## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAVID BURNS,

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed
Aug 12 2020 02:21 p.m.
Supreme Court Cas Elizabeth 34. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

#### APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME 2 OF 16 PAGES 0209-0424

### ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

RESCH LAW, PLLC d/b/a Conviction Solutions Jamie J. Resch Nevada Bar Number 7154 2620 Regatta Dr., Suite 102 Las Vegas, Nevada, 89128 (702) 483-7360

#### ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTY. Steven B. Wolfson 200 Lewis Ave., 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4711

NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL Aaron Ford 100 N. Carson St. Carson City, Nevada 89701 (775) 684-1265

# INDEX Vol 2 DAVID BURNS, CASE NO. 80834

DOCUMENT	VOL.	PAGE NO.
Burns' Motion in Limine #1-3, 10/12/14 1 0013-004		
Instructions to the Jury: 2/17/15		3296-3353
Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial): 5/5/15	16	3377-3379
Notice of Appeal: 3/17/20	16	3380-3381
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty, 10/28/10	1	0009-0012
State's Opp. Burns' Motion in Limine #1-3, 10/13/14	1	0047-0053
Stip. and Order Waiving Separate Penalty Hrg., 2/9/15	14	2820-2821
Superceding Indictment, 10/13/10	1	0001-0008
Transcript: 10/20/14 All Pending Motions	1	0054-0094
Transcript: 1/20/15 Jury Trial (Day 1)	1	0095-0208
Transcript: 1/20/15 Jury Trial (Day 1) (continued)	2	0209-0361
Transcript: 1/21/15 Jury Trial (Day 2)	2	0362-0424
Transcript: 1/21/15 Jury Trial (Day 2) (continued)	3	0425-0643
Transcript: 1/22/15 Jury Trial (Day 3)	4	0644-0853
Transcript: 1/22/15 Jury Trial (Day 3) (continued)	5	0854-0887
Transcript: 1/23/15 Jury Trial (Day 4)	5	0888-1069
Transcript: 1/23/15 Jury Trial (Day 4) (continued)	6	1070-1223
Transcript: 1/26/15 Jury Trial (Day 5)	6	1224-1289
Transcript: 1/26/15 Jury Trial (Day 5) (continued)	7	1290-1424
Transcript: 1/27/15 Jury Trial (Day 6)	7	1425-1507
Transcript: 1/27/15 Jury Trial (Day 6) (continued)	8	1508-1642
Transcript: 1/28/15 Jury Trial (Day 7)	8	1643-1725
Transcript: 1/28/15 Jury Trial (Day 7) (continued)	9	1726-1757
Transcript: 1/29/15 Jury Trial (Day 8)	9	1758-1889
Transcript: 1/30/15 Jury Trial (Day 9)	9	1890-1944
Transcript: 1/30/15 Jury Trial (Day 9) (continued)	10	1945-2108
Transcript: 2/5/15 Jury Trial (Day 10)	10	2109-2162
Transcript: 2/5/15 Jury Trial (Day 10) (continued)	11	2163-2381
Transcript: 2/5/15 Jury Trial (Day 10) (continued)	12	2382-2412

Transcript:	2/6/15 Jury Trial (Day 11)	12	2413-2599
Transcript:	2/6/15 Jury Trial (Day 11) (continued)	13	2600-2622
Transcript:	2/9/15 Jury Trial (Day 12)	13	2623-2819
Transcript:	2/10/15 Jury Trial (Day 13)	14	2822-2996
Transcript:	2/11/15 Jury Trial (Day 14)	14	2997-3032
Transcript:	2/11/15 Jury Trial (Day 14) (continued)	15	3033-3198
Transcript:	2/12/15 Jury Trial (Day 15)	15	3199-3248
Transcript:	2/12/15 Jury Trial (Day 15) (continued)	16	3249-3295
Transcript:	2/17/15 Jury Trial (Day 16)	16	3358-3369
Transcript:	4/23/15 Sentencing	16	3370-3376
Verdict: 2,	/17/15	16	3354-3357

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
2	MR. DiGIACOMO: And nothing about you'd be able to
3	set aside, I'm guessing, kind of the notions of maybe overall,
4	as a broad view, the criminal justice system may not be fair,
5	and focus on your role in it, in this one situation?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
7	MR. DiGIACOMO: You also noted something about drugs
8	and alcohol and addicts as being a pretty strong motivation
9	for people to do some pretty bad things?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
11	MR. DiGIACOMO: Would you agree with me that people,
12	whether they're a drug addict or they just want drugs or they
13	just want money, whatever their motivations are, people on
14	drugs should be held responsible for their crimes?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
16	THE MARSHAL: I'm sorry, jurors. I need you to speak
17	directly into that microphone
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Okay.
19	THE MARSHAL: because if you don't
20	THE COURT: Yeah, the the recorder doesn't hear
21	your voice just even though we can hear it, the recorder can't
22	hear it unless you speak into the microphone.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Okay.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	MR. DiGIACOMO: I just lost my train of thought, but

I think you said that — that, you know, people that are on drugs should be held responsible. So I'm going to flip it to the other side. Would you agree with the idea that, you know, people make bad decisions, ultimately victims in cases may not live the way you would expect them to live or do things that you would expect them to do, but are they still entitled to the protections of our justice system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.

MR. DiGIACOMO: And some of the questions about your opinions about defense attorney prosecutor judges, you put some question marks, I'm assuming, because you don't have a lot of contact with anybody other than Mr. Langford, and that wasn't in the capacity as a defense attorney; would that be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir, correct.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. But you did indicate that — I'm going to paraphrase there — there's probably good cops and there's bad cops?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.

MR. DiGIACOMO: And you'd agree with me that being a police officer is no different than being anybody else, a lawyer, a sporting goods salesman, a judge, there's probably good ones and there's probably bad ones?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Would you be willing to give each cop

individual treatment and make a decision on whether or not he's a good cop or a bad cop based upon what happens on the stand and not some sort of prior notion?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.

2.4

MR. DiGIACOMO: Have you ever had a bad experience with a police officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Not super bad, just like attitude. You know, like, I've had some police officers that have gone out of their way to help me when, you know, I don't know they really had to go that far. You know, I've been like, I've had a major blowout on 95 and I was in a airline dress uniform. And I told the state trooper I could, you know, change my own tire, it was no problem. But he would not allow me to do it, he insisted on doing it for me.

But I've also had, like, when we first moved here, and we — I've only been here about two days and I brought down my aunt and uncle's collection of our handguns and shotguns that they used to have. One of them was an antique that was given to my — passed down from family member to family member. It was my great-grandfather's. And it was all pure silver plated. And it was, like, it wasn't really to be used, it was just, like, a display piece. And we'd just gotten down here, like, two days, and our house got robbed and broke into. And Christmas gifts were stolen and everything.

But I quess that officer had had a bad day or

2.4

something, but they started scolding my aunt, Why wasn't it registered? And we're trying to explain to them, We just got it down here two days ago. And we didn't even know at that time, you know, how long we had to have it. And, you know, he seemed to be more upset that we hadn't had a decorative handgun registered already instead of, you know, my aunt was falling apart because Christmas had been ruined and everything else.

So, you know, there's good and bad to everything.

MR. DiGIACOMO: And I'm guessing then, from your answers, that you can set aside kind of your — the bad experience, the home invasion, or even necessarily the good experience with the trooper that, you know, basically, you listen to the witness, decide whether or not the witness is not only being truthful, but also, you know, could he have seen what he saw, was he mistaken? You know, there may be any number of reasons to challenge the credibility of a witness.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Mr. Sgro asked us a couple of jurors before, and I thought I would just ask you, I mean, you would agree or would you agree with the idea that, you know, there are times when people have pretty strong motivations to tell lies.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.

MR. DiGIACOMO: And those motivations may be

1	different in the in the future than they were at the time
2	that they were first asked the questions; would you agree with
3	that kind of idea?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
5	MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think that you could assess
6	people's motivations for when they made the statements that
7	they made versus maybe the statements they're saying from the
8	stand?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
10	MR. DiGIACOMO: And merely just because someone may
11	have lied in the past doesn't necessarily mean they're lying
12	now; would you agree with that statement?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Correct. Yes.
14	MR. DiGIACOMO: I guess I'm going to skip, then, to
15	to penalty. Prior to coming in here, had you ever thought
16	of the death penalty before?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: All right. Do you believe in the
19	death penalty?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: If you were let's say king of Nevada,
22	so you get to make the rules, would you have death penalty as
23	one of the options potentially in a murder case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. Depending on
25	the circumstance

1 MR. DiGIACOMO: Can -- Mr. Sgro asked this question. 2 I'm assuming this means that at least in some circumstances 3 you'd be willing to impose the death penalty? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. 5 MR. DiGIACOMO: Let's talk about the flip side. Mr. 6 Sgro was asking the prior juror, you know, can you conceive of 7 an idea where you might be willing to consider parole for 8 somebody who's convicted of first degree murder? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. 10 MR. DiGIACOMO: Can you think of a situation in your 11 -- in your mind, not telling us what it is, but can you 12 contemplate some situation where that might happen? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. 14 MR. DiGIACOMO: Mr. Sgro made a lot of statements 15 about, you know, first degree murder, it's not self-defense, 16 it's not accidental. The court's going to give you what the 17 law is as it relates to that. You are going to also find out 18 that you could be guilty of first degree murder and never 19 physically have killed anybody before, there might be any 20 number of mitigating factors. Would you agree, that might say 21 to yourself, Some people deserve parole where others don't? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. 23 MR. DiGIACOMO: If at the end of the day Ms. Weckerly 2.4 and I prove our case beyond a reasonable doubt, any hesitation 25

in coming in here and finding the defendants guilty?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: No, sir. 2 MR. DiGIACOMO: And likewise, if we get to the 3 situation, and I don't want to exclude Mr. Mason, I don't ever 4 want the jury to not think this is just as important to Mr. 5 Mason as it is to Mr. Burns, but since Mr. Burns has four 6 possible punishments, I mean it for both Mr. Mason and Mr. 7 Burns, but for Mr. Burns it's only four. If at the end of the 8 day you think the maximum punishment is appropriate for either 9 defendant, any issue coming in here and -- and announcing that 10 verdict here in court? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: No, sir. 12. MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you very much, sir. 13 pass for cause. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Oram? 15 MR. ORAM: Thank you. Good afternoon. Mr. Newton, 16 you -- you can hear how this is going along, some of the same 17 questions are starting to come out. Had you ever watched any 18 of these shows, CSI shows or anything like that? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: No, sir. I have no 20 interest in them. 21 MR. ORAM: You have no interest in them? Do -- do 22 you ever watch the documentaries where it talks about crimes 23 and maybe The First 48 or something like -- like that? 2.4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: No, sir. I -- my basic 25

KARR REPORTING, INC.

interest for, like, TV, is more dealing with camping, hunting,

The three

1 fishing, cooking, photography, that sort of stuff. But I've 2 -- I tried watching stuff with my aunt and uncle, like NCIS or 3 whatever once or twice, and I just found it not to my taste. 4 MR. ORAM: Because a lot of what happens in these 5 courtrooms is very negative, would you agree with me? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: I -- you know, from what 7 I've seen on, like, news reports or something once in a great 8 while. 9 MR. ORAM: Well -- well, what we're doing here is, 10 there's a negativity. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: There's a -- yeah, 12 there's a -- there's --13 MR. ORAM: And it's [indiscernible], right? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. 15 MR. ORAM: And that's -- so it's something you -- you 16 stay away from. You don't watch any of that stuff at all? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: No. Just because I know 18 it's, like, fiction. But I, you know, like for me, everything 19 has always been about camping, fishing, and that's just always 20 been my -- my forte in, you know, like, there's a saying that 21 life is fishing, fishing is life. So that's just all I'm in 22 to. So. 23 MR. ORAM: Okay. Fair enough. But you wouldn't 2.4 close down to it. In other words, you're going to hear

KARR REPORTING, INC.

scientists get up on that witness stand.

25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
2	MR. ORAM: And you're familiar with some of those
3	terms, like DNA and fingerprints?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
5	MR. ORAM: Okay. And have you ever heard of stories
6	where they later found out somebody was convicted and found
7	out that they hadn't done the crime?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
9	MR. ORAM: And a lot of times that's based on
10	science?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
12	MR. ORAM: So you'd you'd be looking at science
13	pretty carefully; is that fair?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Both the scientific
15	evidence and from the two sides parties.
16	MR. ORAM: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: What they present.
18	MR. ORAM: And and again, just so we're clear, we
19	don't have to present anything, right?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Correct.
21	MR. ORAM: I don't have to ask you these questions?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Correct.
23	MR. ORAM: And I think the sort of silly example, but
24	it is, it's true, okay, so I'll give it to you and you can all
25	hear this, okay? I don't want to do anything more in this

case. So Mr. Sgro and I are going to sit over there. And
we're going to — you know, clearly, you guys look over,
you're like, I think they're playing Twiddly—Winks, those two.
We don't want to do anything. We're just lazy. Okay. You
start feeling sorry, maybe you think, Boy, glad those aren't
my lawyers. Okay.

2.4

And at the end of this case, having not asked a single question after this, we didn't call a witness, we could barely stay awake, but the end of the case you had a reasonable doubt as to whether Mr. Burns was guilty. How would you find him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Not quilty.

MR. ORAM: So I — I think that's — it's kind of a silly example, but it proves the — the constitutional point, that we don't have to prove anything. It wouldn't be like you'd be back there going, Well, how come those lawyers didn't ask this? Or how come the lawyers didn't ask that, right? Because we have no burden to [indiscernible] and you have no problem with that at all?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: That's right. I have no problem.

MR. ORAM: You've heard questions about the Fifth Amendment. It's our Bill of Rights. Our Constitution. Gives everybody the right to just sit there and see if the State can prove the case against them. Do you have a problem with that

at all?

2.4

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: No, sir.

MR. ORAM: Questions about race. Mr. Burns is obviously African-American. Do you have any difficulty with that concept, judging somebody who's of a different race?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Race? No. As far as, like, I know that what you're getting at is in my questionnaire. It's more or less when I said what I've said in there is because if somebody just sits there and, Why me, everything is against me, I can't get ahead because, you know, everybody's against me, it's not true. You know, like I've told you earlier, I grew up in a low-income family. My mother, you know, I grew up on the powdered milk and the government cheese. Nobody ever stopped me. The only one that can stop you from achieving what you want is you. So instead of blaming somebody else, the only person you have at the end of the day to blame if something that you don't get is yourself.

MR. ORAM: Okay. And, you know, I — I appreciate your honesty. Actually, voir dire, what we call voir dire actually means — the translation is to tell the truth. And so we appreciate — there's no right or wrong answer. You know, you're going to hear all sorts of — if — if there were 100 people in here, 100 jurors, you hear all sorts of answers.

So I appreciate you -- you telling us your feelings.

2.4

But what I want to make sure of is obviously when I see a race question like that and you say that, you know, you've had these feelings, okay, I want to make sure — because the State's going to try to execute that young man over there, okay — that we have people that wouldn't be just thinking, Well, he's black, I'm going to do something about it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: That would have no, what would you call it, gravity on me. I wouldn't care if it was black, white, yellow, green, Asian, me, you know, my race, any — Native American. It doesn't matter about the race. It's just the evidence before you.

MR. ORAM: So what — what you're telling me is that could a white female, an Asian female sitting over there, and in the end all you're judging is whether the State proved the case beyond a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Correct.

MR. ORAM: And you can do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.

MR. ORAM: And you see Mr. Burns as he's sitting there. Is there any reason, the way he looks, you know, his ponytail, is there anything about him that makes you think, I just can't be fair to that guy?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: No.

MR. ORAM: Mr. DiGiacomo was asking questions about some -- some victims put themselves in bad situations.

1	Essentially, that's what he's saying. Okay? Okay? And do
2	they still deserve justice?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
4	MR. ORAM: Just because a person let's just make
5	something up, okay just because a person's a heroin addict
6	doesn't mean somebody should be able to rob them; is that
7	fair?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Correct.
9	MR. ORAM: Okay. If I say I'm a heroin addict, I
10	still have a right to keep my wallet, right?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
12	MR. ORAM: And Mr. DiGiacomo also asked you that just
13	because somebody lied before doesn't mean they'll necessarily
14	lie again, right?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Correct.
16	MR. ORAM: I could lie and say I'm a a doctor.
17	But maybe I'm going to come to court, tell the truth, and say
18	I'm a lawyer, right?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
20	MR. ORAM: But the fact somebody has lied and admits
21	they've been lying, that's something you'd want to take into
22	consideration for determining whether they're telling the
23	truth in the future?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
25	MR. ORAM: Okay. Drug addicts can tell the truth,

1 can't they? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. 3 MR. ORAM: They can also lie. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. 5 MR. ORAM: You look for people's motivations for 6 lying, would you want to do that? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: I'd want to -- yeah. 8 want to know why they were lying or why they were telling the 9 truth. 10 Sometimes you -- you have to, as jurors, MR. ORAM: 11 search for them; think you can do that? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. 13 MR. ORAM: Now, they keep talking about Mr. Burns 14 facing the death penalty and Mr. Mason is not, okay? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Riaht. 16 MR. ORAM: So that you just sort of understand, 17 they're going to say Mr. Burns is the shooter. Okay? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. 19 MR. ORAM: He's the person that pulled the trigger is 20 what they're going to say. So I want to ask you something. 21 Do you think you could hear all this evidence, now that I've 22 told you what I just told you, and you think you could 23 actually walk in here, let's just say completely hypothetical, 2.4 okay? You think, yeah, probably something happened. But you

KARR REPORTING, INC.

25

know what, I have a reasonable doubt. Do you really think you

1 could really walk into this courtroom with 11 other people and 2 say, Yeah, I got a reasonable doubt, not guilty; can you 3 really do that? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. 5 MR. ORAM: You say it like that's not a problem at 6 all. You don't think that would be a problem? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: No, sir. MR. ORAM: We think we're going to win. We think 8 9 we're -- Mr. Burns in the end, if jurors are doing their jobs 10 -- and I respectfully say that, okay -- we think they'll come 11 in here and say not guilty. Okay. But if they don't, then we 12 as lawyers have to fight for that young man's life. You 13 understand that? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. 15 MR. ORAM: And like Mr. Sgro said, I don't get 16 another chance. If you -- if you come in here and say, 17 Guilty, and say, Oh, Mr. Newton, I have some questions, okay, 18 do you believe in the death penalty? It's too late for that. 19 Okay? 20 Now, one thing I noticed in your questionnaire is you 21 -- you talk about fair statement, eye for an eye; do you 22 remember that? You actually wrote it out. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. 2.4 MR. ORAM: And you talk about taxpayers, that we

KARR REPORTING, INC.

shouldn't waste taxpayers' money, words to those effect; do

25

you remember that?

2.4

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.

MR. ORAM: What that would lead me to believe, at least when I read this, was that, you know, your opinion is that if I've convicted somebody of first degree murder, not second degree murder, not manslaughter, first degree murder, that the appropriate punishment is death. Is that — is that a fair assessment of what you — you believe?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. Depending on the situation. If it -- you know, for me, if it's a deliberate act or a -- what do you call it, premeditated --

MR. ORAM: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: — and it was sought out and they've been, like, stewing on doing it, then yes. But it was like in a robbery or something like that and a gun goes off accidentally or whatever and it ricochets, and he wasn't really trying to do it, and a bullet ricochets and hits the person, then another, you know, a different kind of a punishment could fit that rather than just, you know, then it always being one — one punishment fits everything.

MR. ORAM: Okay. So you're saying you'd look at different -- different avenues?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes.

MR. ORAM: But -- okay. If -- if this is a -- somebody pulled the trigger on purpose, chased another person

1 and pulled the trigger on purpose, and you found that person 2 quilty, would you sentence them to death automatically? 3 MR. DiGIACOMO: Mr. Oram, I apologize. Judge, I 4 object to the question. 5 THE COURT: 6 MR. DiGIACOMO: Because he's putting his facts and 7 asking him, Hey, can you still consider something else? He's 8 not allowed to put in the factual scenario in this case and 9 ask the question. 10 THE COURT: I'll let him ask the question. 11 Objection's overruled. 12 MR. ORAM: You understand my question? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: I believe so, sir. 14 believe that if, well, you're saying that, basically, it would 15 be like if the person's running away and trying to flee, then, 16 you know, then they're not a threat to the other person. But if they meditated, like, chase him down, it's more like a -- I 17 18 guess what they call a thrill kill. Or that's how I would see 19 it. Or like a bloodlust kill type thing. And that would, you 20 know, to me that would --21 MR. ORAM: Warrant the death penalty? 2.2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: -- warrant it. 23 MR. ORAM: In a scenario like that, could you 2.4 consider parole for somebody like that?

KARR REPORTING, INC.

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Honestly, I don't know.

1 MR. ORAM: Okay. And listen, you know, that's -- we 2 appreciate that. Okay. Because again, there's no right or 3 wrong answer. Some people come in and say, Look, I -- you 4 know, some people say, I can't consider the death penalty, I 5 don't care if it's Osama bin Laden, I can't do it. Okay. 6 a lot of us that may seem strange. Okay. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. 8 But that's their honest belief. And it's MR. ORAM: 9 good that they tell us. Okay. So there's no right or wrong 10 answers. So if your answer is I don't think so, you know, I'm 11 a -- I'm a law-abiding citizen, I believe in justice, I 12 believe if -- you know, this is the scenario, I would not 13 consider parole; is that fair to say? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. 15 MR. ORAM: You could consider the death penalty? 16 that yes? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir. 18 MR. ORAM: And you could consider life without parole 19 or would you only consider the death penalty? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: It -- like I said, if it 21 was, like I've said before, if they accidentally shot them and 2.2 they were, like, just trying to scare them and put a fire --23 like, a -- a warning shot to say, you know, don't move or 2.4 whatever, and the bullet ricochets and hits them, then I could

consider, you know, parole or life with or without. Just --

25

1	MR. ORAM: But but not where somebody was shot
2	somebody intentionally and then chased another person down and
3	shot them?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Correct.
5	MR. ORAM: So in a scenario like that, you you
6	don't think you could consider anything other than the death
7	penalty?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Correct.
9	MR. ORAM: And I you know, sometimes we lawyers,
10	we try to ask questions differently. Is it fair to say that
11	that's just your honest belief and that there's no question
12	that could be asked of you, you're saying under those
13	circumstances you're going to return a sentence of death,
14	because that's what you think is fair?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
16	MR. ORAM: And you wouldn't even consider life
17	without parole; is that fair?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Correct.
19	MR. ORAM: And you know as the State I believe has
20	said to you in here, I believe, that there was a a child
21	involved?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Correct.
23	MR. ORAM: A 12-year-old, okay, was shot, chased down
24	and shot. You don't think you could consider parole in that
25	scenario, do you?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: No, sir.
2	MR. ORAM: You know, I really appreciate your
3	honesty, sir.
4	Your Honor, he we would challenge for cause.
5	THE COURT: Traverse?
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: Sure. Mr. Oram wants you to tell him
7	exactly he gave you some facts as to what the State's going
8	to allege. And then he's asking you, Well, gee, what would
9	your verdict be? I want to back up a little bit.
10	First of all, are you the type of person who wants to
11	know everything before you make a decision? Particularly an
12	important decision?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
14	MR. DiGIACOMO: And there may be any number of
15	factors that affect your ability to or affect the decision
16	you're going to make, would that be fair?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: If Mr. Burns is guilty, but not the
19	shooter, that might be something that's relevant to you; would
20	that be fair?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: Somebody who may be legally
23	responsible for the murder, but may not be even the trigger
24	man; that'd be something that you would take into
25	consideration?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
2	MR. DiGIACOMO: And that would be a situation where
3	you might be willing to consider one of those other forms of
4	punishment; would that be fair?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: And likewise, there may be any number
7	of other basises [sic] for you to decide that one punishment
8	matters over the other, but ultimately you would wait till the
9	end to see what all the evidence is; is that fair?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
11	MR. DiGIACOMO: And then ultimately if there is
12	the circumstances warranted, you'd obviously vote for the
13	circumstances warranted a life with parole, you'd vote for
14	that; would that be fair?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
16	MR. DiGIACOMO: And as you sit here today, you've
17	been told both these defendants are presumed innocent; would
18	that be fair?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: You know zero facts that are
21	[indiscernible] this case; would that be fair?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir, correct.
23	MR. DiGIACOMO: And if you had to go vote, you'd
24	never get to penalty, because you'd have to find him not
25	guilty at this moment?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Correct.
2	MR. DiGIACOMO: And being that they are presumed to
3	have done nothing wrong, ultimately you have an open mind as
4	to what the facts are going to show and what punishment you'd
5	impose?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Yes, sir.
7	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you very much.
8	MR. ORAM: May I just follow up, Your Honor?
9	THE COURT: Sure.
10	MR. ORAM: Your Honor, if there's an objection, I'm
11	citing to Paul Lewis Browning, my next question.
12	THE COURT: You're mumbling.
13	MR. ORAM: I'm citing to Paul Lewis Browning as
14	question that was asked by Mr. DiGiacomo, Paul Lewis Browning,
15	and was affirmed by the Supreme Court.
16	So what I would ask you, sir, is
17	MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge I'm already going to object
18	before he asks the question. I know currently we can't
19	approach. But the question, first of all, was asked by a
20	court in a penalty re-do only. It does not apply to a
21	pre-guilt situation.
22	THE COURT: I understand what you're saying.
23	MR. ORAM: Let me ask you this, sir. This we know
24	what the State is arguing, okay. The State is saying that Mr.
25	Burns shot a woman, a mother, and then chased her 12-year-old

1	child down a hallway and shot her. If you find him guilty of
2	that, could you consider anything other than the death
3	penalty?
4	MR. DiGIACOMO: Objection to the question under Rule
5	7.71. That's an inappropriate question.
6	THE COURT: I'll let him ask it. Objection's
7	overruled.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: Would I be able to?
9	MR. ORAM: Consider parole.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 101: No, sir.
11	MR. ORAM: In fact, would would it be fair to say
12	that you believe if as I hear you, you're saying, Well, I
13	don't know if the guy did it. If the guy didn't do it, I'm
14	if there's a reasonable doubt, I'm not going to find him
15	guilty.
16	THE COURT: I'm going to grant the challenge for
17	cause, Mr. Oram.
18	MR. ORAM: Thank you very much.
19	THE COURT: Mr I'm going to excuse you and I want
20	to thank you very much for being a prospective juror, but
21	you're going to be excused.
22	All right. Mrs. Encinas?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: It's Mrs. Cibrian.
24	THE COURT: Cibrian?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Mrs. Cibrian, you you wrote in
2	here that you are working for True Dreams. I believe your
3	husband works there, too?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes. We own the company,
5	actually.
6	THE COURT: Oh, it's your company?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Oh, okay. What does True Dreams do? I
9	I'm sorry. I've never heard of True Dreams.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: There's no reason you
11	would. We are a culinary consulting company. My husband and
12	I are both chefs. And we are in the process of opening our
13	own restaurant right now.
14	THE COURT: Where's your we'll give you free
15	advertising here. Where's where's the restaurant going to
16	be?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: It's located on Desert
18	Inn. It's directly across the street from the
19	[indiscernible]. It's between Eastern and Pecos.
20	THE COURT: And what what's the name of the
21	restaurant?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: La Rue Marche Cafe.
23	THE COURT: Okay. What kind of food?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: We do global. My husband
25	and I both have been around the world cooking. My husband's

1	cooked in over 40 countries and I've been through South
2	America and some European countries.
3	THE COURT: When's the grand opening?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: We don't know. Actually,
5	that's kind of how this worked out well for me. The partner
6	we had that supposedly had the money doesn't have the money.
7	So we have been forced to go apply for an SBA loan. And we
8	should be hearing back from them at any day. But construction
9	is at a halt until we get our loan approved.
10	THE COURT: So you're probably a ways off before you
11	open?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes. I would say at
13	least three months.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Well, good luck to you.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Thank you very much.
16	THE COURT: Okay. You you indicated in your
17	questionnaire that oh, by the way, have you do you know
18	any of the witnesses or the lawyers in the case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No, I do not.
20	THE COURT: You indicated in your questionnaire that
21	your brother was the victim of a homicide?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
23	THE COURT: When was that, approximately?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: In approximately in
25	1994.

1	THE COURT: In Nevada?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Was the individual that killed him
4	prosecuted for that offense?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes, he was.
6	THE COURT: And were any of these attorneys involved
7	in that case?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Not that I can recall.
9	It's been so many years ago, I I couldn't possibly remember
10	that.
11	THE COURT: Did you go to the trial?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I did. I believe he
13	pled, to be honest with you. I don't think
14	THE COURT: Oh, he pled guilty?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: there was a trial. He
16	pled guilty, yes.
17	THE COURT: Do do you know what happened to him?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes. He served two and a
19	half years and
20	THE COURT: And
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: was released.
22	THE COURT: he was released, then?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Have you had any contact with him
25	since then?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No. I don't know where
2	he's at.
3	THE COURT: Was he associated with your brother, or
4	do you know?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes. They were
6	roommates. And the gentleman stabbed my brother in his sleep.
7	And I know there was mental issues, that was why he had a
8	lighter sentence. But again, we we didn't get through a
9	whole lot of it. He he took a plea and there was no trial.
10	THE COURT: Do you feel that the criminal justice
11	system was fair in that in that case? Or do you have an
12	opinion?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I I really don't have
14	an opinion. I mean, it it rendered what it rendered.
15	It's
16	THE COURT: Were you close to your brother?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes, very.
18	THE COURT: And you obviously feel a loss for him
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Absolutely.
20	THE COURT: having lost him?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Do you think that that's going to have an
23	effect upon your ability to be fair in this case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No.
25	THE COURT: It has nothing to do with this case, does

1	it?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No. It's completely
3	different circumstances. It's not
4	THE COURT: You're going to set aside those facts and
5	decide this based upon the facts that are presented here?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Absolutely.
7	THE COURT: You'll listen to the evidence, listen to
8	the law that I give the jurors, and reach a fair verdict?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
LO	THE COURT: Any reason you couldn't be fair to both
L1	sides?
L2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No.
L3	THE COURT: Do you do you understand what I've
L4	explained about the burden of proof and the defendant not
L5	having to prove that they're not guilty, but the State has to
L6	prove they are by evidence beyond a reasonable doubt,
L7	otherwise, they're entitled to a verdict of not guilty?
L8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct. Yes.
L9	THE COURT: You have no quarrel with that procedure?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No. Absolutely none.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. DiGiacomo?
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: Actually, Ms. Weckerly. It's an even
23	number.
24	THE COURT: Oh, Ms. Weckerly.
25	MS. WECKERLY: I haven't gone vet.

1	THE COURT: Good morning. Good afternoon, Ms.
2	Weckerly.
3	MS. WECKERLY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. How are
4	you?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Good. How are you?
6	MS. WECKERLY: Good, thank you. Have you always
7	worked in in as a chef or in that type of field?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes. I've done side jobs
9	here and there, but I've that's been primarily my field.
LO	MS. WECKERLY: And was that an interest that you
L1	developed, I think you said you traveled in South America and
L2	Europe?
L3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Uh-huh.
L4	MS. WECKERLY: Sort of a cultural thing that you
L5	became interested in and so then you went into culinary school
L6	or?
L7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I actually did not attend
L8	culinary school, to be honest with you. All my advancement
L9	has been through on-the-job training and working. I have
20	always been called to it. I started cooking for my family
21	when I was five years old, so it's just always been something
22	in me.
23	MS. WECKERLY: On-the-job training?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
25	MS. WECKERLY: And you and your husband I think you

1	said had a you're starting a restaurant and you're sort of
2	in the process of getting the financing?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
4	MS. WECKERLY: Was this a is this sort of a long,
5	I guess, long-held dream that you and your husband have had or
6	is this a recent venture?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Well, it's our first
8	venture. We've both like I said, we've both been chefs,
9	we've both been in the field for over 20 years. And we have
10	wanted to outdo our our own. I mean, you only have one
11	chance in this life
12	MS. WECKERLY: Right.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: you know, do it or
14	don't. So.
15	MS. WECKERLY: So you're a little bit of a you and
16	your husband, you guys can take a risk and and see where
17	that takes you?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes. Our our childrer
19	are grown and we so it's just us right now. So.
20	MS. WECKERLY: Is there anything about, like, the
21	finances or sort of where you're at in the loan process that
22	would make it difficult for us to have your full attention?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No. Uh-uh.
24	MS. WECKERLY: Judge Thompson talked to you a bit
25	about losing your brother?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Uh-huh.
2	MS. WECKERLY: Is that
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
4	MS. WECKERLY: yes?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes, sorry.
6	MS. WECKERLY: Were your were your parents in town
7	or were they part of the process at all?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No. My I was raised
9	by my mother. My father, I didn't see him since I was a
10	little kid. And my mother had passed away a year and a half
11	prior to my brother dying.
12	MS. WECKERLY: So were you sort of the point of
13	contact for the police when that when they were
14	investigating?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Actually, how I found out
16	about it was a mutual friend that lived near where the
17	situation had happened. He tracked me down and and called
18	me and said the coroner was looking for us. And so that was
19	how I learned of it.
20	MS. WECKERLY: And were you were you interviewed
21	by the police or did you have any dealing with detectives at
22	all?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No. None whatsoever.
24	MS. WECKERLY: And any dealings at all with the DA's
25	office?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: The only thing we had 1 2 with them was they gave us, like, the victims support group 3 number and helped us with burying him. The State helped us 4 pay for the burial. And that was really about it. 5 MS. WECKERLY: And you mentioned that you were really 6 close with your brother? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yeah. Yes. 8 MS. WECKERLY: But I think you said that you can 9 separate that situation from what you would hear as a 10 prospective juror in this case? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes. 12 MS. WECKERLY: You also mentioned sort of on the 13 other side of the criminal justice system that you had a 14 nephew who had some negative contact with the CCW? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct. 16 MS. WECKERLY: And are you close to your nephew or? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes. Yeah. 18 MS. WECKERLY: And do you have -- do you have any 19 opinion at all about how he -- how he's been treated? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No. I'm actually glad it 21 happened. He needed -- he was starting to go down a wrong 22 path and he -- that was a wake-up call for him, that if he 23 continued on that path, that was going to be a life that he 2.4 was going to have. And he -- as soon as he got out, he 25 enrolled in trucking school. He's set to graduate next month.

I suppose.

So it helped him get his life in order. So I appreciated the 1 2 fact that it happened to him. 3 MS. WECKERLY: So he kind of had a negative 4 experience, but as I think Juror No. 1 said, you know, that --5 he learned from it and sort of set his life on a better path. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct. 7 MS. WECKERLY: Your -- on your questionnaire you 8 wrote that you thought the criminal justice system was good or 9 that it generally worked well? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I do. MS. WECKERLY: Is that based on the experience with 11 12 your nephew or other experiences? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I think taken in a whole, 14 I mean, to -- to say that it works 100 percent I think is 15 naive. And yes, we -- there have been false convictions in 16 there. But I believe as a whole it works. It's the system 17 that we have, and we have to work within it. So I -- I 18 appreciate the system that we have because I -- we could have 19 it worse. I've seen other justice systems in other countries, 20 how they work, and -- and they're not faring very well. So --21 MS. WECKERLY: Absolutely. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: -- I appreciate the one that we have in place. 23 2.4 MS. WECKERLY: Yeah. And then while there's -- plus, 25 some of that can be avoided by -- by diligence.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct. MS. WECKERLY: I assume if people taking it 3 seriously. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct. 4 5 MS. WECKERLY: Your opinion about the death penalty 6 as a form of punishment, how would you describe that? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I am pro-death penalty in 8 extreme cases. I believe that if the person is not going to 9 stop, then yes, it calls for a death penalty. However, I 10 would really have to be beyond a reasonable doubt that the 11 person is guilty and deserving of it, because it is a life. 12 And I am deciding whether or not to put that life to an end. 13 And I understand very well what that means. 14 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Ms. Weckerly. Can I get you a 15 little closer to the microphone. 16 MS. WECKERLY: Sure. 17 THE COURT: You're --18 MS. WECKERLY: It's -- I mean, it's a serious -- it's 19 a serious consideration, and I don't think anyone would take 20 that lightly. 21 I just wanted to touch, though, on one thing you 22 said. You said if the person was guilty beyond a reasonable 23 doubt. It's probably a little bit confusing based on the 2.4 questionnaire, but this was sort of a two-part process. What 25 happens in the first part is -- is the jurors individually and

1	collectively decide if we've proven the case beyond a
2	reasonable doubt. So, in Mr. Burns' case, that would be
3	whether he's guilty or not guilty of first degree murder.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct.
5	MS. WECKERLY: In the event of that first degree
6	murder conviction, then you move onto a penalty phase, you're
7	given different information, and you're actually given
8	different instructions by the Court and as a juror, again,
9	individually and collectively, you decide what the appropriate
10	punishment is.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct.
12	MS. WECKERLY: So you'd already be you'd already
13	have been convinced that he was guilty.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct.
15	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. And then you hear whatever is
16	presented at penalty and then decide an appropriate
17	punishment.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
19	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. On your on your
20	questionnaire, you referenced a notorious serial killer and
21	said, In that type of situation, that would be one where I
22	could consider imposing the death penalty.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
24	MS. WECKERLY: Where the person won't stop.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct.

1	MS. WECKERLY: Is that the only like, a serial
2	killer, is that the only type of situation that you can see?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I I wouldn't say it's
4	the only. I it would have to depend on the maliciousness
5	of the crime.
6	MS. WECKERLY: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: And whether I felt that
8	it deserved the death penalty.
9	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. Do you think it serves a
10	purpose, the death penalty, a positive or or do you think
11	it's something that is is not something that's appropriate
12	in the criminal justice system?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I do believe it does
14	serve a purpose. Someone that fully understands that this is
15	maybe a penalty for their action, it may deter them from
16	committing a crime.
17	MS. WECKERLY: Right.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: So yes, I do believe it
19	does serve a purpose.
20	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. There may be some deterrent
21	value?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct.
23	MS. WECKERLY: How about like in terms of of what
24	is justice for actions? You know what I mean? Separate and
25	apart from from deterrents, from scaring, you know, someone

1	from doing something, do you think it's ever a just
2	punishment, given what someone has done?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Again, I would have to
4	say it depends on the maliciousness of the crime. Yes, if
5	it's absolutely a malicious crime, then yes, I do believe it's
6	justice.
7	MS. WECKERLY: Then it could be appropriate in those
8	situations?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes. Absolutely.
10	MS. WECKERLY: You also indicated on your
11	questionnaire that you'd be open to hearing whatever
12	information is presented before you'd make that type of
13	decision?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct.
15	MS. WECKERLY: When you when you open your
16	business, how many employees do you expect to to hire?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: 60.
18	MS. WECKERLY: 60?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
20	MS. WECKERLY: That's quite a bit. So you'll have
21	managers and are all those people in place?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: The managerial team is in
23	place.
24	MS. WECKERLY: And are they people that you've met
25	sort of with your husband working in the industry?

22

23

2.4

25

MS. WECKERLY: Okay. Have you had any development agreements with any of the management team, or have you had to switch it up and -- and get rid of people? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Well, yes. I've actually been a pastry chef, an executive pastry chef for the last 10 years of my working experience, and I've had to be a mediator between employees. And I've had to dissolve development agreements and I've had to take sides or things like that. MS. WECKERLY: Okay. So if you're back in the deliberation room, we can count on you having a voice in the PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes, absolutely. MS. WECKERLY: What is your experience or what is your knowledge about drugs and how drugs may or may not PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I don't have intimate

knowledge of it. I -- in the case of my nephew, my nephew was doing drugs. We found out later. I pretty much had guessed it. I saw a change in his personality. Things that I don't believe he would have done any other time, the drugs influenced him. So yes, I do believe that plays a factor in someone's decision-making process.

MS. WECKERLY: And because you hold that belief that

1	it it changes how they make decisions, does that affect, in
2	your mind, how someone may be responsible for criminal
3	activity?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No, absolutely not. It
5	was their decision to do the drugs to begin with. So it was
6	I believe you have to pay a price for the things that you
7	do in this life.
8	MS. WECKERLY: So your your bad choices, you're
9	you're held accountable for them?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Right. I mean, I believe
11	that someone can change and I believe that somebody can be
12	redeemed. I've seen time and time again how that's happened.
13	But there I believe that there is a consequence to your
14	actions.
15	MS. WECKERLY: And to change and to be redeemed, does
16	that I think our Juror No. 1 thought that would naturally
17	occur in every person. Do you think that's that's the
18	case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No. I don't believe it
20	naturally occurs in every person. But I have seen examples
21	where even if somebody is in prison, say, for life, when I say
22	to be redeemed, I mean, there there are things that they
23	can do even within the prison system that makes a difference.
24	MS. WECKERLY: Right.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: So even if you are

1 sentenced to a lifetime in prison, you still have an 2 opportunity to make up for it in some way --3 MS. WECKERLY: Right. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: — the life that you 5 choose prior to getting there. I -- I believe there's always 6 something that you can do. 7 There's -- yeah. There's value or MS. WECKERLY: 8 something you can contribute even under those --9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct. 10 MS. WECKERLY: -- really confined circumstances. 11 Would you agree with me, though, that the person has to -- has 12 to want to or has to have some contrition or feel bad about 13 what they've done? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes. I believe they have to. Yes. 15 16 MS. WECKERLY: Thank you. I'll pass for cause. 17 MR. SRGO: Good afternoon. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Good afternoon. 19 MR. SRGO: So I'd like to sort of start backwards and 20 then work from where you just left off. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Okay. 2.2 MR. SRGO: So, relative to something you just said 23 about being accountable, right, people are just accountable 2.4 for their actions, and there might be drugs, alcohol, other 25 things. But your position is they're still accountable,

right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct.

MR. SRGO: Okay. And let's take that from the —
from the general to the specific. I think what we're talking
about here is — is the different levels of accountability, in
so far as we have four different possible outcomes for a
person if you, to use your phrase, hold them accountable; do
you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct.

MR. SRGO: Okay. So the — the accountability to which a person is held can differ from person to person, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct.

MR. SRGO: And I guess it might be backwards way of saying it, but that's why the legislature, when they created the way — how people are going to get punished, they gave us different options, because not everyone's going to held to the same standard; would that be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.

MR. SRGO: So, for example, Mr. Burns was 18 at the time. So potentially, an 18-year-old may not be held at the same level of accountability as a 45-year-old; would that be -- would that be something that makes sense?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I think it depends on the person, to be honest with you. I don't -- I don't really

2.4

think it has to do with the age. You can have a very smart 18-year-old and a very stupid 45-year-old, so it depends on the person.

MR. SRGO: Fair enough. Would you look at the background, their life experience, what brought them to a particular situation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.

MR. SRGO: Okay. And then with respect to the incident with your brother --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Uh-huh.

MR. SRGO: — obviously something like that happens, it's horrible, and — and it could potentially cut both ways. So for example, if when you were going through the process of dealing with the case, you know — you said you went to court from time to time; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I went to one court date. The gentleman pleaded, took a plea. So we were really only there one day. We were there to read a -- a victim impact statement --

MR. SRGO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: — which we were — ended up not allowed to do under Nevada law. We found out that day that sisters are not considered legal next-of-kin. And so we didn't — we — it was only me and my sister was left out of our family. So we didn't get to really do anything.

1	MR. SRGO: Okay. So that experience could have left
2	you with a bad taste in your mouth could have with,
3	let's say, you know, I can't believe the DA did X, Y, and Z.
4	They let him off too easy, they should have fought harder for
5	me to read my statement, things of that nature. Is there
6	and on the other hand, I can't believe that defense attorney
7	did X, Y, and Z to me while he was defending that that dirt
8	bag that killed my brother.
9	Do do you understand what I'm getting to?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I understand what you're
11	saying. I don't agree with what you're saying.
12	MR. SRGO: Okay. Good. So does either does
13	either table have anything to worry about relative to that
14	particular situation
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No.
16	MR. SRGO: in terms of you being able to leave
17	that at the door?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No, there's no reason to
19	worry.
20	MR. SRGO: Okay. We have we have spoken at some
21	length about credibility of witnesses, and and one of the
22	things you'll be called upon to do in this case is to judge
23	credibility of witnesses. Do you think you'll be able to do
24	that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.

25

1	MR. SRGO: Do you think you'll be able to look at
2	motivations why someone may be inclined to not tell the truth?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
4	MR. SRGO: Okay. Now, you mentioned in the
5	particular case that involved your family member, there was a
6	plea agreement. Have you other than that, have you ever
7	heard that word used, plea agreement or plea negotiation?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I'm sure I have. I
9	can't, like, say exactly where I've heard it. But I'm sure I
10	have.
11	MR. SRGO: In this particular case, you may hear
12	someone that's take what's called a plea agreement, taken a
13	deal.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
15	MR. SRGO: And agreed to testify in this case in
16	exchange for some favorable treatment.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
18	MR. SRGO: Do you think you'd be able to examine
19	motivations to determine whether the person that took a deal
20	is telling you the truth?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
22	MR. SRGO: Okay. And do you believe that taking a
23	deal might be something that's a motive to fabricate?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
25	MR. SRGO: Okay. And you have heard us talk at some

1	length about Mr. Burns not having any burden of proof, right?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct.
3	MR. SRGO: And remember, I promised Mr. Oram and I
4	are definitely going to ask some questions as the case goes
5	on, as you might imagine by how many questions we're asking
6	just even at this point. Right? We're not going to sit idly
7	by.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct.
9	MR. SRGO: But do you have any quarrel with the fact
10	that we don't have to do anything, that we have no burden of
11	proof, and if the State doesn't prove a case, you have to come
12	in and vote not guilty. Does that bother you?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No, that doesn't bother
14	me.
15	MR. SRGO: Does it bother you at all that Mr. Burns,
16	as he sits here right now, is presumed not guilty?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No, I have no problem
18	with that.
19	MR. SRGO: Okay. And do you think just because he's
20	sitting here, because we have a charging document, a lot of
21	really serious charges, do you think that, you know, that
22	adage where there's smoke there's fire, have you ever heard
23	that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I have heard it.
25	MR. SRGO: Do you do you can you resist the

1	temptation to jump into, Well, he's here, he must have done
2	something?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I can resist that, yes.
4	MR. SRGO: Okay. And do you remember the
5	conversation we had about whether or not someone accused of a
6	crime testifies? Do you remember that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes, I do.
8	MR. SRGO: Okay. Can you think of any reason why
9	someone who is not guilty of a crime would elect to not take
10	the witness stand?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
12	MR. SRGO: Okay. Do you think if you were selected
13	as a juror, you'd go in the back room, do you think you'd ever
14	bring it up; Oh, wait a second, if he's really not guilty, he
15	should have testified?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No. Because if I was
17	being charged with a crime, I'm not sure I would testify,
18	either. So I I don't see any problem with that.
19	MR. SRGO: Okay. So you are okay with the notion
20	that some people are not guilty, they just want to rely on our
21	system, which is that if the State can't prove it, that it's
22	over?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct.
24	MR. SRGO: Okay. Now, let's take it from the other
25	angle. If Mr. Burns elected to testify, would you scrutinize

his testimony more carefully because he's the one accused as opposed to another witness just came in?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I -- no, I would think I would pay attention to every -- whatever's being said up there.

MR. SRGO: Right. So — and I notice a tiny bit of a pause. And the point is this. We want to make sure that everyone as they come through the door, whether they're in uniform as a — as a police officer, whether they're an eyewitness to something, a lay person, or whether they're the person accused, that in your mind as — as they hit the stand, they're all — they all start out the same.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct. Yes.

MR. SRGO: Okay. You'd be able to do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes, absolutely.

MR. SRGO: All right. And — and the last thing relative to credibility, would you be able to evaluate changes in peoples' testimony, so, you know, Hey, we have a police statement that says you said the light was green, and now at trial you're swearing under oath it's red. And you have to make heads or tails of that in terms of whether the person's believable at all.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct.

MR. SRGO: And you feel comfortable doing that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes, absolutely.

1	MR. SRGO: All right. Let me ask you a question that
2	no one's been asked yet. We're down the road five weeks,
3	that's our approximate trial estimate.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Okay.
5	MR. SRGO: You're in the back deliberating. It's
6	Friday, it's late, you are so sick of the lawyers in this
7	case, you can't stand it. And it is 11 to 1. Okay. 11 want
8	one thing and you want another thing. Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Right.
10	MR. SRGO: Do you think and there's by the way,
11	there's nothing wrong with reevaluating evidence and then
12	changing your mind because you feel like you missed something
13	or do you understand
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes. Yes.
15	MR. SRGO: The point of the question is do you feel
16	like you'd be under pressure to change your mind simply
17	because you find yourself in the 11-to-1 position?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No.
19	MR. SRGO: Do you feel like you are firm in your
20	if you really believe something, that's it?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes. For the most part.
22	I mean, my mind can be changed if I am persuaded by concrete
23	evidence.
24	MR. SRGO: Sure.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: But for somebody that
I	

1	just wants to fly out of there because they're tired of being
2	here, no.
3	MR. SRGO: Right. And obviously I chose an extreme
4	example. I guess the more relevant example would strictly be
5	it's 11 to 1
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Uh-huh.
7	MR. SRGO: all right. Whatever it is, 11-1, you
8	want another.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
10	MR. SRGO: Would you change your mind simply because
11	you were the one? Would you assume you must be mistaken?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No.
13	MR. SRGO: Okay. There have been some
14	questions about science. Do you watch any of those TV shows
15	like that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I do. I'm the only one
17	that'll admit it, but I do.
18	MR. SRGO: Can you give me a for instance on what
19	which one you like to watch?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I like the show Snapped.
21	I watch that a lot.
22	MR. SRGO: Okay. And you have to forgive me, and I'm
23	what's the concept of that show?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I'm kind of embarrassed
25	to say. But its mostly women that freak out and kill their

1	husbands. Not that I would. But it's usually it has to do
2	with family members that they just trip one day and that's it,
3	you know, they go off the handle.
4	MR. SRGO: Does your husband know you watch that
5	show?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yeah. He watches it with
7	me.
8	MR. SRGO: I'm not familiar with the show. So do
9	they do they go into science and how it's used in the
10	criminal prosecution of things, or not so much?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Sometimes. They mostly
12	will point out where they went wrong, how they got caught.
13	MR. SRGO: Gotcha.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Kind of thing. But they
15	don't, like I mean, not that I really remember.
16	MR. SRGO: Have you have you heard these terms
17	DNA, fingerprint evidence, you've heard those before?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
19	MR. SRGO: Do you own a cell phone record? Oh, my
20	goodness.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: A cell phone? Yes, I do.
22	MR. SRGO: There's a record in there somewhere.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
24	MR. SRGO: You have a phone, right?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.

1	MR. SRGO: Have you ever had that experience about
2	someone saying, Hey, I texted you, and you didn't get it, or
3	vice versa?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
5	MR. SRGO: And the the voicemail that someone said
6	you left, or you left and the person never got it, that sort
7	of thing?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
9	MR. SRGO: All right. Have you ever gone through
10	your actual cell phone bill to check it out?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No. I just assume it got
12	lost. I just sometimes calls get dropped or they get sent
13	or they never you never receive them or
14	MR. SRGO: And so would you be willing to scrutinize
15	evidence even as it appears in records to make sure the
16	records are accurate?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
18	MR. SRGO: All right. I asked a question earlier
19	about being mistaken for someone else. Have you ever had that
20	experience where someone's come up to you and thought you were
21	somebody different?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I don't think I've been
23	told I look like someone else, but they knew I wasn't the
24	person. I have mistaken somebody else, myself.
25	MR. SRGO: Okay. So you've gone up to someone

1	thinking it was someone you knew and then realized it wasn't?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
3	MR. SRGO: Okay. In terms of the the penalty
4	portion, if we get there, you understand how odd this is,
5	right, for lawyers that expect to get a not guilty verdict to
6	have to talk about penalty?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Correct.
8	MR. SRGO: Okay. You understand this is the only
9	time I get a chance to speak to you and and all that sort
10	of thing?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Right.
12	MR. SRGO: Okay. Have you ever thought of the death
13	penalty prior to doing the questionnaire?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: I have, yes.
15	MR. SRGO: And has your opinion and I heard the
16	the back-and-forth with you and Ms. Weckerly; has your opinion
17	pretty much remained the same?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
19	MR. SRGO: Has there ever been a time where you were
20	way more in favor of the death penalty or on the other end of
21	the pendulum, couldn't consider it at all?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No. Not in general. I
23	mean, I I feel it just it's each person. I mean, it's
24	each case is different. And each circumstance is
25	different. So I think it all goes back to the maliciousness

of the act.

2.4

MR. SRGO: Okay. I — I need to — and — and in this particular case, you're telling us under oath that you can conceive a situation where someone's convicted of the factual predicate that's been relayed to you, you know, the shooting and the little girl?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Uh-huh.

MR. SRGO: Right? Without going into the details of it anymore than you've already heard, you can envision a situation where someone is convicted of those crimes and then is later allowed to come back out on the street?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.

MR. SRGO: Okay. And I don't remember if you addressed this yet or not, but relative to the credibility question, have you ever been called upon to decide between two people's version of events?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.

MR. SRGO: And have you had to examine not only what they're telling you, but other external circumstances?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.

MR. SRGO: Have you ever seen an event, a traffic accident, maybe — you're driving and you have a passenger in the car and you see something, or you're watching a TV show, or you're watching a comedy show, and you and the person you're with see things differently?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
2	MR. SRGO: Remember different things?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
4	MR. SRGO: Does it surprise you when you're sitting
5	right next to someone else and you see totally different
6	things?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No.
8	MR. SRGO: It doesn't?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No.
10	MR. SRGO: Why not?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Because things that I
12	would pay attention to is not necessarily what they would pay
13	attention to. So no, it doesn't surprise me when somebody
14	sees things differently.
15	MR. SRGO: So sometimes, you would agree with me, two
16	people observing the same event, their focus might be on
17	different things?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Exactly.
19	MR. SRGO: Okay. I have nothing else, Your Honor.
20	Pass for cause.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Langford?
22	MR. LANGFORD: Thank you, Your Honor. The good news
23	is I'm not going to ask a lot of questions. That's kind of
24	the good news throughout the trial.
25	That's probably going to be the way it is throughout

the trial. Partly because of, as you'll hear the facts of the case, you'll understand more why I just won't have as many questions of the State's witnesses or witnesses that Mr. Burns 4 might call. You know, so it's -- I want to assure you it's a 5 quality, not a quantity. And I need to ask you, is that going 6 to trouble you if -- if I don't ask as many questions as the 7 prosecutors or Mr. Burns' attorneys?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106:

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. LANGFORD: Okay. Because again, it goes back to these are two separate trials with the same evidence; you understand that concept?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106:

MR. LANGFORD: Okay. And you have to evaluate things separately; are you okay with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yeah.

MR. LANGFORD: Okay. And so again, that -- that's part of it. They're probably going to ask both sides a lot of questions. And I'm going to come in and I'm going to focus on what applies to Mr. Mason. And it probably won't be as many questions as either side, because they'll have asked them. Just like now between the judge and the other two attorneys, they've asked most of the questions that are also important to Mr. Mason, but they just don't need to be asked again. Are you okay with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.

1	MR. LANGFORD: I mean, I could stand here and I could
2	ask you all those questions over again.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: No, that's okay.
4	MR. LANGFORD: Okay. I think the judge would
5	probably say the same he'd say, no, no, we don't need to do
6	that. So.
7	Penalties are different, as well. The penalties as
8	to Mr. Burns, the death penalty; as to Mr. Mason, the maximum
9	penalty is life without the possibility of parole.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
11	MR. LANGFORD: You have to judge individuals, these
12	two individuals separately, both for what they're accused of
13	doing and for their different background. Are you comfortable
14	with that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes.
16	MR. LANGFORD: Okay. That's an important concept.
17	You need to definitely judge the two individuals differently.
18	So I'm going to ask you just one last time, you're comfortable
19	with that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 106: Yes, absolutely.
21	MR. LANGFORD: Pass for cause, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Porter?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
24	THE COURT: Do you know any of the witnesses or the
25	lawyers involved in the case?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, sir.
2	THE COURT: I understand that you're a pilot and a
3	skydiver?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
5	THE COURT: You work for Sky West?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
7	THE COURT: And you're a regular pilot?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
9	THE COURT: You have a regular schedule for when you
10	are flying?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: My route changes from
12	week to week, but I typically fly four days a week and have
13	three days home.
14	THE COURT: Okay. They understand that if you're
15	summoned as a juror, you you won't be flying?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And you're a skydiver. I never
18	knew anybody that was a skydiver before.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
20	THE COURT: What do you just do this as a hobby?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And you do this locally?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Up in Mesquite.
24	THE COURT: In Mesquite?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Is that is that where they do
2	skydiving around here?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: They they do it in the
4	valley here, too. But the experienced jumpers tend to go to
5	Mesquite.
6	THE COURT: Why is that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: They the places in
8	Vegas tend to cater towards the tourists, what they call a
9	tandem jump. They're not real big on the on the other
10	jumpers showing up. There's no money in it for them.
11	THE COURT: All right. Do you do, like, pictures
12	when you're diving or?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
14	THE COURT: Okay. You you said your father was a
15	police officer in Dallas?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: Is he still a police officer?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, sir. He's he's
19	retired.
20	THE COURT: He's retired?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know whether he was a a
23	uniform officer or a plainclothes or detective or do you know
24	what he did?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: He was uniform and he was

1	also what they call a tactical squad, which is I guess their
2	version of S.W.A.T. But they they didn't roll around in
3	the vans like that.
4	THE COURT: Did he have anything to do with going to
5	court and testifying on cases or do you know?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: As far as I know, he did.
7	Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: Anything have you discussed any of his
9	cases with him?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: None of them, no.
11	THE COURT: And he lives in Texas?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: He does, sir.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Is that going to have any effect
14	on your ability to be a fair juror in this case?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, sir.
16	THE COURT: You you understand that police
17	officers are people, too
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes Yes, sir.
19	THE COURT: and how they they're going to offer
20	testimony, and it may or may not be believable depending upon
21	the facts?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
23	THE COURT: Do you have any problem with that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, sir.
25	THE COURT: You heard the comments I made to the

1	other jurors about the burden of proof and the presumption of
2	innocence?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: Have any problem with that procedure?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, sir. Not at all.
6	THE COURT: You'll follow the instructions on the law
7	that I give to the jurors?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
9	THE COURT: Any reason you couldn't be fair to both
10	sides?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I something was said
12	earlier, I have to admit, I it kind of stopped me for a
13	second. And it was posed with that question that had the
14	objection.
15	THE COURT: What was the question? What was the
16	problem?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: The question was whether
18	or not I could find a parole. And and I kind of had a hard
19	time with that for a second, I have to admit.
20	THE COURT: The the question probably had to do
21	with the death penalty, because actually parole is not an
22	option for the jury.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Okay.
24	THE COURT: What is an option is a sentence, in the
25	event you were to find in this case Mr. Burns quilty of murder

1	in the first degree, you would be at a separate penalty
2	hearing
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Okay.
4	THE COURT: given the option to decide whether he
5	should receive the death penalty or life imprisonment with the
6	possibility of parole, or life imprisonment without the
7	possibility of parole, or the a term of years. You don't
8	decide whether somebody's paroled. That
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Understood. Okay.
10	THE COURT: Maybe you misunderstood. Is that
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: The way I yeah. The
12	way I understood it was how we would find it guilty or not.
13	THE COURT: No, no. It has nothing to do with guilt
14	or innocence.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Okay. Okay.
16	THE COURT: A a case where a defendant is accused
17	of murder is really in two phases. The first phase is guilt
18	or innocence.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
20	THE COURT: If you find the defendant, Mr. Burns, or
21	both defendants not guilty, that ends the case.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Understood.
23	THE COURT: You go home. If you find Mr. Burns
24	and/or Mr. Mason guilty of murder in the first degree, then we
25	have to have a penalty hearing. That's the law of Nevada.

1	And at the penalty hearing, which I give you different
2	instructions on the law and you decide punishment, okay, you
3	would not be deciding parole in any circumstance.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Understood.
5	THE COURT: Okay?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Okay.
7	THE COURT: Any question about that now?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, sir.
9	THE COURT: All right. You indicated that you and
10	your wife are the victim of of a fraud?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
12	THE COURT: What kind of a fraud is this?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: This was it's felony
14	fraud. She has pled guilty and took a
15	THE COURT: She, your wife or
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, no. The lady that
17	committed the fraud
18	THE COURT: The lady that defrauded you?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: against us. She
20	she pled guilty and now she's since skipped. She didn't make
21	her sentencing. So they've got a bench warrant out for her.
22	THE COURT: Oh, okay. What kind of a fraud was this?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: She was introduced to us
24	by an HOA that we had a rental in as a lawyer that was doing
25	work for the HOA. We were working on an eviction. And she

1	took a lot of money from us and turns out she wasn't even
2	close to being a lawyer and she did nothing for it.
3	THE COURT: I see.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: And then she's also
5	embezzled the HOA and a lot of elderly citizens that lived in
6	the HOA.
7	THE COURT: You're not the only victim, huh?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Not at all.
9	THE COURT: All right. So you were among a number of
10	victims that were
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: My wife and I's case was
12	separate. When we filed we were advised by the fraud unit at
13	Metro to not say anything to any of the other people to taint
14	theirs.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: And so we ours was a
17	separate. But there she's got three or four different
18	cases going against her.
19	THE COURT: And and you lost there's some money
20	involved in this?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
22	THE COURT: A substantial amount?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: 7,000.
24	THE COURT: Okay. You're not going to hold that
25	against anybody in this case?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, sir. Not in here.
2	THE COURT: It has nothing to do with the prosecutors
3	or the defense attorneys?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, sir. No, sir.
5	THE COURT: All right. You also indicated that you
6	were arrested for a DUI 15 years ago?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I was.
8	THE COURT: And that was reduced. Do you think you
9	were treated unfairly by the police or the prosecution of that
10	case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I was.
12	THE COURT: Why is that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Because the breathalyzer
14	was under the limit. The law's the law.
15	THE COURT: And so you you think you were treated
16	unfairly?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I was arrested anyway. I
18	should have been I didn't break a law.
19	THE COURT: Again, are you going to hold that against
20	anybody in this case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Nobody in here. No, sir.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And again, you have a friend who
23	was involved in an armed robbery and a manslaughter?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir. Two
25	THE COURT: Same person?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: two different people.
2	Two different people, sir.
3	THE COURT: Two different people?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
5	THE COURT: And what happened to them?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: They're both here in town
7	working well, one lives in Moab, Utah. Works over there
8	for the government. And the other one lives here in town and
9	works.
10	THE COURT: Do you think that they were treated
11	unfairly?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I'm not sure. I don't
13	have the whole particulars to what they got what they had.
14	THE COURT: Again, you're not going to hold that
15	against anybody in this case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, sir. I've only heard
17	their stories.
18	THE COURT: If if you're selected as a juror, will
19	you promise me that you'll be fair to both sides?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: All right. Mr. DiGiacomo?
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	After well, it's been almost four hours at this
24	point, there's probably not a lot we could say. So let me
25	just go to a few specific areas.

1	You said you spoke to two friends who've had fairly
2	significant charges earlier in their life?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
4	MR. DiGIACOMO: And I'm just going to guess from your
5	answer both of them felt like they weren't treated very
6	fairly?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: One of them well, they
8	both claim that, you know well, the one of them says, I had
9	something coming; it wasn't necessarily that. And then the
10	other one says the same thing, I was heading down the wrong
11	road.
12	MR. DiGIACOMO: Ultimately, you know, they did
13	whatever their time is and they're now productive members of
14	society?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir. One of them
16	the the manslaughter case was let go by the courts. I
17	guess they finally they just released her. And I don't
18	know the details on that. But she did time in prison.
19	MR. DiGIACOMO: She did time, she ultimately she
20	was released, she was rehabilitated?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yeah. The the case
22	was dropped or whatever. I don't understand how exactly
23	how it worked.
24	MR. DiGIACOMO: Being that you don't know a lot about
25	it, I'm assuming none of that's going to affect your ability

1	to be fair and impartial in this situation?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, sir.
3	MR. DiGIACOMO: I'm assuming as an individual,
4	certainly if Ms. Weckerly and I prove our case beyond a
5	reasonable doubt, you're not going to have any problem in
6	coming in the courtroom and saying, You know what, they're
7	guilty?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Not at all.
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: On the flip side of that, you'd want
10	your government, if we cannot prove that these two individuals
11	are guilty, that you'd come in here and find them not guilty,
12	I'm assuming.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: That has to be the way.
14	MR. DiGIACOMO: Correct. I mean, you wouldn't want a
15	situation where the government can just decide these two
16	people need to go to jail?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Absolutely not.
18	MR. DiGIACOMO: All right. You want 12 people to do
19	that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think you're a fair person?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I think so.
23	MR. DiGIACOMO: And do you think that you would be
24	able to fairly consider, well, all the evidence, either from
25	the witness stand or the physical evidence or they've been

1	talking about the scientific evidence. You'd want to find out
2	everything you could possibly know?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
4	MR. DiGIACOMO: And then at that point you'd make a
5	decision; is that fair?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes.
7	MR. DiGIACOMO: It's is it the same thing for
8	penalty for you?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir. If if the
LO	if the penalty's there, it's there. Or if the I mean,
L1	if the law's been broken, it's there.
L2	MR. DiGIACOMO: And that's essentially if if we
L3	get to Phase 2 of these trial
L4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
L5	MR. DiGIACOMO: you know, assuming Ms. Weckerly
L6	and I are capable of shouldering the burden of proving these
L7	two individuals guilty
L8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
L9	MR. DiGIACOMO: no matter what choice you pick,
20	it's going to be bad for these two individuals. Would that be
21	fair?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: It's if they're
23	guilty, they're guilty.
24	MR. DiGIACOMO: Sure. Would you want to know
25	everything about now just not only the crime, but do you want

1	to know something about who Mr. Mason and Mr. Burns are before
2	you pick what their penalty is; would that be fair?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: It would probably
4	influence. But yes.
5	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. I mean, you'd want to know
6	everything you could possibly know about somebody before you
7	ultimately decide not only how bad is this crime, but how bad
8	is this person and what the punishment should be
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
10	MR. DiGIACOMO: do you agree with that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes.
12	MR. DiGIACOMO: Are you willing to wait until all
13	that evidence comes in before you pick one of the four
14	punishments or three punishments, depending on
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
16	MR. DiGIACOMO: You made some statements in your
17	questionnaire that suggest that perhaps you believe in the
18	death penalty?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I do.
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: After hearing kind of how the system
21	works, do you think that as you sit here today, that you could
22	keep your mind open to all the possibilities, all the
23	evidence, and all the circumstances before making a decision
24	as to what penalty's appropriate?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.

1	MR. DiGIACOMO: And you think you could consider all
2	four forms of punishment?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I I would.
4	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you very much, sir. Judge, we
5	pass for cause.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Oram.
7	MR. ORAM: Good afternoon, Mr. Porter.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Good afternoon.
9	MR. ORAM: I'll just go right to the point I want to
10	discuss. You had told the judge, sort of indicated that you
11	had some type of issue with other questions that had been
12	asked of another juror?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
14	MR. ORAM: And as the judge pointed out, you're not a
15	you know, the jury's not a parole board, they're not
16	deciding whether
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No.
18	MR. ORAM: somebody gets off
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No.
20	MR. ORAM: you understand? Okay. But one of the
21	things you specifically were asked in your questionnaire is
22	could you consider all four forms of punishment; do you
23	remember that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I I remember the
25	question, but I don't remember my answer.

1	MR. ORAM: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I don't think that I
3	could, if I remember right.
4	MR. ORAM: Yeah, and and that's fair enough.
5	First of all, you know, you guys fill this stuff in, then we
6	come in and act like you're taking some kind of exam.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, I it's okay. This
8	is I understand the seriousness here.
9	MR. ORAM: Okay. But and so I want to tell you
10	what your answer was. You said no, you didn't think you could
11	consider all four.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yeah. That's what I
13	thought I'd remembered the answer correctly.
14	MR. ORAM: And you did. And that seems to me, if I
15	may have misunderstood you, but when you were indicating to
16	Judge Thompson your concern, it sounded to me like maybe that
17	was your concern.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: That was and and I
19	don't want to confuse it, but when you had asked that other
20	gentleman that, it caught me.
21	MR. ORAM: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: That's that's what
23	caught me.
24	MR. ORAM: And you know there are people, there are,
25	there are people that will come in here, you may not see them,

1	and I don't want to say what other people are going to say.
2	But, you know, if there were 100 jurors in here who filled
3	this out, there are some people who just say, I'm not
4	considering the death penalty for anyone.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Right. Right.
6	MR. ORAM: They're opposed to it for religious
7	reasons or moral reasons, right? And there's other people who
8	just think, Look, an eye for an eye. You take a human life, I
9	don't mean to be rude about it, but I'm going to execute you.
10	You know, that's my feeling. Okay. And that's fine. But it
11	sounds to me like you're leaning in that direction.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, sir. It's they're
13	going to have to prove their case.
14	MR. ORAM: The State is?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: The State.
16	MR. ORAM: And as as we're talking about
17	penalty
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Uh-huh.
19	MR. ORAM: obviously, as the judge said, if you
20	find Mr. Burns not guilty, you can't be punished for something
21	you didn't do. If you're if you're below the legal
22	limit
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Absolutely. Absolutely.
24	If if he's innocent, he's innocent.
25	MR. ORAM: Okay. Or as we like to say and the and

1	the Constitution says, if he's they haven't proven him
2	guilty
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
4	MR. ORAM: beyond a reasonable doubt.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
6	MR. ORAM: So when you indicated that you couldn't
7	consider all four forms of punishment and you said that, in
8	fact, you'd have to be pushed to a lesser punishment excuse
9	me, you say, Honestly, I'm not sure how to answer. I wouldn't
10	think I could be pushed to a lesser punishment if other jurors
11	thought it appropriate. The facts would really have to
12	convince me against the death penalty. Which sounds like you
13	thought it through and that's your answer.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Uh-huh. Yes, sir.
15	MR. ORAM: And that's your answer as you sit here
16	today?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
18	MR. ORAM: So, and it'd be fair to say that you if
19	you find somebody guilty of first degree murder, you're
20	leaning towards the death penalty or at least life without
21	parole?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: At least.
23	MR. ORAM: And it's the State has a right to a
24	fair trial.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Uh-huh.

,	
1	MR. ORAM: Is that a yes, just for the record?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, sir.
3	MR. ORAM: No, no.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: And and so does that
5	gentleman over there.
6	MR. ORAM: Right. And so if somebody didn't believe,
7	you know, that they didn't believe in the death penalty,
8	okay?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Uh-huh.
10	MR. ORAM: You see, if they were not straightforward
11	about it, that wouldn't be fair to the State.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Exactly.
13	MR. ORAM: Okay. Because the State's sitting over
14	there thinking maybe we can get the death penalty, and there's
15	a person sitting back going, you've got no change, because I'm
16	never going to impose a death penalty, right?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Uh-huh.
18	MR. ORAM: And so I what I'm asking you is sort of
19	the inverse of that, the flip side of that. Do do you
20	think, basically, based upon your inner feelings you're an
21	intelligent man, obviously you've thought things through
22	that if you find somebody guilty of first degree murder, he
23	has no chance of life with a possibility of parole?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I I'm honestly not
25	sure. I mean, there's the case I'm have I'm going to

have to see the whole case before I can make a -- before I can 1 2 make that statement and be honest about it. 3 MR. ORAM: Okay. And -- and that -- and that's fine. 4 But at the end, let's take the other person who says -- writes 5 on this question here, I don't believe in the death penalty. 6 Okay. And then says what you've said -- which seems like an 7 honest answer -- well, maybe if I hear the whole case, then 8 I'll decide. 9 But, you see, at that point then, the State has a 10 person that may think, I just don't believe in it. Do you 11 see? And so --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I see what you're saying. 13 But I don't know what this case is yet. I don't know what I 14 can tell you. I mean... 15 MR. ORAM: And so you -- you could conceive of a situation where you could consider all four forms of 16 17 punishment for a first degree murder? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: It's -- I quess it's 19 possible. I don't know what the facts are. 20 MR. ORAM: When -- when you wrote that answer, what 21 -- what was going through your mind? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: We had -- we had been 23 told just the basics when they handed us the questionnaires. 2.4 And I was trying to weigh the gravity of what I was putting on 25 paper.

1	MR. ORAM: Do you think anything's changed in your
2	mind?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, sir.
4	MR. ORAM: So it'd be fair to say that you can say if
5	you were selected, could you consider all four forms of
6	punishment, your answer would be no; is that fair?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Well, my answer would be
8	I would consider all four if there was facts in the case that
9	brought that to a different light to me.
10	MR. ORAM: And you said I wouldn't think it could
11	I could be pushed to a lesser punishment by other jurors; is
12	that still accurate?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I will hold my ground on
14	whatever it is I believe at the end of it.
15	MR. ORAM: And then my question is, only you know
16	what's inside you, sir.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Right.
18	MR. ORAM: If you were sitting where Mr. Burns is
19	sitting, okay, or had a loved on sitting there
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yeah.
21	MR. ORAM: would you want someone like you on this
22	jury?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Absolutely.
24	MR. ORAM: Why?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Because I'm going to look

**AA 0284** 

1	at it at the end and and see what the facts were.
2	MR. ORAM: When Mr. DiGiacomo asked you would you
3	want to consider everything about the person, do you remember
4	he asked you
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yeah, I do remember.
6	MR. ORAM: And you sort of hesitated. Was there
7	do you remember doing that?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: It's yeah, I do.
9	MR. ORAM: What was the hesitation? What were you
10	thinking?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Just whether or not
12	whether or not you can bring up past events or anything. I'm
13	not sure how that plays into the court.
14	MR. ORAM: Okay. Well, you know what, maybe it's
15	time to just I think it's almost like if I get into a
16	plane, I don't know anything about it
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Uh-huh.
18	MR. ORAM: and all of a sudden the pilot's asking
19	me questions. If there's a penalty phase, you get to do this
20	weighing thing, which the judge will instruct you on
21	aggravating and mitigating
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Uh-huh.
23	MR. ORAM: circumstance.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Uh-huh.
25	MR. ORAM: Okay. And, by the way, in Nevada law, you

1	never have to return a a verdict of death.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Sure.
3	MR. ORAM: Okay?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Sure.
5	MR. ORAM: It's not a mathematical formula came up
6	with
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Exactly.
8	MR. ORAM: Does that make sense?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, it does.
10	MR. ORAM: So could you consider all of these other
11	factors?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: That's what I'm saying.
13	MR. ORAM: You would do that?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: If it come down to that,
15	yes, it would be a different decision.
16	MR. ORAM: Do you believe that too many offenders are
17	treated too lenient leniently?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I don't know.
19	MR. ORAM: Do you remember writing that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I don't.
21	MR. ORAM: How about science? You ever watch an of
22	those scientific shows?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I I have seen those
24	shows, yes.
25	MR. ORAM: Do do you watch them often or
ı	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I when I'm on the
2	road, they're on. Sometimes that's all that's on. I've seen
3	them.
4	MR. ORAM: Do you believe in science?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I do.
6	MR. ORAM: It makes planes fly?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
8	MR. ORAM: By the way, just
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Physics makes plane
10	flies.
11	MR. ORAM: Side question. Can a plane really go up
12	6,000 feet in a minute?
13	THE COURT: You okay?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: If you can make a brick
15	go 6,000 a minute if you put a big enough engine
16	THE COURT: You need a break? All right. We're
17	going to have to take a break for about 10 minutes, we'll take
18	a break.
19	(Prospective jury recessed at 4:54 p.m.)
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: It's 4:55.
21	THE COURT: So you guys are through?
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: With all 12 of these?
23	THE COURT: Of course.
24	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay.
25	THE COURT: You've got another 20 coming in tomorrow
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 193 AA 0287

1	morning.
2	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. We just thought that we were
3	stopping at 5:00. But if not, we'll keep going.
4	THE COURT: I'd like to stop at 5:00, if you guys
5	would quit asking questions.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: I'm with you, Judge.
7	(Court recessed at 4:55 p.m. until 5:03 p.m.)
8	(In the presence of the prospective jury panel.)
9	THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect the
10	presence of the prospective jurors in the box.
11	Mr. Oram, were you through with your examination?
12	MR. ORAM: No, I just had a few more questions, Your
13	Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay. We're on Mr. Porter, and he has
15	the microphone. Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
17	MR. ORAM: Mr. Porter, you answered in the
18	questionnaire that you thought maybe you have heard something
19	about the case. Your answer was a little vague.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I wasn't sure, but I
21	thought I had remembered something on one of the news radios
22	about a break-in and a murder or something to that extent. I
23	don't know if that was what it was or not, but I wanted to be
24	honest about everything I thought.

25

MR. ORAM: And anything you've heard outside of the

1	courtroom, you could put that aside and decide the case just
2	on what's
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I have not heard anything
4	else. So, yes.
5	MR. ORAM: And I want to make sure of something, just
6	because again we're coming in, and sometimes people don't
7	understand the procedures.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Uh-huh.
9	MR. ORAM: You understand that the first portion of a
LO	trial is whether the person is guilty or not guilty?
L1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Absolutely, yes.
L2	MR. ORAM: And so the questions I was asking you
L3	about penalty, you would understand you've already found the
L4	man guilty?
L5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Right. Right.
L6	MR. ORAM: And you're saying you could still consider
L7	all four forms of punishment?
L8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: If it's going to
L9	depend on what comes out in the case.
20	MR. ORAM: Your father was a longtime police officer?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: 18 years.
22	MR. ORAM: Do you believe that you would believe a
23	police officer's testimony over a lay witness's?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Not necessarily.
25	MR. ORAM: You'd judge everybody based upon what they

1	say?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: If the fact's there, it's
3	there. If it's not, it's not.
4	MR. ORAM: And you described that situation with
5	yourself where you felt that you had been hard done by getting
6	the DUI?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Uh-huh.
8	MR. ORAM: Okay. So you felt the police officer had
9	done you wrong?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, the police officer
11	did his job, but when I did the breathalyzer and passed, that
12	should've been the end of the story right there.
13	MR. ORAM: So would it be fair to say that you felt
14	that you were wrongfully accused?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: He I think he was
16	prejudiced. He had told me all the way down to the courthouse
17	or wherever, the station, that he had a perfect record for
18	DUIs.
19	MR. ORAM: You said that you thought that you would
20	be fair to Mr. Burns and the State?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: That's the way it's got
22	to be.
23	MR. ORAM: Is there anything about this case that we
24	haven't asked you that you felt was important to tell us?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, sir.

1	MR. ORAM: Pass for cause.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Langford.
3	MR. LANGFORD: Don't forget about me.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Sorry.
5	MR. LANGFORD: Again, I'm going to be very brief.
6	It's two trials, one set of evidence.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Understood.
8	MR. LANGFORD: You got that, okay. And the burden of
9	proof is the same?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes.
11	MR. LANGFORD: The State has to prove beyond a
12	reasonable doubt all of the elements of the crime as to my
13	client as well; do you have a problem with that?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No.
15	MR. LANGFORD: Okay. Do you feel like if there was a
16	split decision, that you believe they prove their case as to
17	one person but not as to the other, then you could return a
18	verdict of not guilty as to one of the people one of the
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir, these are two
20	different individuals.
21	MR. LANGFORD: Same thing with the penalty, you
22	could, you know
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: Yes, sir.
24	MR. LANGFORD: Because they're not even seeking the
25	death penalty against

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: I understand that.
2	MR. LANGFORD: Anything else you want to tell us?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, sir.
4	MR. LANGFORD: Now, is the time.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 123: No, sir.
6	MR. LANGFORD: Pass for cause, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Shipman.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Thank you, sir.
9	THE COURT: Okay. You've got to speak up.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Thank you, sir.
11	THE COURT: All right. Do you know any of the
12	witnesses or the attorneys involved in the case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, sir, I don't.
14	THE COURT: It says here that you that your
15	employer is the DOA, Department of Aviation?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, Department of Air
17	Force, sir.
18	THE COURT: The what?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Department of the Air
20	Force.
21	THE COURT: Oh, of the Air Force.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I'm in civil service.
23	THE COURT: Oh, okay. I thought all right. Well,
24	what do you do with the air force?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I am a Operations

1	Logistics Manager.
2	THE COURT: What does that mean?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: We move things. We help
4	people move back and forth, different clients and stuff like
5	that, equipment, electronics and all that kind of stuff.
6	THE COURT: Are you out here at Nellis?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, sir.
8	THE COURT: Where are you stationed?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: 821 Grier Street is the
10	address that we have to use.
11	THE COURT: Where is that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Off of Losee Road off
13	of Grier Street, off of, say, Las Vegas Boulevard.
14	THE COURT: Are you one of those guys that goes out
15	to
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I'm one of those guys.
17	THE COURT: They fly you out, and I'm not supposed to
18	ask you what you do and where you go.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I don't say that would
20	be correct, sir.
21	THE COURT: I know about you. I've heard about your
22	kind. Okay. How long have you been doing this?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Approximately now about
24	18 years, sir.
25	THE COURT: And you've been 18 years you've been

1	doing this?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir, when I was in
3	the military. I'm retired military as well.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else I can ask
5	you about your employment?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: You can ask me, sir. It
7	doesn't mean I'll answer it, but you can ask me.
8	THE COURT: Does your employment have anything to do
9	with law enforcement?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
11	THE COURT: And do you think because it does that
12	you'd be more inclined to favor one side or the other in a
13	case like this?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, sir.
15	THE COURT: Do you think you could be fair to both
16	sides?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
18	THE COURT: You've heard the questions that I asked
19	about the evidence. The evidence is going to be presented
20	here, and the jurors are going to be required to decide what
21	the facts are, and I'll decide what the law is, and then
22	you're going apply the facts to the law and reach a fair
23	verdict. Do you think you can do that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
25	THE COURT: Do you understand that the defendants are

1	accused of serious crimes, but they're presumed to be not
2	guilty, and unless the State proves their guilt you are to
3	find them not guilty; do you understand that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
5	THE COURT: The burden of the State is to prove that
6	guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. You've heard of that before?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: Do you have any quarrel with that
9	procedure?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, sir, I don't.
11	THE COURT: If you were one side or the other in a
12	case like this, would you want 12 jurors of your frame of mind
13	sitting in judgment in the case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Absolutely. Yes, sir.
15	THE COURT: Do you have an open mind?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Weckerly.
18	MS. WECKERLY: Thank you, Your Honor. How are you,
19	sir?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Fine, ma'am. And you?
21	MS. WECKERLY: I'm good. Thank you. I'm going to
22	ask you just a couple questions about your job, and then you
23	tell me what you want to answer; is that okay?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.
25	MS. WECKERLY: To have the job you have, I would

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am, I do  MS. WECKERLY: And to get that clearance, is  something that you test for in addition to sort of a  background check?  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.	
something that you test for in addition to sort of a background check?  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.	; that
5 background check? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.	
6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.	
_	
7 MS. WECKERLY: And — well, let me ask this.	Did you
8 have to undergo specialized training to do the job yo	ou do now?
9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.	
10 MS. WECKERLY: I'm going to move away from t	hat.
11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Thank you.	
MS. WECKERLY: Your adult children, do they	live here
13 locally?	
14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.	
MS. WECKERLY: And did they grow up here, or	did you
16 live somewhere else?	
17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, they grew up	here.
18 My wife was a diversion investigator with the DEA.	
19 MS. WECKERLY: Right.	
20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: And my children	one of
21 my daughters was born well, she went to school her	`e. My
22 oldest one did not.	
MS. WECKERLY: Now, your wife worked for DEA	, and she
was in the investigation arm of that?	
25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Right, diversion	1

1	investigator with DEA.
2	MS. WECKERLY: Was she out in the field dealing with
3	bad guys and
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, she was.
5	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. And when you I guess when
6	she was doing that that would've been when you were in the
7	military, before you have the job you have now?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, it was after I
9	retired.
10	MS. WECKERLY: Oh, I see. Okay. Did she talk to you
11	about her work a lot?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No. No, ma'am, she
13	wouldn't.
14	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. Was her work sometimes secret,
15	too?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.
17	MS. WECKERLY: You both can keep a secret?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.
19	THE COURT: They didn't talk to each other much.
20	MS. WECKERLY: Your wife, obviously her work would've
21	involved cases with large trafficking of narcotics, that sort
22	of thing?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.
24	MS. WECKERLY: In your questionnaire, you mentioned
25	that you were asked about your opinion about how drugs

1 maybe interact with criminal activity. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes. 3 MS. WECKERLY: And you said, Essentially if you --4 I'm interpreting your answer as, if you decide to take the 5 drugs, you're sort of responsible for your conduct? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes. 7 MS. WECKERLY: Is that -- I mean, I don't want to put 8 words in your mouth. Is that what you meant by your answer? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Well, I'm saying that if 10 a person is under the influence, of course they don't know 11 they're out of their mind. 12 MS. WECKERLY: Right. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: But you shouldn't be 14 taking drugs in the beginning, and that's what I meant by 15 that. 16 MS. WECKERLY: If someone takes drugs and then 17 engages in criminal conduct, do you think they should be held 18 accountable for their conduct? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am. 20 MS. WECKERLY: And is that based on sort of, like, 21 your own moral code -- your code of what you think is -- is 22 proper behavior or what you think we should expect of people? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, I believe that drugs 2.4 has an effect on anybody. If your taking drugs that you 25 shouldn't be taking, then it's going to alter your thinking

1	and the way you do things.
2	MS. WECKERLY: Do you have any first-hand experience
3	dealing with someone who might've been under the influence of
4	drugs?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Oh, I've seen people that
6	have been under the influence of drugs, yes, ma'am.
7	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. And was it anybody close to
8	you, or was it
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, ma'am.
10	MS. WECKERLY: kind of, like, maybe when you were
11	in the military?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Just some people I've
13	seen before on the streets and some people that's been in the
14	military as well.
15	MS. WECKERLY: Sir, you, like everyone else, you were
16	asked about your feelings about the death penalty.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.
18	MS. WECKERLY: And from reading your questionnaire,
19	my understanding is that you think it is a proper punishment
20	in some instances?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.
22	MS. WECKERLY: It's not a situation where you would
23	always impose it?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No.
25	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. And are you able to listen to

1	whatever additional information you might hear in a penalty
2	hearing before making that type of decision?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.
4	MS. WECKERLY: If you thought that was the
5	appropriate punishment in this case for Mr. Burns, would it
6	would you have any hesitation coming back in the courtroom and
7	announcing that as your verdict?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: If the evidence proves
9	that he is guilty, then that's what I would have to go with
10	because that's the law.
11	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. And we've discussed this with a
12	couple other prospective jurors. First-degree murder trials
13	are in two phases. The first one is just simply deciding if
14	the State proved the case beyond a reasonable doubt. So
15	that's a guilty or not guilty.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.
17	MS. WECKERLY: And then you hear additional
18	information and actually get additional instructions on the
19	law, and then you decide between the four punishments.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.
21	MS. WECKERLY: Are you able to do that?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.
23	MS. WECKERLY: And you leave open the possibility for
24	each of the four punishments?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.

1	MS. WECKERLY: So you can envision a situation I'm
2	assuming from your answer where someone committed
3	first-degree murder, but the proper punishment is they should
4	have at least a shot at parole?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am, if the
6	evidence proved that they yes, ma'am.
7	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. And sort of the intermediate
8	sentence is, I don't think the death penalty is appropriate,
9	but I believe this person should never get out of prison.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I think that the law is
11	what we have to go by.
12	MS. WECKERLY: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: So if the law states
14	that, then I have to go along with that because that is the
15	law.
16	MS. WECKERLY: Now, the law is never going to tell
17	you what punishment to impose. That would be something that
18	you decide individually and then as the as a group, as the
19	jury panel.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.
21	MS. WECKERLY: Is that something that you could do,
22	is impose decide what do you think is justice? What do you
23	think is the proper punishment?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I could do that.
25	MS. WECKERLY: Okay.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.
2	MS. WECKERLY: And I would imagine this isn't a
3	decision that you would make lightly?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, ma'am, I wouldn't.
5	MS. WECKERLY: Serious circumstances?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, ma'am.
7	MS. WECKERLY: Do you have any concerns at all about
8	your ability to be fair either to the State or to Mr. Burns or
9	Mr. Mason?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, ma'am, no concerns.
11	MS. WECKERLY: Thank you, sir. We'll pass for cause,
12	Your Honor.
13	MR. SGRO: Good afternoon.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Good afternoon.
15	MR. SGRO: So I'm going to pick it up sort of where
16	you left off with Ms. Weckerly.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
18	MR. SGRO: And speak to you for a minute about the
19	penalty. Do you appreciate the fact that Mr. Oram and I and
20	Mr. Burns, we don't think we're ever going to get to the
21	penalty? Do you understand that?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
23	MR. SGRO: Okay. And you understand we have to speak
24	to you about that now because we don't have another
25	opportunity to do so?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: All right, sir.

2.2

2.4

MR. SGRO: Okay. So one of the things I want to make clear — and Ms. Weckerly touched on it — the law doesn't ever tell a jury what is the right punishment, right? And Judge Thompson will tell you later on that essentially, listen, you have found someone guilty of first-degree murder. So the only law is now the jurors have to decide which is the right punishment. So this exercise that we do about the penalty assumes a couple things, right? It assumes that someone's already been found guilty of first-degree murder. Do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.

MR. SGRO: Okay. And so when it comes to following the law, what you have to do is pick one of four, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.

MR. SGRO: Okay. So do you think you have the ability — do you remember that factual predicate that was delved into earlier, the shooting, and then a little girl gets shot?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.

MR. SGRO: You have to get to a point — we have to do, like, mental gymnastics. You have to get to a place where you think that, okay, I just found someone guilty. Now, is parole an option, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.

1	MR. SGRO: So is parole an option in that situation?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: It depends on the
3	circumstances and once again what the law states.
4	MR. SGRO: Okay. And see this this is kind of
5	where I think that we're disconnecting. The law is going to
6	tell you, you get to pick.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Okay.
8	MR. SGRO: Okay?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: All right, sir.
10	MR. SGRO: And so you remember some examples have
11	been given about people that could never pick the death
12	penalty?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Right, sir.
14	MR. SGRO: Some examples have been given of people
15	that could never
16	(Audio recording interrupted 5:19:36 p.m. to 5:20:05 p.m.)
17	MR. SGRO: I found someone guilty of first-degree
18	murder, right?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
20	MR. SGRO: It was a 12-year-old little girl that got
21	shot.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
23	MR. SGRO: I think parole is the appropriate
24	punishment, possible? not possible?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: It's possible, sir.

1	MR. SGRO: Okay. And you would be willing then to
2	keep an open mind to see what all the other facts and
3	circumstances were in terms of knowing Mr. Burns and that sort
4	of thing?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
6	MR. SGRO: All right. Now let's dispense with that
7	and get to the trial part. In your job and as in the
8	military, did you ever get called upon to decide between two
9	different versions of what people were telling you?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
11	MR. SGRO: Okay. Do you feel comfortable doing that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
13	MR. SGRO: Okay. I promise you things are going to
14	come out from the witness stand that are going to be
15	conflicting, right?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
17	MR. SGRO: You know how there there's a statement
18	you made about people needing to be accountable even though
19	they took drugs, right?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
21	MR. SGRO: Part of that accountability in this case
22	is going to be whether or not they're believable; do you
23	understand that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
25	MR. SGRO: You're going to hear from witnesses that

1	are going to tell you, in some cases, they did drugs every
2	day, every day.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Okay.
4	MR. SGRO: And you're going to have to decide whether
5	you give them any credit at all for being able to tell the
6	truth; do you understand?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
8	MR. SGRO: It's not like you have to pick this part's
9	true, that part's true. You have the right to say, I don't
10	care what that if that person tells me it's 2 p.m., I'm
11	checking my watch, like, three times. Do you understand?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
13	MR. SGRO: Okay. No problem with that?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No problem with that,
15	sir.
16	MR. SGRO: Okay. All right. Given your military
17	background, I'm going to assume you're very comfortable with
18	the rights that people accused of crime have; would that be
19	fair?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
21	MR. SGRO: All right. So any quarrel with the fact
22	that Mr. Burns is presumed innocent?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I don't have a problem
24	with that, sir, as long as the facts state
25	MR. SGRO: Well, there's no there's no right

212

1	now
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Okay.
3	MR. SGRO: If you had to vote as to whether or not
4	Mr. Burns was guilty or not guilty right now, what would your
5	vote have to be?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I don't know him. I
7	don't know anything about what he's done. That's why I have
8	to give him a fair shake on it. I can't say that he's done
9	anything wrong.
10	MR. SGRO: Do you understand right now Mr. Burns is
11	presumed innocent?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, I understand that.
13	MR. SGRO: Right. And you haven't heard any
14	evidence?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, sir.
16	MR. SGRO: So in this theoretical example, right now
17	you'd have to vote not guilty?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: That's correct, sir.
19	MR. SGRO: Because you haven't heard anything?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, sir, I haven't heard
21	anything.
22	MR. SGRO: Do you think you'd hold it against Mr.
23	Burns if he elected to not testify?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I have nothing against
25	it, for Mr. Burns not to testify.

1	MR. SGRO: Can you think of a reason why someone that
2	didn't do what he's what he or she is accused of doing, why
3	they wouldn't testify?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, because of
5	nervousness. There's a lot of things that could happen that
6	could cause a person to be nervous, not to testify.
7	MR. SGRO: All right. Do you think you'd look at him
8	a little more carefully if he did testify?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, sir.
10	MR. SGRO: So tell me about okay. So your wife
11	was in the DEA?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
13	MR. SGRO: You have an affiliation with some law
14	enforcement in terms of what you're doing now?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
16	MR. SGRO: So obviously from our side, you understand
17	that our concern would be that you would have an affinity with
18	the police officers in the case. Do you understand how we
19	might think that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I understand that.
21	MR. SGRO: Okay. Do we have anything to be worried
22	about as police officers come in and take the stand and swear
23	to tell the truth?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Absolutely not, sir.
25	MR. SGRO: I mentioned earlier today, some of the

1	police officers in this case may be criticized for some of
2	their work. Are you going to hold that against us for doing
3	that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, sir.
5	MR. SGRO: Do you think you'd be able to evaluate
6	that police officer on the strength of his or her testimony?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
8	MR. SGRO: If a police officer says the light is red,
9	person accused, light is green, do you automatically go with
10	the police officer?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, sir, you don't.
12	MR. SGRO: Okay. Do you own a cell phone, sir?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir, I do.
14	MR. SGRO: Do you remember the questions I asked?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
16	MR. SGRO: Have you ever found yourself in that
17	situation?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Well, sir, I'll go
19	through my phone sometime, not a lot. I've looked at my bill,
20	and said, Okay, something's not right, and then I look at my
21	minutes but not in that detail like that.
22	MR. SGRO: Okay. And you remember the point of that
23	is even sometimes records that look very official,
24	computer-generated stuff, sometimes there's mistakes even on

25 those records, right?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
2	MR. SGRO: Sometimes people can miss stuff on the
3	records even though it's very nicely packaged?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
5	MR. SGRO: Have you ever been mistaken for somebody
6	else?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Well, some people tell me
8	I look like Sammy Davis Junior, but I don't believe that.
9	MR. SGRO: Okay. Fair enough. Have you ever gone up
10	to someone you thought was a friend or someone you knew and
11	realized at the last minute that you had the wrong person?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I've done that, sir.
13	MR. SGRO: Okay. Let me ask it from a different
14	perspective. Have you ever been accused of something you
15	haven't done?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Sure, everybody has been
17	accused of something they not have done.
18	MR. SGRO: How did that make you feel?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I didn't like at all. I
20	didn't think it was right.
21	MR. SGRO: Okay. And do you think you know that
22	the adage, where there's smoke there's fire?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
24	MR. SGRO: You've heard of that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.

1	MR. SGRO: Do you think because Mr. Burns is sitting
2	here and Mr. DiGiacomo read that charging document, something
3	must've happened?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, I believe that
5	something must've happened.
6	MR. SGRO: Okay. Do you
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: But I still don't have
8	the proof.
9	MR. SGRO: Okay. Let me put it a different way.
10	Something obviously happened. No one is going to dispute that
11	there wasn't a homicide in this case.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Right, sir.
13	MR. SGRO: Let me ask it better. Do you believe
14	because Mr. Burns is sitting here he must have done something?
15	Do you believe that that's the case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: We wouldn't be in here if
17	he hadn't done if somebody hadn't done something. I still
18	need the evidence to prove that somebody in here done
19	something. I have to be open. I don't know the man. I don't
20	know anything about it. I didn't get the facts on anything.
21	So I have to be open.
22	MR. SGRO: Okay. Does Mr. Burns get the benefit of
23	sitting here right now innocent of all charges?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, he does.
25	MR. SGRO: Okay. And you understand you're not

allowed to say this: Well, he's sitting here. The police arrested the man. He must've done something wrong.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I have not heard any facts on Mr. Burns. So there is no reason for me to accuse him of anything until I see the facts.

MR. SGRO: Fair enough. You have heard different questions and answers relative to different prejudices people have.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.

MR. SGRO: And you articulated — you put in your questionnaire that you have had to go through some of that yourself.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Well, yes, sir.

MR. SGRO: Okay. Can you give me one for instance.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Well, just as being a young black man coming from the south, for example. I'm from Alabama, and there's some prejudices that I've seen, you know, as a young man. It doesn't have any effect on me today because I am who I am, and that's the way it is, and I don't walk around with no chip on my shoulder. I don't — nobody owes me anything. I don't owe anybody anything. To respect, and that's all it's about.

MR. SGRO: Okay. It's 11 people believe one thing.

You believe something different. How are you going to feel in that situation?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: My belief is my belief.
2	Theirs is theirs. The facts of the case and the law is going
3	to determine the outcome of what I believe.
4	MR. SGRO: Do you think you would ever change your
5	mind just because 11 people saw it a different way?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No, I'm not going to
7	change my mind.
8	MR. SGRO: Do you remember when we talked about
9	credibility? Have you ever heard of this thing called a plea
10	bargain? a plea negotiation?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
12	MR. SGRO: When people have been asked about motives,
13	where people might fabricate, what's a motive to lie, right?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Right.
15	MR. SGRO: Different people have different agendas?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
17	MR. SGRO: Would you agree with that?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
19	MR. SGRO: Could you imagine a situation where
20	someone that took a deal where they're testifying, that that
21	might motivate them to not tell the truth?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
23	MR. SGRO: And in terms of this particular case,
24	we're going to go through this for five weeks, a lot of work,
25	talented prosecutors, dozens of witnesses. Would you be able

1	to come in if the State hadn't met their burden of proof and
2	announce a verdict of not guilty?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
4	MR. SGRO: No trouble with that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: No trouble with that,
6	sir.
7	MR. SGRO: Pass for cause, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Langford.
9	MR. LANGFORD: May I address the jury from here, Your
10	Honor?
11	THE COURT: Sure.
12	MR. LANGFORD: Two trials, one set of evidence,
13	right?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
15	MR. LANGFORD: A trial for this man, a trial for this
16	man?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
18	MR. LANGFORD: Are you okay with that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
20	MR. LANGFORD: You could be fair to both?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, I can, sir.
22	MR. LANGFORD: Two penalty hearings, okay. There
23	will be two penalty hearings, one as to this man, one as to
24	this man. Different possible penalties, you understood that,
25	right?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
2	MR. LANGFORD: And you can be fair to both?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: I can, sir.
4	MR. LANGFORD: Consider the fact that there is a
5	maximum penalty of death here and only life without here?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
7	MR. LANGFORD: And you're not going to bleed it over
8	based upon this person affecting this person and I'm
9	pointing
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Not at all, sir.
11	MR. LANGFORD: And I'm pointing to Mr. Burns, and I'm
12	pointing to Mr. Mason.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 133: Yes, sir.
14	MR. LANGFORD: Okay. Pass for cause, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Green, they're going to
16	give you the microphone here.
17	It says here well, first of all, do you know any
18	of the attorneys or the witnesses in the case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No.
20	THE COURT: You're going to have to speak into that
21	microphone.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No.
23	THE COURT: All right. Really close to your mouth
24	there.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Okay.

