## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

NUVEDA, LLC,

Appellant,

Electronically Filed Mar.04 2020 05:47 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court District Court Case No.: A-15-728510-B

v.

JENNIFER GOLDSTEIN,

Respondent.

## JOINT APPENDIX VOLUME X

JASON M. WILEY Nevada Bar No. 9274 E. DANIEL KIDD Nevada Bar No. 10106 WILEY PETERSEN 1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 200B Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 702.910.3329

Attorneys for Appellant NuVeda, LLC

## **DOCUMENT INDEX**

Document	Date	Vol. No.	Beginning Page No.
Affidavit of Attempted Service	12.09.2015	Ι	JA00087
Affidavit of Attempted Service	12.09.2015	Ι	JA00089
Affidavit of Attempted Service	12.09.2015	Ι	JA00091
Affidavit of Attempted Service	12.09.2015	Ι	JA00093
Affidavit of Due Diligence	12.09.2015	Ι	JA00095
Affidavit of Due Diligence	12.09.2015	Ι	JA00097
Affidavit of Service	12.09.2015	Ι	JA00099
American Arbitration Association Preliminary Hearing and Scheduling Order #2	10.30.2017	III	JA00445
American Arbitration Association Preliminary Hearing and Scheduling Order #6	11.1.2018	III	JA00477
Business Valuation Report for NuVeda, LLC prepared by Donald R. Parker	3.10.2016	II	JA00193
Case Appeal Statement	10.9.2019	XI	JA02406
Certified Business Appraisal of NuVeda, LLC (Fair Market Value) by Webster Business Group	8.19.2017	II	JA00435
Complaint	12.3.2015	Ι	JA00041
Correspondence by and between Alan Buttell and Jennifer Goldstein	8.29.2017 – 9.5.2017	II	JA00435
Correspondence from Brian R. Irvine to Jason M. Wiley	6.28.2019	VII	JA01466
Correspondence from Jason M. Wiley to Brian R. Irvine	6.19.2019	VII	JA01462
Declaration of Brian Irvine in Support of Plaintiff Jennifer M. Goldstein's Opposition to NuVeda, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award	7.25.2019	XI	JA02331
Declaration of Brian R. Irvine in Support of Motion to Continue the Juley 19, 2019, Hearing Dare and Extend Briefing Deadlines	7.1.2019	VII	JA01467
Declaration of Brian R. Irvine Reply in Support of Motion to Continue Hearing on NuVeda, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award and to Extend Briefing Deadlines	7.16.2019	VII	JA01531
Declaration of NuVeda, LLC's Opposition to Motion to Continue Hearing on NuVeda, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award and to Extend Briefing Deadlines – and – Notice of No Opposition to Its Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award	7.11.2019	VII	JA01508
Expert Rebuttal and Rebuttal and Retrospective Summary Report prepared by Donald Parker	3.16.2018	III	JA00466
Expert Rebuttal Report Shane Terry, et al. vs.	11.28.2016	II	JA00352

Document	Date	Vol. No.	Beginning Page No.
<i>NuVeda, LLC, et al.</i> Case No. AAA No. A-15-728510-B prepared by Donald R. Parker			
Expert Witness Report <i>Shane Terry v NuVeda, LLC</i> <i>et al.</i> prepared by Anthem Forensics	11.29.2016	II	JA00376
Expert Witness Report Shane Terry v. NuVeda, LLC, et al. PREPARED BY Anthem Forensics	12.13.2018	III	JA00481
Final Award	3.19.2019	IV	JA00875
Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Denying Defendants' Countermotion for Preliminary Injunction and Joinder, and Entering Provisional Remedy Pursuant to NRS 38.222	1.13.2016	Ι	JA100
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order: (1) Granting Plaintiff Jennifer M. Goldstein's Motion to Continue Hearing on NuVeda, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award and to Extend Briefing Deadlines; (2) Denying Defendant NuVeda, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award; and (3) Confirming the Arbitration Award	9.9.2019	XI	JA02374
Interim Award of Arbitrator Regarding Value	2.7.2019	IV	JA00864
Judgment	11.15.2019	XI	JA02412
Motion for Entry of Judgment	9.19.2019	XI	JA02389
Motion to Continue Hearing on NuVeda, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award and to Extend Briefing Deadlines	7.1.2019	VII	JA01467
Notice of Appearance of Brian R. Irvine and Brooks T. Westergard	6.21.2019	VII	JA01463
Notice of Entry of Order and Judgement	11.15.2019	XI	JA02415
Notice of Appeal	10.9.2019	XI	JA02397
Notice of Entry of Order of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order: (1) Granting Plaintiff Jennifer M. Goldstein's Motion to Continue Hearing on NuVeda, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award and to Extend Briefing Deadlines; (2) Denying Defendant NuVeda, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award; and (3) Confirming the Arbitration Award	9.9.2019	XI	JA02374
Notice of Posting Bond	10.9.2019	XI	JA02401
NuVeda, LLC's Motion to Strike the Supplemental Valuation and Expert Report Dated December 14, 2018	12.20.2018	III	JA00514
NuVeda LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award	6.17.2019	V	JA00880
NuVeda, LLC Operating Agreement	7.9.2014	Ι	JA00001

Document	Date	Vol. No.	Beginning
			Page No.
NuVeda, LLC's Opposition to Motion to Continue	7.11.2019	VII	JA01483
Hearing on NuVeda, LLC's Motion to Vacate			
Arbitration Award and to Extend Briefing			
Deadlines – and – Notice of Opposition to Its			
Motion to Vacate Arbitration Award			
NuVeda, LLC's Reply to Plaintiff Jennifer	8.5.2019	XI	JA02333
Goldstein's Opposition to NuVeda, LLC's Motion			
to Vacate Arbitration Award			
Order Denying Respondent NuVeda's Motion to	1.9.2019	III	JA00520
Strike Supplemental Valuation & Expert Report of			
Donald Parker Dated December 14, 2018			
Order Granting Shane Terry's Motion to Substitute	5.18.2018	III	JA00473
BCP Holding 7, LLC as the Real Party in Interest			
Order of Affirmance in the Supreme Court of the	10.13.2017	III	JA00441
State of Nevada Case No. 69648			
Plaintiff Jennifer M. Goldstein's Opposition to	7.25.2019	XI	JA01539
NuVeda, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration			
Award			
Reply in Support of Motion to Continue Hearing on	7.16.2019	VII	JA01510
NuVeda, LLC's Motion to Vacate Arbitration			
Award and to Extend Briefing Deadlines			
Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Volume 1	1.15.2019	III	JA00524
Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Volume 2	1.16.2019	IV	JA00782
Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Volume 3	1.17.2019	IV	JA00848
Special Meeting of the Members of NuVeda, LLC	8.8.2017	II	JA00407
Summons – Pejman Bady	12.07.2015	Ι	JA00085
Summons – Pouya Mohajer	12.07.2015	Ι	JA00083
Supplemental Business Valuation and Expert	2.23.2018	III	JA00453
Report prepared by Donald Parker			
Supplemental Valuation and Expert Report	12.14.2018	III	JA00499
prepared by Donald Parker			
Transcript of NuVeda Officer Meeting	3.10.2016	Ι	JA00105
Transcript of Proceedings – Hearing on Motion to	8.23.2019	XI	JA02345
Continue Motion to Vacate and Motion to Vacate			
Arbitration Award Held on August 12, 2019			
Written Consent in Lieu of Special Meeting of the	11.23.2015	Ι	JA00030
Members of NuVeda			

1	A Yes.
2	Q You've heard of CW Cowan Cowan Bank?
3	A No.
4	Q You heard of Canacort Bank (phonetic)?
5	A No.
6	Q Have you heard of World Bank of Canada?
7	A Are there any banks in Nevada you want to
8	talk about?
9	Q My question wasn't about banks in Nevada.
10	A If they bank, they have fed access for their
11	bank, then they won't touch it. It's the fed that is
12	the controlling factor.
13	Q Did you talk to any
14	A I don't know if there were banks that don't
15	use the fed.
16	Q Did you talk to any brokers in the cannabis
17	business in determining whether those numbers you got
18	from Dr. Bady is correct?
19	A That's a good question. No.
20	Q You talk to now, you have a view or you'd
21	agree with me, Mr. Kennedy, that when you combine
22	these licenses such as to create a vertical, you
23	increase the value substantially; correct?
24	A Potentially.
25	Q When would you increase the value?
	Page 376
	Veritext Legal Solutions
	VULTICAL LOZAL SOLULIOUS

1 Α Well, if you had a cultivation that could supply your production that could then put the product 2 on your dispensary, you would have a quaranteed 3 source, a quaranteed method of preparing your edibles 4 5 and everything and a place to vend it from. What do you believe the estimate value of 6 Ο 7 those three licenses combined would be? Α I don't know they would be any different than 8 9 they would be separately. So your view now is, if I combine the 10 Ο 11 licenses so I can sell them in the vertical, they 12 would be the same price as otherwise? 13 Α I have a reason for saying that. 14 I'm just asking is that your testimony now? 0 15 Yes. А 16 Now, do you recall giving your deposition in Q 17 this case, Mr. Kennedy? 18 Α I do. Do you recall testifying if you had the 19 0 ability to sell a vertically-integrated license, it 20 21 would add significant value? Do you recall it? I do. 22 Α 23 So, in that case, you could add significant 0 value, but today when you're testifying you can't? 2.4 25 Α Because I've learned since then. Page 377

1	Q But when you testified in this case, it
2	was previously, it was in 2018; correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And your belief then was it would add
5	<pre>significant value; correct?</pre>
6	A Correct.
7	Q And your belief in 2017 was the same, that it
8	would add significant value; correct?
9	A I want to explain why.
10	Q Your lawyer can redirect you.
11	A That's fine.
12	Q It was in 2017, correct, that a
13	vertically-integrated license would add significant
14	value; correct?
15	A Thought so.
16	Q So back to my question, Mr. Kennedy, if I can
17	put you back in time.
18	A Okay.
19	Q When you thought it would add significant
20	value, how much value is "significant" in your view?
21	A I don't recall what I thought at the time.
22	Q Going back, if you can go back, what you
23	think is significant value?
24	MR. WILEY: Asked and answered.
25	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Overruled.
	Page 378

1	MR. WILEY: You can answer.
2	THE WITNESS: I can't recall what I thought
3	then. I can only recall what I think now.
4	BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:
5	Q Now, Mr. Kennedy, are you aware of a man
6	named Thomas Hanyie, H-A-Y-N-I-E?
7	A No.
8	Q Are you aware of, Mr. Kennedy, of licenses
9	for sale in Nevada in or around April of 2017, for a
10	dispensary for \$12 million?
11	A I was only looking at completed sales, not
12	what was for sale.
13	Q I'm asking were you aware of it?
14	A I was not.
15	Q Were you aware there was a cultivation and
16	production facility available for sale for \$12 million
17	in or around April of 2017?
18	A No.
19	Q Were you aware, Mr. Kennedy, that there was a
20	vertical enterprise in Nevada being for sale in April
21	of 2017 for \$25 million?
22	MR. WILEY: I'm going to object; lacks
23	foundation.
24	MR. FEUERSTEIN: I'm asking if he's aware of
25	it.
	Page 379

THE WITNESS: Respond? ARBITRATOR BAKER: Yes, please. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. FEUERSTEIN: Q Were you aware there was a cultivation
THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:
BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:
Q Were you aware there was a cultivation
facility for sale for \$7 and a half million?
A No.
Q As you testified, you didn't do anything to
make yourself aware when you were valuing the licenses
that were on NuVeda's balance sheet; correct?
A As far as I knew, there were only two or
three sales in Clark County, the total of Clark
County. I didn't look for what was offered for sale
because some of the asking prices were had no
correlation to what they sold for.
Q Have you ever heard of a company called
Canadian Bioceutical Corporation?
A I know a lot of Canadian some things.
Q I'll take it that you're not familiar?
A I'm not familiar.
Q And I guess you're not aware that in May of
2017, Canadian Bioceutical Corporation, that it was
buying a U.S. subsidiary for \$19 million?
buying a U.S. subsidiary for \$19 million? A I heard about significant purchases of

1 cannabis companies from Canadian companies. And were you aware of that in 2017? 2 Q I didn't even know if they were done by then. 3 Α See if I can refresh your recollection, Mr. 4 0 5 Kennedv. I'll mark as I think we're marking it as Claimant's Exhibit 1, marking for identification to 6 see if we can refresh his recollection. 7 MR. WILEY: I think he testified, no, he 8 9 doesn't. MR. FEUERSTEIN: I thought he said what he 10 11 knew about was, he's heard of Canadian companies buying, makes lots of acquisitions. 12 13 MR. WILEY: Not with respect to what you're 14 showing him. He's not saying he has any information or knowledge as to this purported sale that you're 15 16 discussing. 17 MR. FEUERSTEIN: Let me lay some foundation. 18 Mr. Kennedy, do you have any knowledge 19 0 20 whatsoever about Canadian Bioceutical Corporation 21 exercising an option to purchase 100 percent of Green Mart of Nevada? 22 23 Α I am not. So you have no idea whether it would spend 24 Q 25 \$19 million for a cultivation and production Page 381

1 facility? MR. WILEY: Objection. 2 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Sustained. 3 MR. FEUERSTEIN: I'm going to move the 4 5 document nonetheless as an exhibit, given that it's a newspaper article, again, that is two pages long with 6 a URL link so you can check the authenticity of the 7 document. From our perspective, it's no different 8 9 than the Terra Tech article that was admitted earlier. 10 11 ARBITRATOR BAKER: I don't see any basis to get it in through this witness based upon his 12 13 testimony. 14 MR. FEUERSTEIN: Okay. We'll save it for 15 another one. 16 0 Mr. Kennedy, were you involved at all in the 17 Clauretie reports, or in helping form the Clauretie reports that are going to be testified to in a little 18 bit? 19 20 А I did meet with Dr. Clauretie, yes. 21 Q What did you discuss with him? 22 I gave him a balance sheet and income Α statement and had discussions with him about 23 24 responding to questions about the company. 25 How many balance sheets did you provide? 0 Page 382

1	A I gave him the same balance sheet that I gave
2	to Mr. Webster.
3	Q Do you happen to know whether do you have
4	any recollection whether you gave him a balance sheet
5	from 2016 as well?
6	A I don't recall.
7	Q Take a look at the expert report, tab 2, in
8	front of you. If you would, take a look, Mr. Kennedy,
9	page 13 of that report.
10	(Witness reviewing document.)
11	A I see it.
12	Q Is that a balance sheet that you provided
13	him?
14	A I don't specifically recall.
15	Q If it wasn't you, who would it have been?
16	A I was going to say in all probability it was
17	me.
18	Q Do you see, Mr. Kennedy, that in that, in the
19	third asset down, the asset CWNV Investment, do you
20	see that?
21	A I do.
22	Q What did you say? You see it's valued at
23	\$4,470,000?
24	A I do.
25	Q That's as of March 10, 2016; correct?
	Page 383

1	A Correct.
2	Q And that's approximately a million 2.7, more
3	than what it was valued at in March in August of
4	2017; correct?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And in August of 2017, the licenses were
7	recreational as well as medical; correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And in August of 2017, they were affiliated
10	with permanent structures; correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And they were operational; correct?
13	A Not all of them.
14	Q Really? So your testimony now is the
15	dispensary licenses in let me finish the
16	question that the dispensary licenses were not
17	operational in August of 2017?
18	A No, the dispensary licenses were, but not all
19	of the licenses were.
20	MR. WILEY: You asked about license.
21	MR. FEUERSTEIN: I realize that.
22	Q The cultivation and production licenses, they
23	were not operational in CWNV; correct?
24	A Correct.
25	Q But they were permanent; correct?
	Page 384

1	A Not yet.
2	Q They're still not permanent today?
3	A They are now; we made a pod.
4	Q When did you make that pod?
5	A In late '17.
6	Q I'll ask the same testimony question I asked
7	Dr. Bady: You understood that in the MIPA, there was
8	an obligation for CW to pay money for not getting the
9	cultivation up and running by end of '16; correct?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And that obligation still exists today;
12	correct?
13	A No. I think it was offset.
14	Q What was it offset by, Mr. Kennedy?
15	A Well, we had a right to 35 percent of the net
16	income, but we also were obligated for 35 percent of
17	the net losses.
18	Q Where does it say that in the MIPA?
19	A That's what we agreed to at some point down
20	the line.
21	Q So even though Dr. Bady testified that the
22	MIPA was never amended, now you're suggesting that the
23	MIPA was changed because you agreed to offset the
24	losses?
25	A I'm saying it was interpreted to agree that
	Page 385

1	we would bear our share of the losses.
2	Q Can you point what document says that, Mr.
3	Kennedy?
4	A The document would be the MIPA.
5	Q So let's look at the MIPA. MIPA, I believe
6	it's Exhibit 149 on the computer?
7	Okay. Why don't you take a look at it, Mr.
8	Kennedy, and find me the provision that says that
9	NuVeda would be responsible for 35 percent of the
10	losses.
11	(Witness reviewing document.)
12	A It's on page 2, 1.1 B.
13	Q You had 35 percent of the issue and
14	outstanding membership interest of CWNV. Is that what
15	you're suggesting?
16	A Yeah.
17	Q If you look down to 1.1 E, CW was obligated
18	to pay Romanette iii, sufficient working capital for
19	the operations of the businesses of Clark and Nye; you
20	see that?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And it was 100 percent of that; correct?
23	A For operating capital, yes.
24	Q One hundred percent of that?
25	A Yeah.
	Page 386

Q And one hundred percent of build-out for the dispensaries; correct?

A Yes.

3

6

Q And one hundred percent for the build-out for the cultivation and for the production; correct?

A Yeah.

Q So what losses are there, if all -- if CW is
paying 100 percent of --

9 Α Paying the capital. We have a partnership, and the partnership is defined by the federal tax code 10 11 says that unless you have exceptions in the DLLC, we're liable for 35 percent of the losses, and we're 12 13 also going to be credited with 35 percent of the 14 profits. It says nothing to do with the capital The capital expenses are a different 15 expenses. 16 story.

17 Q Let me ask you a question: You're getting 35 18 percent of the losses as 35 percent partner; correct? 19 That's what you're suggesting?

A I'm saying once the capital has been invested and the business is up and operating, if the business has a loss, then we're to bear what our proportionate share of the loses. If the business has a profit, we receive that proportion of the profit.

25

Q With all due respect, Mr. Kennedy, you agree

2 3	actual loss; correct? You can allocate A Yeah, it's worse for the marijuana business.
	business.
4	
5	Q If a business is
6	A Because of the way the entities are treated.
7	2 ADE says you can't deduct your normal expenses.
8	Q Is it your testimony sitting here today, Mr.
9	Kennedy, that NuVeda, there was a shortfall of
10	capital, cash in the business, that NuVeda had an
11	obligation to pay 35 percent of that short-fall,
12	notwithstanding what we just read in section 1.1 E?
13	A No, not the capital. I'm talking about the
14	income.
15	Q So let's make sure we're on the same page.
16	If at the end of the day CW puts in all the money to
17	operate the business; correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And at the end of the day, you didn't make
20	CWNV did not make a profit; it has a loss; right?
21	A Okay.
22	Q That loss gets allocated 65 percent to CW and
23	35 percent to NuVeda?
24	A Precisely.
25	Q And that loss technically will flow down to
	Page 388

1	the members of NuVeda?
2	A Correct.
3	Q Which is a tax benefit when you're reporting
4	your taxes because you can offset with your gains;
5	correct?
6	A The K-1 will show a loss, yes.
7	Q And you can offset that loss or any gains you
8	made from that tax year or carry them forward;
9	correct?
10	A Correct.
11	Q That's what you bargained for in the MIPA;
12	correct?
13	A We weren't anticipating losses when we
14	created the MIPA. I mean, I know as a person who does
15	taxes, that if we have a loss, how those losses will
16	be distributed, yes.
17	Q So are you suggesting that at some point down
18	the road, the members of NuVeda got together and
19	agreed to waive the provision of lost profits?
20	Let me ask the question differently: What
21	did you give up? What was the consideration that
22	NuVeda gave to then, to CW, in order to release CW
23	from its obligation to pay the loss profits?
24	A Well, we didn't give up anything because
25	there were no loss profits, so
	Page 389

1	Q Let me withdraw the question and ask another
2	the question.
3	You had a benefit. NuVeda had a benefit of
4	lost profits coming to it at some point in time under
5	the MIPA; correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And that was an obligation of CW; correct?
8	A Correct.
9	Q You released you're saying now for the
10	first time that you released CW from that
11	obligation?
12	A I didn't say relieved them. I said offset.
13	Q Okay. You offset them. You offset them.
14	What did you offset them with?
15	A We offset them with the promises that the
16	cultivation would be up and running in Nye County and
17	that we would be receiving benefits from that, that
18	cultivation facility by November of 2016.
19	Q I think you mis that's an obligation?
20	A That's an obligation.
21	Q CW had an obligation to pay you?
22	A Right.
23	Q And that obligation existed all the way into
24	the future, correct, as it's written in the
25	agreement?
	Page 390

Veritext Legal Solutions 877-955-3855 A Correct.

Q Explain to me the arithmetic as to why you would offset anything; right? It sounds to me what you're giving up your tax benefit of loss, to relieve CW of an obligation to pay you profits?

A There are two aspects to the loss: One of them is that it passes through to the members, so the members, correct, do receive a tax benefit of a loss, which incidentally had to go two other entities before it got to the taxpayer. But the second is that somebody had to fund that loss, and we didn't have to fund the loss.

In exchange for not having our cultivationfacility in Nye, that loss was funded by NuVeda.

15 Q We just talked about the fact that that loss 16 was an obligation of CW --

17

1

A By CWNV.

18 Q Paying that loss was an obligation of CWNV 19 because 1.1 E said they had to pay all the working 20 capital?

A That's not working capital. It's loss by revenue. The capital is your investment into the facilities and everything else. It's not used for paying for the staff and the product and all the other things. That's operating capital.

1 Q Really, Mr. Kennedy? Your testimony is -the distinction you're making is that working capital 2 and operating capital are two different terms? 3 Α Yes. 4 5 0 There's no question pending. If that's your testimony. 6 What document reflects the deal that you just 7 described, Mr. Kennedy? 8 9 Α The 1065 for CWNV. What's that? 10 0 11 The tax return. Α 12 What document agreement between you and CW Q exists to reflect what you just described in the 13 record? 14 15 Objection. Asked and answered. MR. WILEY: 16 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Overruled. 17 THE WITNESS: What document describes our agreement? 18 BY MR. FEUERSTEIN: 19 20 That agreement that you just described. 0 21 Α It was a verbal agreement at the time we were 22 preparing the tax returns. 23 Is there any -- has it been memorialized in 0 writing? 24 I think it was in the minutes of the 25 А Page 392

1	meeting.
2	Q In whose minutes?
3	A CWNV's.
4	Q Do you know if those losses were passed on to
5	Ms. Goldstein, by the way, in 2017?
6	A I'd have to look at the tax returns.
7	Q Mr. Kennedy, with respect to going back to
8	the Clauretie report, can you just explain to me why
9	the asset went down between 2016 and 2017?
10	A No.
11	Q I'd like to direct your attention to what
12	we've marked as 263. It's not in the computer. It's
13	a paper document.
14	A Okay.
15	Q Mr. Kennedy, we'll go through the document in
16	a moment.
17	If you'll turn the page to the last page, I
18	just want to confirm that's your signature on the
19	third signature line of Glad 2B Home?
20	A That is my signature.
21	Q And you understand what your signature means
22	when signing a document?
23	A Means I signed it.
24	Q Means you agreed to the terms?
25	A I agreed to the terms of the document.
	Page 393

And you agreed to the terms of the purchase 1 Q and sale agreement in or around September 20, 2017? 2 MR. WILEY: I'm going to object. Outside the 3 scope of direct. 4 5 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Overruled. BY MR. FEUERSTEIN: 6 You did hear my question? You agreed to the 7 0 terms what's been marked as Joint Exhibit 263 in or 8 9 around September 2017? I wouldn't have signed it otherwise. 10 Α Yeah. 11 Glad 2B Home is an entity where you're the 0 12 resident agent? I think Pro Advice is, but I own Pro 13 Α Advice. 14 Have you ever done -- did you ever calculate 15 Ο 16 the minimum amount of money that would be entitled to 17 Glad 2B Home pursuant to this agreement? 18 It was somewhere just about \$20 million. Α 19 Did you give a valuation with respect to the 0 20 interest in CW? 21 Α Could be anywhere from zero -- I never 22 thought it was very much. 23 Do I understand this agreement correctly, 0 which is that NuVeda and its members were essentially 2.4 25 giving all of its right in CWNV to an entity owned a Page 394

1	100 percent by you, then you were going to receive all
2	the money from CWNV or from CWNevada, rather?
3	A No, not me.
4	Q Who are the members of Glad 2B Home, LLC?
5	A Different members over different times. But
6	at this moment what's the date on here? I think it
7	was Michelle Thompson and myself.
8	Q Who was it, I'm sorry?
9	A Michelle Thompson and myself.
10	Q Who is Michelle Thompson?
11	A An individual who lives in Las Vegas.
12	Q So all of the rights that Dr. Bady had and
13	any profit from CWNV he was giving away?
14	A The intent of this agreement was that Glad 2B
15	Home would act as an escrow agent. It was never
16	intended that Glad 2B Home would receive the funds.
17	Q Why was Glad 2B Home acting as escrow agent
18	in September 2017?
19	A Because we needed an entity to act as an
20	escrow agent because the two parties couldn't agree on
21	payment a recipient, and I happened to have an
22	available LLC that didn't have any function.
23	Q Was there an escrow agreement that was
24	entered into?
25	A If it's not in this agreement, then the
	Page 395

1	answer is no.
2	Q You recognize the you recognize the
3	signature on the bottom of the last page on behalf of
4	CWNevada?
5	A I do.
6	Q And that's Brian Padgett?
7	A That's Brian Padgett's signature.
8	Q You'd agree with me based on the date of the
9	document, Mr. Kennedy, that this was entered into
10	approximately one month after Mr. Webster provided his
11	appraisal; correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And do you recall how long it took for the
14	members of NuVeda to negotiate this document that's
15	been marked as Exhibit 263 with Brian Padgett?
16	A I don't recall how long it took to negotiate
17	it.
18	Q Did it take six months?
19	A I just don't recall. It could be a lengthy
20	agreement, or it could have been a lengthy time or a
21	short time.
22	Q You testified a little bit in your deposition
23	about the Apex transaction we'll call it?
24	A Okay.
25	Q What was the thought process of entering into
	Page 396
	Veritext Legal Solutions

that transaction?

1

A We didn't have any capital to build a facility -- a cultivation or production facility in North Las Vegas, so the thought process was that somebody else would build it; that we would utilize our license to allow them to use the facility to generate cash.

When sufficient amounts were generated to 8 9 retire all of the debt, that then the three different parties would have their proportionate shares. So we 10 11 would have shared 40 percent a facility which we 12 thought at the time was going to cost 6 million to 13 build, and turned out to cost 9 million to build, but 14 we would have a 40 percent share of that after enough 15 revenue had been generated.

16 Q How big is the facility on the Apex 17 property?

18

A 32,000 square feet.

19 Q How big was the contemplated facility in Nye 20 County?

21

А

Α

I don't know.

Q Do you recall Brian Padgett testifying he was looking to build a cultivation facility of 25,000 square feet?

25

I do not recall that. You don't want me to

1

finish the logic?

2

Q No, not yet.

Is there something spectacular about the cultivation and processing facility of Apex that would cost \$9 million of capital?

A First of all, it includes a significant amount of land that goes with it. It's 12.4 acres, and it's right next to the North Las Vegas airport. So we were able to get it in town, green house facility because we didn't have complaints from the neighbors about odor and things like that because the neighbor was the airport.

Then, lastly, it was built to use a lot less power because it was designed originally made for Saudi Arabia, and they have 30 years of experience using these, and can even keep the temperature in the green houses in the 70s without using air conditioning.

19 Q Besides from this build-out, do you have any 20 view, Mr. Kennedy, of what it cost to build the 21 cultivation facility in Nevada?

A You mean a green house? There are threeoptions.

24 25 Q Tell me the three options.

A The three options, are, you can have a green

1	
1	house; you can have an indoor, which is a concrete
2	tilt-up building, or you can have an outdoor
3	facility.
4	Q Well, I don't need to know how much it cost
5	to build an outdoor facility.
6	A Okay.
7	Q How much is a green house?
8	A A green house is probably about a hundred
9	dollars a square foot.
10	Q So if it's a 25,000 square foot facility, you
11	think it cost \$2.5 million build-out?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And indoor?
14	A Indoor would be a little bit higher. It
15	would be about \$145 a square foot.
16	Q So almost 50 percent more than
17	A Yes.
18	Q What about the production facility, how much
19	does that cost?
20	A Variable. I would say they're all going to
21	be either the concrete tilt-up or steel buildings, so
22	they're going to be in the ballpark of \$150 a square
23	foot. Depends on the power requirements.
24	Q Depends on the equipment as well; right?
25	A That's why the power requirements are for the
	Page 399
	Veritext Legal Solutions

Г

1 equipment. But I'm asking the total cost of the building 2 Ο it's going to depend greatly on the type of machinery 3 you put in there; correct. The machines have varying 4 5 costs? Α I wasn't thinking about the machines, I was 6 7 just thinking about the building. We talked a little bit about the experts and 8 0 9 you talked about Clauretie. I think you testified that you met with him; correct? 10 11 Α I did. 12 How many times did you meet with him? 0 Four, maybe five times, one of them being 13 Α 14 social. 15 You're friends with Mr. Clauretie? 0 16 Α I like Mr. Clauretie. 17 Q How long have you known him? Since '16. 18 Α 19 Do you remember the timing of those visits? 0 20 Was it mostly in '16? Was it '18? 21 Α About four months ago we moved into a new house and invited Dr. Clauretie and his wife over for 22 23 dinner. I was talking more about the times you met 2.4 Ο 25 with him in preparation for his reports. For those in Page 400

1 '18, more than they were in '16? Α Yes, they were in '18 more than in '16. 2 What about Joe Lahoney? 3 Q Α Leauanae, I think. Close enough. 4 5 0 Did you meet with him at all? Α I have seen him twice. 6 7 How many hours you spend with Dr. Clauretie 0 in discussing the report? 8 9 Α Five or six hours, total. Did you ever see drafts of his report? 10 0 11 Α Yes. 12 Did you edit those drafts? Q 13 Α No. 14 Same question with Mr. Leauanae. Q 15 I did not see a draft of his report. А 16 Aside from giving them the balance sheet of Q 17 NuVeda, did you give them any other documents? 18 Α Well, the income statement. No. 19 The conversations you had with Dr. Bady about 0 the license transactions, can you give me the time 20 21 frame when these conversations took place? I see Dr. Bady all the time. I couldn't give 22 Α 23 you a date. Is there a particular reason, Mr. Kennedy, 24 Q 25 that you chose Mr. Webster in doing the quote/unquote Page 401

1	appraisal	for this case?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	Why?
4	А	One of the lawyers I dealt with, George
5	Maglares,	M-A-G-L-A-R-E-S, recommended him.
6	Q	At the time you were aware there were expert
7	reports -	- withdraw the question.
8		At the time that you went back to Mr. Webster
9	in August	of 2017, you were aware there were expert
10	reports ex	changed by the parties; correct?
11	А	For the
12	Q	In connection with this arbitration?
13	А	Yes. Shane Terry's report.
14	Q	Right. And NuVeda's
15	А	NuVeda report.
16	Q	And the individual respondents' report;
17	correct?	
18	А	I wasn't involved in the production of those,
19	but I was	aware they existed.
20	Q	You certainly had conversation with
21	Dr. Claure	etie in 2016 in preparation of that report;
22	correct?	
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	So you must have known they were being done
25	or percula	ating somewhere; correct?
		Page 402

1	A Yeah, but I didn't know they were
2	submitted.
3	Q Any reason you didn't provide them to Mr.
4	Webster so he could do his own assessment as to the
5	value of NuVeda?
6	A Because I provided him with what he asked me
7	for, and no more.
8	Q Did you tell him at the time that there was
9	litigation? Their expert reports had been exchanged
10	between the parties?
11	A I told them there was litigation,
12	obviously.
13	Q Did you tell him there were expert reports
14	already submitted between the parties?
15	A I didn't know there were expert reports
16	already submitted.
17	Q You were aware, Mr. Kennedy, there were sales
18	figures for CWNV and around August 2017?
19	A There were what?
20	Q Sales figures.
21	A Sales figures?
22	Q Yes.
23	A For CWNV?
24	Q Yes.
25	A Yes.
	Page 403

Г

1	Q	As I recall, you were the liaison between
2	NuVeda and	d CW. You were the CWNV representative, is
3	that fair:	?
4	A	We all met every week on a Tuesday morning,
5	so everybo	ody was there. I didn't have any special
6	standing.	
7	Q	Ms. Goldstein was never there, was she?
8	А	No.
9	Q	Mr. Kennedy, during those meetings you would
10	get sales	figures; correct?
11	А	No. That's not how we got them.
12	Q	How did you get them?
13	А	We got text messages.
14	Q	When you went with Mr. Webster, did you tell
15	Mr. Webste	er you had sales figure for the
16	dispensar	ies?
17	А	I didn't have the sales figure and the
18	expenses.	I only had sales figures.
19	Q	Did you tell Mr. Webster that you had sales
20	figures?	
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	And he didn't want them?
23	А	He didn't need them.
24	Q	Now, you testified in response to one of
25	questions	from Mr. Wiley that you believe that the
		Page 404

1	sales figures were accurate. Do you recall that
2	testimony?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And you recall testifying previously that you
5	didn't know if the records were accurate because
6	there's a lot of cash in the business?
7	A I think those were in response to two
8	different questions.
9	Q Well, they're definitely two different
10	questions because one of those questions was mine, and
11	one was Mr. Wiley's.
12	A Well
13	Q Let me direct your attention back to your
14	deposition on, I guess you're going to have to call it
15	up. I want well, let me ask the question first,
16	Mr. Kennedy.
17	That I asked you as the chief financial
18	officer of NuVeda, how is it that you monitor how much
19	CW is actually contributing to the CWNV enterprise?
20	You remember me asking you that question?
21	A Yes.
22	Q You said, "CWNV has a CPA who does basically
23	all the bookkeeping and accounting, and I have a close
24	relationship with him. I spend a significant amount
25	of time with him and review those together." Do you
	Page 405
	Page 405

1	recall that answer?
2	A I do.
3	Q Then you said, "As I explained to you,
4	though, if you're going to ask me that I absolutely
5	know that records are accurate, the problem is that a
6	lot of it is cash. So I am taking their word for what
7	the cash amounts are." You recall that?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And cash amounts would be part of sales;
10	correct?
11	A Yeah, sure.
12	Q So are you sitting here today when you
13	said you think they're accurate, they're taking CW's
14	word for it; correct?
15	A Well, there are some controls, and that's the
16	reason that I think they're accurate. Doesn't matter
17	whether in fact, all transactions at the
18	dispensaries are done in cash. But they have to go
19	through a state-mandated point of sale machine called
20	MJ Freeway, and the MJ Freeway sales are correlated to
21	something called metric, which measures the amount of
22	cannabis in all of the in the cultivation, in the
23	production and in the dispensaries.
24	So because they're correlated, I have
25	confidence in the figures.

1	Q Do you audit that yourself?
2	A I have had to do that just recently for a
3	transaction.
4	Q What transaction?
5	A I don't audit the entire spectrum of them.
6	Q What transaction did you have to do it for?
7	A We had some material that had been spoiled in
8	transit, and it was returned to the Clark facility,
9	and I had to make sure that the green waste was
10	accounted for in metric before we could issue
11	replacement material on MJ Freeway.
12	Q I'm sorry. Maybe I'm confused in the
13	transaction.
14	A In other words
15	Q Where were you distributing cannabis to?
16	A One of the dispensaries in Las Vegas.
17	Q And where were you distributing it from?
18	A Clark Natural in North Las Vegas.
19	Q So Clark Natural is now operational?
20	A The production facility had some prerolls.
21	Q And how long has Clark Natural been
22	operational for?
23	A Four months.
24	Q Are they growing cannabis in the green
25	houses?
	Page 407

1 Α Growing it. We had to get rid of the first crop, and we are now on a second crop which, we hope 2 will be selling in February. 3 MR. FEUERSTEIN: Let me confer with my client 4 5 and make sure I don't have anything else. ARBITRATOR BAKER: Okay. Let's take 6 7 five-minute break. (Break taken.) 8 9 BY MR. FEUERSTEIN: Mr. Kennedy, very quickly, if, in fact, the 10 Ο 11 fair market value of NuVeda's interest in CWNV 12 increases, even if we accept the Webster report, you'd agree that the fair market value of Ms. Goldstein's 13 14 share would increase as well; correct? 15 Α You mean after August 8, 2018? 16 I'm just asking, if we replaced \$3.5 million, Q 17 the number that you provided, Mr. Webster, with, say, the \$22 million that's in the purchase and sale 18 19 agreement, you'd agree with me that the full value of 20 NuVeda would increase? 21 А Yes. And Ms. Goldstein's interest would increase 22 0 concomitantly; correct? 23 2.4 Α Yes. 25 MR. FEUERSTEIN: No further questions. Page 408

1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. WILEY:
3	Q Mr. Kennedy, turning to Exhibit 263, the
4	purchase and sale agreements, you were in the room for
5	Dr. Bady's testimony?
6	A I was.
7	Q Dr. Bady testified that that document is no
8	longer in full force and effect; correct?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q Is it your understanding that that document
11	was rescinded?
12	A It was.
13	Q And that the terms and conditions of the MIPA
14	were always in full force and effect?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Did Glad 2B Home, LLC, ever receive any
17	monies pursuant to this purchase and sale agreement
18	that they put in a, quote/unquote, escrow account?
19	A No.
20	Q Were any of the obligations required of any
21	of the parties of this purchase and sale agreement
22	ever undertaken?
23	A No.
24	Q Did Glad 2B Home ever disburse any funds,
25	regardless of the source, to any of the parties to
	Page 409
	Veritext Legal Solutions

1	this purchase and sale agreement?
2	A No.
3	Q Mr. Kennedy, you agree with me that Section
4	6.2 of the operating agreement simply requires that
5	NuVeda acquire an appraisal as to the determination of
6	an expulsed member's interest; correct?
7	A Correct.
8	Q You were in the room for the testimony of Mr.
9	Webster; is that correct?
10	A I was.
11	Q Mr. Webster testified that the methodology he
12	used was the asset method in determining the fair
13	market value of the company?
14	A He did.
15	Q You recall Mr. Webster testifying that that
16	was an approved methodology used by appraisers?
17	A I recall that.
18	Q You recall Mr. Webster testifying that he has
19	used the asset method in prior business valuations?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Turning your attention to the sales figures.
22	I don't know if you still have them up; you don't have
23	to access them.
24	As you sit here today, you have no reason to
25	doubt the veracity of sales numbers that you received
	Page 410

1	from CWNV, do you?
2	A I have no reason to doubt it.
3	Q Let's talk about the judgment of 2113
4	investors has. Is it your testimony that that
5	judgment remains valid?
6	A It does.
7	Q You recall Mr. Feuerstein asking you
8	questions about payment of rents?
9	A I do.
10	Q And payment of rents from CW?
11	A If CW made a payment to on that to 2113
12	investors, it would be credited against the judgment
13	amount.
14	Q But as of August 8, 2017, no payments had
15	been made; correct?
16	A No payments had been made.
17	Q And it's your understanding that that is the
18	appraisal date or excuse me, the expulsion date of
19	Ms. Goldstein?
20	A Yes, it is.
21	Q Mr. Feuerstein asked you some questions about
22	purported sales of license here in Clark County. Do
23	you recall that line of questioning?
24	A I do.
25	Q As you sit here today, you don't know one way
	Page 411
I	Veritext Legal Solutions 877-955-3855

1 or the other regarding veracity of any of those purported sales and the figures, do you? 2 Α I sought out all the sales information I 3 No. could get. 4 5 For clarification purposes, I think 0 Mr. Feuerstein was going down the right road with 6 7 respect to section 6.2 and the percentage of voting interest needed to expulse Ms. Goldstein. Instead of 8 9 the 50 percent you testified to, is it your understanding now that that is 60 percent? 10 11 It's 60 percent of the disinterested Α 12 parties. 13 Q Did Dr. Bady and Dr. Mohajer possess greater 14 than 60 percent interest in August 2017 at the time the expulsion occurred? 15 16 Α Yes. You recall we talked about the MIPA and 17 0 certain events related thereto. In the event that the 18 license were transferred and the terms and conditions 19 of the MIPA were not effectuated, would that open up 20 21 NuVeda to any liability from CWNV? 22 Α Yes. 23 Can you give an overview of the summary of 0 the liabilities that would be owed to CWNV in that 24 25 instance? Page 412

1 In that instance, then all of the build-outs А that CWNV paid for would have to be repaid to them, 2 plus 16 percent per year from the time that they were 3 completed, and the other expenses that they may have 4 5 paid, other coasts they had on behalf of NuVeda would have to be reimbursed with the same conditions. 6 7 MR. WILEY: Nothing further. CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 9 BY ARBITRATOR BAKER: Mr. Kennedy, I have a couple questions. 10 0 11 Α Okay. 12 0 Can you look at 262, the exhibit. Do you 13 have it? 14 MR. WILEY: It's going to be the last one I think it's the last one. You want this one? 15 there. 16 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Yes, if you don't mind. 17 I just want to clarify a couple of points. Ο 18 You testified that this first page of Exhibit 262 is something that you prepared; correct? 19 20 Α Yes, I prepared it. 21 Q Did you prepare this before you spoke with 22 Mr. Webster on August 13th? 23 Α I did. 24 And so when you spoke with him on August Ο 13th, did he tell you what he was looking for? 25 Page 413

1 Α Told me before we met what he was looking for. 2 Then did you speak with him before you 3 Q Okav. prepared this first page Exhibit 262? 4 5 Α Yes. So you spoke with him over the phone? 6 0 7 Α This was in response to making our 8 appointment. 9 Q And he says, this is what I need from you, so you went and prepared this first page, and then you 10 11 brought it to him on August 13th? 12 Α He came to me actually, but, yes. 13 Q And when you went to prepare this document, what did you look at? 14 I looked at the P&Ls and the balance sheets, 15 Α 16 the prior ones, and I just updated them. Not that 17 complex, so... 18 So in order to prepare this document, which Q 19 you prepared in anticipation of meeting with Mr. 20 Webster; correct? 21 Α Correct. 22 You went and looked at other profit and loss Q 23 documents, balance statements, updated them. Did you look at anything else? 24 25 Α No. Page 414

1 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Any follow up? MR. FEUERSTEIN: Can I follow up on something 2 Mr. Wiley said or just on you? 3 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Just on me. 4 5 MR. FEUERSTEIN: No. ARBITRATOR BAKER: Thank you, Mr. Kennedy. 6 7 Who is up first? MR. WILEY: It's going to be Dr. Clauretie. 8 9 Thereupon, 10 DR. TERRENCE CLAURETIE, 11 called as a witness by the Respondent 12 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DUSHOFF: 15 16 It's pronounced Clauretie? Q 17 Α Clauretie. 18 Dr. Clauretie, can you spell your last name Q for the record. 19 20 Α C-l-a-u-r-e-t-i-e. Dr. Clauretie, can you give us your 21 Q 22 educational background after high school? Got a B.A. in economics from Stonehill 23 Α College, which is south of Boston. M.A. in economics, 24 25 and Ph.D. in economics, both from Washington State Page 415

1 University, and at one time several years ago I had a CPA certificate, and that's my educational 2 background. 3 0 How about teaching experience? 4 5 Α I taught at Moss University for a year, then in West Virginia for total of eight years, Shepherd 6 State University. I thought for about eight years at 7 LSU in Shriveport, Louisiana, and finished up my 8 9 teaching career beginning 1988 through 2011 at UNLV. What do you teach? 10 0 11 I started off by teaching basic economics and Α 12 statistics, monetary theory, but ended up going more into finance and real estate. So I ended up teaching 13 a lot of finance, mainly corporation finance, as well 14 as real estate and real estate finance. 15 16 So it was more or less of a move from 17 economics at the beginning to heavily concentrated teaching in finance. In fact, at UNLV I was on the 18 19 finance department. Only in the last year was I in the economics department. It was more finance than 20 21 economics. 22 0 Have you ever testified in court as an expert? 23 24 Α Yes. 25 And how many times is that? 0 Page 416

1	A Several hundred. I've been deposed over
2	1,500 times.
3	Q And where have you been have you ever been
4	certified as an expert in District Court here in
5	Nevada?
6	A Many times, yes.
7	Q That would also the hundred times you've
8	testified?
9	A Oh, yes.
10	Q Have you ever testified as a business
11	valuation expert?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Can you tell me how many times you've done
14	that?
15	A Probably half a dozen to a dozen maybe,
16	around that area.
17	Q Is that here in the state of Nevada?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Were you certified as an expert in doing the
20	business valuations?
21	A I was certified, qualified to do that because
22	of my educational and experience background.
23	Q So you were qualified as an expert when you
24	were doing
25	A Yeah, yeah.
	Page 417

1 Q And how long have you been testifying as an expert witness? 2 Α Since 1983. 3 Bring you up to today of why we're here 4 Q 5 today. You were retained by NuVeda to provide a fair 6 7 market value of NuVeda at the time, initially of March 10, 2016, is that correct? 8 9 Α I believe so. I want you to -- you have a book right there. 10 0 11 Α Okay. 12 The book has an index, if you look on the Q 13 very first page. 14 Α Got it. 15 0 And tab 2. 16 А Tab 2. That makes it easy. 17 Yes, Report, Fair Market Value as of March, 10, 2016. 18 19 Q And that's a report you prepared; correct? 20 Α Yes. 21 Q You also prepared report tab 3, Updated 22 Report of Fair Market Value as of 3/10 by yourself, as of 11 -- on 11/28 2016; correct? 23 2.4 Α Yes. 25 Ο Both of those were done for Mr. Shane Terry Page 418

as of the value on March 10, 2016; correct? 1 Α I didn't do it for him. 2 You did it for NuVeda? 3 0 Α Right. 4 5 0 As of that date? Α In regards to Shane Terry; not for him, 6 7 correct. You were retained by NuVeda, not retained by 8 0 9 Shane Terry? I did those reports in regards to Shane 10 Α Yes. 11 Terry's case; correct. 12 So let's go, because I don't want to spend 0 13 time on Shane Terry since he's no longer in this case. 14 Let's qo to tab 7. 15 Α Yes, sir. 16 Q I want to look at tab 7. 17 Α Yes. 18 You recognize tab 7? Q 19 Α Yes. 20 What do you recognize tab 7 to be? Ο 21 Α Tab 7 was a report that I did -- really had 22 two parts to it, but the main part, the first part was 23 the market value of NuVeda as of August the 8th, 2.4 2017. 25 0 And NuVeda retained you to prepare this Page 419

1	report for as of August 8th, 2017; is that
2	correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And what did you I'm going to put you down
5	on page 1. You write here, "Materials relied upon."
6	The first that you have here is "Report of Michael
7	Webster valuating NuVeda on a liquidation basis."
8	MR. FEUERSTEIN: I'm sorry, Matt, you're
9	reading from page
10	MR. DUSHOFF: Page 1 of 7.
11	MR. FEUERSTEIN: On 8
12	ARBITRATOR BAKER: 7.
13	MR. FEUERSTEIN: I'm there.
14	BY MR. DUSHOFF:
15	Q Report of Mr. Michael Webster valuating
16	NuVeda on litigation basis. What, if anything, did
17	you reply upon Mr. Webster's for your report?
18	A There were two things: No. 1, I wanted to
19	see if he did the evaluation on the liquidation basis
20	the same way I would do, and it was consistent with
21	the way it was done. And I also wanted to see if for
22	some reason my numbers might be different from his,
23	and there was a slight difference, but pretty much the
24	same numbers for the liabilities, so forth.
25	So I didn't rely on it for my opinion. I
	Page 420

1 just relied upon it to see if there was a consistency between what we were doing, so if there was not a 2 consistency, then I could take that into 3 consideration, but you didn't find any 4 5 inconsistencies. You also have here various discussions --6 Ο 7 withdrawn. You also relied on -- skip over the articles. 8 9 You said you relied on a balance sheet of NuVeda as of August 8, 2017. Who provided you that balance sheet; 10 do you remember? 11 12 Α Yes. I sat down with Mr. Joe Kennedy. And, 13 actually, some of the elements were provided by Mr. 14 Kennedy; some of the elements were provided by outside 15 information. So I can go over that for you, but 16 most -- all of the liabilities were provided by Mr. 17 Joe Kennedy. I'm asking you right now about the balance 18 Q We'll get to the other stuff, but you relied 19 sheet. on the balance sheet from Joe Kennedy. Joe Kennedy 20 21 gave you the balance sheet? 22 Except for a couple of items, yes. А 23 We'll get to those in your Tables. I just 0 2.4 want to go over this right here. And you have here No. 4, meeting with Paris 25 Page 421

1	Bal
2	A Balaouras.
3	Q I think we have the proper spelling of
4	Balaouras. Meeting with Paris Balaouras of Acres
5	Cannabis?
6	A Yes.
7	Q What did you meet with him about?
8	A I had been provided with a list from
9	principals of NuVeda a list of sales.
10	MR. FEUERSTEIN: Arbitrator Baker.
11	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Is there an objection?
12	MR. FEUERSTEIN: Yeah, I'm going to object
13	for a moment.
14	As you know, we made a motion in limine with
15	respect to Dr. Clauretie's testimony, and in
16	particular, to the fact when we had made a request for
17	all documents provided to the expert that he based his
18	opinion on. We have received no list that was
19	provided to him. They have not claimed or shown the
20	list to us in the production, so the idea of him
21	testifying on this matter now, I think is prejudicial
22	to, or, in fact, contrary to what your order was.
23	MR. DUSHOFF: We have that balance sheet that
24	you have that we have admitted, it's the exact same
25	balance sheet. If you look at the numbers, it's the
	Page 422

1 exact same balance sheet. MR. FEUERSTEIN: I'm not objecting to the 2 balance sheet. I'm objecting with respect to what I 3 think the doctor just said, that he was provided a 4 5 list of transactions. MR. DUSHOFF: This is the list. 6 7 MR. FEUERSTEIN: If that was the list provided to him in a document, which is what it sounds 8 9 like when someone says "a list," then I'm objecting to his testimony because we were never provided that 10 11 list. 12 ARBITRATOR BAKER: I understood his testimony that there was a list of questions provided to you by 13 14 NuVeda management, and then you started to talk about, I think, meeting with him, and that's when the 15 16 objection happened. Was that your testimony? 17 THE WITNESS: Well, my testimony was that I was provided with the list indicated in Table 1. 18 19 MR. FEUERSTEIN: It was provided as a 20 document? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 MR. FEUERSTEIN: I'm objecting. 23 ARBITRATOR BAKER: I'm sorry. You provided the list for what? In what? This is -- in Section 2, 2.4 25 we're going to --

1 MR. FEUERSTEIN: He's on Table 1, page 5. 2 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Okay, okay. 3 THE WITNESS: That's the list I was provided with. 4 5 MR. DUSHOFF: Which is what we've already discussed with many of the other experts already in 6 7 this case. ARBITRATOR BAKER: Who provided this list to 8 9 you? I believe it was Pej Bady, but 10 THE WITNESS: 11 I met with several principals at the time, but I believe it was him. 12 13 MR. DUSHOFF: Mr. Feuerstein actually even 14 asked Dr. Bady regarding that. 15 ARBITRATOR BAKER: I'm going to note your 16 objection. I want to hear the testimony, and then we 17 can address it after the testimony. Okay? BY MR. DUSHOFF: 18 19 Let me ask you before we go to this. Why did 0 you come -- how did you come to meet with Paris? 20 21 Let's talk about that first. 22 Fine. After I was provided the list, I А wanted to verify -- because it was provided by the 23 principals of NuVeda, I wanted to independently verify 2.4 25 whether the values in that list were reasonable. Page 424

1 They gave me the name of someone who they thought was very, very knowledgeable of the industry 2 because of his position. I met with that gentleman 3 and that was Paris Balaouras. 4 5 And do you have Paris Balaouras -- I believe 0 it's in your report. You have his bio; is that 6 7 correct? Α 8 Yes. It's on page 20. 9 Q And what did you find out about Paris Balaouras -- I'll just call him Paris. What did you 10 11 find out about Paris and his involvement in the 12 marijuana industry? Well, from the bio which he gave me -- I did 13 Α 14 not write that -- he gave me that bio, and from my 15 discussions with him, I came to believe that he was 16 knowledgeable enough to look at the values in Table 1 17 and tell me if he thought that those were reasonable estimates of what the value were for dispensary, 18 19 cultivation, production licenses. 20 So Paris, to me, was an outside source that I 21 could go to, to verify the reasonableness of the 22 values that were given to me. 23 So let me run something by you in here. 0 24 Let's go to Exhibit A that you have here. So according to Mr. Balaouras, he told you 25 Page 425

1 that he assisted in raising over a hundred million dollars to oversee the development, construction and 2 launch of cannabis businesses in Arizona, California 3 and Nevada; is that correct? 4 5 Α We didn't discuss that verbally. What did you discuss? 6 0 7 Α We discussed in general his background. This bio was given to me by him. 8 9 Q What did you discuss with Paris? The main reason I sat down with him, and I 10 Α 11 told Mr. Balaouras, the reason I am here because I 12 have a list of recently sold licenses -- excuse me, licenses to produce, cultivation and dispense 13 14 marijuana products. I want to know if the values in this table, 15 16 in this list, are reasonable in light of your 17 experience and your knowledge of the market as it exists at this time. 18 His discussion with me, they are very, very 19 reasonable, and I don't see anything in these values 20 21 that are maybe out of the ordinary. But there was one 22 value that I think was very low for dispensary. But 23 other than that, he said, these are reasonable in light of my experience. 2.4 25 I want you to turn to Table 1, that's on 0

1	page 5. Ir	n regards to the dates, the type, and the
2	amount.	
3	A Y	Zes.
4	Q Z	Are those the same exact numbers, the same
5	exact, word	d for word, number for number, that you were
6	given in th	ne list you were given? You just reproduced
7	it on here?	
8	A C	Correct.
9	Q 3	You said there was an outlier. I want you to
10	look at May	7 16th. You see that dispensary?
11	A	sure do.
12	Q S	500,000?
13	A Y	Zes.
14	Q Z	As compared to the rest of them, that is
15	about, at t	the very least, that is way off compared to
16	the values,	is it not?
17	A Y	Zes.
18	Q Z	Approximately 5 million, 4 and a half million
19	dollars?	
20	AP	Right.
21	Q 3	You don't know why that is, do you?
22	A 1	Io.
23	Q Z	and you came to a conclusion of value in this
24	matter for	NuVeda; isn't that correct?
25	A Y	Zes.
		Page 427

Г

1 Q I want you to turn to page 3 in your report, please, and No. 10. 2 Α 3 Yes. And No. 10 says the liquidation value of the 4 0 5 enterprise as of August 8 is estimated to be \$1,605,277; is that correct? 6 7 Α Correct. What methodology did you use to get to that 8 0 9 number? I looked at the market value of the asset, 10 Α 11 the book value and the liabilities, subtracted off the book value the liabilities from the market value of 12 13 the assets, and that difference is the estimated 14 equity for market value of the company at that time 15 based on liquidating the company. 16 Why did you use the liquidation method? 0 17 Α That's probably the best question here. 18 Way to go, Matt. MR. FEUERSTEIN: 19 THE WITNESS: I was informed by principals in NuVeda again, that they had acquired the licenses to 20 21 cultivate, produce, distribute, and they wanted to go forward with their business. 22 23 But what they told me was very reasonable, that just because you have the license, doesn't mean 24 25 that you're ready to go. Requires other investments: Page 428

Production facilities, rental payment for -- working
 capital, as we call it in corporate finance. They
 needed significant investments to go forward.

They indicated that they had had trouble getting investments into the company because of the ongoing litigation that was ongoing at the time.

7 Now, I had read some reports, and I can tell you that the plaintiffs in that particular ongoing 8 9 litigation had reports done that indicated that their value was as much as \$10 million. So I concluded it 10 11 was reasonable to suspect that no banker or no 12 investor, reasonable investor, would put the kinds of 13 money that they needed to continue -- to commence and 14 continue their operations into that business, with the 15 potential large amount of money that might be settled 16 against the company in litigation.

So my conclusion was that a liquidation value can be made with relative certainty if we have good values there, and under the understanding that this business could not attract the investment necessary to be an ongoing concern at that time.

22 MR. FEUERSTEIN: I'm going to move to strike 23 that last answer on the following basis, that is, it 24 falls outside the scope of the expert's opinion. 25 He's listed in his reports the documents that he has

1 relied upon, and you can look at tab 7, page 1, you 2 can do the same for tab 3, page 1, and tab 2, and I'll 3 represent that there is no reference whatsoever to 4 some articles about investing in cannabis.

And it sounds to me, based on his last answer, and we can have the court reporter read it back, that he's basing his opinion on that article. So he's testifying now, and his opinion based on information he did not list in his actual report.

MR. DUSHOFF: My response is he wasn't 10 11 testifying about some article. He was testifying 12 about this litigation and the effect on value and why 13 he pulled a liquidation value, because there's not an 14 investor, in his opinion as an expert, that would 15 invest the money they would invest on the basis of the 16 litigation, which he provides for in here saying that 17 the litigation really has had a negative effect on the value, that's why he chose the liquidation. 18

ARBITRATOR BAKER: But is his opinion that he
was talking about no investor and those conclusions,
are those conclusions contained in this report?
MR. DUSHOFF: Yes, he talks about --

ARBITRATOR BAKER: Can you point me to where?
MR. DUSHOFF: Yes.
THE WITNESS: Page 4.

MR. DUSHOFF: Page 4 he talks about the absence of litigation, and the litigation effect on whether an influx of cash investment. So he does talk about that and afraid that litigation will have a negative effect on there.

6 ARBITRATOR BAKER: I still don't see where he 7 talks about can't get an investor.

8 MR. DUSHOFF: What he's talking about, and 9 also if you look in -- he's talking about they can't get investors, nobody would invest because of the 10 11 litigation. Litigation is affecting the value of it. 12 And his opinion, because of the litigation, that it's 13 going to affect the value, and hence why he did 14 liquidation. That's what his testimony is.

MR. FEUERSTEIN: Arbitrator Baker, just to be clear, I'm not objecting to what he's testifying to in his report. All I'm objecting to is the fact he's embellishing with some report that he did not identify.

ARBITRATOR BAKER: That's what I was trying to get to. I'm going to sustain your objection. I won't consider the testimony about investments. I'll have the transcript, so I'll know exactly what I won't consider.

MR. FEUERSTEIN: Thank you.

25

1	MR. DUSHOFF: I'll move on, but if I may at
2	some point revisit that.
3	Q I want you to turn to Table 3.
4	A Yes, sir.
5	Q Before we go to Table 3, which is on page 9,
6	is the liquidation method an acceptable method to
7	determine fair market value of a company?
8	A Yes, depending on the circumstances.
9	Q How about in this circumstance?
10	A Yes, very much so.
11	Q So why is that, or did we already go through
12	that?
13	A In this case it is because of the necessity,
14	as I indicated on page 4, that they would need cash
15	flows to continue their operations as a going concern.
16	The cash flows would be in jeopardy because of the
17	litigation.
18	Q So let's go to Table 3.
19	A Yes.
20	Q Table 3 contains assets. You see that it's
21	your assets, liabilities, and equity. You see that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And also license holding
24	A Yes.
25	Q Of CWNV.
	Page 432
	Veritext Legal Solutions

r.

1 Now, you have -- I want you to look at the balance sheet. This information that you have here in 2 Table 3, some of this information was given to you by 3 Joe Kennedy in the balance sheet; correct? 4 5 Α Yes. And some of this information you got, 6 0 7 specifically let's talk about the dispensaries down in your expert report. You see that where it says 8 "Dispensaries, \$9 million"? 9 Yes, held by CWNV. 10 Α 11 How did you get to that number? 0 12 Α Okay, that you'll have to go back. That 13 information was not given to me by Mr. Kennedy. 14 You have to go back to my Table 1 and see 15 that the dispensaries had an average value of 16 3,541,000. But then what I did when I was preparing 17 Table 3, I said what sort of values would we get if we 18 left out the low value of \$500,000. I came up with 19 something closer to like 4 million or something, so I 20 said, let's say 4.5 million, and we'll give a value of the dispensaries at \$4.5 million a piece that were 21 owned by CWNV at the time. That's where I got the \$9 22 million, the two dispensaries, 4.5 each. 23 24 From the operating agreement, that's where 0 you've got -- if you can look under Padgett, 35 25

1	percent of the CWNV, LLC I apologize, from the
2	MIPA. Is that where you got that?
3	A I got that actually from discussions with the
4	principals. That's the case
5	Q And 35 percent of 9 million would come out to
6	3,360,000?
7	A 9 million 6.
8	Q 9 million 6, I apologize.
9	A Correct.
10	Q So you valued the cultivation license at
11	\$300,000?
12	A Yes. I increased that as well, just to give
13	an alternative estimate of the value of those
14	licenses.
15	Q So you valued on the higher end than
16	lesser?
17	A Yes. I did two valuations: The low one was
18	at 7.4 back on Table 2, I believe, and this one would
19	be a high value of 9.6.
20	Q And you used the high value in order to get
21	the 1,605,277; correct?
22	A Exactly. I took the 9 million 6, take 35
23	percent of that, and that gives you up above
24	3,360,000. All the other numbers excuse me, the
25	\$400,000 there is the Clark County Medical Solutions.
	Page 434

1 All the others numbers, especially when you get to the liabilities, all the liability numbers were given to 2 me by Mr. Kennedy. 3 And here for the liquidation purposes, you 4 0 5 did assets minus liabilities equals 1.605; correct? Market value of the assets minus book value 6 Α 7 of the liabilities, correct. Just I want to bring you to page 9. 8 Q 9 Α Yes, sir. 10 You did a value of an ongoing concern? 0 11 Α Right. But you never used -- this is not something 12 Q you used in determining the value -- used in 13 determining the value of NuVeda; correct? 14 15 Α That's correct, I was just doing a 16 hypothetical example of where those licenses might 17 come into play in the absence of a litigation. 18 If you want to try to value this as a going concern, with the information you have on the valuable 19 20 licenses, that's the best you could do if there were 21 no litigation, that you could do with the data. 22 Right. That's why on page 11 you have 0 just -- a hypothetical -- you use the same numbers for 23 24 revenue from 1 through 25, and expenses and net income, because it really wasn't about the numbers as 25 Page 435

1 much as it was about the value of the licenses; 2 correct? Α It's a hypothetical example of how you can 3 look at just the license and come up with some theory 4 5 of why that could help, estimate the value of a company as a going concern based on the balance 6 7 sheet. Okay. And let's turn to page 13 of your 8 Q 9 report. 10 Α Okay. 11 Page 13 of your report, if you look at -- I 0 want you to look at the second to last sentence. 12 Τt 13 says in this case from Tables 2 and 3, you have values 14 of -- you have these two values. Now, what are those values? 15 16 Α Again, if you're put in a position to say, 17 you know what, can you take this balance sheet and 18 tell me in any way what possible, what the value would be as a going concern, you would look at the value of 19 20 the licenses and say, well, that's about the best you 21 can do because they do represent in a sense -- the 22 licenses are valued in the marketplace based on how they will produce revenues and expenses. 23 24 Now, you want to know where those figures 25 came from?

1	Q Sure.
2	A The 2,990,000 figures comes from
3	Q Table 2?
4	A Table 2. And you would go and you would look
5	at the value of the licenses. 35 percent of CW is
6	2,590,000. The Clark County Medical Solutions at
7	400,000; that's 2,990,000. 3,760,000 would come from
8	Table 3, and you go up and you take the 3,360,000 from
9	the 35 percent CW, and add in the 400,000 from the
10	Clark Medical Solutions.
11	If you wanted to try to attempt to say, Well,
12	if you could do this with just this information as a
13	going concern, could you possibly come up with some
14	sort of a method, and that's the only thing I could
15	think of.
16	Q So, in your testimony, your estimation, in
17	your expert opinion, that the liquidation value is a
18	reasonable and accepted method in this case to use to
19	determine the value of NuVeda?
20	A Yes.
21	MR. DUSHOFF: Pass the witness.
22	MR. FEUERSTEIN: Take two-minute break. I'll
23	get into my cross.
24	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Sure.
25	(Break taken.)
	Page 437

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:
3	Q Good afternoon, Dr. Clauretie. My name is
4	David Feuerstein. I'm a lawyer on behalf of Ms.
5	Goldstein.
6	I have some questions on your direct
7	testimony and the reports that you've written in this
8	case. Is that okay?
9	A Did you say reports in this case, with a
10	plural?
11	Q Reports with a "S" yes.
12	A Okay.
13	Q You submitted three reports in this
14	arbitration; is that correct?
15	A I believe that is probably accurate.
16	Q Is the entirety of your opinions contained in
17	those reports?
18	A Well, to the best of my knowledge and belief
19	they are.
20	Q You don't intend to offer any opinions that
21	are not set forth in your report; is that fair to
22	say?
23	A Unless the questions is going in that
24	direction, no, I don't.
25	Q Do your reports reflect all the documents you
	Page 438
l	Veritext Legal Solutions

1 reply on? А I believe so. 2 You're familiar with the phrase, 3 Q Dr. Clauretie, "garbage in/garbage out"? 4 5 Α You betcha. What does that mean to you? 6 0 7 It means the results of calculations are only Α as good as the inputs into those calculations. 8 9 Q Now, you testified a little bit in response to Mr. Dushoff's questions about your expert testimony 10 experience. I have a few questions about that. 11 12 Α Sure. 13 Q Have you ever testified with respect to a 14 cannabis company before? 15 Only to a leasehold interest, that's it. Α 16 So it was a property valuation? Q 17 Α Leasehold interest of a cannabis company, correct, not the value -- not the operations itself, 18 19 correct. Other than that, no, sir. 20 Have you ever worked with Kolesar and Leatham 0 21 before? 22 А Yes. 23 Have you ever worked with Mr. Dushoff 0 before? 2.4 I don't believe so. 25 А Page 439

1	Q How about Mr. Wiley, you worked with him
2	before, Jason Wiley?
3	A Other than this case?
4	Q Yes.
5	A No.
6	Q Now, you testified in response to some
7	questions about the fact you have a conclusion that
8	the litigation in this case would affected the
9	valuation of the company. Is that fair to say?
10	A To be precise, there's two different ways to
11	value the company. The litigation did not value
12	the litigation did not affect it on valuing on the
13	liquidation basis. It would have affected the value
14	as an ongoing concern because the litigation would
15	prevent the influx of cash flow necessary to continue
16	the operations.
17	Q And that's what I want to focus on.
18	A I want to be certain we distinguish those
19	two.
20	Q I appreciate the clarity.
21	Your understanding what was your
22	understanding of NuVeda's business as of August 2017?
23	A Their business was that they had licenses for
24	production and cultivation, the stages of which I'm
25	not certain of at that time. They also had 35 percent
	Page 440

1 interest in two dispensary licenses. What's the basis of that testimony right 2 Q Where did you learn that? 3 there? Α Information that was given to me by Mr. 4 5 Kennedy. Was it documentary information or just 6 0 7 conversation? А I don't recall. 8 9 Q Did you ask for any documents to understand why NuVeda held a 35 percent interest in two 10 11 dispensary licenses? 12 Α Did I ask if he had any documents? Did you ask any documents to support it? 13 Q 14 Α No. Did you have any understanding of what was 15 Ο 16 going on in or about August 2017 with respect to the 17 dispensary licenses? 18 Α No. 19 Did you ask whether the dispensary licenses 0 were operational or stagnant? 20 21 Α No. 22 Did you know whether who was responsible --0 23 let me take a step back. Is it important to you in determining whether 2.4 25 or not to evaluate a company on a liquidation basis or Page 441

1 going concern to know whether the business is actually 2 operational or not?

A Well, it's a great question. One may have the opinion that once you begin an operation, then you should move away from perhaps looking at it from a liquidation standpoint. But just because you commence operations in some fashion doesn't mean that you're going to be successful without an influx of a lot more capital.

That's what I was told, that they had trouble raising the capital to continue operations at any significant level. So if that was the case because of litigation, until that litigation would go away, they were not able to raise the capital sufficient to continue operations or to bring them to any level that would be profitable.

Therefore, if you can't bring your operations to a profitable level, the best valuation is the liquidated value.

20 Q Let me interrupt you -- are you finished? 21 A No. It's an unfortunate circumstance where 22 the plaintiffs in the case bringing litigation seeking 23 damages for which they have now, because of the 24 litigation, have limited the ability of the company to 25 operate.

1 Q I just want to make sure you're done. Ι didn't mean to interrupt you before. 2 That's okay. But that's what I believe is 3 Α the case where you have significant numbers in a 4 5 litigation reasonable to say that it's difficult to attract investors to operate at a profitable level. 6 7 So let me ask you a hypothetical. 0 8 Α Sure. 9 Q Does your opinion change at all if I told you that NuVeda did not have responsibility for funding 10 11 the operations of the dispensaries; that they didn't have to raise capital in order to continue the 12 business? 13 14 MR. DUSHOFF: Objection. Beyond the scope of 15 his knowledge. He testified on what he was given and 16 what he did this on. 17 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Overruled. 18 THE WITNESS: I do not know. I cannot sit 19 here and tell you precisely the amount of investments and capital they would have to raise at the time I did 20 21 the report. The only thing I can tell you is that 22 they told me they had significant problems raising the 23 monies necessary to continue operations. I can't tell 24 you anymore than that. 25 /// Page 443

BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:

I'm going to ask you again a hypothetical, 2 Q because I want you to see, sitting here today having 3 the expert qualifications that you have, if, in fact, 4 5 we took away the component of them having to raise capital. 6 7 Assume for the moment NuVeda had no obligation to raise capital and no need to raise 8 9 capital because there was a contractual source that was required to pay for all the operating expenses of 10

11 the company, everything. Are you with me so far? 12 Following my hypothetical?

13

1

A Not really but, go ahead.

14 Q I want to make sure you understand because I15 want to get clarity on this.

16 A I think you have an argument you can present,17 but not to me.

Q I want to make sure we're on the same page. Suppose that NuVeda entered into a contract, and that contract required that a third-party, or the contracting party, had to pay all the expenses going forward to develop the business, to develop the licenses, run the dispensaries, build the cultivation. That's what the contract provided.

25

In exchange for that contract, NuVeda would

1	give 65 percent of the interest in the licenses to
2	that party. You with me so far?
3	A 65 percent? NuVeda would?
4	Q Yes.
5	A Not 35 percent?
6	Q They give 65 percent, retaining 35 percent.
7	A Thank you.
8	Q In that situation, does it change your
9	conclusion with respect to the fact that whether or
10	not to use a going concern model or a liquidation
11	model?
12	A I'd have to know a lot more information about
13	that aspect.
14	Q What else would you need to know?
15	A I can't tell you as I sit here.
16	Q Well, you just said you needed to know more
17	information so I'm asking to follow up, what
18	information did you need?
19	MR. DUSHOFF: Objection. Asked and answered.
20	He's at the point of badgering. He already answered
21	he doesn't know. He provided a hypothetical. He
22	doesn't know the answer to the hypothetical, and now
23	he wants to ask questions regarding, finding more
24	information on a hypothetical.
25	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Overruled. I'll ask that
	Page 445
	raye 445

1 you ask it one more time just so we're clear what 2 information you would need.

3 BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:

In the hypothetical I provided to you with 4 0 5 respect to a contract between NuVeda and another party, and the other party would be paying all of the 6 expenses for operations -- build-out, development, 7 et cetera, such that NuVeda didn't have to raise 8 9 money -- would that change your conclusion as to whether to use the going concern method or the 10 11 liquidation method? 12 Α I'd have to have a lot more information than 13 I have. 14 Can you tell me -- I don't need an exhaustive 0 list, but a list of what items you would need to know. 15 16 А Well, let me start with one obvious answer: 17 What are the financial resources of the guarantor of 18 funds? If we don't know what the financial resources 19 are and the obligations -- I'd have to read the contract, I'd have to read all sorts of things. 20 There 21 are legal arguments involved, and I'm not a legal 22 expert. 23

23 So you're really going into an area I don't 24 have enough information to give you an answer, and I'm 25 not going to give an answer that, oh, yes, that would

1 definitely change my opinion, without knowing a lot more about that situation which you're talking about. 2 And you asked for examples, and it could be many more 3 as I sit here today. 4 5 Without the -- I understand your reluctance 0 to say it would definitely change it. Would it affect 6 7 your conclusion? It wouldn't affect my valuation at all. 8 Α 9 Q I'm not asking the valuation. Would it affect your decision to use the going concern method 10 11 or the liquidation method? 12 Α Anything is possible. I don't know. I can't 13 go down that route. What did you base your determination on when 14 0 you claimed that the litigation in this case would 15 16 prevent an investor from investing in NuVeda? What's 17 your basis for that statement? 18 Α Let me be precise. What I stated was No. 19 that the instigation of litigation presented a 20 substantial financial risk to potential investors. 21 0 What's the basis of that conclusion? What 22 are you basing that on? 23 Α Well, couple of things: I mean, I've talked to the principals, and they've told me they had 24 25 trouble raising money for the company, for the firm as Page 447

1 a result of the litigation.

2	I base it on the fact that litigation itself
3	depresses the value of companies in the marketplace
4	even. So if litigation or the threat of a litigation
5	affects the value of a company, then clearly it could
6	affect the value of the company in this particular
7	case, particularly it would affect the value of the
8	company if it cut off sources of funds for growth or
9	development.
10	Q I assume let me ask you a question. Is it
11	always the case when there is a litigation the
12	valuation of the business is depressed?
13	A Yes. Well, unless it's de minimis. It's
14	almost always. In fact, there's literature on the
15	effect of litigation on values of companies. Of
16	course, it's going to affect the value of the
17	company.
18	Q But you didn't site any of that literature in
19	your report; correct?
20	A No. You asked the question, and I answered
21	it.
22	Q I assume the principals in your
23	discussions with the principals, nobody involved with
24	NuVeda revealed to you that there was an agreement
25	called the Membership Interest Purchase Agreement or
	Page 448

1	MIPA; is that fair to say?
2	A I'm not familiar with that.
3	Q And I assume
4	A I'm not familiar with it. It doesn't ring a
5	bell.
6	Q And I assume that in the discussions with the
7	members of NuVeda, that nobody revealed or discussed
8	an operating agreement for CWNV; is that fair to
9	say?
10	A An operating agreement with CWNV? I don't
11	believe I've seen that or heard about that.
12	Q In the course of your report, drafting your
13	report, Dr. Clauretie, did you ever ask for the
14	discovery documents in this case?
15	A No.
16	Q Did you ask for the pleadings in this case?
17	A No.
18	Q Did you ask for any of the deposition
19	transcripts in this case?
20	A I did not.
21	Q I want to turn to let me ask you a
22	question. If I looked at your report that's on tab 7.
23	A Tab 7?
24	Q Yes, tab 7.
25	A Let me go to that, please.
	Page 449

1	Q Yes.
2	A That's the one we're talking about?
3	Q Yes. Did that report incorporate your prior
4	reports and the opinions set forth therein?
5	A I believe I may have referred to my prior
6	report on page 15 where I valued 3/10/16 liquidation
7	value, 1,684,368 and on this report 1,605,277. That's
8	the only reference I think I made to that report.
9	There may be something else in there, but that's the
10	only thing I recall, sir.
11	Q You recall having an issue about statements
12	about a report filed by Don Parker; correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And one of your I'm going to leave that
15	alone.
16	Now, I want you to turn to the balance sheet
17	that you provided in your report on Table 2. Table 2
18	was with the
19	A Table 2 was the lower of the estimate of the
20	license estimates; yes.
21	Q I appreciate that. I'm just going to ask
22	some general questions.
23	Did you do anything, Dr. Clauretie, to
24	actually confirm any of the numbers other than with
25	respect to CWNV and Clark, did you confirm any of the
	Page 450
	raye 450

1 other numbers on this list? Δ I did not audit this. 2 You did not? 3 0 I did not audit it. Α 4 5 And you think it's important in issuing an Ο expert report to provide an independent assessment of 6 a balance sheet if you're going to be doing a 7 liquidation value of a company? 8 9 Α Would you ask that again? I guess what I'm asking, maybe we'll do it 10 0 11 stepwise. 12 When you do a liquidation value of a company, which you're effectively doing I think you said, 13 you're taking the assets, subtracting the liabilities 14 and figuring out what the equity is; correct? 15 16 Α Market value of the assets? 17 0 Yes. Do you think it's important as an expert to provide an independent valuation of all of 18 those numbers on the balance sheet such that you're 19 20 comfortable issuing a report on the accuracy of what 21 the equity is in the document or in your conclusion? 2.2 Α It's important that the numbers accurately 23 reflect reality. What did you do to confirm --2.4 Q 25 Α I didn't say it's important for me to confirm Page 451

1 them. Okay. In this particular case, I met with Mr. 2 Kennedy -- the real issue is the liabilities because, 3 except for the cash items, the assets are pretty much 4 the value of the licenses, which I went over with Mr. 5 Paris Balaouras. So if you look at the liabilities, 6 7 that's where you would want to perhaps do an investigation. 8 9 Q And you didn't do that investigation? I did not, and there is a reason for that. 10 Α 11 The reason for that is --12 Mr. Dushoff can ask you the reason. Q 13 Α Okay. 14 Now, you testified, Dr. Clauretie, that one 0 15 of the individuals at NuVeda provided you a list is 16 how you comprised Table 1; is that your testimony? 17 Α Yes. Does the list that you received look exactly 18 Q like that? 19 20 Α No. I mean this is vertical, but it was horizontal. 21 22 0 Was there any other information on the list other than what's on this page? 23 24 Α Oh, was there any other information on No. 25 the list? Page 452

1	Q Yeah.
2	A Yeah, I think there was some footnotes, but I
3	can't recall.
4	Q Was there
5	A Let me put it this way: I don't think there
6	was any essential information on the list in terms of
7	the valuation of determining the valuation of the
8	licenses, but there may have been. I did not peruse
9	the entire sheet other than the value of the
10	licenses.
11	Q Is there anything, in your view, that would
12	be essential in being able to determine whether the
13	value of the licenses in this list is somehow
14	comparable to the licenses held by NuVeda?
15	A I'm not following that.
16	Q Let me flesh it out for you.
17	A Okay. Sure.
18	Q Is a cultivation facility rather, is a
19	dispensary in Clark County the same as a dispensary in
20	Nye County?
21	A I see what you're saying. No.
22	Q Is a cultivation facility that's 500 feet the
23	same as a cultivation facility that's 25,000 feet?
24	MR. DUSHOFF: Objection; beyond the scope.
25	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Overruled.
	Page 453

They wouldn't be the same. 1 THE WITNESS: Ι don't know if they would be the same, and that's 2 precisely the reason why I went to Mr. Balaouras and 3 said these are the licenses which has NuVeda given me 4 5 as comparable to their licenses. In your opinion, are these license values 6 7 reasonable to apply to the NuVeda situation? It was reasonable. 8 9 BY MR. FEUERSTEIN: That was the extent of your conversation with 10 0 11 Paris? 12 Α That's was the essential part, yes. 13 Q How long was your conversation with him? 20 minutes, half an hour. 14 А 15 You know that Paris was referred to you by Ο 16 Dr. Bady; correct? 17 Α Correct. And you have no idea if Dr. Bady had a 18 Q 19 conversation between the time he referred you and the time you arrived; correct? 20 21 Α Do not know that. 22 Did you have a conversation with Paris in Q 23 Over the phone? By e-mail? How was it? person? With Paris? 24 Α 25 Ο Yes. Page 454

1	A In person. I went to his office.
2	Q When did that conversation take place?
3	A It took place within a week, I didn't
4	write the date down. Within a week when I authored my
5	report, because I knew the deadline was coming up when
6	they wanted me to write the report, so I saw him
7	relatively soon.
8	Q Is there a reason, Dr. Clauretie, that you
9	took into account sales from January or in January of
10	2018?
11	A Any reason I took them?
12	Q Why did you accept them as indicative of what
13	the value of Ms. Goldstein's interest is worth?
14	A What date again?
15	MR. DUSHOFF: Objection. That was not the
16	testimony. Indicative of what the licenses
17	MR. FEUERSTEIN: You're right. Let me
18	withdraw the question and ask it better.
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah, ask it a little bit
20	better.
21	MR. DUSHOFF: That wasn't a great question,
22	by the way.
23	BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:
24	Q Is there a particular reason that the
25	licenses sold in January of 2018 were considered in
	Page 455

1 arriving at the conclusion of what the licenses were worth in August of 2017? 2 3 Α No particular reason. So --Sitting here --4 0 5 MR. DUSHOFF: There's no question before you, Dr. Clauretie. 6 7 THE WITNESS: I did not see as I look -- as I look at it now, I don't see there's big decline in 8 9 prices. In fact, the cultivations went up. I didn't see any change from pre July 2017 to post July 2017. 10 11 I didn't see any appreciable changes there. 12 So I had a larger number. The larger the 13 number you have, the better. 14 BY MR. FEUERSTEIN: So it's okay in your view when valuing a 15 Ο 16 business to use data points that come after a certain 17 date for which you're evaluating that business? 18 MR. DUSHOFF: Objection. Misstates his testimony. 19 20 MR. FEUERSTEIN: I just asked him a question 21 and he answered it. 22 MR. DUSHOFF: Objection; misstates the testimony. He took them into account --23 2.4 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Okay. Sustained. Can you rephrase your question. 25 Page 456

1 BY MR. FEUERSTEIN: Dr. Clauretie, is it acceptable in your 2 Q opinion, to use data points that come after the date 3 on which you're supposed to be valuing the business to 4 determine valuation? 5 Α Under certain circumstances, yes. 6 7 What circumstances? 0 If you have reason to believe there's no 8 Α 9 substantial change in values. Let me ask you another question, 10 Ο 11 Dr. Clauretie. 12 You have independent familiarity with the --13 with Nevada's cannabis market. Are you familiar 14 what's going on in the cannabis market in Nevada? 15 Α Today? 16 MR. DUSHOFF: Objection; vague. 17 THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. FEUERSTEIN: 18 Did you happen to know, for example, whether 19 0 20 the licenses that sold in February 2016 were medical licenses or recreational licenses? 21 22 I can tell you in 2016 those were licenses Α that were sold prior to recreational being approved. 23 24 In 2017, did you know whether those licenses 0 were recreational or medicinal? 25 Page 457

1	A I don't know; I can't tell you.
2	Q In 2018 whether those licenses were
3	recreational or medicinal?
4	A I don't know.
5	Q Is it your opinion, Dr. Clauretie, that when
6	you have an operating business that doesn't require
7	capital to continue to run, that the book value is the
8	best way to assess fair market value?
9	MR. DUSHOFF: Define "book value." Are you
10	talking liquidation value?
11	BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:
12	Q Liquidation value. Want me to say it again
13	for you?
14	A You better do that.
15	Q Is it your opinion, Dr. Clauretie, that you
16	have an operating business that doesn't require any
17	outside capital
18	A Assume you're operating.
19	Q That the best way to figure out the fair
20	market value is to use the liquidation method?
21	MR. DUSHOFF: Objection. Vague; overbroad.
22	In this case was it the best, or you're talking
23	overall?
24	MR. FEUERSTEIN: I'm saying overall. In his
25	expert opinion.
	Page 458

ARBITRATOR BAKER: Overruled.

1

THE WITNESS: The best value between values of liquidating the company and values of ongoing concern, the best value is always a function of the situation that you're looking at. So I can't answer that question. I can just say that if you had a going concern that didn't make (unintelligible), maybe value of an ongoing concern might be better.

9 There is a determination to be made, in my 10 opinion, that this was the best method at that time 11 for this company in this circumstance, but you can't 12 say uniformly in every case which is best. It's a 13 case-by-case analysis you would have to make. 14 BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:

Q So there's no rule of thumb, in your view, that says when you ought to use the liquidation value versus when you should use the ongoing concern value?

19 A There would be a circumstance using a rule of 20 thumb like bankruptcy or something like that. But 21 generally, no absolute determination. It goes on the 22 facts of the case at hand.

Q And you'd agree with me if the facts you were provided were inaccurate or incomplete, your determination to use the liquidation value may not be

1 correct? А To the extent they were de minimus, it would 2 be de minimus, or substantial, could be substantial. 3 And would you view the fact that NuVeda 0 4 5 didn't require any outside capital to fund its operations in August 2017 to be a de minimus fact or 6 7 fairly relevant fact? MR. DUSHOFF: Objection. Beyond the scope of 8 9 his knowledge; improper hypothetical. He doesn't know anything about any of that. 10 11 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Overruled. That's correct. I don't know 12 THE WITNESS: anything about their situation other than the fact 13 14 what they told me, and they're the best people to answer that question. 15 16 BY MR. FEUERSTEIN: 17 0 I want you to turn, Dr. Clauretie, to your 18 report. 19 А Yes. 20 I'm looking for the right page. First, I'd 0 21 like you to go on tab 2. 22 Α Tab 2. One second; got it. 23 And the first thing I'd like you to look at 0 is on page 13. There's a balance sheet as of 3/10 2.4 2016? 25 Page 460

1	A I see that.
2	Q And you see there's, again first of all,
3	where did you get the information for that balance
4	sheet?
5	A Mr. Joe Kennedy.
6	Q What did you do to confirm the accuracy of
7	that information?
8	A Nothing.
9	Q Did you do anything to confirm the
10	accuracy
11	A I did not audit it.
12	Q Did you at that time have this so-called list
13	with respect to the asset with respect to the
14	valuation of licenses?
15	A I did not.
16	Q How did you get to the valuation of licenses
17	there?
18	A I'm not sure. I'm not sure in this report.
19	Let me explain something to you: I could take some
20	time, an hour, a day, go through all of these past
21	reports and be able to answer your questions a lot
22	better. But these reports were done a couple of years
23	ago, and my memory would only be improved by taking
24	the time to go through them again.
25	I have not looked at these reports in some
	Page 461

1 months. So to be able to answer questions completely and accurately as you would like, I may not be able to 2 I hope you appreciate that. 3 do. 0 I do. I'll direct you and help refresh your 4 5 recollection, so I hope you appreciate that. Α Okay. 6 7 I would like to know, because you sort of 0 raised it, what did you do in preparation for today's 8 9 testimony? 10 Α I read my report. That is on tab 7. 11 Did you meet with counsel to talk 0 Okay. about anything? 12 13 Α Yes. When did you meet with counsel? 14 Q 15 I met with counsel yesterday. Α 16 Q When did you meet with them? I think it was about 2:00 o'clock. 17 Α MR. DUSHOFF: I think you're mistaken. 18 Two 19 days ago. 20 THE WITNESS: Two days ago, Monday. BY MR. FEUERSTEIN: 21 Dr. Clauretie, before we move off this, I 22 0 want to point you to page 14. You say on page 14 in 23 24 paragraph 2, do you see that? You're looking on the 25 wrong page, sir.

1	A I see it.
2	Q It says \$4.5 million valuation was based on
3	recent sale for \$5 million. That also included a
4	leasehold advantage and options. Do you see that?
5	A Yes, I see that.
6	Q Does that refresh your recollection as to how
7	the number was calculated in the balance sheet?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Did you actually look at the leasehold and
10	options?
11	A I did not at that time.
12	Q Was that something that was told to you by
13	one of the principals of NuVeda?
14	A It was.
15	Q Did you do anything to confirm the accuracy
16	of that?
17	A I did not.
18	Q Now, turn the page if you would,
19	Dr. Clauretie, to page 15.
20	A Yes.
21	Q I'm sorry, page 16. And if you look at page
22	16, there's a right below paragraph 7 it says
23	"Member Loans." Do you see that?
24	A Yes.
25	Q It says number about Jennifer Goldstein,
	Page 463

1 \$47,660.50. Do you see that? Δ Yes. 2 And that was a number, I assume, was provided 3 Q to you by the members of NuVeda; correct? 4 5 Α Yes. That would have been a liability. Let me ask you another question, 6 0 7 Dr. Clauretie. Assume for the moment -- I want to go back to my hypothetical with the contract, where the 8 9 contract is there to provide financing to NuVeda's licenses so they can operate, they can run, and they 10 11 don't need to get any sort of outside capital. You 12 with me so far? 13 Α Yes. 14 Suppose also there's a provision in that 0 contract that requires this outside source who's 15 16 funding NuVeda to provide payments, future payments 17 based on certain terms of the contract; so NuVeda is assured of getting money as profits in the future. 18 Is 19 that an asset of the company? 20 Α I don't know. 21 Q What would you need to know to determine 22 whether a contract promising further payments is an 23 asset to the company? The way you're structured; there's so many 24 Α 25 questions. I can come up with lots of questions

unless you can give me more details.

1

For example, you'd have to give me a lot more details about that particular contract. The contract itself, the obligations, liquidated damages in the case of the contract, financial position of the obligor.

I mean, you're asking an open-ended question.
You're asking a question of me that I can't give you a
yes or no on your hypothetical without knowing a lot
more about your hypothet. I'm not trying to gemiset
(phonetic) around the matter, I'm really not, but I'm
not going to allow you to get me to provide an answer
yes or no on something that is so open-ended.

14 Q Okay. I was simply asking a question. I15 appreciate your response.

I'm going to give you a -- well, in determining whether to use the book value or the liquidation value -- let me take the question back because I started off and I kept getting worse.

In determining to use the liquidation value, did you ask the principals of NuVeda whether they had provided you all the assets of the company?

A Yes.

23

Q Did you ask them for any contracts that might provide beneficial contributions to the company?

1	A No. I just asked for a list of their
2	assets.
3	Q And they told you the only assets were what
4	was set forth in Table 1 or Table 3 of your report; is
5	that fair to say?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q Now, I want you to assume for the moment,
8	Dr. Clauretie, that in July of 2017, recreational
9	sales of marijuana began in Nevada. Okay?
10	A Okay.
11	Q What do you think, sitting here today, that
12	would do to the valuation of the dispensary
13	licenses?
14	MR. DUSHOFF: Objection; speculation. What
15	he thinks would happen? It's already beyond the scope
16	of his knowledge. We keep doing these assumptions.
17	It keeps dragging on and on.
18	If he has an actual question on the
19	valuation, I ask that we get to it.
20	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Sustained.
21	MR. FEUERSTEIN: Arbitrator Baker, he
22	provided a expert report. I feel compelled to
23	expand
24	ARBITRATOR BAKER: I think the testimony is
25	he doesn't know.
	Page 466

Veritext Legal Solutions 877-955-3855 BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:

1

Q Is that your answer, you wouldn't know what happens in the case of -- in the promulgation of recreational laws?

5 A I don't know what has happened to the values 6 as we sit here today.

Q Okay. You understand, by the way, the reports that you're providing and that Mr. Parker provided are designed to calculate fair market valuation, not loss profits; correct?

11 A Yes. I take the term "fair market value" to 12 incorporate -- fair market value is a -- the market 13 value arrived between a willing seller and a willing 14 buyer, and it would pertain to both an ongoing 15 business and a liquidated business as well.

16 Q Is it your testimony or your understanding 17 that NuVeda was in liquidation as of August 2017?

A No.

19 Q Do you have an understanding sitting here 20 today, Dr. Clauretie, what a vertically-integrated 21 enterprise is?

22

25

18

A Do I have an understanding?

23 Q Yes.

24 A In general.

Q Do you have an understanding how that applies

1 in the cannabis business? MR. DUSHOFF: Objection; relevance. 2 This doesn't go to the valuation, to anything he's 3 testified to before here today. 4 5 He was given information. I hate speaking objections, but this is going far afield. 6 7 ARBITRATOR BAKER: I'm going to allow it. Overruled. 8 9 BY MR. FEUERSTEIN: 10 Do you know how the phrase Ο vertically-integrated applies in the marijuana 11 12 space? 13 In general? Α 14 Yes. 0 I believe so. 15 А 16 Q What's your understanding? 17 A vertically-integrated company, in the А marijuana business you would have a company that owns 18 the cultivation facility, the production facility, and 19 20 the dispensaries. Sitting here today, do you know whether 21 0 selling a vertically-integrated set of licenses does 22 anything to the price of those licenses? 23 2.4 Α No. 25 No, you don't know or no ARBITRATOR BAKER: Page 468

1	it doesn't? I want to be clear. You don't know?
2	THE WITNESS: No.
3	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Okay.
4	BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:
5	Q I want to turn to page 11 of your report.
6	MR. DUSHOFF: Which one?
7	MR. FEUERSTEIN: I'm sorry, tab 7.
8	Q I thought I understood you to say that the
9	numbers you include in here in revenue, expenses were
10	numbers that you derived from the balance sheet. Did
11	I hear you correctly?
12	A No, not at all.
13	Q Can you explain to me then how you picked the
14	number of \$900,000?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay.
17	A The question when I was writing the report,
18	the question came to my mind: Is there any way to try
19	to estimate the value of a going concern based solely
20	on its assets? And in some situations, you can't.
21	If you assume that the value of the asset
22	reflects the value of the company going forward, the
23	cash flows that asset will make. Let me help clarify
24	that for you.
25	There's a difference in the balance sheet
	Page 469

between the book value of an asset and the market value of an asset. Okay? In fact, the book value of their assets is like a couple thousand dollars maybe that they applied to get the license.

5 The market value reflects what those licenses can make on an ongoing basis as if it were ongoing 6 7 If you think about an investor that went to concern. NuVeda and said you're in trouble, we'll buy your 8 9 licenses because you can't operate, those investors are thinking about what the cash flows and revenues 10 11 and expenses are going to be from that license, and 12 they're not going to pay more for that license than what it's worth in terms of the cash flows that are 13 14 generated.

That's what I'm showing in a hypothetical example in this section of the report. That, if you consider an investor in a license, valuing the license based on the cash flows of operating it, then, yes, you can come to the conclusion that, in a sense, the market value of the license represents the market value as an ongoing concern.

If they had to liquidate because they couldn't continue, they would sell it to an investor that could continue, and that investor would pay the market value of the license, which reflects basically

1 operating that license with revenues, cash flows, expected rate of return and so forth. The only reason 2 I was doing that section of the (unintelligible.) 3 The numbers here have nothing do with the 4 actual numbers of NuVeda. 5 I think I understand that. I guess my 6 0 7 question, is the \$900,000 number in revenues just a number you picked out of thin air? 8 9 Α Yes. Could it have been \$2 million --10 0 11 Right. Exactly. It's probably a little bit Α 12 too low because the value of that license in my 13 hypothet comes out to be 2.2 million, something like 14 that, exactly. So I quess my question is how does Table 4 --15 Ο 16 if you're picking the numbers out of thin air and not 17 basing it on any sort of numbers that are actual 18 numbers of NuVeda, how does Table 4 do anything with 19 respect to bolstering your opinion or refuting what 20 NuVeda is alleging -- what claimant is alleging? 21 Α It doesn't. I'll answer one more. It's an 22 intellectual exercise to say that if you really wanted 23 to look at the value of the licenses and extract from them some sort of value as a going concern, then you 24 still come back to the value of the licenses. 25

1	Q And if I told you that the revenue number in
2	year one was in the millions, the actual number, that
3	wouldn't mean anything to you?
4	A If the actual number was a million?
5	Q Multiple millions; how about 7 million?
6	MR. DUSHOFF: I'm going to ask some clarity.
7	Are we talking about this example on page 11?
8	MR. FEUERSTEIN: No. I'm asking him
9	Q If you had a data point that said the actual
10	revenue of the two dispensary licenses was north of \$7
11	million in 2017 and 2018, that doesn't affect your
12	opinion?
13	A No.
14	Q Now, I'm looking at page 17 to 18 of your
15	report.
16	A Okay.
17	Q About this you say on the bottom of page 17
18	next to the last line, "To my knowledge, there have
19	been no market transactions of fractional share in a
20	marijuana company in Clark County." You see that?
21	MR. DUSHOFF: Where are you looking at?
22	MR. FEUERSTEIN: Second to last line.
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
24	BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:
25	Q There have been no market transactions with
	Page 472

[	
1	fractional share in a marijuana company in Clark
2	County with an interest in six licenses. You see
3	that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q I was asking, Matt.
6	A Oh.
7	Q So you don't know whether NuVeda ever sold
8	fractional interests in the licenses that it holds
9	sitting here today?
10	A Correct.
11	THE WITNESS: Can we take a break?
12	MR. FEUERSTEIN: You need a break?
13	Absolutely.
14	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Let's take a break.
15	(Break taken.)
16	BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:
17	Q Dr. Clauretie, I have one question, or one
18	set of questions. I want you to assume for the moment
19	that 30 days after Ms. Goldstein's expulsion, NuVeda
20	sold its assets for \$30 million.
21	Does that affect your conclusion in any way?
22	MR. DUSHOFF: Objection. Improper
23	hypothetical.
24	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Overruled.
25	THE WITNESS: That's a good question. Let me
	Page 473

1 tell you why. Because I'm not a legal expert, but 2 there's also some legalese in there as to when you do 3 valuations and what you know after a valuation was 4 done should not affect that valuation, so that's a 5 good question.

