1	BETSY ALLEN, ESQ. Nevada State Bar # 006878		
2	LAW OFFICE OF BETSY ALLEN		
3	P.O. Box 46991 Las Vegas, Nevada 89114		
4	(702) 386-9700	Electronically Filed Apr 05 2021 04:25 p.m	
5	Attorney for Appellant	Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Cour	
6			
7	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
8	JUSTIN PORTER,)		
9) Appellant,)		
10)		
11	VS.)	Case No: 80738	
12	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
13	Respondent.)		
14)		
15)		
16	APPEI	LLANT'S APPENDIX	
17		Volume VI	
18			
19	STEVEN B.WOLFSON, ESQ.	BETSY ALLEN, ESQ.	
20	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar No. 1565	LAW OFFICE OF BETSY ALLEN Nevada Bar No. 6878	
21	Clark County District Attorney's C		
22	200 Lewis Avenue	Las Vegas, Nevada 89114	
23	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 671-2500	(702) 386-9700 Attorney for Appellant	
24	Attorney for Respondent		
25			
26			
27			
28			

INDEX JUSTIN PORTER 80738

Document	Page
Information	001-017
Amended Information	018-034
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	035-065
2 nd Amended Information	066-076
Motion to Sever	077-090
Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 3	091-336
Transcript, Jury Trial, Day 4	337-533
Jury Instructions	534-570
Pro Per Post-Conviction Writ of Habeas Corpus #1	571-589
Pro Per Post-Conviction Writ of Habeas Corpus #2	590-649

probably should not plan to start before 10:30. I just think realistically I don't want you to stay out in the hall longer than we need you to. So just plan to be here at 10:30 tomorrow morning outside the courtroom.

And as usual, during this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet and radio, or to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Thanks. You're excused for the night.

THE MARSHAL: All rise. Folks, leave your books and make your way out.

(Outside the presence of the jury)

THE COURT: All right. The jurors have left the courtroom. Everyone can have a seat.

Before we go ahead and talk about the instructions, I want to go ahead and advise the defendant of his rights before I -- to make that we do that today.

Now, Mr. Porter, under the Constitution of the United States and under the Constitution of the State of Nevada you cannot be compelled to testify in this case. Do you understand

that? 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 2 THE COURT: And you may at your own request give up 3 this right and take the witness stand and testify. If you do, 4 you will be subject to cross-examination by the deputy district 5 attorneys and anything that you may say on direct or cross-examination will be the subject of fair comment when the State speaks to the jury in their final argument. Do you 8 understand that? Yes, ma'am. THE DEFENDANT: 1.0 THE COURT: Okay. Now if you choose not to testify, 11 the Court will not permit State to make any comments to the 12 jury because you have not testified. Do you understand that? 1.3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 14 THE COURT: And if you elect not to testify, the 15 Court will instruct the jury if your attorney requests as follows: That the law does not compel a defendant in a 17 criminal case to take the stand and testify and no presumption 18 may be raised and no inference of any kind may be drawn from 19 the failure of a defendant to testify. 20 Do you have any questions about these rights? 21 THE DEFENDANT: No. 22 THE COURT: Now, if you have a felony conviction and 23 more than 10 years have not elapsed from the date you've been 24 convicted or discharged from prison, parole or probation, 25

whichever is later, unless it's previously been taken up by the 1 Court, if you take the stand and testify the deputy district 2 attorneys in the presence of the jury will be permitted to ask you the following: Have you been convicted of a felony, what was the felony, and when did it happen. However, no details 5 may be gone into. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 7 THE COURT: All right. Now, we're going to talk some 8 more about jury instruction things before we break for the evening. But before we pick up tomorrow, before we continue 10 these proceedings and before you make your final decision about 11 whether to testify or not to testify, you should discuss that 12 decision with your counsel keeping in mind these rights that I 13 have just explained to you. Do you understand that? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right. 16 can go ahead and have a seat. Sorry. 17 (Pause in proceedings) 18 THE COURT: Apparently there is an Exhibit 105. 19 clerk had made an error. Apparently, Exhibit 105 which is a 20 voluntary statement was not moved into evidence. You want to 21 take a look at it and see if it's something that you intended? 22 MS. LUZAICH: Probably just another copy of what I 23

THE COURT: I don't know.

moved in as No. 107.

24

1	(Pause in proceedings)
2	THE COURT: Let's go off the record for just a
3	minute.
4	(Off the record at 4:26 p.m. until 4:27 p.m.)
5	COURT RECORDER: Back on the record.
6	THE COURT: Okay. So State doesn't need and will not
7	be offering 105.
8	MR. TOMSHECK: Correct. In reviewing 105, it's not
9	something we intended to offer for admission, yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. TOMSHECK: That it not go back to the jury
12	(indiscernible).
13	THE COURT: Okay. It's not admitted. It won't be
14	going to the jury.
15	MR. ABOOD: I'd even ask that we remove it from the
16	area so it doesn't run the risk of going back.
17	THE COURT: I trust Keith to not send anything back
18	that hasn't been admitted.
19	MR. BROWN: While we're at it, Your Honor, the
20	Court's aware of the history of this case and the order in
21	which some of the evidence was collected. There was at least
22	one evidentiary bag that was containing the shoes that
23	specifically was not moved into admission because it references
24	sexual assault.
25	THE COURT: Right.

```
MR. BROWN: I Just want to point out that any of the
1
   cover bags -- and I think that may be the only one that
2
   mentions the sexual assault and wasn't moved in, but those do
   not accidentally make their way back to the jury.
             THE COURT: Right. So that was --
5
             MS. LUZAICH: That's the only bag that there is at
 6
7
   all.
             MR. BROWN: I think the shoes were put back into that
8
   bag is the only reason I bring it up --
             THE COURT:
                         Yep.
10
             MR. BROWN: -- when they were cleared and set back on
11
12
   the counter.
             THE COURT:
                         Right.
13
             MR. BROWN: And we just want to make sure that the --
14
             THE COURT: All right. They're not.
15
             MR. BROWN:
                         Okay.
16
              THE COURT: So, right, the bag that the shoes were in
17
   was not moved into evidence and shouldn't go back. All right.
18
   Got it? And, right, the others were moved into evidence.
19
              MR. BROWN: Yeah. And I think those are fine.
20
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Are you ready to go
21
    through the proposed jury instructions? We received today one,
22
    two, three, four additional instructions from the State. Did
23
   defense receive those?
24
              MR. BROWN: I have, Your Honor.
25
```

THE COURT: Okay. All right. 1 (Pause in proceedings) 2 THE COURT: On the State's proposed instructions it's 3 always hard to go through this because they're not numbered 4 yet. But if we just kind of flip through the pages one by one, hopefully, we have the same pages, and you can tell me if 6 there's any objection to them unless you have a better method. MR. BROWN: I'm trying to pull them up now, Your 8 Honor. I inadvertently left my copy sitting on a desk, so I'm 9 going to pull them up and follow along with the Court. 1.0 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 11 MR. TOMSHECK: Do you have a copy of the full set 12 that we provided you, Judge? 13 THE COURT: I think so. I have the one that I've had 14 all week, and I've had the other four that I got today. 15 MR. TOMSHECK: The only reason I ask is neither Ms. 16 Luziach or Mr. Brown have a hard copy. I don't know if they're 17 comfortable following along with (indiscernible). I am. 18 That's how I'm prepared to proceed but --19 THE COURT: Go make a couple copies including those 20 other four. 21 Do you have the defense instructions? 22 MR. TOMSHECK: I have them in electronic form. 23 THE COURT: I know. But do other people need them 24 while he's making copies? 25

```
MR. BROWN: That would be nice, Your Honor.
1
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. Let's go
2
   off for a minute while we're waiting for the --
3
            (Off the record at 4:30 p.m. until 4:39 p.m.)
4
             COURT RECORDER: We're on.
5
             THE COURT: Okay. Does everyone have the sets of the
6
   proposed instructions now?
             MR. TOMSHECK: We do, Judge.
8
             MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. So looking at the
10
   State's proposed instructions are there any instructions in
11
   there to which the defense objects? And try to direct me to
12
   the page or general vicinity.
13 l
             MR. BROWN: It's the instruction called the
14
   transition instruction, Judge.
15 L
             THE COURT: Yeah.
16
             MR. BROWN: It should be after larceny, verdict,
17
   murder. Okay. It's dang near right in the middle. It starts
18
   with, "You are instructed that if the State has established the
19
   defendant's committed first-degree murder."
20
21
              THE COURT: Okay.
            MR. BROWN: It's immediately after --
22
              THE COURT: I got it.
23
             MR. BROWN: -- all murder which is not murder of the
24
   first degree. That's the transition instructions which the
25
```

State has agreed to submit my transition instruction which I 1 submitted to the Court which starts off exactly the same. 2 MR. TOMSHECK: And just for the Court's edification, 3 really the only difference is in the first paragraph, the last sentence Mr. Brown has added after "first fully and carefully 5 considering murder of the first degree" and then the first portion of the enumerated No. 1 is slightly different. THE COURT: "Any of you are not convinced." 8 MR. BROWN: That's correct. 9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 10 MR. TOMSHECK: So the Court's aware, it comes from 11 the Green (phonetic) case. I think some of the language that 12 he pulls is directly from the Green case. The instruction that 13 the State offered is the one we've always given, but I think 14 it's just a minute difference I certainly have no objection to 15 it. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So we're going to switch out the 17 defendant's proposed instruction on that point, that you are 18 instructed that if you find that the State has established and 19 so on. 20 MR. TOMSHECK: Correct, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: And we'll substitute that for what the 22 State had proposed. 23 Anything else? Any other objections to the State's 24

proposed instructions? And I'm including the four additional

ones that we received today. MR. BROWN: I understand, Your Honor. I've reviewed 2 those. No further objections. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Now let me just ask on -- in the 4 State's instruction -- let's see -- looks like about six back 5 from that transitional one we were just looking at in the State's set is an instruction that says, "In your deliberation you may not discuss or consider the subject of penalty or 9 punishment." MR. TOMSHECK: Yes. 10 THE COURT: You had put in there a second sentence 11 that if it was murder of the first degree you will at a later 12 hearing determine the penalty or punishment, so we're going to 13 take out that sentence. 14 MR. TOMSHECK: Right. At the time I had submitted 15 them we had not yet agreed upon it. 16 THE COURT: I understand. I just want to be clear 17 that we need to change that. 18 MR. BROWN: I would agree. 19 THE COURT: Okay. And -- okay. Sorry. 20 towards the front of the stack, a few before the transitional, 21 there's the burglary instruction. "Every person who by day or 22 night enters any building." Let me let you get there and then 23 I'll ask you a question. 24

25

MR. BROWN: You said before that was before the

```
transitional instruction, Your Honor?
             THE COURT: Yes. Like four before.
2
             MR. BROWN: Okay.
3
             THE COURT: Are you there?
4
             MR. TOMSHECK: I am.
5
             THE COURT: Every person who by day or night?
6
             MR. BROWN: It says robbery, not burglary.
7
             THE COURT: Yeah.
8
             MR. BROWN: Yeah. I circled that as well.
9
             MR. TOMSHECK: No. It's supposed to say robbery.
10
             THE COURT: Why?
11
             MR. TOMSHECK: What that instruction says is it
12
   defines what burglary is and in the definition of burglary it's
13
   with the intent to commit a larceny and/or a felony therein is
14
   guilty of a burglary.
15
             THE COURT: Oh, that robbery is a felony offense.
16
             MR. BROWN: Robbery --
17
                         So if that was the crime they intended to
             THE COURT:
18
   commit, then it's a felony. So that's correct.
19
             MR. TOMSHECK: Correct.
20
21
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. TOMSHECK: And then immediately thereafter it
22
   defines what larceny is in the next instruction. I don't have
23
   an objection either way, if you want it in one instruction or
         And I would suggest to the Court that the four additional
25
```

```
instructions that we submitted should go immediately after
1
   those instructions because they're burglary instructions as
2
3
   well.
             MR. BROWN: And, you know, the way it is is fine, but
4
   it almost seems like it would be easier on this instruction if
5
   it said with the intent to commit larceny and/or robbery
   therein because those are the only allegations that we have.
   It includes it. It doesn't -- because the way it was written
   was confusing to me as well.
9
             THE COURT: Yeah. I --
10
             MR. BROWN: And now I understand what he's talking
11
    about.
12
                                  Me too.
              THE COURT: Right.
13
             MR. BROWN: But it almost appeared originally as a
14
15
    typo.
              THE COURT: That makes sense and, well, how is the
16
17
    charge?
             MR. TOMSHECK: Except that in the charging document
18
    Count 1 --
19
              THE COURT: Yeah. That's why I was just looking.
20
              MR. TOMSHECK: -- (indiscernible) appears in
21
    Instruction No. 3.
22
              THE COURT: Larceny and/or robbery under any other
23
    felony.
24
              MR. TOMSHECK: Correct.
25
```

```
THE COURT: So how about commit larceny, robbery,
   and/or another felony? At least it explains the connection to
2
   the below sentence to robbery.
3
             MR. BROWN: Okay.
             THE COURT: I mean, that's the way the charge reads,
5
   "Intent to commit larceny and/or robbery and/or any other
6
   felony."
             MR. BROWN: I have no objection to that.
 8
             THE COURT: All right. So it will read, "Every
 9
   person who by day or night enters any building, house or
10
   apartment with the intent to commit larceny, robbery and/or
11
   another felony therein is a burglary. You are instructed that"
12
    -- actually, then we don't need that other sentence, actually.
13
             MR. BROWN: We wouldn't need it because is what I
14
   originally was trying to do, but it's the State's
15 l
    (indiscernible).
16
              THE COURT: Right. We don't need that other
17
    sentence.
18
             MS. LUZAICH: Good. So larceny, robbery and/or any
19
    other felony?
20
              THE COURT: I could make it any other.
21
                         They (indiscernible) allege one.
                                                            I know
              MR. BROWN:
22
    it's in there but (indiscernible) --
23
              MR. TOMSHECK: (Indiscernible).
24
              MR. BROWN: Oh, the attempt. Okay.
25
```

1	(Pause in proceedings)
2	MR. TOMSHECK: Judge, something that might help clear
3	something up is the subsequent instruction defines larceny. If
4	we were in the burglary instruction to put after the sentence
5	every person day or night, then put the definition of larceny,
6	and then at the conclusion of that say you are instructed that
7	robbery is a felony, I think it might help.
8	THE COURT: I'm fine with putting the larceny
9	sentence in there, but I don't understand why we need to tell
10	them that robbery is a felony if we're telling them that if
1.1	they entered with intent to commit robbery they're guilty of a
12	felony.
13	MR. TOMSHECK: We don't. If you think it reads clear
14	that way, I certainly don't oppose it.
15	THE COURT: So we've got the definition of robbery in
16	another instruction already, correct?
17	MR. TOMSHECK: We do.
18	MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay. So we're moving the larceny
20	sentence
21	MR. TOMSHECK: If you want to take out that robbery
22	sentence in the first one?
23	THE COURT: And just leave it as separate?
24	MR. TOMSHECK: Just leave them separate.
25	THE COURT - Okay.

MR. TOMSHECK: And then after the larceny 1 instruction --2 THE COURT: So there will be one instruction that 3 says, "Every person who by day or night enters any building, 4 house or apartment with the intent to commit larceny, robbery and/or another felony therein is guilty of burglary." Next page, "Larceny is defined as the stealing, taking and carrying away." Then after that, you want the other four that you've proposed. Okay. Okay. 9 Are there any other objections to any of the State's 10 proposed instructions? 11 MR. BROWN: No. Your Honor. 12 Then looking at the defendant's 13 THE COURT: Okay. proposed instructions, now we already did the transition instruction as you've referred to it that we're going to 15 substitute in. 16 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Are there -- let's look at what else you 18 have that defense is proposing. I'm sorry. You had an 19 instruction in the defense proposed behind that transition 20 instruction that is partly duplicative I think. 21 MR. BROWN: It would have been a transition 22 instruction for second-degree to voluntary manslaughter --23 THE COURT: Oh, I got you. 24 25 MR. BROWN: -- in the event --

THE COURT: So we still need to look at that. MR. BROWN: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I got you. I was just 3 looking at it on the whole without reading it in detail. All right. So let's start at the first of the defendant's 6 proposed instructions that I have is the heat of passion which 7 will reduce a homicide to voluntary manslaughter must be such an irresistible passion and so on. MR. BROWN: Correct. And, Your Honor, I also 10 submitted to the Court a felony murder instruction, but the 11 State's is virtually identical, so that's -- if you were 12 looking at that one, obviously there's no need for me resubmit 13 14 that instruction. The other instruction submitted were the voluntary 15 manslaughter and the heat of passion instructions that were 16 offered on behalf of Mr. Porter. And if the Court's inclined 17 to allow them, it would be the accompanying transition 18 instruction that transitions a second-degree murder to a 19 voluntary manslaughter. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. TOMSHECK: And obviously, Judge, the State has an 22 23 objection to any --MR. BROWN: I think before we get into the nuts and 24 bolts and details of the voluntary manslaughter instructions 25

I've submitted and whether the State feels that these are what they would submit if it were a voluntary manslaughter case, we 2 probably ought to first decide whether or not the Court's even 3 going to offer voluntary manslaughter instructions and a voluntary manslaughter verdict option to the jury. The defense 5 would be asking for it. It was pled as an open murder. 6 pled, you know, that includes the multiple homicides. Obviously that includes first-degree murder and second-degree murder. In this case, one of the primary distinctions between 10 a second-degree murder and a voluntary manslaughter is going to 11 be the actions, the heat of passion actions, based upon a 12 provocation. The provocation would be the startling event of 13 the gentleman coming out of the house. 14 Now, that maybe a little different. Obviously, we 15 didn't ask for self-defense instructions --16 THE COURT: No. 17 MR. BROWN: -- in light of the accompanying 18 circumstances. However, the reaction of Mr. Porter based upon 19 being startled provoked him into shooting. 20 Now whether or not that's reasonable or not, that of 21

Now whether or not that's reasonable or not, that of course is up to the jury to decide. And if they determine his reaction was not a reasonable provocation or his actions and response to a provocation were certainly unreasonable, they don't have to consider them at all and they can disregard the

22

23

manslaughter, focus on whether or not he committed the offense and whether it was first- or second-degree murder.

But I think that with respect to considering that the standard's pretty low as to getting an instruction, that we would ask that the Court consider it and submit them to the jury.

MR. TOMSHECK: I mean, I obviously have an opinion about that, Judge.

THE COURT: I'm sure. All right. Let me go ahead and hear what you have to say.

MR. TOMSHECK: I think Mr. Brown's correct. The standard's pretty low, but there has to be some evidence in order to obtain a theory of the case instruction. If they want to argue voluntary manslaughter, there's got to be some evidence that there was some type of provocation that would lead a reasonable person to shoot.

I don't understand especially under -- as how the facts came out at trial that could even be raised given the fact that this individual in the middle of the night who's home in his own apartment with the door dead bolted, the lights are out, and it's clear that he was shot in the back and at an angle that suggests he was bending over.

And when we were discussing earlier, Mr. Brown and I kind of discussed the idea of how that would have to occur.