1	THE COURT: It says here you work for the C. Martin
2	Company?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: What's the C. Martin Company.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: We're government
6	contractors.
7	THE COURT: You're a government contractor, too?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Are you like are you secret, like he
10	is?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Somewhat.
12	THE COURT: Somewhat?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Uh-huh.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Are you in town, or are you out at
15	the
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: At Creech.
17	THE COURT: You're what?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: At Creech.
19	THE COURT: Oh, you're at Creech?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Uh-huh.
21	THE COURT: Oh, okay. You do the drones?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Okay. I know what they do out at Creech.
24	I really like that outfit out there. There are some nice
25	people out there at Creech.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes.
2	THE COURT: I've had contact with them in other
3	contexts, other circumstances.
4	It says here that you were shot at by some Hispanics?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes, sir.
6	THE COURT: What happened?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Myself and my daughter
8	she was a year at the time and her father were leaving my
9	mother's house, and we're literally parked in front of her
10	house, getting in the car. They drive by. So they see that
11	we have our child with us. They go up to the top of the
12	street, turn around, come back down to the point where they're
13	so close to our car my kid's dad had to close the door, and
14	the little dude just got out as I'm putting my daughter in the
15	back seat in her car seat, and he just starts shooting at us.
16	THE COURT: Why?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I have no clue.
18	THE COURT: No clue. Did they ever catch him?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I have no clue.
20	THE COURT: You don't know what ever happened?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No.
22	THE COURT: Did you report it to the police?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Oh, yes, most definitely.
24	THE COURT: But as far as you know, they never found
25	anybody that did that?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I never heard anything
2	about it again.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Are you going to take that out on
4	anybody here?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Oh, no.
6	THE COURT: Okay. It has nothing to do with this
7	case, right?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No.
9	THE COURT: Okay. You heard the questions that I
10	asked of all the jurors about the evidence, that I'm the judge
11	of the law. You are the judge of the facts, and we are a
12	team, and we work together. You decide what the facts are. I
13	decide what the law is, and you reach a fair verdict, fair
14	enough?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Do you have any quarrel with that
17	procedure?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No.
19	THE COURT: Any reason you couldn't be a fair juror?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Well, I don't believe in
21	the death penalty.
22	THE COURT: Well, a lot of people don't believe in
23	the death penalty.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Okay.
25	THE COURT: Do you think that there are occasions

1 when somebody does something so serious the death penalty is 2 appropriate? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No. 4 THE COURT: Ever? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: 6 THE COURT: You were asked in your questionnaire with 7 reference to the death penalty: Which of the following 8 statements most accurately reflects your belief? And you 9 checked: I believe that the death penalty is appropriate in 10 some murder cases, and I could return a verdict in a proper 11 case which imposed the death penalty, right? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: If that's what you're 13 reading, okay. 14 THE COURT: Well, right now there's a man on trial on 15 the east coast for bombing the Boston Marathon. Did you hear 16 about that? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes. 18 THE COURT: I mean, just about everybody has heard 19 about that I think. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes. 21 THE COURT: And he -- if -- assuming that he is 22 convicted of that offense, they're going to ask for the death 23 penalty because he killed a number of people and injured an 2.4 awful lot of people. Is that a kind of case that you might at

25

least consider it?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I have to say no because
2	who am I to say, yes, kill this man.
3	THE COURT: Why did you then in answer to this
4	question check that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I have no clue.
6	THE COURT: You have no clue why you checked it?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I do not.
8	THE COURT: Because you really don't believe in the
9	death penalty?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I do not believe in it.
11	I think it's all for God to figure out and handle.
12	THE COURT: Mr. DiGiacomo.
13	MR. DIGIACOMO: We challenge.
14	THE COURT: Traverse?
15	MR. ORAM: Briefly. Good afternoon. Right before
16	the question that the Judge was asking you about, you said
17	that pretty much that you were concerned about the death
18	penalty, but you did say only if it involves an innocent
19	child. Do you remember writing that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes, sir.
21	MR. ORAM: Okay. And so, you know, the Judge gave
22	you the bombing example. Would there be considerations of
23	let's say a murder case that involves an innocent child that
24	you would consider the death penalty?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes.

1 MR. ORAM: Okay. And that's fair. So what you're 2 saying is that it has to be a particular kind of case for you 3 to even consider it? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I -- because I feel that 4 5 the babies are just so innocent. 6 MR. ORAM: And that's fine. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: So any and everything 8 that's going on, whether it's parents have things going on or 9 whatnot. 10 MR. ORAM: So there were some gentlemen that we 11 talked to a little bit ago who sort of wondered about parole. 12 Do you remember them? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Uh-huh. 13 14 MR. ORAM: Okay. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes. 16 MR. ORAM: And they struggled with that. Again, they 17 don't know anything about the facts, but they thought, Boy, if 18 I've convicted somebody of first-degree murder, I may struggle 19 on the idea of considering life with the possibility of 20 parole. Do you remember that? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes. 2.2 MR. ORAM: So it sounds to me like you are in an 23 equal struggle, that, boy, in order to consider the death 2.4 penalty, you could do it, but it would have to be a very rare

KARR REPORTING, INC.

kind of case, one involving a child?

25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: A child, yes. Uh-huh.
2	MR. ORAM: And you know that the State is going to
3	argue there is a child involved in this case?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes.
5	MR. ORAM: So under the circumstances, is it fair to
6	say that although you're not in favor of it and it would be a
7	struggle, in the right case you would just consider it, that
8	you could consider the death penalty?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I don't know. I can't
10	say yes or no to that.
11	MR. ORAM: And is that because you haven't heard the
12	facts?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I don't know. I don't
14	know. I just never want to say, yes, kill this person because
15	they killed someone.
16	MR. ORAM: Okay. And I hear that, and Mr. Burns has
17	a right to a fair trial. You realize that, right?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes.
19	MR. ORAM: And the State does, too?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes.
21	MR. ORAM: Okay. And so, you know, if we have
22	everybody on this jury who who really is, you know, only
23	leaning one way before we start, it isn't fair to Mr. Burns,
24	right?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Right.

1	MR. ORAM: Okay. And so we want fair-minded people.
2	Okay. They're talking about killing that man over there,
3	okay?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Uh-huh.
5	MR. ORAM: So I want to know, could you consider the
6	death penalty? If the right case came up, would you consider
7	it?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I can't I just I
9	can't.
10	THE COURT: I'm going to have to grant the challenge.
11	MR. ORAM: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: Thank you for being a prospective juror,
13	Ms. Green. I'm going to excuse you.
14	Mr Ms. Bombard, is that
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes, it is.
16	THE COURT: Ms. Bombard, do you know any of the
17	witnesses or the attorneys in the case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: I do not.
19	THE COURT: Nobody, all right. You and your husband
20	have Bombard Electric?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: That's right.
22	THE COURT: How's Bombard Electric doing?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: We're holding our own
24	right now.
25	THE COURT: It's it was kind of slow a few years

1	ago?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Uh-huh. Yeah.
3	THE COURT: Coming back?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: It is coming back.
5	THE COURT: Do you do just home stuff, or do you do
6	some general construction, too?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Actually, our solar
8	department does homes. We have we have a mechanical
9	department. We do a lot of the big casinos.
10	THE COURT: Oh, do you?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yeah. Uh-huh.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Well, then you've been busy all
13	along?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yeah.
17	THE COURT: Well, good.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Thanks.
19	THE COURT: I asked some questions of each of the
20	jurors about if they understood that while I was the judge
21	of the law, you are the judge of the facts. Do you have any
22	problem with that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No, sir.
24	THE COURT: I'm not going to tell you how to decide
25	this case. This is up to you to decide the case. All I can

1	do is tell you what the law is, and then you have to reach a
2	fair decision. Do you think you can do that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: If you were one of the parties in this
5	case, would you want 12 jurors of your same frame of mind
6	sitting in judgment of the case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: You understand the presumption of
9	innocence and the burden that the State has?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes, sir.
11	THE COURT: Any quarrel with that procedure?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No, sir.
13	THE COURT: You said in your answers to the
14	questionnaire that you had a brother-in-law that was arrested?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.
16	THE COURT: What was he arrested for, or do you know?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Well, I know a little bit
18	about it. I don't know the specifics. He's been arrested
19	numerous times, and it was regarding drugs. He was a drug
20	dealer.
21	THE COURT: Here in town?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No, in northern
23	California.
24	THE COURT: In northern California?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes, sir.

1	THE COURT: Was he ever imprisoned?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes, sir.
3	THE COURT: And is he out now, or do you know?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yeah, he's out, sir.
5	THE COURT: Okay. How do you feel about drugs?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Well, they mess you up.
7	So it's not a it's not a pretty sight.
8	THE COURT: You're not very pleased with them I
9	gather?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No, I'm not.
11	THE COURT: Okay. You're not going to hold that
12	against anybody involved in this case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No, sir.
14	THE COURT: Any reason you couldn't be a fair juror?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No, I'm pretty fair.
16	THE COURT: All right. Mr. DiGiacomo.
17	MR. DIGIACOMO: Very briefly. I'm counting. Now
18	we're at four hours and 40 minutes.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Right.
20	MR. DIGIACOMO: And I don't think anyone's asked a
21	different question yet.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Right.
23	MR. DIGIACOMO: So you've heard everything that
24	everyone asked.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes, sir.

1	MR. DIGIACOMO: Is there anything you think is
2	important for the lawyers to know before we decide on who
3	should be our jurors?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Is there anything that
5	that I
6	MR. DIGIACOMO: That we should know, from all the
7	questions that have been asked here today?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No, I think that you've
9	asked all the questions.
10	MR. DIGIACOMO: Do you think you're a fair person?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: I do think I'm a fair
12	person.
13	MR. DIGIACOMO: Do think you could wade through the
14	evidence and make a decision as to whether or not Ms. Weckerly
15	and I were able to prove our case beyond a reasonable doubt?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: I do.
17	MR. DIGIACOMO: And if we do, any problem coming in
18	this courtroom and announcing that verdict in the presence of
19	the two defendants?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No, sir.
21	MR. DIGIACOMO: Likewise, should we get to a penalty
22	who knows what the evidence will be at that point do you
23	think you could keep your mind open to all four forms of
24	punishment until we get there?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes, sir.

1 MR. DIGIACOMO: Any concerns whatsoever? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No. Just one thing, if I have questions -- as a jury, we can ask questions, right, 3 4 about what's going on? That's the only thing that I do want 5 to ask, is -- I've never served on anything like this. So as 6 a jury, when we go out at the end, we can ask questions 7 amongst -- I mean, and who gives us the answers of what we're 8 hearing? 9 THE COURT: Ms. Bombard. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: 11 MR. DIGIACOMO: That's his department. 12 THE COURT: There is a procedure that I will explain 13 to the jurors after they are actually finally selected for the 14 jurors to ask questions. It has to do with the jurors writing 15 the questions down, asking the marshal to -- giving it to the 16 marshal, do it before the witness leaves the stand. So we can 17 still ask questions. I review the question. If it's 18 appropriate to ask it, I will ask it on your behalf. The 19 attorneys are entitled to follow-up questions, but the answer 20 is, yes, there is a procedure --21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay. 2.2 THE COURT: -- for the jurors to be able to ask 23 questions if they're appropriate questions under the law. 2.4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay. 25 THE COURT: There are some things you can't ask.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Right.
2	THE COURT: Some things that I can't ask, but if you
3	can ask it and if it's appropriate, I'll see that it's done.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. DIGIACOMO: Great.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: That's it.
8	MR. DIGIACOMO: He answered the question. So I'm
9	assuming that if, you know, God forbid, you wound up sitting
10	at this table being accused of a crime, you'd want somebody
11	with your same mindset to sit in judgment and make a
12	determination on both guilt and penalty should you get picked?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.
14	MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you very much, ma'am. Judge,
15	we pass for cause.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Thank you.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Sgro.
18	MR. SGRO: Thank you, Your Honor. With respect to
19	Bombard Electric, it's a well-respected company, been in town
20	for a long time, right?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Correct.
22	MR. SGRO: Have you ever worked there with your
23	husband?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No, I have not.
25	MR. SGRO: Okay. Do you have any knowledge of any of

1	the ins and outs of the court stuff that they do?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No, I don't.
3	MR. SGRO: Okay. Have you ever spoken to your
4	husband about any cases that they have?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No, I don't. I'm not
6	I just know how well it's going, but I don't have anything to
7	do with the day-to-day business.
8	MR. SGRO: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: As a matter of fact, when
10	he comes home, work isn't discussed. So.
11	MR. SGRO: Okay. Fair enough. So let's go
12	backwards.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay.
14	MR. SGRO: Let's talk about the penalty, okay?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay. Uh-huh.
16	MR. SGRO: You understand we're forced to talk about
17	it now?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Uh-huh. Yes.
19	MR. SGRO: Okay. No problem with that, right?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Not a problem.
21	MR. SGRO: Okay. So I read your answer in the
22	questionnaire, and I'm hoping that there's been some
23	clarification now in terms of when you were asked, you know,
24	can you consider all four forms of punishment.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.

1	MR. SGRO: That clarification being, we take away
2	accident, you know, self-defense, that sort of thing, and we
3	put you in a position mentally where you have to assume, okay,
4	someone has already been convicted of first-degree murder,
5	right?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay.
7	MR. SGRO: And you heard my conversation with the
8	prior juror about, you know, the law just says you have to
9	pick one of four?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Correct.
11	MR. SGRO: All right. Any quarrel with imagining
12	there's someone in front of you convicted of first-degree
13	murder you heard about the 12-year-old little girl
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Uh-huh.
15	MR. SGRO: and then coming back and saying, you
16	know what, a chance at parole is what this individual
17	deserves; any quarrel with that?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No.
19	MR. SGRO: Okay. So you are open to that
20	possibility?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes. Originally I'll
22	have to say when I read the transcripts of it, just to be
23	honest, I it would have to depend on the evidence I guess
24	or what's been presented to us on what I find myself.
25	Originally, when I read the transcript or the sentence about

1 what the case was about and involving a child, you know, my 2 first reaction was, Ugh, that's not good. You know, that's a 3 cruel -- you know, that's a cruel thing. You don't get any 4 worse than that. 5 Sitting here -- and I'm not -- you know, quite --6 just being honest, sitting here, it also has to depend on the 7 circumstance because we were just given a brief discussion --8 MR. SGRO: Sure. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153 -- or a brief statement of 10 what the -- of what the charges or what happened I guess in 11 the case. So it's all about circumstances and what's been 12 presented. So I don't remember exactly what I wrote in my 13 thing, but I think I did say I was for the death penalty --14 MR. SGRO: Right. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: -- if, you know -- I 16 guess my other thing would be is if it were a Jeffrey Dahmer 17 situation. To me, there is no excuse, and, you know, that 18 would be death penalty. With -- in regards to this man, he's 19 innocent until proven guilty. So. 20 MR. SGRO: We like to say, Unless. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Unless, okay. 22 MR. SGRO: Right. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Unless he's proven 2.4 quilty. Right. 25 MR. SGRO: Correct. Okay. So now let's break that

1 down a little bit. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay. 3 MR. SGRO: Relative to the discussion we just had, 4 you know, there's no more serious a case than this, right? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Uh-huh. 6 MR. SGRO: Right? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Correct. 8 MR. SGRO: So that's why we delved so deeply into the 9 answers, and on the questionnaire, it did look like you were 10 leaning away from the possibility of ever having someone being 11 able to walk the street, right? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Correct. 13 MR. SGRO: Okay. But now that you've had a chance to 14 listen, you understand a little bit better, and you're saying 15 that you can close your eyes and get to that place mentally where someone's okay -- okay with you to walk the street 16 17 again? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yeah, I would have to --19 like it's been said, it would have to be -- depend on what is 20 being presented and how it's being -- you know, what's being said. 21 22 MR. SGRO: All right. So any problem with any of the 23 rights we discussed? You understand the presumption of 2.4 innocence?

KARR REPORTING, INC.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.

25

1	MR. SGRO: Any quarrel with that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No.
3	MR. SGRO: Would you hold it against Mr. Burns if he
4	decides not to testify?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No.
6	MR. SGRO: Any reason why someone that was not guilty
7	would refuse to take the stand?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yeah, they're afraid, or
9	they don't do very well being able to speak. So it doesn't
10	make look very favorable in their defense. It doesn't do
11	them any good.
12	MR. SGRO: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yeah.
14	MR. SGRO: Credibility
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Uh-huh.
16	MR. SGRO: Yes.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yeah, what about it?
18	MR. SGRO: You remember you're going to be called
19	upon to decide whether or not someone is telling you the
20	truth.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Right.
22	MR. SGRO: Any problem with that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No.
24	MR. SGRO: Can someone swear to tell the truth, get
25	on the witness stand and lie?
I	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.
2	MR. SGRO: Can a police officer swear to tell the
3	truth and lie?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Unfortunately, yes.
5	MR. SGRO: And you remember my conversation earlier.
6	We hope that doesn't happen, correct?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Correct.
8	MR. SGRO: We don't want it to happen. We want to
9	rely on those folks, right?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Right.
11	MR. SGRO: But can it happen?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Sure.
13	MR. SGRO: All right. Can the police arrest someone
14	that's actually committed no crime?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: I don't think so or, I
16	mean, they're arrested. I don't really know how that works.
17	I mean, they get arrested. I guess it's the jury decides if
18	they're guilty or not, correct?
19	MR. SGRO: Exactly.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay.
21	MR. SGRO: Exactly. So is it possible let me put
22	it give you a different perspective.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay.
24	MR. SGRO: Mr. Burns is sitting here right now.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Correct.

1	MR. SGRO: And you're going to learn he was arrested.
2	You saw a charging document. Is it possible that even though
3	there's an arrest, a charging document, is it possible that
4	person's not guilty?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: It depends on what is
6	presented to us I suppose.
7	MR. SGRO: How would you have to vote right now if
8	you had to vote?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Well, he's not guilty
10	right now.
11	MR. SGRO: That's right. Okay. Good. In terms of
12	the credibility questions, would you be able to gauge persons
13	and whether or not they're telling you the truth?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: I like to believe I have
15	a pretty good sense of someone lying or not.
16	MR. SGRO: Okay. Are there people that when they
17	testify they're going to have an agenda or motives potentially
18	to not tell you the truth?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Unfortunately, probably
20	some do.
21	MR. SGRO: And we had a conversation about drug use
22	and accountability. Are you going to hold these witnesses
23	accountable if they come forward and say things like, you
24	know, I do drugs every single day? Would you take that into
25	account when you're evaluating their testimony?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.
2	MR. SGRO: Have you ever heard this term plea
3	bargain? plea negotiation?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Uh-huh.
5	MR. SGRO: Yes?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes. Sorry. Yes.
7	MR. SGRO: And would you agree that sometimes persons
8	can be motivated simply by virtue of agreeing to testify?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Excuse me. Say that
10	again.
11	MR. SGRO: Can people be motivated to tell you things
12	that aren't true by a plea agreement? a plea negotiation?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.
14	MR. SGRO: The cell phone questions, I assume you own
15	a cell phone?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.
17	MR. SGRO: Have you ever gone through your bill and
18	scrutinized it?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No, I haven't.
20	MR. SGRO: Isn't it amazing no one ever looks at that
21	bill?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: I know, and I'm thinking
23	maybe I should start checking a little more often. There's
24	stuff going on I guess.
25	MR. SGRO: Would you agree to commit that just

1	because something comes in a package and it looks like very
2	official looking records you'd still evaluate the records
3	independently? You'd make your own assessment?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Oh, yes, definitely.
5	Uh-huh.
6	MR. SGRO: Okay. We are going to ask you to look at
7	some cell phone records in this case.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay.
9	MR. SGRO: And you'll be willing to do that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.
11	MR. SGRO: All right. Have you ever heard this term
12	eyewitness identification?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: You I haven't, but you
14	said something. I forgot what it was. So you might want to
15	repeat that again.
16	MR. SGRO: Let's do it by way of example. Have you
17	ever been sitting in a car or sitting in a movie and you
18	some event occurs, and you discuss it, and you and your friend
19	or your spouse or whatever see it totally different?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes. Yes. Uh-huh.
21	MR. SGRO: Okay. Have you had that experience?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.
23	MR. SGRO: Has anyone ever come to you and thought
24	you were someone else?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.

1	MR. SGRO: And have you ever gone to someone else
2	mistaking them for a friend or
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes. Uh-huh.
4	MR. SGRO: Have you ever been accused of something
5	you didn't do?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Oh, yeah. I think
7	everybody has at some point.
8	MR. SGRO: Can you recall in your mind a specific
9	example of that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Of something that I've
11	done been accused of doing?
12	MR. SGRO: Yes, ma'am.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yeah. Do you want me to
14	give you a scenario? I mean, I have. Do you want a scenario
15	of it?
16	MR. SGRO: I guess the more relevant part is how did
17	it make you feel when that happened?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Oh, I defended myself, of
19	course. Obviously, if I didn't do something, I explain why I
20	didn't do it, and this is the reason why.
21	MR. SGRO: Okay. Do you watch any of the TV shows
22	we've been talking about?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yeah, unfortunately. I
24	haven't I've never seen
25	What did you say?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Snapped.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Snapped, I've never
3	seen Snapped, no, but I have NCIS, Criminal Criminal Minds.
4	MR. SGRO: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: And that's it.
6	MR. SGRO: So you're going to hear some testimony
7	about science in the case, things like DNA, fingerprints, that
8	sort of thing. These are things you've heard of, even through
9	these shows, right?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Right. Right. Uh-huh.
11	MR. SGRO: Are you a believer in the science part of
12	the case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yeah, I am.
14	MR. SGRO: Do you believe that sometimes science can
15	be a little more consistent than someone that maybe is
16	motivated or has an agenda to say certain things from the
17	witness stand?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: You know, I believe
19	yes, I think science, it can't lie with science. It is what
20	it is at that point.
21	MR. SGRO: Okay. Can you come up with an argument as
22	to why what's the best argument someone is convicted of
23	first-degree murder. What's the best argument you can think
24	of on the spot I'm going to put you on the spot
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay.

1	MR. SGRO: for why they should ever be allowed
2	parole?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Why they should be
4	allowed parole, an argument?
5	MR. SGRO: Yes, ma'am.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Well, it would be
7	that's a tough one. It would be rehabilitation that they've
8	I mean, they better themselves. They've learned. They,
9	you know that's the only thing I can think of. Does that
10	answer your question?
11	MR. SGRO: Sure. Sure.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay.
13	MR. SGRO: Pass for cause, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Langdon.
15	MR. LANGFORD: Very briefly
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Uh-huh. Yes.
17	MR. LANGFORD: Same things you've been hearing me say
18	all afternoon, okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Right.
20	MR. LANGFORD: Two trials in essence, one set of
21	evidence and you're okay with that?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.
23	MR. LANGFORD: Do you understand that concept that
24	you've got to judge both men individually?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.

1	MR. LANGFORD: Both as to the evidence of guilt and
2	as to the possible penalties?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes. Can I ask a
4	question?
5	MR. LANGFORD: Yes.
6	THE COURT: It depends upon the question.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay. Why are they not
8	being tried separately if we're supposed to have it just
9	because I've been curious. If they're not being I'm sorry.
10	THE COURT: It's actually when two individuals are
11	jointly accused of an offense, it's often the case that
12	they're tried together.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay.
14	THE COURT: There are some times when they are tried
15	separately.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay.
17	THE COURT: It depends upon the circumstances, and
18	there's a bunch of legal reasons that you and I don't have to
19	worry about in this case.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Okay. That's why I was
21	okay.
22	MR. LANGFORD: So can you set that aside
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.
24	MR. LANGFORD: the fact that they are being
25	tried

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Uh-huh.
2	MR. LANGFORD: together?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.
4	MR. LANGFORD: And if you find that there is a whole
5	lot of guilt as to one person but not very much, are you going
6	to say, well, since there's so much against one, then I'm
7	going to go ahead and find the other person guilty as well?
8	Are you going to do that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No, it'd have to be on
10	the presentation, what we find as the jurors on each
11	individual person.
12	MR. LANGFORD: Exactly.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Right.
14	MR. LANGFORD: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Uh-huh.
16	MR. LANGFORD: You're going to ask a lot of
17	questions. I can tell.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yeah. I know. Sorry.
19	Sorry. Yeah, I'm a question person.
20	MR. LANGFORD: The Judge said there's going to be a
21	procedure for that, and part of that though is that you're
22	going to be also told that you can't decide the case until the
23	very end of the case.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Right.
25	MR. LANGFORD: Any problem with that?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: No.
2	MR. LANGFORD: In other words, you can't say, oh,
3	that was a great opening argument. That guy is guilty. Or,
4	that was a great opening argument, no way the State's going to
5	prove their case. You understand you can't engage in that
6	kind of thinking?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Right.
8	MR. LANGFORD: And you're going to be okay with that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Uh-huh.
10	MR. LANGFORD: You can't prejudge the case. You have
11	to hear all of the evidence. Are you okay with that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yes.
13	MR. LANGFORD: Do you want somebody Mr. Mason
14	do you want somebody like you, if you were sitting where Mr.
15	Mason is, sitting on the jury panel?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Yeah. Yes, definitely.
17	MR. LANGFORD: Why?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 153: Because I think I'm
19	impartial, and I think I have the gentlemen are trusting us
20	with whether they're guilty or not. Right, that's the first
21	thing, is whether they're guilty or not? So we have to decide
22	that, and if I were sitting on that end of it, I would want
23	someone like me to make that decision. I would trust someone
24	like me to make that decision for them.

MR. LANGFORD: Pass for cause, Your Honor.

25

1	THE COURT: All right. If you'd hand the microphone
2	to Mr. Richardson.
3	Mr. Richardson, do you know any of the witnesses or
4	the attorneys involved in the case?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: No, I do not.
6	THE COURT: I believe you said you had a
7	brother-in-law that works in Metro?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes, I do.
9	THE COURT: What does he do?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: He's a computer
11	programmer, writes all the software for all of the computers
12	that Metro uses.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Is he a sworn deputy, or is he a
14	civil servant?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: I think he's a civil
16	servant is what he is.
17	THE COURT: All right. Do you have any other
18	connection with Metro?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: No.
20	THE COURT: All right. You're a dealer at the
21	Venetian?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.
23	THE COURT: And what shift do you work?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: I work swing shift.
25	THE COURT: You work swing?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Okay. You're going to be gone on the
3	swing shift, you know?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yeah.
5	THE COURT: All right. And your spouse is also in
6	gaming?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And you have another
9	brother-in-law that was involved in drugs at one point?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.
11	THE COURT: What happened to him?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: He's living at home right
13	now with his
14	THE COURT: He's living at your home?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: No, his mother's house,
16	my mother-in-law's house.
17	THE COURT: Here in town?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Was he prosecuted for drugs?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes. I think he's gone
21	15 to 20 times to jail 15 to 20 times, jail.
22	THE COURT: 15 to 20 times?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: He's not a violent
24	offender. So they just he keeps going in and out, in and
25	out.

1	THE COURT: You don't think he's ever going to get
2	off?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: No. No, I don't think
4	so.
5	THE COURT: All right. You heard the questions that
6	I've asked about the job of the jurors to listen to the
7	evidence and decide what the facts are?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Do you think you could do that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Do you think you could be a fair juror?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.
13	THE COURT: And you'll follow the instructions on the
14	law that I give to the jurors?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.
16	THE COURT: You understand the burden of proof, that
17	the State has the burden to prove the defendant's guilt beyond
18	a reasonable doubt. The defendant doesn't have to prove
19	they're not guilty.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Any problem with that procedure?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: No problem.
23	THE COURT: Mr. DiGiacomo or Ms. Weckerly.
24	MS. WECKERLY: It's actually my turn, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: I apologize.

1	MS. WECKERLY: That's okay. How are you, sir?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Good. And yourself?
3	MS. WECKERLY: Good. Thank you. I'm going to work
4	backwards on your questionnaire.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Okay.
6	MS. WECKERLY: You mentioned that you might've heard
7	something about the case, and before you answer, I don't want
8	you to say what you've heard about it.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Okay.
10	MS. WECKERLY: But can you describe what the format
11	was, like was it a newspaper article?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: It was a news blurb I
13	think, like on Channel 8 News.
14	MS. WECKERLY: And of course you understand that if
15	you were to be seated as a juror in this case, your decision
16	would have to be based on what you hear off the witness stand
17	or pieces of evidence, that sort of thing?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Correct. Yes.
19	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. And you wrote on your
20	questionnaire and this is the reason why I ask you. So I
21	don't want to give you a hard time, but you said, I may have
22	already made up my mind with the news coverage.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes, because I'm well,
24	at that time, the way the news presented it, it just seemed,
25	you know, like they had already done and so you kind of go

1	by what they say.
2	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. And we're in a courtroom now
3	though.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.
5	MS. WECKERLY: And so you understand you have to put
6	whatever news coverage you saw, whatever you believed I
7	mean, sometimes the media gets things wrong?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes. Oh, yeah.
9	MS. WECKERLY: And these two are now presumed
LO	innocent?
L1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.
L2	MS. WECKERLY: And it's a whole different ballgame
L3	than what you heard on the news or read?
L4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Right.
L5	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. Any problem putting that aside?
L6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: No.
L7	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. Now I'm going to move on to
L8	questions about penalty and the death penalty.
L9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Okay.
20	MS. WECKERLY: From your questionnaire, it appears
21	that there are some circumstances where you think that would
22	be an appropriate penalty?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.
24	MS. WECKERLY: Would that be the penalty that you
25	would impose in every instance of first-degree murder?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: If I believe what		
2	first-degree murder is, yes.		
3	MS. WECKERLY: Do you have an understanding of what		
4	that is?		
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: That it's premeditated,		
6	that you thought, you made a plan to take someone's life, and		
7	the only thing you can give back is your life.		
8	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. And if I were to tell you that		
9	there are other forms of first-degree murder, even instances		
10	where the killing could have been an accident that can be		
11	MR. SGRO: Objection, Your Honor.		
12	THE COURT: I'm not sure that that's an accurate		
13	statement.		
14	MR. SGRO: I'm not that's not I don't think		
15	that's accurate.		
16	MS. WECKERLY: Felony murder.		
17	THE COURT: Well, let's go on.		
18	MS. WECKERLY: If I were to tell you that you do not		
19	have to be planning a murder to be guilty of first-degree		
20	murder as it's defined in Nevada?		
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Then I would my for		
22	me to give death penalty, it would have to be premeditated.		
23	So if it's first degree and not premeditated, then I could		
24	understand not giving the death penalty. I don't know if that		
25	answers		

1	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. And premeditation, I'm not			
2	going to tell you what the definition is, but it is actually a			
3	legal term that's defined by the Court.			
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Okay.			
5	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. And so you'll actually hear the			
6	definition of what premeditation is, okay?			
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yeah.			
8	MS. WECKERLY: And I don't know, but my guess is you			
9	might not know what the definition is legally in Nevada.			
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: I'm sure I don't.			
11	MS. WECKERLY: And let's say that you think a killing			
12	meets that definition, or let's say it doesn't meet it. I			
13	assume you want to hear what the law is before you make a			
14	decision about whether someone is guilty of first-degree			
15	murder?			
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.			
17	MS. WECKERLY: And I would assume before you would			
18	consider any of the punishments because all of them are			
19	very serious punishments. I mean, it's a long time, and			
20	certainly the death penalty is the ultimate punishment.			
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.			
22	MS. WECKERLY: All four options are severe; would you			
23	agree?			
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.			
25	MS. WECKERLY: Before making that type of decision as			

1	to what would be a proper punishment for an individual, would			
2	you want to hear as much information as you could before			
3	making such a decision?			
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.			
5	MS. WECKERLY: As and as you sit here now, you			
6	haven't heard anything. So I assume you don't have a decision			
7	yet on what you think a punishment would be or even if these			
8	two are guilty?			
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: No, I haven't.			
10	MS. WECKERLY: Are you open to listening to whatever			
11	is presented and also listening to the law as the Judge gives			
12	you the instructions at the end of the case before you make			
13	any decision?			
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.			
15	MS. WECKERLY: Can you be fair to both sides?			
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.			
17	MS. WECKERLY: Thank you, sir. Pass for cause.			
18	THE COURT: Mr. Sgro.			
19	MR. SGRO: Thank you, Your Honor.			
20	Good afternoon, sir. So I want to get right to the			
21	heart of the penalty questions because I have your			
22	questionnaire.			
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Right.			
24	MR. SGRO: And so I'm going to use Mr. Oram's prior			
25	example. In this case, the State's going to allege that David			

1 Burns -- David Burns shot someone, killed them and then shot 2 at a 12-year-old little girl, okay? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes. 4 MR. SGRO: Now, from what you said in your 5 questionnaire and when you saw that factual predicate there, 6 do you remember saying, If you murder someone, you should give 7 what you took? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes. 9 MR. SGRO: Okay. So on the questionnaire -- and I 10 understand what you said, you know, If first-degree is what I 11 think it is, and premeditation is a term you're going to hear 12 about later. Trust me, accidents, self-defense, those are not 13 included in this situation, okay. And you've heard all the --14 all the questions from the State and from the defense about 15 everyone needs a fair trial. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: 17 MR. SGRO: And you understand that, and you agree 18 with it? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes. 20 MR. SGRO: Mr. Burns needs a fair trial, too? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes. 2.2 MR. SGRO: Okay. As you sit there and close your 23 eyes, when you think about what you put in the questionnaire, 2.4 it didn't have anything to do with, you know, how you define

KARR REPORTING, INC.

murder, right? Would it be fair to say you believe if someone

25

1	is convicted of murder they are forfeiting their right to			
2	live?			
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: When I was for the			
4	questionnaire, I thought it was like when you drive in a car			
5	and it's, you know, manslaughter or whatever, I don't think			
6	you should give your life for that, but if you go out, take a			
7	gun, I'm going to do something and you shoot someone, yeah.			
8	MR. SGRO: And you understand that's the allegation			
9	in this case?			
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.			
11	MR. SGRO: And you understand there's no talk of			
12	manslaughter			
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Correct. Yes.			
14	MR. SGRO: and it's not an accident so in this			
15	case, okay, given what you know and I know you know little			
16	because			
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Right.			
18	MR. SGRO: you just have the questionnaire.			
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Correct.			
20	MR. SGRO: This isn't that driving a car and having			
21	an accident kind of case. Remember, this is about			
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.			
23	MR. SGRO: a human being being accused of shooting			
24	somebody, right?			
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.			

1	MR. SGRO: And if you get to a point where you accept		
2	that the State has met their burden, you're going to get to a		
3	point where you think, okay, this guy shot someone. At that		
4	moment, parole is really off the table, fair?		
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Correct.		
6	MR. SGRO: Okay.		
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: I wouldn't yeah, I		
8	wouldn't		
9	MR. SGRO: So you really in this case and I		
10	understand the other stuff you're talking about. In this		
11	case, you would agree with me that you have a belief that if		
12	someone shoots somebody they're forfeiting their life?		
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.		
14	MR. SGRO: Okay. And you really can't consider		
15	parole?		
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: No, not parole. No.		
17	MR. SGRO: All right. Challenge for cause, Your		
18	Honor.		
19	THE COURT: Traverse?		
20	MS. WECKERLY: Just briefly. As you sit here now,		
21	we've discussed how your you don't know the facts of the		
22	case because nothing's been presented?		
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Correct.		
24	MS. WECKERLY: Can you imagine a scenario where		
25	someone actually did shoot somebody but they're either		

1 because of the circumstances or because of information you 2 hear you would leave open the possibility of letting them out 3 on -- giving them a sentence where they'd be eligible for 4 parole? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: No, I don't think that's 6 fair to the family. 7 THE COURT: I'm going to grant the challenge for 8 cause. 9 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. SGRO: 10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much for being a prospective juror, Mr. Richardson. You'll be excused. 11 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Thank you. 13 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we've 14 now completed the voir dire of the prospective jurors that 15 came in today. We're going to continue selecting jurors for 16 the next three days, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday. We hope 17 by Friday afternoon we'll have a jury, and then you or many of 18 you will probably be part of that jury. We won't know until 19 Friday afternoon, but you're going to be excused until 3:30 20 Friday afternoon, right here. 21 Okay. They're having a problem with the recording 2.2 system. That's -- we've had a lot of problems. Nothing works 23 right. The good news is it wasn't my courtroom to begin with,

So we're going to excuse you until 3:30 on Friday

KARR REPORTING, INC.

2.4

25

and I just inherited it.

1	afternoon. You'll be asked to come back. I think they're			
2	going to want you to go to the jury room for			
3	No? Where you want them to go?			
4	THE MARSHAL: No, because they're part of the panel			
5	still. We'll have to e-mail Mariah and let them know that			
6	they'll be coming back on Friday at 3:30.			
7	THE COURT: Who's Mariah?			
8	THE MARSHAL: She's the commissioner.			
9	THE COURT: Can't we have them come to the			
10	commissioner's office over there?			
11	THE MARSHAL: They're already gone for the day, sir.			
12	THE COURT: No, Friday.			
13	THE MARSHAL: Oh, yeah, Friday.			
14	THE COURT: When they come back Friday?			
15	THE MARSHAL: Yeah, I'll explain that to them.			
16	THE COURT: Oh, okay. I don't know who Mariah is,			
17	but anyway.			
18	THE MARSHAL: I gotcha. Don't worry about it.			
19	THE COURT: Good luck with Mariah on Friday. So			
20	you're off until Friday, and then you'll be coming in here,			
21	and we'll decide which of you or all of you are going to be			
22	the jurors on the case along with many others. Okay. You			
23	have a nice week. I will see you Friday at 3:30 in the			
24	afternoon.			
25	THE MARSHAL: Thank you. Jurors, please come with			

1	me.
2	(Panel of prospective jurors recessed 6:09 p.m.)
3	THE COURT: All right. The prospective jurors have
4	exited the courtroom.
5	The staff tells me that at some point they had a
6	problem with JAVS, and I don't know whether we've been
7	Is everything being recorded, or do we know?
8	THE COURT RECORDER: It seems like it's being
9	recorded. My record-on button is on, and it's going.
LO	THE COURT: Then what's the problem?
L1	THE COURT RECORDER: In the earlier session, I don't
L2	know if it recorded it, Judge.
L3	THE COURT: Okay.
L4	MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, just because I have some
L5	personal knowledge about this, this happened in Judge
L6	Villani's courtroom last week. It appeared like perhaps the
L7	recorder wasn't working, but it did actually ultimately record
L8	everything, even though we didn't know if it was or if it
L9	wasn't.
20	THE COURT RECORDER: Your Honor, there are
21	(inaudible). So we need to check and see if they're in these
22	other two places.
23	MR. DIGIACOMO: There's supposedly a backup failsafe
24	system.
25	THE COURT: I think that there I've heard that

1	there's a backup. Now, you guys are more this is far more		
2	complicated than I will ever understand.		
3	Anything on the record, assuming we're making one?		
4	MR. SGRO: What time tomorrow?		
5	THE COURT: 9:30.		
6	MR. SGRO: Okay. So what's does the Court have a		
7	calendar tomorrow morning?		
8	THE COURT: I have one matter at 9 o'clock that'll		
9	take five minutes, if they're delaying.		
10	MR. SGRO: Okay. So		
11	THE COURT: It's a civil matter.		
12	MR. SGRO: So that should give the JAVS folks 20		
13	minutes.		
14	THE COURT: I hope they're here at 6 in the morning,		
15	but we'll see. I'll be here at 6:30.		
16	MR. DIGIACOMO: And just so we're clear, it's through		
17	318 would be the next 20?		
18	THE COURT: I'm sorry?		
19	MR. DIGIACOMO: Juror No. 318 is the next 20? I just		
20	want to confirm		
21	THE COURT: I don't have that.		
22	THE CLERK: I have that. Yes.		
23	MR. DIGIACOMO: But it's the next 20 on our list		
24	after the		
25	THE COURT: I'll go home tonight, and I will read		

```
every one of them.
1
2
              MS. WECKERLY: Okay.
              MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Nothing else from the State.
3
                         That's it. Obviously we have to know if
 4
              MR. SGRO:
5
    we're on the record because we did some pretrial stuff.
              THE COURT: We'll see at 9:30 tomorrow morning.
6
 7
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
              MR. SGRO:
8
              MR. ORAM:
                         Thank you, Judge.
9
                (Court recessed for the evening at 6:11 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
```

## CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

### **AFFIRMATION**

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

KARR REPORTING, INC. Aurora, Colorado

KIMBERLY LAWSON

Electronically Filed 01/22/2015 09:26:45 AM

TRAN

Alun J. Lehrum

**CLERK OF THE COURT** 

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
\* \* \* \* \*

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

DEPT NO. C267882-1

C267882-2

VS.

DEPT NO. XX

WILLIE DARNELL MASON, AKA

WILLIE DARNELL MASON, JR.,

AKA G-DOGG,

DAVID JAMES BURNS, AKA

D-SHOT,

Defendants.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES THOMPSON, SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

### JURY TRIAL - DAY 2

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 21, 2015

#### **APPEARANCES:**

For the State: MARC P. DIGIACOMO, ESQ.

PAMELA C. WECKERLY, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorneys

For Defendant Mason: ROBERT L. LANGFORD, ESQ.

For Defendant Burns: CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ.

ANTHONY P. SGRO, ESQ.

RECORDED BY SUSAN DOLORFINO, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

# LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 21, 2015, 9:29 A.M.

2

3

1

(Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.)

4

THE COURT: All right. We're on the record.

5

MR. SGRO: Your Honor, we just have a quick

6

housekeeping matter relative to some jail phone calls. The

7

Court has been kind enough to assist in some orders relative

8

to jail calls of Monica Martinez and Stephanie Cousins who are

9

co-defendants in the case Monica Martinez --

we'll bring them in.

10

THE OFFICER: You let me know when you want them and

11

THE COURT: Bring them in.

13

12

MR. SGRO: Monica Martinez is a testifying

14

co-defendant. We were able to get everything on the phone

15

calls from, I think, October of 2011 to present. The jail

16

sent us a letter back saying that from August of 2010 when she

17

got arrested up until the time of 2011 they had a different

18

carrier and so they weren't able to provide us those

19

particular phone calls.

20

Now, someone told us via conversation, they said,

21

you know, the State got a bunch of calls that happened in '10.

22

They didn't know when the State cut off, and these are my

23

words, not theirs, but the State had a request to get the

24

calls. What no one is sure of is when the State turned that

25

switch off. And so I want to try to limit my search.

So, in other words, what I'm saying is if the State has those calls that go consecutive to up through October of '11, we want them from the State. If they don't have the calls, they can tell me what they have so I can narrow my search when I go to the new subscriber that I have to go [inaudible]. So if we could just have a record of that that would be great.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Well, Mr. Sgro filed a motion which set out specific dates. When I made the request to the jail, I made the request to the jail from August of 2010 when the crime occurred up until the date of my request, which I believe was October of 2014. They provided me records starting, I believe, it's April 5th of 2011.

MR. SGRO: '11, right.

MR. DiGIACOMO: April 5th of 2011. They provided me no calls prior to April 5th of 2011. In his motions he had specific dates that he wanted that he said he didn't have. I provided them all available calls. I don't have access to attorney calls, so all available calls from April '11 through the dates in his motion. If he's talking about different dates, he's going to have to let me know. Because if it's the ones that are in his motion, he was provided that some time ago.

MR. SGRO: Right. We're all saying the same thing. 2011, from that moment forward I have them. What I don't have

is the ones that predated it. I didn't realize -- I didn't 1 2 realize that they were going to take the position that they 3 had a new -- or a different subscriber at the time and weren't 4 available. And so they asked me to check with the State to 5 see if the State has them because the State had phone calls 6 from 2010, some of which they've given me from San Bernardino, 7 etcetera. So if the State is saying that they don't have the 8 calls, then I've got to take the next step. I just want the 9 record to --

MR. DiGIACOMO: I made no request for Monica Martinez's phone calls until October of 2014.

MR. SGRO: And that's what I need.

MR. DiGIACOMO: So the only thing I received was April of 2011 forward.

THE COURT: You're saying the same thing.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Correct.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. SGRO: Yeah. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. While we're on the record, two things. Number one, a JAVS person or a county employee, I'm not too sure which he is, was here a couple of hours ago and he has changed settings or something. I have a button here that says bench calendar, and when I hit it, white noise appears in the audience, and these microphone — this microphone here works. So that's the best I can — I can do.

MR. ORAM: Thank you, Judge.

1 THE COURT: All right. Number two, if you will look 2 at Prospective Juror No. 289. His last name is Garcia. He's 3 the second to the last one for today. On pages -- on page 5 4 it was clear to me in reading this that he should have been 5 excluded under Witherspoon, and he was not. The jury 6 commissioner has been directed to keep him downstairs while 7 the other jurors are being brought up. And assuming you agree 8 with me, he won't be brought in today.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. SGRO: Your Honor, may I — may I make a quick inquiry?

THE COURT: When I read these last night, it was clear to me that he should have been excused.

MR. SGRO: I — I had flipped through maybe with an eye towards the same objective. I would — I would tell you relative to the one the Court just indicated, No. 31, it does say he could consider all forms of punishment.

THE COURT: I understand he says that. I don't think he knows what he's talking about because he makes it very clear that he doesn't believe in the death penalty, and he checks the box I could never, under any circumstances, return a verdict which imposed either the death penalty or life imprisonment without the possibility of parole. Under those circumstances, I don't have any alternative but to excuse him. And we did excuse all those other ones like this that checked those boxes.

1 MR. SGRO: The only thing, it occurred to me last 2 night when I was reading this. This is someone who looks to 3 me like English is his second language. And the question 4 shows misspelled words that are relatively simple to spell. 5 Our position would be, Your Honor, we would like to -- if we 6 gleaned quickly that he understood what he was saying, I think 7 we're going to have to agree with the Court. My only dilemma 8 is that this appears --9 THE COURT: Do you want to bring him up? 10 MR. SGRO: I would like to bring him up. 11 THE COURT: If you want to spend time examining him, 12 that's fine.

MR. SGRO: Not a lot, Your Honor. I do have a question, though, on -- on Juror No. 315, 315.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE COURT: Ms. Daly? Yeah, Steve Wolfson is a client of his.

MR. SGRO: Well, not only that, but if you look at the death penalty questions, Your Honor, if we look at 24, it says society shouldn't be responsible to bear the weight of proven repeat offenders, particularly violent crime. Which, you know, that's — that's potential problem. But you look at that in conjunction with No. 31, he says clearly if guilty without doubt, only the last two, meaning he could only consider life without and death.

THE COURT: We won't take a long time with him.

**M**R.

2.4

MR. SGRO: Okay.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, can I address one other issue before we bring the panel in?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, yesterday I made an objection as it relates to EDCR 7.70, which specifically precludes a question, and in subsection (c) touch upon the verdict a juror would return based upon hypothetical facts. I know that this issue is somewhat contentious between me and Mr. Oram. We've had this argument done on a number of occasions.

But as an example, when you asked Ms. Green later in the evening, well, don't you think in the bombing suspects in Boston, don't you think that that case might be a case that you would be willing to consider it? If you thought about this rule from the standpoint of the bombing suspects, then asking the bombing suspects' jury, don't you think that if we can establish or it's established he killed xnumber of people and injured this many more people that you would agree to never have parole? And you would imagine that all, even qualified jurors, would answer that question with, no, I won't leave that person with parole.

So the rule is created in such a way that it's supposed to be based upon a hypothetical murder case. It's not supposed to be based upon the individual facts of this case. And when the defense says, well, if they establish that

2.4

he shot this woman in the head and then chased her 12 year old daughter down and shoot them, then you're going to have a number of qualified jurors who may say based on those hypothetical facts I will never give parole.

And so I just wanted to make a record about the objection because I think that that's going to slow down jury selection because I think you're going to lose a lot of very qualified jurors based upon the nature of that question.

MR. ORAM: Judge, can I — can I make a comment on that? I thought your question was excellent because it puts things into perspective. You got people to — to make answers, definitive answers, just based on that question.

Additionally, I think Mr. DiGiacomo is incorrect. You saw I couldn't budge two of those people who said they wouldn't consider — they wouldn't consider parole on the questionnaire, then they said they would consider parole even though I was giving them that example. It speeds it along because then the jurors have some idea of what we're dealing with. And, Judge —

THE COURT: If I am in error, the Supreme Court, I'm sure, will tell me.

MR. SGRO: Your Honor, I have one other — one other one I would like the Court to look at and that's 280, Badge No. 280. If — if we look —

THE COURT: We're going to bring in Mr. Garcia with KARR REPORTING, INC.

1 the other jurors. 2 THE MARSHAL: I will call them and have her -- have 3 him sent up, sir. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 5 All right. Hold on. 280? 6 MR. SGRO: Yes, sir, 280. 7 THE COURT: Do we have a list of the jurors who did 8 appear? 9 THE MARSHAL: I do, sir. 10 THE COURT: Okay. I assume we didn't get them all? THE MARSHAL: Well, we're only missing one. 11 12 THE COURT: Good. 13 THE MARSHAL: It would have been two, but we're 14 having Mr. Garcia sent up. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 MR. SGRO: So, Your Honor, I'm looking at question 17 -- has the Court had a chance to get there yet? 18 THE COURT: Ms. Olquin. Yes. 19 MR. SGRO: Yes, sir. So No. 24, if you take 20 someone's life or commit a serious crime that warrants the 21 death penalty then you do not deserve to live and cost 22 taxpayer money. Unless you're defending yourself or a family 23 member, it should result in the death penalty. 31, if charged 2.4 and convicted of first degree murder, I don't believe release 25 should ever be an option. Clearly, this falls under the --

1	the rubric of what we		
2	THE COURT: Don't take a lot of time with her.		
3	MR. SGRO: Well, Your Honor		
4	MS. WECKERLY: She does say on Question 26 that she		
5	could consider mitigating circumstances which might		
6	THE COURT: And on Question 25 she says while she		
7	believes the death penalty is appropriate, she could return a		
8	proper verdict.		
9	MR. SGRO: Okay. So I guess, Your Honor, I'm trying		
10	to figure out what we're if we're trying to be reasonable,		
11	what we would do is we would take the two that that I just		
12	mentioned for the record and Mr. Garcia, and say, you know		
13	what, let's agree to release all three, or we can take the		
14	time		
15	THE COURT: If you guys want to come to an		
16	agreement, that's fine. If not, we're going to interview		
17	them.		
18	MR. SGRO: Okay. And we'll just you know, to do		
19	20, we'll do		
20	THE COURT: Mr. DiGiacomo, would you like to come to		
21	an agreement?		
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: Want to agree?		
23	MS. WECKERLY: No.		
24	MR. DiGIACOMO: No. That's fine.		
25	MR. SGRO: Okay.		

