PARIENTE LAW FIRM. P.C.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JACK PAUL BANKA,

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed Mar 09 2020 01:52 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

S. Ct. No.: 80181

District Ct. No.: C333254

PETITIONER'S APPENDIX (PA)

MICHAEL D. PARIENTE, ESQ, COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT Nevada Bar Number 9469
JOHN GLENN WATKINS, ESQ, OF COUNSEL
Nevada Bar Number 1574
3960 Howard Hughes Parkway #615
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
Telephone: (702) 966-5310
Facsimile: (702) 953-7055
michael@parientelaw.com
johngwatkins@hotmail.com

STEVEN WOLFSON,
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
STEVEN OWENS,
CHIEF DEPUTY DISTRICT
ATTORNEY
200 Lewis, Floor 3
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Telephone: (702) 671-3847
Facsimile: (702) 385-1687
steven.owens@clarkcountyda.com

PARIENTE LAW FIRM. P.C. 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Suite 615 Las Vegas, NV 89169 PHONE: (702) 966-5310 | FAX: (702) 953-7055 www.parientelaw.com

1	
2	"INDEX"
3	TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION FOR BAIL PENDING APPEAL (2/24/2020)
4	
5	Page 3-26
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA * * * * *

THE STATE	OF NEVADA,)	
	Plaintiff,)))	CASE NO. C-18-333254-1 DEPT NO. V
VS.)	
JACK PAUL	BANKA,)))	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
	Defendant.)	

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2020

RE: DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR BAIL PENDING APPEAL PURSUANT TO NRS 178.488 AND NEVADA CASE LAW

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE:

MICHAEL G. GILES, ESQ.

Deputy District Attorney

FOR THE DEFENDANT: JOHN G. WATKINS, ESQ. MICHAEL D. PARIENTE, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: JD REPORTING, INC.

LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, FEBRUARY 24, 2020, 9:34 A.M. * * * * *

THE COURT: Case Number C333254 State of Nevada versus Jack Banka.

MR. WATKINS: Good morning, Your Honor. May it please, Your Honorable Court, John Watkins. Mr. Pariente's here, Michael has the flu. So with your permission I'll be arguing.

THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Watkins, I've got only 10 minutes I think with you this morning because I have trial --

MR. WATKINS: Okay.

THE COURT: -- and so -- and it's a huge calendar --

MR. WATKINS: Okay.

THE COURT: -- so I'm just -- if you need more time than that then we can reset for a different time. I just wanted you to know because you do usually take some time and that's fine. I'm just telling you I don't have a lot of time this morning so if you need more than 10 minutes, let's go talk about it.

MR. WATKINS: I think we should pass it a couple of days?

THE COURT: Couple of days, no.

MR. WATKINS: No, when can we argue?

THE COURT: When we're out of our trial.

Staff?

1 THE CLERK: We're looking at either --

MR. WATKINS: I'll just do it this morning.

THE COURT: Okay. It's with -- I'm in the middle of a trial.

MR. WATKINS: I appreciate that you're giving me the opportunity, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. WATKINS: But Jack's in custody here and he's got his family.

Where's the family?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: In the back row over there.

THE COURT: Sure. All right. So I have read all of the pleadings. So there are many, but there's the motion for bail pending appeal is — I've read that. I've read the State's opposition. I've read the reply to the opposition. I have read the supplemental points and authorities regarding the motion for bail pending appeal.

I've also read the State's opposition to the supplemental points and authorities. I have also read the amended reply to the State's opposition. I read the reply and then I read the amended reply, but the amended took the place in -- of the reply. So I've read all of that.

MR. WATKINS: Okay. With that, Your Honor, I'd like to, you know, just potentially just summarize, but right now I need to point something that's important to the Court. On our

2.0

amended we made a heading here, preliminary remarks. If you remember, the prosecution indicated that not only was the older lady injured in the case, but the male who was 86 had five broken ribs.

I spoke with Jack, and he asked me to check into that scenario. I in fact did check into that scenario and that is incorrect. That gentlemen did not suffer any broken ribs at all, okay, and he went to the hospital, was just checked out and released immediately and — but there was no pain killers or anything given to him. I made copies of the pertinent section of the grand jury transcript where he testified if you'd like to see that?

THE COURT: I've already looked at that and I -- so I'm aware. My understanding was it was the female that was in the other car who suffered the more serious injuries.

MR. WATKINS: Yes. The gentleman didn't suffer any injuries, but -- but the State represented to you in our argument and obviously that has an impact I believe, you know, versus, you know, he really wasn't injured or five broken ribs. Also so I wanted to make sure that you understood that, you know.

And also you must have been given some erroneous information at the time of the plea because you were of the impression that Jack was again facing two counts of felony DUI substantial bodily injury. He had at no time ever faced two

counts; he only faced the one count. And apparently when you accepted the plea you were of the impression that he had two, and he was getting a real break here because he was only going to be pleading to one. And I want you to know that that's incorrect and I -- somehow you got erroneous information; I don't know how you got that, but I do have --

MR. WATKINS: Yes, Your Honor, and I actually have — and it's on page 14. And what it said — and I believe, Judge, strike that it was on the motion to withdraw the plea is where you indicated, if I may briefly, But we had a discussion and he acknowledged that he understood. He understood, excuse me, what the Alford decision was and that that was that. In fact, he was going to not admit to his guilt, but he still wanted me to accept his plea of guilty because he didn't want to run the risk of being convicted of the original and more serious charges, which of course included two counts of driving under the influence with substantial bodily harm, so.

THE COURT: Okay. So what was the -- I can't remember what the original charge was.

MR. GILES: And my memory, Your Honor, is that it was there were two victims named in the one count, but it's two counts total one --

THE RECORDER: Mr. Giles, can you move the mic, please.

THE COURT: All right. One was leaving the scene of the accident --

MR. GILES: One leaving the scene and one DUI substantial, both of which have a 2 to 20 requirement.

MR. WATKINS: Well, I'll finish on what I was reading, and it says, as well as a felony, you know, fleeing the scene, failure to stop which you know there was a lot of evidence about that.

So, you know, Your Honor, Jack did not injure this other gentleman, okay. And -- and there was not two DUIs, and I think that's very important that you understand that because it appears based on what you said you were under the impression. So I'm going to leave that with you.

Now, just briefly here there's really four issues to be decided, okay. One is our appeal, okay, frivolous or are they for purpose of delay, is Jack a flight risk, or is he a danger to the community; those are the four issues that I believe are before the Court based upon the case law and the statutory language, okay.

And I -- I can't see for the life of me how you would say that our appeals are frivolous, okay. We have, you know, our motion arrest of judgment which we believe there wasn't a crime charged by the State. There's no statute that's prohibiting that kind of conduct.

Also, Your Honor, in addition to -- in addition to

that there's no delay. Mr. Pariente and I have been very diligent with Jack in representing this case, and you know that. So there's no purpose of delay.

So also, Jack is not a flight risk, and he's not a danger to the community. He was released since December the 1st of 2016, on bail, and there was no conditions that —the State didn't have any check in, you know, for anything.

So, Judge, we're asking that you grant bail pending appeal. Jack has never had any problems at all. This is the only incident that he had.

Now, I did point out to you that's why you asked to do the supplement, and the State admits the police reports are incorrect about the accident, okay, the direction, and I presented to you that it's important the arresting officer know who was -- who caused the accident.

The State's argument was that it was conjecture or scrivener error. Well, conjecture has absolutely no place here, and both reports were done by the police officer so there's no error. Bottom line there was a question as to who was doing what, and I pointed that out.

