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## LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, JULY 9, 2019

[Proceedings commenced at 1:48 p.m.]
[In the presence of the prospective jury panel.]
THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. And this is the continuation of C0337017, State of Nevada versus Devohn Marks. The record will reflect the presence of the defendant, Mr. Marks, his counsel, the prosecutors are present, all officers of the court, and we're back within the presence of the venire panel.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. And I'm sorry we're getting a little bit of a late start here, but we had somebody that was straggling in. So until you were all here, we couldn't start. But we were ready to go at 1:30.

So we're going to be taking up where we left off yesterday. And you'll recall that yesterday the last question I asked was about had you been the victim of a crime? And I narrowed it down to violent crimes.

So now my next question is those of you who had raised your hands about being a victim of a crime, were there any of you who were victims of a crime and you didn't report it to the police department? Raise your hand. Okay. All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: I'm Katrese Carino.
THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Number 998.
THE COURT: And so what type of crime were you the
victim of that you didn't report?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: I was still just a child, so it was domestic violence and child abuse.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: So my dad pulled a gun on my family, because he was dumb. So --

THE COURT: Okay. And you were how old at that time? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Around 11.

THE COURT: Okay. And did you tell anyone outside the family?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: No. Besides my grandparents.

THE COURT: Okay. And so you felt like you were not of an age where you wanted to call the police about that for help; is that correct? Or how did you feel?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: I was scared, basically.
THE COURT: Yeah. Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Basically. Plus, I also knew at the time that if I called the police, things could happen. I just didn't -- I tried to avoid it.

THE COURT: Okay. And so you told your grandparents thinking, well, they're adults and they'll maybe know how to handle it better? You told them about what happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: All right. Good. And did they do anything
about that or take any action or give you any advice?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: They just took me, my brother, and my mom and we just lived with them for a little bit.

THE COURT: I see. Okay. All right. Good. Thank you. Anyone else on the top row there? All right. We have a gentleman at the end.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: My name is Abel Cardenas, badge is 0905.

THE COURT: Yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: I no English and I need one person interpret just so they know understand.

THE COURT: Okay. So you speak Spanish is your first language, correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. And you're struggling yesterday, you didn't understand what was going on?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No. I know nothing yesterday.

THE COURT: Know nothing yesterday? You should have told me something about that yesterday.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Sorry.
THE COURT: Okay. [Speaking in Spanish.]
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: No.
THE COURT: No? Okay. All right. So you have to be proficient in the English language by statute in order to serve on a
jury. And so if you're not proficient in English, and it sounds like you weren't or you would have brought this up yesterday, so I'm going to dismiss you. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 905: Thank you.
THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, there is another person that approached me as well today regarding the same issue.

THE COURT: Okay. We're going to call the next in order to fill that empty seat.

THE CLERK: Zahra Pyle, Badge 030, please take Seat 8.
THE COURT: Where's Zahra Pyle?
Okay. All right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: [Speaking in Spanish.]
THE COURT: 820 is it?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Okay. My name is Carmen Hernandez.

THE COURT: 820.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: My badge number is 1120 .

THE COURT: Oh. I thought you said ocho.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: So I speak a little bit English, but is not -- it's not stand for long conversation.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: So I need a one interpreter, please.

THE COURT: We don't have interpreters for jury trials.

You have to speak English proficiently. And so if you've been having trouble understanding?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: No, I don't understand.
THE COURT: [Speaking in Spanish.]
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Little bit. I understand a little bit on a long conversation, no. No.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, then, we're going to have to dismiss you. So thank you very much.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 120: Thank you. Thank you everybody. Sorry.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So anyone else who has been the victim of a crime, didn't report it to the police for whatever reason? Okay. Second row.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 923: My name is Elaina Zavala, and my badge is 0923. I have the same situation. I trying to keep the conversation, but not all of them. But I trying to do my best to understand.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you -- have there been any times yesterday --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 923: Yeah.
THE COURT: -- that you didn't understand?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 923: Yeah. But like she say, for longer conversation or something like legal things, maybe it's going to be a little difficult. I trying to do my best and pay attention in all the person that you said.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, there are times when words might be used that even native English speakers might not understand, because it's legal matter.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 923: Yeah, I know.
THE COURT: Right?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 923: But that's what I'm saying.

THE COURT: So we try to --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 923: For a legal things, maybe I don't know understand the kind of words that you're using for the legal thing.

THE COURT: Right. So that's what I've just explained. It's not that you only speak Spanish as your first language; it's because it's not a term you're familiar with, even if you did speak English, there may be times when the native English speakers don't understand something. But we try to avoid that. We don't want to use language that's legal jargon. Okay? So you need to let me know if you're struggling to understand.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 923: Not like I want to for this kind of level for the Court.

THE COURT: Okay. [Speaking in Spanish.]
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 923: [Speaking in Spanish.]
THE COURT: [Speaking in Spanish.]
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 923: [Speaking in Spanish.] THE COURT: [Speaking in Spanish.]

Okay. All right. So I think we need to let her go. All right. So thank you very much.

THE CLERK: Kaitlyn Pearson, Badge 039, please take
Seat 14.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. We're off the language issue, right? Because the question remains: Anyone who didn't report a crime, being the victim of a crime, to the police? That's what I want to hear about right now. Hands. Okay. Got someone in the third row.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 956: Hi. Susan Tysdal, badge number is 956. And it was basically what I told you yesterday about the domestic violence from my ex-boyfriend.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 956: And I didn't report it.
THE COURT: All right. And we discussed that yesterday.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Yes. Correct.
THE COURT: So thank you very much.
Anybody else on this side?
Anyone else back here didn't report?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Rex Spenner, Badge Number 068. When I was around the age of 13, just a dumb kid at the skate park, just riding my bike, I remember there was an older individual probably in his mid to late 30s. And he was being kind of reckless inside of the skate park, and, like, running into kids and knocking people over. And I remember he ran into me and I had
the bike, he has a skateboard. And so he kind of fell over. And I just stood on my feet. He got really frustrated about this and then confronted me with a firearm. And this was actually at Metro Skate Park at the age of 13.

And since the police station was literally, like, adjacent and neighboring this skate park, I figured, Oh, it'll get handled, someone will figure this out. And so I just kind of swept it under the rug and didn't think anything of it in the moment. But looking back at it, I notice I'm definitely been afflicted by, like, as a bystander of those sort of violent criminal -- how do I say? -- like, altercations, I suppose. But I didn't report it to the police, obviously.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
Was there -- the lady next to you? Did you have something you didn't report?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: My name is Kathleen Cabael, my number is 075 . It is a situation where if I would have known, then I would have reported it. It's my son. An ex -- my ex-boyfriend during that time was physically abusing my son. And my son is still to this day, since he was 7 and now he's going to be 13, has PTSD from it.

THE COURT: All right. And when did you first learn about this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: When my son's behavior became more violent. And he kind of just blurted it all out. He kind
of just exploded. And he told me then. And that was -- I think it was two years after.

THE COURT: Okay. And you decided not to report it at that time?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: They -- I did try to report, but he said have any -- I didn't have any proof anymore. Like, if I had any, like, pictures of, I don't know, his bruises or whatever, I just didn't have proof. So all they did was just report it to a companies and it couldn't be reported to the police or anything.

THE COURT: Okay. And by this time this boyfriend was out of the picture?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
Anyone else? Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Theodore Hinson, 089. Thanksgiving morning 2017, white paint was poured over the hood of my vehicle.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, 2017 what happened?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Thanksgiving morning 2017, white paint was poured over the hood of my vehicle.

THE COURT: Oh. Okay. And you did not report that -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: I did not.

THE COURT: -- your vehicle being vandalized? Why was that you didn't report it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: At the time I was working
two jobs and I just didn't -- I just made the personal decision not to.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: I don't have any good reason why I did or why I did not. I just made the decision not to.

THE COURT: Okay. Did you have any idea who had done this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Not at all.
THE COURT: All right. So you -- was that part of your thought process, well, I have no idea, nobody in mind that could have done that, so what am I going to tell the police? Here it is, but I have no idea; is that what you were thought -- thinking?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: I was, like, on my way to work and I just, seriously, let it go.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.
Anyone else? Nope? All right. I see no further hands.
And so no one's ever had their credit card hacked? You know, their -- used and then they didn't report it to the police? Okay. I don't want to talk to everybody. I just want to see.

So are the people -- so the people that are -- got their hands up, let's see what you got here. These are the people that they had their credit card number hijacked. And they see fraud on their account, and did you all instead just call your credit card companies? Anyone not call their credit card companies? Just thought, Okay, it's all right, you know, they must need it more than I do. No?

Oh, yes, we have one person who didn't call anybody. Okay. Let's talk to her.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 918: Victoria Crockett, 918.
THE COURT: Yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 918: It wasn't my credit card, because I don't have any credit cards. It was my bank account. Someone tried to -- my bank card, my debit card --

THE COURT: Yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 918: -- someone tried to rent a hotel in Ohio or something. But my bank stopped it.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 918: So they, you know, paused it and it didn't go -- it had gone through, but they reimbursed and took care of it. So I didn't --

THE COURT: Did they contact you, though?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 918: Yeah, they let me know and -- that they had stopped it, and I let them know that it didn't go through. And that was the end of it.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 918: So I didn't think it was pertinent.

THE COURT: Okay. Sure.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 918: Sorry.
THE COURT: No, that's fine. That's fine.
All right. Next question: So I asked all of you if you'd
ever been the victims personally yourselves of any kind of violent crime. How about are there any of you who have not personally been the victim of a violent crime, but someone in your family or a close associate or friend of yours been the victim of a violent crime that could potentially, you know, I mean, serious, like, murder, attempt murder, you know, rape, these kinds of violent crimes? Okay. We've got some of the front -- back row there.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Jasmin Ancheta, my number is 160 . So this was actually taking place in California, Sacramento. It was between my grandma and my uncle. Both of my uncles that do live with my grandma, I was visiting there, helping to take care of my grandpa.

I was inside the -- I was in my uncle's room playing video games, and I just heard yelling. And the minute I come out the room, my uncle was -- he was -- he's addicted to -- he was addicted to drugs. So he suffered from drug addiction. And my other uncle was fixing a car, because he's a mechanic.

So my uncle that was addicted to drugs, he was asking my grandmother to go ahead and pay him money so he could go ahead and, of course, get more drugs. And when my grandma said no, that she wasn't going to give him anything else, because he's taking it too overboard, she grabbed one of my uncle's wrenches and tried to charge at my grandmother. Where my uncle, he, out of self-defense, he went ahead and grabbed another tool, we don't know really which it was from, but he threw it at my uncle's head,
where it took out the whole entire side piece of his head, where everything fell out. And my grandpa was -- I mean, not my grandpa, but my uncle was charged with attempted murder.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And so, obviously, you saw all this happen.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Yeah. So once my grandma fell on the floor, she started to scream. So I ran outside and I didn't know what was going on until my uncle started crying and he was, like, your uncle was trying to kill my mom, my -- you know, of course, my grandma, but he's going to say his mom. And I was, like, it's all right, let me just go ahead and call the police.

But instead of the police taking it the way that -- as my uncle saying, like, they just wanted to go ahead and charge him, just because my uncle was the one who got damaged. But once my uncle was fully -- once he came out of his coma, because he did survive the attack, he went ahead and said that released the charges. He did admit to his drug addiction and stuff like that. So they went ahead and they just released the case. They didn't really finish the rest of it, just because they went ahead and just dismissed the whole thing.

THE COURT: Okay. So the traumatic event. How old were you then?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: I was only 12 years old.
THE COURT: Okay. And as far as the resolution of it, like you've told me, was that you heard about that through other family
members?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: No. I was there taking care of my grandpa.

THE COURT: Oh, I know that --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: I was my grandpa --
THE COURT: I know you saw the event. But as to what happened later, about the charges being dropped and everything, did --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Later I discussed it with my uncles and my parents. My parents told me not to go ahead and speak about it with any of my other family members, because we're very closed in mind about what happens in the family, because we don't want anything to go into more problems, just because a lot of my siblings -- well, siblings, aunts, and uncles don't have the exact same mindset of everything. And since I was presently there, it wasn't just me, it was my cousin there too, helping just because my grandmother wasn't able to really take care of my grandpa.

THE COURT: Okay. So your parents told you don't tell the police what happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: I did do, like, a small report. But they kind of disregarded it just because I wasn't there when he threw the wrench. I was there kind of like there for the aftermath, like, whatever else happened. Just because I was inside the room, just playing video games pretty much, waiting on my
grandpa to call me if he needed something.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. What do you think about that, about people who are, you know, witness something and then aren't willing to tell about it to somebody else of authority? Do you think that's --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: I just think, depending on the situation, it's definitely different. Just because, of course, there's between family members and then friends of family and then just complete strangers. But due to the situation of me knowing that my grandpa was on drugs and knowing that we're -my family's kind of set on if something bad happens to you, it happens to you for a reason, just because we're kind of religious like that. Everything happens for a reason.

So the minute he came out of his coma, he had to learn how to talk again, he had to learn how to -- he went through a lot of physical therapy. And after that, he went ahead and he did start again a little bit with his drug addiction until he started having seizure problems. And after that, he just went ahead and he changed himself into -- I believe he's Catholic now. So he just went ahead and changed his whole entire life.

So just depending on the situation is kind of like you get what you get for me. Like, for my parents and what we have in our family is just -- you decided to do that, it's the way you're going to go ahead and change it.

THE COURT: Okay. So your feeling is you should take
personal responsibility for your actions?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Yes.
THE COURT: Is that what you mean? Okay. I see. All right. Thank you.

Anybody else on the top row? No. Wait, two -- pass it over to your left.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Katrese Carino, 998.
THE COURT: Yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: So one of my close friends is actually going through a domestic violence issue right now. I actually helped her move out of the house, because she finally called the police so she could go to jail because he laid hands on her. So l took her out and helped her move out on that same night.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And so -- and she -- is she still going through that or?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And are you still helping her?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Yes, actually.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Anyone, second row?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 918: Victoria Crockett, 918. My parents, when I was 3, I guess, had some domestic abuse. The cops were called and my mom went to jail for a night. That's what

I've been told. So.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Nothing aside from that, that was a long time ago, you don't remember it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 918: Right.
THE COURT: You only hear it --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 918: Just something told.
THE COURT: -- a family legend.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 918: Nothing that I can think of.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 918: Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Maria Zamora, 004.
THE COURT: Yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: My sister was involved in the Sunset shooting. She was -- it was her boss, and she was right next to her after the guy shot her. So she's been going through a lot of PTSD and a lot of pain.

THE COURT: Oh, yes, I'm sure. And -- all right. Thank you very much.

Anyone -- next row?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 945: Dan Contreras, Badge Number 945. When I was 18 , my neighbor, good friend, parents, he shot his wife and killed her in the parking lot that I was in. We were playing soccer. Had to testify -- not testify, but report to detectives because I recognized the cars when they came through and we saw
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the bodies. And later found out that it was over separation and she was leaving him, basically.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, that was -- and how old were you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 945: 18.
THE COURT: All right. So traumatic event. It -- was this a neighbor that you knew well?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 945: Yes. I mean, her father and stuff would take me to school. We were really good friends and kind of just caught us off guard, really, is what it came down it.

THE COURT: Yes. Very much so, I'm sure. Thank you.
Anyone else in the front row?
How about back here? Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Rex Spenner, 068. I remember mentioning it briefly yesterday that my grandmother's house got burglarized.

THE COURT: Yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I was actually talking to her just yesterday. Obviously, just having dinner with Grandma. And just seeing how much that affected her, and even now, being that it's been a few weeks, that's -- it's a really tough situation, especially considering how -- the way that she was raised, she's just kind of like deal with what happens, kind of like --

THE COURT: Okay. But she was at home, right?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: She came home very
shortly after it happened.
THE COURT: Okay. So -- all right. This is not a violent crime. That's all I'm asking about now. Anyone who's had a violent crime perpetrated against them. So attempt murder, assault, battery, battery with a deadly weapon, robbery, violent crimes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Okay. Understood.
THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: My name is Kathleen Cabael, 075. I have two to talk about. The first one was when I was a teenager. I think I was 15. It was a party, it was a gang-related crime. I was in the middle of the breakout when they had the fight. And it led to a person dying. And I was the person who found that person and reported it to police.

THE COURT: All right. And how long ago was that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: When I was 15. That's -I'm 32 now.

THE COURT: Okay. So a while ago, but still a traumatic event. Okay. What was the other thing you said?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: The other one was my family was involved with a murder case. It was -- I think it was Chris Langford case. He murdered a foreign exchange student. He was my grandma's neighbor. And my grandparents -- my family, they heard all the abuse and stuff. And they were suspicious of him and my cousin kind of knew, because he had bought all these
things to bury the body and all that. But my cousin was the one that reported it.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, those are amazing things to happen to you.

Now, you feel -- I mean, these are violent crimes. You can separate that from this trial, right? In other words, you understand that this trial is something completely different, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
Anybody else?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: My name is Steven Acosta, Badge Number 091. In 2002 I was about 7 years old, my mother was robbed at a grocery store. A man pulled a gun on her and stole her purse.

THE COURT: Okay. And you were 2?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: No, I was 7.
THE COURT: Oh, you were 7?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: In 2002.
THE COURT: Do you remember that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: I remember hearing about it after. My mother was out and I was at home at the time. And everything from what I understand, it wasn't really resolved. The man did get arrested some time later, but not connected to the purse snatching.

THE COURT: Okay. So a purse snatching is, like,
somebody who runs by and --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Uh-huh. Yeah. Sorry. THE COURT: -- grabs a purse. This was a robbery with a gun?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And you were not present?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: No.
THE COURT: You just heard about it from your mom?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: All right. Okay. And you can set that aside here, you recognize that's something different than what's happening here; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 091: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
Anyone else?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 115: Christian Torres, 115. I wasn't present at the time, but working security on the Strip, an officer the previous night, we walked out an individual who didn't have an ID. Returned a few hours later and stabbed the officer. Pretty close friend of mine. But he hasn't returned to work yet, so hoping the best.

THE COURT: Okay. And were -- did you assist the police with the -- trying to identify --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 115: We did. The individual was arrested and I believe he's out of jail now. So.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 115: This was three years ago.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Hello. My name is Jennifer Valley and my badge number is 118 . To -- my friend, my good friend that I work with, she was at the Route 91 shooting and I've been helping her in her recovery. She has some pretty PTSD now. And for a long time she was having issues leaving her house, being in large crowds and stuff. And she really didn't want to go, like, I train horses and stuff, so she didn't really want to go see her horse anymore. So I was taking care of her horse for her and really helping with her, like, mental health.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you're a good friend. Thank you very much.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 118: Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Anyone else? Is that it? Okay. All right. I see no further hands. Okay.

So I guess one of the responses that have made me think of another question. So there -- you know, in certain cases, there may be testimony that you would hear witnesses who might have criminal records themselves. All right. Is there anyone who feels that, basically, if someone has a criminal record, that they cannot be the victim of a crime? Anyone feel like that, that, you know, well, you get kind of what you deserve and so you don't deserve any protection; anyone feel like that? Raise your hand. All right. So
we've got two in the back.
Yes, ma'am, if you -- need to state your name and your badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Katrese Carino, 998.
THE COURT: Okay. So you feel how? Tell me.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: I do feel that if you have done a crime, you kind of deserve what you get, though. So if that was what you were saying.

THE COURT: So give me an example.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: For example, if you have robbed somebody and you got caught, you know, you deserve what you get. You deserve to be punished.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm not talking about that. I'm talking about do you feel that because, say, someone had a criminal record, they had done something in the past, they had served their punishment for and taken responsibility, served their time, if they -you know, if they went to prison. And now they're the victim of a crime, do you think it's okay or you think that some people just don't deserve to be protected under the law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Actually -- I actually do believe in that sometimes, because I do believe in, basically, karma. Even though you serve your time, but it's kind of you got the end of the short -- short end of the rope too now.

THE COURT: Okay. So you might -- are you the type of person that would say, well, okay to rape a prostitute, because
she's just a prostitute; is that okay?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Not like that.
THE COURT: All right. So you have a line.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Where does the line -- where is the line drawn?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Well, because how I see, for example, the prostitution, I see that more of a -- well, they kind of were willing, so they're -- how to explain it. It was more, like, a survival tactic, I see that. But, for example, robbing, I could see some people robbing others. But at the same time, they're taking other people's belongings that they worked hard for. So that's where I'm just kind of -- that's on you now. That's --

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: So if somebody had been convicted of robbery, say, 10 years ago, and now they've been robbed themselves, they call the police and say, I was robbed at gunpoint.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Do you think that's something that the police officers should say, Pass on that, you're a bad guy, we're not interested?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: I will have to be truthful and say yes. But at the same time, I also know the law. You should probably report it and the police shouldn't do that.

THE COURT: Okay. But he did report it.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: But you just feel that as a matter of your personal belief --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: -- that you don't really care about that person?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
We had another hand.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Jasmin Ancheta, Badge Number 160. I do believe even if they were a victim of -- if they're becoming a victim now and -- but yet 20 years ago, even up to two years ago or however long, whatever that you did to go ahead and go against the law, like, criminally, no matter what you do, sometimes you do deserve it. Because that means you probably did not learn your lesson. And this is something, like, I said, it's very religious to us that if something does come back to you, it's because God itself is trying to tell you something, that we're trying to go ahead and make you learn this lesson to go ahead and be better into the community, being better to this world instead of not having to understand and try to keep a simple life, not trying to stay together altogether.

So let's say if, like, when you were saying if a prostitute gets raped, I will believe, even if she got raped, I would believe that
is basically her fault, because she should have the self -- not self-confidence, but she's able to go ahead and actually find a real job instead of selling her body, and selling her dignity, pretty much, is what I'm saying.

So even if she gets raped, that's kind of on her, and she put herself in that position. She's the one who decided to put herself on the streets. She's the one who decided to go ahead and take that action into lowering her standards to allowing someone to go ahead and rape her, of course, is not going to --

THE COURT: No, no, no.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: -- depending --
THE COURT: No, no. Wait, wait, wait, wait, wait.
Allowing. No. Rape is against her will.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Yes. No.
THE COURT: Forcibly raped. She didn't allow anything.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Of course. Depending on the situation, if someone is getting raped or not, so the way I was going to try to say right after that is let's see if she does go ahead and assume to go ahead and go with this guy, and this guy tends to be violent or something like that, and he does not want to pay her, and she's not going to go ahead and go through with whatever thing they were supposed to do, and he just goes ahead and he rapes her about it, that's basically on herself.

Because she -- what I'm saying by allowed is that she went ahead and she said it was okay to take her back into a room.

It was okay to go ahead and --
THE COURT: Okay. All right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: -- let him follow her.
THE COURT: So the police should not try and look into that? Like --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: In the state of, like -- in my head, of course, the police is going to have to, because that's one thing that's their job is. But this is something that she kind of had to face herself, because she's the one who put herself in that position.

THE COURT: Okay. So do you have a line where you draw? So, in other words, if someone commits a crime -- so, say they're a thief, so someone comes and murders them, you -- is that -- you're feeling like, well, that's just God's punishment for you being a thief? Or what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Unfortunately, I would have to say yes, because due to what's happening in my family right now, currently, if someone does end up being dead, this is something that's going to, of course, take a toll in our family and go ahead and makes us upset. But this is something that's going to go ahead and change the world. We're not going to have a thief running around the world again besides having one less person.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. MOORS: Your Honor, can approach?
THE COURT: Yeah.
[Bench conference not recorded.]
THE COURT: All right. Okay. Let's see. Next question. So is there anyone on the jury who has a religious or moral belief that would make them unable to do their job as a juror? And here's your job as a juror, and that is: You are the determiners of the facts. You determine what the facts are in the case from listening to the witnesses on the stand and making a decision as to what happened. Then you take those findings of fact that you determine and apply those to the law that I give you and that -- then you go back and you deliberate and come up with a verdict.

Is there anyone who feels they can't do that job because of a personal, moral, religious belief of some kind?

I can't see you, so stand up. Yeah.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Your Honor, we're both -Anthony Allen P. Tugaoen, Badge Number 041.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I do believe that coming to a verdict or a decision in a case that involves peoples' lives, I am unable to come down to a decision where if it's a sentencing, I cannot come to a decision --

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: -- based on someone's life.

THE COURT: You don't sentence.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Oh, okay.

THE COURT: No. The jury --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Or having a decision to which it --

THE COURT: The jury does not do a sentence. You -- the jury determines what the facts are, applies the facts to the law, comes up with a verdict based on that, and then that verdict is either guilty or not guilty. And if, but only if, the jury finds the defendant guilty in any criminal case, then, except for a very limited type of case where sentencing is in the discretion of the jury. And that's only in first-degree murder cases. That is not this case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Oh, perfect.
THE COURT: Then it's the duty of a judge to pronounce the sentence. So you don't have to pronounce any kind of sentence if you were to find the defendant guilty. And that's, of course, not been determined. He's presumed innocent at this point in time.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Understood.
THE COURT: So you think you could still do the job that I've outlined for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Most definitely, Your Honor. Apologize for that one.

THE COURT: That's all right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Thank you.
THE COURT: Anyone else feel they can't do that job?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Rex Spenner, 068.
THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Due to the nature of this case, that's what's been outlined thus far, obviously, normally, I could perform the role of a juror aptly. But being that my grandmother, one of the closest people in my life had just been -had her house burglarized and, like, seeing the effect that that had, especially on an elderly individual with all of her life belongings being at stake in that situation, and seeing the effect of how that had a detriment to our family lives thereafter in these next couple of weeks that we've been passing through, I'd like to say that I'd be unbiased, but realistically, I believe that my personal verdict on the situation might naturally be a little bit vindictive just because I feel like my family was truly wronged by someone that was inside of a -- like, a dire and desperate situation. And I feel like there are severe repercussions for affecting peoples' livelihood and potentially, the rest of their lives because of a stupid, hasty decision. Excuse my verbiage, but.

THE COURT: Okay. But I think -- so this was -- the charges in this case are not a residential burglary, so it would -- are you saying that, basically, because your grandmother's house was burglarized when she was not home, that you can't serve on any kind of jury because you're so traumatized?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Honestly, at the current time I feel that my judgment wouldn't be sound, just because of the nature of the case affecting an elderly individual. That -- those are the allegations that I heard. I feel I would naturally have a bias just
because of how I personally feel about that individual burglarizing my grandmother's home, and just seeing the effect that -- just petty criminal activity to that extent, like, someone trying to make a quick dollar, how that can really affect someone's life.

THE COURT: All right. So if you cannot be fair, then you'll be excused.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I apologize, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Next question.
So I told you about the job of a juror -- jury, that you're determiners of the facts and that I'm going to give you the law, and then you have to apply the facts that you've determined to the law and follow that law in coming up with your decision.

So the next question is: Is there anyone who feels that they could not follow the law as I instruct you? If it -- if it's contrary to your personal, religious, or moral beliefs, would you still be able to follow the law, because that's your duty as a juror? Is there somebody who could not follow the law? Nobody has a belief that, you know, if I disagree with the law, I'm not going to follow it; anyone feels like that? All right. I see no hands.

All right. Let's see. Next question: Is there anyone here who has ever been accused of or convicted of a crime?

You missed -- Marshal, you passed over --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: Hello. Kevin Oxom, Badge Number 415. This is kind of more of a mental kind of thing, because this is what happened to me back in, like, 20 -- well, 2013,
around that year time, pretty much right after December. I was actually almost convicted of being -- almost a shooter. But I had no intentions of killing anyone. It was just misinterpreted.

It pretty much just happened because of the fact that in my own story, it's just the fact that there was just this girl that was in a computer class I was with, helping her out here and there, though. But she kept asking continuously for help. Sol just stopped it. And just because, you know, she needed to do her own work. But eventually, like, another girl caught grasp on it, she thought that I was being mean to this girl, so she was actually reflecting back on it to me in a -- with negative comments. And then, eventually, kind of misled to one another, which, you know, I ended up saying that l'll just kill you then.

But apparently that -- it just sped around the school and eventually I was actually accused of a shooting that could have happened. And then police were involved. I was actually sent to Del Amo Hospital over in California, mental institute for a while just to see if there was actual any planning.

But, again, as I repeat, though, I had no intention, though. As for my own moral standings, you know, I have my moral code, like, you know, like, everyone does deserve to live, you know. But, you know, it was just something that I just misinterpreted. It was kind of on my parting fault that I just made a snarky comment.

MR. MATSUDA: Sir, I don't mean to interrupt.
Can we approach?

THE COURT: Sure.
[Bench conference not recorded.]
THE COURT: All right. So without going into more details about that, did you feel like you were treated unfairly by the authorities?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: They did an investigation on my family just to see if I actually had any planning, though. But they didn't find nothing, though. Like --

THE COURT: Okay. But the questions is did you feel like you were treated unfairly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: No. I was -- I believe it was fair.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Do you think that that would have any bearing on your ability to be fair and impartial to both sides in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: Could you repeat that one more time?

THE COURT: Do you feel that that situation that you went through would have any bearing on your ability to be fair and impartial to both sides in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: No.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
Anyone else?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Hi. Badge Number 0691, Nancy Burroughs. I was managing a convenience store and I had --

I think I had 11:00 to 6:00. And there was a lot of what they called trinket at the time. And I know it was food stuff, but I was accused because I was always eating when I got there, because I hate to cook. But the other thing is I have the credit card receipts. I didn't keep them at the time, but I charged my food on my card, and I ran up my credit card because I didn't have money to pay for food. So I ate the -- I knew the food was good where I worked, so I bought my food there.