1	THE COURT: All right. All right. When Mr. Garcia			
2	is here, you can bring all the where's the defendants?			
3	THE OFFICER: They're right here, sir.			
4	THE COURT: Well, we need them.			
5	THE OFFICER: Yes, sir.			
6	THE COURT: And we need to unchain them quick.			
7	Quick.			
8	(Pause in the proceedings.)			
9	THE COURT: Can you tell me which juror did not			
10	appear?			
11	THE MARSHAL: Yes, sir. That would be Juror Badge			
12	No. 0225, Ricardo Silva.			
13	THE COURT: When Mr. Garcia is here you can bring			
14	all the jurors in.			
15	(Pause in the proceedings.)			
16	(Inside the presence of the prospective jury panel.)			
17	THE COURT: Please be seated. All right. State of			
18	Nevada versus Willie Mason and David Burns. The record will			
19	reflect the presence of the defendants' counsel and the			
20	District Attorneys.			
21	Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.			
22	PROSPECTIVE JURY PANEL: Good morning.			
23	THE COURT: My name is Charles Thompson. I'm a			
24	Senior District Court Judge. This is Department No. 20 of the			
25	Eighth Judicial District Court. You received a jury			

questionnaire signed by Judge Tao. And up until the first of this year, Judge Tao was the judge in this department. Judge Tao has recently been appointed to the new Intermediate Court of Appeals in Nevada. So he is no longer the judge in this department and there is no judge in this department.

2.0

2.4

I am a retired District Court Judge. Under Nevada law, the Supreme Court of Nevada is authorized to appoint certain retired District Court Judges to sit as Senior District Court Judges and then handle matters by designation. And so I have been directed to handle all the matters in Department 20, which is this department, until the governor appoints a replacement for Judge Tao, which we anticipate in about two or three months. In the meantime, this is one of the cases that was assigned to Department 20, so I'll be the Judge in this particular case until its conclusion.

You have been summoned to serve as jurors in the case. I should probably introduce some of the staff here before I go any further. To my right is Linda Skinner. Linda is the clerk of the court, and she swears in the witnesses, she's in charge of all the exhibits, and she takes all the minutes of all the — of what happens in the court for the benefit of the lawyers and staff and myself.

To her right is the recorder, Susan Dolorfino.

Susan is recording everything that we say here, everything that I say, everything that you say, and that the lawyers say,

and then eventually transcripts of the -- what occurs in court will be presented to the lawyers for their -- for their use.

2.4

You've already met the marshal Randy Hawks who has disappeared again. Okay. He's the gentleman that — he's the marshal that got you up here. And the young lady that was sitting over here is a law clerk. Her name is Holly Walker and she's not here. There's Mr. Hawks right there. And occasionally you may see the secretary for this department come in and out. Her name is Paula Walsh, and she occasionally assists us in a variety of things.

As you're already aware, you've been summoned to serve as jurors in the case. It's a criminal case. The defendants in the case are David Burns, that's the gentleman in the blue shirt right there.

Would you stand up, Mr. Burns, so the prospective jurors can see. Thank you, Mr. Burns.

And next to -- and the other defendant is Willie Mason. This is Mr. Mason to the far right there.

THE DEFENDANT MASON: Good morning.

THE COURT: They're charged with multiple offenses of murder, burglary, and robbery. The exact offenses will be explained in more detail for you by the District Attorney in a few minutes. And then I'll be explaining them in more detail later in the written jury instructions that I give to the jurors.

Mr. Marc DiGiacomo and Pam Weckerly are the two
Deputy District Attorneys that have been assigned to prosecute
this case. This is Marc DiGiacomo here and Pam Weckerly right

2.0

2.4

next to him.

Attorney Tony Sgro is right here. He's the gentleman without the hair on, and he represents Mr. Burns. And Mr. Chris Oram is co-counsel with Mr. Sgro, and he also represents Mr. Burns.

Robert Langford is the District Attorney -- or the public -- pardon me, is the defense attorney for Mr. Mason.

There are a couple other attorneys you may see in here from time to time. Mr. Sgro is assisted by Melinda Weaver. And I don't see her right now, but she occasionally is in the — the courtroom. And Mr. Langford is assisted by Margaret McLetchie, and she is occasionally in the courtroom, as well.

Now, the — the prosecutor is going to tell you a little bit about the case now, expand on what you learned from the jury questionnaire, and also list for you the names of the witnesses that may be called to testify in the case. Make a mental note of any of these witnesses that you're acquainted with because in a few minutes I'll be asking all of you if you're acquainted with the witnesses and the lawyers in the case.

Mr. DiGiacomo.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you, Your Honor.

2.4

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. As you just heard, my name is Marc DiGiacomo and this is Pam Weckerly, and we are both Deputy District Attorneys here in the State of Nevada for Clark County.

We've been assigned the prosecution of Mr. Burns and Mr. Mason, and they are charged with a number of crimes, including conspiracy to commit robbery, burglary while in possession of a firearm, robbery with use of a deadly weapon, murder with use of a deadly weapon, and attempt murder with use of a deadly weapon, and finally a count of battery with a deadly weapon resulting in substantial bodily harm.

The allegations stem from August 7th of 2010 in an apartment that's located generally in the northeast area of — of town. It's a street named Meikle or Meikle. It is 5662 Meikle, Apartment No. C, I believe. They are alleged, these two individuals, with conspiring with two other individuals, one is a Stephanie Cousins, and another one is a Monica Martinez, to have driven over to this apartment to commit a robbery.

Mr. Mason and Mr. Burns are accused of entering that apartment, shooting the homeowner, or the person who lives in that apartment, a woman by the name of Derecia Newman. And then ultimately shooting her 12 year old daughter, who lives, in the stomach during the course of the robbery that occurred

there.

2.0

2.4

In order to establish these charges, the State may call some, but certainly not all, of the following witnesses. We read out all of the names that you might hear during the course of the case just in case any of you know any of them, work at any of the particular locations, because that's a question that's going to be asked of you. So while you're about to hear dozens and dozens of names, we are not going to call every single one of these people, but these are names that you might hear during the course of the trial.

They include a corrections officer by the name of J. Batu, B-A-T-U, an Officer C. Atwood, Kathryn Ayoama who is a fingerprint expert here in — at Metro, there is a Benjamin Baines who works at Greyhound, an FBI agent by the name of Kevin Boles, a CSA or crime scene analyst by the name of T. Brownlee, Homicide Detective Chris Bunting, Crime Scene Analyst Daniel, this is a tough one, Carvounaiaris, Maurice Clinkscale, Ulonda Cooper.

There is a number of custodian of records that include the Binion's Hotel & Casino, the Clark County

Detention Center, the Fremont Street Experience, Greyhound Bus Lines, obviously the Metropolitan Police Department, Opera House, which is a casino here in town, T-Mobile, Metro PCS, Nextel for phone records, Texas Station Hotel & Casino, and the Western Hotel & Casino.

1	There's Crime Scene Analyst Robbie Dahn, two doctors		
2	from UMC, a Dr. Filmore and a Dr. Goshi, Crime Scene Analyst		
3	Shawn Fletcher, Officer Hector Gonzalez, Officer Wessley		
4	Gonzalez, a retired homicide detective by the name of Ken		
5	Hardy, Jonathan Houghton who is an officer, Detective Barry		
6	Jensen, Matt Johns who is an investigator with the Clark		
7	County District Attorney's office, Samantha Knight, Detective		
8	Teresa Kyger, James Krylo who is a firearms and tool mark		
9	expert at Metro, Anthony Lassiter, Sergeant Maines, Cornelius		
10	Mayo, Tyler Mitchell, Monica Monroe, Devonia Newman who is the		
11	12 year old who was shot and survived, Erica Newman, Crime		
12	Scene Analyst Sheree Norman, Dr. Alane Olson who is a medical		
13	examiner here in Clark County, Officer Peterson, Christine		
14	Tamika Pierce, Donovan Rowland, Charisse Salmon, Officer		
15	Scanlon, Officer Scott, Jan Seaman-Kelly who happens to be a		
16	footwear analysis person at Metro, Crime Scene Analyst Speas,		
17	Crime Scene Analyst Szukiewicz, Crime Scene Analyst Taylor,		
18	Jennifer Thomas who is a DNA analyst Las Vegas Metropolitan		
19	Police Department, Officer K. Thomas, Crime Scene Analyst		
20	Branda Vaandering, John Vasek who is an officer with the San		
21	Bernardino County Police Department, Homicide Detective Martin		
22	Wildemann, and Marie Willis. Thank you.		
23	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sgro, do you wish to		

2.4

25

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sgro, do you wish to tell the prospective jurors of any witnesses that you may be calling?

MR. SGRO: I do want to mention a few names, Your Honor, yes.

THE COURT: All right.

2.4

MR. SGRO: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Tony Sgro, and with Chris Oram we'll be representing Mr. David Burns in this case.

Now, as we go through this process through the rest of the day you're going to learn a number of things, including that Mr. Burns has what they call no burden of proof. He's presumed innocent. And as a result of those very important constitutional rights, Mr. Oram and I really don't have any obligation to do anything, ask questions of witnesses, call people, etcetera.

However, trials are typically an organic thing.

They evolve over the course of days or weeks and so we have a list of names we'd like to give to you now so as to avoid any problem just in case any of these people end up showing up.

If any of you know them, please keep these folks in mind.

Samantha Burch-Leech (phonetic), Malcolm Turner,
Marilyn Eley, E-L-E-Y, Rochelle Sparks, Shantel Amaya, Vernon
Burch, Craig Altmeyer (phonetic) who is a CPS worker, Titalay
(phonetic) Oyenusi, O-Y-E-N-U-S-I, Tina Luek (phonetic),
Anthony Lassiter, Dr. Mel Pohl, P-O-H-L, Lyndsay Elliot, Dr.
Richard Adler, Natalie Brown, Larry Smith, Paul Connor, Willis
Ifill, Jerome Thomas, Russell Shoemaker who is at Metro police

officer. Larry Smith is also former Metro. Hava Simmons,

Tiffany Flowers-Holmes, Carla who is a former hospital

administrator at UMC.

And then we too have a number of what they call custodian of records for records we may talk about in the case and they come from the following businesses, Medic West Ambulance, UMC, University Medical Center, Sunrise Hospital, the Department of Family Services, and Dixie Regional Medical Center, which is in St. George. And I neglected to mention Thomas Dillard. Thank you very much.

Thank you, Your Honor.

2.0

2.4

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Langford, do you wish to tell the prospective jurors of any witnesses that you might be calling?

MR. LANGFORD: Your Honor, Mr. Mason will be relying upon witnesses called by the State and by Mr. Burns to assert his defense. He's entered a not guilty plea.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Langford.

All right. Now, ladies and gentlemen, this Court and the lawyers and everybody involved in the case are deeply interested in the having the case tried by a jury composed of 12 open-minded, unbiased individuals who have no particular bias or prejudice for either side in the case and can be fair minded.

In order for us to select such a jury, it's

necessary that we ask you some questions. I don't have any particular desire to pry into your personal lives, nor do the lawyers. But in order for us to learn whether or not you can serve as fair jurors, we do have to ask you some personal questions.

2.4

The questioning of the jury is called jury voir dire. The first — the jurors are first place under oath before any of the questions are asked. I'll now ask that you all stand, raise your right hand, and the clerk will administer that oath to you.

(Prospective jury panel sworn.)

THE COURT: You may be seated. It's important that you understand the significance the full, complete, and honest answers to all of the questions we're going to ask of you. I caution you not to try to hide or withhold something touching upon your qualifications. Should you do so, that fact may tend to contaminate your verdict and subject you to further inquiry even after you're discharged as — as jurors. If you are excused, you have to report back to the jury commissioner on the third floor where you came from.

I'm going to start now with each of the jurors and asking them some questions. We'll start with Juror 175. I'm using the number on the badge that you have, the last three digits of every number. That's how we — and I believe your name, is it Aco?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Aco. 2 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Aco. And the marshal will 3 give you a microphone. You've got to hold it right up to your 4 mouth there. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir. 6 THE COURT: Even though I can hear you talking here, 7 the recording system requires that you keep that microphone 8 right up to your mouth so that they can get everything you 9 say. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir. 11 Okay. Mr. Aco, do you know any of the THE COURT: attorneys or witnesses involved in the case? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, sir. 14 THE COURT: Your questionnaire -- and by the way, 15 the -- the questionnaires were done -- they were actually 16 written by Judge Tao before I ever got involved in this 17 department. But the attorneys have copies of all of these 18 questionnaires, and so they're going to use them assist 19 themselves in asking you questions. In your questionnaire you 2.0 indicated that you were not employed, but were looking for 21 employment. Are you now employed or are you still unemployed? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Still unemployed. 23 THE COURT: Okay. What -- when you were last 2.4 employed what kind of work did you do?

KARR REPORTING, INC.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I'm a chef.

25

1		THE COURT: Where?
2		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Nowhere right now.
3		THE COURT: I know, but where did you use to work?
4		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Mandarin Oriental Hotel.
5		THE COURT: Okay. Have you worked in a number of
6	different	places?
7		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
8		THE COURT: How long have you been here in Las Vegas
9	now?	
10		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: About ten years.
11		THE COURT: And your wife is in clothing sales?
12		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
13		THE COURT: All right. You've indicated that you've
14	been a jui	ror before; is that right?
15		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
16		THE COURT: What kind of a case were you a juror on?
17		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: That was in Colorado
18	Springs.	It was
19		THE COURT: You said domestic violence.
20		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes. Thank you.
21		THE COURT: Was it a criminal domestic violence or a
22	civil case	e where they were suing somebody for money?
23		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: It was criminal.
24		THE COURT: It was criminal. Okay. Without
25	indicating	g what the verdict was in the case, did the jury

1	reach a verdict?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, we did.
3	THE COURT: And were you the foreperson of that
4	jury?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I was.
6	THE COURT: All right. Is that the only time that
7	you've been a juror before?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
9	THE COURT: And about how long ago was that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: 11, 12 years ago.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And when did you come to Las
12	Vegas? Ten years ago?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: 2004.
14	THE COURT: And for the most part you've been a chef
15	on and off?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: Okay. You indicated that at one point
18	you were attacked by somebody who was intoxicated.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Correct.
20	THE COURT: What happened?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: They wanted to fight.
22	THE COURT: When was this?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: This was about four
24	four years ago.
25	THE COURT: Okay. At your home or someplace else?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, I was driving and we
2	were at a stopped at a stop light.
3	THE COURT: Why would somebody attack you at a
4	stoplight?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I don't I keep asking
6	myself the same thing.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Did did you report that to the
8	police?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
10	THE COURT: Did they catch the person or persons
11	that did that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
13	THE COURT: And do you know what happened to them?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: The gentleman just got a
15	DUI.
16	THE COURT: Okay. He was arrested and charged with
17	a DUI?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Correct.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Do you do you think that the
20	police or law enforcement handled that appropriately depending
21	upon the circumstances?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, I don't.
23	THE COURT: What do you think should have been done?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: He should have been
25	arrested for felony assault.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Are you going to hold that
2	against anybody involved in this case?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, that was that
4	was
5	THE COURT: It has nothing to do with this case;
6	right?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, not at all.
8	THE COURT: And you're not going to get mad at the
9	prosecution here because they
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.
11	THE COURT: didn't do a better job of prosecuting
12	him; right?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, I let that go a long
14	time ago.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Were you hurt in that incident?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, I received a
17	concussion.
18	THE COURT: Okay. And did you require some
19	hospitalization?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I did go to the
23	hospital, though.
24	THE COURT: But you're okay now?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Correct. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE COURT: All right. In a criminal case such as this, your -- you're the judge the facts in the case. It's your job to listen to the evidence and decide what the facts are. But we're a team. I'm the judge of the law. It's my job to decide what the law is, and then you listen to the instructions on the law that I give to the jurors at the conclusion of the case, apply the facts to those instructions, and reach a fair verdict. Do you think you can do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Absolutely.

THE COURT: There is a procedure in criminal cases, in every criminal case, whereby the defendants are presumed to be innocent of the charges against them. That means that they don't have to prove that they're not guilty. It's up to the prosecution to prove that they are guilty, and the prosecution has to prove that by evidence beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you have any quarrel with that procedure?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Not at all.

THE COURT: And if the defendant — if the State fails to meet that burden, the defendants are entitled to a verdict of not guilty. Do you have any quarrel with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, not at all.

THE COURT: If you were charged with an offense, any kind of an offense in a criminal case, would you want 12 citizens of your frame of mind, people like you sitting in judgment of your case?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Absolutely.
2	THE COURT: Is that because you have an open mind?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: You're willing to be fair to both sides?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
6	THE COURT: Do the best job you can? And that's all
7	we can ask of anybody. Is that a yes?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
9	THE COURT: All right. Mr. DiGiacomo.
10	MR. DiGIACOMO: Yes, Your Honor.
11	Good morning, sir.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Good morning.
13	MR. DiGIACOMO: I can't make any promises, but the
14	good news is that you get to be done first. The bad news is
15	is that the first person has a tendency to take longer because
16	we're going to pretty much ask the same questions of everybody
17	else and they're going to know the answers before we ask them.
18	I want to start sort of at the beginning of your
19	questionnaire. The Judge asked a lot of the questions I would
20	have asked, but there is a you are married; is that
21	correct?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
23	MR. DiGIACOMO: And what does what does your wife
24	do?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: She's in retail clothing

1	sales.
2	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. And I just couldn't read the
3	name of her employer.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Loro Piana.
5	MR. DiGIACOMO: Loro Piana. And what type of
6	clothing is that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: High-end cashmere. Just
8	really nice \$8,000 sweaters, stuff like that.
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: Is it women's clothing?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, it's men and women.
11	MR. DiGIACOMO: Men and women. And she's been doing
12	that for about a year?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, at the current job,
14	yes.
15	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Has she always been in
16	retail?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: For the past 14 years,
18	yes. Before that she was in wholesale jewelry.
19	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. You indicated that your
20	nephew was an air marshal.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Correct.
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: Is that the only person in your life
23	that are closely associated to you that is involved in law
24	enforcement?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.

1	MR. DiGIACOMO: How often do you talk to your
2	nephew?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Once a year.
4	MR. DiGIACOMO: So rarely?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yeah.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you talk at all about what he
7	does for a living?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: He can't talk a lot
9	about the stuff that he does.
10	MR. DiGIACOMO: There's a lot of things he probably
11	can't tell you about.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yeah.
13	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. I imagine that you would
14	agree that there are good people and bad people in every
15	profession; right? Just because your your nephew is in law
16	enforcement, that doesn't necessarily mean that the cops that
17	are coming in here are entitled to any more deference than any
18	other witness. Would you agree with that statement?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, I I agree.
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think that every person,
21	whether a police officer, a lay witness, or anybody else, they
22	should all be judged on who they are and their qualifications
23	and what the reasonableness of their testimony is?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Absolutely.
25	MR. DiGIACOMO: And do you think you're a type of

1	person who could ferret out the truth from a variety of pieces
2	of information and ultimately make a determination as to what
3	happened?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
5	MR. DiGIACOMO: I just need to clarify because I
6	just didn't hear it. How long ago was this incident with the
7	individual who it sounded like there was maybe some sort of
8	DUI road rage and then he attacked you?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: About five years ago.
10	MR. DiGIACOMO: So it was here in Las Vegas?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Correct.
12	MR. DiGIACOMO: Was it Metro that's the officers
13	that came in contact with you?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
15	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. You also indicated, and I
16	apologize, I don't mean to pry, but that you had a prior
17	arrest some 30 years ago for a misdemeanor DUI.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
19	MR. DiGIACOMO: And ultimately that was resolved.
20	And assuming by the way you wrote this, it was by way of plea?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
22	MR. DiGIACOMO: And you pled to a reduced charge of
23	reckless driving.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Reckless driving.
25	MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think the system treated you

fairly?

2.2

2.4

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Other than the — the incident that you indicated in — in the question about being attacked and then obviously your own contact, and I don't want to talk about your jury service just yet, but have you had any other contact with the justice system where you — you had to sue somebody for a car accident, you were a witness, somebody subpoenaed you because something happened at the restaurant you were working, anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, sir. Nothing.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Last about your feelings about the criminal justice system. You kind of said you had no opinions about it. Is that because of your limited contact with the system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yeah. Yeah. I don't get in trouble very often, so --

MR. DiGIACOMO: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I'm glad.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Would you agree that your prior jury service, you think ultimately that was a positive experience in your life?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yeah, I think I did my duty as a -- as a --

MR. DiGIACOMO: Citizen.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yeah, as a citizen.
2	Just doing my job.
3	MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you perceive being a citizen of
4	now Nevada it being a civic duty to sit on a jury?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Absolutely.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: Would you agree that while it may
7	not be a perfect system, it's the best system that anybody has
8	come up with so far?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
10	MR. DiGIACOMO: That ultimately you don't want the
11	government making decisions about what should happen in
12	criminal cases, you want 12 people?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
14	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. And I noticed in your
15	questionnaire, we're going to get to penalty shortly, that you
16	even reference one of the rights that the defendant have;
17	right? I am assuming you agree with the idea that these two
18	individuals are presumed innocent; is that correct?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
20	MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. And I am sorry. The the
21	court takes down everything we say, and when they do the
22	transcript it's not going to catch head nods or uh-huhs or
23	huh-uhs. So every once in awhile, and you'll see it all day
24	long, we'll be stopping people saying can you just answer out

loud. I imagine that the death penalty, is it something that

you had thought about before you came in to fill out your questionnaire?

2.0

2.4

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. So let's talk about, and this is going to be old and rote in a little while for some of these people. Let's talk about the system as it works here in Nevada in a murder case. First of all, I want to make one thing perfectly clear. The State of Nevada has filed a notice of intent to seek the death penalty against Mr. Burns. We have not done so against Mr. Mason.

So when we ask these questions about all four forms of — of punishment, I don't want to leave Mr. Mason kind of out of the consideration here. He — he has serious charges and serious consequences that may — may flow from that, but he is not facing a potential death sentence, only Mr. Burns is. Do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. The way this works is that in the first phase, Ms. Weckerly and I have all of the burdens. We have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that these two individuals committed the crimes that we have accused them of. And they never have to do anything. They can sit there and play tiddlywinks, although I'm pretty sure you're going to be able to find out pretty quickly that that's not going to happen with these lawyers. But ultimately, at the end of the

day, if we don't shoulder our burden, your responsibility is to find them not guilty. Any problems with that?

2.4

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 435: Not at all.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Now, there's the flip side to that. I mean, Mr. Burns and Mr. Mason, they're living, breathing human beings that you're going to spend a very substantial portion of time in a courtroom with. And you're not going to have contact with them, but they're going to be sitting there, you're going to be sitting in the box for, you know, a long period of time listening to a lot of evidence about what happened on August 7th and around that date.

Any concerns that if Ms. Weckerly and I are able to prove that they've committed the crimes that we've accused them of that you'd have any concerns that you wouldn't be able to vote guilty or come into this Court and announce in their presence that they're guilty of the crimes they've been accused of?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.

MR. DiGIACOMO: So let's talk about if we get to that second phase. And, you know, Ms. Weckerly and I are going to assume we're going to get to that second phase, and I'm sure the defense is going to tell you they're going to assume we're not going to get there. The problem presents that we get one chance to talk to jurors. It's right now. So we don't get to find out after the guilt phase if you can

1 handle the situation that's going to result in the penalty 2 phase, okay. 3 MR. SGRO: I'm sorry, Your Honor. May we approach very briefly. Quick question. 4 5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 (Bench conference.) 7 We filed -- we filed a pretrial motion. 8 MR. DiGIACOMO: I'm sorry. Yeah, I --9 They're not supposed to say --MR. SGRO: 10 MR. DiGIACOMO: Guilt. 11 MR. SGRO: -- quilt phase. They're supposed to say 12 trial phase, so --13 MR. DiGIACOMO: That's fine. I apologize. 14 MR. SGRO: Okay. 15 (End of bench conference.) 16 MR. DiGIACOMO: So let's talk about the -- the 17 second phase for just a second. If we get to that point, 18 you've already found one or both of these individuals guilty. 19 And if Mr. Burns is an individual that you found quilty, 20 there's four possible punishments that apply to them. There 21 is on the top end the death penalty, then it goes life without 22 the possibility of parole, life with the possibility of 23 parole, and then a term of years. You don't ever make the 2.4 decision as to whether or not they get parole. That's 25 something that goes on to the parole board if -- if that's the

choice you pick. It's merely the possibility that they get to see the parole board sometime in the future. Now, do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.

2.0

2.4

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. There was some questions asked about the death penalty and your response was that generally you believe that the death penalty serves a purpose. Would that be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. Do you think that if you were the legislature or you were the King of Nevada, to make it easy, that the death penalty would be one of the punishments that you would have as a potential punishment in a murder case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Now, you also indicated that should it get to that point that, you know, obviously if the crime is that bad that you're going to have a lot of empathy for the victims, as well as the defendant's families and everything else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: You'd agree with me that the consequence here, no matter what the punishment would be for first degree murder is going to be severe for either one of these individuals if they get convicted?

2.4

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Would you agree with me it's a very serious undertaking for a jury to do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: It's a very hard job.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Now, when it got to the next question you indicated that you don't personally favor the death penalty. Do you remember answering that question? That's fair. That's no further —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I don't.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Okay. And, look, this is — part of the thing is when you're filling out the questionnaire people check boxes and then it's not necessarily what their personal views are. Let me ask you this question. Can you conceive of a situation in which — without knowing what any of the facts are, just in a general idea in your mind where you can see yourself in a back room raising your hand and indicating that the ultimate punishment is appropriate for an individual?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: If the crime fits.

MR. DiGIACOMO: If the crime fits. Correct.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Are you an individual who would want to know pretty much everything there is to know both about the crime as well as the individual before you ever made that decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.

2.4

MR. DiGIACOMO: Would you agree with the idea that

-- obviously you must agree with this, that not all -- not all

murders should result in the death penalty. Would that be

fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Absolutely. Sure.

MR. DiGIACOMO: And that the legislature has provided those four separate ranges because all cases are different.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.

MR. DiGIACOMO: And are you willing to wait until the end to hear everything, both about the crime, about the individual, any other aggravating or mitigating circumstances before making your decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.

MR. DiGIACOMO: Would you do that for both the first phase, as well as the second phase of this proceeding, that you'll wait until the end, get in the back room, talk with your fellow jurors and ultimately, if all 12 of you can agree, come back with a verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.

MR. DiGIACOMO: If we get to the point where you've convicted Mr. Burns and Mr. Mason of first degree murder and you get to the point where all 11 -- you and your 11 fellow jurors believe that the maximum possible punishment is appropriate, and for Mr. Burns that would be the death

1	penalty, and for Mr. Mason that would be life without the
2	possibility of parole, any concerns in your mind about raising
3	your hand, voting for it, or returning your verdict here in
4	court?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.
6	MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think ultimately you're a
7	fair person?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
9	MR. DiGIACOMO: Do you think that if you were
10	sitting, God forbid if you wound up sitting in the position of
11	these two individuals right now that you would want 12 people
12	kind of in your frame of mind deciding first whether or not
13	they committed the crime, and second what appropriate the
14	punishment should be?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
16	MR. DiGIACOMO: Any concerns you have at all about
17	being a juror in this case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.
19	MR. DiGIACOMO: Thank you very much, sir.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: You're welcome.
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, we pass for cause.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Sgro.
23	MR. SGRO: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	Good morning, sir.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Good morning.

2.3

MR. SGRO: The State and I aren't going to agree on very much during this case, but we do agree you're going to be the — the test juror for all others as you're first. So let me start off with this. You are being asked questions about something we call a penalty phase in a case, right. So in — in murder cases in the State of Nevada, the way it works is there's first the part where juries decide is the defendant quilty or not guilty; right?

And then there's the part, okay, we have now determined that an individual in front of us is — is guilty of first degree murder. Now we are given discretion to sentence that person, right. And there's four terms of sentences as — as Mr. DiGiacomo said. You understand how the process works?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.

MR. SGRO: Okay. So from — from our standpoint, we are put in a somewhat uncomfortable position because we don't get to speak to you guy again and we have to speak about a penalty that we believe will never occur. You get that we just have to do it now?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.

MR. SGRO: And — and we're not conceding anything. This is just what the system says we have to do.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.

MR. SGRO: Okay. So then to start backwards and

1	move forward, I'll pick it up where the State left off.
2	Relative to death penalty, I just want to make it clear from
3	our standpoint. You you saw the factual predicate that's
4	in the jury questionnaire; right?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
6	MR. SGRO: Okay. This is alleged to have been a
7	first degree murder case where a woman was shot and killed;
8	right? Do you remember that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
10	MR. SGRO: And then a 12 year old little girl was
11	also shot.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
13	MR. SGRO: But not killed.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Correct.
15	MR. SGRO: Okay. And it is that set of
16	circumstances that I want you put yourself in a place
17	mentally, okay, let's assume that that is the case. You have
18	a conviction with that fact pattern in front of you, under
19	those circumstances can you consider every letting an
20	individual coming back out on the street again?
21	MR. DiGIACOMO: Judge, I apologize. For the record,
22	I object under Rule 7.70(c).
23	MR. SGRO: Submit it based on yesterday, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Why don't you rephrase it.
25	MR. SGRO: Sure.

1	Can you consider in a first degree murder conviction
2	the opportunity for an individual to return back into society?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Sure, yes.
4	MR. SGRO: Okay. Fair enough. Now, I want to get
5	back to the trial portion of this case. You put in your
6	questionnaire that persons accused of crime are innocent
7	unless proven guilty; right?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
9	MR. SGRO: You have any quarrel with that right?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.
11	MR. SGRO: You understand the State has read to you
12	a long witness list, they have a charging document. Mr. Burns
13	is sitting in in trial. You understand this is all the
14	natural consequence when someone is accused of a crime. They
15	say I I didn't do it. They enter a plea of not guilty, and
16	this is what happens. Any problem with that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, sir.
18	MR. SGRO: Okay. Have you ever heard that saying
19	where there's smoke there's fire?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
21	MR. SGRO: Okay. Do you understand because Mr.
22	Burns well, let me ask you. Because Mr. Burns is here, do
23	you automatically assume he must have done something wrong?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.
25	MR. SGRO: Okay. Have you ever had the misfortune

of being accused of something you didn't do?

2.4

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.

MR. SGRO: Okay. I want to stay on track with the rights that Mr. Burns has, and Mr. Mason, as well. I'll let Mr. Langford speak to that, as well. One of the things that we enjoy in our country is — is a privilege where if we're accused of a crime we either can elect to testify in our own defense, or we can elect to not testify, okay. Let's — so let's start with the first one. If Mr. Burns decides to not testify, do you think you'd hold that against him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.

MR. SGRO: Can you think of a reason if someone is not guilty of an offense why they wouldn't get up on the stand and talk about it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.

MR. SGRO: You have -- you can't think of -- do you think that someone might be nervous?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Absolutely. But, you know, that's why they hire you.

MR. SGRO: Well, let me put it a different way. Do you think that — by the way, you'll learn that Mr. Burns was 18 years old at the time that all these offenses that are alleged have occurred. Do you think that there might be something in the fact that he was only 18 at the time that might impact his decision on whether or not he feels

comfortably testifying and having seasoned prosecutors examining. Could that have a bearing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.

2.4

MR. SGRO: On the other — let's take it the other direction, if Mr. Burns did testify, do you think you would look at him more carefully than you would another witness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.

MR. SGRO: So I'll give you an example. Police officer — a police officer, 20 year detective, says the light is green. Mr. Burns said the light is red. Do you automatically go with the police officer simply because he or she is a police officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.

MR. SGRO: Okay. You'd be able to weight the other factors and make a decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: That's my job.

MR. SGRO: All right. Part of your job is going to be deciding credibility. I'm going to promise you right now there will be things in this case that will be conflicting, okay. This is not like a puzzle. It's not like a one-hour crime drama show where everything gets neatly put together at the end. That's not this case, okay. Let's talk about credibility of witnesses. Have you ever been put in the position where two people have come to you and told you inconsistent things and you had to sort it out?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
2	MR. SGRO: Do you feel comfortable doing that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
4	MR. SGRO: And you feel comfortable doing that in
5	the context of how serious this case is?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
7	MR. SGRO: All right. Do you believe that you will
8	be able to evaluate change if someone changes their
9	testimony and what the impact should be on their credibility?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I believe I can.
11	MR. SGRO: Okay. So if someone has been saying the
12	light is red and then at trial we hear for the first time the
13	light is green, that's something you might look at?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
15	MR. SGRO: Have you ever heard of this term plea
16	bargain?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
18	MR. SGRO: What what does that term mean to you?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Trying to get a reduced
20	charge.
21	MR. SGRO: Okay. In this case you're going to learn
22	that a plea bargain is in play. Do you have the ability, in
23	your opinion, to evaluate what motivation someone might have
24	for testifying in a case after they made a deal to testify?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Could you ask that

again?

2.4

MR. SGRO: Yeah, that was very poorly worded. If someone makes a deal and agrees to testify on behalf of the State, do you think you'd be able to evaluate that in terms of motives for fabrication, motive to lie?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.

MR. SGRO: Okay. Let me ask you this question, too.

I came up here when we started and I read you a list of names.

Do you remember that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.

MR. SGRO: Okay. And one of the things I said very briefly was we're going to talk about the fact that Mr. Burns has no burden of proof. So in terms of the right that Mr. Burns, as any other person accused enjoys, is we never have to prove anything. That means — and I'm going to give you an extreme hypothetical. The State calls 100 witnesses. We never ask a single question. At the end of the case you believe the State hasn't proven their case beyond a reasonable doubt. You understand you have an obligation to come back at that point and say not guilty if that's how you feel?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Absolutely. Yes.

MR. SGRO: Okay. So in terms of what you're going to go through here, let's go from the extreme to something a little more realistic. A five-week trial is the projected estimate. Lots of witnesses, police officers coming in,

seasoned prosecutors. They argue to you and you just don't
feel it. You just don't feel that they've met their burden.

Any quarrel coming in and announcing not quilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.

2.2

2.4

MR. SGRO: And let me give you a wrinkle in there.

Sometimes in cases, particularly in these — and let — before

I start, do you watch any of those crime drama shows, Forensic

Files, NCIS, anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, sir.

MR. SGRO: Those shows have a tendency to allow us to believe that this — this might be how it actually works. And I'll tell you in real life sometimes, even after five weeks worth of trial and all these witnesses that come forward, you may not know what actually occurred. Can you put yourself in place where you — could you imagine that might be frustrating? We've got all this time invested. This is the most serious crime we have in our country, right, capital murder. Do you think you'd be able to deal with the potential frustration of not knowing what happened? Could you — do you think you'll be able to deal with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I can deal with it. I don't see any reason to be frustrated.

MR. SGRO: Let me ask it a different way. You understand your job in this case will be to evaluate a set of facts that the State produces and then evaluate whether or not

1 they've proven a case as opposed to, well, if they didn't give 2 it to me the right way, let me figure out what really 3 happened. Do you understand that? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir. 5 It's not your job to go figure it out. 6 You have a very specific job task, evaluating the set of facts 7 in front of you. Any problem with that? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, sir. 9 MR. SGRO: All right. Have you ever had any 10 exposure to or -- or interaction with any science that's 11 involved in a criminal case? And let me give you an example. 12 Have you ever heard of DNA? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir. 14 MR. SGRO: And what -- what do you know about DNA, 15 or what's -- what's your exposure to it? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Just that we each have 17 our own set of DNA. It's different than everybody else. 18 MR. SGRO: Okay. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: It's our own 20 fingerprint. 21 MR. SGRO: Fingerprints is another one. There's --22 there's blood, there's saliva, there's all these -- hair. I 23 point, but you get the picture; right? Do you have a sense 2.4 that science is important in the -- in the decision making

KARR REPORTING, INC.

process when you're evaluating what happened in a criminal

25

1	case? Do you think science can be important?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: It's a tool, yes.
3	MR. SGRO: One of the issues in this case is going
4	to have to do with the identification of certain individuals.
5	We might refer to it as eyewitness identification. Have you
6	ever heard that before?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
8	MR. SGRO: Okay. Did you have any personal
9	experience with that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, sir.
11	MR. SGRO: Okay. Have you ever walked up to
12	somebody thinking it was someone you knew, a friend, family
13	member. And as you say the word hey, you know, they turn
14	around and you realize it's not them?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
16	MR. SGRO: Okay. Has that ever happened to you
17	where someone has mistaken you for somebody else?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
19	MR. SGRO: Do you believe you have the ability to
20	evaluate someone that talks about what they saw, heard, or did
21	on a particular day or not and evaluate whether or not that's
22	accurate?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
24	MR. SGRO: Do you think that it's possible that
25	someone swears to tell the truth, comes on the stand, and

1	makes a mistake?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
3	MR. SGRO: Do you think it's possible that someone
4	swears to tell the truth, gets on the stand, and lies?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
6	MR. SGRO: And and is the person that either
7	makes the mistake or lies, is it possible that that person is
8	a police officer?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
10	MR. SGRO: Can a police officer arrest somebody if
11	they have not committed a or let me strike that. Can a
12	police officer arrest someone and it turns out that person
13	really didn't do anything?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
15	MR. SGRO: Do you own a cell phone?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
17	MR. SGRO: Have you ever looked at your cell phone
18	bill?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
20	MR. SGRO: To look at, you know, the calls that
21	and the entries that are made there specifically?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.
23	MR. SGRO: Have you ever had the situation where
24	you've texted somebody and then later talked to them and have
25	the following conversation. Did you get my text? No, I

1	didn't get your text.		
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.		
3	MR. SGRO: Has that ever happened to you?		
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.		
5	MR. SGRO: Have you been on the receiving end, hey,		
6	I left you a voicemail, and your your response is I never		
7	got it.		
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.		
9	MR. SGRO: Okay. So will you also make a commitment		
10	that when it comes to cell phone records, you would agree that		
11	simply because the records come in and are nice and neatly		
12	packaged, they get on a computer generated printout, will you		
13	be willing to examine those records for accuracy?		
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.		
15	MR. SGRO: Okay. You wouldn't take those records		
16	just at face value just because they showed up; right?		
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Right.		
18	MR. SGRO: Okay. Is there any reason that you		
19	believe, based on what we've discussed so far, any any		
20	issue give you any heartburn about serving as a juror in this		
21	case?		
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, sir.		
23	MR. SGRO: Okay. Pass for cause, Your Honor.		
24	THE COURT: Mr. Langford, you may examine.		
25	MR. LANGFORD: Thank you.		

2.4

I do have a couple of questions, a couple things I have to go over, though. And it's going to be directed at you, but, you know, as everyone listens, you'll understand why I'm addressing it a certain way. I like to think that there are going to be two trials in this courtroom. One set of facts and circumstances, but two trials because two individuals are on trial here. And you need to listen to the evidence in such a way that you're evaluating the evidence as to that guilt of each individual, and only as to that individual.

The good news is when I stand up it's almost over.

That's a little confusing, but what it means is when you hear something come from the witness stand, you need to say, now, how does that prove what the State is alleging as to Mr. Burns, and then secondly how does it apply — you're only going to hear it once, but how does it apply first to Mr. Burns, second to Mr. Mason, okay. Do you have — would you have a problem doing that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.

MR. LANGFORD: So it has to be an evaluation where you do it for each person, okay. Part of that is at some point it may seem like there is more evidence against one person than there is against the other person. And you need to be able to say at some point that if that's the case, that one person the State has met its burden, and as to the other person the State has not met its burden. Would you be able to

1	do that?	
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.	
3	MR. LANGFORD: If there's a lot of evidence against	
4	one, that person could be guilty. The other person could be	
5	not guilty. You'd be okay coming in and saying, hey, it's a	
6	split decision?	
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.	
8	MR. LANGFORD: Do you want to serve on this jury?	
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Not really.	
10	THE COURT: I think every juror here would answer	
11	that way.	
12	MR. LANGFORD: Of reasonable sense and intelligence.	
13	Yes, that's probably true.	
14	THE COURT: As a matter of fact, there was a	
15	question that asked them if they could sit and if there was	
16	any reason they couldn't. Everyone said no. Or just about	
17	everyone.	
18	MR. LANGFORD: Is here a particular reason you don't	
19	want to sit as a juror?	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: It's this is serious	
21	business.	
22	MR. LANGFORD: I understand.	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: It's a hard job.	
24	Somebody's life is at stake potentially.	
25	MR. LANGFORD: Probably not many people want to sit	

as a juror, but are you willing to sit as a juror?

2.0

2.4

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.

MR. LANGFORD: Okay. Let me talk about two trials. There are also going to be two penalty phases if it gets to that point, you know. I need to make sure that you understand that the State is only seeking to execute Mr. Burns. The maximum penalty as to Mr. Mason is life without the possibility of parole.

So there are — there are three penalties as to Mr. Mason. The first is life without the possibility of parole. The second is life with the possibility of parole after a number of years, and the last penalty that's possible is a specific term of years in the Nevada State Prison with parole eligibility at a certain point, but a maximum term of years. Do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.

MR. LANGFORD: So there are four possible penalties as to Mr. Burns, but only three as to Mr. Mason. Can you, hearing both of those, evaluate Mr. Mason independently of Mr. Burns?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.

MR. LANGFORD: And you commit to the fact that even though you may feel one way about Mr. Burns, you won't let that influence your decision as to Mr. Mason if it gets to that point?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.		
2	MR. LANGFORD: The evidence that comes out, some		
3	at some point may indicate that there there might be other		
4	people involved. You understand that you're only here to hear		
5	evidence as to these two individuals and determine their guilt		
6	only. Do you understand?		
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, sir.		
8	MR. LANGFORD: Do you have a problem with that?		
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, sir.		
10	MR. LANGFORD: Do you have a problem holding the		
11	State to their burden of proof of having to prove these		
12	allegations beyond a reasonable doubt?		
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.		
14	MR. LANGFORD: Pass for cause, Your Honor.		
15	THE COURT: Would you do me a favor and hand that		
16	microphone to the gentlemen next to you there. Mr is it		
17	Welde?		
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.		
19	THE COURT: Weld or		
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Welde.		
21	THE COURT: Welly?		
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Welde.		
23	THE COURT: Welde. Okay, Mr. Welde. If you need		
24	to speak up, too. It's on, I think. Do you know any of the		
25	witnesses whose names were mentioned by counsel or do you know		

1	any of these attorneys?		
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No.		
3	THE COURT: Don't know anybody involved in the case?		
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Nobody.		
5	THE COURT: Okay. I think from your questionnaire		
6	that I understand you came for the country of Ethiopia; is		
7	that right?		
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes, sir.		
9	THE COURT: That's like the horn of African?		
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: The horn of Africa.		
11	THE COURT: Okay. I think I remember that. I've		
12	never been there, but I it's a long ways a way. It's a		
13	place where they've had a lot of violence lately, haven't		
14	they?		
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.		
16	THE COURT: Next to Sudan?		
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Next to Sudan, yes.		
18	THE COURT: Yeah. How long has it been since you		
19	came from Ethiopia?		
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I've been here seven		
21	years and		
22	THE COURT: What brings you to Nevada?		
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Nevada, my sponsor was		
24	here.		
25	THE COURT: Okay. And where did you come from?		

1	Well, you came from Ethiopia. You didn't come directly to		
2	Nevada, did you?		
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I came direct to Nevada,		
4	to Las Vegas.		
5	THE COURT: Okay.		
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yeah.		
7	THE COURT: And you're a cab driver now?		
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.		
9	THE COURT: How long have you been a cab driver?		
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Almost five years.		
11	THE COURT: Okay. There are a lot of cab drivers		
12	who have been the victims of crimes, people stealing from them		
13	or even holding them up. Are you are you aware of that?		
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.		
15	THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a		
16	crime?		
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Never.		
18	THE COURT: Okay. How about you got any friends		
19	that have been?		
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: [Indecipherable].		
21	THE COURT: You just you don't you've heard		
22	about it?		
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yeah, I hear.		
24	THE COURT: But you don't know any of them that have		
25	been the victim; is that right?		

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes. By the way, let me	3	
2	tell you, I'm not well speak English.		
3	THE COURT: Okay. Well		
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: So if you ask me some		
5	question, I		
6	THE COURT: It sounds pretty good, though. You		
7	sound like you		
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yeah.		
9	THE COURT: Okay.		
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: But I'm not well speak		
11	English.		
12	THE COURT: I understand that you don't speak		
13	English well, but you do speak English.		
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I understand some parts	•	
15	I		
16	THE COURT: Will you do the best job you can as a		
17	juror?		
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes, sir. I understand	•	
19	THE RECORDER: I can't understand him.		
20	THE COURT: You need to speak up. Did you will		
21	you do the best job you can if you're selected as a juror?		
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes, I can. Yes, I do.		
23	THE COURT: Under our system of criminal justice,		
24	you and I are a team. It's your job to decide what the		
25	evidence is, what the facts are, and it's my job to decide		

1	what the law is. And then you apply the facts to the law and		
2	reach a fair verdict. Will you do that for me.		
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.		
4	THE COURT: You understand that the defendants don't		
5	have to prove they're not guilty. It's up to the State to		
6	prove that they are guilty by evidence beyond a reasonable		
7	doubt. And if the State fails to meet that burden, the		
8	defendants are entitled to a verdict of not guilty. Do you		
9	have any quarrel with that procedure?		
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No.		
11	THE COURT: All right.		
12	THE MARSHAL: You need to speak directly into that		
13	microphone.		
14	THE COURT: You need to speak right into the		
15	microphone there.		
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yeah, okay. Okay.		
17	THE COURT: Okay. If if you were one of the		
18	parties in this case, would you want a juror like yourself		
19	sitting in judgment of that case?		
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.		
21	THE COURT: Okay. Mr or Ms. Weckerly.		
22	MS. WECKERLY: Thank you.		
23	Good morning, sir.		
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Good morning.		
25	MS. WECKERLY: How are you?		

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I'm good.		
2	MS. WECKERLY: You mentioned obviously that English		
3	is your second language.		
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.		
5	MS. WECKERLY: Have you understood everything that's		
6	been said so far this morning?		
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Not all.		
8	MS. WECKERLY: Not all of it? Okay. Is that part		
9	of your concern about serving as a juror? You wrote that on		
10	your questionnaire.		
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I conserve.		
12	MS. WECKERLY: You conserve?		
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yeah, about what I		
14	understand.		
15	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. Is there is it I mean, I		
16	I guess it's probably hard to estimate what you're missing,		
17	but when you as you've sat here this morning, do you feel		
18	like you understood over half of what's been said or less than		
19	that?		
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Less than that.		
21	MS. WECKERLY: Less than that?		
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.		
23	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. In your in your work, I		
24	assume you're conversing with people quite a bit.		
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Uh-huh.		

1	MS. WECKERLY: But in short, you know, directions or		
2	where want to go?		
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes. Yes, I understand.		
4	MS. WECKERLY: When you when you go home are you		
5	do you live with people that are English speakers or		
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No, no. I'm right		
7	now I'm live alone.		
8	MS. WECKERLY: Okay.		
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: My family is abroad.		
10	MS. WECKERLY: Okay.		
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Hopefully I will they		
12	will come soon.		
13	MS. WECKERLY: Okay.		
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: And that's why.		
15	MS. WECKERLY: Is are you I guess do you think		
16	you can understand the proceedings that as we go through		
17	this? Because it's important that you understand that law		
18	when the Judge instructs you, do you think you'll be able to		
19	do that?		
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I'll try.		
21	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. Do you think you would be able		
22	to understand the witness's testimony?		
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I'll try my best.		
24	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. And is your would you be		
25	able to tell us if you're not understanding it?		

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes, I can tell you if	
2	I'm	
3	MS. WECKERLY: Okay.	
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: not understand, yeah.	
5	MS. WECKERLY: And and as we sit here this	
6	morning, are you able to describe the parts that you might not	
7	have understood yet, you know, that have happened already?	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Say again? I did not	
9	understand.	
10	MS. WECKERLY: Okay.	
11	THE COURT: I don't think you know how much you	
12	don't know.	
13	MS. WECKERLY: I mean, when we were speaking with	
14	the first juror	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yeah.	
16	MS. WECKERLY: did you understand the	
17	conversations that he was having?	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Not all.	
19	MS. WECKERLY: Not all.	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: All questions I didn't	
21	understand because I'm not well speak English.	
22	MS. WECKERLY: Okay.	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: That's why.	
24	MS. WECKERLY: May we approach, Your Honor?	
25	THE COURT: Yes.	

1	(Bench conference.)
2	MS. WECKERLY: We either need to get an interpreter
3	or
4	THE COURT: I'm not going to get an Ethiopian
5	interpreter.
6	MS. WECKERLY: If he's not understanding what's being
7	said
8	THE COURT: He's understanding a lot of it. I don't
9	know. It's up to you guys. You want him? I want him too.
LO	MS. WECKERLY: Okay.
1	THE COURT: Okay.
L2	(End of bench conference.)
L3	MS. WECKERLY: Sir, I'm going to move through your
L4	questionnaire when you were asked questions about the death
L5	penalty, okay?
L6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No. No, I don't I
L7	don't say death penalty.
L8	MS. WECKERLY: Right. I wanted I just want to
L9	talk to you about your opinion.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Okay. [Inaudible.] Yeah.
21	MS. WECKERLY: Okay. And I I think you said that
22	the death penalty isn't a penalty that you agree with? Okay.
23	Is that an opinion that's based on your own beliefs or your
24	religious beliefs?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAVID BURNS,	
Appellant,	
v.	Supreme Court Case No. 80834
THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
Respondent.	
	I

## **APPELLANT'S APPENDIX**

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 12th day of August, 2020. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

Steven Wolfson, Clark County District Attorney's Office Aaron Ford, Nevada Attorney General Jamie J. Resch, Resch Law, PLLC d/b/a Conviction Solutions

Employee, Resch Law, PLLC d/b/a Conviction Solutions