The other issues that I pointed out for the Court that you wanted to know dealing with the -- the field sobrieties and some other inconsistencies. Basically the State said, well, the inconsistencies there was no room on the police report for the officer to go ahead and put down really what

happened so he put down what was on the form. Well, I point out then he's not giving, you know, correct information.

Just to summarize, Your Honor, again this is not a frivolous appeal that we have. And it's the two issues that, you know, one is the withdrawal in the plea and the other one is, you know, the motion arrest of judgment, and he's not a flight risk, and he's clearly not a danger to the community based upon the action by the Court. And this Court actually, you know, made it clear to the State you never asked for any of this before, okay. So we're asking the Court to at a minimum grant bail pending appeal for Jack.

We also, you pointed out when I went through and I made my argument that apparently weren't things, you know, there was some additional information that you wanted to be put in writing, and I put all that information in writing to you. I won't go through it because of the time constraints. You've read it all; you know what's there. And it's -- and you said that, you know, you potentially could, you know, consider this I guess in regards to some kind of reconsideration for him to allow to withdraw the plea --

THE COURT: Okay. On Honeycutt I could even though it was on appeal I could certify to the Supreme Court --

MR. WATKINS: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- if I so implied that I wanted to change my mind --

1 MR. WATKINS: Yes.

2.0

THE COURT: -- on a previous ruling and send such a notice to the Supreme Court so that they could remand it back, but, you know, that's what I was talking about --

MR. WATKINS: I understand that --

THE COURT: -- in other words --

MR. WATKINS: -- and the State said you can't do it. Well, we know that you can and that was the reason -- that was the reason for the amended that I did because I've done my research on that, and I wanted to point out the Dingwall [phonetic] case, and you were aware of all of those I know.

So again, Your Honor, Jack has had absolutely no problems with this legal system at all except for this incident, and we're asking that at a minimum you grant bail pending appeal. We're going to ask that you reconsider and let him allow -- let him to withdraw the plea in this case.

THE COURT: Okay. State.

MR. GILES: And, Your Honor, to start from the top
Martin Luber [phonetic] told me himself in pretrials, we were
getting ready for trial that he had broken ribs. What was said
at the prelim several years previously clearly is at odds; I
will accept Mr. Watkins's representation. It doesn't matter
because Maxine had a crushed sternum, and she was named as a
victim in the SBH count and/or Martin Luber and then they're
both named in the fleeing the scene count where the substantial

1 nature of the injuries isn't required, just injury.

2.0

And again, we've already dealt with the two counts versus two victims. I think that was just a language transposition there that happened.

Just to remind everybody, basically what happened last time we were here on the motion for bail. At the very end of it after I believe the Court had begun to make a ruling on the issue, Mr. Watkins began talking about all the missing evidence that was never dealt with, and the Court gave him an opportunity to supplement the record.

Well, the essence of the supplementation is that the police officer wrote a report where he properly noted the directions of travel in the narrative section and then down in the conclusory section transposed one of the directions of travel.

That does not change what the firefighter said in his sworn testimony and what he would say at trial as to the direction of travel and who was at fault for the accident. It doesn't change what the physical evidence shows, which is that the defendant was at fault for the accident, and he left the scene, and he was chased down, and he appeared impaired.

And Mr. Watkins discussing the report and the fact that the language on the checkmarked boxes is different than the language used in the written narrative, that's a choice Henderson PD made to use a form where they give officers a

2.0

quick option to checkmark boxes. It doesn't change the fact that all the things noted showed signs of impairment, that they went through their investigation before taking the defendant down to test him evidentiary for alcohol in his system, and it came back substantially above the legal limit within two hours.

And so the essence of the motion -- and I will apologize about whether the Court had the ability to reconsider the prior motion on appeal. I talked to our appellate division. I've never done appellate work, and they all advised me that, no, they felt that once it was up there that that issue had moved up. I understand the Court has the ability to send something up to the Supreme Court saying I got it wrong please send it back.

I don't think that that's necessary because in essence what we're here today on is the question of dangerousness. I'm not going to weigh in; I didn't weigh in before on the frivolous and for purposes of delay even if I have an opinion, I believe the case law is pretty solid on that issue.

But this case is about a DUI where an individual drove, crashed into a car and then fled the scene. DUIs are not like other crimes. We can't look at history and say well, you've got all these little predicate crimes leading up to this one big crime. It's about one moment in time where a person who has very likely done the same behavior again and again and

1 again gets into that crash.

2.0

MR. WATKINS: I'm going to have to object, Your Honor. There's absolutely no evidence that Jack has had any similar problem and drives while drinking. That's inappropriate that just like five broken ribs --

THE COURT: Well, he's not -- he didn't say that the defendant. He's just saying in general it's the type of crime that people don't generally get, you know, their first DUI isn't as a nature, you know, substantial bodily harm or death, but it does happen. I've seen it many times --

MR. WATKINS: Sure you have.

THE COURT: -- it is their only time that they were arrested whether or not they weren't arrested before, you know, we never know about those things. It's just like any other case people come in and say to me, well, my client has been crime free.

Well, I don't know that. I know he hasn't been arrested. I don't know if he's actually been crime free. I can only go with has he been -- does he -- been arrested for any new cases I can give you that. What he's done in the meantime otherwise I don't know. And so that's all I think he's saying, but there's --

MR. WATKINS: Well, you shouldn't consider that, you know.

THE COURT: I'm not. I'm not considering that.

1 MR. WATKINS: Okay.

2.0

MR. GILES: And it's being said simply for the fact, Your Honor, that we now have an individual who isn't cloaked with the belief of being not guilty; the innocence is gone here. Mr. Banka has driven drunk in the past and has caused substantially bodily harm, and all the promises in the world doesn't change that fact that he won't do it again, and the dangerousness to the community.

This isn't a targeted individual, this isn't a specific victim class that would be potentially impacted by Mr. Banka. It's society as a whole if he was released.

And other than that unless the Court has any questions about our opposition I will submit to the Court that bail at this time is inappropriate. It should be denied and Mr. Banka's appeal should be allowed to go forward.

MR. WATKINS: If I could just briefly respond, Your Honor.

First off, the State obviously is not saying that our appeal is for delay or it's frivolous. There's no flight risk. The only thing they're saying is now he's a threat to the public, okay. And again there has been absolutely nothing in Jack's life before or after this horrible incident on December 1st of 2016.

The prosecutor comes in now as trying to paint a picture here oh, he's a real risk to you, he's this to the

community, et cetera, et cetera, when in fact their previous conduct showed that they didn't believe that. This is just argument to keep this gentleman sitting in prison when we are trying to fight his case legally and have a --

THE COURT: Okay. Tamp it down.

MR. WATKINS: -- basis to do so.

THE COURT: Just like exclamation marks in your papers don't move me neither does shouting in court.

MR. WATKINS: I'm going to stop doing that because Jack Howard said that exclamation marks is not good.

THE COURT: Yeah.

2.0

MR. WATKINS: So I'm not going to do that and I'm not going to do as much underlying of and I try to, you know, kind of keep my voice --

THE COURT: There you go.

MR. WATKINS: -- you know, but, Judge --

THE COURT: Okay. I understand what you're saying, and I, you know, I've read everything. So I'm saying it again. You've already said it in the papers --

MR. WATKINS: Okay.

THE COURT: -- and you've said now in oral argument. It doesn't do it any good to say it a third time. I understand what you're saying.

MR. WATKINS: And I'm not trying to repeat; I'm trying to respond to them saying that, you know, he is a danger

to the community when none of that took place before, but now because we are now trying to get Jack on bail because you realize that if we're successful on appeal, and he's in custody he has appeared victory. He's being punished.

Our position is why punish him at this point. Let us do our appeal. You can make -- for example, Jack will surrender his driver's license to this Honorable Court. He won't drive during the interim.

THE COURT: All right. So as far as that goes, I mean, yes, okay, every single person who is sentenced to incarceration and has an appeal right, they — they're in that same boat or you can say, okay, well, every single person should be given appeal, you know, bond on appeal pending appeal, and that's why the Supreme Court has said, well, there are things that we look at, right. And it's not just are they a threat or is the appeal frivolous or — our Supreme Court has pretty much said you can look at anything. Here I'm looking at some discrete things.

So whether or not your client is a threat to the community I really don't know, you know. I mean, he -- he hasn't while he was at liberty. He wasn't arrested for DUI again. I don't know whether he would be inclined to drink and drive again if he were released I don't know.

That -- you know that -- are people that drink and drive a threat to the community? Yes. And we've had people

that have gone through the felony DUI program for three years and still then later drink and drive again, and that's horrifying, and you'd like to think that they wouldn't, you know. They've got a lot to lose, et cetera if they do that.

2.0

But so I don't know. I mean, I don't see that he's a threat in the same way that the Supreme Court was discussing a murderer, you know, that, no, he's, you know, he, yeah, intentionally drove drunk, and he as a result got into an accident. I think that the evidence for that is overwhelming, frankly, in this case, and someone was gravely injured, and he fled the scene. And there's not really any dispute about that that he fled first in his car, that then when the car became inoperable he tried to also flee on foot, but —

MR. WATKINS: Judge, just so I could --

THE COURT: -- let me just say this that I think that the additional facts that you presented to me at my invitation regarding your arguments about the case itself, which I wanted to know because that would obviously impact whether I thought I had made a mistake about permitting him to withdraw his plea, would it, you know, result in a manifest injustice on the totality of the circumstances. I really don't think that anything that you put in the papers does rise to that level.

I agree with what the State has said. I mean, there were -- there was an eyewitness, a reliable eyewitness who saw the accident happen, testified about the directions of the

vehicles, followed the defendant and kept him from eventually fleeing on foot so.

2.0

And, you know, there was field sobriety and eventually a blood draw with -- he was, you know, blood alcohol in violation of the limits.

So nothing that's been presented by the defense makes me think that under a totality of the circumstances I should have granted the motion to withdraw the plea. So I'm not granting on sending anything up to the Supreme Court under Honeycutt saying that I want to change that.

Now, based on the complete procedural posture of this case, particularly that the defendant had the option of proceeding to trial on the date that was set either with his original counsel or with his current counsel and instead he chose to plead guilty pursuant to Alford where he acknowledged that he did not want to run the risk of the conviction at trial.

And then the offer of proof was made by the State, that offer of proof indicated substantial if not overwhelming evidence to the Court.

Based upon that I find that this motion as well as all of the procedure that has gone on with this -- like I say, he could have gone to trial. Instead we have all of this machinations to delay that. I believe that this current motion is also for the purpose of delay in this matter, and so I'm not

1 going to grant it.

2.0

Do I think that your appeal is frivolous? I don't like to say that an appeal is frivolous. When it goes on appeal, the Supreme Court is going to decide whether it's frivolous or not. In certain instances maybe the trial Court can say an appeal is frivolous because it's not grounded in any law, that there's not any potential argument. I don't -- I don't find your arguments persuasive which because if I had, I would have granted your motions. But so I --

MR. WATKINS: That doesn't mean that it's not.

THE COURT: Exactly.

MR. WATKINS: Thank you.

THE COURT: That's why I'm saying that I don't think it's frivolous. I think for something to be frivolous, frankly, for a Court, a trial Court to make a finding of a frivolous appeal that it's a further finding or it certainly insinuates that counsel really doesn't have a true belief that there is any merit to the appeal; I don't think that. I think that you do believe there's merit.

MR. WATKINS: I strongly believe in it.

THE COURT: Right. And you've indicated that to me every which and every time. So I'm not making a finding of frivolousness.

I'm making a finding that I think it's for the purpose of delay, and therefore it's denied. Obviously you can

1 reapply for bail and appeal to the Supreme Court.

2.0

MR. WATKINS: And, Your Honor, just one thing and then I'll be out of here. Is that you're making it sound that Jack had Michael Pariente and myself of counsel to represent him you would not let us substitute in, and you were going to require us to be ready for trial —

THE COURT: I let -- that's right.

MR. WATKINS: -- and we could not be ready for trial and represent this gentleman with a five-day window so he didn't have us. And then he was scared to death because you wouldn't let us come in, and then they increased it from two years to four years because we as attorneys, okay --

THE COURT: Okay. Let's --

MR. WATKINS: -- wanted to represent Jack.

THE COURT: Mr. Watkins, it's now been 20 minutes even though I said 10. So thank you.

MR. WATKINS: Judge, thanks. By the way, is the husband doing, okay?

THE COURT: Of course. Thank you.

MR. WATKINS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. GILES: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. WATKINS: Your Honor -- nevermind. I assume you'll do the order.

THE COURT: The State will prepare the order, and I will review it and make any changes necessary.

C-18-333254-1	State	7.7	Banka	1 2	0.20 -	0.2 - 2.4
) blate	v .	Danka	_	0 2 0	0 2 2 4

MR. WATKINS: Are we going to get a chance to see it before it goes to you or?

Well, if you can get it done in the time THE COURT: frame, it's supposed to be done in 10 days so.

MR. WATKINS: Would you send it to me?

MR. GILES: I will cc them, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Proceedings adjourned 10:01 a.m.)

-000-

I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case.

