Case No. 80636

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

In re: Newport Corporation Shareholder Litigation 29 2021 03:13 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown

Hubert C. Pincon; Locals 302 and 612 of the International Union of Operation Court Engineers-Employers Construction Industry Retirement Trust

Appellants,

v.

Robert J. Phillippy; Kenneth F. Potashner; Christopher Cox; Siddhartha C. Kadia; Oleg Khaykin; and Peter J. Simone

Respondents,

MOTION TO REDACT RESPONDENTS' ANSWERING BRIEF

MAXIMILIEN FETAZ, ESQ., Nevada Bar No. 12737

mfetaz@bhfs.com

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP

100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600

Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614

Telephone: 702.382.2101 Facsimile: 702.382.8135

BRIAN M. LUTZ, ESQ. (pro hac vice)

blutz@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

555 Mission Street, Suite 3000

San Francisco, CA 94105-0921

Telephone: 415.393.8200

Facsimile: 415.393.8306

COLIN B. DAVIS, ESQ. (pro hac vice)

cdavis@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

3161 Michelson Drive

Irvine, CA 92612-4412

Telephone: 949.451.3800

Facsimile: 949.451.4220

Attorneys for Respondents Robert J. Phillippy, Kenneth

F. Potashner, Christopher Cox, Siddhartha C. Kadia,

Oleg Khaykin, and Peter J. Simone

MOTION TO REDACT RESPONDENTS' ANSWERING BRIEF

Pursuant to SRCR 3(4)(b) and (g), Respondents Robert J. Phillippy,

Kenneth F. Potashner, Christopher Cox, Siddhartha C. Kadia, Oleg Khaykin, and

Peter J. Simone ("Respondents"), by and through their undersigned counsel of

record, hereby request leave to file a partially redacted version of their Answering

Brief. This Motion is supported by the below memorandum of points and

authorities and the exhibit attached hereto.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

On April 15, 2016, the district court entered an order approving the parties'

stipulated protective order ("Protective Order"). Pursuant to the Protective Order,

Defendants and/or third parties designated several documents as "Confidential" or

"Highly Confidential." These documents contain sensitive business information of

former defendant Newport Corporation and third parties related to the merger

transaction at issue in this case. In order to protect this "Confidential" or "Highly

Confidential" information from public disclosure, the Respondents respectfully request leave to file a partially redacted version of the Answering Brief on the public record.

II. ANALYSIS

This Court may redact court files to further a protective order entered into pursuant to NRCP 26(c) or to protect a trade secret.¹ SRCR 3(4)(b) and (g).

A. The parties are subject to a Protective Order

On April 15, 2016, the district court entered an order approving the parties' stipulated protective order ("Protective Order"). *See* Protective Order attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**. The Protective Order provides that:

Any Party or non-Party person or entity producing Discovery Materials ... may designate as 'confidential' those portions of Discovery Materials that contain or disclose confidential or proprietary information, ... trade secrets, nonpublic inside information, ... commercially sensitive information, ... or any other sensitive or proprietary information that has not been made public or otherwise disclosed to third parties.

Id. at \P 2. The Protective Order further provides that:

Any Producing Party may designate any Discovery Material as 'Highly Confidential' under the terms of this Order if such party in good faith reasonably believes that

¹ A trade secret is information that "[d]erives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by the public or any other person who can obtain commercial or economic value form its disclosure or use." NRS 600A.030(5).

disclosure of the Discovery Material ... is substantially likely to cause injury to the Producing Party.

Id. The Protective Order also provides that:

A Party may also designate deposition testimony and exhibits as confidential at the time of deposition, and may instruct the deposition officer to mark the deposition transcripts and exhibits as 'confidential' either at the time of the deposition or at any time prior to receiving the written deposition transcript.

Id. at $\P 4$.

B. The Defendants and/or third parties designated several documents as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" under the Protective Order.

Defendants and/or third parties designated several documents as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" under the Protective Order. These documents contain sensitive business information of former defendant Newport Corporation and third parties related to the merger transaction at issue in this case. This sensitive business information includes information pertaining to the identities of third parties with whom Newport discussed potential merger-of-equals or sale transactions, which is subject to nondisclosure agreements entered into between Newport and the third parties, and confidential business and financial information of Newport and other third parties. Additionally, Plaintiffs took depositions of each of the Defendants and several other fact witnesses throughout the course of

discovery in this case, and Defendants have designated the contents of each of these transcripts and certain exhibits thereto as "Confidential."

C. The proposed redactions protect the same confidential information that is contained in the documents that have been designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" under the Protective Order.

The proposed redactions to the Answering Brief protect the same confidential information that is contained in the documents that have been designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" under the Protective Order. This includes sensitive and proprietary business information related to: (1) the merger transaction at issue in this case; and (2) the identities of third parties with whom Newport discussed potential merger-of-equals or sale transactions, which is subject to nondisclosure agreements entered into between Newport and the third parties; and (3) confidential business and financial information of Newport and other third parties.

Because the proposed redactions are necessary to protect this highly confidential information, Respondents respectfully request leave to file a redacted version of their Answering Brief on the public record.

DATED this 29th day of January, 2021.

/s/ Maximilien D. Fetaz

MAXIMILIEN D. FETAZ, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 12737

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP

100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614 Telephone: 702.382.2101 Facsimile: 702.382.8135

BRIAN M. LUTZ, ESO.

(pro hac vice)

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

555 Mission Street, Suite 3000

San Francisco, CA 94105-0921

Telephone: 415.393.8200 Facsimile: 415.393.8306

COLIN B. DAVIS, ESQ.

(pro hac vice)

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

3161 Michelson Drive

Irvine, CA 92612-4412

Telephone: 949.451.3800

Facsimile: 949.451.4220

Attorneys for Defendants

Robert J. Phillippy, Kenneth F. Potashner, Christopher Cox, Siddhartha C. Kadia, Oleg Khaykin, and Peter J.

Simone

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I electronically filed and served the foregoing **MOTION TO REDACT RESPONDENTS' ANSWERING BRIEF** with the Clerk of the Court of the Supreme Court of Nevada by using the Court's Electronic Filing System on January 29, 2021.

/s/ Wendy Cosby an employee of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck,

EXHIBIT 1

Electronically Filed 04/15/2016 09:58:16 AM

| 1 | THE O'MARA LAW FIRM, P.C. | | 04/15/2016 09:58:16 AM | |
|-----|---|--------------------|--|--|
| 2 | DAVID C. O'MARA (Nevada Bar No. 8599) | | | |
| 2 | 316 E. Bridger Avenue, 2nd Las Vegas, NV 89101 | Floor | Alun J. Chum | |
| 3 | Telephone: 725/529-4042 | | Comment of the contract of the | |
| 5 | 775/323-4082 (fax) | | CLERK OF THE COURT | |
| 4 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | ROBBINS GELLER RUDN | //AN | | |
| 5 | & DOWD LLP | | | |
| _ | RANDALL J. BARON | · | | |
| 6 | DAVID T. WISSBROECKI | | | |
| 7 | EDWARD M. GERGOSIAI DAVID A. KNOTTS | N | | |
| / | 655 West Broadway, Suite 1 | 000 | | |
| 8 | San Diego, CA 92101 | 1900 | | |
| | Telephone: 619/231-1058 | | | |
| 9 | 619/231-7423 (fax) | | | |
| 4.0 | | | | |
| 10 | [Proposed] Lead Counsel for | r Plaintiffs | | |
| 11 | [Additional counsel appear on signature page.] | | | |
| | La vacata out and a tappour (| on signature page. | | |
| 12 | IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA | | | |
| 12 | T. | I ANTO ECO OTTO | COLDINAL OF CLASS | |
| 13 | 1,1 | N AND FOR THE | COUNTY OF CLARK | |
| 14 | DIXON CHUNG, Individua | lly and on Behalf |) | |
| | of All Others Similarly Situa | ated, | <u> </u> | |
| 15 | _ | |) Case No. A-16-734039-B | |
| 16 | | Plaintiff, |) Dept No. XXVII | |
| 10 | vs. | |) OI ASS ACTION | |
| 17 | V 5. | |) <u>CLASS ACTION</u> | |
| ľ | NEWPORT CORP., et al., | |) STIPULATED CONFIDENTIALITY | |
| 18 | · | |) AGREEMENT AND PROTECTIVE ORDER | |
| 19 | | Defendants. |) REGARDING THE SEALING OF COURT | |
| 19 | | |) RECORDS | |
| 20 | HUBERT C. PINCON, Indiv | ridually and on | | |
| | Behalf of All Others Similar | ly Situated. | { | |
| 21 | | - <i>y</i> |) Case No. A-16-733154-C | |
| 22 | | Plaintiff, |) Dept No. XXVII | |
| 22 | 770 | |) | |
| 23 | VS. | |) <u>CLASS ACTION</u> | |
| | NEWPORT CORPORATIO | N. et al | { | |
| 24 | | , ·, |) | |
| 25 | | Defendants. | j – j | |
| 25 | | | .) | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 27 | ! | | | |

Through their counsel of record, defendants Newport Corporation, MKS Instruments, Inc., PSI Equipment, Inc., Robert J. Phillippy, Kenneth F. Potashner, Christopher Cox, Siddhartha C. Kadia, Oleg Khaykin and Peter J. Simone (collectively, "defendants"), on the one hand, and plaintiff Hubert C. Pincon ("plaintiff"), on the other hand (collectively, the "Parties"), hereby stipulate, pursuant to N.R.C.P. 26(c) and 29 and EDCR 7.50, as follows:

- 1. This Stipulated Protective Order ("Order") shall govern all documents or things produced in discovery, deposition testimony, exhibits and transcripts, written discovery requests and responses, and any other information or material produced, given or exchanged in the action captioned above ("Discovery Materials"), including any other information contained therein or derived therefrom, and the designation and handling of Discovery Materials containing confidential, proprietary and/or private information produced in this action by any Party or non-Party.
- 2. Any Party or non-Party person or entity producing Discovery Materials (the "Producing Party") may designate as "confidential" those portions of Discovery Materials that contain or disclose confidential or proprietary information, information protected by the right to privacy, trade secrets, nonpublic inside information, private individual financial information, commercially sensitive information, personnel files or any other sensitive or proprietary information that has not been made public or otherwise disclosed to third parties ("Confidential Information"). Any Producing Party may designate any Discovery Material as "Highly Confidential" under the terms of this Order if such party in good faith reasonably believes that disclosure of the Discovery Material other than as permitted pursuant to Paragraph 8 of this Order is substantially likely to cause injury to the Producing Party ("Highly Confidential Information"). By designating Discovery Materials as containing Confidential or Highly Confidential Information, the Producing Party is certifying to the Court that there is a good faith basis in law and in fact for the designation within the meaning of N.R.C.P. 26(g).
- 3. A Producing Party shall designate documents containing Confidential or Highly Confidential Information by marking a designated document, and all relevant pages of a designated document, with the word(s) "confidential" or "highly confidential" in a location that makes the designation readily apparent.

- 4. A Party shall designate deposition testimony containing Confidential or Highly Information by, within thirty (30) days after receipt of the written deposition transcript, identifying the portions of the deposition testimony and exhibits to the deposition transcript which are confidential. Until that time, all deposition testimony, as well as the exhibits to the deposition transcript, shall be treated as confidential. A Party may also designate deposition testimony and exhibits as confidential at the time of deposition, and may instruct the deposition officer to mark deposition transcripts and exhibits as "confidential" either at the time of the deposition or at any time prior to receiving the written deposition transcript.
- 5. A Producing Party shall designate electronic media containing Confidential or Highly Confidential Information, including but not limited to DVDs, CDs, flash drives, disks, hard-drives, video-recordings, etc., by, where practical, marking the media as "confidential" or "highly confidential."
- 6. A Producing Party shall designate materials or things that have not been reduced to documentary or electronic form as containing Confidential or Highly Confidential Information by informing counsel for the Parties in writing that the material or thing contains Confidential or Highly Information.
- 7. Any party seeking to file or disclose materials designated as Confidential or Highly Confidential Information with the Court in this action must file such or Confidential or Highly Information under seal pursuant to Rule 3 of the Nevada Rules for Sealing and Redacting Court Records.
- 8. Confidential or Highly Information shall not be used by a non-Producing Party for any purpose other than the defense or prosecution of this action and shall not be used, directly or indirectly, by any non-Producing Party for any business, commercial or competitive purpose whatsoever. Confidential or Highly Information shall not be discussed with, or disclosed to, any person except as specifically authorized by this Order. Neither plaintiff, nor plaintiff's counsel, shall use any Confidential or Highly Information in connection with any future litigation against defendants or any parties related to defendants.
 - 9. Confidential Information shall be disclosed only to:

- (e) court reporters used in connection with this action and their employees;
- (f) consultants, technical advisors, and expert witnesses (whether designated as trial witnesses or not) employed or retained by the Parties or their counsel; provided, however, that any such consultant, technical advisor, or expert witness is not currently an employee of, or advising or discussing employment with, or a consultant to, any Party to this litigation or any competitor or potential competitor of any Party;
- (g) any anticipated witness in this action, except that such witness shall be shown Highly Confidential Information only in preparation for or during his or her testimony and may not copy or retain such Highly Confidential Information;
- (h) any person indicated on the face of a document to be the author, addressee, or a recipient of the document;
 - (i) any other person or entity as to whom the Parties agree in writing; and
 - (j) any other person as to whom the Court orders.
- Prior to the disclosure of Confidential or Highly Confidential Information by a non-Producing Party to any of the persons identified in Section 9 subsections (g) and (h) of this Order or Section 10 subsections (f) and (g), the Party making the disclosure shall first secure from those persons a signed statement in the form attached as Exhibit A to this Order by which the signatory expressly states that he or she has read and understands this Order and agrees to be bound by its terms and counsel shall be responsible for retaining the original, executed copy thereof.
- 12. To the extent that testimony is sought concerning Confidential or Highly Confidential Information during any deposition or in any other pre-trial venue, any Party may exclude any person from the deposition or other venue during such testimony if the Confidential or Highly Confidential Information may not be disclosed to such person under the terms of this Order.
- 13. This Order shall be without prejudice to the right of the Parties or other persons to (i) bring before the Court at any time the question of whether any particular item of Discovery Material is properly designated as Confidential or Highly Confidential Information or (ii) present a motion to the Court for a separate protective order as to any particular item of Discovery Material, including

3

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

restrictions differing from those specified in this Order. This Order shall not be deemed to prejudice the Parties in any way in any future application for modification of this Order.

- A Party or other person objecting to the designation of Confidential or Highly 14. Confidential Information shall provide written notice of the objection to the designating Party, specifying the materials that are the subject of the objection. The Parties and any other objecting person(s) shall confer in good faith in an effort to resolve the objection. If such conference does not resolve the objection, within ten (10) days of such conference, the person objecting to the designation may apply to the Court, by motion, for a ruling that material designated by a Party as Confidential or Highly Confidential Information shall not be treated as Confidential. The Party that designated the material as Confidential or Highly Confidential Information shall be given notice of the motion and an opportunity to respond in accordance with the time frame set forth in the applicable rules of civil procedure. Pending determination by the Court, Discovery Material designated by a Party as Confidential or Highly Confidential Information shall be treated as confidential or highly confidential as provided in this Order. The Party who designated the Discovery Material as Confidential or Highly Confidential Information shall have the burden of showing that the Discovery Material was properly designated.
- 15. In the event of a disclosure of Confidential or Highly Confidential Information to a person not authorized to have had such disclosure made to him or her under the provisions of this Order, the Party responsible for having made such disclosure shall immediately procure the return of the Confidential or Highly Confidential Information, and inform counsel for the designating Party whose Confidential or Highly Confidential Information has thus been disclosed of all relevant information concerning the nature and circumstances of such disclosure. The responsible Party shall also take all reasonable measures promptly to ensure that no further or greater unauthorized disclosure of the Confidential Information occurs.
- The inadvertent production of any Confidential or Highly Confidential Information, 16. without the "Confidential Information" or "Highly Confidential Information" designation, shall be without prejudice to any claims that the information is confidential or highly confidential, and shall not constitute a waiver of its confidential or highly confidential nature. Upon demand of the

21

24

25

26

27

Producing Party, all copies of any inadvertently produced undesignated information shall be designated as "confidential" or "highly confidential" and shall be subject to the terms of this Order. Upon receiving such demand, the Parties shall thereafter mark and treat the Discovery Material so designated as Confidential or Highly Confidential Information, and such Discovery Material shall be fully subject to this Order from the date of such supplemental notice forward. The Party receiving such notice shall make a reasonable, good faith effort to ensure that any analyses, memoranda, notes, or other such materials generated based upon such newly designated information are immediately treated as containing Confidential or Highly Confidential Information. In addition, upon receiving such supplemental written notice, any receiving Party that disclosed the Discovery Material prior to its designation as Confidential or Highly Confidential shall exercise its best efforts (i) to ensure the return or destruction of such Discovery Material by any person not authorized to receive the Discovery material under the terms of this Order, (ii) to ensure that any documents or other materials derived from such Discovery Material are treated as if the Discovery Material had been designated as Confidential or Highly Confidential when originally produced, (iii) to ensure that such Discovery Material is not further disclosed by the recipient except in accordance with the terms of this Order, and (iv) to ensure that any such Discovery Material, and any information derived therefrom, is used solely for the purposes described in Paragraph 8 of this Order.

17. Disclosure (including production) of information that a Party or non-Party later claims should not have been disclosed because of a privilege, including (without limitation) the attorney-client privilege or attorney work product doctrine (collectively, "Privileged Information"), shall not constitute a waiver of, or estoppel as to, any claim of privilege as to which the Producing or receiving Party would be entitled in the action. The receiving Party agrees to return, sequester or destroy any Privileged Information disclosed or produced by a Producing Party upon request. If the receiving Party wishes to challenge the privileged nature of purportedly Privileged Information, the receiving Party must make its application to the Court pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 14 above within ten (10) days of the request by the Producing Party to return, sequester or destroy the purportedly Privileged Information. If the receiving Party reasonably believes that Privileged Information has been inadvertently disclosed or produced to it, it shall promptly notify the Producing

1 | F 2 | r 3 | s

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

Party and sequester such information until instructions as to the disposition of such information are received. The failure of any Party or non-Party to provide notice or instructions under this Section shall not constitute a waiver or, or estoppel as to, any claim of privilege as to which the Producing Party would be entitled in this action.

- 18. Nothing herein shall be deemed to waive any applicable common law or statutory privilege or work product protection or any other objections any party may have regarding the production of information in this action.
- 19. If any person in possession of Confidential or Highly Confidential Information (the "Receiver") receives a subpoena or other compulsory process seeking the production or other disclosure of Confidential or Highly Confidential Information produced or designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" by a Producing Party other than the Receiver (collectively, a "Demand"), the Receiver shall give written notice (by hand, email, or facsimile transmission) to counsel for the Producing Party (or Producing Parties) within five business days of receipt of such Demand (or if a response to the Demand is due in less than five business days, at least 24 hours prior to the deadline for a response to the Demand), identifying the Confidential or Highly Confidential Information sought and enclosing a copy of the Demand, and must object to the production of the Confidential or Highly Confidential Information on the grounds of the existence of this Order. The burden of opposing the enforcement of the Demand will fall on the Producing Party. Nothing herein shall be construed as requiring the Receiver or anyone else covered by this Order to challenge or appeal any order requiring production of Confidential or Highly Confidential Information covered by this Order, or to subject itself to any penalties for noncompliance with any legal process or order, or to seek any relief from this Court or any other court. Compliance by the Receiver with any order directing production pursuant to a Demand of any Confidential or Highly Confidential Information will not constitute a violation of this Order.
- 20. A waiver by any Party of any provision of this Order for any purpose shall be strictly construed and shall not constitute, or be deemed to constitute, a waiver (a) of any other provision of this Order; (b) for any other purpose or (c) of any other right of such Party.

