health services. The inspections found adults in need of mental health care live in dismal conditions in CBLAs. Without strong inspection and certification processes, Audit has serious concerns with the current model for funding CBLA homes. Providers operate in an industry that is inherently driven by a profit motive—the absence of adequate inspections and certification activities may limit their level of care to maximize profit at the detriment of client services. Some examples of conditions observed include: (1) unsanitary conditions in 36 homes, which included excessive dirty conditions, mold, rodent and insect infestations, and no hand soap or toilet paper in the bathrooms; (2) personal and health safety issues in 34 homes, which included expired or spoiled food, broken doors, and broken and exposed glass; (3) fire safety hazards were found in 33 homes, which included expired or inaccessible fire extinguishers and missing and disabled smoke detectors; (4) inadequate medication management practices were observed in 28 homes, including medication administration records that were left blank, were not up to date, or were completed in advance; and medications were improperly stored, including medications that were comingled or expired; (5) bleak living conditions were identified in 36 out of 37 homes that included insufficient quantities of food, inadequate lighting, insufficient bedding and linens, and nonfunctioning or damaged appliances; (6) in two homes, young children of

caregivers were living in the homes that were observed; in one home, the child's parents were not present and the mentally ill clients were taking care of the child; (7) in 11 CBLA homes inspected in southern Nevada, the staff member identified as the caregiver spoke little or no English—the language of the clients living in the homes; if caregivers are unable to communicate, clients may not receive the services they need and for which the state is paying; and (8) most of the CBLA providers tested had not undergone a required review and assessment of certification procedures; when procedures were performed, they were untimely by up to five years. The Division accepted the seven recommendations to improve the oversight of CBLA providers.

Review of Guidelines for Licensing Children's Facilities—January 2018
(Agenda Item IV A-7)

Typically, Audit reviews facilities where the children are placed. However, the purpose of this review was to evaluate the licensing agencies' policies and procedures and to strengthen the process to prevent problems from occurring in the future. The written guidance of four licensing agencies was reviewed, which includes: (1) the Bureau of Health Care Quality and Compliance, DPBH, DHHS; (2) the Washoe County Human Services Agency; (2) the Clark County Department of Family Services; and (4) the Division of Child and Family Services, DHHS. Overall, Audit found the written guidance used by the licensing agencies, when reviewing new licensing applications and application renewals, need to be updated to provide more explanatory detail. Generally, the licensing agencies do not have written policies and procedures for licensing, but rather, they rely on checklists, NRS, and *Nevada Administrative Code* (NAC) when licensing facilities. Although the checklists are a very good tool, Audit identified gaps related to some areas where problems were often identified at the children's facilities during inspections. Key areas sometimes missing from the guidance of the four licensing agencies include: (1) informing youths of their rights; (2) having established a grievance process; (3) mandatory reporting of suspected abuse or neglect of a child; (4) requirements for background investigations; (5) documentation of physician orders; and (6) ensuring written consent of the person legally responsible is obtained prior to the administration of psychotropic medications. Review of guidelines included the four different licensing agencies and six different facility types. The agencies were asked to take corrective actions, where applicable; provide copies of the revised guidelines to Audit; and describe the actions taken to ensure proper consent is obtained from the people legally responsible when administering psychotropic medications to a child in the custody of a child welfare agency.

Mr. Cooper stated the Audit Subcommittee recommends the Commission accept the six reports.

MOTION: Senator Ford moved approval of the Audit Division's six audit reports. Assemblywoman Carlton seconded the motion. The motion carried. Assemblymen Kramer and Wheeler were not present for the vote.

B. Summary of Six-Month Status Reports on the Implementation of the Audit Recommendations by the Legislative Auditor as Submitted to the Audit Subcommittee (NRS 218G.270)

Referring to a second letter dated January 17, 2018, from Assemblywoman Benitez-Thompson, Chair, Audit Subcommittee of the Legislative Commission ([Agenda Item IV A-8](#)), Mr. Cooper stated the letter indicates 13, 6-month reports and the implementation status schedule ([Agenda Item IV A-9](#)), as of that date, were reviewed by the Audit Subcommittee. At that time 88 out of 96 recommendations were fully implemented, and, as of today, 93 of the 96 recommendations are fully implemented. He said the Audit Division will continue to follow up on the remaining, partially implemented recommendations. Mr. Cooper stated the Audit Subcommittee recommends the Commission accept the six-month reports.

MOTION: Assemblywoman Carlton moved approval of the 13, 6-month audit reports. Senator Ford seconded the motion. The motion carried. Assemblymen Kramer and Wheeler were not present for the vote.

AGENDA ITEM V—LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION POLICY

A. Review of Administrative Regulations Submitted Pursuant to NRS 233B.067.

Please see the attached list of regulations ([Agenda Item V A-1](#)) to be considered. The list can also be accessed electronically at: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Register/IndexesRegsReviewed/LCMtg_List_2018_Feb27.pdf.

The following regulations were identified by members to be held for discussion:

R106-15, R012-16, R007-17, R045-17, R046-17, R055-17, R075-17, and R076-17.

Senator Hammond commented on the overall lack of transparency regarding the regulation process, and he questioned the distance between legislating/regulating and the relationships with industries/lobbyists. He also expressed concern regarding the Department of Taxation's ability to keep up with the regulations.

Chair Frierson asked Brenda J. Erdoes, previously identified, to confirm whether R092-17 ([Agenda Item V A-2](#)) could be extended.

Ms. Erdoes explained that shortly after the 2017 Session, the Department of Taxation adopted a temporary regulation, which was set to expire in November 2017. The Department then adopted an emergency regulation—effective for 120 days that cannot be extended—which will expire on March 1, 2018. She said if R092-17 is not approved at this meeting, there will be no marijuana regulations.

Senator Goicoechea commented he is also concerned about the Department's ability to enforce the regulation because it is so stringent, and he questioned whether additional personnel are needed.

Chair Frierson asked whether any of the members wanted R092-17 held for discussion. He remarked if the regulation is approved, it will need to be monitored. The Department still has work to do, and he surmised Senator Goicoechea's question would be better suited if directed at the Department.

Senator Goicoechea said he understands the regulation is not perfect, and he is fine with it as written.

MOTION: Assemblywoman Carlton moved approval of R004-16, R073-16, R110-16, R155-16, R016-17, R018-17, R042-17, R062-17, R064-17, R065-17, R069-17, R070-17, R074-17, R092-17, R093-17, R095-17, R108-17, R110-17, R112-17, R116-17, R125-17, R126-17, R134-17, R142-17, R144-15, and R022-17. Senator Atkinson seconded the motion. The motion carried. Assemblymen Kramer and Wheeler were not present for the vote.

Regulation 106-15

A REGULATION relating to education; prescribing requirements for a policy to teach English to pupils who are English learners; revising certain terms and definitions in conformance with revisions to federal law; and providing other matters properly relating thereto. ([Agenda Item V A-3](#)).

Senator Denis asked for confirmation that the regulation changes English Language Learners' (ELLs) testing from biannually to annually.

Karl Wilson, Programs Supervisor, ELL Program, Nevada's Department of Education (DOE), confirmed Senator Denis' statement. He explained that existing regulations allow for testing biannually; however, federal law requires ELLs to be assessed annually in their language development.

Senator Denis agreed with the change. He questioned whether representatives of Clark and Washoe County School Districts, who work with ELLs, participated in the hearing.

Mr. Wilson stated not only were there opportunities to participate during the workshop and the hearing, district representatives who serve ELLs were specifically given opportunities during additional meetings to discuss what was recommended by the English Mastery Council, DOE. In response to Senator Denis' question regarding whether the districts thought the change would create an undue burden for them, he replied there were no comments to that effect. Mr. Wilson added there were concerns from smaller rural districts with fewer ELLs in their populations that they had unique challenges, but they understood the policy requirements are appropriate for all districts.

Chair Frierson remarked the Commission would first hear all of DOE's regulations and then a vote would be taken.

Regulation 075-17

A REGULATION relating to education; prescribing criteria that the State Board of Education will use to award grants to school districts and charter schools for programs of career and technical education; transferring to the State Board certain duties of the former State Board for Career and Technical Education; revising the program areas for which the board of trustees of a school district may offer a program of career and technical education; and providing other matters properly relating thereto ([Agenda Item V A-4](#)).

Senator Denis asked whether performing arts is considered career and technical education (CTE) in the criteria used by the State Board of Education (SBE).

Kristine Nelson, Director, Office of Career Readiness (OCR), Adult Learning, and Education Options, DOE, stated CTE programs that a public school district or charter school may offer are listed in NAC 389.803, but it does not specifically list performing arts. She explained CTEs are grouped under six program areas outlined in the current regulation. If a school district wishes to offer and apply for CTE grants, such as performing arts, they are not prohibited from doing so.

Senator Denis questioned whether the regulation is being updated as a result of the 2017 Session or because of federal regulations.

Ms. Nelson replied R075-17 is a result of Assembly Bill 482 (Chapter 39, *Statutes of Nevada 2017*). She explained the language was in statute, but AB 482 required that it be removed and that the SBE prescribe the regulations in Chapter 389 ("Examinations, Courses, Standards and Diplomas") of NAC. In response to Senator Denis' question of whether industry representatives participated in discussions regarding CTEs, Ms. Nelson said the OCR consistently has business and industry partake in its CTE standards writing and assessment processes, which is required by regulation. Regarding R075-17, the OCR did not specifically call on anyone. However, testimony was given by business and industry representatives at workshops and before the DOE's High School

Graduation Committee—comprised of SBE members—during the regulatory process as well as at the SBE's public hearing processes.

Regulation 076-17

A REGULATION relating to education; prescribing the criteria for a pupil to receive a college and career ready high school diploma, a college-ready endorsement and a career-ready endorsement; revising the criteria for a pupil to receive an advanced diploma; and providing other matters properly relating thereto ([Agenda Item V A-5](#)).

Senator Denis asked what business and industry representatives think about the college and career ready high school diploma.

Ms. Nelson reported on the significant extent of stakeholder engagement pursued by the DOE regarding R076-17. She said the regulation is a framework that began in March 2017 before AB 7 (Chapter 501, *Statutes of Nevada 2017*)—the legislation that drove the regulation—became effective. Ms. Nelson stated the DOE met extensively with two of Nevada's largest districts, Clark and Washoe Counties; the Office of Workforce Innovation, Office of the Governor (OG); the Board of Economic Development, OG; Nevada employers; the Nevada Association of Employers; the New Skills for Youth Grant team comprising of Nevada employers and local and state workforce board membership; and the Nevada System of Higher Education, all of whom worked on the framework for the new diploma. She noted the DOE did not want to build something in regulation that was not feasible for students to obtain and/or for districts to implement.

Senator Denis said he was pleased with the participation.

Regulation 055-17

A REGULATION relating to education; revising the performance standards for a course of study in health for pupils enrolled in prekindergarten, kindergarten and grades 1 to 12, inclusive; and providing other matters properly relating thereto ([Agenda Item V A-6](#)).

Senator Hammond asked for the intent of paragraphs (d) and (e) of subsection 1 of Section 1.

Amber Reed, Education Program Professional, DOE, said the goal is to scale up and scaffold the learning and content for children in an age-appropriate way. Paragraphs (d) and (e) of subsection 1 would be age appropriate for kindergarten and first and second grades.

Senator Hammond asked whether the intent of paragraph (e) is to allow parents and/or students to withdraw if either does not think it is something he or she needs to be a part of, noting the language requiring the definition of *personal space* of the

pupil and safe *personal space* of others is quite broad. He asked for a more narrow definition of *personal space*, when is it considered to be violated, and what action is taken when it is violated.

Ms. Reed replied kindergartners and students in first and second grades should be able to define a safe *personal space of the pupil and of other persons*, which is the goal of the content and standards. The determinations would likely accompany a lesson about appropriate classroom behaviors and what they mean for students at those ages.

Senator Hammond said Ms. Reed's last comments answered his questions.

MOTION: Senator Denis moved approval of R106-15, R055-17, R075-17, and R076-17. Senator Atkinson seconded the motion. The motion carried. Assembly Members Carlton, Kramer, and Wheeler were not present for the vote.

Regulation 012-16

A REGULATION relating to wildlife; prohibiting a person from placing, maintaining or using a trail camera or similar device under certain circumstances; providing exceptions; and providing other matters properly relating thereto ([Agenda Item V A-7](#)).

Regarding trail cameras, Senator Goicoechea expressed concern about the waiver for private property and/or property water rights, because the private property owners and water rights holders will become enforcers. He said Nevada's Department of Wildlife (NDOW) has no jurisdiction on private property to remove illegally placed cameras, and he stated he wants to ensure it is not a criminal act for property owners to remove illegally placed cameras.

Tony Wasley, Director, NDOW, responded the issue of trail cameras was brought to the Board of Wildlife Commissioners (BWC), NDOW, by several counties, noting there can be as many as 27 cameras on one water source at a time. He explained because Nevada is the driest state in the country, tree coverage is not abundant; therefore, there is a predictable distribution of wildlife, and many customers and constituents in recreational pursuit of the animals take advantage of that predictable distribution and use cameras. Through the BWC workshop process, there was an interest from the livestock industry to maintain tools it relies on to monitor the distribution of livestock and livestock water use. He explained the regulation began as broad coverage specific to trail cameras. Out of the expressed desire by the livestock industry to protect its ability to use these tools to monitor animals and their water usage, the exemptions were included. Mr. Wasley said the exemption is similar to Nevada's aerial scouting laws wherein it is unlawful for individuals to use aircraft to scout for big game; however, there are exemptions for the livestock industry for the use of aircraft to locate its animals on the range. The exemption is intended to protect those activities. He deferred to

Tyler Turnipseed, Chief Game Warden, NDOW, regarding potential liabilities for private property and livestock owners as a result of the approval of R012-16.

Senator Goicoechea interjected that almost every map delineates private property in white. He asked whether NDOW may remove a trail camera from private property with the regulation as written.

Mr. Turnipseed indicated it would be up to NDOW to know whether cameras are on private or public property before removing them. With the private property exemption, NDOW would not have jurisdiction over a camera placed on private property, which emanated from the BWC not wanting to have to tell property owners what they could or could not put on their land.

Senator Goicoechea reiterated his concern about property owners being put at risk for removing others' cameras from their property.

Mr. Turnipseed surmised it would be up to property owners whether they wanted cameras on their property.

Senator Goicoechea let it be known he would be voting no on the regulation because it impacts private property owners.

MOTION: Senator Denis moved approval of R012-16. Vice Chair Benitez-Thompson seconded the motion. The motion was not approved. Assembly Members Carlton, Kramer, and Wheeler were not present for the vote.

Senator Goicoechea requested a motion to delay or return the regulation.

The previous motion by Senator Denis and the previous second by Vice Chair Benitez-Thompson to approve R012-16 were rescinded.

Chair Frierson announced the regulation would be sent back to the BWC for further discussion.

Senator Goicoechea remarked he would like to see clarified language in the regulation so private property owners have the ability to legally remove cameras from their properties.

Mr. Turnipseed expressed NDOW's willingness to work with Senator Goicoechea regarding the revisions.

Chair Frierson stated a motion to delay the regulation is not necessary.

Regulation 007-17

A REGULATION relating to controlled substances; revising provisions relating to the partial filling of certain controlled substances; and providing other matters properly relating thereto ([Agenda Item V A-8](#)).

Regarding paragraph (a) of subsection 1 of Section 1, Senator Denis asked under what circumstances would a prescription be partially filled.

Paul Edwards, General Counsel, State Board of Pharmacy (SBP), explained a change in regulations was made to be consistent with federal law. The circumstance under which a partial prescription would be filled is if a patient desires to try a medication for fewer than 30 days before committing to a full 30-day prescription. Currently, a 30-day prescription must be filled within 72 hours; otherwise, it is forfeited. The change in regulation gives patients a longer period of time to decide whether they want to continue with the medication and without forfeiting the entire prescription. He confirmed the change would also be beneficial to patients who are constantly on medication and when the pharmacy does not have enough medication on hand to fill a 30-day prescription.

Chair Frierson announced the Pharmacy regulations would be heard together.

Regulation 045-17

A REGULATION relating to controlled substances; revising provisions relating to the transmission of information regarding the dispensing of controlled substances to certain persons; and providing other matters properly relating thereto ([Agenda Item V A-9](#)).

Discussion ensued between Senator Denis and Mr. Edwards regarding the inclusion of Schedule V drugs to the regulation and the status of the Prescription Monitoring Program (PMP) under the SBP.

Mr. Edwards confirmed the amended regulation relates to the requirement in AB 474 (Chapter 605, *Statutes of Nevada 2017*) to add Schedule V drugs to the list of controlled substances that need to be reported to the PMP.

Mr. Edwards reported compliance with the PMP has risen substantially, and it will be 100 percent by the next renewal period because AB 474 makes registration with the PMP a prerequisite to renewing a controlled substance. Practitioners who want to retain the ability to write controlled substance prescriptions will have to be registered with the PMP.

Mr. Edwards stated there is no hard data to support, either way, whether some prescribers are choosing not to prescribe controlled substances as a result of AB 474. He added the SBP is hearing some complaints about the PMP, but the

SBP is unsure of the degree of the problem; regardless, the SBP is addressing those concerns by dispelling some of the myths about the PMP and by making suggestions on how practices can comply. He added that a vast majority of practitioners agree compliance is workable, even though it is an additional load on their practices. Mr. Edwards said once practitioners are disabused of some of the misinformation that is out there, most of them seem to be comfortable with moving forward.

Regulation 046-17

A REGULATION relating to controlled substances; establishing the required contents of certain prescriptions; revising the required contents of certain prescriptions; revising provisions concerning the authority of a pharmacist and pharmaceutical technician to make changes to such prescriptions; providing other matters properly relating thereto ([Agenda Item V A-10](#)).

Senator Denis referred to the deleted language in subsection 1 of Section 1 regarding the oral and electronic transmission of controlled substance prescriptions. He asked whether the SBP is simply cleaning up the language or removing the ability to electronically prescribe controlled substance prescriptions.

Mr. Edwards replied the deletion is cleanup language and practitioners have had the ability to electronically prescribe controlled substances and dangerous drugs since 2012; therefore, there are no drug categories that cannot be electronically prescribed in Nevada. The change simply brings the regulation up to date to reflect that electronic subscribing is allowed—it does not remove the ability to write electronic prescriptions. He confirmed Schedule II prescription drugs can also be prescribed electronically. Mr. Edwards explained that, originally, regulations limited electronic prescriptions to Schedule III through V controlled substances and for all dangerous drugs, but in 2012, a regulatory amendment removed that restriction; therefore, Schedule II through V controlled substances may be electronically prescribed.

MOTION: Senator Denis moved approval of R007-17, R045-17, and R046-17. Assemblyman Oscarson seconded the motion. The motion carried. Assembly Members Carlton, Kramer, and Wheeler were not present for the vote.

B. Request by State Emergency Response Commission to Continue Regulation Not Adopted Within Two Years After Submission to the Legislative Counsel (NRS 233B.040)

Bart J. Chambers, Chief, State Fire Marshall Division, Department of Public Safety (DPS), and Member, State Emergency Response Commission (SERC), stated the purpose of SERC's presence is to request an extension for the continuance of work on regulations in Chapter 459 ("Hazardous Materials") of NAC.

Chair Frierson explained the statute requires a reason for the regulation's delay.

Mr. Chambers said the reasons for the delayed regulation have to do with numerous vacancies and changes with SERC staff and his predecessor's retirement. All positions have been filled and SERC is now moving forward.

MOTION: Assemblyman Oscarson moved approval of the State Emergency Response Commission's request for continuance of regulations not adopted within two years after submission to the Legislative Counsel. Senator Ford seconded the motion. The motion carried. Assembly Members, Carlton, Kramer, and Wheeler were not present for the vote.

C. Authorization for Director to Enter Into a Contract for the Establishment of the Reporting System Required by ACR 12 (2017), Which Allows a Person to Submit a Confidential Report of Alleged Harassment or Other Prohibited Conduct

Rick Combs, previously identified, provided background information on the need for establishing a reporting system that allows a person to submit a complaint for violation of the Anti-Harassment Policy, as well as other rules, without identifying himself or herself, in accordance with Assembly Concurrent Resolution 12 (File 44, *Statutes of Nevada 2017*). He reported that, after extensive research into alternatives by the Legal Division, the LCB recommends a contract with Van Dermeyden Maddux Law Corporation, an investigations law firm with offices in California and Nevada, to build and maintain the Nevada Legislature's complaint intake process and independently investigate allegations of harassment.

Mr. Combs explained the law firm would establish a dual system consisting of a telephone hotline and an online complaint portal. The online portal would be valuable during an investigation if documents needed to be transferred to an investigator, which could be done without disclosing the complainant's identification. It would also allow an investigator to continue a dialogue with that person on an ongoing basis, rather than a single phone conversation. Another benefit of the online complaint portal is early reporting, which often leads to early and efficient resolutions. The system would also add an outside, neutral party to monitor and respond to complaints, and it would capitalize on the experience this firm has in investigations of sexual harassment and other prohibited conduct. He indicated the anticipated cost of the system could be negotiated for approximately \$15,000. In addition, there would be an ongoing annual maintenance cost of \$5,000 for the online complaint portal that would be included in the LCB's annual budget request. Additional comments and information regarding the system and a copy of ACR 12 were provided ([Agenda Item V C](#)).

Chair Frierson stated that during the 2017 Session, Senator Ford and he worked through challenges in their attempts at creating an atmosphere where individuals in

the Legislature felt safe with reporting allegations of sexual harassment and misconduct. He thanked Senator Ford and other colleagues for their efforts in bringing forth ACR 12 and facilitating its passage. Chair Frierson agreed with Mr. Combs' comments regarding Van Dermiden Maddux's reputation and track record that would allow the LCB to implement a change in the culture of the Legislature in a timely manner. Chair Frierson shared that the passage of ACR 12 and its implementation is of the utmost importance for the Legislature, and he fully supports authorization for the LCB to enter into the contract.

Senator Ford reiterated Chair Frierson's comments. He said he is pleased with the LCB's work on implementing the requirements of ACR 12, and he expressed his confidence in Van Dermiden Maddux. Senator Ford encouraged the Commission's support of the request to enter into the contract.

Vice Chair Benitez-Thompson asked for the system's projected start date, if the request is approved, and whether the complaint hotline and online portal would be available simultaneously or whether they would have different start dates.

Brenda J. Erdoes, previously identified, said the LCB would expect the hotline to become available shortly after the approval of the contract, but the online portal could take up to two months due to software installation and testing.

Mr. Combs interjected that Van Dermiden Maddux established and maintains a similar complaint system under contract with the California State Senate.

Responding to Vice Chair Benitez-Thompson's question regarding easy access to the system, Mr. Combs said the ability to transition from the Legislature's website to the system's website would eventually be implemented.

Senator Goicoechea asked whether the investigations would be billed on an hourly basis, to which Mr. Combs replied the law firm would charge its normal, hourly rates. However, as part of the contract, the law firm would first determine whether an investigation is warranted and then the LCB would have three options: (1) allow the law firm to conduct the investigation; (2) conduct the investigation in house; or (3) go with a third party.

MOTION: Senator Ford moved approval to allow the Legislative Counsel Bureau to enter into a contract for the establishment of the reporting system required by ACR 12. Vice Chair Benitez-Thompson seconded the motion. The motion carried. Assembly Members Carlton, Kramer, and Wheeler were not present for the vote.

AGENDA ITEM VI—APPOINTMENTS OF MEMBERS TO COMMITTEES AND OTHER ENTITIES

A. Nevada Silver Haired Legislative Form (NRS 427A.320) ([Agenda Item VI A](#))

Rick Combs, previously identified, stated there are two requested appointments to the Nevada Silver Haired Legislative Forum (NSHLF). The first recommendation is by Senator Hardy, Senate District 12, to appoint Ross Johnson. The second recommendation is from Senator Julia Ratti, Senate District 13, to appoint Lucille Adin.

MOTION: Senator Ford moved approval to appoint Ross Johnson and Lucille Adin to the Nevada Silver Haired Legislative Forum. Senator Atkinson seconded the motion. The motion carried. Assembly Members Carlton, Kramer, and Wheeler were not present for the vote.

Rick Combs, previously identified, personally thanked Mary Shope, Coordinator, NSHLF, Administrative Division, LCB, for her many years of service and dedication to the NSHLF, as she will be retiring in a few days.

Chair Frierson echoed Mr. Combs' remarks.

B. Nevada Commission on Aging (NRS 427A.032) ([Agenda Item VI B](#))

Mr. Combs explained one member of the Senate and one member of the Assembly are appointed to the Nevada Commission on Aging. Due to the resignation of Senator Becky Harris, Senate District 9, the Commission is required to appoint a member of the Senate to the Nevada Commission on Aging.

Chair Frierson nominated Nicole J. Cannizzaro, Senate District 6, to the Nevada Commission on Aging.

MOTION: Senator Denis moved approval of the appointment of Senator Cannizzaro to the Nevada Commission on Aging. Senator Ford seconded the motion. The motion carried. Assembly Member Carlton, Kramer, and Wheeler were not present for the vote.

C. Legislative Commission's Subcommittee to Review Regulations (NRS 233B.067) ([Agenda Item VI C](#))

Chair Frierson stated there is no requirement to fill a vacancy on the Subcommittee, and, currently, the Subcommittee has exceeded its statutorily required minimum number; therefore, he is not inclined to appoint an additional member.

D. Commission on Ethics (NRS 281A.200) ([Agenda Item VI D](#))

Mr. Combs noted the Commission voted to fill the current vacancy on the Commission on Ethics with former Assemblywoman April Mastroluca at its December 19, 2017, meeting. However, it was determined there was a conflict, and she would not be able to serve. He stated the appointee must be a former public officer or former public employee, cannot be a registered Republican, is not required to nor is prohibited from being an attorney, and can be a resident of any county in Nevada.

Chair Frierson nominated former Assemblywoman Marilyn Dondero Loop to the Commission on Ethics.

MOTION: Senator Atkinson moved approval of the appointment of Marilyn Dondero Loop to the Commission on Ethics. Senator Farley seconded the motion. Assembly Members Carlton, Kramer, and Wheeler were not present for the vote.

AGENDA ITEM VII—INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

Senator Denis stated he had questions pertaining to informational item B (9).

A. Summary of Quarterly Reports on Disciplinary Action From the Licensing Boards and State Agencies

B. Miscellaneous Reports or Correspondence From State Agencies and Others:

1. Washoe County School District, Report Regarding Contracts With Construction Managers at Risk (CMAR) Pursuant to Section 14.5 of A.B. 283 (2013 Session)
2. Annual Report of City of Reno Tourism Improvement Districts (TID) Pursuant to NRS 271A.105
3. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) Forensic Laboratory DNA Services to Southern Nevada for Clark, Esmeralda, Lincoln, and Nye Counties on Sexual Assault Kits:
 - a. Sexual Assault Kits Received Before January 1, 2015
 - b. Sexual Assault Kits Collected After January 1, 2015—Completed in 2017
 - c. Sexual Assault Kits Collected After January 1, 2015—Not Completed
4. Nevada System of Higher Education (NSHE) Report on Differential Program Fees for Fiscal Year 2017 Pursuant to NRS 396.542
5. Quarterly (Q2, 2018) and Annual (2017–2018) Reports on Sales and Use Tax Imposed to Recruit, Employ, and Equip Public Safety Personnel Pursuant to Section 17.5 of the Nye County Sales and Use Tax Act of 2007

6. Department of Health and Human Services and Department of Business and Industry, Report Concerning the Annual Evaluation of Programs of Energy Assistance Pursuant to NRS 702.280(2)(c)
7. Department of Public Safety, Director's Office, Report on the Implementation of AB 239 (2015 Session)
8. Department of Motor Vehicles, Annual Report Concerning Garages, Garage Operators, and Body Shops Pursuant to NRS 487.557
9. Public Utilities Commission of Nevada—Notice of General Consumer Sessions Conducted in Clark County on September 12, 2017, and Washoe County on September 14, 2017, Pursuant to NRS 704.069(2)

Senator Denis asked what types of questions were asked at the consumer sessions.

Garrett Weir, General Counsel, Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (PUCN), indicated three general consumer sessions were held in Clark, Elko, and Washoe Counties. He said although he does not have that information readily available, he would be happy to follow up with it. Mr. Weir added that copies of the meeting transcripts and consumer comments were provided to the LCB on a flash drive, as stated in a letter dated October 13, 2017, from the executive director of the PUCN, Stephanie Mullen, addressed to Rick Combs, previously identified ([Agenda Item VII B](#)).

Senator Denis clarified his primary questions are whether the public participated in the sessions and the types of comments that were made, noting the sessions are held annually and provide the public with the opportunity to express their concerns.

Mr. Weir stated he would report back to the Commission with that information.

Senator Goicoechea confirmed the session held in Elko County was standing room only when a rate case and the water system were discussed, and both Elko and Washoe Counties (and most rural areas) normally have high levels of participation.

10. Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services, SFY 2016–2017 Biennial Report to the Legislature on Programs for Assistance to Victims of Domestic Violence Pursuant to NRS 217.400 through 217.460
11. Commission on Homeland Security, 2017 Annual Report to Governor Pursuant to NRS 239C.200

12. Veterans Services Commission, 2018 Biennial Report (Recommendations) Pursuant to NRS 417.190
13. Interagency Council on Veterans Affairs, 2018 Biennial Report Pursuant to NRS 417.0195(8)

AGENDA ITEM VIII—PUBLIC COMMENT

Chair Frierson called for public comment.

Mona Lisa Samuelson, previously identified, expressed her concerns regarding the testing of concentrated marijuana and its effects on patients and the need for a medical marijuana patient (MMP) advocate. She explained the marijuana plant is tested for pesticides, but the concentrate is not, which is a safety issue, and MMPs cannot consume commercially available products due to pesticide levels. Ms. Samuelson said MMPs are fighting for their right to grow more of their own marijuana. Noting her contributions during the workshops for the Governor's Task Force on the Implementation of Ballot Question 2: The Regulation and Taxation of Marijuana Act, she requested of the Commission to be made a member on the Advisory Commission on the Administration of Justice's Subcommittee on the Medical Use of Marijuana (NRS 176.01247) as a patient advocate. Ms. Samuelson asked the Commission to keep in mind that MMPs are still in need of legislative protection.

The following documents were submitted for the record:

1. A letter dated February 27, 2018, by Andrea Ambrose Lobato, SVP of Compliance and Government Affairs, Eaze Solutions, LLC, San Francisco, California ([Agenda Item VIII A](#)); and
2. A letter dated February 26, 2018, by Sam Mori, President, Nevada Cattlemen's Association, Elko, Nevada ([Agenda Item VIII B](#)).

AGENDA ITEM IX—ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Commission, the meeting was adjourned at 1:32 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Debbie Gleason
Secretary for Minutes

APPROVED BY:

Assemblyman Jason Frierson, Chair
Legislative Commission

MEETING MATERIALS

AGENDA ITEM	WITNESS/ENTITY	DESCRIPTION
<u>Agenda Item II A</u>	Jefferson W. Boswell, Esq., Peel Brimley LLP, Henderson, Nevada	Letter dated January 15, 2018
<u>Agenda Item II B</u>	Rafael Arroyo, representative, Smog Plus DMV Services, Las Vegas, Nevada	Letters and communication exchanges
<u>Agenda Item II C</u>	Karen Boeger, Member and Board Policy Chair, Backcountry Hunters & Anglers, Reno, Nevada	Letter dated February 26, 2018
<u>Agenda Item II D</u>	Barry Smith, Executive Director, Nevada Press Association, Carson City, Nevada	Letter dated February 26, 2018
<u>Agenda Item II E</u>	Brett H. Pojunis, Former Chair, Libertarian Party of Nevada	Letter dated January 17, 2017
<u>Agenda Item II F</u>	Mark Bradley, Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Green Leaf Farms, Inc. and CEO, Player's Network, Inc.	Written comments
<u>Agenda Item IV A-1</u>	Rocky Cooper, Legislative Auditor, Audit Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB)	Letter dated January 17, 2018
<u>Agenda Item IV A-2</u>	Rocky Cooper, Legislative Auditor, Audit Division, LCB	Summary Report: Hearings Division, Department of Administration (DOA)
<u>Agenda Item IV A-3</u>	Rocky Cooper, Legislative Auditor, Audit Division, LCB	Summary Report: Victims of Crime Program, DOA
<u>Agenda Item IV A-4</u>	Rocky Cooper, Legislative Auditor, Audit Division, LCB	Summary Report: Housing Division, Department of Business and Industry
<u>Agenda Item IV A-5</u>	Rocky Cooper, Legislative Auditor, Audit Division, LCB	Summary Report: Records, Communications and Compliance Division, Information Security, Department of Public Safety

<u>Agenda Item IV A-6</u>	Rocky Cooper, Legislative Auditor, Audit Division, LCB	Summary Report: Adult Mental Health Services, Community-Based Living Arrangement Homes, Division of Public and Behavioral Health
<u>Agenda Item IV A-7</u>	Rocky Cooper, Legislative Auditor, Audit Division, LCB	Summary Report: Review of Guidelines for Licensing Children's Facilities— January 2018
<u>Agenda Item IV A-8</u>	Rocky Cooper, Legislative Auditor, Audit Division, LCB	Letter dated January 17, 2018
<u>Agenda Item IV A-9</u>	Rocky Cooper, Legislative Auditor, Audit Division, LCB	Reports analysis
<u>Agenda Item V A-1</u>	Legal Division, LCB	List of administrative regulations
<u>Agenda Item V A-2</u>	Legal Division, LCB	Adopted Regulation of the Department of Taxation, LCB File No. 092-17
<u>Agenda Item V A-3</u>	Legal Division, LCB	Adopted Regulation of the State Board of Education (SBE), LCB File No. 106-15
<u>Agenda Item V A-4</u>	Legal Division, LCB	Adopted Regulation of the SBE, LCB File No. 075-17
<u>Agenda Item V A-5</u>	Legal Division, LCB	Adopted Regulation of the SBE, LCB File No. 076-17
<u>Agenda Item V A-6</u>	Legal Division, LCB	Adopted Regulation of the SBE, LCB File No. 055-17
<u>Agenda Item V A-7</u>	Legal Division, LCB	Adopted Regulation of the Board of Wildlife Commissioners (BWC), LCB File No. 012-16

<u>Agenda Item V A-8</u>	Legal Division, LCB	Adopted Regulation of the State Board of Pharmacy (SBP), LCB File No. 007-17
<u>Agenda Item V A-9</u>	Legal Division, LCB	Adopted Regulation of the SBP, LCB File No. 045-17
<u>Agenda Item V A-10</u>	Legal Division, LCB	Adopted Regulation of the SBP, LCB File No. 046-17
<u>Agenda Item V A-11</u>	Legal Division, LCB	Adopted Regulation of the BWC, LCB File No. 144-15
<u>Agenda Item V A-12</u>	Legal Division, LCB	Adopted Regulation of the Nevada State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners, LCB File No. 110-16
<u>Agenda Item V A-13</u>	Legal Division, LCB	Adopted Regulation of the BWC, LCB File No. 134-17
<u>Agenda Item V C</u>	Rick Combs, Director, LCB	Comments, information, and copy of Assembly Concurrent Resolution 12 (File 44, <i>Statutes of Nevada 2017</i>)
<u>Agenda Item VI A</u>	Mary Shope, Coordinator, Nevada Silver Haired Legislative Forum, Administrative Division, LCB	Memorandum dated February 20, 2018
<u>Agenda Item VI B</u>	Rick Combs, Director, LCB	Appointment to Nevada Commission on Aging (NRS 427A.032)
<u>Agenda Item VI C</u>	Rick Combs, Director, LCB	Appointment of Member of the Legislative Commission's Subcommittee to Review Regulations (NRS 233B.067)

Agenda Item VI D	Rick Combs, Director, LCB	Appointment of Member to the Commission on Ethics (NRS 281A.200)
Agenda Item VII B	Stephanie Mullen, Executive Director, Public Utilities Commission of Nevada	Letter dated October 13, 2017
Agenda Item VIII A	Andrea Ambrose Lobato, SVP of Compliance and Government Affairs, Eaze Solutions, LLC, San Francisco, California	Letter dated February 27, 2018
Agenda Item VIII B	Sam Mori, President, Nevada Cattlemen's Association	Letter dated February 26, 2018

This set of "Minutes of the Legislative Commission" is an informational service. Meeting materials are on file in the Director's Office of the Legislative Counsel Bureau, Carson City, Nevada.

GOVERNOR'S TASK FORCE
FOR THE REGULATION AND TAXATION OF MARIJUANA ACT

MEETING MINUTES

Nevada State Capitol Building
Old Assembly Chambers
101 N. Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701

Office of the Governor
Grant Sawyer Office Building
555 Washington Ave., Ste. 5100
Las Vegas, NV 89101

March 3, 2017
10:00 a.m.

Members Present:

Deonne Contine, Chair
Chuck Callaway, Vice Chair
Jill Tolles, Assemblywoman
Nelson Araujo, Assemblyman
Tick Segerblom, Senator
Dr. Joe Hardy, Senator
Richard Whitley
Lynn Hettrick
James Wright
Wes Henderson
Dr. John DiMuro
Dagny Stapleton
Joe Pollock
Tom Robinson
Kevin Schiller
Michael Pawlak
John Ritter
Alec Garcia
Andrea Zeller

Madam Chair called the meeting to order at 10:07 a.m.

Daniel Stewart, General Counsel in the Office of the Governor, thanked and gave Governor Sandoval's personal thanks to the Task Force members and to everyone that is working on this important matter. There are four members of the Legislature sitting on the Task Force and multiple directors of executive agencies. Mr. Stewart thanked the Chair and Co-Chair for serving. This is a topic of great interest in the state and also nationally. This Task Force has a subject matter expertise that is second to none. The Governor is very excited to see the recommendations that come out of this committee, and would like to ensure that we are creating a market for legalized marijuana that will be responsible, restricted and respected. The Governor is asking regulators to limit the sale of marijuana products and packaging that could appeal to children and be mistaken for candy. The Governor is not interested in any actions that may water down the current medicinal marijuana laws and regulations, and he

remains firmly committed to industry taxes that will be used to support education. The Governor's office is ready and willing to help in any way.

I. Public Comment.

Mona Lisa Samuelson, a medical marijuana patient advocate and community activist, stated medical marijuana patients welcome recreational use, but we are watching everything you do, and we are counting on you. Please keep the medical patients in mind.

Jason Sturtsman, Vice President of Wellness Education Cannabis Advocates of Nevada ("WeCan"). WeCan is a 501(c)(3) and is one of the largest and longest standing cannabis associations in the state of Nevada. There are three WeCan chapters in Nevada. WeCan would like to have a representative included in the Task Force working groups.

Grace Crosley expressed hope that the rights of non-users and public safety will come first. Ms. Crosley would like to ensure the police will be able to stop those who violate the public consumption law, including the use of vaporized marijuana products, which a non-user may not know they are inhaling. Now that we have given Nevadans' the right to consume marijuana, we should also give non-users the right to not consume marijuana. If at all possible, airborne marijuana products should be prohibited.

II. Introductions.

- Deonne Contine, Executive Director - Nevada Department of Taxation.
- John Ritter, Advisory Board Member - The Grove Wellness Center.
- Mike Pawlak, Director - Clark County Social Services.
- Chuck Callaway, Director for Inter-Governmental Services – Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept.
- Dr. Joe Hardy, Senator, Family Practice Physician – Touro University Health Center
- Joe Pollock, Deputy Administrator for Regulatory and Planning Services – Nevada Department of Public and Behavioral Health. Mr. Pollock oversees Nevada's medical marijuana program.
- Dr. John DiMuro, Chief Medical Officer – State of Nevada. Dr. DiMuro is dual board certified in anesthesiology and pain medicine.
- Wes Henderson, Executive Director – Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities.
- Jim Wright, Director – Nevada Department of Public Safety.
- Lynn Hettrick, Deputy Director – Nevada Department of Agriculture.
- Kevin Schiller, Assistant County Manager – Washoe County, Nevada.
- Andrea Zeller, Executive Director - Churchill County Community Coalition.
- Alec Garcia, Managing Member - 374 Labs. 374 Labs is a medical marijuana testing facility licensed by the state of Nevada.
- Jill Tolles, Assemblywoman – Assembly District 25.
- Nelson Araujo, Assemblyman – Assembly District 3.
- Richard Whitley, Director – Health and Human Services.

Madam Chair identified the role of the Task Force.

1. Identify legal policy and procedural issues that must be resolved.
2. Offer suggestions and proposals for legislative and regulatory actions to be taken for the effective and efficient implementation of Question 2.
3. Find solutions to the challenges of implementing Question 2 while respecting the diverse perspectives each member will bring to this Task Force.
4. Respect the will of the voters of Nevada.

5. Refrain from engaging in debate on the merits of the legalization of marijuana.

Madam Chair introduced the Department of Taxation's staff that is assisting in facilitating the Task Force.

- Shellie Hughes, Chief Deputy Director
- Anna Thornley, Deputy Director – Marijuana Services
- Pauline Oliver, Deputy Director – Compliance
- Jorge Pupo, Tax Manager – Revenue
- Stephanie Klapstein, Public Information Officer
- Tina Padovano, Executive Assistant
- Kelly Jesse, Consultant – QuantumMark
- Mike Kretch, Consultant - QuantumMark

III. Discussion and Approval of Guiding Principles.

Madam Chair reviewed the Task Force Guiding Principles:

1. Promote the health, safety, and well-being of Nevada's communities.
2. Be responsive to the needs and issues of both consumers and the industry.
3. Ensure the youth are protected from the risks associated with marijuana, including preventing the diversion of marijuana to anyone under the age of 21.
4. Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.
5. Begin a discussion between the State and local governments regarding the costs of carrying out Question 2.
6. Establish regulations that are clear and practical, so that interactions between law enforcement (at the local, state and federal levels), consumers, and licensees are predictable and understandable.
7. Take action that is faithful to the text of Question 2.

Madam Chair asked for input from the members with regard to the Task Force Guiding Principles.

Assemblywoman Tolles thanked Madam Chair for taking on the responsibility of chairing this committee. The Assemblywoman referred back to the public comment regarding non-consumers being represented, and asked if it would be possible to amend guiding principle two as follows: be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers and the industry.

Wes Henderson stated that the needs of local governments also need to be included, and asked that this be included in guiding principle two.

Senator Hardy asked where taxation falls in the guiding principles.

Madam Chair stated the tax portion is specifically in the text of the initiative. Guiding principles four and five cover taxation.

Senator Hardy made a motion to approve the Task Force Guiding Principles. Assemblyman Araujo seconds. All in favor. Motion carries.

IV. Creation and Approval of Working Groups.

Madam Chair explained that the list of working groups is an attempt to put together categories and suggested topics. Each working group will be chaired by a member of the Task Force and an additional Task Force member will serve. Additional persons with expertise will also be assigned to the working groups. The suggested working groups are as follows:

1. Law Enforcement
2. Operations – Retail Establishment
3. Operations – Cultivators
4. Operations – Productions/Manufacturing
5. Operations – Lab
6. Transport, Storage, Disposal
7. Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure
8. Consumer Safety and Education

Senator Segerblom asked that the working groups be diverse and that we reach out to the community.

Assemblyman Araujo reinforced Senator Segerblom's comments and asked that the working groups have a diverse group of experts, but also a diverse group that Nevada represents.

Senator Hardy expressed his approval of the working groups and stated the appropriate diversity will come from topics and members.

Dagny Stapleton would like to ensure local government issues are addressed within the working groups, especially relating to taxation and the funds that may be necessary to implement retail marijuana. Ms. Stapleton asked that any impacts, as well as any potential revenue, in relation to local government be addressed.

Dr. DiMuro expanded on Assemblywoman Tolles's recommendation and asked that there be a working group for non-consumers and overall health.

Madam Chair requested there be a local government group component as part of the Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure working group; and asked that a non-consumer component be added as part of the Consumer Safety and Education working group. These added components should also be peppered throughout all of the other working groups.

Kevin Schiller stated the impacts will be crossed over once we get into the regulatory discussion on the local government level.

Chuck Callaway stated there are two areas for law enforcement to focus on, the regulation of business and industry, and ensuring public safety. A lot of the regulations are in the medical marijuana program, which the Task Force can refer to. Law enforcement has been a great working partner with medical marijuana in Clark County. It is important to focus on recommendations for areas of the law that the ballot initiative mentions, but does not give specifics to, such as punishment for crimes associated with this measure, which falls outside of the regulatory process. This will need to be addressed before the end of the legislative session, or we will have to wait two years.

Senator Hardy moved to approve the working group concept and stated his motion recognizes topics are to be considered suggested topics. Senator Hardy asked that consumers and non-consumers be spread throughout the working groups, as well as local government. Senator Hardy suggested the Task Force not put a fence around the topics.

Senator Segerblom seconds the motion. All in favor. Motion carries. No opposed.

V. Assignment of Working Group Chairs.

Madam Chair asked for volunteers from the Task Force to serve as chairs on the various working groups. Each working group requires a chair and a second member from the Task Force.

Law Enforcement:	James Wright Assemblywoman Tolles Assemblyman Araujo Chuck Callaway
Operations–Retail:	Wes Henderson John Ritter Alec Garcia Dr. John DiMuro
Operations–Cultivation:	Lynn Hettrick John Ritter Alec Garcia
Operations–Production/Mfg.:	Tom Robinson Andrea Zeller John Ritter Alec Garcia
Operations–Labs:	Alec Garcia Lynn Hettrick
Transport/Storage/Disposal:	Dr. John DiMuro Tom Robinson Joe Pollock
Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure:	Joe Pollock Dagny Stapleton Kevin Schiller Wes Henderson
Consumer Safety and Education:	Richard Whitley Senator Hardy Andrea Zeller Michael Pawlak Assemblywoman Tolles Kevin Schiller Dr. John DiMuro Chuck Callaway

Senator Hardy made a motion to approve the assignment of the working group chairs. Senator Segerblom seconds the motion. All in favor. Motion carries.

VI. Discussion and Approval of Working Group Recommendation Process.

Madam Chair said based on the current schedule of the Task Force, there should be four opportunities for the working groups to make presentations to the full Task Force.

Senator Hardy made a motion to approve the working group recommendation process. Wes Henderson seconds the motion. All in favor. Motion carries.

VII. Format and Approve Form for Working Group Presentations to the Task Force.

John Ritter made a motion to approve the form for the working group presentations to the Task Force. Senator Hardy seconds the motion. All in favor. Motion carries.

VIII. Discussion of Future Meeting Dates and Timeline.

Senator Segerblom suggests that any recommendations are processed through the Legislature before the May 30, 2017 deadline, and before the end of the legislative session. If not, we will need to wait two years, or have a special session.

Chuck Callaway suggested the Task Force vote on any recommendations that need statutory change prior to the final report.

Assemblyman Araujo commented that the first house passage is at the beginning of April.

Chuck Callaway asked if emergency legislative measures are a possibility.

Senator Hardy answered yes.

Senator Segerblom stated leadership will do whatever it takes. It may be necessary to ask the Governor to extend the May 30, 2017 deadline, if necessary.

Wes Henderson asked if there will be the capability of teleconference for the working groups so people in rural areas can participate.

Madam Chair answered yes, there will be teleconference capability.

Andrea Zeller expressed concern with the short time frame.

Senator Hardy made a motion to approve the timeline. Senator Segerblom seconds the motion. All in favor. Motion carries.

IX. Public Comment.

Jim Hartman, of Genoa, Nevada, stated Colorado had an executive order after marijuana was passed in November 2012. Colorado's executive order was issued in early December and a 136 page final report was issued on March 17, 2013. Colorado had a year's time from their legalization vote in November 2012 through January 1, 2014 before they had their first sale. In Nevada, we are talking about a first sale on either July 1 or June 1. With the report being due May 30, 2017, this is beyond ambitious. When the state of Massachusetts passed Question 4, at about the same time Nevada announced the acceleration of the first sale date, Massachusetts then expressed they need more time. The Massachusetts

Legislature passed a bill stating the first sale would not occur until July 1, 2018. Mr. Hartman hopes that Nevada does this right and does not do this wild west - ready, aim, fire.

Ed Alexander has chaired the Independent Laboratory Advisory Committee (ILAC) for the last two years. Mr. Alexander has worked with the Nevada Department of Health in crafting much of the medical language that currently exists. Many of the issues that we are discussing have already been addressed with the medical program. Nevada has one of the strongest medical programs in the United States. Many states are mirroring Nevada.

John Ritter commented that Nevada has done a good job establishing a medical marijuana program. The marijuana industry in Nevada embraces the medical regulations. We are proud that Nevada is the most highly regulated state. Nevada has an incredible foundation that has been built. If we work on this as a base and improve it, we do not need to reinvent the wheel.

Alec Garcia stated that he has seen the regulations that the Department of Health has put into place with the medical program. Nevada has a great system that is working and we are hoping that we can use it and move forward quickly.

Assemblywoman Tolles requested that medical marijuana information be available to all members.

Joe Pollock extended an invitation to the members that would like to tour a marijuana lab and a dispensary.

Allan Nassau is the owner of Red Rock Wines and has been an alcohol distributor in Las Vegas for the past ten years. Mr. Nassau volunteered his services for the Transport/Storage/Disposal and Taxation/Regulation work groups.

Mona Lisa Samuelson, a medical marijuana patient advocate and community activist, thanked everyone for their hard work and commented that this is going to take a lot of effort. The marijuana patients are here to help us understand, please keep the patients in mind.

Jason Sturtsman, an ILAC member, commended the Task Force, the Department of Taxation and the Department of Public and Behavioral Health. Mr. Sturtsman expressed his excitement about the process and is looking forward to first retail sales on July 1, 2017.

Katree Saunders, a medical marijuana patient advocate and MME at the Source Dispensary, is looking forward to being part of a work group and panel.

Timothy Alioto, patient and patient advocate, thanked everyone. Mr. Alioto made himself available to help with anything.

Vicki Higgins asked that someone from a university research group be part of a working group.

Rob Lynch, Director of Business Development for CannaPunch in Las Vegas. Mr. Lynch has worked with the Colorado market for over ten years. Mr. Lynch has experience overseeing retail operations, cultivation operations, as well as production operations. Mr. Lynch offered any insight or knowledge to help assist Nevada move forward.

Grace Crosley shared concern with regard to the timeline. The main purpose of regulations should be to make sure this is rolled out safely for everyone. It is important to remember close to half of Nevada voters were opposed to the legalization of recreational marijuana. Ms. Crosley suggested studying the effects of medical marijuana before rolling out recreational marijuana.

Carina Robinson is an administrator of a cultivation, dispensary and production company in Clark County, Nevada. Ms. Robinson stated that more than half of Nevada's voters voted for this in Nevada. We are safe and acceptable with all of our lines of legislation in the medical program. There are people looking at us as an example for the rest of the nation.

An unknown member of the public shared concern that law enforcement may not have a budget for education.

Margaret L'Acquila, of West Coast Wine and Spirits in Las Vegas, stated she would like to be part of the process and help in any way that she can.

A representative from Red Rock Wellness would like to be part of the production and wellness working groups.

Maritza Bermuda and Jessica Velazquez of K&H Consulting would like to be considered for the taxation regulatory structure working group.

Madam Chair stated that the Department of Taxation is going forward with a limited early start program through temporary regulations. The permanent regulation process starts on July 1, 2017 and must be adopted by January 1, 2018.

X. Meeting adjourned at 11:35 a.m.

REGISTER OF ACTIONS**CASE No. A-19-786962-B****Serenity Wellness Center LLC, Plaintiff(s) vs. State of Nevada Department of Taxation, Defendant(s)**§
§
§
§
§
§
§Case Type: **Other Business Court Matters**Date Filed: **01/04/2019**Location: **Department 11**Cross-Reference Case Number: **A786962**Supreme Court No.: **79668****RELATED CASE INFORMATION****Related Cases**A-18-785818-W (Consolidated)
A-18-786357-W (Consolidated)
A-19-787004-B (Consolidated)
A-19-787035-C (Consolidated)
A-19-787540-W (Consolidated)
A-19-787726-C (Consolidated)
A-19-801416-B (Consolidated)**PARTY INFORMATION****Counter Claimant** **Cheyenne Medical, LLC****Lead Attorneys**
Dennis M Prince
Retained
702-534-7600(W)**Counter Claimant** **Commerce Park Medical, LLC****Dennis M Prince**
Retained
702-534-7600(W)**Counter Claimant** **CPCM Holdings, LLC *Doing Business***
As Thrive Cannabis Marketplace**Dennis M Prince**
Retained
702-534-7600(W)**Counter Claimant** **Essence Henderson, LLC****Dennis M Prince**
Retained
702-534-7600(W)**Counter Claimant** **Essence Tropicana, LLC****Dennis M Prince**
Retained
702-534-7600(W)**Counter Claimant** **Integral Associates, LLC *Doing Business***
As Essence Cannabis Dispensaries**Dennis M Prince**
Retained
702-534-7600(W)**Counter Defendant** **Fidelis Holdings, LLC****Dominic P. Gentile**
Retained
702-862-8300(W)**Counter Defendant** **GBS Nevada Partners, LLC****Dominic P. Gentile**
Retained
702-862-8300(W)**Counter Defendant** **Gravitas Nevada, LLC****Dominic P. Gentile**
Retained
702-862-8300(W)**Counter Defendant** **Medifarm, LLC****Dominic P. Gentile**
Retained
702-862-8300(W)**Counter Defendant** **Nevada Holistic Medicine, LLC****Dominic P. Gentile**
Retained
702-862-8300(W)

Counter Defendant	Nevada Pure, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Counter Defendant	Nuleaf Incline Dispensary, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Counter Defendant	Paradise Wellness Center, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Counter Defendant	Serenity Wellness Center LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Counter Defendant	TGIG, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Counter Defendant	Tryke Companies Reno, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Counter Defendant	Tryke Companies SO NV, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Defendant	Cheyenne Medical LLC	
Defendant	Circle S Farms LLC	
Defendant	Clear River LLC	Brigid M. Higgins <i>Retained</i> 7027965555(W)
Defendant	Commerce Park Medical LLC	
Defendant	Deep Roots Medical LLC	
Defendant	Essence Henderson LLC	
Defendant	Essence Tropicana LLC	
Defendant	Eureka Newgen Farms LLC	
Defendant	Green Therapeutics LLC	
Defendant	Greenmart of Nevada LLC	
Defendant	Helping Hands Wellness Center Inc	
Defendant	Lone Mountain Partners LLC	
Defendant	Nevada Organic Remedies LLC	
Defendant	Polaris Wellness Center LLC	
Defendant	Pure Tonic Concentrates LLC	

Defendant	State of Nevada Department of Taxation	Robert E. Werbicky <i>Retained</i> 7029907272(W)
Defendant	TRNVP098	
Defendant	Wellness Connection of Nevada LLC	
Intervenor Defendant	Cheyenne Medical, LLC	Dennis M Prince <i>Retained</i> 702-534-7600(W)
Intervenor Defendant	Clear River, LLC	J. Rusty Graf <i>Retained</i> 702-869-8801(W)
Intervenor Defendant	Commerce Park Medical, LLC	Dennis M Prince <i>Retained</i> 702-534-7600(W)
Intervenor Defendant	CPCM Holdings, LLC <i>Doing Business</i> As Thrive Cannabis Marketplace	Dennis M Prince <i>Retained</i> 702-534-7600(W)
Intervenor Defendant	Essence Henderson, LLC	Dennis M Prince <i>Retained</i> 702-534-7600(W)
Intervenor Defendant	Essence Tropicana, LLC	Dennis M Prince <i>Retained</i> 702-534-7600(W)
Intervenor Defendant	GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC	Margaret A. McLetchie <i>Retained</i> 702-728-5300(W)
Intervenor Defendant	Helping Hands Wellness Center Inc	Jared B Kahn <i>Retained</i> 702-468-0808(W)
Intervenor Defendant	Integral Associates, LLC <i>Doing Business</i> As Essence Cannabis Dispensaries	Dennis M Prince <i>Retained</i> 702-534-7600(W)
Intervenor Defendant	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC	Eric D. Hone <i>Retained</i> 702-608-3720(W)
Other	Compassionate Team of Las Vegas LLC	Daniel S. Simon, ESQ <i>Retained</i> 7023641650(W)
Other	Greenmart of Nevada NLV LLC's	Margaret A. McLetchie <i>Retained</i> 702-728-5300(W)
Other	LivFree Wellness, LLC	Nathanael R. Rulis, ESQ <i>Retained</i> 702-385-6000(W)
Other	MM Development Company, Inc.	Nathanael R. Rulis, ESQ <i>Retained</i> 702-385-6000(W)

1/10/2020

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

Other	MM Development Company, Inc.	Nathanael R. Rulis, ESQ <i>Retained</i> 702-385-6000(W)
Other	Nevada Organic Remedies LLC	David Koch <i>Retained</i> 702-318-5041(W)
Plaintiff	Fidelis Holdings, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Plaintiff	GBS Nevada Partners, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Plaintiff	Gravitas Nevada, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Plaintiff	Medifarm IV LLC	
Plaintiff	Medifarm, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Plaintiff	Nevada Holistic Medicine, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Plaintiff	Nevada Pure, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Plaintiff	Nuleaf Incline Dispensary, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Plaintiff	Serenity Wellness Center LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Plaintiff	TGIG, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Plaintiff	Tryke Companies Reno, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Plaintiff	Tryke Companies SO NV, LLC	Dominic P. Gentile <i>Retained</i> 702-862-8300(W)
Subpoena'd (Non) Party	Connor, Amanda N	Derek Connor <i>Retained</i> 702-750-9139(W)
Subpoena'd (Non) Party	Cronkite, Kara	
Subpoena'd	Gilbert, Steve	

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

4/23

AA 011645

(Non) Party**Subpoena'd Hernandez, Damon**
(Non) Party**EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT**

	OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS
01/04/2019	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure <i>Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure</i>
01/04/2019	Complaint (Business Court) <i>Complaint</i>
01/08/2019	Summons Electronically Issued - Service Pending <i>Summons</i>
01/25/2019	Motion to Intervene <i>Motion to Intervene</i>
03/01/2019	Motion to Intervene (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) Minutes Result: Motion Granted
03/19/2019	Minute Order (8:48 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) <i>Minute Order Striking Motion filed on March 18, 2019</i> Minutes Result: Minute Order - No Hearing Held
03/19/2019	Motion for Preliminary Injunction <i>Motion for Preliminary Injunction</i>
03/19/2019	Order
03/20/2019	Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>Notice of Hearing</i>
03/20/2019	Minute Order (9:32 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) <i>Minute Order Striking Motion to Intervene filed March 19, 2019</i> Minutes Result: Minute Order - No Hearing Held
03/20/2019	Motion to Intervene <i>Motion to Intervene as Defendants</i>
03/22/2019	Order Granting Motion <i>Order Granting Motion to Intervene</i>
03/22/2019	Notice of Entry of Order <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
03/25/2019	Motion to Intervene <i>LONE MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC S MOTION TO INTERVENE ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME</i>
03/25/2019	Ex Parte Motion <i>Ex Parte Motion for Order Shortening Time on Motion to Intervene as Defendants</i>
03/26/2019	Motion to Strike <i>Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, or, In the Alternative Motion to Continue the Hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction on Order Shortening Time</i>
03/26/2019	Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>Notice of Hearing</i>
03/26/2019	Summons <i>Summons - Executed with Affidavit of Service</i>
03/27/2019	Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>Notice of Hearing</i>
03/27/2019	Order Shortening Time <i>Order Shortening Time</i>
03/27/2019	Ex Parte Application <i>Ex Parte Application for An Order Shortening Time to Hold Hearing for Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, or, In the Alternative, Motion to Continue the Hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction</i>
04/01/2019	Motion to Intervene (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) <i>Lone Mountain Partners, LLC s Motion to Intervene on Order Shortening Time</i> Result: Granted
04/01/2019	Motion to Intervene (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) <i>Integral Associates LLC's Ex Parte Motion for Order Shortening Time on Motion to Intervene as Defendants</i> Result: Granted
04/01/2019	All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) Parties Present Minutes Result: Matter Heard
04/01/2019	Motion to Intervene <i>Motion to Intervene as Defendants (Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.)</i>
04/01/2019	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure <i>Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure</i>
04/01/2019	Order <i>Order Granting Motion to Intervene</i>
04/02/2019	Motion to Intervene <i>Motion to Intervene on Order Shortening Time</i>
04/02/2019	Notice of Entry <i>Notice of Entry of Motion to Intervene on Order Shortening Time</i>
04/02/2019	Notice of Entry of Order <i>Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to Intervene</i>
04/03/2019	Order Granting

AA 011646

Order Granting Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Motion to Intervene

04/03/2019 **Clerk's Notice of Hearing**
Notice of Hearing

04/04/2019 **Notice of Entry of Order**
Notice of Entry of Order Granting Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Motion to Intervene

04/05/2019 **Order Shortening Time**
Order Shortening Time

04/05/2019 **Request**
Request for Hearing Ex Parte Motion for Order Shortening Time on Motion to Intervene as Defendants

04/05/2019 **Notice of Entry of Order**
Notice of Entry of Order (Order Shortening Time)

04/08/2019 **Motion to Intervene** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Motion to Intervene as Defendants
05/03/2019 Reset by Court to 04/08/2019
Result: Granted

04/08/2019 **Motion to Intervene** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Motion to Intervene on Order Shortening Time
Result: Granted

04/08/2019 **Stipulation and Order**
Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing and Extend Briefing Schedule for Motion for Preliminary Injunction

04/08/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

04/10/2019 **Motion for Leave to File**
Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Motion for Leave to File Brief in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction in Excess of Thirty (30) Pages in Length, or in the Alternative, for Leave to File Amended Brief not to Exceed Thirty (30) Pages in Length

04/11/2019 **Clerk's Notice of Hearing**
Notice of Hearing

04/12/2019 **Order Scheduling Status Check**
Order Scheduling Hearing Re:Coordination

04/15/2019 **CANCELED Motion to Strike** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Vacated - per Stipulation and Order
Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, or, in the alternative, Motion to Continue the Hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction on Order Shortening Time
04/29/2019 Reset by Court to 04/15/2019

04/15/2019 **Status Check** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Result: Matter Heard

04/15/2019 **Motion for Leave** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Motion for Leave to File Brief in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction in Excess of Thirty (30) Pages in Length or in the Alternative for Leave to File Amended Brief Not to Exceed Thirty (30) Pages in Length
05/17/2019 Reset by Court to 04/15/2019
Result: Granted

04/15/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

04/15/2019 **Order**
Order Granting Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Motion to Intervene

04/15/2019 **Notice of Entry of Order**
Notice of Entry of Order

04/16/2019 **Order**
Order Granting Motion to Intervene

04/16/2019 **Notice of Entry**
Notice of Entry of Order

04/16/2019 **Answer (Business Court)**
Defendant GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint

04/16/2019 **Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure**
Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure (NRS Chapter 19)

04/22/2019 **CANCELED Motion to Intervene** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Vacated - Set in Error
Motion to Intervene as Defendants

04/22/2019 **Status Check** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

04/24/2019 **Media Request and Order**
Media Request And Order Allowing Camera Access To Court Proceedings

04/26/2019 **CANCELED Motion to Intervene** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Vacated - Duplicate Entry

04/29/2019 **Order Shortening Time**
Clear River, LLC's Motion to Intervene on Order Shortening Time

05/01/2019 **Non Opposition**
Plaintiffs Non-Opposition to Intervenor Clear River, LLC's Motion to Intervene on OST

05/02/2019 **Motion to Intervene** (1:14 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Clear River, LLC's Motion to Intervene on Order Shortening Time
[Minutes](#)
05/06/2019 Reset by Court to 05/02/2019

Result: Granted

05/03/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Subpoena to D. Hernandez to Appear at Hrg.

05/03/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Subpoena to K. Cronkite to Appear at Hrg.

05/03/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Subpoena to S. Gilbert to Appear at Hrg.

05/03/2019 **Notice of Appearance**
Notice of Appearance

05/06/2019 **Notice**
Notice of Filing Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction

05/06/2019 **Request for Exemption From Arbitration**
Plaintiffs' Request for Exemption from Arbitration

05/07/2019 **Answer**
Clear River, LLC's Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint

05/07/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Subpoena to D. Hernandez to Appear at Hrg.

05/07/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Subpoena to K. Cronkhite to Appear at Hrg.

05/08/2019 **Order**
Order Granting Clear River, LLC's Motion to Intervene

05/09/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
Nevada Organic Remedies LLC's Opposition to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC and Related Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction

05/09/2019 **Appendix**
Appendix of Exhibits to Nevada Organic Remedies LLC's Opposition to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC and Related Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction

05/09/2019 **Application**
Application for Temporary Restraining Order

05/09/2019 **Motion to Consolidate**
Plaintiffs' Motion to Consolidate on Order Shortening Time

05/09/2019 **Motion for Protective Order**
Motion for Protective Order and to Quash Subpoena

05/09/2019 **Objection**
Defendant/Intervenor Clear River, LLC's Objection to Plaintiff's Subpoena Duces Tecum Upon Non-Party, State of Nevada, Department of Taxation

05/09/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Clear River LLC's Joinder to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction

05/09/2019 **Notice of Entry of Order**
Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time on Application for Temporary Restraining Order

05/09/2019 **Notice of Entry of Order**
Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time on Plaintiffs' Motion to Consolidate

05/09/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction

05/10/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
Defendant in Intervention's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Application for a Temporary Restraining Order

05/10/2019 **Errata**
Errata to Defendant in Intervention's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Application for a Temporary Restraining Order

05/10/2019 **Errata**
Supplemental Errata to Defendant in Interventions' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Application for a Temporary Restraining Order

05/10/2019 **Order Shortening Time**
Defendant/Intervenor, Clear River, LLC's, Order Shortening Time on Motion for Protective Order and to Quash Subpoena

05/10/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Defendant GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Defendant in Intervention's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Application for a Temporary Restraining Order

05/11/2019 **Joinder**
LONE MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC S JOINDER TO CPCMHOLDINGS, LLC D/B/A THRIVE CANNABIS MARKETPLACE, COMMERCE PARK MEDICAL, LLC, AND CHEYENNE MEDICAL, LLC S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS APPLICATION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

05/12/2019 **Joinder**
DEFENDANT INTERVENOR HELPING HANDS WELLNESS CENTER, INC. S JOINDER TO CPCMHOLDINGS, LLC D/B/A THRIVE CANNABIS MARKETPLACE, COMMERCE PARK MEDICAL, LLC, AND CHEYENNE MEDICAL, LLC S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

05/13/2019 **Motion for Temporary Restraining Order** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Application for Temporary Restraining Order
Result: Granted

05/13/2019 **Motion to Consolidate** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Plaintiffs' Motion to Consolidate on Order Shortening Time
Result: Matter Heard

05/13/2019 **Notice of Entry**
Notice of Entry of Order Granting Clear River LLC's Motion to Intervene

05/13/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

05/13/2019 **Joinder**
DEFENDANT INTERVENOR HELPING HANDS WELLNESS CENTER, INC. S JOINDER TO CLEAR RIVER, LLC S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND TO QUASH SUBPOENA

05/13/2019 **Joinder To Motion**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Joinder to Clear River, LLC's Motion for Protective Order and to Quash Subpoena

05/13/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
LONE MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC S JOINDER TO NEVADA ORGANIC REMEDIES, LLC S OPPOSITION TO SERENITY WELLNESS CENTER, LLC AND RELATED PLAINTIFFS MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

05/13/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**

1/10/2020

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Opposition to Serenity Wellness Center, LLC and Related Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction

05/13/2019 **Joinder To Motion**
Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Joinder to Clear River, LLC's Motion for Protective Order and to Quash Subpoena

05/13/2019 **Joinder**
DEFENDANT INTERVENOR HELPING HANDS WELLNESS CENTER, INC. S JOINDER TO NEVADA ORGANIC REMEDIES, LLC S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

05/13/2019 **Declaration**
Declaration of Klaris Terteryan

05/13/2019 **Joinder**
GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Clear River, LLC's Motion for Protective Order and To Quash Subpoena and GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Clear River, LLC's Objection to Plaintiff's Subpoena Duces Tecum Upon Non-Party State of Nevada, Department of Taxation.

05/14/2019 **Notice**
Defendant State of Nevada Department of Taxation's Notice of Objection to Subpoena Duces Tecum

05/14/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing to Coordinate Preliminary Injunction Hearings

05/15/2019 **Notice of Entry of Order**
Notice of Entry of Defendant/Intervenor, Clear River, LLC's, Order Shortening Time on Motion For Protective Order and To Quash Subpoena

05/16/2019 **Motion for Protective Order** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Defendant/Intervenor, Clear River, LLC's, Order Shortening Time on Motion for Protective Order and to Quash Subpoena
Result: Granted in Part

05/16/2019 **Joinder** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Defendant Intervenor Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc. s Joinder To Clear River, Llc S Motion For Protective Order And To Quash Subpoena
Result: Matter Heard

05/16/2019 **Joinder** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Joinder to Clear River, LLC's Motion for Protective Order and to Quash Subpoena
Result: Matter Heard

05/16/2019 **Joinder** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Nevada organic Remedies LLC's Joinder to Clar River LLC's Motion for Protective Order and to Quash Subpoena
Result: Matter Heard

05/16/2019 **Joinder** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Greenmart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Clear River LLC's Motion for Protective Order and to Quash Subpoena and Green of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Clear River LLC's Objection to Plaintiff's Subpoena Duces Tecum Upon Non-Party, State of Nevada, Department of Taxation
Result: Matter Heard

05/16/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

05/16/2019 **Order**
Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order

05/17/2019 **Notice of Posting Bond**
Notice of Posting Bond

05/17/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing on Motion for TRO and Motion to Consolidate

05/17/2019 **Objection**
GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Objections

05/17/2019 **Telephonic Conference** (2:45 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Telephonic Conference re: Objections to Search Terms
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

05/17/2019 **Joinder**
Joinder to Application for Temporary Restraining Order on OST

05/17/2019 **Joinder**
Plaintiffs Joinder to Plaintiffs Mm Development Company, Inc., and Livfree Wellness Llc, Db a the Dispensarys Opposition to Defendant/intervenor Clear River, Llc s Motion for Protective Order and to Quash Subpoena Filed in Serenity Wellness Center, Llc, et Al. V. State of Nevada Department of Taxation (Case No. A-19-786962-b)

05/17/2019 **Joinder**
Joinder to Motion for Preliminary Injunction or for Writ of Mandamus

05/20/2019 **Supplement**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Supplement

05/20/2019 **Exhibits**
Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Supplement

05/20/2019 **Answer**
Answer to Complaint

05/20/2019 **Joinder**
Plaintiffs' Joinder to Plaintiffs' MM Development Company, Inc., et al. Motion for Preliminary Injunction Filed in MM Development Company, Inc., et al. v. State of Nevada Department of Taxation

05/21/2019 **Joinder**
DEFENDANT INTERVENOR HELPING HANDS WELLNESS CENTER, INC. S JOINDER TO LONE MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' /COUNTERDEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION OR FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

05/21/2019 **Objection**
Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Privilege Log and Objections

05/22/2019 **Telephonic Conference** (11:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Telephonic Conference at Request of Court
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

05/22/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing on Motion to Quash, Motion for Protective Order, and Motion to Compel

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

8/23

AA 011649

1/10/2020

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

05/22/2019 **Commissioners Decision on Request for Exemption - Granted**
Commissioner's Decision on Request for Exemption - GRANTED

05/22/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Telephone Conference Re Search Terms

05/22/2019 **Disclosure Statement**
Pre-Hearing Disclosure Statement and Notice of Compliance

05/22/2019 **Reply**
Plaintiffs' Omnibus Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction

05/23/2019 **Status Check** (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

05/23/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Intervening Defendants' Joinder and Supplemental Briefing in Support of the State of Nevada's and Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction; and Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction or for Writ of Mandamus

05/23/2019 **Disclosure Statement**
Amended Pre-Hearing Disclosure Statement and Notice of Compliance

05/23/2019 **Notice**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Privilege and Confidentiality Log

05/23/2019 **Order Granting**
Defendant/Intervenor, Clear River, LLC's, Order Granting In Part and Denying in Part Motion For Protective Order

05/23/2019 **NRCP 16.1 Initial List of Witnesses and Documents**
MM Development Company, Inc.'s and Livfree Wellness, LLC's Initial List of Witnesses and Documents for Preliminary Injunction Hearing

05/23/2019 **Notice**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Notice of Compliance

05/23/2019 **Notice of Entry**
Notice of Entry of Defendant/Intervenor, Clear River, LLC's, Order Granting In Part and Denying in Part Motion for Protective Order

05/23/2019 **Objection**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Objections to Plaintiffs' Witnesses

05/23/2019 **List of Witnesses**
Plaintiffs' Initial List of Witnesses and Documents for Preliminary Injunction Hearing

05/24/2019 **Motion for Preliminary Injunction** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
05/24/2019, 05/28/2019, 05/29/2019, 05/30/2019, 05/31/2019, 06/10/2019, 06/11/2019, 06/18/2019, 06/19/2019, 06/20/2019, 07/01/2019, 07/10/2019, 07/11/2019, 07/12/2019, 07/15/2019, 07/18/2019, 08/13/2019, 08/14/2019, 08/15/2019, 08/16/2019
04/22/2019 Reset by Court to 05/06/2019
05/06/2019 Reset by Court to 05/24/2019
05/31/2019 Reset by Court to 05/31/2019
08/14/2019 Reset by Court to 08/14/2019
Result: Matter Continued

05/24/2019 **Preliminary Injunction Hearing** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
05/24/2019, 05/28/2019, 05/29/2019, 05/30/2019, 05/31/2019, 06/10/2019, 06/11/2019, 06/18/2019, 06/19/2019, 06/20/2019, 07/01/2019, 07/10/2019, 07/11/2019, 07/12/2019, 07/15/2019, 07/18/2019, 08/13/2019, 08/14/2019, 08/15/2019, 08/16/2019
Preliminary Injunction Hearing (Serenity Wellness against Defendant-Intervenor CPCM Holdings, LLC d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace) FILED IN A-19-786962-B (Coordinated Cases - A-18-785818-W, A-19-787004-B, A-19-787540-W, A-19-787726-C)
[Parties Present](#)
05/31/2019 Reset by Court to 05/31/2019
08/14/2019 Reset by Court to 08/14/2019
Result: Hearing Continued

05/24/2019 **Joinder**
LONE MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC S JOINDER TO GREENMART OF NEVADA NLV LLC S OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFFS WITNESSES

05/24/2019 **Supplement to List of Witnesses & Documents**
Plaintiffs' Amended List of Witnesses and Documents For Preliminary Injunction Hearing

05/24/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Civil Subpoena

05/24/2019 **Order**
Protective Order Regarding Marijuana Applications

05/24/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

05/28/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 1

05/28/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Clear River, LLC's Joinder to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel

05/28/2019 **Joinder**
Serenity Wellness Center, LLC, et al.'s Joinder to Plaintiff ETW Management Group LLC, et al. Motion to Compel and for Sanctions on Order Shortening Time Filed in ETW Management Group LLC, et al. v. State of Nevada Department of Taxation

05/28/2019 **Notice of Appearance**
Notice of Appearance

05/28/2019 **Objection**
Written Objection to the Subpoena of nonparty Witness Amanda N. Connor, Esq.

