IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KODY HARLAN

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed
Mar 10 2021 02:55 p.m.
Supreme Court Carage Health A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX Volume XVII

JEAN J. SCHWARTZER. ESQ Nevada Bar No. 11223 Law Office of Jean J. Schwartzer 170 S. Green Valley Parkway #300 Henderson, Nevada 89012 (702) 979-9941 Attorney for Appellant STEVEN B. WOLFSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 1565
Clark County District Attorney
Clark County District Attorney's Office
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
(702) 671-2500
Attorney for Respondent

Harlan v. State Case No. 80318

INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

Document	Page
Information (7.17.2018)	1-4
Instructions to the Jury (8.7.2019)	50-94
Judgment of Conviction (12.12.2019)	178-179
Motion in Limine re Bad Acts (4.18.2019)	37-37
Motion for New Trial (8.13.2019)	95-99
Motion to Sever (4.8.2019)	5-17
Notice of Appeal filed (12.23.2019)	180-181
Response to State's OPP to MTN for New Trial (10.3.2019)	167-177
State's OPP to MTN in Limine re bad Acts (4.25.2019)	38-47
State's OPP to MTN to Set Aside Verdict (8.20.2019)	100-117
State's OPP to MTN to Sever(4.11.2019)	18-30
State's Supplemental Opposition to MTN for New Trial (9.26.2019)	139-166
Supplemental Briefing for MTN for New Trial (9.12.2019)	118-138
Verdict Form (8.7.2019)	48-49
Transcript/Minutes	Page
Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing (1.22.2020)	1529-1600
Transcript of Hearing on MTN for New Trial (1.22.2020)	1504-1528
Transcript of Hearing on MTN to Sever (5.1.2020)	1644-1653
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 1 (1.22.2020)	182-503

Transcript of Jury Trial Day 2 (1.22.2020)	504-699
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3 (1.22.2020)	700-954
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 4 (1.22.2020)	955-1146
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5 (1.22.2020)	1147-1375
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 6 (1.22.2020)	1346-1495
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7 (1.22.2020)	1496-1503
Transcript of Sentencing (1.22.2020)	1601-1643

Electronically Filed 1/22/2020 7:07 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO: C-18-333318-1 C-18-333318-2 9 Plaintiff, 10 DEPT. III VS. 11 JAIDEN CARUSO and KODY HARLAN, 12 Defendants. 13 14 BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS HERNDON, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE MONDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2019 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: 16 **SENTENCING** 17 APPEARANCES: 18 SARAH OVERLY, ESQ. For the State: 19 GIANCARLO PESCI, ESQ. 20 Chief Deputy District Attorneys 21 For the Defendants: 22 JAIDEN CARUSO: MACE J. YAMPOLSKY, ESQ. 23 **KODY HARLAN:** KIRK R. HELMICK, ESQ. 24 RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT RECORDER 25

1

1	ADDITIONAL APPEARANCES:	
2	Victim Impact Speakers:	DESIREA ROBERTS
3		KRISTY MURPHY SAMANTHA VALENTINE
4		JAMIE SHANKLIN MINKLER STEVEN MINKLER
5		OTE VEIV WINNIEER
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

[Proceeding began at 11:37 a.m.]

'

THE COURT: Mr. Helmick is here on behalf of Mr. Harlan; Mr. Yampolsky on behalf of Mr. Caruso; Ms. Overly and Mr. Pesci on behalf of the State. We got an audience full of people. I thank you all for your patience, I know it was a long calendar this morning, so nonetheless, I appreciate you all being patient with us as we got here. Any legal cause or reason why sentencing should not go forward?

MR. HELMICK: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. YAMPOLSKY: No, Your Honor.

MR. PESCI: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Caruso is going to be adjudicated guilty of the first degree murder with use of a deadly weapon, one count of robbery with use of a deadly weapon. Mr. Harlan, first degree murder with use of a deadly weapon, robbery with use of a deadly weapon, and the accessory to murder with use of a deadly weapon, also a felony. All right, State?

MR. PESCI: Judge, pursuant to statute we'd ask that the speakers go last. We have five individuals who will speak after everyone's done, if that's okay with Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PESCI: Judge, as to Mr. Caruso, what I would point to as far as his age and him being rather young when this happened -- and

it -- and, he's young now, as far as how that mitigates in his regard, the State accepts that and understands that. These are decisions made by individuals who were young. But, you have to kind of differentiate these two Defendants from some other young defendants when you look at the criminal history. So, if you look at Mr. Caruso's criminal history, he is not new to the scene. This is not his first time being involved in the criminal justice system as you know from the PSI.

So, we've got 2015, he's stealing things and he's put on probation. So, there was an effort by the system to try to change his behavior to make him change the way he goes about things. It progresses to 2016, where he gets another charge; this is drug related. And again, there's -- there're actually services provided, there's probation involved, and he violates that probation in that same year. We move to 2017, and then there's more criminal behavior, possession of stolen property, and possession of a stolen vehicle. And again, there is efforts made to try to deal with him, formal probation, and then we get to our case.

And so, I think that's why when you come to the decision as to what to do with the deadly weapon enhancement, as far as how much time to give, I think taking in consideration his criminal history prior to this is why it's appropriate to go at least as much as P&P says. So, P&P has talked about a three-year sentence on that deadly weapon enhancement. I think it may be appropriate to go more, but at least that, because he's different from a juvenile who has no criminal history, who maybe would warrant a one-year sentence on a deadly weapon. So,

Harlan --

we'll submit it to your discretion as far as how much it should be, but we think it should be at least that 23. And, we'll also submit it on P&P's recommendation as far as the robbery with use.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PESCI: I'm not sure if you want me to pivot to Mr.

THE COURT: Yeah, you can go ahead --

MR. PESCI: Okay.

THE COURT: -- as to both the gentlemen and then I'll --

MR. PESCI: Judge, same type of argument, right, as far as this is an individual who actually has more criminal history than Jaiden, right? He's actually been involved with the system and the system's been involved with him even more. So, there's formal probation in 2013, there's formal probation later in that same year, and he goes up to Spring Mountain Youth Camp. So, this is someone where the system has done even more with him. He goes up to Spring Mountain Youth Camp and it goes on. In 2014, there's a violation, there's formal probation; this is injuring and tampering with a motor vehicle. 2015, again, formal probation; damages to school property and fighting. 2016, formal probation a second time at Spring Mountain Youth Camp. 2016, violation of probation. 2017, possession of stolen vehicle and is a commitment to DCFS.

So, this is someone where the system has been working with him. And Judge, I would remind you, again, in the same type analysis as far as where it should be as far as the deadly weapon enhancement,

this individual merits even more time. Because, you have in front of you on prior motions for an OR, the State objected and filed attachments of video, where this Defendant is shooting a gun out of a moving vehicle, driving along and just pulls down the window, and just shoots randomly out the window. And then, if that's not enough, there's another video. This is all from his phone, where he's walking with the co-Defendant, and just walking, presumably somewhere in Henderson, just picks up the gun and just shoots randomly.

So, this is an individual who's distinguished himself as far as him using a gun long before this even happens. I know he's not the shooter in this case, but he's someone who is dangerously shooting weapons in a fashion that could hurt somebody. I think it would be appropriate to, again, not go the minimums on that deadly weapon enhancement, to go at least, and I'd probably say more with him, because of that history.

As far as the robbery and accessory, especially the accessory, I would run that concurrent what we'd submitted to you as far as the ultimate time. But, I think that they both deserve life sentences, so that there could be supervision for these individuals, because they're going to get out; eventually, they're going to get out. And, that supervision will be an incentive to do the right thing after getting out. And then, we would submit it with our speakers going last, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Caruso, is there anything you wanted to say before your attorney speaks on your behalf?

