IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BARRY JAMES RIVES, M.D.; and LAPAROSCOPIC SURGERY OF NEVADA, LLC.

Appellants/Cross-Respondents,

VS.

TITINA FARRIS and PATRICK FARRIS,

Respondents/Cross-Appellants.

BARRY JAMES RIVES, M.D.; and LAPAROSCOPIC SURGERY OF NEVADA, LLC,

Appellants,

VS.

TITINA FARRIS and PATRICK FARRIS,

Respondents.

Case NElegtronically Filed Oct 13 2020 11:38 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Case No. 81052

APPELLANTS' APPENDIX VOLUME 22

ROBERT L. EISENBERG (SBN 950) LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG 6005 Plumas Street, Third Floor Reno, NV 89519 775-786-6868 775-786-9716 fax rle@lge.net

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANTS

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX TO APPELLANTS' APPENDIX

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
1.	Complaint (Arbitration Exemption Claimed: Medical Malpractice)	7/1/16	1	1-8
	Exhibit 1: Affidavit of Vincent E. Pesiri, M.D.	7/1/16	1	9-12
	Exhibit 2: CV of Vincent E. Pesiri, M.D.		1	13-15
	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure (NRS Chapter 19)	7/1/16	1	16-17
2.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.; Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC Answer to Complaint (Arbitration Exempt – Medical Malpractice)	9/14/16	1	18-25
3.	Notice of Association of Counsel	7/15/19	1	26-28
4.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada LLC's Motion to Compel The Deposition of Gregg Ripplinger, M.D. and Extend the Close of Discovery (9th Request) on an Order Shortening Time	9/13/19	1	29-32
	Declaration of Chad C. Couchot, Esq.	9/13/19	1	33-35
	Declaration of Thomas J. Doyle, Esq.	9/13/19	1	36-37
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	9/13/19	1	38-44
	Exhibit 1: Notice of Taking Deposition of Dr. Michael Hurwitz	2/6/19	1	45-49
	Exhibit 2: Amended Notice of Taking Deposition of Dr. Michael Hurwitz	7/16/19	1	50-54

NO. (Cont. 4)	DOCUMENT Second Amended Notice of Taking Deposition of Dr. Michael Hurwitz (Location Change Only)	DATE 7/25/19	<u>VOL.</u>	PAGE NO. 55-58
	Exhibit 3: Third Amended Notice of Taking Deposition of Dr. Michael Hurwitz	9/11/19	1	59-63
	Exhibit 4: Subpoena – Civil re Dr. Gregg Ripplinger	7/18/19	1	64-67
	Notice of Taking Deposition of Dr. Gregg Ripplinger	7/18/19	1	68-70
	Exhibit 5: Amended Notice of Taking Deposition of Dr. Gregg Ripplinger	9/11/19	1	71-74
5.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.; Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada LLC's NRCP 16.1(A)(3) Pretrial Disclosure	9/13/19	1	75-81
6.	Trial Subpoena – Civil Regular re Dr. Naomi Chaney	9/16/19	1	82-86
7.	Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions Under Rule 37 for Defendants' Intentional Concealment of Defendant Rives' History of Negligence and Litigation and Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint to Add Claim for Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	9/18/19	1	87-89
	Affidavit of Kimball Jones, Esq. in Support of Plaintiff's Motion and in Compliance with EDCR 2.34 and NRCP 37	9/18/19	1	90-91
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	9/16/19	1	92-104
	Exhibit "1": Defendant Dr. Barry Rives' Response to Plaintiff Titina Farris' First Set of Interrogatories	4/17/17	1	105-122

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 7)	Exhibit "2": Deposition Transcript of Dr. Barry Rives, M.D. in the Farris Case	10/24/18	1	123-149
	Exhibit "3": Transcript of Video Deposition of Barry James Rives, M.D. in the Center Case	4/17/18	1	150-187
8.	Order Denying Stipulation Regarding Motions in Limine and Order Setting Hearing for September 26, 2019 at 10:00 AM, to Address Counsel Submitting Multiple Impermissible Documents that Are Not Complaint with the Rules/Order(s)	9/19/19	1	188-195
	Stipulation and Order Regarding Motions in Limine	9/18/19	1	196-198
9.	Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Defendants' Rebuttal Witnesses Sarah Larsen, R.N., Bruce Adornato, M.D. and Scott Kush, M.D., and to Limit the Testimony of Lance Stone, D.O. and Kim Erlich, M.D., for Giving Improper "Rebuttal" Opinions, on Order Shortening Time	9/19/19	1	199-200
	Motion to Be Heard	9/18/19	1	201
	Affidavit of Kimball Jones, Esq. in Compliance with EDCR 2.34 and in Support of Plaintiff's Motion on Order Shortening Time	9/16/19	1	202-203
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	9/16/19	1	204-220
	Exhibit "1": Defendants Barry J. Rives, M.D. and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Rebuttal Disclosure of Expert Witnesses and Reports	12/19/18	1	221-225

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 9)	Exhibit "2": Expert Report of Sarah Larsen, R.N., MSN, FNP, C.L.C.P. with Life Care Plan	12/19/18	2	226-257
	Exhibit "3": Life Expectancy Report of Ms. Titina Farris by Scott Kush, MD JD MHP	12/19/18	2	258-290
	Exhibit "4": Expert Report by Bruce T. Adornato, M.D.	12/18/18	2	291-309
	Exhibit "5": Expert Report by Lance R. Stone, DO	12/19/18	2	310-323
	Exhibit "6": Expert Report by Kim S. Erlich, M.D.	11/26/18	2	324-339
	Exhibit "7": Expert Report by Brian E. Juell, MD FACS	12/16/18	2	340-343
	Exhibit "8": Expert Report by Bart Carter, MD, FACS	12/19/18	2	344-346
10.	Court Minutes Vacating Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike	9/20/19	2	347
11.	Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendants' Second Amended Notice of Taking Deposition of Dr. Gregg Ripplinger	9/20/19	2	348-350
12.	Plaintiffs' Objections to Defendants' Pre-Trial Disclosure Statement Pursuant to NRCP 6.1(a)(3)(C)	9/20/19	2	351-354
13.	Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendants' Trial Subpoena of Naomi Chaney, M.D.	9/20/19	2	355-357
14.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D. and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions Under Rule 37 for Defendants' Intentional Concealment of Defendant Rives' History of Negligence and Litigation and Motion for Leave to Amend Compliant to Add Claim for Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	9/24/19	2	358-380

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
15.	Declaration of Chad Couchot in Support of Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions Under Rule 37 for Defendants' Intentional Concealment of Defendant Rives' History of Negligence and Litigation and Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint to Add Claim for Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	9/24/19	2	381-385
	Exhibit A: Defendant Dr. Barry Rives' Response to Plaintiff Vickie Center's First Set of Interrogatories	3/7/17	2	386-391
	Exhibit B: Defendant Dr. Barry Rives' Response to Plaintiff Titina Farris' First Set of Interrogatories	4/17/17	2	392-397
	Exhibit C: Partial Deposition Transcript of Barry Rives, M.D. in the Farris case	10/24/18	2	398-406
	Exhibit D: Partial Transcript of Video Deposition of Barry Rives, M.D. in the Center case	4/17/18	2	407-411
	Exhibit E: Defendant Dr. Barry Rives' Supplemental Response to Plaintiff Titina Farris' First Set of Interrogatories	9/13/19	2	412-418
	Exhibit F: Partial Transcript of Video Deposition of Yan-Borr Lin, M.D. in the Center case	5/9/18	2	419-425
	Exhibit G: Expert Report of Alex A. Balekian, MD MSHS in the <i>Rives v. Center</i> case	8/5/18	2	426-429
16.	Defendants Barry J. Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Objection to Plaintiffs' Ninth	9/25/19	2	430-433

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 16)	Supplement to Early Case Conference Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents			
17.	Court Minutes on Motion for Sanctions and Setting Matter for an Evidentiary Hearing	9/26/19	2	434
18.	Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendants' Fourth and Fifth Supplement to NRCP 16.1 Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents	9/26/19	2	435-438
19.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Objection to Plaintiffs' Initial Pre-Trial Disclosures	9/26/19	2	439-445
20.	Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Defendants' Fourth and Fifth Supplement to NRCP 16.1 Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents on Order Shortening Time	9/27/19	2	446-447
	Notice of Hearing	9/26/19	2	448
	Affidavit of Kimball Jones, Esq. in Support of Plaintiff's Motion and in Compliance with EDCR 2.26	9/24/19	2	449
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	9/25/19	2	450-455
	Exhibit "1": Defendants Barry Rives, M.D. and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Fourth Supplement to NRCP 16.1 Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents	9/12/19	2	456-470
	Exhibit "2": Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Fifth Supplement to NRCP 16.1 Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents	9/23/19	3	471-495

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
21.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Pretrial Memorandum	9/30/19	3	496-514
22.	Plaintiffs' Pre-Trial Memorandum Pursuant to EDCR 2.67	9/30/19	3	515-530
23.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's First Supplemental NRCP 16.1(A)(3) Pretrial Disclosure	9/30/19	3	531-540
24.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Supplemental Objection to Plaintiffs' Initial Pre-Trial Disclosures	9/30/19	3	541-548
25.	Order Denying Defendants' Order Shortening Time Request on Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Motion to Extend the Close of Discovery (9th Request) and Order Setting Hearing at 8:30 AM to Address Counsel's Continued Submission of Impermissible Pleading/Proposed Orders Even After Receiving Notification and the Court Setting a Prior Hearing re Submitting Multiple Impermissible Documents that Are Not Compliant with the Rules/Order(s)	10/2/19	3	549-552
	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Motion to Extend the Close of Discovery (9th Request) on an Order Shortening Time	9/20/19	3	553-558
	Declaration of Aimee Clark Newberry, Esq. in Support of Defendants' Motion on Order Shortening Time	9/20/19	3	559-562
	Declaration of Thomas J. Doyle, Esq.	9/20/19	3	563-595

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 25)	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	9/20/19	3	566-571
	Exhibit 1: Notice of Taking Deposition of Dr. Michael Hurwitz	2/6/19	3	572-579
	Exhibit 2: Amended Notice of Taking Deposition of Dr. Michael Hurwitz	7/16/19	3	580-584
	Second Amended Notice of Taking Deposition of Dr. Michael Hurwitz (Location Change Only)	7/25/19	3	585-590
26.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D. and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Defendants' Fourth and Fifth Supplement to NRCP 16.1 Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents on Order Shortening Time	10/2/19	3	591-601
27.	Declaration of Chad Couchot in Support of Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Defendants' Fourth and Fifth Supplement to NRCP 16.1 Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents on Order Shortening Time	10/2/19	3	602-605
	Exhibit A: Partial Transcript of Video Deposition of Brain Juell, M.D.	6/12/19	3	606-611
	Exhibit B: Partial Transcript of Examination Before Trial of the Non-Party Witness Justin A. Willer, M.D.	7/17/19	3	612-618
	Exhibit C: Partial Transcript of Video Deposition of Bruce Adornato, M.D.	7/23/19	3	619-626
	Exhibit D: Plaintiffs' Eighth Supplement to Early Case Conference Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents	7/24/19	3	627-640

NO.	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 27)	Exhibit E: Plaintiffs' Ninth Supplement to Early Case Conference Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents	9/11/19	3	641-655
	Exhibit F: Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Fourth Supplement to NRCP 16.1 Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents	9/12/19	3	656-670
	Exhibit G: Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Fifth Supplement to NRCP 16.1 Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents	9/23/19	3	671-695
	Exhibit H: Expert Report of Michael B. Hurwitz, M.D.	11/13/18	3	696-702
	Exhibit I: Expert Report of Alan J. Stein, M.D.	11/2018	3	703-708
	Exhibit J: Expert Report of Bart J. Carter, M.D., F.A.C.S.		3	709-717
	Exhibit K: Expert Report of Alex Barchuk, M.D.	3/20/18	4	718-750
	Exhibit L: Expert Report of Brian E Juell, MD FACS	12/16/18	4	751-755
28.	Declaration of Thomas J. Doyle in Support of Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Defendants' Fourth and Fifth Supplement to NRCP 16.1 Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents on Order Shortening Time	10/2/19	4	756-758
29.	Reply in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Defendants' Fourth and Fifth Supplement to NRCP 16.1 Disclosure Of Witnesses and Documents on Order Shortening Time	10/3/19	4	759-766
30.	Defendants' Proposed List of Exhibits	10/7/19	4	767-772

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
31.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Compel the Deposition of Gregg Ripplinger, M.D. and Extend the Close of Discovery (9th Request) on an Order Shortening Time	10/10/19	4	773-776
32.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Trial Brief Regarding Their Request to Preclude Defendants' Expert Witnesses' Involvement as a Defendant in Medical Malpractice Actions	10/14/19	4	777-785
	Exhibit 1: Partial Transcript Video Deposition of Bart Carter, M.D.	6/13/19	4	786-790
	Exhibit 2: Partial Transcript of Video Deposition of Brian E. Juell, M.D.	6/12/19	4	791-796
33.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Trial Brief Regarding the Need to Limit Evidence of Past Medical Expenses to Actual Out-of-Pocket Expenses or the Amounts Reimbursed	10/14/19	4	797-804
	Exhibit 1: LexisNexis Articles		4	805-891
34.	Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion to Strike Defendants' Answer for Rule 37 Violations, Including Perjury and Discovery Violations on an Order Shortening Time	10/19/19	4	892-896
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	10/19/19	4	897-909
	Exhibit "1": Recorder's Transcript of Pending Motions	10/7/19	5	910-992
	Exhibit "2": Verification of Barry Rives, M.D.	4/27/17	5	993-994

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
35.	Defendants' Trial Brief in Support of Their Position Regarding the Propriety of Dr. Rives' Responses to Plaintiffs' Counsel's Questions Eliciting Insurance Information	10/22/19	5	995-996
	Declaration of Thomas J. Doyle	10/22/19	5	997
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	10/22/19	5	998-1004
	Exhibit 1: MGM Resorts Health and Welfare Benefit Plan (As Amended and Restated Effective January 1, 2012)		5	1005-1046
	Exhibit 2: LexisNexis Articles		5	1047-1080
36.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D. and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion to Strike	10/22/19	5	1081-1086
	Exhibit A: Declaration of Amy B. Hanegan	10/18/19	5	1087-1089
	Exhibit B: Deposition Transcript of Michael B. Hurwitz, M.D., FACS	9/18/119	6	1090-1253
	Exhibit C: Recorder's Transcript of Pending Motions (Heard 10/7/19)	10/14/19	6	1254-1337
37.	Reply in Support of, and Supplement to, Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion to Strike Defendants' Answer for Rule 37 Violations, Including Perjury and Discovery Violations on an Order Shortening Time	10/22/19	7	1338-1339
	Declaration of Kimball Jones, Esq. in Support of Plaintiff's Reply and Declaration for an Order Shortening Time		7	1340
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	10/22/19	7	1341-1355

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 37)	Exhibit "1": Plaintiffs' Seventh Supplement to Early Case Conference Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents	7/5/19	7	1356-1409
38.	Order on Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Defendants' Fourth and Fifth Supplements to NRCP 16.1 Disclosures	10/23/19	7	1410-1412
39.	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Improper Arguments Including "Medical Judgment," "Risk of Procedure" and "Assumption of Risk"	10/23/19	7	1413-1414
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	10/23/19	7	1415-1419
40.	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief on Rebuttal Experts Must Only be Limited to Rebuttal Opinions Not Initial Opinions	10/24/19	7	1420
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	10/24/19	7	1421-1428
	Exhibit "1": Defendants Barry J. Rives, M.D. and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Rebuttal Disclosure of Expert Witnesses and Reports	12/19/18	7	1429-1434
	Exhibit "2": Expert Report of Bruce T. Adornato, M.D.	12/18/18	7	1435-1438
41.	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief on Admissibility of Malpractice Lawsuits Against an Expert Witness	10/27/19	7	1439-1440
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	10/26/19	7	1441-1448
	Exhibit "1": Transcript of Video Deposition of Brian E. Juell, M.D.	6/12/19	7	1449-1475

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
42.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Trial Brief on Rebuttal Experts Being Limited to Rebuttal Opinions Not Initial Opinions	10/28/19	7	1476-1477
	Declaration of Thomas J. Doyle, Esq.	10/28/19	7	1478
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	10/28/19	7	1479-1486
	Exhibit 1: Expert Report of Justin Aaron Willer, MD, FAAN	10/22/18	7	1487-1497
	Exhibit 2: LexisNexis Articles		7	1498-1507
	Exhibit 3: Partial Transcript of Examination Before Trial of the Non-Party Witness Justin A. Willer, M.D.	7/17/19	7	1508-1512
43.	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding Disclosure Requirements for Non-Retained Experts	10/28/19	7	1513-1514
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	10/28/19	7	1515-1521
44.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Trial Brief Regarding Propriety of Disclosure of Naomi Chaney, M.D. as a Non-Retained Expert Witness	10/29/19	7	1522-1523
	Declaration of Thomas J. Doyle, Esq.	10/29/19	7	1524
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	10/29/19	7	1525-1529
	Exhibit 1: Partial Deposition Transcript of Naomi L. Chaney Chaney, M.D.	8/9/19	7	1530-1545
	Exhibit 2: Plaintiffs' Expert Witness Disclosure	11/15/18	7	1546-1552

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 44)	Exhibit 3: Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental Expert Witness Disclosure	7/12/19	7	1553-1573
	Exhibit 4: Expert Report of Justin Aaron Willer, MD, FAAN	10/22/18	7	1574-1584
	Exhibit 5: LexisNexis Articles		8	1585-1595
	Exhibit 6: Defendant Barry Rives M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's First Supplement to NRCP 16.1 Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents	12/4/18	8	1596-1603
45.	Plaintiffs' Motion to Quash Trial Subpoena of Dr. Naomi Chaney on Order Shortening Time	10/29/19	8	1604-1605
	Notice of Motion on Order Shortening Time		8	1606
	Declaration of Kimball Jones, Esq. in Support of Plaintiff's Motion on Order Shortening Time		8	1607-1608
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	10/29/19	8	1609-1626
	Exhibit "1": Trial Subpoena – Civil Regular re Dr. Naomi Chaney	10/24/19	8	1627-1632
	Exhibit "2": Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Fifth Supplement to NRCP 16.1 Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents	9/23/19	8	1633-1645
	Exhibit "3": Defendants Barry J. Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Initial Disclosure of Expert Witnesses and Reports		8	1646-1650

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	<u>VOL.</u>	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 45)	Exhibit "4": Deposition Transcript of Naomi L. Chaney, M.D.	5/9/19	8	1651-1669
46.	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief Regarding the Testimony of Dr. Barry Rives	10/29/19	8	1670-1671
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	10/29/19	8	1672-1678
	Exhibit "1": Defendants Barry Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Fifth Supplement to NRCP 16.1 Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents	9/23/19	8	1679-1691
	Exhibit "2": Deposition Transcript of Barry Rives, M.D.	10/24/18	8	1692-1718
47.	Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendants' Misleading Demonstratives (11-17)	10/29/19	8	1719-1720
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	10/29/19	8	1721-1723
	Exhibit "1" Diagrams of Mrs. Farris' Pre- and Post-Operative Condition		8	1724-1734
48.	Plaintiffs' Trial Brief on Defendants Retained Rebuttal Experts' Testimony	10/29/19	8	1735-1736
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	10/28/19	8	1737-1747
	Exhibit "1": Plaintiffs Objections to Defendants' Pre-Trial Disclosure Statement Pursuant to NRCP 16.1(a)(3)(C)	9/20/19	8	1748-1752
	Exhibit "2": Defendants Barry J. Rives, M.D. and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Rebuttal Disclosure of Expert Witnesses and Reports	12/19/18	8	1753-1758

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 48)	Exhibit "3": Deposition Transcript of Lance Stone, D.O.	7/29/19	8	1759-1772
	Exhibit "4": Plaintiff Titina Farris's Answers to Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories	12/29/16	8	1773-1785
	Exhibit "5": Expert Report of Lance R. Stone, DO	12/19/18	8	1786-1792
	Exhibit "6": Expert Report of Sarah Larsen, R.N., MSN, FNP, C.L.C.P.	12/19/18	8	1793-1817
	Exhibit "7": Expert Report of Erik Volk, M.A.	12/19/18	8	1818-1834
49.	Trial Subpoena – Civil Regular re Dr. Naomi Chaney	10/29/19	9	1835-1839
50.	Offer of Proof re Bruce Adornato, M.D.'s Testimony	11/1/19	9	1840-1842
	Exhibit A: Expert Report of Bruce T. Adornato, M.D.	12/18/18	9	1843-1846
	Exhibit B: Expert Report of Bruce T. Adornato, M.D.	9/20/19	9	1847-1849
	Exhibit C: Deposition Transcript of Bruce Adornato, M.D.	7/23/19	9	1850-1973
51.	Offer of Proof re Defendants' Exhibit C	11/1/19	9	1974-1976
	Exhibit C: Medical Records (Dr. Chaney) re Titina Farris		10	1977-2088
52.	Offer of Proof re Michael Hurwitz, M.D.	11/1/19	10	2089-2091
	Exhibit A: Partial Transcript of Video Deposition of Michael Hurwitz, M.D.	10/18/19	10	2092-2097
	Exhibit B: Transcript of Video Deposition of Michael B. Hurwitz, M.D., FACS	9/18/19	10 11	2098-2221 2222-2261

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
53.	Offer of Proof re Brian Juell, M.D.	11/1/19	11	2262-2264
	Exhibit A: Expert Report of Brian E. Juell, MD FACS	12/16/18	11	2265-2268
	Exhibit B: Expert Report of Brian E. Juell, MD FACS	9/9/19	11	2269-2271
	Exhibit C: Transcript of Video Transcript of Brian E. Juell, M.D.	6/12/19	11	2272-2314
54.	Offer of Proof re Sarah Larsen	11/1/19	11	2315-2317
	Exhibit A: CV of Sarah Larsen, RN, MSN, FNP, LNC, CLCP		11	2318-2322
	Exhibit B: Expert Report of Sarah Larsen, R.N MSN, FNP, LNC, C.L.C.P.	12/19/18	11	2323-2325
	Exhibit C: Life Care Plan for Titina Farris by Sarah Larsen, R.N., M.S.N., F.N.P., L.N.C., C.L.C.P	12/19/18	11	2326-2346
55.	Offer of Proof re Erik Volk	11/1/19	11	2347-2349
	Exhibit A: Expert Report of Erik Volk	12/19/18	11	2350-2375
	Exhibit B: Transcript of Video Deposition of Erik Volk	6/20/19	11	2376-2436
56.	Offer of Proof re Lance Stone, D.O.	11/1/19	11	2437-2439
	Exhibit A: CV of Lance R. Stone, DO		11	2440-2446
	Exhibit B: Expert Report of Lance R. Stone, DO	12/19/18	11	2447-2453
	Exhibit C: Life Care Plan for Titina Farris by Sarah Larsen, R.N., M.S.N., F.N.P., L.N.C., C.L.C.P	12/19/18	12	2454-2474
57.	Special Verdict Form	11/1/19	12	2475-2476

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
58.	Order to Show Cause {To Thomas J. Doyle, Esq.}	11/5/19	12	2477-2478
59.	Judgment on Verdict	11/14/19	12	2479-2482
60.	Notice of Entry of Judgment	11/19/19	12	2483-2488
61.	Plaintiffs' Motion for Fees and Costs	11/22/19	12	2489-2490
	Declaration of Kimball Jones, Esq. in Support of Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs	11/22/19	12	2491-2493
	Declaration of Jacob G. Leavitt Esq. in Support of Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs	11/22/19	12	2494-2495
	Declaration of George F. Hand in Support of Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs	11/22/19	12	2496-2497
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	11/22/19	12	2498-2511
	Exhibit "1": Plaintiffs' Joint Unapportioned Offer of Judgment to Defendant Barry Rives, M.D. and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC	6/5/19	12	2512-2516
	Exhibit "2": Judgment on Verdict	11/14/19	12	2517-2521
	Exhibit "3": Notice of Entry of Order	4/3/19	12	2522-2536
	Exhibit "4": Declarations of Patrick Farris and Titina Farris		12	2537-2541
	Exhibit "5": Plaintiffs' Verified Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	11/19/19	12	2542-2550
62.	Defendants Barry J. Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Fees and Costs	12/2/19	12	2551-2552

NO. (Cont. 62)	CUMENT Declaration of Thomas J. Doyle, Esq.	<u>DATE</u>	VOL. 12	PAGE NO. 2553-2557
	Declaration of Robert L. Eisenberg, Esq.		12	2558-2561
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	12/2/19	12	2562-2577
	Exhibit 1: Defendants Barry J. Rives, M.D. and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Initial Disclosure of Expert Witnesses and Reports	11/15/18	12	2578-2611
	Exhibit 2: Defendants Barry J. Rives, M.D. and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Rebuttal Disclosure of Expert Witnesses and Reports	12/19/18	12 13	2612-2688 2689-2767
	Exhibit 3: Recorder's Transcript Transcript of Pending Motions (Heard 10/10/19)	10/14/19	13	2768-2776
	Exhibit 4: 2004 Statewide Ballot Questions		13	2777-2801
	Exhibit 5: Emails between Carri Perrault and Dr. Chaney re trial dates availability with Trial Subpoena and Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendants' Trial Subpoena on Naomi Chaney, M.D.	9/13/19 - 9/16/19	13	2802-2813
	Exhibit 6: Emails between Riesa Rice and Dr. Chaney re trial dates availability with Trial Subpoena	10/11/19 - 10/15/19	13	2814-2828
	Exhibit 7: Plaintiff Titina Farris's Answers to Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories	12/29/16	13	2829-2841
	Exhibit 8: Plaintiff's Medical Records		13	2842-2877

<u>NO.</u> 63.	DOCUMENT Reply in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Fees and Costs	DATE 12/31/19	<u>VOL.</u> 13	PAGE NO. 2878-2879
	Memorandum of Points and Authorities	12/31/19	13	2880-2893
	Exhibit "1": Plaintiffs' Joint Unapportioned Offer of Judgment to Defendant Barry Rives, M.D. and Defendant Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada LLC	6/5/19	13	2894-2898
	Exhibit "2": Judgment on Verdict	11/14/19	13	2899-2903
	Exhibit "3": Defendants' Offer Pursuant to NRCP 68	9/20/19	13	2904-2907
64.	Supplemental and/or Amended Notice of Appeal	4/13/20	13	2908-2909
	Exhibit 1: Judgment on Verdict	11/14/19	13	2910-2914
	Exhibit 2: Order on Plaintiffs' Motion for Fees and Costs and Defendants' Motion to Re-Tax and Settle Plaintiffs' Costs	3/30/20	13	2915-2930
	TRANSCRIPTS	<u>S</u>		
65.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Status Check	7/16/19	14	2931-2938
66.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Mandatory In-Person Status Check per Court's Memo Dated August 30, 2019	9/5/19	14	2939-2959
67.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pretrial Conference	9/12/19	14	2960-2970
68.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: All Pending Motions	9/26/19	14	2971-3042
69.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pending Motions	10/7/19	14	3043-3124

NO. 70.	DOCUMENT <i>Transcript of Proceedings Re</i> : Calendar Call	<u>DATE</u> 10/8/19	<u>VOL.</u> 14	PAGE NO. 3125-3162
71.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pending Motions	10/10/19	15	3163-3301
72.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Status Check: Judgment — Show Cause Hearing	11/7/19	15	3302-3363
73.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pending Motions	11/13/19	16	3364-3432
74.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pending Motions	11/14/19	16	3433-3569
75.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pending Motions	11/20/19	17	3570-3660
	TRIAL TRANSCR	<u>IPTS</u>		
76.	Jury Trial Transcript — Day 1 (Monday)	10/14/19	17 18	3661-3819 3820-3909
77.	Jury Trial Transcript — Day 2 (Tuesday)	10/15/19	18	3910-4068
78.	Jury Trial Transcript — Day 3 (Wednesday)	10/16/19	19	4069-4284
79.	Jury Trial Transcript — Day 4 (Thursday)	10/17/19	20	4285-4331
93.	Partial Transcript re: Trial by Jury – Day 4 Testimony of Justin Willer, M.D. [Included in "Additional Documents" at the end of this Index]	10/17/19	30	6514-6618
80.	Jury Trial Transcript — Day 5 (Friday)	10/18/19	20	4332-4533
81.	Jury Trial Transcript — Day 6 (Monday)	10/21/19	21	4534-4769
82.	Jury Trial Transcript — Day 7 (Tuesday)	10/22/19	22	4770-4938

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	<u>vol.</u>	PAGE NO.
83.	Jury Trial Transcript — Day 8 (Wednesday)	10/23/19	23	4939-5121
84.	Jury Trial Transcript — Day 9 (Thursday)	10/24/19	24	5122-5293
85.	Jury Trial Transcript — Day 10 (Monday)	10/28/19	25 26	5294-5543 5544-5574
86.	Jury Trial Transcript — Day 11 (Tuesday)	10/29/19	26	5575-5794
87.	Jury Trial Transcript — Day 12 (Wednesday)	10/30/19	27 28	5795-6044 6045-6067
88.	Jury Trial Transcript — Day 13 (Thursday)	10/31/19	28 29	6068-6293 6294-6336
89.	Jury Trial Transcript — Day 14 (Friday)	11/1/19	29	6337-6493
	ADDITIONAL DOCUM	MENTS ¹		
91.	Defendants Barry Rives, M.D. and Laparoscopic Surgery of, LLC's Supplemental Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions Under Rule 37 for Defendants' Intentional Concealment of Defendant Rives' History of Negligence and Litigation And Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint to Add Claim for Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	10/4/19	30	6494-6503
92.	Declaration of Thomas J. Doyle in Support of Supplemental Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions Under Rule 37 for Defendants' Intentional Concealment of Defendant Rives' History of Negligence and litigation and Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint to Add Claim for Punitive Damages on Order Shortening Time	10/4/19	30	6504-6505

_

¹ These additional documents were added after the first 29 volumes of the appendix were complete and already numbered (6,493 pages).

<u>NO.</u>	DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 92)	Exhibit A: Partial Deposition Transcript of Barry Rives, M.D.	10/24/18	30	6506-6513
93.	Partial Transcript re: Trial by Jury – Day 4 Testimony of Justin Willer, M.D. (Filed 11/20/19)	10/17/19	30	6514-6618
94.	Jury Instructions	11/1/19	30	6619-6664
95.	Notice of Appeal	12/18/19	30	6665-6666
	Exhibit 1: Judgment on Verdict	11/14/19	30	6667-6672
96.	Notice of Cross-Appeal	12/30/19	30	6673-6675
	Exhibit "1": Notice of Entry Judgment	11/19/19	30	6676-6682
97.	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Pending Motions	1/7/20	31	6683-6786
98.	Transcript of Hearing Re: Defendants Barry J. Rives, M.D.'s and Laparoscopic Surgery of Nevada, LLC's Motion to Re-Tax and Settle Plaintiffs' Costs	2/11/20	31	6787-6801
99.	Order on Plaintiffs' Motion for Fees and Costs and Defendants' Motion to Re-Tax and Settle Plaintiffs' Costs	3/30/20	31	6802-6815
100.	Notice of Entry Order on Plaintiffs' Motion for Fees and Costs and Defendants' Motion to Re-Tax and Settle Plaintiffs' Costs	3/31/20	31	6816-6819
	Exhibit "A": Order on Plaintiffs' Motion for Fees and Costs and Defendants' Motion to Re-Tax and Settle Plaintiffs' Costs	3/30/20	31	6820-6834
101.	Supplemental and/or Amended Notice of Appeal	4/13/20	31	6835-6836
	Exhibit 1: Judgment on Verdict	11/14/19	31	6837-6841

<u>NO.</u> <u>DC</u>	<u>DCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
(Cont. 101)	Exhibit 2: Order on Plaintiffs' Motion for Fees and Costs and Defendants' Motion to Re-Tax and Settle Plaintiffs' Costs	3/30/20	31	6842-6857

22A.App.4770

Electronically Filed 3/2/2020 9:12 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 5 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 TITINA FARRIS, ET AL., CASE#: A-16-739464-C 8 Plaintiffs, DEPT. XXXI 9 vs. 10 BARRY RIVES, M.D., 11 Defendant. 12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOANNA S. KISHNER 13 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE TUESDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2019 14 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL - DAY 7 16 **APPEARANCES:** 17 For the Plaintiff: KIMBALL JONES, ESQ. 18 JACOB G. LEAVITT, ESQ. GEORGE F. HAND, ESQ. 19 For the Defendant: THOMAS J. DOYLE, ESQ. 20 21 22 23 24

RECORDED BY: SANDRA HARRELL, COURT RECORDER

25

1	<u>INDEX</u>			
2				
3	Testimony17			
4				
5				
6	WITNESSES FOR THE PLAINTIFF			
7	MICHASEL MAVROMATIC			
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Hand 17			
9				
10	TITINA FARRIS			
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Jones26			
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Doyle 54			
13	Redirect Examination by Mr. Jones 77			
14				
15	DAWN COOK			
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Hand80			
17	Cross-Examination by Mr. Doyle122			
18	Redirect Examination by Mr. Hand146			
19	Recross Examination by Mr. Doyle			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2			
3			
4	FOR THE PLAINTIFF	<u>MARKED</u>	RECEIVED
5	6	18	23
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11	FOR THE DEFENDANT	<u>MARKED</u>	RECEIVED
12	None		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
		- 3 -	

Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday, October 22, 2019

2

[Case called at 10:39 a.m.]

THE COURT: On the record outside the presence of the jury

The Court is of course fine with whatever the parties jointly

4

THE CLERK: On the record.

5

in case number 739464. So Counsel, Court's got a couple of questions.

6 7

We have all of our jurors. And so the question becomes when we left

8

yesterday, we're about to do the juror questions with regards to Dr.

9

Rives. What the Court didn't know, since Dr. Rives was the Defendant

10

and was here presently, if rather you all were going to do a different

11

witness because of the availability of witnesses, or whether you were

12

going to wish to have the juror questions with Dr. Rives.

13

wish to do. And what the Court also wanted to remind the parties is,

14 15

remember, the parties need to have a full opportunity for each of you all

16

to present your case. And to the extent that there has been time over the

17

last few days that there has been extensive argument over various

18

issues, some of which took time out of Plaintiffs' case in chief.

19

Remember, Plaintiff has a full opportunity to present your entire case. Defense, you have an opportunity to present your entire

2021

case. And so, you all need to decide what you need to do. We needed to

22

choose the witnesses you wish to call and as long as you are not being

23

cumulative, redundant, and otherwise violating rules, everyone has a full

24

opportunity to present your case, call your witnesses, nobody's being

25

asked to reduce their witnesses or do things. Anything you all need to

do what you need to do to ensure each of your respective client's needs are taken care of so that they each have an opportunity, both on Defense and Plaintiffs' sides, to have their case heard.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, I -- if I may, I think that given that there is the possibility of having duplication --

THE COURT: Bless you.

MR. JONES: -- of the same -- of perhaps the same questions asked of Dr. Rives by the jury, maybe it makes sense to push off the written questions until he testifies again and have it at the end of his complete testimony, and we can go through all of those.

THE COURT: And the Court's going to hear what each party's position is with regards to that. I mean, the Court is going to hear Defense Counsel's position.

Defense Counsel, do you agree with Plaintiffs' position?

MR. DOYLE: I disagree. I --

THE COURT: Okay. And what is your basis on why that would be different than what was the established procedure that was set forth, both told to the jury and explained to Counsel at the beginning of trial, that it would be after a witness' entire testimony was exhausted by all parties, regardless of who called the witness. Then the juror questions would be asked.

So if you want it differently, the Court just wants to hear each side's position and your basis for doing so. No worries.

MR. DOYLE: Yeah. Well, Dr. Rives' testimony is part of our case in chief. Probably's going to come in bits and pieces to some extent

because of scheduling of expert witnesses and things. His cross-examine -- well, his examination by Plaintiffs during their case in chief has been completed. The questions that have been posed so far pertain to the testimony that he's given, and I think the appropriate thing to do is to answer the questions that the Court is willing to give, so that the jury has the answers to those questions in mind and does not over the next few days or perhaps early next week, forget the context in which they asked those questions.

So I would request that the questions be read to the jury -- or that the questions be dealt with this morning before we go into our first witness, and so that the jury has the answers in terms of the context of the questions that have come up so far.

THE COURT: But Dr. Rives testimony was also done in various bits -- your phrase, bits and piece. Okay, different time frames, different days during Plaintiffs' case in chief. And he presumably could be called both in Defense case in chief and potentially in Plaintiffs' rebuttal case. So are you suggesting three sets of juror questions potentially -- could be as much as three sets of juror questions?

MR. DOYLE: Well, no. I'd assume the rebuttal would come right after the cross-examine -- or I mean, the direct examination.

THE COURT: Rebuttal case in chief.

MR. DOYLE: Oh.

THE COURT: After Defense rests, your case in chief, right?

Plaintiff has an opportunity to do their rebuttal case in chief and,

hypothetically, I don't know if they would or wouldn't, but they could call

Dr. Rives potentially. I don't know. The Court takes no position. I just was trying to give a scenario. There could be three different instances potentially that Dr. Rives could be testifying, right? Plaintiffs' case in chief, Defense case in chief, and Plaintiffs' rebuttal case.

So in that scenario, unless you all were to stipulate to something different under the parameters that you all agreed to before the trial commenced, technically the jury could ask questions in each of those scenarios. Those would be three separate instances that they'd be asking juror questions and is that what the parties were intending? Because that would be different than any parties have ever suggested in any other trial.

MR. DOYLE: Well, I guess conceivably that could happen. But here's my concern, if there's been a topic that came up -- oh, I mean, Dr. Rives spent a lot of time on the stand and if there is a -- if there is a topic that has been discussed and thoroughly discussed, I would not go back through that topic during my direct examination. Because that -- I mean, I would see no reason to be cumulative and go back over ground that's already been plowed.

Which means then in that scenario, we potentially have a question that will have gone unanswered for one plus weeks, and I think under those circumstances it would be unfair and prejudicial to Dr. Rives for the jury to have to try and, you know, remember again the context of the question and put to it his answer some days later. I would request that we do the questions now.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, I think that it runs the risk of

having duplicate questions asked. I think that the jury will be fine especially since they will hear the questions that were posed being reread to them. I don't think that it's going to be something that they forget. Even if it does happen to be more than a day or two, maybe it is next Monday. I still don't think that that's a particular problem. And I think that the downside of just waste of time of having to go through questions over and over again with each iteration that Dr. Rives is up, I don't think makes a great deal of sense.

I think it makes much more sense the last time he is questioned, and we know it's his final time that he's being questioned, that we go over all the questions at that time and I think that that's the appropriate way to go.

THE COURT: And the Court's going to agree and here's the reasoning. One, there was this specific agreement on how the juror questions were going to be handled set forth at the beginning of the case, both explained to Counsel and explained to the jury. And, Counsel, you all agreed on it, and the jury was explained that process. It was that after the totality of a witness' testimony, but before the witness "left the stand in total," okay. And so, that was what was explained.

The other thing is, is that part of the questions and when a question gets asked or not asked, is you all can easily object that the information is cumulative and already has been discussed by the witness. And so, if the Court were to do these questions now, and then there was additional testimony, you risk that cumulative aspect and you risk three times the sets of questions, which could also have to either

Plaintiffs' detriment or Defense detriment that having Dr. Rives be subjected to three sets of questions could be adverse to either one side or the other side.

It could put unfair emphasis on Dr. Rives' testimony either towards or against either side. Don't know which way it could potentially do it. And that could be unfair to any of the other witnesses, including the fact that Plaintiff wouldn't have the opportunity to have three sets of questions potentially done because of the way that this is going. Don't know how you're going to do it or not, but at least the way you currently have set it. Or that any of the other witnesses wouldn't have that same opportunity. And so that presents a potentially unfair spotlight towards one witness i.e. one of the parties versus any of the other witnesses and parties.

And so the Court would find that that would be a challenge and not a good reason to do questions at this juncture. Also, the fact that you all have explained that you have experts and other individuals that have specific time frames. You already have the issue that you had with Dr. Hurwitz who had a specific time frame and because of inappropriate issues being raised about Dr. Hurwitz's deposition, Dr. Hurwitz's testimony was not completed on Friday.

I'm not saying that there couldn't have been other issues that could have been raised properly, but at least that issue took a significant amount of time because Dr. Hurwitz only testified for a very short amount of time. And I'm not saying he couldn't have testified other more lengthy for other reasons, but the Court did look at how much time

a

was spent on some of the issues that should not have been brought up at that juncture in the afternoon. And so that presents an additional issue with the fact that it could take that amount of time away from other witnesses.

In addition, one of the keys factors is it would be going contrary to what was specifically explained to the jury. And the Court's concerned if you go do something contrary that was specifically explained to the jury one way, could really impact the jury's verdict one way or another. Because they may wonder why we're doing it differently for this witness either to the advantage or disadvantage to one party or the other. And that would not be fair to either -- when I say one party, I'm talking parties, any of the Plaintiff parties or any of the Defense parties. But to any of the Defense parties or the Plaintiff parties.

And so I think being consistent with what was previously explained and following that procedure, it would be most appropriate to hold these questions because that would be consistent with everything and it would only subject Dr. Rives to having to answer questions at the end of his testimony consistent with all of the other witnesses' testimony, and consistent with explained to the jury and agreed to by the parties.

So the Court's going to wait until the end of Dr. Rives' testimony. But you have -- at this juncture, the Court's making this ruling because I have an understanding from what, Mr. Doyle, you said yesterday, that you are intending to call Dr. Rives in your case in chief; is that correct?

MR. DOYLE: That is correct.

THE COURT: Okay. So that's the reason why I'm saying this. Because if you weren't' calling him, then I'd ask these questions now. But I'm making -- based on your statement yesterday that you were intending to call him in your case in chief is why the Court's ruling is in this regard now. Okay.

MR. DOYLE: Would we be able to look at them at some convenient time, or would we have to wait until his testimony is done and then look at them at that point?

THE COURT: Court's going to have to evaluate that. I don't think it's appropriate to evaluate that question, because I'm going to have to have you all discuss that among yourselves and see if there's an agreement among the parties. But while we have a jury outside, do you really think it's appropriate to go into that issue right now. Don't you think it's better left to let you all on your own breaks to discuss that among yourselves and see if there's a joint request versus a difference of opinion? Yes?

MR. DOYLE: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. JONES: Yes.

THE COURT: Does it make more sense? Okay. So that's what going to do, so you all can discuss among yourself first to see if it's a joint request or a difference of opinion. And then you need to bring it back to the Court's attention, so'l know if it's objected to or a joint request, and rather than having the jury wait outside, okay?

MR. JONES: Your Honor, a very quick housekeeping matter.

We have signed a -- both sides have signed an order, Defendant's motion to strike, Defendant's 4th and 5th supplements.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. JONES: I understand that the Defense has submitted to Your Honor their proposed for our motion for Rule 37 sanctions.

THE COURT: I'm not aware of that. I have not --

MR. JONES: Oh.

THE COURT: -- seen it. I'm not aware of it.

MR. JONES: I just saw it e-filed, so I noticed -- or at least -- at least something along those lines. I saw it come electronically. In any event --

THE COURT: Okay. It would be improper to ever file a proposed order. All orders need to be submitted to the Court after circulated to the parties. To this Court's knowledge, when I looked before I came on the bench for this morning's motion calendar, Court did not see any proposed orders. I'm not saying it didn't come after I was on the bench. The Court did not see anything, so the Court is unaware of what you're saying. I'm not saying it did or did not happen. Just as of when I came on to the bench this morning, I was informed there was nothing on the Farris case. The only thing that came in afterwards was a binder, which was Defendant's opposition, which got left in the box sometime between 8:40 and 9:00.

MR. JONES: And, Your Honor, I saw it very briefly, so I don't want to misspeak. There could be some misunderstanding on my part.

In any event, the parties don't have an agreement as to the order on

1	Plaintiffs' motion for Rule 37 sanctions. That my understanding is we'll				
2	vote and submit our proposed to you today.				
3	THE COURT: I will have to see them and just please make				
4	sure that the Court gets them in a timely manner so the Court can review				
5	them. Because can appreciate we need to get those taken care of for you				
6	all, right?				
7	MR. JONES: Absolutely.				
8	THE COURT: Okay. Do appreciate, thank you for the heads				
9	up.				
10	MR. JONES: So, Your Honor, should I may I approach to				
11	THE COURT: Is that signed by all parties?				
12	MR. JONES: Yes, it is, Your Honor.				
13	THE COURT: Okay. Let me get a cello so it does not				
14	get interfere with the other stuff. Okay.				
15	And Counsel for Defense, you've had an opportunity to see				
16	what Plaintiffs' Counsel's handing to the Court?				
17	MR. DOYLE: Yes.				
18	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. Okay, I'll put that				
19	over there for right now. Thanks very much. Okay. Are you all ready for				
20	the jury? And do you all agree who the next witness is then?				
21	MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.				
22	THE COURT: And who is the next witness, and do you wish				
23	the individual on the stand or do you call from out in the hallway?				
24	MR. HAND: Judge, I have yeah, I'll bring him up. He's the				
25	administrator from CareMeridian.				

1	THE COURT: Does the individual have a name?
2	MR. JONES: His name is Michael Mavromatis.
3	M-A-V-R-O-M-A-T-I-S, I believe. He'll spell it.
4	THE COURT: Okay. So do you wish him to be on the stand?
5	MR. HAND: Yes.
6	MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. He'll
7	THE COURT: Okay. Any objection Defense Counsel? If
8	we're consistent with others, he's been on the stand. Is that fine with
9	you?
10	MR. DOYLE: That's fine.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. Okay.
12	Would you like the jury brought in?
13	MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Marshal, could you please get our jury. Thank
15	you so much.
16	And we will need to break in, oh, about 10 minutes. We'll
17	break at noon and then we'll go to 1:15, 1:20. And I have to break a few
18	minutes before noon. Okay, thank you so much. And the Court is aware
19	that there was an audiovisual transmission request submitted, so
20	MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. And I think we've arrived at
21	a stipulation with respect to that as well, subsequently.
22	THE COURT: Oh, okay. What's that stipulation?
23	MR. DOYLE: So what we've agreed to is Dr. Brian Juell is my
24	standard of care general surgeon. He will be available first thing in the
25	I morning tomorrow, whatever time we start. We've agreed that I can nut

1	Dr. Juell on as part of my case in chief. I'm not sure where we'll be in
2	terms of resting or not, but if and if necessary, we will interrupt him
3	THE COURT: Just one second.
4	MR. DOYLE: to complete
5	THE COURT: So he'll be out or order, okay.
6	MR. DOYLE: Yeah. He'll be out of order if they haven't
7	rested, but we'll interrupt him to do Dr. Hurwitz. And then if necessary, I
8	made arrangements for Dr. Juell to be available, you know, if it if for
9	some reason it goes over to Thursday morning, he can spend the night.
10	But
11	MR. JONES: And so Dr. Hurwitz will be by video at 2:00 p.m.
12	tomorrow. And Dr. Juell will start first thing tomorrow when we begin,
13	and hopefully we'll be finished with Dr. Juell prior to Dr. Hurwitz.
14	THE COURT: So is there going to be any objection to the
15	audiovisual request?
16	MR. DOYLE: No.
17	THE COURT: Okay. So there's no objection. So you know
18	what you need to provide Dr. Hurwitz as far as what's required of all the
19	exhibits? Court can't go over that right now, you just
20	MR. JONES: Yes. Absolutely, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: need to make sure it gets taken care of.
22	MR. JONES: Yes, we're we understand.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So since there's no objection, then the
24	Court's gong to grant it and then you need to coordinate with IT to insure
25	that was done if it hasn't already. Has it been done?

1	MR. JONES: It has. In terms of testing the technology, we
2	did.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Then the Court's going to grant the
4	audiovisual because there's no objection by Defense.
5	MR. JONES: No.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. Jury can come in,
7	appreciate it. Wait, sorry. Marshal, wait one second. The witness needs
8	to get on the stand first, please. Thank you.
9	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
10	[Jury in at 10:55 a.m.]
11	[Within the presence of the jury]
12	THE MARSHAL: All jurors are accounted for. Please be
13	seated.
14	THE COURT: Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen. Hope
15	everyone had a nice and relaxing evening. At this juncture, Counsel for
16	Plaintiff same as we've done in the past, we already have the witness
17	on the stand, but I'm still going to ask, Counsel for Plaintiff, would you
18	like to call your next witness?
19	MR. HAND: Yes, Your Honor. Plaintiff calls Michael
20	Mavromatis.
21	THE COURT: Okay, thank you so very much.
22	Madame Clerk, , can you please swear in the witness or
23	affirm, have the witness affirm, whatever's appropriate. Thank you.
24	MICHAEL MAVROMATIS, PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS, SWORN
25	THE CLERK: Thank you, please be seated. Could you please

1	state and spell your name for the record.		
2		THE WITNESS: Yes, it's Michael Mavromatis. That's	
3	M-A-V-R-0	O-M-A-T-I-S.	
4		THE CLERK: Thank you.	
5		THE COURT: Okay.	
6		Counsel, feel free to commence, and do you need a pocket	
7	micropho	ne or are you going to stay at podium?	
8		MR. HAND: I'll try to keep my voice up and I'll stay here.	
9		THE COURT: Okay, no worried. If you change your mind, I'd	
10	be glad to	give you a pocket microphone. Feel free to commence with	
11	your ques	tioning.	
12		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
13	BY MR. H	AND:	
14	Q	Good morning, Mr. Mavromatis.	
15	А	Good morning.	
16	a	By whom are you employed?	
17	А	CareMeridian LLC.	
18	a	And what is your I'm sorry?	
19	А	lt's CareMeridian LLC.	
20	a	And what kind of facility is CareMeridian?	
21	А	It is a nursing home facility.	
22	a	And what is your title with CareMeridian?	
23	А	I'm the administrator.	
24	Q	And how long have you been the administrator?	
25	А	I've been the administrator since September of 2015.	

1	Ω	And where is CareMeridian located?
2	A	It's 3391 North Buffalo Drive.
3	a	And that's a is that a rehab facility also?
4	А	Yeah, it's a skilled nursing and rehab.
5	a	I'm going to ask you to look at the binder behind you,
6	Plaintiffs' t	rial exhibit binder. If you could find it.
7		THE COURT: Counsel, Plaintiffs' 1, Plaintiffs' 2, feel free
8	to Plainti	ffs' 1
9		THE WITNESS: Is it 1?
10		MR. HAND: It's number 2.
11		THE COURT: Plaintiffs' or Defendant's?
12		MR. HAND: Plaintiffs'. Plaintiffs' 2.
13		THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Exhibit 2?
14		THE COURT: Sorry.
15		MR. HAND: No, it's Plaintiffs' Book 2.
16		THE COURT: Sure. And you can approach. I believe you're
17	saying Plai	intiffs' are the first two on the left and then they go to
18	Defendant	's, and I think they're marked separately. Thank you so much.
19		THE WITNESS: Plaintiffs' Book 2.
20		MR. HAND: That's okay.
21	BY MR. HA	AND:
22	O.	Mr. Mavromatis, I'm pointing you to what's been marked as
23	identificati	on as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6. Are you familiar with Plaintiffs'
24	Exhibit 6?	
25		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6 marked for identification]

1	A	Yes.	
2	α	Okay. Could you tell us what it is?	
3	А	This first page here is just the declaration from our medical	
4	records pe	erson who was Tyra Nelson [phonetic].	
5	Q	Tyra Nelson's a medical records custodian?	
6	А	Yes.	
7	Q	And turning to the next page, is that a face sheet? I'm	
8	looking at	page 6-002.	
9	А	Yes, that's our that's our face sheet.	
10	α	Okay. Does that indicate that Ms. Farris was or Mrs. Farris	
11	was admit	ted on August 11th, 2015, to your facility?	
12	А	That's correct.	
13	σ	And she was discharged on August 28th, 2015?	
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	And looking further, looking at the next page, 6-003, is that	
16	the billing record for the facility?		
17	А	Yes.	
18	Q	And then, the rest of that exhibit is various medical records;	
19	is that correct?		
20	А	Yes. This would be yep.	
21	α	All right. So how are these records prepared? Specifically	
22	the medical records, they're entries made contemporaneous with the		
23	treatment?		
24	А	Yes, I wasn't I'm not I'm familiar with the records	
25	Q	Okay.	
	1		

1	А	because I had gotten the records. But I was not there when
2	she was th	ere. But this would look like yeah, there's an H&P so, yes,
3	this would	be
4	a	Are your records kept the same way now as they were then?
5	А	Yes.
6	a	All right. Is it the ordinary course of business for
7	CareMerid	ian to keep a record such as we've marked as Exhibit 6?
8	А	Yes.
9	a	Okay. And is it the was this record kept in the ordinary
10	course of b	ousiness of CareMeridian at that time period in 2015?
11	А	Yes.
12		MR. HAND: And, Judge, at this time, I offer that record into
13	evidence.	
14		THE COURT: Is that proposed Exhibit 6?
15		MR. HAND: Yes.
16		THE COURT: I'm not hearing any objection by Defense.
17		MR. DOYLE: No, no. I was waiting.
18		THE COURT: Okay.
19		MR. DOYLE: I object to page 6 I'm sorry, Exhibit 6, page 3,
20	that no fou	ındation and hearsay.
21		MR. HAND: Judge, I could clarify that. I'm going to
22		THE COURT: Okay. You ask a few more questions first?
23		MR. HAND: Yes.
24		THE COURT: Okay, feel free to do so.
مد		MP HAND: Okov

1		THE COURT: The Court will defer ruling.	
2	BY MR. HAND:		
3	Q	Please look at page 3 of the of the exhibit. Is that term	
4	client acco	ount ledger?	
5	A	Yes.	
6	α	Okay. Is that a who makes those entries in the in the	
7	record?		
8	A	That would be at our corporate office, which is Irvine,	
9	California.		
10	ο.	Are those entries for the medical charges made	
11	contempo	raneous when the charges are incurred?	
12	А	Yes. But we do bimonthly, so twice a month.	
13	Q	I'm looking at this Exhibit number 3, does it have dates of	
14	entry of bi	lling from August 11th, August 12th all the way through	
15	August 27	th, does it have entries for various medical services?	
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	Okay. And you're familiar with this kind of bill and how it's	
18	kept?		
19	A	Correct.	
20	ο.	ls this a true and accurate copy of the medical bill for	
21	CareMeridian for Tina Farris' admission?		
22	А	Yes.	
23	Q	Okay. And it's in the ordinary course business of	
24	CareMerid	ian to keep a record such as this?	
25	А	Correct.	