05/28/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Civil Subpoena

05/28/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Civil Subpoena

05/28/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Civil Subpoena

05/28/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Civil Subpoena

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

9/23

AA 011650

1/10/2020

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

05/28/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Civil Subpoena

05/28/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Civil Subpoena

05/28/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Civil Subpoena

05/28/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Civil Subpoena

05/28/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Civil Subpoena

05/28/2019 **Subpoena Electronically Issued**
Civil Subpoena

05/28/2019 **Motion for Protective Order**
Motion for a Protective Order on Order Shortening Time

05/28/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:45 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

05/29/2019 **Motion for Protective Order** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Motion for Protective Order on Order Shortening Time
Result: Granted in Part

05/29/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

05/29/2019 **CANCELED All Pending Motions** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Vacated - Duplicate Entry

05/30/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Status Conference

05/30/2019 **Affidavit of Service**
Affidavit of Service

05/30/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

05/31/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

06/03/2019 **Answer**
DEFENDANT HELPING HANDS WELLNESS CENTER, INC. S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

06/05/2019 **Motion for Summary Judgment**
DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR HELPING HANDS WELLNESS CENTER, INC. S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (CLAIMS 1-3)

06/05/2019 **Clerk's Notice of Hearing**
Notice of Hearing

06/05/2019 **Answer**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Answer to Complaint

06/05/2019 **Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure

06/05/2019 **Motion**
Intervening Defendants Motion to Dissolve Temporary Restraining Order on an Order Shortening Time

06/07/2019 **Joinder to Motion For Partial Summary Judgment**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Defendant-Intervenor Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment (Claims 1-3)

06/10/2019 **Supplement**
Plaintiffs' Supplemental Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction

06/10/2019 **Brief**
Pocket Brief Regarding The Meaning Of The Phrase All Regulations Necessary Or Convenient To Carry Out The Provisions Of

06/10/2019 **All Pending Motions** (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

06/10/2019 **All Pending Motions** (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Result: Vacate

06/11/2019 **Order**
Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Motion for a Protective Order on Order Shortening Time

06/11/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:15 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

06/12/2019 **Opposition**
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Intervening Defendants Motion to Dissolve Temporary Restraining Order on an Order Shortening Time

06/13/2019 **Motion** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Intervening Defendants Motion to Dissolve Temporary Restraining Order on an Order Shortening Time
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

10/23

AA 011651

06/12/2019 *Reset by Court to 06/13/2019*

Result: Denied

06/13/2019 **Order**
Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Motion for a Protective Order on Order Shortening Time

06/13/2019 **Notice of Entry**
Notice of Entry of Order

06/13/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing on Intervenor Defendants' Motion to Dissolve TRO or To Increase Bond

06/14/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Corrected Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 1

06/14/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 2, Volume I

06/14/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 2, Volume II

06/14/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 3, Volume I

06/14/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 3, Volume II

06/14/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing on Motion

06/14/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 4

06/14/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 5, Volume I

06/14/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 5, Volume II

06/14/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 6

06/14/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 7

06/14/2019 **Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure**
Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure (NRS Chapter 19)

06/14/2019 **Answer and Counterclaim**
Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint with Counterclaim

06/17/2019 **Joinder to Motion For Summary Judgment**
Clear River, LLC's Joinder to Defendant-Intervenor Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc's Motion for Summary Judgment (Claims 1-3)

06/17/2019 **Supplemental**
Plaintiffs' Second Amended List of Witnesses and Documents for Preliminary Injunction Hearing

06/17/2019 **Disclosure Statement**
Second Amended Pre-Hearing Disclosure Statement and Notice of Compliance

06/18/2019 **Supplemental**
Plaintiffs' Third Amended List of Witnesses and Documents for Preliminary Injunction Hearing

06/18/2019 **Motion for Summary Judgment**
Clear River, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment

06/18/2019 **Clerk's Notice of Hearing**
Notice of Hearing

06/18/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)

Result: Matter Heard

06/18/2019 **Notice of Posting Bond**
Notice of Posting Bond

06/19/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)

Result: Matter Heard

06/19/2019 **Motion to Release**
Motion to Release Cash Bond

06/20/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:15 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)

Result: Matter Heard

06/24/2019 **Joinder To Motion**
Joinder to Defendant-Intervenor Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment

06/24/2019 **Supplement**
Supplement to Pocket Brief Regarding the Meaning of the Phrase "All Regulations Necessary or Convenient to Carry Out the Provisions of"

06/24/2019 **Joinder to Motion For Summary Judgment**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Defendant-Intervenor Clear River LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment

06/24/2019 **Order**
Order Setting Hearing on Motion to Release Cash Bond

06/24/2019 **Notice of Entry**
Notice of Entry of Order Setting Hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Release Cash Bond

06/24/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
Clear River, LLC's Opposition To Plaintiffs' Motion To Release Cash Bond

06/24/2019 **Joinder To Motion**
Clear River, LLC's Joinder To Defendant-Intervenor Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Pocket Brief Regarding Interpretation of Statutes Enacted by Ballot Initiative

06/24/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Joinder to Clear River, LLC's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Release Cash Bond

06/25/2019 **Motion** (8:45 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)

Motion to Release Cash Bond on Order Shortening Time
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
 Result: Denied Without Prejudice

06/25/2019 **Initial Expert Disclosure**
Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure

06/25/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 8, Volume I

06/25/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 8, Volume II

06/25/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 9, Volume I

06/25/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 9, Volume II

06/25/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 10, Volume I

06/25/2019 **Joinder to Motion For Summary Judgment**
Joinder to Clear River, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment

06/25/2019 **Minute Order** (3:16 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Minutes](#)
 Result: Minute Order - No Hearing Held

06/25/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 10, Volume II

06/27/2019 **Notice of Posting Bond**
Notice of Posting Corrected Bond

06/27/2019 **Motion for Leave to File**
Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint

06/27/2019 **Clerk's Notice of Hearing**
Notice of Hearing

06/28/2019 **Motion to Amend Complaint**
Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint on Order Shortening Time

07/01/2019 **Opposition**
Clear River, LLC's Opp to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint on an OST

07/01/2019 **Opposition**
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Clear River, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment

07/01/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Defendant-Intervenor Clear River LLC's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint on an Order Shortening Time

07/01/2019 **Opposition**
PLAINTIFFS OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT/INTERVENOR HELPING HANDS WELLNESS CENTER, INC. S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (CLAIMS 1-3)

07/01/2019 **All Pending Motions** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
 Result: Matter Heard

07/02/2019 **Motion for Leave** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint on an Order Shortening Time
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
 08/02/2019 Reset by Court to 07/02/2019

07/03/2019 **Amended Complaint**
(7/3/19 Withdrawn) First Amended Complaint

07/03/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
MM Development Company, Inc.'s and Livfree Wellness, LLC's Joinder to Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment

07/03/2019 **Notice of Withdrawal**
Notice of Withdrawal of Plaintiffs First Amended Complaint and Substitution of Corrected First Amended Complaint

07/05/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 11

07/05/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing on Motions to Seal Evidentiary Hearing Exhibits

07/05/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint

07/05/2019 **Notice of Compliance**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Notice of Compliance

07/08/2019 **Joinder**
Compassionate Team of Las Vegas, LLC's Joinder to Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment

07/10/2019 **All Pending Motions** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
 Result: Matter Heard

07/11/2019 **Amended Complaint**
Corrected First Amended Complaint

07/11/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
MM Development Company, Inc.'s and Livfree Wellness, LLC's Joinder to Opposition to Defendant/Intervenor Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment (Claims 1-3)

07/11/2019 **Motion to Release**
Motion to Release Cash Bond

07/11/2019 **All Pending Motions** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)

[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
 Result: Matter Heard
 07/12/2019 **Clerk's Notice of Hearing**
Notice of Hearing
 07/12/2019 **Answer to Counterclaim**
Plaintiffs / Counter Defendants Answer To Counterclaim
 07/12/2019 **All Pending Motions** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
 Result: Matter Heard
 07/15/2019 **Media Request and Order**
Media Request And Order Allowing Camera Access To Court Proceedings
 07/15/2019 **Answer to Amended Complaint**
Answer to Corrected First Amended Complaint
 07/15/2019 **All Pending Motions** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
 Result: Matter Heard
 07/16/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 12
 07/16/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 13, Volume I
 07/16/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 13, Volume II
 07/16/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 14
 07/17/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 15, Volume I
 07/17/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 15, Volume II
 07/17/2019 **Reply**
DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR HELPING HANDS WELLNESS CENTER, INC. S REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS AND JOINDERS IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
 07/17/2019 **Reply to Opposition**
Clear River, LLC's Reply to Serenity's Opposition to Clear River's Motion for Summary Judgment
 07/17/2019 **Amended Answer**
GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Answer to Plaintiffs' Corrected First Amended Complaint
 07/18/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
 Result: Matter Heard
 07/19/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
Clear River, LLC's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion To Release Cash Bond
 07/19/2019 **Exhibits**
Clear River, LLC's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion to Release Cash Bond - Exhibits 1 - 8
 07/19/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Joinder to Clear River, LLC's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion to Release Cash Bond Including Exhibits 1-8
 07/22/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 16
 07/22/2019 **Answer to Amended Complaint**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Answer to Plaintiffs' Corrected First Amended Complaint
 07/22/2019 **Notice of Compliance**
Clear River, LLC's Notice of Compliance
 07/23/2019 **Motion for Summary Judgment** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Defendant-Intervenor Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc's Motion for Summary Judgment (Claims 1-3)
07/08/2019 Reset by Court to 07/22/2019
07/22/2019 Reset by Court to 07/23/2019
 Result: Granted in Part
 07/23/2019 **Joinder** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Defendant-Intervenor Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment (Claims 1-3)
07/08/2019 Reset by Court to 07/22/2019
07/22/2019 Reset by Court to 07/23/2019
 Result: Granted in Part
 07/23/2019 **Joinder** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Clear River LLC's Joinder to Defendant-Intervenor Helping Hands Wellness Center Inc's Motion for Summary Judgment (Claims 1-3)
07/08/2019 Reset by Court to 07/22/2019
07/22/2019 Reset by Court to 07/23/2019
 Result: Granted in Part
 07/23/2019 **Motion for Summary Judgment** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Clear River, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment
07/22/2019 Reset by Court to 07/23/2019
 Result: Motion Denied
 07/23/2019 **Joinder** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Joinder to Defendant - Intervenor Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment

07/22/2019 *Reset by Court to 07/23/2019*
 Result: Granted in Part
 07/23/2019 **Joinder** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Defendant - Intervenor Greenmart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Defendant -Intervenor Clear River LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment
07/22/2019 Reset by Court to 07/23/2019
 Result: Denied
 07/23/2019 **Joinder** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Joinder to Clear River, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment
07/22/2019 Reset by Court to 07/23/2019
 Result: Denied
 07/23/2019 **All Pending Motions** (1:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
 Result: Matter Heard
 07/26/2019 **Notice of Hearing**
Notice of Hearing re: Motion to Release Funds
 07/26/2019 **Answer to Amended Complaint**
Clear River, LLC's Answer to Plaintiffs' Corrected First Amended Complaint
 07/26/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing on Motions for Summary Judgment and Motion to Seal Exhibits A-F Attached to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel
 07/31/2019 **Notice of Appearance**
Notice of Appearance
 08/01/2019 **Application**
Application for Temporary Restraining Order on an Order Shortening Time
 08/02/2019 **Opposition**
Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Opposition to Application for Temporary Restraining Order on and Order Shortening Time
 08/05/2019 **Status Check** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Status Check: Scheduling Resumption of Preliminary Injunction Hearing
 Result: Matter Heard
 08/05/2019 **Motion for Temporary Restraining Order** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Application for Temporary Restraining Order on an Order Shortening Time
 Result: Granted
 08/05/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
 Result: Matter Heard
 08/06/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing on Application for Temporary Restraining Order and Scheduling Conference
 08/07/2019 **Motion**
Intervening Defendant's Motion to Dissolve Temporary Restraining Order, or, Alternatively, Increase The Bond Amount on Order Shortening Time
 08/08/2019 **Telephonic Conference** (11:45 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Telephonic Conference re: Scheduling
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
 Result: Matter Heard
 08/08/2019 **Application**
Application for Temporary Restraining Order on an Order Shortening Time
 08/09/2019 **Notice of Posting Bond**
Notice of Posting Bond
 08/09/2019 **Notice of Appearance**
Notice of Appearance
 08/09/2019 **Opposition**
Opposition to Application for Temporary Restraining Order on an Order Shortening Time
 08/12/2019 **Motion for Temporary Restraining Order** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Application for Temporary Restraining Order on an Order Shortening Time
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
 Result: Granted
 08/13/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
 Result: Matter Heard
 08/13/2019 **Notice of Posting Bond**
Notice of Posting Bond
 08/14/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
 Result: Matter Heard
 08/14/2019 **Order Granting**
Order Granting Application for Temporary Restraining Order
 08/14/2019 **Order Granting**
Order Granting Application for Temporary Restraining Order
 08/14/2019 **Notice of Entry of Order**
Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Application for Temporary Restraining Order
 08/14/2019 **Notice of Entry of Order**
Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Application for Temporary Restraining Order

1/10/2020

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

08/14/2019 **Brief**
Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Pocket Brief Regarding the Interpretation of NRS 453D.200(6) and the Mandate to Conduct Background Checks of Each Owner of an Applicant for a Recreational Marijuana License

08/14/2019 **Order**
Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order

08/14/2019 **Order**
Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order

08/14/2019 **Trial Memorandum**
Intervening Defendants' 7.27 Trial Memorandum

08/14/2019 **Brief**
The Essence Entities' Bench Brief

08/14/2019 **Errata**
Errata to Intervening Defendants' 7.27 Trial Memorandum

08/15/2019 **Brief**
MM Development Company, Inc. s and Livfree Wellness, LLC s Bench Brief in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction or for Writ of Mandamus

08/15/2019 **Trial Brief**
Clear River, LLC's Trial Brief

08/15/2019 **Memorandum**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Trial Memorandum

08/15/2019 **Notice of Entry of Order**
Notice of Entry of Orders

08/15/2019 **Brief**
LONE MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, LLC S SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITIES FOR CLOSING ARGUMENTS

08/15/2019 **Brief**
State of Nevada Ex REI. Department of Taxation's Pocket Brief Ahead of Closing Arguments

08/15/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:15 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

08/15/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 17, Volume I

08/15/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 17, Volume II

08/15/2019 **Brief**
The Essence Entities' Bench Brief (Corrected)

08/15/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
Plaintiffs Opposition To Intervening Defendants Motion To Dissolve Temporary Restraining Order, Or, Alternatively, Increase The Bond Amount On An Order Shortening Time

08/16/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

08/16/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
Plaintiffs' (Corrected) Opposition To Intervening Defendants Motion To Dissolve Temporary Restraining Order, Or, Alternatively, Increase The Bond Amount On An Order Shortening Time

08/16/2019 **Receipt**
Receipt for Hearing Exhibits

08/16/2019 **Receipt**
Receipt for Hearing Exhibits

08/16/2019 **Receipt**
Receipt for Hearing Exhibits

08/19/2019 **Motion to Release Funds** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Motion to Release Cash Bond
08/16/2019 Reset by Court to 08/19/2019
Result: Granted

08/19/2019 **Motion** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Intervening Defendant's Motion to Dissolve Temporary Restraining Order, or, Alternatively, Increase The Bond Amount on Order Shortening Time
Result: Denied

08/19/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

08/20/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 18

08/20/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 19

08/20/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Evidentiary Hearing - Day 20

08/20/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing on Motion to Release Cash Bond and Intervenor Defendants' Motion to Dissolve TRO, or, Alternatively Increase the Bond Amount