The other question is, was any of the 6 7 information that would have produced the valuation of \$30 million generally known at the time of the 8 9 valuation? That's another very important question. 30 days after they discovered they owned this plot of 10 land and discovered oil on it does not affect the 11 valuation of 30 days prior to that. There's a 12 13 serendipity. So it's the question of what they could 14 have sold their assets for and paid off their liabilities as of that date of valuation. 15

The fact that a week later or two weeks later or a month later of a day later it was worth \$30 million, you'd have to ask the question, if that information was available that produced the \$30 million as of that date earlier, then that would have affected the value then. Does that help you out? BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:

Q So if the members of NuVeda knew or had reason to know that they were going to sell an asset for \$30 million dollars 30 days or within a certain

,	
1	amount of time after the expulsion, that would affect,
2	in your view, the valuation of the company?
3	MR. DUSHOFF: Objection. Speculation as to
4	what speculation as to what they know.
5	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Overruled. I believe that
6	we're still in the hypothetical; right?
7	MR. FEUERSTEIN: We are.
8	MR. DUSHOFF: But hypothetical asking what
9	members of NuVeda knew.
10	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Understood.
11	BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:
12	Q Did you get my question?
13	A Well, let me answer it this way: If they
14	happen to have information when I sat down with them
15	that this company may have a value of \$30 million
16	dollars a week later than what I sat down with them,
17	something like that, and they didn't tell me that
18	information, then I wouldn't stand by this report.
19	MR. FEUERSTEIN: No further questions.
20	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. DUSHOFF:
22	Q I wasn't going to have any questions until
23	the follow-up.
24	Mr. Feurstein just put together a
25	hypothetical, said 30 days later there was somebody
	Page 475

1 who offered them -- it was a sell for \$30 million. They offered for sale, somebody bought it. Isn't that 2 one of the definitions of fair market value? 3 Has nothing do with your value. If there's a willing 4 5 buyer and a willing seller for \$30 million doesn't affect what you valued at on that given day, on 6 7 August 8; correct? On that given day? 8 Α 9 Q Yes. 10 Α Correct. 11 Even two days later somebody offered \$30 0 million to purchase that property, that's just a 12 13 willing buyer; is that correct? And then a willing seller would assume that, and that would be fair 14 15 market value; correct? 16 Α It would be, yeah. 17 MR. DUSHOFF: Thank you. I have nothing further. 18 ARBITRATOR BAKER: I have one clarification 19 20 question. 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 22 BY ARBITRATOR BAKER: 23 Table 1 that's on page 5, tab 7 of your Q 24 report, which I believe you testified you showed this 25 to -- I'm going to say Mr. Paris because I won't Page 476

1	pronounce his last name correctly.
2	A Show the original document.
3	Q That was my question. It was exactly this
4	information and nothing else that was shown to Mr.
5	Paris? Understanding he didn't show him this page,
6	but I'm just talking about
7	A Correct.
8	Q the universe of information you provided
9	to him is contained in this Table 1; is that
10	correct?
11	A The document itself might have had a footnote
12	or heading something here, but I said, Look at these
13	values, and that's what he opined upon. Those values
14	are reasonable, comparables to the licenses held by
15	NuVeda.
16	Q Was there any information as to where the
17	licensed were located in any other information?
18	A On the data sheet?
19	Q Yes.
20	A No, ma'am.
21	ARBITRATOR BAKER: I don't have any other
22	questions. Thank you.
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
24	///
25	///
	Page 477

1	Thereupon,
2	JOSEPH LEAUANAE,
3	called as a witness by the Repondent having
4	been duly sworn, testified as follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. WILEY:
7	Q Would you state your name for the record.
8	A Joseph L-e-a-u-a-n-a-e.
9	MR. FEUERSTEIN: Can you state it one more
10	time.
11	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Maybe twice.
12	THE WITNESS: Joseph Leauanae.
13	BY MR. WILEY:
14	Q Mr. Leauanae, can you provide your background
15	post high school.
16	A Bachelor of science in accounting from
17	University of Utah as well as master's in business
18	administration from Utah as well.
19	Q Can you provide an overview of your
20	professional certification.
21	A CPA, certified public accountant in Nevada,
22	California and Utah. I'm actually do you happen to
23	have my report? Sometimes I forget.
24	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Right here.
25	MR. FEUERSTEIN: Tab 4, your initial
	Page 478

report.

1

2	THE WITNESS: I'm a Certified Information
3	Technology Professional, which is a designation
4	granted by the American Institute of Certified Public
5	Accountants. I'm a CFF, which is Certified in
6	Financial Forensics, also by AICPA. I am a CFE, which
7	is a Certified Fraud Examiner with the Association of
8	Certified Fraud Examiners. I am an ABV, which is
9	Accredited in Business Valuation by the AICPA, and I
10	am an ASA, which is an Accredited Senior Appraiser
11	with the American Society of Appraisers.
12	BY MR. WILEY:
13	Q That's it?
14	(Laughter.)
15	You have experience providing business
16	valuations?
17	A I do.
18	Q And approximately how long have you been
19	providing business valuations?
20	A Over 20 years.
21	Q Has that been exclusively in Nevada?
22	A No.
23	Q What are the jurisdictions that you've
24	provided business valuations?
25	A Also in Utah and in California, but I've also
	Page 479

1	been involved in cases that span multiple
2	jurisdictions.
3	Q Have you previously been retained as an
4	expert witness in any litigation proceedings?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Approximately how many times?
7	A Hundreds of times.
8	Q Have you ever been disqualified as an expert
9	witness?
10	A Not to my knowledge.
11	Q I think you've already opened up tab 4 in the
12	exhibit binder set forth in front of you.
13	A Yes, I have.
14	Q Do you recognize that document?
15	A I do.
16	Q And can you provide for the arbitrator what
17	that document is?
18	A This report was the first of three reports
19	that I have issued in this case.
20	Q What is the date of the report that's
21	contained in tab 4?
22	A November 29, 2016.
23	Q If I refer to this report as the November
24	26th report, you know I'm referring to tab 4?
25	A Yes.
	Page 480

Г

1 Q What was the purpose of the preparation of the November '16 report? 2 We had been asked to review a report prepared 3 Α by Don Parker as of May 2016 that opined as of value 4 5 as of March 2016. This report spoke to Don Parker's report as of March 2016. 6 7 Let's go ahead and thumb to page 7 of your 0 report, please. 8 9 Α Okay. Under section 6 entitled, "Our Analysis of 10 0 11 Parker's Key Considerations," it appears you addressed four considerations in that section; is that 12 13 correct? 14 Α Yes. The first was with respect to Mr. Parker's 15 Ο 16 valuation approaches. What did you determine with 17 respect to those methodologies? 18 Mr. Parker looked at three approaches -- the Α 19 income approach, the asset approach and the market approach -- and he ultimately determined that the 20 market approach and the asset approach, in his 21 22 opinion, did not apply as of that valuation date. 23 0 Is that problematic in your view in any 24 way? 25 Α Yes. Page 481

1 Q Why is that? In the qualitative sections of Mr. Parker's 2 Α report, he referred to various characteristics that 3 seems to better fit the asset approach application, 4 5 meaning this is a company that had no operations at that point in time or limited operations, no revenues, 6 was in a federally illegal industry, had limited 7 access to financing, issues of that nature. 8 9 Whereas, the approach Mr. Parker ultimately used in that valuation was an income approach that 10 11 anticipated significant revenue growth. 12 Did you agree with the revenue growth that 0 13 was set forth? 14 Α No. 15 And can you explain why not? Ο 16 Α Mr. Parker had five different projection scenarios, and each of the projection scenarios, he 17 anticipated kind of a best case, worse case spectrum, 18 19 then he applied what he considered the appropriate 20 level of risk to those various projections. 21 I had concerns regarding the derivation of 22 those projections and assessment of the risk applied against those projections. 23 24 What specifically? Q 25 His income projections really were wide Α Page 482

1 ranging, and they also included initial periods, I 2 think at least a couple of years, where there hadn't 3 been sufficient equity.

He projected the company would have negative equity for the first couple of years without any explanation in his report as to how the company would overcome the issues that you would expect, which is, no capitalization, the potential inability to grow without other financing. Those issues were not addressed in Mr. Parker's report.

11 Q Were there any other considerations or 12 analysis that you had with respect to the 13 valuations?

14 A Yes. So, and this perhaps relates to what he 15 did later as well.

At the time of his March 2016 analysis, he indicated that he did not believe there was sufficient companies to form a comparable market, meaning that he did not deem the market approach to be useful as of that valuation date.

21

22

Q And subsequently he did?

A That's correct.

Q I believe you touched briefly about not considering the asset approach, but do you believe that was a methodology that should have been

considered at this time?

1

Α I do. For a company that hasn't generated 2 really any substantive operations, you will at least 3 have a value, that is the value if you close your 4 5 doors. If you close your doors, you got a value as That would essentially be the asset approach. So 6 is. 7 that would at least serve as kind of a grounding or tempering basis for the determination of value. 8

9 What Parker did instead with the income 10 approach, he projected out using five different 11 scenarios, none of which, according to his own report 12 and through the use of hypothetical restrictions in 13 his report, he did not evaluate in any meaningful way.

So he has projections that were provided to him; he has an assessment of risk that I deemed excessively low for the projections he applied them against, and he determined their value under one approach that did not appear to be even close to the value that he determined under the asset approach that he ultimately disregarded.

I have issues with the way that he calculated his asset approach. But even his asset approach was significantly less than his income approach indications.

25

0

What issues do you have with Mr. Parker's

asset approach?

1

2 A Just the -- he has various assessments of 3 asset value that come from source unknown.

Q The second consideration that you set forth in your report on page 10 dealt with Parker's assessment of projected income. What issues did Anthem have with the assessment of the projected income?

9 А With the projected income, some of the considerations were that, first of all, he had five 10 11 different scenarios. But then in addition to those 12 scenarios, he didn't appear to consider some of the issues that he did list qualitatively, meaning he 13 indicated this is a federally-illegal business with 14 15 limited access to financing and some of these other 16 issues.

17 It's an early stage company, there's no 18 necessarily proven track record as of the valuation 19 date. But then when he's projected this income and 20 assessed the risk, he does not appear to consider 21 those risks in his calculation of what we refer to as 22 the discount rate.

23 So when you're doing an income approach, 24 there are two primary components: There's the 25 projected income, then there's the risk that you will

actually achieve that projected income.

1

The projected income we kind of talked about. The assessment risk associated with that income I also have issues with. He had what he determined to be an percent discount or that he applied against the income stream after adjusting it for, basically before tax dollars.

8 So he started with an 18 percent discount, 9 and he adjusted it upwards by 8 percent to get to a 10 discount rate of about 26 percent.

11 In my experience, if you're looking at a discount rate for post tax dollars of 18 percent, 12 which is what that number represents, you're looking 13 14 at companies that have been fairly well established, that have a long history of profits, that are 15 16 federally legal, and don't -- that are not restricted 17 by certain things such as there's a revenue ruling Section 280 E, which basically says that companies in 18 19 this marijuana space are not allowed to deduct certain expenses. They can essentially just deduct cost of 20 21 goods sold.

If you're looking at an income statement for any business, the expenses are primarily broken up into two different types: Costs of goods sold, which are essentially expenses required to generate the

1 revenues, then operating expenses, which are 2 administrative, overhead-type expenses that are 3 required -- the salary for your office staff, 4 et cetera.

280-E basically only allows you to deduct the
cost of goods sold and not all the other expenses.
You're still going to generate, or cause to be
incurred those expenses, but you're just not allowed
to deduct them for tax purposes.

10 In his analysis, Parker uses 35 a percent tax 11 rate. In my experience with marijuana companies, the 12 effective tax rate you're looking is typically quite a 13 bit more than that.

14 Q Mr. Leauanae, did you take any umbrage with 15 respect to Mr. Parker's reliance and the projections 16 that Shane Terry provided to him?

17 A I do to the extent he sought, at least in my 18 opinion, to disqualify any potential due diligence he 19 might have had to undertake.

So he had hypothetical conditions in his report that basically said essentially that he would accept these at face value. But then I believe he used that hypothetical condition to assess a relatively low discount rate. He assumed that this industry essentially was stable, management operations

would be consistent, some of which I don't know that
 he necessarily demonstrated elsewhere in his report.

3 So he makes assumptions through this 4 hypothetical condition session that I think he then 5 justifies or uses to justify what I believe are 6 artificially high projections and artificially low in 7 receiving those projections.

8 Q Your report addresses Parker's inclusion of a 9 large income growth without the corresponding increase 10 in expenses. Can you provide for the arbitrator an 11 overview of your assessment on damage?

A To a certain extent this also touches on the 280-E issue as well. In order to generate revenues, you have to incur expenses. The way that Parker builds his model, I see the revenue growth, but I don't see the expenses that you would otherwise expect to see with that level of growth.

18 So, essentially, if you imagine in Parker's 19 model, he projects that after a certain point in time, relatively quickly, almost every dollar that is earned 20 21 in revenues is going to go straight to the bottom line or straight to net income, which is not typically the 22 23 case, especially a new industry that is federally illegal, has a lot of competitors, things of that 24 25 nature.

Q The report also addresses an issue with Parker's reliance on projected incomes for 2015 where he shifted those same amounts to March of 2016. You have any issue with that action?

5 Α I do. So essentially what Parker did, he had projections that were prepared or effective as of 6 March of 2015, and then, he without anything more than 7 I think a one-sentence explanation, moved them forward 8 9 to be as of March 16th. And the only explanation that was provided was that he believed that that better fit 10 11 the fact pattern or the facts set. I'm not quite sure 12 what that means. He doesn't justify that any further.

In my opinion, if you have projections, again, even if you're comparing those projections March of 2015 to operations through March of 2016, you would have had a comparative. You would have projections for a year and actual activity for a year.

Had he done that comparison, I think he would have noticed that those March projections did not realize in that first year. His response to realizing that they wouldn't match is basically taking those exact same projections without modification and moving them forward one year.

24 So essentially he's saying it didn't work for 25 the last year, but maybe for the next year,

essentially what he did.

1

Q The last topic covered under your second consideration has to do with Parker's application of generic industry growth. First of all, what is a growth rate?

A Growth rate basically is just the increment by which subsequent years, if we're using years as the period, increases over a base period.

9 Q And how was Parker's application of a generic10 industry growth rate problematic?

A Kind of corollary to, if I'm valuing a business, I'm valuing that particular business. I'm not valuing that industry. By applying an industry growth rate to a particular business. And, again, I have issues with his derivation of the actual income for the business.

Assuming that his derivation of income was accurate, applying an industry growth rate to a particular business without justifying why that industry growing rate applies, it's essentially saying you're valuing the industry.

There's no reduction from that growth to reflect the actuality of the company you're valuing. So to call that a business valuation I think is disingenuous.

Q The third consideration that you set forth in your report on page 13 has to do with Parker's assessment of discount rates. First, can you provide a brief summary as to what a discount rate entails in a valuation?

So a discount rate essentially is the Α 6 7 reflection, when it's used in an income approach, it's a reflection of the risk inherent in the projected 8 9 income. So in this particular case, Parker used a build-up method, which essentially is an alternative 10 11 investment theory. Meaning, instead of investing in 12 the company, you could invest elsewhere. You could 13 invest in treasury, you could invest in large or small publicly-traded companies. 14

His build-up method getting to about 18 percent I don't really have a particular issue with. The issue I do have with this derivation is that he stop short. The additional increment that he doesn't appear to consider quantitatively is the assessment that ties all the qualitative factors in his report to the number.

You're looking at a company that is almost early stage venture capital levels. Typically in my experience, those discount rates start around 40, 45 percent, as opposed to 18 percent. That's just for

early stages companies. Then you've got to factor in the characteristics of a federally illegal company, potential mismanagement, if there was any, issues that would increment the discount rate.

5 To use an 18 percent discount rate for a 6 company that he qualitatively indicates had problems, 7 I think is a mismatch that results in significant 8 problems with his result.

9 Q You also briefly touched upon the fact that 10 Parker's estimation of growth in net income is 11 ridiculously high for years 1 through 5, but then 12 levels out thereafter, and there is no reconciliation; 13 is that correct?

MR. FEUERSTEIN: Object to the form. You're leading your own witness now. You use the phrase, "ridiculously high."

ARBITRATOR BAKER: Sustained. Rephrase.BY MR. WILEY:

19 Q Your report talks about Parker's estimation 20 of growth and net income for years 1 through 5, and 21 then levels out thereafter.

22Do you see any issue with that?23A24Q25A25A26So essentially what Parker has applied in the

income approach is what's referred to as a discounted cash flow method. The discounted cash flow method calculates out into the future a discrete period of time during which the company is going through either excessive or less than normal growth.

At a certain point in time it reaches what we 6 7 refer to as terminal value, meaning after that point in time, things stabilize and they grow in perpetuity 8 9 at a constant rate. Parker used a three percent constant growth rate. I have no problem with that. 10 11 The problem I do have, though, is he's basically 12 saying for the first five years there's this 13 significant growth and all of a sudden it completely 14 disappears.

Instead, so what he may argue, well, I could have grown it out for 10 years or 15 years and had even more growth, but instead I determined that after five years, it will go terminal.

I would have approached it from a slightly different perspective. I would say if you're going to say after five years something is going to happen, that causes everything to stabilize, it's not in his report. There's no demonstration in his report as to why this significant drop, which to me would lead me to question why there's significant growth during the

1 first five years as opposed to why there's a big drop after five. 2 Parker applied a 28 percent discount for lack 3 0 of control and lack of marketability. Do you question 4 5 that application at all? Α I did. 6 7 Why so? 0 The derivation of that 28 percent is a 8 Α 9 combination of two different things: One is a discount for lack of control of 10 percent, and the 10 11 other is a discount for lack of marketability of 20 12 percent. A discount for lack of control is basically a 13 14 reflection of the inherent inability to manage 100 percent operations of the company. So to the extent a 15 16 company is not managed well and could be managed 17 better had you controlled the company, then the 18 discount for control would be greater. So to the 19 extent as of the valuation date, the company may have been mismanaged or had other issues, that discount 20 21 would have possibly been higher. 22 Typically in my experience, the discount for 23 lack of control is closer to about 20 percent. His derivation of 10 percent came about through examining 2.4 25 closed-end funds. It has a very specific application

> Veritext Legal Solutions 877-955-3855

1 that I don't believe is applicable to an operating 2 company here. So that's the discount for lack of 3 control.

The discount for lack of marketability is 20 percent, and basically what that reflects is a discount for the fact this is a privately-held company and a minority interest in a privately-held company, and you can't just turned around and sell it and get cash in your account a day later. So there's various studies that speak to these discounts.

But ultimately what Parker did, he referenced a couple of studies, then he said the discount indication was 26 percent, but he was reducing it by six to 20 percent because he said in the operating agreement there's language of the buy-back of an expulsed partner.

To my opinion, the buy-back for an expulsed partner was no different than the language that would allow another departing partner to leave and get paid market value. I don't know that that reduction of six percent has any necessary play in the calculation of the discount rate.

Again, I also have an issue with his calculation of just the 26 percent unadjusted discount for the lack of marketability. He referenced a couple

of studies, but in my experience, those discounts, especially for a minority interest, can be fairly substantial. This creates a compounding issue when we are comparing a 22 percent interest, which was the case with Terry to a seven percent interest, which is the case with Goldstein.

A 22 percent interest has the ability to do certain things, perhaps needs the approval of only a few other people to reach super majority than a seven percent interest might. So there are discrepancies as well in the level of ownership interest that are not reflected in his 20 percent discount for lack of marketability.

14 MR. FEUERSTEIN: I'm going to move to strike 15 that last part of his testimony. I don't think that 16 there's any opinion or any part of his opinion that speaks to that. I think his first two opinions speak 17 18 to Mr. Terry's interest, and then his actual opinion 19 served in rebuttal simply says that you shouldn't pay 20 attention to the report because all the prior reports 21 of Mr. Parker were with respect to Terry. Now it's with respect to Goldstein. So I think he's speaking 22 outside the scope of his reports. 23

24 MR. WILEY: I would offer that there's 25 language in the report which expressly provides

that.

1

2

## ARBITRATOR BAKER: Where?

In fact, I would just note 3 MR. FEUERSTEIN: on page 6 of the rebuttal report, tab 12, Mr. Leauanae 4 5 stated, "Given our foundational objection that these" -- paragraph 5 -- "that these theories and 6 7 methodologies should be precluded because their use is predicated on an improper supplement, which is an 8 9 opinion that we provide based upon our training and experience as business valuation and economy damage 10 11 experts, we do not address these technical issues in 12 this report." 13 MR. WILEY: Keep reading. 14 MR. FEUERSTEIN: I will, page 7. 15 "Notwithstanding the foregoing, we reserve 16 the right to address these technical issues in a report or through testimony if deemed necessary." 17 I think you have a blanket sort of open 18 opportunity to opine on things that are not in your 19 20 report, doesn't give an opportunity to understand his opinions ahead of time and prepare for them. 21 22 Again, based upon the fact that MR. WILEY: we filed our motion to strike in the expert report, 23 24 there was never an expert disclosure by Ms. Goldstein 25 of Mr. Parker, and as such we didn't want to shoot

ourselves in the foot with respect to our argument on a motion to strike, just to make sure we've got belt and suspenders, we're going to address the methodologies when we believe they're improper. And that was the crux of our argument.

6 So we had to have language that provides for 7 the testimony of the expert if deemed necessary, and 8 based upon the arbitrator's ruling, it was deemed 9 necessary.

MR. FEUERSTEIN: To the extent it was deemed necessary by the arbitrator's ruling, that was over a almost a week ago now, and this is the first we're hearing of any change.

ARBITRATOR BAKER: I don't believe when I made that decision that I had this December 27th report, because I think I asked during the call, was there any -- did respondent's have the opportunity to respond to Mr. Parker's report. My recollection is the answer was, yeah, it may not have exactly been produced on the right day, but we got it out.

And so I said I'm not striking that, and I'm not striking Mr. Parker's report. So I don't think I have this in front of me.

But let me -- I'm going to note -- let's put this to the side for a second, this issue of -- I

1 think he does challenge in his report, I'm looking at tab 4, about the lack of control, lack of 2 marketability equal to 28 percent. 3 I have not read the entirety of it, so I 4 5 can't tell you what other details there are. But let's get through his testimony. You can ask your 6 7 cross, then we'll figure out how to handle that. I don't believe he fully answered 8 MR. WILEY: 9 the last question, so can we have the question posed before counsel lodged his objection. 10 11 (Record read.) 12 MR. WILEY: We'll just move on. I think he did cover that answer. 13 14 Mr. Leauanae, let me ask you a question quick Q regarding the history of valuations. 15 16 Have you had the opportunity to render 17 valuations for any marijuana companies in the past? 18 Α Yes. 19 How many? Q 20 I've been involved in probably ten or so, Α 21 including currently. 22 Have you been retained as an expert witness 0 in any of the marijuana valuation cases? 23 Α 2.4 Yes. 25 Approximately how many times? 0 Page 499

1 Α At least three or four, possibly more. I want to turn your attention to tab 10 in 2 Q 3 the expert witness report. I have it in front of me. 4 Α 5 0 You recognize this document? I do. Α 6 7 What is this document? 0 Α This was the second report that I issued in 8 9 this case. For a minute, let's take tab 10 and tab 12. 10 Ο 11 Let me ask you about tab 12. Do you recognize that 12 document? 13 А I do. What is that document? 14 0 15 Α This was the third report that I issued in 16 this case. 17 0 And the second report was issued -- has an issue date of December 13, 2018? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 And the third has issue date of December 27, 0 2018? 21 22 Yes. Α 23 Explain to me the structure of your second Q and third reports. 2.4 25 Essentially at the time, from Parker's Α Page 500

analysis, I was rebutting an analysis of Terry's 1 interest. So the facts that Parker in his second and 2 3 third reports, the reports that I was rebutting, considered post valuation date information, meaning 4 5 information as of March 2016, I determined that from a valuation perspective, that was improper. 6

7 And what specifically did you find is 0 improper as far as part of Mr. Parker's methodology? 8

9 Α Really it even goes beyond methodology to 10 clearing.

11 Valuation is conducted as of a specific 12 valuation date. So the only information that you 13 should know, or use, is what was known or knowable as of that valuation date. The valuation date at the 14 15 time of these reports was March of 2016, or at least 16 the first two reports. The information, however, that 17 he references in his second report and his third 18 report was after March of 2016.

19 So my response is essentially to the second 20 report or in my second report, which was dated December 13, 2018, was that Parker considered post 21 22 valuation date information and, therefore, the analysis that he conducted and the conclusions he 23 2.4 derived did not apply as of March 2016. 25

My criticisms of his third report for my

1 purposes, which was my rebuttal report dated December 27th, was that it appeared he was taking an analysis 2 that was, for all intents and purposes, calculated for 3 Shane Terry, which was a different interest as of a 4 5 different valuation date, which essentially could mean a different company, and trying to apply it to a 6 7 different individual. And so my rebuttal in the December 27, 2018, 8 9 report was a rebuttal of Parker's analysis as it pertained to Terry, not really for any other 10 11 purpose. 12 Mr. Parker in his second report switches his Ο 13 methodology from income approach to the market 14 approach? 15 Α Yes. 16 Q Do you find that problematic in any way? 17 I do. А 18 How so? Q I'm just trying to tab it in the binder, 19 А 20 which I believe is the February 23rd report of Mr. Parker. 21 22 So, essentially, a valuation requires -always requires consideration of the three approaches: 23 24 The income approach, the asset approach, and the market approach. I don't think I actually necessarily 25

describe what they are.

1

The income approach basically determines what the value for a company will be based on the assessment of the returns and the risk generating those returns, as I discussed before.

6 The asset approach basically is a reflection 7 of the value of the company at a particular point in 8 time when you consider its assets and it's 9 liabilities. If the difference between assets and 10 liabilities is positive, then it has a positive asset 11 value.

12 The market approach is typically broken into 13 two different methods: One is the quideline public 14 company method, and the other is referred to as the Essentially, the quideline public 15 transaction method. 16 company method looks to public markets for companies 17 that are actively traded and comparable, and determines from the share prices of those companies 18 19 what a potential multiple might be for your subject 20 company.

The transaction method, by contrast, looks for transactions in an entire company, meaning the sale of one hundred percent of an interest in a company, and determines multiples as well that then applies to the subject company. So those are the

three approaches.

1

2	In his first report, he ultimately used the
3	income approach and disregarded the other two.
4	Notably when he disregarded the market approach, he
5	said there were no comparables. As of his February
6	2018 report, again, when he's still referring to
7	Terry's interest as of March 16, he suddenly deems the
8	market approach to have comparables, and the income
9	approach to have no value in his assessment. Again,
10	there's no explanation as to why this change occurred,
11	other than it did occur.
12	Q Turning to page 4 of Mr. Parker's second
13	report there, tab 8.
14	A I have it in front of me.
15	Q That's under the heading "Guideline Public
16	Company Method"?
17	A It is.
18	Q You spoke briefly about that.
19	MR. FEUERSTEIN: Where are you?
20	MR. WILEY: Page 4, tab 8.
21	MR. FEUERSTEIN: Thank you.
22	BY MR. WILEY:
23	Q You testified briefly about the public
24	guideline company method. Did you find any issue with
25	Mr. Parker's use of the four companies that are set
	Page 504

1 forth in the box in the bottom of that page? MR. FEUERSTEIN: Objection. Goes beyond the 2 scope of his opinion. There's nothing talked about 3 that in any of the docs submitted to date. 4 5 MR. WILEY: Other than the fact he addresses 6 the methodologies that are set forth in complete 7 detail in his expert witness report of December 13th, specifically, when he's talking about in the second 8 9 paragraph of section 4, "As demonstrated above, Parker 10 mentioned the only reason he was able to supplement 11 his original valuation analysis with the application of the market approach was he used information that 12 13 was not known, knowable or applicable in March 10th, 2016. Fundamental consideration in business valuation 14 is that the value should be determined as of a 15 16 specific date using information that was known or 17 knowable as of that date." 18 So, now, we have a switch in the fundamental

18 so, now, we have a switch in the fundamental 19 methodology that it's being used to determine the 20 application of the accounting principals, and as such, 21 the expert witness should be able to testify as to and 22 opine on as to those issues. Switching from a market 23 approach --

24 MR. FEUERSTEIN: I believe what he opined on 25 and what he said in his testimony was that Mr. Parker,

according to the witness, improperly used information post -- that became available post March 2016. Now Mr. Wiley is directing him to specific issues and specific companies and asking him to say do you have issue with those companies.

6 He doesn't say anything in his report that I 7 take issue with any of the companies that are used, 8 any of the facts, anything like that. He just says 9 that he's using like a general statement to back his 10 way into specific testimony that was never opined on 11 in the report and, therefore, is outside his scope, 12 improper.

MR. WILEY: The initial application as set forth in Parker's 2016 valuation dismisses this methodology and now comes back --

16 ARBITRATOR BAKER: This is the guideline 17 public company method?

MR. WILEY: Yeah, under the market approach, because at that time, this is set forth in the expert's opinion, there were publicly-traded companies that were active in cannabis. We're talking about publicly-traded companies, which as the testimony will show, that this is the use of those companies in that box right there.

25

As the cannabis industry matures, largely due

1 to the push for legalization, publicly-traded companies involved in the marijuana trade became more 2 widely followed both by the public at large and market 3 analysts. So based upon that, that was the shift from 4 5 Parker's methodology into the second report and --I'll allow a few ARBITRATOR BAKER: 6 7 questions. Let's keep this moving forward, so objection overruled. 8 9 BY MR. WILEY: Mr. Leauanae, did you take umbrage with 10 Ο 11 Mr. Parker's use of the publicly-traded companies as comparables as set forth in tab 8, page 4? 12 13 Α Yes. 14 How so? 0 15 So assuming even though the date August 2017 Α 16 does not show anywhere on Parker's February 2018 17 report, assuming that this analysis applies to August 18 2017, I have issues relative to the application of 19 this guideline public company method because, in his 20 own report, he references that the selection of these 21 companies came from a list that was available only after August of 2017. It was available as of February 22 2018, more than six months later. And he also used 23 24 financial metrics that were only known as of February 25 2018, as opposed to August 2017.

1 So in addition to the other issues I have with the market approach, the fact that he uses post 2 valuation information subsequent to August 2017 in 3 conducting his analysis is a problem. 4 5 MR. FEUERSTEIN: My objection --I understand. ARBITRATOR BAKER: Let's move 6 7 on. BY MR. WILEY: 8 9 Q In your second and third report, did you have ongoing concerns with the use of Terry provided 10 11 revenue projections? I did. 12 Α 13 Q Why so? 14 Those projections changed in Mr. Parker's А February 23rd report. The only rationale provided was 15 16 that the projections he then used were more current. 17 There was no assessment as to any due diligence he undertook to verify the veracity of those numbers. 18 19 0 Did the same issues you had with respect to the initial report regarding the 28 percent discount 20 21 for lack of control and lack of marketable, did those 22 remain? 23 Α They did. 24 Q For the same reasons you testified 25 previously? Page 508

1	
1	A Yes.
2	Q Let's go ahead and look at Mr. Parker's
3	December 14th report, which is tab 11.
4	A I have it in front of me.
5	Q Did you have an opportunity to review this
6	report?
7	A I did.
8	Q Now, what are your initial thoughts regarding
9	this report?
10	MR. FEUERSTEIN: Just note my objection.
11	ARBITRATOR BAKER: That's sustained.
12	BY MR. WILEY:
13	Q You agree with me that the value of Ms.
14	Goldstein's interest was determined for the first time
15	in the report dated December 14, 2018?
16	A In report is supposed to represent Ms.
17	Goldstein's interest, yes.
18	Q Turn your attention to page 5 of the report
19	that's denoted in tab 11.
20	A I have it in front of me.
21	Q In the box at the top of the page are the
22	four companies. Do you recognize those companies?
23	A I do.
24	Q Are any of those privately-held companies?
25	A No, not to my knowledge.
	Page 509

1 Do you have any issue with Mr. Parker using 0 these companies to prepare a market cap/revenue 2 multiplier to use in Nevada? 3 MR. FEUERSTEIN: Objection; beyond the 4 5 scope. ARBITRATOR BAKER: Sustained. 6 7 MR. DUSHOFF: Your Honor, if I may be heard on this? 8 9 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Yes, go ahead. Thank you. We had our motion 10 MR. DUSHOFF: 11 in front you at the time when this was still going on, 12 when they filed the report. We had the motion and said, hey, wait a minute, this is not correct. They 13 14 cannot file this supplement, because clearly, his supplement was based off something off of the 2016 15 16 that she never hired. 17 So out of an abundance of caution, we said, hey, we told you what happened. We told our expert, 18 19 hey, this is what this is. We think you're not going to be able to get this in because, as he said, it's an 20 21 improper supplement. 22 Now, he reserved the right to testify, but it wasn't something -- you didn't even rule on yet that 23 their expert's supplementation was out. 24 This was --25 you ruled on that after, after the motion you heard Page 510

this, and you said, You know what, I'm going to allow that in as long as you had a rebuttal. But this was part of out rebuttal, knowing that there may be more information that he has been allowed to testify to regarding this. This was after your motion.

You could have said, You know what, I'm not
letting that in. And I'm not letting that in, and
then a rebuttal would have been moot anyhow if you
weren't letting that in.

He should have a right to be able -- what 10 11 you're doing now is foreclosing us on being able to 12 have our person rebut their expert witness that you 13 allowed to come testify after he submitted a rebuttal 14 report on it. What he's trying to say, Listen, I'll 15 reserve -- this is not right. You said, I'll allow it 16 based on a rebuttal when he said it was not right. 17 But he should have a right to absolutely testify 18 regarding what's wrong with this report.

MR. WILEY: More importantly, we are talking about the multiplier issue, which hasn't been addressed yet in rebuttal. Mr. Parker was able to opine as to the use of the market cap/revenue multiplier, how he addressed that methodology.

We believe it's improper. We believe that the expert will opine why it is improper. And, again,

1 we're talking about an issue where we do have language set forth in the third report from Anthem as to what 2 will allow Mr. Leauanae to be able to opine as to 3 valuation of Ms. Goldstein, which of course, we saw 4 5 for the first time 32 days ago. MR. FEUERSTEIN: Can I respond? 6 7 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Yes. MR. FEUERSTEIN: I feel little bit that this 8 9 is somewhat to an extent of trial by ambush. Don Parker had a report, he's actually submitted multiple 10 11 reports in this case, and Anthem has had the 12 opportunity to respond to those reports. 13 The market multiplier, revenue multiplier was 14 not produced for the first time in Ms. Goldstein's It was actually produced way back when, in 15 report. 16 tab 8, back in February of 2018, to which Anthem filed 17 a rebuttal report. It was at that time Anthem could have said just like it said in its rebuttal report to 18 19 tab 11, that we take issue with the revenue multiplier, and here's why we take issue with the 20 21 revenue multiplier, and I would have had an ample two 22 weeks to prepare and talk about why I take issue with 23 his issue. Instead they've punted twice. 24 They punted

25 back in February 2018, they didn't take issue with it,

and they punted here again.

1

The fact there was no knowledge of whether 2 Mr. Parker's report was going to get in as of December 3 2017 doesn't mean they couldn't prepare for it as it 4 5 was. It's the old boycott rule, always prepare. But I don't think it's fair to say now without anything. 6 It's not even produced in their pretrial brief as to 7 why they're taking issue and what basis they're taking 8 9 issue with the revenue multiplier. They're just dropping it on us now for the very first time. 10 11 As Mr. Wiley said, they had 32 days to 12 prepare for this.

If we may. He doesn't address 13 MR. DUSHOFF: 14 what I discussed before. I think it's humorous coming out when I cross-examined Mr. Parker, he somehow comes 15 16 out with this proxy thing; that was never brought up, 17 never in any of his reports anywhere when I said, You used CWNV report for the NuVeda reports. Now all of a 18 19 sudden that's not a surprise? That gets in? No.

He has a right to testify regarding this. We never had the opportunity because there was no ruling. If we had a ruling before he did -- before he did his rebuttal, we could have said, Okay, listen, you need to do your specific rebuttal regarding the facts in here. You can't just reserve your right to testify.

1 But we never had that opportunity because you ruled on it afterwards. If they're asking us to do a 2 rebuttal to the rebuttal, that's a different 3 situation. That would be nuts, but you did not rule 4 5 on it yet. What they're asking for us to do is a rebuttal to a rebuttal and that is not fair. 6 7 If they're saying trial by ambush, that's ridiculous. What do they think, we're not going to 8 9 try and rebut the actual facts in here, that Mr. Parker can just make up stuff and we're not going 10 11 to go against it? 12 The same four companies, the Terra Tech and 13 Marafam (phonetic) was the same ones that were used in 14 eight, the exact same companies. So if he was able to testify regarding that, how was he not able to testify 15 16 regarding this? It's not ambush. They had all the 17 information regarding this. 18 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Did he testify, or in his expert reports talk about this market capitalization 19 issue with these companies? 20 MR. FEUERSTEIN: No, he did not. 21 22 MR. WILEY: Let the expert --23 THE WITNESS: I did speak to those because they were post valuation date as they related to 24 25 Terry. So in my opinion, it was improper to even

consider that information.

2 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Here's the issue that I 3 have: I understand your arguments to Parker's report 4 on December 14th. We hashed this out as the subject 5 of the motion.

I recall being told, because I asked, did you have -- did the respondents have the opportunity to respond, and the response I recall was, Well, yeah, but it may not have gotten out exactly on December 29th or whenever rebuttals were due to reports, in which case I said -- I think I asked you, are you going to move to strike it?

13 I think you kind of wabbled a little bit. 14 Well, I want to take a look at it and I'm not sure. 15 You hadn't even had the chance to look at it yet. I 16 said, Well, forget it. I'm not going to exclude it 17 because I'll let yours in, and I'll let their report But I did not have this report in front of me, 18 in. 19 and I was never informed that it was a reservation of a right to rebut it later. And my recollection is you 20 21 haven't had the opportunity to look at it even at that 22 point?

23

1

MR. FEUERSTEIN: Correct.

ARBITRATOR BAKER: That's my recollection of the conversation. So here's what I'm going to do.

I'm going to allow it, and this is already -- we're
 already at 5:19.

3 So I'm going to allow a few more questions. 4 I'm going to look through these reports in detail, and 5 I will note your objection and decide whether to give 6 any weight to what he is testifying to or not. And I 7 think that's the quickest way and the fairest way to 8 sort of move this along.

9 MR. DUSHOFF: May I ask, weight as to Ms.
10 Goldstein's -- as to 11?

ARBITRATOR BAKER: What he is testifying to -- I mean, I need to read his report in detail. I don't even know -- there's some reviews and opinion, I don't even know what he's trying to say right now is even marginally can be inserted in that. I don't know at this point because I have not read it.

So let's finish with some testimony. Let's move this along. I'm going to go back and read these reports, and then decide in my decision how much weight, whether to consider it, how much weight to give it at that point.

22 MR. WILEY: Arbitrator Baker, I'll be brief. 23 Q Mr. Leauanae, what is your opinion on the use 24 of four public companies as a base line determination 25 for the market cap revenue multiplier?

A I would say having that few number of companies does not constitute a market for purposes of guideline public company method.

4 Q What would need to be done to make it 5 compatible and usable as far as a comparable?

A There needs to be a correlation between the general database from which these companies were derived and their application in this case. Those details were not provided in Mr. Parker's report other than reference to a list that he then modified as well without any justification or explanation.

Q Do you have any issue with Mr. Parker providing that a private company's market cap revenue multiplier is 50 percent of a public company?

15 A Not necessarily, but, again, that's a 16 subjective assessment. And when you're looking at 17 these four companies, these are all what we refer to 18 as over-the-counter companies, OTC. While they are 19 publicly-traded, they could be very well be thinly 20 traded.

So if you image the bottom of the bucket in terms of public companies, these would be them. And I would say they would not constitute a freely and actively traded market that is a requirement of comparables under the guideline public company

method.

1

2 Q If you were preparing a market approach 3 valuation of NuVeda, what would you look for in a 4 comparable?

5 A I would look for a company that had revenues 6 that were comparable to the extent of 10 to 20 times 7 revenues to get the right size. I would look for a 8 company that was actively traded. I would typically 9 disregard OTC companies, which are all four of these, 10 because they would not represent an active market.

I would identify the criteria I used in the selection of my companies, which is not something that's present in any meaningful way in Parker's report.

15 Q In your opinion, would you dismiss Parker's 16 report and his methodology in its entirety?

17 I would say the way he narrowed down to this Α market approach and excluded consideration of the 18 19 asset income approach is problematic, and that problem 20 is only exacerbated by the problems I see in his 21 application of the market approach. Again, I'm not a trier-of-fact obviously, but from a valuation 22 standpoint, I would say this analysis is improper. 23 24 MR. WILEY: I have nothing further. 25 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Mr. Feuerstein.

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION					
2	BY MR. FEUERSTEIN:					
3	Q Good evening, Mr. Leauanae.					
4	A Joe.					
5	Q I'm going to call you Joe.					
6	A That's perfect.					
7	Q Now, you don't provide an independent					
8	valuation of Ms. Goldstein's interest; correct?					
9	A That's correct.					
10	Q You weren't asked to calculate what the					
11	interest should be given the corrections; is that					
12	right?					
13	A That's right.					
14	Q You didn't do a review of any the other					
15	expert reports from respondents to determine whether					
16	those analyses are correct; isn't that true?					
17	A I didn't review any other reports.					
18	Q Before I continue, let me make sure we're on					
19	the same page.					
20	Your report at tab 4, your report at 10, and					
21	your report at 12, that is the entire scope of your					
22	opinion that you're offering in this case, correct,					
23	subject to what we've been fighting about today on the					
24	record?					
25	A Yes.					
	Page 519					

1	Q And it contains all the documents that you				
2	relied on and all the information that you processed				
3	in the course of your analysis; correct?				
4	A That's fair, yes.				
5	Q How long did those reports take you to do?				
6	A I can't recall.				
7	Q Can you estimate for me?				
8	A The first one would have taken the majority				
9	of the time; the last two, less so.				
10	Q Can you estimate how long the first one took				
11	you?				
12	A I cannot.				
13	Q Did you meet with any of the members of				
14	NuVeda to talk about facts that were troubling to you				
15	or interesting to you?				
16	A I believe I would have, yes.				
17	Q Who did you speak to?				
18	A Most likely Pej and Joe Kennedy. I'm not				
19	sure if I spoke with any other individuals.				
20	Q I think you testified I'm going to turn				
21	back to my notes so I quote you correctly. That you				
22	took issues with the asset approach because they came				
23	from sources "there was asset value that came from				
24	sources unknown," did I write that down correctly?				
25	A That's fair, yes.				

1 Q Is it your view that when asset values come from sources unknown, that's not a proper expert 2 3 report? When asset values come from --Α 4 Let me restate the question. When an expert 5 0 uses asset values that come from sources unknown, does 6 7 that detract from the reliability of the report? 8 Α Not necessarily. 9 0 What situations can it still be considered reliable? 10 11 It would depend on the level of due diligence Α 12 that should be undertaken by the appraiser. For 13 example, if I value a business that has a fair amount 14 of real estate, I'm not a real estate appraiser, so I 15 may rely on the appraisals done by real estate 16 appraisers. 17 0 Let me ask you a hypothetical: Would you view it to be -- an expert report to be reliable if 18 19 the expert took his client's data, spoke to a person referred to him by the client, and did no other due 20 21 diligence whatsoever to confirm the values of the assets or the liabilities? 22 23 It would depend on how the result of those Α discussions were presented. 24 What do you mean? 25 0 Page 521

A If I were presenting the results of those discussions as a valuation opinion, then the question would go to the level of due diligence that was undertaken to verify the statements that were made to you.

Q Let me stop you right there. If there was no due diligence taken to verify the statements made to you, other than by relying on the principles and one other person, does that seem reliable in your opinion?

A It would depend on the nature of the assets. It could be, it could not be, it just depends. For example, if the representation in the balance sheet says there's \$100,000 in cash, that's something that's easily verifiable. If the assessment is that there's a million dollars in intangible value, that's less so.

Q So if an expert, for example, provided a valuation of a marijuana license, cannabis license and relied on the statements of his clients, the statements of one other person and nothing else, is that reliable to determine the valuation of a cannabis license?

A I would say that would probably be an issuewithout additional due diligence for verification.

25

0

You mentioned during your testimony that

1 you've been involved with approximately ten marijuana company valuations; is that right? 2 А That's fair. 3 Have those all been in the state of Nevada? 0 4 5 Α Some operations outside but, yes. You were an expert witness in three or four 6 Q 7 of those matters; correct? Α A was designated in at least three or four, 8 9 possibly more. Did you testify in those matters? 10 Ο 11 Α Not yet. 12 Did you provide reports in those matters? Q 13 Some of them, yes. Α 14 Did you provide actual valuations or just 0 15 criticisms of other expert's valuations? 16 Α It's a combination, but some of those did 17 provide values or estimates of value. What -- were they businesses that were akin 18 Q 19 to NuVeda in the sense they were operating companies 20 with vertical licenses? 21 Α That's fair. 22 And did you use the -- what approach, 0 methodology did you use to determine the valuations of 23 2.4 those companies? 25 Look at all three approaches, and typically Α Page 523

in the ones that resulted in the report, I think the 1 genesis for was most of the opinions was the income 2 3 approach. 0 Why did you use the income approach instead 4 5 of the asset approach? It wasn't so much a selection on my part, but 6 Α 7 a comparison of the three approaches, and which provided the best amount of data and potentially the 8 9 most reliable outcome. Is there a rule of thumb, in your view, Joe, 10 0 11 when one doing evaluations should choose the 12 liquidation approach or asset approach versus the 13 other two approaches? A rule of thumb, not necessarily. Really the 14 А appraiser has discretion, but they should consider all 15 16 three approaches. 17 0 Were you aware in drafting your two rebuttal opinions whether at the time that you did that, 18 19 whether NuVeda was operational? 20 I don't recall. Α 21 Q Do you recall whether the dispensary licenses 22 that NuVeda possesses were operational? 23 As of the two December reports? Α 2.4 Q Yes, as of the two December reports. 25 Α I can't recall. Page 524

Q Do you recall or have any knowledge whether NuVeda was -- those dispensary licenses were recording any sales?

4

2.4

25

A I can't recall.

Q Is the fact that those dispensary licenses that they were recording sales, is that important in any way in your decision of which valuation approach to apply?

9 A You should apply all three approaches, but it 10 would be probative in terms of how it would apply in 11 each of the approaches.

12 Q By the way, you don't have an opinion -- you 13 didn't provide an opinion with respect to the revenue 14 multiplier, correct, what it should be?

15 A Again, when I was reviewing this in terms of 16 it being a Terry report, it's my belief that even 17 getting to a criticism of a revenue multiplier was 18 improper.

19 Q In the course of your valuations for the 20 other 10 marijuana companies, have you used a revenue 21 multiplier in those instances?

22 A For some of those it's been a consideration,23 yes.

Q Have you used it, yes or no?