a P. Williams

Dana L. Williams Transcriber

15/22 15/23 16/2 aware [2] 4/14 9/11 16/12 16/12 couple [2] 2/20 2/22 **CAROLYN [1]** 1/12 course [2] 5/17 19/19 agree [1] 16/23 MR. GILES: [6] 5/21 case [16] 1/7 1/14 2/3 ahead [1] 7/25 **court** [31] 6/3 9/18 13/2 19/21 back [4] 3/11 9/3 11/5 alcohol [2] 11/4 17/4 4/3 6/18 7/2 9/11 9/16 crash [1] 12/1 20/6 Alford [2] 5/13 17/15 11/13 11/18 11/20 12/15 14/4 crashed [1] 11/21 MR. WATKINS: [39] **all [19]** 3/12 3/12 3/22 bail [11] 1/14 3/14 3/17 16/10 16/17 17/12 crime [5] 6/23 11/24 THE CLERK: [1] 3/1 7/6 7/8 8/11 9/14 10/6 12/7 12/16 12/18 4/8 6/1 7/9 8/15 8/17 20/12 THE COURT: [39] 13/14 15/2 19/1 9/11 9/13 10/8 11/2 cases [1] 12/20 crimes [2] 11/22 11/23 THE RECORDER: [1] 11/9 11/23 12/21 13/6 BANKA [4] 1/9 2/4 13/5 caused [2] 7/15 13/5 crushed [1] 9/23 5/24 15/9 17/22 17/23 13/11 current [2] 17/14 17/24 cc [1] 20/6 UNIDENTIFIED allow [2] 8/20 9/16 Banka's [1] 13/15 certain [1] 18/5 custody [2] 3/8 15/3 **SPEAKER: [1]** 3/11 based [5] 6/12 6/18 8/8 allowed [1] 13/15 certainly [1] 18/16 17/11 17/21 already [3] 4/13 10/2 certify [2] 8/22 20/10 **basically [2]** 7/23 10/5 Dana [1] 20/15 14/19 cetera [3] 14/1 14/1 -o**Oo [1]** 20/9 also [9] 3/18 3/19 4/20 **basis** [1] 14/6 danger [4] 6/17 7/5 8/7 16/4 4/22 6/25 7/4 8/12 be [14] 2/7 5/4 6/15 14/25 chance [1] 20/1 8/14 13/10 13/14 13/15 16/13 17/25 change [6] 8/25 10/16 dangerousness [2] **10 [4]** 2/9 2/18 19/16 15/13 15/22 18/14 19/3 11/16 13/8 amended [5] 3/20 3/21 10/19 11/1 13/7 17/10 20/4 19/6 19/8 20/4 date [1] 17/13 3/21 4/1 9/9 changes [1] 19/25 **10:01 [1]** 20/8 became [1] 16/12 day [1] 19/9 any [15] 4/7 4/16 7/7 charge [1] 5/20 **14 [1]** 5/9 because [18] 2/10 2/16 days [3] 2/21 2/22 20/4 7/9 8/9 12/3 12/14 **charged [1]** 6/23 **178.488 [1]** 1/14 4/23 5/3 5/15 6/11 8/16 charges [1] 5/17 dealing [1] 7/22 12/20 13/12 14/22 **1st [2]** 7/6 13/23 16/11 18/6 18/7 18/18 9/9 9/23 11/14 14/9 dealt [2] 10/2 10/9 chased [1] 10/21 15/2 15/2 16/18 18/6 death [2] 12/9 19/10 19/25 check [3] 4/5 4/6 7/7 18/8 19/10 19/12 **December [2]** 7/5 anything [5] 4/10 7/7 checked [1] 4/8 **20 [2]** 6/4 19/15 been [10] 4/22 7/1 15/17 16/22 17/9 **checkmark** [1] 11/1 **2016 [2]** 7/6 13/23 apologize [1] 11/7 12/15 12/17 12/18 checkmarked [1] decide [1] 18/4 **2020 [2]** 1/13 2/1 apparently [2] 5/1 8/13 12/19 12/19 13/21 17/6 10/23 decided [1] 6/15 24 [2] 1/13 2/1 appeal [26] 19/15 **choice [1]** 10/24 decision [1] 5/13 **before [9]** 1/12 6/18 defendant [7] 1/10 appeals [1] 6/21 **chose [1]** 17/15 1/20 10/20 11/3 12/7 8/10 11/3 11/17 12/13 APPEARANCES [1] circumstances [2] **86 [1]** 4/3 13/22 15/1 20/2 16/21 17/7 17/1 17/12 appeared [2] 10/21 began [1] 10/8 **CLARK [2]** 1/2 2/1 DEFENDANT'S [1] 9:34 [1] 2/1 15/4 begun [1] 10/7 class [1] 13/10 clear [1] 8/9 appears [1] 6/12 behavior [1] 11/25 defense [1] 17/6 being [4] 5/16 13/2 delay [8] 6/16 7/1 7/3 appellate [2] 11/8 11/9 clearly [2] 8/7 9/21 a.m [2] 2/1 20/8 appreciate [1] 3/5 13/4 15/4 client [2] 12/15 15/19 11/17 13/19 17/24 ability [2] 11/7 11/11 are [13] 3/13 6/15 6/17 belief [2] 13/4 18/17 cloaked [1] 13/3 17/25 18/25 believe [10] 4/18 5/9 denied [2] 13/14 18/25 about [14] 2/19 6/8 6/18 6/21 7/12 11/21 come [2] 12/15 19/11 6/18 6/22 10/7 11/18 **DEPT [1]** 1/7 7/13 9/4 10/8 11/7 14/3 15/2 15/15 15/15 **comes [1]** 13/24 14/2 17/24 18/19 18/20 **Deputy [1]** 1/18 11/20 11/24 12/14 15/24 20/1 **community [8]** 6/17 big [1] 11/24 did [6] 4/6 4/7 6/9 7/11 13/13 16/11 16/17 7/5 8/7 13/8 14/1 15/1 argue [1] 2/23 blood [2] 17/4 17/4 9/9 17/16 16/19 16/25 arguing [1] 2/8 15/20 15/25 didn't [7] 4/16 5/15 7/7 above [2] 11/5 20/11 argument [6] 4/18 7/16 boat [1] 15/12 complete [1] 17/11 **bodily [4]** 4/25 5/18 11/16 12/6 14/2 19/10 above-entitled [1] 8/13 14/3 14/21 18/7 conclusory [1] 10/14 12/9 13/6 different [2] 2/15 10/23 arguments [2] 16/17 conditions [1] 7/6 20/11 **bond [1]** 15/13 diligent [1] 7/2 conduct [2] 6/24 14/2 absolutely [4] 7/17 18/8 both [3] 6/4 7/18 9/25 Dingwall [1] 9/10 9/12 12/3 13/21 arrest [2] 6/22 8/6 conjecture [2] 7/16 accept [2] 5/15 9/22 arrested [5] 12/13 **Bottom [1]** 7/19 7/17 direction [2] 7/13 **accepted** [1] 5/2 12/13 12/18 12/19 boxes [2] 10/23 11/1 consider [2] 8/18 10/18 break [1] 5/3 directions [3] 10/13 accident [7] 6/2 7/13 15/21 12/23 briefly [3] 5/11 6/14 10/14 16/25 7/15 10/18 10/20 16/9 **arresting** [1] 7/14 considering [1] 12/25 as [15] 6/6 6/6 7/19 13/16 discrete [1] 15/18 constraints [1] 8/16 16/25 **discussing [2]** 10/22 broken [5] 4/4 4/7 4/19 9/23 10/17 12/9 13/11 acknowledged [2] **convicted [1]** 5/16 9/20 12/5 13/24 14/13 15/9 15/9 16/6 5/12 17/15 **conviction** [1] 17/16 but [21] discussion [1] 5/11 16/8 17/21 17/21 19/12 action [1] 8/8 copies [1] 4/10 dispute [1] 16/11 actually [3] 5/8 8/8 ask [1] 9/15 **CORCORAN [1]** 1/23 asked [3] 4/5 7/11 8/9 **DISTRICT [3]** 1/2 1/12 12/18 correct [1] 8/2 C-18-333254-1 [1] 1/7 addition [2] 6/25 6/25 asking [3] 7/8 8/10 correctly [1] 20/10 C333254 [1] 2/3 division [1] 11/9 additional [2] 8/14 9/14 could [8] 8/18 8/21 calendar [1] 2/12 do [16] 2/16 3/2 5/6 16/16 assume [1] 19/22 8/22 9/3 13/16 16/14 came [1] 11/5 7/12 9/7 13/7 14/6 adjourned [1] 20/8 at [25] 17/23 19/8 can [12] 2/15 2/23 5/24 14/12 14/13 14/22 15/6 ATTEST [1] 20/10 admit [1] 5/14 counsel [4] 17/14 9/8 12/19 12/20 15/6 16/4 18/2 18/19 19/23 admits [1] 7/12 **Attorney [1]** 1/18 17/14 18/17 19/4 15/12 15/17 18/6 18/25 advised [1] 11/9 attorneys [1] 19/12 count [4] 5/1 5/22 9/24 20/10 after [2] 10/7 13/22 20/3 does [5] 10/16 12/10 audio [1] 20/11 9/25 can't [4] 5/19 6/20 9/7 12/19 14/8 16/22 again [13] 4/24 8/3 audio/video [1] 20/11 counts [5] 4/24 5/1 9/12 10/2 11/25 11/25 11/22 doesn't [7] 9/22 10/19 authorities [2] 3/16 5/17 5/23 10/2 car [4] 4/15 11/21 12/1 13/7 13/21 14/18 3/19 COUNTY [2] 1/2 2/1 11/1 13/7 14/22 18/10

