IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GRADY EDWARD BYRD) Supreme Court No. 80548
Appellant) Electronically Filed) Jul 06 2020 04:07 p.m.
v.	Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court
CATERINA ANGELA BYRD)
Respondent)
	1

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX TO OPENING BRIEF - VOLUME XI

Submitted by:

DANIEL W. ANDERSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No.: 9955

BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No.: 8191

MILLS & ANDERSON

703 S. 8th Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

(702) 386-0030

attorneys@millsnv.com

Attorneys for Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 6th day of July, 2020, I caused to be served the instant APPELLANT'S APPENDIX TO OPENING BRIEF- VOLUME XI to all interested parties as follows:

BY MAIL: Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I caused a true copy thereof to be placed in the U.S. Mail, enclosed in a sealed envelope, postage fully prepaid thereon, address as follows:

Anita A. Webster, Esq. WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES 6882 Edna Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Attorneys for Respondent

XX BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: Pursuant to EDCR 7.26 and NEFCR Rule 9, I caused a true copy thereof to be served via electronic mail, via Odyssey, to the following e-mail address:

Anita Webster, Esq. - anitawebster@embargmail.com

MILLS & ANDERSON

The index of Appellants Appendix to Opening Brief is as follows:

DOCUMENT	BATES NO.
Decree of Divorce filed on June 5, 2014	AA001-012
Notice of Motion and Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce,	AA013-034
for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide a Newly Discovered	
Asset, to Execute QDRO's, and for Attorney's Fees and Costs	
filed on October 16, 2018	
Exhibit Appendix for Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce,	AA035-063
for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide a Newly Discovered	
Asset, to Execute QDRO's, and for Attorney's Fees and Costs	
filed on October 16, 2018	
Plaintiff's Errata to Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce,	AA064-068
for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide a Newly Discovered	
Asset, to Execute QDRO's, and for Attorney's Fees and Costs	
filed on October 29, 2018	
Order Striking Exhibits filed on November 14, 2018	AA069
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's to Defendant's Ex Parte	AA070-091
Motion for a Continuance of Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce the	
Decree of Divorce, for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide	
Newly Discovered Asset, to Execute QDRO's and for	
Attorney's Fees and Costs and Countermotion for Attorney Fees	
and Costs filed on December 19, 2018	
Reply to Opposition and/or Countermotion filed on December	AA092-096
28, 2018	
Transcript Re: Motion – January 23, 2019 filed on May 13,	AA097-138
2020	
Order From the January 23, 2019 Hearing filed on April 5, 2019	AA139-147
Notice of Entry of Order From the January 23, 2019 Hearing	AA148-158
filed on April 5, 2019	
Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration filed on April 8, 2019	AA159-177
Appendix to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration filed on	AA178-198
April 8, 2019	
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for	AA199-237
Reconsideration and Countermotion filed on April 23, 2019	

Transcript Re: Status Check – May 2, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA238-252
Defendant's Reply and Opposition filed on May 14, 2019	AA253-278
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Countermotion filed on May 17, 2019	AA279-308
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – May 22, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA309-353
Order of the Court filed on June 26, 2019	AA354-359
Notice to Appear Telephonically field on June 27, 2019	AA360-361
Order From the July 18, 2019 Hearing filed on August 9, 2019	AA362-365
Notice of Entry of Order From the July 18, 2019 Hearing filed on August 9, 2019	AA366-371
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – July 18, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA372-399
Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Summary Judgment, Joinder and to Continue the Evidentiary Hearing filed on September 30, 2019	AA400-436
Schedule Arrearages for Support filed on October 9, 2019	AA437-440
Request to Appear by Audiovisual Transmission Equipment filed on October 10, 2019	AA441-448
Audiovisual Transmission Equipment Appearance Consent filed on October 10, 2019	AA449-450
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – October 11, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA451-477
Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Notice to Appear by Audiovisual Transmission Equipment at the Trial Scheduled for October 21, 2019 filed on October 14, 2019	AA478-489
Motion on Order Shortening Time to Reconsider Denial of Audiovisual Appearance Request filed on October 15, 2019	AA490-499
Appendix to Defendant's Motion for Order Time to Reconsider Denial of Audiovisual Appearance filed on October 15, 2019	AA500-507
Defendant's Pretrial Memo filed on October 16, 2019	AA508-517
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion on Order	AA518-536
Shortening Time to Reconsider Denial of Defendant's	
Audiovisual Appearance Request and Countermotion for	
Attorney's Fees and Costs filed on October 16, 2019	
Exhibit Appendix filed on October 16, 2019	AA537-541
Plaintiff's Pretrial memorandum filed on October 16, 2019	AA542-562

Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and	AA563-578
Countermotion for Fees filed on October 18, 2019	
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's	AA579-603
Motion for Reconsideration, Summary Judgment, Joinder and to	
Continue the Evidentiary Hearing filed on October 20, 2019	
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – October 21, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA604-785
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs filed on December 4, 2019	AA786-789
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Second memorandum of Fees and Costs from July 19, 2019 through the Date of the Evidentiary Hearing on October 21, 2019 filed on December 16, 2019	AA790-802
Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs for the Appeal filed on December 16, 2019	AA803-814
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs for the Appeal filed on January 2, 2020	AA815-821
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs During the Appeal filed on January 9, 2020	AA822-832
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order filed on January 23, 2020	AA833-853
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order filed on January 23, 2020	AA854-876
Judgment for Attorney Fees filed on March 17, 2020	AA877-880
Notice of Entry of Judgment for Attorney Fees filed on March 18, 2020	AA881-886
Order From February 27, 2020 Hearing filed on March 26, 2020	AA887-889
Notice of Entry of Order From the February 27, 2020 Hearing filed on March 27, 2020	AA890-894
Request for Continuance filed on November 16, 2018	AA895-896
Order From the November 27, 2018 Hearing filed on December 17, 2019	AA897-900

The index of Appellants Appendix to Opening Brief is as follows:

DOCUMENT	BATES NO.
Appendix to Defendant's Motion for Order Time to Reconsider	AA500-507
Denial of Audiovisual Appearance filed on October 15, 2019	
Appendix to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration filed on	AA178-198
April 8, 2019	
Audiovisual Transmission Equipment Appearance Consent filed	AA449-450
on October 10, 2019	
Decree of Divorce filed on June 5, 2014	AA001-012
Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration filed on April 8, 2019	AA159-177
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and	AA786-789
Costs filed on December 4, 2019	
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's	AA815-821
Fees and Costs for the Appeal filed on January 2, 2020	
Defendant's Pretrial Memo filed on October 16, 2019	AA508-517
Defendant's Reply and Opposition filed on May 14, 2019	AA253-278
Exhibit Appendix filed on October 16, 2019	AA537-541
Exhibit Appendix for Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce,	AA035-063
for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide a Newly Discovered	
Asset, to Execute QDRO's, and for Attorney's Fees and Costs	
filed on October 16, 2018	
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order filed on	AA833-853
January 23, 2020	
Judgment for Attorney Fees filed on March 17, 2020	AA877-880
Motion on Order Shortening Time to Reconsider Denial of	AA490-499
Audiovisual Appearance Request filed on October 15, 2019	
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and	AA854-876
Order filed on January 23, 2020	
Notice of Entry of Judgment for Attorney Fees filed on March	AA881-886
18, 2020	
Notice of Entry of Order From the February 27, 2020 Hearing	AA890-894
filed on March 27, 2020	
Notice of Entry of Order From the January 23, 2019 Hearing	AA148-158
filed on April 5, 2019	

Notice of Entry of Order From the July 18, 2019 Hearing filed	AA366-371
on August 9, 2019	
Notice of Motion and Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce, for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide a Newly Discovered	AA013-034
Asset, to Execute QDRO's, and for Attorney's Fees and Costs filed on October 16, 2018	
Notice to Appear Telephonically field on June 27, 2019	AA360-361
Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and Countermotion for Fees filed on October 18, 2019	AA563-578
Order From February 27, 2020 Hearing filed on March 26, 2020	AA887-889
Order From the January 23, 2019 Hearing filed on April 5, 2019	AA139-147
Order From the July 18, 2019 Hearing filed on August 9, 2019	AA362-365
Order From the November 27, 2018 Hearing filed on December 17, 2019	AA897-900
Order of the Court filed on June 26, 2019	AA354-359
Order Striking Exhibits filed on November 14, 2018	AA069
Plaintiff's Errata to Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce, for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide a Newly Discovered Asset, to Execute QDRO's, and for Attorney's Fees and Costs filed on October 29, 2018	AA064-068
Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs for the Appeal filed on December 16, 2019	AA803-814
Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Summary Judgment, Joinder and to Continue the Evidentiary Hearing filed on September 30, 2019	AA400-436
Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Notice to Appear by Audiovisual Transmission Equipment at the Trial Scheduled for October 21, 2019 filed on October 14, 2019	AA478-489
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration and Countermotion filed on April 23, 2019	AA199-237
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion on Order Shortening Time to Reconsider Denial of Defendant's Audiovisual Appearance Request and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs filed on October 16, 2019	AA518-536

Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's to Defendant's Ex Parte Motion for a Continuance of Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce, for an Order to Show Cause, to Divide Newly Discovered Asset, to Execute QDRO's and for Attorney's Fees and Costs and Countermotion for Attorney Fees and Costs filed on December 19, 2018	AA070-091
Plaintiff's Pretrial memorandum filed on October 16, 2019	AA542-562
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Countermotion filed on May 17, 2019	AA279-308
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Summary Judgment, Joinder and to Continue the Evidentiary Hearing filed on October 20, 2019	AA579-603
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs During the Appeal filed on January 9, 2020	AA822-832
Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Second memorandum of Fees and Costs from July 19, 2019 through the Date of the Evidentiary Hearing on October 21, 2019 filed on December 16, 2019	AA790-802
Reply to Opposition and/or Countermotion filed on December 28, 2018	AA092-096
Request for Continuance filed on November 16, 2018	AA895-896
Request to Appear by Audiovisual Transmission Equipment filed on October 10, 2019	AA441-448
Schedule Arrearages for Support filed on October 9, 2019	AA437-440
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – July 18, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA372-399
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – May 22, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA309-353
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – October 11, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA451-477
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions – October 21, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA604-785
Transcript Re: Motion – January 23, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA097-138
Transcript Re: Status Check – May 2, 2019 filed on May 13, 2020	AA238-252

assistance and relief from judgement is timely pursuant to NRCP 60(b)(6) in that Caterina brought her action within 30 days of Grady ceasing his payments to her.

- 5. Pursuant to NRS 125.150 (4), the court may set apart a portion of the Grady's separate property for Caterina's support as is deemed just and equitable. Based on the facts of this case, Grady's disability pension income is considered a source of income for purposes of awarding alimony to Caterina. The court invalidates the alimony waiver and awards alimony from Grady's military pension disability payments to Caterina as it would be unconscionable that Caterina receive no support after 31 years of marriage. SeeFattore v. Fattore, 458 NJ Super. 75, 83 (App. Div. 2019) and Parker v. Green, No. 73176 (Nevada June 25, 2018).
- 6. A fiduciary relationship arose from the existence of the marriage itself, thus precipitating Grady's duty to not misrepresent his income and assets. Cook v. Cook, 112 Nev. 179, 912 P.2d, 264 (1996) citing Williams v. Waldman, 108 Nev. 466, 836 P.2d 614 (1992) at 471-72, 836 P.2d at 618. Grady violated his fiduciary duty to Caterina by wrongfully telling Caterina that his military pay was \$3,017 per month, and that she was entitled to 50%, namely \$1,508 per month. He told her he would pay her \$1,500 per month with periodic increase until he died. When Grady made this representation to Caterina he had previously waived his military pay for disability pay and was receiving only \$128.40 per month in military pay with 50% being equal to \$64.20

W. Family Byrd, Colerina Pleadings Drafts VFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 wpd

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

7. A contract is "ambiguous if it is reasonably susceptible to more than one interpretation." Shelton v. Shelton, 119 Nev. 492, 497, 78 P.3d 507, 510 (2003). When interpreting an ambiguous contract, this Court can look beyond the express terms and analyze the circumstances surrounding the contract to determine the true mutual intentions of both parties. Id. Finally, this court has recognized that an interpretation that "results in a fair and reasonable contract is preferable to one that results in a harsh and unreasonable contract." Id. In this case, the Decree of Divorce provides that Grady is to pay Caterina \$1,500 per month for mortgage assistance. The Decree of Divorce further provides that the mortgage assistance is not alimony and that it can be terminated at any time. This is vague and ambiguous and susceptible to more than one interpretation especially in light of Grady paying Caterina \$1,500 per month in mortgage assistance for over 4 years following the divorce. The Decree of Divorce is also ambiguous as to the military retired pay. The Decree of Divorce provides that Caterina is entitled to 50% of Grady's military retired pay. This is vague since there was no dollar amount provided in the Decree of Divorce and Grady represented to Defendant that 50% of his military pay is \$1,500 per month. Further, Grady paid Caterina \$1,500 per month for more than 4 years following entry of the Decree of Divorce. When a contract is ambiguous, the court should

W:\Family\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wp

examine the circumstances leading up to the Decree of Divorce to determine the true intentions of the parties. <u>Parker v. Green</u>, No. 73176 (Nevada June 25, 2018). In this case, in communications between the parties leading up to the Decree of Divorce, Grady misrepresented his income and assets to Caterina.

- 8. Ambiguity in the decree must be interpreted against Grady as he was the drafter or had it drafted. It is a well-settled rule that "[i]n cases of doubt or ambiguity, a contract must be construed most strongly against the party who prepared it, and favorably to a party who had no voice in the selection of its language." As a result, in this case, any ambiguity must be interpreted against Grady. Williams v. Waldman, 108 Nev. 466, 836 P.2d 614 (Nev., 1992) citing Jacobson v. Sassower, 66 N.Y.2d 991, 499 N.Y.S.2d 381, 489 N.E.2d 1283, 1284 (1985).
- The periodic payments on a monthly basis that Grady paid Caterina until the house was sold or paid off are indeed based on financial need and are therefore alimony. NRS 125.150(9)(a).
- 10. Caterina should receive lifetime alimony based on Grady's waiver of military pension for disability payments: as a military wife, she set aside her education and career to follow her husband around the world. Grady currently receives over \$116,000.00 annually in largely tax free income. Caterina has the need for support, she must be compensated for economic loss and Grady has the ability to pay. Kogod v. Cioffi-Kogod, 135 Nev., Adv. Op. 9 (April 25, 2019).
- 11. Caterina is entitled to an award of attorney fees and costs. Pursuant W:Fomily/Byrd, Caterinat/Pleadings/Drefts/FFCL 8 Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

to <u>Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat'l Bank</u>, 85 Nev. 345 (1969), the Court should take into consideration the following factors when determining an award of attorney's fees. (1) The qualities of the advocate(s): Ms. Webster has been practicing law for 34 years and Ms. Lambertsen for 14 years; the law firm's practice is dedicated to family law. (2) The character and difficulty of the work performed: moderate to moderately high. (3) The work actually performed by the attorney: Many hours were spent litigating and preparing this case for Trial. (4) The result obtained: is yet to be determined.

ORDERS

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that based on the evidence presented and in weighing the credibility of the witness, the Court finds there is a basis for partial modification of the Decree of Divorce filed on or about June 5, 2014.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that effective November 1, 2019, Defendant shall pay Plaintiff life time alimony in the amount of \$3,110.00 per month, payable on or before the first day of each month. This alimony is modifiable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that should Plaintiff find it necessary to obtain an annuity or other vehicle for income in lieu of the survivor benefits, the cost can go toward Plaintiff's financial need when she seeks to modify alimony.

awarded to Plaintiff shall be reduced to judgment, collectible by all lawful means. Defendant is in arrears for the attorney's fees previously awarded; \$7,000.00 order filed April 5, 2019; \$5,000.00 order filed June 26, 2019; and \$1,500.00, order filed August 9, 2019, for a total of \$13,500.00, which sum is reduced to W/VFamily/Dyrd, Collection/Pleadings/Dralts/FFCL 8 Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

judgment, subject to interest at the legal rate and collectable by any lawful means.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is entitled to additional fees not previously awarded. Counsel for Plaintiff shall submit a Memorandum of Fees and Costs for the additional amount. Counsel for Defendant, Mr. Mills, shall have an opportunity to file an objection.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Grady is in contempt of court for failure to pay Caterina the \$1,500.00 monthly house payment for two months, that this is alimony, and Caterina is awarded sanctions in the amount of \$1,000.00 (\$500.00 per month for two months). The sum of \$1,000.00 is reduced to judgment, subject to interest at the legal rate and collectable by any lawful means.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant is in arrears for alimony and support payments in the amount of \$42,000.00 from September 1, 2018 through October 31, 2019 (\$3,000.00 per month for 14 months). The sum of \$42,000.00 in accrued spousal support arrears is reduced to judgment, subject to interest at the legal rate, and collectible by any lawful means.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that should Defendant file an Appeal, there will be no stay in this case until Defendant posts a supersedeas bond in an amount of not less than \$64,000.00.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Grady's order to pay Caterina \$42,000.00 in spousal support arrears and an additional \$1,000.00 in Contempt sanctions for non-payment of spousal support that is not subject to discharge in bankruptcy and is collectable by any lawful means, including against Grady's disability income. The government is to withhold money from Defendant, Grady Edward wxxFamily/Byrd, Caterinal/Pleadings/IDrafts/FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

UEBSTER & ASSOCIATES

WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES

WELLIAM AVERAL 121 Vega, Nevel 19714

Tricphone (192) 542-2341 - Cacemine (192) 542-2393

Byrd's, income and remit payments to the Plaintiff, Caterina Angela Byrd, to satisfy the support obligation and support arrears. Caterina may prepare a separate order, if necessary, to effectuate the remittance of her alimony payments directly from Grady's Army disability and CRSC, Veteran Administration benefits and Department of Defense retirement disability.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that should Grady fail to comply with the Court's orders, Caterina may file a Motion for an Order To Show Cause why Grady Should Not be Held in Contempt of Court, and if Grady fails to attend the hearing. or fails to respond, or fails to pay as ordered, Caterina can seek a no-bail bench warrant for his arrest and notify the appropriate authorities, such as Immigration and Customs, should Grady attempt to enter the United States.

```
111
      111
      111
19
20
      111
21
      111
      111
26 ///
      W.\EnmilyByrd Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\EECL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 wood
```

WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES (MEDSTER & ASSOCIATES (MEDITAL MEDITAL ME

1 2

3

5

6

11

13 14

17

18

6882 Edna Ave.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Lambertsen shall prepare the Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order from today's hearing as well as submit a Memorandum of Fees and Costs which Mr Mills can object to within 14 calendar days; Mr. Mills shall review and sign off. Mr. Mills may propose additional findings he believes are appropriate. DATED this day of Rhonda K. Forsberg Submitted by: Approved as to form and content by: 12 WEBSTER & MILLS & ANDERSON LAW FIRM BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ. 15 Nevada Bar No.6745 703 S. 8th Street Ngvada Bar No. 1221 JÉANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ. 16 Nevada Bar No. 9460 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Phone: 702-386-0030

Attorney for Defendant

28

YV:\Family\Dyrd, Caterino\Pleadings\Drefts\FFCL & Order 10-21-10 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

1/23/2020 3:26 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT NEO WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1221 JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9460 6882 Edna Ave. Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 6 Tel No: (702) 562-2300 Fax No: (702) 562-2303 e-mail: anitawebster@embargmail.com e-mail: jlambertsen@embargmail.com 8 Unbundled Attorney for Plaintiff 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 12 CATERINA ANGELA BYRD CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z DEPT NO .: G UEBSTER & ASSOCIATES
680 Edus Aume. *La Vega, Nevel 50146
Telphone (702) 562-2300 *Factionia (703) 562-250 13 Plaintiff, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF 14 ٧. FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND 15 ORDER GRADY EDWARD BYRD 16 Defendant. 17 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and 18 19 Order was entered in the above-entitled action on the 23rd day of January, 2020 20 a copy of which is attached. 21 Dated this 23 day of January, 2020. 22 23 WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES 24 25 A. WEBSTER, ESQ. 26 JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ. Unbundled Attorneys for Plaintiff 27 28 W:\Family\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\NEO of FCCL.wpd

Case Number: D-18-577701-Z

Electronically Filed

WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES 4822 Edia Avenue * La Vaza: Nevada 89146 Telephone (702) 562-2300 * Faceinaire (702) 562-2303

Certificate of Service

[X] by mandatory electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system;

To the attorney(s)/person(s) listed below at the address, email address, and/or facsimile number indicated below:

Byron Mills, Esq. <u>Modonnell@millsnv.com</u> Attorney for Defendant

An employee of Webster & Associates

W:\Family\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\NEO of FCCL.wpd

Electronically Filed 1/23/2020 1:33 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

FFCL

1

3

4

WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES

ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1221

JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 9460

6882 Edna Ave. 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Tel No: (702) 562-2300 Fax No: (702) 562-2303

e-mail: anitawebster@embargmail.com e-mail: jlambertsen@embargmail.com

Attorney for Plaintiff, unbundled

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

11 12

9

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

27 28

26

CATERINA ANGELA BYRD

Plaintiff,

GRADY EDWARD BYRD

Defendant.

CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z DEPT NO.: G

FINDINGS OF FACT. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND

This matter having come before the court on October 21, 2019, at 9:00 a.m., for an Evidentiary Hearing regarding the mortgage payment and the military retirement payment, hearing on the Plaintiff's Order to Show Cause why the Defendant should not be held in contempt of court, hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Summary Judgment, and Fees; and Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and Countermotion for Fees, hearing on Defendant's Motion on Order Shortening Time to Reconsider Denial of Audiovisual Appearance Request, Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion on Order Shortening Time to Reconsider Denial of Defendant's Audiovisual W.FamilylByrd, CaterinalPleadings\Orafts\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 wpd

ORDER

Case Number: D-18-577701-Z

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Appearance Request, and Countermotion for Fees. Plaintiff, Caterina Angela Byrd (hereinafter "Caterina" or "Plaintiff"), appearing by and through her attorneys, ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ., and JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ., of the law firm of WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES and Defendant, Grady Edward Byrd (hereinafter "Grady" or "Defendant"), not present and appearing by and through his attorney, BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ., of MILLS & ANDERSON LAW GROUP.

Argument by Ms. Lambertsen regarding Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Denial of Audiovisual Appearance citing that the Defendant's doctor excuses are from doctor that are all in the Philippines, not from the Veteran's Administration and one of the excuses even states that the certificate is not for legal matters.

Argument by Ms. Webster regarding sanctions for Defendant's failure to appear today and argument for the Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Summary Judgment on the Order to Show Cause, to Set Aside the Decree, and Motion to join Defendant's wife as a party to this action.

Argument by Mr. Mills regarding the Order to Show Cause and that the Defendant should not be subject to the penalty of contempt for months other than from June 1, 2019, to the present date.

Argument by Ms. Lambertsen regarding the hearing on July 18, 2019, on Plaintiff's Motion for an Order to Show Cause sought arrears going back to the date the Defendant ceased payment on September 1, 2018, and these arrears were deferred to the Evidentiary Hearing this date.

THE COURT NOTES that upon a review of the Medical Certificates W:\Family\Byrd, Calerina\Pleadings\Dralls\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wox

submitted by Defendant, that Defendant is on military disability; and the certificates submitted are from doctors from the Philippines rather than from Defendant's doctor at the Department of Veteran's Affairs. Defendant was referred to the Department of Veteran's Affairs for follow-up, and Defendant failed to do so.

THE COURT FINDS that it is suspicious that the Defendant is going to community doctors in the Philippines, did not follow-up with the Department of Veteran's Affairs, and has provided nothing from the Department of Veteran's Affairs regarding medical issues. Further the court finds that the medical notes from the Philippines provided by the Defendant are not believable.

Having heard the argument of counsel and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Denial of Audiovisual Appearance Request is Denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment that the Defendant be found in Contempt of Court is Denied. That the Court will enter appropriate rulings based on the testimony and evidence to follow in this Evidentiary Hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Evidentiary Hearing will proceed today in Defendant's absence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and Request to Set Aside the Order from the hearing on May 22, 2019, order filed June 26, 2019, is part of the court's consideration in this Evidentiary Hearing and a determination will be made by this court as to the agreement entered into W-Y-Entroly/Poyrd, Celevine/Pleadings/Drafts/FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

UZBSTER & ASSOCIATES
6002 154ns Arenne - Las Vega, Nicola 80146
Telephone (70.2) 562-2300 - Escrimbe (70.2) 562-2305

between the parties, what was meant by the language of the agreement, whether or not it constituted a waiver of alimony, or whether the waiver of alimony was of no effect because the decree provides for her support and it would be unconscionable that after 31 years of marriage that Plaintiff would receive no support from the Defendant and Defendant would have total discretion as to what, when, and for how long to pay the Plaintiff. All of the foregoing shall be decided after testimony and evidence is presented. That the Court will enter appropriate rulings based on the testimony and evidence from the hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's request that Defendant's wife be joined in this action and ordered to sign a waiver of her interest in the Survivor Benefit Plan awarded to the Plaintiff in the decree of divorce is denied as this Court has no personal jurisdiction over the Defendant's wife.

Plaintiff, Caterina Angela Byrd, was sworn and testified under oath.

THE COURT ADMITTED Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 through 11; 13 through 18; 20 through 23; 25 through 28; 30 through 36; 42 (VT 9:42:52) 43, 63, and 64 were admitted. Based upon the parties' stipulation, Defendant's Exhibits A through L, were admitted into evidence.

The court having reviewed the pleadings and papers on file herein including the *Pre-Trial Memorandums* filed by the parties prior to Trial, after considering and weighing the credibility of the witness and the exhibits admitted into evidence, and after further considering the closing arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing, the Court hereby makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order as set forth herein.

W.V. amily\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

FINDINGS OF FACT

- To the extent any Finding of Fact is more appropriately stated as a Conclusion of Law, it should be so deemed.
- The parties were divorced in Nevada on June 5, 2014, after a 31-year marriage by way of a Joint Petition that Grady arranged to have prepared.
- Caterina is 56 years old and resides in Clark County Nevada. Grady is 63
 years old and resides in the Philippines.
- Caterina has a high school education and English is her second language.
 Grady has two Master Degrees, war college degree and certificates.
- Grady retired from the Army in 1999. Caterina was named the beneficiary of Grady's Army Survivor Benefit Plan upon his retirement. After retiring from the Army, Grady worked for the Department of Defense until about 2010.
- 6. The parties moved 17 different places throughout the marriage making it difficult for Caterina to establish a career. The parties last resided together in about 2008 with Caterina remaining in Nevada and Grady residing out of the country and Caterina believing that he lived in either Kosova or the Philippines.
- 7. Caterina was not working at the time of divorce and is not currently working.
 Caterina had not worked during the marriage except sporadically because
 Grady got upset when she had tried to work.
- 8. Caterina was in treatment for mental health issue, anxiety and depression from about 2012 to 2016.
- 9. Grady's current gross annual income is about \$116,000.00 per year.

W:\Family\Byrd, Caterina\Pieadings\Drafts\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

- 10. Since September 1, 2018, Caterina has borrowed money from her parents to pay her monthly expenses. She paid her attorney fees by credit card and the credit card is maxed out. She has an outstanding balance with her attorney. That using a credit card to hire an attorney does not mean that Caterina was not destitute. (VT 2:26:28)
- 11. The Decree of Divorce provides that Grady is to pay Caterina \$1,500 per month for mortgage assistance. The Decree of Divorce further provides that the mortgage assistance is not alimony and that it can be terminated at any time. This is vague and ambiguous and susceptible to more than one interpretation especially in light of Grady paying Caterina \$1,500 for mortgage assistance for over 4 years following the divorce. Based on all the facts above and despite the statement in the decree that this is not alimony, these facts support that it was, in fact, alimony. (VT 2:23:43, 2:16:30, 2:19:03).
- 12. The Decree of Divorce contains ambiguities. Grady was making periodic payments to Caterina on a monthly basis for her support. This is alimony, yet the agreement purports that it is not alimony, creating an ambiguity.
- 13. The court must examine the circumstances surrounding the parties' alimony waiver in order to determine the true intentions of the parties.
- 14. That the Decree of Divorce provides that Caterina is entitled to 50% of Grady's military retired pay. This is vague since there was no dollar amount provided in the Decree of Divorce and Grady represented to Defendant that 50% of his military pay is \$1,500 per month. Further, Grady paid Caterina \$1,500 per month for more than 4 years following entry of the Decree of

W:\Family\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

Divorce.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 15. Grady drafted the agreement or had it drafted. It is a well- settled rule that "[i]n cases of doubt or ambiguity, a contract must be construed most strongly against the party who prepared it, and favorably to a party who had no voice in the selection of its language." As a result, in this case, any ambiguity must be interpreted against Grady.
- 16. The house she was awarded in the Decree of Divorce had little to no equity. The equity in the house at the time of divorce was less than \$20,000, and would not likely cover the closing costs had she sold the house at the time of the divorce. The periodic payments on a monthly basis until the house was sold or paid off are indeed based on financial need and are therefore alimony. The payments were conditioned on the house not selling and not being paid off. The house has not been sold and is not paid off. The mortgage payments are over \$1,900 per month. (VT 2:26:58). Based on what Grady told Caterina before and at the time of the divorce. Caterina reasonably expected that Grady would support her at the rate of at least \$3,000 per month for the remainder of her life and that upon his death, she would continue to be supported by Grady based on her receipt of his Army Survivor Benefits in a comparable amount. (VT2:27:56). Grady had a fiduciary duty to Caterina to be honest with her. He failed to meet his fiduciary duty.
- 17. During the marriage, Grady is the one who ran made the decisions in the marriage and controlled the finances in the marriage. Grady discouraged Caterina from being involved in these decisions. When Caterina did try to

W:\Family\Uyrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Dralls\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

work, he discouraged her from working and told her he did not want her to work. Once he moved to the Philippines, he decided that he did not want to be married anymore and claimed to have so many bills that he would have to file bankruptcy, that he was living in a tent, that he could barely get by, and that they were only getting \$3,017 per month in Army retirement pay. Grady told Caterina that he cannot call the money he was going to pay her per the Decree of Divorce "alimony" because if that money was called "alimony", he would not be able to get the loan that he needs, he would never be able to get ahead in his present life and he would have to live poor until he dies. Grady failed to realize that he has a fiduciary relationship to his spouse not to make misrepresentations to her.

18. Grady violated his fiduciary duty to his wife, Caterina, by wrongfully telling her how much money she would receive each month and for how long. He told her that his military pay was \$3,017 per month, and that she was entitled to \$1,508 per month and that he would pay her \$1,500 per month or more until he died. In actuality, his military pay was only \$128.40 per month and 50% of this is \$64.20 per month. Long before he asked Caterina for a divorce, Grady had applied for and received a waiver of his military pay to receive it as disability pay. A fiduciary relationship arises from the existence of the marriage itself, thus precipitating a duty to disclose pertinent assets and income. Grady was not receiving \$3,017 in military retirement pay as he represented to Caterina, rather, he was receiving \$3,146 in VA disability pay. He had waived \$3,017 of his retired pay for disability pay, and had only \$128.40 left as his military retired pay.

W-VFamily\Byrd, Calorina\Ploadings\Drofts\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 wpd

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

- 50% of Grady's United States Army Retired Pay was \$64.20. Grady engaged in deceit upon his spouse that he owed a fiduciary duty to.
- 19. That prior to divorce, Grady applied for and received approval from the Department of the Army for Combat Related Special Compensation (CRSC) disability pay on or about June 20, 2011, to be paid through the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS).
- That prior to divorce, about December 1, 2011, Grady had waived a portion
 of his Army Retirement pay to receive disability compensation.
- 21. That prior to divorce, Grady applied for and received approval on or about November 12, 2010 from the Office of Personnel Management to receive his Federal Employee's Retirement System (FERS) money in the form of disability retirement. That his disability annuity gross payment is \$1,315.00 per month.
- That prior to divorce, on or about September 19, 2012, upon Grady's June 19, 2009 application to the Social Security Administration for disability and disability insurance benefits, Grady was determined to be disabled. Grady was paid \$31,014 in Social Security Benefits in 2014.
- 23. Around the time of divorce, Grady did not provide Caterina documentation showing the amount of his military retirement pay, the amount of his Veteran's Administration Disability pay, the amount of his Office of Personnel Management Disability Annuity Income, or the amount of his Social Security Disability Income.
- Grady paid Caterina \$3,000 per month for a number of years, from June
 2014 until September 1, 2018, to keep her quiet, to keep her complacent,

W:VFamily\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\FFCL 8 Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

then he decided that he did not need to pay her anymore. When Grady claimed that he was receiving treatment for cancer, Caterina started asking questions about his Army Survivor Benefits. Then, she saw an attorney, and Grady informed her that he is not paying her anything and will not even show up for court. Grady provides notes from doctors in the Philippines, claiming that he cannot come to court. The court is not sure they are actually doctors. The notes do not come from the Veteran's Administration doctors, where Grady is treating.

- 25. Grady did everything in his power to keep Caterina from recognizing what her rights were and to leave her in a position where she would receive only \$64.20 per month from his Army Retirement pay. This is unconscionable. It is unenforceable. Were the provision in the Decree of Divorce interpreted to give Caterina 50% of Grady's Military pay, so that she would receive only \$64.20 per month for her interest in his military pay, after 31 years of marriage, this would be so unconscionable, as to be unenforceable.
- 26. That Caterina's request for the court's assistance is timely pursuant to NRCP 60(b)(6) in that she sought the court's assistance on or about October 2018, shortly after Grady stopping the \$3,000 per month payments to her on September 1, 2018.
- 27. Grady's breach of fiduciary duty, the vagueness and unconscionability of the agreement gives the court discretion to reopen a division of the marital/community property when extraordinary circumstances arise.
- 28. That Grady threatened Caterina that she was not to seek the assistance of counsel to review the language that Grady proposed for the Decree of

W:\Family\Byrd, Caterina\Ploadings\Drafts\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

Divorce or she would regret it. Caterina was suffering from depression at the time of the divorce, the parties had just purchased a home 4 months prior to Grady asking for a divorce, the mortgage was over \$1,900 per month, and Caterina was fearful that if she failed to follow his orders, he would stop providing money for her living expenses, and disappear. He was living in the Phillippines at that time.

- 29. Grady represented to Caterina that her share of Grady's military retirement money was \$1,508.00 per month for his life and that she would get increases over time. Grady performed on this agreement from June 5, 2014 until September 1, 2018. Therefore, Caterina is awarded \$1,508 per month in alimony, subject to upward modification. The additional \$1,500.00 per month that Grady agreed to pay Caterina for mortgage assistance for her house is also designated as alimony.
- 30. Grady has multiple sources of income which are not exempt from a spousal support order. All of this income, which comprises Grady's approximate \$116,000.00 annual income, can be considered when a spousal support obligation to Caterina is calculated.
- 31. Grady agreed to keep the military health insurance intact for Caterina but Caterina is no longer covered by the military health insurance and has replaced the health insurance plan. That the amount of her health insurance, \$102.00 per month, is a factor toward her financial need.
- 32. As Grady agreed to keep Caterina's health insurance coverage in tact, the \$102.00 per month that Caterina pays for health insurance shall be added to the monthly alimony amount owed by Grady to Caterina, retroactive to

W.\Family\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

- 33. Grady agreed to keep Caterina as the beneficiary of his Military Survivor Benefit Plan, that Caterina is no longer the beneficiary of the Survivor Benefit Plan; and that she is going to have to replace the value of the Survivor Benefit Plan. That she may have to obtain an annuity if she is not successful in her appeal to the U.S. Army Board of Corrections. That this dollar amount is a factor toward her financial need when she seeks to modify the alimony award.
- 34. Pursuant to NRS 22.010 et. al., Grady is found in contempt of court, for two months, and in arrears for failure to pay as ordered at the May 22, 2019 hearing order filed on or about June 26, 2019, wherein Grady was ordered to resume paying Caterina \$3,000.00 per month starting June 1, 2019, pending the Evidentiary Hearing held on October 21, 2019.
- 35. That there was new evidence presented to support the Caterina's Motion for Reconsideration of the order from the May 22, 2019 hearing, because there was no indication that Judge Forsberg realized that there was no equity in the marital residence at the time of divorce based on Grady stating in the decree that the residence was worth \$365,000. This was the purchase price of the house and just a little over what was owed on the house, not equity. Also, Grady listed that he had no assets, so there is no indication that Judge Forsberg knew what Grady had available to him at that time. She looked at the face of the document, this is why an evidentiary hearing was held. (VT 2:15:35).

W:\Family\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

- 36. That Caterina did not obtain counsel at the time of divorce because Grady threatened her. He was in the Phillippines and she feared he would disappear. Grady knew that he was dealing with a person who is dealing with depression. As soon as she mentioned seeing an attorney, he came down on her and stopped paying. (VT 2:25:00)
- 37. That there is cause to set aside the decree based on Grady's breach of his fiduciary duty to Caterina and that this was timely because Caterina came to court to try to get what was entitled to her upon Grady stopping his monthly payments to her. (VT 2:19:44).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Personal Jurisdiction over the Parties

By way of their pleadings, each of the parties submitted to the jurisdiction of this Court, accordingly, the Court concludes that it has personal jurisdiction over the parties.

Subject Matter Jurisdiction

This court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to NRS 125.070, which provides that the judge of the court shall determine all questions of law and fact arising in any divorce proceeding under the provisions of this chapter.

Ambiguity, Unconscionable and Unenforceable, Violation of Fiduciary Duty, Invalidation of Spousal Support Waiver, Award of Life Time Alimony to Caterina, Grady in Contempt of Court

 Grady's interpretation of the terms of the Decree of Divorce would mean that he can unilaterally stop paying Caterina \$1,500 per month in support for the house and that Caterina would receive just \$64.20 per month for her interest in his military pay after 31 years of marriage.

W:\Family\Byrd, Calorina\Pleadings\Drafts\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

- 2. Grady represented to Caterina that she would receive 50% of his military pay and that this amounted to \$1,500 per month and more for the rest of his life. Grady waived his military pay for disability pay. Grady has a contractual obligation to pay Caterina \$1,500 per month from his military disability pension. Grady cannot reduce his payment to Caterina by claiming it is disability pay. See Shelton v.Shelton, 119 Nev. 492 (Nev. 2003) and Gemma v. Gemma, 105 Nev. 458, 778 P.2d 429 (1989).
- 3. The court finds that the alimony waiver in the Decree of Divorce is not enforceable because: 1) Caterina did not knowingly waive alimony. Caterina relied on Grady's promise that he would pay her \$3,000 per month until he died. She could not have waived her right to alimony while simultaneously accepting support to pay her necessities, Fattore v. Fattore, 458 NJ Super. 75, 83 (App. Div. 2019) and Parker v. Green, No. 73176 (Nevada June 25, 2018); and 2)The payments Grady is making to Caterina are in the nature of alimony. Grady's payment to Caterina of "\$1500 dollars extra a month to assist with her home mortgage" may cease if "her financial situation changes." Since Grady's assistance to Caterina may cease based on Caterina's financial situation, this is consistent with the NRS 125.150 considerations for alimony.
- 4. NRCP 60(b)(6) Relief From a Judgment or Order (6) any other reason that justifies relief in this instance. Caterina's request for the court's W//Family/Byrd, Caterina/Pleadings/IDrafts/FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

assistance and relief from judgement is timely pursuant to NRCP 60(b)(6) in that Caterina brought her action within 30 days of Grady ceasing his payments to her.

- 5. Pursuant to NRS 125.150 (4), the court may set apart a portion of the Grady's separate property for Caterina's support as is deemed just and equitable. Based on the facts of this case, Grady's disability pension income is considered a source of income for purposes of awarding alimony to Caterina. The court invalidates the alimony waiver and awards alimony from Grady's military pension disability payments to Caterina as it would be unconscionable that Caterina receive no support after 31 years of marriage. SeeFattore v. Fattore, 458 NJ Super. 75, 83 (App. Div. 2019) and Parker v. Green, No. 73176 (Nevada June 25, 2018).
- 6. A fiduciary relationship arose from the existence of the marriage itself, thus precipitating Grady's duty to not misrepresent his income and assets. Cook v. Cook, 112 Nev. 179, 912 P.2d, 264 (1996) citing Williams v. Waldman, 108 Nev. 466, 836 P.2d 614 (1992) at 471-72, 836 P.2d at 618. Grady violated his fiduciary duty to Caterina by wrongfully telling Caterina that his military pay was \$3,017 per month, and that she was entitled to 50%, namely \$1,508 per month. He told her he would pay her \$1,500 per month with periodic increase until he died. When Grady made this representation to Caterina he had previously waived his military pay for disability pay and was receiving only \$128.40 per month in military pay with 50% being equal to \$64.20

W:\Family\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

per month. Therefore, Grady must pay Caterina \$3,110.00 per month in modifiable alimony.

7. A contract is "ambiguous if it is reasonably susceptible to more than one interpretation." Shelton v. Shelton, 119 Nev. 492, 497, 78 P.3d 507, 510 (2003). When interpreting an ambiguous contract, this Court can look beyond the express terms and analyze the circumstances surrounding the contract to determine the true mutual intentions of both parties. Id. Finally, this court has recognized that an interpretation that "results in a fair and reasonable contract is preferable to one that results in a harsh and unreasonable contract." Id. In this case, the Decree of Divorce provides that Grady is to pay Caterina \$1,500 per month for mortgage assistance. The Decree of Divorce further provides that the mortgage assistance is not alimony and that it can be terminated at any time. This is vague and ambiguous and susceptible to more than one interpretation especially in light of Grady paying Caterina \$1,500 per month in mortgage assistance for over 4 years following the divorce. The Decree of Divorce is also ambiguous as to the military retired pay. The Decree of Divorce provides that Caterina is entitled to 50% of Grady's military retired pay. This is vague since there was no dollar amount provided in the Decree of Divorce and Grady represented to Defendant that 50% of his military pay is \$1,500 per month. Further, Grady paid Caterina \$1,500 per month for more than 4 years following entry of the Decree of Divorce. When a contract is ambiguous, the court should

W.\Family\Dyrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

examine the circumstances leading up to the Decree of Divorce to determine the true intentions of the parties. <u>Parker v. Green</u>, No. 73176 (Nevada June 25, 2018). In this case, in communications between the parties leading up to the Decree of Divorce, Grady misrepresented his income and assets to Caterina.

- 8. Ambiguity in the decree must be interpreted against Grady as he was the drafter or had it drafted. It is a well-settled rule that "[i]n cases of doubt or ambiguity, a contract must be construed most strongly against the party who prepared it, and favorably to a party who had no voice in the selection of its language." As a result, in this case, any ambiguity must be interpreted against Grady. Williams v. Waldman, 108 Nev. 466, 836 P.2d 614 (Nev., 1992) citing Jacobson v. Sassower, 66 N.Y.2d 991, 499 N.Y.S.2d 381, 489 N.E.2d 1283, 1284 (1985).
- The periodic payments on a monthly basis that Grady paid Caterina until the house was sold or paid off are indeed based on financial need and are therefore alimony. NRS 125.150(9)(a).
- 10. Caterina should receive lifetime alimony based on Grady's waiver of military pension for disability payments: as a military wife, she set aside her education and career to follow her husband around the world. Grady currently receives over \$116,000.00 annually in largely tax free income. Caterina has the need for support, she must be compensated for economic loss and Grady has the ability to pay. Kogod v. Cioffi-Kogod, 135 Nev., Adv. Op. 9 (April 25, 2019).
- 11. Caterina is entitled to an award of attorney fees and costs. Pursuant W1Familly\Byrd, Caterina\Plaadings\Drafts\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

to <u>Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat'l Bank</u>, 85 Nev. 345 (1969), the Court should take into consideration the following factors when determining an award of attorney's fees. (1) The qualities of the advocate(s): Ms. Webster has been practicing law for 34 years and Ms. Lambertsen for 14 years; the law firm's practice is dedicated to family law. (2) The character and difficulty of the work performed: moderate to moderately high. (3) The work actually performed by the attorney: Many hours were spent litigating and preparing this case for Trial. (4) The result obtained: is yet to be determined.

ORDERS

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that based on the evidence presented and in weighing the credibility of the witness, the Court finds there is a basis for partial modification of the Decree of Divorce filed on or about June 5, 2014.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that effective November 1, 2019, Defendant shall pay Plaintiff life time alimony in the amount of \$3,110.00 per month, payable on or before the first day of each month. This alimony is modifiable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that should Plaintiff find it necessary to obtain an annuity or other vehicle for income in lieu of the survivor benefits, the cost can go toward Plaintiff's financial need when she seeks to modify alimony.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the attorney's fees that were previously awarded to Plaintiff shall be reduced to judgment, collectible by all lawful means. Defendant is in arrears for the attorney's fees previously awarded; \$7,000.00 order filed April 5, 2019; \$5,000.00 order filed June 26, 2019; and \$1,500.00, order filed August 9, 2019, for a total of \$13,500.00, which sum is reduced to W/Y-Family/Byrd, Calerina/Pleadings/Oralts/FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

judgment, subject to interest at the legal rate and collectable by any lawful means.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is entitled to additional fees not previously awarded. Counsel for Plaintiff shall submit a Memorandum of Fees and Costs for the additional amount. Counsel for Defendant, Mr. Mills, shall have an opportunity to file an objection.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Grady is in contempt of court for failure to pay Caterina the \$1,500.00 monthly house payment for two months, that this is alimony, and Caterina is awarded sanctions in the amount of \$1,000.00 (\$500.00 per month for two months). The sum of \$1,000.00 is reduced to judgment, subject to interest at the legal rate and collectable by any lawful means.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant is in arrears for alimony and support payments in the amount of \$42,000.00 from September 1, 2018 through October 31, 2019 (\$3,000.00 per month for 14 months). The sum of \$42,000.00 in accrued spousal support arrears is reduced to judgment, subject to interest at the legal rate, and collectible by any lawful means.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that should Defendant file an Appeal, there will be no stay in this case until Defendant posts a supersedeas bond in an amount of not less than \$64,000.00.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Grady's order to pay Caterina \$42,000.00 in spousal support arrears and an additional \$1,000.00 in Contempt sanctions for non-payment of spousal support that is not subject to discharge in bankruptcy and is collectable by any lawful means, including against Grady's disability income. The government is to withhold money from Defendant, Grady Edward W. Family Byrd, Caternal Pleadings Wrafts FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 wpd

Law Offices of WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES 4822 (48th Avenue 1 as Vega, Nevalt 1971-6 Tokyshone (102) 562-2003 - Hearmile (102) 562-2003

Byrd's, income and remit payments to the Plaintiff, Caterina Angela Byrd, to satisfy the support obligation and support arrears. Caterina may prepare a separate order, if necessary, to effectuate the remittance of her alimony payments directly from Grady's Army disability and CRSC, Veteran Administration benefits and Department of Defense retirement disability.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that should Grady fail to comply with the Court's orders, Caterina may file a Motion for an Order To Show Cause why Grady Should Not be Held in Contempt of Court, and if Grady fails to attend the hearing, or fails to respond, or fails to pay as ordered, Caterina can seek a no-bail bench warrant for his arrest and notify the appropriate authorities, such as Immigration and Customs, should Grady attempt to enter the United States.

```
15
      111
16
      111
      111
18
      111
19
20
      111
21
      111
22
      111
23
      111
24
     111
25
26
     111
27
      111
28
      111
      W:\Family\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 .wpd
```

1 JAW Offices of WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES 4897 Edit Attent - 1 Ja Vegas, North 19146 Telephone (202) 522-2201 2

3

5

6

7 8 9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Lambertsen shall prepare the Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order from today's hearing as well as submit a Memorandum of Fees and Costs which Mr Mills can object to within 14 calendar days; Mr. Mills shall review and sign off. Mr. Mills may propose additional findings he believes are appropriate.

DATED this day of

STRICT COOKT JODA

Rhonda K. Forsberg

Submitted by: WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES/

ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 1221 // JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 9460 6882 Edna Ave.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Attorney for Plaintiff Approved as to form and content by: MILLS & ANDERSON LAW FIRM

BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.6745 703 S. 8th Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Phone: 702-386-0030 Attorney for Defendant

W/Family/Byrd, Caterina/Pleadings/Drahs/FFCL & Order 10-21-19 EH 12-11-19 ,wpd

CLERK OF THE COURT JUDG **WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES** ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1221 JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9460 6882 Edna Ave. Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Tel No: (702) 562-2300 Fax No: (702) 562-2303 e-mail: anitawebster@embargmail.com e-mail: jlambertsen@embargmail.com Attorney for Plaintiff unbundled 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 CATERINA ANGELA BYRD CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z 12 DEPT NO.: G WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES
1982 1 to the control of the c Plaintiff, 13 JUDGMENT FOR ATTORNEY 14 **FEES** 15 **GRADY EDWARD BYRD** 16 Defendant. 17 This matter having come before the Court on October 21, 2019, at 9:00 18 a.m., for an Evidentiary Hearing regarding the mortgage payment and the military 19 20 retirement payment, hearing on the Plaintiff's Order to Show Cause why the 21 Defendant should not be held in contempt of court, hearing on Plaintiff's Motion 22 for Reconsideration, Summary Judgment, and Fees; and Defendant's Opposition 23 to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and Countermotion for Fees, hearing on 24 Defendant's Motion on Order Shortening Time to Reconsider Denial of 25 Audiovisual Appearance Request, Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion on 26 27 Order Shortening Time to Reconsider Denial of Defendant's Audiovisual 28 olythyrd, Catarina Plandings Orafis Undgruent for Alternay Fees and Costs 02-13-20 upd

RECEIVED

Electronically Filed 3/17/2020 1:55 PM Steven D. Grierson

FEB 19 2020

Case Number: D-18-577701-Z.

Appearance Request, and Countermotion for Fees. Plaintiff, Caterina Angela Byrd (hereinafter "Caterina" or "Plaintiff"), appearing by and through her attorneys, ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ., and JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ., of the law firm of WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES and Defendant, Grady Edward Byrd (hereinafter "Grady" or "Defendant"), not present and appearing by and through his attorney, BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ., of MILLS & ANDERSON LAW GROUP.

The court having reviewed the pleadings and papers on file herein including the Pre-Trial Memorandums filed by the parties prior to Trial, after considering and weighing the credibility of the witness and the exhibits admitted into evidence, and after further considering the closing arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing, the Court made Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Orders filed on or about January 23, 2020, which included, but not limited, to the following:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is entitled to additional fees not previously awarded. Counsel for Plaintiff shall submit a Memorandum of Fees and Costs for the additional amount. Counsel for Defendant, Mr. Mills, shall have an opportunity to file an objection.

The Court having considered the Defendant's, Caterina Byrd's Memorandum of Fees and Costs filed on or about November 25, 2019, the Plaintiff's Opposition thereto filed on or about December 4, 2019, and the Defendant's Reply filed on or about December 16, 2019, and good cause appearing, an award of attorneys' fees and cost is reasonable based on NRS 125.150(4), NRS 125.040, NRS 18.010, Hornwood v. Smith's Food King, 105

W VF amily Velyed Cotennal Pleadings \Drafts \U. dgmoni for Alternay Fees and Costs 02-13-20 wpd

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

Nev. 188, 192, 772 P.2d 1284 (1989) (quoting Women's Federal S & L Ass'n. v. Nevada Nat. Bank, 623 F.Supp. 469, 470 (D.Nev. 1985), and the Brunzell factors. Pursuant to Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat'l Bank, 85 Nev. 345 (1969), the Court has taken into consideration the following factors in determining the award of attorneys' fees; (1) The qualities of the advocate(s): Ms. Webster has been practicing law for 34 years and Ms. Lambertsen has been practicing law for 14 years; the law firm's practice is dedicated to family law. (2) The character and difficulty of the work performed: The intricacy, importance, time and skill required to prepare the papers, pleadings, attend the hearings and prepare and perform an Evidentiary Hearing in this case between July 19, 2019, through October 21, 2019 is moderate too difficult. (3) The work actually performed by the attorneys and paralegals: between July 19, 2019 through October 21, 2019, approximately 125.3 hours were spent by counsel and 9.7 hours were spent by the paralegals. (4) The result obtained was favorable to the Plaintiff, Caterina Byrd, on a number of issues.

The Court notes that the Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs filed on or about November 25, 2019 states that Plaintiff's fees and costs are from the date of July 19, 2019, through the Evidentiary Hearing on October 21, 2019. The Memorandum of fees and costs do not cover the preparation of the Memorandum of Fees and Costs filed on or about November 25, 2019 or this instant Judgment: 53.30 hours for Sr. Attorney, Ms. Webster at \$350 per hour..... \$18,655.00 26 72.00 hrs for Assoc. Attorney, Ms. Lambertsen at \$295 per hour . \$21,240.00 9.70 hours of paralegal time at \$125.00 per hour

W (Family)Dyrd, CaterinalPloadings/Drafts/Judgment for Attorney Fees and Costs 02-13-20 wpd

	1	TOTAL FEES \$41,107.50
	2	TOTAL COSTS \$924.75
	3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that attorney fees and costs are awarded to the
	4	Plaintiff, Caterina Byrd, in the amount of \$ 42.031.75.
	5	IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that this amount is reduced to
	6	
	7	Judgment subject to interest on the unpaid balance at the interest rate pursuant
	8	to NRS 99.040 and is collectible by any lawful means.
	10	DATED this day of March 2020.
	11	day of joine 12020.
	12	1000 the days
E S	13	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
OCIATE	14	Submitted by:
SSO	15	Submitted by: WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES
R & ASS	16	1 Tracks Prostally
WEBSTHR & ASSOCIATIES APPENDE NUMBER AND THE STREET AND THE STREET AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	17	JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ.
WEB	18	Attorney for Plaintiff
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
		W \Family\Byrd. Caterma\Pleadings\Drafts\Uodgment for Attorney Fees are Costs 92-13-20 wpd
		Δ

Electronically Filed 3/18/2020 10:52 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT NEO **WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES** 2 ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1221 JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9460 6882 Edna Ave. Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Tel No: (702) 562-2300 Fax No: (702) 562-2303 e-mail: anitawebster@embargmail.com e-mail: jlambertsen@embarqmail.com Unbundled Attorney for Plaintiff 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 CATERINA ANGELA BYRD 12 CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z DEPT NO .: G UNEBSTER & ASSOCIATES AND THE AND EAST STATES AND THE AND THE AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY 13 Plaintiff, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT 14 FOR ATTORNEY FEES 15 **GRADY EDWARD BYRD** 16 Defendant, 17 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a Judgment for Attorney Fees was entered in 18 19 the above-entitled action on the 17th day of March, 2020 a copy of which is 20 attached. 21 22 day of March, 2020. 23 WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES 24 25 JEANNE E LAMBERTSEN, ESQ. 26 Unbundled Attorney for Plaintiff 27 28 W \Family\Byrd, Caterina\District Court Case\Pleadings\Drafts\NEO of Judgment for Altorney Fees wpd

Case Number: D-18-577701-Z

UNEBSTER & ASSOCIATES ONE Bilter Action of the New York, Security Telephone (202 %2230) - Faceting (202 %2230)

Certificate of Service

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am employed in the Law Offices of WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES, and that on this _____ day of March, 2020, I caused the above and foregoing document to be served as follows:

[X] by mandatory electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system;

To the attorney(s)/person(s) listed below at the address, email address, and/or facsimile number indicated below:

Byron Mills, Esq. Modonnell@millsnv.com Attorney for Defendant

An employee of Webster & Associates

W.\Family\Byrd, Caterina\District Court Case\Pleadings\Drafts\NEO of Judgment for Attorney Fees,wpd

Electronically Filed 3/17/2020 1:55 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

JUDG
WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES
ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 1221
JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9460
6882 Edna Ave.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
Tel No: (702) 562-2300
Fax No: (702) 562-2303
e-mail: anitawebster@embarqmail.com
e-mail: jlambertsen@embarqmail.com
Attorney for Plaintiff unbundled

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CATERINA ANGELA BYRD

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z

DEPT NO.: G

JUDGMENT FOR ATTORNEY FEES

GRADY EDWARD BYRD

Defendant.

This matter having come before the Court on October 21, 2019, at 9:00 a.m., for an Evidentiary Hearing regarding the mortgage payment and the military retirement payment, hearing on the Plaintiff's Order to Show Cause why the Defendant should not be held in contempt of court, hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, Summary Judgment, and Fees; and Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and Countermotion for Fees, hearing on Defendant's Motion on Order Shortening Time to Reconsider Denial of Audiovisual Appearance Request, Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion on Order Shortening Time to Reconsider Denial of Defendant's Audiovisual

W1FamilyByrd, CalerinalPleadings1DraftsUudgment for Alternay Fees and Costs 02-13-20 upd

RECEIVED

FFB 19 2020

Case Number: D-18-577701-Z

WEBSTER & ASSOCIATISS

OST LE VICIN - La Viga Su and 1018

Tokyle on (102) See Sun 1 - La viga Su and 2011

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

AA883

Appearance Request, and Countermotion for Fees. Plaintiff, Caterina Angela Byrd (hereinafter "Caterina" or "Plaintiff"), appearing by and through her attorneys, ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ., and JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ., of the law firm of WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES and Defendant, Grady Edward Byrd (hereinafter "Grady" or "Defendant"), not present and appearing by and through his attorney, BYRON L. MILLS, ESQ., of MILLS & ANDERSON LAW GROUP.

The court having reviewed the pleadings and papers on file herein including the Pre-Trial Memorandums filed by the parties prior to Trial, after considering and weighing the credibility of the witness and the exhibits admitted into evidence, and after further considering the closing arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing, the Court made Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Orders filed on or about January 23, 2020, which included, but not limited, to the following:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is entitled to additional fees not previously awarded. Counsel for Plaintiff shall submit a Memorandum of Fees and Costs for the additional amount. Counsel for Defendant, Mr. Mills, shall have an opportunity to file an objection.

The Court having considered the Defendant's, Caterina Byrd's Memorandum of Fees and Costs filed on or about November 25, 2019, the Plaintiff's Opposition thereto filed on or about December 4, 2019, and the Defendant's Reply filed on or about December 16, 2019, and good cause appearing, an award of attorneys' fees and cost is reasonable based on NRS 125.150(4), NRS 125.040, NRS 18.010, Hornwood v. Smith's Food King, 105

W.\Family\Uyrd Caterina\Pleachings\Oralis\Ludgmant for Attorney Fees and Costs 02-13-20 upd

Nev. 188, 192, 772 P.2d 1284 (1989) (quoting Women's Federal S & L Ass'n. v. Nevada Nat. Bank, 623 F.Supp. 469, 470 (D.Nev.1985), and the Brunzell factors. Pursuant to Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat'l Bank, 85 Nev. 345 (1969), the Court has taken into consideration the following factors in determining the award of attorneys' fees; (1) The qualities of the advocate(s): Ms. Webster has been practicing law for 34 years and Ms. Lambertsen has been practicing law for 14 years; the law firm's practice is dedicated to family law. (2) The character and difficulty of the work performed: The intricacy, importance, time and skill required to prepare the papers, pleadings, attend the hearings and prepare and perform an Evidentiary Hearing in this case between July 19, 2019, through October 21, 2019 is moderate too difficult. (3) The work actually performed by the attorneys and paralegals: between July 19, 2019 through October 21, 2019, approximately 125.3 hours were spent by counsel and 9.7 hours were spent by the paralegals, (4) The result obtained was favorable to the Plaintiff, Caterina Byrd, on a number of issues.

The Court notes that the Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs filed on or about November 25, 2019 states that Plaintiff's fees and costs are from the date of July 19, 2019, through the Evidentiary Hearing on October 21, 2019. The Memorandum of fees and costs do not cover the preparation of the Memorandum of Fees and Costs filed on or about November 25, 2019 or this instant Judgment: 53.30 hours for Sr. Attorney, Ms. Webster at \$350 per hour..... \$18,655.00 72.00 hrs for Assoc. Attorney, Ms. Lambertsen at \$295 per hour . \$21,240.00 9.70 hours of paralegal time at \$125.00 per hour \$1,212.50

W \Family\Dyrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drolts\Uudgment for Alterney Fees and Costs 02-13-20 wpd

			·
		1	TOTAL FEES \$41,107.50
		2	TOTAL COSTS
		3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that attorney fees and costs are awarded to the
		4	Plaintiff, Caterina Byrd, in the amount of \$ 42.031.75.
		5	IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that this amount is reduced to
		6	
			Judgment subject to interest on the unpaid balance at the interest rate pursuant
		8	to NRS 99.040 and is collectible by any lawful means.
		10	DATED this 12 day of March 2020.
		11	(100) 1000
		12	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
	TES	13	
	A COLA	14	Submitted by: WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES
	R & ASS	15	WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES
	WEBSTFR & ASSOCIATIES OPPLIED NAME - 1 A New No. of 1871 IN 1882 IN 18	16	Sune Mutal An
	BST Port Later	17	JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiff
	WE	18	Altoyney for Flaminin
		19	
		20	
		21	
		23	
		24	
		25	
		26	·
		27	
		28	
			W (Family)Byrd, CalennalPleadings\Drafts\Uudgment for Alternay Fees and Costs 92-13-20 wpd
			4

Electronically Filed 3/26/2020 3:16 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES
AME Find A WAS NOW THE TANKS AND THE

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

ORDR WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES 2 ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1221 JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9460 6882 Edna Ave. Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Tel No: (702) 562-2300 Fax No: (702) 562-2303 e-mail: anitawebster@embargmail.com e-mail: jlambertsen@embarqmail.com 8 Attorney for Plaintiff 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 CATERINA ANGELA BYRD CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z 12 DEPT NO .: G Plaintiff, 13

ORDER FROM FEBRUARY 27, 2020 HEARING

GRADY EDWARD BYRD

Defendant.

This matter having come before the court on the 27th day of February, for the Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs During the Appeal, Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs for the Appeal, Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs for the Appeal, Plaintiff, CATERINA ANGELA BYRD (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), present with her unbundled attorney, JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ., of the law firm of WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES and Defendant, GRADY EDWARD BYRD (hereinafter "Defendant"), not present, Defendant's attorney, BYRON MILLS, ESQ., of the law firm of MILLS & ANDERSON, present, the Court

W:Family/Byrd, Catedos/901 AppealPloadings/Drafts/Order from 2.27.20 Handing.wpd

1

26 ac

having heard the argument of counsel, finds and orders the following:

DISCUSSION regarding the Plaintiff's request for attorney fees and Cost during the Appeal.

FURTHER DISCUSSION regarding the Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs and the Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law filed with the Court on January 23, 2020.

FURTHER DISCUSSION regarding the Plaintiff's ability to work, and the Court's Order awarding the Plaintiff lifetime spousal support.

Court advised Counsel, the Court would review the Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and address the Memorandum of Fees separately.

COURT FINDS that the Attorney Fees and Costs are warranted under NRS 125.040 1(C).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Plaintiff's request for Attorney Fees and Costs during the Appeal shall be granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff's Attorney Fees and Costs for the Appeal of Twenty-thousand Dollars (\$20,000). This amount shall be paid within the thirty (30) days of this date of February 27, 2020.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court shall place the Plaintiff's Memorandum for Fees and Costs on the Court's Chambers Calendar. The Court shall review the Plaintiff's Memorandum for Fees and award attorney's fees accordingly.

WAFerray/Syrd, Caterina/001 Appear/Ploadings/Draha/Order from 2,27,20 Hearing.vpc

	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Lambertsen shall prepare the Order for today's hearing. Attorney Mills shall review the content and countersign. DATED this 25th day of March 2020.
	8	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
	10	
	11	Submitted by: Reviewed as to form and content:
	12	WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES MILLS & ANDERSON
TTES	13	
OCI/	14	THE ASSESSMENT TO SHOW THE ASSESSMENT OF THE ASS
C ASS	15	JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9460 Nevada Bar No. 006745 703 S. 8th Street
TER 8	18	Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Counsel for Plaintiff, unbundled Counsel for Defendant Counsel for Defendant
WEBSTIER & ASSOCIATES ORE ION NAME - IS VOIL NOME BILL TATIONS (TIE SECTION - FROMEN FOR SECTION	17 18	Counsel for Plaintiff, unbundled Counsel for Defendant
₽ '	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27 28	

Electronically Filed 3/27/2020 3:00 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

Case Number: D-18-577701-Z

1

Certificate of Service

[X] by mandatory electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system;

To the attorney(s)/person(s) listed below at the address, email address, and/or facsimile number indicated below:

Byron Mills, Esq. <u>Modonnell@millsnv.com</u> Attorney for Defendant

An employee of Webster & Associates

WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES
6851540 Vermer La Viga Area 1916
1 hepter of 1925 46,2 time 1 cannot find the

W:\Family\Byrd, Calerina\District Court Case\Pleadings\Drafts\NEO of Order from 2.27.20 Hearing wpd

Electronically Filed 3/26/2020 3:16 PM Steven D. Grierson

CLERK OF THE COURT

1 ORDR WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES 2 ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1221 3 JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9460 6882 Edna Ave. 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Tel No: (702) 562-2300 Fax No: (702) 562-2303 6 e-mail: anitawebster@embargmail.com e-mail: llambertsen@embargmail.com 8 Attorney for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CATERINA ANGELA BYRD CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z DEPT NO .: G Plaintiff, ORDER FROM FEBRUARY 27, 2020 HEARING

GRADY EDWARD BYRD

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES
ARTEN AND 12 VIEW NOTE BY THE THE WAS SOLID THE BY THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PART

Defendant.

This matter having come before the court on the 27th day of February, for the Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs During the Appeal, Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs for the Appeal, Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs for the Appeal, Plaintiff, CATERINA ANGELA BYRD (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), present with her unbundled attorney, JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN. ESQ., of the law firm of WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES and Defendant, GRADY EDWARD BYRD (hereinafter "Defendant"), not present, Defendant's attorney, BYRON MILLS, ESQ., of the law firm of MILLS & ANDERSON, present, the Court

W:Family/Byrd, Catorine/001 Appeal@leadings/DraftsfOrder from 2.27.20 Hearing.wpd

Case Number: D-18-577701-Z

11

12

13 14

15

16

18

21

22

23

24

25

27 111

28

having heard the argument of counsel, finds and orders the following:

DISCUSSION regarding the Plaintiff's request for attorney fees and Cost during the Appeal.

FURTHER DISCUSSION regarding the Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs and the Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law filed with the Court on January 23, 2020.

FURTHER DISCUSSION regarding the Plaintiff's ability to work, and the Court's Order awarding the Plaintiff lifetime spousal support.

Court advised Counsel, the Court would review the Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and address the Memorandum of Fees separately.

COURT FINDS that the Attorney Fees and Costs are warranted under NRS 125.040 1(C).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Plaintiff's request for Attorney Fees and Costs during the Appeal shall be granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant shall pay the Plaintiff's Attorney Fees and Costs for the Appeal of Twenty-thousand Dollars (\$20,000), This amount shall be paid within the thirty (30) days of this date of February 27, 2020.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court shall place the Plaintiff's Memorandum for Fees and Costs on the Court's Chambers Calendar. The Court shall review the Plaintiff's Memorandum for Fees and award attorney's fees accordingly. 26

Electronically Filed 11/16/2018 10:48 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY NEVADA

18 NOVEMBÉR 2018

CATERINA ANGELA BYRD Plaintiff GRADY EDWARD BYRD Defendant CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z

DEPT NO.: G

TO: THE CLERK OF COURT

- 1. I received and signed for this motion at my home in the Republic of the Philippines on 8 November 2018,
- 2. I request that all requirements to respond to this motion be rescheduled to allow me sufficient time to retain an attorney approved to practice in Nevada.
- 3. I had surgery in Las Vegas in September 2018. After three follow up examinations I returned to my home in the Philippines to complete my recovery. I have a surgery follow up appointment 4 December 2018 and another medical condition follow up appointment on 19 December 2018. I will return to Las Vegas no later than the first week of December 2018.
- 4. I have consulted with a law firm to represent me however I have not signed a fee agreement at this time.
- 5. I am submitting this motion for a continuance so that I meet the ten day deadline to respond.
- 6. I have documents to support everything I state in this motion. I will submit as ordered by the court.
- 7. I have drafted a response to all allegations contained in the motion. I require legal representation to formulate the legal response.
- 8. Reference the allegations concerning hidden assets, hidden benefits, and all references to amounts of monetary benefits I submit this information for the court's review:

ASSETS. Complete list of financial assets. Only assets are disability pensions awarded for injuries incurred in combat related actions while serving in the United States Army.

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS UNITED STATES ARMY SERVICE CONNECTED DISABILITY PENSION NOVEMBER 25, 2009

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY COMBAT RELATED SPECIAL COMPENSATION DISABILITY PENSION MAY 23, 2007

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY INSURANCE RETIREMENT DUE TO INJURIES SUSTAINED WHILE SERVING IN US ARMY 15 OCTOBER 2012

FEDERAL EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEM
DISABILITY RETIREMENT DUE TO INJURIES SUSTAINED WHILE SERVING IN US
ARMY
NOVEMBER 12, 2010

REFERENCE MOTION PAGE 12 LINE 18 - CATERINA BYRD HAS ALWAYS KNOWN ALL OF MY BENEFITS.

EMAIL DATED 18 APRIL 2014 PROVES THAT CATERINA BYRD WAS FULLY AWARE OF ALL GRADY BYRD BENEFITS.

JOINT MORTGAGE APPLICATION BETWEEN GRADY AND CATERINA BYRD DATED 9
JULY 2013 PAGES 7 AND 11 SHOW ALL OF GRADY BYRD'S ASSETS. CATERINA BYRD
WAS A SIGNATORY TO THIS DOCUMENT. GRADY BYRD HAS OBTAINED NO
ADDITIONAL ASSETS SINCE THE APPROVAL OF THIS MORTGAGE LOAN.

When I obtain legal representation I will assist with my defense by providing this type of information to respond to all allegations.

- 9. I request the court's understanding of the geographical location of my home, the expenses related to purchasing airfare for myself and my wife who is my medical attendant, paying attorney fees in advance, and expenses for food, transportation, and living in hotels in Las Vegas for extended periods of time.
- 10. I welcome the opportunity to resolve these allegations I just need a short amount of time to return to America, obtain legal representation, and prepare to defend myself against these allegations.

Grady E. Byrd

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

٧.

Electronically Filed 12/17/2018 11:02 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR **WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES** ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 1221

JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 9460 6882 Edna Ave.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Tel No: (702) 562-2300

Fax No: (702) 562-2303

e-mail: anitawebster@embargmail.com e-mail: jlambertsen@embarqmail.com

Attorney for Plaintiff, unbundled

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CATERINA ANGELA BYRD

CASE NO.: D-18-577701-Z DEPT NO .: G

Plaintiff.

ORDER FROM THE NOVEMBER 27, 2018 HEARING

GRADY EDWARD BYRD

Defendant.

This matter having come before the court on the 27th day of November, 2018, for Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce the Decree of Divorce, Plaintiff, CATERINA ANGELA BYRD (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), by and through her unbundled attorney, JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ., of the law firm of WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES and Defendant, GRADY EDWARD BYRD (hereinafter "Defendant"), not appearing, the Court having heard the argument of counsel, finds and orders the following:

COURT NOTES that for purposes of the Minute Order, Petitioner Caterina Byrd, will be referred to as the Plaintiff and Grady Byrd as the Defendant.

W \Family\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\Order from 11 27 18 hearing 12 11 18 wpd

The Court heard the matters on calendar today.

COURT FURTHER NOTED that there has been no response from Defendant. Attorney Lambertsen advised this matter was filed in Churchill County, even though the parties live in Clark County, and advised the Court that this is a post divorce action. Court heard the matters on calendar. Attorney Lambertsen requested temporary Orders.

COURT FURTHER NOTED that the exhibits filed with the Motion were stricken.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that for December 18, 2018 hearing, the Defendant shall provide all documents that support all aspects of his version of his income, including but not limited to, his monthly statements from the following; Department of Finance and Accounting Services statement, the Department of Veterans Affairs statement, Social Security Administration statement, and the Federal Employee Retirement System statement. (VT 12:02:38, 12:06:12 and 12:06:39). In addition, statements from the assets listed on page 2, line 25 - 27 of the Decree of Divorce which are the U.S. Retired Military health care, the long term health insurance, VYSTAR Credit Union Accidental Death Insurance, and Veteran's Group Life Insurance, and the Department of Finance and Accounting Services pension monthly statement. (VT 12:02:38, 12:06:12 and 12:06:39).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Request for Attorney Fees for today's hearing is reserved until time of the December 28, 2018, hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this matter is continued to December 18, 2018, at 3:30 p.m. in Department G.

W1Family1Dyrd, Caterina1Pleadings10rafts10rder from 11 27 18 hearing 12 11 18 wpd

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 ///

27

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the unbundled Order is filed in open court. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Attorney Lambertsen shall prepare an appropriate Order, obtain approval from opposing Counsel, and submit it to the Court pursuant to Rule 7.21 and Rule 7.24.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 7.21, the counsel obtaining any order, judgment or decree must furnish the form of the same to the clerk or judge in charge of the court within 10 days after counsel is notified of the ruling, unless additional time is allowed by the court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 7.24, any order, judgment or decree which has been signed by a judge must be filed with the clerk of the court promptly. No attorney may withhold or delay the filing of any such order, judgment or decree for any reason, including the nonpayment of attorneys fees. If there is a conflict regarding the wording of the Minute Order, the video record prevails as the official record.

NRS 3.380 (6) In civil and criminal cases when the court has ordered the use of such sound recording equipment, any party to the action, at the party s own expense, may provide a certified court reporter to make a record of and transcribe all the matters of the proceeding. In such a case, the record prepared by sound recording is the official record of the proceedings, unless it fails or is incomplete because of equipment or operational failure, in which case the record prepared by the certified court reporter shall be deemed, for all purposes, the official record of the proceedings. [emphasis added] [7:52:1907; added 1949, 506; 1943 NCL 8460.01] (NRS A 1995, 1594; 2007, 1036; 2011, 673).e

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Preparation Order is filed in open court.

111

28

W-1Family/Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\Order from 11 27 18 hearing 12.11 18 wpd

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that there will be an in chamber review set for

December 26, 2018 at 2:00 a.m., regarding the Order from today's hearing.

DATED this 13 day of Dec 2018.

DISTRICT JUDGE. C. DIANNE STEEL

Submitted by:

3

5

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

Law Offices of
WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES
6822 Edna Avenue - Las Vegas, Nernda 89148
Telephone (103) 562-2000 - Facamile (102) 562-2503

WEBSIER & ASSOCIATES

ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 1221

JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 9460 6882 Edna Avenue

Las Vegas, NV 89146

18 702-562-2300

Attorney for Plaintiff, unbundled

25

26

27

28

WNFamily\Byrd, Caterina\Pleadings\Drafts\Order from 11 27 18 hearing 12 11 18 wpd