And essentially what the argument would have to be is that

```
Justin Porter kicks in the door and the owner of the house, the
   lawful residence, in the dark runs at him backwards bent over
   and that is sufficient to arouse a provocation that would lead
   to the defendant firing shots that ultimately kill him.
   don't see how an argument can even be fashioned that would
5
   allow for that instruction to be given.
              THE COURT: Okay. So let me ask you this because --
7
   and I'm sorry. You have more experience in this area at this
8
   point than I do, and I freely admit that.
              To get even second-degree murder you need to have
10
   malic, right? Okay. Now, so what if you -- what if you don't
11
   prove malic but there isn't a provocation? There's nothing in
12
   between.
13
                          Well --
              MR. BROWN:
14
              THE COURT: Sorry I have to ask these questions but I
15
    do.
16
              MR. ABOOD: The malice, Your Honor, is expressed or
17
    implied.
18
              THE COURT: Right.
19
              MR. ABOOD: So I don't know that there's any
20
    circumstance where they wouldn't be able to show malice.
21
              MR. TOMSHECK: If you point a gun at someone and pull
22
    the trigger, it --
23
              THE COURT: And shoot then it's intentional under
24
25
   malice.
```

1 MR. TOMSHECK: Yeah. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. TOMSHECK: Even if he were to say the 4 circumstances that he just suggested, it would certainly be implied malice, but the firing of the gun itself, I --6 THE COURT: Any unjustifiable. I'm just reading the 7 instruction on malice. Okay. 8 MR. BROWN: I mean, what that would be, Your Honor --9 and you're touching on close to where we're at -- is if the theory was he was shot based upon a sudden and irresistible 10 heat of passion, that's a manslaughter if the jury thinks that 11 he was maybe acting reasonable. 12 If the jury thinks that your response to that was 13 unreasonable but doesn't rise to the level to the first-degree 14 murder, it can be second-degree murder. But what that also 15 16 would require would be that basically that level of 17 provocation. 18 And I understand what the State is saying is to 19 having some standard. I disagree that Mr. Lungtok had to be 20 walking out backwards. The Court recalls he was shot seven 21 times, some in the front chest. At some point he was facing 22 clearly turning around and bending and moving, very well could 23 have been --THE COURT: Apparently near the front door. 24

MR. BROWN: Very well could have been heading away.

You know, I mean, that's, you know, that's not -- we really can't tell, but he did get shot in the back, so he was at least facing that way at some point. 3 But Mr. Tomsheck's example that he was backing into him in the dark and that couldn't be a provocation I would 5 disagree with. 6 The startling event which he -- you know, all the 7 evidence in the case essentially the State's relying on as Mr. Tomsheck said in his opening statement are the words that come out of Mr. Porter's mouth. So we have to look to there to find 10 out what the provocation would be, what the startling event is. 11 There can be no doubt based upon how he described his reaction 12 to the man being there as startling. 13 THE COURT: Right. 14 MR. BROWN: Now whether or not it's reasonable or not 15 the jury has to decide, and I suspect most of them will find 16 probably not. 17 THE COURT: Right. 18 MR. BROWN: But that's not the standard for giving 19 them the option and the --20 21 THE COURT: Right. MR. BROWN: -- opportunity to at least argue. 22 THE COURT: "A sudden heat of passion caused by a 23 provocation apparently sufficient to make the passion 24 irresistible." 25

MR. TOMSHECK: And that's precisely the point. 1 sentence and the next paragraph in the instructions which should say something to the effect of the provocation required 3 (indiscernible) consistent with serious or highly-provoking injury sufficient to excite an irresistible passion in a reasonable person. I don't know how you get there, Judge, is 6 7 the State's problem. MR. ABOOD: Well, the injury doesn't have to be 8 direct physical contact. I mean, injury could consist of a 9 frightening event itself, I mean, responding to a shocking, you 10 know -- again, whether or not it's reasonable is a different 11 question. 12 But in terms of whether or not we'd be entitled to 13 that instruction, I think you can conclude that charging open 14 murder in this circumstance where the defendant himself has 15 said that when he walked in there he believed nobody lived 16 there, he fully expected nobody would live there and then 17 someone --18 THE COURT: No. Right. I mean, I think --19 MR. ABOOD: -- rushes him at him from the dark. 20 I mean, I think that the jury could find THE COURT: 21 that he was startled to have someone come out of that bedroom. 22 That certainly can be a reasonable inference to draw given the 23 evidence. 24

25

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I think the final note I

would make or the comparative prejudices versus giving it and not giving it, the -- by not providing it he's denied even the opportunity to have a jury evaluate it, look at it and make a reasonable judgment.

If it is provided to the jury, the worst that can happen if the State is correct is they convince them of their position and the jury doesn't even consider it.

So I think that when you compare relatively the prejudices or potentially that it should err on the side -- if it's a close call -- and I can see that Your Honor's pensive at least thinking about it. If it's a close call, the err should be on providing it and letting the State argue that.

You know, we didn't ask for an instruction that says the State has to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that it's not voluntary manslaughter. I've seen those proffered but that's -- we didn't include that in the packet. The State would just merely meet -- you know, my guess is they're going to be arguing why it is felony murder or first-degree murder and --

MR. TOMSHECK: The prejudice, Judge, is offering a confusing instruction which is not legally appropriate. Open murder as a charge carries with it all the lesser-included offenses including involutionary manslaughter. So I guess my point is where do we draw the line. I mean, I've had cases before --

MR. ABOOD: Well, I think we draw it before

involutionary. 1 MR. TOMSHECK: -- where an involuntary manslaughter instruction is requested in a situation where a gun's used. 3 It's not legally appropriate. It's not a correct application 4 of the law. There has to be some evidence that there was not only a provocation but that it was the type that would make the 6 urge to kill him irresistible. In other words, the classic 7 example catching your wife in bed with someone else. THE COURT: Right. 9 MR. TOMSHECK: There has to be something like that. 10 MR. BROWN: I think our record's pretty fully clear, 11 Judge. I'm ready to submit. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So the serious and 13 highly-provoking injury that what you would argue is some 14 evidence of that is scaring him? 15 MR. BROWN: Well, in essence, Your Honor, yeah. 16 was frightened. 17 18 THE COURT: Startling him? MR. BROWN: Yeah. I mean, he was frightened for his 19 life. The question -- you know, he was scared. It's not self 20 defense because he couldn't reasonably be scared when you go 21 into somebody else's house and they resist you or show up or 22 startle you. 23 But when you're not expecting anybody to be in there, 24 I mean, let's -- we'll move it from being a house for a second. 25

```
Let's assume it's a warehouse or some other place you believe
1
   to be abandoned, you kick in the door going in --
             THE COURT: Okay.
3
             MR. BROWN: -- and somebody pops out and startles you
4
   and frightens you and you shoot them.
5
             THE COURT: Right.
6
             MR. BROWN: Okay. That's where we're at. Is it a
7
   manslaughter, have you murdered that person, did you go -- is
   it felony first-degree murder? Because we're kind of doing a
   little bit of analogy on the only evidence we have is Mr.
10
   Porter's mind-set which was empty, abandoned place, and he went
11
   in there for shelter.
12
             THE COURT: Right.
13
             MR. BROWN: Okay. Now it's up to the jury --
14
             THE COURT: So my question is about the instruction
15
   which says there has to be a serious and highly-provoking
16
   injury or an attempt to commit a serious personal injury.
                                                               So,
17
   sorry. What are you contending would be the serious and
18
   highly-provoking injury?
19
             MR. BROWN: I think Your Honor's touched on it.
20
   would be --
21
             THE COURT: The startling is --
22
             MR. BROWN:
                          Yes.
23
             THE COURT: -- considered an injury.
24
                          Right. I mean, what also is an injury, a
25
             MR. BROWN:
```

```
serious highly-provoking injury, is walking into your bedroom
 1
 2
    and seeing your wife with another man.
 3
              THE COURT: Correct.
              MR. BROWN: That is considered --
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Right.
 6
              MR. BROWN: -- a serious, highly-provoking injury.
 7
    Obviously, nothing happened to you. But in the framework of
    how they're describing it --
 9
              THE COURT: Right.
10
              MR. BROWN: -- you know, that is a serious --
              THE COURT: It's used in that context.
11
              MR. BROWN: -- highly-provoking injury.
12
              THE COURT: Okay.
13
                          This is not quite exactly that, but it is
14
              MR. BROWN:
15
    an event, a startling event that has the reaction on him.
    -- you know, slight or marginal at least to the point where we
16
17
    can argue it. But --
              MR. TOMSHECK: And I think maybe if the roles were
18
    reversed and Gyaltso Lungtok shot Justin Porter, then Gyaltso
19
20
   Lungtok might be entitled to a voluntary manslaughter
    instruction. You don't get one if you kick in someone's house
21
22
    and they happen to get out of their bed naked in the dark and
    say, hey, and you don't get to shoot them and get a voluntary
23
24
   manslaughter instruction.
25
             MR. BROWN: Only the State of Nevada would charge
```

```
Gyaltso Lungtok for a crime when he did that in his own house.
             THE COURT: Yeah. Didn't we charge the pawnshop guy?
2
   Sorry.
3
             Anyway, given the definition of voluntary
4
   manslaughter, I don't think there is any evidence to base that
5
   instruction on.
6
             MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
7
             THE COURT: So I'm going to deny it.
8
             MR. BROWN: Do we at least mark -- I mean, they're --
9
   for the record they're basically just standard. I know that
   the State disagrees, but I would be offering these or
11
   alternative instructions provided by the State in the general
12
    area of voluntary manslaughter.
13
              THE COURT: Right. So --
14
                          But we should go ahead and at least mark
              MR. BROWN:
15
16
   the ones that I've proposed?
              THE COURT: Right.
17
              MR. BROWN: Thank you.
18
              THE COURT: Right. Right.
19
              MR. TOMSHECK: And just so the record's complete on
20
21
    that --
              THE COURT: I need a clean copy to do that with.
22
   Okay. But yes, it should be marked and in the record,
23
    absolutely.
24
              MR. TOMSHECK: Just so the record's complete on that
25
```

in the event there's ultimately an appeal, the State would also have an objection to the form of the instruction should the 2 Court have given them. They're not an appropriate definition 3 of voluntary manslaughter. 4 MR. BROWN: Well, I'm pliable. I'd be willing to 5 listen to their argument. 6 THE COURT: All right. 7 MR. TOMSHECK: I just wanted that on the record. 8 9 THE COURT: So there's a proposed -- you know, I quess all of the proposed instructions should be on the record. 10 The heat of passion instruction, the manslaughter, the unlawful 11 killing instruction is being rejected for the reasons just 12 discussed. 13 The next proposed by the defense related to the 14 felony murder which is duplicative, and we don't need that. 15 MR. BROWN: Correct. 16 THE COURT: The next one is the transition 17 instruction we are using. 18 The next one is the one we now -- we don't need 19 because I've rejected the manslaughter instruction, so that's 20 not being used. 21 Now, the right to testify, did you have one in your 22 set? 23 MR. TOMSHECK: I did. 24 THE COURT: On not drawing any inference. 25

ı	19. I		
1	MR. BROWN: Correct.		
2	THE COURT: So there's already one yeah. Let me		
3	take look back here. Okay. So we don't need that one because		
4	it's duplicative of what we've already got in. Yeah.		
5	We'll need to make sure that we have sets of the		
6	proposed instructions on the record.		
7	(Pause in proceedings)		
8	THE COURT: Okay. So when we pick up at 10:30		
9	tomorrow, we will see if defense has any evidence to present.		
10	And after that, we can go ahead with instructions and argument		
11	and hopefully get it to them around lunch and		
12	MR. BROWN: You think we'll start at 10:30?		
13	THE COURT: You know, nothing ever takes what I think		
14	it will so who knows.		
15	MR. BROWN: One is relative to when we start, but I		
16	think we'll have to them early afternoon, Your Honor.		
17	THE COURT: Okay. Let's see. How long do you		
18	anticipate closings?		
19	MR. BROWN: (Indiscernible).		
20	THE COURT: Yeah. That's the way it works.		
21	MR. TOMSHECK: Mine's last, so it depends on what he		
22	has to say.		
23	THE COURT: All right.		
24	MR. BROWN: Like he hadn't already written it.		
25	THE COURT: Okay. Well, unless my morning hearing		

```
takes significantly longer than what I'm hoping it will, I
1
   mean --
 2
             MR. BROWN: (Indiscernible).
 3
              THE COURT: -- if we get started really late, I don't
 4
   know what we'll do. But I'd rather get through all the
 5
   arguments before we break and then just let them go deliberate
   and eat or eat and deliberate I guess is more properly --
 7
             MR. BROWN: How long do you think you'll keep them
 8
 9
   here tomorrow, Judge?
              THE COURT: Tomorrow, that's a good question.
10
   mean, I'd probably let them stay tomorrow night for a while.
11
   don't know that I want to be here until midnight tomorrow night
12
   if it goes there.
13
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                     I agree.
14
              THE COURT: I don't know. It will partly depend on
15
   talking to them and see if they're still deliberating what they
16
   prefer to do. If they'd rather -- you know, if they think
17
   they'll have a verdict by 8:00 o'clock, I might let them stay.
18
   If they think it's going to be awhile, I might just bring them
19
   back Monday morning and they can deliberate while we're picking
20
   another jury. I'll kind of feel them out and see how it looks.
21
22
   Okay?
                        (Pause in proceedings)
23
              THE COURT: All right. Good night.
24
                          Thank you, Your Honor, appreciate it.
             MR. BROWN:
25
```

```
Judge, are we going to number them
             MR. TOMSHECK:
1
   prior to going on the record or is it -- because Ms. Luziach is
2
   going to put numbers in her PowerPoint presentation.
             MS. LUZAICH: Well, I mean, I can go without.
4
5
   fine.
             MR. TOMSHECK: Where is the --
6
             THE COURT: We'll be numbering them.
7
             MR. TOMSHECK: Where is the right of the defendant
8
   instruction going to go (indiscernible)?
9
             THE COURT: Yes.
10
             MR. TOMSHECK: Because we had submitted it behind the
11
   verdict form in the event they (indiscernible).
12
             THE COURT: Where do you want it?
13
             MS. LUZAICH: I usually put it after --
14
             THE COURT: Oh, sorry.
15
             MS. LUZAICH: -- reasonable doubt.
16
              THE COURT: The verdict form, there was nothing --
17
   given that I've rejected the voluntary manslaughter which I
18
   understand you disagree with, other than that is the verdict
19
   form acceptable?
20
              MR. BROWN: You know, I didn't double-check. Did
21
    they put a not guilty on there?
22
23
              MS. LUZAICH: No.
              THE COURT: They did.
24
              MR. BROWN:
                          Okay.
                                 That's rare.
25
```

THE COURT: It's a small box, but it's there. 1 MR. BROWN: It's smaller? All right. Your Honor, I 2 think that the defendant testifying is a good place for it. 3 All other things being equal, probably right after the 4 reasonable doubt instruction or in that area, you know. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. BROWN: Kind of with the nine neutral legal 7 instruction, not specific to any --8 MR. TOMSHECK: I think the appropriate place is right 9 behind the reasonable doubt instruction. 10 MS. LUZAICH: That's where I've always put it. 11 THE COURT: Okay. So right behind the reasonable 12 doubt instruction. And then what about -- we'll have to throw 13 the playback in somewhere. 14 MR. TOMSHECK: We don't need that if you don't want 15 I prefer not to give it. That's why I put it in the back. 16 A lot of courts don't give it, and I think it's a pain when we 17 do. 18 I give it. I haven't had anyone ask for THE COURT: 19 it, and I hope that will continue. I'll put it right before 20 the last instruction, right before the now you will listen to 21 the arguments, the playback one I'm talking about. It should 22 be after the ones that you're focusing on for your argument 23 purpose. 24 (Court recessed at 5:07 p.m., until May 8, 2009, at 10:43 a.m.) 25

<u>INDEX</u>

<u>WITNESSES</u>

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
NAME	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSE	<u>s</u> :			
Dr. Alane Olson	3	21	29	
Joel Geller	30	43	44	
Det. Barry Jensen	48	69	73	74
Det. James LaRochell	e 78	120	155	161
	*	* *		
	EXH	BITS		
DESCRIPTION:				ADMITTED
PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS	<u>:</u>			
97 Photograph 98-99 Photograph 100 Photograph 70 Poster Boa 95-96 Photograph 106-B Saucony Sh 102 Miranda Ca 107 Transcript 104 Recorded S 101 Drawing 103 Bus Ticket 108 Second Sta 56-68 Photograph	rd s loes rd of Recorde tatement	ed Stateme	nt	10 11 36 38 41 58 67 101 110 113 116 160 163

VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC ♦ (303) 915-1677

CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

AFFIRMATION

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC Littleton, CO 80120 (303) 798-0890

MICHELE PHELPS, TRANSCRIBER

1-22-10

DATE

VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC ♦ (303) 915-1677

1	INST		
2		FILED IN OPEN COURT MAY - 9 2000 4:00 PM	
3		EDWARD A. FRIEDLAND CLERK OF THE COURT	
4		BY DEPUTY KEITH REED	
5	DICTRIC		
6		T COURT NTY, NEVADA	
7			
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
9	Plaintiff,	Case No. C174954	
10	-vs-	Dept No. VI	
11	JUSTIN D. PORTER,		
12	Defendant.		
13	INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY (INSTRUCTION NO. 1)		
14	MEMBERS OF THE JURY:		
15	It is now my duty as judge to instruct you in the law that applies to this case.		
16	is your duty as jurors to follow these instructions and to apply the rules of law to the facts a		
17	you find them from the evidence.		
18	You must not be concerned wit	h the wisdom of any rule of law stated in these	
19	instructions. Regardless of any opinion you	may have as to what the law ought to be, i	
20	would be a violation of your oath to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that		
21	given in the instructions of the Court.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

If, in these instructions, any rule, direction or idea is repeated or stated in different ways, no emphasis thereon is intended by me and none may be inferred by you. For that reason, you are not to single out any certain sentence or any individual point or instruction and ignore the others, but you are to consider all the instructions as a whole and regard each in the light of all the others.

The order in which the instructions are given has no significance as to their relative importance.

An Information is but a formal method of accusing a person of a crime and is not of itself any evidence of his guilt.

In this case, it is charged in a Third Amended Information that on or about the 8th day of June, 2000, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

COUNT 1 – BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, while in possession of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a gun, with intent to commit larceny, and/or robbery and/or any other felony, that certain building occupied by GYALTSO LUNGTOK, located at 415 South 10th Street, Apartment No. H, Las Vegas, Nevada, Clark County, Nevada.

COUNT 2 - ATTEMPT ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to take personal property, to-wit: lawful money of the United States and/or jewelry and/or any other property of GYALTSO LUNGTOK, from the person of GYALTSO LUNGTOK, or in his presence, by means of force or violence or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of the said GYALTSO LUNGTOK, said defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a gun, during the commission of said crime.

<u>COUNT 3</u> – MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (OPEN MURDER)

did then and there wilfully, feloniously, without authority of law, and with premeditation and deliberation and malice aforethought, kill GYALTSO LUNGTOK, a human being, by shooting at and into the body of the said GYALTSO LUNGTOK with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a gun, the defendant being responsible under one or more of the following theories of criminal liability, to-wit: 1) Premeditation and deliberation: by the defendant directly committing said felony offense as the perpetrator, and/or 2) Felony murder: by the defendant committing said felony offense during the perpetration or attempted perpetration of the crime(s) of burglary and/or robbery.

It is the duty of the jury to apply the rules of law contained in these instructions to the facts of the case and determine whether or not Defendant is guilty of the offenses charged. Each charge and the evidence pertaining to it should be considered separately. The fact that you may find a defendant guilty or not guilty as to one of the offenses charged should not control your verdict as to any other defendant or offense charged.

AA537

In this case the defendant is accused in a Third Amended Information alleging an open charge of murder. This charge may include murder of the first degree and murder of the second degree.

The jury must decide if the defendant is guilty of any offense and, if so, of which offense.

Murder is the unlawful killing of a human being with malice aforethought, either
express or implied. The unlawful killing may be effected by any of the various means b
which death may be occasioned.

Malice aforethought means the intentional doing of a wrongful act without legal cause or excuse or what the law considers adequate provocation. The condition of mind described as malice aforethought may arise, from anger, hatred, revenge, or from particular ill will, spite or grudge toward the person killed. It may also arise from any unjustifiable or unlawful motive or purpose to injure another, proceeding from a heart fatally bent on mischief or with reckless disregard of consequences and social duty. Malice aforethought does not imply deliberation or the lapse of any considerable time between the malicious intention to injure another and the actual execution of the intent but denotes an unlawful purpose and design as opposed to accident and mischance.

Express malice is that deliberate intention unlawfully to take away the life of a human being, which is manifested by external circumstances capable of proof.

Malice may be implied when no considerable provocation appears, or when all the circumstances of the killing show an abandoned and malignant heart.

Murder of the First Degree is murder which is (a) committed in the perpetration or attempted perpetration of a robbery and/or burglary and/or (b) perpetrated by any kind of willful, deliberate and premeditated killing.

Murder of the first degree is murder which is perpetrated by means of any kind of willful, deliberate, and premeditated killing. All three elements -- willfulness, deliberation, and premeditation -- must be proven beyond a reasonable doubt before an accused can be convicted of first-degree murder.

Willfulness is the intent to kill. There need be no appreciable space of time between formation of the intent to kill and the act of killing.

Deliberation is the process of determining upon a course of action to kill as a result of thought, including weighing the reasons for and against the action and considering the consequences of the actions.

A deliberate determination may be arrived at in a short period of time. But in all cases the determination must not be formed in passion, or if formed in passion, it must be carried out after there has been time for the passion to subside and deliberation to occur. A mere unconsidered and rash impulse is not deliberate, even though it includes the intent to kill.

Premeditation is a design, a determination to kill, distinctly formed in the mind by the time of the killing.

Premeditation need not be for a day, an hour, or even a minute. It may be as instantaneous as successive thoughts of the mind. For if the jury believes from the evidence that the act constituting the killing has been preceded by and has been the result of premeditation, no matter how rapidly the act follows the premeditation, it is premeditated.

The law does not undertake to measure in units of time the length of the period during which the thought must be pondered before it can ripen into an intent to kill which is truly deliberate and premeditated. The time will vary with different individuals and under varying circumstances.

The true test is not the duration of time, but rather the extent of the reflection. A cold, calculated judgment and decision may be arrived at in a short period of time, but a mere unconsidered and rash impulse, even though it includes an intent to kill, is not deliberation and premeditation as will fix an unlawful killing as murder of the first degree.

There is a kind of murder which carries with it conclusive evidence of premeditation and malice aforethought. This class of murder is murder committed in the perpetration or attempted perpetration of robbery or burglary. Therefore, a killing which is committed in the perpetration or attempted perpetration of such a robbery or burglary is deemed to be Murder of the First Degree, whether the killing was intentional or unintentional or accidental. This is called the Felony-Murder rule.

The intent to perpetrate or attempt to perpetrate a robbery or burglary must be proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

Robbery is the unlawful taking of personal property from the person of another, or in his presence, against his will, by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to his person or property, or the person or property of a member of his family, or of anyone in his company at the time of the robbery. A taking is by means of force or fear if force or fear is used to:

- (a) Obtain or retain possession of the property;
- (b) Prevent or overcome resistance to the taking; or
- (c) Facilitate escape.

The degree of force used is immaterial if it is used to compel acquiescence to the taking of or escaping with the property. A taking constitutes robbery whenever it appears that, although the taking was fully completed without the knowledge of the person from whom taken, such knowledge was prevented by the use of force or fear. The victim of a robbery need not own the property, so long as he possesses the property. Robbery is a general intent crime.

For the purposes of the Felony Murder Rule, the intent to commit the robbery must have arisen before or during the conduct resulting in death. However, in determining whether the defendant had the requisite intent to commit robbery before or during the killing, you may infer that intent from the defendant's actions during and immediately after the killing. There is no Felony Murder where robbery occurs as an afterthought of the killing.

The elements of an attempt to commit a crime are:

- 1) the intent to commit the crime;
- 2) performance of some act towards its commission; and
- 3) failure to consummate its commission.

•

Every person who, by day or night, enters any building, house or apartment, with the intent to commit larceny, robbery and/or any other felony therein is guilty of Burglary.

Larceny is defined as the stealing, taking and carrying away of the personal goods or property of another with the intent to permanently deprive the owner thereof.

The intention with which an entry was made is a question of fact which may be inferred from the defendant's conduct and all other circumstances disclosed by the evidence.

It is not necessary that the State prove the defendant actually committed a larceny or robbery inside the house after he entered in order for you to find him guilty of burglary. The gist of the crime of burglary is the unlawful entry with criminal intent. Therefore, a burglary was committed if the defendant entered the house with the intent to commit a larceny or robbery regardless of whether or not that crime occurred.

Every person who commits the crime of burglary, who has in his possession or gains possession of any firearm or deadly weapon at any time during the commission of the crime, at any time before leaving the structure, or upon leaving the structure, is guilty of burglary while in possession of a weapon.

Every person who, in the commission of a burglary, commits any other crime, may be prosecuted for each crime separately.

Although your verdict must be unanimous as to the charge, you do not have to agree on the theory of guilt. Therefore, even if you cannot agree on whether the facts establish premeditated murder or felony murder, so long as all of you agree that the evidence establishes the defendant's guilt of murder in the first degree, your verdict shall be Murder of the First Degree.

All murder which is not Murder of the First Degree is Murder of the Second Degree.

Murder of the Second Degree is Murder with malice aforethought, but without the admixture of premeditation and deliberation.

/

You are instructed that if you find that the State has established that the defendant has committed first degree murder you shall select first degree murder as your verdict. The crime of first degree murder includes the crime of second degree murder. You may find the defendant guilty of second degree murder if after first fully and carefully considering murder of the first degree:

- 1. Any of you are not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of murder of the first degree, and
- 2. All twelve of you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt the defendant is guilty of the crime of second degree murder.

If you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the crime of murder has been committed by the defendant, but you have a reasonable doubt whether such murder was of the first or of the second degree, you must give the defendant the benefit of that doubt and return a verdict of murder of the second degree.

You are instructed that if you find a defendant guilty of first or second degree murder, or attempted robbery you must also determine whether or not a deadly weapon was used in the commission of this crime.

If you find beyond a reasonable doubt that a deadly weapon was used in the commission of such an offense, then you shall return the appropriate guilty verdict reflecting "With Use of a Deadly Weapon".

If, however, you find that a deadly weapon was not used in the commission of such an offense, but you find that it was committed, then you shall return the appropriate guilty verdict reflecting that a deadly weapon was not used.

AA557

"Deadly weapon" means any instrument which, if used in the ordinary manner contemplated by its design and construction, will or is likely to cause substantial bodily harm or death, or, any weapon, device, instrument, material or substance which, under the circumstances in which it is used, attempted to be used or threatened to be used, is readily capable of causing substantial bodily harm or death.

You are instructed that a firearm is a Deadly Weapon.

AA558

The State is not required to have recovered the deadly weapon used in an alleged crime, or to produce the deadly weapon in court at trial, to establish that a deadly weapon was used in the commission of the crime.

To constitute the crime charged, there must exist a union or joint operation of an act forbidden by law and an intent to do the act.

The intent with which an act is done is shown by the facts and circumstances surrounding the case.

Do not confuse intent with motive. Motive is what prompts a person to act. Intent refers only to the state of mind with which the act is done.

Motive is not an element of the crime charged and the State is not required to prove a motive on the part of the Defendant in order to convict. However, you may consider evidence of motive or lack of motive as a circumstance in the case.

The Defendant is presumed innocent until the contrary is proved. This presumption places upon the State the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt every material element of the crime charged and that the Defendant is the person who committed the offense.

A reasonable doubt is one based on reason. It is not mere possible doubt but is such a doubt as would govern or control a person in the more weighty affairs of life. If the minds of the jurors, after the entire comparison and consideration of all the evidence, are in such a condition that they can say they feel an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge, there is not a reasonable doubt. Doubt to be reasonable must be actual, not mere possibility or speculation.

If you have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the Defendant, he is entitled to a verdict of not guilty.

It is a constitutional right of a defendant in a criminal trial that he may not be compelled to testify. Thus, the decision as to whether he should testify is left to the defendant on the advice and counsel of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify, nor should this fact be discussed by you or enter into your deliberations in any way.

In you deliberation you may not discuss or consider the subject of penalty or punishment.

AA563

The evidence which you are to consider in this case consists of the testimony of the witnesses, the exhibits, and any facts admitted or agreed to by counsel.

There are two types of evidence; direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is the testimony of a person who claims to have personal knowledge of the commission of the crime which has been charged, such as an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is the proof of a chain of facts and circumstances which tend to show whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given either direct or circumstantial evidence. Therefore, all of the evidence in the case, including the circumstantial evidence, should be considered by you in arriving at your verdict.

Statements, arguments and opinions of counsel are not evidence in the case. However, if the attorneys stipulate to the existence of a fact, you must accept the stipulation as evidence and regard that fact as proved.

You must not speculate to be true any insinuations suggested by a question asked a witness. A question is not evidence and may be considered only as it supplies meaning to the answer.

You must disregard any evidence to which an objection was sustained by the court and any evidence ordered stricken by the court.

Anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must also be disregarded.

The credibility or believability of a witness should be determined by his manner upon the stand, his relationship to the parties, his fears, motives, interests or feelings, his opportunity to have observed the matter to which he testified, the reasonableness of his statements and the strength or weakness of his recollections.

If you believe that a witness has lied about any material fact in the case, you may disregard the entire testimony of that witness or any portion of his testimony which is not proved by other evidence.

A witness who has special knowledge, skill, experience, training or education in a particular science, profession or occupation is an expert witness. An expert witness may give his opinion as to any matter in which he is skilled.

You should consider such expert opinion and weigh the reasons, if any, given for it. You are not bound, however, by such an opinion. Give it the weight to which you deem it entitled, whether that be great or slight, and you may reject it, if, in your judgment, the reasons given for it are unsound.

Although you are to consider only the evidence in the case in reaching a verdict, you must bring to the consideration of the evidence your everyday common sense and judgment as reasonable men and women. Thus, you are not limited solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify. You may draw reasonable inferences from the evidence which you feel are justified in the light of common experience, keeping in mind that such inferences should not be based on speculation or guess.

A verdict may never be influenced by sympathy, prejudice or public opinion. Your decision should be the product of sincere judgment and sound discretion in accordance with these rules of law.

When you retire to consider your verdict, you must select one of your number to act as foreperson who will preside over your deliberation and will be your spokesperson here in court.

During your deliberation, you will have all the exhibits which were admitted into evidence, these written instructions and forms of verdict which have been prepared for your convenience.

Your verdict must be unanimous. As soon as you have agreed upon a verdict, have it signed and dated by your foreperson and then return with it to this room.

If, during your deliberation, you should desire to be further informed on any point of law or hear again portions of the testimony, you must reduce your request to writing signed by the foreperson. The officer will then return you to court where the information sought will be given you in the presence of, and after notice to, the district attorney and the Defendant and his/her counsel.

Playbacks of testimony are time-consuming and are not encouraged unless you deem it a necessity. Should you require a playback, you must carefully describe the testimony to be played back so that the court recorder can arrange his/her notes. Remember, the court is not at liberty to supplement the evidence.

AA569

Now you will listen to the arguments of counsel who will endeavor to aid you to reach a proper verdict by refreshing in your minds the evidence and by showing the application thereof to the law; but, whatever counsel may say, you will bear in mind that it is your duty to be governed in your deliberation by the evidence as you understand it and remember it to be and by the law as given to you in these instructions, with the sole, fixed and steadfast purpose of doing equal and exact justice between the Defendant and the State of Nevada.

GIVEN:

DISTRICT JUDGE

7.

1

2

3

28

Justin Jug capri Porter

Petitioner/In Propria Persona Post Office Box 650 [HDSP]

Indian Springs, Nevada 89018

AA571

FEB 1 0 2012

CLERK OF COURT

Failure to raise all grounds I this petition may preclude you from filing future petitions challenging your conviction and sentence. 2 (6) You must allege specific facts supporting the claims in the petition you file seeking relief from any conviction or sentence. Failure to allege specific facts rather than just conclusions may cause your 3 petition to be dismissed. If your petition contains a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, that claim will operate to waive the attorney-client privilege for the proceeding in which you claim your 4 counsel was ineffective. 5 (7) If your petition challenges the validity of your conviction or sentence, the original and one copy must be filed with the clerk of the district court for the county in which the conviction occurred. 6 Petitions raising any other claim must be filed with the clerk of the district court for the county in which you are incarcerated. One copy must be mailed to the respondent, one copy to the attorney 7 general's office, and one copy to the district attorney of the county in which you were convicted or to the original prosecutor if you are challenging your original conviction or sentence. Copies must 8 conform in all particulars to the original submitted for filing. 9 PETITION 10 1. Name of institution and county in which you are presently imprisoned or where and who you 11 are presently restrained of your liberty: High Desect State Prison (HDSP) 12 2. Name the location of court which entered the judgment of conviction under attack: 13 Eighth Judicial District court, Clark County; Eliss F. Cadish, Judge. 14 3. Date of judgment of conviction: October 13, 2009 15 4. Case number: <u>C-174954</u> 16 5. (a) Length of sentence: Life in prison with parole eligibility after 20 years. 17 (b) If sentence is death, state any date upon which execution is scheduled: 18 6. Are you presently serving a sentence for a conviction other than the conviction under attack in 19 this motion: 20 Yes ____ No ____ If "Yes", list crime, case number and sentence being served at this time: _____ 21 22 7. Nature of offense involved in conviction being challenged: Violate Of Porter's 23 Fifth Amendment, Remand case to Juvenile, NRS 193.165. 24 Additional Penalty 26 27

1	8. What was your plea? (Check one)
2	(a) Not guilty <u>X</u>
3	(b) Guilty
4	(c) Nolo contendere
5	9. If you entered a guilty plea to one count of an indictment or information, and a not guilty plea
6	to another count of an indictment or information, or if a guilty plea was negotiated, give details:
7	
8	
9	10. If you were found guilty after a plea of not guilty, was the finding made by: (check one)
10	(a) Jury X
11	(b) Judge without a jury
12	11. Did you testify at trial? YesNo
13	12. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction?
14	Yes No
15	13. If you did appeal, answer the following:
16	(a) Name of court:
17	(b) Case number or citation: C-174954
18	(c) Result: Conviction is Affirmed.
19	(d) Date of appeal: Jul 3,2010
20	(Attach copy of order or decision, if available).
21	14.) If you did not appeal, explain briefly why you did not:
22	
23	
24	15. Other than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously
25	filed any petitions, applications or motions with respect to this judgment in any court, state or
26	federal? Yes No _X
27	*
28	3

		our answer to No 15 was "Yes", give the following information:
	(a)	(1) Name of court:
		(2) Nature of proceedings:
5		(3) Grounds raised :
7		(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
9		Yes No
0		(5) Result:
1		(6) Date of result:
2		(7) If known, citations of any written opinion or date of orders entered pursuant to each
3	result:	
4	(b)	As to any second petition, application or motion, give the same information:
5		(1) Name of Court:
6	i	(2) Nature of proceeding:
7		(3) Grounds raised:
8		(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
9		Yes No
0		(5) Result:
1		(6) Date of result:
2		(7) If known, citations or any written opinion or date of orders entered pursuant to each
23	result:	
4	(c)	As to any third or subsequent additional application or motions, give the same information
25		list them on a separate sheet and attach.
26		
7		

1	(d) Did you appeal to the highest state or federal court having jurisdiction, the result of action
2	taken on any petition, application or motion? NO
3	(1) First petition, application or motion?
4	Yes X No
5	Citation or date of decision:
6	(2) Second petition, application or motion?
7	Yes No
8	Citation or date of decision:
9	(e) If you did not appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain
10	briefly why you did not. (You may relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response
11	may be included on paper which is 8 ½ x 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not
12	exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length).
13	
14	17. Has any ground being raised in this petition been previously presented to this or any other
15	court by way of petition for habeas corpus, motion or application or any other post-conviction
16	proceeding? If so, identify:
17	(a) Which of the grounds is the same: Motion to suppress Admission or
18	Confession by Defendant, Motion to Remand Case to Juvenile Court
19	" Link there arounds were raised: \\//#
20	
21	(c) Briefly explain why you are again raising these grounds. (You must relate specific facts in
22	
23	to the petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length).
24	
25	
26	
27	
•	

	Questionnaire (19)
To question 19	May case is difficult and complex forme
	to understand. The Attorney that did my
	direct Appear did not get in fouch with
	me at all. And I tried to get in touch with
	Mr. Brooks through letters and phone calls but
	I was unable to And so when I found out
	that I lost my Appeal about A year later
	From my Attorneys I Want to trial With Mr. Brown
	And Mr. Abood I ask them What to do and they
	ten me to five a writ And ten you about my
	low IQ OF 77 and my special needs class's in school.
	I'm not A Educate person. I have no GED or, graduate
	High School But I'm going to School for my GED
	And this is why I'm filing a year later.
	·
	AA576

1	18. If any of the grounds listed in Nos. 23(a), (b), (c), and (d), or listed on any additional pages
2	you have attached, were not previously presented in any other court, state or federal, list briefly what
3	grounds were not so presented, and give your reasons for not presenting them. (You must relate
4	specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 ½ x
5	11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten
6	pages in length).
7	
8	19. Are you filing this petition more than one (1) year following the filing of the judgment of
9	conviction or the filing of a decision on direct appeal? If so, state briefly the reasons for the delay.
0	(You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper
1	which is 8 ½ x 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or
12	typewritten pages in length)
13	
14	
15	20. Do you have any petition or appeal now pending in any court, either state or federal, as to the
16	judgment under attack?
. ~	
17	Yes No <u>V</u>
17	4.1
	If "Yes", state what court and the case number:
18	If "Yes", state what court and the case number: 21. Give the name of each attorney who represented you in the proceeding resulting in your
18 19	If "Yes", state what court and the case number: 21. Give the name of each attorney who represented you in the proceeding resulting in your
18 19 20	21. Give the name of each attorney who represented you in the proceeding resulting in your conviction and on direct appeal: Curtis Brown - AND-Toseph About, And
18 19 20 21	If "Yes", state what court and the case number: 21. Give the name of each attorney who represented you in the proceeding resulting in your
18 19 20 21	21. Give the name of each attorney who represented you in the proceeding resulting in your conviction and on direct appeal: Curtis Brown - AND-Toseph About, And
18 19 20 21 22 23	21. Give the name of each attorney who represented you in the proceeding resulting in your conviction and on direct appeal: Custis Brown - AND-Joseph Abood, And appeal attorney is Howard Brooks. 22. Do you have any future sentences to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the
18 19 20 21 22 23	If "Yes", state what court and the case number: 21. Give the name of each attorney who represented you in the proceeding resulting in your conviction and on direct appeal: Cust's Brown - AND-Toseph Abood, And appeal altorney is Howard Brooks. 22. Do you have any future sentences to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the judgment under attack?
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	If "Yes", state what court and the case number: 21. Give the name of each attorney who represented you in the proceeding resulting in your conviction and on direct appeal: Cust's Brown - AND-Toseph Abood, And appeal attorney is Howard Brooks. 22. Do you have any future sentences to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the judgment under attack?

Summarize briefly the facts supporting each ground. If necessary, you may attach pages stating additional grounds and facts supporting same. (a) GROUND ONE: The Evidence at trial failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Justin Porter Killed the man who died in this case, and a conviction not supported by the Evidence violates Federal and State due Process guarantees. 23. (a) SUPPORTING FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): There is no doubt that a man was killed and no one saw the Killing happen. There is no doubt that no one Saw a person enter or leave the residence where the dead man lived. We do know a footwear 11 impression of a Saucony shoe was found outside the apartment of the deceased and Justin Porter Owned 13 a saucony shoe, but no one could establish that his Shoe made the footwear impression. There was no blood evidence on his shoe, not did any other evidence connect his shoe to the footwear impression 17 outside the apartment. The state produced no evidence that Justin Poster had any motive to Kill the deceased. The State produced no evidence that Justin Potter had any property from the dead man's apartment the State's entire case was built on the alleged Statements of Justin Porter to Las Vegas police detectives. Those statements were conflictory. in one statement, Poster said he committed no crime in Las Vegas in another Statement,

GROUND	CONTINUED
0100 0110	Q011111QDD

1	he said he knew someone named Dionne" Who
2	Committed a Pobbery at the dead man's apartmen
3	The police never made any effort to find or
4	interview Dionne. In yet anothe statement Porter.
5	Said he was running from the police and tried
6	to take refuge in an apartment he thought to be
7	vacant When he obtained entry into the apastment,
8	a man approached him, and porter shot the man.
9	under these circumstances, the state can hardly claim
10	they proved parter's quilt beyond a reasonable doubt
11	Therefore, the conviction for second & degree
12.	murder should be vacated.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
· 26	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
27	
28	CONCLUSION Page 4
и	

ı	23. (b) GROUND TWO: THE district Court violated Porters Fifth
2	Amendment light against self-incrimination by allowing the state to
3	Present evidence of involuntary statements allegedly made by
4	Porter to Police Detectives
5	23. (b) SUPPORTING FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): The
6	united States supreme court held that custodial interr-
7	agations can undermine the fifth Amendment privilege agains
8	self-incrimination by exposing a suspect to physical of psychology
9	Psychological coercion. To guard agains such coercion, the supreme
0	court established a procedural mechanism requiring police
1	to give a warning to a suspect before a custodial interrogation
2	If the police fail to provide the Warning, the suspects
3	Statements are worden incomissible at trial Because any
4	weiver of the Miranda lights must be Voluntary, knowing and
5	intelligent the united States supreme court has adopted a
6	"totality DE the circumstances" test in determining whether
7	an alleged waiver of the rights is valid. This test requires
8	evaluation of the defendant's age, experience, education, buckgrow
9	and intelligence in determining whether the defendant had
20	the capacity to understand the warnings provided to him.
21	If a suspect or defendant does not understand the
22	miranda rights he cannot make a Voluntary. Knowing, and
23	interligent waiver of those sights. When question Juveniles
24	the police Should Caution the Juvenile that his state
25	ment can be used against him in adult court.
26	In this case, the Defense fired a motion to suppress
27	Admissions or confessions by Defendant Porter.
8.	8

CONTINUED

1	23. The motion and the accompanying
2	hearing summarized the history Of Parter's interaction
3	with police. The Chicago Police accested Porter
4	after Las vegas police faxed to them an arrest
5	23. (c) STUDION THE CTS (Tell your story-briefly suither triting cases or law): War Can I
6	for othe Charges Pending against Porter. Las vegse
7	detectives Fiew + Chicago to question Postes. Las vegas
8	detectives lensen and Larochelle say they read Porter
9	the miranda lights. The mirand warnings was not
10	recorded on the audiotape of the interview. The
11	audiotape of the interview has porter stating to
12	the detective Jensen When he 95k Poster if
13	he understand the rights that Was read to him
14	and Porter say Hm. Kinda I do, but sometimes
15	I, I don't, yes" Porter later testified he
16	
17	
18	trouble pronouncing the words when he read
19	the card Porters equivocal Waiver can best
20	be understood by considering the testimony or
21	Dr. John Paglini, a forensic psychologist who tested
22	Postes and found that he had verbal I.a. 178,
23	Which placed him in the 4th percentil of People his
24	age. Porter Perception I.a. Is 80 (9th percentile)
25	and his full-scale I.A. was 77 (6th percentile).
26	
27	-9-5-1
28	9

Continued

23. 10 Dr. Paglini opined that Porter was not mentally retarded, but had "severely impaired" scores which evidenced a borderline intelligence paglini also administered achievement tests to porter and his reading skills were equivalent to a second graders Skills with other achievement scores were comparable. Dr. Gregory Brown a Forensic Psychiatrist, evaluated 9 the tests performed by Dr. paglini and the 10 transcripts of the interviews lotter had With police. 11 and he also administered a test to ascertain Whether Poster could comprehend and understand the Miranda sights Which Were all administered to him. Dr. Brown opined that Porter has significant difficulties with Vocabulary, reading, verbal Processing: Dr. Brown concluded by stating To a reasonable degree of psychiatric certainty it's my professional Opinion that he [Porters would have had significant difficult understanding the miranda rights, both with regards to the vocabulary and the comprehension! Porter testified at a hearing on the suppression motion and explained being accest by Chicago police, and the resulting interrogations by Chicago police threatened to beat him with a phone book. under these circumstances, the District Court erred 26 by denying the Defense motion to suppress the statements made to police officers. CONCLUSION

DISTURT COURT PRIED IN
Porter Sentencing. By sentencing porter to IDyears to like for the murder. And 10 years to like for
POSTER SENTERING MILITARS, And 10 years to like to
Dyears to the to the
the Weapon.
Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.): Any Derson Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.): Any Derson Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.): Any Derson
Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law). Who uses a firearm of other deadly weapon or a weapon Who uses a firearm of other deadly weapon or a weapon Who uses a firearm of other deadly weapon or a weapon
who uses a firearm of other ormit westers of as whether of containing of capable of emissing their gas, whether of a containing of capable of emission of a
containing of regard of Delmitted by in the commission of w
not its tossession to the
come spanned to prescribed by statute for the converted
of the hy imprisonment in the State Mison to a
who uses a theath of emitting hear gas, whether of containing of capable of emitted by in the commission of a not its possession is permitted by in the commission of a crime shall in addition to the statute for the crime, to be imprisonment prescribed by statute for the crime, to be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a
and a maximum term of not less than 1 year and a maximum term of not more than 20 years.
and a maximum term of not more than 20 years.
ALD DY LIBERTY
Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.):
Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without change
(c) Ground Seven:
Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.):
Supporting PAC 15 (1611) out 1815
(d) Ground Eight:
Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.):
Subbound sucre ()

(0)

	Ground Nine:
	ACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.):
<u></u>	Ground Ten:
	FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.):
(c)	Ground Eleven:
Supporting	FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.):
(d) Ground Twelve:
Supporting	FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.):

ı	
1	WHEREFORE, JUSTin Postes prays that the court grant Petition
2	relief towhich he may be entitled in this proceeding.
3	EXECUTED at NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS (H.D.S.P)
4	on the day of $2-3-$, 2012 .
5	in not
6	Signature of Petitioner
7	
8	VERIFICATION
9	Under penalty of perjury, pursuant to N.R.S. 208.165 et seq., the undersigned declares that he is
10	the Petitioner named in the foregoing petition and knows the contents thereof, that the pleading is
11	true and correct of his own personal knowledge, except as to those matters based on information and
12	belief, and to those matters, he believes them to be true.
13	1 To D. to
14	Signature of Petitioner
15	
16	
17	Atttorney for Petitioner
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	·
26	
27	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAILING
2	I, JUSTIN POSTES, hereby certify, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), that on this
3	day of <u>J-3-</u> 2012. I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing, "
4	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
5	by depositing it in the High Desert State Prison, Legal Library, First-Class Postage, fully prepaid,
6	addressed as follows:
	and essent as lenews.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	CC:FILE
18	DATED: this day of <u>2-3</u> , 20 <u>12</u> .
19	DATED: this day of,,
20	Justin Jug Capri Porter # 1842449
21	/In Propria Persona
22	Post Office box 650 [HDSP]
23	Indian Springs, Nevada 89018 IN FORMA PAUPERIS:
24	
25	
26	·

AFFIRMATION Pursuant to NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding
(Title of Document)
filed in District Court Case number
Does not contain the social security number of any person.
-OR-
Contains the social security number of a person as required by:
A. A specific state or federal law, to wit:
(State specific law)
-or-
B. For the administration of a public program or for an application for a federal or state grant.
Signature 2-3-12 Date
JUStin Porter Print Name
Title

From: Justin, Jug Capri Porter H.D.S.P. (HDSP) NDOC NO. 1042449 STATE OF THE PARTY 3783 - FG#

B. Box 650

Indian springs, NV89070

RECEIVED

Fr. 3 3 2012

HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON LAW LIBRARY

JUL 0 5 2019

Case	No.	[-]	7.	19	5-
Dept.	No.	<u>G</u>	? .		••••

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CLERK OF COURT

IN THE SHA.... JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ... CLARK.

Justin Porter

٧.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POSTCONVICTION) A-19-798035-W Dept: VI

BRIAN Hilliams - WHEDEN.
Respondent.

INSTRUCTIONS:

(1) This petition must be legibly handwritten or typewritten, signed by the petitioner and verified.

(2) Additional pages are not permitted except where noted or with respect to the facts which you rely upon to support your grounds for relief. No citation of authorities need be furnished. If briefs or arguments are submitted, they should be submitted in the form of a separate memorandum.

(3) If you want an attorney appointed, you must complete the Affidavit in Support of Request to Proceed in Forma Pauperis. You must have an authorized officer at the prison complete the certificate as to the amount of money and securities on deposit to your credit in any account in the institution.

(4) You must name as respondent the person by whom you are confined or restrained. If you are in a specific institution of the Department of Corrections, name the warden or head of the institution. If you are not in a specific institution of the Department but within its custody, name the Director of the Department of Corrections.

(5) You must include all grounds or claims for relief which you may have regarding your conviction or sentence. Failure to raise all grounds in this petition may preclude you from filing future petitions challenging your conviction and sentence.

(6) You must allege specific facts supporting the claims in the petition you file seeking relief from any conviction or sentence. Failure to allege specific facts rather than just conclusions may cause your petition to be dismissed. If your petition contains a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, that claim will operate to waive the attorney-client privilege for the proceeding in which you claim your counsel was ineffective.

(7) When the petition is fully completed, the original and one copy must be filed with the clerk of the state district court for the county in which you were convicted. One copy must be mailed to the respondent, one copy to the Attorney General's Office, and one copy to the district attorney of the county in which you were convicted or to the original prosecutor if you are challenging your original conviction or sentence. Copies must conform in all particulars to the original submitted for filing.

PETITION

 Name of institution 	and county is	n which you	are presently	imprisoned or	where and how	you are presently
1~	d dA =	للناب	$\Omega_{\rm e}$:	0 Fo = 1 (c=	-
restrained of your liberty:	.X230.Keiki.	X.T. ZIVII 6		iC.1PAR.15	LOUNI.Z	*********

2. Name and location of court which entered the judgment of conviction under attack: 2th Suclicial District Court Court Court of Clark, state of Meyacla

3. Date of judgment of conviction: O.C.L. 13th. 2009

4. Case number: <u>C-174954</u>

5. (a) Length of sentence: 10 yrss. to Life with a consecutive 10 yrs. to Life

IPWHC
Inmate Filed — Petition for Writ of Habeas
4847377

A-19-798035-W

THE 05 200 27 MEGENER

ī	(b) If sentence is death, state any date upon which execution is scheduled: \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{A}
ż	6. Are you presently serving a sentence for a conviction other than the conviction under attack in this motion?
3	Yes No
4	If "yes," list crime, case number and sentence being served at this time:
5	
6	
7	7. Nature of offense involved in conviction being challenged:
8	
9	8. What was your plea? (check one)
10	(a) Not guilty
11	(b) Guilty
12	(c) Guilty but mentally ill
13	(d) Nolo contendere
14	9. If you entered a plea of guilty or guilty but mentally ill to one count of an indictment or information, and a
15	plea of not guilty to another count of an indictment or information, or if a plea of guilty or guilty but mentally ill was
16	negotiated, give details:
17	
18	10. If you were found guilty or guilty but mentally ill after a plea of not guilty, was the finding made by: (check one)
19	(a) Jury
20	(b) Judge without a jury
21	11. Did you testify at the trial? Yes No
22	12. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? Yes No
23	13. If you did appeal, answer the following:
24	(a) Name of court: SUPTEME COURT OF NEVADA
25	(b) Case number or citation:5.4.8.6.6.
26	(c) Result: AFEIRMEd
27	(d) Date of result: December 3nd, 2010
28	(Attach copy of order or decision, if available.)

1	14. If you did not appeal, explain briefly why you did not:
2	
3	
4	15. Other than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any
5	petitions, applications or motions with respect to this judgment in any court, state or federal? Yes No
6	16. If your answer to No. 15 was "yes," give the following information:
7	(a) (1) Name of court: Sth. Judicial DISTRICT COURT
8	(2) Nature of proceeding: Petition For WYIT of Habens
9	CORPUS-POST-CONVICTION
.0	(3) Grounds raised:
.1	
.2	
.3	(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No
.4	(5) Result: DENIEC
.5	(6) Date of result: APT i 23,2012
.6	(7) If known, citations of any written opinion or date of orders entered pursuant to such result:
. 7 ·	Findings of Facts And Conclusions of Law Filed June 11,2012
.8	(b) As to any second petition, application or motion, give the same information:
.9	(1) Name of court: 8th J. D. C.
0 0	(2) Nature of proceeding: Retition for Whit at Habres compus Post- conviction
21	(3) Grounds raised:
22	(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No
23	(5) Result: Deviec
24	(6) Date of result: JANUARY 13-2014
25	(7) If known, citations of any written opinion or date of orders entered pursuant to such result:
26	Time Barred
27	(c) As to any third or subsequent additional applications or motions, give the same information as above, list
28	them on a separate sheet and attach.

C. THIRD PETITION

- (1) NAME OF COURT: Sth. J. D. C.
- (2) NATURE OF Proceeding: Petition For Writ of Habeas rorpus, Post-conviction.
- (3) Grounds Raised:
- (4) Did You receive AN evidentian's HEARING ON YOU PETITION &
- (5) Result: Denied
- (6) DAte of Result: MARCH 10th, 2016
- (7) IF KNOWN, citations of any written opinion or bate of orders entered Pursuant to Such Result:

,1	(d) Did you appeal to the highest state or federal court having jurisdiction, the result or action taken on any
2	petition, application or motion?
3	(1) First petition, application or motion? Yes No
4	Citation or date of decision: MARCH 11, 2013
5	(2) Second petition, application or motion? Yes
6	Citation or date of decision: June 11, 2014
7	(3) Third or subsequent petitions, applications or motions? Yes No
8	Citation or date of decision:
9	(e) If you did not appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you
LO	did not. (You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which
11	is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in
12	length.) Petitioner was sexied Appointment of consel. Petitionic
13	is harman to the Law Denial of Fair Proceedings.
14	17. Has any ground being raised in this petition been previously presented to this or any other court by way of
15	petition for habeas corpus, motion, application or any other postconviction proceeding? If so, identify:
16	(a) Which of the grounds is the same:
17	•
18	(b) The proceedings in which these grounds were raised:
19	
20	(c) Briefly explain why you are again raising these grounds. (You must relate specific facts in response to this
21	question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your
22	response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length.)
23	
24	18. If any of the grounds listed in Nos. 23(a), (b), (c) and (d), or listed on any additional pages you have attached,
25	were not previously presented in any other court, state or federal, list briefly what grounds were not so presented,
26	and give your reasons for not presenting them. (You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your
27	response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may not
28	exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length.) Petitioner was sented file tive.

	1 ` /
,1	Assistance of TRIAl and Affellate Course / See MEMORANDUM Attached hereto
2	19. Are you filing this petition more than 1 year following the filing of the judgment of conviction or the filing
3	of a decision on direct appeal? If so, state briefly the reasons for the delay. (You must relate specific facts in
4	response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the
5	petition. Your response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length.)
6	effective Assistance of Course at Trial marlan Allea See monogramous attached books
7	20. Do you have any petition or appeal now pending in any court, either state or federal, as to the judgment
8	under attack? Yes No
9	If yes, state what court and the case number:
10	
11	21. Give the name of each attorney who represented you in the proceeding resulting in your conviction and on
12	direct appeal:
13	
14	22. Do you have any future sentences to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the judgment under
15	attack? Yes No
16	If yes, specify where and when it is to be served, if you know:
17	
18	23. State concisely every ground on which you claim that you are being held unlawfully. Summarize briefly the
19	facts supporting each ground. If necessary you may attach pages stating additional grounds and facts
20	supporting same.
21	
22	
23	

.1	(a) Ground ONE: Petitioner is actually INHOCEUT, Denial
2	OF Due Process of Law 14th amendment to the U.S.C.
3	and Article one sec. : 8 of the Nevada state
4	constitution
5	Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.): See Petitioners
-	Memoranoun with Points and Authorities, Attached
6	to this Petition.
7	10 /MS 1.2 1.11/W.J.c.
8	
9	
10	
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	***************************************
27	
28	

1	(b) Ground TWO: INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF TRIAL
ì	COUNSEL (Derial of the 6th Amendment to
3	the U.S.C.)
4	
5	Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.): See Petitioners
6	MEMORANDUM WITH POINTS AND AUTHURITIES
7	Attached to this Petition.
в	
9	***************************************
10	***************************************
11	
12	
13	***************************************
14	
15	
16	
17	***************************************
18	
19	
20	
21	•
22	
23	
24	
25	·
26	
27	
28	

.1	(c) Ground THREE: IN EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF
à	APPELLATE COUNSEL, (DENIAL OF the 6th
3	AMENDMENT to the U.S.C.)
4	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
5	Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.): See Petitioners.
	MEMORANDUM WITH POINTS AND AUTHORITIES
7	Attached to this Petition.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	,
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

	·
	•
,1 2	(d) Ground FOUR PROSECUTORIAL MISCONIDUCT, (violating the 45th, 6th, AND 14th AMENICIMENTIS)
3	to the U.S.C.)
4 5	Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law.): See Petitioniers
6 7	MEMORANISUM with Points AND Authorities Attached to this Petition.
8	
9	
10	
11	***************************************
12	
13	**************************************
. 14	
15	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
16	***************************************
17	***************************************
18	
19	***************************************
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
29	

1	23. (b) GROUND FIVE! TRIAL COURT ABUSED ITS
2	DISCRETION (VIOLATING the 5th AND 14th
3	amendments to the U.S.C.)
4	
5	23. (b) SUPPORTING FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): See
6	Petitioners memorandum with Points and
7	AUTHORITIES Attached to this Petition.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
17 18	
19	
20	
ŀ	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
8	1 B