23

D	facts [1] 16/16	14/9 14/12 14/13 18/1	horrible [1] 13/22
doesn't [1] 18/17	failure [1] 6/7	18/4 19/5 20/1	horrifying [1] 16/3
doing [3] 7/20 14/9	family [2] 3/9 3/10	gone [4] 13/4 16/1	hospital [1] 4/8
19/18	far [1] 15/9	17/22 17/23	hours [1] 11/5
don't [19] 2/17 5/6	fault [2] 10/18 10/20	good [3] 2/5 14/10	how [2] 5/6 6/20
11/14 12/8 12/17 12/18	FEBRUARY [2] 1/13	14/22	Howard [1] 14/10
12/21 14/8 15/20 15/22	2/1	got [8] 2/9 3/8 5/5 5/6	huge [1] 2/12
15/23 16/5 16/5 16/21	felony [3] 4/24 6/6 16/1		husband [1] 19/18
18/2 18/7 18/8 18/13	felt [1] 11/10	grand [1] 4/11	ı
18/18	female [1] 4/14	grant [4] 7/8 8/11 9/14	-
done [7] 7/18 9/9 11/9	field [2] 7/22 17/3	18/1	l'd [1] 3/23
11/25 12/20 20/3 20/4	fight [1] 14/4	granted [2] 17/8 18/9	I'II [4] 2/7 3/2 6/5 19
down [6] 7/25 8/1	find [2] 17/21 18/8	granting [1] 17/9	l'm [21]
10/13 10/21 11/4 14/5	finding [4] 18/15 18/16		l've [11] 2/9 3/14 3/1
draw [1] 17/4	18/22 18/24	grounded [1] 18/6	3/15 3/18 3/22 4/13 9
drink [3] 15/22 15/24	fine [1] 2/17	guess [1] 8/19	11/9 12/10 14/18
16/2	finish [1] 6/5	guilt [1] 5/14	if [16] 2/14 2/18 4/1
drinking [1] 12/4	firefighter [1] 10/16	guilty [3] 5/15 13/4	4/11 5/11 8/24 11/17
drive [4] 15/8 15/23	first [3] 12/8 13/18	17/15	12/18 13/11 13/16 1
15/25 16/2	16/12	Н	15/23 16/4 17/19 18/ 20/3
driven [1] 13/5	five [4] 4/3 4/19 12/5	had [18] 4/3 4/25 5/2	immediately [1] 4/9
driver's [1] 15/7	19/9		impact [2] 4/18 16/1
drives [1] 12/4	five-day [1] 19/9	9/23 10/7 11/7 11/11	impact [2] 4/16/16/16/1
driving [1] 5/17	fled [3] 11/21 16/11 16/12		impaired [1] 10/21
drove [2] 11/21 16/8	flee [1] 16/13	18/8 19/4	impairment [1] 10/21
drunk [2] 13/5 16/8	fleeing [3] 6/6 9/25	happen [2] 12/10 16/25	
DUI [6] 4/24 6/3 11/20	17/2		important [3] 3/25 6
12/8 15/21 16/1	flight [4] 6/16 7/4 8/7	10/5	7/14
DUIs [2] 6/10 11/21	13/19	harm [3] 5/18 12/9 13/6	
during [1] 15/8	flu [1] 2/7	has [20] 2/7 4/18 7/9	5/2 6/13
E	followed [1] 17/1	7/17 9/12 11/11 11/25	in [62]
	foot [2] 16/13 17/2	12/3 12/15 12/19 13/5	inappropriate [2] 12
either [2] 3/1 17/13 ELLSWORTH [1] 1/12	form [2] 8/1 10/25	13/5 13/12 13/21 15/4	13/14
end [1] 10/6	forward [1] 13/15	15/11 15/14 15/16	INC [1] 1/24
entitled [1] 20/11	four [3] 6/14 6/17	16/23 17/22	incarceration [1] 15
entry [1] 5/7	19/12	hasn't [2] 12/17 15/21	incident [3] 7/10 9/1
erroneous [2] 4/22 5/5	frame [1] 20/4	have [26]	13/22
error [2] 7/17 7/19	, , , , , , , ,	he [52]	inclined [1] 15/22
ECO [0] 4/47 4/00 4/04	free [2] 12/16 12/18	he's [17] 3/8 7/4 8/2	included [1] 5/17
essence [3] 10/11 11/6	frivolous [13] 6/15	8/6 8/7 12/6 12/7 12/18	
11/15	0/21 0/11/1/10/10	12/20 12/22 13/20	7/23 7/24
et [3] 14/1 14/1 16/4	15/16 18/2 18/3 18/5	13/25 13/25 15/3 15/4	incorrect [3] 4/7 5/5
even [3] 8/21 11/17	18/6 18/14 18/14 18/16	16/5 16/7	7/13
19/16	frivolousness [1]	heading [1] 4/1	increased [1] 19/11
eventually [2] 17/1	18/23	Henderson [1] 10/25	indicated [4] 4/2 5/1
17/4	further [1] 18/16	here [12] 2/7 3/8 4/1	17/19 18/21 Individual [3] 11/20
ever [1] 4/25	G	5/3 6/14 7/18 10/6 11/15 13/5 13/25 15/17	individual [3] 11/20 13/3 13/9
every [4] 15/10 15/12	gave [1] 10/9	19/3	influence [1] 5/18
18/22 18/22	gave [1] 10/9 general [1] 12/7	hereby [1] 20/10	information [5] 4/23
everybody [1] 10/5	generally [1] 12/8	him [10] 4/10 8/19 9/16	
everything [1] 14/18	gentleman [4] 4/16	9/16 10/9 11/4 15/5	injure [1] 6/9
evidence [6] 6/8 10/9	6/10 14/3 19/9	16/19 17/1 19/5	injured [3] 4/3 4/19
10/19 12/3 16/9 17/20	gentlemen [1] 4/7	himself [1] 9/19	16/10
evidentiary [1] 11/4	get [4] 12/8 15/2 20/1	his [11] 3/9 5/14 5/15	injuries [3] 4/15 4/1
Exactly [1] 18/11	20/3	10/16 11/4 14/4 15/7	10/1
example [1] 15/6	gets [1] 12/1	16/12 16/19 17/13	injury [2] 4/25 10/1
except [1] 9/13	getting [2] 5/3 9/20	17/14	injustice [1] 16/20
exclamation [2] 14/7	GILES [2] 1/17 5/24	history [1] 11/22	innocence [1] 13/4
11/10		Honeycutt [2] 8/21	inoperable [1] 16/13
14/10	give [2] 10/25 12/20		
excuse [1] 5/12	give [2] 10/25 12/20 given [3] 4/10 4/22	17/10	insinuates [1] 18/17
excuse [1] 5/12 eyewitness [2] 16/24			
excuse [1] 5/12	given [3] 4/10 4/22	17/10	
excuse [1] 5/12 eyewitness [2] 16/24	given [3] 4/10 4/22 15/13	17/10 Honor [17] 2/5 3/6 3/23	instances [1] 18/5
excuse [1] 5/12 eyewitness [2] 16/24 16/24 F	given [3] 4/10 4/22 15/13 giving [2] 3/5 8/2	17/10 Honor [17] 2/5 3/6 3/23 5/8 5/21 6/9 6/25 8/3 9/12 9/18 12/3 13/3	instances [1] 18/5 instead [2] 17/14 17
excuse [1] 5/12 eyewitness [2] 16/24 16/24 F faced [2] 4/25 5/1	given [3] 4/10 4/22 15/13 giving [2] 3/5 8/2 go [6] 2/18 7/25 8/16 12/19 13/15 14/15 goes [3] 15/9 18/3 20/2	17/10 Honor [17] 2/5 3/6 3/23 5/8 5/21 6/9 6/25 8/3 9/12 9/18 12/3 13/3	instances [1] 18/5 instead [2] 17/14 17 intentionally [1] 16/ interim [1] 15/8 into [5] 4/5 4/6 11/2
excuse [1] 5/12 eyewitness [2] 16/24 16/24 F	given [3] 4/10 4/22 15/13 giving [2] 3/5 8/2 go [6] 2/18 7/25 8/16 12/19 13/15 14/15	17/10 Honor [17] 2/5 3/6 3/23 5/8 5/21 6/9 6/25 8/3 9/12 9/18 12/3 13/3 13/17 19/2 19/21 19/22	instances [1] 18/5 instead [2] 17/14 17 intentionally [1] 16/ interim [1] 15/8