08/22/2019 **Minute Order** (10:08 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Minute Order re: State of Nevada Department of Taxation's Response to Court's Question on NRS 453D.200(6)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Minute Order - No Hearing Held

08/22/2019 **Minute Order** (10:53 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Minute Order Striking MM Development's Brief and Appendix filed 8/21/19 and Setting Objections for Hearing
[Minutes](#)

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

15/23

AA 011656

Result: Hearing Set

08/23/2019 **Status Check** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Status Check: Court's Decision
[Minutes](#)

Result: Decision Made

08/23/2019 **Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment**
Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Granting Preliminary Injunction

08/26/2019 **Objection**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Objections to Court's Exhibit 3

08/26/2019 **Objection**
MM Development Company, Inc.'s and Livfree Wellness, LLC's Objection to State's Response Regarding Compliance with NRS 453D.200(6)

08/26/2019 **Response**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC'S Response to the Department of Taxation's Submission Regarding Completeness of Application in Compliance With NRS 453D.200(6)

08/26/2019 **Response**
Nevada Organic Remedies' Response to the Department of Taxation's Statement Regarding Completeness of Applications With Reference to NRS 453D.200(6)

08/26/2019 **Response**
Defendant Intervenor Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Response and Objection To The State Of Nevada s Submission To The Court On Completeness As To Applications Pertaining To NRS 453D.200(6)

08/26/2019 **Appendix**
MM Development Company, Inc.'s and Livfree Wellness, LLC's Appendix in Support of Objection to State's Response Regarding Compliance with NRS453D.200(6), Vol. 1

08/26/2019 **Appendix**
MM Development Company, Inc.'s and Livfree Wellness, LLC's Appendix in Support of Objection to State's Response Regarding Compliance with NRS453D.200(6) Vol. 2

08/26/2019 **Media Request and Order**
Media Request And Order Allowing Camera Access To Court Proceedings

08/26/2019 **Brief**
Defendant Intervenor Clear RiverLLC's Bence Brief Regarding Compliance with NRS 453D.200(6)

08/26/2019 **Errata**
Errata to Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc. s Response and Objection to the State of Nevada s Submission to the Court on Completeness as to Applications Pertaining to NRS 453D.200(6)

08/26/2019 **Motion**
Plaintiff Nevada Wellness Center s Motion Regarding Compliance With Physical Address Requirements of NRS453D.210(5)(B), NAC 453D.265(1)(B), and NAC 453D.268(2)(E) on Order Shortening Time

08/27/2019 **Errata**
Clear Rlver, LLC -Notice of Errata re Affidavit of Robert R. Black, Sr.

08/27/2019 **Supplement**
Nevada Organic Remedies' Limited Supplement in Response to MM Development company, Inc.'s and Livfree Wellness's Objection to State's Response Regarding Compliance with NRS 453D.200(6)

08/27/2019 **Joinder**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Joinder to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Response to the Department of Taxation's Statement Regarding Completeness of Applications with Reference to NRS 453D.200(6)

08/27/2019 **Joinder**
Plaintiff's Joinder to MM Development Company, Inc.'s and Livfree Wellness Center, LLC's Objection to The State's Response Regarding Compliance with NRS 453D.200(6)

08/28/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
Opposition to Plaintiff Nevada Wellness Center's Motion Regarding Compliance with Physical Address Requirements of NRS 453D.210(5)(b), NAC 453D.264(1)(b), and NAC 453D.268(2)(e) on Order Shortening Time

08/28/2019 **Notice of Entry**
Notice Of Entry

08/28/2019 **Opposition**
Essence's Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center's Motion Re Compliance with Physical Address Requirements of NRS 453D.210(5)(B), NAC 453D.265(1)(B), and NAC 453D.268(2)(E) on Order Shortening Time

08/28/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Defendant State of Nevada Department of Taxation's Opposition to Plaintiff Nevada Wellness Center's Motion Regarding Compliance with Physical Address Requirements of NRS 453D.210(5)(b), NAC 453D.264(1)(b), and NAC 453D.268(2)(e) on Order Shortening Time

08/28/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Defendant in Intervention Essence's Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center's Motion Re Compliance with Physical Address Requirements of NRS 453D.210(5)(b), NAC 453D.265(1)(b), and NAC 453D.268(2)(e) on Order Shortening Time

08/28/2019 **Motion to Strike**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Motion to Strike MM Development Company, Inc. and Livfree Wellness, LLC's Objection to State s Response Regarding Compliance with NRS 453D.200(6) on Order Shortening Time

08/28/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Joinder to Essence's Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center's Motion Re Physical Address Requirements of NRS 453D.210(5)(B), NAC 453D.265(1)(B), and NAC 453D.268(2)(E) on Order Shortening Time

08/28/2019 **Errata**
MM Development Company, Inc.'s and Livfree Wellness, LLC's Notice of Errata to Appendix in Support of Objection to State's Response Regarding Compliance with NRS 453D.200(6)

08/28/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Thrive's Joinder to Essence's Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center's Motion Re Compliance with Physical Address Requirements of NRS 453D.210(5)(B), NAC 453D.265(1)(B), and NAC 453D.268(2)(E)

08/28/2019 **Joinder**
Defendant Intervenor Helping Hands Wellness Center Inc's Joinder to Nevada Organic Remedies LLC's Response to the Department of Taxation's Statement Regarding Completeness of Application with Reference to NRS 153D.200(6)

08/28/2019 **Joinder**
Defendant Intervenor Helping Hands Wellness Center Inc's Joinder to Defendant State of Nevada Department of Taxation's Opposition to Plaintiff Nevada Wellness Center's Motion Regarding Compliance With Physical Address Requirements of NRS 453.D210(5), NAC 453D.264(1)(B), and NAC 453.D268(2)(E) on Order Shortening Time

08/28/2019 **Joinder**

1/10/2020

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

Defendant Intervenor Helping Hands Wellness Center Inc's Joinder to Essence's Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center's Motion Re Compliance with Physical Address Requirements of NRS 453D.210(5)(B), NAC 453D.265(1)(B), and NAC 453D.268(2)(E)

08/29/2019 **Objection** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Objections to State of Nevada Department of Taxation's Response to Court's Question on NRS 453D.200(6)
Result: Matter Heard

08/29/2019 **Motion** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Plaintiff Nevada Wellness Center's Motion Regarding Compliance with Physical Address Requirements of NRS 453D.210(5)(b), NAC 453D.265(1)(b), and NAC 453D.268(2)(e)
08/29/2019 Reset by Court to 08/29/2019
Result: Denied

08/29/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

08/29/2019 **Receipt**
Receipt of Hearing Exhibits

08/29/2019 **Receipt**
Receipt of Hearing Exhibits

08/30/2019 **CANCELED Motion to Strike** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Vacated
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Motion to Strike MM Development Company, Inc. and Livfree Wellness, LLC's Objection to State's Response Regarding Compliance with NRS 453D.200(6) on Order Shortening Time

08/30/2019 **Order Granting**
Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Release Cash Bond

08/30/2019 **Notice of Entry of Order**
Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Release Cash Bond

09/03/2019 **Business Court Order**
Business Court Order

09/03/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing on Objections to State's Response, Nevada Wellness Center's Motion Re Compliance Re Physical Address, And Bond Amount Setting

09/05/2019 **Media Request and Order**
Media Request And Order Allowing Camera Access To Court Proceedings

09/06/2019 **Discovery Case Plan Report**
Joint Expedited Discovery Statement

09/06/2019 **Objection**
The Essence Entities' Objection To Joint Expedited Discovery Statement

09/09/2019 **Mandatory Rule 16 Conference** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
09/09/2019, 09/13/2019
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Continued

09/09/2019 **Joinder**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Defendant in Intervention The Essence Entities' Objection to Joint Expedited Discovery Statement

09/12/2019 **Notice of Firm Name Change**
Notice Of Change of Firm Affiliation and Address

09/12/2019 **Joinder To Motion**
Joinder to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel on Order Shortening Time

09/13/2019 **Notice of Posting Bond**
Notice of Posting Bond

09/16/2019 **Motion to Release**
Motion to Release Surety Bond

09/17/2019 **Clerk's Notice of Hearing**
Notice of Hearing

09/17/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing on Motion to Compel Attendance at Settlement Conference and Mandatory Rule 16 Conference

09/17/2019 **Demand**
Demand for Settlement

09/18/2019 **Notice of Withdrawal**
Notice of Withdrawal of Demand for Settlement

09/19/2019 **Scheduling and Trial Order**
Business Court Scheduling Order and Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre-Trial Conference and Calendar Call

09/19/2019 **Notice of Appeal**
Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Notice of Appeal

09/19/2019 **Case Appeal Statement**
Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Case Appeal Statement

09/19/2019 **Amended Notice of Entry of Order**
Amended Notice of Entry of Order

09/19/2019 **Notice of Appeal**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Notice of Appeal

09/19/2019 **Case Appeal Statement**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Case Appeal Statement

09/23/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
The Essence Entities' Opposition To Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion To Amend Findings Of Facts And Conclusions Of Law Issued On August 23, 2019, Pursuant To NRCP 52

09/24/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Thrive's Joinder to Essence Entities' Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52

09/24/2019 **Motion**
MM Development Company, Inc.'s and Livfree Wellness, LLC's Motion to Alter or Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

17/23

AA 011658

1/10/2020

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

09/27/2019 **Ex Parte Application**
Ex Parte Application for An Order Shortening Time to Hold Hearing for Motion: (1) to Dissolve The Preliminary Injunction in All Cases Where No Bond Was Posted and (2) To Suspend/Stay the Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal in All Remaining Cases

09/27/2019 **Motion**
Motion: (1) to Dissolve The Preliminary Injunction in All Cases Where No Bond Was Posted and (2) To Suspend/Stay the Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal in All Remaining Cases On an Order Shortening Time

09/27/2019 **Motion**
Motion: (1) to Dissolve The Preliminary Injunction in All Cases Where No Bond Was Posted and (2) To Suspend/Stay the Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal in All Remaining Cases On an Order Shortening Time

09/27/2019 **Notice of Appeal**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Notice of Appeal

09/27/2019 **Case Appeal Statement**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Case Appeal Statement

09/30/2019 **Clerk's Notice of Hearing**
Notice of Hearing

09/30/2019 **Motion to Amend**
Nevada Wellness Center, LLC, Motion to Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52

09/30/2019 **Joinder To Motion**
Joinder to MM Development Company, Inc.'s and Livfree Wellness, LLC's Motion to Alter or Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

09/30/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
Opposition to Intervener-Defendant, CPCM Holding, LLC, D/B/A Thrive Cannabis Marketplace's Motion to Release Surety Bond

09/30/2019 **Clerk's Notice of Hearing**
Notice of Hearing

09/30/2019 **Joinder To Motion**
Nevada Wellness Center, LLC, Joinder to MM Development Company Inc., and Live Free Wellness LLC s Motion to Alter or Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law

10/01/2019 **Order Shortening Time**
Order Shortening Time

10/01/2019 **Notice of Order**
Notice of Order Shortening Time

10/02/2019 **Joinder**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Defendant in Intervention Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Motion to: (1) Dissolve the Preliminary Injunction in All Cases Where No Bond Was Posted and (2) to Suspend/Stay the Preliminary Injunction in All Remaining Cases on an Order Shortening Time

10/03/2019 **Motion**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC s Motion To Dissolve Preliminary Injunction For Failure To Post Bond On Order Shortening Time

10/03/2019 **Joinder**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Partial Joinder to Nevada Organic Remedy S, LLC's Motion: (1) To Dissolve The Preliminary Injunction in All Cases Where No Bond Was Posted And (2) Suspend/Stay The Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal In All Cases On An Order Shortening Time

10/04/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
MM Development Company, Inc.'s and Livfree Wellness, LLC's Opposition to Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Motion to Dissolve Preliminary Injunction for Failure to Post Bond on Order Shortening Time

10/04/2019 **Joinder**
Defendant-Intervenor Greenmart of Nevada NLV LLC's Amended Joinder to Defendant in Intervention Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Motion to: (1) Dissolve the Preliminary Injunction in all Cases Where No Bond Was Posted and (2) To Suspend/Stay the Preliminary Injunction in All Remaining Cases on an Order Shortening Time

10/04/2019 **Joinder**
MM Development Company, Inc.'s and Livfree Wellness, LLC's Limited Joinder in Plaintiffs' Joint Opposition to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Motion to Suspend/Stay the Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal in all Remaining Cases on Order Shortening Time

10/04/2019 **Joinder**
Nevada Wellness Center, LLC, Joinder to Plaintiffs Joint Opposition to Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC s Motion to Dissolve Preliminary Injunction and to Stay Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal and Plaintiffs Joint Opposition to Lone Mountain Partners, LLC s Motion to Dissolve Preliminary Injunction for Failure to Post Bond on an Order Shortening Time

10/04/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
The Essence Entities' Opposition To MM Development Company Inc.'s And Livfree Wellness LLC's Motion To Alter Or Amend Findings Of Fact And Conclusions Of Law

10/06/2019 **Joinder**
Defendant Intervenor Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc. S Joinder to Nevada Organic Remedy S, LLC's Motion: (1) to Dissolve the Preliminary Injunction in All Cases Where no Bond was Posted and (2) Suspend/Stay the Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal in All Cases on an Order Shortening Time

10/06/2019 **Joinder**
Defendant Intervenor Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc. S Joinder to Lone Mountain Partners, LLC S Motion to Dissolve Preliminary Injunction for Failure to Post Bond on Order Shortening Time

10/07/2019 **Motion** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Defendant-Intervenor's Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Motion: (1) To Dissolve the Preliminary Injunction in all Cases Where no Bond was Posted and (2) To Suspend/Stay the Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal in all Remaining Cases on an Order Shortening Time
11/01/2019 Reset by Court to 10/07/2019
Result: Denied

10/07/2019 **Joinder** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Defendant-Intervenor Greenmart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Defendant In Intervention Nevada Organic Remedies LLC's Motion to: (1) Dissolve the Preliminary Injunction in All Cases Where No Bond was Posted and (2) to Suspend/Stay the Preliminary Injunctions in All Remaining Cases on an Order Shortening Time
Result: Matter Heard

10/07/2019 **Motion** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC s Motion To Dissolve Preliminary Injunction For Failure To Post Bond On Order Shortening Time
Result: Denied

10/07/2019 **Joinder** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Partial Joinder to Nevada Organic Remedy's LLC's Motion (1) To Dissolve the Preliminary Injunction in all Cases Where No Bond was Posted and (2) Suspend/Stay the Preliminary Injunction Pending Appeal in All Cases on Order Shortening Time
Result: Matter Heard

10/07/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

18/23

AA 011659

[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

10/07/2019 **Joinder To Motion**
Joinder to Nevada Wellness, LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law issued on August 23, 2019 Pursuant to NRCP 52

10/09/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing on Motions to Dissolve/Suspend/Stay Preliminary Injunction

10/10/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
Department of Taxation's Opposition to MM Development Company, LLC's and LivFree Wellness, LLC's Motion to Alter or Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

10/10/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52

10/10/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52

10/10/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
The Essence Entities' Opposition To Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion To Amend Findings Of Facts And Conclusions Of Law Issued On August 23, 2019, Pursuant To NRCP 52

10/10/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Thrive's Joinder to Essence Entities' Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52

10/11/2019 **Reply in Support**
Intervening Defendant CPCM Holdings, LLC d/b/a Thrive Cannabis Marketplace's Reply in Support of Motion to Release Surety Bond