THE COURT: Okay. And somebody accused you of stealing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I was fired over it.
THE COURT: Oh, I see.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: But was interesting is that they had to bring in the outside security rather than reprimand me, because I was never reprimanded in five years. I was never reprimanded for anything on the job, and I told them why I did it, because the food was fresh and hot.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Did you feel that you were treated unfairly, then, by your employer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I thought it was interesting that I was never reprimanded.

THE COURT: Well, they fired you, you said.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.
THE COURT: That's the ultimate reprimand.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: But they -- well, part of
my feeling was that I worked more hours than my manager. She never questioned my judgment on any other things.

THE COURT: Okay. And how long ago was that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Possibly 10 years.
THE COURT: Okay. And the police were never involved?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Oh, no. I'm sorry. It was inside security. It was, like, the corporate security guy.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I argued the point. I really wanted to keep my job, but.

THE COURT: Right. And so you felt like you were unfairly fired, because you hadn't done anything wrong?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I think I was unfairly fired because they wouldn't -- I think they didn't even want to release me for my dad's funeral. They didn't want to let me go because they couldn't find someone to fill in that date.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm not sure what that had to do with your firing for something they were accusing you of.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I think I was just angry at the time. I really didn't want to be fired.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.
Anyone else accused of or convicted of a crime? No other hands here.

Any hands back here?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Hi, I'm Kathleen, 075. I
was accused of -- it was a car accident that I was involved in. It was a couple of years back, and -- well, it just -- this lady hit my car and I was wrongfully, I felt, because I wasn't actually in the situation.

I don't think it's on.
THE COURT: Can you check it, marshal?
THE MARSHAL: Is it green?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: No. There's no --
THE MARSHAL: It was when I gave it to you.
THE COURT: Maybe you accidentally turned it off.
THE MARSHAL: Folks, when you hold this, if you touch the bottom, it'll shut it off. Okay.

There you go.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Okay. So I was in a car accident and I was at intersections. It was -- I was, like, a stop, and I went into a one-lane road. And this lady kind of just came out of nowhere, hit my car. And she was -- wasn't driving with her license, and she apologized to me and said, You know, I'm sorry, I didn't -- like, I hit your car or whatever. And so she left her daughter with me. She's a teenager. She went home to get her license. I waited for her, she brought her husband back with her. And when the cops came, cops said it was my fault and clearly it was her fault. I don't know what -- how they even thought it was her -- like, my fault.

But I ended up paying for the -- like, for the -- I didn't -- my car didn't get repaired. They repaired her car. And they tried to
collect insurance money from me and they were claiming that they were injured and all of this. And I wasn't even injured. So, yeah, that was a situation.

THE COURT: Okay. So you felt wronged by the person who --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I felt wronged --
THE COURT: -- told the police --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Sorry.
THE COURT: -- that you -- it was your fault; that's the first thing. Is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I felt wronged by the person and by the cops.

THE COURT: Okay. So that was the -- what I was going to say next. So you felt like they made a decision. Do you -- did you feel like they made a decision to believe her over you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
THE COURT: And did you feel like there was a reason for that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I honestly felt that was pretty shady. Like, I didn't know what they did to bribe the cop or whatever it was. But it happened. I don't know what -- it was really unfair.

THE COURT: Okay. But you couldn't --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I couldn't do anything about it. My car is still damaged and I had to do -- we went -- we
did go to court for it. And I had to do, like, a class online and they lowered the amount of what I was supposed to pay. But I had to -it was all out of my pocket and I did -- I've -- I didn't do anything wrong. But I was the one who was -- they said I-- it was my fault.

THE COURT: Okay. And so the police officer, obviously, wrote you a ticket?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yep.
THE COURT: Yep? Okay. And so do you hold that against all police officers now? Or how does that make you feel?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yeah, I honestly do.
Because it happened to me and it is possible that it could be -- any cop could be tainted. Just it could happen to me, so, from experience.

THE COURT: Okay. It sounds like the microphone cut out again.

THE MARSHAL: I think the batteries are dying.
THE COURT: Yeah, let's replace the batteries.
[Pause in proceedings.]
THE COURT: All right. So do you remember how I asked questions yesterday of some people that were involved in law enforcement or had family in law enforcement, and I said, Will you be able to weigh the testimony of a police officer in the same way you would any other witness? So in your situation, would you be able to weigh the testimony of law enforcement officers in the same way you would, or are you now of a mind, because of what
happened, that, basically, every police officer --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Not every -- but it's possible that someone out there, a cop, could be -- it's just from my experience, like, it happened to me. So I truly do believe that.

THE COURT: Truly do believe what?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I do believe that there is a possibility that a cop could be, like, just kind of just fabricating something or, you know, maybe somebody put the money in the side and said, like, hush, hush, you know, like, don't say anything kind of thing or -- yeah, that's --

THE COURT: Okay. Well, so you recognize, then, that police officers are human beings?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes, I do.
THE COURT: So there are some that are good, some that may not good, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
THE COURT: Is that -- okay. Because what I'm trying to figure out is are you going to be biased against a police officer, law enforcement officer that testifies to the other extent. In other words, there's some people that they're, like, I don't care what they say, and they got that badge, that shiny badge on, so they could tell me there's a pink elephant in the room and I'd go with that.

And then there are other people who would say, I don't believe anything any police officer says, they're all liars and bad people and -- so anything -- I've already made up my mind. If
they -- there's an officer that's testifying, they're lying.
And then there's people who say, I don't know, I've got to listen to the testimony and make a decision after I weigh, you know, all -- everything that's available to me.

But I don't know where you fall in that spectrum.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I don't think all the police are like that. But it -- like I said, I feel like it is a possibility. So that is kind of in my head, where -- because I don't know the cop personally, and I don't know how that person works. So it would kind of affect me, because I -- I mean, like I said, it's a possibility.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, anything's possible in this world, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yeah. Right.
THE COURT: Okay. So I'm just trying to get at are you coming to this trial with that in your mind, that basically you're going to disbelieve all law enforcement officers, but you wouldn't just disbelieve every other witness, but law enforcement has to work their way back to overcome this bad situation that happened with you. Where do you -- what do you think?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I don't think it would work out, because it never worked out for me.

THE COURT: So you do have a bias, then?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I do. Because it never worked out ever for me. Like, it was just a whole proof thing. You know.

THE COURT: Okay. Approach.
[Bench conference not recorded.]
THE COURT: All right. Let's see. Anyone else have a bad experience with law enforcement where they feel it's going to affect their ability to be fair and impartial to both sides, you know -- okay. Got someone in the back here.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Yes, Your Honor. My name's Terry Woodrow, 076.

THE COURT: Yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: The case is sealed, can I talk about something like that?

THE COURT: It would -- it was sealed after a conviction you had it sealed later?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: After settlement, I guess conviction. Deferred judgment.

THE COURT: Okay. How long ago was this?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: 2005.
THE COURT: Okay. So yeah, you can still talk about -you know, I -- did you have a bad experience --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Yes.
THE COURT: -- with law enforcement? What was that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: There was a fight in high school, 16-year-olds.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: There were two punches
thrown. One involved family member of mine. He was wearing a cast where he'd broken his hand. The -- he was being bullied, he responded with both -- both parties threw one punch. He wore a cast. He did punch the other child in the face. There was a police statement with my wife present. The statement that came out of that that was provided to us and our attorney was not complete. It simply had the things that made my son look bad, but did not have the other information from the statement. So it seemed like selective information. What was in there was not a lie, it just wasn't the total -- the whole truth.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: It then went to court, a district attorney decided to judge -- to charge a 16 -year-old as an adult with assault with a deadly weapon.

THE COURT: Okay. So --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: The --
THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: -- district attorney --
THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait.
I don't need you to go into lots of details, you know, where juveniles are involved, because my question, really, is you've said that this would affect your ability to be fair and impartial to both sides. And it sounds as if you have some -- this happened here in Clark County, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: No.

THE COURT: No. What --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Not in this state.
THE COURT: Okay. Did -- do -- should the district attorney, the prosecuting attorneys in this case have a concern that you could not be fair to the State of Nevada in this case because of that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: I would give anything that came from the police statement, where they were presenting something that someone else had said --

THE COURT: Uh-huh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: -- I would have little -- no faith in that. I believe the district attorney was not looking in this case for justice, because there was simply -- they never spoke to my son.

THE COURT: Right, right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: They never got any --
THE COURT: Stop, stop, stop.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: -- information --
THE COURT: Stop. Okay. Again, we're not talking about that -- that is in the past. What we're talking about is today. Today, do you harbor that same animosity? I mean, I can tell you're very upset by it and so --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Yes, I still feel that -THE COURT: Does it carry over to today in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Yes, it's still with me.

THE COURT: Okay. So you can't be fair to the prosecution?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: I can look at information and make a judgment. But if it comes from a statement from the district attorney or the police, where they are not having physical evidence, I would tend to ignore them.

THE COURT: Okay. So the prosecution -- the lawyers that are prosecutors are not witnesses in the case. Lawyers are not allowed to be witnesses in the case that they're involved in. Okay. That's an ethical violation. So they're not going to do witnesses.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: No, I'm not --
THE COURT: But -- I'm sorry --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: But also the police presented.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: For the better -- a partial truth and the effect of a lie, and it totally deferred --

THE COURT: I get --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: -- initiated the entire situation.

THE COURT: I understand now. And so again, bringing you back to 2019, here, this case, a police officer takes the stand and testifies about what a witness said. Are you just going to say, nope, don't believe it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: I would give that very
little credence.
THE COURT: All right. And that's because you have a bias; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: Yes.
THE COURT: So you can't be fair and impartial to the State because of what happened to you; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 076: That is correct.
THE COURT: All right. You're excused. Thank you.
All right. How's everybody doing? Need a break?
Anybody need -- ah, yes, I see some willing folks in the back that want a break.

Okay. So we're going to take our afternoon recess, because it's $3: 15$. And so we're going to give you a 15 -minute recess. I'm going to read to you this admonition that you'll come to know and love.

So ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a 15 -minute recess. During this recess it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper, television, radio, or Internet. You are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it's finally submitted to you. And you'll remember no research on your phones or anything of that nature.

I'll see you at 3:15.
[Prospective jury panel recessed at 2:59 p.m.]
THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect the venire has departed the courtroom. And while we can still remember it, let's talk about our bench conferences.

So we had one bench conference where counsel asked to approach. This was when I believe it's Jasmin Ancheta spoke, Badge Number 160, you know, she basically thinks that, between God and karma, that everybody gets what they deserved. And the ultimate penalty should be imposed for the least infraction. I hope she doesn't live in a glass house, but --

MR. MATSUDA: I like her.
THE COURT: So counsel asked to approach, because prosecutors indicated at the bench that they were concerned she's going to taint the entire panel. I think the rest of the panel is probably as alarmed as we all felt that she was saying all this.

We've got to know and we pretty much all agreed that she probably is not somebody that you'd want to be on your jury. We thought that when asked the question as to whether she could follow the law, she'd be saying no. But that's not what she said. Basically, she's -- I think it's more that she's just saying no matter what bad things happens to you, it must be because you did something bad and that you deserve it, and it's just God, you know, punishing you for whatever it is.

So I don't know if we have -- you can ask her more
questions on that if you want to. But right now I don't know that there's any cause to kick her off the jury at this point.

MS. MOORS: Your Honor, I think that the State's worry was anytime someone says that if you go with a man, you deserve to be raped, I don't see how that person could be fair and impartial in any type of violent crime.

So while she didn't say unequivocally on the record, I cannot be fair, her words absolutely said I cannot be fair. And that was why, I mean --

THE COURT: She said -- well, yeah. She said a lot of things about that. But this is -- and if this was a sexual assault cause, I'd say, okay, yeah, definitely she can't be on the panel. And -- but I don't see at this point she's saying something -- I mean, she's telling us that she has a very odd belief system, that somehow, well, you know, you put yourself in these positions, then you deserve what you get. Or if you did something in the past and something bad happens to you later, it's God's punishment. I mean, that's kind of -- I mean, there are a lot of people that feel that way.

MS. MOORS: Sure, and I understand if Your Honor, you know, isn't willing --

THE COURT: Yeah.
MS. MOORS: -- to bump her for cause. I thought that it came across to me that she's basically saying she could not be fair and impartial. But I understand if you're disinclined.

THE COURT: I was just trying to kind of segue my way into the general question that you wanted me to ask about, you know, the potential of an accomplice testifying, would they be able to believe or not believe or would they dismiss that. And it kind of blew up into the craziness, I don't know.

MR. MATSUDA: And, Your Honor, we're not going to object to kicking her for cause as well, because I think we have the same feeling as the State; we don't know if she can be impartial for either side.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. MATSUDA: So I would be okay if that's what the holdup with the Court is. I --

THE COURT: Well --
MR. MATSUDA: We'll submit on that.
THE COURT: -- all right. If you're both fine with kicking her for cause, because I agree, I don't think she should be on the jury. I question, frankly, her truthfulness in answering the question about could she follow the law. That's why -- because that's more my concern, that she'll make decisions that aren't based on the law, but based upon this odd belief system she has.

So if you're both in agreement, no objection, then we'll let her go.

MS. MOORS: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. So remind me when she comes in, marshal, we're going to be thanking her and excusing her. And
we'll be filling in somebody else.
Who will the next person be that will fill?
THE CLERK: The next will be --
MR. MATSUDA: 041, Tugaoen.
THE CLERK: -- Anthony Allen Tugaoen, 041.
THE MARSHAL: Since we're speaking of that gentleman, he just informed me in the hall that he had dinner with some judge and congressional people, that he wasn't sure if he should have spoke to you about.

THE COURT: Well, for the record, it wasn't me. I did not have dinner with a juror, prospective juror. Well, when we put him in -- did he tell you why he thought that he needed to bring that to your attention?

THE MARSHAL: He just wanted to tell me in case it became an issue later. He's just trying to cover all his bases, you know. So.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So next bench conference, let's see --

MS. MOORS: With the --
THE CLERK: This was regarding --
MS. MOORS: -- the shooter case.
THE CLERK: Correct. The one in juror position --
MS. MOORS: I don't know how to say it.
THE CLERK: -- or in Seat 5, Juror 415, Kevin Oxom.
THE COURT: Yes. Okay. And so Mr. Matsuda asked to
approach, and he was basically in full-blown defense attorney mode and wanting him not to incriminate himself further in case --

MR. MATSUDA: Admit to many crimes.
THE COURT: To confessing to crimes. Right. And so that was basically to just to kind of stop him from saying anything more.

Is that kind of -- I mean, we agreed that I was going to try and move him -- move on without him saying anything more just to finish up that line of questioning that we were doing.

MR. MATSUDA: Right.
MS. MOORS: Yes.
THE COURT: Is that fine? Okay.
And then, let's see, we had another one where I think --
MS. MOORS: No, I think I --
THE COURT: -- I asked you guys to approach.
MR. MATSUDA: I think that was the --
MS. MOORS: That was the female --
MR. MATSUDA: The female right here on -- what's her
name?
MS. MOORS: Kathleen Cabael.
MR. MATSUDA: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Juror Number 075.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. MOORS: She experienced the car wreck with the police and didn't feel that the police were being genuous [sic], essentially insinuated that they can be bought off.