11/1 13/2 13/7 14/1

2] 5/6 6/20 d [1] 14/10 **1]** 2/12 **nd [1]** 19/18 3/23 2/7 3/2 6/5 19/3 1] 2/9 3/14 3/14 /18 3/22 4/13 9/9 2/10 14/18 2/14 2/18 4/1 /11 8/24 11/17 13/11 13/16 15/3 16/4 17/19 18/8 liately [1] 4/9 **[2]** 4/18 16/18 ted [1] 13/10 ed [1] 10/21 ment [1] 11/2 d [1] 8/24 ant [3] 3/25 6/11 sion [3] 4/24 opriate [2] 12/5 1/24 eration [1] 15/11 nt [3] 7/10 9/14 d [1] 15/22 ed [1] 5/17 istencies [2] /24 ect [3] 4/7 5/5 sed [1] 19/11 ted [4] 4/2 5/11 18/21 lual [3] 11/20 3/9 nce [1] 5/18 ation [5] 4/23 2 8/14 8/15 [1] 6/9 **d [3]** 4/3 4/19 s [3] 4/15 4/17 **[2]** 4/25 10/1 ce [1] 16/20 ence [1] 13/4 able [1] 16/13 ates [1] 18/17 ces [1] 18/5 d [2] 17/14 17/23 limits [1] 17/5 onally [1] 16/8 n [1] 15/8 4/5 4/6 11/21 6/8 2/6 15/7 investigation [1] 11/3

invitation [1] 16/16 is [41] isn't [5] 10/1 12/9 13/3 13/9 13/9 issue [3] 10/8 11/11 11/19 issues [4] 6/14 6/17 7/21 8/4 it [48] it's [23] itself [1] 16/17

JACK [17] 1/9 2/4 4/5 4/24 6/9 6/16 7/2 7/4 7/9 8/11 9/12 12/3 14/10 15/2 15/6 19/4 19/14 Jack's [2] 3/8 13/22 **JD [1]** 1/24 **JOHN [2]** 1/20 2/6 JUDGE [6] 1/12 5/9 7/8 14/16 16/14 19/17 judgment [2] 6/22 8/6 jury [1] 4/11 just [22]

K

keep [2] 14/3 14/14 kept [1] 17/1 killers [1] 4/9 kind [3] 6/24 8/19 14/13 know [53]

lady [1] 4/3

language [4] 6/19 10/3 10/23 10/24 **LARA [1]** 1/23 LAS [1] 1/25 last [1] 10/6 later [1] 16/2 law [4] 1/14 6/18 11/18 18/7 leading [1] 11/23 **leave [1]** 6/13 leaving [2] 6/1 6/3 left [1] 10/20 legal [2] 9/13 11/5 legally [1] 14/4 let [7] 9/15 9/16 15/5 16/15 19/5 19/7 19/11 let's [2] 2/18 19/13 level [1] 16/22 liberty [1] 15/21 license [1] 15/7 life [2] 6/20 13/22 like [9] 3/23 4/12 11/22 12/5 12/14 14/7 16/3 17/22 18/3 likely [1] 11/25 **limit [1]** 11/5 line [1] 7/19 little [1] 11/23 look [3] 11/22 15/15 15/17 looked [1] 4/13