10/11/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Joinder to 1) The Essence Entities' Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52; and 2) Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52

10/14/2019 **Motion**
Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend Initial Expert Witness Deadline on Order Shortening Time (first request)

10/14/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Clear River, LLC's Joinder to The Essence Entities Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52

10/14/2019 **Motion for Leave to File**
Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint

10/15/2019 **Clerk's Notice of Hearing**
Notice of Hearing

10/15/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
The Essence Entities' Opposition To Plaintiffs' Motion To Extend Initial Expert Witness Deadline On Order Shortening Time

10/15/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to The Essence Entities' Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52

10/15/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Department of Taxation's Opposition to MM Development Company, LLC's and Livfree Wellness, LLC's Motion to Alter or Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

10/16/2019 **Motion** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend Initial Expert Witness Deadline on Order Shortening Time (first request)

[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Granted

10/16/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Joinder to The Essence Entities Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend Initial Expert Witness Deadline on Order Shortening Time

10/17/2019 **Joinder**
Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.'s Joinder to 1) The Essence Entities' Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52; and 2) Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52

10/17/2019 **Reply in Support**
Nevada Wellness Center, LLC Reply in Support of Motion to Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusion of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52

10/18/2019 **Motion to Release** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Defendant's Motion to Release Surety Bond

[Minutes](#)
Result: Denied

10/18/2019 **Transcript of Proceedings**
Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend Initial Expert Witness Deadline 10/16/19

10/22/2019 **Joinder To Motion**
Defendant/Intervenor, Clear River, LLC's Joinder to State of Nevada Department of Taxation's Motion to Consolidate, Or, In the Alternative, Request To Coordinate Cases in a Single Department To Be Assigned By the Chief Judge Pursuant to EDCR 2.5(c) Filed in ETW Management Group, LLC vs. State of Nevada Department of Taxation, Case No. A-19-787004-B

10/22/2019 **Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document**
Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document

10/23/2019 **Reply in Support**
MM Development Company, Inc.'s and Livfree Wellness, LLC's Reply in Support of Motion to Alter or Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

10/23/2019 **Notice of Withdrawal of Attorney**
Notice of Withdrawal of Attorney

10/23/2019 **Scheduling and Trial Order**
1st Amended Business Court Scheduling Order and Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre-Trial Conference and Calendar Call

10/24/2019 **Opposition**
Compassionate Team of Las Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Joint Motion to Consolidate on an Order Shortening Time and Defendants in Intervention, CPCM Holdings, LLC dba Thrive Cannabis Marketplace, Commerce Park, LLC, and Cheyenne Medical, LLC's Motion to Consolidate

10/24/2019 **Opposition to Motion**

1/10/2020

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint

10/24/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint

10/24/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Defendant-Intervenor GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC's Joinder to Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Opposition to Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint

10/24/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
Essence's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint

10/24/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
Department of Taxation's Opposition to Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019

10/28/2019 **Motion to Amend** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Nevada Wellness Center, LLC, Motion to Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52
11/01/2019 Reset by Court to 10/28/2019
Result: Denied

10/28/2019 **Joinder** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Joinder to MM Development Company, Inc's and Livefree Wellness, LLC's Motion to Alter or Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law
11/01/2019 Reset by Court to 10/28/2019
Result: Denied

10/28/2019 **Joinder** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Nevada Wellness Center LLC Joinder to MM Development Company Inc and Live Free Wellness LLC's Motion to Alter or Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law
11/01/2019 Reset by Court to 10/28/2019
Result: Denied

10/28/2019 **Motion to Amend** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
MM Development Company, Inc.'s and Livefree Wellness, LLC's Motion to Alter or Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law
Result: Denied

10/28/2019 **Joinder** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Plaintiffs' Joinder to Nevada Wellness, LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019 Pursuant to NRCP 52
Result: Denied

10/28/2019 **Joinder** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Plaintiffs - Joinder to Nevada Wellness Center LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law
Result: Denied

10/28/2019 **Joinder** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Plaintiffs - Joinder to MM Development Company's and Livefree Wellness LLC's Motion to Alter or Amend Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law
Result: Denied

10/28/2019 **Motion for Leave** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint
11/18/2019 Reset by Court to 10/28/2019
Result: Matter Continued

10/28/2019 **Opposition to Motion**
The Essence Entities' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Joint Motion to Consolidate on Order Shortening Time and Response to Joint Partial Opposition to Defendants in Intervention CPCM Holdings, LLC d.b.a. Thrive Cannabis Marketplace, Commerce Park LLC, and Cheyenne Medical LLC's Motion to Consolidate

10/28/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Nevada Organic Remedies, LLC's Joinder to The Essence Entities' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Consolidate on Order Shortening Time

10/28/2019 **All Pending Motions** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Parties Present](#)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Matter Heard

10/28/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Lone Mountain Partners, LLC's Joinder to the Essence Entities' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Joint Motion to Consolidate on Order Shortening Time and Response to Joint Partial Opposition to Defendants in Intervention CPCM Holdings, LLC d.b.a. Thrive Cannabis Marketplace, Commerce Park LLC, and Cheyenne Medical LLC's Motion to Consolidate

10/29/2019 **Minute Order** (8:04 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
[Minutes](#)
Result: Minute Order - No Hearing Held

10/30/2019 **Supplement**
Supplement in Support of Nevada Wellness Center, LLC, Reply in Support of Motion to Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52

10/30/2019 **Joinder to Opposition to Motion**
Thrive's Joinder to Essence Entities' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint

10/31/2019 **Motion**
Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend Initial Expert Witness Deadline on Order Shortening Time (second request)

11/01/2019 **Reply in Support**
Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint

11/01/2019 **Notice of Department Reassignment**
Notice of Department Reassignment

11/04/2019 **CANCELED Status Check** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth)
Vacated - Subordinate Case
Status Check: Consolidation

11/05/2019 **Notice of Change of Hearing**
Notice of Change of Hearing

11/05/2019 **Order**
Order Denying Motion to Release Surety Bond

11/05/2019 **Order**
Order Regarding Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52

11/06/2019 **Notice of Entry**

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

20/23

AA 011661

1/10/2020

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

	<i>Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion to Release Surety Bond</i>
11/06/2019	Joinder to Opposition to Motion <i>Clear River, LLC's Joinder to Lone Mountain Partners, LLC Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint</i>
11/06/2019	Notice of Entry of Order <i>Notice of Entry of Order Regarding Nevada Wellness Center, LLC's Motion to Amend Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law Issued on August 23, 2019, Pursuant to NRCP 52</i>
11/07/2019	Order <i>Defendant/Intervenor, Clear River, LLC's, Order Denying Its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on the Petition for Judicial Review Cause of Action</i>
11/07/2019	Notice <i>Clerk's Notice of Curative Action</i>
11/08/2019	Notice of Entry of Order <i>Notice of Entry of Clear River, LLC's Order Denying its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on the Petition for Judicial Review Cause of Action</i>
11/12/2019	CANCELED Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Denton, Mark R.) <i>Vacated - Subordinate Case</i> <i>Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend Initial Expert Witness Deadline on Order Shortening Time</i> <i>11/04/2019 Reset by Court to 11/12/2019</i>
11/12/2019	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure <i>Defendant Clear River LLC's Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure</i>
11/12/2019	Motion to Dismiss <i>Defendant Clear River LLC's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint and Petition for Judicial Review and/or Writs of Certiorari, Mandamus and Prohibition</i>
11/12/2019	Disclosure Statement <i>Clear River - Disclosure Statement</i>
01/06/2020	CANCELED Status Check: Trial Readiness (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) <i>Vacated - Superseding Order</i>
02/03/2020	CANCELED Status Check: Trial Readiness (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) <i>Vacated - Subordinate Case</i>
02/20/2020	CANCELED Pre Trial Conference (9:15 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) <i>Vacated - Superseding Order</i>
03/10/2020	CANCELED Calendar Call (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) <i>Vacated - Superseding Order</i>
03/16/2020	CANCELED Bench Trial (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) <i>Vacated - Superseding Order</i>
03/26/2020	CANCELED Pre Trial Conference (9:15 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) <i>Vacated - Subordinate Case</i>
04/14/2020	CANCELED Calendar Call (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) <i>Vacated - Subordinate Case</i>
04/20/2020	CANCELED Jury Trial (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Gonzalez, Elizabeth) <i>Vacated - Subordinate Case</i>

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	Counter Claimant Integral Associates, LLC		
	Total Financial Assessment		2,033.00
	Total Payments and Credits		1,713.00
	Balance Due as of 01/10/2020		320.00
06/14/2019	Transaction Assessment		1,513.00
06/14/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-36564-CCCLK	
		Integral Associates, LLC d/b/a Essence Cannabis Dispensaries	(1,513.00)
06/17/2019	Transaction Assessment		120.00
06/24/2019	Transaction Assessment		200.00
06/25/2019	Transaction Assessment		200.00
06/25/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-38781-CCCLK	
		Integral Associates, LLC	(200.00)
	Counter Defendant Serenity Wellness Center LLC		
	Total Financial Assessment		1,891.50
	Total Payments and Credits		1,891.50
	Balance Due as of 01/10/2020		0.00
01/07/2019	Transaction Assessment		1,860.00
01/07/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-00984-CCCLK	
		Serenity Wellness Center, LLC	(1,860.00)
05/06/2019	Transaction Assessment		3.50
05/06/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-27853-CCCLK	
		Serenity Wellness Center, LLC	(3.50)
05/23/2019	Transaction Assessment		3.50
05/23/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-31696-CCCLK	
		Serenity Wellness Center, LLC	(3.50)
07/03/2019	Transaction Assessment		3.50
07/03/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-40783-CCCLK	
		Serenity Wellness Center, LLC	(3.50)
08/21/2019	Transaction Assessment		3.50
08/21/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-51455-CCCLK	
		Serenity Wellness Center LLC	(3.50)
08/21/2019	Transaction Assessment		3.50
08/21/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-51459-CCCLK	
		Serenity Wellness Center LLC	(3.50)
08/26/2019	Transaction Assessment		3.50
08/26/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-52289-CCCLK	
		Serenity Wellness Center LLC	(3.50)
08/26/2019	Transaction Assessment		3.50
08/26/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-52330-CCCLK	
		Serenity Wellness Center LLC	(3.50)
08/26/2019	Transaction Assessment		3.50

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

21/23

AA 011662

1/10/2020

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

08/26/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-52331-CCCLK	Serenity Wellness Center LLC	(3.50)
08/28/2019	Transaction Assessment			3.50
08/28/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-53017-CCCLK	Serenity Wellness Center LLC	(3.50)

Defendant	Clear River LLC			
	Total Financial Assessment			1,706.00
	Total Payments and Credits			223.00
	Balance Due as of 01/10/2020			1,483.00

11/12/2019	Transaction Assessment			223.00
11/12/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-68546-CCCLK	Clear River LLC	(223.00)
11/13/2019	Transaction Assessment			1,483.00

Defendant	State of Nevada Department of Taxation			
	Total Financial Assessment			223.00
	Total Payments and Credits			223.00
	Balance Due as of 01/10/2020			0.00

07/15/2019	Transaction Assessment			223.00
07/15/2019	Fee Waiver			(223.00)

Intervenor Defendant	Clear River, LLC			
	Total Financial Assessment			1,883.00
	Total Payments and Credits			1,883.00
	Balance Due as of 01/10/2020			0.00

06/17/2019	Transaction Assessment			200.00
06/17/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-36886-CCCLK	Clear River, LLC	(200.00)
06/18/2019	Transaction Assessment			200.00
06/18/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-36969-CCCLK	Clear River, LLC	(200.00)
06/25/2019	Transaction Assessment			1,483.00
06/25/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-38652-CCCLK	Clear River, LLC	(1,483.00)

Intervenor Defendant	GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC			
	Total Financial Assessment			1,907.00
	Total Payments and Credits			1,907.00
	Balance Due as of 01/10/2020			0.00

04/16/2019	Transaction Assessment			1,483.00
04/16/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-23419-CCCLK	GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC	(1,483.00)
06/07/2019	Transaction Assessment			200.00
06/07/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-34710-CCCLK	GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC	(200.00)
06/24/2019	Transaction Assessment			200.00
06/24/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-38298-CCCLK	GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC	(200.00)
09/19/2019	Transaction Assessment			24.00
09/19/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-57558-CCCLK	GreenMart of Nevada NLV LLC	(24.00)

Intervenor Defendant	Helping Hands Wellness Center Inc			
	Total Financial Assessment			1,683.00
	Total Payments and Credits			1,683.00
	Balance Due as of 01/10/2020			0.00

04/01/2019	Transaction Assessment			1,483.00
04/01/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-19925-CCCLK	Helping Hands Wellness Center, Inc.	(1,483.00)
06/05/2019	Transaction Assessment			200.00
06/05/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-34153-CCCLK	Helping Hands Wellness Center Inc	(200.00)

Intervenor Defendant	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC			
	Total Financial Assessment			1,507.00
	Total Payments and Credits			1,507.00
	Balance Due as of 01/10/2020			0.00

05/13/2019	Transaction Assessment			1,483.00
06/05/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-34265-CCCLK	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC	(223.00)
07/30/2019	Payment (Phone)	Receipt # 2019-46422-CCCLK	Katrina Hone	(1,260.00)
09/27/2019	Transaction Assessment			24.00
09/27/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-59251-CCCLK	Lone Mountain Partners, LLC	(24.00)

Other	MM Development Company, Inc.			
	Total Financial Assessment			17.50

<https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11923940>

22/23

AA 011663

	Total Payments and Credits			17.50
	Balance Due as of 01/10/2020			0.00
09/13/2019	Transaction Assessment			3.50
09/13/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-56164-CCCLK	MM Development Company, Inc.	(3.50)
09/24/2019	Transaction Assessment			3.50
09/24/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-58471-CCCLK	MM Development Company, Inc.	(3.50)
10/04/2019	Transaction Assessment			3.50
10/04/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-60807-CCCLK	MM Development Company, Inc.	(3.50)
10/04/2019	Transaction Assessment			3.50
10/04/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-60828-CCCLK	MM Development Company, Inc.	(3.50)
10/23/2019	Transaction Assessment			3.50
10/23/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-64517-CCCLK	MM Development Company, Inc.	(3.50)
	Other MM Development Company, Inc.			
	Total Financial Assessment			14.00
	Total Payments and Credits			14.00
	Balance Due as of 01/10/2020			0.00
05/28/2019	Transaction Assessment			3.50
05/28/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-32121-CCCLK	MM Development Company, Inc.	(3.50)
05/30/2019	Transaction Assessment			3.50
05/30/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-33002-CCCLK	MM Development Company, Inc.	(3.50)
07/11/2019	Transaction Assessment			3.50
07/11/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-42388-CCCLK	MM Development Company, Inc.	(3.50)
08/15/2019	Transaction Assessment			3.50
08/15/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-49967-CCCLK	MM Development Company, Inc.	(3.50)
	Other Nevada Organic Remedies LLC			
	Total Financial Assessment			1,507.00
	Total Payments and Credits			1,507.00
	Balance Due as of 01/10/2020			0.00
05/13/2019	Transaction Assessment			1,483.00
07/22/2019	Payment (Phone)	Receipt # 2019-44344-CCCLK	David Koch	(1,483.00)
09/19/2019	Transaction Assessment			24.00
09/19/2019	Efile Payment	Receipt # 2019-57467-CCCLK	Nevada Organic Remedies LLC	(24.00)