Q	And was this one in the ordinary course of business?
Α	Correct.
	MR. HAND: Okay. I move to admit the exhibit, Judge.
	MR. DOYLE: I object. No foundation and it's hearsay.
	THE COURT: Counsel, would you both like to approach me.
Madame, C	Court would like to turn on the lovely white noise.
[9	Sidebar at 11:02 a.m., ending at 11:04 a.m., not transcribed]
	THE COURT: Okay. As requested by counsel, the Court's
going to de	efer all the questions.
	Go ahead, counsel.
	MR. HAND: Okay.
BY MR. HA	.ND:
Q	Mr. Mavromatis, looking at that medical bill on page 3,
Exhibit 6.	
А	Yes.
Q	Looking at the entry for August 11, 2015, was that charge
actually ind	curred?
A	Yes.
a	Okay. And what's your basis of knowledge for that?
A	Because according to that face sheet she was admitted on 8-
11.	
Q	Okay. Any other these other entries going down the bill,
were these	charges actually incurred?
А	That would be correct. The face sheet says 8-28. We do not
generally b	oill for day of discharge, so that's why you would not see 8-28
	A Madame, C (S) going to de BY MR. HA Q Exhibit 6. A Q actually inc A Q A 11. Q were these A

1	on this record.			
2	Q	And do you deal with these bills as part of the course and		
3	scope of your job at CareMeridian?			
4	А	I communicate with corporate. They prepare. And then I		
5	will, if the	re's any discrepancies, I would discuss that with them.		
6	Q	How many of these bills like this have you seen in your job?		
7	A	Dozens.		
8	Q	Does this seem to be the usual and customary, not the		
9	amount, b	out format for billing for a patient at CareMeridian?		
10	A	Yes.		
11	Q	Okay. Thank you.		
12		MR. HAND: Judge, at this time I move to admit the exhibit.		
13		MR. DOYLE: Object. There's no foundation.		
14		THE COURT: I'm just going to overrule the objection in light		
15	of the additional testimony exhibit . The only objection was to page 3; is			
16	that corre	ct, counsel?		
17		MR. DOYLE: Correct.		
18		THE COURT: Okay. Then Exhibit 6 is admitted. Thank you		
19	so very much.			
20		[Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6 admitted into evidence]		
21		THE CLERK: In its entirety?		
22		THE COURT: Pardon?		
23		THE CLERK: In its entirety?		
24		THE COURT: Yes, in its entirely. Yes. Thank you so much.		
25		MR. HAND: I have no further questions for the witness,		

Judge. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Cross-examination, Defense counsel? 3 MR. DOYLE: No, thank you, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. There being no cross-examination, not seeing any juror questions, then is this witness excused for all purposes 6 7 or subject to recall at some other part of the trial? MR. HAND: For all purposes from our side. 8 THE COURT: Defense counsel? 10 MR. DOYLE: That's fine. 11 THE COURT: Okay. This witness is excused for all purposes. 12 Thank you so very much for your time. Just watch your step on your 13 way down, please. Thank you so very much. Just leave the binder 14 there. We'll get that taken care for you. Thank you so much. I appreciate it. 15 16 Okay, then, at this juncture as this witness is leaving, counsel 17 for Plaintiff would you like to call your next witness? 18 MR. HAND: Yes, Your Honor. We're going to call Titina 19 Farris. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Marshal is assisting with something 21 else. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, I'm happy to assist. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Would you like to assist the witness. 24 The Marshal's getting parking validations relevant to our jurors. He said 25 he'd be back in a moment. Thank you. And does the witness need

1	anything else?
2	MR. JONES: We're okay, but I will help her.
3	THE COURT: Marshal? No, wait second.
4	MR. JONES: Would you like the Marshal to do it?
5	THE COURT: Marshal, would you mind assisting the witness
6	if she needs any assistance? Thank you so much.
7	And the Clerk will swear in the witness. Thank you so much.
8	THE CLERK: Do you prefer to sit or stand?
9	MS. FARRIS: Sit.
10	THE COURT: That's fine. Please raise your right hand.
11	<u>TITINA FARRIS, PLAINTIFF, SWORN</u>
12	THE CLERK: Thank you. Could you please state and spell
13	your name for the record.
14	THE WITNESS: Titina Farris, T-I-T-I-N-A. Farris, F-A-R-I-S.
15	THE CLERK: Thank you, ma'am.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, you can commence with your
17	examination and you may need to are you going to be using any
18	exhibits?
19	MR. JONES: I'm not, Your Honor. I'm not.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Then that's fine. And just with regards
21	to the microphone, we may need to move it a little closer to the witness.
22	MR. JONES: Sure.
23	THE COURT: You may want to do that. Sometimes people
24	are a little soft spoken. And then our recorder will let us know if she
25	cannot hear at any point and then we'll get that taken care of, as well.

1	Madam Court Reporter, can you hear her so far?		
2		COURT REPORTER: Nobody's spoke yet, sorry.	
3		THE COURT: Okay. Sorry. We'll wait to hear the question	
4	and we'll see if there's any issues, we'll let you know. Thank you so		
5	much.		
6		Go ahead, counsel, feel free to commence with your	
7	questioning.		
8		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
9	BY MR. JONES:		
10	a	Good morning, Titina?	
11	A	Good morning.	
12	Q	Titina, I'm not going to go very long, but I'm going to ask you	
13	a number of questions. Can you tell the jury where you grew up?		
14	А	Well, I grew up in Pennsylvania, Springfield, Pennsylvania,	
15	and then we moved to Los Angeles. I was like nine when we moved		
16	there, so it was kind of a short while in Pennsylvania. I've got a lot of my		
17	relatives there.		
18	Q	And then when did you move to Las Vegas?	
19	A	I believe it's 2001.	
20	٥	And why did you move here; why did you move to Las	
21	Vegas?		
22	A	Eventually all my family was this way, so I decided to go	
23	because I missed them, so I moved there. You know, wherever my mom		
24	and dad go I seem to be really close to them, so I want to be there.		
25	<u>ا</u>	And this is where most of your family is now?	

A Oh, yeah. I mean like all of us. I have one brother in New Jersey, but the rest of us are here. There's like we had nine kids in our family, so, yeah, a big family. So it's, yeah, I wanted to be there.

- Q You had to be close to family?
- A Yeah.
- Q I want to talk to you, kind of get into it. Let's talk about your family. Obviously, Patrick has been here the same days that we had you attend. Can you tell the jury about your family?

A Oh, yeah. My family of course is everything to me. When I woke up I was so grateful. And they were like right, you know, when they could be, right there. And I do everything, you know, of course. It's like you guys with your family, do everything with them, do family gettogethers and we have those all the time. We always meet over at my mom's house. All their families come over and we all just, you know, hang out and stuff.

But, yeah, they are really cool people, my husband especially. I mean the care that he took care of me, unbelievable. I mean it was just — it was amazing. I mean he did everything for me. And was taking care of the house and coming to see me. I mean he never missed a day. And my daughter was there. And my other daughter came from London to be with me and our son. And he was right there the whole time helping out and making sure that maybe that things would go better for me trying to see that, you know, be there and talk to them, you know, nurses and everybody. Everybody's there in the family, yeah.

Q Titina, how many kids do you have?

A I have three kids. I have Sky, she's 14. And then I have Lowell, he's 33. And then I have Sky, and she's 30 -- she just turned 31, but yeah.

- Q You said Sky twice.
- A Sky -- oh, Elizabeth. Elizabeth is 14. She acts like she's 17, but anyway, we've got Sky that's 31, and Elizabeth is 14, and Lowell is 33. And those are my kids.
 - Q And do you have grandkids?
- A Oh, yeah. I've got Tristan, he's ten and Elwood is seven.

 And he is so smart. And Tristan is, too, but I took care of Elwood for a while while they went to work and stuff and so I kind of bonded with him when he was a baby. And then I got to see Tristan again when he came back from London, which was great, so yeah, those are my grandkids.
- Q And when you had the opportunity to watch Elwood during that time bonding when he was a baby, that was obviously before the --
 - A Yes.
 - Q -- the surgery?
- A Yeah, because he's a fast little bugger. Yeah, he's, you know, he would get into things and stuff like that, so, yeah, you know, you have to be up on it, so.
- Q Okay. I want to talk about some things that -- well, let me ask you that. Is watching Elwood, for example, on a regular basis, was that something that you were able to continue after the surgery or is that something you had to let go of?
 - A No. I had to let go of because I -- I mean I couldn't do it. You

know, you can't pick them up, you can't run to get them, you know. To be really slow at getting, you know, around, but he still would come by and see me and stuff, but I couldn't like play with him and stuff, but veah.

Q I want to talk about some things that you loved to do before the surgery, things that were very important to you that you looked forward to.

A Well, in our family I'm the one that makes all the events and plans all the stuff and that's like my thing, I like that, you know, all the parties and stuff like that. So I get together and hang off with my sisters and stuff what we're going to do and everything.

And, I also would go to Elizabeth's school, and we would walk to school, and I would help in the classroom and help the other kids, you know, read to them, listen to them read, check some papers, make print copies of stuff, you know, for the teachers.

And then I would also take Elizabeth to dance class, which I loved dancing. I mean dancing is like, you know, it makes your day, you know. And so I would, you know, I miss it, you know. And you get like really excited when you see other people dancing and you can't. But, yeah, have you guys seen that show World of Dance? My God, I love it. So anyway I kind of live through that.

But, yeah, just a lot of things that you need to walk. I mean you never know what it's going to be like if you never walked again. You just -- you don't think about that. But I try my hardest and just, you know, go on, but yeah, that's what you have to do.

1	a	Thank you. I want to talk about how you came to know	
2	Doctor Rives, and I want to skip ahead. You were referred by a primary		
3	care doctor, Doctor Chaney?		
4	А	Yes.	
5	a	And you had a surgery with Doctor Rives in 2014 for a hernia	
6	and to remove a lipoma?		
7	А	Yes.	
8	a	The hernia popped out some months later; is that right?	
9	А	Yes.	
10	a	And you went back to Doctor Rives?	
11	А	Yes, I did.	
12	a	All right. Can you tell me about the conversation you had	
13	with Doctor Rives when you went back to him for the hernia?		
14	A	Well, I said my hernia came back, and I need you to fix it, you	
15	know, I'm surprised it came back so soon like, you know, And he said		
16	yeah, he could do it.		
17	a	Okay. Did he give you any indication of the type of surgery it	
18	would be or how hard it might be or easy it might be?		
19	А	He said he was going to do laparoscopic. And I was kind of	
20	like, but didn't you open me up before, because I was opened up. And		
21	then he just was like no, I'm going I'm going to do it this way, it's not a		
22	big deal, you know, I do it all the time. I'm like oh, okay.		
23	a	Did he send you to get some tests done to make sure that	
24	you were healthy, things like that, and to get a CT scan done of the		
25	abdomen?		

remember after that. Like I just was like -- no, just that. You know, they

25

put me to sleep.

And then after that I don't know what day it was or anything or whatever, but I woke up in like an elevator. It was dim. And I could see like palm trees on the thing on the top. And I was really scared, but I felt like my skin was burning, you know, like just fire all over my body. And then I thought I was -- I thought I -- you know, that they could hear me. I started screaming for help. But I didn't realize I had a trach in my throat and all that stuff.

So the next thing I know, I guess I passed out or whatever and I don't know what time it was again, whatever, but I was in a hospital room.

Q And have you learned since then about what time it was that you woke up in the hospital room?

A Like days later. I mean not exact day, but it was days later.

And I really -- I couldn't talk because they -- like my voice was gone and they had a thing, so I had to talk on the chalkboard, you know, to find out my information. And I really -- what I can remember right now is just like it was days later, you know, that -- yeah.

Q At some point do you recall being transferred to the hospital to another facility?

A Yes. I think it was like a couple weeks later, and yeah, they took me to CareMeridian, and I was there for several weeks. They tried to teach me how to move my body onto things, go to the -- you know, later, right before I left, you know, how to go to the bathroom again. Like if I couldn't take the catheter out, I couldn't go home. But I had to do

certain things before I could go home. And I remember the lady that was helping me try to walk, it was very difficult just to stand up, but she said finally, like -- it was like a week or so later, if you can make it across this floor, you know, over there, I'll see about sending you home. So that was my goal, to make it across the floor, you know. And then, you know, and then she'd say I could go home, so I could do my exercises there, things like that.

Q And, Titina, so you had to learn to sit up and then transfer from one seat to another?

A Yes. They were -- in the beginning they would just pull me up from the sheet, like up, because I couldn't sit up or anything. And so I just kept working on it, because my stomach was, you know, not right and my legs and feet were not right. And so, you know, just pull you up. And then they say, you know, hey, we're going to try, and have you gone in there and transfer you to -- you're going to learn how to get out of the wheelchair and get onto this part right there. And I did that, too. And it took a while because the strength -- my strength was like gone, but, you know, I kept doing it. And finally I could do it.

And then they show me how to get into a car, you know. You've got to -- you know, the way you transfer into a car, too, you know.

Just, you know, how to clean myself. In the beginning it was just hard washing my face and stuff. But then, you know, I had to, you know get going on there, so I -- they showed me how to wash up. It was like starting all over or something, you know, so yeah.

Q And when you talked about walking across the floor, was

•

that with the assistance of a walker or with --

A Oh, yeah. It was not like the one I have now, but the metal one. And, you know, you just have to learn how to, you know, get -- because my feet, you know, would go like this or whatever, so you're trying to, you know -- I had braces on, too. I forgot. I had the braces to hold them straight. And then I could, you know, go -- you know, walk across the floor.

Q So talking about your braces, at some point you stopped using braces so much and you started using kind of boots. Can you tell the jury --

A Yeah. The boots help hold my ankle firm so that it doesn't go like -- you know, like that. So I started wearing more boots that hold my foot in control. And I was talking to one of the therapists there and they said the best thing you can do is just try and walk, just try, you know, just try and walk the best way you can, and that's like the best thing, you know. Although I was doing leg kicks and stuff like that, you know, like you have your legs sideways and you try to do it and everything. But, yeah, but the boots really keep it in line like, so.

- Q And when you talked about the catheter, that was for urine?
- A Yes.
- Okay. Eventually were you able to walk that distance?
- A Yeah, I did. And then she'd say well, okay, I'll see about letting you go home. And then like maybe two or three days later they said yes. And then I made it home. And then it was still difficult, but my -- being around my family put me more at ease, you know, to, you know,

start really thinking about what I'm going to do, you know, just keep doing it. And Patrick would help me.

And then we had a therapist that came to the house and showed me how to like make it into the kitchen, what to do, what not to do. And start doing that.

And then later I made it to outpatient like the physical therapy and was doing that. And that consists of like, you know, the bikes that go back and your feet go up and leg kicks again. And they also gave me something to work on my upper strength, too, because you're holding that walker makes you stiff and will always, you know, try to grip on it, so yeah.

- Q And did the therapist give you exercises and things that you could do at home?
- A He gave me a list of chores like to do and he says I want you to do that. When I come back, I'll give you some more stuff, you know.
 - Q And did you continue doing that even after therapy?
- A Oh, yeah, and I still do. You know, even when I'm doing -watching TV, I forget, you know, watching TV and I'm doing them while
 I'm doing it because, you know, I'm there doing my best. So you just
 start kicking your legs and trying to, you know, make them feel better
 because some days are better than others, you know, yeah.
- Q I want to -- I'd like you to tell the jury about housework and things that you could do around the house, how it was that you and Patrick divided things before and how you do it now.
 - A Well, he was in charge of the outside, the lawn and all that

stuff. And I was in charge of the inside, which I loved to do because I like to decorate and, you know, it -- they say I was weird because I would be so shining the stuff and my daughter would go why are you smiling, you know, I was scrubbing the stuff. So it was very -- and like I guess I was proud of when it was done, you know, but I can't really do the harder stuff. I could do some dishes sometimes and I do laundry, you know. I can take -- what I do is take my chair, throw clothes on it, roll it to the washing machine, throw it in, you know, and it does come in handy, but yeah. So I do that mostly.

And I try and take care of my dogs, most of them, but, you know, I can't walk them, you know, so Patrick does that now and my kids. But it was always fun to watch -- you know, walk them. They're cool dogs.

- Q For a period of time afterwards, after you left CareMeridian, you had a colostomy bag, right?
 - A Yes.
- Q And can you tell the jury about what that was like to have that for that year?

A How I came to find out about my colostomy bag, when I woke up, like I don't know, like a day later or whatever, I felt like I had to go to the bathroom, you know, really bad. So I'm calling the nurses, everything. Nobody's coming. So my son finally called and I'm like call the front desk or something, I've got to go to the bathroom, you know. And he goes mom, look on the side of you. And I couldn't believe it, I had a bag. So that was like wow.

And then afterwards, you know, you have no control of like,

you know, just going to the bathroom you've got this bag just going to the bathroom. And of course it smells really bad. And my husband had to change it, so he must really love me because it was bad. And so he'd clean it and do that for me.

But what really got me was, is you can't cover up that smell. You have to live with it and you -- when you go places and you smell like that, you don't feel good about yourself and you don't feel -- you just don't feel right, you know. So it's kind of ugly, but, you know. I think that was like the second worse thing. But I was very, very happy when they took it off, very.

Q Right now most of the time when you're getting around, how do you get around?

A Somebody has to take me around, you know. My husband and friends and family, they, you know, pick me up and we go. You know, we go to whatever. But sometimes, like I said, it feels like you're like kind of like a burden, but they don't make you feel that way, but you feel like it. And then you feel like, you know, you've got to pack up everything before you go that I never had to do.

And, you know, you know like going to -- you can't really go to concerts. I used to go to concerts all the time. And, you know, the theater, I would like to go to see the shows and stuff. Nothing was keeping me from Hamilton. I made it in there. That show's good.

But I'll tell you, I -- like I said, it was kind of like a struggle at first, you know, but I'm getting -- you get used to it, you know.

Q When you're going out and you're -- so when you're going

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

out and walking somewhere, do you usually use your walker, do you use your wheelchair?

Α Most of the time my walker because it's more solid and when there's people around you don't want to get bumped because you're going to -- you know, something's going to happen. So I have to bring that most of the time. If I know I'm just going to my parents or something that I know, I'm not walking around a lot, I might bring both so that I can -- it's not in the way and if we go -- you know, you switch off or whatever. But mostly the walker, you know, because it just is more stable, balance, you know.

And can you tell the jury about volunteering at school that Q you used to do?

Α I'd walk Elizabeth to school and then I'd go in her classroom and help the teacher, you know, whatever teacher she had. And, you know, if they say go make some copies of this for me, check off these like grades, make sure they did this. Like even with her plays and drama I would help out because I knew dance and so I would help the teacher to coordinate, you know, the kids, how they're doing, and things like that, so yeah, it was fun.

Titina, are you blaming the Defense in this case for Q conditions that you had before, like diabetes or anything like that?

Α No, no. Just it's about my feet. You know, other things, it's not like my feet. My feet, you know, gets you around when you -- no, I'm not.

Q Titina, and what are you concerned about with respect to

your body being able to take care of yourself?

A Well, right now I'm not able to do the good things that could make your legs and feet feel good. Like acupuncture, I've done it a couple times. It was like helping somewhat like, you know, feel a little bit better. But that's like a lot. And with the water, I want to be able to have water involved in my therapy. And, you know, maybe then go see doctors that really know what they're doing about this. I mean I want to know. I'm not going to give up. I'm not going to say oh, this is it, but I want to keep trying.

Q Titina, what has -- what have you learned from this experience?

A I know that I'm grateful I'm alive. I mean I was told I died several times. I learned about love, my family, and what they mean to me. And, you know, I also never realized what people go through that these kind of things happen to them, you know. You don't think about that. But now I know, you know. But you look at some people and go wow, what they go through. And you think well, I could do this, I can make it because the things that you see in the rehabilitation, you know, you want to -- you just want to help everybody. You just want to reach out to them, talk to them. Some people don't have people that visit them, so you go visit them, too. But you've got to have that understanding, you know. You just don't know until it happens to you.

Q What did this experience teach you about the love that Patrick has for you?

A Wow, he's amazing. I just can't believe that he was so

understanding and good. When he came into the rehabilitation one day, you know, I couldn't wait until he walked down that hall. I was looking down that hall to see him coming up there, just made my day. So he was coming up the hall and he came in and he said you know what, we're in this together and we're going to make it. And so know that. And that just blew my mind.

Q Thank you, Titina.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, may we approach?

THE COURT: Of course you may. Madam Court Reporter turn on the white noise.

[Sidebar at 11:40 a.m., ending at 11:40 a.m., not transcribed]

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, do you have any idea that it's 20 to 12? Instead of having you sit here, I think you'd rather go to lunch and have this discussed outside your presence rather than us sitting at the bench hearing lovely white noise.

So, ladies and gentlemen, we were going to send you out in about ten minutes anyway, so it's 20 to 12. That's going to take, let's see -- oh, boy. Okay. We're coming back at 1:20 because we've got some matters anyway and you'd rather be out there than in here listening to white noise, anyway.

So 1:20. Ladies and gentlemen, during this lunch recess you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial. You may not read, watch, or listen to any report or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information, including,

without limitation, social media, texts, tweets, newspapers, television, the internet, radio. Anything I'm not saying specifically is, of course, also included.

Do not visit the scene or the events mentioned during the trial or undertake any, excuse me, research, experimentation or investigation. Do not do any posting or communications on any social networking sites or anywhere else. Do not do any independent research, including, but not limited to internet searches. Do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected to the case in any manner whatsoever until it's fully and finally submitted to you for deliberations.

With that, have a nice relaxing lunch. We'll see you back at 1:20, one-two-zero. Thank you.

THE MARSHAL: All rise.

[Jury out at 11:41 a.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: We're staying on the record. Okay. One second. Okay. We're now outside the presence of the jury. We're going to stay on the record for a brief few moments. It's up to you whether your client wishes to remain on the stand, or you wish to assist her. I was just going to start with the oral argument and then let the --

MR. JONES: She can stay there, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, that's fine. And then we'll break for the lunch break and then we'll come back at 1:20. So you've got a few moments to argue. There was an issue brought up to the bench, so counsel for the Plaintiff bring up your issue and then Defense can

respond, and the Court will make a determination.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor. Yeah, it's something that I typically -- you know, I don't file motions on *Lioce* (phonetic) and things like that because we all know the rules in this case. There was -- I didn't think there would be a reason to file a motion on the issue of the fact that my client as a hobby or as something she does on the side acts as clairvoyant. And it's something that is potentially highly prejudicial, depending on the person that you're talking to, and it doesn't go to anything.

It didn't change with respect to my client -- well, and she's not asking for damages. She's not asking for anything in that respect. She's not asking for anything in terms of wage loss, she's not asking for anything with respect to her ability to gain wages in the future. That's not part of any part of requests that is being made for lost wages or loss of future earning capacity or even ability to perform work outside the house. That's not something that has ever been requested in this case, it's not something that's being requested now.

And, in addition, she has never been paid for being clairvoyant. She has done that on the side in an effort to try to help people. But she -- but, anyway, it doesn't go to anything in this case at all. And I bring it up only because it struck me yesterday when Defendant blurted out insurance and when other things were blurted out Sobe. And, you know, and with the improper cross-examination alleging medical records to the jury that didn't exist, that we have this pattern and practice by the Defense of throwing things out there in the

face of the jury that is absolutely inappropriate.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

And so for that reason it's -- I thought that I'd better bring it to the Court now and apparently it's true because counsel said when we stopped that he intended to. And, frankly, Your Honor, it's the same, essentially, as raising to the jury, you know, something like a religious practice that some of the jurors might not like or something. And so it has the potential of being extremely prejudicial. It's the sort of thing that depending on one's religion, some people might feel that it's a bad thing, a sinful thing, and other people might feel differently.

The bottom line is, it's something that has a potential to be extraordinarily prejudicial and it has no probative value at all in the case. It goes to no damages, it goes to no claims, and it doesn't go to her credibility. It's akin to a religious issue.

Do you have any questions, Your Honor?

THE COURT: I do have one question, just because it didn't get brought up. Going to the damages aspect, inside the house, outside the house. So no wage loss whatsoever is being asserted; is that correct?

MR. JONES: As present and future, nothing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. How about with regards to the pain and suffering, you know, the non-economic damages component?

MR. JONES: Well, Your Honor, for that reason, for this and other reasons, we -- she didn't work out of the house before and she didn't have an intention -- I mean she has at times in the past, but not in a way that was significant in terms of wages. And there wasn't an

3

5

4

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

indication that she was going to have a grand high money -- you know, a high dollar money making career afterwards. So we haven't brought it up.

And you notice in my direct examination we didn't talk in any way about --

THE COURT: Is there going to be any witness that in any way is going to state that this ability to do these clairvoyant type things is part of going to impact her non-economic damages in any manner, either she or any other witness is going to say that there's been any loss in this area that would impact non-economic damages? I'm just trying to see if it has any relevance to the case whatsoever.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor. And no, no one will be.

THE COURT: Okay. So even in any future witnesses?

MR. JONES: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

Defense counsel, go ahead.

MR. DOYLE: So a couple of things, Your Honor. Based upon the discovery in this case, she did work outside the home before July of 2015. She practiced her craft at a radio station or two. And as a result of doing readings on the radio station, she was able to generate clients and referrals. So it was a business of sorts for her prior to July 2015.

So to suggest that she never worked outside the home would not be entirely accurate. And, in fact, she testified at deposition that she has continued to do this activity since July of 2015, but now relies on

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

family or friends to get her to a radio station.

So I think yes, I agree there is no claim for loss of income.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DOYLE: Counsel said he wasn't going to file a motion about this because he thought it would be so prejudicial I would never dare bring it up. However, at our 2.67 and in that surrounding time period, we did discuss amongst ourselves proposed motions in limine to see what we could agree to or not agree to. And this was a topic that came up as a motion in limine they wanted to file.

