

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

VENETIAN CASINO RESORT, LLC, A  
NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY  
COMPANY; AND LAS VEGAS SANDS,  
LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY  
COMPANY,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE  
KATHLEEN E. DELANEY, DISTRICT  
JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

JOYCE SEKERA, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 79689-COA

**FILED**

**OCT 17 2019**

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER GRANTING STAY*

This original, emergency petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a July 31, 2019, district court order directing petitioners to provide in discovery unredacted prior incident reports. Petitioners have moved for a stay of the district court order pending our consideration of this writ petition. On October 1, 2019, we ordered an answer to the petition and granted a temporary stay pending our receipt and consideration of any opposition to the stay motion. Real party in interest has timely filed an opposition to the stay motion,<sup>1</sup> and petitioners have filed a reply.


---

<sup>1</sup>Real party in interest's motion for leave to file an opposition in excess of the NRAP 27(d)(2) page limit is granted; the 16-page opposition was filed

When considering whether to grant a stay pending writ proceedings, we consider the following factors: whether (1) the object of the writ petition will be defeated absent a stay, (2) petitioners will suffer irreparable or serious harm without a stay, (3) real parties in interest will suffer irreparable or serious harm if a stay is granted, and (4) petitioners are likely to prevail on the merits of the petition. NRAP 8(c); *see Fritz Hansen A/S v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 116 Nev. 650, 657, 6 P.3d 982, 986 (2000). Having considered the parties' arguments for and against the stay under these factors, we conclude that a stay is warranted pending our consideration of this writ petition. Accordingly, we grant petitioners' motion and stay the July 31 district court order, pending further order of this court.

It is so ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Gibbons

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Tao

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Bulla

cc: Hon. Kathleen E. Delaney, District Judge  
Royal & Miles, LLP  
The Galliher Law Firm  
Eighth District Court Clerk

on October 8, 2019. Additionally, the clerk of this court shall detach from the opposition and separately file volume 1 of the appendix to real party in interest's responding brief.