- 6 7
- 8 9
- 10

- 12
- 13
- 16
- 17
- 18

19

- 20
- 22

- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 21. Upon written request of the Producing Party and within thirty (30) days following the final disposition of all claims and defenses, by settlement or final judgment, including expiration of time to appeal, all Confidential or Highly Confidential Information must be destroyed or returned to the Producing Party, at the discretion of the Producing Party. The provisions of this Order shall continue to be binding after the conclusion of this action.
- Nothing in this Order shall prevent any Party from objecting to discovery that it 22. believes is improper. The production of any Discovery Material under this Order shall not preclude any Party from objecting to the relevance, authenticity, use, or admissibility in evidence of any a document, testimony, or other evidence subject to this Order or preclude any Party from objecting to discovery that it believes to be otherwise improper.
- This Order has no effect upon, and shall not apply to, a Producing Party's use or 23. disclosure of its own Discovery Material for any purpose.
- In the event additional Parties join or are joined to this litigation, they shall not have 24. access to Confidential or Highly Confidential Information until the newly joined Party by its counsel has executed and filed with the Court its agreement to be bound by this Order.
- 25. The Parties shall confer prior to trial to discuss the procedures for the use of Confidential or Highly Confidential Information at trial.
 - Any litigation regarding this Order shall be conducted in the above-entitled Court. 26.
- This stipulation may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall 27. be deemed to be an original and all of which together shall be deemed to be one and the same document.
- The terms of this Order shall be binding on the Parties and their counsel. If the Court 28. does not enter the Order approving this Stipulation, then the Stipulation shall remain in effect and be enforceable among the Parties and their counsel as a contract.
 - SO STIPULATED.

| 1 | DATED: April , 2016 | THE O'MARA LAW FIRM, P.C. |
|------|---------------------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | DAVID C O'MARA |
| 4 | | DAVID C. O'MARA |
| 5 | | 316 E. Bridger Avenue, 2nd Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101 |
| 6 | | Telephone: 725/529-4042 775/323-4082 (fax) |
| 7 | | ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN |
| 8 | | & DOWD LLP RANDALL J. BARON |
| 9 | | DAVID T. WISSBROECKER EDWARD M. GERGOSIAN |
| 10 | | DAVID A. KNOTTS 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 |
| 11 | | San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058 |
| 12 | · | 619/231-7423 (fax) |
| 13 | | JOHNSON & WEAVER, LLP FRANK J. JOHNSON |
| 14 | | 600 West Broadway, Suite 1540 San Diego, CA 92101 |
| 15 | | Telephone: 619/230-0063 619/255-1856 (fax) |
| 16 | , | JOHNSON & WEAVER, LLP |
| 17 | | W. SCOTT HOLLEMAN 99 Madison Avenue, 5th Floor |
| 18 | | New York, NY 10016 Telephone: 212/802-1486 |
| 19 | | 212/602-1592 (fax) |
| 20 | | Attorneys for Plaintiff Hubert C. Pincon |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | , |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| - 11 | | |

| 1 | DATED: April 11 2016 | ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD |
|--|----------------------|---|
| 2 | | JOHN P. ALDRICH |
| 3 | | 11 4 - |
| 4 | | John P. aldrie |
| 5 | | JOHN P. ALDRICH |
| 6 | | Nevada Bar No. 6877 1601 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 160 |
| 7 | | Las Vegas, NV 89146 Telephone: 702/853-5490 |
| 8 | | 702/227-1975 (fax) jaldrich@johnaldrichlawfirm.com |
| 9 | | LEVI & KORSINSKY LLP |
| 10 | | SHANE T. ROWLEY 30 Broad Street, 24th Floor New York, NY 10004 |
| 11 | | Telephone: 212/363-7500 866/367-6510 (fax) |
| 12 | | Attorneys For Plaintiff Dixon Chung |
| 13 | DATED: April, 2016 | BALLARD SPAHR LLP |
| 14 | | ABRAN VIGIL |
| 15 | er verene | |
| 15 | | • |
| 16 | | ARRAN VIGIT |
| | | ABRAN VIGIL |
| 16 17 18 | | 100 N. City Parkway, Suite 1750 Las Vegas, NV 89106 Telephone: 702/471-7000 |
| 16 17 18 19 | | 100 N. City Parkway, Suite 1750 Las Vegas, NV 89106 Telephone: 702/471-7000 702/471-7070 (fax) |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | | 100 N. City Parkway, Suite 1750 Las Vegas, NV 89106 Telephone: 702/471-7000 702/471-7070 (fax) WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | | 100 N. City Parkway, Suite 1750 Las Vegas, NV 89106 Telephone: 702/471-7000 702/471-7070 (fax) WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP DANIEL W. HALSTON PETER SPAETH |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | | 100 N. City Parkway, Suite 1750 Las Vegas, NV 89106 Telephone: 702/471-7000 702/471-7070 (fax) WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP DANIEL W. HALSTON PETER SPAETH SHIRA FURMAN 60 State Street |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | | 100 N. City Parkway, Suite 1750 Las Vegas, NV 89106 Telephone: 702/471-7000 702/471-7070 (fax) WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP DANIEL W. HALSTON PETER SPAETH SHIRA FURMAN |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | | 100 N. City Parkway, Suite 1750 Las Vegas, NV 89106 Telephone: 702/471-7000 702/471-7070 (fax) WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP DANIEL W. HALSTON PETER SPAETH SHIRA FURMAN 60 State Street Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: 617/526-6000 617/526-50000 (fax) |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | | 100 N. City Parkway, Suite 1750 Las Vegas, NV 89106 Telephone: 702/471-7000 702/471-7070 (fax) WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP DANIEL W. HALSTON PETER SPAETH SHIRA FURMAN 60 State Street Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: 617/526-6000 |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | DATED: April 1, 2016 | 100 N. City Parkway, Suite 1750 Las Vegas, NV 89106 Telephone: 702/471-7000 702/471-7070 (fax) WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP DANIEL W. HALSTON PETER SPAETH SHIRA FURMAN 60 State Street Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: 617/526-6000 617/526-50000 (fax) Attorneys for Defendants MKS Instruments, Inc., |