But be that as it may, if she is able to work outside the home since July of 2015, and I don't have to go into the fact that she's a clairvoyant, but I think I'm entitled to explore with her in terms of the quality of her life and her non-economic damages and the things that she used to enjoy that she still enjoys and still can do, I'm entitled to explore with her, you know, have you been able to work outside the home since July of 2015 in a manner like or similar to what you were able to do before. How often do you do that? Do you enjoy doing that? And things along those lines.

It goes to the quality of life, the things she enjoys, and her non-economic damages.

THE COURT: Are you all in disagreement, then, because what I'm hearing is to the extent that Defendant is seeking the standard response to non-economic damages and is not going to directly or indirectly try and ask about, for lack of a better term, clairvoyant type activities, then I'm not hearing that Plaintiff is disagreeing because the

1	nature of what Defendant has said in the global context doesn't
2	specifically you said you're not specifically are you going to
3	specifically ask about her clairvoyant activities?
4	MR. DOYLE: No. And that's what I said at sidebar.
5	THE COURT: Yes, but I'm just what I'm trying to get an
6	understanding is if you all are in disagreement, folks.
7	MR. JONES: As long as there's no insinuation or direct
8	statement about the clairvoyance, then I'm okay with that. One thing
9	that I think needs to be mentioned on the record
10	THE COURT: Okay. So let's before you move to
11	something else, let's get this pinned down, all right?
12	MR. JONES: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Let's do one at a time, right, step-by-step so
14	we're clear. So if Plaintiff, your concern is that Defense not ask
15	something that says are you still doing clairvoyant activities or are you
16	still at a radio station that involves clairvoyant activities or some
17	paraphrasing there of séances, I don't know what all the similes are in
18	that regard, but is that your concern?
19	MR. JONES: Well, even did you work did you work at a
20	radio station, you know, what did you do, right, or something.
21	THE COURT: Well, worked at a let's break it down. Work
22	at a radio station, how would that be precluded?
23	MR. JONES: No, it's totally fine.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MR. JONES: But the next question or suggestion

THE COURT: What did you do? Your witness can answer the question the way she wishes to answer the question, right, saying a talk show or something like that or did a radio program. How would that be impermissible because your client, the witness, would be able to answer it in a manner that she wishes to do so, correct --

MR. JONES: Okay.

THE COURT: -- as long as it's honest and accurate, right?

I'm trying to get the scope of where your objection is, right?

MR. JONES: Right. I'm --

THE COURT: I mean if your witness says it, that's one thing. If it's being elicited by Defense counsel, that's a different thing. I'm hearing that you're objecting to Defense counsel saying it in a leading manner or saying it directly asking about that or using terms that are similar to the word clairvoyant.

MR. JONES: Or even going down that line and trying to elicit it through whatever subtle means he may try, right? There's no reason to bring it in, none.

THE COURT: It is where I'm trying to get where your scope of "it" is, okay. You both would agree that Defense counsel has a full opportunity to question the witness in response to non-economic damages that she's seeking, correct? In a general sense, non-economic damages, his question to see what she's doing before and after.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, yes, but where is the justification even for example to say -- to ask could you do a radio station before and now you can't? I mean all that does is add damages we're not even

trying to get.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JONES: Sure. That's fine.

THE COURT: Okay. So -- but let's focus on your narrow

issue. We've only got a few moments because remember the team

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JONES: And so I don't see even a justification for that initial question.

THE COURT: Let's focus on your narrow question instead of broadening out. If your narrow question is a concern more prejudice than probative because of the idea of clairvoyance --

MR. DOYLE: Yes.

THE COURT: -- because you said it has religious type implications, it has those different than a generic question of quality of life being able to do something that you enjoy, radio stations and hobbies. Two separate issues, I think you all would agree, right? Radio stations are you going to say are sinful and religiously based?

MR. JONES: No, of course not.

THE COURT: He's not asking if it has a particular radio station number that may be associated with something that may be religiously based, I don't know all the radio stations and whether they are or not, but absent that, a radio station in and of itself, please tell me if I'm wrong in today's day and age, but they may be out of date that people listen down with their own music, but in and of themselves radio stations normally don't have a pejorative determination with people, correct?

needs their state mandated lunch break, as well.

So what I understand, Defense counsel is not going to use clairvoyance or similes thereof, correct?

MR. DOYLE: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Does that address your issue, that narrow issue?

MR. JONES: Yes, it does, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So that one. And if your witness says it, therein lies the -- I mean if she volunteers something, that's a different issue, right? So and if it's getting close to that, then you all need to bring it to the Court's attention, okay? So --

MR. DOYLE: And perhaps I could lead in that area and, you know, not draw an objection.

THE COURT: Cross-examination.

MR. DOYLE: Sure.

THE COURT: The Court, you know -- cross-examination is cross-examination rules. Okay.

So now you raise a different issue about some broader questions with regards to -- and the Court -- remember, the Court can't give broad analyses in general questions. Those have to be objectionable scope-by-scope.

Now, there's a distinction when there's pure prejudicial things you can't take back type issues, right, which you've raised a religious type issue, pure prejudice which you both agreed the Court could hear that in a general context. So but it's specific whether

1	something goes to quality of life, right? Isn't that the Court's going to
2	have to hear that one question-by-question to see if that's permissible or
3	the quality of life.
4	MR. JONES: I agree, Your Honor. I think we're fine as long
5	as we know that that in a direct or subtle manner is not going to be
6	coming up and would not be sought at, then I'm fine, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: No questions at Ouija Board, séances?.
8	MR. DOYLE: I never had any intention of doing that.
9	MR. JONES: Okay.
10	THE COURT: So does that address your needs for that?
11	MR. JONES: It does, it does, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Is it fine to break for lunch and tell you
13	all we'll see you back at 1:20?
14	MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.
15	MR. DOYLE: Absolutely.
16	THE COURT: Thank you so very much. Okay. so then
17	someone will assist the Marshal will assist your client. Do you need
18	the walker, is that taken care of for you? Okay.
19	Madam Court Reporter, we can go off the record.
20	[Recess at 11:55 a.m., recommencing at 1:19 p.m.]
21	MR. JONES: Your Honor?
22	THE CLERK: On the record.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Wait a second. Let's wait until we're on
24	the record. Okay.
25	We're on the record outside the presence of the jury in case

number 739464.

Counsel, I understand the same witness is on the stand and the jury's all ready. So you're standing up, so what can the Court assist with?

MR. JONES: Your Honor, I would just like to provide the Court with Plaintiffs' proposed order on Plaintiffs' motion for Rule 37 sanctions. They're from last week and I have all the exhibits, and everything attached.

THE COURT: Okay. Sure.

MR. JONES: That's all, Your Honor, because we didn't have agreement on this.

THE COURT: Okay. And Defense, are you going to submit today and, if so, when because we need to get this taken care of, as you know, because in the midst of trial we can't have --

MR. DOYLE: It's supposed to be submitted today. We were waiting for -- my understanding of the local rule is they submit theirs and we submit ours, but --

THE COURT: Actually, there isn't a -- the Court designates who does it under 7.21 within ten days of the hearing unless otherwise directed by the Court. But, okay. Today?

MR. DOYLE: Yes, today.

THE COURT: Okay. No worries. Okay.

MR. DOYLE: And I assume the Court would like a hard -- or courtesy copy in your box?

THE COURT: That's the only way I get it. It has to be

1 submitted in hard copy format. That's the only way the Court gets 2 proposed orders because that's the only way the Court can determine 3 which --MR. DOYLE: Right. 4 THE COURT: -- order is accurate to determine if the Court 5 should be signing which of the two orders of either or the Court creates 6 7 its own order. I'm not sure what other option you would be mentioning. MR. DOYLE: Okay. If I could just have a moment, I'm just --8 9 THE COURT: Yes, sir. I'm just saying DCR specifically 10 requires that 7.21, so I'm not sure what else you're mentioning. It's not a courtesy copy. The proposed order has to be provided to the Court in 11 12 the Court's in box. So I'm not sure what you're referencing. 13 MR. DOYLE: I don't, either. THE COURT: Okay. Do you want the Marshal to start 14 15 walking the jury in slowly while you're --16 MR. DOYLE: If I could just have 30 seconds. 17 THE COURT: Right, right. But he could just start walking 18 slowly. He won't have them come in --19 MR. DOYLE: Oh, oh, yeah. THE COURT: -- he has to walk all the way down the hallway. 20 21 MR. DOYLE: Oh, yes, yes. 22 THE COURT: Right. Go ahead, Marshal, please. As usual, if 23 you wouldn't mind. And as you all know, you are responsible to know 24 all the EDCR's, NRCP's, NRS's. They are the requirements of Nevada 25 attorneys. You got to swear one in today during the lunch break. Just in

1 case you think that we do -- I'm always doing other things during that 2 hypothetical, right? It's either meetings or swearing in or doing things, so today we swore in one of our newest members to the Bar. It was 3 4 quite nice. 5 The Court was going to rule on your EDCR 7.27 memoranda. 6 Do you wish those to be taken care of or do you wish, since you have a 7 witness and a jury ready, would you rather take care of that and then just 8 at the afternoon break the Court will rule on the 7.27 motions and just 9 have the jury out a little bit longer for a break; would that work for the 10 parties? 11 MR. DOYLE: Yes, Your Honor, that would be perfect. MR. JONES: Yes, that's fine. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. The Court will do it that way, then. Okay. Thank you. And then the Court, also just a reminder, is you all --14 15 we still need to get the Exhibit 1 taken care of, so that's also going to be 16 a little longer for the jury break so we can get you all taken care of on 17 that, okay? 18 Marshal, is the jury out there? Is all counsel ready? MR. JONES: Yes. 19 20 MR. DOYLE: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 22 Please bring them in. 23 THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury. 24 [Jury in at 1:24 p.m.] 25 [Within the presence of the jury]

1	THE MARSHAL: A	Il jurors are accounted for. You may be
2	seated.	
3	THE COURT: I do	appreciate. Welcome back, ladies and
4	gentlemen. I hope everyone l	nad a nice relaxing lunch.
5	As you recall befo	re the lunch break we had the same
6	witness on the stand and just	because we did have a break, the witness
7	understands you're still under	oath, correct?
8	THE WITNESS: Y	es.
9	THE COURT: Oka	y. And so at this juncture we'll continue
10	with the examination. Couns	el, feel free to continue with your
11	examination. We are going to	the
12	Counsel for Plaint	ff, were you finished with your
13	examination?	
14	MR. JONES: I was	s, Your Honor. Pass the witness.
15	THE COURT: I jus	t need to confirm that you were passing
16	the witness. Okay.	
17	Since Plaintiff is p	assing the witness, we would now have
18	cross-examination by Defense	e. Defense counsel, feel free to commence
19	with your cross-examination.	
20	MR. DOYLE: Than	ık you.
21	CRO	SS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. DOYLE:	
23	Q Good afternoon, N	⁄Irs. Farris.
24	A Good afternoon.	
25	Q You were telling u	s earlier about volunteering at your

	Į.		
1	daughter's school. Have you done any volunteering at her school since		
2	July of 2015?		
3	А	No, I don't she was in middle school, so when she went	
4	into junio	r high, no.	
5	Q	ls there the opportunity to volunteer at the school now that	
6	she's in ju	nior high or that was just in grade school?	
7	А	No, there is opportunity.	
8	Q	Have you attempted to volunteer at school?	
9	A	There wasn't I did, but the things that I usually would do I	
10	could not	really do.	
11	Q	Okay. You mentioned something about having a cane at	
12	home. Do	recall correctly?	
13	A	Yes.	
14	a	What type of cane is that?	
15	А	I don't know the brand.	
16	Q	What's the bottom look like; is it	
17	А	It's got four thick prongs in it. It's more the stable one than	
18	those one	s you see on TV with the little pivots. This one's more square	
19	with a bigger end on it.		
20	a	In a typical day how often will you use the cane around the	
21	house?		
22	А	What was that again?	
23	a	In a typical day how often will you use the cane around the	
24	house, ins	side the house?	
25	А	Depending on what I was doing. You know, if I was trying to	

-				
1	do some d	chores, I'd most likely try and use the walker. And the cane		
2	would be	would be short, a very short distance, like if I'm in my bedroom, go to		
3	the bathro	oom, it's in the bedroom. You know, a short distance.		
4	Q	Do you use the cane outside the house, around the house?		
5	А	Outside of my house?		
6	a	Yes.		
7	А	I walked out to the front before with it, yeah.		
8	a	If you go somewhere in town, you know, out to dinner, for		
9	example,	or to a mall, do you use your cane at all?		
10	А	Not no, nothing like that. Not to a mall, no.		
11	a	Okay.		
12	А	No. It's too the walker is more sturdy, you know, for me. I		
13	feel safer	with the walker. But it's not to say that I haven't went into a		
14	store, hold	d onto the cart, and put the cane inside the cart, because I'm		
15	holding o	nto the cart. So as long as I'm holding onto it, I'll be all right.		
16	Q	And when you say going to a store and holding onto a cart,		
17	are you re	ferring to a grocery store and a grocery cart?		
18	А	Yeah, like a cart like that.		
19	Q	Yeah. How often will you do the grocery shopping now?		
20	А	Oh, hardly ever. I hate that. That's not my favorite shopping		
21	Q.	I'm sorry, what?		
22	А	That's not my favorite shopping, so no.		
23	Q	Did you like or dislike grocery shopping before July of 2015?		
24	A	I never liked it, but that's, you know, I like to shop for clothes		
25	and stuff.	My husband likes that.		

Q	Okay. In the last six months or so when you've gone out
shopping,	where have you gone?
A	Let's see. I've been to Target and I've been to Smith's, you
know. And	d let me think. Hobby Lobby.
Q	Have you been to any movie theaters?
А	Yes.
Q	When was the last time you went out and saw a movie?
А	I saw a movie just the other day. It was really good. You
have to see	e it Maleficent. It was a good movie.
Q	When did you go see the movie?
A	My friend and I went like a couple days ago, two or three
days ago.	
Q	So today is Tuesday. So was it over the weekend, last week,
or when w	as it?
A	I think Saturday.
Q	You and a friend. Did anyone else go?
A	My husband.
a	Do you have a driver's license?
А	No.
Q	Did you have a driver's license before July of 2015?
А	No. I do not like to drive.
Q	So when was the last time, if ever, that you've driven
yourself in	a car?
А	Never.
Q	So prior to July of 2015, if you had to go out and go
	shopping, A know. And Q A O A have to see Q A days ago. Q or when w A Q A Q yourself in A

1	somewhere, how would you get out and about?		
2	А	Well, someone would pick me up and take me to where I	
3	need to go).	
4	Q	Did you ever take public transportation, Uber, things of that	
5	sort?		
6	А	No, no.	
7	Q	Do you use Uber or Lyft currently?	
8	A	No.	
9	Q	Have you?	
10	А	Not for years. I mean I haven't been in a taxi for years.	
11	Q	Okay. You mentioned something about having an exercise	
12	program a	at home. Could you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury	
13	about you	r exercise program?	
14	А	Well, when they started out, they had me doing like leg kicks	
15	They gave	me the rubber band things and stretch my legs. And then	
16	they would have me walk with my walker to, you know, like try to go to		
17	the stove or maybe prepare something. Showing me how to, you know		
18	do things,	although I don't have a lot of mobility, so that was kind of	
19	hard for me. It's hard for me to turn now and things. So, you know,		
20	that's it's kind of limited.		
21	o o	Do you do exercises every day?	
22	A	Not every day, but I'd say at least three times a week.	
23	Q.	So what sort of devices do you have and use when you're	
24	doing you	r exercise program?	
25	A	Well, like I said, I used the rubber band. And then I do just	

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

like the floor kicks that they showed me how to do. You do like 20 reps and things like that. And you kind of do stretches, you know, for your legs, as well.

And when I was going to the other place where it was outside of my house, they had a bicycle that was leaned back, and you could just have your feet in it to like move. You can't do the whole thing, but, you know, that and they would just kind of, like I said, tell me to walk, you know. So I'd have to try and walk around with my whatchamacallit, right there.

- Q Do you have any exercise equipment at home currently?
- Α No. I did have like a bike, but I didn't think it was appropriate for me, so. And I don't have the money to get, you know, one I'd like to or supposed to use, so no.
 - Q Okay.
 - Α It's just you can't just ride any bike.
- Q Have you and your husband taken any trips out of town in the last year or two?
 - Α Only to see a doctor.
 - Out of town? Q
 - Α Yeah.
- Have you and any friends or other family members been on Q trips out of town in the last year or two?
- Α I'm thinking. We went to, but I didn't even get out of the car, we went to get this car that was originally from Arizona, but I haven't been out of town, no.

1	Q	In terms of your feet and how they function currently, does it	
2	seem to you that one foot is better than the other?		
3	А	I'd have to say one foot is slightly better.	
4	Q	Which foot is it that's slightly better?	
5	А	My right foot.	
6	a	And has that always been the case or did the right foot	
7	improve s	ome compared to the left?	
8	А	From when?	
9	Q	From 2015.	
10	А	Well, I could say it like this. After I was in the rehabilitation	
11	place, they	felt like the same. But then as the years went by, the right	
12	foot had a	little like like a little bit of my toes could move, like three of	
13	my toes.		
14	a	You said something earlier this morning that, you know,	
15	currently,	you know, getting around and we go to wherever, but family	
16	and friends will pick you up. Who were the friends that would pick you		
17	up and tak	re you out?	
18	А	Well, I have my sisters, they mostly did it. And then	
19	occasiona	lly I had one or two friends come by and pick me up. But	
20	mostly my family.		
21	a	And are you able to get in and out of a car okay with some	
22	help?		
23	A	With some help, yes.	
24	a	I can't remember if it was at your deposition or something	
25	you menti	oned earlier this morning, but something about decorating for	

1 Halloween. Α Uh-huh. 2 3 THE COURT: Wait a sec. One thing. We need to have verbal 4 answers. Uh-huhs, nods and shakes the heads, in other words. 5 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. Yes, absolutely. 6 THE COURT: Thank you so much. BY MR. DOYLE: 7 Ω 8 This Halloween coming up, have you already started to decorate the house? 9 10 I've done some inside stuff in my living room. I have a table 11 that has pretty stuff on it. And my fire mantel, I have some stuff on it. 12 And Patrick helped me to put most of that up. Q Will there be decorations outside the house this Halloween? 13 14 Α I only have, and Patrick did it, a mummy in the front on the --15 you know, I have a light post. We tied it to that. And, you know, some 16 leaves on the door. 17 Ω In the last year or two are there any other holidays that 18 you've decorated the inside of the house more besides Halloween? Α Besides Halloween? 19 20 Q Right. 21 A little bit. Like this last one, I didn't even really get to put up 22 the tree and stuff I wanted because it was like too much work. I put up 23 like a Charlie Brown tree. It was like the branches and stuff, but, you 24 know, it was kind of exhausting. 25 Like I get so excited for the holidays to decorate, but it's so

1	upsetting when you can't do the stuff you want to do. You know, and			
2	then you'v	then you've got to ask everybody else to get the stuff out for you, get it		
3	out of the	garage, all that stuff, because I can't do any of that stuff. And		
4	then, you	know, you've got to wait on everybody to do it. But I mean I'm		
5	thankful fo	or that, but, you know, I like to do it myself. But I		
6	Q	If I I'm sorry, I didn't mean to		
7	А	No, no, no problem. Go ahead.		
8	Q	If I used the term AFO or ankle foot orthotic, do you know		
9	what that	means?		
10	А	Excuse me? I'm sorry I		
11	Q	Just perhaps it's made of plastic, but a plastic device that		
12	goes up the behind your ankle and then the plastic piece continues on			
13	the underside of your foot. Do you know what I'm talking about?			
14	А	Yes, I do. Yes, I do.		
15	Q	Are you using those today to get around?		
16	А	No.		
17	Q	Do you use these devices routinely or regularly to get		
18	around?			
19	А	In the beginning I did. And then I went to physical therapy		
20	and my therapist said just try and walk, don't use those. It's better if you			
21	just try and walk.			
22	Q	And I think you mentioned something about using a boot		
23	instead to	keep your foot flat when you're walking?		
24	А	I use the boots, like I like to wear boots because they support		
25	me, yeah.			

]	
1	a	I want to look at Defense Exhibit AA for identification. And
2	it's in a pa	rticular binder and I'm wondering
3		THE COURT: Counsel, you can approach if you'd like to
4	approach	and get it or somebody else.
5		MR. DOYLE: Would you like to? It's in the second volume.
6	I'm sorry i	t's Volume 1.
7	<u> </u>	THE COURT: Okay, that's fine. Defense counsel, you can get
8	it for the w	vitness if you'd like.
9	BY MR. DO	DYLE:
10	۵	Do you have that document in front of you, Mrs. Farris?
11	A	Yes.
12	۵	Does this document say on the first page, AA1, Plaintiff,
13	Titina Farr	is' Answers to Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	If you go to page 11 of Exhibit AA, it's towards the back. I
16	think it's tl	ne second to last page.
17	А	To the end of this?
18	Q	Right. You see there's little numbers down in the lower
19	А	Eleven, yeah, okay.
20	Q	Do you have that?
21	А	Yes.
22	Ω	Do you see where it says Verification at the top?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	Is that your signature?
25	А	Yes.

1	Q	Did you date that?
2	А	It looks like yes.
3	Q	What date did you put down there?
4	А	Dated the 29th day of December.
5	a	And then read for us out loud what it says, Titina Farris being
6	duly sworr	n, if you could read that sentence.
7	А	Titina Farris being duly sworn, states that she has read the
8	foregoing	answers to Defendant's first set of interrogatories to Plaintiff.
9	And that th	ne same are true to the best of her knowledge and belief.
10	a	Okay. Now, if you would turn to page 8 of Exhibit AA for
11	identificati	on, if you could find that, please.
12	А	Okay.
13	a	Do you have page 8?
14	А	Yes.
15	a	Do you see interrogatory number 24?
16	А	Claims or Demands.
17	a	No, interrogatory number 24 at the top?
18	A	Okay.
19	Q	Are you on
20	А	I see it.
21	α	Okay. Tell us what interrogatory number 24 asked you.
22	А	State all the physical, mental, emotional disabilities you had
23	immediate	ly before incident described in the complaint.
24	Q	And then what was the answer that you gave that you
25	verified tha	at we talked about a moment ago; tell us what it says.

1	A	Excuse me, I'm not what are you saying?
2	<u>a</u>	Sure. Read your answer to interrogatory number 24.
3	A	My answer. I had no significant issues, other than the
4	occurrence	e of a hernia, which led to the surgery. Okay.
5	Q	Keep going.
6	А	Yes. Doctor Rives, on July 3rd, 2015.
7	Q	Okay. So it's clear, you answered, I had no significant issues,
8	other than	the reoccurrence of a hernia, which led to the surgery by
9	Doctor Riv	res on July 3, 2015, correct?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q.	All right. In fact, you did have significant issues prior to July
12	3rd, 2015.	For example, your diabetes; true?
13	A	Yes, I have diabetes.
14	Q	And you had diabetes prior to July 3, 2015?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q.	And Doctor Chaney was the doctor who was taking care of
17	your diabetes prior to July 3, 2015?	
18	А	Yes.
19	O.	But can you tell us approximately when you started seeing
20	Doctor Cha	aney, perhaps what year that was?
21	А	I believe it was 2014.
22	a	All right. Do you recall whether you saw Doctor Chaney at all
23	in 2013?	
24	А	I'm not sure about that. I think it was in 2014.
25	a	Well, let's say for the year prior to July of 2015 when you

1	were seein	g Doctor Chaney, were you seeing her about once a month?	
2	А	Yes, I was.	
3	a	And you were seeing her about once a month prior to July	
4	3rd, 2015, l	because of your diabetes, correct?	
5	А	Yes.	
6	a	And in that in the year or two prior to July of 2015, your	
7	diabetes w	as uncontrolled?	
8	А	Yes.	
9	Q	You were having issues with your blood sugar?	
10	А	Yes.	
11	a	You were having issues with your cholesterol?	
12	A	Yes.	
13	a	You were having issues with your triglycerides?	
14	А	I'm not sure about that.	
15	a	Okay. Prior to July 3rd, 2015, you were having problems	
16	with your feet because of the diabetes, correct?		
17		MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor.	
18		THE WITNESS: I don't believe so.	
19		MR. JONES: It calls for expert opinion.	
20		THE COURT: Sustained the way the question was phrased.	
21	BY MR. DO	OYLE:	
22	Q	Prior to July 3rd, 2015, you were having problems with your	
23	feet, corre	ct?	
24	Α	I can't say it's because of that, though. I don't know.	
25	Q	I'm not asking you how come, I'm just asking you if. So prior	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to --

A I wouldn't say I have to sa	y no because it wasn't anything
that you would think that, you know, yo	u're having problems with
because I was doing so many things tha	at it didn't it didn't feel like that
was any issue about that. It just	

- Q Well, prior to July 3rd, 2015, did Doctor Chaney ever tell you that she thought you had something called diabetic neuropathy?
 - A She thought that, but she also said she wasn't sure.
- Q Okay. But she prescribed medications for diabetic neuropathy; didn't she, Cymbalta?
 - A That was for she told me depression.
 - Okay. She also prescribed Gabapentin; didn't she?
- A Yes, because I didn't want to take like -- she said that was for pain. You know, a lot of people don't want to take the other stuff.
- Q Prior to July 3rd, 2015, was Doctor Chaney prescribing pain medications for you, such as Norco?
 - A Yes, she did.
 - Q Percocet?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What other pain medications besides Norco and Percocet?
- A That's all I can think of is that and the Gabapentin or whatever, but yeah.
- Q What were you taking -- before July of 2015 what were you taking the Norco and Percocet for?
 - A She switched off of it because she said that the Gabapentin

1	didn't seem to be helping.	
2	a	The Gabapentin didn't seem to be helping with what?
3	А	With pain.
4	a	And where was the pain?
5	А	Well, the pain was in different parts of, you know, like I
6	would hav	e a headache or, you know, different things all over.
7	a	Prior to July of 2015 were you having pain in your feet?
8	А	Yeah, I had some.
9	Q	Okay. Prior to July 2015 were you having sensory changes in
10	your feet,	such as pins and needles or burning sensation?
11	А	After like I'd been sitting down for, you know, quite a while, I
12	had like a	little pinchy feel, you know, like after you stand up or
13	whatever,	it felt like that.
14	a	Prior to July 2015 were you having any problems with your
15	left should	der?
16	А	Yes, I did.
17	Q	Was the problem with your left shoulder interfering with
18	your abilit	ty to get around or do things?
19	А	No.
20	Q	Prior did you see did Doctor Chaney refer you to any
21	doctors fo	or treatment of your left shoulder?
22	A	Yes, she did.
23	Q	Doctor Yee?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	And Doctor Yee, did he indicate to you that you had

1	something	g in your left shoulder called an impingement syndrome?
2	A	I don't remember having that kind of conversation with him.
3	What he c	lid do is give me a shot in my shoulder, some kind of cortisone
4	or whatev	er, to relieve the pain.
5	Q	Did Doctor Chaney refer you to see a podiatrist prior to July
6	of 2015 be	ecause of problems in your feet?
7	А	It was mentioned, but not necessarily referred.
8	Q.	All right. Prior to July 2015 did Doctor Chaney want you to
9	see an en	docrinologist because your diabetes was uncontrolled?
10	A	Well, yeah, but you know what, I couldn't afford to pay the
11	copay. I k	now that sounds
12		MR. JONES: Your Honor?
13		THE COURT: Counsel, would you both like to approach.
14	Madam C	ourt Reporter, would you like to transfer noise and counsel,
15	you can a	pproach.
16		[Sidebar at 1:49 p.m., ending at 1:4 p.m., not transcribed]
17		THE COURT: Okay. Sorry for the interruption. Please go
18	ahead.	
19	BY MR. D	OYLE:
20	a	Prior to July of 2015, were you having pain in your low back
21	А	Did I have pain, when, what time?
22	Q	Prior to July of 2015?
23	А	Yeah. Yeah. I think so.
24	a	Prior to July of 2015, did you have a problem with increased
25	blood pre	ssure?

