

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAVID J. MITCHELL; ET AL.;

Appellants,

vs.

RUSSELL L. NYPE; REVENUE
PLUS, LLC; AND SHELLEY D.
KROHN,

Respondents

Supreme Court Case No. 80693

District Court No. 16-740689B

Electronically Filed
Mar 19 2021 09:22 a.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

\$ 33 (// \$ 17 6 \$ 33 (1 ' , ; ± VOLUME ; 9 2) ; ; , ;

COHEN JOHNSON

H. STAN JOHNSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 00265

sjohnson@cohenjohnson.com

KEVIN M. JOHNSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 14551

kjohnson@cohenjohnson.com

375 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 104

Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Telephone: (702) 823500

Facsimile: (702) 823400

Attorney for Appellants David J. Mitchell,
Meyer Property Ltd., Zoe Property, LLC,
Leah Property, LLC, Wink One, LLC,
Aquarius Owner, LLC, LVLP Holdings,
LLC, and Live Works Tic Successor, LLC

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS TO
\$ 33 (// \$ 176 ¶ \$ 33 (1', ;

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| 7/26/16 | Complaint (Original) | I | AA 1-19 |
| 2/27/17 | Proofs of Service | I | AA 20-48 |
| 3/23/17 | ' H I H Q G D Q W V ¶ 0 R W L R (I Jury Demand | I | AA 49-59 |
| 4/6/17 | ' H I H Q G D Q W V ¶ s 0 I B W L R (I 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ & R P S O D | I | AA 60-88 |
| 4/17/17 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 2 S S R V L ' I Motion to Strike Jury Demand; CounterMotion for Advisory Jury | I | AA 89-151 |
| 4/25/17 | ' H I H Q G D Q W V ¶ 5 H S O \ ' I Opposition to CounterMotion for Advisory Jury | I | AA 152-162 |
| 5/24/17 | 1 (2 U H ' H I H Q G D Q W V ¶ I and CounterMotion for Advisory Jury | I | AA 163-169 |
| 6/14/17 | 3 O D L Q W S S R V ¶ W L R Q W I II Motion to Dismiss | II | AA 170-268 |
| 7/6/17 | DefenG D Q W V ¶ 5 H S O \ W R II Dismiss | II | AA 269-292 |
| 7/18/17 | Business Court Order | II | AA 293-297 |
| 8/9/17 | 1 (2 U H ' H I H Q G D Q W V ¶ II Dismiss | II | AA 298-306 |
| 8/21/17 | Amended Complaint | II | AA 307-340 |
| 9/5/17 | Answer to Amended Complaint | II | AA 341-351 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| 9/8/17 | Answer to Amended Complaint [Lieberman and 305 Las Vegas] | II | AA 352-361 |
| 10/24/17 | Joint Case Conference Report [Partial Document Only] | III | AA 362-470 |
| 2/15/18 | NEO re: Continue Discovery [First] | III | AA 471-478 |
| 2/20/18 | Business Court Order [Amended] | III | AA 479-481 |
| 2/21/18 | NEO re: Stipulated Protective Order | III | AA 482-489 |
| 4/19/18 | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ Compel Discovery | IV | AA 490-725 |
| 4/26/18 | - R L Q G H U W R 0 L W F K H O to Compel Discovery [Lieberman and 305 Las Vegas] | IV | AA 726-728 |
| 5/11/18 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 2 S S R V L ' ' H I H Q G D Q W V ¶ 0 R W L R O Discovery; Counters Motion for Disclosure of Unredacted Emails [Partial Document Only] | V | AA 729-795 |
| 5/30/18 | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ to Compel Discovery | V | AA 796-828 |
| 5/30/18 | - R L Q G H U W R 0 L W F K H O to Motion to Compel Discovery | V | AA 829-831 |
| 6/5/18 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 2 S S R V L ' 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ Compel Discovery and Counters Motion for Disclosure of Unredacted Emails | V | AA 832-861 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| 6/19/18 | 1 (2 UH 0LWFKHOO 'H WR & RPSHO 'LVFRYHL Counter Motion | V | AA 862-868 |
| 7/3/18 | 1 (2 UH 3ODLQWLIIV ¶ Application for OSC | V | AA 869-878 |
| 7/17/18 | Amended Business Court Order | V | AA 879-882 |
| 7/30/18 | Second Amended Business Court | V | AA 883-885 |
| 11/7/18 | Court Minutes November 7, 2018 | V | AA 886-887 |
| 11/20/18 | NEO re: Continue Discovery (Secc | V | AA 888-894 |
| 11/30/18 | NEO re: Dismissal of Defendant, Liberman Holdings | V | AA 895-902 |
| 5/30/19 | 1 (2 UH 3ODLQWLIIV ¶ Discovery | V | AA 903-914 |
| 8/23/19 | 'HIHQGDQW ¶ V /DV for Summary Judgment | V | AA 915-936 |
| 8/28/19 | Notice of Filing Bankruptcy | V | AA 937-939 |
| 9/23/19 | NEO re: Discovery Sanctions | V | AA 940-952 |
| 10/7/19 | 3ODLQWLSIRV ¶ WLRQ WI 305 Las Vegas, Motion for Summa Judgment | VI | AA 953-980 |
| 10/17/19 | 'HIHQGDQW ¶ Vegas, Reply to Motion for Summary Judgment | VI | AA 981-991 |
| 11/12/19 | Receipt of Copy | VI | AA 992-993 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| 11/12/19 | Motion to Intervene | VI | AA 994-1036 |
| 11/16/19 | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ Motion to Intervene | VI | AA 1037-1045 |
| 11/18/19 | NEO re: Motion to Intervene | VI | AA 1046-1051 |
| 11/18/19 | Complaint in Intervention | VI | AA 1052-1082 |
| 11/19/19 | Errata to Complaint in Intervention | VI | AA 1083-1088 |
| 11/21/19 | NEO re:Redactions and Sealing | VI | AA 1089-1094 |
| 11/21/19 | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment | VI | AA 1095-1123 |
| 12/9/19 | Answer to Complaint in Intervention [305 Las Vegas] | VI | AA 1124-1133 |
| 12/12/19 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 2 S S R V L ' H I H Q G D Q W V ¶ 0 R W L R (the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment | VI | AA 1134-1155 |
| 12/19/19 | Answer to Complaint in Intervention [Mitchell Defendants] | VI | AA 1156-1160 |
| 12/19/19 | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Moti for Summary Judgment | VI | AA 1161-1170 |
| 12/23/19 | Answer to Complaint in Intervention [Lieberman and Casino Coolidge] | VI | AA 1171-1179 |
| 12/26/19 | Satisfaction of Judgment | VI | AA 1180-1182 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| 12/27/19 | Joint PreTrial Memorandum [Partial Document Only] | VI | AA 1183-1202 |
| 1/16/20 | NOE Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment [Original] | VII | AA 1203-1220 |
| 1/17/19 | NOE Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment [Amended] | VII | AA 1221-1238 |
| 2/6/20 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 0 R W L R Q | VII | AA 1239-1289 |
| 2/13/20 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 0 R W L R Q Errors and incorporate Prejudgment Interest | VII | AA 1290-1324 |
| 2/14/20 | Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge] | VII | AA 1325-1352 |
| 2/14/20 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 2 S S ¶ V L Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge] | VII | AA 1353-1370 |
| 2/14/20 | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ Alter/Amend Judgment | VII | AA 1371-1391 |
| 2/20/20 | - R L Q G H U W R 0 L W F K H O to Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge] | VII | AA 1392-1394 |
| 2/20/20 | Reply to Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge] | VII | AA 1395-1401 |
| 2/20/20 | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 0 R W L R Q | VII | AA 1402-1408 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| 2/20/20 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 1268 R\ L Alter/Amend Judgment [All Parties] | VII | AA 1409-1434 |
| 2/24/20 | NEO re: Directed Verdict and Judgment for Defendant, 305 Las Vegas | VII | AA 1435-1439 |
| 2/25/20 | Notice of Appeal [Liberman and Casino Coolidge] | VII | AA 1440-1442 |
| 2/26/20 | Notice of Appeal [Mitchell Defendants] | VIII | AA 1443-1460 |
| 2/27/20 | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 0 R W L R Q Errors and Incorporate Pre Judgment Interest | VIII | AA 1461-1467 |
| 3/6/20 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 5 H S O \ V \$ W W R U Q H \ ¶ V) H H V | VIII | AA 1468-1475 |
| 3/13/20 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 5 H S O \ V Minor Errors and Incorporate Pre Judgment Interest | VIII | AA 1476-1482 |
| 3/30/20 | NEO re: Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Casino Coolidge] | VIII | AA 1483-1488 |
| 3/30/20 | NEO re: Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Mitchell Defendants] | VIII | AA 1489-1494 |
| 3/30/20 | NEO re: Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge] | VIII | AA 1492-1500 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|--------------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| 5/13/20 | 1 (2 UH 3 ODLQWLIIV ¶ \$ WWRUQH \ ¶ V) HHV | VIII | AA 1501-1510 |
| 5/13/20 | 1 (2 UH 3 ODLQWLIIV ¶ Minor Errors and Incorporate Pre Judgment Interest | VIII | AA 1511-1517 |
| 5/14/20 | NEO re:Motion to Retax and Settle Costs | VIII | AA 1518-1524 |
| <u>TRANSCRIPTS</u> | | | |
| 11/18/19 | Court Transcript November 18, 2019 [Motion to Intervene] | VIII | AA 1525-1532 |
| 12/30/19 | Trial Transcript - Day 1 [December 30, 2019] | IX | AA 1533-1697 |
| 12/31/19 | Trial Transcript - Day 2 [December 31, 2019] | X | AA 1698-1785 |
| 1/2/20 | Trial Transcript - Day 3 [January 2, 2020] | XI | AA 1786-1987 |
| 1/3/20 | Trial Transcript - Day 4 [January 3, 2020] | XII | AA 1988-2163 |
| 1/6/20 | Trial Transcript - Day 5 [January 6, 2020] | XIII | AA 2164-2303 |
| 1/7/20 | Trial Transcript - Day 6 [January 7, 2020] | XIV | AA 2304-2421 |
| 2/4/20 | Court Transcript February 4, 2020 [Motions to Alter/Amend] | XV | AA 2422-2456 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|------------------------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| <u>TRIAL EXHIBITS</u> | | | |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q T W A L L E x h i b i t 1 [Ownerships Interests] | XV | AA 2457 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q T W A L L E x h i b i t 3 [LVLP Organization Documents] | XV | AA 2458-2502 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q T W A L L E x h i b i t 9 [Live Work, LLG Nevada SOS] | XV | AA 2503-2505 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q T W A L L E x h i b i t 10 [Live Work Organization Documer] | XV | AA 2506-2558 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q T W A L L E x h i b i t 12 [Term Restructure ForesCity] | XV | AA 2559-2563 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q T W A L L E x h i b i t 17 [305 Las Vegas Entity Details] | XV | AA 2564-2566 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q T W A L L E x h i b i t 18 [305 Las Vegas Organization Documents] | XV | AA 2567-2570 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q T W A L L E x h i b i t 19 [305 Second Avenue Associates Entity Details] | XV | AA 2571-2572 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q T W A L L E x h i b i t 20 [305 Las VegasCertificate of Formation] | XV | AA 2573-2574 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q T W A L L E x h i b i t 21 [305 Las VegasOperating Agreement] | XV | AA 2575-2597 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 23 [List Managers- 305 Las Vegas] | XV | AA 2598 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 30 [Casino Coolidge Articles of Organization] | XV | AA 2599-2603 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 34 [Live Work - Organization Document] | XV | AA 2604-2657 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 38 [Wink One- Organization Documents] | XV | AA 2658-2660 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 43 [L/W TIC Successor Operating Agreement] | XVI | AA 2661-2672 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 44 [Meyer Property Operating Agreement] | XVI | AA 2673-2677 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 45 [Leah Property Consents] | XVI | AA 2678-2693 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 40001 [Settlement Statement-Casino Coolidge] | XVI | AA 2694 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 40002 [Aquarius Settlement Statement] | XVI | AA 2695-2702 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 40006 [Live Work Settlement Statement] | XVI | AA 2703-2704 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 40007 [Final Settlement Statement-Forest City] | XVI | AA 2705-2707 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 40040 [Deed- Casino Coolidge] | XVI | AA 2708-2709 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 40041 [Deeds- Casino Coolidge] | XVI | AA 2710-2714 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 40042 [Deeds- Casino Coolidge] | XVI | AA 2715-2730 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 40046 [Personal Guaranty Lease] | XVI | AA 2731-2739 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 40047 [Personal Guaranty Lease] | XVI | AA 2740-2747 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 50001 [Underlying Complaint: A07-551073] | XVI | AA 2748-2752 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 50002 [Underlying First Amended Complain and Counter Claim: A-07-551073] | XVI | AA 2753-2766 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 50006 [Underlying Action: FFCL] | XVI | AA 2767-2791 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 50007 [Underlying Judgment: A07-551073] | XVI | AA 2792-2794 |
| Undated | Plaintiff V Trial Exhibit 50008 [Underlying Amended Judgment] | XVI | AA 2795-2797 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 50037 [Rich Supplemental Expert Report] | XVI | AA 2798-2825 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 50040 [Settlement Agreement Heartland] | XVI | AA 2826-2878 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r v a l E k h b i t 50042 [Mitchell Response Bar Fee Dispute] | XVI | AA 2879-2900 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r v a l E k h b i t 60002 [Emails] | XVI | AA 2901 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r v a l E k h b i t 60005 [Emails] | XVI | AA 2902-2904 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r v a l E k h b i t 70003 [Disregarded Entities] | XVI | AA 2905-2906 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r v a l E k h b i t 70023 [LVLP Holdings Entities] | XVI | AA 2907 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r v a l E k h b i t 70030 [Underlying Action- Discovery Request] | XVII | AA 2908-2917 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r v a l E k h b i t 70036 > 5 H L V P D Q \$ W W R U Q H \ | XVII | AA 2918-2943 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r v a l E k h b i t 70037 > 5 H L V P D Q \$ W W R U Q H \ | XVII | AA 2944-2950 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r v a l E k h b i t 70038 > 5 H L V P D Q \$ W W R U Q H \ | XVII | AA 2951-2954 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r v a l E k h b i t 70042 [New Jersey Fees/Costs] | XVII | AA 2955-2968 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r v a l E k h b i t 70045 > 5 L F K ¶ V) H H V @ | XVII | AA 2969-3033 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r v a l E k h b i t 70052 [Document List- LVLP] | XVII | AA 3034-3037 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r i a l E k h b i t 70053 > 5 L F K ¶ V) H H V @ | XVII | AA 3038-3044 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r i a l E k h b i t 70054 > 5 L F K ¶ V) H H V @ | XVII | AA 3045 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r i a l E k h b i t 70055 > 0 X L M H \$ W W R U Q H \ ¶ V | XVIII | AA 3046-3220 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r i a l E k h b i t 70056 > 0 X L M H \$ W W R U Q H \ ¶ V | XVIII | AA 3221-3228 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r i a l E k h b i t 70060 [Underlying Judgment & Interest] | XVIII | AA 3229-3230 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r i a l E k h b i t 70062 > \$ W W R U Q H \ ¶ V) H H V & | XVIII | AA 3231 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r i a l E k h b i t 70063 > 5 L F K ¶ V) H H V @ | XVIII | AA 3232-3237 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r i a l E k h b i t 70064 > 5 H L V P D Q \$ W W R U Q H \ | XVIII | AA 3238-3240 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r i a l E k h b i t 70065 > 5 H L V P D Q \$ W W R U Q H \ | XVIII | AA 3241-3243 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r i a l E k h b i t 70067 [Muije \$ W W R U Q H \ ¶ V) H H | XVIII | AA 3244-3263 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r i a l E k h b i t 70075 > \$ W W R U Q H \ ¶ V) H H V & | XIX | AA 3264-3359 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r i a l E k h b i t 70076 > 5 H L V P D Q \$ W W R U Q H \ | XIX | AA 3360-3375 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r i a l E k h b i t 70077 [Reisman AttoU Q H \ ¶ V) H H V @ | XIX | AA 3376 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 3 O D L Q W A L E X H B F 70078 > 5 L F K ¶ V) H H V @ | XIX | AA 33773463 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q W A L E X H B F 70079 > 0 X L M H \$ W W R U Q H \ ¶ V | XIX | AA 34643511 |
| Undated | 0 L W F K T H A D E X ¶ M i t 90054 [Surender/Termination Agreement] | XX | AA 35123516 |
| Undated | 0 L W F K T H A D E X ¶ M i t 90069 [Release of Lease Guaranty] | XX | AA 35173521 |
| Undated | 0 L W F K T H A D E X ¶ M i t 90075 [FC/LW- Entity Details] | XX | AA 35223524 |
| Undated | M i t F K H T D i a ¶ E x h i b i t 90079 [10th NRCP 16.1 Disclosures: Underlying Action] | XX | AA 35253543 |

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS OF SEALED VOLUMES

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| 1/19/18 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶) L U V W 6 16.1 Disclosure [Sealed] | XXI | SAA 1-72 |
| 1/27/20 | Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Casino Coolidge] [Sealed] | XXII | SAA 73-323 |
| 1/27/20 | Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Casino Coolidge] [Continued] [Sealed] | XXIII | SAA 324-513 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 2 [Aquarius Owner/LVLP] [Sealed] | XXIII | SAA 514-547 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 27 [Meadows Bank Statement] [Partial Document Only] [Sealed] | XXIII | SAA 548 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 32 [Casino Coolidge Operating Agreement] [Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 549-578 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 35 [Live Work Manager Company Documents] [Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 579-582 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 40 [Wink One Company Documents] [Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 583-588 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 52 [FC Live Work Company Documents [Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 589-659 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 10002 [LVLP Holdings 2007 Tax Return] [Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 660-677 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | Plaintiffs Trial Exhibit 10003 [LVLP Holdings 2008 Tax Return] [Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 678-692 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 10004 [LVLP Holdings 2009 Tax Return] [Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 693-709 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 20024 [Signature Bank 2015-2016][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 710-742 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 20026 [Signature Bank April 2015][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 743 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 30002 [LVLP G/L 2007][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 744 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 30031 [LVLP G/L 2008][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 745-764 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 30062 [Mitchell Contributions][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 765-770 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 30063 [Capital Contributions][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 771-774 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 30066 [Unallocated Contributions][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 775 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 30067 [Mitchell Amounts Paid][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 776-780 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 30086 [Mitchell Loan Balances][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 781-783 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 30087 [Lieberman Loan Balances][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 784-786 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 40043 [Release of Lease Guaranty] [Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 787-789 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 50038 [Wall Street Settlement Agreement] [Sealed] | XXV | SAA 790-820 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 60001 [Wall Street Engagement Letter] [Sealed] | XXV | SAA 821-825 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 60053 [Rich Working Papers] [Partial Document Only] [Sealed] | XXV | SAA 826-1039 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 60053 [Rich Working Papers] [Partial Document Only] [Continued] [Sealed] | XXVI | SAA 1040-1289 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 60053 [Rich Working Papers] [Partial Document Only] [Continued] [Sealed] | XXVII | SAA 1290-1414 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 70009 [Lieberman Contributions] [Sealed] | XXVII | SAA 1415-1418 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 70015 [Mitchell Contributions] [Sealed] | XXVII | SAA 1419-1422 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 70021 [LVLP Balance Sheet 2015] [Sealed] | XXVII | SAA 1423 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 70043 [Rich Initial Expert Report] [Sealed] | XXVIII | SAA 1424-1673 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q Trial Exhibit 70043 [Rich Initial Expert Report] [Continued] [Sealed] | XXIX | SAA 1674-1704 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 3 O D L Qva EEkhv 70072 [LVLP G/L 2011][Sealed] | XXIX | SAA 17051712 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qva EEkhv 70074 [LVLP Adjusted Entries 2012][Sealed] | XXIX | SAA 17131714 |
| Undated | 0 L W F KthCEOnp 90001 [Forest City Agreement][Sealed] | XXIX | SAA 17151807 |
| Undated | 0 L W F KthCEOnp 90052 [Casino Coolidge Title Documents] [Sealed] | XXIX | SAA 18081820 |

ALPHABETICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS TO \$ 3 3 (// \$ 1 7 6 ¶ \$ 3 3 (1

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|--------------------|--|--------------------|------------------------|
| 7/17/18 | Amended Business Court Order | V | AA 879-882 |
| 8/21/17 | Amended Complaint | II | AA 307-340 |
| 9/5/17 | Answer to Amended Complaint | II | AA 341-351 |
| 9/8/17 | Answer to Amended Complaint [Lieberman and 305 Las Vegas] | II | AA 352-361 |
| 12/9/19 | Answer to Complaint in Intervention [305 Las Vegas] | VI | AA 1124-1133 |
| 12/19/19 | Answer to Complaint in Intervention [Mitchell Defendants] | VI | AA 1156-1160 |
| 12/23/19 | Answer to Complaint in Intervention [Lieberman and Casino Coolidge] | VI | AA 1171-1179 |
| 7/18/17 | Business Court Order | II | AA 293-297 |
| 2/20/18 | Business Court Order [Amended] | III | AA 479-481 |
| 7/26/16 | Complaint (Original) | I | AA 1-19 |
| 11/18/19 | Complaint in Intervention | VI | AA 1052-1082 |
| 11/7/18 | Court Minutes November 7, 2018 | V | AA 886-887 |
| 2/4/20 | Court Transcript February 4, 2020 [Motions to Alter/Amend] | XV | AA 2422-2456 |
| 11/18/19 | Court Transcript November 18, 2019 [Motion to Intervene] | VIII | AA 1525-1532 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| 8/23/19 | ' H I H Q G D Q W ¶ V / D V V for Summary Judgment | | AA 915-936 |
| 10/17/19 | ' H I H Q G D Q W ¶ V / D V VI Motion for Summary Judgment | | AA 981-991 |
| 4/6/17 | DefendaQ W V ¶ 0 R W L R Q W I I 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ & R P S O D | | AA 60-88 |
| 3/23/17 | ' H I H Q G D Q W V ¶ 0 R W L R (I Jury Demand | | AA 49-59 |
| 7/6/17 | ' H I H Q G D Q W V ¶ 5 H S O \ ' II Dismiss | | AA 269-292 |
| 4/25/17 | ' H I H Q G D Q W V ¶ 5 H S O \ ' I Opposition to CounteMotion for Advisory Jury | | AA 152-162 |
| 11/19/19 | Errata to Complaint in Intervention | VI | AA 1083-1088 |
| 2/20/20 | - R L Q G H U W R 0 L W F K H (VII to Alter/Amend Judgment [Lieberman and Casino Coolidge] | | AA 1392-1394 |
| 4/26/18 | - R L Q G H U W R 0 L W F K H (IV to Compel Discovery [Lieberman and 305 Las Vegas] | | AA 726-728 |
| 5/30/18 | - R L Q G H U W R 0 L W F K H (V to Motion to Compel Discovery | | AA 829-831 |
| 10/24/17 | Joint Case Conference Report [Partial Document Only] | III | AA 362-470 |
| 12/27/19 | Joint PreTrial Memorandum [Partial Document Only] | VI | AA 1183-1202 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| 2/14/20 | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ Alter/Amend Judgment | VII | AA 1371-1391 |
| 4/19/18 | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ Compel Discovery | IV | AA 490-725 |
| 11/21/19 | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment | VI | AA 1095-1123 |
| 11/16/19 | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ Opposed to Motion to Intervene | VI | AA 1037-1045 |
| 2/20/20 | Mitchell Defendant D Q W V ¶ 2 S S R 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 0 R W L R Q | VII | AA 1402-1408 |
| 2/27/20 | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 0 R W L R Q Errors and incorporate Prejudgment Interest | VIII | AA 1461-1467 |
| 5/30/18 | Mitchell Defendant D Q W V ¶ 5 H S O \ to Compel Discovery | V | AA 796-828 |
| 12/19/19 | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment | VI | AA 1161-1170 |
| Undated | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ 90001 [Forest City Agreement] [Sealed] | XXIX | SAA 1715-1807 |
| Undated | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ 90052 [Casino Coolidge Title Documents] [Sealed] | XXIX | SAA 1808-1820 |
| Undated | 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ 90054 [Surrender/Termination Agreement] | XX | AA 3512-3516 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 0 L W F K H O E O H P 90069 [Release of Lease Guaranty] | XX | AA 3517-3521 |
| Undated | 0 L W F K H O E O H P 90075 [FC/LW - Entity Details] | XX | AA 3522-3524 |
| Undated | 0 L W F K H O E O H P 90079 [10th NRCP 16.1 Disclosures: Underlying Action] | XX | AA 3525-3543 |
| 2/14/20 | Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Lieberman and Casino Coolidge] | VII | AA 1325-1352 |
| 1/27/20 | Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Casino Coolidge][Sealed] | XXII | SAA 73-323 |
| 1/27/20 | Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Casino Coolidge] [Continued][Sealed] | XXIII | SAA 324513 |
| 11/12/19 | Motion to Intervene | VI | AA 994-1036 |
| 11/20/18 | NEO re: Continued Discovery (Second) | V | AA 888-894 |
| 2/15/18 | NEO re: Continue Discovery [First] | III | AA 471-478 |
| 8/9/17 | 1 (2 U H ' H I H Q G D Q W V ¶ Dismiss | II | AA 298-306 |
| 5/24/17 | 1 (2 U H ' H I H Q G D Q W V ¶ and Counter Motion for Advisory Jury | I | AA 163-169 |
| 2/24/20 | NEO re: Directed Verdict and Judgment for Defendant, 305 Las Vegas | VII | AA 1435-1439 |
| 9/23/19 | NEO re: Discovery Sanctions | V | AA 940-952 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| 11/30/18 | NEO re: Dismissal of Defendant, Liberman Holdings | V | AA 895-902 |
| 6/19/18 | 1 (2 UH 0 L W F K H O O ' H W R & R P S H O ' L V F R Y H L CounterMotion | V | AA 862-868 |
| 3/30/20 | NEO re: Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Casino Coolidge] | VIII | AA 1483-1488 |
| 3/30/20 | NEO re: Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Mitchell Defendants] | VIII | AA 1489-1494 |
| 3/30/20 | NEO re: Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge] | VIII | AA 1492-1500 |
| 11/18/19 | NEO re: Motion to Intervene | VI | AA 1046-1051 |
| 5/14/20 | NEO re: Motion to Retax and Settle Costs | VIII | AA 1518-1524 |
| 7/3/18 | 1 (2 UH 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ Application for OSC | V | AA 869-878 |
| 5/13/20 | 1 (2 UH 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ \$ W W R U Q H \ ¶ V) H H V | VIII | AA 1501-1510 |
| 5/30/19 | 1 (2 UH 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ Discovery | V | AA 903-914 |
| 5/13/20 | 1 (2 UH 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ Minor Errors and Incorporate Pre Judgment Interest | VIII | AA 1511-1517 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| 11/21/19 | NEO re: Redactions and Sealing | VI | AA 1089-1094 |
| 2/21/18 | NEO re: Stipulated Protective Order | III | AA 482-489 |
| 1/16/20 | NOE Findings of Fact, Conclusion Law and Judgment [Original] | VII | AA 1203-1220 |
| 1/17/19 | NOE Findings of Fact, Conclusion Law and Judgment [Amended] | VII | AA 1221-1238 |
| 2/25/20 | Notice of Appeal [Liberman and Casino Coolidge] | VII | AA 1440-1442 |
| 2/26/20 | Notice of Appeal [Mitchell Defendants] | VIII | AA 1443-1460 |
| 8/28/19 | Notice of Filing Bankruptcy | V | AA 937-939 |
| 1/19/18 | 3 ODLQWLIIV ¶ 16.1 Disclosure [Sealed] | XXI | SAA 1-72 |
| 2/6/20 | 3 ODLQWLIIV ¶ 0RWLRQ | VII | AA 1239-1289 |
| 2/13/20 | 3 ODLQWLIIV ¶ 0RWLRQ Errors and Incorporate Judgment Interest | VII | AA 1290-1324 |
| 10/7/19 | 3 ODLQWLIIV ¶ 2SSRVL' 305 Las Vegas, Motion for Summa Judgment | VI | AA 953-980 |
| 6/14/17 | 3 ODLQWLIIV ¶ 2SSRVL' Motion to Dismiss | II | AA 170-268 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| 4/17/17 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 2 S S R V L Motion to Strike Jury Demand; CounterMotion for Advisory Jury | I | AA 89-151 |
| 5/11/18 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 2 S S R V L ' H I H Q G D Q W V ¶ 0 R W L R Discovery; CounteMotion for Disclosure of UnRedacted Emails [Partial Document Only] | V | AA 729-795 |
| 12/12/19 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 2 S S R V L ' H I H Q G D Q W V ¶ 0 R W L R the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment | VI | AA 1134-1155 |
| 2/14/20 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 2 S S R V L Alter/Amend Judgment [Liberman and Casino Coolidge] | VII | AA 1353-1370 |
| 2/20/20 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 2 S S R V L Alter/AmendJudgment [All Parties] | VII | AA 1409-1434 |
| 3/6/20 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 5 H S O \ W \$ W W R U Q H \ ¶ V) H H V | VIII | AA 1468-1475 |
| 3/13/20 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 5 H S O \ W Minor Errors and Incorporate Pre Judgment Interest | VIII | AA 1476-1482 |
| 6/5/18 | 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ 6 X S S O H 0 L W F K H O O ' H I H Q G D Q \ Compel Discovery and CounterMotion for Disclosure of UnRedacted Emails | V | AA 832-861 |
| Undated | Plaintiff V ¶ Trial Exhibit 1 [Ownerships Interests] | XV | AA 2457 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 12 [Aquarius Owner/LVLP] Sealed] | XXIII | SAA 514-547 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 13 [LVLP Organization Documents] | XV | AA 2458-2502 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 19 [Live Work, LLC - Nevada SOS] | XV | AA 2503-2505 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 10 [Live Work Organization Documents] | XV | AA 2506-2558 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 12 [Term Restructure Forest City] | XV | AA 2559-2563 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 17 [305 Las Vegas Entity Details] | XV | AA 2564-2566 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 18 [305 Las Vegas Organization Documents] | XV | AA 2567-2570 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 19 [305 Second Avenue Associates Entity Details] | XV | AA 2571-2572 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 20 [305 Las Vegas Certificate of Formation] | XV | AA 2573-2574 |
| Undated | Plaintiffs Trial Exhibit 21 [305 Las Vegas Operating Agreement] | XV | AA 2575-2597 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 23 [List Managers - 305 Las Vegas] | XV | AA 2598 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 27 [Meadows Bank Statement Partial Document Only][Sealed] | XXIII | SAA 548 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 30 [CasinoCoolidge- Articles of Organization] | XV | AA 2599-2603 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 32 [Casino Coolidge Operating Agreement][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 549-578 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 34 [Live Work - Organization Document: | XV | AA 2604-2657 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 35 [Live Work Manager Company Documents][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 579-582 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 38 [Wink One- Organization Documents | XV | AA 2658-2660 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 40 [Wink One Company Documents] [Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 583-588 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 43 [L/W TIC Successor Operating Agreement] | XVI | AA 2661-2672 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 44 [Meyer Property- Operating Agreement] | XVI | AA 2673-2677 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 45 [Leah Property Consents] | XVI | AA 2678-2693 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 10002 [FC Live Work Company Documents [Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 589-659 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 10002 [LVLP Holdings 2007 Tax Return] [Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 660-677 |
| Undated | Plaintiffs Trial Exhibit 10003 [LVLP Holdings 2008 Tax Return] [Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 678-692 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 10004 [LVLP Holdings 2009 Tax Return] [Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 693-709 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 20024 [Signature Bank 2015-2016][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 710-742 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 20026 [Signature Bank April 2015][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 743 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 30002 [LVLP G/L 2007][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 744 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 30031 [LVLP G/L 2008][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 745-764 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 30062 [Mitchell Contributions][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 765-770 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 30063 [Capital Contributions][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 771-774 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 30066 [Unallocated Contributions][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 775 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l E x h i b i t 30067 [Mitchell Amounts Paid][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 776-780 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l E x h i b i t 30086 [Mitchell Loan Balances][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 781-783 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l E x h i b i t 30087 [Lieberman Loan Balances][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 784-786 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l E x h i b i t 40001 [Settlement Statement-Casino Coolidge] | XVI | AA 2694 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l E x h i b i t 40002 [Aquarius Settlement Statement] | XVI | AA 2695-2702 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l E x h i b i t 40006 [Live Work Settlement Statement] | XVI | AA 2703-2704 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l E x h i b i t 40007 [Final Settlement Statement-Forest City] | XVI | AA 2705-2707 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l E x h i b i t 40040 [Deed- Casino Coolidge] | XVI | AA 2708-2709 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l E x h i b i t 40041 [Deeds- Casino Coolidge] | XVI | AA 2710-2714 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l E x h i b i t 40042 [Deeds- Casino Coolidge] | XVI | AA 2715-2730 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l E x h i b i t 40043 [Release of Lease Granty][Sealed] | XXIV | SAA 787-789 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l E x h i b i t 40046 [Personal GuarantyLease] | XVI | AA 2731-2739 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 40047 [Personal Guaranty Lease] | XVI | AA 2740-2747 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 50001 [Underlying Complaint: A07-551073] | XVI | AA 2748-2752 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 50002 [Underlying First Amended Complaint and Counterclaim: A-07-551073] | XVI | AA 2753-2766 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 50006 [Underlying Action: FFCL] | XVI | AA 2767-2791 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 50007 [Underlying Judgment A-07-551073] | XVI | AA 2792-2794 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 50008 [Underlying Amended Judgment] | XVI | AA 2795-2797 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 50037 [Rich Supplemental Expert Report] | XVI | AA 2798-2825 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 50038 [Wall Street Settlement Agreement] [Sealed] | XXV | SAA 790-820 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 50040 [Settlement Agreement Heartland] | XVI | AA 2826-2878 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 50042 [Mitchell Response Bar Fee Dispute] | XVI | AA 2879-2900 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 60001 [Wall Street Engagement Letter] [Sealed] | XXV | SAA 821-825 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 60002 [Emails] | XVI | AA 2901 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 60005 [Emails] | XVI | AA 2902-2904 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 60053 [Rich Working Papers] [Partial Document Only] [Sealed] | XXV | SAA 826-1039 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 60053 [Rich Working Papers] [Partial Document Only] [Continued] [Sealed] | XXVI | SAA 1040-1289 |
| Undated | Plaintiff V Trial Exhibit 60053 [Rich Working Papers] [Partial Document Only] [Continued] [Sealed] | XXVII | SAA 1290-1414 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 70003 [Disregarded Entities] | XVI | AA 2905-2906 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 70009 [Lieberman Contributions] [Sealed] | XXVII | SAA 1415-1418 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 70015 [Mitchell Contributions] [Sealed] | XXVII | SAA 1419-1422 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 70021 [LVLP Balance Sheet 2015] [Sealed] | XXVII | SAA 1423 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 70023 [LVLP Holdings Entities] | XVI | AA 2907 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Trial Exhibit 70030 [Underlying Action- Discovery Request] | XVII | AA 2908-2917 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 70036 [Reisman \$ W W R U Q H \ ¶ V) H | XVII | AA 2918-2943 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 70037 > 5 H L V P D Q \$ W W R U Q H \ | XVII | AA 2944-2950 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 70038 > 5 H L V P D Q \$ W W R U Q H \ | XVII | AA 2951-2954 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 70042 [New Jersey Fees/Gts] | XVII | AA 2955-2968 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 70043 [Rich Initial Expert Report][Sealed] | XXVIII | SAA 14241673 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 70043 [Rich Initial Expert Report] [Continued][Sealed] | XXIX | SAA 16741704 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 70045 > 5 L F K ¶ V) H H V @ | XVII | AA 2969-3033 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 70052 [Document List- LVLP] | XVII | AA 3034-3037 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 70053 > 5 L F K ¶ V) H H V @ | XVII | AA 3038-3044 |
| Undated | P O D L Qtrial Exhibit 70054 > 5 L F K ¶ V) H H V @ | XVII | AA 3045 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 70055 > 0 X L M H \$ W W R U Q H \ ¶ V | XVIII | AA 3046-3220 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Qtrial Exhibit 70056 [0 X L M H \$ W W R U Q H \ ¶ V | XVIII | AA 3221-3228 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | Plaintiff Exhibit 70060 [Underlying Judgment & Interest] | XVIII | AA 3229-3230 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l l E x h i b i t 70062 > \$ W W R U Q H \ ¶ V) H H V & | XVIII | AA 3231 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l l E x h i b i t 70063 > 5 L F K ¶ V) H H V @ | XVIII | AA 3232-3237 |
| Undated | P O D L Q v a l l E x h i b i t 70064 > 5 H L V P D Q \$ W W R U Q H \ | XVIII | AA 3238-3240 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l l E x h i b i t 70065 > 5 H L V P D Q \$ W W R U Q H \ | XVIII | AA 3241-3243 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l l E x h i b i t 70067 > 0 X L M H \$ W W R U Q H \ ¶ V | XVIII | AA 3244-3263 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l l E x h i b i t 70072 [LVLP G/L 2011][Sealed] | XXIX | SAA 1705-1712 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l l E x h i b i t 70074 [LVLP Adjusted Entries 2012][Sealed] | XXIX | SAA 1713-1714 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l l E x h i b i t 70075 > \$ W W R U Q H \ ¶ V) H H V & [Fees/Boys] | XIX | AA 3264-3359 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l l E x h i b i t 70076 > 5 H L V P D Q \$ W W R U Q H \ | XIX | AA 3360-3375 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l l E x h i b i t 70077 > 5 H L V P D Q \$ W W R U Q H \ | XIX | AA 3376 |
| Undated | 3 O D L Q v a l l E x h i b i t 70078 > 5 L s [Fees] | XIX | AA 3377-3463 |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Vol.</u> | <u>Bates No</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| Undated | 3 O D L Q r a l E k h b i 70079 > 0 X L M H \$ W W R U Q H \ T V | XIX | AA 3464-3511 |
| 2/27/17 | Proofs of Service | I | AA 20-48 |
| 11/12/19 | Receipt of Copy | VI | AA 992-993 |
| 2/20/20 | Reply to Motion to Alter/Amend Judgment [Lieberman and Casino Coolidge] | VII | AA 1395-1401 |
| 12/26/19 | Satisfaction of Judgment | VI | AA 1180-1182 |
| 7/30/18 | Second Amended Business Court O | V | AA 883-885 |
| 12/30/19 | Trial Transcript - Day 1 [December 30, 2019] | IX | AA 1533-1697 |
| 12/31/19 | Trial Transcript - Day 2 [December 31, 2019] | X | AA 1698-1785 |
| 1/2/20 | Trial Transcript - Day 3 [January 2, 2020] | XI | AA 1786-1987 |
| 1/3/20 | Trial Transcript - Day 4 [January 3, 2020] | XII | AA 1988-2163 |
| 1/6/20 | Trial Transcript - Day 5 [January 6, 2020] | XIII | AA 2164-2303 |
| 1/7/20 | Trial Transcript - Day 6 [January 7, 2020] | XIV | AA 2304-2421 |

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

* * * * *

RUSSELL L. NYPE, REVENUE PLU S,)
LLC,)

CASE NO. A - 16- 740689 - B

Plaintiff s,)

DEPT. NO. XI

vs.)

DAVID MITCHELL, ET AL. ,)

Tran scrip t of Proceedings

Defendants.)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GONZALEZ, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
ALL PENDING MOTIONS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY24, 2020

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff s: JOHN W. MUIJE, ESQ.

For the Defendant s: J AMES L. EDWARDS, ESQ.
ELLIOT S. BLUT, ESQ.
HAROLD STANLEY JOHNSON, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: JILL HAWKINS , DISTRICT COURT
TRANSCRIBED BY: KRISTEN LUNKWITZ

Proceedings recorded by audio- visual recording ; transcript
produced by transcription service.

1 MONDAY FEBRUARY 24, 2020 AT 9:18 A.M.

2
3 MR. MUIJE: Good morning, Your Honor. John Muije,
4 appearing on behalf of plaintiffs, Russell Nye and Revenue
5 Plus, LLC.

6 MR. JOHNSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Stan
7 Johnson on behalf of the Mitchell defendants.

8 MR. EDWARDS: Jim Edwards on behalf of the
9 Mitchell defendants.

10 MR. BLUT: Good morning, Your Honor. Elliot Blut
11 for Barnett Liberman and Casino Coolidge, LLC.

12 THE COURT: Mr. Blut, I'd like to start with your
13 Motion to Alter or Amend.

14 MR. BLUT: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.

15 So, just as to the Casino Coolidge entity, as we
16 had pointed out, it was lumped in as the -- as a related
17 entity, with other Las Vegas Land Partners and wholly owned
18 entities. And, so, W K H U H E P, two key pieces of
19 evidence that demonstrate that because the clearly
20 erroneous conclusion. One was Exhibit 7 from the trial,
21 which was the list from the CPA of the disregarded
22 entities, which is a list of the other, quote/unquote,
23 related entities that were contained in the Las Vegas Land
24 Partners tax return. Noticeably absent is Casino Coolidge
25 and mainly because Casino Coolidge had not even been formed

1 at that time.