THE COURT: Right. And so I was trying to figure out whether you wanted to, you know, challenge her for cause. And Mr. Matsuda indicated that he would want to try and rehabilitate her some. And I said, Okay, well, then I'll just deny the Motion to Strike her for cause right now and when you -- if and when we get to her individually -- because she's still out in the gallery and not in the box, so to speak -- we'll let you do the further questioning and see. But I do have grave concerns, from what she's saying, that she isn't going to be fair. But I thought her statements were pretty radical until I heard from the gentleman in the corner, who was -went off even more so.

Or was that all three did we have? Just --
THE CLERK: No, I missed that one, Your Honor. So --
THE COURT: All right. I think that's it.
MS. MOORS: I think that that's all.
MR. MATSUDA: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. So you've got some break time for yourself. Thank you. We'll go off the record.
[Court recessed at 3:09 p.m., until 3:20 p.m.]
[In the presence of the prospective jury panel.]
THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. And the record will reflect we are back in the presence of the venire in Case Number C-337017, State of Nevada versus Devohn Marks. Mr. Marks is present with his counsel, the prosecutors are present, all officers of the court are present as well.

And Ms. Ancheta, Badge Number 160, you are excused. Thank you very much.

THE CLERK: Next in line, Anthony Allen Tugaoen, Badge 041. Please take Seat 4.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Everybody's settled in there. Okay.

Let's see, next question. Are there any of you who do not understand what the presumption of innocence means? Raise your hand. Okay. So I'm just going to explain this first and then ask the next question.

So in our system of justice, a defendant never has to prove his or her innocence. It is the State's burden of proof to prove that the person accused is guilty. And they have to do -- the burden of proving that is beyond a reasonable doubt. And so everyone starts out at trial as presumed innocent if they are accused of a crime. Okay. That's our system of justice and it is an important of our system.

So is there anyone who disagrees with that presumption of innocence and feels that a defendant that's accused of a crime should be required to prove they're innocent rather than that the State should have to prove that they're guilty? Anyone feel that way? All right. I see no hands.

Okay. So now intellectually, everybody understands and everybody has indicated that they agree with that premise. However, sometimes what happens is people will say that, but then
they'll, during questioning, they'll say something to the effect of, Well, yes, but I want to hear both sides. So here's something I want to tell you. And that is that a defendant never has to tell his or her side. Right? Because they don't have to do any proving. They don't have to prove their innocence.

And so a defendant never has to call a single witness, never has to present any evidence, it's the State's burden of proof to prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt. So is there anyone who feels like I know, I understand what you're saying, Judge, but I just am still going to -- I won't be able to, you know, even if the State doesn't prove their case, even if I feel like, Well, they didn't prove their case, unless the defendant takes the stand and testifies and tells his side of the story, I'm just not going to be able to acquit. Is there anyone that feels that way? All right. So I see no hands. Okay.

Okay. So from time to time in trials, witnesses who may have been an accomplice to a crime may testify in a case. And is there anyone who feels that if someone was an accomplice in a case, then they could not believe -- they won't be able to listen to what the witness says at all, they just will disregard that witness's testimony before even hearing it; is there anyone that feels that way? All right. So we have one person. Oh, we have two.

So before we go further, maybe accomplice is not a term that everyone's familiar with. So an accomplice is someone who participated in some way in the crime. Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 950: George Romero, zero -or 950 .

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Romero.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 950: I don't think if you were part of the crime it's, like, ethical to believe your statements.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 950: Like, against someone else who committed the same crime with you.

THE COURT: All right. So why do you feel that way? Do you think that somebody could never be truthful?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 950: Not if they're --
THE COURT: Or do you believe that because they're a tattle-tale, that they shouldn't be believed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 950: No, I believe that since they were part of the crime, we shouldn't take their statements honestly, because --

THE COURT: So you -- would you want to listen to other testimony in the case? So what if there was other testimony that was offered and other evidence that was offered, that you felt confident that that witness was telling the truth, would that make a difference to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 950: No, I don't -- I still think I wouldn't believe them.

THE COURT: Okay. And why is that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 950: Just because they
committed -- or they're part of the crime, so it doesn't make sense to kind of -- like, and at least for me, it doesn't make sense for me to believe their statements if they're being accused of the same crime.

THE COURT: Okay. Like I say, there's other evidence that would seem to corroborate that witness's testimony. That wouldn't make any difference to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 950: No.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Any -- I thought I saw another hand back here. Was there anyone else that had their hand up?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Hello. My name is Parmjit, my badge number is 059. I have difficulty to understand this -- everything. So it's hard for me to do that jury thing. If I no understand, I waste maybe your time and --

THE COURT: Okay. So you brought this to my attention and I said to you yesterday that I wanted to wait and see how you did.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: So have you been having a hard time?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes, like --
THE COURT: Okay. So you -- have you not --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I don't think so I can do this all the, you know, the jury thing. Make me nervous and so I maybe cannot decide anything, help to you. So.

THE COURT: Okay. Is that because you're not
understanding words?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Not everything.
THE COURT: Not everything? Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Not everything. So.
THE COURT: All right. Well, you have to be proficient enough in the English language that you do understand --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: -- you know, virtually everything. I mean, there are certain times when you might not understand a particular word. But maybe English speakers don't, either. But if you're having that much of a difficulty, then I'll dismiss you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Thank you.
THE COURT: But I appreciate you --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Thank you, ma'am.
THE COURT: -- trying, because I know you have been.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yeah. Thank you so much.

THE COURT: Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: That's -- I try my best, but more go in the detail for this -- this case, I cannot handle it.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Thank you.
THE COURT: Okay. Anyone who -- else who would just reject accomplice witness testimony without consideration of anything else? All right. Thank you. I see no other hands.

All right. I think I asked all the general questions that I was planning on asking. So this next part is that I'm going to be asking each of you to tell me about yourself now. I can't -- we do not have time for your life story, and so, really, when I ask that, I saw tell me about yourself, this is what I want you to tell me:

I want you to tell me how long have you lived here in Clark County? Are you married, single, divorced, partner, significant other, et cetera, et cetera? I want to know what you do for a living. If you have a partner, significant other, or if you're a widower or widow and what that person does or did for a living. If -- I want to know if you have any children. And if they are adult children, I'd like to know what they do for a living. And then, finally, I want to know what your education background is. Okay.

So, of course, our first person that's going to do this is Ms. Fields there in Seat Number 1. So she gets to go first.

Wait a second.
MS. MOORS: Can we approach?
THE COURT: You can approach in a second.
Don't worry when we start this, which it looks like it's going to be delayed for a minute. But when we start this, don't worry that you might forget something, because I'll prompt you, and believe me, everybody does get it, and they'll be able to pick up on it. I've done this a thousand times and so you will. Don't be nervous.

All right. Approach.
[Bench conference not recorded.]
THE COURT: All right. So before we get to that part, counsel reminded me of a general question that I wanted to ask you.

So we live in interesting times, as they say. And there's been a lot of discussion and tension and protests and, well, just a lot of discussion in general in the population about race. Okay. So I'm not going to put a pretty face on that, right? Some of us thought for a long time that more than 50 years past the Civil Rights Movement and the passage of laws in that regard, that we've made a lot of progress. And then it turns out that maybe not so much. Okay.

So I need to know if anyone has any racial bias that -- we need to know about that. And it can go any way, it can go either way, for or against. Decisions in this case should never, in any case, should never be based upon the color of anybody's skin, their ethnicity, their race, their religion, et cetera.

And so I need anybody that feels that they would have or harbor some bias that is based on any of those kinds of things to let me know. And if this is for or against any -- in any way could this impact your decision-making in this case. Anyone feel that way at all? All right. Okay. I have one gentleman.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 980: Whitney Jones, Juror Number 980.

THE COURT: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 980: Might be not what you're thinking, but I do definitely believe our country needs some traditional reform. I believe there are issues where members of minorities are being treated unfairly in the courts. So, you know, I don't think it would stop me from rendering a decision based on the facts, but I would definitely not want to be part of the problem. So I would want to make sure there was absolutely no doubt in my mind that that was the correct thing to do. So --

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So it's interesting that you use that term, No doubt. So you'll be instructed on the law, the -okay, the burden of proof is not no doubt. And sometimes on television you hear them say, Beyond a shadow of a doubt. That's not the standard. The standard is beyond a reasonable doubt. And you'll be instructed on the law and what that means.

But I certainly appreciate that you would want to make sure that your decision is based upon the facts of the case and that it's a fair decision. Is that accurate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 980: Yes.
THE COURT: And that's what we all want.
Is there anyone that would not want that? Because what I'm asking about is -- are biases, somebody that has an agenda, that says, This trial, this doesn't make any difference to me. I want to make my own personal -- I want vengeance for something that happened to me before. I have -- I want to make a political statement of some kind that doesn't have anything to do with this
trial. I'm just going to make an example of the justice system in general. That -- you need to take that kind of thing out and protest out on the streets when it's appropriate. Right?

But here, it's really important that you make your decisions not based on anything like that, but on the facts, on the law. It's really essentially important to both sides that you make your decisions on that basis. And that's what everyone in this courtroom that's involved in this case, you know, the lawyers for both sides, certainly Mr. Marks, I want a fair and impartial jury. So if there's anyone that feels that they -- or have any bias that's going to sneak in, they're harboring that in their head, I need to know about that now. All right. I see no hands.

I appreciate your comments. All right. Thank you.
Okay. All right. We will start on the top row.
Ms. Fields, tell me about yourself.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Hi. I don't need to give my number?

THE COURT: It was Badge Number --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: 017.
THE COURT: -- 017, right?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Can you hear me?
THE COURT: Yes, I can.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Okay. I'm Vicki Fields.

I'm a sales associate, I've been in sales for 15 years. I'm a single parent. I have two children, they're adults. My son is autistic and my daughter is a veteran.

THE COURT: A veteran?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes. She's served in the Air Force.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: And what else?
THE COURT: How long have you lived here in Clark
County?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Okay. I lived in Vegas for eight years. I'm from Chicago.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Okay.
THE COURT: And have --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Born and raised in
Chicago, I moved here eight years ago.
THE COURT: And how do you like Vegas as compared with Chicago?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I like Vegas.
THE COURT: Okay. Good.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: All right. And you said you're in sales, a sales associate.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.

THE COURT: What type of sales?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Macy's.
THE COURT: Oh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Department store.
THE COURT: Okay. And have you always worked for Macy's?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No. I had other jobs, secretary, I worked for the bank.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Various jobs, yes.
THE COURT: So how --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: But it was in Chicago.
THE COURT: Oh, that was in Chicago?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
THE COURT: So eight years have you worked for the same employer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Four years for Macy's.
THE COURT: Four years for Macy's. Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
THE COURT: That's a long time.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: And especially today, when it seems like people move around a little more.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Right, well, I did 11 years in Chicago with Macy's.

THE COURT: Oh, you did?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Here, just four.
THE COURT: Did you transfer from Chicago to Las Vegas?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Well, I'm old, see, and I just had to reapply.

THE COURT: Okay. And they were happy to get you -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.

THE COURT: -- with all the experience you had with the company.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Okay. Very good. What is your education background?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Some college.
THE COURT: Okay. What --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I don't have a degree.
THE COURT: All right. And where did you go to college when you went?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I went to Taylor Business Institute. I went to Harold Washington Business Institute. And Lou [phonetic] College -- this is in Chicago.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Lou College.
THE COURT: So were you studying business then?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Have you found those courses that
you did take helpful to you in your current position?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
Would the State like to inquire further?
MS. MOORS: Yes, Your Honor.
Is it okay if I stand here?
THE COURT: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Okay.
Good afternoon, ma'am.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Hi.
MS. MOORS: So I want to ask you a question. I wrote -have to excuse my notes and my handwriting. I wrote a note yesterday that said DV relationship. Was this -- remind me, was this with you or with someone else in your family --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: What was that?
MS. MOORS: -- that there had been a domestic violence relationship.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Oh, me.
MS. MOORS: Okay. And was that out here in Las Vegas?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No.
MS. MOORS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: This was back in 1985.
MS. MOORS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: It was an ex-boyfriend I had.

MS. MOORS: Okay. And was it -- if you could remind me, was that a situation where you did call police or you did not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes, I did.
MS. MOORS: And did ultimately that result in some charges against this individual?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Did you go to court to testify about that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
MS. MOORS: All in all, how did you feel that you were treated with your involvement in the criminal justice system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I was treated very well.
MS. MOORS: Okay. And since then, you haven't -- there haven't been any other bad relationships?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No.
MS. MOORS: Good. Good news.
Is there anything else that you've heard us talk about that you think we would want to know in representing the State of Nevada in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No.
MS. MOORS: Okay. What about if you were representing the defendant, is there anything that you would want to say to that attorney that you think is relevant to whether or not you could serve on the jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No.
MS. MOORS: Okay.

I have no further questions, Your Honor. I pass.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Mr. Matsuda?
MR. MATSUDA: Thank you, Your Honor.
Good afternoon, Ms. Fields.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
MR. MATSUDA: I have one question for you and it's very important.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Uh-huh.
MR. MATSUDA: Born and raised Chicago?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
MR. MATSUDA: Cubs or White Sox?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: See, I move -- I lived on both sides --

MR. MATSUDA: No, no, no. Cubs or White Sox? There's no explaining that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Sox.
MR. MATSUDA: Okay. Thank you.
Nothing further, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's the determining factor, apparently.

All right. If you would pass the microphone over to Martha Ferris, Badge Number 997.

Tell us about yourself, ma'am.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: My name is Martha Lou

Ferris, Badge Number 997. I moved to Las Vegas about nine years ago. And I am married. I just got married about a year and a half ago. My profession, I'm an independent options and equities trader on the exchanges. I -- my husband is retired. He owned his own stock brokerage firm in Salt Lake.

Before we moved to Las Vegas, I've lived around the world. My children live in Australia. I have two adult children.

THE COURT: What do they do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: They are -- one, my younger son, graduated with a law degree, and my older son is a mechanical engineer. And --

THE COURT: Okay. So you said that you trade equities, for yourself or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Yes.
THE COURT: -- others?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: No.
THE COURT: Just your own portfolio?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Myself. Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And what's your education background?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: I went to college in Switzerland. I went to a -- to Franklin College in Lugano. And then my third year, I went to another -- to a fashion college in Lucerne, Switzerland.

THE COURT: Okay. So what brought you to Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: The weather. Although not the 115-degree weather.

THE COURT: Okay. Where were you just before you moved to Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Park City, Utah, and Deer Valley.

THE COURT: Okay. And when you were younger and living around the world, what -- how did that come about? Were -was this a military family moving around or?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: I am from a military family. But we didn't travel around the world. My father was in the banking business and he was, like, a weekend warrior. He was in the International Guard.

THE COURT: Sure.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: A general in the International Guard. And so when I was 18, graduated from high school, the first thing that I wanted to do was move to Europe. So that's why I ended up in Switzerland.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're adventurous, you have an adventurous soul?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: You might say so, yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And you're -- you have two sons, you said?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Yes.
THE COURT: And the son that is the lawyer, what kind of
law does he practice?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Well, he didn't go into law.

THE COURT: Oh. He just got the degree? And then what did he do with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Yeah. I'm sorry?
THE COURT: What did he -- you said he got a law degree.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: But then what did he do after that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: He's just in sales.
THE COURT: Okay. So he didn't --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: He didn't make use of the law degree.

THE COURT: He didn't? Did he not enjoy the law, working in the law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: No. I think he was basically just going for a degree.

THE COURT: I see. All right. Thank you.
Would the State like to inquire further?
MS. MOORS: Yes.
Now, did I hear you right, do both of your adult children live in Australia?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Where in Australia?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: In the Gold Coast.

MS. MOORS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Surfer's paradise.
MS. MOORS: Very cool. I did a study abroad in Adelaide in law school, so I'm a huge fan.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: In Adelaide? Okay.
MS. MOORS: Uh-huh. Did -- so did your son that got the law degree, was that actually in Australia or here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Yes, in Australia.
MS. MOORS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: At Bond University.
MS. MOORS: Okay. Yeah, I have some friends that went there. Okay.

And in terms of -- now, you said you'd moved out here for the weather; remind me again how many years you've been out here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Nine.
MS. MOORS: Okay. And I know we talked about it yesterday whether or not anyone had served on a jury, and I don't think that you had; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Nope.
MS. MOORS: If you were chosen to serve on this jury, do you feel that you could be fair and impartial to both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Yes?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Uh-huh.

MS. MOORS: I heard an um. Is that because you -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Well, no, I -- no, I could. But I also have very extreme high anxiety.

MS. MOORS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: And so I don't know how that would affect my judgment.

MS. MOORS: Okay. Is that something --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: But I could be fair and balanced and --

MS. MOORS: Okay. When you say high anxiety, is that something like -- like, is this making you anxious, like, right now?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: It's debilitating.
MS. MOORS: Right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Uh-huh.
MS. MOORS: Okay. And does it relate to when you are in certain situations?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Yes. I've never been this debilitated in my life. It's difficult.

MS. MOORS: Okay. So you're saying you believe you can be fair and impartial, but you're just a little worried about --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: I don't know how it would affect my judgment.

MS. MOORS: Okay. Okay. I don't have any further questions.

I pass for cause.

THE COURT: Let me just ask -- before Mr. Matsuda, you get your chance.

Are you anxious just being amongst strangers and having to speak?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Were you anxious all day yesterday?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Yes.
THE COURT: What is you're anxious about?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Just the pressures.
THE COURT: What pressure are you feeling?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: The responsibility --
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: -- of somebody else's life.
THE COURT: Okay. So --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Going forward.
THE COURT: Do you have difficulty making decisions in your ordinary life?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: No.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Just --
THE COURT: How about --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: -- being here.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: I --
THE COURT: How about in your own life, making --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: No.
THE COURT: -- like, important decisions? No problem? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: No. I don't.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: This is somebody else's life that I'm -- will be involved in.

THE COURT: Okay. Right. And so that's --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: And that I may be responsible for.

THE COURT: Okay. And so do you think you cannot do that? Are you going to become incapacitated if you're sitting on the jury and you have to go back and deliberate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: I don't know. I don't know what will happen, because l've never been in this position before.

THE COURT: Have you ever felt this anxiety before that -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: No. No. No, not like -not a debilitating anxiety.

THE COURT: And when you say it's debilitating, in what way --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Well --
THE COURT: -- have you been debilitated?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: It's because I'm -- I could be responsible if I were to find the defendant guilty. I would feel responsible for his life going forward, which may not be a good life.

THE COURT: Okay. And so is that going to keep you from making a decision -- so, hypothetical, if the State proves the case beyond a reasonable doubt, will you be able to return a verdict of guilty, or are you going to be -- you just can't do that no matter if they prove their case or not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: I'm not sure what all -what will -- how things will happen. I don't know. I don't know how I will react. My judgment might be altered. I don't know. Again, it's debilitating. It's just -- public speaking, number one, is not my forte.

THE COURT: Okay. You're doing very well, I just want to say.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Well --
THE COURT: You seem very calm and --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: That -- well, if you were inside my body, it's not calm.

THE COURT: Well, that's why I have to ask questions, because I'm not inside your body or your head. And so you've used the term debilitating several times, and my understanding of what that word means is that it keeps you from functioning --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Yes.
THE COURT: -- in some way.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Yes.
THE COURT: So what have you been unable to do since you've been in jury selection?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Well, I have to also admit that on occasion I experience senior moments, which comes with age.

THE COURT: All right. So is --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: So that could be -- I just don't want to be a burden to the courts. I don't want to be a burden to the defendant. I don't want to be a burden to the prosecutors, nor do I want to be a burden to you and my fellow jurors.

THE COURT: Okay. So are you saying that from time to time you have memory problems or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Well, I -- yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you ever have --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Just temporary memory. I might not be able to -- I may be in the middle of a sentence.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: And forget what I'm talking about.

THE COURT: Oh, well, yeah. I think probably most of us do. We kind of wander and then --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Yeah.
THE COURT: -- Oh, what was the point of that? But you feel it's more than the typical thing that you might have always done, you know, telling a story and it gets over here and then you forgot that the point was here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Well, it's not fun. I --

THE COURT: So you're concerned that you have maybe experienced recently something that could affect your ability to do your job as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Right. Correct.
THE COURT: All right.
Counsel approach.
[Bench conference not recorded.]
THE COURT: All right. So Ms. Ferris, I really appreciate your candor and I'm going to relieve you of further anxiety and excuse you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 997: Thank you very much.
THE COURT: Call the next in order.
THE CLERK: Hannah Rubinson, Badge 053, please take Seat 2.

THE COURT: Where's the microphone? All right.
Good afternoon.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Good afternoon.
THE COURT: So tell us about yourself.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: So I've lived in Clark
County all my life, 19 years. I'm currently still in school, I go to UNLV, and I just declared my major in accounting. So I have two more years and then I'll have my bachelor's degree. And currently I work in an equestrian center. For those of you who don't know, it's a horse place, essentially. And I've been working there for two and a half years. And before that, I was just kind of like off and on with,
like, little odd jobs and stuff like that. And both my parents own their own businesses. When I was younger, I worked for them. And now I do that.

THE COURT: What kind of businesses did your parents own that you worked for?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: My father owns a pool cleaning business and services pools and stuff like that. So when I was younger, I would do the routes with him. And then my mom owns a dog grooming shop, so I would help her in there as well.

THE COURT: Okay. So you had some experience with animals and now larger animals.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah, I've been riding horses since I was 3, so it just kind of like something that happened for me. It was an old trainer of mine that actually contacted me when I was 16 and was, like, Oh, hey, do you want to be working? It was, like, well, I mean, I already do it for free, I might as well do it for money.

THE COURT: All right. And so what are your day-to-day duties with the horses?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: So essentially I get there at 6:00 a.m., I feed the horses, and then any of them that need to go out and run and play and everything like that before they ride, then they'Il -- I'll handle that. And I tack them up for their riders. And we also have an equine treadmill, so anything that needs to go on that, they'll go on there. And then once they're finished being ridden, I'll
clean them all up and put them away and make sure all of their stuff's on.

THE COURT: All right. So that's a full day.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yep.
THE COURT: All right. Well, yes, I had three horses until about six months ago. I lost all my horses within three -- or within six months of each other, all from old age.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Wow.
THE COURT: Yeah. Sad, but they lived to be 32. My youngest was 25 .

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah, I have one that's 29 right now, so.

THE COURT: So, yeah, that -- you know, they're -- my vet said, Well, you know, you do realize that they are past their expiration dates. So you're good, you've been keeping your horse well if it's 29, because it's --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah.
THE COURT: -- getting up there. Really up there. All right.

Any reason whatsoever, you've hard all these other folks speaking, anything that you thought, oh, when it's my turn, need to bring this to the Court's attention?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No, I don't believe so.
THE COURT: All right. State?
MS. MOORS: Thank you.

So what do you prefer, English or Western? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: English.

MS. MOORS: Okay. Me too. Actually, my whole family plays polo. So we --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Okay.
MS. MOORS: -- we love horses as well.
Question: So I once was in jury selection one time, and I had someone who's younger like yourself --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yep.
MS. MOORS: -- say -- you know, we were asking if anyone had time problems and she said, Well, these all sound like old people problems. I don't have those. And we were, like, Okay.

But it brings me to a point, a question about your age, because I know sometimes when we're younger and, say, you're in a group of, you know, your seniors, there's a lot of adults that would be back in that jury room with you. Are you the type of person that, say, 11 of them say, you know, we find this way, whatever way it is, guilty or not guilty; are you the type of person that's just going to go along with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Definitely not. I'm very strong-minded and a lot of people tell me that I'm very mature for my age. So I wouldn't feel pressured by somebody else. And I would definitely make a point of making my opinion known.

MS. MOORS: Okay. So if you, you know, by the end of this conversation, it's still this 11 versus 1 , and you're steadfast in
your opinion, would you stick with that opinion?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Most definitely.
MS. MOORS: Okay. No further questions, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Matsuda?
MR. MATSUDA: I don't believe I have any questions, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. MATSUDA: No offense.
THE COURT: Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: None taken. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. And we have Katrese Carino, Badge Number 998. Tell us about yourself.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Well, I've been in Vegas my whole life, 22 years. I have two degrees from CSN. Also Associate's of General Education and Associate's of Psychology. I work at one of the casinos on the Strip as a tower attendant and elevator attendant. I'm single.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: I don't know what else to say.

THE COURT: All right. No children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: No.
THE COURT: Okay. And do you live with anyone else?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: I still live under my
parents.

THE COURT: Okay. What do they do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: My dad is a bartender and my mom, she doesn't work anymore, because she has medical problems.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. What is your -- do you have any goals for the future to use your degree or advance your education any further?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: For my psychology degree, I did thought about going to social working.

THE COURT: Oh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Nowadays not so much, just because of money's issue -- is an issue. So I'm thinking either going to phlebotomy.

THE COURT: Phlebotomy?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Okay. And is that something you could get training for at the community college? Or is that -- you'd have to get training for that somewhere else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: I could get it at the community college. But then I found out when I applied for certain places, like, they -- United Blood Services or Grifols, that they will provide training for me and then they will pay for my -- while I train. So better option.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's a good avenue, then. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: So you've investigated that. So that was good work. All right.

Anything that you heard in all the discussions, I mean, you were part of those discussions at one point, but anything where you're thinking you need to bring this more to our attention?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Not to sound like a copycat, but I do have pretty high anxiety too. So just like my old neighbor right here, like -- and I do feel like some -- it may or may not color my judgment also during -- as a juror.

THE COURT: Okay. What are you anxious about? Because you've shared with us several times before and seemed fine.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Yesterday it was actually pretty difficult for me to talk. Because there's several times when you ask certain questions that I did want to pitch in, but I couldn't. Then today I figured -- what was it you said yesterday, hold your peace? I was, like, I have to talk now.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, what?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Yesterday you said something like, Hold your peace. So I decided I had to talk now.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: So I said speak now or forever hold your peace as far as asking to be excused.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Oh.

THE COURT: So you didn't ask to be excused yesterday. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Huh?

THE COURT: You did not ask to be excused, right?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: No.
THE COURT: Okay. And so if you're chosen for the jury and you're, you know, part of your job as a juror is to discuss the case with your fellow jurors. That's why we have 12 jurors and you have to come to a decision as a group and agree.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Okay? At the end of the day, that's the ultimate goal. We hope that you can come to a joint decision. And so it's really important that you're able to discuss with your fellow jurors and voice your opinions. Do you think you'll be able to voice your opinions?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: I don't think I would be able to just because I'm not very good at arguing or debating also. So, for example, as deliberating part for the jury, if somebody -- it has to be unanimous. But if let's say everybody found the person guilty and I didn't, I won't pitch in my voice, just because I would not be able to explain it well. Or I wouldn't be able to share it right, basically. I don't know how to explain it.

THE COURT: What if the jury was just discussing something, everybody said they remembered the testimony this way and you remembered that there was an important fact that if the others knew about that, they might change their mind, would
you be willing to share that important fact that you remembered and had it in your notes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: I'm not too sure, to be honest. Just because I have a really bad habit of second-guessing myself.

THE COURT: You have a hard time making a decision? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Sometimes, at times. Uh-huh.

THE COURT: What would be an example of where you can't make a decision.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Since I -- after I graduate college, I regret this, I actually applied to become a phlebotomy -phlebotomist for Grifols. They're -- by the time I was kind of worried, I just think a lot, I over-think a lot. And I was -- I didn't take the job. And that's why I work as a tower attendant now at the Stratosphere.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: And you thought, well, looking at it with 20/20 hindsight, you think, Oh, I should have taken that job and taken the risk?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Yeah.
THE COURT: Of trying? Okay. All right. And so you don't think that now that -- so you're a couple of years older, since you turned that job down, that this is -- you've learned something
from this and that you could contribute in a jury?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: At times. I'm not too sure. I still second-guess myself with a lot of things, and I regret them later.

THE COURT: Well, everybody does that to a certain extent, when we think about, well, maybe I should have said this. Boy, I should have said this. That would be a much better retort to that comment than the one I thought of, which was kind of lame.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: But that's just normal. But it's -- the important thing is where it is an important decision that it's carefully thought out and that you can -- if you see that something has been missed, that you would feel strong enough in your own self to point that out and set it out there on the table so the others could discuss that thing, would you think you could do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: I'm not honestly too sure, you know.

THE COURT: All right. State, would you like to inquire further?

MS. MOORS: Yes, Your Honor, I would, actually.
Really briefly. So I totally get it, right? Like, anxiety, like, the idea of being in a room with 12 people in terms of deliberating. Let me ask you this: We essentially need to know -- and I know this is super weird having this conversation amongst all of us, it's, like, very bizarre. But we need to know this: If you are chosen to be on
this jury, can you, as you sit here today, promise to everyone here that you can be fair and impartial with regards to both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: I think I can. I could keep an open mind.

MS. MOORS: Okay. So when you say I think I can -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.

MS. MOORS: I know, I'm going to keep -- I'm a lawyer, so I'm annoying --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Yeah.
MS. MOORS: -- I'm going to keep pushing the issue. I can't -- like, I think I can is not good enough.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.
MS. MOORS: So I need to know, can you be fair and impartial to both sides? Or is there going to be some sort of issue with this anxiety that you've been discussing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: I think I will have issues with anxiety.

MS. MOORS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Uh-huh.
MS. MOORS: So that being said, then, you do not believe that you could be fair and impartial because of this anxiety issue?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 998: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Okay.
THE COURT: Thank you, you're excused.
Call the next in order.

THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
Kin Leng Chan, Badge 067, please take Seat 3.
THE COURT: All right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Hi.
THE COURT: So, Ms. Chan --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes. 067 badge.
THE COURT: Yes. Welcome to Seat 3. The hot seat today. So tell us about yourself.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: So I'm a table games dealer.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: And I've been in Vegas for 20 years. Single. And I have Associates of Science degree in CSN. That's about it.

THE COURT: What games do you deal?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Black jack, roulette, baccarat, pai gow, Texas hold-'em.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: The list continues.
THE COURT: So lots of games?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.
THE COURT: And what about different -- which places have you worked in town?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I worked downtown at EI Cortez and at Flamingo currently.

THE COURT: Okay. So you started downtown -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.

THE COURT: -- and worked your way up to the Strip?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Okay. That's kind of the normal progression, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Are you single, married?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Single.
THE COURT: Single? Okay. Do you have a significant other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.
THE COURT: And did you -- what's that person do for a living?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: He's also a table games dealer.

THE COURT: Okay. Did you meet at work?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Let's see. Oh, and you told me you had your associates degree.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.
THE COURT: And is that something you ever want to advance your education, have other goals --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Not --
THE COURT: -- or are you happy doing what you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Not right now.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Currently, I'm happy at dealing.

THE COURT: All right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: So.
THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
Would the State like to inquire further?
MS. MOORS: Your Honor, I would actually pass.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Matsuda?
MR. MATSUDA: Thank you, Your Honor.
Good afternoon, Ms. Chan.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Hello.
MR. MATSUDA: How are you doing?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Good.
MR. MATSUDA: Now, what -- or which games are your favorite to deal?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Roulette.
MR. MATSUDA: Why?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Because there's more going on at one time, and it uses your brain more. Because baccarat is more repetitive, and roulette you actually have, like, different outcomes, different numbers that you have to deal with. And you have, like, more players at one time.

MR. MATSUDA: Okay. So because you use your brain
more, you like it?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: It's very challenging. It keeps -- it's less boring throughout the day. Time passes by faster. MR. MATSUDA: Okay. Now, have you lived in Las Vegas your whole life?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.
MR. MATSUDA: Okay. What high school did you go to?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Spring Valley.
MR. MATSUDA: Okay. I believe that's it. Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Thank you.
MR. MATSUDA: I'll pass, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
All right. We have -- got that microphone. Anthony Allen Tugaoen. Am I saying your last name right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Pronounced correctly, honorable.

THE COURT: Thank you. Tell us about yourself.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Born and raised in Honolulu, Hawaii. Moved to Las Vegas in 2012. Been here for eight years, going on eight years. Currently food and beverage general manager for the Inspire Resort and Casino. No kids.

THE COURT: No kids?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes, Miss.
THE COURT: Significant other?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Single.

THE COURT: Single?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. So what caused you to move to the ninth island?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: My family.
THE COURT: Oh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Number one reason.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes.
THE COURT: So they were -- did they come first and then
you followed or?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: My grandmother left Hawaii, and that's the main person that I want to stay intact with. She moved here in 2003. After my high school career, I decided to come out here.

THE COURT: So big difference, right, between the middle of the Pacific Ocean and the weather there compared with Las Vegas. How do you like Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: It's a different culture. THE COURT: It is.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Culture shock different.
THE COURT: Right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Diversity, lifestyle. You taking a fish out of the ocean, put him in a desert, he get dehydrated in 30 minutes.

THE COURT: That's right. Okay. Anything that you heard during all the discussions we've had on all these various topics where you thought, When it's my turn, I need to talk about this, or let the judge know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I think it was the affiliation with law enforcement. I think you brought it up as if you had any relatives, friends, families. My education was high school, partial college. But I did graduate 2010 Law and Justice Academy, first class, under Neil Abercrombie and representative State of Hawaii Joey Manahan. And also my neighbor Kalihi Valley was senator -- no, Representative Dennis Arakaki.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Who was my mentor throughout my high school.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you think that that has any bearing on your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Not bearing, actually. I believe it'll influence my decision.

THE COURT: So that means it has a bearing on it. And so you think that -- in what way it would influence your decision in the case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I would actually not -how do you say that? Not -- not have a bad influence, but actually a positive one. I would just be fair with everything. I would be able to understand the situation, identify from both -- on -- from both
parties, as well.
THE COURT: Okay. So I think you just said you could hear for both parties? Is that what you said?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I agree to that.
THE COURT: So remember when I talked about the fact that the defense doesn't have to present any evidence? Obviously, I think Mr. Matsuda indicated earlier when he was saying, Well, I'm mainly going to cross-examine the State's witnesses.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: So -- and he -- they might call a witness, but they don't have to call a witness. Is that okay with you? You can make a decision nonetheless? Because they don't have to prove anything. The State has to prove the case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes, Your Honor Ellsworth.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
If the State would like to inquire further?
MS. MOORS: Yes.
Did I hear you say that you had went to the Law and Justice Academy; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Okay. And what is that an academy for?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: That academy was just to
the -- the first year -- in high school, it's the honors class, where you're chosen to graduate, you have a project. And I decided to choose Law and Justice Academy as mine.

MS. MOORS: Okay. So it was sort of like a project that you worked on throughout high school?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Throughout my high school career.

MS. MOORS: Okay. And then was it -- did it have, like, a thesis or a paper or a big project that somehow related to the criminal justice system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Mine was based on legalizing gambling in Hawaii. And that's what I did.

MS. MOORS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: That was my proposal.
MS. MOORS: And were you for it or against it?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I was 150 percent for it.
MS. MOORS: Okay. So I want to ask you a little bit about something you said earlier when the judge was talking to you about -- I think that you maybe got a little confused and you thought that it was the jury's role to sort of divvy out punishment; do you remember that conversation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Okay. So remind me again of -- say, if the jury -- what was your concern, I guess, with regards to that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: My concern was just the
case matter itself, depending on the case, if it was to decide whether or not the defendant or who -- to whom it was, if it was a sentencing, I wouldn't be able to decide on that case.

MS. MOORS: Okay. And then the judge explained to you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes.
MS. MOORS: -- that you would not be in charge of sentencing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Okay. So let me ask you this: Why would you not be comfortable sentencing? You're not going to, but l'm just curious to sort of understand your philosophical background.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: My -- the background reason was because who am I to judge another person but myself?

MS. MOORS: Okay. And that sort of leads me into my next question. So as a juror, you would be -- you have to essentially -- there is some judgment, right? You have to render a verdict, either guilty or not guilty. And so an element of that is looking at all the facts that would come out, right? The evidence, testimony, exhibits. And from that, you ultimately have to make a decision, a judgment, whether or not you believe the State met their burden or you believe that we did not meet our burden. Is that a type of judgment that you can make?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I'll be able to make the decision.

MS. MOORS: Okay. So when you're saying judgment, you're meaning who am I to sort of give someone a certain type of punishment, I would feel not comfortable with that; is that what you're saying?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes.
MS. MOORS: But then you're saying, I'm comfortable with deciding whether or not I believe a crime occurred, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Sorry, we just have to say yes, because she's typing.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: No, you're fine. You're fine.

MS. MOORS: And you would be comfortable ascertaining whether or not you believed it was the defendant that committed that crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Agreed.
MS. MOORS: Okay. So based on all of that and everything that we've talked about, do you think that there is anything else that Ms. Cannizzaro or myself need to know about you in terms of your ability to serve as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Not at the moment.
MS. MOORS: Okay. But in the future?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Possibility.
MS. MOORS: Okay. And then what about with regards to Mr. Matsuda and his client; is there anything else that you think that
he needs to know about you in terms of whether or not you could be a fair and impartial juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: None that I can think of. MS. MOORS: Okay. I would pass, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Matsuda?
MR. MATSUDA: Thank you, Your Honor.
Anthony.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Matsuda.
MR. MATSUDA: How's it going?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Going very well, sir. How are you?

MR. MATSUDA: Good. I'm good.
So grew up in Kalihi?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Born and raised in the valley of Kalihi.

MR. MATSUDA: Okay. So I'm assuming you went to Farrington?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Graduated Farrington High School 2010, sir. Taught three years there.

MR. MATSUDA: Best island in the world, huh?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Best school, original Kamehameha school it was.

MR. MATSUDA: Whoa, whoa, not the best school.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Hard to compare.
MR. MATSUDA: Okay. So --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Some of the best guys come from there.

MR. MATSUDA: I'm not going to disagree with that.
Now, the academy that you graduated from --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes.
MR. MATSUDA: -- did -- I'm assuming you went to school with a lot of people that went onto law enforcement careers?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes, sir.
MR. MATSUDA: Okay. Do you still have contact with those people?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Very few. I stay away from social media. Especially moving out here, a lot of them stayed back in Honolulu, Hawaii.

MR. MATSUDA: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Many did move to the United States, but they moved to California, Utah, and Oregon away. Not many came to this city.

MR. MATSUDA: Okay. So what I was trying to get at is would -- I'm assuming you don't -- well, you don't keep in contact with those people anymore, you said?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Very few.
MR. MATSUDA: Okay. Now, would your experience in that academy, would that sway your reasoning either way, based on what you're learned in the -- or your experiences in the academy?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Based on my knowledge that I gained from there, I will be able to utilize it in my decision.

MR. MATSUDA: Okay. But you wouldn't be biased for or against either party?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Fortunately, I will not be biased.

MR. MATSUDA: Okay. Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: You're very welcome.
MR. MATSUDA: Nothing, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
If you'll hand the microphone to Kevin Oxom, Badge
Number 415.
Mr. Oxom, tell us about yourself.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: I just turned 19, actually, just a few days ago from -- if you're wondering, actually, the Fourth of July, actually, was pretty nice, actually, outside then.

I was born in California and pretty much lived there for, like, two years, then moved over to Nevada. And I lived there for seven years, then moved back to California for another few years, pretty much prior to that. And then so far, pretty much, I lived nine years each. So currently now I'm actually stuck here in Nevada.

And I'm single. I used to work at a Jack-in-the-Box at just the drive-through or fryer or front counter. Actually, I just quitted it, because I was getting tired of it.

I just want to keep it short and concise like that, any
means.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you live at home with your parents?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: I live with my sister, actually, and my mother there.

THE COURT: All right. What does your mom do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: I believe she works at a car dealership. She used to own a salon over in California, in Anaheim. But it didn't turn out too good, so she had to sell the place.

THE COURT: Okay. Your sister, how old is she?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: She is 25.
THE COURT: And does she work?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: Yes.
THE COURT: What does she do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: She's, actually, I believe an assistant manager over at Tacos El Gordo.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: That's the one either at Charleston or downtown Las Vegas, I believe.

THE COURT: Okay. You said you felt you were stuck in Las Vegas now, in Nevada. So that term makes it sound like you don't want to be here. Where would you rather be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: I'd rather be, honestly, in California, Yorba Linda.

THE COURT: And why would you like to be there?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: Because of, like, just personal -- my personal interest, honestly. Like, it's just that I just love the way, like, it just was over there. Especially when I was spending time in high school. Because if I really want to tell a little bit -- a quick life story, I mean --

THE COURT: No. No life story.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: No? Okay. Like, I got to -- going -- like, keep it quick.

THE COURT: Even though your life is somewhat short, because you're so young. But we don't have the time for a life story.

But -- so you just prefer California and the lifestyle there; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Now, you are young. So if you were confronted with other jurors who are older than you are and would you be able to voice your opinion in the jury room as an equal?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you think you could be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: Yes, I believe so myself.
THE COURT: Okay. State?
MS. MOORS: Your Honor, I have no questions.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Matsuda?

MR. MATSUDA: Just briefly.
Mr. Oxom, is that correct?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: Uh-huh.
MR. MATSUDA: Okay. So what do you like to do in terms of what kind of hobbies do you have?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: I mean, just I like playing video games, I like swimming. I used to be on a swim team at my high school for about two years. And I tried to get into working out, but, like, it's a slow progress.

MR. MATSUDA: Now, the judge mentioned this earlier, that you mentioned that you felt stuck in Vegas.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: Uh-huh.
MR. MATSUDA: And you would rather live in California?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: Uh-huh.
MR. MATSUDA: Okay. Do you hate it that bad here or that much?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 415: I don't want to say hate, because that's just such an ugly word. But, I mean, I do treat both places as my home. But it's just that I had more of emotional, you know, a touch with California than over here in Nevada.

MR. MATSUDA: Okay. Thank you, sir.
I'll pass, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
If you'll hand the microphone over to Gary Larson.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Badge Number 000. Tell us about yourself. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: I was actually born here in Henderson. However, my dad -- we moved around a lot when I was a kid. So I did -- I moved back in -- about 26 years ago. Married 20 years. My wife's a schoolteacher. Two kids, both young, 9 and 7. I am a UNLV graduate in criminal justice and did a stint in law school for about a year.

THE COURT: Okay. What do you do now?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: I currently work for Cintas First Aid and Safety.

THE COURT: And what do you do for them?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: I go around service first aid cabinets, safety equipment, supplies for construction companies.

THE COURT: Okay. And so you went to law school for a year, you said?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: I did.
THE COURT: Okay. And, what, did you decide, Oh, I don't like this, this is not for me?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: I did not want that kind of debt and I did not think that I was going to use it after I finished. So.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. And have you ever used your undergraduate degree, do you think?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: No.

THE COURT: No? How about just the general education and enlightenment that the college education brings?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Well, I mean, all that -that experience always pays off. So --

THE COURT: Right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: But I haven't used it professionally, no.

THE COURT: Okay. But, specifically, the criminal justice, you haven't needed that degree to do what you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: No. No.
THE COURT: Okay. Anything that we've talked about where you think you need to comment further because it would have a bearing on your ability to be a fair and impartial juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: No that I can think of.
THE COURT: All right. State, would you like to inquire further?

MS. MOORS: Yes.
So I have to ask, where was the stint in law school?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: It's Thomas Cooley Law School, it's now part of Western Michigan.

MS. MOORS: Okay. And so it was one year you said?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Was that right after undergrad, or did you have a break?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: I took a break.

MS. MOORS: Okay. How long was your break?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: About five years. MS. MOORS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: I got married the same year I graduated from college. So.

MS. MOORS: Took a break, went back to law school. So did you come out with kind of some debt after the one year?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: I did. I did.
MS. MOORS: Yeah.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: So.
MS. MOORS: I owe more now than when I graduated --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Right.
MS. MOORS: -- 10 years ago. So cool, fun fact. Don't go to law school.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: No.
MS. MOORS: I hear you. I hear you.
Okay. Really quickly, you said you'd moved around a Iot --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Uh-huh.
MS. MOORS: -- growing up; was that a military family?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: My dad's an accountant for a construction company. He worked for Raytheon at the time. He's -- he just got laid off with MGM Mirage, construction side. But we've lived from Alaska to Florida.

MS. MOORS: Wow. Okay. Very cool. Favorite state?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Alaska was cool.
MS. MOORS: Yeah, Alaska was really cool.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Yeah.
MS. MOORS: I need to go. Okay. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Matsuda, would you like to inquire further?

MR. MATSUDA: Thank you.
Mr. Larson, now, if you're chosen as a juror --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Uh-huh.
MR. MATSUDA: -- and you started deliberations right now, without seeing any evidence, what should be the appropriate verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: It would be not guilty.
MR. MATSUDA: Why?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Because there's no evidence. I don't have any facts in front of me.

MR. MATSUDA: Thank you, sir.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000: Uh-huh.
MR. MATSUDA: I'll pass, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Okay. Next is Nancy Burroughs, Badge Number 691.
Tell us about yourself, ma'am.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: First of all, I hate that question, because I tell too many stories. Part of it is if you have
any longevity in a job, I'd say get a life. I didn't get one. My life was reading. And Ithink in many ways people think I'm naive, because I do believe in the goodness of most people. But I also believe there's a lot of smooth talkers out there. I don't think I'm worth the time. So I just listen to the stories and enjoy stories. Mostly because I like people.

I'm single. Don't suggest retirement, please get a life, continue working if you like to eat. Okay. Education, definitely number one. Didn't go there, but I should have. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Wait, wait, wait. No.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Oh, sorry.
THE COURT: How long have you lived here in Clark
County?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: 15 years.
THE COURT: Okay. Where did you move from to Las
Vegas?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: California. Oh, no, sorry.
California is the only one I claim. Indiana, don't go there.
THE COURT: Okay. How long --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: They seldom have beer.
THE COURT: Okay. How long did you live in Indiana?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: 10 years too long.
THE COURT: And how about California, how long did you live there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: 24 years.

THE COURT: Okay. So have you ever been married? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And so did you get divorced,
widowed?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: A zillion years ago. I never know how to answer the question. It's been 28 years I've been divorced.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Happily divorced.
THE COURT: All right. That's good. And no children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: None.
THE COURT: Okay. So have you ever worked before?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I came to Nevada, had a job within a month. And I kept the job for 14 years.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I swear they sent every discontented person to me and I had to handle it. So it's -- that was the policy. And they didn't back employees. So you learn a lot when people complain and say, I don't mean to complain, but there's something that you need to fix.

THE COURT: Okay. And what was the company again that you worked for?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Cytel [phonetic].
THE COURT: And what did they do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: It's a call center.

THE COURT: Oh, my.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: It was for satellite services.

THE COURT: Okay. So you literally would take complaints on the phone?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I was at the lasts campaign for, like, eight years. And the campaign was leaving the company, so they were cleaning house before they left.

THE COURT: Okay. So how long ago did you leave that job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: 2018.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: It's almost a year.
THE COURT: Okay. Are you looking to be reemployed or no?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Not from Cytel, ever. In fact, I see people on the bus all the time and say, Have I worked with you? And I can't remember, because there were a lot of people that left at their own accord. There were too many rumors who left and who didn't.

THE COURT: Okay. But what I meant was do you want to go back into the work force?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: All right. So --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Definitely.

THE COURT: Have you been looking for work?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Manpower was the hot -the most positive, but not in Nevada.

THE COURT: Okay. And so why do you say --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Manpower got me all their jobs.

THE COURT: Oh. Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: And I was five years, six years.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: And they didn't get this one for me. That was the grace of God.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
Would the State like to inquire further?
MS. MOORS: Yes, Your Honor, briefly.
I just have a question, ma'am. So when you were first speaking with the judge, I think your quote was, I believe in the goodness of most people. Was that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I like to give them a chance.

MS. MOORS: Okay. Can you elaborate a little more? Like, what do you mean, you like to give them a chance?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: When I worked in the
convenience store, there were a lot of shoplifters. And I basically knew who was taking what. I didn't stand by, if I saw them taking it, I challenged them on it. But it's not -- it's scary face to face.

MS. MOORS: Sure. Like, because they could -- the situation could maybe escalate.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: If they were hungry, I'd say -- I'd maybe give them half price off. But if they were drunk, l'd feed them. Sorry, but --

MS. MOORS: Right. So let me ask you this: Obviously, we're not here for a shoplifting case. You heard the charges that we are here for, and they're, I would say, a little more serious than a shop lifting case. When you say that you like to give people a chance and you believe in their goodnesses, that's something that would affect your ability to deliberate in this particular case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Not at all.
MS. MOORS: Okay. So you're not going to say -- let's say, hypothetically, that Ms. Cannizzaro and I prove our case to what you even believe is beyond a reasonable doubt, but you want to "give the defendant a chance," or you feel bad for him, or there's some sort of sympathy that you developed for this person; would that affect your ability to render a verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: If I thought they were a repeat offender, I'd probably say guilty. But if there's any doubt at all, I'd speak my mind.

MS. MOORS: Okay. When you say any doubt at all, I
know we talked about this earlier with Mr. Jones in the front row, where he said -- and I think he said he wanted it to be proved beyond all doubt. And you just mentioned the doubt, you said if there was any doubt. And so I think that it gets a little confusing at times, because we watch TV and it's, you know, beyond all possible doubt or beyond a shadow of a doubt. All these words that they use.

But if you were instructed at the end of this trial, and the instruction is that our burden is beyond a reasonable doubt, could you follow that instruction?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Okay. So essentially what I'm trying to get at is there can be doubts of, you know, like, am I actually an alien? Like, maybe potentially I could be, right? But is that a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I second-guess myself a lot. But I wouldn't go back on a decision, if I felt they honestly weren't guilty.

MS. MOORS: Okay. So sorry, I'm not really quite sure what you were just saying.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I change my mind a lot.
MS. MOORS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: But I like to know the facts.

MS. MOORS: Okay. And if you were given the facts in
this case, you're saying that you could apply the law to those facts and then base your verdict on the law and the facts; is that right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Yes.

MS. MOORS: Okay. Is there any worry on your part, when you said you change your mind a lot, is there any worry that you might sort of flip-flop and not know which way to go?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Like, where did I put my car keys? That's the most thing. Did I put them in this? That -- I get a little paranoid when I lose my keys.

MS. MOORS: Okay. Well, I guess that's not really changing your decision, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: No.
MS. MOORS: Like, I don't know where my keys are right now either.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Right.
MS. MOORS: Hopefully in my purse.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: It's more senior moment.
MS. MOORS: Sorry, say that again?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Senior moment. Sorry.
MS. MOORS: That's okay. We actually had a juror that mentioned that previously, and she said that she has senior moments, and that she's worried that that might potentially affect her. Is that something that worries you that might affect you throughout the trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: I'm not afraid to ask
questions. So if I didn't hear the -- what someone said, I'd ask them to repeat it probably too many times. But they would say put a sock in it and decide.

MS. MOORS: Okay. Your Honor, can we approach?
THE COURT: Sure.
[Bench conference not recorded.]
THE COURT: All right. So Ms. Burroughs, we thank you very much for coming in, but we're excusing you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 691: Thank you.
THE CLERK: Next in line, Kathleen Cabael, Badge 075, please take Seat 7.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Cabael, tell us about yourself.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Okay. I am a 30-year-old single mother of two. I have a 14 -year-old and a 12 -year-old. I am a nursing student and I'm assistant manager at a store. I have 12 years of experience in college, mostly in nursing. And I don't know what else I should say about myself.

THE COURT: 12 years. Okay. So either you have earned multiple degrees or you were going part-time. So tell me about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I'm from Hawaii. I'm born and raised there. I lived there for 25 years. I did three years at HPU there doing nursing.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Before that I did two
years at community college doing some pre-reqs. I transferred to -I moved to California, I moved here, and I went to different schools. And just the transferring process, they make me retake classes and even add more classes. Been to Nevada State, Chamberlain College of Nursing, Arizona College of Nursing. I think it was from a dental school in Hawaii.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yeah.
THE COURT: All right. So how much longer do you have till you get your nursing degree?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I recently just completely my maternity and pediatrics clinicals. I have a year left. I just needed to continue and finish my clinical hours and the lectures that goes with it.

THE COURT: Now, when you finish, will you have a bachelor's degree?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: A bachelor's, yeah.
THE COURT: And the --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: BSA degree.
THE COURT: All right. What kind of nursing would you like to go into once you're certified?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I want to do pediatrics and cardiology. Pediatrics because I love children, cardiology because I actually had a first son, he passed, it was a heart defect. And that really affected me a lot. And that's why I got into nursing.

But before that, I wanted to get into architecture.
THE COURT: All right. So you had an interest in doing that and now you've switched to nursing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. So you said you're single, but have you ever had a significant other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: A long time ago. I've raised my two children alone since my daughter was 3 years old.

THE COURT: Okay. So that's a lot of responsibility.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. So sounds like you're an independent woman with a lot of goals. Do you have any concern whatsoever of your being able to hold your own in a jury setting?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: No.
THE COURT: All right. Would the State like to inquire further?

MS. MOORS: Yes, Your Honor.
Is it Cabael or how --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Cabael.
MS. MOORS: Cabael?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yeah.
MS. MOORS: Okay. So I had -- I just wanted to ask you a little bit about the conversation you were having with the judge when you were seated over there and you were talking about it. Sounded like it was maybe a car accident type issue?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Okay. And you felt that the police officers in that matter didn't handle it correctly, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
MS. MOORS: And I think you ultimately said that you thought that there might have been some sort of relationship between the other party and the police officers?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I had assumptions that there was something that must have -- like, that must have changed -- made the cop to conclude that I was the one that was guilty.

MS. MOORS: Okay. So sounds like it's fair to say it didn't pass the smell test for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yeah -- no. No, no. I -- it was -- I feel like it was just -- it wasn't no smell test or whatever like that. It just -- I feel like he just made an assumption and said that I was guilty based off, I don't know, maybe the other party. Because the other party actually went home and got her husband when he wasn't involved in the accident.

MS. MOORS: Okay. Well, then, maybe I misunderstood you. Because I thought you said that essentially you thought the cop was paid off by this person. Did you not say that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I said that, but it was just, like, an assumption. Like, I said earlier that, you know, like, it was a possibility maybe he got paid off or -- I didn't say that he was paid
off. But it was just, like, something that possibly assume about that, probably, like, what could have happened of why he thought that I was guilty or why he said I was guilty.

MS. MOORS: Okay. So let me ask you this: You heard from the judge earlier, I think it was yesterday we talked about law enforcement and whether or not anyone had any involvement personally or close friends with law enforcement; do you remember that discussion?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Okay. And I don't know if you remember, there was a male that sitting over here, and he basically said that he had been in the police academy and that he wanted to be a police officer, but I think he said that he tore his Achilles tendon, so he couldn't finish the training. And -- but he's now back in the training. Do you remember that conversation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
MS. MOORS: And he essentially said, you know, I want to be a police officer, this is my dream. So, basically, if someone gets up there and they have a badge on, I'm going to believe them.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Uh-huh.
MS. MOORS: Like, even if what they say is a little bit crazy, I'm going to believe him. Do you remember him saying that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Let me ask you this: I think it's fair to say that there are probably going to be some police officers testifying.

You heard Ms. Cannizzaro mention all the potential witnesses. And if some of those officers get up, maybe they're wearing a badge, maybe they're not, are you going to automatically disbelieve them because they are wearing a badge?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Honestly, during that time I did, it was a very difficult time, because I felt like I was really wronged and it was a very stressful time. And having being a single mom, take money out of my pocket just to -- for the other person who did -- who caused the accident, it was very upsetting. But I wouldn't judge the cop just because of that. Because, I mean, not everybody's the same. I'm not going to -- yeah, it's just personally, it did affect me a lot.

MS. MOORS: Sure.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: And -- yes.
MS. MOORS: And that's fine.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yeah.
MS. MOORS: And this is -- again, like I said --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yeah.
MS. MOORS: -- this is a super weird situation. So we're not -- I totally understand that affected you. That would have affected me, and I'm sure it would have affected most of us. But the question is has it affected you such that now when you view law enforcement, you see them through a negative lens?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: No.
MS. MOORS: No? Okay. And the reason I'm asking you
is because I'm fairly certain you also said you would be worried that cops in this case could have potentially been paid off. You made a comment to that effect.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Not for that -- not for this case.

MS. MOORS: Okay. So as we sit here today, you don't believe that any police officers that will testify have been "paid off"?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: No.
MS. MOORS: Okay. And if, say, some other people testify, right, that are not police officers, just normal citizens, would you give the police officer's testimony the same weight as which you would have give just to a regular citizen that's not a police officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I'm the citizen and I -- like I say, I was in that situation with a cop. And it's a really hard question, because I've been in that situation myself and I really did not believe what they did to me. So I don't know how I can answer that question honestly.

MS. MOORS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yeah.
MS. MOORS: So the reason, I guess -- and please tell me if I'm putting words in your mouth, but the reason you can't answer that question honestly is because you think there might be different weight given between a citizen and a police officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.

MS. MOORS: Okay. So that being said -- now, again, there's no wrong answers. We're all just talking, like, somewhat awkwardly, but there's no wrong answers. If you were myself and Ms. Cannizzaro, and you were representing the people of the state of Nevada, would you feel comfortable having someone like yourself on the jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Even though you just told me that you wouldn't give police officers the same weight as anyone else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Well, I -- it's me, because I feel like I'm -- like, I personally think I'm a good person. I'm honest. But, like I said earlier, it did affect me a lot. So I -- it would be hard for me to see differently, because I experienced it myself of that type of treatment. So, yeah, I would kind of -- I would have -- I have a bias with that.

MS. MOORS: Okay. And that's really fair. And please don't think that I -- I think you're a good person, I think you're honest. That's the whole point of us talking. We're not trying to -I'm not trying to force you into an answer that's not coming from, you know, an honest answer inside of you. So that's not what I'm saying. Please don't take it that way. That's not at all what I'm saying.

What I'm saying is this: It's very difficult, the position that we are putting you -- everyone in. We need you to tell us, unequivocally, so without reservation, can you be fair and impartial
to both sides?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: With --
MS. MOORS: There's no right or wrong answer. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I would like to say yes.

But at the same time, there's a part of me that wants to say no.
MS. MOORS: Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a follow-up. I was talking to a young woman earlier, I believe she was in Seat 3, and she said, I would like to think I can. And I totally get that. But I need from you an unequivocal yes, I can be fair and impartial, or no. And it's okay. Neither is right or wrong.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: No, I don't think -- just this right now, that I can't -- I can't even decide, that's a no.

MS. MOORS: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: It's probably a no.
MS. MOORS: It's okay. Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yep.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You'll be excused.
Call the next in order.
THE CLERK: Sorry, Your Honor, I just put my --
Ryan Lecuyer, Badge 077, please take Seat 7.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lecuyer, please tell us about yourself.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I'm 19 years old. I moved here in 2012. I grew up in a military family. I was born in Florida and then kind of moved everywhere else. I work at EI Segundo Sol
right -- where is it -- right outside of Fashion Show. My dad is retired Air Force, my mom is an assistant principal.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you live at home with your folks?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. What's your education background?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I have some college.
THE COURT: Okay. When you were in college, what did you study?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I was studying paramedics.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, what?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Paramedics.
THE COURT: Paramedic?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: Okay. Was that at the community college?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes.
THE COURT: And is that something you decided then you didn't want to pursue?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I kind of just took on all of my bills and stuff, so I just took the semester off. But I'm going back next semester.