A I wasn't aware of -- I had medicine for that, but I don't -- I'm not aware of increased. I'm not knowledgeable about someone saying that to me. I had blood pressure issue, yeah.

- Q Okay. So, prior to July of 2015, you had blood pressure issues and Dr. Chaney prescribed one or more medications for you to treat the blood pressure, correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q She also had prescribed for you medications to treat your cholesterol?
 - A Yeah, Yes,
- Q And the diabetes that you had prior to July of 2015 required not only insulin but also an oral medication?
- A Yes. We were trying all different kinds of things to help me that would get it regulated and not have so many medicines.
- Q Now, prior to July 2015, when you're seeing Dr. Chaney about once a month for your health issues, and your diabetes is uncontrolled, what was your understanding what that meant, uncontrolled diabetes?
- A Well, your sugars would be not in line. You need to get them in check. And that was a little bit off putting up and down but there wasn't always like every time high, you know, what I mean. It's a high sugar, whatever. It was like sometimes. And so, but I wanted to get it right.
- Q Were there multiple visits with Dr. Chaney prior to July of 2015 where she told you that your diabetes was uncontrolled, and you

1	

needed to fix that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Ī

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Actually, she told me both. She said I was doing good, and then she would say well, you need to get back in line, you know. Like I'd be doing good then I wasn't. And then she'd say I'm proud of you, you're doing good. Then she'd say well, you need to get back in line. So, it was kind of difficult up and down.

- Q And Dr. Chaney, prior to July of 2015 was telling you that with uncontrolled diabetes you could develop problems in your feet?
 - A She told me what problems I could get in the feet, yes.
 - Q Pain?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Sensory changes?
 - A She just said pain. But, I'm sure that's something; yes.
 - Okay. So, she told you pain and sensory changes, correct?
 - A Yes.
 - And you did have pain in your feet prior to July of 2015, true?MR. JONES: Asked and answered, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

A Off and on there would be some pain; yes. And like I said, it was mostly after sitting down for a long time, and then I'd get up, but it wasn't steady.

BY MR. DOYLE:

- Q I thought you said a moment ago that if you were sitting for a long time and got up, that you would have the sensory change?
 - A No, I didn't say that. I don't think I said that. But what I'm

1	saying is, yes, I had pain.	
2	۵	Did Dr. Chaney, prior to July of 2015 ever tell you that she
3	was presc	ribing the Cymbalta, not for depression, but rather for
4	problems	related to your diabetes?
5	A	No.
6	a	Prior to July of 2015, did you have issues with anxiety?
7	А	Yes, I did.
8	Q	Did you have issues with depression prior to July of 2015?
9	А	No.
10	Q	Did Dr. Chaney prescribe any medications for you to treat
11	anxiety or	depression?
12	. А	Depression, Cymbalta.
13	Q	If you could, in that same binder, do you see the tab that says
14	zero? I'm	sorry. In that same binder, do you see the tab that says D, just
15	above	
16	A	DD?
17	Q	No, single D. It's just above AA.
18	A	Okay. There's D.
19	Q	Do you have that?
20	A	What's under it.
21	ο .	Can you look at pages D
22	A	Okay. Yeah, here's D. All right. I've got it.
23	Q	l didn't mean to interrupt you, sorry.
24	A	No problem. I got this.
25	Q	Do you see D1, 2, 3 and 4? Those four pages. I want to find
	I	

1	out if this	is your handwriting?
2	А	Where it says Dr. Chaney and a date, is that what you're
3	a	Well, it says up top, Advanced Orthopedics and Sports
4	Medicine.	Are you in the right place?
5	А	Well, it's D, but it seems like a lot of pages here. Oh, got it.
6	Got it. Ok	ay. Yes.
7	Q	All right. So, do you see D1, 2, 3 and 4?
8	A	1, 2, 3 and 4, yes.
9	a	And this is a form that you filled out?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And if you go to the last page, D4, can you tell us what date
12	that is?	
13		[Witness reviews document]
14	BY MR. DO	DYLE:
15	Q	Do you see on the fourth page your signature?
16	A	Yes. Okay.
17	<u>α</u>	And the date next to it?
18	А	7/2/14.
19	Q	And just up above that, did you write Cymbalta?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	And did you put down the reason you were taking Cymbalta
22	was for dia	abetes?
23	А	Yes, I did.
24	Q	If you go to D1.
25	А	D1. Okay.

1	Q	The very first page.
2	A	Okay.
3	Q	Do you see that question
4	А	About x-rays?
5	Q	Well, just under that, do you see the question that says
6	please circ	le right or left for each both part involved; do you see that?
7	А	Uh-huh. Yes, I do.
8	a	And you wrote feet, and then you circled both right and left?
9	A	Uh-huh.
10	Q	Is that right?
11	А	Yes. Yes.
12	Q	Thank you. And then did you also write arm slash shoulder,
13	and then y	ou circled left?
14	А	Yes, I did.
15	Q	And then do you see the question what do you think caused
16	what we a	re seeing you for today; what did you write?
17	A	I was told to go there and check out my nerves.
18	Q	Ma'am, what did you write?
19	A	Nerve nerve pain.
20	<u>α</u>	And where did you where did you have the notion, or
21	where did	that come from that you were having nerve pain in the feet?
22	А	I believe that Dr. Chaney wanted to know, maybe, if it was,
23	she wasn't	sure.
24	Q	Now, down the next line, it says what date did the problem
25	start, do vo	ou see that?

1	rest. And	that's what I mean by that.
2	Q	What'd you do on Sunday?
3	А	Sunday. Let's see. I think I went to see my mom.
4	Q	Where does she live?
5	А	She lives here in Vegas.
6	a	How about anything else you recall doing on Sunday, a
7	couple da	ys ago?
8	А	I could probably forget. Sunday. Okay. Seen mom and dad.
9	Maybe I w	vent to the store, I'm not sure.
10	Q	How about Friday, four days ago, what'd you do on Friday?
11	А	Friday. I think I just was at home, just watching TV, every
12	other Frid	ay.
13	Q	Okay. Was your husband going to work last week?
14	А	No.
15	a	Did he stay home with you last week?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	All right. Prior to July of 2015, you did work from time to
18	time outsi	ide the home, true?
19	А	Before that?
20	Q	Yes.
21	A	Years ago, I mean, I worked outside the home, yes.
22	O.	Well, before July of 2015, you worked from time to time at a
23	radio stati	ion, correct?
24	A	Yes. I would go in and they'd have topic of the day, and I'd
25	joke arour	nd with the DJs, yeah.

	i	
1	Q	And since July of 2015, you have worked outside the home,
2	true?	
3	A	I'm trying to think. I'm trying to think of what kind of work.
4	Yeah. I he	lped my my dad has a landscape business, and sometimes I
5	make calls	for him, as well. So, that's one of the things I would do.
6	α	Have you been back to work to the radio station since July of
7	2015?	
8	А	I went back one time to say hi to everybody.
9	Q	Do you remember being interviewed by a woman named
10	Dawn Coo	k for this case?
11	А	Yes, I do.
12	Q	Do you remember telling Dawn Cook that you had been back
13	to work some since July of 2015?	
14	А	I don't remember that conversation.
15		MR. DOYLE: That's all I have then for now. Thank you,
16	ma'am.	
17		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18		THE COURT: Redirect, counsel.
19		MR. JONES: Very briefly, Your Honor
20		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. JO	NES:
22	a	Before the Tina, before the surgery in 2015, you knew that
23	you had di	abetes, correct?
24	А	Yes.
25	a	You didn't consider that to be a disability?

1	А	No. I mean that was not even I mean, to me it was just
2	something	that I had to deal with, but it wasn't it wouldn't change me
3	from doing	anything else I would do. You know, that was not bringing
4	me down to	o do anything. I just kept on doing what I normally do, having
5	fun, and tal	king care of my family and all that stuff. Nothing changed like
6	that.	
7	a	And so, when you wrote when you were asked what
8	disabilities	you had, you didn't need feel the need to put that down
9	because of	the
10	А	Well, yeah. I mean I'm not thinking of me as disability. I
11	think, yeah	, I have diabetes, but why would I think that that's a disability
12	for me.	
13	Q	And are you asking for any wage loss claim from the
14	Defendant?	
15	А	No.
16	a	Okay. You're not asking for lost wages in the future or in the
17	past?	
18	А	No.
19	a	Okay. Do you have any idea why you were being asked
20	questions a	about that?
21		MR. DOYLE: Objection. Speculation.
22	A	No, I just thought it was
23		THE COURT: Wait. I have an objection on speculation.
24	Sustained.	
25		MR. JONES: Okay. No further questions. Pass the witness.

1	THE COURT: Recross.
2	MR. DOYLE: No, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: I'm not seeing any juror questions. There
4	being no juror questions, and counsel you've exhausted your questions,
5	is this witness excused for all purposes or subject to recall at some later
6	stage in the trial?
7	MR. DOYLE: Subject to recall.
8	MR. JONES: Your Honor, I think that's something we may
9	have to take up later.
10	THE COURT: Okay. The Court will address that later. At this
11	juncture, the Court will just say thank you to the witness at this juncture.
12	Okay. Thank you so very much. Counsel for Plaintiff, would you like to
13	call your next witness?
14	MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. We are going to call Dawn
15	Cook as our next witness.
16	THE COURT: Sure.
17	MR. JONES: She's outside so we'll go alert her and bring her
18	in.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
20	[Pause]
21	THE COURT: Thank you. Madam Clerk.
22	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
23	DAWN COOK, PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS, SWORN
24	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Could you please
25	state and spell your name for the record?

	1	
1		THE WITNESS: Yes. Dawn Cook, D-A-W-N C-O-O-K.
2		THE CLERK: Thank you.
3		THE COURT: Counsel, you may proceed at your
4	convenien	ce.
5		MR. HAND: Thank you, Judge.
6		THE COURT: Do you need a pocket microphone, or are you
7	doing the p	oodium.
8		MR. HAND: I'm going to do the podium. Actually, maybe I
9	should hav	re a microphone.
10		THE COURT: Does your colleague I think it's on your
11	counsel tal	ole. Thank you so much. Someone's cell phone is going off.
12	Can some	one take care of that immediately, it will be most appreciated,
13	in a nice su	ubtle way. Someone's cell phone is on vibrate. Thank you so
14	very much	. Counsel, you may commence at your leisure.
15		MR. HAND: Thank you.
16		DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. HA	ND:
18	Q	Good afternoon, Ms. Cook. How are you?
19	А	Good afternoon. Well, thank you.
20	Q	Could you tell us your profession?
21	А	Yes. I'm a registered nurse and a life care planner.
22	Q	And what is in plain English, what's a registered nurse
23	compared	to a regular nurse?
24	А	Well, it means I'm a registered nurse. I'm licensed in the
25	State of Ne	evada and California to practice nursing in the state.

1	Q	And where did you go to nursing school?
2	А	I graduated from Royal Jubilee Hospital in Victoria, Canada,
3	1979.	
4	Q	And after graduating nursing school, what did you do in
5	terms of your nursing career?	
6	А	I worked in a number of hospitals. Mostly in the intensive
7	care unit.	Also the emergency room and the recovery room. And I
8	floated around.	
9	۵	And did you work at any hospitals in the United States?
10	А	Yes. In 1986, I wanted to move to the United States, and I
11	took the American nursing exam, it was called NCLEX. So, that was	
12	eight years after I graduated in Canada. And I passed that exam and	
13	became a licensed registered nurse in California, and I still have that	
14	license.	
15	Q	Okay. And you mentioned you worked in intensive care
16	units, which hospitals did you do that?	
17	А	I haven't worked in Nevada, in a hospital in Nevada. But I
18	worked in the intensive care unit in several places in Canada, in	
19	Honolulu, in California and in Arizona.	
20	α	And do you do CLE to maintain your nursing license?
21	A	Yes. I take ongoing education to maintain my license. It's 30
22	hours every two years.	
23	Ω	And are you do you have any certification in life care
24	planning?	
25	A	Yes. So, I have two certifications as a life care planner. One

is called certified life care planner. I've had that since 2012. And I took a 120-hour precertification course. So, I had to already be in health care as a registered nurse. And I took the 120-hour course, and then I was eligible to take the certification exam, and I became a certified life care planner. And then a couple years later after I'd done quite a bit of work, I was eligible to become a certified nurse life care planner. Which is, basically, the same kind of work, but it's a certification only available to registered nurses who are working in life care planning.

Q Did you have any special training in medical billing?

A So, when I took the 120-hour course in life care planning, one of the main things we learn, a life care plan is really compacting and bringing together all the recommendations for future medical care. It's like a lifetime plan of care and with the associated costs. So, in the course, and through the years as I've been practicing it, I learned how to identify the correct code that goes with every hospitalization, doctor's office visit, that kind of thing. And so, when I'm doing the past medical bill reviews, I'm applying the same knowledge and principles.

Q Are you -- do you have experience in what the medical costs are in the metropolitan Las Vegas area?

A Yes. I've done in total about 400 reports in life care planning and 200 in past medical bill reviews in the last seven years. And probably at least a third of them were here in Las Vegas.

Q So, you said you prepared about how many life care plan reports?

A 400.

Q And are you a member of any organizations regarding nursing?

A Yes. I'm a member of the American Nursing Association, which is the association over all registered nurses in the United States and then also a member of the Nevada Nursing Association.

Q And are you a member of any organizations regarding life care planning or medical billing?

A Yes. I belong to a few. The first one is the American Association of Nurse Life Care Planners. And that's a professional association that has quarterly journals, annual conferences and ongoing education. I also belong to the International Association of Rehab Professionals who have a branch of life care planning. And they also have quarterly journals and annual conferences. And I belong to the American Association of Professional Coders. And here in Las Vegas, I try to attend the chapter meetings which are held several times a year for ongoing education in coding.

Q When you say coding, what do you mean by that?

A That's medical codes, the codes that are associated with everything that would come on a medical bill. Your hospital bills, your x-rays, doctor's visits, physical therapy, those kind of things.

Q And do you subscribe to any research publications regard to life care planning and medical costs?

A Yes. Of course, both the associations I mentioned that have quarterly journals, I receive those. So, I receive about eight journals on life care planning each year. And I receive electronic articles from the

1	coding associations.		
2	0	And in your career as a registered nurse, have you had	
3	experience with patients with foot drop?		
4	A	Oh, yes, certainly. It's relatively common taking care of	
5	people in	the intensive care unit who've had foot drop, but I've also	
6	checked people in for surgery to have their appendix out that also		
7	happen to have drop foot. So, I have quite a bit of experience with		
8	people that have drop foot.		
9	Q	Have you testified in court before?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	0	And what courts have you, generally speaking, have you	
12	testified in, which states?		
13	А	Besides Nevada, I've testified in California, New Mexico and	
14	federal co	urts, and I've testified here in this court, I think, four times	
15	before.		
16		MR. HAND: Your Honor, at this time	
17		THE COURT: Would you like her to offer her opinions?	
18		MR. HAND: Yes.	
19		THE COURT: This witness can offer her opinions.	
20		MR. HAND: Thank you.	
21	BY MR. HAND:		
22	٥	Ms. Cook, are you being paid to come to court today to give	
23	your opin	ions in this case?	
24	A	Yes.	
25	a	How much are you being paid?	

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25 Α \$3,000.

Q Now, I'll just represent yesterday we spoke, and we heard from Dr. Barchuk who testified. Now, Dr. Barchuk's a life care planner, correct, as well as being a physical medicine and rehab doctor?

Α Yes, he is.

Q Okay. So, your role in doing a life care plan for Tina Farris, could you explain what you're doing in relation to what Dr. Barchuk did?

Α Certainly. The method of doing a life care plan is generally to read all the medical records from when the occurrence happened, interview the person who was injured, Mrs. Farris, and communicate with physicians about what would be recommended. I'm not a physician so I can't say that they need surgery, or that they need therapy, or doctor's visits. So, I rely on a physician for all the things that a physician would order. And sometimes I get input from physicians on things that happen in the home that you might call nursing care or supplies or equipment. And in this case, you know, I got that.

And then I take all of those facts and put the price to them. And in this case, Dr. Barchuk also did a complete assessment, examination and interview with Mrs. Farris and her husband, and then he came up with the list of recommendations. So, I've taken those and added a couple little things to it just to flush them out, which I can explain. But I researched all the usual costs, which Dr. Barchuk hadn't done, and I researched all the usual costs and added it up.

Q Okay. And what information did you learn, just briefly in summary fashion, from the review of her medical records concerning Ms. Farris' history that brought her to the point where she is now, just in summary fashion?

A Oh, sure. I'm sure you've been hearing it. But she had a hernia that needed to be repaired, and she was admitted to the hospital for a hernia repair. She had complications and was on a life support for quite a long time and was immobilized because of her illness. And after recovering from surgery, going to rehabilitation for a few weeks and then home, it was evident she had foot drop to both of her feet. Which the nerves have been damaged in her lower calves, and she can't lift her toes up, or push her toes down. So, it's greatly affected her mobility.

- Q And Ms. Cook, do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of medical probability as to her future health needs, as it relates to her bilateral foot drop?
 - A Yes, I do.
- Q And do you have an opinion as to the reasonableness of the future health needs for Ms. Farris as recommended by Dr. Barchuk?

MR. DOYLE: Objection. Lacks foundation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

A I'm sorry, could you repeat the question.

BY MR. HAND:

Q My question was, did you have an opinion as to the reasonableness of the future health needs for Titina Farris that were recommended by Dr. Barchuk?

MR. DOYLE: I'll object. It's an improper lay opinion.

THE COURT: Court's going to overrule it, in light of the

1	witness' p	rior testimony. Within the scope of how she's designated.	
2	А	Yes, I do have an opinion.	
3	BY MR. HAND:		
4	Q	What is that?	
5	A	I have a total number, is that what you'd like to hear?	
6	Ω.	Let me go through this with you	
7	A	Okay.	
8	Q	step by step. I'm going to try to do it that way, if that's all	
9	right.		
10	So, let's start with do you have an opinion as to how long she will		
11	need assis	tance with future health needs?	
12	А	Yes. For the rest of her life.	
13	O.	And did you do any research into what are known as life	
14	expectanc	y tables?	
15	Α	Yes.	
16	Q	Okay. Does the government put out life expectancy tables?	
17	A	Yes.	
18	O.	And have you dealt with those life expectancy tables in the	
19	course of your career as a life care planner or nurse?		
20	A	Yes. In life care planning to figure out what the costs are for	
21	the rest of someone's life, we use the national vital statistics report. It's		
22	updated sometimes annually, but it comes from the U. S. Census and all		
23	sorts of other things, and it divides people by how old they are now, and		
24	how much	longer they're going to live.	
25	Q	And according to the government life expectancy table, what	

1	is Ms. Farris' life expectancy?		
2		MR. DOYLE: Objection. Hearsay.	
3		THE COURT: Counsel, would you both like to approach	
4	please.		
5		[Sidebar at 2:20 p.m., ending at 2:23 p.m., not transcribed]	
6		THE COURT: The Court's going to overrule it based on what	
7	was provi	ded at the bench. Counsel, you may proceed.	
8		MR. HAND: Thank you, Judge.	
9	BY MR. H	AND:	
10	a	Ms. Cook, what is Titina Farris' life expectancy according to	
11	the government tables?		
12	А	I calculated it last year when I wrote my report, and at that	
13	time she h	nad 29 years left of her life.	
14	Q	So, I'd like to talk briefly about how you do this, your	
15	methodol	ogy for doing the life care plan. First, what is the first step you	
16	take?		
17	А	Reviewing medical records.	
18	a	You said you've done that. What records did you review, jus	
19	briefly?		
20	А	I reviewed basically from when she had the hernia surgery	
21	through to	o, I think I had a couple records from 2017.	
22	a	Okay. Did you review Dr. Rives' records?	
23	А	Yes.	
24	a	St. Rose Dominican, St. Martin campus?	
25	А	Yes.	

	[
1	a	Steinberg records, Medical Imaging?
2	А	Yes.
3	a	Dr. Hamilton's records?
4	А	Yes.
5	a	Dr. Chaney's records?
6	A	Yes.
7	a	Desert Valley records?
8	А	Yes.
9	a	Physical therapy records?
10	А	Yes.
11	a	St. Rose Dominican, Siena records?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	Pain Center records?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	All right. So, after you reviewed the records, did you arrange
16	for to speak to, at some point, Dr. Barchuk?	
17	А	Yes. First, I went and visited the Farrises.
18	a	What's the purpose of doing that?
19	A	The purpose of visiting someone who I'm doing the life care
20	plan on, is to hear how they really are today, how they're really doing. I	
21	prefer in their home, and I was able to interview them in their home. I	
22	talked with both Mr. and Mrs. Farris. I briefly talked with her daughter,	
23	Skye (phon	etic). And I have a standard list of questions I ask about, you
24	know, past	medical history, how are you doing with each of your
25	activities of	f daily living. And go through and find out what doctors she's

visiting, how often her appointments are, what kind of equipment she's using, and how it's working out, so I have a real clear understanding of how she actually is.

- Q So, after you did that, what was the next step you took?
- A Then, once I've kind of assembled what I think that she's possibly going to need, then I would speak with the doctor. And in this case, I received a report from Dr. Barchuk. He'd visited her just two days before I visited her. And so, we had seen her about the same time period. And he wrote a report. I called him to clarify a couple things in the report just so I understood what he meant. And I think I had to call him again. I wasn't quite sure on the pool therapy, what kind of therapist he wanted, so I did do two phone calls with Dr. Barchuk to make sure I understood correctly what he was recommending.
- Q Okay. So, did you make -- looking at what Dr. Barchuk recommended, did you make a determination, from a nursing point of view, of what the goals and recommendations would be for future medical care?
- A Yes. So, Dr. Barchuk recommended physician care, therapy, possible surgeries and equipment. And I went through all the list of all the things I knew she was doing and having and using to make sure nothing was missed.
- Q So, did Dr. Barchuk make a recommendation as to future medical care?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And what kind of medical care did he recommend for her?

A He recommended that she see a physical medicine and rehabilitation specialist. It's a kind of doctor not a lot of people know about, but Dr. Barchuk is one himself. And they specialize in people who have -- who are rehabilitating or have chronic physical needs. And he recommends that she see a doctor like that four times a year for the rest of her life.

Q And do you, in terms of medical care, did you research what the costs of the medical care are?

A Yes. I use the standard way I use to get medical care. And that is there's a published reference called medical fees in the U. S. and the current year. I looked in the book to find out what the current charges for an average visit. So, when you go to the doctor, there's actually a range of five different levels that they could be billing for, and they end in either a 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5. 99213 is the one I used. Three is right in the middle. I don't know if she'll be having really long visits but three is the most common charge there is for any doctor's visit and it's the one for in the future, if they're going to be going regularly I use. And in the book, it gives me a cost for the 50th percentile, the 75th percentile and the 90th percentile.

So, the 90th percentile means that 90 percent of doctors here in Las Vegas charge that much or less. And the 75th percentile means 75 percent of doctors charge this lower amount or less. And the 50th percentile means that 50 percent of doctors charge that much or less. I always use 75th percentile. So, that in the future, she's likely to be able to find a physician who can see her because she would have a budget

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

that would cover 75 percent of doctors here in town.

And there's also a geographic adjuster. So, the book actually lists these codes for the whole nation. And each location has a different adjuster. You multiply by a number to come up with the actual number for Las Vegas. And the cost for one of these office visits --

MR. DOYLE: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay.

MR. HAND: Your Honor, an expert could rely on material.

THE COURT: The Court's going to overrule under the standards. Go ahead.

A It's \$154.70- -- 77 cents per visit.

BY MR. HAND:

- Q And how many visits were recommended per year for that?
- A It's going to be four visits a year. So, that will cost about \$619.08 a year.
 - Q Did you multiply that number by her life expectancy?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And what was that number?
 - A It comes to \$17,953.32.
- Q And then do you also have a recommendation for internal medicine primary care visits?

A Yes. So, Mrs. Farris has a regular doctor that she sees for her other problems, diabetes, high blood pressure and Dr. Barchuk felt that she would need to see a doctor like that two to four times more than for her regular office visits. So, say she went once a year or twice a year, this would be two to four visits a year more than she normally would see

Yes. He recommends that she see a psychologist or a

25

Α

psychiatrist once a month for three to six months, so three to six visits. And then he said zero to eight visits per year after that. So, gives kind of a range. Some years she might not have any, some she might have more. I used the average of four visits a year. And it has a different code for a psychologist and the charge for the visit is \$193.97. And after the first year, the lifetime cost would be \$21,724.64.

- Q And is there a recommendation for a plastic surgeon?
- A And I'm sorry, I didn't mention that when she's seeing the psychologist or psychiatrist, the first time she comes, they need to do an evaluation, so they have a little bit different charge for that. So, once a year she would have an evaluation.

Yes. Plastic surgeon visits, who are hand surgeons, and they also do wounds; so there's a few things that they could be doing. And he said five to 10 times in her lifetime. So, again, I put the code that ends in four because she wouldn't be going regularly to this person.

And the lifetime cost would be \$1,695.98.

- Q So, for the total medical care, as recommended by Dr.

 Barchuk, and after you priced out the cost, what is the total figure for that category of the life care plan?
 - A It's \$98,503.98.
- Q Okay. Do you have a category in your life care plan for something called allied health?
- A Yeah. It's kind of therapy. This was Dr. Barchuk's category for people who are providing care but aren't physicians.
 - Okay. So, let's see if we could briefly go through those.

Dietician. What is the cost of a dietician?

A \$281.40. He recommended once a year. So, the lifetime cost is \$8,160.60.

- Q That's over her lifetime?
- A Yes.
- O Okay. How about physical therapy?

A So, he recommended that she have 12 to 24 visits in the next one or two years; and then she would be going down to six to 12 visits a year for her lifetime. And physical therapy has a different code. But I use the same research, and I use the 75th percentile for all of these, but the same type of research. And so, the physical therapy would be an annual evaluation to see how she's doing when she goes back, which would come to \$6,586.77. And the therapy in the first one to two years, would be \$7,597.80 and then after that, after the second year, and for her life expectancy, the total would be \$68,380.20.

O Okay. There's a recommendation for occupational therapy evaluation?

A Yes. So, he recommended zero to six visits a year, and we also need an evaluation once a year. So, the evaluation every year would be a lifetime total of \$7,315.54. And the actual therapy, zero to six visits a year is \$24,481.80.

Q Okay. There's something here that he recommended, a pool program. Give us your understanding of that, if you could?

A Yeah. Well, when I saw it, I realized, you know, pool program would be great because she's not able to like to run on the

1

2

treadmill or walk very far, but in a pool program she could get more exercise. I did call him back about this. I wanted to know if he wanted a physical therapist to supervise the pool program, or if during the physical therapy evaluation, they can make the recommendation. And he said yes, we just need a physical therapy aide, and we're just going to do 30 minutes three times a week with the physical therapy aide for a pool program. And that's \$152.76.

- Q This is done at some facility?
- A Yeah. It would be done at a physical therapy department that has a pool so she can immerse in the pool, do exercises under water, and hopefully do some swimming.
 - Q And what is the annual cost for that?
 - A Annual cost is \$23,830.56.
 - Q And over the lifetime, what's that cost?
 - A \$679,170.96.
 - Q Was there also a recommendation for massage therapy?
- A Yes. He recommended massage therapy six to 12 times a year, and the cost for that is \$176.88. So, her lifetime cost for massage therapy would be \$46,165.68.
 - Q And over her lifetime, what would that be?
 - A I'm sorry. That was the lifetime.
 - Q What was that again?
 - A \$46,165.68.
 - Q Was acupuncture therapy recommended by Dr. Barchuk?
 - A Yes. He did recommend acupuncture therapy and the cost

	1		
1	for each is	\$326.64. He recommended twice a month for her lifetime.	
2	Q	And the annual cost for that?	
3	A	Annually, it's \$7,839.36.	
4	Q	And over her lifetime, what is the cost?	
5	А	\$227,341.44.	
6	Q	Now, is there a recommendation for a wound care clinic?	
7	А	Yes. He recommends that she go to a wound care clinic	
8	twice a we	ek for three to six months, which would be about 36 visits: and	
9	then zero to eight visits a year for her lifetime.		
10	Q	What is the total for that?	
11	A	For the first three to six months, the cost would be \$8,972.64	
12	and then from year two for the rest of her life, the total would be		
13	\$27,914.88.		
14	Q.	So, what is the total cost of care in the future for allied health	
15	and its related treatments?		
16	A	Yes. For all the therapies the lifetime cost is \$1,112,088.31.	
17	α	Is there a recommendation for care for complications that Dr.	
18	Barchuk recommended?		
19	A	Yes. Dr. Barchuk called them complications, and it's an	
20	emergency	y room visit, and the physicians that would be seeing her in	
21	the emergency room. He said zero to one time a year. Which I kind of		
22	think of as every other year that she may end up in the emergency room		
23	because of	f these problems.	
24	۵	So, for the annual cost for that, what did you use for that,	

what was the number for that --

A Sort of like half a visit. So, an emergency room visit average ending again in the three, so it's the middle range of the one through five for the cost, one visit would be \$1,646.33 plus the emergency room physician with the same ending code, \$516.57.

- Q So, over her lifetime, what is the cost for that?
- A \$31,362.20.
- Q So, there was also recommendations for diagnostic testing, did you calculate the cost for the future care for that?

A Yes. He recommended about five different types of tests. They're all radiology, x-rays, MRIs, ultrasounds, and EMG. So, the first one was an x-ray of the spine, shoulder or feet, zero to two a year. And this is kind of an estimate; he's not sure how many she'll need particularly. But I used an average cost of those three types of x-rays to come out to \$228.14 and that would be about one a year.

- Q And over the lifetime, what is the cost for that?
- A \$6,616.06.
- Q And then was there an MRI of the left shoulder recommended?

A Yeah. Left shoulder just once, but an MRI of the left shoulder, which is \$2,132.61.

- Q How about an MRI of the spine or shoulder, did Dr. Barchuk recommend that?
- A He said one to three times in her lifetime she would need that. And I averaged the spine and the shoulder MRIs. And the lifetime charge would be \$4,346.64.

shoulder and look inside and fix what's wrong. And so, he recommended zero to once.

So I just put half the charge. I maybe should have put the full charge, but I put half the charge since it's zero to one times. And that includes a physician visit for preoperative workup and also a visit to the orthopedic surgeon who's going to be doing it, the preoperative visit. She'll need a preoperative x-ray, MRI; she'll need some labs. She'll need a chest x-ray, EKG and some blood tests. Is it alright just to keep talking?

- Q Well, what's the associated cost --
- A Sure. The preoperative --
- O -- for this arthroscopies; how much would that come to?

A The preoperative physician visit which I put with the number ending in a four, because it's going to be a longer visit to make sure she's clear for surgery, is \$226.13. To see an orthopedic surgeon to clarify the decision and book surgery is \$226.13. I've got a preoperative x-ray and that's \$185.93, and an MRI, \$2,132.61. The preoperative testing that I mentioned, blood tests, EKG and a chest x-ray, which are standard before surgery, those charges are \$332.66. There, I caught up with myself.

Q Okay.

A For a facility, an outpatient facility, because she wouldn't need to stay overnight for this, outpatient facility is \$21,403.64. And then the actual surgeon charge for doing the surgery is \$3,654.18, and this usually requires an assistant and the assistant is paid 20 percent of what

the main surgeon is being paid. So, the assistant surgeon would get \$730.84. And, of course, she'll need anesthesia and that's \$3,001.05.

- Q So, what was the total for that category?
- A So, I just put the half cost because it was zero to three. So, the half cost is \$15,946.61.
- Q Did Dr. Barchuk recommend future treatment for carpal tunnel release?

A Yes. And it's kind of the same categories. Preoperative office visit to get cleared for surgery, \$226.13, seeing the surgeon before she goes to surgery is going to be also \$226.13. Preoperative x-ray, \$141.71, preoperative testing, the labs, EKG and chest x-ray, \$332.66. The facility charge is a little bit different, based on the codes for the surgery, so it's \$25,877.09. The surgeon's fees would be \$6,107.39, and the assistant surgeon, \$1,221.48. Anesthesia, \$2,334.15. I've got in the preoperative -- the postoperative physical therapy sessions that would be added on and some pain pills. And he recommended she would have this one to two times in her lifetime.

- Okay. And what is the lifetime cost for that area?
- A So, \$59,972.23.
- Q All right. There's also a recommendation for homecare for Titina. Explain what homecare is, first of all, if you could?

A Homecare is when a provider comes to your home and helps manage things at home. They can help people bathe, get them in and out of bathtubs, dress them, do personal care. They can assist with tooth brushing or getting in and out of wheelchairs. Do some basic

housecleaning while they're there. They could change bed sheets, help prepare meals, tidy up after meals. They could take her to appointments, drive her in the car, accompany her, get the wheelchair in and out. Whatever kind of care that's not being a registered nurse, but being trained in personal care. They're sometimes known as certified nursing assistants, CMAs, home health aides, caregivers. There's different terminology all over. Dr. Barchuk called it companion care or attendant slash chore service needs. And he recommended some now and increasing as she ages.

Q So, what -- where are these people found to do the companion care?

A There's lots of companies in town. To get a reasonable charge for this, I called at least three places. I called Visiting Angels, TrueCare 24, and Advanced Personal Care Solutions. I do this kind of research all the time and the average -- the range went from a lower number to a higher number, but the average was \$21.50 an hour.

Q So, this companion care, why does she need this?

A Right now, when I visited her in 2018, she could not independently get dressed, she couldn't get in and out of the shower. She couldn't really get on and off the toilet and take care of her needs getting on and off the toilet. She couldn't walk independently. In fact, even with a walker, sometimes it's quite difficult. So, just for her basic activities of daily living, the things that you do in the morning to get yourself going, get up, go to the bathroom, shower, eat, get dressed, put on makeup, if you're a girl, you know, most of those things she needs

significant assistance with.

And the other activities that we consider, you know, instrumental activities of daily living, the things that have you connected with your community. Not just getting yourself ready in the morning, but cooking, cleaning, preparing meals, planning meals, shopping, driving, you know, going out in the community, taking care of your children, your pets.

These are all things that are extremely difficult for her, and she needs assistance with them.

Q Is that over her lifetime?

A Yes. Dr. Barchuk recommended she have care now. And I certainly as a registered nurse agree that she needs assistance every day, all through the day. And he recommended that she have four to six hours per day now. And then he's got a scale. He said in five to 10 years, so I used an average, seven and half years. But in five to 10 years from now, she would need to increase that to six to eight hours a day. And in another -- in 10 to 15 years from now, she would need to increase it to eight to 12 hours a day. And then in 15 to 20 years, and for the rest of her life, she would need 12 to 24 hours of care per day.

Q And what is the yearly cost of that care currently and if you could explain how it would increase?

A So, I broke it down a bit. Right now, with her needing four to six hours per day, the annual cost is \$39,237.50 per year. And in five to 10 years when we're going to go up by a couple hours, it'll be \$54,932.50 per year. And in 10 to 15 years when we go up to eight to 12 hours a day, it'll be \$78,475 a year. And then in 15 to 20 years from now, and for

the rest of her life, it'll be \$141,255 per year.

2

So, what's the total lifetime cost for companion care? Q

3

Α Actually, I didn't total it because in the same category, Dr. Barchuk had two more suggestions, and they're all totaled together.

4 5

Q Okay.

Α

6

The other was home maintenance. So, she can't do her housework. She said she can sort of fold laundry a little bit but that's

8

7

about it, you know she can't. Anyways, he recommended over and

9

above the caregivers, and they're not allowed to do major cleaning.

10

They're not allowed to like wash your floors or clean the bathroom. So,

11

he recommended just two to four hours a month of someone to come in

12

and actually do the deep cleaning and that would be \$2,367.22. I got the

13

cost from ProMatcher.

14

recommended four to eight hours a year. This is someone to help you

And case management, which is someone like a nurse, he

15 16

find the right company for your homecare, where to find your

17

equipment, how to get the best price, make sure you're able to follow

18

through with all the recommendations from your physicians and

19

therapists. And so, four to eight hours a year, that would be six hours.

20

So, this is someone to make sure that she gets the most out of the

21

money that she has for spending on her healthcare. And that's \$105 an

22 23 hour.

And I got the price, where I always get the case from, Genex Care Management, which is a national company that supplies case

24

management, and they have a price for Nevada. And the lifetime total

for that is \$18,270. So, I threw those in with the homecare because that was how Dr. Barchuk had it arranged in his chart.

- Q What is the total lifetime cost for that area of future needs?
- A \$2,672,685.13.
- Q Now, was there an assessment made by Dr. Barchuk that some equipment is needed at the house?

A Yeah. She has some equipment, and she needs some additional equipment. And I really agreed that these things are needed. The first thing is an electric wheelchair or scooter. So, currently she's using a walker. She complains of pain in her shoulders, and her wrists from gripping on and holding herself up while she's going through the motions of walking on these two feet that aren't doing a very good job. And so, he's recommended an electric wheelchair or scooter. They cost about \$1,678.17 and need to be replaced about every seven years.

- Q And what's the lifetime cost for that?
- A \$6,712.68.
- Q Is there a recommendation for a manual wheelchair? Why does she need a manual wheelchair, if she's got an electric wheelchair?
- A Well, a manual wheelchair is really great, they're light, they're foldable, you can move them around very easily. A power wheelchair is extremely heavy. So, in order to move around a power wheelchair, you need, you know, like an adapted van or something to move it into.

But a manual wheelchair is very quick and easy. If she was having trouble in the house losing her balance, the caregiver could just quickly

get the manual wheelchair and put it underneath her and save her from falling. Could be used if she was just going out for a quick trip in the car, and the caregiver could fold it up and throw it in the car. Could also be used to move into someone else's house that doesn't have the ability to have the heavy weight of the chair. So, it's a really great backup. Plus, power wheelchairs run out of energy, they break down, they need repairs, and so, a manual wheelchair is always needed as a backup.

Q And then you have something for a cushion, why does she need a cushion?

A Well, sitting in a wheelchair all day is kind of limiting, you don't get to move around. If you sit on the couch, you're constantly readjusting your position, but a wheelchair kind of holds you in place. Just like the chairs you are in now; you can't adjust very much. So, it's standard to have a bit of a cushion underneath you.

- Q Okay.
- A And they're \$31.29, replaced every two years.
- Q And the lifetime for that is what?
- A \$469.35.
- Q And you have something called a sliding board; what's a sliding board?

A A sliding board is a really simple wonderful device. It costs \$26.61 and it's a board with two hand holds, and you can use it to slide out of bed and into a wheelchair. You just sit on the edge of the bed, the caregiver puts this board under you, you can slide down to the wheelchair. So, it's great for times when she's not wanting to stand up,

if she's sick, if she's having any problems with standing up and then sitting down. And it can also be used to slide from one chair to another or onto a toilet, if there's problems with being able to stand up.

O Okay. There's something called a Hoyer lift as a recommendation, what is a Hoyer lift?

A Yeah. If you've ever been in a hospital, sometimes you may have seen these strange things. They're usually silver colored with big legs, a pole that goes up, and a bar, and it can lower down over someone who is laying in bed, and you put a sling under Mrs. Farris, hook it up and then you can just crank her out of bed and into a chair, into a shower, or wherever you need to reposition her. If she's too weak to be getting out of bed, if she's too heavy for that particular caregiver to be confident that they can support her if she's having any issues with losing her balance.

O Okay. And then Hoyer lift -- how much does a Hoyer lift cost?

A They're \$1,487.33. Dr. Barchuk just recommended once in the last one to three years of life. This is anticipating that, you know, when she's in her 80s that things will be so much worse that she's going to need someone to actually lift her in and out of bed periodically, or regularly. And the slings that come with it are -- you have two slings so that if one gets soiled, it can be washed while the other one is used. They're \$78.95 each, and she just needs to purchase two of them once when she gets the Hoyer lift there are the end of life.

Q All right. You have something called a reacher, what is that?

A Reacher is a long stick with a little handle. So, if you're not able to bend over and pick things up, you can pick things up off the floor, like a piece of paper, or a pen, or a paperclip, or bring the remote closer to you, if you can't reach the cell phone. They're \$11.56 and usually replaced about every five years because they tend to fall on the floor and wear out.

- Q All right. You have a special mattress or bed for her?
- A Yes. So, one of the problems that she has, is getting positioned and getting out of bed. So, Dr. Barchuk is recommending a TempurPedic sleep number adjustable bed so that the head can be elevated and give her a good boost for getting out of bed.
 - Q How much does that cost?
 - A \$3,597.32, replaced every 10 years.
 - Q What's the total over that?
 - A \$10,791.96.
- Q And you have something here, showerhead, shower bench, why is that necessary to put in here?
- A So, for Mrs. Farris, she can't just stand in the shower and have a shower, she'll lose her balance. And so, she needs a bench to sit on, and when you sit on the bench, you can't really reach the shower. So, a showerhead is just one of those telephone showers so you can bring it down and give yourself a good wash. The shower bench, something to sit on. The showerhead costs about \$25.19, and they're usually replaced every five years.

So, the lifetime total is \$151.14. Shower bench are \$56.08. And I

was just going to tell you -- well, I can tell you at the end, how I got all the costs. But it's replaced every five years, so the lifetime costs are \$336.48. And grab bars are those suction cup grab bars by the toilet and the shower, \$14.66 every five years. And I should have just said where did I get the costs from.

Q I'm going to ask you, where did you get the costs for all those; how did you figure that out?

A So, for each thing, I've shown -- I got three costs. For the electric wheelchair, I used Amazon, Walmart and a company called 1800wheelchair.com and got the average costs from that. Also compared to a scooter and it was the same sources. The manual wheelchair, I used Amazon, Walmart and Allegro Medical, which is a medical supply company. Same with the wheelchair cushions and the transfer board. The TempurPedic mattress, I looked on Amazon and Tempurpedic.com and sleepnumber.com. And for the adjustable bed, Amazon, Casper.com. It was a little harder to find the frame that fits these, and the sleepnumber.com for costs for that. The Hoyer lift was the same, Amazon, Walmart, and Allegro Medical, and the same with the reacher. The showerhead, the grab bars, the shower bench, those were all from the same, Amazon, Walmart and Allegra Medical.

Q You also have notes here for other items. Could you briefly explain what those are?

A Yes. A cane. A cane is really useful when you're in a tight spot and you just need something to help with balance, \$14.81, replaced every five years, for a lifetime total of \$88.86. The four wheeled walker is

what she is using. It has brakes on it so if you're going too fast, you can brake it, and it also usually has a little bench on it so you could sit down on it if you're able to turn around. You can also move a cup of coffee from the kitchen to the living room by putting it on the bench. So, it gives her a little more independence that way. She told me she's gone through three since she's been home. But we're going to do the average replacement of every five years, \$65.83 for a lifetime total of \$394.98.

Q Okay. And what else do you have in this category, if you could briefly summarize it?

A Abdominal binder for her hernia. Two pair of them, replaced every two years so one could be in the wash, and one could be used. They're \$66.10 each so the lifetime total is \$991.50. Then is the ankle, foot orthosis or the brace that goes on the back of the leg and under the foot so that her foot won't drop so much. So, Dr. Barchuk recommended that she has one for each foot; they're custom made and about \$66.30 each. They'll need to be replaced every three to four years. So, the lifetime total for those is \$1,060.80.

And at nighttime, the pressure relieving ankle foot orthosis are kind of a spongy thing, boot, that you can put on; it keeps your foot from dropping more and getting more contracture so you can't have a natural position. But because she's -- I don't know if you've ever seen those films where it shows what you do when you sleep, but we normally move our feet in our sleep, and we move around all the time.

And she can't really feel her feet, so she won't know when she's been laying in a position too long, and she's not getting the normal small

movement. So, this will protect her from getting sores since she has no foot movement. They're \$236.30, and she needs them replaced every three to four years. And the lifetime cost is \$1,890.40.

- Q I notice here, you have an accessible van, who recommended that?
 - A Dr. Barchuk didn't, I did.
 - Q Why?

A So, when Dr. Barchuk recommended a power or electric wheelchair or scooter, you can't really carry those in your car. And so, an accessible van is an ideal situation so she can just wheel right into the van and wheel off when she gets to whatever location. And I only included the cost of converting a regular van to having the wheelchair adaptable one. So, putting in the ramp, the side door. And that costs about \$22,240 to convert a van. So, they would still need to buy the van, we're just including here the cost of the conversion every seven years. And the lifetime cost is \$88,960.

- O Okay. And then you have portable ramps, what does that refer to?
- A Right. So, she mentioned it to me but a portable ramp, you can buy these portable ramps, they're kind of big and bulky. But if you go to visit a friend who does not have a ramp going into their house, you can fold out the ramp and wheel the wheelchair or the walker to get in their house.
- Q Okay. So, the total of that category of equipment, was how much?

A For her lifetime, \$114,799.71.

Q Okay. Now, there's one more category you have, home modifications. Explain why are home modifications necessary?

A She needs home modifications because she's, you know, using adaptive devices. So, home modifications include installing permanent ramps into your house, into the garage, into the backyard so she can actually get to those places, especially when she becomes more wheelchair dependent. Widening doorways. So, a lot of doorways aren't really wide enough to have a wheelchair go through them, and they can be widened just a couple inches so then she can -- and a new door so she can get into bathrooms, bedrooms, all the rooms in their house with the wheelchair.

And the usual other thing is, making the shower easier to get into. So, eliminating the lip on the shower so you can shuffle or even use her walker to go right into the shower and sit on the shower bench. Then her caregiver could remove the walker while she has a shower.

So, those are the usual kind of adaptations and Dr. Barchuk recommended. And the cost is \$81,080. I used the -- this is the average. It could be more, it's not likely to be less. The Veterans Administration has a budget for veterans who are needing adaptations, modifications to their home, and this is the maximum that they will allow.

Q Okay.

MR. HAND: Your Honor, could I ask Ms. Cook to step down and use the whiteboard for a second.

THE COURT: Sure. Counsel, do you all want to approach for

a brief moment.

[Sidebar at 3:10 p.m., ending at 3:11 p.m., not transcribed]

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, realizing it's three minutes after the three o'clock hour, this being a good stopping point, we're going to just take your afternoon break, yes? Okay. I'm not seeing anyone saying no, okay. So, we're going to come back at 3:30.

So, ladies and gentlemen, during this recess, you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject conducted to this trial. You may not read, watch, or listen to any report or commentary of the trial or any person connected to the trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, social media, texts, tweets, newspapers, television, internet, radio.

Anything I have not named specifically is, of course, included.

Do not visit the scene of the events mentioned during the trial. Do not undertake any research, experimentation, or investigation. Do not do any posting or communications on any social networking sites. Do not do any independent research including, but not limited to internet searches. Do not form or express any opinion on any subjects connected with the case until it is fully and finally submitted to you at the time of jury deliberations.

With that, we wish you a nice and relaxing break.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.

[Jury out at 3:12 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: Okay. Just one second until the door closes.

ŀ	
1	Okay. So, folks, we'll see you back thank you. Have a nice
2	afternoon break. Everyone stretch and feel free to
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, before we do go off
4	the record, we do have our reply.
5	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Yeah, before the four o'clock, right.
6	Both of you needed to get it to me before four o'clock.
7	Defense, do you, by chance, have yours yet or you've got till
8	four o'clock, so, that's fine. Oh, feel free to both provide it to the Court.
9	Thank you. And your copies for opposing counsel presumably did you
10	e-serve it?
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, we e-served it.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. I appreciate it.
13	THE CLERK: Here's the trial brief, Your Honor, regarding the
14	insurance information.
15	THE COURT: It's supposed to be okay. If that's they got
16	the opposition this morning and so this is yours.
17	Okay. Perfect. I appreciate it. Thanks so much.
18	THE CLERK: Off the record, Judge?
19	THE COURT: We can go off the record. Thank you.
20	[Recess at 3:13 p.m., recommencing at 3:34 p.m.]
21	[Outside the presence of the jury]
22	THE COURT: So, we're back on the record. The presence
23	THE CLERK: On the record.
24	THE COURT: On the record, outside the presence of the jury.
25	Thank you so much.

Counsel for defense is handing me your proposed order regarding the Court's prior hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for Rule 37 sanctions. I'll take a look at that since I already got Plaintiffs' proposed order, confirming 2:00 p.m. is Dr. Hurwitz's audio-visual request; is that correct?

COUNSEL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Because that has to be -- remember, a specific time just has to be coordinated with IT and so that request has to be done at a particular time, so our wonderful court recorder is going to be taking care of that, although -- anyway, she's taking care of that.

Okay. Here comes the jury.

And are you going to need a handheld microphone, Counsel; is that what you were about to say?

MR. HAND: I will at this time, yes.

THE COURT: So, we need handheld mics right there -- Madam Court Recorder is one step ahead.

Okay. So, just remember, you can't both talk at the same time and remember, if counsel needs to move in order to get a better view, feel free to do so, just probably Plaintiffs' counsel probably doesn't want you at their table, but anywhere else. So, and, obviously, the jury box --

MR. DOYLE: I'm fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- is off limits, too, but other than that, feel free. Okay. Thank you so much.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.

1		[Jury in at 3:35 p.m.]
2		[Within the presence of the jury]
3		THE MARSHAL: All jurors are accounted for.
4		Please be seated.
5		THE COURT: I do appreciate it.
6		Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen. As you recall, before
7	the break,	we were in the midst of the witness' direct examination.
8		Counsel, feel free to continue.
9		MR. HAND: Thank you, Your Honor.
10		DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED
11	BY MR. HA	AND:
12	Q	Ms. Cook, did you do a review of
13		THE COURT: Is this jack on? It was just sounding a little soft,
14	I'm not su	re if it went back on after the break or not or maybe it needs
15	new batte	ries. Is it back on?
16		THE COURT RECORDER: It lights up with green.
17		MR. DOYLE: There's one here.
18		THE COURT: Just one second.
19		We have to make sure if he's using
20		THE COURT RECORDER: It's on.
21		THE COURT: I appreciate it.
22		MR. HAND: Okay. It's on.
23		THE COURT: It is? Okay.
24		THE COURT RECORDER: It's fine.
25		THE COURT: If you're potentially using the handheld, we got
1	I	

1	to make sure at least a B [sic] mic.		
2		Go ahead, Counsel. Feel free. Thank you.	
3		MR. HAND: Thank you.	
4	BY MR. H	AND:	
5	a	Ms. Cook, did you do a review of the past medical bills of	
6	Titina Farris?		
7	A	Yes, I did.	
8	Q	Okay. Can you tell us what bills you reviewed.	
9	А	Certainly. I reviewed the bills from St. Rose Dominican San	
10	Martine and also St. Rose Dominican Siena; Dr. Barry Rives' charges for		
11	surgery; Dr. Hamilton's medical bills; the bill from CareMeridian, the		
12	rehabilitation hospital; Dr. Bess Chang, the neurologist; and also physica		
13	therapy at Desert Valley Therapy.		
14	Q	And did you also review the medical records in conjunction	
15	with thos	e bills?	
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	And tell us when you do a did you do what's called a	
18	"medical bill review"?		
19	А	Yes. I looked at the medical records, I looked at the charges	
20	and compared them.		
21	α	Okay. And what source did you use to look at these charges?	
22	А	So, just as I did for the future medical costs, for every	
23	physician charge and physical therapy, there's a five-digit code and I		
24	used the medical fees in the U.S. to compare for seventy-fifth percentile.		
25		And for the hospitalizations, I had to read the medical	

records and determine the code for it. There's about a thousand codes that we group together -- diagnoses -- and so for the two hospitalizations, there were separate codes and to get the usual and reasonable customary charge for that code in Las Vegas, I subscribe to the American Hospital Directory and they receive bills and then post average costs for those -- average charges for those types of hospitalizations, here in Vegas.

Q Okay. Did you make a determination whether the St. Rose Dominican San Martine bill was usual and customary for the Las Vegas medical community?

A The St. Rose Dominican San Martine bill was a little bit high.

Other bills were a little bit low. When I added all the charges together,
they were all reasonable for the total charges for the research.

Q Okay. Did you have to make any adjustments to what you thought was the -- in your opinion -- was a reasonable and customary charge?

A Yeah. I wasn't asked to total that. Well, I did. I got the total reasonable charges and added them all together and they were a little bit more than the actual charges.

Q Okay. So, the bills for Dr. Hamilton, were those a usual, reasonable, and customary medical charge for the Las Vegas medical community?

- A Yes, they were below the usual.
- Q The medical bills for CareMeridian, was that the usual, reasonable, and customary charge for the Las Vegas medical

1	community?	
2	A	Yeah, it was below the usual and average.
3	Q	Dr. Chang's bill, was that usable usual, reasonable, and
4	customary	for the Las Vegas medical community?
5	A	They were a bit low.
6	Q	And the Desert Valley, the physical therapy, was that
7	usable usual, reasonable, and customary for the Las Vegas medical	
8	communit	y?
9	А	It was a bit low.
10	Q	And the St. Rose Dominican Siena bill, were those bills
11	usable ι	isual, reasonable, and customary for the Las Vegas medical
12	community?	
13	А	It was a bit low.
14		MR. HAND: Your Honor, I ask that Ms. Cook be able to
15	approach	the easel.
16	BY MR. H	AND:
17	Q	And I'm going to ask you to, based on your research and
18	your revie	w of the bills and medical records, to just write the figures for
19	each past hospitalization and medical bill, the amount, if you could.	
20	А	Certainly.
21		[Witness approaches easel]
22		MR. HAND: I have a Magic Marker if you'd like?
23		THE COURT: There's some there and hopefully
24	[indiscernible].	
25		THE WITNESS: There's a black one here. I'll try it. Oh, it's a

little funny	writing on this board.
	THE COURT: We need to make sure that the microphone is
close enou	gh to you that we can hear you when you're speaking.
	THE WITNESS: Oh.
	THE COURT: Thanks so much.
	Make sure the microphone is on so that we can get a clear
record.	
	THE WITNESS: It is on. I was just commenting it's a little
funny to w	rite on here.
	So, St. Rose Martine, the bill was \$908,033.12.
	Surgeon charges were \$3,814.
	Dr. Hamilton's charges, which included surgery and then
aftercare ir	n the clinic \$12,801.
	My writing is smaller and smaller I'm sorry.
	CareMeridian, the rehab hospital, the charges were
\$28,747.63	•
	Dr. Chang, the neurologist \$1,018, even.
	And then physical therapy, Desert Valley Therapy
\$4,473.15.	
	And the last one is St. Rose Sienna this is for the reversal
of the colo	stomy \$104,120.04.
	Do you want me to write the total?
BY MR. HA	ND:
a	Yes, if you could.
А	The total is \$1,063,006.94.
	record. funny to w aftercare ir \$28,747.63 \$4,473.15. of the colo BY MR. HA

	i	
1	a	Okay. Ms. Cook, I'd ask you to flip that page that's for past
2	medical bills	
3	А	Yes, it is.
4	Q	from July 15 from 2015.
5		I'm going to ask you just briefly for the life care plan, same
6	thing. Tak	ke a second, just
7	A	Okay.
8	a	summarize
9	A	Maybe I can write a little better this time.
10	a	I have another Magic Marker if that would work better.
11	А	It's that the it moves.
12	a	So, medical care.
13	A	Medical care this is all about doctor care over her
14	lifetime	\$98,503.98.
15		Allied Care, which is therapy \$1,112,088.31.
16		Complications uh-uh here we go which is really the ER
17	visits \$3	1,362.20.
18		I'm sorry, I may have picked up the wrong piece of paper.
19		Diagnostics, which is radiology, x-rays, and MRIs
20	\$23,322.20	
21		Procedures, which is the trigger point injections, shoulder
22	surgery, a	nd carpal tunnel surgery \$77,975.10.
23		Home care, which is the caregiver in the home, plus some
24	housekeep	oing and a case manager, the lifetime cost is \$2,672,685.13.
25		Equipment wheelchairs and shower supplies

1	\$114,799.71.		
2		And then the last one is the home modifications to widen	
3	doors and	l provide ramps \$81.080.	
4		And then the total?	
5	ο.	Yes, if you could total it for us.	
6	А	The total is \$4,211,816.63.	
7	Q.	Thank you.	
8		MR. HAND: I pass the witness.	
9		Thank you.	
10		THE COURT: Okay. At this juncture with a	
11	cross-exa	mination, defense counsel?	
12	;	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
13	BY MR. D	OYLE:	
14	Ω.	Now, Ms. Cook, you were hired as an expert witness in this	
15	case to pr	epare a life care plan, correct or price a life care plan?	
16	А	Yes. Basically, I was asked to create life care plan and then	
17	Dr. Barch	uk was able to identify the future needs and then I did the	
18	costing for that.		
19	Q	And you did this past medical bill review, as well, correct?	
20	А	Yes.	
21	٥	And is it true you have not provided any patient care as a	
22	registered nurse since 2011?		
23	A	Yes, over 30 years of bedside care to patients, but I've been	
24	not doing bedside care since 2011.		
25	Q	Since 2011 you have been full-time work as an expert	

	i	
1 ·	witness in	personal injury cases?
2	A	I would say part time for most of it as I slowly got into it.
3	Q	Currently full time?
4	А	Currently.
5	Ω	And you charge \$375 an hour to review materials?
6	А	Yes.
7	Ω	Four hundred and fifty dollars an hour for research oh,
8	\$450 an ho	our if it's a rush?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Six hundred and fifty dollars an hour for a deposition?
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	And I think you told us you've given over 60 depositions over
13	the years?	
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	And then in terms of your work in this case, as you charged
16	\$13,950 to price the life care plan, true?	
17	A	No, I did more than that.
18		I reviewed the medical records and summarized them. I
19	interviewe	d the Farrises in their home. I communicated with
20	Dr. Barchuk, created tables, and then did the pricing.	
21	a	Okay. And it cost \$13,950 for you to do that?
22	А	Yes.
23	a	And then this medical bill summary that you prepared, that
24	cost \$4,211	.25, correct?
25	А	Correct.

1	a	And then after that, you billed time to prepare for your
2	deposition	the deposition, et cetera, correct?
3	А	Correct.
4	a	And you also billed, I think, about four hours of time for
5	reviewing v	various videos?
6	А	Yes, quite likely.
7	a	And I think you told us at your deposition that last year you
8	were hired	in about 150 new cases; is that correct?
9	А	Yes.
10	a	Now, when you're determining past medical expenses or
11	future med	ical expenses or expenses of that sort, you have to look at the
12	usual, reasonable, and customary charges, true?	
13	А	Correct.
14	Q	And for purposes of today, I'm going to say "URC," okay?
15	А	Certainly.
16	Q	All right. And so, the U in URC usual that is what is usual
17	in the com	munity, correct?
18	A	It could be interpreted that way. In life care planning, we use
19	the phrase	"usual, reasonable, and customary" to reflect what is the
20	average charge or the normal charge or the customary charge in the	
21	community.	
22	<u>α</u>	Have you in depositions, as an expert witness, indicated that
23	usual mea	ns what is usual in the community?
24	A	I'm sometimes pressed to define each word like you were
25	asking, and	d, you know, as general, we use the phrase, but, you know,

	! 	
1	usual would be what's usual	
2	Q	Okay.
3	A	reasonable would be a reasonable amount
4	a	All right. So, usual is what is usual in the community,
5	correct?	
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	And reasonable is the amount the community would
8	consider r	easonable for the most part for medical fees, correct?
9	А	I guess so.
10	a	Well, that's a definition you have agreed to in the past,
11	correct?	
12	А	I suppose if I'm pressed, but like I say, we usually don't break
13	apart the v	words.
14	a	Okay. But bear with me, all right.
15	А	Sure. Okay.
16	a	But you've agreed to that definition of reasonable in the past,
17	correct?	
18	A	Perhaps.
19	a	Okay. And then in terms of the customary, now, you've
20	provided ι	us with a you've provided us in the past, as well as I think in
21	this case,	a definition of customary is the amount paid for medical
22	services in a geographic area based on what providers in the area	
23	usually charge for the same or similar services, correct?	
24	A	That's one definition. I don't know what the paid amount is.
25	I can only	research the charges.

1	Q	And you've also indicated that the URC amount sometimes is
2	used to de	etermine the allowed amount, correct?
3	А	That's one definition, yes.
4	Q	All right. Well, you actually provided a definition of URC in
5	your two	reports, correct each one has a Footnote Number 1?
6	А	Yes, I think so.
7	Q	And what you define URC, putting all of those words
8	together,	is the amount paid for a medical service in a geographic area
9	based on	what providers in the area usually charge for the same or
10	similar m	edical service. The URC amount sometimes is used to
11	determine	e the allowed amount, correct?
12	Tho	se are words from your two reports?
13	A	Yes, and it's a quote from a government source.
14	Q.	So, when you're looking at this, you are looking at the
15	amounts	paid for the care, according to this deposition [sic] definition,
16	right?	
17	A	That's not what I'm saying that I'm doing. I'm looking at
18	what wou	ld be a reasonable charge.
19	Q	Well, you're looking for a usual, reasonable, and customary
20	charge, correct?	
21	А	And the word is "charge" is the main point there.
22	Q	All right. But you provided I don't want to belabor the
23	point, but	you provided us with a definition in your reports, which I read
24	a momen	t ago, true?
25	А	Yes. And it

1	Q	Okay.
2	А	may not have been the best quote.
3	Q	Well, I read it verbatim, didn't I?
4	A	Oh, no, definitely did. I'm just saying that perhaps it's not
5	the best q	uote, because usual, reasonable, and customary in life care
6	planning	and analysis is what are the usual charges.
7		I can't tell what was paid. I don't know what's going to be
8	paid. I ca	n only tell what the usual charges are.
9		MR. DOYLE: Okay. And, Your Honor, can we approach for a
10	moment o	on a topic before?
11		THE COURT: Of course you may.
12		Madam Court Recorder, can you turn on the white noise.
13		[Sidebar at 3:55 p.m., ending at 3:56 p.m., not transcribed]
14		THE COURT: Feel free since there's not an objection
15	pending, feel free to move forward, Counsel.	
16		Thank you so much.
17	BY MR. DOYLE:	
18	a	Have you ever been employed as a medical biller?
19	A	No.
20	a	I think you told us earlier as part of your review of this case,
21	you were	provided with medical records, correct?
22	А	Correct.
23	Q	You were provided with medical records that you reviewed
24	and summ	narized, correct?
25	А	Correct.
1		

1	Q	You were not provided any medical records for any of her
2	care prior to July 3, 2015, correct?	
3	А	Correct.
4	Q	Now, when you prepared your medical bill cost summary,
5	you includ	led charges by Dr. Chaney, correct?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	I didn't hear Dr. Chaney mentioned today in terms of medical
8	records th	at you reviewed subsequent to July 3rd.
9	Do I	remember correctly, you didn't mention that?
10	А	I'm sorry, what are you asking?
11	Q	At the time you prepared your report, you were provided
12	with medical records from Dr. Chaney, correct?	
13	А	Chaney?
14	<u>a</u>	Chaney.
15	А	The family doctor. Sorry, I thought you maybe said
16	Dr. Chang	I'm sorry.
17	a	You were provided with records from Dr. Chaney, correct?
18	А	Yes.
19	a	And these records were for care after July 3rd, 2015, correct?
20	А	Correct.
21	a	And when you went through the list of records today that
22	you were	provided, you did not mention Dr. Chaney, true?
23	А	I don't know if I went through the list of records I was
24	provided,	but I went through the list of the bills that we're presenting
25	today.	

1	Q	Okay. But you prepared a report that included Dr. Chaney's		
2	bills, but l	bills, but I don't see Dr. Chaney on the list, correct?		
3	A	Correct.		
4	Q	So, for some reason, you have deleted the reference to		
5	Dr. Chane	y's bills that were in your report earlier, correct?		
6	A	Yes.		
7	Q	Did you delete that because you had been mistaken in		
8	including	her care and bills?		
9	А	No, Mr. Hand asked me not to present those bills.		
10	Q	Did Mr. Hand explain to you why he didn't want you to		
11	present those bills?			
12	A	No.		
13	Q	Did you ask? Were you curious?		
14	А	He said, We're just not going to present them.		
15	Q	Did was there any discussion about whether there was		
16	informatio	on in Dr. Chaney's medical records at that you had reviewed		
17	and charg	es you had analyzed that were not helpful to the Farrises'		
18	case?			
19	А	No, I don't know anything of that.		
20	Q	Nothing about that was shared with you?		
21	А	No.		
22	Q	You were just told to drop it?		
23	А	Yes.		
24	a	Would you agree with me that when you are preparing a life		
25	care plan.	it's important to consider whether someone has preexisting		

1	medical conditions?	
2	А	Yes.
3	a	And in this case, you were provided with no records, prior to
4	July 3, 20	15, that would tell you what her preexisting medical conditions
5	were. Fai	r statement?
6	А	I didn't have any before that date, but regularly through the
7	records, it	refers to her preexisting conditions.
8	a	But, again, you didn't actually have records for her
9	preexistin	g conditions?
10	А	Correct.
11	Q	Would you agree with this statement that when looking at
12	preexistin	g medical conditions while preparing the life care plan, if
13	things we	re preexisting and not worsened by the current injury, then
14	shouldn't	be included in the plan? You would agree with that?
15	A	Yes, basically uh-huh.
16	Q	Now excuse me the interview that you with Mr.
17	and Mrs.	Farris, that was at their home, correct?
18	A	Yes.
19	O.	That was an interview on March 22, 2018, correct?
20	A	Correct.
21	٥	It lasted one and one-half hours, correct?
22	Α	Correct.
23	0	And you documented the information you found pertinent in
24	that interview in your report for the life care plan?	
25	A	Correct.

1	Q	And Mr. and Mrs. Farris live in a single-story home
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	one step from the garage to the house, correct?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	And when you interviewed Mrs. Farris, she indicated to you
6	that since	July of 2015, she had been going to a radio station and doing a
7	radio show	of some sort, correct?
8		MR. HAND: Objection, Your Honor.
9		THE COURT: Just what's the basis of the objection,
10	Counsel?	
11		MR. HAND: Can we approach?
12		THE COURT: I need an evidentiary basis, Counsel.
13		MR. HAND: No, I for what we discussed previously, but I'II
14	withdraw i	t.
15		THE COURT: So, is there an objection pending, yes or no?
16		MR. HAND: No.
17		THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
18	BY MR. DO	YLE:
19	Q	Ms. Cook, looking at the bottom of page 11 of 60 of your
20	report, I'm	not interested in what work she is doing, but, rather, when
21	you intervi	ewed her, you indicated she was doing current work, correct?
22	А	She was yes having guest spots at a local radio station.
23	Q	Okay. And that is something that she's been that she's
24	doing curre	ently, correct?
25	А	I don't know if she still is, but she was in 2018.

1	Q	Okay. And since you interviewed her and Mr. Farris in
2	March of 2	2018, have you followed up with them to find out if there's any
3	new or cu	rrent information?
4	А	No, I saw her briefly in the hallway and said hi that's all.
5	Q.	Now, you documented her health problems prior to July of
6	2015, corre	ect?
7	А	Correct.
8	Q	And that's on page 12 of your report, correct?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Under the heading of previous health problems, correct?
11	А	Correct.
12	Q	Now, based upon what Mrs. Farris told you, she had a
13	history of	diabetes type 2, correct?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	And occasional pollen allergies for which she takes Claritin
16	as needed	, correct?
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	She did not share with you any other previous health
19	problems,	assuming there are such.
20		Fair statement?
21	А	Yeah, I don't think we dwelled on that.
22	Q	Yeah, but that's important for you when preparing a life care
23	plan to kn	ow what a person's previous health problems are so that those
24	are not inc	corporated into the life care plan, correct?
25	А	Correct.

1	Q	So, this is important information to you that you need to get
2	from someone in an interview like Mrs. Farris?	
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And so, when inquired of her she told you about the diabetes
5	and poller	n allergies, correct?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	Nothing else, correct, because if she had, you would have
8	put it in y	our report?
9	А	I suppose.
10	Q	Did she share with you anything about her history of
11	diabetes a	and whether it was uncontrolled or not?
12	А	No.
13	Q	Did she share with you any information about her diabetes
14	and whetl	ner it was whether there were any complications associated
15	with her d	liabetes, prior to July 2015?
16	A	No.
17	Q	I assume as a nurse you're familiar with the term "diabetic
18	neuropath	ny"?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	When preparing your life care plan, did you have any
21	informatio	on about whether Mrs. Farris did or did not have a diabetic
22	personal neuropathy prior to July of 2015?	
23	А	Yes, she had some.
24	a	Okay. And you saw that in subsequent records?
25	A	Yes.

1	a	So, when you obtained her previous health problems, she
2	did not share with you her history of pain and pain medications prior to	
3	July 2015,	fair?
4	А	Yeah, correct.
5	a	She didn't share with you her history of hypertension and
6	medication	ns to treat her hypertension?
7	А	I think I have it somewhere else that she has hypertension,
8	but I did kr	now that she had hypertension.
9	Q	Okay. But in terms of her sharing with you her history, she
10	did not sha	are that with you, true?
11	А	Well, I don't recall. If it was just something I happened to not
12	write dowr	n, but I was aware that she had high blood pressure.
13	a	Okay. Well, then, on page 12 of 60, you also have a heading
14	that says s	ubsequent health problems, correct?
15	А	Yes.
16	a	And she told you that one of her subsequent health
17	problems, in other words, a health problem following July 2015, was	
18	pain in her back, true?	
19	А	Yes, she has some back pain.
20	a	Did she tell you she had back pain before July of 2015
21	requiring p	pain medications?
22	А	Yeah, I saw that in the medical records.
23	a	Something that she didn't share with you, though, correct?
24	А	I'm sure we discussed a lot of things, you know, not
25	omitting th	nings to hide anything it's well in their summary there that
	I	

	l k	
1	she had s	ome low-back pain.
2	Q	Well, when you prior a summary like this, you understand
3	other peo	ple are going to be looking at it, correct?
4	A	Right.
5	0	Other people are going to rely on it, correct?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	You want to be as accurate as possible so if people have to
8	look at it a	and rely on it aren't misled or misinformed in some form or
9	fashion, t	rue?
10	А	Sure, uh-huh.
11	Q	On page 13 of 60, she told you that a physical therapist had
12	set up for her a home exercise program with equipment, correct?	
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And Mrs. Farris, during this interview, she told you that she
15	can walk ı	up to two hours in a day with a wheeled walker, but this is
16	variable, t	rue?
17	А	Yes.
18		And I probably should explain that I don't think I described
19	it very well.	
20	, a	But that's what you put in the report, correct?
21	A	Right. But I think I could elaborate on that a bit. She didn't
22	need to h	ave
23	Q	Okay. But perhaps counsel can ask that.
24	А	Okay. Sorry.
25	a	Okay. Thank you.

	İ	
1		Now, you asked her about the AFO or leg braces, correct?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And she told you she was no longer wearing them because
4	they didn't	t fit well.
5	А	Right.
6	Q	And she told you they she couldn't afford to replace them,
7	correct?	
8	А	Correct.
9	Ω	And each one cost what, again was it \$62.44?
10	А	That was my research.
11	Q	You have a section on page 15 called additional notes,
12	correct?	
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And here you documented information you obtained from
15	both Mr. and Mrs. Farris, correct?	
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Here, you documented that Mrs. Farris stated that she feels
18	she can ca	re for herself.
19		Did I read that correctly?
20	A	Correct.
21	a	Then on page 17 of your report, you indicated she's
22	developed	a chronic musculoskeletal myofascial pain following the
23	surgery in	July of 2015, correct?
24	A	Yeah, that's in the medical records.
25	Q	And in your deposition you shared with us that it seemed

1	reasonab	le that Mrs. Farris was having pain before July of 2015 because
2	she had ti	ne diabetic neuropathy, correct?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	And diabetic neuropathy does cause pain, correct?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	And it causes sensory changes in the legs, correct?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	A diabetic neuropathy, in your experience, can also affect the
9	muscles i	n the
10		MR. HAND: Objection.
11	BY MR. D	OYLE:
12	Q	lower extremities, correct?
13		MR. HAND: Objection. Foundation.
14		THE COURT: The Court is
15		MR. HAND: He's talking in general terms.
16		THE COURT: The Court is going to sustain the objection
17	based on	the designation of this witness.
18	BY MR. D	OYLE:
19	Q	Do you have employees?
20	А	Yes.
21	a	These two reports, did you prepare them 100 percent by
22	yourself o	r did others in your office prepare parts of these reports?
23	A	My staff worked under my direct supervision and assisted
24	me with think.	
25	Ω	How many staff do you have?

drop, which is the biggest problem and then, you know, how that affects

25

24

25

Q

Α

or cover any of her other unrelated medical problems. Fair statement?

Things that are totally unrelated.

So, it's your opinion that the life care plan does not address

1		She has some problems that were, perhaps, worsened
2	because of	the difficulty she has because of her foot drop.
3	a	Okay. So, in the life care plan, what you're telling me is it's
4	looking at h	ner baseline as of July 2015 and it's looking at what is new,
5	related to th	ne foot drop, as well as exacerbation of any underlying
6	problems?	
7	А	I guess so.
8	a	Okay. Is that your opinion or would you be relying on
9	Dr. Barchuk	for that?
10	А	I'm really relying on Dr. Barchuk to decide what is related
11	and what is	n't, but it seems reasonable to me.
12	a	Well, but if you're relying on him, do you even need to make
13	your own a	ssessment whether something is reasonable or
14	unreasonak	ole?
15	А	If I saw something that seemed out of place; for instance, if
16	he recomm	ended diabetic medication, I would point out that that wasn't
17	related, and	d we wouldn't include it in the report.
18	Q	Okay. Now, when you did your medical bill review, I wanted
19	to ask you a	about the charges from St. Rose Siena, which you testified
20	about today	/.
21	And y	ou indicated, and I think you indicated today I can't read
22	that far th	nat in your opinion, the recoverable charge for St. Rose Siena,
23	is that the \$	5104,128?
24		MR. HAND: My objection.
25		THE COURT: Basis?

1		MR. HAND: Could we approach, Judge? I don't know how to
2	say it.	
3		THE COURT: Okay. Madam Court Recorder, could you turn
4	on the wh	ite noise.
5		[Sidebar at 4:15 p.m., ending at 4:16 p.m., not transcribed]
6		THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, is that being withdrawn and
7	rephrased	?
8		MR. DOYLE: Yeah, I'm just going to rephrase.
9		THE COURT: Okay. No worries. Okay. So there's
10		MR. DOYLE: So
11	:	THE COURT: actually going to be no ruling from the Court
12	I appreciate it.	
13	BY MR. D	OYLE:
14	a	So Ms. Cook, when you determined the usual, reasonable,
15	and custo	mary charge for the St. Rose Siena bill, and put down a
16	number to	oday, that number was \$104,120.04, correct?
17	А	Correct.
18	a	In your report, however, you had a different number for the
19	URC, corre	ect?
20	А	Yes. These are the charges that are reasonable.
21	Q	But my question was different. Did you have a different
22	number in your report for the URC?	
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	And in your report, when you calculated the URC for Siena,
25	instead of	\$104,120 and change, you came up with \$338,137, correct?

1	А	Yes.
2	a	So when and then in this report, which you indicated
3	people wi	Il review and reply upon, you indicated the allowable charge
4	would be	that same number, \$338,136, correct.
5	А	That would be the researched cost.
6	a	Okay, but in terms of preparing your report, what you were
7	telling ped	ople in this report is even though the charge was \$104,000 and
8	change, w	hat you thought should be usual, reasonable, and customary
9	and allow	able, was \$338,000 and change, correct?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	Far more than what Mr. and Mr. Farris were billed for that
12	hospitalization, correct?	
13	А	Correct.
14	Q	Far more than they would ever owe or have to pay for that
15	hospital b	ill, correct?
16	А	Correct. The charge was lower.
17	Q	Now, when you were talking about the home care and all of
18	that, the price that you included is for an agency hire, correct?	
19	А	Correct.
20	Q	And so with an agency hire, then someone pays the agency
21	X dollars and the caregiver gets something less than X?	
22	А	Correct. The
23	Q	And there is another option called direct hire, correct?
24	А	Correct.
25	Q	That requires some ancillary expenses not associated with a

1	agency hired, correct?	
2	A	Correct.
3	a	And would you agree or disagree that one of the benefits of
4	direct hire	is that family members can be incorporated in the care of
5	someone I	ike Mrs. Farris?
6	A	I I don't know. I suppose that could be an advantage.
7	a	But you never used direct hire option in your life care plans,
8	fair statem	nent?
9	А	I don't for Plaintiff or Defense work. I always use agency
10	prices bec	ause there's a journal article that I often refer to that shows the
11	difference	between hiring direct and hiring agency.
12	a	Thank you.
13	А	Oh, sorry.
14	α	That's all right. I'm trying to I'm looking for the number
15	oh, sorry.	
16	A	I didn't write it very large. I'm sorry.
17		THE COURT: Counsel, I can't have you speaking if you're not
18	near a mic	rophone. You're more than welcome to be over there, but we
19	either nee	d to get you a pocket microphone or something if you're going
20	to talk. Go	ot one? Thank you so much.
21	BY MR. DOYLE:	
22	Q	And I'm sorry to jump around. You put down the usual,
23	reasonable	e, and customary or allowable charge for St. Rose San Martin
24	was tell me what that number is. It's a little	
25	A	Yeah, this was the charge of \$908,033.12.

	i	
1	Q	When you prepared your report, did you have a different
2	number fo	r the URC and allowable?
3	А	Yes. I researched it and this bill was higher than my research
4	showed.	
5	Q.	Your research showed that the URC and the allowable for St.
6	Rose San I	Martin for the July 5th admission would be \$802,877.66,
7	correct?	
8	А	Correct.
9	Q.	Now, when you looked at this bill for the July 5th, 2015
10	admission	, did you make any effort to back out of the total bill charges
11	that were i	ncurred during the first day or two?
12	А	No, I didn't.
13	Q	Did you make any effort to back out of that hospital bill
14	charges th	at would've been incurred anyway if there had not been a
15	complicati	on after surgery?
16	А	No.
17	Q	When you look at this hospital bill, I assume you saw that
18	there was	care provided to her for her pre-existing medical problems
19	while she was in the hospital, correct?	
20	А	Correct.
21	Q	Did you make any effort to back those figures out of the total
22	of the hos	oital bill?
23	А	No, and there's a reason for that. The
24	Q	Thank
25	Α	code for the hospitalization is based on the main reason

did you just go with the summary total?

25

A Correct. I looked at the total, which was the \$908,000, but when I reviewed the entire hospital to determine the one code that's used for the entire hospitalization, the diagnosis related code, which all in-patient surgeries or hospitalizations have, then the most appropriate code included all of the care that she had, and was a lower charge than what they charged.

Q Okay. But again, you didn't back out, for example, the insulin that was billed?

A No. In fact, I don't recall it. It's very inexpensive, but the charges in a hospitalization are not -- although they'll list them in an itemization, that's not the reflection on how to charge for the entire hospitalization.

Q All right. So what you did is you didn't go through the hospital bill line by line and determine whether each line item was usual, reasonable, and customary. Rather, you looked at the diagnosis, you applied a code, you looked up that code and some reference work, and came up with a number, correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Thanks.

MR. DOYLE: That's all I have.

THE COURT: Redirect, counsel?

MR. HAND: Yes, thank you.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HAND:

O Ms. Cook, you were talking about some issue with a

wheelchair, a wheel walker, a two-hour time. Can you explain what you were --

A Oh, yes. I'm so sorry that I wrote that that way. Sometimes you read your report and you wish you had written it another way. When she's been describing how much time she sits, I believe Dr. Barchuk may have said that she sits a total of five hours. It doesn't mean she sits for five hours solid, and when she said that she can walk for about two hours, she means in a whole day. In a whole day, the whole added minutes of how long she's been walking could be a maximum of two hours, not two hours at once.

Q You mentioned a term -- two terms -- direct hire and agency hire. First, can you tell us what direct hire is and what agency hire is?

A Sure. Direct hire is when you ask a neighbor or a friend or someone you've heard about to come and help, say, take care of your grandmother for a couple hours a day, and you may just pay them cash or you may, you know, have some kind of arrangement.

An agency hire is when you contact a company like Visiting Angels and ask them to provide the service, and it costs more. Initially, it looks like it costs more because \$21.50 is not what the individual caregiver is being paid, but when you do look at doing direct hire for putting in a lifecare plan, you have to consider that the direct hire may be sick, or unsuitable, or take vacation time, and the person, you know, will have to have a whole backup of people, and the agency has all of the replacement people.

You will need to have some kind of accounting to pay for their

1	taxes, FIC	A and other deductions, workers' compensation, insurance.
2	And the re	eport that I've relied on and that I use regularly in lifecare
3	planning,	whether I'm working for the Plaintiff attorney or the Defense
4	attorney s	hows that it's about the same cost, but it's safer to use an
5	agency co	st because we can easily identify how much it's going to cost
6	per hour,	and they will be able to provide care, as needed, how many
7	hours.	
8	If the	ere's sick calls or if you don't like the caregiver that you've got,
9	or any oth	er kind of circumstance, so I always like to use the agency hire
10	price for a	II the care.
11	a	Thank you.
12	:	MR. HAND: No further questions.
13		THE COURT: Any recross, counsel?
14		MR. DOYLE: Yeah.
15		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. D	OYLE:
17	a	In terms of the home care that you have outlined here, I
18	mean, is N	Mrs. Farris obligated by some law to spend the money as it's
19	been outli	ned in the lifecare plan or can she pick or choose some, none,
20	or all of th	ese?
21	А	l don't know. I'm not a lawyer.
22	a	Okay. How many times have you followed a person who has
23	recovered	money pursuant to one of your lifecare plans to see how
24		THE COURT: Counsel, would you both like to
25		MR. HAND: Objection.

1 THE COURT: -- approach. Madam Court Recorder, if you'd like to turn on some white 2 3 noise. Thank you so very much. 4 [Sidebar at 4:27 p.m., ending at 4:29 p.m., not transcribed] THE COURT: Okay. No worries. Sorry for that interruption. 5 6 Okay. Sorry, counsel. I interrupted you partway through. 7 8 MR. DOYLE: I'll withdraw the question in the --9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. DOYLE: Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Counsel is withdrawing the question. There's 12 no reason for the Court to rule at this juncture. And obviously, you know 13 when a question is withdrawn, the jury disregards a partial question, right? I see all those affirmative nods. Perfect. Thank you so very much. 14 15 I'm sorry, counsel. Did you need to move on to your next question? 16 17 MR. DOYLE: I don't have any more questions. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So then I go to re-redirect for Plaintiffs' 19 counsel. 20 MR. HAND: No questions, Judge. 21 THE COURT: And I should have kept you at bench because 22 we have a couple juror questions. So counsel, would you mind re-23 approaching? Thank you so very much. 24 Which means, Madam Court Reporter, can you put on some 25 white noise? I do appreciate it. Thank you so much.

•

[Sidebar at 4:30 p.m., ending at 4:35 p.m., not transcribed]

THE COURT: Okay. So what I do with juror questions, I read them as is, okay? And a friendly reminder to the jury, remember, there's certain questions that don't get asked for a variety of different reasons, including that sometimes, it may be more appropriate for a different witness and there's other reasons it can't be asked, but don't take any offense if certain questions don't get asked.

Okay, so I read these as is.

Are there any services/products in her lifecare plan that would benefit progressing diabetic symptoms? Which ones?

THE WITNESS: So I understand that, did I include any equipment that she would've needed anyways because of her diabetes or as her diabetes worsens? Does that sound like I have that?

THE COURT: The Court read the question as is, and so you need to answer the question --

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I have to interpret it.

THE COURT: -- as is. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: I don't think so. Certainly, with diabetics, they could get worse over time. Sometimes, people have more imbalances in their blood sugars, may lead to vision problems. You know, there's all sorts of problems that could happen. I'm relying on Dr. Dr. Barchuk to say that he thinks that this is just because of the problems from the surgery and the things that she has, and it seems reasonable to me. So I would say that not really, and I would rely on Dr. Barchuk to confirm that.

THE COURT: Okay. Next. Many elderly people wouldn't be able to do many of these therapies (e.g. pool therapy) in their later years. Why do you calculate costs for their entire life expectancy?

THE WITNESS: Again, it's a little bit because Dr. Barchuk said so. He recommended pool therapy for the rest of her life. I don't know, I've seen quite a few very old people at the pool sometimes working out regularly, so I wouldn't rule it out, but again, I would rely on Dr. Barchuk.

THE COURT: Okay. There's another part on this one. Would many people in their 80s need a wheelchair, scooter, Hoyer lift, etcetera?

THE WITNESS: It's hard to say. I don't know -- did you hear the question? Yeah. I don't know of any statistics on, say, what percentage of the population ends up in a wheelchair or a Hoyer lift is a pretty specific thing if you have a stroke or paralysis or different reasons, but I don't know of any statistics that would say that a certain percentage would need this equipment anyways, and I would rely on Dr. Barchuk to confirm that he still thinks it's reasonable.

THE COURT: Okay. Questions answered to the satisfaction of the jurors that asked them? I'm seeing affirmative nods. Since this was Plaintiffs' witness in their case and chief, Plaintiffs' counsel, do you have follow-up questions to those juror questions?

MR. HAND: No, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. So then I go to Defense counsel. Do you have follow-up questions for those juror questions?

MR. DOYLE: I don't. Thank you.

24

25

sidebar.

THE COURT: Okay. There being no follow-up questions to those jurors' questions, not seeing any further juror questions and the questions being asked that could be asked, by agreement of the parties, at this juncture, is this witness excused for all purposes or subject to recall in some portion of the case, and if so, when?

MR. HAND: Plaintiff is excusing her for all purposes.

MR. DOYLE: Subject to recall based on our discussion at

THE COURT: Okay. Then the Court, with that caveat, is going to excuse the witness at this juncture, and I wish you -- and please just watch your step. Thank you so very much.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAND: Judge, can we approach?

THE COURT: Of course you may.

Madam Court Reporter, would you like to turn on some white noise?

[Sidebar at 4:39 p.m., ending at 4:41 p.m., not transcribed]

THE COURT: Thank you so very much. Okay. Understand there's a request to be able -- we were able to move a lot of things. We start a 9:45. Would that meet everybody's needs tomorrow? 9:45, good to go. Okay. 9:45 is when we'll start tomorrow, okay? So we'll do that.

So ladies and gentlemen, we're going to make more sense if we take care of something outside your presence rather than you sitting here listening to white noise, as much as I know how much you like that.

So ladies and gentlemen, we're going to wish you a very nice and relaxing rest of your afternoon and evening, and we'll start again tomorrow at 9:45.

So during this overnight recess, you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial. You may not read, watch, or listen to any report or commentary of the trial, any person connected with the trial by any medium of information, including without limitation, social media, text, tweets, newspapers, television, internet, radio. Anything I've not stated specifically is, of course, also included.

Do not visit the scene or the events mentioned during the trial. Do not undertake any research experimentation or investigation. Do not do any posting or communications on any social networking sites or anywhere else. Do not do any independent research, including, but not limited to internet searches. Do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is fully and finally submitted to you during the time of jury deliberations.

With that, we wish you a nice and relaxing evening, and wish everyone a nice morning until we see you.

THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.

[Jury out at 4:43 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: Okay. One second until I hear the click. We're going to stay on the record for a few moments, if you don't mind,

Madam Court Reporter and Madam Clerk, because there was an issue at

the bench.

it was said it was admitted, and then there was another statement.

So was it pages 1 through 613, which was the binder that was presented to Madam Clerk at the time of the calendar call, was a stipulated and admitted exhibit?

But before we go to your issue, I need, for Madam Clerk's

sake, this goes back to the -- well, back to the calendar call question.

Back to the calendar call. At that juncture, there was a statement by the

parties at Exhibit 1, which then was Exhibit 1, pages 1 through 613, that

some other colloquy, so Madam Clerk just needs to know because when

it was referenced earlier, this last week, with regards to another witness,

was in Plaintiffs' binder that was provided to the Clerk at that time. It

was a stipulated and admitted exhibit. Since that time, there's been

14

15

12

13

MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.

16

not -- and I think we had a discussion about this on the record. I did not

MR. DOYLE: And as I explained on the first day of trial, I did

THE COURT: Is it a correct statement the parties stipulated,

17

realize that Plaintiffs had added to Exhibit 1, which was supposed to be

18 19 just the hospital records, but they had added two pages concerning the

20

billing records, but we had -- but the Court, as I recall, had indicated that I had waived any objection to that by stipulating to it at the calendar call.

21

| So --

2223

at the time of the calendar call, Exhibit 1, pages 1 through 613? That's

24

the binder that the Clerk received. That is why I'm saying pages 1

25

through 613 because that's the binder in the format that Madam Clerk --

1 It is 1 through 613; is that correct? 2 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. JONES: Your Honor --5 THE COURT: That's the binder that Madam Clerk received. 6 That's the only reason why I'm mentioning these numbers, and just for a 7 point of clarification. The Court is not revisiting anything. It's just if 8 there has been any subsequent agreement between the parties because 9 this case has been somewhat of a moving target with certain things, so I 10 just need to know, has there been any change from both parties 11 understanding jointly since the calendar call? 12 MR. JONES: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: A joint understanding. 14 MR. DOYLE: Well, there was the joint 15 understanding/misunderstanding on my part of the calendar call, but 16 again, we discussed that, and I understand the Court's ruling based on 17 our discussion. So my understanding is it is in evidence. 18 THE COURT: Okay. The Court is taking no position. 19 Whatever is, is. Court neither -- my question is not to say what may or 20 may not have been said in court. It's just really going back to the 21 calendar call, so I was trying to be specific. Pages 1 through 613, if those 22 were stipulated as admitted on that date. That's okay, get your points of 23 clarification. That got that taken care of. Okay.

24

25

So this Court needs to know, you know, if you all have an issue, you

So you all asked to approach at the end of Ms. -- testimony.

need to let me know since I do remind you lots of times, and it's -- not really sure how else to do it, folks, because if you think there's going to be an issue about a witness, what common would say, you bring it to the Court's attention before the witness gets on the stand, even -- anyway, the Court has specifically directed that you have to do it, but the Court doesn't need to have to direct that. You have to do it.

The rules of professional conduct, protecting your own clients, all of those things require you to do it, but yet we keep on having this situation where things are happening in the middle of witnesses being on the stand. The Court has directed the parties -- and I'm just generically saying the word "parties". Don't anyone say that that means I'm talking to everyone. I'm just using the word "parties", okay? That you must do it before the witnesses get on the stand so the Court can be fully informed and make its continued well-reasoned decisions.

But now, I had again, another issue. So is there or is there not a difference of opinion about whether or not Mrs. Farris' employer is subject to an ERISA plan? Is there? Yes or no? Is she subject to an ERISA plan? Is there a dispute or not?

MR. JONES: No dispute as far as I'm concerned, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there a dispute? Is she subject to an ERISA plan?

MR. DOYLE: There is a dispute whether she is subject to a self-funded ERISA plan, which would trigger federal preemption. A plain old ERISA plan would not.

THE COURT: I will rephrase the question more precisely.

Is there any doubt on whether or not there is the preemption issue in this case? Because no one ever brought it to this Court as an issue. Either side, regardless of who it is, would've had to have brought it to this Court's attention if you wished it to be resolved. You can't bring it to send to go to an area of questioning for any witness unless somebody brings it to the Court's attention. Either somebody brings it to the Court's attention to say, the medical malpractice exception applies, state law applies, or on the flip side, Federal court doesn't take a position.

Who should have brought it to the Court's attention? You both -- whoever wants to ask the questions has to bring it to the Court's attention because it's the person who wants to ask the questions who is saying that they get to ask the questions that they want to ask, and therefore, needs to bring it to the Court's attention that they have an opportunity and a right to bring the questions that they wish to bring to the Court's attention to have the ability to ask those questions.

So McCrosky says -- so that Madam Clerk and Madam Court Recorder, let's do the citation. McCrosky v. Carson Tahoe. McCrosky is spelled M-C-C-R-O-S-K-Y, versus Carson Tahoe Regional Medical Center, 133 Nev. Adv. Op. 115, 2017 case. The Nevada Supreme Court says what the Nevada Supreme Court says, and so it makes it clear about the preemption aspect, when the preemption aspect applies with regards to the preemption aspect, with regards to the 41A, and when it doesn't.

So if anybody is either trying to assert the NRS 41A, the

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

exception to the collateral source rule prohibition, then they need to bring it to the Court's attention that they're asking for that. Anybody who is then -- so whoever is trying to bring the issue and ask the questions needs to bring it to the Court's attention because otherwise, they potentially are asking impermissible questions. No one has brought it to the Court's attention, so at this juncture, we are where we are. So do you all say that there is or is not preemption, self-funded --

MR. JONES: There's certainly preemption, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And your basis of that is what?

MR. JONES: Healthcare plan with MGM. It specifically says that it's ERISA. It says they have rights for subrogation under ERISA. It says it right there within the plan. We've outlined it in our brief today, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Which you know where I've been --

MR. JONES: I do not --

THE COURT: -- because every single second, you all have either been providing me with briefs --

MR. JONES: Of course.

THE COURT: -- raising these new issues in the middle of witnesses, so you can appreciate -- well, you gave it to me by the deadline.

MR. JONES: Of course.

THE COURT: That's not an issue getting it by the deadline, but you can appreciate I haven't had a second to do it because the midst of all the objections during the trial. Okay. So --

1 MR. JONES: It is --THE COURT: -- that --2 3 MR. JONES: -- in there --4 THE COURT: You're saying that provision -- that plan with 5 that language has been provided during discovery to Defense counsel; is 6 that a ---7 MR. JONES: Of course. 8 THE COURT: -- a yes or no? 9 MR. JONES: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Defense counsel, did you receive a plan 11 that has language that says it has subrogation rights during discovery? 12 MR. DOYLE: That language is there, but there is no language 13 in the plan that's it a self-funded plan as we explained in the brief that we 14 submitted this afternoon. It's not enough to be simply an ERISA plan. 15 All employer sponsored plans are ERISA plans. The importance is 16 whether it's self-funded or not, and as we explained in the brief we 17 submitted this afternoon, we reviewed the policy that was provided to us 18 and there's nothing in what Plaintiff has given us that has said this is anything other than your garden variety plan, which is subject to the 19 20 waiver of the collateral source rule. 21 THE COURT: What do you mean by your garden variety 22 plan, counsel? 23 MR. DOYLE: Well, there are -- under Federal law, under 24 ERISA, there are two types of health plans, generally.

THE COURT: Um-hum.

25

1	MR. DOYLE: One where the employer provides health
2	insurance to an employee through a health insurance company. The
3	health insurance company to whom premiums are paid then adjust the
4	claims and pays the claims. In a self-funded ERISA plan, the employer
5	sets up
6	THE COURT: Has a TPA.
7	MR. DOYLE: has a TPA that adjust the claims, but the
8	claims are paid by the employer. They're not paid by a health insurance
9	company. And under Federal law
10	THE COURT: But you have the answer to that. You have the
11	answer to that, don't you?
12	MR. DOYLE: Well
13	THE COURT: You have it as an CPA, don't you?
14	MR. DOYLE: No.
15	THE COURT: The exhibits on the
16	MR. DOYLE: All I have is what the Plaintiff gave us.
17	THE COURT: The insurance documents that were taken out
18	that were provided as Exhibit 12 or 13. I believe it's Court's Exhibit 13.
19	THE CLERK: Oh, okay. Yes.
20	THE COURT: Court's Exhibit 13, I believe.
21	THE CLERK: Here you go.
22	THE COURT: All right. But do you all stipulate when you
23	want them, too?
24	Okay. Does not the very documents that you stipulated to
25	take out answer your question the very documents that you all

reviewed that were provided -- okay. As you know, the Court doesn't do any -- you provided to this Court the documents that you stipulated that you took out of the exhibit when you went back to the anteroom on the day of the calendar call back on October 8th, correct?

MR. DOYLE: Those are from my client's chart, I believe, if I recall correctly.

THE COURT: Pardon?

MR. DOYLE: I believe they are from my client's chart if I recall correctly.

THE COURT: Who's A00022? Whose Bates stamping is that? Defense?

MR. DOYLE: That is my -- yes.

THE COURT: So your client, right -- and you can feel free not to answer this question, but it's going to kind of be a challenge, right? Your client, in billing his patient, to Tina Farris, through his -- you have two clients, right? Dr. Rives and Laparoscopic, right? They presumably, in billing to Tina Farris for the work performed by Dr. Rives, and through Laparoscopic, whether it was done directly through Dr. Rives or through Laparoscopic, would have billed to Tina Farris for work performed, whether it was the prework in 2014 for the hernia related, right, or for the 2015 work, because he testified as such already on the stand. And it's included in the very billed that you took out. Does that not -- does your client not have that very record in who he billed and the name of the entity of who he billed?

MR. DOYLE: I have no idea what the Court is saying.

THE COURT: Primary insurance name on the very billing from your own client's record is what I'm saying.

MR. DOYLE: And what does it say?

THE COURT: You can feel free to approach and take a look at it. It's the very records you all gave me as the Court's exhibits. The Court takes no position, but it seems to me that this was already in you all's own records.

MR. DOYLE: I think it says, primary insurance name, UMR, MGM Resorts. That doesn't tell us whether it's a self-funded insurance plan.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, we'd like to -- this is -- well, this is Dr. Chaney's record. It's a record we found that has not been, I guess, fully redacted, but it says something similar.

May we approach?

THE COURT: Well, I mean, it hasn't been provided. The Court can't look at it, but folks, it's a real easy question, isn't it?

MR. DOYLE: Apparently not.

THE COURT: Well, the Court actually knows the answer to the question, but the Court can't utilize its independent knowledge, okay, that it gained prior to being on the bench, but it's very easy. You have the records. You know how things were billed. Your client billed things. They know they billed it to a health insurance company, right? Or they know if they billed it to a self-funded plan. There's only certain -- the Department of Insurance only regulates certain entities here in the State of Nevada, and they know who they regulate, and they know who they

self-funded. It would be --

MR. DOYLE: Well, I'll stand on the arguments we made in the brief we submitted this afternoon.

THE COURT: The Court's not taking any position, but it has the very insurance card. Her very insurance card has this information on it. That's why this Court is having a challenge.

MR. DOYLE: I've looked at all of that, and none of that says it's self-funded. What I have seen in other cases is a witness is produced for a deposition or in some other form or fashion who lays the foundation and provides the information necessary to figure this out, but that has not occurred in this case.

THE COURT: But you're the one that wants to ask the questions.

MR. DOYLE: No, no, no.

THE COURT: In order for you to get -- right? In order for you to be able to ask the questions to say, you've been provided with the documentation that provides and says it's on its face, and if you don't believe on its face that it has the preemption aspect and McCrosky applies, you're the one that wants to ask a witness a question about insurance, and you want to apply the State law. So then you would need to show that the Federal preemption doesn't apply, because you're the one that wants to ask the questions, Counsel.

MR. DOYLE: I disagree with you. It's not my burden to bring that forward to the Court. It's Plaintiffs' burden to make the motion timely to preclude me from utilizing the State law based on Federal

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

preemption. I am entitled to use the State law until the Plaintiffs establish that Federal preemption applies.

THE COURT: And can --

MR. DOYLE: You're flipping the burden.

THE COURT: No, I'm not flipping the burden, because at this point, what you all have told the Court thus far -- the Court hasn't read the information -- is that you have stipulated, agreed, that the insurance information was properly precluded from the exhibit binders. That's what this Court has, which is distinct from other cases, is that you all stipulated and agreed that it was improper to have the insurance information in the proposed exhibits, so I have you all's agreement that is improper. That's where there's a distinction in this case.

If you were asserting that right back at the time of the calendar call, then this Court would have something different from the information, but I have you both agreeing that the insurance information was properly taken out, because that was raised in Court, and you both went to the anteroom, took it out, since you agreed that it was taken out. Remember? I asked you all if you needed to go to the anteroom to take care of the issues with regards to your exhibits, and it was raised that there was an issue with regards -- there was multiple issues.

There was the Exhibit 1 issue, and then there was the exhibit issues for Defendant, but these issues, you all were supposed to resolve back in the anteroom, no one raised an issue with the Court that there was any challenge with regards to the insurance documentation could properly come in, in this case. This Court has to take that as a

24 stipulation, oral stipulation, in open court, as if it were in writing under EDCR 7.50.

That's where I have a distinction in this case. That's the reason why this Court asked these questions in front -- in advance of trial, so that we don't have these issues. I don't have an issue where nobody said anything, and nobody did anything. That's the reason why the Court goes over these things at the time, right, of the calendar call, because I make sure, if there's issues, let's get them taken care of so we don't deal with them at the time of trial.

That's where this Court has made a distinction. The Court's not flipping any burdens. I have both, Plaintiffs' counsel and Defense counsel, telling this Court, on October 8th, at the calendar call, that you properly removed all the insurance information from the proposed exhibits because it was improper to have the insurance information. So the --

MR. DOYLE: No, that was not the intent and that's not what we did.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DOYLE: The request was made to me to remove it, I agreed to remove it, but I did not waive any right to -- I did not waive any of my rights under 42.020.

THE COURT: And remember, the Court only knows what you tell it in open court, and you put in pleadings. If you didn't say that, then I know what you all said in court, right, and the court has to take what the officers of the court say in court and that's what this court has to rely

on, which is said in court and you put in your pleadings, right? So that's where --

MR. DOYLE: It's one of our affirmative defenses that we did not waive. It's still an affirmative defense in our answer, 42.020. There was no waiver of that answer --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DOYLE: -- that in Defense.

THE COURT: Once again, the Court's going to have to review all the pleadings. With regards to this witness, the information that the Court had at the time that the witness was on, which once again, okay, there wasn't an objection raised. It was -- you were going to deal with it, you said you'd deal with it later. You want to deal with it later, perfectly fine, the Court was going to address it, but I told you all, I can't do it -- if you have a witness, and there's an issue outstanding, then you've got to let the Court know, so I can address the issue before the witness comes on. Can't keep having these things happen and then having a pending issue.

So I guess you've got 1:00 tomorrow. We'll see what happens at 1:00 tomorrow after the Court has an opportunity to read all the briefs. The Court has to take the totality of officers of the court's statement in open court, and take those for what you say, and I can't read peoples' mind to know what people intend. I can hear what people say, you know, for the Court, and take them in their plain meaning, and look at the documents that are provided, and the Court will review everything and make its worries and determination after I review

everything

,

But since we've got a moment, I'm going to tell you my inclination. We're going to take a moment on the 727. We're going to get that taken care of, the 727 briefs. I can give you my inclination. I've had an opportunity to read your briefs. I'm going to give you my inclination and give you a moment or two to argue if you feel it's necessary to argue.

The Court's inclination is this. The Court's inclination is EDCR 7.27 is clear on its face. The Court is going to review any memoranda that were provided to the Court, and it says that they're 727 briefs. Only in accordance with 727 because that's what they're stated they are, and that's what the Court is going to take them as, 727 briefs. They are not any affirmative motions requesting any relief because they can't be. They can't be untimely motions in limine, they can't be untimely motions for partial summary judgment, so the Court will take them in the confines of EDCR 7.27.

So in light of that, the Court's inclination is that the motion to strike the 727 briefs would only be denied without prejudice to the extent that the Court is not going to preclude the briefs in their EDCR 7.27 context. To the extent any of those briefs is asking for an affirmative relief, which should have been filed as a motion in limine or should have been filed as a motion in limine or should've been filed as a motion to strike or should've been filed as an untimely motion for summary judgment, then of course, the Court cannot take EDC -- something that's labeled in EDCR 7.27 brief as anything other than what it's labeled,

_

MR. JO

MR. JONES: Very good. Thank you, Your Honor.

because I presume that that would be a per say Rule 11 violation.

If an attorney files something and calls it an EDCR 7.27 brief, then they know I can only take it in the capacity as an informational purposes under EDCR 7.27, and it can't be taken as a motion in limine, it cannot request affirmative relief, it cannot be done as a motion for summary judgment, and otherwise, it would've been impermissibly labeled one thing and really being requested to be treated as something else, and so only in that regard, just procedurally because the Court is only taking it as a 7.27 is always why, technically, I have to do it as denied without prejudice. That's the Court's inclination.

Counsel, for Plaintiff?

MR. JONES: Your Honor, if this Court is going to look at them as a 7.27, that's fine. I mean, the basis of them is they are a motion in limine. That's spelled out. Again, Mr. Doyle seems to file whatever he wants to file, and that's why I'm bringing it up to the Court. If this Court is going to look at it as a 727, I'm fine with that if -- because they specifically request relief.

THE COURT: The only thing this Court can do is what EDR 7.27 allows this Court to do, which is to treat it for the information purposes, as a trial memoranda or EDCR 7.27.

Counsel for Defense, you heard the Court's inclination.
Would you like to be heard further?

MR. DOYLE: Not necessary. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

1 THE COURT: The Court has made its ruling and taken care of 2 that one. Do you all feel that -- I just need an order so -- you can just --3 the order, I was just going to say, the only reason why it's denied 4 without prejudice is because the Court just made it clear that the Court is only treating those briefs under EDCR 7.27 to the extent that those briefs 5 6 are requesting anything that would otherwise be treated as untimely 7 motions. The Court can't, and that's reading it just as it's requested, EDCR 7.27. Okay? 8 9 MR. JONES: Very good. 10 THE COURT: So just procedurally. 11 MR. DOYLE: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so very --13 MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Thank you so very much. Have a great 15 evening. We can go off the record. 16 [Proceedings adjourned at 5:06 p.m.] 17 18 19 20 ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the 21 audio-visual recording of the proceeding in the above entitled case to the 22 best of my ability. 23 Maukele Transcribers, LLC 24 Jessica B. Cahill, Transcriber, CER/CET-708 25