A For ones that I've been designated as an

1 expert that result in a report, not to my knowledge. How about just the valuations and conducting 2 Q valuation rather than being an expert or not? 3 Typically when assisting in the Α Yes. 4 5 consulting area, meaning in a mergers and acquisitions area, looking at potential during the due diligence 6 7 phase of a transaction, assisting with what indications a value might exist, I have looked at 8 9 various indications, including revenue multiples as well as net income multiples. 10 11 Is there any sort of standard in the industry 0 12 that you're aware of, of revenue multiples for private 13 companies in assessing value? 14 Α It's a hard question to answer because --I only ask hard questions. 15 0 16 (Laughter.) 17 Α There's various multiples. Whether or not they are applicable is really the issue. 18 Have you seen in the course of your 19 0 evaluations of other marijuana companies, the revenue 20 21 multiplier of six being applied on top line revenue? 22 Α Yes. 23 You're aware -- withdrawn. 0 Are you aware, Joe, there was a document 24 called the MIPA that was entered into between NuVeda 25 Page 526

1	and a company called CWNevada?					
2	A I'm not sure about the name of the document,					
3	but I believe I have a general understanding of an					
4	agreement.					
5	Q What's your general understanding of that					
6	agreement?					
7	A Just there was if you're referring to the					
8	2015 transaction, just there was a combination of two					
9	entities that resulted in an entity known as CWNV.					
10	Q Do you know what any of the parties'					
11	obligations in CWNV let me withdraw the question.					
12	Do you know any of the parties' obligations					
13	in CWNV, meaning what's NuVeda's obligations and what					
14	are CWNV's obligations?					
15	A Just the general recollection that I believe					
16	CW was going to be responsible for management. As to					
17	what management functions specifically, I don't know.					
18	Q So you have no recollection or idea sitting					
19	here today whether one party or the other was					
20	responsible for paying operating cost and expenses in					
21	the build-outs?					
22	A My understanding, CW was to contribute, but					
23	the totality of those contributions, I don't know.					
24	Q Would it be important or relevant in your					
25	valuation of NuVeda if, in fact, CW was responsible					
	Page 527					

Г

1 for paying all the costs and expenses in building out the dispensaries, the operating costs, and the 2 production and cultivation? 3 Α It could be, yes. 4 5 0 You mentioned, or you noted in your report --I go to your tab 4. 6 7 If I go to your report, on page 21 you identify the letter of intent between NuVeda and 8 9 CWNevada. You see that? I know it's in here. Can you point me to it. 10 Α 11 0 16. 12 Yes, I see that. А 13 Q Did you ask for any additional information? I can't recall. 14 А Do you recall having any conversations with 15 0 16 either the lawyers or the individuals at NuVeda for 17 additional information in framing your opinions? 18 As of that November 2016, I can't recall. Α 19 How about at any point in time? Q 20 Most likely, because I think there's a Α 21 commentary in here regarding not being able to see \$22 22 million referenced in Parker's report, so I believe I 23 would have sought clarification. To that end, you mention in your -- by the 2.4 0 25 way, did you read all the documents on here what's Page 528

1	been marked as Appendix 1?					
2	A No, I don't believe I would have.					
3	Q Did somebody in your office read all the					
4	documents?					
5	A Possibly not.					
6	Q Possibly not?					
7	A Yes. This is just a reflection of the					
8	documents we received.					
9	Q Were those documents did you ask for those					
10	documents, or those were the documents that were just					
11	provided to you?					
12	A It probably would have started with a general					
13	request for information. I don't know if it was					
14	supplemented for additional information we did not					
15	request.					
16	Q Do you recall reviewing the transcript of					
17	Brian Padgett in connection with the preliminary					
18	injunction hearing?					
19	A I don't know.					
20	Q If I directed you to No. 25.					
21	A I see that.					
22	Q It says transcript of preliminary injunction					
23	hearing. You see that?					
24	A I do.					
25	Q You don't recall ever reviewing that?					
	Page 529					

1 Α I may have reviewed it, I just don't recall. 2 Let me direct you, Joe, to Exhibit 164. So 3 Q you're going to have to play computer expert. 4 5 MR. WILEY: Let me help you. Go ahead and minimize that one. 6 7 MR. FEUERSTEIN: Instruct him how to pull up the answers. 8 MR. WILEY: Yeah. See the one that says 9 Feuerstein's a bad guy. Click on that one. 10 11 (Laughter.) 12 THE WITNESS: I've got the document in front of me. 13 14 MR. FEUERSTEIN: Move to strike. (Laughter.) 15 16 BY MR. FEUERSTEIN: 17 0 Take a look at the document, Joe. Tell me if you've seen it before. 18 I don't recall. 19 Α 20 You know who Brian Padgett is, though? 0 21 А I do. Who is he? 22 Q 23 I believe he was counsel at one point in this Α 2.4 case. 25 Would you accept my representation he's also Q Page 530

1	a principal in CWNevada?
2	A Sure.
3	Q I'd like you to turn to page 89, or scroll
4	down to page 89.
5	A I'm there.
6	Q And I want you to look you can look at the
7	question beginning on page 6 excuse me, line 6, and
8	I want you to see in particular, beginning on line 15,
9	where Mr. Padgett testified, "In total value, I mean,
10	we came up with a total value for the deal, which
11	includes cost savings when you don't have pay a loan
12	of \$4 and a half million back, total value of
13	approximately \$22 million." You see that?
14	A I do.
15	Q Do you have any recollection whether you saw
16	that prior to issuing your report?
17	A I can't recall.
18	Q If you had seen that prior to issuing your
19	report, would it have affected your opinion therein?
20	A It would have, but I think my opinion was
21	regarding the LOI referenced in Mr. Parker's report.
22	I don't know if this was any portion of the LOI.
23	Q I think what you say, you mention on page 8
24	that you were referring there was talk of the LOI,
25	and you say it bears noting that the LOI received by
	Page 531

1	our office does not reference \$22 million; you see					
2	that?					
3	A I do.					
4	Q I'm asking if, in fact, you had the testimony					
5	from one of the principals of the party who we claim					
6	was paying the \$22 million, would that change your					
7	opinion in anyway?					
8	A I would say this is a reference to 22					
9	million. I don't know if this would be a					
10	representation of the value it represented.					
11	MR. FEUERSTEIN: I think I'm finished. I'd					
12	like to sort of look at my notes. If I have anything,					
13	it's going to be very brief.					
14	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Let's take a couple minute					
15	break.					
16	(Break taken.)					
17	MR. FEUERSTEIN: I don't think I have any					
18	further questions at this time.					
19	MR. DUSHOFF: Your Honor, and I apologize,					
20	just walking in. I know from your prior ruling that					
21	basically the exchange, and I apologize speaking out					
22	of order but basically based on Mr. Feuerstein's					
23	motion to strike, it was summarily stated that since					
24	he didn't mention anything about in 12 regarding 11,					
25	that it's summarily out. That, therefore, if he					
	Page 532					

didn't mention it --

1

2	ARBITRATOR BAKER: That's not what I said. I					
3	allowed questions to continue, been given over his					
4	objections. And what I'm going to do is get the					
5	transcript, I'm going to read back through it. I'm					
6	going to read through these reports is what I said,					
7	because I don't know whether something he said,					
8	because there appears to be some sort of analysis and					
9	considerations, even in this December I don't know					
10	whether what he said then encompass what was objected					
11	to or is it fairly included.					
12	So I noted the objection. I'm going to go					
13	back and read through the transcript, and I'm going to					
14	read these before I issue an opinion. That was my					
15	decision.					
16	MR. DUSHOFF: I apologize. I was just					
17	getting a case regarding that very issue you were					
18	talking about.					
19	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Okay. Anything else?					
20	MR. WILEY: No redirect for me.					
21	MR. FEUERSTEIN: Nothing from me.					
22	ARBITRATOR BAKER: Thank you. Let's go off					
23	the record for now.					
24	(TIME NOTED: 6:00 p.m.)					
25						
	Page 533					

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE				
2					
3	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand				
4	Reporter of the State of Nevada, do hereby certify:				
5	That the foregoing proceedings were taken				
6	before me at the time and place herein set forth; that				
7	any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to				
8	testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the				
9	proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand				
10	which was thereafter transcribed under my direction;				
11	that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the				
12	testimony given.				
13	Further, before completion of the				
14	proceedings, review of the transcript [ ] was [ ]				
15	was not requested.				
16	I further certify I am neither financially				
17	interested in the action nor a relative or employee of				
18	any attorney or party to this action.				
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date				
20	subscribed my name.				
21	Dated: January 31, 2019				
22					
23	Ka Jac 1 Vain Mentle				
24	Myudall Aling Harth				
25	NV. CCR NO. 475				
	CALIF. CSR NO. 11861				
	Page 534				

Veritext Legal Solutions 877-955-3855

0	<b>100</b> 365:8 381:21	<b>149</b> 331:15,15,18	383:7 386:12
&	386:22 387:8	362:12 386:6	388:7 418:15,16
<b>&amp;</b> 260:16 262:12	395:1 494:14	<b>14th</b> 509:3 515:4	423:24 430:2
0	100,000 522:14	<b>15</b> 329:11,13	434:18 436:13
01-15-005-8574	<b>100,000</b> 322.11 <b>10001</b> 261:4	359:23 372:6	437:3,4 450:17,17
259:7 260:7 265:6	<b>10017</b> 261:20	450:6 463:19	450:19 460:21,22
1	1050 262:6	493:16 531:8	462:24 471:10
1 305:20,23 309:2	1065 392:9	150 399:22	<b>2,590,000</b> 437:6
<b>1</b> 305.20,25 309.2 309:16 310:9	<b>10th</b> 505:13	<b>150,000</b> 343:24	<b>2,990,000</b> 437:2,7
333:3 335:23,25	<b>11</b> 373:6 418:23	<b>16</b> 259:16 260:15	<b>2.2</b> 471:13
365:14 381:6	435:22 469:5	265:2 296:25	<b>2.5</b> 344:10,15,24
389:6 420:5,10,18	472:7 509:3,19	330:8 339:16	346:17 399:11
423:18 424:1	512:19 516:10	385:9 400:18,20	<b>2.625</b> 309:3
425:16 426:25	532:24	401:1,2 413:3	<b>2.7</b> 384:2
430:1,2 433:14	<b>11/28</b> 418:23	463:21,22 481:2	<b>20</b> 310:6 358:11
435:24 452:16	<b>112</b> 297:4	504:7 528:11	359:24 370:23
466:4 476:23	<b>11861</b> 259:23	<b>16.85</b> 356:6	394:2,18 425:8
477:9 492:11,20	534:25	<b>164</b> 326:17 530:3	454:14 479:20
529:1	<b>12</b> 379:10,16 497:4	<b>16th</b> 427:10 489:9	494:11,23 495:4
<b>1,000</b> 350:25 351:8	500:10,11 519:21	<b>17</b> 352:2,3 354:24	495:14 496:12
352:5	532:24	385:5 472:14,17	518:6
<b>1,500</b> 417:2	<b>12.4</b> 398:7	17th 290:12	<b>200,000</b> 324:11,17
<b>1,605,277</b> 428:6	<b>12:30</b> 359:21	<b>18</b> 331:21 400:20	343:14,23 351:14
434:21 450:7	369:7	401:1,2 472:14	352:8 372:23
<b>1,684,368</b> 450:7	12th 296:9	486:5,8,12 491:15	<b>2011</b> 416:9
<b>1.1</b> 386:12,17	<b>13</b> 277:4 290:10	491:25 492:5	<b>2014</b> 334:19,20
388:12 391:19	383:9 436:8,11	<b>19</b> 290:11,11 294:5	344:17 346:24,25
<b>1.1e</b> 367:9	460:24 491:2	380:24 381:25	<b>2015</b> 322:5 346:23
<b>1.1e.</b> 365:5	500:18 501:21	<b>1970</b> 335:16	489:2,7,15 527:8
<b>1.3</b> 344:5 368:11	<b>130</b> 262:7	<b>198</b> 351:18,20	<b>2016</b> 311:3 324:21
<b>1.605</b> 435:5	<b>1320</b> 362:20	<b>1980s</b> 268:11	327:19,25 328:24
<b>10</b> 289:16 298:17	364:13	<b>1983</b> 418:3	332:16 334:21
342:21 383:25	<b>133,000</b> 350:21	<b>1984</b> 268:3	348:11 352:2,3
418:8,18 419:1	<b>13th</b> 272:7 277:9	<b>1988</b> 416:9	356:11 383:5,25
428:2,4 429:10	290:15 413:22,25	<b>19th</b> 290:12,12,16	390:18 393:9
485:5 493:16	414:11 505:7	1:00 359:22	402:21 418:8,18
494:10,24 500:2	<b>14</b> 319:22 329:13	2	418:23 419:1
500:10 518:6	462:23,23 509:15	<b>2</b> 259:18 260:14	457:20,22 460:25
519:20 525:20	<b>145</b> 399:15 <b>148</b> 369:22	287:23 293:23	480:22 481:4,5,6
<b>10,000</b> 312:24	<b>148</b> 369:22	305:18 308:21	483:16 489:3,15 501:5,15,18,24
		330:9 347:9,10	501.5,15,16,24

505:14 506:2,14	534:21	<b>263</b> 264:14 306:13	<b>3,541,000</b> 433:16
510:15 528:18	<b>205</b> 261:18	306:17 320:20,24	<b>3,760,000</b> 437:7
<b>2017</b> 269:7 272:8	<b>20th</b> 261:19	393:12 394:8	<b>3.5</b> 283:22,22
274:12 291:3,6	<b>21</b> 267:19 528:7	396:15 409:3	288:9 342:14
294:5,7 305:18,23	<b>2113</b> 304:8,8,14	<b>264</b> 264:15 315:1	343:8 408:16
306:1,2 307:16	344:4,9 345:8,11	316:13,15,17,18	<b>3/10</b> 418:22
311:16,19 319:22	345:25 346:3,5	317:14,16,17	460:24
331:12 333:3	347:4 360:6,16,21	318:3 319:24	<b>3/10/16</b> 450:6
336:2,15 340:13	361:13 362:7,21	<b>265</b> 264:16 316:14	<b>30</b> 290:17 291:18
347:11 348:3,6,8	363:7 364:3,14	316:15,19 319:8	291:22 292:8,9,11
348:12,15,18	368:16 369:6	<b>267</b> 263:5	292:12 338:21
355:5,7,10,13	411:3,11	<b>26th</b> 480:24	346:19 371:6
356:12,12 378:7	<b>22</b> 408:18 496:4,7	<b>27</b> 500:20 502:8	398:15 473:19,20
378:12 379:9,17	528:21 531:13	<b>270</b> 264:10	474:8,10,12,17,19
379:21 380:23	532:1,6,8	<b>274</b> 263:6	474:25,25 475:15
381:2 384:4,6,9,17	<b>235,870</b> 309:7	<b>27th</b> 498:15 502:2	475:25 476:1,5,11
393:5,9 394:2,9	<b>23rd</b> 502:20	<b>28</b> 494:3,8 499:3	<b>300,000</b> 434:11
395:18 402:9	508:15	508:20	<b>306</b> 264:14
403:18 411:14	<b>24</b> 306:7	<b>280</b> 486:18 487:5	<b>31</b> 534:21
412:14 419:24	<b>240,000</b> 363:2	488:13	<b>316</b> 264:15,16
420:1 421:10	<b>249</b> 353:13,15,19	<b>287</b> 264:11	<b>3196606</b> 259:24
440:22 441:16	354:2,6,23 356:24	<b>29</b> 297:5 480:22	<b>31st</b> 344:17 346:22
456:2,10,10	<b>25</b> 297:16,21	<b>293</b> 263:7	<b>32</b> 512:5 513:11
457:24 460:6	298:16,25 299:11	<b>294</b> 263:9	<b>32,000</b> 397:18
466:8 467:17	299:25 379:21	<b>29th</b> 515:10	<b>321</b> 263:10
472:11 507:15,18	435:24 529:20	<b>2:00</b> 462:17	<b>325</b> 263:11
507:22,25 508:3	<b>25,000</b> 397:23	<b>2b</b> 309:3 393:19	<b>33</b> 277:18
513:4	399:10 453:23	394:11,17 395:4	<b>33.05</b> 356:7,14
<b>2018</b> 296:9,25	<b>257</b> 316:10 357:9	395:14,16,17	<b>333</b> 263:13
305:19 309:2,16	<b>259</b> 259:25 357:10	409:16,24	<b>334</b> 263:15
310:9 354:25	357:13	3	<b>35</b> 283:21 290:5
356:12 360:12	<b>26</b> 306:7 486:10	<b>3</b> 287:23 329:13,14	342:13 343:15
378:2 408:15	495:13,24	329:15 365:2,4	354:16,19,20
455:10,25 458:2	<b>261</b> 264:10 270:21	367:10 418:21	385:15,16 386:9
472:11 500:18,21	270:25 275:11	428:1 430:2 432:3	386:13 387:12,13
501:21 502:8	280:11 304:11	432:5,18,20 433:3	387:17,18 388:11
504:6 507:16,23	342:6,8	433:17 436:13	388:23 433:25
507:25 509:15	<b>262</b> 264:11 286:13	437:8 466:4	434:5,22 437:5,9
512:16,25	287:14 293:14	<b>3,360,000</b> 434:6,24	440:25 441:10
<b>2019</b> 259:16	413:12,18 414:4	437:8	445:5,6 487:10
260:15 265:2		ט, <i>ו</i> נד	

## [36 - accounting]

<b>36</b> 267:16	492:11,20 497:6	<b>7.2</b> 356:1	<b>ability</b> 308:17
<b>360</b> 263:16	509:18	<b>7.4</b> 434:18	377:20 442:24
<b>362-7800</b> 262:17	<b>50</b> 320:5 358:5	<b>702</b> 261:6 262:9,17	496:7
4	371:1 399:16	<b>7085</b> 534:24	able 352:12 398:9
	412:9 517:14	<b>70s</b> 398:17	442:14 453:12
4 421:25 427:18	<b>500</b> 453:22	<b>768-0591</b> 261:21	461:21 462:1,2
430:25 431:1	<b>500,000</b> 427:12	<b>786-1001</b> 261:6	505:10,21 510:20
432:14 433:19	433:18	8	511:10,11,21
471:15,18 478:25	51 370:4,6		512:3 514:14,15
480:11,21,24	<b>519</b> 264:5	<b>8</b> 294:7 327:19,25	528:21
499:2 504:12,20	<b>52.7</b> 319:4 320:2	369:20,23 408:15	absence 431:2
505:9 507:12	<b>534</b> 259:25	411:14 420:11	435:17
519:20 528:6	<b>5:19</b> 516:2	421:10 428:5	absolute 309:7
531:12	6	476:7 486:9	459:21
<b>4,470,000</b> 383:23		504:13,20 507:12	absolutely 280:1
<b>4.5</b> 433:20,21,23	<b>6</b> 358:3 397:12	512:16 531:23 8/8/2017 287-20	406:4 473:13
463:2	434:7,8,22 481:10	<b>8/8/2017</b> 287:20	511:17
40 358:11,12	497:4 531:7,7	<b>80</b> 370:11,17	abstained 336:12
359:13 397:11,14	<b>6.2</b> 336:20 337:16	<b>80,000</b> 350:24	abundance 510:17
491:24	337:21 338:3,5	351:2	<b>abv</b> 479:8
<b>400</b> 260:17,17	340:20 370:6	800 277:16,24	accept 408:12
262:14,15	410:4 412:7	<b>89</b> 531:3,4	455:12 487:22
<b>400,000</b> 434:25	<b>6.2.</b> 370:1	<b>89145</b> 261:5 262:8	530:25
437:7,9	<b>60</b> 370:10,15,17	262:16	acceptable 432:6
<b>409</b> 263:17	412:10,11,14	8th 419:23 420:1	457:2
<b>413</b> 263:18	<b>616,693.87.</b> 355:20	9	accepted 273:6
<b>415</b> 263:20 <b>42nd</b> 261:18	<b>646</b> 261:21	<b>9</b> 358:5 397:13	282:23 344:15
	<b>65</b> 336:16 388:22	398:5 432:5 433:9	437:18
<b>438</b> 263:21 <b>45</b> 491:24	445:1,3,6	433:22 434:5,7,8	access 376:10
<b>45 49</b> 1:24 <b>47,660.50.</b> 464:1	6:00 533:24	434:22 435:8	410:23 482:8
<b>47,000.50.</b> 404.1 <b>475</b> 259:22 260:20	7	<b>9.6.</b> 434:19	485:15
263:22 534:25	7 259:3 260:3	<b>900,000</b> 469:14	<b>account</b> 409:18
<b>476</b> 263:23	380:7 419:14,16	471:7	455:9 456:23
<b>470</b> 205.25 <b>478</b> 264:4	419:18,20,21	<b>909-5487</b> 262:9	495:9
<b>478</b> 204:4 <b>48</b> 370:18	420:10,12 430:1	<b>95</b> 279:7	accountant 478:21
	449:22,23,24	<b>98</b> 319:14 320:2	accountants 479:5
5	462:10 463:22	<b>9:05</b> 260:16	accounted 368:16
<b>5</b> 342:20 372:21	469:7 472:5,10	a	407:10
373:25 374:7,13	476:23 481:7	<b>a.m.</b> 260:16	accounting 335:8
424:1 427:1,18	497:14	abilities 273:10	335:11,14 340:12
463:3 476:23			348:3 405:23

JA02200

# [accounting - ali]

478:16 505:20	activity 489:17	advantage 463:4	393:24,25 394:1,7
accredited 479:9	actual 388:2 430:9	advice 394:13,14	<b>agreeing</b> 307:9
479:10	466:18 471:5,17	affect 431:13	agreement 264:14
accuracy 279:20	472:2,4,9 489:17	440:12 447:6,8,10	278:23 299:14,15
279:25 280:4	490:15 496:18	448:6,7,16 472:11	299:18 306:5
451:20 461:6,10	514:9 523:14	473:21 474:4,11	311:16,19,25
463:15	actuality 490:23	475:1 476:6	318:4 320:20,23
accurate 272:9	add 266:17 283:8	<b>affiliated</b> 271:24	331:22 332:8
288:14 341:4	288:18 301:22	334:12 384:9	333:9 336:18
348:2 356:24	377:21,23 378:4,8	<b>affiliation</b> 334:17	337:6,10,16
374:24 375:8	378:13,19 437:9	335:3	340:21 349:6
405:1,5 406:5,13	added 279:12	afford 359:9	351:24 352:1
406:16 438:15	289:7	afforded 359:9	357:7,13,19,19
490:18	adding 289:14	<b>afield</b> 468:6	358:7,21 359:6
accurately 451:22	addition 310:8	aforementioned	363:8 366:3,15
462:2	485:11 508:1	308:23,24	368:16,23 369:2
<b>achieve</b> 486:1	additional 324:25	afraid 431:4	390:25 392:12,18
acquire 318:5	491:18 522:24	afternoon 438:3	392:20,21 394:2
410:5	528:13,17 529:14	agent 335:15	394:17,23 395:14
acquired 314:18	additions 331:22	394:12 395:15,17	395:23,25 396:20
346:13 428:20	332:8	395:20	408:19 409:17,21
acquisition 318:11	address 424:17	<b>ago</b> 275:17,17,18	410:1,4 433:24
324:7,13,15,19	497:11,16 498:3	360:6 400:21	448:24,25 449:8
352:9	513:13	416:1 461:23	449:10 495:15
acquisitions	addressed 265:15	462:19,20 498:12	527:4,6
381:12 526:5	481:11 483:10	512:5	agreements
acres 313:16,17	511:21,23	<b>agree</b> 276:25	310:24 311:4
398:7 422:4	addresses 488:8	288:8 291:21	409:4
act 395:15,19	489:1 505:5	292:17 301:21	<b>ahead</b> 270:15,19
acting 395:17	addressing 266:8	328:19,22,25	342:1 343:12
action 295:13	<b>ade</b> 388:7	329:4 349:17	344:3 347:1
349:3 489:4	adjusted 486:9	366:1 376:21	353:12 444:13
534:17,18	adjusting 486:6	385:25 387:25	481:7 497:21
actions 268:7	administration	395:20 396:8	509:2 510:9 530:5
339:17	478:18	408:13,19 410:3	aicpa 479:6,9
active 506:21	administrative	459:23 482:12	<b>air</b> 398:17 471:8
518:10	487:2	509:13	471:16
actively 348:18	admitted 270:16	agreed 298:9	airport 398:8,12
503:17 517:24	270:17 315:2	300:1 307:10	<b>akin</b> 523:18
518:8	382:9 422:24	309:10 351:15	<b>ali</b> 300:16 354:11
		385:19,23 389:19	

## [allegations - appraisal]

allegations 205.17	420.15 442.10	anthone 212.6.9.11	amplicable 405.1
allegations 295:17	429:15 443:19	anthem 312:6,8,11	<b>applicable</b> 495:1
<b>alleged</b> 295:16	475:1 521:13	312:13 485:7	505:13 526:18
360:15	524:8	512:2,11,16,17	<b>application</b> 482:4
allegedly 363:5	amounts 272:18	anticipated 482:11	490:3,9 494:5,25
alleging 471:20,20	272:19 289:18	482:18	505:11,20 506:13
allen 278:5 371:20	343:25 347:12	anticipating	507:18 517:8
371:21	397:8 406:7,9	345:13 389:13	518:21
allocate 388:2	489:3	anticipation 293:5	applied 470:4
allocated 388:22	ample 512:21	414:19	482:19,22 484:16
<b>allow</b> 302:4	analyses 519:16	anxious 344:14	486:5 492:25
315:13 316:11	analysis 459:13	anybody 271:24	494:3 526:21
397:6 465:12	481:10 483:12,16	274:13 293:3	<b>applies</b> 467:25
468:7 495:19	487:10 501:1,1,23	340:9 369:1	468:11 490:20
507:6 511:1,15	502:2,9 505:11	372:12	503:25 507:17
512:3 516:1,3	507:17 508:4	anymore 443:24	<b>apply</b> 454:7
<b>allowed</b> 305:20	518:23 520:3	anyway 532:7	481:22 501:24
315:12 486:19	533:8	apex 299:20 311:1	502:6 525:8,9,10
487:8 511:4,13	analysts 507:4	311:6,8,9,10,20	applying 490:13
533:3	announcement	349:12,13 357:7	490:18
<b>allows</b> 487:5	318:4	357:19,20,20,22	appointment
alternative 434:13	answer 297:19	357:24,25 358:10	414:8
491:10	320:18 337:20	396:23 397:16	appraisal 264:10
ambush 512:9	341:13 353:23	398:4	264:12 267:20
514:7,16	361:10 363:21	apologies 326:16	268:18,20 269:6
amenable 292:8	371:15 379:1	apologize 302:21	269:10,14,25
amended 385:22	396:1 406:1	305:23 434:1,8	270:3,11,13,15
amendments	429:23 430:6	532:19,21 533:16	271:2,9 272:4,7,19
333:5	445:22 446:16,24	apparently 354:24	272:20 273:20
american 259:1	446:25 459:5	370:7	274:1,5,6,9,25
260:1 479:4,11	460:15 461:21	<b>appear</b> 484:18	275:10 278:10,25
<b>amount</b> 309:6	462:1 465:12	485:12,20 491:19	279:2,11 280:11
312:19,21 323:14	467:2 471:21	appearances	280:19 282:9
323:14 324:9	475:13 498:19	261:11 262:1	285:9,9,21 287:6
342:17 343:15	499:13 526:14	265:7	287:23,24 288:1
344:5 345:11	answered 378:24	appeared 502:2	288:10 291:11
346:1 350:24	392:15 445:19,20	appearing 343:4	292:24 293:23
351:7,15 355:24	448:20 456:21	appears 481:11	303:15,23 338:24
356:21,23 358:19	499:8	533:8	339:3,15,24
394:16 398:7	answers 353:21	appendix 362:2,3	340:18,22 341:8
405:24 406:21	530:8	529:1	341:11 342:1,4
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
411:13 427:2			347:15,18 352:11

JA02202

371:5,8 396:11	504:1 523:25	293:10 294:4,10	533:22
402:1 410:5	524:7,13,16 525:9	294:13,15 295:22	arbitrator's 498:8
411:18	525:11	301:7,10,13,19	498:11
appraisals 268:13	appropriate	302:3 303:11	<b>area</b> 369:6 374:12
273:14 277:17,18	482:19	306:11 314:23	417:16 446:23
278:1,2,3 282:11	approval 344:11	315:11 316:8,11	526:5,6
282:20 521:15	496:8	317:6,17 320:13	argue 316:5
appraise 279:24	approved 291:4,5	322:1 324:24	493:15
338:22	291:9 368:18,25	327:22 328:3,18	argument 444:16
appraiser 267:22	374:2,4,6 410:16	330:5 333:13,18	498:1,5
268:10 338:12,18	457:23	342:3 343:6 346:4	argumentative
338:22,22 339:3	approving 368:25	346:10,16,20	305:5
341:4 479:10	approximate	347:1 354:5 357:6	arguments 446:21
521:12,14 524:15	355:24	357:10 359:18,20	515:3
appraisers 267:18	approximately	360:15 369:9,12	arithmetic 391:2
268:1 410:16	267:15 268:19,25	373:11 378:25	<b>arizona</b> 313:7,22
479:11 521:16	269:24 275:14,16	380:1,3 382:3,11	426:3
appreciable	279:7 288:17	392:16 394:5	arranged 339:22
456:11	289:12 305:11	408:6 413:9,16	345:9
appreciate 266:6	319:3 320:5	415:1,4,6 420:12	arrangement
440:20 450:21	345:22 346:13,21	422:10,11 423:12	309:15
462:3,5 465:15	348:10 384:2	423:23 424:2,8,15	arrived 454:20
approach 481:19	396:10 427:18	430:19,23 431:6	467:13
481:19,20,21,21	479:18 480:6	431:15,20 437:24	arriving 456:1
482:4,9,10 483:19	499:25 523:1	443:17 445:25	<b>art</b> 366:22
483:24 484:6,10	531:13	453:25 456:24	<b>article</b> 264:15
484:18,19,22,22	approximation	459:1 460:11	315:10 316:18,22
484:23 485:1,23	312:20	466:20,21,24	319:23 382:6,9
491:7 493:1	april 354:24 379:9	468:7,25 469:3	430:7,11
502:13,14,24,24	379:17,20	473:14,24 475:5	<b>articles</b> 315:7,8
502:25 503:2,6,12	arabia 398:15	475:10 476:19,22	421:8 430:4
504:3,4,8,9 505:12	arbitration 259:1	477:21 478:11,24	artificially 488:6,6
505:23 506:18	260:1,14 268:23	480:16 488:10	asa 479:10
508:2 518:2,18,19	402:12 438:14	492:17 497:2	<b>aside</b> 401:16
518:21 520:22	arbitrator 259:15	498:14 506:16	asked 284:9
523:22 524:3,4,5	261:1 263:18,23	507:6 508:6	297:10 326:2
524:12,12 525:7	265:4,14 266:4,5	509:11 510:6,9	339:21 340:2
approached	266:16,20,23	512:7 514:18	378:24 384:20
493:19	267:2 284:10	515:2,24 516:11	385:6 392:15
approaches	286:3,8,13,18,22	516:22 518:25	403:6 405:17
481:16,18 502:23	287:1,3,7,11 292:1	532:14 533:2,19	411:21 424:14

445:19 447:3	asset 283:15	448:10,22 449:3,6	384:9,17 402:9
448:20 456:20	342:13 343:16	458:18 464:3,7	403:18 408:15
466:1 481:3	383:19,19 393:9	466:7 469:21	411:14 412:14
498:16 515:6,11	410:12,19 428:10	473:18 476:14	413:22,24 414:11
519:10	461:13 464:19,23	assumed 487:24	419:23 420:1
asking 283:1,3	469:21,23 470:1,2	assuming 490:17	421:10 428:5
289:14 299:5	474:24 481:19,21	507:15,17	440:22 441:16
305:15 317:8	482:4 483:24	assumptions	456:2 460:6
323:16 329:3	484:6,19,22,22	466:16 488:3	467:17 476:7
363:9,10 366:17	485:1,3 502:24	assured 464:18	507:15,17,22,25
366:18,20 367:6	503:6,10 518:19	attached 365:14	508:3
373:9 375:4,5,6	520:22,23 521:1,4	attain 267:24	authenticity
377:14 379:13,24	521:6 524:5,12	<b>attempt</b> 437:11	315:18 316:1
380:15 400:2	assets 264:11	attention 317:25	382:7
405:20 408:16	272:15,22,23	347:8 351:18	authored 455:4
411:7 421:18	273:4,10 281:1	357:12 370:1	authorized 308:18
445:17 447:9	283:8,21 287:20	371:7 393:11	authorship 293:18
451:10 465:7,8,14	290:4 307:22	405:13 410:21	<b>autumn</b> 306:4
472:8 473:5 475:8	320:10,16 342:12	496:20 500:2	available 379:16
506:4 514:2,5	347:14,16,24	509:18	395:22 474:19
532:4	373:5,7,9 428:13	attest 280:3,4	506:2 507:21,22
asks 275:24 317:8	432:20,21 435:5,6	attorney 369:3	<b>avenue</b> 301:16
318:7 326:9 353:9	451:14,16 452:4	534:18	349:8
356:18	465:22 466:2,3	attorneys 265:15	<b>average</b> 433:15
aspect 445:13	469:20 470:3	265:17,22 266:12	averaged 374:13
aspects 391:6	473:20 474:14	295:13 368:18	<b>award</b> 368:16
assertion 356:5	503:8,9 521:22	<b>attract</b> 429:20	aware 311:6,14
assess 458:8	522:11	443:6	379:5,8,13,15,19
487:23	assist 271:25	<b>audit</b> 340:25 407:1	379:24 380:6,10
assessed 485:20	273:20 339:24	407:5 451:2,4	380:22 381:2
assessing 526:13	340:17 341:7	461:11	402:6,9,19 403:17
assessment 349:17	assisted 270:11	august 269:7	524:17 526:12,23
403:4 451:6	426:1	272:7 274:12	526:24
482:22 484:15	assisting 526:4,7	277:4,9 290:10,10	b
485:6,7 486:3	associated 335:22	290:11 291:3,6	<b>b</b> 267:12 303:13
488:11 491:3,19	486:3	294:5,6 305:22	386:12
503:4 504:9	association 259:1	306:1 307:15	<b>b.a.</b> 415:23
508:17 517:16	260:1 479:7	311:16 336:15	baba 300:17
522:15	assume 317:3	347:10 348:3,6,8	354:11
assessments 485:2	318:13 330:23	348:15,18 355:5,7	<b>bachelor</b> 478:16
	348:23 444:7	355:10,13 384:3,6	

[back - basically]

	1	1	
<b>back</b> 266:19 277:6	371:22 374:17,18	423:12,23 424:2,8	balaouras 303:7
298:14 320:19	374:24 375:7	424:15 430:19,23	313:1,2,3 314:15
323:11 325:25	376:18 385:7,21	431:6,15,20	315:4 317:3
334:19,20 350:25	395:12 401:19,22	437:24 443:17	318:11,18 422:2,4
359:22 362:4,6	409:7 412:13	445:25 453:25	422:4 425:4,5,10
363:5 367:9	424:10,14 454:16	456:24 459:1	425:25 426:11
368:10 369:12,16	454:18	460:11 466:20,21	452:6 454:3
378:16,17,22,22	<b>bady's</b> 301:24	466:24 468:7,25	ballpark 399:22
393:7 402:8	349:14 409:5	469:3 473:14,24	<b>bank</b> 344:23
405:13 430:7	<b>bahri</b> 295:7,11,14	475:5,10 476:19	375:24 376:2,4,6
433:12,14 434:18	295:17,18 296:3	476:22 477:21	376:10,11
441:23 464:8	297:16,21,24	478:11,24 492:17	<b>banker</b> 429:11
465:18 471:25	298:2,9,17,25	497:2 498:14	bankers 375:19
495:15,17 506:9	299:6,17	506:16 507:6	bankruptcy
506:15 512:15,16	baker 259:15	508:6 509:11	459:20
512:25 516:18	261:2,3 263:18,23	510:6,9 512:7	<b>banks</b> 375:22
520:21 531:12	265:4,14 266:4,5	514:18 515:2,24	376:7,9,14
533:5,13	266:16,20,23	516:11,22 518:25	bargained 389:11
background	267:2 284:10	532:14 533:2,19	<b>bars</b> 278:19
267:13 335:11,14	286:3,8,13,18,22	533:22	base 270:12
415:22 416:3	287:1,3,7,11 292:1	<b>bal</b> 422:1	447:14 448:2
417:22 426:7	293:10 294:4,10	<b>balance</b> 270:5,13	490:8 516:24
478:14	294:13,15 295:22	270:14 272:12,15	<b>based</b> 273:8 281:6
backup 275:9	301:7,10,13,19	272:24 273:17	332:17,18 342:18
<b>bad</b> 305:3 530:10	302:3 303:11	283:11 284:3,7	351:12 352:9
badgering 445:20	306:11 314:23	285:5,13,14,19,21	382:12 396:8
<b>bady</b> 262:21 263:8	315:11 316:8,11	288:3 289:25	422:17 428:15
294:17,19,24	317:6,17 320:13	290:7 294:6,10	430:5,8 436:6,22
295:6 297:3,14	322:1 324:24	302:13 340:2	463:2 464:17
298:24 300:6	327:22 328:3,18	341:21 344:1	469:19 470:18
301:17 303:15	330:5 333:13,18	380:11 382:22,25	497:9,22 498:8
304:17 305:7	342:3 343:6 346:4	383:1,4,12 401:16	503:3 507:4
306:9,16 307:6	346:10,16,20	414:15,23 421:9	510:15 511:16
310:23 312:2	347:1 357:6,10	421:10,18,20,21	532:22
314:4 317:13	359:18,20 360:15	422:23,25 423:1,3	<b>basic</b> 416:11
320:3,15,19 321:6	369:9,12 373:11	433:2,4 436:6,17	basically 288:24
325:4 326:25	378:25 380:1,3	450:16 451:7,19	335:17 405:22
328:8,23 329:11	382:3,11 392:16	460:24 461:3	470:25 486:6,18
331:1 332:25	394:5 408:6 413:9	463:7 469:10,25	487:5,21 489:21
336:10,14 337:1	413:16 415:1,4,6	522:13	490:6 493:11
344:19,23 364:6,9	420:12 422:10,11		494:13 495:5

503:2,6 532:21,22	377:6 386:5	<b>bid</b> 344:8,10,15,16	517:21
basing 430:7	404:25 418:9	<b>big</b> 397:16,19	<b>bought</b> 280:21
447:22 471:17	424:10,12 425:5	456:8 494:1	476:2
<b>basis</b> 274:6 374:9	425:15 434:18	<b>bill</b> 312:16,18	boulevard 344:9
382:11 420:7,16	438:15 439:2,25	350:21	354:18,20 362:21
420:19 429:23	443:3 449:11	<b>binder</b> 480:12	364:14
430:15 440:13	450:5 457:8	502:19	<b>box</b> 505:1 506:24
441:2,25 447:17	468:15 475:5	<b>bio</b> 425:6,13,14	509:21
447:21 470:6	476:24 483:17,23	426:8	boycott 513:5
484:8 513:8	483:24 487:22	bioceutical 380:18	break 286:8,9,11
<b>bates</b> 331:24 332:2	488:5 495:1 498:4	380:23 381:20	287:12,13 306:12
332:4	498:14 499:8	<b>bit</b> 275:3 287:22	314:24 359:20,21
baynard 261:17	502:20 505:24	319:17 359:23	360:2 369:8,10,11
<b>bcp</b> 259:3 260:3	511:24,24 520:16	382:19 396:22	408:7,8 437:22,25
bear 295:1 314:2	527:3,15 528:22	399:14 400:8	473:11,12,14,15
386:1 387:22	529:2 530:23	439:9 455:19	532:15,16
bears 531:25	<b>believed</b> 489:10	471:11 487:13	breaker 278:4
<b>began</b> 335:3 466:9	<b>bell</b> 449:5	512:8 515:13	brian 375:14
beginning 305:18	belly 298:22	<b>blanket</b> 497:18	396:6,7,15 397:22
330:9 416:9,17	<b>belt</b> 498:2	<b>bleed</b> 369:7	529:17 530:20
531:7,8	beneficial 465:25	<b>blue</b> 354:12,13	brief 267:13
<b>behalf</b> 309:10	benefit 359:5	<b>board</b> 318:14	335:13 491:4
335:6 339:14	389:3 390:3,3	334:24	513:7 516:22
347:5 360:21	391:4,8	<b>bold</b> 319:13	532:13
361:23 362:9	benefits 390:17	bolstering 471:19	briefly 265:15
396:3 413:5 438:4	<b>best</b> 428:17 435:20	book 271:8,15	483:23 492:9
<b>belief</b> 332:24	436:20 438:18	275:4,5 280:24	504:18,23
378:4,7 438:18	442:18 458:8,19	418:10,12 428:11	bring 288:20
525:16	458:22 459:2,4,10	428:12 435:6	418:4 435:8
believe 268:3	459:12 460:14	458:7,9 465:17	442:15,17
269:12 275:16,17	482:18 524:8	470:1,2	bringing 442:22
276:11 294:16	betcha 439:5	<b>booked</b> 343:25	broken 486:23
300:18 302:24	<b>better</b> 308:10	bookkeeping	503:12
307:3 313:9	455:18,20 456:13	405:23	broker 268:15,16
314:11,13 315:11	458:14 459:8	<b>boston</b> 415:24	278:3
321:8 323:24	461:22 482:4	<b>bottom</b> 285:17	brokering 375:24
324:21 326:25	489:10 494:17	332:11 341:20	<b>brokers</b> 267:17
327:16,24 328:4,8	<b>beyond</b> 295:19	342:12 362:19,24	375:20 376:16
328:15 329:6,24	443:14 453:24	362:25 363:1	brooks 349:8
331:14 344:17	460:8 466:15	396:3 472:17	<b>brought</b> 414:11
356:23 362:12	501:9 505:2 510:4	488:21 505:1	513:16

[bucket - case]

	428 22 420 14 20	280.22	201 1 40( 22
bucket 517:21	428:22 429:14,20	calculating 280:23	381:1 406:22
build 325:7,13,21	440:22,23 442:1	280:24 282:2	407:15,24 422:5
325:24 349:2,7	443:13 444:22	calculation 289:10	426:3 430:4
357:18 358:20	448:12 456:16,17	310:3 347:21	439:14,17 457:13
364:10,16 387:1,4	457:4 458:6,16	485:21 495:21,24	457:14 468:1
397:2,5,13,13,23	467:15,15 468:1	calculations 439:7	506:21,25 522:18
398:19,20 399:5	468:18 478:17	439:8	522:21
399:11 413:1	479:9,15,19,24	calculator 289:8	<b>cap</b> 367:16,17
444:23 446:7	485:14 486:23	calendar 277:6,9	510:2 511:22
491:10,15 527:21	490:12,12,14,16	293:20	516:25 517:13
building 345:19	490:19,24 497:10	calif 259:23	capital 386:18,23
357:23 360:18,19	505:14 521:13	534:25	387:9,14,15,20
360:25 361:5	businesses 267:18	california 268:1	388:10,13 391:20
363:16,18 368:14	267:19 268:19	313:8 426:3	391:21,22,25
373:19 399:2	278:4,19 308:17	478:22 479:25	392:2,3 397:2
400:2,7 528:1	313:21,21 386:19	call 267:2 276:23	398:5 429:2 442:9
buildings 357:23	426:3 523:18	277:13 357:18	442:11,14 443:12
358:2,18 359:7	butell 278:5	359:21 396:23	443:20 444:6,8,9
364:12,17 399:21	371:20,21,24	405:14 425:10	458:7,17 460:5
<b>builds</b> 488:15	372:5,9	429:2 490:24	464:11 491:23
<b>built</b> 373:20,20	<b>buy</b> 269:11 292:12	498:16 519:5	capitalization
398:13	292:17 344:25	called 267:5	283:19 483:8
<b>bullet</b> 318:10,25	470:8 495:15,17	275:21,25 294:20	514:19
319:2	<b>buyer</b> 291:17,18	312:5 314:5,8	<b>caps</b> 367:22
business 267:17	292:9,12 467:14	334:3 339:20	career 416:9
267:18,22 268:1	476:5,13	380:17 406:19,21	carry 370:12
268:10 273:13	buying 299:22	415:11 448:25	389:8
276:4 278:3,14,22	380:24 381:12	461:12 478:3	carve 365:19
279:1,6,23,24	<b>buys</b> 292:7	526:25 527:1	<b>case</b> 259:6 260:6
281:20,23 282:8	с	calling 276:17,19	263:13 265:6,21
282:13,14,22	<b>c</b> 415:20	calls 328:1	270:4 272:13
283:4,5,8,15,18	<b>c.v.</b> 275:8 292:25	canacort 376:4	282:5,6 295:11
291:11,18,19,22	calculate 281:4	canada 376:6	296:3 297:15
291:23 292:7,8,16	283:2 341:1	canadian 380:18	301:24 317:2
292:18 305:3	394:15 467:9	380:19,23 381:1	333:17,21 377:17
308:14 320:25	519:10	381:11,20	377:23 378:1
347:13 375:20	<b>calculated</b> 284:23	cannabis 277:20	402:1 419:11,13
376:17 387:21,21	463:7 484:21	277:22 290:21	424:7 432:13
387:23 388:4,5,10	502:3	291:2,7 302:18	434:4 436:13
388:17 405:6	<b>calculates</b> 493:3	313:6,21 318:24	437:18 438:8,9
410:19 417:10,20	calculates 493.5	375:21,25 376:16	440:3,8 442:12,22

443:4 447:15	certainly 402:20	<b>checks</b> 330:10	411:22 434:25
448:7,11 449:14	certainty 429:18	<b>chief</b> 263:13	437:6,10 450:25
449:16,19 452:2	certificate 260:19	333:21 405:17	453:19 472:20
458:22 459:12,13	416:2 534:1	<b>choose</b> 315:18	473:1
459:13,22 465:5	certification	524:11	clauretie 263:19
467:3 480:19	267:24 268:4	<b>chose</b> 401:25	300:7,25 301:7,18
482:18,18 488:23	478:20	430:18	302:1,7,15,23
491:9 496:5,6	certified 260:19	<b>chris</b> 375:12	303:6 323:17,20
500:9,16 512:11	267:22 268:10	circumstance	382:17,17,20
515:11 517:8	417:4,19,21	432:9 442:21	393:8 400:9,15,16
519:22 530:24	478:21 479:2,4,5,7	459:11,19	400:22 401:7
533:17	479:8 534:3	circumstances	402:21 415:8,10
<b>cases</b> 480:1 499:23	<b>certify</b> 534:4,16	280:23 281:10	415:16,17,18,21
<b>cash</b> 283:16	<b>cetera</b> 446:8 487:4	432:8 457:6,7	438:3 439:4
344:21,23 388:10	<b>cfe</b> 479:6	<b>city</b> 344:13 374:12	449:13 450:23
397:7 405:6 406:6	<b>cff</b> 479:5	<b>claim</b> 266:9 532:5	452:14 455:8
406:7,9,18 431:3	<b>cfo</b> 334:16,20,23	claimant 274:24	456:6 457:2,11
432:14,16 440:15	335:5	294:20 471:20	458:5,15 460:17
452:4 469:23	chairman 318:14	claimant's 381:6	462:22 463:19
470:10,13,18	challenge 499:1	claimed 422:19	464:7 466:8
471:1 493:2,2	challenged 316:1	447:15	467:20 473:17
495:9 522:14	<b>chance</b> 317:13	<b>claims</b> 265:18	clauretie's 301:23
casualty 361:5	356:2 515:15	266:10	422:15
<b>cause</b> 487:7	<b>change</b> 266:14	clarification	<b>clause</b> 325:20,23
causes 295:13	274:9 283:4	275:24 318:7	<b>clear</b> 283:1 286:19
493:22	288:11 296:24	326:9 351:1 353:9	286:22 293:14
<b>caution</b> 510:17	333:6,9 443:9	356:18 412:5	316:9 369:19
ccr 259:22 534:25	445:8 446:9 447:1	476:19 528:23	431:16 446:1
<b>ceiling</b> 366:12	447:6 456:10	<b>clarify</b> 413:17	469:1
<b>cell</b> 277:14,14	457:9 498:13	469:23	clearing 501:10
<b>cents</b> 319:14 320:2	504:10 532:6	clarity 440:20	<b>clearly</b> 316:23
<b>ceo</b> 317:3 318:13	changed 288:10	444:15 472:6	448:5 510:14
certain 310:24	385:23 508:14	clark 307:21 308:3	<b>click</b> 530:10
311:4 331:3	changes 456:11	308:5,13,15,25	<b>client</b> 408:4
339:23 341:9	characteristics	310:25 311:5	521:20
412:18 440:18,25	482:3 492:2	320:21 322:17	<b>client's</b> 521:19
456:16 457:6	<b>charge</b> 349:22	343:16 349:5	clients 522:19
464:17 474:25	<b>chart</b> 362:4,16,18	357:20 358:12,15	<b>close</b> 312:24
486:17,19 488:12	<b>check</b> 329:21	358:23 359:5,8,12	318:14 356:17,20
488:19 493:6	331:4,7,11 382:7	380:13,13 386:19	369:7 401:4
496:8		407:8,18,19,21	405:23 484:4,5,18

## [closed - concern]

<b>closed</b> 494:25	commence 365:8	351:13 352:13	compared 427:14
<b>closer</b> 433:19	429:13 442:6	355:3 357:7	427:15
494:23	commencing	363:17 380:17	comparing 489:14
coasts 413:5	260:15 309:2,16	382:24 410:13	496:4
<b>code</b> 387:10	365:7	428:14,15 429:5	comparison
collective 351:9	commentary	429:16 432:7	489:18 524:7
collectively 365:15	528:21	436:6 439:14,17	compatible 517:5
367:16,18	<b>common</b> 319:4	440:9,11 441:25	compelled 466:22
<b>college</b> 267:15	community	442:24 444:11	compensation
415:24	267:15	447:25 448:5,6,8	335:16
columns 354:9	companies 277:20	448:17 451:8,12	competitors
combination	277:22 313:18	459:3,11 464:19	488:24
494:9 523:16	335:20 381:1,1,11	464:23 465:22,25	compiling 348:13
527:8	448:3,15 483:18	468:17,18 469:22	complaints 398:10
<b>combine</b> 376:21	486:14,18 487:11	472:20 473:1	complete 505:6
377:10	491:14 492:1	475:2,15 482:5	completed 349:3
combined 318:13	499:17 503:16,18	483:4,6 484:2	358:2 368:12
336:13 377:7	504:25 506:4,5,7	485:17 490:23	379:11 413:4
<b>come</b> 299:14	506:20,22,23	491:12,22 492:2,6	completely 462:1
325:25 342:16	507:2,11,21	493:4 494:15,16	493:13
344:20 346:8	509:22,22,24	494:17,19 495:2,6	completion 534:13
356:17,20 357:19	510:2 514:12,14	495:7 502:6 503:3	<b>complex</b> 414:17
359:22 363:18	514:20 516:24	503:7,14,16,20,22	complied 337:17
424:20,20 434:5	517:2,7,17,18,22	503:24,25 504:16	complying 338:3
435:17 436:4	518:9,12 523:19	504:24 506:17	component 444:5
437:7,13 456:16	523:24 525:20	507:19 517:3,14	components
457:3 464:25	526:13,20	517:25 518:5,8	485:24
470:19 471:25	company 259:8	523:2 527:1	compounding
485:3 511:13	260:9 273:3,7	company's 324:1	496:3
521:1,4,6	281:10,13,16,19	340:10 517:13	comprised 452:16
<b>comes</b> 437:2	281:22 298:16,18	comparable	computer 272:6
471:13 506:15	299:3,22,25	453:14 454:5	296:8 386:6
513:15	300:23 303:16,19	483:18 503:17	393:12 530:4
comfortable	304:17,24 305:1	517:5 518:4,6	concentrated
451:20	313:13,23 314:4,8	comparables	416:17
<b>coming</b> 315:17	314:13,14 318:13	283:17 477:14	<b>concern</b> 281:16
345:13 346:6	320:21 335:3,8,9	504:5,8 507:12	429:21 432:15
390:4 455:5	337:17 338:6,22	517:25	435:10,19 436:6
513:14	340:15 341:10	comparative	436:19 437:13
<b>comma</b> 283:25	345:8 347:16	489:16	440:14 442:1
284:2	348:6 350:16,23		445:10 446:10

447:10 459:4,7,8	375:7 393:18	constitute 517:2	444:19,20,24,25
459:17 469:19	450:24,25 451:24	517:23	446:5,20 464:8,9
470:7,21 471:24	451:25 461:6,9	constructed 359:7	464:15,17,22
concerned 346:15	463:15 521:21	construction	465:3,3,5
concerning 315:3	confirmed 265:11	426:2	contracted 308:2
371:13	265:12,13 375:10	consultation	contracting
concerns 482:21	confused 407:12	275:22 276:1,3	444:21
508:10	confusion 286:18	consultations	contracts 367:21
concluded 429:10	conjunction 361:9	277:18	465:24
conclusion 328:2	connection 299:17	consulting 526:5	contractual 444:9
427:23 429:17	318:11 326:3	<b>cont'd</b> 262:1 264:1	contrary 422:22
440:7 445:9 446:9	402:12 529:17	<b>contact</b> 269:19	contrast 503:21
447:7,21 451:21	consensus 341:11	273:25 274:13	contribute 299:17
456:1 470:19	consider 265:21	302:20 352:15	527:22
473:21	431:22,24 470:17	contacted 269:13	contributing
conclusions	485:12,20 491:19	276:6,25 344:19	405:19
430:20,21 501:23	503:8 515:1	<b>contain</b> 275:6,9	contributions
concomitantly	516:20 524:15	contained 279:21	465:25 527:23
408:23	consideration	430:21 438:16	<b>control</b> 494:4,10
<b>concrete</b> 399:1,21	266:20 308:22	477:9 480:21	494:13,18,23
condition 487:23	373:8 389:21	contains 275:5	495:3 499:2
488:4	421:4 485:4 490:3	432:20 520:1	508:21
conditioning	491:1 502:23	contemplated	controlled 494:17
398:18	505:14 518:18	304:14 322:21	controlling 376:12
conditions 321:18	525:22	347:20 354:22	controls 406:15
353:4 409:13	considerations	356:11 358:3	conversation
412:19 413:6	481:11,12 483:11	397:19	266:8 402:20
487:20	485:10 533:9	contents 274:1	441:7 454:10,13
conducted 269:7	considered 341:21	<b>context</b> 329:12	454:19,22 455:2
501:11,23	455:25 482:19	continuation	515:25
conducting 508:4	484:1 501:4,21	265:4	conversations
526:2	521:9	continue 281:20	302:22 371:13
<b>confer</b> 408:4	considering	347:13 353:6	372:4 401:19,21
confidence 406:25	483:24	429:13,14 432:15	528:15
confidential	consistency 421:1	440:15 442:11,15	conveyed 274:8
278:21	421:3	443:12,23 458:7	352:21,23
confidentiality	consistent 329:8	470:23,24 519:18	<b>copied</b> 288:23
278:16,23 279:1	329:24 330:13	533:3	<b>copies</b> 286:7
confirm 265:8	420:20 488:1	continued 353:2,3	<b>copy</b> 285:5,13,14
279:16,24 288:13	<b>constant</b> 493:9,10	contract 280:12	286:12 287:12
315:17 374:23		309:21 310:16	293:23 295:3

[copy - csr]

342:2	378:14 380:11	correlated 406:20	<b>court</b> 260:19
<b>cord</b> 266:19	383:25 384:1,4,5,7	406:24	268:23 275:24
corollary 490:11	384:10,12,23,24	correlation 380:16	318:7 322:8,12
corporate 267:16	384:25 385:9,12	517:6	326:9 346:2 353:9
319:9 429:2	386:22 387:2,5,18	corresponding	356:18 368:19
corporation 270:5	388:2,17 389:2,5,9	488:9	416:22 417:4
271:13 275:7	389:10,12 390:5,7	<b>cost</b> 329:20 358:3	430:6
380:18,23 381:20	390:8,24 391:1,8	364:16 397:12,13	<b>cover</b> 360:1
416:14	396:11,12 400:4	398:5,20 399:4,11	499:13
corporations	400:10 402:10,17	399:19 400:2	covered 490:2
335:20	402:22,25 404:10	486:20 487:6	<b>cowan</b> 376:2,2
<b>correct</b> 266:25	406:10,14 408:14	527:20 531:11	<b>cpa</b> 405:22 416:2
272:13,14 273:5	408:23 409:8,9	<b>costs</b> 350:21	478:21
274:17 279:17	410:6,7,9 411:15	358:19 400:5	<b>create</b> 376:22
280:20 283:25	413:19 414:20,21	486:24 528:1,2	created 345:9
284:2 285:18	418:8,19,23 419:1	<b>counsel</b> 261:11	389:14
288:12 289:1,6,22	419:7,11 420:2	262:1 265:8	creates 496:3
289:23 290:1,2,12	425:7 426:4 427:8	337:20 352:17,18	credentials 271:12
293:16,17 294:2	427:24 428:6,7	371:13,19 462:11	292:25
297:25 299:1	433:4 434:9,21	462:14,15 499:10	<b>credit</b> 344:22
301:9,12 303:24	435:5,7,14,15	530:23	credited 387:13
304:1,3,15 307:3,4	436:2 438:14	<b>counter</b> 517:18	411:12
307:11,19 308:12	439:18,19 448:19	counting 362:19	<b>credits</b> 267:16
308:25 309:1,5,11	450:12 451:15	<b>county</b> 374:11	criteria 518:11
311:20 319:24	454:16,17,20	380:13,14 390:16	criticism 525:17
320:7 323:9 327:4	460:1,12 464:4	397:20 411:22	criticisms 501:25
331:14 333:6,7,10	466:6 467:10	434:25 437:6	523:15
333:11 342:14,15	473:10 476:7,10	453:19,20 472:20	<b>crop</b> 408:2,2
349:15 351:3	476:13,15 477:7	473:2	<b>cross</b> 263:6,10,16
355:3 358:24	477:10 481:13	couple 292:19	263:18,21,23
361:14 363:6,16	483:22 492:13	321:7 369:18	264:5 274:19
363:19,19,23	510:13 515:23	413:10,17 421:22	275:23 297:12
364:3,4,7,10,14,15	519:8,9,16,22	447:23 461:22	321:4 330:25
364:17,18 366:3	520:3 523:7	470:3 483:2,5	360:3 370:21
366:14,21 367:22	525:14	495:12,25 532:14	413:8 437:23
367:23 368:5	corrections 519:11	course 313:21	438:1 476:21
370:24,25 371:3,4	correctly 279:10	366:13 448:16	499:7 513:15
371:9,10 372:21	284:21 308:19	449:12 512:4	519:1
372:22,24,25	341:23 365:17	520:3 525:19	<b>crux</b> 498:5
373:2,3 376:18,23	394:23 469:11	526:19	csr 259:23 534:25
378:2,3,5,6,8,12	477:1 520:21,24		

# [cultivate - deemed]

aultimate 120.21	200.16 22 200.22	165.1	474.25 475.25
cultivate 428:21	388:16,22 389:22	465:4	474:25 475:25
<b>cultivation</b> 318:24	389:22 390:7,10	data 435:21	476:11 512:5
320:6,11 324:7,10	390:21 391:5,16	456:16 457:3	513:11
324:19 325:7,22	392:12 394:20	472:9 477:18	<b>de</b> 448:13 460:2,3
330:16 331:2	404:2 405:19	521:19 524:8	460:6
332:15 343:13,19	411:10,11 437:5,9	database 517:7	deadline 455:5
343:23 348:17,19	527:16,22,25	date 277:7 319:21	deal 298:6,7 299:6
349:3,11 354:9	<b>cw's</b> 309:4 310:8	319:21,23 331:3	300:1 305:1 392:7
358:22 372:23	325:4 364:9	331:13 338:23	531:10
375:1 377:1	406:13	346:20 349:19	dealing 295:17
379:15 380:6	cwnevada 349:25	352:1 365:8	deals 375:24
381:25 384:22	353:2,6 395:2	368:15 395:6	dealt 402:4 485:5
385:9 387:5	396:4 527:1 528:9	396:8 401:23	<b>debt</b> 323:10 347:9
390:16,18 391:13	531:1	411:18,18 419:5	347:10 358:13,16
397:3,23 398:4,21	<b>cwnv</b> 283:21 290:5	455:4,14 456:17	359:3,4,10,11
406:22 425:19	306:6 322:25	457:3 474:15,20	397:9
426:13 434:10	323:2 329:21	480:20 481:22	debts 323:11,12,13
440:24 444:23	331:4 332:17	483:20 485:19	363:11
453:18,22,23	342:13 349:23	494:19 500:18,20	december 322:4
468:19 528:3	383:19 384:23	501:4,12,14,14,22	344:17 346:22
cultivations 456:9	386:14 388:20	502:5 505:4,16,17	348:11 498:15
<b>curious</b> 311:24	391:17,18 392:9	507:15 514:24	500:18,20 501:21
current 340:2	394:25 395:2,13	534:19	502:1,8 505:7
341:5 342:19	403:18,23 404:2	dated 290:11	509:3,15 513:3
508:16	405:19,22 408:11	294:5,6 296:8	515:4,9 524:23,24
currently 265:19	411:1 412:21,24	501:20 502:1	533:9
499:21	413:2 432:25	509:15 534:21	decide 516:5,19
customarily	433:10,22 434:1	dates 350:18 427:1	decided 341:16,24
269:25 273:6	449:8,10 450:25	daughter 375:15	345:24
<b>cut</b> 448:8	513:18 527:9,11	david 261:16,22	decides 291:17
<b>cw</b> 300:16 308:3	527:13	274:23 285:22	deciding 341:23
308:14,16 309:2	<b>cwnv's</b> 393:3	438:4	decision 305:4
310:9,10,13	527:14	day 290:17,18	447:10 498:15
323:13 328:23	d	347:13,13 388:16	516:19 525:7
331:3 332:16	<b>d</b> 259:21 260:18	388:19 461:20	533:15
361:23 362:8	<b>u</b> 239:21 200:18 334:11	474:17 476:6,8	decline 456:8
363:10,15 364:16	damage 345:19	495:9 498:20	<b>deduct</b> 388:7
365:8 368:7,9	361:5 363:13	days 338:21	486:19,20 487:5,9
371:25 372:1,2	488:11 497:10	344:18 346:9,19	<b>deem</b> 483:19
376:2 385:8		371:6 462:19,20	<b>deemed</b> 484:15
386:17 387:7	damages 360:15	473:19 474:10,12	497:17 498:7,8,10
	360:18 442:23		

[deems - discretion]

dooms 504.7	donnessed 110.10	186.1 102.17	dimont 262.5015
deems 504:7	depressed 448:12	486:4 493:17	direct 263:5,9,15
defendant 262:2	depresses 448:3	501:5 505:15	263:20 264:4
defendants 259:10	<b>derivation</b> 482:21	509:14	267:8 294:22
260:10	490:15,17 491:17	determines 503:2	317:25 334:6
define 299:2 458:9	494:8,24	503:18,24	370:1 371:7
<b>defined</b> 366:2,20	derived 273:3	determining 273:7	393:11 394:4
366:24 367:24,25	469:10 501:24	376:17 410:12	405:13 415:14
368:1,3 387:10	517:8	435:13,14 441:24	438:6 462:4 478:5
definitely 405:9	describe 503:1	453:7 465:17,20	530:3
447:1,6	described 308:15	detract 521:7	directed 529:20
definition 283:3,5	365:14 392:8,13	develop 444:22,22	directing 506:3
366:1,25	392:20	development	direction 438:24
definitions 476:3	describes 392:17	365:11 366:9	534:10
definitive 318:4	description 264:9	426:2 446:7 448:9	disappears 493:14
deglajon 375:13	designated 271:18	dfmklaw.com	disburse 409:24
demolished	523:8 525:25	261:22	disciplinary 268:7
345:17	designation 479:3	diamond 354:12	disclaimer 280:6,7
demolition 360:18	designed 398:14	354:14	disclosure 497:24
363:14	467:9	<b>diego</b> 268:1	disclosures 289:4
demonstrated	destroyed 345:24	difference 388:1	discount 485:22
488:2 505:9	346:15	420:23 428:13	486:5,8,10,12
demonstration	detail 313:19	469:25 503:9	487:24 491:3,4,6
493:23	505:7 516:4,12	different 282:15	491:24 492:4,5
denoted 509:19	details 313:23	282:22 304:24,25	494:3,10,11,13,18
departing 495:19	465:1,3 499:5	375:5 377:8 382:8	494:20,22 495:2,4
department	517:9	387:15 392:3	495:6,12,22,24
416:19,20	determination	395:5,5 397:9	496:12 508:20
depend 400:3	342:17 410:5	405:8,9 420:22	discounted 493:1
521:11,23 522:11	447:14 459:9,21	440:10 482:16	493:2
depending 432:8	459:25 484:8	484:10 485:11	discounts 495:10
depends 399:23,24	516:24	486:24 493:20	496:1
522:12	determine 273:10	494:9 495:18	discoverable
deposed 417:1	281:9 283:7	502:4,5,6,7 503:13	301:14
deposition 296:8	341:17 432:7	514:3	discovered 474:10
297:9,9 326:19	437:19 453:12	differently 389:20	474:11
360:11 377:16	457:5 464:21	difficult 443:5	discovery 449:14
396:22 405:14	481:16 505:19	diligence 487:18	discrepancies
449:18	519:15 522:21	508:17 521:11,21	496:10
depositions 296:12	523:23	522:3,7,24 526:6	discrete 493:3
296:21	determined	dinner 400:23	discretion 524:15
	481:20 484:17,19		

# [discretionary - dr]

discretionary	468:20 528:2	293:15,15,19	466:16 471:3
283:10,16	dispensary 325:11	304:11 306:16,18	485:23 511:11
discuss 274:1,4	342:18,20,22	307:6,10,13,19,25	524:11
382:21 426:5,6,9	344:12 345:6	314:1,21 316:10	<b>dollar</b> 312:21
discussed 295:13	348:7 372:20	321:13,14,17,20	488:20
299:13 341:15	373:18,24 374:25	321:23 322:5	dollars 299:11,20
369:1 424:6 426:7	377:3 379:10	329:2 342:10	399:9 426:2
449:7 503:5	384:15,16,18	351:21 354:3	427:19 470:3
513:14	425:18 426:22	357:15 366:18	474:25 475:16
discussing 295:15	427:10 441:1,11	382:5,8 383:10	486:7,12 522:16
300:23 381:16	441:17,19 453:19	386:2,4,11 392:7	<b>don</b> 450:12 481:4
401:8	453:19 466:12	392:12,17 393:13	481:5 512:9
discussion 295:7	472:10 524:21	393:15,22,25	doors 484:5,5
333:20 368:13,23	525:2,5	396:9,14 409:7,10	<b>doubt</b> 357:1
426:19	dispense 426:13	414:13,18 423:8	410:25 411:2
discussions 269:16	dispose 344:14	423:20 451:21	<b>dozen</b> 417:15,15
269:19 274:7	disqualified 480:8	477:2,11 480:14	<b>dr</b> 263:8,19 294:17
301:17 337:19	disqualify 487:18	480:17 500:5,7,12	294:19,24 295:6,7
341:9 382:23	disregard 518:9	500:14 526:24	295:11,17,18
421:6 425:15	disregarded	527:2 530:12,17	296:3 297:3,14,16
434:3 448:23	484:20 504:3,4	documentary	297:21,24 298:2,9
449:6 521:24	distinction 392:2	441:6	298:17,24,25
522:2	distinguish 440:18	documentation	299:6,17 300:6,7
disingenuous	distribute 428:21	299:16	300:25 301:17,18
490:25	distributed 389:16	documents 271:7	301:23,24 302:1,7
disinterested	distributing	271:8,11 273:19	302:15,23 303:6
370:11,15 412:11	407:15,17	283:13 289:24,25	303:15 304:17
dismiss 518:15	distributions	301:1,3,11,15,25	305:7 306:9,16
dismissal 266:10	322:18,25 349:19	302:1,8,12,15	307:6 310:23
dismisses 506:14	district 322:12	311:7 315:1	312:2 314:4
dispensaries	417:4	323:17,19 372:9	317:13 320:3,15
290:25 313:9	<b>dllc</b> 387:11	401:17 414:23	320:19 321:6
325:12,13,15,19	<b>docs</b> 505:4	422:17 429:25	323:17,20 325:4
330:24 348:7,13	<b>doctor</b> 423:4	438:25 441:9,12	326:25 328:8,23
349:21,24 353:7	document 270:25	441:13 449:14	329:11 331:1
353:11 355:24	271:1,18,20,22	520:1 528:25	332:25 336:10,10
364:10,12 373:19	272:1 284:16,18	529:4,8,9,10,10	336:14,14 337:1,2
387:2 404:16	284:19 285:3,5,11	doing 280:18	344:19,23 345:2
406:18,23 407:16	285:15 286:11,16	290:17 401:25	349:14 364:6,9
433:7,9,15,21,23	286:17,21 287:10	417:19,24 421:2	371:22 374:17,18
443:11 444:23	287:18 288:21	435:15 451:7,13	374:24 375:7

	1		1
376:18 382:20	297:1,7 303:9,12	early 305:19	elements 421:13
385:7,21 395:12	305:5,13 315:5,21	485:17 491:23	421:14
400:22 401:7,19	316:20 320:8	492:1	embellishing
401:22 402:21	327:14,20 328:1	<b>earned</b> 488:20	431:18
409:5,7 412:13,13	328:10 330:3	<b>earnest</b> 332:16	employee 534:17
415:8,10,18,21	331:24 332:3	earth 314:5 318:5	encompass 533:10
422:15 424:14	415:15 420:10,14	318:8,12,23 319:3	encompasses
438:3 439:4	422:23 423:6	320:9,10,16,17	355:1
449:13 450:23	424:5,13,18	323:25	ended 416:12,13
452:14 454:16,18	430:10,22,24	easily 522:15	465:7,13
455:8 456:6 457:2	431:1,8 432:1	easy 418:16	enforceable
457:11 458:5,15	437:21 439:23	economics 415:23	320:23
460:17 462:22	443:14 445:19	415:24,25 416:11	engaged 308:17
463:19 464:7	452:12 453:24	416:17,20,21	enrolled 335:15
466:8 467:20	455:15,21 456:5	<b>economy</b> 497:10	<b>ensure</b> 337:16
473:17	456:18,22 457:16	edibles 377:4	361:1
draft 288:20,20,21	458:9,21 460:8	edit 401:12	ensuring 361:9
401:15	462:18 466:14	education 267:14	entails 344:7
drafted 272:5,5	468:2 469:6 472:6	educational	491:4
drafting 337:5	472:21 473:22	415:22 416:2	enter 368:21
449:12 524:17	475:3,8,21 476:17	417:22	entered 310:15,24
drafts 401:10,12	510:7,10 513:13	edward 367:12	311:4 320:21
dragging 466:17	516:9 532:19	effect 305:18	360:21 373:17
<b>drive</b> 261:4 262:6	533:16	309:24 321:9,15	395:24 396:9
296:17	<b>dushoff's</b> 439:10	321:19 322:6	444:19 526:25
drop 493:24 494:1	duties 335:5	350:4,8 409:8,14	entering 306:5
<b>dropped</b> 298:16	e	430:12,17 431:2,5	322:24 349:6
dropping 513:10	e 261:18 267:12,12	448:15	361:21 373:4
<b>due</b> 315:21 344:19	270:17 334:2,10	effective 365:7	396:25
387:25 487:18	334:11,11,11,11	487:12 489:6	enterprise 323:2
506:25 508:17	334:11 367:11,12	effectively 451:13	359:14 379:20
515:10 521:11,20	368:13,14 379:6	effectuated 412:20	405:19 428:5
522:3,7,24 526:6	386:17 388:12	effort 341:3	467:21
<b>duly</b> 267:6 294:21	391:19 402:5	eight 268:21 270:4	entire 305:14,15
334:4 415:12	415:20,20 454:23	309:16 416:6,7	407:5 453:9
478:4 534:8	478:8,8 486:18	514:14	503:22 519:21
dushoff 262:13	487:5 488:13	either 277:25	entirely 355:4
263:20,22 265:13	earlier 325:25	310:25 341:18	entirety 438:16
266:2,13,18,22	360:11 382:10	399:21 493:4	499:4 518:16
284:4 286:6 295:2	474:20	528:16	entities 308:6
295:12 296:22	+/4.20		311:6 335:24

Veritext Legal Solutions 877-955-3855

		1	
358:1,8,9 363:11	establishments	exactly 266:4	exclusively 479:21
388:6 391:9 527:9	316:25	296:4 297:18	<b>excuse</b> 411:18
entitled 309:20	<b>estate</b> 268:16	302:14 303:1	426:12 434:24
310:2 368:11	277:25 278:2,3	304:9 315:23	531:7
394:16 481:10	282:16,19,20,22	323:12 326:1	executed 322:4
entitling 308:16	282:24 366:5	431:23 434:22	execution 321:13
entity 357:25	416:13,15,15	452:18 471:11,14	321:19 323:3
358:25 359:2	521:14,14,15	477:3 498:19	350:8
361:14,15 372:2	estimate 377:6	515:9	exercise 471:22
394:11,25 395:19	434:13 436:5	examination 263:2	exercising 381:21
527:9	450:19 469:19	264:1 267:8	exhaustive 446:14
entrepreneur	520:7,10	274:19 293:11	<b>exhibit</b> 264:9,10
318:12	estimated 428:5	294:22 321:4	264:11,14,15,16
entry 362:20	428:13	325:2 334:6 360:3	270:17,21 271:2
equal 499:3	estimates 425:18	409:1 413:8	275:11 280:11
equals 283:21	450:20 523:17	415:14 438:1	286:4 287:14
435:5	estimation 437:16	475:20 476:21	293:13 294:7
equipment 365:10	492:10,19	478:5 519:1	296:7,10,11,19
399:24 400:1	et 446:8 487:4	examined 513:15	304:11 306:13,17
equity 310:10	<b>eugene</b> 334:10	examiner 479:7	316:9,12,15
322:20 428:14	evaluate 441:25	examiners 479:8	317:14 319:8,24
432:21 451:15,21	484:13	examining 494:24	320:24 321:6
483:3,5	evaluating 456:17	example 335:23	322:15,21 326:17
escrow 395:15,17	evaluation 343:18	370:14,19,22	331:15 342:8
395:20,23 409:18	420:19	435:16 436:3	351:18,20 353:13
especially 435:1	evaluations	457:19 465:2	354:2,23 355:7
488:23 496:2	524:11 526:20	470:16 472:7	356:24 357:8,13
esq 261:2,16,17	evening 519:3	521:13 522:13,17	357:17 362:12
262:5,13	event 310:18	examples 447:3	369:20 381:6
essential 453:6,12	325:21 412:18	exceptions 387:11	382:5 386:6 394:8
454:12	events 305:8 336:1	excessive 493:5	396:15 409:3
essentially 307:22	338:17 412:18	excessively 484:16	413:12,18 414:4
394:24 484:6	everybody 404:5	exchange 266:19	425:24 480:12
486:20,25 487:21	exacerbated	391:13 444:25	530:3
487:25 488:18	518:20	532:21	exhibits 264:7
489:5,24 490:1,20	exact 310:3 312:19	exchanged 309:23	286:15 287:8
491:6,10 492:25	323:14 331:13	402:10 403:9	296:13,15,18
500:25 501:19	345:11 358:19	<b>exclude</b> 366:11	316:13 357:4
502:5,22 503:15	422:24 423:1	515:16	<b>exist</b> 526:8
established 486:14	427:4,5 489:22	excluded 347:24	existed 390:23
	514:14	518:18	402:19

# [existence - far]

existence 307:17	439:10 444:4	extent 302:22	factors 491:20
existing 331:10	446:22 451:6,18	315:1 454:10	facts 459:22,23
<b>exists</b> 333:1	458:25 466:22	460:2 487:17	489:11 501:2
385:11 392:13	474:1 480:4,8	488:12 494:15,19	506:8 513:24
426:18	497:23,24 498:7	498:10 512:9	514:9 520:14
<b>expand</b> 341:12	499:22 500:3	518:6	<b>failure</b> 360:17
466:23	505:7,21 510:18	extra 295:3	fair 273:3,7,10
<b>expect</b> 301:22	511:12,25 514:19	extract 471:23	279:13,15 280:24
483:7 488:16	514:22 519:15	f	281:4 282:2,4,12
expected 471:2	521:2,5,18,19	<b>face</b> 487:22	282:15,18,21
<b>expel</b> 371:14	522:17 523:6	facilities 332:18	283:2,4 284:22
expelled 305:25	526:1,3 530:4	332:19 365:12	291:10,22 292:15
338:20,24 339:16	<b>expert's</b> 429:24	373:19,20 391:23	299:11 300:8
355:4 370:23	506:20 510:24	429:1	303:19 311:17
expenses 365:11	523:15	<b>facility</b> 349:2,7	338:12 340:22
387:15,15 388:7	experts 400:8	366:10 373:24	371:14 373:5
404:18 413:4	424:6 497:11	374:3,4,5 379:16	404:3 408:11,13
435:24 436:23	explain 343:17	380:7 382:1	410:12 418:6,17
444:10,21 446:7	344:6 354:5 378:9	390:18 391:14	418:22 432:7
469:9 470:11	391:2 393:8	397:3,3,6,11,16,19	438:21 440:9
486:20,23,25	461:19 469:13	397:23 398:4,10	449:1,8 458:8,19
487:1,2,6,8 488:10	482:15 500:23	398:21 399:3,5,10	466:5 467:9,11,12
488:14,16 527:20	explained 339:20	398.21 399.3,3,10	476:3,14 513:6
528:1	406:3	453:18,22,23	514:6 520:4,25
experience 366:11	explanation 483:6	468:19,19	521:13 523:3,21
398:15 416:4	489:8,9 504:10	fact 279:19 322:8	fairest 516:7
417:22 426:17,24	517:11	331:2 375:8	fairly 460:7
439:11 479:15	expressly 496:25	391:15 406:17	486:14 496:2
486:11 487:11	expulse 336:24	408:10 416:18	533:11
491:24 494:22	337:3 370:5 412:8	422:16,22 431:17	fairness 370:13
496:1 497:10	expulsed 338:13	440:7 444:4 445:9	fall 359:19 388:11
experienced 366:4	338:18 340:22	448:2,14 456:9	falls 429:24
<b>expert</b> 268:22	341:2 355:2 410:6	460:4,6,7,13 470:2	falsify 280:5
269:1 301:8,13	495:16,17	474:16 489:11	<b>familiar</b> 336:17
340:25 356:3	expulsion 336:2,6	492:9 495:6 497:3	350:10 356:5
383:7 402:6,9	336:9,21 337:15	497:22 505:5	380:20,21 439:3
403:9,13,15	337:24 338:7,23	508:2 513:2	449:2,4 457:13
416:23 417:4,11	372:10 411:18	518:22 525:5	familiarity 457:12
417:19,23 418:2	412:15 473:19	527:25 532:4	far 282:14 291:19
422:17 430:14	475:1	<b>factor</b> 376:12	330:18 363:13
433:8 437:17		492:1	380:12 444:11
		+72.1	

[far - first]

445.2 4(4.12	214.21.25.215.10	406.14 407.2 14	470.6507.24
445:2 464:12	314:21,25 315:10	496:14 497:3,14	479:6 507:24
468:6 501:8 517:5	315:15 316:6,9,13	498:10 504:19,21	financially 534:16
farther 319:18	316:18 317:12,21	505:2,24 508:5	financials 340:10
<b>fashion</b> 325:22	317:23 318:8	509:10 510:4	financing 464:9
442:7	320:14 321:3,22	512:6,8 514:21	482:8 483:9
<b>favor</b> 336:8	321:25 323:16	515:23 518:25	485:15
fearful 345:4	324:24 325:1,3	519:2 530:7,14,16	<b>find</b> 386:8 421:4
february 408:3	326:10 327:15,23	532:11,17 533:21	425:9,11 501:7
457:20 502:20	328:6,19,21 330:6	feuerstein's	502:16 504:24
504:5 507:16,22	330:20 332:1,4,7	530:10 532:22	finding 445:23
507:24 508:15	333:12,15 339:5	feurerstein 263:6	<b>fine</b> 266:15 353:24
512:16,25	342:6,23 353:14	feurstein 475:24	378:11 424:22
<b>fed</b> 376:10,11,15	353:20,24 355:17	<b>fifth</b> 308:1 362:20	<b>finish</b> 299:7 303:5
<b>federal</b> 387:10	355:20 357:9	362:25	384:15 398:1
federally 482:7	359:17,25 360:4	<b>fifty</b> 320:4 336:25	516:17
485:14 486:16	363:22 364:1	fighting 519:23	finished 416:8
488:23 492:2	367:6,8 369:5,15	<b>figure</b> 285:23	442:20 532:11
feel 466:22 512:8	371:18 373:13	404:15,17 458:19	<b>firm</b> 340:12
<b>fees</b> 265:15,17,22	379:4,24 380:5	499:7	447:25
266:12 295:14	381:10,17 382:4	<b>figures</b> 348:14	first 275:3,15
365:10	382:14 384:21	354:6 403:18,20	284:11 285:7
feet 397:18,24	392:19 394:6	403:21 404:10,18	286:23 287:22
453:22,23	408:4,9,25 411:7	404:20 405:1	288:3,21 291:4
feuerstein 261:15	411:21 412:6	406:25 410:21	293:3,15,19 294:7
261:16 263:9,11	415:2,5 420:8,11	412:2 436:24	304:16 305:8,10
263:16,21 264:5	420:13 422:10,12	437:2	318:25 325:25
265:12 266:3,5	423:2,7,19,22	figuring 451:15	333:16 335:15
270:19 271:6,10	424:1,13 428:18	file 301:11 335:8	344:4 348:6
271:14 274:20,23	429:22 431:15,25	345:25 510:14	368:12 390:10
276:2 280:15	437:22 438:2,4	<b>filed</b> 369:4 450:12	398:6 405:15
284:5,8,15,17	444:1 446:3 454:9	497:23 510:12	408:1 413:18
286:10,20 287:9	455:17,23 456:14	512:16	414:4,10 415:7
287:16 292:4	456:20 457:1,18	<b>files</b> 301:13	418:13 419:22
293:8 294:14,16	458:11,24 459:14	<b>final</b> 265:5 343:16	420:6 424:21
294:23 295:2,4,21	460:16 462:21	<b>finance</b> 357:22	460:20,23 461:2
295:23 296:11,16	466:21 467:1	416:13,14,14,15	480:18 481:15
296:20,24 297:2	468:9 469:4,7	416:18,19,20	483:5 485:10
297:10,13 301:21	472:8,22,24	429:2	489:20 490:4
302:6 303:14	473:12,16 474:22	financial 322:23	491:3 493:12
305:6,15,21	475:7,11,19 478:9	405:17 446:17,18	494:1 496:17
306:15 310:21	478:25 492:14	447:20 465:5	498:12 501:16
-	-		

## [first - genesis]

504.2.500.14	C 1	<b>517 17 510 0</b>	404.25
504:2 509:14	foreclosing 511:11	517:17 518:9	494:25
512:5,14 513:10	forefront 304:3,4	523:6,8	furniture 365:10
520:8,10	341:15 347:19	<b>fourth</b> 347:8	<b>further</b> 274:18
<b>fit</b> 482:4 489:10	foregoing 497:15	362:19,25	294:3 301:22
<b>five</b> 269:2 400:13	534:5,7,11	fractional 472:19	324:23 333:12
401:9 408:7	forensics 479:6	473:1,8	365:13 408:25
482:16 484:10	forget 478:23	frame 298:11	413:7 464:22
485:10 493:12,18	515:16	401:21	475:19 476:18
493:21 494:1,2	<b>forgot</b> 302:21	framing 528:17	489:12 518:24
<b>fixtures</b> 365:10	form 315:12	frankly 301:24	532:18 534:13,16
366:7,10	382:17 483:18	fraud 479:7,8	<b>future</b> 281:24
<b>flat</b> 371:2	492:14	freely 517:23	307:22 331:8
<b>flesh</b> 453:16	<b>format</b> 360:11	freeway 406:20,20	390:24 464:16,18
<b>floor</b> 261:19	former 350:14	407:11	493:3
366:12	<b>forth</b> 272:18 291:1	friend 297:24	g
<b>flow</b> 283:16	295:14,19 322:18	friends 400:15	<b>g</b> 334:11 402:5
388:25 440:15	354:6 355:14	<b>front</b> 266:8 271:2	<b>g</b> 334.11402.3 <b>g2bh</b> 309:20 310:2
493:2,2	356:21,24 357:17	275:4 287:19	<b>g201</b> 309.20 310.2 310:8,9
flows 432:15,16	420:24 438:21	383:8 480:12	gains 389:4,7
469:23 470:10,13	450:4 466:4 471:2	498:23 500:4	gaming 278:20
470:18 471:1	480:12 482:13	504:14 509:4,20	0 0
focus 329:13	485:4 491:1 505:1	510:11 515:18	<b>garbage</b> 439:4,4 <b>gemiset</b> 465:10
440:17	505:6 506:14,19	530:12	e
follow 294:13	507:12 512:2	<b>full</b> 321:9,15,19	general 282:23
415:1,2 445:17	534:6	322:5 350:4,8	352:17,18 426:7 450:22 467:24
475:23	forward 389:8	408:19 409:8,14	
followed 507:3	428:22 429:3	fully 499:8	468:13 506:9
following 309:13	444:22 469:22	function 395:22	517:7 527:3,5,15
429:23 444:12	489:8,23 507:7	459:4	529:12
453:15	foundation 366:6	functions 527:17	<b>generally</b> 459:21
follows 267:7	379:23 381:18	<b>fund</b> 391:11,12	474:8
294:21 334:5	foundational	460:5	generate 397:7
415:13 478:4	497:5	fundamental	486:25 487:7 488:13
foot 329:21 399:9	<b>four</b> 269:2 288:17	505:14,18	
399:10,15,23	288:18 289:12,13	<b>funded</b> 391:14	generated 359:13
498:1	290:18 308:1	funding 365:8	397:8,15 470:14
footnote 477:11	339:1 355:5	443:10 464:16	484:2
footnotes 453:2	400:13,21 407:23	<b>funds</b> 344:18	generating 503:4
<b>force</b> 311:25 321:9	481:12 500:1	345:7,13,15	<b>generic</b> 490:4,9
321:15,19 322:5	504:25 509:22	395:16 409:24	generous 298:6
350:4,8 409:8,14	514:12 516:24	446:18 448:8	genesis 524:2

	11		
gentleman 302:18	<b>go</b> 270:15,19 277:6	317:11 326:24	goldstein 259:4
425:3	296:7 297:19	329:6,13 333:16	260:4 261:14
geographic 268:14	301:16 305:18	343:9 344:20,21	262:20 265:6,16
<b>george</b> 402:4	306:10,11 310:7	353:15 354:8	274:24 278:11
getting 338:1	314:22 317:9	359:18 361:23	303:24 304:18
385:8 387:17	318:9 319:17	363:10 365:20	305:24 337:3,13
429:5 464:18	320:19 342:1	378:22 379:22	345:3 352:13,15
465:19 491:15	343:12 344:3	382:4,18 383:16	352:24 355:2
525:17 533:17	346:4 347:1	387:13 393:7	368:24 393:5
<b>give</b> 288:21 291:15	353:12 357:21	394:3 395:1	404:7 411:19
298:11 299:7	359:23 362:15	397:12 399:20,22	412:8 438:5
300:25 302:17	367:9 369:16	400:3 405:14	463:25 496:6,22
306:16 310:5	378:22 391:9	406:4 412:6	497:24 512:4
389:21,24 394:19	393:15 406:18	413:14 415:8	goldstein's 266:7
401:17,20,22	419:12,14 421:15	416:12 420:4	336:2,5,9 337:15
412:23 415:21	421:24 424:19	422:12 423:25	337:23 339:4
433:20 434:12	425:21,24 428:18	424:15 429:22	352:19 408:13,22
445:1,6 446:24,25	428:21,25 429:3	431:13,21 432:15	455:13 473:19
465:1,2,8,16	432:5,11,18	435:18 436:6,19	509:14,17 512:14
497:20 516:5,21	433:12,14 437:4,8	437:13 438:23	516:10 519:8
given 279:12,25	442:13 444:13	441:16 442:1,8	<b>good</b> 274:21,22
280:3,5 283:12	447:13 449:25	444:2,21 445:10	275:1 294:24,25
285:6 286:17	460:21 461:20,24	446:10,23,25	294:25 321:1
287:1 288:23	464:7 468:3 481:7	447:10 448:16	376:19 429:18
301:10 382:5	488:21 493:18	450:14,21 451:7	438:3 439:8
425:22 426:8	509:2 510:9	457:14 459:6	473:25 474:5
427:6,6 433:3,13	514:11 516:18	465:12,16 468:6,7	519:3
435:2 441:4	522:3 528:6,7	469:19,22 470:11	<b>goods</b> 486:21,24
443:15 454:4	530:5 533:12,22	470:12 471:24	487:6
468:5 476:6,8	<b>goes</b> 309:13 398:7	472:6 474:24	gotten 515:9
497:5 519:11	459:21 501:9	475:22 476:25	graduated 267:16
533:3 534:12	505:2	487:7 488:21	granted 479:4
<b>gives</b> 434:23	<b>going</b> 266:24	493:4,20,21	graph 317:18
giving 266:19	270:16 271:6	496:14 498:3,24	great 303:11
298:5 377:16	276:16 281:16	510:11,19 511:1	341:22 442:3
391:4 394:25	286:3 294:8	513:3 514:8,10	455:21
395:13 401:16	295:16 296:7,24	515:12,16,25	greater 412:13
glad 309:3 393:19	297:5 299:7,21,24	516:1,3,4,18 519:5	494:18
394:11,17 395:4	300:22 301:4,5,16	520:20 527:16	greatly 400:3
395:14,16,17	302:4,4 306:16	530:4 532:13	green 381:21
409:16,24	314:25 316:2,3	533:4,5,6,12,13	398:9,17,22,25

## [green - hypothetical]

200 7 0 407 0 24	454 14 521 12	222.12.22(.4	210.0.14.222.21
399:7,8 407:9,24	454:14 531:12	322:12 326:4	319:9,14 322:21
greenhouse	hand 270:15	327:17,25 343:1	holds 359:1,2
328:23	319:14 459:22	498:13 529:18,23	370:23 473:8
gross 309:4	handed 271:17	hearsay 342:24	home 277:13
grounding 484:7	handle 499:7	heath 259:21	309:3 393:19
<b>group</b> 278:14	handwriting	260:18	394:11,17 395:4
311:6 312:5 345:3	288:2,4,6	heavily 416:17	395:15,16,17
349:7 351:25	handwritten	held 260:15	409:16,24
360:7	293:19	343:20 350:22	honest 309:22
<b>grow</b> 483:8 493:8	hanyie 379:6	358:22 373:5	327:1
growing 290:25	happen 291:2	433:10 441:10	honestly 332:4
407:24 408:1	313:20 371:21,24	453:14 477:14	honor 297:7 510:7
490:20	383:3 457:19	495:6,7 509:24	532:19
grown 493:16	466:15 475:14	help 296:20	hope 408:2 462:3
<b>growth</b> 448:8	478:22 493:21	326:18 436:5	462:5
482:11,12 488:9	happened 306:2	462:4 469:23	horizontal 452:21
488:15,17 490:4,5	374:10 395:21	474:21 530:5	hour 454:14
490:6,10,14,18,22	423:16 467:5	helping 382:17	461:20
492:10,20 493:5	510:18	hereto 365:14	hours 288:17,18
493:10,13,17,25	happens 327:12	hey 510:13,18,19	289:12,14 290:18
guaranteed	467:3	high 267:14	401:7,9
307:23 377:3,4	happy 296:6	415:22 434:19,20	house 398:9,22
guarantor 446:17	359:25	478:15 488:6	399:1,7,8 400:22
guaranty 328:23	hard 526:14,15	492:11,16	houses 398:17
guess 310:4,5	harvest 329:18	higher 399:14	407:25
369:17 380:22	hashed 515:4	434:15 494:21	huh 308:4 319:20
405:14 451:10	hate 468:5	highest 344:16	humorous 513:14
471:6,15	header 278:13	hijack 305:1	<b>hundred</b> 268:21
guideline 503:13	heading 477:12	<b>hired</b> 510:16	386:24 387:1,4
503:15 504:15,24	504:15	historical 319:18	399:8 417:1,7
506:16 507:19	hear 266:24	history 486:15	426:1 503:23
517:3,25	276:13 317:11	499:15	hundreds 480:7
<b>guy</b> 313:25 530:10	324:6 394:7	hold 338:6,9	hypothet 465:10
guys 332:1 359:21	424:16 469:11	holder 318:23	471:13
h	<b>heard</b> 314:4 317:7	holders 319:3	hypothetical
	317:10 343:1	holding 310:10	291:15,25 435:16
<b>h</b> 303:12 334:10	376:2,4,6 380:17	432:23	435:23 436:3
379:6	380:25 381:11	holdings 259:3	443:7 444:2,12
half 299:21,23	449:11 510:7,25	260:3 314:8,10,11	445:21,22,24
350:22 351:11	hearing 265:5	314:16,18 315:3	446:4 460:9 464:8
352:4 380:7	292:20,23 293:6	318:5,18,21 319:4	465:9 470:15
417:15 427:18	, 		

### Veritext Legal Solutions 877-955-3855

# [hypothetical - information]

473:23 475:6,8,25	improperly 506:1	incomplete 459:24	individuals 266:13
484:12 487:20,23	improved 461:23	inconsistencies	349:12,13 350:10
488:4 521:17	improvement	421:5	452:15 520:19
i	367:18	incorporate 450:3	528:16
	improvements	467:12	indoor 399:1,13
idea 277:2 290:6	365:10,16,24,25	incorporated	399:14
310:12,17 320:10	366:2,5,20 367:2	365:14	industrial 366:4
320:15 368:24	367:17 368:4	increase 376:23,25	industry 290:21
369:1 381:24	inability 483:8	408:14,20,22	302:18 305:14,16
422:20 454:18	494:14	488:9	305:17 313:6,25
527:18	inaccurate 459:24	increased 434:12	375:9,21,25 425:2
identification	inception 349:19	increases 408:12	425:12 482:7
264:8 270:22	incidentally 391:9	490:8	487:25 488:23
287:15 306:14	include 344:11	increment 490:6	490:4,10,13,13,18
315:1 316:16	366:6 469:9	491:18 492:4	490:20,21 506:25
381:6	included 333:19	incur 488:14	526:11
identify 431:19	347:17 355:7,10	incurred 487:8	influx 431:3
518:11 528:8	360:7 368:13,14	independent	440:15 442:8
<b>ignored</b> 345:18	463:3 483:1	273:22 289:21	information 270:1
iii 386:18	533:11	290:20 338:21	270:6,9,13 275:9
illegal 482:7	includes 324:1	375:16 451:6,18	279:11,20 281:6,7
485:14 488:24	398:6 531:11	457:12 519:7	300:23 301:18
492:2	including 346:2	independently	302:17,20 317:4
image 517:21	499:21 526:9	424:24	339:23 340:4,6,16
imagine 488:18	inclusion 488:8	index 263:1	341:4,6 342:25
immediately	income 359:11,13	418:12	374:13,15 381:14
290:13 331:4	382:22 385:16	indicated 423:18	412:3 421:15
impeach 297:8	388:14 401:18	429:4,9 432:14	430:9 433:2,3,6,13
important 441:24	435:25 481:19	483:17 485:14	435:19 437:12
451:5,17,22,25	482:10,25 484:9	indicates 492:6	441:4,6 445:12,17
474:9 525:6	484:23 485:6,8,9	indicating 286:2,5	445:18,24 446:2
527:24	485:19,23,25	indication 495:13	446:12,24 452:22
importantly	486:1,2,3,6,22	indications 484:24	452:24 453:6
511:19	488:9,22 490:15	526:8,9	461:3,7 468:5
improper 291:24	490:17 491:7,9	indicative 455:12	474:7,19 475:14
460:9 473:22	492:10,20 493:1	455:16	475:18 477:4,8,16
497:8 498:4 501:6	502:13,24 503:2	<b>indigo</b> 262:6	477:17 479:2
501:8 506:12	504:3,8 518:19	individual 265:17	501:4,5,12,16,22
510:21 511:24,25	524:2,4 526:10	265:19 300:7	505:12,16 506:1
514:25 518:23	incomes 489:2	335:21,25 395:11	508:3 511:4
525:18		402:16 502:7	514:17 515:1