THE COURT: All right. So you're going to continue your -- continue at the community college?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: And what's your goal?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: In the end, to be a
firefighter.
THE COURT: Okay. So you think that getting your paramedic certification would help towards that goal?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: Okay. Any firefighters in your family?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Not in my family, no.
THE COURT: Okay. So it's just something that you thought about and you think you'd like to pursue?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yep.
THE COURT: Okay. State?
MS. MOORS: So it was your dad you said that was retired military?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes, ma'am.
MS. MOORS: What did he do in the Air Force?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: He worked in logistics.
MS. MOORS: Okay. Was he an officer or enlisted?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: He was an officer.
MS. MOORS: Okay. Very cool. And your mom, you said, is an assistant principal?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes, ma'am.
MS. MOORS: Where is that at?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: At Red Rock Elementary.

MS. MOORS: I just have sort of a general question to you that I asked one of our other younger jury panel members: Do you feel that if you were in a room with a, you know, a bunch of other people, some of which very well might be your elders, do you feel that you could have your voice be heard and you wouldn't just go along with the crowd?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes, ma'am.
MS. MOORS: Okay. I'd pass.
THE COURT: Mr. Matsuda.
MR. MATSUDA: Thank you, Your Honor.
El Segundo?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes.
MR. MATSUDA: You guys have good guac there.
Now, is there anything that -- you heard all the questions that were being asked. Is there anything you would like either the State or the defense to know about you that would cause any side concern?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: No, sir.
MR. MATSUDA: Okay. Thank you.
I'll pass, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
If you will hand the microphone over to Zahra Pyle,
Badge 030.
Tell us about yourself, ma'am.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yes, hi. I'm married and I
have three daughters. I lived in Vegas for -- since 1994. I really have a hard time speaking when people are listening. So if I stumble, excuse me.

THE COURT: It's all right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: And what else do you want to know?

THE COURT: How old are your daughters?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: They are 46, 44, and 37. Two, they live in New York, and one in Indiana.

THE COURT: Okay. And what do they do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Two of them are nurse, and one of them is computer engineer. The one in Indiana, she's married with children.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any significant other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yeah, I'm married, like I said yesterday.

THE COURT: Yes. Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: He had surgery in April, another back surgery.

THE COURT: Right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: So --
THE COURT: Is her retired? Was he retired before his back surgery?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Well, no. He went on
disability in 2013.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: And --
THE COURT: What did he do before he went on disability?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: He was also working in a casino where I met him, in Klondike Casino.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Before that, he was in construction. That's my other husband, though, not father of my kids.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: So, you know, that's a newer one.

THE COURT: A newer one. Okay.
And you've worked before too?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I'm sorry, honey?
THE COURT: Where have you worked?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: In the casino for 20 years. I was a bartender there.

THE COURT: Okay. And so are you retired now?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yes. I was until I realized I'm around my husband too much, I needed to get away. And also, seriously, and also money, you know.

THE COURT: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: It really helps.
THE COURT: So it's nice to have a little extra money? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yes.

THE COURT: Right? And so you enjoy, then, the going to work and interacting with people?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Absolutely, yeah.
THE COURT: All right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I have to.
THE COURT: Yeah. All right. Anything that you want to bring to our attention after you've heard all these people speaking that you thought, oh, when it's my turn, I need to bring this to the judge's attention?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: No.
THE COURT: All right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I just have a hard time speaking when people are looking at me or listening to me. So.

THE COURT: All right. You're doing fine.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Okay. Good.
THE COURT: State?
MS. MOORS: Your Honor, I pass.
THE COURT: Mr. Matsuda?
MR. MATSUDA: Ms. Pyle?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yes.
MR. MATSUDA: One question for you: Do you want to be picked as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I would love to, because I'm actually learning so much since yesterday. Honestly, I didn't know the difference between civil case and murder case and all -I'm learning. At the same token, my personal life, with my husband being, you know -- I do literally everything around the house. And he's not able to do anything. It's a little bit tough. Otherwise, I love being here.

MR. MATSUDA: Okay. Now --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I really do.
MR. MATSUDA: With that said, is it going to affect your judgment thinking about your husband and how you're not able to take care of him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I don't think so. No, I don't really know. It's just tough at home between work and taking care of him and all that, you know.

MR. MATSUDA: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
I'll pass, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Okay. We'll pass the microphone all the way down to the second row. All the way down.

And we have Lynn Figueroa, right? Badge Number 907.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Yes.
THE COURT: Tell us about yourself.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: I have been in Las Vegas about two and a half years. I moved from Chicago. I'm married. I
have two kids, 2 and 3. I'm a cocktail server at Sapphire. My husband works security for Pro-Tect Security.

THE COURT: Okay. What brought you here to Las Vegas?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: The weather and the cost
of living.
THE COURT: Okay. And did you grow up in Chicago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything that you want to bring to our attention that you feel is important?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: No.
THE COURT: Okay. State?
MS. MOORS: Hi.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Hi.
MS. MOORS: So you said your husband works security?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Where at?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: It's called Pro-Tect Security. It's an agency.

MS. MOORS: Okay. So he would go out and sort of work at various locations?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Yeah. They send him to different places. He's at Sixt Car Rental right now, by the airport.

MS. MOORS: Oh, okay. Obviously, you were here yesterday. Do you remember we had a guy that was a security guard, I want to say at Town Square, and he'd been, like, shot at
and stabbed --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Yeah.
MS. MOORS: -- like, all within the scope of a year; do you remember that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Yeah, I remember.
MS. MOORS: Yeah. Is that -- what type -- I mean, does your husband have terrible stories like that as well?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: No, I've never heard anything like that from my husband.

MS. MOORS: Okay. So, basically, that former juror should get a job at your husband's firm?

Okay. Anything else about what we've been talking about throughout the course of yesterday and today that you think that Ms. Cannizzaro and myself should know about you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: No.
MS. MOORS: And to copy Mr. Matsuda's question, do you want to be on this jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Yes.
MS. MOORS: Okay. All right.
We'd pass, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Matsuda?
MR. MATSUDA: Ms. Figueroa?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Yes.
MR. MATSUDA: From Chicago?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: Yes.

MR. MATSUDA: You know what question I'm going to ask you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 907: I know what you're going to ask me, and it's White Sox all day.

MR. MATSUDA: You and Ms. Fields are -- best be friends.
Okay. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. MATSUDA: I'll pass, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
If you'll hand the microphone to Hope Coen, Badge
Number 909.
Tell us about yourself, ma'am.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I've been Vegas about 30 years. I've been married 22. I have a son that's 18 and he is going to be a sophomore at UNR. And he's taking some classes at UNLV this summer. I am a tenant coordinator for a company called Brookfield Properties, which owns Fashion Show, Grand Canal Shops, Shops at Palazzo, and many others. And I've been in that job for 21 years. I have some college. It was chemical engineering. I only went to CSN and about 10 classes at UNLV. So.

THE COURT: Thank you.
State?
MS. MOORS: 18-year-old sophomore?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: I know.
MS. MOORS: Dang. When does your -- when did he
graduate high school?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: In 2018.
MS. MOORS: So he's smart.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: He's young. He missed the cutoff by three days.

MS. MOORS: Oh, okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: His birthday's
September 27th, so.
MS. MOORS: Okay. Awesome.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: Yeah.
MS. MOORS: So you said that he's going to UNR, but he's taking some summer school classes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: Yep.
MS. MOORS: Has he picked a major yet?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: Yes. It's environmental engineering.

MS. MOORS: Okay. Is that a good program at UNR?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: Very good, yes.
MS. MOORS: She's emphatically nodding, because she went to UNR. So --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: Good school.
MS. MOORS: Is there anything else that you want to tell us about anything in your background that would affect whether or not you could serve on this jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 909: No, I don't think so.

MS. MOORS: Okay. I pass, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Mr. Matsuda?
MR. MATSUDA: I don't believe I have any questions, Your Honor. We'll pass.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
And we have Gisell Fernandez, Badge Number 003. Tell us about yourself.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes. Hi. Well, I guess my situation, I'm currently married, but I'm going through a divorce. I have two kids -- two daughters, a 6 - and a 12 -year-old. My -- sorry, I'm so nervous.

THE COURT: It's all right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I've been in Vegas for 14 years and I've been at my job for 12. And I did some college. I was actually going in January, but I had to stop because of the divorce, and I didn't have the money to continue.

THE COURT: Okay. And where did you say you work?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I work at -- oh, at Wellish Vision Institute, it's an ophthalmology office.

THE COURT: Okay. What do you do for them?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I'm special tester, so I do all the testings, the scans for the patients.

THE COURT: All right. And did you get some specialized training, or was that on the job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: That was on the job. THE COURT: Okay. So --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yeah, I got trained. Yeah.
THE COURT: Very good. You told me you have a court date, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yes.
THE COURT: And tell me again when that is?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Well, I have mediation
tomorrow and then the court date is Thursday the 25th.
THE COURT: Okay. So your mediation's tomorrow?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: What time?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: 8:30 in the morning.
THE COURT: Okay. So do you have a window of how
long -- have they told you how long to expect?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I think it's going to be an hour, they said.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: So that should give you plenty of time.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yeah.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

## State?

MS. MOORS: Your Honor, I don't have any questions.
THE COURT: Mr. Matsuda?
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MR. MATSUDA: Just briefly.
Good afternoon, Ms. Fernandez.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Hello.
MR. MATSUDA: Now, I know you spoke about this family
court case that you have. Is there anything about that case that changes your mind about the legal process?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: No.
MR. MATSUDA: Okay. Bad or good?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Uh-uh. Yeah -- no.
MR. MATSUDA: Okay. Thank you.
Nothing further, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
So as we can all see by the clock on the wall, it's 5:00.
And if I thought that we could get through all the rest of you in the next half hour, I would do that. But I don't think we can. And so I need you to come back tomorrow, everybody here.

The good news is I think now starting tomorrow they get paid, right?

THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: They do. Okay. So -- because we'll be on Day 3.

Now, I'm going to be reading you the admonition, but first I kind of want to talk about scheduling. I understand our calendar's horrible tomorrow. 26 pages?

THE CLERK: Yeah, it's definitely a doozy, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.
THE CLERK: I don't know how many pages, but it is large.
THE COURT: All right. So I still have to dispense justice in a careful manner, and I can't be rushed, because the matters I handle are very important. Okay. I don't want you to think I'm rushing through. So rather than have you come in at 1:00, which was on the schedule I gave you, I'm going to have you come in at 1:30, which still I'm going to be starting the calendar at 9:00. And that should give me sufficient time to get through everything I need to do if you're here at 1:30. All right.

So now I'm going to read you the admonition.
So ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take an overnight recess. During this recess it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper, television, radio, or Internet. You are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it would be finally submitted to you.

Also, of course, you know no research into any of the topics, no research into anybody connected with the case. So don't be going on the Internet and Googling the lawyers or the judge or the marshal. He has a very big presence on the Internet. No, I'm just kidding.

All right. So please don't go by any of the, you know, the area that was described to you. Things change. And so everything you'll need to know if you're seated on the jury you'll learn in this courtroom while court's in session. So I will see you tomorrow at $1: 30$.
[Prospective jury panel recessed at 5:03 p.m.]
THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect the venire panel has departed the courtroom.

Bench conferences. I wasn't -- we should have kept track.
THE CLERK: Okay. There was one I believe you had asked something about race when we were on --

MS. MOORS: Oh, yes. Yes. I had approached because prior to starting the individual questions, I thought that Your Honor was going to ask the question about race in the general questions. And so I approached to ask that question. It was my understanding that that was your intention. And then those questions were asked.

THE COURT: Yes. And thank you for reminding me of that. I did -- I feel it's very important and we did have one gentleman who answered and gave us some -- his feelings. So that was good. And I always hope that we get truthful answers and so I hope that we did this time. We have a very diverse jury at this point in time, and so that's always -- you know, the pool right now looks very diverse. So that's good.

Let's see, we had --
THE CLERK: Martha Ferris, that juror, we did a bench
conference on her before she was excused.
MS. MOORS: Yes, Your Honor. This was a woman who I believe she was seated in Seat 6 or 7.

THE CLERK: 2. She was in Seat 2.
MS. MOORS: Oh, is this -- which --
THE COURT: This is our anxiety.
MS. MOORS: Oh, yes, yes, yes. I apologize. I'm getting confused. This was the older woman with the anxiety who said that it was debilitating on numerous occasions. And then I'm thinking was being quite honest with the Court in terms of she didn't know how she would handle it if she were chosen to be part of the jury. But based on what she was saying, I think both Mr. Matsuda and Ms. -- and I, as well as Ms. Cannizzaro, had agreed to jointly excuse her.

THE COURT: That's correct, Mr. Matsuda?
MR. MATSUDA: That is correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yes. And I think we also commented further at the bench that she also had mentioned that she felt she was having some what she referred to as senior moments, that seemed to be weighing on her, that she was afraid that she -- this would affect her ability to deliberate. And so we were all in agreement to excuse her. And so she was excused.

And let's see, we had another similar --
THE CLERK: 691, and Ms. Burroughs. And she was in Seat 7.

THE COURT: Correct. So State asked to approach on that because she was just being nonresponsive, I could see that, to the questions you were asking. You'd ask a question, and she'd give us an answer that seemed to be, well, I don't know what she was hearing, but it wasn't what you were asking.

Similarly, the way she started her answer to the question that I posed and, you know, tell us about yourself, and when I told her what the parameters of that, her answers were odd. And so the discussion at the bench was that we had serious questions, both sides did, about her competency to serve on the jury. And you were both in agreement as well to excuse her. And I agreed that that seemed to be a good decision, based upon what we were hearing from her. And so she was excused upon agreement by both sides.

Correct, Mr. Matsuda?
MR. MATSUDA: That's correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right.
THE CLERK: That's all I have, are the three.
THE COURT: That, I think, was everything, right?
THE CLERK: I think so.
THE COURT: Anything you can think of that --
MR. MATSUDA: No, I think that is -- yes.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. So --
THE CLERK: I have the panel of 20 coming. I told them 1:00. I didn't know what else, so.

THE COURT: That's fine.
So we're just -- I'm hopeful we will not have to go to that second bunch, but we're starting to kind of get thin in the gallery and, you know, we've got 12 left. And I'm hopeful that we won't get through those, because I'm hoping to get the jury picked by tomorrow.

MS. MOORS: Yes, absolutely.
THE COURT: Yeah.
MS. MOORS: We are, as well.
THE COURT: So -- but I also didn't want to be in the lurch where it turns out we run into some bad thing where suddenly we lose a bunch of people and then we're out of jurors and I-- we've got to wait a day. So we've got 20 in our pocket, back pocket, if we need them.

MS. MOORS: Okay.
THE COURT: Hopefully we won't. And I'll see you tomorrow at 1:30.

MS. MOORS: Okay. Great.
MR. MATSUDA: Thank you, Your Honor.
MS. MOORS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: We're in recess.
[Court recessed at 5:08 p.m.]
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