THE DEFENDANT CARUSO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT CARUSO: I would like to tell -- I wrote a letter for the family.

THE COURT: Sure.

THE DEFENDANT CARUSO: To the Minkler family I would start this letter by expressing my deepest apology to you and everyone who has suffered from the loss of Matthew. I very much want you to know that while my actions that day were careless, they were not intentional. Regardless of how the prosecutors painted me, there was no plan to hurt your son. And, it hurts my heart when I think of how you must feel. I can only imagine what it feels like to lose a child, as I am not much more than a child myself. I'm prepared today to accept my -- I'm prepared today to accept responsibility for my actions, as was always my intention.

I want you to know that I'm going to make the most of my time in prison by starting with NA program to address the drug use that was -- that played a major part in this tragic event. I will end this letter by asking for your forgiveness. I hope that someday you will find it in your hearts. God bless you all, Jaiden Caruso.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Harlan?

THE DEFENDANT HARLAN: Yes, Your Honor. First, I'd like to start it off by saying that thank you, Your Honor, for giving me the time and opportunity to speak. Today is a very big day and I am, however, extremely nervous, so please forgive me if I fail to articulate myself properly.

 Part of being a man is owning up to your actions; taking responsibility for what you have done. But, any human's most valuable possessions is time, simply because we can never get it back. So, I know in my heart, that if I've done something wrong, I'm going to man up to it. But, Your Honor, what I will not do is waste a year and a half of my life fighting against the justice system if I know I'm in the wrong. Your Honor, I never thought in a million years that if I fell asleep on the couch, that I'd be committed to first degree murder. Every time that I sit or lay on the couch, I have to remind myself to not fall asleep because I may wake up and be committed to the first degree murder.

Your Honor, at trial you had more than four witnesses come to testify. Not one of those people said I stood up, not one said I was arguing, not one said I was even talking. Their exact words was I was asleep. Your Honor, within my time being here, you nor the DA, have ever said I killed that man. I put my trust into the justice system hoping that they would do us right. One thing that I have never seen in photographs is how others did exactly as I did, and they're not facing first degree murder. I hope by what I've said so far, nobody is taking it as me being a victim because I'm not. I'm just standing up for what I believe in. If a man doesn't stand for something, then he'll fall for anything. I'm hoping that I can prevent it happening for others. So, if I got to be the one to stand up to the book, then so be it.

Now, I'd like to point my attention to the DA, Mr. Pesci. I wanted to let you know that I don't hold any grudges against you. I kind of look up to you like a role model. Anyone that can fight for a family the

way you did, is a true definition of a man. You stood for what you believed in, just like I'm doing today. I understand you have a job to do and a family to feed, so by no means do I have any hatreds toward you. Now to the Judge, Mr. Herndon, I'd like to thank you for having such an open ear and for taking every -- all things into consideration, no matter how difficult it was, but always coming to a conclusion.

Now, to the Matthew Minkler family, every court date you guys come in, sit down, and look in my direction, smile, stare, and do whatever it is y'all do. In return, I stare back and smile back. Now, there is a reason that I smile back, it's because I know you guys are sitting there thinking that if I have no feelings -- that I have no feelings or remorse for what happened to your son. I'm smiling because I know that's what you guys are thinking. No words could explain how much I feel for you guys. I want you guys to know that if I committed this horrible crime, then I wouldn't do something so disrespectful like making you guys come to court and see your son's face on the screen and make you relive the horrible day you lost him. Just know those were never my intentions and I'm deeply sorry.

Now, to my lawyer, Mr. Helmick, I'd like to thank you for believing in me even when they didn't. You stood by my side countless times and you took my word and ran with it. When I was forced to sit and keep my mouth closed, you were standing and fighting for my innocence. You've come to see me various times with bags under your eyes, and your eyes were bloodshot red, always giving me 110%. You're a hardworking man and for that I will always have the utmost

respect for you and the Richard Harris Law Firm. Thank you.

Now, to my mom -- I know she's not here today. Now, to my mother, my beautiful queen, the fight is far from over. They haven't knocked me out yet, because I'm still standing here. You've always been the best coach in my corner, I love you. Thank you, Your Honor, that's all.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right, Mr. Yampolsky on behalf of Mr. Caruso?

MR. YAMPOLSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. As the Court's well aware, we filed a lengthy and detailed sentencing memorandum with letters from many people, and I'm not going to go through the whole thing again. I know you've reviewed it, talked about his background where, you know, dad was abusing Xanax and he followed it. But, the Court was here the whole time.

Now, you heard his statement where he's shown remorse. He actually wanted to read that during the trial; however, based on the situation, the fact that I didn't think it's appropriate for him to testify, he couldn't read it. And, that's where it is now. Question is: has he shown remorse? As the Court well knows, he was ready to plead -- he actually did plead; however, the State extended the plea offers and they were contingent. So, even though he wanted to plead, he wasn't able to do so. And, it's unfortunate that the co-Defendant changed his mind, because not only did it adversely affect him, it adversely affected Jaiden, and really there's nothing we can do.

Regarding the sentence, he was 16. It's not like he laid in

wait, it's not like he planned to kill someone, and as I said in the trial, it was stupid kids playing with guns, doing drugs, and it's a tragic, tragic result. He's had drug problems. Now, since he's been in custody, obviously he hasn't been doing drugs. He is a lot healthier.

Minimum sentence is 20 years and there is the deadly weapon enhancement. I would disagree with Mr. Pesci. I think the minimum would be appropriate because he's, I believe, 17 or 18 now with, you know, 20, 23, whatever -- he's going to be 40 years old. And, I just -- you know, it's unfortunate and I think that based on the fact that he's shown remorse, based on the actual facts, that he deserves a sentence of 20 to 50. That's all.

THE COURT: Mr. Helmick?

MR. HELMICK: Thank you, Your Honor. You know, this is one of the most difficult parts of this job, by far. We're always told to be careful about who we surround ourselves with, right? Don't hang out with the wrong people, because if something happens, then that person will be caught up with the rest of them. This case, as far as Kody is concerned, is a perfect example of that. The kid that stands here today is not the same kid that he was back on that terrible day in June of last year. That was the reflection of a kid who was living a life of making poor choices, a confused kid. A kid doing drugs, smoking marijuana, drinking alcohol on a regular basis, hanging out with the wrong people, not thinking about tomorrow, not thinking about the consequences.

And, to be very frank with Your Honor, when I first came on this case earlier this year, and I went over to CCDC to visit him, that's

the kid that I thought I was going to meet. But, boy was I wrong, because the Kody that I know -- that I came to know, answered all my questions with yes sir or no sir. The Kody that I know handled himself with respect and matureness throughout the entire trial and every court proceeding. The Kody that I grew to know maintained a positive mental attitude and remained optimistic in the face of what many others would consider to be very dire circumstances.

Unfortunately though, living a life of poor choices comes with it severe consequences. And, he stands here accepting -- knowing that, accepting responsibility for his role. What happened to Matthew Minkler was horrific, and it's something that no human being should ever have to endure. It's something that no parents should ever have to be put through. There's no question in my mind that Kody feels remorse and wishes, obviously, he wasn't standing here today. But, he accepts responsibility for his role.

At the time, he was 17 years old, stands here before you only 18, and his future as far as it looks to him now is very scary for a young kid, and unknown. But, even in those circumstances, while he's been at CCDC, he has been working on his GED. When he goes to prison, I know this is the type of guy that's going to participate in every program possible in an effort to better himself, so that maybe one day he can leave a positive impact on this earth.

And so, all I'm -- all we're asking from Your Honor is to see the other side of this kid -- there's another side to this kid, and to give him the opportunity to one day be able to reenter society and be a

1	contributing member. And, for those reasons we're asking for the
2	definite term of 50 years with the possibility of parole after 20 and the
3	minimum consecutive sentence on the deadly weapon enhancement for
4	the charges to run concurrent.
5	THE COURT: Okay. All right, Mr. Pesci, who all wanted to
6	speak?
7	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Your Honor. Desirea Roberts will go
8	first.
9	THE MARSHAL: Raise your right hand. Face our clerk.
10	DESIREA ROBERTS
11	[having been called as a victim speaker and being first duly sworn,
12	testified as follows:]
13	THE CLERK: Thank you, if you could state and spell your
14	name for the record.
15	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Desirea Roberts. D-E-S-I-R-E-A, R-
16	O-B-E-R-T-S.
17	MR. PESCI: Your Honor, may she sit?
18	THE COURT: Yeah well, yeah, absolutely.
19	[Colloquy between counsel and the Victim Speaker]
20	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: So, did you write something?
22	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Okay. Oh, what's that? Sorry.
23	THE COURT: Did you write something?
24	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yeah, I wrote something.
25	THE COURT: Okay. So, why don't you start out by first off,

breath.

take a big, deep breath, right? So, tell me what your relation is to Matthew.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: I'm Matthew's older -- sorry.

THE COURT: It's okay. You can take another big, deep

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Can I just read it?

THE COURT: Okay.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Okay. Good morning, Your Honor. My name is Desirea. I'm Matthew's older sister by five years. I remember the day the coroner came to the door. They had asked if we could identify my little brother by his license. In that moment, for a split second, we had hope. Matthew did not -- Matthew didn't have a license. When they opened the manila folder, I could see Matt's ID laying flaying. I could see his face, his bright blue eyes, his beautiful, big smile. Everything in an instant was gone. All I could do was scream. I couldn't cry, I couldn't feel, I was in complete shock.

I can remember my mom letting out this gut-wrenching scream. And, I can still hear it in my head. I couldn't be there for her. In -- sorry, in that moment it was like someone taking your breath right out of your lungs and you're just gasping for air. My little brother was gone.

Matthew was the youngest of six kids; he is our baby.

Matthew towered over me being five years younger than me. He was my rock, my protection, my little-big brother, my best friend. He would always have a big smile on his face. When he would talk about

something, you knew he loved it, and was passionate because of the energy that came with it.

He had so much love for his family. He never wanted to see anyone struggling and if he did, he would always try to be there to give them the shirt off his back. Losing you has left me with a broken heart, with no way of feeling, only trying to make it to the next day. It's been a year and a half of not hearing your voice, not seeing you grow up, or where you would be at this point. It's left an empty hole in our family where you used to be. Every day I think of you, and I want you here. Every day I think of your loss, of you, and what happened to you, and it eats me up inside. It's too much for one person to handle, let alone a family.

That's why I ask you to please take all things into consideration. Please think of Matthew. Thank you.

THE COURT: So --

[Colloquy between counsel and the Victim Speaker]

THE COURT: -- so, what do you do?

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: I work at the Fashion Show Mall.

THE COURT: Okay. So, did you -- did you ever talk with Matthew, kind of, about what do you want to do with your life, the thing --

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- that sometimes I'm shocked when my daughters say, this is what we discussed we want to do with our life. We were like, oh really, okay.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes.

THE COURT: So, what was that? 1 2 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: I remember -- it's funny, we were watching Doctor Strange, and you know how he's like a surgeon --3 THE COURT: Right. THE VICTIM SPEAKER: -- and he's like this really handsome 5 guy, he's so handsome, and then he gets into the crash, and he loses 6 his hands? 7 8 THE COURT: Right. THE VICTIM SPEAKER: And, he's like defeated, he doesn't 9 10 want to go anywhere. And, I was telling Matt, I was like you could do 11 that. I was like, you're a good guy, you could be like a surgeon, you 12 could be something like that. He's like, oh no Des, no Des, I want to be 13 an entrepreneur. I want to get my own business and do my own stuff, like that, so. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay, and what about you? When those 16 discussions happened, what was it that you wanted to do? Did you want 17 to be Doctor Strange? Right? THE VICTIM SPEAKER: No. 18 THE COURT: Doctor Strange --19 20 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: No. 21 THE COURT: -- is pretty cool. THE VICTIM SPEAKER: No, I don't know. I didn't know. I 22 still don't know. I'm 23 so I'm kind of in that --23 24 THE COURT: Well, you're not supposed to have it figured out

25

yet, right?

1	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes. So, I don't know.
2	THE COURT: And, that's the it's a trick question kind of
3	thing.
4	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes.
5	THE COURT: When people come and extern with me and
6	they tell me they've wanted to be a lawyer since they were five, I'm like,
7	I'm really very sorry, because that's a miserable childhood if you always
8	wanted to be
9	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes.
10	THE COURT: You're supposed to want to be an astronaut, or
11	something, right? You'll figure it out, and I'm sure you'll do something
12	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes.
13	THE COURT: that would have made your brother very
14	proud
15	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes.
16	THE COURT: okay?
17	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes. Thank you.
18	THE COURT: So, thank you for coming.
19	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Thank you so much.
20	[Colloquy between counsel and the Victim Speaker]
21	THE COURT: All right, who else?
22	MR. PESCI: Judge, the State will call Kristy Murphy.
23	THE MARSHAL: Stand right here, raise your right hand, and
24	face our clerk.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[having been called as a victim speaker and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]

THE CLERK: Thank you. If you could state and spell your name for the record, please.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Kristy Murphy. K-R-I-S-T-Y, M-U-R-P-H-Y.

THE COURT: Okay. Would you like to sit down?

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes.

THE COURT: Yeah, please go ahead. It's okay.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: I have it on my phone.

THE COURT: That's okay. That's kind of a thing these days.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yeah.

THE COURT: It used to be we wrote it all down, and now lots of people have it digitally. I understand.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Okay. Good morning, Your Honor.

My name is Kristy Murphy. I'm Matthew's older sister.

I remember when my brother was just four years old. I lived in California at the time with my sister, Kimberly, and we would come to Vegas once a week for summer break to spend time with my dad and my siblings. When I was 15, I moved to Vegas to live with my mom and dad. Matthew was about eight years old at the time. He grew up around all girls, and I believe that's what made him such a respectful, young man. At the time, I honestly felt sorry for him and my dad being surrounded by all of us. Matthew enjoyed hanging out in his room playing video games and spending time with his family. Anybody who

knew Matt knows he loved food. He would compete with my dad to see who could eat more. He had a very good life.

It was 11 days before my birthday when I got the news my brother had been murdered; June 8th, 2018. The news changed my life forever. I couldn't believe my little brother was gone. Matthew enjoyed hanging with us at the house that he never really wanted to go anywhere. Apparently he had asked my parents to give him a summer to hang out with his so-called friends. Unfortunately, Jaiden and Kody weren't his friends, because if they were, my brother would still be here.

Matthew and our mother shared a bond that was unbreakable and that would never change. It tears me apart watching my family go through this. My family isn't the same without Matthew. Every holiday since my brother was murdered, my mom leaves an open seat for him at the dinner table with a picture of his face so that we can try and make it through his favorite times of the year. Until something like this happens to your family, you can't begin to imagine the heartbreak. I miss my little brother so much, and the only thing I have left is to go through his Facebook and watch his videos just to be able to hear his voice again.

All I ask is to please think of Matthew and what he has went through while making this final decision. Our lives will never be the same. Thank you so much for your time and allowing us the opportunity to share the impact this has had on us.

THE COURT: So, what was his favorite holiday?
THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Thanksgiving.

THE COURT: Why? Because, that's my favorite holiday, and

1	I think I know the answer, but why?
2	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: He loves to eat.
3	THE COURT: Yeah, you eat for like five days, right?
4	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yeah.
5	THE COURT: You eat and then you eat again later that night
6	and then maybe it's hot for the next couple of days, and then it's cold
7	food for the next three days.
8	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yeah.
9	THE COURT: But, it's the best food, so I get it. I get it. I can
10	get a little picture of that, so thank you. I appreciate you coming to
11	court.
12	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Thank you.
13	MR. PESCI: Samantha?
14	THE MARSHAL: Raise your right hand, face our clerk.
15	THE COURT: Just raise your right hand, please. Thank you.
16	SAMANTHA VALENTINE
17	[having been called as a victim speaker and being first duly sworn,
18	testified as follows:]
19	THE CLERK: Thank you, if you could state and spell your
20	name for the record, please.
21	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: My name is Samantha Valentine.
22	S-A-M-A-N-T-H-A. Valentine, V-A-L-E-N-T-I-N-E.
23	THE COURT: Would you like to sit down?
24	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes, please.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: And, it's also on my phone.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Good morning.

THE COURT: Good morning.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: My name is Samantha and I am Matthew Minkler's oldest sister out of six kids. Matthew, being the only kid still at home, all our attention was on him and his next year as a senior in high school. My brother had dreams of running his own company and making a difference in this world. On Friday, June 8, 2018, the greatest of robberies was committed. Matthew, my little brother's life was stolen from him; his future and our future with him.

He never got to see his graduation day. He will never get to see his three nieces grow up, nor will they have their big uncle to protect them through life. He will never get to meet his first nephew that is now named Matthew, after him. We will never get to help him through his first heartbreak, or get to watch him get married, or have a family of his own. Not only did I lose my brother that day, I lost my parents that day. They will never be the same after watching what those monsters did to their son.

I carry such a strong guilt for letting my brother get into the car with those monsters that robbed and murdered him that day. Every day is an emotional roller coaster; one second you're okay, and the next you're falling apart. My heart is forever broken without my brother. I wish they were eligible for the death penalty, because I do really believe that the monsters deserve it. I ask you to please think of this heinous

1	crime and their inhumane behavior when it comes to sentencing. And,
2	please think of my brother, Matthew. I love you, Matthew, forever and
3	always.
4	THE COURT: So, how old is Matthew the nephew?
5	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: He is one one years
6	THE COURT: Is that your child?
7	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Does he look like him?
9	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Just like him.
10	THE COURT: Really?
11	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Blonde hair, blue eyes, big smile.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: Well, that's a wonderful thing
15	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes.
16	THE COURT: right, that you get to see him every day?
17	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: It's the greatest blessing we've had.
18	Mm-hmm.
19	THE COURT: So, he can grow up to be an entrepreneur?
20	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes. Yes.
21	THE COURT: And like Thanksgiving?
22	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: He already does.
25	THE COURT: I am sure you'll make sure that happens. So,

1	thank you, appreciate it.
2	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Thank you.
3	MR. PESCI: Next will be Jamie Minkler.
4	THE MARSHAL: If you can raise your right hand and face our
5	clerk.
6	MS. SHANKLIN MINKLER: By the way, this is my son. I
7	carry Matthew around with me everywhere I go, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	MS. SHANKLIN MINKLER: My name is Jamie
10	THE COURT: All right, Mrs. Minkler, you raise your right
11	hand.
12	MS. SHANKLIN MINKLER: Jamie Shanklin Minkler. J-A-M-I-
13	E, S-H-A-N-K-L-I-N, M-I-N-K-L-E-R.
14	JAMIE SHANKLIN MINKLER
15	[having been called as a victim speaker and being first duly sworn,
16	testified as follows:]
17	THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
18	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Good morning, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Good morning.
20	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: I would like to begin by thanking
21	you, the jury, the prosecuting lawyers, and the time and effort put into
22	the case. Our family's forever grateful for all your hard work. Sorry.
23	THE COURT: It's okay.
24	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: My heart's been pounding out my
25	chest all day.

a

THE COURT: You want some water?

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: I'll get myself a drink, don't worry.

THE COURT: It's okay. Take as much time as you need.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Okay. I would like to begin to thank you -- I -- no, sorry. Let me tell you about this amazing, young man who was carelessly murdered on June 8th, 2018. Matthew Steven Minkler, my 17-year-old-son, my only son, my youngest child, the only child my husband Steve and I created together, our beautiful baby boy, that we could not be more proud of.

Matthew has a very trusting heart and he was never good at seeing ugly in -- or the bad that some people possess. My Matthew just didn't have that kind of heart that would allow him to believe someone would want to hurt him. My son was kind and always helpful to elderly and the less fortunate. One day, while we were in Denver with my niece, we were shopping at the store -- I know this by heart, and we looked -- Matthew just went across the street and he always digging in his wallet to give somebody money for -- to feed them, the homeless. We'd walk together and he'd be like, oh mom, they look like they need money to eat, because he loved food. So, he stopped and he suddenly gave a homeless person \$5. We were kind of like, what are you doing?

And then, we -- okay, sorry. He gave them -- the -- most teenagers would have been so caught up in excitement and what was going on and about their self, that they wouldn't even have noticed somebody in need. That was the kind of young man my son was, and still is. That is a loving quality that can be taken from him, even if he's

not here with us physically. Matthew was a kind and loving to every person that he met and always eager to help anyone. He wore a big smile on his face while volunteering at church because I drug [sic] him everywhere and he went.

He took great care in his niece. He loved helping me at work; I work with seniors. He did all of this without wanting recognition or anything in return. Matt would do anything to help in any way you could imagine. Not only is Matthew our son, but he's an incredible brother, a great uncle, and a caring cousin to so many and a very loved member of our big, loving family. He's a very important missing piece to our family's puzzle that will never be put back together again.

We struggle daily with sadness, heartbreak, and anger.

These are now our everyday emotions and this is our everyday reality.

The crippling thought of what my son's last few moments were like haunt me constantly. Sorry. I can't help but think about the fact that there was no one there to hold his hand -- I'm sorry -- as he took his last breath, no one to tell him he was loved and cherished. The sad part -- the sad truth is people were there to kill him. His last moments were filled with fear and depression -- I mean, desperation, sorry, I should have brought glasses -- while they stood over him like he was no one, laughing and filming his death. No person -- not one person wanted to help him.

These thoughts haunt -- like no parent should ever have to hurt. A nightmare we will now live with. We could not fix it for him. We could not save him. And, it sits heavily on my heart every day, because in my head I couldn't save him. Each day to give with a new set of

 painful thoughts and realizations that this is real. Our son is really gone, forever.

Your Honor, I can't grasp that somebody could do this to your child, and even worse, someone his own age. Some days, my mind plays tricks on me and I think Matthew's at his cousin's, Leah's [phonetic], or he's at school, or he's even upstairs just playing his Xbox. I pray to God every day, so I can check on my son in heaven. I just wish life and the people in it could have been kinder to my son. While I talked to -- while I talk with Abby [phonetic], his nine-year-old-niece, I see the pain in her eyes. The loss she has to endure at such a young age is horrible. Imagine having to explain to a young girl, that her only uncle is never coming home. I try to comfort her, but meanwhile I feel like I'm dying on the inside.

Matthew now has a nephew, a -- baby Matt. The day we found out he was going to have a nephew, is the day we all reminisced about. Finally, he would not be surrounded mostly by women. He was over the moon, he was so excited. I wish you guys could see his face. It breaks my heart knowing that baby Matt will never get to meet the man he is named after. The love that Matthew was so excited to give to his new nephew was so great. Now, baby Matt will never experience his uncle's love; what a terrible thing to live without.

There has been countless nights that I have found my strong husband in tears, mourning the loss of our son, Matthew. Matthew filled our life's [sic] with love, laughter, and compassion. He kept us feeling young with his excitement and zest for life. Family dinners, trips,

holidays are -- and now -- are now just a reminder of the empty spot where Matthew's smiley face used to be. He had the energy of ten high-spirited teens and the joy he brought to our family can never be replaced. We will never know the beautiful things Matthew's future held, the career path he would have chosen, when he would have gotten married, how many children he would have had. His entire future, and ours, has been ripped from us in a senseless act of greed, jealousy, and hate.

Your Honor, I hope you take all of this in consideration and remember my incredible son that was taken from this world far too soon.

I will never be the same person that I was when my son was still here.

My family will never be the same. And, we struggle to find ways to carry on.

My health has been greatly affected from the murder of my baby, and I have found myself in the hospital for the third time. More specifically speaking, my heart is under extreme stress. When I say the words heartbroken, it's not a figure of speech. My heart is literally not functioning well without him. We love you Matthew, always and forever. Thank you everyone, for listening. Always, Jamie. Be safe.

THE COURT: So, what do you think his favorite thing to do was? Other than, obviously, playing American Girl and Pet Shop with all his sisters?

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: He liked to video tape a lot. I used to get mad at him. That sounds -- you know, I had a lot of times to reflect over things now, and sometimes it -- stupid stuff is just stupid stuff

now. 1 2 THE COURT: Right. THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Always. I'd be like, you always got 3 the thing in your face. You know what I mean? 4 THE COURT: Well, it's -- you know, it's a different time than it 5 was --6 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes. 7 THE COURT: -- when you were young, right? 8 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: I know, and it's such a generational 9 10 gap --11 THE COURT: We were -- the parents --12 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: -- between us. I know. 13 THE COURT: -- kicked us out of the house and said be back 14 before bedtime, right? There wasn't video games or anything else going 15 on. THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Well, you know, my kid really didn't -16 17 - Matt really didn't have a social life or go out a lot, so I really didn't have to be concerned about him. The four girls are the ones that kept me 18 busy. 19 20 THE COURT: Oh, well. 21 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes. Matt was --22 THE COURT: So, what's -- the truth comes out, girls. THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yeah. Matt was actually my easiest 23 24 going child, very strong-willed and had his point of views, but he didn't

disappear, you knew where he was -- you know what -- it was just a

25

1	different child.
2	THE COURT: Got it.
3	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes.
4	THE COURT: All right, well, thank you.
5	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: I appreciate it very much.
7	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Thank you, very much.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MR. PESCI: Steve Minkler.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Hey, Jen? Jen? Hold on one second,
11	sir.
12	[Colloquy between the Court and counsel]
13	THE CLERK: Do
14	THE COURT: All right, thank you, sir.
15	STEVEN MINKLER
16	[having been called as a victim speaker and being first duly sworn,
17	testified as follows:]
18	THE CLERK: Thank you, if you could state and spell your
19	name for the record.
20	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Steven Minkler. S-T-E-V-E-N, M-I-
21	N-K-L-E-R.
22	THE COURT: All right, sir. You want to have a seat?
23	[Colloquy between the Marshal and the Victim Speaker]
24	THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Good morning, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Good morning.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: I'd like to start with my 1 2 granddaughter, Abigail. THE COURT: Wait, you got the phone -- I figured you'd be a 3 writer guy. 4 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Well --5 THE COURT: Right? 6 7 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: I did write mine, sir. THE COURT: Okay, all right. 8 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: I'm old school. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: So -- but, I'd like to read mine -- one 12 from my nine-year-old-granddaughter, please. 13 THE COURT: Sure. THE VICTIM SPEAKER: She wrote this herself. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. [Victim statement of Abigail Valentine as read by Mr. Minkler:] 16 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: So, it says: my name is Abigail 17 18 Valentine. I am nine years old, and I am Matthew Minkler's niece. What Jaiden and Kody have done is horrible. Matthew wasn't even really my 19 20 uncle; he was more like my brother. Matthew has always been there by 21 my side. I will never forget him. The moment I heard my grandma say 22 about what happened to Matthew, teared me -- teared my heart apart, 23 she put. I was eight years old when Matthew was taken from all of us. 24 Just by writing this, it makes me sad.

Matthew was very loved. Knowing that I will never see this

25

human being again is heartbreaking. I always loved to watch Matthew play his video games. I don't know why such a person would even harm an angel. Matthew was my only uncle. I hope Matthew gets justice just like what he deserves. Be safe. Thank you.

And then, once again, good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: My name is Steven Minkler and I am Matthew Minkler's father. The victim here is Matthew Minkler; he is the victim. The Defendants here are Jaiden Caruso and Kody Harlan, who have been found guilty by a jury of their peers, of first degree murder and robbery, both crimes with the use of a deadly weapon.

On June 9th, 2018, I received a phone call at work from my daughter. She was screaming hysterically, and the only words I was able to understand were: you need to get home now. As I pulled up to the house, a man and a woman, the detective from Henderson Police Department and the Clark County Coroner, were just leaving my house. They informed me that my son, Matthew Minkler, had been murdered. That was the worst day of my life. Excuse me.

Matthew Minkler was a son, a brother, a cousin, he was a nephew and uncle, a grandson, and a friend to many. He was so energetic and full of life; wherever he was, he always had a huge smile on his face. Matthew was one to help others. He volunteered for Convoy of Hope and helped to distribute food to the needy. Matthew was very smart and a good student. He would have graduated from Silverado High School this past May. At 17 years old, Matthew was still

searching for his identity, like where he was supposed to be, and what his purpose was in life. When Matthew did things, he tried his best to do them with perfection. He was a young man who would have made a difference in this world. I will definitely miss the future I was supposed to have with him.

This heinous crime has affected many people. I, for one, have been totally devastated by the murder of my son, Matthew. Matthew was Jamie and my only son -- only child together, and her only son. My heart is broken and it aches every day. The tears continue to flow on a daily basis. I can't count the number of sleepless nights I've had since Matthew's death.

Oh, and the pain; let's not forget the pain. No words can come close to describing the pain that I feel, the anxiety, and anger, just to name a couple of the emotions that attack me every day. How about depression? Sometimes it's just unbearable. There are days I don't want to even get out of bed, let alone go to work. I am broken and my life has forever been changed.

I'm asking that the Court give these two Defendants, Jaiden Caruso and Kody Harlan, the maximum sentence for the murder and robbery of Matthew Minkler. Thank you, very much, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So, what was it that you and your son liked to do the most? Again, other than playing with all the women in your house, obviously. And, I get that because I only have daughters. So, I had a --

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: He --

THE COURT: -- I had a male dog. He --

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Right. Right.

THE COURT: -- my black lab, Norm, he lasted about 16 years. And then, I was on my own.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Everybody's mentioned he loves to eat. So, aside from going and getting some food, we liked to go to the pinball arcade, the museum there on --

THE COURT: Right, right.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: -- Tropicana. And, we'd take 20 bucks each, I'd give it to him, and we'd buy -- get a bunch of quarters and play, you know, air hockey against each other, play the games against each other, go off on our own and play certain games. I found one in there that I used to play as a kid, called Dominos, and I'd get all these replays. And, people would be watching me and I'd just -- here you guys can play. I'd let everybody play it and then I'd go off with Matthew and play some more games, and I'd turn around and those games would be gone. So, I'd go back, put my quarter in and get five or six more replays, and I didn't let other people play, just because I had that game mastered when I was a kid.

So, you know, it was fun doing that, and you know, we liked doing a lot of stuff. His favorite ball player was -- in basketball was, I'm sorry, James Worthy.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: I'm sorry.

MRS. SHANKLIN MINKLER: Nope.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Nope. Help me. 1 MRS. SHANKLIN MINKLER: Labron. 2 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Labron James. 3 THE COURT: Oh, God. I like --THE VICTIM SPEAKER: See, I had one of the word -- one of 5 the names right. 6 7 THE COURT: -- I like James Worthy better. THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Me, too. Me, too. I'm old school 8 with James Worthy; one of the best. But, anyways, he went to the 9 10 Lakers, which is my favorite team. On the other hand, with football, 11 Matthew's team was the Green Bay Packers and mine's the Rams. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: And, his favorite player was Clay Matthews. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Which went to the Rams this --16 THE COURT: Who went to the Rams, now. 17 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: -- last year, so --18 THE COURT: Yeah. 19 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: -- we would have had some --20 21 instead of being against each other as we were watching and rooting for 22 the other team, we could have rooted together, you know, if he was still 23 here, but those are things we liked to do. Watch some sports, which the 24 girls never liked, but -- and play the video games. He liked the video 25 games and I liked the arcade, and so did he. So, that and eating, we

had a wonderful time.

THE COURT: Good.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: I miss him, dearly.

THE COURT: Good. Well, you need to keep doing that. You need to head back to the arcade and make a lot of kids happy by leaving games for them.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Absolutely. And, that's a -- Your Honor, I'd like to do that, because I don't think I've been back since Matthew's --

THE COURT: Right.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: -- you know, murder, so.

THE COURT: Look, it's -- you know, I'm in this unfortunate role where I have to come in a lot of times in my cases and deal with parents who've had to bury their children, which is a really difficult thing.

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Difficult.

THE COURT: But, I know that people don't want you to just sit around, right? That they want you to --

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Correct, I've done enough of that, I think. I've done --

THE COURT: If they --

THE VICTIM SPEAKER: -- I've done --

THE COURT: -- if they had that opportunity for that last conversation, it would be: don't sit on the couch, you know? Teach the girls to enjoy sports so they can watch that with you. Go to the arcade, do all those things that, you know, our children would want us to do if

they weren't here any longer. 1 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: So, thank you very much, I appreciate you 3 coming in. 4 THE VICTIM SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor, appreciate 5 everything. 6 THE COURT: So, can you guys approach the bench real 7 quick? 8 [Bench conference] 9 10 THE COURT: I'm trying to remember, but I can't remember 11 what the statutory change or the case law that came out about sentencing minors under 18. I know life without was eliminated, but then 12 13 there was --14 MR. PESCI: Life without's eliminated. Everything else is still 15 in play, but the statute says that --THE COURT: -- there have to --16 MR. PESCI: -- they get parole eligibility at 20, no matter what. 17 MS. OVERLY: Mandatory, yeah. 18 THE COURT: Yeah, so no matter what I give them --19 20 MR. PESCI: And, I get --21 THE COURT: -- on the enhancement --22 MR. PESCI: -- that they can go to the board. 23 THE COURT: -- they're getting the eligibility. 24 MS. OVERLY: Right. 25 THE COURT: So, okay, that's what I thought.

MR. HELMICK: Sure.

THE COURT: Thank you.

[Bench conference end]

THE COURT: All right. Well, as I alluded to with Mr. Minkler, obviously -- and, all the attorneys here, you're all wonderful attorneys, and you deal with all these cases, as well. And I know, regardless of what position you take in terms of the advocacy and the litigation that occurs, that I know you appreciate the difficulty that these cases pose and the pain that families have on both sides, when you have very young people that are going to go to prison for a very long time, and young people who have lost their lives and left their families in chaos, many times. And as I said, it's just never -- we're not supposed to bury our children. We're just not supposed to bury our children, particularly in situations that are not just preventable, but are mind-boggling in how they come about occurring, in my mind.

And, it's not just about who was the shooter, or who wasn't the shooter. The things that a series of decisions that lead up to this kind of thing occurring are ridiculous sometimes. I mean, earlier in court today, somebody made the comment about right is right, and wrong is wrong. And, I can't tell you how many times I think about it and wish I did not have a job, because people could just appreciate that right is right, or wrong is wrong, and you don't play with guns, you don't use narcotics and run around with guns, you don't aim guns at people. I mean, it would be really nice that -- if people could just appreciate that very simple aspect of life, then we wouldn't be here. We wouldn't be here in

situations like this.

It's troubling to sit there and try and find the words to say to sisters, and nieces, and nephews, and friends, and parents, and anybody else that can in any way provide comfort over the loss of a 16-year-old, in something like this. And, I would say that it's probably just the same difficulty trying to find words that explain to family members of defendants that are going to go to prison for a very long time, how these things occur, and try and provide any type of comfort.

But, you know, I appreciate some of what you said, Mr. Harlan, but the reality is, you know, in cases like this it's not always about who pulled the trigger. I mean, you can sit up there as long as you want, and every night that you're in prison now moving forward for at least the next 20 years, and kind of say, I didn't kill this man, but so many of these situations arise because of a combination of factors with a combination of people. But, whose idea was what originally, who procured a weapon, who fired the weapon, who facilitated an environment that allowed it to occur; these things don't happen in vacuums, and I don't believe for one instance that everything that occurred here was simply Mr. Caruso acting without Mr. Harlan having some involvement and responsibility in that.

And, I think our jurors -- some of whom, you know, came back to watch these proceedings today, which I greatly appreciate -- kind of saw that as well, and recognized that people have responsibility for the things that you not only do, but the things you get involved with, and the culpability you have in allowing things to occur, never being the person

that says stop, right? And, one of the most troubling things to me was listening to a number of incredibly disrespectful witnesses get on this stand, and act in ways that were astonishing to me, with their parents in the courtroom, not seeing fit one iota to say: hey, let me tell you something, jerk. Kind of mind your Ps and Qs, be responsible, you're in a court of law with people on trial for murder, and a family that lost their child, and you're going to act like an a-hole, for Christ sakes.

And that, none -- nobody, nobody ever called 911 after this kid was shot. Nobody. Nobody. All these people that are supposedly friends with Matthew, and not a single one, not just you all, Mr. Caruso and Mr. Harlan, not a single other person that sat on this witness stand, that knew what occurred, that saw it on social media, ever thought: my God, this is wrong; I should contact somebody.

It wasn't until they're pulled over in the car, and things start spilling out with statements, that the police ever have the idea to go back and find this poor, young man's body stuffed in a closet. And, you know, I don't want to sit there and say, that's an indictment on youth, because I know a lot of really great kids. But, the ability -- and, I don't know what it is that contributes to this -- but the ability of young people to have such a callous approach to life and humanity is so troubling to me, so troubling to me, that we point guns and we shoot people, and we film them on social media as they're dying on the floor, and kind of, wow, look what I just did. Let's go to the party, let's go to the mall, all these kinds of things, speak to something that is just systemically wrong with where we are as a people.

And, I get drug use, and I get alcohol abuse, and how that affects people's thinking. But, those are choices, as well. Those are choices that people make. But, I have people every day that abuse drugs and alcohol and they don't go out and shoot each other, and film each other dying, and kind of laugh about it, and go on. I mean, that -- I don't know. I don't know. Sometimes, as I said, I struggle not just to find the words, but to find the ability -- and, I consider myself to be a basically intelligent person -- to understand those things and that what drives that kind of conduct and leads to people being able to do those things.

And, I'm sorry that I'm kind of on a soapbox about that, but it was just an incredible, difficult part of this trial. And, I know that it was difficult for all of you as attorneys to kind of watch that. I know it was difficult for people in the courtroom to watch those kids come in and testify about that. And, I'm not saying that they're more responsible, certainly, than the people who were on trial and had involvement in this, and were convicted of it, but it just seemed to be just this whole group of people, absent the one kid that was emotional when he testified, Alaric. But, other than that, yeah I -- it -- had it been a different circumstance, I think I'd have been dragging those kids aside to say, we're going to have a conversation, because obviously your parents, or anybody that's involved in your guardianship, isn't doing it. But, that's not something I can do as the Judge in the case.

I also understand, and one of the reasons I asked to -- the folks to approach the bench, was just to have a brief discussion that

look, because of these gentlemen's ages, they're going to be eligible for parole at 20 years. It's not -- doesn't really matter. It matters, but it doesn't matter what the enhancements are, because the law provides that juveniles will be eligible for parole at 20 years. Now, that being said, I do think there's a lot of things concerning about the prior history of each of you. I think, generally speaking, you have a couple of people involved in something, whoever's the person that is actually the shooter in a killing, generally speaking, would deserve more time. I also think, however, that there are things that, in regard to Mr. Harlan's conduct, that are troubling, and the State made mention of those things about the use of the gun previously, that all in all, probably might equate to giving each of these gentlemen the same sentence.

But, as I said, they're going to be eligible for parole, no matter what I do at the term of 20 years. But, I do think the life sentence is appropriate for each of them. There are enough things here that are concerning about past conduct, the conduct in the case, what occurred after the murder of this young man, that give me concern about people's ability to kind of conduct themselves down the road. And, I'll leave it up to the Prison and Parole system to decide that. But, I do think the life tails are appropriate.

So, all those things being said, there's a \$25 administrative -for each gentleman, \$25 Administrative Fee, \$150 DNA fee, \$750 in
indigent attorney's fees related to -- well, excuse me, \$750 indigent
attorney's fees related to Mr. Caruso for Mr. Yampolsky. Mr. Helmick
was retained for trial, so it's \$250 as to Mr. Harlan for the appointed --

Keith Brower was appointed originally, right?

MR. PESCI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah, so that's \$250 for the appointed representation. And then, \$3 DNA Collection Fee, \$250 fine. I don't understand that there was any restitution requested, correct?

MR. PESCI: Not per the PSI.

THE COURT: Okay. For each of the gentlemen, as to the first degree murder charge, it'll be a sentence of life in the Nevada Department of Prisons, with a minimum 20 years before parole eligibility. I have considered everything under the statute in regard to the weapon enhancement, and for each of the gentlemen in regard to the weapon enhancement, it's going to be a sentence of 48 to 120 months, that runs consecutive by law to the first degree murder portion of the charge, excuse me.

With regard to the robbery with use of a deadly weapon for each of the gentlemen, robbery is a separate and distinct crime. The nature of the events that unfolded in this case, even irrespective of the fact that they're mandatorily eligible at 20, I still think the robbery would be a concurrency to the homicide charge. So, each gentleman is going to receive a sentence of 48 to 120 months for the robbery with a consecutive 48 to 120 months for the weapon enhancement, that charge running concurrent to count 1.

And then, for Mr. Harlan, the accessory charge, 18 to 60 months running concurrent to count 1, as well. I believe each gentleman has 549 days credit for time served, is that correct folks?

1	MR. PESCI: Yes, Your Honor.
2	MS. OVERLY: Yes, Your Honor.
3	MR. YAMPOLSKY: Yes.
4	MR. HELMICK: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Yeah, okay. All right, thank you all very much,
6	again. I appreciate your patience, coming back to so many different
7	court proceedings. Thank you.
8	MR. HELMICK: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can we say thank you? I don't
10	know if it's okay.
11	THE COURT: Well, look.
12	MR. MINKLER: Judge Herndon, thank you very much.
13	THE COURT: Yeah.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, we did.
15	THE COURT: It's okay.
16	MS. SHANKLIN MINKLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right, thank you all. Take care.
18	[Proceeding concluded at 12:34 p.m.]
19	* * * * *
20	
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
22	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
23	
24	Kaihla Berndt
25	Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed 5/1/2020 12:13 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	RTRAN
2	
3	
4	
5	DISTRICT COURT
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
8) CASE NO: C-18-333318-1 Plaintiff,) C-18-333318-2
9	vs. DEPT. III
10	JAIDEN CARUSO and KODY) HARLAN,
11	Defendants.
12	
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS W. HERNDON, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
14	TUESDAY, APRIL 23, 2019
15	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE:
16	ALL PENDING MOTIONS
17	
18	APPEARANCES:
19	For the State: GIANCARLO PESCI, ESQ.
20	SARA E. OVERLY, ESQ.
21	Chief Deputy District Attorneys
22	For the Defendants:
23	Jaiden Caruso: JASON R. MARGOLIS, ESQ.
24	
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT RECORDER

Las Vegas, Nevada; Tuesday, April 23, 2019

[Proceeding commenced at 1:46 p.m.]

THE COURT: And what else you got, Ryan?

MR. HELMICK: Kody Harlan on --

THE CLERK: 17

MR. HELMICK: -- 17

THE COURT: Is Mace here as well?

MR. PESCI: No, Judge.

THE COURT: No.

MR. MARGOLIS: I'm gonna stand in for him, Judge.

THE COURT: You got it? Okay. One second, guys. All right. So what we have on today on the case, it's on for status check on trial preparedness for our May 13th trial date. We also have Mr. Harlan's motion to sever, or in the alternative, to deem certain statements inadmissible. Mr. Caruso joined. It's a non-substantive joinder, but that he did join into that motion. All right. Mr. Helmick.

MR. HELMICK: Thank you very much, Your Honor. In regards to the

THE COURT: And both the defendants are present in custody. I'm sorry.

MR. HELMICK: Thank you, yes. In regards to the motion to sever, the -- and I won't rehash everything -- but the decisive factor here, according to the United States Supreme Court in *Zafirino* [sic] is prejudice. In any severance motion, that's the decisive factor. It talks about the risk of prejudice -- prejudice

being heightened when the degrees of culpability are different; which they are here, between these two individuals. Based upon the charges, the degrees of culpability are from zero to a hundred on these two individuals. But if we take -- if we take a step back and just kind of look at the prejudicial aspect of things, there are -- there are some evil facts in this case that those evil aspects of this case apply mainly to Caruso.

And the concern that I have is that if we -- if we put them side by side in trial, there's gonna be facts that come out that talk about how they were friends. How they -- how Mr. Harlan even lived at Caruso's house. How they drove to the Cool Lilac house together. How they left the Cool Lilac house together. How they did all these things together. But that doesn't mean that they were together in killing Mr. Minkler. That doesn't mean they were a part -- together as a part of some robbery. And so my concern is that putting them side by side, you're gonna have the bad aspects of this case, which we know from very overwhelming evidence on Caruso, they're gonna -- they're gonna have some hate. And that hate is going to spill over to Harlan in this case. It's gonna infect his case. And that's my concern.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pesci.

MR. PESCI: Thank you, Your Honor. Sara Overly and Giancarlo Pesci on behalf of the State. Your Honor, we responded in our opposition and pointed out that in the *Zafiro* case cited to you by the defense, the Supreme Court of the United States said it's not, per se, a reason to sever because of antagonistic defenses. And what the defense has failed to tell you is what specific trial rights will be impeded by being seated next to the co-defendant. There's nothing that has been articulated to you, and there is nothing that can be

articulated to you.

The fact that some evidence is more damning to one defendant and not the other, does not create a basis for a severance. Especially when you can take into consideration, vicarious liability, Your Honor. And so this is not a question of who was there and who done it. So the fact that they were together before and they went there together, doesn't do anything; because if the case is severed, that evidence is still coming in. There is evidence that will come in on a severed case or a non-severed case. And that's a really important concept to keep in mind.

There is nothing that they have said that would impede it. When it comes to the *Bruton*, which is the secondary portion of this, the State's not seeking to introduce -- will not seek to introduce anything one defendant said the other defendant did. Now, if it's in the course and furtherance of the conspiracy, clearly that's admissible evidence; we pointed it out in our response. And so there's no basis here for severance and the motion should be denied.

THE COURT: Ryan?

MR. HELMICK: I mean, just briefly. In regards to the *Bruton* issue, I suppose that is moot, because Mr. Pesci has agreed that he is not gonna introduce some of the --

THE COURT: Yeah, I --

MR. HELMICK: -- concerns that I had.

THE COURT: -- just so that we're really clear on that. I understood there are four aspects of the Caruso statement to the detectives that you were specifically addressing that the State was specifically agreeing they would not use, correct?

MR. PESCI: Correct. When -- when Caruso is talking to the detective and says that Harlan did A, B, and C, we're not seeking to introduce that. We cannot seek to introduce that.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, yeah, I would agree that that's kind of a moot point --

MR. HELMICK: Okay.

THE COURT: -- obviously. And that the other things related to potential statements that are part of an ongoing conspiracy, those are different things that we'll address at the time of trial. But are there any other statements to the detective that you guys were seeking to introduce? I know you complained of four particular things. But are there other aspects of statements to the detectives that in --

MR. PESCI: Sure.

THE COURT: -- any way implicate any of these things, or no?

MR. PESCI: Things that place him there at the time. Things --

THE COURT: No, I'm not --

MR. PESCI: -- that he admits --

THE COURT: -- I don't mean Caruso talking about Caruso. I'm just saying there isn't anything else --

MR. PESCI: No.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PESCI: No. Not -- not what Caruso saying that the other or vice versa for that matter, because it's been joined in by the co-defendant.

THE COURT: Got it. Okay. All right, Ryan, you can go ahead finish up.

MR. HELMICK: I'll submit it, Your Honor. I mean --

THE COURT: Well, look I -- I would agree that I don't believe there's any particular trial rights that are being prejudiced. There is a difference between degree of culpability when we're charging co-defendants and you've got one co-defendant charged with, like, possession of narcotics and a stolen vehicle and the other co-defendant charged with three counts of murder. I mean, that -- there's a very good argument to be made there that my client's level of culpability and involvement in this case is so de minimis concerning the other aspects of it that they should be severed.

I think it's different when you're talking about here, where everybody is charged with the same crimes and the jury is tasked with addressing conduct to figure out what, if anything, they think each of the individuals is -- is, you know, guilty of. And I don't think that just saying each of the gentlemen may want to point the finger at the other one, in terms of who actually killed this young man. I don't think those are what we contemplate as mutually antagonistic defenses for purposes of severance. I think that the law is pretty clear on what really contemplates mutually antagonistic defendants -- or defenses and I don't think this is it. So all in all I think it's appropriate that the matter stays joined and I'm going to deny the motion to sever.

MR. HELMICK: Okay, thank you.

THE COURT: And then there was -- the other matter I think is set for April 30th, correct? That's the --

MR. PESCI: There is one. Another one just got filed, I think, today or yesterday.

THE COURT: Okay. And then we have our trial date of May 13th, but

I also know that I've approved some funding for an expert. So I don't know how that's going to impact our trial date or whether you guys had any communication about that.

MR. PESCI: The expert, I don't know anything about that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HELMICK: Well, I did file the notice of expert, timely, --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HELMICK: -- for Mr. Alan Donaldson. All I've been waiting for was -- was to get the funds approved. We're gonna have him jump on it this week.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HELMICK: And -- and he may not do a report.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HELMICK: I don't think it's gonna be that technical where he would need to. And then -- so I don't think that's gonna cause any delay. But --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HELMICK: -- there was another reason that we had the hearing today. I don't know if Your Honor addressed that yet. It was in regards to leaving the offer open for Mr. Harlan. I just want to make a record that he still rejects that offer that has been given to him.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So I don't know. I'm assuming Mr. Caruso may still be amenable to his offer, but Mr. Harlan is rejecting his offer.

MR. MARGOLIS: And that was part of why the, I mean, the reason for our joinder was largely based on the fact that there is real prejudice, not potential prejudice, to Mr. Caruso in negotiation of this case right now.

MR. MARGOLIS: On account of that. THE COURT: The -- the prejudice being that he can't avail himself of THE COURT: That -- that doesn't really have any difference between THE COURT: -- the severance, so --THE COURT: -- so I -- I get your concern about that, though, and the frustration. So all right. Is the offer withdrawn at this point then? MR. PESCI: As to the co-defendant, yes. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So --MR. PESCI: Judge, also if I could -- I apologize that I interrupted you. MR. PESCI: You were asking about trial readiness earlier. We have subpoenaed from the Henderson Police Department all the records related to this case. We have a good portion of them. We've already provided that to the defense counsel previously. On Friday, I received a stack probably about four inches deep of paperwork. In addition, they told me they have 150 gigabytes worth of data on a server that I have to get an external hard drive to them to get.

25

MR. PESCI: -- i'm in the process of doing that. As soon as I found

23

24

25

that out I provided that information `cause I got the email about the 150 --

THE COURT: Is that phones or computers?

MR. PESCI: I believe it's phones.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PESCI: I think there's, like, there's a multitude of kids with Snapchat accounts and there's a ton of video and photos associated therewith.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PESCI: So I'm getting that as soon as I can.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. PESCI: And as soon as I get it --

THE COURT: -- so here's what I was going to say. Next week we have two dates set; one for the motion in limine on the 30th and then the calendar call is on May the 2nd. I would prefer just to do -- have you come back once rather than both days.

MR. HELMICK: Sure.

THE COURT: And based on that, my inclination is to say let's come on the later day, the 2nd, to give you as much time as you can to figure out what's going on.

MR. PESCI: That would be great too because another motion came in. So can we calendar that for the calendar call as well?

THE COURT: What's the other motion?

MR. HELMICK: I filed another motion in limine yesterday.

THE COURT: For?

MR. PESCI: To preclude the parents of the decedent from testifying at trial.

THE COURT: Okay. So we will calendar that for May 2nd as well. So we'll have the defense motion in limine regarding bad acts. We will have the defense motion in limine regarding testimony of the decedent's parents. Those will both be on May 2nd. And we will vacate the April 30th date. And then we'll talk more about preparedness for trial at that time as well, okay?

MR. PESCI: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. HELMICK: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. MARGOLIS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

[Proceeding concluded at 1:55 p.m.]

* * * * * *

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Stacey Ray

Court Recorder/Transcriber