**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

SATICOY BAY, LLC SERIES 34 INNISBROOK,

Appellant,

vs.

THORNBURG MORTGAGE SECURITIES TRUST 2007-3, FRANK TIMPA; MADELINE TIMPA; TIMPA TRUST; RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC; SPANISH TRAIL MASTER ASSOCIATION ; REPUBLIC SERVICES; AND LAS VEGAS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT,

Respondents.

Supreme Court Case No.: 80111

District Court Case No. A-14-710161-C



From the Eighth Judicial District Court
The Honorable Gloria Sturman

---

# RESPONDENT SPANISH TRAIL MASTER ASSOCIATION'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL

---

Sean L. Anderson
Nevada Bar No. 7259
Ryan D. Hastings
Nevada Bar No. 12394
LEACH KERN GRUCHOW ANDERSON SONG
2525 Box Canyon Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
Phone: (702) 538-9074
*Attorneys for Respondent*

## RESPONDENT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL

### A. The December 3, 2018 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law is A Final Judgment Because It Addressed All Claims Against All Parties.

Appellant argues that the Association has a "faulty misunderstanding of the FFCL" which Appellant incorrectly argues did not address an interpleader counterclaim filed by Red Rock. As set forth below, it is Appellant who has a faulty misunderstaing of the FFCL.

As set forth in the Association's Motion, the FFCL addressed all claims which were brought in the case, both claims which were addressed with specific analysis, and those which were not, including "all remaining claims not specifically mentioned." *See* Motion at 2. Red Rock's interpleader claim was a "claim not specifically mentioned," therefore, the FFCL did address and dispose of the interpleader claim.

As acknowledged by Appellant in its Response, "[t]he finality of an order or judgment depends on 'what the order or judgment actually does, not what it is called.'" *See* Opposition at 3 (*quoting Brown V. MHC Stagecoach, LLC*, 129 Nev. 343, 345 (2013). Here, "what the order or judgment actually does" is dispose of all claims against all parties.

/ / /

/ / /

**B. The District Court's June 19, 2019 Order Does Not Supplant the FFCL.**

Appellant attempts to change the plain language of the FFCL by citing the district court's June 19, 2019 Order which references one "remaining outstanding issue." *See* Opposition at 4. Appellant believes that the June 19, 2019 Order demonstrates that the district court never addressed all claims in the case. However, the June 19, 2019 order was the result of an inappropriate motion to reopen which was unopposed. As such, it cannot supplant the plain language of the Court's FFCL, which was signed by all parties and the district court.

As set forth in the Association's Motion, on May 10, 2019, Appellant filed a motion to reinstate statiscially closed case.[1] *See* Motion at 2. The motion incorrectly argued that not all claims had been addressed by the FFCL and that the district court committed an error by closing the case when it did. *See* Motion to Reinstate Statistically Closed Case at 2: 2, attached to Association's Motion to Dismiss Appeal as **Exhibit E** ("As such, the order of statistical closure of this case was entered prematurely and this matter should be reopened to allow for the final resolution of the remaining claims and issues not addressed.").

To the extent Appellant believed the district court committed an error in closing the case, the only mechanism to address that perceived error would have been to file a motion under Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 60(b)(1). *See Bank of*

[1] There is no provision in the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure that provides for the filing of a "motion to reopen statistically closed case."

*Am., N.A. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. of State ex rel. Cty. of Clark*, 130 Nev. 1151 (2014)(*citing Lee v. GNLV Corp*., 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416 (2000); *Valley Bank of Nev. v. Ginsburg*, 110 Nev. 440, 445, 874 P.2d 729, 733 (1994) "[O]nce a final judgment is entered, the district court lacks jurisdiction to reopen it, absent a proper and timely motion under the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.") Appellant never filed a motion for reconsideration under Rule 60 in this case, therefore, Appellant did not establish that the district court erred when closing the case and the June 19, 2019 Order does not change the fact that the FFCL disposed of all claims against all parties.

**C. NRCP 54(b) Is Inapplicable In This Case.**

Finally, Appellant argues that the FFCL does not contain any language "certifying the FFCL as final" or "finding no just reason for delay," therefore, this Court should not find that the FFCL represents an appealable order. *See* Opposition at 6. However, Rule 54(b) by its own terms is only applicable when the court is entereing final judgment as to "one or more, but fewer than all, claims." Here, in entering the FFCL, the district court disposed of all claims, therefore, Rule 54(b) and its required language is irrelevant and does not provide a basis on which to deny the Association's Motion.

/ / /

## CONCLUSION

Saticoy failed to file a timely appeal of the district court's November 30, 2018 FFCL. Therefore, the Associaion's motion to dismiss Saticoy's appeal should be granted.

DATED this 26th day of May, 2020.

**LEACH KERN GRUCHOW ANDERSON SONG**

***/s/ Ryan D. Hastings***
Sean L. Anderson
Nevada Bar No. 7259
Ryan D. Hastings
Nevada Bar No. 12394
2525 Box Canyon Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
*Attorneys for Respondent Spanish Trails Master Association*

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date, May 26, 2020, I submitted the foregoing **RESPONDENT SPANISH TRAIL MASTER ASSOCIATION'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL** for filing and service through the Court's eFlex electronic filing service. According to the system, electronic notification will be automatically sent to the following:

| | |
|---|---|
| Roger P. Croteau<br>Timothy E. Rhoda<br>Roger P. Croteau & Associates, Ltd.<br>2810 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 75<br>Las Vegas, NV 89102 | David R. Koch<br>Daniel G. Scow<br>Steven B. Scow<br>Brody R. Wight<br>Koch & Scow, LLC<br>11500 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 210<br>Henderson, NV 89052 |
| Travis D. Akin<br>The Law Office of Travis Akin<br>8275 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 200<br>Las Vegas, NV 89123 | Drew J. Starbuck<br>Donald H. Williams<br>Williams Starbuck<br>612 10th St.<br>Las Vegas, NV 89101 |
| Thera A. Cooper<br>Melanie D. Morgan<br>Ariel E. Stern<br>Akerman LLP<br>1635 Village Center Circle, Suite 200<br>Las Vegas, NV 89134 | Bryan Naddafi<br>Elena Nutenko<br>Avalon Legal Group LLC<br>9480 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 257<br>Las Vegas, NV 89123 |

***/s/ Yalonda Dekle***
An Employee of LEACH KERN GRUCHOW ANDERSON SONG