2 The second and most important one , before I go
3 through some of the evidence , was Exhibit 5 0,02 8, page 124,
4 which was the Mark Rich spreadsh eet of what distributions
5 were made on which the Court made its finding. And ,
6 noticeably absent --

7 THE COURT: Some of its findings.

8 MR. BLUT: , ¶ P sorry?

9 THE COURT: Some of its findings.

10 MR. BLUT: Some of the find ings. Yes.

11 That noticeably absent from this are any
12 distributions after the proceeds from Leah , from the Casino
13 Coolidge transaction , which are not distri bution s from
14 Casino Co olidge . The reason W K D W important is that there
15 was evidence at trial of checks going to Barnett Li berman
16 from Casino Coolidge . But tho se are not included as being
17 untoward transfers and distributions, per Mark Rich , no r
18 did anybody else comment ne gatively on those transfers.

19 And, so, just looking at the various -- the
20 various findings , just to go through the numbers just so
21 the record ¶s clear , 36 talks about they made the
22 distributions of the 15 million. This does not include
23 anything from Casino Coolidge e. The related entities
24 concealed , hid, diverted , converted a ssets, not as to
25 Casin o Coolidge. Casino Coolidge is there ; t hat i t was

1 wholly owned by Las Vegas Land Partners. It was zero
2 percent owned by Las Vegas Land Partners. It was more
3 similarly situated to the at of 305, Your Honor, where Mr.
4 Liberman was on both sides of the transaction in terms of
5 entities that was involved with.

6 But, as with 305, who entered into a much more
7 complicated transaction than this purchase of this, 305 was
8 found to have not caused any damages because there was no
9 evidence that it GLGQ fair market value and there was
10 no evidence that these ZHUH Qigw mate and independent
11 business decisions. Whereas, here, there was no evidence
12 that the million dollars paid was not the fair equivalent
13 value for that property at that time. And, in fact, the
14 Court makes that finding.

15 Because, you know, Coolidge is similarly situated
16 to the Mitchell Holdings Company, which was also found not
17 to be the alter ego because there was not the same
18 evidence, there is no doubt that the -- that the 14 findings
19 that we have -- that we point to, and 12 of which apply to
20 the related entities, that all those entities, correct, are
21 the same. They are on the same general ledger. They use
22 the same bank accounts. They are on the tax returns.

23 They stopped using bank accounts. TKDW Another
24 point is that a crucial thing was the Las Vegas Land
25 Partners and the related entities stopped using bank

1 accounts at the time of the Judgment , whereas in evidence
 2 was current Casino Coolidge bank account statements showing
 3 that it is a liquid and ongoing entity.
 4 I think it just -- so, if you take that out and,
 5 then, just breaking it down into the specific claims with
 6 the alter ego, W K H U H & Co. evidence of commingling with the
 7 other entities of -- and/or Barnett Liberman, no
 8 undercapitalization, no diversion of assets , no indication
 9 of Casino Coolidge that any personal expenses of Mr.
 10 Liberman were paid , and no failure to advert -- to observe
 11 the corporate formalities . T K H U H & Co. separate books and
 12 records . T K H U H & Co. separate tax return entity that goes on
 13 Mr. Liberman's Schedule E.
 14 With regard -- and, with regard to the fraudulent
 15 conveyance , I think I touched on it before, that the Court ,
 16 as it points out, in Conclusion of Law 14 is that the --
 17 well, that -- actually, that is the conspiracy , which , I O
 18 talk about that. But on there is a conspiracy is the
 19 diversion of assets and the handling of the records. Mr.
 20 Liberman is not involved in the handling of the records.
 21 And, as the -- Mr. Rich is exhibit , there were no diversion
 22 of assets for him.
 23 And, for the fraudulent conveyance, there is no --
 24 nothing to tie Casino Coolidge to it. They were in a bona
 25 fide transaction. And the Court is specific finding is that

1 the problem with the transaction is that instead of Leah
2 getting the money and, then, giving it to Las Vegas Land
3 Partners, that the money went directly to Mr. Liberman and
4 Mr. Mitchell, which the Court -- I G R Q know if we need
5 evidence on this, but the buyer G R H V Q did not the seller
6 proceeds.

7 The Opposition cite s a number of these trial
8 exhibits. Not one of those -- I pointed out what every one
9 they are, not one of those has any thing to do that would
10 have liability at any time for Casino Coolidge. And, so,
11 we request that the Casino Coolidge be stricken and, I
12 guess, that the Judgment be amended that Casino Coolidge is
13 out as a related party, which would then flow down that
14 Casino Coolidge would have a judgment in favor of itself
15 and against the plaintiff on all the claims that are made.

16 THE COURT: Thank you.

17 MR. BLUT: Thank you.

18 THE COURT: Mr. Muije?

19 MR. MUIJE: Good morning, Your Honor.

20 As to Casino Coolidge, it was a way to a formed
21 entity. So, to that extent, it G L G Q participate in the
22 early misconduct. But -- and I think this is very
23 important, alter ego requires unity of interest, the unity
24 of ownership, and to recognize a corporate entity would
25 operate -- would serve to operate as a fraud against a

1 third party , a creditor. And we have numerous examples of
2 how that was implicated in Casino no Coolidge. But I think
3 one of the most telling -- and this came out after trial,
4 Your Honor , I would -- the stay dissolved last week and
5 Casino Coolidge just took out two new substantial
6 encumbrances of its property in the last 30 days prior to
7 trial. Literally, I found this Friday after noon.
8 So, it is -- if we're talking about motivation, if
9 we're talking about intent, etcetera , the fact that Casino
10 Coolidge all of a sudden has a million dollars more in debt
11 to two entities , not banks, not mortgage companies, two
12 entities that are designated as LLCs that are not licensed
13 or registered to do business.
14 I -- let me give a copy to each of the counsel
15 and, then, I'd like to give it to the Court as well.
16 THE COURT: Well, give it to counsel first . And
17 , I'd see if there is an objection. And you can mark it as a
18 Court exhibit or as a -- no. Mark it as your exhibit,
19 please. Dulce , welcome back.
20 MR. MUIJE: And the second one here , Your Honor .
21 I need to make sure I have enough for --
22 [Colloquy at the bench]
23 MR. MUIJE: If I might approach the Clerk, Your
24 Honor ?
25 THE COURT: You may. It is a plaintiff s exhibit.

1 MR. MUIJE: Proposed 1 and Proposed 2. And I have
2 courtesy copies for the Court .

3 THE COURT: Not so much. So, is there an
4 objection to Plaintiff s ¶ Proposed 1 and Plaintiffs ¶
5 Proposed 2?

6 MR. BLUT: Yes. Hearsay on both , Your Honor .

7 THE COURT: So, Mr. Muije , can you tell me why I
8 should look at these documents?

9 MR. MUIJE: Your Honor , they are regular ,
10 contemporaneous business records maintained on the County
11 Recorder s website . T K D W ¶ Exhibit 1. Again, we just
12 discovered this Friday afternoon. But they show --

13 THE COURT: Why do you think it ¶ maintained on
14 the County Recorder s website?

15 MR. MUIJE: Because it is a search . I W ¶
16 printout of a search that was done for Casino Coolidge,
17 LLC.

18 THE COURT: Okay. So, --

19 MR. MUIJE: All documents --

20 THE COURT: So, this is information you found out
21 --

22 MR. MUIJE: This is information --

23 THE COURT: -- by doing a search ?

24 MR. MUIJE: I have --

25 THE COURT: You can tell me about your search.

1 But , ¶ Pnot going to look at the document .

2 MR. MUIJE: Okay. My search -- because , again,

3 there was no stay in effect , and we had determined that

4 there was substantial -- at pretrial, we had determined

5 there was substantial equity i n Casino Coolidge. My search

6 was undertaken to identify -- make sure that there were not

7 liens, that when we sold this at a s K H U L I I s a l e , that we

8 would receive the proceeds. Well, lo and behold, on these

9 -- I -- my search determined that on December 9th, three

10 weeks before the start of our trial, --

11 MR. BLUT: Your Honor , we ¶d just object as to what

12 the search determine d. Because that would be --

13 THE COURT: He can tell me what he did.

14 MR. BLUT: Right. B ut he ¶s about to say what the

15 results of what t he --

16 THE COURT: Well, he can tell me what he did.

17 MR. BLUT: Okay.

18 THE COURT: So, keep going.

19 MR. MUIJE: And that search determined that there

20 was a new account --

21 MR. BLUT: Well, Your Honor , that no w K H ¶talking

22 about the search. Did you --

23 THE COURT: Well, no. T K D W ¶What he did. He did

24 a search and he found something out and K H ¶going to tell

25 me what it is. , ¶ Pnot a dmitting the document , though,

1 because it is hearsay.

2 MR. MUIJE: We found a new Deed of Trust recorded
3 against the Casino Coolidge property. I can even give the
4 instrument number in running in favor of a --

5 THE COURT: I agree that. I just -- you
6 know, tell me why it is an impact to this Motion to Alter or
7 Amend that Casino Coolidge is not to have its corporate --
8 or, LLC separate existence ignored and be found to be an
9 alter ego, given the evidence at trial.

10 MR. MUIJE: Understood. This new Deed of Trust ,
11 December 9th , was in favor of Westridge Lending Fund, LLC.
12 I did a Secretary of State search, which , I've done hundreds
13 of over the years, there is no Westridge Funding, LLC ,
14 registered in the state of Nevada .

15 Then, I would hold, though --

16 THE COURT: There are other states to do LLCs, you
17 know?

18 MR. MUIJE: I understand, Your Honor . But one
19 would think that a legitimate financing transaction
20 conducted in the state of Nevada , that you might want to
21 actually qualify to do business here.

22 THE COURT: Might.

23 MR. MUIJE: Then, going through further on the
24 Recorder is website, the very last day of trial , the very
25 last day of trial , January 7th , 2020 , another brand new Deed

1 of Trust against the Casino Coolidge parcel in favor --
2 well, this one says Old Republic Title Company, which I
3 believe is probably the trustee. I am -- also commissioned
4 a property profile from Stewart Title and that identified
5 that same instrument and indicated that it ran in favor of
6 HTH Holdings, Inc., for \$306,000.

