

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RONNEKA ANN GUIDRY,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 80156

FILED

JUL 19 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION IN PART

Respondent has filed a motion for leave to file an answering brief in excess of the 14,000-word limitation of NRAP 32(a)(7)(A)(ii). The proposed brief contains 27,392 words. Appellant opposes the motion and respondent has replied. Having considered these documents as well as the opening brief, this court concludes that an over-length answering brief is warranted. However, a 27,392-word brief is excessive. Accordingly, the motion is granted in part.

The clerk shall strike the answering brief filed on July 9, 2021. Respondent shall have 14 days from the date of this order to file and serve an answering brief that contains no more than 20,000 words. Respondent is reminded that an answering brief need not contain a statement of the issues, the statement of the case, statement of the facts, or statements of the standards of review. NRAP 28(b). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

Handwritten Signature, C.J.

cc: Clark County Public Defender
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney