IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN RE: NEWPORT CORPORATION SHAREHOLDER LITIGATION.

HUBERT C. PINCON;
INTERNATIONAL UNION OF
OPERATING ENGINEERSEMPLOYERS CONSTRUCTION
INDUSTRY RETIREMENT TRUST,
LOCAL 302; AND INTERNATIONAL
UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERSEMPLOYERS CONSTRUCTION
INDUSTRY RETIREMENT TRUST,
LOCAL 612,

Appellants,

VS.

ROBERT J. PHILLIPPY; KENNETH F. POTASHNER; CHRISTOPHER COX; SIDDHARTHA C. KADIA; OLEG KHAYKIN; AND PETER J. SIMONE, Respondents.

No. 80636

FILED

AUG 2 7 2020

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 5. YOU'VE
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

Appellant has filed a motion for a second extension of time (45 days) to file the opening brief. Once a party receives a telephonic extension of time to file a brief, further requests for extensions of time to file that brief will not be granted absent demonstration of extraordinary and compelling circumstances. NRAP 26(b)(1)(B); NRAP 31(b)(3)(A)(iv). Appellant previously received a telephonic extension of time to file the opening brief and appendix. Therefore, appellant must demonstrate extraordinary and compelling circumstances in support of the instant request for a second extension of time.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

20-31633

This court is not convinced that appellant demonstrates extraordinary and compelling circumstances warranting the requested extension of time. Nevertheless, in this instance only, the motion is granted. Appellant shall have until October 23, 2020, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix.

It is so ORDERED.

<u>Pickering</u>, C.J.

cc: Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd, LLP
O'Mara Law Firm, P.C.
Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP/Las Vegas
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, LLP/San Francisco
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP/Irvine