7 What we see here, Your Honor, and as we get to Mr.
8 Liberman's Motion later, we're also going to see a brand
9 new \$2.1 million Deed of Trust on his residence in New
10 York, taken out one week before trial. These are all from
11 searches we found on Friday and Saturday. We had Mr.
12 Liberman furthering his conspiracy with Mr. Mitchell to
13 hide assets, to hypothecate things. We're far from certain
14 -- we're going to be issuing subpoenas on these entities,
15 Your Honor, and on the title companies involved. We're far
16 from certain they even reflect money actually transferred.

17 Having practiced in this area for years, I have
18 seen numerous examples where a phantom Deed of Trust gets
19 slapped on property in order to discourage creditors and
20 drive them away. But it's, again, the -- it is
21 circumstantial evidence showing that Mr. Liberman treats
22 everything as one and the same. We've quoted it in our
23 brief. He testified at his deposition, you know: Why
24 maintain separate books and records? Why would we?

25 THE COURT: For the record, that came in a trial.

1 MR. MUIJE: That came in at trial.

2 THE COURT: I t G R H V Q ~~What~~ what happen ed in the
3 deposition. But the fact that the deposition was part of
4 the questioning at trial is --

5 MR. MUIJE: Correct.

6 THE COURT: -- relevant.

7 MR. MUIJE: They said : They are all derivative of
8 one entity. All th e money came in and all of the money
9 went out. Did it matter that I took a cab from one
10 piece of property to the other? No. I G R Q ~~See~~ why
11 it matters. T K D W ~~There~~ merely an accounting question.

12 And W K D W ~~What~~ what we have here. I t Z D V Q ~~That~~ it
13 was created ini tially at the same time. But wh en they
14 needed to pull some money out personally, they got together
15 on both sides of the transaction . Leah is Mr. Mitchell and
16 Mr. Liberman sitting on one side at a table. They get up.
17 Mr. Liberman walks to the other side of the t able . They
18 sign escrow instructions. I t ~~Is~~ not a buyer distributing
19 the money from escrow or the seller distributing his
20 proceeds, it ~~Is~~ all parties to the transaction , being Mr.
21 Liberman and Mr. Liberman , directing the title company
22 where to send those proceeds.

23 So, we have th e same two bu ddies that have been in
24 this for an extended period of time . They effectively
25 operate and control both sides of this transaction . And

1 they , in accordance with the pattern W K H \ ¶ established on
2 all of the se entities , t hey -- W K H \ ¶ but too w orried
3 about w hich check is being written to whom, from where, or
4 to ultimate destination as long as it ¶s to their personal
5 benefit , and as long as it ¶s not something that Mr. Nype is
6 going to be able, or any other cre ditor , is going to be
7 able to get acces s to it.

8 So, certainly, under fraudulent conveyance aspect,
9 if the Court were somehow to decide th at alter ego did not
10 apply, the fraudulent conveyance is still there. T he
11 Magliarditi case tells us that , th at , you know, the related
12 entities transacting bet ween themselves. And the
13 fraudulent conveyance was not the sale of the prope rty per
14 se. The fraudulent conveyance was making sure that the
15 money went into Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Liberman ¶s pocket.

16 But, again, the fundamental test , granted that
17 some of t he fla ck , some of the indicia of whether a --

18 THE COURT: So, you know you're using all your
19 time for all the motions here. Right?

20 MR. MUIJE: Okay. Let me -- let me c ut to the
21 chase, Your Honor .

22 THE COURT: Because you're down to five minutes.

23 MR. MUIJE: Some if the indicia of the alter ego
24 may not be present but the overriding ones are. They --
25 Mr. Liberman effectively controlled both sides of the

1 transaction. There was a unity of interest. The
2 transaction ran exactly the way Mr. Mitchell and Mr.
3 Liberman wanted to. And it worked. And to recognize the
4 separate identity of Casino Coolidge would operate as a
5 fraud and an injustice to Mr. Nype.
6 So, we would urge that the Court sustain the
7 numerous findings and remember the evidence that we
8 presented, including evidence of checks from Casino
9 Coolidge to Mr. Marquis that were for other purposes, other
10 entities. T K D W Exhibit 80004. And, then, their own bank
11 records show money regularly coming in and out, to and from
12 Mr. Liberman. I believe that was Exhibit 27. But it is
13 very close to that number.

14 THE COURT: Thank you.

15 MR. MUIJE: Anyway, thank you, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Blut?

17 MR. BLUT: Briefly, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: And I G R Q really care today that
19 there were subsequent transactions --

20 MR. BLUT: Oh, yeah.

21 THE COURT: -- because that G R H V Q impact today is
22 Motion.

23 MR. BLUT: Sure.

24 THE COURT: It might impact the stay issues that
25 Mr. Edwards and Mr. Johnson are going to talk about, even

1 though it is a piece of property.

2 MR. BLUT: Sure. And, also, just the whole
3 argument on that is that the property was free and clear.
4 T K D W just a false statement to the Court since the
5 closing statement shows that Mr. Liberman inherited a
6 \$500,000 lien. So, P just -- can all be taken with a
7 grain of salt.

8 I think the key is, Your Honor, that plaintiff
9 can still not point to any evidence. And W K D W is the key.
10 Any facts or evidence. He can say there is all this fraud.
11 T K D W not true that the buyer controls where the seller is
12 proceeds go. T K D W also false.

13 THE COURT: Even when the buyer is the same as the
14 seller?

15 MR. BLUT: Well, not the -- not the Casino
16 Coolidge. But the key is that, Your Honor, is that the
17 Court has found two things: One, plaintiff has not
18 established that, given market conditions at the time, that
19 Mitchell and Liberman sold Leah Property without obtaining
20 reasonable equivalent value of exchange. So, W K H is but
21 on that. And the Court has specifically found the
22 Conclusion of Law that the fraudulent -- Mr. Muije just
23 admitted to it, is that the money by the individuals went
24 out to the individuals and not to the company itself.
25 And the key is this was a property that was listed

1 by a broker. A broker received a fee for the transaction.
2 The only testimony was that this was the highest and best
3 price. And, I think, what it comes down to is Las Vegas
4 Land Partners had nothing to do with Casino Coolidge.
5 TKDW the fact. That was the bootstrap that plaintiff can't
6 make. And we believe the Court should, in essence, drop
7 Casino Coolidge from the Judgment in this action.
8 THE COURT: Thank you. TKHUS substantial
9 evidence that this is a related entity and there is a unity
10 of interest with Mr. Barnett. Mr. Liberman were behind all
11 of the related entities. For that reason, the Motion is
12 denied.
13 If I could go to the Motion by Mr. Mike. I mean,
14 sorry. Mr. Mitchell. Mr. Johnson, it is your Motion to
15 Amend.
16 MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
17 THE COURT: I did not award any damages for or mental
18 anguish. So, anything in the briefs that people are making
19 that assumption, there is no damages for mental anguish in
20 there.
21 MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
22 THE COURT: There are damages related to attorney
23 fees, expenses, costs, and litigation, but not mental
24 anguish.
25 MR. JOHNSON: Yes.

1 Your Honor , the main thrust , ¶ O Qpend a little
 2 bit of time on , I mean, we ¶ve gone though a lot of the
 3 factors in our Motion. But I want to focus in on the civil
 4 conspiracy issue in particular . And the case that we
 5 cited, Ei kel berger versus Tolo tti , 96 Nevada 525 1980, I
 6 think is a key case in this matter. I n this case, there
 7 was an alle ged conspiracy between Tolotti and his attorney ,
 8 Richard Horton , a nd the jury found for Eikelberger. But
 9 the Court granted a Judgment NOV Motion desp ite that, b ased
 10 on a number of factors .
 11 And when the Court granted it, the Court indicated
 12 that it was necessary -- in granting the Judgment NOV, it
 13 stated that :
 14 It is necessary for the act i n furtherance of a
 15 conspiracy to constitute a n actionable tor t.
 16 And the Court further referr ed to the Jury
 17 Instructions and so did the Supreme Court . The Jury
 18 Instructions stated :
 19 The cause of action is not created by the
 20 conspiracy but by the wrongfu l act don e by the
 21 defendants.
 22 And the Supreme Court specifically mentioned th at
 23 this i s in line with Carlton versus Manuel , which was the
 24 original 1949 case where kind of civil conspiracy was born.
 25 And the standard definition that we always use for defi ni ng

1 civil conspiracy came directly from that case. So, the
2 Supreme Court is saying what the District Court did in
3 granting the Motion is in line with the definition of what
4 a civil conspiracy requires.

5 And this has been carried out in a number of other
6 cases. Eikelberger has been cited at least 30 times for
7 the premise in Federal Court, in the Ninth Circuit, in
8 State Court, for the premise that the underlying act has to
9 be a viable -- has to stay a viable cause of action for a
10 tort or some other action. Ninety - nine percent of the
11 time, it is a tort because W K D Walmsley usually the basis
12 for some sort of fraud, some sort of action that is meant
13 to hurt the party, and that type of thing. But there has
14 to be a viable underlying cause of action.

15 And we cited the Court's own conclusion. And this
16 is Conclusion of Law Number 21:

17 Plaintiff has not established by a preponderance
18 of the evidence the elements of civil conspiracy,
19 separate and apart from the distribution and
20 fabrication of evidence.

21 THE COURT: Yeah.

22 MR. JOHNSON: Correct. Now, what's important,
23 though, --

24 THE COURT: But that was a pretty big one, Mr.
25 Johnson.

1 MR. JOHNSON: It certain ly is. But --

2 THE COURT: And it seemed to be hotly contested
3 until post - trial.

4 MR. JOHNSON: Well, but, it is important -- well,
5 Eikelberger , and it is right on point, is Eikelberger fou nd
6 that the action of the attorney , which was a false
7 sta tement, --

8 THE COURT: This Z D V Q the action of the
9 attorney , I sure hope.

10 MR. JOHNSON: Pardon?

11 THE COURT: These -- the evidence before me was
12 not that this was the action of the attorneys.

13 MR. JOHNSON: No. And I -- obviously --

14 THE COURT: This was the plotting of the parties
15 to further conceal and obfuscate .

16 MR. JOHNSON: Sure. And , I P not arguing that it
17 was a ttorneys . Obv i ously, we --

18 THE COURT: I know you guys Z H U H Q involved.

19 MR. JOHNSON: No, no, no.

20 THE COURT: Okay.

21 MR. JOHNSON: But what the Eikelberger case is
22 about, Your Honor , is the conspiracy . The conspiracy was
23 supposedly based upon the false affidavit. And what the
24 Court said is either submitting false evide nce or perjury
25 cannot be a civil action, can not be a civil cause of

1 action. T K H U H not civil liability for that.

2 And W K H U H a good public policy reason for that,

3 Your Honor , because in every case that I's tried, if one

4 party or the other said there was some false testimony o r

5 there was a document that was wrong or fabricated, that

6 would start a new lawsuit. Okay.

7 THE COURT: No, no. I n Mr. Peek I's world , it I's

8 call ed a discover y tort and you have a 12 - day evidentiary

9 hearing .

10 MR. JOHNSON: Well, and -- then W K D W there those

11 types of things are dealt with, Your Honor . But they don I

12 create a --

13 THE COURT: Well, we did deal with it partly in

14 this case. But the truth G L G Q seem to come out until I

15 got to trial.

16 MR. JOHNSON: And I understand the Court I's

17 thinking on that.

18 THE COURT: Yeah.

19 MR. JOHNSON: But, wh at , I's saying , though, and

20 Eikelberger is very clear, is that that cannot form the

21 underlying ci vil action that is the basis for civil

22 conspiracy. T K D W exactly what Eikelberger holds. And

23 it I's been affirmed and cited numerous times .

24 And , I's sure Court I's familiar with all of the

25 homeowner cases where they allege fraud , and this, and

1 that , --

2 THE COURT: Robo- signing. Yes.

3 MR. JOHNSON: -- and civil conspiracy. And when

4 the courts have ruled on those different causes of action ,

5 they said, okay, we have dismissed these causes of action,

6 therefore , your civil conspiracy cause of action is also

7 dismissed, because there must be a viable, underlying civil

8 cause of action. And, based on Eikelberger , we G R Q have

9 that. The -- well, whatever was done with the evidence

10 does not constitute the underlying basis for civil action.

11 Now, that leaves the distributions , which is what the Court

12 indicated in its finding.

13 Now, we go -- when we look at the distributions ,

14 we're looking at the distributions that really occurred in

15 2007 and 2008, which amounted to be over \$15 million in

16 distributions. Now, again, what is the underlying cause of

17 action for those distributions? Normally, it would be a

18 fraudulent conveyance action. I G R Q know what else you

19 can call it. If you do a -- if you do a civil -- I mean,

20 if you do a distribution from an LLC to the members based

21 upon the fact that there are excess assets , or excess cash,

22 or excess distributions available, that by itself is not a

23 cause of action. It would have to be based upon something

24 related to that, which would, again, normally be a

25 fraudulent conveyance . Nevada really G R H V Q is a common

1 law action for that -- for a distribution in that regard
2 because it falls under fraudulent conveyance s.

3 As the Court indicated , those early fraudulent
4 conveyances were barred by the statute of limitations . So,
5 therefore, the distributions that the Court ¶ referring to,
6 the 15 -- over \$15 million, --

7 THE COURT: Not barred by civil conspiracy.

8 MR. JOHNSON: Well, again, but that would have to
9 be the underlying civil causes of action.

10 THE COURT: I understand.

11 MR. JOHNSON: And the underlying civil cause of
12 action, I think, is barred by the statute of limitations ,
13 which the Court found. The Court found that those early
14 conveyances were barred by the statute of limitations.

15 THE COURT: Because of the discovery of the
16 underlying litigation.

17 MR. JOHNSON: Pardon?

18 THE COURT: Because of the discovery that occurred
19 in the underlying litigation on, before it came to me.

20 MR. JOHNSON: Correct.

21 THE COURT: Yeah.

22 MR. JOHNSON: Correct. Correct. And W K D W what
23 --

24 THE COURT: I got that part.

25 MR. JOHNSON: And W K D W what the Court found.

1 THE COURT: I understand your issue on that. I
2 agreed with you on that.

3 MR. JOHNSON: Okay.

4 THE COURT: I just agree with you on the
5 civil conspiracy. But W K D Wood says. You can keep talking.

6 MR. JOHNSON: All right.

7 THE COURT: Because, in a minute, you guys are
8 going to run out of time and, I'm going to decide the rest
9 of the motions without hearing from anyone else.

10 MR. JOHNSON: All right. Well, I let me just --
11, I'll quickly try to get to the point, then. The same
12 thing, Your Honor --

13 THE COURT: You've got two minutes left --

14 MR. JOHNSON: How much?

15 THE COURT: -- for your side. Two minutes.

16 MR. JOHNSON: Two minutes?

17 THE COURT: You're down to two minutes.

18 MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Blut counts against us?

19 THE COURT: He does. Even though you withdrew
20 your Joinder.

21 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Well, let me just mention a
22 couple of things, then, that probably are still important.

23 They relied heavily on the Cadle versus Woods
24 Erickson case, Your Honor. And I think that was even your
25 case.

1 THE COURT: Yes.

2 MR. JOHNSON: But that was a case about non -
3 transferees. That does not stand for the proposi tion --

4 THE COURT: It was a very different case.

5 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. And it G R H V C s p m for the
6 proposition , although they quote it fo r that, that that was
7 a -- that was a -- that civil conspiracy is a separate
8 cause of action. It has to be related to the underlying --

9 THE COURT: No. It ¶ dicta.

10 MR. JOHNSON: Correct. Exactly. I t has to be
11 related to the underlying cause of actio n. And even the
12 re statement of torts is clear. I t says:

13 Pr oof of agreement alone is not a sufficient cause
14 of action. However , it is essential that the conduct
15 of each tor tfeasor be in itself tortious.

16 So, that ¶ what , ¶ P really arguing here , Your
17 Honor . Based upon the Court ¶ findings that i t was either
18 the distribution o r the wrongful introduction of evidence
19 was the basis for the civil conspiracy . But, under
20 Eikelber ger , it can't be the introduction of the documents
21 or whatever it was , the ba d e vidence , or -- and it can't be
22 the distributions because of the statute of fraud. T K H U H ¶ V
23 got to be an underlying ci vil action. I G R Q ¶ know what
24 that is other than fraudulen t conveyance, Your Honor .

25 THE COURT: All right.

1 MR. JOHNSON: So, W K D W H \ gist of the argument.

2 THE COURT: Thanks. Mr. Johnson. Mr. Muije, you
3 have three minutes -- four minutes left.

4 MR. MUIJE: Very good, Your Honor . I G R Q H M k
5 it wi ll take that long.

6 First and foremost , the two subsequent motions
7 sought to amend are untimely. I t s a jurisdictional Rule
8 52 and 59 , provides for 28 days. The Court s Finding s of
9 Fact and Conclusions of Law and all the substantive matter
10 underlying those w as entered on January 16th. T he deadline
11 to file Motions to Alter or Amend was February -- January
12 16th. , P misspoke, Your Honor . The deadline was February
13 13th. Both Mr. Liberman s Moti on, as well as Mr. Mitchell s
14 Motion , were not filed until the 14th, W K H \ t h e day late.
15 So, in reality, the case law -- and we ve cited it in our
16 brief , says you G R Q H M e jurisdiction to entertain those
17 kind of mo tions. B ut, a gain, and if the Court were
18 inclined to view this another way, I w ould point out that
19 the only difference in the amended findings was a footnote
20 dealin g with the Brun zel I factors.

21 But O H W H M e to the meat of it. Mr. Johnson
22 hammers it : Gee, it s got to be one or the other, this
23 fabrication evidence , concealment of evidence. He forgets
24 th e long , tortured history behind the Motion to Compel.
25 Part of the conspiracy was we re not going to produce

1 documents until we absolutely , positively have to . Exhibit
2 50042 was a memo between Mitchel I and his California
3 attorneys saying, yeah, can -- let ¶ Vkeep delaying this,
4 O H W ¶ keep a ggravating Nype, O H W ¶ stretch it out and wea r
5 him down. I f that G R H V ¶ show t he state of mind to -- and
6 I quote from -- and this is from the Cadle case: An
7 unlawful objective. It does not necessarily have to be a
8 tort. Their unlawful objective was O H W ¶ make it
9 expensive , O H W ¶ make it time con suming, O H W ¶ wear them
10 out , O H W ¶ not give them the discovery, which the Court
11 rules require . So, that , in and of itself is ample basis
12 for the civil conspiracy.

13 But the fi ndings of -- the fact s that the Court
14 did find show numerous additional torts that did occur ,
15 resulting in Mr. Nype ¶ damages. We have a buse of process.
16 You know, falsifying records in litigation can constitu te
17 an abus ive process. T K D W ¶ tort. We have spoil ation of
18 evidence, which occurred in New Jersey, wh ere it is a tor t.
19 We cited a New Jersey case law that spo il ation of evidence
20 is a tort.

21 And we also have breach of the implied covenant of
22 good faith and fair dealing . There are numerous tort , as
23 well as unlawful objectives, unlawful conduct , in
24 furtherance of this conspiracy. The record -- Mr. Johnson
25 wants to cherry pick one or two items , the record is

1 replete with the less than good intention s, the less than
2 good conduct of both Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Liberman. We
3 even see it now that Mr. Liberman is not running around
4 encumbering all of his assets so that he can avoid the
5 creditor. It is just part of the same --

6 THE COURT: , I am not considering that as part of
7 the Motion to Alter or Amend.

8 MR. MUIJE: I understand.

9 THE COURT: That may be part of the bond if you
10 have time left .

11 MR. MUIJE: Very good.

12 THE COURT: Keep going.

13 MR. MUIJE: In any event, Judge, the Court had
14 seven days of trial , made substantial findings, they aptly
15 support the rulings that the Court made, and we would urge
16 that the Mitchell and Liberman Motions to Amend both be
17 denied.

18 THE COURT: You're up.

19 MR. JOHNSON: We only have, what , two minutes?

20 THE COURT: Less than that. You're a minute
21 something.

22 MR. JOHNSON: Okay.

23 THE COURT: I G R Q ~~know~~ how to work her calendar
24 -- her timer. So, , I am just going to look on my timer here.

25 MR. JOHNSON: Okay.

1 THE COURT: When we get to 9:52 , ¶ Ptelling you to
2 sit down.

3 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. And one of the key things
4 her e is this is an action against a transfer ee. What the
5 Court found would squarely fall under NRS 112.220 , subpart
6 2, which is the part of the statute that deals with actions
7 against transferees. The -- because this L V Q ¶ finding
8 against LVLP, this is a finding against the tr ansferees.

9 And the other issue, Your Honor , is th e damages .
10 The damages should be limited to what the damage was
11 incurred by Mr. Nype, which would be the amount of his
12 Judgment . We think it is error for the Court to indicate
13 that the amount of damages would be the \$15 million in
14 dis tributions , plus the attorney s ¶ fees that were awarded,
15 which we have a separate argument for in our brief , and,
16 then, of course, the fraudulent conveyan ce that the Court
17 did find with Casino Coolidge.

18 But, Your Honor , the measure of damages should be
19 the amount of the Judgment plus interest , a nd if the Court
20 feels it has made the correct finding with the attorneys ¶
21 fees , but is certa inly the damages should not be the \$15
22 million in distributions for tw o reasons: One, W K D W ¶
23 the wrong measur e of damages . Under 220, it has to be
24 either the amount o f the transferred property or the
25 underlying claim. And that ¶s the measure of damages, Your

1 Honor .

2 THE COURT: Thank you . The Motion -- do you have
3 anything? , ¶ O Give you a minute.

4 MR. BLUT: One minute ¶s plenty, Your Honor ,
5 because my Motion is very similar.

6 THE COURT: Yes.

7 MR. BLUT: , ¶ O Go fast.

8 THE COURT: Like, almost identical similar.

9 MR. BLUT: Well, sure. I t ¶s the same issue s.

10 Just to hit on a couple points, Your Honor , is
11 that the conspiracy , if W K H U HMP parts , W K H U HMP
12 evidence issue , and that, by the Court ¶s findings is only
13 as to Mr. Mitchell, not as to Mr. Liberman. The Court made
14 specific findings. And, also, if th e other is the
15 distribution s, Exhibit 90 ,000 -- 90079 at trial showed that
16 Mr. Rich , plaintiffs ¶ expert, was aware in August of 2011
17 of the distributions that had been made. So, even under
18 the four - year generous statute W K D W ¶ing asserted now ,
19 under NR S 11 for the civil conspiracy , the plaintiff was
20 aware of those transfers more than five years prior to the
21 case was filed. And, then, that would have the conspiracy
22 claim ti me barred on those issues.

23 THE COURT: Thank you .

24 MR. BLUT: Thank you . Ther e is substantial
25 evidence of an unlawful activity by the parties, which

1 supports the civil conspiracy claim. The fraudulent
2 activities related to the accounting and financial records,
3 which were the heart of the issues on the alter ego and
4 related entity, is sufficient to support any award. In
5 this particular case, I have ensured there is no
6 duplication of damages. And I have tried to identify that
7 both the fraudulent conveyance claim and the transfers are
8 included in the \$19 million on the Judgment. That GRHVQ ¶ W
9 include the DWWRUQ fees and litigation expenses. I
10 anticipate I will hear more of, given what I did hear at
11 the prior evidentiary hearing on the discovery torts.
12 TKDW ¶ Why I added the Brunzell factors.
13 So, that takes me -- you're both out of time. ¶ P
14 going to take you to the Motion to Stay but I am going to
15 let you tell me if you have a bond amount you want to give
16 me because, ¶ P not going to give you a stay without a bond.
17 MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, given the Court's
18 ruling, we didn't know, you know, exactly what the amount
19 of the bond would be, anticipating it would be in that
20 range of 19 million, though. TKH \ ¶ U In the process of
21 applying for the bond. TKH \ ¶ U Also in process of having
22 the RTC property appraised because that may be part of the
23 offer for collateral is the interest in the RTC property.
24 So, WKDW ¶ Why we need the additional time. And we would
25 ask the Court extend the stay for 30 days so that we can

1 finish getting a bond and /or combining it with the RTC
2 pro perty.

3 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Muije, now you can tell me
4 about the post - trial and immediately during trial
5 transactions.

6 MR. MUIJE: Very good, Your Honor .

7 THE COURT: Quickly.

8 MR. MUIJE: Not o nly do we have the two that here
9 -- occurred here --

10 THE COURT: You have two minutes.

11 MR. MUIJE: Two minutes.

12 THE COURT: Because you're out of time.

13 MR. MUIJE: Not only do we have the two immediate
14 transactions on the Casino Coolidge property, almost a
15 million dollars in new debt, but one week before trial - -
16 and I would mark this Proposed Ex hibit 3 for the hearing,
17 Your Honor .

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MR. MUIJE: We have a \$2.1 million mortgage
20 extended or recorded again st Mr. Liberman ¶s residence in
21 New York in favor of a New York City attorney , not a
22 fina nce --

23 THE COURT: So, , ¶ Pgoing to admit 1, 2, and 3
24 because this issue now deals with a bond , not the
25 substantive issues on the alter and amend. Okay. Keep

1 going .

2 MR. MUIJE: And, again, from my own personal
3 experience, money may or may not have changed hands. We
4 need discovery to determine it. But we will not be able to
5 sell those at a V K H U L I I \$ave on the issue of market able
6 title, given \$3 million in brand new encumbrances that
7 occurred within 30 days of the trial. And , as I noted on
8 Casino Coolidge, --

9 THE COURT: So, you want a full amount of the
10 bond? Okay.

11 MR. MUIJE: We want \$25 million, Your Honor .

12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you .

13 MR. MUIJE: Very good.

14 THE COURT: Mr. Johnson , you said you need some
15 more time to post the bond. We've already had over 30 days
16 since I entered the Judgment. Why do you need more time?

17 MR. JOHNSON: Well, it is a substantial amount of
18 money. And W K H U H I I \$ave, of course, of what
19 collateral would have to be posted to support the bond.

20 And, so, W K D W o n of the process. It does take time to
21 -- underwriters have to look at it . And, again, we're
22 getting the appraisal on the RTC property.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. JOHNSON: And that -- we expect to get that in
25 maybe a week or 10 days.

1 THE COURT: Because of the additional encumbrance s
2 that have occurred on the properties, I am going to deny
3 the request for any additional stay and require a bond for
4 stay of execution on appeal of \$25 million.

5 So, that takes me to the Motion to Tax Costs . I
6 have read your briefing . I am going to reduce the
7 litigation services request to 32,700. The remainder is
8 not related to a recoverable cost. And , ¶ P also going to
9 re tax the monthly service fee to Legal Wings .

10 Anything else any body wants to say? Great. And,
11 then, I think we ¶ve covered everything. All right. Bye,
12 guys.

13 MR. EDWARDS So, you're going to award the
14 attorneys ¶ fees ?

15 MR. MUIJE: That Z D V Q ¶n the calendar .

16 THE COURT: I G R Q ¶ave attorney s ¶ fees on today.
17 T K D W ¶n March 13 th, on my chambers calendar. , ¶ P going to
18 read it. , ¶ P going to remember all the testimony , ¶ Y Had
19 about the Brunzell factors before. And, then, , ¶ P going to
20 decide if I want to talk to you.

21 MR. EDWARDS: Okay.

22 THE COURT: There was a status check o n an
23 evidentiary hearing on March 16 th, Dulce , and I believe
24 W K D W ¶n error and belongs to another case. There ¶s
25 another motion that is the Plaintiffs ¶ Motion to Amend

1 that is on March 20th. , I Pprobably going to put the 13th and
2 the 20th hearing on , on a different day.

3 MR. EDWARDS: Okay.

4 MR. MUIJE: Your Honor , just so the Court is
5 aware, if the Court does require a live hearing, I will be
6 out of the country between the 13th and the 24th. So, --

7 THE COURT: , I O make sure , I O Get it when you
8 get back .

9 MR. MUIJE: Very good, Judge.

10 THE COURT: All right. Bye.

11

12 PROCEEDING CONCLUDE AT 9: 58 A.M.

13 * * * * *

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the audio - visual recording of the proceedings in the above - entitled matter.

AFFIRMATION

I affirm that this transcript does not contain the social security or tax identification number of any persons or entity.

KRISTEN LUNKWITZ
INDEPENDENTTRANSCRIBER

1-0001

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2457

Mitch0162898

3-0001

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2458

Mitch0162899

3-0002

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2459

Mitch0162900

3-0003

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2460

Mitch0162901

3-0004

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2461

Mitch0162902

3-0005

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2462

Mitch0162903

3-0006

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2463

Mitch0162904

3-0007

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2464

Mitch0162905

3-0008

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2465

Mitch0162906

3-0009

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2466

Mitch0162907

3-0010

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2467

Mitch0162908

3-0011

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2468

Mitch0162909

3-0012

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2469

Mitch0162910

3-0013

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2470

Mitch0162911

3-0014

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2471

Mitch0162912

3-0015

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2472

Mitch0162913

3-0016

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2473

Mitch0162914

3-0017

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2474

Mitch0162915

3-0018

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2475

Mitch0162916

3-0019

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2476

Mitch0162917

3-0020

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2477

Mitch0162918

3-0021

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2478

Mitch0162919

3-0022

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2479

Mitch0162920

3-0023

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2480

Mitch0162921

3-0024

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2481

Mitch0162922

3-0025

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2482

Mitch0162923

3-0026

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2483

Mitch0162924

3-0027

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2484

Mitch0162925

3-0028

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2485

Mitch0162926

3-0029

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2486

Mitch0162927

3-0030

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2487

Mitch0162928

3-0031

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2488

Mitch0162929

3-0032

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2489

Mitch0162930

3-0033

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2490

Mitch0162931

3-0034

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2491

Mitch0162932

3-0035

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2492

Mitch0162933

3-0036

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2493

Mitch0162934

3-0037

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2494

Mitch0162935

3-0038

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2495

Mitch0162936

3-0039

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2496

Mitch0162937

3-0040

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2497

Mitch0162938

3-0041

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2498

Mitch0162939

3-0042

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2499

Mitch0162940

3-0043

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2500

Mitch0162941

3-0044

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2501

Mitch0162942

3-0045

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2502

9-0001

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2503

9-0002

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2504

9-0003

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2505

Mitch0162845

10-0001

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2506

Mitch0162846

10-0002

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2507

Mitch0162847

10-0003

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2508

Mitch0162848

10-0004

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2509

Mitch0162849

10-0005

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2510

Mitch0162850

10-0006

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2511

Mitch0162851

10-0007

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2512

Mitch0162852

10-0008

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2513

Mitch0162853

10-0009

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2514

Mitch0162854

10-0010

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2515

Mitch0162855

10-0011

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2516

Mitch0162856

10-0012

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2517

Mitch0162857

10-0013

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2518

Mitch0162858

10-0014

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2519

Mitch0162859

10-0015

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2520

Mitch0162860

10-0016

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2521

Mitch0162861

10-0017

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2522

Mitch0162862

10-0018

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2523

Mitch0162863

10-0019

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2524

Mitch0162864

10-0020

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2525

Mitch0162865

10-0021

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2526

Mitch0162866

10-0022

Case No.: A-16-740689-B

AA 2527

