### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAVID J. MITCHELL; ET AL.;

Appellants,

VS.

RUSSELL L. NYPE; REVENUE PLUS, LLC; AND SHELLEY D. KROHN,

Respondents.

Supreme Court Case No. Electronically Filed Mar 19 2021 09:16 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown District Court No. A-16-761618 of Supreme Court

### APPELLANTS' APPENDIX – VOLUME VIII OF XXIX

**COHEN JOHNSON** 

H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 00265

sjohnson@cohenjohnson.com

KEVIN M. JOHNSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 14551

kjohnson@cohenjohnson.com

375 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 104

Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Telephone: (702) 823-3500

Facsimile: (702) 823-3400

Attorney for Appellants David J. Mitchell, Meyer Property, Ltd., Zoe Property, LLC, Leah Property, LLC, Wink One, LLC, Aquarius Owner, LLC, LVLP Holdings, LLC, and Live Works Tic Successor, LLC

# CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS TO APPELLANTS'APPENDIX

<b>Date</b>	<b>Description</b>	Vol.	Bates No.
7/26/16	Complaint (Original)	I	AA 1-19
2/27/17	Proofs of Service	I	AA 20-48
3/23/17	Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Jury Demand	I	AA 49-59
4/6/17	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint	I	AA 60-88
4/17/17	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Strike Jury Demand; Counter-Motion for Advisory Jury	I	AA 89-151
4/25/17	Defendants' Reply to Motion to Strike; Opposition to Counter-Motion for Advisory Jury	I	AA 152-162
5/24/17	NEO re: Defendants' Motion to Strike and Counter-Motion for Advisory Jury	I	AA 163-169
6/14/17	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	II	AA 170-268
7/6/17	Defendants' Reply to Motion to Dismiss	II	AA 269-292
7/18/17	Business Court Order	II	AA 293-297
8/9/17	NEO re: Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	II	AA 298-306
8/21/17	Amended Complaint	II	AA 307-340
9/5/17	Answer to Amended Complaint	II	AA 341-351

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
9/8/17	Answer to Amended Complaint [Liberman and 305 Las Vegas]	II	AA 352-361
10/24/17	Joint Case Conference Report [Partial Document Only]	III	AA 362-470
2/15/18	NEO re: Continue Discovery [First]	III	AA 471-478
2/20/18	Business Court Order [Amended]	III	AA 479-481
2/21/18	NEO re: Stipulated Protective Order	III	AA 482-489
4/19/18	Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Compel Discovery	IV	AA 490-725
4/26/18	Joinder to Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Compel Discovery [Liberman and 305 Las Vegas]	IV	AA 726-728
5/11/18	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Compel Discovery; Counter-Motion for Disclosure of Un-Redacted Emails [Partial Document Only]	V	AA 729-795
5/30/18	Mitchell Defendants' Reply to Motion to Compel Discovery	V	AA 796-828
5/30/18	Joinder to Mitchell Defendants' Reply to Motion to Compel Discovery	V	AA 829-831
6/5/18	Plaintiffs' Supplement to Opposition to Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Compel Discovery and Counter-Motion for Disclosure of Un-Redacted Emails	V	AA 832-861

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	Vol.	Bates No.
6/19/18	NEO re: Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Compel Discovery and Plaintiffs' Counter-Motion	V	AA 862-868
7/3/18	NEO re: Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for OSC	V	AA 869-878
7/17/18	Amended Business Court Order	V	AA 879-882
7/30/18	Second Amended Business Court Order	V	AA 883-885
11/7/18	Court Minutes - November 7, 2018	V	AA 886-887
11/20/18	NEO re: Continue Discovery (Second)	V	AA 888-894
11/30/18	NEO re: Dismissal of Defendant, Liberman Holdings	V	AA 895-902
5/30/19	NEO re: Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery	V	AA 903-914
8/23/19	Defendant's, 305 Las Vegas, Motion for Summary Judgment	V	AA 915-936
8/28/19	Notice of Filing Bankruptcy	V	AA 937-939
9/23/19	NEO re: Discovery Sanctions	V	AA 940-952
10/7/19	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's, 305 Las Vegas, Motion for Summary Judgment	VI	AA 953-980
10/17/19	Defendant's, 305 Las Vegas, Reply to Motion for Summary Judgment	VI	AA 981-991
11/12/19	Receipt of Copy	VI	AA 992-993

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
11/12/19	Motion to Intervene	VI	AA 994-1036
11/16/19	Mitchell Defendants' Opposition to Motion to Intervene	VI	AA 1037-1045
11/18/19	NEO re: Motion to Intervene	VI	AA 1046-1051
11/18/19	Complaint in Intervention	VI	AA 1052-1082
11/19/19	Errata to Complaint in Intervention	VI	AA 1083-1088
11/21/19	NEO re: Redactions and Sealing	VI	AA 1089-1094
11/21/19	Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment	VI	AA 1095-1123
12/9/19	Answer to Complaint in Intervention [305 Las Vegas]	VI	AA 1124-1133
12/12/19	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment	VI	AA 1134-1155
12/19/19	Answer to Complaint in Intervention [Mitchell Defendants]	VI	AA 1156-1160
12/19/19	Mitchell Defendants' Reply to Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment	VI	AA 1161-1170
12/23/19	Answer to Complaint in Intervention [Liberman and Casino Coolidge]	VI	AA 1171-1179
12/26/19	Satisfaction of Judgment	VI	AA 1180-1182

<b>Date</b>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
12/27/19	Joint Pre-Trial Memorandum [Partial Document Only]	VI	AA 1183-1202
1/16/20	NOE Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment [Original]	VII	AA 1203-1220
1/17/19	NOE Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment [Amended]	VII	AA 1221-1238
2/6/20	Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees	VII	AA 1239-1289
2/13/20	Plaintiffs' Motion to Correct Minor Errors and Incorporate Pre-Judgment Interest	VII	AA 1290-1324
2/14/20	Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge]	VII	AA 1325-1352
2/14/20	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge]	VII	AA 1353-1370
2/14/20	Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment	VII	AA 1371-1391
2/20/20	Joinder to Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge]	VII	AA 1392-1394
2/20/20	Reply to Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge]	VII	AA 1395-1401
2/20/20	Mitchell Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees	VII	AA 1402-1408

<b>Date</b>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
2/20/20	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motions to Alter/Amend Judgment [All Parties]	VII	AA 1409-1434
2/24/20	NEO re: Directed Verdict and Judgment for Defendant, 305 Las Vegas	VII	AA 1435-1439
2/25/20	Notice of Appeal [Liberman and Casino Coolidge]	VII	AA 1440-1442
2/26/20	Notice of Appeal [Mitchell Defendants]	VIII	AA 1443-1460
2/27/20	Mitchell Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Correct Minor Errors and Incorporate Pre-Judgment Interest	VIII	AA 1461-1467
3/6/20	Plaintiffs' Reply to Motion for Attorney's Fees	VIII	AA 1468-1475
3/13/20	Plaintiffs' Reply to Motion to Correct Minor Errors and Incorporate Pre- Judgment Interest	VIII	AA 1476-1482
3/30/20	NEO re: Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Casino Coolidge]	VIII	AA 1483-1488
3/30/20	NEO re: Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Mitchell Defendants]	VIII	AA 1489-1494
3/30/20	NEO re: Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge]	VIII	AA 1492-1500

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
5/13/20	NEO re: Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees	VIII	AA 1501-1510
5/13/20	NEO re: Plaintiffs' Motion to Correct Minor Errors and Incorporate Pre- Judgment Interest	VIII	AA 1511-1517
5/14/20	NEO re: Motion to Retax and Settle Costs	VIII	AA 1518-1524
	<b>TRANSCRIPTS</b>		
11/18/19	Court Transcript - November 18, 2019 [Motion to Intervene]	VIII	AA 1525-1532
12/30/19	<b>Trial Transcript - Day 1</b> [December 30, 2019]	IX	AA 1533-1697
12/31/19	<b>Trial Transcript - Day 2</b> [December 31, 2019]	X	AA 1698-1785
1/2/20	<b>Trial Transcript - Day 3</b> [January 2, 2020]	XI	AA 1786-1987
1/3/20	<b>Trial Transcript - Day 4</b> [January 3, 2020]	XII	AA 1988-2163
1/6/20	<b>Trial Transcript - Day 5</b> [January 6, 2020]	XIII	AA 2164-2303
1/7/20	<b>Trial Transcript - Day 6</b> [January 7, 2020]	XIV	AA 2304-2421
2/4/20	Court Transcript - February 4, 2020 [Motions to Alter/Amend]	XV	AA 2422-2456

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	Vol.	Bates No.
	TRIAL EXHIBITS		
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 1</b> [Ownerships Interests]	XV	AA 2457
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 3</b> [LVLP Organization Documents]	XV	AA 2458-2502
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 9</b> [Live Work, LLC - Nevada SOS]	XV	AA 2503-2505
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 10</b> [Live Work Organization Documents]	XV	AA 2506-2558
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 12</b> [Term Restructure - Forest City]	XV	AA 2559-2563
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 17</b> [305 Las Vegas Entity Details]	XV	AA 2564-2566
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 18</b> [305 Las Vegas Organization Documents]	XV	AA 2567-2570
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 19</b> [305 Second Avenue Associates - Entity Details]	XV	AA 2571-2572
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 20</b> [305 Las Vegas - Certificate of Formation]	XV	AA 2573-2574
Undated	Plaintiff's <b>Trial Exhibit 21</b> [305 Las Vegas - Operating Agreement]	XV	AA 2575-2597

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 23</b> [List Managers - 305 Las Vegas]	XV	AA 2598
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30</b> [Casino Coolidge - Articles of Organization]	XV	AA 2599-2603
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 34</b> [Live Work - Organization Documents]	XV	AA 2604-2657
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 38</b> [Wink One - Organization Documents]	XV	AA 2658-2660
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 43</b> [L/W TIC Successor - Operating Agreement]	XVI	AA 2661-2672
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 44</b> [Meyer Property - Operating Agreement]	XVI	AA 2673-2677
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 45</b> [Leah Property - Consents]	XVI	AA 2678-2693
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40001</b> [Settlement Statement - Casino Coolidge]	XVI	AA 2694
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40002</b> [Aquarius Settlement Statement]	XVI	AA 2695-2702
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40006</b> [Live Work Settlement Statement]	XVI	AA 2703-2704
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40007</b> [Final Settlement Statement - Forest City]	XVI	AA 2705-2707

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40040</b> [Deed - Casino Coolidge]	XVI	AA 2708-2709
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40041</b> [Deeds - Casino Coolidge]	XVI	AA 2710-2714
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40042</b> [Deeds - Casino Coolidge]	XVI	AA 2715-2730
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40046</b> [Personal Guaranty - Lease]	XVI	AA 2731-2739
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40047</b> [Personal Guaranty - Lease]	XVI	AA 2740-2747
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50001</b> [Underlying Complaint: A-07-551073]	XVI	AA 2748-2752
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50002</b> [Underlying First Amended Complaint and Counter-Claim: A-07-551073]	XVI	AA 2753-2766
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50006</b> [Underlying Action: FFCL]	XVI	AA 2767-2791
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50007</b> [Underlying Judgment: A-07-551073]	XVI	AA 2792-2794
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50008</b> [Underlying Amended Judgment]	XVI	AA 2795-2797
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50037</b> [Rich Supplemental Expert Report]	XVI	AA 2798-2825
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50040</b> [Settlement Agreement - Heartland]	XVI	AA 2826-2878

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	Vol.	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50042</b> [Mitchell Response - Bar Fee Dispute]	XVI	AA 2879-2900
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 60002</b> [Emails]	XVI	AA 2901
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 60005</b> [Emails]	XVI	AA 2902-2904
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70003</b> [Disregarded Entities]	XVI	AA 2905-2906
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70023</b> [LVLP Holdings Entities]	XVI	AA 2907
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70030</b> [Underlying Action - Discovery Request]	XVII	AA 2908-2917
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70036</b> [Reisman Attorney's Fees]	XVII	AA 2918-2943
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70037</b> [Reisman Attorney's Fees]	XVII	AA 2944-2950
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70038</b> [Reisman Attorney's Fees]	XVII	AA 2951-2954
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70042</b> [New Jersey Fees/Costs]	XVII	AA 2955-2968
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70045</b> [Rich's Fees]	XVII	AA 2969-3033
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70052</b> [Document List - LVLP]	XVII	AA 3034-3037

<u>Description</u>	Vol.	Bates No.
Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70053</b> [Rich's Fees]	XVII	AA 3038-3044
Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70054</b> [Rich's Fees]	XVII	AA 3045
Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70055</b> [Muije Attorney's Fees]	XVIII	AA 3046-3220
Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70056</b> [Muije Attorney's Fees]	XVIII	AA 3221-3228
Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70060</b> [Underlying Judgment & Interest]	XVIII	AA 3229-3230
Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70062</b> [Attorney's Fees/Costs]	XVIII	AA 3231
Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70063</b> [Rich's Fees]	XVIII	AA 3232-3237
Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70064</b> [Reisman Attorney's Fees]	XVIII	AA 3238-3240
Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70065</b> [Reisman Attorney's Fees]	XVIII	AA 3241-3243
Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70067</b> [Muije Attorney's Fees]	XVIII	AA 3244-3263
Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70075</b> [Attorney's Fees/Costs]	XIX	AA 3264-3359
Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70076</b> [Reisman Attorney's Fees]	XIX	AA 3360-3375
Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70077</b> [Reisman Attorney's Fees]	XIX	AA 3376
	Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70053 [Rich's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70054 [Rich's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70055 [Muije Attorney's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70060 [Muije Attorney's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70060 [Underlying Judgment & Interest]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70062 [Attorney's Fees/Costs]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70063 [Rich's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70064 [Reisman Attorney's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70065 [Reisman Attorney's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70067 [Muije Attorney's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70075 [Attorney's Fees/Costs]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70076 [Reisman Attorney's Fees]	Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70053 [Rich's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70054 [Rich's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70055 [Muije Attorney's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70056 [Muije Attorney's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70060 [Underlying Judgment & Interest]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70062 [Attorney's Fees/Costs]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70063 [Rich's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70064 [Reisman Attorney's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70065 [Reisman Attorney's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70067 [Muije Attorney's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70075 [Attorney's Fees/Costs]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70076 [Reisman Attorney's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70076 [Reisman Attorney's Fees]  Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 70076 [Reisman Attorney's Fees]

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70078</b> [Rich's Fees]	XIX	AA 3377-3463
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70079</b> [Muije Attorney's Fees]	XIX	AA 3464-3511
Undated	Mitchell's <b>Trial Exhibit 90054</b> [Surrender/Termination Agreement]	XX	AA 3512-3516
Undated	Mitchell's <b>Trial Exhibit 90069</b> [Release of Lease Guaranty]	XX	AA 3517-3521
Undated	Mitchell's <b>Trial Exhibit 90075</b> [FC/LW - Entity Details]	XX	AA 3522-3524
Undated	Mitchell's <b>Trial Exhibit 90079</b> [10th NRCP 16.1 Disclosures: Underlying Action]	XX	AA 3525-3543

# CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS OF SEALED VOLUMES

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
1/19/18	Plaintiffs' First Supplemental NRCP 16.1 Disclosure [Sealed]	XXI	SAA 1-72
1/27/20	Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Casino Coolidge] [Sealed]	XXII	SAA 73-323
1/27/20	Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Casino Coolidge] [Continued][Sealed]	XXIII	SAA 324-513
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 2</b> [Aquarius Owner/LVLP] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIII	SAA 514-547
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 27</b> [Meadows Bank Statement] [Partial Document Only] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIII	SAA 548
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 32</b> [Casino Coolidge Operating Agreement] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 549-578
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 35</b> [Live Work Manager Company Documents] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIV	SAA 579-582
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40</b> [Wink One Company Documents] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 583-588
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 52</b> [FC Live Work Company Documents] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 589-659
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 10002</b> [LVLP Holdings 2007 Tax Return] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 660-677

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs <b>Trial Exhibit 10003</b> [LVLP Holdings 2008 Tax Return] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 678-692
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 10004</b> [LVLP Holdings 2009 Tax Return] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 693-709
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 20024</b> [Signature Bank 2015-2016] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 710-742
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 20026</b> [Signature Bank April 2015] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 743
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30002</b> [LVLP G/L 2007] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIV	SAA 744
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30031</b> [LVLP G/L 2008] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIV	SAA 745-764
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30062</b> [Mitchell Contributions] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 765-770
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30063</b> [Capital Contributions] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIV	SAA 771-774
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30066</b> [Unallocated Contributions] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 775
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30067</b> [Mitchell Amounts Paid] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 776-780
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30086</b> [Mitchell Loan Balances] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 781-783
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30087</b> [Liberman Loan Balances] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIV	SAA 784-786

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	Vol.	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40043</b> [Release of Lease Guaranty] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIV	SAA 787-789
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50038</b> [Wall Street Settlement Agreement] [Sealed]	XXV	SAA 790-820
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 60001</b> [Wall Street Engagement Letter] [Sealed]	XXV	SAA 821-825
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 60053</b> [Rich Working Papers] [Partial Document Only] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXV	SAA 826-1039
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 60053</b> [Rich Working Papers] [Partial Document Only] [Continued][ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXVI	SAA 1040-1289
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 60053</b> [Rich Working Papers] [Partial Document Only] [Continued][ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXVII	SAA 1290-1414
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70009</b> [Liberman Contributions] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXVII	SAA 1415-1418
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70015</b> [Mitchell Contributions] [Sealed]	XXVII	SAA 1419-1422
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70021</b> [LVLP Balance Sheet - 2015] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXVII	SAA 1423
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70043</b> [Rich Initial Expert Report] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXVIII	SAA 1424-1673
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70043</b> [Rich Initial Expert Report] [Continued][ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIX	SAA 1674-1704

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	Vol.	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70072</b> [LVLP G/L 2011] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIX	SAA 1705-1712
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70074</b> [LVLP Adjusted Entries 2012] <b>[Sealed]</b>	XXIX	SAA 1713-1714
Undated	Mitchell's <b>Trial Exhibit 90001</b> [Forest City Agreement] [Sealed]	XXIX	SAA 1715-1807
Undated	Mitchell's <b>Trial Exhibit 90052</b> [Casino Coolidge Title Documents] [Sealed]	XXIX	SAA 1808-1820

# ALPHABETICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS TO APPELLANTS'APPENDIX

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
7/17/18	Amended Business Court Order	V	AA 879-882
8/21/17	Amended Complaint	II	AA 307-340
9/5/17	Answer to Amended Complaint	II	AA 341-351
9/8/17	Answer to Amended Complaint [Liberman and 305 Las Vegas]	II	AA 352-361
12/9/19	Answer to Complaint in Intervention [305 Las Vegas]	VI	AA 1124-1133
12/19/19	Answer to Complaint in Intervention [Mitchell Defendants]	VI	AA 1156-1160
12/23/19	Answer to Complaint in Intervention [Liberman and Casino Coolidge]	VI	AA 1171-1179
7/18/17	Business Court Order	II	AA 293-297
2/20/18	Business Court Order [Amended]	III	AA 479-481
7/26/16	Complaint (Original)	I	AA 1-19
11/18/19	Complaint in Intervention	VI	AA 1052-1082
11/7/18	Court Minutes - November 7, 2018	V	AA 886-887
2/4/20	Court Transcript - February 4, 2020 [Motions to Alter/Amend]	XV	AA 2422-2456
11/18/19	Court Transcript - November 18, 2019 [Motion to Intervene]	VIII	AA 1525-1532

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
8/23/19	Defendant's, 305 Las Vegas, Motion for Summary Judgment	V	AA 915-936
10/17/19	Defendant's, 305 Las Vegas, Reply to Motion for Summary Judgment	VI	AA 981-991
4/6/17	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint	I	AA 60-88
3/23/17	Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Jury Demand	I	AA 49-59
7/6/17	Defendants' Reply to Motion to Dismiss	II	AA 269-292
4/25/17	Defendants' Reply to Motion to Strike; Opposition to Counter-Motion for Advisory Jury	Ι	AA 152-162
11/19/19	Errata to Complaint in Intervention	VI	AA 1083-1088
2/20/20	Joinder to Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge]	VII	AA 1392-1394
4/26/18	Joinder to Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Compel Discovery [Liberman and 305 Las Vegas]	IV	AA 726-728
5/30/18	Joinder to Mitchell Defendants' Reply to Motion to Compel Discovery	V	AA 829-831
10/24/17	Joint Case Conference Report [Partial Document Only]	III	AA 362-470
12/27/19	Joint Pre-Trial Memorandum [Partial Document Only]	VI	AA 1183-1202

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
2/14/20	Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment	VII	AA 1371-1391
4/19/18	Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Compel Discovery	IV	AA 490-725
11/21/19	Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment	VI	AA 1095-1123
11/16/19	Mitchell Defendants' Opposition to Motion to Intervene	VI	AA 1037-1045
2/20/20	Mitchell Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees	VII	AA 1402-1408
2/27/20	Mitchell Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Correct Minor Errors and Incorporate Pre-Judgment Interest	VIII	AA 1461-1467
5/30/18	Mitchell Defendants' Reply to Motion to Compel Discovery	V	AA 796-828
12/19/19	Mitchell Defendants' Reply to Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment	VI	AA 1161-1170
Undated	Mitchell's <b>Trial Exhibit 90001</b> [Forest City Agreement] [Sealed]	XXIX	SAA 1715-1807
Undated	Mitchell's <b>Trial Exhibit 90052</b> [Casino Coolidge Title Documents] [Sealed]	XXIX	SAA 1808-1820
Undated	Mitchell's <b>Trial Exhibit 90054</b> [Surrender/Termination Agreement]	XX	AA 3512-3516

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
Undated	Mitchell's <b>Trial Exhibit 90069</b> [Release of Lease Guaranty]	XX	AA 3517-3521
Undated	Mitchell's <b>Trial Exhibit 90075</b> [FC/LW - Entity Details]	XX	AA 3522-3524
Undated	Mitchell's <b>Trial Exhibit 90079</b> [10th NRCP 16.1 Disclosures: Underlying Action]	XX	AA 3525-3543
2/14/20	Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge]	VII	AA 1325-1352
1/27/20	Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Casino Coolidge] [Sealed]	XXII	SAA 73-323
1/27/20	Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Casino Coolidge] [Continued][Sealed]	XXIII	SAA 324-513
11/12/19	Motion to Intervene	VI	AA 994-1036
11/20/18	NEO re: Continue Discovery (Second)	V	AA 888-894
2/15/18	NEO re: Continue Discovery [First]	III	AA 471-478
8/9/17	NEO re: Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	II	AA 298-306
5/24/17	NEO re: Defendants' Motion to Strike and Counter-Motion for Advisory Jury	I	AA 163-169
2/24/20	NEO re: Directed Verdict and Judgment for Defendant, 305 Las Vegas	VII	AA 1435-1439
9/23/19	NEO re: Discovery Sanctions	V	AA 940-952

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	Vol.	Bates No.
11/30/18	NEO re: Dismissal of Defendant, Liberman Holdings	V	AA 895-902
6/19/18	NEO re: Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Compel Discovery and Plaintiffs' Counter-Motion	V	AA 862-868
3/30/20	NEO re: Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Casino Coolidge]	VIII	AA 1483-1488
3/30/20	NEO re: Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Mitchell Defendants]	VIII	AA 1489-1494
3/30/20	NEO re: Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge]	VIII	AA 1492-1500
11/18/19	NEO re: Motion to Intervene	VI	AA 1046-1051
5/14/20	NEO re: Motion to Retax and Settle Costs	VIII	AA 1518-1524
7/3/18	NEO re: Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for OSC	V	AA 869-878
5/13/20	NEO re: Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees	VIII	AA 1501-1510
5/30/19	NEO re: Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery	V	AA 903-914
5/13/20	NEO re: Plaintiffs' Motion to Correct Minor Errors and Incorporate Pre- Judgment Interest	VIII	AA 1511-1517

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
11/21/19	NEO re: Redactions and Sealing	VI	AA 1089-1094
2/21/18	NEO re: Stipulated Protective Order	III	AA 482-489
1/16/20	NOE Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment [Original]	VII	AA 1203-1220
1/17/19	NOE Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment [Amended]	VII	AA 1221-1238
2/25/20	Notice of Appeal [Liberman and Casino Coolidge]	VII	AA 1440-1442
2/26/20	Notice of Appeal [Mitchell Defendants]	VIII	AA 1443-1460
8/28/19	Notice of Filing Bankruptcy	V	AA 937-939
1/19/18	Plaintiffs' First Supplemental NRCP 16.1 Disclosure [Sealed]	XXI	SAA 1-72
2/6/20	Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorney's Fees	VII	AA 1239-1289
2/13/20	Plaintiffs' Motion to Correct Minor Errors and Incorporate Pre-Judgment Interest	VII	AA 1290-1324
10/7/19	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's, 305 Las Vegas, Motion for Summary Judgment	VI	AA 953-980
6/14/17	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss	II	AA 170-268

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	Vol.	Bates No.
4/17/17	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Strike Jury Demand; Counter-Motion for Advisory Jury	I	AA 89-151
5/11/18	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Compel Discovery; Counter-Motion for Disclosure of Un-Redacted Emails [Partial Document Only]	V	AA 729-795
12/12/19	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment	VI	AA 1134-1155
2/14/20	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge]	VII	AA 1353-1370
2/20/20	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motions to Alter/Amend Judgment [All Parties]	VII	AA 1409-1434
3/6/20	Plaintiffs' Reply to Motion for Attorney's Fees	VIII	AA 1468-1475
3/13/20	Plaintiffs' Reply to Motion to Correct Minor Errors and Incorporate Pre- Judgment Interest	VIII	AA 1476-1482
6/5/18	Plaintiffs' Supplement to Opposition to Mitchell Defendants' Motion to Compel Discovery and Counter-Motion for Disclosure of Un-Redacted Emails	V	AA 832-861
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 1</b> [Ownerships Interests]	XV	AA 2457

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 2</b> [Aquarius Owner/LVLP] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIII	SAA 514-547
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 3</b>	XV	AA 2458-2502
Undated	[LVLP Organization Documents] Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 9</b> [Live Work, LLC - Nevada SOS]	XV	AA 2503-2505
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 10</b> [Live Work Organization Documents]	XV	AA 2506-2558
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 12</b> [Term Restructure - Forest City]	XV	AA 2559-2563
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 17</b> [305 Las Vegas Entity Details]	XV	AA 2564-2566
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 18</b> [305 Las Vegas Organization Documents]	XV	AA 2567-2570
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 19</b> [305 Second Avenue Associates - Entity Details]	XV	AA 2571-2572
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 20</b> [305 Las Vegas - Certificate of Formation]	XV	AA 2573-2574
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 21</b> [305 Las Vegas - Operating Agreement]	XV	AA 2575-2597
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 23</b> [List Managers - 305 Las Vegas]	XV	AA 2598

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 27</b> [Meadows Bank Statement] [Partial Document Only] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIII	SAA 548
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30</b> [Casino Coolidge - Articles of Organization]	XV	AA 2599-2603
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 32</b> [Casino Coolidge Operating Agreement] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIV	SAA 549-578
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 34</b> [Live Work - Organization Documents]	XV	AA 2604-2657
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 35</b> [Live Work Manager Company Documents] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 579-582
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 38</b> [Wink One - Organization Documents]	XV	AA 2658-2660
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40</b> [Wink One Company Documents] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 583-588
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 43</b> [L/W TIC Successor - Operating Agreement]	XVI	AA 2661-2672
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 44</b> [Meyer Property - Operating Agreement]	XVI	AA 2673-2677
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 45</b> [Leah Property - Consents]	XVI	AA 2678-2693

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 52</b> [FC Live Work Company Documents] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 589-659
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 10002</b> [LVLP Holdings 2007 Tax Return] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 660-677
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 10003</b> [LVLP Holdings 2008 Tax Return] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 678-692
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 10004</b> [LVLP Holdings 2009 Tax Return] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 693-709
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 20024</b> [Signature Bank 2015-2016] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 710-742
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 20026</b> [Signature Bank April 2015] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 743
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30002</b> [LVLP G/L 2007] <b>[Sealed]</b>	XXIV	SAA 744
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30031</b> [LVLP G/L 2008] <b>[Sealed]</b>	XXIV	SAA 745-764
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30062</b> [Mitchell Contributions] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 765-770
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30063</b> [Capital Contributions] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 771-774
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30066</b> [Unallocated Contributions] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 775

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	Vol.	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30067</b> [Mitchell Amounts Paid] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIV	SAA 776-780
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30086</b> [Mitchell Loan Balances] [Sealed]	XXIV	SAA 781-783
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 30087</b> [Liberman Loan Balances] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIV	SAA 784-786
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40001</b> [Settlement Statement - Casino Coolidge]	XVI	AA 2694
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40002</b> [Aquarius Settlement Statement]	XVI	AA 2695-2702
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40006</b> [Live Work Settlement Statement]	XVI	AA 2703-2704
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40007</b> [Final Settlement Statement - Forest City]	XVI	AA 2705-2707
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40040</b> [Deed - Casino Coolidge]	XVI	AA 2708-2709
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40041</b> [Deeds - Casino Coolidge]	XVI	AA 2710-2714
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40042</b> [Deeds - Casino Coolidge]	XVI	AA 2715-2730
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40043</b> [Release of Lease Guaranty] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIV	SAA 787-789
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40046</b> [Personal Guaranty - Lease]	XVI	AA 2731-2739

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 40047</b> [Personal Guaranty - Lease]	XVI	AA 2740-2747
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50001</b> [Underlying Complaint: A-07-551073]	XVI	AA 2748-2752
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50002</b> [Underlying First Amended Complaint and Counter-Claim: A-07-551073]	XVI	AA 2753-2766
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50006</b> [Underlying Action: FFCL]	XVI	AA 2767-2791
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50007</b> [Underlying Judgment: A-07-551073]	XVI	AA 2792-2794
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50008</b> [Underlying Amended Judgment]	XVI	AA 2795-2797
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50037</b> [Rich Supplemental Expert Report]	XVI	AA 2798-2825
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50038</b> [Wall Street Settlement Agreement] [Sealed]	XXV	SAA 790-820
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50040</b> [Settlement Agreement - Heartland]	XVI	AA 2826-2878
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 50042</b> [Mitchell Response - Bar Fee Dispute]	XVI	AA 2879-2900
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 60001</b> [Wall Street Engagement Letter] [Sealed]	XXV	SAA 821-825

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 60002</b> [Emails]	XVI	AA 2901
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 60005</b> [Emails]	XVI	AA 2902-2904
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 60053</b> [Rich Working Papers] [Partial Document Only] [Sealed]	XXV	SAA 826-1039
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 60053</b> [Rich Working Papers] [Partial Document Only] [Continued][ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXVI	SAA 1040-1289
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 60053</b> [Rich Working Papers] [Partial Document Only] [Continued][ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXVII	SAA 1290-1414
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70003</b> [Disregarded Entities]	XVI	AA 2905-2906
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70009</b> [Liberman Contributions] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXVII	SAA 1415-1418
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70015</b> [Mitchell Contributions] [Sealed]	XXVII	SAA 1419-1422
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70021</b> [LVLP Balance Sheet - 2015] <b>[Sealed]</b>	XXVII	SAA 1423
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70023</b> [LVLP Holdings Entities]	XVI	AA 2907
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70030</b> [Underlying Action - Discovery Request]	XVII	AA 2908-2917

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70036</b> [Reisman Attorney's Fees]	XVII	AA 2918-2943
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70037</b> [Reisman Attorney's Fees]	XVII	AA 2944-2950
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70038</b> [Reisman Attorney's Fees]	XVII	AA 2951-2954
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70042</b> [New Jersey Fees/Costs]	XVII	AA 2955-2968
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70043</b> [Rich Initial Expert Report] [Sealed]	XXVIII	SAA 1424-1673
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70043</b> [Rich Initial Expert Report] [Continued][ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIX	SAA 1674-1704
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70045</b> [Rich's Fees]	XVII	AA 2969-3033
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70052</b> [Document List - LVLP]	XVII	AA 3034-3037
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70053</b> [Rich's Fees]	XVII	AA 3038-3044
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70054</b> [Rich's Fees]	XVII	AA 3045
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70055</b> [Muije Attorney's Fees]	XVIII	AA 3046-3220
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70056</b> [Muije Attorney's Fees]	XVIII	AA 3221-3228

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vol.</u>	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70060</b> [Underlying Judgment & Interest]	XVIII	AA 3229-3230
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70062</b> [Attorney's Fees/Costs]	XVIII	AA 3231
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70063</b> [Rich's Fees]	XVIII	AA 3232-3237
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70064</b> [Reisman Attorney's Fees]	XVIII	AA 3238-3240
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70065</b> [Reisman Attorney's Fees]	XVIII	AA 3241-3243
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70067</b> [Muije Attorney's Fees]	XVIII	AA 3244-3263
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70072</b> [LVLP G/L 2011] <b>[Sealed]</b>	XXIX	SAA 1705-1712
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70074</b> [LVLP Adjusted Entries 2012] [ <b>Sealed</b> ]	XXIX	SAA 1713-1714
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70075</b> [Attorney's Fees/Costs]	XIX	AA 3264-3359
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70076</b> [Reisman Attorney's Fees]	XIX	AA 3360-3375
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70077</b> [Reisman Attorney's Fees]	XIX	AA 3376
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70078</b> [Rich's Fees]	XIX	AA 3377-3463

<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	Vol.	Bates No.
Undated	Plaintiffs' <b>Trial Exhibit 70079</b> [Muije Attorney's Fees]	XIX	AA 3464-3511
2/27/17	Proofs of Service	I	AA 20-48
11/12/19	Receipt of Copy	VI	AA 992-993
2/20/20	Reply to Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge]	VII	AA 1395-1401
12/26/19	Satisfaction of Judgment	VI	AA 1180-1182
7/30/18	Second Amended Business Court Order	V	AA 883-885
12/30/19	<b>Trial Transcript - Day 1</b> [December 30, 2019]	IX	AA 1533-1697
12/31/19	<b>Trial Transcript - Day 2</b> [December 31, 2019]	X	AA 1698-1785
1/2/20	<b>Trial Transcript - Day 3</b> [January 2, 2020]	XI	AA 1786-1987
1/3/20	<b>Trial Transcript - Day 4</b> [January 3, 2020]	XII	AA 1988-2163
1/6/20	<b>Trial Transcript - Day 5</b> [January 6, 2020]	XIII	AA 2164-2303
1/7/20	<b>Trial Transcript - Day 6</b> [January 7, 2020]	XIV	AA 2304-2421

COHEN | IOHNSON | PARKER | EDWARDS

Page 1 of 4

Electronically Filed 2/26/2020 11:20 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

	6
	7
	8
	9
•	6 7 8 9
	11
MKEK   EDWAN ad, Suite 104 89119 2) 823-3400	12
(   EL : 104 3400	13 14 15 16 17 18
d, Suite 89119 2) 823-3	14
gs Ro evada X: (7(	15
HINSOIN   1 15 E. Warm Spring Las Vegas, No 02) 823-3500 FA	16
375 E. Warm S Las Vega (702) 823-3500	17
375 (707)	18
375 E. Warm S 175 E. Warm S 128 Vegs (702) 823-350(	19
	20
	21
	22
	22
	24
	25
	<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>
	27

28

1

2

3

4

5

Notice is hereby given that Defendants DAVID J. MITCHELL, LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC, MEYER PROPERTY LTD, ZOE PROPERTY, LLC, LEAH PROPERTY, LLC, WINK ONE, LLC, AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC, LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC, LIBERMAN HOLDINGS, LLC, and LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC, by and through their counsel, H. Stan Johnson, Esq., of the law firm of Cohen|Johnson|Parker|Edwards, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from the following:

- "Amended Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law" filed on January 17<sup>th</sup>, 2020, 1. with notice of entry of which was served electronically on January 17<sup>th</sup>, 2020, as well as any and all orders, decisions, judgments, findings, conclusions and, or recommendations relating thereto. Attached as Exhibit A.
- 2. All judgments and orders in this case; and
- 3. All rulings and interlocutory orders made appealable by any of the foregoing. Dated this 26<sup>th</sup> of February 2020.

<u>/s/ H. Stan Johnson</u>

H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ.

## COHEN|JOHNSON|PARKER|EDWARDS

Nevada Bar No. 00265
sjohnson@cohenjohnson.com
JAMES L. EDWARDS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 4256
jedwards@parkeredwardslaw.com
KEVIN M. JOHNSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 14551
kjohnson@cohenjohnson.com
375 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 104

Facsimile: (702) 823-3400 Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants

Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Telephone: (702) 823-3500

Page 2 of 4

## COHEN | JOHNSON | PARKER | EDWARDS 375 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 823-3500 FAX: (702) 823-3400

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D) and E.D.C.R. 8.05, I hereby certify that on the 26<sup>th</sup> day of February 2020, I caused a true and correct copy of **NOTICE OF APPEAL** to be served via the Court's Wiznet E-Filing system on all registered and active parties.

 $\frac{/s/\ Sarah\ Gondek}{AN\ EMPLOYEE\ OF\ COHEN|JOHNSON|PARKER|ED}WARDS$ 

## COHEN | JOHNSON | PARKER | EDWARDS 375 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 823-3500 FAX: (702) 823-3400

## 

## **EXHIBIT A**

Page 4 of 4

**Electronically Filed** 1/17/2020 1:41 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

**FFCL** 

2

3

1

4 5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

## DISTRICT COURT

## **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

RUSSELL L. NYPE; REVENUE PLUS, LLC, DOES I through X; DOES I through X; DOE CORPORATIONS CASE NO: A-16-740689-C I through X; and DOES PARTNERSHIPS I through X,  Plaintiffs,	Case No.: Dept.:	A-16-740689-C XI
v.		
DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LNE WORK, LLC; LNE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC; L VLP HOLDINGS, LLC; MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIBERMAN HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; CASINO COOLIDGE LLC; DOES I through ill, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through ill, inclusive,		
Defendants.		

## AMENDED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter having come on for non-jury trial before the Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez beginning on December 30, 2019, and continuing day to day, until its completion on January 7, 2020; John W. Muije of John W. Muije & Associates appeared on behalf of Russell L. Nype and Revenue Plus, LLC ("Plaintiffs") and Shelley D. Krohn, U.S. Bankruptcy Trustee ("Plaintiff Trustee"); H. Stan Johnson, James L. Edwards and Kevin M. Johnson of the law firm of Cohen, Johnson, Parker & Edwards appeared on behalf of David J. Mitchell, Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC, Meyer Property Ltd., Zoe Property LLC, Leah Property LLC, Wink One LLC, LiveWork LLC, LiveWork Manager LLC, Aquarius Owner LLC, LVLP Holdings LLC, Mitchell Holdings

LLC, Live Works TIC Successor LLC, FC/Live Work Vegas LLC, ("Mitchell Defendants");<sup>1</sup>
Brian W. Boschee of the law firm of Holley Driggs Walch Fine Puzey Stein & Thompson appeared on behalf of Defendant 305 Las Vegas, LLC<sup>2</sup>; and, Eliott S. Blut appeared on behalf of Defendants Barnett Liberman and Casino Coolidge; the Court having read and considered the pleadings filed by the parties; having reviewed the evidence admitted during the trial; having heard and carefully considered the testimony of the witnesses called to testify and weighing their credibility; having considered the oral and written arguments of counsel, and with the intent of rendering a decision on all claims before the Court,<sup>3</sup> pursuant to NRCP 52(a) and 58; the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. This action arises from a judgment that Plaintiffs obtained on or about April 10, 2015, against Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC ("LVLP") in Case No. A551073. Plaintiff filed this suit on July 26, 2016. The complaint was amended by the filing of an amended complaint on August 21, 2017.
- 2. Plaintiff Trustee was duly appointed to act as the Trustee in the Bankruptcy Case of Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC, Case No. BK-19-15333-mkn and moved to intervene in the instant action, which motion was granted on November 18, 2019. Plaintiff Trustee filed the complaint in intervention on November 18, 2019.
  - 3. Plaintiff Russell L. Nype ("Nype") is an adult resident of New York.

Given the filing of Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC, Case No. BK-19-15333-mkn in August 2019, the Court takes no action against Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC.

The Court granted the Rule 50(a) motion by 305 Las Vegas, LLC at the close of the Plaintiffs' case as no damages against that entity were established given the nature of its conduct.

Plaintiff asserted five claims for relief against the Defendants: 1) Constructive Trust; 2) Fraudulent Transfer; 3) Civil Conspiracy; 4) Declaratory Relief; and 5) Alter Ego.

- 4. Plaintiff Revenue Plus, LLC (collectively with Nype, "Plaintiffs") is a Florida limited liability company.
  - 5. Defendant, David J. Mitchell ("Mitchell"), is an adult resident of New York.
  - 6. Defendant, Barnett Liberman ("Liberman), is an adult resident of New York.
- 7. Defendant Mitchell Holdings, LLC ("Mitchell Holdings") is a Delaware limited liability company.
- 8. Defendant LVLP Holdings, LLC ("LVLP Holdings") is a Delaware limited liability company that was formed on or about November 4, 2004 by Mitchell and Liberman.
- 9. Defendant Las Vegas Land Partners ("LVLP") is a Delaware limited liability company.
  - 10. Mitchell and Liberman are managers of LVLP.
- 11. At all relevant times, Mitchell and Liberman were the sole owners (50/50) and managers of LVLP Holdings.
- 12. At all relevant times, LVLP was owned (50/50) and managed by Mitchell and Liberman.
- 13. Defendant Casino Coolidge LLC is a Nevada limited liability company. ("Casino Coolidge").
  - 14. Liberman is the managing member of Casino Coolidge.
- 15. Defendant Aquarius Owner, LLC ("Aquarius") is a Delaware limited liability company.
  - 16. Defendant Leah Property, LLC ("Leah") is a Delaware limited liability company.
  - 17. Defendant Livework, LLC ("Livework") is a Delaware limited liability company.
- 18. Defendant Livework Manager, LLC ("Livework Manager"), is a Delaware limited liability company.
  - 19. Defendant Zoe Property, LLC ("Zoe") is a Delaware limited liability company.
  - 20. Defendant Wink One, LLC ("Wink") is a Delaware limited liability company.

- 21. Defendant Meyer Property, LLC ("Meyer") is a Delaware limited liability company.
- 22. Non-party Charleston Casino Partners, LLC ("Casino Partners") is a Delaware limited liability company.
- 23. Defendant FC/LW Vegas, LLC ("FC/LW") is a Delaware limited liability company.
- 24. Defendant LiveWorks TIC Successor, LLC ("TIC Successor") is a Delaware limited liability company.
  - 25. These entities are collectively referred to as the Related Entities.<sup>4</sup>
- 26. 305 Las Vegas, LLC ("305 Las Vegas") was created in April of 2007 for the purpose through a 1031 exchange of purchasing real property located around 300 East Charleston.
- 27. In 2005, Mitchell and Liberman requested Nype's assistance with finding a development partner to assist them in developing certain real property in Downtown Las Vegas.
- 28. Prior to closing the transaction with Forest City, a dispute arose between LVLP and Nype in late 2006/early 2007 over the amount Nype was entitled to be paid related to the transaction with Forest City.
- 29. Mitchell and Liberman were fully aware that Nype was expecting to receive at least two million dollars for his efforts.
- 30. Despite understanding Nype's expectations, Mitchell and Liberman only set aside \$430,000.
- 31. Shortly after setting aside that amount, Mitchell and Liberman took personal distributions from LVLP in excess of thirteen million dollars.

For purposes of the term "Related Entity" the following are included: Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC, Meyer Property Ltd., Zoe Property LLC, Leah Property LLC, Wink One LLC, LiveWork LLC, LiveWork Manager LLC, Aquarius Owner LLC, LVLP Holdings LLC, LiveWorks TIC Successor LLC, FC/LiveWork Vegas LLC and Casino Coolidge LLC.

- 32. On November 2, 2007, LVLP and two other entities<sup>5</sup> sued Nype seeking primarily a declaratory judgment that they did not owe Nype any fee, Nype counterclaimed seeking compensation for services rendered.
- 33. In December 2014, Leah sold certain real property to Casino Coolidge for \$1,000,000. Mitchell and Liberman caused Leah to distribute sales proceeds in the amount of \$341,934.47 directly to themselves, rather than Leah's parent company, LVLP. Plaintiff has not established that given the market conditions at the time that Mitchell and Liberman sold the Leah Property without obtaining reasonably equivalent value in exchange.
- 34. After obtaining judgment on the counterclaim in 2015, Nype engaged in significant attempts to collect on the Judgment from LVLP.
  - 35. Those efforts resulted in recovery of approximately \$10,000.
- 36. Between 2007 and 2016, Mitchell and Liberman distributed to themselves a total of \$15,148,339 from the Related Entities.
- 37. These distributions were at times that Mitchell and Liberman were fully aware of Nype's claims.
- 38. The distributions caused and/or contributed to the Related Entities' insolvency and/or inability to pay their debts as they became due.
- 39. The evidence also demonstrates that Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities engaged in conscious, concerted and ongoing efforts to conceal, hide, convey, keep secret and/or divert millions of dollars in assets away from Nype and/or other creditors.
- 40. The evidence also demonstrates that Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities engaged in conscious, concerted and ongoing efforts to ensure that funds and/or assets that would otherwise be available to Nype to satisfy his claims (and Judgment) were kept away from Nype.

The other plaintiffs in that case were LiveWork LLC and Zoe Properties, LLC, neither of which were named as counterdefendants.

- 41. The evidence demonstrates that Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities distributed in excess of \$15,000,000 in funds that should have been available to satisfy Nype's claims/Judgment.
- 42. Nype's disclosure of the tax returns and its own consultant's report<sup>6</sup> on or about April 25, 2014, in A551073, are the latest date of discovery for purposes of NRS 112.230(1)(a).<sup>7</sup>
- 43. David Mitchell was not credible.<sup>8</sup> The failure of Mitchell to meaningfully participate in discovery until the eve of trial and the failure to produce documents which should have been in his possession leads the Court to conclude that if those documents had been produced they would have been adverse to Mitchell.
- 44. At all relevant times, each of the Related Entities was wholly owned and managed by LVLP or LVLP Holdings.
- 45. At all relevant times, each of the Related Entities was beneficially owned, controlled, and managed by Mitchell and Liberman.
- 46. One or more of the Related Entities was formed with an initial capitalization of just \$10.

The report is a part of Exhibit 90079.

That statute provides in pertinent part:

<sup>1.</sup> A claim for relief with respect to a fraudulent transfer or obligation under this chapter is extinguished unless action is brought:

<sup>(</sup>a) Under paragraph (a) of subsection 1 of <u>NRS 112.180</u>, within 4 years after the transfer was made or the obligation was incurred or, if later, within 1 year after the transfer or obligation was or could reasonably have been discovered by the claimant;

The explanation by Mitchell surrounding the creation of retention agreements with the CPA Sam Spitz signed in different styles and ink is additional information which leads the Court to believe Mitchell is not credible. (Exhibits 60032-60036).

- 47. At all relevant times, each of the Related Entities was treated by Mitchell and Liberman as a disregarded entity of LVLP Holdings for tax purposes and all of the Related Entities filed one combined tax return.
- 48. Except with respect to Livework Manager and Casino Coolidge, none of these entities had its own bank account. Mitchell caused each of the Related Entities to use the same bank accounts to deposit and disburse funds, including distributions to Mitchell and Liberman.
- 49. At all relevant times, Mitchell and Liberman caused each of the Related Entities to use the same financial and accounting records, which are not distinguishable by entity. Each of the Related Entities' financial and accounting records are not distinguishable by entity.
- 50. The LVLP accounting records include a few Mitchell and Liberman personal transactions and postings commingled from multiple entities.
- 51. Mitchell and Liberman caused each of the Related Entities to use the same general ledger to post all entries under the name of "Las Vegas Land Partners".
- 52. Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities commingled funds, including personal loans from various banks which are included in the LVLP accounting records and general ledger.
- 53. Mitchell and Liberman also used journal entries to post commingled transactions for themselves and the Related Entities.
- 54. In 2016, the Related Entities stopped using bank accounts and instead began using journal entries to post entries apparently transacted personally by Mitchell.
- 55. As a result of Mitchell and Liberman's domination, influence and control over the Related Entities, the individuality and separateness of the Related Entities—vis-à-vis themselves and Mitchell and Liberman—was and remains nonexistent as evidenced by the commingling of funds, transactions, revenues, expenses, assets, liabilities and contributed capital.
- 56. The manner in which Mitchell and Liberman operated the Related Entities makes it virtually impossible to identify transactions by purpose and/or entity.
- 57. The evidence demonstrates that: (a) Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities commingled funds, transactions and assets; (b) the Related Entities were and are undercapitalized;

- (c) Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities distributed funds to Mitchell and Liberman as individuals without regard to parent entities; (d) Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities treated assets of the other entities as their own; and (e) the Related Entities failed to observe corporate or LLC formalities.
- 58. The evidence demonstrates that the Related Entities: (a) are and were influenced and governed by Mitchell and Liberman; (b) there is such unity of interest and/or ownership that Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities are inseparable from the other; and (c) the facts are such that adherence to the fiction of separate entities would, under the circumstances, sanction a fraud or promote injustice.
- 59. Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities have made distributions to avoid satisfying Nype's claims and Judgment.
- a. When Leah Property sold certain real property to Casino Coolidge on or about December 17, 2014, and did not transfer the funds to LVLP;
- b. When Mitchell and Liberman took personal distributions from the Related Entities, between 2007 and 2016, totaling \$15,148.339.
- 60. In determining that these distributions were made with the actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud creditors and Nype, the Court notes, among other things, the following:
- a. They were made to "insiders" or other entities of which Mitchell and Liberman own or control (in whole or in part);
- b. They were made at times when Mitchell and Liberman were fully aware of Nype's claims, Judgment and/or Nype's intent to sue for the amounts owed to him.
- c. The distributions rendered or contributed to LVLP's and/or the Related Entities' insolvency, and left LVLP and/or the Related Entities unable to pay their debts as they became due;

- d. Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities attempted to conceal the distributions and their assets, through their discovery misconduct in this matter, which required enormous and expensive effort on Nype's part to attempt to obtain full and proper disclosure; and
  - e. Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities removed or concealed assets.
- 61. If any findings of fact are properly conclusions of law, they shall be treated as if appropriately identified and designated.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. In Nevada, there are three general requirements for application of the alter ego doctrine: (1) the corporation must be influenced and governed by the person asserted to be the alter ego; (2) there must be such unity of interest and ownership that one is inseparable from the other; and (3) the facts must be such that adherence to the corporate fiction of a separate entity would, under the circumstances, sanction fraud or promote injustice." *Polaris Indus. Corp. v. Kaplan*, 103 Nev. 598, 601, 747 P.2d 884, 886 (1987).
- 2. Nevada recognizes application of the alter ego doctrine in reverse, in which a creditor is permitted to reach "the assets of a corporation to satisfy the debt of a corporate insider based on a showing that the corporate entity is really the alter ego of the individual." <u>Loomis</u>, 116 Nev. at 903, 8 P.3d at 846.
- 3. Application of the alter ego doctrine in reverse "is appropriate where the particular facts and equities show the existence of an alter ego relationship and require that the corporate fiction be ignored so that justice may be promoted." <u>Id.</u>, at 904, 8 P.3d at 846.
- 4. The Court, concludes that: (a) Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities commingled funds, transactions and assets; (b) the Related Entities were and are undercapitalized; (c) Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities committed unauthorized diversion of funds; (d)

Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities treated assets of the other entities as their own; and (e) the Related Entities failed to observe corporate and LLC formalities.

- 5. The Court further concludes the evidence demonstrates that the Related Entities:

  (a) are and were influenced and governed by Mitchell and Liberman; (b) there is such unity of interest and/or ownership that Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities are inseparable from the other; and (c) the facts are such that adherence to the fiction of separate entities would, under the circumstances, sanction a fraud or promote injustice.
- 6. Justice and equity require that the Court impose alter ego liability on Mitchell, Liberman and the Related Entities.
- 7. Nype has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence his claim for alter ego, establishing that Mitchell, Liberman, and each of the Related Entities, is the alter ego of LVLP and each other.
- 8. Nype has not proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, his claim for alter ego that Mitchell Holdings is the alter ego of Mitchell.
- 9. Mitchell, Liberman and each of the Related Entities are jointly and severally liable on Nype's Judgment and the damages, attorney's fees and costs awarded in this action.
- 10. Prior to September of 2015, Nype had reason to know that the limited transfers were transfers made by debtors under the UFTA, that the transfers rendered debtors insolvent (or contributed thereto) or the facts and circumstances upon which this Court utilized in determining that the transfers were made with the actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud creditors (including Nype).

- 11. Nype has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence his claims for fraudulent transfer, including that certain of the distributions constitute fraudulent transfers within the meaning of NRS 112.180(1)(a). <sup>9</sup>
- 12. Certain of those distributions were made outside the limitations period under NRS 112.230(1).
- 13. Nevada's Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act provides an equitable remedy for creditors affected by a fraudulent transfer, but nothing more. *Cadle Co. v. Woods & Erickson, LLP*, 131 Nev. Adv. Op. 15, 345 P.3d 1049 (2015).
- 14. Nype has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he suffered damages in the amount of \$341,934.47 as a result of the fraudulent transfer of the proceeds of the Leah transaction with Casino Coolidge directly to Liberman and Mitchell, rather than to Leah's parent LVLP.
- 15. The earlier transfers are barred by the limitations period for purposes of the fraudulent transfer claim, only.
- 16. Nype has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he suffered special damages in the form of attorney's fees, costs and expert expenses related to the transfers in the total amount of \$4,493,176.90.<sup>10</sup>
- 17. Plaintiff cannot recover on a civil conspiracy claim (or accessory liability) for allegations arising out of NRS Chapter 112 against a nontransferor. *Cadle Co. v. Woods & Erickson, LLP*, 131 Nev. 114 at 120, 345 P.3d 1049 (2015).

The Court is cognizant of the possibility of duplicative awards given the various claims for relief.

The Court has previously evaluated the *Brunzell* factors in connection with the sanctions order which has now been satisfied. See 12/26/19 filing. That evaluation is incorporated by reference.

	18.	Independent of NRS Chapter 112, to prove a civil conspiracy, Plaintiff must prove
"a con	binatio	n of two or more persons who, by some concerted action, intend to accomplish a
lawful	objectiv	ve for the purpose of harming another, and damage results from the act or acts."
Hilton	Hotels	vs. Butch Lewis Productions, 109 Nev. 1043, 148, 862 P.2d 1207, 1210 (1993).

- 19. The Court concludes that the evidence demonstrates that:
- a. Mitchell and Liberman, engaged in conscious, concerted and ongoing efforts to conceal, hide, convey, keep secret and/or distribute millions of dollars in assets away from Nype;
- b. Mitchell and Liberman received distributions from LVLP and the Related entities;
- c. Mitchell, fabricated and backdated evidence to facilitate the destruction and/or concealment of material financial evidence by his agent that would have greatly assisted Nype's case.
- d. But for Nype's pretrial discovery, 11 the fabrication of evidence would not have been uncovered.
- 20. Nype has proven his claim of civil conspiracy, by a preponderance of the evidence against Mitchell and Liberman.
- 21. Plaintiff has not established by a preponderance of the evidence the elements of civil conspiracy separate and apart from the distributions and fabrication of evidence.
- 22. Plaintiff has established damages on the civil conspiracy claim in the amount of \$15,148.339.
  - 23. Nype has not demonstrated that punitive damages are appropriate in this matter.

The limitations for a civil conspiracy claim is not limited by NRS 112.230(1)(a) but is instead governed by NRS 11.220 and the discovery rule. *Siragusa v. Brown*, 114 Nev. 1384 at 1391-3 (1998).

- 24. Nype is entitled to recover his attorney's fees as special damages as he was successful on his claim for civil conspiracy in the total amount of \$4,493,176.90.
- 25. Nype has not established a claim for constructive trust given the current state of title of the remaining parcels in which the Related Entities hold their interest.
- 26. Mitchell, Liberman, and the Related Entities' actions and inactions have caused Nype damages in the total amount of \$19,641,515.90.<sup>12</sup>
- 27. Nype may also file a post-trial motion if appropriate, for fees and costs not proven during the trial as special damages.
- 28. Given the findings and conclusion no further relief on the Declaratory Relief claim is appropriate.
- 29. If any conclusions of law are properly findings of fact, they shall be treated as if appropriately identified and designated.

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that JUDGMENT is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiffs and jointly and severally against Mitchell, Liberman, Meyer Property Ltd., Zoe Property LLC, Leah Property LLC, Wink One LLC, LiveWork LLC, LiveWork Manager LLC, Aquarius Owner LLC, LVLP Holdings LLC, LiveWorks TIC Successor LLC, FC/LiveWork Vegas LLC and Casino Coolidge LLC on the fraudulent conveyance claim in the amount of \$4,835,111.37. 13

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that JUDGMENT is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiffs and jointly and severally against Mitchell and Liberman on

This is the total amount of damages which is not duplicated among the various claims for which the Court has made an award.

These damages are duplicated in the civil conspiracy judgment.

1	the civil conspiracy claim in the amount of \$19,641,515.90.
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that JUDGMENT is
3	hereby entered in favor of Plaintiffs and jointly and severally against Mitchell, Liberman, Meyer
5	Property Ltd., Zoe Property LLC, Leah Property LLC, Wink One LLC, LiveWork LLC,
6	LiveWork Manager LLC, Aquarius Owner LLC, LVLP Holdings LLC, LiveWorks TIC
7	Successor LLC, FC/LiveWork Vegas LLC and Casino Coolidge LLC on the alter ego claim in
8	the amount of the underlying judgment in A551073.
9	DATED this 17 <sup>th</sup> day of January, 2020.
10	
11	SIAMIO
12	Elizabeth Gonzalex, District Court Judge
13	
14	Certificate of Service
15	I hereby certify that on the date filed, a copy of the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of
16	Law was electronically served, pursuant to N.E.F.C.R. Rule 9, to all registered parties in the Eighth
17	Judicial District Court Electronic Filing Program.  If indicated below, a copy of the foregoing Scheduling Order was also:
18	☐ Placed in the Attorney(s) Folder on the 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor of the RJC for;
19	
20	☐ Mailed by United States Postal Service, Postage prepaid, to the proper parties listed below at their last known address(es):
21	
22	
23	Dan Kutinac
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

**Electronically Filed** 2/27/2020 7:05 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

MITCHELL DEFENDANTS OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION OF FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND JUDGMENT TO CORRECT MINOR ERRORS AND INCORPORATE PRE-JUDGMENT INTEREST

Page 1 of 7

# COHEN JOHNSON PARKER EDWARDS 375 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 823-3500 FAX: (702) 823-3400

Defendants, David J. Mitchell; Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC; Meyer Property, LLC.; Zoe Property, LLC; Leah Property, LLC; Wink One, LLC; Live Work, LLC; Live Work Manager, LLC; Aquarius Owner, LLC; LVLP Holdings, LLC; Mitchell Holdings, LLC; Live Works Tic Successor, LLC, (Collectively as the "Mitchell Defendants"), by and through their counsel of record, H. Stan Johnson, Esq. and James L. Edwards, Esq., of the law firm of Cohen Johnson Parker Edwards, files this Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Judgment to Correct Minor Errors and Incorporate Prejudgment Interest. (Hereafter as "Opposition"). This Opposition is based upon the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the proceedings, papers, and pleadings on file herein, and any evidence or oral argument which is allowed at the time of hearing on this Motion.

Dated this 27th day of February 2020.

## COHEN JOHNSON PARKER EDWARDS

By: /s/ H. Stan Johnson
H. Stan Johnson, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 00265
JAMES L. EDWARDS
Nevada Bar No. 4256
375 E. Warm Springs Road Ste. 104
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
Telephone: (702) 823-3500
Facsimile: (702) 823-3400
Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants

Page 2 of 7

## 375 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 823-3500 FAX: (702) 823-3400

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

## I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In this matter, the Court awarded \$15,148,339.00 on Plaintiffs' Civil Conspiracy claim, using as measure of damages the total amount of the Defendants allegedly fraudulent transfers. Plaintiffs are now seeking to recover prejudgment interest on this amount. However, the Court incorrectly used the full amount of the fraudulent transfers as the measure of damages but should have limited its award to an amount "necessary to satisfy the creditor's claim". The Court should not grant Plaintiffs Motion to Incorporate Prejudgment Interest in regard to Plaintiffs' civil conspiracy judgment because the judgment amount was based on an incorrect measure of damages.

## **ARGUMENT** II.

## The Court Applied an Incorrect Measure of Damages to Α. Plaintiffs' Civil Conspiracy Claim

In Nevada, a claim for civil conspiracy requires: (1) two or more parties; (2) acting in concert; (3) with an intent to accomplish an unlawful objective for the purpose of harming another; and (4) damages. Consolidated Generator-Nevada Inc. v. Cummins Engine Co., Inc., 114 Nev. 1304, 1311, 917 P.2d 1251, 1256 (1998). In some States civil conspiracy must be tied to an underlying tort. Though not required in Nevada, this Court has tied its award of damages to Defendants' fraudulent transfers by awarding Plaintiff a judgment equal to the amount of the fraudulent transfers. However, NRS 112.220(2) limits the measure of damages of a fraudulent transfer judgment to the "value of the asset transferred, ... or the amount necessary to satisfy the creditor's claim, whichever is less." Emphasis added. In this case, the 375 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 823-3500 FAX: (702) 823-3400

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Court awarded Plaintiff the "value of the asset transferred" when it should have awarded "the amount necessary to satisfy the creditor's claim" because it is less. Therefore, Plaintiff should only have been awarded them an amount equal to its underlying claim in this matter, which would be the amount of the award from the previous trial.

This being the case, the Court must not grant Plaintiffs' Motion to Incorporate Prejudgment Interest because Plaintiff is seeking interest on an amount many times more than they are entitled. In the alternative, this Court should only grant prejudgment interest on an amount equal to the Plaintiffs' underlying claim, that being the judgment amount from the original case.

## В. Prejudgment Interest Should Not Be Awarded on a Judgment that Goes Beyond Compensatory Damages

"The court's decision to award prejudgment interest is discretionary." See Wickham Contracting Co. v. Local Union No. 3, 955 F.2d 831, 834 (2d Cir. 1992). "The main function of an award of prejudgment interest is to fully compensate a plaintiff for damages suffered." See Rolf v. Blyth, Eastman Dillon & Co., Inc., 637 F.2d 77 (2d Cir. 1980) ("An award of prejudgment interest is in the first instance, compensatory."). "...prejudgment interest should not be awarded if the statutory obligation on which interest is sought is punitive in nature." Wickham Contracting Co., 955 F.2d at 834. "Interest runs from the time the cause of action accrues, ... until the date of judgment." See Ramada Inns, Inc. v. Sharp, 101 Nev. 824, 711 P.2d 1, 2 (Nev. 1985) "Prejudgment interest is viewed as compensation for use by defendant of money to which plaintiff is entitled from the time the cause of action accrues until the time of judgment; it is not designed as a penalty." Haskins v.

Page 4 of 7

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Sheldon, 558 P.2d 487, 494 (Alaska 1976). "A plaintiff is never entitled to punitive damages as a matter of right; their allowance or denial rests entirely in the discretion of the trier of fact." Nevada Cement Co. v. Lemler, 89 Nev. 447, 451, 514 P.2d 1180 (1973). "...we hold that prejudgment interest may not be granted by a trial court on punitive damage awards." See Ramada Inns v. Sharp, 101 Nev. 824, 826, 711 P.2d 1, 2 (1985).

In this case, Plaintiffs were seeking to enforce the judgment which they won in the underlying case. While they waited to enforce said judgment, that money could have been collecting interest. To compensate the Plaintiffs, the Court has the discretion to award pre-judgment interest. This compensatory interest is justly granted in such situations. However, the award for Civil Conspiracy in this case cannot be considered compensatory because Plaintiff was awarded a fifteen-milliondollar judgment while its underlying claim was much smaller and likely granted due to Defendants misdeeds during the pendency of this case. See Amended Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law at 12:19(c). Granting prejudgment interest on a likely punitive judgment which was much larger than the underlying claim does not compensate the Plaintiffs but grants them an additional windfall and further punishes the Defendants. As prejudgment interest cannot be granted on judgments which are punitive in nature, the Court should not grant Plaintiffs' Motion seeking incorporation of prejudgment interest on these judgments.

## III. **CONCLUSION**

Plaintiffs' Motion to Incorporate Prejudgment Interest should be denied because the Court applied an incorrect measure of damages to Plaintiffs' civil

# COHEN JOHNSON PARKER EDWARDS 375 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 823-3500 FAX: (702) 823-3400

conspiracy claim. Therefore, prejudgment interest should not be granted based upon an incorrect judgment amount. Furthermore, this Court cannot grant prejudgment interest on a judgment which is not compensatory in nature but is likely punitive.

Therefore, this Court should deny Plaintiffs' Motion.

DATED this 27th day of February 2020

## COHEN JOHNSON PARKER EDWARDS

/s/ H. Stan Johnson
H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 00265
sjohnson@cohenjohnson.com
JAMES L. EDWARDS
Nevada Bar No. 4256
jedwards@parkeredwardslaw.com
375 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 104
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
Telephone: (702) 823-3500
Facsimile: (702) 823-3400
Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants

# COHEN JOHNSON PARKER EDWARDS 375 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 823-3500 FAX: (702) 823-3400

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D) and E.D.C.R. 8.05, I hereby certify that on the 27th day of February 2020, I caused a true and correct copy of MITCHELL DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEY FEES to be served via the Court's Wiznet E-Filing system on all registered and active parties.

/s/ Sarah Gondek
An employee of Cohen|Johnson|Parker|Edwards

**Electronically Filed** 3/6/2020 4:31 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 RPA

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No: 2419

1840 E. Sahara Ave #106

4 Las Vegas, NV 89104

Phone No: (702) 386-7002

Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

RUSSELL L. NYPE AND REVENUE PLUS,

LLC

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Plaintiffs,

DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC; MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIBERMAN HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; CASINO COOLIDGE LLC; DOES I through III, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through III, inclusive,

Mitchell Defendants.

CASE NO: A-16-740689-B

DEPT NO: XI

Date of Hearing: March 13, 2020

Time of Hearing: IN CHAMBERS

## REPLY POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES

The Court is fully familiar with the background, history, and facts proven at trial in this matter. As noted, in Plaintiff's Motion for Award of Attorney's Fees, as filed on February 6, 26 2020, the Court expressly entered a Conclusion of Law, Conclusion No. 27 found on page 13, which stated Nype may also file a post-trial motion if appropriate for fees and costs not proven during the trial as special damages.

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

25

26

27

Plaintiffs (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Nype") also reference the Court's express pronouncement during trial that there had to be a reasonable and practicable cutoff date as regards the evidence presented at trial regarding attorney's fees and costs. As noted, that cutoff date was December 31, 2019. In fact, four trial days occurred subsequent to the accounting cutoff noted by the Court. Even a cursory review of Nype's exhibits in support of the motion for award of attorneys fees discloses that the bulk of the additional fees claimed relate to time spent completing the trial on the one hand, and doing necessary and appropriate work during the approximate thirty (30) days subsequent to trial to wrap up loose ends. Notably, Plaintiff's motion at present seeks only the attorneys fees and non-taxed costs accruing through approximately one month after trial, and does not even take into account the many thousands of dollars of additional fees and costs that have accrued between early February and the present, in dealing with motions to alter or amend, motions to strike, motions for stay, etc.

The Court further found and expressly held, on the record, that Nype incurred special damages derivative of both the fraudulent transfers that were litigated, as well as such damages 18 being the direct consequence of the civil conspiracy pled and proven. The Court and the parties will also recall the oral motion for a directed verdict by the Mitchell Defendants at the conclusion of Plaintiff's case-in-chief, wherein the Court expressly considered defendants' arguments that Plaintiff had not sufficiently pled the attorneys fees in question as special damages. The Court in fact took time, reviewed the allegations contained in the amended complaint, and expressly ruled from the bench, on the record, that Plaintiffs' pleading was sufficient and that the defendants were on notice as to Plaintiffs' claim for special damages.

The alternative basis sought by Plaintiff as justification and an appropriate basis for an award of attorney's fees is best illustrated by one exhibit perhaps best summarizing defendants intentions and strategy at trial, i.e. Exhibit 50042 at pages 5 and 6, true and correct copies of

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

which are attached hereto as Exhibit "1". As noted on page 6 of the exhibit, the defendants and their counsel acknowledge an express strategy "to keep kicking the ball down field to delay trial and aggravate Nype. . . . " Exhibit 50042 also suggests that defendants make a strong push for a settlement conference, knowing that such settlement conference had virtually no sense of success, but would necessarily result in a trial continuance.

Finally, the Court is well aware of the many months of delay and the significant expense imposed on Nype by the ongoing continuous discovery defalcations, perhaps most blatantly illustrated by the fabrication of fraudulent and false evidence suggesting that relevant financial records were destroyed as a matter of policy.

Stated another way, as correctly noted by the Court, the standards of NRS 18.010(2)(b) expressly provide for the liberal construction and the award of attorney's fees in appropriate situations to punish and deter frivolous and vexatious defenses as amply documented in the record of this case.

Finally, although not addressed by either defense opposition, Exhibit "5" to Nype's Motion for Additional Attorney's Fees carefully parses through the specific factors enumerated in Brunzell vs. Golden Gate National Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 349, 455 P.2d 31, 33 (1969). The Sworn Declaration of Mr. Muije regarding the Brunzell factors, coupled by the Court's specific focus and attention during multiple days of trial, multiple evidentiary hearing days, and multiple contested motions provide an undisputable foundation that as regards calculating and determining attorney's fees, under the Brunzell factor, Plaintiffs have provided ample evidence and authority that such fees are reasonable, appropriate, and justified.

## JOHN W. MUJJE & ASSOCIATES 1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: 702-386-7002 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

2

3

5

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## **CONCLUSION**

The Court expressly found that special damages were properly pled and awarded over \$4 million in such special damages as documented at trial. The Court also noted that there had to be a practical cutoff date, and that Plaintiffs could supplement trial evidence regarding special damages subsequent to the cut-off date in a post-trial motion. That is exactly the matter pending before the Court.

The defense arguments that special damages were not adequately pled or not adequately proven have already been discredited and rejected by the Court. Furthermore, the Court has also seen ample evidence and specific proof that the defendants conduct throughout the entire history of this litigation has been replete with bad faith, delay, and the failure and refusal of the defendants to fairly and appropriately litigate this matter on its merits. That, in and of itself, fully justifies an award of all attorney's fees sought by Plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Russell L. Nype and Revenue Plus, LLC respectfully urge the Court to grant their motion for award of attorney's fees, as explained and documented in the source motion as well as these reply points and authorities.

DATED this day of March, 2020

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

By:

JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No: 2419

1840 E. Sahara Ave #106

Las Vegas, NV 89104

Phone No: (702) 386-7002

Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: <u>Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I certify that I am an employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES and that on the 6th day of March, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, REPLY POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES, to be

served as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with firstclass postage prepaid addressed as follows; and/or



By electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court via the Odyssey E-File and Serve System:

By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with firstclass postage prepaid marked certified return receipt requested addressed as follows:

Elliot S. Blut, Esq. BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C. 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 701 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 384-1050 Facsimile: (702) 384-8565 E-Mail: eblut@blutlaw.com Attorneys for Defendants Barnet Liberman and Casino Coolidge, LLC

Brian W. Boschee, Esq. HOLLEY DRIGGS WALCH FINE PUZEY STEIN & THOMPSON 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 791-0308 Facsimile: (702) 791-1912 E-Mail: bboschee@nevadafirm.com Attorneys for Defendant

305 Las Vegas, LLC

H. Stan Johnson, Esq. James L. Edwards, Esq.

**COHEN JOHNSON PARKER & EDWARDS** 

375 E. Warm Springs Road, #104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants

An Employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

## EXHIBIT "1"

## Zev E. Kaplan

From: Sent: Zev Kaplan <zevkaplan@aol.com> Thursday, November 06, 2014 9:40 AM

То:

Zev E. Kaplan

Subject:

Fwd: LVLP v. Nype

Follow Up Flag:

Flag for follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

Zev Kaplan Zev E. Kaplan, Ltd. PO Box 370836 Las Vegas, NV 89137 702-324-8454 888-314-0396 Fax

## Begin forwarded message:

From: David Mitchell < djm@mitchellholdings.com>

**Date:** November 2, 2014 at 7:38:19 AM PST **To:** Zev Kaplan <a href="mailto:</a> <a href="mailto:2evkaplan@aol.com">zevkaplan@aol.com</a>>

Cc: Doug Arnaudin < DArnaudin@mitchellholdings.com >

Subject: FW: LVLP v. Nype

He said the mediation was my idea, well not true see below Call me if you are up I am at the office

DAVID MITCHELL
Mitchell Holdings LLC
801 MADISON AVENUE
New York NY 10065
USA
1212-486-4444 o
1212-320-0474 f
djm@mitchellholdings.com

From: Richard Haskin <<u>rhaskin@ggltsw.com</u>> Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2014 at 7:16 PM

To: "djm@mitchellholdings.com" <djm@mitchellholdings.com>, Barnet Liberman

<br/>
<br/>
darnetlib1@gmail.com>

Cc: Victor Luke < vluke@ggltsw.com>

Subject: LVLP v. Nype

1

50042-0005 Case No.: A-16-740689-B

## Gentlemen

In an effort to keep kicking the ball downfield to delay trial and aggravate Nype, a thought occurred to me at about 2 am last night. The parties have consistently maintained, of recent, that settlement is not possible given the monetary divide. Also, Nype's attorney stated that he would be willing to sit down with a settlement judge, but he is unwilling to do so if it means extending the trial date.

Here is my thought. Let's approach Nype about a settlement conference and/or use of a private mediator sometime during the week of July 14, when all parties and counsel are available. Nype will, of course, refuse because doing so would delay trial. Once we get that refusal, we can file a motion, on shortened time, to get an order from the court that we attend a settlement conference during the week of July 14. Knowing what I have heard about our judge, Judge Israels, he is fairly lazy and would rather punt this case himself if he can. I think we would have a great shot at getting a settlement conference set for the week of July 14. We can then attend the settlement conference which likely would not result in a settlement, but it would likely result in the delay of the trial by a month or more. Another benefit of the conference is we can get another judge's take on this case.

If you green light my idea, I will approach opposing counsel about the settlement conference for the week of July 14 and get our "no" response ASAP so we can then file our motion. Let me know.



Richard E. Haskin, Esq.
Gibbs, Giden, Locher Turner Senet & Wittbrodt LLP
1880 Century Park East, 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90067
(310) 552-3400

7450 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 270

Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

(702) 836-9800 www.gglts.com

2

50042-0006 Case No.: A-16-740689-B

**Electronically Filed** 3/13/2020 2:51 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

10 11 1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: 702-386-7002 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com 12 13 14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

8

9

**RPA** JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No: 2419

1840 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 106

Las Vegas, Nevada 89104

Telephone No: (702) 386-7002 (702) 386-9135 Facsimile No: Email: <u>Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

RUSSELL L. NYPE AND REVENUS PLUS, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC: MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIBERMAN HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; CASINO COOLIDGE LLC; DOES I through III, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through III, inclusive,

Mitchell Defendants.

CASE NO: A-16-740689-B

DEPT NO: XI

Date of Hearing: March 20, 2020

Time of Hearing: IN CHAMBERS

## PLAINTIFFS' REPLY POINTS AND AUTHORITIES AS TO ITS MOTION TO CORRECT JUDGMENT AND INCORPORATE PRE-JUDGMENT INTEREST

COMES NOW Plaintiffs, RUSSELL L. NYPE AND REVENUE PLUS, LLC (hereinafter collectively "Nype"), by and through their attorney of record, JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ., of the Law Offices of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES, and hereby submits their formal Reply to the Opposition filed by the Mitchell Defendants on or about February 27, 2020.

These Reply Points and Authorities are based upon the points and authorities that follow, the pleadings and documents on file herein, and arguments to be adduced at the time of hearing hereon, if any.

DATED this 13th day of March, 2020.

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

By: /s/ JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No: 2419 1840 E. Sahara Ave #106 Las Vegas, NV 89104 Phone No: (702) 386-7002

Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: <u>Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## **POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

Nype filed their Motion for Correction of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and to incorporate pre-judgment interest on February 13, 2020. That motion sought to correct a typographical error and to correct a misconception regarding Nype's permanent residence.

The Mitchell Defendants in turn opposed said motion on February 27, 2020. Said opposition only challenged the imposition of pre-judgment interest on the civil conspiracy claim. Notably, no defendant has challenged or opposed Plaintiff's request for clerical correction of the typographical error as to the amount of the civil conspiracy award, or for correction as to Nype's permanent State of residence. Nor has there been any challenge to the award of pre-judgment interest on the joint and several award against all defendants regarding fraudulent conveyances and special damages awarded incident to the same.

In preparing this Reply, Nype also notes that there is a second typographical error regarding the civil damage award, contained at paragraph 59(b) on page 8, and respectfully suggests that the second clerical error is similar to the one first noted, and should also be corrected at the time of the entry of an amended and final judgment.

While citing several cases from outside the jurisdiction to attempt to persuade the Court to override Nevada law, only one Nevada case is cited. *Ramada Inns, Inc. vs. Sharp*, 101 Nev. 824, 711 P.2d 1 (Nev. 1985).

As the Defendants correctly noted, the *Ramada Inns* decision directly holds that interest accrues from the time the cause of action accrues until the date of judgment. A slightly different result is codified at NRS 17.130, which expressly provides that the judgment awarded draws interest from the time of service of the summons and complaint until satisfied, except for any amount representing future damages. The other proposition for which the *Ramada Inns* decision is cited is that pre-judgment interest should not accrue on punitive damages awards.

Defendants have conveniently overlooked the Court's express finding, however, at page 12, Conclusion of Law No. 23, that Nype has <u>not</u> demonstrated that punitive damages are appropriate in the matter. Hence no component of the judgment represents punitive damages.

It would appear that in drafting their opposition, the Mitchell Defendants also failed to recognize that they were merely regurgitating and repeating arguments made in support of their motion to alter or amend, which the court expressly and explicitly denied at the hearing of February 24, 2020. As noted by the Court at the time of that hearing, there was sufficient evidence to justify its finding as to the existence of civil conspiracy, and the damages awarded as to that claim by the Court.

. . . .

3

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

26

28

The Court will also recall that this long saga dates back to 2007. Much of the conspiratorial misconduct and wrongful conduct vis a vis Nype occurred during the early years. Indeed, in furtherance of the conspiratorial conduct, the Court will recall the fraudulent fabricated engagement letters, admittedly done in January 2018, but done for the purpose of concealing key financial evidence from 2007 through 2012. As noted by the Court in Finding of Fact 43, page 6, the failure to produce documents which should have existed and should have been in his possession (which absence the defendants attempted to justify through their fabricated evidence) led to the Court to conclude that had those documents been produced, they would have disclosed evidence adverse to Mitchell (and necessarily against Liberman as well). Intentional spoliation of relevant evidence spanning 2007 through and including at least 2012 all arose prior to the commencement of the present action.

Likewise, the bulk of the attorneys fees and costs, the specific fraudulent conveyance expressly found by the Court, the emotional distress and disruption to his life as sustained by Nype, virtually all accrued prior to the filing of the present litigation in July, 2016.

There is absolutely no finding or suggestion in the Court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, or Judgment that any component of the civil conspiracy award represents future damages. In actuality, the evidence presented demonstrated that the vast bulk of those damages accrued overtime, and all of the percipient facts (with the exception of the fabrication of fraudulent evidence by Defendants) pre-dated the initiation of this lawsuit.

25

NRS 17.135 is clear and unambiguous on its face. Plaintiffs are entitled to pre-judgment interest on the entirety of their judgment from the date of service of process, i.e., February 24, 2017, through the date of the entry of judgment.

## **CONCLUSION**

Defendants have not challenged Plaintiff's request for clerical corrections and to correcting the Finding regarding Nype's permanent residence. Nor have they challenged the award of pre-judgment interest on the fraudulent conveyance claim and the special damages awarded thereunder.

Defendants' sole opposition to Plaintiffs' pending motion challenges the award of prejudgment interest on the civil conspiracy claim. That opposition, however, fails to note that there is no finding or award of punitive damages, and hence no basis to disallow interest on the civil conspiracy claim predicated upon the uncorroborated assertion that such damages are punitive in The balance of the opposition is merely a repetitive and redundant recital of the defendant's disagreement with the facts proven at trial, and the Court's express findings of fact, that the civil conspiracy occurred, and the amount of damages sustained by that civil conspiracy.

Pursuant to Nevada statutory law, as well as the Nevada case law decided thereunder, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of pre-judgment interest as to the fraudulent conveyance component of the claim in the amount of \$945,131.80. As to pre-judgment interest against Defendants Barnet Liberman and David J. Mitchell, recognizing that such pre-judgment interest is inclusive of the amount awarded on the fraudulent conveyance claim, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of accrued pre-judgment interest as of January 16, 2020, in the total sum of \$2,961,085.24.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs' request that the Court grant their motion in its entirety.

DATED this 13th day of March, 2020.

#### JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

By: /s/ JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No: 2419

1840 E. Sahara Ave #106

Las Vegas, NV 89104

Phone No: (702) 386-7002

Fax No: (702) 386-7002 Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: <u>Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com</u> Attorneys for Plaintiffs

# 1840 E. Saĥara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: 702-386-7002 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I am an employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES and that on the

13th day of March, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, PLAINTIFFS' REPLY POINTS

## AND AUTHORITIES AS TO ITS MOTION TO CORRECT JUDGMENT AND

## INCORPORATE PRE-JUDGMENT INTEREST, to be served as follows:

By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with firstclass postage prepaid addressed as follows; and/or



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

By electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court via the Odyssey E-File and Serve System:

By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with firstclass postage prepaid marked certified return receipt requested addressed as follows:

Elliot S. Blut, Esq.

## **BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C.**

300 South Fourth Street, Suite 701

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 384-1050

Facsimile: (702) 384-8565

E-Mail: eblut@blutlaw.com Attorneys for Defendants

Barnet Liberman and Casino Coolidge,

LLC

Brian W. Boschee, Esq. **HOLLEY DRIGGS** 

400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 791-0308 Facsimile: (702) 791-1912

E-Mail: <u>bboschee@nevadafirm.com</u>

Attorneys for Defendant 305 Las Vegas, LLC

H. Stan Johnson, Esq. James L. Edwards, Esq.

## **COHEN JOHNSON PARKER & EDWARDS**

375 E. Warm Springs Road, #104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants

An Employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

28

**Electronically Filed** 3/30/2020 1:56 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 NEO.I JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No: 2419 1840 E. Sahara Ave #106 4 Las Vegas, NV 89104 Phone No: (702) 386-7002 Fax No: (702) 386-9135 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA RUSSELL L. NYPE AND REVENUE PLUS, CASE NO: A-16-740689-B LLC DEPT NO: XI Plaintiffs. VS. DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC; MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIBERMAN HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; CASINO COOLIDGE LLC; DOES I through III, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through III, inclusive, Mitchell Defendants. NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER DENYING CASINO COOLIDGE, LLC'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT ELLIOT S. BLUT, ESQ., of BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C., Attorneys for Defendants Barnet Liberman and Casino Coolidge, LLC H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ., and JAMES L. EDWARDS, ESQ., of the Law Offices of COHEN, JOHNSON, PARKER & EDWARDS, Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants 27

1

## JOHN W. MUJE & ASSOCIATES 1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: 702-386-7002 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

	PLEASE	TAKE	NOTICE	that	the	ORDER	DENYING	CASINO	COOLIDGE
LLC'	S MOTION	TO Al	LTER OR	AME	CND	JUDGME	ENT, was ente	ered with th	ne Court on the
30th d	av of March	2020 a	conv of wh	nich is	s atta	ched heret	o as Exhibit "	•1"	

DATED this 3074 day of March, 2020.

### JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

By: <u>/s/ JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.</u> JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No: 2419 1840 E. Sahara Ave #106 Las Vegas, NV 89104 Phone No: (702) 386-7002

Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: <u>Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com</u> Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## JOHN W. MUJJE & ASSOCIATES 1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: 702-386-7002 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I certify that I am an employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES and that on the

3077 day of March, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

DENYING CASINO COOLIDGE, LLC'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND

JUDGMENT, to be served as follows:

- □ By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with first-class postage prepaid addressed as follows; and/or
- By electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court via the Odyssey E-File and Serve System;
- □ By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with firstclass postage prepaid marked certified return receipt requested addressed as follows:

Elliot S. Blut, Esq.

BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C.
300 South Fourth Street, Suite 701

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Defendants

Barnet Liberman and Casino Coolidge,

LLC

H. Stan Johnson, Esq.
James L. Edwards, Esq.
COHEN JOHNSON PARKER &
EDWARDS

375 E. Warm Springs Road, #104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants

An Employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

# EXHIBIT "1"

JHN W. MUJJE & ASSOCIATES 1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, 702-386-7002 Telephone, 702-386-7002 imail: Jmujje@mujjelawoffice.com Electronically Filed 3/30/2020 9:05 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

JOHN W. MULJE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No: 2419

1840 E. Sahara Ave #106

Las Vegas, NV 89104

Phone No: (702) 386-7002

Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: <u>Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

RUSSELL L. NYPE; REVENUE PLUS, LLC, DOES I through X; DOES I through X; DOE

CORPORATIONS I through X; and DOES PARTNERSHIPS I through X.

PARTNERSHIPS I inrough X,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER

PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS

OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC;

18 MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIBERMAN

HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; CASINO

COOLIDGE LLC; DOES I through III, and ROE

CORPORATIONS I through III, inclusive,

Mitchell Defendants.

CASE NO: A-16-740689-B

DEPT NO: XI

Date of Hearing: February 24, 2020

Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m.

## ORDER DENYING CASINO COOLIDGE, LLC'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT

This matter coming on for hearing on February 24, 2020 at the hour of 9:00 a.m.,

Plaintiffs, RUSSELL L. NYPE AND REVENUE PLUS, LLC, being represented by JOHN W.

MUIJE, ESQ., of the Law Firm of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES, ELLIOT S. BLUT,

ESQ., of the Law Firm of BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C., Attorneys for Defendants BARNET

Case Number: A-16-740689-B

28

1 LIBERMAN and CASINO COOLIDGE, LLC, and David J. Mitchell and the Mitchell Defendants, being represented by H. Stan Johnson, Esq. and James L. Edwards, Esq., of the Law Firm of COHEN JOHNSON PARKER & EDWARDS, the Court having reviewed and considered the points and authorities, the exhibits in support thereof, and the various pleadings 5 and documents on file herein and having considered oral argument and good cause appearing. 7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant Casino Coolidge, LLC's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment be and the same hereby is DENIED. March 9 DATED this 30th day of February, 2020. 10 11 12 13 14 Submitted by: 15 JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES 16 17 By: /s/ JOHN W. MUIJE, ESO. 18 JOHN W. MUIJE, ESO. 19 Nevada Bar No: 2419 1840 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 106 20 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone No: (702) 386-7002 21 Facsimile No: (702) 386-9135 22 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs 23 24 25 26

AA 1488

28

8

9

**Electronically Filed** 3/30/2020 1:56 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 NEO.I

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

3 Nevada Bar No: 2419

1840 E. Sahara Ave #106

Las Vegas, NV 89104

Phone No: (702) 386-7002

Fax No: (702) 386-9135 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

RUSSELL L. NYPE AND REVENUE PLUS, LLC

Plaintiffs.

VS.

DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC; MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIBERMAN HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; CASINO COOLIDGE LLC; DOES I through III, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through III, inclusive,

Mitchell Defendants.

CASE NO: A-16-740689-B

DEPT NO: XI

## NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER DENYING THE MITCHELL DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT

TO: ELLIOT S. BLUT, ESQ., of BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C., Attorneys for Defendants Barnet Liberman and Casino Coolidge, LLC

TO: H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ., and JAMES L. EDWARDS, ESQ., of the Law Offices of COHEN, JOHNSON, PARKER & EDWARDS, Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants

1

PLEASE	TAKE	NOTICE	that	the	ORDER	DENYING	THE	MITCHE	LI
DEFENDANTS'	MOTIO	N TO ALT	rer (	OR A	AMEND J	UDGMENT,	was en	tered with	the
Court on the 30th	day of M	arch, 2020, a	а сору	of w	hich is atta	ched hereto as	Exhibi	t "1".	

DATED this 307td day of March, 2020.

#### JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

## By: <u>/s/ JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.</u> JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No: 2419 1840 E. Sahara Ave #106 Las Vegas, NV 89104 Phone No: (702) 386-7002 Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: <u>Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## JOHN W. MUJE & ASSOCIATES 1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: 702-386-7002 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that I am an employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES and that on the

30H day of March, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF

ORDER DENYING THE MITCHELL DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO ALTER OR

AMEND JUDGMENT, to be served as follows:

- By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with first-class postage prepaid addressed as follows; and/or
- By electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court via the Odyssey E-File and Serve System;
- By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with firstclass postage prepaid marked certified return receipt requested addressed as follows:

Elliot S. Blut, Esq.

BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C.

300 South Fourth Street, Suite 701

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Defendants

Barnet Liberman and Casino Coolidge,

LLC

H. Stan Johnson, Esq.
James L. Edwards, Esq.
COHEN JOHNSON PARKER &
EDWARDS

375 E. Warm Springs Road, #104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants

An Employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

# EXHIBIT "1"

## ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 3/30/2020 9:05 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

JOHN W. MULJE & ASSOCIATES

JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No: 2419

1840 E. Sahara Ave #106

Las Vegas, NV 89104

Phone No: (702) 386-7002 Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: <u>Imuije@muijelawoffice.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

RUSSELL L. NYPE; REVENUE PLUS, LLC, DOES I

through X; DOES I through X; DOE CORPORATIONS I through X; and DOES PARTNERSHIPS I through X,

Plaintiffs.

VS.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

28

DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY LLC; WINK ONE LLC; LYE WORK

PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC;

MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIBERMAN

HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; CASINO

20 COOLIDGE LLC; DOES I through III, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through III, inclusive,

Mitchell Defendants.

CASE NO: A-16-740689-B

DEPT NO: XI

Date of Hearing: February 24, 2020

Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m.

whichen Defendant

## ORDER DENYING THE MITCHELL DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT

This matter coming on for hearing on February 24, 2020 at the hour of 9:00 a.m.,

Plaintiffs, RUSSELL L. NYPE AND REVENUE PLUS, LLC, being represented by JOHN W.

MUIJE, ESQ., of the Law Firm of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES, David J. Mitchell and the

Mitchell Defendants, being represented by H. Stan Johnson, Esq. and James L. Edwards, Esq., of

02-28-20A05:39 RCVD

JOHN W. MUJE & ASSOCIAT.
1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106
Las Vegas, Nevada 89104
Telephone: 702.386.7002
Email: Jmuje@mujjelawoffice.c

Case Number: A-16-740689-B

the Law Firm of COHEN JOHNSON PARKER & EDWARDS, the Court having reviewed and considered the points and authorities, the exhibits in support thereof, and the various pleadings and documents on file herein and having considered oral argument and good cause appearing.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Mitchell

Defendants Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment be and the same hereby is **DENIED**.

DATED this 30th day of February, 2020.

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

By: /s/ JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No: 2419

1840 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 106

Las Vegas, Nevada 89104
Telephone No: (702) 386-7002
Facsimile No: (702) 386-9135
Email: <a href="mailto:Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com">Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com</a>

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

27

28

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' CASINO COOLIDGE, LLC'S AND BARNET LIBERMAN'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT AS FILED ON FEBRUARY 14, 2020

ELLIOT S. BLUT, ESQ., of BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C., Attorneys for Defendants

TO: H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ., and JAMES L. EDWARDS, ESQ., of the Law Offices of COHEN, JOHNSON, PARKER & EDWARDS, Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants

1

## JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES 1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: 702-386-7002 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	PLEAS	SE TAK	ENO	TICE that	the <b>OR</b> L	ER DE	NYING DE	FEN	NDANTS?	CA	SINO
COOL	IDGE,	LLC'S	AND	BARNET	LIBER	MAN'S	MOTION	TO	ALTER	OR	AMEND

**JUDGMENT AS FILED ON FEBRUARY 14, 2020,** was entered with the Court on the 30<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2020, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".

DATED this 30<sup>777</sup> day of March, 2020.

#### JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

By: /s/ JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No: 2419 1840 E. Sahara Ave #106 Las Vegas, NV 89104 Phone No: (702) 386-7002 Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: <u>Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## JOHN W. MUJE & ASSOCIATES 1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: 702-386-7002 Email: Imujie@mujielawoffice.com

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I certify that I am an employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES and that on the

307H day of March, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

DENYING DEFENDANTS' CASINO COOLIDGE, LLC'S AND BARNET LIBERMAN'S

MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT AS FILED ON FEBRUARY 14, 2020, to

be served as follows:

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- □ By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with first-class postage prepaid addressed as follows; and/or
- By electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court via the Odyssey E-File and Serve System;
- By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with firstclass postage prepaid marked certified return receipt requested addressed as follows:

BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C.
300 South Fourth Street, Suite 701
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorneys for Defendants
Barnet Liberman and Casino Coolidge,
LLC

H. Stan Johnson, Esq.
James L. Edwards, Esq.
COHEN JOHNSON PARKER &
EDWARDS

375 E. Warm Springs Road, #104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants

An Employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

# EXHIBIT "1"

## ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 3/30/2020 9:05 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No: 2419

1840 E. Sahara Ave #106

Las Vegas, NV 89104

Phone No: (702) 386-7002 Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: <u>Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

9

RUSSELL L. NYPE; REVENUE PLUS, LLC, DOES I

through X; DOES I through X; DOE CORPORATIONS I through X; and DOES

PARTNERSHIPS I through X,

Plaintiffs.

VS.

rant

DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS

LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIO OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC; MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIBERMAN

HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC; LIVE

WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; CASINO

COOLIDGE LLC; DOES I through III, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through III, inclusive.

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

CASE NO: A-16-740689-B

DEPT NO: XI

Date of Hearing: February 24, 2020

Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m.

Mitchell Defendants.

## ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS CASINO COOLIDGE, LLC'S AND BARNET LIBERMAN'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT AS FILED ON FEBRUARY 14, 2020

This matter coming on for hearing on February 24, 2020 at the hour of 9:00 a.m.,

Plaintiffs, RUSSELL L. NYPE AND REVENUE PLUS, LLC, being represented by JOHN W.

MUIJE, ESQ., of the Law Firm of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES, ELLIOT S. BLUT,

ESQ., of the Law Firm of BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C., Attorneys for Defendants BARNET

02-28-20A05:40 RCVD

Case Number: A-16-740689-B

OHN W. MUJE & ASSOCIATES 1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: 702.386.7002 Email: Jmuije@mujjelawoffice.co

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LIBERMAN and CASINO COOLIDGE, LLC, and David J. Mitchell and the Mitchell Defendants, being represented by H. Stan Johnson, Esq. and James L. Edwards, Esq., of the Law Firm of COHEN JOHNSON PARKER & EDWARDS, the Court having reviewed and considered the points and authorities, the exhibits in support thereof, and the various pleadings and documents on file herein and having considered oral argument and good cause appearing.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendants Casino Coolidge, LLC's and Barnet Liberman's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment as filed on February 14, 2020, be and the same hereby is DENIED.

March

DATED this 30th day of February, 2020.

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

By: /s/ JOHN W. MUIJE, ESO.

JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No: 2419

1840 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 106

Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone No: (702) 386-7002 Facsimile No: (702) 386-9135

Email: <u>Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

25

26

27

28

5/13/2020 1:19 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 NEO.I JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES JOHN W. MUIJE, ESO. Nevada Bar No: 2419 1840 E. Sahara Ave #106 Las Vegas, NV 89104 Phone No: (702) 386-7002 Fax No: (702) 386-9135 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 RUSSELL L. NYPE AND REVENUE PLUS, 9 CASE NO: A-16-740689-B LLC 10 DEPT NO: XI Plaintiffs, 11 12 VS. Date of Hearing: March 13, 2020 13 DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS Time of Hearing: IN CHAMBERS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER 14 PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, 15 LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC; 16 MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIBERMAN 17 HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; CASINO 18 COOLIDGE LLC; DOES I through III, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through III, inclusive, 19 Mitchell Defendants. 20 21 22 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER AWARDING ADDITIONAL 23

**Electronically Filed** 

## **DOCUMENTED ATTORNEYS FEES**

TO: ELLIOT S. BLUT, ESQ., of BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C., Attorneys for Defendants Barnet Liberman and Casino Coolidge, LLC

TO: H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ., and JAMES L. EDWARDS, ESQ., of the Law Offices of COHEN, JOHNSON, PARKER & EDWARDS, Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants

1

## PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the ORDER AWARDING ADDITIONAL

**DOCUMENTED ATTORNEYS FEES,** was entered with the Court on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of May

2020, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".

DATED this day of May, 2020.

#### JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

By:

JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No: 2419 1840 E. Sahara Ave #106 Las Vegas, NV 89104 Phone No: (702) 386-7002

Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: <u>Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com</u> Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## JOHN W. MUJE & ASSOCIATES 1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: 702-386-7002 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I certify that I am an employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES and that on the

13 md day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

DENYING AWARDING ADDITONAL DOCUMENTED ATTORNEYS FEES, to be

6 served as follows:

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

□ By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with first-class postage prepaid addressed as follows; and/or



By electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court via the Odyssey E-File and Serve System;

□ By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with firstclass postage prepaid marked certified return receipt requested addressed as follows:

Elliot S. Blut, Esq.

BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C.

300 South Fourth Street, Suite 701

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Defendants

Barnet Liberman and Casino Coolidge,

LLC

H. Stan Johnson, Esq.
James L. Edwards, Esq.
COHEN JOHNSON PARKER &
EDWARDS

375 E. Warm Springs Road, #104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants

An Employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

# EXHIBIT "1"

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATE: 1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: 702-386-7002 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.co: Electronically Filed 5/13/2020 9:39 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 ORDR

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No: 2419

1840 E. Sahara Ave #106

Las Vegas, NV 89104

Phone No: (702) 386-7002 Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

RUSSELL L. NYPE; REVENUE PLUS, LLC, DOES I through X; DOES I through X; DOE CORPORATIONS I through X; and DOES

PARTNERSHIPS I through X,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC; MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIBERMAN HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; CASINO COOLIDGE LLC; DOES I through III, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through III, inclusive,

Mitchell Defendants.

CASE NO: A-16-740689-B

DEPT NO: XI

Date of Hearing: March 13, 2020

Time of Hearing: IN CHAMBERS

## ORDER AWARDING ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTED ATTORNEYS FEES

The Court having considered Plaintiff's moving papers, and the Mitchell Defendants' Opposition thereto and the facts and legal issues duly raised, as well as the other pleadings and documents on file herein and good cause appearing,

1

Case Number: A-16-740689-B

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Court grants

Plaintiffs' Motion as to additional attorneys fees as detailed more fully hereinafter;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the additional costs requested pursuant to Plaintiff's Motion for Award of Attorneys Fees are <u>not</u> awarded by way of this motion, and shall be separately dealt with and accounted for pursuant to the provisions of NRS 18.110 at the appropriate future time;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Court noted on the record, during trial, that as a practical matter there had to be some reasonable cut-off date, which reasonable cut-off date was in fact December 31, 2019;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Court specifically found at page 13 in its Conclusions of Law, paragraph 27, that "Nype may also file a post-judgment motion if appropriate for fees and costs not proven during the trial as special damages."

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Court finds that the exhibits attached to Plaintiff's Motion for Attorneys Fees accurately and reasonably set forth the additional fees and costs not proven at time of trial, and that the Court, after considering the *Brunzell* factors and other items set forth hereinafter, finds that the amounts accounted for and detailed in the motion are accurate, correct, and appropriate.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Mitchell Defendants raised the issue as to whether or not special damages had been adequately plead or were awardable during trial, at the conclusion of Plaintiff's case in chief, and that the Court expressly considered the arguments, and reviewed Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on the record, in open court, during the trial, specifically considering the Plaintiff's operative allegations, and expressly ruled, from the bench, that given the totality of the pleading and the items of

misconduct alleged, Plaintiffs' request for attorneys fees as special damages under both fraudulent conveyance theories, as well as the civil conspiracy theories, was adequately plead, and the allegations were sufficient to put the defendants on notice that Plaintiff was in fact seeking recovery of the attorneys fees proximately caused by defendants' ongoing and continuing misconduct;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiffs point as to the bad faith conduct of litigation by the defendants was also amply proven at trial, perhaps with the best illustration being Exhibit 50042 at pages 5 and 6, where predecessor defense counsel noted and acknowledged an express strategy "to keep kicking the ball down field to delay trial and aggravate Nype...";

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Court further notes that the many many months of delay and significant expense imposed on Nype by the continuous discovery defalcations of defendants, perhaps most blatantly illustrated by the fabrication of fraudulent and false evidence suggesting that relevant financial records were destroyed as a matter of policy;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the Court is well aware of the standards set forth by the Nevada Supreme Court in <u>Brunzell vs. Golden Gate</u>

National Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 349-350, 455 P.2d 31 (1969);

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the Court in fact considered the Brunzell factors in awarding discovery sanctions on or about September 24, 2019, and in fact bore that evaluation, as well as the subsequent conduct of residual discovery and Plaintiffs' preparation for and presentation at trial in mind, in evaluating the *Brunzell* factors as it applies to this case;

. . . .

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiffs' counsel is a long-standing well-respected member of the bar, having graduated from the University of Chicago, and having litigated actively before various departments of the Eighth Judicial District Court for almost four decades, and that his training, education, experience, and professional standing weigh heavily in favor of awarding all fees requested;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the training, education, experience and professional standing of the Reisman Sorokac firm also amply weigh in favor of Plaintiffs' request for fees;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this particular litigation was very difficult, intricate, and of substantial magnitude, requiring substantial time and extraordinary and un-common skills, which factor was further emphasized by the fact that the real estate projects in question involved *inter alia* the development of the Regional Transportation Center and Las Vegas City Hall, making not only the dollar amounts, but the underlying subject matter, prominent and important, all of such factors favoring the award of the attorney fees requested;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the work actually performed by Plaintiffs' lawyers, as well as the skill, time and attention given to the work, amply justify the requested award. In fact the fees requested by Plaintiffs' counsel and his litigation team were detailed in specific itemized billings at reasonable hourly rates, reflecting the fee agreements between Plaintiffs and the various counsel, and that as such, the work actually performed and the attention given to the work also justifies an award of the full fees requested;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED finally, that the result was exemplary and positive, given that Plaintiff prevailed on virtually all major disputed issues in

9

10

11

14

15

16

18

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that based upon all of the above and foregoing, additional attorneys fees, over and above the amount already and previously awarded to Plaintiff incident to the Court's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Judgment of January 16, 2020 (as modestly amended on January 17, 2020) in the amount of \$36,360.00 constituting the additional documented attorneys fees charge by John W. Muije & Associates between January 1, 2020 and February 6, 2020 be and the same hereby are awarded;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that in a similar vein, the additional attorney's fees charged by the Reisman Sorokac law firm between the same dates, totaling \$22,716.00, are also hereby awarded in favor of and to the benefit of the Plaintiffs;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that these additional fees, together with the Court's separately considered award of pre-judgment interest and separately considered award of taxable costs, may be incorporated by Plaintiffs into an Amended

19 .... 20 .... 21 .... 22 .... 23 .... 24 .... 25 .... 26 .... 28 ....

26

27

28

and Final Judgment so as to facilitate the calculation of the total amounts owed, and assist the judicial and clerical processing of future legal documents relating to the amounts awarded by this Court. DATED this 12th day of May Submitted by: 10 JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES 11 12 By: /s/JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ. 13 JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No: 2419 14 1840 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 15 Telephone No: (702) 386-7002 16 Facsimile No: (702) 386-9135 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

24

25

26

27

28

TO:

**Electronically Filed** 5/13/2020 1:19 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 NEOJ JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES JOHN W. MUIJE, ESO. 3 Nevada Bar No: 2419 1840 E. Sahara Ave #106 4 Las Vegas, NV 89104 Phone No: (702) 386-7002 (702) 386-9135 Fax No: Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 RUSSELL L. NYPE AND REVENUE PLUS. 9 CASE NO: A-16-740689-B LLC 10 DEPT NO: XI Plaintiffs, 11 12 VS. Date of Hearing: March 20, 2020 13 DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER 14 Time of Hearing: IN CHAMBERS PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, 15 LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC; 16 MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIBERMAN 17 HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; CASINO 18 COOLIDGE LLC; DOES I through III, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through III, inclusive, 19 Mitchell Defendants. 20 21 22

# NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CORRECT MINOR ERRORS AND INCORPORATE PRE-JUDGMENT INTEREST IN THE FINAL JUDGMENT

TO: ELLIOT S. BLUT, ESQ., of **BLUT LAW GROUP**, **P.C.**, Attorneys for Defendants Barnet Liberman and Casino Coolidge, LLC

H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ., and JAMES L. EDWARDS, ESQ., of the Law Offices of COHEN, JOHNSON, PARKER & EDWARDS, Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the ORDER GRANTING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S

1

### MOTION TO CORRECT MINOR ERRORS AND INCORPORATE PRE-JUDGMENT

INTEREST IN THE FINAL JUDGMENT, was entered with the Court on the 12th day of May

2020, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_\_day of May, 2020.

#### JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

y: \_\_\_\_\_

IOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No: 2419

1840 E. Sahara Ave #106

Las Vegas, NV 89104

Phone No: (702) 386-7002

Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: <u>Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## JOHN W. MUJE & ASSOCIATES 1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: 702-386-7002 Email: Jmuije@mujjelawoffice.com

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I certify that I am an employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES and that on the 

13th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

15th day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

- □ By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with first-class postage prepaid addressed as follows; and/or
- By electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court via the Odyssey E-File and Serve System;
- By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with firstclass postage prepaid marked certified return receipt requested addressed as follows:

Elliot S. Blut, Esq.

BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C.
300 South Fourth Street, Suite 701

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Defendants

Barnet Liberman and Casino Coolidge,

LLC

H. Stan Johnson, Esq.
James L. Edwards, Esq.
COHEN JOHNSON PARKER &
EDWARDS

375 E. Warm Springs Road, #104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants

An Employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

# EXHIBIT 661"

1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: 702-386-7002 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

**Electronically Filed** 5/13/2020 8:57 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No: 2419 1840 E. Sahara Ave #106

4 Las Vegas, NV 89104 Phone No: (702) 386-7002 Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

#### DISTRICT COURT

#### CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

RUSSELL L. NYPE; REVENUE PLUS, LLC, DOES I through X; DOES I through X; DOE CORPORATIONS I through X; and DOES PARTNERSHIPS I through X,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 ORDR

DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK. LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC; MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIBERMAN HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; CASINO COOLIDGE LLC; DOES I through III, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through III, inclusive.

Mitchell Defendants.

CASE NO: A-16-740689-B

DEPT NO: XI

Date of Hearing: March 20, 2020

Time of Hearing: IN CHAMBERS

## ORDER GRANTING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CORRECT MINOR ERRORS AND INCORPORATE PRE-JUDGMENT INTEREST IN THE FINAL JUDGMENT

The Court having considered Plaintiff's moving papers, and the Mitchell Defendants' Opposition thereto and having considered the information provided, as well as the other pleadings 28 and documents on file herein and good cause appearing,

Case Number: A-16-740689-B

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiffs' Motion to Correct Minor Errors and Incorporate Pre-Judgment Interest and Final Judgment be and the same hereby is GRANTED in part;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the clerical correction of substituting a comma for a period at page 12, paragraph 22 in the Court's original Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law be and the same hereby is GRANTED, and the monetary amounts set forth in the Final Judgment shall properly follow correct numerical format and remove the typographical error noted;

grants Plaintiffs' Motion for an award of pre-judgment interest at the statutory rate, and accordingly the sum of \$945,131.80 in accrued pre-judgment interest shall be awarded as to the fraudulent conveyance damages, and the sum of \$2,961,085.24 in accrued pre-judgment interest shall be awarded as to the civil conspiracy damages award, both amounts accrued through and including January 16, 2020.

includ

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the balance of the			
2	requests contained in Plaintiffs' motion be and the same is hereby DENIED.			
3	DATED this 12th day of May , 2020.			
4	, 2020.			
5	SI WILL D			
6	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE			
7	Sister together			
8	Submitted by:			
9	JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES			
10				
11				
12	JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No: 2419 1840 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone No: (702) 386-7002 Facsimile No: (702) 386-9135			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17	Thorneys for 1 tanings			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				

5/14/2020 11:23 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT NEO.J 11 JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No: 2419 1840 E. Sahara Ave #106 4 Las Vegas, NV 89104 Phone No: (702) 386-7002 (702) 386-9135 Fax No: Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs 7 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 RUSSELL L. NYPE AND REVENUE PLUS. CASE NO: A-16-740689-B LLC 10 DEPT NO: XI Plaintiffs, 11 12 VS. Date of Hearing: February 24, 2020 13 DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m. VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER 14 PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, 15 LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC; 16 MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIBERMAN 17 HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC; LIVE WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; CASINO 18 COOLIDGE LLC; DOES I through III, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through III, inclusive, 19 Mitchell Defendants. 20 21 22 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING IN PART, MOTION TO RETAX AND SETTLE COSTS 23 24 ELLIOT S. BLUT, ESQ., of BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C., Attorneys for Defendants TO: Barnet Liberman and Casino Coolidge, LLC 25 TO: H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ., and JAMES L. EDWARDS, ESQ., of the Law Offices of 26 COHEN, JOHNSON, PARKER & EDWARDS, Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants 27

1

**Electronically Filed** 

### PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the ORDER GRANTING IN PART, MOTION TO

**RETAX AND SETTLE COSTS,** was entered with the Court on the 14<sup>th</sup> day of May 2020, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".

DATED this Hay of May, 2020.

### JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

By:

JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No: 2419 1840 E. Sahara Ave #106 Las Vegas, NV 89104

Phone No: (702) 386-7002 Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES 1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: 702-386-7002 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I certify that I am an employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES and that on the

day of May, 2020, I caused the foregoing document, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

GRANTING IN PART, MOTION TO RETAX AND SETTLE COSTS, to be served as

follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

□ By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with first-class postage prepaid addressed as follows; and/or



By electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court via the Odyssey E-File and Serve System;

□ By placing a copy of the same for mailing in the United States mail, with firstclass postage prepaid marked certified return receipt requested addressed as follows:

Elliot S. Blut, Esq. **BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C.** 

300 South Fourth Street, Suite 701 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Defendants Barnet Liberman and Casino Coolidge, LLC H. Stan Johnson, Esq. James L. Edwards, Esq.

## COHEN JOHNSON PARKER & EDWARDS

375 E. Warm Springs Road, #104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Attorneys for Mitchell Defendants

Fern M. Vitman

An Employee of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

# EXHIBIT "1"

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES 1840 E. Sahara Ave., #106 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Telephone: 702-386-7002 Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com Electronically Filed 5/14/2020 7:44 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

<sup>2</sup> JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

3 Nevada Bar No: 2419

1840 E. Sahara Ave #106

4 Las Vegas, NV 89104

Phone No: (702) 386-7002

Fax No: (702) 386-9135

Email: <u>Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

RUSSELL L. NYPE; REVENUE PLUS, LLC, DOES I through X; DOES I through X; DOE CORPORATIONS I through X; and DOES PARTNERSHIPS I through X,

Plaintiffs.

VS.

DAVID J. MITCHELL; BARNET LIBERMAN; LAS VEGAS LAND PARTNERS, LLC; MEYER PROPERTY, LTD.; ZOE PROPERTY, LLC; LEAH PROPERTY, LLC; WINK ONE, LLC; LIVE WORK, LLC; LIVE WORK MANAGER, LLC; AQUARIUS

OWNER, LLC; LVLP HOLDINGS, LLC; MITCHELL HOLDINGS, LLC; LIBERMAN HOLDINGS, LLC; 305 LAS VEGAS, LLC; LIVE

WORKS TIC SUCCESSOR, LLC; CASINO COOLIDGE LLC; DOES I through III, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through III, inclusive,

Mitchell Defendants.

**CASE NO:** A-16-740689-B

**DEPT NO:** XI

Date of Hearing: February 24, 2020

Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m.

## ORDER GRANTING, IN PART, MOTION TO RETAX AND SETTLE COSTS

This matter coming on for hearing on February 24, 2020 at the hour of 9:00 a.m.,

Plaintiffs, RUSSELL L. NYPE AND REVENUE PLUS, LLC, being represented by JOHN W.

MUIJE, ESQ., of the Law Firm of JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES, ELLIOT S. BLUT,

ESQ., of the Law Firm of BLUT LAW GROUP, P.C., Attorneys for Defendants BARNET

Case Number: A-16-740689-B

LIBERMAN and CASINO COOLIDGE, LLC, and David J. Mitchell and the Mitchell Defendants, being represented by H. Stan Johnson, Esq. and James L. Edwards, Esq., of the Law Firm of COHEN JOHNSON PARKER & EDWARDS, the Court having reviewed and considered the points and authorities, the exhibits in support thereof, and the various pleadings and documents on file herein and having considered oral argument and good cause appearing.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Court has reviewed the disputed items of costs in question and hereby orders that the sum of THIRTEEN THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED EIGHTY-SEVEN DOLLARS AND FIFTY CENTS (\$13,387.50), part of the totals charged by Litigation Services, Inc., shall be disallowed and not recoverable;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the balance of the Litigation Services, Inc.'s invoices and charges, i.e., THIRTY-TWO THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED TEN DOLLARS AND FIFTY-TWO CENTS (\$32,710.52) shall be allowed and awardable to Plaintiffs as taxable costs;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that as regards the service of process expenses questioned by the defendants, the monthly service charge assessed by Legal Wings in the sum of TWO HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO CENTS (\$250.00) shall be disallowed and not bee recoverable:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, however, that the actual service of process costs, as documented by Plaintiffs and the itemized invoices rendered by Legal Wings, Inc., totaling ONE THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED SIXTY-ONE DOLLARS AND NO CENTS (\$1,161.00) shall be allowed and awardable to Plaintiff's as taxable costs;

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the two other items claimed in Plaintiffs' Memo of Costs as filed on January 21, 2020, totaling \$11,831.22 were not disputed and are therefore allowed and awarded as taxable costs;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, Defendants not having challenged Plaintiffs' Supplemental Memorandum of Costs as filed on January 29, 2020, totaling NINE HUNDRED SIXTY-TWO DOLLARS AND FIFTY CENTS (\$962.50) as regards the deposition transcript of Mark Rich, shall be allowed and awardable as a taxable cost;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, taking account of all of the specific rulings set forth above, that Plaintiffs' are allowed taxable and recoverable costs as documented and filed in accordance with NRS Chapter 18 as of January 31, 2020, in the total amount of FORTY-SIX THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED FIFTY-FIVE DOLLARS AND TWENTY-FOUR CENTS (\$46,655.24).

**DATED** this 14<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2020.

District Cour Judge, Dept

Submitted by:

JOHN W. MUIJE & ASSOCIATES

By: /s/ JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No: 2419

1840 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 106

Las Vegas, Nevada 89104

Telephone No: (702) 386-7002

Facsimile No: (702) 386-9135

Email: Jmuije@muijelawoffice.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**Electronically Filed** 12/3/2019 1:57 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

#### DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA \* \* \* \* \*

RUSSELL NYPE, et al.

Plaintiffs CASE NO. A-16-749689-B

vs.

DEPT. NO. XI

DAVID MITCHELL, et al.

Transcript of Defendants . Proceedings

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GONZALEZ, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

### HEARING ON MOTION TO INTERVENE

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 2019

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

FOR THE DEFENDANTS: H. STANLEY JOHNSON, ESQ.

EDGAR SMITH, ESQ.

COURT RECORDER: TRANSCRIPTION BY:

JILL HAWKINS FLORENCE HOYT

District Court Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript

produced by transcription service.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 2019, 9:04 A.M. 1 2 (Court was called to order) 3 THE COURT: If I could go to Nype versus Mitchell. Good morning, Judge. John Muije 4 MR. MUIJE: 5 appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs and proposed plaintiff in intervention Shelly Crone, U.S. Bankruptcy Trustee for the 6 7 Estate --8 THE COURT: Did you get the opposition? 9 MR. MUIJE: I did see the opposition this morning at 8:00 o'clock, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 11 MR. JOHNSON: Stan Johnson on behalf of the 12 13 defendants. Mr. Muije, it's your motion. 14 THE COURT: Good morning, Your Honor. Edward Smith 15 MR. SMITH: 16 of counsel to Eliot Blut's office for Barnet Liberman and Casino Coolidge LLC. 17 18 THE COURT: Good morning. 19 MR. SMITH: Good morning. 20 MR. MUIJE: 'Morning, Judge. The point with Mr. Johnson's opposition is it's just too little too late. 21 22 Court will recall that on September 4th the Court chose to 23 entertain an emergency motion to stay these proceedings. 24 that time Mr. Schwartzer, our bankruptcy counsel, Mr. Nype's 25 bankruptcy counsel, was present with me. The Court heard

argument from both Mr. Johnson and Mr. Schwartzer. These concerns were addressed. The Court ordered that the matter was stayed as to Las Vegas Land Partners, LLC, but not to anybody else.

On the record -- and, unfortunately, I don't have a transcript of it, but I do have notes which I reviewed this morning. On the record the Court expressly ruled that the fraudulent conveyance claims belong to the bankruptcy estate, the other claims all belonged to Mr. Nype and Revenue Plus and could proceed in this court.

So strike on, Mr. Johnson. He had an opportunity to argue, the Court heard it, the Court ruled. Basically it's issue preclusion.

But there's a second step, Your Honor. Before we even came here today, back in -- about a month ago Ms. Crone filed an application that was filed on October 24th to inform employ me as her special counsel for purposes of representing Las Vegas Land Partners' interest in the fraudulent conveyance action. Part of that declaration and part of that motion included the proposed fee agreement and the proposed contract between Mr. Nype and Revenue Plus on the one hand and the trustee and the estate on the other, which expressly discussed the various claims, the allocation of those claims, and the ultimate distribution of any recoveries on those claims. A copy of that contract and fee agreement was attached to the

application, it was duly served on Mr. Johnson. No objection was raised, and the bankruptcy judge says, great, we approve it, you're welcome to represent the trustee, and the trustee I believe -- I haven't had a chance to read the whole 40-, 50-page application -- represented to the court it was her intention to come here and pursue these claims in the pending action. Judicial economy, efficiency, this case is ready for trial in six weeks. And that is the bankruptcy law, Your Honor. The bankruptcy judge can choose to proceed where she wants. So even if Mr. Johnson were right that the alter ego claims somehow belong to the bankruptcy estate, the trustee still gets to come over here. But he's wrong. And, unfortunately, having seen his opposition only an hour ago and having had two prior opportunities, two bites at the apple which he chose not to exercise, I didn't have an opportunity to alert Mr. Schwartzer, I didn't have an opportunity to pull my one-inch research file on alter ego not belonging to the bankruptcy estate, but it doesn't.

So clearly the trustee has an interest in the outcome of these proceedings. Court's already been alerted to that and approved that proceeding. Clearly the bankruptcy trustee has hired me and would like to proceed here. So we would ask that the motion to intervene be granted.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Johnson.

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

4

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.

It's true the trustee can come to State Court under State Court law if the trustee chooses to do that. However, as was pointed out in our brief, it's very clear in the Ninth Circuit and with our Bankruptcy Court and also the BAP, which we've cited in our brief, it's clear that this is an exclusive right to the trustee. When the cause of action belongs to the estate, it's exclusive. And the Ninth Circuit has said other creditors cannot bring an action at the same time or in place of what the trustee does, because it is exclusive.

What they're trying to do here is basically have two complaints at the same time, one for Mr. Nype. A complaint in intervention by the trustee is going to cause confusion.

There's different issues with each --

THE COURT: It's a bench trial. Who is it going to confuse?

MR. JOHNSON: Well, it's different issues of law, though.

THE COURT: I got that part.

MR. JOHNSON: And I understand the Court can handle that. I'm sure you can handle that. But it's confusing to us, let's put it that way.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JOHNSON: Because there are different statutes in play, depending on which one. And alter ego is clearly

part of the causes of action that belong to the trustee. We've cited numerous cases that have said that. It's very clear. It's as clear as fraudulent conveyance. They always go together. Whenever there's actions for fraudulent conveyance, usually alter ego, it's uniform throughout the Ninth Circuit that that cause of action belongs to the estate. The other causes of action are really derivative from that that Mr. Nype has brought. So that's why we feel Mr. Nype no longer has standing to pursue those causes of action. And the reason the court -- to address one of the issues Mr. Muije raised, the reason the court went ahead with that hearing was because the court said that was more in lines of a contempt motion, which there is an exception for the automatic stay. But that's really it. The court didn't make any type of other ruling other than the court did specifically rule that that cause of action does belong -- fraudulent conveyance does belong to the trustee. THE COURT: Because you're seeking of the assets of the estate. MR. JOHNSON: Correct. THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? MR. JOHNSON: That's it. THE COURT: Okay. Anything, Mr. Smith? MR. SMITH: Nothing, Your Honor.

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you.

THE COURT:

1	Mr. Muije, anything else?				
2	MR. MUIJE: Just one point, Your Honor. Again, I				
3	didn't take the liberty of ordering a transcript of that				
4	emergency hearing. We had ordered the transcript of the				
5	evidentiary hearing, but apparently your staff had bifurcated				
6	them. I can happily get the bankruptcy				
7	THE COURT: Mr. Muije, I don't need it.				
8	MR. MUIJE: Okay.				
9	THE COURT: Thank you.				
10	MR. MUIJE: Thank you.				
11	THE COURT: The motion is granted. That does not				
12	preclude Mr. Mitchell and/or any other defendant from moving				
13	to dismiss the complaint in intervention that is filed by the				
14	trustee.				
15	Mr. Muije, can you make sure that gets filed today.				
16	MR. MUIJE: I had an order with me, Your Honor. I'm				
17	making a copy available to Mr. Johnson and to distinguished				
18	counsel for Mr. Liberman. May I approach?				
19	THE COURT: You may. And I've executed this in open				
20	court so you can get it all filed.				
21	Have a great day. 'Bye.				
22	MR. MUIJE: Thank you, Your Honor.				
23	THE PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 9:11 A.M.				
24	* * * *				
25					

CERT	IFI	CAT	ION
------	-----	-----	-----

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

### **AFFIRMATION**

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

FLORENCE HOYT Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Florence M. Hoyt	11/20/19
FLORENCE HOYT, TRANSCRIBER	DATE