## Case No. 80615

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

PANORAMA TOWERS CONDOMINIUM UNIT OWNERS' ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation,

Appellant,

VS.

LAURENT HALLIER, an individual; PANORAMA TOWERS I, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; PANORAMA TOWERS I MEZZ, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; and M.J. DEAN CONSTRUCTION, INC., a Nevada corporation,

Respondents.

Electronically Filed Sep 21 2020 06:33 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

#### APPEAL

from the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada The Honorable Susan H. Johnson, District Judge District Court Case No. A-16-744146-D

# APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOL 21 OF 27

Francis I. Lynch (#4145) Lynch & Associates Law Group 1445 American Pacific Drive Ste 110 #293 Henderson, NV 89074

SCOTT WILLIAMS (pro hac vice) WILLIAMS & GUMBINER, LLP 1010 B STREET, STE 200 SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901 MICHAEL J. GAYAN (#11135) JOSHUA D. CARLSON (#11781) KEMP JONES, LLP 3800 HOWARD HUGHES PKWY, 17<sup>th</sup> FL. LAS VEGAS, NV 89169

Attorneys for Appellant

# CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS TO APPENDIX

Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
Chapter 40 Notice	2/24/16	1	1–51
Complaint	9/28/16	1	52–73
Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Complaint	12/7/16	1	74–85
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Dismiss;	1/4/17	1–2	86–222
Appendix			
Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to	1/17/17	2	223–230
Dismiss			
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	1/24/17	2	231–260
Order Denying Motion to Dismiss	2/9/17	2	261–262
Answer and Counterclaim	3/1/17	2	263–296
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment on	3/20/17	2–4	297–400
Defendant's Counter-Claim and Plaintiffs'			
Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on			
Their Third Claim for Relief			
Defendant's Opposition to Motion for	4/26/17	4	401–439
Summary Judgment			
Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for	5/10/17	4	440–449
Summary Judgment			
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	6/20/17	4	450–496
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and	9/15/17	4	497–516
Order			
Defendant's Motion for Clarification	10/10/17	4	517–546
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion for	10/27/17	4	547–554
Clarification			
Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion for	11/15/17	4	555–560
Clarification			
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	11/21/17	4–5	561–583
Order Denying Motion for Clarification	2/1/18	5	584–585
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	3/15/18	5	586–593
Amended Chapter 40 Notice of Claims	4/5/18	5	594–641
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	4/12/18	5	642–650

Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment on	8/3/18	5–6	651–839
Defendant's April 5, 2018 Amended Notice of			
Claims			
Defendant's Opposition to Motion for	9/4/18	6–7	840–1077
Summary Judgment			
Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for	9/25/18	7	1078–1092
Summary Judgment			
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	10/2/18	7	1093–1179
Plaintiffs' Motion for Declaratory Relief	10/22/18	7–9	1180–1450
Regarding Standing; Appendices I–III.			
Defendant's Opposition to Motion for	11/16/18	9–10	1451–1501
Declaratory Relief; Countermotions to			
Exclude Inadmissible Evidence and for Rule			
56(f) Relief			
Errata to Defendant's Opposition to Motion	11/19/18	10	1502-1507
for Declaratory Relief and Countermotions to			
Exclude Inadmissible Evidence and for Rule			
56(f) Relief			
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and	11/30/18	10	1508–1525
Order			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration of their	12/17/18	10–11	1526–1638
Motion for Summary Judgment on			
Defendant's April 5, 2018 Amended Notice of			
Claims			
Defendant's Opposition to Motion for	1/22/19	11	1639–1659
Reconsideration			
Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for	1/22/19	11	1660–1856
Declaratory Relief Regarding Standing and			
Oppositions to Counter-Motions to Exclude			
Inadmissible Evidence and for Rule 56(f)			
Relief; Appendix			
Defendant's Reply in Support of Counter-	1/29/19	11	1857–1862
Motions to Exclude Inadmissible Evidence			
and for Rule 56(f) Relief			

Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' Reply in	2/4/19	11–12	1863–1908
Support of Motion for Reconsideration of their	2/4/17	11 12	1003 1700
Motion for Summary Judgment on			
Defendant's April 5, 2018 Amended Notice of			
Claims			
Errata to: Plaintiffs' Reply in support of	2/5/19	12	1909–1947
Motion for Declaratory Relief Regarding			
Standing and Oppositions to Defendant's			
Counter-Motions to Exclude Inadmissible			
Evidence and for Rule 56(f) Relief			
Errata to: Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants'	2/5/19	12–14	1948–2051
Motion for Declaratory Relief Regarding			
Standing			
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' Motion for	2/11/19	14	2052–2141
Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS			
11.202(1)			
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	2/12/19	14	2142–2198
Defendant's Opposition to Motion for	3/1/19	14	2199–2227
Summary Judgment and Conditional			
Countermotion for Relief Pursuant to NRS			
40.695(2)			
Order Denying Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants'	3/11/19	14	2228–2230
Motion for Reconsideration of Their Motion			
for Summary Judgment on			
Defendant/Counter-Claimant's April 5, 2018			
Amended Notice of Claims			
Order Denying Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants'	3/11/19	15	2231–2233
Motion for Declaratory Relief Regarding			
Standing			
Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Their Motion	3/15/19	15	2234–2269
for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS			
11.202(1); Opposition to Conditional			
Countermotion; Appendix			

Defendant's Reply in Support of	3/19/19	15	2270–2316
Countermotion			
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	4/23/19	15	2317–2376
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and	5/23/19	15–16	2377–2395
Order			
Notice of Entry of Order	5/28/19	16	2396–2417
Defendant's Motion to Retax and Settle Costs	5/31/19	16	2418–2428
Assembly Bill 421	6/3/19	16	2429–2443
Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of the	6/3/19	16	2444–2474
Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact,			
Conclusions of Law, and Order Granting			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1) or, in the			
Alternative, Motion to Stay the Court's Order			
Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of the	6/13/19	16	2475–2505
Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact,			
Conclusions of Law, and Order Granting			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees;	6/16/19	16–22	2506–3663
Appendices I–II			
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' Opposition to	6/21/19	22	3664–3733
Motion to Retax			
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' Opposition to	6/21/19	22–24	3734-4042
Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of the			
Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact,			
Conclusions of Law, and Order Granting			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1) or, in the			
alternative, Motion to Stay the Court's Order;			
Appendix			

Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Motion	7/1/19	24	4043-4052
for Reconsideration of and/or to Alter or			
Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of			
Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order Granting			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)			
Defendant's Opposition to Motion for	7/1/19	24	4053-4070
Attorneys' Fees			
Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion for	7/9/19	24	4071–4077
Reconsideration of and/or to Alter or Amend			
the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact,			
Conclusions of Law and Order Granting			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)			
Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to	7/9/19	24	4078-4103
Retax and Settle Costs			
Defendant's Reply in Support of Defendant's	7/9/19	24	4104-4171
Motion for Reconsideration, or in the			
Alternative, Motion to Stay the Court's Order			
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' Reply in	7/9/19	24	4172–4198
Support of Motion for Attorneys' Fees			
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	7/16/19	24	4199–4263
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's July 16,	7/19/19	24–25	4264-4276
2019 Oral Motion to Postpone to the Court's			
Ruling on the Reconsideration of and/or to			
Alter or Amend the Court's May 23, 2019			
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and			
Order Granting Summary Judgment			
Plaintiffs' Motion to Certify Judgment as	7/22/19	25	4277–4312
Final Under Rule 54(b) (On Order Shortening			
Time)			

Order Denying Defendant's Motion for	7/24/19	25	4313–4315
Reconsideration of the Court's May 23, 2019			
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and			
Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for			
Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS			
11.202(1) or, in the Alternative, Motion to			
Stay the Court's Order			
Defendant's (1) Opposition to	8/1/19	25	4316–4333
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' Motion to			
Certify Judgment as Final Under Rule 54(b)			
and (2) Response to Plaintiffs' Opposition to			
Defendant's July 16, 2019 Oral Motion to			
Postpone the Court's Ruling on the Motion for			
Reconsideration of and/or to Alter or Amend			
the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact,			
Conclusions of Law, and Order Granting			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion to	8/5/19	25	4334-4343
Certify Judgment as Final under Rule 54(b)			
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	8/6/19	25	4344-4368
Order re: Defendant's Motion for	8/9/19	25	4369–4376
Reconsideration and/or to Alter or Amend the			
Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact,			
Conclusions of Law and Order Granting			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)			
Order Re: Motion to Certify Judgment as Final	8/12/19	25	4377–4389
Under NRCP 54(b)			
Notice of Entry of Order Re: Motion to	8/13/19	25	4390–4405
Certify Judgment as Final Under NRCP 54(b)			

May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings  Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs' Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal 2/13/20 27 4772–4817  Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion for Attorneys' Fees	Defendant's Motion to Amend the Court's	9/9/19	25–26	4406–4476
for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS  11.202(1)  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings  Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal  Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion	May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions			
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings  Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal 2/13/20 27 4772–4817  Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion	of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion			
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs'Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal  Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion  26  44477-4496  44477-4496  10/10/19 26  4497-4508  450-4514  450-4524  4516-4534  4526-4	for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS			
Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings  Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal  Defendant's Supplement to Their Motion  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion	11.202(1)			
Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings  Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Notice of Appeal  Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion  2/20/20  24497–4508  10/10/19  26 4497–4508  4497–4508  10/10/19  26 4509–4525  4526–4534  4526–4534  4535–4546  4535–4546  4535–4546  4535–4546  4547–4753  4547–4753  4754–4771  4754–4771  4754–4771  4754–4771  4754–4771  4754–4771  4772–4817  Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Amend the	9/26/19	26	4477–4496
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings  Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Notice of Appeal  Notice of Appeal  2/13/20 27 4818–4833  Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion	Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact,			
Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings  Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Notice of Appeal  2/13/20 27 4754–4817 Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion	Conclusions of Law and Order Granting			
Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Notice of Appeal  Pefendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion  10/10/19 26 4497-4508  4497-4508  4497-4508  4509-4525  4509-4525  4526-4534  4526-4534  4535-4546  4535-4546  4535-4546  4535-4546  4547-4753  4547-4753  4754-4771  4754-4771  4754-4771  4754-4771  4754-4771  4754-4817  4764-4817	Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings  Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Notice of Appeal  Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion  2/20/20  27  4818–4833  Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion	Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)			
Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings  Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Notice of Appeal  Notice of Appeal  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendant's Plaintiffs' Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendant's Supplement to Their Motion  Plaintiffs' Supplement to Their Motion	Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to	10/10/19	26	4497–4508
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings  Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Notice of Appeal  Notice of Appeal  2/13/20 27 4754—4771 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion	Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of			
Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)  Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings  Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Notice of Appeal  Notice of Appeal  2/13/20 27 4818–4833  Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion	Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting			
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings  Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Notice of Appeal  Notice of Appeal  2/13/20  2/10/20  2/13/20  2/13/20  2/18/18-4833  Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion	Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal  Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion  1/14/20 26 4526–4534  4535–4546  4535–4546  26 4535–4546  4547–4753  2/6/20 26–27 4547–4753  2/6/20 27 4754–4771  2/20/20 27 4772–4817  2/20/20 27 4818–4833	Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)			
Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First 2/6/20 26–27 4547–4753 Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's 2/10/20 27 4754–4771 Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal 2/13/20 27 4772–4817  Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	10/17/19	26	4509–4525
Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal  Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion  2/20/20 26 4535-4546  4535-4546  4547-4753  2/6/20 26-27 4547-4753  2/10/20 27 4754-4771  2/10/20 27 4772-4817  2/20/20 27 4818-4833	Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or	1/14/20	26	4526–4534
Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's  Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal  Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion  1/16/20 26 4535–4546  4547–4753  2/6/20 26–27 4547–4753  2/10/20 27 4754–4771  4754–4771  2/20/20 27 4818–4833	Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions			
Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal  Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion  Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of  2/6/20 26–27 4547–4753  2/10/20 27 4754–4771  2/20/20 27 4818–4833	of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019			
Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal  Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion	Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's	1/16/20	26	4535–4546
May 23, 2019  Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal  Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion    May 23, 2019	Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of			
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs Notice of Appeal Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion  2/6/20 26–27 4547–4753 2/10/20 27 4754–4771 272–4817 272–4817	Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered			
Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees; Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal  Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion  Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees;  2/10/20 27 4754–4771  4754–4771  2/20/20 27 4818–4833	May 23, 2019			
Exhibits  Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal  Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion  2/10/20 27 4754–4771 27 4772–4817 27 4818–4833	Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First	2/6/20	26–27	4547–4753
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal  Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion  2/10/20 27 4754–4771 272–4817 272–4817	Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees;			
Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs  Notice of Appeal 2/13/20 27 4772–4817  Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion 2/20/20 27 4818–4833	Exhibits			
Notice of Appeal 2/13/20 27 4772–4817  Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- 2/20/20 27 4818–4833  Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's	2/10/20	27	4754–4771
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter- Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion  2/20/20 27 4818–4833	Renewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs			
Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion	Notice of Appeal	2/13/20	27	4772–4817
	Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter-	2/20/20	27	4818-4833
for Attorneys' Fees	Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion			
	for Attorneys' Fees			

# **ALPHABETICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS TO APPENDIX**

Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
Amended Chapter 40 Notice of Claims	4/5/18	5	594–641
Answer and Counterclaim	3/1/17	2	263–296
Assembly Bill 421	6/3/19	16	2429–2443
Chapter 40 Notice	2/24/16	1	1–51
Complaint	9/28/16	1	52–73
Defendant's (1) Opposition to	8/1/19	25	4316–4333
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' Motion to			
Certify Judgment as Final Under Rule 54(b)			
and (2) Response to Plaintiffs' Opposition to			
Defendant's July 16, 2019 Oral Motion to			
Postpone the Court's Ruling on the Motion for			
Reconsideration of and/or to Alter or Amend			
the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact,			
Conclusions of Law, and Order Granting			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Defendant's Motion for Clarification	10/10/17	4	517–546
Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of the	6/3/19	16	2444–2474
Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact,			
Conclusions of Law, and Order Granting			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1) or, in the			
Alternative, Motion to Stay the Court's Order			
Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of the	6/13/19	16	2475–2505
Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact,			
Conclusions of Law, and Order Granting			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)			
Defendant's Motion to Amend the Court's	9/9/19	25–26	4406–4476
May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact, Conclusions			
of Law and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion			
for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS			
11.202(1)			

Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Complaint	12/7/16	1	74–85
Defendant's Motion to Retax and Settle Costs	5/31/19	16	2418–2428
Defendant's Opposition to Motion for	7/1/19	24	4053-4070
Attorneys' Fees			
Defendant's Opposition to Motion for	11/16/18	9–10	1451–1501
Declaratory Relief; Countermotions to			
Exclude Inadmissible Evidence and for Rule			
56(f) Relief			
Defendant's Opposition to Motion for	1/22/19	11	1639–1659
Reconsideration			
Defendant's Opposition to Motion for	4/26/17	4	401–439
Summary Judgment			
Defendant's Opposition to Motion for	9/4/18	6–7	840–1077
Summary Judgment			
Defendant's Opposition to Motion for	3/1/19	14	2199–2227
Summary Judgment and Conditional			
Countermotion for Relief Pursuant to NRS			
40.695(2)			
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs/Counter-	2/20/20	27	4818–4833
Defendants' First Supplement to Their Motion			
for Attorneys' Fees			
Defendant's Reply in Support of	3/19/19	15	2270–2316
Countermotion			
Defendant's Reply in Support of Counter-	1/29/19	11	1857–1862
Motions to Exclude Inadmissible Evidence			
and for Rule 56(f) Relief			
Defendant's Reply in Support of Defendant's	7/9/19	24	4104-4171
Motion for Reconsideration, or in the			
Alternative, Motion to Stay the Court's Order			
Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion for	11/15/17	4	555–560
Clarification			

Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion for	7/9/19	24	4071–4077
Reconsideration of and/or to Alter or Amend			
the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact,			
Conclusions of Law and Order Granting			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)			
Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to	10/10/19	26	4497–4508
Amend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of			
Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Granting			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)			
Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to	1/17/17	2	223–230
Dismiss			
Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to	7/9/19	24	4078-4103
Retax and Settle Costs			
Errata to Defendant's Opposition to Motion	11/19/18	10	1502-1507
for Declaratory Relief and Countermotions to			
Exclude Inadmissible Evidence and for Rule			
56(f) Relief			
Errata to: Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants'	2/5/19	12–14	1948–2051
Motion for Declaratory Relief Regarding			
Standing			
Errata to: Plaintiffs' Reply in support of	2/5/19	12	1909–1947
Motion for Declaratory Relief Regarding			
Standing and Oppositions to Defendant's			
Counter-Motions to Exclude Inadmissible			
Evidence and for Rule 56(f) Relief			
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and	5/23/19	15–16	2377–2395
Order			
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and	9/15/17	4	497–516
Order			
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and	11/30/18	10	1508–1525
Order			
Notice of Appeal	2/13/20	27	4772–4817

Notice of Entry of Order	5/28/19	16	2396–2417
Notice of Entry of Order Re: Defendant's	1/16/20	26	4535–4546
Motion to Alter or Amend Court's Findings of			
Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Entered			
May 23, 2019			
Notice of Entry of Order Re: Motion to	8/13/19	25	4390-4405
Certify Judgment as Final Under NRCP 54(b)			
Order Denying Defendant's Motion for	7/24/19	25	4313–4315
Reconsideration of the Court's May 23, 2019			
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and			
Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for			
Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS			
11.202(1) or, in the Alternative, Motion to			
Stay the Court's Order			
Order Denying Motion for Clarification	2/1/18	5	584–585
Order Denying Motion to Dismiss	2/9/17	2	261–262
Order Denying Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants'	3/11/19	15	2231–2233
Motion for Declaratory Relief Regarding			
Standing			
Order Denying Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants'	3/11/19	14	2228–2230
Motion for Reconsideration of Their Motion			
for Summary Judgment on			
Defendant/Counter-Claimant's April 5, 2018			
Amended Notice of Claims			
Order re: Defendant's Motion for	8/9/19	25	4369–4376
Reconsideration and/or to Alter or Amend the			
Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact,			
Conclusions of Law and Order Granting			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1)			
Order Re: Defendant's Motion to Alter or	1/14/20	26	4526–4534
Amend Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions			
of Law and Order Entered May 23, 2019			

Order Re: Motion to Certify Judgment as Final	8/12/19	25	4377–4389
Under NRCP 54(b)			
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' First	2/6/20	26–27	4547–4753
Supplement to Motion for Attorneys' Fees;			
Exhibits			
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' Motion for	2/11/19	14	2052–2141
Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS			
11.202(1)			
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' Opposition to	6/21/19	22–24	3734–4042
Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of the			
Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact,			
Conclusions of Law, and Order Granting			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
Pursuant to NRS 11.202(1) or, in the			
alternative, Motion to Stay the Court's Order;			
Appendix			
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' Opposition to	6/21/19	22	3664–3733
Motion to Retax			
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' Reply in	7/9/19	24	4172–4198
Support of Motion for Attorneys' Fees			
Plaintiffs/Counter-Defendants' Reply in	2/4/19	11–12	1863–1908
Support of Motion for Reconsideration of their			
Motion for Summary Judgment on			
Defendant's April 5, 2018 Amended Notice of			
Claims			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees;	6/16/19	16–22	2506–3663
Appendices I–II			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Declaratory Relief	10/22/18	7–9	1180–1450
Regarding Standing; Appendices I–III.			
Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration of their	12/17/18	10–11	1526–1638
Motion for Summary Judgment on			
Defendant's April 5, 2018 Amended Notice of			
Claims			

aintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment on 8/	/3/18	5–6	651–839
efendant's April 5, 2018 Amended Notice of			
aims			
aintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment on 3/2	20/17	2–4	297–400
efendant's Counter-Claim and Plaintiffs'			
otion for Partial Summary Judgment on			
eir Third Claim for Relief			
aintiffs' Motion to Certify Judgment as 7/2	22/19	25	4277–4312
nal Under Rule 54(b) (On Order Shortening			
me)			
aintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's July 16, 7/1	19/19	24–25	4264–4276
19 Oral Motion to Postpone to the Court's			
lling on the Reconsideration of and/or to			
ter or Amend the Court's May 23, 2019			
ndings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and			
der Granting Summary Judgment			
aintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Motion 7/	/1/19	24	4043–4052
Reconsideration of and/or to Alter or			
mend the Court's May 23, 2019 Findings of			
ct, Conclusions of Law, and Order Granting			
aintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
rsuant to NRS 11.202(1)			
aintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's 2/1	10/20	27	4754–4771
enewed Motion to Retax and Settle Costs			
aintiffs' Opposition to Motion for 10/	/27/17	4	547–554
arification			
aintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Amend the 9/2	26/19	26	4477–4496
ourt's May 23, 2019 Findings of Fact,			
onclusions of Law and Order Granting			
aintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment			
rsuant to NRS 11.202(1)			
aintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Dismiss; 1/	/4/17	1–2	86–222
ppendix			

Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for	1/22/19	11	1660–1856
Declaratory Relief Regarding Standing and			
Oppositions to Counter-Motions to Exclude			
Inadmissible Evidence and for Rule 56(f)			
Relief; Appendix			
Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for	5/10/17	4	440–449
Summary Judgment			
Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for	9/25/18	7	1078–1092
Summary Judgment			
Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion to	8/5/19	25	4334–4343
Certify Judgment as Final under Rule 54(b)			
Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Their Motion	3/15/19	15	2234–2269
for Summary Judgment Pursuant to NRS			
11.202(1); Opposition to Conditional			
Countermotion; Appendix			
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	1/24/17	2	231–260
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	6/20/17	4	450–496
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	11/21/17	4–5	561–583
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	3/15/18	5	586–593
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	4/12/18	5	642–650
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	10/2/18	7	1093–1179
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	2/12/19	14	2142–2198
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	4/23/19	15	2317–2376
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	7/16/19	24	4199–4263
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	8/6/19	25	4344-4368
Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings	10/17/19	26	4509–4525

Page: 45 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5581V

Statement No: 1207-3361V

	DRG	L250	A103	CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT	Hours 0.05	8.25
				OF REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.55	90.75
01/27/2019	CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE		
	CSW	L250	A104	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CONTINUE) ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, IN PREPARATION	0.45	74.25
	CSW	L250	A103	FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) CASE ANALYSIS	0.15	24.75
				(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
01/28/2019	DRG	L340	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:		
	DRG	L340	A108	(MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:	0.35	57.75
	DRG	L340	A103	(MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE NOTES	0.40	66.00
	DRG	L340	A103	(MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  MOTION APPROVED BY	0.15	24.75
	DRG	L250	A104	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT	0.05	8.25
	CSW	L250	A104	OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION	0.35	57.75

Statement No:

			FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE	Hours	
	CSW L250	A103	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
	CSW L250	A103	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY	0.40	66.00
			ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.40	66.00
01/29/2019	PCB L240	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARINGS ON THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE SECOND MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND THE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF RE:		
	JWS L230	A101	(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (LIMITED SCOPE) STRATEGY RE: ORAL ARGUMENTS AS TO PENDING MOTIONS/HOA CLAIMS, INCLUDING EXHIBITS FOR PRESENTATION TO JUDGE. PER	0.60	111.00
	0004 1 050	A 4 O 4	ADJUSTER REQUEST, TIME TO BE SPLIT WITH TOWER TWO CASE.	0.20	37.00
	CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO V ZENITH RADIO CORP US SUPREME COURT CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19)		
	CSW L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CELOTEX CORP V. CATRETT US SUPREME COURT CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE	0.10	16.50
	CSW L250	A104	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ANDERSON V. LIBERTY LOBBY INC US SUPREME COURT CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN	0.15	24.75
	CSW L250	A104	BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE TAHOE VILLAGE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION V. DOUGLAS COUNTY NEVADA CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION	0.15	24.75
			•	Δ Δ 3 3 5 2	

Statement No:

				Hours	
	CSW L250	Δ10/	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVADA LAKESHORE COMPANY VS.	0.15	24.75
	C3W L230	A104	DIAMOND ELECTRIC INC NEVADA CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER		
	CSW L250	A 104	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE DAVENPORT V. COUNTY OF CLARK NEVADA	0.10	16.50
	C3W L230	A104	CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON		
	CSW L250	A104	1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE AB 125, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN	0.10	16.50
			BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
	CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
	CSW L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 40.695, IN PREPARATION FOR	0.15	24.75
			DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.45	0.4. <del>7.</del> 5
	CSW L250	A103	PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE OF	0.15	24.75
			(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
	CSW L250	A103	ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION	0.35	57.75
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	1.45	239.25
01/30/2019	CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE LOPEZ V. US HOME CORP NEVADA CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
	CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CLARK COUNTY WEBSITE SEARCH FOR NOTICES OF COMPLETION/CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY DOCUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY	0.10	10.50
	CSW L250	A104	ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS, RE: ANALYSIS OF THEIR ADMISSIONS AND EXHIBITS TO	0.15	24.75
			0177	AA3353	

.

Account No: 1287-5581
Statement No: 1

			Hours	
CSW L250	A104	ASSESS THEIR ANTICIPATED ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE OLSON V. RICHARD NEVADA CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN	0.20	33.00
CSW L250	Δ104	BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) NRS 40.645(4), IN	0.10	16.50
CSW L230	A104	PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
CSW L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE	0.00	0.20
CSW L250	A103	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR	0.15	24.75
DRG L250	A104	AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION	0.80	132.00
DRG L250	A104	FOR RECONSIDERATION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT THEREOF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.35	57.75
DRG L250	A104	CONTENTIONS MADE THEREIN IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT THEREOF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ARNOLD V. KIP CASE, CITED TO IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR	0.20	33.00
		RECONSIDERATION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT THEREOF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
DRG L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE EDWARD ACHREM CASE, CITED TO IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT THEREOF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
		0.4.70	AA3354	

Page: 49 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5581V

Statement No:

			ADJUSTER)	Hours 0.40	66.00
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE DR HORTON V EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CASE, CITED TO IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT THEREOF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.40	00.00
DRG	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE THE COURT'S ORDER REGARDING OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYSIS OF COURT'S REASONING WITH RESPECT TO PRIOR CASE LAW, STATUTES, CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (ALL PRECEDING	0.60	99.00
DRG	L340	A103	MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	0.40	66.00
DRG	L340	A104	SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A108	(ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A103	PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE NOTES AND IMPRESSIONS FROM PRIOR DISCUSSION WITH EXPERT SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	0.30	49.50
DRG	L250	A104	(ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF THE ASSOCIATION'S ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO EVALUATE SCOPE OF DEFECTS, TO MAKE THE ARGUMENT THAT THE SCOPE WAS LIMITED TO WINDOW-RELATED CONDITIONS, NOT EIFS-RELATED CONDITIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK	0.20	33.00
DRG	L250	A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF THE ASSOCIATION'S	0.20	33.00
				Δ Δ 3 3 5 5	

Statement No:

					Hours	
				AMENDED ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO EVALUATE SCOPE OF DEFECTS, TO MAKE THE ARGUMENT THAT THE SCOPE WAS LIMITED TO WINDOW-RELATED CONDITIONS, NOT EIFS-RELATED CONDITIONS, AND TO UTILIZE PHOTOS, SHOP DRAWINGS AND DETAILS TO ARGUE THAT HEAD FLASHINGS WERE NOT REQUIRED AND THE ASSOCIATION'S ARGUMENTS IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION ARE DISINGENUOUS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN	Hours	
				BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.40	66.00
	DRG	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYZED AND DEVELOPED PROJECT PLANS FOR USE, TOWER PACKAGE PLANS OF WALL DETAILS, HEAD DETAILS, EIFS AND WINDOW DETAILS, TO ARGUE THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S ARGUMENTS IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION ARE DISINGENUOUS BECAUSE THEY ARE BASED ON INACCURATE DIAGRAMS OF	0.40	66.00
	JWS	L230	A101	THE WINDOW SYSTEMS AT THE PROJECT. PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (BEGIN) FEBRUARY 12, 2019 ORAL ARGUMENTS, INITIAL OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND IDENTIFCATION OF DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBITS FOR REVIEW BY COURT. MOTION WORK PRE-APPROVED. TIME TO BE SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER.	0.35	57.75 120.25
	JWS	L230	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) FEBRUARY 12, 2019 ORAL ARGUMENTS, INITIAL OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND IDENTIFCATION OF DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBITS FOR REVIEW BY COURT. MOTION WORK PRE-APPROVED. TIME TO BE SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER.	0.60	111.00
01/31/2019	DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	9.25
	DRG	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE WOOD V SAFEWAY, INC., NEVADA SUPREME COURT CASE, IN ORDER TO SUPPORT OUR POSITION THAT WE ARE ENTITLED TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ISSUE OF WINDOW HEAD FLASHINGS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT THEREOF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L250	A108	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:  (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.15	24.75
	DRG	L250	A103	PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) AFFIDAVIT OF MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:	0.10	16.50

Page: 51 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5581V Statement No:

				Hours	
DRG	L250	A103	(ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: DRAFTED ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF OUR INITIAL MOTION AND THOSE TO REBUT THE	0.65	107.25
DRG	L250	A103	ARGUMENTS MADE BY THE ASSOCIATION IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION	0.85	140.25
			FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: DRAFTED ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF OUR INITIAL MOTION AND THOSE TO REBUT THE ARGUMENTS MADE BY THE ASSOCIATION IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.95	156.75
DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: DRAFTED ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF OUR INITIAL MOTION AND THOSE TO REBUT THE ARGUMENTS MADE BY THE ASSOCIATION IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.50	130.70
DRG	L250	A103	ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: DRAFTED ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF OUR INITIAL MOTION AND THOSE TO REBUT THE ARGUMENTS MADE BY THE ASSOCIATION IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN	0.80	132.00
DRG	L250	A103	BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN	0.35	57.75
JBV	L320	A104	BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE BUILDING DEVELOPMENT DOCUMENTATION FOR TOWER I-4525 DEAN MARTIN, RE: REVIEWING CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION, CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY AND ANY INSPECTION DOCUMENTATION WITHIN, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS	0.05	8.25
JBV	L320	A104	REQUESTED (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE BUILDING DEVELOPMENT DOCUMENTATION FOR TOWER II-4575 DEAN MARTIN, RE: REVIEWING CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION AND ANY INSPECTION DOCUMENTATION WITHIN, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED (AS PER CARRIER	0.40	38.00

Statement No:

				Houre	
			DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE -	Hours	
			TOWER II).	0.30	28.50
JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE EXHIBITS TO CLIENT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THEIR MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PANORAMA TOWER CONDOMINIUM		
			UNIT OWNERS' ASSOCIATION'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED		
			NOTICE OF CLAIMS, RE: INCORPORATING ADDITIONAL		
			EXHIBITS FOR REFERENCE, IN PREPARATION FOR ENSURING ALL ARE COMPLIANT WITH REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE		
			E.D.C.R. 2.27 (PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE		
			MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERLOCK		
			BRYDON, ON JANUARY 24, 2019)(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.35	33.25
CSW	L250	A104	,	0.33	33.23
			PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON		
			DEFENDANT'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS,		
			RE: ANALYSIS OF THEIR ADMISSIONS AND EXHIBITS TO ASSESS THEIR ANTICIPATED ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION		
			FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING		
			STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION		
			WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON	0.40	66.00
csw	L250	A104	ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) EXHIBITS TO ASSOCIATION'S	0.40	00.00
			OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
			JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE		
			OF CLAIMS, RE: ANALYSIS OF THEIR ADMISSIONS AND EXHIBITS TO ASSESS THEIR ANTICIPATED ARGUMENTS, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
			REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE		
			MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN		
			BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE ASSOCIATION'S MARCH 2018 ANSWER AND		
			CORRESPONDING COUNTERCLAIM, RE: ADMISSIONS AND		
			LEGAL THEORIES ASSERTED BY ASSOCIATION, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
			REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE		
			MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON		
CCM	L250	A 1 O 1	ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L230	A 104	REVIEW/ANALYZE SIERRA PACIFIC INDUSTRIES INC V. BRADBURY COLORADO APPELLATE CASE REGARDING		
			RECENT DECISION AND LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR		
			INTERPRETATION OF SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION DATE, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE		
			MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON		
			ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE BANEGAS V. STATE INDUSTRIAL		
			INSURANCE SYSTEM CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE		
			(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.40	46.50
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CITY COUNCIL OF RENO V. RENO	0.10	16.50
5511		, , , , ,	NEWSPAPERS CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR		
				ΛΛ2250	

Page: 53 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5581V Statement No:

				Hours	
	SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATU PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPR SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WI PER ADJUSTER)	OVED BY ADJUS	TER	0.10	16.50
CSW L250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) BUILDER'S SEF COMPLAINT, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTIC JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REF AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED I	ON FOR SUMMAR POSE (ALL PRIOR BY ADJUSTER	Y		
CSW L250 A104	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WI' PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) ASSOCIATI			0.10	16.50
	NOTICE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FO JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REF AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED I SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WI	OR SUMMARY POSE (ALL PRIOR BY ADJUSTER			
CSW L250 A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) JUDGE JOHNSO 2017 ORDER OF, IN PREPARATION FOR MO	ON SEPTEMBER	15,	0.15	24.75
	SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUPRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPR SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WIT	OVED BY ADJUS TH TOWER II CAS	TER SE		
CSW L250 A104	PER ADJUSTER) FINDINGS OF FACT AND ( LAW REVIEW/ANALYZE BULBMAN INC V. NEVAL			0.20	33.00
	PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMAR REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL P MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	RIOR AND FUTUF SHERILYN BRYD			
CSW L250 A103	ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE F DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE			0.10	16.50
	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTAPPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRY	DON ON 1-24-19)	ION	0.35	57.75
CSW L250 A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUST DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REFAND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APP	SUMMARY POSE (ALL PRIOR		0.35	57.75
CSW L250 A103	ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19 TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR	)) (SPLIT WITH		0.95	156.75
2230 71100	JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REF AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APF ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19	POSE (ALL PRIOR PROVED BY			
	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)			0.85	140.25
	For Current Services Rendered			106.55	16,916.62
Timekeeper	Recapitulation Title	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	-	<u>Total</u>
Peter C. Brown	PARTNER	4.80	\$176.33	\$84	6.37
Jeffrey W. Saab	PARTNER	2.40	185.00		4.00
Jennifer Vela Devin R. Gifford	PARALEGAL ASSOCIATE	9.70 41.20	95.00 165.00		21.50 98.00
Crystal Williams	PARALEGAL	1.25	95.00		8.75
Cyrus S. Whittaker	ASSOCIATE	47.20	165.00		88.00

Page: 54 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5581V

Statement No:

				Expenses						
01/01/2019	L100	E112	,	E (9999) AMERICA 284 STIPULATIO AL DISTRICT CO	N AND ORDER T	O RESET HEARI	NG	2.87		
01/04/2019	L100	E112	COURT FEES (99 #37020533 TRAN	ISCRIPT REQUES		•	ICE	2.87		
01/08/2019	L100	E112	PLAINTIFFS/COU PANORAMA TOV INC.'S MOTION F AND DEFENDAN	DYSSEY (STIPULATION AND ORDER TO RESET HEARING ON AINTIFFS/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS LAURENT HALLIER, NORAMA TOWERS I MEZZ, LLC AND M.J. DEAN CONSTRUCTION, C.'S MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING ID DEFENDANT/COUNTERCLAIMANT'S COUNTER-MOTIONS TO						
01/14/2019	L100	E112	ODYSSEY (NOTI RESET HEARING HALLIER, PANOI MEZZ, LLC AND DECLARATORY	CLUDE INADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE AND FOR RULE 56(F) RELIEF) DYSSEY (NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET HEARING ON PLAINTIFFS/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS LAURENT ILLIER, PANORAMA TOWERS 1, LLC, PANORAMA TOWERS I EZZ, LLC AND M.J. DEAN CONSTRUCTION, INC.'S MOTION FOR ICLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING AND IFENDANT/COUNTER CLAIMANTS' COUNTER-MOTIONS TO						
01/22/2019	L100	E112	ODYSSEY (APPE REPLY IN SUPPO REGARDING STA DEFENDANT/CO	XCLUDE INADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE AND FOR RULE 56(F) RELIEF) DYSSEY (APPENDIX TO PLAINTIFFS'/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' EPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF EGARDING STANDING AND OPPOSITIONS TO EFENDANT/COUNTER CLAIMANT'S COUNTER-MOTIONS TO						
01/22/2019	L100	E112	[VOLUME 1 OF 1 ODYSSEY (PLAII SUPPORT OF MO STANDING AND	EXCLUDE INADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE AND FOR RULE 56(F) RELIEF VOLUME 1 OF 1]) DDYSSEY (PLAINTIFFS'/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING AND OPPOSITIONS TO DEFENDANT/COUNTER CLAIMANT'S COUNTER-MOTIONS TO EXCLUDE INADMISSIBLE						
			Total Expenses	. 6	. (,			$\frac{1.75}{12.74}$		
			Total Current Wo	rk				16,929.36		
			Previous Balance	before Adjustmer	nts			\$29,921.86		
01/30/2019			WRITE-OFF (DM	C)				-2,412.73		
			Previous Balance					\$27,509.13		
				<u>Payments</u>	1					
01/29/2019			PAYMENT RECE #12)	IVED - THANK YO	OU (ESIS CK #FA	78645875 / STMT	Γ	-4,982.54		
			Balance Due					\$39,455.95		
				Past Due Amo	uinte					
	16,92	<u>0-30</u> 9.36	<u>31-60</u> 21,461.54	61-90 0.00	91-120 0.00	<u>121-180</u> 0.00	181+ 1,065.05			

Page: 55 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5581V

Statement No:

Panorama Tower II 5143220827859X-A PANORAMA TOWER II

	Split B INSURANCE - Panorama Tower I Pallas AGL Claims (2) - Panorama Tower II	Billing Summary Fees 16,916.63 16,916.62 33,833.25	Expenses 12.76 12.74 25.50	Advances 0.00 0.00 0.00	Total 16,929.39 16,929.36 33,858.75
	Task	Code Summary			
	<u>-146N</u>	oodo odminary		Fees	Expenses
L100	CASE ASSESSMENT, DEVELOPMENT AND ADMINIS	TRATION		0.00	12.74
L120	ANALYSIS/STRATEGY			343.75	0.00
L130	EXPERTS/CONSULTANTS			240.50	0.00
L190	OTHER CASE ASSESSMENT, DEVELOPMENT & ADM	MINISTRATION		46.25	0.00
L100	CASE ASSESSMENT, DEVELOPMENT AND ADMINIS	TRATION		630.50	12.74
1.000	COURT MANDATER CONFERENCES			004.05	0.00
L230 L240	COURT MANDATED CONFERENCES DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS			301.25 314.50	0.00 0.00
L240 L250	OTHER WRITTEN MOTIONS AND SUBMISSIONS			12845.00	0.00
L200	PRE-TRIAL PLEADINGS AND MOTIONS				0.00
L200	PRE-TRIAL PLEADINGS AND MOTIONS			13,460.75	0.00
L320	DOCUMENT PRODUCTION			1040.25	0.00
L340	EXPERT DISCOVERY			1669.50	0.00
L390	OTHER DISCOVERY			115.62	0.00
L300	DISCOVERY			2,825.37	0.00

# BREMER, WHYTE, BROWN & O'MEARA, LLP 20320 S.W. BIRCH STREET SECOND FLOOR NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660 (949) 221-1000

### TAX ID # 33-0747275

CHUBB INSURANCE 9200 Oakdale Avenue, 8th Floor, Chatsworth, CA 91311, USA

Page: 1 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

Attn: Jeff Ganzer

		<u>Fees</u>		
			Hours	
12/02/2018	DRG L250	A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, RE: ANALYZED MOTION FOR ARGUMENTS PRESENTED, TO PREPARE STRATEGY FOR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).		107.25
	DRG L250	A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE THE ASSOCIÁTION'S EXHIBITS TO ITS OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, RE: ANALYZED MOTION FOR ARGUMENTS PRESENTED, TO PREPARE STRATEGY FOR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.80	132.00
	DRG L250	PER ADJUSTER).  A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE THE ASSOCIATION'S ERRATA TO ITS OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, RE: ANALYZED MOTION FOR ARGUMENTS PRESENTED TO PREPARE STRATEGY FOR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF	, =	
	DRG L250	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE AND ATTACHED EXHIBITS, COMPARED WITH THOSE CONTAINED WITHIN OPPOSITION, TO PREPARE STRATEGY FOR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.20	33.00
	DRG L250	PER ADJUSTER).  A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) HOA CCR&S DECLARATION TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION RELATING TO THE DEFINITIONS AND PROVISIONS WITHIN THE DECLARATION, TO PREPARE STRATEGY FOR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.60	99.00
12/03/2018	PCB L240	A107 COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH 0186	AA3362	

Page: 2 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
РСВ	L240	A104	COUNSEL FOR THE HOA RE: DISCUSSION OF THE UPCOMING HEARING ON THE DECLARATORY RELIEF MOTION DEALING WITH STANDING (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).  REVIEW/ANALYZE (INITIAL) MAIN ARGUMENTS RAISED	0.05	9.25
			BY THE HOA IN OPPOSITION TO THE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF  (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME		
DRG	L250	A104	SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE FILES FROM PRIOR LITIGATION, BOX 2011-085, INCLUDING MJ DEAN SUBCONTRACTS, PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS, EQUIPMENT MANUALS, AND EXPERT REPORTS, TO LOCATE AND EVALUATE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS RELATING TO THE EIFS SYSTEM, IN PREPARATION FOR DEVELOPING STRATEGY FOR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (SPLIT WITH	0.20	37.00
DRG	L250	A103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.85	140.25
DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) FILES FROM PRIOR LITIGATION, BOX 2011-074, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, CHANGE ORDERS, INVOICES, AND LIEN RELEASES, TO LOCATE AND EVALUATE DOCUMENT PROVING THAT TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS WAS PAID FOR ITS WORK RELATED TO WINDOW MANUFACTURING FOR THE PROJECT, IN PREPARATION FOR DEVELOPING STRATEGY FOR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (SPLIT WITH	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) FILES FROM PRIOR LITIGATION, BOX 2011-074, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, CHANGE ORDERS, INVOICES, AND LIEN RELEASES, TO LOCATE AND EVALUATE DOCUMENT PROVING THAT TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS WAS PAID FOR ITS WORK RELATED TO WINDOW MANUFACTURING FOR THE PROJECT, IN PREPARATION FOR DEVELOPING STRATEGY FOR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (SPLIT WITH	0.65	107.25
DRG	L250	A108	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:	0.80	132.00
DRG	L250	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) FOLLOW UP TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN,	0.45	74.25
			0187	AA3363	

Page: 3 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

					Harma	
				RE:	Hours	
					0.05	8.25
12/04/2018	JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE RETURNED CERTIFIED MAIL SENT TO F. RODGERS INSULATION (NATIONAL LOCATION), RE: DETERMINING WHY MAIL WAS RETURNED AS WELL AS CARD, IN PREPARATION FOR INCORPORATING DATE RETURNED AND REASONING INTO CLIENT'S CHAPTER 40 MATRIX.	0.05	4.75
	JBV	L320	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) CLIENT'S CHAPTER 40 MATRIX, RE: INCORPORATING DATE CERTIFIED MAIL WAS RETURNED ON BEHALF OF F. RODGERS INSULATION (NATIONAL LOCATION) EVEN AFTER CARD	0.05	4 75
	JBV	L320	A104	WAS RETURNED. REVIEW/ANALYZE DISCLOSURES FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (DISC 1: D 0067000-68200), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS	0.05	4.75
	DRG	L250	A104	REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND COUNTERMOTION'S TO SAME, TO DRAFT STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE OF SAME (SPLIT WITH	0.95	90.25
	DRG	L250	A103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  DRAFT/REVISE STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR  CONTINUANCE OF HEARING AND PRE-HEARING DATES  FOR OPPOSITIONS AND REPLIES REGARDING MOTION  FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF.	0.05	8.25 33.00
12/05/2018	PCB	L190	A103		0.10	18.50
	PCB	L250	A107	COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH COUNSEL FOR THE HOA RE: EXPLANATION OF THE PROPOSED NEW BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR THE DECLARATORY RELIEF MOTION AND FOR THE HOA'S COUNTER-MOTIONS.	0.10	9.25
12/10/2018	DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NOTICE OF MINUTE ORDER, RE: EXTENSION OF HEARING ON MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF'S FILING DEADLINE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO SAME.	0.05 AA3364	8.25
				0188	11113307	

Page: 4 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

					Hours	
12/11/2018	PCB	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) THE RULING FROM JUDGE JOHNSON ON THE SECOND MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT DEALING WITH THE CHAPTER 40 NOTICE,  (ON THE WINDOW ISSUE)(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN		
	PCB	L240	A104	SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE RECENT RULING ON STATUTE OF REPOSE FROM ANOTHER CASE (BRYNE) TO SEE HOW IT MIGHT BE UTILIZED IN THE PRESENT CASE GIVEN THE TIMING OF THE CHAPTER 40 NOTICE ISSUED BY THE HOA AND THE POTENTIAL FOR JUDGE JOHNSON TO FOLLOW THE RULING FROM THE OTHER CASE (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER	0.20	37.00
	JBV	L320	A104	FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (DRAWS 29-34: APPROXIMATELY 6828 PAGES), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING	0.30	55.50
	JBV	L320	A104	ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (DRAWS 35-38, LOOSE DOCUMENTATION, LIEN RELEASES, CHANGE ORDERS: APPROXIMATELY 5841 PAGES OF DOCUMENTS), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS	0.85	80.75
	JBV	L320	A104	REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (TOWER I: DRAWS 1-13: APPROXIMATELY 7279 PAGES OF DOCUMENTS), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED.	0.80 0.95	76.00 90.25
12/12/2018	РСВ	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE MINUTE ORDER FROM COURT REGARDING THE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, $0189$	AA3365	

Page: 5 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

				Hours	
JBV	L320	A104	AND REVISE PROPOSED STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR RESETTING THE HEARING AND THE BRIEFING SCHEDULE TO REFLECT THE COURT'S MINUTE ORDER AND THE AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE PARTIES (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (TOWER I: DRAWS 14-19: APPROXIMATELY 7742 PAGES OF DOCUMENTS), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS,	0.10	18.50
JBV	L320	A104	PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (TOWER I: DRAWS 20-28: APPROXIMATELY 6471 PAGES OF DOCUMENTS), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS	0.95	90.25
JBV	L320	A104	PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (TOWER II: DRAWS 1-9: APPROXIMATELY 6326 PAGES OF DOCUMENTS), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO	0.90	85.50
JBV	L320	A104	TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED.  REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (TOWER II: DRAWS 10-12: APPROXIMATELY 2911 PAGES OF DOCUMENTS), RE:	0.90	85.50
DRG	L250	A104	DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED.  REVIEW/ANALYZE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	0.55	52.25
			ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS, RE: ARGUMENTS PRESENTED, TO EVALUATE WHETHER A MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER REGARDING SAME IS WARRANTED AND NECESSARY 0190	AA3366	

Page: 6 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

	DRG	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE EXHIBITS 1-4 OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS, RE: DOCUMENTS SUPPORTING ARGUMENTS PRESENTED OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED NOTICE, TO EVALUATE WHETHER A MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER REGARDING SAME IS WARRANTED AND NECESSARY (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	Hours 0.30	49.50
	DRG	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE COURT'S FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ON PRIOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE OF CLAIMS, RE: THE COURT'S PRIOR REASONING ON SIMILAR ARGUMENTS, TO EVALUATE WHETHER A MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER REGARDING SAME IS WARRANTED AND NECESSARY (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.40	66.00 57.75
12/13/2018	JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE RETURNED CERTIFIED MAIL FOR X-TREME X-CAVATION, RE: DETERMINING WHY CHAPTER 40 CORRESPONDENCE WAS UNDELIVERABLE, IN PREPARATION FOR INCORPORATING DATE SERVICE WAS ATTEMPTED	2.25	
	JBV	L320	A103	INTO CLIENT'S CHAPTER 40 MATRIX.  DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) CLIENT'S CHAPTER 40  MATRIX, RE: INCORPORATING DATE SERVED AND  PERSON ACCEPTING CHAPTER 40 CORRESPONDENCE  ON BEHALF OF X-TREME X-CAVATION.	0.05 0.05	4.75 4.75
	JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (TOWER II: DRAWS 13-18: APPROXIMATELY 6080 PAGES OF DOCUMENTS), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS		
	JBV	L320	A104	REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (TOWER II: DRAWS 19-25: APPROXIMATELY 5510 PAGES OF DOCUMENTS), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS	0.95	90.25
	JBV	L320	A104	REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM 0191	0.85 AA3367	80.75

CHUBB INSURANCE

Page: 7 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

			PRIOR LITIGATION (TOWER II: DRAWS 26-29: APPROXIMATELY 4263 PAGES OF DOCUMENTS), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING	Hours	
JBV	L320	A104	TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (TOWER II: DRAW 30, MJ DEAN CHANGE ORDERS, POSSIBLE CONTINUATION OF DRAW 13 AND UNCONDITIONAL LIEN RELEASES: APPROXIMATELY 3277 PAGES OF DOCUMENTS), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS	0.70	66.50
DRG	L250	A104	REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM COUNSEL FOR THE ASSOCIATION, RE: STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE FOR OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR	0.55	52.25
DRG	L250	A104	DRAFTING REPLY TO SAME. REVIEW/ANALYZE SUPPLEMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE FROM COUNSEL FOR THE ASSOCIATION, RE: STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE FOR OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	SAME. REVIEW/ANALYZE EXHIBITS 6-8 OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS, RE: DOCUMENTS SUPPORTING ARGUMENTS PRESENTED OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED NOTICE, TO EVALUATE WHETHER A MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER REGARDING SAME IS WARRANTED AND NECESSARY (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	ADJUSTER)	0.35	57.75
DRG	L250	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE EXHIBITS TO THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY	0.60	99.00
			0192	AA3368	

Page: 8 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

					Hours	
	DRG	L250	A104	JUDGMENT ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS, RE: DOCUMENTS SUPPORTING ARGUMENTS PRESENTED OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED NOTICE, TO EVALUATE WHETHER A MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER REGARDING SAME IS WARRANTED AND NECESSARY (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF	0.35	57.75
				MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS, RE: ARGUMENTS PRESENTED, TO EVALUATE WHETHER A MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER REGARDING SAME IS WARRANTED AND NECESSARY (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.40	66.00
12/14/2018	JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (TOWER II: DRAWS 10-15: APPROXIMATELY 5490 PAGES OF DOCUMENTS), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS		
	JBV	L320	A104	REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (TOWER II: DRAWS 16-20: APPROXIMATELY 4409 PAGES OF DOCUMENTS), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS	0.70	66.50
	JBV	L320	A104	REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (TOWER II: DRAWS 21-26(A): APPROXIMATELY 5308 PAGES OF DOCUMENTS), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS	0.55	52.25
	CSW	L120	A104	REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE RECENT NEVADA CASE LAW AND STATUTES, RE: STANDARD OF REVIEW OF MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF TRIAL	0.65	61.75
				0193	AA3369	

Page: 9 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

		Hours	
CSW L120 A103	JUDGE'S DECISION REGARDING MOTION SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED NOTICE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF TRIAL JUDGE'S RULING REGARDING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON	0.20	33.00
CSW L120 A103	THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED NOTICE, RE: STANDARD OF REVIEW FOR MOTION DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF TRIAL JUDGE'S RULING IN	0.65	107.25
DRG L340 A108	EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:	0.30	49.50
DRG L340 A108	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG L340 A108	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) FOLLOW UP TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN,	0.05	8.25
DRG L250 A104	RE:  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  REVIEW/ANALYZE ASSOCIATION'S ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE AND EXHIBITS THERETO, TO EVALUATE WHETHER THE HEAD FLASHINGS ARE CONSIDERED NEW ISSUES, TO EVALUATE THE NECESSITY AND SUCCESS RATE OF FILING A MOTION FOR	0.20	33.00
DRG L250 A104	RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  REVIEW/ANALYZE SIERRA GLASS PLANS AND SHOP DRAWINGS (APPROX 71 PLANS), TO EVALUATE THE POTENTIAL FOR FILING A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR	0.60	99.00
DRG L250 A104	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  REVIEW/ANALYZE AAMA GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND DEFINITIONS OF PERTINENT ASPECTS OF HEAD FLASHINGS, SILL FLASHINGS AND OTHER WINDOW ASSEMBLY COMPONENTS, TO EVALUATE THE POTENTIAL FOR FILING A MOTION FOR	0.65	107.25
DRG L250 A104	RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE AMENDED  0194	0.20 AA3370	33.00

Page: 10 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

NOTICE, RE: ANALYZED COURT'S REASONING AND BASES FOR ITS DECISIONS ON THE MOTION, TO EVALUATE THE POTENTIAL FOR FILING A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON SAME (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.70	115.50
12/15/2018 PCB L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS TO SUPPORT MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON CERTAIN ASPECTS OF THE SECOND MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, AND MAKE NOTES ON THE PROS AND CONS OF RAISING THE VARIOUS ARGUMENTS NOW AS OPPOSED TO LATER IN THE CASE (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT	
WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). 0.15 DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	27.75
(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	8.25
(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  O.05  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT,	8.25
SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER  ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A108 COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL	8.25
WITH EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (SPLIT WITH  TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMORANDUM TO FILE, RE:	57.75
(SPLIT WITH  TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) HEARING TRANSCRIPT  EROM OCTOBER 2, 2018 HEARING, RE: ANALYZED	99.00
FROM OCTOBER 2, 2018 HEARING, RE: ANALYZED COURT'S ARGUMENTS RAISED IN COURT AND JUDGE'S RESPONSES, TO EVALUATE THE POTENTIAL FOR FILING A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON SAME (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.65	107.25
DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) HEARING TRANSCRIPT FROM OCTOBER 2, 2018 HEARING, RE: ANALYZED COURT'S ARGUMENTS RAISED IN COURT AND JUDGE'S RESPONSES, TO EVALUATE THE POTENTIAL FOR FILING A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE	
COURT'S RULING ON SAME (SPLIT WITH TOWER II  CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE AB 125 CHANGES TO CHAPTER 40,  0195  AA3371	99.00

Page: 11 December 31, 2018 t No: 1287-5511V Account No: Statement No:

			TO EVALUATE THE COURT'S REASONING COMPARED WITH THE CLEAR STATUTORY LANGUAGE REGARDING REQUIREMENTS OF NOTICE, TO EVALUATE THE POTENTIAL FOR FILING A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	Hours	
DR	G L250	A103	ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMORANDUM TO FILE, RE: (SPLIT WITH	0.40	66.00
12/16/2018 PCI	B L250	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON CERTAIN ASPECTS OF THE SECOND MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE RE: CONTINUE TO OUTLINE THE THREE POTENTIAL ARGUMENTS DEALING WITH NEW FACTS AND THE ASPECTS OF THE RULING THAT ARGUABLY ARE CLEARLY ERRONEOUS (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN	0.65	107.25
DR	G L250	A104	SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, TO EVALUATE THE ARGUMENTS PRESENTED THEREIN, TO DETERMINE HOW THEY AFFECT OUR REASONING AND ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE	0.40	74.00
DR	G L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND EXHIBITS THERETO, TO EVALUATE THE ARGUMENTS AND DOCUMENTS PRESENTED THEREIN, TO DETERMINE HOW THEY AFFECT OUR REASONING AND ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (SPLIT WITH	0.40	66.00
DR	G L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE TRAINING MANUAL UTILIZED BY THE ASSOCIATION'S EXPERT, TO EVALUATE PROVISIONS RELATED TO HEAD FLASHINGS COMPARED WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.55	90.75
			0196	AA3372	

Page: 12 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

	DRG	L340	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	Hours 0.35	57.75
	DRG	L340	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L340	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L250	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING REGARDING OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L250	A103	ON THE AMENDED NOTICE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING REGARDING OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	0.95	156.75
	DRG	L340	A104	ON THE AMENDED NOTICE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SUPPLEMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	0.90	148.50
	DRG	L340	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE PHOTOGRAPH OF UNIT 300 DT'S, TO CONFIRM PRESENCE OF SILL PANS AT THE PROJECT. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05 0.05	8.25 8.25
12/17/2018	РСВ	L240	A103	DRAFT/REVISE WORK ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE SECOND MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT THAT PERTAINED TO THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE RE: WORK ON ALL THREE ASPECTS OF THE MOTION, INCLUDING ADDRESSING THE NEW EVIDENCE, THE CLEARLY ERRONEOUS ASPECTS OF THE RULING, THE ADMISSION BY THE HOA'S EXPERT, THE CONFLICTING EXPERT REPORTS, AND THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN "FLASHING" AND		
	DRG	L340	A104	"DRAINAGE"(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	1.70	314.50
				(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0197	0.05 AA3373	8.25

Page: 13 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

DRG	L340	A103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT,	Hours	
DRG	L250	A104	SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE FLOOR PLANS AT THE TOWER UNITS, PROVIDED BY EXPERT, CONFIRMING PRESENCE OF SPANDREL GLASS AT THE PROJECT, IN	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A108	PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CONVERSATION WITH EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	0.30	49.50
DRG	L340	A109	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) APPEAR FOR/ATTEND MEETING WITH FENESTRATION EXPERT, RE:	0.10	16.50
DRG	L340	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE AFFIDAVIT OF EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING REGARDING OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	1.30	214.50
DRG	L250	A103	ON THE AMENDED NOTICE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING	0.30	49.50
DRG	L340	A103	REGARDING OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED NOTICE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	0.85	140.25
JBV	L320	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (TOWER II: DRAWS 26B-29: APPROXIMATELY 3557 PAGES OF DOCUMENTS), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING	0.05	8.25
			TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN $0198$	AA3374	

Page: 14 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

					Hours	
	JBV	L320	A104	PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED.  REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (MISC. DISC DOCUMENTATION SUCH AS CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY, DEFENSE REPORTS, INSURANCE POLICIES, PLAINTIFF EXPERT REPORTS AND STATUS REPORTS), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO	0.55	52.25
	JBV	L320	A104	MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (D 0052456-54500), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED.	0.55 0.45	52.25 42.75
12/18/2018	PCB	L190	A107	COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH COUNSEL FOR SOUTHERN NEVADA PAVING (ONE OF THE SUBCONTRACTORS POTENTIALLY IMPLICATED BY THE "SEWER PROBLEM") RE: DISCUSSION OF THE COURT'S RECENT ORDER ON THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.05	9.25
	PCB	L250	A107	COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH COUNSEL FOR SOUTHERN NEVADA PAVING (ONE OF THE SUBCONTRACTORS POTENTIALLY IMPLICATED BY THE "SEWER PROBLEM") RE: CLARIFICATION OF THE OVERALL IMPACT OF THE COURT'S RECENT ORDER ON THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME		V.20
	DRG	L250	A104	CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF HEARING DEADLINES ON MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, RE: IN	0.05	9.25
	DRG	L250	A104	PREPARATION FOR SERVING TO FILING WITH THE COURT (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL, FRANCIS LYNCH, RE: STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF	0.10	16.50
	DRG	L250	A104	HEARING DEADLINES ON MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL, SCOTT WILLIAMS, RE:	0.05	8.25
				0199	AA3375	

Page: 15 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

					Hours	
				STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF HEARING DEADLINES ON MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF HEARING DEADLINES ON MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, RE: IN PREPARATION FOR	5.55	0.20
	DRG	L250	A104	SERVING TO ALL COUNSEL (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) HEARING TRANSCRIPT	0.10	16.50
				FROM OCTOBER 2, 2018 HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING A REPLY		
				TO SAME (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.45	74.25
12/19/2018	JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (D 0054501-56200), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS		
	JBV	L320	A104	REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (D 0056201-58300), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS	0.55	52.25
	JBV	L320	A104	REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (D 0058301-60400), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED.	0.45 0.55	42.75 52.25
12/20/2018	DRG	L120	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL, FRANCIS LYNCH, RE: UPDATED EXECUTED COPY OF STIPULATION TO EXTEND HEARING DATE FOR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR FILING SAME (SPLIT		
	DRG	L120	A104	WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ASSOCIATION'S ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE TO OUR CLIENTS IN ORDER TO PREPARE A RESPONSE TO THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED	0.05	8.25
				CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER <b>0200</b>	AA3376	

Page: 16 December 31, 2018 t No: 1287-5511V

Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 15

				Hours	
	DRG L	_120 A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE OUR RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO THE ASSOCIATION'S ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE TO OUR CLIENTS, IN ORDER TO PREPARE A RESPONSE TO THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.10	16.50
	DRG L	_120 A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE TO OUR CLIENTS, IN ORDER TO PREPARE A RESPONSE TO THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II	0.10	16.50
	DRG L	_120 A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE BANKRUPTCY FILINGS ON PANORAMA TOWER II, TO EVALUATE PROCEDURAL STATUS OF THAT CASE, IN ORDER TO PREPARE A RESPONSE TO THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.10	16.50
	DRG L	_120 A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS CHAPTER 40 POST AB 125, RE: NRS 40.615, DEFINITION OF CONSTRUCTION DEFECT, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE REQUISITE DETERMINATION NEEDED TO CLASSIFY A CONDITION AS A CONSTRUCTIONAL DEFECT, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING A RESPONSE TO THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II	0.15	24.75
	DRG L	_120 A103	CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) RESPONSE TO THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, OBJECTIONS, RESPONSES AND ARGUMENTS AS TO WHY WE DECLINE TO REPAIR CONDITIONS ALLEGED.	0.10	16.50 148.50
12/26/2018	JBV L	_320 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (D 0060401-62500), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED.	0.60	57.00
	JBV L	_320 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (D 0062501-64000), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED.	0.55	52.25
12/27/2018	JBV L	_320 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (D 0064001-65800), RE: 0201	AA3377	

Page: 17 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

12/28/2018

	DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS	Hours	
JBV L320 A104	REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (D 0065801-67000), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS	0.55	52.25
JBV L320 A104	REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (D 0067001-68985), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS	0.40	38.00
JBV L320 A104	REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (D 0068986-70599), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS	0.60	57.00
PCB L250 A104	REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE LATEST DRAFT OF PROPOSED RESPONSE TO AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (AS PREPARED BY DEVIN GIFFORD) AND IDENTIFY ADDITIONAL AREAS THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED WITH REGARD TO STATUTE OF REPOSE AND THE APPLICATION OF JUDGE JOHNSON'S RULING ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME	0.55	52.25
JBV L320 A104	CASE - TOWER II).  REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (D 0070600-72100), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS 0202	0.20 AA3378	37.00

# CHUBB INSURANCE

Page: 18 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

JBV L320 A104	REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (D 0072101-73400), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN	Hours 0.55	52.25
PCB L250 A103	PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED.  DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) RESPONSE TO AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE RE: ADDITIONAL WORK ON TIMING OF NOTICE, STATUTE OF REPOSE ISSUES, AND WHETHER THE TIMING FOR FILING A COMPLAINT WAS TOLLED BY THE ISSUANCE OF A CHAPTER 40 NOTICE ON THE LAST DAY OF THE TOLLING PERIOD (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN	0.45	42.75
DRG L120 A104	SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN BYRNE V SUNRIDGE BUILDERS CASE, RECENT NEVADA DISTRICT COURT CASE WITH COURT JUDGMENT IN OUR FAVOR, IN ORDER TO APPLY SAME ARGUMENTS IN OUR RESPONSE TO THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING SAME (SPLIT WITH	0.25	46.25
DRG L120 A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN BYRNE V SUNRIDGE BUILDERS CASE, RECENT NEVADA DISTRICT COURT CASE WITH COURT JUDGMENT IN OUR FAVOR, IN ORDER TO APPLY SAME ARGUMENTS IN OUR RESPONSE TO THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING	0.60	99.00
DRG L120 A104	SAME (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE REPLY BRIEF TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN BYRNE V SUNRIDGE BUILDERS CASE, RECENT NEVADA DISTRICT COURT CASE WITH COURT JUDGMENT IN OUR FAVOR, IN ORDER TO APPLY SAME ARGUMENTS IN OUR RESPONSE TO THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING	0.40	66.00
DRG L120 A104	SAME (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE COURT'S FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW REGARDING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN BYRNE V SUNRIDGE BUILDERS CASE, RECENT NEVADA DISTRICT COURT CASE WITH COURT JUDGMENT IN OUR FAVOR, IN ORDER TO APPLY SAME ARGUMENTS IN OUR RESPONSE TO THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING	0.45	74.25
DRG L120 A103	SAME (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) RESPONSE TO THE  0203	0.20 AA3379	33.00

Page: 19 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

					Hours	
	DRG	L120	A103	ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, OBJECTIONS, RESPONSES AND ARGUMENTS AS TO WHY WE DECLINE TO REPAIR CONDITIONS ALLEGED, INCLUDING ADDITIONAL ARGUMENT AS TO WHY THE ASSOCIATION'S CLAIMS ARE TIME-BARRED DUE TO STATUTE OF REPOSE. DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) RESPONSE TO THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, OBJECTIONS, RESPONSES AND ARGUMENTS AS TO WHY WE DECLINE TO REPAIR CONDITIONS ALLEGED, INCLUDING ADDITIONAL ARGUMENT AS TO WHY THE ASSOCIATION'S CLAIMS ARE TIME-BARRED DUE TO	0.55	90.75
	LJG	L120	A104	STATUTE OF REPOSE. REVIEW/ANALYZE THE HOA'S CHAPTER 40 NOTICE AND ATTACHED EXHIBITS, IN PREPARATION FOR	0.60	99.00
	LJG	L120	A104	CONTINUING OUR CLIENT'S CHAPTER 40 RESPONSE. REVIEW/ANALYZE PRIOR MOTION PRACTICE AND PRIOR PROCEDURAL RULINGS MADE BY THE COURT, IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING OUR CLIENT'S	0.40	74.00
	LJG	L120	A103	CHAPTER 40 RESPONSE.  DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) OUR CLIENT'S CHAPTER 40 RESPONSE, RE: REVISED AND SUPPLEMENTED ALL	0.35	64.75
				LEGAL AND FACTUAL ARGUMENTS.	0.25	46.25
12/30/2018	PCB	L120	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE VARIOUS COURT ORDERS, SPECIAL MASTER ORDERS AND ONLINE SOURCES FOR LEGISLATURE "BILL DRAFT REQUESTS" ON CONSTRUCTION DEFECTS IN ORDER TO COMPILE INFORMATION TO ADDRESS IN A SUPPLEMENTAL STATUS REPORT TO THE CARRIERS (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.40	74.00
	PCB	L120	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) SUPPLEMENTAL STATUS REPORT	0.40	74.00
12/31/2018	PCB	L120	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (FINALIZE) SUPPLEMENTAL STATUS REPORT RE:	0.85	157.25
	PCB	L120	A103	(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). DRAFT/REVISE EMAIL TO CARRIERS RE: 0204	0.15 AA3380	27.75

Page: 20 December 31, 2018 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

							Hours	
			CASE - TOW	,	•	R CARRIER IN SAME	0.05	9.25
			For Current S	Services Rendered			53.25	7,556.75
Timekeeper Peter C. Brown Lucian J. Greco Jennifer Vela Devin R. Gifford Cyrus S. Whittake	er			Recapitulation Title PARTNER PARTNER PARALEGAL ASSOCIATE ASSOCIATE	on	Hours 5.25 1.00 19.35 26.50 1.15	Rate \$185.00 185.00 95.00 165.00 165.00	Total \$971.25 185.00 1,838.25 4,372.50 189.75
				Expenses				
12/14/2018	L100		HALLIER, PA MEZZ, LLC A FOR RECON JUDGMENT TOWER CON APRIL 5, 201 ODYSSEY (F	PLAINTIFFS/COUNANORAMA TOWER AND MJ DEAN CO ISIDERATION OF DEFENDANT/COUNDOMINIUM UNIT 8 AMENDED NOT PLAINTIFFS/COUN	RS I, LLC, PAN NSTRUCTION, THEIR MOTIOI JNTER-CLAIM, OWNERS' AS ICE OF CLAIM ITER-DEFEND	ORAMA TOWE INC.'S MOTIO N FOR SUMMA ANT PANORAM SOCIATION'S S) ANTS LAUREM	ERS I IN ARY MA	1.75
12/21/2018	L100	E102	MEZZ, LLC A FOR RECON JUDGMENT TOWER COI APRIL 5, 201 OUTSIDE PF (TRANSCRIF	ANORAMA TOWER AND MJ DEAN CO ISIDERATION OF DEFENDANT/COUN NDOMINIUM UNIT 8 AMENDED NOT RINTING (1327) CL PT FOR OCTOBER	NSTRUCTION, THEIR MOTIOI JNTER-CLAIMA OWNERS' AS ICE OF CLAIM ARK COUNTY	INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMANT PANORAN SOCIATION'S S) TREASURER	N ARY	1.75 265.30
			Total Expens	es				268.80
			Total Current	Work				7,825.55
			Previous Bala	ance				\$15,016.81
			Balance Due					\$22,842.36
				Past Due Amo				
	<u>0-30</u> 7,825.55	,	<u>31-60</u> 13,670.77	61-90 0.00	91-120 0.00	<u>121-180</u> 1,346.04	<u>181+</u> 0.00	

CHUBB INSURANCE

Page: 21

December 31, 2018 1287-5511V

Account No: Statement No:

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

S	olit	Bill	ina	Sum	mary
$\sim$	7111		1119	Cuii	iiiiai y

opin billing carrificary			
<u>Fees</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Advances</u>	<u>Total</u>
7,556.75	268.80	0.00	7,825.55
7,556.75	268.80	0.00	7,825.55
15,113.50	537.60	0.00	15,651.10
	Fees 7,556.75 7,556.75	Fees         Expenses           7,556.75         268.80           7,556.75         268.80	Fees         Expenses         Advances           7,556.75         268.80         0.00           7,556.75         268.80         0.00

### Task Code Summary

	rask Code Summary		
		<u>Fees</u>	<b>Expenses</b>
L100	CASE ASSESSMENT, DEVELOPMENT AND ADMINISTRATION	0.00	268.80
L120	ANALYSIS/STRATEGY	1352.50	0.00
L190	OTHER CASE ASSESSMENT, DEVELOPMENT & ADMINISTRATION	27.75	0.00
L100	CASE ASSESSMENT, DEVELOPMENT AND ADMINISTRATION	1,380.25	268.80
L240	DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS	416.25	0.00
L250	OTHER WRITTEN MOTIONS AND SUBMISSIONS	3501.25	0.00
L200	PRE-TRIAL PLEADINGS AND MOTIONS	3,917.50	0.00
L320	DOCUMENT PRODUCTION	1838.25	0.00
L340	EXPERT DISCOVERY	420.75	0.00
L300	DISCOVERY	2,259.00	0.00

### BREMER, WHYTE, BROWN & O'MEARA, LLP 20320 S.W. BIRCH STREET SECOND FLOOR NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660 (949) 221-1000

#### TAX ID # 33-0747275

CHUBB INSURANCE 9200 Oakdale Avenue, 8th Floor, Chatsworth, CA 91311, USA Page: 1 January 31, 2019

Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

Attn: Jeff Ganzer

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

# <u>Fees</u>

01/01/2019	PCB	L190	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE EMAIL FROM RAMIE MORALES (COVERAGE	Hours	
		L130		COUNSEL FOR CHUBB) AND PREPARE EMAIL IN RESPONSE TO SAME RE:  AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) WITH CLIENTS' COST ESTIMATING EXPERT (MKA) RE:  (AS PER CARRIER	0.05	9.25
				DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.05	9.25
01/02/2019	PCB	L130	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) WITH CLIENT'S COST ESTIMATING EXPERT (MKA) RE:  (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME		
	PCB	L190	A104	CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE INQUIRY FROM JEFF GANZER (CHUBB),	0.05	9.25
	JBV	L320	A104	(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM CLIENT'S EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS OF MADSEN, KNEPPERS & ASSOCIATES, RE:	0.20	37.00
	JBV	L320	A104	(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE HEARING TRANSCRIPT DATED SEPTEMBER 27, 2017, RE: DETERMINING IF ANY REFERENCE OF REPAIR PAYMENTS IS MADE WITHIN REGARDING UNIT 300,	0.05	4.75
					A A 2202	

**0207** AA3383

Page: 2 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

					Hours	
	JBV	L320	A104	(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) HEARING TRANSCRIPT FROM HEARING DATED OCTOBER 2, 2018, RE: DETERMINING IF ANY REFERENCE OF REPAIR PAYMENTS IS MADE WITHIN REGARDING UNIT 300,	0.10	9.50
	JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE OPPOSITIONS FILED BY OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: VIEWING EXHIBITS AND REFERENCES MADE WITHIN TO DETERMINE IF PAYMENT OF REPAIR MATERIAL IS LOCATED WITHIN,	0.30	28.50
	DRG	L120	A101	(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). PLAN AND PREPARE FOR UPCOMING MOTION PRACTICE, RE: ANALYZED STIPULATION AND ORDER CONTINUING HEARING DATE FOR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND COURT DOCKET FOR HEARING DATES ON OUR MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE	0.30	28.50
				MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
01/03/2019	JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) EXHIBITS TO OPPOSITIONS FILED BY OPPOSING COUNSEL BETWEEN 2016 & 2017, RE: DETERMINING REFERENCES TO REPAIRS OF UNIT 300 MADE WITHIN TO SEE IF PAYMENT AMOUNT OR DESCRIPTION OF REPAIRS IS MENTIONED WITHIN,		
	JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) EXHIBITS TO OPPOSITIONS FILED BY OPPOSING COUNSEL BETWEEN 2018, RE: DETERMINING REFERENCES TO REPAIRS OF UNIT 300 MADE WITHIN TO SEE IF PAYMENT AMOUNT OR DESCRIPTION OF REPAIRS IS MENTIONED WITHIN,  (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN	0.45	42.75
01/04/2019	PCB	L250	A107	COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH COUNSEL FOR THE HOA RE: DISCUSSION OF THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE RE: DISCUSSION OF THE ISSUES RAISED IN THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND WHETHER THOSE ISSUES CAN ALSO BE ADDRESSED AT THE HEARING ON THE SEPARATE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN	0.30	28.50
				000	A A 3384	

CHUBB INSURANCE

Page: 3 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

				0209	AA3385	
				REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
	CSW	L250	A104	WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE DYKEMA V. DEL WEBB CMTYS INC. CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	0.15	24.75
	CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE WOOD V. SAFEWAY CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION	0.00	99.00
				BUILDERS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) FRO1287M BYRNE V SUNRIDGE HEIGHTS CASE IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.60	99.00
	CSW	L250	A104	CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT OF CHAPTER 40 RESPONSE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DEFENDANT'S LAND WEST	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L120	A104	(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM COUNSEL FOR THE ASSOCIATION, WILLIAMS AND GUMBINER, RE:	0.10	9.50
01/07/2019	JBV	L320	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) WITH CLIENT'S EXPERTS, SHELLY ROBBINS AND JESSICA DE NORIO OF MADSEN, KNEPPERS & ASSOCIATES (VIA TELEPHONE AND EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE), RE:		
01/06/2019	CSW	L120	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANT'S LAND WEST BUILDERS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) FROM BYRNE V SUNRIDGE HEIGHTS CASE IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
	JBV	L320	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) CLIENT'S CHAPTER 40 MATRIX, RE: INCORPORATING DATE SERVED AND PERSON ACCEPTING CHAPTER 40 CORRESPONDENCE ON BEHALF OF FIVE STAR PLUMBING & HEATING (AKA SILVER STAR PLUMBING).	0.05	4.75
				DETERMINING WHY CHAPTER 40 CORRESPONDENCE WAS UNDELIVERABLE, IN PREPARATION FOR INCORPORATING DATE SERVICE WAS ATTEMPTED INTO CLIENT'S CHAPTER 40 MATRIX. (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.05	4.75
	JBV	L320	A104	BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE RETURNED CERTIFIED MAIL FOR FIVE STAR PLUMBING & HEATING (AKA SILVER STAR PLUMBING), RE:	0.05	9.25
					Hours	

Page: 4 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVADA ASSEMBLY BILL 125, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY	Houre	
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE OTAK NEVADA LLC V. EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF	0.10	16.50
			REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 11.202 AND NRS 40.645, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE COURT ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT LAND WEST BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	0.10	16.50
			PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) FROM BYRNE V SUNRIDGE HEIGHTS CASE IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE LANTZY V. CENTEX HOMES CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.35	57.75
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ARGULLO V. SUNSET STATION, INC. CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE WASHOE MEDICAL CENTER V. SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE VOLPERT V. PAPAGNA CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANT'S LAND WEST BUILDERS REPLY MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) FROM BYRNE V SUNRIDGE HEIGHTS CASE IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR	0.10	16.50
			TIEIGHTO OAGE IN ORDER TO ORAL T LEGAL ARGUMENTO FOR	ΛΛ2296	

Page: 5 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

					Harring	
				MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	Hours 0.15	24.75
01/08/2019	DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE STIPULATION AND ORDER EXECUTED BY JUDGE, RE: EXTENSION OF DEADLINES TO FILE BRIEFS IN SUPPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR FILING. (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
	CSW	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY FOR SUBJECT PROPERTIES, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.10	16.50
	CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DEFENDANT'S LAND WEST BUILDERS REPLY MOTION AND CORRESPONDING EXHIBITS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) FROM BYRNE V SUNRIDGE HEIGHTS CASE IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.20	33.00
	CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE PRO-MAX CORP V. FEENSTRA CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.30	49.50
	CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ALSENZ V. TWIN LAKES VILL. INC. CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	24.75
	CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE G & H ASSOCIATES V. ERNEST W. HAHN INC CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.10	16.50
	CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE BROWN V. ANGELONE CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.10	16.50
01/09/2019	PCB	L130	A108	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) WITH MKA (CLIENT'S ARCHITECTURAL AND COST ESTIMATING EXPERTS) RE:	0.15	24.75

16

Statement No:

				Hours	
PCB	L130	A108	(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) WITH STRUCTURAL AND WINDOW FENESTRATION EXPERTS RE:	0.10	18.50
РСВ	L120	A101	(AS PER CARRIER GUIDELINES, TIME SPLIT WITH THE OTHER FILE IN THE CASE - TOWER II). PLAN AND PREPARE FOR MEETING WITH THE CLIENTS' EXPERTS RE:	0.15	27.75
PCB	L130	A109	(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). APPEAR FOR/ATTEND TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL WITH COST ESTIMATING/ARCHITECTURAL EXPERT AND WINDOW FENESTRATION EXPERT RE:	0.20	37.00
DRG	L340	Δ10/	(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM SHELLY	0.30	55.50
	L340		ROBBINS, EXPERT, RE:  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II  CASE PER ADJUSTER). PLAN AND PREPARE FOR UPCOMING CONFERENCE CALL	0.05	8.25
BRO	2010	7(101	WITH EXPERTS, RE:  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
DRG	L340	A108	ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) CONFERENCE CALL WITH EXPERTS, RE:  (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY	0.20	33.00
DRG	L250	A101	ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE STRATEGY FOR ATTACKING OPPOSITIONS TO COUNTERMOTIONS BY THE ASSOCIATION REGARDING PAROL EVIDENCE AND RULE 56(F), AS CONTAINED IN OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING, ANALYSIS OF ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN CONTRAST TO THE HYPOCRITICAL NATURE OF THE ARGUMENTS MADE IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,	0.40	66.00
			0212	AA3388	

Statement No:

				Hours	
JWS	L120	A101	SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE FOR MEET AND CONFER WITH EXPERTS/FURTHER MOTION PRACTICE RE:	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	(ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE THE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE. (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND	0.35	64.75
CSW	L250	A104	FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ACE AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY'S COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, IN PREPARATION FOR DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE THE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE. (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND INTERPROVED BY ADDITION BY ADDITIO	0.40	66.00
CSW	L250	A104	FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE PLAINTIFF/COUNTERDEFENDANTS' COMPLAINT AGAINST PANORAMA TOWER CONDO UNIT OWNERS' ASSOCIATION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE THE	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE. (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE PLAINTIFFS/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE THE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE. (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE M.C. MULTI-FAMILY DEVELOPMENT LLC V. CRESTDALE ASSOCIATES LTD CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO	0.40	66.00
CSW	L250	A104	EXCLUDE THE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE.  (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  REVIEW/ANALYZE STATE EX REL LIST V. COURTESY MOTORS CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE THE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE. (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY	0.20	33.00
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CAGE V. PHILLIPS CASE, IN PREPARATION	0.10	16.50
				Δ Δ 3 3 8 9	

Page: 8 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

				Hours	
	CSW L250	A104	FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE THE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE.  (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  REVIEW/ANALYZE SOUTHERN TRUST MORTGAGE COMPANY V. K&B DOOR COMPANY CASE INCORPORATED CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE THE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE. (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.10	16.50
	CSW L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ROYAL INDEMNITY COMPANY V. SPECIAL SERV. SUPPLY COMPANY CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE THE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE. (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.10	16.50
	CSW L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE AVIATION VENTURES INC V. JOAN MORRIS INC CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE. (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.10	16.50
	CSW L250	A103	ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE THE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE. (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20 0.20	33.00 33.00
01/10/2019	PCB L130	A109	APPEAR FOR/ATTEND CONFERENCE CALL WITH CLIENT'S STRUCTURAL AND METALURGICAL EXPERTS RE:  (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH		
	PCB L120	A104	OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE LATEST INFORMATION FROM THE HOA ON ITS CLAIMS AS PART OF THE OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF WHEN TO FILE VARIOUS MOTIONS (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.20	37.00
	CSW L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) PLAINTIFF/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE AND EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.10	18.50
			004.4	A A 3 3 9 0	

Page: 9 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

		(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	Hours 0.20	33.00
CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE AFFIDAVIT OF PETER C. BROWN AS PART OF PLAINTIFFS/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE AND EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE		
		(ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE PANORAMA TOWERS CONDO UNIT OWNERS ASSOCIATION'S CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (51 PAGES)-EXHIBIT A, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE AND EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE	0.03	0.23
		PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
CSW L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE PANORAMA TOWERS CONDO UNIT OWNERS' ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO NRS 40.645 (EXHIBIT B), SPECIFICALLY	0.15	24.75
		RELATING TO THE RESIDENTIAL TOWER WINDOWS (50 PAGES), IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE AND EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN		
		BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE UNIFORM DECLARATORY JUDGMENTS ACT NRS 30.010, , IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE AND EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
CSW L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE KRESS V. COREY, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,	0.05	8.25
CSW L250	A104	SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE PLANNED PARENTHOOD ASSOCIATION V. FITSPATRICK CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING	0.05	8.25
		OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
CSW L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE COUNTY OF CLARK EX REL UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER V. UPCHURCH CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK	0.10	16.50
			A A 2201	

Page: 10 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

			Hours	
		AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,	110013	
		SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
CSW L250 A		REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 116.3102(1)(D), IN PREPARATION FOR	0.03	0.23
		DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK		
		A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,		
		SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
00111 1 050		PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
CSW L250 A		REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) CC&RS FOR PANORAMA TOWERS I AND II, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO		
		HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F)		
		CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE		
		MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
		ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
CSW L250 A		REVIEW/ANALYZE STATUTES CHAPTER 116, IN PREPARATION		
		FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION		
		WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
		ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
CSW L250 A		TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE AAMA GLOSSARY (EXHIBIT E), IN	0.05	8.25
C3V L230 A		PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S		
		COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL		
		PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
		(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW L250 A		REVIEW/ANALYZE AFFIDAVIT OF SIMON LOADSMAN (EXHIBIT		
		F), IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S		
		COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
		APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
OCW 1050 A	101	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW L250 A		REVIEW/ANALYZE INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE 2000 (EXHIBIT G), IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION		
		TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F)		
		CONTINUANCE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION		
		TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE		
		MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN		
		BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.40	40.50
CSW L250 A		ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEM DROP SHOP	0.10	16.50
0011 E200 /t		DRAWINGS (EXHIBIT H), IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING		
		OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE		
		56(F) CONTINUANCE AND EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND		
		FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN		
		BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.40	40.50
CSW L250 A		ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO	0.10	16.50
33 L200 /\		PLAINTIFFS/COUNTER-DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR		
		DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING AND		
		COUNTERMOTIONS TO EXCLUDE INADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE	A A 2202	

Page: 11 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

		Hours	
	AND FOR RULE 56(F) RELIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE AND EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN	, iou.e	
00111 1 050 1 101	BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
CSW L250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE THE UNIFORM COMMON-INTEREST OWNERSHIP ACT AND NRS CHAPTER 116, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
CSW L250 A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE PLAINTIFF EXPERT KARIGER'S DECLARATION ABOUT WATER LEAKAGE DUE TO MISSING SILL PAN FLASHINGS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.10	16.50
CSW L250 A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE PLAINTIFF EXPERT OMAR HINDIYEH'S DECLARATION ABOUT WATER LEAKAGE BEING DUE TO MISSING SILL PAN FLASHINGS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.10	16.50
CSW L250 A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SPECIAL MASTER FLOYD HALE'S AMENDED CASE AGENDA GOVERNING DISCOVERY DEADLINES, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK	0.10	16.50
CSW L250 A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE BALDONADO V. WYNN LAS VEGAS CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.05	8.25
CSW L250 A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' BENEFITS PROGRAM V. LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.05	8.25
CSW L250 A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE GORDON V. MCKEE CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.10	16.50
		ΛΛ2202	

Page: 12 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No: 16

	CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE MC MULTI-FAMILY DEVELOPMENT LLC V. CRESTDALE ASSOCIATES LTD CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY	Hours 0.05	8.25
	CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE TRANS WESTERN LEASING CORPORATION V. CARRAO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE	0.20	33.00
	DRG	L120	A104	BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EDEN HARRIS AT EXPERT MKA'S OFFICE, RE:	0.15	24.75
	DRG	L340	A108	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) CONFERENCE CALL WITH EXPERTS, TRAILER MARTIN AND CONRAD CHRISTENSEN, RE:	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L250	A104	. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO EXTEND HEARING DATE AND PRE-HEARING HEADLINES ON MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING NOTICE OF ENTRY. (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.20	33.00
	DRG	L250	A103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE NOTICE OF ENTRY ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO EXTEND HEARING DATE AND PRE-HEARING HEADLINES ON MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF. (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.05	8.25
	JWS	L340	A108	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) ATTEND/PARTIAL CONFERENCE CALL WITH STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS,	0.05	8.25
01/11/2019	PCB	L130	A109	APPEAR FOR/ATTEND CONFERENCE CALL WITH WINDOW FENESTRATION EXPERT AND COST ESTIMATING EXPERT RE:	0.15	27.75
	JWS	L120	A109	APPEAR FOR/ATTEND TELEPHONIC MEET AND CONFER/FOLLOW-UP DISCUSSION WITH DEFENSE EXPERTS RE:	0.45	83.25
	DRG	L340	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:	0.45	83.25
				(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0218	0.05 AA3394	8.25

Page: 13 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

			0219	AA3395	
			ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
			CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE HIDDEN WELLS RANCH V. STRIP REALTY CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND ELITIBE		
			COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, APPROPRIATENESS OF SAME UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE BORGERSON V. SCANLON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S	0.35	57.75
DRG	L250	A104	COURT, RULING ON MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF IN SIMILAR PRIOR CASE, QUEENSRIDGE HOA VERSUS PERINI, TO UTILIZE ARGUMENTS THEREIN TO OUR ADVANTAGE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) RECENT CASE HISTORY IN NEVADA, 9TH CIRCUIT AND OTHER COURTS, RE: MOTIONS	0.40	66.00
DRG	L250	A104	MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW FROM DEPARTMENT 31 OF SAME	0.80	132.00
DRG	L250	A103	MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMORANDUM TO FILE, RE: ANALYSIS OF ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN OPPOSITION AND PROPOSED RESPONSES (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE	0.90	148.50
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND EXHIBITS THERETO, RE: STANDING, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN ORDER TO PREPARE AN ANALYSIS OF OUR PROPOSED ARGUMENTS IN RESPONSE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE		
	L340		DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE: (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE: (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
				Hours	

Page: 14 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Цошто	
CSW	/ L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVADA REVISED STATUTE SECTION 30.110, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE	Hours	
			MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN		
0014			BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
CSW	/ L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE BULBMAN, INC V. NEVADA BELL CASE,, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S		
			COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	/ L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE COLLINS V. UNION FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION CASE,, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING		
			OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND		
			FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
CSW	/ L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE PARMAN V. PETRICCIANI CASE,, IN	0.10	16.50
0011	2200	71101	PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE		
			(ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
0014	050	A 4 O 4	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW	/ L250	A 104	REVIEW/ANALYZE POSADAS V. CITY OF RENO CASE,, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S		
			COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW	/ L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE WINN V. SUNRISE HOSP. AND MEDICAL CENTER CASE,, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION		
			TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE		
			MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
CSW	/ L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SHORT V. HOTEL RIVIERA INC. CASE,, IN	0.10	16.50
			PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE		
			(ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
CSW	/ L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SAWYER V. SUGLARLESS SHOPS INC	0.05	8.25
			CASE,, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F)		
			CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN		
			BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	/ L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE SARTOR V. ARKANSAS GAS CORP CASE,, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S	0.10	∠ <del>1</del> .13
			COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE		
				A A 220C	

16

Statement No:

			Hours	
		(ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
		APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
		(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NRCP 56(E), IN PREPARATION FOR		
		DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S		
		INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
		ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
		TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE HENRY PRODUCTS INC V. TARMU CASE, IN		
		PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE		
		BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL		
		PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
		APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
0014/ 1050		(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE SAKA V. SAHARA-NEVADA CORP CASE, IN		
		PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE		
		BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
		APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
		(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE HAVAS V. HUGHES ESTATE CASE, IN	00	
		PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE		
		BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL		
		PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
		APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
		(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE HALLMARK V. ELDRIDGE CASE, IN		
		PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE		
		BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
		APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
		(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CALARDI V. NAPLES POLARIS CASE, IN	00	
		PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE		
		BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL		
		PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
		APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
		(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE ELLISON V. CAL STATE AUTO ASSOCIATION		
		CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL		
		PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
		APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
		(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OPPOSITION TO HOA'S		
		COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE BUILDERS' INADMISSIBLE		
		PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND		
		FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN		
		BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		00.00
IDV 1 000	A 4 O 4	ADJUSTER)	0.40	66.00
JBV L320	A 104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DOCUMENTATION RECEIVED FROM CLIENT'S EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS OF MADSEN, KNEPPERS		
		& ASSOCIATES, RE: DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION AND		
		VERIFYING DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLETE AND		
			A A 2207	

Page: 16 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No: 16

				Hours	
			VIEWABLE/LEGIBLE, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY FOR ANALYSIS.	0.45	42.75
01/12/2019	DRG L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMORANDUM TO FILE, RE: ANALYSIS OF ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN OPPOSITION AND PROPOSED RESPONSES TO SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.80	132.00
	DRG L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) ANALYSIS OF AAMA GLOSSARY AND TERMS PRESENTED IN OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
	DRG L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) ONLINE ANALYSIS OF AAMA WEBSITE AND HISTORY, TO ESTABLISH IT'S CREDIBILITY OF ASSOCIATION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.40 0.10	66.00 16.50
	DRG L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) ANALYSIS OF THE ASSOCIATION'S EXPERT'S RESUME AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, TO DETERMINE HIS INVOLVEMENT WITH AAMA, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
	DRG L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMORANDUM TO FILE, RE: ANALYSIS OF ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN OPPOSITION AND PROPOSED RESPONSES TO SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.45	74.25
	CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE AND TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.43	24.75
	CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE RINGLE V. BRUTON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	24.75
	CSW L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE DICKENSON V. STATE DEPT OF WILDLIFE CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.15	24.10
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0222	0.10 AA3398	16.50

Page: 17 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

01/13/2019

				Hours	
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE DEFENDANT'S EXPERT HINDIYEH DECLARATION (EXHIBITS 1 AND 2), IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVADA REVISED STATUTE SECTION 116.2102(1), IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE HALIMI V. H.R. BLACKETOR CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (SPLIT WITH	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) AAMA GLOSSARY (EXHIBIT E OF BUILDER'S MOTION: 63 PAGES), IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) AFFIDAVIT OF BUILDER'S EXPERT SIMON LOADSMAN, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DECLARATION OF CC&RS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER' INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER)  REVIEW/ANALYZE SUMMERFELD V. COCA COLA BOTTLING COMPANY CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		140.25
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE AMERITRADE INC. V. FIRST INTERSTATE BANK CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	24.75
			0000	A A 3 3 9 9	

# CHUBB INSURANCE

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

Page: 18 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
			TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)		24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE AMERITRADE INC. V. FIRST INTERSTATE		
			BANK CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO		
			SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
			TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 116, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING		
			OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE		
			EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE		
			MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
			ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE FRANCES T V. VILLAGE GREEN OWNERS	0.20	00.00
			ASSOCIATION CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING		
			OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE		
			EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE		
			MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
			ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE RUTH E ABERS V. CHRISTINE MARIE		
			ROUNSAVELL CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING		
			OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE		
			EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN		
			BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
			ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE BRIAN THIENES V. CITY CENTER		
			EXECUTIVE PLAZA, LLC CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR		
			DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S		
			INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
			TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A104			
			CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO		
			EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE MASSIE V. CHATOM CASE, IN PREPARATION		
			FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S		
			INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
			TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE KHAN V. BAKHSH CASE, IN PREPARATION		
			FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S		
			INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
			TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE UNITED STATES HOME CORP V.		
			BALLESTEROS TRUST CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING		
			OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE		

AA3400

Page: 19 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

01/14/2019

				Hours	
			EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	V. PINNACLE MARKET DEVELOPMENT CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER' INADMISSIBLE PAROLE EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		16.50
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.35	57.75
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NRCP 56, IN PREPARATION OF DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.30	49.50
CSW	L250	A104	PREPARATION OF DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ANDERSON V. WELLS CARGO INC CASE, IN PREPARATION OF DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE HARRISON V. FALCON PRODUCTS INC CASE, IN PREPARATION OF DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE DECLARATION OF MICHAEL GAYAN (EXHIBIT 3 OF DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION), IN PREPARATION OF DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	24.75
РСВ	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  REVIEW/ANALYZE PROPOSED STIPULATION AND ORDER	0.10	16.50

Page: 20 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Harma	
			REGARDING THE TIMING FOR PLEADINGS AND THE HEARING ON THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, CONSIDER HOW IT MIGHT BE USED BY THE HOA, AS CURRENTLY DRAFTED, TO SLIP IN A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERTION OF ITS OWN AS A COUNTER-MOTION, AND DRAFT EMAIL TO COUNSEL FOR THE HOA REQUESTING CLARIFICATION OF THE HOA'S INTENT. (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	Hours	
PCB	L390	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE VARIOUS FILINGS AND FILE DOCUMENTS IN PREPARATION FOR TODAY'S SPECIAL MASTER HEARING, INCLUDING OUTLINING ISSUES THAT SHOULD BE ADDRESSED BY THE SPECIAL MASTER WITH REGARD TO INSPECTIONS, TESTING, AND THE UPCOMING HEARINGS OF VARIOUS MOTIONS (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH	0.15	27.75
PCB	L250	A107	OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH COUNSEL FOR THE HOA RE: DISCUSSION OF THE INTENDED SCOPE OF THE OPPOSITION TO THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND THE CONCERN THAT THE HOA WILL ATTEMPT TO FILE AN UNTIMELY COUNTER-MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.15	27.75
PCB	L390	A109	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) APPEAR FOR/ATTEND SPECIAL MASTER HEARING (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME	0.05	9.25
РСВ	L390	A109	CASE - TOWER II). APPEAR FOR/ATTEND SPECIAL MASTER HEARING - SEPARATE TRAVEL TIME (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; AS PER CARRIER	0.25	46.25
JBV	L320	A104	GUIDELINES - TIME BILLED AT 1/2 ACTUAL RATE). REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTATION RECEIVED FROM CLIENT'S EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS OF MADSEN, KNEPPERS & ASSOCIATES, RE:	0.45	41.63
PCB	L250	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR PREPARATION OF REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND ANTICIPATED OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION RE: CONTINUE TO ASSESS POSSIBLE ARGUMENTS REGARDING HOW TO USE EXPERTS TO SUPPORT THE PLEADINGS TO BE FILED AND HOW BEST TO FRAME CERTAIN ARGUMENTS (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY	0.45	42.75
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CROW-SPIEKER NO. 23 V. ROBINSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	27.75
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE RUSS V. GENERAL MOTORS CORP CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE	0.10	16.50

16

Page: 21 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
			BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE MASSIE V. CHATOM CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE THOMSON V. CANYON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE WIGMORE "EVIDENCE" TREATISE SECTION	0.15	24.75
0011	2200	71101	2446 REGARDING PAROL EVIDENCE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVERKOVEC V. FREDERICKS CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE LOWDEN INV CO. V. GENERAL ELECTRIC CREDIT COMPANY CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN	0.15	24.75
0014			BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE JACOBSEN V. BEST BRANDS INC CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE GOLDEN PRESS V. PAC. FREEPORT WAREHOUSE CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A103	BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE BUILDERS' INADMISSIBLE PARO L EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.10	16.50
			ADJUSTER)	0.95	156.75
			0227	AA3403	

Page: 22 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

01/15/2019

				Hours	
CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER' INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
CSW	L250	A103	ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER' INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.85	140.25
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE PINNACLE MUSEUM TOWER ASSN V. PINNACLE MARKET DEVELOPMENT CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTIONS TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.45	74.25
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE RESTATEMENT (THIRD) OF THE LAW OF PROPERTY: SERVITUDE'S, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50 33.00
DRG	L230	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM SPECIAL MASTER, RE: HOLDING CASE AGENDA IN ABEYANCE (SPLIT		
DRG	L230	A104	WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SERVICE NOTICE, RE; NOTICE OF UPCOMING SPECIAL MASTER HEARING (SPLIT WITH TOWER II	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	LYNCH, RE: STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION DEADLINES (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.05	8.25
DRG	L230	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANTS TO THE COURT, RE: PROPOSED STIPULATION AND LETTER PROVIDING BASIS FOR SAME REGARDING EXTENSION OF HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S PRIOR ORDER (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50

Page: 23 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

				Hours	
DRG	L250	A104	PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' BENEFITS PROGRAM CASE, CITED TO IN ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION	0.15	24.75
			TO OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, IN ORDER TO DISTINGUISH, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) COX V GLENBROOK CASE, CITED TO IN ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, IN ORDER TO DISTINGUISH, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.20	00.00
DRG	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE RECENT 5TH CIRCUIT APPELLATE DECISIONS AND NEVADA SUPREME COURT DECISIONS, REGARDING SELF-SERVING EVIDENCE IN MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, IN ORDER TO REFUTE ARGUMENTS RAISED IN OPPOSITION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.20	33.00
DRG	L250	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) ARGUMENTS TO RAISE IN REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.45	74.25
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ADAMSON V. BOWER CASE, RE: POTENTIAL FOR ARGUMENTS STRIKING LAY PERSON HEARSAY TESTIMONY IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, IN PREPARATION (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.40	66.00
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SCHNEIDER V. CONTINENTAL ASSURANCE COMPANY CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE BAUGHMAN & TURNER INC V. JORY CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE POLK V. MACMILLAN CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO EXCLUDE	0.10	16.50

AA3405

Statement No:

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

				Hours	
			BUILDER'S INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.40	40.50
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CLAUSON V. LLOYD CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION'S TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE GUNLORD CORP. V. BOZZANO CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION'S TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE DREDGE CORP V. HUSITE COMPANY CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION'S TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SUMMERFIELD V. COCA COLA BOTTLING COMPANY CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION'S TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE BAKERINK V. ORTHOPAEDIC ASSOCIATES CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION'S TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.20	33.00
CSW	L250	A103	ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
CSW	L250	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.45	74.25
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE VELEZ V. AWNING WINDOWS INC CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION'S TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK	0.45	74.25
CSW	L250	A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE PATERSON-LEITCH CO. V. MASS. MUN. WHOLESALE ELEC. CO CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION'S TO	0.10	16.50

AA3406

Page: 25 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

				Hours	
	CSW L250	A104	SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE RIVERA-TORRES ET AL V. REY-HERNANDEZ ET AL CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION'S TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE	0.10	16.50
	CSW L250	A104	MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE WILMAR POULTRY COMPANY V. MORTON-NORWICH PRODUCTS CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION'S TO	0.15	24.75
	CSW L250	A104	SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ORDER FROM COURT GRANTING IN PART DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE FROM CAMPAGNOLO SRL V. FULL SPEED AHEAD INC CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION'S TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.10	16.50
			TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
01/16/2019	DRG L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE SIERRA GLASS CONTRACT DOCUMENTATION, TO IDENTIFY SIERRA GLASS' SCOPE OF WORK, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.65	107.25
	DRG L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CC&R'S, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE DEFINITIONS AND TERMS PIECED TOGETHER IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.03	107.23
	CSW L250	A103	ADJUSTER)	0.35	57.75
	CW L320	A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE HOA'S DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN PRIOR LITIGATION RE: TO DETERMINE WHEN THE REPAIRS WERE PERFORMED TO THE WINDOWS AND WHICH ENTITY PERFORMED SAID REPAIRS IN PREPARATION TO DRAFT INTERROGATORIES, REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF	0.80	132.00
			0231	AA3407	

# CHUBB INSURANCE

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

Page: 26 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

					Hours	
	CW	L320	A104	DOCUMENTS AND A SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM. [AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II] REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVADA SECRETARY OF STATE DATABASE RE: TO OBTAIN THE REGISTERED AGENT INFORMATION FOR MC CONSULTANTS AND ANY ENTITIES ASSOCIATED WITH THEM IN PREPARATION TO DRAFT A SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM TO REQUEST ALL DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE REPAIR WORK PERFORMED ON THE WINDOWS. [AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME	0.80	76.00
	CW	L320	A103	CASE - TOWER II] DRAFT/REVISE SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM TO MC CONSULTANTS RE: TO REQUEST ALL DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THEIR REPAIR WORK ON THE WINDOWS. [AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME	0.15	14.25
	CW	L320	A103	CASE - TOWER II] DRAFT/REVISE CLIENTS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO THE HOA RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION PERTAINING TO THE COMPANY WHO PERFORMED THE REPAIR WORK TO THE WINDOWS AFTER THE PRIOR LITIGAITON ENDED. [AS PER CARRIER DIFFERINE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME	0.10	9.50
	CW	L320	A103	CASE - TOWER II] DRAFT/REVISE CLIENTS' FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO THE HOA RE: REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO THE COMPANY WHO PERFORMED THE REPAIR WORK TO THE WINDOWS AFTER THE PRIOR LITIGAITON ENDED. [AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II]	0.10	9.50 9.50
01/17/2019	JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (D 0073401-74755), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN		
	JBV	L320	A104	PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (D 0074756-75898), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN	0.65	61.75
	JBV	L320	A104	PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (D 0075899-76993), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN	0.55	52.25
	JBV	L320	A104	PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED.	0.60	57.00
				0232	AA3408	

Page: 27 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
CSW	L250	A103	RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED. DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO SEEK A RULE 56(F) CONTINUANCE (ALL	0.70	66.50
CSW	L120	A103	PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK	0.15	24.75
DRG	L250	A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND HEARING DEADLINE FOR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, IN PREPARATION FOR SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,	0.30	49.50
DRG	L250	A104	SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE LIEN RELEASE IN FAVOR OF TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS WINDOW MANUFACTURER, RE: IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING (APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE GORDON V MCKEE CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING (APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.10	16.50
DRG	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE TRAINING MANUAL PROVIDED BY EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, IN CONTRAST TO TRAINING MANUAL PROVISIONS PROVIDED BY THE ASSOCIATION'S EXPERT, OMAR HINDIYEH AS AN EXHIBIT TO HIS DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION, ANALYSIS OF PROVISION THAT SUPPORT OUR SIDE, RE: IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL GAYAN, ATTORNEY FOR ASSOCIATION IN SUPPORT OF ARGUMENT FOR NRCP 56 RELIEF, RE: IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.40	66.00
DRG	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE AFFIDAVIT OF OMAR HINDIYEH, ATTORNEY FOR ASSOCIATION IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION, RE: IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF	0.15	24.75

Page: 28 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

				0234	AA3410	
				AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PLANS REFLECTING INSTALLATION OF WINDOWS AS WELL AS POTENTIAL MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED.	0.45	42.75
	JBV	L320	A104	ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PLANS REFLECTING INSTALLATION OF WINDOWS AS WELL AS POTENTIAL MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (PLANS: D 0019752-19859), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS	0.55	52.25
	JBV	L320	A104	ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PLANS REFLECTING INSTALLATION OF WINDOWS AS WELL AS POTENTIAL MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (PLANS: D 0019635-19751), RE: DETERMINING IF	0.60	57.00
	JBV	L320	A104	WITHIN DRAWS AND LIEN RELEASE DOCUMENTATION DISCS), RE: COMPILING DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS FOUND DURING PREVIOUS SEARCH, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (PLANS: D 0019508-19634), RE: DETERMINING IF	0.55	52.25
	JBV	L320	A104	LITIGATION (TOWER I-PREVIOUSLY REVIEWED MATERIALS WITHIN DRAWS AND LIEN RELEASE DOCUMENTATION DISCS), RE: COMPILING DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PURCHASE ORDERS, ACCOUNTING RECORDS, PAYMENT DOCUMENTATION AND ANYTHING RELATING TO MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS FOUND DURING PREVIOUS SEARCH, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED.  REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (TOWER II-PREVIOUSLY REVIEWED MATERIALS	0.40	38.00
01/18/2019	JBV	L320	A104	DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR	0.40	66.00
	DRG	L250	A103	PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR	0.20	33.00
	DRG	L250	A104	MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING (APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SAKA V. SAHARA NEVADA CORP CASE, AS CITED TO IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, IN	Hours 0.30	49.50

Page: 29 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM PRIOR LITIGATION (PLANS: D 0019860-19949), RE: DETERMINING IF ANY DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS AND SIERRA GLASS SUCH AS PLANS REFLECTING INSTALLATION OF WINDOWS AS WELL AS POTENTIAL MANUFACTURING OF THE WINDOWS, IN PREPARATION FOR		
CSW	L250	A104	PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED. REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DEFENDANT LAND WEST BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) FROM BYRNE V. SUNRIDGE HEIGHTS CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.40	38.00
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 11.2055, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.45	74.25
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 40.695, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE JAMES HUNTER & CO V. TRUCKEE LODGE CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE HOWARD V. WAALE-CAMPLAN & TIBERTI INC CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE BLDG & CONSTRUCTION TRADES V. PUBLIC WORKS CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE BLDG & CONSTRUCTION TRADES V. PUBLIC WORKS CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	0.15	24.75
				A A 2 / 1 1	

Page: 30 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
			REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.65	107.25
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT LAND WEST BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) FROM BYRNE V. SUNRIDGE HEIGHTS CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT. (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE DR HORTON INC V. EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE BARRETT V. EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE US HOME CORP V. PARKER-HANSEN CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE LOPEZ V. US HOME CORP, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE G & H ASSOCIATES V. ERNEST W. HAHN INC CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.15	24.75
DRG	L340	A108	ADJUSTER) 235COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH TRAILER MARTIN, STRUCTURAL EXPERT, RE:  (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.10	16.50
DRG	L340	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE EXPERT, TRAILER MARTIN'S, RESUME AND CREDENTIALS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING A DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF OUR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II	0.10	16.50

CHUBB INSURANCE Ja

Page: 31 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

			CASE PER ADJUSTER)	Hours 0.20	33.00
DRG	L340	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF OUR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT		
DRG	L340	A103	WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, TRAILER MARTIN, RE:	0.20	33.00
DRG	L340	A104	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) ( (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, TRAILER MARTIN, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A103	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) ( (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF OUR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A103	ON 1-24-19) ( (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, TRAILER MARTIN, RE:	0.10	16.50
DRG	L340	A103	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) ( (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE FOLLOW UP CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, TRAILER MARTIN, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE PROJECT FILES AND PLANS, RE: TO LOCATE PLANS FOR THE UNIT 300, WHICH UNDERWENT REPAIRS, IN ORDER TO SEND TO STRUCTURAL EXPERT, TRAILER MARTIN, SO HE CAN EVALUATE WHAT CONSTITUTES STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A103	BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	0.55	90.75
DRG	L340	Δ10/	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, RE:	0.15	24.75
DKG	L34U	A104	IDENTIFICATION OF PHOTOS TO SUBMIT TO EXPERT, TRAILER MARTIN, TO AID HIS ANALYSIS OF STRUCTURAL VERSUS NON-STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS OF BUILDING IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II		
DRG	L340	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE DECLARATION FROM ASSOCIATION'S	0.10	16.50
				ΔΔ3413	

Page: 32 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No: 1267-5511V

				Hours	
			EXPERT, OMAR HINDIYEH, TO IDENTIFY PERTINENT INFORMATION THEREIN TO SUBMIT TO OUT EXPERT, TRAILER MARTIN, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING		
	DRG L340	A103	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE FOLLOW UP CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, TRAILER MARTIN, RE:	0.10	16.50
	DRG L340	A104	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) AAMA GLOSSARY TO EVALUATE DEFINITIONS OF WINDOW UNITS, PAN FLASHINGS AND OTHER ITEMS INCLUDED IN CLOSSARY, IN ORDER TO	0.10	16.50
			OTHER ITEMS INCLUDED IN GLOSSARY, IN ORDER TO RESPOND TO ARGUMENTS PRESENTED BY THE ASSOCIATION IN ITS OPPOSITION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19)	0.00	149.50
	DRG L340	A108	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.90	148.50
	DRG L250	A103	ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) AFFIDAVIT OF SIMON LOADSMAN IN SUPPORT OF REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON	0.60	99.00
	DRG L250	A103	1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE: (MOTION	0.25	41.25
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
01/19/2019	CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NRCP 56(E), IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
	CSW L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE S. HIGHLANDS COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION V. SAN FLORENTINE AVENUE TR CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II	0.05	8.25
	CSW L250	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ALBIOS HORIZON COMMUNITIES INC CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE	0.15	24.75
	CSW L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DYKEMA V. DEL WEBB COMMUNITIES INC CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	0.15	24.75
	CSW L250	A104	REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ALSENZ V. TWIN LAKES VILL INC CASE, IN	0.05	8.25
	22 2200			A A 2/11/	

Page: 33 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

				Hours	
			ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE STATE FARM V. ALL ELECTRIC INC CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE	0.40	40.50
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE EXHIBITS A, B, AND E FROM PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT LAND WEST BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) FROM BYRNE V. SUNRIDGE HEIGHTS CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (SPLIT WITH	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DEFENDANT LAND WEST BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) FROM BYRNE V. SUNRIDGE HEIGHTS CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER' INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.20	33.00
CSW	1.250	A 102	ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE	0.35	57.75
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) CC&RS OF THE PANORAMA TOWERS, AKA DECLARATION, RE: ANALYSIS OF PROVISIONS UTILIZED BY ASSOCIATION IN OPPOSITION, TO EVALUATE BASIS FOR THEIR INCLUSION AND ARGUMENTS AGAINST THEIR USE OR MANNER OF USE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.05	407.05
DRG	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVADA TREATISES, PRACTICE GUIDES AND NRCP 56, RE: COURT'S ABILITY TO EVALUATE CREDIBILITY OF EXPERT WITNESS AFFIDAVITS IN A MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, TO ATTACK ARGUMENTS PRESENTED BY THE ASSOCIATION IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING, IN	0.65	107.25
DRG	L250	A104	PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING A REPLY IN SUPPORT REVIEW/ANALYZE HIDDEN WELLS RANCH V. STRIP REALTY CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.45	74.25
DRG	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE WOOD V SAFETY INC. CASE, AS CITED IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, TO COUNTER ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II	0.15	24.75
DRG	L250	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE BULBMAN V. NEVADA BELL CASE, AS CITED IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, TO COUNTER ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN	0.30	49.50

Page: 34 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No: 1267-5511V

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

				Hours	
			SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
01/20/2019	DRG L	L340 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE: RESPONSE TO INQUIRY REGARDING PLANS AND STRUCTURALS TO SUBMIT TO EXPERT, TRAILER		
	DRG L	L340 A103	MARTIN (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE: CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT OF RESPONSE TO INQUIRY REGARDING PLANS AND STRUCTURALS TO SUBMIT	0.05	8.25
	DBC I	1250 4104	TO EXPERT, TRAILER MARTIN (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
	DRG L	L250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE BORGERSON V. SCANLON CASE, AS CITED IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, TO COUNTER ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19)		
	DRG L	L250 A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) HIDDEN WELLS RANCH V. STRIP REALTY CASE, AS CITED IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, TO COUNTER ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY	0.20	33.00
	DRG L	L250 A104	ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE COLLINS V. UNION FEDERAL SAVINGS &	0.10	16.50
			LOAN CASE, AS CITED IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, TO COUNTER ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
	DRG L	L250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE ADAMSON V. BOWKER, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.13	24.73
	DRG L	L250 A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE WINN V. SUNRISE HOSPITAL & MEDICAL CENTER CASE, AS CITED IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, TO COUNTER ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF	0.20	33.00
	DRG L	L250 A104	ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ALVARADO V. MCCOY CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF	0.15	24.75
			MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
	DRG L	L250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) BALDONADO V. WYNN LAS VEGAS LLC CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19)		
	DRG L	L250 A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE OVERVIEW OF NEVADA AND FOREIGN CASE LAW REGARDING PROPRIETY OF MOTIONS FOR	0.10	16.50
			22.42	AA3416	

Statement No:

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

				Hours	
			DECLARATORY RELIEF OVER SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION	ricule	
DRG	L250	A103	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING	0.70	115.50
DRG	L250	A104	(APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE BALIOTIS V. CLARK COUNTY CASE, IN	0.30	49.50
			PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II		
DRG	L250	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SATCHELL V. FED EX EXPRESS CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION	0.15	24.75
DRG	L250	A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE OVERVIEW OF RECENT NEVADA CASE LAW	0.15	24.75
			REGARDING FAILURE TO OPPOSE ARGUMENTS IS DEEMED AN ADMISSION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19)		
DRG	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) AAMA GLOSSARY TO EVALUATE DEFINITIONS OF WINDOW UNITS, PAN FLASHINGS AND OTHER ITEMS INCLUDED IN GLOSSARY, IN ORDER TO	0.20	33.00
			RESPOND TO ARGUMENTS PRESENTED BY THE ASSOCIATION IN ITS OPPOSITION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON		
DRG	L250	A104	STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE POSADAS V CITY OF RENO CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF	0.35	57.75
			MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE SARTOR V. ARKANSAS GAS CORP. CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II		
DRG	L250	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE HENRY PRODUCTS INC. V. TARMU CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF	0.20	33.00
DRG	L250	A104	MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE HARVIS V. HUGHES ESTATE CASE, IN	0.15	24.75
2,10		71101	PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II		
DRG	L250	A103	CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING	0.15	24.75

Page: 36 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No: 1267-5511V

					Hours	
				(APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
01/21/2019	PCB	L240	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE LATEST DOCUMENTS AND AFFIDAVITS BEING PREPARED TO SUPPPORT THE REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AS TO STANDING, IN ORDER TO MAKE SURE THE DOCUMENTS AND AFFIDAVITS PROPERLY SUPPPORT THE ARGUMENTS THAT ARE BEING MADE IN THE REPLY BRIEF (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE -		
	JBV	L320	A104	TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: STIPULATION AND ORDER TO RESET HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR ENSURING ATTORNEY HAS ALL NECESSARY DOCUMENTATION PRIOR TO ATTENDING ON	0.25	46.25
	JBV	L320	A104	CLIENT'S BEHALF. REVIEW/ANALYZE SPECIAL MASTER ORDER HOLDING CASE AGENDA IN ABEYANCE, RE: DETERMINING WHEN DISCOVERY DEADLINES WILL UPTAKE, IN PREPARATION FOR ENSURING	0.05	4.75
	CSW	L250	A104	CLIENT IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH ALL REQUIREMENTS. REVIEW/ANALYZE GOLDEN RD. MOTOR INN, INC. V. ISLAM CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER' INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.05	4.75
	CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE MATTATALL V. TRANSDERMAL CORP CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER' INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.20	33.00 33.00
	CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE HILTON HOTELS V. BUTCH LEWIS PRODUCTIONS CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER' INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	24.75
	CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE ROAD & HIGHWAY BUILDERS CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER' INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE		
	CSW	L250	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION TO HOA'S COUNTERMOTION TO EXCLUDE BUILDER' INADMISSIBLE PAROL EVIDENCE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.15	24.75
	CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE WISE V. BECHTEL CORP CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (SPLIT WITH	0.40	66.00
	CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVADA POWER V. METROPOLITAN DEV CO CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF	0.15	24.75
	CSW	L250	A104	REPOSE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE LOTTER V. CLARK CO. BD OF COMMISSIONERS CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II	0.10	16.50
					Δ Δ 3 Δ 1 8	

Page: 37 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No: 1287-3311V

				Hours	
CSW	L250	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE TAHOE VILLAGE HOMEOWNERS V. DOUGLAS CO CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT LAND WEST BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) FROM BYRNE V. SUNRIDGE HEIGHTS CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SB 105, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II	0.30	49.50
CSW	L250	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) PANORAMA TOWER CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:	0.10	16.50
DRG	L340	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE: (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE THIRD FLOOR PLAN OF TOWER I, COMPARED THAT WITH PLANS IN CASE FILE FROM TOWER I, TO VERIFY WHICH PLANS CORRESPONDED WITH UNIT 300, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS OF UNIT 300	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A108	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:	0.40	66.00
DRG	L340	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE: (SPLIT WITH	0.15	24.75
DRG	L340	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE: (SPLIT WITH TOWER II	0.05	8.25
			00.42	A A 3419	

Page: 38 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

DRG	L340	A103	CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE	Hours 0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A104	ROBBINS, RE:  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, MKA,	0.05	8.25
20		, , , ,	RE:		
DRG	L340	A103	PLIT WITH TOWER II CASÉ PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MKA, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, MKA, RE:	0.05	8.25
DDC	L340	A404	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
	L340		REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, TRAILER MARTIN, RE:  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH	0.05	8.25
DKG	L340	A100	EXPERT, TRAILER MARTIN, RE:  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
DRG	L340	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE AFFIDAVIT OF OMAR HINDIYEH, RE: ARGUMENTS REGARDING STRUCTURAL ASPECTS OF BUILDING TO EVALUATE HIS BASIS FOR ARGUING THAT WATER INTRUSION IS CAUSING DAMAGE TO EXTERIOR WALLS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING AFFIDAVIT FOR TRAILER MARTIN, OUR STRUCTURAL EXPERT, IN REBUTTAL	0.15	24.75
DRG	L340	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE ASSOCIATION'S ARGUMENTS IN RECENT OPPOSITION VERSUS AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE AND EXHIBITS, TO MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING, RE: ARGUMENTS REGARDING STRUCTURAL ASPECTS OF BUILDING IN COMPARISON TO ARGUMENTS PRESENTED BY EXPERT REGARDING BASIS FOR ARGUING THAT WATER INTRUSION IS CAUSING DAMAGE TO EXTERIOR WALLS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING AFFIDAVIT FOR TRAILER MARTIN, OUR STRUCTURAL EXPERT, IN REBUTTAL	0.15	24.75
			(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH	A A 2 / 2 O	

Page: 39 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

DRG	L340	Δ103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, TRAILER	Hours 0.30	49.50
DINO	L040	71100	MARTIN, RE:		
DRG	L340	A103	DRAFT/REVISE SUPPLEMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, TRAILER MARTIN, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE IDENTIFIED LOCATIONS OF STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS OF THE BUILDING, TO SUBMIT TO STRUCTURAL	0.05	8.25
			EXPERT, IN SUPPORT OF HIS AFFIDAVIT TO SUPPORT ARGUMENTS REGARDING STRUCTURAL VERSUS NON-STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS OF BUILDING (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A103	DRAFT/REVISE AFFIDAVIT OF TRAILER MARTIN, STRUCTURAL EXPERT, RE:		
DRG	L340	A103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, TRAILER	0.30	49.50
			MARTIN, RE:  (SPLIT WITH		
DRG	L340	A108	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:	0.05	8.25
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.05	44.05
DRG	L340	A103	ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) AFFIDAVIT FROM EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:	0.25	41.25
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.25	41.25
DRG	L340	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) CORRESPONDENCE TO SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:  (SPLIT WITH		
DRG	L340	A108	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, TRAILER MARTIN, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE HOTEL RIVIERA V. SHORT CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF	0.05	8.25
DBC	L250	۸104	MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SATCHELL V. FED EX EXPRESS CASE, IN	0.30	49.50
מאט	LZJU	A 104	PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II		
			CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
			0245	AA3421	

# CHUBB INSURANCE

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

Page: 40 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

					Hours	
	DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE HALLMARK V. ELDRIDGE CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE DEPLAD JUSTER)	0.20	33.00
	DRG	L250	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE GALARDI V. NAPLES POLARIS, CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II		
	DRG	L250	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE RINGLE V. BRUTON, CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II	0.15	24.75
	DRG	L250	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE EXEC. MGMT. V. TICOR TITLE INS. CO. CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.20	33.00
	DRG	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE KALDI V. FARMERS INS. EXCHANGE CASE IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II	0.20	33.00
	DRG	L250	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE COHEN-BREEN V. GRAY TV GROUP, INC. EXCHANGE CASE IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19)	0.30	49.50
	DRG	L250	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING (APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.35 0.65	57.75 107.25
01/22/2019	JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE EXHIBITS I, J, N, O AND P TO CLIENT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING AND OPPOSITIONS TO DEFENDANT'S COUNTER-MOTIONS TO EXCLUDE INADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE AND FOR RULE 56(F) RELIEF, RE: ENSURING EACH EXHIBIT IS MARKED ACCORDINGLY WITH BATES LABELS PURSUANT TO E.D.C.R. 2.27, IN PREPARATION FOR ENCLOSING WITH		
	JBV	L320	A104	CLIENT'S REPLY, AS REQUESTED BY ATTORNEY. REVIEW/ANALYZE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM SHELLY ROBBINS OF MADSEN, KNEPPERS & ASSOCIATES, RE: OBTAINING PLANS FROM PROJECT, IN PREPARATION FOR	0.20	19.00
	JBV	L320	A104	PROVIDING ATTORNEY FOR ANALYSIS. REVIEW/ANALYZE PLANS RECEIVED FROM MADSEN, KNEPPERS & ASSOCIATES, RE:	0.05	4.75
	PCB	L240	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, AS WELL AS	0.20	19.00
				0246	AA3422	

### CHUBB INSURANCE

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

Page: 41 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

				Hours	
			OPPOSITIONS TO THE HOA'S COUNTER-MOTIONS RE:		
			ADDITIONAL ARGUMENTS DEALING WITH THE HOA'S		
			RELIANCE ON AN AFFIDAVIT FROM AN EXPERT THAT		
			DISREGARDS SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF THE DECLARATION		
			FROM THE CC&RS, THE PROBLEMS WITH THE HOA'S		
			ATTEMPTS TO CLAIM THAT THE WINDOW ISSUES SOMEHOW		
			IMPACT THE STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY OF THE BUILDINGS,		
			THE LACK OF ANY REAL EXPLANATION AS TO WHAT		
			ADDITONAL DISCOVERY IS NEEDED BY THE HOA (ONE OF THE HOA'S COUNTER-MOTIONS), AND THE REASON WHY THE		
			PAROL EVIDENCE RULE DOES NOT APPLY BECAUSE THE		
			BUILDERS ARE NOT ATTEMPTING TO RE-WRITE THE		
			DECLARATION (THE OTHER COUNTER-MOTION BY THE		
			HOA)(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER	0.05	457.05
00144	1.050	A 4 O 4	FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.85	157.25
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE PLAINTIFFS LAURENT HALLIER STATEMENT		
			OF WORK, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE	0.40	40.50
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) AB 125 AS IT RELATES TO		
			STATUTE OF REPOSE FOR ASSOCIATION'S TIMELINESS OF		
			CLAIMS AGAINST CLIENT BUILDERS, IN PREPARATION FOR		
			DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING		
			STATUTE OF REPOSE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
			ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) CHAPTER 40 NOTICE FROM		
			ASSOCIATION, RE: VALIDITY OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO NRCP		
			SECTIONS AND AB 125, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING		
			MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF		
			REPOSE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVADA COURT ODYSSEY WEBSITE, IN		
			ORDER TO VERIFY TIMELINE OF IMPORTANT PLEADINGS, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
			JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (SPLIT WITH		
			TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	,		
			ASSOCIATION, IN ORDER TO VERIFY AND REFERENCE		
			ASSERTIONS MADE AGAINST ASSOCIATION RELATED TO		
			VIOLATIONS OF STATUTORY REPOSE PERIOD AND NRCP		
			SECTIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.35	57.75
CSW	L250	A104			
			DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING		
			STATUTE OF REPOSE (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
			ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE		
			(ADLIT MITH TAMED II A CATALANT III AND III A CATALANT III A CATAL	<b>~</b> /=	<b>_</b>
0011	1.050	4400	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.45	74.25
CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE		

Page: 42 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No: 16

				Hours	
DDO	1.050	4400	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.55	90.75
DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING		
			(APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.85	140.25
DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING		
			(APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.80	132.00
DRG	L340	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:	5.55	.02.00
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
DRG	L340	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, MKA,	0.10	10.50
			RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE		
			. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II		
DRG	L340	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE	0.05	8.25
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II	0.15	24.75
DRG	L340	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE OMAR HINDIYEH'S AFFIDAVIT IN	0.13	24.73
			CONJUNCTION WITH AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYSIS OF COST ESTIMATES FOR TESTING AND		
			INSPECTIONS ON WINDOWS, COMPARED WITH FIGURES PROVIDED IN THE ASSOCIATION'S EXPERT'S AFFIDAVIT.		
DRG	L340	A103	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.10	16.50
			ARCHITECTURAL EXPERT, RE:		
DRG	L120	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE PLANS FOR TOWER I, UNIT 300 AND FLOOR	0.30	49.50
			PLAN, RE: ANALYSIS OF PLAN TO IDENTIFY MOST APPROPRIATE FLOOR PLAN FOR UNIT 300 GIVEN THE		
			ARGUMENTS WE WANT TO MAKE IN THE CASE, TO SUBMIT TO EXPERT, MKA, FOR AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THOSE		
DDC	1 240	A 4 0 2	ARGUMENTS (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
DRG	L340	A103	ATTACHED EXHIBITS, ARCHITECT WITH MKA WHO WAS		
			PRESENT DURING PRIOR UNIT 300 REPAIRS, RE:		
DRG	L340	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MKA, RE:	0.35	57.75
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
			0248	AA3424	

Page: 43 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No: 16

			0249	AA3425	
DRG	L340	A103	ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO ASHLEY ALLARD AND	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTED AFFIDAVIT OF MKA, ASHLEY ALLARD, RE: (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, MKA, ASHLEY ALLARD, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, MKA, ASHLEY ALLARD, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO ASHLEY ALLARD,  (SPLIT WITH	0.05	9.25
DDO	1040	4.400	UNIT 300 REPAIRS, RE: CHANGES TO ATTESTATION TO UNIT 300 PLAN, TO SUPPORT ARGUMENTS BY STRUCTURAL EXPERT THAT ITEMS WHERE RUST WAS NOTED ARE NOT STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS OF THE BUILDING (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) AFFIDAVIT OF ASHLEY ALLARD, ARCHITECT WITH MKA WHO WAS PRESENT DURING PRIOR	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A108	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH ASHLEY ALLARD, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A104	LOADSMAN, RE:  . (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTED AFFIDAVIT OF SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, SIMON	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MKA, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L340	A103	PART OF APERTURES (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
DRG	L340	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SIMON LOADSMAN AND ARGUMENTS AS TO WHY FLASHINGS FORM	Hours	

Page: 44 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

					Hours	
				MICHELLE ROBBINS OF MKA, RE:  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE)	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L340	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE: (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.00	3.23
				ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
01/23/2019	JBV	L140	A103	DRAFT/REVISE APPEAL RESPONSES TO DEDUCTIONS OF BILLING RECEIVED BY ADJUSTER, RE: NON-COMPLIANCE WITH PARALEGAL DUTIES AND PROVIDING REASONING FOR EACH TASK PERFORMED AS THEY WERE NOT APPROVED BY ADJUSTER.	0.40	n/c
	CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) BUILDER'S COMPLAINT AGAINST ASSOCIATION, IN ORDER TO VERIFY AND REFERENCE ASSERTIONS MADE AGAINST ASSOCIATION RELATED TO VIOLATIONS OF STATUTORY REPOSE PERIOD AND NRCP SECTIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-2-19) (SPLIT		
	CSW	L250	A103	WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-2-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.20	33.00
	CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT LAND WEST BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) FROM BYRNE V. SUNRIDGE HEIGHTS CASE, IN ORDER TO CRAFT LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-2-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.45	74.25
	CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE LOYAL ORDER OF MOOSE LODGE 1785 V. CAVANESS CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-2-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.20	33.00
	CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE REED V. REED CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON	0.10	16.50
	CSW	L250	A103	1-2-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE	0.10	16.50
	CSW	L250	A103	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-2-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-2-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.40	66.00
				ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50

Page: 45 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

					Hours	
01/24/2019	JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE FROM CLIENT'S EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS OF MADSEN, KNEPPERS & ASSOCIATES, RE:		
	CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE	0.05	4.75
	CSW	L250	A103	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE	0.60	99.00
	CSW	L250	A103	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION	0.30	49.50
	CSW	L250	A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) COMPLAINT BY BUILDERS AGAINST ASSOCIATION, IN PREPARATION FOR LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	0.80	132.00
	CSW	L250	A104	REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) CHAPTER 40 NOTICE BY THE ASSOCIATION, IN PREPARATION FOR LEGAL ARGUMENTS	0.15	24.75
	CSW	L250	A104	FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) CHAPTER 40 NOTICE EXHIBIT REPORT BY GREGORY FEHR AND CORRESPONDING REFERENCED DOCUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR LEGAL	0.10	16.50
	CSW	L250	A104	ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) NEVADA COURT ODYSSEY WEBSITE, RE: PROCEDURAL HISTORY OF CLAIMS AND COUNTERCLAIMS BETWEEN THE PARTIES. IN PREPARATION	0.20	33.00
	CSW	L250	A104	FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) ASSOCIATION'S COUNTERCLAIM MOTION TO ITS MARCH 2017 ANSWER, RE: ALLEGATIONS	0.10	16.50
	CSW	L250	A103	AGAINST BUILDERS, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE	0.15	24.75
				(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
				0251	AA3427	

Page: 46 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

	00W 10F0	A 4 O 4		Hours	
	CSW L250		REVIEW/ANALYZE JUDGE SCOTTIE ORDER IN BYRNE V SUNRIDGE BUILDERS CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE JUDGE JOHNSON ORDER, INCLUDED IN REPLY BRIEF FROM BYRNE V SUNRIDGE MATTER, TO EVALUATE IMPACT OF AB 125 REPOSE PERIOD ON TOLLING OF CLAIMS,IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE	0.30	49.50
	CSW L250	A104	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF	0.30	49.50
	CSW L250	A104	REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF BY SUNRIDGE BUILDERS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, RE: LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE	0.10	16.50
			(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
01/25/2019	DRG L250	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (BEGIN) STRATEGY FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE ISSUE, RE:		
	DRG L250	A101	. (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE ISSUE, RE:	0.90	148.50
	CSW L250	A103	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE	0.05	8.25
	CSW L250	A104	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON ORDER, INCLUDED IN REPLY BRIEF FROM BYRNE V SUNRIDGE MATTER, TO EVALUATE IMPACT OF AB 125 REPOSE PERIOD	0.30	49.50
			0.0.7.0	AA3428	

Statement No:

				Hours	
	CSW L25	0 A103	ON TOLLING OF CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE	0.15	24.75
			(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.35	57.75
	CSW L25	0 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE SCOTTY ORDER, INCLUDED IN REPLY BRIEF FROM BYRNE V SUNRIDGE MATTER, TO EVALUATE IMPACT OF AB 125 REPOSE PERIOD ON TOLLING OF CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
01/26/2019	DRG L25	Λ Δ101	ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION	0.15	24.75
01/20/2013	DIVO 123	o Alui	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE ISSUE, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH		
	DRG L25	0 A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND ATTACHED EXHIBITS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF SAME (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.85	140.25
	DRG L25	0 A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) EDCR PROVISIONS 2.24 AND 1.14, RE: ARGUMENTS ON SAME PRESENTED IN OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO FORMULATE ARGUMENTS IN RESPONSE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING A REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION	0.80	132.00
	DRG L25	0 A108	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:  MOTION APPROVED BY	0.20	33.00
			ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
	DRG L25	0 A103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE: (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II		
	DRG L25	0 A103	CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT  OF REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION	0.05	8.25
			(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.55	90.75

Page: 48 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

01/27/2019   CSW						Hours	
1-24-19  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)   0.45   74.25	01/27/2019	CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE		
1-24-19  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)   0.45   74.25							
1-24-19  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)   0.45   74.25							
1-24-19  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)   0.45   74.25							
CSW   L250					(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON		
TO MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR PARFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDIGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILKYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) CASE ANALYSIS  [(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILKYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRAFT/REVISE (COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:  ((MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILKYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A108 COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:  ((MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILKYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE NOTES  ((MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE NOTES  ((MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE NOTES  ((MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/MANLYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COUNT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILLING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDICMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILKYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/MANLYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COUNT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILLING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDICMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILKYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/MANLYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDICMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER) BLUSTER) 0.20 33.00					1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.45	74.25
FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT   REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)   0.15   24.75		CSW	L250	A104			
REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) CASE ANALYSIS  [(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.30 49.50  01/28/2019 DRG L340 A108 COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A108 COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE NOTES  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEWMANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASE STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWMANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWMANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.05 57.75					•		
ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  O.15 24.75  CSW L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) CASE ANALYSIS  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A108 COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE NOTES  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE NOTES  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE NOTES  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE NOTES  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTERS SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  O.35 57.75							
TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER  0.15							
CSW   L250   A103   DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) CASE ANALYSIS					, ,	0.15	24 75
MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN		CSW	L250	A103		0.10	20
DRG							
DRG							
ADJUSTER    ADJU							
DRG					, ,		
EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A108 COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE NOTES  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER) 0.20 33.00					ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  ORG L340 A108 COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  ORG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE NOTES  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  ORG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER) 0.35 57.75 (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER) 0.20 33.00	01/28/2010	DRG	1340	Λ 1 N Q	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH		
CMOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19)	01/20/2013	DING	L0 <del>+</del> 0	A100			
ORG L340 A108 COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  ORG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE NOTES  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  ORG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  ORG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  ORG SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  ORG SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  ORG SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  ORG SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  ORG SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  ORG SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  ORG SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PE					Ext Ett, omore Establish ut, ite.		
DRG L340 A108 COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE NOTES  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHETING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHETINY BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  O.40 66.00  0.40 66.00  0.41 66.00  0.41 66.00  0.41 66.00  0.42 66.00  0.41 66.00  0.42 66.00  0.44 66.00  0.45 66.00  0.45 66.00  0.45 66.00  0.46 0.45 66.00  0.47 5  0.					(MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19)		
EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE NOTES  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER STATUTE OF REPOSE						0.35	57.75
(MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.35 57.75		DRG	L340	A108			
(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)					EXPERT, SIMON LOADSMAN, RE:		
(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)					(MOTION ADDROVED BY SHEDII VN RDVDON ON 1.24.10)		
DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE NOTES  [MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.15 24.75  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  [MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.05 8.25  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.35 57.75  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.20 33.00						0.40	66.00
(MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.05 8.25 0.		DRG	L340	A103	,	0.40	00.00
DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.05 8.25  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.20 33.00							
DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.05 8.25  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.20 33.00							
DRG L340 A103 DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE I INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.20 33.00							
ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.20 33.00		550	1040			0.15	24.75
(MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.20 33.00		DRG	L340	A103			
SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.20 33.00							
DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.20 33.00							
DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF PRIOR EIGHTH JUDICIAL COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.20 33.00						0.05	8.25
COMMENCEMENT OF A LAWSUIT MEANS FILING A COMPLAINT AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.20 33.00		DRG	L250	A104	,		
AS OPPOSED TO SERVING CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.20 33.00					COURT CASES STANDING FOR THE PROPOSITION THAT		
OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.20 33.00							
REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.35 57.75  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.35 57.75							
1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.35 57.75  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.20 33.00							
CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY V. FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.20 33.00					· ·	0.35	57 75
FURGERSON CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.20 33.00		CSW	L250	A104		0.00	01.10
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.20 33.00							
1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.20 33.00							
CSW L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE		0011	1.050	A 400		0.20	33.00
		CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE		

Statement No:

				Hours	
	CSW L250	A103	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.40	66.00 66.00
			TOWER II GROET ERVIDUOGTER)	0.40	00.00
01/29/2019	PCB L240	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARINGS ON THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE SECOND MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND THE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF RE:		
			AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME	0.00	111.00
	JWS L230	A101	SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (LIMITED SCOPE) STRATEGY RE:	0.60	111.00
			ORAL ARGUMENTS AS TO PENDING MOTIONS/HOA CLAIMS,		
			INCLUDING EXHIBITS FOR PRESENTATION TO JUDGE. PER ADJUSTER REQUEST, TIME TO BE SPLIT WITH TOWER TWO		
			CASE.	0.20	37.00
	CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO V		
			ZENITH RADIO CORP US SUPREME COURT CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
			JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19)	0.10	16.50
	CSW L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CELOTEX CORP V. CATRETT US SUPREME	0.10	10.50
			COURT CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE		
			(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
	CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE ANDERSON V. LIBERTY LOBBY INC US		•
			SUPREME COURT CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING		
			MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN		
			BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
	0014/ 1.050	4404	ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
	CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE TAHOE VILLAGE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION V. DOUGLAS COUNTY NEVADA CASE, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
			JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
	CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVADA LAKESHORE COMPANY VS.	0.13	24.1J
			DIAMOND ELECTRIC INC NEVADA CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR		
			DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING		
			STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	A A 2 4 2 1	

Page: 50 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

CSW   L250					Hours	
CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)		00111 1050		PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW   L250		CSW L250	A104	CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON	0.10	16.50
CSW   L250		CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE AB 125, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
CSW   L250		CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	0.15	24.75
CSW   L250   A103   DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE		CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 40.695, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	0.15	24.75
CSW   L250		CSW L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE  (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN	0.15	24.75
PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE CLARK COUNTY WEBSITE SEARCH FOR NOTICES OF COMPLETION/CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY DOCUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS, RE: ANALYSIS OF THEIR ADMISSIONS AND EXHIBITS TO ASSESS THEIR ANTICIPATED ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.20 33.00 CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE OLSON V. RICHARD NEVADA CASE, IN		CSW L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19)		
CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE CLARK COUNTY WEBSITE SEARCH FOR NOTICES OF COMPLETION/CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY DOCUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS, RE: ANALYSIS OF THEIR ADMISSIONS AND EXHIBITS TO ASSESS THEIR ANTICIPATED ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE OLSON V. RICHARD NEVADA CASE, IN	01/30/2019	CSW L250	A104	PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON	0.10	16 50
CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS, RE: ANALYSIS OF THEIR ADMISSIONS AND EXHIBITS TO ASSESS THEIR ANTICIPATED ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE OLSON V. RICHARD NEVADA CASE, IN		CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CLARK COUNTY WEBSITE SEARCH FOR NOTICES OF COMPLETION/CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY DOCUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY	0.10	10.00
CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE OLSON V. RICHARD NEVADA CASE, IN		CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS, RE: ANALYSIS OF THEIR ADMISSIONS AND EXHIBITS TO ASSESS THEIR ANTICIPATED ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON		
		CSW L250	A104		A A 3432	

Page: 51 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
			PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
			MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE		
CSW	L250	۸103	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY	0.15	24.75
OOW	LZJU	A100	JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH		
DRG	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING	0.80	132.00
			REPLY IN SUPPORT THEREOF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.35	57.75
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) ASSOCIATION'S EXPERT'S AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS AND CONTENTIONS MADE THEREIN IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT THEREOF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY	0.00	00
DRG	L250	A104	ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ARNOLD V. KIP CASE, CITED TO IN THE	0.20	33.00
			ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT THEREOF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
DRG	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE EDWARD ACHREM CASE, CITED TO IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT THEREOF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND	0.30	49.50
DRG	L250	A104	FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE DR HORTON V EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CASE, CITED TO IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, IN PREPARATION	0.40	66.00
			FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT THEREOF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		

Statement No:

		Hours	
DRG L250 A104	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYSIS OF COURT'S REASONING WITH RESPECT TO PRIOR CASE LAW, STATUTES, CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT	0.60	99.00
DRG L340 A103	OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:  (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK	0.40	66.00
DRG L340 A104	AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG L340 A108	(ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG L250 A103	(ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE NOTES AND IMPRESSIONS FROM PRIOR DISCUSSION WITH EXPERT SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	0.30	49.50
DRG L250 A104	(ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF THE ASSOCIATION'S ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO EVALUATE SCOPE OF DEFECTS, TO MAKE THE ARGUMENT THAT THE SCOPE WAS LIMITED TO WINDOW-RELATED CONDITIONS, NOT	0.20	33.00
DRG L250 A104	EIFS-RELATED CONDITIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYSIS OF THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO EVALUATE SCOPE OF DEFECTS, TO MAKE THE ARGUMENT THAT THE SCOPE WAS LIMITED TO WINDOW-RELATED CONDITIONS, NOT EIFS-RELATED CONDITIONS, AND TO UTILIZE PHOTOS,	0.20	33.00
	SHOP DRAWINGS AND DETAILS TO ARGUE THAT HEAD FLASHINGS WERE NOT REQUIRED AND THE ASSOCIATION'S	Δ Δ 3.43.4	

16

CHUBB INSURANCE

Page: 53 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

					Hours	
				ARGUMENTS IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION ARE DISINGENUOUS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN		
	DRG	L250	A104	BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ANALYZED AND DEVELOPED PROJECT PLANS FOR USE, TOWER PACKAGE PLANS OF WALL DETAILS,	0.40	66.00
				HEAD DETAILS, EIFS AND WINDOW DETAILS, TO ARGUE THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S ARGUMENTS IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION ARE DISINGENUOUS BECAUSE THEY ARE BASED ON INACCURATE DIAGRAMS OF	0.25	E7 7E
	JWS	L230	A101	THE WINDOW SYSTEMS AT THE PROJECT. PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (BEGIN) FEBRUARY 12, 2019 ORAL ARGUMENTS, INITIAL OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND IDENTIFCATION OF DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBITS FOR REVIEW BY COURT. MOTION WORK PRE-APPROVED. TIME TO BE	0.35	57.75
	JWS	L230	A101	SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER. PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) FEBRUARY 12, 2019 ORAL ARGUMENTS, INITIAL OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND IDENTIFICATION OF DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBITS FOR REVIEW	0.65	120.25
				BY COURT. MOTION WORK PRE-APPROVED. TIME TO BE SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER.	0.60	111.00
01/31/2019	DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND		
	DBC	1.250	A404	FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L250	A 104	REVIEW/ANALYZE WOOD V SAFEWAY, INC., NEVADA SUPREME COURT CASE, IN ORDER TO SUPPORT OUR POSITION THAT WE ARE ENTITLED TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ISSUE OF WINDOW HEAD FLASHINGS, IN		
				PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT THEREOF (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
	DRG	L250	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:  (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK		
	DRG	L250	A103	AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) AFFIDAVIT OF MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:	0.10	16.50
	2.10			(ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
	DRG	L250	A103	ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: DRAFTED ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT	0.65	107.25
				0250	AA3435	

Page: 54 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
			OF OUR INITIAL MOTION AND THOSE TO REBUT THE ARGUMENTS MADE BY THE ASSOCIATION IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.85	140.25
DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: DRAFTED ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF OUR INITIAL MOTION AND THOSE TO REBUT THE ARGUMENTS MADE BY THE ASSOCIATION IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
DRG	L250	A103	ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: DRAFTED ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF OUR INITIAL MOTION AND THOSE TO REBUT THE ARGUMENTS MADE BY THE ASSOCIATION IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.95	156.75
DRG	L250	A103	ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: DRAFTED ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF OUR INITIAL MOTION AND THOSE TO REBUT THE ARGUMENTS MADE BY THE ASSOCIATION IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.80	132.00 57.75
DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.33	
JBV	L320	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE BUILDING DEVELOPMENT DOCUMENTATION FOR TOWER I-4525 DEAN MARTIN, RE: REVIEWING CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION, CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY AND ANY INSPECTION DOCUMENTATION WITHIN, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH	0.05	8.25
JBV	L320	A104	OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE BUILDING DEVELOPMENT DOCUMENTATION FOR TOWER II-4575 DEAN MARTIN, RE: REVIEWING CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION AND ANY INSPECTION DOCUMENTATION WITHIN, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE -	0.40	38.00
JBV	L320	A104	TOWER II).  REVIEW/ANALYZE EXHIBITS TO CLIENT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PANORAMA TOWER CONDOMINIUM UNIT OWNERS' ASSOCIATION'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED	0.30	28.50

Page: 55 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
CSW	L250	A104	NOTICE OF CLAIMS, RE: INCORPORATING ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS FOR REFERENCE, IN PREPARATION FOR ENSURING ALL ARE COMPLIANT WITH REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE E.D.C.R. 2.27 (PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERLOCK BRYDON, ON JANUARY 24, 2019)(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS, RE: ANALYSIS OF THEIR ADMISSIONS AND EXHIBITS TO ASSESS THEIR ANTICIPATED ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION	0.35	33.25
CSW	L250	A104	FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) EXHIBITS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE	0.40	66.00
			OF CLAIMS, RE: ANALYSIS OF THEIR ADMISSIONS AND EXHIBITS TO ASSESS THEIR ANTICIPATED ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE ASSOCIATION'S MARCH 2018 ANSWER AND CORRESPONDING COUNTERCLAIM, RE: ADMISSIONS AND LEGAL THEORIES ASSERTED BY ASSOCIATION, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1, 24, 10) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II. CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.40	16 50
CSW	L250	A104	ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SIERRA PACIFIC INDUSTRIES INC V. BRADBURY COLORADO APPELLATE CASE REGARDING RECENT DECISION AND LEGAL ARGUMENTS FOR INTERPRETATION OF SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION DATE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE BANEGAS V. STATE INDUSTRIAL INSURANCE SYSTEM CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CITY COUNCIL OF RENO V. RENO NEWSPAPERS CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) BUILDER'S SEPTEMBER 28, 2016 COMPLAINT, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY	0.10	16.50

Page: 56 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 16

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

01/01/2019

				Hours	
	JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REF AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED I SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WI	BY ADJUSTER			
CSW L250 A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) ASSOCIAT NOTICE, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FO JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REF	OR SUMMARY		0.10	16.50
	AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WIPER ADJUSTER)	TH TOWER II CASE		0.15	24.75
CSW L250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) JUDGE JOHNS 2017 ORDER OF, IN PREPARATION FOR M SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATU PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPR SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WI PER ADJUSTER) FINDINGS OF FACT AND	OTION FOR JTE OF REPOSE (AI ROVED BY ADJUSTE TH TOWER II CASE	_L :R		
CSW L250 A104	LAW REVIEW/ANALYZE BULBMAN INC V. NEVAI			0.20	33.00
	PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMAI REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL P MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE F	RIOR AND FUTURE SHERILYN BRYDO	N	0.10	16.50
CSW L250 A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILI		L	0.10	10.50
OCW 1050 A400	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MO APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRY (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUST	DON ON 1-24-19) ER)	N	0.35	57.75
CSW L250 A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REF AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APP ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19	POSE (ALL PRIOR PROVED BY			
CSW L250 A103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REF AND FUTURE MOTION WORK MOTION APP	POSE (ALL PRIOR		0.95	156.75
	ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19 TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)			0.85	140.25
	For Current Services Rendered Total Non-billable Hours			106.55 0.40	16,916.63
Timekeeper	Recapitulation Title	<u>Hours</u>	_Rate	7	Γotal
Peter C. Brown	PARTNER	4.80	\$176.33	\$84	6.38
Jeffrey W. Saab Jennifer Vela	PARTNER PARALEGAL	2.40 9.70	185.00 95.00		4.00 1.50
Devin R. Gifford	ASSOCIATE	41.20	165.00	6,79	8.00
Crystal Williams Cyrus S. Whittaker	PARALEGAL ASSOCIATE	1.25 47.20	95.00 165.00	11 7,78	8.75 8.00

Expenses

16

#### **CHUBB INSURANCE**

Page: 57 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

						R TO RESET HEAD CES PROVIDED ON		
01/04/2019	L100	F112	12/28/2018) COURT FEES (99					2.88
0 1/0 1/20 10	2100			SCRIPT REQ		EIGHTH JUDICIAL		2.88
01/08/2019	L100	E112	ODYSSEY (STIPL PLAINTIFFS/COU	JĹATION AND				2.00
			PANORAMA TOW	VERS I MEZZ,	LLC AND M.J. DE	EAN CONSTRUCT EGARDING STANI	•	
			AND DEFENDAN	T/COUNTERC	LAIMANT'S COU	NTER-MOTIONS T RULE 56(F) RELIE	0	1.75
01/14/2019	L100	E112	ODYSSEY (NOTIC	CE OF ENTRY	OF STIPULATIO	` '	) <sup>′</sup>	1.70
			HALLIER, PANOF	RAMA TOWER	RS 1, LLC, PANOF			
			DECLARATORY F	RELIEF REGA	RDING STANDIN	IG AND	`	
01/22/2019	L100	E112		IISSIBLE EVID	DENCE AND FOR	RULE 56(F) RELIE		1.75
01/22/2019	L100	LIIZ	REPLY IN SUPPOREGARDING STA	ORT OF MOTION	ON FOR DECLAR	ATORY RELIEF		
			DEFENDANT/CO	UNTER CLAIM	MANT'S COUNTER		==	
01/22/2019	L100	F112	[VOLUME 1 OF 1] ODYSSEY (PLAIN	)		, ,	<b>-</b> !	1.75
01/22/2013	L100	LIIZ	•	OTION FOR DI	ECLARATORY RE	ELIEF REGARDING	3	
			CLAIMANT'S COL EVIDENCE AND F	JNTER-MOTIC	ONS TO EXCLUD			1.75
			Total Expenses	OK NOLL 30	(1 ) ((2)(1)			12.76
			Total Current Wor	k				16,929.39
			Previous Balance	before Adjustr	ments			\$22,842.36
01/15/2019			WRITE-OFF (DMC	C)				-61.52
			Previous Balance					\$22,780.84
			Balance Due					\$39,710.23
				Past Due A				
	16,92	<u>0-30</u> 9.39	<u>31-60</u> 7,825.55	<u>61-90</u> 13,670.77	91-120 0.00	<u>121-180</u> 1,284.52	181+ 0.00	
				Split Billing S		<b>5</b>	A de com	<b>+</b>
CHUBB INSURAN					<u>Fees</u> 16,916.63	<u>Expenses</u> 12.76	Advances 0.00	<u>Total</u> 16,929.39
ESIS Dallas AGL (	Jiaims (2) -	ranorar	na rower II		16,916.62 33,833.25	$\frac{12.74}{25.50}$	$\frac{0.00}{0.00}$	16,929.36 33,858.75

Page: 58 January 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V **CHUBB INSURANCE** 

Statement No:

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

### Task Code Summary

		<u>Fees</u>	<u>Expenses</u>
L100	CASE ASSESSMENT, DEVELOPMENT AND ADMINISTRATION	0.00	12.76
L120	ANALYSIS/STRATEGY	343.75	0.00
L130	EXPERTS/CONSULTANTS	240.50	0.00
L190	OTHER CASE ASSESSMENT, DEVELOPMENT & ADMINISTRATION	46.25	0.00
L100	CASE ASSESSMENT, DEVELOPMENT AND ADMINISTRATION	630.50	12.76
L230	COURT MANDATED CONFERENCES	301.25	0.00
L240	DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS	314.50	0.00
L250	OTHER WRITTEN MOTIONS AND SUBMISSIONS	12845.00	0.00
L200	PRE-TRIAL PLEADINGS AND MOTIONS	13,460.75	0.00
L320	DOCUMENT PRODUCTION	1040.25	0.00
L340	EXPERT DISCOVERY	1669.50	0.00
L390	OTHER DISCOVERY	115.63	0.00
L300	DISCOVERY	2,825.38	0.00

# EXHIBIT "M"

# BREMER, WHYTE, BROWN & O'MEARA, LLP 20320 S.W. BIRCH STREET SECOND FLOOR NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660 (949) 221-1000

### TAX ID # 33-0747275

ESIS Dallas AGL Claims P.O. Box 5127 Scranton, PA 18505 USA Page: 1 April 30, 2019 Account No: 1287-5581V Statement No: 15

Attn: Sherilyn Brydon

Panorama Tower II 5143220827859X-A PANORAMA TOWER II

#### Fees

				<u>rees</u>		
					Hours	
02/01/2019	CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DYKEMA V. DEL WEBB CMTYS INC CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
	CSW	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY FOR TOWERS I AND II, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	24.75
	CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION FOR TOWERS I AND II, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.15	24.75
	CSW	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CLARK COUNTY RECORDS DATABASE DOCKET ANALYSIS OF FILING RECORDS FOR OCCUPANCY AND COMPLETION OF TOWERS I AND II, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	24.75
	CSW	L250	A108	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CORRESPONDENCE TO CLARK COUNTY RECORDS OFFICE, RE: DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO OCCUPANCY AND COMPLETION OF TOWERS I AND II, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.20	33.00
	CSW	L250	A103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE EMAIL CORESSPONDENCE TO CLARK COUNTY RECORDS OFFICE TO CONFIRM AVAILABILITY OF DOCUMENTS RELATED TO OCCUPANCY AND COMPLETION OF TOWERS I AND II, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR	0.10	16.50
				0001	Δ Δ 3.4.4.2	

Page: 2 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

Panorama Tower II 5143220827859X-A PANORAMA TOWER II

02/03/2019

	AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	Hours	
CSW L250 A103	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE	0.10	16.50
74100	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
CSW L250 A103	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR	0.20	33.00
	AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.95	156.75
CSW L250 A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
CSW L250 A103	PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	0.65	107.25
DRG L340 A104	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT,	0.60	99.00
DRG L340 A104	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE AFFIDAVIT FROM EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, IN SUPPORT OF REPLY TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION,	0.05	8.25
	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERIYLN BRYDON, ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
PCB L240 A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF COURT RULING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE RE: ADDITIONAL WORK ON ARGUMENTS DEALING WITH THE HOA'S BOGUS TIMING ARGUMENT, THE HOA'S CONFLICTING EXPERT AFFIDAVITS, AND THE BASIS FOR THE SUPPORT FROM THE CLIENTS' EXPERTS ON VARIOUS ISSUES (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT		
PCB L240 A104	BETWEEN COUNSEL FOR THE PARTIES IN ORDER TO FIND DOCUMENTED PROOF THAT THE HOA'S COUNSEL NEVER RAISED A TIMING ISSUE WITH REGARD TO THE CLIENTS' MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE	0.70	129.50
	0002	Δ Δ 3 Δ Δ 3	

Page: 3 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

AA3444

Panorama Tower II 5143220827859X-A PANORAMA TOWER II

02/04/2019

				Hours	
			AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; WORK ON THIS MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS).	0.15	27.75
DRG	L250 /	A103	,	0.90	148.50
DRG	L250 /	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE ORDER FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA, RE: CITATIONS TO UNPUBLISHED SUPREME COURT CASES, IN ORDER TO RAISE THE POINT THAT SUCH CITATIONS ARE PERMITTED, TO REBUT ARGUMENTS BY THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL ON SAME (PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.20	33.00
DRG	L250 /	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, INCORPORATION OF ADDITIONAL ARGUMENTS BASED UPON RECENT DISCOVERIES, INCLUDING THE ARGUMENT THAT CITATION TO UNPUBLISHED SUPREME COURT CASES IS NOT PERMITTED. (PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.80	132.00
DRG	L250 /	A104	,	0.60	132.00
DRG	L250 /	A103	ADJUSTER). DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, DRAFTED ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF OUR INITIAL MOTION AND THOSE TO REBUT THE ARGUMENTS MADE BY THE ASSOCIATION IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO SAME. (PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.30	49.50 99.00
CSW	L250 /	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CORRESPONDENCE TO CLARK COUNTY RECORDERS OFFICE, RE: REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EVALUATE OUR ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250 /	A103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO CLARK COUNTY RECORDS OFFICE, RE: REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EVALUATE OUR ARGUMENTS IN	0.10	10.50

0003

Page: 4 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

					Hours	
	CSW	L250	A108	PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CORESSPONDENCE TO CLARK COUNTY BUILDING DEPARTMENT OFFICE, RE: REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS	0.10	16.50
	CSW	L250	A103	NECESSARY TO EVALUATE OUR ARGUMENTS IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF COUNTER-MOTIONS FOR NRCP 56(F) RELIEF AND TO STRIKE PAROL EVIDENCE, TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS PRESENTED, IN ORDER TO TAKE THOSE ARGUMENTS INTO ACCOUNT WHEN DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON	0.10	16.50
	CSW	L250	A104	STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 108.228, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.90	148.50
02/05/2019	PCB	L240	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR ARGUMENT REGARDING CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY DATES IN THE LATEST MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT THAT PERTAINS TO THE SERVICE DATE OF THE CHAPTER 40 NOTICE AND THE FILING DATE OF THE HOA'S COUNTER-CLAIM FOR CONSTRUCTION DEFECTS (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; WORK ON		
	JBV	L320	A103	THIS MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS).  DRAFT/REVISE REQUEST FOR COMPLETION DOCUMENTATION  TO THE CLARK COUNTY RECORDER'S OFFICE, RE: INCORPORATING PARCEL INFORMATION, AS REQUESTED BY ATTORNEY (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH	0.15	27.75
	JBV	L320	A103	OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II) DRAFT/REVISE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO CLARK COUNTY RECORDER, RE: SUBMITTING REQUEST FOR COMPLETION DOCUMENTATION FOR TOWERS I AND II, AS REQUESTED BY ATTORNEY (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH	0.10	9.50
	JBV	L320	A104	OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II) REVIEW/ANALYZE RESPONSE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM CLARK COUNTY RECORDER, RE: NECESSITY OF REQUESTING COMPLETION DOCUMENTATION FROM WEBSITE, IN PREPARATION FOR OBTAINING THE SAME, AS REQUESTED BY ATTORNEY (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE,	0.05	4.75
	JBV	L320	A104	TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II) REVIEW/ANALYZE CLARK COUNTY RECORDER WEBSITE, RE: DETERMINING HOW TO OBTAIN ALL RECORDED MATERIAL, IN PREPARATION FOR OBTAINING COMPLETION DOCUMENTS, AS REQUESTED BY ATTORNEY (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE. TOWER II)	0.05	4.75
				TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II) $0004$	0.30 AA3445	28.50
				VVVI	$\Delta \Delta J + J$	

Page: 5 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

					Hours	
	JBV	L320	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) WITH CLARK COUNTY RECORDER'S OFFICE, RE: REQUEST FOR CORRESPONDENCE INDICATING NO COMPLETION DOCUMENTATION OTHER THAN CERTIFICATES OF REPAIRS EXIST IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INITIAL BUILDING OF TOWERS, IN PREPARATION FOR PRODUCING SAID CORRESPONDENCE TO ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II)	0.10	9.50
	DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE ERRATA TO MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, RE: CLARIFIED THE RECORD AS TO OUR EXHIBITS IN APPENDIX I OF III, TO ENSURE COURT HAS COMPLETE RECORDS, MOTIONS AND EXHIBITS FOR THE UPCOMING HEARING (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.10	0.00
	DRG	L250	A103	ADJUSTER).  DRAFT/REVISE ERRATA TO OPPOSITIONS TO  COUNTERMOTIONS BY THE ASSOCIATION FOR NRCP 56F RELIEF AND TO STRIKE PAROL EVIDENCE, CLARIFIED CASE HOLDINGS IN GREATER DETAIL TO ENSURE COURT CAN SEARCH CITED CASES MORE EFFICIENTLY (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	24.75
	JWS	L430	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE/NOTES RE: STATUS OF CASE, PENDING MOTIONS IN PREPARATION OF UPCOMING HEARINGS. PER ADJUSTER, TIME TO BE SPLIT WITH TOWER	0.35	57.75
	JWS	L340	A108	TWO CASE.  COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) WITH EXPERT,  MKA/SHELLY ROBINSON,  TIME TO BE SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE.	0.20 0.05	37.00 9.25
02/06/2019	DRG	L120	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR SUCCESS OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, ANALYZED MOTION ARGUMENTS IN COMPARISON WITH AB 125, NRS 11.202, NRCP 56, ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN OTHER MOTIONS IN BYRNE CASE, IN ORDER TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS IN THE CLEAREST MANNER POSSIBLE (PRIOR MOTION WORK AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
	CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON ORDER RELATING TO TOLLING BASED ON NRS 40.695, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON	0.90	148.50
	CSW	L250	A104	ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SIRAGUSA V. BROWN NEVADA CASE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.05	8.25
	CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SPITLER V. DEAN CASE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	0.15	24.75

Page: 6 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No: 15

					Hours	
				SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.10	16.50
	CSW	1 250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE WINN V. SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL	0.10	10.50
	COVV	L230	A10 <del>-1</del>	CENTER CASE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
				REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE		
				MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON		
				ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
	CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE BEAZER HOMES NEV INC V. EIGTH JUDICIAL		
				DISTRICT COURT CASE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
				REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON		
				ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
	CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE ASSOCIATION'S MARCH 1, 2017	00	
				COUNTERCLAIM AND ANSWER MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
				JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR		
				AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER		
				SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.45	24.75
	CSW	1 250	Δ103	PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY	0.15	24.75
	0011	L200	71100	JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR		
				AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER		
				SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
	00147	1.050	A 400	PER ADJUSTER)	0.95	156.75
	CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR		
				AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER		
				SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
				PER ADJUSTER)	0.90	148.50
	CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
				JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR		
				AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
				PER ADJUSTER)	0.70	115.50
				,		
02/07/2019	DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND		
				EXHIBITS THERETO ON THE ASSOCIATION'S ORIGINAL		
				CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS		
				THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S		
				NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD		
				FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR		
				UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION		
				(PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
				ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
	DRG	L 250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE OPPOSITION MOTION FOR SUMMARY	0.30	49.50
	2110		, , , , ,	JUDGMENT AND EXHIBITS THERETO ON THE ASSOCIATION'S		
				ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE		
				ARGUMENTS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL		
				CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY		
				CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN		
				PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR		
				RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK		
				APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
				0006	Δ Δ 3 Δ Δ 7	

Page: 7 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

				Hours	
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.35	57.75
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND EXHIBITS THERETO ON THE		
			ASSOCIATION'S ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF		
			ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS		
			THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY		
			CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR		
			RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.35	57.75
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE COURT'S FINDINGS OF FACT AND	0.33	37.73
DITO	LZOO	71104	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
			JUDGMENT ON THE ASSOCIATION'S ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40		
			NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS AND COURT		
			FINDINGS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL		
			CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE		
			ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR		
			RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND		
			EXHIBITS THERETO ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED		
			CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40		
			NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S		
			NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD		
			FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR		
			UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION		
			(PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.35	57.75
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE OPPOSITION MOTION FOR SUMMARY	0.55	31.13
БПО	2200	71101	JUDGMENT AND EXHIBITS THERETO ON THE ASSOCIATION'S		
			AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE		
			ARGUMENTS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL		
			CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE		
			ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY		
			CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR		
			RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.45	74.25
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND EXHIBITS THERETO ON THE		
			ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF		
			ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS		
			THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY		
			CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR		
			RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK		
			0007	A A 2 1 1 O	

Page: 8 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

				Hours	
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	riours	
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.25	41.25
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE COURT'S FINDINGS OF FACT AND	0.20	11.20
Dito	LZOO	71101	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
			JUDGMENT ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40		
			NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS AND COURT		
			FINDINGS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL		
			CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE		
			ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY		
			CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR		
			RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.35	57.75
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE VARIOUS CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN	0.00	01.10
5.10	LLOO	, , , , ,	OUR OFFICE AND THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL, RE:		
			GRANTING NUMEROUS EXTENSIONS TO THE ASSOCIATION		
			AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR		
			RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING		
			THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION		
			FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPARATION FOR		
			UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION		
			(PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
			TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS		
			TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION		
			FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR		
			RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.35	57.75
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION		
			OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40		
			NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED		
			EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE,		
			DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH		
			THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS,		
			DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON		
			BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR		
			POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING		
			HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND		
			UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,		
			SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
			PER ADJUSTER)	0.85	140.25
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS		
			FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD		
			CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE		
			SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR		
			RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	2.42	00.00
DDC	1.050	4404	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.40	66.00
DKG	L250	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION		
			FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE:		

15

Account No:

Statement No:

Panorama Tower II 5143220827859X-A PANORAMA TOWER II

02/08/2019

				Hours	
			(PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
CSW	L250	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY	0.60	99.00
			JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER		
			SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
CSW	L 250	A103	PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY	0.95	156.75
33		,,,,,	JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR		
			AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
CSW	L 250	A103	PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY	0.90	148.50
0011	2200	71100	JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR		
			AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
CSW	1.250	A103	PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY	0.80	132.00
COW	L250	A103	JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR		
			AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
CSW	1.250	A 102	PER ADJUSTER)	0.70	115.50
CSW	L230	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR		
			AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
CCM	1.050	A 4 0 0	PER ADJUSTER)	0.45	74.25
CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR		
			AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
			PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
PCB	L240	A101	,		
			MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE AND AB 125 RE:		
			S PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; WORK ON THIS		
			MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS).	0.55	101.75
PCB	L240	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY  0009	A A 2 45 O	
			VVV7	AA3450	

Page: 10 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

				Hours	
			JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE AND AB 125 RE:		
			CONTINUE TO DRAFT ARGUMENTS BASED ON THE DATE OF THE CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, THE DATE OF THE COUNTERCLAIM,		
			THE DATE OF THE WORK AT THE PROJECT, AND THE DATE OF		
			THE CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY (AS PER CARRIER		
			DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; WORK ON THIS MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN		
			BRYDON OF ESIS).	1.10	203.50
JWS	L430	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR EXPERT MEETING WITH SHELLY		
			ROBBINS AND ASHLEY ALLARD RE: (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
			ADJUSTER)	1.05	194.25
JWS	L430	A109	APPEAR FOR/ATTEND IN OFFICE MEETING WITH DEVIN		
			GIFFORD, ASHLEY ALLARD, AND SHELLY ROBBINS, MEETING		
			RE: (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.95	175.75
JBV	L320	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) WITH LITIGATION	0.00	
			SERVICES-DEPOSITORY DEPARTMENT (VIA EMAIL		
			CORRESPONDENCE), RE: OBTAINING BUILDING DEPARTMENT MATERIAL AS DISCLOSED BY PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL IN PRIOR		
			LITIGATION, IN PREPARATION FOR DETERMINING INSPECTION		
			RANGES AS WELL AS IF ANY FORMAL COMPLETION		
			DOCUMENTATION EXISTS WITHIN, AS REQUESTED BY ATTORNEY (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH		
			OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.15	14.25
JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE BUILDING DEPARTMENT DOCUMENTATION		
			DISCLOSED BY PLAINTIFF IN PRIOR LITIGATION, RE: DETERMINING DOCUMENTATION WITHIN SUCH AS		
			INSPECTION RANGES AND DETERMINING IF ANY FORMAL		
			COMPLETION DOCUMENTATION EXISTS, IN PREPARATION		
			FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED (AS PER		
			CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.20	19.00
DRG	L340	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR UPCOMING MEETING WITH EXPERT,	5.25	
			MKA, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:		
			(PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
			TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	1.05	173.25
DRG	L340	A109	APPEAR FOR/ATTEND JOINT MEETING WITH EXPERT, MKA,		
			MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:		

Account No: Statement No:

15

Panorama Tower II 5143220827859X-A PANORAMA TOWER II

02/09/2019

		Hours	
DRG L250 A101	(PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: ANALYZED OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO, EVALUATED ARGUMENTS PRESENTED AND WHICH EXHIBITS WE WILL NEED TO ENLARGE AND IN WHICH ORDER TO PRESENT THEM, AND CONTINUED WRITING NOTES ON ARGUMENTS TO PRESENT AT THE HEARING (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		156.75
CSW L250 A103	PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHEDILYN REVOON ON 4, 24, 40) (SPLIT MITH TOWER II. CASE	0.90	148.50
CSW L250 A103	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.95	156.75
CSW L250 A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE GINDEL V. CENTEX HOMES FOURTH APPELLATE COURT 2018 DECISION REGARDING COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.30	49.50
CSW L250 A103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/(BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON	0.15	24.75
DRG L250 A101	1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  PLAN AND PREPARE FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: ANALYZED MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND OPPOSITION THERETO, INCLUDING EXHIBITS, AND BEGAN WRITING NOTES ON ARGUMENTS TO PRESENT AT THE HEARING (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON	0.15	24.75
DRG L250 A101	1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: ANALYZED OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO, EVALUATED ARGUMENTS PRESENTED AND WHICH EXHIBITS WE WILL NEED TO ENLARGE AND IN WHICH ORDER TO PRESENT THEM, WRITING NOTES ON ARGUMENTS TO PRESENT AT THE HEARING (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,	0.90	148.50
	0011	AA3452	

Page: 12 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No:

Statement No:

					Hours	
	DRG	L250	A101	SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: CONTINUED ANALYSIS OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO, AND BEGAN EVALUATING OUR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND EXHIBITS, EVALUATED ARGUMENTS PRESENTED AND WHICH EXHIBITS WE WILL NEED TO ENLARGE AND IN WHICH ORDER TO PRESENT THEM, WRITING NOTES ON ARGUMENTS TO PRESENT AT THE HEARING (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.95 0.55	156.75 90.75
02/10/2019	DRG	L250	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: CONTINUED ANALYSIS OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO, AND CONTINUED EVALUATING OUR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND EXHIBITS, EVALUATED ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST, AND HOW BEST TO PRESENT THEM (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.95	156.75
	DRG	L250	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: CONTINUED ANALYSIS OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO, AND CONTINUED EVALUATING OUR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND EXHIBITS, EVALUATED ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST, AND HOW BEST TO PRESENT THEM (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.90	148.50
02/11/2019	JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE EXHIBITS TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, RE: EXHIBITS A THROUGH E, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON		
	DRG	L250	A108	1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) COMMUNICATE WITH SIMON LOADSMAN, FENESTRATION EXPERT, RE:  (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,	0.30	28.50
	DRG	L250	A104	SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE NOTICE OF ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL'S ATTEMPT TO COMMUNICATE TELEPHONICALLY AT THE UPCOMING HEARING ON OUR PENDING MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION AND DECLARATORY RELIEF, TO EVALUATE WHETHER OBJECTIONS ARE NECESSARY (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,	0.15	24.75
				0012	AA3453	

Account No: Statement No:

15

			0013	AA3454	
			ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: CONTINUED ANALYSIS OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO AND CONTINUED EVALUATING OUR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND EXHIBITS, EVALUATED ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST, AND HOW BEST TO PRESENT THEM AND BEGAN DRAFTING OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS TO PRESENT AT HEARING. (PRIOR		
DRG	L250	A101	ANALYSIS OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO, AND CONTINUED EVALUATING OUR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND EXHIBITS, EVALUATED ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST, AND HOW BEST TO PRESENT THEM (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING	0.70	115.50
DRG	L250	A101	ANALYSIS OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO, AND CONTINUED EVALUATING OUR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND EXHIBITS, EVALUATED ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST, AND HOW BEST TO PRESENT THEM (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: CONTINUED	0.65	107.25
DRG	L250	A101	(PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: CONTINUED	0.10	16.50
DRG	L250	A108	ENSURE ALL DATES ARE ACCURATE BASED UPON HISTORY OF THE MATTER AND THAT THE ARGUMENTS ARE CONSISTENT WITH THOSE MADE IN OTHER MOTIONS (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) MULTIPLE CORRESPONDENCE WITH DOCUMENT SPECIALIST, HOLO	0.40	66.00
DRG	L250	A103	PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE/FINALIZE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, FINALIZED MOTION TO	0.15	24.75
DRG	L250	A108	SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) COMMUNICATE WITH DOCUMENT SPECIALIST, HOLO DISCOVERY, RE:	Hours 0.05	8.25

Page: 14 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No:

Statement No: 15

					Hours	
	DRG	L250	A101	AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: CONTINUED	0.95	156.75
				DRAFTING OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS TO PRESENT AT HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, PRESENTATION OF PRIMARY ARGUMENTS, INCLUDING PERTINENT SUB ARGUMENTS, REFERENCE TO EXHIBITS TO		
				BE UTILIZED DURING HEARING (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.85	140.25
	CSW	L250	A103	ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	0.05	140.25
				SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.90	148.50
02/12/2019	JWS	L230	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (FINALIZE) HEARING ON MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND THE ASSOCIATIONS COUNTER MOTION. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	4.00	054.50
	JWS	L230	A109	ADJUSTER) APPEAR FOR/ATTEND HEARING/ORAL ARGUMENT ON MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, AND THE ASSOCIATIONS COUNTERMOTION. NO TRAVEL TIME IN ENTRY. (SPLIT WITH	1.90	351.50
	РСВ	L120	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE THE COURT'S RULING TODAY ON THE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND THE MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION,	1.50	277.50
				(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; AS PER APPROVAL FROM SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS, ALL WORK ON		
	DRG	L250	A101	MOTIONS APPROVED). PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING	0.35	64.75
				ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: FINISHED DRAFTING OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS TO PRESENT AT HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND BEGAN PRACTICING		
				ARGUMENTS WITH BOARDS PREPARED BY DOCUMENT SPECIALIST ALONG WITH OUTLINE, PRACTICED ARGUMENTS OUT LOUD WITH USE OF OUTLINE THREE TIMES (PRIOR AND		
				UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.05	440.05
	DRG	L250	A101	PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: PRACTICED ARGUMENTS WITH BOARDS PREPARED BY DOCUMENT SPECIALIST ALONG WITH OUTLINE, PRACTICED ARGUMENTS OUT LOUD WITH USE OF OUTLINE TWO TIMES AND TWO	0.85	140.25
				TIMES WITHOUT (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
				0014	AA3455	

Page: 15 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V

Account No: 1287-5581V Statement No: 15

	DRG	L250 A	109	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) APPEAR FOR/ATTEND HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: TRAVEL TIME FROM OFFICE TO COURTHOUSE FOR HEARING (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON	Hours 0.90	148.50
	DRG	L250 A	109	1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) (TRAVEL TIME BILLED AT ½ ACTUAL RATE) APPEAR FOR/ATTEND HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK	0.25	41.25
	DRG	L250 A	A109	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) APPEAR FOR/ATTEND HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: TRAVEL TIME FROM COURTHOUSE	2.05	338.25
	DRG	L250 A	103	TO OFFICE (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) (TRAVEL TIME BILLED AT ½ ACTUAL RATE)  DRAFT/REVISE MEMORANDUM TO FILE, RE:	0.20	33.00
				(PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
02/13/2019	PCB	L240 A	103	DRAFT/REVISE INITIAL ASSESSMENT TO CLIENT CONTACT AND TO CARRIERS		
	PCB	L190 A	107	(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH COVERAGE COUNSEL FOR SOMPO (JAN DUFFALO) RE:	0.10	18.50
					0.10	18.50
02/18/2019	PCB	L120 A	A103	DRAFT/REVISE UPDATE TO CARRIERS AND TO CLIENT CONTACT RE:		
				(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON THESE MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS).	0.40	74.00
02/19/2019	PCB	L120 A	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR POSSIBLE FILING OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND/OR CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S RULINGS LAST WEEK ON TWO MOTIONS,		
				(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON THESE MOTIONS		

Page: 16 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No: 15

				PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS).	Hours 0.20	37.00
02/20/2019	PCB	L190	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) WITH ADJUSTER FOR FLIPPIN'S TRENCHING (ONE OF THE SUBCONTRACTORS THAT WAS PLACED ON NOTICE) RE: DISCUSSION OF THE RULING BY THE COURT ON THE MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE RE: DISCUSSING WHY FLIPPINS' TRENCHING IS LIKELY OUT OF THE CASE AT THIS STAGE (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.05	9.25
02/28/2019	PCB	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE PROPOSED ORDERS FOR LATEST TWO MOTIONS, AS DRAFTED BY COUNSEL FOR THE HOA, DETERMINE STATUS OF TRANSCRIPT FROM THE COURT, AND SEND INQUIRY TO COUNSEL FOR THE HOA ON AGREEMENT FOR DIFFERENT TIMING FOR RESPONSE TO PROPOSED ORDERS AND WHEN THEY SHOULD BE SUBMITTED TO THE COURT (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CSE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON MOTIONS		
	РСВ	L320	A107	PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS). COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH COUNSEL FOR THE HOA (SEVERAL EMAILS BACK AND FORTH THROUGHOUT THE DAY) RE: ADDRESSING THE ISSUE OF WHETHER THERE IS ADDITONAL INSURANCE FOR MJ DEAN (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN	0.10	18.50
	JBV	L320	A104	SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: PROPOSED ORDERS RESOLVING MOTIONS AND COUNTER-MOTIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR VERIFYING ATTORNEY HAS ALL NECESSARY MATERIAL FOR ANALYSIS PRIOR TO ATTENDING ANY HEARINGS REGARDING THE SAME	0.10	18.50
	DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NOTICE OF COURT SERVICE, RE: LETTER REGARDING ORDERS FROM PRIOR HEARINGS ON MOTIONS (MOTION WORK BOTH PRIOR AND UPCOMING APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.05	4.75
	DRG	L250	A108	COURT, RE: PRIÒR HEARINGS TO ÁNSWER QUESTIONS COURT REPORTER HAD FOR ME REGARDING THE EXHIBITS I USED FOR THE HEARING ON OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION. (MOTION WORK BOTH PRIOR AND UPCOMING APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L250	A104	1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: STATUS OF ORDERS FROM PRIOR MOTIONS, APPROVAL OF EXTENSION TO RESPOND, IN PREPARATION FOR SAME. (MOTION WORK BOTH PRIOR AND UPCOMING APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.10	16.50
	DRG	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE COURT SERVICE NOTICE OF TRANSCRIPT, RE: MOTIONS HEARD ON FEBRUARY 12, 2019 (PRIOR MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L250	A104	1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE TRANSCRIPT FROM FEBRUARY 12, 2019	0.05	8.25
				0016	AA3457	

Page: 17 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No: 15

				Hours	
			HEARINGS (LIMITED SCOPE) TO VERIFY ALL ELEMENTS AND ARGUMENTS WERE CAPTURED IN THE TRANSCRIPT, TO DETERMINE IF AN EXTENSION TO PRESENT ORDERS TO THE COURT RE: SAME IS NEEDED (PRIOR MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
03/01/2019	DRG L	L250 A1	4 REVIEW/ANALYZE NOTICE OF COURT FILING, RE: OPPOSITION TO OUR CLIENT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE.	0.05	8.25
03/04/2019	PCB L	L250 A1	4 REVIEW/ANALYZE TRANSCRIPT FROM RECENT HEARING TO DETERMINE IF THE LANGUAGE OF THE TWO PROPOSED ORDERS, AS ORIGINALLY DRAFTED BY COUNSEL FOR THE HOA, SHOULD BE REVISED (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON THESE MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN		
	PCB L	L120 A1	BRYDON OF ESIS).  1 PLAN AND PREPARE FOR BOTH PORTIONS OF REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMERY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE AND DISCOVERY NECESSARY TO DEAL WITH THE HOA'S ATTEMPT TO AMEND THE CC&RS (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL MOTION-RELATED WORK	0.10	18.50
	DRG L	L250 A1	PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS).  4 REVIEW/ANALYZE HEARING TRANSCRIPT FROM MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING AND MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE PROPOSED ORDERS FROM COUNSEL FOR THE ASSOCIATION REGARDING COURT'S RULING ON SAME (MOTION AND HEARINGS APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.20	37.00
	DRG I	L250 A1	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  4 REVIEW/ANALYZE PROPOSED ORDERS FROM COUNSEL FOR THE ASSOCIATION REGARDING MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING AND MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO EVALUATE WHETHER CHANGES ARE NEEDED (MOTION AND HEARINGS APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.65	107.25
	DRG L	L250 A1	ADJUSTER).  4 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXHIBIT TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: SKY LAS VEGAS CASE ORDER ON CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND FACT IN SUPPORT FROM JUDGE JOHNSON, SAME JUDGE AS IN THE PRESENT CASE, ANALYZED THE ORDER TO EVALUATE THE BASIS FOR THE ASSOCIATION'S INCLUSION OF SAME, IN ORDER TO STRATEGIZE ON HOW TO RESPOND TO SAME. (MOTION AND HEARINGS APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON	0.15	24.75
	PCB L	L250 A1	1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  7 COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH COUNSEL FOR HOA (VIA EMAILS AND PHONE CALL) RE: DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED REVISIONS TO TWO ORDERS FOR RECENT RULINGS BY THE COURT, UPCOMING HEARING FOR ANOTHER MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, THE FILING OF SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS REGARDING THE UPCOMING  0017	0.20 A A 2.458	33.00
			UU1 /	AA3458	

Page: 18 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No: 15

				MOTION FOR CUMMARY HIROMENT HEARING, AND THE	Hours	
				MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING, AND THE RECENT POSITION TAKEN BY THE HOA TO TRY AND AMEND THE CC&RS (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON		
	РСВ	L250	A107	MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS). COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH EDWARD SONG (PERSONAL COUNSEL FOR THE HOA) RE: DISCUSSION OF HIS EFFORTS TO AMEND THE CC&RS TO GET AROUND THE COMMON AREA ISSUE FOR THE WINDOWS (PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE -	0.10	18.50
	PCB	L250	A101	TOWER II). PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR BOTH DISCOVERY RELATED TO THE HOA'S RECENT EFFORTS TO AMEND THE CC&RS AND POSSIBLE MOTION PRACTICE TO DEAL WITH THE AMENDMENT RE: CONTINUE TO OUTLINE THE PROPOSED DISCOVERY THAT NEEDS TO BE APPROVED BY THE SPECIAL MASTER AND THE POTENTIAL PARAMETERS TO UTILIZE IN A MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER REGARDING THE EFFORTS TO AMEND THE CC&RS (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF	0.05	9.25
				ESIS).	0.20	37.00
03/05/2019	CW	L320	A103	PRODUCTION TO PLAINTIFF RE: TO REQUEST ALL DOCUMENTS SENT TO THE HOA MEMBERS REQUESTING AMENDED CC&R'S, ALL MEETING MINUTES REGARDING AMENDED CC&R'S, ALL COMMUNICATIONS REQUESTING AMENDED CC&R'S. [AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT		
	CW	L320	A104	WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II] REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVADA SECRETARY OF STATE ENTITY SEARCH ENGINE RE: TO DETERMINE WHO THE HOA BOARD MEMBERS ARE IN PREPARATION TO PROVIDE TO ATTORNEY	0.15	15.00
	DRG	L250	A104	FOR ANALYSIS. [TIME IS TO BE SPLIT WITH 1287.558] REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCES FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL REGARDING STIPULATION FOR HEARING AND PRE-HEARING FILING DATES FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING A STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR SAME. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	15.00
	DRG	L250	A103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING STIPULATED DATES OF HEARING ON OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND PLEADINGS IN ANTICIPATION THEREOF, TO SUBMIT TO OPPOSING COUNSEL FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO SUBMISSION TO THE COURT (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.10	16.50
	DRG	L250	A103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO OPPOSING COUNSEL PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING STIPULATED DATES OF HEARING ON OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND PLEADINGS IN ANTICIPATION THEREOF, TO SUBMIT TO OPPOSING COUNSEL FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO SUBMISSION TO THE COURT (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.35	57.75
				0018	AA3459	

Page: 19 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

				Hours	
CSW	L210	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SAWYER V. SUGARLESS SHOPS INC COURT CASE CITED IN DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY	0.05	8.25
			JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
CSW	L210	A104	ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO	0.10	16.50
			PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
CSW	I 210	Δ10/	ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVADA STATE BANK V. JAMISON	0.40	66.00
0011	LZTO	Alo <del>t</del>	PARTNERSHIP NEVADA COURT CASE CITED IN DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS'		
			MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24		
CSW	L210	A104	- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NELSON V. HEER NEVADA COURT CASE CITED IN DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/	0.15	24.75
			COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR		
			AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.45	04.75
CSW	L210	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE DIV OF INS. V. STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTO INS CO NEVADA COURT CASE CITED IN DEFENDANT'S	0.15	24.75
			OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF		
			CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L210	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE BARLEY V. BARLEY CASE, RE: NON-BINDING APPELLATE DECISION ASSESSING QUESTION OF COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIM IN RELATIONSHIP TO		
			TOLLING OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/		
			COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON		
CSW	L210	A104	ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CHRISTOPHER JOHN MURRAN V. TRAVIS GARY MANSHEIM CASE, RE: NON-BINDING APPELLATE	0.10	16.50
			DECISION ASSESSING QUESTION OF COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIM IN RELATIONSHIP TO TOLLING OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON		
			0019	A A 2460	

Page: 20 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

			BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR	Hours	
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL		
			PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II		
CSW	1.250	A 1 O 4	CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NRCP 13, RE: COMPULSORY	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A 104	COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON		
			BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL		
			PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
CSW	1 250	Δ10/	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) COURT'S FINDING OF FACTS AND	0.05	8.25
COW	L230	A104	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW FROM PLAINTIFFS'		
			COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS		
			FILED AUGUST 3, 2018, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF		
			ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS'		
			MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED		
			BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH		
CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE PLAINTIFFS/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS REPLY	0.20	33.00
			IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT PANORAMA TOWER CONDOMINIUM UNIT		
			ASSOCIATION'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS,		
			IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/		
			COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
			PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON		
CSW	1.250	۸ ۱ ۸ ۵	ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE	0.25	41.25
CSW	L250	A 103	DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE		
			(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER		
			SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.40	66.00
CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE	0.40	00.00
			(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24		
COM	1.050	A 4 O 4	- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.30	49.50
CSW	L23U	A 104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO		
			0020	AA3461	

Account No:

Statement No:

				Hours	
			NRS 40.695, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.15	24.75
	CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 40.695(2), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695 (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
	CSW L250	A103	ADJUSTER). DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE	0.05	8.25
	CW L320	A103	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) CLIENT'S REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION TO PLAINTIFF RE: TO REQUEST ALL DOCUMENTS SENT TO THE HOA MEMBERS REQUESTING AMENDED CC&R'S, ALL MEETING MINUTES REGARDING AMENDED CC&R'S, ALL COMMUNICATIONS REQUESTING	0.15	24.75
	CW L320	A104	AMENDED CC&R'S, ALL COMMONICATIONS REQUESTING AMENDED CC&R'S. [AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II] REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVADA SECRETARY OF STATE ENTITY SEARCH ENGINE RE: TO DETERMINE WHO THE HOA BOARD MEMBERS ARE IN PREPARATION TO PROVIDE TO ATTORNEY FOR ANALYSIS. [TIME IS TO BE SPLIT WITH 1287.558]	0.07	7.00
03/06/2019	PCB L250	A107	COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH COUNSEL FOR THE HOA RE: CONTINUING DISCUSSION AS TO WHY ONE OF THE PROPOSED ORDERS FROM THE RECENT HEARING, AS DRAFTED BY COUNSEL FOR THE HOA, IS NOT ACCEPTABLE TO THE DEVELOPER ENTITIES (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY		
	CSW L250	A104	SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS). REVIEW/EXHIBIT 1 (AFFIDAVIT OF OMAR HINDIYEH) FROM DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.05	9.25
	CSW L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE EXHIBIT 2 (JUDGE JOHNSON ORDER FROM SKY LAS VEGAS CASE INVOLVING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE) FROM DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR	0.10	16.50
			0021	AA3462	

Page: 22 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

				Hours	
CSW	L250	A104	AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) JUDGE JOHNSON COURT ORDER IN FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE, RE: COURT'S RULING AND LEGAL ANALYSIS OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE ISSUE IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT	0.35	57.75
CSW	L250	A104	TO NRS 11.202(1), (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE OF IMPORTANT NOTES AND IMPRESSIONS, RE: DEFENDANTS' ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR	0.30	49.50
CSW	L250	A104	AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE OF IMPORTANT NOTES AND IMPRESSIONS, RE: CLIENT BUILDER'S REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS TO DEFENDANTS' ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS	0.30	49.50
CSW	L250	A104	11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND	0.40	66.00
CSW	L250	A104	FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE OF IMPORTANT NOTES AND IMPRESSIONS, RE: CLIENT BUILDER'S REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS TO DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1),	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION MOTION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON	0.10	16.50

Page: 23 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

				Hours	
			STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/(BEGIN) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 DEFENDANT'S REPLY MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24		
CSW	L250	A104	- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 COMPLAINT, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D COURT ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A104	PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A104	BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A104	BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE:	0.05	8.25

Account No: 1287-Statement No:

				Harrina	
			ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON	Hours	
			BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN		
			BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
CSW	L250	Δ104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE	0.05	8.25
0011	2200	71101	NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D COMPLAINT, RE:		
			ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON		
			BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND		
			FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN		
			BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING	0.00	0.20
			COUNSEL, RE: APPROVAL OF STIPULATION REGARDING		
			HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR SUBMISSION TO COURT		
			(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON		
DDO	1.050	4400	1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: APPROVAL OF STIPULATION REGARDING HEARING ON		
			MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS		
			11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR SUBMISSION TO COURT		
			(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING		
			COUNSEL, RE: OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED ORDERS AND		
			LANGUAGE PROPOSED THEREIN, IN PREPARATION FOR EVALUATING AND RESPONDING TO SAME. (MOTION		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
DDC	1.050	A 4 O 4	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE HEARING TRANSCRIPT FROM FEBRUARY 12, 2019, TO EVALUATE THE COURT'S POSITION REGARDING		
			THE SUFFICIENCY OF THE CHAPTER 40 NOTICE REGARDING		
			WINDOW ISSUES AND WHETHER TRIABLE ISSUES OF FACT		
			EXIST, IN PREPARATION FOR PREPARING A RESPONSE TO OPPOSING COUNSEL RE: OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED		
			ORDERS AND LANGUAGE PROPOSED THEREIN (MOTION		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
DRG	L250	Δ103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE RESPONSE TO OPPOSING COUNSEL RE: OUR	0.20	33.00
DINO	L230	A100	ARGUMENTS AS TO WHY WE DISAGREE WITH OPPOSING		
			COUNSEL'S INTERPRETATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON		
			THE FEBRUARY 12, 2019 HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR RESPONDING TO COUNSEL REGARDING SAME. (MOTION		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
DRG	L250	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR STRATEGY FOR REPLY		

Page: 25 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

			. (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,	Hours	
			SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
03/07/2019	PCB L120	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR WORK ON REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE RE:		
	PCB L240	A101	(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS). PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE RE:	0.20	37.00
			(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS).	0.20	37.00
	CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 COMPLAINT, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24		
	CSW L250	A104	- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON ORDER FROM SKY LAS VEGAS CASE INVOLVING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE) FROM DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.30	49.50
	CSW L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.35	57.75
	CSW L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT	0.30	49.50
			0025	A A 3466	

Page: 26 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No: 15

		DINI DEDCTO CAME (ALL DRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION MORK	Hours	
CSW L250	) A104	BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON COURT ORDER IN FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE, RE: COURT'S	0.15	24.75
CSW L250	O A103	RULING AND LEGAL ANALYSIS OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE ISSUE IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE,	0.25	41.25
		(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
CSW L250	A103	ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE, RE:	0.55	90.75
CSW L250	O A103	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE,	0.65	107.25
CSW L250	O A104	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) HEARING TRANSCRIPTS FROM TUESDAY FEBRUARY 7, 2017, RE: COURT HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS TO ANALYZE AND DEVELOP	0.15	24.75
CSW L250	O A104	ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE LIPTAK V. DIANE APARTMENTS CASE, RE: COURT ANALYSIS AND APPELLATE DETERMINATION OF COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF TO HOA'S OPPOSITION MOTION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202 (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH	0.40	66.00
		TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0026	0.10 AA3467	16.50
			1113107	

Page: 27 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

CSW 1050 A404	DEVIEW/ANALYZE CADI SON V. DI ATT CASE, DE COURT	Hours	
	REVIEW/ANALYZE CARLSON V. BLATT CASE, RE: COURT ANALYSIS OF COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF TO HOA'S OPPOSITION MOTION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202 (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON	0.10	16.50
CSW L250 A104	BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANTS LAND WEST BUILDERS INC AND SUNRIDGE BUILDERS INC'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) AND OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND, RE: ASSESSMENT OF LEGAL ARGUMENTS AND COURT RULINGS ON SAME REGARDING	0.30	49.50
CSW L250 A104	THE COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) NUNC PRO TUNC ORDER GRANTING LANDS WEST BUILDERS INC'S JOINNG PARTIES AND SUNRIDGE BUILDERS INC'S JOINT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), RE: ASSESSMENT OF LEGAL ARGUMENTS AND COURT RULINGS ON SAME REGARDING THE COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION	0.20	33.00
DRG L250 A104	PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: THEIR ARGUMENTS AS TO WHY THEIR PROPOSED ORDERS ARE APPROPRIATE, IN PREPARATION FOR PREPARING OUR COMPETING ORDER REGARDING THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION	0.15	24.75
DRG L250 A103	HEARD ON FEBRUARY 12, 2019 (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION HEARD ON FEBRUARY 12, 2019, WITH THE LANGUAGE WE PROPOSED IN LIEU OF WHAT THE ASSOCIATION PROPOSED, BASED UPON OUR UNDERSTANDING AND READING OF THE COURT'S HEARING TRANSCRIPT (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.05	8.25
	PER ADJUSTER)  0027	0.40 AA3468	66.00

Page: 28 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No: 15

					Hours	
	DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE LETTER TO JUDGE JOHNSON, DEPARTMENT 22, RE: PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION HEARD ON FEBRUARY 12, 2019, WITH THE ARGUMENTS AS TO WHY OUR PROPOSED LANGUAGE IS MORE APPROPRIATE THAN WHAT THE ASSOCIATION'S PROPOSED ORDER PROVIDES. (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
	DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE LETTER TO JUDGE JOHNSON, DEPARTMENT 22, FROM COUNSEL FOR THE ASSOCIATION, RE: THE ASSOCIATION'S PROPOSED ORDERS AND LETTER EXPLAINING SAME, REGARDING THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION HEARD ON FEBRUARY 12, 2019, WITH THE ARGUMENTS AS TO WHY THEIR PROPOSED LANGUAGE IS MORE APPROPRIATE THAN WHAT THE OUR PROPOSED ORDER PROVIDES, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS PRESENTED TO DETERMINE WHETHER OBJECTION IS NECESSARY. (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
				TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
03/08/2019	PCB	L250	A107	COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH JAN DUFFALO (COVERAGE COUNSEL FOR SOMPO) RE:  , TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY		
	DRG	L120	A104	SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS). REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM JAN DUFFALO, RE:	0.05	9.25
	DRG	L120	A103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO JAN DUFFALO, RE:	0.05	8.25
					0.05	8.25
	DRG	L230	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NOTICE OF SPECIAL MASTER HEARING, FOR MARCH 14, 2019, IN ORDER TO PREPARE FOR SAME.	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L230	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE SPECIAL MASTER ONLINE HEARING CALENDAR, IN PREPARATION FOR SAME.	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L230	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE SPECIAL MASTER ORDER FOR CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER, CURRENT DEADLINES FOR REPORTS, IN PREPARATION FOR RESPONDING TO JAN DUFFALO IN	0.03	0.23
	DRG	L230	A104	REGARD TO SAME. REVIEW/ANALYZE SPECIAL MASTER ORDER HOLDING CASE AGENDA IN ABEYANCE, IN PREPARATION FOR RESPONDING	0.05	8.25
	CSW	L250	A108	TO JAN DUFFALO IN REGARD TO SAME.  COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CALL TO THE CLARK COUNTY BUILDING DEPARTMENT, RE: DEPARTMENT RECORDS FOR NOTICES OF COMPLETION FOR PANORAMA TOWERS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
	CSW	L250	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CALL TO JIM		
				0028	AA3469	

Page: 29 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No: 15

		Hours	
CSW L120 A104	DEMAR, MANAGER AT THE CLARK COUNTY BUILDING DEPARTMENT, RE: DEPARTMENT RECORDS FOR NOTICES OF COMPLETION FOR PANORAMA TOWERS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN REVIEW/ANALYZE INTERMOUNTAIN LUMBER AND BUILDERS SUPPLY, INC. V. GLENS FALLS INS. CO. COURT CASE RE MEANING OF GOOD CAUSE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED	0.05	8.25
CSW L120 A104	BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY LAW JOURNAL ARTICLE "IN SEARCH OF THE TRANSACTION OR OCCURRENCE: COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO	0.15	24.75
CSW L120 A104	BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NEV. STATE BANK V. JAMISON FAMILY P'SHIP NEVADA CASE DISCUSSED IN HOA'S OPPOSITION BRIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR	0.20	33.00
CSW L120 A104	SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NRCP 15(C), RE: RELATION BACK DOCTRINE FOR AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S	0.20	33.00
CSW L250 A104	OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) LOPEZ V. US HOME CORP NEVADA COURT CASE DISCUSSED IN HOA'S OPPOSITION BRIEF, IN	0.10	16.50
0014 1 652 1 432	PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW L250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 COMPLAINT, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW L250 A104	REVIÈW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON ÖRDER 0029	AA3470	

Page: 30 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

			FROM SKY LAS VEGAS CASE INVOLVING MOTION FOR	Hours	
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE)		
			FROM DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/		
			COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
			PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY		
			BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR		
			AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
			PER ADJUSTER	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE	0.10	21.70
			NUMBER 16A738730 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
			JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE:		
			ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON		
			NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON		
			BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND		
			FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN		
			BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	Λ104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE	0.15	24.75
COVV	LZJU	A10 <del>1</del>	NUMBER 16A738730 PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO		
			DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON		
			STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN		
			PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT		
			BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)	0.00	00.00
CSW	1.250	A 1 O 1	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
CSW	L250	A 104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON COURT ORDER IN FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE, RE: COURT'S		
			RULING AND LEGAL ANALYSIS OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE ISSUE		
			IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT		
			BUILDERS TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT		
			TO NRS 11.202(1), (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)		
00147			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE: ARGUMENTS		
			MADE BY HOA AND BUILDERS AS WELL AS ANALYSIS FROM JUDGE JOHNSON IN MOTIONS AND ORDER FOR SKY LAS		
			VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE:		
			ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON		
			NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON		
			BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND		
			FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN		
			BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.05	407.05
CCM	1.250	A 102	ADJUSTER)	0.65	107.25
COW	L250	A 103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE: BUILDER'S REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS TO HOA'S OPPOSITION MOTION TO		
			BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO		
			NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO		
			OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION		
			WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24		
			- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.95	156.75
CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE: BUILDER'S		
			0020	A A 2 4 7 1	

Page: 31 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No: 15

REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS TO HOA'S OPPOSITION MOTION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO	
OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24	9.00
SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	4.75
, ,	4.75
03/09/2019 CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE BOURNE VALLEY COURT TRUST V. WELLS FARGO BANK NA NEVADA COURT CASE CITED IN ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.15 24.	4.75
CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE RELIGIOUS TECHNOLOGY CENTER V. SCOTT NEVADA COURT CASE CITED IN ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24	3.00
CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DEFENDANTS LAND WEST BUILDERS INC AND SUNRIDGE BUILDERS INC'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) AND OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND, RE: ASSESSMENT OF LEGAL ARGUMENTS AND COURT RULINGS ON SAME REGARDING THE COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON	4.75
GRANTING LANDS WEST BUILDERS INC'S JOINNG PARTIES AND SUNRIDGE BUILDERS INC'S JOINT MOTION FOR $0031$ $\Delta \Delta 3472$	

Page: 32 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No: 15

SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), RE: ASSESSMENT OF LEGAL ARGUMENTS AND COUNT RULINGS ON SAME REGARDING THE COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERIL YN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWIANALYZE (CONTINUE) LOPEZ V. US HOME CORP NEVADA COUNT CASE DISCUSSED IN HOA'S OPPOSITION BRIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDERS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) CSW L250 A104 REVIEWIANALYZE GROP 13(B), RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDERS CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDERS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERIL'N BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) CSW L250 A104 REVIEWIANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDERS O CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES YADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW						Hours	
ON SAME REGARDING THE COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202 (I) PEPEPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILLYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER IL CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/MANALYZE (CONTINUE) LOPEZ V. US HOME CORP NEVADA COURT CASE DISCUSSED IN HOAS OPPOSITION BRIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILLYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/MANLYZE NRCP 13(B), RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/MANLYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS AND PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF O							
OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO   SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY   ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER IL CASE PER ADJUSTER)   0.15   24.75							
SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER'S HERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER IL CASE PER ADJUSTER)   0.15   24.75							
ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)							
CSW L250 A104 REVIEWANALYZE (CONTINUE) LOPEZ V. US HOME CORP NOTION BRIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 + 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWANALYZE RICCP 13(B), RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWANALYZE EXCUTIVE MANAGEMENT LITD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWANALYZE WENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF C					· ·		
NEWADA COURT CASE DISCUSSED IN HOA'S OPPOSITION BRIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11:202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE NRCP 13(B), RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11:202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP VISITED ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11:202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11:202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11:202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR	_	CIAI	1.050	A 4 O 4	,	0.15	24.75
BRIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATIONS OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  O.10 16.50  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE NRCP 13(B), RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER')  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATIONS O	C	5W	L250	A104			
SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE NRCP 13(B), RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S TO ONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR LEPLY BRIE							
PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWIANALYZE NRCP 13(B), RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWIANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWIANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWIANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWIANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REMAINS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REMAINS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PRE							
SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWIANALYZE NRCP 13(B), RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWIANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWIANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWIANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWIANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWIANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 1					, , ,		
CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYŽE NRCP 13(B), RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF TO BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF TO BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104							
COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SENTENCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SENTENCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BR	_	0147	1.050	4404		0.10	16.50
DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.05 8.25    CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.10 16.50    CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.15 24.75    CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.15 24.75    CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPL	C	SW	L250	A104			
OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) GPLIT HITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.15 24.75  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR CONSTRUCTION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT DURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.15 24.75  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  O.05 8.25  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION OFFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.15 24.75  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE					·		
V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE	C	SW	1.250	Δ104	•	0.05	8.25
COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE	C	300	LZJU	A104			
REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) O.10 16.50  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLIENT, BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.15 24.75  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE					COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S		
ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE					, ,	0.10	16 50
COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE	С	SW	L250	A104	,	0.10	10.00
CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE					·		
REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE					` , `		
CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE					PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE	С	SW	L250	A104			
PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.15 CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO  NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK  APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.15 24.75 CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE							
CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE					APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)		
·	^	C\A.	1.050	A 4 O 4		0.15	24.75
	Ü	<b>3</b> //	L25U	A 104	•	A A 2 472	

Page: 33 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

			NUMBER 16A738730 COMPLAINT, RE: ARGUMENTS	Hours	
			SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1),		
			IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION		
			WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24		
CCM	1.250	A 1 O 1	- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
CSW	L250	A 104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON ORDER FROM SKY LAS VEGAS CASE INVOLVING MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE)		
			FROM DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/		
			COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY		
			BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR		
			AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER		
			SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE	0.13	24.73
			NUMBER 16A738730 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
			JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE:		
			ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON		
			BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND		
			FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN		
			BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE	0.10	10.50
			NUMBER 16A738730 PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO		
			DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON		
			STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN		
			PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT		
			BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)	0.20	22.00
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON COURT	0.20	33.00
			ORDER IN FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE, RE: COURT'S		
			RULING AND LEGAL ANALYSIS OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE ISSUE IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT		
			BUILDERS TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT		
			TO NRS 11.202(1), (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE:	0.15	24.75
			(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH		
0011	1.050	4.400	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/(CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE:		

Page: 34 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V

Account No: 1287-5581V Statement No: 15

				Hours	
	CSW L250	A103	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE:	0.80	132.00
	CSW L250	A104	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/(CONTINUE) HEARING TRANSCRIPTS FROM TUESDAY FEBRUARY 7, 2017, RE: COURT HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS TO ANALYZE AND DEVELOR ARCHIMENTS IN	0.15	24.75
	CSW L250	A104	OF CLAIMS TO ANALYZE AND DEVELOP ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE	0.15	24.75
			NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
	CSW L250	A103	ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20 0.85	33.00 140.25
03/10/2019	DRG L230	A103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO JAN DUFFALO, RE:		
	PCB L120	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR NEXT STEPS IN THE LITIGATION SHOULD THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE NOT BE GRANTED RE:	0.10	16.50
			0034	A A 2 475	

Page: 35 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V

Account No: Statement No:

Panorama Tower II 5143220827859X-A PANORAMA TOWER II

03/11/2019

		Hours	
	(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT		27.00
CSW L250 A103	WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF ON	0.20	37.00
2200 7000	BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S		
	OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
	JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND		
	FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
	ADJUSTER)	0.80	132.00
CSW L250 A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF ON		
	BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S		
	OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
	JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN		
	BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
	ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
CSW L250 A103	DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) DRAFTING OPPOSITION BRIEF ON		
	BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN OPPOSITION TO ASSOCIATION'S		
	REQUEST FOR CONDITIONAL COUNTERMOTION TO EXTEND		
	TOLLING FOR THE BASIS OF GOOD CASE IN ORDER TO ADDRESS THE UNTIMELINESS OF THEIR CONSTRUCTION		
	DEFECT CLAIMS	0.80	132.00
CSW L250 A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF		
	BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF		
	TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT		
	TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)		
	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.95	156.75
CSW L250 A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF		
	BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF		
	TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT		
	TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)		
	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.45	74.25
CSW L250 A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE: BUILDER'S	0.10	0
	REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS TO HOA'S CONDITIONAL		
	COUNTERMOTION AS PART OF ITS OPPOSITION MOTION TO		
	BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO		
	THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNTERCLAIM REGARDING SAME (ALL		
	PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER		
	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
0014/ 1.050 4.400	PER ADJUSTER)	0.45	74.25
CSW L250 A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN OPPOSITION TO ASSOCIATION'S REQUEST		
	FOR CONDITIONAL COUNTERMOTION TO EXTEND TOLLING		
	FOR THE BASIS OF GOOD CAUSE IN ORDER TO ADDRESS THE		
	UNTIMELINESS OF THEIR CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS	0.15	24.75
JBV L320 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING		
55 LOZO A104	COUNSEL TO JUDGE JOHNSON, RE: PROPOSED ORDERS		
	RESOLVING MOTIONS HEARD AND REQUIREMENT TO SUBMIT		
	CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING DISPUTED ORDERS, IN		
	PREPARATION FOR ENSURING ATTORNEY HAS ALL		
	0035	ΛΛ2176	

Page: 36 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V

Account No: Statement No:

				Hours	
			NECESSARY MATERIAL FOR ANALYSIS PRIOR TO ARGUING DISPUTES (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.05	4.75
DRG	L120	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM JAN DUFFALO, RE:		
DRG	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM THE COURT, RE:	0.05	8.25
			APPROVAL OF THE ASSOCIATION'S ORDERS REGARDING THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING, IN PREPARATION		
			FORMULATING A RESPONSE (MOTIONS APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, EVALUATED	0.05	6.23
			WHETHER APPROVED CHANGES AND ALTERATIONS WERE ADOPTED. (MOTIONS APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
DRG	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE FILING NOTICE FROM THE COURT, RE:	0.05	8.25
			NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER FROM THE COURT, DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, EVALUATED WHETHER		
			APPROVED CHANGES AND ALTERATIONS WERE ADOPTED. (MOTIONS APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104		0.03	0.23
			STANDING, EVALUATED WHETHER APPROVED CHANGES AND ALTERATIONS WERE ADOPTED. (MOTIONS APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
DBC	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DNG	LZJU	A 104	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER FROM THE COURT, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING		
			STANDING, EVALUATED WHETHER APPROVED CHANGES AND ALTERATIONS WERE ADOPTED. (MOTIONS APPROVED BY		
DDO	1.050	A 4 O 4	ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A 104	REVIEW/ANALYZE FILING NOTICE FROM THE COURT, RE: NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER FROM THE COURT, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING		
			STANDING, EVALUATED WHETHER APPROVED CHANGES AND ALTERATIONS WERE ADOPTED. (MOTIONS APPROVED BY		
DPG	L250	Δ10 <i>1</i>	ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE LOPEZ V U.S. HOME US DISTRICT COURT	0.05	8.25
DITO	2200	7(101	CASE, RE: EVALUATED ARGUMENTS PRESENTED BY THE JUDGE, THE AREA OF LAW, THE APPLICABLE VERSION OF		
			THE STATUTE, IN ORDER TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS AS TO WHY IT IS DISTINGUISHABLE FROM THE INSTANT MATTER, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING THE DRAFTING OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN		
			BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	A A 2 4 7 7	

Page: 37 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA  0037	AA3478	
			COURT CASE, RE: NEVADA COURT INTERPRETATION AND DEFINITION OF COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS IN ORDER TO DETERMINE ARGUMENTS REBUTTING THE PROPOSITION THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S MARCH 1, 2017 COUNTERCLAIMS WERE COMPULSORY, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	DEMAR, MANAGER AT THE CLARK COUNTY BUILDING DEPARTMENT, RE: DEPARTMENT RECORDS FOR NOTICES OF COMPLETION FOR PANORAMA TOWERS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN REVIEW/ANALYZE MACDONALD V. KRAUSE NEVADA SUPREME	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A108	SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CALL TO JIM DEMAR MANAGER AT THE CLARK COLINTY BUILDING	0.20	33.00
CSW	L250	A104	FROM DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 DEFENDANT'S REPLY MOTION FOR	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON ORDER FROM SKY LAS VEGAS CASE INVOLVING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE)	0.10	16.50
DRG	L250		LITIGATION, CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION, OCCUPANCY AND OTHER PERTINENT DOCUMENTATION, IN ORDER TO PRESENT THE ARGUMENT THAT NOTICES OF COMPLETION DO NOT EXIST, TO COUNTER ARGUMENTS MADE BY THE ASSOCIATION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:	0.45	74.25
DRG	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CASE FILE MATERIALS FROM ORIGINAL	Hours 0.35	57.75

Page: 38 April 30, 2019 No: 1287-5581V

Account No: 1287-5581V Statement No: 15

AA3479

Panorama Tower II 5143220827859X-A PANORAMA TOWER II

		SUPREME COURT CASE, RE: NEVADA COURT	Hours	
		INTERPRETATION AND DEFINITION OF COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS IN ORDER TO DETERMINE ARGUMENTS		
		REBUTTING THE PROPOSITION THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S		
		MARCH 1, 2017 COUNTERCLAIMS WERE COMPULSORY, IN		
		PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER		
		SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
CSW L250 A		PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE LOPEZ V. US HOMES NEVADA DISTRICT	0.15	24.75
C3W L250 A		COURT CASE COMPLAINT, RE: BUILDER'S ARGUMENT FOR		
		TOLLING OF ONE YEAR GRACE PERIOD OF AB 125 AS PART		
		OF THEIR OPPOSITION MOTION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN		
		PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO OPPOSITION		
		TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED		
		BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
CSW L250 A		REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) LOPEZ V. US HOMES NEVADA	0.20	33.00
		DISTRICT COURT DEVELOPER'S MOTION TO DISMISS		
		ASSOCIATION'S CLAIMS ON THE BASIS OF UNTIMELINESS, RE: DEVELOPER'S ARGUMENT AGAINST TOLLING OF ONE YEAR		
		GRACE PERIOD OF AB 125 AS PART OF THEIR OPPOSITION		
		MOTION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
		PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND		
		FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN		
		BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	2.22	00.00
CSW L250 A		ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE:	0.20	33.00
7.		Divid method (Continue) method for the first first		
		(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION		
		WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24		
CSW L250 A		- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE YATES V. WASHOE COUNTY SCHOOL	0.10	16.50
CSW L250 A		DISTRICT NEVADA COURT CASE CITED IN ASSOCIATION'S		
		OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY FOR		
		SUMMARY JUDGMENT, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION		
		WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24		
		- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW L250 A		REVIEW/ANALYZE KIRKPATRICK V. LENOIR COUNTY BD. OF EDUCATION NEVADA COURT CASE CITED IN ASSOCIATION'S		
		OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY FOR		
		SUMMARY JUDGMENT, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING		
		REPLY BRIEF TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24		
		- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW L250 A	103	DRAFT/(CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE:		
		(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION		

0038

Page: 39 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

				Hours	
	CSW L2	50 A103	WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK	0.20	33.00
	CSW L2	50 A103	BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)		148.50
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.85	140.25
03/12/2019	PCB L19	90 A107	COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH PERSONAL COUNSEL FOR MJ DEAN (MARTIN LITTLE) RE:		
	DD0 10	550 A404	S PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.10	18.50
	DRG L2	.50 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,		
	DRG L2	50 A104	SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SUPPLEMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:	0.05	8.25
	DRG L2	50 A104	(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE STIPULATION AND ORDER FROM THE COURT REGARDING CONTINUANCE OF HEARING ON MOTION	0.05	8.25
	DRG L2	250 A103	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING NOTICE OF ENTRY OF SAME. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND	0.05	8.25
	DRG L2	250 A104	ORDER FROM THE COURT REGARDING CONTINUANCE OF HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE, (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL	0.05	8.25
			CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:		
			0039	AA3480	

Page: 40 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

				Hours	
DRG	L250	A104	(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DOCUMENTS FROM EXPERT, RE:	0.10	16.50
DRG	L250	A104	(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM EXPERT, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM EXPERT, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM EXPERT, RE:	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A108	(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITH EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:	0.05	8.25
			0040	AA3481	

Account No: Statement No:

15

				Hours	
DRG	L250	A104	(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) OFFICE OF THE CLARK COUNTY RECORDER, DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION FOR TOWER I, 4525 DEAN MARTIN DRIVE, NOTICES OF COMPLETION AND CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION, DOCUMENTS THAT FIT WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE STATUTE, CHAPTER 11, CONTINUED EVALUATION OF	0.10	16.50
DRG	L250	A104	DOCUMENTS AIMED AT REFUTING THE ASSOCIATION'S ARGUMENTS THAT NOTICES OF COMPLETION MAY HAVE BEEN RECORDED AFTER THE DATE OF SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION AS ALLEGED BY THE BUILDERS, FOR USE IN THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) OFFICE OF THE CLARK COUNTY RECORDER, DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION FOR TOWER II, 4575 DEAN MARTIN DRIVE, NOTICES OF COMPLETION AND CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION, DOCUMENTS THAT FIT WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE STATUTE, CHAPTER 11, CONTINUED EVALUATION OF DOCUMENTS AIMED AT REFUTING THE ASSOCIATION'S ARGUMENTS THAT NOTICES OF COMPLETION MAY HAVE	0.30	49.50
DRG	L250	A104	BEEN RECORDED AFTER THE DATE OF SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION AS ALLEGED BY THE BUILDERS, FOR USE IN THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) OFFICE OF THE CLARK COUNTY BUILDING DEPARTMENT, DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION FOR TOWER I, 4525 DEAN MARTIN DRIVE, NOTICES OF COMPLETION AND CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION, DOCUMENTS THAT FIT WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE STATUTE, CHAPTER 11, CONTINUED EVALUATION OF DOCUMENTS AIMED AT REFUTING THE ASSOCIATION'S	0.25	41.25
DRG	L250	A104	ARGUMENTS THAT NOTICES OF COMPLETION MAY HAVE BEEN RECORDED AFTER THE DATE OF SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION AS ALLEGED BY THE BUILDERS, FOR USE IN THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) OFFICE OF THE CLARK COUNTY BUILDING DEPARTMENT, DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION FOR TOWER II, 4575 DEAN MARTIN DRIVE, NOTICES OF COMPLETION AND CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION, DOCUMENTS THAT FIT WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE STATUTE, CHAPTER 11, CONTINUED EVALUATION OF DOCUMENTS AIMED AT REFUTING THE	0.40	66.00
			0041	AA3482	

Page: 42 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

				Hours	
			ASSOCIATION'S ARGUMENTS THAT NOTICES OF COMPLETION		
			MAY HAVE BEEN RECORDED AFTER THE DATE OF		
			SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION AS ALLEGED BY THE BUILDERS,		
			FOR USE IN THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
			TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.35	57.75
DRG	L310	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE HEARING TRANSCRIPT FROM FEBRUARY	0.00	01.70
			12, 2019, ANALYSIS OF SAME TO EVALUATE THE POSITION		
			TAKEN BY THE ASSOCIATION IN REGARD TO ITS ATTEMPT TO		
			AMEND THE CCR&S, IN ORDER TO DRAFT A LETTER TO THE		
			SPECIAL MASTER REQUESTING DISCOVERY ON THIS ISSUE.		
550	1010		(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
DRG	L310	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NOTES FROM FEBRUARY 12, 2019		
			HEARING, ANALYSIS OF SAME TO EVALUATE THE POSITION TAKEN BY THE ASSOCIATION IN REGARD TO ITS ATTEMPT TO		
			AMEND THE CCR&S, IN ORDER TO DRAFT A LETTER TO THE		
			SPECIAL MASTER REQUESTING DISCOVERY ON THIS ISSUE.		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
DRG	L310	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER, IN ORDER TO		
			EVALUATE PARAMETERS FOR SERVING SEPARATE		
			DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND THE SPECIAL MASTER'S		
			ANTICIPATED STANCE ON SAME, IN ORDER TO DRAFT A		
			LETTER TO THE SPECIAL MASTER REQUESTING DISCOVERY		
			ON THE ISSUE OF THE ASSOCIATION'S RECENT ATTEMPTS AT		
			AMENDING THE CC&R'S. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
DRG	L310	Δ104	REVIEW/ANALYZE SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE AGREEMENT,	0.10	10.50
DIXO	2010	71104	IN ORDER TO EVALUATE PRIOR SETTLEMENT TERMS AND		
			CONDITIONS, TO UTILIZE IN LETTER TO THE SPECIAL MASTER		
			REQUESTING DISCOVERY ON THE ISSUE OF THE		
			ASSOCIATION'S RECENT ATTEMPTS AT AMENDING THE		
			CC&R'S. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
DRG	L310	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE UPDATED PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT REPORT		
			FROM PRIOR LITIGATION, CHRIS ALLEN 2009 ARCHITECTURAL		
			DEFECT REPORT, RE: WINDOW DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, TO		
			UTILIZE IN LETTER TO THE SPECIAL MASTER REQUESTING DISCOVERY ON THE ISSUE OF THE ASSOCIATION'S RECENT		
			ATTEMPTS AT AMENDING THE CC&R'S. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II		
			CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
DRG	L310	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) INTERROGATORIES TO THE		
			ASSOCIATIONS, SET NO. 1, RE: COMMUNICATIONS AND		
			OTHER ASPECTS OF THE ASSOCIATION'S RECENT ATTEMPTS		
			AT AMENDING THE CC&R'S AND ENTITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR		
			REPAIRS TO THE WINDOWS AFTER THE ORIGINAL		
			LITIGATION, IN ORDER TO SERVE ON THE PARTIES AND THE		
			SPECIAL MASTER IN ANTICIPATION OF UPCOMING SPECIAL MASTER HEARING. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
			ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
DRG	L310	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION,	0.20	55.00
	•		SET NO. 1, TO THE ASSOCIATION, RE: COMMUNICATIONS AND		
			OTHER ASPECTS OF THE ASSOCIATION'S RECENT ATTEMPTS		
			AT AMENDING THE CC&R'S AND ENTITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR		
			REPAIRS TO THE WINDOWS AFTER THE ORIGINAL		
			LITIGATION, IN ORDER TO SERVE ON THE PARTIES AND THE		
			0042	A A 3483	

Page: 43 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

				Hours	
DRG	L310	A103	SPECIAL MASTER IN ANTICIPATION OF UPCOMING SPECIAL MASTER HEARING. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) LETTER TO SPECIAL MASTER, RE:	0.40	66.00
	-0.0		DISCOVERY REQUESTS, REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION AND INTERROGATORIES, ARGUMENTS WHY SAME ARE JUSTIFIED, INCLUSION OF PROCEDURAL AND FACTUAL HISTORY OF MATTER, AND PRIOR SETTLEMENT WITH DEFECT ALLEGATIONS PERTAINING TO WINDOWS, FOR SERVICE TO		
LSG	L250	A104	SPECIAL MASTER WITH PROPOSED DISCOVERY REQUESTS. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) LAW REVIEW ARTICLE BY DOUGLAS D. MCFARLAND, RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK	0.60	99.00
			DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION		
LSG	L250	A104	WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) NRCP 13 AND RULE NOTES, RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING	0.25	41.25
			MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,		
LSG	L250	A104	SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) HOLT V. REG'L TR. SERVS. CORP., 127 NEV. 886, 266 P.3D 602 (2011), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION	0.10	16.50
			BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION		
LSG	L250	A104	WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) CSA DEV., LLC V. BRYANT, 385 P.3D 611, 2016 WL 7105072, RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK	0.10	16.50
			DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION		
LSG	L250	A104	WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) HAWLEY V. KAUFMAN, 2008 NEV. UNPUB. LEXIS 1, RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE	0.10	16.50
			AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,		
			SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	A A 2 1 Q 1	
			1111/4 \$	// // // // // // // // // // // // //	

Account No:

Statement No:

				Hours	
LSG	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) BRADLEYS V. SHERRY N., 2015 WL 7356409, RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR	0.20	33.00
			DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,		
			SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
LSG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI, 133 NEV., ADV. OP. 78, 403 P.3D 364 (2017), RE: ANALYSIS OF		
			RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING		
			MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF		
			MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
LSG	L250	Δ104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) NEV. STATE BANK V. JAMISON	0.25	41.25
200	2200	71101	FAMILY P'SHIP, 106 NEV. 792, 801 P.2D 1377 (1990), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY		
			COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF		
			REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.25	44.05
LSG	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) BOURNE VALLEY COURT TRUST V. WELLS FARGO BANK, 2019 WL 177467 (D. NEV. JAN. 10, 2019),	0.25	41.25
			RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR		
			DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT		
			OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,		
LSG	L250	A 1 O 4	SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) YATES V. WASHOE COUNTY SCH.	0.15	24.75
LOG	L230	A104	DIST., 2019 WL 3256576 (D. NEV. OCT. 31, 2007), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY		
			COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF		
			REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.45	04.75
LSG	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) ARMSTRONG V. LOGSDON, 469 S.W.2D 342 (KY. 1971), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK	0.15	24.75
			DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE		
			REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR	A A 2 1 Q 5	

Page: 45 April 30, 2019 t No: 1287-5581V

Account No: 1287-5581V Statement No: 15

			DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY	Hours	
			JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION		
			WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON		
			01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
LSG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) AZADA V. CARSON, 252 F.SUPP. 988		
			(D. AW. 1966), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE		
			AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR		
			DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT		
			OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE		
			OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,		
			SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
			PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
LSG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) LEWIS V. MERRILL, 365 P.2D 1052		
			(OR. 1961), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND		
			COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF		
			SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT		
			OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE		
			OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,		
			SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
			PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
LSG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) ALLIE V. IONATA, 503 SO.2D 1237		
			(FLA. 1987), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR		
			DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF		
			SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT		
			OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE		
			OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,		
			SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.40	10.50
LSG	L250	Δ104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) WOOD V. CARPENTER, 101 U.S.	0.10	16.50
LOG	L230	A104	135, 139 (1879), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE		
			AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR		
			DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF		
			SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT		
			OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE		
			OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,		
			SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
LSG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMORANDUM, RE:	0.10	10.50
			(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.60	99.00
CSW	L 250	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CALL TO JIM	0.00	99.00
0011	LZOO	71100	DELMAR, MANAGER AT THE CLARK COUNTY BUILDING		
			DEPARTMENT, RE: DEPARTMENT RECORDS FOR NOTICES OF		
			COMPLETION FOR PANORAMA TOWERS, IN PREPARATION		
			FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO		
			BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO		
			NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)		
			0045	A A 2/196	

Page: 46 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No:

Statement No:

				Hours	
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CALL FROM JIM		
			DEMAR, MANAGER AT THE CLARK COUNTY BUILDING		
			DEPARTMENT, RE: DEPARTMENT RECORDS FOR NOTICES OF		
			COMPLETION FOR PANORAMA TOWERS, IN PREPARATION		
			FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO		
			BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO		
			NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A104	,		
			BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
			PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR		
			DRAFTING REPLY TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION		
			WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24	0.00	00.00
CCM	1.050	A 1 O 1	- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
CSW	L250	A 104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) LOPEZ V. US HOME CORP		
			NEVADA COURT CASE SUBSTANTIVE MOTIONS FROM PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANTS, DISCUSSED IN HOA'S		
			OPPOSITION BRIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY		
			TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL		
			PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER		
			SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
			PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE		
			NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D COURT ORDER OF		
			FINDINGS OF FACT AND LAW, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN		
			PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT		
			BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON ORDER		
			FROM SKY LAS VEGAS CASE INVOLVING MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE)		
			FROM DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
			PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY		
			BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR		
			AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER		
			SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
			PER ADJUSTER	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY LAW		
			JOURNAL ARTICLE "IN SEARCH OF THE TRANSACTION OR		
			OCCURRENCE: COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR		
			DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO		
			BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO		
			NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)	c	04
00147	1.050	A 400	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L25U	A 103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE:		

Page: 47 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No: 15

Panorama Tower II 5143220827859X-A PANORAMA TOWER II

03/13/2019

		(ALL DRIOD AND FUTURE MOTION	Hours	
CSW L250	A104	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) ASSOCIATION'S COUNTERMOTION FOR ADDITIONAL TOLLING PURSUANT TO	0.20	33.00
CSW L250	A103	NRS 40.695(2), IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE:	0.20	33.00
CSW L250	A103	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK	0.20	33.00
CSW L250	A103	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT	0.85	140.25
CSW L250	A103	TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT	0.80	132.00
CSW L250	A103	TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN OPPOSITION TO ASSOCIATION'S REQUEST FOR CONDITIONAL COUNTERMOTION TO EXTEND TOLLING FOR THE BASIS OF GOOD CAUSE IN ORDER TO ADDRESS THE UNTIMELINESS OF THEIR CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS	0.10 0.65	16.50 107.25
PCB L310	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (FINALIZE) PROPOSED SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO THE HOA (ADDING TO BOTH DOCUMENTS ADDITIONAL SECTIONS ON UNIT 300, THE HISTORY OF CC&R AMENDMENTS, HISTORY OF REPAIRS, HISTORY OF MAINTENANCE, AND COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN THE BOARD AND THE HOA MEMBERS; AS WELL AS FINALIZATION OF LETTER TO SPECIAL MASTER HALE EXPLAINING WHY THE PROPOSED WRITTEN DISCOVERY SHOULD BE APPROVED (AS		
LSG L250	A104	PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) OPPOSING PARTY'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF	1.10	203.50
		0047	Δ Δ 3.4 Ω Ω	

Page: 48 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

				Hours	
			REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENT FOR COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RELATION BACK DOCTRINE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON		
LSG	L250	A103	01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF	0.20	33.00
			REPOSE, RE: ANALYSIS ON OPPOSING PARTY'S ARGUMENT ON COUNTERCLAIMS AND RELATION BACK DOCTRINE (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
JBV	L320	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE DISCOVERY SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF	0.10	16.50
			CLIENT WITHIN PREVIOUS FILES (1287.517 AND 1287.519), RE: DETERMINING IF CLIENT SUBMITTED RESPONSES TO CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER SUCH AS SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, INSURANCE QUESTIONNAIRE AND STATEMENT OF WORK, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY INSURANCE QUESTIONNAIRE INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTATION RELATING TO THE SAME, AS REQUESTED(AS PER CARRIER		
CSW	L120	A104	DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).  PEVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) PLUI DEDIS SEPTEMBER 28	0.45	42.75
CSW	L120	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) BUILDER'S SEPTEMBER 28, 2016 COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, RE: ARGUMENTS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION PAPERS TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) SPECIFICALLY IN REGARDS TO ASSOCIATION'S ARGUMENT JUSTIFYING ITS COUNTERCLAIMS AS COMPULSORY, IN PREPARATION TO DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED		
CSW	L250	A103	BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF	0.10	16.50
			TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)		
CSW	L250	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)	0.95	156.75
CSW	L250	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK	0.45	74.25
CSW	L250	A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE OLSON V. IACOMETTI NEVADA SUPREME COURT CASE, RE: PARAMETERS AND DETERMINATION OF WHEN A COUNTERCLAIM IS DETERMINED TO BE	0.40	66.00
			COMPULSORY VERSUS PERMISSIVE UNDER NRCP 13(A), IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION		
			0048	A A 2/180	

Page: 49 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

				Hours	
			FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	Hours	
CSW	L250	A103	PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN OPPOSITION TO ASSOCIATION'S REQUEST FOR CONDITIONAL COUNTERMOTION TO EXTEND TOLLING FOR THE BASIS OF GOOD CAUSE IN ORDER TO ADDRESS THE UNTIMELINESS OF THEIR CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	24.75
DRG	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE LOPEZ V US HOME CORP MOTION TO DISMISS FILED ON AUGUST 1, 2016, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN THE MOTION, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE BASIS FOR THE JUDGE'S DENIAL OF THE MOTION, TO DISTINGUISH THE ARGUMENTS BY THE JUDGE AND THE RULING IN THE LOPEZ CASE, BECAUSE IT WAS CITED TO AND RELIED UPON IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE STATUTE OF REPOSE, IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING/FINALIZING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.45	74.25 90.75
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE LOPEZ V US HOME CORP OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS BASED UPON STATUTE OF REPOSE,, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN THE MOTION, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE BASIS FOR THE JUDGE'S DENIAL OF THE MOTION, TO DISTINGUISH THE ARGUMENTS BY THE JUDGE AND THE RULING IN THE LOPEZ CASE, BECAUSE IT WAS CITED TO AND RELIED UPON IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE STATUTE OF REPOSE, IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING/FINALIZING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
DRG	L250	A104	ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE LOPEZ V US HOME CORP REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS BASED UPON STATUTE OF REPOSE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN THE MOTION, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE BASIS FOR THE JUDGE'S DENIAL OF THE MOTION, TO DISTINGUISH THE ARGUMENTS BY THE JUDGE AND THE RULING IN THE LOPEZ CASE, BECAUSE IT WAS CITED TO AND RELIED UPON IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE STATUTE OF REPOSE, IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING/FINALIZING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.65	107.25
DRG	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE ORDER DENYING LOPEZ V US HOME CORP MOTION TO DISMISS FILED ON AUGUST 1, 2016, IN ORDER TO	0.60	99.00

Page: 50 April 30, 2019 No: 1287-5581V

Account No: 1287-5581V Statement No: 15

Panorama Tower II 5143220827859X-A PANORAMA TOWER II

03/14/2019

	EVALUATE THE ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN THE MOTION AND THE JUDGE'S ORDER, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE BASIS FOR THE JUDGE'S DENIAL OF THE MOTION, TO DISTINGUISH THE ARGUMENTS BY THE JUDGE AND THE RULING IN THE LOPEZ CASE, BECAUSE IT WAS CITED TO AND RELIED UPON IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE STATUTE OF REPOSE, IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING/FINALIZING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	Hours	
DRG L250 A104	ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) PROPERTY RECORDS FROM THE PANORAMA TOWERS, RE: ANALYSIS OF CLOSE OF ESCROW OF HOMEOWNERS THROUGHOUT THE TOWERS, TO MAKE THE ARGUMENT THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S ARGUMENTS THAT SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION OCCURRED LATER THAN SHOWN ON THE CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY INCLUDED IN OUR MOTION FAILS, AS THIS WAS THE ARGUMENT PRESENTED IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING/FINALIZING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.35	57.75
DRG L250 A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) PROPERTY RECORDS FROM PANORAMA TOWER I, PERMIT NUMBER 04-36699 (88 PAGES OF INSPECTIONS), RE: ANALYSIS OF BUILDING INSPECTION HISTORY, TO COUNTER THE ASSOCIATION'S ARGUMENTS THAT SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION OCCURRED LATER THAN SHOWN ON THE CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY INCLUDED IN OUR MOTION FAILS, AS THIS WAS THE ARGUMENT PRESENTED IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING/FINALIZING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.30	49.50
JBV L320 A104	ADJUSTER).  REVIEW/ANALYZE CLARK COUNTY ASSESSOR DOCUMENTATION FOR TOWER I AND TOWER II, RE: DETERMINING CLOSE OF ESCROW DATES FOR TEN UNITS RANDOMLY SELECTED, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY FOR ENCLOSING AS EXHIBITS TO REPLY BRIEF TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 01-24-2019) (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE -	0.40	66.00
JBV L320 A103	TOWER II).  DRAFT/REVISE CLOSE OF ESCROW MATRIX OF TEN UNITS RANDOMLY SELECTED FROM TOWERS I AND II, RE: IDENTIFYING UNITS, TOWER AND CLOSE OF ESCROW DATE RANGE TO PROVIDE ATTORNEY FOR ANALYSIS AS ASSESSOR DOCUMENTATION WILL BE ENCLOSED AS EXHIBITS TO REPLY BRIEF TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDERS'	0.45	42.75

Account No: 1287-5 Statement No:

				Hours	
			MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS	riours	
			11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED		
			BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 01-24-2019) (AS PER		
			CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME		
DOD	1 000	A 4 O 4	CASE - TOWER II).	0.10	9.50
PCB	L390	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR TODAY'S SPECIAL MASTER HEARING RE: GOING OVER THE ISSUES THAT WILL BE		
			ADDRESSED AT THE MEDIATION AND OUTLINING POSITIONS		
			TO TAKE ON EACH ISSUE (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME		
			SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.15	27.75
PCB	L390	A109	APPEAR FOR/ATTEND SPECIAL MASTER HEARING (NO		
			TRAVEL TIME INCLUDED IN THIS ENTRY, AS PER CARRIER		
			DIRECTIVE TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE -		
			TOWER II; AS PER APPROVAL OF SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS,		
			TWO ATTORNEYS FROM BWB&O PRE-APPROVED FOR ATTENDANCE AT THIS HEARING).	0.50	92.50
PCB	L390	A109	APPEAR FOR/ATTEND SPECIAL MASTER HEARING (SEPARATE	0.50	32.00
. 02		71.00	TRAVEL TIME, BILLED AT 1/2 REGULAR HOURLY RATE, AS PER		
			CARRIER DIRECTIVE TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME		
			CASE - TOWER II; AS PER APPROVAL OF SHERILYN BRYDON		
			OF ESIS, TWO ATTORNEYS FROM BWB&O PRE-APPROVED		
00147	1.050	A 4 O 4	FOR ATTENDANCE AT THIS HEARING).	0.40	74.00
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE COHN V. RITZ TRANSPORTATION INC NEVADA DISTRICT COURT ORDER, RE: PARAMETERS OF		
			COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIM AS APPLIED BY THE NINTH		
			CIRCUIT AND INTERPRETED BY THE EIGHTH DISTRICT COURT		
			OF NEVADA, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF		
			ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE		
			MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON		
00144	1.050	A 4 O 4	ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
CSW	L250	A104			
			NINTH CIRCUIT FEDERAL CASE PARAMETERS OF COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIM AS APPLIED BY THE NINTH		
			CIRCUIT AND INTERPRETED BY THE EIGHTH DISTRICT COURT		
			OF NEVADA, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF		
			ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE		
			MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON		
			ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.35	57.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE SPARROW V. MAZDA AMERICA CREDIT		
			FEDERAL COURT CASE PARAMETERS OF COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIM AS APPLIED BY THE NINTH CIRCUIT AND		
			INTERPRETED BY THE EIGHTH DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA.		
			IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF		
			BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE POCHIRO V. PRUDENTIAL CO. OF AMERICA		
			NINTH CIRCUIT COURT CASE PARAMETERS OF COMPULSORY		
			COUNTERCLAIM AS APPLIED BY THE NINTH CIRCUIT AND INTERPRETED BY THE EIGHTH DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA,		
			IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF		
			BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CLARK COUNTY RECORDER OFFICIAL		
			0051	A A 2 4 O 2	

Page: 52 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

			DECORDO ANALYGIO DE DECORDED DOCUMENTO	Hours	
			RECORDS: ANALYSIS OF RECORDED DOCUMENTS		
			PERTAINING TO TOWERS I AND II FOR NOTICES OF		
			COMPLETION THAT COULD SUPPORT ASSOCIATION'S		
			POSITION IN OPPOSITION PAPERS FOR ISSUE OF MATERIAL		
			FACT BASED ON THE SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION DATE OF		
			THE PROPERTIES IN ORDER TO OBTAIN RECORDS		
			JUSTIFYING BUILDERS POSITION, IN PREPARATION REPLY		
			BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S		
			OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
			JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND		
			FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN		
			BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.00	40.50
00144	1.050	A 4 O 4	ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVADA COURT WEBSITE ANALYSIS OF		
			JOINDERS TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT		
			TO THE APPLICATION OF STATUTE OF REPOSE FOR SKY LAS		
			VEGAS CONDOMINIUM CASE, RE: ANALYSIS OF PREVIOUSLY		
			HELD ARGUMENTS INVOLVING THE STATUTE OF REPOSE, IN		
			PREPARATION REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS TO		
			ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION		
			FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL		
			PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER		
			SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.45	04.75
DBC	L230	۸ ۱ ۸ ۸	PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
DKG	L230	A 109	APPEAR FOR/ATTEND UPCOMING SPECIAL MASTER HEARING, RE: ANALYSIS OF LETTER AND ARGUMENTS FOR DISCOVERY		
			AND ANALYSIS OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS, ANALYSIS OF		
			CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER, ANALYSIS OF HEARING		
			TRANSCRIPT WHERE AMENDMENTS TO CC&R'S WAS RAISED,		
			ANALYSIS OF CC&RS THEMSELVES REGARDING PROVISIONS		
			FOR AMENDMENTS, DRAFTED NOTES AND ARGUMENTS TO		
			RAISE WITH SPECIAL MASTER (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
			PER ADJUSTER)	0.85	140.25
DRG	L230	A109	APPEAR FOR/ATTEND SPECIAL MASTER HEARING WITH	0.00	110.20
5.10		,,,,,,	FLOYD HALE, RE: ARGUMENTS RAISED REGARDING		
			DISCOVERY SCHEDULING, SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES AND		
			REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION PROPOUNDED UPON THE		
			ASSOCIATION (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.35	57.75
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) PROPERTY RECORDS FROM		
			PANORAMA TOWER II, PERMIT NUMBER 05-2857 (102 PAGES		
			OF INSPECTIONS), RE: ANALYSIS OF BUILDING INSPECTION		
			HISTORY, TO COUNTER THE ASSOCIATION'S ARGUMENTS		
			THAT SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION OCCURRED LATER THAN		
			SHOWN ON THE CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY INCLUDED IN		
			OUR MOTION FAILS, AS THIS WAS THE ARGUMENT		
			PRESENTED IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING/FINALIZING REPLY IN		
			SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON		
			STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN		
			BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
			ADJUSTER). (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.45	74.25
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) RECENT NEVADA CASE LAW ON		
			THE POSITION THAT COUNTERCLAIMS TO DECLARATORY		
			RELIEF-ONLY ACTIONS ARE NOT COMPULSORY, BUT RATHER,		
			MERELY PERMISSIVE, TO COUNTER THE ASSOCIATION'S		

Page: 53 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

				Hours	
			ARGUMENTS THAT THEIR CLAIMS WERE COMPULSORY AND THEREFORE RELATE BACK TO THE FILING OF THE BUILDERS' COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING/FINALIZING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II		
DRG	L250	A104	CASE PER ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) BOCA PARK MARKETPLACE SYNDICATIONS GRP. V. HIGCO, INC., THE POSITION THAT COUNTERCLAIMS TO DECLARATORY RELIEF-ONLY ACTIONS ARE NOT COMPULSORY, BUT RATHER, MERELY PERMISSIVE, TO COUNTER THE ASSOCIATION'S ARGUMENTS THAT THEIR CLAIMS WERE COMPULSORY AND THEREFORE RELATE BACK TO THE FILING OF THE BUILDERS' COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING/FINALIZING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.80	132.00
DRG	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE FOSTER V GREYSTONE MOTION TO DISMISS REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE FILED ON APRIL	0.30	49.50
			15, 2016, INCLUDING EXHIBITS, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN THE MOTION, TO ANALOGIZE THE ARGUMENTS BY THE JUDGE AND THE RULING IN THE FOSTER CASE, TO RELY UPON IT TO COUNTER THE ARGUMENTS IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING/FINALIZING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		400.00
DRG	L250	A104	ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE FOSTER V GREYSTONE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS BASED UPON STATUTE OF REPOSE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN THE MOTION, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE BASIS FOR THE JUDGE'S RULING ON THE MOTION, TO ANALOGIZE THE ARGUMENTS BY THE JUDGE AND THE RULING IN THE FOSTER CASE, TO RELY UPON IT TO COUNTER THE ARGUMENTS IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING/FINALIZING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.80	132.00
DRG	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.65	107.25

Page: 54 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

			APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	Hours	
			TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.60	99.00
03/15/2019	PCB L240	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (FINALIZE) REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE AS WELL AS THE OPPOSITION TO THE HOA'S COUNTER-MOTION TO EXTEND THE TIME FOR FILING OF THE COUNTERCLAIM RE: ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION AS TO WHY THE STATUTE HAS NOT BEEN TOLLED, WHY THE OVERALL POSITION OF THE HOA DOES NOT REFLECT REALITY GIVEN THE CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY DATES, AND WHY THE RELIEF BEING REQUESTED BY THE HOA REQUIRES THE COURT TO DISREGARD SEVERAL EQUITABLE AND LEGAL POSITIONS THAT ARE IN FAVOR OF THE BUILDERS (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY	0.70	400.50
	JBV L320	A104	SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS). REVIEW/ANALYZE EXHIBITS F THROUGH K OF CLIENT'S REPLY BRIEF TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), RE: VERIFYING EACH IS BATES LABELED SHOULD IT BE OVER 10 PAGES, IN PREPARATION FOR ENSURING ALL EXHIBITS ARE IN COMPLIANCE WITH E.D.C.R. 2.27 SAME REPLY BRIEF TO MOTION AS YESTERDAY (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 01-24-2019) (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME	0.70	129.50
	DRG L250	A104	SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE HEARING TRANSCRIPT FROM SKY LAS VEGAS CASE, MOTION FOR SUMMARY ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE ARGUMENTS PRESENTED AT THE HEARING, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE BASIS FOR THE JUDGE'S RULING ON THE MOTION, TO COMPARE AND CONTRAST THE ARGUMENTS BY THE JUDGE AND THE RULING IN THAT CASE, TO RELY UPON IT TO COUNTER THE ARGUMENTS IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING/FINALIZING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.15	14.25
	DRG L250	A104	ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY ON STATUTE OF REPOSE FROM SKY LAS VEGAS CASE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE ARGUMENTS PRESENTED AT THE HEARING, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE BASIS FOR THE JUDGE'S RULING ON THE MOTION, TO COMPARE AND CONTRAST THE ARGUMENTS BY THE JUDGE AND THE RULING IN THAT CASE, TO RELY UPON IT TO COUNTER THE ARGUMENTS IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING/FINALIZING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.55	90.75
	DRG L250	A104	ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE BYRNE V SUNRIDGE BUILDERS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING	0.40	66.00

Page: 55 April 30, 2019 ınt No: 1287-5581V

Account No: 1287-5581V Statement No: 15

			Hours	
		STATUTE OF REPOSE AND THE COURT'S ORDER REGARDING SAME, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE BASIS FOR THE JUDGE'S RULING ON THE MOTION, TO COMPARE AND CONTRAST THE ARGUMENTS BY THE JUDGE AND THE RULING IN THAT CASE, TO RELY UPON IT TO COUNTER THE ARGUMENTS IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION, IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING/FINALIZING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		74.25
DRG L250	A103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: DEVELOPED THE INTRODUCTION AND ARGUMENTS REGARDING WHY OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT IS SUFFICIENTLY SUPPORTED UNDER THE LAW REGARDING SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN NEVADA AND WHY THE ASSOCIATION HAS FAILED TO MEET ITS BURDEN IN RESPONSE, AND ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING THE POSITION THAT SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION OCCURRED ON THE DATE CONTAINED IN THE CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY AND WHY ANY OTHER INTERPRETATION IS MISGUIDED, INCLUDING THE ARGUMENT THAT IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE FOR A LATER SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION DATE TO IMPACT THE BUILDERS', THUS THE COURT'S, ANALYSIS OF AB 125 IN RELATION TO NRS 40.695 (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT	0.45	74.20
DRG L250	A103	WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS REGARDING ACCRUAL AND COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION BASED UPON ANALYSIS OF THE LOPEZ, FOSTER AND SKY CASES, TWO OF WHICH WERE CITED IN THE ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO OUR MOTION, AND ADDED TO ARGUMENTS REGARDING THE TOLLING PROVISIONS, AND ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS INTO HOW THE SKY COURT'S RULING, THE FOSTER COURT'S RULING, THE LOPEZ COURT'S RULING, AND THE BYRNE V SUNRIDGE HEIGHTS CASE RULING, IN ORDER TO DEVELOP THE ARGUMENTS THAT THOSE CASES IN FACT SUPPORT OUR POSITION AND HURT THE ASSOCIATION'S POSITION, NOT THE OTHER WAY AROUND. (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON		57.75
DRG L250	A103	1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS IN REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENTS RAISED BY THE ASSOCIATION REGARDING COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RELATION-BACK, SUPPLEMENTAL OUR ARGUMENTS MADE WITH NEW CASE LAW FOUND THAT SHOWS THAT COUNTER-CLAIMS, AND DRAFTED ANALYSIS OF THE LOGICAL RELATIONSHIP TEST AND WHY THE BUILDERS' ACTION AND THE ASSOCIATION'S ACTION FAIL THAT TEST, WHICH HAS BEEN ADOPTED BY NEVADA COURTS, ALL IN SUPPORT OF ARGUMENT THAT COUNTERCLAIMS TO DECLARATORY RELIEF ACTIONS ARE PRESUMPTIVELY PERMISSIVE AND NOT COMPULSORY LIKE THE ASSOCIATION SUGGESTS (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.65 10	07.25

Account No:

Statement No: 15

	DRG L250	A103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: PREPARED THE AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION, AFFIDAVIT ATTESTING TO EFFORTS MADE WITH RESPECT TO CONTACTING RELEVANT COUNTY DEPARTMENTS TO SUPPORT OUR ARGUMENTS THAT SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION OF THE TOWERS WAS AS WE ALLEGED IN OUR ORIGINAL MOTION, AND ATTESTING TO THE AUTHENTICITY OF OUR EXHIBITS IN OUR REPLY BRIEF. (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	Hours 0.85	140.25
	DRG L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE EXHIBITS TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, TO ENSURE THAT THE EXHIBITS ONLY SUPPORT AND DO NOT IN ANY WAY DETRACT FROM OUR MOTION'S STRENGTH OR THE ARGUMENTS WE MAKE, IN PARTICULAR WITH RESPECT TO THE ARGUMENTS ABOUT SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION, IN PREPARATION FOR FINALIZING AND GETTING THE MOTION FILED (MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.30	49.50 57.75
03/16/2019	PCB L120	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE RE:  (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; WORK ON ALL MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS).	0.25	46.25
03/18/2019	PCB L190	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE EMAIL FROM PERSONAL COUNSEL FOR MJ DEAN (MARTY LITTLE),  (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.15	27.75
03/19/2019	PCB L240	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE RE:		
	DRG L120	A101	PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS). PLAN AND PREPARE (BEGIN) STRATEGY FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE AND COUNTERMOTION, RE: ANALYSIS OF PRIOR CASES AND JUDICIAL RULINGS, BOTH IN DEPARTMENT 22	0.40	74.00
			0056	AA3497	

Account No: Statement No:

				Hours	
			AND OTHERS, ANALYSIS OF BEST APPROACH TO MAKE ARGUMENTS AND THE ORDER IN WHICH TO ARGUE THEM, CONSIDERATION OF HOW THE JUDGE WOULD RULE BASED UPON HER PRIOR RULINGS ON SOME OF OUR ARGUMENTS, AND WHETHER TO EMPHASIZE CERTAIN ARGUMENTS OVER OTHERS BASED UPON THIS JUDGE'S INCLINATION FOR CERTAIN ARGUMENTS AS EVIDENCED BY PRIOR RULINGS (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.60	99.00
	DRG L120	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE AND COUNTERMOTION, RE: ANALYSIS OF PRIOR CASES AND JUDICIAL RULINGS, SPECIAL ANALYSIS OF MOTION TO RECONSIDER THE JUDGE'S ORDER IN THE FOSTER V GREYSTONE CASE REGARDING THE MOTION TO DISMISS SOME OF THE CLAIMANTS, ANALYSIS OF THAT MOTION AND THE JUDGE'S ORDER GRANTING THE MOTION TO RECONSIDER HER RULING, TO EVALUATE THE BASIS FOR THE CHANGE IN POSITION AND WHETHER IT IMPACTS THE ANALYSIS IN OUR PENDING MOTION (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
	DRG L120	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE FILING NOTICE FROM THE COURT, RE: THE ASSOCIATION'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF COUNTERMOTION CHALLENGING OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, IN PREPARATION FOR FURTHER ANALYSIS OF SAME. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II PER ADJUSTER)	0.95 0.05	156.75 8.25
03/20/2019	DRG L120	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE AND COUNTERMOTION, RE: ANALYSIS OF PRIOR CASES AND JUDICIAL RULINGS, BOTH IN DEPARTMENT 22 AND OTHERS, ANALYSIS OF BEST APPROACH TO MAKE ARGUMENTS AND THE ORDER IN WHICH TO ARGUE THEM, CONSIDERATION OF HOW THE JUDGE WOULD RULE BASED UPON HER PRIOR RULINGS ON SOME OF OUR ARGUMENTS, AND WHETHER TO EMPHASIZE CERTAIN ARGUMENTS OVER OTHERS BASED UPON THIS JUDGE'S INCLINATION FOR CERTAIN ARGUMENTS AS EVIDENCED BY PRIOR RULINGS (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
	DRG L120	A103	ADJUSTER).	0.20 0.05	33.00 8.25
03/21/2019	PCB L130	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE FROM MKA CONSULTANTS (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).  0057	0.10 AA3498	18.50

Page: 58 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V

Account No: Statement No: 15

					Hours	
	JBV	L320	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) WITH CLIENT'S EXPERT,		
	DRG	L250	A104	, AS REQUESTED BY ATTORNEY. REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,	0.10	9.50
	DRG	L250	A103	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L250	A104	BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR	0.10	16.50
	DDC	1.250	A402	SUMMARY JUDGMENT (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
	DKG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF HEARING DEADLINE ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON ON	0.45	24.75
				1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
03/22/2019	DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF HEARING DEADLINE ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON ON		
	DRG	L250	A103	1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L250	A104	BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR	0.05	8.25
				SUMMARY JUDGMENT (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.03	0.23
	DRG	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L250	A104	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ORDER GRANTING OUR STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND HEARING DEADLINE ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, TO VERIFY THAT THE JUDGE EXECUTED SAME WITHOUT CONDITION	0.05	8.25
				(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON ON	A A 2 400	
				0058	AA3499	

Page: 59 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No: Statement No:

	DRG	L250	A104	1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE LETTER FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL TO THE SPECIAL MASTER, RE: REQUESTING AN EXTENSION OF RE-HEARING, IN ORDER TO DETERMINE WHETHER OBJECTION OR CLARIFICATION IS NEEDED. (MOTION	Hours 0.05	8.25
				APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
03/25/2019	PCB	L120	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) COURT RULING ON DECLARATORY RELIEF ACTION IN ORDER TO MAKE FINAL DETERMINATION AS TO WHETHER THERE IS A BASIS FOR EITHER A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OR A MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; AS PER SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS, ALL WORK ON MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED).	0.40	74.00
	PCB	L320	A107	COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH COUNSEL FOR THE HOA RE: FOLLOW-UP ON HIS PROGRESS IN OBTAINING THE DOCUMENTATION THAT THE SPECIAL MASTER AGREED COULD BE PRODUCED NOW RATHER THAN WAITING FOR THE COURT'S RULING ON THE LATEST MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.10	18.50
	DRG	L120	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE STRATEGY AND EVALUATION OF WHETHER TO FILE A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RECENT ORDER ON OUR HEARING ON THE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING, ANALYZED HEARING TRANSCRIPT, AND DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS ON WHETHER IT MAKES SENSE TO FILE A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF CLARIFICATION GIVEN OUR STRATEGY FOR RESOLUTION AND ARGUMENTS MADE TO DATE AND THOSE WITHIN OUR CURRENT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.70	115.50
	DRG	L120	A104		0.70	110.00
	DRG	L120	A103	OPPOSING COUNSEL'S COMPLIANCE WITH THE SPECIAL MASTER'S RULING FROM THE HEARING, IN PARTICULAR, ANALYSIS OF THE ASSOCIATION'S OBLIGATIONS PURSUANT TO THE SPECIAL MASTER RULING ON DUTIES OF DISCLOSURE OF PRIOR REPAIR DOCUMENTS, CONTRACTS AND POST-REPAIR LETTERS, AS WELL AS THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CC&R'S THE ASSOCIATION	0.15	24.75
	JWS	L240	A101	PURPORTEDLY (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE FOR RE: POTENTIAL MOTION FOR	0.15	24.75
				0059	AA3500	

Page: 60 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V

Account No: Statement No:

Panorama Tower II
5143220827859X-A
PANORAMA TOWER II

02/01/2019

							Hours	
				RECONSIDERATION/CONSIDERATION. TIM TOWER II CASE.	E TO BE SPLIT V	VITH	0.25	46.25
	JWS	L240	A109	APPEAR FOR/ATTEND IN OFFICE ROUNDTA	ABLE/STRATEG	(	0.23	40.23
				SESSION, MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATIO		N,		
				INCLUDED REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF NOTES F HEARING. TIME TO BE SPLIT WITH TOWER			0.30	55.50
00/00/0040	DOD	1.400	4404	DEVIEW/ANALYZE EMAIL EDOM JAN DUEE	ALO (OO) (EDA O	_		
03/26/2019	PCB	L190	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE EMAIL FROM JAN DUFFA COUNSEL FOR SOMPO) AND PREPARE EM	`			
				TO SAME RE:				
					(AS			
				PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WIT			0.05	0.05
	DRG	L120	A104	SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FR	OM JAN DUFFAI	_O.	0.05	9.25
				RE:				
				TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	. (SPLIT V	VIIH	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L120	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE COURT SERVICE NOTIC			0.00	0.20
				MASTER APPROVAL OF EXTENSION OF HE AFTER UPCOMING MOTION FOR SUMMARY				
				HEARD. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER			0.05	8.25
03/28/2019	JBV	L320	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FR	OM OPPOSING			
				COUNSEL TO SPECIAL MASTER, RE: UPCC	MING HEARING			
				ISSUES, IN PREPARATION FOR DETERMIN ATTENDANCE WILL BE NECESSARY ON CL		ТО		
				ENSURE ATTORNEY HAS ALL NECESSARY	MATERIAL FOR			
				ANALYSIS PRIOR TO HEARINGS (AS PER C TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CA		IVE,	0.05	4.75
0.4/0.0/0.40	DOD	1.000	4407		,	ND.		
04/02/2019	PCB	L390	A107	COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSE THE HOA (VIA EMAIL AND PHONE CALL) RE				
				THE OUTSTANDING ISSUES OVER DOCUM	ENTS THAT WE	RE		
				TO BE PRODUCED FOLLOWING THE LAST HEARING (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TI		:K		
				OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER I).			0.10	18.50
				For Current Services Rendered			118.54	19,667.00
				Recapitulation				
Timekee				<u>Title</u> PARTNER	<u>Hours</u> 9.90	<u>Rate</u> \$185.00	\$1,83	Total
Peter C. Brown Jeffrey W. Saab			PARTNER	6.20	185.00		7.00	
Jennifer		ı		PARALEGAL	2.65	95.00		1.75
Devin R Crystal				ASSOCIATE PARALEGAL	49.25 0.44	165.00 100.00		6.25 4.00
Cyrus S	. Whitta	ker		ASSOCIATE	47.05	165.00	7,76	3.25
Leesa S	i. Goodv	win		ASSOCIATE	3.05	165.00	50	3.25

L100 E123 OTHER PROFESSIONALS (8914) JOHN A. MARTIN & ASSOCIATES, INC. (INVOICE NO.: 19442)

Page: 61 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V

Account No: Statement No:

02/01/2019	L100	E124	CONFERENCE CALL SERVICES (6059) ARKADIN INC. (INVOICE		40.00
02/01/2019	L100	E124	#USINV190108902 DATED: JANUARY 9, 2019) CONFERENCE CALL SERVICES (6059) ARKADIN INC. (INVOICE		10.83
02/01/2019	L100	L124	#USINV190108902 DATED: JANUARY 10, 2019)		6.89
02/01/2019	L100	E124	CONFERENCE CALL SERVICES (6059) ARKADIN INC. (INVOICE		0.00
			#USINV190108902 DATED: JANUARY 11, 2019)		13.47
02/01/2019	L100	E121	ARBITRATORS/MEDIATORS (366) JAMS ENDISPUTE, INC LOS		
00/01/00/0			ANGELES (INVOICE NO. 0004588968-260)	3	23.50
02/01/2019	L100	E121	ARBITRATORS/MEDIATORS (366) JAMS ENDISPUTE, INC LOS		00.75
02/01/2019	L100	E121	ANGELES (INVOICE NO. 0004502436-260) ARBITRATORS/MEDIATORS (366) JAMS ENDISPUTE, INC LOS		62.75
02/01/2019	L100	LIZI	ANGELES (INVOICE NO. 0004630424-260)	2	92.37
02/01/2019	L100	E121	ARBITRATORS/MEDIATORS (366) JAMS ENDISPUTE, INC LOS	_	00.
			ANGELES (INVOICE NO. 0004535872-260)		24.75
02/01/2019	L100	E121	ARBITRATORS/MEDIATORS (366) JAMS ENDISPUTE, INC LOS		
00/01/00/0			ANGELES (INVOICE NO. 0004691306-260)	2	63.04
02/01/2019	L100	E121	ARBITRATORS/MEDIATORS (366) JAMS ENDISPUTE, INC LOS ANGELES (INVOICE NO. 0004660158-260)		12.38
02/04/2019	L100	E112	ODYSSEY (PLAINTIFF'S/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS LAURENT		12.30
02/04/2013	L100	LIIZ	HALLIER, PANORAMA TOWERS I, LLC, PANORAMA TOWERS I MESS,		
			LLC, AND MJ DEAN CONSTRUCTION INC.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF		
			MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THEIR MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT/COUNTER-CLAIMANT		
			PANORAMA TOWER CONDOMINIUM UNIT OWNERS' ASSOCIATION'S		
			APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS)		1.75
02/05/2019	L100	E112	ODYSSEY (ERRATA TO PLAINTIFFS/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS'		
			MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING		
00/05/00/0	1.400	<b>-</b> 440	STANDING-VOLUME I OF II)		1.75
02/05/2019	L100	E112	ODYSSEY (ERRATA TO PLAINTIFFS/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS REPLY		
			IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING		
			STANDING AND OPPOSITIONS TO DEFENDANT/COUNTER-CLAIMANT'S COUNTER-MOTIONS TO		
			EXCLUDE INADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE AND FOR RULE 56(F) RELIEF)		1.75
02/11/2019	L100	F112	ODYSSEY (PLAINTIFF'S/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR		1.70
02/11/2010	2100		SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1))	1	04.75
02/12/2019	L100	E109	LOCAL TRAVEL (98153) DEVIN GIFFORD (TO AND FROM COURT FOR		
			HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION) (21 MILES AT IRS		
			2019 MILEAGE RATE OF \$0.58/MILE)		6.09
02/12/2019	L100		LOCAL TRAVEL (98153) DEVIN GIFFORD (PARKING)		12.00
03/01/2019	L100	E112	COURT SERVICE (9999) AMERICAN LEGAL SERVICES NEVADA		
			(INVOICE #37021167 DROP OFF TWO COURTESY BINDERS - EIGHTH		
00/04/0040	1.400	E440	JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT / SERVICES PROVIDED ON 02/05/2019)		2.87
03/01/2019	L100	EIIZ	COURT SERVICE (9999) AMERICAN LEGAL SERVICES NEVADA (INVOICE #37021167 DROP OFF COURTESY BINDER TO DEPT. 22 -		
			EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT / SERVICES PROVIDED ON		
			02/06/2019)		2.87
03/01/2019	L100	F112	COURT SERVICE (9999) AMERICAN LEGAL SERVICES NEVADA		2.07
			(INVOICE #37021454 DELIVERY - REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER /		
			SERVICES PROVIDED ON 02/28/2019)(AMOUNT INCLUDES ADV CK		
			OF \$293.00)	1	74.04
03/12/2019	L100	E112	ODYSSEY (STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE		
			OF PLAINTIFF'S/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
			JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), AND THE OPPOSITION		4 75
02/12/2010	1 100	E440	AND COUNTERMOTION)		1.75
03/12/2019	L100	□11Z	ODYSSEY (NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE OF		
			0061	A A 2502	

Page: 62 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No:

Statement No:

		PLAINTIFF'S/COUNTER-DE JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO AND COUNTERMOTION)	NRS 11.202(1), AND TI	HE OPPOSITION		1.75
03/15/2019	L100 E1	OUTSIDE PRINTING (98153 ONLINE RECORDS FROM F REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MC	RECORDER'S OFFICE,	IN SUPPORT OF		10.82
03/15/2019	L100 E1	STATUTE OF REPOSE)  2 ODYSSEY (PLAINTIFF'S LA LLC; PANORAMA TOWERS CONSTRUCTION, INC.'S, RI FOR SUMMARY JUDGMEN' OPPOSITION TO DEFENDA	I MEZZ, LLC; AND MJ [ EPLY IN SUPPORT OF T F PURSUANT TO NRS 1	DEAN THEIR MOTION 11.202 (1); AND		10.02
03/15/2019	L100 E1	COUNTERMOTION)  ODYSSEY (APPENDIX TO P REPLY IN SUPPORT OF TH PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202 DEFENDANT/COUNTER-CL	EIR MOTION FOR SUM (1) AND OPPOSITION T AIMANT'S CONDITIONA	MARY JUDGMENT TO		1.75
03/22/2019		COUNTERMOTION (VOLUM 2 ODYSSEY (NOTICE OF MUI	TIPLE DÉPOSITIONS)	AND ODDED TO		1.75 1.75
03/22/2019	L100 E1	2 ODYSSEY (NOTICE OF ENT CONTINUE HEARING DATE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JU AND THE OPPOSITION AND	OF PLAINTIFFS/COUN JDGMENT PURSUANT	TER-DEFENDANTS' TO NRS 11.202(1);		1.75
03/22/2019	L100 E1	2 ODYSSEY (STIPULATION A OF PLAINTIFFS/COUNTER- JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO	ND ORDER TO CONTINDEFENDANTS' MOTION	NUE HEARING DATE N FOR SUMMARY		1.73
		AND COUNTERMOTION-SE		IL OF FOOTHOR		1.75
		Total Expenses				1,465.92
		Total Current Work				21,132.92
		Previous Balance before Adju	ustments			\$39,455.95
03/12/2019		WRITE-OFF (DMC)				-60.03
		Previous Balance				\$39,395.92
		<u>Pa</u> y	<u>rments</u>			
03/05/2019		PAYMENT RECEIVED - THA	NK YOU (ESIS CK # FA	78647327 / STMT		2 400 20
03/12/2019		#8) PAYMENT RECEIVED - THA	NK YOU (ESIS CK #FA	78647559 / STMT		-2,488.38
		#13) Total Payments				-19,978.18 -22,466.56
		•				
		Balance Due				\$38,062.28
			e Amounts			
	<u>0-30</u> 21,132.92	31-60 61-9 0.00 16,929.3		<u>121-180</u> 0.00	<u>181+</u> 0.00	
	,	1.03	- 0.00	0.00	0.00	

Page: 63 April 30, 2019 1287-5581V Account No:

Statement No:

CHUBB INSURANCE - Panorama Tower I ESIS Dallas AGL Claims (2) - Panorama Tower II	Split Billing Summary Fees 19,637.26 19,618.50 39,255.76	Expenses 1,466.00 1,465.92 2,931.92	Advances 0.00 0.00 0.00	Total 21,103.26 21,084.42 42,187.68
	Task Code Summary			
L100 CASE ASSESSMENT, DEVELOPMENT AND AD L120 ANALYSIS/STRATEGY L130 EXPERTS/CONSULTANTS L190 OTHER CASE ASSESSMENT, DEVELOPMENT L100 CASE ASSESSMENT, DEVELOPMENT AND AD	& ADMINISTRATION		Fees 0.00 1190.75 18.50 83.25 1,292.50	Expenses 1465.92 0.00 0.00 0.00 1,465.92
L210 PLEADINGS L230 COURT MANDATED CONFERENCES L240 DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS L250 OTHER WRITTEN MOTIONS AND SUBMISSIO L200 PRE-TRIAL PLEADINGS AND MOTIONS	NS		198.00 876.50 851.00 14615.50 16,541.00	$0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ \hline 0.00$
L310 WRITTEN DISCOVERY L320 DOCUMENT PRODUCTION L340 EXPERT DISCOVERY L390 OTHER DISCOVERY L300 DISCOVERY			525.25 332.75 355.75 212.75 1,426.50	0.00 0.00 0.00 <u>0.00</u> 0.00
L430 WRITTEN MOTIONS AND SUBMISSIONS L400 TRIAL PREPARATION AND TRIAL			$\frac{407.00}{407.00}$	$\frac{0.00}{0.00}$

## Final Statement Run Totals 04/30/2019

Statements Printed:	1
Hours:	118.54
Fees:	19,667.00
Expenses:	1,465.92

**0064** AA3505

## BREMER, WHYTE, BROWN & O'MEARA, LLP 20320 S.W. BIRCH STREET SECOND FLOOR NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660 (949) 221-1000

## TAX ID # 33-0747275

CHUBB INSURANCE 9200 Oakdale Avenue, 8th Floor, Chatsworth, CA 91311, USA March 31, 2019
Account No: 1287-5511V
Statement No: 17

Page: 1

Attn: Jeff Ganzer

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

## <u>Fees</u>

					Hours	
02/01/2019	CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DYKEMA V. DEL WEBB CMTYS INC CASE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN DRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
	CSW	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY FOR TOWERS I AND II, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	24.75
	CSW	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION FOR TOWERS I AND II, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.15	24.75
	CSW	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CLARK COUNTY RECORDS DATABASE DOCKET ANALYSIS OF FILING RECORDS FOR OCCUPANCY AND COMPLETION OF TOWERS I AND II, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	24.75
	CSW	L250	A108	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CORRESPONDENCE TO CLARK COUNTY RECORDS OFFICE, RE: DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO OCCUPANCY AND COMPLETION OF TOWERS I AND II, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH	0.20	33.00
	CSW	L250	A103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE EMAIL CORESSPONDENCE TO CLARK COUNTY RECORDS OFFICE TO CONFIRM AVAILABILITY OF DOCUMENTS RELATED TO OCCUPANCY AND COMPLETION OF TOWERS I AND II, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	0.10	16.50
				0065	A A 250C	

**0065** AA3506

Page: 2 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

02/03/2019

				Hours	
			SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE OF LEGAL ARGUMENTS AND ASSOCIATION'S ANTICIPATED REBUTTALS, RE: MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19)		
CSW	L250	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	0.20	33.00
CSW	L250	A103	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	0.95	156.75
CSW	L250	A103	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	0.65	107.25
DRG	L340	A104	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS, RE:	0.60	99.00
DRG	L340	A104	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE AFFIDAVIT FROM EXPERT, SHELLY ROBBINS,	0.05	8.25
			(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERIYLN BRYDON, ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
PCB	L240 L240		DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF COURT RULING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE RE: ADDITIONAL WORK ON ARGUMENTS DEALING WITH THE HOA'S BOGUS TIMING ARGUMENT, THE HOA'S CONFLICTING EXPERT AFFIDAVITS, AND THE BASIS FOR THE SUPPORT FROM THE CLIENTS' EXPERTS ON VARIOUS ISSUES (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; WORK ON THIS MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS). REVIEW/ANALYZE PAST HISTORY OF COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN COUNSEL FOR THE PARTIES IN ORDER TO FIND DOCUMENTED PROOF THAT THE HOA'S COUNSEL NEVER RAISED A TIMING ISSUE WITH REGARD TO THE CLIENTS' MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING	0.70	129.50
			ON THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE,		
			0066	AA3507	

Page: 3 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

02/04/2019

			TIME OR IT WITH OTHER FILE IN CAME CACE. TOWER III	Hours	
DRG	L250	A103	TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; WORK ON THIS MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS). DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: DRAFTED ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF OUR INITIAL MOTION AND THOSE TO REBUT THE ARGUMENTS MADE BY THE ASSOCIATION IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN	0.15	27.75
DRG	L250	A104	BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE ORDER FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA, RE: CITATIONS TO UNPUBLISHED SUPREME COURT CASES, IN ORDER TO RAISE THE POINT THAT SUCH CITATIONS ARE PERMITTED, TO REBUT ARGUMENTS BY THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL ON SAME (PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN	0.90	148.50
DRG	L250	A103	BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, INCORPORATION OF ADDITIONAL ARGUMENTS BASED UPON RECENT DISCOVERIES, INCLUDING THE ARGUMENT THAT CITATION TO UNPUBLISHED SUPREME COURT CASES IS NOT PERMITTED. (PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.20	33.00
DRG	L250	A104	COUNTERMOTIONS FOR NRCP 56F RELIEF AND TO STRIKE PAROL EVIDENCE, IN ORDER TO CONSIDER AND DEVELOP EARLY RESPONSES TO THOSE ARGUMENTS IN OUR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.80	132.00
DRG	L250	A103	ADJUSTER). DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, DRAFTED ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF OUR INITIAL MOTION AND THOSE TO REBUT THE ARGUMENTS MADE BY THE ASSOCIATION IN THEIR OPPOSITION TO SAME. (PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.30	<ul><li>49.50</li><li>99.00</li></ul>
CSW	L250	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CORRESPONDENCE TO CLARK COUNTY RECORDERS OFFICE, RE: REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EVALUATE OUR ARGUMENTS, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A103		AA3508	
			0001	$\Delta \Delta J J U 0$	

Page: 4 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No: 17

					Hours	
	CSW	L250	A108	REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CORESSPONDENCE TO CLARK COUNTY BUILDING	0.10	16.50
	CSW	L250	A103	DEPARTMENT OFFICE, RE: REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO EVALUATE OUR ARGUMENTS IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF COUNTER-MOTIONS FOR NRCP 56(F) RELIEF AND TO STRIKE PAROL EVIDENCE, TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS PRESENTED, IN ORDER TO TAKE THOSE ARGUMENTS INTO ACCOUNT WHEN DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON	0.10	16.50
	CSW	L250	A104	STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 108.228, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.90	148.50
02/05/2019	PCB	L240	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR ARGUMENT REGARDING CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY DATES IN THE LATEST MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT THAT PERTAINS TO THE SERVICE DATE OF THE CHAPTER 40 NOTICE AND THE FILING DATE OF THE HOA'S COUNTER-CLAIM FOR CONSTRUCTION DEFECTS (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; WORK ON		
	JBV	L320	A103	THIS MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS).  DRAFT/REVISE REQUEST FOR COMPLETION DOCUMENTATION TO THE CLARK COUNTY RECORDER'S OFFICE, RE: INCORPORATING PARCEL INFORMATION, AS REQUESTED BY ATTORNEY (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH	0.15	27.75
	JBV	L320	A103	OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II) DRAFT/REVISE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO CLARK COUNTY RECORDER, RE: SUBMITTING REQUEST FOR COMPLETION DOCUMENTATION FOR TOWERS I AND II, AS REQUESTED BY ATTORNEY (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH	0.10	9.50
	JBV	L320	A104	OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II) REVIEW/ANALYZE RESPONSE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM CLARK COUNTY RECORDER, RE: NECESSITY OF REQUESTING COMPLETION DOCUMENTATION FROM WEBSITE, IN PREPARATION FOR OBTAINING THE SAME, AS DECLIES TED BY ATTORNEY (AS DED CARDIER DIRECTLY)	0.05	4.75
	JBV	L320	A104	REQUESTED BY ATTORNEY (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II) REVIEW/ANALYZE CLARK COUNTY RECORDER WEBSITE, RE: DETERMINING HOW TO OBTAIN ALL RECORDED MATERIAL, IN PREPARATION FOR OBTAINING COMPLETION DOCUMENTS, AS REQUESTED BY ATTORNEY (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE,	0.05	4.75
	JBV	L320	A108	TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) WITH CLARK COUNTY	0.30	28.50
				0068	AA3509	

Page: 5 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 17

			SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE 0069	AA3510	
	CSW L250	A104	REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SPITLER V. DEAN CASE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	0.15	24.75
	CSW L250	A104	ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SIRAGUSA V. BROWN NEVADA CASE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF	0.05	8.25
	CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON ORDER RELATING TO TOLLING BASED ON NRS 40.695, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON		
02/06/2019	DRG L120		PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR SUCCESS OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, ANALYZED MOTION ARGUMENTS IN COMPARISON WITH AB 125, NRS 11.202, NRCP 56, ARGUMENTS PRESENTED IN OTHER MOTIONS IN BYRNE CASE, IN ORDER TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS IN THE CLEAREST MANNER POSSIBLE (PRIOR MOTION WORK AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.90	148.50
			MKA/SHELLY ROBINSON, TIME TO BE SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE.	0.05	9.25
	JWS L340	A108	CASE, PENDING MOTIONS IN PREPARATION OF UPCOMING HEARINGS. PER ADJUSTER, TIME TO BE SPLIT WITH TOWER TWO CASE.  COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) WITH EXPERT,	0.20	37.00
	JWS L430	A104	RELIEF AND TO STRIKE PAROL EVIDENCE, CLARIFIED CASE HOLDINGS IN GREATER DETAIL TO ENSURE COURT CAN SEARCH CITED CASES MORE EFFICIENTLY (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE/NOTES RE: STATUS OF CASE, DENDING MOTIONS IN REPEABATION OF URCOMING.	0.35	57.75
	DRG L250	A103	RECORDS, MOTIONS AND EXHIBITS FOR THE UPCOMING HEARING (ALL PRECEDING MOTION WORK AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  DRAFT/REVISE ERRATA TO OPPOSITIONS TO COUNTERMOTIONS BY THE ASSOCIATION FOR NRCP 56F	0.15	24.75
	DRG L250	A103	REQUESTED (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II) DRAFT/REVISE ERRATA TO MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, RE: CLARIFIED THE RECORD AS TO OUR EXHIBITS IN APPENDIX I OF III, TO ENSURE COURT HAS COMPLETE	0.10	9.50
			RECORDER'S OFFICE, RE: REQUEST FOR CORRESPONDENCE INDICATING NO COMPLETION DOCUMENTATION OTHER THAN CERTIFICATES OF REPAIRS EXIST IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INITIAL BUILDING OF TOWERS, IN PREPARATION FOR PRODUCING SAID CORRESPONDENCE TO ATTORNEY, AS	Hours	

Page: 6 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

			PER ADJUSTER)	Hours 0.10	16.50
	CSW	L250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE WINN V. SUNRISE HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER CASE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON		
	CSW	L250 A104	ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  REVIEW/ANALYZE BEAZER HOMES NEV INC V. EIGTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CASE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON	0.05	8.25
	CSW	L250 A104	ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ASSOCIATION'S MARCH 1, 2017 COUNTERCLAIM AND ANSWER MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.10	16.50
	CSW	L250 A103	PER ADJUSTER)  DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.15	24.75
	CSW	L250 A103	PER ADJUSTER)  DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.95	156.75 148.50
	CSW	L250 A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.70	115.50
02/07/2019	DRG		REVIEW/ANALYZE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND EXHIBITS THERETO ON THE ASSOCIATION'S ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
	DRG	L250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE OPPOSITION MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND EXHIBITS THERETO ON THE ASSOCIATION'S ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) $0070$	0.35 A A 2.5.1.1	57.75
			VV / V	AA3511	

Page: 7 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

**CHUBB INSURANCE** 

Statement No:

			Hours	
DRG L250 .	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND EXHIBITS THERETO ON THE ASSOCIATION'S ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	Hours	
DRG L250 .	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE COURT'S FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ASSOCIATION'S ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS AND COURT FINDINGS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.35	57.75
DRG L250 /	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND EXHIBITS THERETO ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.30	49.50
DRG L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE OPPOSITION MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND EXHIBITS THERETO ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.35	57.75
DRG L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND EXHIBITS THERETO ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.45	74.25

Page: 8 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

GPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 ENVERWHABLYZE COURTS FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 MOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS AND COURT FINDINGS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 MOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S MOTION FOR PROBLEMS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER ICASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/MANALYZE VARIOUS CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN OUR OFFICE AND THAT WE SOCIATION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION HAT WE SOME HOW FLED OWN MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION HAT WE SOME HOW FLED OWN MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION LOW ROTHOR FOR PROVING HEAVING ON MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A103 DRAFTREVISE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE ASSOCIATION FOR RECONSIDERATION ON PORTION FOR RECONSIDERATION ON PORTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION ON FOR PROVING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/MANLYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ABRING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ABRING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ABRING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR PREVENCE OF THE POLICE OF TH					Hours	
DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE COURT'S FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS AND COURT FINDINGS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR DIPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVEWANALYZE VARIOUS CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN OUR OFFICE AND THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL, REGRANTING NUMBEROUS EXTENSIONS TO THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION TO THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION TO THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION TO THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE OURTS RULING ON THE BUILDERS MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURTS RULING ON THE BUILDERS MOTION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURTS RULING ON THE BUILDERS MOTION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURTS RULING ON THE BUILDERS MOTION FOR UPCOMING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURTS RULING ON THE BUILDERS MOTION FOR UPCOMING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE PROJECT FOR SUMMANY JUDGMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENT ON WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON ON 124.19) (SPLIT WITH T				(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)		41 25
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS AND COURT FINDINGS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  OUR OFFICE AND THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL, RE- GRANTING NUMEROUS EXTENSIONS TO THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO THE SHORT OF THE ASSOCIATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250  A104  REVEWINANT SHERP ADJUSTER SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  O 1.5 24.75  DRG L250  A104  REVEWINANTY JUDGMENT ON THE ABLODED CHAPTER 40  NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITION, OF THE GUILDING AND IN PREPARATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40  NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE. AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH CHAPTER 40  NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE. AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH CHAPTER 40  NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE. AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH CHAPTER 40  NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE. AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH CHAPTER 40  NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS AND TO DURING HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HER PROJECT TO RESURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME	DRG	L 250	A104		0.20	20
DRG L250 A10 REPORTING NOTHE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS AND COURT FINDINGS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR PRECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  DRG L250 A104 REVEWANALYZE VARIOUS CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN OUR OFFICE AND THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL, REGRANTING NUMBROUS EXTENSIONS TO THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION. TO LEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION TO THE VESTOR AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION TO AVEN BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  DRG L250 A103 DRATTREVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION ON THE OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION OF RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURTS RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURTS RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURTS RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  DRG L250 A104 REVIEWANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURTS RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR POINTS ON DECENTION OF THE COURTS RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR POINTS ON DECENT ON THE POINTS TO BE COURTS WITH THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYD	5.10		,,,,,,			
NOTICE, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE ARGUMENTS AND COURT FINDINGS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER IL CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.35 57.75 ON THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNTED THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMEN TO THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNTED THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMEN REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMEN REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER IL CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.15 24.75 TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE CURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR RECONSIDERATION POR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER IL CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.35 57.75 ON THE COUNTY OF THE COURT SILLING ON THE BUILDERS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS. TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION POR SHAPPOVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH THE JUDGE AND BEAD TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS.)  DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS. TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION FOR PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTIO						
FINDINGS THEREIN REGARDING SCOPE OF ORIGINAL CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEWIMANLYZE VARIOUS CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN OUR OFFICE AND THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL, RE: GRANTING NUMBROUS EXTENSIONS TO THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION IN THE VIEW OF A RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION) (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION) FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR LECONOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR LECONOMING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR LECONOMING MOTION THE BUILDERS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXABING ON NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS AND BETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE,  DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HEE! PILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HEE! PILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR LOCASE PER ADJUSTERS, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER OF ARGUMENT						
CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLECATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1:24.19)  OUR CPFICE AND THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL, RE: GRANTING NUMEROUS EXTENSION'S TO THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FLEE OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1:24.19) (SPLIT WITH TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1:24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1:24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULLING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HEEP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1:24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1:24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTERS) SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1:24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTERS) SHERY ADJUSTERS, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1:24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEWS						
ASSOCIATION'S NOTICE COULD NOT CONCEIVABLY CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLECATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEWANALYZE (BRION) CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN OUR OFFICE AND THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL, RE: GRANTING NUMEROUS EXTENSIONS TO THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO THE ASSOCIATION FOR RECONSIDERATION TO THE ASSOCIATION FOR RECONSIDERATION TO THE ASSOCIATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  OF THE COULT'S RULLING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER. SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER. SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (S						
CONTEMPLATE HEAD FLASHING DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, IN PREPERATION FOR UPCOMING NAMOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A144 REVIEW/ANALYZE VARIOUS CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN OUR OFFICE AND THE ASSOCIATION COUNSEL, RE: GRANTING NUMEROUS EXTENSIONS TO THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND REVIEW FOR A PROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PERPARE (CONTINUE)						
PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEWANALYZE VARIOUS CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN OUR OFFICE AND THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL, RE: GRANTING NUMBROUS EXTENSIONS TO THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEWANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE ARRING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION (PRIOR AND HOTOS TO USE DURING THE ARRING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER;  DRG L250 A104 PREVIEWANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERWING ON POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UP SAT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING						
RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTERS, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRS L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE VARIOUS CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN OUR OFFICE AND THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL, RE: GRANTING NUMEROUS EXTENSIONS TO THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND NOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON MOTION FOR SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZED REGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION (PRIO						
APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)  (SPUTI WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE VARIOUS CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN OUR OFFICE AND THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL, RE: GRANTING NUMEROUS EXTENSIONS TO THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TOR LIPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, AND LYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR IPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR INDEPED CAPTER SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION PROPEDATE (ICASE PER ADJUSTER)  O.40 6						
ORG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE VARIOUS CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN OUR OFFICE AND THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL, RE: GRANTING NUMEROUS EXTENSIONS TO THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING MORE ON MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  O .35 57.75  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURTS RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMBONDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UP SAT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR PECONSIDERATION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR PECONIS SOCIAL PROPERM AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR DECOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND						
DRG L250 A104 REVIEWANALYZE VARIOUS CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN OUR OFFICE AND THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL, RE: GRANTING NUMEROUS EXTENSIONS TO THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A103 DRAFTIREVSE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMBIOED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER;  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR PRECONSIDERATION FOR DECOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER; SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION				•	0.35	57.75
GRANTING NUMEROUS EXTENSIONS TO THE ASSOCIATION AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPIRATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 124.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/MANLYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOADRS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/MANLYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERRING OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING, ON MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DA40 BLOOM DA40 BLOOM DA40 BLOOM DA41 BLOOM	DRG	L250	A104	· ·		
AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER IL CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER IL CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRAW LATER AND LOVE OF THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUS				OUR OFFICE AND THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL, RE:		
RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  O.40 66.00  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION				GRANTING NUMEROUS EXTENSIONS TO THE ASSOCIATION		
THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  O.35 57.75  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURTS RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DECUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR UPCOMING FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.40 66.00  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION				AND AGREEMENT REGARDING DATE OF MOTION FOR		
FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/MANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/MANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR PREOCONSIDERATION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR PREPARED ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION POR PREPARATION FOR DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR MECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)				RECONSIDERATION, TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS OPPOSING		
UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  PORT L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MERCONSIDERATION) PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DA40 466.00  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION				THE ASSOCIATION THAT WE SOMEHOW FILED OUR MOTION		
CPRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY   ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)   0.15   24.75				FOR RECONSIDERATION LATE, IN PREPARATION FOR		
DRG L250 A101 STER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR UPCOMING SOME HEARING ON MOTION FOR UPCOMING HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING SOME HEARING ON MOTION FOR UPCOMING HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING, ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION				UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION		
DRG L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/MANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIWABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION				(PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
DRG L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINÉ OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION				ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE DURING AND IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER; SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION				,	0.15	24.75
FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)  (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40  NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED  EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE,  DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS,  DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION	DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS AND POINTS		
RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.40 66.00  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE BUILDERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION					0.35	57.75
SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION	DRG	L250	A104	, ,		
NOTICE, ANALYZED ARGUMENTS IN DETAIL, ANALYZED EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
EXHIBITS, INCLUDING AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
DEVELOPED ARGUMENTS AND KEY POINTS TO RAISE WITH THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION				·		
THE JUDGE, AND BEGAN TO DEVELOP WHICH EXHIBITS, DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS TO USE DURING THE HEARING ON BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
BLOW-UP BOARDS, TO HELP ILLUSTRATE THE JUDGE OUR POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION				•		
POINTS OF CONTENTION, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.40 66.00  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION				•		
SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE TEXAS WALL SYSTEMS' SHOP DRAWINGS FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION				· ·	0.85	140 25
FOR THE PROJECT TO ENSURE THAT NO DRAWINGS COULD CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.40 66.00  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION	DRG	L 250	A104	,	0.00	110.20
CONCEIVABLE UNDERMINE OUR POSITION AND TO UTILIZE SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0.40 66.00  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION	5.10		71101			
SAME AS EXHIBITS AND BLOW-UPS AT THE HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
PREPARATION FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.40 66.00  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.40 66.00 DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.40 66.00  DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) 0.40 66.00 DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION						
DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION				(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.40	66.00
	DRG	L250	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) STRATEGY FOR MOTION		
				FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE:		

Page: 9 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

02/08/2019

		Hours	
CSW L250 A103	(PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERYLIN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY	0.60	99.00
	JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.95	156.75
CSW L250 A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
CSW L250 A103	PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.90	148.50
CSW L250 A103	PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.80	132.00
CSW L250 A103	PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.70	115.50
CSW L250 A103	JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.45	74.25
PCB L240 A101	PER ADJUSTER)  PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) OVERALL STRATEGY FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE AND AB 125 RE:	0.20	33.00
	AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH		
PCB L240 A103	OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; WORK ON THIS MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS). DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE AND AB 125 RE:	0.55	101.75

Page: 10 March 31, 2019 count No: 1287-5511V

Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 17

			Hours	
		CONTINUE TO DRAFT ARGUMENTS BASED ON THE DATE OF THE CHAPTER 40 NOTICE, THE DATE OF THE COUNTERCLAIM, THE DATE OF THE WORK AT THE PROJECT, AND THE DATE OF THE CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; WORK ON THIS MOTION APPROVED BY SHERILYN	rious	
JWS L	430 A101	BRYDON OF ESIS). PLAN AND PREPARE FOR EXPERT MEETING WITH SHELLY ROBBINS AND ASHLEY ALLARD RE:	1.10	203.50
JWS L4	430 A109	APPEAR FOR/ATTEND IN OFFICE MEETING WITH DEVIN GIFFORD, ASHLEY ALLARD, AND SHELLY ROBBINS, MEETING	1.05	194.25
JBV L3	320 A108	RE: COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) WITH LITIGATION SERVICES-DEPOSITORY DEPARTMENT (VIA EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE), RE: OBTAINING BUILDING DEPARTMENT MATERIAL AS DISCLOSED BY PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL IN PRIOR LITIGATION, IN PREPARATION FOR DETERMINING INSPECTION RANGES AS WELL AS IF ANY FORMAL COMPLETION DOCUMENTATION EXISTS WITHIN, AS REQUESTED BY ATTORNEY (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH	0.95	175.75
JBV L3	320 A104	OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE BUILDING DEPARTMENT DOCUMENTATION DISCLOSED BY PLAINTIFF IN PRIOR LITIGATION, RE: DETERMINING DOCUMENTATION WITHIN SUCH AS INSPECTION RANGES AND DETERMINING IF ANY FORMAL COMPLETION DOCUMENTATION EXISTS, IN PREPARATION FOR PROVIDING ATTORNEY, AS REQUESTED (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME	0.15	14.25
DRG L3	340 A101	CASE - TOWER II). PLAN AND PREPARE FOR UPCOMING MEETING WITH EXPERT, MKA, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.20	19.00
DRG L3	340 A109	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) APPEAR FOR/ATTEND JOINT MEETING WITH EXPERT, MKA, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:	1.05	173.25

Page: 11 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No: 17

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

02/09/2019

			TEMPORAL PROPERTY OF SOME PROPERTY OF THE PROP		
DRG	L250	A101	OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO, EVALUATED ARGUMENTS PRESENTED AND WHICH EXHIBITS WE WILL NEED TO ENLARGE AND IN WHICH ORDER TO PRESENT THEM, WRITING NOTES ON ARGUMENTS TO PRESENT AT THE HEARING (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION	0.95	156.75
DRG			FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: ANALYZED MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND OPPOSITION THERETO, INCLUDING EXHIBITS, AND BEGAN WRITING NOTES ON ARGUMENTS TO PRESENT AT THE HEARING (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: ANALYZED	0.90	148.50
DRG	L250	A101	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE FOR UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A103	COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/(BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE GINDEL V. CENTEX HOMES FOURTH APPELLATE COURT 2018 DECISION REGARDING	0.30	49.50
CSW	L250	A103	AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR	0.95	156.75
CSW	L250	A103	UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR	0.90	148.50
DRG	L250	A101	,	0.00	100.70
			(PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	Hours 0.95	156.75

Page: 12 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 17

DRG			FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: CONTINUED ANALYSIS OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO, AND BEGAN EVALUATING OUR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND EXHIBITS, EVALUATED ARGUMENTS PRESENTED AND WHICH EXHIBITS WE WILL NEED TO ENLARGE AND IN WHICH ORDER TO PRESENT THEM, WRITING NOTES ON ARGUMENTS TO PRESENT AT THE HEARING (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	Hours 0.55	90.75
DRG L250 A101 PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: CONTINUED ANALYSIS OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO, AND CONTINUED EVALUATING OUR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND EXHIBITS THE (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  02/11/2019 JBV L320 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE EXHIBITS TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, RE: EXHIBITS A THROUGH E, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, RE: EXHIBITS A THROUGH E, IN PREPARATION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A108 COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) COMMUNICATE WITH SIMON LOADSMAN, FENESTRATION EXPERT, RE:  (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE NOTICE OF ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL'S ATTEMPT TO COMMUNICATE TELEPHONICALLY AT THE UPCOMING HEARING ON OUR PENDING MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION AND DECLARATORY RELIEF, TO	02/10/2019 DRG L:	250 A101	ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: CONTINUED ANALYSIS OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO, AND CONTINUED EVALUATING OUR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND EXHIBITS, EVALUATED ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST, AND HOW BEST TO PRESENT THEM (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
JUDGMENT, RE: EXHIBITS A THROUGH E, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A108 COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) COMMUNICATE WITH SIMON LOADSMAN, FENESTRATION EXPERT, RE:  (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE NOTICE OF ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL'S ATTEMPT TO COMMUNICATE TELEPHONICALLY AT THE UPCOMING HEARING ON OUR PENDING MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION AND DECLARATORY RELIEF, TO	DRG L:	250 A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: CONTINUED ANALYSIS OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO, AND CONTINUED EVALUATING OUR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND EXHIBITS, EVALUATED ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST, AND HOW BEST TO PRESENT THEM (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
SIMON LOADSMAN, FENESTRATION EXPERT, RE:  (PRIOR AND  UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  0.15 24.75  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE NOTICE OF ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL'S ATTEMPT TO COMMUNICATE TELEPHONICALLY AT THE UPCOMING HEARING ON OUR PENDING MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION AND DECLARATORY RELIEF, TO			JUDGMENT, RE: EXHIBITS A THROUGH E, IN PREPARATION FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	28.50
DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE NOTICE OF ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL'S ATTEMPT TO COMMUNICATE TELEPHONICALLY AT THE UPCOMING HEARING ON OUR PENDING MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION AND DECLARATORY RELIEF, TO	DIAG E.	200 A100	SIMON LOADSMAN, FENESTRATION EXPERT, RE:  (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.15	24.75
AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	DRG L:	250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NOTICE OF ASSOCIATION'S COUNSEL'S ATTEMPT TO COMMUNICATE TELEPHONICALLY AT THE UPCOMING HEARING ON OUR PENDING MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION AND DECLARATORY RELIEF, TO EVALUATE WHETHER OBJECTIONS ARE NECESSARY (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.05	
PER ADJUSTER). 0.05 8.25 DRG L250 A108 COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) COMMUNICATE WITH	DRG L	250 A108		0.05 AA3517	8.25

Page: 13 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

	DOCUMENT SPECIALIST, HOLO DISCOVERY, RE:	Hours	
DRG L250 A103	(PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE/FINALIZE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, FINALIZED MOTION TO ENSURE ALL DATES ARE ACCURATE BASED UPON HISTORY OF THE MATTER AND THAT THE ARGUMENTS ARE CONSISTENT WITH THOSE MADE IN OTHER MOTIONS (PRIOR	0.15	24.75
DRG L250 A108	AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) MULTIPLE CORRESPONDENCE WITH DOCUMENT SPECIALIST, HOLO DISCOVERY, RE:	0.40	66.00
DRG L250 A101	(PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: CONTINUED ANALYSIS OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO, AND	0.10	16.50
DRG L250 A101	CONTINUED EVALUATING OUR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND EXHIBITS, EVALUATED ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST, AND HOW BEST TO PRESENT THEM (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: CONTINUED	0.65	107.25
	ANALYSIS OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO, AND CONTINUED EVALUATING OUR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND EXHIBITS, EVALUATED ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST, AND HOW BEST TO PRESENT THEM (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.70	115.50
DRG L250 A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: CONTINUED ANALYSIS OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND EXHIBITS THERETO AND CONTINUED EVALUATING OUR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION AND EXHIBITS, EVALUATED ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST, AND HOW BEST TO PRESENT THEM AND BEGAN DRAFTING OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS TO PRESENT AT HEARING. (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.95	156.75
	0077	AA3518	100.70

Page: 14 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No: 17

					Hours	
	DRG	L250	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: CONTINUED DRAFTING OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS TO PRESENT AT HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, PRESENTATION OF PRIMARY ARGUMENTS, INCLUDING PERTINENT SUB ARGUMENTS, REFERENCE TO EXHIBITS TO BE UTILIZED DURING HEARING (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.85	140.25
	CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1-24-19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.90	148.50
02/12/2019	JWS	L230	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (FINALIZE) HEARING ON MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND THE ASSOCIATIONS COUNTER MOTION.	2.00	370.00
	JWS	L230	A109	APPEAR FOR/ATTEND HEARING/ORAL ARGUMENT ON MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, AND THE ASSOCIATIONS		
	PCB			COUNTERMOTION. NO TRAVEL TIME IN ENTRY. REVIEW/ANALYZE THE COURT'S RULING TODAY ON THE MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND THE MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION,  (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; AS PER APPROVAL FROM SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS, ALL WORK ON MOTIONS APPROVED).  PLAN AND REFERENCE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING.	1.50 0.35	277.50 64.75
	DRG	L250	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: FINISHED DRAFTING OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS TO PRESENT AT HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND BEGAN PRACTICING ARGUMENTS WITH BOARDS PREPARED BY DOCUMENT SPECIALIST ALONG WITH OUTLINE, PRACTICED ARGUMENTS OUT LOUD WITH USE OF OUTLINE THREE TIMES (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.85	140.25
	DRG	L250	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR (CONTINUE) UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: PRACTICED ARGUMENTS WITH BOARDS PREPARED BY DOCUMENT SPECIALIST ALONG WITH OUTLINE, PRACTICED ARGUMENTS OUT LOUD WITH USE OF OUTLINE TWO TIMES AND TWO TIMES WITHOUT (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
	DRG	L250	A109	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) APPEAR FOR/ATTEND HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: TRAVEL TIME FROM OFFICE TO COURTHOUSE FOR HEARING (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON  0078	0.90 A A 3519	148.50

Page: 15 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

				Hours	
			1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) (TRAVEL TIME BILLED AT ½ ACTUAL RATE)	0.25	41.25
	DRG L250	0 A109	APPEAR FOR/ATTEND HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	2.05	338.25
	DRG L250	0 A109	APPEAR FOR/ATTEND HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, RE: TRAVEL TIME FROM COURTHOUSE TO OFFICE (PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) (TRAVEL TIME		
	DRG L250	0 A103	BILLED AT ½ ACTUAL RATE) DRAFT/REVISE MEMORANDUM TO FILE, RE:	0.20	33.00
			(PRIOR AND UPCOMING MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
02/13/2019	PCB L240	0 A103	DRAFT/REVISE INITIAL ASSESSMENT TO CLIENT CONTACT AND TO CARRIERS		
	PCB L190	0 A107	(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH COVERAGE COUNSEL FOR SOMPO (JAN DUFFALO) RE:	0.10	18.50
				0.10	18.50
02/18/2019	PCB L120	0 A103	DRAFT/REVISE UPDATE TO CARRIERS AND TO CLIENT CONTACT RE:		
			(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON THESE MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS).	0.40	74.00
02/19/2019	PCB L120	0 A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR POSSIBLE FILING OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND/OR CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S RULINGS LAST WEEK ON TWO MOTIONS,		
			(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON THESE MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS).	0.20	37.00
02/20/2019	PCB L190	0 A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) WITH ADJUSTER FOR FLIPPIN'S TRENCHING (ONE OF THE SUBCONTRACTORS THAT WAS PLACED ON NOTICE) RE: DISCUSSION OF THE RULING		
			0079	AA3520	

Page: 16 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

					Hours	
				BY THE COURT ON THE MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO THE AMENDED CHAPTER 40 NOTICE RE: DISCUSSING WHY FLIPPINS' TRENCHING IS LIKELY OUT OF THE CASE AT THIS STAGE (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.05	9.25
02/28/2019	PCB	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE PROPOSED ORDERS FOR LATEST TWO MOTIONS, AS DRAFTED BY COUNSEL FOR THE HOA, DETERMINE STATUS OF TRANSCRIPT FROM THE COURT, AND SEND INQUIRY TO COUNSEL FOR THE HOA ON AGREEMENT FOR DIFFERENT TIMING FOR RESPONSE TO PROPOSED ORDERS AND WHEN THEY SHOULD BE SUBMITTED TO THE COURT (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CSE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON MOTIONS	0.40	40.50
	PCB	L320	A107	PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS).  COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH COUNSEL FOR THE HOA (SEVERAL EMAILS BACK AND FORTH THROUGHOUT THE DAY) RE: ADDRESSING THE ISSUE OF WHETHER THERE IS ADDITONAL INSURANCE FOR MJ DEAN (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN	0.10	18.50
	JBV	L320	A104	SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: PROPOSED ORDERS RESOLVING MOTIONS AND COUNTER-MOTIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR VERIFYING ATTORNEY HAS ALL NECESSARY MATERIAL FOR ANALYSIS PRIOR TO ATTENDING ANY HEARINGS REGARDING THE SAME	0.10	18.50
	DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NOTICE OF COURT SERVICE, RE: LETTER REGARDING ORDERS FROM PRIOR HEARINGS ON MOTIONS (MOTION WORK BOTH PRIOR AND UPCOMING APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.05	4.75
	DRG	L250	A108	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL TO THE COURT, RE: PRIOR HEARINGS TO ANSWER QUESTIONS COURT REPORTER HAD FOR ME REGARDING THE EXHIBITS I USED FOR THE HEARING ON OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION. (MOTION WORK BOTH PRIOR AND UPCOMING APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L250	A104	1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: STATUS OF ORDERS FROM PRIOR MOTIONS, APPROVAL OF EXTENSION TO RESPOND, IN PREPARATION FOR SAME. (MOTION WORK BOTH PRIOR AND UPCOMING APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.10	16.50
	DRG	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE COURT SERVICE NOTICE OF TRANSCRIPT, RE: MOTIONS HEARD ON FEBRUARY 12, 2019 (PRIOR MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L250	A104	1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE TRANSCRIPT FROM FEBRUARY 12, 2019 HEARINGS (LIMITED SCOPE) TO VERIFY ALL ELEMENTS AND ARGUMENTS WERE CAPTURED IN THE TRANSCRIPT, TO DETERMINE IF AN EXTENSION TO PRESENT ORDERS TO THE COURT RE: SAME IS NEEDED (PRIOR MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.05	8.25
				0080	AA3521	

Page: 17 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
03/01/2019	DRG L	_250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NOTICE OF COURT FILING, RE: OPPOSITION TO OUR CLIENT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE.	0.05	8.25
03/04/2019	PCB L	.250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE TRANSCRIPT FROM RECENT HEARING TO DETERMINE IF THE LANGUAGE OF THE TWO PROPOSED ORDERS, AS ORIGINALLY DRAFTED BY COUNSEL FOR THE HOA, SHOULD BE REVISED (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON THESE MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS).	0.10	18.50
	PCB L	_120 A101	PLAN AND PREPARE FOR BOTH PORTIONS OF REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMERY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE AND DISCOVERY NECESSARY TO DEAL WITH THE HOA'S ATTEMPT TO AMEND THE CC&RS (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL MOTION-RELATED WORK		
	DRG L	.250 A104	PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS). REVIEW/ANALYZE HEARING TRANSCRIPT FROM MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING AND MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE PROPOSED ORDERS FROM COUNSEL FOR THE ASSOCIATION REGARDING COURT'S RULING ON SAME (MOTION AND HEARINGS APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)	0.20	37.00
	DRG L	.250 A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE PROPOSED ORDERS FROM COUNSEL FOR THE ASSOCIATION REGARDING MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING AND MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, TO EVALUATE WHETHER CHANGES ARE NEEDED (MOTION AND HEARINGS APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.65 0.15	107.25 24.75
	DRG L	.250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE EXHIBIT TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: SKY LAS VEGAS CASE ORDER ON CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND FACT IN SUPPORT FROM JUDGE JOHNSON, SAME JUDGE AS IN THE PRESENT CASE, ANALYZED THE ORDER TO EVALUATE THE BASIS FOR THE ASSOCIATION'S INCLUSION OF SAME, IN ORDER TO STRATEGIZE ON HOW TO RESPOND TO SAME. (MOTION AND HEARINGS APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.13	33.00
	PCB L	.250 A107	COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH COUNSEL FOR HOA (VIA EMAILS AND PHONE CALL) RE: DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED REVISIONS TO TWO ORDERS FOR RECENT RULINGS BY THE COURT, UPCOMING HEARING FOR ANOTHER MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, THE FILING OF SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADINGS REGARDING THE UPCOMING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING, AND THE RECENT POSITION TAKEN BY THE HOA TO TRY AND AMEND THE CC&RS (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON		
			MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS). $0081$	0.10 AA3522	18.50

Page: 18 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

					Hours	
	PCB	L250	A107	COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH EDWARD SONG (PERSONAL COUNSEL FOR THE HOA) RE: DISCUSSION OF HIS EFFORTS TO AMEND THE CC&RS TO GET AROUND THE COMMON AREA ISSUE FOR THE WINDOWS (PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).	0.05	9.25
	PCB	L250	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR BOTH DISCOVERY RELATED TO THE HOA'S RECENT EFFORTS TO AMEND THE CC&RS AND POSSIBLE MOTION PRACTICE TO DEAL WITH THE AMENDMENT RE: CONTINUE TO OUTLINE THE PROPOSED DISCOVERY THAT NEEDS TO BE APPROVED BY THE SPECIAL MASTER AND THE POTENTIAL PARAMETERS TO UTILIZE IN A MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER REGARDING THE EFFORTS TO AMEND THE CC&RS (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF		
				ESIS).	0.20	37.00
03/05/2019	DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCES FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL REGARDING STIPULATION FOR HEARING AND PRE-HEARING FILING DATES FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING A STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR SAME. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
	DRG	L250	A103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING STIPULATED DATES OF HEARING ON OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND PLEADINGS IN ANTICIPATION THEREOF, TO SUBMIT TO OPPOSING COUNSEL FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO SUBMISSION TO THE COURT (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY	0.10	16.50
	DRG	L250	A103	ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO OPPOSING COUNSEL PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING STIPULATED DATES OF HEARING ON OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND PLEADINGS IN ANTICIPATION THEREOF, TO SUBMIT TO OPPOSING COUNSEL FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO SUBMISSION TO THE COURT (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.10) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.35	57.75
	CSW	L210	A104	SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SAWYER V. SUGARLESS SHOPS INC COURT CASE CITED IN DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY	0.05	8.25
	CSW	L210	A104	ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH	0.10	16.50
				TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  0082	0.40 A A 2.522	66.00
				UU02	AA3523	

Page: 19 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
CSW	L210	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVADA STATE BANK V. JAMISON PARTNERSHIP NEVADA COURT CASE CITED IN DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF		
CSW	L210	A104	CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NELSON V. HEER NEVADA COURT CASE CITED IN DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY	0.15 2	24.75
CSW	L210	A104	BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE DIV OF INS. V. STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTO	0.15 2	24.75
CSW	LZIO	A104	INS CO NEVADA COURT CASE CITED IN DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24		
CSW	L210	A104	- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE BARLEY V. BARLEY CASE, RE: NON-BINDING APPELLATE DECISION ASSESSING QUESTION OF COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIM IN RELATIONSHIP TO TOLLING OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/	0.15 2	24.75
CSW	L210	A104	COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CHRISTOPHER JOHN MURRAN V. TRAVIS GARY MANSHEIM CASE, RE: NON-BINDING APPELLATE DECISION ASSESSING QUESTION OF COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIM IN RELATIONSHIP TO TOLLING OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON	0.10 1	6.50
CSW	1.250	A404	BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15 2	24.75
CSW	L23U	A 104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NRCP 13, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
CSW	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) COURT'S FINDING OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW FROM PLAINTIFFS'	0.05	8.25

Page: 20 March 31, 2019 count No: 1287-5511V

Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 17

COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANTS APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS FILED AUGUST 3, 2018, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFE'S (COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER IL CASE PER ADJUSTER) CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/MANALYZE PLAINTIFE'S/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT PANORAMA TOWER CONDOMINIUM UNIT ASSOCIATION'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANTS OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFE'S/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFE'S/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS OPPOSITION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  CSW L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE  AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER'S.  CSW L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANTS CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40,685, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANTS CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40,685, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO OBEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANTS CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXFEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40,685 (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  CSW L250 A104 REVIEWANALYZE N				Harrina	
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT PANORAMA TOWER CONDOMINIUM UNIT ASSOCIATION'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIJEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11,202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  CSW L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  CSW L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TO LING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIJEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTERS SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 40.695(2), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIJEF ON DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERS HEREILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 40.695(2), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIJEF ON DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695 (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 40.695(2), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIJEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695 (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER			ON DEFENDANT'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS FILED AUGUST 3, 2018, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)		33.00
CSW L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER).  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER).  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER).  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER).  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER).  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYD	CSW L250	A104	IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT PANORAMA TOWER CONDOMINIUM UNIT ASSOCIATION'S APRIL 5, 2018 AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE		
(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  (SW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  (SW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 40.695(2), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695 (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  (D.15 24.75	CSW 1250	A103	ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).	0.25	11.25
CSW L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE  (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). 0.30 49.50  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). 0.15 24.75  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 40.695(2), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695 (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	GGW 1230	A100	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		20.00
WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 40.695(2), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695 (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	CSW L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE	0.40	ob.UU
NRS 40.695, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 40.695(2), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695 (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	CSW L250	A104	WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER). REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL	0.30	19.50
CSW L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 40.695(2), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695 (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER			NRS 40.695, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
	CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE NRS 40.695(2), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695 (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN	0.15 2	24.75
ADJUSTER). 0.05 8.25 CSW L250 A103 DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE	CSW L250	A103	ADJUSTER).	0.05	8.25
	2211 220				

Page: 21 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 17

					Hours	
	cw	L320	A103	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER).  DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) CLIENT'S REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION TO PLAINTIFF RE: TO REQUEST ALL DOCUMENTS SENT TO THE HOA MEMBERS REQUESTING AMENDED CC&R'S, ALL MEETING MINUTES REGARDING AMENDED CC&R'S, ALL COMMUNICATIONS REQUESTING AMENDED CC&R'S. [AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT	0.15	24.75
	CW	L320	A104	WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II] REVIEW/ANALYZE NEVADA SECRETARY OF STATE ENTITY SEARCH ENGINE RE: TO DETERMINE WHO THE HOA BOARD MEMBERS ARE IN PREPARATION TO PROVIDE TO ATTORNEY FOR ANALYSIS. [TIME IS TO BE SPLIT WITH 1287.558]	0.08	7.13 7.13
03/06/2019	РСВ	L250	A107	COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH COUNSEL FOR THE HOA RE: CONTINUING DISCUSSION AS TO WHY ONE OF THE PROPOSED ORDERS FROM THE RECENT HEARING, AS DRAFTED BY COUNSEL FOR THE HOA, IS NOT ACCEPTABLE TO THE DEVELOPER ENTITIES (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY		
	CSW	L250	A104	SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS).  REVIEW/EXHIBIT 1 (AFFIDAVIT OF OMAR HINDIYEH) FROM DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.05	9.25
	CSW	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE EXHIBIT 2 (JUDGE JOHNSON ORDER FROM SKY LAS VEGAS CASE INVOLVING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE) FROM DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	0.10	16.50
	CSW	L250	A104	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) JUDGE JOHNSON COURT ORDER IN FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE, RE: COURT'S RULING AND LEGAL ANALYSIS OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE ISSUE IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK	0.35	57.75
	CSW	L250	A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE OF IMPORTANT NOTES AND IMPRESSIONS, RE: DEFENDANTS' ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT  0085	0.30 A A 3 5 2 6	49.50
				0003	AA3526	

Page: 22 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
CSW	L250	A104	PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE OF IMPORTANT NOTES AND IMPRESSIONS, RE: CLIENT BUILDER'S REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS TO DEFENDANTS' ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF	0.30	49.50
CSW	L250	A104	THEIR OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL	0.40	66.00
			COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE OF IMPORTANT NOTES AND IMPRESSIONS, RE: CLIENT BUILDER'S REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS TO DEFENDANT'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIM TO EXTEND TOLLING PERIOD PURSUANT TO NRS 40.695, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)	0.10	10.00
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION MOTION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/(BEGIN) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 DEFENDANT'S REPLY MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 COMPLAINT, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING	0.10	16.50

Page: 23 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
CSW	L250	A104	NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBÉR A15728093D COURT ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A104	BUILDERS TO SAME (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.05	8.25 8.25
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.05	6.25
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D COMPLAINT, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	COUNSEL, RE: APPROVAL OF STIPULATION REGARDING	0.05	8.25
			0087	AA3528	

Page: 24 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

03/07/2019

				Hours	
			HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR SUBMISSION TO COURT (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: APPROVAL OF STIPULATION REGARDING HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR SUBMISSION TO COURT		
DRG	L250	A104	(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL, RE: OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED ORDERS AND LANGUAGE PROPOSED THEREIN, IN PREPARATION FOR EVALUATING AND RESPONDING TO SAME. (MOTION	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE HEARING TRANSCRIPT FROM FEBRUARY 12, 2019, TO EVALUATE THE COURT'S POSITION REGARDING THE SUFFICIENCY OF THE CHAPTER 40 NOTICE REGARDING WINDOW ISSUES AND WHETHER TRIABLE ISSUES OF FACT EXIST, IN PREPARATION FOR PREPARING A RESPONSE TO	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A103	OPPOSING COUNSEL RE: OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED ORDERS AND LANGUAGE PROPOSED THEREIN (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE RESPONSE TO OPPOSING COUNSEL RE: OUR ARGUMENTS AS TO WHY WE DISAGREE WITH OPPOSING COUNSEL'S INTERPRETATION OF THE COURT'S RULING ON THE FEBRUARY 12, 2019 HEARING, IN PREPARATION FOR	0.20	33.00
DRG	L250	A101	RESPONDING TO COUNSEL REGARDING SAME. (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) PLAN AND PREPARE FOR STRATEGY FOR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING NRS 11.202, STATUTE OF REPOSE,	0.10	16.50
			(MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
PCB	L120	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR WORK ON REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE RE:		
PCB	L240	A101	(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS). PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR REPLY BRIEF IN	0.20	37.00
			SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE $0088$	AA3529	

Page: 25 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

			STATUTE OF DEPOSE DE	Hours	
			STATUTE OF REPOSE RE:		
			- CARDIED		
			(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE -		
			TOWER II; ALL WORK ON MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY		
			SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS).	0.20	37.00
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 COMPLAINT, RE: ARGUMENTS		
			SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1),		
			IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF		
			CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION		
			WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON ORDER	0.30	49.50
		71.0	FROM SKY LAS VEGAS CASE INVOLVING MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE)		
			FROM DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/		
			COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY		
			BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR		
			AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER		
			SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.05	F7 7F
csw	1 250	Δ104	PER ADJUSTER REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE	0.35	57.75
0011	LZOO	71104	NUMBER 16A738730 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY		
			JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE:		
			ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON		
			NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND		
			FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN		
			BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
			ADJUSTER)	0.30	49.50
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE		
			NUMBER 16A738730 PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON		
			STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN		
			PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT		
			BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON COURT		
			ORDER IN FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE, RE: COURT'S		
			RULING AND LEGAL ANALYSIS OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE ISSUE		
			IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT		
			BUILDERS TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT		
			TO NRS 11.202(1), (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)	0.05	44.05
CSW	L 250	A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE:	0.25	41.25
0000		, , , , ,	S. G. M. LVIOL (OOKTINGE) MEMO TO TILL, INC.		

Page: 26 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

			Hours	
		(ALL PRIOR AND		
CSW L250	A103	FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMO TO FILE, RE:	0.55	90.75
		(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION		
		WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24	0.65	107.25
CSW L250	A103	- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE:	0.05	107.25
		(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION		
0004 1050	A 4 O 4	WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) HEARING TRANSCRIPTS FROM TUESDAY FEBRUARY 7, 2017, RE: COURT HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON		
		UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS TO ANALYZE AND DEVELOP ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF BUILDER'S MOTION FOR		
		SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED		
		BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.40	66.00
CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE LIPTAK V. DIANE APARTMENTS CASE, RE: COURT ANALYSIS AND APPELLATE DETERMINATION OF		
		COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF TO HOA'S OPPOSITION MOTION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202		
		(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH		
CSW L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CARLSON V. BLATT CASE, RE: COURT	0.10	16.50
		ANALYSIS OF COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF TO HOA'S OPPOSITION MOTION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
		PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202 (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24		
CSW L250	A104	- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D DEFENDANT'S	0.10	16.50
		MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE:		
		ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND		
		FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
		0090	Δ Δ 3 5 3 1	

Page: 27 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

				Hours	
CSW	L250	A104	ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DEFENDANTS LAND WEST	0.30	49.50
			BUILDERS INC AND SUNRIDGE BUILDERS INC'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
			PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) AND OPPOSITION TO		
			PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND, RE: ASSESSMENT OF LEGAL ARGUMENTS AND COURT RULINGS ON SAME REGARDING		
			THE COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION PURSUANT TO NRS		
			11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF		
			OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE		
			MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) NUNC PRO TUNC ORDER		
			GRANTING LANDS WEST BUILDERS INC'S JOINING PARTIES		
			AND SUNRIDGE BUILDERS INC'S JOINT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), RE:		
			ASSESSMENT OF LEGAL ARGUMENTS AND COURT RULINGS		
			ON SAME REGARDING THE COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION		
			PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO		
			SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH	0.45	04.75
DRG	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING	0.15	24.75
			COUNSEL, RE: THEIR ARGUMENTS AS TO WHY THEIR		
			PROPOSED ORDERS ARE APPROPRIATE, IN PREPARATION		
			FOR PREPARING OUR COMPETING ORDER REGARDING THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION		
			HEARD ON FEBRUARY 12, 2019 (MOTION APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.05	0.05
DRG	L250	A103	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING THE COURT'S	0.05	8.25
Dito	LZOO	71100	RULING ON OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION HEARD ON		
			FEBRUARY 12, 2019, WITH THE LANGUAGE WE PROPOSED IN		
			LIEU OF WHAT THE ASSOCIATION PROPOSED, BASED UPON OUR UNDERSTANDING AND READING OF THE COURT'S		
			HEARING TRANSCRIPT (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,		
			SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
DRG	L250	Δ103	PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE LETTER TO JUDGE JOHNSON, DEPARTMENT	0.40	66.00
DINO	LZJU	A100	22, RE: PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING THE COURT'S RULING		
			ON OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION HEARD ON		
			FEBRUARY 12, 2019, WITH THE ARGUMENTS AS TO WHY OUR PROPOSED LANGUAGE IS MORE APPROPRIATE THAN WHAT		
			THE ASSOCIATION'S PROPOSED ORDER PROVIDES. (MOTION		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
DRG	L250	Λ104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE LETTER TO JUDGE JOHNSON,	0.30	49.50
DING	L230	A10 <del>4</del>	DEPARTMENT 22, FROM COUNSEL FOR THE ASSOCIATION,		
			RE: THE ASSOCIATION'S PROPOSED ORDERS AND LETTER		
			EXPLAINING SAME, REGARDING THE COURT'S RULING ON OUR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION HEARD ON FEBRUARY		
			12, 2019, WITH THE ARGUMENTS AS TO WHY THEIR		
			PROPOSED LANGUAGE IS MORE APPROPRIATE THAN WHAT		
			THE OUR PROPOSED ORDER PROVIDES, ANALYZED		
			0091	Δ Δ 3 5 3 2	

Page: 28 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

				ARGUMENTS PRESENTED TO DETERMINE WHETHER	Hours	
				OBJECTION IS NECESSARY. (MOTION APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
03/08/2019	PCB	L250	A107	COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH JAN DUFFALO (COVERAGE COUNSEL FOR SOMPO) RE:		
				(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II; ALL WORK ON MOTIONS PRE-APPROVED BY		
	DRG	L120	A104	SHERILYN BRYDON OF ESIS). REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM JAN DUFFALO, RE:	0.05	9.25
	DRG	L120	A103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO JAN DUFFALO, RE:	0.05	8.25
					0.05	8.25
		L230		REVIEW/ANALYZE NOTICE OF SPECIAL MASTER HEARING, FOR MARCH 14, 2019, IN ORDER TO PREPARE FOR SAME.	0.05	8.25
		L230		REVIEW/ANALYZE SPECIAL MASTER ONLINE HEARING CALENDAR, IN PREPARATION FOR SAME.	0.05	8.25
	DRG	L230	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE SPECIAL MASTER ORDER FOR CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER, CURRENT DEADLINES FOR REPORTS, IN PREPARATION FOR RESPONDING TO JAN DUFFALO IN		
	DRG	L230	A104	REGARD TO SAME. REVIEW/ANALYZE SPECIAL MASTER ORDER HOLDING CASE	0.05	8.25
				AGENDA IN ABEYANCE, IN PREPARATION FOR RESPONDING TO JAN DUFFALO IN REGARD TO SAME.	0.05	8.25
	CSW	L250	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CALL TO THE CLARK COUNTY BUILDING DEPARTMENT, RE: DEPARTMENT RECORDS FOR NOTICES OF COMPLETION FOR PANORAMA TOWERS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
	CSW	L250	A108	PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CALL TO JIM DEMAR, MANAGER AT THE CLARK COUNTY BUILDING DEPARTMENT, RE: DEPARTMENT RECORDS FOR NOTICES OF COMPLETION FOR PANORAMA TOWERS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK	0.10	16.50
	CSW	L120	A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN REVIEW/ANALYZE INTERMOUNTAIN LUMBER AND BUILDERS SUPPLY, INC. V. GLENS FALLS INS. CO. COURT CASE RE MEANING OF GOOD CAUSE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH	0.05	8.25
	CSW	L120	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY LAW JOURNAL	0.15	24.75
	22.1	0		0092	AA3533	

Page: 29 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
CSW I	L120 A	\104	ARTICLE "IN SEARCH OF THE TRANSACTION OR OCCURRENCE: COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NEV. STATE BANK V. JAMISON FAMILY P'SHIP NEVADA CASE DISCUSSED IN HOA'S OPPOSITION BRIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	0.20	33.00
CSW I	L120 A	104	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NRCP 15(C), RE: RELATION BACK DOCTRINE FOR AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S	0.20	33.00
CSW I	L250 A		OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) LOPEZ V. US HOME CORP NEVADA	0.10	16.50
			COURT CASE DISCUSSED IN HOA'S OPPOSITION BRIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
CSW I	L250 A		REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 COMPLAINT, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24		
CSW I	L250 A	104	- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON ORDER FROM SKY LAS VEGAS CASE INVOLVING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE) FROM DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	0.10	16.50
CSW I	L250 A	104	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN 0093	0.15 A A 3 5 3 4	24.75
			UU93	A A 1714	

Page: 30 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 17

				Hours	
			BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE	0.15	24.73
			NUMBER 16A738730 PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON		
			STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT		
			BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
			APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)	0.00	00.00
CSW	L 250	A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON COURT	0.20	33.00
00	2200	, , , , ,	ORDER IN FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE, RE: COURT'S		
			RULING AND LEGAL ANALYSIS OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE ISSUE IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT		
			BUILDERS TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT		
			TO NRS 11.202(1), (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE: ARGUMENTS		
			MADE BY HOA AND BUILDERS AS WELL AS ANALYSIS FROM JUDGE JOHNSON IN MOTIONS AND ORDER FOR SKY LAS		
			VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE:		
			ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON		
			BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND		
			FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN		
			BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.65	107.25
CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE: BUILDER'S	0.00	
			REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS TO HOA'S OPPOSITION MOTION TO		
			BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO		
			OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION		
			WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.95	156.75
CSW	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE: BUILDER'S	0.93	130.73
			REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS TO HOA'S OPPOSITION MOTION TO		
			BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO		
			OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION		
			WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24	0.00	00.00
CSW	L 250	A104	- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) HEARING TRANSCRIPTS FROM	0.60	99.00
0011	LZOO	71101	TUESDAY FEBRUARY 7, 2017, RE: COURT HEARING ON		
			PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON		
			UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS TO ANALYZE AND DEVELOP ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF BUILDER'S MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED		
			BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH		
			TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
			0094	AA3535	

Page: 31 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No: 17

		Hours	
CSW L250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
03/09/2019 CSW L250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE BOURNE VALLEY COURT TRUST V. WELLS FARGO BANK NA NEVADA COURT CASE CITED IN ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON		
CSW L250 A104	ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE RELIGIOUS TECHNOLOGY CENTER V. SCOTT NEVADA COURT CASE CITED IN ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24	0.15	24.75
CSW L250 A104	- 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DEFENDANTS LAND WEST BUILDERS INC AND SUNRIDGE BUILDERS INC'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) AND OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND, RE: ASSESSMENT OF LEGAL ARGUMENTS AND COURT RULINGS ON SAME REGARDING THE COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON	0.20	33.00
CSW L250 A104	ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) NUNC PRO TUNC ORDER GRANTING LANDS WEST BUILDERS INC'S JOINNG PARTIES AND SUNRIDGE BUILDERS INC'S JOINT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), RE: ASSESSMENT OF LEGAL ARGUMENTS AND COURT RULINGS ON SAME REGARDING THE COMMENCEMENT OF AN ACTION PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH	0.15	24.75
CSW L250 A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) LOPEZ V. US HOME CORP NEVADA COURT CASE DISCUSSED IN HOA'S OPPOSITION BRIEF, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.15	24.75
	PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
	0095	AA3536	

Page: 32 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

		PER ADJUSTER 0096	0.15 AA3537	24.75
CSW L	.250 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON ORDER FROM SKY LAS VEGAS CASE INVOLVING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE) FROM DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/ COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.45	04.75
		NUMBER 16A738730 COMPLAINT, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
CSW L	.250 A104	RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AND RES JUDICATA AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE	0.15	24.75
CSW L	.250 A104	TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY NEVADA CASE, RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA CASE,	0.15	24.75
CSW L	.250 A104	COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT LTD V. TICOR	0.10	16.50
CSW L		REVIEW/ANALYZE NRCP 13(B), RE: COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS AS APPLIED TO BUILDER'S CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE GREAT WESTERN LAND AND CATTLE CORP V. SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NEVADA CASE, RE:	0.05	8.25
			Hours	

Page: 33 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

CSW L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON	Hours	
CSW L250	A104	NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE	0.10	16.50
		NUMBER 16A738730 PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK		
CSW L250	A104	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON COURT ORDER IN FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE, RE: COURT'S RULING AND LEGAL ANALYSIS OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE ISSUE IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT	0.20	33.00
CSW L250	A104	TO NRS 11.202(1), (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE:	0.15	24.75
CSW L250	A103	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/(CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE:	0.30	49.50
CSW L250	A103	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE:	0.80	132.00
CSW L250	A104	ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/(CONTINUE) HEARING TRANSCRIPTS FROM TUESDAY FEBRUARY 7, 2017, RE: COURT HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S	0.15	24.75
		0097	AA3538	

Page: 34 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 17

			MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS	Hours	
	CSW L250	A104	OF CLAIMS TO ANALYZE AND DEVELOP ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) FOSTER ET AL V. GREYSTONE NEVADA LLC CASE NUMBER A15728093D DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON UNTIMELINESS OF CLAIMS PURSUANT TO STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON	0.15	24.75
	CSW L250	A103	NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION	0.20	33.00
02/40/2040	DDC 1220	A402	BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.85	140.25
03/10/2019	DRG L230	A 103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO JAN DUFFALO, RE:		
	PCB L120	A101	PLAN AND PREPARE (CONTINUE) FOR NEXT STEPS IN THE LITIGATION SHOULD THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE NOT BE GRANTED RE:	0.10	16.50
			(AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT		
	CSW L250	A103	WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II).  DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN	0.20	37.00
	CSW L250	A103	BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER	0.80	132.00
			0098	AA3539	

Page: 35 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No: 17

				Hours	
	CSW L2	250 A103	ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) DRAFTING OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN OPPOSITION TO ASSOCIATION'S	0.30	49.50
	CSW L2	250 A103	REQUEST FOR CONDITIONAL COUNTERMOTION TO EXTEND TOLLING FOR THE BASIS OF GOOD CASE IN ORDER TO ADDRESS THE UNTIMELINESS OF THEIR CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS  DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF	0.80	132.00
	0011 12	200 /1100	BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)		
	CSW L2	250 A103	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK	0.95	156.75
	CSW L2	250 A103	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE: BUILDER'S REBUTTAL ARGUMENTS TO HOA'S CONDITIONAL COUNTERMOTION AS PART OF ITS OPPOSITION MOTION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO THE ASSOCIATION'S COUNTERCLAIM REGARDING SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER	0.45	74.25
	CSW L2	250 A103	SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN OPPOSITION TO ASSOCIATION'S REQUEST FOR CONDITIONAL COUNTERMOTION TO EXTEND TOLLING FOR THE BASIS OF GOOD CAUSE IN ORDER TO ADDRESS THE UNTIMELINESS OF THEIR CONSTRUCTION DEFECT CLAIMS	0.45 0.15	74.25 24.75
03/11/2019	JBV L3	320 A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL TO JUDGE JOHNSON, RE: PROPOSED ORDERS RESOLVING MOTIONS HEARD AND REQUIREMENT TO SUBMIT CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING DISPUTED ORDERS, IN PREPARATION FOR ENSURING ATTORNEY HAS ALL NECESSARY MATERIAL FOR ANALYSIS PRIOR TO ARGUING DISPUTES (AS PER CARRIER DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH		
	DRG L	120 A104	OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE - TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM JAN DUFFALO, RE:	0.05	4.75
	DRG L2	250 A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM THE COURT, RE: APPROVAL OF THE ASSOCIATION'S ORDERS REGARDING THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF ON STANDING, IN PREPARATION FORMULATING A RESPONSE (MOTIONS APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH	0.05	8.25
	DRG L2	250 A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE ORDER FROM THE COURT, RE: ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, EVALUATED	0.05	8.25
			0099	AA3540	

Page: 36 March 31, 2019 No: 1287-5511V

Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 17

				Hours	
			WHETHER APPROVED CHANGES AND ALTERATIONS WERE	Hours	
			ADOPTED. (MOTIONS APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN		
			BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
			ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE FILING NOTICE FROM THE COURT, RE:		
			NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER FROM THE COURT, DENYING		
			MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, EVALUATED WHETHER APPROVED CHANGES AND ALTERATIONS WERE ADOPTED.		
			(MOTIONS APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON		
			1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE ORDER FROM THE COURT, RE: ORDER		
			DENYING MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING		
			STANDING, EVALUATED WHETHER APPROVED CHANGES AND		
			ALTERATIONS WERE ADOPTED. (MOTIONS APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
DDC	1.050	A 1 O 1	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE FILING NOTICE FROM THE COURT, RE: NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER FROM THE COURT, ORDER		
			DENYING MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING		
			STANDING, EVALUATED WHETHER APPROVED CHANGES AND		
			ALTERATIONS WERE ADOPTED. (MOTIONS APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
			TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE FILING NOTICE FROM THE COURT, RE:		
			NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER FROM THE COURT, ORDER		
			DENYING MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF REGARDING STANDING, EVALUATED WHETHER APPROVED CHANGES AND		
			ALTERATIONS WERE ADOPTED. (MOTIONS APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
			TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE LOPEZ V U.S. HOME US DISTRICT COURT		
			CASE, RE: EVALUATED ARGUMENTS PRESENTED BY THE		
			JUDGE, THE AREA OF LAW, THE APPLICABLE VERSION OF		
			THE STATUTE, IN ORDER TO DEVELOP ARGUMENTS AS TO		
			WHY IT IS DISTINGUISHABLE FROM THE INSTANT MATTER, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR CONTINUING THE DRAFTING OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE		
			(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN		
			BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
			ADJUSTER)	0.35	57.75
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CASE FILE MATERIALS FROM ORIGINAL		
			LITIGATION, CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION, OCCUPANCY		
			AND OTHER PERTINENT DOCUMENTATION, IN ORDER TO		
			PRESENT THE ARGUMENT THAT NOTICES OF COMPLETION		
			DO NOT EXIST, TO COUNTER ARGUMENTS MADE BY THE		
			ASSOCIATION, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE		
			(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN		
			BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
			ADJUSTER)	0.45	74.25
DRG	L250	A103	DRAFT/REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT, MICHELLE		
			ROBBINS, RE:		
			(MOTION		
			(IVIOTION		

Page: 37 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 17

				Hours	
CSW	L250	A104	WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) JUDGE JOHNSON ORDER FROM SKY LAS VEGAS CASE INVOLVING MOTION FOR	0.10	16.50
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE) FROM DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS/		
			COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR REPLY		
			BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER		
			SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER	0.10	16.50
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) SKY LAS VEGAS CASE NUMBER 16A738730 DEFENDANT'S REPLY MOTION FOR		
			SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON STATUTE OF REPOSE, RE: ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED ON ANDS 44 202(4) IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION PRIFE ON		
			NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR OPPOSITION BRIEF ON BEHALF OF CLIENT BUILDERS TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN		
			BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
CSW	L250	A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CALL TO JIM DEMAR, MANAGER AT THE CLARK COUNTY BUILDING	0.20	00.00
			DEPARTMENT, RE: DEPARTMENT RECORDS FOR NOTICES OF COMPLETION FOR PANORAMA TOWERS, IN PREPARATION		
			FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO		
			NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN	0.05	8.25
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE MACDONALD V. KRAUSE NEVADA SUPREME COURT CASE, RE: NEVADA COURT INTERPRETATION AND		
			DEFINITION OF COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS IN ORDER TO DETERMINE ARGUMENTS REBUTTING THE PROPOSITION		
			THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S MARCH 1, 2017 COUNTERCLAIMS WERE COMPULSORY, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING		
			REPLY BRIEF TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI NEVADA SUPREME COURT CASE. RE: NEVADA COURT	0.13	24.73
			INTERPRETATION AND DEFINITION OF COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS IN ORDER TO DETERMINE ARGUMENTS		
			REBUTTING THE PROPOSITION THAT THE ASSOCIATION'S MARCH 1, 2017 COUNTERCLAIMS WERE COMPULSORY, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER		
0014	1.050		SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
CSW	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE LOPEZ V. US HOMES NEVADA DISTRICT COURT CASE COMPLAINT, RE: BUILDER'S ARGUMENT FOR		
			TOLLING OF ONE YEAR GRACE PERIOD OF AB 125 AS PART OF THEIR OPPOSITION MOTION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED		
			0101	Δ Δ 3 5 4 2	

Page: 38 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

Panorama Tower I 48062208278589-A PANORAMA TOWER I ~~~SEE NOTES~~~

03/12/2019

CSW L250	A104	BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) LOPEZ V. US HOMES NEVADA DISTRICT COURT DEVELOPER'S MOTION TO DISMISS ASSOCIATION'S CLAIMS ON THE BASIS OF UNTIMELINESS, RE: DEVELOPER'S ARGUMENT AGAINST TOLLING OF ONE YEAR GRACE PERIOD OF AB 125 AS PART OF THEIR OPPOSITION MOTION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO OPPOSITION TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN	Hours 0.20	33.00
CSW L250	A103	BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE:	0.20	33.00
CSW L250	A104	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE YATES V. WASHOE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NEVADA COURT CASE CITED IN ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY FOR	0.10	16.50
CSW L250	A104	SUMMARY JUDGMENT, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE KIRKPATRICK V. LENOIR COUNTY BD. OF EDUCATION NEVADA COURT CASE CITED IN ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY FOR	0.15	24.75
CSW L250	A103	SUMMARY JUDGMENT, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/(CONTINUE) MEMO TO FILE, RE:	0.15	24.75
CSW L250	A103	(ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT	0.20	33.00
CSW L250	A103	TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF BUILDERS, IN REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK	0.90	148.50
PCB L190	A107	APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  COMMUNICATE (OTHER OUTSIDE COUNSEL) WITH PERSONAL	0.85	140.25
		0102	A A 2512	

Statement No: 17

				Hours	
			COUNSEL FOR MJ DEAN (MARTIN LITTLE) RE:		
			AS PER CARRIER		
			DIRECTIVE, TIME SPLIT WITH OTHER FILE IN SAME CASE -	0.40	40.50
DRG	L250	A104	TOWER II). REVIEW/ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT,	0.10	18.50
			MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:		
			(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
			PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE SUPPLEMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE FROM		
			EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:		
			(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,		
			SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
DRG	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE STIPULATION AND ORDER FROM THE	0.05	8.25
2.10			COURT REGARDING CONTINUANCE OF HEARING ON MOTION		
			FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING NOTICE OF ENTRY		
			OF SAME. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,		
			SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.05	0 25
DRG	L250	A103	PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND	0.05	8.25
			ORDER FROM THE COURT REGARDING CONTINUANCE OF		
			HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE, (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY		
			ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH		
DRG	L250	A104	TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL	0.05	8.25
2110	2200	,,,,,,,	CORRESPONDENCE FROM EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:		
			(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
DRG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) DOCUMENTS FROM EXPERT, RE:		
			(A)		
			(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19)		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25
			0103	AA3544	

Page: 40 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM EXPER	Hours T,
RE:  (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19 (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM EXPER	0.05 8.25
(MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CAS	
PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) DOCUMENTS FROM EXPER RE:  (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY	0.05 8.25
ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A108 COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) TELEPHONE CALL WITE EXPERT, MICHELLE ROBBINS, RE:  (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,	0.05 8.25 TH
SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CAS PER ADJUSTER)  DRG L250 A104 REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) OFFICE OF THE CLARK COUNT' RECORDER, DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION FOR TOWER I, 4525 DEAN MARTIN DRIVE, NOTICES OF COMPLETION AND CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION, DOCUMENTS THAT FIT WITHIN THE CONTEX OF THE STATUTE, CHAPTER 11, CONTINUED EVALUATION DOCUMENTS AIMED AT REFUTING THE ASSOCIATION'S ARGUMENTS THAT NOTICES OF COMPLETION MAY HAVE BEEN RECORDED AFTER THE DATE OF SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION AS ALLEGED BY THE BUILDERS, FOR USE IN	0.10 16.50 Y (T OF

Page: 41 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No:

				Hours	
DRG	L250	A104	THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) OFFICE OF THE CLARK COUNTY RECORDER, DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION FOR TOWER II, 4575 DEAN MARTIN DRIVE, NOTICES OF COMPLETION AND CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION, DOCUMENTS THAT FIT WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE STATUTE, CHAPTER 11, CONTINUED EVALUATION OF DOCUMENTS AIMED AT REFUTING THE ASSOCIATION'S ARGUMENTS THAT NOTICES OF COMPLETION MAY HAVE	0.30	49.50
DRG	L250	A104	BEEN RECORDED AFTER THE DATE OF SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION AS ALLEGED BY THE BUILDERS, FOR USE IN THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.25	41.25
DRG	L250	A104	ARGUMENTS THAT NOTICES OF COMPLETION MAY HAVE BEEN RECORDED AFTER THE DATE OF SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION AS ALLEGED BY THE BUILDERS, FOR USE IN THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) OFFICE OF THE CLARK COUNTY BUILDING DEPARTMENT, DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION FOR TOWER II, 4575 DEAN MARTIN DRIVE, NOTICES OF COMPLETION AND CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION, DOCUMENTS THAT FIT WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE STATUTE, CHAPTER 11, CONTINUED EVALUATION OF DOCUMENTS AIMED AT REFUTING THE ASSOCIATION'S ARGUMENTS THAT NOTICES OF COMPLETION MAY HAVE BEEN RECORDED AFTER THE DATE OF SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION AS ALLEGED BY THE BUILDERS,	0.40	66.00
DRG	L310	A104	FOR USE IN THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON THE STATUTE OF REPOSE (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 1.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE HEARING TRANSCRIPT FROM FEBRUARY 12, 2019, ANALYSIS OF SAME TO EVALUATE THE POSITION TAKEN BY THE ASSOCIATION IN REGARD TO ITS ATTEMPT TO AMEND THE CCR&S, IN ORDER TO DRAFT A LETTER TO THE	0.35	57.75
DRG	L310	A104	SPECIAL MASTER REQUESTING DISCOVERY ON THIS ISSUE. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE NOTES FROM FEBRUARY 12, 2019 HEARING, ANALYSIS OF SAME TO EVALUATE THE POSITION TAKEN BY THE ASSOCIATION IN REGARD TO ITS ATTEMPT TO	0.20	33.00

Page: 42 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 17

				Hours	
			AMEND THE CCR&S, IN ORDER TO DRAFT A LETTER TO THE SPECIAL MASTER REQUESTING DISCOVERY ON THIS ISSUE.	riodio	
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
DRG	L310	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER, IN ORDER TO EVALUATE PARAMETERS FOR SERVING SEPARATE		
			DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND THE SPECIAL MASTER'S		
			ANTICIPATED STANCE ON SAME, IN ORDER TO DRAFT A		
			LETTER TO THE SPECIAL MASTER REQUESTING DISCOVERY		
			ON THE ISSUE OF THE ASSOCIATION'S RECENT ATTEMPTS AT		
			AMENDING THE CC&R'S. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
DRG	L310	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE AGREEMENT,	0.10	. 0.00
			IN ORDER TO EVALUATE PRIOR SETTLEMENT TERMS AND		
			CONDITIONS, TO UTILIZE IN LETTER TO THE SPECIAL MASTER		
			REQUESTING DISCOVERY ON THE ISSUE OF THE ASSOCIATION'S RECENT ATTEMPTS AT AMENDING THE		
			CC&R'S. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.15	24.75
DRG	L310	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE UPDATED PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT REPORT		
			FROM PRIOR LITIGATION, CHRIS ALLEN 2009 ARCHITECTURAL		
			DEFECT REPORT, RE: WINDOW DEFECT ALLEGATIONS, TO UTILIZE IN LETTER TO THE SPECIAL MASTER REQUESTING		
			DISCOVERY ON THE ISSUE OF THE ASSOCIATION'S RECENT		
			ATTEMPTS AT AMENDING THE CC&R'S. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II		
550	1040	4.400	CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
DRG	L310	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (CONTINUE) INTERROGATORIES TO THE ASSOCIATIONS, SET NO. 1, RE: COMMUNICATIONS AND		
			OTHER ASPECTS OF THE ASSOCIATION'S RECENT ATTEMPTS		
			AT AMENDING THE CC&R'S AND ENTITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR		
			REPAIRS TO THE WINDOWS AFTER THE ORIGINAL		
			LITIGATION, IN ORDER TO SERVE ON THE PARTIES AND THE		
			SPECIAL MASTER IN ANTICIPATION OF UPCOMING SPECIAL MASTER HEARING. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER		
			ADJUSTER)	0.20	33.00
DRG	L310	A103			
			SET NO. 1, TO THE ASSOCIATION, RE: COMMUNICATIONS AND		
			OTHER ASPECTS OF THE ASSOCIATION'S RECENT ATTEMPTS AT AMENDING THE CC&R'S AND ENTITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR		
			REPAIRS TO THE WINDOWS AFTER THE ORIGINAL		
			LITIGATION, IN ORDER TO SERVE ON THE PARTIES AND THE		
			SPECIAL MASTER IN ANTICIPATION OF UPCOMING SPECIAL		
			MASTER HEARING. (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.40	66.00
DRG	L310	A103	DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) LETTER TO SPECIAL MASTER, RE:	0.40	00.00
			DISCOVERY REQUESTS, REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION AND		
			INTERROGATORIES, ARGUMENTS WHY SAME ARE JUSTIFIED,		
			INCLUSION OF PROCEDURAL AND FACTUAL HISTORY OF MATTER, AND PRIOR SETTLEMENT WITH DEFECT		
			ALLEGATIONS PERTAINING TO WINDOWS, FOR SERVICE TO		
			SPECIAL MASTER WITH PROPOSED DISCOVERY REQUESTS.		
			(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.60	99.00
LSG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) LAW REVIEW ARTICLE BY		
			DOUGLAS D. MCFARLAND, RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN		
			PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE		
			REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR		

Page: 43 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Account No: 1287-5511V Statement No: 17

				Hours	
			DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON	nouis	
LSG	L250	A104	01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) NRCP 13 AND RULE NOTES, RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING	0.25	41.25
			MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER,		
			SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50
LSG	L250	A104	REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) HOLT V. REG'L TR. SERVS. CORP., 127 NEV. 886, 266 P.3D 602 (2011), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE		
			REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION		
LSG	L250	A104	WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) CSA DEV., LLC V. BRYANT, 385 P.3D	0.10	16.50
			611, 2016 WL 7105072, RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE		
			REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION		
LSG	L250	A104	WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) HAWLEY V. KAUFMAN, 2008 NEV. UNPUB. LEXIS 1, RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE	0.10	16.50
			AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT		
			OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE		
LSG	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) BRADLEYS V. SHERRY N., 2015 WL 7356409, RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND	0.20	33.00
			COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT		
			OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.10	10
LSG	L250	A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) MENDENHALL V. TASSINARI, 133 NEV., ADV. OP. 78, 403 P.3D 364 (2017), RE: ANALYSIS OF	0.10	16.50
			RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF		
			0107	A A 25/19	

Page: 44 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

				Hours	
LSG	L250	A104	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) NEV. STATE BANK V. JAMISON FAMILY P'SHIP, 106 NEV. 792, 801 P.2D 1377 (1990), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN	0.25	41.25
LSG	L250	A104	PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) BOURNE VALLEY COURT TRUST V. WELLS FARGO BANK, 2019 WL 177467 (D. NEV. JAN. 10, 2019), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF	0.25	41.25
LSG	L250	A104	SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) YATES V. WASHOE COUNTY SCH. DIST., 2019 WL 3256576 (D. NEV. OCT. 31, 2007), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING	0.15	24.75
LSG	L250	A104	MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) ARMSTRONG V. LOGSDON, 469 S.W.2D 342 (KY. 1971), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN	0.15 2	24.75
LSG	L250	A104	PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) AZADA V. CARSON, 252 F.SUPP. 988 (D. AW. 1966), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF	0.10	16.50
LSG	L250	A104	SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) LEWIS V. MERRILL, 365 P.2D 1052 (OR. 1961), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR	0.10	16.50

Page: 45 March 31, 2019 Account No: 1287-5511V

Statement No:

		Hours	
	DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.40	40.50
LSG L250 A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) ALLIE V. IONATA, 503 SO.2D 1237 (FLA. 1987), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.10	16.50
LSG L250 A104	PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (BEGIN) WOOD V. CARPENTER, 101 U.S. 135, 139 (1879), RE: ANALYSIS OF RELATION BACK DOCTRINE AND COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING MEMORANDUM TO FILE REGARDING ANALYSIS OF SAME, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STATUTE OF REPOSE. (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE	0.10	16.50
LSG L250 A103	PER ADJUSTER) DRAFT/REVISE (BEGIN) MEMORANDUM, RE:  (MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER, SHERILYN BRYDON, ON 01.24.19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.10	16.50 99.00
CSW L250 A108	COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CALL TO JIM DELMAR, MANAGER AT THE CLARK COUNTY BUILDING DEPARTMENT, RE: DEPARTMENT RECORDS FOR NOTICES OF COMPLETION FOR PANORAMA TOWERS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)		
CSW L250 A108	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) COMMUNICATE (OTHER EXTERNAL) PHONE CALL FROM JIM DEMAR, MANAGER AT THE CLARK COUNTY BUILDING DEPARTMENT, RE: DEPARTMENT RECORDS FOR NOTICES OF COMPLETION FOR PANORAMA TOWERS, IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1) (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19)	0.10	16.50
CSW L250 A104	(SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER) REVIEW/ANALYZE (CONTINUE) ASSOCIATION'S OPPOSITION BRIEF TO BUILDER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO NRS 11.202(1), IN PREPARATION FOR DRAFTING REPLY TO SAME (ALL PRIOR AND FUTURE MOTION WORK APPROVED BY ADJUSTER SHERILYN BRYDON ON 1 - 24 - 19) (SPLIT WITH TOWER II CASE PER ADJUSTER)	0.05	8.25 33.00
	0109	AA3550	