IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

APCO CONSTRUCTION, INC., A NEVADA CORPORATION; AND SAFECO INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA,

Appellants,

VS.

HELIX ELECTRIC OF NEVADA, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY.

Respondent.

No. 80177

FILED

JUN 0 2 2021

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Y CLERK
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

Respondent has filed a motion for a second extension of time to file the answering brief. Once a party receives a telephonic extension of time to perform an act, further extensions of time to perform that same act are barred unless the moving party files a motion for an extension of time demonstrating extraordinary and compelling circumstances in support of the requested extension. NRAP 26(b)(1)(B); NRAP 31(b)(3)(A)(iv). Respondent previously received a telephonic extension of time to file the answering brief. Having considered the motion, respondent demonstrates extraordinary and compelling circumstances warranting a second extension of time. Accordingly, the motion is granted. Respondent shall have until June 15, 2021, to file and serve the answering brief. Failure to timely file and serve the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the disposition of this appeal without an answering brief. NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

1 Sardesty, C.J

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

cc: Fennemore Craig, P.C./Las Vegas Fennemore Craig, P.C./Phoenix Peel Brimley LLP/Henderson