# [information - january]

500 0 500 10 17	•	• • • • • • • •	402 24 405 22
520:2 528:13,17	intents 502:3	investigation	492:24 495:23
529:13,14	intercompany	273:23 452:8,9	496:3 498:25
informed 428:19	359:6	<b>investing</b> 430:4	500:18,20 504:24
515:19	interest 295:11	447:16 491:11	506:5,7 510:1
inherent 491:8	297:16,21 299:10	<b>investment</b> 267:17	511:20 512:1,19
494:14	306:6,6 334:25	383:19 391:22	512:20,22,23,25
initial 346:5,16	335:2 336:14,24	429:20 431:3	513:8,9 514:20
367:16,16,22	337:2 338:13,18	491:11	515:2 517:12
478:25 483:1	339:4 341:1 345:4	investments	522:23 526:18
506:13 508:20	345:25 350:16,23	428:25 429:3,5	533:14,17
509:8	350:25 351:2,7	431:22 443:19	issued 303:23
initially 418:7	352:4,5,13,16	investor 429:12,12	335:23 480:19
injunction 326:4	354:9,10,11,13	430:14,20 431:7	500:8,15,17
326:21 529:18,22	358:10,14,16	447:16 470:7,17	issues 295:18
<b>inputs</b> 439:8	361:2,9 370:12	470:23,24	482:8 483:7,9
inquiry 342:19	386:14 394:20	investors 344:5,20	484:21,25 485:6
inserted 516:15	408:11,22 410:6	345:8 346:1,6	485:13,16 486:4
instance 412:25	412:8,14 439:15	347:4 349:7 360:7	490:15 492:3
413:1	439:17 441:1,10	360:22 361:13	494:20 497:11,16
instances 525:21	445:1 448:25	411:4,12 431:10	505:22 506:3
instigation 447:19	455:13 473:2	443:6 447:20	507:18 508:1,19
<b>institute</b> 267:17,25	495:7 496:2,4,5,7	470:9	520:22
479:4	496:10,11,18	<b>invited</b> 400:22	issuing 451:5,20
institution 375:24	501:2 502:4	involve 339:1	531:16,18
instruct 530:7	503:23 504:7	involved 302:18	italics 278:15
instruction 267:23	509:14,17 519:8	313:16,17 314:12	items 421:22
insurance 360:25	519:11	314:15 318:18,19	446:15 452:4
361:7,12,16	interested 534:17	318:20 382:16	j
363:16,17 364:2	interesting 520:15	402:18 446:21	j 334:10
368:9	interests 473:8	448:23 480:1	<b>j249</b> 355:8,11,14
intangible 522:16	interior 345:19	499:20 507:2	<b>j261</b> 270:23
integrated 377:20	internet 375:17	523:1	271:18
378:13 467:20	interpreted	involvement	<b>j263</b> 321:7,11
468:11,17,22	385:25	425:11	322:15,18,21
intellectual 471:22	interrupt 442:20	issue 265:15,21	january 259:16
<b>intend</b> 438:20	443:2	267:20 304:5,6,8	260:15 265:2
intended 395:16	<b>invest</b> 430:15,15	317:9 353:18	296:9,25 309:2,16
<b>intent</b> 395:14	431:10 491:12,13	386:13 407:10	310:9 327:19,25
528:8	491:13	450:11 452:3	331:11 333:3
intention 281:19	invested 345:4	488:13 489:1,4	348:12 455:9,9,25
	387:20	491:16,17 492:22	534:21

## [jason - knowledge]

<b>jason</b> 262:5 440:2	<b>jwiley</b> 262:10	403:17 404:9	371:21,23,24
jason's 326:13,15	jx263 307:20	405:16 408:10	372:3,13,16
jennifer 259:4		409:3 410:3	374:18,20 376:14
260:4 261:14	k	413:10 415:6	377:8 380:19
262:20 265:5	<b>k</b> 334:11 335:23	421:12,14,17,20	381:3 383:3
337:13 345:2	335:25 389:6	421:12,14,17,20	389:14 393:4
463:25	keep 398:16	435:3 441:5 452:3	397:21 399:4
<b>jeopardy</b> 432:16	466:16 497:13	461:5 520:18	403:1,15 405:5
	507:7	<b>kennedy's</b> 288:4	405.1,13 405.5
jerseys 266:14 job 259:24	keeps 466:17	300:17 333:18	400.3 410.22 411:25 422:14
U	kendall 259:21		
<b>joe</b> 262:23 272:8	260:18	kept 291:14	426:15 427:21
300:17,24 333:15	kennedy 262:23	465:19	431:23 436:17,24
333:16,18,22	263:14 269:15,23	key 481:11	441:22 442:1
401:3 421:12,17	272:8,11,24	kind 482:18 484:7	443:18 445:12,14
421:20,20 433:4	273:18 274:3,4	486:2 490:11	445:16,21,22
461:5 519:4,5	275:13 276:9,14	515:13	446:15,18 447:12
520:18 524:10	277:5,13 278:9	kinds 429:12	454:2,15,21
526:24 530:3,17	279:12 284:20	klnevada.com	457:19,24 458:1,4
joint 264:7 270:21	285:4,6,11,24	262:18	460:10,12 462:7
287:14 306:13,17	286:1,17 289:20	knew 291:4	464:20,21 466:25
316:9,15 319:7	290:9 293:4,5,16	342:19 380:12	467:2,5 468:10,21
320:24 351:20	294:1 300:25	381:11 455:5	468:25 469:1
354:2 356:24	302:10 307:1	474:23 475:9	473:7 474:3,24
357:12,21 394:8	333:22 334:2,8,10	know 266:1 276:9	475:4 480:24
<b>joseph</b> 263:14	334:12 342:8	277:12 278:5,21	488:1 495:20
264:3 269:15	343:5,12 353:18	280:18 281:22	501:13 511:1,6
334:2,10 478:2,8	354:2 357:12	282:21 291:2,6	516:13,14,15
478:12	360:1,5 361:10,16	304:4,9 306:4,19	527:10,12,17,23
<b>judgment</b> 344:4,6	362:6 363:9,20	309:19 310:3	528:10 529:13,19
360:6 411:3,5,12	364:5,20,25	312:13,19 313:3	530:20 531:22
july 305:12,20,23	366:19 367:20	313:13,15,16,19	532:9,20 533:7,9
456:10,10 466:8	369:13,16 373:23	313:20,22,23,23	knowable 501:13
jurisdictions	374:23 375:4,23	314:7,7,13,15,18	505:13,17
479:23 480:2	376:21 377:17	315:9 317:24	knowing 447:1
justification	378:16 379:5,8,19	318:19 320:17	465:9 511:3
517:11	381:5,19 382:16	321:17 323:25	knowledge 381:15
justifies 488:5	383:8,18 385:14	327:12 330:23	381:19 426:17
justify 488:5	386:3,8 387:25	337:8 339:13	438:18 443:15
489:12	388:9 392:1,8	342:2 343:4	460:9 466:16
justifying 490:19	,	362:16 364:24	472:18 480:10
	393:7,15 396:9 398:20 401:24	366:5 368:1 369:2	509:25 513:2

525:1 526:1	354:18,20 355:25	516:23 519:3	341:18 344:4,6
knowledgeable	360:19 362:21	leave 280:21 316:4	347:9 358:18
313:24 425:2,16	364:14 395:11	450:14 495:19	361:19 412:21
known 275:13	397:4 398:8	led 336:2	435:2 464:5
282:2 311:6	407:16,18	left 287:17 319:14	liable 387:12
313:24 400:17	lastly 398:13	341:25 433:18	liaison 404:1
402:24 474:8	late 368:15 385:5	legal 328:2 372:14	license 275:7
501:13 505:13,16	laughter 479:14	446:21,21 474:1	278:20,20 292:24
507:24 527:9	526:16 530:11,15	486:16	318:24 320:6,11
<b>knows</b> 266:2	launch 426:3	legalese 474:2	324:7,10,13,16,19
kolesar 260:16	law 265:21	U	324:20 342:21
262:12 439:20	lawful 308:17	legalization 507:1 lengthy 396:19,20	343:14,19,23,24
<b>kulick</b> 261:15	laws 467:4	lent 347:12	
KUIICK 201.13	laws 467:4	lesser 434:16	344:12 348:18,19 348:21 349:4
l	360:16	letter 294:5 528:8	
<b>I</b> 303:13 402:5	<b>lawsuits</b> 304:6	letterhead 278:13	358:22 372:21,23
415:20 478:8		279:4	373:2,24,24,25
lack 308:9 494:3,4	lawyer 327:3,13 378:10 438:4		374:1,3,6 377:20 378:13 384:20
494:10,11,13,23		letting 511:7,7,9 level 442:12,15,18	397:6 401:20
495:2,4,25 496:12	lawyers 293:1 402:4 528:16	443:6 482:20	411:22 412:19
499:2,2 508:21,21			411:22 412:19 428:24 432:23
lacks 379:22	lay 291:25 381:17 lead 493:24	488:17 496:11 521:11 522:3	428:24 432:25
lahoney 401:3		levels 491:23	450:20 454:6
land 299:20	<b>leading</b> 337:14 492:15		
357:20,22,22	<b>learn</b> 441:3	492:12,21 <b>liabilites</b> 264:11	470:4,11,12,17,17
358:11,17 398:7	learned 377:25	liabilities 272:16	470:20,25 471:1
474:11			471:12 522:18,18 522:22
language 495:15	lease 345:9,15,21 345:22 346:14	272:21,25 273:4	licensed 268:15,15
495:18 496:25		281:2 283:9	,
498:6 512:1	360:21,25 361:4	287:20 304:15	338:22 477:17 licenses 298:15
large 345:3 429:15	361:11 366:13,17 leasehold 439:15	341:10,25 344:4 347:14,16,19,23	302:16,23 308:7,8
488:9 491:13		347:24 361:22	308:9,14,16,18,23
507:3	439:17 463:4,9 leases 360:17		, , , , ,
largely 506:25		362:5,7 373:8,14	308:24,24 342:18
larger 456:12,12	366:11,16	373:16 412:24	342:20,22 343:22
las 259:17 260:17	leatham 260:17	420:24 421:16	348:7,24,24
261:5 262:8,16	262:12 439:20	428:11,12 432:21	358:16 359:1,2
265:1 267:16	<b>leauanae</b> 264:3	435:2,5,7 451:14	373:18 375:1
311:21 320:6	401:4,14 478:2,12	452:3,6 474:15	376:22 377:7,11
343:20,22 344:8,9	478:14 487:14	503:9,10 521:22	379:8 380:10
344:9,13 345:18	497:4 499:14	liability 259:8	384:6,15,16,18,19
348:11,24 349:7	507:10 512:3	260:9 335:20	384:22 425:19

# [licenses - looking]

426:12,13 428:20	431:14 432:6	480:4	407:21 418:1
434:14 435:16,20	435:4 437:17	litigations 341:19	454:13 479:18
436:1,20,22 437:5	440:13 441:25	little 275:3 279:8	486:15 511:2
440:23 441:1,11	442:6 445:10	287:22 296:16	520:5,10
441:17,19 444:23	446:11 447:11	301:25 319:17	longer 321:14
445:1 452:5 453:8	450:6 451:8,12	329:12 359:23	409:8 419:13
453:10,13,14	458:10,12,20	382:18 396:22	look 270:24 271:7
454:4,5 455:16,25	459:16,25 465:18	399:14 400:8	284:11,13 285:15
456:1 457:20,21	465:20 467:17	439:9 455:19	289:21 296:6
457:21,22,24	524:12	471:11 512:8	304:10 306:9,18
458:2 461:14,16	liquor 278:20	515:13	307:25 308:1
464:10 466:13	list 272:15 306:17	lives 395:11	312:9 317:13,25
468:22,23 470:5,9	361:22 422:8,9,18	<b>llc</b> 259:3,7 260:3,8	319:7 326:17
471:23,25 472:10	422:20 423:5,6,7,9	262:3 265:6	331:15 342:1
473:2,8 477:14	423:11,13,18,24	283:21 287:20	353:12 357:4
523:20 524:21	424:3,8,22,25	294:6 308:14	359:15 362:12,18
525:2,5	426:12,16 427:6	309:3 323:25	363:18 364:25
light 426:16,24	430:9 446:15,15	342:13 344:5	365:5 380:14
limine 301:23	451:1 452:15,18	345:8 357:21	383:7,8 386:5,7,17
422:14	452:22,25 453:6	395:4,22 409:16	393:6 413:12
limited 259:7	453:13 461:12	434:1	414:14,24 418:12
260:8 335:20	466:1 485:13	llp 261:15	419:16 422:25
442:24 482:6,7	507:21 517:10	load 296:21	425:16 427:10
485:15	listed 347:15	loan 299:23 358:8	430:1 431:9 433:1
line 283:21 288:9	429:25	531:11	433:25 436:4,11
329:13,14,15	<b>listen</b> 511:14	loaned 299:19,19	436:12,19 437:4
330:8 371:2	513:23	loans 358:6 463:23	452:6,18 456:7,8
385:20 393:19	literally 316:2	located 269:3	460:23 463:9,21
411:23 472:18,22	literature 448:14	477:17	471:23 477:12
488:21 516:24	448:18	location 374:2	509:2 515:14,15
526:21 531:7,8	litigation 267:21	locations 349:22	515:21 516:4
lines 344:22	301:5 341:15,16	lodged 499:10	518:3,5,7 523:25
link 382:7	403:9,11 420:16	logic 398:1	530:17 531:6,6
liquidate 470:22	429:6,9,16 430:12	<b>loi</b> 531:21,22,24	532:12
liquidated 442:19	430:16,17 431:2,2	531:25	looked 414:15,22
465:4 467:15	431:4,11,11,12	long 275:13	428:10 449:22
liquidating 281:23	432:17 435:17,21	288:16 289:10,15	461:25 481:18
428:15 459:3	440:8,11,12,14	302:24 348:10	526:8
liquidation 420:7	442:13,13,22,24	353:20 369:9	looking 271:4
420:19 428:4,16	443:5 447:15,19	372:4 374:5 382:6	281:24 284:21
429:17 430:13,18	448:1,2,4,4,11,15	396:13,16 400:17	321:6 342:8

# [looking - math]

252 10 270 5	1 450.10		212 7 0 0 11
353:19 370:5	lower 450:19	mandated 406:19	313:7,8,9,11
374:11 379:11	lsu 416:8	manner 365:13	338:12 340:22
397:23 413:25	lunch 360:2 369:8	marafam 514:13	408:11,13 410:13
414:1 442:5 459:5	lying 330:2	march 339:16	418:7,17,22
460:20 462:24	m	383:25 384:3	419:23 426:17
472:14,21 486:11	<b>m</b> 262:5 303:12	418:7,17 419:1	428:10,12,14
486:13,22 487:12	402:5	481:5,6 483:16	432:7 435:6
491:22 499:1	<b>m.a.</b> 415:24	489:3,7,9,15,15,19	451:16 457:13,14
517:16 526:6	<b>ma'am</b> 477:20	501:5,15,18,24	458:8,20 467:9,11
looks 284:21	machine 406:19	504:7 505:13	467:12,12 470:1,5
287:21 503:16,21	534:9	506:2	470:20,20,25
lose 304:11	machinery 400:3	marginally 516:15	472:19,25 476:3
loses 387:23	machines 400:4,6	marijuana 305:9	476:15 481:19,21
losing 298:18	maglares 402:5	308:14 316:25	483:18,19 495:20
loss 340:3 387:22	mail 454:23	388:3 425:12	502:13,25 503:12
388:1,2,20,22,25	mails 270:17	426:14 466:9	504:4,8 505:12,22
389:6,7,15,23,25	main 283:15	468:11,18 472:20	506:18 507:3
391:4,6,8,11,12,14	419:22 426:10	473:1 486:19	508:2 510:2
391:15,18,21	maintain 279:1	487:11 499:17,23	511:22 512:13
414:22 467:10	majority 496:9	507:2 522:18	514:19 516:25
losses 385:17,24	520:8	523:1 525:20	517:2,13,24 518:2
386:1,10 387:7,12	making 305:3	526:20	518:10,18,21
387:18 389:13,15	310:21 315:5	mark 270:19	marketability
393:4	329:19 375:2	271:2 286:3	494:4,11 495:4,25
lost 329:21 332:11	392:2 414:7	314:25 381:5	496:13 499:3
332:17 389:19	man 312:25 327:1	marked 264:8	marketable
390:4	379:5	270:21,25 271:1	508:21
lot 278:18 369:25	manage 325:11,12	275:11 280:11	marketplace
380:19 398:13	353:6,10 357:24	287:14 293:13	436:22 448:3
405:6 406:6	494:14	304:10 306:13,17	<b>markets</b> 313:10
416:14 442:8	managed 349:24	307:20 316:16	503:16
445:12 446:12	494:16,16	319:7 393:12	marking 317:19
447:1 461:21	management	394:8 396:15	381:5,6
465:2,9 488:24	325:18 335:10	529:1	mart 381:22
lots 381:12 464:25	349:22 358:11	market 273:3,7,11	<b>master's</b> 478:17
louisiana 416:8	423:14 487:25	280:24 281:1,4	match 489:21
low 426:22 433:18	527:16,17	282:2,4,12,13,16	material 315:16
434:17 471:12	manager 318:12	282:18,21 283:2,4	315:20 407:7,11
484:16 487:24	357:20,24	283:6,17 284:23	materials 420:5
488:6	managing 269:11	291:11,22 292:15	<b>math</b> 355:16
	280:21	302:19 303:19	
	200.21		

<b>matt</b> 332:5 420:8	measuring 292:16	464:4 474:23	498:4 505:6
428:18 473:5	medical 291:4	475:9 520:13	methodology
<b>matter</b> 265:5	311:5 354:12,14	membership	273:6,9 410:11,16
282:1 291:10,11	354:18 384:7	351:2 386:14	428:8 483:25
315:8 406:16	434:25 437:6,10	448:25	501:8,9 502:13
422:21 427:24	457:20	memorandum	505:19 506:15
465:11	medicinal 291:3	372:15	507:5 511:23
<b>matters</b> 365:12	349:5 357:20	memorialized	518:16 523:23
523:7,10,12	457:25 458:3	392:23	<b>methods</b> 283:10
<b>matthew</b> 262:13	meet 275:1,2,15	<b>memory</b> 296:5	503:13
<b>matures</b> 506:25	275:20 278:16	461:23	<b>metric</b> 406:21
mcclairis 369:3	293:1 312:2,5,8	<b>mention</b> 528:24	407:10
<b>mdushoff</b> 262:18	382:20 400:12	531:23 532:24	<b>metrics</b> 507:24
mean 271:6 289:5	401:5 422:7	533:1	michael 263:4
307:8 318:19	424:20 462:11,14	mentioned 277:16	264:10,12 267:4
319:10 346:10	462:16 520:13	312:25 361:18	267:12 340:15
348:24,25 366:23	meeting 336:4	368:4 371:11	420:6,15
370:8 389:14	338:6,9 339:22	505:10 522:25	<b>michelle</b> 395:7,9
398:22 408:15	393:1 414:19	528:5	395:10
428:24 439:6	421:25 422:4	mergers 526:5	<b>mid</b> 268:11
442:7 443:2	423:15	messages 404:13	<b>million</b> 283:22
447:23 452:20	meetings 269:22	met 272:8 290:9	288:9 291:19,22
465:7 472:3 502:5	300:21 404:9	293:3 300:7,11,15	292:8,9 297:17,21
513:4 516:12	<b>member</b> 280:21	300:17 302:25	298:17,17,25
521:25 531:9	334:24 336:24	400:10,24 404:4	299:11,20,25
meaning 482:5	338:19,24,25	414:1 424:11	303:21 304:18
483:18 485:13	340:23 341:2	425:3 452:2	306:7,7 310:6
491:11 493:7	355:6 370:5,10,23	462:15	319:4 320:2,4,5
501:4 503:22	371:14 463:23	<b>method</b> 282:23	342:14,20,21
526:5 527:13	<b>member's</b> 338:13	377:4 410:12,19	343:8 344:5,10,15
meaningful	338:20 410:6	428:16 432:6,6	344:24 346:17
484:13 518:13	<b>members</b> 269:11	437:14,18 446:10	356:1,6,7,14 358:4
means 282:12	269:17,20 281:19	446:11 447:10,11	358:5 368:11
307:5 310:17	307:20,21,21	458:20 459:10	372:21 373:6,25
330:23 367:21	310:25 336:5,8	491:10,15 493:2,2	374:7,14 379:10
368:4 393:21,23	345:1 349:18	503:14,15,16,21	379:16,21 380:7
393:24 439:7	350:14 368:20	504:16,24 506:17	380:24 381:25
489:12	370:9,9,16 389:1	507:19 517:3	384:2 394:18
meant 290:4	389:18 391:7,8	518:1	397:12,13 398:5
measures 406:21	394:24 395:4,5	methodologies	399:11 408:16,18
	396:14 449:7	481:17 497:7	426:1 427:18,18

429:10 433:9,19	331:13,16 333:5	464:7 466:7	<b>move</b> 382:4
433:20,21,23	350:2,3,4,7 353:4	473:18	416:16 429:22
434:5,7,8,22 463:2	361:22,25 362:1,4	monday 462:20	432:1 442:5
463:3 471:10,13	362:12 364:7,23	monetary 416:12	462:22 496:14
472:4,5,11 473:20	365:2 366:19	money 298:13	499:12 508:6
474:8,18,20,25	368:2 373:5,12,13	299:17,24 309:23	515:12 516:8,18
475:15 476:1,5,12	373:17 385:7,18	310:1 323:13	530:14
522:16 528:22	385:22,23 386:4,5	329:19 345:5	<b>moved</b> 400:21
531:12,13 532:1,6	386:5 389:11,14	346:6,8 385:8	489:8
532:9	390:5 409:13	388:16 394:16	<b>movement</b> 349:1
millions 472:2,5	412:17,20 434:2	395:2 429:13,15	moving 489:22
mind 296:25	449:1 526:25	430:15 446:9	507:7
413:16 469:18	mis 390:19	447:25 464:18	
<b>mine</b> 405:10	mismanaged	<b>monies</b> 322:17	<b>multiple</b> 472:5 480:1 503:19
minimis 448:13	494:20	323:6 325:24	512:10
minimis 448:13 minimize 530:6		409:17 443:23	
minimize 330.8 minimum 309:7	mismanagement 492:3	409:17 443:23 monitor 405:18	<b>multiples</b> 503:24
	492:5 mismatch 492:7	month 270:4	526:9,10,12,17
309:15,19 310:2 394:16	misstates 456:18	306:3 309:7	multiplication 320:1
	456:22	324:22 345:6	
minimus 460:2,3,6	<b>mistaken</b> 462:18	346:10,20 396:10	multiplied 320:2 multiplier 510:3
<b>minority</b> 495:7 496:2	mixed 285:20	474:17	511:20,23 512:13
<b>minus</b> 273:4,10	mj 314:8,10,11,16	monthly 309:3	512:13,20,21
311:3 373:6 435:5	314:18 315:3	310:8 329:21	513:9 516:25
435:6	318:4,18,20 319:4	months 332:18,20	517:14 525:14,17
<b>minute</b> 328:21	319:8,14 406:20	345:14 355:5	525:21 526:21
408:7 437:22	406:20 407:11	396:18 400:21	multipliers 283:18
500:10 510:13	<b>model</b> 445:10,11	407:23 462:1	
532:14	488:15,19	507:23	n
<b>minutes</b> 289:16	modification	<b>moot</b> 511:8	<b>n</b> 334:11,11,11
290:17 359:19,24	489:22	morning 274:21	379:6 478:8
359:24 372:6	modified 517:10	274:22 294:24,25	name 267:10
392:25 393:2	mohajer 262:22	369:18 404:4	276:10 303:2,5,7
454:14	306:23 336:10,14	<b>moss</b> 416:5	334:9 345:1
mipa 264:14	337:2 345:2	<b>motion</b> 422:14	375:13 415:18
307:16 308:11	412:13	497:23 498:2	425:1 438:3 477:1
320:22,22 321:18	<b>moment</b> 316:7	510:10,12,25	478:7 527:2
321:24 322:4,24	317:24 320:19	511:5 515:5	534:20
323:6,10 325:5,21	358:1,15 360:6	532:23	<b>named</b> 300:7
325:23 328:7,13	393:16 395:6	<b>motions</b> 301:23	312:25 350:10
329:9,25 330:14	422:13 444:7		379:6
529.9,25 550.1 F			

[nancy - nuveda]

	1	1	1
nancy 261:17	needs 268:17	<b>new</b> 261:20,20	481:2 528:18
<b>narrow</b> 328:4	341:1 496:8 517:6	274:23 400:21	number 279:13
narrowed 518:17	negative 430:17	488:23	288:9,9,14 296:10
nationwide 268:17	431:5 483:4	newspaper 382:6	296:12,19 303:18
268:18	negotiate 396:14	<b>ng</b> 375:14	304:14 331:24
natural 311:1,5	396:16	nice 275:2 279:8	332:5,17,20 342:5
343:17 358:12,15	negotiated 350:20	night 265:20	353:14 355:18
358:23 359:5,8,12	350:23	nikki 259:15 261:2	408:17 427:5,5
407:18,19,21	neighbor 398:12	nine 348:23	428:9 433:11
nature 482:8	neighbors 398:11	nondisclosure	456:12,13 463:7
488:25 522:11	<b>neither</b> 534:16	278:22	463:25 464:3
<b>nbaker</b> 261:7	<b>net</b> 354:15 359:13	nondisclosures	469:14 471:7,8
ndas 279:7	361:4 366:11,13	278:19	472:1,2,4 486:13
near 362:25	385:15,17 435:24	normal 388:7	491:21 517:1
necessarily 283:3	488:22 492:10,20	493:5	numbered 296:12
288:10 485:18	526:10	<b>north</b> 311:21	<b>numbers</b> 273:23
488:2 502:25	nev 259:22	343:20,22 344:8,9	274:9 279:17,25
517:15 521:8	nevada 259:7,17	344:9,13 345:17	280:4,5,8 288:19
524:14	260:8,18,20 265:1	348:11,24 349:7	289:3,5,7,8,15
necessary 365:9	268:16 269:3	354:18,20 355:25	292:3 341:23
429:20 440:15	290:22 291:7	360:19 362:21	375:7 376:17
443:23 495:21	302:19 313:8,11	364:14 397:4	410:25 420:22,24
497:17 498:7,9,11	313:14,21 376:7,9	398:8 407:18	422:25 427:4
necessity 432:13	379:9,20 381:22	472:10	434:24 435:1,2,23
need 269:14	398:21 417:5,17	notably 504:4	435:25 443:4
306:10 314:21	426:4 457:14	note 283:20,20	450:24 451:1,19
329:12 344:21	466:9 478:21	341:20,25 424:15	451:22 469:9,10
359:20 399:4	479:21 510:3	497:3 498:24	471:4,5,16,17,18
404:23 414:9	523:4 534:4	509:10 516:5	508:18
432:14 444:8	nevada's 457:13	noted 528:5	<b>nuts</b> 514:4
445:14,18 446:2	never 298:15	533:12,24	nuveda 259:7
446:14,15 464:11	305:1 309:22,23	notes 284:3,6,8	260:8 262:3
464:21 473:12	345:15,16 359:8	359:15 520:21	264:11 265:6
513:23 516:12	385:22 394:21	532:12	266:12,14 269:7
517:4	395:15 404:7	<b>notice</b> 338:9 371:9	269:13,17,20
needed 267:23	423:10 435:12	noticed 489:19	270:7,10 272:12
274:8 339:21	497:24 506:10	noting 531:25	272:15 273:19,25
370:4 395:19	510:16 513:16,17	notwithstanding	274:8,13 275:7
412:8 429:3,13	513:21 514:1	388:12 497:15	276:3,5 277:4
445:16	515:19	november 319:22	287:19 294:6
		390:18 480:22,23	303:23 304:7

305:14 310:25	467:17 470:8	315:6,13,25 316:3	<b>obtain</b> 272:22
322:24,24 323:5	471:5,18,20 473:7	317:11,21 320:8	<b>obvious</b> 446:16
334:13,17,22	473:19 474:23	321:25 327:14,20	obviously 403:12
335:7 336:17	475:9 477:15	328:1,10 330:3,4	518:22
337:6 338:2,9,17	513:18 518:3	330:17 342:23	occasions 300:12
339:2,14,24 340:9	520:14 523:19	343:10 382:2	occur 338:7
340:12,17 344:8	524:19,22 525:2	392:15 422:11	358:14 504:11
345:1,10,20,25	526:25 527:25	423:16 424:16	occurred 276:12
347:5,12 348:3,18	528:8,16	431:21 443:14	324:20 412:15
349:18 350:14,20	<b>nuveda's</b> 306:6	445:19 453:24	504:10
350:25 352:12,15	343:25 380:11	455:15 456:18,22	odor 398:11
353:2 354:7,8,9,10	402:14 408:11	457:16 458:21	offer 291:17
354:11,16,19,21	440:22 464:9	460:8 466:14	438:20 496:24
355:13,24 356:6	527:13	468:2 473:22	<b>offered</b> 380:14
356:14,20 360:16	<b>nv</b> 261:5 262:8,16	475:3 497:5	476:1,2,11
360:22 361:6,8,23	534:25	499:10 505:2	offering 519:22
362:9 363:6,11	<b>nye</b> 307:21 308:3	507:8 508:5	offers 291:18
368:20 369:21	308:5,13,15,25	509:10 510:4	office 271:25
372:12 373:5,16	309:10 320:21	516:5 533:12	277:11 300:18
386:9 388:9,10,23	322:17 349:1	objections 468:6	455:1 487:3 529:3
389:1,18,22 390:3	386:19 390:16	533:4	532:1
391:14 394:24	391:14 397:19	obligated 331:4	<b>officer</b> 405:18
396:14 401:17	453:20	361:7 385:16	<b>offices</b> 260:16
402:15 403:5	0	386:17	300:16
404:2 405:18	<b>o</b> 303:13 334:10	obligation 325:19	offset 385:13,14
408:20 410:5	o'clock 369:10	331:10,11 332:22	385:23 389:4,7
412:21 413:5	462:17	332:25 333:6,9	390:12,13,13,14
418:6,7 419:3,8,23	<b>000</b> 265:3	360:24 364:10	390:15 391:3
419:25 420:7,16	oakridge 354:10	368:7,8,10 385:8	<b>oh</b> 299:9 330:12
421:9 422:9	oath 369:13	385:11 388:11	417:9 446:25
423:14 424:24	<b>object</b> 301:4 317:8	389:23 390:7,11	452:24 473:6
427:24 428:20	379:22 394:3	390:19,20,21,23	<b>oil</b> 474:11
435:14 437:19	422:12 492:14	391:5,16,18 444:8	okay 271:4,14
441:10 443:10	objected 533:10	obligations 322:14	275:1 277:10
444:7,19,25 445:3	objecting 423:2,3	325:5,6 333:8	279:5 285:16
446:5,8 447:16	423:9,22 431:16	335:6 364:6	291:16 292:14
448:24 449:7	431:17	409:20 446:19	297:1,6,11 300:3
452:15 453:14	objection 270:20	465:4 527:11,12	305:22 317:19
454:4,7 460:4	280:14 284:10,12	527:13,14	318:2 319:1
463:13 464:4,16	291:24 295:12	obligor 465:6	326:22 333:19
464:17 465:21	302:5 305:5,13		349:1 351:19

354:8 355:15,19	470:9	422:18 429:24	ordinary 426:21
362:17 365:1,4,6	operated 267:19	430:7,8,14,19	original 346:9
367:9,13 370:2	operating 331:22	431:12 437:17	477:2 505:11
378:18 382:14	332:8 333:9	442:4 443:9 447:1	originally 300:2
386:7 388:21	336:17 337:6,10	454:6 457:3 458:5	398:14
390:13 393:14	337:15 340:21	458:15,25 459:10	otc 517:18 518:9
396:24 399:6	348:19 369:21	471:19 472:12	ought 283:25
408:6 413:11	386:23 387:21	481:22 487:18	284:2 459:16
414:3 418:11	391:25 392:3	489:13 495:17	outcome 524:9
424:2,2,17 433:12	410:4 433:24	496:16,16,18	outdoor 399:2,5
436:8,10 438:8,12	444:10 449:8,10	497:9 505:3	outlier 427:9
443:3 452:1,13	458:6,16,18	506:20 514:25	outs 413:1 527:21
453:17 456:15,24	470:18 471:1	516:13,23 518:15	outside 340:12
462:6,11 465:14	487:1 495:1,14	519:22 522:2,10	343:4 394:3
466:9,10 467:7	523:19 527:20	525:12,13 531:19	421:14 425:20
469:3,16 470:2	528:2	531:20 532:7	429:24 458:17
472:16 481:9	operation 442:4	533:14	460:5 464:11,15
513:23 533:19	operational	opinions 438:16	496:23 506:11
old 513:5	328:24 384:12,17	438:20 450:4	523:5
once 300:16,17	384:23 407:19,22	496:17 497:21	outstanding 358:5
346:12 358:16	441:20 442:2	524:2,18 528:17	358:13 386:14
387:20 442:4	524:19,22	opportunity	overall 322:23
ones 414:16	operations 311:11	265:20 266:6	458:23,24
514:13 524:1	311:12,20 357:24	315:24 497:19,20	overbroad 458:21
525:25	386:19 429:14	498:17 499:16	overcome 483:7
ongoing 281:23	432:15 439:18	509:5 512:12	overhead 487:2
429:6,6,8,21	440:16 442:7,11	513:21 514:1	overrule 302:5
435:10 440:14	442:15,17 443:11	515:7,21	316:11 343:10
459:3,8,17 467:14	443:23 446:7	opposed 491:25	overruled 292:1
470:6,6,21 508:10	460:6 482:5,6	494:1 507:25	295:22 378:25
online 315:22,22	484:3 487:25	ops 357:20,25	380:1 392:16
<b>open</b> 348:7,10,14	489:15 494:15	358:10	394:5 443:17
349:21 369:20	523:5	<b>option</b> 381:21	445:25 453:25
412:20 465:7,13	opine 497:19	options 398:23,24	459:1 460:11
497:18	505:22 511:22,25	398:25 463:4,10	468:8 473:24
<b>opened</b> 362:13	512:3	order 265:22	475:5 507:8
480:11	<b>opined</b> 477:13	270:2 281:20	oversee 426:2
opening 332:18,20	481:4 505:24	338:6 389:22	overview 335:13
operate 353:2,3	506:10	414:18 422:22	348:2,5 357:16
388:17 442:25	opinion 281:3	434:20 443:12	358:20 412:23
443:6 464:10	338:2 420:25	488:13 532:22	478:19 488:11

### Veritext Legal Solutions 877-955-3855

## [owed - passed]

<b>owed</b> 363:5 412:24	365:2,4 367:10	318:11 421:25	406:9 419:22,22
<b>owned</b> 267:18	383:9 386:12	422:4 424:20	454:12 496:15,16
308:15 313:13,14	388:15 393:17,17	425:4,5,9,10,11,20	501:8 511:3 524:6
345:2 351:9	396:3 413:18	426:9 452:6	partial 264:12
394:25 433:22	414:4,10 418:13	454:11,15,22,24	partially 364:18
474:10	420:5,9,10 424:1	476:25 477:5	participate 337:5
owner 308:16	425:8 427:1 428:1	<b>park</b> 261:4	368:23
344:12	430:1,2,25 431:1	parker 312:9	participating
ownership 313:15	432:5,14 435:8,22	356:21 450:12	336:4
334:25 335:2	436:8,11 444:18	467:8 481:4,18	particular 401:24
496:11	450:6 452:23	482:9,16 484:9	422:16 429:8
owns 468:18	460:20,24 462:23	487:10 488:14	448:6 452:2
р	462:23,25 463:18	489:5 491:9	455:24 456:3
<b>p</b> 334:10	463:19,21,21	492:25 493:9	465:3 490:12,14
<b>p</b> 334.10 <b>p&amp;l</b> 283:11	469:5 472:7,14,17	494:3 495:11	490:19 491:9,16
p&l 283:11 p&ls 414:15	476:23 477:5	496:21 497:25	503:7 531:8
<b>p.m.</b> 533:24	481:7 485:5 491:2	501:2,21 502:12	particularly 448:7
p.m. 555.24 padgett 307:3	497:4,14 504:12	502:21 505:9,25	parties 265:8,20
322:11 326:3,7,11	504:20 505:1	510:1 511:21	265:24 343:4
326:25 327:17,24	507:12 509:18,21	512:10 513:15	346:2 347:3 358:7
328:8,20,22 329:4	519:19 528:7	514:10 517:12	359:8 395:20
329:17 330:2,8	531:3,4,7,23	parker's 356:2,5	397:10 402:10
375:14 396:6,15	pages 259:25	356:11 481:5,11	403:10,14 409:21
397:22 433:25	285:20 287:19	481:15 482:2	409:25 412:12
529:17 530:20	315:13,16,16	483:10 484:25	527:10,12
531:9	382:6	485:5 487:15	partner 387:18
padgett's 396:7	paid 323:11 324:9	488:8,18 489:2	495:16,18,19
page 263:3 264:2,9	345:11,12 350:24	490:3,9 491:2	partnership 387:9
284:22 285:7,7,15	361:6 363:15	492:10,19 498:18	387:10
286:23,23 287:3	413:2,5 474:14	498:22 500:25	<b>parts</b> 369:16
280:23,23 287:3	495:19	501:8 502:9	419:22
287:25 288:1,3	paper 393:13	504:12,25 506:14	<b>party</b> 270:1
293:15,19,22,23	paragraph 308:2	507:5,11,16	322:14 342:25
293:15,19,22,25	309:13,14 310:7	508:14 509:2	347:4 361:1 363:7
297:3,4,5 306:19	318:10 371:7	513:3 515:3 517:9	444:20,21 445:2
308:21,21 326:22	462:24 463:22	518:13,15 528:22	446:6,6 527:19
326:23,23 329:11	497:6 505:9	531:21	532:5 534:18
329:13,13 331:17	parentheses	part 266:10 287:5	pass 293:8 321:3
,	367:22	314:19 315:12	359:16 437:21
331:20,22 332:9	paris 303:7 312:25	328:16 333:16	passed 393:4
332:11 342:12	314:11 315:4	366:10,10 368:14	
344:3 362:15			

[passes - pledge]

	1	1	T
passes 391:7	pending 265:19	336:23 351:10	<b>ph.d.</b> 415:25
<b>pattern</b> 489:11	300:5 392:5	412:7	<b>phase</b> 526:7
<b>pay</b> 309:3 323:11	people 375:9	percentages	<b>phone</b> 266:19
323:13 331:11	460:14 496:9	298:21	277:14,15 414:6
338:25 344:18	percent 269:12	perculating	454:23
360:17 361:23	279:7 281:21	402:25	phonetic 375:13
362:8,8 363:11	283:21 290:5	perfect 519:6	376:4 465:11
364:21 368:7,9,10	297:16,21 298:25	<b>perform</b> 322:14	514:13
385:8 386:18	299:7,10 309:4	performing	phrase 282:12
388:11 389:23	310:10,13 336:16	291:10	367:24 368:3
390:21 391:5,19	336:25 342:13	period 283:25	439:3 468:10
444:10,21 470:12	343:15 350:23	284:2 346:7,18	492:15
470:24 496:19	351:11 352:5	348:14 354:22	<b>picked</b> 469:13
531:11	354:16,19,21	355:1,23 356:10	471:8
paying 364:19	358:5,11,12,12	356:15 373:21	picking 364:16
365:8 387:8,9	359:13 365:9	490:8,8 493:3	471:16
391:18,24 446:6	370:4,6,11,11,15	periods 483:1	<b>piece</b> 433:21
527:20 528:1	370:17,17,18,23	perjured 327:17	pile 357:5
532:6	371:1 381:21	perjures 327:13	place 268:4 305:9
payment 307:23	385:15,16 386:9	perjury 327:7,9	305:10 311:17,20
309:3,6,15,19	386:13,22,24	permanent 374:1	366:16 377:5
351:1,6 352:16,25	387:1,4,8,12,13,18	374:6 384:10,25	401:21 455:2,3
395:21 411:8,10	387:18 388:11,22	385:2	534:6
411:11 429:1	388:23 395:1	perpetuity 493:8	places 352:8
payments 310:8	397:11,14 399:16	<b>person</b> 303:2,6	plaintiff 261:13
339:1 345:16,23	412:9,10,11,14	370:20 389:14	plaintiffs 259:5
346:14 352:12	413:3 434:1,5,23	454:23 455:1	260:5 429:8
411:14,16 464:16	437:5,9 440:25	511:12 521:19	442:22
464:16,22	441:10 445:1,3,5,6	522:9,20	planning 350:21
<b>pdf</b> 297:5 331:21	445:6 486:5,8,9,10	personally 312:11	play 266:14
<b>pej</b> 294:17 424:10	486:12 487:10	339:18 340:6	435:17 495:21
520:18	491:16,25,25	371:22,25 372:1	530:4
<b>pejman</b> 262:21	492:5 493:9 494:3	perspective 382:8	playing 266:3
263:8 294:19	494:8,10,12,15,23	493:20 501:6	pleadings 449:16
penalties 327:6	494:24 495:5,13	<b>pertain</b> 467:14	please 267:10,13
penalty 325:20	495:14,21,24	pertained 502:10	292:6 334:8
327:9	496:4,5,7,10,12	peruse 453:8	337:19 338:15
penders 350:11,13	499:3 503:23	petersen 262:4	380:3 428:2
350:16 351:16	508:20 517:14	peterson 261:3	449:25 481:8
352:3	percentage 277:25	petersonbaker.c	pledge 299:2
	299:3,22 336:13	261:7	

# [pledged - privileged]

	241.24	2(0.25	20( 2 207 15
pledged 295:11	possible 341:24	prepare 269:25	296:2 297:15
297:16 298:15,21	436:18 447:12	270:2 286:24	308:2 378:2 405:4
298:25 308:10	possibly 437:13	287:4 290:13	480:3 508:25
pledging 295:18	494:21 500:1	292:20,22 335:9	price 316:19
296:3 297:20	523:9 529:5,6	335:19 337:10	324:16 342:19
plot 474:10	post 267:14	338:24 340:9	377:12 468:23
plural 438:10	456:10 478:15	413:21 414:13,18	prices 264:16
plus 305:1 311:3	486:12 501:4,21	419:25 497:21	380:15 456:9
373:6 413:3	506:2,2 508:2	510:2 512:22	503:18
<b>pod</b> 385:3,4	514:24	513:4,5,12	primarily 339:2
<b>point</b> 298:24 300:6	potential 295:17	prepared 266:11	486:23
318:25 319:2	358:16 361:22	267:21 271:20	primary 485:24
367:1 369:5	362:5 429:15	274:1,5 284:19	<b>prime</b> 347:9,10
375:22 385:19	447:20 483:8	285:3,4,8 286:1,5	principal 531:1
386:2 389:17	487:18 492:3	286:16 290:10	principals 374:19
390:4 406:19	503:19 526:6	335:15 339:22	422:9 424:11,24
430:23 432:2	potentially 376:24	352:11 413:19,20	428:19 434:4
445:20 462:23	524:8	414:4,10,19	447:24 448:22,23
472:9 482:6	<b>pouya</b> 262:22	418:19,21 481:3	463:13 465:21
488:19 493:6,7	306:23	489:6	505:20 532:5
503:7 515:22	power 398:14	preparer 271:22	principles 522:8
516:16,21 528:10	399:23,25	preparing 273:13	printed 314:2
528:19 530:23	practical 341:17	335:17,18 377:4	319:24
pointed 370:22	<b>pre</b> 456:10	392:22 433:16	printing 315:8,19
<b>points</b> 318:10	<b>precise</b> 440:10	518:2	<b>printout</b> 264:16
413:17 456:16	447:18	prerolls 407:20	316:19
457:3	precisely 388:24	present 262:19	printouts 315:3
portfolio 324:1	443:19 454:3	265:9 338:23	prior 312:3 334:23
<b>portion</b> 531:22	precluded 497:7	349:19 444:16	337:23 339:13
posed 499:9	predicated 497:8	518:13	373:4,11,13
position 265:25	prejudicial 422:21	presented 447:19	410:19 414:16
266:1 425:3	preliminary 326:4	521:24	450:3,5 457:23
436:16 465:5	326:20 529:17,22	presenting 522:1	474:12 496:20
positions 265:24	premium 361:5	presuming 359:11	531:16,18 532:20
<b>positive</b> 503:10,10	preparation	pretrial 513:7	534:7
possess 412:13	270:11 271:25	<b>pretty</b> 420:23	<b>private</b> 517:13
possessed 336:14	272:20 273:20	452:4	526:12
340:6	275:10 340:18	prevent 440:15	privately 495:6,7
possesses 524:22	341:7 400:25	447:16	509:24
possibility 341:22	402:21 462:8	previously 272:12	privileged 301:6
	481:1	273:2 295:10,25	301:18

[pro - provides]

<b>pro</b> 394:13,13	product 301:5	491:8	340:17 353:22
probability	377:2 391:24	projection 482:16	357:16 372:9,12
383:16	production 284:9	482:17	372:14 382:25
probably 269:2	324:13,16,20	projections 482:20	403:3 418:6 451:6
277:14 297:4	332:15 343:13,19	482:22,23,25	451:18 464:9,16
300:14 304:13	343:22,24 348:17	484:14,16 487:15	465:12,25 478:14
399:8 417:15	348:21 349:2,10	488:6,7 489:6,13	478:19 480:16
428:17 438:15	354:10 358:22	489:14,17,19,22	488:10 491:3
471:11 499:20	373:2 375:1 377:2	508:11,14,16	497:9 519:7
522:23 529:12	379:16 381:25	projects 488:19	523:12,14,17
probative 525:10	384:22 387:5	promises 390:15	525:13
problem 406:5	397:3 399:18	promising 464:22	provided 268:13
493:10,11 508:4	402:18 406:23	promulgation	268:20 270:4
518:19	407:20 422:20	467:3	272:11 273:18
problematic	425:19 429:1	pronounce 477:1	274:25 284:19,20
481:23 490:10	440:24 468:19	pronounced	285:12 289:25
502:16 518:19	528:3	415:16	293:16,25 323:17
problems 443:22	products 426:14	proper 297:9	327:24 328:7
492:6,8 518:20	professional	328:11 422:3	338:2 340:4 341:4
proceeding 268:23	478:20 479:3	521:2	347:17,25 383:12
proceedings	professionalism	properly 345:20	396:10 403:6
259:14 260:14	278:15	properties 345:23	408:17 421:10,13
322:9 480:4 534:5	profit 340:3	346:12,15 364:13	421:14,16 422:8
534:7,9,14	387:23,24 388:20	365:12	422:17,19 423:4,8
process 331:14	395:13 414:22	property 311:9	423:10,13,18,19
350:17,19 396:25	profitable 323:2	344:13,25 345:16	423:23 424:3,8,22
397:4	442:16,18 443:6	345:17,18 358:6	424:23 444:24
processed 520:2	profits 281:24	397:17 439:16	445:21 446:4
processing 325:8,9	329:22 332:12,17	476:12	450:17 452:15
325:22 331:2	332:19 387:14	proportion 387:24	459:24 464:3
398:4	389:19,23,25	proportionate	465:22 466:22
procure 360:25	390:4 391:5	387:22 397:10	467:9 477:8 479:24 484:14
361:7,11,16 363:16 368:9	464:18 467:10 486:15	<b>propose</b> 286:14	479:24 484:14 487:16 489:10
		protect 345:24 proven 485:18	508:10,15 517:9
<b>procured</b> 361:8 364:2	program 305:19	provide 265:21	522:17 524:8
<b>produce</b> 426:13	progressed 304:8 project 358:4	267:13 269:6	529:11
428:21 436:23	projected 356:6	270:2 278:25	<b>provides</b> 267:21
<b>produced</b> 474:7	483:4 484:10	302:7,15 303:10	272:7 340:21
474:19 498:20	485:6,7,9,19,25	332:17 335:13	430:16 496:25
512:14,15 513:7	486:1,2 489:2	338:12 339:3	498:6
	100.1,2 109.2		12010