looking [2] 3/1 15/17 lose [1] 16/4 lot [3] 2/17 6/7 16/4 Luber [2] 9/19 9/24 M machinations [1] 17/24 made [7] 4/1 4/10 8/9	much [2] 14/13 15/17 murderer [1] 16/7 must [1] 4/22 my [8] 4/14 5/21 8/13 8/25 9/9 12/15 14/14 16/16		police [4] 7/12 7/18 7/24 10/12 position [1] 15/5 posture [1] 17/11 potential [1] 18/7	8/19 record [1] 10/10 RECORDED [1] 1/23 RECORDER [1] 1/23
lot [3] 2/17 6/7 16/4 Luber [2] 9/19 9/24 M machinations [1] 17/24	must [1] 4/22 my [8] 4/14 5/21 8/13 8/25 9/9 12/15 14/14	12/13 13/19 13/22 15/12 15/16 15/16	position [1] 15/5 posture [1] 17/11	RECORDED [1] 1/23 RECORDER [1] 1/23
lot [3] 2/17 6/7 16/4 Luber [2] 9/19 9/24 M machinations [1] 17/24	my [8] 4/14 5/21 8/13 8/25 9/9 12/15 14/14	15/12 15/16 15/16	posture [1] 17/11	RECORDER [1] 1/23
M machinations [1]	8/25 9/9 12/15 14/14			
M machinations [1] 17/24		15/19 17/14 18/5 18/16	notontial [1] 18/7	
machinations [1] 17/24	16/16			regarding [2] 3/16
17/24	i ·	20/2	potentially [3] 3/24	16/17
17/24	myself [1] 19/4	oral [1] 14/21	8/18 13/10	regards [1] 8/19
	N	order [2] 19/23 19/24	predicate [1] 11/23	released [4] 4/9 7/5
		original [3] 5/16 5/20	prelim [1] 9/21	13/11 15/23
8/13 10/25 16/19 17/18	named [3] 5/22 9/23	17/14	preliminary [1] 4/1	reliable [1] 16/24
make [5] 4/20 10/7	9/25	other [9] 4/15 6/10	prepare [1] 19/24	remand [1] 9/3
15/6 18/15 19/25	narrative [2] 10/13	7/21 7/23 8/5 9/6 11/22	presented [3] 7/14	remarks [1] 4/1
	10/24	12/14 13/12	16/16 17/6	remember [2] 4/2 5/2
makes [1] 17/6	nature [2] 10/1 12/9	otherwise [1] 12/21	pretrials [1] 9/19	remind [1] 10/5
making [3] 18/22 18/24	necessary [2] 11/14		pretty [2] 11/18 15/17	repeat [1] 14/24
19/3	19/25	6/15 6/21 6/22 11/8	previous [2] 9/2 14/1	reply [5] 3/15 3/20 3/2
male [1] 4/3	need [3] 2/14 2/18 3/25		previously [1] 9/21	3/21 3/22
manifest [1] 16/20	neither [1] 14/8	15/16	prior [1] 11/8	report [3] 7/25 10/12
many [2] 3/13 12/10	NEVADA [5] 1/2 1/6		prison [1] 14/3	10/22
marks [2] 14/7 14/10	1/14 2/1 2/3		problem [1] 12/4	REPORTING [1] 1/24
Martin [2] 9/19 9/24	never [5] 7/9 8/9 10/9		problems [2] 7/9 9/13	reports [2] 7/12 7/18
matter [2] 9/22 17/25	11/9 12/14		procedural [1] 17/11	represent [3] 19/4 19
Maxine [1] 9/23	nevermind [1] 19/22			
may [2] 2/5 5/11	new [1] 12/20	overwhelming [2] 16/9		19/14
maybe [1] 18/5		17/19	proceeding [1] 17/13	representation [1]
me [14] 3/5 4/5 5/12	no [18] 1/7 1/7 2/22	Р	proceedings [3] 1/9	9/22
5/14 6/20 9/19 11/10	2/23 4/9 4/25 6/23 7/1	-	20/8 20/11	represented [1] 4/17
12/15 14/8 16/15 16/16	7/3 7/6 7/17 7/19 7/24	page [1] 5/9	program [1] 16/1	representing [1] 7/2
17/7 18/21 20/5	9/12 11/10 12/3 13/19	pain [1] 4/9	prohibiting [1] 6/24	require [1] 19/6
mean [5] 15/10 15/20	16/7		promises [1] 13/6	required [1] 10/1
16/5 16/23 18/10	none [1] 15/1	papers [3] 14/8 14/19	proof [2] 17/18 17/19	requirement [1] 6/4
meantime [1] 12/21	not [38]	16/22	properly [1] 10/12	research [1] 9/10
memory [1] 5/21	noted [2] 10/12 11/2		prosecution [1] 4/2	reset [1] 2/15
merit [2] 18/18 18/19	nothing [2] 13/21 17/6	19/4	prosecutor [1] 13/24	respond [2] 13/16
mic [1] 5/24	notice [1] 9/3	Pariente's [1] 2/6	public [1] 13/21	14/25
MICHAEL [4] 1/17 1/21	now [11] 3/24 6/14		punish [1] 15/5	result [2] 16/8 16/20
2/7 19/4	7/11 13/3 13/20 13/24		punished [1] 15/4	review [1] 19/25
middle [1] 3/3			purpose [4] 6/16 7/3	ribs [5] 4/4 4/7 4/19
	19/15	PAUL [1] 1/9	17/25 18/25	9/20 12/5
mind [1] 8/25 minimum [2] 8/10 9/14	NRS [1] 1/14	PD [1] 10/25	purposes [1] 11/17	right [8] 3/12 3/24 6/
minutos [2] 0/10 9/14	Number [1] 2/3		pursuant [2] 1/14	15/9 15/11 15/15 18/2
minutes [3] 2/10 2/18		3/17 7/8 8/11 9/15	17/15	19/7
19/15	0	15/13	put [5] 7/25 8/1 8/14	rise [1] 16/22
missing [1] 10/8	object [1] 12/2	people [4] 12/8 12/15	8/15 16/22	risk [7] 5/16 6/16 7/4
mistake [1] 16/19	obviously [4] 4/18	15/24 15/25		8/7 13/19 13/25 17/16
moment [1] 11/24	13/18 16/18 18/25	permission [1] 2/7	Q	room [1] 7/24
MONDAY [1] 1/13	odds [1] 9/21	permitting [1] 16/19	question [2] 7/19	row [1] 3/11
more [4] 2/14 2/18 4/15	off [1] 13/18	person [3] 11/24 15/10	11/15	ruling [2] 9/2 10/7
5/16	offer [2] 17/18 17/19	15/12	questions [1] 13/13	run [2] 5/15 17/16
morning [4] 2/5 2/10	officer [4] 7/14 7/18	persuasive [1] 18/8	quick [1] 11/1	<u> </u>
2/18 3/2	7/25 10/12	pertinent [1] 4/10		S
motion [12] 1/14 3/13	officers [1] 10/25	phonetic [2] 9/11 9/19	R	said [15] 5/9 6/12 7/2
3/17 5/10 6/22 8/6 10/6	oh [1] 13/25	physical [1] 10/19	RE [1] 1/14	8/17 9/7 9/20 10/16
11/6 11/8 17/8 17/21			read [12] 3/12 3/14	
17/24	okay [28]	picture [1] 13/25		13/2 14/10 14/19 14/2
motions [1] 18/9	older [1] 4/2	place [3] 3/21 7/17	3/14 3/15 3/16 3/18	15/14 15/17 16/23
move [2] 5/24 14/8	on [31]	15/1	3/19 3/20 3/21 3/22 8/17 14/18	19/16
moved [1] 11/11	once [1] 11/10	Plaintiff [1] 1/7	8/17 14/18	same [3] 11/25 15/12
Mr. [11] 2/6 2/9 5/24	one [14] 5/1 5/4 5/22	plea [10] 4/23 5/2 5/7	reading [1] 6/6	16/6
7/1 9/22 10/8 10/22	5/23 6/1 6/3 6/3 6/15	5/10 5/15 8/5 8/20 9/16	ready [3] 9/20 19/6	saw [1] 16/24
13/5 13/11 13/15 19/15	8/5 8/5 10/14 11/24	16/19 17/8	19/8	say [11] 6/21 10/17
Mr. Banka [2] 13/5	11/24 19/2	plead [1] 17/15	real [2] 5/3 13/25	11/22 12/6 12/15 14/2
13/11		pleading [1] 5/4	realize [1] 15/3	15/12 16/15 17/22 18
	7/10 12/12 12/19 13/20	pleadings [1] 3/13	really [7] 4/19 6/14	18/6
Mr. Banka's [1] 13/15	oOo [1] 20/9	please [3] 2/6 5/25	7/25 15/20 16/11 16/21	saying [11] 11/12 12
		1 4 4 4 4 6	18/17	12/22 13/18 13/20
Mr. Banka's [1] 13/15 Mr. Giles [1] 5/24 Mr. Pariente [1] 7/1	opinion [1] 11/18	11/13		12/22 10/10 10/20
Mr. Giles [1] 5/24 Mr. Pariente [1] 7/1	opportunity [2] 3/6	point [5] 3/25 7/11 8/1	reapply [1] 19/1	14/17 14/18 14/23
Mr. Giles [1] 5/24 Mr. Pariente [1] 7/1 Mr. Pariente's [1] 2/6	opportunity [2] 3/6 10/10	point [5] 3/25 7/11 8/1 9/10 15/5	reapply [1] 19/1 reason [2] 9/8 9/9	
Mr. Giles [1] 5/24 Mr. Pariente [1] 7/1 Mr. Pariente's [1] 2/6 Mr. Watkins [4] 2/9	opportunity [2] 3/6 10/10 opposition [5] 3/15	point [5] 3/25 7/11 8/1 9/10 15/5	reapply [1] 19/1	14/17 14/18 14/23
Mr. Giles [1] 5/24 Mr. Pariente [1] 7/1 Mr. Pariente's [1] 2/6	opportunity [2] 3/6 10/10	point [5] 3/25 7/11 8/1 9/10 15/5	reapply [1] 19/1 reason [2] 9/8 9/9	14/17 14/18 14/23 14/25 17/10 18/13

S such [1] 9/2 16/3 16/9 16/15 16/21 why [4] 7/11 15/5 V 17/7 18/2 18/13 18/14 15/14 18/13 suffer [2] 4/7 4/16 scared [1] 19/10 **VEGAS [1]** 2/1 **suffered [1]** 4/15 18/18 18/18 18/24 will [7] 9/22 11/6 13/13 scenario [2] 4/6 4/6 vehicles [1] 17/1 summarize [2] 3/24 8/3 third [1] 14/22 15/6 19/24 19/25 20/6 scene [7] 6/1 6/3 6/7 versus [3] 2/4 4/19 supplement [2] 7/12 this [33] Williams [1] 20/15 9/25 10/21 11/21 16/11 10/3 those [3] 6/17 9/11 window [1] 19/9 10/10 scrivener [1] 7/17 very [4] 6/11 7/1 10/6 supplemental [2] 3/16 12/14 withdraw [5] 5/10 8/20 section [3] 4/11 10/13 11/25 3/19 though [2] 8/21 19/16 9/16 16/19 17/8 victim [2] 9/24 13/10 10/14 supplementation [1] thought [1] 16/18 withdrawal [1] 8/5 see [4] 4/12 6/20 16/5 victims [2] 5/22 10/3 within [1] 11/5 10/11 threat [5] 13/20 15/16 victory [1] 15/4 supposed [1] 20/4 15/19 15/25 16/6 won't [3] 8/16 13/7 seen [1] 12/10 video [1] 20/11 **Supreme [9]** 8/22 9/3 15/8 three [1] 16/1 send [4] 9/2 11/12 violation [1] 17/5 through [4] 8/12 8/16 11/12 15/14 15/16 16/6 words [1] 9/6 11/13 20/5 voice [1] 14/14 17/9 18/4 19/1 11/3 16/1 **work [1]** 11/9 sending [1] 17/9 sure [4] 3/7 3/12 4/20 time [15] 2/14 2/15 world [1] 13/6 **sentenced** [1] 15/10 12/11 2/16 2/17 4/23 4/25 5/7 would [9] 6/20 10/17 want [4] 5/4 5/15 17/10 serious [2] 4/15 5/16 **surrender** [1] 15/7 8/16 10/6 11/24 12/12 13/10 15/22 16/18 17/16 **set [1]** 17/13 sworn [1] 10/17 13/14 14/22 18/22 20/3 16/20 18/9 19/5 20/5 wanted [9] 2/16 4/20 several [1] 9/21 system [2] 9/13 11/4 times [1] 12/10 wouldn't [2] 16/3 5/14 7/22 8/14 8/24 **she [1]** 9/23 today [1] 11/15 19/11 **should [5]** 2/20 13/14 9/10 16/17 19/14 told [1] 9/19 writing [2] 8/15 8/15 13/15 15/13 17/7 was [53] take [1] 2/16 took [2] 3/21 15/1 written [1] 10/24 wasn't [3] 4/19 6/22 shouldn't [1] 12/23 taking [1] 11/3 **top [1]** 9/18 wrong [1] 11/12 15/21 **shouting [1]** 14/8 talk [1] 2/18 total [1] 5/23 wrote [1] 10/12 **WATKINS [6]** 1/20 2/6 **showed [2]** 11/2 14/2 talked [1] 11/8 totality [2] 16/21 17/7 2/9 10/8 10/22 19/15 **shows [1]** 10/19 talking [2] 9/4 10/8 TRAN [1] 1/1 signs [1] 11/2 Watkins's [1] 9/22 Tamp [1] 14/5 transcribed [2] 1/24 yeah [3] 8/23 14/11 similar [1] 12/4 way [2] 16/6 19/17 targeted [1] 13/9 20/11 16/7 **simply [1]** 13/2 we [22] telling [1] 2/17 Transcriber [1] 20/15 years [4] 9/21 16/1 we're [8] 2/24 3/1 7/8 **since [1]** 7/5 test [1] 11/4 19/12 19/12 transcript [2] 1/9 4/11 **single [2]** 15/10 15/12 8/10 9/14 9/15 11/15 testified [2] 4/11 16/25 yes [5] 4/16 5/8 9/1 **transposed** [1] 10/14 15/3 **sitting [1]** 14/3 testimony [1] 10/17 transposition [1] 10/4 15/10 15/25 we've [2] 10/2 15/25 so [41] than [4] 2/15 2/18 travel [3] 10/13 10/15 you [99] weigh [2] 11/16 11/16 sobrieties [1] 7/23 10/23 13/12 10/18 you'd [2] 4/12 16/3 well [16] 6/5 6/6 7/17 **sobriety [1]** 17/3 thank [5] 18/12 19/16 trial [12] 2/10 2/24 3/4 you'll [1] 19/23 7/24 8/1 9/8 10/11 society [1] 13/11 you're [4] 3/5 14/17 19/19 19/20 19/21 9/20 10/17 17/13 17/17 11/22 12/6 12/15 12/17 solid [1] 11/18 **thanks [1]** 19/17 17/23 18/5 18/15 19/6 14/23 19/3 12/23 15/12 15/14 some [6] 2/16 4/22 that [152] you've [5] 8/16 11/23 19/8 17/21 20/3 7/23 8/14 8/19 15/18 14/19 14/21 18/21 that's [16] 2/17 3/25 tried [1] 16/13 went [3] 4/8 8/12 11/3 somehow [1] 5/5 5/4 6/11 6/23 7/11 9/4 **true [1]** 18/17 your [25] were [12] 4/23 5/2 5/22 someone [1] 16/10 10/24 11/14 12/4 12/21 truly [1] 20/10 6/12 7/18 9/11 9/19 something [3] 3/25 15/14 16/2 17/6 18/13 try [1] 14/13 11/12 18/14 10/6 12/12 15/23 16/24 19/7 trying [5] 13/24 14/4 sound [1] 19/3 19/5 their [4] 11/3 12/8 14/24 14/25 15/2 weren't [2] 8/13 12/13 **specific [1]** 13/10 12/12 14/1 two [12] 4/24 4/25 5/2 what [20] 5/9 5/13 5/19 spoke [1] 4/5 them [2] 14/25 20/6 5/17 5/22 5/22 6/10 8/4 5/20 6/5 6/12 7/20 7/25 Staff [1] 2/25 then [12] 2/15 3/21 8/2 10/2 10/3 11/5 19/11 8/1 9/4 9/20 10/5 10/16 **start [1]** 9/18 9/24 10/13 11/21 16/2 type [1] 12/7 10/17 10/19 11/15 **STATE [15]** 1/6 1/17 16/12 17/18 19/3 19/10 12/20 14/17 14/23 2/3 4/17 6/23 7/7 7/12 19/11 7/23 8/9 9/7 9/17 13/18 16/23 there [21] under [4] 5/17 6/12 what's [1] 8/17 16/23 17/18 19/24 there's [12] 3/13 6/14 17/7 17/9 State's [4] 3/15 3/18 when [9] 2/23 2/24 5/1 6/23 7/1 7/3 7/19 12/3 underlying [1] 14/13 8/12 14/1 14/3 15/1 3/20 7/16 12/22 13/19 16/11 18/7 understand [5] 6/11 16/12 18/3 statute [1] 6/23 9/5 11/11 14/17 14/22 18/19 where [8] 4/11 5/10 **statutory** [1] 6/19 therefore [1] 18/25 understanding [1] 9/25 10/12 10/25 11/20 **sternum [1]** 9/23 these [1] 11/23 4/14 11/24 17/15 still [2] 5/14 16/2 they [14] 6/16 9/3 understood [3] 4/20 Where's [1] 3/10 stop [2] 6/7 14/9 10/25 11/2 11/9 11/10 5/12 5/12 whether [6] 11/7 12/13 strike [1] 5/10 12/12 12/13 14/2 15/11 unless [1] 13/12 15/19 15/22 16/18 18/4 **strongly [1]** 18/20 15/15 16/3 16/4 19/11 **up [5]** 11/10 11/11 which [8] 5/17 6/4 6/7 **submit [1]** 13/13 they're [3] 9/24 13/20 11/12 11/23 17/9 substantial [6] 4/25 6/22 10/19 16/17 18/8 **upon [3]** 6/18 8/8 15/11 5/18 6/4 9/25 12/9 18/22 They've [1] 16/4 17/21 while [2] 12/4 15/21 17/19 us [5] 15/5 19/5 19/6 thing [2] 13/20 19/2 who [10] 4/3 4/15 7/15 substantially [2] 11/5 things [5] 8/13 11/2 19/10 19/11 7/15 7/19 10/18 11/25 12/14 15/15 15/18 use [1] 10/25 13/3 15/10 16/24 **substitute** [1] 19/5 think [17] 2/10 2/20 used [1] 10/24 whole [1] 13/11 successful [1] 15/3 6/11 10/3 11/14 12/21 usually [1] 2/16