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| Bindover $(12 / 10 / 10)$ | 1 | $0001-0040$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Information $(1 / 11 / 11)$ | 1 | $0092-0095$ |
| Information, Amended (2/16/11) | 2 | $0181-0185$ |
| Information, Second Amended (5/15/19) | 4 | $0495-0498$ |
| Judgment of Conviction (Non-Jury Trial) (9/20/19) | 9 | $1658-1659$ |
| Jury Instructions (7/3/19) | 9 | $1486-1514$ |
| Notice of Appeal (10/7/19) | 9 | $1660-1661$ |
| Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (1/24/11) | 1 | $0102-0104$ |
| Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (4/2/19) | 4 | $0481-0482$ |
| Order Granting in Part/Denying in Part Motion (8/7/12) | 2 | $0243-0249$ |
| Order Granting Motion File Information by Affidavit | 2 | $0186-0190$ |
| Ramos Motion to Dismiss (9/11/18) | 3 | $0255-0272$ |
| Ramos Motion-Dismiss Counts 3 \& 4 (Amended Inform.) 2 | $0191-0194$ |  |
| Ramos Motion-Strike Penalty of Life w/out Poss. Parole | 9 | $1517-1560$ |
| Ramos Motion to Suppress (5/24/19) | 4 | $0506-0513$ |
| Ramos Opp. Motion to Admit Prior Prelim. Testimony | 4 | $0499-0505$ |
| Ramos Opp. Motion-Leave-File Information by Affidavit | 2 | $0167-0172$ |
| Ramos Reply to Opp. to Motion to Dismiss (10/9/18) | 3 | $0282-0289$ |
| Ramos Reply to Opp Motion-Strike Penalty-Life w/out | 9 | $1573-1578$ |
| Ramos Reply to State's Opposition (7/26/12) | 2 | $0229-0235$ |
| Ramos Reply to Supp. Opp.-Motion to Dismiss (2/27/19) 4 | $0456-0462$ |  |
| Ramos Supplement to Motion to Dismiss (2/6/19) | 3 | $0435-0444$ |
| Ramos Trial Brief (5/24/19) | 4 | $0514-0521$ |
| Sentencing Memorandum (9/19/19) | 9 | $1579-1604$ |
| State Motion to Admit Prior Prelim. Hrg. Testimony | 4 | $0490-0494$ |
| State Motion-Leave to File Information by Aff. (cont.) | 2 | $0135-0166$ |
| State Motion-Leave to File Information by Affidavit | 1 | $0105-0134$ |
| State's Opp. to Motion-Dismiss Counts 3 \& 4 (7/19/12) | 2 | $0195-0228$ |
| State's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss (10/9/18) | 3 | $0273-0281$ |
|  |  |  |


| State Opp. Motion-Strike Penalty-Life w/out Poss. Parole 9 | $1561-1572$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| State Supp. Opposition To Motion to Dismiss (2/21/19) | 4 | $0445-0455$ |
| State Trial Exhibit 229 re: windows | 6 | $0834-0835$ |
| Stip./Order Waiving Right to Trial/Penalty Hrg. By Jury | 4 | $0522-0524$ |
| Transcript: 12/16/10 Justice Ct. Preliminary Hearing | 1 | $0041-0091$ |
| Transcript: $1 / 12 / 11$ Arraignment Cont./Trial Setting | 1 | $0096-0101$ |
| Transcript: 2/9/11 Motion-Leave-File Information by Aff. 2 | $0173-0180$ |  |
| Transcript: 7/27/12 Motion-Dismiss Counts 3 \& 4 | 2 | $0236-0242$ |
| Transcript: 11/29/18 Evidentiary Hearing | 3 | $0290-0390$ |
| Transcript: 12/4/18 Evidentiary Hearing | 3 | $0391-0434$ |
| Transcript: 3/20/19 Motion to Dismiss/Trial Readiness | 4 | $0463-0480$ |
| Transcript: 5/2/19 Calendar Call | 4 | $0483-0489$ |
| Transcript: 5/28/19 Bench Trial Day 1 | 5 | $0525-0678$ |
| Transcript: 5/29/19 Bench Trial Day 2 | 5 | $0679-0743$ |
| Transcript: 5/29/19 Bench Trial Day 2 (cont.) | 6 | $0744-0833$ |
| Transcript: 5/30/19 Bench Trial Day 3 | 6 | $0836-0986$ |
| Transcript: 5/30/19 Bench Trial Day 3 (cont.) | 6 | $0836-0986$ |
| Transcript: 5/31/19 Bench Trial Day 4 | 7 | $1008-1212$ |
| Transcript: 6/10/19 Bench Trial Day 5 | 8 | $1219-1286$ |
| Transcript: $6 / 12 / 19$ Status Check-Bench Trial Scheduling | 8 | $1213-1218$ |
| Transcript: $7 / 1 / 19$ Bench Trial Day 6 | 8 | $1287-1434$ |
| Transcript: $7 / 1 / 19$ Bench Trial Day 6 (cont.) | 9 | $1435-1476$ |
| Transcript: $7 / 3 / 19$ Decision | 9 | $1477-1485$ |
| Transcript: $9 / 20 / 19$ Motion-Strike Penalty-Life w/out | 9 | $1605-1657$ |
| Verdict (7/3/19) | 9 | $1515-1516$ |
| Vol. Statement: Gustavo Ramos-Martinez (10/13/10) | 7 | $0987-1007$ |
| Waiver: Right to Trial \& Penalty Hrg by Jury (6/13/18) | 3 | $0250-0254$ |

vs.
GUSTAVO RAMOS,
Defendant

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a full, true and correct copy of the proceedings as the same appear in the above case.

Dated this December 17, 2010


Justice of the Peace, Las Vegas Township


# RECEIVED 

DEC 202010
CLERK UT ITE LOURT

## JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP

## CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff,
vs.

GUSTAVO RAMOS,
Defendant

District Court Case No.:
Justice Court Case No.: 10F19783X
))))

## BINDOVER and ORDER TO APPEAR

An Order having been made this day by me that GUSTAVO RAMOS be held to answer before the Eighth Judicial District Court, upon the charge(s) of OPEN MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON VICTIM 65 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER (2 COUNTS), committed in said Township and County, on or between MAY 15, 1998 and MAY 16, 1998.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said defendant is commanded to appear in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Regional Justice Center, Lower Level Arraignment Courtroom "A", Las Vegas, Nevada at 9:00 AM on the $3^{\text {RD }}$ day of JANUARY, 2011 for arraignment and further proceedings on the within charge(s).

TOTAL BAIL: NO BAIL

Dated this December 17, 2010
William D) fanaen
Justice of the Peace, Las Vegas Township
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DATE, JUDGE
OFFICERS OF COURT
PRESENT
APPEARANCES - HEARING

CONTINUED TO:

| DECEMBER 16, 2010 <br> W. JANSEN <br>  <br> P. WECKERLY, DA <br>  <br> A. YANEZ, SPD <br> D. GREEN, CR <br> L. FOY, CLK | TIME SET FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING <br> DEFENDANT PRESENT IN COURT **IN CUSTODY** <br> SPANISH COURT INTERPRETER PRESENT <br> STATE FILES AN AMENDED CRIMINAL COMPLAINT IN OPEN COURT <br> COUNTS 1 \& 2 - OPEN MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON <br> VICTIM 65 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER <br> COUNT 3 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON VICTIM <br> 65 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER <br> COUNT 4 - SEXUAL PENETRATION OF A DEAD HUMAN BODY <br> STATE WITNESSES <br> RAY CHANDLER <br> JERRY AUTREY <br> DAVID JOHNSON <br> JAMES VACCARO <br> DAVID LEMASTER <br> JULIE MARSCHNER <br> ALANE OLSON <br> EVIDENCE <br> STATE'S 1 \& 2 - DIAGRAM OFFERED-ADMITTED <br> STATES 3 THROUGH 58 - PHOTOGRAPHS <br> OFFERED-ADMITTED <br> STATE'S 59 THROUGH 63 - DOCUMENTS <br> OFFERED-ADMITTED <br> STATE RESTS <br> DEFENDANT ADVISED OF HIS STATUTORY RIGHT TO MAKE A SWORN OR <br> UNSWORN STATEMENT, TO WAIVE MAKING A STATEMENT, AND/OR OF <br> HIS RIGHT TO CALL WITNESSES - DEFENDANT WAIVES HIS RIGHT TO <br> MAKE A STATEMENT <br> DEFENSE RESTS <br> COURT ORDERS COUNTS 3 \& 4 DISMISSED (OBJECTION BY STATE) <br> DEFENDANT BOUND OVER TO DISTRICT COURT AS CHARGED - <br> COUNTS $1 \& 2$ <br> DEFENDANT TO APPEAR IN THE LOWER LEVEL ARRAIGNMENT <br> COURTROOM A <br> DEFENDANT REMANDED TO THE CUSTODY OF THE SHERIFF | JANUARY 3, 2011 <br> 9:00 AM <br> DISTRICT COURT <br> ARRAIGNMENT <br> LKF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CABE FORNAREEDTO |  |
| . | $\text { DEC } 20$ <br> DISTRICT C CLERK'S O | 10 URT ICE |
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DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF COURT PRESENT
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CONTINUED TO:
$\left.\begin{array}{l|l|l}\hline \text { OCTOBER 15, } 2010 & \text { CRIMINAL COMPLAINT FILED: } \\ \text { COUNTS 1 \& 2 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON, VICTIM 65 } \\ \text { YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER }\end{array}\right]$

gigue 0 as
JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA


THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff,
-vS-
GUSTAVO RAMOS \#1516662,
Defendant.

CASE NO: 10F19783X
DEPT NO: 5

AMENDED
CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

The Defendant above named having committed the crimes of MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON, VICTIM 65 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER (Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165, 193.167), SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON, VICTIM 65 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER (Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366, 193.165, 193.167), SEXUAL PENETRATION OF A DEAD HUMAN BODY (Felony NRS 201.45) in the manner following, to-wit: That the said Defendant, on or between May 15, 1998 and May 16, 1998, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, COUNT 1 OPEN MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON VICTIM 65 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER
did then and there willfully, feloniously, without authority of law, and with malice aforethought, kill WALLACE SIEGEL, a human being, the victim being 65 years of age or older, by striking the head of said WALLACE SIEGEL with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a dumbbell weight and/or unknown heavy blunt object, the actions of Defendant resulting in the death of said WALLACE SIEGEL, said killing having been (1) willful, deliberate and premeditated; and/or (2) committed during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of burglary and/or robbery.

COUNT 2 OPEN MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON VICTIM 65 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER
did then and there willfully, feloniously, without authority of law, and with malice aforethought, kill HELEN SABRAW, a human being, the victim being 65 years of age or older, by stabbing at and into the body of said HELEN SABRAW with a deadly weapon, towit: a knife, the actions of Defendant resulting in the death of said HELEN SABRAW, said killing having been (1) willful, deliberate and premeditated; and /or (2) committed during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of burglary and/or robbery and/or sexual assault.

COUNT 3 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON VICTIM 65 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER
did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject HELEN SABRAW, a female person, the victim being 65 years of age or older, to sexual penetration, to-wit: anal intercourse, by inserting his penis and/or an unknown object into the anal opening of said HELEN SABRAW, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife.

## COUNT 4 - SEXUAL PENETRATION OF A DEAD HUMAN BODY

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually penetrate a dead human body, to-wit: HELEN SABRAW, in the following manner, by inserting his penis and/or an unknown object into the anal opening of said HELEN SABRAW.

All of which is contrary to the form, force and effect of Statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Said Complainant makes this declaration subject to the penalty of perjury.
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# JUSTICE COURT,LASVEGAS TOWNSHIP  

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff,


-vs-
GUSTAVO RAMOS \#1516662, Defendant.

CASE NO: 10F19783X DEPT NO: 5

## CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

The Defendant above named having committed the crimes of MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON, VICTIM 65 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER (Felony - NRS $200.010,200.030,193.165,193.167$ ), in the manner following, to-wit: That the said Defendant, on or between May 15, 1998 and May 16, 1998, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada,

## COUNT 1

did then and there wilfully, feloniously, without authority of law, and with premeditation and deliberation, and with malice aforethought, kill WALLACE SIEGEL, victim being 65 years of age or older, a human being, by striking the head of the said WALLACE SIEGEL, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a barbell weight or unknown heavy blunt object, the actions of Defendant resulting in the death of the said WALLACE SIEGEL, the said killing having been (1) done with premeditation and deliberation; and /or (2) committed during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of burglary and/or robbery.

## COUNT 2

did then and there wilfully, feloniously, without authority of law, and with premeditation and deliberation, and with malice aforethought, kill HELEN SABRAW, victim being 65 years of age or older, a human being, by stabbing at and into the body of the said HELEN SABRAW, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, in the following manner, to wit; by stabbing at and into the body of HELEN SABRAW with a knife, the afoidind of Defendant resulting in the death of the said HELEN SABRAW, the said killing having been
(1) done with premeditation and deliberation; and /or (2) committed during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of burglary and/or robbery and/or sexual assault.

All of which is contrary to the form, force and effect of Statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Said Complainant makes this declaration subject to the penalty of perjury.



ARREST REPORT

$\square$


CIRCUMSTANCES OF ARREST

## Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department event or case number 980516-0400

1. On May 16 1998, at approximately 0452 hours, Jack Siegel returned to the Camlu Retirement Home located at 4255 South Spencer to check on his father, Wallace Siegel who lived in unit number 120. Wallace Siegel was recovering from a recent hip replacement surgery.
2. When he arrived, he found the door to his father's unit unlocked as he left it and entered the unit.
3. When he entered the unit he saw the body of his father sitting in the chair in a pool of blood and he immediately placed a 9-1-1 call to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) Communications Center.
4. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) Patrol Officer M. Craig, P\# 5585, Officer D. Lauer, P\#5613 and Officer M. Wilson, P\# 5319 were dispatched and or responded to the call for service located at 4255 South Spencer, unit 120, Las Vegas, Nevada.
5. Upon arriving at the Camlu Retirement Home, Officers observed
who was later positively identified as Wallace Siegel sitting in a chair with a blood-like substance on his head and clothing.
6. Emergency medical units were also dispatched by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Communications Center. After arriving at the scene, Clark County Fire Department Rescue 18, Paramedics Sprague and Gonzales determined the victim; Wallace Siegel was beyond resuscitation measures and was deceased.
ARKESTING q/FICER(S)

## CONTINUATION REPORT

| ID/Event Number: | ID 1516662 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $980516-0400$ <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> $980517-0848$ |

7. Officers made an initial observation of the room and determined that the incident was a homicide. Officers requested the response of the General Assignment Detectives. Upon their arrival General Assignment Detectives D. Flynn, P\# 3028 and J. Brandon, P\# 3419 concluded the response of the on-call Homicide Detectives was necessary. Crime Scene Analysts from the Criminalistics Bureau also responded to the scene.
8. Homicide Sergeant R. Alby, P\# 1810 responded to the request along with Homicide Detectives R. Chandler, P\# 712 and J. Mikolainis, P\# 1511.
9. After arriving at the scene, it was determined that Detective Chandler would conduct the crime scene investigation and Detective Mikolainis would interview witnesses pertinent to the investigation.
10. Crime Scene Analysts (C.S.A.) G. Reed, P\# 3731, J. Autrey, P\#4367, M. Atkin, P\#5409 and S. Fox, P\# 5712 responded to the crimes scene as well. They assisted Detective Chandler in documenting the crime scene and collecting items of evidence.
11. The deceased is sitting in a slightly reclined chair in the living room area with the back of the chair to the north wall. The decedent is clothed in a maroon type shirt and brown pants and a pair of white tennis shoes. His head is slumped onto his right shoulder and his right arm is extended out with his left elbow resting on the chair's right arm rest. His left arm is bent at the elbow and lying across his lap. His legs are extended to the south and the calves of his legs lying across a pillow that is located on the leg rest of the chair which is in an up position.

The arms and chest area is covered with a red substance believed to be blood and the face and neck area has the same type substance. The left side of his skull appears to be depressed and is flat and it appears there is a large sum of brain matter on his right chest area and into his lap.
12. A few items were observed to be located within the apartment and believed to be of evidentiary value.
13. Located on the floor, one foot ( $1^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ ) eight inches east of the recliner, was a grey 25 pound barbell on the floor. After a visual examination, the grey 25 pound barbell had what appeared to be a blood-like substance on it.
14. They also observed three pieces of newspaper (Review Journal, 5-15-98, sports section) on the floor somewhat torn, crumpled; friction ridge detail (fingerprints) in apparent blood, could be seen on the two larger pieces of the newspaper.

```
ID/Event Number: ID 1516662/
980516-0400 and
980517-0848
```

15. The three previously mentioned items were recovered by Crime Scene Analysts and analyzed for trace, hair, fiber and latent impression evidence.
16. Crime Scene Analysts recovered multiple samples of red blood-like substances throughout Siegel's unit and from his vehicle.
17. On May 17, 1998, at or about 0930 hours, an Autopsy was conducted on the body of Wallace Siegel at the Clark County Coroner / Medical Examiner's Office.
A. Persons in Attendance:
18. Doctor G. Sheldon Green M.D.
19. Sergeant R. Alby

P\# 1810
3. Detective R. Chandler

P\# 712
4. Detective J. Mikolainis

P\# 1511
5. Crime Scene Analyst D. Ruffino P\# 1502
B. Locations of Injuries / Wounds:

1. Massive skull fracture with lacerations of the scalp
2. Subdural and subarachnoid hemorrhage
3. Multiple lacerations and contusion of the brain
4. Multiple contusions of neck, shoulders and upper chest
C. Results of Autopsy:

After performing a complete autopsy on the body of Wallace Siegel, Medical
Doctor G. Sheldon Green opined the cause of Wallace Siegel's death was as a result of massive depressed skull fracture due to blunt trauma to the head. He ruled the manner of Wallace Siegel's death as a homicide.
18. The Detectives interviewed or spoke with various subjects in this murder investigation. They authored Officers Reports and other documents. They requested various forensic analyses available to them at the time. However, they were unable to identify the patent palm impression in the blood-like substance on the Review Journal newspaper.
19. The investigation into the burglary, robbery and murder with a deadly weapon of Wallace Siegel slowed. No new information or leads were developed or received by Detectives Chandler and Mikolainis to solve the case.

## ID/Event Number:

> ID 1516662I $980516-0400$ and $980517-0848$
20. The Detectives were subsequently assigned new cases until their retirement or reassignment from the homicide section. The investigation into the burglary, robbery and murder with a deadly weapon of Wallace Siegel was carried as an open and unsolved homicide or cold case by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

## Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department event or case number 980517-0848

1. On May 17 1998, at approximately 1110 hours, Peggy Ann Parks went to the Camlu Retirement Home located at 4255 South Spencer to check on her friend Helen Sabraw who lived in unit number 212.
2. When Parks arrived, she found the door to Sabraw's unit unlocked and entered the unit.
3. Immediately upon entering the room she saw the body of her friend Helen Sabraw lying on the ground with a large amount of blood around the room and on Helen's body. Parks immediately left the unit closing the door and went downstairs to notify management and to call 9-1-1.
4. While Parks was down on the first floor notifying the manager, Mark Sabraw, the victim's son, arrived at her unit accompanied by his girlfriend, Sharon Tyner.
5. Mark Sabraw also found the door to the unit unlocked as was expected. When he entered the room he saw the body of his mother lying on the floor in a pool of blood and he immediately ran to the telephone inside her unit and placed a 9-1-1 call to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) Communications Center.
6. Mark Sabraw told the call taker that he had found his mother lying in a pool of blood and that he believed she was deceased.
7. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) Patrol Lieutenant, M. Joseph, P\#3383, Officer T. Johnson, P\# 3171, Officer T. Kyger P\#4191, Officer A. Bragg, P\# 4150 and Officer K. Cochran P\# 4817 were dispatched and or responded to the call for service located at 4255 South Spencer, unit 212, Las Vegas, Nevada.
8. Upon arriving at the Camlu Retirement Home, Officer Almedia Bragg, observed a female who was later positively identified as Helen Sabraw lying in a pool of blood.
9. Emergency medical units were also dispatched by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Communications Center. After arriving at the scene, Clark County Fire

## ID/Event Number:

```
ID 1516662!
980516-0400 and
980517-0848
```

Department Rescue 18, Paramedics W. Sprague and R. Porter determined the victim; Helen Sabraw was beyond resuscitation measures and was deceased.
10. Officer Bragg made an initial observation of the room and determined that the incident was a homicide. Officer Bragg notified her supervisors and requested the response of Homicide Detectives.
11. Homicide Sergeant K. Manning, P\# 2434 responded to the request along with Homicide Detectives P. Ramos, P\# 0799 and J. Vaccaro, P\# 1480.
12. After arriving at the scene, it was determined that Detective Ramos would conduct the crime scene investigation and Detective Vaccaro would interview witnesses pertinent to the investigation.
13. Crime Scene Analysts (C.S.A.) K. Adkins, P\# 0900, D. LeMaster, P\#4234, J. Szeukiewicz, P\#5411and J. Autrey, P\# 4367 responded to the crimes scene as well. They assisted Detective Ramos in documenting the crime scene and collecting items of evidence.
14. Detective Ramos described the body of the decedent, Helen Sabraw, as an elderly white female adult. She was found lying on the floor in the approximate center of the room between the bed and a white wicker chair situated on the east wall of the apartment. The body was observed to have suffered an extensive amount of external trauma and a number of apparent stab wounds and cutting wounds were observed on the victim's head, face and upper torso as well as the left upper thigh below the left buttock area.
15. The victim was lying on her back with her arms both extended in a natural position. The left arm was at an approximate 90 degree angle and the right arm at an approximate 45 degree angle. The victim's left leg was raised to her right side and bent at the knee extending it an approximate 90 degree angle. Her right leg was also bent at the knee and extending in an approximate 45 degree angle. The overall position of the victim was in a partial fetal position with her head tilted slightly to the right. The victim's feet were pointing in a northerly direction towards the northeast corner of the apartment while her head was pointed towards the southeast corner of the apartment.
16. The victim was observed to be wearing a pink colored nightgown which had been pulled up above her breasts and below her neck area. The victim was not wearing any footwear and she was noted to be covered with a substantial amount of a red blood like substance particularly in the areas of the previously mentioned stab wounds. The victim appeared to

# CONTINUATION REPORT 

have suffered a substantial amount of external trauma to her head area including the left portion of her forehead and her left eye.
17. The carpeting surrounding the victim's body was saturated with a red blood-like substance as well as darker colored biological material which appeared to be fecal matter.
18. The handle of a black colored plastic knife was observed to be extending from below the victim's right knee. A number of small bruises were also observed on the victim's body near the left portion of her lower torso and above the pubic area. The soles of her bare feet were noted to be covered with a moderate amount of a red blood like substance.
19. The victim was not wearing any panties and she was nude except for the nightgown having been pulled up above her breasts and pulled up along her arms.
20. A number of items were observed to be located within the apartment and believed to be of evidentiary value.
21. Located on the floor north of the victim was a grey colored $t$-shirt which was crumpled and lying on the floor. After a visual examination, the grey tee shirt had what appeared to be blood-like transfers on it.
22. Also on the ground next to the grey tee shirt was a white cotton "muscle" type shirt. This white shirt was also visually examined and had what appeared to be blood-like transfers on it as well.
23. The matching wooden chair to the round table which was situated on top of the bed was observed to be covered with a large amount of blood like substance. Because of its positioning, turned over on top of the bed, it was believed to have been used as a possible weapon against Helen Sabraw. Additionally, a white wicker stool was found in the hallway leading into the living area and a red blood-like substance was observed on that item as well.
24. At the end of the bed near the approximate center of the foot of the bed was a green handled serrated knife which appeared consistent with a "grapefruit" knife.
25. A black colored long-sleeved woman's top with a sequined pattern design was found on the floor next to the bloodied white wicker stool. This black top was observed to have a substantial amount of blood-like substance on it and it was noted to be stuck to the bottom foot ring of the stool.

```
ID/Event Number: ID 1516662/
980516-0400 and
980517-0848
```

26. A brown colored aluminum walking cane was also documented in the approximate center of the bed. The cane was examined and found to be bent and distorted and had a substantial amount of a red blood-like substance on the cane.
27. All of the previously mentioned items were recovered by Crime Scene Analysts and analyzed for trace, hair and fiber evidence.
28. Crime Scene Analysts recovered multiple samples of red blood-like substances throughout Sabraw's unit. A small section of the carpeting was also removed during the crime scene processing as well.
29. On May 18, 1998, at or about thirteen-hundred hours, an Autopsy was conducted on the body of Helen Sabraw at the Clark County Coroner / Medical Examiner's Office.
A. Persons in Attendance:
30. Doctor G. Sheldon Green M.D.
31. Sergeant K. Manning P\# 2434
32. Detective P. Ramos P\# 0799
33. Detective J. Vaccaro P\# 1480
34. Crime Scene Analyst D. LeMaster P\# 4234
B. Locations of Injuries / Wounds:
35. Stab wound to the heart
36. Stab wound of the pulmonary artery
37. Multiple cutting and stabbing wounds of the chest, scalp, face and neck.
38. Defensive wounds of hands and arms.
C. Results of Autopsy:

After performing a complete autopsy on the body of Helen
Sabraw, Medical Doctor G. Sheldon Green opined the cause of Helen
Sabraw's death was a multiple stab wounds of the heart and pulmonary artery. The manner of her death was ruled a homicide.
30. The assigned Detectives interviewed or spoke with various subjects in this murder investigation. They authored Officers Reports and other documents. They requested various forensic analyses available to them at the time however, they were unable to identify the perpetrator(s) involved in the murder.

# CONTINUATION REPORT 

The investigation into the burglary, sexual assault with a deadly weapon and murder with a deadly weapon of Helen Sabraw slowed. No new information or leads were developed or received by Detectives Ramos and Vaccaro to solve the case.
The Detectives were subsequently assigned new cases until their retirement or reassignment from the homicide section. The investigation into the burglary, sexual assault with a deadly weapon and murder with a deadly weapon of Helen Sabraw was carried as an open and unsolved homicide or cold case by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. It should be noted that some of the assigned Homicide Detectives or other Homicide Detective's familiar with both cases felt the murders of Siegel and Sabraw were committed by the same perpetrator(s) due to both murders being discovered less than thirty (30) hours apart in the same building of the reported address.

## Cold Case Review for Deoxyribonucleic Acid (DNA) event / case number 980517-0848

1. On June 26, 2009, Detective M. Blasko P\# 4066 submitted a Forensic Laboratory requesting Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) testing for "wearer" to be completed on the gray tee shirt and the white muscle shirt impounded during the processing of the Helen Sabraw crime scene under event or case number 980517-0848.
2. On August 26, 2009, Julie Marschner, P\# 8806, a Forensic Scientist II, assigned to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) Biology / DNA Detail issued a report of examination in this case.

The conclusions are:

- The DNA profile obtained from the $t$-shirt neck cuttings (JM-10A1) is consistent with a mixture of two individuals, at least one being male.
- An unknown male cannot be excluded as a major contributor to the mixture. It is inconclusive as to whether Helen Sabraw (JM-9*) is included or excluded as a contributor to the mixture.
- No other conclusions regarding the additional contributor(s) can be made at this time.
- The DNA profile obtained from the $t$-shirt armpit cuttings (JM-10A2) is consistent with a mixture of three individuals, at least one being male.
- The unknown male cannot be excluded as a major contributor to the mixture. Helen Sabraw (JM-9*) cannot be excluded as minor contributor to the mixture.
- The major DNA mixture profile will be searched in the Local DNA Index System (CODIS) and uploaded to the National DNA Index System (CODIS). You will be notified if there is a match.
No other conclusions regarding the additional contributor(s) can be made at this time.

3. On September 10, 2010, the forensic unknown Deoxyribonucleic Acid / DNA profile that was entered into the National DNA Index System (CODIS) as a search by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Biology / DNA Detail received a match to a Federal Convicted Offender specimen in the FBI Laboratory, Federal DNA Database Unit.
4. The Federal Convicted Offender (FCO) that corresponds to FBI Federal DNA Database Unit sample ID 2009-040388 is:

## Martinez, Gustavo Ramos, (AKA Ramos-Martinez, Gustavo) <br> FBI \# 190377FB2 DOB: 07/10/1979 Alien \# A77131467 <br> BOP \# 42588-048 Sex: Male Race: White

5. Based on Gustavo Ramos-Martinez's Federal Convicted Offender Deoxyribonucleic Acid (DNA) profile being linked to the crime scene by CODIS from the collar and armpit area on the gray tee-shirt near the victim's body with a red blood-like substance which was impounded under LVMPD event number 980517-0848, your affiant believes that the items listed below in items one (1) through five (5) would, when submitted to further scientific and forensic examinations and analysis, would disclose the presence of scientific, forensic or identification evidence tending to demonstrate or eliminate Gustavo Ramos-Martinez's involvement in the offense's of; Burglary, Sexual Assault with a Deadly Weapon and Murder with a Deadly Weapon committed against the person of Helen Sabraw.
6. Based on the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS) link of Gustavo Ramos-Martinez to the Helen Sabraw crime scene Detective's Culver and Hall determined that his palm impressions should be directly compared to the unidentified palm impression in the blood-like substance on the Las Vegas Review Journal newspaper that was recovered from the Walter Siegel crime scene.
```
ID/Event Number: ID 1516662/
980516-0400 and
980517-0848
```

7. On September 21, 2010 that request was sent to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Forensic Laboratory.
8. On September 29, 2010, David Johnson, P\# 9933, a Forensic Scientist II, assigned to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) Latent Print Detail issued a report of examination in this case.

The conclusions are:
The latent print from package 4367/1, Lab \# djj21 described as, "one photograph of Review Journal, dated $5 / 15 / 98$ Pg 8C lower left (\#3). One suitable print marked djj21A- identified to the right palm of Gustavo Ramos. Exemplar Prints were from Gustavo Ramos, ID 1516662. Exemplars are from LVMPD finger and palm prints dated 06/13/98 and LVMPD archive fingerprints dated 03/15/06.
9. On September 15, 2010, Detective R. DePaulis P\# 4784 attempted to locate RamosMartinez. A triple III records check on Ramos-Martinez showed he had numerous charges for illegal entry into the United States from Mexico. DePaulis contacted Agent Quihuis of the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement and was told that if Ramos was located in the United States of America, he would be in direct violation with the terms of his release on 09/27/09 and was subject to immediate arrest.
10. On September 30, 2010, at approximately 0600 hours, Detectives DePaulis and S. Kniffen P\# 4574 conducted a surveillance on 10192 S. Maryland Parkway, LV, NV 89183 in an effort to locate Ramos-Martinez. At approximately 0735 hours, Ramos-Martinez was seen leaving the residence and entering a white Dodge Durango bearing Nevada license, "CUENCA." LVMPD patrol officers, J Campor, P\# 6438 and C. Yannis P\# 6024 operating as marked unit 215 conducted a vehicle stop on the vehicle Ramos-Martinez was driving at Mission Frorit and Silverado Ranch for illegal u-turn and unlawful display for no front license plate. Upon being contacted by officers, Ramos-Martinez was identified through self admission of his name to the officers as well as photographs and his tattoos. Ramos was then transported to the Immigration and Custom Enforcement office located 3373 Pepper Lane and turned over to their agents.
11. On 10/12/10, Detective R. Hall P\# 6756 obtained a search warrant from the Honorable District Court Judge James Bixler to collect Buccal Swabs for DNA confirmation, fingerprints, palm prints, photographs and hair standards from the person of Gustavo Ramos-Martinez.

```
ID/Event Number: ID 1516662/
980516-0400 and
980517-0848
```

12. On 10/13/10 at approximately 0930 hours, Detectives R. Hall and R. DePaulis transported Ramos-Martinez to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Investigative Services Division at 4750 W. Oakey, LV, NV 89102 in order to be interviewed and for service of the search warrant. Ramos-Martinez was read his Miranda warnings by Detective Hall at approximately 1015 hours. During the interview with Detectives Hall and DePaulis, Ramos-Martinez admitted that he had never been inside the Camlu Retirement Home located at 4255 S. Spencer, LV, NV 89119. Ramos-Martinez also denied knowing Helen Sabraw or Wallace Siegel. Ramos-Martinez stated he didn't know how a t-shirt with his DNA on it would be found inside unit 212, Helen Sabraw's apartment at 4255 S. Spencer, LV, NV 89119.

Ramos-Martinez also could not explain how his bloody palm print on a piece of Review Journal was found in unit 120, Wallace Siegel's apartment at 4255 S. Spencer, LV, NV 89119. Ramos-Martinez then stated he wanted to speak to an attorney. The interview was terminated and Ramos-Martinez was transported to the Clark County Detention Center where he was booked for 2 counts of Murder with a Deadly Weapon, 2 counts of Burglary, Robbery with a Deadly Weapon and Sexual Assault with a Deadly Weapon.
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CIRCUMATANCES OF ARREST

## Las Vegas Metropolitan Pollce Department event or case number 980616-0400

1. On May 16 1998, at approximately 0452 hours, Jack Slegel returned to the Camlu Retirement Home located at 4255 South Spencer to check on his father, Wallace Siegel who lived in unit number 120. Wallace Siegel was recovering from a recent hip replacement surgery.
2. When he arrived, he found the door to his father's unit unlocked as he left it and entered the unit.
3. When he entered the unit he saw the body of his father sitting in the chair in a pool of blood and he immediately placed a 9-1-1 call to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) Communications Center.
4. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) Patrol Officer M. Craig, P\#5585, Officer D. Lauer, P\#5613 and Officer M. Wilson, P\# 5319 were dispatched and or responded to the call for service located at 4255 South Spencer, unit 120, Las Vegas, Nevada.
5. Upon arriving at the Camlu Retirement Home, Officers observed who was later positively identified as Wallace Slegel sitting in a chair with a blood-like substance on his head and clothing.
6. Emergency medical units were also dispatched by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Communications Center. After arriving at the scene, Clark County Fire Department Rescue 18, Paramedics Sprague and Gonzales determined the victim; Wallace Siegel was beyond resuscitation measures and was deceased.


| ID/Event Number: | ID 1818882 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $980810-0400$ |
|  | $980517-0840$ |

7. Officers made an initial observation of the room and determined that the incldent was a homicide. Officers requested the response of the General Assignment Detectives. Upon their arrival General Assignment Detectives D. Flynn, P\# 3028 and J. Brandon, P\# 3418 conciuded the response of the on-call Homicide Detectives was necessary. Crime Scene Analysts from the Criminalistlcs Bureau also responded to the scene.
8. Homicide Sergeant R. Alby, P\# 1810 responded to the request along with Homicide Detectives R. Chandler, P娄 712 and J. Mikolainis, P昔 1511.
9. After arriving at the scene, it was determined that Detective Chandler would conduct the crime scene investigation and Detectlve Mikolainis would interview witnesses pertinent to the investigation.
10. Crime Scene Analysts (C.S.A.) G. Reed, P\# 3731, J. Autrey, P\#4367, M. Atkin, P\#5409 and S. Fox, P\# 5712 responded to the crimes scene as well. They assisted Detective Chandler in documenting the crime scene-and coffecting items of evidence.
11. The deceased is sitting in a slightly reclined chair in the living room area with the back of the chair to the north wall. The decedent is clothed in a maroon type shirt and brown pants and a pair of white tennis shoes. His head is slumped onto his right shoulder and his right arm is extended out with his left elbow resting on the chair's right arm rest. His left arm is bent at the elbow and lying across his lap. His legs are extended to the south and the calves of his legs lying across a pillow that is located on the leg rest of the chair which is in an up position. The arms and chest area is covered with a red substance belleved to be blood and the face and neck area has the same type substance. The left side of his skull appears to be depressed and is flat and it appears there is a large sum of brain matter on his right chest area and Into his lap.
12. A few items were observed to be located within the apartment and believed to be of evidentiary value.
13. Located on the floor, one foot ( $1^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ ) eight inches east of the recliner, was a grey 25 pound barbell on the floor. After a visual examination, the grey $\mathbf{2 5}$ pound barbell had what appeared to be a blood-like substance on it.
14. They also observed three pieces of newspaper (Review Journal, 5-15-98, sports section) on the floor somewhat torn, crumpled; friction ridge detail (fingerprints) in apparent bloogh could be seen on the two larger pieces of the newspaper.

## ID/Event Number:

ID 18168821 980618-0400 and 980817-0848
15. The three previously mentioned items were recovered by Crime Scene Analysts and analyzed for trace, hair, fiber and latent impression evidence.
16. Crime Scene Analysts recovered multiple samples of red blood-like substances throughout Sliegel's unit and from his vehicle.
17. On May 17, 1998, at or about 0930 hours, an Autopsy was conducted on the body of Wallace Siegel at the Clark County Coroner / Medical Examiner's Office.

## A. Persons in Attendance:

1. Doctor G. Sheldon Green M.D.
2. Sergeant R. Alby

P\# 1810
3. Detective R. Chandler P\# 712
4. Detective J. Mikolainis - . . ... . .............. 1 \# 1511
5. Crime. Scene Analyst:D: Ruffinc -- --P\# 1502
B. Locations of Iniuries / Wounds:

1. Massive skull fracture with lacerations of the scalp
2. Subdural and subarachnoid hemorrhage
3. Multiple lacerations and contusion of the brain
4. Multiple contusions of neck, shoulders and upper chest
C. Results of Autopsy:

After performing a complete autopsy on the body of Wallace Siegel, Medical
Doctor G. Sheldon Green opined the cause of Wallace Slegel's death was as a resuit of massive depressed skull fracture due to blunt trauma to the head.
He ruled the manner of Wallace Siegel's death as a homicide.
18. The Detectives interviewed or spoke with various subjects in this murder investigation. They authored Officers Reports and other documents. They requested various forensic analyses available to them at the time. However, they were unable to identify the patent palm impression in the blood-like substance on the Review Journal newspaper.
19. The investigation into the burglary, robbery and murder with a deadly weapon of Wallace Siegel slowed. No new information or leads were developed or received by Detectives Chandier and Mikolainis to solve the case.

## ID/Event Number: <br> ```ID 1518682/ \\ 980510-0400 and``` 980617-0848

20. The Detectives were subsequently assigned new cases until their retirement or reassignment from the homicide section. The investigation into the burglary, robbery and murder with a deadly weapon of Wallace Siegel was carried as an open and unsolved homicide or cold case by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

## Las Vogas Metropoiltan Police Depatmentevant or case numbor 980617-0848

1. On May 17 1998, at approximately 1110 hours, Peggy Ann Parks went to the Camlu Retirement Home located at 4255 South Spencer to check on her friend Helen Sabraw who lived In unit number 212.
2. When Parks arrived, she found the door to Sabraws unit uniocked and entered the unit.
3..... Immediately-upon entering the room' she saw the body of her friend Helen Sabraw lying on the ground-with a large-amount of blood around the room and on Heleri's body. Parks immediately left the unit closing the door and went downstairs to notify management and to call 9-1-1.
3. While Parks was down on the first floor notifying the manager, Mark Sabraw, the victim's son, arrived at her unit accompanied by his girtiriend, Sharon Tyner.
4. Mark Sabraw also found the door to the unit unlocked as was expected. When he entered the room he saw the body of his mother lying on the floor in a pool of blood and he immediately ran to the telephone inside her unit and placed a 9-1-1 call to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) Communications Center.
5. Mark Sabraw told the call taker that he had found his mother lying in a pool of blood and that he believed she was deceased.
6. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) Patrol Lieutenant, M. Joseph, P*3383, Officer T. Johnson, P\# 3171, Officer T. Kyger P\#4191, Officer A. Bragg, P\# 4150 and Officer K. Cochran P\# 4817 were dispatched and or responded to the call for service located at 4255 South Spencer, unit 212, Las Vegas, Nevada.
7. Upon arriving at the Camlu Retirement Home, Officer Almedia Bragg, observed a female who was later positively identified as Helen Sabraw lying in a pool of blood,
8. Emergency medical units were also dispatched by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Communications Center. After arriving at the scene, Clark Coundivire

Department Rescue 18, Paramedics W. Sprague and R. Porter determined the victim; Helen Sabraw was beyond resuscitation measures and was deceased.
10. Officer Bragg made an initial observation of the room and determined that the incident was a homicide. Officer Bragg notified her supervisors and requested the response of Homicide Detectives.
11. Homicide Sergeant K. Manning, P\# 2434 responded to the request along with Homicide Detectives P. Ramos, P\# 0799 and J. Vaccaro, P\# 1480.
12. After artiving at the scene, it was determined that Detective Ramos would conduct the crime scene investigation and Detective Vaccaro would interview witnesses pertinent to the investigation.
13. Crime Scene Analysts (C.S.A.) K. Adkins, P\# 0900, D. LeMaster, P\#4234, J. Szeukiewicz, PW541.1and. J. Autrey P\#-4367 responded to the crimes scene as well. They assisted Detective Ramos in documenting the crime scene and collecting fems of evidence.
14. Detective Ramos described the body of the decedent, Helen Sabraw, as an elderly white female adult. She was found lying on the floor in the approximate center of the room between the bed and a white wicker chair situated on the east wall of the apartment. The body was observed to have suffered an extensive amount of external trauma and a number of apparent stab wounds and cutting wounds were observed on the victim's head, face and upper torso as well as the left upper thigh below the left buttock area.
15. The victim was lying on her back with her arms both extended in a natural position. The left arm was at an approximate 90 degree angle and the right arm at an approximate 45 degree angle. The victim's left leg was raised to her right side and bent at the knee extending it an approximate 90 degree angle. Her right leg was also bent at the knee and extending in an approximate 45 degree angle. The overall position of the victim was in a partial fetal position with her head tilted slightly to the right. The victim's feet were pointing in a northerly direction towards the northeast corner of the apartment while her head was pointed towards the southeast corner of the apartment.
16. The victim was observed to be wearing a pink colored nightgown which had been pulled up above her breasts and below her neck area. The victim was not wearing any footwear and she was noted to be covered with a substantial amount of a red blood like substance particularly in the areas of the previously mentioned stab wounds. The victim appeared
have suffered a substantial amount of external trauma to her head area including the left portion of her forehead and her left eye.
17. The carpeting surrounding the victim's body was saturated with a red blood-like substance as well as darker colored blological materlal which appeared to be fecal matter.
18. The handle of a black colored plastic knife was observed to be extending from below the victim's right knee. A number of small bruises were also observed on the victim's body near the left portion of her lower torso and above the pubic area. The soles of her bare feet were noted to be covered with a moderate amount of a red blood like substance.
19. The victim was not wearing any panties and she was nude except for the nightgown having been pulled up above her breasts and pulled up along her arms.
20. A number of items were observed to be located within the apartment and belleved to be of evidentiary value.
21. . Located on the floor northorthe vletm was a grey cotored t-shift Wrich wäs crimpipled and lying on the floor. After a visual examination, the grey tee shirt had what appeared to be blood-like transfers on it.
22. Also on the ground next to the grey tee shirt was a white cotton "muscle" type shirt. This white shirt was also visually examined and had what appeared to be blood-like transfers on it as well.
23. The matching wooden chair to the round table which was situated on top of the bed was observed to be covered with a large amount of blood like substance. Because of its positioning, tumed over on top of the bed, it was believed to have been used as a possible weapon against Helen Sabraw. Additionally, a white wicker stool was found in the halway leading into the living area and a red blood-like substance was observed on that item as well.
24. At the end of the bed near the approximate center of the foot of the bed was a green handled serrated knife which appeared consistent with a "grapefruit" knife.
25. A black colored long-sleeved woman's top with a sequined pattern design was found on the floor next to the bloodied white wicker stool. This black top was observed to have a substantial amount of blood-like substance on it and it was noted to be stuck to the bottom foot ring of the stool.

| ID/Event Number: | ID 1848862 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $980616-0400$ and |
|  | $980617-0848$ |

26. A brown colored aluminum walking cane was also documented in the approximate center of the bed. The cane was examined and found to be bent and distorted and had a substantlal amount of a red blood-like substance on the cane.
27. All of the previously mentioned items were recovered by Crime Scene Analysts and analyzed for trace, hair and fiber evidence.
28. Crime Scene Analysts recovered multiple samples of red blood-like substances throughout Sabraw's unit. A small section of the carpeting was also removed during the crime scene processing as well:
29. On May 18, 1998, at or about thirteen-hundred hours, an Autopsy was conducted on the body of Helen Sabraw at the Clark County Coroner / Medical Examiner's Office.
A. Persons in Attendance:
30. Doctor G. Sheldon Green M.D.

2r Sergeant•K-Manning•P*-2434
3. Detectlve P. Ramos P\# 0799
4. Detective J. Vaccaro P\# 1480
5. Crime Scene Analyst D. LeMaster P\# 4234
B. Lecations of Inluries / Wounds:

1. Stab wound to the heart
2. Stab wound of the pulmonary artery
3. Multiple cutting and stabbing wounds of the chest, scalp, face and neck.
4. Defensive wounds of hands and arms.
C. Results of Autopsy:

After performing a complete autopsy on the body of Helen
Sabraw, Medical Doctor G. Sheldon Green opined the cause of Helen
Sabraw's death was a multiple stab wounds of the heart and pulmonary artery. The manner of her death was ruled a homicide.
30. The assigned Detectives interviewed or spoke with various subjects in this murder investigation. They authored Officers Reports and other documents. They requested various forensic analyses available to them at the time however, they were unable to identify the perpetrator(s) involved in the murder.

ID/Event Numbor: ID 1816682/ 980818-0400 and 980517-0848

The investigation into the burglary, sexual assault with a deadly weapon and murder with a deadly weapon of Helen Sabraw slowed. No new information or leads were developed or received by Detectives Ramos and Vaccaro to solve the case.
The Detectives were subsequently assigned new cases until their retirement or reassignment from the homicide section. The investigation into the burglary, sexual assault with a deadiy weapon and murder with a deadly weapon of Helen Sabraw was carried as an open and unsolved homicide or cold case by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. It should be noted that some of the assigned Homicide Detectives or other Homicide Detective's familiar with both cases felt the murders of Slegel and Sabraw were committed by the same perpetrator(s) due to both murders being discovered less than thirty (30) hours apart in the same building of the reported address.

## 

1. On June 26, 2009, Detective M. Blasko P\# 4066 submitted a Forensic Laboratory requesting Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) testing for "wearer" to be completed on the gray tee shirt and the white muscle shilt impounded during the processing of the Helen Sabraw crime scene under event or case number 980517-0848.
2. On August 26, 2009, Julie Marschner, P\#8806, a Forensic Scientist II, assigned to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Poilce Department (LVMPD) Blology / DNA Detail issued a report of examination in this case.

The conclusions are:

- The DNA profie obtained from the $t$-shirt neck cuttings (JM-10A1) is consistent with a mixture of two individuals, at least one being male.
- An unknown male cannot be excluded as a major contributor to the mixture. It is inconclusive as to whether Helen Sabraw (JM-9*) is included or excluded as a contributor to the mixture.
- No other conclusions regarding the additional contributor(s) can be made at this time.
- The DNA profile obtained from the t -shirt armpit cuttings (JM-10A2) is consistent with a mixture of three individuals, at least one being male. RIM


## CONTINUATION REPORT

| ID/Evant Number: | ID 1618662 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $980616-0400$ and |
|  | $980617-0848$ |

- The unknown male cannot be excluded as a major contributor to the mixture. Helen Sabraw (JM-9*) cannot be excluded as minor contributor to the mixture.
- The major DNA mixture profile will be searched in the Local DNA Index System (CODIS) and uploaded to the National DNA Index System (CODIS). You will be notified if there is a match.
No other conclusions regarding the additional contributor(s) can be made at this time.

3. On September 10, 2010, the forensic unknown Deoxyribonucleic Acid / DNA profile that was entered into the National DNA Index System (CODIS) as a search by the Las Vegas Metropoiltan Police Department, Biology / DNA Detail received a match to a Federal Convicted Offender specimen in the FBI Laboratory, Federal DNA Database Unit.
4: … The Federal Convicted Offeñer (FCO) that corresponds to FBiFederal DNA Database Unit samplerlo-2009-040388"isi:"
Martinez, Gustavo Ramos, (AKA Ramos-Martinez, Guatavo)
FEI \#190377FB2 DOB: 07/10/1979 Alien \# A77131467
BOP \# 42588-048 Sex: Male Race: White
4. Based on Gustavo Ramos-Martinez's Federal Convicted Offender Deoxyribonucleic Acid (DNA) profile being linked to the crime scene by CODIS from the collar and ampit area on the gray fee-shirt near the victim's body with a red blood-like substance which was impounded under LVMPD event number 980517-0848, your affiant belleves that the liems listed below in items one (1) through five (5) would, when submitted to further scientific and forensle examinations and analysis, would disclose the presence of scientific, forensic or identification evidence tending to demonstrate or eliminate Gustavo Ramos-Martinez's ifvolvement in the offense's of; Burglary, Sexual Assault with a Deadly Weapon and Murder with a Deadly Weapon committed against the person of Helen Sabraw.
5. Based on the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS) Ink of Gustavo Ramos-Martinez to the Helen Sabraw crime scene Detective's Culver and Hall determined that his palm impressions should be directly compared to the unidentified palm impression in the blood-like substance on the Las Vegas Review Journal newspaper that was recovered from the Walter Siegel crime scene.
6. On September 21, 2010 that request was sent to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Forensic Laboratory.
7. On September 29, 2010, David Johnson, P\#9933, a Forensic Scientist II, assigned to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) Latent Print Detail issued a report of examination in this case.

The conclusions are:
The latent print from package 4387/1, Lab \# d]j21 described as, "one photograph of Review Journal, dated 5/15/98 Pg 8C lower left (\#3). One suitable print marked dj21A- identified to the right palm of Gustavo Ramos. Exemplar Prints were from Gustavo Ramos, ID 1516862. Exemplars are from LVMPD finger and palm prints dated 08/13/98 and LVMPD archive fingerprints dated 03/15/06.
9. On September 15, 2010, Detective R. DePaulis P\# 4784 attempted to locate RamosMartinez: A triple ill recordá check oñ Ramos-Martinez showed he had numerous charges for illegal entry into the United States from Mexico. DePaulis contacted Agent Quihuis of the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement and was told that if Ramos was located in the United States of America, he would be in direct violation with the terms of his release on 09/27/09 and was subject to immedlate arrest.
10. On September 30, 2010, at approximately 0600 hours; Detectives DePaulis and S. Kniffen PW 4574 conducted a surveillance on 10192 S. Maryland Parkway, LV, NV 89183 in an effort to locate Ramos-Martinez. At approximately 0735 hours, Ramos-Martinez was seen leaving the residence and entering a white Dodge Durango bearing Nevada license, "CUENCA." LVMPD patrol officers, J Campor, P\$ 6438 and C. Yannis P\# 6024 operating as marked unit 215 conducted a vehicle stop on the vehicie Ramos-Martinez was driving at Mission Front and Silverado Ranch for illegal u-turn and unlawful display for no front license plate. Upon being contacted by officers, Ramos-Martinez was identified through self admission of his name to the officers as well as photographs and his tattoos. Ramos was then transported to the Immigration and Custom Enforcement office located 3373 Pepper Lane and turned over to their agents.
11. On 10/12/10, Detective R. Hall P\# 6756 obtained a search warrant from the Honorable District Court Judge James Bixier to collect Buccal Swabs for DNA confirmation, fingerprints, palm prints, photographs and hair standards from the person of Gustavo Ramos-Martinez.

CONTINUATION REPORT

## ID/Event Number: ID 1816862 <br> 980816-0400 and <br> 980647-0846

12. On 10/13/10 at approximately 0930 hours, Detectives R. Hall and R. DePaulis transported Ramos-Martinez to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Investigative Services Division at 4750 W. Oakey, LV, NV 89402 in order to be Interviewed and for service of the search warrant. Ramos-Martinez was read his Miranda warnings by Detective Hall at approximately 1015 hours. During the interview with Detectives Hall and DePaulis, Ramos-Martinez admitted that he had never been inside the Camiu Retirement Home located at 4255 S. Spencer, LV, NV 89119. Ramos-Martinez also denied knowing Helen Sabraw or Wallace Slegel. Ramos-Martinez stated he didn't know how a t-shirt with his DNA on it would be found inside unit 212, Helen Sabraw's apartment at 4255 S. Spencer, LV, NV 89119. Ramos-Martinez also could not explain how his bloody palm print on a plece of Review Journal was found in unit 120, Wallace Slegel's apartment at 4255 S. Spencer, LV, NV - - .-. -891.19... Ramos-Martinez then stated he.wanted to speak to an attorney.-.The interview was terminated and Ramos-Martinez was transported to the Clark CountyDetentionCenterwhere he was booked for 2 counts of Murder with a Deadly Weapon, 2 counts of Burglary, Robbery with a Deadly Weapon and Sexual Assault with a Deadly Weapon.

## JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA


hereby requests permission to broadcast, record, photograph or televise proceedings in the above-encited case in
Dept. No. $\qquad$ , the Honorable Judge William Jansen Presiding, on the $\qquad$ day of OCTOBER $\qquad$ 2010 $\qquad$

I hereby certify that I am familiar with, and will comply with Supreme Court Rules 229-249, Inclusive. If this request is being submitted less than seventy-wwo (72) hours before the above-deccribed procoodings commence, the following facts provide good cause for the Court to grant the request on such short notice:

It is further understood that any media camera pooling arrangements shall be the sole responsibility of the media and must be arranged prior to coverage, without asking for the Court to mediate disputes.

Dated this $\qquad$
15 day of $\qquad$ 201 $\qquad$ _
SIGNATURE: JOYCE KOTN工K PHONE: 657-3150

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

[ ] The media request is denied because it was submitted less than 72 hours before the scheduled proceeding was to commence, and no "good cause" has been shown to justify granting the request on shorter notice.
[ ] The media request is denied for the following reasons: $\qquad$
[ 1 The media request is granted. The requested media access remains in effect for each and every hearing in the aboveentitled case, at the discretion of the Court, and unless otherwise notified. This Order is made in accordance with Supreme Court Rules 229-249, inclusive, at the discretion of the judge, and is subject to reconsideration...._-_._-_ motion of any party to the action. Media access may be revoked if it is shown that ar participants, Impairing the dignity of the Court, or otherwise materially interfering w: justice.
[ ] OTHER: $\qquad$

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this document shall be made a part of the record of the proceedings in this case,

Dated this $\qquad$ day of cototuen 20 $\qquad$

Any written objection to the Court's order should be filed at least 24 bourg prior to the subject hearing.

JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA )

DEPT. NO.: 03
PLAINTIFF
-VS-
Gustavo Ramos
NOTIFICATION OF MEDIA REQUEST

DEFENDANT

TO: COUNSEL OF RECORD IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED CASE:

You are hereby notified pursuant to Supreme Court Rules 229-249, inclusive, that media representatives from KSNV CHANNEL \#3 NBC LV have requested to obtain permission to broadcast, televise, record or take photographs of all hearings in this case. Any objection should be filed at least 24 hours prior to the subject hearing.

DATED this $\qquad$ day of OCT 152010
$\qquad$ .


## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that on the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ OCT 152010 20 $\qquad$ service of the foregoing was made by facsimile transmission only, pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court Rules 229-249, inclusive, this date by faxing a true and correct copy of the same to each Attorney of Record addressed as follows:

Plaintiff
District Attorney

455-2294
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## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

[ ] The media request is denied because it was submitted less than 72 hours before the scheduled proceeding was to commence, and no "good cause" has been shown to justify granting the request on shorter notice.
[ ] The media request is denied for the following reasons: $\qquad$ _
[ ] The media request is granted. The requested media access remains in effect for each and every hearing in the aboveentitled case, at the discretion of the Court, and unless otherwise notified. This Order is made in accordance with Supreme Court Rules 229-249, inclusive, at the discretion of the judge, and is subject to reconsideration upon motion of any party to the action. Media access may be revoked if it is shown that access is distracting the participants, impairing the dignity of the Court, or otherwise materially interfering with' justice.

## [] OTHER:

$\qquad$

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this document shall be made a part of the record of the proce

Dated this



Any written objection to the Court's order should be filed at least 24 hours prior to the subject hearing.
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reason for the altemative count. I know Mr. Coffee has an objection, and we can address that after he makes his objection.

MR. COFFEE: I don't know if the Court wants to address it now or in dosing testimony. There will be an objection regarding the statute of limitations on the two charges.

THE COURT: If we're going into dates and stuff and what have you --

MR. COFFEE: 1 can lay the foundation. I think we're going to agree on by and large what the dates are. This case dates back to 1998, I believe, over ten years old. So there is not going to be any dispute. It was filed just a few months ago. There's no dispute about the filing times.

The dispute will be whether or not the statute of limitations on the sexual assault was told, through supervision, that a statute and whether or not sexual penetration falls within the exception.

I don't belleve there will be a disagreement that he's outside the normal statute of limitation for a felony, which would be four years for the sexual assault and three years for sexual penetration of a dead body.

The question is whether the exception qualifles.
MR. DASKAS: We agreed the crime occurred in May
DIANA M. GREEN, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385
of 1988. N.ris. 171.083, I can provide a copy to the Court, it states that: If at any time during the limitation period, a victim of sexual assault or someone authorized to act on that person's behalf files a report regarding that assault, and I'm paraphrasing, but then the period of limitation is removed and there is no period of limitation.

This was a homicide and sexual assault regarding the second victim, Helen Sabraw who was 86 years old. The police responded on May 17, 1998 to the crime scene. Reports were taken about the trauma to her body, both by police officers and memorlalized at the autopsy. And because of that, because the reports were filed regarding that sexual assault back in May 1998, there is no period of limitation.

MR. COFFEE: That's the State's position. First of all, I would like to say there's no notice problem. Mr. Daskas told me yesterday they would file the amended, and I appreciate that. We don't have a notice issue for going forward today.

In terms of the statute of limitation, there are a couple things the Court needs to consider: There are two counts, one sexual assault and one sexual penetration of a dead body.

Sexual perpetration of a dead body is not covered by the exception. It says sexual assault. It is limited to

$$
\text { DIANA M. GREEN, CCR } 264 \quad \text { (702) 671-3385 }
$$

## 8

that. Sexual penetration of a dead body is different, it is a different statute number, and it is just outside the statute of limitations, plain and simple. The statute of limitations is three years. If there's no Complaint filed then this shouldn't be allowed to be added. That count is pretty straightforward.

There are some arguments about the sexual assault. Those are a little more complicated. Perhaps it would be best to deal with the sexual penetration of a dead body first, then we can deal with some problems with the sexual assault count.

I think sexual penetration of a dead body should go away, even based on what Mr. Daskas just argued in recitation of the statute.

MR. DASKAS: It is our position simply that sexual penetration is an alternative count. So it's included within the sexual assault exception to the period of limitations.

It just gives the jury an option if they believe the assault was post-mortem, then it's a conviction on Count 4. If they believe It is pre-mortem, then it's a conviction on sexual assault on Count 3. One or the other, not both. Our position is that sexual penetration of a dead human body falls under the exception of sexual assault so the period of limitation is removed when the report is filed.

DIANA M. GREEN, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385

MR. COFFEE: It doesn't. And he might have a better argument or would have a better argument if there was some kind of lesser included offense. If it was, Mr, Daskas would be right on point. But it is not a lesser induded. It's separate.

I couldn't get an altemative count, if I went to district court and said, I want an instruction of sexual penetration of a dead body, because the jury is not going to want to walk this guy for violating a dead body. I couldn't get it and they could object to it and prevent me from keeping it because it's not a lesser included.

Because it's not a lesser included, this altemative theory doesn't add anything to it. There is no case law whatsoever. 1t's just an altemative theory. We can get around the statute of limitation. It's clear this count of sexual penetration of a dead body is not covered by the statute and should fall by the wayside. It's not a lesser included. There's no way to get around it. This altemative is a unique and a creative theory but I don't think it should fly.

THE COURT: I'll tell you, I have never had it presented to me before, so I may have to reserve a ruling on this and do some research.

MR. COFFEE: That's fine. If we could be heard on the sexual assault also, we have an objection to place
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phone call to-9-1-1.
I certainly am unaware of any written report conceming a sexual assault that was filed. That is what it says, a written report concerning sexual assault. If, for example, he files a written report concerning a murder, I don't think it gets around the statute of limitations for sexual assault. It defeats the purpose.

It says "written report concerning sexual assault." I also don't know if there's an agency relationship here. It says someone authorized by the person reporting. I think there's arguments that the son might be authorized, I think there's arguments that the son is authorized absent some kind of particular authorization.

I think it was probably designed initially for a parent reporting on behalf of a child. Who knows, this person may have power for reasons they might be authorized to file a written report concerning the sexual assault. There was no written report, there's certainly no written report concerning the sexual assault. As far as the agency relationship, it seems to be required, so it doesn't appear that exists either. 50 I think there's all sorts of problems with this count also.

Again, given the Court's ruling on the ciearer issue, I expect the Court wants to do research on this. So I submit it to your discretion.
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on the record. It gets much more complex then sexual penetration of a dead body. Sexual penetration of a dead body can be decided simply on the statute without too much analysis.

Mr. Daskas was paraphrasing and he left out a very key provision. I assume it was unintentional. But he left out the provision that says "files a written report." It doesn't say file a report. it says fle a written report. That becomes key here.

THE COURT: What statute?
MR. DASKAS: I can provide you a copy.
THE COURT: 171.083.
MS. WECKERLY: Here's a copy.
MR. COFFEE: Here's the key language: "A victim of sexual assault or a person authorized to act on behalf of the victim of assault sexual files with a law enforcement officer a witten report concerning the sexual assault.

So it's very spedflc, a very specific way to get around the statute of limitations. Here we have a victim who's dead. Clearly, they did not file any sort of written report with a law enforcement agency.

There is a phone call from a son who's the son of the victim who was killed in this case. I am unaware of any written report that the son has filed. I know he made a
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logic, then we could never charge a defendant who murders his sexual assault victim with sexual assault, unless it's fled within a prescribed period of limitation. That argument is illogical.

He mentioned a written report was never filed. I am holding in my hand, and I can provide to the Court, a ten-page report flled by the detective, Detective Ramos, in this case, who responded to and drafted a report about the crime report.

Specifically, the report reflects the victim, 86-year-old Helen Sabraw was found in her apartment nude with the exception of a nightgown pulled up over her breasts. She had no underwear on, she was not wearing a bra, and I'm going to quote from the report so you know --

MR. COFFEE: We will stipulate the report indicates a sexual assault was filed. We don't need to go into the facts for purpose of this. This is
straightforward.
Is there a written report filed or not? A written report doesn't mean a police report. It says report filed with the police, and it says it has to be done by either the person, the victim, or one of their agents, not a police officer.

It doesn't make any sense for a police officer to
DIANA M. GREEN, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385
file a report with themselves. The statute does make a lot of sense, and Mr. Daskas may be right, this may prevent filing of a sexual assault in the case on a dead body. The legislature can address that. It is not very well drafted.

The fact of the matter is that there is not a written report. A written report probably comes -- you've seen many written reports, and the written reports are swom and taken under the penalty of perjury.

It doesn't say reporting to the police department. It says a written report and swom under penalty of perjury. The idea is to encourage people who are charged with sexual assault to come forward and report, people who are victims of a sexual assault to come forward and report.

So I understand Mr. Daskas's argument. I don't think that report qualifies as a written report pursuant to the statute. It says written report from the victim or one of their agents essentially and has a definition what that might be. It also provides some incapacty language that doesn't apply. It's a separate section.

MR. DASKAS: May I finish my argument now?
MR. COFFEE: Absolutely. I didn't know if we needed to get into the facts of the report because they don't have anything to do with anything.

MR. DASKAS: Your Honor, they do. Here's why. The statute says a written report regarding the sexual
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assault must be filed. I know Mr. Coffee's concem is I am about to state the evidence of the sexual assault in open court. But he is making an argument that no report was fled. And it was.

The report speciflcally states the 86 -year-old victim, Helen Sabraw, was found on the floor with numerous stab wounds with saturated blood with the carpeting below the victim that was covered with apparent fecal matter, and there was knives, two knives, found at the scene.

And the other important thing to note, Your Honor, Is that Subsection 3 of 171.083 does take Into account a situation where the victim is dead. What it says is, "If the victim of sexual assault is under a disablity during any part of the period of limitation, and the written report is not otherwise filed, then the period during which the victim under the disability must be exduded from the period of limitation."

She was completely disabled because she was killed by the defendant in this case. So the period of limitation is simply removes when it happens.

MR. COFFEE: Tell you what, I would like you to contact the IRS because I have a dead uncle and would like to get benefits. He was disabled before he died. Dlsabled and dead aren't the same thing. If they said dead, it would count. It makes sense. It doesn't say dead. It
says disableo. By the way, Mr. Daskas --
THE COURT: Here's what bothers me, it says, "At any time during the period of limitation prescribed, a victim of sexual assault, or a person authorized to act on behalf of the victim of sexual assault, files with the law enforcement officers a written report concerning the sexual assault, the period of limitation is removed." How can a dead person authorize?

MR. COFFEE: That's the point, you are not trying to encourage dead people to report. It's a very narrow exception for reporting.

The other problem with the disability aspect that Mr. Daskas hoped to rely on, is it specifically lists who qualifies as disabled. It says, "For the purpose of this section, a sexual assault victim is under a disability if they are insane, mentally retarded, mentally incompetent or in a medically comatose or vegetative state."

It doesn't have dead in there. Disability doesn't apply. I understand the argument. Dead doesn't fit the whole disability aspect. Again, I think the last count is clearer the last count being sexual penetration of a dead body. I don't think there is any way it fits. I think there are problems the way they are doing the sexual assault, also.

THE COURT: I will do some research on this. I think you have a good point, by reading the statute itself.

DIANA M. GREEN, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385
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I know what your argument is. I will take it under conslderation, so let's go ahead and proceed.

MR. COFFEE: Very good.
THE COURT: Call your first witness.
MS. WECKERLY: Roy Chandler.
THE BAILIFF: Please step up, face the cierk and raise your right hand.

THE CLERK: Do you swear the testimony that you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

## ROY CHANDLER,

A witness for the State, having been first duly sworn, testifled as follows:

THE CLERK: State your full name and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: Roy Chandler, R-O-Y
C-H-A-N-D-L-E-R.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. DASKAS:
Q. Sir, are you retired from the Las Vegas
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Metropolitan Police Department?
A. Yes, sir, I am.
Q. When you retired, what was your assignment upon retirement?
A. I was assigned to the homidde section.
Q. How many years?
A. I was there for nine years and seven-and-a-half months.
Q. Directing your attention back to May 16th of 1998.

Did you respond to a homicide at $\mathbf{4 2 5 5}$ South Spencer?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Is that location here in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada?
A. Yes, sir, it is.
Q. What time approximately did you arrive at that location?
A. I received the phone call at my residence, and I
arrived there a little bit after 5:00, I believe posslbly 5:30 a.m.
Q. Who was your partner back in 1998 ?
A. John McLanes.
Q. Did he respond as well?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Detective, what is at that location at 4255 South

Spencer. What was back there In May of 1998?
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A. Yes, sir.

MR. DASKAS: Move for the admission of Proposed Exhibit 1 through 28.

MR. COFFEE: Mr. Chandler was present during all
those autopsies?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
MR. COFFEE: No objection,
THE COURT: So admitted.
(State's Proposed Exhibit 1 through 28 were admitted into evidence.)

## BY MR. DASKAS:

Q. The scene which you responded, do you recall or do you know the room number where the victim was found?
A. Room 120.
Q. That was on the first floor?
A. On the first floor.
Q. Is that apartment or diagram of that apartment and the layout of the retirement community depicted in State's Exhibit 1 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Is Room 120 highlighted in that exhibit?
A. Yes, sir, it is.
Q. Was the scene that you responded to, Apartment 120 ,
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was the scene confined to Apartment 120 ?
A. Yes, sir, it was.
Q. Describe, if you would, the victim as you observed him that moming.
A. When $I$ arrived, he was $n$ alike a LaZboy lounge chair. The chalr was leaned back. He had his legs up on the leg area, and he had a pillow undemeath his legs. He was slumped off to the right-hand side.

There was an extremely large amount of blood on his head and his chest area. And there was blood spatter on the walls behind him and to the right of him and on the ceiling also on the floor.
Q. Detective Chandler, handing you Exhibits 7 through 9. Do those photographs depict the victim as you described him in that chair back on May 16th of 1998?
A. Yes, sir, it does.
Q. Would you please hand those to Judge Jansen so he can see those as you testify. Describe the trauma that you saw to the victim.
A. He had extensive abrasions and blood on his upper portion of his head also on the left side of his head. He also, looking at him as we looked, he had some bruising and some abrasions on his hands and there was again blood all over.
Q. Was there something found at the scene that you diANA m. GREEN, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385


MR. COFFEE: Objection, Judge. Also if the basis is hearsay, simply saying I want to appeal the investigation, that doesn't get him around, if it is offered for the truth, to try and establish some kind of robbery as a result of that.
BY MR. DASKAS:
Q. Exhibit 14, Detective, what is depicted in that photograph?
A. This is a picture of the victim and his left pocket had been turned out. There was blood on it. And under his left leg, we observed or I observed a money clip but there was no money.

MR, DASKAS: Please hand that exhibit to Judge Jansen.

THE COURT: Entering it based on your
observations, not what anybody told you.
BY MR. DASKAS:
Q. Detective, the photograph that depicts the victim's pockets turned inside out with blood on it, did you see that back on May 16, 1998 ?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. This isn't what somebody told you?
A. No, sir, it is not.
Q. The money clip under the victim's leg that had no money in it, is that something you observed May 16, 1998 ?
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A. Yes, it is.
Q. It wasn't something somebody told you?
A. No, sir.
Q. Did your investigation reveal any money found on the victim, Wallace Siegel?
A. No. There was no money at the scene. Also his wallet was missing.
Q. In other words, a wallet was never recovered either from the victim, the victim's dothing or Apartment 120 ?
A. No, sir, it wasn't found.
Q. Were you able to determine the point of entry that the killer or robber would have made?
A. No, sir, we were not.
Q. How would someone access Apartment 120 in that retirement community?
A. They would have to come into the complex itself. They could either come in through a front door or come in through a back door into the complex and make entry entering Room 120.
Q. Was there also windows in Apartment 120 that someone could access from outside the retirement community?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You don't know whether that happened, correct?
A. No, sir, I do not.
Q. Assuming that crime scene investigators responded
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to the scene?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. This scene was assigned to a unique event number by Metro?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that event number $980516-0400$ ?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Was all evidence impounded in this investigation impounded under that event number?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you recall seeing a newspaper at the scene in Apartment 120 ?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Were crime scene investigators called to impound some of those newspaper pages?
A. Yes, slr.
Q. Exhiblt 11, what is deplcted in that photograph?
A. There's a newspaper beyond the left side of the
victim lying right dose to the barbell that I spoke of earlier.
Q. Exhiblts 12 and 13 , what do you see in those two photographs?
A. That is the newspaper that as took photos, the crme scene analyst took photos at my direction, then they were impounded.
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Q. In Exhibit 12 and 13, do you see apparent blood on those newspaper pages?
A. Yes, sir, I do.
Q. You mentioned that you directed crime scene investigators to impound these and other pages of newspapers under the event number you mentioned earler?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Now calling your attention to May 17th of 1998 , one day later, did you attend the autopsy of Wallace Siegel, the victim in this case?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you know who conducted the autopsy?
A. Dr. Green.
Q. Dr. Sheldon Green?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Was the crime scene investigator or investigators present at that autopsy also?
A. Yes.
Q. Were photographs taken of the victim?
A. Yes, sir, they were.
Q. Did those photographs include various portions of the autopsy proceeding?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Those have been shown to you and were admitted earier; is that correct?
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A. Yes, sir.

MR. DASKAS: Pass the witness, Judge.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COFFEE:
Q. Now as far as items being taken -THE COURT: Excuse me. Keep them over there. THE WITNESS: I will.
BY MR. COFFEE:
Q. You don't have personal knowledge whether or not

Mr. Slegel carried a wallet?
A. Not personal knowledge, no.
Q. You don't have any personal knowledge whether or not there was any money in that clip?
A. Not personal knowledge.
Q. C.S.I.'s were assigned to this case? Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And you said they took pictures at your direction?
A. Well, they were assigned to the crime scene. I was In charge of the scene, and I told them this is what we think we have is a homicide, and this is what we would like to have taken.
Q. Perfect. That's what I was trying get to, you are in charge of the homicide?
A. I was the lead detective of the crime scene.

```
Q. Were you lead detective for the entire case or just the crime scene?
A. The entire case.
Q. This is your case?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. A fair description, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And initially without going into the interview, you interviewed some people?
A. Yes.
Q. Involved in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. One of the people you interviewed was the victim's
son?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And the victim's son, in fact, is who the 9-1-1
call came from, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You said inside of the room there had been on that newspaper apparent blood I think you said?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. It looked like a murder scene, right? You've done a few of these and there was not much question, was there?
A. Yes, sir.
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Q. Looks like there might have been blood on the newspaper, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And based on your training and experience, you have done this a number of years?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You know it's apparent blood, you can tell apparent blood -- you may not always been right, but you have a pretty good idea?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall apparent blood being found someplace outside of that room?
A. Outside of the room? I believe there was some found in the hallway on the door.
Q. Do you remember a vehicle?
A. We went to a vehicle that was parked out front that belonged to the son.
Q. That belonged to the son. Apparent blood was found in the vehide that belonged to the son, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. You were directing the crime scene to some extent? Those people are trained professionals. You are the lead detectlve?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know if they took any swabs of the vehicie, DIANA M. GREEN, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385
any blood samples, anything like that?
A. I believe they would have.
Q. Okay. To your knowledge, none of that has been tested and just don't know at this point?
A. I don't know at thls point, sir.
Q. Would that be the sort of thing that you would direct them to take, given your training and experience?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And something you would expect them to try to keep because this case is 12 years old, more or less, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Even at 11 or 12 years ago, you knew about the D.N.A.?
A. Twelve years ago, we didn't have D.N.A. It wasn't as actlvely working and wasn't as good as it is now.
Q. You did take samples of things, did blood typing, other things in the past, right?
A. Yes, sir, we did.
Q. It wasn't a situation where for example because of being 12 years ago, it wouldn't bother to have a sample of the fluid inside the car seized because 12 years ago, what the heck, we didn't know about it. It's not that situation, is it?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Do you remember the C.S.I. assigned to the case?
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Q. In your experience it's not uncommon for murder victims to have some sort of association with the person committing the crime?
A. That's correct.
Q. That's where you start looking first, may not be the right place, but that's where you begin looking?
A. That's right.
Q. In this case the son was a person of interest because of the alibi he had given because he was reporting and had some finandal troubles you found out about also?
A. Because of what he told us. I didn't know about any financial problems.
Q. I would imagine, because the son is a person of interest, you looked him over pretty good to see if he had broken fingemails, bruises, that sort of thing?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Did you notice anything like that?
A. No, sir, we did not.
Q. How many homicides did you work when you were on homicide?
A. Probably a little less than 500, between 400 and 500 homicldes.
Q. A substantial number then?
A. Yes.
Q. You were on a homicide scene where there was a
\[
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A. Yes.
Q. Or single stab wound?
A. Yes.
Q. This case didn't look like that, did it?
A. No, sir, it did not.
Q. It looked like somebody who had been beater pretty badly?
A. Yes.
Q. The \(\mathbf{2 5}\)-pound weight was the most likely object?
A. Yes.
Q. Was the type of beating involved indicate anything to you about animosity towards the victim?
A. It looked to me like it was overkill.
Q. Which might indicate some sort of anger?
A. Yes.
Q. Again, not having been there, kind of left to piece things together from the crime scene as you got, I suppose?
A. I'm sorry, I don't understand the question.
Q. Not having been a fly on the wall, you ended up having to piece things together from what you'd seen at the scene?
A. That's correct.

MR. COFFEE: Thank you, Detective.
MR. DASKAS: No redirect, Judge.
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THE COURT: Detective Chandler, thank you for coming.
(The witness exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Call your next witness.
MR. DASKAS: Jerry Autrey.
THE BAILIFF: Please step up, face the clerk and raise your right hand.

THE CLERK: Do you swear the testimony that you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

\section*{JERRY AUTREY,}

A witness for the State, having been first duly sworn, testifled as follows:

THE CLERK: State your full name and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: My name is Jerry Autrey, J-E-R-R-Y A-U-T-R-E-Y. THE COURT: Proceed.
//I
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\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. DASKAS:
Q. Mr. Autrey, are you retired from the Las Vegas

Metropolitan Police Department?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. What was your position with Metro?
A. I was a senior erime scene analyst.
Q. From what year until?
A. I started with Las Vegas Metro in May of '92, and I retired in July of 2006.
Q. Your duties and responsibilities Include among other things responding to crime scenes memorlalizing evidence with photographs, drafting reports and impounding evidence?
A. That's correct.
Q. Directing your attention to May 16, 1998, did you respond to 4255 South Spencer, Apartment 120?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. When you arrived, was it obvious that the crime scene had been preserved by other police personnel?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you see?
A. Upon arriving, I contacted Sergeant Thompson who was the general assignment detail. And I was waiting for
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other personnel from the crime scene section to arrive.
And we were told that there was a male victim inside, who had passed away, sitting in a rediner in the living room of a one-bedroom apartment on the bottom floor.
Q. Was there crime scene tape surrounding that area?
A. Yes.
Q. That was there by the time you arrived?
A. Yes.
Q. I ask you if your duties, among other things, included collecting evidence? Did you collect evidence at the scene on May 16, 1998?
A. The evidence that was collected at that scene was done by Mike Atkins.
Q. Was that done at your direction?
A. Yes. Our supervisor Gary Reed showed up a little bit later, and at that time it was my duties to do the photography of the scene and write the report.
Q. Let me show you what has been admitted as 5tate's Exhibits 11 and 13. Tell me if you recognize these photos as the crime scene as it appeared back May 16, 1998?
A. Yes, they do.
Q. Do you see Items within those two photos that would have been impounded at your direction by Crme Scene Analyst Atklns?
A. Yes.
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\section*{36}
Q. What do you see that would have been impounded?
A. Towards the bottom left of the reciner are some newspapers. On these newspapers there was apparent blood. We tested for it and it tumed out to be blood and they were Impounded.
Q. Did you have some responsibilities with the newspaper, whether it's these particular pages or others Impounded, back at the Crime Lab?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What were those responsibilities?
A. To look for any type of evidence that we could correlate, evidence including the blood, possible fingerprints or ridge frction detail to be preserved.
Q. Did you see -- you mentioned ridge friction detail fingerprints. Did you see that type of evidence on the newspaper or newspaper pages that were impounded?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. I should ask you, all the evidence including the newspaper pages, was that impounded under Event No. 980516-0400?
A. May I refer to my reports?

THE COURT: He can lay the foundation.
BY MR. DASKAS:
Q. Would that refresh your memory?
A. Yes, sir.
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Q. Is Mike Atkins still with us? I know it's been a long time.
A. He left Las Vegas Metro quite a few years ago.
Q. Do you know if that was ever processed?
A. That would be at the direction of the detective requesting that stuff.
Q. It should still be impounded in Metro's Crime Lab someplace?
A. Yes.
Q. Same thing with -- you said you took photographs of a newspaper?
A. Yes.
Q. And the photographs are black and white?
A. Yes.
Q. That's for high contrast for a print examiner?
A. For contrast purposes, yes.
Q. Do you know if the actual print in the newspaper itself was impounded?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. That should also be in the Crime Lab someplace if
somebody wanted to examine it?
A. Yes.
Q. One of the reasons you took those pictures is blood
evidence can degrade over time?
A. Correct.
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Q. It might fade and you want to keep it as fresh as you can to get the best pictures?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you a certifled print examiner?
A. No.
Q. Do you have training in print examination?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. You are able to tell a finger from a forearm, for example?
A. Pretty much because forearms don't have --
Q. Prints?
A. -- ridge detail.
Q. That was the point?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you able to tell index fingers from thumbs?

Are you that trained or not?
A. Index fingers from thumbs? There's three categories of fingerpints, loops, whiris and arches.
Q. Okay.
A. So your ten digits could have any of those.
Q. I understand. I guess my question is: I heard print examiners say they can tell left hand or right hand and varlous things from looking at a print. Are you that trained In prints?

MR. DASKAS: Objection, irrelevant.

Mr. COFFEE: I will tie it up in a second as to photographs.

THE WITNESS: I won't think so, no.
Y MR. COFFEE:
Q. Okay. Were you able to tell me -- all I was trying get to was not having an expertise on his part, just trying to flgure out if he knew what he was taking pictures of -were you able to tell what you were taking pictures of, an index photo or anything else?
A. The reason I took these photos, I felt there was enough ridge detail for comparison purposes by a latent print examiner later on.
Q. Can you tell if they were taken from a finger as opposed to a paim, that sort of thing?
A. I would have to get a glass out and look at these.
Q. If you don't recall, that's okay.
A. I don't recall.
Q. Once those prints were taken, I take it they would have been sending them, at least ten years ago, to some sort of print examiner?
A. They are latent print examiners who would have looked at them,

MR. COFFEE: Thank you very much. Nothing
further.
MR. DASKAS: Nothing else, Your Honor.
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THE COURT: You are excused, Mr. Autrey. Thank you very much for coming to testify. Careful stepping down.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sIr.
THE COURT: Who's the next witness?
MR. DASKAS: David Johnson. Your Marshall is getting him now.
(The witness exited the courtroom.)

THE BAILIFF: Please step up, face the clerk and raise your right hand.

THE CLERK: Do you swear the testimony that you are about to glve is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

\section*{DAVID JOHNSON,}

A witness for the State, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE CLERK: State your full name and spell your last name

THE WITNESS: I do. David Johnson, D-A-V-I-D J-O-H-N-S-O-N.
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MR. DASKAS: Marl I proceed?
THE COURT: You may.
MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. DASKAS:
Q. Mr. Johnson, how are you employed?
A. I'm a forensic scientist with the Las Vegas

Metropolitan Police Department working In the forensic lab.
Q. What is your particular assignment?
A. I work on the latent print detail as a latent print examiner.

MR. DASKAS: Mr. Coffee, is there a stipulation for prelim purposes only that Mr. Johnson can render an opinion regarding fingerprint analysis examination and comparison in this case?

MR. COFFEE: Certainly.
MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge. Thank you, Mr. Coffee.
BY MR. DASKAS:
Q. Mr. Johnson, I placed in front of you a number of exhibits. I believe one of them has been admitted as Exhiblt 60. First of all, do you recognize Exhibit 60?
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What are thene?
A. These are photocopies of the examplars of Gustavo Ramos that was used in the comparison of the same event number, 980516-0400.

MR. DASKAS: Your Honor, before court today
Mr. Coffee and I spoke. He agreed for prelim purposes
there would be a stipulation for the known prints
Mr. Johnson had and compared are in fact the known prints
being finger and palm prints of the defendant, Gustavo Ramos.

MR. COFFEE: Or a copy thereof.
MR. DASKAS: That's correct, a photocopy of the known prints.

THE COURT: That is correct.
MR. DASKAS: With that stipulation for prelim
purposes, I move to admit 61, 62 and 63.
THE COURT: So admitted.
MR. COFFEE: No objection.
(State's Proposed Exhibit 61 through 63 was admitted into evidence.)

\section*{BY MR, DASKAS:}
Q. Mr. Johnson, did you compare known prints from Exhiblt 61 through 63 depicted in what is depicted in 60 ?
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A. Yes.
Q. Tell me how you made that comparison.
A. First, I conducted a visual examination of the latent print in Exhibit 60 marked D.J.J. 21, just my initials followed by 21, to keep the numbering of the photographs in the case straight.

In it, I determined It to be a palm print that I then analyzed the palm print to see what kind of ndge detail I could see there to determine whether or not there was enough in the palm print to conduct a comparison.

And I did determine it was enough to continue. So then I compared that to the known prints of Gustavo Ramos. In doing that, looking for simllarities looking for differences between the latent print and known print. Once I have gone through step by step all of the friction ridge detail that is present in the latent print, I made a determination as to the source of the latent phnt.
Q. What was that determination or conclusion?
A. In this case I determined that it belonged to the right palm of Gustavo Ramos.
Q. When you say "it" you mean the bloody print that is depicted in Exhibit 60?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. You mentloned the term latent print. What is the difference between a latent and a patent print?

\footnotetext{
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A. In common usals, we typically use latent to also mean patent print. In this case this is actually more specifically a patent print, because it is visible. Latent usually means invisible and has to be developed by some means.

In this case it is a patent print because it was visible without any processing.
Q. Make sure I understand to darify for the record. So the print that is depict on the R.J. in Exhibit 60 that was impounded at the crime scene, that was a visible, bloody print?
A. Correct.
Q. So technically you would refer to that as a patent print as opposed as a latent print?
A. That's correct. To further clarify, in common usage, sometimes we use that term interchangeably with patent.
Q. I understand in this case the print in Exhibit 60, nothing had to be done to process it to make it visible. It was already visible because it was in a blood; is that accurate?
A. Correct. Correct, to the best of my knowledge.
Q. Is that in fact one of the reasons it was
photographed with the black and white Polaroid as opposed to
being processed with lifting powder and tape?
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A. Correct.
Q. I'm sorry. Tell me one more time, which palm print was it of the defendant in the blood?
A. To his right palm print. The latent or patent print was identified as his right palm print.
Q. At the risk of asking an obvious question, is it true that no two people have the same fingerprints?
A. Correct.
Q. Is it also accurate that no two people have the same palm prints?
A. That's correct.

MR. DASKAS: Pass the witness.

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATION}

BY MR. COFFEE:
Q. So I'm clear, are you working -- let's start with the bloody print. Were you working with the original or photograph image?
A. I was working with the photograph.
Q. Have you seen the original print?
A. The original?
Q. The original print, the original of the piece of newspaper the print was on?
A. No, I have not.
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Q. A.-ar as -- how about as far as the paim print from Gustavo Ramos? I know we're talking about a copy there. Do you have the actual lift card of the palm print?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. You had the actual lift cards but just a photograph of the paper?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, the photograph of the paper, you said there was a print visible to the naked eye?
A. Correct.
Q. It appeared to be in blood?
A. As far as my knowledge, that is correct.
Q. As far as your knowledge. Can you tell from that photograph whether or not that print has been processed, for example? You said it hadn't been processed. Could you tell from the photograph whether they dusted it?
A. It doesn't appear so.
Q. Can you tell for certain?
A. Honestly, I would have to look at the origina! evidence to see for sure any part of it had been processed or been processed after the photograph. It's hard to tell from the photocopy.
Q. Okay. They use a variety of things, ninhydrin, a variety of things to pick up blood, correct?
A. Correct.
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Q. There's all sorts of chemical things that stick to blood and doesn't look like it's been processed but it's hard to tell without looking at the original?
A. Correct. If this had been processed typically with the chemical like ninhydrin, the print would turn from a brownish red to a purple?
Q. Good example. The photograph is in --
A. Black and white.
Q. And can't see brown, red, purple, black or white?
A. Of course.
Q. You could tell shades of gray, you'd expect it to be darker if it turned purple?
A. Or additional blood that is not visible to the naked eye then be dyed and made visible.
Q. Understood. But you'd agree, from the black and white photo, you can't see color?
A. Correct.
Q. It doesn't appear it's processed, but it may have been processed by somebody at some point, it's not altogether certaln, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Does it appear there were any cuts taken out for DNA testing and that sort of thing?
A. No, not in the small corner that is depicted in the photograph. I wouldn't be able to tell that.
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Q. Do fingerprint enaminers rely on a thing called points of identification?
A. No, we do not.
Q. You look at the entire print?
A. Correct.
Q. You are looking for what sort of things in the entire print? Scar tissue, that sort of thing, that might be unique in addition to the print itself?
A. In addition to the ridges themselves, yes, we will look at other features such as scars, warts, maybe temporary damage, other conditions of the skin will also be used.
Q. You are a certified print examiner?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Where did you get your training?
A. I was trained at the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. In addition to that, I also received week-long courses in training outside of the department as well as at conferences at other classes.
Q. Is testing part of the training?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And the testing, I assume, you look at a set of prints and run them against known exemplars making a conclusion whether or not they might match?
A. Correct.
Q. How did you do?
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A. Very well.
Q. Have you ever been wrong about a print?
A. Have I ever misidentifled a print?
Q. Yes.
A. To the best of my knowiedge, I have never identifled the wrong person.
Q. Have you ever failed to make an identification when you were expected to make identification in the testing?
A. In the testing?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. How about other times?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. You just disagreed with another examiner?
A. No. In cases like that, in some cases you may fall to find a print. And then when, upon review, that part of the technical review process somebody goes behind you and looks and they say "Did you see this one?" You will then find it and then agree.
Q. I suppose this is scientifically based, somewhat of an art form; is that a fair characterization? You don't get numbers like D.N.A.?
A. No, there's no statistical basis, no.
Q. Print examiners have their own standard how close a print has to look, how much of a print they have to have
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before they willing to make an identification?
A. Yes.
MR. COFFEE: All right. Thank you.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. DASKAS:
Q. Mr. Johnson, does the latent print detail at Metro have a review process?
A. Yes, we do.
Q. Explain what that is.
A. When a print is compared, it will then -- the first examiner will go through the entire case. Then after that case is done, it will go on --

MR. COFFEE: I object to getting into somebody else's results. He already testified to his conclusion.

MR. DASKAS: He has not testified to anybody
else. I am simply asking him about the review process is.
MR. COFFEE: Figuring out whether or not it's
relevant.
THE COURT: I will let him testify to what the process Is, but not to what anybody else said.

MR. DASKAS: I understand that.
THE COURT: It would be hearsay. You can go ahead and explain what the process is, what your reviewing
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policy is at Metro.
THE WITNESS: I understand. Okay. To make sure I don't say anything I'm not supposed to. In general, when we look at a case, the first examiner will examine all the evidence. And then once they are done, the case will be passed -- the case will then be passed on to what's called the technical reviewer.

The technical review will re-examine and do their own re-analysis of the conclusions in the case. If there are any differences between what the case analysts believe and what the technical reviewer conclusions were, then it will go to a resolution process and perhaps go to, if there is a difference, that will go to a third verifier, technical review, and then determine what the reportable conclusion is.

And then after that, then the technical reviewer will sign if they agree or don't. Then after the technical review process, it will also go to an adminlstrative review. All conclusions have to be verifled before they are allowed to be reported.
BY MR. DASKAS:
Q. Without telling me what the results were, was there a technical review in this case?
A. Yes, there was.
Q. Did it ever have to go to this resolution process you mentioned earlier?
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THE COURT: You are excused. Thank you.
(The witness exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Call your next witness, state.
MS. WECKERLY: James Vaccaro.
MR. DASKAS: Judge, I will retrleve those exhibits.

THE COURT: That's fine.
THE BAILIFF: Please step up, face the derk and raise your right hand.

THE CLERK: Do you swear the testimony that you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

\section*{JAMES CHARLES VACCARO,}

A witness for the State, having been first duly swom, testified as follows:

THE CLERK: State your full name and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: James Charles Vaccaro, V-A-C-C-A-R-O.

MS. WECKERLY; May I proceed, Your Honor?
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\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MS. WECKERLY:
Q. Sir, are you retired?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Where did you retire from?
A. The Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.
Q. When did you retire?
A. In December of 2007.
Q. And how were you assigned at Metro prior to your retirement?
A. I was a homicide detective just prior to retJrement.
Q. How many years did you work in homicide?
A. Fourteen years.
Q. Were you working obviously on May 17th of 1998?
A. Yes.
Q. During that time period did you work with a partner?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Who was your partner then?
A. At that time it was a detective named Philip Ramos, R-A-M-O-S.
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\section*{60}
Q. May 17, 1998, were you asked to respond to 4255 South Spencer?
A. Yes.
Q. That is in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada?
A. Yes,
Q. What type of location is that?
A. Well, the general area is sort of apartments and some low residential housing. But that particular location was a senior retirement home at that location 4255, that exact address.
Q. I think I asked if that's in Clark County?
A. Yes.
Q. When you responded on the 17th, yourself and Detective Ramos, YOU were aware that another murder had occurred OR homielde detectives had actually responded a day earlier, correct?
A. Yes. When we were informed of our situation, immediately we were told there was another situation inside the same building probably within the last 30 hours.
Q. You responded to the location, and it's actually sort of a retirement home or for senior citizens?
A. Yes. I recall it being called Camlu, the name of the company on the marquis out front and was a retirement or senior center.
Q. How would you describe the structure of the
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building itself?
A. Sort of like an apartment complex, two story. It had a couple of legs to it, if you will, sort of horseshoe shaped, had common eating areas and separate apartments with 4 long hallways.
Q. To gain access to the individual apartments, are there outer doors to the bullding itself?
A. Yes. From the outside world, there was a main entrance that faced Spencer Street. However, we noted other doors that were at the end of these horseshoes so to speak. Probably doors for moving about for service workers, but not intentionally used by the patrons because they were the locking type of door. Like you go out through with a push bar, but you couldn't come back in from the outside.

People that lived there were encouraged to use the main doors. These were other doors maybe used for workers on maintenance people,
Q. Sir, showing you what has been marked as State's Proposed Exhlbit 29 through 47. If you could look through all those and let me know when you are done.
A. All right. (Witness complied). Okay.
Q. Now in terms of Exhibits 29 through 43, are those the crime scene itself as it appeared on the 17th when you responded?
A. Yes, they are.
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Q. Photographs 44 through 47, are those specific items of evidence that were taken from the crime scene and photographed back at the Crime Lab?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. The unit that you responded to was which number?
A. 212 .
Q. Obviously, that is on the second floor?
A. Yes.
Q. When you responded on the 17 th, can you give us some idea where that unit was in relation to the prlor homiclde?
A. Yes. Our Unit 212 was on the second floor was more centralized on the second fioor near the center of the horseshoe. Whereas, Unit 120 where the other incident had occurred was near one of the outer legs of the horseshoe and closer to an exit door that we talked about previously.
Q. On the bottom floor?
A. On the first floor, yes.
Q. The actual unit that concerned your homiclde investigation, 212, when you went in there, how would you describe it generally, the room itself?
A. My first impression was that it was small and a studio-style so everything except the bathroom you could see just by entering into this small apartment, very disheveled, not well kept and clearly the scene of a struggle of some
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Q. In general there was a lot of stuff in the unit?
A. Yes.
Q. Then also there appeared to be some signs of struggle because of the placement of items in there?
A. Yes.
Q. Showing you what has been admitted as State's 31.

Can you describe what we're looking at in that photograph?
A. Yes. In this photograph, this is an initial impression you get standing just inside after having entered the apartment, and you are looking at a wall to the south with a window. There is a couch that is converted into a bed that looks like the primary bed area for this studio apartment. And then other items of fumiture around the perimeter of the room including a folding chair -- an easy chair, a reclining chair, if you will. On top of the bed is a wooden chair that looks like it goes to a dinette set behind the photographer in the plcture.
Q. The bed depicted in the photograph is a sofa bed in the redined position, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. There appears to be a chair on top of it with blood as well?
A. Yes. Clearly you could see what appears to be blood on the leg of the chair.
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Q. This is State's 33. What is that photograph?
A. This is a close-up photograph of a couple of items on top of the bed that we were just talking about. In that picture you can still see the chair. The chair still bears blood along with the blanket that the chair was resting on. It bears blood along with a knife with a serrated edge on both sides also within that picture, too, on top of the blanket.
Q. Could you hand those three to the Judge, please.
A. Sure.

THE COURT: The chalr is on the bed. Oxay. 8Y MS. WECKERLY:
Q. Now showing you 5tate's 34. What are we looking at in that photograph?
A. In this photograph we still see, because of the smalliness of this room, still see the bed to the right-hand side that I was describing.

Now we see the presence of our victim on the floor, Helen Sabraw, as I first saw her when I came into the room on that morning, and we see her almost nude with what looks like sleepwear pulled up above her upper body by her neck. And other items of clothing was near her feet and also furniture in the room in this photo.
Q. Looking at the victim, did she have any kind of slippers, socks or anything on her feet?
diana m. gaeen, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385
A. No, she does noti
Q. How about underwear or undergarment?
A. It doesn't appear there were any undergarments. I see a pair of underpants behind her head in the photograph.
Q. Her nightgown or the item of clothing actually on her, how was that positioned on her body?
A. Well, I recalled this item as a long gown, sort of like a sleeping gown, very heavily bloodied and pulled up around her neck exposing almost all of her body except her arms and neck area.
Q. Showing you now what is State's 36. Is that another sort of view of the victim?
A. Yes. The photographer stepped around towards the head of the victim on the floor here and had taken another photograph.
Q. Towards the left of the victim's body on a rug, there appears to be an item of clothing?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that?
A. On top of the carpeting in the apartment is an area rug. On top of the area rug on the left side of this photograph is what appears to be a gray shirt. I know I'm famlllar with the shirt. This particular shirt was an item of interest and an item of evidence for us in this investigation.
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photograpi,
A. Here's a pull back photograph of our victlm lying on the foor again, same positioning with the knife beneath the right knee. And here we can see additional wounds that appear to be stabbing wounds of her lower or upper left hip and thigh area and also of her chest and breast area. We can see a little blt better photograph of the discharge from the rectal area.
Q. To the left of the victim's body on the carpet area, is there anything there that caught your attention as an investigator?
A. The whole area of the carpeting was interesting from the standpoint there was a lot of movement here. Off to the left side was a brownish fluid conslstent with the fluid and fecal matter that I observed at her rectal area. This is on the left side. Then there was deposited blood around the victim that was indicating a lot of movement by the victim while bleeding on the carpet.
Q. The object, what is below her right foot?
A. It's another item of interest to us in this case, which was the white sleeveless or tank top undershirt.
Q. Was that, I guess, did that catch your attention as a detective?
A. Yes, it did. It was associated with in my mind the other shirt. Those two shirts were impounded as evidence.
\[
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Q. Why was it an item of interest?
A. Because of its condition, the location near the victim, and the fact that it did not appear to be an item of dothing this woman might use. I knew her to be the sole occupant of this room.
Q. State's 35, is that a doser view of the shirt?
A. Yes.
Q. Pass it over to the Court, please.
A. (Witness complied).
Q. Sir, now I am showing you what has been admitted a State's 41. What is depicted in that photograph?
A. This is a close up photograph of the lower portion of Helen Sabraw's body as she lies in the carpet in the room. We see the bloody carpet underneath her legs and the fact she has a wound in the upper left thigh, a handle of a knife beneath her right knee, protruding in this photograph. We can also see in the area of her rectum a fluid, a brownish fluid that's extruded headed southward or down as gravity pulls it to the carpet.
Q. There's some discharge in her rectal area?
A. Yes.
Q. Also a knife under her knee?
A. Yes, as well as the right hand of hers is heavily bloodied as well.
Q. This is State's 42. What are we looking at in that DIANA M, GREEN, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385
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Q. This is lastly State's 43. What does that depict?
A. In this photograph we have a close-up of Helen Sabraw's head on the floor. We can see injurles to her head and face from a sharp weapon of some type, and blood is present in her hair and also on a garment below her head that was determined to be a pair of women's underpants.
Q. When you looked at the scene and examined the scene with Detective Ramos on the 17th, did you consider whether or not a sexual assault had taken place?
A. Yes.
Q. What condusions or what information did you take in with regard to that?
A. Well, my initial impression was the appearance of the victim on the floor, and in my experience with the removal of these clothing items such as the underwear that was up by her head and the outer gown pulled above her neck exposing her full nude body, along with what appeared to be fluid from the rectal area, that further reinforced my suspicions there had been a sexual assault.
Q. How about any indications of a robbery at the scene?
A. Of course, we were looking at that, not knowing a lot of about our victim initially. There were some indicators that would disprove that to me in the fact she was wearing jewelry and she had rather large stoned ring. I
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don't know whether it was-wedding or engagement ring on he 1 finger. Not that that is always taken in the course of a robbery, but that sure would have been something that a person, the attacker, in this case should have been able to see, if robbery was the motive, and taken those Items.
Q. Did you attend the autopsy of this victim in this case?
A. I did.
(Off-the-record discussion held between State's counsel.)

BY MS. WECKERLY:
Q. Sir, I'm showing you what has been marked State's

Proposed Exhibits 48 through 58. Can you look through those?
A. (Witness complied). Okay. I'm familiar with them.
Q. Are they photographs taken at the autopsy of Helen

Sabraw?
A. Yes.

MS. WECKERLY: State moves to admit 48 through
\(58 ?\)
MR. COFFEE: No objection. THE COURT: So admitted.
///
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Q. Bngou retired before it was solved?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.
A. You're welcome.

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATION}

BY MR. COFFEE:
Q. Who is Ms. Parks in relation to the victim, if you know?
A. I don't know exactly. I believe an acquaintance.
Q. Not an executor for an estate, for example?
A. I don't know that, sir.
Q. You don't have any information that would be the case, would you?
A. Not that I recall right now, no.
Q. It just looked like it was potentially a sexual assault based on your training and experience; is that a fair characterlzation?
A. In combination with a brutal stabbing murder, yes.
Q. It's not just a sexual assault, obviously this is above and beyond?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you able to determine -- I suppose you knew there had been a homidde shortly before this body was
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discovered, a day or day and a half before?
A. That's true.
Q. Were you able to determine time of death in this incident?
A. Typically, we don't do that. We have medical examiners that are way better skilled to make those determinations.

I can tell you that my experience indicates to me, when I walked into the room and saw our victim, Helen Sabraw, that this was not a few hours ago kind of murder case. She had been dowry for a whlle.
Q. Let's talk about some of those things.

The smell told you she had been down for a while?
A. The smeli, discoloration issues.
Q. You saw blood pooling that sort of thing?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you check for rigor? Do you know if anybody checked?
A. I personally did not handle her. However, I was present when she was handled. I know the body -- No, it was not. It was coming out of rigor.

THE COURT: One at a time.
BY MR. COFFEE:
Q. It's not an exact sclence. I'm not trying to pin you down on time. We'll go through it and give you the

\footnotetext{
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not required to prove but are trained?
A. Yes.
Q. You thought robbery was a potential motive?
A. Well, that is something that was explored but we weren't getting that feel at the scene.
Q. Didn't find anything in this particular instance that would support a theory of robbery?
A. No, not my knowledge. No.
Q. In fact some things weighed against the possibility this was a robbery? Not a possibility, but you thought it was possible, right, a likelihood?
A. I wouldn't know things that could have been taken from this victim that would have been things I never had known she possessed.
Q. Understood. But there were obvious things that might be of potential value that was left on the victim?
A. That's true.
Q. It made it look like in your opinion like the motive may have been something other than robbery, perhaps sexual assault?
A. That's true, too.
Q. There was jewelry, for example, that was left?
A. That's true, too.
Q. You talked about bed clothing. It was pulled up around the victim's head to some extent?
A.
Q. Were you able to tell how that was pulled up around the head?
A. No.
Q. You have been to - there had been a struggle in the room based on what you saw?
A. Clearly.
Q. You have seen situations where clothing gets pulled, moved, disheveled because somebody is dragging or fighting?
A. That's true.
Q. Can't say for certain that didn't happen? It looked like somebody might have pulled it over her head purposely, but you don't know for certain; is that a fair characterization?
A. Yes.
Q. The fluid you said, fecal matter, there was blood or potential fecal matter on the carpet, yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know that was ever tested?
A. I don't know.
Q. May I have a tissue?

Did you interview -- you were lead detective, start with that?
A. Actually, we were working together, Detective Ramos
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and 1 . He had the responsibility for documenting the scene with that report. But there was no particular lead in this case. I'm responsible for what happened in this case.
Q. All right. Were there any interviews conducted?
A. Yes.
Q. Who did you interview?
A. I have a list of people here. It's been a few years for me. You want me to look at my list?
Q. Please, If that's all right with the district attomey.
A. They may be in the crime report. At this time the scene report indicates that Interviewed people would be referenced in another matter.
Q. We can get that from you, if we need it at some point?
A. I'm sure the persons intervlewed were in a section of the homicide book.
Q. You didn't have any people of interest In this particular case, someone targeted or who you thought may have been responsible?
A. Yeah. I recall the case. We really worked a lot on this. No. We tried to determine If there was somebody that was a suspect for quite awhile. We tried a lot of different technlques to develop suspects but we were unsuccessful.
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but the truin-so help you God?
    THE WITNESS: I do.
    DAVID LEMASTER,
A witness for the State, having been first duly swom,
testifled as follows:
THE CLERK: State your full name and spell your last name.
THE WITNESS: My name is David Lemaster, David common spelling, Lemaster, L-E-M-A-S-T-E-R.
THE COURT: You may proceed.
MS. WECKERLY: Thank you.
```


## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. WECKERLY:
Q. How are you employed, sir?
A. I'm a senior crime scene analyst with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.
Q. How long have you worked for Metro?
A. I began my career with Metro in 1991.
Q. Obviously working in May of 1998?
A. Correct.
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Q. On the 17th of May 1998, were you had asked to respond to 4255 South Spencer?
A. Yes.
Q. That would have been Apartment 212?
A. Yes.
Q. Why were you asked to go to that location?
A. I was called there to assist with a homicide Investigation.
Q. You were a crime scene analyst, working as a crime scene analyst on that date?
A. Yes.
Q. What were your job duties with respect to that scene?
A. To assist my supervisor, Catherine Atkins, working in homicide to process the crime scene.
Q. Were you the person responsible for impounding actual items of evidence?
A. Yes, at Apartment 212, yes.
Q. I'm showing you what has been admitted as State's Exhibit 37. Does that appear to be the crime scene as it looked when you responded on May 17, 1998?
A. Yes.
Q. Drawing your attention to the rug area, does there appear to be an item of evidence you would have impounded with respect to this investigation?

[^1]A. Yes.
Q. What was it that you Impounded?
A. On the rug area is a gray $t$-shirt.
Q. Looking at the victim's right leg below her foot, was there another item of evidence you would have impounded with respect to this scene?
A. Yes.
Q. When you impounded an Item of evidence for Metro,
was it impounded under a certaln event number?
A. Yes, it would be the event number for the Incident we're investigating.
Q. What was the event number in this case?
A. 980517-0849.
Q. The two or actually every item of evidence impounded under the event number, is that given a specific item number for tracking?
A. Well, I will speak for myself. Yes, I assigned a specific item number.
Q. The two shirts that I spoke of in the photograph, what were the item numbers, if you recall?
A. Can I be certain?

THE COURT: If you need to refresh your recollection. If you can't recall right now. If you are going to guess, if you can't recall, yes. You can go ahead, if that refreshes your memory to take a look at it.
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THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: You have no objection, do you?
MR. COFFEE: No objection.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Yes, I have.
BY MS. WECKERLY:
Q. Let's start with the gray t-shirt, not the tank
top. What number was that?
A. Item 32.
Q. The white tank top?
A. Item 33.
Q. Showing you what has been admitted as State's Exhibits 46 and 47 . Those are the two items we just spoke of?
A. Yes.
Q. I should have given you these before because the item numbers are on them.
A. Correct. With my initials and " P " number.
Q. Looking at 46 first, the white tank top?
A. Yes.
Q. When you took this photograph of the tank top,
obviously this isn't taken at the scene, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Back at the Crime Lab by you?
A. Yes.
Q. In fald out?
A. Yes.
Q. The same thing with respect to the gray $t$-shirt State's 47?
A. Yes.
Q. That's also a photograph taken by you with the item number and your initials and personnel number?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, showing you State's 44 and 45. Do you recognize what those items are?
A. Yes.
Q. What are they starting with? Let's start with State's 44.
A. State's 44 is a knife that was recovered from the pullout couch/bed at Apartment 212.
Q. And 45?
A. Exhibit 45 is a black handled knife that was underneath the right leg area of the victim.
Q. And you obviously took those items from the scene, and these are photographs you took of them back at the lab?
A. Yes.
Q. With respect to this scene, your duties were to collect evidence? You didn't do any further testing on the items of evidence yourself, did you?
A. Well, there was additional collection of evidence DIANA M. GREEN, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385
items and other items, those specific item or others?
Q. Right. With regards to the two shirts, you didn't do any processing?
A. Not on the shirts, no specific processing.
Q. You might have done latent print processing on the items of evidence that you impounded? Or what you are referring to?
A. There were multiple items of evidence that were processed.

MS. WECKERLY: Thank you. No other questions.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COFFEE:
Q. What was the event number again?
A. 980517-0848.
Q. I noticed you do that without any notes.
A. Yes.
Q. You don't remember from that far back, do you?
A. I put it in my head before I came here.

THE COURT: He refreshed his recollection
earlier.
BY MR. COFFEE:
Q. You reviewed things beforehand?
A. Yes.
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Q. Before you camion?
A. Yes.
Q. You wanted to make an impression, wanted to know what you are talking about, you want to right and don't want mistakes?
A. There's a lot things to consider. I did look over Iterns.
Q. You would agree that your notes are a critical tool In that regard, keeping track of things? For example, you referred to your notes to figure out what t-shirt was seized under what event number?
A. The evidence impound, yes.
Q. By notes, I didn't mean to trip you up saying an Impound sheet, not your notes. You wrote it down someplace?
A. I wanted to be accurate in my answer, yes.
Q. With the writing it down aspect of things, the pictures were taken at the lab? Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. When were the pictures taken down?
A. The date -- (Pause). I don't have that answer spedifically. It could have been the following day.
Q. Might have been two?
A. I don't have that answer, no.
Q. Is it written down someplace?
A. It would be associated with .- yes, it would be in DIANA M. GREEN, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385
a log, especially with the film, we used 35 -millimeter film back then. So the day I submitted that film would be the date I took those photographs.
Q. Okay. Without actually picking up these t-shirts, what day did that happen?
A. That would be on the 17 th.
Q. The day that you reported?
A. Yes.
Q. You were only there one day?
A. No, I responded several times back to the Camlu Retirement Home apartments.
Q. Are there any notes or documentation that verified those were picked up on the 17 th?
A. I recovered those.
Q. I understand. My question is: We talked about documenting other Items, looking at notes to get case numbers and things. As far as dates, is there any documentation on the date those items were picked up?
A. That would be the report stating we recovered it.
Q. That is what I was asking, not trying to trip you up. Asking if there's a report generated. What date is the report signed?
A. May I look at it?
Q. Sure.
A. As far as signatures, which is my supervisor's, the
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author of thmactual report.
Q. Okay.
A. And the approving individual is the supervisor or C.S.I. There's not a date associated, but it is time stamped when it went through, an official time stamp.
Q. When would that be?
A. This is time stamped looks like June -- if reading it correct, June 1st at 9:38 a.m.
Q. All right. And the date you responded to gather evidence was what again?
A. The date responded to the scene the first time?
Q. Yes.
A. May 17 th.
Q. So this is time stamped about two weeks later?
A. Somewhere In there.
Q. Might be off a day or two?
A. Sure.
Q. And you responded to the scene on a couple of occasions in between the date that report was submitted and your initial response? You come out on the 17 th. You understand the question?
A. I understand the question.
Q. The answer is yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Are we able to breakdown when certain items were

$$
\text { DIANA M. GREEN, CCR } 264 \text { \{702\} 671-3385 }
$$

Impounded? You thought it was the 17 th. Can you say for certain the t-shirts were impounded that day, as opposed to the 18th, 19th, 20th?
A. I don't have information for you now.
Q. Do you know if it existed?
A. It would certainly exist with the evidence vault
the day they took the evidence, after I placed it, secured it in packages.
Q. Okay. If we rely on something, the evidence vault notes or notations we would rely on as opposed to your memory, for example?
A. They have logs to be more specific about when they pick up items and when items are recelved.
Q. That is my point. You don't have an independent recollection on the first day you responded to pleking up those t-shirts? It was one crime scene in many? You picked up the t-shirts at some point before that was generated, but it could have been at any of the times you showed up at the crime scene; is that a fair characterization?
A. The shirt was collected the 17th.
Q. Okay. That's what I was asking: How do we know that is what I'm asking? I'm not trying to confuse you. I am trying to figure out how we know that?
A. The arrival time was 12:30. In the process of working the scene with the victlm, evidence items in total of
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working the scene, were haroved as we worked our way through 1 the scene. It would be have on the 17 th we placed it in a
bag to transition it back to the lab for additional photography and impound.
Q. Okay. That's what I was trying to get to. Might be In the crime scene photographs, also. Just trying to figure out when the shirts were taken in, make sure it's the 17th not another time. Do you understand?
A. Yes.
Q. The shirts, the State has showed you picture of them? Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. You recognize those, State's 46 and 47?
A. Yes.
Q. Describe State's 47 for me, if you will.
A. This is a gray t-shirt. It appears to be the back of the shirt item listed as Item 32.
Q. Is there any blood on that shlrt?
A. From this photo with the back of the shirt, I do not specifically see in these lighting conditions any large stains that I could quantify sitting here under these conditions that I would state as blood.
Q. It looks like a dean t-shirt, more or less, without better lighting conditions, testing, that kind of Uting; ist that a farir characterizaton?
A. For the back of the shirt?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know if there were plctures taken of the front?
A. There should have been.
Q. Do you know there were any stains on the front?
A. I believe there was.
Q. Take a look other what that is.
A. State's Exhibit 46.
Q. A different type of shirt, right?
A. Yes.
Q. A tank top, I think we agreed on to call it for the hearing. Would you agree there is a substantial amount of apparent blood on the tank top?
A. There are at the upper left area of the tank top very dark-colored stains and a light-colored apparent blood stains downward towards the bottom centrally located. Your term of a lot of blood versus transfer stains happening to a shirt are two different mechanisms and dynamics.
Q. Sure. Do you agree there's blood stains that appear on the shirt?
A. Yes.
Q. Might have to do some testing of phenolphthalein to figure out if it's blood but looks like blood?

$$
\text { DIANA M. GREEN, CCR } 264 \text { (702) 671-3385 }
$$

A.
Q. If someone is wearing -- you are somewhat of an expert in the crime scene investigation, crime scene analysis, right, you are trained to do this?
A. I would, depending on the question. I hope I can answer lt.
Q. Okay. Let me ask you, the blood stains that are on the tank top, does it appear to you, based on your training and experience, they match up with any blood stains on the back of the shirt? Can you tell if it was the same person wearing both at the same time when the stabbing occurred; does that look like that to you?

MS. WECKERLY: Objection, speculation. BY MR. COFFEE:
Q. Is there anything connecting those two together forensically that you are aware of other than being at the same scene, same location, let me ask you that?
A. I don't know the dynamics of the totality of the reports generated from this.
Q. Based on your photographs, based on your memory, based on your other reports, is there anything forensically that you are aware of -- i understand other people have may have other conclusions, people who have done other testing -to your knowledge is there anything connecting those two items of clothing together?
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A. I would say, yes.
Q. What is it?
A. They are from the same apartment.
Q. And my question, remember the same scene, other than that, so again take into account my question, other than the fact they are found at the same location, is there anything connecting the two together forensically that you are aware of?
A. I think I answered that.
Q. The same location, that's the only connection that you are aware of?
A. That's the only answer I would want to give at this junction. With the other remaining reports, that is outside of what I have done.
Q. I know you think I'm trying to trick you. I'm really not. Based on your knowledge, location is the only thing connecting the two items?
A. At this point in time, I would say yes. MR. COFFEE: Thank you. Nothing else.
MS. WECKERLY: No redirect.
THE COURT: You are excused. Thank you very much.

Call your next witness, state.
MS. WECKERLY: Julie Marschner.
(The witness exited the courtroom.)
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MR. DASKAS: bure the next witness, there was another stipulation. The defense and the state, for prelim purposes, stipulates that Ms. Marschner, the DNA analysis had the known DNA profile of the defendant, Gustavo Ramos, when she made the comparisons to which she is about to testify.

THE COURT: I'm somy. I'm sure Mr. Daskas wouldn't misrepresent. I better check.
(Off-the-record discussion
between counsel and defendant.)

THE COURT: Okay.
THE BAILIFF: Please step up, face the cierk and raise your right hand.

THE CLERK: Do you swear the testimony that you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

JULIE MARSCHNER,
A witness for the State, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE CLERK: State your full name and spell your
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A. Yes, they do.
Q. What was the case number event number that you wer asked to work on?
A. 980517-0848.
Q. In your work for Metro under that event number, were you called upon to look at two t-shirts that were impounded under that event number?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall that the item numbers of the t-shirts what they were for tracking purpose?
A. Can I refer to my notes?
Q. If that would refresh your recollection.
A. The gray $t$-shirt was in package 10 item 32, then the white tank top was in the same package that was Item 33.
Q. Showing you what has been admitted as State's 46 and this one is 47, do those look like the shirts that you examined?
A. Yes, they do.
Q. As a D.N.A. analyst, to get these items of evidence, do you have them delivered to you from the evidence vault to the lab?
A. Yes.
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Q. Then you do your analysis?
A. Correct.
Q. Let's start with the gray T-shirt. Okay?
A. Sure.
Q. When you started examining an item of evidence, you actually give it your own label?
A. Yes, I designate it with my Initials and item number. In this case the package was the tenth package I looked at in thls case.
Q. Talking about the gray $t$-shirt, what areas of the shirt were you concentrating on or focusing on to get a D.N.A. sample?
A. I was trying to see who may have been wearing the shirt, so I was looking at the neck area on the seam and also In the armpit area where it would have rubbed up against the skin collecting skin cells.
Q. With respect to those two areas, let's talk the seam on the neck area, were you able to obtain a DNA sample?
A. Yes.
Q. What were your findings with regards to that sample?
A. There was a mixture of at least two Individuals, and there was a major contributor, and that major contributor was consistent with Gustavo Ramos Martinez.
Q. You actually in this case generated the profile off
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of the item of evidence bly you had a known sample from Mr. Ramos?
A. Yes.
Q. With regards to the profile that was generated off the neck area that you just spoke of, are you able to give us any kInd of statistical frequency assodated with that analysis?
A. Yes. The estimated frequency of the major profile in that mixture is rarer than one in 882,000.
Q. In your work as a DNA analyst, you certainly have rarer profiles than that, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Some of them are rarer than one in 600 million?
A. Yes.
Q. 50 when you have a number of like one in 882,000 ,
does that reflect that a portion of the profile didn't amplify, or how would you describe that?
A. I wasn't able to use the major profile at all of the 15 locations that we looked at in the D.N.A. because there was evidence of degradation between the time period when the item was collected and when it was tested. So I was only able to use the data from the non degraded areas using that statistic so the number was smaller than had I had all the profiles to compare.
Q. So it is still rarer than one in 882,000 ?
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armpit are bain, however, there were limited sample
remaining because it had been tested previously. So I was
able to obtain a D.N.A. profile from that area of the shirt.
Q. Are you able to render any conclusions all the
about possible contributors to the D.N.A. with regards to
that item of evidence in the area that you cut?
A. No.
A. No.

MS. WECKERLY: Thank you. Pass the witness.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. COFFEE:

Q. At what a point statistically is that golng to be assumed under the current standards for D.N.A. testing?
A. In our laboratory, if the number is larger than $\mathbf{6 5 0}$ billion, the worid's population is approximately 6.5 billion. So we take a hundred times the world's population to get that number.
Q. So here we're at .5 or .05 percent of where identlty would be assumed at?
A. I don't know.
Q. So $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ million, you agree, $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ million is a small percentage of 650 billion?
A. Yes.
Q. Without doing the math, the tank top you tested,
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you actually had the tank top in your hands?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did it still look like State's Exhibit 46?
A. No. There were some cuttings taken from the armpit areas by an analyst who previously examined it.
Q. What happened to those cuttings, if I might ask?
A. The analyst would have saved them inside the tube they saved for their D.N.A. extractions, and those tubes would be in custody at our tech lab that we have a freezer full of cuttings.
Q. Have you taken a look at that yet?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. You said ten items were tested?
A. This is the tenth package that I looked at for this case.
Q. What were the other packages?
A. There was a sexual assault kit, some swabs.
Q. Let starts with that, the sexual assault kit. Did you find anything on the sexual assault kit as far as D.N.A.?
A. I didn't test anything for D.N.A. I did
presumptive testing for semen first and it was negative.
Q. Semen, for example with somebody having sex with or without a condom leaving semen behind, that is a good source for D.N.A.?
A. Yes.
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Q. In this case yod presumptive tests for semen and it said no, so you didn't do testing?
A. Correct.
Q. These items were seized approximately, I think the state will agree, ten years or better, 12 years. Would you still be able to find semen from a sample that old?
A. Yes.
Q. Does that degrade over time to some extent, though?
A. It depends how much was there initlally when they collected it. And again, if it was packaged properly.
Q. Proper packaging I suppose could be very important?
A. Yes.
Q. You said, maybe I heard it wrong, that I will refer to them as 46 and 47, you know what I'm talking about?
A. Yes.
Q. The two shirts came out of the same package?
A. They were individually packaged within a package.
Q. Okay.
A. So they were in an individual paper bag Inside a larger paper bag.
Q. Okay. It makes more sense. That is important because -- why do you do you that? Why would you package them individually?
A. To keep them separate from one another.
Q. Because D.N.A. is transferable?
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A. Yes.
Q. For example, blood stains might get from one to the other if they are put in the same package together?
A. Correct
Q. D.N.A. is transferable in the sense because it's mobile? For example, the fact you didn't do the D.N.A. testing at the scene, doesn't make a difference? Somebody can pick up a shirt, open the bag, open it ten years fater and still find D.N.A.?
A. Yes.
Q. With regards to the $t$-shirt, not the tank top,
there were only two contributors?
A. Yes.
Q. In regards to the tank top, no D.N.A.?
A. I didn't get any D.N.A. profiles from the area I
tested.
Q. I suppose you are trained to test approprlate areas
for D.N.A. For example, on the t-shirt you looked at, back of the neck, seams, places where --
A. Yes.
Q. -- skin cells my might be located, a good sounce of D.N.A.?
A. Yes.
Q. You use that same training, when you looked at this, it wasn't just a blind hunt? You looked at the most
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A. Correct.
Q. Did you review any other testing that had been done before you tested that?
A. I had reviewed the notes of other analysts examining the evidence, yes.
Q. Do you remember who the other analysts were?
A. Terry Cook and Dave Welch.
Q. Anything inconsistent in any of that?

MS. WECKERLY: Objection, hearsay.
THE COURT: That would be --
MR. COFFEE: Well.
MS. WECKERLY: We were concemed about the
verfication process on the fingerprints, so I assumed
Mr. Coffee has the same concerns about other experts.
MR. COFFEE: Not really.
MS. WECKERLY: It is still hearsay.
MR. COFFEE: The other bigger problem is that
Crawford applies when you guys are trying this. I'm not
sure Crawford apples to us.
M5, WECKERLY: Hearsay is still hearsay.
BY MR. COFFEE:
Q. You rely on other reports to use to figure out who what you are going to test, for example?
A. No.
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Q. Why look at them then? Why do you look at them? MS. WECKERLY: Objection, relevance.
THE COURT: I will let her answer.
THE WITNESS: Why do I look at the notes?
THE COURT: Yeah.
THE WITNESS: I want to see what they tested to make sure there's sample remaining for me to test before I open the package.
BY MR. COFFEE:
Q. Were you able to determine what had been tested?
A. Yes.
Q. Those are the things that should be in that freezer what you said?
A. Yes.
Q. As to results, I suppose, when you talked to

Walsh -- I will ask you in how long have you been working in the office?
A. Five-and-a-half years.
Q. You guys keep pretty good records on things?
A. Yes.
Q. When you test something you keep track of it?
A. Yes.
Q. For example, if I wanted to see testing Walsh had done, I should be able to get that at this point?
A. Yes.
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O-L-S-O-N.
MR. DASKAS: Before I begin my examination, we have a few stipulations entered into between the State and defense. One is that the male victim in this case about whose autopsy Dr. Olson will testify, was identified as 75-year-old Wallace Siegel. The second is that the female in this case whose autopsy by Alane Olson will testify, was 86 year old Helen Sabraw. For prelim purposes, Dr. Olson is qualifled to render her opinion regarding cause and manner of death.

THE COURT: She has been certified as an expert in this court on other prior homicide cases. Go ahead. Is that correct, Mr. Coffee?

MR. COFFEE: Yes.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. DASKAS:

Q. Dr. Olson, you are employed by the Clark County coroner's office, is that true?
A. That's correct.
Q. You are a forensic pathologist?
A. Yes.
Q. At the request of Ms. Weckerly and myself, did you
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review a series of two autopsies performed back in May 1998?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you review two autopsy reports prepared by Dr. Sheldon Greerr?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. With that information, were you able to reach an opinion regarding cause and manner of death of both Wallace Siegel and Helen 5abraw?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. The opinlon you reached with respect to both of those victims, is that an Independent opinion reached based on photos that you saw, as opposed to what Dr. Green did when he did the autopsy?
A. Yes, it is an independent opinior.
Q. When we first ask you about the autopsy and photos you reviewed of Wallace Siegel, there are a series of photographs in front of you previously admitted, I believe, if I'm not mistaken, they are Exhibits 15 through 28. Have you previously seen these series of photographs?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. We've heard testimony that those were photographs taken of the autopsy of Mr. Siegel back on May 17, 1998. Is there an identification badge in Exhibit 15 that reflects that?
A. Yes, there is.
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Q. What informatio on that Identification?
A. The identification badge contained Mr. Siegel's name, date of May 17, 1998, and the autopsy or coroner's Case No. 98-2550.
Q. Does that number you read correspond to the autopsy report Dr. Green prepared back in 1998 that you reviewed in this case?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. I'd ask you first of all, Dr. Olson, what were the significant external injuries that you observed to the victim, Mr. Siegel, in the photos that you identified?
A. The significant injuries externally are primarily about the upper body. The most signiflcant injuries are those of the head.

There are lacerations and tears in the scalp. There is an obvious external visible extensive skull fracture on the left side of head towards the front.

There are also numerous areas of bruising on the body surfaces primarily on the head, neck, upper aspect of the torso and on the arms and hands, and there is a laceration or tear on the left fourth finger. There is also an incised injury or cut-type injury on the left fourth finger.
Q. Dr. Olson, you described a number of injuries that you observed. Was the most significant injury the depressed

$$
\text { DIANA M. GREEN, CCR } 264 \text { (702) 671-3385 }
$$

## skull fracture?

A. Yes.
Q. The other injuries that you mentioned, did those
appear to occur contemporaneous with the depressed skull fracture?
A. Based upon the information in the photographs, yes, they did.
Q. If you would, please hand it to me so I can show Judge Jansen a photo that reflects the depressed skull fracture of Mr. Sieget. If you would just hand those directly to Judge Jansen.
A. Of course.
Q. Your Honor, I'm going to hand to you what has been marked as State's Exhibits 21 and 23. These are photographs of Mr. Siegel's head after the scalp has been reflected, and they show the extensive nature of the skull fracture.

THE COURT: That's it right there?
THE WITNESS: You can see there are, in fact,
large portions of the skull pushed down and the bone is actually fractured and broken in that area.

THE COURT: I see. Okay.
BY MR. DASKAS:
Q. Dr. Oison, do you have an opinion about what would
have caused that type of injury?
A. That type of injury is consistent with a blow from DIANA M. GREEN, CCR 264 (702) 671-3395
a blunt ob
Q. There's been testimony elicited during this preliminary hearing that a 25 -pound dumbbell was found at the murder scene of Wallace Siegel. Is this injury, that is, the depressed skull fracture, consistent with having been caused by a 25 pound dumbbell?
A. In my opinion, it could have been caused by such an object.
Q. You mentioned other injuries including, for example, an injury to the victim's fourth finger?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Do you have an opinion about what could have caused that injury?
A. The injury depitted in the photograph appears to be a tear in the skin. Dr. Green actually describes it as a laceration.

MR. COFFEE: Objection, hearsay.
BY MR. DASKAS:
Q. It's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted. I will ask, Dr. Olson, in the photographs you reviewed, how would you describe the injury to the fourth finger?
A. In my opinion, based upon looking at the photograph, it appears to be an incised or cut-type injury rather than a tear in the skin.
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Q. Did you see any other injuries or similar injuries on Wallace Siegel in the photographs that you observed?
A. Yes, there was another injury again on the left fourth finger. I believe it was not the palmar side but the back of the hand. It was, in fact, an incised or cut-type injury as well.
Q. Is there a photograph there in front of you that depicts the injuries that you just described?
A. I believe there is. Yes, there is.
Q. Please show that to the Court, those photos that depict that injury.
A. The exhibits in question are state's 25 and 26. Number 25 shows the back of Mr. Siegel's head -- sorry, his left hand. Near the knuckle of his left fourth finger is an incised or cut-type injury, and on the side of his left fourth finger is another injury which appears to be a cut.

THE COURT: Yes.
BY MR. DASKAS:
Q. Earlier you mentioned the depressed skull fracture was caused by blunt force trauma. What is your opinion about what caused these two injuries in the photographs you showed the Court?
A. Based upon the appearance of the injuries, the instrument that caused them in my opinion would have been something with an edge to it that could actually cut you
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instead of tear the skin.
Q. Would you categorize the two injuries that you described on the hand or hands as defensive wounds?
A. They could be considered as such, yes.
Q. Understanding that you haven't outlined every individual injury, what is your opinion regarding the cause of death of Wallace Siegel?
A. Based upon my revlew of the photographs, I would term his cause of death as blunt force head trauma.
Q. That would include the depressed skull that you mentioned earlier?
A. Yes, it would.
Q. That is based on the photos that you observed and Injuries that you saw regarding the manner of death of Wallace Siegel?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that opinion?
A. The manner of death is homicide.
Q. There is a second set of photographs in front of you of 86 -year-old Helen Sabraw, and those have been marked and admitted as Exhibits 48 through 58. Have you prevlously seen that set of photographs?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. At the request of the state, did you revlew those photographs as well as an autopsy report prepared by
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DASKAS: Let me rephrase the question. THE COURT: Go ahead. Rephrase it.
BY MR. DASKAS:
Q. Dr. Olson, was there evidence of trauma to Helen Sabraw's rectum?
A. As described in the Dr. Green's report, yes.

MR. COFFEE: Objection to --
THE COURT: It's hearsay --
MR. COFFEE: -- what is described in Dr. Green's
report.
BY MR. DASKAS:
Q. Did you review any photographs which depicted trauma to Helen Sabraw's rectum?
A. No.
Q. Back to you mentioned stab injuries, how many different stab injuries did you observe on Helen Sabraw's body in those photographs?
A. Sorry, I didn't total it up.
Q. Let me ask you this: Multiple stab injuries?
A. Yes.
Q. Where on her body in general were those stab injuries located?
A. They were generally on the front side of her body primarly concentrated about her head and face, on the left side of her chest, the left side of her back towards the side

$$
\text { DIANA M. GREEN, CCR } 264 \text { (702) 671-3385 }
$$

of her body. She also had some stab injuries or sharp force injuries on her arms and hands.
Q. The injuries to Helen Sabraw's arms and hands, would you characterize those as defensive wounds?
A. Yes.
Q. There's been testimony elicited in the preliminary hearing that two knives were recovered at the murder scene of Helen Sabraw. Would knives be consistent with having inflicted the injuries you described as stab wounds to Helen Sabraw?
A. Yes.
Q. Other than the stab injuries that you described, were there any other significant findings that you made based on the photographs you observed?
A. Well, in addltion to the stab injurles, the sharp force infuries, she did have bruising around her face and scattered about her body.
Q. Did those appear to be, based on your observations, contemporaneous with each other and the stab wounds?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you reach an opinion, based on your observations of the photographs, regarding the cause of death of Helen Sabraw?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that opinion?
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| 1 | A. That she died esult of multiple sharp force |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | injuries. |
| 3 | Q. Did you reach an opinion regarding manner of death |
| 4 | of Helen Sabraw? |
| 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | Q. What is that opinion? |
| 7 | A. The manner of death is homicide in my opinion. |
| 8 | MR. DASKAS: Thank you. |
| 9 |  |
| 10 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 11 |  |
| 12 | BY MR. COFFEE: |
| 13 | Q. How were you able to eliminate heart attack, for |
| 14 | example? |
| 15 | A. Based upon Dr. Green's internal description of her |
| 16 | injuries, one of the stab wounds actually penetrated her |
| 17 | heart and another went into one of her pulmonary arteries. |
| 18 | Q. Let me rephrase. Looking at the pictures, not |
| 19 | relying on Dr. Green's report, are you able to eliminate |
| 20 | heart attack as a cause of death, for example? |
| 21 | A. In my opinion, based upon the number of stab |
| 22 | wounds, I don't think that a natural cause of death is |
| 23 | consistent with her injuries. |
| 24 | Q. Let's see if I can characterize it. More likely |
| 25 | than not the result of stab wounds, in fact highly likely the |
|  | diana M. GREEN, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385 |
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result of stab wounds, but without having actually your hands on the body, other than relying on Dr. Green's report, you can't eliminate a number of potential natural causes; is that fair to say?
A. Phrased like that, yes.
Q. What you were able to observe were primarily photographs of the exterior of the two bodies?
A. With the exception of Mr. Siegel, who had photographs of the inside of his head and brain, yes.
Q. The head had been peeled back to show the depressed skull fracture?
A. Yes.
Q. Or scaip, I should say. The injury to the hand, you said, appeared to be a cut or incision of some sort?
A. Are you referring to Sabraw or Siegel?
Q. No. To Mr. Siegel.
A. Yes.
Q. You said there was cut wounds caused by a straight edge of something most likely on the hand?
A. I believe I described it as an instrument with a sharp edge or sharp portion.
Q. A knife might fit then?
A. Yes, it could.
Q. Is it necessarily a knife?
A. Not necessarily, no.
diana m. green, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385
Q. 119 autopsies at this polnt?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. You've looked at a number of inclsed wounds or stab wounds?
A. Yes.
Q. Some dose examination might reveal, for example, whether a blade with a serrated edge was used?
A. Sometimes that's true, yes.
Q. Those sort of things, though, might be something you need to actually examine a body for, which might not be noticed or apparent in a photograph; Is that a fair characterization?
A. Sometimes you can see changes in a photograph that indicate that a serrated edge was used. Other times the changes might be very subtle and might not be best depicted in that photograph.
Q. You would agree with me the best case scenario would be for you to be able to perform an autopsy yourself, look at the body yourself?
A. Generally, yes.
Q. Photographs can provide a lot of background for you, but there might be things they didn't take a picture of that you would want to see, if you are doing the autopsy, for example?
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A. Possible, yes.
Q. There might be detalls concerning wounds and things like that that might give you additional dues, like a serrated-edged weapon was used, if you had your hands on the actual autopsy?
A. Possibly.
Q. You said straight edge, not necessarily knife. Do you have other examples what might have caused the wounds?
A. Generally, cuts can be inflicted by anything, paper cuts can obviously produce cuts in the skin, box cutters, broken glass can do it, ceramies potentially. There are a number of instruments that you can produce cuts on the skin.
Q. How about the edge of a table?
A. I think it would depend on the specific edge that was used.
Q. For example, a cut to the scalp might be caused if somebody fell against a hard edge of a table or surface like you are testifying, from an edge?
A. Possibly.
Q. The location of these on the hand, there is soft tissue around where they are at, and unlikely it was caused in a fall, for example, because of that, right?
A. In my opinion that's correct, yes.
Q. Is it possible a pinching sort of injury or other mechanism besides a knife or box cutter could cause Injury to
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the hand?
A. Pinching?
Q. Here's what I'm thinking. Pinching. You saw a picture of a 25 -pound dumbbell, right?
A. Actually, I didn't see a picture of 25-pound dumbbell.
Q. I'm sorry. Do you have the photo?
(Off-the-record discussion held between counsel.)

THE COURT: Sure.
MR. COFFEE: Permission to approach?
THE COURT: Sure.
BY MR. COFFEE:
Q. Showing you a picture of what's been marked and admitted as State's Exhibit 7. Do you see the dumbbell I'm referring to?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. The dumbbell has some edges around it. It's not a round dumbbell. The head of the dumbbell is octagonal, is that what it appears to be?
A. Yes.
Q. You can't tell from the picture if there's sharp edges on the dumbbell?
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```
would be p, ared to rest.
    THE COURT: All the exhibits have been admitted.
    MR. COFFEE: Yes.
    THE COURT: All through stipulation.
    (State's Exhibits 29 through 47
    were admitted into evidence.)
```

MR. DASKAS: With that, Judge, the State would

THE COURT: Mr. Coffee?
MR. COFFEE: Judge, I advised Mr. Ramos of his right to testify today. He's not going to exercise that right. The defense would rest, also.

THE COURT: No witnesses.
MR. COFFEE: No witnesses.
THE COURT: Any arguments?
MR. DASKAS: Judge, I wanted to ralse some
addItional points regarding that statute of limitations issue.

THE COURT: Wait a minute. Before going into that, there's no indication of any sexual assault or any penetration.

MR. DASKAS: Well, Judge --
DIANA M. GREEN, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385

THE COURT: Wait a minute. Where was it?
MR. DASKAS: Through Detective Vaccaro.
THE COURT: He's not an expert.
MR. DASKAS: Doesn't have to be an expert.
THE COURT: No, I'm not going to buy that.
MR. DASKAS: Can I make the argument?
THE COURT: Sure.
MR, DASKAS: What Detective Vaccaro testified to, as depicted in the photographs, that the victim, Helen Sabraw, who by the way was 86 years old, was found on the floor of her apartment; that her nightgown was pulled up above her breasts; that she had no other clothing; that significantly her underpants were found on the floor under her head and her a bra was found somewhere else on the floor near her body; that there was fecal matter running down her leg; and that he she was stabbed to death. That is clearly evidence of sexual assault.

Both the force used to incapacity her, as crude as it sounds, the fecal matter running out of her body would suggest something penetrated her anal cavity, overwhelming evidence of assault.

This Court is permitted to apply common sense in assessing the evidence. I think common sense absolutely tells us that she was sexually assaulted. The other thing is there was evidence that nothing was taken from her or her

[^2]apartment.
So what's the motive? Well, the motive is consistent with Detective Vaccaro's testimony, which is sexual assault, that a perpetrator was inside her apartment.

The other significant thing, there are two t-shirts found inside her apartment, one of which has D.N.A. of the defendant Gustavo Ramos. At some point he partially undothed himself having committed sexual assault.

Putting all those things together in totality and the detective's testimony, certainly there is evidence to support that charge.

THE COURT: I disagree.
MR. COFFEE: I can make arguments about it.
THE COURT: I'll tell you another thing, too, when a person dies, body fluids extend from the body. That is known. I have been through autopsies and what have you before. When a person is murdered, automatically fluids from the body are ejected from the body.

MR. COFFEE: I was going to make that point, Judge.

THE COURT: To me, there is no evidence other than guessing. There is no substantial evidence, physical evidence, that shows that. I'm not saying there wasn't, but to this Court there has been no proof of any type of sexual assault penetration. So those two charges will be
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murder wil, use of a deadly weapon, victim the age of 65 years of age or older count II, open murder with use of a deadly weapon, victim 65 years of age or older, and there is reasonable grounds to believe the defendant, Gustavo Ramos, committed those erimes, I hereby order said defendant to be held to answer sald charges in the Elghth Judicial District Court, State of Nevada, County of Clark.

THE CLERK: January 3rd at 9:00 a.m. lower level Courtroom A.

MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
-000-

ATTEST: FULL, TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE EEST OF MY ABILITY, EXPERIENCE AND KNOWLEDGE.

dismissed.
MS. WECKERLY: In this case, the fecal matter is on a different part of the carpet than the body.

THE COURT: It doesn't make any difference.
MS. WECKERLY: It comes out of her after death,
If she's not in the same position.
MR. COFFEE: Also we have the coroner saying,
from looking at the photographs, there is nothing in the photograph showing trauma.

MR. DASKAS: That is absolutely untrue. She said she didn't have a photograph to depict, which is different from saying -.

THE COURT: I'm saying, for the purpose of this hearing, it was not shown or proven or established that there was sexual assault, penetration. Those two charges are dismissed.

MR. DASKAS: Submit it on the other charges.
MR. COFFEE: Our objection stands on the statute of limitations.

THE COURT: I will have to rule on that.
MR. COFFEE: If it's dismissed, it's moot at this point.

THE COURT: Therefore, it appearing to me from the Complaint on file herein that crimes were committed, in Case No. 10F19783X, and those are the crimes in Count 1 of

[^3]| 1 | 3 | 38/25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '92[1] 34/10 |  |  |
| - | 30 million [1] 98/11 | $\begin{aligned} & 9-1-1[3] 11 / 127 / 1770 / 8 \\ & 94[1] 2 / 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| -000[1] 127/13 | 300 million [1] 99/22 | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \text { [1] } 2 / 21 \\ & 98 \text { [1] } 38 / 25 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 31 [1] 63/7 | 988-2550 [1] 109/4 |
|  | 32 [3] 82/9 89/17 95/16 | $\underset{47 / 4}{980516-0400}$ [5] 24/6 36/20 37/2 46/6 |
| . 05 [1] 99/19 | 33 [5] 2/8 64/1 82/11 95/17 98/23 |  |
| . 05 percent [1] 99/19 | 3385 [1] 1/25 |  |
| . 5 [1] 99/19 | 34 [1] 64/13 | $\begin{aligned} & 980517-0849[1] 81 / 13 \\ & 99[1] 2 / 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| 0 | 35 [1] 66/6 |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0400[5] \\ 0848 \text { [2] } 84 / 636 / 1695 / 7 \\ 0849[1] 81 / 13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 00 \text { [1] } 127 / 8 \\ & \text { 9:38 a.m[1] } 87 / 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 37 [1] 80/20 | A |
| 1 | $\text { 3rd [i] } 127 / 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A-L-A-N-E[1] 107/1 } \\ & \text { A-U-T-R-E-Y[1] 33/22 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1-28 [1] 3/6 | 4 | $\text { a.m [5] } 1 / 164 / 117 / 1987 / 8127 / 8$$\text { ABEL [2] } 1 / 214 / 10$ |
| 10 [3] 21/6 21/9 95/16 | $\begin{aligned} & 40[1] 2 / 8 \\ & 400[1] 31 / 21 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 10-269839-1 [1] 1/1 |  | ABILITY [1] 127/15 |
| 108 [1] 2/24 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 400[1] \quad 31 / 2 \\ & 41[1] \quad 66 / 11 \end{aligned}\right.$ | able [28] 23/11 42/8 42/15 43/5 43/8 52/25 69/4 71/24 72/3 75/2 87/25 96/18 |
| 10F19783X [2] 1/9 126/25 | 42 [1] 66/25 |  |
| 11 [3] 24/17 29/12 35/19 | 4255 [6] 17/10 17/24 34/18 60/1 60/9 | 97/5 97/18 97/22 98/15 98/16 98/20 99/3 <br> 99/4 101/6 104/10 104/24 108/6 117/13 |
| 117 [1] 2/24 | 80/2 |  |
| 12 [7] 24/21 25/1 29/10 29/12 29/20 | 43 [3] 3/7 61/22 68/1 | 99/4 101/6 104/10 104/24 108/6 117/13 <br> 117/19 118/6 119/19 |
| 29/21 101/5 | 44 [5] $2 / 11$ 62/1 83/9 83/13 83/1 |  |
| 120 [13] 19/16 19/23 19/25 20/1 21/13 | 44-47 [1] 3/7 |  |
| 23/9 23/14 23/19 23/20 24/12 34/18 39/7 | 45 [3] 83/9 83/16 83/17 | $32 / 13 \text { 33/11 44/14 51/1 51/2 54/2 54/12 }$ |
| 62/14 | 46 [8] 3/8 82/13 82/19 89/13 90/10 95/18 | 55/18 57/13 58/13 61/11 62/16 64/3 65/2 |
| 123 [2] 3/7 3/7 | 100/3 101/14 | $68 / 2068 / 2372 / 1273 / 15$ 74/24 78/25 85/4$86 / 1587 / 1488 / 12 ~ 93 / 5 ~ 93 / 17 ~ 96 / 10 ~ 98 / 15 ~$ |
| 12:30 [1] 88/24 | 47 [11] 3/7 3/9 61/19 62/1 82/13 83/4 |  |
| 13 [3] 24/21 25/1 35/19 | 89/13 89/15 95/19 101/14 123/6 | 86/15 87/14 88/12 93/5 93/17 96/10 98/15 99/5 101/14 103/13 103/15 105/18 106/16 |
| 14 [1] 22/7 | 48 [4] 69/15 69/20 70/1 113/21 | $\begin{array}{lllll} 107 / 5 & 108 / 15 & 109 / 13 & 110 / 23 & 111 / 12 \\ 112 / 20 & 114 / 21 & 115 / 24 & 116 / 17 & 120 / 13 \end{array}$ |
| 15 [4] 39/3 97/19 108/18 108/23 | $48-58[11,3 / 8$ |  |
| 16 [10] 1/16 2/5 4/1 21/8 22/20 22/25 34/17 35/11 35/20 39/6 | 5 | 122/3 125/13 |
| 16th [2] 17/9 20/15 |  |  |
| 17 [5] 7/10 60/1 80/21 108/22 109/3 | 50 [1] 2/11 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { absent [1] } & 11 / 12 \\ \text { absolutely } & \text { 3] } & 13 / 21 & 124 / 23 \\ \text { l } & 126 / 10\end{array}$ |
| 171.083 [3] 7/1 10/12 14/11 |  |  |
| 17th [15] 25/8 59/18 60/13 61/23 62/9 | 500 [2] 31/21 31/22 55 [1] 2/12 | $\text { access [5] } 18 / 818 / 9 \quad 23 / 1423 / 2161 / 6$ |
| 68/8 80/1 86/6 86/13 87/13 87/20 88/1 | 55 [1] 2/12 | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { account [2] } & 14 / 11 & 92 / 5 \\ \text { accurate[4] } & 49 / 2150 / 9 & 85 / 15 \\ \text { 127/15 }\end{array}$ |
| 88/20 89/2 89/8 | 59 [11] $2 / 153 / 838 / 3$ 38/8 38/16 39/20 |  |
| 18th [1] 88/3 | 46/8 46/10 46/13 46/16 46/21$5: 00$ [1] $17 / 18$ | accurate [4] 49/21 50/9 85/15 127/15 accurately [2] 18/22 46/13 |
| 19 [1] 3/6 |  | acquaintance [1] 71/11 <br> act [3] 7/4 10/15 15/4 <br> active [1] 30/5 |
| 1988 [1] 7/1 | 5:30 a.m [1] 17/19 |  |
| 1991 [1] 79/23 | 6 |  |
| 17/25 18/24 18/25 20/15 21/8 22/20 22/25 | ```6.5 [1] 99/16 60 [17] 3/9 38/3 38/8 38/17 38/20 39/13 39/17 39/23 45/25 45/25 46/11 46/14 47/25 48/4 48/22 49/9 49/18 600 million [1] 97/13 61 [4] 46/25 47/16 47/20 47/25 61-63 [1] 3/9 62 [2] 46/25 47/16 63 [6] 3/9 5/7 46/25 47/16 47/20 47/25 65 [3] 5/21 127/2 127/3 650 [2] 99/15 99/23 671-3385[1] \(1 / 25\) 69 [1] \(3 / 8\)``` | actual [8] 39/9 41/17 51/3 51/5 62/19 80/17 87/1 120/5 |
| 25/8 34/17 35/11 35/20 39/3 39/6 59/18 |  |  |
| 60/1 70/18 79/24 80/1 80/21 94/25 108/1 |  | actually [17] 49/2 60/15 60/20 65/5 75/25 81/14 86/4 96/6 96/25 100/1 110/20 111/15 112/25 117/16 118/1 119/11 121/5 |
| 108/22 109/3 109/6 |  |  |
| 19th [1] 88/3 |  |  |
| 1st[1] $87 / 8$ |  | ```111/15 112/25 117/16 118/1 119/11 121/5 add [1] 9/13 added [2] 5/19 8/5``` |
| 2 |  | added [2] 5/19 8/5 <br> addition [4] 53/8 53/9 53/16 116/15 <br> additional [6] 52/13 67/4 83/25 89/3 |
| 2006 [1] 34 |  |  |
| 2007 [1] 59/11 |  | 120/3 123/20 |
| 2010 [2] 1/16 4/1 |  | address [4] 6/2 6/5 13/4 60/10 |
| 20th [1] 88/3 |  | administrative [1] 56/17 |
| 21 [3] 48/4 48/5 110/14 |  | admission [2] 19/2 46/16 |
| 212 [6] 62/6 62/12 62/20 80/4 80/18 | 7 |  |
| 23 [1] 110/14 |  | admitted [24] $3 / 5$ 19/8 19/11 25/24 $35 / 1839 / 15 ~ 39 / 18 ~ 45 / 24 ~ 46 / 19 ~ 46 / 22 ~$ |
| 25 [3] 57/19 112/12 112/13 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 702[1] \\ & 71 \text { [1] } 2 / 25 \end{aligned}\right.$ | 35/18 39/15 39/18 45/24 46/19 46/22 <br> 47/17 47/21 63/7 66/10 69/23 70/2 80/19 |
| 25 pound [1] 111/6 | 75-year-old [1] 107/7 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 / 12 \quad 95 / 18 \quad 108 / 17 \quad 113 / 21 \quad 121 / 17 \quad 123 / 2 \\ & 123 / 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 25-pound [5] 21/5 32/10 111/3 121/4 |  |  |
| 121/5 | 8 | ```advised [1] 123/13 affect [1] 73/8 after[11] 6/2 17/18 51/21 55/13 56/15 56/16 63/10 70/21 88/7 110/15 126/5 again [16] 11/23 15/20 20/23 32/17 67/3 73/14 84/15 87/10 92/5 98/5 98/6 98/25``` |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26 \text { [2] } 2 / 5112 / 12 \\ & 264[2] 1 / 25 \quad 127 / 17 \\ & 28 \text { [6] } 3 / 6 \quad 18 / 1618 / 21 \quad 19 / 319 / 10108 / 18 \\ & 29 \text { [3] } 61 / 1961 / 22123 / 6 \\ & 29-43 \text { [1] } 3 / 7 \end{aligned}$ | 84 [1] $2 / 18$ <br> 86 [3] $7 / 9$ 107/9 124/10 <br> 86 -year-old [3] 12/12 14/5 113/20 <br> 882,000 [3] $97 / 997 / 1597 / 25$ <br> $8: 30$ [2] $1 / 164 / 1$ |  |


| A | $\text { anything [21] } 9 / 1313 / 2313 / 2329$ | $\begin{array}{\|lll} \text { 114/9 } \\ \text { assault [55] } & 5 / 206 / 17 & 6 / 227 / 37 / 57 / 8 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| again... [4] 99/1 101/10 112, 122/5 | 31/17 32/12 43/9 56/3 64/25 67/10 | 7/14 7/22 7/25 8/7 8/11 8/17 8/20 8/22 |
| against [4] 53/22 74/9 96/15 120/17 | 91/15 91/21 91/24 92/7 98/15 100/19 | 8/24 9/25 10/15 10/16 10/18 11/3 11/4 |
| age [4] 5/21 127/1 127/2 127/3 | 100/20 103/9 120/9 122/5 | 11/7 11/8 11/17 11/19 12/3 12/3 12/17 |
| agency [3] 10/22 11/9 11/19 | apartment [27] 12/12 19/19 19/19 19/25 | 13/3 13/12 13/13 14/1 14/2 14/13 15/4 |
| agents [2] 12/23 13/17 | 20/1 21/13 23/9 23/14 23/20 24/12 34/18 | 15/5 15/7 15/15 15/23 68/9 68/19 71/18 |
| ago [8] 6/14 29/12 29/14 29/20 29/2 | 35/4 39/7 61/2 62/24 63/11 63/14 65/20 | 71/21 74/20 100/17 100/18 100/19 114/2 |
| 41/3 43/19 72/10 | 70/9 80/4 80/18 83/15 92/3 124/11 125/1 | 123/23 124/17 124/21 125/4 125/8 125/3 |
| agree [10] 6/11 52/15 54/19 56/16 85/8 | 125/4 125/6 | 126/15 |
| 90/14 90/21 99/22 101/5 119/19 | apartments [6] 18/9 18/10 60/7 61/4 | assaulted [2] 114/11 124/24 |
| agreed [3] 6/25 47/6 90/13 | 61/6 86/11 | asserted [1] 111/20 |
| ahead [7] 16/2 55/25 57/16 81/25 82/4 | apparent[13] 14/8 21/9 25/1 27/21 28/7 | assessing [1] 124/23 |
| 107/13 115/2 | 28/7 28/11 28/18 36/3 37/11 90/15 90/17 | assign [1] 95/2 |
| ALANE [6] 2/23 105/10 105/22 106/20 | 119/12 | assigned [8] 17/5 24/3 26/17 26/20 29/25 |
| 107/1 107/8 | appeal [1] $22 / 2$ | 59/12 81/17 94/11 |
| alibi [2] 30/19 31/9 | appear[14] 11/20 51/17 52/18 52/22 | assignment [3] 17/3 34/25 45/12 |
| alike [1] 20/5 | 65/3 66/3 67/5 73/10 80/20 80/24 90/2 | assist [2] 80/7 80/14 |
| all [34] 4/22 7/16 11/21 19/4 20/23 24/8 | 91/8 110/4 116/18 | assisted [1] 18/2 |
| 36/18 43/5 45/25 48/15 52/1 55/3 56/4 | appearance [2] 68/13 112/23 | associated [4] 67/24 85/25 87/4 97/6 |
| 56/18 61/20 61/21 65/9 73/14 73/14 76/4 | APPEARANCES [1] 1/18 | association [1] 31/2 |
| 76/9 77/19 87/9 97/18 97/23 98/17 99/4 | appeared [8] 18/23 18/24 35/20 51/1 | assume [3] 10/6 18/6 53/21 |
| 106/10 109/9 122/23 122/25 123/2 123/4 | 61/23 63/4 68/17 118/14 | assumed [3] 99/14 99/20 103/14 |
| 125/9 | appearing [1] 126/23 | Assuming [1] 23/25 |
| allowed [2] 8/5 56/18 | appears [9] 63/22 63/24 65/17 65/22 | at [136] |
| almost [2] 64/20 65/9 | 89/16 111/14 111/24 112/16 121/22 | Atkins [6] 35/13 35/24 40/20 40/25 41/1 |
| along [4] 4/11 64/5 64/6 68/17 | applies [2] 103/19 103/20 apply [3] 13/19 15/19 124/2 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll} 80 / 14 \\ \text { attack }[2] & 117 / 13 & 117 / 20 \end{array}\right.$ |
|     <br> also [39] $1 / 23$ $9 / 25$ $11 / 9$ $11 / 22$ <br> $13 / 18$    | appreciate [2] 7/18 78/6 | attacker [1] 69/4 |
| 15/23 20/12 20/21 20/22 22/1 23/6 23/20 | approach [2] 18/12 121/13 | attend [2] 25/9 69/6 |
| 25/17 30/20 31/10 41/20 49/1 50/9 53/11 | appropriate [2] 77/8 102/17 | attention [6] 17/9 25/8 34/17 67/10 |
| 53/16 56/17 63/4 64/7 64/22 66/17 66/22 | approving [1] 87/3 | 67/22 80/23 |
| 67/6 68/5 70/10 70/12 77/22 83/6 89/6 | approximately [4] 17/15 94/14 99/16 | ATTEST [1] 127/15 |
| 96/14 109/18 109/21 116/1 123/15 126/7 | 101/4 | attorney [2] 1/20 76/10 |
| alternative [7] 5/22 6/1 8/16 9/6 9/13 | arches [1] 42/18 | attorneys [4] 4/10 4/11 4/25 106/11 |
| 9/14 9/19 | are [128] | author [1] 87/1 |
| altogether [1] 52/19 <br> always [3] 28/8 69/2 122/18 |  | authorization [1] 11/13 authorize [1] 15/8 |
| $\text { am [12] } 10 / 2411 / 2 \text { 12/7 } 14 / 117 / 234 / 6$ | 67/6 67/8 67/10 67/12 67/15 68/18 80/23 | authorized [8] 4/9 7/4 10/15 11/10 11/11 |
| 53/13 55/18 57/17 59/7 66/10 88/23 | 81/3 83/18 90/16 96/14 96/15 96/18 97/5 | 11/12 11/16 15/4 |
| amended [3] 5/10 5/19 7/17 | 98/2 98/5 98/18 98/20 98/24 99/1 99/3 | automatically [1] 125/17 |
| among [3] 4/25 34/12 35/9 | 99/6 102/15 110/20 | autopsies [4] 19/5 108/1 119/2 125/16 |
| amount [2] 20/9 90/14 | areas [10] 37/10 61/4 96/10 96/17 97/22 | autopsy[23] $3 / 63 / 87 / 12$ 18/24 25/9 |
| amplify [1] 97/17 | 98/13 98/24 100/5 102/17 109/18 | 25/12 25/17 25/22 69/6 69/17 107/6 107/8 |
| anal [1] 124/20 | aren't [1] 14/24 | 108/3 108/13 108/15 108/22 109/3 109/5 |
| analysis[7] 10/4 45/17 56/9 91/4 93/3 | argued [1] 8/13 | 113/25 114/9 119/19 119/24 120/5 |
| 96/1 97/7 | argument [9] 9/2 9/2 12/5 13/14 13/20 | AUTREY [8] 2/7 30/1 33/7 33/15 33/21 |
| analyst[14] $24 / 2434 / 835 / 2339 / 24$ | 14/3 15/19 16/1 124/6 | $34 / 438 / 1644 / 1$ |
| 40/12 79/20 80/9 80/10 94/21 94/23 95/22 | arguments [5] 8/7 11/11 11/12 123/18 | available [1] 70/19 |
| 97/10 100/5 100/7 | $125 / 13$ |  |
| analysts [3] 56/10 103/5 103/7 | $\underset{100 / 4}{\operatorname{armpit}[6] ~ 96 / 15 ~ 98 / 2 ~ 98 / 4 ~ 98 / 20 ~ 99 / 1 ~}$ | away [2] $8 / 1335 / 3$ <br> awhile [2] 57/18 76/23 |
|  |  |  |
| $\text { anger [1] } 32 / 15$ | around [15] 9/15 9/18 10/20 11/6 22/3 | $B$ |
| animosity [2] 30/24 32/13 <br> another [19] 18/10 40/11 54/14 60/14 | 57/19 63/14 65/9 65/13 67/16 74/25 75/2 <br> 116/16 120/21 121/20 | back[45] 5/1 6/12 7/14 17/9 17/20 17/25 <br> 18/23 18/24 20/6 20/15 21/8 21/9 22/20 |
| 60/18 65/12 65/14 67/20 76/13 77/6 81/5 | arrest [1] 30/2 | 23/18 35/20 36/8 37/7 39/10 46/9 46/10 |
| 89/8 93/2 101/24 112/3 112/16 114/9 | arrival [1] 88/24 | 46/13 61/14 62/3 67/2 82/24 83/20 84/19 |
| 117/17 125/14 | arrive [2] 17/15 35/1 | 86/2 86/10 89/3 89/16 89/19 90/1 91/10 |
| answer [9] 85/15 85/20 85/23 87/23 91/6 | arrived [6] 17/18 20/5 30/14 30/16 34/20 | 102/18 106/10 108/1 108/22 109/6 112/5 |
| 92/12 104/3 114/18 127/6 | 35/7 | 12/13 114/7 115/15 115/25 118/10 |
| answered [1] 92/9 | arriving [1] 34/24 | background [1] 119/22 |
| anticipate [1] 5/23 |  | badge [2] 108/23 109/2 |
| anticipation [1] 114/1 | arteries [1] 117/17 | badly [1] $32 / 8$ |
| any [54] 5/16 6/13 7/2 10/21 10/24 11/2 | as [124] | bag [4] 89/3 101/19 101/20 102/8 |
| 12/25 14/13 15/3 15/22 23/4 26/14 26/15 | ask[14] 4/22 35/9 36/18 91/7 91/17 | bar [1] 61/14 |
| 28/25 29/1 30/10 31/12 36/11 40/21 42/20 | 100/6 104/16 108/15 109/9 111/20 114/4 | barbell [3] 3/6 21/5 24/19 |
| 49/7 51/20 52/22 56/10 64/24 65/3 68/20 | 114/16 114/21 115/19 | based [28] 8/13 12/1 21/1 22/15 28/4 |
| 71/14 76/4 76/18 83/23 84/3 84/17 86/12 | asked [8] 21/17 57/12 60/1 60/11 80/1 | 54/20 71/18 73/5 73/22 75/6 91/8 91/20 |
| 86/17 88/18 89/18 89/20 90/7 91/9 97/6 | 80/6 94/24 95/6 | 91/20 91/21 92/16 108/11 110/6 111/23 |
| 99/4 102/15 103/3 103/9 112/1 114/10 | asking [6] 50/6 55/18 86/20 86/21 88/2 | 112/23 113/8 113/13 114/3 116/13 116/18 |
| 115/12 116/13 123/18 123/23 123/23 | $88 / 22$ | 116/21 117/15 117/21 122/8 |
| $125 / 24126 / 4$ | aspect[5] 15/12 15/20 85/16 109/19 | basic [1] 73/1 |







looking...[4] 111/23 117/10-32/5 126/8
looks [8] 28/1 54/18 63/13 63/17 64/20
87/7 89/23 90/25
loops [1] 42/18
lot [11] 13/1 63/2 67/13 67/17 68/23
76/21 76/23 77/2 85/6 90/19 119/22
lounge [1] 20/5
low [1] 60/8
lower [4] 38/25 66/12 67/5 127/8
lving [2] 24/19 67/2
M
M-A-R-S-C-H-N-E-R [1] 94/3
ma'am [1] 94/22
made [8] 10/25 23/12 48/2 48/16 52/14
74/18 93/5 116/13
main [2] 61/8 61/16
maintenance [1] 61/17
major [6] 96/23 96/23 97/8 97/18 98/6 98/7
majority [1] 40/19
make [19] $12 / 25$ 13/1 23/18 30/2 49/8 49/19 54/7 54/8 55/1 56/2 72/6 85/3 89/7 102/7 104/7 124/6 125/13 125/19 126/4
makes [3] 6/2 14/25 101/21
making [2] $14 / 3$ 53/22
male [2] 35/2 107/5
manner [7] 37/16 107/11 108/7 113/14 113/18 117/3 117/7
many [11] $4 / 13$ 13/7 17/6 18/3 31/19
57/5 57/7 59/16 73/7 88/16 115/15

## mark [1] 5/2

marked [11] 5/8 5/17 38/1 38/4 48/4
61/18 69/14 110/14 113/20 121/16 122/25
marquis [1] 60/23
MARSCHNER [6] 2/20 92/24 93/3 93/21 94/2 94/20
Marshall [1] 44/6
Martinez [2] 96/24 98/7
match [2] 53/23 91/9
math [1] 99/25
matter [12] 4/23 4/25 13/5 14/8 67/15
75/17 75/18 76/13 111/19 124/15 124/19 126/2
may[52] 6/25 7/10 7/14 9/22 11/16 13/2 13/2 13/20 17/9 17/25 18/13 18/23 20/15 21/8 22/20 22/25 25/8 28/8 31/5 34/10
34/17 35/11 35/20 36/21 38/13 39/3 39/6 45/1 45/2 52/18 54/15 58/25 59/1 59/18
60/1 74/19 75/22 76/11 76/19 79/13 79/24
80/1 80/21 86/23 87/13 91/22 96/13 108/1
108/22 109/3 114/24 114/24
maybe [3] 53/10 61/16 101/13
McLanes [1] 17/21
me [38] 7/17 9/10 9/22 15/2 18/15 21/22
26/8 32/14 35/18 35/19 38/9 39/2 43/5
48/2 50/2 56/21 57/2 61/20 68/24 72/8
76/8 76/8 89/15 91/7 91/17 98/8 104/7
110/8 114/4 114/8 114/16 114/21 115/1
115/19 117/18 119/18 125/21 126/23
mean [4] 12/21 48/21 49/2 85/13
Meaning [1] 30/6
means [2] 49/4 49/5
mechanism [1] 120/25
mechanisms [1] 90/20
medical [1] 72/5
medically [1] 15/17
memorialized [1] 7/12
memorializing [1] 34/13
memory [4] 36/24 81/25 88/11 91/20 mentally [2] 15/16 15/16
mentioned [14] 12/6 25/4 25/6 36/14 37/3 37/13 39/3 48/24 56/25 110/3
112/19 113/11 115/15
Metro [17] 24/4 34/7 34/10 41/3 46/5
55/8 56/1 57/6 57/18 59/12 79/22 79/23
81/8 94/12 94/23 95/2 95/8
Metro's [1] 41/7
Metropolitan [8] 17/1 34/5 45/11 53/15 59/9 70/6 79/21 94/10
might [35] 9/1 11/11 11/16 13/18 28/1
30/23 32/15 42/1 53/7 53/23 66/4 74/16
75/13 77/20 77/25 84/5 85/22 87/16 89/5 90/24 98/16 100/6 102/2 102/21 118/22 119/7 119/10 119/11 119/16 119/16 119/23 120/2 120/3 120/8 120/16
Mike [4] 35/13 40/19 40/25 41/1
millimeter [1] 86/1
million [4] 97/13 98/11 99/22 99/22
mind [1] 67/24
minor [1] 98/16
minute [3] 106/5 123/22 124/1
minutes [3] 5/2 73/16 106/3
minutes-old [1] 73/16
miracles [1] 77/1
misidentified [2] 54/3 57/13
misrepresent [1] 93/8
missing [2] 21/13 23/7
mistaken [1] 108/18
mistakes [1] 85/5
mixture [5] 96/22 97/9 98/5 98/12 98/19
mobile [1] 102/6
moment [1] 114/9
money [7] 22/11 22/12 22/24 22/25 23/4

## 23/6 26/15

month [2] 73/20 73/22
months [2] 6/14 17/8
moot [1] 126/21
more [12] 8/8 10/1 29/10 30/23 49/2
50/2 62/12 88/12 89/23 101/21 117/24 122/10
morning [3] 5/18 20/4 64/20
mortem [3] 5/25 8/20 8/21
most [5] 32/10 102/25 109/13 109/25 118/19
motive [7] 30/25 69/5 73/25 74/3 74/19 125/2 125/2
move [4] 19/2 39/13 46/16 47/16
moved [3] 73/11 75/9 122/25
movement [2] 67/13 67/17
moves [1] 69/20
moving [1] 61/11
MP3 [2] 37/9 37/12
$\operatorname{Mr}[20] \quad 2 / 5$ 2/5 $2 / 8$ 2/8 $2 / 1112 / 112 / 12$
2/15 2/18 2/21 2/24 2/24 4/12 8/13 44/1
55/8 57/24 107/14 109/11 112/13
Mr. [38] 4/10 4/10 5/1 6/1 7/17 9/4 10/5
12/1 13/2 13/14 14/1 15/1 15/13 19/4
26/12 34/4 38/16 45/9 45/15 45/16 45/21
45/23 46/25 47/6 47/8 47/24 57/5 93/7
97/2 103/15 108/22 109/2 110/10 110/15
118/8 118/16 123/12 123/13
Mr. Abel [1] 4/10
Mr. Autrey [2] 34/4 38/16
Mr. Chandier [1] 19/4
Mr. Coffee [8] 4/10 5/1 6/1 45/15 45/21
47/6 103/15 123/12
Mr. Coffee's [2] 12/1 14/1
Mr. Daskas [7] 7/17 9/4 10/5 13/2 15/1 15/13 93/7
Mr. Daskas's [1] 13/14
Mr. Gunther [1] 57/5
Mr. Johnson [6] 45/9 45/16 45/23 46/25 47/8 47/24

Mr. Ramos [2] 97/2 123/13
Mr. Siegel [S] 26/12 108/22 110/10 118/8 118/16
Mr. Siegel's [2] 109/2 110/15
Ms [6] 2/15 2/18 2/21 71/9 93/3 107/25
Ms. [3] 4/12 70/15 94/20
Ms. Marschner [1] 94/20
Ms. Parks [1] 70/15
Ms. Weckerly [1] 4/12
much [11] 10/1 10/3 27/24 42/10 43/23
44/2 54/25 92/22 101/9 105/8 122/17
multiple [4] 84/8 114/6 115/19 117/1 murder [12] 11/5 21/2 27/23 30/5 31/1
60/14 71/20 72/10 111/4 116/7 127/1
127/2
murdered [1] 125/17
murders [1] $12 / 2$
must [2] 14/1 14/16
my [50] 12/7 13/20 17/17 24/24 30/15
33/21 35/16 36/21 40/13 42/21 48/4 49/22
51/12 54/5 62/22 67/24 68/13 68/14 68/18 72/8 73/8 74/8 76/8 79/11 79/23 80/14 82/18 84/20 85/15 86/15 86/25 88/14 92/4 92/5 94/2 95/14 96/7 102/21 105/4 105/10 107/1 107/3 111/7 111/23 112/24 113/8 117/7 117/21 120/23 127/15

## myself [2] 81/17 107/25

## N

N.R.S [1] 7/1
naked [2] 51/9 52/14
name [22] 16/17 16/18 33/19 33/20
33/21 44/22 44/23 58/21 58/22 60/22 79/8
79/9 79/11 93/25 94/1 94/2 106/1 106/2
106/24 106/25 107/1 109/3
named [2] 59/24 70/12
narrow [1] 15/10
natural [2] 117/22 118/3
nature [1] 110/16
near [7] 40/22 62/13 62/15 64/22 66/2 112/14 124/15
necessarily [3] 118/24 118/25 120/7
neck [11] 64/21 65/9 65/10 68/16 96/14
96/18 97/5 98/18 102/19 109/19 114/7
need [5] 12/17 38/1 76/14 81/22 119/11
needed [1] 13/22
needs [1] 7/21
negative [1] 100/21
NEVADA [6] $1 / 41 / 64 / 6$ 17/13 60/4 127/7
never [6] $9 / 21$ 12/2 12/6 23/8 54/5 74/13
newspaper [16] 24/11 24/15 24/18 24/23
25/2 27/21 28/2 36/7 36/16 36/16 36/19
37/3 39/11 41/11 41/17 50/24
newspapers [4] 25/5 36/3 36/3 37/10
next [9] 33/6 39/20 44/5 58/5 78/17
92/23 93/1 105/9 106/12
nightgown [3] 12/13 65/5 124/11
nine [1] 17/7
ninhydrin [2] 51/23 52/5
no [87]
non [1] 97/22
none [1] 29/3
normal [1] 6/21
not [112]
notations [1] 88/10
note [1] 14/10
noted [1] 61/9
notes [11] 84/17 85/8 85/10 85/13 85/14 86/12 86/16 88/10 95/14 103/5 104/4
nothing [16] 16/9 33/11 43/23 43/25
44/14 49/19 57/23 58/13 78/25 92/19
93/17 105/18 106/16 122/16 124/25 126/8
notice [4] 7/16 7/18 31/17 78/10


117/16 117/17 125/6 one-bedroom [1] 35/4 only [8] $4 / 25$ 45/16 86/9 92/10 92/12
now [20] 4/5 5/12 6/5 13/20 25/8 26/7
29/15 44/7 51/8 61/22 64/13 64/18 65/11
66/10 71/16 73/2 73/9 81/23 83/9 88/4
nude [3] 12/12 64/20 68/17
number [46] 8/2 19/15 24/3 24/6 24/9
25/6 28/5 30/8 31/23 45/23 46/6 47/4
57/18 57/19 62/5 81/9 81/10 81/12 81/15
81/16 81/18 82/8 82/18 83/7 83/7 84/15
85/11 95/5 95/5 95/8 95/10 96/8 97/15
97/23 99/15 99/18 109/5 109/24 112/13
117/21 118/3 119/1 119/4 120/12 122/13 122/14
numbering [1] 48/5
numbers [6] 54/22 81/20 82/17 86/16 95/2 95/12
numerous [2] 14/6 109/18
nursing [1] 18/1

## 0

O-L-S-O-N [1] 107/2
object [6] $9 / 10$ 32/10 55/15 67/19 111/1 111/8
objection [22] 6/2 6/3 6/6 9/25 19/7
21/18 22/1 39/14 42/25 46/18 47/18 57/10
69/22 82/2 82/3 91/13 103/10 104/2
111/17 114/12 115/7 126/18
observations [5] 22/16 73/5 114/3
116/18 116/22
observe [2] 115/16 118/6
observed [10] 20/3 22/11 22/11 22/25
67/15 109/10 109/25 112/2 113/13 116/14
observing [1] 37/7
obtain [2] 96/18 99/3
obvious [4] 34/20 50/6 74/15 109/16
obviously [7] 59/18 62/7 71/21 79/24
82/22 83/19 120/10
occasions [1] 87/19
occupant [1] 66/5
occur [1] 110/4
occurred [6] 5/25 6/25 60/15 62/15 91/11 94/25
octagonal [1] 121/21
off [10] 4/19 5/4 20/8 67/13 69/10 87/16 93/10 96/25 97/4 121/9
Off-the-record [5] 4/19 5/4 69/10 93/10 121/9
offense [1] $9 / 3$
offered [2] 22/4 111/19
office [3] 4/11 104/17 107/21
officer[3] 10/17 12/24 12/25
officers [2] 7/12 15/6
official [1] $87 / 5$
Oh [1] 77/21
okay [22] 29/3 42/19 43/5 43/16 51/23
56/2 61/21 64/11 69/16 78/14 86/4 87/2 88/9 88/21 89/5 91/7 93/13 96/3 101/18 101/21 106/9 110/21
old [11] $6 / 137 / 9$ 12/12 14/5 29/10 73/16 101/6 107/7 107/9 113/20 124/10
older [3] 5/21 127/2 127/3
OLSON [15] $2 / 23$ 105/10 105/22 106/20
107/1 107/6 107/8 107/9 107/20 109/9
109/24 110/23 111/20 115/4 122/17
on [203]
once [3] 43/18 48/14 56/5
one [36] 7/22 7/22 8/22 12/23 13/16 25/8 27/14 35/4 38/9 38/19 38/19 38/20 41/23 45/24 49/23 50/2 54/18 62/15 72/22 73/24 77/1 77/3 86/9 88/16 95/19 97/9 97/13 97/15 97/25 98/11 101/24 102/2 107/5

92/16 97/22 102/12
000 [1] 127/13
open [7] 14/2 30/4 70/23 102/8 102/8 104/8 127/2
opened [1] 57/13
opinion [23] 45/17 73/8 74/18 107/10 108/7 108/10 108/11 108/14 110/23 111/7 111/12 111/23 112/20 112/24 113/6
113/17 116/21 116/25 117/3 117/6 117/7 117/21 120/23
opportunity [1] 73/1
opposed [6] 43/14 49/14 49/24 88/2
88/10 108/12
option [1] $8 / 19$
or [114]
order [1] 127/5
original [7] 50/18 50/21 50/22 50/23 50/23 51/19 52/3
other [60] $8 / 22$ 14/10 15/12 18/8 23/8 25/5 29/17 34/13 34/21 35/1 35/9 53/10 53/11 53/18 54/12 61/9 61/16 62/14 63/14 64/22 67/25 74/19 84/1 84/10 86/16 90/9 91/16 91/21 91/22 91/23 91/23 92/4 92/5 92/13 100/16 102/3 103/3 103/5 103/7 103/15 103/18 103/23 107/13 110/3 111/9 112/1 114/8 114/20 116/12 116/13 116/19 118/2 119/15 120/8 120/24 124/12 124/24 125/5 125/21 126/17
others [2] 36/7 84/1
otherwise [1] 14/15
our [14] $4 / 178 / 158 / 2335 / 1560 / 17$ 62/12 64/18 67/2 68/23 72/9 89/1 99/15 100/9 126/18
out [30] 4/15 4/16 10/5 10/7 22/10 22/19 28/16 31/10 36/4 43/7 43/15 52/22 55/19 60/23 61/13 70/15 72/21 73/4 73/24 73/25 83/1 85/10 87/20 88/23 89/7 90/25 101/16 103/23 124/19 126/5
outer [3] 61/7 62/15 68/16
outlined [1] 113/5
outside [13] 4/23 6/21 8/2 21/19 23/21
28/12 28/13 53/17 61/8 61/14 92/13 114/12 114/22
over [10] 6/12 12/13 20/24 26/8 31/14
41/24 66/8 75/13 85/6 101/8
overkill [1] 32/14
overwhelming [1] 124/20
own [3] 54/24.56/9.96/6

## P

package [10] 95/16 95/17 96/8 96/8
100/14 101/16 101/17 101/22 102/3 104/8
packaged [2] 101/10 101/17
packages [2] 88/8 100/16
packaging [1] 101/11
page [5] $2 / 3$ 12/8 38/25 39/1 39/11
pages [7] 24/15 25/2 25/5 36/7 36/16

## 36/19 37/3

pair [2] 65/4 68/6
palm [14] 37/17 39/25 43/14 47/9 48/7
48/8 48/10 48/20 50/2 50/4 50/5 50/10
51/151/3
palmar [1] 112/4
PAM [1] 1/19
paper [6] 38/23 51/6 51/8 101/19 101/20 120/9
paraphrasing [2] 7/5 10/5
parent [1] 11/15
parked [1] 28/16
Parks [3] 70/12 70/15 71/9
part [6] 14/14 43/6 51/20 53/19 54/16 126/3
partially [1] 125/7
particular [11] 11/13 36/7 45/12 60/8
65/23 70/7 70/19 74/6 76/2 76/19 95/2
partner [3] 17/20 59/21 59/23
Pass [5] 26/2 40/6 50/12 66/8 99/8
passed [3] 35/3 56/6 56/6
past [3] 29/17 73/6 114/25
patent [7] 48/25 49/2 49/3 49/6 49/13
49/17 50/4
pathologist [2] 5/24 107/23
patrons [1] 61/12
Pause [1] 85/20
PEACE [1] $1 / 15$
peeled [1] $118 / 10$
Peggy [1] 70/12
penalty [2] $13 / 8$ 13/10
penetrated [2] 117/16 124/20
penetration [17] 5/22 6/19 6/23 7/22 8/1
8/9 8/12 8/16 8/23 9/8 9/16 10/2 10/2 15/21 123/24 125/25 126/15
people [17] 13/11 13/12 15/10 27/10
27/14 28/22 30/11 50/7 50/9 61/15 61/17
76/7 76/12 76/18 77/22 91/22 91/23
percent [1] 99/19
percentage [1] 99/23
Perfect [1] 26/24
perform [1] 119/19
performed [1] 108/1
perhaps [4] 8/8 56/12 73/18 74/19
perimeter [1] 63/15
period [16] 7/3 7/6 7/6 7/14 8/17 8/25
12/4 14/14 14/15 14/16 14/19 15/3 15/7
59/20 70/23 97/20
perjury [2] 13/8 13/10
Permission [1] 121/13
permitted [1] 124/22
perpetration [1] 7/24
perpetrator [1] 125/4
person [18] 10/15 11/10 11/16 12/23
15/4 15/8 30/14 30/18 31/2 31/8 31/13
54/6 69/4 77/20 80/16 91/10 125/15
125/17
person's [1] 7/4
personal [4] 26/11 26/13 26/14 26/16
personally [1] 72/19
personnel [3] 34/21 35/1 83/7
persons [3] 70/8 70/9 76/16
phenolphthalein [1] 90/24
Philip [1] 59/24
phone[3] 10/23 11/1 17/17
Photcopy [1] 3/9
photo [6] 43/9 52/16 64/23 89/19 110/9 121/7
photocopies [1] 47/2
photocopy [6] 3/8 3/9 39/9 46/3 47/12 51/22
photograph [45] 21/8 22/8 22/18 24/17 46/3 46/9 46/11 46/14 50/19 50/20 51/5 51/8 51/14 51/16 51/21 52/7 52/25 63/8 63/9 63/19 64/1 64/2 64/14 64/15 65/4 65/15 65/22 66/11 66/12 66/16 67/1 67/2 67/7 68/2 81/19 82/21 83/6 111/14 111/24 112/7 119/12 119/14 119/17 126/9 126/11 photographed [3] 37/10 49/24 62/3 photographer [2] 63/18 65/13 photographs [44] 3/6 3/7 3/7 3/8 20/14 24/22 25/19 25/21 34/14 37/18 37/20 40/14 41/10 41/13 43/2 48/5 62/1 69/17 83/20 86/3 89/6 91/20 108/17 108/19 108/21 110/6 110/14 111/20 112/2 112/21 113/8 113/19 113/22 113/25 115/12

prelim [6] 45/16 47/6 47/15 93/2 94/16 107/9
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { photographs... [9] } & 115 / 17 & \text { re/ } & 14 & 116 / 2 \\ 118 / 7 & 118 / 9 & 119 / 22 & 122 / 8 & 124 / 9 & 126 / 8\end{array}$ photography [2] 35/17 89/4
photos [14] 24/23 24/24 35/19 35/22
37/22 43/10 108/12 108/15 109/11 112/10 113/13 114/3 114/9 114/21
Phrased [1] 118/5
physical [2] 40/16 125/22
physically [2] 114/15 114/17
pick [3] 51/24 88/13 102/8
picked [3] 86/13 86/18 88/16
picking [2] 86/4 88/15
picture [10] 22/9 63/18 64/4 64/7 89/10
119/23 121/4 121/5 121/16 121/24
pictures [10] 26/19 41/23 42/2 43/7 43/8
85/17 85/19 90/4 114/19 117/18
piece [4] 32/17 32/21 38/23 50/23
pillow [1] 20/7
pin [1] 72/24
pinching [3] 120/24 121/2 121/3
place [4] $4 / 59 / 2531 / 668 / 9$
placed [3] 45/23 88/7 89/2
placement [1] 63/5
places [2] 102/19 103/1
plain [1] $8 / 3$
Plaintiff [1] $1 / 8$
please [19] 16/6 20/17 21/15 22/13 33/8 37/1 44/11 46/17 58/10 64/9 66/8 76/9 78/22 93/14 105/11 105/15 106/13 110/8 112/10
pleasure [1] 122/18
pocket [1] 22/9
pockets [2] 21/23 22/19
point [18] 9/4 15/9 15/25 23/11 29/4
29/5 42/13 52/19 76/15 88/14 88/17 92/18
99/13 104/24 119/2 125/7 125/19 126/22
points [2] 53/2 123/20
Polaroid [7] 37/10 37/14 37/18 37/22
38/23 39/9 49/24
Polaroids [1] 37/24
police [16] 7/10 7/11 12/21 12/22 12/23
12/25 13/9 17/1 34/5 34/21 45/11 53/15
59/9 70/6 79/21 94/10
policy [1] 56/1
pooling [1] 72/15
population [2] 99/16 99/17
portion [4] 20/21 66/12 97/16 118/21
portions [2] 25/21 110/19
position [6] 7/15 8/15 8/23 34/7 63/20 126/6
positioned [1] 65/6
positioning [1] 67/3
possessed [1] 74/14
possibilities [2] 122/13 122/14
possibility [2] 74/9 74/10
possible [6] 36/12 74/11 98/21 99/5 120/1 120/24
possIbly [3] 17/18 120/6 120/19
post [1] 8/20
post-mortem [1] 8/20
postmortem [1] 5/25
potential [6] 30/22 74/3 74/16 75/18 77/22 118/3
potentially [2] 71/17 120/11
pound [6] 21/5 32/10 111/3 111/6 121/4 121/5
powder [1] 49/25
power [1] 11/16
pre [2] 5/25 8/21
pre-mortem [1] 8/21
precise [1] 114/16
preliminary [4] $1 / 13$ 4/6 111/3 110/0
prepared [4] 108/3 109/6 113/25 123/1
prescribed [2] 12/4 15/3
presence [1] 64/18
present [10] $1 / 23$ 4/9 19/4 25/17 48/16
68/5 72/20 98/19 106/10 106/11
presented [1] $9 / 22$
presenting [1] 4/25
preserve [2] 37/6 40/2
preserved [3] 34/21 36/13 37/18
presumptive [2] 100/21 101/1
pretty [7] 8/6 28/8 31/14 32/7 40/25
42/10 104/19
prevent [2] 9/10 13/2
previously [6] 62/16 99/2 100/5 108/17 108/19 113/21
primarily [4] 109/12 109/19 115/24 118/6
primary [1] 63/13
print [69]
prints [13] 37/21 40/3 42/11 42/24 43/18 47/7 47/8 47/9 47/13 47/24 48/12 50/10 53/22
prior [4] 59/12 59/14 62/10 107/13
probably [7] 11/14 13/6 31/21 60/19
61/11 73/20 77/8
problem [4] 7/16 15/12 21/19 103/18
problems [4] $8 / 10$ 11/21 15/23 31/12
proceed [6] 16/2 33/23 38/13 45/1 58/25
79/13
proceeding [1] 25/22
process [13] 49/19 54/17 55/9 55/18
55/22 55/25 56/12 56/17 56/24 57/14
80/15 88/24 103/14
processed [11] 41/4 49/25 51/14 51/15
51/20 51/21 52/2 52/4 52/18 52/19 84/9
processing [4] 49/7 84/3 84/4 84/5
produce [2] 120/10 120/12
professionals [1] 28/22
profile [11] 93/4 96/25 97/4 97/8 97/16
97/18 98/6 98/7 98/10 99/3 103/1
profiles [3] 97/11 97/24 102/15
proof [1] 125/24
Proper [1] 101/11
properly [1] 101/10
Proposed [18] 18/15 18/21 19/2 19/10
38/3 38/8 38/16 38/20 39/13 39/17 46/8
46/10 46/21 46/25 47/20 61/19 69/15 70/1
protruding [1] 66/16
prove [1] 74/1
proven [1] 126/14
provide [5] 7/1 10/11 12/7 98/8 119/22
provides [1] 13/18
provision [2] 10/6 10/7
public [2] $1 / 224 / 10$
pull [1] 67/2
pulled [9] 12/13 64/21 65/8 68/16 74/24
75/2 75/9 75/13 124/11
pullout [1] 83/15
pulls [1] 66/19
pulmonary [1] 117/17
purple [3] 52/6 52/9 52/12
purpose [5] 11/7 12/18 15/14 95/13
126/13
purposely [1] 75/14
purposes [7] 41/16 43/11 45/16 47/6
47/16 93/3 107/9
pursuant [1] 13/15
push [1] 61/13
pushed [1] 110/19
put [2] 84/20 102/3
Putting [1] 125/9

Q
qualifications [1] 94/16
qualified [1] 107/10
qualifies [3] $6 / 24$ 13/15 $15 / 14$
quantify [1] 89/21
question [14] 6/24 27/24 32/19 42/21
50/6 57/15 86/15 87/21 87/22 91/5 92/4
92/5 112/12 115/1
questions [3] 18/17 84/10 114/16
Quickly [1] 18/16
quite [4] 41/3 57/17 57/18 76/23
quote [1] 12/15
R
R-A-M-O-S [1] 59/25
R-O-Y [1] 16/19
R.J [1] 49/9
ralse [9] $16 / 7$ 33/9 44/12 58/11 78/23
93/15 105/16 106/14 123/19
RAMOS [20] 1/10 4/7 4/23 12/8 47/3
47/10 48/12 48/20 51/2 59/24 60/14 68/8
75/25 93/4 96/24 97/2 98/7 123/13 125/7
127/5
rarer [5] 97/9 97/11 97/13 97/25 98/10
rather [2] 68/25 111/25
re [2] 56/8 56/9
re-analysis [1] 56/9
re-examine [1] 56/8
reach [3] 108/6 116/21 117/3
reached [2] 108/10 108/11
read [1] 109/5
reading [2] 15/25 87/7
really [3] 76/21 92/16 103/16
reason [3] 6/1 40/2 43/10
reasonable [1] 127/4
reasons [3] 11/16 41/23 49/23
recall [15] 19/14 24/11 28/11 43/16
43/17 60/22 70/15 71/16 73/13 76/21
77/16 81/20 81/23 81/24 95/12
recalled [1] 65/7
received [3] $17 / 17$ 53/16 88/13
recess [3] 38/11 106/7 106/10
recitation [1] $8 / 13$
reclined [1] 63/20
recliner [2] 35/3 36/2
reclining [1] $63 / 16$
recognize [8] 35/19 38/17 38/22 45/25
46/2 46/8 83/10 89/13
recollection [4] 81/23 84/21 88/15 95/15
record [12] 4/8 4/19 5/4 5/12 5/14 10/1
38/7 49/8 69/10 93/10 106/9 121/9
records [1] 104/19
recovered [5] 23/8 83/14 86/14 86/19
116/7
rectal [4] 66/20 67/8 67/15 68/18
rectum [3] 66/17 115/5 115/13
red [2] 52/6 52/9
redirect [6] 2/12 32/25 55/5 78/12 92/20
105/7
Reed [3] 30/1 35/15 40/13
refer [5] 36/21 37/16 49/13 95/14 101/13
referenced [1] 76/13
referred [1] 85/10
referring [3] 84/7 118/15 121/18
reflect [3] 4/8 97/16 106/9
reflected [1] 110/15
reflects [3] 12/11 108/23 110/9
refresh [3] 36/24 81/22 95/15
refreshed [1] 84/21
refreshes [1] 81/25
regard [3] 68/12 85/9 98/3
regarding [13] 6/6 7/5 7/8 7/13 13/25

reveal [4] 21/12 21/23 23/4 119/7 review [20] 38/24 39/3 39/10 54/1 54/17 55/9 55/18 56/8 56/14 56/17 56/22 57/3 57/13 103/3 108/1 108/3 113/8 113/24 115/12
reviewed [5] 84/24 103/5 108/16 109/6 111/21
reviewer [3] 56/7 56/11 56/15 reviewing [1] 55/25
ridge [9] 36/13 36/14 37/4 37/11 39/24 42/12 43/11 48/8 48/15
ridges [1] 53/9
right [54] 9/4 13/2 16/7 20/8 20/11 24/19 27/7 27/18 27/23 28/2 28/8 29/10 29/17 31/6 31/7 33/9 42/22 44/12 48/20 50/4 50/5 52/20 55/3 58/11 61/21 64/16 66/16 66/23 67/4 67/19 71/16 73/2 73/9 74/11 76/4 76/9 78/23 81/4 81/23 83/18 84/2 85/4 87/9 90/11 91/4 93/15 105/16 106/14 110/17 120/22 121/4 122/23 123/14 123/15
right-hand [2] 20/8 64/16
rigor [5] 72/17 72/21 73/4 73/8 73/12
ring [2] 68/25 69/1
risk [1] 50/6
road [1] 37/20
robbed [1] 30/23
robber [1] 23/12
robbery [8] 22/5 68/20 69/3 69/5 74/3
74/7 74/10 74/19
ROBERT [1] $1 / 19$
rock [1] 73/12
room [18] 19/15 19/16 19/23 23/19 27/20
28/12 28/13 35/4 37/8 62/21 63/15 64/16
64/19 64/23 66/5 66/13 72/9 75/6
round [1] 121/21
ROY [5] 2/4 4/17 16/5 16/13 16/19 rubbed [1] 96/15
rug [5] 65/16 65/21 65/21 80/23 81/3
rule [1] 126/20
ruling [2] 9/22 11/23
run [1] 53/22
running [2] 124/15 124/19

## S

Sabraw [18] 7/9 12/12 14/6 64/19 69/18 70/9 72/9 98/17 107/9 108/8 113/20 114/5 116/8 116/10 116/23 117/4 118/15 124/10 Sabraw's [6] 66/13 68/3 115/5 115/13 115/16 116/3
said [22] 9/7 14/24 21/22 26/19 27/20
27/21 41/10 51/8 51/15 55/22 75/17 98/12 100/13 101/2 101/13 104/13 118/14 118/18 120/7 126/10 127/5 127/6 same [24] $14 / 2441 / 1046 / 947 / 350 / 7$ 50/10 60/19 67/3 77/15 83/3 91/10 91/11 91/17 91/17 92/3 92/4 92/6 92/10 95/17 101/16 102/3 102/24 103/15 126/6 sample [8] 29/20 96/12 96/18 96/21 97/1 99/1 101/6 104/7
samples [3] 29/1 29/16 40/18 saturated [1] 14/7 saved [2] 100/7 100/8 saw [11] 20/19 37/3 37/5 64/19 72/9 72/15 75/6 108/12 113/14 121/3 122/8 say [18] 7/16 10/8 13/9 14/25 42/22 46/5 48/21 54/18 56/3 70/18 73/775/12 77/7 88/1 92/1 92/18 118/4 118/13 saying [6] 22/2 85/13 125/23 126/7 126/12 126/13
says [15] 7/25 10/7 10/8 11/4 11/8 11/10 12/21 $12 / 22$ 13/10 $13 / 16$ 13/25 14/12 $15 / 1$ 15/2 15/14
scalp [4] 109/15 110/15 118/13 120/16
Scar [1] 53/7
scars [1] 53/10
scattered [1] 116/17
scenario [1] 119/18
scene [80]
scenes [1] 34/13
science [2] 72/24 77/1
scientifically [1] 54/20
scientist [3] 45/10 57/4 94/9
scope [2] 114/13 114/22
SCOTT [1] 1/21
seam [2] 96/14 96/18
seams [1] 102/19
second [9] 7/9 38/9 38/19 43/1 62/7 62/12 62/13 107/7 113/19
section [6] 13/19 15/15 17/5 35/1 $37 / 7$ 76/16
secure [1] $18 / 5$
secured [3] 18/6 18/7 88/7
see [47] 20/18 21/9 21/9 22/19 24/21
25/1 31/14 34/23 35/22 36/1 36/14 36/15 46/14 48/8 48/9 51/20 52/9 52/16 54/18 62/23 63/24 64/4 64/15 64/16 64/18 64/20 65/4 66/14 66/17 67/4 67/7 68/3 69/5 73/20 89/20 96/13 104/6 104/23 110/18
110/21 112/1 114/19 117/24 119/14
119/24 121/5 121/17
seeing [1] 24/11
seems [1] $11 / 20$
seen [7] 13/7 32/21 50/21 73/21 75/8 108/19 113/22
seized [3] 29/21 85/10 101/4
semen [5] 100/21 100/22 100/23 101/1 101/6
sending [1] $43 / 19$
senior [5] 34/8 60/9 60/21 60/24 79/20
sense [7] 12/25 13/2 14/25 101/21 102/5
124/22 124/23
separate [4] 9/5 13/19 61/4 101/24
Sergeant [1] 34/24
series [3] 108/1 108/16 108/19
serrated [5] 64/6 119/8 119/15 120/4 122/12
serrated-edged [1] 120/4
service [1] 61/11
set [4] 53/21 63/17 113/19 113/22
setup [3] 37/9 37/9 37/12
seven [2] 4/14 17/7
seven-and-a-half [1] 17/7
several [2] 73/18 86/10
sex [1] 100/22
sexual [67]
sexually [2] $114 / 11 \quad 124 / 24$
shades [1] 52/11
shaped [1] 61/4
sharp [9] 68/4 116/1 116/15 117/1
118/21 118/21 121/24 122/2 122/4
Sharper [1] 122/10
she [35] 12/13 12/14 14/18 14/18 64/24
65/1 66/13 66/14 68/24 68/25 70/17 72/10 72/13 72/20 74/14 93/5 93/5 107/12 114/6 114/10 114/14 114/17 114/18 114/19
114/23 114/25 114/25 116/1 116/16 117/1
124/12 124/16 124/24 126/10 126/11
she's [2] 5/24 126/6
sheet [1] 85/14
Sheldon [2] 25/14 108/4
shift [1] 40/13
shirt [34] 65/22 65/23 65/23 66/6 67/25
77/4 77/18 78/3 78/11 81/3 82/7 83/3
85/10 88/20 89/16 89/17 89/18 89/19
89/23 90/1 90/11 90/20 90/22 91/10 95/16
so [59] 6/13 8/16 8/24 9/22 10/19 11/20 $\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 21 & 11 / 24 & 12 / 15 & 13 / 14 & 14 / 19 \\ 18 / 19 & 10 & 10 \\ 18 / 19 & 19 / 8 & 20 / 17 & 29 / 24 & 33 / 12 \\ 39 / 15 & \text { / }\end{array}$ 42/20 43/3 44/15 46/10 46/19 47/17 48/11 49/9 49/13 50/17 51/17 58/14 61/10 62/23 69/23 73/7 79/1 86/2 87/14 92/5 93/18 96/14 97/15 97/21 97/23 97/25 99/2 99/17 99/19 99/22 101/2 101/19 103/14 105/19 106/17 110/8 114/25 125/2 125/25 socks [1] 64/25
sofa [1] 63/19
soft [1] 120/20
sole [1] $66 / 4$
solid [1] 73/12
solved [1] $71 / 1$
some [51] 8/7 8/10 9/3 9/23 11/12 13/18 15/24 20/22 20/23 22/4 24/15 27/10 28/13 28/21 30/24 31/2 31/10 32/15 36/2 36/6 40/14 40/23 43/19 49/4 52/19 54/15 60/8 62/10 62/25 63/4 66/20 68/4 68/23 72/12 74/9 74/25 76/14 88/17 90/24 94/24 97/13 100/4 100/17 101/8 116/1 118/14 119/1 119/7 121/20 123/19 125/7
somebody [16] 22/22 23/2 30/23 32/7 41/21 52/19 54/17 55/15 73/25 75/9 75/13 76/22 77/17 100/22 102/7 120/17
someone [6] 7/3 11/10 23/14 23/21
76/19 91/2
someplace [5] 28/11 41/8 41/20 85/14 85/24
something [14] 20/25 22/25 23/2 29/9
69/3 73/25 74/4 74/19 88/9 104/21 112/25 118/19 119/10 124/20
sometimes [4] 49/16 78/5 119/9 119/14 somewhat [2] 54/20 91/2
somewhere [3] 57/19 87/15 124/14
son [18] 10/23 10/23 10/25 11/11 11/12 21/16 21/19 21/22 27/15 27/17 28/17 28/18 28/19 30/18 31/8 31/13 70/10 70/11 sorry [6] 32/19 50/2 93/7 112/13 115/18 121/7
sort [21] 10/21 29/6 31/2 31/15 32/15
43/14 43/19 52/23 53/6 53/7 60/7 60/21
61/2 61/3 65/7 65/12 72/15 73/21 118/14 119/10 120/24
sorts [3] 11/21 52/1 122/3
sounds [1] 124/19
source [3] 48/17 100/23 102/21
south [6] 17/10 17/24 34/18 60/2 63/11
80/2
southward [1] 66/18
spatter [1] 20/10
speak [2] 61/10 81/17
specialized [1] 37/9
specific[9] $10 / 19$ 10/19 62/1 81/15 81/18
84/1 84/4 88/12 120/14
specifically [6] $12 / 11$ 14/5 15/13 49/3
85/21 89/20
speculation [1] 91/13
spell [8] 16/17 33/19 44/22 58/21 79/8
93/25 106/1 106/24
spelling [1] 79/12
Spencer [6] 17/10 17/25 34/18 60/2 61/9 80/2
spoke [6] 21/16 24/19 47/6 81/19 82/13 97/5
stab [18] 14/7 32/3 114/6 114/8 115/15
115/16 115/19 115/21 116/1 116/9 116/12
116/15 116/19 117/16 117/21 117/25
118/1 119/4
stabbed [1] 124/16
stabbing [3] 67/5 71/20 91/11
stains [9] 89/21 90/7 90/17 90/18 90/19

90/21 91/7 91/9 102/2
stamp [1] 87/5
stamped [3] 87/4 87/7 87/14
standard [1] 54/24
standards [1] 99/14
standing [1] 63/10
standpoint [1] 67/13
stands [1] $126 / 18$
start [7] 30/18 31/5 50/17 75/23 82/7 83/12 96/3
started [3] 5/16 34/10 96/5
starting [1] 83/12
starts [1] 100/18
state [39] $1 / 41 / 61 / 19$ 2/3 4/6 4/11 5/12 5/13 14/2 15/17 16/14 16/17 33/16 33/19 44/19 44/22 58/5 58/18 58/21 69/20 79/5 79/8 89/10 89/22 92/23 93/2 93/22 93/25 101/5 105/9 105/23 106/1 106/11 106/21 106/24 107/4 113/24 123/10 127/7
State's [37] 3/5 5/7 7/15 19/10 19/20 35/18 38/3 39/17 46/21 47/20 61/18 63/7 64/1 64/13 65/11 66/6 66/11 66/25 68/1 69/11 69/14 70/1 80/19 82/12 83/4 83/9 83/13 83/14 89/13 89/15 90/10 95/18
100/3 110/14 112/12 121/17 123/6
statement [1] 70/16
states [2] 7/2 14/5
stating [1] 86/19
statistic [1] 97/23
statistical [2] 54/23 97/6
statistically [1] 99/13
statistics [1] 98/8
statute [21] $6 / 6 \quad 6 / 166 / 186 / 217 / 208 / 2$ 8/3 8/3 8/14 9/15 9/17 10/3 10/10 10/20 11/6 13/1 13/16 13/25 15/25 123/20 126/18
steak [1] 122/12
step [11] 4/23 16/6 33/8 44/11 48/15
48/15 58/10 78/22 93/14 105/15 106/13
stepped [1] 65/13
stepping [1] 44/2
stick [1] 52/1
stiff [1] 73/10
still [12] 41/1 41/7 64/4 64/4 64/15 64/16
97/25 100/3 101/6 102/9 103/17 103/21
stipulate [2] 12/16 94/16
stipulates [1] $93 / 3$
stipulation [5] 45/15 47/7 47/15 93/2
123/4
stipulations [1] 107/4
stoned [1] 68/25
stories [2] 18/3 18/4
story [2] 30/19 61/2
straight [3] $48 / 6$ 118/18 120/7
straightforward [2] 8/6 12/19
strange [1] 77/17
street [2] 61/9 77/6
structure [1] 60/25
struggle [3] 62/25 63/5 75/5
studio [2] 62/23 63/13.
studio-style [1] 62/23
stuff [3] 6/8 41/6 63/2
style [1] 62/23
submit [2] 11/25 126/17
submitted [2] 86/2 87/19
subpoenaed [1] 4/23
Subsection [1] 14/11
substantial [3] 31/23 90/14 125/22
subtle [1] 119/16
such [5] 40/17 53/10 68/15 111/7 113/4
suggest [2] 114/10 124/20
supervision [1] 6/18
supervisor [4] $35 / 15 ~ 40 / 13 ~ 80 / 14 ~ 87 / 3 ~$

 107/21 119/9 127/15
truth [26] 16/9 16/9 16/10 22/4 33/11 33/11 33/12 44/14 44/14 44/15 58/13 58/13 58/14 78/25 78/25 79/1 93/17 93/17 93/18 105/18 105/18 105/19 106/16 106/16 106/17 111/19
try [3] 22/4 29/9 73/25
trying [16] 15/9 26/24 43/5 43/6 57/10 72/24 73/1 86/20 88/22 88/23 89/5 89/6 92/15 96/13 103/19 105/5
tube [1] 100/7
tubes [1] 100/8
turn [1] 52/5
turned [4] 22/10 22/19 36/4 52/12
Twelve [1] 29/14
two [40] 5/19 6/6 7/21 14/9 18/4 24/21 35/22 50/7 50/9 61/2 67/25 77/22 77/23 77/24 81/14 81/19 82/13 84/2 85/22 87/14 87/16 90/20 91/15 91/24 92/7 92/17 95/9 96/17 96/22 101/16 102/12 108/1 108/3 112/21 113/2 116/7 118/7 125/5 125/25 126/15
type [15] 32/12 36/11 36/15 60/6 61/13 63/1 68/4 90/11 109/22 110/24 110/25 111/24 112/5 112/15 125/24 typically [3] 49/1 52/4 72/5 typing [1] 29/16

## U

unable [1] 5/24
unaware [2] 10/24 11/2
uncle [1] 14/22
unclothed [1] 125/8
uncommon [1] 31/1
under [22] $8 / 24$ 13/8 13/10 14/13 14/16
15/15 16/1 22/10 22/24 24/9 25/6 36/19 66/22 78/3 81/9 81/15 85/11 89/21 95/8 95/10 99/14 124/13
undergarment [1] 65/2
undergarments [1] 65/3
underneath [3] 20/7 66/14 83/18
underpants [3] 65/4 68/6 124/13
undershirt [3] 67/21 78/1 78/3
understand [14] 13/14 15/19 32/19
42/21 49/8 49/18 55/23 56/2 77/25 86/15 87/21 87/22 89/8 91/22
Understanding [1] 113/5
Understood [3] 30/17 52/15 74/15
underwear [3] 12/14 65/2 68/15
unintentional [1] 10/6
unique [5] $9 / 19$ 24/3 53/8 78/6 78/9
unit [6] 62/5 62/10 62/12 62/14 62/19
63/2
unless [1] $12 / 3$
unlike [1] 73/14
unlikely [2] 120/21 122/9
unsolved [1] 30/6
unsuccessful [1] 76/25
until [2] 5/17 34/9
untrue [1] 126/10
up [37] 12/13 16/6 20/6 32/20 33/8 35/15 39/20 43/1 44/11 51/24 58/10 64/2 64/21 65/8 66/12 68/2 68/16 74/24 75/2 78/22 85/13 86/4 86/13 86/18 86/21 88/13 88/15 88/17 88/18 91/9 93/14 96/15 102/8
105/15 106/13 115/18 124/11
upon [12] 17/3 34/24 37/7 54/16 95/9
110/6 111/23 112/23 113/8 117/15 117/21 122/8
upper [7] 20/20 64/21 66/15 67/5 90/16

109/13 109/19
us [9] 31/11 41/1 62/9 65/24 67/20 98/15 103/20 124/24
usage [2] 49/1 49/16
use [12] $5 / 20$ 49/1 49/16 51/23 61/15 66/4 97/18 97/22 102/24 103/23 127/1 127/2
used [11] $21 / 2$ 47/3 53/11 61/12 61/16 86/1 119/8 119/15 120/4 120/15 124/18 using [3] 37/11 37/13 97/22 usually[1] 49/4

## V

V-A-C-C-A-R-O [1] 58/24
VACCARO[6] $2 / 14$ 58/6 58/17 58/23 124/2 124/8
Vaccaro's [1] 125/3
value [1] 74/16
variety [2] 51/23 51/24
various [2] 25/21 42/23
vault [3] 88/6 88/9 95/24
VEGAS [14] $1 / 3$ 16/25 17/12 34/4 34/10 39/10 41/3 45/10 53/15 59/9 60/4 70/6 79/20 94/9
vegetative [1] $15 / 17$
vehicle [6] 28/15 28/16 28/19 28/25
40/22 40/23
verification [1] 103/14
verified [2] 56/18 86/12
verifier [1] 56/13
versus [2] 4/7 90/19
very[17] $10 / 5$ 10/19 10/19 13/4 15/10 16/3 43/23 44/2 54/1 62/24 65/8 90/17 92/21 101/11 105/8 119/16 122/17 victim [58] $5 / 21$ 5/25 7/3 7/9 10/14 10/16 10/20 10/24 12/3 12/11 12/23 13/16 14/6 14/8 14/12 14/13 14/15 15/4 15/5 15/15 18/24 19/15 20/3 20/14 20/19 21/13 22/9 23/5 23/9 24/19 25/10 25/19 32/13 35/2 64/18 64/24 65/12 65/14 66/3 67/2 67/17 67/17 68/14 68/23 69/6 71/9 72/9 74/13
74/16 83/18 88/25 98/17 98/19 107/5
109/11 124/9 127/1 127/3
victim's [11] 21/23 22/18 22/24 23/9
27/14 27/17 65/16 67/9 74/25 81/4 111/10
victims [3] 13/12 31/2 108/11
view [2] 65/12 66/6
violating [1] $9 / 9$
violence [1] 63/1
visible [9] 49/3 49/7 49/10 49/19 49/20
51/9 52/13 52/14 109/16
visual [1] 48/3
voluntary[1] 70/16

## W

Wait [3] 5/1 123/22 124/1
waiting [1] 34/25
walk [1] 9/9
walked [1] 72/9
wall [2] 32/20 63/11
Wallace [9] 23/5 25/9 107/7 108/7
108/16 111/4 112/2 113/7 113/15
wallet [3] 23/7 23/8 26/12
walls [1] 20/11
Walsh [2] 104/16 104/23
want [14] 5/13 5/16 9/7 9/9 22/2 42/1
73/9 76/8 85/4 85/4 92/12 98/8 104/6 119/24
wanted [6] 41/21 85/3 85/3 85/15 104/23 123/19
wants [3] 6/4 11/24 94/15
warts [1] 53/10
was [269]
wasn't [9] 23/2 23/10 29/14 29/15 29/19
97/18 99/2 102/25 125/23
way [10] $9 / 18$ 10/19 15/1 $15 / 22$ 15/23
70/8 72/6 89/1 105/5 124/10
wayside [1] $9 / 17$
we [101]
We'Il [1] 72/25
we're[7] $6 / 8$ 6/11 51/2 63/8 81/11 99/19 122/3
We've [1] 108/21
weapon [6] 5/21 21/2 68/4 120/4 127/1
127/3
wear [3] 77/23 78/3 78/11
wearing [6] 12/14 68/25 77/18 91/2
91/11 96/13
WECKERLY [6] $1 / 19$ 2/15 2/18 2/21 4/12 107/25
wedding [1] 69/1
week [1] 53/16
week-long [1] 53/16
weeks [1] $87 / 14$
weighed [1] 74/9
weight [1] $32 / 10$
Welch [1] 103/8
welcome [2] 71/4 78/8
well [22] 13/4 17/22 26/20 53/17 54/1
60/7 62/25 63/23 65/7 66/23 66/24 68/13
70/13 74/4 81/17 83/25 103/12 112/6
113/25 116/15 123/25 125/2
went [5] $9 / 6$ 28/16 62/20 87/5 117/17
were [125]
weren't [1] 74/5
what [141]
what's [3] 56/6 121/16 125/2
Whatever [1] 5/13
whatsoever [1] 9/14
when [54] $8 / 25$ 14/20 17/3 20/5 21/16 30/14 30/15 31/19 34/20 37/5 39/6 48/21 54/7 54/16 55/12 56/3 59/10 60/13 60/17 61/20 61/23 62/9 62/20 64/19 68/7 72/9 72/20 73/15 77/12 80/21 81/8 82/21 85/19 87/5 87/6 87/25 88/12 88/13 89/7 91/11 93/5 96/5 97/15 97/21 97/21 101/9 102/24 103/19 104/15 104/21 108/12 108/15 125/15 125/17
where [17] 14/12 19/15 29/19 31/5 31/6 31/25 53/14 59/8 62/10 62/14 75/8 96/15 99/19 102/19 115/21 120/21 124/1
Whereas [1] 62/14
whether [19] 5/24 6/16 6/18 6/24 23/23 26/11 26/14 36/7 48/9 51/14 51/16 53/23 55/19 68/8 69/1 70/15 98/18 114/24 119/8 which [19] $6 / 22$ 14/15 19/14 32/15 37/16 46/11 50/2 62/5 67/21 86/25 93/5 98/23 112/16 114/6 115/12 119/11 125/3 125/6 126/11
while [4] 67/18 72/11 72/13 73/10 whirls [1] 42/18
white [12] 37/14 37/18 37/22 41/13
49/24 52/8 52/9 52/16 67/21 82/10 82/19 95/17
who [31] 4/11 7/9 10/24 11/15 12/2 12/9
13/11 13/12 15/13 17/20 25/12 27/17
30/13 32/7 34/24 35/3 43/21 57/2 59/23
70/12 71/9 76/6 76/19 91/23 96/13 98/15 100/5 103/7 103/23 118/8 124/10
who's [3] 10/21 10/23 44/5
whole [11] 15/20 16/9 33/11 44/14 58/13
67/12 78/25 93/17 105/18 106/16 122/13
whose [2] 107/6 107/8
why [10] 13/24 37/24 66/1 73/25 80/6
101/22 101/22 104/1 104/1 104/4
will [43] 4/13 4/22 5/23 6/5 6/16 6/20
 39/20 43/1 53/9 53/11 54/18 55/12 55/13 55/14 55/21 56/4 56/5 56/6 56/8 56/11 56/13 56/16 56/17 58/7 61/3 63/16 78/10 81/17 89/15 101/5 101/13 104/3 104/16 107/6 107/8 111/20 125/25 126/20
WILLIAM [1] $1 / 15$
willing [1] 55/1
window [1] $63 / 12$
windows [1] 23/20
within [8] 6/19 8/17 12/4 18/9 35/22
60/19 64/7 101/17
without [15] 10/3 21/22 27/9 49/7 52/3
56/21 57/2 84/17 86/4 89/24 99/25 100/23
114/15 118/1 122/5
witness [36] 4/17 16/4 16/14 18/12
18/18 26/2 33/4 33/6 33/16 39/21 40/6
44/5 44/9 44/19 50/12 58/3 58/5 58/18
61/21 66/9 69/16 78/17 78/20 79/5 92/23
92/25 93/1 93/22 99/8 105/9 105/10
105/13 105/23 106/12 106/21 122/21
witnesses [4] 2/3 4/13 123/16 123/17
woman [2] 66/4 70/12
women's [1] 68/6
won't [1] 43/3
wooden [1] 63/17
words [2] 18/8 23/8
work [11] 31/19 45/13 59/16 59/20 73/15
94/20 94/23 94/24 95/6 95/8 97/10
worked [4] 76/21 79/22 89/1 94/12
workers [2] 61/11 61/16
working [14] 29/15 40/11 45/11 50/17
50/18 50/20 59/18 75/25 79/24 80/9 80/14
88/25 89/1 104/16
world [1] 61/8
world's [2] 99/16 99/17
would [100]
wouldn't [5] 18/1 29/20 52/25 74/12 93/8
wound [2] 32/3 66/15
wounds [18] 14/7 67/4 67/5 113/3 114/6
116/4 116/9 116/19 117/16 117/22 117/25
118/1 118/18 119/4 119/5 120/2 120/8
122/5
write [1] 35/17
writing [1] 85/16
written [26] 10/7 10/8 10/17 10/21 10/25
11/2 11/4 11/5 11/8 11/17 11/18 11/18 12/6 12/20 12/20 13/6 13/6 13/7 13/7 13/10 13/15 13/16 13/25 14/14 15/6 85/24
wrong [3] 54/2 54/6 101/13
wrote[1] 85/14
Y
YANEZ [1] 1/21
Yeah [4] 76/21 78/2 78/5 104/5
year[6] 12/12 14/5 34/9 107/7 107/9
113/20
years [34] 5/21 6/12 6/22 6/23 7/9 8/4 17/6 17/7 28/5 29/10 29/12 29/14 29/20 29/21 30/8 30/9 41/3 43/19 57/5 57/7
57/18 57/21 59/16 59/17 70/21 76/8 94/14
101/5 101/5 102/8 104/18 124/10 127/2
127/3
yes [281]
yesterday [1] 7/17
yet [1] 100/11
you [577]
you'd [4] 32/21 52/11 52/15 73/21
You're [2] 71/4 78/8
you've [3] 13/6 27/23 119/4
your [122]

## INFO

DAVID ROGER
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#002781
ROBERT J. DASKAS
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#004963
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212
(702) 671-2500

Attorney for Plaintiff
I.A. $1 / 12 / 11$

9 A.M.
P.D.

THE STATE OF NEVADA, )
-vs-
GUSTAVO RAMOS, \#1516662

Defendant.

## STATE OF NEVADA )

COUNTY OF CLARK $\{$ ss.
DAVID ROGER, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:

That GUSTAVO RAMOS, the Defendant(s) above named, having committed the crimes of MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON, VICTIM 65 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER (Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.165, 193.167), on or between May 15, 1998 and May 16, 1998, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

## COUNT 1 - OPEN MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON VICTIM 65 YEARS

 OF AGE OR OLDERdid then and there willfully, feloniously, without authority of law, and with malice
aforethought, kill WALLACE SIEGEL, a human being, the victim being 65 years of age or older, by striking the head of said WALLACE SIEGEL with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a dumbbell weight and/or unknown heavy blunt object, the actions of Defendant resulting in the death of said WALLACE SIEGEL, said killing having been (1) willful, deliberate and premeditated; and/or (2) committed during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of burglary and/or robbery.

## COUNT 2 - OPEN MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON 65 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

did then and there willfully, feloniously, without authority of law, and with malice aforethought, kill HELEN SABRAW, a human being, the victim being 65 years of age or older, by stabbing at and into the body of said HELEN SABRAW with a deadly weapon, towit: a knife, the actions of Defendant resulting in the death of said HELEN SABRAW, said killing having been (1) willful, deliberate and premeditated; and/or (2) committed during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of burglary and/or robbery and/or sexual assault.

BY


DAVID ROGER
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Nevada Bar \#002781

Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this Information are as follows:

NAME
ALBY, ROCKY
ATKIN, MICHAEL
BENOIT, LEANITTIA
BRAGG, ALMEDIA

## ADDRESS

LVMPD P\#1810
LVMPD P\#5409
LVMPD P\#6784
LVMPD P\#4150

BRANDON, JACK
CHANDLER, ROY
COLEMAN, LUCY
COLEMAN, THOMAS
CRAIG, MICHAEL
CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS
CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS
CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS
CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS
FLYNN, DENNIS
FOX, STEPHANIE
GARLEY, THOMAS
GONZALES, FNU
HALL, RICHARD
HERIFORD, R.
JOHNSON, DAVID
JOHNSON, THOMAS
JOSEPH, MARC
KYGER, TERESA
LAUER, DEAN
LEMASTER, DEAN
MANNING, KEVIN
MARSCHNER, JULIE
MIKOLAINIS, J.
NEVIN, KATHLEEN
OLSON, ALANE
PARKS, PEGGY
PETERSEN, WAYNE

LVMPD P\#3419
LVMPD P\#712
4255 SPENCER, LVN
4255 SPENCER, LVN
LVMPD P\#5585
CCDC
CCFD, 575 E. FLAMINGO RD., LVN
LVMPD - DISPATCH
LVMPD RECORDS
LVMPD P\#3028
LVMPD P\#5712
UNKNOWN ADDRESS
CCFD/575 E. FLAMINGO RD., LVN
LVMPD P\#6756
CCME, 1704 PINTO LN., LVN
LVMPD P\#9933
LVMPD P\#3171
LVMPD P\#3383
LVMPD P\#4191
LVMPD P\#5613
LVMPD P\#4243
LVMPD P\#2434
LVMPD P\#8806
LVMPD P\#1511
LVMPD P\#900
CCME, 1704 PINTO LN., LVN
c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS, LVN
LVMPD P\#1913

PORTER, R.
RAETZ, DEAN
RAMOS, PHILLIP
REED, GARY
REEDER, ROBERT
SIEGEL, JACK
SPRAGUE, FNU
SZUKIEWICZ, JOSEPH
THOMPSON, MICHAEL
VACCARO, JAMES
WILSON, MICHAEL

CCFD/575 E. FLAMINGO RD., LVN
LVMPD P\#4234
LVMPD P\#799
LVMPD P\#3731
4800 E. TROPICANA, LVN
c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN
CCFD/575 E. FLAMINGO RD., LVN
LVMPD P\#5411
LVMPD P\#1988
c/o CCDA/MVU, 200 Lewis Ave., LVN
LVMPD P\#5319

DA\#10F19783X/dd-mvu
LVMPD EV\#101013-1210; 980517-0848; 980516-0400 (TK5)

Plaintiff,
VS.
GUSTAVO RAMOS,
Defendant.
$\qquad$

CASE NO. 269839-1
DEPT. VII


BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDA MARIE BELL, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE WEDNESDAY, JANUARY• 12, 2011

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF ARRAIGNMENT CONTINUED STATUS CHECK: TRIAL SETTING (MURDER)

APPEARANCES:

For the State:
ROBERT J. DASKAS, ESQ.
Chief Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:
SCOTT L. COFFEE, ESQ.
Deputy Public Defender

Also present: Hector Vasquez-Mena
RECORDED BY: PATRICIA SLATTERY, COURT RECORDER

THE COURT: Page 20, State of Nevada versus Gustavo Ramos, Case Number C269839-1. Let the record reflect the presence of Mr. Ramos in custody being assisted by the Court Interpreter. Could we get your name for the record, sir?

THE INTERPRETER: Hector Vasquez-Mena.
THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
MR. DASKAS: Good morning, Judge. Robert Daskas on behalf of the State.

THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Ramos is represented by Mr. Coffee. So -- I don't have any information yet. Do you have one?

MR. DASKAS: Yes. Can I approach, Judge?
THE COURT: Yes, please. Thank you. Was this just sent up from Lower Level?

MR. COFFEE: Yes, Judge. We received a copy and read the Information.

THE COURT: Okay. Sir, what is your true name?
THE DEFENDANT: Gustavo Ramos-Martinez.
THE COURT: Okay. Sir, if that's not your true name, you must declare your true name to me or all of the proceedings in this action will be under the name set forth in the Information of Gustavo Ramos. Sir, are you okay with it being under just Ramos? That's fine?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Sir, how old are you?

THE DEFENDANT: 31.
THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
THE DEFENDANT: Ninth grade.
THE COURT: And do you read, write and understand the Spanish language?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Do you understand any English?
THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry, I didn't hear you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you understand any English, sir?
THE DEFENDANT: A little bit.
THE COURT: Okay. And you're being assisted here today with the Spanish interpreter?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Sir, do you have a copy of the Information charging with murder with use of a deadly weapon, victim 65 years of age or older?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: There's actually two counts,
THE DEFENDANT: My attorney has it.
THE COURT: Okay. Was that read to you by the Interpreter?
MR. COFFEE: No, we haven't had the Interpreter read it to him. I've discussed the charges with him. He's well aware --

THE COURT: Okay. Your attorney discussed the charges in the Information with you and the Interpreter?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And we'll waive the formal reading of the

Information. Sir, you understand the nature of the charges in the Information?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And you've discussed that with your attorney?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: As to the charge set -- charges set forth in the Information, which is Count 1 , open murder with use of a deadly weapon, victim 65 years of age or older, and Count 2 is the same charge -- or another charge of open murder with use of a deadly weapon, 65 years of age or older, how do you plead, guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty.
THE COURT: Sir, you have a right to a trial within 60 days. Would you like to have your trial within 60 days or waive that right?

MR. COFFEE: Waive?
THE DEFENDANT: I waive it. Sure.
THE COURT: Set a -- do we have a -- have you talked about dates?
MR. DASKAS: Judge, I can tell you, and Mr. Coffee is aware of this, we presented this case to the Death Penalty Committee. The case was approved for death. So in the next couple weeks --

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. DASKAS: -- we'll be filing a notice of intent to seek death penalty against Mr. Ramos-Martinez.

MR. COFFEE: I have read that in the paper, Judge, and Mr. Daskas informed me as much this morning. With that in mind, and I know there's some more testing, Mr. Daskas has told me the State is going to sit down with detectives and get (indiscernible). This is a cold case from ten plus years
ago. The witnesses, some are gone, but I don't think there's a witness issue, but -- as far as anybody critical going away in a short period of time. With that in mind, given the fact that he was born in Mexico and we have to do a mitigation case and investigation that's going to take part in (indiscernible) --

THE COURT: August or October, Mr. Coffee.
MR. COFFEE: It was set 2012. Judge, realistically, I don't think there's any way we can be ready before that, and I'd like to try and make the trial date. I hate to just set something that we might not make. I expect we'll be in court on this on a number of other issues before then, but, realistically, as far as --

THE COURT: I don't even have my schedule for -- I mean, our ordinary course setting, Mr. Coffee, is March, so --

MR. COFFEE: I understand.
THE COURT: Why don't -- it just makes me a little uncomfortable to set something so far out, and I know -- I mean, not that -- you know, I know it's not going to slip through the cracks or anything, but perhaps we can put it on November $7^{\text {th }}$, which is the last week I have of the schedule here, and then we'll see how things are going at that point.

MR. COFFEE: That will be fine.
MR. DASKAS: Whatever the Court's pleasure, Judge.
THE COURT: Obviously, if you need additional time, I will be happy to give that to you as long as you file a motion in writing and -- but I --

MR. COFFEE: And we will do that. I just didn't want to come in and tell the Court I'll be ready for a date when I don't expect to be ready.

THE COURT: I understand. And on these cases, I usually anticipate
it's going to take a little while, but at least then we can see how things are going at that point.

MR. DASKAS: That's fine.
MR. COFFEE: That will be fine.
THE COURT: Is that the -- for everybody at this point?
MR. COFFEE: Yes.
MR. DASKAS; It's the same as any other date at this point, Judge, so that's fine.

THE COURT: Okay.
THE CLERK: November $7^{\text {th }}$ ?
THE COURT: November $7^{\text {th }}$.
[Court and Clerk confer]
THE COURT: We're courtroom sharing, so if we set the trial on a Monday at 9:30, which doesn't -- we all know doesn't really mean anything. They're having some issue with that because technically I don't have this courtroom available between 8:00 and 9:00 on Monday, but --

MR. COFFEE: I understand.
THE CLERK: November 7, 9:30 a.m. for jury trial; calendar call, November 2, at 8:45.

MR. COFFEE: Thank you, Judge.
MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
[Proceeding concluded at 8:56 a.m.]

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Pereelmcent.
RENEE VINCENT, Transcriber DC-VII
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CLERK OF THE COURT

## DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

| Plaintiff, |
| :---: |
| -vs- |
| \#USTAVO RAMOS, |
| \#1516662 |
| Defendant. |

> CASE NO: C-10-269839

DEPT NO: VII

## NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK DEATH PENALTY

COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, through DAVID ROGER, Clark County District Attorney, by and through PAMELA WECKERLY, Chief Deputy District Attorney, pursuant to NRS 175.552 and NRS 200.033 and declares its intention to seek the death penalty at a penalty hearing. Furthermore, the State of Nevada discloses that it will present evidence of the following aggravating circumstances:

1. The murder was committed by a person who has been convicted of a felony involving violence. (NRS 200.033 (2)). In case number C151842, on July 13, 1998, Defendant Ramos pled guilty to Assault with Use of a Deadly Weapon, in Department XIV. He was sentenced to 12 to 48 months, suspended and placed on 5 years probation. The charged stemmed from the Defendant beating his then-girlfriend, Yolanda Guzman, with a metal folding chair on June 13, 1998. On or about May 9, 2006, the court revoked Defendant's probation and the 12 to 48 month sentence was imposed. The underlying facts of the case indicate that this incident clearly involved violence as Defendant Ramos beat

Guzman with a chair. Moreover, the plea to Assault With a Deadly Weapon is a plea to a crime of violence as the crime of Assault inherently involves the use or threat of violence.
2. The murder was committed while the person was engaged in the commission of a robbery and the person charged killed the person murdered. (NRS 200.033 (4)). To establish this aggravating circumstance, the State will rely on the fact that the murder of victim Wallace Siegel was not only willful, deliberate and premeditated, but also involved a robbery or an attempt to rob. On May 16, 1998, at 4255 South Spencer, Room 120, Wallace Siegel was found by his son. Wallace Siegel had been beaten to death. Wallace Siegel was found sitting slumped over in a chair. Below his knee was an empty money clip. The evidence at the scene indicated that the motive for the murder appeared to be robbery.
3. The person subjected the victim to nonconsensual sexual penetration immediately before, during, or immediately after the commission of the murder. (NRS 200.033 (13)). To establish this aggravating circumstance, the State will present the testimony of a medical examiner who will state that victim Helen Sabraw sustained injuries to her anal and/or vaginal area or introitus which were consistent with having suffered a sexual assault at or near the time of death. The autopsy report notes an injury to the thighs, pelvic bone and/or hip bone(s). In addition, there are noted lacerations to the anal verge in two locations as well as a generalized contusion in the area. Moreover, there is some contusion to the introitus. This aggravating circumstance will also be proven with photographic evidence. The State will rely on the fact that Ms. Sabraw was found lying on the floor of her residence wearing only a nightgown. The nightgown was pulled up, exposing Ms. Sabraw's breasts. Ms. Sabraw's underwear was found underneath her head with apparent blood. In addition, on the carpet on the residence, away from Ms. Sabraw's body was the presence of biological material or fecal matter. Biological or fecal matter also appeared to be issuing from Ms. Sabraw's anal cavity at a different location on the carpet. Moreover, Ms. Sabraw clearly had suffered extensive injuries, including head and upper torso trauma. Finally, a shirt belonging to the perpetrator was left at the scene, further evidence that this crime was sexual in nature.
4. The defendant has, in the immediate proceeding, been convicted of more than one offense of murder in the first or second degree. (NRS 200.033(12)). To establish this aggravating circumstance, the State will rely on the guilty verdict in the instant case for the murder of Wallace Siegel and Helen Sabraw. At the time of the penalty hearing, the defendant will have been convicted of two murders in the same proceeding.

DATED this ${ }^{24 t h} \quad$ day of January, 2011.
Respectfully submitted,
DAVID ROGER
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#002781

BY /s/PAMELA WECKERLY
PAMELA WECKERLY Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar \#006163

## CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing, was made this 24 th day of January, 2011, by Electronic Filing to:

Clark County Public Defender's Office email: pdclerk@co.clark.nv.us Attn: Scott Coffee, Dep. PD
/s/Deana Daniels
Secretary for the District Attorney's Office

MOT
DAVID ROGER
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#002781
ROBERT J. DASKAS
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#004963
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2211
(702) 671-2500

Attorney for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff,
-VS-
GUSTAVO RAMOS \#1516662

Defendant.

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE INFORMATION BY AFFIDAVIT

DATE OF HEARING: February 9, 2011
TIME OF HEARING: 9.00xג.m. 8:45 Aм
COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by DAVID ROGER, District Attorney, through ROBERT J. DASKAS, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and files this Notice of Motion and Motion for Leave to File Information By Affidavit.

This Motion is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the attached points and authorities in support hereof, and oral argument at the time of hearing, if deemed necessary by this Honorable Court.
/ / /
//1
// /

Case No. C-10-269839
Dept No. VII

## NOTICE OF HEARING

YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned will bring the foregoing motion on for setting before the above entitled Court, in Department 8:45 AM VII thereof, on Wednesday, the 9th day of February, 2011, at the hour of $9: 000$ o'clock A.M., or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

DATED this 28th day of January, 2011.

DAVID ROGER<br>Clark County District Attorney<br>Nevada Bar \#002781

BY /s/ROBERT J. DASKAS<br>ROBERT J. DASKAS<br>Chief Deputy District Attorney<br>Nevada Bar \#004963

## POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

## FACTS

On May 16, 1998, at approximately 4:52 a.m., 75-year-old Wallace Siegel was found murdered in unit 120 of the Camlu Retirement Home ${ }^{1}$ located at 4255 South Spencer. See Preliminary Hearing Transcript ("PHT") (attached as Exhibit 1) at 17-20. Police personnel found a 25 -pound-dumbbell on the floor near Wallace Siegel. PHT 21. It was covered in blood. Id. An autopsy later revealed that Wallace suffered a skull fracture and died of blunt force trauma. PHT 108-113. Wallace Siegel's wallet and money clip were empty. PHT 2223. Robbery was the motive. See id. A patent bloody palm print was observed on a Las Vegas Review Journal page found on the floor near Wallace's body. PHT 24-25, 35-40.

On May 17, 1998, at approximately 11:10 a.m., 86-year-old Helen Sabraw was found murdered in unit 212 of the Camlu Retirement Home located at 4255 South Spencer. See

[^4]PHT 59-60, 64. Unlike the Wallace Siegel crime scene, robbery was not the motive; rather, the motive for the murder of Helen Sabraw was sexual assault. See PHT 68-69. Nothing was missing from Helen's apartment. PHT 68-69. In fact, there was valuable jewelry on her hands. PHT 68-69. At preliminary hearing, Detective Vaccaro testified that, based on his observations and experience, Helen Sabraw had been sexually assaulted. PHT 68. This point was re-emphasized at preliminary hearing during the cross-examination of Detective Vaccaro when the defense attorney asked, "It's not just a sexual assault, obviously this is above and beyond." PHT 72. The detective agreed. PHT 72. The defense attorney also elicited during cross-examination that the motive for Helen Sabraw's murder was sexual assault. PHT 74. Detective Vaccaro's testimony at preliminary hearing was corroborated by his observations at the crime scene and autopsy. Helen Sabraw was found murdered on the floor of her apartment; she was wearing only a nightgown which was pulled up above her breasts. PHT 64-65. Her underwear was off and found on the floor under her head. PHT 65,68 . There was fecal matter running down her leg. PHT 66-68. Her bra was off and found on the floor near her body. See PHT 64. A man's gray t-shirt and white 'muscle' shirt were found on the floor near Helen. PHT 65, 67. The shirts were particularly significant because they belonged to a man and Helen Sabraw lived alone. PHT 66. Helen had been stabbed numerous times on her head, face, upper torso, left thigh and left buttock. PHT 114. Two knives were found near her body - - one under her leg and one at the foot of her bed. PHT 66. An autopsy later revealed that Helen's cause of death was multiple sharp force injuries. PHT 117.

The murders of Wallace Siegel and Helen Sabraw remained "cold" for more than a decade. See PHT 70-71. On June 26, 2009, a request was submitted to the LVMPD Biology/DNA detail to determine if a profile could be obtained from either of the two shirts found at the Helen Sabraw murder scene. See PHT 95. Forensic Scientist Julie Marschner ultimately established a DNA profile from the gray t-shirt armpit cuttings which was consistent with a mixture of three (3) individuals, the major profile being male. PHT 97-98. Forensic Scientist Marschner concluded that the major profile was consistent with Gustavo

Ramos-Martinez, and the estimated frequency of the profile was rarer than 1 in 30 million. PHT 98.

A forensic request was then submitted to have the known prints of Gustavo RamosMartinez compared to the bloody patent print found on the Las Vegas Review Journal page from the Wallace Siegel murder scene. The bloody patent print was identified to the right palm of Gustavo Ramos-Martinez. PHT 48.

## PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On December 16, 2010, a preliminary hearing was held before Justice of the Peace William Jansen in Case 10F19783X against Gustavo Ramos-Martinez on two counts of open murder with use of a deadly weapon victim 65 years of age older, one count of sexual assault with use of a deadly weapon victim 65 years of age or older, and one count of sexual-penetration-of-a-dead-human-body. ${ }^{2}$ Seven witnesses were called at that proceeding on behalf of the State. Judge Jansen bound over defendant Ramos-Martinez on the murder counts; however, Judge Jansen stated he did not believe there was "substantial evidence" to hold defendant to answer to the remaining counts. PHT at 125 . Judge Jansen further explained that it was not "proven" or "established" that there was sexual assault and penetration. PHT 126. Therefore, Judge Jansen dismissed the sexual assault and sexual-penetration-of-a-dead-human-body counts. PHT 126.

## DISCUSSION

The justice of the peace articulated and applied the wrong standard at the preliminary hearing stage. At preliminary hearing, the State is not required to present "substantial evidence" of the charges, nor is the State required to "prove" or "establish" the charges. Rather, the finding of probable cause may be based on slight, even marginal, evidence because it does not involve a determination of the guilt or innocence of an accused. Sheriff v. Hodes, 96 Nev. 184, 186, 606 P.2d 178 (1980); see also Sheriff v. Shade, 109 Nev. 826, 828, 858 P.2d 840 (1993); Sheriff v. Simpson, 109 Nev. 430, 435, 851 P.2d 428 (1993);

[^5]Sheriff v. Crockett , 102 Nev. 359, 361, 724 P.2d 203 (1986). Thus, the evidence need not be sufficient to support a conviction. Sheriff v. Kinsey, 87 Nev. 361, 363, 487 P.2d 340 (1971). The State is required to present only enough evidence to support a reasonable inference that the accused committed the offense. Id. at 363.

The State respectfully calls upon this Court to apply the proper standard and reinstate the sexual assault and sexual-penetration-of-a-dead-human-body charges against defendant Ramos-Martinez. If not remedied, the mistake committed by the justice of the peace will result in a miscarriage of justice; namely, a jury will not be permitted to determine if Gustavo Ramos-Martinez should be held accountable for sodomizing an 86-year-old victim. The Nevada State Legislature enacted a statute which provides the State - - and this Court - with an avenue to correct this mistake. NRS $173.035 \S 2^{3}$ provides that an Information may be filed by affidavit in certain circumstances.

If, however, upon the preliminary examination the accused has been discharged $* * *$ the district attorney may, upon affidavit of any person who has knowledge of the commission of an offense, and who is a competent witness to testify in the case, setting forth the offense and the name of the persons charged with the commission thereof, upon being furnished with the names of the witnesses for the prosecution, by leave of the court first had, file an information, and process must forthwith be issued thereon.

This statute is designed to provide a safety valve against an arbitrary or mistaken decision of the magistrate in determining probable cause. Ryan v. District Court, 88 Nev . 638, 503 P.2d 842 (1972); Cranford v. Smart, 92 Nev. 89, 545 P.2d 1162 (1976). In other words, the statute was created to correct the precise mistake - - application of the wrong legal standard in determining probable cause - - made in the instant case.

Application of the proper "slight" or "marginal" evidence standard to the facts adduced at preliminary hearing mandates that Ramos-Martinez should have been bound over

[^6]on the sexual assault and sexual-penetration-of-a-dead-human-body counts. The State produced evidence that sexual assault was the motive for Helen Sabraw's murder. Nothing was missing from Helen's apartment. PHT 68-69. In fact, there was valuable jewelry on her hands. PHT 68-69. This point was re-emphasized by the defense during cross-examination of Detective Vaccaro. PHT 74. Helen Sabraw was found on the floor of her apartment; she was wearing only a nightgown which was pulled up above her exposed breasts. PHT 64-65. The underwear of this 86 -year-old victim was off and found on the floor under her head. PHT 65, 68. Her underwear had been removed for a reason. She had been anally penetrated. This was corroborated by the fact that there was fecal matter running down her leg. PHT 6668. One can infer from these facts that Helen Sabraw had been anally penetrated. PHT 6668. Helen Sabraw's bra was off and found on the floor near her body. See PHT 65. A man's gray t-shirt and white 'muscle' shirt were found on the floor near Helen. PHT 65, 67. In other words, the intruder, later confirmed to be Gustavo Ramos-Martinez, undressed. Significantly, Detective Vaccaro testified - unobjected to by the defense - that, in his experience and based on his observations, Helen Sabraw had been sexually assaulted. PHT 68. Again, this point was emphasized during the defense cross-examination when Detective Vaccaro agreed this was not just a sexual assault. PHT 71. All of this evidence - the intruder getting undressed; the removal of the victim's bra; the removal of the victim's underwear; the victim's nightgown pulled up to expose her buttocks and breasts; the fecal matter running down her leg; the presence of valuables left on the victim and in the apartment - - leads to the inescapable conclusion that Helen Sabraw was anally penetrated. At a minimum, the State produced marginal evidence that Sabraw was anally penetrated.

An affidavit of a witness with knowledge of the crimes has been attached hereto as Exhibit 2. The facts stated therein are those adduced at the preliminary hearing.

## CONCLUSION

The function of the justice court is not to attempt to negate or construct possible explanations for a defendant's conduct or the physical evidence in the case. Rather, the standard of proof to be applied is only slight or marginal evidence. Certainly, that standard was met by the State in the instant case regarding the sexual assault counts. The justice of the peace articulated and applied the wrong standard when he stated there was not "substantial evidence" to hold defendant to answer to the counts. Therefore, the State requests leave to file the original charges by Information with attached Affidavit.

DATED this 28th day of January, 2011.
DAVID ROGER
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#002781

BY /s/ROBERT J. DASKAS
ROBERT J. DASKAS
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#004963

## CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing, was made this $\mathbf{2 8 T H}$ day of January, 2011, by Electronic Filing to:

Clark County Public Defender's Office email: pdclerk@co.clark.nv.us Attn: Scott Coffee, Dep. PD
/s/Deana Daniels
Secretary for the District Attorney's Office
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[^0]:    before the Court. Wait for Mr. Coffee to get back.
    We have a few minutes to mark the exhlbits.
    (Off-the-record discussion
    held between counsel.)
    (State's Exhibits 1 and 63
    were marked for identification.)

    THE COURT: I have an Amended Criminal Complaint here.

    MR. DASKAS: State it on the record now?
    THE COURT: Sure. Whatever you want to state on the record is fine.

    MR. DASKAS: Thank you, Judge.
    THE COURT: I don't want to get started with any testimony until you get the documents marked.

    MR. DASKAS: Judge, this morning we filed with the derk an Amended Crlminal Complaint. We added two charges: Count 3, sexual assault with use of a deadly weapon, victim 65 years of age or older; and Count 4, an alternative count, sexual penetration of a dead human body.

    We anticipate there will be testimony from the pathologist that she's unable to determine whether the trauma to the victim occurred pre mortem or postmorten. That's the

[^1]:    DIANA M. GREEN, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385

[^2]:    DIANA H. GREEN, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385

[^3]:    DIANA M. GREEN, CCR 264 (702) 671-3385

[^4]:    1 The Camlu Retirement home was a dormitory style complex with locked public access doors that were designed to prevent access to the individual housing units. PHT 17-18, 61 .

[^5]:    ${ }^{2}$ The State was precluded from filing burglary and robbery charges based upon statutes of limitation.

[^6]:    ${ }^{3}$ Within two days of the preliminary hearing, the State requested a copy of the preliminary hearing transcript. The State made repeated requests but did not receive the transcript until January 21, 2011. Therefore, the State is within the prescribed time frame for filing the instant Information by Affidavit. See e.g., Berry v. Sheriff, 93 Nev. 557 (1977).
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