# [providing - rampart]

			1
providing 323:19	purposes 300:21	326:6,12,13,15	382:24 404:25
341:6 348:5 467:8	412:5 435:4 487:9	327:15 328:4,11	405:8,10,10
479:15,19 517:13	502:1,3 517:2	330:7 338:14	408:25 411:8,21
provision 336:20	pursuant 266:12	339:6 361:10	413:10 423:13
337:17 340:20	280:12 308:10	363:21,22,24	438:6,23 439:10
371:9 386:8	323:6 325:5 350:2	366:17,18 367:5	439:11 440:7
389:19 464:14	350:3 353:3	370:4 372:8 373:1	445:23 450:22
provisional 318:24	394:17 409:17	373:15 375:5,5	461:21 462:1
320:6	<b>push</b> 507:1	376:9,19 378:16	464:25,25 473:18
provisions 337:21	<b>put</b> 272:5 285:1	384:16 385:6	475:19,22 477:22
338:3,5	289:3,5 328:13	387:17 389:20	507:7 516:3
<b>proxy</b> 513:16	332:1 345:7	390:1,2 392:5	526:15 532:18
<b>psa</b> 321:9	355:16 366:16	394:7 401:14	533:3
<b>public</b> 478:21	367:21 377:2	402:7 405:15,20	quick 286:11
479:4 503:13,15	378:17 400:4	428:17 442:3	287:12 292:19
503:16 504:15,23	409:18 420:4	448:10,20 449:22	294:4 359:15
506:17 507:3,19	429:12 436:16	455:18,21 456:5	499:14
516:24 517:3,14	453:5 475:24	456:20,25 457:10	quickest 516:7
517:22,25	498:24	459:6 460:15	quickly 408:10
publicly 314:13,14	<b>puts</b> 388:16	464:6 465:7,8,14	488:20
491:14 506:20,22	q	465:18 466:18	quite 487:12
507:1,11 517:19		469:17,18 471:7	489:11
pull 530:7	qualifications	471:15 473:17,25	<b>quote</b> 278:15,17
<b>pulled</b> 430:13	444:4	474:5,6,9,13,18	319:8,10,11,11,18
<b>punted</b> 512:24,24	<b>qualified</b> 417:21	475:12 476:20	401:25 409:18
513:1	417:23	477:3 493:25	520:21
purchase 299:20	qualitative 482:2	494:4 499:9,9,14	<b>quotes</b> 367:22
308:22 316:24	491:20	521:5 522:2	r
320:20 357:22	qualitatively	526:14 527:11	
381:21 394:1	485:13 492:6	531:7	<b>r</b> 267:12,12 303:13
408:18 409:4,17	quality 316:10	questioning 302:4	402:5 415:20
409:21 410:1	quantitatively	411:23	raise 298:13 316:3
448:25 476:12	491:19	questions 274:18	344:21 442:14
purchased 316:23	<b>question</b> 280:9	281:9,12,15,18	443:12,20 444:5,8
320:9	282:3 285:22	289:20 290:3	444:8 446:8
purchases 380:25	291:15 292:6	292:19 300:22	raised 345:7 462:8
purported 381:15	294:4 297:8,11,14	316:12 317:9	<b>raising</b> 426:1
411:22 412:2	297:19 299:8	321:7 324:23,25	442:11 443:22
purpose 269:9	300:5 303:5 305:6	326:3,24 333:12	447:25
481:1 502:11	305:17 307:15,24	333:14 341:13	ramp 305:20
	309:25,25 310:20	371:12,16 372:19	rampart 260:17
	317:7,10 318:1		262:14

[ran - records]

ran 358:4	realized 346:14	rebuttals 515:10	391:8 395:1,16
range 341:22	realizing 489:20	rebutting 501:1,3	409:16
ranging 483:1	really 295:21	rec 354:13,17,19	received 270:8
rate 471:2 485:22	317:2 332:3	recall 276:6 295:7	322:25 323:6,13
486:10,12 487:11	370:14 371:8	295:10,24 296:2,4	349:18 410:25
487:12,24 490:5,6	384:14 392:1	297:15,20 299:19	422:18 452:18
490:10,14,18,20	419:21 430:17	299:21 300:11,15	529:8 531:25
491:4,6 492:4,5	435:25 444:13	302:12 303:18	receiving 390:17
493:9,10 495:22	446:23 465:11	305:8 306:3,4,5	488:7
rates 283:19 491:3	471:22 482:25	311:1 322:11,13	<b>recess</b> 369:10
491:24	484:3 491:16	323:8,16,19,21	recipient 395:21
rationale 508:15	501:9 502:10	324:9,12,15,18,22	recognize 270:25
reach 352:24	524:14 526:18	325:20 326:2,5,7	271:18 306:20
496:9	reason 304:24	326:11,13,15	307:13 342:10
reaches 493:6	327:16 357:1	329:7 333:22	351:20 354:3
read 290:23,24	377:13 401:24	336:1,4,8,13,23	357:13 396:2,2
308:19,21 310:1	403:3 406:16	338:5 347:7	419:18,20 480:14
318:22 330:19,21	410:24 411:2	350:15 360:5,12	500:5,11 509:22
332:14 339:7	420:22 426:10,11	360:14,20,22,24	recollection
365:17 367:15	452:10,11,12	361:19,20,21,24	318:17 362:11
388:12 429:7	454:3 455:8,11,24	362:6 368:20	368:15 381:4,7
430:6 446:19,20	456:3 457:8 471:2	375:18 377:16,19	383:4 462:5 463:6
462:10 499:4,11	474:24 505:10	377:21 378:21	498:18 515:20,24
516:12,16,18	reasonable 424:25	379:2,3 383:6,14	527:15,18 531:15
528:25 529:3	425:17 426:16,20	396:13,16,19	recommended
533:5,6,13,14	426:23 428:23	397:22,25 404:1	402:5
reading 317:2	429:11,12 437:18	405:1,4 406:1,7	reconciliation
420:9 497:13	443:5 454:7,8	410:15,17,18	492:12
ready 352:12	477:14	411:7,23 412:17	record 267:11
428:25	reasonableness	441:8 450:10,11	293:14 306:10,11
real 268:16 277:25	425:21	453:3 515:6,8	315:2 332:14
278:2,3 282:16,18	reasons 508:24	520:6 524:20,21	333:20 334:9
282:20,22,24	<b>rebut</b> 511:12	524:25 525:1,4	339:7 369:12,19
359:15 366:4	514:9 515:20	528:14,15,18	392:14 415:19
416:13,15,15	rebuttal 496:19	529:16,25 530:2	478:7 485:18
452:3 521:14,14	497:4 502:1,8,9	530:19 531:17	499:11 519:24
521:15	511:2,3,8,13,16,21	recalled 297:10	533:23 534:8,11
reality 451:23	512:17,18 513:23	<b>receive</b> 265:23	recorded 368:19
realize 356:14	513:24 514:3,3,6,6	270:10 319:3	recording 525:2,6
384:21 489:20	524:17	322:17 358:10	records 405:5
		359:5 387:24	406:5

# [recreational - report]

recreational 291:3	referring 270.17	relate 354:6	romained 260.1
	referring 328:17		remained 268:4
291:12 305:9	367:3 480:24	related 308:14	remaining 307:20
384:7 457:21,23	504:6 527:7	412:18 514:24	remains 411:5
457:25 458:3	531:24	relates 483:14	remember 276:22
466:8 467:4	reflect 392:13	relating 365:11,13	290:19 297:18
recreationally	438:25 451:23	relationship	300:20 302:14,25
291:7	490:23	405:24	303:1 323:12,14
red 314:5 318:5,8	reflected 496:12	relative 429:18	326:1 331:13
318:12,23 319:3	reflecting 368:2	507:18 534:17	350:17,17 362:3
320:9,10,16,17	reflection 491:7,8	relatively 373:21	400:19 405:20
323:25	494:14 503:6	455:7 487:24	421:11
redirect 263:7,11	529:7	488:20	remit 352:12
263:17,22 293:9	reflects 392:7	relay 352:18	<b>render</b> 499:16
293:11 325:2	469:22 470:5,25	release 389:22	rendered 351:5
363:20 378:10	495:5	<b>released</b> 390:9,10	<b>rent</b> 360:17
409:1 475:20	refresh 296:4	relevance 295:12	361:19 362:6,21
533:20	318:17 362:11	316:6 317:8 468:2	362:21 363:5,14
redone 288:25	381:4,7 462:4	relevancy 316:5	363:14 368:10
reducing 495:13	463:6	relevant 316:23	rental 429:1
reduction 490:22	refuting 471:19	460:7 527:24	rents 411:8,10
495:20	regarding 269:13	reliability 521:7	<b>repaid</b> 358:9,13,17
refer 284:3 286:4	274:13 295:14	reliable 521:10,18	413:2
294:6 480:23	315:6,7 321:7	522:9,21 524:9	<b>repeat</b> 307:24
485:21 493:7	336:20 337:20	reliance 487:15	338:15 339:5
517:17	352:15 412:1	489:2	363:24
reference 275:21	424:14 445:23	relied 290:7 302:2	repeats 287:21
275:25 365:15	482:21 499:15	420:5 421:1,8,9,19	rephrase 322:2
430:3 450:8	508:20 509:8	430:1 520:2	456:25 492:17
517:10 532:1,8	511:5,18 513:20	522:19	replaced 408:16
referenced 495:11	513:24 514:15,16	relieve 391:4	replacement
495:25 528:22	514:17 528:21	relieved 390:12	407:11
531:21	531:21 532:24	relinquished	reply 420:17 439:1
references 501:17	533:17	350:16 352:4	repondent 478:3
507:20	regardless 409:25	relinquishment	report 275:5 277:3
referencing	<b>regards</b> 419:6,10	351:6 352:16	279:19 288:16,24
294:11	427:1	reluctance 447:5	289:12,13 290:10
referral 276:11	reimbursed 413:6	rely 273:18 420:25	290:13 301:23
referred 450:5	reiterated 285:1	521:15	312:10,14 340:25
454:15,19 482:3	reiterates 285:9	relying 522:8	340:25 356:8,11
493:1 503:14	rejected 368:25	remain 508:22	356:21 360:8
521:20			383:7,9 393:8
			, <del>-</del>

## [report - respondents]

401 0 10 17	515 10 516 10	· · ·	
401:8,10,15	515:18 516:12	representative	reservation
402:13,15,16,21	517:9 518:14,16	404:2	515:19
408:12 418:17,19	519:20,20,21	representatives	reserve 497:15
418:21,22 419:21	521:3,7,18 524:1	269:17,20 270:7	511:15 513:25
420:1,6,15,17	525:16 526:1	represented	reserved 510:22
425:6 428:1 430:9	528:5,7,22 531:16	371:22,25 372:1	resident 394:12
430:21 431:17,18	531:19,21	532:10	resolution 266:2
433:8 436:9,11	reported 259:20	representing	resources 446:17
438:21 443:21	302:1	274:24	446:18
448:19 449:12,13	reporter 260:19	represents 318:3	resp50017 332:6
449:22 450:3,6,7,8	275:24 318:7	363:10 470:20	respect 272:4
450:12,17 451:6	326:9 353:9	486:13	276:3 279:10
451:20 455:5,6	356:18 430:6	reproduced 427:6	281:12,15,18
460:18 461:18	534:4	<b>request</b> 278:11	301:15 302:16
462:10 466:4,22	reporter's 259:14	339:23 352:25	315:21 323:25
469:5,17 470:16	534:1	422:16 529:13,15	335:5 339:18
472:15 475:18	reporting 389:3	requested 270:7	349:5 365:20
476:24 478:23	<b>reports</b> 335:10	270:10 335:10	366:18 372:10
479:1 480:18,20	356:3 382:17,18	340:17 534:15	373:1 381:13
480:23,24 481:2,3	400:25 402:7,10	requesting 270:1	387:25 393:7
481:5,6,8 482:3	403:9,13,15	require 270:1	394:19 412:7
483:6,10 484:11	419:10 429:7,9,25	278:19 370:10	422:15 423:3
484:13 485:5	438:7,9,11,13,17	458:6,16 460:5	439:13 441:16
487:21 488:2,8	438:25 450:4	required 322:15	445:9 446:5
489:1 491:2,20	461:21,22,25	336:24 338:21	450:25 461:13,13
492:19 493:23,23	467:8 480:18	373:18 374:1	471:19 481:15,17
496:20,25 497:4	496:20,23 500:24	409:20 444:10,20	483:12 487:15
497:12,17,20,23	501:3,3,15,16	486:25 487:3	496:21,22 498:1
498:16,18,22	512:11,12 513:17	requirement	508:19 525:13
499:1 500:3,8,15	513:18 514:19	517:24	respected 313:24
500:17 501:17,18	515:10 516:4,19	requirements	respond 380:2
501:20,20,25	519:15,17 520:5	339:21 399:23,25	498:18 512:6,12
502:1,9,12,20	523:12 524:23,24	requires 338:5	515:8
504:2,6,13 505:7	533:6	410:4 428:25	respondent 267:5
506:6,11 507:5,17	represent 277:8	464:15 502:22,23	334:3 415:11
507:20 508:9,15	315:2 430:3	requisite 337:2	respondent's
508:20 509:3,6,9	436:21 509:16	rescinded 309:23	263:13 265:25
509:15,16,18	518:10	321:16 409:11	333:21 498:17
510:12 511:14,18	representation	research 375:16	respondents
512:2,10,15,17,18	522:13 530:25	resembles 287:22	265:18,19 333:22
513:3,18 515:3,17	532:10		402:16 515:7

Veritext Legal Solutions 877-955-3855

[respondents - s]

519:15	retire 397:9	reviewed 340:14	<b>rip</b> 298:2
responding 382:24	retired 359:11	368:17 530:1	risk 447:20 482:20
response 352:19	retirement 359:3	reviewing 284:16	482:22 484:15
352:22 370:3	359:4,10	383:10 386:11	485:20,25 486:3
371:11 372:19	retroactive 333:3	525:15 529:16,25	491:8 503:4
404:24 405:7	retroactively	reviews 516:13	risks 485:21
414:7 430:10	331:11	revisit 432:2	road 389:18 412:6
439:9 440:6	return 335:24	<b>rgc</b> 371:20	<b>role</b> 317:3 318:14
465:15 489:20	392:11 471:2	<b>rid</b> 408:1	romanette 365:9
501:19 515:8	returned 369:3	ridiculous 514:8	367:2,14 368:5,8
responsibility	407:8	ridiculously	386:18
443:10	returns 335:9,16	492:11,16	<b>roof</b> 366:6
responsible 335:6	335:17,18,19,19	<b>right</b> 295:5,16	<b>room</b> 349:14
339:2 361:11	335:21 340:14	305:8 308:11	364:5 409:4 410:8
386:9 441:22	392:22 393:6	310:14 315:15	rough 320:1
527:16,20,25	503:4,5	319:23 320:5	roughly 277:25
rest 271:11 333:15	revealed 448:24	324:4 325:8	route 447:13
427:14	449:7	326:14 327:10	rows 362:20
restate 521:5	<b>revenue</b> 281:13	329:17 358:18	<b>rule</b> 459:15,19
restaurants	329:20 356:15	367:1,1 373:9	510:23 513:5
278:20	391:22 397:15	375:12 385:15	514:4 524:10,14
restricted 486:16	435:24 469:9	388:20 390:22	<b>ruled</b> 510:25
restrictions	472:1,10 482:11	391:3 394:25	514:2
484:12	482:12 486:17	398:8 399:24	<b>rules</b> 338:25
result 448:1 492:8	488:15 508:11	402:14 412:6	<b>ruling</b> 316:4,20
521:23 526:1	510:2 511:22	418:10 419:4	486:17 498:8,11
resulted 524:1	512:13,19,21	421:18,24 427:20	513:21,22 532:20
527:9	513:9 516:25	435:11,22 441:2	<b>run</b> 261:4 325:12
results 439:7	517:13 525:13,17	455:17 460:20	353:6,10,15
492:7 522:1	525:20 526:9,12	463:22 471:11	355:25 425:23
retail 354:11,13,14	526:20,21	475:6 478:24	444:23 458:7
354:17,18	revenues 348:14	497:16 498:20	464:10
retain 312:11	354:15 356:6,17	506:24 510:22	running 325:18
retained 277:4	356:20 436:23	511:10,15,16,17	328:24 330:10,22
418:6 419:8,8,25	470:10 471:1,7	513:20,25 515:20	331:3 332:16
480:3 499:22	482:6 487:1	516:14 518:7	385:9 390:16
retaining 338:17	488:13,21 518:5,7	519:12,13 522:6	S
339:13 445:6	review 337:15	523:2	<b>s</b> 267:12 303:12,13
retell 354:19	356:2 405:25	<b>rights</b> 395:12	334:10 402:5
retention 338:11	481:3 509:5	<b>ring</b> 449:4	438:11
339:3,18	519:14,17 534:14		

	1	1	
salary 487:3	370:17,22 377:13	519:21	331:23 332:12
sale 279:3,5	381:14 385:25	scroll 531:3	347:6 352:1
305:10 310:19	387:20 390:9	seasoned 318:12	362:18,22 363:3
311:8 316:24	430:16 453:21	sec 315:11	367:3,17,18 381:4
320:20 342:19	458:24 489:24	second 283:20	381:7 382:11
374:11,12 379:9	490:20 493:12	284:22 285:7	383:11,18,20,22
379:12,16,20	514:7	287:3,21,25 288:1	386:20 401:10,15
380:7,14 381:15	says 278:13,15	288:9 293:22,25	401:22 420:19,21
394:2 406:19	284:22 285:17	295:1 306:10,24	421:1 426:20
408:18 409:4,17	287:19 288:2	314:2,22 318:9	427:10 431:6
409:21 410:1	290:9 308:2,13,22	319:2 342:13	432:20,21 433:8
463:3 476:2	309:14 310:7	345:6 391:10	433:14 444:3
503:23	318:10,23 319:14	408:2 436:12	453:21 456:7,8,10
sales 277:18 279:8	319:18 320:9,9	460:22 472:22	456:11 461:1,2
282:14 283:18	329:17 330:9	485:4 490:2	462:24 463:1,4,5
302:16 305:9	332:8,11 357:19	498:25 500:8,17	463:23 464:1
308:18 309:4	365:7,24 366:19	500:23 501:2,17	472:20 473:2
348:13 354:5	367:2,14,15 370:9	501:19,20 502:12	488:15,16,17
355:10,13,24	386:2,8 387:11,14	504:12 505:8	492:22 518:20
356:23 374:10,19	388:7 414:9 423:9	507:5 508:9	528:9,12,21
379:11 380:13	428:4 433:8	secretary 272:2,3	529:21,23 530:9
403:17,20,21	436:13 459:16	288:20 289:1	531:8,13 532:1
404:10,15,17,18	463:2,22,25	section 337:16	seek 266:11
404:19 405:1	486:18 496:19	365:5 367:9	seeking 265:16
406:9,20 410:21	506:8 522:14	388:12 410:3	335:25 442:22
410:25 411:22	529:22 530:9	412:7 423:24	seen 303:15
412:2,3 422:9	scenarios 482:17	470:16 471:3	315:23 401:6
455:9 466:9 525:3	482:17 484:11	481:10,12 486:18	449:11 526:19
525:6	485:11,12	505:9	530:18 531:18
san 268:1	schedule 365:14	sections 482:2	<b>sees</b> 367:6
sat 421:12 426:10	<b>school</b> 267:14	secured 345:20	selection 339:10
475:14,16	282:25 415:22	security 350:21	507:20 518:12
saudi 398:15	478:15	<b>see</b> 271:14 277:5,6	524:6
save 382:14	schooling 267:23	283:23 284:8,23	self 295:17
savings 531:11	<b>science</b> 478:16	296:20 304:15	sell 292:11 304:17
saw 278:18 455:6	scope 295:19	308:3 309:4,7,17	306:6 377:11,20
512:4 531:15	394:4 429:24	310:10 314:3	470:23 474:24
saying 266:21	443:14 453:24	316:8 318:3,5,9,15	476:1 495:8
282:7 307:19	460:8 466:15	318:24 319:2,5,8,9	seller 278:21
320:11 328:14	496:23 505:3	319:13,15,17,18	291:21 292:10,11
343:1 347:23	506:11 510:5	329:22 330:8,10	467:13 476:5,14

# [selling - six]

<b>III:</b> 270.22	2(0.01.05.2(0.0	522.12	442 12 442 4 22
selling 278:22	368:21,25 369:2	522:13	442:12 443:4,22
292:8 307:22	seven 269:12	sheets 283:12	482:11 492:7
408:3 468:22	281:21 344:18	302:13 382:25	493:13,24,25
sells 291:21	346:9 359:19	414:15	significantly
senior 479:10	496:5,9	shepherd 416:6	484:23
sense 436:21	shane 298:7,16	<b>shift</b> 507:4	signing 393:22
470:19 523:19	324:3,12 339:15	shifted 489:3	simmons 349:8
sent 350:20	341:16 345:2	<b>shoot</b> 497:25	simply 272:21
sentence 309:14	347:19 402:13	<b>short</b> 373:21	279:11 340:21
365:19 436:12	418:25 419:6,9,10	388:11 396:21	341:24 410:4
489:8	419:13 487:16	491:18	465:14 496:19
separate 286:15	502:4	shortfall 388:9	singer 340:15
339:1 366:15	share 359:13	shorthand 534:3,9	single 375:24
separately 377:9	386:1 387:23	<b>show</b> 389:6 477:2	sir 279:22 294:25
september 306:2	397:14 408:14	477:5 506:23	419:15 432:4
311:19 394:2,9	472:19 473:1	507:16	435:9 439:19
395:18	503:18	<b>showed</b> 476:24	450:10 462:25
serendipity	shared 357:25	showing 381:14	sit 410:24 411:25
474:13	397:11	470:15	443:18 445:15
series 325:6	shares 274:25	shown 422:19	447:4 467:6
serve 318:13 484:7	295:18 296:3	477:4	site 344:9,10 345:7
served 268:22,25	299:22,24 319:4	shriveport 416:8	346:9 448:18
496:19	320:2 397:10	sic 275:7	sites 345:8,10,12
services 351:4	<b>sheet</b> 270:5,13,14	side 319:14 368:22	sitting 275:4 293:1
session 291:5	272:12,15,24	498:25	310:12 345:23
488:4	273:17 284:3,7	sides 368:18	388:8 406:12
set 272:18 304:25	285:5,14,14,20,21	sign 307:5 320:23	444:3 456:4
322:18 354:6	288:3,23 289:25	signature 393:18	466:11 467:19
355:14 356:21,23	290:8 294:6,11	393:19,20,21	468:21 473:9
357:16 438:21	323:10 340:2	396:3,7 534:24	527:18
450:4 466:4	341:21 343:8	signatures 306:20	situated 364:13
468:22 473:18	344:1 347:6	signed 278:23	situation 321:1
480:12 482:13	380:11 382:22	306:22,24 307:10	354:20 370:15
485:4 489:11	383:1,4,12 401:16	309:10 346:2	445:8 447:2 454:7
491:1 504:25	421:9,10,19,20,21	347:3,5 393:23	459:5 460:13
505:6 506:13,19	422:23,25 423:1,3	394:10	514:4
507:12 512:2	433:2,4 436:7,17	significant 345:18	situations 469:20
534:6	450:16 451:7,19	377:21,23 378:5,8	521:9
settled 429:15	453:9 460:24	378:13,19,20,23	six 303:21 304:18
settlement 346:1	461:4 463:7	380:25 398:6	396:18 401:9
347:4 351:24	469:10,25 477:18	405:24 429:3	473:2 495:14,20

# [six - stated]

507:23 526:21	362:8 367:7 372:2	speaks 496:17	square 329:20
size 518:7	395:8 407:12	<b>spec</b> 329:19	397:18,24 399:9
skew 274:9	420:8 423:23	special 267:23	399:10,15,22
skill 355:16	463:21 469:7	340:25 404:5	<b>stabilize</b> 493:8,22
skip 421:8	sort 265:17,21	specialize 278:4	stable 487:25
slight 420:23	266:7,10 278:14	specific 272:3	staff 391:24 487:3
slightly 493:19	289:22 298:20	328:11,14,16	stage 485:17
small 291:15	302:14 318:10	494:25 501:11	491:23
323:14,15 491:13	319:13 433:17	505:16 506:3,4,10	stages 440:24
smart 353:22	437:14 462:7	513:24	492:1
social 400:14	464:11 471:17,24	specifically 265:16	stagnant 441:20
<b>society</b> 479:11	497:18 516:8	289:14 320:12	stand 270:18,20
sold 291:7 304:20	526:11 532:12	336:20 337:16	358:8 475:18
304:22 313:20,22	533:8	341:12 347:5	standard 280:25
350:25 380:16	sorts 446:20	383:14 433:7	371:1,1 526:11
426:12 455:25	<b>sought</b> 360:16	482:24 501:7	standing 404:6
457:20,23 473:7	363:14 412:3	505:8 527:17	standpoint 442:6
473:20 474:14	487:17 528:23	specifics 338:1	518:23
486:21,24 487:6	sounds 391:3	spectacular 398:3	start 305:19,20
<b>sole</b> 271:22	423:8 430:5	spectrum 407:5	329:12 330:10
solely 469:19	source 315:16,20	482:18	348:6 349:1 369:6
solution 375:2	377:4 409:25	speculation	446:16 491:24
solutions 311:5	425:20 444:9	327:14,20 328:2	started 265:9
357:21 434:25	464:15 485:3	466:14 475:3,4	304:24 313:7
437:6,10	<b>sources</b> 289:21	<b>spell</b> 267:10 334:8	359:23 369:17
somebody 268:17	343:2 448:8	415:18	416:11 423:14
280:5 372:7	520:23,24 521:2,6	spelling 303:9	465:19 486:8
391:11 397:5	south 260:17	422:3	529:12
475:25 476:2,11	262:14 362:20	spend 290:17,18	startling 301:25
529:3	364:13 415:24	300:10 369:25	state 260:20
somewhat 512:9	<b>space</b> 468:12	381:24 401:7	267:10 277:3
<b>soon</b> 455:7	486:19	405:24 419:12	279:19 334:8
sorry 276:18	<b>span</b> 480:1	spent 312:13	345:24 373:22
277:21 284:1,6	speak 278:7,10	spoiled 407:7	374:2,4,5 406:19
292:21 296:1	293:5 414:3	spoke 375:14,15	415:25 416:7
299:9 307:24	495:10 496:17	413:21,24 414:6	417:17 478:7,9
312:17 321:22	514:23 520:17	481:5 504:18	523:4 534:4
323:9 324:21	speaking 278:9	520:19 521:19	stated 367:4
325:14 326:12	468:5 496:22	spread 290:15	447:18 497:5
329:14 339:5	532:21	spreadsheet	532:23
347:1 355:9 362:2		353:17	

## [statement - tab]

statement 272:9	striking 498:21,22	successful 442:8	sure 273:17
310:22 328:22	structure 313:15	sudden 493:13	276:12 284:12
329:5 340:3	322:23 364:21	513:19	305:7 314:23
382:23 401:18	365:21,25 500:23	suddenly 504:7	321:14 324:22
447:17 486:22	structured 464:24	sued 303:24	338:16 341:3
506:9	structures 384:10	sufficient 386:18	361:3 369:19
statements 283:11	studies 495:10,12	397:8 442:14	388:15 406:11
283:11 414:23	496:1	483:3,17	407:9 408:5
450:11 522:4,7,19	stuff 313:19,22	suggesting 385:22	427:11 437:1,24
522:20	421:19 514:10	386:15 387:19	439:12 443:1,8
states 268:17	styled 265:5	389:17	444:14,18 453:17
statistics 416:12	subject 309:6	suit 345:25	461:18,18 489:11
status 321:8 348:5	503:19,25 515:4	suite 260:17 262:7	498:2 515:14
steel 399:21	519:23	262:15	519:18 520:19
step 441:23	subjective 517:16	summarily 532:23	527:2 531:2
steps 321:13	submitted 403:2	532:25	surprise 513:19
339:17	403:14,16 438:13	summarize 360:14	surprised 276:13
stepwise 451:11	505:4 511:13	summary 335:14	suspect 429:11
stipulate 355:17	512:10	412:23 491:4	suspenders 498:3
<b>stock</b> 264:16	subscribed 534:20	summer 336:1	sustain 431:21
269:12 316:19	subsequent 490:7	sunday 277:1,9	sustained 320:13
319:11,11 325:15	508:3	<b>super</b> 496:9	322:1 327:22
stonehill 415:23	subsequently	supplement 497:8	328:3,18 330:5
<b>stop</b> 317:6 491:18	483:21	505:10 510:14,15	382:3 456:24
522:6	subsidiaries 323:5	510:21	466:20 492:17
story 387:16	subsidiary 310:25	supplementation	509:11 510:6
straight 488:21,22	343:21 380:24	510:24	switch 505:18
<b>stream</b> 486:6	substantial 447:20	supplemented	switches 502:12
streamline 320:25	457:9 460:3,3	529:14	switching 505:22
street 261:18	496:3	supply 377:2	<b>sworn</b> 267:6
345:17 348:12	substantially	<b>support</b> 265:22	294:21 334:4
354:14,17 355:25	376:23	441:13	415:12 478:4
360:18 362:21	substantiation	<b>suppose</b> 291:17	534:8
364:14,22	292:2	444:19 464:14	t
strictly 290:7	substantive 484:3	supposed 305:18	<b>t</b> 262:13 267:12
strike 276:8	subtract 283:8	314:1 323:11	303:12 415:20
429:22 496:14	subtracted 272:21	325:7,10,11,17,24	tab 383:7 418:15
497:23 498:2	279:13 285:1	362:7,8 363:15,15	418:16,21 419:14
515:12 530:14	289:7 428:11	364:2 457:4	419:16,18,20,21
532:23	subtracting	509:16	430:1,2,2 449:22
	289:15 451:14		449:23,24 460:21

[tab - terry's]

460:22 462:10	512:22,25 515:14	tardy 332:18	temperature
469:7 476:23	520:5 530:17	task 272:3 280:9	398:16
478:25 480:11,21	532:14	tasked 372:7	tempering 484:8
480:24 497:4	taken 260:18	taught 282:24	temporary 375:2
499:2 500:2,10,10	287:13 306:12	416:5	ten 499:20 523:1
500:11 502:19	314:24 317:25	tax 283:10,11	tenant 365:9,15,24
504:13,20 507:12	369:11 408:8	335:9,15,21,24	365:24 366:2,5,19
509:3,19 512:16	437:25 473:15	340:14 387:10	367:2,16,18 368:3
512:19 519:20	520:8 522:7	388:1 389:3,8	tendered 352:25
528:6	532:16 534:5	391:4,8 392:11,22	368:19
table 423:18 424:1	talk 322:23 328:13	393:6 486:7,12	term 309:15,20
425:16 426:15,25	375:11,19 376:8	487:9,10,12	366:2,21,22,24
432:3,5,18,20	376:13,16,20	taxes 389:4,15	367:24,25 368:1,3
433:3,14,17	411:3 423:14	taxpayer 391:10	467:11
434:18 437:3,4,8	424:21 431:3	teach 416:10	terminal 493:7,18
450:17,17,19	433:7 462:11	teaching 416:4,9	terms 307:9,11
452:16 466:4,4	512:22 514:19	416:11,13,18	309:11 310:18
471:15,18 476:23	520:14 531:24	team 266:3	321:18 329:25
477:9	talked 275:3	tech 264:15 315:7	353:3 392:3
tables 421:23	301:22 303:1	315:7,10,23	393:24,25 394:1,8
436:13	375:9,12 391:15	316:21 382:9	409:13 412:19
take 284:11,13,13	400:8,9 412:17	514:12	453:6 464:17
286:9,11 287:11	447:23 486:2	tech's 316:2	470:13 517:22
288:16 289:11,15	505:3	technical 497:11	525:10,15
290:14 298:14	talking 275:23	497:16	terra 264:15 315:6
304:12 306:9,18	279:3,5 286:10	technically 388:25	315:7,10,23 316:2
317:24 319:7	287:18 297:12	technology 479:3	316:21 382:9
321:14 326:17	311:8,10 316:24	tell 276:16,19	514:12
333:16 339:17	330:15,18,24,25	290:24 362:13	terrence 263:19
342:2 359:17,20	346:7 348:23	365:2 368:17	415:10
362:11 364:25	370:21 375:22	398:24 403:8,13	terry 304:1,18
380:20 383:7,8	388:13 400:24	404:14,19 413:25	324:6,9,12 339:15
386:7 396:18	430:20 431:8,9	417:13 425:17	341:16 345:2
408:6 421:3	447:2 450:2	429:7 436:18	347:19 418:25
434:22 436:17	458:10,22 472:7	443:19,21,23	419:6,9,13 487:16
437:8,22 441:23	477:6 505:8	445:15 446:14	496:5,21 502:4,10
455:2 461:19	506:21 511:19	457:22 458:1	508:10 514:25
465:18 467:11	512:1 533:18	474:1 475:17	525:16
473:11,14 487:14	talks 317:2 430:22	499:5 530:17	terry's 324:3,18
500:10 506:7	431:1,7 492:19	telling 278:9	402:13 419:11
507:10 512:19,20		368:13	496:18 501:1
507.10 512.19,20		500.15	TJ0.10 J01.1

504:7	321:8 323:24	thin 471:8,16	413:15 422:3,21
test 355:16	324:3,18 327:13	thing 289:2 298:20	423:4,15 426:22
testified 267:6	327:25 328:9	298:22 302:14	437:15 444:16
272:12 273:2,16	329:3,4 333:18	321:10,16 344:23	450:8 451:5,13,17
286:15 294:21	337:1 342:24	437:14 443:21	453:2,5 462:17,18
320:22 322:8	343:3 349:15	450:10 460:23	466:11,24 470:7
334:4 360:20	350:7 352:6,8	513:16	471:6 480:11
364:6,9 370:3	358:25 360:5,22	things 283:15	483:2 488:4 489:8
372:19 378:1	369:17 370:6	289:3 328:14,15	489:18 490:24
380:9 381:8	373:23 375:23	369:18 380:19	492:7 496:15,17
382:18 385:21	377:14 382:13	391:25 398:11	496:22 497:18
396:22 400:9	384:14 385:6	420:18 446:20	498:16,22 499:1
404:24 409:7	388:8 392:1,6	447:23 486:17	499:12 502:25
410:11 412:9	405:2 409:5 410:8	488:24 493:8	510:19 513:6,14
413:18 415:12	411:4 422:15	494:9 496:8	514:8 515:11,13
416:22 417:8,10	423:10,12,16,17	497:19	516:7 520:20
439:9,13 440:6	424:16,17 431:14	think 265:24	524:1 528:20
443:15 452:14	431:22 437:16	266:18 273:2,16	531:20,23 532:11
468:4 476:24	438:7 439:10	283:22,22 285:19	532:17
478:4 504:23	441:2 452:16	285:20 286:18	thinking 400:6,7
508:24 520:20	455:16 456:19,23	296:14,15 300:16	470:10
531:9	462:9 466:24	300:17 302:11,13	thinks 466:15
testify 324:6 343:7	467:16 496:15	304:22 306:23	thinly 517:19
505:21 510:22	497:17 498:7	312:21,24 313:7	third 287:24
511:4,13,17	499:6 505:25	313:16,16 314:11	342:25 345:17
513:20,25 514:15	506:10,22 516:17	314:12 316:25	348:12 354:14,15
514:15,18 523:10	522:25 532:4	317:7 325:23	354:16,17 355:25
testifying 295:10	534:12	326:2 327:3,6,12	360:18 362:20
295:20,24 296:2	<b>text</b> 404:13	330:2,7 331:20	364:13,21 383:19
297:15 304:20	thank 265:14	342:3 346:23	393:19 444:20
322:11 324:12	266:16,23 294:15	348:11 353:15	491:1 500:15,20
326:3,8,11 328:7	333:14 372:2	360:1,20 363:7	500:24 501:3,17
342:24 343:1	415:6 431:25	369:5,7 370:3	501:25 508:9
377:19,24 397:22	445:7 476:17	371:6 375:12	512:2
405:4 410:15,18	477:22,23 504:21	378:23 379:3	<b>thomas</b> 379:6
418:1 422:21	510:10 533:22	381:5,8 385:13	thompson 395:7,9
430:8,11,11	theories 497:6	390:19 392:25	395:10
431:16 516:6,11	theory 416:12	394:13 395:6	thought 297:24
534:8	436:4 491:11	399:11 400:9	298:5 303:19
testimony 269:6	thereto 365:11,13	401:4 405:7	321:1 326:12
293:22 301:24	412:18	406:13,16 412:5	331:14 372:20

[terry's - thought]

378:15,19,21	346:18 348:19,22	518:6	touch 376:11
379:2 381:10	350:22 352:24	timing 304:4	touched 375:21
394:22 396:25	354:22 355:1,1,23	305:8 346:5	483:23 492:9
397:4,12 416:7	356:10,15 358:9	400:19	touches 488:12
425:2,17 469:8	359:12 369:25	title 331:21 334:22	town 398:9
thoughts 509:8	373:4,16,21	today 265:10	track 485:18
thousand 268:21	374:10 375:2	267:21 293:2	trade 507:2
277:17,24 470:3	378:17,21 390:4	295:20 304:21	traded 314:13,14
threat 448:4	390:10 392:21	310:12 311:25	491:14 503:17
threatened 298:20	396:20,21 397:12	312:3 333:1 350:5	506:20,22 507:1
three 275:14,16	401:20,22 402:6,8	377:24 385:2,11	507:11 517:19,20
285:17 300:14	403:8 405:25	388:8 406:12	517:24 518:8
308:1 313:10	412:14 413:3	410:24 411:25	training 497:9
356:7,12 358:1	416:1 418:7	418:4,5 444:3	transaction
377:7 380:13	419:13 424:11	447:4 457:15	314:19 318:15
397:9 398:22,24	426:18 428:14	466:11 467:6,20	396:23 397:1
398:25 438:13	429:6,21 433:22	468:4,21 473:9	407:3,4,6,13
480:18 481:18	440:25 443:20	519:23 527:19	503:15,21 526:7
493:9 500:1	446:1 454:19,20	today's 282:13	527:8
502:23 504:1	459:10 461:12,20	283:6 292:20,22	transactions
523:6,8,25 524:7	461:24 463:11	293:6 462:8	401:20 406:17
524:16 525:9	474:8 475:1	token 279:8	423:5 472:19,25
<b>thumb</b> 296:16	478:10 482:6	told 302:19 343:21	503:22
459:15,20 481:7	483:16 484:1	361:8 374:21	transcribed
524:10,14	488:19 493:4,6,8	403:11 414:1	534:10
<b>tie</b> 316:6	497:21 500:25	425:25 426:11	transcript 259:14
ties 491:20	501:15 503:8	428:23 442:10	296:8 297:4
tilt 399:2,21	506:19 509:14	443:9,22 447:24	326:20 328:11,16
time 266:9,11	510:11 512:5,14	460:14 463:12	431:23 529:16,22
274:7 282:8	512:17 513:10	466:3 472:1	533:5,13 534:11
290:14 298:8,11	520:9 524:18	510:18,18 515:6	534:14
298:24 300:6,10	528:19 532:18	top 287:19 288:2	transcripts 449:19
302:24 303:23	533:24 534:6	306:22 331:21	transfer 308:13
310:15,23 312:13	timely 325:22	332:9 509:21	310:9 322:20
312:16,18 320:23	times 268:25	526:21	345:10
323:3 329:19	300:13,14,19	<b>topic</b> 360:1 490:2	transferred 299:3
331:8 334:21	302:25 312:23	total 380:13 400:2	299:4,6 308:10,25
340:7,10,13	395:5 400:12,13	401:9 416:6 531:9	343:9 412:19
342:21 343:21	400:24 416:25	531:10,12	transfers 358:14
344:16,17 345:13	417:2,6,7,13 480:6	totality 527:23	transit 407:8
345:20 346:7,11	480:7 499:25		

# [transpired - unknown]

transpired 309:22	turning 347:8	typical 276:8	understanding
treasury 491:13	351:18 357:12	279:23	266:7 269:5,9
treat 341:11	409:3 410:21	typically 487:12	270:12 280:10,16
treated 388:6	504:12	488:22 491:23	280:22 282:10,11
trial 512:9 514:7	<b>twice</b> 401:6 478:11	494:22 503:12	282:14,15 290:20
trick 370:13	512:24	518:8 523:25	290:21 325:4
trier 518:22	two 268:16 269:24	526:4	329:8 330:13
triple 361:4	275:17,18,19	typo 283:23	331:1,5,8 332:21
366:11,13	283:15 285:17,20	u	332:25 338:11,16
trouble 353:16	286:15 287:8,19	<b>u</b> 303:13 334:11	340:20 347:10
429:4 442:10	297:16,21 298:15	<b>u</b> 303.13 334.11 415:20 478:8	356:10 367:20
447:25 470:8	298:18,25 299:7	<b>u.s.</b> 380:24	409:10 411:17
troubling 520:14	299:10 308:1	<b>u.s.</b> 380.24 <b>uh</b> 308:4 319:20	412:10 429:19
true 272:8 348:2	310:9,13 315:1,13	ultimately 339:10	440:21,22 441:15
356:24 519:16	315:15 316:13	481:20 482:9	467:16,19,22,25
534:11	330:22,23 341:25	481.20 482.9	468:16 477:5
truthful 327:24	342:18,22,22	504:2	527:3,5,22
328:4,8,15,16	348:7 349:22	um 303:4	understands 327:6
try 305:1 435:18	350:10 356:7,11	um 505.4 umbrage 487:14	understood 279:9
437:11 469:18	358:15 359:1,2	507:10	301:19 305:24
514:9	364:13 373:18	<b>unadjusted</b> 495:24	344:11,11 385:7
trying 285:23	380:12 382:6	underlying 271:7	423:12 469:8
298:2,13 320:25	391:6,9 392:3	307:22	475:10
370:13 431:20	395:20 405:7,9	underneath	undertake 272:4
465:10 502:6,19	419:22 420:18	278:14	273:22 338:17
511:14 516:14	433:23 434:17	undersigned 534:3	487:19
tuesday 404:4	436:14 437:22	understand	undertaken
turn 297:3 306:19	440:10,19 441:1	265:25 266:24	409:22 521:12
308:21 329:11	441:10 462:18,20	280:8 282:4	522:4
331:20 342:12	472:10 474:16	289:13 307:5	undertook 508:18
344:3 393:17	476:11 485:24	308:23 310:1	unfortunate
426:25 428:1	486:24 494:9	313:7 316:20	442:21
432:3 436:8	496:17 501:16	317:10 327:3,9	uniformly 459:12
449:21 450:16	503:13 504:3	340:24 343:6	unintelligible
460:17 463:18	512:21 520:9	347:20 366:23	459:7 471:3
469:5 500:2	524:13,17,23,24	367:25 369:13	<b>unit</b> 319:3
509:18 520:20	527:8	393:21 394:23	universe 477:8
531:3	type 288:22 400:3	441:9 444:14	university 416:1,5
<b>turned</b> 397:13	427:1 487:2	447:5 467:7 471:6	416:7 478:17
495:8	types 486:24	497:20 508:6	unknown 485:3
		515:3	520:24 521:2,6
		010.0	

[unlv - vegas]

<b>unlv</b> 416:9,18	<b>valid</b> 411:5	281:1,5 282:2,4,12	484:17,19 485:3
<b>unpaid</b> 361:19	valuable 435:19	282:16,18,21	487:22 493:7
<b>unquote</b> 401:25	valuating 420:7,15	283:2,4 284:23	495:20 503:3,7,11
409:18	valuation 274:14	291:11,23 292:15	504:9 505:15
unwilling 344:25	297:17,22 298:8	295:15 298:16,18	509:13 520:23
updated 414:16,23	299:1,11,25	303:19 316:22,24	521:13 522:16
418:21	304:14 310:13	317:1,9 338:12,18	523:17 526:8,13
upset 298:17	342:14,16 351:12	340:22 358:17	531:9,10,12
upwards 486:9	352:8 394:19	376:23,25 377:6	532:10
<b>url</b> 382:7	417:11 439:16	377:21,24 378:5,8	valued 343:14
usable 517:5	440:9 442:18	378:14,20,20,23	383:22 384:3
use 283:18 297:9	447:8,9 448:12	403:5 408:11,13	434:10,15 436:22
298:8 299:21	451:18 453:7,7	408:19 410:13	450:6 476:6
328:11 340:12	457:5 461:14,16	418:7,17,22 419:1	values 304:25
376:15 397:6	463:2 466:12,19	419:23 425:18	424:25 425:16,22
398:13 428:8,16	467:10 468:3	426:22 427:23	426:15,20 427:16
435:23 437:18	474:3,4,7,9,12,15	428:4,10,11,12,12	429:19 433:17
445:10 446:10	475:2 479:9	428:14 429:10,17	436:13,14,15
447:10 456:16	481:16,22 482:10	430:12,13,18	448:15 454:6
457:3 458:20	483:20 485:18	431:11,13 432:7	457:9 459:2,3
459:16,17,25	490:24 491:5	433:15,18,20	467:5 477:13,13
465:17,20 484:12	494:19 497:10	434:13,19,20	521:1,4,6,21
492:5,15 497:7	499:23 501:4,6,11	435:6,6,10,13,14	523:17
501:13 504:25	501:12,14,14,22	435:18 436:1,5,18	valuing 316:21
506:23 507:11	502:5,22 505:11	436:19 437:5,17	380:10 440:12
508:10 510:3	505:14 506:14	437:19 439:18	456:15 457:4
511:22 516:23	508:3 512:4	440:11,11,13	470:17 490:11,12
523:22,23 524:4	514:24 518:3,22	442:19 448:3,5,6,7	490:13,21,23
<b>useful</b> 483:19	519:8 522:2,18,21	448:16 450:7	<b>variable</b> 370:7,8
<b>uses</b> 487:10 488:5	525:7 526:3	451:8,12,16 452:5	371:2 399:20
508:2 521:6	527:25	453:9,13 455:13	various 421:6
<b>utah</b> 268:16	valuations 290:4	458:7,8,9,10,12,20	482:3,20 485:2
478:17,18,22	302:23 374:24	459:2,4,7,16,18,25	495:9 526:9,17
479:25	410:19 417:20	465:17,18,20	varying 400:4
utilize 397:5	434:17 474:3	467:11,12,13	vegas 259:17
v	479:16,19,24	469:19,21,22	260:18 261:5
<b>vague</b> 280:14	483:13 499:15,17	470:1,2,2,5,20,21	262:8,16 265:1
305:13 327:21	523:2,14,15,23	470:25 471:12,23	267:16 311:21
328:1,10 330:17	525:19 526:2	471:24,25 474:21	320:7 343:20,22
457:16 458:21	value 272:22	475:15 476:3,4,15	344:8,9,10,13
107110 100.21	273:3,7 280:24,24	481:4 484:4,4,5,8	345:18 348:11,24

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	[			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	349:8 354:18,20	<b>vote</b> 336:11	452:7 458:12	519:23
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	355:25 360:19	337:14 368:21	460:17 462:23	website 315:17
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	362:21 364:14	370:5,10	464:7 466:7 469:1	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	395:11 397:4	<b>voted</b> 305:17	469:5 473:18	websites 315:3
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	398:8 407:16,18	336:5,8	497:25 500:2	webster 263:4
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	<b>vend</b> 377:5	<b>voting</b> 336:13,23	515:14 531:6,8	264:10,13 266:24
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	<b>venture</b> 357:21	337:2 370:9,11	wanted 312:9	267:2,4,12 270:24
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	359:12 491:23	412:7	420:18,21 424:23	274:21 278:13
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	veracity 273:23	vs 259:6 260:6	424:24 428:21	282:1 284:18
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	357:2 410:25	W	437:11 455:6	287:17 288:8
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	412:1 508:18		471:22	290:21 291:25
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	verbal 299:15		wants 292:7,11,12	293:13 294:5,15
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	392:21			312:2 339:11,13
verbatim285:10 288:24 289:2 verifiablewaiting $514:1$ waivewarrant $279:20$ washington $340:16$ $341:7,13$ $342:2$ verifiable $522:15$ verification $522:24$ waiking $532:20$ walk $366:6,7,9,10$ $366:12$ $366:12$ waste $415:25$ $352:11$ $360:10$ $383:2$ $362:10$ way $274:10$ $363:2$ $283:7$ $40:125$ $401:25$ $401:25$ $401:25$ $402:25$ $402:24$ verify $289:17$ want $266:17$ $273:17$ $266:17$ $273:17$ $266:17$ $273:17$ $266:17$ $273:17$ $266:17$ $273:17$ $266:17$ $295:2$ $295:2$ $296:23$ waste $401:25$ $402:20,211$ $411:25$ $420:20,211$ $420:7,15$ $404:19$ $404:19$ $402:21$ $420:7,15$ vertical $376:20$ $377:10$ $376:20$ $378:13$ $467:20$ $453:11$ $455:15$ $475:2$ $475:2$ $481:23$ $475:2481:23$ $521:1,18$ $521:15$ $512:15$ $516:7,7$ $512:22$  weight $516:20$ weight $516:20$ weight $516:20$ weight $516:20$ weight $516:20$ $292:24$ <b< td=""><td>verbally 426:5</td><td></td><td>445:23</td><td>339:19,20,23</td></b<>	verbally 426:5		445:23	339:19,20,23
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	e e	8	warrant 279:20	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
verification 522:24waiking 532:20 walls 366:6,7,9,10 366:12waste 407:9 way 274:10 281:4383:2 396:10 401:25 402:8verify 289:17 424:23,24 425:21 508:18 522:4,7366:12 want 265:24,25 266:17 273:17 284:10,13 287:7 291:15 293:14waste 407:9 ago:23 393:5383:2 396:10 401:25 402:8versus 265:6 281:1 459:17 524:12 vertical 376:22 377:11 379:20 350:15 359:21,22 452:20 523:20 330:15 359:21,22 330:15 359:21,22 378:13 467:20 369:18,25 370:1 378:20 398:20 453:11 456:15 453:11 456:15 453:11 456:15 453:11 456:15 459:15 460:4 453:11 456:15 459:15 460:4 453:11,18 524:10 virginia 416:6 virginia 416:6 visits 400:19waste 407:9 waste 407:9 waste 407:9 366:12 283:7 393:18 397:25 440:12 2405:15 512:15 516:7,7 512:12 528:25 waste 40:12 waste 40:10 werke 266:9 294:8 301:22 306:17383:2 396:10 401:25 402:8 403:4 404:14,15 400:19	verifiable 522:15	U	0	
522:24waiis366:6,7,9,10way274:10281:4401:25402:8verify289:17366:12want265:24,25263:7283:7292:16334:15341:8,17404:19408:12,17508:18522:4,7284:10,13287:7360:10388:6410:9,11,15,18404:19408:12,17versus265:6281:1291:15293:14390:23393:5413:22412:24420:7,15vertical376:22298:19305:7320:19329:16436:18455:22458:8,19webster's303:15377:11379:20320:19329:16455:22458:8,19wednesday259:16464:24467:7260:15265:2378:13467:20369:18,25370:1475:13481:24475:16498:12455:4474:16view307:16374:7393:18397:25502:16506:10371:14474:16455:4474:16378:20398:20413:15,17413:15,17413:1,17512:15516:7,7337:14474:16518:13,17512:22459:15460:4424:16426:15,25525:12528:25weight516:6,9,20516:20475:2481:23433:1435:8,18440:10went267:25292:24298:22,22292:24298:22,22292:24298:22,22292:24298:22,22292:24298:22,22292:24298:22,22292:24298:22,22292:24298:22		e e	waste 407:9	383:2 396:10
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	verify 289:17		•	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-			
459:17 524:12291:15 293:14411:25 420:20,21420:7,15vertical 376:22298:19 305:7427:15 428:18420:7,15377:11 379:20320:19 329:16436:18 453:5420:17452:20 523:20330:15 359:21,22455:22 458:8,19vednesday 259:16378:13 467:20359:23 369:9,16464:24 467:7260:15 265:2468:11,17,22359:23 369:9,16369:18,25 370:1469:18 473:21week 404:4 455:3468:11,17,22376:20 377:10393:18 397:25484:13,21 488:14475:16 498:12376:20 377:10404:22 405:15502:16 506:10weeks 298:15,18378:20 398:20413:15,17 418:10512:15 516:7,7512:22459:15 460:4424:16 426:15,25525:12 528:25weight 516:6,9,20521:1,18 524:10433:1 435:8,18440:10wert 267:25virginia 416:6440:18 443:140:10wert 267:25visits 400:19440:18 443:1301:22 306:17309:24 344:22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
vertical376:22299:2296:23427:15428:18webster's303:15377:11379:20320:19329:16436:18453:5420:17420:17452:20523:20330:15359:21,22455:22455:22455:22427:15420:17vertically377:20359:23369:9,16464:24467:7260:15265:2378:13467:20369:18,25370:1469:18473:21week404:4455:3468:11,17,22371:6376:7378:9475:13481:24455:4474:16view307:16374:7393:18397:25502:16506:10weeks298:15,18376:20377:10404:22405:15512:15516:7,7337:14474:16453:11456:15419:12,16421:24518:13,17525:7512:22475:2481:23427:9428:1432:3433:1435:8,18436:12,24436:12,24440:10werve266:9294:8292:24298:22,22309:24301:22306:17309:24344:22309:24344:22				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				,
452:20 523:20 vertically 377:20 378:13 467:20 468:11,17,22320:19 329:16 330:15 359:21,22 359:23 369:9,16 369:18,25 370:1 376:20 377:10455:22 458:8,19 464:24 467:7 469:18 473:21wednesday 259:16 260:15 265:2view 307:16 374:7 376:20 377:10 378:20 398:20 455:11 456:15 459:15 460:4 475:2 481:23 521:1,18 524:10371:6 376:7 378:9 404:22 405:15 413:15,17 418:10 419:12,16 421:24 424:16 426:15,25 427:9 428:1 432:3 433:1 435:8,18 436:12,24 440:17 440:18 443:1455:4 474:16 260:15 265:2 week 404:4 455:3 455:4 474:16 455:4 474:16 475:16 498:12 weeks 298:15,18 337:14 474:16 518:13,17 525:7 512:22 weight 516:6,9,20 516:20 wert 266:9 294:8 301:22 306:17				
vertically377:20350:15 359:21,22464:24 467:7260:15 265:2378:13 467:20369:18,25 370:1369:18,25 370:1464:24 467:7260:15 265:2468:11,17,22371:6 376:7 378:9371:6 376:7 378:9475:13 481:24455:4 474:16view307:16 374:7393:18 397:25484:13,21 488:14475:16 498:12376:20 377:10393:18 397:25404:22 405:15502:16 506:10475:16 498:12378:20 398:20413:15,17 418:10512:15 516:7,7518:13,17 525:7512:22459:15 460:4424:16 426:15,25518:13,17 525:7512:22459:15 460:4424:16 426:15,25512:12 528:25weight 516:6,9,20475:2 481:23427:9 428:1 432:3433:1 435:8,18440:10virginia416:6436:12,24 440:17400:10weive 266:9 294:8301:22 306:17309:24 344:22				
378:13 467:20 468:11,17,22359:23 369:9,16 369:18,25 370:1 371:6 376:7 378:9469:18 473:21 475:13 481:24week 404:4 455:3 455:4 474:16view 307:16 374:7 376:20 377:10393:18 397:25 404:22 405:15469:18 473:21 484:13,21 488:14week 208:12 475:16 498:12378:20 398:20 453:11 456:15 459:15 460:4 475:2 481:23 521:1,18 524:10413:15,17 418:10 419:12,16 421:24 424:16 426:15,25 427:9 428:1 432:3 433:1 435:8,18 436:12,24 440:17 440:18 443:1469:18 473:21 469:16 506:10 512:15 516:7,7week 404:4 455:3 455:4 474:16week 298:15,18 378:20 398:20371:6 376:7 378:9 404:22 405:15 512:15 516:7,7337:14 474:16 518:13,17 525:7 512:22weeks 298:15,18 337:14 474:16453:11 456:15 459:15 460:4 475:2 481:23 521:1,18 524:10419:12,16 421:24 424:16 426:15,25 433:1 435:8,18 436:12,24 440:17 440:18 443:1469:18 473:21 469:18 516:6,9,20 518:13,17 525:7 512:22wirginia 416:6 visits 400:19436:12,24 440:17 440:18 443:1we've 266:9 294:8 301:22 306:17309:24 344:22				, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
468:11,17,22369:18,25 370:1475:13 481:24455:4 474:16view 307:16 374:7371:6 376:7 378:9393:18 397:25484:13,21 488:14475:16 498:12376:20 377:10393:18 397:25404:22 405:15502:16 506:10475:16 498:12378:20 398:20413:15,17 418:10512:15 516:7,7337:14 474:16453:11 456:15419:12,16 421:24518:13,17 525:7512:22459:15 460:4424:16 426:15,25518:13,17 525:7512:22475:2 481:23427:9 428:1 432:3ways 283:14516:20virginia 416:6436:12,24 440:17440:10wet 267:25visits 400:19440:18 443:1301:22 306:17309:24 344:22	-	,		
view307:16 374:7 376:20 377:10 378:20 398:20 453:11 456:15 459:15 460:4 475:2 481:23 521:1,18 524:10371:0 376:7 378:9 393:18 397:25 404:22 405:15 413:15,17 418:10 419:12,16 421:24 424:16 426:15,25 427:9 428:1 432:3 433:1 435:8,18 436:12,24 440:17 440:18 443:1484:13,21 488:14 502:16 506:10 512:15 516:7,7 512:15 516:7,7 512:15 516:7,7 512:12 528:25475:16 498:12 weeks 298:15,18 337:14 474:16 512:22weeks298:15,18 337:14 474:16419:12,16 421:24 424:16 426:15,25 427:9 428:1 432:3 433:1 435:8,18 436:12,24 440:17 440:18 443:1475:16 498:12 weeks 298:15,18 337:14 474:16weight516:6,9,20 516:20weight516:6,9,20 516:20weight516:20 292:24 298:22,22 309:24 344:22		· · ·		
376:20 377:10393:18 397:25502:16 506:10weeks 298:15,18378:20 398:20404:22 405:15512:15 516:7,7337:14 474:16453:11 456:15413:15,17 418:10512:15 516:7,7512:22459:15 460:4424:16 426:15,25518:13,17 525:7512:22475:2 481:23427:9 428:1 432:3521:1,18 524:10433:1 435:8,18virginia 416:6436:12,24 440:17440:10weive 266:9 294:8400:19440:18 443:1301:22 306:17309:24 344:22				
378:20 398:20       404:22 405:15         453:11 456:15       413:15,17 418:10         459:15 460:4       419:12,16 421:24         475:2 481:23       424:16 426:15,25         521:1,18 524:10       433:1 435:8,18         virginia 416:6       436:12,24 440:17         visits 400:19       440:18 443:1				
453:11 456:15 459:15 460:4 475:2 481:23 521:1,18 524:10413:13,17 418:10 419:12,16 421:24 424:16 426:15,25 427:9 428:1 432:3 433:1 435:8,18 436:12,24 440:17 440:18 443:1518:13,17 525:7 512:25512:22 weight 516:6,9,20 516:20wiginia 416:6 visits 400:19413:12,24 440:17 440:18 443:1518:13,17 525:7 525:12 528:25 ways 283:14 440:10512:22 weight 516:6,9,20 516:20				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
459:15 460:4 475:2 481:23 521:1,18 524:10 virginia 416:6 visits 400:19419:12,16 421:24 424:16 426:15,25 427:9 428:1 432:3 433:1 435:8,18 436:12,24 440:17 440:18 443:1525:12 528:25 ways 283:14 440:10 we've 266:9 294:8 301:22 306:17weight 516:6,9,20 516:20 went 267:25 292:24 298:22,22 309:24 344:22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
475:2 481:23       424:16 426:15,25         521:1,18 524:10       427:9 428:1 432:3         virginia 416:6       436:12,24 440:17         440:18 443:1       301:22 306:17		,	· · ·	-
521:1,18 524:10       427:9 428:1 432:5       440:10       went 267:25         virginia 416:6       436:12,24 440:17       440:10       292:24 298:22,22         visits 400:19       440:18 443:1       301:22 306:17       309:24 344:22				0
virginia         416:6         436:12,24         440:17         we've         266:9         294:8         292:24         298:22,22           visits         400:19         440:18         443:1         301:22         306:17         309:24         344:22			•	
visits         400:19         436:12,24 440:17 440:18 443:1         301:22 306:17         309:24 344:22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
440:18 443:1	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	volume 259:18		360:10 393:12	393:9 402:8
260.14 444:3,14,15,18 424.5,498.2 404.14,414.10,13				
449:21 450:16 424.5 498.2 404.14 414.10,15	200.17	449:21 450:16	T2T.J TJU.2	TUT.IT TIT.IU,IJ

[went - year]

	1		
414:22 452:5	478:6,13 479:12	330:19 334:3	worse 388:3
454:3 455:1 456:9	492:18 496:24	339:8 346:8,12,19	465:19 482:18
470:7	497:13,22 499:8	346:22,25 355:21	worth 282:8,13
<b>west</b> 416:6	499:12 504:20,22	359:16 363:24	283:6,8 372:21,23
whatsoever	505:5 506:3,13,18	367:7 369:14	373:6,7,25 374:7
381:20 430:3	507:9 508:8	371:17 379:2	455:13 456:2
521:21	509:12 511:19	380:2,4 382:12	470:13 474:17
<b>whereof</b> 534:19	513:11 514:22	383:10 386:11	write 329:21 331:4
wide 482:25	516:22 518:24	392:17 415:11	331:7 420:5
widely 507:3	530:5,9 533:20	418:2 423:17,21	425:14 455:4,6
wife 400:22	wiley's 405:11	424:3,10 428:19	520:24
wiley 262:4,5	wileypetersonla	430:25 437:21	writing 280:6
263:5,7,10,15,17	262:10	443:18 454:1	330:10 392:24
264:4 265:11	willing 292:9,10	455:19 456:7	469:17
267:1,9 270:23	352:12 467:13,13	457:17 459:2	written 372:9
271:4,9,16 274:18	476:4,5,13,13	460:12 462:20	390:24 438:7
280:14 284:12	winmill 345:3	469:2 472:23	wrong 462:25
286:14 291:24	350:11,13,15	473:11,25 477:23	511:18
293:9,12 294:3	351:16,25 352:3	478:3,12 479:2	y
296:10,14 301:4,9	wise 268:14	480:4,9 492:15	
301:12,15 310:20	withdraw 280:9	499:22 500:3	y 334:11 379:6
316:5,17 317:19	280:17 282:3	505:7,21 506:1	<b>yeah</b> 266:22 275:19 284:15
321:5,24 322:3	305:6 327:15	511:12 514:23	
324:23 326:2	330:7 372:7	523:6 530:12	305:12 307:25
330:4,17 332:6	373:15 390:1	534:19	311:3 325:23
333:22 334:7	402:7 455:18	witnesses 534:7	326:7 327:18
339:9 342:4,7	527:11	wondering 285:25	329:1 330:21
343:7,11 346:24	withdrawn 421:7	word 308:10 406:6	362:25 363:2
347:2 353:10,15	526:23	406:14 427:5,5	366:25 386:16,25
353:22 354:1	withstanding	words 290:5	387:6 388:3
355:19,22 356:19	265:18	407:14	394:10 403:1
357:7,11 359:15	witness 263:3	work 273:8 279:16	406:11 417:25,25
360:6 363:21	264:2 267:5	301:5,11,13 321:2	422:12 453:1,2
367:5 370:4	268:22 269:1	489:24	455:19 476:16
371:12,15 372:20	271:12 275:25	worked 312:23	498:19 506:18
378:24 379:1,22	284:16 286:5,25	439:20,23 440:1	515:8 530:9
381:8,13 382:2	287:2,5 291:25	working 386:18	year 268:2 293:20
384:20 392:15	292:2 293:8 294:9	391:19,21 392:2	345:22 346:10,13
394:3 404:25	294:12,16,20	429:1	355:23 356:7,7,11
409:2 413:7,14	296:18 305:17	world 376:6	356:12 389:8
415:3,8 440:1,2	317:22 321:3		413:3 416:5,19
. ,			472:2 489:17,17

[year - zero]

489:20,23,25,25	
years 275:14,16	
275:17,18,19	
277:19 278:18	
309:16 398:15	
416:1,6,7 461:22	
479:20 483:2,5	
490:7,7 492:11,20	
493:12,16,16,18	
493:21 494:1	
<b>yep</b> 303:22	
yesterday 265:9	
265:14 295:6	
324:4 357:5	
462:15	
york 261:20,20	
274:23	
Z	
<b>zero</b> 358:18	
394:21	

1 AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION 2 \_\_\_\_\_ BCP HOLDINGS 7, LLC, ) 3 JENNIFER GOLDSTEIN, ) 4 5 Plaintiffs, ) Case No. 6 ) 01-15-005-8574 vs. 7 NUVEDA, LLC, a Nevada limited ) liability company, 8 ) Defendants. 9 ) 10 \_\_\_\_\_) 11 12 13 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 14 15 BEFORE ARBITRATOR NIKKI BAKER 16 THURSDAY, JANUARY 17, 2019 17 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 18 VOLUME 3 19 20 REPORTED BY: 21 KENDALL D. HEATH 22 NEV. CCR NO. 475 23 CALIF. CSR NO. 11861 24 JOB NO.: 3196610 PAGES 535 - 593 25 Page 535

1 AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION 2 3 BCP HOLDINGS 7, LLC, ) 4 JENNIFER GOLDSTEIN, ) Plaintiffs, 5 ) Case No. 6 vs. ) 01-15-005-8574 NUVEDA, LLC, a Nevada limited ) 7 8 liability company, ) Defendants. 9 ) 10 ) 11 12 13 ARBITRATION PROCEEDINGS - VOLUME 3, 14 15 held Thursday, January 17, 2019, commencing 16 at 10:13 a.m. at the offices of Kolesar & Leatham, 400 South Rampart, Suite 400, Las 17 18 Vegas, Nevada, taken before Kendall D. Heath, Certified Court Reporter, Certificate No. 19 475, in and for the State of Nevada. 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 536

1 ARBITRATOR 2 NIKKI BAKER, ESQ. PETERSON BAKER 3 10001 Park Run Drive 4 5 Las Vegas, NV 89145 6 (702) 786-1001 7 nbaker@petersonbaker.com 8 9 10 11 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 12 For the Plaintiff Jennifer Goldstein: 13 14 15 FEUERSTEIN KULICK LLP BY: DAVID FEUERSTEIN, ESQ. 16 NANCY BAYNARD, ESQ. 17 205 E. 42nd Street 18 19 20th Floor 20 New York, New York 10017 21 (646)768-0591 22 david@dfmklaw.com 23 24 25 Page 537

1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Cont'd): For the Defendant 2 NuVeda, LLC: 3 WILEY PETERSEN 4 5 BY: JASON M. WILEY, ESQ. 6 1050 Indigo Drive 7 Suite 130 Las Vegas, NV 89145 8 (702) 909-5487 9 jwiley@wileypetersonlaw.com 10 11 12 KOLESAR & LEATHAM 13 BY: MATTHEW T. DUSHOFF, ESQ. 400 South Rampart 14 15 Suite 400 16 Las Vegas, NV 89145 17 (702) 362-7800 18 mdushoff@klnevada.com 19 ALSO PRESENT: 20 Jennifer Goldstein 21 Pejman Bady 22 Pouya Mohajer 23 Joe Kennedy 24 25 Page 538

1	INDEX	
2		
3	CLOSING ARGUMENTS	
4		PAGE
5	CLAIMANT'S	543
6	RESPONDENT'S	564
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Page	539

1	Las Vegas, Nevada
2	Thursday, January 17, 2019
3	-000-
4	ARBITRATOR BAKER: This is the last day of
5	the final hearing in the Goldstein versus NuVeda
6	matter. Again, rather than going around the table and
7	making appearances, will counsel stipulate that the
8	same individuals that were here yesterday and the
9	first day are also present?
10	MR. FEUERSTEIN: Confirmed.
11	MR. WILEY: Confirmed.
12	ARBITRATOR BAKER: I don't want to interrupt
13	closing arguments. I have a couple fairly broad
14	issues I would like counsel to briefly touch on during
15	their closings, and I ask that no one read into the
16	questions.
17	I know that's something that I always tend to
18	do when a judge asks, or an arbitrator asks me
19	questions and I try to read the tea leaves. Please
20	don't do that.
21	These are just some overall issues that, you
22	know, I was thinking about last night and this morning
23	and that I would like just briefly touched on in your
24	closings.
25	First, section 2 of the operating agreement
	Page 540

1 states, in pertinent part, quote, "Upon the expulsion or death of a member, the member's successor in 2 interest, estate or beneficiaries, as the case may be, 3 shall be entitled to receive from the company, in 4 5 exchange for all of the former member's ownership interest, the fair market value of that member's 6 7 ownership interest, adjusted for profits and losses to the date of the expulsion or death." 8

9 I noted in both the prehearing arbitration 10 briefs, the parties quoted that sentence up to the 11 point of -- then it goes on to say, "Adjusted for 12 profits and losses to the date of the expulsion or 13 death."

14 In other words, it seemed to be on both sides that that was omitted in the parties' briefs. 15 So I 16 would like the parties to briefly address if and how 17 the expert opinions and Mr. Webster's appraisal comply or do not comply with the entirety of that sentence, 18 not just the fair market value, but the fair market 19 value as adjusted for profits and losses to the date 20 21 of expulsion or both. That's the first one.

The second issue that I've been going over is that the expert witnesses have opined, and I'm going to paraphrase, the term "fair market value" means the amount a willing buyer would pay and a willing seller

would accept.

1

2 Understanding that there's disagreement as to 3 which approach should be used for the fair market 4 value analysis, I would like a brief explanation as to 5 if and how the values determined in the expert 6 opinions and Mr. Webster's appraisal comply or do not 7 comply with this definition of fair market value.

8 Then the last thing, and I would like a 9 statement on the record now or from the parties, 10 whether they agree or disagree.

But I understand from the parties arguments in evidence that for purposes of making a decision in this arbitration, I am to assume, without deciding, that the subsidiaries, Clark NMSD, LLC, Clark Natural Medicinal Solutions, LLC, and Nye Natural Medicinal Solutions, LLC are wholly-owned by NuVeda.

And in determining Ms. Goldstein's fair market value of her ownership interest in NuVeda, I am to include the value of those licenses.

So in other words, I'm not making a decision as to whether NuVeda -- as to whether those subsidiaries are, in fact, wholly-owned, that is an assumption I'm supposed to use in my opinion. And that the licenses held by these subsidiaries are included in the fair market value.

1 So my question is, is that correct? MR. FEUERSTEIN: I think that's correct from 2 our professional perspective. 3 MR. WILEY: That's correct from NuVeda as 4 well. 5 ARBITRATOR BAKER: Thank you. 6 7 Now, Mr. Feuerstein. MR. FEUERSTEIN: It's my turn first? 8 9 ARBITRATOR BAKER: You are the claimant. 10 MR. DUSHOFF: Burden of proof. 11 CLAIMANT'S CLOSING ARGUMENT 12 MR. FEUERSTEIN: Good morning, Arbitrator 13 And I would say on the record, thank you for Baker. your professionalism and conduct in the arbitration. 14 15 I think you've been fair and listened to all 16 of the parties and have been obviously very patient. I think that I would be remiss not to thank you ahead 17 of time because I'm going to get up caught up in the 18 argument here in a moment. 19 20 I think there are two main issues for you to decide in this arbitration. The first is whether in 21 expelling Ms. Goldstein from NuVeda, whether NuVeda 22 actually attempted to acquire a, quote/unquote, fair 23 24 market value of her seven percent nondilutable 25 interest.

Section 6.2 of the operating agreement provides that in the event all of the voting members cannot come to an informal agreement to the fair market value of the expulsed member's interest, the voting members shall hire an appraiser to determine the fair market value of the shares.

As I'll explain in a moment, there's simply no question that the disinterested members of NuVeda did nothing to try and reach an agreement with Ms. Goldstein and get an actual appraisal of the fair market value of her shares.

In fact, the evidence that we've elicited at this hearing shows that what the disinterested members did was that they valued the assets of NuVeda themselves and then simply asked Mr. Webster to form a simple calculation.

Mr. Webster did absolutely nothing to confirm any of the numbers provided to him by Mr. Kennedy. He just spent 10 minutes adding and subtracting certain numbers that Mr. Kennedy provided upon request.

That's not what section 2 is intended to accomplish. It wasn't intended to allow the disinterested members to make up their own valuation of the assets and then just have a third party add and subtract the numbers.

The point of the appraisal is to have someone independently value NuVeda's assets so that the expulsed member could receive a fair market value of her shares.

5 What makes this whole situation even worse, in our view, is that how arbitrary NuVeda's valuation 6 7 actually was. The balance -- for example, the balance sheets that Mr. Kennedy provided to Dr. Clauretie for 8 9 his reports revealed that NuVeda actually determined that the fair market value of NuVeda's licenses went 10 11 down from March 2016 to August 2017, even though 12 virtually every witness testified that those values 13 should have gone up, given the enactment of the rec in Nevada. 14

Thus, in our view, the appraisal provided by Mr. Webster has to be disregarded. It doesn't comply with section 6.2. And once that happened, the second question is what's the actual fair market value of Ms. Goldstein's shares as of August 8, 2017.

The parties have submitted no less than a dozen expert reports. And I hate to break the news to you, none of them is an exciting read.

While the paid experts predictably do not agree with each other's methodologies or their approaches, there are a few absolutes that I think you

1 can take from the reports and the expert's testimony. First, respondent's experts do not provide 2 any legitimate number for the fair market value of 3 NuVeda or Ms. Goldstein's shares, nor do they provide 4 5 even the tools for you as the decider of fact to even begin to arrive at a number. 6 7 In fact, Anthem -- I'm not going to try to pronounce his name -- did not even provide any 8 9 valuation of NuVeda. And Dr. Clauretie's calculations and opinions should be entirely excluded or 10 11 disregarded for the reasons I'll get to in a few 12 moments. Second, NuVeda and its members withheld 13 14 critical information from their experts that plainly would have affected their conclusions. 15 They never 16 told them about the MIPA. They never told them about 17 the operation. They never told them that the dispensary licenses were actually earning more than \$7 18 million in annualized revenue. 19 20 Third, while Anthem takes issues with some of 21 Mr. Parker's conclusions and his assumptions, there 22 are multiple ways for Mr. Parker and Ms. Goldstein to establish that the fair market value of her interest 23 is worth anywhere from 2 million to \$2.8 million, 2.4 25 assuming, assuming that the MIPA is honored and

1 considered in a truly intellectually and honest 2 matter. Fourth, the contemporaneous evidence, factual 3 evidence, corroborates Mr. Parker's calculations and 4 5 refutes the opinions of NuVeda's witnesses and experts. So with that said, let's dig in just a 6 7 little bit. As I said, the first issue today is whether 8 9 NuVeda complied with section 6.2 of the operating Initially, I would note that there's no 10 agreement. 11 dispute that respondents did not attempt to reach an informal agreement with Ms. Goldstein about the fair 12 market value of her interest. 13 14 Instead, it appears that Mr. Kennedy reached out to Mr. Webster and asked him to perform what 15 16 NuVeda now calls an appraisal. Well, what the 17 testimony yesterday confirmed is that Mr. Webster 18 literally did nothing to appraise the value of NuVeda. 19 Instead, he asked Mr. Kennedy to provide him 20 with a balance sheet of NuVeda's assets and 21 liabilities, and then spent approximately 10 minutes, 22 10 minutes adding and subtracting the numbers that Mr. 23 Kennedy had provided to arrive at his valuation of approximately \$1.6 million. 2.4 Leaving everything else aside for a moment, 25

to suggest that a company like NuVeda that held 35 percent in two operating recreational dispensaries in Las Vegas, Nevada, with a combined annual revenue of \$7.2 million, with two cultivation licenses, either in whole or fractional, and two production licenses, in whole and fractional, had a fair market value of \$1.6 million, which is just absurd on its face.

8 Of course, Mr. Webster did not care about 9 that because he was not asked to make any independent 10 assessment of whether the numbers Mr. Kennedy provided 11 were correct or reflective of NuVeda's fair market 12 value.

In fact, he specifically disclaimed doing so, both in his actual appraisal and in his testimony. And he had no prior experience with cannabis companies to determine independently whether Mr. Kennedy's assessments of value were actually accurate.

Before we get to the numbers, the process itself seems to be a breach of section 6.2's purpose. Indeed, NuVeda essentially rendered 6.2 superfluous because all that happened here is that NuVeda itself valued the assets of the company, and then just asked Mr. Webster to do nothing more than serve as a human calculator.

25

Even worse, Mr. Kennedy admitted yesterday

1 that he had created the balance sheet after Mr.
2 Webster had asked for one. In other words, the
3 document was not one kept in the usual course of
4 business. It wasn't something that was routinely
5 updated, it was done after they decided to expel Ms.
6 Goldstein.

7 Mr. Kennedy further confirmed that the source 8 of the valuation he used for CWNV was Pej Bady, who is 9 the largest shareholder in NuVeda, had the most to 10 gain by depressing the value of NuVeda in Ms. 11 Goldstein's interest.

Mr. Kennedy then did nothing, literally nothing to confirm whether the valuation numbers he had provided were at all reasonable to an independent individual. The process cannot be consistent with what section 6.2 was intended to do.

Indeed, the parties were first supposed to meet together to see if they could arrive at a number that they believe was the fair market value.

Then, if they couldn't come to an agreement, the idea was to send it to an appraiser, an arbitrator, if you will, to determine what was the fair market value of NuVeda's assets such that you can then deduct what the expelled member's interest were. That's not what they did here. What they did

Page 549

JA02268

here is they picked the number themselves, arbitrarily in our view, and just gave it to an appraiser and said add and subtract these numbers.

Of course in this case, as I just mentioned,
the conduct seems that much more egregious because
virtually all the evidence indicates that the four
licenses contributed to CWNV were worth far more than
\$10 million.

9 For example, prior to Nevada converting to a 10 rec state, Dr. Bady and his friend, Dr. Bahri, agreed 11 that Dr. Bady would pledge two percent of his interest 12 in NuVeda at a \$25 million valuation.

13 Shane Terry testified that he thought the valuation in NuVeda was anywhere between \$35 million 14 15 and \$50 million. Brian Padgett from CW Nevada, who is 16 a lawyer, testified under oath that CW Nevada was providing \$22 million of value to CWNV for 65 percent 17 of the licenses in Nye and Clark and MSD, meaning that 18 those four licenses alone were worth approximately \$34 19 20 million in or around December of 2015.

Virtually every witness testified that upon the conversion to a rec state, license values would increase. In fact, Mr. Terry testified that he thought license values would increase by a factor of five, given the additional customer count anticipated

for rec as deducted by RAND and other evidence in
 Washington, and I believe Colorado.

Thus, whatever the license values were in 2016 should only have gone up in 2017. But as I said in the opening, Mr. Kennedy's valuations actually went down. Virtually every witness testified that the valuation of licenses would increase if they sold them as a vertical enterprise rather than single licenses.

9 And perhaps most tellingly, the members of 10 NuVeda entered into September 20, 2017, purchase and 11 sale agreement marked as Exhibit 263, wherein one month after expelling Ms. Goldstein and telling Mr. 12 Webster that the value of their interest in CWNV were 13 worth three and a half million dollars, the members of 14 15 NuVeda agreed to sell 100 percent of the interest in 16 Clark and Nye to CW for more than seven times that 17 amount.

18 The purchase and sale agreement offered more 19 than \$22 million in cash payments. It offered a two 20 percent interest in CW, with, if you did some simple math using the documents that are in evidence, you can 21 approximate the three and a half million dollars in 22 present day, and a promise of indemnification in a 23 24 Forefront litigation, which obviously has some value. 25 There is not a single piece of paper, not

one, that respondents have provided that corroborates 1 Mr. Kennedy's self-serving testimony. Just like 2 there's not a single piece of paper that corroborates 3 Mr. Kennedy's claim that NuVeda somehow agreed to, 4 5 quote/unquote, offset the money that CW owes to 6 NuVeda, because NuVeda was concerned that it would 7 have to pay 35 percent of the operating capital despite the plain and unambiguous terms of the MIPA. 8

9 Despite all of this evidence, NuVeda would 10 still have you believe that it somehow followed the 11 letter of the operating agreement and to not breach 12 the covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

The other purpose of section 6.2 is clear: It was supposed to ensure that if the parties couldn't reach agreement to a fair market value, that there would be appraisal to arrive at that number.

It simply defies common sense in our view to suggest that 6.2 could be satisfied by selecting the values yourselves and then giving those values to a third party to simply add and subtract, to make no independent judgment as to whether or not those values are at all reasonable, but that's what happened here.

Without ever speaking to Ms. Goldstein,
NuVeda and its remaining members agreed among
themselves and only themselves to a valuation and then

asked Mr. Webster to put it on his letterhead.
 In fact, when Ms. Goldstein requested
 underlying information, she went completely
 unanswered.

5 Now, I suspect that NuVeda will argue that 6 Mr. Kennedy's valuation was made in good faith and 7 corroborated by perhaps Exhibit 257, the 2018 article 8 about the sale of Terra Tech dispensary, and it will 9 also be corroborated by Shane Terry's acquisition of 10 the cultivation and production license.

11 But as Mr. Terry testified, there are extenuating circumstances to the Terra Tech sale. 12 13 What's more, if you're inclined to consider the sale 14 of Terra Tech's one dispensary as probative to the 15 value of dispensary licenses held by CWNV, then we 16 submit that you also have to consider the Essence 17 transaction, where Essence sold a vertical operation with three dispensaries for almost \$300 million. 18

Mr. Terry also testified about the extenuating circumstances concerning his acquisition or his company's acquisition, including the fact that they were provisional licenses.

23 Moreover, Mr. Terry explained that within 30 24 days, literally 30 days of acquiring these two 25 licenses, he raised money at a \$3 million post

valuation.

1

2 So with respect to the first issue, 3 Arbitrator Baker, we think there's no question that 4 the Webster report cannot satisfy the purpose of 5 section 6.2.

The next question then is what is the actual 6 valuation of Ms. Goldstein's interest. As I said 7 earlier, there's literally 12 experts in this case, 8 9 and yet among those 12 experts, NuVeda does not provide one credible valuation of Ms. Goldstein's 10 11 Anthem Street reports do not provide any shares. evidence of the fair market value of Ms. Goldstein's 12 13 shares, and yesterday Joe testified that he's not offering a value. 14

And Dr. Clauretie's three reports should either be excluded or given very little weight. In fact, Dr. Clauretie admitted yesterday that his report is based entirely -- not somewhat, entirely -- on hearsay evidence.

20 Specifically, he testified that the source 21 for his market value of the licenses was none other 22 than Dr. Bady, who evidently gave him a document that 23 did not provide to us, despite our discovery request, 24 even though Dr. Bady testified that he had no 25 recollection of giving Dr. Clauretie a document.

1 Dr. Clauretie also testified that he confirmed the accuracy and applicability of that list 2 3 with an individual who was never brought before the arbitrator and asked to testify as to his bona fides 4 5 or why he thought the valuations were reasonable. Beyond that single 20 to 30-minute 6 7 conversation, Dr. Clauretie did nothing to call -nothing, literally nothing, to confirm the accuracy of 8 9 the so-called list that he was provided. So there's not a single reliable data point in his report that 10 11 should merit it being considered expert testimony. 12 Even if it were to be considered, the 13 calculation contains numerous assumptions that are belied by the record evidence. 14 For example, Dr. Clauretie concedes that he 15 16 never heard of the MIPA. Never considered the MIPA as an asset to his calculation of liquidation value of 17 NuVeda, that his opinion and conclusions depended in 18 19 part on NuVeda's inability to raise money from outside 20 investors, even though NuVeda didn't have to raise any 21 money from outside investors because it was CW's 22 responsibility and Apex's responsibility. And that he 23 had never valued another cannabis company before. 24 In short, Dr. Clauretie is nothing more than

a more educated version of Mr. Webster. He did

25

virtually nothing more than add and subtract the same
 valuations that Mr. Kennedy arbitrarily provided,
 without providing his own independent confirmation of
 those numbers.

As Mr. Dushoff likes to say, garbage in, garbage out. Even worse, if that were -- I gave you credit.

8

MR. DUSHOFF: I do like to say that.

9 MR. FEUERSTEIN: Even worse, if that were 10 possible, is that NuVeda other expert, Anthem, made 11 clear that in order to be reliable, experts should conduct some due diligence to determine whether the 12 13 numbers provided by the client are accurate. Here, as I said, Dr. Clauretie admitted that he did none of 14 15 So Anthem is actually making the argument for that. 16 me.

I understand that Anthem takes its shots at Mr. Parker's reports and that there's a dispute as to whether to apply the going concern method, which we think applies, or the liquidation method which respondents choose.

But neither NuVeda or its members ever provided any credible data points to its experts for them to make an informed decision as to which methodology to apply.

For example, none of NuVeda's experts knew that the dispensary licenses were operational, that the dispensaries were earning \$7 million in top line revenue. They didn't know that there was a MIPA that was allowing or providing that some other party had to provide the operating capital for the operations.

But even if you were going to say that you wanted to apply the liquidation method, so long as you used proper inputs, you would end up at roughly the same number.

11 So while Anthem is critical of Mr. Parker, 12 it's really throwing stones in glass houses because 13 it, too, didn't have the data to make the proper 14 underlying assumptions. However, Anthem conspicuously 15 does not provide its own valuation of NuVeda, or even 16 can correct a number that Mr. Parker provides.

What's more, there's plenty of evidence in this record that supports Mr. Parker's conclusion, assuming again that the MIPA is honored in an intellectually honest fashion that Ms. Goldstein's shares are worth approximately \$2.8 million, that is, percent of the \$8 million that Mr. Parker concluded.

For example, as I mentioned, Brian Padgett testified that CW is providing \$22 million of capital

to earn 65 percent of CWNV, leaving NuVeda with \$12
million of equity in the enterprise.

If you credit Shane Terry's testimony, he corroborated that number and believe that the value of NuVeda's licenses should have increased by a factor of five after rec became legal in Nevada because how many more customers you would expect, you would end up with a valuation of \$2.72 million for Ms. Goldstein's interest.

That is, you would take the \$12 million of equity, multiply it by five, and then reduce it by seven percent to reduce Ms. Goldstein's interest, and then another 28 percent for lack of control and a lack of marketability.

You also have Mr. Parker's calculation that 15 16 he did on the record where he testified that if we use the actual rec numbers for CWNV's dispensaries in July 17 2017, which Mr. Kennedy claimed were accurate, and 18 then use the actual numbers for CW's production and 19 20 cultivation facilities as a proxy to what NuVeda should be entitled to under the terms of the MIPA, and 21 22 then you use the number six as the revenue multiplier, as Anthem confirmed has done with private cannabis 23 24 companies, you get approximately \$2.1 million as the valuation for Ms. Goldstein's interest. 25

Finally and perhaps most tellingly, you have the purchase and sale agreement that was marked as Exhibit 263. I'm sure NuVeda will argue that the document should not be considered because it was never performed, it was ultimately rescinded, it's dated beyond the date of the expulsion and so on.

7 Of course, NuVeda's own expert, Dr. Clauretie 8 date post expulsion, so NuVeda cannot have it both 9 ways. Moreover, whether the agreement was ever 10 performed or not or ultimately rescinded does not 11 change the fact that the parties that entered into the 12 agreement 32 days after Webster issued his appraisal.

13 The agreement reflects that the parties, 14 including NuVeda and its members, had a meeting of the minds and had agreed that the fair market value of 15 16 NuVeda's interest in CW would be worth at least \$22 17 million in monthly payments, approximately three and a 18 half million dollars in CW stock, and an additional valuation for the indemnification in the Forefront 19 20 litigation.

In other words, there was at least -- well, there was at that moment, a willing buyer and a willing seller, willing to pay more than 25 and a half million dollars cash, plus additional value.

25

This agreement reflects that NuVeda did not

1 itself believe that its interest in CWNV was worth 2 only three and a half million dollars. It's beyond 3 credible to suggest that any member of NuVeda truly 4 believed that its assets were worth almost seven times 5 less than they actually were.

That the parties later had buyer's or seller's remorse or realized that they had entered into an agreement that wholly undermined their litigation position is no consequence.

The simple point is that they cannot reasonably claim that they believed in good faith that the valuation of CWNV was three and a half million dollars on August 7, 2018, and then literally sit here and claim that that agreement somehow morphed, or that interest somehow morphed seven times in 32 days without any explanation.

So even if you conclude that you can't use the data point to truly value NuVeda, we would submit that the purchase and sale agreement is terrific evidence to discredit respondent's ipse dixit claims that they deem in good faith believe NuVeda's interest was only three and a half million dollars.

Of course in our view, the evidence shows that NuVeda actually had reason to believe that the agreement or its terms was probable or likely to

happen when Ms. Goldstein was being expelled.

1

This was an act of cold blood. The fact is recreational sales began in 2017. One month later, the members of NuVeda decided to expel Ms. Goldstein. Remember, Ms. Goldstein sued the company in 2015. Only months later the members expelled Mr. Terry, but they waited more than a year and a half to expel Ms. Goldstein.

9 And that timing just so happened to coincide 10 with the onset of rec sales, and it just so happened 11 to come one month after this phony -- one month before 12 they sold their interest to CW for over 25 and a half 13 million dollars.

14 To be sure, no one from respondents denied 15 that they knew about the agreement of August 2017. In 16 fact, when Mr. Wiley and Mr. Dushoff redirected the 17 witnesses and asked them about the purchase agreement, 18 they never once asked the question, when did you start 19 discussing the agreements? When did you think those 20 agreements were going to come into existence? 21 Nothing.

I happened to ask Mr. Kennedy how long it took to negotiate 263, or the purchase and sale agreement. He didn't really answer. He said it could have taken over a month.

And given some of Mr. Kennedy's other testimony, including his claim that operating capital is somehow different than working capital, his lack of commitment speaks volumes.

5 If there was any way Mr. Kennedy could have 6 said in good faith that the agreement had been 7 conceived after Ms. Goldstein had been expulsed, he 8 would have surely done so.

9 Similarly, Pej Bady testified that the 10 decision to enter into 263 was not just happenstance, 11 rather, there was a conscious decision to, in his 12 words, streamline NuVeda's business. I cannot imagine 13 such a decision was made lightly and they just came up 14 with the idea right before executing the agreement.

By using the purchase and sale agreement to estimate the value of NuVeda's assets as of August 8, 2017, you again arrive at a number of \$2.1 million. And just to note, this does not include the member loan or expenses that Ms. Goldstein has in the total of \$47,000 and change as reflected in Dr. Clauretie's report.

Now, you asked us to consider two separate issues at the outset of this hearing, the first --I'll take them in reversal order. The first question was a willing buyer and willing seller and how the

experts either considered that or valued that to
 comply with that determination.

I think quite frankly that the -- Mr. Parker applies both the discount for lack of marketability and discount for minority interest at combined 28 percent to account for the fact that it is not necessarily a freely tradable interest.

8 As for the first question with respect to 9 adjusted for profits and losses, as far as I can tell, 10 there have not yet been profits and losses at NuVeda. 11 Whatever losses there were incurred are now the 12 responsibility of CW pursuant to the terms of the 13 MIPA.

And one final note before I conclude, the fact is here, that Ms. Goldstein had a nondilutable interest of seven percent. That interest in negotiations with Pej Bady were evidenced to be even more valuable than a straight equity interest.

19 If you recall, there were discussions about 20 providing her with more equity to compensate the fact 21 that you have to actually dilute her when investment 22 came in. So any investor who would be in the fair 23 market buying a nondilutable interest would obviously 24 get some minor value.

25

With that, Your Honor, we would rest our

1 closing. Thank you. RESPONDENT'S CLOSING ARGUMENT 2 MR. DUSHOFF: Arbitrator Baker, I want to 3 thank you again for also being here and being very 4 5 patient with us and taking the time to look over all these documents and hanging with us with the 6 7 machinations in this case over the past year with us, because it is definitely a lot going on back and 8 9 forth. I'm going to start off with this, what they 10 11 don't mention. Burden of proof is not with us. The burden of proof is with them. The burden of proof is 12 with Ms. Goldstein, and the number she put out is \$8 13 14 million. That's the number her expert testified to and that's her burden of proof. Her burden of proof 15 16 is to come forward with that number. 17 Computation. Remember, we talked about this, a computation of damages. We can't just throw it in 18 here and go and start throwing 12, 13, 14 different 19 20 numbers. She had her number from her expert. 21 Her expert said her value is \$8 million, and she has to meet that burden. And I'm going to tell 22

22 She has to meet that burden. And I m going to terr 23 later on as we go through this, not even close, and 24 not able to do so.

25

Judge Gonzalez ruled that on the operating

agreement, that it was the plain meaning of the operating agreement should be taken into place. And under the operating agreement, which you quoted this morning under section 6.2, 6.2 does govern the expulsions.

6 There was disinterested voting members 60 7 percent to expel Ms. Goldstein, not challenged. Mr. 8 Kennedy testified that Dr. Bady and Dr. Mohajer had 9 that 60 percent. And only upon -- and there was an 10 issue, only upon expulsion, then you have the right to 11 get to -- for the appraisal for the fair market value.

You don't have -- once expelled, you don't have that right as they had in their brief to suddenly become a voting member once you're expelled to be able to vote on who the appraiser is. That's not your right, and you brought this out. You are expelled.

Any ambiguity in that, as Ms. Goldstein testified, for a clause that you brought out was ambiguous would be construed against her. Why? Because she was the drafter of the operating agreement.

We acknowledge that Ms. Goldstein needs to be compensated for her seven percent interest. Section 6.2 doesn't state we need to hire an expert. Section 6.2 says we need to have an appraisal done.

1 Ms. Goldstein is arguing, or argued in her brief, again, as we talked about, needed to be 2 included with the choice, but we know that didn't have 3 merit. So what did we do? Mr. Kennedy hired an 4 appraiser, hired Mr. Webster. 5 Mr. Webster has been a certified appraiser 6 7 for over 30 years. You can't challenge his experience. Has done hundreds of business appraisals. 8 9 Mr. Webster asked for the balance sheet. Mr. Kennedy 10 provided it. 11 Now, they question, well, that's just a simple number you do as -- Mr. Feuerstein, well, 12 13 that's just balance sheet, you just do assets minus 14 liabilities equals what we call an asset methodology, 15 and says that is incorrect. 16 Well, I think all of the experts beg to 17 differ because all the experts said an asset 18 methodology, what we call liquidation, is a proper way to determine value. 19 20 I'm sorry that it's simple. I'm sorry that 21 it didn't involve a 145 page expert opinion to do so, but it didn't need to do so. You have your assets, 22 liabilities. That's what you do in asset -- when you 23 24 do an asset methodology, and that's what was done 25 here.

Once the appraisal was done, Mr. Butell contacted Ms. Goldstein. She testified that she received that appraisal. Had the numbers in front of her. She didn't like the numbers.

5 And we know that because two months later 6 after she went radio silent on the numbers, she filed 7 her second amended complaint again and charged 18 8 causes of action, not against and -- well, and 18 of 9 them against my clients in their individual 10 capacity.

We hired -- under 6.2, she was expelled properly. Upon that point, we hired the appraiser. She wouldn't talk with us. She didn't want to be anywhere near them. You could tell there was whole bunch of animosity between these people. I get it and I understand that when you expel a member, that that's the case.

We hired the appraiser. Appraiser just met Mr. Kennedy. It wasn't like he was not an old friend of his. The appraiser says, Okay. In order to be able to properly perform this, I need the balance sheet. I need the liabilities.

Now, you're right, Mr. Webster didn't do an audit on this. Dr. Clauretie didn't do an audit on this. You know who also didn't do an audit on this?

1	Their expert. Nobody did an audit on this. They
2	relied on the numbers that were given them.
3	Mr. Kennedy testified that those were
4	accurate numbers. Never challenged whether those
5	numbers were accurate. At that point, we should be
6	done. We followed our operating agreement. We
7	followed 6.2 to the letter.
8	Now, you talked about and you brought this
9	up, and I'm going to bring it up here, is that you
10	know what, but where's the lost profit? Where's the
11	profit of her loss into the fair market value?
12	And you heard testimony, there was no profit.
13	Everything was a loss. But you know what you didn't
14	do? Didn't subtract the losses. Didn't say, you know
15	what, you make 116. We're evaluating at 116 or 105
16	I apologize, I think it was 116, but we're subtracting
17	\$50,000 because we haven't made any money. As a
18	matter of fact, we're in a deficit.
19	We gave her that extra. We didn't subtract
20	it. But yet, still now and for the first time in this
21	case, literally at here she said there was a breach of
22	good faith against NuVeda for failure to bring for
23	the numbers, however, saying that those numbers are
24	improper.
25	However, we didn't go to Kinkos for this.
	Page 568

Г

There were three numbers that you heard here, the only three numbers that you heard here that are completely unbiased. They put forth numbers through their witness.

We put forth numbers through the witnesses and our experts and their experts, but there were three numbers here that you heard that were completely unbiased, not from either side, and they are 6.25, 200 and 200. These are the known numbers.

How do we know that? Well, we know in October of 2018, and this is the only numbers that are in evidence, by the way. They pull out Essential saying well, Essential sold for \$90 million in a vertical (unintelligible.)

Where's that evidence before you? Somebody said it. There was no proof. There was nothing before you saying that. We could say a lot of things, but there was no proof. This is evidence. 6.25 three months ago.

Three months ago, Terra Tech bought an asset sale for 6.25 million of a distribution center -dispensary. I apologize. I keep calling it a distribution. Of a dispensary.

Now, this was an asset purchase, so this just wasn't the license, but this was everything. So at