

1                   **IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

2  
3           JASWINDER SINGH,

4                   Petitioner,

5           vs.

6           EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
7           COURT, CLARK COUNTY,  
8           NEVADA, AND THE HONORABLE  
9           SANDRA POMRENZE, DISTRICT  
10           JUDGE

11                   Respondent,

12           and

13           RAJWANT KAUR,

14                   Real Party in Interest.

No.: 79591

Electronically Filed  
Sep 12 2019 06:00 p.m.

Elizabeth A. Brown  
Clerk of Supreme Court

**ERRATA TO WRIT PETITION**

15           F. Peter James, Esq., counsel for Petitioner, hereby submits this Errata to  
16           the Writ Petition.

17           Dated this 12<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2019

18           /s/ *F. Peter James*

19           \_\_\_\_\_  
20           LAW OFFICES OF F. PETER JAMES

F. Peter James, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 10091

3821 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 250

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

702-256-0087

Counsel for Petitioner

1                                   **DECLARATION OF F. PETER JAMES, ESQ.**

2           F. Peter James, Esq. declares and states as follows:

- 3           1. I am counsel for Petitioner, Jaswinder Singh.
- 4           2. I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of Nevada.
- 5           3. I am competent and willing to testify in a court of law as to the facts
- 6           contained herein.
- 7           4. I have knowledge of factual errors made Writ Petition filed earlier today.
- 8           5. On page 3, line 14 and on page 6, line 8, I drafted that the parties would
- 9           each become retroactive bigamists if the Decree of Divorce were set aside.
- 10          I have learned this to be partially untrue.
- 11          6. Though counsel for the Real Party in Interest did confirm that both parties
- 12          remarried after the entry of the Decree of Divorce at issue (PA at 164:2),
- 13          this was actually not factually correct.
- 14          7. In preparation for trial today and as was discussed at trial today, Petitioner
- 15          never remarried. Real Party in Interest, however, did remarry. (PA at 15).
- 16          8. As such, I rescind any reference to Petitioner having remarried and to both
- 17          parties becoming retroactive bigamists if the district court grants the
- 18          motion to set aside and if a stay (to be requested separately) is not issued.
- 19          9. Only the Real Party in Interest would retroactively become a bigamist.

20    ///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to  
the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

/s/ F. Peter James  
NAME

September 12, 2019  
DATE

---

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 The following are listed on the Master Service List and are served via the  
3 Court's electronic filing and service system (eFlex):

4  
5 I certify that on this 12<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2019, I caused the above and  
6 foregoing document to be served by placing same to be deposited for mailing in  
7 the United States Mail, in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was  
8 prepaid in Las Vegas, Nevada to the attorney(s) / party(ies) listed below at the  
9 address(es) indicated below:

10 Hon. Sandra Pomrenze  
11 District Court Judge, Family Division  
12 601 North Pecos Road  
13 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

14 Andrew L. Kynaston, Esq.  
15 Kainen Law Group  
16 3303 Novat Street, Suite 200  
17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129  
18 Counsel for Real Party in Interest

19  
20 By: */s/ F. Peter James, Esq.*

---

An employee of the Law Offices of F. Peter James, Esq., PLLC