| 1 | DATED: April, 2016 | ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD JOHN P. ALDRICH |
|----------|------------------------|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | JOHN P. ALDRICH |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | Nevada Bar No. 6877 1601 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 160 |
| 7 | | Las Vegas, NV 89146 Telephone: 702/853-5490 |
| 8 | | 702/227-1975 (fax) jaldrich@johnaldrichlawfirm.com |
| 9 | | LEVI & KORSINSKY LLP |
| 10 | | SHANE T. ROWLEY 30 Broad Street, 24th Floor |
| 11 | | New York, NY 10004 Telephone: 212/363-7500 |
| 12 | | 866/367-6510 (fax) |
| 13 | | Attorneys For Plaintiff Dixon Chung |
| 14 | DATED: April 1/1, 2016 | BALLARD SPAHR LLP ABRAN YI GIL |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | Mran / 4/ #7548 |
| 17 | | ABRAN VIGIL |
| 18 | | 100 N. City Parkway, Suite 1750 Las Vegas, NV 89106 |
| 19 | | Telephone: 702/471-7000 702/471-7070 (fax) |
| 20 | | WILMER CUTLER PICKERING |
| 21 | | HALE AND DORR LLP DANIEL W. HALSTON |
| 22 | | PETER SPAETH SHIRA FURMAN |
| 23 | | 60 State Street Boston, MA 02109 |
| 24 | | Telephone: 617/526-6000 617/526-50000 (fax) |
| - 11 | | |
| 25 | | Attorneys for Defendants MKS Instruments. Inc. |
| 25 26 | | Attorneys for Defendants MKS Instruments, Inc., and PSI Equipment, Inc. |
| - !! | | and PSI Equipment, Inc. |

| | $-\varphi_{\lambda}$ |
|--------|--|
| 1 | DATED: April //, 2016 FENNEMORE CRAIG CHRIS H. BYRD |
| 2 | |
| 3 | Christopher H. Dig |
| 4 | CHRIS H: BYRD |
| 5 6 | 300 S. Fourth Street, Suite 1400 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: 702/692-8000 |
| : | 702/692-8099 (fax) |
| 7 8 | GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP MERYL L. YOUNG |
| 9 | BRIAN M. LUTZ COLIN B. DAVIS |
| 10 | 3161 Michelson Drive Irvine, CA 92612-4412 |
| 11 | Telephone: 949/451-3800 |
| 12 | Attorneys for Newport Corp., Kenneth F. Potashner, Christopher Cox, Siddhartha C. |
| 13 | Kadia, Oleg Khaykin, Robert J. Phillippy and Peter J. Simone |
| 14 | * * * |
| 15 | |
| 16 | ORDER |
| 17 | DATED: 4/14/16 |
| 18 | DATED: 4/4// CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT COURT JUDGE |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |